

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST  
Form N-CSRS  
July 07, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF  
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-4841

-----  
MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST  
-----

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

500 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116  
-----

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Susan S. Newton  
Massachusetts Financial Services Company  
500 Boylston Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02116  
-----

(Name and address of agents for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (617) 954-5000  
-----

Date of fiscal year end: October 31  
-----

Date of reporting period: April 30, 2005  
-----

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

MFS(R) MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST

4/30/05

SEMIANNUAL REPORT  
-----

M F S (SM)  
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

SEMIANNUAL REPORT

LETTER FROM THE CEO	1
-----	-----
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION	2
-----	-----
MANAGEMENT REVIEW	3

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY	5
DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN	8
PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS	9
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	31
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	37
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	48
RESULTS OF SHAREHOLDER MEETING	49
PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION	50
QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE	50
CONTACT INFORMATION	BACK COVER

LETTER FROM THE CEO

Dear Shareholders,

[Photo of Robert J. Manning]

As a professional investor for more than 20 years, I've often been asked to name the single most important factor in investment success. My answer is always the same: diversification. At MFS we talk about applying a disciplined diversification(SM) strategy to a portfolio as a three step process: allocate among the major asset classes; diversify within each asset class; and rebalance regularly to avoid unnecessary risk.

Individual investors sometimes assume that the amount they're putting away is too small to allow for diversification. My response would be that a good investment plan, developed with the help of an investment professional, should provide a way to properly diversify your contributions.

Remember that the purpose of diversification is to own investments that historically have not moved in sync with each other - in the hope that, in any given period, underperforming holdings will be somewhat counteracted by other outperforming holdings. If none of your asset classes did badly in the past year or so, you're probably not properly diversified.

Americans today have more of their wealth tied up in the markets than in any previous period of our history, but we are not yet making the most of our opportunities. While neither asset allocation nor diversification can guarantee a profit or protect against a loss, I would voice the opinion that, to a large extent, a failure to have a plan, diversify contributions, and rebalance a portfolio annually has been behind many U.S. investors' failure to reach their long-term financial goals.

For more information on how to apply a disciplined diversification(SM) strategy to your portfolio, I would urge you to contact your own investment professional. You may also want to refer to information on the subject on our Website, MFS.COM.

# Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Respectfully,

/s/ Robert J. Manning

Robert J. Manning  
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer  
MFS Investment Management (R)

June 15, 2005

The opinions expressed in this letter are those of MFS, and no forecasts can be guaranteed.

---

## PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

---

### PORTFOLIO STRUCTURE\*

Bonds	100.0%
-------	--------

### TOP FIVE BOND INDUSTRIES\*

Healthcare Revenue - Hospitals	28.1%
--------------------------------	-------

Healthcare Revenue - Long-Term Care	9.0%
-------------------------------------	------

Utilities - Investor Owned	8.6%
----------------------------	------

Industrial Revenue - Paper	6.2%
----------------------------	------

Tobacco	3.7%
---------	------

### CREDIT QUALITY OF BONDS\*\*

AAA	13.4%
-----	-------

AA	4.3%
----	------

A	9.8%
---	------

BBB	33.2%
-----	-------

BB	10.4%
----	-------

B	4.5%
---	------

CCC	2.8%
-----	------

CC	0.1%
----	------

Not Rated	21.5%
-----------	-------

### PORTFOLIO FACTS

Average Duration	7.2
------------------	-----

Average Life	17.1 yrs.
--------------	-----------

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Average Maturity***	18.5 yrs.
-----	
Average Credit Quality****	BBB+
-----	
Average Short-Term Credit Quality	A-1
-----	

\* For purposes of this graphical presentation, the bond component includes both accrued interest amounts and the equivalent exposure from any derivative holdings, if applicable.

\*\* Each security is assigned a rating from Moody's Investors Service. If not rated by Moody's, the rating will be that assigned by Standard & Poor's. Likewise, if not assigned a rating by Standard & Poor's, it will be based on the rating assigned by Fitch, Inc. For those portfolios that hold a security which is not rated by any of the three agencies, the security is considered Not Rated. Holdings in U.S. Treasuries and government agency mortgage-backed securities, if any, are included in a "AAA"-rating category. Percentages are based on the total market value of investments as of 4/30/05.

\*\*\* The average maturity shown is calculated using the final stated maturity on the portfolio's holdings without taking into account any holdings which have been pre-refunded to an earlier date or which have a mandatory put date prior to the stated maturity. The average life shown takes into account these earlier dates.

\*\*\*\* The Portfolio Average Credit Quality rating is based upon a market weighted average of portfolio holdings.

Percentages are based on net assets including preferred shares as of 4/30/05, unless otherwise noted.

The portfolio is actively managed, and current holdings may be different.

---

### MANAGEMENT REVIEW

---

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

For the six months ended April 30, 2005, shares of the MFS Municipal Income Trust provided a total return of 5.36%, at net asset value. In comparison, the trust's benchmarks, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index (the Lehman Index) and the Lipper Closed-End High Yield Municipal Index, returned 1.93% and 5.07%, respectively. The trust seeks to provide high current income exempt from federal income taxes. The trust invests 80% of its assets, under normal circumstances, in tax exempt securities which are rated below the three highest rating categories of recognized rating agencies.

### MARKET ENVIRONMENT

The U.S. fixed-income market experienced a flattening of the yield curve during the six-month period. (A yield curve for bonds shows the relationship between yield and time to maturity.) The U.S. Federal Reserve Board raised its target federal funds rate four times, continuing its steady drumbeat of quarter-point rate hikes that had begun on June 30, 2004. But while the Fed seemed committed to a course of gradually raising short-term rates, several factors caused long-term rates to stagnate or retreat.

Job growth, which had shot up in the spring of 2004, ebbed and flowed during the period; uncertainty about the employment situation helped to push down yields on

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

longer-dated bonds. Another factor that held long-term rates down was overseas demand for U.S. Treasuries, especially from foreign central banks. However, moderate GDP growth and inflation concerns kept shorter-term interest rates moving up. For the period as a whole, the net effect was a substantial flattening of the yield curve as short-term rates rose significantly while long-term rates were generally flat to down.

### CONTRIBUTORS TO PERFORMANCE

Security selection and an overweighting in the strong-performing health care sector boosted the trust's performance relative to one of our benchmarks, the Lehman Index. Security selection in the utilities, industrials, and transportation sectors also aided relative results during the period.

Relative performance was also positively impacted by credit quality. The trust benefited from its exposure to high yield debt, as "BBB"-rated bonds outperformed higher-quality issues over the period. In addition, the trust's positions in non-investment-grade debt, which is not held by the Lehman Index, also aided relative returns.

### DETRACTORS FROM PERFORMANCE

Our positioning along the yield curve detracted from relative performance for the period. (A yield curve graphically depicts the yields of different maturity bonds of the same credit quality and type. A normal yield curve is upward sloping, with short-term rates lower than long-term rates.) Compared with the Lehman Index, the trust was underweighted in bonds on the long end of the curve (represented by bonds with durations of 11-to-25+ years). (Duration is a measure of a portfolio's sensitivity to changes in interest rates.) On a relative basis, our underweighting in longer-maturity bonds hurt relative performance as long-term municipal bond rates declined during the period.

Respectfully,

/s/ Geoffrey Schechter

Geoffrey Schechter  
Portfolio Manager

The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager only through the end of the period of the report, as stated on the cover, and do not necessarily reflect the views of MFS or any other person in the MFS organization. These views are subject to change at any time based on market and other conditions, and MFS disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice or as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any MFS fund. References to specific securities are not recommendations of such securities and may not be representative of any MFS fund's current or future investments.

---

### PERFORMANCE SUMMARY THROUGH 4/30/05

---

All results are historical. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, and shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. More recent returns may be more or less than those shown. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

### PRICE SUMMARY

---

Six months ended 4/30/05

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

	Date	Price
Net asset value	10/31/04	\$7.86
	4/30/05	\$8.00
New York Stock Exchange price	10/31/04	\$7.83
	2/02/05 (high)*	\$8.05
	3/23/05 (low)*	\$7.32
	4/30/05	\$7.85

\* For the period November 1, 2004 through April 30, 2005.

### TOTAL RETURN VS BENCHMARKS

Six months ended 4/30/05

New York Stock Exchange price**	3.78%
Net asset value**	5.36%
Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index#	1.93%
Lipper Closed-End High Yield Municipal Index+	5.07%

\*\* Includes reinvestment of dividends and capital gains distributions.

+ Source: Lipper Inc., an independent firm that reports mutual fund performance.

# Source: Standard & Poor's Micropal, Inc.

### INDEX DEFINITIONS

Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index - is a market-value-weighted index representative of the tax-exempt bond market.

Lipper Closed-End High Yield Municipal Index - measures the performance of the closed-end funds in the Lipper database which invest at least 50% of assets in lower-rated municipal debt issues.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

### NOTES TO PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

The trust's shares may trade at a discount to net asset value. Shareholders do not have the right to cause the trust to repurchase their shares at net asset value. The trust's shares also may trade at a premium to their net asset value.

When trust shares trade at a premium, buyers pay more than the asset value underlying trust shares, and shares purchased at a premium would receive less than the amount paid for them in the event of the trust's liquidation. As a result, the total return that is calculated based on the net asset value and New York Stock Exchange price can be different.

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

The trust's monthly distributions may include a return of capital to shareholders. Distributions that are treated for federal income tax purposes as a return of capital will reduce each shareholder's basis in his or her shares and, to the extent the return of capital exceeds such basis, will be treated as gain to the shareholder from a sale of shares. Returns of shareholder capital have the effect of reducing the trust's assets and increasing the trust's expense ratio.

From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.

### KEY RISK CONSIDERATIONS

The portfolio invests in municipal securities that are general obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the municipal issuer and revenue obligations that are not backed by the issuer. Therefore, revenue obligations are subject to a higher degree of credit risk than general obligations.

Any municipal security that is backed by current or anticipated revenues from a specific project or specific assets can be negatively affected by the discontinuance of the taxation supporting the project or assets or the inability to collect revenues for the project or from the assets. If the Internal Revenue Service determines an issuer of a municipal security has not complied with applicable tax requirements, interest from the security could become taxable and the security could decline significantly in value.

The portfolio may invest in derivative securities, which may include futures, options and swaps. These types of instruments can increase price fluctuation.

The portfolio invests in high yield or lower-rated securities which may provide greater returns but are subject to greater-than-average risk.

A portion of income may be subject to state, federal, and/or alternative minimum tax. Capital gains, if any, are subject to a capital gains tax.

The portfolio's value will fluctuate in response to market conditions and issuer, regulatory, economic, or political developments. In general, fixed income securities will decline when interest rates rise and will increase when interest rates fall. Many fixed income securities also involve credit risk, which is the risk that issuers may fail to make timely principal or interest payments. In addition, fixed income securities with longer maturity dates will be subject to greater price fluctuations than those with shorter maturity periods.

The portfolio may invest a higher percentage of its assets in a small number of issuers. The portfolio is more susceptible to any (non-diversified) single economic, political or regulatory event affecting those issuers than is a diversified fund.

These risks may increase share price volatility.

In accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, the trust hereby gives notice that it may from time to time repurchase shares of the trust in the open market at the option of the Board of Trustees and on such terms as the Trustees shall determine.

---

### DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN

---

The trust offers a Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan that allows you to reinvest either all of the distributions paid by the trust or only the

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

long-term capital gains. Purchases are made at the market price unless that price exceeds the net asset value (the shares are trading at a premium). If the shares are trading at a premium, purchases will be made at a discounted price of either the net asset value or 95% of the market price, whichever is greater. Twice each year you can also buy shares. Investments may be made in any amount over \$100 in January and July on the 15th of the month or shortly thereafter.

If your shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the plan, you may wish to request that your shares be re-registered in your own name so that you can participate.

There is no service charge to reinvest distributions, nor are there brokerage charges for shares issued directly by the trust. However, when shares are bought on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise on the open market, each participant pays a pro rata share of the commissions. The automatic reinvestment of distributions does not relieve you of any income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on the distributions.

To enroll in or withdraw from the plan, or if you have any questions, call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time. Please have available the name of the trust and your account and Social Security numbers. For certain types of registrations, such as corporate accounts, instructions must be submitted in writing. Please call for additional details. When you withdraw from the plan, you can receive the value of the reinvested shares in one of two ways: a check for the value of the full and fractional shares, or a certificate for the full shares and a check for the fractional shares.

-----  
 PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (unaudited) - 4/30/05  
 -----

The Portfolio of Investments is a complete list of all securities owned by your trust. It is categorized by broad-based asset classes.

Municipal Bonds - 142.4%

ISSUER	PAR AMOUNT	\$ VALUE
-----		
Airport & Port Revenue - 5.4%		
-----		
Chicago, IL, O'Hare International Airport Rev. RITES, FSA, 8.206%, 2022+(+)	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,862,190
-----		
Cleveland Cuyahoga County, OH, Port Authority Rev. (Cleveland City Project), "B", 4.5%, 2030	1,455,000	1,472,664
-----		
Dayton Montgomery County, OH, Port Authority Rev. (Parking Garage Project), 6.125%, 2024	1,130,000	1,197,032
-----		
Oklahoma City, OK, Airport Trust Rev., FSA, 5.75%, 2016	3,125,000	3,392,594
-----		
Port Authority, NY, Special Obligations Rev. (JFK International), MBIA, 5.75%, 2022	7,000,000	7,539,490
-----		
Seattle, WA, Airport Trust Rev., FGIC, 5.625%, 2018	1,500,000	1,629,465
-----		
		\$ 17,093,435
-----		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Chemicals - 0.6%

---

Red River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Celanese Project), 6.7%, 2030	\$ 1,920,000	\$ 2,023,200
--	--------------	--------------

---

General Obligations - General Purpose - 2.6%

---

Jefferson County, OH, ASST GTY, 7.125%, 2005(++)	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,045,930
Lake County, IL, Land Acquisition & Development, 5.75%, 2017	1,000,000	1,119,140
New York City, NY, 6.125%, 2006(++)	2,000,000	2,081,540
New York City, NY, "M", 5%, 2035	3,800,000	3,935,014
		\$ 8,181,624

---

General Obligations - Schools - 0.5%

---

Aledo, TX, Independent School District, School Building, "A", PSF, 5.125%, 2033	\$ 955,000	\$ 1,004,832
Leander, TX, Independent School District, Capital Appreciation, Refunding, School Building, FGIC, 0%, 2026	1,285,000	420,208
Leander, TX, Independent School District, Capital Appreciation, Refunding, School Building, FGIC, 0%, 2031	1,295,000	313,144
		\$ 1,738,184

---

Healthcare Revenue - Hospitals - 39.8%

---

Allegheny County, PA, Hospital Development Authority Rev. (South Hills Health Systems), "B", 6.75%, 2025	\$ 500,000	\$ 533,235
Allegheny County, PA, Hospital Development Authority Rev. (West Penn Allegheny Health), 9.25%, 2030	2,000,000	2,353,880
Allegheny County, PA, Hospital Development Authority Rev. (West Penn Allegheny Health), "B", 9.25%, 2022	1,000,000	1,179,560
Athens County, OH, Hospital Facilities Rev. (O'Bleness Memorial Hospital), "A", 7.125%, 2033	1,500,000	1,568,850
Baldwin County, AL, Eastern Shore Health Care Authority Rev. (Thomas Hospital), 5.75%, 2027	700,000	697,340
Brookhaven, NY, Civic Facilities Rev. (Memorial Hospital Medical Center, Inc.), 7.75%, 2010	805,000	865,697
California Valley Health Systems, COP, 6.875%, 2023	740,000	747,111
Chautauqua County, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (Women's Christian Assn.), "A", 6.35%, 2017	255,000	260,085
Chautauqua County, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (Women's Christian Assn.), "A", 6.4%, 2029	980,000	983,067
Chemung County, NY, Civic Facilities Rev. (St. Joseph's Hospital-Elmira), "A", 6%, 2013	540,000	546,901

---

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Chemung County, NY, Civic Facilities Rev. (St. Joseph's Hospital-Elmira), "B", 6.35%, 2013	140,000	144,073
-----		
Chester County, PA, Health & Educational Facilities Rev. (Chester County Hospital), 6.75%, 2021	1,625,000	1,739,611
-----		
Citrus County, FL, Hospital Development Authority Rev. (Citrus Memorial Hospital), 6.25%, 2023	985,000	1,051,310
-----		
Coffee County, GA, Hospital Authority Rev. (Coffee Regional Medical Center, Inc.), 5%, 2026	40,000	40,585
-----		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Parkview Medical Center), 6.5%, 2020	1,230,000	1,372,606
-----		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Parkview Medical Center), 6.6%, 2025	1,000,000	1,109,760
-----		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Portercare Adventist Health Systems), 6.625%, 2026	675,000	754,738
-----		
Comal County, TX, Health Facilities Development Rev. (McKenna Memorial Hospital), "A", 6.125%, 2022	500,000	537,355
-----		
Crittenden County, AR, Arkansas Hospital Rev., 7%, 2020	1,030,000	1,038,034
-----		
Cuyahoga County, OH, Hospital Facilities Rev. (Canton, Inc.), 7.5%, 2030	1,330,000	1,498,764
-----		
Delaware Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Nanticoke Memorial Hospital), 5.625%, 2032	1,250,000	1,328,163
-----		
Denver, CO, Health & Hospital Authority Rev., 5.25%, 2013	635,000	656,063
-----		
Denver, CO, Health & Hospital Authority Rev., 5.375%, 2018	1,500,000	1,544,295
-----		
Denver, CO, Health & Hospital Authority Rev., 5.375%, 2028	860,000	866,020
-----		
Denver, CO, Health & Hospital Authority Rev., "A", 6%, 2023	250,000	263,280
-----		
District of Columbia, Health & Hospital Authority Rev. (Medstar University Hospital), "D", 6.875%, 2007(++)	1,200,000	1,285,716
-----		
Gaylord, MI, Hospital Finance Authority Rev. (Otsego Memorial Hospital), 6.2%, 2025	250,000	249,350
-----		
Gaylord, MI, Hospital Finance Authority Rev. (Otsego Memorial Hospital), 6.5%, 2031	295,000	295,277
-----		
Grand Forks, ND, Health Care Authority Rev. (Altru Health Systems Obligation Group), 7.125%, 2024	755,000	828,869
-----		
Gulfport, MS, Hospital Facilities Rev. (Memorial Hospital), 5.75%, 2031	1,000,000	1,055,510
-----		
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Adventist/Sunbelt Hospital), 6%, 2031	900,000	981,306
-----		
Houston County, AL, Health Care Authority Rev., AMBAC, 6.25%, 2030	2,000,000	2,270,680
-----		
Huntsville, AL, Health Care Authority Rev., 5.625%, 2026	875,000	921,051

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Illinois Development Finance Authority, Hospital Authority Rev. (Adventist/Sunbelt Hospital), 5.65%, 2024	1,750,000	1,842,260
Illinois Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Centegra Health Systems), 5.25%, 2018	1,000,000	1,024,160
Indiana Health Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Rev. (Community Hospital Project), "A", AMBAC, 5%, 2035	1,780,000	1,840,840
Indiana Health Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Rev. (Munster Medical Research Foundation, Inc.), 6.375%, 2031	3,990,000	4,222,497
Indiana Health Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Rev. (Riverview Hospital), 6.125%, 2031	1,000,000	1,053,730
Joplin, MO, Industrial Development Authority Health Facilities Rev. (Freeman Health Systems), 5.5%, 2029	440,000	459,373
Joplin, MO, Industrial Development Authority Health Facilities Rev. (Freeman Health Systems), 5.75%, 2035	475,000	509,452
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Health Systems Rev. (Norton Healthcare, Inc.), 6.5%, 2020	5,000,000	5,539,750
Knox County, TN, Health Educational Housing Facilities Board, Hospital Facilities Rev. (Baptist Health Systems), 6.5%, 2031	1,725,000	1,804,454
Lauderdale County & Florence, AL, Health Care Authority Rev. (Coffee Health Group), MBIA, 5.625%, 2021	3,000,000	3,312,450
Lufkin, TX, Health Facilities Rev. (Memorial Health System of East Texas), 6.875%, 2026	1,590,000	1,663,951
Lufkin, TX, Health Facilities Rev. (Memorial Health System of East Texas), 5.7%, 2028	995,000	1,025,915
Macomb County, MI, Hospital Finance Authority Rev. (Mount Clemens General Hospital), 5.75%, 2025	1,000,000	1,007,540
Macomb County, MI, Hospital Finance Authority Rev. (Mount Clemens General Hospital), 5.875%, 2034	905,000	904,520
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Medstar Health), 5.5%, 2033	380,000	400,284
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (North Arundel Hospital), 6.5%, 2031	1,500,000	1,708,665
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Berkshire Health Systems), 6.25%, 2031	1,900,000	2,036,116
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Caritas Christi), 6.5%, 2012	600,000	666,114
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Caritas Christi), 5.7%, 2015	500,000	518,760
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Jordan Hospital), 5.25%, 2018	1,400,000	1,331,610

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Northern Berkshire Health), "B", 6.375%, 2034	890,000	895,963
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Saints Memorial Medical Center), 6%, 2023	465,000	466,814
Miami Beach, FL, Health Facilities Rev. (Mount Sinai Medical Center), 6.75%, 2029	810,000	899,440
Miami Beach, FL, Health Facilities Rev. (Mount Sinai Medical Center), "A", 6.7%, 2019	995,000	1,093,545
Mississippi Business Finance Corp., Health Facilities Rev. (Rush Medical Foundation, Inc.), 5.625%, 2023	845,000	827,272
Mississippi Hospital Equipment, Health Facilities Rev. (Rush Medical Foundation, Inc.), 5.4%, 2007	210,000	214,152
Montgomery, AL, Special Care Facilities, Financing Authority Rev. (Baptist Health), "A-2", MBIA, 0% to 2007, 5% to 2022	1,725,000	1,581,825
Montgomery, AL, Special Care Facilities, Financing Authority Rev. (Baptist Health), "C", 5.25%, 2029	760,000	773,095
Mount Lebanon, PA, Hospital Authority Rev. (St. Clair Memorial Hospital), 5.625%, 2032	435,000	457,033
Nassau County, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (North Shore Health System), 5.625%, 2010	725,000	782,942
Nassau County, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (North Shore Health System), 5.875%, 2011	550,000	603,504
New Hampshire Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Covenant Health), 6.5%, 2017	915,000	1,047,025
New Hampshire Higher Educational & Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Catholic Medical Center), 6.125%, 2032	1,000,000	1,045,680
New Hampshire Higher Educational & Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Littleton Hospital), 5.8%, 2018	1,000,000	979,620
New Jersey Health Care Facilities, Financing Authority Rev. (St. Peter's University Hospital), 6.875%, 2030	3,000,000	3,331,800
New York City, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (Staten Island University Hospital), "A", 6.375%, 2031	500,000	500,545
New York City, NY, Health & Hospital Corp. Rev., 5.25%, 2017	700,000	733,383
North Texas Health Facilities Development Corp. Rev. (United Regional Health Care System, Inc.), 6%, 2023	1,000,000	1,073,670
Ohio County, WV, County Commission Health System Rev. (Ohio Valley Medical Center), 5.75%, 2013	850,000	806,854
Oklahoma Development Finance Authority Rev. (Comanche County Hospital), 6.6%, 2031	1,665,000	1,836,778
Rhode Island Health & Education Building Rev., Hospital Financing (Lifespan Obligated Group), 6.5%, 2032	505,000	563,752

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Rhode Island Health & Education Building Rev., Hospital Financing (Lifespan Obligation Group), 6.375%, 2021	1,805,000	2,040,462
Royston, GA, Hospital Authority Rev. (Ty Cobb Healthcare Systems, Inc.), 6.375%, 2014	955,000	961,933
Russell, KY (Bon Secours Health Systems), ETM, 5.85%, 2005 (++)	3,000,000	3,053,400
Salt Lake City, UT, Hospital Authority Rev. INFLOS, AMBAC, ETM (Intermountain Health Care), 10.732%, 2020(+), (++)	600,000	601,812
Scranton-Lackawanna, PA, Health & Welfare Authority Rev. (Allied Rehab Hospital), 7.125%, 2005	285,000	285,479
Shelby County, TN, Educational & Hospital Facilities Board Hospital Rev. (Methodist Healthcare), 6.375%, 2012(++)	625,000	745,525
Shelby County, TN, Educational & Housing Facilities Board Hospital Rev. (Methodist Healthcare), 6.25%, 2012(++)	315,000	373,209
Shelby County, TN, Educational & Housing Facilities Board Hospital Rev. (Methodist Healthcare), ETM, 6.25%, 2018(++)	185,000	215,172
Shelby County, TN, Educational & Housing Facilities Board Hospital Rev. (Methodist Healthcare), ETM, 6.375%, 2019(++)	375,000	444,705
South Carolina Jobs & Economic Development Authority, Hospital Facilities Rev. (Palmetto Health Alliance), 6.25%, 2031	835,000	908,839
South Carolina Jobs & Economic Development Authority (Bon Secours Health Systems, Inc.), "A", 5.625%, 2030	710,000	739,813
South Carolina Medical University, Hospital Facilities Rev., "A", MBIA, 5%, 2031	685,000	710,701
South Dakota Health & Education Facilities Authority Rev. (Prairie Lakes Health Care System), 5.625%, 2032	670,000	693,946
Southwestern Illinois Development Authority Rev. (Anderson Hospital), 5.625%, 2029	870,000	898,710
Springfield, TN, Health & Educational Facilities Rev. (Northcrest Medical Center), 5.25%, 2018	1,400,000	1,403,696
State of Arkansas, Development Finance Authority Rev. (Washington Regional Medical Center), 7.25%, 2020	500,000	560,000
Steubenville, OH, Hospital Authority Rev. (Trinity Health Center), 6.5%, 2030	1,300,000	1,443,923
Stillwater, OK, Medical Center Authority, 5.625%, 2023	1,000,000	1,055,960
Suffolk County, NY, Industrial Development Agency Rev. (Southampton Hospital), 7.25%, 2020	720,000	741,852
Suffolk County, NY, Industrial Development Agency Rev. (Southampton Hospital), 7.625%, 2030	740,000	777,784
Tallahassee, FL, Health Facilities Rev. (Tallahassee Memorial Healthcare), 6.25%, 2020	3,085,000	3,214,138

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Texas Metro Health Facilities Development Corp., Metro Health Facilities Development Rev. (Wilson N. Jones Memorial Hospital), 7.2%, 2021	700,000	717,395
Texas Metro Health Facilities Development Corp., Metro Health Facilities Development Rev. (Wilson N. Jones Memorial Hospital), 7.25%, 2031	1,000,000	1,020,570
Tom Green County, TX, Health Facilities Rev. (Shannon Health System), 6.75%, 2021	1,250,000	1,351,850
Upper Illinois River Valley Development, Health Facilities Rev. (Morris Hospital), 6.625%, 2031	600,000	659,784
Valley, AL, Special Care Facilities, Financing Authority Rev. (Lanier Memorial Hospital), 5.6%, 2016	600,000	602,274
Wapello County, IA, Hospital Authority Rev. (Ottumwa Regional Health Center), 6.375%, 2031	1,500,000	1,591,995
Weirton, WV, Municipal Hospital Building, Commission Rev. (Weirton Hospital Medical Center), 6.375%, 2031	1,115,000	1,125,336
Weslaco, TX, Health Facilities Rev. (Knapp Medical Center), 6.25%, 2032	1,000,000	1,068,150
West Plains, MO, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Ozarks Medical Center), 6.75%, 2024	170,000	175,175
West Shore Pennsylvania, Hospital Authority Rev. (Holy Spirit Hospital), 6.2%, 2026	1,250,000	1,346,425
Wichita, KS, Hospital Authority Rev. (Via Christi Health System), 6.25%, 2020	1,500,000	1,693,695
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Aurora Health Care, Inc.), 6.875%, 2030	1,000,000	1,160,810
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Aurora Health Care, Inc.), MBIA, 5.25%, 2017	5,000,000	5,310,750
Yonkers, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (St. John's Riverside Hospital), 6.8%, 2016	755,000	788,212
Yonkers, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (St. John's Riverside Hospital), "A", 7.125%, 2031	490,000	508,297
Yonkers, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facilities Rev. (St. Joseph's Hospital), "C", 6.2%, 2020	750,000	665,970
		\$ 126,613,022
Healthcare Revenue - Long Term Care - 12.6%		
Abilene, TX, Health Facilities Development Co., Retirement Facilities Rev. (Sears Methodist Retirement), "A", 7%, 2033	\$ 345,000	\$ 364,786
Arizona Health Facilities Authority Rev. (The Terraces Project), 7.75%, 2033+	750,000	790,913
Bell County, TX, Health Facilities Development Rev. (Advanced Living Technology), 7.75%, 2006	130,000	126,312

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Bell County, TX, Health Facilities Development Rev. (Advanced Living Technology), 8.125%, 2016	1,085,000	961,809
Bell County, TX, Health Facilities Development Rev. (Advanced Living Technology), 8.5%, 2026	2,405,000	2,102,499
Bridgeport, CT, Senior Living Facility Rev. (3030 Park Retirement Community), 7.25%, 2035	1,020,000	1,061,188
Cambria County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Beverly Enterprises, Inc.), 10%, 2012	440,000	487,551
Chester County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (RHA Nursing Home), 8.5%, 2032	595,000	596,017
Clarion, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Beverly Enterprises, Inc.), 7.5%, 2012	1,000,000	1,024,670
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Covenant Retirement Communities, Inc.), "B", 6.125%, 2033	1,000,000	1,079,680
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Rev. (Evangelical Lutheran), 6.9%, 2025	3,000,000	3,457,710
Contra Costa County, CA, Residential Rental Facilities Rev. (Cypress Meadows), 7%, 2028*	217,707	43,537
Cumberland County, PA, Retirement Community Rev. (Wesley Affiliated Services), 7.25%, 2035	1,000,000	1,069,420
Franklin County, OH, Healthcare Facilities Rev. (Ohio Presbyterian), 7.125%, 2029	1,000,000	1,107,530
Fulton County, GA, Residential Care Facilities (Canterbury Court), "A", 6.125%, 2034	330,000	333,132
Grand Prairie, TX, Housing Finance Corp., Independent Senior Living Center Rev., 7.5%, 2017	600,000	606,366
Greenville County, SC, Hospital Rev. (Chestnut Hill) "A", 8%, 2015	2,255,000	2,281,203
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Care Facilities Rev. (Care Initiatives Project), 5.75%, 2018	895,000	894,266
Iowa Finance Authority, Health Care Facilities Rev. (Care Initiatives Project), 9.25%, 2025	1,665,000	2,024,041
Lenexa, KS, Health Care Facilities Rev. (Lakeview Village, Inc.), 6.875%, 2032	500,000	538,155
Lenexa, KS, Health Care Facilities Rev. (Lakeview Village, Inc.), "B", 6.25%, 2026	500,000	512,675
Maine Health & Higher Educational Facilities Rev. (Piper Shores), 7.5%, 2009(++)	825,000	932,283
Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency Rev. (Metro Health Foundation, Inc.), 6.75%, 2027+	1,470,000	1,461,165
Millbrae, CA, Residential Facilities Rev. (Magnolia of		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Millbrae), "A", 7.375%, 2027	1,920,000	2,022,182
-----		
Montgomery County, PA, Higher Education & Health Authority Rev. (AHF/Montgomery), 10.5%, 2020	2,220,000	2,284,047
-----		
Montgomery County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Whitemarsh Continuing Care), 6.125%, 2028	250,000	261,013
-----		
Montgomery County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Whitemarsh Continuing Care), 6.25%, 2035	700,000	730,912
-----		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Rev. (Courthouse Convalescent Center), "A", 8.7%, 2014	650,000	651,716
-----		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority Rev. (Cherry Hill), 8%, 2027	1,000,000	1,051,940
-----		
Norfolk, VA, Redevelopment & Housing Authority Rev. (Fort Norfolk Retirement Community), "A", 6%, 2025	125,000	126,783
-----		
Norfolk, VA, Redevelopment & Housing Authority Rev. (Fort Norfolk Retirement Community), "A", 6.125%, 2035	90,000	90,713
-----		
Oklahoma Development Finance Authority Rev. (Inverness Village), 8%, 2032	250,000	202,798
-----		
Reedley, CA, Certificate of Participation (Mennonite Home), 7.5%, 2026	2,760,000	2,854,696
-----		
Santa Fe, NM, Industrial Development Rev. (Casa Real Nursing Home), 9.75%, 2013	895,000	901,757
-----		
Shelby County, TN, Health Educational Rev. (Germantown Village), 7.25%, 2034	820,000	861,131
-----		
State of Hawaii, Department of Budget & Finance, Special Purpose Rev. (Kahala Nui Senior Living Community), 8%, 2033	500,000	555,490
-----		
Sterling, IL (Hoosier Care), 7.125%, 2034	715,000	621,442
-----		
Washington County, FL, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Washington County), 10%, 2016	905,000	907,534
-----		
Waterford Township, MI, Economic Development Corp. Rev. (Canterbury Health), 6%, 2039	1,500,000	1,219,995
-----		
Wilkinsburg, PA, Municipal Authority Health Rev. (Monroeville Christian/Judea), 8.25%, 2027	985,000	988,162
-----		
		\$ 40,189,219
-----		
Human Services - 2.8%		
-----		
Cheneyville, LA, Westside Habilitation Center Rev., 8.375%, 2013	\$ 1,410,000	\$ 1,435,183
-----		
Iowa Finance Authority, Community Provider (Boys & Girls Home), 6.25%, 2028	500,000	483,160
-----		
Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority (Kidspace Corp.), 6%, 2023	3,000,000	2,860,350
-----		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

New York City, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facility Rev. (Special Needs Facilities), 6.5%, 2017	1,030,000	1,073,456
<hr/>		
New York City, NY, Industrial Development Agency, Civic Facility Rev. (A Very Special Place, Inc.), "A", 5.75%, 2029	1,000,000	919,860
<hr/>		
Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority Rev. (GF/Orlando Healthcare Facilities), 8.75%, 2011	575,000	578,864
<hr/>		
Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority Rev. (GF/Orlando Healthcare Facilities), 9%, 2031	1,000,000	1,029,250
<hr/>		
Osceola County, FL, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Community Provider), 7.75%, 2017	454,000	455,053
<hr/>		
		\$ 8,835,176
<hr/>		
Industrial Revenue - Airlines - 3.1%		
<hr/>		
Alliance Airport Authority, Inc., Special Facilities Rev. (AMR Corp.), 7.5%, 2029	\$ 1,205,000	\$ 943,515
<hr/>		
Dallas Fort Worth, TX, International Airport Facility Improvement Corp. (Delta Airlines, Inc.), 7.625%, 2021	875,000	370,720
<hr/>		
Dallas Fort Worth, TX, International Airport Facility Improvement Corp. (American Airlines, Inc.), 7.25%, 2030	300,000	225,750
<hr/>		
Dallas Fort Worth, TX, International Airport Facility Improvement Corp. (American Airlines, Inc.), 6.375%, 2035	2,150,000	1,470,063
<hr/>		
Dallas Fort Worth, TX, International Airport Facility Improvement Corp. (American Airlines, Inc.) "B", 6.05%, 2029	690,000	680,368
<hr/>		
Houston, TX, Airport Systems Special Facilities Rev. (Continental, Inc.) "E", 6.75%, 2029	1,000,000	866,500
<hr/>		
Metropolitan Knoxville, TN, Airport Authority Special Purpose Rev. (Northwest Airlines), 8%, 2032	465,000	464,075
<hr/>		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities Rev. (Continental Airlines, Inc.), 7.2%, 2030	1,595,000	1,448,180
<hr/>		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities Rev. (Continental Airlines, Inc.), 6.25%, 2029	505,000	410,464
<hr/>		
New York City, NY, Industrial Development Agencies Rev. (Continental Airlines, Inc.), 7.25%, 2008	205,000	203,141
<hr/>		
New York City, NY, Industrial Development Agencies Rev. (Continental Airlines, Inc.), 8%, 2012	300,000	283,617
<hr/>		
Tulsa, OK, Municipal Airport Trust Rev. (AMR Corp.), "B", 6%, 2035	2,500,000	2,393,125
<hr/>		
		\$ 9,759,518
<hr/>		
Industrial Revenue - Chemicals - 1.0%		
<hr/>		
Sweetwater County, WY, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (FMC Corp.), "A", 7%, 2024	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,032,610
<hr/>		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

### Industrial Revenue - Environmental Services - 3.5%

California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Browning Ferris, Inc.), "A", 5.8%, 2016	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 960,760
California Statewide Community Development Authority, Solid Waste Facilities Rev. (Republic Services, Inc.), "A", 4.95%, 2012	1,000,000	1,060,860
Gloucester County, NJ, Solid Waste Resource Recovery Rev. (Waste Management, Inc.), 6.85%, 2029	850,000	947,827
Henrico County, VA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Browning Ferris, Inc.), 5.45%, 2014	1,750,000	1,656,305
Illinois Development Finance Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Waste Management, Inc.), 5.85%, 2007	4,500,000	4,656,060
Nevada Department of Business (Republic Services, Inc.), 5.625%, 2026	750,000	809,543
New Morgan, PA, Industrial Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (New Morgan Landfill Co, Inc./Browning Ferris, Inc.), 6.5%, 2019	1,000,000	1,000,110
		\$ 11,091,465

### Industrial Revenue - Metals - 0.2%

Mobile County, AL, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Ipsco, Inc.), 6.875%, 2030	\$ 650,000	\$ 709,417
State of Ohio, Solid Waste Rev. (Republic Engineered Steels), 8.25%, 2014*	3,000,000	0
		\$ 709,417

### Industrial Revenue - Other - 4.6%

Gulf Coast, TX, Industrial Development Authority (Valero Energy Corp.), 5.6%, 2031	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 1,760,658
Gulf Coast, TX, Waste Disposal Rev. (Valero Energy Corp.), 6.65%, 2032	1,000,000	1,090,390
Hardeman County, TN, Correctional Facilities Rev., 7.75%, 2017	2,220,000	2,318,945
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Economic Development Rev. (Holt Hauling & Warehousing), 8.4%, 2015+**	1,000,000	520,140
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Economic Development Rev. (Holt Hauling & Warehousing), 8.6%, 2017+**	1,000,000	520,100
Park Creek Metropolitan District, CO, Rev., Custodial Receipts, CR-1, 7.875%, 2032##	1,270,000	1,319,314
Park Creek Metropolitan District, CO, Rev., Custodial Receipts, CR-2, 7.875%, 2032##	580,000	602,521
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Finance Authority Facilities Rev. (Amtrak), "A", 6.25%, 2031	2,000,000	2,077,860

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Philadelphia, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Host Marriott LP), 7.75%, 2017	3,255,000	3,308,415
-----		
Port Corpus Christ, TX, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Citgo Petroleum Corp.), 8.25%, 2031	700,000	752,955
-----		
Tooele County, UT, Hazardous Waste Treatment Rev. (Union Pacific Corp.), 5.7%, 2026	385,000	402,082
-----		
		\$ 14,673,380
-----		
Industrial Revenue - Paper - 8.8%		
-----		
Beauregard Parish, LA (Boise Cascade Corp.), 6.8%, 2027	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,079,850
-----		
Bedford County, VA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Nekoosa Packaging), "A", 6.55%, 2025	1,000,000	1,047,210
-----		
Butler, AL, Industrial Development Board, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Georgia Pacific Corp.), 5.75%, 2028	155,000	156,967
-----		
Columbus County, NC, Industrial Facilities & Pollution Control Financing Authority (International Paper, Co.), "A", 6.15%, 2021	5,000,000	5,212,750
-----		
Courtland, AL, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Champion International Corp.), 6.375%, 2029	2,000,000	2,049,560
-----		
Delta County, MI, Economic Development Corp., Environmental Improvements Rev. (Mead Westvaco Escanaba), 6.45%, 2012(++)	500,000	570,395
-----		
Delta County, MI, Economic Development Corp., Environmental Improvements Rev. (Mead Westvaco Escanaba), "A", 6.25%, 2012(++)	1,000,000	1,126,240
-----		
Effingham County, GA, Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Fort James), 5.625%, 2018	850,000	875,602
-----		
Hodge, LA, Utilities Rev. (Stone Container Corp.), 7.45%, 2024	3,335,000	4,016,541
-----		
Isle Wight County, VA, Industrial Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Facilities Rev. (Union Camp Corp.), 6.55%, 2024	4,000,000	4,052,720
-----		
Lowndes County, MS, Solid Waste Disposal & Pollution Control Rev. (Weyerhaeuser Co.), 6.8%, 2022	2,000,000	2,459,520
-----		
Navajo County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority (Stone Container Corp.), 7.2%, 2027	880,000	917,752
-----		
Onondaga County, NY, Industrial Development Authority Rev., Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Solvay Paperboard LLC), 6.8%, 2014	1,000,000	1,062,910
-----		
West Point, VA, Industrial Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Chesapeake Corp.), 6.25%, 2019	1,750,000	1,764,070
-----		
West Point, VA, Industrial Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Rev. (Chesapeake Corp.), "A", 6.375%, 2019	700,000	705,593
-----		
York County, SC, Pollution Control Rev. (Bowater, Inc.), "A", 7.4%, 2010	935,000	942,069
-----		
		\$ 28,039,749

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

---

Miscellaneous Revenue - Entertainment & Tourism - 1.7%

---

California Statewide Communities Development Authority Rev., East Valley Tourist (Cabazon Casino) "A", 9.25%, 2020##	\$ 1,155,000	\$ 1,286,647
Capital Trust Agency of Florida Rev. (Seminole Tribe Convention), 10%, 2033	2,000,000	2,243,780
Mississippi Development Bank, Special Obligation (Diamond Lakes Utilities), 6.25%, 2017	1,000,000	1,032,320
Sandoval County, NM (Santa Ana Pueblo), 7.75%, 2015	675,000	695,365
		\$ 5,258,112

---

Miscellaneous Revenue - Other - 0.9%

---

Austin, TX, Convention Center (Convention Enterprises, Inc.), "A", 6.6%, 2021	\$ 400,000	\$ 432,808
Austin, TX, Convention Center (Convention Enterprises, Inc.), "A", 6.7%, 2028	600,000	648,762
Cleveland-Cuyahoga County, OH, Port Authority Rev. (Myers University), "E", 5.6%, 2025	135,000	136,588
Gallery Certificate Trust, PA, Parking Rev., FSA, 4.5%, 2013##	875,000	875,455
Southwestern Illinois Development Authority Rev., Solid Waste Disposal Rev., 5.9%, 2014	340,000	347,698
St. Louis County, MO, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Kiel Center Multipurpose Arena), 7.875%, 2024	300,000	300,519
Summit County, OH, Port Authority Building (Seville), "A", 5.1%, 2025	210,000	210,000
		\$ 2,951,830

---

Multi-Family Housing Revenue - 4.7%

---

Bexar County TX, Multi-Family Housing Rev. (American Opportunity Housing), "A", MBIA, 5.7%, 2021	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,322,938
Charter Mac Equity Issuer Trust, 7.1%, 2009	1,000,000	1,092,320
Charter Mac Equity Issuer Trust, 6%, 2019##	2,000,000	2,124,840
Dallas Fort Worth, TX, Housing Corp., 8.5%, 2011	815,000	816,646
Eaglebend, CO, Affordable Housing Corp., Multi-Family Rev. (Housing Project), "A", 6.4%, 2017	1,000,000	999,890
Florida Multi-Family Housing Finance Agency Rev. (Center Court Apartments), 8.5%, 2018	865,000	841,567
GMAC Municipal Mortgage Trust, "C-1", 5.7%, 2040##	500,000	506,110
GMAC Municipal Mortgage Trust, "B-1", 5.6%, 2039##	1,000,000	1,030,070
Memphis, TN, Educational & Housing Facilities (Wesley		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Highland Terrace), 8.5%, 2024	115,000	114,870
-----		
Metropolitan Government of Nashville & Davidson County, TN, Health & Educational & Housing Facilities Board Rev. (Berkshire Place), GNMA, 6%, 2023	500,000	530,800
-----		
Munimae TE Bond Subsidiary LLC, 6.875%, 2009	2,000,000	2,167,800
-----		
Munimae TE Bond Subsidiary LLC, 5.4%, 2049##	1,000,000	987,630
-----		
Ridgeland, MS, Urban Renewal (Northbrook I & II Apartments), 6.15%, 2019**	280,000	145,600
-----		
Ridgeland, MS, Urban Renewal (Northbrook I & III Apartments), "A", 6.25%, 2029**	475,000	247,000
-----		
San Bernardino County, CA (Equity Residential/Redlands), "A", 5.2%, 2029	2,000,000	2,085,500
-----		
		\$ 15,013,581
-----		
Single Family Housing - Local - 1.5%		
-----		
Cook County, IL, Single Family Mortgage Rev., "A", 0%, 2015	\$ 80,000	\$ 15,714
-----		
Corpus Christi, TX, Housing Finance Authority Rev., "B", MBIA, 0%, 2011	3,000,000	1,640,070
-----		
Dallas, TX, Housing Finance Corp., Single Family Mortgage Rev., MBIA, 0%, 2016	3,360,000	1,040,928
-----		
Jefferson Parish, LA, Single Family Mortgage Rev., GNMA, 6.625%, 2023	315,000	333,922
-----		
Jefferson Parish, LA, Single Family Mortgage Rev., GNMA, 6.3%, 2032	975,000	1,033,344
-----		
Sedgwick & Shawnee Counties, KS, Single Family Housing Rev., "A", GNMA, 6.45%, 2029	640,000	673,120
-----		
		\$ 4,737,098
-----		
Single Family Housing - State - 2.8%		
-----		
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority Rev., 6.9%, 2029	\$ 585,000	\$ 614,753
-----		
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority Rev., 6.375%, 2033	120,000	124,397
-----		
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority Rev., "A-2", 7.15%, 2014	8,000	8,111
-----		
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority Rev., "C-2", 5.9%, 2023	245,000	251,764
-----		
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority Rev., "C-2", 6.6%, 2032	265,000	270,904
-----		
Georgia Housing & Finance Authority Rev., 5.65%, 2021	2,490,000	2,572,693
-----		
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Rev., GNMA, 6.4%, 2032	315,000	325,776
-----		
Minnesota Housing Finance Agency Rev., Residential Housing Finance "B", 4.8%, 2023	295,000	300,183
-----		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Missouri State Housing Development Commission, Single Family Mortgage Rev. (Home Loan Program), 6.35%, 2032	420,000	439,568
Missouri State Housing Development Commission, Single Family Mortgage Rev. (Home Loan Program), GNMA, 6.85%, 2032	205,000	213,409
Nebraska Investment Finance Authority Single Family Mortgage Rev., 0%, 2015	7,600,000	2,931,244
Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, "C", 6.25%, 2021	730,000	753,791
New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority Rev., "B", 5.875%, 2030	140,000	143,031
		\$ 8,949,624
-----		
Solid Waste Revenue - 1.7%		
-----		
Delaware County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev., Resource Recovery Facilities (American Ref-fuel), "A", 6.5%, 2008	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 1,674,320
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency Rev. (Ogden Haverhill Associates), 6.7%, 2014	725,000	775,960
Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency Rev., Resource Recovery Rev. (Ogden Haverhill Associates), 5.6%, 2019	2,850,000	2,890,698
		\$ 5,340,978
-----		
State & Agency - Other - 0.4%		
-----		
West Virginia, Higher Education Facilities Rev., "B", FGIC, 5%, 2034	\$ 1,270,000	\$ 1,326,566
-----		
State & Local Agencies - 2.7%		
-----		
Chicago, IL, Public Building Commission, Building Rev., RITES, FGIC, 9.257%, 2016+(+)	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,645,124
Chicago, IL, Public Building Commission, Building Rev., RITES, FGIC, 9.257%, 2017+(+)	1,050,000	1,331,841
College Park, GA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Civic Center), AMBAC, 5.75%, 2020	1,000,000	1,125,540
Golden State, CA, Tobacco Securitization Corp., Tobacco Settlement Rev., Enhanced, "B", 5.375%, 2028	2,000,000	2,097,320
Houston, TX, COP, 6.3%, 2020	815,000	881,700
King George County, VA, Industrial Development Authority Lease Rev., FSA, 5%, 2036	1,300,000	1,357,421
		\$ 8,438,946
-----		
Student Loan Revenue - 0.2%		
-----		
Access to Loans for Learning, California Student Loan Rev., 7.95%, 2030	\$ 650,000	\$ 690,307
-----		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Tax - Other - 1.8%

Black Hawk, CO, Device Tax Rev., 5.625%, 2017	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,913
Dade County, FL, Special Obligations Rev., Capital Appreciation Bond, "B", AMBAC, 0%, 2008(++)	15,080,000	3,041,033
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Rev. Cigarette Tax, 5.5%, 2024	340,000	359,424
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Rev. Cigarette Tax, 5.75%, 2029	615,000	651,789
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Rev. Cigarette Tax, 5.5%, 2031	270,000	280,233
New Jersey Economic Development Authority Rev. Cigarette Tax, 5.75%, 2034	410,000	430,221
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority Rev., 6%, 2006	250,000	255,633
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority Rev., "E", 5.875%, 2018	500,000	518,865
		\$ 5,788,111
Tax Assessment - 4.2%		
Allegheny County, PA, Redevelopment Authority Rev. (Pittsburgh Mills), 5.1%, 2014	\$ 235,000	\$ 243,277
Allegheny County, PA, Redevelopment Authority Rev. (Pittsburgh Mills), 5.6%, 2023	150,000	157,896
Capital Region Community Development District of FL, Capital Improvement Rev., "B", 5.95%, 2006	160,000	161,267
Chicago IL, Ryan Garfield Tax Increment Allocation, 10.125%, 2007	610,000	610,817
Concorde Estates Community Development District, FL, Capital Improvement Rev., "B", 5%, 2011	905,000	910,611
Fishhawk Community Development District of FL, 5.125%, 2009	1,055,000	1,062,459
Heritage Isles, FL, Community Development District, "A", 5.75%, 2005	135,000	135,000
Katy, TX, Development Authority Rev., 5.8%, 2011	870,000	909,141
Katy, TX, Development Authority Rev., "B", 6%, 2018	925,000	966,422
Killarney, FL, Community Development District, "B", 5.125%, 2009	565,000	570,085
Lakes By The Bay South Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment, "B", 5.3%, 2009	1,140,000	1,151,822
Markham, IL, Tax Increment Rev., 9%, 2012	1,010,000	1,013,727
Middle Village Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment, "B", 5%, 2009	745,000	749,679
Old Palm Community Development District, FL, Special		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Assessment (Palm Beach Gardens), "A", 5.9%, 2035	255,000	262,056
Old Palm Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment (Palm Beach Gardens), "B", 5.375%, 2014	455,000	463,122
Panther Trace, FL, Community Development District Rev., 5.4%, 2008	150,000	151,041
Panther Trace, FL, Community Development District Rev., "B", 5%, 2010	730,000	737,431
Parkway Center, FL, Community Development District Rev., "B", 5.625%, 2014	1,260,000	1,288,552
Preserve At Wilderness Lake, FL, Community Development District, Capital Improvement, "B", 5%, 2009	645,000	644,071
Reunion East Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment, 5.9%, 2007	195,000	197,946
Sterling Hill Community Development District, FL, Capital Improvement Rev., 5.5%, 2010	535,000	542,517
Villasol Community Development District, FL, Special Assessment Rev., "B", 5.375%, 2008	515,000	522,416
		\$ 13,451,355
Tobacco - 5.1%		
Badger Tobacco, WI (Asset Securitization Corp.), 6.125%, 2027	\$ 4,305,000	\$ 4,406,469
California Statewide Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement, 5.625%, 2029	1,795,000	1,793,259
District of Columbia, Tobacco Settlement, 6.25%, 2024	1,210,000	1,237,431
Golden State, CA, Tobacco Securitization, Tobacco Settlement, "A", 5%, 2021	980,000	987,183
Golden State, CA, Tobacco Securitization, Tobacco Settlement, 7.8%, 2042	1,000,000	1,148,440
Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement Rev., Asset Backed, "B", 5.3%, 2025	2,000,000	1,893,320
Louisiana Tobacco Settlement Authority, 5.5%, 2030	1,435,000	1,413,977
New Jersey Tobacco Settlement Authority, 5.75%, 2032	1,005,000	1,004,266
South Carolina Tobacco Settlement Authority, 6.375%, 2028	1,000,000	1,027,470
South Carolina Tobacco Settlement Authority, "B", 6%, 2022	1,260,000	1,280,047
Washington Tobacco Settlement Authority, 6.5%, 2026	160,000	167,194
		\$ 16,359,056
Toll Roads - 1.6%		
Arapahoe County, CO, Capital Improvement Highway Rev., "C", 0%, 2005(++)	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 482,260

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado Rev., Capital Appreciation, "B", MBIA, 0%, 2027	4,115,000	1,267,214
Niagara Falls, NY, Bridge Commission, Toll Rev., RITES, FGIC, 7.285%, 2015+(+)	1,500,000	1,850,580
Pocahontas Parkway Assn., VA, Toll Road Rev., 0%, 2011	1,000,000	618,400
Pocahontas Parkway Assn., VA, Toll Road Rev., 0%, 2015	1,750,000	972,423
		\$ 5,190,877
Transportation - Special Tax - 3.1%		
Missouri Highways & Transportation Commission, State Road Rev., "A", 5.625%, 2018	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 4,983,345
Telluride, CO (Gondola Transit Co.), 9%, 2006(++)	750,000	794,798
Telluride, CO, Real Estate Transfer Assessment Rev. (Gondola Transit Co.), ETM, 11.5%, 2012(++)	2,900,000	4,220,196
		\$ 9,998,339
Universities - Colleges - 3.8%		
California Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (L.A. College of Chiropractic), 5.6%, 2017	\$ 750,000	\$ 735,900
Houston, TX, Community College Systems, MBIA, 7.875%, 2025	2,500,000	3,181,575
Illinois Educational Facilities Authority Rev. (Augustana College), "A", 5.625%, 2022	400,000	428,588
Islip, NY, Community Development Agency Rev. (New York Institute of Technology), 7.5%, 2006(++)	2,500,000	2,647,100
Louisiana State University (Health Sciences Center Project), MBIA, 6.375%, 2031	2,500,000	2,874,850
Savannah, GA, Economic Development Authority Rev. (College of Art & Design, Inc.), 6.5%, 2009(++)	625,000	713,063
State of Massachusetts, Development Finance Agency Rev. (Eastern Nazarene College), 5.625%, 2029	500,000	483,710
University of Arkansas, University Rev. (UAMS Campus), "B", MBIA, 5%, 2034	300,000	315,507
University of Colorado Enterprise Systems Rev., Refunding & Improvement, FGIC, 5%, 2030	635,000	671,017
		\$ 12,051,310
Universities - Secondary Schools - 1.4%		
California Statewide Communities, Development Authority Rev. (Escondido Charter High School), 7.5%, 2023	\$ 585,000	\$ 599,356
California Statewide Communities, Development Authority Rev. (Escondido Charter High School), 7.5%, 2036	1,000,000	1,026,430

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Michigan Municipal Bond Authority Rev. (Detroit Academy of Arts & Sciences), 8%, 2031	1,000,000	1,057,740
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority Rev. (YMCA Service Learning Academy), 7.625%, 2021	1,000,000	1,076,580
Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority Education Rev. (Arizona Charter Schools), "C", 6.75%, 2031	500,000	527,505
		\$ 4,287,611
-----		
Utilities - Cogeneration - 2.2%		
Alaska Industrial Development Export Authority, Power Rev., Upper Lynn Canal Regional Power, 5.8%, 2018	\$ 830,000	\$ 796,211
Carbon County, PA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Panther Creek Partners), 6.65%, 2010	2,370,000	2,555,879
Klamath Falls, OR, Electric Rev. (Klamath Cogeneration), 6%, 2025	2,260,000	2,283,278
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority Rev., Resources Recovery Rev. (Northampton Generating), 6.4%, 2009	350,000	354,330
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority Rev., Resources Recovery Rev. (Northampton Generating), 6.5%, 2013	1,000,000	1,012,620
		\$ 7,002,318
-----		
Utilities - Investor Owned - 12.2%		
Brazos River Authority, TX (Reliant Energy, Inc.), "A", 5.375%, 2019	\$ 500,000	\$ 512,070
Brazos River Authority, TX, Authority Texas Rev. (Reliant Energy, Inc.), "B", AMBAC, 5.125%, 2020	2,000,000	2,103,440
Brazos River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Texas Utility Co.), 7.7%, 2033	575,000	677,511
Brazos River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Texas Utility Co.), "B", 6.3%, 2032	500,000	537,840
Brazos River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Texas Utility Co.), "C", 6.75%, 2038	715,000	781,266
Calcasieu Parish, LA, Industrial Development Board, Pollution Control Rev. (Entergy Gulf States, Inc.), 5.45%, 2010	1,250,000	1,267,813
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Pollution Control Rev. (Southern California Edison Co.), "B", 6.4%, 2024	1,000,000	1,003,330
Connecticut Development Authority, Pollution Control Rev. (Connecticut Light & Power Co.), 5.85%, 2028	2,000,000	2,131,820
Connecticut Development Authority, Pollution Control Rev. (Connecticut Light & Power Co.), 5.95%, 2028	2,270,000	2,411,830
Farmington, NM, Pollution Control Rev. (New Mexico Public Service), 5.8%, 2022	2,105,000	2,149,016

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Farmington, NM, Pollution Control Rev. (New Mexico Public Service), "A", 6.3%, 2016	2,195,000	2,348,496
Forsyth, MT, Pollution Control Rev. (Portland General), 5.2%, 2033	230,000	242,869
Matagorda County, TX (Centerpoint Energy), 5.6%, 2027	1,500,000	1,559,415
Matagorda County, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Central Power & Light Co.), 4.55%, 2029	2,000,000	2,041,960
Matagorda County, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (Reliant Energy), 5.95%, 2030	1,655,000	1,684,211
Mecklenburg County, VA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (UAE Mecklenburg, LP), 6.5%, 2017	800,000	897,048
New Hampshire Business Finance Authority, Pollution Control Rev. (Public Service of New Hampshire), 6%, 2021	1,000,000	1,052,710
Ohio Air Quality Development Authority Rev., Pollution Control, Rev. (Cleveland Electric) "B", 6%, 2020	3,000,000	3,167,340
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority Rev. (Reliant Energy Seward), "A", 6.75%, 2036	1,155,000	1,246,926
Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Tucson Electric Power Co.), "A", 6.1%, 2025	650,000	650,852
Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Tucson Electric Power Co.), "C", 6%, 2029	2,905,000	2,909,096
Pittsylvania County, VA, Industrial Development Authority Rev. (Multi-trade of Pittsylvania), 7.5%, 2014	3,000,000	3,094,020
Port Morrow, OR, Pollution Control Rev. (Portland General Electric), 5.2%, 2033	550,000	580,773
Trinity River Authority, TX, Pollution Control Rev. (TXU Electric Co.), 6.25%, 2028	500,000	545,295
West Feliciana Parish, LA, Pollution Control Rev. (Gulf States Utilities Co.), 7.7%, 2014	550,000	552,107
West Feliciana Parish, LA, Pollution Control Rev. (Gulf States Utilities Co.), 5.8%, 2015	1,500,000	1,503,555
West Feliciana Parish, LA, Pollution Control Rev. (Gulf States Utilities Co.), 5.8%, 2016	1,000,000	1,014,360
		\$ 38,666,969
Utilities - Municipal Owned - 2.9%		
California State Department Water Resources Power Supply Rev. "A", 5.75%, 2017	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 1,396,613
North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power Systems Rev., "B", 5.55%, 2014	2,150,000	2,297,168
North Carolina Municipal Power Agency (Catawba Electric		

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Rev.) "B", 6.5%, 2020	2,000,000	2,252,520
-----		
Seattle, WA, Municipal Light & Power Rev., 5.625%, 2017	3,000,000	3,299,520
-----		
Southern California Public Power Authority (Transmission Project Rev.), RIBS, 9.688%, 2012(+)	100,000	101,282
-----		
		\$ 9,347,103
-----		
Water & Sewer Utility Revenue - 2.0%		
-----		
New York City, NY, Municipal Water & Sewer Finance Authority Rev., 5.5%, 2033	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,435,150
-----		
New York City, NY, Municipal Water & Sewer Finance Authority, Rev., "D", 5%, 2038	760,000	792,452
-----		
		\$ 6,227,602
-----		
Total Municipal Bonds (Identified Cost, \$425,622,669)		\$453,057,692
-----		
Floating Rate Demand Notes - 0.2%		
-----		
Allegheny County, PA, Hospital Development Authority Rev. (Presbyterian University Hospital), "B", 3%, due 5/05/05	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
-----		
Massachusetts Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority Rev., Capital Assets Program, "D", 3%, due 5/02/05	200,000	200,000
-----		
New Castle, PA, Area Hospital Authority (Jameson Memorial Hospital), 3.03%, due 5/04/05	125,000	125,000
-----		
Pinellas County, FL, Health Facilities Authority Rev., Pooled Hospital Loan Program, 3.05%, due 5/02/05	100,000	100,000
-----		
Sevier County, TN, Public Building Authority, 3.02%, due 5/05/05	65,000	65,000
-----		
Total Floating Rate Demand Notes, at Identified Cost		\$ 590,000
-----		
Total Investments(+) (Identified Cost, \$426,212,669)		\$ 453,647,692
-----		
Preferred Shares (Issued by the Trust) - (44.0)%		\$ (140,031,488)
-----		
Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 1.4%		4,616,192
-----		
Net Assets - 100.0%		\$ 318,232,396
-----		

\* Non-income producing security - in default.

+ Restricted security.

(+) Inverse floating rate security.

(++) Refunded bond.

\*\* Interest received was less than stated coupon rate.

## SEC Rule 144A restriction.

(+) As of April 30, 2005 one security representing \$0 and 0% of net assets was fair valued in accordance with the policies adopted by the Board of Trustees.

The following abbreviations are used in the Portfolio of Investments and are defined:

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

COP= Certificate of Participation  
 ETM= Escrowed to Maturity

### Insurers

AMBAC = AMBAC Indemnity Corp.  
 ASST GTY = Asset Guaranty Insurance Co.  
 FGIC = Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.  
 FSA = Financial Security Assurance, Inc.  
 GNMA = Government National Mortgage Assn.  
 MBIA = Municipal Bond Investors Corp.  
 PSF = Permanent School Fund

### Inverse Floaters

INFLOS = Inverse Floating Security  
 RIBS = Residual Interest Bonds  
 RITES = Residual Interest Tax-Exempt Security

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS                      STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (unaudited)

---

This statement represents your trust's balance sheet, which details the assets and liabilities composing the total value of your trust.

AT 4/30/05

### ASSETS

Investments, at value (identified cost, \$426,212,669)	\$453,647,692	
Cash	5,111	
Receivable for investments sold	1,177,585	
Interest receivable	8,522,560	
Unrealized appreciation on interest rate swap agreements	62,617	
Other assets	2,181	
<b>Total assets</b>		<b>\$463,417,744</b>

### LIABILITIES

Distributions payable on common shares	\$160,659	
Payable for investments purchased	3,780,933	
Unrealized depreciation on interest rate swap agreements	885,581	
Payable to affiliates		
Management fee	24,217	
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	28,842	
Administrative fee	394	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	273,236	

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Series T and Series TH auction preferred shares (5,600 shares issued and outstanding at \$25,000 per share) at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends	140,031,488	
<hr/>		
Total liabilities		\$145,185,35
<hr/>		
Net assets applicable to common shares		\$318,232,39
<hr/>		
NET ASSETS CONSIST OF		
<hr/>		
Paid-in capital - common shares	\$328,467,761	
<hr/>		
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	26,612,059	
<hr/>		
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments	(43,550,605)	
<hr/>		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	6,703,181	
<hr/>		
Net assets applicable to common shares		\$318,232,39
<hr/>		
Preferred shares, at value (5,600 shares issued and outstanding at \$25,000 per share)		140,000,00
<hr/>		
Net assets including preferred shares		\$458,232,39
<hr/>		
Common shares of beneficial interest outstanding (39,850,554 shares issued less 55,500 treasury shares)		39,795,05
<hr/>		
Net asset value per common share (\$318,232,396/39,795,054)		\$8.0

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

### FINANCIAL STATEMENTS                      STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (unaudited)

---

This statement describes how much your trust received in investment income and paid in expenses. It also describes any gains and/or losses generated by trust operations.

SIX MONTHS ENDED 4/30/05

#### NET INVESTMENT INCOME

Interest income		\$14,339,37
<hr/>		
Expenses		
<hr/>		
Management fee	\$1,786,817	
<hr/>		
Trustees' compensation	22,569	
<hr/>		
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	76,160	
<hr/>		
Administrative fee	20,655	
<hr/>		
Preferred shares remarketing agent fee	173,704	

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Custodian fee	59,664	
Printing	25,272	
Postage	43,218	
Auditing fees	20,722	
Legal fees	5,836	
Miscellaneous	73,432	
Total expenses		\$2,308,04
Fees paid indirectly	(11,547)	
Reduction of expenses by investment adviser	(705)	
Net expenses		\$2,295,79
Net investment income		\$12,043,57
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS		
Realized gain (loss) (identified cost basis)		
Investment transactions	\$ (5,406,680)	
Swap transactions	(2,461,265)	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments		\$ (7,867,94
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		
Investments	\$11,259,513	
Swap transactions	1,980,646	
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments		\$13,240,15
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments		\$5,372,21
Distributions declared from preferred shares		\$ (1,285,74
Change in net assets from operations		\$16,130,04

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS                      STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

This statement describes the increases and/or decreases in net assets resulting from operations, any distributions, and any shareholder transactions.

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

SIX MONTHS ENDED  
4/30/05  
(UNAUDITED)

YEAR ENDED  
10/31/0

CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

FROM OPERATIONS

Net investment income	\$12,043,576	\$23,772,69
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	(7,867,945)	(1,940,84
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments	13,240,159	8,313,31
Distributions declared from preferred shares	(1,285,744)	(1,507,80
Change in net assets from operations	\$16,130,046	\$28,637,35

DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS

From net investment income	\$ (10,863,889)	\$ (21,706,24
----------------------------	-----------------	---------------

TRUST SHARE (PRINCIPAL) TRANSACTIONS

Net asset value of shares issued to common shareholders in reinvestment of distributions	\$141,292	\$511,17
Total change in net assets	\$5,407,449	\$7,442,28

NET ASSETS

At beginning of period	\$312,824,947	\$305,382,66
At end of period (including accumulated undistributed net investment income of \$6,703,181 and \$6,809,238, respectively)	\$318,232,396	\$312,824,94

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The financial highlights table is intended to help you understand the trust's financial performance and the past 5 fiscal years (or, if shorter, the period of the trust's operation). Certain information is presented for a single trust share. The total returns in the table represent the rate by which an investment (or loss) on an investment in the trust (assuming reinvestment of all distributions) held for the entire period.

SIX MONTHS  
ENDED  
4/30/05  
(UNAUDITED)

2004

2003

YEAR ENDED 10/

2002

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Net asset value, beginning of period	\$7.86	\$7.69	\$7.61	\$7.93
-----				
INCOME FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS#(S)				
Net investment income^	\$0.30	\$0.60	\$0.61	\$0.64
-----				
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments	0.14	0.16	0.04	(0.38)
-----				
Distributions declared to shareholders on preferred shares	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.05)
-----				
Total from investment operations	\$0.41	\$0.72	\$0.61	\$0.21
-----				
LESS DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED TO SHAREHOLDERS				
From net investment income, common shares	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.55)	\$ (0.53)	\$ (0.53)
-----				
Preferred shares offering cost charged to paid-in capital	\$--	\$--	\$--	\$--
-----				
Net asset value, end of period	\$8.00	\$7.86	\$7.69	\$7.61
-----				
Common share market value, end of period	\$7.85	\$7.83	\$7.49	\$7.15
-----				
Total return at common market value (%)&	3.78(++)	12.22	12.51	(2.23)
-----				

Financial Highlights - continued

	SIX MONTHS ENDED 4/30/05 (UNAUDITED)	2004	2003	YEAR ENDED 10 2002
RATIOS (%) TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES/SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:^				
Expenses###	1.48(+)	1.46	1.51	1.56
-----				
Net investment income+(S)	7.73(+)	7.70	7.98	8.26
-----				
Portfolio turnover	7	9	11	16
-----				
Net assets at end of period (000 Omitted)	\$318,232	\$312,825	\$305,383	\$302,010
-----				

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

^ Effective June 7, 2004, the investment adviser has voluntarily agreed to reimburse the trust substantially all of Independent Chief Compliance Officer service fees paid to Tarantino LLC. by the trust, the net investment income per share and the ratios would have been:

Net investment income+(S)	\$0.30*	\$0.60*	\$--	\$--
---------------------------	---------	---------	------	------

RATIOS (%) TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS  
APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES:

Expenses###+	1.48*(+)	1.46*	--	--
Net investment income+(S)	7.73*(+)	7.70*	--	--

SUPPLEMENTAL RATIOS (%):

Ratios of expenses to average net assets including preferred shares###+	1.02(+)	1.00	1.03	1.07
Preferred shares dividends	0.82(+)	0.49	0.48	0.68
Net investment income available to common shares(S)	6.90(+)	7.22	7.50	7.58

SENIOR SECURITIES:

Total preferred shares outstanding	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
Asset coverage per preferred share++	\$81,827	\$80,862	\$79,533	\$79,090
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000

(S) As required, effective November 1, 2001, the trust has adopted the provisions of the AICPA A Investment Companies and began accreting market discount on debt securities. The effect of t October 31, 2002 was to increase net investment income per share by \$0.01, decrease net real losses per share by \$0.01, and to increase the ratio of net investment income to average net ratios, and supplemental data for periods prior to November 1, 2001 have not been restated t presentation.

+ Ratio excludes dividend payment on auction preferred shares.

++ Calculated by subtracting the trust's total liabilities (not including preferred shares) fr and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

# Per share data are based on average shares outstanding.

## Ratios do not reflect reductions from fees paid indirectly.

(+) Annualized.

(++) Not annualized.

\* The reimbursement per share amount and ratios were less than \$0.01 and 0.01%, respectively.

& From time to time the trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which

SEE NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

---

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

---

#### (1) BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

MFS Municipal Income Trust (the trust) is a non-diversified trust that is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company.

#### (2) SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**GENERAL** - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The trust can invest up to 100% of its portfolio in high-yield securities rated below investment grade. Investments in high-yield securities involve greater degrees of credit and market risk than investments in higher-rated securities and tend to be more sensitive to economic conditions. Municipal securities backed by current or anticipated revenues from a specific project or specific assets can be negatively affected by the discontinuance of the taxation supporting the projects or assets or the inability to collect revenues for the project or from the assets. If the Internal Revenue Service determines an issuer of a municipal security has not complied with applicable tax requirements, interest from the security could become taxable and the security could decline in value.

**INVESTMENT VALUATIONS** - Bonds and other fixed income securities (other than short-term obligations) in the trust's portfolio are valued at an evaluated bid price as reported by an independent pricing service, or to the extent a valuation is not reported by a pricing service, such securities are valued on the basis of quotes from brokers and dealers. Prices obtained from pricing services utilize both dealer-supplied valuations and electronic data processing techniques which take into account appropriate factors such as institutional-size trading in similar groups of securities, yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics and other market data without exclusive reliance upon quoted prices or exchange or over-the-counter prices, since such valuations are believed to reflect more accurately the fair value of such securities. Short-term obligations with a remaining maturity in excess of 60 days will be valued upon dealer-supplied valuations. All other short-term obligations in the trust's portfolio are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value as determined by the Board of Trustees. When pricing service information or market quotations are not readily available, securities are priced at fair value as determined under the direction of the Board of Trustees.

**SWAP AGREEMENTS** - The trust may enter into swap agreements. A swap is an exchange of cash payments between the trust and another party, which is based on a specific financial index. Cash payments are exchanged at specified intervals and the expected income or expense is recorded on the accrual basis. The value of the swap is adjusted daily and the change in value is recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Risks may arise upon entering into these agreements from the potential inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contract and from unanticipated changes in the value of the financial index on which the swap agreement is based. The trust uses swaps for both hedging and non-hedging purposes. For hedging purposes, the trust may use swaps to reduce its exposure to interest and foreign exchange rate fluctuations. For non-hedging purposes, the trust may use swaps to take a position on anticipated changes in the underlying financial index.

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

INTEREST RATE SWAP AGREEMENTS - Interest rate swap agreements are agreements to exchange cash flows periodically based on a notional principal amount, for example the exchange of fixed rate interest payments for floating rate interest payments which are based on a specific financial index, or the exchange of two distinct floating rate payments. The net receivable or payable associated with these payments is accrued daily and is recorded as net interest income or expense. For financial statement purposes, the net interest income or expense periodically recognized for an interest rate swap is recorded in the same line item as any unrealized and realized gains or losses recognized for that instrument. The primary risk associated with interest rate swap agreements is that unfavorable changes in the fluctuation of interest rates could adversely impact the trust.

INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS AND INCOME - Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. All premium and discount is amortized or accreted for financial statement purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All premium and original issue discount is amortized or accreted for tax reporting purposes as required by federal income tax regulations. The trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements involving its portfolio holdings. Any proceeds received are reflected in realized gain/loss in the statement of operations, or in unrealized gain/loss if the security is still held by the trust. Legal fees and other related expenses incurred to preserve and protect the value of a security owned are added to the cost of the security; other legal fees are expensed. Capital infusions made directly to the security issuer, which are generally non-recurring, incurred to protect or enhance the value of high-yield debt securities, are reported as additions to the cost basis of the security. Costs that are incurred to negotiate the terms or conditions of capital infusions or that are expected to result in a plan of reorganization are reported as realized losses. Ongoing costs incurred to protect or enhance an investment, or costs incurred to pursue other claims or legal actions, are expensed.

FEES PAID INDIRECTLY - The trust's custody fee is reduced according to an arrangement that measures the value of cash deposited with the custodian by the trust. This amount, for the six months ended April 30, 2005, is shown as a reduction of total expenses on the Statement of Operations.

TAX MATTERS AND DISTRIBUTIONS - The trust's policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders all of its net tax-exempt and taxable net income, including any net realized gain on investments. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is provided. Distributions paid by the trust from net interest received on tax-exempt municipal bonds are not includable by shareholders as gross income for federal income tax purposes because the trust intends to meet certain requirements of the Code applicable to regulated investment companies, which will enable the trust to pay exempt-interest dividends. The portion of such interest, if any, earned on private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986 may be considered a tax-preference item to shareholders.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The trust distinguishes between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis and only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as distributions from paid-in capital. Differences in the recognition or classification of income between the financial statements and tax earnings and profits, which result in temporary over-distributions for financial statement purposes, are classified as distributions in excess of net investment income or net realized gains. Common types of book and tax differences that could occur include differences in accounting for defaulted bonds, capital losses, and amortization and accretion on debt securities.

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended October 31, 2004 and October 31, 2003 was as follows:

	10/31/04	10/31/03
Distributions declared from:		
Tax-exempt income	\$23,043,028	\$22,599,683
Ordinary income	171,028	41,603
Total distributions declared	\$23,214,056	\$22,641,286

As of October 31, 2004 the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$7,792,198
Undistributed ordinary income	60,086
Capital loss carryforward	(36,437,335)
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	14,002,173
Other temporary differences	(918,644)

For federal income tax purposes, the capital loss carryforward may be applied against any net taxable realized gains of each succeeding year until the earlier of its utilization or expiration.

EXPIRATION DATE	
October 31, 2005	\$ (16,518,819)
October 31, 2006	(1,383,806)
October 31, 2009	(2,847,429)
October 31, 2010	(2,883,947)
October 31, 2011	(10,944,821)
October 31, 2012	(1,858,513)
Total	\$ (36,437,335)

AUCTION PREFERRED SHARES - The trust has issued 2,800 shares of Auction Preferred Shares (APS), series T and 2,800 of Auction Preferred Shares (APS), series TH. Dividends are cumulative at a rate that is reset every seven days for both series through an auction process. During the six months ended April 30, 2005 the dividend rates ranged from 1.495% to 2.76%. The trust pays an annual fee equivalent to 0.25% of the preferred share liquidation value for remarketing efforts associated with the preferred auction. The APS are redeemable at the option of the trust in whole or in part at the redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption if certain requirements relating to its asset maintenance coverage are not satisfied. The trust is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the trust's By-Laws and the Investment Company Act of 1940.

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

### (3) TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

INVESTMENT ADVISER - The trust has an investment advisory agreement with Massachusetts Financial Services Company (MFS) to provide overall investment advisory and administrative services, and general office facilities. The management fee is computed daily and paid monthly at an annual rate of 0.40% of the trust's average daily net assets and 6.32% of gross investment income. Management fees from net assets and gross investment income incurred for the six months ended April 30, 2005 were at an effective rate of 0.79% of average daily net assets, including preferred shares, on an annualized basis.

The trust pays compensation to its Independent Trustees in the form of a retainer, attendance fees, and additional compensation to Board and Committee chairpersons, and pays no compensation directly to its Trustees who are officers of the investment adviser, or to officers of the trust, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the trust from MFS. Certain officers and Trustees of the trust are officers or directors of MFS and MFS Service Center, Inc. (MFSC). The trust has an unfunded defined benefit plan for retired Independent Trustees. Included in Trustees' compensation is a pension expense of \$4,687 for retired Independent Trustees for the six months ended April 30, 2005.

This trust and certain other MFS funds (the "funds") have entered into a services agreement (the "Agreement") which provides for payment of fees by the funds to Tarantino LLC in return for the provision of services of an Independent Chief Compliance Officer (ICCO) for the funds. The ICCO is an officer of the funds and the sole member of Tarantino LLC. MFS has agreed to reimburse each of the funds for a proportional share of substantially all of the payments made by the funds to Tarantino LLC and also to provide office space and other administrative support and supplies to the ICCO. The funds can terminate the Agreement with Tarantino LLC at any time under the terms of the Agreement.

ADMINISTRATOR - MFS provides certain financial, legal, shareholder communications, compliance, and other administrative services to certain funds for which MFS acts as investment adviser. Under an administrative services agreement between the funds and MFS, MFS is entitled to partial reimbursement of the costs MFS incurs to provide these services, subject to review and approval by the Board of Trustees. Each fund is allocated a portion of these administrative costs based on its size and relative average net assets. The trust paid MFS an administrative fee up to the following annual percentage rates of the trust's average daily net assets:

EFFECTIVE DATE	BEGINNING OF PERIOD THROUGH	
	2/28/05	3/01/05
First \$2 billion	0.01120%	0.01626%
-----		
Next \$2.5 billion	0.00832%	0.01206%
-----		
Next \$2.5 billion	0.00032%	0.00056%
-----		
In excess of \$7 billion	0.00000%	0.00000%
-----		

For the six months ended April 30, 2005, the trust paid MFS \$20,655, equivalent to 0.00915% of average daily net assets including preferred shares, to partially reimburse MFS for the costs of providing administrative services.

TRANSFER AGENT - Included in transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs is a fee paid to MFSC, a wholly owned subsidiary of MFS, for its services as registrar and dividend disbursing agent for the trust. The agreement provides

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

that the trust will pay MFSC an account maintenance fee of no more than \$9.00 and a dividend services fee of \$0.75 per reinvestment. These fees amounted to \$32,615 for the six months ended April 30, 2005. Also included in transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs are out-of-pocket expenses, paid to MFSC, which amounted to \$38,009 for the six months ended April 30, 2005, as well as other expenses paid to unaffiliated vendors.

### (4) PORTFOLIO SECURITIES

Purchases and sales of investments, other than U.S. government securities, purchased option transactions, and short-term obligations, aggregated \$36,847,003 and \$33,046,551, respectively.

The cost and unrealized appreciation and depreciation in the value of the investments owned by the trust, as computed on a federal income tax basis, are as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$425,560,577
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$31,180,209
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	
Gross unrealized depreciation	(3,093,094)
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$28,087,115
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>	

### (5) SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST

The trust's Declaration of Trust permits the Trustees to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest. The Trustees have authorized the repurchase by the trust of up to 10% annually of its own shares of beneficial interest.

During the six months ended April 30, 2005, the trust did not repurchase any shares. Transactions in the trust were as follows:

	Six months ended 4/30/05	Year ended 10/31/04		
	SHARES	AMOUNT	SHARES	AMOUNT
Shares issued to shareholders in reinvestment of distributions	17,976	\$141,292	64,891	\$511,173
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				

### (6) LINE OF CREDIT

The trust and other affiliated funds participate in a \$1 billion unsecured line of credit provided by a syndication of banks under a line of credit agreement. Borrowings may be made for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, at a rate equal to the Federal Reserve funds rate plus 0.35%. In addition, a commitment fee, based on the average daily, unused portion of the line of credit, is allocated among the participating funds at the end of each calendar quarter. The commitment fee allocated to the trust for the six months ended April 30, 2005 was \$1,255, and is included in miscellaneous expense. The trust had no significant borrowings during the six months ended April 30, 2005.

### (7) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The trust trades financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of its investing activities in order to manage exposure to market risks such as interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. These financial

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

instruments include swap agreements. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment the trust has in particular classes of financial instruments and does not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

### Swap Agreements

#### Interest Rate Swaps

EXPIRATION	CURRENCY	NOTIONAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF CONTRACT	CASH FLOWS PAID BY THE TRUST	CASH FLOWS RECEIVED BY THE TRUST
8/16/15	USD	12,000,000	Fixed - 10 Year BMA Swap Index, (3.799%)	Floating - 7 Day BMA Swap Index
10/06/20	USD	30,000,000	Fixed - 15 Year BMA Swap Index, (4.101%)	Floating - 7 Day BMA Swap Index
8/16/25	USD	20,000,000	Fixed - 20 Year LIBOR Swap Index, (4.954%)	Floating - 3 Month LIBOR Swap Index

#### (8) RESTRICTED SECURITIES

At April 30, 2005, the trust owned the following restricted securities which are subject to legal or contractual restrictions on resale, excluding securities issued under Rule 144A, constituting 2.18% of net assets, including preferred shares, which may not be publicly sold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933. The trust does not have the right to demand that such securities be registered. The value of these securities is determined by valuations furnished by dealers or by a pricing service, or if not available, in good faith at the direction of the Trustees.

DESCRIPTION	DATE OF ACQUISITION	PAR AMOUNT	COST
Arizona Health Facilities Authority Rev. (The Terraces Project), 7.75%, 2033	10/17/03	750,000	\$736,845
Chicago, IL, O'Hare International Airport Rev., RITES, FSA, 8.206%, 2022	8/21/03	1,500,000	1,599,510
Chicago, IL, Public Building Commission, Building Rev., RITES, FGIC, 9.257%, 2016	3/11/99	1,300,000	1,403,610
Chicago, IL, Public Building Commission, Building Rev., RITES, FGIC, 9.257%, 2017	3/11/99	1,050,000	1,123,542
Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency			

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Rev. (Metro Health Foundation, Inc.), 6.75%, 2027,	7/18/96	1,470,000	985,940
-----			
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Economic Development Rev. (Holt Hauling & Warehousing), 8.4%, 2015	1/30/97	1,000,000	1,049,280
-----			
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Economic Development Rev. (Holt Hauling & Warehousing), 8.6%, 2017	1/30/97	1,000,000	1,051,940
-----			
Niagara Falls, NY, Bridge Commission, Toll Rev., RITES, FGIC, 7.285%, 2015	5/21/99	1,500,000	1,609,680
-----			

### (9) CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

At April 30, 2005, 19.27% of securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by letters of credit or bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The percentage of investments insured by or supported (backed) by a letter of credit from any one institution or agency did not exceed 9.46% of total investments.

### (10) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On March 31, 2004, MFS settled an administrative proceeding with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regarding disclosure of brokerage allocation practices in connection with MFS fund sales (the term "MFS funds" means the open-end registered management investment companies sponsored by MFS). Under the terms of the settlement, in which MFS neither admitted nor denied any wrongdoing, MFS agreed to pay (one dollar) \$1.00 in disgorgement and \$50 million in penalty to certain MFS funds, pursuant to a plan developed by an independent distribution consultant. The brokerage allocation practices which were the subject of this proceeding were discontinued by MFS in November 2003. The agreement with the SEC is reflected in an order of the SEC. The SEC settlement order states that MFS failed to adequately disclose to the Boards of Trustees and to shareholders of the MFS funds the specifics of its preferred arrangements with certain brokerage firms selling MFS fund shares. The SEC settlement order states that MFS had in place policies designed to obtain best execution of all MFS fund trades. As part of the settlement, MFS retained an independent compliance consultant to review the completeness of its current policies and practices regarding disclosure to MFS fund trustees and to MFS fund shareholders of strategic alliances between MFS or its affiliates and broker-dealers and other financial intermediaries who support the sale of MFS fund shares. Pursuant to the SEC order, on July 28, 2004, MFS transferred these settlement amounts to the SEC, and those MFS funds entitled to these settlement amounts accrued an estimate of their pro rata portion of these amounts. The final distribution plan was approved by the SEC on January 21, 2005, and the affected MFS funds received the payment on February 16, 2005.

In addition, in February 2004, MFS reached agreement with the SEC, the New York Attorney General ("NYAG") and the Bureau of Securities Regulation of the State of New Hampshire ("NH") to settle administrative proceedings alleging false and misleading information in certain MFS open-end retail fund ("MFS retail funds") prospectuses regarding market timing and related matters (the "February Settlements"). These regulators alleged that prospectus language for certain MFS retail funds was false and misleading because, although the prospectuses for those funds in the regulators' view indicated that they prohibited market timing, MFS did not limit trading activity in 11 domestic large cap stock, high

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

grade bond and money market retail funds. MFS' former Chief Executive Officer and former President also reached agreement with the SEC in which they agreed to, among other terms, monetary fines and temporary suspensions from association with any investment adviser or registered investment company. These individuals have resigned their positions with, and will not be returning to, MFS and the MFS funds. Under the terms of the February Settlements, MFS and the executives neither admit nor deny wrongdoing.

Under the terms of the February Settlements, a \$225 million pool has been established for distribution to shareholders in certain MFS retail funds, which has been funded by MFS and of which \$50 million is characterized as a penalty. This pool will be distributed in accordance with a methodology developed by an independent distribution consultant in consultation with MFS and the Board of Trustees of the MFS retail funds, and acceptable to the SEC. MFS has further agreed with NYAG to reduce its management fees in the aggregate amount of approximately \$25 million annually over the next five years, and not to increase certain management fees during this period. MFS has also paid an administrative fine to NH in the amount of \$1 million, which will be used for investor education purposes (NH retained \$250,000 and \$750,000 was contributed to the North American Securities Administrators Association's Investor Protection Trust). In addition, under the terms of the February Settlements, MFS is in the process of adopting certain governance changes and reviewing its policies and procedures.

Since December 2003, MFS, MFS Fund Distributors, Inc., MFS Service Center, Inc., MFS Corporation Retirement Committee, Sun Life Financial Inc., various MFS funds, certain current and/or former Trustees of these MFS funds, and certain officers of MFS have been named as defendants in multiple lawsuits filed in federal and state courts. The lawsuits variously have been commenced as class actions or individual actions on behalf of investors who purchased, held or redeemed shares of the MFS funds during specified periods, as ERISA actions by participants in certain retirement plan accounts on behalf of those accounts, or as derivative actions on behalf of the MFS funds. The lawsuits relating to market timing and related matters have been transferred to, and consolidated before, the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, as part of a multi-district litigation of market timing and related claims involving several other fund complexes (In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation (Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner), No. 1:04-md-15863 (transfer began March 19, 2004)). The market timing cases related to the MFS complex are Riggs v. MFS et al., Case No. 04-cv-01162-JFM (direct), Hammerslough v. MFS et al., Case No. 04-md-01620 (derivative) and Anita Walker v. MFS et al., Case No. 1:04-CV-01758 (ERISA). The plaintiffs in these consolidated lawsuits generally seek injunctive relief including removal of the named Trustees, adviser and distributor, rescission of contracts and 12b-1 Plans, disgorgement of fees and profits, monetary damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs and other equitable and declaratory relief. Two lawsuits alleging improper brokerage allocation practices and excessive compensation are pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Forsythe v. Sun Life Financial Inc., et al., No. 04cv10584 (GAO) (a consolidated action) and Marcus Dumond, et al. v. Massachusetts Financial Servs. Co., et al., No. 04cv11458 (GAO)). The plaintiffs in these lawsuits generally seek compensatory damages, punitive damages, recovery of fees, rescission of contracts, an accounting, restitution, declaratory relief, equitable and/or injunctive relief and attorney's fees and costs. The various lawsuits generally allege that some or all of the defendants (i) permitted or acquiesced in market timing and/or late trading in some of the MFS funds, inadequately disclosed MFS' internal policies concerning market timing and such matters (ii) received excessive compensation as fiduciaries to the MFS funds, or (iii) permitted or acquiesced in the improper use of fund assets by MFS to support the distribution of MFS fund shares and inadequately disclosed MFS' use of fund assets in this manner. The actions assert that some or all of the defendants violated the federal securities laws, including the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Company Act of 1940 and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as well as fiduciary duties and other violations of common law. Insofar as any of the actions is appropriately brought derivatively on behalf of any of the MFS funds, any recovery will inure to the benefit of the MFS funds. In February, March, and April 2005, the defendants filed separate motions to dismiss all claims of the various lawsuits. The parties continue to brief the issues for the courts and various hearing dates have been scheduled. Additional lawsuits based on similar allegations may be filed in the future.

Any potential resolution of these matters may include, but not be limited to, judgments or settlements for damages against MFS, the MFS funds, or any other named defendant. As noted above, as part of the regulatory settlements, MFS has established a restitution pool in the amount of \$225 million to compensate certain shareholders of certain MFS retail funds for damages that they allegedly sustained as a result of market timing or late trading in certain of the MFS retail funds, and distributed \$50 million to affected MFS funds to compensate those funds based upon the amount of brokerage commissions allocated in recognition of MFS fund sales. It is not clear whether these amounts will be sufficient to compensate shareholders for all of the damage they allegedly sustained, whether certain shareholders or putative class members may have additional claims to compensation, or whether the damages that may be awarded in any of the actions will exceed these amounts. In the event the MFS funds incur any losses, costs or expenses in connection with such lawsuits, the Boards of Trustees of the affected MFS funds may pursue claims on behalf of such funds against any party that may have liability to the funds in respect thereof.

Review of these matters by the independent Trustees of the MFS funds and their counsel is continuing. There can be no assurance that these regulatory actions and lawsuits, or the adverse publicity associated with these developments, will not result in increased fund redemptions, reduced sales of fund shares, or other adverse consequences to the MFS funds.

While these developments relate to MFS and the MFS' open-end funds, there can be no assurance that these developments, or the adverse publicity associated with these developments, will not result in an increase to the market discount of the MFS closed-end fund shares or other adverse consequences.

-----  
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
-----

To the Trustees and Shareholders of MFS Municipal Income Trust:

We have reviewed the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of the MFS Municipal Income Trust (the "Trust"), as of April 30, 2005, and the related statement of operations, statement of changes in net assets, and the financial highlights for the six-month period then ended. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Trust's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of the MFS Municipal Income Trust (the "Trust"), as of October 31, 2004, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statement of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended; and in our report dated December 20, 2004, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

The statement of changes in net assets for the year ended October 31, 2004 and the financial highlights for the five year in period ended October 31, 2004 taken from these financial statements are included herein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

June 23, 2005

-----  
 RESULTS OF SHAREHOLDER MEETING (unaudited) - 4/30/05  
 -----

At the annual meeting of shareholders of MFS Municipal Income Trust, which was held on March 23, 2005, the following actions were taken:

ITEM 1. To elect a Board of Trustees of common shares:

NOMINEE	NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES	
	AFFIRMATIVE	WITHHOLD AUTHORITY
Lawrence H. Cohn	33,510,589.098	711,390.470
David H. Gunning	33,593,762.597	628,216.971
William R. Gutow	33,550,884.597	671,094.971
Michael Hegarty	33,588,449.111	633,530.457
Amy B. Lane	33,597,396.800	624,582.768
Robert J. Manning	33,551,202.597	670,776.971
Robert C. Pozen	33,580,041.597	641,937.971
J. Dale Sherratt	33,563,431.597	658,547.971
Laurie J. Thomsen	33,563,990.260	657,989.308

ITEM 2. To elect a Board of Trustees of preferred shares:

NOMINEE	NUMBER OF PREFERRED SHARES	
	AFFIRMATIVE	WITHHOLD AUTHORITY
J. Atwood Ives	5,187.000	23.000
Lawrence T. Perera	5,187.000	23.000

## Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

---

### PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION

---

A general description of the MFS funds' proxy voting policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-225-2606, by visiting the Proxy Voting section of mfs.com or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available without charge by visiting the Proxy Voting section of mfs.com or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

---

### QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE

---

The fund will file a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund's Form N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the:

Public Reference Room  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549-0102

Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The fund's Form N-Q is available on the EDGAR database on the Commission's Internet website at <http://www.sec.gov>, and copies of this information may be obtained, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: [publicinfo@sec.gov](mailto:publicinfo@sec.gov) or by writing the Public Reference Section at the above address.

A shareholder can also obtain the quarterly portfolio holdings report at [mfs.com](http://mfs.com).

### CONTACT INFORMATION AND NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

#### INVESTOR INFORMATION

Transfer Agent, Registrar and Dividend Disbursing Agent

Call 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern time

Write to: State Street Bank and Trust Company  
c/o MFS Service Center, Inc.  
P.O. Box 55024  
Boston, MA 02205-5024

#### NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

As of April 30, 2005, our records indicate that there are 3,332 registered shareholders and approximately 13,835 shareholders owning trust shares in "street" name, such as through brokers, banks, and other financial intermediaries.

If you are a "street" name shareholder and wish to directly receive our reports, which contain important information about the trust, please write or call:

State Street Bank and Trust Company

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

c/o MFS Service Center, Inc.  
P.O. Box 55024  
Boston, MA 02205-5024  
1-800-637-2304

M F S (SM)  
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (R)

(C) 2005 MFS Investment Management (R)  
500 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116.

MFM-SEM-06/05 24M

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

The Registrant has amended its Code of Ethics to reflect that the Registrant's Principal Financial Officer has changed.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Not applicable for semi-annual reports.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Not applicable for semi-annual reports.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

Not applicable for semi-annual reports.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

A schedule of investments of the Registrant is included as part of the report to shareholders of the Registrant under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable for semi-annual reports.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable at this time.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

=====

MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST

-----

PERIOD	(A) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED	(B) AVERAGE PRICE PAID PER SHARE	(C) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED AS PART OF PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED PLANS OR PROGRAMS	(D) MAXIMUM (OR APPROXIMATE) DOLLAR VALUE OF SHARES MAY YET PURCHASED THE PLAN OR PROGRAM
=====				

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

11/1/04-11/30/04	0	n/a	0	3,973,30
12/1/04-12/31/04	0	n/a	0	3,973,30
1/1/05-1/31/05	0	n/a	0	3,973,30
2/1/05-2/28/05	0	n/a	0	3,973,30
3/1/05-3/31/05	0	n/a	0	3,979,50
4/1/05-4/30/05	0	n/a	0	3,979,50
Total	0	n/a	0	

Note: The Board of Trustees approves procedures to repurchase shares annually. The notification to shareholders of the program is part of the semi-annual and annual reports sent to shareholders. These annual programs begin on March 1st of each year. The programs conform to the conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the securities Exchange Act of 1934 and limit the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased in each annual period (March 1 through the following February 28) to 10% of the Registrant's outstanding shares as of the first day of the plan year (March 1). The aggregate number of shares available for purchase for the March 1, 2005 plan year are 3,979,505.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may send recommendations to the Board for nominees to the Registrant's Board since the Registrant last provided disclosure as to such procedures in response to the requirements of Item 7(d)(2)(ii)(G) of Schedule 14A.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) Based upon their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Act) as conducted within 90 days of the filing date of this Form N-CSR, the registrant's principal financial officer and principal executive officer have concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the material information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter covered by the report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

(a) File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.

- (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Code of Ethics attached hereto.

Edgar Filing: MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2 under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2): Attached hereto.

(3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the Act sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the Registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.

(b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)), Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: Attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant MFS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST

By (Signature and Title)\* ROBERT J. MANNING

Robert J. Manning, President

Date: June 23, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)\* ROBERT J. MANNING

Robert J. Manning, President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: June 23, 2005

By (Signature and Title)\* MARIA F. DWYER

Maria F. Dwyer, Treasurer (Principal  
Financial Officer and Accounting Officer)

Date: June 23, 2005

\* Print name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.