

MESA AIR GROUP INC
Form 10-K
December 14, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2006

Commission File Number 0-15495

Mesa Air Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

85-0302351

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

**410 North 44th Street, Suite 100,
Phoenix, Arizona**

(Address of principal executive offices)

85008

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(602) 685-4000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, No Par Value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of December 1, 2006: Common Stock, no par value: \$270.3 million.

On December 1, 2006, the Registrant had outstanding 33,956,878 shares of Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain sections of the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with the Company's 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in March 2007 are incorporated by herein at Part III, Items 10-14.

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

2006 FORM 10-K REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> Business	3
<u>Item 1A.</u> Risk Factors	12
<u>Item 1B.</u> Unresolved Staff Comments	20
<u>Item 2.</u> Properties	20
<u>Item 3.</u> Legal Proceedings	21
<u>Item 4.</u> Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	22
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u> Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	23
<u>Item 6.</u> Selected Financial Data	25
<u>Item 7.</u> Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
<u>Item 7A.</u> Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	42
<u>Item 8.</u> Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	43
<u>Item 9.</u> Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	79
<u>Item 9A.</u> Controls and Procedures	79
<u>Item 9B.</u> Other Information	82
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u> Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	82
<u>Item 11.</u> Executive Compensation	82
<u>Item 12.</u> Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	82
<u>Item 13.</u> Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	82
<u>Item 14.</u> Principal Accountant Fees and Services	82
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u> Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	82
<u>EX-21.1</u>	
<u>EX-23.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K Report contains certain statements including, but not limited to, information regarding the replacement, deployment, and acquisition of certain numbers and types of aircraft, and projected expenses associated therewith; costs of compliance with Federal Aviation Administration regulations and other rules and acts of Congress; the passing of taxes, fuel costs, inflation, and various expenses to the consumer; the relocation of certain operations of Mesa; the resolution of litigation in a favorable manner and certain projected financial obligations. These statements, in addition to statements made in conjunction with the words expect, anticipate, intend, plan, believe, seek, estimate, and similar expressions, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Safe Harbor provision of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements relate to future events or the future financial performance of Mesa and only reflect management's expectations and estimates. The following is a list of factors, among others, that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements: changing business conditions in certain market segments and industries; changes in Mesa's code-sharing relationships; the inability of Delta Air Lines, US Airways or United Airlines to pay their obligations under the code-share agreements; the inability of Delta Air Lines to successfully restructure and emerge from bankruptcy; the ability of Delta Air Lines to reject our regional jet code-share agreement in bankruptcy; an increase in competition along the routes Mesa operates or plans to operate; material delays in completion by the manufacturer of the ordered and yet-to-be delivered aircraft; availability and cost of funds for financing new aircraft; changes in general economic conditions; changes in fuel price; changes in regional economic conditions; Mesa's relationship with employees and the terms of future collective bargaining agreements; the impact of current and future laws; additional terrorist attacks; Congressional investigations, and governmental regulations affecting the airline industry and Mesa's operations; bureaucratic delays; amendments to existing legislation; consumers unwilling to incur greater costs for flights; our ability to operate our new Hawaiian airline service profitably; unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings involving Hawaiian Airlines and Aloha Airlines regarding our Hawaiian operation; unfavorable resolution of negotiations with municipalities for the leasing of facilities; and risks associated with the outcome of litigation. One or more of these or other factors may cause Mesa's actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement. Mesa is not undertaking any obligation to update any forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K.

All references to we, our, us, or Mesa refer to Mesa Air Group, Inc. and its predecessors, direct and indirect subsidiaries and affiliates.

Item 1. *Business*

General

Mesa Air Group, Inc. (Mesa or the Company) is a holding company whose principal subsidiaries operate as regional air carriers providing scheduled passenger and airfreight service. As of September 30, 2006, the Company served 173 cities in 46 states, the District of Columbia, Canada, the Bahamas and Mexico and operated a fleet of 191 aircraft with approximately 1,200 daily departures.

Approximately 98% of our consolidated passenger revenues for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 were derived from operations associated with code-share agreements. Our subsidiaries have code-share agreements with Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Delta), Midwest Airlines, Inc. (Midwest Airlines), United Airlines, Inc. (United Airlines) or

United) and America West Airlines, Inc. (America West) which currently operates as US Airways and is referred to herein as US Airways. The current US Airways is a result of a merger between America West and US Airways, Inc. (Pre-Merger US Airways). These code-share agreements allow use of the code-share partners flight designator code to identify flights and fares in computer reservation systems, permit use of logos, service marks, aircraft paint schemes and uniforms similar to the code-share partner and provide coordinated schedules and joint advertising. The remaining passenger revenues are derived from our independent operations Mesa Airlines and *go!*

Table of Contents

In addition to carrying passengers, we carry freight and express packages on our passenger flights and have interline small cargo freight agreements with many other carriers. We also have contracts with the U.S. Postal Service for carriage of mail to the cities we serve and occasionally operate charter flights when our aircraft are not otherwise used for scheduled service.

Our airline operations are conducted by the following airline subsidiaries:

Mesa Airlines, Inc. (Mesa Airlines), a Nevada corporation, flies regional jet and turboprop aircraft and operates as US Airways Express under code-share agreements with US Airways, as United Express under a code-share agreement with United Airlines and independently in Hawaii as *go!* The *go!* flights are Independent Operations and are not subject to a code-sharing agreement with a major carrier.

Air Midwest, Inc. (Air Midwest), a Kansas corporation, flies Beechcraft 1900D 19-seat turboprop aircraft and operates as US Airways Express under code-share agreements with US Airways and Pre-Merger US Airways. Air Midwest's flights in Kansas City code-share with both Midwest Airlines and US Airways. Air Midwest also operates as Mesa Airlines in select Essential Air Service (EAS) markets. The Albuquerque flights and certain EAS markets are Independent Operations and are not subject to a code-sharing agreement with a major carrier.

Freedom Airlines, Inc. (Freedom), a Nevada corporation, flies ERJ-145 50-seat regional jets and 37-seat Dash-8 turboprop aircraft and operates as Delta Connection under code-share agreements with Delta.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms Mesa, the Company, we, us, or our, refer to Mesa Air Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Corporate Structure

Mesa is a Nevada corporation with its principal executive office in Phoenix, Arizona.

In addition to operating the airline subsidiaries listed above, we also have the following other subsidiaries:

MPD, Inc., a Nevada corporation, doing business as Mesa Pilot Development and MPD, operates training programs for student pilots in conjunction with San Juan College in Farmington, New Mexico and Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona.

Regional Aircraft Services, Inc., (RAS) a California corporation, performs aircraft component repair, certain overhaul services, and ground handling services, primarily to Mesa subsidiaries.

MAGI Insurance, Ltd., a Barbados, West Indies based captive insurance company, was established for the purpose of obtaining more favorable aircraft liability insurance rates.

Ritz Hotel Management Corp., a Nevada Corporation, was established to facilitate the Company's acquisition and management of a Phoenix area hotel property used for crew-in-training accommodations.

Mesa Air Group Airline Inventory Management, LLC (MAG-AIM), an Arizona Limited Liability Company, was established to purchase, distribute and manage Mesa's inventory of spare rotatable and expendable parts.

Nilchii, Inc., a Nevada corporation, was established to invest in certain airline related businesses.

Air Midwest, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, was formed for the purpose of a contemplated conversion of Air Midwest, Inc. from a corporation to a limited liability company. This conversion has not yet occurred.

Table of Contents**Aircraft in Operation**

The following table sets forth our aircraft fleet (owned and leased) in operation by aircraft type and code-share service as of September 30, 2006:

	Canadair Regional Jet-200 (CRJ-200)	Canadair Regional Jet-700 (CRJ-700)	Canadair Regional Jet-900 (CRJ-900)	Embraer Regional Jet-145 (ERJ-145)	Beechcraft 1900D	DeHavilland Dash 8	Total
US Airways Express	18		38		16	6	78
United Express	37	15		8		10	70
Delta Connection				28		6	34
Mesa Airlines	5				4		9
Total	60	15	38	36	20	22	191

Code-Share Agreements

Our airline subsidiaries have agreements with Delta, US Airways, United Airlines and Midwest Airlines to use those carriers' designation codes (commonly referred to as code-share agreements). These code-share agreements allow use of the code-share partner's flight designator code to identify flights and fares in computer reservation systems, permit use of logos, service marks, aircraft paint schemes and uniforms similar to the code-share partner's and provide coordinated schedules and joint advertising. Our passengers traveling on flights operated pursuant to code-share agreements receive mileage credits in the respective frequent flyer programs of our code-share partners, and credits in those programs can be used on flights operated by us.

The financial arrangement with our code-share partners involves either a revenue-guarantee or pro-rate arrangement. The US Airways (regional jet and Dash-8), Delta (regional jet and Dash-8) and United (regional jet and Dash-8) code-share agreements are revenue-guarantee code-share agreements. Under the terms of these code-share agreements, the major carrier controls marketing, scheduling, ticketing, pricing and seat inventories. We receive a guaranteed payment based upon a fixed minimum monthly amount plus amounts related to departures and block hours flown in addition to direct reimbursement of expenses such as fuel, landing fees and insurance. Among other advantages, revenue-guarantee arrangements reduce the Company's exposure to fluctuations in passenger traffic and fare levels, as well as fuel prices. The US Airways, Pre-Merger US Airways and Midwest Airlines Beechcraft 1900D turboprop code-share agreements are pro-rate agreements, for which we receive an allocated portion of each passenger's fare and pay all of the costs of transporting the passenger.

The following table summarizes our available seat miles (ASMs) flown and revenue recognized under our code-share agreements for the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005	
	ASM s	Passenger Revenue	ASM s	Passenger Revenue
	(000 s)	(000 s)	(000 s)	(000 s)

(In thousands)

US Airways (Revenue-Guarantee)	3,605,297	39%	\$ 609,232	47%	4,360,713	50%	\$ 487,221	44%
United (Revenue-Guarantee)	2,876,008	31%	477,151	36%	1,748,466	20%	260,541	24%
Pre-Merger US Airways (Revenue-Guarantee)	1,644,023	18%	58,511	4%	2,401,808	28%	316,072	29%
Delta (Revenue-Guarantee)	811,420	9%	121,315	9%				
US Airways (Pro-Rate)*	102,732	1%	24,821	2%	133,505	1%	30,126	2%
Mesa Airlines, Independent	55,552	1%	7,731	1%	71,257	1%	8,590	1%
<i>go!</i>	44,308		9,114	1%				
Total	9,139,340		\$ 1,307,875		8,715,749		\$ 1,102,550	

Table of Contents

- * Amount includes the ASMs and Passenger Revenue associated with the Midwest Airlines (Pro-Rate) code-share agreement.

US Airways Code-Sharing Agreements

Revenue-Guarantee

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 38 CRJ-900, 18 CRJ-200, and six Dash-8 aircraft for US Airways under a revenue-guarantee code-share agreement. In exchange for providing flights and all other services under the agreement, we receive a fixed monthly minimum amount plus certain additional amounts based upon the number of flights flown and block hours performed during the month. US Airways also reimburses us for certain costs on an actual basis, including fuel costs, aircraft ownership and financing costs, landing fees, passenger liability and hull insurance, and aircraft property taxes, all as defined in the agreement. In addition, US Airways also provides, at no cost to Mesa, certain ground handling and customer service functions, as well as airport-related facilities and gates at US Airways hubs and cities where both carriers operate. We also receive a monthly payment from US Airways based on a percentage of revenue from flights that we operate under the code-share agreement. Under the amended code-share agreement, US Airways has the right to reduce the combined CRJ fleets utilized under the code-share agreement by one aircraft in any six-month period commencing in June 2006 (except during the calendar year 2007 in which 2 CRJ-200 can be eliminated in each six-month period). The Company has received notice of US Airways' intent to reduce one CRJ-200 in December 2006, two CRJ-200s in April 2007 and two CRJ-200s in September 2007. In addition, beginning in February 2007, US Airways may eliminate the Dash-8 aircraft upon 180 days prior written notice. The code-share agreement terminates on June 30, 2012 unless US Airways elects to extend the contract for two years or exercises options to increase fleet size. The code-share agreement is subject to termination prior to that date in various circumstances including:

If our flight completion factor or arrival performance in the Phoenix Hub falls below a specified percentage for a specified period of time, subject to notice and cure rights;

If either US Airways or we become insolvent, file for bankruptcy or fail to pay our debts as they become due, the non-defaulting party may terminate the agreement;

Failure by us or US Airways to perform the covenants, conditions or provisions of the code-sharing agreement, subject to 15 days notice and cure rights;

If we or US Airways fail to make a payment when due, subject to ten business days notice and cure rights; or

If we are required by the FAA or the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) to suspend operations and we have not resumed operations within three business days, except as a result of an emergency airworthiness directive from the FAA affecting all similarly equipped aircraft, US Airways may terminate the agreement.

Pro-Rate

Pursuant to a turboprop code-share agreement with US Airways, we operated three Beechcraft 1900D turboprop aircraft primarily in Phoenix, Las Vegas and Salt Lake City under a pro-rate revenue-sharing arrangement as of September 30, 2006. We control scheduling, inventory and pricing. We are allocated a portion of each passenger's fare based on a standard industry formula and are required to pay all costs of transporting the passenger. The pro-rate agreement terminates on March 31, 2012 unless US Airways elects to extend the contract for successive one-year periods. The pro-rate agreement could also be terminated prior to the termination under similar circumstances as the

revenue-guarantee agreement.

Pre-Merger US Airways Code-Sharing Agreement

Pro-Rate

Pursuant to a turboprop code-sharing agreement with Pre-Merger US Airways, we operated 13 Beechcraft 1900D turboprop aircraft under a pro-rate revenue-sharing arrangement as of September 30, 2006. We control scheduling, inventory and pricing subject to US Airways concurrence that such service does not adversely affect its

Table of Contents

other operations in the region. We are allocated a portion of each passenger's fare based on a standard industry formula and are required to pay all the costs of transporting the passenger. Additionally, we are required to pay certain franchise, marketing and reservation fees to US Airways.

US Airways may terminate the turboprop agreement at any time for cause upon not less than five days notice under any of the following conditions:

If we fail to utilize the aircraft as specified in the agreements.

If we fail to comply with the trademark license provisions of the agreement.

If we fail to perform the material terms, covenants or conditions of the code-sharing agreement.

Upon a change in our ownership or control without the written approval of US Airways.

The turboprop code-share agreement was scheduled to terminate in October 2006, but has been extended on its original terms as the Company and US Airways negotiate the terms of a new agreement. The Company expects to enter into a new agreement on substantially similar terms.

United Code-Sharing Agreement

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 37 CRJ-200, eight ERJ-145, 15 CRJ-700 (In October 2006, we added three additional CRJ-700s into service and removed three ERJ-145s from service) and ten Dash-8 aircraft for United under a code-sharing arrangement. The code-share agreement provides that we can increase our fleet to 45 50-seat and 30 CRJ-700 regional jet aircraft (15 of which would be replacements for 15 CRJ-200s). In exchange for performing the flight services under the agreement, we receive from United a fixed monthly minimum amount, plus certain additional amounts based upon the number of flights flown and block hours performed during the month. Additionally, certain costs incurred by us in performing the flight services are pass-through costs, whereby United agrees to reimburse us for the actual amounts incurred for these items: insurance, property tax per aircraft, fuel cost, oil cost, catering cost and landing fees. We also receive a profit margin based upon certain reimbursable costs under the agreement as well as our operational performance. The code-share agreement for (i) the ten Dash-8 aircraft terminates in July 2013 unless terminated by United by giving notice six months prior to April 30, 2010, (ii) the 15 50-seat CRJ-200s terminates no later than April 30, 2010, which can be accelerated up to two years at our discretion, (iii) the 30 50-seat regional jets terminates in July 2013, but can be terminated early in April 2010, (iv) the 15 CRJ-700s (to be delivered upon the withdrawal of the 50-seat regional jets) terminates ten years from delivery date, but no later than October 31, 2018, and (v) the remaining 15 CRJ-700s terminates in three tranches between December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2013. The code-share agreement is subject to termination prior to these dates under various circumstances including:

If certain operational performance factors fall below a specified percentage for a specified time, subject to notice and cure rights;

Failure by us to perform the material covenants, agreements, terms or conditions of the code-share agreement or similar agreements with United, subject to thirty (30) days notice and cure rights; or

If either United or we become insolvent, file bankruptcy or fail to pay debts when due, the non-defaulting party may terminate the agreement.

Delta Code-Sharing Agreement

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 28 ERJ-145 and six Dash-8 aircraft for Delta pursuant to two code-sharing agreements. Flight operations for Delta are performed by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Freedom Airlines. The regional jet and turboprop code-share agreements provide that we increase our fleet to 30 50-seat regional jet aircraft and 12 37-seat turboprop aircraft, respectively. In exchange for performing the flight services and our other obligations under the agreements, we receive from Delta monthly compensation made up of a fixed monthly amount, plus certain additional amounts based upon number of block hours flown and departures during the month. Additionally, certain costs incurred by Freedom are pass-through costs, whereby Delta agrees to reimburse us for the actual amounts incurred for these items: landing fees, hull insurance, passenger liability costs, fuel costs,

Table of Contents

catering costs and property taxes. Aircraft rent/ownership expenses are also considered a pass-through cost, but are limited to a specified amount for each type of aircraft. We are eligible to receive additional compensation based upon our completion rate and on-time arrival rate each month. Further, for each semi-annual period during the term of the agreement, we are eligible to receive additional compensation from Delta based upon performance. The fixed rates payable to us by Delta under the code-sharing agreement have been determined through the term of such agreement and are subject to annual revision.

The regional jet code-share agreement terminates on an aircraft-by-aircraft basis between 2017 and 2018. At the end of the term, Delta has the right to extend the agreement for additional one year successive terms on the same terms and conditions. Delta may terminate the code-sharing agreement at any time, with or without cause, upon twelve months prior written notice, provided such notice shall not be given prior to the earlier of (i) the sixth anniversary of the in-service date of the 30(th) aircraft added to the Delta Connection fleet by the Company, or (ii) November 2012. However, Delta has not yet assumed our regional jet code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceedings and could choose to terminate this agreement at any time prior to its emergence from bankruptcy. In addition, according to news reports, US Airways, one of our code-share partners, has proposed to merge with Delta, another of our code-share partners. According to these same reports, Delta has rejected this proposal. We are unable, at this time, to predict what effect such a merger would have on our relationship with the parties or on our financial condition and operations. The turboprop agreement terminates in March 2009. At the end of the term, the turboprop agreement automatically renews for successive one-year terms on the same terms and conditions unless Delta provides us six months prior written notice of its intention to not renew. In addition, Delta may terminate the turboprop agreement, without cause, at any time after nine months after the Effective Date upon not less than six months prior written notice.

The agreements may be subject to early termination under various circumstances including:

If either Delta or we file for bankruptcy, reorganization or similar action or if either Delta or we make an assignment for benefit of creditors;

If either Delta or we commit a material breach of the code-share agreement, subject to 30 days notice and cure rights; or

Upon the occurrence of an event of force majeure that continues for a period of 30 or more consecutive days.

In addition, Delta may immediately terminate the code-share agreement upon the occurrence of one or more of the following events:

If there is a change of control of Freedom or Mesa;

If there is a merger involving Freedom or Mesa;

If we fail to maintain a specified completion rate with respect to the flights we operate for Delta during a specified period; or

If our level of safety is not reasonably satisfactory to Delta.

Fleet Plans

CRJ Program

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 113 Canadair Regional Jets (60 CRJ-200/100, 15 CRJ-700 and 38 CRJ-900s).

In January 2004, we exercised options to purchase 20 CRJ-900 aircraft (seven of which can be converted to CRJ-700 aircraft). As of September 30, 2006, we have taken delivery of 13 CRJ-900 aircraft and two CRJ-700 aircraft. Subsequent to year end, we took delivery of a third CRJ-700 aircraft with two more CRJ-700 aircraft scheduled for delivery in March 2007. The delivery for the remaining two CRJ-900s (which can be converted to CRJ-700s) has not been finalized.

Table of Contents

ERJ Program

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 36 Embraer 145 aircraft. We acquired all 36 ERJ-145s through a June 1999 agreement with Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (Embraer). We also have options for 25 additional aircraft. In September 2006, our contract with Embraer was amended to extend the option exercise date to August 2007 for deliveries beginning in January 2009.

Beechcraft 1900D

As of September 30, 2006, we owned 34 Beechcraft 1900D aircraft and were operating 20 of these aircraft. We lease four of our Beechcraft 1900D to Gulfstream International Airlines, a regional turboprop air carrier based in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida and lease an additional ten Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to Big Sky Transportation Co., a regional turboprop carrier based in Billings, Montana (Big Sky).

Dash-8

As of September 30, 2006, we operated 22 Dash-8 aircraft. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we took delivery of an additional four Dash-8 aircraft and placed them into revenue service during the first fiscal quarter of 2007.

Marketing

Our flight schedules are structured to facilitate the connection of our passengers with the flights of our code-share partners at their hub airports and to maximize local and connecting service to other carriers.

Under the Delta, United and US Airways revenue-guarantee code-share agreements, market selection, pricing and yield management functions are performed by our respective partners. The market selection process for our Beechcraft 1900D turboprop operations, outside the Essential Air Service program flights, includes an in-depth analysis on a route-by-route basis and is followed by a review and approval process in a joint effort with US Airways regarding the level of service and fares. We believe that this selection process enhances the likelihood of profitability in a given market. For our *go!* operations in Hawaii, we make all decisions on market selection, pricing and yield management functions.

Under our code-share agreements, the code-share partner coordinates advertising and public relations within their respective systems. In addition, our traffic is impacted by the major airline partners' advertising programs in regions outside those served by us, with the major partners' customers becoming our customers as a result of through fares. Under pro-rate code-share arrangements, our passengers also benefit from through fare ticketing with the major airline partners and greater accessibility to our flights on computer reservation systems and in the Official Airline Guide.

Our pro-rate agreements and independent flights are promoted through, and our revenues are generally believed to benefit from newspaper and radio promotions and advertisements, promotions on our websites www.iflygo.com and www.mesa-air.com, listings in computer reservation systems, the Official Airline Guide and through direct contact with travel agencies and corporate travel departments. Our independent operations utilize SABRE, a computerized reservation system widely used by travel agents, corporate travel offices and other airlines. The reservation systems of our code-share partners are also utilized in each of our other operations through their respective code-share agreements. We also pay booking fees to owners of other computerized reservation systems based on the number of independent and pro-rate passengers booked by travel agents using such systems.

Competition

The airline industry is highly competitive and volatile. Airlines compete in the areas of pricing, scheduling (frequency and timing of flights), on-time performance, type of equipment, cabin configuration, amenities provided to passengers, frequent flyer plans, and the automation of travel agent reservation systems. Further, because of the Airline Deregulation Act, airlines are currently free to set prices and establish new routes without the necessity of seeking governmental approval. At the same time, deregulation has allowed airlines to abandon unprofitable routes where the affected communities may be left without air service.

Table of Contents

We believe that the Airline Deregulation Act facilitated our entry into scheduled air service markets and allows us to compete on the basis of service and fares, thus causing major carriers to seek out further contractual agreements with carriers like us as a way of expanding their respective networks. However, the Airline Deregulation Act makes the entry of other competitors possible, some of which may have substantial financial resources and experience, creating the potential for intense competition among regional air carriers in our markets.

Fuel

Historically, we have not experienced problems with the availability of fuel, and believe that we will be able to obtain fuel in quantities sufficient to meet our existing and anticipated future requirements at competitive prices. Standard industry contracts generally do not provide protection against fuel price increases, nor do they ensure availability of supply. However, our revenue-guarantee code-share agreements with Delta, United and US Airways (regional jet) allow fuel used in the performance of the agreements to be reimbursed by our code-share partner, thereby reducing our exposure to fuel price fluctuations. In fiscal 2006, approximately 96% of our fuel purchases was associated with our Delta, United and US Airways (regional jet) and Pre-Merger US Airways revenue-guarantee code-share agreements. A substantial increase in the price of jet fuel, to the extent our fuel costs are not reimbursed, or the lack of adequate fuel supplies in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Maintenance of Aircraft and Training

All mechanics and avionics specialists employed by us have the appropriate training and experience and hold the required licenses issued by the FAA. Using a combination of FAA-certified maintenance vendors and our own personnel and facilities, we maintain our aircraft on a scheduled and as-needed basis. We emphasize preventive maintenance and inspect our aircraft engines and airframes as required. We also maintain an inventory of spare parts specific to the aircraft types we fly. We provide periodic in-house and outside training for our maintenance and flight personnel and also take advantage of factory training programs that are offered when acquiring new aircraft.

Insurance

We carry types and amounts of insurance customary in the regional airline industry, including coverage for public liability, passenger liability, property damage, product liability, aircraft loss or damage, baggage and cargo liability and workers compensation.

As a result of the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, aviation insurers have significantly reduced the maximum amount of insurance coverage available to commercial air carriers for war-risk (terrorism) coverage, while at the same time, significantly increasing the premiums for this coverage as well as for aviation insurance in general. Given the significant increase in insurance costs, the federal government is currently providing insurance assistance under the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act. In addition, the federal government has issued war-risk coverage to U.S. air carriers that is generally renewable for 60-day periods. However, the availability of aviation insurance is not guaranteed and our inability to obtain such coverage at affordable rates may result in the grounding of our aircraft. Insurance costs are reimbursed under the terms of our revenue-guarantee code-share agreements.

Employees

As of September 30, 2006, we employed approximately 5,200 employees. Approximately 3,000 of our employees are represented by various labor organizations. Our continued success is partly dependent on our ability to continue to attract and retain qualified personnel. Historically, we have had no difficulty attracting qualified personnel to meet our requirements.

Relations between air carriers and labor unions in the United States are governed by the Railway Labor Act or RLA. Under the RLA, collective bargaining agreements generally contain amendable dates rather than expiration dates, and the RLA requires that a carrier maintain the existing terms and conditions of employment following the amendable date through a multi-stage and usually lengthy series of bargaining processes overseen by the National Mediation Board. Mesa Airline s and Freedom Airline s flight attendants are represented by the Association of

Table of Contents

Flight Attendants (AFA). Both contracts covering flight attendants became amendable in June 2006 and the Company is in the early stages of negotiations with its flight attendants. The pilots of Mesa Airlines, Freedom Airlines and Air Midwest are collectively represented under a single contract by the Air Line Pilot Association (ALPA). Our contract with ALPA becomes amendable in September 2007.

Although not currently observing high turnover, pilot turnover at times is a significant issue among regional carriers when major carriers are hiring experienced commercial pilots away from regional carriers. The addition of aircraft, especially new aircraft types, can result in pilots upgrading between aircraft types and becoming unavailable for duty during the extensive training periods required. No assurances can be made that pilot turnover and unavailability will not be a significant problem in the future, particularly if major carriers expand their operations. Similarly, there can be no assurance that sufficient numbers of new pilots will be available to support any future growth.

No other Mesa subsidiaries are parties to any other collective bargaining agreement or union contracts.

Essential Air Service Program

The Essential Air Service (EAS) program administered by the DOT guarantees a minimum level of air service in certain communities, predicated on predetermined guidelines set forth by Congress. Based on these guidelines, the DOT subsidizes air service to communities that might not otherwise have air service. At September 30, 2006, we provided service to 30 such cities for an annualized subsidy of approximately \$21 million. EAS rates are normally set for two-year contract periods for each city. There is no guarantee that we will continue to receive subsidies for the cities we serve. The DOT may request competitive proposals from other airlines at the end of the contract period for EAS service to a particular city. Proposals, when requested, are evaluated on, among other things, level of service provided, subsidy requested, fitness of the applicant and comments from the communities served. If the funding under this program is terminated for any of the cities served by us, in all likelihood we would not continue to fly in these markets, and as a result, we would be forced to find alternative uses for the Beechcraft 1900D 19-seat turboprop aircraft affected.

Investment Activities

In fiscal 2006, we participated with a private equity fund in making an investment in the common stock and notes of a closely held airline related business (the Investee). Through our subsidiary Nilchii, we invested \$15 million, which represents approximately 20% and 11.8% of the Investee s common stock and notes, respectively.

Regulation

As an interstate air carrier, we are subject to the economic jurisdiction, regulation and continuing air carrier fitness requirements of the DOT. Such requirements include minimum levels of financial, managerial and regulatory fitness. The DOT is authorized to establish consumer protection regulations to prevent unfair methods of competition and deceptive practices, to prohibit certain pricing practices, to inspect a carrier s books, properties and records, and to mandate conditions of carriage. The DOT also has the power to bring proceedings for the enforcement of air carrier economic regulations, including the assessment of civil penalties, and to seek criminal sanctions.

We are subject to the jurisdiction of the FAA with respect to our aircraft maintenance and operations, including equipment, ground facilities, dispatch, communication, training, weather observation, flight personnel and other matters affecting air safety. To ensure compliance with its regulations, the FAA requires airlines to obtain an operating certificate, which is subject to suspension or revocation for cause, and provides for regular inspections.

We are subject to various federal and local laws and regulations pertaining to other issues of environmental protocol. We believe we are in compliance with all governmental laws and regulations regarding environmental protection.

We are also subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission with respect to the use of our radio facilities and the United States Postal Service with respect to carriage of United States mail.

Table of Contents

Local governments in certain markets have adopted regulations governing various aspects of aircraft operations, including noise abatement and curfews.

Available Information

We maintain a website where additional information concerning our business can be found. The address of that website is www.mesa-air.com. *We make available free of charge on our website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the SEC.*

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors, in addition to the information discussed elsewhere herein, should be carefully considered in evaluating us and our business:

Risks Related to Our Business

We are dependent on our agreements with our code-share partners.

We depend on relationships created by our code-share agreements. We derive a significant portion of our consolidated passenger revenues from our revenue-guarantee code-share agreements with Delta Air Lines, United Airlines and US Airways. Our code-share partners have certain rights to cancel the applicable code-share agreement upon the occurrence of certain events or the giving of appropriate notice, subject to certain conditions. No assurance can be given that one or more of our code-share partners will not serve notice at a later date of their intention to cancel our code-sharing agreement, forcing us to stop selling those routes with the applicable partner's code and potentially reducing our traffic and revenue.

Our code-share agreement with US Airways allows US Airways, subject to certain restrictions, to reduce the combined CRJ fleets utilized under the code-share agreement by one aircraft in any six-month period commencing in June 2006 (except during the calendar year 2007 in which 2 CRJ-200 can be eliminated in each six-month period). In addition, beginning in February 2007, US Airways may eliminate the Dash-8 aircraft upon 180 days prior written notice. US Airways has notified the Company of its intent to reduce the maximum number of CRJs in 2006 and 2007. US Airways has used this provision to reduce the number of aircraft covered by the code-share agreement and we anticipate they will continue to further reduce the number of covered aircraft in accordance with the agreement.

In addition, because a majority of our operating revenues are currently generated under revenue-guarantee code-share agreements, if any one of them is terminated, our operating revenues and net income could be materially adversely affected unless we are able to enter into satisfactory substitute arrangements or, alternatively, fly under our own flight designator code, including obtaining the airport facilities and gates necessary to do so. For the year ended September 30, 2006, our US Airways revenue-guarantee code-share agreement accounted for 51% of our consolidated passenger revenues, our Delta code-share agreement accounted for 9% of our consolidated passenger revenue and our United code-share agreement accounted for 36% of our consolidated passenger revenues.

If our code-share partners or other regional carriers experience events that negatively impact their financial strength or operations, our operations also may be negatively impacted.

We are directly affected by the financial and operating strength of our code-share partners. Any events that negatively impact the financial strength of our code-share partners or have a long-term effect on the use of our code-share partners by airline travelers would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results

of operations. In the event of a decrease in the financial or operational strength of any of our code-share partners, such partner may seek to reduce, or be unable to make, the payments due to us under their code-share agreement. In addition, they may reduce utilization of our aircraft. Although there are certain monthly guaranteed payment amounts, there are no minimum levels of utilization specified in the code-share agreements. Delta has not yet assumed our code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceeding and could choose to terminate this agreement or seek to renegotiate the agreement on terms less favorable to us. If any of our other current or future code-share

Table of Contents

partners become bankrupt, our code-share agreement with such partner may not be assumed in bankruptcy and would be terminated. This and other such events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, any negative events that occur to other regional carriers and that affect public perception of such carriers generally could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our code-share partners may expand their direct operation of regional jets thus limiting the expansion of our relationships with them.

We depend on major airlines like Delta, United Airlines and US Airways electing to contract with us instead of purchasing and operating their own regional jets. However, these major airlines possess the resources to acquire and operate their own regional jets instead of entering into contracts with us or other regional carriers. We have no guarantee that in the future our code-share partners will choose to enter into contracts with us instead of purchasing their own regional jets or entering into relationships with competing regional airlines. A decision by Delta, United Airlines, or US Airways to phase out our contract-based code-share relationships or to enter into similar agreements with competitors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition to Mesa, our partners have similar code-share agreements with other competing regional airlines. According to news reports, US Airways, one of our code-share partners, has proposed to merge with Delta, another of our code-share partners. According to these same reports, Delta has rejected this proposal. We are unable, at this time, to predict what effect such a merger would have on our relationship with the parties or on our financial condition and operations.

If we experience a lack of labor availability or strikes, it could result in a decrease of revenues due to the cancellation of flights.

The operation of our business is significantly dependent on the availability of qualified employees, including, specifically, flight crews, mechanics and avionics specialists. Historically, regional airlines have periodically experienced high pilot turnover as a result of air carriers operating larger aircraft hiring their commercial pilots. Further, the addition of aircraft, especially new aircraft types, can result in pilots upgrading between aircraft types and becoming unavailable for duty during the required extensive training periods. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain an adequate supply of qualified personnel or that labor expenses will not increase.

At September 30, 2006, we had approximately 5,200 employees, approximately 3,000 of whom are members of labor unions, including ALPA and the AFA. Our collective bargaining agreement with ALPA becomes amendable in September 2007 and our collective bargaining agreement with the AFA became amendable in June 2006 and the Company is in the early stages of negotiations with its flight attendants. The inability to negotiate acceptable contracts with existing unions as agreements expire or with new unions could result in work stoppages by the affected workers, lost revenues resulting from the cancellation of flights and increased operating costs as a result of higher wages or benefits paid to union members. We cannot predict which, if any, other employee groups may seek union representation or the outcome or the terms of any future collective bargaining agreement and therefore the effect, if any, on our business financial condition and results of operations. If negotiations with unions over collective bargaining agreements prove to be unsuccessful, following specified cooling off periods, the unions may initiate a work action, including a strike, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Increases in our labor costs, which constitute a substantial portion of our total operating costs, will cause our earnings to decrease.

Labor costs constitute a significant percentage of our total operating costs. Under our code-share agreements, our reimbursement rates contemplate labor costs that increase on a set schedule generally tied to an increase in the consumer price index or the actual increase in the contract. We are responsible for our labor costs, and we may not be entitled to receive increased payments under our code-share agreements if our labor costs increase above the assumed costs included in the reimbursement rates. As a result, a significant increase in our labor costs above the levels assumed in our reimbursement rates could result in a material reduction in our earnings.

Table of Contents

If new airline regulations are passed or are imposed upon our operations, we may incur increased operating costs and experience a decrease in earnings.

Laws and regulations, such as those described below, have been proposed from time to time that could significantly increase the cost of our operations by imposing additional requirements or restrictions on our operations. We cannot predict what laws and regulations will be adopted or what changes to air transportation agreements will be effected, if any, or how they will affect us, and there can be no assurance that laws or regulations currently proposed or enacted in the future will not increase our operating expenses and therefore adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

As an interstate air carrier, we are subject to the economic jurisdiction, regulation and continuing air carrier fitness requirements of the DOT, which include required levels of financial, managerial and regulatory fitness. The DOT is authorized to establish consumer protection regulations to prevent unfair methods of competition and deceptive practices, to prohibit certain pricing practices, to inspect a carrier's books, properties and records, to mandate conditions of carriage and to suspend an air carrier's fitness to operate. The DOT also has the power to bring proceedings for the enforcement of air carrier economic regulations, including the assessment of civil penalties, and to seek criminal sanctions.

We are also subject to the jurisdiction of the FAA with respect to our aircraft maintenance and operations, including equipment, ground facilities, dispatch, communication, training, weather observation, flight personnel and other matters affecting air safety. To ensure compliance with its regulations, the FAA requires airlines to obtain an operating certificate, which is subject to suspension or revocation for cause, and provides for regular inspections.

We incur substantial costs in maintaining our current certifications and otherwise complying with the laws, rules and regulations to which we are subject. We cannot predict whether we will be able to comply with all present and future laws, rules, regulations and certification requirements or that the cost of continued compliance will not significantly increase our costs of doing business.

The FAA has the authority to issue mandatory orders relating to, among other things, the grounding of aircraft, inspection of aircraft, installation of new safety-related items and removal and replacement of aircraft parts that have failed or may fail in the future. A decision by the FAA to ground, or require time-consuming inspections of, or maintenance on, all or any of our turboprops or regional jets, for any reason, could negatively impact our results of operations.

In addition to state and federal regulation, airports and municipalities enact rules and regulations that affect our operations. From time to time, various airports throughout the country have considered limiting the use of smaller aircraft, such as Embraer or Canadair regional jets, at such airports. The imposition of any limits on the use of our regional jets at any airport at which we operate could interfere with our obligations under our code-share agreements and severely interrupt our business operations.

If additional security and safety measures regulations are adopted, we may incur increased operating costs and experience a decrease in earnings.

Congress has adopted increased safety and security measures designed to increase airline passenger security and protect against terrorist acts. Such measures have resulted in additional operating costs to the airline industry. The Aviation Safety Commission's report recommends the adoption of further measures aimed at improving the safety and security of air travel. We cannot forecast what additional security and safety requirements may be imposed on our operations in the future or the costs or revenue impact that would be associated with complying with such requirements, although such costs and revenue impact could be significant. To the extent that the costs of complying

with any additional safety and security measures are not reimbursed by our code-share partners, our operating results and net income could be adversely affected.

If our operating costs increase as our aircraft fleet ages and we are unable to pass along such costs, our earnings will decrease.

As our fleet of aircraft age, the cost of maintaining such aircraft, if not replaced, will likely increase. There can be no assurance that costs of maintenance, including costs to comply with aging aircraft requirements, will not

Table of Contents

materially increase in the future. Any material increase in such costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Because many aircraft components are required to be replaced after specified numbers of flight hours or take-off and landing cycles, and because new aviation technology may be required to be retrofitted, the cost to maintain aging aircraft will generally exceed the cost to maintain newer aircraft. We believe that the cost to maintain our aircraft in the long-term will be consistent with industry experience for these aircraft types and ages used by comparable airlines.

We believe that our aircraft are mechanically reliable based on the percentage of scheduled flights completed and as of September 30, 2006 the average age of our regional jet fleet is 4.0 years. However, there can be no assurance that such aircraft will continue to be sufficiently reliable over longer periods of time. Furthermore, any public perception that our aircraft are less than completely reliable could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our fleet expansion program has required a significant increase in our leverage.

The airline business is very capital intensive and we are highly leveraged. For the year ended September 30, 2006, our debt service payments, including principal and interest, totaled \$73.3 million and our aircraft lease payments totaled \$246.8 million. We have significant lease obligations with respect to our aircraft and ground facilities, which aggregated approximately \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2006. As of September 30, 2006, our growth strategy involves the acquisition of three more Bombardier regional jets during fiscal 2007. As of September 30, 2006, we had permanently financed all but five CRJ-700 and CRJ-900 aircraft delivered under the 2001 BRAD agreement. We may utilize interim financing provided by the manufacturer and have the ability to fund up to 15 aircraft at any one time under this facility. There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain permanent financing for future aircraft deliveries.

There can be no assurance that our operations will generate sufficient cash flow to make such payments or that we will be able to obtain financing to acquire the additional aircraft necessary for our expansion. If we default under our loan or lease agreements, the lender/lessor has available extensive remedies, including, without limitation, repossession of the respective aircraft and, in the case of large creditors, the effective ability to exert control over how we allocate a significant portion of our revenues. Even if we are able to timely service our debt, the size of our long-term debt and lease obligations could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock in many ways, including:

increasing the cost, or limiting the availability of, additional financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes;

limiting the ways in which we can use our cash flow, much of which may have to be used to satisfy debt and lease obligations; and

adversely affecting our ability to respond to changing business or economic conditions or continue our growth strategy.

Reduced utilization levels of our aircraft under the revenue-guarantee agreements would adversely impact our revenues and earnings.

Even though our revenue-guarantee agreements require a fixed amount per month to compensate us for our fixed costs, if our aircraft are underutilized (including taking into account the stage length and frequency of our scheduled flights) we will lose the opportunity to receive a margin on the variable costs of flights that would have been flown if our aircraft were more fully utilized.

If we incur problems with any of our third-party service providers, our operations could be adversely affected by a resulting decline in revenue or negative public perception about our services.

Our reliance upon others to provide essential services on behalf of our operations may result in the relative inability to control the efficiency and timeliness of contract services. We have entered into agreements with contractors to provide various facilities and services required for our operations, including aircraft maintenance, ground facilities, baggage handling and personnel training. It is likely that similar agreements will be entered into in

Table of Contents

any new markets we decide to serve. All of these agreements are subject to termination after notice. Any material problems with the efficiency and timeliness of contract services could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are at risk of loss and adverse publicity stemming from any accident involving any of our aircraft.

If one of our aircraft were to crash or be involved in an accident, we could be exposed to significant tort liability.

There can be no assurance that the insurance we carry to cover damages arising from any future accidents will be adequate. Accidents could also result in unforeseen mechanical and maintenance costs. In addition, any accident involving an aircraft that we operate could create a public perception that our aircraft are not safe, which could result in air travelers being reluctant to fly on our aircraft. To the extent a decrease in air travelers is associated with our operations not covered by our code-share agreements, such a decrease could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If we become involved in any material litigation or any existing litigation is concluded in a manner adverse to us, our earnings may decline.

We are, from time to time, subject to various legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted. Any such claims, whether with or without merit, could be time-consuming and expensive to defend and could divert management's attention and resources. There can be no assurance regarding the outcome of current or future litigation.

In February 2006, Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian) filed a complaint against the Company in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Hawaii (the Bankruptcy Court) alleging that the Company breached the terms of a Confidentiality Agreement entered into in April 2004 with the Trustee in Hawaiian's bankruptcy proceedings. Hawaiian's complaint alleges, among other things, that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement by (a) using the evaluation material to obtain a competitive advantage over Hawaiian, through the development and implementation of a business plan to compete with Hawaiian in the inter-island market, and (b) failing to return or destroy any evaluation materials after being notified by Hawaiian on or about May 12, 2004 that the Company had not been selected as a potential investor for a transaction with Hawaiian. Hawaiian, in its complaint, seeks unspecified damages, requests that the Company turn over to Hawaiian any evaluation material in the Company's possession, custody or control (the Turnover Claim), and an injunction preventing the Company from providing inter-island transportation services in the State of Hawaii for a period of two years from the date of such injunctive relief.

The Company vigorously denies Hawaiian's allegations and requests for relief contained in its complaint. The Company filed both an answer and an antitrust counterclaim against Hawaiian in response to its complaint. In May 2006, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Turnover Claim contained in Hawaiian's complaint, but the Bankruptcy Court denied that motion. On December 8, 2006 the Bankruptcy Court, based on constitutional access to the courts, also granted Hawaiian's motion for summary judgment against the Company on its antitrust counterclaim. The Company does not believe that either of these decisions has a material impact on the Company's position in the lawsuit. Finally, in October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court denied Hawaiian's effort to enjoin the Company's *go!* operation from selling tickets claiming that *go!*'s entry into the inter-island air transport business was based on trade secrets furnished to Mesa during the Hawaiian bankruptcy. The Court found no such misuse of confidential information and rejected Hawaiian's motion for a preliminary injunction.

In June 2006, Hawaiian requested a preliminary injunction to prevent the Company from issuing new airline tickets for the Hawaiian inter-island market for a period of one year. In this request, Hawaiian alleges that initial discovery conducted reveals that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement. The Court has recently denied Hawaiian's request for a preliminary injunction. The case will be tried sometime in 2007.

On October 13, 2006, Aloha Airlines filed suit against Mesa Air Group and two of its Hawaii based employees, Charles Lauritsen, *go!*'s Chief Operating Officer and Joe Bock, *go!*'s Chief Marketing Officer. The complaint was filed in state court in Hawaii and contains 11 counts and seeks damages and injunctive relief. The clear purpose of

Table of Contents

the complaint is to blunt Mesa's entry into the Hawaii inter-island market segment. Aloha alleges that Mesa's inter-island air fares are below cost and that Mesa is, therefore, violating specific provisions of Hawaii antitrust and unfair competition law. Aloha also alleges breach of contract and fraud by Mesa in connection with two confidentiality agreements, one in 2005 and the other in 2006.

In 1992, The Supreme Court of the United States decided *Morales v. TWA*, in which it construed the Airline Deregulation Act as prohibiting any state court, under any state law legal theory, from adjudicating issues which implicated an air carrier's pricing (or other service) practices. Accordingly, an airline's pricing decisions can be attacked only under federal laws. In response to the complaint, Mesa filed a motion on December 8 seeking dismissal of all claims which rest on Mesa's alleged below-cost pricing.

Mesa also denies any improper use of the data furnished by Aloha while Mesa was considering a bid for Aloha during its bankruptcy. The case is in its incipient stages and no trial date has yet been set.

Our business would be harmed if we lose the services of our key personnel.

Our success depends to a large extent on the continued service of our executive management team. We have employment agreements with certain executive officers, but it is possible that members of executive management may leave us. Departures by our executive officers could have a negative impact on our business, as we may not be able to find suitable management personnel to replace departing executives on a timely basis. We do not maintain key-man life insurance on any of our executive officers.

We may experience difficulty finding, training and retaining employees.

Our business is labor intensive, we require large numbers of pilots, flight attendants, maintenance technicians and other personnel. The airline industry has from time to time experienced a shortage of qualified personnel, specifically pilots and maintenance technicians. In addition, as is common with most of our competitors, we have faced considerable turnover of our employees. Although our employee turnover has decreased significantly since September 11, 2001, our pilots, flight attendants and maintenance technicians often leave to work for larger airlines, which generally offer higher salaries and better benefit programs than regional airlines are financially able to offer. Should the turnover of employees, particularly pilots and maintenance technicians, sharply increase, the result will be significantly higher training costs than otherwise would be necessary. We cannot assure you that we will be able to recruit, train and retain the qualified employees that we need to carry out our expansion plans or replace departing employees. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified employees at a reasonable cost, we may be unable to complete our expansion plans, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock.

We may be unable to profitably operate our Hawaiian airline, which could negatively impact our business and operations.

In June 2006, we launched our independent inter-island Hawaiian airline operation named *go!* Providing service in Hawaii will require ongoing investment of working capital by Mesa and management attention and focus.

Further, in light of the costs and risks associated with operating an independent low fare regional jet airline, we may be unable to operate the Hawaiian airline profitably, which would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, our results under our revenue-guarantee contracts offer no meaningful guidance with respect to our future performance in running an independent airline because we have not previously operated as an independent regional jet

carrier in Hawaii. We are operating under a new brand that will initially have limited market recognition. Future performance will depend on a number of factors, including our ability to:

establish a brand that is attractive to our target customers;

maintain adequate controls over our expenses;

monitor and manage operational and financial risks;

Table of Contents

- secure favorable terms with airports, suppliers and other contractors;
- maintain the safety and security of our operations;
- attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel; and
- react to responses from competitors who are more established in the Hawaiian markets.

Risks Related to Our Industry

If competition in the airline industry increases, we may experience a decline in revenue.

Increased competition in the airline industry as well as competitive pressure on our code-share partners or in our markets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation. The airline industry is highly competitive. The earnings of many of the airlines have historically been volatile. The airline industry is susceptible to price discounting, which involves the offering of discount or promotional fares to passengers. Any such fares offered by one airline are normally matched by competing airlines, which may result in lower revenue per passenger, i.e., lower yields, without a corresponding increase in traffic levels. Also, in recent years several new carriers have entered the industry, typically with low cost structures. In some cases, new entrants have initiated or triggered price discounting. The entry of additional new major or regional carriers in any of our markets, as well as increased competition from or the introduction of new services by established carriers, could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Our reliance on our code-share agreements with our major airline partners for the majority of our revenue means that we must rely on the ability of our code-share partners to adequately promote their respective services and to maintain their respective market share. Competitive pressures by low-fare carriers and price discounting among major airlines could have a material adverse effect on our code-share partners and therefore adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The results of operations in the air travel business historically fluctuate in response to general economic conditions. The airline industry is sensitive to changes in economic conditions that affect business and leisure travel and is highly susceptible to unforeseen events, such as political instability, regional hostilities, economic recession, fuel price increases, inflation, adverse weather conditions or other adverse occurrences that result in a decline in air travel. Any event that results in decreased travel or increased competition among airlines could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to traditional competition among airlines, the industry faces competition from ground and sea transportation alternatives. Video conferencing and other methods of electronic communication may add a new dimension of competition to the industry as business travelers seek lower-cost substitutes for air travel.

The airline industry is heavily regulated.

Airlines are subject to extensive regulatory and legal compliance requirements, both domestically and internationally, that involve significant costs. In the last several years, the FAA has issued a number of directives and other regulations relating to the maintenance and operation of aircraft that have required us to make significant expenditures. FAA requirements cover, among other things, retirement of older aircraft, security measures, collision avoidance systems, airborne wind shear avoidance systems, noise abatement, commuter aircraft safety and increased inspection and maintenance procedures to be conducted on older aircraft.

We incur substantial costs in maintaining our current certifications and otherwise complying with the laws, rules and regulations to which we are subject. We cannot predict whether we will be able to comply with all present and future laws, rules, regulations and certification requirements or that the cost of continued compliance will not significantly increase our costs of doing business, to the extent such costs are not reimbursed by our code-share partners.

The FAA has the authority to issue mandatory orders relating to, among other things, the grounding of aircraft, inspection of aircraft, installation of new safety-related items and removal and replacement of aircraft parts that

Table of Contents

have failed or may fail in the future. A decision by the FAA to ground, or require time consuming inspections of or maintenance on, all or any of our aircraft, for any reason, could negatively impact our results of operations.

In addition to state and federal regulation, airports and municipalities enact rules and regulations that affect our operations. From time to time, various airports throughout the country have considered limiting the use of smaller aircraft at such airports. The imposition of any limits on the use of our aircraft at any airport at which we operate could interfere with our obligations under our code-share agreements and severely interrupt our business operations.

Additional laws, regulations, taxes and airport rates and charges have been proposed from time to time that could significantly increase the cost of airline operations or reduce revenues. If adopted, these measures could have had the effect of raising ticket prices, reducing revenue and increasing costs. In addition, as a result of the terrorist attacks in New York and Washington, D.C. in September 2001, the FAA has imposed more stringent security procedures on airlines and imposed security taxes on each ticket sold. We cannot predict what other new regulations may be imposed on airlines and we cannot assure you that laws or regulations enacted in the future will not materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock.

The airline industry has been subject to a number of strikes which could affect our business.

The airline industry has been negatively impacted by a number of labor strikes. Any new collective bargaining agreement entered into by other regional carriers may result in higher industry wages and add increased pressure on us to increase the wages and benefits of our employees. Furthermore, since each of our code-share partners is a significant source of revenue, any labor disruption or labor strike by the employees of any one of our code-share partners could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and the price of our common stock.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Provisions in our charter documents might deter acquisition bids for us.

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that, among other things:

authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock ranking senior to our common stock without any action on the part of the shareholders;

establish advance notice procedures for shareholder proposals, including nominations of directors, to be considered at shareholders meetings;

authorize a majority of our board of directors, in certain circumstances, to fill vacancies on the board resulting from an increase in the authorized number of directors or from vacancies;

restrict the ability of shareholders to modify the number of authorized directors; and

restrict the ability of stockholders to call special meetings of shareholders.

In addition, Section 78.438 of the Nevada general corporation law prohibits us from entering into some business combinations with interested stockholders without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders.

Our stock price may continue to be volatile and could decline substantially.

The stock market has, from time to time, experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. Many factors may cause the market price for our common stock to decline following this Form 10-K, including:

our operating results failing to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors in any quarter;

downward revisions in securities analysts' estimates;

material announcements by us or our competitors;

public sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock following this Form 10-K;

Table of Contents

governmental regulatory action; or

adverse changes in general market conditions or economic trends.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our primary property consists of the aircraft used in the operation of our flights. The following table lists the aircraft owned and leased by the Company as of September 30, 2006.

Type of Aircraft	Number of Aircraft				Operating on Sept. 30, 2006	Passenger Capacity
	Owned	Interim Financing	Leased	Total		
CRJ-200/100 Regional Jet	2		58	60	60	50
CRJ-700 Regional Jet	5	2	10	17	15	66
CRJ-900 Regional Jet	11	3	24	38	38	86
Embraer 145 Regional Jet			36	36	36	50
Beechcraft 1900D	34			34	20	19
Dash-8			28	28	22	37
Embraer EMB-120			2	2		30
Total	52	5	158	215	191	

See Business Airline Operations and MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Liquidity and Capital Resources for a discussion regarding the Company's aircraft fleet commitments.

In addition to aircraft, we have office and maintenance facilities to support our operations. Our facilities are summarized in the following table:

Type	Location	Ownership	Approximate Square Feet
Headquarters	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	36,000
Training/Administration	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	27,000
Hangar/Office	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	22,000
Engine Shop & Commissary	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	25,000
RAS Office/Component Overhaul Facility	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	19,000
Customer Service Training/Storage	Phoenix, AZ	Leased	10,000

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Office (East Coast)	Charlotte, NC	Leased	5,500
Hangar	Charlotte, NC	Leased	30,000
Hangar	Columbia, SC	(1)	20,000
Hangar	Columbia, SC	(1)	35,350
Hangar	Grand Junction, CO	(1)	25,000
Hangar/Office	Wichita, KS	(1)	20,000
Training/Administration	Farmington, NM	(1)	10,000
Hangar	Farmington, NM	(1)	24,000
Hangar/Office	Dubois, PA	(1)	23,000
Hangar	Orlando, FL	Leased	18,693
Office	Honolulu, HI	Leased	7,793

(1) Building is owned, underlying land is leased.

Table of Contents

We lease ticket counters, check-in and boarding and other facilities in the passenger terminal areas in the majority of the airports we serve and staff those facilities with our personnel. Delta, United and US Airways also provide facilities, ticket handling and ground support services for us at certain airports.

Our corporate headquarters and training/administrative facilities in Phoenix, Arizona are subject to long-term leases expiring on August 31, 2012 and November 1, 2012, respectively.

We believe our facilities are suitable and adequate for our current and anticipated needs.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

In February 2006, Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian) filed a complaint against the Company in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Hawaii (the Bankruptcy Court) alleging that the Company breached the terms of a Confidentiality Agreement entered into in April 2004 with the Trustee in Hawaiian's bankruptcy proceedings. Hawaiian's complaint alleges, among other things, that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement by (a) using the evaluation material to obtain a competitive advantage over Hawaiian, through the development and implementation of a business plan to compete with Hawaiian in the inter-island market, and (b) failing to return or destroy any evaluation materials after being notified by Hawaiian on or about May 12, 2004 that the Company had not been selected as a potential investor for a transaction with Hawaiian. Hawaiian, in its complaint, seeks unspecified damages, requests that the Company turn over to Hawaiian any evaluation material in the Company's possession, custody or control (the Turnover Claim), and an injunction preventing the Company from providing inter-island transportation services in the State of Hawaii for a period of two years from the date of such injunctive relief.

The Company vigorously denies Hawaiian's allegations and requests for relief contained in its complaint. The Company filed both an answer and an antitrust counterclaim against Hawaiian in response to its complaint. In May 2006, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Turnover Claim contained in Hawaiian's complaint, but the Bankruptcy Court denied that motion. On December 8, 2006 the Bankruptcy Court, based on constitutional access to the courts, also granted Hawaiian's motion for summary judgment against the Company on its antitrust counterclaim. The Company does not believe that either of these decisions has a material impact on the Company's position in the lawsuit. Finally, in October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court denied Hawaiian's effort to enjoin the Company's *go!* operation from selling tickets claiming that *go!*'s entry into the inter-island air transport business was based on trade secrets furnished to Mesa during the Hawaiian bankruptcy. The Court found no such misuse of confidential information and rejected Hawaiian's motion for a preliminary injunction.

In June 2006, Hawaiian requested a preliminary injunction to prevent the Company from issuing new airline tickets for the Hawaiian inter-island market for a period of one year. In this request, Hawaiian alleges that initial discovery conducted reveals that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement. The Court has recently denied Hawaiian's request for a preliminary injunction. The case will be tried sometime in 2007.

On October 13, 2006, Aloha Airlines filed suit against Mesa Air Group and two of its Hawaii based employees, Charles Lauritsen, *go!*'s Chief Operating Officer and Joe Bock, *go!*'s Chief Marketing Officer. The complaint was filed in state court in Hawaii and contains 11 counts and seeks damages and injunctive relief. The clear purpose of the complaint is to blunt Mesa's entry into the Hawaii inter-island market segment. Aloha alleges that Mesa's inter-island air fares are below cost and that Mesa is, therefore, violating specific provisions of Hawaii antitrust and unfair competition law. Aloha also alleges breach of contract and fraud by Mesa in connection with two confidentiality agreements, one in 2005 and the other in 2006.

In 1992, The Supreme Court of the United States decided *Morales v. TWA*, in which it construed the Airline Deregulation Act as prohibiting any state court, under any state law legal theory, from adjudicating issues which

implicated an air carrier's pricing (or other service) practices. Accordingly, an airline's pricing decisions can be attacked only under federal laws. In response to the complaint, Mesa filed a motion on December 8 seeking dismissal of all claims which rest on Mesa's alleged below-cost pricing.

Mesa also denies any improper use of the data furnished by Aloha while Mesa was considering a bid for Aloha during its bankruptcy. The case is in its incipient stages and no trial date has yet been set.

Table of Contents

We are involved in various legal proceedings and FAA civil action proceedings that the Company does not believe will have a material adverse effect upon the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations, although no assurance can be given to the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

None.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth the names and ages of the executive officers of the Company and certain additional information:

Name	Age	Position
Jonathan G. Ornstein	49	Chief Executive Officer
Michael J. Lotz	46	President and Chief Operating Officer
George Murnane III	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Michael Ferwerda	62	Senior Vice President Operations
Brian S. Gillman	37	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
David K. Butler	51	Senior Vice President, Administration & Human Resources

Jonathan G. Ornstein was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Mesa Air Group, Inc. effective May 1, 1998. Mr. Ornstein relinquished his position as President of the Company in June 2000. From April 1996 to his joining the Company as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Ornstein served as President and Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Virgin Express S.A./N.V., a European airline. From 1995 to April 1996, Mr. Ornstein served as Chief Executive Officer of Virgin Express Holdings, Inc. Mr. Ornstein joined Continental Express Airlines, Inc., as President and Chief Executive Officer in July 1994 and, in November 1994, was named Senior Vice President, Airport Services at Continental Airlines, Inc. Mr. Ornstein was previously employed by the Company from 1988 to 1994, as Executive Vice President and as President of the Company's WestAir Holding, Inc. subsidiary.

Michael J. Lotz, President and Chief Operating Officer, joined the Company in July 1998. In January 1999, Mr. Lotz became Chief Operating Officer. In August 1999, Mr. Lotz became the Company's Chief Financial Officer and in January 2000 returned to the position of Chief Operating Officer. On June 22, 2000, Mr. Lotz was appointed President of the Company. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Lotz served as Chief Operating Officer of Virgin Express, S.A./N.V., a position he held from October 1996 to June 1998. Previously, Mr. Lotz was employed by Continental Airlines, Inc., most recently as Vice President of Airport Operations, Properties and Facilities at Continental Express.

George Murnane III, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, was appointed Executive Vice President of the Company effective December 2001 and Chief Financial Officer in January 2003. Mr. Murnane served as a director of the Company from June 1999 until October 2003. From 1996 to December 2001, Mr. Murnane was a Director and Executive Vice President of International Airline Support Group, Inc., a re-distributor of aftermarket commercial aircraft spare parts and lessor and trader of commercial aircraft and engines, most recently as its Chief Operating Officer. From 1995 to 1996, Mr. Murnane served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Atlas Air, Inc., an air cargo company. From 1986 to 1996, he was an investment banker with the New York investment banking firm of Merrill Lynch & Co., most recently as a Director in the firm's Transportation Group.

Michael Ferwerda, Senior Vice President Operations, joined the Company in 1990. He was appointed President of Freedom Airlines in May 2002 and Senior Vice President Operations in February 2003. Prior to the appointments, Mr. Ferwerda served as the Senior Vice President of Operations for Mesa Airlines, Inc. Mr. Ferwerda has served the Company in various capacities including pilot, Flight Instructor/Check Airman, Assistant Chief Pilot, FAA Designated Examiner, FAA Director of Operations and Divisional Vice President. Mr. Ferwerda was a pilot

Table of Contents

with Eastern Airlines from 1973 to 1989. Prior to joining Eastern Airlines, Mr. Ferwerda served as an Aviator in the United States Navy. Mr. Ferwerda is a graduate of Indiana University.

Brian S. Gillman, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, joined the Company in February 2001. From July 1996 to February 2001, he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Vanguard Airlines, Inc. in Kansas City, Missouri. From September 1994 to July 1996, Mr. Gillman was a corporate associate in the law firm of Stinson, Mag & Fizzell, P.C., Kansas City, Missouri. Mr. Gillman received his Juris Doctorate and B.B.A. in Accounting from the University of Iowa in 1994 and 1991, respectively.

David K. Butler, Senior Vice President, Administration & Human Resources, joined the Company in November 2006. From August 2003 to November 2006, he served as Vice President for Human Resources of Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona. From May 1999 to August 2003, he served as Vice President for Human Resources for the Durham and Manchester campuses of the University of New Hampshire. Mr. Butler received his Master of Arts in Organizational Management from the University of Phoenix in 1998 and he received his Bachelor of Arts in Human Services from California State University in 1980.

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Price of Common Stock**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low price per share of Mesa common stock for the two most recent fiscal years, as reported by NASDAQ. Mesa's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol MESA.

Quarter	Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005	
	High	Low	High	Low
First	\$ 11.98	\$ 8.45	\$ 8.03	\$ 5.21
Second	12.70	10.47	7.93	6.29
Third	11.14	8.69	7.00	5.25
Fourth	10.18	7.36	8.66	6.76

On December 1, 2006, we had 1,024 shareholders of record. We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. The payment of future dividends is within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our future earnings, if any, our capital requirements, bank financing, financial condition and other relevant factors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On February 7, 2002, in connection with an agreement entered into with Raytheon Aircraft Company (Raytheon), we granted Raytheon a warrant to purchase up to 233,068 shares of our common stock at a per share exercise price of \$10. Raytheon must pay a purchase price of \$1.50 per share underlying the warrant. The warrant is exercisable at any time over a three-year period following its date of issuance. Absent a default by us under the agreement with Raytheon in which case vesting is accelerated, the shares underlying the warrant vested (and are therefore purchasable by Raytheon) according to the following schedule: 13,401 shares in fiscal year 2001; 116,534 shares in fiscal year 2002; 58,267 shares in fiscal year 2003 and 44,866 shares in fiscal year 2004. As of December 1, 2004, Raytheon has

exercised its option to purchase all of the components of the warrant. The sale of the warrant and the shares underlying the warrant were made pursuant to an exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In October 2006, a registration statement filed by the Company covering the resale of the shares underlying the warrant was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In June 2003, we completed the private placement of senior convertible notes due 2023, raising approximately \$96.9 million net proceeds. The principal underwriter was Merrill Lynch & Co. and the purchasers were qualified

Table of Contents

institutional buyers. At maturity, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000 and the aggregate amount due will be \$252 million. These notes are convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 39.727 shares per \$1,000 in principal amount at maturity of the notes, which equals a conversion price of \$10.00 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of these notes may convert their notes only if: (i) the sale price of our common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) prior to June 16, 2018, the trading price for these notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) these notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. These notes became convertible September 30, 2003. We may redeem these notes, in whole or in part, beginning on June 16, 2008, at a redemption price equal to the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of these notes may require us to repurchase the notes on June 16, 2008 at a price of \$397.27 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on June 16, 2013 at a price of \$540.41 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on June 16, 2018 at a price of \$735.13 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

In February 2004, we completed the private placement of senior convertible notes due 2024, raising approximately \$97.0 million net proceeds. The principal underwriter was Merrill Lynch & Co. and the purchasers were qualified institutional buyers. At maturity, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000 and the aggregate amount due will be \$171.4 million. These notes are convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 40.3737 shares per \$1,000 in principal amount at maturity of the notes, which equals a conversion price of \$14.45 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of these notes may convert their notes only if: (i) the sale price of our common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) prior to February 10, 2019, the trading price for these notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) these notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. These notes are not yet convertible. We may redeem these notes, in whole or in part, beginning on February 10, 2009, at a redemption price equal to the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of the notes may require us to repurchase the notes on February 10, 2009 at a price of \$583.4 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on February 10, 2014 at a price of \$698.20 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on February 10, 2019 at a price of \$835.58 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

The following table sets forth information required regarding repurchases of common stock that we made during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan(1)	Maximum Number of Shares That May yet be Purchased Under the Plan
July 2006	75,700	\$ 8.50	8,188,367	11,233,894
August 2006	1,768,796	\$ 7.77	9,950,863	9,465,098
September 2006	473,377	\$ 7.61	10,356,943	8,991,721

- (1) Under resolutions adopted and publicly announced in December 1999, January 2001, October 2002, October 2004, April 2005 and October 2005, our Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase, of up to an aggregate of approximately 19.4 million shares of our common stock. Purchases are made at management's discretion based on market conditions and the Company's financial resources. As of September 30, 2006 the Company has spent approximately \$66.7 million to purchase and retire approximately 10.4 million shares of its outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****Selected Financial Data and Operating Statistics**

The selected financial data as of and for each of the five years ended September 30, 2006, are derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and its subsidiaries and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and the related notes thereto and MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Consolidated Statement of Operations and Balance Sheet data in thousands of dollars.

	2006(1)	2005(2)	2004(3)	2003(4)	2002(5)
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:					
Operating revenues	\$ 1,337,197	\$ 1,136,268	\$ 896,812	\$ 599,990	\$ 496,783
Operating expenses	1,236,395	1,007,006	829,454	544,711	503,343
Operating income (loss)	100,802	129,262	67,358	55,279	(6,560)
Interest expense	37,305	44,466	25,063	12,664	7,983
Income (loss) before income taxes	56,706	92,166	45,181	41,020	(16,405)
Net income (loss)	33,967	56,867	26,282	25,305	(11,268)
Net income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.80	\$ (0.34)
Diluted	0.84	1.35	0.66	0.76	(0.34)
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital (deficit)	\$ 195,707	\$ 236,112	\$ 16,046	\$ (57,380)	\$ 27,483
Total assets	1,238,213	1,167,671	1,121,537	716,936	399,161
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	542,569	636,582	550,613	199,023	110,210
Stockholders' equity	264,210	176,670	128,904	111,973	86,758
Consolidated Operating Statistics:					
Passengers carried	14,839,701	13,088,872	10,239,915	6,444,459	5,118,839
Revenue passenger miles (000)	6,840,101	6,185,864	5,035,165	2,814,480	1,986,164
Available seat miles (ASM) (000)	9,139,340	8,715,749	7,107,684	4,453,707	3,459,427
Block hours	571,827	571,339	513,881	393,335	352,323
Average passenger journey in miles	461	473	492	436	388
Average stage length in miles	397	389	390	337	298

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Load factor	74.8%	71.0%	70.8%	63.2%	57.4%
Break-even passenger load factor	61.1%	53.3%	53.6%	46.3%	60.1%
Revenue per ASM in cents	14.6	13.0	12.6	13.4	14.4
Operating cost per ASM in cents	13.5	11.6	11.7	12.3	14.6
Average yield per revenue passenger mile in cents	19.5	18.4	17.8	21.3	25.0

25

Table of Contents

	2006(1)	2005(2)	2004(3)	2003(4)	2002(5)
Average fare	\$ 87.96	\$ 84.25	\$ 84.81	\$ 89.44	\$ 93.93
Aircraft in service	191	182	180	150	124
Cities served	173	176	181	163	147
Number of employees	5,200	4,600	5,000	3,600	3,100

- (1) Net income in fiscal 2006 includes a bankruptcy settlement of \$12.1 million (pretax) and debt conversion costs of \$13.1 million (pretax).
- (2) Net income in fiscal 2005 includes the net effect of reversing certain impairment and restructuring charges of \$1.3 million (pretax).
- (3) Net income in fiscal 2004 includes the net effect of impairment and restructuring charges of \$11.9 million (pretax).
- (4) Net income in fiscal 2003 includes the effect of impairment and restructuring charges of \$1.1 million (pretax) and the reversal of impairment and restructuring charges of \$12.0 million (pretax).
- (5) Net loss in fiscal 2002 includes the effect of impairment and restructuring charges of \$26.7 million (pretax).

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides information which management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the Company's results of operations and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto, and the Selected Financial Data and Operating Statistics contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Executive Overview

Fiscal year 2006 was a year of transition for us. As a result of Pre-Merger US Airways' emergence from bankruptcy in connection with their merger with America West Airlines and their non-assumption of our code-share agreement, we worked with Pre-Merger US Airways to provide for an orderly transition of aircraft from Pre-Merger US Airways to United and Delta. The transition of aircraft out of Pre-Merger US Airways was completed in the third quarter, and we completed the placement of the last of these aircraft into operations shortly after fiscal year end. As a result of this transition, we were negatively impacted by idle aircraft not earning revenue while in transition as well as incurring significant costs in training pilots while moving aircraft to the Freedom certificate, operations under which perform services for Delta Air Lines.

Fiscal 2006 also saw the launch of *go!*, Mesa's independent operation in Hawaii. *go!* provides inter-island service using five 50-seat CRJ-200 aircraft in a high quality, high frequency service, connecting the islands of Hawaii with service to the Hilo, Honolulu, Kona, Lihue and Maui (Kahului) markets. *go!* operates 62 flights per day between Honolulu and Lihue, Kahului and Kona.

Also during 2006:

Code-Share Agreements

Freedom commenced operations with Delta in October 2005 and is contracted to operate up to 30 50-seat regional jet aircraft on routes throughout Delta's network. However, Delta has not yet assumed our code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceedings and could choose to terminate our agreement at any time prior to its emergence from bankruptcy. In March 2006, Freedom expanded its strategic partnership with Delta by signing a three-year agreement to fly up to twelve, 37-seat, De Havilland Dash-8 aircraft in support of Delta's expanding operations at its New York-JFK hub. This Dash-8 agreement was entered into post-petition and does not need to be assumed in the bankruptcy proceedings.

Table of Contents***Fleet***

The transition plan described above included moving 36 ERJ-145 and 23 CRJ-200 aircraft out of US Airways and into Delta and United. As of September 30, 2006, we had moved 28 ERJ-145 aircraft into Delta operations and 23 CRJ-200 and eight ERJ-145 aircraft into United operations. We transitioned two ERJ-145 aircraft from United to Delta shortly after our fiscal year end.

Also during fiscal 2006, we added one CRJ-900 to our US Airways fleet, six CRJ-200s (five into our *go!* operation and one into our United fleet) and removed two CRJ-200s from our US Airways fleet. In addition, we added six Dash-8 aircraft into our Delta fleet and reduced our Beechcraft 1900D fleet by two by retiring one aircraft and leasing one (the tenth) to Big Sky.

Aircraft in Operation at September 30,

Type of Aircraft	2006	2005	2004
CRJ-200/100 Regional Jet	60	56	54
CRJ-700 Regional Jet	15	15	15
CRJ-900 Regional Jet	38	37	24
Embraer 145 Regional Jet	36	36	36
Beechcraft 1900D	20	22	35
Dash-8	22	16	16
Total	191	182	180

Debt to Equity Conversion

In the first and second quarters of fiscal 2006, holders of \$156.8 million in aggregate principal amount at maturity (\$62.3 million carrying amount) of the Company's Senior Convertible Notes due 2023 (the Notes) converted their Notes into shares of Mesa common stock. In connection with these conversions, the Company issued an aggregate of 6.2 million shares of Mesa common stock and also paid approximately \$11.3 million in debt conversion costs to these Noteholders. The Company also wrote off \$1.8 million in debt issue costs related to these notes.

Rotable Spare Parts Maintenance Agreements

In fiscal 2005, we entered into a ten-year agreement with AAR Corp. (the AAR Agreement), for the management and repair of certain of our CRJ-200, -700, -900 and ERJ-145 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory. The agreement was completed in November 2005. Under the AAR agreement, AAR purchased certain of our existing rotatable spare parts inventory for \$39.5 million in cash and \$21.5 million in notes receivable, which we will receive over the next three years.

Summary of Financial Results

Mesa Air Group recorded consolidated net income of \$34.0 million in fiscal 2006, representing diluted earnings per share of \$0.84. This compares to consolidated net income of \$56.9 million or \$1.35 per share in fiscal 2005 and consolidated net income of \$26.3 million or \$0.66 per share in fiscal 2004.

Approximately 98% of our consolidated passenger revenues for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 were derived from operations associated with code-share agreements. Our subsidiaries have code-share agreements with US Airways, Delta Air Lines, Midwest Airlines and United Airlines. The remaining passenger revenues are derived from our independent operations, *go!* and Mesa Airlines.

Approximately 97% of our passenger revenue was associated with revenue-guarantee code-share agreements. Under the terms of our revenue-guarantee agreements, our major carrier partner controls the marketing, scheduling, ticketing, pricing and seat inventories. Our role is simply to operate our fleet in the safest and most reliable manner in exchange for fees paid under a generally fixed payment schedule. We receive a guaranteed payment based upon a fixed minimum monthly amount plus amounts related to departures and block hours flown in addition to direct

Table of Contents

reimbursement of expenses such as fuel, landing fees and insurance. Among other advantages, revenue-guarantee arrangements reduce our exposure to fluctuations in passenger traffic and fare levels, as well as fuel prices. In fiscal 2006, approximately 96% of our fuel purchases were reimbursed under revenue-guarantee code-share agreements.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth selected operating and financial data of the Company for the years indicated below.

	Operating Data		
	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
Passengers	14,839,701	13,088,872	10,239,915
Available seat miles (ASM)(000s)	9,139,340	8,715,749	7,107,684
Revenue passenger miles (000s)	6,840,101	6,185,864	5,035,165
Load factor	74.8%	71.0%	70.8%
Yield per revenue passenger mile (cents)	19.5	18.4	17.8
Revenue per ASM (cents)	14.6	13.0	12.6
Operating cost per ASM (cents)	13.5	11.6	11.7
Average stage length (miles)	397	389	390
Number of operating aircraft in fleet	191	182	180
Gallons of fuel consumed	211,434,140	202,410,695	170,867,222
Block hours flown	571,827	571,339	513,881
Departures	389,883	391,086	353,083

Operating Expense Data

Years Ended
September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004

	2006			2005			2004		
	Amount (000s)	Percent of Total Revenues	Cost per ASM (cents)	Amount (000s)	Percent of Total Revenues	Cost per ASM (cents)	Amount (000s)	Percent of Total Revenues	Cost per ASM (cents)
Flight operations	\$ 373,283	27.9%	4.1	\$ 319,271	28.1%	3.7	\$ 297,521	33.2%	4.2
Fuel	459,608	34.4%	5.0	304,256	26.8%	3.5	194,510	21.7%	2.7
Maintenance	233,603	17.5%	2.6	198,695	17.5%	2.3	163,463	18.2%	2.3
Aircraft & traffic servicing	79,645	6.0%	0.9	68,475	6.0%	0.8	66,223	7.4%	0.9
Promotion & sales	5,222	0.4%	0.1	3,906	0.3%	0.0	5,806	0.6%	0.1
General & administrative	60,595	4.5%	0.7	69,429	6.1%	0.8	62,035	6.9%	0.9
Depreciation & amortization	36,537	2.7%	0.4	44,231	3.9%	0.5	28,001	3.2%	0.4
Bankruptcy settlement	(12,098)	0.9%	(0.1)	(1,257)	(0.1)%	(0.0)	11,895	1.3%	0.2

impairment and restructuring
charges (credits)

Total operating expenses	1,236,395	92.5%	13.5	1,007,006	88.6%	11.6	829,454	92.5%	11.7
Interest expense	(37,305)	2.8%	(0.4)	(44,466)	3.9%	(0.5)	(25,063)	2.8%	(0.4)
Interest income	12,116	0.9%	0.1	2,901	0.3%	0.0	1,163	0.1%	0.0
Other income (expense)	(16,417)	1.2%	(0.2)	4,469	0.4%	0.1	1,723	0.0%	0.0

Note: Numbers in the table above may not be recalculated due to rounding

Table of Contents

Year Ended September 30, 2006 (000 s)	Mesa/ Freedom	Air Midwest/ go!	Other	Elimination	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 1,272,206	\$ 61,459	\$ 247,474	\$ (243,942)	\$ 1,337,197
Total operating expenses	1,165,294	71,986	209,381	(210,266)	1,236,395
Operating income (loss)	106,912	(10,527)	38,093	(33,676)	100,802

Year Ended September 30, 2005 (000 s)	Mesa	Air Midwest/ Freedom	Other	Elimination	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 1,064,093	\$ 62,681	\$ 297,764	\$ (288,270)	\$ 1,136,268
Total operating expenses	925,783	70,163	255,909	(244,849)	1,007,006
Operating income (loss)	138,310	(7,482)	41,855	(43,421)	129,262

Year Ended September 30, 2004 (000 s)	Mesa/ Freedom	Air Midwest	Other	Elimination	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 807,736	\$ 81,714	\$ 365,858	\$ (358,496)	\$ 896,812
Total operating expenses	725,975	91,349	313,243	(301,113)	829,454
Operating income (loss)	81,761	(9,635)	52,615	(57,383)	67,358

All of the Company's 34 Beechcraft 1900D aircraft are owned by Mesa Airlines; however, the Company currently operates 20 of these aircraft. The operating aircraft and debt are recorded on the separate company financial statements of Mesa Airlines, but are operated by Air Midwest, and as a result, Mesa charges Air Midwest rent to offset its depreciation and interest cost. Prior impairment charges related to these aircraft are recorded on the separate company financial statements of Mesa Airlines. The non-operating aircraft are being subleased to other carriers and, as a result, the sublease income, depreciation and interest are included in the other segment.

Fiscal 2006 Versus Fiscal 2005**Operating Revenues**

In fiscal 2006, operating revenue increased by \$200.9 million, or 17.7%, from \$1.1 billion in the twelve months ended September 30, 2005 to \$1.3 billion in the twelve months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in revenue is primarily attributable to a \$208.1 million increase in operating revenues in the Mesa / Freedom segment, the largest component of which is a \$155.8 million increase in fuel reimbursements by our code-share partners. Operating revenues in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment decreased \$1.2 million, primarily as a result of a \$1.9 million decrease in

Essential Air Service (EAS) revenue due to the timing of awards won and lost during the year. In addition, prorate revenue increased \$2.9 million in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment, primarily from the startup of operations at *go!* This net increase was offset by a decrease in Air Midwest prorate revenue as a result of leasing additional Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to other carriers.

Operating Expenses

Flight Operations

In fiscal 2006, flight operations expense increased \$54.0 million, or 16.9%, to \$373.3 million from \$319.3 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, flight operations expense increased 10.8% to 4.1 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 3.7 cents per ASM in the fiscal 2005. Flight operations expense in the Mesa / Freedom segment increased \$59.2 million, which included a \$35.2 million increase in aircraft lease cost due to the sale and leaseback of 15 CRJ-900 aircraft in September 2005, a \$12.7 million increase in flight crew wages, a \$4.0 million increase in lodging cost and a \$2.6 million increase in flight simulator lease expense. The increases in flight crew wages, lodging cost and flight simulator expenses are a result of training costs associated with the transition of aircraft onto the Freedom certificate as well as the start up of the Company's Delta Dash-8 operations at New York's JFK airport. Flight operations expense in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment increased \$2.0 million primarily as a result of the startup of operations at *go!*

Table of Contents***Fuel***

In fiscal 2006, fuel expense increased \$155.3 million, or 51.1%, to \$459.6 million from \$304.3 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, fuel expense increased 42.9% to 5.0 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 3.5 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. Into-plane fuel cost in fiscal 2006 increased 44.7% from \$1.50 per gallon in fiscal 2005 to \$2.17 per gallon in fiscal 2006, resulting in a \$136.1 million unfavorable price variance. Consumption increased 4% in fiscal 2006 resulting in a \$19.2 million unfavorable volume variance. In fiscal 2006, approximately 96% of our fuel costs were reimbursed by our code-share partners.

Maintenance Expense

In fiscal 2006, maintenance expense increased \$34.9 million, or 17.6%, to \$233.6 million from \$198.7 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, maintenance expense increased 13.0% to 2.6 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 2.3 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. Maintenance expense in the Mesa / Freedom segment increased \$46.1 million, which included an \$11.1 million increase in aircraft heavy maintenance expense, an \$11.2 million increase in rotatable spare part repair and rent expense, a \$7.8 million increase in engine maintenance, a \$5.0 million increase in materials, repairs and servicing expenses, a \$3.6 million increase in mechanic wage expenses, and a \$2.3 million increase in hangar rent. The increase is due to the timing of certain maintenance events for the Company's aircraft and the additional bases established to support the United and Delta operations. Maintenance expense in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment decreased \$2.6 million. The net decrease was due to a \$4.5 million reduction in maintenance expense primarily due to the costs associated with preparing Beechcraft 1900 aircraft for lease to other carriers in fiscal 2005, this decrease was offset by a \$1.9 million increase as a result of the start up of *go!*

Aircraft and Traffic Servicing

In fiscal 2006, aircraft and traffic servicing expense increased by \$11.2 million, or 16.3%, to \$79.6 million from \$68.5 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, aircraft and traffic servicing expense increased 12.5% to 0.9 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 0.8 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. Aircraft and traffic servicing expense in the Mesa / Freedom segment increased \$10.7 million, which included a \$5.6 million increase in station rents and a \$4.5 million increase in passenger related costs, primarily landing fees. These increases were primarily a result of moving into higher cost East Coast cities for United and Delta. These costs are reimbursed by our code-share partners. Aircraft and traffic servicing expense in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment remained relatively constant with decreases at Air Midwest due to reduced capacity being offset by increases at *go!* as a result of the startup.

Promotion and Sales

In fiscal 2006, promotion and sales expense increased by \$1.3 million, or 33.7%, to \$5.2 million from \$3.9 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, promotion and sales expense increased 100% to 0.1 cents per ASM in the twelve months ended September 30, 2006 from 0.0 cents per ASM in the twelve months ended September 30, 2005. Promotion and sales expense in the Air Midwest / *go!* segment increased \$1.3 million, with increases at *go!* due to the startup being offset by decreases at Air Midwest as a result of reduced capacity. We do not pay promotion and sales expenses under our regional jet revenue-guarantee contracts.

General and Administrative

In fiscal 2006, general and administrative expense decreased \$8.8 million, or 12.7%, to \$60.6 million from \$69.4 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, general and administrative expense decreased 12.5% to 0.7 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 0.8 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. The net decrease included a \$13.5 million reduction in bad debt expense due to the reversal of reserves for \$7.2 million as a result of proceeds received from the Pre-Merger US

Airways bankruptcy settlement and other items which were established in fiscal 2005, a \$4.0 million reduction in medical expenses due to a change in health plans, a \$1.0 million reduction in passenger liability insurance premiums as a result of lower rates and a \$2.1 million reduction in bonus wages as a result of a failure to meet profitability targets. These decreases were offset by a \$2.3 million increase in stock option expense due to the adoptions of SFAS 123(R), which requires expensing stock options; a \$1.9 million increase in legal costs due to the litigation involving our commencement of service in the Hawaii inter-island market in fiscal 2006 and a \$0.8 million

Table of Contents

favorable settlement in fiscal 2005; and a \$1.7 million increase in workers compensation expense due to an increase in claims.

Depreciation and Amortization

In fiscal 2006, depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$7.7 million, or 17.4%, to \$36.5 million from \$44.2 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, depreciation expense decreased 20% to 0.4 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 0.5 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. The decrease was primarily due to a \$7.2 million reduction in depreciation expense in the Mesa / Freedom segment as a result of permanently financing 15 CRJ-900 aircraft as operating leases in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005.

Bankruptcy Settlement

In fiscal 2006, the Company received approximately 350,000 shares of US Airways common stock as part of our bankruptcy claim against Pre-Merger US Airways. The shares were valued at approximately \$50 per share, hence the Company recognized approximately \$17.6 million in benefit from its claim. Of the \$17.6 million, \$5.5 million was applied to receivables that were previously reserved.

Impairment and Restructuring Charges

In fiscal 2005, we reversed \$1.3 million in reserves for lease and lease return costs related to two Shorts 360 aircraft the Company returned to the lessor in January 2005.

Interest Expense

In fiscal 2006, interest expense decreased \$7.2 million, or 16.1%, to \$37.3 million from \$44.5 million for fiscal 2005. On an ASM basis, interest expense decreased 20% to 0.4 cents per ASM in fiscal 2006 from 0.5 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005. The net decrease in interest expense was primarily due a \$10.4 million reduction in interest expense as a result of permanently financing 15 CRJ-900 aircraft with operating leases in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, a \$2.8 million reduction in convertible debt interest expense as a result of the conversion from debt to equity and a \$1.0 million reduction in interest expense related to the financing of rotatable inventory that was retired in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. These decreases were partially offset by a \$6.4 million increase in interest expense on aircraft financing as a result of increases in variable interest rates.

Interest Income

In fiscal 2006, interest income increased \$9.2 million to \$12.1 million from \$2.9 million for fiscal 2005. The increase is due to increases in the rates of return on our portfolio of marketable securities.

Other Income (Expense)

In fiscal 2006, other income (expense) decreased \$20.9 million from income of \$4.5 million for fiscal 2005 to expense of \$16.4 million for fiscal 2006. In fiscal 2006, other income (expense) is primarily comprised of \$13.1 million in debt conversion costs and \$2.5 million in losses on investment securities.

In fiscal 2005, other income was primarily comprised of net investment income of \$2.3 million from the Company's portfolio of investment securities, \$2.9 million of dividend income on marketable securities, \$1.0 million income from a settlement of a dispute with a vendor and \$1.7 million in net costs to return four non-operating EMB120 aircraft to the lessor.

Income Taxes

In fiscal 2006, the our effective tax rate increased from 38.3% for fiscal 2005 to 40.1%. The increase in our effective tax rate is mainly due to the inability to deduct stock option expense related to incentive stock options for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2005 Versus Fiscal 2004

Operating Revenues

In fiscal 2005, operating revenue increased by \$239.5 million, or 26.7%, from \$896.8 million to \$1,136.3 million. The increase in revenue is primarily attributable to a \$256.9 million increase in revenue associated with the operation of 15 additional regional jets flown by Mesa compared to 2004. Offsetting this increase was a decrease in passenger revenue of approximately \$20.3 million in the Air Midwest / Freedom segment. The decrease in passenger revenue in the Air Midwest / Freedom segment primarily due to reductions in capacity as the Company has leased nine Beechcraft 1900 aircraft to Big Sky and four Beechcraft 1900 aircraft to Great Lakes during fiscal 2005. However, EAS subsidies received by Air Midwest increased by \$1.3 million as a result of additional markets served and higher subsidy rates on existing markets.

Operating Expenses

Flight Operations

In fiscal 2005, flight operations expense increased \$21.8 million or 7.3%, to \$319.3 million from \$297.5 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, flight operations expense decreased 11.9% to 3.7 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 4.2 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. This increase in total expense is primarily attributed to a \$15.8 million increase in aircraft lease costs as a result of placing additional regional jets into service and an increase of \$5.0 million in pilot and flight attendant wages due to growth in flight operations. The decrease on an ASM basis is due to the addition of larger regional jets at Mesa and the reduction in turboprop aircraft flown by Air Midwest.

Fuel

In fiscal 2005, fuel expense increased \$109.7 million or 56.4%, to \$304.3 million from \$194.5 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, fuel expense increased 29.6% to 3.5 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 2.7 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. Into-plane fuel cost increased 32% per gallon, resulting in a \$62.3 million unfavorable price variance and consumption increased 18% resulting in a \$47.4 million unfavorable volume variance. The increase in volume was due to the additional regional jets added to the fleet. In fiscal 2005, approximately 95% of our fuel costs were reimbursed by our code-share partners.

Maintenance Expense

In fiscal 2005, maintenance expense increased \$35.2 million or 21.6%, to \$198.7 million from \$163.5 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, maintenance expense remained flat at 2.3 cents for fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004. The increase is due to \$5.5 million in additional aircraft heavy maintenance expense, a \$6.6 million increase in component and rent expense, a \$10.1 million increase in engine maintenance, and a \$13.5 million increase in materials, repairs and servicing expenses. The increase is due to the increased fleet size and age of the Company's aircraft.

Aircraft and Traffic Servicing

In fiscal 2005, aircraft and traffic servicing expense increased by \$2.3 million or 3.4%, to \$68.5 million from \$66.2 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, aircraft and traffic servicing expense decreased 11.1% to 0.8 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 0.9 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. The increase in expense is primarily related to an 11% increase in departures. The decrease on an ASM basis is due to the addition of larger regional jets at Mesa and the reduction in turboprop aircraft at Air Midwest.

Promotion and Sales

In fiscal 2005, promotion and sales expense decreased \$1.9 million or 32.7%, to \$3.9 million from \$5.8 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, promotion and sales expense decreased 100% to 0.0 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 0.1 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. The decrease in expense is due to a decline in booking and franchise fees paid by Air Midwest under our pro-rate agreements with our code-share partners, caused by a decline in passengers carried under these agreements. We do not pay these fees under our regional jet revenue-guarantee contracts.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative

In fiscal 2005, general and administrative expense increased \$7.4 million or 11.9%, to \$69.4 million from \$62.0 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, general and administrative expense decreased 11.1% to 0.8 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 0.9 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. The increase in expense includes a \$6.3 million increase in property taxes associated with increases in our fleet and a \$2.6 million increase in bad debt expense as a result of increasing the Company's allowance for doubtful receivables related to code-share partners in bankruptcy. Offsetting these increases was a \$1.6 million decrease in health insurance costs related to the timing and severity of claims.

Depreciation and Amortization

In fiscal 2005, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$16.2 million or 58.0%, to \$44.2 million from \$28.0 million for fiscal 2004. On an ASM basis, depreciation and amortization expense increased 25.0% to 0.5 cents per ASM in fiscal 2005 from 0.4 cents per ASM in fiscal 2004. The increase in expense is primarily due to the purchase of 13 regional jets in 2005.

Impairment and Restructuring Charges

In fiscal 2005, we reversed \$1.3 million in reserves for lease and lease return costs related to two Shorts 360 aircraft the Company returned to the lessor in January 2005.

In fiscal 2004, we recognized an impairment charge of \$12.4 million related to the early termination of leases on seven Beechcraft 1900D aircraft. We negotiated the terms of the early return with the majority of the aircraft lessors and took a charge that included \$2.4 million for the present value of future lease payments, \$2.4 million for the negotiated settlement of return conditions, \$1.2 million for the cancellation of maintenance agreements, \$0.8 million to reduce maintenance deposits to net realizable value and \$4.5 million to reduce the value of rotatable and expendable inventory to fair value less costs to sell. We purchased two of the aircraft from the lessors, which were subsequently scrapped. As a result, we also took a \$1.1 million impairment charge for the difference between the buy out of the lease and the subsequent sale of the aircraft. These charges were offset by the reversal of \$0.5 million of prior year restructuring charges.

Interest Expense

In fiscal 2005, interest expense increased \$19.4 million or 77.4%, to \$44.5 million from \$25.1 million for fiscal 2004. The increase in interest expense is primarily comprised of an increase of \$14.6 million on interim and permanently financed aircraft debt, \$1.2 million on the senior convertible notes that were issued in February 2004, \$1.2 million on the inventory financing arrangement with GECAS and \$1.1 million on the Beechcraft 1900 aircraft debt.

Other Income (Expense)

In fiscal 2005, other income increased \$2.7 million, or 159.4%, to \$4.5 million from \$1.7 million for fiscal 2004. In fiscal 2005, other income is primarily comprised of net investment income of \$2.3 million from the Company's portfolio of investment securities, \$2.9 million of dividend income on marketable securities, \$1.0 million income from a settlement of a dispute with a vendor and \$1.7 million in net costs to return four non-operating EMB120 aircraft to the lessor.

In fiscal 2004, other income was primarily comprised of investment income of \$0.6 million related to the Company's portfolio of investment securities.

Income Taxes

In fiscal 2005, the Company's effective income tax rate was 38.3% as compared to 41.8% in fiscal 2004. The decrease in rate from fiscal 2004 is due to certain payments to top executives in the prior year, a portion of which were not deductible for income taxes.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

At September 30, 2006, we had cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (including restricted cash and held-to-maturity securities) of \$234.3 million, compared to \$280.4 million at September 30, 2005. In fiscal 2006, we cancelled our rotatable financing arrangement with GECAS and were required to repay the \$19.7 million liability that remained outstanding. The liability was retired with cash of \$15.9 million and included offsetting \$3.8 million in notes receivable from GECAS. We then entered into a similar arrangement with AAR whereby AAR was required to purchase certain rotatable spare parts for \$39.6 million in cash and \$21.5 million in notes receivable.

Also in fiscal 2006, holders of \$156.8 million in aggregate principal amount at maturity (\$62.3 million carrying amount) of the Company's Senior Convertible Notes due 2023 (the Notes) converted their Notes into shares of Mesa common stock. In connection with these conversions, the Company paid approximately \$11.3 million in debt conversion costs to these Noteholders.

Other uses of cash included capital expenditures of \$42.6 million, which was primarily attributable to preparing aircraft for service and provisioning of rotatable inventory to support additional bases of operations, and the purchase of \$18.6 million of the Company's outstanding common stock.

Our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities are intended to be used for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and to fund our obligations with respect to regional jet deliveries.

As of September 30, 2006, we had receivables of approximately \$47.4 million (net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.6 million), compared to receivables of approximately \$29.0 million (net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8.9 million) as of September 30, 2005. The amounts include receivables due from our code-share partners, credits due from the aircraft manufacturer and passenger ticket receivables due through the Airline Clearing House. Accounts receivable from our code-share partners was 45% of total gross accounts receivable at September 30, 2006.

Code-Share Partner in Bankruptcy

On September 14, 2005, Delta Air Lines, Inc. filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the US Bankruptcy Code. Delta has not yet assumed our code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceeding and could choose to seek to renegotiate the agreement on terms less favorable to us or terminate this agreement. As of the date of this report, the Company believes that there is a reasonable likelihood that Delta will assume our code-share agreement in such proceedings. This belief is based primarily on the continued expansion of the aircraft we fly under our agreement with Delta and our current business relations with them. Notwithstanding this belief, no assurance can be given that Delta will assume our code-share agreement or otherwise seek to renegotiate the terms of the agreement. If Delta and the Company did renegotiate the terms of the existing agreement, the Company's profitability would be impacted and liquidity would be reduced. However, if Delta was to terminate our agreement, the Company would seek to mitigate the effect of such event by seeking alternative code-share partners, subleasing the aircraft to another carrier or carriers or parking the aircraft. These options could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Operating Leases

We have significant long-term lease obligations primarily relating to our aircraft fleet. The leases are classified as operating leases and are therefore excluded from our consolidated balance sheets. At September 30, 2006, we leased

158 aircraft with remaining lease terms ranging from 1 to 17.5 years. Future minimum lease payments due under all long-term operating leases were approximately \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2006.

3.625% Senior Convertible Notes due 2024

In February 2004, we completed the private placement of senior convertible notes due 2024, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$100.0 million (\$97.0 million net). Cash interest is payable on the notes at the rate of 2.115% per year on the aggregate amount due at maturity, payable semiannually in arrears on February 10 and August 10 of

Table of Contents

each year, beginning August 10, 2004, until February 10, 2009. After that date, we will not pay cash interest on the notes prior to maturity, and the notes will begin accruing original issue discount at a rate of 3.625% until maturity. On February 10, 2024, the maturity date of the notes, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000. The aggregate amount due at maturity, including interest accrued from February 10, 2009, will be \$171.4 million. Each of our wholly owned domestic subsidiaries guarantees the notes on an unsecured senior basis. The notes and the note guarantees are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with our existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. The notes and the note guarantees are junior to the secured obligations of our wholly owned subsidiaries to the extent of the collateral pledged.

The notes were sold at an issue price of \$583.40 per note and are convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 40.3737 shares per note, which equals a conversion price of \$14.45 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of the notes may convert their notes only if: (i) after March 31, 2004, the sale price of our common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) on or prior to February 10, 2019, the trading price for the notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) the notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. These notes are not yet convertible. We may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, beginning on February 10, 2009, at a redemption price equal to the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of the notes may require us to repurchase the notes on February 10, 2009 at a price of \$583.40 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on February 10, 2014 at a price of \$698.20 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on February 10, 2019 at a price of \$835.58 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

6.25% Senior Convertible Notes Due 2023

In June 2003, we completed the private placement of senior convertible notes due 2023, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$100.1 million (\$96.9 million net). Cash interest is payable on the notes at the rate of 2.4829% per year on the aggregate amount due at maturity, payable semiannually in arrears on June 16 and December 16 of each year, beginning December 16, 2003, until June 16, 2008. After that date, we will not pay cash interest on the notes prior to maturity, and the notes will begin accruing original issue discount at a rate of 6.25% until maturity. On June 16, 2023, the maturity date of the notes, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000. The aggregate amount due at maturity, including interest accrued from June 16, 2008, will be \$252 million. Each of our wholly owned domestic subsidiaries guarantees the notes on an unsecured senior basis. The notes and the note guarantees are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with our existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. The notes and the note guarantees are junior to the secured obligations of our wholly owned subsidiaries to the extent of the collateral pledged.

The notes were sold at an issue price of \$397.27 per note and are convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion rate of 39.727 shares per note, which equals a conversion price of \$10 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of the notes may convert their notes only if: (i) the sale price of our common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) prior to June 16, 2018, the trading price for the notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) the notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. These notes became convertible in 2003. The Company may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, beginning on June 16, 2008, at a redemption price equal to the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of the notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes on June 16, 2008 at a price of \$397.27 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on June 16, 2013 at a price of \$540.41 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on June 16, 2018 at a price of \$735.13 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

In fiscal 2006, holders of \$156.8 million in aggregate principal amount at maturity (\$62.3 million carrying amount) of the Company's Senior Convertible Notes due 2023 (the Notes) converted their Notes into shares of Mesa common stock. In connection with these conversions, the Company issued an aggregate of 6.2 million shares of Mesa common stock and also paid approximately \$11.3 million in debt conversion costs to these Noteholders. The Company also wrote off \$1.8 million in debt issue costs related to these notes.

Table of Contents***Interim and Permanent Aircraft Financing Arrangements***

At September 30, 2006, we had an aggregate of \$123.1 million in notes payable to an aircraft manufacturer for delivered aircraft on interim financing. Under interim financing arrangements, we take delivery and title of the aircraft prior to securing permanent financing and the acquisition of the aircraft is accounted for as a purchase with debt financing. Accordingly, we reflect the aircraft and debt under interim financing on our balance sheet during the interim financing period. After taking delivery of the aircraft, it is our practice and our intention to subsequently enter into a sale and leaseback transaction with an independent third-party lessor. Upon permanent financing, the proceeds from the sale and leaseback transaction are used to retire the notes payable to the manufacturer. Any gain recognized on the sale and leaseback transaction is deferred and amortized over the life of the lease. At September 30, 2006, we had five aircraft on interim financing with the manufacturer. These interim financings agreements typically have a term of six months and provide for monthly interest only payments at LIBOR plus three percent. The current interim financing agreement with the manufacturer provides for us to have a maximum of 15 aircraft on interim financing at any one time.

Other Indebtedness and Obligations

In October 2004, the Company permanently financed five CRJ-900 aircraft with \$118.0 million in debt. The debt bears interest at the monthly LIBOR plus three percent and requires monthly principal and interest payments.

In January and March 2004, the Company permanently financed five CRJ-700 and six CRJ-900 aircraft with \$254.7 million in debt. The debt bears interest at the monthly LIBOR plus three percent and requires monthly principal and interest payments.

In December 2003, we assumed \$24.1 million of debt in connection with our purchase of two CRJ-200 aircraft in the Midway Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings. The debt, due in 2013, bears interest at the rate of 7% per annum through 2008, converting to 12.5% thereafter, with principal and interest due monthly.

As of September 30, 2006, we had \$12.0 million in restricted cash on deposit collateralizing various letters of credit outstanding and the ACH funding of our payroll.

Contractual Obligations

As of September 30, 2006, we had \$572.2 million of long-term debt (including current maturities). This amount consisted of \$431.6 million in notes payable related to owned aircraft, \$137.8 in aggregate principal amount of our senior convertible notes due 2023 and 2024 and \$2.8 million in other miscellaneous debt.

The following table sets forth our cash obligations (including principal and interest) as of September 30, 2006.

Obligations	2007	2008	Payment Due by Period			Thereafter	Total
			2009	2010	2011		
				(In			
				thousands)			
Long-term debt:							
Note payable related to							
CRJ700s and 900s(2)	\$ 46,896	\$ 46,116	\$ 45,234	\$ 44,346	\$ 43,419	\$ 297,645	\$ 523,656

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

2003 senior convertible debt notes (assuming no conversions)	2,365	2,365				95,234	99,964
2004 senior convertible debt notes (assuming no conversions)	3,625	3,625	1,813			171,409	180,472
Notes payable related to B1900Ds	11,947	11,939	11,940	28,982	25,156	9,049	99,013
Note payable related to CRJ200s(2)	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	14,952	29,952
Note payable to manufacturer	1,823						1,823
Mortgage note payable	109	109	824				1,042
Other	25	25	25	25	25	25	150
Total long-term debt	69,790	67,179	62,836	76,353	71,600	588,314	936,072

Table of Contents

Obligations	2007	2008	Payment Due by Period			Thereafter	Total
			2009	2010 (In thousands)	2011		
Short-term debt: Notes payable to manufacturer interim financing(1)(2)	53,111	9,409	9,409	9,409	9,409	126,171	216,918
Payments under operating leases: Cash aircraft rental payments(2)	245,021	216,084	192,163	185,402	190,281	1,244,395	2,273,346
Lease payments on equipment and operating facilities	1,351	1,392	962	947	956	1,198	6,806
Total lease payments	246,372	217,476	193,125	186,349	191,237	1,245,593	2,280,152
Future aircraft acquisition costs(3)	75,000			50,000			125,000
Rotable inventory financing commitments(4)	587	563	540	2,241			3,931
Minimum payments due under rotatable spare parts maintenance agreement	23,127	26,650	29,371	32,225	32,614	136,476	280,463
Total	\$ 467,987	\$ 321,277	\$ 295,281	\$ 356,577	\$ 304,860	\$ 2,096,554	\$ 3,842,536

(1) Represents the principal and interest on notes payable to the manufacturer for interim financed aircraft. These notes payable typically have a six-month maturity. For purposes of this schedule, we have assumed that aircraft on interim financing are converted to permanent financing as debt upon the expiration of the notes with future maturities included on this line.

(2)

Aircraft ownership costs, including depreciation and interest expense on owned aircraft and rental payments on operating leased aircraft, of aircraft flown pursuant to our guaranteed-revenue agreements are reimbursed by the applicable code-share partner.

- (3) Represents the estimated cost of commitments to acquire CRJ-900 aircraft.
- (4) Represents the principal and interest related to financed rotatable inventory and includes amounts due as a result of the termination of the GECAS agreement.

Maintenance Commitments

In January 1997, we entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with General Electric Aircraft Engines (GE) for its CRJ-200 aircraft. The agreement was subsequently amended in the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The amended contract requires a monthly payment based upon the prior month's flight hours incurred by the covered engines. The hourly rate increases over time based upon the engine overhaul costs that are expected to be incurred in that year and is subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices. The contract also provides for a fixed number of engine overhauls per year. To the extent that the number of actual overhauls is less than the fixed number, GE is required to issue a credit to us for the number of events less than the fixed number multiplied by an agreed upon price. To the extent that the number of actual overhauls is greater than the fixed number, we are required to pay GE for the number of events greater than the fixed number multiplied by the same agreed upon price.

In April 1997, we entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with Pratt & Whitney Canada Corp. (PWC) for our Dash 8-200 aircraft. The contract requires us to pay PWC for the engine overhaul upon completion of the maintenance based upon a fixed dollar amount per flight hour. The rate under the contract is subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices.

In April 2000, we entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with Rolls-Royce Allison (Rolls-Royce) for its ERJ aircraft. The contract requires us to pay Rolls-Royce for the engine overhaul upon completion of the maintenance based upon a fixed dollar amount per flight hour. The rate per flight hour is based upon certain operational assumptions and may vary if the engines are operated differently than these assumptions. The rate is also subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices. The agreement with Rolls-Royce also contains a termination clause and look back provision to provide for any shortfall between the cost of maintenance incurred by

Table of Contents

the provider and the amount paid up to the termination date by us and includes a 15% penalty on such amount. We do not anticipate an early termination under the contract.

In May 2002, we entered into a new six-year fleet management program with PWC to provide maintenance for our Beechcraft 1900D turboprop engines. The contract requires a monthly payment based upon flight hours incurred by the covered aircraft. The hourly rate is subject to annual adjustment based on changes in certain price indices and is guaranteed to increase by no less than 1.5% per year. Pursuant to the agreement, we sold certain assets of our Desert Turbine Services unit, as well as all spare PT6 engines to PWC for \$6.8 million, which approximated the net book value of the assets. Pursuant to the agreement, we provided a working capital loan to PWC for the same amount, which is to be repaid through a reduced hourly rate being charged for maintenance. The agreement covers all of our Beechcraft 1900D turboprop aircraft and engines. The agreement also contains a termination clause and look back provision to provide for any shortfall between the cost of maintenance incurred by the provider and the amount paid up to the termination date by us and provides for return of a pro-rated share of the prepaid amount upon early termination. We do not anticipate an early termination under the contract.

In August 2005, the Company entered into a ten-year agreement with AAR Corp. (the AAR Agreement), for the management and repair of certain of the Company's CRJ-200, -700, -900 and ERJ-145 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory. Under the agreement, the Company sold certain existing spare parts inventory to AAR for \$39.6 million in cash and \$21.5 million in notes receivable to be paid over four years. The AAR agreement was contingent upon the Company terminating an agreement for the Company's CRJ-200 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory with GE Capital Aviation Services (GECAS) and including these rotables in the arrangement. The Company terminated the GECAS agreement and finalized the AAR agreement in November 2005. Upon entering into the agreement, the Company received \$22.8 million (\$23.8 million less \$1 million deposit that was retained by AAR), which was recorded as a deposit at September 30, 2005, pending the termination of the GECAS agreement. An additional \$15.8 million was received in the quarter ended December 31, 2005. Under the agreement, the Company is required to pay AAR a monthly fee based upon flight hours for access to and maintenance and servicing of the inventory. The agreement also contains certain minimum monthly payments that Mesa must make to AAR. Based on this arrangement, the Company accounts for the transaction as a service agreement and an operating lease of rotatable spare parts with AAR. The sale of the rotatable spare parts resulted in a gain of \$2.1 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized over the term of the agreement. At termination, the Company may elect to purchase the covered inventory at fair value, but is not contractually obligated to do so.

In June 2006, the Company entered into a separate two-year agreement with AAR for the management and repair of the Company's CRJ-200 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory associated with its *go!* operations. Under this agreement, the Company transferred certain existing spare parts inventory to AAR for \$1.2 million in cash. AAR is required to purchase an additional \$2.9 million in rotatable spare parts to support the agreement. Under the agreement, the Company is required to pay AAR a monthly fee based upon flight hours for access to and maintenance of the inventory. At termination, the Company has guaranteed the fair value of the underlying rotables. Based on this arrangement, the Company accounts for the transaction as a financing arrangement, thus recording both the rotatable spare parts inventory as an asset and the related payable to AAR as a liability.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In connection with the preparation of these financial statements, we are required to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, the allowance for doubtful accounts, medical claims and workers compensation claims reserves, valuation of assets

held for sale, costs to return aircraft, and a valuation allowance for certain deferred tax assets. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Such historical experience and assumptions form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Table of Contents

We have identified the accounting policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. The impact of these policies on our business operations is discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results. The discussion below is not intended to be a comprehensive list of our accounting policies. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which contains accounting policies and other disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Revenue Recognition

The Delta, United and US Airways regional jet code-share agreements are revenue-guarantee flying agreements. Under a revenue-guarantee arrangement, the major airline generally pays a fixed monthly minimum amount, plus certain additional amounts based upon the number of flights flown and block hours performed. The contracts also include reimbursement of certain costs incurred by us in performing flight services. These costs, known as pass-through costs, may include aircraft ownership cost, passenger and hull insurance, aircraft property taxes as well as fuel, landing fees and catering. The contracts also include a profit component that may be determined based on a percentage of profits on the Mesa flown flights, a profit margin on certain reimbursable costs as well as a profit margin based on certain operational benchmarks. We recognize revenue under our revenue-guarantee agreements when the transportation is provided. The majority of the revenue under these contracts is known at the end of the accounting period and is booked as actual. We perform an estimate of the profit component based upon the information available at the end of the accounting period. All revenue recognized under these contracts is presented at the gross amount billed.

Under the Company's revenue-guarantee agreements with Delta, United and US Airways, the Company is reimbursed under a fixed rate per block-hour plus an amount per aircraft designed to reimburse the Company for certain aircraft ownership costs. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 01-08, *Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease*, the Company has concluded that a component of its revenue under the agreement discussed above is rental income, inasmuch as the agreement identifies the right of use of a specific type and number of aircraft over a stated period of time. The amount deemed to be rental income during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$248.5 million, \$235.5 million and \$189.0 million, respectively, and has been included in passenger revenue on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

In connection with providing service under the Company's revenue-guarantee agreement with Pre-Merger US Airways, the Company's fuel reimbursement was capped at \$0.85 per gallon. Under this agreement, the Company had the option to purchase fuel from a subsidiary of US Airways at the capped rate. As a result, amounts included in revenue for fuel reimbursement and expense for fuel cost may not have represented market rates for fuel for the Company's Pre-Merger US Airways flying. The Company purchased 12.7 million gallons, 67.4 million gallons and 68.1 million gallons of fuel under this arrangement in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The US Airways and Midwest Airlines Beechcraft 1900D turboprop code-share agreements are pro-rate agreements. Under a prorate agreement, we receive a percentage of the passenger's fare based on a standard industry formula that allocates revenue based on the percentage of transportation provided. Revenue from our pro-rate agreements and our independent operation is recognized when transportation is provided. Tickets sold but not yet used are included in air traffic liability on the consolidated balance sheets.

We also receive subsidies for providing scheduled air service to certain small or rural communities. Such revenue is recognized in the period in which the air service is provided. The amount of the subsidy payments is determined by the United States Department of Transportation on the basis of its evaluation of the amount of revenue needed to meet operating expenses and to provide a reasonable return on investment with respect to eligible routes. EAS rates are

normally set for two-year contract periods for each city.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Amounts billed by the Company under revenue guarantee arrangements are subject to our interpretation of the applicable code-share agreement and are subject to audit by our code-share partners. Periodically our code-share partners dispute amounts billed and pay amounts less than the amount billed. Ultimate collection of the remaining

Table of Contents

amounts not only depends upon Mesa prevailing under audit, but also upon the financial well-being of the code-share partner. As such, we periodically review amounts past due and record a reserve for amounts estimated to be uncollectible. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$1.6 million and \$8.9 million at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. If our actual ability to collect these receivables and the actual financial viability of our partners is materially different than estimated, our estimate of the allowance could be materially understated or overstated.

Aircraft Leases

The majority of the Company's aircraft are leased from third parties. In order to determine the proper classification of a lease as either an operating lease or a capital lease, the Company must make certain estimates at the inception of the lease relating to the economic useful life and the fair value of an asset as well as select an appropriate discount rate to be used in discounting future lease payments. These estimates are utilized by management in making computations as required by existing accounting standards that determine whether the lease is classified as an operating lease or a capital lease. All of the Company's aircraft leases have been classified as operating leases, which results in rental payments being charged to expense over the term of the related leases. Additionally, operating leases are not reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheet and accordingly, neither a lease asset nor an obligation for future lease payments is reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Accrued Health Care Costs

We are currently self-insured up to a cap for health care costs and as such, a reserve for the cost of claims that have not been paid as of the balance sheet date is estimated. Our estimate of this reserve is based upon historical claim experience and upon the recommendations of our health care provider. At September 30, 2006 and 2005, we accrued \$2.6 million for the cost of future health care claims. If the ultimate development of these claims is significantly different than those that have been estimated, the accrual for future health care claims could be materially overstated or understated.

Accrued Worker's Compensation Costs

Beginning in fiscal 2005, we implemented a new worker's compensation program. Under the program, we are self-insured up to a cap for worker's compensation claims and as such, a reserve for the cost of claims that have not been paid as of the balance sheet date is estimated. Our estimate of this reserve is based upon historical claim experience and upon the recommendations of our third-party administrator. At September 30, 2006 and 2005, we accrued \$3.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, for the cost of worker's compensation claims. If the ultimate development of these claims is significantly different than those that have been estimated, the accrual for future worker's compensation claims could be materially overstated or understated.

Long-lived Assets, Aircraft and Parts Held for Sale

Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives to their estimated salvage values using the straight-line method. Long-lived assets to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amount may be impaired. Under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company records an impairment loss if the undiscounted future cash flows are found to be less than the carrying amount of the asset. If an impairment loss has occurred, a charge is recorded to reduce the carrying amount of the asset to fair value. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets

The Company records deferred tax assets for the value of benefits expected to be realized from the utilization of alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards and state and federal net operating loss carryforwards. We periodically review these assets for realizability based upon expected taxable income in the applicable taxing jurisdictions. To the extent we believe some portion of the benefit may not be realizable, an estimate of the

Table of Contents

unrealized portion is made and an allowance is recorded. At September 30, 2006 and 2005, we had a valuation allowance of \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, for certain state net operating loss carryforwards because we believe we will not be able to generate sufficient taxable income in these jurisdictions in the future to realize the benefits of these recorded deferred tax assets. We believe we will generate sufficient taxable income in the future to realize the benefits of our other deferred tax assets. This belief is based upon the Company having had pretax income in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 and we have taken steps to minimize the financial impact of our unprofitable subsidiaries. Realization of these deferred tax assets is dependent upon generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of any net operating loss carryforwards. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the remaining, recorded deferred tax assets will be realized. If the ultimate realization of these deferred tax assets is significantly different from our expectations, the value of its deferred tax assets could be materially overstated.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-3 (EITF 06-3), How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation). EITF 06-3 applies to any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer. EITF 06-3 allows companies to present taxes either gross within revenue and expense or net. If taxes subject to this issue are significant, a company is required to disclose its accounting policy for presenting taxes and the amount of such taxes that are recognized on a gross basis. The Company currently presents such taxes net. EITF 06-3 is required to be adopted during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. These taxes are currently not material to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies to other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS No. 157 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. Management has not yet determined the impact of adopting this statement.

In September, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. AUG AIR-1 Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities. This position amends the existing major maintenance accounting guidance contained within the AICPA Industry Audit Guide Audits of Airlines and prohibits the use of the accrue in advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance activities for owned aircraft. The provisions of the announcement are applicable for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Mesa currently uses the direct expense method of accounting for planned major maintenance; therefore, the adoption of FSP No. AUG AIR-1 will not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108). Due to diversity in practice among registrants, SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company will adopt SAB 108 in fiscal 2007. Management does not believe the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements. FIN 48 requires the impact of a tax position to be recognized in the financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained by the taxing authority. Mesa will be required to adopt FIN 48 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. Management has not yet determined the impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

We have exposure to market risk associated with changes in interest rates related primarily to our debt obligations and short-term marketable investment portfolio. Certain of our debt obligations are variable in rate and therefore have exposure to changes in interest rates. A 10% change in interest rates would result in an approximately \$1.0 million impact on interest expense. We also have investments in debt securities. If short-term interest rates were to average 10% more than they did in fiscal year 2006 interest income would be impacted by approximately \$0.9 million.

We have exposure to certain market risks associated with our aircraft fuel. Aviation fuel expense is a significant expense for any air carrier and even marginal changes in the cost of fuel greatly impact a carrier's profitability. Standard industry contracts do not generally provide protection against fuel price increases, nor do they insure availability of supply. However, the Delta, United and US Airways revenue-guarantee code-share agreements allow fuel costs to be reimbursed by the code-share partner, thereby reducing our overall exposure to fuel price fluctuations. In fiscal 2006, approximately 96% of our fuel requirements were associated with these contracts. Each one cent change in the price of jet fuel amounts to a \$0.1 million change in annual fuel costs for that portion of fuel expense that is not reimbursed by our code-share partners.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Consolidated Financial Statements

Page 44	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
Page 45	Consolidated Statements of Income Years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004.
Page 46	Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2006 and 2005.
Page 47	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004.
Page 49	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity Years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004.
Page 50	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the information has been furnished elsewhere.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Mesa Air Group, Inc.
Phoenix, Arizona

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Mesa Air Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mesa Air Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, on October 1, 2005, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, using the modified prospective transition method.

As discussed in Note 2, substantially all of the Company s passenger revenue is derived from code-share agreements with United Airlines, Inc. (United), Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Delta), and US Airways, Inc. (US Airways). In September 2005, Delta filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Delta has not yet assumed the Company s code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceeding.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 14, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Phoenix, Arizona
December 14, 2006

Table of Contents**PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Operating revenues:			
Passenger	\$ 1,307,875	\$ 1,102,550	\$ 868,415
Freight and other	29,322	33,718	28,397
Total operating revenues	1,337,197	1,136,268	896,812
Operating expenses:			
Flight operations	373,283	319,271	297,521
Fuel	459,608	304,256	194,510
Maintenance	233,603	198,695	163,463
Aircraft and traffic servicing	79,645	68,475	66,223
Promotion and sales	5,222	3,906	5,806
General and administrative	60,595	69,429	62,035
Depreciation and amortization	36,537	44,231	28,001
Bankruptcy settlement	(12,098)		
Impairment and restructuring charges (credits)		(1,257)	11,895
Total operating expenses	1,236,395	1,007,006	829,454
Operating income	100,802	129,262	67,358
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense	(37,305)	(44,466)	(25,063)
Interest income	12,116	2,901	1,163
Loss from equity method investment	(2,490)		
Other income (expense)	(16,417)	4,469	1,723
Total other expense	(44,096)	(37,096)	(22,177)
Income before income taxes	56,706	92,166	45,181
Income taxes	22,739	35,299	18,899
Net income	\$ 33,967	\$ 56,867	\$ 26,282
Net income per common share basic	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.83
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.66

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35,559	\$ 143,428
Marketable securities	186,764	128,162
Restricted cash	12,001	8,848
Receivables, net	47,382	28,956
Income tax receivable	615	704
Expendable parts and supplies, net	32,771	36,288
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	139,563	98,267
Deferred income taxes	4,115	8,256
Total current assets	458,770	452,909
Property and equipment, net	669,912	642,914
Lease and equipment deposits	27,389	25,428
Equity method investment	12,510	
Other assets	69,632	46,420
Total assets	\$ 1,238,213	\$ 1,167,671
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 29,659	\$ 27,787
Short-term debt	123,076	54,594
Accounts payable	56,097	52,608
Air traffic liability	6,677	2,169
Accrued compensation	4,545	3,829
Deposit on pending sale of rotatable spare parts		22,750
Rotatable spare parts financing liability		19,685
Income taxes payable	1,008	2,863
Other accrued expenses	42,001	30,512
Total current liabilities	263,063	216,797
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	542,569	636,582
Deferred credits	101,723	97,497
Deferred income taxes	44,531	25,684
Other noncurrent liabilities	22,117	14,441
Total liabilities	974,003	991,001

Commitments and contingencies (notes 2, 8, 14 and 15)

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock of no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding

Common stock of no par value and additional paid-in capital, 75,000,000 shares

authorized; 33,904,053 and 28,868,167 shares issued and outstanding, respectively

Retained earnings

149,701 96,128

114,509 80,542

Total stockholders' equity

264,210 176,670

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$ 1,238,213 \$ 1,167,671

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 33,967	\$ 56,867	\$ 26,282
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	36,537	44,231	28,001
Impairment and restructuring charges (credits)		(1,257)	11,895
Deferred income taxes	22,988	31,625	18,723
Unrealized loss on investment securities	648	514	620
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated sub	2,490		
Amortization of deferred credits	(11,043)	(6,202)	(6,243)
Amortization of restricted stock awards	1,261	1,178	589
Amortization of contract incentives	3,488		
Write off of debt issuance costs	1,800		
Loss on sale of assets	611		
Stock based compensation expense	2,313		
Tax benefit on stock compensation		160	137
Provision for obsolete expendable parts and supplies	559	1,195	1,269
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts	(6,607)	6,915	4,315
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Net purchases of investment securities	(59,250)	(70,154)	(45,584)
Receivables	(9,447)	6,709	(9,566)
Income tax receivables	89	762	(1,466)
Expendable parts and supplies	542	(2,693)	(11,415)
Prepaid expenses	(41,296)	(58,704)	(14,708)
Other assets	1,159		
Contract incentive payments	(20,707)	(12,025)	
Accounts payable	3,489	5,787	4,921
Income taxes payable	(227)	2,407	(440)
Cost to return aircraft held for sale			(2,392)
Other accrued liabilities	20,060	(2,540)	1,619
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(16,576)	4,775	6,557
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Capital expenditures	(44,561)	(41,873)	(50,283)
Acquisition of Midway			(9,160)
Proceeds from the sale of flight equipment and expendable inventory	20,076	449	2,383
Equity method investment	(15,000)		
Change in restricted cash	(3,153)	636	(9,484)
Change in other assets	3,410	4,088	(1,181)

Lease and equipment deposits	(961)	1,608	(5,491)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(40,189)	(35,092)	(73,216)

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Principal payments on short-term and long-term debt	(36,038)	(28,911)	(16,859)
Proceeds from issuance of senior convertible notes			100,000
Debt issuance costs		(3,177)	(3,009)
Proceeds from pending sale of rotatable inventory (customer deposits)		22,750	
Proceeds from (repayments of) financing rotatable inventory	(15,882)		15,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and issuance of warrants	6,364	813	843
Common stock purchased and retired	(18,643)	(11,252)	(10,921)
Proceeds from receipt of deferred credits	13,095	20,412	2,168
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(51,104)	635	87,222
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(107,869)	(29,682)	20,563
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	143,428	173,110	152,547
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 35,559	\$ 143,428	\$ 173,110
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 39,132	\$ 45,694	\$ 24,105
Cash paid (refunded) for income taxes	(125)	336	1,906
SUPPLEMENTAL NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Aircraft and engine delivered under interim financing provided by manufacturer	\$ 74,657	\$ 351,187	\$ 463,936
Conversion of convertible debentures to common stock	62,278		
Aircraft and engine debt permanently financed as operating lease		(397,432)	(203,362)
Short-term debt permanently financed as long-term debt		(118,041)	(254,728)
Long-term debt assumed in Midway asset purchase			24,109
Inventory and other credits received in conjunction with aircraft financing	7,212	11,836	5,073
Note receivable received in conjunction with sale/financing of rotatable spare parts inventory	18,835		6,000
Deferred gain on sale/financing of rotatable spare parts inventory	2,174		
Note receivable forgiven in retirement of rotatable spare parts financing liability	3,631		
Rotatable spare parts financed with long-term payable	4,157		
Other assets reclassified to expendable inventory	1,677		
Rotatable spare parts reclassified to other assets	1,982	4,248	

Receivable for credits related to aircraft financing	2,000
--	-------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

Years Ended September 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004	Number of Shares	Common Stock and Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total
Balance at October 1, 2003	31,704,625	\$ 114,580	\$ (2,607)	\$ 111,973
Exercise of stock options	110,208	622		622
Common stock purchased and retired	(1,748,056)	(10,921)		(10,921)
Amortization of restricted stock		589		589
Tax benefit stock compensation		137		137
Amortization of warrants		135		135
Issuance of warrants		87		87
Net income			26,282	26,282
Balance at September 30, 2004	30,066,777	105,229	23,675	128,904
Exercise of stock options	165,609	712		712
Common stock purchased and retired	(1,792,516)	(11,252)		(11,252)
Issuance of restricted stock	428,297			
Amortization of restricted stock		1,178		1,178
Tax benefit stock compensation		160		160
Amortization of warrants		33		33
Issuance of warrants		68		68
Net income			56,867	56,867
Balance at September 30, 2005	28,868,167	96,128	80,542	176,670
Conversion of debt to equity	6,227,845	62,278		62,278
Exercise of stock options and warrants	1,198,720	6,364		6,364
Common stock purchased and retired	(2,390,679)	(18,643)		(18,643)
Amortization of restricted stock		1,261		1,261
Stock based compensation		2,313		2,313
Net income			33,967	33,967
Balance at September 30, 2006	33,904,053	\$ 149,701	\$ 114,509	\$ 264,210

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation and Organization

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of Mesa Air Group, Inc. and its wholly-owned operating subsidiaries (collectively Mesa or the Company): Mesa Airlines, Inc. (Mesa Airlines), a Nevada corporation and certificated air carrier; Freedom Airlines, Inc. (Freedom), a Nevada corporation and certificated air carrier; Air Midwest, Inc. (Air Midwest), a Kansas corporation and certificated air carrier; Air Midwest, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, MPD, Inc., a Nevada corporation, doing business as Mesa Pilot Development; Regional Aircraft Services, Inc. (RAS) a California company; Mesa Air Group Airline Inventory Management, LLC (MAG-AIM), an Arizona Limited Liability Company; Ritz Hotel Management Corp., a Nevada Corporation; Nilchii, Inc. (Nilchii), a Nevada corporation and MAGI Insurance, Ltd. (MAGI), a Barbados, West Indies based captive insurance company. Air Midwest LLC was formed for the purpose of a contemplated conversion of Air Midwest from a corporation to a limited liability company (which has not yet occurred). MPD, Inc. provides pilot training in coordination with a community college in Farmington, New Mexico and with Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona. RAS performs aircraft component repair and overhaul services. MAG-AIM purchases, distributes and manages the Company's inventory of rotatable and expendable spare parts. Ritz Hotel Management is a Phoenix area hotel property that is used for crew-in-training accommodations. MAGI is a captive insurance company established for the purpose of obtaining more favorable aircraft liability insurance rates. Nilchii was established to invest in certain airline related businesses. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company is an independent regional airline serving 173 cities in 46 states, the District of Columbia, Canada, the Bahamas and Mexico. At September 30, 2006, the Company operated a fleet of 191 aircraft and had over 1,200 daily departures. The Company's airline operations are conducted by three regional airline subsidiaries primarily utilizing hub-and-spoke systems. Mesa Airlines operates as America West Express under a code-share agreement with America West Airlines, Inc. (America West) which currently operates as US Airways and is referenced to herein as US Airways; as United Express under a code-share agreement with United Airlines (United); and independently as *go!* The current US Airways is a result of a merger between America West and US Airways, Inc. (Pre-Merger US Airways). Freedom Airlines operates as Delta Connection under code-share agreements with Delta Airlines, Inc. (Delta). Air Midwest operates under code-share agreements with US Airways, Pre-Merger US Airways and Midwest Airlines, Inc. (Midwest). Air Midwest also operates an independent division, doing business as Mesa Airlines, from Albuquerque, New Mexico and select Essential Air Service markets. Approximately 98% of the Company's consolidated passenger revenues for 2006 were derived from operations associated with code-share agreements.

The financial arrangement between Mesa and its code-share partners involve either a revenue-guarantee or pro-rate arrangement. Under a revenue-guarantee arrangement, the major airline generally pays a monthly guaranteed amount. The US Airways jet and Dash-8 code-share agreement, the Delta agreements, and the United code-share agreement are revenue-guarantee flying agreements. Under the terms of these flying agreements, the major carrier controls marketing, scheduling, ticketing, pricing and seat inventories. The Company receives a guaranteed payment based upon a fixed minimum monthly amount plus amounts related to departures and block hours flown plus direct reimbursement for expenses such as fuel, landing fees and insurance. Among other advantages, revenue-guarantee arrangements reduce the Company's exposure to fluctuations in passenger traffic and fare levels, as well as fuel prices.

The US Airways and the Pre-Merger US Airways Beechcraft 1900 agreements and the Midwest Airlines agreement are pro-rate agreements, for which the Company receives an allocated portion of the passengers' fare and pays all of the costs of transporting the passenger.

In addition to carrying passengers, the Company carries freight and express packages on its passenger flights and has interline small cargo freight agreements with many other carriers. Mesa also has contracts with the

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

U.S. Postal Service for carriage of mail to the cities it serves and occasionally operates charter flights when its aircraft are not otherwise used for scheduled service.

Renewal of one code-share agreement with a code-share partner does not guarantee the renewal of any other code-share agreement with the same code-share partner. The agreements with US Airways expire in 2012; the regional jet revenue-guarantee agreements with Delta expires between January 2017 and January 2018, but can be terminated earlier in November 2012 or immediately by rejecting the contract in its bankruptcy proceedings; the turboprop revenue-guarantee agreement with Delta expires in March 2009, but can be terminated earlier in September 2007; the agreement with United expires between 2010 and 2018. The pro-rate agreement with Pre-Merger US Airways was scheduled to terminate in October 2006, but have been extended as the terms of a new agreement are negotiated. The Company expects to enter into a new agreement on substantially similar terms. The pro-rate agreement with Midwest can be terminated by either party upon six months prior written notice. Although the provisions of the code-share agreements vary from contract to contract, generally each agreement is subject to cancellation should the Company's subsidiaries fail to meet certain operating performance standards, and breach other contractual terms and conditions.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

At September 30, 2006, the Company had \$12.0 million in restricted cash on deposit with two financial institutions. In September 2004, we entered into an agreement with a financial institution for a \$9.0 million letter of credit facility and to issue letters of credit for landing fees, workers compensation insurance and other business needs. Pursuant to the agreement, \$7.0 million of outstanding letters of credit are required to be collateralized by amounts on deposit. The Company also must maintain \$5.0 million on deposit with another financial institution to collateralize its direct deposit payroll.

Expendable Parts and Supplies

Expendable parts and supplies are stated at the lower of cost using the first-in, first-out method or market, and are charged to expense as they are used. The Company provides for an allowance for obsolescence over the useful life of its aircraft after considering the useful life of each aircraft fleet, the estimated cost of expendable parts expected to be on hand at the end of the useful life and the estimated salvage value of the parts. The Company reviews the adequacy of this allowance on a quarterly basis.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives to their estimated salvage values, which are estimated to be 20% for flight equipment, using the straight-line method.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Estimated useful lives of the various classifications of property and equipment are as follows:

Buildings	30 years
Flight equipment	7-20 years
Equipment	5-12 years
Furniture and fixtures	3-5 years
Vehicles	5 years
Rotable inventory	Life of the aircraft or term of the lease, whichever is less
Leasehold improvements	Life of asset or term of lease, which ever is less

Long-lived assets to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amount may be impaired. Under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company records an impairment loss if the undiscounted future cash flows are found to be less than the carrying amount of the asset. If an impairment loss has occurred, a charge is recorded to reduce the carrying amount of the asset to fair value. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

The Company currently flies Beechcraft 1900D aircraft in Essential Air Service markets (EAS). If the funding under this program is terminated or significantly reduced for any of the cities served by us, in all likelihood we would not continue to fly in these markets, and as a result, we would be forced to find alternative uses for the aircraft affected.

Interest related to deposits on aircraft purchase contracts is capitalized as part of the aircraft. The Company capitalized approximately \$1.1 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million of interest in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Other Long-Term Assets

Other long-term assets primarily consist of the capitalized costs associated with establishing financing for aircraft, contract incentive payments, prepaid maintenance, notes receivable received pursuant to rotable spare parts financings and debt issuance costs associated with the senior convertible notes. The financing costs are amortized over the lives of the associated aircraft leases which are primarily 16-18.5 years. Contract incentive payments are amortized over the term or the modified term of the code-share agreements. Prepaid maintenance is amortized over the six-year term of the related maintenance contract based upon the hours flown by the related aircraft. The debt issuance costs are amortized over the 20 year life of the senior convertible notes.

Air Traffic Liability

Air traffic liability represents the cost of tickets sold but not yet used. The Company records the revenue associated with these tickets in the period the passenger flies. Revenue from unused tickets is recognized when the tickets expire.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of

existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in future years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return.

Notes Payable for Aircraft on Interim Financing

Aircraft under interim financing are recorded as a purchase with interim debt financing provided by the manufacturer. As such, the Company reflects the aircraft in property and equipment and the debt financing in short-term debt on its balance sheet during the interim financing period. Upon permanent financing, the proceeds from the sale and leaseback transaction are used to retire the notes payable to the manufacturer. Any gain recognized on the sale and leaseback transaction is deferred and amortized over the life of the lease.

Deferred Credits

Deferred credits consist of aircraft purchase incentives provided by the aircraft manufacturers and deferred gains on the sale and leaseback of interim financed aircraft. Purchase incentives include credits that may be used to purchase spare parts, pay for training expenses or reduce other aircraft operating costs. The deferred credits and gains are amortized on a straight-line basis as a reduction of lease expense over the term of the respective leases.

Revenue Recognition

The Delta, United and the US Airways regional jet code-share agreements are revenue-guarantee flying agreements. Under a revenue-guarantee arrangement, the major airline generally pays a fixed monthly minimum amount, plus certain additional amounts based upon the number of flights and block hours flown. The contracts also include reimbursement of certain costs incurred by Mesa in performing flight services. These costs, known as pass-through costs, may include aircraft ownership cost, passenger and hull insurance, aircraft property taxes as well as, fuel, landing fees and catering. The Company records reimbursement of pass-through costs as revenue. In addition, the Company's code-share partners also provide, at no cost to Mesa, certain ground handling and customer service functions, as well as airport-related facilities and gates at their hubs and other cities. Services and facilities provided by code-share partners at no cost to the Company are presented net in the Company's financial statements, hence no amounts are recorded for revenue or expense for these items. The contracts also include a profit component that may be determined based on a percentage of profits on the Mesa flown flights, a profit margin on certain reimbursable costs as well as a profit margin based on certain operational benchmarks. The Company recognizes revenue under its revenue-guarantee agreements when the transportation is provided. The majority of the revenue under these contracts is known at the end of the accounting period and is booked as actual. The Company performs an estimate of the profit component based upon the information available at the end of the accounting period. All revenue recognized under these contracts is presented at the gross amount billed.

In connection with providing service under the Company's revenue-guarantee agreement with Pre-Merger US Airways, the Company's fuel reimbursement was capped at \$0.85 per gallon. Under this agreement, the Company had the option to purchase fuel from a subsidiary of US Airways at the capped rate. As a result, amounts included in revenue for fuel reimbursement and expense for fuel cost may not represent market rates for fuel for the Company's Pre-Merger US Airways flying. The Company purchased 12.7 million gallons, 67.4 million gallons and 68.1 million gallons of fuel under this arrangement in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the third quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company completed the transition of aircraft out of Pre-Merger US Airways service, and as such, no longer purchases fuel under this arrangement.

Under the Company's revenue-guarantee agreements with America West, US Airway and United, the Company is reimbursed under a fixed rate per block-hour plus an amount per aircraft designed to reimburse the Company for certain aircraft ownership costs. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 01-08, *Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease*, the Company has concluded that a component of its revenue under the agreements discussed above is rental income, inasmuch as the agreement identifies the right of

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

use of a specific type and number of aircraft over a stated period of time. The amount deemed to be rental income during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$248.5 million, \$235.5 million and \$189.0 million, respectively, and has been included in passenger revenue on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The US Airways, Pre-Merger US Airways and Midwest Airlines turboprop code-share agreements are pro-rate agreements. Under a pro-rate agreement, the Company receives a percentage of the passenger's fare based on a standard industry formula that allocates revenue based on the percentage of transportation provided. Revenue from the Company's pro-rate agreements and the Company's independent operation is recognized when transportation is provided. Tickets sold but not yet used are included in air traffic liability on the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company also receives subsidies for providing scheduled air service to certain small or rural communities. Such revenue is recognized in the period in which the air service is provided. The amount of the subsidy payments is determined by the United States Department of Transportation on the basis of its evaluation of the amount of revenue needed to meet operating expenses and to provide a reasonable return on investment with respect to eligible routes. EAS rates are normally set for two-year contract periods for each city.

Aircraft Leased to Other Airlines

The Company currently leases four Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to Gulfstream International Airlines and ten Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to Big Sky Transportation Co. These leases have a five-year term and are accounted for as operating leases. Aircraft under operating leases are recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Income from operating leases is recognized ratably over the term of the leases. As of September 30, 2006, the cost and accumulated depreciation of aircraft under operating leases was approximately \$26.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

Minimum future rentals under noncancelable operating leases are as follows:

2007	\$ 2.9 million
2008	2.9 million
2009	2.9 million
2010	1.2 million
Total	\$ 9.9 million

Maintenance Expense

The Company charges the cost of engine and aircraft maintenance to expense as incurred.

Earnings Per Share

The Company accounts for earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share. Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if outstanding stock options and warrants were exercised. In addition, dilutive convertible securities are

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

included in the denominator while interest on convertible debt, net of tax, is added back to the numerator. A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in computing income per share is as follows:

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Share calculation:			
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	33,487	29,215	31,490
Effect of dilutive outstanding stock options and warrants	1,095	127	1,139
Effect of restricted stock	82	286	214
Effect of dilutive outstanding convertible debt	10,704	16,931	14,410
 Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	 45,368	 46,559	 47,253
Adjustments to net income:			
Net income	\$ 33,967	\$ 56,867	\$ 26,282
Interest expense on convertible debt, net of tax	4,251	6,097	5,027
 Adjusted net income	 \$ 38,218	 \$ 62,964	 \$ 31,309

Options to purchase 41,544, 2,890,756 and 577,039 shares of common stock were outstanding during the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, but were excluded from the calculation of dilutive earnings per share because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would have been antidilutive.

Stock Based Compensation

Effective October 1, 2005, the Company accounts for all stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions in SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized on a straight-line basis as expense over the vesting period. Under SFAS No. 123(R), the Company is required to use judgment in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual forfeitures differ significantly from the original estimate, stock-based compensation expense and the results of operations could be materially impacted.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and its related interpretations (*APB No. 25*), and followed the pro forma net income, pro forma income per share and stock-based compensation plan disclosure requirements set forth in SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

The fair values of all stock options granted were estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The Black-Scholes-Merton model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, requires disclosures related to components of a company for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by a company's chief operating decision maker in deciding the allocation of resources and assessing performance. The Company has three airline operating subsidiaries, Mesa Airlines, Freedom Airlines and Air Midwest and various other subsidiaries organized to provide support for the Company's airline operations. The Company has aggregated these operating segments into three reportable segments; Mesa Airlines/Freedom Airlines, Air Midwest/*go!* and Other. Mesa Airlines and Freedom Airlines transport passengers pursuant to revenue-guarantee code-share agreements. Air Midwest and *go!* (a separate operating division of Mesa Airlines) transport passengers independently or pursuant to pro-rate code-share agreements. The Other reportable segment includes Mesa Air Group, Nilchii, RAS, MPD, MAG-AIM, Ritz Hotel Management and MAGI, all of which support Mesa's operating subsidiaries. Air Midwest LLC will be included in Air Midwest/*go!* if it begins operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ratified Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-3 (EITF 06-3), How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation). EITF 06-3 applies to any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer. EITF 06-3 allows companies to present taxes either gross within revenue and expense or net. If taxes subject to this issue are significant, a company is required to disclose its accounting policy for presenting taxes and the amount of such taxes that are recognized on a gross basis. The Company currently presents such taxes net. EITF 06-3 is required to be adopted during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. These taxes are currently not material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies to other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS No. 157 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. Management has not yet determined the impact of adopting this statement.

In September, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. AUG AIR-1 Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities. This position amends the existing major maintenance accounting guidance contained within the AICPA Industry Audit Guide Audits of Airlines and prohibits the use of the accrue in advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance activities for owned aircraft. The provisions of the announcement are applicable for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Mesa currently uses the direct expense method of accounting for planned major maintenance; therefore, the adoption of FSP No. AUG AIR-1 will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108). Due to diversity in practice among registrants, SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process

by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company will adopt SAB 108 in fiscal 2007. Management does not believe the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements. FIN 48 requires the impact of a tax position to be recognized in the financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained by the taxing authority. Mesa will be required to adopt FIN 48 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. Management has not yet determined the impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

2. Concentrations

The Company has code-share agreements with Delta Air Lines, US Airways and United Airlines. Approximately 98%, 99% and 99% of the Company's consolidated passenger revenue for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, were derived from these agreements. Accounts receivable from the Company's code-share partners were 45% and 35% of total gross accounts receivable at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Passenger revenue received from US Airways amounted to 53%, 75% and 78% of the Company's total passenger revenue in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. A termination of the US Airways revenue-guarantee code-share agreements would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

United Airlines, a subsidiary of UAL Corp., accounted for approximately 36%, 24% and 20% of the Company's passenger revenue in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. A termination of the United agreement would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Delta Air Lines accounted for approximately 9% of the Company's passenger revenue in fiscal 2006. A termination of the Delta agreement or the failure of Delta to successfully emerge from bankruptcy would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Delta has not yet assumed our regional jet code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceedings and could choose to terminate the agreement at any time prior to its emergence from bankruptcy. In addition, according to news reports, US Airways, one of our code-share partners, has proposed to merge with Delta, another of our code-share partners. According to these same reports, Delta has rejected this proposal. We are unable, at this time, to predict what effect such a merger would have on our relationship with the parties or on our financial condition and operations.

3. Marketable Securities

The Company has a cash management program which provides for the investment of excess cash balances primarily in short-term money market instruments, US treasury securities, intermediate-term debt instruments, and common equity securities of companies operating in the airline industry.

SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, requires that all applicable investments be classified as trading securities, available for sale securities or held-to-maturity securities. The Company currently has \$186.8 million in marketable securities that include US Treasury notes, government bonds, corporate bonds and auction rate securities (ARS). These investments are classified as trading securities during the periods presented and accordingly, are carried at market value with changes in value reflected in the current period operations. Unrealized losses relating to trading securities held at September 30, 2006 and 2005, were \$0.3 million

and \$0.5 million, respectively.

The Company has determined that investments in auction rate securities should be classified as short-term investments. Previously, such investments had been classified as cash and cash equivalents. ARS generally have long-term maturities; however, these investments have characteristics similar to short-term investments because at

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

predetermined intervals, generally every 28 days, there is a new auction process. As such, the Company classifies ARS as short-term investments. The balance of marketable securities at September 30, 2006 and 2005 includes investments in ARS of \$17.4 million and \$46.7 million, respectively.

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	September 30,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Flight equipment, substantially pledged	\$ 747,974	\$ 705,453
Other equipment	29,828	25,960
Leasehold improvements	4,378	2,883
Furniture and fixtures	1,470	1,117
Buildings	2,768	3,968
Vehicles	1,581	1,139
	787,999	740,520
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(118,087)	(97,606)
Net property and equipment	\$ 669,912	\$ 642,914

5. Short-Term Debt

At September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company had \$123.1 million and \$54.6 million, respectively, in notes payable to an aircraft manufacturer for aircraft on interim financing. Under interim financing arrangements, the Company takes delivery and title to the aircraft prior to securing permanent financing and the acquisition of the aircraft is accounted for as a purchase with debt financing. Accordingly, the Company reflects the aircraft and debt under interim financing on its balance sheet during the interim financing period. After taking delivery of the aircraft, it is the Company's intention to permanently finance the aircraft as an operating lease through a sale and leaseback transaction with an independent third-party lessor. Upon permanent financing, the proceeds are used to retire the notes payable to the manufacturer. Any gain recognized on the sale and leaseback transaction is deferred and amortized over the life of the lease. The Company had five aircraft on interim financing with the manufacturer at September 30, 2006. These interim financings agreements are typically six months in length and provide for monthly interest only payments at LIBOR plus three percent (8.33% at September 30, 2006). The current interim financing agreement with the manufacturer provides for the Company to have a maximum of 15 aircraft on interim financing at a given time.

6. Rotable Spare Parts Financings

In June 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with LogisTechs, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of GE Capital Aviation Services (GECAS), whereby GECAS provided financing to the Company and the Company agreed to pay GECAS for the management and repair of certain of the Company's CRJ-200 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory. Under the agreement, the Company received \$15 million in cash and a \$6 million promissory note from GECAS. In August 2005, Mesa notified GECAS of its intent to terminate the agreement in order to enter into the AAR agreement, and as such, the Company was required to repay the \$19.7 million of outstanding financing at September 30, 2005. The liability was retired with cash of \$15.9 million and included offsetting \$3.8 million in notes receivable from GECAS. The agreement was terminated and this amount was repaid in November 2005.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In August 2005, the Company entered into a ten-year agreement with AAR Corp. (the AAR Agreement) for the management and repair of certain of the Company s CRJ-200, -700, -900 and ERJ-145 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory, replacing the above-mentioned agreement with GECAS. Under the agreement, the Company sold certain existing spare parts inventory to AAR for \$39.6 million in cash and \$21.5 million in notes receivable (discounted to \$18.8 million) to be paid over four years. The AAR agreement was contingent upon the Company terminating an agreement for the Company s CRJ-200 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory with GECAS and including these rotables in the arrangement. The Company terminated the GECAS agreement and finalized the AAR agreement in November 2005. Upon entering into the agreement, the Company received \$22.8 million (\$23.8 million less \$1 million deposit that was retained by AAR), which was recorded as a deposit at September 30, 2005, pending the termination of the GECAS agreement. An additional \$15.8 million was received in the quarter ended December 31, 2005. Under the agreement, the Company is required to pay AAR a monthly fee based upon flight hours for access to and maintenance and servicing of the inventory. The agreement also contains certain minimum monthly payments that Mesa must make to AAR. Based on this arrangement, the Company accounts for the transaction as a service agreement and an operating lease of rotatable spare parts with AAR. The sale of the rotatable spare parts resulted in a gain of \$2.1 million, which has been deferred and is being recognized over the term of the agreement. At termination, the Company may elect to purchase the covered inventory at fair value, but is not contractually obligated to do so.

Future minimum payments under the agreement are as follows:

	Years Ending September 30, (In thousands)
2007	\$ 23,127
2008	26,650
2009	29,371
2010	32,225
2011	32,614
Thereafter	136,476

In June 2006, the Company entered into a separate two-year agreement with AAR, for the management and repair of the Company s CRJ-200 aircraft rotatable spare parts inventory associated with its *go!* operations. Under the agreement, the Company sold certain existing spare parts inventory to AAR for \$1.2 million in cash. AAR was required to purchase an additional \$2.9 million in rotatable spare parts to support the agreement. Under the agreement, the Company is required to pay AAR a monthly fee based upon flight hours for access to and maintenance of the inventory. At termination, the Company has guaranteed the fair value of the underlying rotables. Based on this arrangement, the Company accounts for the transaction as a financing arrangement, thus recording both the rotatable spare parts inventory as an asset and the related payable to AAR in other noncurrent liabilities.

7. Deferred Credits

The Company accounts for purchase incentives provided by aircraft manufacturers as deferred credits. These credits are amortized over the life of the related aircraft lease as a reduction of lease expense, which is included in flight

operations in the statements of operations. Purchase incentives include credits that may be used to purchase spare parts, pay for training expenses or reduce other aircraft operating costs. Deferred credits also include deferred gains on the sale and leaseback of interim financed aircraft. These deferred gains are also amortized over the life of the related leases as a reduction of lease expense, which is included in flight operations in the statements of operations.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Long-Term Debt

In October 2004, the Company permanently financed five CRJ-900 aircraft with \$118.0 million in debt. The debt bears interest at the monthly LIBOR plus three percent and requires monthly principal and interest payments. These aircraft had originally been financed with interim debt financing from the manufacturer.

In December 2003, we assumed \$24.1 million of debt in connection with the purchase of two CRJ-200 aircraft in the Midway Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings. The debt, due in 2013, bears interest at the rate of 7% per annum through 2008, converting to 12.5% thereafter, with principal and interest due monthly.

In January and March 2004, the Company permanently financed five CRJ-700 and six CRJ-900 aircraft with \$254.7 million in debt. The debt bears interest at the monthly LIBOR plus three percent and requires monthly principal and interest payments.

In February 2004, the Company completed the private placement of senior convertible notes (the February 2004 Notes) due 2024, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$100.0 million (\$97.0 million net). Cash interest is payable on these notes at the rate of 2.115% per year on the aggregate amount due at maturity, payable semiannually in arrears on February 10 and August 10 of each year, beginning August 10, 2004, until February 10, 2009. After that date, the Company will not pay cash interest on these notes prior to maturity, and they will begin accruing original issue discount at a rate of 3.625% until maturity. On February 10, 2024, the maturity date of these notes, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000. The aggregate amount due at maturity, including interest accrued from February 10, 2009, will be \$171.4 million. Each of the Company's wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries guarantees these notes on an unsecured senior basis. The February 2004 Notes and the note guarantees are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with the Company's existing and future senior unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. These notes and the note guarantees are junior to any secured obligations of the Company and any of its wholly owned subsidiaries to the extent of the collateral pledged.

The February 2004 Notes were sold at an issue price of \$583.40 per note and are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion rate of 40.3737 shares per note, which equals a conversion price of \$14.45 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of these notes may convert their notes only if: (i) the sale price of the Company's common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) on or prior to February 10, 2019, the trading price for these notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) these notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. These notes are not yet convertible. The Company may redeem these notes, in whole or in part, beginning on February 10, 2009, at a redemption price equal to the sum of the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of these notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes on February 10, 2009 at a price of \$583.40 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on February 10, 2014 at a price of \$698.20 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on February 10, 2019 at a price of \$835.58 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

In June 2003, the Company completed the private placement of senior convertible notes (the June 2003 Notes) due 2023, which resulted in gross proceeds of \$100.1 million (\$96.9 million net). Cash interest is payable on these notes at a rate of 2.4829% per year on the aggregate amount due at maturity, payable semiannually in arrears on June 16 and

December 16 of each year, beginning December 16, 2003, until June 16, 2008. After that date, the Company will not pay cash interest on these notes prior to maturity, and the notes will begin accruing compounded interest at a rate of 6.25% until maturity. On June 16, 2023, the maturity date of these notes, the principal amount of each note will be \$1,000. The aggregate amount due at maturity, including interest accrued from June 16, 2008, will be \$252 million. The June 2003 Notes and the note guarantees are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally with the Company's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. These notes

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and the note guarantees are junior to any secured obligations of the Company and any of its wholly owned subsidiaries to the extent of the collateral pledged.

The June 2003 Notes were sold at an issue price of \$397.27 per note and are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a conversion rate of 39.727 shares per note, which equals a conversion price of \$10 per share. This conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Holders of these notes may convert their notes only if: (i) the sale price of the Company's common stock exceeds 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter; (ii) prior to June 16, 2018, the trading price for these notes falls below certain thresholds; (iii) these notes have been called for redemption; or (iv) specified corporate transactions occur. As the sale price of our common stock exceeded 110% of the accreted conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading day period ending September 30, 2003, these notes became convertible September 30, 2003. The Company may redeem these notes, in whole or in part, beginning on June 16, 2008, at a redemption price equal to the issue price, plus accrued original issue discount, plus any accrued and unpaid cash interest. The holders of these notes may require the Company to repurchase the notes on June 16, 2008 at a price of \$397.27 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on June 16, 2013 at a price of \$540.41 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, and on June 16, 2018 at a price of \$735.13 per note plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any.

During fiscal 2006, holders of \$156.8 million in aggregate principal amount at maturity (\$62.3 million carrying amount) of the Company's Senior Convertible Notes due 2023 (the Notes) converted Notes into shares of Mesa common stock. In connection with these conversions, the Company issued an aggregate of 6,227,845 shares of Mesa common stock in accordance with the terms of these Notes and also paid approximately \$11.3 million to these Noteholders. The Company also wrote off \$1.8 million in debt issue costs related to these notes. Amounts paid to Noteholders and the write-off of debt issue costs were recorded as Other Expense in the condensed consolidated statement of income. Under the terms of the Notes, each \$1,000 of aggregate principal amount at maturity of Notes is convertible into 39.727 shares of Mesa common stock at the option of the Noteholders. The shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes have previously been included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share. Consequently, issuance of the shares will not be further dilutive to reported diluted earnings per share.

Repayment of the February 2004 and June 2003 Notes (collectively, the Notes) is jointly and severally guaranteed on an unconditional basis by the Company's wholly owned domestic subsidiaries. Except as otherwise specified in the indentures pursuant to which the Notes were issued, there are no restrictions on the ability of such subsidiaries to transfer funds to the Company in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances. General provisions of applicable state law, however, may limit the ability of any subsidiary to pay dividends or make distributions to the Company in certain circumstances.

Separate financial statements of the Company's subsidiaries are not included herein because the aggregate assets, liabilities, earnings, and equity of the subsidiaries are substantially equivalent to the assets, liabilities, earnings, and equity of the Company on a consolidated basis; the subsidiaries are jointly and severally liable for the repayment of the Notes; and the separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the subsidiaries are not deemed by the Company to be material to investors.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	September 30,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Notes payable to bank, collateralized by the underlying aircraft, due 2019	\$ 329,478	\$ 348,452
Senior convertible notes due June 2023	37,834	100,112
Senior convertible notes due February 2024	100,000	100,000
Notes payable to manufacturer, principal and interest due monthly through 2011, interest at LIBOR plus 1.8% (7.29% at September 30, 2006), collateralized by the underlying aircraft	79,290	87,949
Note payable to financial institution due 2013, principal and interest due monthly at 7% per annum through 2008 converting to 12.5% thereafter, collateralized by the underlying aircraft	22,831	24,181
Note payable to manufacturer, principal due semi-annually, interest at 7% due quarterly through 2007	1,792	2,578
Mortgage note payable to bank, principal and interest at 7 1/2% due monthly through 2009	882	923
Other	121	174
Total debt	572,228	664,369
Less current portion	(29,659)	(27,787)
Long-term debt	\$ 542,569	\$ 636,582

Principal maturities of long-term debt for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

	Years Ending
	September 30,
	(In thousands)
2007	\$ 29,659
2008	29,328
2009	31,738
2010	50,036
2011	49,653
Thereafter	381,814

9. Common Stock Purchase and Retirement

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the Company to purchase up to 19.4 million shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. As of September 30, 2006, the Company has acquired and retired approximately 10.4 million shares of its outstanding common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$66.7 million, leaving approximately 9.0 million shares available for purchase under the current Board authorizations. Purchases are made at management's discretion based on market conditions and the Company's financial resources.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****10. Income Taxes**

Income tax expense consists of the following:

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Current:			
Federal	\$ (637)	\$ 1,720	\$
State	388	1,954	176
	(249)	3,674	176
Deferred:			
Federal	21,832	28,905	16,765
State	1,156	2,720	1,958
	22,988	31,625	18,723
	\$ 22,739	\$ 35,299	\$ 18,899

The difference between the actual income tax expense and the statutory tax expense (computed by applying the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35 percent to income or loss before income taxes) is as follows:

	Years Ended September 30,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(In thousands)		
Computed expected tax expense	\$ 19,847	\$ 32,258	\$ 15,813
Increase (reduction) in income taxes resulting from:			
State taxes, net of federal taxes	1,917	3,029	2,134
Nondeductible stock compensation expense	406		
Nondeductible compensation	204	6	987
Other	160	(356)	45
Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance	205	362	(80)
	\$ 22,739	\$ 35,299	\$ 18,899

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Elements of deferred income tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

	September 30,	
	2006	2005
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 41,900	\$ 31,396
Deferred credits	28,834	26,549
Other accrued expenses	5,085	5,839
Deferred gains	2,786	2,992
Other	2,758	3,655
Alternative minimum tax	3,174	3,638
Expendable parts	1,038	822
Equity in loss of unconsolidated subsidiary	958	
Allowance for doubtful receivables	613	3,392
Intangibles	275	374
Unrealized trading losses	116	197
Valuation allowance	(567)	(362)
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 86,970	\$ 78,492
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property and equipment	\$ (123,634)	\$ (92,289)
Other	(3,752)	(3,631)
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$ (127,386)	\$ (95,920)

Deferred tax assets include benefits expected to be realized from the utilization of alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.2 million that do not expire and federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$106.4 million that expire in years 2017 through 2024. The Company also has state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$82.7 million that expire in years 2006 through 2019. The Company has a valuation allowance of \$0.6 million for certain state net operating loss carryforwards that are expected to expire unutilized in the future. Realization of the remaining deferred tax assets is dependent upon generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of any net operating loss carryforwards. Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the recorded deferred tax asset, net of the valuation allowance provided, will be realized.

11. Stockholders Equity

In February 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Raytheon Aircraft Company (the Raytheon Agreement) to, among other things, reduce the operating costs of the Company's Beechcraft 1900D fleet. In connection with the Raytheon Agreement and subject to the terms and conditions contained therein, Raytheon agreed

to provide up to \$5.5 million in annual operating subsidy payments to the Company contingent upon the Company remaining current on its payment obligations to Raytheon. Approximately \$5.3 million, \$5.3 million and \$6.0 million was recorded as a reduction to flight operations during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In return, the Company granted Raytheon a warrant to purchase up to 233,068 shares of our common stock at a per share exercise price of \$10. The Company recorded the issuance of the warrant at a value of \$0.4 million within stockholders' equity as a debit and credit to common stock. The contra equity value of the warrant was being amortized to expense over the vesting period of three years. Raytheon must pay a purchase price of \$1.50 per

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

common share underlying the warrant. The warrant was exercisable at any time over a three-year period following its date of purchase. Raytheon is completely vested in the 233,068 shares of common stock underlying the warrant.. As of September 30, 2005, Raytheon has exercised its option to purchase all components of the warrant.

12. Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to October 1, 2005, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations, as permitted by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. Effective October 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R),

Share-Based Payments, using the modified prospective transition method: option awards granted, modified, or settled after the date of adoption are required to be measured and accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123(R). Unvested equity-classified awards that were granted prior to the effective date will continue to be accounted for in accordance with SFAS 123, and compensation amounts for awards that vest will now be recognized in the income statement as an expense.

Stock-based compensation costs recognized in the financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2006 include: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to October 1, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to September 30, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

On September 30, 2006, the Company had seven stock option plans, which are described below. Generally, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Options granted to employees generally vest over a three-year period and have a contractual term of ten years. Options granted to directors generally vest immediately upon grant or six months following the date of grant and have a contractual term of ten years.

The Compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans was \$3.6 million for fiscal 2006. The total income tax benefit recognized in the consolidated statement of income for share based compensation arrangements was \$0.5 million for fiscal 2006.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires cash flows resulting from excess tax benefits to be classified as financing cash flows. Excess tax benefits result from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 the Company did not recognized any excess tax benefits due to federal and state net operating losses.

In March 1993, and December 1994, the Company adopted stock option plans for outside directors. These plans originally provided for the grant of options to purchase up to 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock at fair value on the date of grant. At September 30, 2006, there were 13,000 options outstanding under this plan. There are no options available for grant under this plan.

In July 1998, the Company adopted a second stock option plan for outside directors. This plan, as amended, provides for the grant of options to purchase up to 275,000 shares of the Company's common stock at fair value on the date of the grant. On February 11, 2003 an additional 200,000 options were approved by the stockholders to be granted under this plan. At September 30, 2006, there were 168,794 options outstanding and 103,891 options available for future grants under this plan.

In April 1996, the Company adopted an employee stock option plan under the new management incentive program (the 1996 Stock Option Plan) that provides for the granting of options to purchase up to 2,800,000 shares

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of the Company's common stock at the fair value on the date of grant. On July 24, 1998, an additional 1,500,000 options were approved by the stockholders to be granted under this plan. At September 30, 2005, there were 1,245,447 options outstanding. No future grants will be made under this plan.

In June 1998, the Company adopted a Key Officer Stock Option Plan for compensating the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer, which provided for the grant of options to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of the Company's common stock at the fair value on the date of grant. At September 30, 2005 there were 1,112,533 options outstanding. There are no options available for grant under this plan.

In June 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan. At September 30, 2006, there were 24,856 options outstanding and there are no options available for future grants under this plan.

In October 2001, the Company adopted a Key Officer Stock Option Plan for compensating the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer, which provided for the grant of options to purchase up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at the fair value on the date of grant. At September 30, 2006 there were 836,000 options outstanding and 1,000,000 options available for future grants under this plan.

In February 2005, the Company's shareholders approved the adoption of the 2005 Employee Stock Incentive Plan. The plan provides for the grant of options to purchase or restricted stock of up to 1,500,000 shares of common stock to officers and key employees. At September 30, 2006, there were 516,110 options outstanding and 1,264,266 options available for future grants under this plan, which includes 434,603 options authorized but not issued under the 1996 Option Plan.

In July 2006, the Company granted 124,092 shares of restricted stock to selected senior executives of the Company under the 2005 Employee Stock Incentive Plan in lieu of stock options owed to the executives under their respective employment agreements. The restricted stock shares vest in one-third increments over a three-year period beginning in July 2006. To recognize the transaction, the Company recorded deferred compensation of \$1.2 million in additional paid-in capital on the Company's balance sheet. The deferred compensation is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grants. Compensation costs related to these restricted stock grants in fiscal 2006 totaled \$0.1 million.

In March 2004, the Company granted 428,297 shares of restricted stock to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer. The restricted stock shares vest in one-third increments over a three-year period beginning on April 1, 2004. The shares under the grant were issued in March 2005. To recognize the transaction, the Company recorded deferred compensation of \$3.5 million in stockholders' equity. The deferred compensation is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grants. Compensation costs related to these restricted stock grants totaled \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.6 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), the recognition of deferred compensation, a contra-equity account representing the amount of unrecognized restricted stock expense is no longer required. Therefore, at October 1, 2005, Unearned compensation on restricted stock was combined with Common stock of no par value and additional paid-in capital in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of award activity under the stock option plans as of September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and changes during the years then ended are summarized as follows:

	2006		2005		2004	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	(000)		(000)		(000)	
Outstanding at beginning of year	5,338	\$ 6.98	4,792	\$ 7.05	4,621	\$ 6.80
Granted	69	10.61	947	6.58	430	9.89
Exercised	(1,146)	5.39	(166)	4.75	(110)	5.58
Forfeited	(140)	7.11	(49)	7.12	(105)	7.50
Expired	(204)	8.24	(186)	8.31	(44)	10.54
Outstanding at end of year	3,917	\$ 7.44	5,338	\$ 6.98	4,792	\$ 7.05
Exercisable at end of year	3,185	\$ 7.48	3,806	\$ 7.07	3,323	\$ 5.31

The Company estimates the fair value of stock options issued using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. Historically the Company has not paid any dividends and does not anticipate paying dividends in the near future. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock. The risk-free rates for the periods within the contractual life of the option are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The forfeiture rate is based on historical information and managements best estimate of future forfeitures. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical exercise experience and represents the period of time the Company expects options granted to be outstanding. Option valuation models require the input of subjective assumptions including the expected volatility and lives. Actual values of grants could vary significantly from the results of the calculations. The following assumptions were used to value stock option grants during the following periods:

	Year Ended September 30		
	2006	2005	2004
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Expected volatility	67.7%	62.4%	79.8%
Risk-free interest rate	5.1%	4.2%	4.1%
Forfeiture rate(1)	12.2%		
Expected term (in years)	6.1	6.1	6.1

(1) Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), forfeitures were recognized as they occurred.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of option activity under the stock option plans as of September 30, 2006, and changes during the year then ended is presented below:

	Shares (000)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000)
Outstanding at beginning of year	5,338	\$ 6.98		
Granted	69	10.61		
Exercised	(1,146)	5.39		
Forfeited	(140)	7.11		
Expired	(204)	8.24		
Outstanding at end of year	3,917	\$ 7.44	5.0	\$ 3,699
Exercisable at end of year	3,185	\$ 7.48	4.3	\$ 2,952

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$6.72, \$4.26, and \$6.59, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$3.9 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested options as of September 30, 2006 and changes during the year ended September 30, 2006, is presented below:

	Shares (000)
Nonvested at October 1, 2005	1,114
Granted	69
Vested	(108)
Forfeited	(140)
Expired	(204)
Nonvested at September 30, 2006	731

As of September 30, 2006, there was \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a

weighted average period of 0.9 years. During fiscal year 2006 the Company did not modify any of its outstanding stock-based compensation plans.

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information concerning options outstanding at September 30, 2006:

Range of Exercise Prices	Stock Options Outstanding			Stock Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 2.31 - \$ 5.50	672,619	5.2 Years	\$ 4.87	613,090	\$ 4.87
\$ 5.55 - \$ 6.25	607,073	5.5 Years	5.89	431,102	5.98
\$ 6.27 - \$ 7.40	703,545	7.3 Years	6.89	403,142	6.74
\$ 7.49 - \$ 8.06	165,701	6.5 Years	7.81	121,802	7.85
\$ 8.25 - \$ 8.25	1,169,890	2.5 Years	8.25	1,119,891	8.25
\$ 8.31 - \$12.37	497,912	5.9 Years	10.53	428,681	10.52
\$12.56 - \$12.56	100,000	7.3 Years	12.56	66,667	12.56
Options at September 30, 2006	3,916,740	5.0 Years	7.44	3,184,375	7.48

Compensation cost for options granted prior to October 1, 2005 was recognized on an accelerated amortization method over the vesting period of the options. Compensation cost for options granted after September 30, 2005 was recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The following amounts were recognized for stock-based compensation (in thousands):

	Year Ended September 30, 2006 (In thousands)
General and administrative expenses:	
Stock options expense	\$ 2,313
Restricted stock expense	1,261
Total	\$ 3,574

The Company applied the provision of APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans prior to October 1, 2005. Accordingly, no compensation cost was recognized for awards made pursuant to its stock option plans. Had the compensation cost for the Company's stock-based compensation plans been determined consistent with the measurement provision of SFAS No. 148, Accounting for

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, the Company's net income and net income per share would have been as indicated by the pro forma amounts indicated below:

	2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net income as reported	\$ 56,867	\$ 26,282
Stock option compensation expense determined under fair value based method, net of related tax effects	(968)	(1,324)
Pro forma	\$ 55,899	\$ 24,958
Income per share basic:		
As reported	\$ 1.95	\$ 0.83
Pro forma	\$ 1.91	\$ 0.79
Net income per share diluted:		
As reported	\$ 1.35	\$ 0.66
Pro forma	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.63

13. Benefit Plans

The Company has a 401(k) plan covering all employees (the Plan). Under the Plan, employees may contribute up to 15 percent of their annual compensation. Employer contributions are made at the discretion of the Board of Directors. During fiscal 2006, the Company made matching contributions of 25-30 percent of employee contributions up to 10 percent of annual employee compensation. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan upon completion of one year of service. The employee vests 20% per year in employer contributions. Employees become fully vested in employer contributions after completing six years of employment. The Company has the right to terminate the Plan at any time. Contributions by the Company to the Plan for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were approximately \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

14. Lease Commitments

At September 30, 2005, the Company leased 158 aircraft under non-cancelable operating leases with remaining terms of up to 18.5 years. The aircraft leases require the Company to pay all taxes, maintenance, insurance and other operating expenses. The Company has the option to terminate certain of the leases at various times throughout the lease. Aggregate rental expense under all operating leases totaled approximately \$237.4 million, \$194.7 million and \$183.5 million for the years ended September 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

	Years Ending September 30, (In thousands)
2007	\$ 246,372
2008	217,476
2009	193,125
2010	186,349
2011	191,237
Thereafter	1,245,593

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46), which requires the consolidation of variable interest entities. The majority of the Company's leased aircraft are owned and leased through trusts whose sole purpose is to purchase, finance and lease these aircraft to the Company; therefore, they meet the criteria of a variable interest entity. However, since these are single owner trusts in which the Company does not participate, the Company is not at risk for losses and is not considered the primary beneficiary. As a result, the Company is not required to consolidate any of these trusts in applying FIN 46. Management believes that the Company's maximum exposure under these leases is the remaining lease payments.

Under the Company's leveraged lease agreements, the Company typically agrees to indemnify the equity/owner participant against liabilities that may arise due to changes in benefits from tax ownership of the respective leased aircraft. The terms of these contracts range up to 18.5 years. The Company did not accrue any liability relating to the indemnification to the equity/owner participant because the probability of this occurring is remote.

As of September 30, 2006, we owned 34 Beechcraft 1900D aircraft and were operating 20 of these aircraft. During fiscal year 2005, the Company leased four of its Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to Gulfstream International Airlines, a regional turboprop air carrier based in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida for a term of five years. In January 2005, we entered into an agreement to lease ten of our Beechcraft 1900D aircraft to Big Sky Transportation Co. (Big Sky), a regional turboprop carrier based in Billings, Montana for a term of five years.

15. Commitments and Contingencies

In May 2005, the Company amended its code-sharing arrangement with United to allow the Company to place up to an additional 30 50-seat regional jet aircraft into the United Express system. The first of these aircraft were placed into service in October 2005. The agreement with respect to the additional 30 50-seat regional jet aircraft expires in April 2010. Additionally, the expiration dates under the existing code-share agreement with respect to certain aircraft were extended. The code-share agreement for (i) the ten Dash-8 aircraft terminates in July 2013, and United Airlines' right to terminate earlier will not begin until April 2010, (ii) the 15 50-seat CRJ-200s now terminates in April 2010, (iii) the 15 70-seat regional jets (to be delivered upon the withdrawal of the 50-seat regional jets) terminates on the earlier of ten years from delivery date or October 2018 and (iv) the remaining 15 70-seat regional jets terminates in three tranches between December 2011 and December 2013. In connection with the amendment, the Company paid three \$10 million payments to United as follows: i) \$10 million was paid in June 2005, ii) \$10 million was paid in October 2005, and iii) \$10 million was paid in November 2005. Amounts paid are recorded as a deferred charge and included in other assets on the balance sheet. The deferred charge is being amortized over the term of the code-share agreement as a reduction of passenger revenue. Amortization of \$3.5 million and \$0.2 million was recorded in fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In May 2005, the Company announced a code-share arrangement between the Company, Freedom, and Delta that provides for Freedom to become a Delta Connection partner. Under the terms of the agreement, Freedom commenced operations in October 2005 and will operate up to 30 50-seat regional jet aircraft on routes throughout Delta's network. The arrangement required Mesa to partially reimburse Delta's lease payments associated with Delta's 30 Dornier Fairchild 328 jets throughout the term of the agreement in exchange for performing flight services under the agreement; however, the requirement to reimburse Delta for certain lease costs was terminated when Delta filed for bankruptcy protection. The code-share arrangement will terminate with respect to each aircraft, on an aircraft-by-aircraft basis, beginning in approximately twelve years. Delta may terminate the code-share agreement at

any time, with or without cause, upon twelve months prior written notice following the sixth anniversary of the in-service date of the 30th aircraft added to the Delta Connection fleet. However, Delta has not yet assumed our code-share agreement in its bankruptcy proceedings and could choose to terminate this agreement at any time prior to its emergence from bankruptcy.

As of September 30, 2005, the Company had firm orders with Bombardier Aerospace, Inc. for three CRJ-700 aircraft and two CRJ-900 which can be converted to CRJ-700s. In conjunction with this purchase agreement, Mesa

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

had \$15.0 million on deposit with BRAD that was included in lease and equipment deposits at September 30, 2006. The remaining deposits are expected to be returned upon completion of permanent financing on each of the last five aircraft (\$3.0 million per aircraft).

The Company accrues for potential income tax contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the contingency can be reasonably estimated. The Company's accrual for income tax contingencies is adjusted for changes in circumstances and additional uncertainties, such as amendments to existing tax law, both legislated and concluded through the various jurisdictions' tax court systems. At September 30, 2006, the Company had an accrual for income tax contingencies of approximately \$2.9 million. If the amounts ultimately settled are greater than the accrued contingencies, the Company would record additional income tax expense in the period in which the assessment is determined. To the extent amounts are ultimately settled for less than the accrued contingencies, or the Company determines that a liability is no longer probable, the liability is reversed as a reduction of income tax expense in the period the determination is made.

The Company also has long-term contracts for the performance of engine maintenance on some of its aircraft. A description of each of these contracts is as follows:

In January 1997, the Company entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with General Electric Aircraft Engines (GE) for its CRJ-200 aircraft. The agreement was subsequently amended in the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The amended contract requires a monthly payment based upon the prior month's flight hours incurred by the covered engines. The hourly rate increases over time based upon the engine overhaul costs that are expected to be incurred in that year and is subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices. Maintenance expense is recognized based upon the product of flight hours flown and the rate in effect for the period. The contract also provides for a fixed number of engine overhauls per year. To the extent that the number of actual overhauls is less than the fixed number, GE is required to issue to Mesa a credit for the number of events less than the fixed number multiplied by an agreed upon price. To the extent that the number of actual overhauls is greater than the fixed number, Mesa is required to pay GE for the number of events greater than the fixed number multiplied by the same agreed upon price. Any adjustment payments or credits are recognized in the period they occur.

In April 1997, the Company entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with Pratt & Whitney Canada Corp. (PWC) for its Dash-8 aircraft. The contract requires Mesa to pay PWC for the engine overhaul upon completion of the maintenance based upon a fixed dollar amount per flight hour. The rate under the contract is subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices.

In April 2000, the Company entered into a 10-year engine maintenance contract with Rolls-Royce Allison (Rolls-Royce) for its ERJ aircraft. The contract requires Mesa to pay Rolls-Royce for the engine overhaul upon completion of the maintenance based upon a fixed dollar amount per flight hour. The rate per flight hour is based upon certain operational assumptions and may vary if the engines are operated differently than these assumptions. The rate is also subject to escalation based on changes in certain price indices. The agreement with Rolls-Royce also contains a termination clause and look back provision to provide for any shortfall between the cost of maintenance incurred by the provider and the amount paid up to the termination date by the Company and includes a 15% penalty on such amount. The Company does not anticipate an early termination under the contract.

In May 2002, the Company entered into a six-year fleet management program with PWC to provide maintenance for the Company's Beechcraft 1900D turboprop engines. The contract requires a monthly payment based upon flight hours

incurred by the covered aircraft. The hourly rate is subject to annual adjustment based on changes in certain price indices and is guaranteed to increase by no less than 1.5% per year. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company sold certain assets of its Desert Turbine Services unit, as well as all spare PT6 engines to PWC for \$6.8 million, which approximated the net book value of the assets. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company provided a working capital loan to PWC for the same amount, which is to be repaid through a reduced hourly rate being charged for maintenance. The loan had a balance of \$2.0 million and \$2.8 million at September 30,

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2006 and 2005, respectively. The agreement covers all of the Company's Beechcraft 1900D turboprop aircraft and engines. The agreement also contains a termination clause and look back provision to provide for any shortfall between the cost of maintenance incurred by the provider and the amount paid up to the termination date by the Company and provides for return of a pro-rated share of the prepaid amount upon early termination. The Company does not anticipate an early termination under the contract.

In February 2006, Hawaiian Airlines, Inc. (Hawaiian) filed a complaint against the Company in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Hawaii (the Bankruptcy Court) alleging that the Company breached the terms of a Confidentiality Agreement entered into in April 2004 with the Trustee in Hawaiian's bankruptcy proceedings. Hawaiian's complaint alleges, among other things, that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement by (a) using the evaluation material to obtain a competitive advantage over Hawaiian, through the development and implementation of a business plan to compete with Hawaiian in the inter-island market, and (b) failing to return or destroy any evaluation materials after being notified by Hawaiian on or about May 12, 2004 that the Company had not been selected as a potential investor for a transaction with Hawaiian. Hawaiian, in its complaint, seeks unspecified damages, requests that the Company turn over to Hawaiian any evaluation material in the Company's possession, custody or control (the Turnover Claim), and an injunction preventing the Company from providing inter-island transportation services in the State of Hawaii for a period of two years from the date of such injunctive relief.

The Company vigorously denies Hawaiian's allegations and requests for relief contained in its complaint. The Company filed both an answer and an antitrust counterclaim against Hawaiian in response to its complaint. In May 2006, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the Turnover Claim contained in Hawaiian's complaint, but the Bankruptcy Court denied that motion. On December 8, 2006 the Bankruptcy Court, based on constitutional access to the courts, also granted Hawaiian's motion for summary judgment against the Company on its antitrust counterclaim. The Company does not believe that either of these decisions has a material impact on the Company's position in the lawsuit. Finally, in October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court denied Hawaiian's effort to enjoin the Company's *go!* operation from selling tickets claiming that *go!*'s entry into the inter-island air transport business was based on trade secrets furnished to Mesa during the Hawaiian bankruptcy. The Court found no such misuse of confidential information and rejected Hawaiian's motion for a preliminary injunction.

In June 2006, Hawaiian requested a preliminary injunction to prevent the Company from issuing new airline tickets for the Hawaiian inter-island market for a period of one year. In this request, Hawaiian alleges that initial discovery conducted reveals that the Company breached the Confidentiality Agreement. The Court has recently denied Hawaiian's request for a preliminary injunction. The case will be tried sometime in 2007.

On October 13, 2006, Aloha Airlines filed suit against Mesa Air Group and two of its Hawaii based employees, Charles Lauritsen, *go!*'s Chief Operating Officer and Joe Bock, *go!*'s Chief Marketing Officer. The complaint was filed in State Court in Hawaii and contains 11 counts and seeks damages and injunctive relief. The clear purpose of the complaint is to blunt Mesa's entry into the Hawaii inter-island market segment. Aloha alleges that Mesa's inter-island air fares are below cost and that Mesa is, therefore, violating specific provisions of Hawaii antitrust and unfair competition law. Aloha also alleges breach of contract and fraud by Mesa in connection with two confidentiality agreements, one in 2005 and the other in 2006.

In 1992, The Supreme Court of the United States decided *Morales v. TWA*, in which it construed the Airline Deregulation Act as prohibiting any state court, under any state law legal theory, from adjudicating issues which implicated an air carrier's pricing (or other service) practices. Accordingly, an airline's pricing decisions can be

attacked only under federal laws. In response to the complaint, Mesa filed a motion on December 8 seeking dismissal of all claims which rest on Mesa's alleged below-cost pricing.

Mesa also denies any improper use of the data furnished by Aloha while Mesa was considering a bid for Aloha during its bankruptcy. The case is in its incipient stages and no trial date has yet been set.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company is also involved in various legal proceedings and FAA civil action proceedings that the Company does not believe will have a material adverse effect upon its business, financial condition or results of operations, although no assurance can be given to the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings.

16. Financial Instruments

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable, accrued compensation and other liabilities approximates fair value due to the short maturity periods of these instruments. The fair value of the Company's marketable securities is based on quoted market prices. The Company's variable rate long-term debt had a carrying value of approximately \$408.8 million at September 30, 2006, which approximates fair value because these borrowings have variable interest rate terms that approximate market interest rates for similar debt instruments. The Company's fixed rate long-term debt, having a carrying value of approximately \$163.5 million at September 30, 2006, had a fair value of approximately \$159.4 million. The Company uses market prices and a financial model to calculate the fair value of its senior convertible debt.

17. Related Party Transactions

In February 1999, the Company entered into an agreement with Barlow Capital, LLC (Barlow), whereby Barlow would provide financial advisory services related to aircraft leases, mergers and acquisitions, and certain other financing arrangements. Under this agreement, the Company paid fees totaling \$0.6 million and \$2.5 million to Barlow in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively, for arranging for leasing companies to participate in the Company's various aircraft financings. At September 30, 2004, Jonathan Ornstein, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and George Murnane III, the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer were each members of Barlow and each held a 25% membership interest therein. Messrs. Ornstein and Murnane disposed of their membership interest at the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2005. Distributions to the members of Barlow were determined by the members on a year-to-year basis. Substantially all of Barlow's revenues were derived from its agreement with the Company.

Prior to September 2006, the Company provided reservation services to Europe-By-Air, Inc. The Company billed Europe-By-Air approximately \$53,000, \$57,000 and \$57,000 for these services during fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At September 30, 2006, the Company had receivables from Europe-By-Air of \$5,500. There were no amounts due as of September 30, 2005. Mr. Ornstein is a major shareholder of Europe-By-Air. In September 2006, Europe-By-Air stopped using the Company's reservation services.

The Company uses the services of the law firm of Baker & Hostetler and Piper Rudnick for labor related legal services. The Company paid the firms an aggregate of \$0.3 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for legal-related services in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Mr. Joseph Manson, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, is a partner with Baker & Hostetler and a former partner with Piper Rudnick.

In fiscal 2001, the Company established Regional Airline Partners (RAP), a political interest group formed to pursue the interests of regional airlines, communities served by regional airlines and manufacturers of regional airline equipment. RAP has been involved in various lobbying activities related to maintaining funding for the Essential Air Service program under which the Company operates the majority of its Beechcraft 1900 aircraft. Mr. Maurice Parker, a member of the Company's Board of Directors, is the Executive Director of RAP. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, the

Company paid RAP s operating costs totaling approximately \$284,000, \$312,000 and \$241,000, respectively. Included in these amounts are the wages of Mr. Parker, which amounted to \$119,000, \$120,000 and \$87,000 in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Since inception, the Company has financed 100% of RAP s operations.

Table of Contents

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company will enter into future business arrangements with related parties only where such arrangements are approved by a majority of disinterested directors and are on terms at least as favorable as available from unaffiliated third parties.

18. Bankruptcy Settlement

In fiscal 2006, the Company received 351,456 shares of US Airways common stock from its Pre-Merger US Airways bankruptcy claim. The Company sold the stock in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, and realized proceeds of \$17.6 million. Proceeds of \$5.5 million were first applied to existing receivables that were previously reserved and the remaining amount of \$12.1 million was recorded as a bankruptcy settlement in the consolidated statement of income.

19. Equity Method Investment

In fiscal 2006, the Company participated with a private equity fund in making an investment in the common stock and notes of a closely held airline related business (the Investee). The Company, through its subsidiary Nilchii, invested \$15 million, which represents approximately 20% and 11.8% of the Investee's common stock and notes, respectively.

The Company accounts for its investment using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the Company adjusts the carrying amount of its investment for its share of the earnings or losses of the Investee subsequent to the date of investment and reports the recognized earnings or losses in income. The Company's share of the Investee's losses subsequent to the date of investment have exceeded the carrying value of the common stock investment, which has been reduced to zero. In accordance with EITF Issue No. 99-10, Percentage Used to Determine the Amount of Equity Method Losses, the Company recognized equity method losses based on the ownership level of the Investee common stock held by the Company until the carrying value of its investment in the common stock was reduced to zero, then by the ownership level of the Investee notes held by the Company. During fiscal 2006, the Company recorded equity method losses from this investment of \$2.5 million.

20. Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, requires disclosures related to components of a company for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by a company's chief operating decision maker in deciding the allocation of resources and assessing performance. The Company has three airline operating subsidiaries, Mesa Airlines, Freedom Airlines and Air Midwest, as well as various other subsidiaries organized to provide support for the Company's airline operations. The Company has aggregated these subsidiaries into three reportable segments: Mesa Airlines / Freedom, Air Midwest / *go!* and Other. Operating revenues in the Other segment are primarily sales of rotatable and expendable parts to the Company's operating subsidiaries and ground handling services performed by employees of RAS for Mesa Airlines.

Mesa Airlines and Freedom Airlines provide passenger service under revenue-guarantee contracts with United Airlines, Inc. (United), Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Delta) and US Airways, Inc. (US Airways). As of September 30, 2006, Mesa Airlines and Freedom Airlines operated a fleet of 166 aircraft—108 CRJs, 36 ERJs and 22 Dash-8s. Prior to operating ERJ 145 aircraft, Freedom most recently operated Beechcraft 1900D under a pro-rate agreement with US Airways.

Air Midwest and Mesa Airlines, operating as *go!*, provide passenger service where revenue is derived from ticket sales either independently or through pro-rate agreements. Air Midwest provides passenger service under pro-rate contracts with US Airways, Pre-Merger US Airways and Midwest Airlines, as well as independently under the brand name Mesa Airlines. As of September 30, 2006, Air Midwest operated a fleet of 20 Beechcraft 1900D

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

turboprop aircraft. Mesa Airlines, operating as *go!*, provides independent inter-island Hawaiian passenger service. As of September 30, 2006, Mesa's *go!* Operation operated a fleet of five CRJ-200 aircraft. Air Midwest and Mesa, operating as *go!*, do not receive contractually-guaranteed revenue for their operations. Air Midwest LLC will be included in Air Midwest / *go!* if it begins operations.

The Other reportable segment includes Mesa Air Group (the holding company), RAS, MPD, MAG-AIM, MAGI, Nilchii and Ritz Hotel Management Corp., all of which support Mesa's operating subsidiaries. Activity in the Other category consists primarily of sales of rotatable and expendable parts and ground handling services to the Company's operating subsidiaries, but also includes all administrative functions not directly attributable to any specific operating company. These administrative costs are allocated to the operating companies based upon specific criteria including headcount, available seat miles (ASM's) and other operating statistics.

In October 2004 (fiscal 2005), the Company transitioned certain of its regional jets from Freedom into Mesa and transferred a Beechcraft 1900D aircraft from Air Midwest into Freedom. As a result, Freedom was grouped with Air Midwest in fiscal 2005 for segment purposes. In fiscal 2006, Freedom began operating under a revenue-guarantee code-share agreement with Delta utilizing ERJ-145 aircraft that were transitioned from Mesa Airlines. As such, the Company has aggregated Freedom with Mesa Airlines beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Year Ended September 30, 2006	Mesa/ Freedom	Air Midwest /go!	Other (000 s)	Eliminations	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 1,272,206	\$ 61,459	\$ 247,474	\$ (243,942)	\$ 1,337,197
Depreciation and amortization	31,000	684	4,853		36,537
Operating income (loss)	106,912	(10,527)	38,093	(33,675)	100,803
Interest expense	(27,238)	(1)	(10,650)	584	(37,305)
Interest income	11,070	76	1,554	(584)	12,116
Income (loss) before income tax	88,366	(11,044)	13,060	(33,676)	56,706
Income tax (benefit)	35,435	(4,427)	(5,235)	(13,504)	22,739
Total assets	1,419,206	16,059	510,014	(707,066)	1,238,213
Capital expenditures (including non-cash)	93,700	3,409	22,109		119,218

Year Ended September 30, 2005	Mesa	Air Midwest/ Freedom	Other (000 s)	Eliminations	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 1,064,093	\$ 62,681	\$ 297,764	\$ (288,270)	\$ 1,136,268
Depreciation and amortization	39,718	232	4,666	(385)	44,231
Operating income (loss)	138,310	(7,482)	41,855	(43,421)	129,262

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Interest expense	(33,202)		(11,838)	574	(44,466)
Interest income	2,859	13	603	(574)	2,901
Income (loss) before income tax	113,001	(7,838)	30,424	(43,421)	92,166
Income tax (benefit)	43,280	(3,002)	(11,652)	(16,631)	35,299
Total assets	1,328,180	10,705	320,631	(491,845)	1,167,671
Capital expenditures (including non-cash)	377,741	49	15,270		393,060

Table of Contents**MESA AIR GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Year Ended September 30, 2004	Mesa/ Freedom	Air Midwest	Other (000 s)	Eliminations	Total
Total operating revenues	\$ 807,736	\$ 81,714	\$ 365,858	\$ (358,496)	\$ 896,812
Depreciation and amortization	24,749	432	2,820		28,001
Operating income (loss)	81,761	(9,635)	52,615	(57,383)	67,358
Interest expense	(16,564)	(139)	(8,645)	285	(25,063)
Interest income	851	6	591	(285)	1,163
Income (loss) before income tax	67,311	(9,830)	45,082	(57,382)	45,181
Income tax (benefit)	28,156	(4,112)	18,858	(24,003)	18,899
Total assets	1,054,028	17,196	403,238	(352,923)	1,121,537
Capital expenditures (including non-cash)	474,449	243	39,527		514,219

21. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions/ Subtractions Charged to Costs and Expenses	Deductions	Balance at End of Year
	(In thousands)			
Allowance for Obsolescence Deducted from Expendable Parts and Supplies				
September 30, 2006	\$ 2,147	\$ 559	\$	\$ 2,706
September 30, 2005	1,481	1,195	(529)	2,147
September 30, 2004	1,906	1,269	(1,694)	1,481
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Deducted from Accounts Receivable				
September 30, 2006(1)	\$ 8,855	\$ (6,607)	\$ (650)	\$ 1,598
September 30, 2005	7,077	6,915	(5,137)	8,855
September 30, 2004	4,681	4,315	(1,919)	7,077

(1) See note 18 Bankruptcy Settlement.

22. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table presents selected unaudited quarterly financial data (in thousands):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2006(1)(3)				
Operating revenues	\$ 323,617	\$ 312,064	\$ 339,037	\$ 362,479
Operating Income	28,810	27,937	27,462	16,594
Net income	12,991	5,288	10,929	4,759
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.14
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.12

77

Table of Contents

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2005(2)(3)				
Operating revenues	\$ 264,804	\$ 263,816	\$ 298,578	\$ 309,070
Operating Income	28,290	28,405	36,763	35,804
Net income	13,876	10,848	17,135	15,008
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.52
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.36

- (1) Third quarter amounts include bankruptcy settlement of \$12.1 million (pretax).
- (2) First quarter amounts include the reversal of certain Shorts aircraft restructuring charges of \$1.3 million (pretax).
- (3) The sum of quarterly earnings per share may not equal annual earnings per share due to rounding.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.**

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this *Annual Report on Form 10-K*, the Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Disclosure controls and procedures are defined as those controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports it files or submits under the Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Company's chairman of the board and chief executive officer and the Company's executive vice president and chief financial officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the date of such evaluation to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this *Annual Report on Form 10-K* was being prepared.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and Rule 15d-15(f). The term internal control over

financial reporting refers to the process of a company that is designed by, or under the supervision of, the issuer's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the issuer's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of

Table of Contents

management and directors of the issuer; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding the prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the issuer's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, except as described below. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Remediation of Material Weaknesses

As disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K/A for the year ended September 30, 2005 and in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ending December 31, 2005, management of the Company had determined that, as of each of those dates, a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting then existed. A material weakness is a control deficiency or combination of control deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Description

Management determined that the Company did not maintain effective controls over the accurate preparation and review of its consolidated statements of cash flows. Specifically, the Company did not maintain effective controls to ensure the accurate presentation of the change in other assets for the following components: parts held for sale, contract incentive costs, prepaid rent costs, and debt issuance costs, as required by generally accepted accounting principles. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's consolidated statement of cash flows for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and the quarter ended December 31, 2005. Accordingly, management concluded that this control deficiency constituted a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting as of such dates.

Remediation

During the fourth quarter, the Company has taken the following steps to remediate the material weakness related to the consolidated statements of cash flows:

The Company has undertaken a thorough review of the classification requirements of each component line item and the individual elements that comprise each line item of the consolidated statements of cash flows, in accordance with SFAS 95.

The Company revised its existing control procedures for the preparation and review of its consolidated statements of cash flows.

The Company also refined and enhanced its standard cash flows template.

Management has concluded that the material weakness described above has been remediated as of September 30, 2006 and no longer existed as of that date.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, an evaluation was conducted of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control of

financial reporting as of September 30, 2006.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that is included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Mesa Air Group, Inc.
Phoenix, Arizona

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Mesa Air Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006 of the Company and our report dated December 14, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion and included an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, using the modified prospective transition method, and an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's significant code-sharing agreements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

December 14, 2006
Phoenix, Arizona

Table of Contents

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

PART III

All items in Part III are incorporated herein by reference as indicated below to our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders anticipated to be held February 6, 2007, which will be filed with the SEC, except for information relating to executive officers under the heading *Executive Officers of the Registrant*, which can be found in Part I following Item 4.

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governancet*

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to the information contained under the headings *Election of Directors* and *Executive Officers* as set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by Item 11 relating to our directors is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the heading *Compensation of Directors* and the information relating to our executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the heading *Executive Compensation* as set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the headings *Election of Directors*, *Equity Compensation Plan Information*, and *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management* as set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the heading *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions* as set forth in our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 14. *Principal Accountants Fees and Services*

Information regarding principal accounting fees and services is incorporated herein by reference to the information under the heading *Disclosure Of Audit And Non-Audit Fees* contained in the Proxy Statement for our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders.

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules*

(A) Documents filed as part of this report:

1. Reference is made to Item 8 hereof.

2. Exhibits

Table of Contents

The following exhibits are either filed as part of this report or are incorporated herein by reference from documents previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission:

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Registrant dated May 28, 1996	Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1996, incorporated herein by Reference
3.2	Bylaws of Registrant as amended	Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1996, incorporated herein by Reference
4.1	Form of Common Stock certificate	Filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Amendment No. 1 to Registrant's Form S-18, Registration No. 33-11765 filed March 6, 1987, incorporated herein by reference
4.2	Form of Common Stock certificate (issued after November 12, 1990)	Filed as Exhibit 4.8 to Form S-1, Registration No. 33-35556 effective December 6, 1990, incorporated herein by reference
4.3	Indenture dated as of June 16, 2003 between the Registrant, the guarantors signatory thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to Senior Convertibles Notes due 2023	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of June 16, 2003 between the Registrant, the subsidiaries of the Registrant listed on the signature pages thereto, and Merrill Lynch & Co., as representatives of the Initial Purchasers of Senior Convertibles Notes due 2023	Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.5	Form of Guarantee (Exhibit A-2 to Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.3 above)	Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.6	Form of Senior Convertible Note due 2023 (Exhibit A-1 to Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.3 above)	Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.7	Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2004 between Mesa Air Group, Inc., the guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to Senior Convertible Notes due 2024	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
4.8	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of February 10, 2004 between Mesa Air Group, Inc., the subsidiaries of Mesa Air Group, Inc. listed on the signature pages thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, As Initial Purchaser of the Senior Convertible Notes due 2024	Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

4.9	Form of Guarantee (included in Exhibit 4.7)	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
4.10	Form of Senior Convertible Notes due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.7)	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
10.1	1998 Key Officer Stock Option Plan	Filed as Appendix A to Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement, dated June 17, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
10.2	2001 Key Officer Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.2 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.3	Outside Directors Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.3 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.4	1996 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.4 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.5	2005 Employee Stock Incentive Plan	Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.6	Deferred Compensation Plan, adopted July 13, 2001	Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.7	2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, adopted February 7, 2005	Filed as Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.8	Form of Directors and Officers Indemnification Agreement	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference
10.9(1)	Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement, dated as of March 20, 2001, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001, incorporated herein by reference
10.10	First Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of April 27, 2001, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-K for Fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference
10.11(1)	Second Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of October 24, 2002, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.12(1)	Third Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of December 2, 2002, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.13(1)	Fourth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of September 5, 2003, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and	Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

10.14(1)	America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.) Fifth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of January 28, 2005, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
----------	---	---

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
10.15(1)	Sixth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of July 27, 2005, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.24(1)	Service Agreement between US Airways, Inc. and Air Midwest, Inc. dated as of May 14, 2003 (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.25(1)	Amended and Restated United Express Agreement dated as of January 28, 2004, between United Airlines, Inc. and Mesa Air Group, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.26(1)	Amendment to United Express Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and United Airlines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.27(1)	Delta Connection Agreement, dated May 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.28(1)	Reimbursement Agreement dated May 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.29(1)	Master Purchase Agreement between Bombardier, Inc. and the Registrant Dated May 18, 2001 (Certain portions deleted Pursuant to confidential treatment)	Filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference
10.30	Employment Agreement dated as of March 31, 2004, between the Registrant and Jonathan G. Ornstein	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.31	Employee Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2004, between the Registrant and Michael J. Lotz	Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.32	Employment Agreement, dated as of May 4, 2006 between the Registrant and George Murnane III	Filed as Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
10.33	Employment Agreement, dated April 30, 2005, entered into by and between the Registrant and Brian Gillman	Filed as Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.34	Three Gateway Office Lease between Registrant and DMB Property Ventures Limited	Filed as Exhibit 10.29 to Registrant s Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

10.35(1)	Partnership, dated October 16, 1998, as amended, including Amendments 1 through 4 Amendments Number 5 through 8 to Three Gateway Office Lease between Registrant and DMB Property Ventures Limited Partnership, dated October 16, 1998	2002 and incorporated herein by reference Filed as Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
----------	--	--

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
18.1	Letter regarding change in accounting principle	Filed as exhibit 18.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant	Filed herewith
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith

(1) The Company has sought confidential treatment of portions of the referenced exhibits.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MESA AIR GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ JONATHAN G. ORNSTEIN
Jonathan G. Ornstein
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ GEORGE MURNANE III
George Murnane III
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: December 14, 2006

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints JONATHAN G. ORNSTEIN, BRIAN S. GILLMAN and GEORGE MURNANE III, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Form 10-K Annual Report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises as fully and to all intent and purposes as he might or could do in person hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ JONATHAN G. ORNSTEIN	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director	December 14, 2006
Jonathan G. Ornstein		
/s/ DANIEL J. ALTOBELLO	Director	December 14, 2006
Daniel J. Altobello		
/s/ MAURICE A. PARKER	Director	December 14, 2006
Maurice A. Parker		
/s/ JOSEPH L. MANSON	Director	December 14, 2006

Joseph L. Manson

/s/ ROBERT BELESON

Director

December 14, 2006

Robert Beleson

Table of Contents

/s/ PETER F. NOSTRAND	Director	December 14, 2006
Peter F. Nostrand		
/s/ CARLOS BONILLA	Director	December 14, 2006
Carlos Bonilla		
/s/ RICHARD THAYER	Director	December 14, 2006
Richard Thayer		

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Registrant dated May 28, 1996	Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1996, incorporated herein by Reference
3.2	Bylaws of Registrant as amended	Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1996, incorporated herein by Reference
4.1	Form of Common Stock certificate	Filed as Exhibit 4.5 to Amendment No. 1 to Registrant's Form S-18, Registration No. 33-11765 filed March 6, 1987, incorporated herein by reference
4.2	Form of Common Stock certificate (issued after November 12, 1990)	Filed as Exhibit 4.8 to Form S-1, Registration No. 33-35556 effective December 6, 1990, incorporated herein by reference
4.3	Indenture dated as of June 16, 2003 between the Registrant, the guarantors signatory thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to Senior Convertibles Notes due 2023	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of June 16, 2003 between the Registrant, the subsidiaries of the Registrant listed on the signature pages thereto, and Merrill Lynch & Co., as representative of the Initial Purchasers of Senior Convertibles Notes due 2023	Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.5	Form of Guarantee (Exhibit A-2 to Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.3 above)	Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.6	Form of Senior Convertible Note due 2023 (Exhibit A-1 to Indenture filed as Exhibit 4.3 above)	Filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003, incorporated herein by reference
4.7	Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2004 between Mesa Air Group, Inc., the guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to Senior Convertible Notes due 2024	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
4.8	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of February 10, 2004 between Mesa Air Group, Inc., the subsidiaries of Mesa Air Group, Inc. listed on the signature pages thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as Initial Purchaser of the Senior Convertible Notes due 2024	Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
4.9	Form of Guarantee (included in Exhibit 4.7).	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

4.10	Form of Senior Convertible Notes due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.7).	Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on May 7, 2004, incorporated herein by reference
10.1	1998 Key Officer Stock Option Plan	Filed as Appendix A to Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement, dated June 17, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
10.2	2001 Key Officer Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.2 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.3	Outside Directors Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.3 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.4	1996 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended	Filed as Exhibit 5.4 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.5	2005 Employee Stock Incentive Plan	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.6	Deferred Compensation Plan, adopted July 13, 2001	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.7	2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, adopted February 7, 2005	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.8	Form of Directors and Officers Indemnification Agreement	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference
10.9(1)	Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement, dated as of March 20, 2001, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference
10.10(1)	First Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of April 27, 2001, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference
10.11(1)	Second Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of October 24, 2002, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.12(1)	Third Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of December 2, 2002, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc. and America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.13(1)	Fourth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of September 5, 2003, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and	Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

10.14(1)	America West, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.) Fifth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of January 28, 2005, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
----------	---	---

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
10.15(1)	Sixth Amendment to Code Share and Revenue Sharing Agreement dated as of July 27, 2005, by and between Mesa Airlines, Inc., Freedom Airlines, Inc., Air Midwest, Inc. and America West, Inc.	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.24(1)	Service Agreement between US Airways, Inc. and Air Midwest, Inc. dated as of May 14, 2003 (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference
10.25(1)	Amended and Restated United Express Agreement dated as of January 28, 2004 between United Airlines, Inc. and Mesa Air Group, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.26(1)	Amendment to United Express Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and United Airlines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.27(1)	Delta Connection Agreement, dated May 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.28(1)	Reimbursement Agreement, dated May 3, 2005, between Mesa Air Group, Inc. and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Certain portions deleted pursuant to confidential treatment.)	Previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.29(1)	Master Purchase Agreement between Bombardier, Inc. and the Registrant dated May 18, 2001 (Certain portions deleted Pursuant to confidential treatment)	Filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference
10.30	Employment Agreement dated as of March 31, 2004, between the Registrant and Jonathan G. Ornstein	Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.31	Employee Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2004, between the Registrant and Michael J. Lotz	Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference
10.32	Employment Agreement, dated as of May 4, 2006 between the Registrant and George Murnane III	Filed as Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference
10.33	Employment Agreement, dated April 30, 2005, entered into by and between the Registrant and Brian Gillman	Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
10.34	Three Gateway Office Lease between Registrant and DMB Property Ventures Limited	Filed as Exhibit 10.29 to Registrant s Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: MESA AIR GROUP INC - Form 10-K

10.35(1)	Partnership, dated October 16, 1998, as amended, including Amendments 1 through 4 Amendments Number 5 through 8 to Three Gateway Office Lease between Registrant and DMB Property Ventures Limited Partnership, dated October 16, 1998	2002 and incorporated herein by reference Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference
----------	--	--

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Reference
18.1	Letter regarding change in accounting principle	Filed as exhibit 18.1 to Registrant's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant	Filed herewith
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith

(1) The Company has sought confidential treatment of portions of the referenced exhibits.