PC TEL INC Form 10-Q November 09, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO ____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-27115

PCTEL, INC.

(Exact Name of Business Issuer as Specified in Its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

77-0364943
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

8725 W. HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 400,
CHICAGO IL
(Address of Principal Executive Office)

60631 (Zip Code)

(773) 243-3000 (Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

[] Large accelerated filer [X] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes $[\]$ No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's

classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

TITLE OUTSTANDING

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share 22,325,525 as of November 1, 2006

PCTEL, INC. ${\tt FORM} \ 10-{\tt Q}$ FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

		PAGE
	PART T	
Item 1	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
	Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	19
	And Results of Operations	
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	28
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	28
	PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A	Risk Factors	30
Item 6	Exhibits	37
	Signature	37

2

PCTEL INC. CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS)

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70,442	\$ 58,307
Restricted cash	208	208
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful		
accounts of \$405 and \$318, respectively	13,518	13,725
Inventories, net	6 , 957	9,547

Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,412	3,109
Total current assets		84,896
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	11,361	11,190
GOODWILL	17,457	31,020
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	8,144	16,457
OTHER ASSETS	1,687	941
TOTAL ASSETS	\$131 , 186	\$144,504
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	950	2,251
Income taxes payable	5,337	5,297
Deferred revenue	1,338	1,944
Accrued liabilities	4,809	5 , 595
Short Term Debt	1,245	
Total current liabilities	13,679	15,087
Pension liabilities		3,046
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	2,266	2,344
Total liabilities		20,477
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 22,315 and 21,423 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December		
31, 2005, respectively		
Common stock	2.2	2.2
	==	
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit	166,868	160,825
	(53,270)	(36,652)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,621 	(168)
Total stockholders' equity	115,241	124,027
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$131,186	\$144,504
	======	======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

PCTEL, INC. CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE INFORMATION)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
2006	2005	2006	2005	
\$ 20,526 10,618	\$21,632 11,593	\$ 65,850 30,164	\$54,952 28,772	

GROSS PROFIT	9,908	10,039	35,686	26,180
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Research and development	3,578	2,562	9,831	7,467
Sales and marketing	3,226	3,637	9,964	9,686
General and administrative	3 , 393	4,105	10,867	12,136
Amortization of other intangible assets	749	1,231	2,842	2,967
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	20,349		20,349	
Restructuring charges (benefit)	1,141		424	(70)
Gain on sale of assets and related royalties	(250)		(750)	
Total operating expenses	32,186	10,935	53,527	30,586
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS			(17,841)	
OTHER INCOME, NET			2,358	
LOSS BEFORE PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES			(15, 483)	
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES	(541)	95	1,135	196
NET LOSS	\$(20,747)	\$ (917)	\$(16,618)	\$(3,557)
Basic loss per share Shares used in computing basic loss per share	\$ (0.99)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.80) 20.753	\$ (0.18)
onares used in compacing basic ross per share				
Diluted loss per share			\$ (0.80)	
Shares used in computing diluted loss per share	20,941	20,163	20 , 753	20,111

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

PCTEL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS)

2006 2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:
Net loss (16,618) (3,557)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash
provided by (used in) operating activities:
Depreciation and amortization 4,322 4,162
Amortization of stock-based compensation 3,324 2,865
Gain on sale of assets and related royalties (750) (1,600)
Loss on impairment of goodwill and intangible assets 20,349
Loss on disposal of assets 617 152
Provision for allowance for doubtful accounts 152 226
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable 167 (385)
Decrease in inventories 2,723 255
Decrease in prepaid expenses, other current assets, and other assets 1,559 469

Decrease in accounts payable Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable Payment of withholding tax on stock based compensation Decrease in other accrued liabilities Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	40 (1,047) (3,393) (1,238)	(3,948) 1,085
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	8,846 	(748)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures for property and equipment	(2,395)	(3,675)
Proceeds on sale of property and equipment		2,155
Proceeds on sale of assets and related royalties		1,600
Purchase of assets/businesses, net of cash acquired	510	(25,156)
Net cash used in investing activities		(25,076)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	3,104	1,417
Repayment of Sigma overdraft	•	(328)
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation		
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	1,225	
Payments for repurchase of common stock	,	(742)
Net cash provided by financing activities		347
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	12 , 815	(25, 477)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(680)	(50)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	58 , 307	83 , 887
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD		58 , 360
	======	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

PCTEL, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Certain balance sheet reclassifications were made for comparison purposes.

BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION AND FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The company uses the United States dollar as the functional currency for the financial statements. The company uses the local currency as the functional currency for its subsidiaries in China (Yuan), Ireland (Euro), United Kingdom (Pounds Sterling), Serbia (Euro) and Japan (Yen). Assets and liabilities of these operations are translated to U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the applicable balance sheet date, and revenues and expenses are translated using average exchange rates prevailing during that period. Translation gains (losses) are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a component of stockholders' equity. All gains and losses resulting from other transactions originally in foreign currencies and then translated into U.S. dollars are included in net income. At September 30, 2006, the cumulative translation adjustment was positive \$1.6 million. The company uses the U.S. dollar as the functional currency for its subsidiaries in Israel and for its branch office in Hong Kong. These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PCTEL and its subsidiaries after eliminating intercompany accounts and transactions.

NOTE 2. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

At September 30, 2006 cash equivalents were invested in money market funds, certificates of deposit, and commercial paper with original maturities of 90 days or less. At December 31, 2005 all cash equivalents were invested in money market funds with original maturities of 90 days or less.

NOTE 3. INVENTORIES

Inventories as of September 30, 2006 were composed of raw materials, sub assemblies, finished goods and work-in-process. Sub assemblies are included within raw materials. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the allowance for inventory losses was \$1.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	DECEMBER 31, 2005
Raw materials	\$5 , 111	\$6,404
Work in process	456	461
Finished goods	1,390	2,682
Inventories, net	\$6 , 957	\$9,547
	=====	=====

6

NOTE 4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In conjunction with the completion of the restructuring of Sigma, the company reevaluated the carrying value of the related technology and customer relationships intangible assets and goodwill of that acquisition, as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long Lived Assets and for Long Lived Assets to be Disposed Of" and Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142 "Goodwill and Intangible Assets". The

company concluded that the carrying value of intangible assets was impaired by \$6.0 million and the carrying value of the goodwill was impaired by \$14.3 million. The total impairment cost was recorded in the third quarter of 2006.

NOTE 5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		SEPTEME	BER 30,
			2006	
Net loss			\$(16,618) ======	
Basic loss per share:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding Less: Weighted average shares subject to repurchase	(1,294)	(1,225)		(1,225)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	20,941	20,163	20 , 753	20,111
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.99)		\$ (0.80)	
Diluted loss per share:				
Weighted average shares subject to repurchase		*	*	
Weighted average common stock option grants Weighted average common shares and common stock	*			
Equivalents outstanding			20 , 753	
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.99)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.80) ======	\$ (0.18)

* These amounts have been excluded since the effect is anti-dilutive.

Common stock equivalents consist of stock options and restricted shares using the treasury stock method. Common stock options and restricted shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share if their effect is anti-dilutive. The weighted average common stock option grants excluded from the calculations of diluted net loss per share were 737,000 and 580,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively and 778,000 and 542,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

NOTE 6. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Current Year Misstatements. SAB No. 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB No. 108 is effective for the company's fiscal year 2006 annual financial statements. Currently, the company prepares a SAB 99 analysis for all misstatements using the dual approach. The dual approach incorporates both the income statement and balance sheet for measuring materiality. The company is currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will have on its consolidated

financial statements and will make the required disclosure in the 2006 annual report.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning July 1, 2008. The company is currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

7

In July 2006, FASB released FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in income tax positions. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. The company will adopt this Interpretation in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying this Interpretation will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The company is in process of evaluating the expected effect of FIN 48 on its consolidated financial statements

In May 2005, FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections", a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle, and requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements for changes in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 will be effective for the company beginning in fiscal year 2007. The company does not believe this statement will have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2006, the company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), "Share Based Payments," as described in Note 7.

NOTE 7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In the first fiscal quarter of fiscal 2006, the company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), "Share Based Payments," which revises SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock Based Compensation." SFAS No. 123(R) requires the company to record compensation expense for share-based payments, including employee stock options, at fair value. Prior to fiscal 2006, the company had accounted for its stock based compensation awards pursuant to Accounting Principles Opinion (APB) No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees", and its related interpretations, which allowed use of the intrinsic value method. Under the intrinsic value method, compensation expense for stock option based employee compensation was not recognized in the income statement as all stock options granted by the company had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the option grant date.

The company has elected to use the modified prospective transition method to adopt SFAS No. 123(R). Under this transition method, compensation expense includes expense for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and the expense for all

share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). As required under the modified prospective transition method the company has not restated prior period results. As a result, certain components of the company's quarterly financial statements will not be comparable until the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the anniversary of the company's adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). In the quarter ended March 31, 2005, the company accelerated the vesting of all unvested options to purchase shares of common stock of PCTEL that were held by current employees, including executive officers, and which have an exercise price per share equal to or greater than \$10.00. The effect of this acceleration resulted in PCTEL not being required to recognize share-based compensation expense of \$3.8 million in the periods after adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

As part of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the company took the shorthaul approach for the requirement to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid in capital (APIC) pool related to employee compensation. The company determined that it is in a net shortfall position and thus, started at \$0 for the APIC pool in the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

The company uses both stock options and restricted stock as employee incentives. However, the use of stock options is limited to new employee grants, annual director grants, and as a component for annual compensation of the company's Chief Executive Officer.

Total stock compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was \$1.1 million in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, which included \$0.7 million of restricted stock amortization, \$0.3 million for stock option expense, and \$0.1 million for stock bonuses. Total stock compensation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was \$3.3 million in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, which included \$1.9 million of restricted stock amortization, \$1.0 million for stock option expense, and \$0.4 million for stock bonuses. The company realized \$0.6 million in tax benefits related to the exercise of stock options and \$0.1 million in tax benefits related to the vesting of restricted stock in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The impact on net income related to stock-based equity awards was \$1.1 million and \$0.06 per basic and diluted share in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and \$3.3 million and \$0.16 per basic and diluted share in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

8

The following table summarizes the stock-based compensation expense by income statement line item in thousands.

	THREE MONTHS ENDED	THREE MONTHS ENDED	NINE MONTHS ENDED	NINE MONTHS ENDED
	SEPTEMBER 30,	SEPTEMBER 30,	SEPTEMBER 30,	SEPTEMBER 30,
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Cost of sales	\$ 95	\$ 75	\$ 258	\$ 83
Research and development	166	92	472	212
Sales and marketing	207	256	645	572
General and administrative	642	858	1,949	1,998
Total operating expense	1,015	1,206	3,066	2,782
Total	\$1,110	\$1,281	\$3,324	\$2,865

===== ===== =====

The company did not capitalize stock-based compensation costs capitalized as part of the cost of an asset in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Stock Options

The company issues stock options with exercise prices no less than 100% of the fair value of the company's stock on the grant date. Options for new employees contain gradual vesting provisions, whereby 25% vest one year from the date of grant and thereafter in monthly increments over the remaining three years. Annual option grants to directors vest over one year. Recent CEO option grants vest over two years and contain performance measures where the number of options may increase. All unexercised options expire ten years after the date of grant. Prior to fiscal 2006, the company used the intrinsic value method to value all stock options issued under these plans, and therefore recorded no compensation expense for these stock options. Beginning in fiscal 2006, the company is recognizing compensation expense on a graded vesting basis. At January 1, 2006, the company had 0.9 million in unvested stock options outstanding. The fair value of each unvested option was estimated based on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

The company issued 249,750 options with a weighted average fair value of \$3.05 in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 501,489 options with a weighted average fair value of \$2.98 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Total cost recognized for all stock options was \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The company estimates that it will recognize expense of \$1.2 million for stock options in fiscal 2006, net of estimated forfeitures. As of September 30, 2006, the unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested portion of the company's stock options was approximately \$1.5 million, net of estimated forfeitures to be recognized through 2009 over a weighted average period of 1.5 years.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the company received \$0.5 million in proceeds from the exercise of 79,611 options. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company received \$2.6 million in proceeds from the exercise of 353,808 options. The company realized \$0.6 million in tax benefits related to the exercise of stock options in the nine months ended September 30, 2006

The fair value of each unvested option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following assumptions during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

	2006	2005
Dividend yield	None	None
Expected volatility	48%	378
Risk-free interest rate	4.8%	3.69
Expected life (in years)	2.34	2.45

The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yields with remaining term that approximates the expected life of the options granted. The expected life used for options granted in 2006 was based on historical data of employee exercise performance. Prior to fiscal 2006, the expected life was based on the average life of outstanding options. The estimated volatility for fiscal

2006 was based on the company's historical stock price volatility for the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2005. The company believes five years accurately matches the expected term of the options. The company used an expected dividend yield of 0% for all periods because the company has never paid and does not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Starting in fiscal 2006, the company uses an estimated forfeiture rate based on historical forfeiture data. Prior to fiscal 2006, the company used the actual forfeiture method allowed under

9

SFAS No. 123, which assumed that all options vest and pro forma expense was adjusted when options were forfeited. Based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, the weighted average estimated fair value of employee stock option grants was \$3.03 for 2005, \$3.63 for 2004, and \$2.79 for 2003.

A summary of the company's stock option activity and related information follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (in thousands except share amounts):

	SUMMARY OF OPTION ACTIVITY	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERISE PRICE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE CONTRACTUAL LIFE (YRS)	AGGEGRATE INTRINSIC VALUE
Outstanding at December 31, 2005 Granted Expired or cancelled Fofeited Exercised	4,112,881 501,489 (127,521) (127,348) (353,808)	\$ 9.54 9.30 12.79 8.77 7.53		
Outstanding at September 30, 2006	4,005,693	\$ 9.61	7.20	\$5 , 297
Exercisable at September 30, 2006	3,122,408	\$ 9.82	6.69	\$3 , 827
Unvested	883,285			

Effective June 5, 2006, the stockholders of PCTEL approved the amendment and restatement of the 1997 Stock Plan. The amended and restated 1997 Stock Plan replaces the original 1997 Stock Plan and the 1998 Directors Stock Plan. The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding under the amended and restated 1997 Stock Plan, 2001 Stock Plan, and Executive Options at September 30, 2006:

		OPTIONS	OUTSTANDING	OPTIONS EX	XERCISABLE
	NUMBER OF OUTSTANDING SHARES AT	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING	WEIGHTED	NUMBER EXERCISABLE AT	WEIGHTE
RANGE OF EXERCISABLE PRICES	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	CONTRACTUAL LIFE	AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	AVERAG EXERCISE P

	4,005,693	7.20	\$ 9.61	3,122,408	\$ 9.82
\$59.00	7,500	3.34	59.00	7,500	59.00
\$11.65 - \$13.30	498,500	7.40	11.87	498 , 500	11.87
\$10.72 - \$11.60	710,020	7.31	11.35	651 , 403	11.39
\$9.12 - \$10.70	986 , 550	7.78	10.15	679 , 593	10.45
\$8.00 - \$9.11	593 , 403	7.74	8.72	265 , 199	8.67
\$7.30 - \$7.95	693 , 230	6.64	7.70	559 , 708	7.72
\$5.96 - \$7.27	516 , 490	5.90	\$ 6.86	460,505	\$ 6.85

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP)

Eligible employees are able to purchase common stock at the lower of 85% of the fair market value of the common stock on the first or last day of each offering period under the company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). Each offering period is nine months. Based on the 15% discount and the fair value of the option feature of this plan, this plan is considered compensatory under SFAS 123(R). Compensation expense is calculated using the fair value of the employees' purchase rights under the Black-Scholes model. The company recognized compensation expense of \$43,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and \$130,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The company received proceeds of \$0.3 million from the issuance of 37,547 shares under the ESPP during the three months ended September 30, 2006. The company received proceeds of \$0.5 million from the issuance of 74,550 shares under the ESPP during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

10

The key assumptions used in the valuation model during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are provided below:

	EMPLOYE PURCHA	E STOCK SE PLAN
	2006	2005
Dividend yield	None	None
Expected volatility	48%	37%
Risk-free interest rate	4.7%	3.4%
Expected life (in years)	0.5	0.5

Restricted Stock

As part of the company's long-term incentive plans for employees, the company issues restricted stock to officers, key employees, and directors. Each restricted share entitles the participant to one share of the company's common stock on the vesting date. In connection with the grant of restricted stock to employees, the company records deferred stock compensation representing the fair value of the common stock on the date the restricted stock is granted. Compensation expense for restricted stock is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period and is based on the market price of the company's common stock on the grant date. Restricted stock vests based on a service period, typically five years. The CEO has certain restricted stock awards for which the vesting may be accelerated based on performance measures.

Starting in the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the company estimated forfeitures based on historical forfeiture data. Prior to 2006, the company used the actual forfeiture method allowed under SFAS No. 123, which assumed that all awards vest and expense was adjusted when restricted stock awards were forfeited. The company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively and \$1.9 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005.

The company issued 36,500 restricted awards in the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and 429,674 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 with a value of \$0.3 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, 10,000 shares vested with a value of \$90,000 and 178,860 shares with a value of \$1.7 million vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock was approximately \$5.6 million, net of forfeitures to be recognized through 2011 over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. The company realized a \$0.1 million in tax benefits related to the vesting of restricted stock in the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

A summary of the company's restricted stock activity and related information follows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

		RESTRICTED SHARES	WEIGHTED AVERAGE GRANT DATE FAIR VALUE
Unvested at Decem Granted Vested Cancelled	nber 31, 2005	1,103,800 429,674 (178,860) (60,400)	\$8.51 8.59 9.32 8.22
Unvested at Septe	ember 30, 2006	1,294,214	\$8.42

Short Term Incentive Plan

The bonuses for the company's Short Term Bonus Incentive Plan are paid in shares of the company's common stock. The shares earned under the plan are issued in the first quarter following the end of the fiscal year. The company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million for the Short Term Bonus Incentive Plan for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$1.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively. In the three months ended March 31, 2006, the company issued 140,290 shares, net of shares withheld for payment of withholding tax, for the 2005 Short Term Incentive plan and 14,796 shares, net of shares withheld for payment of withholding tax, for the 2005 CEO Stretch Bonus Plan.

11

The CEO Stretch Bonus Plan was discontinued in 2006.

Pro-forma Information

The company applied the provisions of APB 25 to determine the company's stock-based compensation expense for all periods prior to January 1, 2006. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net income per share if the company had applied the fair value recognition provision of SFAS 123 to the company's stock-based compensation plans during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands, except per share data):

	THREE MONTHS SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	
	(UNAUDITED)	(UNAUDITED)
Net loss as reported Add: Stock-based employee compensation	\$ (917)	\$(3 , 557)
expense included in net loss Less: Stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based	\$ 1,281	\$ 2,865
method for all awards	(1,516)	(7 , 535)
Net loss proforma	\$(1,152) ======	\$(8,227) =====
Net loss per share basic as reported Net loss per share basic proforma Net loss per share diluted as reported Net loss per share diluted proforma	\$ (0.05) \$ (0.06) \$ (0.05) \$ (0.06)	\$ (0.18) \$ (0.41) \$ (0.18) \$ (0.41)

The pro-forma net loss and pro-forma net loss per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 include the \$3.8 million impact of the acceleration of the underwater options.

Employee Withholding Taxes on Stock Awards

Effective January 1, 2006, for ease in administering the issuance of stock awards, the company holds back shares of vested restricted stock awards and short-term incentive plan stock awards for the value of the withholding taxes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company paid \$1.0 million for withholding taxes related to stock awards.

NOTE 8. STOCK REPURCHASES

The company did not repurchase any shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The company is authorized to purchase 413,100 additional shares under the repurchase program.

12

NOTE 9. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table provides the calculation of other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	THREE MC ENDE SEPTEMBE	D	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	
	(UNAUDI	(UNAUDITED)		(UNAUDITED)	
Net loss Other comprehensive income (loss):	\$(20,747)	\$(917)	\$(16,618)	\$(3,557)	
Cumulative translation adjustment	(175)	222	1,789	191	
Comprehensive loss	\$(20,922)	\$(695) =====	\$(14,829)	\$(3,366)	

NOTE 10. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

Dublin, Ireland Restructuring

On April 7, 2006, the company reached an agreement in principle with the labor union responsible for the company's manufacturing and certain other personnel in its Dublin, Ireland factory to discontinue the manufacture of the iVET, PMR and DPMR lines of the company's antenna products at that location. The agreement was formally signed on April 20, 2006. This agreement enabled the company to wind down its manufacturing operations at the Dublin facility, terminate 65 redundant employee positions, terminate its facilities lease at this location, and reduce its pension obligations to terminated and remaining employees. Manufacturing of the lines of antenna products was relocated either to a contract manufacturer in St. Petersburg, Russia, or to the company's Antenna Products Group facility in Bloomingdale, Illinois. The process of winding down manufacturing operations in Dublin and relocating the products to their new manufacturing locations was completed in August 2006. The company expects related general and administrative support functions to be eliminated by the end of 2006.

The company will continue to maintain antenna research and development, as well as sales and marketing activities in a smaller facility in Dublin to be established during the fourth quarter of 2006. The company believes that its restructuring activities will enable it to improve the gross profit margins of the antenna product lines that were included with the company's acquisition of Sigma Wireless Technologies in July 2005.

The company incurred restructuring costs related to the discontinuation of its Dublin manufacturing operations. The categories of costs are: severance pay for employees whose jobs were made redundant, future minimum lease payments through June 2007 on the existing Dublin facility which will be vacated, and, termination of the employee pension defined benefit plan. The severance, future lease payments, and a portion of the termination of the employee pension defined benefit plan result in cash expenditures. The company also incurred restructuring costs related to the impairment of fixed assets and inventory.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, the company recorded restructuring expense of \$1.1 million, which included fixed asset write-offs of \$0.6 million, inventory write-offs of \$0.4 million, and facility lease costs of \$0.1 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company recorded a restructuring expense of \$0.4 million, which included the net benefit related to the termination of the pension plan of \$2.6 million, offsetting employee severance of \$1.5 million, inventory write-offs of \$0.8 million, fixed asset write-offs of \$0.6 million, and facility lease costs of \$0.1 million.

The company negotiated the terms of the pension termination with the Sigma

labor union in June 2006. Under the terms of the settlement, the company funded the cash shortfall in the company's PCTEL Europe Pension Plan as calculated by a third party actuary less any severance amounts given to employees that exceeded 3 weeks severance for every year of service. The funding shortfall was based on pension requirements in accordance with Irish regulations. The company funded pension obligations of \$0.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006 and recorded a net gain of \$2.6 million on the termination.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company paid employee severance of approximately \$2.4 million. Total net severance costs of approximately \$1.5 million are comprised of a gross cost of \$2.4 million less a government rebate of \$0.9 million. At September 30, 2006, the remaining \$0.1 million related to the government rebate is recorded in the balance sheet in Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets.

13

The write-offs for inventory related to disposals of inventory that was not compatible with the new manufacturing model. The fixed asset write-offs related to assets identified that are no longer required at the Dublin facility. The company downsized the facility at the end of the third quarter of 2006. The restructuring expense for lease termination costs relates to the future lease payments for the facility space no longer required.

The following table shows the restructuring activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 (in thousands):

	ACCRUAL BALANCE AT			ACCRUAL BALANCE AT
	DECEMBER 31, 2005	RESTRUCTURING CHARGES, NET	PAYMENTS	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Severance and employment related costs	\$	\$2,382	\$(2,365)	\$ 17
Pension termination Facility lease		535 75	(516)	19 75
	\$ 	\$2 , 992	\$(2,881)	\$(111)

NOTE 11. SHORT TERM DEBT

On June 9, 2006, PCTEL Limited (formerly Sigma) secured an overdraft line of credit. This line of credit is guaranteed by PCTEL, Inc. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$1.1 million outstanding under the line of credit. Interest on the outstanding borrowings to this line was 4.5% at September 30, 2006. The company intends to repay this overdraft by the end of 2006. In addition, Maxrad Tianjin, a subsidiary of APG, secured an overdraft line of credit on July 31, 2006. This line of credit is guaranteed by PCTEL, Inc. As of September 30, 2006, there was \$0.1 million outstanding under the line of credit. Interest on the outstanding borrowings to this line was 6.4% at September 30, 2006.

NOTE 12. CONTINGENCIES

WARRANTIES AND SALES RETURNS

The company's APG segment allows its major distributors and certain other customers to return unused product under specified terms and conditions. In accordance with FAS 48, the company accrues for product returns at the time of original sale based on historical sales and return trends. At September 30, 2006, the company's allowance for sales returns was \$272,000.

The company offers repair and replacement warranties of on average two years for APG products and one year for RFSG products. At September 30, 2006, the company carried a warranty reserve of \$132,000 for these products based on historical sales and costs of repair and replacement trends.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Ronald H. Fraser v. PC-Tel, Inc., Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A.

In March 2002, plaintiff Ronald H. Fraser ("Fraser") filed a complaint in the California Superior Court for breach of contract and declaratory relief against the company, and for breach of contract, conversion, negligence and declaratory relief against the company's transfer agent, Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A. The complaint seeks compensatory damages allegedly suffered by Fraser as a result of the sale of certain stock by Fraser during a secondary offering in April 2000. At a mandatory settlement conference held in September 2004, Fraser stipulated to judgment in favor of the company. In November 2004 Fraser appealed the judgment entered against him. Fraser filed his opening brief in October 2005. The appellant's reply brief was filed in March 2006. The court has scheduled an oral argument for November 9, 2006. The company believes that this appeal is without merit and intends to defend the appeal vigorously. However, the company cannot predict or determine the outcome or resolution of this proceeding or the potential range of loss, if any.

14

Litigation with Agere and Lucent

In May 2003, the company filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California a patent infringement lawsuit against Agere Systems and Lucent Technologies claiming that Agere has infringed four of the company's patents and that Lucent has infringed three of the company's patents. Agere counterclaimed asking for a declaratory judgment that the claims of the four patents are invalid, unenforceable and not infringed by Agere.

On July 26, 2006, the parties entered into a settlement agreement which was favorable to the company, and on July 31, 2006 the court dismissed with prejudice all claims and counterclaims in the action. As part of the settlement agreement, the company granted Agere a perpetual license for \$7.0 million.

NOTE 13. INCOME TAXES

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the company recorded income tax expense of \$1.1 million. The year to date expense represents a projected effective rate of -11.6% offset by the reversal of approximately \$0.5 million in valuation allowances. The year to date expense includes the provision for deferred tax liabilities related to goodwill that is deductible for tax purposes and the utilization of NOL carryforwards to offset a portion of the expected full year tax liability. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the company's income tax expense differed from the statutory rate of 35% due to provision for deferred tax liabilities related to goodwill that is deductible for tax purposes.

Significant management judgment is required to assess the likelihood that the company's deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. With the exception of the \$0.5 million of valuation allowances reversed in 2006, the company maintains a full valuation allowance against the remainder of its deferred tax assets, as a result of uncertainties regarding whether they will be realized.

NOTE 14. INDUSTRY SEGMENT, CUSTOMER AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

PCTEL operates in four distinct reportable segments: Antenna Product (antenna), RF Solutions (test), Mobility Solutions (software), and the Licensing segment. Intercompany sales and profits from Antenna Products to RF Solutions are eliminated. The APG segment includes the iVET and LMR product lines acquired from Sigma Wireless Technologies in July 2005, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006.

PCTEL's chief operating decision maker (CEO) uses the measures below in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance among the segments. In October 2006, the company announced a reorganization whereby the RFSG and APG segments will be combined into a single reporting segment called the Broadband Technology Group. The company will report under the new organization starting with the quarter ending December 31, 2006.

15

The results of operations by segment are as follows (in thousands):

(UNAUDITED)	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION	CON
THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 Revenue Gross Profit Operating Expenses Operating Income	•	•	•	\$437 \$425		\$ \$ \$ \$
(UNAUDITED)	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION	CO
THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 Revenue Gross Profit Operating Expenses Operating Loss				\$598 \$528		
(UNAUDITED) NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 Revenue Gross Profit Operating Expenses Operating Income	•			\$8,209 \$8,189		

(UNAUDITED)	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005					
Revenue	\$38 , 967	\$10,111	\$4,490	\$1,421	\$(37)
Gross Profit	\$13 , 245	\$ 7,175	\$4,412	\$1,343	\$ 5
Operating Expenses					
Operating Loss					

The company's revenues to customers outside of the United States, as a percent of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, are as follows:

	THREE MON' SEPTEMI	THS ENDED BER 30,	NINE MONT	_
(UNAUDITED)	2006	2005	2006	2005
Europe	22%	6%	20%	8%
Asia Pacific	8%	6%	8%	7%
North America	3%	3%	2%	3%
Latin America	0%	2%	1%	2%
	34%	17%	30%	20%
	===	===	===	===

Revenue to the company's major customers representing 10% or more of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 are as follows:

	THREE MONI	THS ENDED	NINE MON'	THS ENDED
	SEPTEME	BER 30,	SEPTEM	BER 30,
(UNAUDITED)				
CUSTOMER	2006	2005	2006	2005
Agere Systems	0%	0%	11%	0%
Tessco Technologies	10%	8%	9%	10%

Agere is a customer of the company's licensing segment and Tessco is a customer of the company's APG segment

16

NOTE 15. BENEFIT PLANS

401(k) Plan

The 401(k) plan covers all of the domestic employees beginning the first of the month following the month they begin their employment. Under this plan,

CC

employees may elect to contribute a portion of their current compensation to the 401(k) plan up to the statutorily prescribed annual limit. The company may make discretionary contributions to the 401(k) plan. The company made \$447,000 and \$410,000 in employer contributions to the 401(k) plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Post-retirement health insurance

In July 2003, the company started a plan to cover post-retirement health insurance for Martin H. Singer, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. On January 6, 2006, upon authorization of the Board of Directors, the company and Mr. Singer entered into an amended and restated employment agreement which eliminated the post-retirement healthcare benefits for Mr. Singer and his family that were previously included in his original employment agreement. Mr. Singer requested the elimination of these benefits for reasons related to future corporate expense, the company's commitment to defined contribution plans rather than defined benefit plans, and parity of benefits with other executives of the company. The company reversed the liability of \$141,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

Personal Retirement Savings Account

The Personal Retirement Savings Account (PRSA) covers all current employees PCTEL Limited in Ireland and the United Kingdom. Under this plan, there is no limit for employee contributions of their current compensation to the PRSA plan. The company may make discretionary contributions to this plan. The company made contributions of approximately \$18,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Pension Plan

As part of the acquisition of Sigma in July 2005, the company assumed the liability for the Sigma employee participants in Sigma Communications Group Retirement and Death Benefit Plan ("old plan"). This old plan was closed to new employees in December 2003. At July 4, 2005 and December 31, 2005, a third party actuary determined the company's pension assets, accumulated pension obligation, and the projected benefit obligation related to the Sigma participants in the old plan. At December 31, 2005, the company's pension liability related to the Sigma employees was approximately \$3.1 million. In the first quarter of 2006, the company set up a new plan - the PCTEL Europe Pension Plan (the "Plan") for the 56 employees of Sigma that were participants in the old plan.

As part of the restructuring of the Dublin operation, the company terminated the Plan on June 16, 2006. The company negotiated the terms of the pension termination with the Sigma labor union since the Sigma labor union represents the majority of the people in the Plan. Under the terms of the settlement, the company funded 50% of the cash shortfall in the Plan as calculated by the third party actuary less any severance amounts given to employees that exceeded 3 weeks severance for every year of service. The funding shortfall was based on pension requirements in accordance with Irish regulations. The company incurred approximately \$0.6 million in cash expense to fund the pension shortfall and for related expenses. The result was a non-cash net gain on the termination of the pension plan of \$2.6 million, which was recorded as an offset to restructuring cost.

Prior to the termination of the Plan, the effect on operations of the pension plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively was as follows (in thousands):

	THREE MONT	_	NINE MONTH SEPTEMB	-
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Service costs			\$ 132	
Interest costs			\$ 140	
Expected return on plan assets			\$(101)	
Net periodic expense	\$		\$ 171	
	===	===	=====	===

Excluding the payments related to the termination of the Plan, the company made pension contributions of \$183,000 during the nine months ending September 30, 2006. Since the Plan has been terminated, no other payments are required.

17

NOTE 16. SIGMA ACQUISITION

On July 4, 2005, the company purchased all of the outstanding shares of Sigma Wireless Technology Limited ("Sigma"). Sigma is based in Dublin, Ireland and develops, manufactures and distributes antenna products designed for public safety and for the UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) cellular networks. The Sigma acquisition expands the company's product lines within its APG segment. With the acquisition of Sigma, the company gains entry into the growing cellular base station antenna market and also gains a geographic footprint in Europe.

In exchange for all of the outstanding shares of Sigma, the company paid cash consideration of 19.4 million Euro (approximately \$23.1 million), plus assumed an unfunded pension obligation of approximately 2.5 million Euro (approximately \$3.0 million), and incurred approximately 1.7 million Euro (approximately \$2.0 million) in transaction costs. In April 2006, the company outlined a plan to restructure Dublin manufacturing. See footnote 10 related to the Dublin restructuring. In September 2006, the company received approximately \$0.5 million from the former shareholders of Sigma Wireless Technology Limited to settle claims for certain losses sustained. In addition, the settlement eliminated all future claims, including any earn out based on Sigma's revenue performance over the 18-month period ending December 31, 2006.

The unaudited pro forma effect on the financial results of PCTEL for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as if the acquisition had taken place on January 1, 2005 are as follows (in thousands, except per share information):

	NINE MONTHS
	ENDED
	SEPTEMBER 30,
	2005
Revenues	\$60,141
Net Income (Loss) from Operations	\$(3,677)
Net Income (Loss)	\$(3,128)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.16)

Shares used in computing basic earnings (loss) per share 20,111
Diluted earnings (loss) per share \$ (0.16)
Shares used in computing diluted earnings (loss) per share 20,111

18

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following information should be read in conjunction with the condensed interim financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report. Except for historical information, the following discussion contains forward looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our anticipated revenues, profits, costs and expenses and revenue mix. These forward-looking statements include, among others, those statements including the words, "may," "will," "plans," "seeks," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "believes" and words of similar import. Such statements constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks faced by us described below and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report, and in other documents we file with the SEC. Factors that might cause future results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, those discussed in discussed in Part II, Item 1A: Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

INTRODUCTION

PCTEL is focused on growing wireless revenue and maximizing the monetary value of its intellectual property. We report revenue and gross profit for the Antenna Products Group (APG), RF Solutions Group (RFSG), Mobility Solutions Group (MSG), and Licensing as separate product segments. In October 2006, we announced a reorganization whereby the RFSG and APG segments will be combined into a single reporting segment called the Broadband Technology Group. We will report under the new organization starting with the quarter ending December 31, 2006.

Growth in wireless product revenue is dependent both on gaining further revenue traction in the existing product profile as well as further acquisitions to support the our wireless initiatives.

Revenue growth in the APG segment is tied to emerging wireless applications in broadband wireless, in-building wireless, wireless Internet service providers, GPS, WiMax (Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access), and Mobile SATCOM. The LMR and on-glass mobile antenna applications represent mature markets.

Revenue in the RFSG segment is tied to the deployment of new wireless technology, such as 2.5G and 3G, and the need for existing wireless networks to be tuned and reconfigured on a regular basis.

Revenue growth in the MSG segment is correlated to the success of data services offered by the customer base. The roll out of such data services is in the early stage of market development.

Licensing revenue is dependent on the signing of new license agreements and

the success of the licensees in the marketplace. Licensing revenue is expected to continue to decline due to the expiration of existing licensing arrangements.

19

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 (ALL AMOUNTS IN TABLES, OTHER THAN PERCENTAGES, ARE IN THOUSANDS)

REVENUES

	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION	-
THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 Revenue % change from year ago period				\$ 437 (26.9%)		
THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 Revenue % change from year ago period				\$ 598 (56.6%)		
	APG	RFSG	MSG 	LICENSING	ELIMINATION	(
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 Revenue % change from year ago period				\$8,209 477.7%	\$(97) NA	
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 Revenue % change from year ago period				\$1,421 (70.6%)		

APG began operations with the purchase of MAXRAD in January 2004. Revenues were supplemented in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 with the acquisition of several product lines from Andrew Corporation in October 2004 and in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 with the acquisition of iVET and LMR product lines from Sigma in July 2005. Revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2006 were down 17% from the same period in 2005 because last year's third quarter included \$1.8 million related to non-recurring orders in the public utility and military defense markets, and the group was unfavorably impacted by the termination of the SDARS (satellite radio antennas for retail outlets) product line last year due to low long-term margin projections. Approximately \$0.5 million of last year's third quarter revenue was SDARS products. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the revenue decline of 3% from the same period in 2005 reflects the 2005 revenues for SDARS products and the public utility and military defense market that were not repeated in 2006, offsetting the favorable impact of \$3.5 million for the iVET and LMR product lines. Revenues for SDARS products were \$3.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

RFSG revenues were approximately \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 37% from the prior year period and were approximately \$12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, also

an increase of 27% from the prior year period. We continued to benefit from the roll out of UMTS networks and the related need for 3G scanners. The segment also benefits when carrier capital spending slows down, and the carriers need greater capacity with their existing infrastructure. The RFSG scanning products enable cellular network engineers to optimize the performance of the current networks.

MSG revenues increased approximately 17% to \$2.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal 2005. MSG revenues increased approximately 60% to \$7.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period last year. The revenue continues to be a mixture of license and maintenance fees and customization fees related to the roaming client product. IMS (IP multimedia subsystem) revenue is related to trials, and continues to be about 10% of the segment's revenues.

Licensing revenues were approximately \$0.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$0.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005. Licensing revenues were \$8.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$1.4 million in the same period in 2005. In June 2006, we granted a perpetual license to Agere for \$7.0 million in conjunction with the settlement of the IP litigation between the parties. Excluding the license to Agere, this segment continues to be affected by the expiration of older licensing agreements related to modem technology. Licensing revenue is expected to be approximately \$0.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2006.

20

Intercompany sales from APG to RFSG are eliminated in consolidation.

GROSS PROFIT

	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION
Percentage of revenue	28.3%	68.9%	99.6%	\$ 425 97.3%	NA
% of revenue change from year ago period THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005					
	31.9%	69.3%	100.0%	\$ 528 88.3% 3.9%	NA
	APG	RFSG	MSG	LICENSING	ELIMINATION
	30.3%	69.7%	99.5%	\$8,189 99.8% 5.3%	NA
NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 Gross Profit	\$13 , 245	\$7,175	\$4,412	\$1,343	\$ 5

Percentage of revenue	34.0%	71.0%	98.3%	94.5%	NA
% of revenue change from year ago period	(7.8%)	3.7%	1.5%	(0.7%)	NA

Our product segments vary significantly in gross profit percent. The increase in overall gross profit as a percentage of revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the prior year is due to the higher mix of RFSG and MSG revenues. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the increase in overall gross profit is due to the \$7.0 million of Agere licensing revenue in addition to the higher mix of RFSG and MSG revenues.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for APG was 28.3% in the three months ended September 30, 2006, approximately 3.6% lower than the comparable period in fiscal 2005. The decline is due primarily to inventory provisions related to pruning the product portfolio of some slower moving antennas within the On-Glass and GPS product lines. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 30.3%, 3.7% lower than the comparable period last year. The third quarter 2006 inventory provision plus the manufacturing cost variances incurred in our Dublin factory negatively impacted the margin by 2.3% in nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. We completed the wind down and relocation of Dublin manufacturing in August 2006. We expect long-term margins of 34-36% as a result of the actions taken with respect to the Dublin manufacturing operations and the inventory provisions.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for RFSG was 68.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2006, approximately 0.4% lower than the comparable period in fiscal 2005. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for RFSG was 69.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, approximately 1.3% lower than the comparable period in fiscal 2005. The decline in margin is predominantly due to the customer mix.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for MSG was approximately 99.6% and 99.5% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The cost of goods sold in the segment relates primarily to third party licenses included in the Roaming Client product. Compared to 2005, gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 0.4% lower and 1.2% better than the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. We expect long-term gross profit in this segment to be in the upper 90% range.

Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for Licensing was approximately 97.3% and 99.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. Compared to 2005, gross profit as a percentage of revenue was 9.0% better and 5.3% better than the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

21

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Research and development	\$3 , 578	\$2 , 562	\$9 , 831
Percentage of revenues	17.4%	11.8%	14.9%
% change from year ago period	39.7%	28.2%	31.7%

Research and development expenses include costs for software and hardware development, prototyping, certification and pre-production costs. All costs incurred prior to establishing the technological feasibility of computer software products to be sold are research and development costs and expensed as incurred in accordance with FAS 86. No significant costs have been incurred subsequent to determining the technological feasibility.

Research and development expenses increased approximately \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the comparable periods in 2005. The increase is due to the costs associated with the addition of the iVET product lines, investments in development for scanning products and IMS software, and higher stock compensation expenses.

SALES AND MARKETING

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Sales and Marketing	\$3 , 226	\$3,637	\$9,964
Percentage of revenues	15.7%	16.8%	15.1%
% change from year ago period	(11.3%)	35.4%	2.9%

Sales and marketing expenses include costs associated with the sales and marketing employees, sales representatives, product line management, and trade show expenses.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased approximately \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal 2005 due to lower APG selling expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal 2005. The increase is due to the costs associated with the addition of the iVET product lines.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

	THREE MONTHS ENDED	THREE MONTHS ENDED	NINE MONTHS ENDED	
	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	,
				-
	*0.000	44.105	*10.055	
General and Administrative	\$3 , 393	\$4 , 105	\$10 , 867	
Percentage of revenues	16.5%	19.0%	16.5%	
% change from year ago period	(17.3%)	1.5%	(10.5%)	

General and administrative expenses include costs associated with the general management, finance, human resources, information technology, legal, insurance, public company costs, and other operating expenses to the extent not otherwise allocated to other functions.

General and administrative expenses decreased approximately \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$1.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in fiscal 2005 due to lower litigation expenses and reversal of CEO retirement benefits, offset by

SE

costs associated with the addition of the iVET product lines.

22

AMORTIZATION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Amortization of other	\$749	\$1,231	\$2,842
Percentage of revenues	3.6%	5.7%	4.3%

The amortization of intangible assets relates to DTI in 2003, MAXRAD in January 2004, the antenna product lines from Andrew Corporation in October 2004, and the antenna product lines from Sigma in July 2005. The \$0.5 million decrease for amortization in the three months ended September 30, 2006 is due to lower amortization for the intangible assets that were impaired during the three months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, certain intangible assets were fully amortized. The \$0.1 million decrease in the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is also due to the impact of impairment of the Sigma intangible assets.

IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	N SE
Amortization of other	\$20,349	\$	\$20,349	
Percentage of revenues	99.1%		30.9%	

In conjunction with the completion of the Dublin restructuring, we reevaluated the carrying value of the related technology and customer relationships intangible assets and goodwill of the Sigma acquisition, as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121 "Accounting for the Impairment of Long Lived Assets and for Long Lived Assets to be Disposed Of" and Statement of Accounting Standards No. 142 "Goodwill and Intangible Assets". We concluded that the carrying value of intangible assets related to technology and customer relationships was impaired by \$6.0 million and the carrying value of the goodwill was impaired by \$14.3 million. As of September 30, 2006, there were no other impairment conditions related to the goodwill and intangible assets other than Sigma. We will conduct its annual impairment test of goodwill and intangible assets in the fourth quarter with financial information as the month ended October.

RESTRUCTURING CHARGES (BENEFIT)

THREE MONTHS ENDED	THREE MONTHS ENDED	NINE MONTHS ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

SE

Restructuring charges (benefit) \$1,141 \$-- \$424 Percentage of revenues 5.6% 0.0% 0.6%

On April 7, 2006, we reached an agreement in principle with the labor union responsible for our manufacturing and certain other personnel in its Dublin, Ireland factory to discontinue the manufacture of the iVET, PMR and DPMR lines of the our antenna products at that location. The agreement was formally signed on April 20, 2006. The agreement was formally signed on April 20, 2006. This agreement enabled us to wind down our manufacturing operations at the Dublin facility, terminate 65 redundant employee positions, terminate its facilities lease at this location, and reduce its pension obligations to terminated and remaining employees. Manufacturing of the lines of antenna products was relocated either to a contract manufacturer in St. Petersburg, Russia, or to our Antenna Products Group facility in Bloomingdale, Illinois. The process of winding down manufacturing operations in Dublin and relocating the products to their new manufacturing locations was completed in August 2006. We expect related general and administrative support functions to be eliminated by the end of 2006.

We will continue to maintain antenna research and development as well as sales and marketing activities in a smaller facility in Dublin to be established during the fourth quarter of 2006. We believe that its restructuring activities will enable it to improve the gross profit margins of the antenna product lines that were included with our acquisition of Sigma Wireless Technologies in July 2005.

We incurred restructuring costs related to the discontinuation of its Dublin manufacturing operations. The categories of costs are: severance pay for employees whose jobs were made redundant; future minimum lease payments through June 2007 on the existing Dublin

23

facility which will be vacated; and, termination of the employee pension defined benefit plan. The severance, future lease payments, and a portion of the termination of the employee pension defined benefit plan result in cash expenditures. We also incurred restructuring costs related to the impairment of fixed assets and inventory.

For the three months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded restructuring expense of \$1.1 million, which included fixed asset write-offs of \$0.6 million, inventory write-offs of \$0.4 million, and facility lease costs of \$0.1 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recorded a restructuring expense of \$0.4 million, which included the net benefit related to the termination of the pension plan of \$2.6 million, offsetting employee severance of \$1.5 million, inventory write-offs of \$0.8 million, fixed asset write-offs of \$0.6 million, and facility lease costs of \$0.1 million.

We negotiated the terms of the pension termination with the Sigma labor union in June 2006. Under the terms of the settlement, we funded the cash shortfall in the PCTEL Europe Pension Plan as calculated by a third party actuary less any severance amounts given to employees that exceeded 3 weeks severance for every year of service. The funding shortfall was based on pension requirements in accordance with Irish regulations. We funded pension obligations of \$0.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2006 and recorded a net gain of \$2.6 million on the termination.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we paid employee severance

of approximately \$2.4 million. Total net severance costs of approximately \$1.5 million are comprised of a gross cost of \$2.4 million less a government rebate of \$0.9 million. At September 30, 2006, the remaining \$0.1 million for related to the government rebate is recorded in the balance sheet in Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets.

The write-offs for inventory related to disposals of inventory that was not compatible with the new manufacturing model. The fixed asset write-offs related to assets identified that are no longer required at the Dublin facility. We downsized the facility at the end of the third quarter 2006. The restructuring expense for lease termination costs relates to the future lease payments for the facility space no longer required.

GAIN ON SALE OF ASSETS AND RELATED ROYALTIES

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Gain on sale of assets and	\$250	\$600	\$750
Percentage of revenues	1.2%	2.8%	1.1%

All royalty amounts represent royalties from Conexant. The decrease in the royalties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same prior year periods was due to the fact that we renegotiated the royalty agreement with Conexant in the third quarter of 2005 whereby the cap on the quarterly payments was lowered but the term of the agreement was extended.

OTHER INCOME, NET

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	SE
Other income, net	\$990	\$ 74	\$2,358	
Percentage of revenues	4.8%	0.3%	3.6%	

Other income, net, consists primarily of interest income, and also foreign exchange gains and losses. Interest income increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same periods in fiscal 2005 due primarily to higher interest rates and also higher yielding cash investments. We were unfavorably impacted by a foreign exchange loss of \$0.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

During 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, we invested our available cash equivalents in money market funds. Starting in the quarter ended June 30, 2006, we also invested in commercial paper and certificates of deposit with maturities ranging from 30 days to 90 days. We expect to continue to invest its cash in money market funds, commercial paper, and certificates of deposit.

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
Provision (benefit) for income	\$(541)	\$ 95	\$1,135
Effective tax rate	2.5%	11.6%	7.3%

The tax rate for the six months ended September 30, 2006 differs from the statutory rate of 35% due to the reversal of approximately \$0.5 million in valuation allowances, the utilization of NOL carryforwards to offset a portion of the expected full year tax liability and the provisions of deferred tax liabilities related to goodwill amortization that is deductible for tax purposes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, our income tax expense differed from the statutory rate of 35% due to provision for deferred tax liabilities related to goodwill that is deductible for tax purposes.

We regularly evaluate our estimates and judgments related to uncertain tax positions and, when necessary, establish contingency reserves to account for its uncertain tax positions. As we obtain more information via the settlement of tax audits and through other pertinent information, these projections and estimates are reassessed and may be adjusted accordingly. These adjustments may result in significant income tax provisions or provision reversals.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards based on estimated fair values. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we accounted for stock-based awards using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under SFAS No. 123. As a result, stock options had no intrinsic value on their grant dates, and we did not record any compensation expense for stock options. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we also did not record any compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan, which was deemed non-compensatory.

We estimated compensation expense related to stock options of \$1.2 million, net of forfeitures in 2006 and stock compensation expense related to the employee purchase plan of \$0.2 million in 2006. We will continue to use stock options as a long-term employee incentive. However, the use of stock options is currently limited to new employee grants, annual director grants, and as a component for annual compensation of our Chief Executive Officer. It is currently expected that we will continue to emphasize the use of restricted stock for employee incentives.

In the three months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$1.1 million in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, which included \$0.7 million of restricted stock, \$0.3 million for stock option expense, and \$0.1 million for stock bonuses. In the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$3.3 million in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, which included \$1.9 million of restricted stock, \$1.0 million for stock option expense, and \$0.4 million for stock bonuses. The following table summarizes the stock-based compensation expense by income statement line item for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively:

THREE MONTHS THREE MONTHS NINE MONTHS NINE MONTHS

	ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005
Cost of sales	\$ 95	\$ 75	\$ 258	\$ 83
Research and development	166	92	472	212
Sales and marketing	207	256	645	572
General and administrative	642	858	1,949	1,998
Total operating expense Total	1,015 \$1,110	1,206 \$1,281	3,066 \$3,324	2,782 \$2,865

25

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 8,846	\$ (748)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,022)	(25,076)
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,991	347
Cash, cash equivalents at the end of period	70,442	58,360
Working capital at the end of period	78,858	67,796

Our operating activities provided approximately \$9.6 million higher net cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cash from operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is due to the receipt of \$7.0 million in licensing revenue from Agere plus \$2.5 million related to reduction in inventories, and \$1.1 million related to reduction in prepaid and other current assets. The decline in inventories is due to the restructuring of manufacturing activities in the Dublin, Ireland facility. The \$1.1 million decrease in prepaids and other current assets is due primarily to a federal tax refund. These decreases in assets offset \$1.1 million decrease in accounts payable, \$1.1 million payments related withholding tax liability, and \$2.3 million in decreases in deferred revenue. We used approximately \$1.0 million from investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We used approximately \$2.4 million for capital expenditures, offsetting proceeds from royalties of \$0.8, sale of fixed assets of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million from a settlement with prior shareholders of Sigma Wireless Technologies (Sigma). During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we used \$25.2 million for the purchase of Sigma and \$3.7 million for capital expenditures, offsetting \$2.1 million in proceeds from the sale of fixed assets and \$1.6 million in proceeds from royalties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, financing activities included approximately \$3.1 million of proceeds for the issuance of common stock related to stock option exercises and shares purchased through the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and \$1.2 million from proceeds from short-term borrowings of PCTEL Limited, offsetting \$0.7 million for the tax benefit from stock based compensation. Financing activities only provided \$0.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as \$1.4 million in proceeds from exercise of options and our ESPP offset

stock repurchases of \$0.7 million and a \$0.4 million repayment of a Sigma overdraft.

As of September 30, 2006, we had approximately \$70.4 million in cash and cash equivalents and working capital of approximately \$78.9 million. The increase in the cash balance and working capital compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is due to \$7.0 million in cash received for the Agere settlement, in addition to cash received for the exercise of employee stock options. As of September 30, 2006, we have no significant commitments for capital expenditures.

We believe that the existing sources of liquidity, consisting of cash and cash from operations, will be sufficient to meet the working capital needs for the foreseeable future. We will continue to evaluate opportunities for development of new products and potential acquisitions of technologies or businesses that could complement our business. We may use available cash or other sources of funding for such purposes.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

As of September 30, 2006, we had lease obligations of approximately \$5.6 million through 2013.

In the quarter ended March 31, 2006, we relocated our RFSG operations to a new leased facility with 20,704 square feet in Germantown, Maryland. We are still obligated for the operating lease on Wisteria Drive in Germantown, Maryland through July 2007. We recorded an expense of \$0.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2006 and \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 for the remaining net lease obligation and related termination costs.

In October 2006, we amended our Chicago office lease. The lease term was extended to August 2012 and the total square footage was increased from 12,624 to 14,413. Our MSG and corporate functions are located in the Chicago office. The restricted cash of \$208,000 on the balance sheet at September 30, 2006 related to a letter of credit required for the Chicago office lease. Under terms of the new lease agreement, we paid a cash deposit and the letter of credit will be returned to us.

We have a remaining firm purchase contract for approximately \$45,000 with an RFSG software supplier. The quantity committed represents the lifetime requirements for this software. We have no other firm inventory purchase contract commitments with major suppliers beyond near term needs. In October 2006, we committed \$0.5 million related to a new financial reporting package.

26

CHANGE IN CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

We use certain critical accounting policies as described in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies" of its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year ended December 31, 2005. There have been no material changes in any of our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2005, except as described below.

Accounting policies

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff

Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Current Year Misstatements. SAB No. 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB No. 108 is effective for our fiscal year 2006 annual financial statements. Currently, we prepare a SAB 99 analysis for all misstatements using the dual approach. The dual approach incorporates both the income statement and balance sheet for measuring materiality. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will have on our consolidated financial statements and will make the required disclosure in the 2006 annual report.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning July 1, 2008. We are currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in income tax positions. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. We will adopt this Interpretation in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying this Interpretation will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. We are in the process of evaluating the expected effect of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial statements.

We adopted SFAS No. 123(R), "Share Based Payments," during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. SFAS No. 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards based on estimated fair values. Our share-based awards include stock options, restricted stock awards, stock bonuses, and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we accounted for stock-based awards using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB 25 as allowed under SFAS No. 123. As a result, stock options had no intrinsic value on their grant dates, and we did not record any compensation expense for stock options. We have elected to use the modified prospective transition method to adopt SFAS No. $123\,(R)$. Under this transition method starting in 2006, compensation expense includes expense for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and the expense for all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

We use the Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of our options awards and employee stock purchase rights issued under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Based on this valuation, we are recognizing compensation expense for stock options on a graded vesting basis. The Black-Scholes model requires estimates of the expected term of the option, future volatility, and the risk-free interest rate. See footnote 7 related to stock-based compensation. In addition to the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of the our options, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate of all share-based awards and only recognize expense for those awards we expect to vest. The

stock-based compensation expense recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations has been reduced for estimated forfeitures.

Estimates

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of estimates and assumptions related to the reporting of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related

27

disclosures. In preparing these financial statements, we have made our best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements. Estimates are revised periodically. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

INTEREST RATE RISK

We manage the sensitivity of its results of operations to credit risks and interest rate risk by maintaining a conservative investment portfolio. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we maintain a portfolio of cash equivalents in money market funds, certificates of deposit, and commercial paper. Due to changes in interest rates, our future investment income may fall short of expectations. Since we invest in cash equivalents, we had no unrealized holding gains or losses as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. A hypothetical increase or decrease of 10% in market interest rates would not result in a material change in interest income earned through maturity on investments held at September 30, 2006. We do not hold or issue derivative, derivative commodity instruments or other financial instruments for trading purposes.

FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK

We are exposed to currency fluctuations from the sale of its products internationally and from its international operations. We manage the sensitivity of the international sales by denominating the majority of transactions in U.S. dollars. If the United States dollar uniformly increased or decreased in strength by 10% relative to the currencies in which sales were denominated, the net loss would not have changed by a material amount for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. For purposes of this calculation, we have assumed that the exchange rates would change in the same direction relative to the United States dollar. Our exposure to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, however, arises in part from translation of the financial statements of foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars in consolidation. As exchange rates vary, these results, when translated, may vary from expectations and adversely impact overall expected profitability. The effect of foreign exchange rate fluctuation gains on the balance sheet for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.8 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(A) EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, PCTEL management evaluated the effectiveness as of the period covered by this report of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of September 30, 2006 because of the material weakness originally identified in the fourth quarter of 2004 (discussed below). In light of the material weakness described below, we performed additional analysis and other post-closing procedures to ensure our financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, management believes that the financial statements included in this report fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. As of December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005, we did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for income taxes, including the determination of income taxes payable, deferred income tax assets and liabilities and the related income tax provision. Specifically, we did not have effective controls over determining net operating loss carrybacks, applicable state tax rates applied, and the tax effect of stock option exercises. In addition, we did not have effective controls to monitor the difference between the income tax basis and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and reconcile the difference to deferred income tax assets and liabilities. This control deficiency resulted in audit adjustments to the financial statements for the fourth quarter 2004, to the 2005 annual consolidated financial statements with respect to income tax disclosures, and the 2005 second quarter consolidated financial statements with respect to the provision for income taxes. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of income taxes payable, deferred income tax assets and liabilities and the related income tax provision, that would result in a material misstatement to annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constituted a material weakness. The remediation plan for the material weakness identified at December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005 is described below. Because the remediation of this material weakness is still in process, our Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer have concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on criteria in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

2.8

Management has excluded PCTEL Limited (formerly Sigma) from its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 because PCTEL Limited was acquired by us through a purchase business combination in July 2005. PCTEL Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of us that represents 5% of consolidated total assets and 7% of consolidated revenues, respectively, as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

(B) CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

We made significant progress during the year ended December 31, 2005 and for the first three quarters of 2006 in executing the remediation plans that were established to address the material weakness in our internal control surrounding the accounting for income taxes. This resulted in certain improvements in our internal control over financial reporting. With the help of external advisors (other than our independent registered public accounting firm), the following remedial actions have been undertaken:

- Engaged an outside tax consultant to prepare the tax provision, provide tax expertise and expertise in the application of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes".
- Implemented an internal training program to enhance the capabilities of its internal tax personnel.
- Acquired software to automate and better control the tax provision preparation process.
- Improved its system of internal controls over the review of the consolidated income tax provision.

Specifically, our changes in controls over income taxes were successful in the remediation of the deficiencies related to the determination of income tax payable and deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

As indicated above, we have made significant progress in its efforts to remediate this material weakness during 2005 and through the first three quarters of 2006. In making its determination as to the status of the remediation of this material weakness, we believe that the internal controls surrounding the accounting for income taxes are effectively designed. However, as a result of the audit adjustments in 2004 and 2005, we have not demonstrated operating effectiveness with respect to controls over the completeness and accuracy of its income tax provision or the presentation and disclosures related to income taxes. The remediation efforts are in process, but have not been completed as of September 30, 2006.

In order to remediate this deficiency in internal controls, we will continue our training and education efforts in this area so that operating effectiveness can be demonstrated over a period of time that is sufficient to support the conclusion that the material weakness has been remediated. In addition, to further enhance the controls surrounding the accounting for income taxes, we will continue our efforts by requiring continued oversight by its outside tax consultant over the quarterly and annual preparation of our tax provision and related disclosures and by engaging additional resources as necessary to execute our internal controls over the accounting for income taxes.

Except as otherwise discussed above, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Ronald H. Fraser v. PC-Tel, Inc., Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A.

In March 2002, plaintiff Ronald H. Fraser ("Fraser") filed a complaint in the California Superior Court for breach of contract and declaratory relief against us, and for breach of contract, conversion, negligence and declaratory relief against our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A. The

complaint seeks compensatory damages allegedly suffered by Fraser as a result of the sale of certain stock by Fraser during a secondary offering in April 2000. At a mandatory settlement conference held in September 2004, Fraser stipulated to judgment in favor of us. In November 2004 Fraser appealed the judgment entered against him. Fraser filed his opening brief in October 2005. The appellant's reply brief was filed in March 2006. The court has scheduled an oral argument for November 9, 2006. We believe that this appeal is without merit and intends to defend the appeal vigorously. However, we cannot predict or determine the outcome or resolution of this proceeding or the potential range of loss, if any.

Litigation with Agere and Lucent

In May 2003, we filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California a patent infringement lawsuit against Agere

29

Systems and Lucent Technologies claiming that Agere has infringed four of our patents and that Lucent has infringed three of our patents. Agere counterclaimed asking for a declaratory judgment that the claims of the four patents are invalid, unenforceable and not infringed by Agere.

On July 26, 2006, the parties entered into a settlement agreement which was favorable to us, and on July 31, 2006 the court dismissed with prejudice all claims and counterclaims in the action. As part of the settlement agreement, we granted Agere a perpetual license for \$7.0 million.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis, contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are to be adversely affected or subject to substantial risks and uncertainties that could cause our future business, financial condition or results of operations to differ materially from our historical results or currently anticipated results, including those set forth below.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

COMPETITION WITHIN THE WIRELESS CONNECTIVITY PRODUCTS INDUSTRIES IS INTENSE AND IS EXPECTED TO INCREASE SIGNIFICANTLY. OUR FAILURE TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY COULD MATERIALLY HARM OUR PROSPECTS AND FINANCIAL RESULTS.

The wireless products connectivity markets are intensely competitive. We may not be able to compete successfully against current or potential competitors. We expect competition to increase in the future as current competitors enhance their product offerings, new suppliers enter the wireless connectivity products markets, new communication technologies are introduced and additional networks are deployed. Our client software competes with software developed internally by Network Interface Card (NIC) vendors, service providers for 802.11 networks, and with software developed by large systems integrators. Increased competition could materially and adversely affect our business and operating results through pricing pressures, the loss of market share and other factors.

The antenna market is highly fragmented and is served by many local product providers. We may not be able to displace established competitors from their customer base with our products. We may not achieve the design wins necessary to participate in WCDMA network deployments where our products compete. Where we

have design wins, we may not be the sole source supplier or may receive only a small portion of the business from each customer.

Many of the present and potential competitors have substantially greater financial, marketing, technical and other resources with which to pursue engineering, manufacturing, marketing, and distribution of their products. These competitors may succeed in establishing technology standards or strategic alliances in the connectivity products markets, obtain more rapid market acceptance for their products, or otherwise gain a competitive advantage. We can offer no assurance that we will succeed in developing products or technologies that are more effective than those developed by our competitors. We can offer no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully against existing and new competitors as the connectivity wireless markets evolve and the level of competition increases.

OUR ABILITY TO GROW OUR BUSINESS MAY BE THREATENED IF THE DEMAND FOR WIRELESS DATA SERVICES DOES NOT CONTINUE TO GROW.

Our ability to compete successfully in the wireless market is dependent on the continued trend toward wireless telecommunications and data communications services. If the rate of growth slows and service providers reduce their capital investments in wireless infrastructure or fail to expand into new geographic markets, our revenue may decline. Wireless data solutions are relatively unproven in the marketplace and some of the wireless technologies have only been commercially introduced in the last few years. We began offering wireless products in the second quarter of fiscal 2002. If wireless data access technology turns out to be unsuitable for widespread commercial deployment, we may not be able to generate enough sales to achieve and grow our business. Listed below are some of the factors that we believe are key to the success or failure of wireless access technology:

- reliability and security of wireless access technology and the perception by end-users of its reliability and security,
- capacity to handle growing demands for faster transmission of increasing amounts of data, voice and video,
- the availability of sufficient frequencies for network service providers to deploy products at commercially reasonable rates,
- cost-effectiveness and performance compared to wire line or other high speed access solutions, whose prices and performance continue to improve,

30

- suitability for a sufficient number of geographic regions, and
- availability of sufficient site locations for wireless access.

The factors listed above influence our customers' purchase decisions when selecting wireless versus other high-speed data access technology. Future legislation, legal decisions and regulation relating to the wireless telecommunications industry may slow or delay the deployment of wireless networks.

Wireless access solutions compete with other high-speed access solutions such as digital subscriber lines, cable modem technology, fiber optic cable and other high-speed wire line and satellite technologies. If the market for our

wireless solutions fails to develop or develops more slowly than expected due to this competition, our sales opportunities will be harmed. Many of these alternative technologies can take advantage of existing installed infrastructure and are generally perceived to be reliable and secure. As a result, they have already achieved significantly greater market acceptance and penetration than wireless data access technologies. Moreover, current wireless data access technologies have inherent technical limitations that may inhibit their widespread adoption in many areas.

We expect wireless data access technologies to face increasing competitive pressures from both current and future alternative technologies. In light of these factors, many service providers may be reluctant to invest heavily in wireless data access solutions, including Wi-Fi. If service providers do not continue to establish Wi-Fi "hot spots," we may not be able to generate sales for Our Wi-Fi products and our revenue may decline.

OUR WIRELESS BUSINESS IS DEPENDENT UPON THE CONTINUED GROWTH OF EVOLVING TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INTERNET INDUSTRIES.

Our future success is dependent upon the continued growth of the data communications and wireless industries, particularly with regard to Internet usage. The global data communications and Internet industries are relatively new and evolving rapidly and it is difficult to predict potential growth rates or future trends in technology development for this industry. The deregulation, privatization and economic globalization of the worldwide telecommunications market that have resulted in increased competition and escalating demand for new technologies and services may not continue in a manner favorable to us or our business strategies. In addition, the growth in demand for wireless and Internet services, and the resulting need for high speed or enhanced data communications products and wireless systems, may not continue at its current rate or at all.

OUR FUTURE SUCCESS DEPENDS ON OUR ABILITY TO DEVELOP AND SUCCESSFULLY INTRODUCE NEW AND ENHANCED PRODUCTS FOR THE WIRELESS MARKET, WHICH MEET THE NEEDS OF CUSTOMERS.

Our revenue depends on our ability to anticipate our existing and prospective customers' needs and develop products that address those needs. Our future success will depend on our ability to introduce new products for the wireless market, anticipate improvements and enhancements in wireless technology and wireless standards, and to develop products that are competitive in the rapidly changing wireless industry. Introduction of new products and product enhancements will require coordination of our efforts with those of our customers, suppliers, and manufacturers to rapidly achieve volume production. If we fails to coordinate these efforts, develop product enhancements or introduce new products that meet the needs of our customers as scheduled, our operating results will be materially and adversely affected and our business and prospects will be harmed. We cannot assure you that product introductions will meet the anticipated release schedules or that our wireless products will be competitive in the market. Furthermore, given the emerging nature of the wireless market, there can be no assurance our products and technology will not be rendered obsolete by alternative or competing technologies.

WE MAY EXPERIENCE INTEGRATION OR OTHER PROBLEMS WITH POTENTIAL ACQUISITIONS, WHICH COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR BUSINESS OR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. NEW ACQUISITIONS COULD DILUTE THE INTERESTS OF EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS, AND THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEW ACQUISITIONS COULD RESULT IN A DECLINE IN THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

We may in the future make acquisitions of, or large investments in, businesses that offer products, services, and technologies that we believes would complement our products or services, including wireless products and technology. We may also make acquisitions of, or investments in, businesses that

we believe could expand our distribution channels. Even if we announce an acquisition, we may not be able to complete it. Additionally, any future acquisition or substantial investment would present numerous risks, including:

- difficulty in integrating the technology, operations, internal accounting controls or work force of the acquired business with our existing business,
- disruption of our on-going business,

31

- difficulty in realizing the potential financial or strategic benefits of the transaction,
- difficulty in maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies,
- dealing with tax, employment, logistics, and other related issues unique to international organizations and assets we acquire,
- possible impairment of relationships with employees and customers as a result of integration of new businesses and management personnel, and
- impairment of assets related to resulting goodwill, and reductions in our future operating results from amortization of intangible assets.

We expect that future acquisitions could provide for consideration to be paid in cash, shares of our common stock, or a combination of cash and our common stock. If consideration for a transaction is paid in common stock, this would further dilute our existing stockholders.

OUR GROSS PROFIT MAY VARY BASED ON THE MIX OF SALES OF OUR PRODUCTS AND LICENSES OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND THESE VARIATIONS MAY CAUSE OUR NET INCOME TO DECLINE.

We derive a portion of our sales from our software-based connectivity products. Due in part to the competitive pricing pressures that affect our products and in part to increasing component and manufacturing costs, we expect gross profit from both existing and future products to decrease over time. In addition, licensing revenues from our intellectual property historically have provided higher margins than our product sales. Changes in the mix of products sold and the percentage of our sales in any quarter attributable to products as compared to licensing revenues could cause our quarterly results to vary and could result in a decrease in gross profit and net income.

ANY DELAYS IN OUR NORMALLY LENGTHY SALES CYCLES COULD RESULT IN CUSTOMERS CANCELING PURCHASES OF OUR PRODUCTS.

Sales cycles for our products with major customers are lengthy, often lasting nine months or longer. In addition, it can take an additional nine months or more before a customer commences volume production of equipment that incorporates our products. Sales cycles with our major customers are lengthy for a number of reasons, including:

 our original equipment manufacturer customers and carriers usually complete a lengthy technical evaluation of our products, over which we have no control, before placing a purchase order,

- the commercial introduction of our products by an original equipment manufacturer and carriers is typically limited during the initial release to evaluate product performance, and
- the development and commercial introduction of products incorporating new technologies frequently are delayed.

A significant portion of our operating expenses is relatively fixed and is based in large part on our forecasts of volume and timing of orders. The lengthy sales cycles make forecasting the volume and timing of product orders difficult. In addition, the delays inherent in lengthy sales cycles raise additional risks of customer decisions to cancel or change product phases. If customer cancellations or product changes were to occur, this could result in the loss of anticipated sales without sufficient time for us to reduce our operating expenses.

OUR REVENUES MAY FLUCTUATE EACH QUARTER DUE TO BOTH DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL SEASONAL TRENDS.

The connectivity products market is too new for us to be able to predict seasonal revenue patterns. Such patterns are also true for wireless test and measurements products, such as those produced by the RF Solutions Group, where capital spending is involved.

We are currently expanding sales into international markets, particularly in Europe and Asia. To the extent that revenues in Europe and Asia or other parts of the world increase in future periods, we expect our period-to-period revenues to reflect seasonal buying patterns in these markets.

WE RELY ON INDEPENDENT COMPANIES TO MANUFACTURE, ASSEMBLE AND TEST OUR PRODUCTS. IF THESE COMPANIES DO NOT MEET THEIR COMMITMENTS TO US, OUR ABILITY TO SELL PRODUCTS TO OUR CUSTOMERS WOULD BE IMPAIRED.

We have limited manufacturing capability. For some product lines, we outsource the manufacturing, assembly, and testing of printed

32

circuit board subsystems. For other product lines, we purchase completed hardware platforms and adds our proprietary software. While there is no unique capability with these suppliers, any failure by these suppliers to meet delivery commitments would cause us to delay shipments and potentially be unable to accept new orders for product.

In addition, in the event that these suppliers discontinued the manufacture of materials used in our products, we would be forced to incur the time and expense of finding a new supplier or to modify our products in such a way that such materials were not necessary. Either of these alternatives could result in increased manufacturing costs and increased prices of our products.

We assemble APG products in facilities located in Illinois and China. We may experience delays, disruptions, capacity constraints or quality control problems at our assembly facilities, which could result in lower yields or delays of product shipments to our customers. In addition, We have an increasing number of APG products manufactured in China via contract manufacturers. Any disruption of our or contract manufacturers' operations could cause a delay in product shipments, which would negatively impact sales, competitive reputation and position. In addition, if we do not accurately forecast demand for products, we will have excess or insufficient parts to build our product, either of which could seriously affect operating results.

IN ORDER FOR US TO OPERATE AT A PROFITABLE LEVEL AND CONTINUE TO INTRODUCE AND DEVELOP NEW PRODUCTS FOR EMERGING MARKETS, WE MUST ATTRACT AND RETAIN OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND QUALIFIED TECHNICAL, SALES, SUPPORT AND OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL.

Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance of our current executive officers and certain key engineering, sales, marketing, financial, technical and customer support personnel. If we lose the services of our executives or key employees, replacements could be difficult to recruit and, as a result, we may not be able to grow our business.

Competition for personnel, especially qualified engineering personnel, is intense. We are particularly dependent on the ability to identify, attract, motivate and retain qualified engineers with the requisite education, background and industry experience. As of September 30, 2006, we employed a total of 82 people in our engineering department. If we lose the services of one or more of its key engineering personnel, our ability to continue to develop products and technologies responsive to our markets may be impaired.

FAILURE TO MANAGE OUR TECHNOLOGICAL AND PRODUCT GROWTH COULD STRAIN OUR MANAGEMENT, FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE RESOURCES.

Our ability to successfully sell our products and implement our business plan in rapidly evolving markets requires an effective management planning process. Future product expansion efforts could be expensive and put a strain on our management by significantly increasing the scope of their responsibilities and by increasing the demands on their management abilities. To effectively manage our growth in these new technologies, we must enhance our marketing, sales, research and development areas.

WE MAY BE SUBJECT TO LITIGATION REGARDING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ASSOCIATED WITH OUR WIRELESS BUSINESS AND THIS COULD BE COSTLY TO DEFEND AND COULD PREVENT US FROM USING OR SELLING THE CHALLENGED TECHNOLOGY.

In recent years, there has been significant litigation in the United States involving intellectual property rights. WE have from time to time in the past received correspondence from third parties alleging that we infringe the third party's intellectual property rights. We expect potential claims to increase in the future, including with respect to our wireless business. Intellectual property claims against us, and any resulting lawsuit, may result in significant expenses and could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate what we currently believe are its proprietary rights. These lawsuits, regardless of their merits or success, would likely be time-consuming and expensive to resolve and could divert management's time and attention. This could have a material and adverse effect on our business, results of operation, financial condition and prospects. Any potential intellectual property litigation against us related to our wireless business could also force us to do one or more of the following:

- cease selling, incorporating or using technology, products or services that incorporate the infringed intellectual property,
- obtain from the holder of the infringed intellectual property a license to sell or use the relevant technology, which license may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all, or
- redesign those products or services that incorporate the disputed intellectual property, which could result in substantial unanticipated development expenses.

We are subject to a successful claim of infringement related to our

wireless intellectual property and we fail to develop non-infringing intellectual property or license the infringed intellectual property on acceptable terms and on a timely basis, operating results could decline and our ability to grow and sustain our wireless business could be materially and adversely affected. As a result, our business, financial

33

condition, results of operation and prospects could be impaired.

We may in the future initiate claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of our intellectual property rights or to determine the scope and validity of our proprietary rights or the proprietary rights of our competitors. These claims could also result in significant expense and the diversion of technical and management personnel's attention.

UNDETECTED SOFTWARE ERRORS OR FAILURES FOUND IN NEW PRODUCTS MAY RESULT IN A LOSS OF CUSTOMERS OR A DELAY IN MARKET ACCEPTANCE OF OUR PRODUCTS.

Our products may contain undetected software errors or failures when first introduced or as new versions are released. To date, we have not been made aware of any significant software errors or failures in our products. However, despite testing by we and by current and potential customers, errors may be found in new products after commencement of commercial shipments, resulting in loss of customers or delay in market acceptance.

OUR FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED IF TAX AUTHORITIES CHALLENGE US AND THE TAX CHALLENGES RESULT IN UNFAVORABLE OUTCOMES.

We currently have international subsidiaries located in Japan, China, Ireland, Israel, Serbia, and the United Kingdom as well as an international branch office located in Hong Kong. The complexities resulting from operating in several different tax jurisdictions increases our exposure to worldwide tax challenges.

CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN INTERNATIONAL MARKETS INVOLVES FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE EXPOSURE THAT MAY LEAD TO REDUCED PROFITABILITY.

With the acquisition of Sigma in July 2005, we have increased risk from foreign currency exposure. Sigma's functional currency is the Euro, and Sigma conducts business in both the Euro and pounds sterling. We believe that foreign exchange exposures may lead to reduced profitability.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

OUR INDUSTRY IS CHARACTERIZED BY RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGIES. IF WE ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL IN RESPONSE TO RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGIES, OUR PRODUCTS MAY BECOME OBSOLETE AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY.

The wireless data access business is characterized by rapidly changing technologies, short product life cycles and frequent new product introductions. To remain competitive, we have successfully introduced several new products.

Both the cellular (2.5G and 3G) and Wi-Fi (802.11) spaces are rapidly changing and prone to standardization. We will continue to evaluate, develop and introduce technologically advanced products that will position us for possible growth in the wireless data access market. If we are not successful in response to rapidly changing technologies, our products may become obsolete and the we

may not be able to compete effectively.

CHANGES IN LAWS OR REGULATIONS, IN PARTICULAR, FUTURE FCC REGULATIONS AFFECTING THE BROADBAND MARKET, INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS, OR THE COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY, COULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO DEVELOP NEW TECHNOLOGIES OR SELL NEW PRODUCTS AND THEREFORE, REDUCE OUR PROFITABILITY.

The jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, extends to the entire communications industry, including our customers and their products and services that incorporate our products. Future FCC regulations affecting the broadband access services industry, our customers or our products may harm our business. For example, future FCC regulatory policies that affect the availability of data and Internet services may impede our customers' penetration into their markets or affect the prices that they are able to charge. In addition, FCC regulatory policies that affect the specifications of wireless data devices may impede certain of our customers' ability to manufacture their products profitably, which could, in turn, reduce demand for our products. Furthermore, international regulatory bodies are beginning to adopt standards for the communications industry. Although our business has not been hurt by any regulations to date, in the future, delays caused by our compliance with regulatory requirements may result in order cancellations or postponements of product purchases by our customers, which would reduce profitability.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR LICENSING PROGRAM

34

OUR ABILITY TO SUSTAIN REVENUE FROM THE LICENSING OF OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO MANY RISKS, AND ANY INABILITY TO SUCCESSFULLY LICENSE OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COULD MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS, FINANCIAL CONDITION AND OPERATING RESULTS.

In addition to our wireless product lines, we offer our intellectual property through licensing and product royalty arrangements. We have over 100 U.S. patents granted or pending addressing both essential International Telecommunications Union and non-essential technologies. In connection with our intellectual property licensing efforts, we have filed several patent infringement lawsuits and are aggressively pursuing unlicensed companies to license their unauthorized use of our intellectual property. Because of the high degree of complexity of the intellectual property at issue, the inherent uncertainties of litigation in general and the preliminary nature of these litigation matters, we cannot assure you that we will ultimately prevail or receive the judgments it seeks. We may not be able to obtain licensing agreements from these companies on terms favorable to it, if at all. In addition, we may be required to pay substantial monetary damages as a result of claims these companies have brought against it which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

WE EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO BE SUBJECT TO LITIGATION REGARDING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CLAIMS RELATED TO OUR LICENSING PROGRAM WHICH COULD IMPAIR OUR ABILITY TO GROW OR SUSTAIN REVENUES FROM OUR LICENSING EFFORTS.

As we continue to aggressively pursue licensing arrangements with companies that are using our intellectual property without our authorization, we expect to continue to be subject to lawsuits that challenge the validity of our intellectual property or that allege that we have infringed third party intellectual property rights. Any of these claims could result in substantial damages against it and could impair our ability to grow and sustain its

licensing business. This could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects. We have been subject to claims from others in the past regarding similar matters. In addition, in recent years, there has been significant litigation in the United States involving intellectual property rights. We expect these claims to increase as our intellectual property portfolio becomes larger. Intellectual property claims against us, and any resulting lawsuit, may result in significant expenses and could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate what we currently believe are our proprietary rights. These lawsuits, regardless of their merits or success, would likely be time-consuming and expensive to resolve and could divert management's time and attention.

OUR ABILITY TO ENFORCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS MAY BE LIMITED, AND ANY LIMITATION COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO SUSTAIN OR INCREASE REVENUE FROM OUR LICENSING PROGRAM.

Our ability to sustain revenue from the licensing of our intellectual property is dependent on our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights. Our ability to enforce these rights is subject to many challenges and may be limited. For example, one or more of our pending patents may never be issued. In addition, our patents, both issued and pending, may not prove enforceable in actions against infringers. If a court were to invalidate one or more of our patents, this could materially and adversely affect our licensing program. Furthermore, some foreign laws, including those of various countries in Asia, do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as United States laws.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR STOCK PRICE MAY BE VOLATILE BASED ON A NUMBER OF FACTORS, SOME OF WHICH ARE NOT IN OUR CONTROL.

The trading price of our common stock has been highly volatile. The common stock price has fluctuated from a low of \$7.44 to a high of \$11.64 during the past twelve months. Our stock price could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, many of which are out of our control, including:

- announcements of technological innovations,
- new products or services offered by us or our competitors,
- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results,
- outcome of ongoing intellectual property related litigations,
- changes in financial estimates by securities analysts,
- conditions or trends in our industry,
- our announcement of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships,
 joint ventures or capital commitments,

35

- additions or departures of key personnel,
- mergers and acquisitions, and

- sales of common stock by our stockholders or by us.

In addition, The NASDAQ Global Market, where many publicly held telecommunications companies, including PCTEL, are traded, often experiences extreme price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of an individual company's securities, securities class action litigation often has been instituted against us. This type of litigation, if instituted, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

PROVISIONS IN OUR CHARTER DOCUMENTS MAY INHIBIT A CHANGE OF CONTROL OR A CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT, WHICH MAY CAUSE THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK TO FALL AND MAY INHIBIT A TAKEOVER OR CHANGE IN OUR CONTROL THAT A STOCKHOLDER MAY CONSIDER FAVORABLE.

Provisions in our charter documents could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control transaction that our stockholders may favor. These provisions could have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our shares, and as a result, these provisions may prevent the market price of our common stock from reflecting the effects of actual or rumored takeover attempts and may prevent stockholders from reselling their shares at or above the price at which they purchased their shares. These provisions may also prevent changes in our management that our stockholders may favor. Our charter documents do not permit stockholders to act by written consent, do not permit stockholders to call a stockholders meeting, and provide for a classified board of directors, which means stockholders can only elect, or remove, a limited number of our directors in any given year.

Our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. The board of directors can fix the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of this preferred stock without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be affected by, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. Further, the issuance of shares of preferred stock may delay or prevent a change in control transaction without further action by our stockholders. As a result, the market price of our common stock may drop.

UNDER REGULATIONS REQUIRED BY THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002, IF WE ARE UNABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES TO ACHIEVE AND MAINTAIN EFFECTIVE INTERNAL CONTROL OVER ITS FINANCIAL REPORTING, OUR ABILITY TO PROVIDE RELIABLE AND TIMELY FINANCIAL REPORTS COULD BE HARMED.

We must comply with the rules promulgated under section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Section 404 requires an annual management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, a report by our independent registered public accountants addressing this assessment, and a report by our independent auditors addressing the effectiveness of our internal control.

In connection with reporting our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2004 and 2005, we identified and described a "material weakness" (as defined by the relevant accounting standards) in our internal control related to our accounting for income taxes. Specifically, we did not have effective controls over determining net operating loss carrybacks, applicable state tax rates applied, and the tax effect of stock option exercises. In addition, we did not have effective controls to monitor the difference between the income tax basis and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities and reconcile the difference to deferred income tax assets and liabilities. This control deficiency resulted in audit adjustments to the fourth quarter 2004 financial statements, to the 2005 annual consolidated financial statements with

respect to income tax disclosures, and the 2005 second quarter consolidated financial statements with respect to the provision for income taxes. To address the material weakness described above, we will continue our efforts by requiring oversight by our outside tax consultant and engaging additional resources as necessary to execute our internal controls over the accounting for income taxes. The remediation program to address the previously identified material the weakness and remediation testing for other internal control deficiencies identified in 2004 and 2005 is still in process. The occurrence of control deficiencies in our internal control, and material weaknesses in particular, adversely affect our ability to report our financial results on a timely and accurate basis.

While we have expended significant resources in developing the necessary documentation and testing procedures required by Section 404, we cannot be certain that the actions we are taking to improve, achieve and maintain our internal control over financial reporting will be adequate or that we will be able to implement our planned processes and procedures. If we does not comply with our requirements under Section 404 in a timely manner, or the processes and procedures that we implement for our internal control over financial reporting are inadequate, our ability to provide reliable and timely financial reports, and consequently our business and operating results, could be harmed. This in turn could result in an adverse reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our financial reports,

36

which could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. See also Part I, Item 4 for a discussion on Controls and Procedures.

ITEM 6: EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
10.25.1	Letter agreement dated August 22, 2006 amending the Employment Agreement, by and between PCTEL, Inc. and Jeff Miller
10.26.1	Letter agreement dated August 22, 2006 amending the Employment Agreement, by, and between PCTEL, Inc. and John Schoen
10.55	Letter agreement dated August 22, 2006 amending the Employment Agreement, by and between PCTEL, Inc. and Biju Nair
10.56	Letter, agreement dated August 22, 2006 amending the Employment Agreement, by and between PCTEL, Inc. and Steve Deppe
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Quarterly Report has been signed below by the following person on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the date indicated:

PCTEL, Inc.
A Delaware Corporation
(Registrant)

/s/ MARTIN H. SINGER

Martin H. Singer Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 9, 2006

37