

GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST INC

Form 10-Q

November 06, 2006

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006  
COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 001-31962**

**GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

MARYLAND  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-0611663  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

13625 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 310  
OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68154  
(Address of principal executive  
offices, including zip code)

(402) 391-0010  
(Registrant's telephone number,  
including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

As of November 1, 2006, approximately 20.8 million shares of common stock of the registrant were outstanding.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	11
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	19
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	19
 <b>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Not Applicable	
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	20
Item 2. Not Applicable	
Item 3. Not Applicable	
Item 4. Not Applicable	
Item 5. Not Applicable	
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	20
<u>Signatures</u>	21
<u>Ratio of Fixed Charges</u>	
<u>302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
<u>302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	
<u>906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer</u>	
<u>906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer</u>	

**Table of Contents**

**GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	<b>September 30, 2006 (unaudited)</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate at cost:		
Land	\$ 37,736	\$ 32,800
Buildings and improvements	327,904	280,861
Tenant origination costs	76,656	60,405
Real estate under development	2,115	16,577
Furniture and equipment	475	456
	444,886	391,099
Accumulated depreciation	(25,091)	(13,295)
	419,795	377,804
Cash and cash equivalents	5,685	4,857
Restricted cash escrows	5,286	16,887
Tenant receivables	9,494	6,873
Notes receivable from tenant	552	603
Deferred costs, net	3,856	4,020
Real estate deposits		450
Other assets	928	1,583
Total assets	\$ 445,596	\$ 413,077
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 6,134	\$ 8,420
Dividends payable	2,337	3,110
Lines of credit	35,080	17,500
Mortgage notes payable	251,623	225,033
Total liabilities	295,174	254,063
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized, 20,773,136 and 20,721,612 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively)	206	206
Additional paid-in capital	189,918	189,123
Accumulated deficit	(40,725)	(30,916)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,023	601
Total stockholders' equity	150,422	159,014
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 445,596	\$ 413,077

See accompanying notes.

3

---

**Table of Contents**

**GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
(unaudited and in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>		<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Revenue</b>				
Rental income	\$ 11,696	\$ 7,822	\$ 32,527	\$ 18,287
Tenant reimbursements	698	442	1,873	754
Total revenue	12,394	8,264	34,400	19,041
<b>Expenses</b>				
Property operations	2,017	1,343	5,720	3,322
Real estate taxes	1,017	897	2,955	1,922
Depreciation and amortization	4,135	2,946	11,796	6,611
General and administrative	1,306	1,304	3,945	3,644
Total expenses	8,475	6,490	24,416	15,499
Operating income	3,919	1,774	9,984	3,542
Other income (expense)				
Interest income	100	249	333	1,348
Interest expense	(4,269)	(2,755)	(11,668)	(6,226)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(243)	(67)	(671)	(235)
Net loss	\$ (493)	\$ (799)	\$ (2,022)	\$ (1,571)
Earnings per share (basic and diluted)				
Net loss	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.08)
Distributions declared per share	\$ 0.1125	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.375	\$ 0.45
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic and diluted)	20,636	20,578	20,624	20,565
Net loss	\$ (493)	\$ (799)	\$ (2,022)	\$ (1,571)
Other comprehensive (loss) income				
Unrealized derivative (loss) gain on forward-starting interest rate swaps	(2,410)	1,563	462	194
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (2,903)	\$ 764	\$ (1,560)	\$ (1,377)

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(unaudited and in thousands)**

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Operating activities</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,022)	\$ (1,571)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,796	6,611
Amortization of deferred financing fees	671	235
Amortization of premium on mortgage notes payable	(75)	(25)
Compensation expense	550	543
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Tenant receivables	(2,621)	(4,131)
Restricted cash escrows	(180)	(2,967)
Other assets	1,078	(245)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,021	3,825
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	11,218	2,275
<b>Investing activities</b>		
Expenditures for real estate	(36,929)	(170,644)
Deposits on future real estate purchases		(300)
Development of real estate assets	(11,578)	(8,277)
Restricted cash escrows	11,781	(15,359)
Note receivable from tenant	50	46
Expenditures for furniture and equipment	(18)	(237)
Net cash used in investing activities	(36,694)	(194,771)
<b>Financing activities</b>		
Financing fees	(507)	(1,581)
Net borrowings under lines of credit	17,580	
Proceeds from mortgage notes payable	19,950	117,582
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(2,159)	(1,185)
Dividends paid	(8,560)	(9,321)
Net cash provided by financing activities	26,304	105,495
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	828	(87,001)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,857	93,815
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 5,685	\$ 6,814
<b>Non-Cash Investing Activity</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses included in real estate, net	\$ 4,220	\$ 2,767

**Non-Cash Financing Activity**

Assumption of mortgage note payable included in real estate, net	\$ 8,876	\$ 15,753
--	----------	-----------

See accompanying notes.

5

---

**Table of Contents**

**GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Government Properties Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company), have been prepared pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and regulations and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the 2005 Form 10-K. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements highlight significant changes to the Notes included in the 2005 Form 10-K and present interim disclosures as required by the SEC. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expense during the reporting periods. Our results for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of our results for any future interim period or for the full fiscal year.

**2. Nature of Business and Operations**

The Company began formal operations with its first property acquisition in December 2002 and, as of September 30, 2006, the Company owned 21 properties located throughout the United States. The Company acquires properties through various operating entities, which are wholly owned by the Company. The Company has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company operates in one segment.

**3. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48), to create a single model to address accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this Interpretation on our results of operations and financial position.

**4. Common Stock and Earnings Per Share**

The Company reports earnings per share pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic and diluted loss per share attributable for all periods presented is computed by dividing the loss to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares and potential common stock outstanding during the period. The Company had nonvested stock grants of 134,098 and 143,239 shares outstanding during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively and 134,546 and 157,035 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

**5. Deferred Costs**

Deferred costs consist of the following (in thousands):

	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>December 31, 2005</b>
Financing costs	\$ 5,163	\$ 4,656
Accumulated amortization	(1,307)	(636)
	<b>\$ 3,856</b>	<b>\$ 4,020</b>

**6. Equity Incentive Plan**

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ( FAS 123(R) ), which replaced FAS 123. FAS 123(R) requires compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements. We adopted FAS 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method.

**Table of Contents**

Because we previously used a fair value based method of accounting for determining compensation expense associated with the issuance of all restricted shares granted, the adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our results of operations and financial position. Had we adopted FAS 123(R) in prior periods, the impact of that standard would have approximated the impact of FAS 123 as previously disclosed.

The Company has a 2003 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (the Plan), which reserved 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock for issuance thereunder. In connection with the original issuance of shares during the first quarter of 2004, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation and

SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for restricted shares issued based upon the fair market value of the common stock at the grant date. Compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period which is typically the vesting period and is included in general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. The Company began issuing restricted shares in 2004. The Company granted 190,045 restricted shares during 2004, 26,198 restricted shares during 2005 and 51,524 restricted shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to members of the Board of Directors, employees and consultants. During 2005 there were 184 restricted shares forfeited. The Company recorded compensation expense related to the restricted stock grants of \$185,000 and \$181,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$550,000 and \$543,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, there are 732,417 shares available for grant under the Plan.

**7. Mortgage Notes Payable and Line-of-Credit**

Mortgage notes payable and lines-of-credit consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
<b>Mortgage Notes Payable(A),(B),(C)</b>		
Mortgage notes payable to various financial institutions, collateralized by various properties, interest at fixed rates ranging from 5.09% to 8.23% per annum, with principal and interest payable monthly through 2026. The weighted average interest rate at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was 5.83% and 5.80%, respectively.	\$ 250,268	\$ 223,601
<b>Lines of Credit</b>		
Line-of-credit with a financial institution for property acquisitions (maximum borrowing level of \$65 million and available through November 20, 2008). The weighted average interest rate at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was equal to 6.73% and 5.57%, respectively. Advances are collateralized by various properties.(D)	\$ 35,080	\$ 17,500
Line-of-credit with a financial institution for property acquisitions (maximum borrowing level of \$10 million and available through April 2007). Advances are unsecured.(E)	\$	\$

(A) The mortgages notes payable are subject to various

operating covenants. In addition, the Company must periodically fund and maintain escrow accounts, to make future real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance and insurance payments, as well as to fund certain tenant releasing costs. These are included in restricted cash escrows.

(B) Certain of the Company's real estate assets have been pledged as collateral for its mortgages notes payable. The amount of gross assets that have been encumbered is \$360.3 million and \$309.0 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

(C) Amounts exclude a premium of \$1.4 million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 related to

the above  
market interest  
rate on a  
mortgage  
assumed.

- (D) This  
line-of-credit  
facility was  
obtained in  
November 2005  
and bears an  
interest rate  
equal to either  
(a) a base rate  
determined by  
the higher of the  
prime rate or the  
federal funds  
rate plus 1/2 of  
1%, or (b) an  
applicable  
margin, based  
upon the  
Company's total  
indebtedness to  
total asset value,  
plus LIBOR. At  
September 30,  
2006 and  
December 31,  
2005, the margin  
was LIBOR plus  
1.40% and  
LIBOR plus  
1.20%,  
respectively.  
Payments are  
interest only  
through the term  
and are payable  
at least  
quarterly. The  
line-of-credit  
facility contains  
certain  
covenants  
including  
maintenance of  
leverage,  
minimum fixed

charge coverage  
ratios, minimum  
tangible net  
worth and  
limitations on  
certain  
indebtedness,  
guarantees and  
cash dividends.  
Advances under  
the line-of-

**Table of Contents**

credit totaled \$17.58 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$17.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. In addition, the Company has drawn a total of \$9.5 million of Letters of Credit during 2006. The line-of-credit facility is guaranteed by the Company. The amount of gross assets that have been encumbered is \$82.0 million at September 30, 2006 and \$81.5 million at December 31, 2005. In April 2006, the maximum borrowing level of the line-of-credit was increased from \$50 million to \$65 million.

- (E) This line-of-credit facility was obtained in April 2006 and is subject to the covenants

described above  
in Item (D).  
Borrowings  
under this  
facility bear an  
interest rate  
equal to an  
applicable  
margin, based  
upon our total  
indebtedness to  
total asset value,  
plus the three  
month LIBOR  
rate. Any  
borrowings on  
the credit  
facility would  
be priced at  
LIBOR plus  
2.40% as of  
September 30,  
2006. Payments  
are interest only  
through the term  
of the credit  
facility and are  
payable  
monthly.

Total interest paid on the mortgage notes payable and lines-of-credit was \$4.3 million and \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$11.7 million and \$6.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### **8. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As required by SFAS 133, the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company entered into two forward-starting interest rate swap contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$50 million to fix a portion of the interest rate associated with the anticipated issuance of future financings that are expected to occur in the fourth quarter of 2006. In September 2006, the Company cash settled both forward-starting interest rate swap contracts and received cash in the amount of \$1,064,000. Concurrently, the Company entered into a rate lock agreement with a financial institution for mortgage debt financing in the total amount of approximately \$55.7 million. The mortgage financings are expected to occur during the fourth quarter of 2006. As a result of a cash settlement, the Company has recorded \$41,000 of ineffectiveness which has been reflected as a reduction of interest expense in the 2006 statement of operations. The remaining \$1,023,000 continues to be reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income as the forecasted transaction remains probable of occurring.

#### **9. Related Party Transactions**

Genesis, the sponsor of the initial public offering by the predecessor company Gen-Net Lease Income Trust, Inc., paid the Company \$310,000 in June 2006 for previous offering costs pursuant to a conditional agreement between Genesis and the Company.

**10. Property Acquisitions**

The Company acquired the following properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and during the year ended December 31, 2005. The results of their operations are included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from their respective dates of acquisition.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Property</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Acquisition Cost (in thousands)</b>	<b>Month Acquired</b>
<b>2005 acquisitions(A):</b>			
1201 Lloyd Boulevard (Portland Property)	Portland, OR	\$ 50,653	March
Niagara Center (Buffalo Niagara Center Property)	Buffalo, NY	71,673	May
Social Security Administration (Buffalo SSA Property)	Buffalo, NY	5,435	May
Drug Enforcement Administration (Sterling DEA Property)(B)	Sterling, VA	21,070	September
Internal Revenue Service (Martinsburg IRS Property)(C)	Martinsburg, WV	30,643	July
Social Security Administration (Dallas SSA Property)	Dallas, TX	9,583	September
Army Corps of Engineers (Vicksburg MS Property)	Vicksburg, MS	26,850	November
		\$ 215,907	
<b>2006 acquisitions(A):</b>			
Riverside County (Riverside Property)(D)	Riverside, CA	\$ 18,415	February
United States Citizenship and Immigration Service (Harlingen USCIS Property)	Harlingen, TX	27,330	May
		\$ 45,745	

(A) In accordance with SFAS 141, the Company allocated the purchase price for these properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired based on their fair values (including land, buildings, tenant improvements, acquired above and below market leases and the origination cost of acquired in-place leases) and acquired

liabilities, and allocated the purchase price based on these assessments, including land at appraised value and buildings at replacement costs. The Company assessed fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize discount and capitalization rates deemed appropriate by management and available market information. Such estimates are subject to refinement as additional valuation information is received. The value of tenant origination costs are amortized over the remaining term of the respective leases.

- (B) In connection with the purchase of this property, the Company assumed a first mortgage note in the amount of \$15.8 million. Also included in the acquisition

cost is an amount of \$1.5 million related to the premium recognized on the above market interest rate on the assumed mortgage.

(C) Under terms of the existing lease, the federal government has an option to purchase the Martinsburg IRS Property for approximately \$24.8 million. Real estate at cost, net of accumulated depreciation of the Martinsburg IRS Property, was \$29.4 million at September 30, 2006.

(D) In connection with the purchase of this property, the Company assumed a first mortgage note in the amount of \$8.9 million.

#### **11. Real Estate Development**

In March 2006, the Company completed the expansion of the Bureau of Public Debt facility in Parkersburg, West Virginia by an additional 102,000 rentable square feet. The cost of the expansion to the Property totaled \$22.0 million and has been allocated to buildings and improvements and tenant origination costs in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as the property was placed into operations on March 15, 2006. At December 31, 2005, approximately \$4.1 million of costs capitalized were included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

#### **12. Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information**

Edgar Filing: GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information is presented as if, at January 1, 2005, the Company acquired the properties described in Note 10 Property Acquisitions and the shares outstanding at September 30, 2006 were also outstanding at January 1, 2005. The properties listed as follows began operations during 2005 and therefore their historical results of operations are included in the Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information from the date indicated. In management's opinion, all adjustments necessary to reflect the effects of the above transactions have been made.

	<b>Date Property Began Operation</b>
Buffalo SSA Property	June 2005
Dallas SSA Property	August 2005

**Table of Contents**

The unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information is not necessarily indicative of what the actual results of operations would have been assuming the above mentioned transactions had occurred at the dates indicated above, nor does it purport to represent our future results of operations.

**Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information**

*(Unaudited and in thousands, except per share amounts)*

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Total revenue	\$ 35,817	\$ 30,110
Net loss	\$ (1,936)	\$ (2,161)
Loss per diluted common share	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.10)

**13. Subsequent Events**

On October 23, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive merger agreement with Record Realty Trust, an Australian listed property trust (ASX: RRT), whereby a subsidiary of Record Realty Trust will acquire the Company for \$10.75 per common share in cash, subject to a potential reduction by an amount not to exceed \$0.08 per common share resulting from certain potential contingencies of the Company, and as otherwise provided in the merger agreement. Record Realty Trust is managed by Record Funds Management Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Allco Finance Group (ASX: AFG). The transaction has been unanimously approved by each of the Company's and Record Realty's respective boards of directors. Completion of the merger is currently expected to occur during the first quarter of 2007 and is subject to approval by the Company's stockholders and other customary closing conditions.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This report contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include estimates regarding:

- our estimated general and administrative expense;
- our risk mitigation strategy;
- our policy to reserve for operating expenses and capital costs;
- our distribution policy;
- our operating expenses;
- our adequacy of our available capital for future capital requirements;
- our capital expenditures;
- the impact of changes in interest rates; and

the completion of our merger agreement with Record Realty Trust.

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that reflect our current views as to future events and financial performance with respect to our operations. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They use words such as aim, anticipate, are confident, estimate, expect, will be, will continue, will likely result, project, believe, look to and other words and terms of similar meaning in conjunction with a discussion of future operating or financial performance.

Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including the risks discussed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report.

In addition, we discussed a number of material risks in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us on the date hereof. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

The following is a discussion of our interim results of operations, financial condition and liquidity and capital resources for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the corresponding periods in 2005. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein and the 2005 Form 10-K.

**OVERVIEW**

We primarily invest in single tenant properties under long-term leases to the U.S. government, state governments, local governments, and government-sponsored enterprises. We are a self-managed, self-administered company that has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the federal tax laws. We believe that we are the only public company solely focused on investing in government-leased properties. We own each of our properties through separate, wholly owned entities. Our business consists of buying and managing recently built or renovated office properties primarily leased to the federal government, acting through the General Services Administration (GSA), under long-term leases. At September 30, 2006, we owned 21 primarily GSA-leased properties. These

properties are 98% occupied.

**Table of Contents****CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Refer to our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies, which include our revenue recognition of related lease agreements, recording of real estate at depreciated cost, allocation of the purchase price of properties we acquire to net tangible and identified intangible assets and derivative instruments. During the first nine months of 2006, there were no material changes to our critical accounting policies.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Comparison of three months ended September 30, 2006 to September 30, 2005***

Our results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 were significantly affected by our acquisitions in both years. As a result, our results are not comparable from period to period. Therefore, in the table below, we have also separately presented the results of our Same Properties Portfolio. Our Same Properties Portfolio includes the results of twelve properties consisting of approximately 730,000 rentable square feet that were owned for the entire period presented in both years. Our Total Portfolio also includes the operating results of the properties we acquired during 2005 and 2006. These nine properties, referred to as Acquired Properties, consist of approximately 1,171,000 rentable square feet and are collectively referred to as the Acquired Properties.

(in thousands)	Same Properties Portfolio			Acquired Properties		General & Administrative		Total Portfolio		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	Change
<b>Revenue:</b>										
Rental income	\$ 5,231	\$ 4,314	\$ 917	\$ 6,465	\$ 3,508	\$	\$	\$ 11,696	\$ 7,822	\$ 3,874
Tenant reimbursements and other	255	298	(43)	443	144			698	442	256
Total revenue	5,486	4,612	874	6,908	3,652			12,394	8,264	4,130
<b>Expenses:</b>										
Property operations	716	648	68	1,301	695			2,017	1,343	674
Real estate taxes	614	685	(71)	403	212			1,017	897	120
Depreciation and amortization	1,515	1,298	217	2,601	1,639	19	9	4,135	2,946	1,189
General and administrative						1,306	1,304	1,306	1,304	2
Total expenses	2,845	2,631	214	4,305	2,546	1,325	1,313	8,475	6,490	1,985
Operating income(loss)	2,641	1,981	660	2,603	1,106	(1,325)	(1,313)	3,919	1,774	2,145
Interest income	38	104	(66)	6	24	56	121	100	249	(149)
Interest expense	(1,858)	(1,740)	(118)	(1,816)	(1,015)	(595)		(4,269)	(2,755)	(1,514)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(55)	(54)	(1)	(18)	(6)	(170)	(7)	(243)	(67)	(176)
Net income (loss)	\$ 766	\$ 291	\$ 475	\$ 775	\$ 109	\$ (2,034)	\$ (1,199)	\$ (493)	\$ (799)	\$ 306

Total portfolio rental income revenue increased to \$11.7 million in 2006 from \$7.8 million in 2005, an increase of \$3.9 million. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties. Rental revenue for our Same Properties Portfolio increased \$917,000 or 21.3% from the prior period amount. This increase is due to the annual CPI-measured inflation adjustment in the portion of rent attributable to operating costs and additional revenue of approximately \$644,000 for our Parkersburg expansion placed into service on March 15, 2006.

Total portfolio tenant reimbursements and other revenue increased to \$698,000 in 2006 from \$442,000 for 2005, an increase of \$256,000. This amount represents the tenants' reimbursements for real estate tax expense in excess of the real estate tax base amount as defined in their respective lease agreements and other income (primarily parking) earned on the properties. Of the increased amount, \$299,000 was from our Acquired Properties offset by a decrease of \$43,000 from our Same Properties Portfolio. The decrease in tenant reimbursements and other revenue from our Same Properties Portfolio is primarily due to lower real estate tax expense reimbursement recognized for our College Park FDA Property during the third quarter of 2006 as compared to the third quarter of 2005.

**Table of Contents**

Total portfolio property operations expense increased to \$2.0 million in 2006 from \$1.3 million in 2005, an increase of \$674,000. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties which increased by \$606,000 over the prior year amount.

Total portfolio real estate tax expense increased to \$1.0 million in 2006 from \$897,000 in 2005, an increase of \$120,000. This amount was due to a decrease for our Same Properties Portfolio of \$71,000 offset by an increase from our Acquired Properties of \$191,000 over the prior year amount. The decrease in real estate tax expense for our Same Properties Portfolio is due to lower real estate taxes recognized on our Parkersburg Property.

Total portfolio depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$4.1 million in 2006 from \$2.9 million in 2005. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties. Depreciation and amortization expense for our Same Properties Portfolio increased \$217,000, or 16.7% from the prior period amount due to the additional expense from the Parkersburg expansion.

General and administrative expense totaled \$1.3 million in 2006 and 2005.

Interest income was \$100,000 for 2006 and \$249,000 for 2005. The decrease was due to a decrease in cash and cash equivalents held during the first quarter of 2006 as compared to 2005.

Interest expense was \$4.3 million for 2006 and \$2.8 million for 2005. The increase was due primarily to interest costs associated with debt placed on our properties and from borrowings on our line-of-credit facility. We have increased our secured fixed rate mortgage debt from \$77.6 million at the beginning of 2005 to \$250.3 million at September 30, 2006. Also, we have drawn on our line-of-credit beginning in November 2005 and have an outstanding balance of \$35.1 million at September 30, 2006. Our weighted-average interest rate on our fixed rate mortgages was 5.83% and 5.81% as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our interest rate on our line-of-credit facility was 6.73% at September 30, 2006.

Amortization of deferred financing fees was \$243,000 in 2006 and \$67,000 in 2005. The increase was due to the amortization of financing fees related to our revolving line-of-credit agreement acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005, from fixed rate debt placed on our acquired properties, and from fixed rate debt placed on certain properties of our Same Properties Portfolio during the third quarter of 2005.

***Comparison of nine months ended September 30, 2006 to September 30, 2005***

Our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Same Properties Portfolio			Acquired Properties		General & Administrative		Total Portfolio		
	2006	2005	Change	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	Change
<b>Revenue:</b>										
Rental income	\$ 14,651	\$ 12,935	\$ 1,716	\$ 17,876	\$ 5,352	\$	\$	\$ 32,527	\$ 18,287	\$ 14,240
Tenant reimbursements and other	660	482	178	1,213	272			1,873	754	1,119
Total revenue	15,311	13,417	1,894	19,089	5,624			34,400	19,041	15,359
<b>Expenses:</b>										
Property operations	2,073	2,184	(111)	3,647	1,138			5,720	3,322	2,398
Real estate taxes	1,791	1,594	197	1,164	328			2,955	1,922	1,033
Depreciation and amortization	4,373	3,892	481	7,367	2,694	56	25	11,796	6,611	5,185
General and administrative						3,945	3,644	3,945	3,644	301

Edgar Filing: GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST INC - Form 10-Q

Total expenses	8,237	7,670	567	12,178	4,160	4,001	3,669	24,416	15,499	8,917
Operating income(loss)	7,074	5,747	1,327	6,911	1,464	(4,001)	(3,669)	9,984	3,542	6,442
Interest income	194	216	(22)	33	39	106	1,093	333	1,348	(1,015)
Interest expense	(5,398)	(4,814)	(584)	(4,872)	(1,412)	(1,398)		(11,668)	(6,226)	(5,442)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(163)	(147)	(16)	(49)	(10)	(459)	(78)	(671)	(235)	(436)
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,707	\$ 1,002	\$ 705	\$ 2,023	\$ 81	\$ (5,752)	\$ (2,654)	\$ (2,022)	\$ (1,571)	\$ (451)

Total portfolio rental income revenue increased to \$32.5 million in 2006 from \$18.3 million in 2005, an increase of \$14.2 million. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties. Rental revenue for our Same Properties Portfolio increased \$1.7 million, or 13.3% from the prior period amount. This increase is due to the annual CPI-measured inflation adjustment in the portion of rent

**Table of Contents**

attributable to operating costs and additional revenue of approximately \$1.3 million for our Parkersburg expansion placed into service on March 15, 2006.

Total portfolio tenant reimbursements and other revenue increased to \$1.9 million in 2006 from \$754,000 for 2005, an increase of \$1.1 million. This amount represents the tenants' reimbursements for real estate tax expense in excess of the real estate tax base amount as defined in their respective lease agreements and other income (primarily parking) earned on the properties. Of the increased amount, \$941,000 was due to our Acquired Properties. The remaining increase of \$178,000 is for higher real estate tax expense in excess of the real estate tax base amount for our Same Properties Portfolio.

Total portfolio property operations expense increased to \$5.7 million in 2006 from \$3.3 million in 2005, an increase of \$2.4 million. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties which increased by \$2.5 million over the prior year amount. Property operations expense for our Same Properties Portfolio decreased \$111,000, or 5.1% from the prior period amount. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in utilities at our College Park FDA Property as the tenant assumed the responsibility of paying utilities on this property beginning at the end of the first quarter of 2005.

Total portfolio real estate tax expense increased to \$3.0 million in 2006 from \$1.9 million in 2005, an increase of \$1.0 million. This amount was due to an increase for our Same Properties Portfolio of \$197,000 and an increase from our Acquired Properties of \$836,000 over the prior year amount.

Total portfolio depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$11.8 million in 2006 from \$6.6 million in 2005. The increase was primarily due to our Acquired Properties. Depreciation and amortization expense for our Same Properties Portfolio increased \$481,000, or 12.4% from the prior period amount primarily due to the additional expense from the Parkersburg expansion.

General and administrative expense was \$3.9 million for 2006 and \$3.6 million for 2005. The increase was primarily due to an increase in personnel costs related to our operations and acquisition activities.

Interest income was \$333,000 for 2006 and \$1.3 million for 2005. The decrease was due to a decrease in cash and cash equivalents held during the first half of 2006 as compared to the first half of 2005.

Interest expense was \$11.7 million for 2006 and \$6.2 million for 2005. The increase was due primarily to the interest costs associated with debt placed on our properties and from borrowings on our line-of-credit facility. We have increased our secured fixed rate mortgage debt from \$77.6 million at the beginning of 2005 to \$250.3 million at September 30, 2006. Also, we have drawn on our line-of-credit beginning in November 2005 and have an outstanding balance of \$35.1 million at September 30, 2006. Our weighted-average interest rate on our fixed rate mortgages was 5.83% and 5.81% as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our interest rate on our line-of-credit facility was 6.73% at September 30, 2006.

Amortization of deferred financing fees was \$671,000 in 2006 and \$235,000 in 2005. The increase was due to the amortization of financing fees related to our revolving line-of-credit agreement acquired in the fourth quarter of 2005, from fixed rate debt placed on our acquired properties, and from fixed rate debt placed on certain properties of our Same Properties Portfolio during the first half of 2005.

**Table of Contents****LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to acquire properties and to pay for operating expenses, dividends, and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, such as:

acquisition costs, deposits on properties and purchases of properties;

recurring maintenance, repairs and other operating expenses necessary to maintain our properties;

property taxes, state and local tax assessments, and insurance expenses;

interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

capital expenditures incurred to facilitate the leasing of space at our properties, including tenant improvements and leasing commissions; and

general and administrative expenses.

Historically, we have satisfied our short-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital, cash provided from borrowings and cash provided by operations.

Our current mortgage debt obligations are set forth below:

<b>Lender</b>	<b>Collateral</b>	<b>Balance as of September 30, 2006 (In Millions)</b>
CW Capital	Bakersfield DEA property	\$ 1.4
CW Capital	Baton Rouge VA property	4.7
LaSalle Bank/GEMSA	Charleston SSA property	13.4
LaSalle Bank/GEMSA	Clarksburg GSA property	8.0
	Charleston Federal	
CW Capital	Courthouse property	14.3
	College Park FDA	16.0
Capital Realty	property	
Key Bank	Dallas SSA property	6.2
	Harlingen USCIS	19.9
	property	
CW Capital	Kingsport SSA property	2.2
Bank of America	Lenexa FDA property	7.7
Wachovia Bank		
PNC Bank	Martinsburg IRS property	19.6
Bank of New York	Parkersburg BPD property	31.5
PNC Bank	Pittsburgh FBI property	20.2
	Pittsburgh USCIS	7.7
	property	
Nomura Credit	Portland property	39.1
Wachovia Bank		
Northwestern Mutual	Sterling DEA property	15.2
J.P. Morgan Chase	Riverside County property	8.8
Merrill Lynch	Vicksburg property	14.4
		250.3
Premium on mortgage debt (Sterling DEA property)		1.4

Total	Mortgage Notes Payable	\$	251.7
-------	------------------------	----	-------

We financed the acquisition of our Bakersfield DEA property in February 2005 through a \$1.4 million loan from CW Capital, which matures on March 1, 2020. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.867% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due March 1, 2020.

We financed the acquisition of our Baton Rouge VA property in February 2005 through a \$4.8 million loan from CW Capital, which matures on March 1, 2020. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.867% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due March 1, 2020.

**Table of Contents**

We financed the acquisition of our Charleston SSA property in April 2003 through a \$14 million loan from LaSalle Bank, which matures on May 1, 2013. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.74% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due May 1, 2013.

We financed the acquisition of our Clarksburg GSA property in April 2003 through an approximately \$8.3 million loan from LaSalle Bank, which matures on May 1, 2013. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.74% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due May 1, 2013.

We financed the acquisition of our Charleston Federal Courthouse property in February 2005 through a \$14.6 million loan from CW Capital, which matures on March 1, 2020. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.867% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due March 1, 2020.

We financed the acquisition of our College Park FDA property in October 2004 through the assumption of the seller's loan of \$16.7 million loan from Capital Realty, which matures on October 26, 2026. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 6.75% per annum. Payments of principal and interest are made monthly through October 26, 2026.

We financed the acquisition of our Dallas SSA property in September 2005 through an approximately \$6.25 million loan from Key Bank, which matures on October 1, 2015. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.09% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due October 1, 2015.

We financed the acquisition of our Harlingen USCIS property in May 2006 through an approximately \$19.9 million loan from CW Capital, which matures on September 1, 2016. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 6.266% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due September 1, 2016.

We financed the acquisition of our Kingsport SSA property in April 2003 through the assumption of the seller's first mortgage loan in the amount of \$2.3 million from Bank of America, which matures on April 1, 2010, and an unsecured loan issued by the seller in the amount of \$0.2 million which we repaid in July 2004. The unpaid principal balance of the first mortgage loan bears interest at a rate of 8.23% per annum, with monthly payments being amortized on a 25-year schedule and has a balloon payment due April 1, 2010.

We obtained financing related to the acquisition of our Lenexa FDA property in July 2004 through an \$8.0 million loan from Wachovia Bank, which matures on August 11, 2009. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.44% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 27-year schedule, with a balloon payment due August 11, 2009.

We financed the acquisition of our Martinsburg IRS property in July 2005 through an approximately \$19.6 million loan from PNC Bank, which matures on August 1, 2015. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.24% per annum. Accrued interest only payments are due monthly through August 2006. Thereafter, monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due August 1, 2015.

We obtained financing related to the acquisition of our Parkersburg BPD property in March 2005 through a combined \$31.8 million loan from the Bank of New York, which matures on March 15, 2021. The loan is comprised of two notes totaling \$26.8 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The unpaid principal balance of the \$26.8 million note bears interest at a rate of 5.40% per annum. Monthly payments were interest only through the date of completion of the Parkersburg expansion on March 15, 2006. Thereafter monthly payments are amortized on a 25-year schedule, with a balloon payment due March 15, 2021. The \$5.0 million note bears interest at 5.75% with interest payments due monthly and principal due March 15, 2021.

We obtained financing related to the acquisition of our Pittsburgh FBI property in July 2004 through a \$21.0 million loan from PNC Bank, which matures on August 1, 2009. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.5% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 26-year schedule, with a balloon payment due August 1, 2009.

We obtained financing related to the acquisition of our Pittsburgh USCIS property in December 2004 through an \$8.0 million loan from Nomura Credit, which matures on December 11, 2011. The unpaid principal balance of the

note bears interest at a rate of 5.13% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 25-year schedule, with a balloon payment due December 11, 2011.

We obtained financing related to the acquisition of our Portland property in April 2005 through a \$39.1 million loan from Wachovia Bank, which matures on May 11, 2015. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.49% per annum. Accrued interest only payments are due monthly through November 11, 2006. From December 11, 2006 through November 11, 2013, monthly

**Table of Contents**

payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule. From December 11, 2013 through April 11, 2015, monthly payments are amortized on a 25-year schedule, with a balloon payment due May 11, 2015.

We financed the acquisition of our Sterling DEA property in September 2005 through the assumption of the seller's loan of \$15.8 million loan from Northwestern Mutual, which matures on March 1, 2020. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 7.98% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 20-year schedule, with a balloon payment due March 1, 2020. We recorded a premium of \$1,482,178 related to the above market interest rate on the assumed debt. Amortization of this premium will be included in interest expense on the consolidated statement of operations.

We financed the acquisition of our Riverside County property in February 2006 through the assumption of the seller's loan of \$8.9 million loan from J.P. Morgan Chase, which matures on December 1, 2014. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.79% per annum. Monthly payments are amortized on a 30-year schedule, with a balloon payment due December 1, 2014.

We financed the acquisition of our Vicksburg COE property in November 2005 through a \$14.4 million loan from Merrill Lynch Mortgage Company, which matures on August 1, 2016. The unpaid principal balance of the note bears interest at a rate of 5.62% per annum. Accrued interest only payments are due monthly.

The mortgages on our properties contain customary restrictive covenants, including provisions that may limit the borrowing subsidiary's ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to incur additional indebtedness, further mortgage or transfer the applicable property, purchase or acquire additional property, discontinue insurance coverage, change the conduct of its business or make loans or advances to, enter into any transaction of merger or consolidation with, or acquire the business, assets or equity of, any third party.

In May 2005, the Company entered into two forward-starting interest rate swap contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$50 million to fix a portion of the interest rate associated with the anticipated issuance of future financings that are expected to occur in the fourth quarter of 2006. In September 2006, the Company cash settled both forward-starting interest rate swap contracts and received cash in the amount of \$1,064,000. Concurrently, the Company entered into a rate lock agreement with a financial institution for mortgage debt financing related to our Buffalo Niagara Center and Buffalo SSA properties in the total amount of approximately \$55.7 million. The mortgage financings are expected to occur during the fourth quarter of 2006. As a result of a cash settlement, the Company has recorded \$41,000 of ineffectiveness which has been reflected as a reduction of interest expense in the 2006 statement of operations. The remaining \$1,023,000 continues to be reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income as the forecasted transaction remains probable of occurring.

We entered into a \$50 million revolving credit facility in November 2005 led by Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC. Wachovia Bank, N.A. serves as administrative agent. In April 2006, the maximum borrowing level of the credit facility was increased to \$65 million. This credit facility replaced the Company's prior \$50 million revolving credit agreement led by First National Bank of Omaha which also is participating in the new credit facility. The term of the credit facility is for three years and may be extended for one additional year. The amount available to be borrowed under the credit facility is based upon the combined value of certain collateral properties. The initial pool of collateral includes the Buffalo Niagara Center, Buffalo SSA and Mineral Wells BPD properties. Upon the mortgage debt financing of the Buffalo Niagara Center and Buffalo SSA properties referred to above, the collateral for the credit facility will include only the Mineral Wells BPD property. The credit facility will provide us funding for future acquisitions and facilitate additional capitalization.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a rate equal to either (a) a base rate determined by the higher of the Prime Rate or the Federal Funds Rate plus 1/2 of 1%, or (b) an applicable margin, based upon our total indebtedness to total asset value, plus LIBOR. The borrowings on the credit facility are currently priced at LIBOR plus 1.40%. Payments are interest only through the term of the credit facility and are payable at least quarterly.

The Wachovia credit facility is guaranteed by us and certain of our unencumbered subsidiaries which currently are our Mineral Wells BPD, Buffalo Niagara Center and Buffalo SSA properties. The credit facility contains financial covenants related to maintenance of leverage, fixed charge coverage ratios and tangible net worth and also contains affirmative and negative covenants including, among other things, limitations on certain indebtedness, guarantees of indebtedness, level of cash dividends and other transactions as defined in the agreement.

In April 2006, the Company obtained an additional line-of-credit facility through First National Bank of Omaha, N.A. with a maximum borrowing level of \$10 million and available through April 2007. The \$10 million line-of-credit facility is unsecured and is subject to the covenants described above as related to the revolving credit facility led by Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC. Borrowings

## **Table of Contents**

under this facility bear an interest rate equal to an applicable margin, based upon our total indebtedness to total asset value, plus the three month LIBOR rate. Any borrowings on the credit facility would currently be priced at LIBOR plus 2.40%. Payments are interest only through the term of the credit facility and are payable monthly.

The merger agreement we entered into with Record Realty Trust requires their consent regarding certain capital expenditures, financings, acquisitions and other agreements. Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for property acquisitions, scheduled debt maturities, renovations, expansions and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically to our properties, the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that we pursue and dividend payments to stockholders. Historically, we have satisfied our long-term liquidity requirements through various sources of capital, including our existing working capital, cash provided by operations, sales of equity securities, and long-term mortgage indebtedness. Certain of our fixed-rate mortgages require that fully-funded sinking fund reserves be established and maintained for future capital expenditures related to marketing, tenant improvements or leasing commissions. We periodically evaluate requirements for future capital expenditures on our properties not covered by mortgage reserve fund provisions. Our intention is and has been to have a funded reserve for such situations available at the time the capital expenditure is expected to be incurred.

We believe that our net cash provided by operating activities, draws under our revolving line of credit and proceeds from other financing sources that we expect to be available to us will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our cash needs during the next twelve months.

### **CASH DISTRIBUTION POLICY**

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under the federal tax laws commencing as of our taxable year beginning January 1, 2003. To qualify as a REIT, we must, among other things, distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. We intend to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal income taxes on taxable income we distribute (in accordance with the federal tax laws and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and property and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income, i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the federal tax laws and applicable regulations thereunder.

We intend to pay to our stockholders, within the time periods prescribed by the federal tax laws (in our case by January 31 of the following year), all or substantially all of our annual taxable income, including gains from the sale of real estate and recognized gains on sale of securities. We will continue our policy of making sufficient cash distributions to stockholders for us to maintain REIT status under the federal tax laws and to avoid corporate income and excise tax on undistributed income. All distributions are made at the discretion of our board of directors and depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

### **INFLATION**

Our GSA leases generally contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. These provisions increase rental rates during the terms of the leases by indexed escalations based on the Consumer Price Index. In addition, our GSA leases generally require the tenant to pay a share of increases in operating expenses and all increases in real estate taxes. This may reduce our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. However, increases in property operating costs above the escalation amount would harm our cash flow and may harm our ability to pay dividends.

### **FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS**

REIT analysts generally consider funds from operations or FFO an alternative measure of performance for an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines funds from operations as net income, computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ), excluding gains or losses from sales of properties, but including real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We believe that FFO is helpful to investors as one of several measures of the performance of an equity REIT. We further believe that by excluding the effect of

depreciation, amortization and gains or losses from sales of real estate, all of which are based on historical costs, which may be of limited relevance in evaluating current performance, FFO can facilitate comparison of operating performance between periods and between other equity REITs. Investors should review FFO along with GAAP Net Income Available for Common Shares and cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities, when evaluating an

**Table of Contents**

equity REIT's operating performance. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than us. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, nor does it represent cash available to pay distributions and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our financial performance, or to cash flow from operating activities, determined in accordance with GAAP, as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions.

The following table presents a reconciliation of GAAP to our funds from operations for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Net loss	\$ (493)	\$ (799)	\$ (2,022)	\$ (1,571)
Adjustments to reconcile to funds from operations:				
Real estate depreciation and amortization (a)	4,116	2,936	11,740	6,586
Funds from operations	\$ 3,623	\$ 2,137	\$ 9,718	\$ 5,015
Funds from operations per common share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.24

(a) Excludes depreciation of non-real estate assets of \$19,000 and \$10,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$56,000 and \$25,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates.

**Market Risk Related to Fixed-Rate Debt**

As of September 30, 2006, our debt included fixed-rate mortgage notes with a carrying value of \$250.3 million.

Changes in market interest rates on our fixed-rate debt impacts the fair market value of the debt, but it has no impact on interest incurred or cash flow. The sensitivity analysis related to our fixed debt assumes an immediate 100 basis point move in interest rates from their actual September 30, 2006 levels, with all other variables held constant.

A 100 basis point increase in market interest rates would result in a decrease in the fair value of our fixed-rate debt by approximately \$15.6 million at September 30, 2006. A 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates would result in an increase in the fair market value of our fixed-rate debt by approximately \$16.1 million at September 30, 2006.

**INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY**

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are subject to interest rate sensitivity. The table presents our mortgage notes payable by expected maturity date and weighted average interest rate as of September 30, 2006.

**INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY**  
(in thousands)

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>THEREAFTER</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>MORTGAGE</b>							
<b>NOTES PAYABLE:</b>							
Fixed rate amount	\$ 4,142	\$ 4,468	\$ 31,000	\$ 4,418	\$ 4,695	\$ 201,545	\$ 250,268
Weighted-average interest rate	5.98%	5.98%	5.56%	6.07%	6.08%	5.86%	5.83%

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.** Our management continues to review our internal controls and procedures and the effectiveness of those controls. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting.** There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls during the quarter ended September 30, 2006.

**Table of Contents**

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Please refer to our 2005 annual report or Form 10-K for a detailed discussion of risk factors under Part I, Item 1A. Set forth below are certain risks affected by information we received during 2006.

We acquired eight properties for an amount of \$123.2 million in 2004, seven properties for an amount of \$215.9 million in 2005 and two properties for an amount of \$45.7 million in the first nine months of 2006. Net income from the properties we own has been less than the dividends we have paid to date. We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to pay dividends in the future.

Rent from the U.S. government and other governmental agencies represented approximately 97% of our revenues for the nine months of 2006 and 96% for the year ended December 31, 2005. In addition, the U.S. government and other governmental agencies leased 96% of our total leased square feet of property as of September 30, 2006. Any default by the U.S. government, or its failure to renew its leases with us upon their expiration, could cause interruptions in the receipt of lease revenue or result in vacancies, or both, which would reduce our revenue until the affected property is leased, and could decrease the ultimate value of the affected property upon sale. Further, failure on the part of a tenant to comply with the terms of a lease may cause us to find another tenant. We cannot assure you that we would be able to find another tenant or find one without incurring substantial costs, or that if another tenant were found we would be able to enter into a new lease on favorable terms to us.

We have had historical losses of \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. As of September 30, 2006, we had an accumulated deficit of \$40.7 million, of which \$7.5 million was due to accumulated losses and \$33.2 million was due to the payment of cash dividends. We cannot assure you that we will not have similar losses in the future.

On October 23, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive merger agreement with Record Realty Trust, an Australian listed property trust (ASX: RRT), whereby a subsidiary of Record Realty Trust will acquire the Company for \$10.75 per common share in cash, subject to a potential reduction by an amount not to exceed \$0.08 per common share resulting from certain potential contingencies of the Company, and as otherwise provided in the merger agreement. Record Realty Trust is managed by Record Funds Management Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Allco Finance Group (ASX: AFG). The transaction has been unanimously approved by each of the Company's and Record Realty's respective boards of directors. Completion of the merger is currently expected to occur during the first quarter of 2007 and is subject to approval by the Company's stockholders and other customary closing conditions. There can be no assurances that the merger will be consummated. Risks or uncertainties that could cause results to differ include, but are not limited to, (1) the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the merger agreement; (2) the inability to complete the merger due to the failure to obtain stockholder approval or the failure to satisfy other conditions to the completion of the merger, including the receipt of required regulatory approvals; (3) risks that the proposed transaction disrupts current plans and operations and the potential difficulties in employee retention as a result of the merger; (4) the ability to recognize the benefits of the merger; and other risks that are set forth in the Company's SEC filings. Many of the factors that will determine the outcome of the subject matter of this press release are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict.

In the event that the merger is not consummated, the Company intends to re-examine its strategic alternatives, which may include remaining as an independent entity. There can be no assurances that other strategic alternatives will be available to the Company and that if the Company remains independent that it will be successful in implementing a plan to remain independent.

**Table of Contents**

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

12.1 Ratio of Fixed Charges

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GOVERNMENT PROPERTIES TRUST,  
INC.

Date: November 5, 2006

By: /s/ NANCY D. OLSON

Nancy D. Olson  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(principal financial officer)

23