CENTEX CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS INC Form PRE 14A September 04, 2003

Table of Contents

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0059 Expires: August 31, 2004

14.73

Estimated average

burden

hours per response

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- x Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

CENTEX CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:	
o Fee paid previ	ously with preliminary materials.
	any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting sly. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
2) Form, Schedul	e or Registration Statement No.:
3) Filing Party:	
4) Date Filed:	
SEC 1913 (02-02)	Persons who potentially are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Table of Contents

Centex Construction Products, Inc.

2728 N. Harwood Dallas, Texas 75201

, 2003

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of stockholders of Centex Construction Products, Inc., which we refer to as CXP, to be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on , 2003, at 2728 N. Harwood, 10th Floor, Dallas, Texas. At the special meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon seven proposals. The first of these proposals relates to a reclassification of the common stock of CXP that is necessary to permit the tax-free distribution by Centex Corporation, which we refer to as Centex, of all of the shares of CXP common stock held by Centex to its stockholders. Centex currently holds 11,962,304 shares of common stock, representing approximately 65% of our outstanding common stock. We refer to our currently existing class of common stock as our common stock prior to the reclassification and as Class A common stock after the reclassification.

Given the nature of Centex s ownership of CXP, in order for the distribution to be tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes, among other things, Centex must own, at the time of the distribution, capital stock of CXP having the right to elect at least 85% of the members of our board of directors, and Centex must distribute all of that stock to its stockholders in a single transaction. Accordingly, the proposal provides for the reclassification of 9,220,000 of the 11,962,304 shares of our common stock held by Centex into a new class of common stock, called the Class B common stock, having the right to elect at least 85% of the members of our board of directors. In all other respects the rights of the holders of these two classes of stock will be substantially the same. Immediately after the reclassification, all of the Class B common stock and the remaining 2,742,304 shares of our Class A common stock held by Centex will be distributed to Centex stockholders.

As a technical matter, you will be voting to adopt an agreement and plan of merger, dated as of July 21, 2003, among CXP, Centex and ARG Merger Corporation, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of Centex, as a means to effect the reclassification. The merger agreement provides for certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation that are necessary to effect the reclassification. One of these amendments will change our name to

If the reclassification is approved and other conditions are satisfied or waived, CXP will declare and pay a special one-time cash dividend to CXP stockholders (including Centex) of \$6.00 per share, payable to stockholders of record prior to the distribution.

The reclassification, the distribution and related transactions, which are described in detail in the accompanying proxy statement, are expected to provide the following benefits:

As a 65% owned subsidiary of Centex, we currently must compete for capital with Centex s other lines of business. The proposed transactions will permit us to manage our business and seek growth opportunities without regard to considerations or limitations related to Centex s other businesses.

The proposed transactions will permit us to pursue our business interests independent of Centex, particularly with respect to acquisitions, business and asset sales and other corporate opportunities.

Table of Contents

The proposed transactions will significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our capital stock by increasing the number of shares held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 18.4 million shares, which includes shares of the Class A common stock and shares of the Class B common stock. The proposed transactions will also significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our existing class of common stock by increasing the number of shares of that class held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 9.2 million shares. In addition, the transactions will result in a broader stockholder base when Centex distributes the shares of Class B common stock and Class A common stock held by it to its stockholders. We estimate that there will be at least 28,000 beneficial owners of our capital stock immediately following the distribution, which represents more than ten times our current number of beneficial owners.

We believe that a broader stockholder base, coupled with increased public float and liquidity of our capital stock may attract additional analyst coverage of us, which we believe would enhance the market s awareness of our capital stock and stimulate interest from new investors.

We expect that an expansion of our stockholder base and broader exposure in the investment community will enhance our ability to use our capital stock as an acquisition currency and as a means of raising capital.

The transactions may permit our stockholders to share in any premium associated with a future transfer of control of CXP, if such an event should occur.

You will also be asked to consider and vote upon certain corporate governance and authorized capital proposals which are described in detail in the attached proxy statement. You are also being asked to approve a stockholders—rights plan. We believe that these proposals will help foster our long-term growth as an independent company following the distribution and, in the case of the governance and the stockholders—rights plan proposals, will help protect our stockholders from potentially coercive or abusive takeover tactics and attempts to acquire control of us at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of our stockholders. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify Centex for tax liabilities under certain circumstances if the distribution becomes subject to tax. The likelihood of the distribution losing its tax-free status and CXP being subject to liability under the tax indemnification provisions of the distribution agreement increase if CXP is acquired. By making a takeover of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, these proposals will also protect CXP from potential liabilities resulting from the loss of the tax-free status of the distribution. The governance and authorized capital proposals would amend our certificate of incorporation to create a classified board of directors consisting of three classes, eliminate the ability of our stockholders to act by written consent, eliminate the ability of our stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders, require a supermajority vote by our stockholders to amend certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and increase the amount of our authorized capital stock.

The accompanying proxy statement provides information about the proposed transactions. Our board encourages you to read the entire proxy statement and the appendices carefully.

The board of directors of CXP, upon the recommendation of its special committee consisting of independent directors, has determined that the distribution and related transactions, including the reclassification, are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders and have unanimously approved the merger agreement and for the approval of each of the governance, authorized capital increase and stockholders rights plan proposals. The board of directors of CXP recommends that you vote For the adoption of the merger agreement and the governance, authorized capital increase and stockholders rights plan proposals and urges you to sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy in the reply envelope at your earliest convenience.

Table of Contents

Thank you for your continued support as we proceed to consider and implement these important transactions.

Very truly yours,

LAURENCE E. HIRSCH

Chairman of the Board

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, please fill in, sign and promptly return your proxy in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted. Executed but unmarked proxies will be voted for the adoption of the agreement and plan of merger and for the approval of each of the governance, authorized capital increase and stockholders—rights plan proposals. There is no need to send any CXP stock certificates to us in your proxy envelope or otherwise.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE RECLASSIFICATION, THE GOVERNANCE PROPOSALS, THE AUTHORIZED CAPITAL INCREASE PROPOSAL AND THE STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN SUMMARY

Centex Construction Products, Inc.

Centex Corporation

Background and Proposed Transactions

Transaction and Ownership Structure

Expected Benefits of the Transactions to CXP and its Stockholders

Conditions to the Transactions and Other Proposals

Special Meeting

Board of Directors Recommendation

Required Vote

<u>Appendices</u>

THE SPECIAL MEETING

Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting of Stockholders

Recommendation of the Board of Directors of CXP

Record Date and Shares Entitled to Vote

Voting of Proxies

Required Vote

Quorum, Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Other Matters

Solicitation of Proxies and Expenses

CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS

PROPOSAL ONE: THE RECLASSIFICATION AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Background of the Reclassification and the Related Transactions

CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions

Opinion of the Special Committee s Financial Advisor

Description of the Reclassification Proposal

<u>Description of Bylaws Amendments</u>

Recommendation of the CXP Board

Required Vote

Effects of the Reclassification on Outstanding Shares

Cash Dividend

Tax Matters -- Reclassification and Distribution

Tax Matters -- Cash Dividend

Interests of Our Officers and Directors in the Reclassification

Relationship Between Centex and CXP after the Distribution

New York Stock Exchange Approvals

Federal Securities Law Consequences

No Appraisal Rights

DESCRIPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENT

The Merger Agreement

The Distribution Agreement

PROPOSALS TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX AND SEVEN: GOVERNANCE PROPOSALS, AUTHORIZED CAPITAL

INCREASE PROPOSAL AND STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN PROPOSAL

General

Purpose and Effects of the Governance Proposals

Purpose and Effects of the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal

The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal

Recommendation of the CXP Board

Description of Bylaws Amendments

Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal

Recommendation of the CXP Board

Required Vote

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT OF CXP

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT OF CXP

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

ARTICLE II. The Distribution and Cash Dividend

Table of Contents

Centex Construction Products, Inc.

2728 N. Harwood Dallas, Texas 75201

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held , 2003

To the Stockholders:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders of Centex Construction Products, Inc., a Delaware corporation (CXP), will be held at 2728 N. Harwood, 10th Floor, Dallas, Texas 75201 at 9:00 a.m., local time, on , 2003, for the following purposes:

(1) Reclassification Proposal. You are being asked to approve the adoption of an agreement and plan of merger, dated as of July 21, 2003, among CXP, Centex Corporation (Centex) and ARG Merger Corporation, a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of Centex. The merger agreement provides for the merger of ARG Merger Corporation with and into CXP in order to reclassify our capital stock to create a new class of common stock, to be called Class B common stock, having the right to elect at least 85% of the members of our board of directors. We refer to our existing class of common stock as common stock prior to the reclassification and as Class A common stock after the reclassification. The reclassification is being proposed to facilitate the tax-free distribution by Centex to its stockholders of its approximately 65% equity ownership interest in CXP. In the reclassification, Centex will exchange 9,220,000 shares of common stock held by it for an equal number of shares of Class B common stock. Immediately following the reclassification, Centex will distribute these shares of Class B common stock and the remaining 2,742,304 shares of Class A common stock held by Centex to its stockholders. The merger agreement provides for certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation that are necessary to effect the reclassification. One of these amendments will change our name to

(2) Governance Proposals. You are also being asked to approve a number of proposals that would, if approved, amend our certificate of incorporation at the effective time of the merger as follows:

Staggered Board Proposal. To amend our certificate of incorporation to divide our board of directors into three classes, with each director serving for a term of three years.

Written Consent Proposal. To amend our certificate of incorporation to eliminate the ability of our stockholders to act by written consent.

Special Meeting Proposal. To amend our certificate of incorporation to eliminate the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings of the stockholders.

Supermajority Voting Proposal. To amend our certificate of incorporation to require approval of 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote, voting together as a

Table of Contents

single class, to alter, amend, rescind or repeal our bylaws by action of our stockholders or to adopt or modify the provisions of our certificate of incorporation relating to:

the division of our board of directors into three classes;

the inability of our stockholders to act by written consent;

the inability of our stockholders to call special meetings of the stockholders;

the ability of our board of directors to adopt, alter, amend and repeal the bylaws;

a special voting provision limiting the voting rights of beneficial owners of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our Class B common stock; and

the 66 2/3% vote required in order for our stockholders to modify any of the provisions of the certificate of incorporation described above.

These proposals are collectively referred to as the governance proposals. None of the governance proposals will become effective unless the reclassification is completed.

- (3) Authorized Capital Increase Proposal. To approve an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock and preferred stock that we may issue from 50,000,000 shares of common stock and 2,000,000 shares of preferred stock to 100,000,000 shares of common stock (consisting of 80,000,000 shares of Class A common stock and 20,000,000 shares of Class B common stock) and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The authorized capital increase proposal will not become effective unless the reclassification is completed.
- (4) Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal. To approve a proposal to implement a stockholders rights plan. The stockholders rights plan proposal will not become effective unless the reclassification is completed.
- (5) Other Business. To conduct such other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournment thereof. Each of the foregoing items of business is more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice. We urge you to review the proxy statement and appendices thereto in their entirety.

If the reclassification is approved and other conditions to the proposed transactions are satisfied or waived, CXP will declare and pay a special one-time cash dividend to CXP stockholders (including Centex) of \$6.00 per share, payable to stockholders of record prior to the distribution.

The Board of Directors of CXP has fixed the close of business on , 2003 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting or any adjournment thereof. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the special meeting. The transfer books will not be closed.

You are cordially invited to attend the special meeting. Whether or not you expect to attend the special meeting in person, you are urged to sign, date and mail promptly the accompanying form of proxy so that your shares may be represented and voted at the special meeting. Your proxy will be returned to you if you choose to attend the special meeting and request that it be returned.

By Order of the Board of Directors

James H. Graass

Executive Vice President,

General Counsel and Secretary

Dallas, Texas

, 2003

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE RECLASSIFICATION, THE	
GOVERNANCE PROPOSALS, THE AUTHORIZED CAPITAL	
INCREASE PROPOSAL AND THE STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN	1
SUMMARY	4
Centex Construction Products, Inc.	4
Centex Corporation	4
Background and Proposed Transactions	4
Expected Benefits of the Transactions to CXP and its Stockholders	9
Conditions to the Transactions and Other Proposals	9
Special Meeting	10
Board of Directors Recommendation	10
Required Vote	10
Appendices	11
THE SPECIAL MEETING	12
Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting of Stockholders	12
Recommendation of the Board of Directors of CXP	12
Record Date and Shares Entitled to Vote	13
Voting of Proxies	13
Required Vote	13
Quorum, Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes	14
Other Matters	14
Solicitation of Proxies and Expenses	15
CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS	16
PROPOSAL ONE: THE RECLASSIFICATION AND RELATED	20
TRANSACTIONS	20
Background of the Reclassification and the Related Transactions	20
CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions	24
Opinion of the Special Committee s Financial Advisor	27
Description of the Reclassification Proposal	35
Description of Bylaws Amendments	37
Recommendation of the CXP Board	37 38
Required Vote	38
Effects of the Reclassification on Outstanding Shares Cash Dividend	38
Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution	38
Tax Matters Cash Dividend	30 40
Interests of Our Officers and Directors in the Reclassification	41
Relationship Between Centex and CXP after the Distribution	41
New York Stock Exchange Approvals	42
Federal Securities Law Consequences	42
No Appraisal Rights	42
DESCRIPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION	72
AGREEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION	43
The Merger Agreement	43
The Distribution Agreement	45
The Distribution (1greentent	.5

Table of Contents 10

i

Table of Contents

		Page
PROPOSALS	TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX AND SEVEN:	
GOVERNAN	CE PROPOSALS, AUTHORIZED CAPITAL INCREASE	
PROPOSAL A	AND STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN PROPOSAL	50
General		50
Purpose and Effects of the Governance Proposals		50
Purpose and Effects of the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal		52
The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal		52
Recommendation of the CXP Board		55
Description	of Bylaws Amendments	55
Stockholder	rs Rights Plan Proposal	55
Recommen	dation of the CXP Board	61
Required V	ote	61
STOCK OWN	ERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND	
MANAGEME	ENT OF CXP	62
BOARD OF I	DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT OF CXP	65
DEADLINE F	FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	66
Appendix A	Agreement and Plan of Merger	
Appendix B	Distribution Agreement	
Appendix C	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CXP	
Appendix D	Bylaws of CXP	
Appendix E	Opinion of Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.	

ii

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE RECLASSIFICATION,

THE GOVERNANCE PROPOSALS, THE AUTHORIZED CAPITAL INCREASE PROPOSAL AND THE STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN

Q: What am I being asked to vote upon in the reclassification?

A: You are being asked to adopt a merger agreement providing for the reclassification of our capital stock. If the merger is approved and completed, a new class of common stock of CXP will be created that will be called Class B common stock and will have the right to elect at least 85% of our directors. The holders of shares of our Class A common stock will have the right to elect the remaining members of our board of directors. Centex currently holds 11,962,304 shares of our common stock. Centex will exchange 9,220,000 of these shares for an equal number of shares of Class B common stock in the reclassification. Centex will then distribute all of the 9,220,000 shares of Class B common stock that it receives in the reclassification, together with the remaining 2,742,304 shares of Class A common stock held by it, to its stockholders in the distribution. After the reclassification and distribution, the number of outstanding shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock will be substantially equal. If the reclassification is approved and other conditions to the proposed transactions are satisfied or waived, CXP will declare and pay a special one-time cash dividend to CXP stockholders (including Centex) of \$6.00 per share, payable to stockholders of record prior to the distribution.

Q: Other than the voting rights for the board of directors, is there any difference between a share of our Class A common stock and a share of Class B common stock?

A: No. In all other respects the rights of the holders of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock will be substantially the same, including with respect to voting rights on fundamental transactions affecting CXP.

Q: What stockholder approvals are needed for the reclassification proposal?

A: The reclassification requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock. In addition, the reclassification will occur only if approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock, other than Centex, that vote on the reclassification in person or by proxy at the special meeting.

Q: Other than the stockholder approvals discussed above, are there other conditions to the reclassification?

A: Yes. The reclassification will occur only if all of the conditions described under the caption Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement The Merger Agreement Conditions to the Merger are satisfied or waived. These conditions include, among other things, the receipt of a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service confirming that the reclassification and distribution will be tax-free transactions.

Q: If the reclassification and distribution occur, will CXP s name be changed?

A: Yes. If the reclassification and distribution occur, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to change our name to

O: Will the cash dividend be paid if the reclassification proposal is not approved?

A: No. We will declare and pay a special one-time cash dividend of \$6.00 per share to the holders of our existing class of common stock, including Centex, only if the reclassification is approved.

Q: Why is CXP recommending the governance proposals and stockholders rights plan proposal?

A: We believe that, after the reclassification and distribution, we may be vulnerable to unsolicited attempts to acquire control of our company. We have agreed to indemnify Centex for tax liabilities under certain circumstances if the distribution becomes subject to tax. The likelihood of the distribution losing its tax-free status and CXP being subject to liability under the tax indemnification provisions of the distribution agreement increase if CXP is acquired. By making a

I

Table of Contents

takeover of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, these proposals, will protect CXP from potential liabilities resulting from the loss of the tax-free status of the distribution. We also believe that the governance proposals and stockholders—rights plan proposal will help foster our long-term growth as an independent company following the reclassification and the distribution and will help protect our stockholders from potentially abusive takeover tactics and attempts to acquire control of CXP at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of all of our stockholders.

Q: Why is CXP recommending the authorized capital increase proposal?

- A: Our board of directors believes that an increase in our authorized capital will ensure that there remains a sufficient authorized number of shares of common stock and preferred stock after the reclassification and distribution in order to provide for potential future stock splits, sales of our securities to raise additional capital, acquisitions of other companies or their businesses or assets, establishing strategic relationships with third parties, and providing options or other stock incentives to our employees, consultants or others.
- Q: What stockholder approvals are needed for the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal?
- A: Each of the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock. Since Centex has informed us that it will vote its shares of our common stock in favor of each of these proposals, the approval of these proposals is assured.
- Q: Will the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal or stockholders rights plan proposal be implemented even if the reclassification and distribution do not occur?
- A: No.

Q: What if I do not vote?

A: The failure to vote your shares will have the same effect as a vote against the reclassification, the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal, although it will have no effect with respect to the separate required vote on the reclassification by stockholders other than Centex. If you respond and do not indicate how you want to vote, your proxy will be counted as a vote in favor of the reclassification, the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal. If you respond and abstain from voting, your proxy will have the same effect as a vote against the reclassification, the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal, although your abstention will have no effect with respect to the separate required vote on the reclassification by stockholders other than Centex.

Q: Can I change my vote after I have delivered my proxy?

A: Yes. You can change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at the special meeting. You can do this in one of three ways. First, you can revoke your proxy. Second, you can submit a new proxy. If you choose either of these two methods, you must submit your notice of revocation or your new proxy to the secretary of CXP before the special meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you should contact your brokerage firm or bank to change your vote. Third, if you are a holder of record, you can attend the special meeting and vote in person.

Q: Should I send in my stock certificates now?

A: No. Other than Centex, our stockholders will not be exchanging share certificates. Please do not send in your stock certificates with your proxy or otherwise.

2

Table of Contents

Q: Will the shares of our common stock continue to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange?

A: Following the reclassification and distribution, shares of our Class A common stock will continue to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CXP. The Class B common stock is expected to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol

Q: When do you expect the reclassification and distribution to be completed?

A: We expect the reclassification and the distribution to be completed promptly following receipt of stockholder approval of the reclassification proposal and the satisfaction or waiver of the applicable conditions to completion of the distribution.

Q: Who can help answer my questions?

A: If you have any questions about the reclassification or how to submit your proxy, or if you need additional copies of this proxy statement or the enclosed proxy card or voting instructions, you should contact:

Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. 17 State Street, 28th Floor New York, New York 10004 (800)

3

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information in the proxy statement and may not contain all the information that is important to you. You should carefully read this entire proxy statement and the attached appendices for a more complete understanding of the transactions. References in this proxy statement to CXP, we, us, ours or like terms refer to Centex Construction Products, Inc. In this proxy statement we refer to our currently existing class of common stock as our common stock prior to the reclassification described below and as Class A common stock after the reclassification. Additionally, we refer to the new class of common stock created pursuant to the reclassification as Class B common stock. This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy were first sent to our stockholders on or about , 2003.

Centex Construction Products, Inc.

We produce and sell cement, gypsum wallboard, recycled paperboard, aggregates and readymix concrete used in residential, industrial, commercial and infrastructure applications. We are a holding company and the businesses of the consolidated group are conducted through our subsidiaries. Prior to April 19, 1994, CXP was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Centex Corporation which we refer to as Centex. On April 19, 1994, we completed an initial public offering of 51% of our common stock. Our existing common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, began trading publicly on the New York Stock Exchange on April 19, 1994. Centex currently owns approximately 65% of our common stock. Our principal executive offices are located at 2728 N. Harwood, Dallas, Texas 75201, and our telephone number at that location is (214) 981-5000.

Centex Corporation

Centex, through its subsidiaries, is engaged in five principal business segments: home building, financial services, construction products, construction services and investment real estate. Centex s home building operations involve the purchase and development of land or lots and the construction and sale of single-family homes, townhomes and low-rise condominiums. Through its financial services operations, Centex is engaged in the residential mortgage banking business, as well as in other financial services that are in large part related to the residential mortgage market, and include mortgage origination, servicing and other related services. Centex s construction products operations are conducted through its ownership interest in CXP. The construction services operations involve the construction of buildings for both private and government interests, including office, commercial and industrial buildings, hospitals, hotels, correctional facilities, education institutions, museums, libraries, airport facilities and sports facilities. Centex s investment real estate operations involve the acquisition, development and sale of land, primarily for industrial office, multi-family, retail, residential and mixed-use projects. Centex s principal executive offices are located at 2728 N. Harwood, Dallas, Texas 75201, and its telephone number at that location is (214) 981-5000.

Background and Proposed Transactions

CXP and Centex have agreed, subject to various conditions, to effect a series of transactions that will permit Centex to distribute its entire equity interest in CXP to Centex s stockholders in a tax-free distribution. In order to permit this distribution to be tax-free for U.S. federal income tax purposes, CXP proposes to make the changes to its capital structure described in this proxy statement.

The transactions submitted for approval by our stockholders and certain related transactions consist of the following elements:

Reclassification Proposal. You are being asked to approve the adoption of an agreement and plan of merger, dated as of July 21, 2003, among CXP, Centex and ARG Merger Corporation, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of Centex that we refer to as Merger Sub. This agreement and plan of merger, which we refer to as the merger agreement, provides for the merger of Merger Sub with CXP in order to reclassify its common stock to create a new class of our common stock called Class B common stock.

4

Table of Contents

The reclassification is being proposed to facilitate the tax free distribution by Centex to its stockholders of its approximate 65% ownership interest in CXP. Given the nature of Centex s ownership interest in CXP, in order for the distribution by Centex of its entire equity interest in CXP to be tax-free to Centex and its stockholders, among other things, Centex must own, at the time of the distribution, capital stock of CXP having the right to elect at least 85% of the members of CXP s board of directors, and Centex must distribute its entire equity interest in CXP to Centex s stockholders in a single transaction. In order to effect the reclassification, Centex will first contribute to Merger Sub 9,220,000 shares of our common stock and will retain its remaining 2,742,304 shares of our common stock. Then, Merger Sub will merge with and into CXP and, as a result, the shares of common stock of Merger Sub held by Centex will be converted into 9,220,000 shares of Class B common stock. The shares of our common stock held by stockholders other than Merger Sub will not be affected by the merger. We refer to these transactions in this proxy statement as the reclassification.

The holders of shares of Class B common stock will be entitled to elect at least 85% of our board of directors. The holders of shares of our Class A common stock will have the right to elect the remaining member or members of our board of directors. The minimum number of directors of our board will be set at seven so that the holders of our Class A common stock will always be entitled to elect at least one director. In all other respects, the rights of the holders of our Class A common stock and the Class B common stock will be substantially the same, including with respect to voting rights on fundamental transactions affecting CXP. See Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Description of the Reclassification Proposals and Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement The Merger Agreement Reclassification and Merger.

The merger agreement provides for certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation necessary to effect the reclassification. The amendments also include a special voting provision that limits the voting rights of holders of 15% or more of the Class B common stock as described under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Description of the Reclassification Proposals Limitation on Voting Rights of Class B Common Stock. One of these amendments will also change our name to

Cash Dividend. If the reclassification proposal is approved and the other conditions described in the merger agreement and the distribution agreement, dated as of July 21, 2003, between CXP and Centex are satisfied or waived, then we will declare a special one-time cash dividend to our holders of common stock (including Centex) of \$6.00 per share, payable to stockholders of record as of a date prior to the record date for the distribution. See Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement.

Distribution. If the reclassification proposal is approved and the other conditions described in the merger agreement and the distribution agreement are satisfied or waived, then immediately following the payment of the cash dividend and completion of the reclassification, Centex will distribute to its stockholders, in proportion to the number of Centex shares they hold, all of the shares of Class B common stock that Centex receives in the reclassification, together with all of the remaining shares of Class A common stock owned by Centex. Centex estimates that a holder of a share of Centex common stock will receive approximately .19 shares of CXP stock pursuant to the distribution, consisting of .04 shares of Class A common stock and .15 shares of Class B common stock. No fractional CXP shares will be distributed in the distribution. Fractional shares will instead be aggregated and sold in the public market by the distribution agent, and the aggregate net cash proceeds will be distributed ratably to those stockholders who would otherwise receive fractional interests. After the reclassification and distribution, the number of outstanding shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock will be substantially equal. See Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions and Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement.

Governance Proposals. We are asking our stockholders to approve a number of governance proposals that we believe would, if approved, foster our long-term growth as an independent company following the distribution and protect our stockholders from potentially coercive or abusive takeover tactics and attempts to acquire CXP at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of CXP stockholders. In addition,

5

Table of Contents

by making a takeover or other change of control of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, the proposals will also protect CXP from potential liabilities under the distribution agreement resulting from the loss of the tax free status of the distribution. We are proposing to amend our certificate of incorporation as follows:

Staggered Board Proposal. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to divide our board of directors into three classes, with each director serving for a term of three years.

Written Consent Proposal. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to eliminate the ability of our stockholders to act by written consent.

Special Meeting Proposal. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to eliminate the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings of the stockholders.

Supermajority Voting Proposal. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to require the approval of 66 2/3% of the outstanding shares of our stock entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, to alter, amend, rescind or repeal our bylaws by action of our stockholders or to adopt or modify the provisions of our certificate of incorporation relating to:

the division of our board of directors into three classes;

the inability of our stockholders to act by written consent;

the inability of our stockholders to call special meetings of the stockholders;

the ability of our board of directors to adopt, alter, amend and repeal the bylaws;

the special voting limitation that limits the voting rights of beneficial owners of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of our Class B common stock; and

the 66 2/3% vote required in order for our stockholders to modify any of the provisions of the certificate of incorporation described

See Proposals Two, Three, Four, Five, Six and Seven: Governance Proposals, Authorized Capital Increase Proposal and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal.

Authorized Capital Increase Proposal. We are asking our stockholders to approve a proposal that would, if approved, provide us with sufficient authorized capital stock for future issuances. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to increase our authorized common stock from 50,000,000 to 100,000,000 shares of common stock (consisting of 80,000,000 shares of Class A common stock and 20,000,000 shares of Class B common stock). We are also proposing to increase our authorized preferred stock from 2,000,000 to 5,000,000 shares. Our board of directors believes that this increase in our authorized capital will ensure that there remains a sufficient authorized number of shares of common stock and preferred stock after the reclassification in order to provide for potential future stock splits, sales of our securities to raise additional capital, acquisitions of other companies or their businesses or assets, establishing strategic relationships with third parties, and providing options or other stock incentives to our employees, consultants or others. See Proposals Two, Three, Four, Five, Six and Seven: Governance Proposals, Authorized Capital Increase Proposal and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal.

Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal. Our board of directors has approved a stockholders rights plan to be implemented, subject to approval by our stockholders, upon consummation of the distribution. The purpose of the stockholders rights plan is to enhance the ability of our board of directors to ensure that our stockholders receive full, fair value for their shares of common stock in the event of a hostile takeover attempt. The stockholders rights plan encourages potential acquirors to negotiate with our board of directors and discourages certain coercive takeover tactics. In addition, by making a takeover or other change of control of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, this proposal will also

6

Table of Contents

protect CXP from potential liabilities under the distribution agreement resulting from the loss of the tax free status of the distribution. Under the terms of the stockholders rights plan, our board of directors will declare a dividend of one right for each outstanding share of any class of our common stock. Upon the occurrence of certain triggering events, as described in greater detail under Proposals Two, Three, Four, Five, Six and Seven: Governance Proposals, Authorized Capital Increase Proposal and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal, each holder of a right (other than the acquiring person and certain related parties) will generally have the right to receive, upon exercise, capital stock having a value equal to two times the purchase price of the right. The effect of the exercise of the rights is to dilute the ownership position of a person who has acquired percent of our common stock by allowing our stockholders (other than the acquiring stockholder) to buy our capital stock at a lower price. The stockholders rights plan will include a provision requiring a committee comprised of independent directors of CXP to review and evaluate the plan no less frequently than once every three years, with the first review to take place two years after the distribution. See Proposals Two, Three, Four, Five, Six and Seven: Governance Proposals, Authorized Capital Increase Proposal and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal.

7

Table of Contents

Transaction and Ownership Structure

The following chart illustrates CXP s current ownership structure, the effect of the reclassification, dividend and distribution, and the ownership of CXP immediately after the reclassification and the distribution:

8

Table of Contents

Expected Benefits of the Transactions to CXP and its Stockholders

The reclassification, the distribution and the related transactions described in this proxy statement are expected to result in the following benefits, which are described in greater detail under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions:

As a 65% owned subsidiary, we currently must compete for capital with Centex s other businesses. The proposed transactions will permit us to manage our business and seek growth opportunities without regard to considerations or restraints related to Centex s other businesses, including capital structure limitations required for Centex to maintain its current credit rating.

The proposed transactions will permit us to pursue our business interests independent of Centex, particularly with respect to acquisitions, business and asset sales and other corporate opportunities, and are designed to enhance the long term value of CXP.

The proposed transactions will significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our capital stock by increasing the number of shares held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 18.4 million shares, which includes shares of the Class A common stock and shares of the Class B common stock. The proposed transactions will also significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our existing class of common stock by increasing the number of shares of that class held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 9.2 million shares. In addition, the transactions will result in a broader stockholder base when Centex distributes the shares of Class B common stock and the Class A common stock held by it to its stockholders. We estimate that there will be at least 28,000 beneficial owners of our capital stock immediately following the distribution, which represents more than ten times our current number of beneficial owners.

We believe that a broader stockholder base, coupled with increased public float and liquidity of our capital stock, may attract additional analyst coverage of CXP, which we believe would enhance the market s awareness of our capital stock and stimulate interest from new investors.

We expect that an expansion of our stockholder base and broader exposure in the investment community will enhance our ability to use of our capital stock as an acquisition currency and as a means of raising capital.

Our stockholders will receive immediate tangible value through the special one-time cash dividend.

The transactions may permit our stockholders to share in any premium associated with a future transfer of control of CXP, if such an event should occur.

The proposed transactions will allow the public holders of our Class A common stock to elect one director, compared to the current inability to significantly influence the election of any directors due to Centex s majority voting control.

The proposed transactions are expected to enhance the attractiveness of our equity-based compensation plans due to the increased public float and liquidity of our capital stock, thereby increasing our ability to attract and retain qualified employees.

The governance proposals and stockholders—rights plan proposal will help foster our long-term growth as an independent company following the reclassification and the distribution by protecting our stockholders from potentially coercive or abusive takeover tactics and attempts to acquire control of CXP at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of all of CXP—s stockholders.

Conditions to the Transactions and Other Proposals

The reclassification and the distribution will occur only if all of the necessary conditions contained in the merger agreement and the distribution agreement are satisfied or waived. These conditions include,

C

Table of Contents

among other things, the receipt of a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, and the approval of the reclassification proposal by the votes of our stockholders described below under Required Vote. None of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal or the stockholders rights plan proposal will be implemented unless the reclassification is completed. For a description of the other conditions contained in the merger agreement and the distribution agreement, see Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement The Merger Agreement Conditions to the Merger and The Distribution Agreement Conditions to the Distribution and the Declaration of the Cash Dividend.

Special Meeting

We have called a special meeting of our stockholders to be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on , 2003, at 2728 N. Harwood, 10th Floor, Dallas, Texas. At the special meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon the adoption of the merger agreement and upon the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal.

Board of Directors Recommendation

Our board of directors, upon the recommendation of the special committee, has unanimously approved the merger agreement and each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders—rights plan proposal. Our board of directors has determined, based upon the recommendations of the special committee, that the distribution and related transactions, including the reclassification, are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders. Our board of directors has also determined, based upon the recommendations of the special committee, that each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders—rights plan proposal are advisable and in the best interests of CXP and our stockholders. Our board of directors recommends that you vote—For—the adoption of the merger agreement and each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders—rights plan proposal.

Required Vote

Each outstanding share of our common stock is entitled to one vote on each proposal. Under Delaware corporate law, adoption of the merger agreement and approval of each of the governance proposals and the authorized capital increase proposal require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our common stock entitled to vote on such proposal. The approval of the stockholders—rights plan proposal is also being submitted for the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our common stock entitled to vote on such proposal. In addition, the reclassification will occur only if the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock present in person or by proxy at the special meeting and voting on the reclassification proposal, other than Centex, vote to adopt the merger agreement. Throughout this proxy statement, when we refer to the approval of the reclassification proposal by our stockholders, we are referring to both the adoption of the merger agreement by the vote required under Delaware corporate law and the vote described in the previous sentence. See—Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions—Required Vote—and—Proposal—Required Vote.

Centex has informed us that it will vote its shares of our common stock in favor of the reclassification proposal, each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal. Since Centex owns approximately 65% of our outstanding shares, the approval of each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal is assured.

10

Table of Contents

Appendices

The merger agreement is attached as Appendix A to this proxy statement. The distribution agreement is attached as Appendix B. The proposed restated certificate of incorporation is attached as Appendix C and includes provisions that reflect the reclassification proposal, governance proposals and authorized capital increase proposal, which will become effective if these proposals are approved. The proposed amended and restated bylaws are attached as Appendix D and include proposals adopted in connection with our board's approval of the reclassification proposal as well as changes to our bylaws relating solely to the governance proposals, which will become effective if the reclassification proposal and the governance proposals are approved. The opinion of Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., the special committee's financial advisor, relating to the financial fairness of the reclassification, the cash dividend and the distribution, taken as a whole, to CXP s public stockholders, is attached as Appendix E.

We encourage you to read this proxy statement, the merger agreement, the distribution agreement, the proposed certificate of incorporation and bylaws and the opinion of Bear Stearns carefully and in their entirety.

11

Table of Contents

THE SPECIAL MEETING

This proxy statement is being furnished to our stockholders on or about , 2003 in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for use at a special meeting of stockholders at which our stockholders are being asked to vote on the adoption of the merger agreement and on the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders rights plan proposal. When we refer to the special meeting in this proxy statement, we are also referring to any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting of Stockholders

The special meeting of stockholders will be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on , 2003, at 2728 N. Harwood, 10th Floor, Dallas, Texas 75201.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors of CXP

Reclassification Proposal. Our board of directors, upon the recommendation of the special committee, has unanimously determined that the distribution and related transactions, including the reclassification, are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and our stockholders. These transactions will give voting control of CXP to public stockholders and are expected to result in certain benefits that are described under Proposal One: Reclassification and Related Transactions

CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions. In addition to the long-term benefits of independence for CXP, the proposed transactions will deliver immediate value to our stockholders by virtue of the cash dividend. To effect the reclassification, we are proposing certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation, including, among others, an amendment to change our name to

Our board of directors recommends that you vote For the adoption of the merger agreement.

Governance Proposals. Our board of directors, upon recommendation of the special committee, has unanimously determined that each of the staggered board proposal, the written consent proposal, the special meeting proposal and the supermajority voting proposal is advisable and in the best interests of CXP and our stockholders. Because Centex will no longer own a majority of our capital stock after the distribution, our board of directors believes that the distribution will make it easier for a third party to attempt to acquire CXP. Our board of directors also believes that companies can be and are acquired, and that changes in control of companies can and do occur, at prices below realistically achievable levels when boards do not have measures in place to require an acquiror to negotiate the terms of any acquisition directly with the board. Many companies have put in place provisions that effectively require such negotiations. The governance proposals are intended to make it more difficult for a potential acquiror to seek to acquire control of CXP by means of a proxy contest, merger or tender offer which is not negotiated with our board of directors. In addition, by making a takeover or other change of control of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, these proposals will also protect CXP from potential liabilities under the distribution agreement resulting from the loss of the tax free status of the distribution. Our board of directors recommends that you vote For approval of each of the governance proposals.

Authorized Capital Increase Proposal. Our board of directors has unanimously determined that the authorized capital increase proposal is advisable and in the best interests of CXP and our stockholders. Our board of directors believes that the increase in capital stock will ensure that there remains a sufficient authorized number of shares of common stock and preferred stock after the reclassification for potential future stock splits, sales of our securities to raise additional capital, acquisitions of other companies or their businesses or assets, establishing strategic relationships with third parties, or providing options or other stock incentives to our employees, consultants or others. Our board of directors recommends that you vote For approval of the authorized capital increase proposal.

Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal. Our board of directors has unanimously determined that the stockholders rights plan proposal is advisable and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders. Our board of directors believes that implementation of the stockholders rights plan will enhance the ability of

12

Table of Contents

our board of directors to ensure that our stockholders receive full, fair value for their shares of common stock in the event of a hostile takeover. The stockholders rights plan encourages potential acquirors to negotiate with our board of directors and discourages certain coercive takeover tactics. In addition, by making a takeover or other change of control of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, this proposal will also protect CXP from potential liabilities under the distribution agreement resulting from the loss of the tax free status of the distribution. **Our board of directors recommends that you vote For approval of the stockholders rights plan proposal.**

Record Date and Shares Entitled to Vote

Only holders of record of our common stock as of the close of business on the record date, which is notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting. A list of the stockholders of record will be available for inspection at the special meeting and at our headquarters located at 2728 N. Harwood, Dallas, Texas 75201, during ordinary business hours during the ten-day period prior to the special meeting. As of the close of business on the record date, there were shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. A majority of these shares, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

Voting of Proxies

The proxy accompanying this proxy statement is solicited on behalf of our board of directors for use at the special meeting. Stockholders are requested to complete, date and sign the accompanying proxy card and promptly return it in the enclosed envelope. So long as they are not revoked, all properly executed proxies received prior to the vote at the special meeting will be voted at the special meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated on the proxies or, if no direction is indicated, to approve the adoption of the merger agreement and each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders—rights plan proposal. You may revoke your proxy at any time before its use by delivering to our secretary at the above address, written notice of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the special meeting and voting in person.

Required Vote

Each outstanding share of our common stock is entitled to one vote on each of the proposals described above and any other matter which properly comes before the special meeting.

Reclassification Proposal. Adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock. In addition, the reclassification will be implemented only if the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock voting on the reclassification proposal, in person or by proxy, at the special meeting, other than Centex, vote to adopt the merger agreement.

Staggered Board Proposal. The staggered board proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Written Consent Proposal. The written consent proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Special Meeting Proposal. The special meeting proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Supermajority Voting Proposal. The supermajority voting proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

Authorized Capital Increase Proposal. The authorized capital increase proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

13

Table of Contents

Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal. The stockholders rights plan proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

As of the record date, current executive officers and directors of CXP owned shares of our common stock, representing approximately % of the shares outstanding. CXP has been advised by its executive officers and directors that all of such persons intend to vote in favor of each of the proposals described above at the special meeting.

As of the record date, Centex owned 11,962,304 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 65% of the shares outstanding at that date. Centex has informed us that it intends to vote all of the shares of our common stock owned by it in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement and each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal.

Accordingly, approval of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal is assured.

Quorum, Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

The required quorum for the transaction of business at the special meeting is the presence in person or by proxy of a majority of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct them on how to vote your shares. If an executed proxy card is returned by a broker or bank holding shares which indicates that the broker or bank does not have discretionary authority to vote for approval of the reclassification proposal, the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and/or the stockholders—rights plan proposal, this will be considered to be a broker non-vote. Abstentions and broker non-votes each will be included in determining the number of shares present at the special meeting for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Because the adoption of the merger agreement and approval of each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders—rights plan proposal require the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote thereon, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as votes against each of the proposals. Centex has agreed that the approval of the reclassification proposal will also require the approval of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock that are present, in person or by proxy, at the special meeting and vote upon the proposal, other than Centex. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted in that vote and therefore will not have any effect on the special approval condition for the reclassification proposal.

The actions proposed in this proxy statement are not matters that can be voted on by brokers holding shares for beneficial owners without the owners specific instructions. Accordingly, all beneficial owners of common stock are urged to instruct their brokers how to vote.

Other Matters

Only one proxy statement is being delivered to multiple security holders who share an address unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the security holders. We will deliver promptly, upon written or oral request, a separate copy of this proxy statement to a security holder of a shared address to which a single copy was delivered. Also, security holders sharing an address may request a single copy of proxy statements if they are currently receiving multiple copies. Such requests can be made by contacting the Secretary at our principal executive offices.

The board of directors is not currently aware of any business to be acted upon at the special meeting, other than as described herein. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the special meeting, the persons appointed as proxies will have discretion to vote or act on these matters according to their best judgment, to the extent permitted by applicable law and unless otherwise indicated on any particular proxy. Notwithstanding the foregoing, shares represented by proxies voting against any proposal described in this proxy statement will not be voted in favor of a proposal to adjourn the special meeting for the purposes of soliciting additional proxies with respect to such proposal.

14

Table of Contents

Solicitation of Proxies and Expenses

We have engaged Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. to assist us in soliciting proxies from banks, brokers and nominees. Georgeson will be paid fees of approximately \$8,500 for its services, and will be reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, the directors, officers and employees of CXP may solicit proxies from stockholders by telephone, facsimile or in person. Following the original mailing of this proxy statement, CXP will request banks, brokers, custodians, nominees and other record holders to forward copies of this proxy statement to people on whose behalf they hold shares of common stock and to request authority for the exercise of proxies by the record holders on behalf of those people. In those cases, CXP, upon the request of the record holders, will reimburse those holders for their reasonable expenses incurred in connection with requesting authority to vote.

The matters to be considered at the special meeting are of great importance to the stockholders of CXP. Accordingly, you are urged to read and carefully consider the information presented in this proxy statement and the appendices, and to complete, date, sign and promptly return the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. No physical substitution of stock certificates will be required as a result of the reclassification, and your existing certificates will continue to represent your shares of Class A common stock after the reclassification.

Who can help answer your questions

If you have questions about the proposals in this proxy statement, you should contact:

Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. 17 State Street, 28th Floor New York, New York 10004 (800)

> STOCKHOLDERS SHOULD NOT SEND ANY STOCK CERTIFICATES WITH THEIR PROXY CARDS OR OTHERWISE

> > 15

Table of Contents

CERTAIN CONSIDERATIONS

You should carefully consider the factors described below before voting on the proposals set forth in this proxy statement.

The tax-free distribution by Centex results in potential limitations on our ability to effect certain transactions and could potentially result in significant liabilities.

In the distribution agreement, we have agreed that, if the reclassification and distribution are completed, for a period of two years after the distribution, we will not:

merge or consolidate with or into any other corporation;

liquidate or partially liquidate;

sell or transfer all or substantially all of our assets in a single transaction or series of transactions;

except as permitted under the IRS procedures applicable to spin-offs, redeem or otherwise repurchase any of our capital stock; or

subject to certain exceptions, take any other actions that would cause or permit one or more persons to acquire stock representing a 50% or greater interest in CXP;

unless, before taking any of these actions, either Centex has obtained a ruling from the IRS (at the expense of CXP) or we have received an opinion of counsel reasonably satisfactory to Centex, that the contemplated actions will not result in the distribution failing to qualify as a tax-free transaction. We have also agreed, in the distribution agreement, to indemnify Centex under certain circumstances for taxes that may become payable by Centex, each member of the consolidated group of companies of which Centex is the common parent corporation and each direct and indirect subsidiary of Centex or its stockholders, whom we refer to collectively as the Centex group, if our actions give rise to the imposition of those taxes. As a result, we may be reluctant to pursue or undertake certain mergers, asset sales and other transactions during the two-year period following the reclassification and distribution. These restrictions and potential liabilities may make CXP less attractive to a potential acquiror and reduce the possibility that an acquiror will propose or seek to effect certain transactions with CXP during this two-year period. See Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions and Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution.

Our borrowings to pay the cash dividend could limit our future operational flexibility.

In order to pay the special one-time cash dividend to our stockholders, we will use available cash and expect to incur up to approximately million of debt financing. Based on, among other things, our current stockholders equity and our expected debt repayments during the next few months, we expect that our debt-to-capitalization ratio immediately after the distribution and the payment of the cash dividend will be approximately 22%. Our ability to make principal and interest payments on debt incurred to finance payment of the cash dividend will depend on our future operating performance, which will depend on a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. These factors include prevailing economic conditions, fluctuations in prices for our products, prices for natural gas and other energy costs and competitive and other factors affecting our business and operations. Although we believe that our cash flow from operations, together with our other sources of liquidity, will be adequate to make required payments on our indebtedness, finance anticipated capital expenditures and fund working capital requirements, we cannot assure you that this will be the case.

16

Table of Contents

In addition, the terms of our indebtedness will impose financial and other covenants on us and will limit the amount of cash or borrowings available to us in the future, and this could adversely affect our operations in various ways, including the following:

our ability to respond to adverse economic and industry conditions could be limited;

we expect to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, which will reduce the available cash to pay for future business activities, including acquisitions, significant investments or significant capital expenditures; and

we will have reduced ability to obtain additional financing to fund our future business activities.

The Class B common stock will control the election of at least 85% of our board of directors.

Following the reclassification, holders of Class B common stock will be entitled to elect at least 85% of the members of our board of directors. Accordingly, if any person or group of persons is able to exercise a majority of the voting power of our outstanding shares of Class B common stock, that person or group will be able to eventually obtain control of CXP by electing a majority of our board of directors. Consequently, the creation and issuance of Class B common stock could render us more susceptible to unsolicited takeover bids from third parties. This risk is partially mitigated by the governance proposals and the stockholders rights plan proposal and by an additional provision to be included in our certificate of incorporation to the effect that any person who beneficially owns 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock may only vote in any election of directors that percentage of the shares of Class B common stock which is equal to the lesser of its percentage ownership of Class B common stock or its percentage ownership of Class A common stock. This provision is intended to protect our public stockholders by ensuring that anyone seeking to accumulate shares of our common stock must acquire a substantial ownership interest in each class of our common stock in order to exercise control over CXP.

Stock sales following the distribution may affect our stock price.

All of the shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock distributed by Centex in the distribution, other than shares distributed to our affiliates, will be eligible for immediate resale in the public market. It is likely that some Centex stockholders will sell shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock received in the distribution for various reasons, including the fact that our business profile or market capitalization does not fit their investment objectives. Moreover, a substantial number of shares of Centex common stock are held by index funds tied to the Standard & Poor s 500 Index or other indices. These index funds may be required to sell the shares of our capital stock that they receive in the distribution, as our stock may not be included in the underlying indices. Any sales of substantial amounts of our Class A common stock or Class B common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales might occur, could depress the market price of our Class A common stock or Class B common stock. We are unable to predict whether substantial amounts of our Class A common stock will be sold in the open market following the distribution.

We are currently dependent on Centex for the performance of certain corporate functions.

In the past, Centex has performed certain significant corporate functions for us, including legal functions, accounting, benefit program administration, insurance administration and internal audit services. Centex will continue to provide some of these services to us during an interim period after the distribution in exchange for a fee payable by us pursuant to an administrative services agreement. For a description of the terms of this agreement, see Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Relationship Between Centex and CXP after the Distribution. Once the distribution is completed, we intend to take steps to create our own, or to engage third parties to provide, corporate business functions that will replace many of those currently provided by Centex. As an independent public company, we will be required to bear the costs of replacing these services, which costs will likely exceed the fees we pay to Centex. There can be no assurance that we will be able to perform, or engage third parties to provide,

17

Table of Contents

these functions with the same level of expertise and on the same or as favorable terms as they have been provided by Centex.

The governance proposals and stockholders rights plan proposal could limit another party s ability to acquire us and could deprive you of an opportunity to obtain a takeover premium for your shares of our common stock.

The governance proposals and stockholders rights plan proposal, together with the Delaware business combination statute, as described under Proposals Two, Three, Four, Five, Six and Seven: Governance Proposals, Authorized Capital Increase Proposal and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal Purpose and Effects of the Governance Proposals and Stockholders Rights Plan Proposal, may discourage unsolicited takeover bids from third parties or efforts to remove incumbent management or our board of directors, or make these actions more difficult to accomplish, even if a substantial number of stockholders believe that these actions would be in their best interests. In addition, upon completion of the reclassification and distribution, our certificate of incorporation will contain a special voting provision that may limit the voting rights of holders of 15% or more of the Class B common stock, as described under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Description of the Reclassification Proposals Limitation on Voting Rights of Class B Common Stock , which may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of CXP.

If the authorized capital increase proposal is approved, we will have a significant number of authorized but unissued shares which, if issued, could dilute the equity interests of our existing stockholders and adversely affect earnings per share.

If a significant number of additional shares of our Class A common stock are issued following the distribution, the equity interests of our existing stockholders would be diluted and our earnings per share could be adversely affected. If the authorized capital increase proposal is approved, immediately following the distribution, we will be authorized to issue up to additional shares of our Class A common stock, 10,780,000 shares of Class B common stock and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our board of directors has full discretion to issue additional shares at any time in the future without stockholder approval, subject to applicable legal, stock exchange and other regulatory requirements.

Forward-looking statements may prove inaccurate.

This proxy statement contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the context of the statement and generally arise when we are discussing our beliefs, estimates or expectations. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results to be materially different from planned or expected results. Those risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

levels of construction spending in major markets;
price fluctuations and supply and demand for cement, wallboard and our other products;
significant changes in the cost of natural gas, other energy costs and cost of other raw materials;
availability of raw materials;
the cyclical nature of our businesses;
national and regional economic conditions;
interest rates;
seasonality of our operations;
unfavorable weather conditions during peak construction periods;
18

Table of Contents

changes in and implementation of environmental and other governmental regulations;

the ability to successfully identify, complete and efficiently integrate acquisitions;

the ability to successfully penetrate new markets;

international events that may disrupt the world economy;

unexpected operating difficulties; and

competition from new or existing competitors.

In general, we are subject to the risks and uncertainties of the construction industry and of doing business in the U.S. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this proxy statement, and we undertake no obligation to update them, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

19

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL ONE:

THE RECLASSIFICATION AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Background of the Reclassification and the Related Transactions

Centex, through its subsidiaries, is a multi-industry company with five principal business segments: home building, financial services, construction products, construction services and investment real estate. Prior to April 19, 1994, we were a wholly-owned subsidiary of Centex. On April 19, 1994, we completed an initial public offering of 51% of our common stock. As a result of the initial public offering, Centex s percentage ownership interest in CXP was reduced to 49%.

Principally as a result of certain repurchases by CXP of its common stock from the public since fiscal year 1997, Centex s ownership interest in CXP has increased in recent years, and Centex owns approximately 65% of the outstanding shares of our common stock as of the date of this proxy statement.

During April and May 2003, Centex s senior management informally advised certain members of our board of directors and management that, because of the continued strong performance of Centex s core home building and financial services businesses and the likelihood that Centex s capital would continue to be allocated to its homebuilding business, they were considering whether it was still desirable for Centex to maintain its current ownership interest in CXP. In that regard, Centex further indicated that, although it had made no determination to do so, Centex was evaluating the possibility of distributing to its stockholders on a tax-free basis all or a portion of its equity interest in CXP.

In early June 2003, Centex summarized for our board of directors the preliminary terms under which Centex would be willing to discuss a possible distribution by Centex of its interest in CXP to its stockholders. Centex indicated that one of the principal purposes of the distribution would be to eliminate the competition for capital between CXP and Centex s other businesses and permit a sharper focus by Centex s management on its core businesses. Centex also emphasized that it would only consider a distribution of its interest in CXP if the transaction could be accomplished on a tax-free basis. In order for the transaction to be tax-free, Centex indicated that it believed that, among other things, it would be necessary for CXP to reclassify the shares of our common stock held by Centex into a new class of common stock with increased voting rights that would then be distributed to Centex s stockholders. Centex also indicated that it believed it would be appropriate to discuss the payment by us to our stockholders of a special cash dividend in the range of \$100 million to \$150 million.

To facilitate a full and fair evaluation of any transactions to be discussed with Centex, in June 2003, our board of directors formed a special committee of its independent directors consisting of Robert L. Clarke (Chairman), Michael R. Nicolais, Harold K. Work and F. William Barnett. Our board of directors discussed and confirmed that each committee member is independent from Centex and its management, and satisfied itself that the committee members could independently evaluate the proposed transactions free of influence from Centex or its management. The special committee was charged with, among other things, reviewing, considering and negotiating the terms, conditions and merits of a possible distribution by Centex of its interest in CXP and any related transactions and determining whether these transactions are advisable, fair to, and in the best interests of, our current stockholders (other than Centex). Due to the amount of time that it was expected members of the special committee would need to devote to the evaluation and consideration of the distribution and related transactions, the board of directors determined that the chairman of the special committee should be paid a one-time fee of \$17,500, each other director should be paid a one-time fee of \$10,000, and each committee member should be paid a fee of \$2,000 for each meeting of the special committee he attended in person or by telephone, plus expenses.

To assist it in evaluating the proposed transactions, the special committee, after interviewing several firms, selected the law firm of Haynes and Boone, LLP to serve as its legal advisors, and the investment banking firm of Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc. to serve as its financial advisors. Haynes and Boone and Bear Stearns provided to the special committee certain advice and assistance with respect to the structuring and

20

Table of Contents

planning of the distribution and related transactions and advised the special committee with respect to the negotiation of the principal terms and conditions of these transactions and various transaction documents.

Each of Haynes and Boone and Bear Stearns will receive customary fees as the legal advisors and financial advisors, respectively, for the performance of their services to the special committee in connection with the proposed transactions.

On July 1, 2003, Centex provided to counsel for the special committee preliminary drafts of transaction documents that reflected certain of the principal terms it would be willing to discuss with the special committee. On July 2, 2003, at a meeting of the special committee, at which its legal counsel was present, the special committee considered the basic terms of the distribution and related transactions as reflected in such preliminary drafts, including the possible reclassification and cash dividend. The special committee also discussed the proposed terms of the engagement letter to be entered into with Bear Stearns. The special committee discussed possible alternative transactions that it believed Centex might have an interest in pursuing in the event that the special committee was not willing to pursue the distribution and related transactions. Bear Stearns also attended a portion of this meeting to answer questions from the special committee about the distribution and related transactions and alternatives, and to discuss the analysis expected to be performed by Bear Stearns in determining the financial fairness of the transactions to our stockholders (other than Centex). At this meeting, the special committee met with four senior members of our management team on an individual basis to seek their respective input on these transactions, their effect on us, and ideas concerning our possible strategies as a fully independent company.

From July 1, 2003 until July 17, 2003, the special committee and the financial and legal advisors to the special committee evaluated the terms and conditions of the possible distribution and related transactions, considered the nature and scope of the amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws that would be needed in connection with these transactions, considered governance issues that would arise if we became a fully independent company and began formulating proposed changes to each of the draft transaction documents and the charter and bylaw amendments.

On July 17, 2003, the special committee, along with its legal advisors and financial advisors, met to continue the evaluation of the proposed transactions. At this meeting, Bear Stearns made a presentation on the form, structure, terms and financial aspects of the proposed transactions. In its presentation Bear Stearns:

provided a summary description of the proposed transactions and reviewed with the committee the primary terms of the proposed transactions;

provided a financial and capital markets overview of CXP, which presentation included an analysis of CXP s recent stock performance and the stock performance of several comparable publicly-traded companies;

discussed the considerations of a cash dividend as proposed by Centex versus a potential share repurchase by us, including the value received by our stockholders, the tax implications to our stockholders and the likely effect on our earnings per share;

delivered a pro forma financial analysis of the proposed transactions versus several alternatives that the special committee might consider in lieu of or in addition to the distribution and related transactions (including (1) effecting the distribution but not the cash dividend, (2) effecting the distribution, eliminating the cash dividend and then effecting a share repurchase and (3) effecting the distribution, the cash dividend and a share repurchase);

discussed the capital markets considerations of the proposed transactions;

discussed stock float, trading volume and research analyst coverage of several comparable publicly-traded companies;

2

Table of Contents

discussed in detail an analysis of comparable precedent spin-off transactions; and

discussed in detail an analysis of publicly-traded companies with dual-class stock structures.

Based upon their review and analysis of the terms and financial aspects of the distribution and related transactions being discussed by the parties, Bear Stearns recommended that the special committee consider certain adjustments to the structure of these transactions that they believed would provide greater value to CXP and its minority public stockholders. Bear Stearns also suggested that the special dividend be at the lower end of the range initially discussed by Centex and CXP. Bear Stearns advised that the reclassification and distribution on the terms initially discussed with Centex (under which Centex would convert all of the shares of our common stock held by it into Class B common stock, followed by a distribution of all of the Class B common stock to holders of Centex's common stock) would not enhance the public float and liquidity of our common stock. For that reason, Bear Stearns recommended that the reclassification be structured in such a way that Centex would convert less than all of its shares of our common stock into Class B common stock, and then distribute to its stockholders both its Class B common stock and the remaining shares of our common stock held by it. Specifically, Bear Stearns suggested that the transaction should provide for the conversion of a number of shares equal to approximately one-half of the outstanding shares of our common stock into Class B common stock so that after the reclassification there would be approximately equal numbers of outstanding shares of Class B common stock and Class A common stock. This approach would increase the float and liquidity of our common stock, which Bear Stearns believed would be more advantageous for the minority public stockholders and would have no material adverse consequences to Centex and its stockholders. After extensive discussion and analysis of the approach suggested by Bear Stearns, the special committee determined to request the changes to the reclassification and distribution recommended by Bear Stearns.

At the July 17, 2003 meeting, the special committee also considered a general overview of the nature and substance of the transaction documents previously provided by Centex and discussed each of the material terms in the transaction documents in greater detail. The special committee also considered potential corporate governance measures that we might consider placing in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws in order to deter hostile takeovers. The special committee also considered the legal issues and financial implications of declaring the cash dividend.

On the morning of July 18, 2003, the special committee, along with its legal advisors and financial advisors met with Mr. Laurence E. Hirsch, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Centex, and with Centex s financial advisors, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, to discuss their rationale for the distribution and related transactions and the alternatives to these transactions that had been considered by Centex. Mr. Hirsch explained that Centex was considering the distribution because, among other things, it would eliminate the competition for capital between CXP and Centex s other businesses and permit a sharper focus by Centex s management on its core businesses. Mr. Hirsch also explained that Centex had considered alternatives to the distribution, including a possible sale of CXP (or its stake in CXP), maintaining the status quo with CXP continuing as a majority-owned subsidiary of Centex or purchasing the minority interest in CXP, but had determined that these alternatives had significant disadvantages from Centex s viewpoint. Merrill Lynch discussed with the special committee some of the key terms of the distribution and related transactions. The special committee and its advisors questioned Mr. Hirsch and the Merrill Lynch representatives regarding various transaction terms and raised certain key concerns of the special committee. One of the principal concerns expressed by the special committee was that the reclassification as proposed did not directly enhance the float and liquidity of our common stock. In addition, the special committee indicated that while it was comfortable with a special dividend, it preferred to see the aggregate dividend at the lower end of the range initially discussed with Centex.

On the afternoon of July 18, 2003, the special committee met again to continue discussions regarding the terms and provisions contained in the transaction documents and the proposed amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and to further analyze the proposed transactions in light of the special committee s meetings earlier that morning with representatives of Centex and Merrill Lynch. During this meeting, the special committee extensively discussed and evaluated the merits of the proposed

22

Table of Contents

transactions and ultimately concluded that they were prepared to commence negotiations with Centex of the terms of the proposed transactions. The special committee met again with Mr. Hirsch to discuss further the special committee s concerns, including their concerns regarding the difference in the float and liquidity that would exist between our common stock and the Class B common stock. In response to the committee s concerns, Mr. Hirsch indicated that, in order to enhance the public float and liquidity of our common stock, Centex would be willing to reclassify only a portion of its shares of our common stock into shares of Class B common stock and distribute the shares of Class B common stock and the balance of its shares of our common stock to Centex stockholders. Further, the parties discussed the amount of the special cash dividend, and agreed on a dividend in the amount of \$6.00 per share, or an aggregate of approximately \$111 million. The special committee also expressed concern that if Centex were to abandon the proposed transactions, we would bear significant transaction costs. In response, Mr. Hirsch agreed that Centex would pay all of our expenses if the transaction did not close for certain reasons.

Between July 18, 2003 and July 21, 2003, Centex and its advisors and the special committee and its advisors engaged in negotiations regarding the terms of the definitive agreements to be entered into in connection with the distribution and related transactions, including the merger agreement, the distribution agreement and the necessary amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws. In the course of these negotiations, Centex agreed to various accommodations requested by the special committee and its counsel with respect to the terms of the transaction documents. In particular, Centex agreed to the following changes proposed by the special committee:

to pay our expenses with respect to the proposed transactions in the event that the proposed transactions are not consummated for any reason;

to revise the distribution agreement provisions to limit the circumstances in which we would be obligated to provide indemnification against certain tax liabilities;

to ensure that the conditions to our obligations to pay the cash dividend and to effect the reclassification were substantially equivalent to the obligations of Centex to effect the distribution;

to require that the administrative services and office space currently being provided by Centex to CXP will continue to be so provided upon substantially the same terms for a limited time following completion of the distribution;

to include additional amendments to our certificate of incorporation relating to voting limitations of Class B common stock, the calling of a stockholders meeting, institution of a super-majority voting provision for amendments to the charter and the increase in our authorized capital stock; and

to cause our board of directors to consider the adoption of a stockholders rights plan.

During this period, the special committee expressed concern regarding the fact that CXP would be subject to covenants limiting its ability to engage in various types of transactions during the two-year period following the distribution and regarding certain related tax indemnities given by CXP to the Centex group. The special committee indicated that it believed that it was important for the governance proposals and stockholders rights plan proposal to be submitted to and considered by our stockholders in order, among other things, to reduce the likelihood of liability under these tax indemnification provisions. The special committee was also concerned that CXP could be more susceptible to unsolicited takeover bids from third parties, including offers that our board of directors may regard as being below our intrinsic value or as not being in the best interests of our stockholders. Centex indicated to the special committee that it intended to vote in favor of such proposals.

On the evening of July 20, 2003, the special committee met with its legal advisors to review and discuss the revised transaction documents. On July 21, 2003, the special committee reconvened and continued its review of the transaction documents. Bear Stearns delivered and discussed with the special committee a written report and its opinion that the reclassification, the cash dividend and the distribution, taken as a whole, were fair from a financial point of view to CXP s stockholders, other than Centex. After a careful evaluation of the proposed transactions and their anticipated effects on CXP and its stockholders

23

Table of Contents

(other than Centex), on July 21, 2003, the special committee approved and recommended that our board of directors approve the proposed transactions and the merger agreement, the distribution agreement, the proposed amendments to the certificate of incorporation and the proposed amendments to the bylaws.

Subsequently, that evening our board of directors convened a meeting at which the special committee reported to our board of directors the results of their consideration of the proposed transactions and their recommendations. The special committee advised that the proposed transactions were advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders (other than Centex) and recommended to our board of directors that it should approve the proposed transactions and each of the merger agreement, the distribution agreement, the certificate of incorporation and the bylaws and that our board of directors should submit such proposals to our stockholders. Bear Stearns also attended this meeting and summarized its report and opinion for the full board. Based upon the recommendation of the special committee, our board of directors determined that the proposed transactions were advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders and it approved the proposed transactions and each of the merger agreement, the distribution agreement, and the amendments to the certificate of incorporation and the bylaws. Our board of directors also resolved to submit the proposed transactions to our stockholders for their approval.

By reason of the retirement policy of our board of directors, Mr. Harold K. Work formally retired from our board of directors effective as of our annual stockholders meeting held in the morning of July 21, 2003. However, due to Mr. Work s extensive business experience and long-standing involvement with CXP, the special committee requested that Mr. Work continue to participate in certain portions of the committee s deliberations on July 21. While not a formal member of the special committee at the time of its vote to approve the transactions, Mr. Work did state that he supported the special committee s conclusions and final decision.

In the evening of July 21, 2003, Centex and CXP entered into the merger agreement and distribution agreement, and each of them issued a public announcement regarding the distribution and related transactions.

CXP s Reasons for the Reclassification and Related Transactions

Our board of directors, upon the recommendation of the special committee, has unanimously determined that the distribution and related transactions, including the reclassification, are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and our stockholders. In reaching its conclusion, our board of directors and the special committee considered a number of factors including the following:

Expected Benefits of the Transactions to CXP and its Stockholders. The special committee and our board of directors considered the following expected benefits of the proposed transactions:

As a 65% owned subsidiary, we currently must compete for capital with Centex s other businesses. The proposed transactions will permit us to manage our business and growth opportunities without regard to considerations or limitations related to Centex s other businesses, including capital structure limitations required for Centex to maintain its current credit rating.

The proposed transactions will permit us to pursue our business interests independent of Centex, particularly with respect to acquisitions, business and asset sales, and other corporate opportunities, and are designed to enhance the long-term value of CXP.

The proposed transactions will significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our capital stock by increasing the number of shares held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 18.4 million shares, which includes shares of the Class A common stock and shares of the Class B common stock. The proposed transactions will also significantly increase the public float and liquidity of our existing class of common stock by increasing the number of shares of that class held by stockholders (other than Centex) from about 6.5 million shares to about 9.2 million shares. In addition, the transactions will result in a broader stockholder base when Centex distributes the shares of Class B common stock and Class A common stock held by it to its

24

Table of Contents

stockholders. We estimate that there will be at least 28,000 beneficial owners of our capital stock immediately following the distribution, which represents more than ten times our current number of beneficial owners.

We expect that a broader stockholder base, coupled with increased public float and liquidity of our capital stock, may attract additional analyst coverage of CXP, which we believe would enhance the market s awareness of our capital stock and stimulate interest from new investors.

We believe that an expansion of our stockholder base and broader exposure in the investment community will enhance our ability to use our capital stock as an acquisition currency and as a means of raising capital.

Our stockholders will receive immediate tangible value through the special one-time cash dividend.

The transactions may permit our stockholders to share in any premium associated with a transfer of control of CXP, if such an event should occur.

The proposed transactions will allow the public holders of our Class A common stock to elect one director, compared to the current inability to elect any directors due to Centex s majority voting control.

The proposed transactions are expected to enhance the attractiveness of our equity-based compensation plans due to the increased public float and liquidity of our capital stock, thereby increasing our ability to attract and retain quality employees.

Economic and Financial Factors. The special committee and our board of directors considered the economic and financial factors associated with the proposed transactions, including the effect of the reclassification, the cash dividend and the distribution on the Class A common stock following the distribution, and the impact on our financial position following the distribution. In this regard the special committee and our board of directors considered the following factors:

The reclassification and the distribution are structured to be tax-free to Centex s stockholders.

The advice of Bear Stearns that the trading characteristics of our Class A common stock, including trading volume and liquidity, institutional stockholdings and research analyst coverage, are likely to improve over time.

Based on the ability of our businesses to generate significant cash flow, we do not expect that the payment of the cash dividend will materially adversely affect our ability to fund all of our operational needs. We believe that all or substantially all of our current funded indebtedness will be substantially repaid by December 31, 2003. Accordingly, we expect to have considerable borrowing capacity at the time of the payment of the special cash dividend. Based on, among other things, our current stockholders—equity and our expected debt repayments during the next few months, we expect that our debt-to-capitalization ratio immediately after the distribution and the payment of the cash dividend will be approximately 22%. Moreover, we do not believe these borrowings will materially impair our ability to raise additional capital as necessary to fund any growth plans.

Governance Matters. The special committee and our board of directors considered that, as a result of the elimination of Centex s approximate 65% ownership stake through the reclassification and the distribution, we might be more vulnerable to attempts by third parties to acquire control of CXP in a manner not in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders. In that regard they considered the following factors:

The distribution agreement limits our ability to undertake a merger, asset sale or similar transaction for two years following the distribution unless it can be established that such transaction will not impair the tax-free status of the distribution to Centex and its stockholders.

The governance proposals and stockholders rights plan proposal, if approved, would give us additional means to ensure that we do not engage in any transactions that could cause the

25

Table of Contents

distribution to be taxable, thereby triggering our obligation to indemnify Centex under certain circumstances. The circumstances under which this indemnity obligation would apply are described under Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution and Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement The Distribution Agreement Other Agreements Indemnification Against Tax and Other Liabilities.

If the governance proposals and the stockholders—rights plan proposal are approved, we would be able to invoke these provisions in response to potentially coercive or abusive takeover tactics and efforts to acquire control of us at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of all CXP stockholders.

The risk that the dual class common stock structure could lead to a person or group gaining control of our board of directors by acquiring a majority of the Class B common stock, and the fact that this risk would be reduced if the governance proposals are approved.

The fact that the ability of the holders of Class B common stock to elect at least 85% of our board of directors will not provide those holders with materially different rights than Centex currently possesses because, as the holder of approximately 65% of our common stock, Centex currently has the ability to control the election of our entire board of directors.

Negative Factors. In addition, the special committee and our board of directors considered and balanced against the potential benefits of the reclassification and related transactions a number of potentially negative factors, including the following:

The proposed transactions require us to borrow a significant amount of funds in order to finance the cash dividend.

There may be a short-term adverse impact on the market price of our Class A common stock resulting from any sales of Class B common stock or Class A common stock by Centex stockholders who receive such shares in the distribution.

The proposed transactions potentially limit our ability to undertake some types of transactions for a period of time in the future which, if pursued or undertaken, could impair the tax-free nature of the distribution to Centex and its stockholders and give rise to an indemnification obligation of CXP to Centex.

An acquisition of CXP at any time within two years following the distribution could, in some circumstances, trigger the obligation to indemnify Centex and its stockholders against significant tax liabilities. See Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution.

In the past, Centex has performed certain corporate functions for us, including legal, accounting, benefit program administration, insurance administration and internal audit services. As an independent public company, we will be required, after an interim transition period, to replace these services, which costs will likely exceed the fees we pay to Centex.

By becoming independent from Centex, CXP would lose any positive perceptions from which it may benefit as a result of being associated with a company of Centex s stature and industry recognition.

Procedural Factors. The special committee and our board of directors also considered the procedural protections that were implemented to ensure a fair and impartial evaluation and negotiation of the proposed transactions and to provide for consideration and approval of any transactions by our minority stockholders, including the following:

Our board of directors formed a special committee composed solely of its outside, independent directors which evaluated, negotiated and ultimately made a recommendation to the board of directors with respect to the proposed transactions.

26

Table of Contents

The special committee hired its own independent financial advisor and legal counsel to assist and advise the special committee.

It is a condition to approval of the merger agreement that holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock, other than Centex, must vote in favor of adopting the merger agreement.

The factors described above were considered by the special committee and by our board of directors in their assessment of the proposed transactions. Neither the special committee nor our board of directors quantified or attached any particular weight to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination that the proposed transactions are advisable and fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders. Different members of our board may have assigned different weights to different factors. In reaching its determination, the special committee and our board of directors took the various factors into account collectively and did not perform a factor-by-factor analysis.

Opinion of the Special Committee s Financial Advisor

Overview of Bear Stearns Fairness Opinion

The special committee of our board of directors engaged Bear Stearns to act as its exclusive financial advisor in connection with the proposed reclassification, cash dividend and distribution, which are collectively referred to in this section as the Transaction, and to assist the special committee in evaluating and negotiating the Transaction. On July 21, 2003, Bear Stearns rendered its written opinion to the special committee that, as of such date, and based upon qualifications, assumptions, limitations and other matters set forth in the written opinion, the Transaction, taken as a whole, is fair from a financial point of view to the holders of shares of our common stock, other than Centex.

The full text of Bear Stearns written opinion dated July 21, 2003, which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Bear Stearns, is attached as Appendix E to this proxy statement. **You are urged to read the Bear Stearns opinion in its entirety.** In reading the description of the Bear Stearns fairness opinion set forth below, you should be aware that such fairness opinion:

was provided to the board of directors and the special committee for their benefit and use in connection with their consideration of the Transaction;

did not constitute a recommendation to the board of directors or the special committee in connection with the Transaction;

does not constitute a recommendation to any holders of our common stock as to how to vote in connection with the reclassification proposal;

did not address CXP s underlying business decision to pursue the Transaction, the relative merits of the Transaction as compared to alternative business strategies that might exist for CXP, the financing of the Transaction or the effects of any other transaction in which CXP might engage; and

did not express an opinion as to the price or range of prices at which the shares of our common stock would trade subsequent to the announcement of the Transaction or as to the price or range of prices at which the shares of our Class A common stock or Class B common stock may trade subsequent to the consummation of the Transaction.

Although Bear Stearns evaluated the financial fairness of the Transaction, the terms and conditions of the Transaction were determined by arm s-length negotiations between the special committee and Centex. Bear Stearns provided advice to the special committee during the course of such negotiations as requested by the special committee. None of Centex, CXP, our board of directors or the special committee provided specific instructions to, imposed any limitations on the scope of investigation by, or put in place any procedures to be followed or factors to be considered by, Bear Stearns in performing its analyses or providing its fairness opinion.

27

Table of Contents

In connection with rendering its fairness opinion, Bear Stearns, among other things:

reviewed the final drafts of the merger agreement and the distribution agreement;

reviewed the final drafts of the restated certificate of incorporation, including the terms of the Class B common stock and Class A common stock as described therein, and the amended and restated bylaws;

reviewed CXP s Annual Reports to Stockholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2001 through 2003, its preliminary results for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 and its Current Reports on Form 8-K for the three years ended the date thereof;

reviewed certain operating and financial information relating to CXP s business and prospects, including projections for the five years ended March 31, 2008, all as prepared and provided by CXP s management;

met with certain members of CXP s senior management to discuss CXP s business, operations, historical and projected financial results and future prospects both on a status quo basis and giving effect to the Transaction;

met with certain members of Centex s senior management and with the financial advisors of Centex to discuss Centex s strategic and financial rationale for the Transaction:

met with the special committee to discuss the Transaction rationale, the Transaction structure and its impact on the public holders of our common stock and alternatives for enhancing the stock float of our common stock;

reviewed the historical prices, trading multiples, trading volumes and stock float of our common stock;

reviewed publicly available financial data, stock market performance data, trading multiples and stock float of companies which Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to CXP;

reviewed the terms, stock price performance and stock float characteristics of selected spin-off transactions and step-up spin-off transactions which Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to the Transaction;

reviewed the trading performance of companies with dual-class stock structures that Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to the dual-class stock structure that CXP will maintain after consummation of the Transaction;

reviewed the pro forma financial results, financial condition and capitalization of CXP giving effect to the Transaction; and

conducted such other studies, analyses, inquiries and investigations as Bear Stearns deemed appropriate.

In preparing its opinion, Bear Stearns relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of the financial and other information provided by CXP, including, without limitation, the projections. With respect to CXP s projected financial results, Bear Stearns relied on representations that they had been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the senior management of CXP as to the expected future performance of CXP. Bear Stearns did not, and does not, assume any responsibility for the independent verification of any such information or of the projections provided to it, and Bear Stearns further relied upon the assurances of the senior management of CXP that they were unaware of any facts that would make the information and projections provided to Bear Stearns incomplete or misleading.

28

Table of Contents

In arriving at its opinion, Bear Stearns did not perform or obtain any independent appraisal of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of CXP, nor was Bear Stearns furnished with any such appraisals. Bear Stearns assumed, with the consent of the special committee, that:

the reclassification and the merger will qualify as a tax-free reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code:

the distribution will qualify as a tax-free transaction within the meaning of Section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code;

the cash dividend will be a taxable dividend to CXP s stockholders;

the administrative services agreement, the intellectual property agreement and the sublease agreement, when executed, will provide that the transactions and services contemplated by such agreements will be effected on terms substantially equivalent to the current arrangements between Centex and CXP with respect thereto; and

the Transaction will be consummated in a timely manner and in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement and distribution agreement without any limitations, restrictions, conditions, amendments, waivers or modifications, regulatory or otherwise, that collectively would have a material effect on CXP or the stockholders of CXP (other than Centex).

Summary of Bear Stearns Reviews and Analyses

The following is a summary of the principal reviews and financial and valuation analyses presented by Bear Stearns to the special committee at its meeting held on July 21, 2003. In order to understand fully the reviews and financial and valuation analyses used by Bear Stearns, any information presented in tabular format must be read together with the accompanying text. The tables alone do not represent a complete description of any such reviews or financial and valuation analyses. This summary does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses underlying the Bear Stearns fairness opinion. All such reviews and financial and valuation analyses were based on information available to Bear Stearns on July 21, 2003, and Bear Stearns has assumed no responsibility for updating or revising its opinion based on circumstances or events occurring after such date, except as may be requested by the special committee in accordance with the terms of its engagement letter with Bear Stearns.

The principal reviews and financial and valuation analyses, upon which the fairness opinion rendered by Bear Stearns was based, included the following:

an equity market analysis, which included a review of (i) the stock price performance and trading characteristics of publicly traded subsidiaries that were the subject of recent spin-offs, including seven spin-offs in which the publicly traded subsidiary effected a recapitalization of its capital stock into high vote (with superior voting rights relating solely to the election of directors) and low vote stock in order to permit the parent company to distribute the subsidiary stock to its stockholders on a tax-free basis (which we refer to as step-up spin-offs) and (ii) the historical trading prices of high vote and low vote stock of certain public companies with dual classes of common stock, including six of the publicly traded subsidiaries that were the subject of the step-up spin-offs.

an analysis of the financial effects of the Transaction on certain historical and projected credit statistics and earnings estimates for CXP.

a stock market performance analysis involving a review and evaluation of the historical stock price performance, trading multiples and stock float of the shares of CXP common stock as compared to similar data for other publicly traded companies that Bear Stearns regarded as comparable.

In connection with its equity market analysis, Bear Stearns stated that it could not predict how shares of CXP s common stock would perform after the Transaction or what trading differences, if any, would likely arise between the Class B common stock and the Class A common stock or whether any such differences would be material. However, Bear Stearns noted that, in the case of the step-up spin-offs that

29

Table of Contents

it reviewed, where the only difference between the classes of stock related to voting rights for the election of directors, higher relative average daily trading volume tended to be a positive factor mitigating any trading differences that may be attributable to the difference in voting rights between the classes. Bear Stearns further noted that the Transaction would directly and significantly increase the total public stock float of CXP s capital stock and specifically of CXP s existing class of common stock. In particular, the public float of CXP s existing class of common stock would increase by 44% from approximately 6.5 million shares to approximately 9.2 million shares as a result of the Transaction. Bear Stearns expressed its belief that the increase in public float would tend to have a positive effect on the valuation and liquidity of CXP s existing class of common stock, all other factors being equal, and would also tend to have a positive effect on institutional investor interest and equity research coverage.

In connection with its analysis of the financial effects of the Transaction, Bear Stearns noted that the Transaction would involve the payment of a substantial one-time cash dividend to the holders of CXP s common stock and that this dividend would be funded through the incurrence of additional debt. Bear Stearns reviewed projections provided by CXP for the fiscal years ending March 31, 2004 and 2005 and noted that these projections indicated that CXP expects to generate substantial cash flows that would be available to service this additional debt. Bear Stearns analysis showed that CXP s pro forma ratio of debt to EBITDA for the twelve months ended June 30, 2003 would be below the median ratio of its peer group.

In connection with its stock market performance analysis, Bear Stearns noted that, although CXP s common stock has performed reasonably well as compared to its peer group and the S&P 500 Index, it has tended to trade at a lower price/earnings ratio than CXP s peer group. Bear Stearns also indicated that one factor that likely affected the price/earnings ratio of our stock was the fact that we have a much lower public float than our peer group. In this regard, Bear Stearns again noted that our public float would improve as a result of the Transaction.

Equity Market Analysis. In its equity market analysis, Bear Stearns reviewed and evaluated (i) the stock price performance and trading characteristics of publicly traded subsidiaries that were the subject of recent spin-offs, including seven step-up spin-offs and (ii) the historical trading prices of high vote and low vote stock of certain public companies with dual classes of common stock, including six public companies that were the subject of the step-up spin-offs.

Selected Precedent Spin-Off Analysis. Bear Stearns reviewed and analyzed 26 completed spin-offs of publicly traded subsidiaries that were announced since 1998 and also reviewed and analyzed seven step-up spin-offs completed since 1995.

The following table identifies the seven step-up spin-offs reviewed by Bear Stearns:

Precedent Step-Up Spin-Offs

Announce Date	Effective Date	Parent Co.	Spin Co.
11/06/00	11/29/01	 Unitrin	Curtiss-Wright
05/17/00	06/20/00	Silicon Graphics	MIPS Technologies
10/27/99	10/10/00	St. Joe Paper	Florida East Coast Industries
05/17/99	10/22/99	Harcourt General	Neiman-Marcus
11/12/98	07/27/99	IMS Health	Gartner
03/31/95	10/02/95	Peter Kiewit Sons	MFS Communications
05/03/94	07/17/95	Freeport McMoRan	Freeport McMoRan Copper & Gold

Each of these step-up spin-offs involved a publicly traded subsidiary that underwent a recapitalization prior to the spin-off in which all or a part of the parent company s shares were exchanged for, or converted into, high vote stock in order to permit the parent company to effect a tax-free distribution of the subsidiary shares to the parent company s stockholders. In each of these step-up spin-offs, the only difference between the high vote and low vote stock was that the high vote class was afforded superior

30

Table of Contents

voting rights in the election of directors. Bear Stearns noted that the step-up spin-offs were more comparable to the Transaction than the broader group of 26 spin-offs that it also reviewed and analyzed.

In connection with its analysis, Bear Stearns evaluated the low vote stock price performance of the recent step-up spin-offs. Bear Stearns noted that the low vote stock price performance on average outperformed the S&P 500 Index by 3.8% from the respective announcement date of each step-up spin-off to its effective date plus 30 days. The following table summarizes the average stock price performance of the low vote stock class relative to the S&P 500 Index over the specified time periods:

Precedent Step-Up Spin-Offs Average Low Vote Stock

Price Performance Relative to S&P 500 Index

One Day Prior to Announce vs. Announce + 5 Days	One Day Prior to Announce vs. Effective Date	One Day Prior to Effective Date vs. Effective Date + 5 Days	One Day Prior to Effective Date vs. Effective Date + 30 Days	One Day Prior to Announce vs. Effective Date + 30 Days
(6.3)%	(0.8)%	(2.2)%	5.5%	3.8%

Additionally, Bear Stearns compared the trading characteristics of the low vote stock for the 60 days prior to the announcement of each step-up spin-off to the trading characteristics for the 60 days after the effective date. Bear Stearns noted that the average daily trading volume of the low vote shares increased on average by 138.4% as a result of the step-up spin-off.

Bear Stearns also examined the pre- and post-spin stock float in each of the seven step-up spin-offs. Bear Stearns observed that the Peter Kiewit Sons/ MFS Communications transaction was the only step-up spin-off in which the float of the low vote stock increased significantly and directly, with the publicly-held low vote shares in that transaction increasing by approximately 2.88x. In the other six step-up spin-offs, there was no immediate increase in the stock float for the low vote class of stock. In the Transaction as contemplated, Bear Stearns noted that the float of CXP s existing class of common stock would increase by approximately 1.44x, thereby creating a significantly larger pool of shares available for public trading.

Although Bear Stearns viewed the above step-up spin-offs as being more comparable to the Transaction, Bear Stearns also reviewed a broader group of 26 spin-offs of publicly traded subsidiaries announced since 1998. Bear Stearns examined the stock price performance of these spin-offs which, on average, underperformed the S&P 500 Index by (7.9%) from the respective announcement date of each spin-off to its effective date plus 30 days. The following table summarizes the average stock price performance of the stock of these spin-offs relative to the S&P 500 Index over specified periods:

Precedent Spin-Offs Since 1998 Average Stock

Price Performance Relative to S&P 500 Index

One Day Prior to Announce vs. Announce + 5 Days	One Day Prior to Announce vs. Effective Date	One Day Prior to Effective Date vs. Effective Date + 5 Days	One Day Prior to Effective Date vs. Effective Date + 30 Days	One Day Prior to Announce vs. Effective Date + 30 Days
(3.8)%	(9.3)%	(4.1)%	0.6%	(7.9)%

Additionally, Bear Stearns compared the trading characteristics of the stock of each of the broader group of precedent spin-offs for the 60 days prior to the spin-off announcement to the trading characteristics for the 60 days after the effective date. Bear Stearns noted that the average daily trading volume of the stocks increased on average by 479.7% as a result of the spin-off.

Based on the precedent spin-off analysis described above, Bear Stearns stated that it could not predict how CXP s common stock would perform after the Transaction. However, Bear Stearns noted that, in the case of the step-up spin-offs, on average, the average daily trading volume of the low vote stock increased significantly and, in the case of the broader group of spin-offs, on average, both float and the average daily trading volume of the stock that was spun off increased significantly. Furthermore, Bear Stearns noted that the Transaction would directly

and significantly increase the total public float of CXP $\,$ s capital stock and

31

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

specifically of CXP s existing class of common stock. Specifically, the public float of CXP s existing class of common stock would increase by 44% from approximately 6.5 million to approximately 9.2 million shares. Bear Stearns expressed its belief that this increase in public float would tend to have a positive effect on the valuation and liquidity of CXP s existing class of common stock, all other factors being equal, and would also tend to have a positive effect on institutional investor interest and equity research coverage.

Dual-Class Structure Trading Price Analysis. Bear Stearns examined the historical trading prices of 60 public companies with dual classes of common stock. Out of this broad group of companies with dual-class share structures, Bear Stearns segmented its analysis into two groups: (i) six companies that participated in step-up spin-off transactions similar to the Transaction (where the high vote stock had superior voting rights only in relation to the election of directors) and (ii) 54 other companies with differential voting structures (i.e., vote/no-vote or high vote/low vote structures).

For each of the six step-up spin-offs (excluding the Peter Kiewit Sons/MFS Communications transaction, which was not comparable due to the fact that there was only one publicly traded class of MFS Communications stock after the transaction), Bear Stearns analyzed the trading disparity between high vote and low vote common stock for certain periods after the effective date. This analysis showed that in the case of the step-up spin-offs, the high vote common stock traded on average at a discount to the low vote common stock:

Step-Up Spin-Offs High Vote Stock Price (Discount)

Immediately After Effective Date Effective Date

+ 20 Trading Days	+ 60 Trading Days	+ 240 Trading Days
(3.9)%	(3.8)%	(4.9)%

Additionally, Bear Stearns analyzed the same six step-up spin-offs based on the average trading price disparity between high vote and low vote common stock immediately after the effective date segmented into two groups: (i) a group in which the low vote common stock was the more liquid stock class (as defined as the stock class with the higher average daily trading volume for the 120 trading days after the effective date) and (ii) a group in which the high vote common stock was the more liquid stock class (as defined in the same manner). This analysis showed that in the case of the step-up spin-offs the high vote common stock traded on average at a greater discount when it was the less liquid of the two classes of common stock:

Step-Up Spin-Offs High Vote Stock Price Premium (Discount) versus Liquidity

Immediately After Effective Date

		Effective Date		
Liquidity of Classes	+ 20 Trading Days	+ 60 Trading Days	+ 240 Trading Days	
Low Vote More Liquid	(5.0)%	(4.9)%	(6.8)%	
High Vote More Liquid	(1.9)%	(1.4)%	(1.1)%	

Bear Stearns also analyzed the average trading disparity between high vote and low vote common stock for the step-up spin-offs for certain trading periods prior to June 30, 2003. Of the six step-up spin-offs examined above, five had dual-class share structures as of June 30, 2003. Freeport McMoRan Copper & Gold was excluded since its dual-class shares were collapsed into one share class in 2002. In all five cases, the low vote class was the more liquid share class. The analysis showed that in the case of the

44

Table of Contents

step-up spin-offs the high vote common stock traded on average at a discount to the low vote common stock:

Step-Up Spin-Offs High Vote Stock Price (Discount)

at 6/30/03

Trading Days Prior to 6/30/03

20 Trading Days	60 Trading Days	240 Trading Days	
(2.5)%	(3.2)%	(4.5)%	

Although Bear Stearns viewed the dual-class structures of the step-up spin-offs as being more comparable to the Transaction, Bear Stearns also examined the historical trading prices of 54 other public companies with dual-classes of common stock. In particular, Bear Stearns analyzed the average trading price disparity between high vote and low vote common stock for certain trading periods prior to June 30, 2003. This analysis showed that in these 54 dual-class structures, the high vote common stock traded on average at a premium to the low vote common stock:

Other Dual-Class Structures High Vote Stock Price Premium

at 6/30/03

Trading Days Prior to 6/30/03

20 Trading Days	60 Trading Days	240 Trading Days	
3.5%	4.7%	5.2%	

For these same 54 companies, Bear Stearns analyzed the trading price disparity between low vote and high vote common stock prior to June 30, 2003 segmented into two groups: (i) the low vote common stock was the more liquid stock class (as defined as the stock class with the higher average daily trading volume for the 120 trading days prior to June 30, 2003) and (ii) the high vote common stock was the more liquid stock class (as defined in the same manner). This analysis showed that in these 54 dual-class structures, the high vote common stock traded on average at a premium when it was both the more liquid and the less liquid share class:

Other Dual-Class Structures High Vote Stock Price Premium

versus Liquidity at 6/30/03

Trading Days Prior to 6/30/03

Liquidity of Classes	20 Trading Days	60 Trading Days	240 Trading Days
Low Vote More Liquid	4.0%	5.4%	6.1%
High Vote More Liquid	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%

Bear Stearns noted that it did not regard the dual-class structures of these 54 companies as being as comparable to the Transaction as the dual-class structures of the step-up spin-offs, given that (i) the nature of the superior voting rights of the high vote stock issued by these companies was not necessarily the same as the Class B common stock to be created in the Transaction, which has superior voting rights only as to the election of directors and (ii) in some cases there were economic and other differences between the classes of stock issued by these companies that did not relate solely to their voting rights.

Based on the dual-class structure trading price analysis described above, Bear Stearns stated that it could not predict what trading differences, if any, would likely arise between the Class B common stock and the Class A common stock after consummation of the Transaction or whether any such differences would be material. However, Bear Stearns noted that after the Transaction (i) the float of the Class A common stock and of the Class B common stock would be substantially equal, which should tend to mitigate any typical differences in trading volume due to the difference in float between the two classes, (ii) the only difference in voting rights between the Class B common stock and the Class

Table of Contents

stock would be with regard to the election of directors and (iii) the stock float of the Class A common stock would be significantly and directly increased, and such increase would tend to have a positive effect on the valuation and liquidity of the shares of CXP s existing class of common stock, all other factors being equal. These factors tend to mitigate any typical trading differences between high vote and low vote shares.

Pro Forma Financial Analysis. Bear Stearns reviewed and analyzed certain financial effects of the Transaction on CXP. Bear Stearns noted that the Transaction would involve the payment of a substantial one-time cash dividend to the holders of CXP s common stock and that this dividend would be funded through the incurrence of additional debt. Specifically, Bear Stearns analyzed the effect of the Transaction (including the cash dividend) on CXP s (i) credit statistics for the last twelve months ended June 30, 2003 and for the projected fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 and (ii) estimated earnings per share for the projected fiscal years ending March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005. Bear Stearns analysis compared actual or projected credit statistics and earnings estimates on a pro forma basis (i.e., giving effect to the Transaction, including incurrence of the debt required to fund payment of the dividend) to actual or projected credit statistics and earnings estimates on a status quo basis (i.e., without giving effect to the Transaction). Based on this analysis:

CXP s pro forma total debt/ EBITDA for the twelve months ended June 30, 2003 was 1.4x as compared to a status quo ratio of 0.5x, and its pro forma total debt/ EBITDA for the projected fiscal year ending March 31, 2004 would be 0.8x versus a status quo projected ratio of 0.0x. Bear Stearns noted that CXP s pro forma ratio of total debt/ EBITDA for the twelve months ended June 30, 2003 of 1.4x was below the median ratio of total debt/ EBITDA for the twelve months ended June 30, 2003 of its peers of 3.0x. Bear Stearns noted that projections provided to it by CXP indicated that CXP expects to generate substantial cash flows that would be available to service the additional debt that would be incurred to fund payment of the cash dividend. Based upon these projections, the ratio of pro forma total debt/ EBITDA is expected to decline from 1.4x for the twelve months ended June 30, 2003 to 0.8x for the twelve months ended March 31, 2004.

Additionally, after factoring in the estimated costs of CXP s operating independently from Centex and additional interest costs from increased debt as a result of the cash dividend, Bear Stearns analysis suggested that the effect of these costs on CXP s earnings per share for the fiscal years ending March 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005 would be a reduction of (4.2%) (or \$0.14 per share) and (4.0%) (or \$0.17 per share), respectively.

CXP Stock Market Performance. Bear Stearns also reviewed and analyzed the historical stock price performance, trading multiples, trading volumes and stock float of the shares of our common stock and compared such data to various publicly traded companies deemed by Bear Stearns to be comparable to CXP. Among other things, Bear Stearns noted that CXP s common stock had traded up since the beginning of 2003 and closed at \$37.90 on July 18, 2003 (versus a high price during the past year of \$43.99 and a low price during the past year of \$31.25). Bear Stearns noted that the shares of CXP s common stock had performed reasonably well versus its peer group and the S&P 500 Index, but that CXP s common stock tended to trade at a lower price/ earnings ratio than its peer group. Bear Stearns also noted that, primarily by virtue of Centex s majority ownership of approximately 65% of CXP s common stock, CXP had much lower stock float than most of its peer group, and that the Transaction would result in an overall increase in CXP s stock float.

Other Considerations

The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process that involves various judgments and determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial and valuation analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. The opinion is, therefore, not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Bear Stearns believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and the factors considered, without considering all of the analyses and factors, would create a misleading and incomplete view of the processes underlying its opinions. Bear Stearns did not form an opinion as to whether any individual analysis or

34

Table of Contents

factor, whether positive or negative, considered in isolation, supported or failed to support its opinion. In arriving at its opinion, Bear Stearns did not assign any particular weight to any analysis or factor considered by it, but rather made qualitative judgments based upon its experience in providing such opinions and on then-existing economic, monetary, financial, capital markets, general business and other conditions as to the significance of each analysis and factor. In performing its analyses, Bear Stearns, at CXP s direction and with the special committee s consent, made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Centex, CXP and Bear Stearns. Any assumed estimates implicitly contained in Bear Stearns opinion or relied upon by Bear Stearns in rendering its opinion do not necessarily reflect actual values or predict future results or values. Any estimates relating to the value of the business or securities do not purport to be appraisals or to necessarily reflect the prices at which companies or securities may actually be sold or traded.

The special committee retained Bear Stearns based upon Bear Stearns qualifications, experience and expertise. Bear Stearns is an internationally recognized investment banking firm which, as part of its investment banking business, is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, spin-offs and split-offs, recapitalizations, restructurings, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for corporate and other purposes. In the ordinary course of business, Bear Stearns and its affiliates may actively trade the equity and debt securities and/or bank debt of Centex and CXP for its own account and for the accounts of its customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities or bank debt.

Pursuant to an engagement letter, CXP agreed to pay Bear Stearns a financial advisory fee customary for such engagements. In addition, CXP agreed to reimburse Bear Stearns, upon request from Bear Stearns from time to time, for all out-of-pocket expenses, including the reasonable fees and expenses of its legal counsel, incurred by Bear Stearns in connection with its engagement. CXP has also agreed to indemnify Bear Stearns against specific liabilities in connection with its engagement, including liabilities under the federal securities laws. Except in connection with the Transaction, neither Centex nor CXP has paid Bear Stearns any fees for investment banking or other advisory services within the past five years.

Description of the Reclassification Proposal

If our stockholders approve the adoption of the merger agreement, our certificate of incorporation will, upon filing the certificate of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, be amended and restated to include the reclassification proposals described below and included in Appendix C. The reclassification proposals include the changes necessary to permit the distribution to be tax-free to Centex and its stockholders.

For the distribution to be tax-free to Centex and its stockholders, current U.S. federal income tax law requires that, among other things, given the nature of Centex s ownership interest in CXP, Centex must own, at the time of the distribution, capital stock of CXP having the right to elect at least 85% of our board of directors, and that Centex distribute all of that stock to its stockholders in a single transaction. Accordingly, the reclassification proposal creates a new Class B common stock of CXP that is entitled to elect at least 85% of our board of directors. All of the Class B common stock, together with the remaining shares of our Class A common stock owned by Centex, will be distributed by Centex to its stockholders promptly following the reclassification. Unless and until the holders of at least two-thirds of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock, voting together as a single class, vote to eliminate the special voting rights of the Class B common stock described in the previous sentence, the minimum number of directors on our board will be set at seven so that the holders of our Class A common stock will always be entitled to elect at least one director. The holders of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock cannot eliminate the special voting rights of the Class B common stock until after the second anniversary of the distribution.

35

Table of Contents

The reclassification proposal also:

provides for the designation of Class A common stock directors and Class B common stock directors and related matters;

provides that vacancies on our board may be filled only by the directors, or if there are no directors, by the stockholders, of the class, whether Class A common stock or Class B common stock, in which the vacancy exists;

provides that so long as any person or group of persons beneficially owns 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock, then such person or group may only vote in any election of directors that number of shares of Class B common stock which is equal to the lesser of the percentage ownership of the number of shares of Class B common stock or shares of Class A common stock owned by it; and

provides for the change of our name to

Authorization of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock. If the authorized capital increase proposal is approved, together with the reclassification proposal, the number of shares of our authorized capital stock will be increased to 105,000,000 shares to be divided among three classes of capital stock: 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, 80,000,000 shares of Class A common stock and 20,000,000 shares of Class B common stock. If the reclassification proposal is approved but the authorized capital increase proposal is not approved, then the current 52,000,000 shares of our authorized capital stock will be divided as follows: 2,000,000 shares of preferred stock, 40,780,000 shares of Class A common stock and 9,220,000 shares of Class B common stock. The authorized number of shares of any class of our capital stock may be increased or decreased by the vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of our capital stock. The Class A common stock and the Class B common stock will have the same rights, except for the fact that the holders of the Class B common stock will have the power to elect 85% of our board, or the next highest whole number, and the holders of Class A common stock will have the power to elect the remaining members of our board. At any time after the second anniversary of the distribution, if approved by our board of directors, the special class voting rights of Class A common stock and Class B common stock with respect to the election of directors may be terminated by at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of the Class A common stock and the Class B common stock, voting together as a single class, at any annual or special meeting of stockholders. For so long as these special class voting rights continue in effect, the minimum number of directors on our board will be set at seven in order to ensure that the holders of Class A common stock will be entitled to elect at least one director. Except as required by law, the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as one class on all other matters, including acquisitions and other fundamental transactions, with each share of Class A common stock and Class B common stock having one vote.

Creation of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock Directors. Currently, our board has one class of directors. The reclassification proposal would amend our certificate of incorporation to provide that our board of directors following the reclassification will consist of at least seven directors which are divided into two classes based on the class of stock that is entitled to elect such directors.

Messrs. Barnett, Clarke, Hirsch, Nicolais and Quinn will each remain a director of our board following the completion of the reclassification.

Messrs. and will be appointed to our board of directors immediately following the reclassification. See Board of Directors and Management of CXP. Upon completion of the reclassification, will be designated the common stock director and the remaining six directors will be designated. Class B common stock directors. In future elections, the director designated the common stock directors will be elected by the holders of the Class A common stock and the directors designated as Class B common stock directors will be elected by the holders of the Class B common stock.

Filling of Board Vacancies. The reclassification proposal would amend the certificate of incorporation to provide that any vacancy in the office of a common stock director or Class B common stock director will be filled only by the vote of the majority of the remaining directors in the class in which the vacancy

36

Table of Contents

exists, or the sole remaining director in the class, unless there are no remaining directors in the class, in which case the vacancy will be filled by the vote of the stockholders entitled to elect the members of the class in which the vacancy exists. All newly created directorships resulting from an increase in the authorized number of directors will be allocated such that at all times the number of Class B common stock directors is equal to 85% of the total number of members of the board of directors (or, if 85% is not a whole number, then the next higher whole number) and the remainder are Class A common stock directors. All such directorships will first be allocated to a class and then filled only by the vote of the majority of the directors in the class in which the newly created directorships exist, or the sole remaining director in the class, unless there are no directors in the class, in which case the newly created directorships will be filled by the vote of the stockholders entitled to elect members of that class. If there is only one common stock director and he or she resigns, dies or is removed for cause, it is possible that there will be no common stock director until the next annual meeting of stockholders.

Limitations on Voting Rights of Class B Common Stock. The reclassification proposal also includes an amendment to our certificate of incorporation which would help mitigate the potential takeover risks associated with the special voting rights of the Class B common stock in the election of directors. This provision states that so long as any person or group of persons beneficially owns 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock, then such person or group may only vote in any election or removal of directors a percentage of shares of Class B common stock equal to the lesser of its percentage ownership of Class B common stock or its percentage ownership of Class A common stock. The purpose of this provision is to ensure that any person, entity or group cannot seek to obtain control of our board of directors solely by acquiring a majority of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock. It is intended to protect our public stockholders by ensuring that anyone seeking to accumulate a substantial number of shares of our capital stock must acquire shares of each class of our common stock in order to exercise control over CXP.

Effecting the Name Change. Because we will no longer be a majority-owned subsidiary of Centex and in order to establish an independent market presence in the construction products industry, our board of directors has determined that our name should be changed to eliminate the reference to Centex. Our board of directors believes that the name will express our identity and our primary business focus and will effectively establish our new independent presence in the public capital markets and our industry generally.

Effecting the name change will not affect our business, operations or capital structure and will be accomplished at nominal expense to CXP. In addition, the name change will not affect the rights of our stockholders or the validity or transferability of the stock certificates currently outstanding. Our stockholders will not be required to surrender or exchange any stock certificates of CXP that they currently hold. For the immediate future, the trading symbol for our Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange will remain CXP. The trading symbol for the Class B common stock on the New York Stock Exchange will be

Description of Bylaws Amendments

In connection with the proposed reclassification and distribution, our board of directors has also approved amendments to our bylaws necessary to conform the bylaws to our certificate of incorporation if the reclassification proposal is approved. We refer you to the full text of the amended and restated bylaws, which are attached as Appendix D. The proposed amendments were approved by our board of directors in connection with the reclassification proposal and are referred to in this proxy statement as the reclassification bylaws amendments. The bylaws amendments will become effective at the time of reclassification.

Recommendation of the CXP Board

Our board of directors, upon recommendation of the special committee, has unanimously approved the reclassification proposal, including the merger agreement and the cash dividend. Our board of directors

37

Table of Contents

has determined that the distribution and related transactions, including the reclassification, are advisable, fair to and in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders. Our board of directors recommends that you vote For the adoption of the merger agreement and the approval of the reclassification proposal.

Required Vote

Each outstanding share of our common stock is entitled to one vote on each matter which may properly come before the special meeting. Under Delaware corporate law, adoption of the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, including shares held by Centex. Centex currently owns approximately 65% of our common stock and has informed us that it will vote these shares in favor of adoption of the merger agreement. In addition, the reclassification will be implemented only if the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock, other than Centex, voting in person or by proxy at the special meeting on the adoption of the merger agreement vote to adopt the merger agreement.

Effects of the Reclassification on Outstanding Shares

Our Class A common stock and Class B common stock will have the same rights, except for voting rights with respect to the election of our board of directors. The holders of Class B common stock will be entitled to elect 85% of the board of directors or, if 85% is not a whole number, then the nearest higher whole number of directors. The holders of Class A common stock will be entitled to elect our remaining director or directors. On all other matters requiring a stockholder vote, including acquisitions and other fundamental transactions, the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class on a one-share, one-vote basis.

Under the terms governing our two classes of common stock after the reclassification, CXP will not be permitted to reorganize or consolidate with, merge with or enter into a similar combination with a third party unless each holder of Class A common stock and Class B common stock is entitled to receive the same kind and amount of consideration per share receivable upon such reorganization, consolidation, merger or other combination as each other holder of Class A common stock and Class B common stock. However, the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock may receive as consideration different kinds of stock if our board of directors determines in good faith that these different kinds of stock differ only in the same manner as the Class A common stock differ from the Class B common stock.

Cash Dividend

If the reclassification proposal is approved and other conditions are satisfied or waived, we will declare and pay a special one-time cash dividend pro-rata to all holders of our common stock, including Centex, in the amount of \$6.00 per share of our common stock. The record date for the cash dividend will be prior to the record date for the distribution so that Centex will receive a pro rata share of the cash dividend. The declaration of the cash dividend is contingent, however, upon the approval of the reclassification proposal by our stockholders and the satisfaction of certain other conditions. Please see Proposal One: Description of the Merger Agreement and Distribution Agreement The Distribution Agreement Conditions to the Distribution and the Declaration of the Cash Dividend.

Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution

On May 9, 2003, Centex requested a ruling from the IRS to the effect that, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, among other things:

the reclassification will be a tax-free transaction to Centex and CXP under Sections 354, 368(a) and 1032 of the Internal Revenue Code, respectively; and

the distribution will be tax-free to Centex and its stockholders under Section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code.

38

Table of Contents

In order to obtain the ruling and protect the tax-free status of the distribution, we have agreed to certain undertakings, including that, for a period of two years after the date of the distribution, we will maintain our status as a company engaged in the active conduct of a trade or business, and will take no action to facilitate certain acquisitions of our stock, as more fully explained below. If we fail to comply with any such undertakings, or take any other action or fail to take any other required action, and that failure to comply, action or omission contributes to a determination that the distribution fails to qualify under Section 355(a) of the Internal Revenue Code or that the CXP shares fail to qualify as qualified property for purposes of Section 355(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code by reason of Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, we will be required to indemnify Centex and the other members of the Centex group:

for all federal, state and local taxes, including any interest, penalty or additions to tax, incurred or imposed upon Centex or any other members of the Centex group; and

for any established tax liabilities of Centex stockholders resulting from the distribution evidenced by (i) an amended tax return of the Centex stockholder reflecting the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount, or (ii) a deficiency notice received by the Centex stockholder from the IRS setting forth the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount.

Under Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, the distribution will be taxable to Centex if the distribution is part of a plan or series of related transactions pursuant to which one or more persons acquire directly or indirectly stock representing a 50% or greater interest, based on either vote or value, in Centex or CXP. Acquisitions that occur during the period beginning two years before the distribution and ending two years after the distribution are subject to a rebuttable presumption that they are part of a plan. If Centex becomes subject to tax under Section 355(e), its tax liability will be based upon the difference between the fair market value of the 9,220,000 shares of Class B common stock and the 2,742,304 shares of our common stock at the time of the distribution and its adjusted basis in the stock at that time, and this tax liability will be a significant amount.

Accordingly, under the distribution agreement, we have agreed that, until two years after the distribution date, we will not:

merge or consolidate with or into any other corporation, which would have the effect of causing or permitting one or more persons to acquire directly or indirectly stock representing a 50% or greater interest, within the meaning of Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, in CXP,

liquidate or partially liquidate,

sell or transfer all or substantially all of our assets in a single transaction or series of transactions,

redeem or otherwise repurchase any CXP capital stock, except as permitted under the IRS procedures applicable to spin-offs, or

take any other action or actions which, in the aggregate, would have the effect of causing or permitting one or more persons to acquire directly or indirectly stock representing a 50% or greater interest, within the meaning of Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, in CXP.

unless prior to taking any action set forth in the list above, either (1) Centex has obtained, at the expense of CXP, a supplemental ruling from the IRS or (2) CXP has obtained an opinion in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to Centex, that the action will not result in:

the distribution failing to qualify under Section 355(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, or

the CXP shares failing to qualify as qualified property for purposes of Section 355(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code by reason of Section 355(e) of the Code.

39

Table of Contents

We have also agreed to indemnify Centex and the other members of the Centex group for:

all federal, state and local taxes, including any interest, penalty or additions to tax, incurred or imposed upon Centex or any other members of the Centex group; and

any established tax liabilities of any stockholder of Centex evidenced by (i) an amended tax return of the Centex stockholder reflecting the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount or (ii) a deficiency notice received by the Centex stockholder from the IRS setting forth the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount,

arising from either (1) any inaccuracy in, or failure by CXP to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by CXP to the IRS (or made by Centex to the IRS based upon information provided by CXP) in connection with the IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from gross negligence on the part of CXP or (2) our taking or failing to take any action that contributes to the distribution not being treated as a tax-free transaction, each subject to some exceptions.

CXP will not be obligated to indemnify Centex or any other members of the Centex group for any liability that results solely from an inaccuracy in or failure by Centex to comply with any representation or undertaking by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request (except to the extent such inaccuracy or failure is in respect of a representation based in whole or in part upon inaccurate information provided by CXP, if such inaccuracy was intentional or resulted from gross negligence on the part of CXP). Further, if any liability arises as a result of both:

either (i) our taking or failing to take any action that contributes to the distribution not being treated as a tax-free transaction or (ii) an inaccuracy in, or failure by us to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by us to the IRS (or made by Centex to the IRS based upon information provided by CXP) in connection with IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from gross negligence on the part of CXP, and

an inaccuracy in or failure by Centex to comply with any representation or undertaking by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request

and each failure is an independent cause of such liability, then CXP and Centex will allocate the resulting liability among themselves in a proportion that reflects the relative fault of each party.

Centex has agreed to indemnify CXP and other members of the CXP group for all liabilities arising from any inaccuracy in, or failure by Centex to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request. Centex will not be obligated to indemnify CXP or any member of the CXP group if such liability results solely from an inaccuracy in, or failure by CXP to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by CXP to the IRS or based upon information provided by CXP to Centex and made by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from gross negligence on the part of CXP or a failure on the part of CXP to comply with its obligations described above.

Tax Matters Cash Dividend

The cash dividend will generally constitute a dividend taxable as ordinary income in the year of receipt to the extent that CXP has current or accumulated earnings and profits as of the end of the taxable year in which the cash dividend is made. If the cash dividend exceeds a stockholder s allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes determined as of the end of our fiscal year ending March 31, 2004, the excess will generally be treated first as a tax-free return of capital to the extent of the stockholder s basis in his or her shares of common stock, and after this basis is reduced to zero, as capital gain. Our management has advised that, based on the information currently available, our current and accumulated earnings and profits at March 31, 2004 is expected to be such that the cash dividend will not exceed any stockholder s allocable share of such earnings and profits. Thus it is expected that the cash dividend will be taxable as ordinary income.

40

Table of Contents

For corporate holders of our common stock, the cash dividend (to the extent treated as ordinary income) will be eligible for a dividends-received deduction, subject to limitations and exclusions provided by the Internal Revenue Code. However, the extraordinary dividend rules would apply to the cash dividend paid to a corporate holder with respect to shares of our common stock if such shares have not been held for more than two years before the dividend announcement date and the cash dividend equals or exceeds 10% of the holder s tax basis in such shares. If the extraordinary dividend rules apply to shares held by a corporate holder, the holder would be required to reduce its tax basis in such shares by the untaxed portion of the dividend. Moreover, to the extent that the untaxed distribution exceeds the corporate holder s basis, gain will be recognized.

Under recently enacted legislation, the tax rate applicable to qualifying corporate dividends received by individuals has been reduced to a maximum rate of 15%. In order to qualify for this reduced rate, the shares on which the dividends are paid must have been held by the individual for more than 60 days during the 120 day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date. If the dividend paid to an individual with respect to our shares of common stock qualifies for this reduced rate, and the amount of such dividend equals or exceeds 10% of the individual s tax basis in such shares, any subsequent loss on such shares will be treated as a long-term capital loss to the extent of the dividend.

Although this discussion does not generally address tax consequences of the cash dividend to foreign holders of our common stock, such holders should note that the cash dividend (to the extent of such foreign holder s allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits) will generally be subject to U.S. withholding tax at the rate of 30%. This rate may be reduced by income tax treaties to which the United States is a party.

Finally, to the extent that the cash dividend constitutes ordinary income, it will generally be subject to back-up withholding with respect to our stockholders who, before the cash dividend, have not provided their correct taxpayer identification numbers to us on an IRS Form W-9 or a substitute for such form.

Interests of Our Officers and Directors in the Reclassification

In considering the recommendation of our board of directors, you should be aware that some of our officers and directors may have interests in the reclassification that are or may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of our public stockholders. As of , 2003, our directors and executive officers beneficially owned an aggregate of shares of our common stock, including shares that may be acquired upon the exercise of outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days of , 2003. In addition, two of our directors, Messrs. Hirsch and Eller, are executive officers and directors of Centex and one of our directors, Mr. Quinn, is a former executive officer and current director of Centex. As of , 2003, of our directors and executive officers beneficially owned shares of our common stock of Centex.

The merger agreement provides that our board of directors following the reclassification will consist of seven members. Messrs. Barnett, Clarke, Hirsch, Nicolais and Quinn will each remain as directors following the completion of the reclassification. Mr. Eller will cease to serve as a director prior to the completion of the reclassification. Messrs. and will be appointed to our board of directors immediately following the reclassification. Mr. will become the common stock director upon completion of the reclassification, and our remaining directors will become Class B directors upon completion of the reclassification. The composition of our board otherwise will not be affected by the reclassification or the distribution. See Board of Directors and Management of CXP.

Relationship Between Centex and CXP after the Distribution

Administrative Services Agreement. At or prior to the completion of the distribution, we and Centex Service Company, a subsidiary of Centex, will enter into an amended and restated administrative services agreement, which will amend and restate a similar agreement that we entered into with Centex in 1994. Under the terms of the administrative services agreement, Centex Service Company will provide us with employee benefit administration, public/investor relations and certain other services. The administrative

41

Table of Contents

services agreement will have a term of two years, but will provide that the services to be provided by Centex Service Company will be phased out over the two-year term. Upon the expiration of the term of the administrative services agreement, we will be required to provide such services on our own or obtain such services from third parties. We will pay to Centex Service Company a fee of per month, subject to annual adjustment, for such services. In addition, we will reimburse Centex Service Company for its out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the performance of such services. The administrative services agreement also provides that Centex Service Company and we will maintain, unless cancelled by Centex Service Company upon 90 days prior notice, certain joint insurance coverage, including general liability, primary and excess umbrella, automobile liability, workers compensation, term life and medical insurance. The cost of such insurance will be allocated among the parties on varying bases which we believe are reasonable.

Intellectual Property Agreement. At or prior to the completion of the distribution, we and Centex will enter into a intellectual property agreement. Under the terms of the intellectual property agreement, Centex will grant to us and our wholly-owned subsidiaries an exclusive, perpetual and royalty-free license to use all trademarks held by Centex which relate primarily or exclusively to our business. In addition, Centex will consent to our use of the tradename Centex for a period of six months in connection with our business. We must, however, as soon as practicable, and in any event within six months after the date of the distribution, remove any and all exterior and interior signs and identifiers which refer or pertain to Centex at our expense. After such six-month period, we cannot use or display the name Centex or any variations thereof, or other trademarks, tradenames, logos or identifiers using the name Centex or otherwise owned by or licensed to Centex which have not been assigned or licensed to us without the prior written consent of Centex.

Sublease Agreement. At or prior to the completion of the distribution, we and Centex Service Company will enter into a sublease agreement which will provide that, for a term of one year, Centex Service Company will lease to us the same office space currently occupied by us at the same lease rate we are currently paying, which is approximately \$21,000 per month. CXP will have the right to terminate the sublease agreement at any time upon 30 days prior written notice to Centex Service Company.

New York Stock Exchange Approvals

We are in the process of obtaining the necessary approval from the New York Stock Exchange in order to list the shares of Class B common stock. Our common stock is currently listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CXP. Following the reclassification and distribution, our Class A common stock will continue to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol CXP and our Class B common stock is expected to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol .

Federal Securities Law Consequences

All shares of Class A and Class B common stock received by holders of Centex common stock following the reclassification and distribution will be freely transferable, except that shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock received by persons who are deemed to be affiliates of CXP may be resold by them only in transactions permitted by the resale provision of Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise in compliance with, or pursuant to an exemption from, the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933.

No Appraisal Rights

Holders of our common stock are not entitled to appraisal rights under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware in connection with the reclassification or any of the other transactions discussed in this proxy statement.

42

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT

AND DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENT

CXP and Centex have entered into a merger agreement and a distribution agreement which will govern the terms of the reclassification, the cash dividend and the distribution.

The Merger Agreement

The following is a summary of the material terms of the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A. This summary does not contain all of the terms of the merger agreement. All stockholders are urged to read carefully the merger agreement in its entirety.

Reclassification and Merger

The reclassification will be implemented by a merger of Merger Sub into CXP. The merger agreement provides for the amendment of our certificate of incorporation to provide for the Class B common stock, as well as other proposals to implement the governance proposals and the authorized capital increase proposal, if approved.

If the merger agreement is adopted by a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, and by a majority of those stockholders present in person or by proxy and voting on the reclassification proposal, other than Centex, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to create the Class B common stock. None of the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal or stockholders rights plan proposal will be implemented unless the reclassification and distribution are completed.

Centex has informed us that it will vote the shares of our common stock owned by it in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement, each of the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal.

Merger and Exchange of Shares

The merger agreement provides that before the reclassification, Centex will contribute 9,220,000 shares of our common stock held by it to Merger Sub and retain the remaining 2,742,304 shares of our common stock owned by it. At the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub will be merged into CXP and the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease and CXP will be the surviving corporation. All of the shares of Merger Sub common stock outstanding immediately before the effective time of the merger will be converted into 9,220,000 shares of our Class B common stock, each of the shares of our common stock held by Merger Sub will automatically be canceled and retired, and each other share of our common stock will remain issued and outstanding. As a result of and following the reclassification, Centex will own 9,220,000 shares of Class B common stock and 2,742,304 shares of our common stock. Each other stockholder of CXP will own the same number of shares of our common stock as it owned before the reclassification.

Conditions to the Merger

Conditions to Both Parties Obligations. None of CXP, Centex nor Merger Sub are obligated to complete the reclassification unless the following conditions are satisfied or waived, except that none of the parties may waive the required stockholder approvals with respect to the merger agreement:

the merger agreement shall have been approved by the holders of (i) a majority of the shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote thereon and (ii) a majority of the shares of our common stock, other than shares held directly or indirectly by Centex or Merger Sub, that are present in person or by proxy at the special meeting and voting on the reclassification proposal;

43

Table of Contents

all actions or filings with any governmental entity required to permit the completion of the reclassification shall be completed, except those that would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on any party s ability to complete the transactions; and

the distribution agreement shall be in full force and effect.

These conditions are for the benefit of us or Centex, as applicable, and do not give rise to or create any duty on the part of us or Centex, as applicable, to waive or not waive any of these conditions.

Conditions to Our Obligations. In addition, we are not obligated to complete the reclassification unless the following additional conditions are satisfied or waived by us:

the board of directors of Centex shall have declared the distribution subject to the prior completion of the reclassification; and

all conditions to our obligation to pay the cash dividend shall be satisfied or waived by us.

These conditions are for the sole benefit of us and do not give rise to or create any duty on our part to waive or not to waive any of these conditions.

Conditions to Centex s Obligations. In addition, Centex and Merger Sub are not obligated to consummate the reclassification unless the following additional conditions are satisfied or waived by them:

all the conditions to the declaration of the distribution and the distribution shall be satisfied, other than the prior completion of the reclassification; and

we shall have declared and paid the cash dividend to our stockholders (including Centex) in the amount of \$6.00 per share.

These conditions are for the sole benefit of Centex and Merger Sub and do not give rise to or create any duty on the part of Centex or Merger Sub to waive or not waive any of these conditions.

Termination

The merger agreement may be terminated and the reclassification may be abandoned at any time before the effective time of the merger, notwithstanding any approval of the merger agreement by the stockholders of CXP:

by mutual written consent of CXP and Centex;

by either CXP or Centex, if the completion of the merger or the distribution is illegal or otherwise prohibited or if any judgment, injunction, order or decree enjoining CXP or Merger Sub from completing the merger or Centex from completing the distribution is entered and becomes final and nonappealable;

by either CXP or Centex, if the required CXP stockholder approval for the reclassification proposal is not obtained; or

by either CXP or Centex, if the merger is not completed by January 30, 2004. If the merger agreement has been adopted by the vote set forth under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Required Vote by January 30, 2004, but the merger has not been completed by such date, then the date will be extended for a period that ends 30 days (or longer if agreed by Centex and CXP) after the stockholders meeting at which the merger agreement was adopted by the requisite vote.

The merger agreement will terminate automatically in the event the distribution agreement is terminated according to its terms.

44

Table of Contents

Other Agreements

Under the merger agreement, each of CXP and Centex has agreed to exercise its reasonable best efforts to promptly obtain any necessary consents and approvals and to take actions as may be necessary or desirable to obtain these consents and approvals.

The Distribution Agreement

We have entered into the distribution agreement with Centex. The following is a summary of the material terms of the distribution agreement, a copy of which is attached as Appendix B. This summary does not contain all of the terms of the distribution agreement. All stockholders are urged to read carefully the distribution agreement in its entirety.

The Distribution

Centex will appoint a distribution agent to distribute to the holders of record of Centex common stock in proportion to the number of Centex shares they hold on the record date for the distribution, all shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock held by Centex on the date of the distribution. The distribution agent will aggregate all fractional shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock that would otherwise be distributed and sell them in an orderly manner after the date of the distribution in the open market and, after completion of the sales, distribute the pro-rata portion of the net proceeds from these sales to each stockholder of Centex who would otherwise have received a fractional share.

Conditions to the Distribution and the Declaration of the Cash Dividend

Conditions to the Distribution. The obligations of Centex to declare the distribution and to cause the distribution to occur are subject to the satisfaction or waiver, as determined by Centex in its sole discretion, of the conditions set forth below:

a private letter ruling from the IRS shall be obtained which provides that the reclassification and distribution will be a tax-free transaction, which ruling is satisfactory to Centex in its sole discretion, and that Centex and CXP shall have complied with all provisions in the ruling applicable prior to the declaration of the distribution and the distribution;

any material governmental approvals and consents required in connection with the completion of the transactions shall have been received and shall be in full force;

no order, injunction or decree or other prohibition preventing the distribution or the completion of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the distribution agreement shall be in effect and no other event outside the control of Centex that prevents the legal completion of the distribution or the other transactions shall have occurred;

the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the distribution agreement shall be in compliance with applicable federal and state securities and other applicable laws;

all consents required in connection with the completion of the transactions shall have been obtained;

in the case of the declaration of the distribution, all conditions to the payment of the cash dividend shall be satisfied or waived by us, the cash dividend shall have been declared and no circumstances shall exist that would reasonably be expected to prevent the payment of the cash dividend prior to the distribution and, in the case of making the distribution, the cash dividend shall have been paid to our stockholders (including Centex);

in the case of the declaration of the distribution, all conditions to Centex s obligation to complete the reclassification, other than the satisfaction of the obligations to complete the distribution and the prior payment of the cash dividend, shall be satisfied or waived by Centex, and no

45

Table of Contents

circumstances shall exist that would reasonably be expected to prevent the completion of the reclassification immediately prior to the distribution and, in the case of making the distribution, the reclassification shall be completed;

a registration statement on Form 8-A registering the Class B common stock under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 shall be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

the Class B common stock shall be approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange, subject to official notice of issuance;

our representations and warranties set forth in the distribution agreement and the merger agreement shall be true and correct as of the date of the distribution; and

we shall have performed or complied in all material respects with all agreements and covenants required to be performed by us under the distribution agreement and the merger agreement at or prior to the date of the distribution.

These conditions are for the sole benefit of Centex and do not give rise to or create any duty on the part of Centex to waive or not waive any of these conditions.

Conditions to the Cash Dividend. Our obligations to declare the cash dividend and to cause the cash dividend to be paid are subject to the satisfaction or waiver, as determined by us in our sole discretion, of the conditions set forth below:

any material governmental approvals and consents needed to complete the transactions shall have been received and shall be in full force;

no order, injunction or decree or other prohibition preventing the declaration or payment of the cash dividend or the completion of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the distribution agreement shall be in effect and no other event outside of our control that prevents the declaration or lawful payment of the cash dividend or completion of the other transaction shall have occurred;

the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the distribution agreement shall be in compliance with applicable federal and state securities and other applicable laws;

all consents required in connection with the completion of the transactions shall have been obtained;

in the case of the declaration of the cash dividend, all conditions to the distribution (other than the declaration and payment of the cash dividend) shall have been satisfied or waived by Centex, and, in the case of the declaration and the payment of the cash dividend, the distribution shall have been declared by the board of directors of Centex substantially simultaneously with the declaration of the cash dividend and no circumstances shall exist that would reasonably be expected to prevent the prompt completion of the distribution following payment of the cash dividend;

in the case of the declaration of the cash dividend, all conditions to our obligation to complete the reclassification shall be satisfied or waived by us and, in the case of the payment of the cash dividend, no circumstances shall exist that would reasonably be expected to prevent the prompt completion of the reclassification following payment of the cash dividend;

a registration statement on Form 8-A registering the Class B common stock under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 shall be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

the Class B common stock shall be approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange, subject to official notice of issuance;

Centex s representations and warranties set forth in the distribution agreement and the merger agreement shall be true and correct as of the date of the declaration of the cash dividend and the date of payment of the cash dividend;

46

Table of Contents

Centex shall have performed or complied, in all material respects, with all agreements and covenants required to be performed by it under the distribution agreement and the merger agreement at or prior to the date of the distribution;

we shall have entered into loan agreements for financing which will permit the payment of the cash dividend and would reasonably allow us sufficient cash to meet our business needs; and

the payment of the cash dividend shall be permitted by the applicable provisions of Delaware law and our board of directors shall have obtained any reasonable and customary assurances that it requires to authorize the cash dividend.

These conditions are for the sole benefit of us and do not give rise to or create any duty on the part of us to waive or not waive any of these conditions.

Other. Each of CXP and Centex has agreed that the distribution and the declaration of the cash dividend and the distribution will occur as soon as reasonably practicable following the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions to the distribution. The parties have agreed to cause their respective boards of directors (or, in the case of Centex, a duly authorized committee thereof) to meet on the date of the declaration of the distribution and the cash dividend to take any corporate action at the meeting required to effect the transactions contemplated by the distribution agreement and the merger agreement. Following these meetings, CXP and Centex will complete the reclassification in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, including the filing of the certificate of merger relating to the reclassification with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware.

Other Agreements

Indemnification Against Tax and Other Liabilities. The distribution agreement provides that Centex and CXP will comply with and not take any action during the relevant time period that is inconsistent with the representations made to the IRS in connection with the request for the IRS ruling described under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Tax Matters Reclassification and Distribution. To this end, until the second anniversary of the distribution, we have agreed to maintain our status as a company engaged in the active conduct of a trade or business, as defined in Section 355(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, and not to facilitate certain acquisitions of our stock or assets.

In addition, under Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, the distribution will be taxable to Centex if the distribution is part of a plan or series of related transactions pursuant to which one or more persons acquire directly or indirectly stock representing a 50% or greater interest, based on either vote or value, in Centex or CXP. Acquisitions that occur during the period beginning two years before the distribution and ending two years after the distribution are subject to a rebuttable presumption that they are part of such a plan. If Centex becomes subject to tax under Section 355(e), its tax liability will be based upon the difference between the fair market value of the shares of Class B common stock and the shares of retained common stock at the time of the distribution and its adjusted basis in such stock at that time and this tax liability will be a significant amount.

If we take any action or fail to take any required action, and that failure to comply, action or omission contributes to a determination that the distribution fails to qualify under Section 355(a) of the Internal Revenue Code or that the CXP shares fail to qualify as qualified property for purposes of Section 355(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code by reason of Section 355(e) of the Internal Revenue Code, we have agreed to indemnify Centex and the other members of the Centex group for:

all federal, state and local taxes, including any interest, penalties or additions to tax; and

any established liability of any Centex stockholders resulting from the distribution evidenced by (i) an amended tax return of the Centex stockholder reflecting the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount or (ii) a deficiency notice received by the Centex stockholder from the IRS setting forth the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount.

47

Table of Contents

In addition, we have agreed to indemnify Centex and the other members of the Centex group for:

all actual tax liability of Centex or any other members of the Centex group; and

for any established tax liabilities of any stockholder of Centex evidenced by (i) an amended tax return of the Centex stockholder reflecting the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount or (ii) a deficiency notice received by the Centex stockholder from the IRS setting forth the amount of the tax liability, together with proof of payment of the amount,

arising from either (1) any inaccuracy in, or failure by us to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by us to the IRS (or made by Centex to the IRS based upon information provided by us) in connection with the IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from our gross negligence or (2) our taking or failure to take any action that contributes to the distribution not being treated as a tax-free transaction, subject to certain exceptions.

We will not be obligated to indemnify Centex or any other members of the Centex group for any tax liability that results solely from an inaccuracy in or failure by Centex to comply with any representation or undertaking by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request (except to the extent such inaccuracy or failure is in respect of a representation based in whole or in part upon inaccurate information provided by us, if such inaccuracy was intentional or resulted from our gross negligence). Furthermore, if any tax liability arises as a result of both:

either (1) our taking or failing to take any action that contributes to the distribution not being treated as a tax-free transaction or (2) an inaccuracy in or failure by us to comply with any representation or undertaking made by us to the IRS (or made by Centex to the IRS based upon information provided by us) in connection with the IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from our gross negligence; and

an inaccuracy in or failure by Centex to comply with any representation or undertaking by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request.

and each failure is an independent cause of the liability, then we and Centex will allocate the tax liability among ourselves in a proportion that reflects the relative fault of each party.

Centex has agreed to indemnify CXP and other members of the CXP group for all liabilities arising from any inaccuracy in, or failure by Centex to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request. Centex will not be obligated to indemnify CXP or any member of the CXP group if such liability results solely from an inaccuracy in, or failure by CXP to comply with, any representation or undertaking made by CXP to the IRS or based upon information provided by CXP to Centex and made by Centex to the IRS in connection with the IRS ruling request if such inaccuracy or failure was intentional or resulted from gross negligence on the part of CXP, or a failure on the part of CXP to comply with its obligations described above.

Any indemnity payment made by us pursuant to the provisions described above will be made on an after-tax basis, calculated according to the actual tax position of the person receiving the payment.

As a result of the representations in the IRS ruling request and the covenants in the distribution agreement, the acquisition of control of CXP prior to the second anniversary of the distribution date may be more difficult or less likely to occur because of the potential indemnification liability associated with a breach of these representations or covenants. In addition, our ability to undertake acquisitions and other transactions may be substantially restricted for the two-year period following the distribution.

The distribution agreement also provides for assumptions of liabilities and cross-indemnities designed to allocate financial responsibility for former, current, or future liabilities arising out of or in connection with the businesses of each respective party.

No Solicitation. CXP and Centex have agreed not to solicit or negotiate in connection with any proposal for the acquisition by any third party of any shares of capital stock of CXP or the acquisition of,

48

Table of Contents

or business combination with, CXP during the pendency of the proposed transactions. Centex will be relieved of this obligation if:

our board of directors approves an alternative transaction during the pendency of the transactions, does not recommend or withdraws its recommendation in favor of the transactions or modifies its recommendation in a manner adverse to Centex;

we breach or fail to comply with any of our material obligations under the distribution agreement or merger agreement and fail to cure the breach or failure within 30 days following notice; or

Centex receives a written proposal for an alternative transaction and the board of directors of Centex in good faith determines that it would be inconsistent with the Centex board s fiduciary duties to Centex stockholders if the Centex board did not commence discussions or negotiations with the person making the proposal, but only with respect to that specific proposal.

We will be released from this obligation if we receive a written proposal for an alternative transaction and our board of directors in good faith determines that it would be inconsistent with our fiduciary duties to our stockholders if we did not commence discussions or negotiations with the person making the proposal. This release will only be with respect to that specific proposal.

Expenses. The distribution agreement also provides that all expenses in connection with the reclassification and distribution shall be paid by the party incurring such costs and expenses, provided that if the distribution agreement is terminated for any reason without the distribution having been completed, then Centex will pay all out-of-pocket expenses incurred by us in connection with the distribution agreement and merger agreement.

Termination

The distribution agreement may be terminated:

by us if Centex is in material breach of any of its obligations or representations and warranties under the distribution agreement, and the breach would reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect on us after giving effect to the distribution, and Centex has not substantially cured the breach within 30 days following notice; or

by Centex in its sole discretion.

The distribution agreement will terminate automatically in the event the merger agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms. After payment of the cash dividend, the distribution agreement may not be terminated except pursuant to the written agreement of the parties which, in our case, has been approved by a majority of its directors that are not affiliated with Centex.

Except in circumstances where a party is required to pay the fees and expenses of the other party as set forth above, and except for liability for any breach by either party of the distribution agreement or merger agreement, no party will be liable to any other party or any other person as a result of termination of the distribution agreement.

49

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX AND SEVEN:

GOVERNANCE PROPOSALS, AUTHORIZED CAPITAL INCREASE PROPOSAL AND

STOCKHOLDERS RIGHTS PLAN PROPOSAL

The governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and stockholders—rights plan proposal will not be implemented if the reclassification proposal is not approved. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of the proposed amendments to our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws, which are attached to this proxy statement as Appendices C and D. All stockholders are urged to read carefully the proposed certificate of incorporation and the proposed bylaws, each as proposed to be amended, and the proposed stockholders—rights plan in their entirety.

General

We believe the governance proposals, authorized capital increase proposal and the stockholders rights plan proposal are necessary to foster our long-term growth as an independent company following the reclassification and the distribution and to protect our stockholders from unsolicited, potentially coercive or abusive takeover tactics and efforts to acquire control of CXP at a price or on terms that are not in the best interests of all of our stockholders. The authorized capital increase proposal includes changes to our certificate of incorporation intended, among other things, to provide CXP with financing flexibility.

Our board of directors has also approved amendments to our bylaws that are more fully described under Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions Description of Bylaws Amendments and Description of Bylaws Amendments. Under the terms of our existing certificate of incorporation, our board of directors has the power to amend our bylaws without stockholder approval. As a result, separate stockholder approval is not required to effect the bylaws amendments. However, the bylaws amendments relating to the governance proposals are subject to the approval of the reclassification proposal, and the governance proposals will become effective only upon the completion of the reclassification. In addition to containing the amendments to our bylaws discussed in Proposal One: Reclassification and Related Transactions Description of Bylaws Amendments, the bylaws amendments contain changes necessary to conform our bylaws to our certificate of incorporation if the governance proposals are approved.

Purpose and Effects of the Governance Proposals

The proposed reclassification and distribution may make it easier for a single person or group of related persons to gain control over CXP. Because Centex currently holds approximately 65% of our common stock, it is unlikely at the present time that any person could gain control of CXP without acquiring from Centex at least a majority of the shares of our common stock held by it. However, following the reclassification and distribution, holders of Class B common stock will have the right to elect at least 85% of our board of directors. Accordingly, a person or group of related persons could attempt to gain control of CXP by acquiring a sufficient number of shares of Class B common stock to elect a majority of the members of our board of directors. For these reasons, the proposed reclassification and distribution could render CXP more susceptible to unsolicited takeover bids from third parties, including offers that our board of directors may regard as being below our intrinsic value or as not being in the best interests of our stockholders. However, the ability of a person to gain control of our board of directors by acquiring shares of Class B common stock would be hindered by a proposed revision to our certificate of incorporation to the effect that a holder of 15% or more of the outstanding shares of Class B common stock can only vote for the election or removal of directors that percentage of the shares of Class B common stock which is equal to the lesser of its percentage ownership of Class B common stock or its percentage ownership of Class A common stock.

In order to mitigate the concerns described above, the governance proposals and authorized capital increase proposal, together with the bylaws amendments and the stockholders—rights plan, are intended to make it more difficult for a potential acquiror of CXP to take advantage of our new capital structure to acquire us by means of a transaction which is not negotiated with our board of directors. The governance

50

Table of Contents

proposals and authorized capital increase proposal, the bylaws amendments and the stockholders—rights plan would reduce our vulnerability to an unsolicited takeover proposal. These provisions are designed to enable us to develop our business in a manner which will foster our long-term growth, reducing, to the extent practicable, the threat of a takeover not deemed by our board of directors to be in the best interests of CXP and its stockholders and the potential disruption entailed by a threat of such a takeover. Absent these provisions, eliminating Centex as an approximately 65% stockholder as a result of the distribution would increase our vulnerability to an unsolicited takeover proposal. In addition, as discussed above under—Proposal One: The Reclassification and Related Transactions—Tax Matters—Reclassification and Distribution, we have agreed to indemnify Centex for tax liabilities under certain circumstances if the distribution becomes subject to tax. The likelihood of the distribution losing its tax-free status and the likelihood of CXP being subject to liability under the tax indemnification provisions of the distribution agreement increase if CXP is acquired. By making a takeover of CXP without approval of our board of directors more difficult, the governance proposals, the authorized capital increase proposal, the stockholders—rights plan proposal and the bylaws amendments will also protect CXP and our stockholders from potential liabilities resulting from the loss of the tax-free status of the distribution.

Our board of directors believes that when companies do not have measures in place to address unsolicited takeover bids, change in control transactions occur at prices below the best price that might otherwise be attainable. Many companies have put provisions in place which effectively require potential acquirors to negotiate with the companies boards of directors. Our board of directors desires to provide CXP with the flexibility to grow its business without being subject to unsolicited takeover proposals either at inadequate prices or by means of unfair takeover tactics. Our board of directors is aware of, and committed to, its fiduciary obligations to CXP and its stockholders in respect of these measures.

State Anti-Takeover Statutes. Under the business combination statute of the Delaware General Corporation Law, a corporation is generally restricted from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a three-year period following the time the stockholder became an interested stockholder. An interested stockholder is defined as a stockholder who, together with its affiliates or associates, owns, or who is an affiliate or associate of the corporation and within the prior three-year period did own, 15% or more of the corporation s voting stock. This restriction applies unless:

prior to the time the stockholder became an interested stockholder, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation, excluding specified shares, upon completion of the transaction which resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder; or

at or subsequent to the time the stockholder became an interested stockholder, the business combination was approved by the board of directors of the corporation and authorized by the affirmative vote, at an annual or special meeting, and not by written consent, of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding voting shares of the corporation, excluding shares held by that interested stockholder.

A business combination generally includes:

mergers, consolidations and sales or other dispositions of 10% or more of the assets of a corporation to or with an interested stockholder;

transactions resulting in the issuance or transfer to an interested stockholder of any capital stock of the corporation or its subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions;

transactions having the effect of increasing the proportionate share of the interested stockholder in the capital stock of the corporation or its subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions; and

other transactions resulting in a disproportionate financial benefit to an interested stockholder.

51

Table of Contents

The provisions of the Delaware business combination statute do not apply to a corporation if, subject to certain requirements, the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of the corporation contain a provision expressly electing not to be governed by the provisions of the statute or the corporation does not have voting stock listed on a national securities exchange, authorized for quotation on the Nasdaq Stock Market or held of record by more than 2,000 stockholders.

We have not adopted any provision in our certificate of incorporation or bylaws electing not to be governed by the Delaware business combination statute. As a result, the statute is applicable to business combinations involving CXP.

The governance proposals and the authorized capital increase proposal, together with the bylaws amendments and the stockholders rights plan, may reduce the ability of our stockholders to influence the governance of CXP.

Purpose and Effects of the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal

The authorized capital increase proposal would increase our authorized capital. See The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal Proposal 6: Authorized Capital Increase Proposal. Although CXP is not proposing the increase in the authorized shares of our common stock or preferred stock with the sole intention of using the additional shares for anti-takeover purposes, the increase in the number of authorized shares of our Class A common stock, Class B common stock and preferred stock and any subsequent issuance of such shares also could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control without further action by the stockholders. As of the date of this proxy statement, we are unaware of any pending or threatened efforts to acquire control of CXP. Shares of our authorized and unissued common stock (within the limits imposed by applicable law and New York Stock Exchange rules) or our preferred stock could be issued in one or more transactions that would make a change in control more difficult, and therefore less likely.

If the authorized capital increase proposal is adopted, our board of directors would be able to issue such additional shares without further stockholder approval, except as may be required by applicable law or exchange rules. In addition, our stockholders have no statutory preemptive rights with respect to future issuances of our common stock or preferred stock. Our board of directors has no present agreement or arrangement, plan or understanding with respect to the issuance of any such additional shares, other than under its existing employee benefits plans. The increase in our authorized capital will not have any immediate effect on the rights of our existing stockholders. To the extent that the additional authorized shares are issued in the future, however, they will decrease our then-existing stockholders percentage equity ownership and, depending on the price at which they are issued, could be dilutive to our then-existing stockholders.

The Governance Proposals and the Authorized Capital Increase Proposal

In deciding to approve the governance proposals, we determined that it would be beneficial to have the protections of the governance proposals in place following the reclassification and the distribution. In deciding to approve the authorized capital increase proposal, our board of directors determined that it would be beneficial to provide CXP with financing flexibility following the distribution. The following is a description of the material terms of the governance proposals and the authorized capital increase proposal.

Proposal Two: Staggered Board Proposal. If this proposal is approved, our certificate of incorporation will be amended to divide our board of directors into three classes based on their terms of office: Class I, Class II and Class III. Upon the approval of our stockholders, the Class I directors shall include , the Class II directors shall include and the Class III directors shall include . Such classes shall be as nearly equal in number of directors as possible. Each director shall serve for a term ending on the third annual meeting of stockholders following the annual meeting at which that director was elected. However, the directors first designated as Class I directors shall serve for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders following the date of this special meeting of stockholders, the directors first designated as Class II directors shall serve for a term expiring at the

52

Table of Contents

second annual meeting of stockholders following the date of this special meeting of stockholders, and the directors first designated as Class III directors shall serve for a term expiring at the third annual meeting of stockholders following the date of this special meeting of stockholders.

The vote of 66 2/3% of all CXP capital stock entitled to vote, voting together as a single class, will be required to alter, amend, rescind or repeal this provision of the certificate of incorporation or to adopt any provision inconsistent with this provision if the supermajority voting proposal described below is adopted.

Proposal Three: Written Consent Proposal. Unless otherwise provided in a company s certificate of incorporation, Delaware law permits any action required or permitted to be taken by stockholders at a meeting to be taken without notice, without a meeting and without a stockholder vote if a written consent setting forth the action to be taken is signed by the holders of outstanding shares of stock having the requisite number of votes that would be necessary to authorize the action at a meeting of stockholders at which all shares entitled to vote were present and voted. Our certificate of incorporation does not currently provide othe