

SANDERSON FARMS INC

Form 10-Q

May 24, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission file number 1-14977
Sanderson Farms, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Mississippi

64-0615843

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

127 Flynt Road, Laurel, Mississippi

39443

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(601) 649-4030

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

**APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS
DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock, \$1 Par Value Per Share: 22,139,240 shares outstanding as of April 30, 2011.

INDEX
SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of operations Three and six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows Six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements April 30, 2011</u>	6
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	10
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	11
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	20
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	21
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	22
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	22
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	23
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	23
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	24
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	26
<u>EX-15</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	April 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	October 31, 2010 (Note 1)
	(In thousands)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,171	\$ 73,419
Accounts receivable, net	77,376	92,467
Inventories	213,844	153,289
Refundable income taxes	34,591	0
Deferred income taxes	5,373	1,760
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	24,282	24,033
Total current assets	356,637	344,968
Property, plant and equipment	919,574	883,638
Less accumulated depreciation	(411,913)	(389,911)
	507,661	493,727
Other assets	4,970	2,925
Total assets	\$ 869,268	\$ 841,620
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 84,985	\$ 105,754
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,048	1,048
Total current liabilities	86,033	106,802
Long-term debt, less current maturities	154,441	62,075
Claims payable	2,300	2,100
Deferred income taxes	35,850	24,930
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred Stock:		
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$100 par value: authorized 500,000 shares, none issued		
Par value to be determined by the Board of Directors: authorized 4,500,000 shares; none issued		
Common Stock, \$1 par value: authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding shares 22,139,240 and 22,077,559 at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively	22,139	22,078
Paid-in capital	130,011	127,580
Retained earnings	438,494	496,055

Edgar Filing: SANDERSON FARMS INC - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity	590,644	645,713
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 869,268	\$ 841,620

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended April 30,		Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Net sales	\$ 479,342	\$ 487,101	\$ 907,074	\$ 907,224
Cost and expenses:				
Cost of sales	479,366	412,109	938,305	790,153
Live inventory adjustment	6,000	0	6,000	0
Selling, general and administrative	16,813	19,277	37,224	35,637
	502,179	431,386	981,529	825,790
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(22,837)	55,715	(74,455)	81,434
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	9	11	30	16
Interest expense	(1,491)	(1,161)	(1,947)	(2,293)
Other	468	2	497	7
	(1,014)	(1,148)	(1,420)	(2,270)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(23,851)	54,567	(75,875)	79,164
Income tax expense (benefit)	(7,575)	19,480	(26,043)	28,260
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (16,276)	\$ 35,087	\$ (49,832)	\$ 50,904
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.74)	\$ 1.62	\$ (2.25)	\$ 2.39
Diluted	\$ (0.74)	\$ 1.62	\$ (2.25)	\$ 2.39
Dividends per share	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.30

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended April 30,	
	2011	2010
	(In thousands)	
Operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ (49,832)	\$ 50,904
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	24,158	21,850
Non-cash stock compensation	2,810	4,054
Deferred income taxes	7,307	(70)
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	15,090	(7,305)
Refundable income taxes	(34,591)	1,567
Inventories	(60,555)	(4,368)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(2,667)	(552)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(24,443)	7,202
Total adjustments	(72,891)	22,378
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(122,723)	73,282
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(37,764)	(55,668)
Net proceeds from sale of property and equipment	47	31
Net cash used in investing activities	(37,717)	(55,637)
Financing activities		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(335)	(347)
Borrowings from revolving line of credit	92,701	10,000
Payments on revolving line of credit	0	(50,000)
Net proceeds from secondary offering of common stock	0	115,541
Proceeds from issuance of restricted stock under stock compensation plans	553	658
Payments from issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans	(969)	(1,519)
Tax benefit on exercised stock options and vesting of restricted stock grants	97	180
Dividends paid	(3,855)	(3,150)
Net cash provided by financing activities	88,192	71,363
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(72,248)	89,008
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	73,419	8,194
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,171	\$ 97,202

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activity

Dividends payable

\$ 3,874

\$ 3,497

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

SANDERSON FARMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
 April 30, 2011

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending October 31, 2011.

The consolidated balance sheet at October 31, 2010 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, reference is made to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2010.

NOTE 2 INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	April 30, 2011	October 31, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Live poultry-broilers and breeders	\$ 132,281	\$ 96,962
Feed, eggs and other	31,132	27,732
Processed poultry	33,434	14,255
Processed food	9,756	8,611
Packaging materials	7,241	5,729
	\$ 213,844	\$ 153,289

The Company recorded an adjustment to value its live broilers at April 30, 2011 at market values rather than at cost. The value of live chickens, the cost for which are accumulated during the life of a flock as each flock is fed and cared for, is recorded at the lower of cost or market. Because market prices for corn and soybean meal have increased substantially since October 31, 2010, the projected cost to complete, process and sell broilers included in live inventory at April 30, 2011 is expected to exceed the market value for the finished product. Therefore, the Company's results for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 include a charge of \$6.0 million before income taxes to reduce the value of live inventories from cost to market. The Company's live broiler inventory at October 31, 2010 was recorded at cost because the projected cost at that time to complete, process and sell broilers in inventory at October 31, 2010 was not expected to exceed the market value of the finished product. The Company recorded the inventory of breeders at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2011 at cost, less accumulated amortization.

The Company's inventory of live poultry was higher at April 30, 2011 as compared to October 31, 2010 due to significantly higher grain prices and additional units of broilers in inventory at April 30, 2011 as compared to October 31, 2010. The increase in the number of live broilers in inventory at April 30, 2011 resulted from fewer units of live broilers in inventory at October 31, 2010 in anticipation of the holiday season when demand for chicken is historically at its lowest point in the year, as well as having additional units of live poultry in inventory at April 30, 2011 at the new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina.

The increase in inventory of processed poultry resulted primarily from additional units of export product in inventory at April 30, 2011 as compared to October 31, 2010, which resulted from the timing of export sales and additional units of processed poultry at the Company's new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina.

The increase in packaging materials resulted primarily from additional units in inventory at April 30, 2011 as compared to October 31, 2010 at the Company's new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina. For the six months ending April 30, 2011 cost of sales include an adjustment of \$22.3 million to record live broiler inventory at market value as of January 31, 2011. The adjustment was necessary as of January 31, 2011 because the projected cost at that time to complete, process and sell the broilers was not expected to exceed the market value of finished product.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

Refer to Note 9 of the Company's October 31, 2010 audited financial statements for further information on our employee benefit plans and stock based compensation plans. Total stock based compensation expense during the six months ended April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010 was \$2,810,000 and \$4,054,000, respectively, and is detailed below. During the six months ended April 30, 2011, participants in the Company's Management Share Purchase Plan purchased a total of 13,259 shares of restricted stock at an average price of \$41.69 per share and the Company issued 3,267 matching restricted shares. During the six months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 the Company recorded compensation cost, included in the total stock based compensation expense above, of \$99,000 and \$159,000, respectively, related to the Management Share Purchase Plan.

On November 1, 2010, the Company entered into performance share agreements that grant certain officers and key employees the right to receive a target number of 86,725 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the Company's achievement of certain performance measures. The Company also has performance share agreements in place with certain officers and key employees that were entered into during fiscal 2009 and 2010. The aggregate target number of shares specified in performance share agreements outstanding as of April 30, 2011 totaled 207,325. The Company recorded compensation cost, included in the total stock based compensation expense above, of \$724,000 and \$1,415,000 during the six months ended April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010, respectively, related to the performance share agreements entered into during fiscal 2009. No compensation cost has been recorded for the performance share agreements entered into in fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011, as achievement of the applicable performance based criteria is not deemed probable.

On February 17, 2011, the Company granted 86,725 shares of restricted stock to certain officers and key management employees. The restricted stock had a grant date fair value of \$43.70 per share and will vest on November 1, 2014. Also on February 17, 2011, the company granted 25,300 shares of restricted stock to certain outside directors. The restricted stock had a grant date fair value of \$43.70 per share and vest one, two or three years from the date of the grant. The Company has non-vested restricted stock grants outstanding that were granted during prior fiscal years with certain officers, key employees and outside directors. The aggregate number of shares outstanding at April 30, 2011 related to all unvested restricted stock grants totaled 569,780. During the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 the Company recorded compensation cost, included in the total stock based compensation expense above, of \$1,987,000 and \$2,480,000, respectively, related to restricted stock grants.

NOTE 4 EARNINGS PER SHARE

ASC 260, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. ASC 260 clarifies that share-based payment awards entitling holders to receive non-forfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and thus included in the calculation of basic earnings per share. These awards are included in the calculation of basic earnings per share under the two-class method, a change that reduces both basic and diluted earnings per share. The two-class method allocates earnings for the period between common shareholders and other security holders.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the calculation of earnings (loss) per share in accordance with the requirements of ASC 260 for the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010.

	For the three months ended			
	April 30, 2011		April 30, 2010	
	Two-class	Treasury	Two-class	Treasury
	method	stock	method	stock
	(In thousands, except share and per share data)			
Net income (loss)	\$ (16,276)	\$ (16,276)	\$ 35,087	\$ 35,087
Distributed and undistributed (earnings) losses to unvested restricted stock	0	0	(960)	0
Distributed and undistributed earnings (losses) to common shareholders Basic	\$ (16,276)	\$ (16,276)	\$ 34,127	\$ 35,087
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic	22,133	22,133	21,020	21,020
Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted	22,133	22,133	21,031	21,350
Earnings (loss) per common share Basic	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.74)	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.67
Earnings (loss) per common share Diluted	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.74)	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.64

	For the six months ended			
	April 30, 2011		April 30, 2010	
	Two-class	Treasury	Two-class	Treasury
	method	stock	method	stock
	(In thousands, except share and per share data)			
Net income (loss)	\$ (49,832)	\$ (49,832)	\$ 50,904	\$ 50,904
Distributed and undistributed (earnings) losses to unvested restricted stock	0	0	(1,428)	0
Distributed and undistributed earnings (losses) to common shareholders Basic	\$ (49,832)	\$ (49,832)	\$ 49,476	\$ 50,904
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic	22,115	22,115	20,690	20,690
Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted	22,115	22,115	20,701	21,047
Earnings (loss) per common share Basic	\$ (2.25)	\$ (2.25)	\$ 2.39	\$ 2.46
Earnings (loss) per common share Diluted	\$ (2.25)	\$ (2.25)	\$ 2.39	\$ 2.42

NOTE 5 NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2010, FASB issued ASU 2010-6, *Improving Disclosures About Fair Measurements*. ASU 2010-6 provides amendments to subtopic 820-10 that require separate disclosure of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the presentation of separate information regarding purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2010-6 provides amendments to subtopic 820-10 that clarify existing disclosures about the level of disaggregation and inputs and valuation techniques. ASU 2010-6 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-6 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 6 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts for cash and temporary cash investments approximate their fair values. Fair values for debt are based on quoted market prices or published forward interest rate curves. The fair value and carrying value of the

8

Table of Contents

Company's borrowings under its credit facilities, long-term debt and capital lease obligations were as follows:

	April 30, 2011		October 31, 2010	
	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Total Debt (in millions)	\$162	\$ 155	\$71	\$ 63

NOTE 7 OTHER MATTERS

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position. The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

NOTE 8 CREDIT AGREEMENT

On February 23, 2011, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$500.0 million from \$300.0 million, and to increase the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$60.0 million during fiscal year 2011 and \$55.0 million for fiscal years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. The new credit facility also permits the Company to spend up to \$115.0 million in capital expenditures on the construction of a second poultry complex in North Carolina, which expenditures are in addition to the annual limits. Under the new revolving credit facility the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the Company's fiscal year ending October 31, 2011 or October 31, 2012, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by 5% for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on February 23, 2016. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$92.7 million under the revolving credit facility and had \$9.9 million in outstanding letters of credit under the facility. As of May 20, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$117.7 million, leaving \$372.4 million available under the new revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sanderson Farms, Inc.

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of April 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended April 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Sanderson Farms, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein, and in our report dated December 13, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
New Orleans, Louisiana
May 24, 2011

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General

The following Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

This Quarterly Report, and other periodic reports filed by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and other written or oral statements made by it or on its behalf, may include forward-looking statements, which are based on a number of assumptions about future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from the views, beliefs and estimates expressed in such statements. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) Changes in the market price for the Company's finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets.
- (2) Changes in economic and business conditions, monetary and fiscal policies or the amount of growth, stagnation or recession in the global or U.S. economies, either of which may affect the value of inventories, the collectability of accounts receivable or the financial integrity of customers, and the ability of the end user or consumer to afford protein.
- (3) Changes in the political or economic climate, trade policies, laws and regulations or the domestic poultry industry of countries to which the Company or other companies in the poultry industry ship product, and other changes that might limit the Company's or the industry's access to foreign markets.
- (4) Changes in laws, regulations, and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations applicable to the Company and the poultry industry and changes in laws, regulations and other activities in government agencies and similar organizations related to food safety.
- (5) Various inventory risks due to changes in market conditions.
- (6) Changes in and effects of competition, which is significant in all markets in which the Company competes, and the effectiveness of marketing and advertising programs. The Company competes with regional and national firms, some of which have greater financial and marketing resources than the Company.
- (7) Changes in accounting policies and practices adopted voluntarily by the Company or required to be adopted by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.
- (8) Disease outbreaks affecting the production performance and/or marketability of the Company's poultry products, or the contamination of its products.
- (9) Changes in the availability and cost of labor and growers.
- (10) The loss of any of the Company's major customers.
- (11) Inclement weather that could hurt Company flocks or otherwise adversely affect its operations, or changes in global weather patterns that could impact the supply of feed grains.
- (12) Failure to respond to changing consumer preferences.
- (13) Failure to successfully and efficiently start up and run a new plant or integrate any business the Company might acquire.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Sanderson Farms. Each such statement speaks only as of the day it was made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or to revise any forward-looking statements. The factors described above cannot be controlled by the Company. When used in this report, the words "believes", "estimates", "plans", "expects", "should",

Table of Contents

outlook, and anticipates and similar expressions as they relate to the Company or its management are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements about management's beliefs about future demand for fresh chicken and future chicken market prices.

The Company's poultry operations are integrated through its control of all functions relative to the production of its chicken products, including hatching egg production, hatching, feed manufacturing, raising chickens to marketable age (grow out), processing, and marketing. Consistent with the poultry industry, the Company's profitability is substantially impacted by the market prices for its finished products and feed grains, both of which may fluctuate substantially and exhibit cyclical characteristics typically associated with commodity markets. Other costs, excluding feed grains, related to the profitability of the Company's poultry operations, including hatching egg production, hatching, growing, and processing cost, are responsive to efficient cost containment programs and management practices.

The Company's prepared chicken product line includes approximately 60 institutional and consumer packaged chicken items that it sells nationally, primarily to distributors and food service establishments. A majority of the prepared chicken items are made to the specifications of food service users.

Sanderson Farms announced plans on April 24, 2008, to invest approximately \$126.5 million for construction of a new feed mill, poultry processing plant and hatchery on separate sites in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina. On June 26, 2008, the Company announced its decision to postpone the project due to market conditions and escalating grain prices. On July 23, 2009, the Company announced plans to proceed with the construction and start-up of the Company's Kinston, North Carolina, poultry complex with a revised budget of approximately \$121.4 million. The new complex was completed within budget and initial operations began as expected during January 2011. The Kinston facilities comprise a state-of-the-art poultry complex with the capacity, at full production, to process 1,250,000 birds per week for the retail chill pack market. At full capacity, which is expected to be reached early during calendar 2012, the complex will employ approximately 1,500 people, will require 130 contract growers, and will be equipped to process and sell 6.7 million pounds per week of dressed poultry meat. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011 the new Kinston processing plant processed 25.2 million pounds of dressed poultry meat.

On March 29, 2010, the Company announced intentions to construct a potential second new poultry complex in North Carolina, subject to various contingencies including, among others, obtaining an acceptable economic incentive package from the state and local governments. The Company announced on February 24, 2011 that this new complex will be placed on hold pending improvement in market fundamentals, including assurance that the supply of corn and other feed grains in the United States and the world will be adequate to meet the demands of end users of such grains at reasonable prices. The project, if completed, will consist of an expansion of the feed mill for the Kinston, North Carolina plant, a hatchery, a processing plant with capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week and a waste water treatment facility. At full capacity, the plant is expected to employ approximately 1,100 people, will require approximately 150 contract growers and will be equipped to process and sell 8.9 million pounds of dressed poultry per week. We will need to identify a site, obtain permits, enter into construction contracts and complete construction before the complex can open. See The construction and potential benefits of our new North Carolina facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties in the Risk Factors Section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2010.

On February 23, 2011, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$500.0 million from \$300.0 million, and to increase the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$60.0 million during fiscal year 2011 and \$55.0 million for fiscal years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. The new credit facility also permits the Company to spend up to \$115.0 million in capital expenditures on the construction of a second poultry complex in North Carolina, which expenditures are in addition to the annual limits. Under the new revolving credit facility the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the Company's fiscal year ending October 31, 2011 or October 31, 2012, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by 5% for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on February 23, 2016.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW OF RESULTS**

During the second quarter and first half of fiscal 2011, the Company's margins decreased primarily as a result of higher costs of feed grains in flocks sold and lower overall market prices for poultry products as compared to the same periods during fiscal 2010. While demand for fresh chicken in the retail grocery store market has been stable, demand from food service customers has remained soft as the supply of poultry meat has increased, resulting in overall lower market prices for poultry products during the second quarter and first half of fiscal 2011 as compared to the same periods during fiscal 2010. The Company expects this trend to continue at least through the third quarter of fiscal 2011. The costs of corn and soybean meal have increased significantly due to several factors, including lower than expected yields of both corn and soybeans during the 2010 crop year and uncertainty regarding the size and quality of the 2011 crop. The Company has priced a significant portion of its grain needs for fiscal 2011. If the remaining needs were priced at May 20, 2011 market prices, including the additional volume needed during fiscal 2011, cash feed grain prices would be approximately \$338.7 million higher during fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net sales during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were \$479.3 million as compared to \$487.1 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$7.8 million or 1.6%. Net sales of poultry products for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 were \$451.3 million and \$456.8 million, respectively, a decrease of \$5.5 million or 1.2%. The decrease in net sales of poultry products resulted from a decrease in the average sales price of poultry products of 1.3%. During the second quarter of fiscal 2011 the Company sold 670.7 million pounds of poultry products compared to 669.9 million pounds during the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Although the Company processed approximately 25.2 million more pounds of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the second quarter of 2010, pounds of poultry products sold increased by only 790,210 for that period due to the timing of export sales. The new Kinston complex began initial operations during January 2011 and sold 25.2 million pounds of poultry products during the second quarter of fiscal 2011, or 3.8% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The Company expects the new Kinston complex to process 38.9 million and 51.1 million pounds of dressed poultry during the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2011, respectively. Overall market prices for poultry products decreased during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 as a result of an increase in the supply of poultry products and sluggish demand from food service customers. Urner Barry market prices for boneless breast meat, tenders and jumbo wings decreased significantly during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 by 10.6%, 2.9% and 45.5%, respectively. However, the impact of these decreases was partially offset by improvements in the average Urner Barry prices for bulk leg quarters and the average market price for Georgia Dock whole birds of 17.7% and 2.0%, respectively, as compared to the same period a year ago. Net sales of prepared chicken products for the three months ended April 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$28.0 million and \$30.3 million, respectively, a decrease of 7.6%, resulting primarily from a decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold of 7.2% from 15.2 million pounds during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 to 14.1 million pounds sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Net sales during the first half of fiscal 2011 were \$907.1 million as compared to \$907.2 million for the first half of fiscal 2010. Net sales of poultry products for the first half of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 were \$853.8 million as compared to \$848.3 million, respectively, an increase of \$5.5 million or 0.7%. The increase in net sales of poultry products resulted from an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 3.8%, offset by a decrease in the average sales price of poultry products of 3.0%. During the first half of fiscal 2011 the Company sold 1,301.4 million pounds of poultry products, up from 1,254.2 million pounds during the first half of fiscal 2010, an increase of 47.2 million primarily as a result of the pounds sold from the new Kinston complex. The new Kinston complex began initial operation during January 2011 and sold 33.6 million pounds of poultry through April 30, 2011, or 2.6% of the Company's total poultry pounds sold for the first half of fiscal 2011. Overall market prices for poultry products decreased during the first half of fiscal 2011 as compared to the first half of fiscal 2010 as a result of an increase in the supply of poultry products and sluggish demand from food service customers. Urner Barry market prices for boneless breast meat, tenders and jumbo wings decreased significantly during the first half of fiscal 2011 as compared to the first half of fiscal 2010 by 7.3%, 6.4% and 40.6%, respectively. However, the impact of these

Table of Contents

decreases was partially offset by improvements in the average Urner Barry prices for bulk leg quarters and the average market price for Georgia Dock whole birds of 10.4% and 2.7%, respectively, as compared to the same period a year ago. Net sales of prepared chicken products for the first half of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 were \$53.3 million and \$59.0 million, respectively, or a decrease of 9.6%, resulting from a decrease in the average sales price of prepared chicken products sold of 2.3% and a decrease in the pounds of prepared chicken products sold of 7.5% from 29.2 million pounds during the first half of fiscal 2010 to 27.1 million pounds sold during the first half of fiscal 2011. Cost of sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$479.4 million as compared to \$412.1 million during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, an increase of \$67.3 million or 16.3%. Cost of sales of poultry products sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010 were \$454.0 million and \$385.1 million, respectively, an increase of \$68.9 million or 17.9%. As illustrated in the table below, the increase in the cost of sales of poultry products sold resulted from an increase in feed costs per pound of 41.3%.

Poultry Cost of Sales
(In thousands, except per pound data)

Description	Second Quarter 2011		Second Quarter 2010		Incr/(Decr)	
	Dollars	Per Pnd	Dollars	Per Pnd	Dollars	Per Pnd
Feed in broiler flocks sold	\$259,589	\$0.3870	\$183,432	\$0.2738	\$76,157	\$0.1132
All other cost of sales	\$216,700	\$0.3231	\$201,693	\$0.3011	\$15,006	\$0.0220
Total poultry cost of sales	\$476,289 ₍₁₎	\$0.7101	\$385,125	\$0.5749	\$91,163	\$0.1352
Poultry Pounds Sold	670,712		669,922			

Note (1) Excludes the \$22.3 million live inventory adjustment described in Inventories below for comparative purposes.

The average cost of feed in broiler flocks sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the same quarter a year ago increased \$76.2 million or \$0.1132 per pound. Excluding feed in broiler flocks sold, all other costs of sales increased \$15.0 million, or \$0.0220 per pound of poultry products sold compared to the same period a year ago. These other costs of sales of poultry products include labor, contract grower pay, packaging, freight and certain fixed costs, among other costs, including those same costs incurred at the new Kinston, North Carolina complex during the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The new Kinston complex will have a higher average cost of sales per pound than similar Company complexes, excluding feed costs, until the new Kinston complex reaches full capacity during the second quarter of fiscal 2012. Higher per pound costs at Kinston was the primary factor for the increase per pound of all other cost of sales of \$0.0220 during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Costs of sales of the Company's prepared chicken products were \$25.4 million as compared to \$27.0 million during fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$1.6 million or 6.0%.

Cost of sales for the first half of fiscal 2011 was \$938.3 million as compared to \$790.2 million during the first half of fiscal 2010, an increase of \$148.1 million or 18.8%. Cost of sales of poultry products sold during the first half of fiscal 2011 as compared to the first half of fiscal 2010 were \$890.5 million and \$737.8 million, respectively, an increase of \$152.7 million or 20.7%. As illustrated in the table below, the increase in the cost of sales of poultry products sold resulted from an increase in feed costs per pound of 31.3% and an increase in the pounds of poultry products sold of 3.8%.

As illustrated in the table above, the decrease in selling, general and administrative costs during the second fiscal quarter of 2011 as compared to the second fiscal quarter of 2010 resulted from an accrual during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 towards a contribution to the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Contributions to the Company's ESOP plan are based on profitability, and accordingly, no such accrual has been recorded during the first six months of fiscal 2011. For the six months ended April 30, 2011, selling, general and administrative costs were \$1.6 million higher as start up cost incurred during fiscal 2011 prior to beginning of operations at the new complex in Kinston, North Carolina, were only partially offset by a reduction in the ESOP expense. The Company began operations at the new Kinston complex during January 2011, at which time all Kinston costs, excluding

Table of Contents

customer service department costs, were included in cost of sales. The Company recorded no start up cost during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 nor will the Company incur any start up costs in subsequent quarters related to the Kinston complex.

The Company's operating loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$22.8 million as compared to operating income of \$55.7 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$78.5 million. For the first six months of fiscal 2011 the Company's operating loss was \$74.5 million as compared to operating income for the first six months of fiscal 2010 of \$81.4 million, a decrease of \$155.9 million. The reduction in the Company's operating margin during fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 resulted primarily from higher cost of feed grains included in flocks sold and a decline in overall market prices of poultry products, as described above.

Interest expense during the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 was \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million as compared to interest expense during the three and six months ended April 30, 2010 of \$1.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The Company capitalized \$630,000 during fiscal 2011 to the construction of the new complex in Kinston, North Carolina, all of which was capitalized during November and December 2010 prior to the start up of operations in January 2011. During the first and second quarters of fiscal 2010 the Company capitalized interest cost of \$51,000 and \$58,000, respectively, to the construction of the new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina.

The Company's effective tax rates for the second quarter and first half of fiscal 2011 were 31.8% and 34.3% respectively as compared to 35.7% for the same periods during fiscal 2010. The Company's effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal rate due to state income taxes, certain nondeductible expenses for federal income tax purposes and certain state and federal tax credits. The Company expects the effective tax rate to be 34.3% during the second half of fiscal 2011.

The net loss during the three and six months ended April 30, 2011 was \$16.3 million or \$0.74 per share and \$49.8 million or \$2.25 per share, respectively. Net income for the three and six months ended April 30, 2010 was \$35.1 million or \$1.62 per share and \$50.9 million or \$2.39 per share, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's working capital, calculated by subtracting current liabilities from current assets, at April 30, 2011 was \$270.6 million and its current ratio, calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities, was 4.1 to 1. The Company's working capital and current ratio at October 31, 2010 were \$238.2 million and 3.2 to 1, respectively. These measures reflect the Company's ability to meet its short term obligations and are included here as a measure of the Company's short term market liquidity. The Company's principal sources of liquidity during fiscal 2011 include cash on hand at October 31, 2010 and borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility with nine banks. As described below, on February 23, 2011 the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the line of credit to \$500.0 million from \$300.0 million and to extend the terms until 2016 from 2013. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$92.7 million under the revolving credit facility and had \$9.9 million outstanding in letters of credit. As of May 20, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$117.7 million, leaving \$372.4 million available under the new revolving credit facility.

The Company's cash position at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 consisted of \$1.2 million and \$73.4 million, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents. The Company's ability to invest cash is limited by covenants in its revolving credit agreement to short term, conservative investments. All of the Company's cash at April 30, 2011 and October 31, 2010 was held in checking accounts and highly liquid, overnight investment accounts maintained at two banks. There were no restrictions on the Company's access to its cash and cash investments, and such cash and cash investments were available to the Company on demand to fund its operations.

Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 were (\$122.7) million and \$73.3 million, respectively. The decrease in cash flows from operating activities of \$196.0 million resulted primarily from higher prices for feed grains, lower overall market prices for poultry products, and funds required to pay for additional inventories of live and processed poultry at the new Kinston facility during the first six months of fiscal 2011 as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

Cash flows used in investing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 and 2010 were \$37.7 million and \$55.6 million, respectively. The Company's capital expenditures during the first six months of fiscal 2011 were \$37.8 million and included \$14.3 million for construction of the Company's new Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina complex. During the first six months of fiscal 2010, the Company spent approximately \$55.7 million on capital expenditures including \$35.2 million for construction of the company's new Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina complex. Excluding the Kinston and Lenoir County complex, the Company's capital expenditures during the first six months of fiscal 2011 and 2010 were \$23.5 million and \$20.5 million, respectively.

Cash flows provided by financing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 and 2010 were \$88.2 million and \$71.4 million, respectively. During the first six months of fiscal 2011, the Company's unfavorable profit margin required it to use cash on hand at the beginning of fiscal 2011, as well as borrowings under the revolving credit facility to fund operations and to invest in fixed assets and inventories at the Company's new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina. During fiscal 2010 the Company's operating margin was adequate to fund operations and to invest in fixed assets at the Company's new complex in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina. On April 7, 2010 the Company sold 2.3 million shares of its common stock at \$53.00 per share resulting in net proceeds from the secondary offering of approximately \$115.0 million. The Company used \$40.0 million of the proceeds from the sale of the stock to pay off the outstanding draws under its revolving credit facility, with the remaining proceeds used to finance a portion of the construction of its new retail poultry complex in Kinston, North Carolina, a potential new big bird poultry complex to be located in North Carolina and general corporate purposes.

The Company's capital budget for fiscal 2011 is approximately \$62.5 million and will be funded by cash on hand at October 31, 2010, internally generated working capital, cash flows from operations and, as needed, draws under the Company's revolving line of credit facility. The Company expects to place \$11.9 million of these capital budget items under operating leases. The fiscal 2011 capital budget includes approximately \$11.9 million in vehicle operating leases, of which \$7.2 million are for vehicles to be used at the Kinston, North Carolina complex. Also included in the fiscal 2011 capital budget is \$4.8 million in quality control equipment that was carried forward from the Company's fiscal 2010 capital budget and \$5.1 million for software replacement.

On October 9, 2008, the Company announced that it filed a Form S-3 shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register for possible future sale shares of the Company's common and/or preferred stock at an aggregate offering price not to exceed \$1.0 billion. The stock may be offered by the Company in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by the board of directors if and when shares are issued.

On March 29, 2010 the Company announced that it had commenced an underwritten registered public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock under its shelf registration statement. In connection with this offering, the Company granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 300,000 shares of common stock to cover over-allotments, if any. On April 7, 2010 the Company announced the closing of its underwritten registered public offering of 2,300,000 shares of its common stock, including 300,000 shares issued in connection with the underwriters' exercise of their over-allotment option. The offering price to the public was \$53.00 per share. The Company also announced it intended to use the net proceeds from the offering, together with other funds, to finance the construction of its new retail poultry complex in Kinston, North Carolina, and a second potential complex to be located in North Carolina, discussed below. Pending such uses, net proceeds from the offering were used to reduce indebtedness and to invest in cash and cash equivalents. The Company has used some of the invested proceeds as working capital and for general corporate purposes.

Sanderson Farms announced plans on April 24, 2008, to invest approximately \$126.5 million for construction of a new feed mill, poultry processing plant and hatchery on separate sites in Kinston and Lenoir County, North Carolina. On June 26, 2008, the Company announced its decision to postpone the project due to market conditions and escalating grain prices. On July 23, 2009, the Company announced plans to proceed with the construction and start-up of the Company's Kinston, North Carolina, poultry complex with a revised budget of approximately \$121.4 million. The new complex was completed within budget and with initial operations beginning as expected during January 2011. The Kinston facilities comprise a state-of-the-art poultry complex with the capacity at full production to process 1,250,000 birds per week for the retail chill pack market. At full capacity, which is expected to be reached early during calendar 2012, the complex will employ approximately 1,500 people, will require 130 contract growers,

and will be equipped to process and sell 6.7 million pounds per week of dressed poultry meat.

On March 29, 2010, the Company announced intentions to construct a potential second new poultry complex in North Carolina, subject to various contingencies including, among others, obtaining an acceptable economic incentive package from the state and local governments. The Company announced on February 24, 2011 that this new complex will be placed on hold pending improvement in market fundamentals, including assurance that the supply of corn and other feed grains in the United States and the world will be adequate to meet the demands of end

Table of Contents

users of such grains at reasonable prices. The project, if completed, will consist of an expansion of the feed mill for the Kinston, North Carolina plant, a hatchery, a processing plant with capacity to process 1.25 million chickens per week and a waste water treatment facility. At full capacity, the plant is expected to employ approximately 1,100 people, will require approximately 150 contract growers and will be equipped to process and sell 8.9 million pounds of dressed poultry per week. We will need to indentify a site, obtain permits, enter into construction contracts and complete construction before the complex can open. See The construction and potential benefits of our new North Carolina facilities are subject to risks and uncertainties in the Risk Factors Section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2010.

On February 23, 2011, the Company entered into a new revolving credit facility to, among other things, increase the available credit to \$500.0 million from \$300.0 million, and to increase the annual capital expenditure limitation to \$60.0 million during fiscal year 2011 and \$55 million for fiscal years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. The new credit facility also permits the Company to spend up to \$115.0 million in capital expenditures on the construction of a second poultry complex in North Carolina, which expenditures are in addition to the annual limits. Under the new revolving credit facility the Company may not exceed a maximum debt to total capitalization ratio of 55% from the date of the agreement through October 30, 2014, and 50% thereafter. The Company has a one-time right, at any time during the Company's fiscal year ending October 31, 2011 or October 31, 2012, to increase the maximum debt to total capitalization ratio then in effect by 5% for the four fiscal quarters beginning on the first day of the fiscal quarter during which the Company gives written notice of its intent to exercise this right. The credit remains unsecured and, unless extended, will expire on February 23, 2016. As of April 30, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$92.7 million under the revolving credit facility and had \$9.9 million in outstanding letters of credit. As of May 20, 2011, the Company had borrowed \$117.7 million, leaving \$372.4 million available under the new revolving credit facility. The Company regularly evaluates both internal and external growth opportunities, including acquisition opportunities and the possible construction of new production assets, and conducts due diligence activities in connection with such opportunities. The cost and terms of any financing to be raised in conjunction with any growth opportunity, including the Company's ability to raise debt or equity capital on terms and at costs satisfactory to the Company, and the effect of such opportunities on the Company's balance sheet, are critical considerations in any such evaluation.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, and the differences could be material.

The Company's Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements that are filed with the Company's latest report on Form 10-K, should be read in conjunction with this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Management believes that the critical accounting policies and estimates that are material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements are those described below.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

In the normal course of business, the Company extends credit to its customers on a short-term basis. Although credit risks associated with our customers are considered minimal, the Company routinely reviews its accounts receivable balances and makes provisions for probable doubtful accounts. In circumstances where management is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to the Company, a specific reserve is recorded to reduce the receivable to the amount expected to be collected. If circumstances change (i.e., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due us could be reduced by a material amount, and the allowance for doubtful accounts and related bad debt expense would increase by the same amount.

Table of Contents**Inventories**

Processed food and poultry inventories and inventories of feed, eggs, medication and packaging supplies are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. If market prices for poultry or feed grains move substantially lower, the Company would record adjustments to write down the carrying values of processed poultry and feed inventories to fair market value, which would increase the Company's costs of sales.

Live poultry inventories of broilers are stated at the lower of cost or market and breeders at cost less accumulated amortization. The cost associated with broiler inventories, consisting principally of chicks, feed, medicine and payments to the growers who raise the chicks for us, are accumulated during the growing period. The cost associated with breeder inventories, consisting principally of breeder chicks, feed, medicine and grower payments are accumulated during the growing period. Capitalized breeder costs are then amortized over nine months using the straight-line method. Mortality of broilers and breeders is charged to cost of sales as incurred. If market prices for chicken, feed or medicine or if grower payments increase (or decrease) during the period, the Company could have an increase (or decrease) in the market value of its inventory as well as an increase (or decrease) in costs of sales. Should the Company decide that the nine month amortization period used to amortize the breeder costs is no longer appropriate as a result of operational changes, a shorter (or longer) amortization period could increase (or decrease) the costs of sales recorded in future periods. High mortality from disease or extreme temperatures would result in abnormal charges to cost of sales to write-down live poultry inventories.

On April 30, 2011 and January 31, 2011, the Company recorded a \$6.0 million and \$22.3 million adjustment, respectively, to reduce the value of its inventory of live broilers from cost to market value. These adjustments were determined by estimating the extent to which the accumulated cost of live poultry inventories of broilers at April 30, 2011 and January 31, 2011, plus the estimated remaining costs of their grow-out, processing, marketing and sale, exceeded the ultimate expected sales prices of finished products resulting from the processing of such broiler inventories. In making these adjustments, the value of no individual live broiler flock was reduced by an amount greater than its accumulated cost. The Company used the latest available information in making these estimates, including the expected cost of grain needed to complete the grow-out of live inventories and the expected market prices for the finished products. However, as with any sensitive estimate, there are uncertainties inherent in making forward-looking projections and the Company's actual results could vary from those estimated.

Long-Lived Assets

Depreciable long-lived assets are primarily comprised of buildings and machinery and equipment. Depreciation is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives, which are 15 to 39 years for buildings and 3 to 12 years for machinery and equipment. An increase or decrease in the estimated useful lives would result in changes to depreciation expense.

The Company continually evaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this evaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal. If the sum of the expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the long-lived asset to the estimated fair value of the asset. If the Company's assumptions with respect to the future expected cash flows associated with the use of long-lived assets currently recorded change, then the Company's determination that no impairment charges are necessary may change and result in the Company recording an impairment charge in a future period. The Company did not identify any indicators of impairment during the current fiscal period.

Accrued Self Insurance

Insurance expense for workers' compensation benefits and employee-related health care benefits are estimated using historical experience and actuarial estimates. Stop-loss coverage is maintained with third party insurers to limit the Company's total exposure. Management regularly reviews the assumptions used to recognize periodic expenses. Any resulting adjustments to accrued claims are reflected in current operating results. If historical experience proves

Table of Contents

not to be a good indicator of future expenses, if management were to use different actuarial assumptions, or if there is a negative trend in the Company's claims history, there could be a significant increase or decrease in cost of sales depending on whether these expenses increased or decreased, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company determines its effective tax rate by estimating its permanent differences resulting from differing treatment of items for financial and income tax purposes. The Company is periodically audited by taxing authorities and considers any adjustments made as a result of the audits in considering the tax expense. Any audit adjustments affecting permanent differences could have an impact on the Company's effective tax rate.

Contingencies

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position. The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, FASB issued ASU 2010-6, *Improving Disclosures About Fair Measurements*. ASU 2010-6 provides amendments to subtopic 820-10 that require separate disclosure of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and the presentation of separate information regarding purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for Level 3 fair value measurements. Additionally, ASU 2010-6 provides amendments to subtopic 820-10 that clarify existing disclosures about the level of disaggregation and inputs and valuation techniques. ASU 2010-6 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-6 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company is a purchaser of certain commodities, primarily corn and soybean meal, for use in manufacturing feed for its chickens. As a result, the Company's earnings are affected by changes in the price and availability of such feed ingredients. Feed grains are subject to volatile price changes caused by factors described below that include demand, weather, size of harvest, transportation and storage costs and the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments. The price fluctuations of feed grains have a direct and material effect on the Company's profitability. The Company generally will purchase feed ingredients for deferred delivery that typically range from one month to twelve months after the time of purchase. Once purchased, the Company can price its grain at market prices at any time prior to delivery of the grain. The grain purchases are made directly with our usual grain suppliers, which are companies in the regular business of supplying grain to end users, and do not involve options to purchase. The pricing of such purchases occur when senior management concludes that market factors indicate that prices at the time the grain is needed are likely to be higher than current prices, or where, based on current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products, management believes it can price feed ingredients at levels that will allow the Company to earn a reasonable return for its shareholders. Market factors considered by management in determining whether or not and to what extent to buy grain for deferred delivery and to price grain include:

Current market prices;

Table of Contents

Current and predicted weather patterns in the United States, South America, China and other grain producing areas, as such weather patterns might affect the planting, growing, harvesting and yield of feed grains;

The expected size of the harvest of feed grains in the United States and other grain producing areas of the world as reported by governmental and private sources;

Current and expected changes to the agricultural policies of the United States and foreign governments;

The relative strength of United States currency and expected changes therein as it might impact the ability of foreign countries to buy United States feed grain commodities;

The current and expected volumes of export of feed grain commodities as reported by governmental and private sources;

The current and expected use of available feed grains for uses other than as livestock feed grains (such as the use of corn for the production of ethanol, which use is impacted by the price of crude oil and governmental policy); and

Current and expected market prices for the Company's poultry products.

The Company purchases physical grain, not financial instruments such as puts, calls or straddles that derive their value from the value of physical grain. Thus, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments as defined by SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The Company does not enter into any derivative transactions or purchase any grain-related contracts other than the physical grain contracts described above.

The cost of feed grains is recognized in cost of sales, on a first-in-first-out basis, at the same time that the sales of the chickens that consume the feed grains are recognized.

The Company's interest expense is sensitive to changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates. The Company maintains certain of its debt as fixed rate in nature to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in interest rates. The fair value of the Company's fixed rate debt approximates the carrying amount at April 30, 2011. Management believes the potential effects of near-term changes in interest rates on the Company's debt are not material.

The Company is a party to no other market risk sensitive instruments requiring disclosure.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of April 30, 2011. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation incidental to its business. Although the outcome of these matters cannot be determined with certainty, management, upon the advice of counsel, is of the opinion that the final outcome should not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position. The Company recognizes the costs of legal defense for the legal proceedings to which it is a party in the periods incurred. After a considerable analysis of each case, the Company determines the amount of reserves required, if any. At this time, the Company has not accrued any reserve for any of these matters. Future reserves may be required if losses are deemed reasonably estimable and probable due to changes in the Company's assumptions, the effectiveness of legal strategies, or other factors beyond the Company's control. Future results of operations may be materially affected by the creation of reserves or by accruals of losses to reflect any adverse determinations in these legal proceedings.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2010.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

During the fiscal quarter, the company repurchased shares of its common stock as follows:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased ¹	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ²	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
February 1, 2011 – February 28, 2011	0	\$ 00.00	0	272,175
March 1, 2011 – March 31, 2011	7,059	\$ 44.14	7,059	265,116
April 1, 2011 – April 30, 2011	0	\$ 00.00	0	265,116
Total	7,059	\$ 44.14	7,059	265,116

¹ All purchases were made pursuant to the Company's Stock Incentive Plan under which participants may satisfy tax withholding obligations incurred upon the vesting of restricted stock by requesting the Company to withhold shares with a value equal to the amount of the withholding obligation.

² The Company announced on April 28, 2008 that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 225,000 shares over a period of four years from that date. On October 22, 2009, the Company announced that its Board of Directors expanded its stock repurchase program to cover the repurchase of up to 1 million shares. Under the stock repurchase program, shares may be purchased from time to time at prevailing prices in open market transactions or in negotiated purchases, subject to market conditions, share price and other considerations. The Company has repurchased 734,884 shares as of April 30, 2011 under the authorized stock repurchase program.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report.

Exhibit 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated October 19, 1978. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.2 Articles of Amendment, dated March 23, 1987, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.3 Articles of Amendment, dated April 21, 1989, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.4 Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant dated April 21, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.5 Article of Amendment, dated February 20, 1992, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.6 Article of Amendment, dated February 27, 1997, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)

Exhibit 3.7 Bylaws of the Registrant, amended and restated as of April 23, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on April 28, 2009.)

Exhibit 10.1+ Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on January 14, 2011 for its annual meeting held February 17, 2011.)

Exhibit 10.2+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for restricted stock granted to officers and employees on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 22, 2011.)

Exhibit 10.3 Credit Agreement dated February 23, 2011 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Harris, N.A. as Agent for the Banks named therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2011.)

Exhibit 10.4 Guaranty Agreement dated February 23, 2011 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2011.)

Exhibit 15* Accountants' Letter re: Unaudited Financial Information.

Exhibit 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

Exhibit 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

Exhibit 32.1** Section 1350 Certification.

Exhibit 32.2** Section 1350 Certification.

Table of Contents

Exhibit 101.INS*** XBRL Instance Document
Exhibit 101.SCH*** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
Exhibit 101.CAL*** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
Exhibit 101.DEF*** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
Exhibit 101.LAB*** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
Exhibit 101.PRE*** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 24, 2011

By: /s/ D. Michael Cockrell
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 24, 2011

By: /s/ James A. Grimes
Secretary, Corporate Controller and
Chief Accounting Officer

26

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated October 19, 1978. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.2	Articles of Amendment, dated March 23, 1987, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.3	Articles of Amendment, dated April 21, 1989, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.4	Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant dated April 21, 1989. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.5	Article of Amendment, dated February 20, 1992, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.6	Article of Amendment, dated February 27, 1997, to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 filed with the registration statement on Form S-8 filed by the Registrant on July 15, 2002, Registration No. 333-92412.)
3.7	Bylaws of the Registrant amended and restated as of April 23, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on April 28, 2009.)
10.1+	Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Affiliates Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on January 14, 2011 for its annual meeting held February 17, 2011.)
10.2+	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for restricted stock granted to officers and employees on February 17, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 22, 2011.)
10.3	Credit Agreement dated February 23, 2011 among Sanderson Farms, Inc. and Harris, N.A. as Agent for the Banks named therein. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2011.)
10.4	Guaranty Agreement dated February 23, 2011 of Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Foods Division), Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Production Division) and Sanderson Farms, Inc. (Processing Division). (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 filed with the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2011.)

15*	Accountants Letter re: Unaudited Financial Information.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1**	Section 1350 Certification.
32.2**	Section 1350 Certification.
101.INS***	XBRL Instance Document

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
101. SCH***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF***	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase
101.LAB***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.