Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. Form 10-Q November 10, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended October 2, 2009

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission File Number 001-33278 HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 20-5961564

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

637 Davis Drive Morrisville, North Carolina

27560

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(919) 767-3250

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

No changes

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (I) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes $\,$ No $\,$ o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes $\,$ o No $\,$ o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non- accelerated filer o Smaller Reporting Company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12 b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s Class A common stock as of November 5, 2009 was 58,910,748 shares.

HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC. FORM 10-Q For the Quarter Ended October 2, 2009 INDEX

| | Page |
|--|---------|
| Part I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 2 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Quarters ended October 2, 2009 and | |
| <u>September 26, 2008</u> | 2 |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 2, 2009 and July 3, 2009 | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Quarters ended October 2, 2009 and | |
| September 26, 2008 | 2 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 5 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting firm | 18 |
| Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 19 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 25 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 26 |
| Part II. Other Information | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 26 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 26 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 26 |
| <u>Signature</u> | 27 |
| Exhibit Index | 27 |
| <u>EX-15</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| EX-31.2 | |
| EX-32.1 This Quantum Parant on Farm 10 Quantains trademarks of Hamis Streets Naturally Inc. and its subsidered | : |
| This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains trademarks of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. and its subsid | iaries. |
| \mathbf{I} | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | Quarter Ended Septe | | | otember | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| | October 2, 2009 | | 26, 2008 | | |
| | (In millions, except part amounts) | | | | |
| Revenue from product sales and services: | | | | | |
| Revenue from product sales | \$ | 84.9 | \$ | 162.2 | |
| Revenue from services | | 35.1 | | 33.6 | |
| Total revenue | | 120.0 | | 195.8 | |
| Cost of product sales and services: | | | | | |
| Cost of product sales | | (53.2) | | (105.3) | |
| Cost of services | | (27.0) | | (29.6) | |
| Amortization of purchased technology | | (2.1) | | (1.8) | |
| Total cost of product sales and services | | (82.3) | | (136.7) | |
| Gross margin | | 37.7 | | 59.1 | |
| Research and development expenses | | (10.7) | | (10.2) | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | | (30.8) | | (36.5) | |
| Total research, development, selling and administrative expenses | | (41.5) | | (46.7) | |
| Amortization of identifiable intangible assets | | (1.5) | | (1.4) | |
| Restructuring charges | | (1.1) | | (3.3) | |
| Operating (loss) income | | (6.4) | | 7.7 | |
| Interest income | | , , | | 0.4 | |
| Interest expense | | (0.5) | | (0.7) | |
| (Loss) income before provision for income taxes | | (6.9) | | 7.4 | |
| Provision for income taxes | | (0.9) | | (0.9) | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (7.8) | \$ | 6.5 | |
| Net (loss) income per common share of Class A and Class B common | | | | | |
| stock (Notes 1 and 2): | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (0.13) | \$ | 0.11 | |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.13) | \$ | 0.10 | |

Basic weighted average shares outstanding 58.9 58.5

Diluted weighted average shares outstanding 58.9 58.5

(1) The net

(loss) income

per common

share amounts

were the same

for Class A and

Class B in the

quarter ended

September 26,

2008 because

the holders of

each class were

legally entitled

to equal per

share

distributions

whether through

dividends or in

liquidation.

There were no

shares of

Class B

common stock

during the

quarter ended

October 2,

2009.

(2) For the quarter

ended

September 26,

2008, the

calculation of

diluted earnings

per share

includes a

potential

deduction to net

income of

\$0.2 million for

the assumed

after-tax effect

of the change in

fair value of

warrants using

the treasury

stock method.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

2

HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

| (In millions, except share amounts) | October 2, 2009 | | , | | July 3, 2009 | |
|--|--------------------|------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Current Assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 133.0 | \$ 136.8 | | | |
| Short-term investments | | | 0.3 | | | |
| Receivables | | 114.3 | 142.9 | | | |
| Unbilled costs | | 35.5 | 27.8 | | | |
| Inventories | | 92.7 | 98.6 | | | |
| Other current assets | | 32.2 | 29.7 | | | |
| Total current assets | | 407.7 | 436.1 | | | |
| Long-Term Assets | | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | | 57.1 | 57.4 | | | |
| Goodwill | | 3.2 | 3.2 | | | |
| Identifiable intangible assets | | 80.8 | 84.1 | | | |
| Non-current deferred income taxes | | 8.0 | 8.0 | | | |
| Capitalized software and other assets | | 12.1 | 11.4 | | | |
| Total long-term assets | | 161.2 | 164.1 | | | |
| Total assets | \$ | 568.9 | \$ 600.2 | | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ | 10.0 | \$ 10.0 | | | |
| Accounts payable | | 63.6 | 69.6 | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | | 13.7 | 16.6 | | | |
| Other accrued items | | 47.1 | 55.6 | | | |
| Advance payments and unearned income | | 30.2 | 37.3 | | | |
| Restructuring liabilities | | 5.1 | 5.3 | | | |
| Total Current Liabilities | | 169.7 | 194.4 | | | |
| Long-Term Liabilities Protectiving and other language liabilities | | 2.1 | 4.2 | | | |
| Restructuring and other long-term liabilities | | 3.1 8.3 | 4.3 8.3 | | | |
| Redeemable preference shares Reserve for uncertain tax positions | | 4.8 | 6.3 4.4 | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 0.9 | 0.9 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Liabilities | | 186.8 | 212.3 | | | |
| Commitments and contingencies Shareholders Equity | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | | | | | | |
| Treferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, none issued | | 0.6 | 0.6 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Common stock, Class A, \$0.01 par value; 300,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 58,904,179 shares as of October 2, 2009 and 58,903,177 shares as of July 3,2009

| Common stock, Class B \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| Additional paid-in-capital | 784.1 | 783.2 |
| Accumulated deficit | (398.9) | (391.1) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3.7) | (4.8) |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 382.1 | 387.9 |
| Total Liabilities And Shareholders Equity | \$ 568.9 | \$ 600.2 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (Unaudited)

| | Q October | uarter Ei Se | nded eptember |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | 2, | | 26, |
| | 2009 | | 2008 |
| | | ns) | |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (7.8) | \$ | 6.5 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Amortization of identifiable intangible assets | 3.7 | | 3.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization of property, plant and equipment and capitalized | | | |
| software | 6.0 | | 5.6 |
| Non-cash share-based compensation expense | 1.0 | | 1.0 |
| Decrease in fair value of warrants | | | (0.3) |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 0.4 | | (0.7) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | 28.8 | | 5.5 |
| Unbilled costs and inventories | (1.9) | | (13.6) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (13.4) | | 4.3 |
| Advance payments and unearned income | (7.2) | | 1.0 |
| Restructuring liabilities and other | (5.2) | | (8.8) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4.4 | | 3.9 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Cash paid related to acquisition of Telsima in prior fiscal year | (4.2) | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | | | (1.2) |
| Sales and maturities of short-term investments | 0.3 | | 1.8 |
| Additions of property, plant and equipment | (3.9) | | (4.4) |
| Additions of capitalized software | (0.9) | | (1.0) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (8.7) | | (4.8) |
| Financing Activities | | | 100 |
| Increase in short-term debt | | | 10.0 |
| Payments on long-term debt | | | (8.8) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | | 1.2 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 0.5 | | (0.9) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (3.8) | ı | (0.6) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 136.8 | | 95.0 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of quarter | \$ 133.0 | \$ | 94.4 |
| See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financia | al Statements | | |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS October 2, 2009

(Unaudited)

Note A Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. and its subsidiaries (we, us, and our) have been prepared by us, without an audit, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, such interim financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for such periods.

The results for the quarter ended October 2, 2009 (the first quarter of fiscal 2010) are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year or any subsequent period. The balance sheet as of July 3, 2009 has been derived from the audited financial statements but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. We provide complete financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which includes information and footnotes required by the rules and regulations of the SEC. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Report) should be read in conjunction with the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2009 (Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K).

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

Revenue from product sales is generated predominately from the sales of products manufactured by us and by third party manufacturers with whom we have outsourced our manufacturing processes. In general, printed circuit assemblies, mechanical housings, and packaged modules are manufactured by strategically selected contract manufacturing partners, with periodic business reviews of material levels and obsolescence. Product assembly, product test, complete system integration and system test may either be performed within our own facilities or at partner locations.

Revenue from services includes certain installation, extended warranty, customer support, consulting, training and education. It also includes revenue generated from the resale of equipment purchased on behalf of customers for installation service contracts we perform for customers. Such equipment includes towers, antennas, and other related materials.

We have evaluated any subsequent events through the date of filing of this Report (November 10, 2009), and there was no impact to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Out of Period Adjustments During the closing of our fiscal year 2009 accounts, we determined the need for an out of period adjustment related to the calculation of our currency translation expense that affected our previously reported Cost of product sales in each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2009. As disclosed in Note T of the Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K, our previously filed quarterly reports on Form 10-Q during 2009 included an adjustment for cumulative currency translation expense. We concluded that the impact of this adjustment was not material to the previously filed quarterly reports on Form 10-Q during fiscal 2009. We corrected this amount in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The quarterly impact of this translation adjustment reduced Cost of product sales by \$0.9 million and increased net income per common share by \$0.01 in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

During the closing of our books for the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we determined the need for an out of period adjustment in the classification of revenue on our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations between line items of Revenue from services and Revenue from product sales and in the classification of cost of sales between Cost of services and Cost of product sales primarily affecting the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. The impact to revenue in the fourth quarter was to decrease Revenue from product sales by \$30.4 million and increase Revenue from services by

\$30.4 million. The impact to cost of sales in the fourth quarter was to decrease Cost of product sales by \$26.8 million and increase Cost of services by \$26.8 million. This reclassification had no impact on gross margin. The impact on revenues and cost of revenues the first three quarters was negligible. We determined the effect of these adjustments were not material to our financial statements. However, we are correcting our fiscal 2009 reported amounts as we file our fiscal 2010 periodic reports.

5

Reclassification Prior to May 27, 2009, Harris Corporation (Harris) owned approximately 56% of our outstanding common stock. As such, Harris was our majority stockholder and a related party for financial reporting purposes. Effective May 27, 2009, Harris distributed its entire ownership of our common stock to its shareholders. Accordingly, effective with the first quarter of fiscal 2010, Harris ceased to be considered a related party for financial reporting purposes. We have reclassified all amounts previously disclosed as related party transactions with Harris on our Statements of Operations, Balance Sheets and Statements of Cash Flows to the appropriate line items in the current presentation.

For the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and as of September 26, 2008, these reclassifications from the previously disclosed line item to the current presentation included:

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations:

Revenue from product sales to Harris of \$0.9 million to Revenue from product sales;

Cost of product sales with Harris of \$1.3 million to Cost of product sales;

Cost of sales billed from Harris of \$0.1 million to Cost of product sales:

Selling and administrative expenses with Harris of \$1.5 million to Selling and administrative expenses Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet:

Current portion of long-term capital lease obligation to Harris of \$1.4 million to Other accrued items Due to Harris Corporation of \$11.0 million to Other accrued items

Long-term portion of capital lease obligation to Harris of \$1.2 million to Restructuring and other long-term liabilities Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

Changes in operating assets and liabilities, Due to Harris of \$5.8 million to changes in Restructuring liabilities and other

Change in Segment Reporting During the First Quarter of Fiscal 2010 We previously reported three operating segments in our public filings: North America Microwave, International Microwave and Network Operations. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we realigned the management structure of our Network Operations segment to geographically integrate with our North America Microwave and International Microwave segments to gain cost efficiencies. As a result, we eliminated the Network Operations segment as a separate reporting unit and consolidated this segment into our remaining two segments that are based on the geographical

6

location where revenue is recognized. While our Network Operations products and services continue to be offered to customers as part of our strategy, this consolidation in management structure resulted in a change in our reportable operating segments.

Additionally, we have dropped the word Microwave from the name of our North America and International segments. Segment information for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 has been adjusted to reflect this change.

Nature of Operations We design, manufacture and sell a range of wireless networking products, solutions and services to mobile and fixed telephone service providers, private network operators, government agencies, transportation and utility companies, public safety agencies and broadcast system operators across the globe. Products include broadband wireless access base stations and customer premises equipment based upon the IEEE 802.16d-2004 and 16e-2005 standards for fixed and mobile WiMAX, point-to-point digital microwave radio systems for access, backhaul, trunking and license-exempt applications, supporting new network deployments, network expansion, and capacity upgrades.

Note B Accounting Changes and Recent Accounting Pronouncements Initial Application of Accounting Standards

In the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we adopted the following accounting standards, none of which had a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows:

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (Codification), which is now the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied for financial statements issued for periods ending after September 2009. Additionally, we are using the new guidelines prescribed by the Codification when referring to GAAP, including the elimination of pre-Codification GAAP references unless accompanied by Codification GAAP references.

The accounting standard deferring the effective date of the fair value measurement standard for disclosures related to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. See *Note M Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for fair value disclosures required by this standard.

The accounting standard requiring interim disclosures about fair value of financial instruments, which extends the annual disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments to interim reporting periods. See *Note M Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for fair value disclosures required by this standard.

The accounting standard updating accounting, presentation and disclosure requirements for noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, which requires that noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) be clearly identified and presented as a component of equity, separate from the parent sequity. This standard also requires that the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; that changes in ownership interest be accounted for as equity transactions; and that when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in that subsidiary and the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of that subsidiary be measured at fair value.

The accounting standard for determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. There was no material change to our calculations of basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for prior periods.

The accounting standards for accounting for business combinations, which significantly change the accounting and reporting requirements related to business combinations, including the recognition of acquisition-related transaction and post-acquisition restructuring costs in our results of operations as incurred. While the adoption

of these standards did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows directly in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, it is expected to have a significant effect on the accounting for any future acquisitions we make.

7

Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Effective

In October 2009, the FASB issued two new accounting standards updates that:

Revise accounting and reporting requirements for arrangements with multiple deliverables. This update allows the use of an estimated selling price to determine the selling price of a deliverable in cases where neither vendor-specific objective evidence nor third-party evidence is available, which is expected to increase the ability for entities to separate deliverables in multiple-deliverable arrangements and, accordingly, to decrease the amount of revenue deferred in these cases. Additionally, this update requires the total selling price of a multiple-deliverable arrangement to be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables based on relative selling prices.

Clarify which revenue allocation and measurement guidance should be used for arrangements that contain both tangible products and software, in cases where the software is more than incidental to the tangible product as a whole. More specifically, if the software sold with or embedded within the tangible product is essential to the functionality of the tangible product, then this software, as well as undelivered related software elements are excluded from the scope of existing software revenue guidance, which is expected to decrease the amount of revenue deferred in these cases.

These updates are to be applied prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, which for us is our fiscal 2011, and must be adopted at the same time. Early adoption is permitted, and if these updates are adopted early in other than the first quarter of our fiscal year, then they must be applied retrospectively to the beginning of that fiscal year. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of these updates will have on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Note C Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income and Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The changes in components of our accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) during the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008 were as follows:

| | Cur | reign rency islation | | dging vatives | Short-Term Investments and Available for Sale Securities millions) | Accur O Comp | Total mulated other rehensive come |
|---|-----|----------------------------|----|------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Balance as of July 3, 2009 | \$ | (4.4) | \$ | (0.4) | \$ | \$ | (4.8) |
| Foreign currency translation gain | * | 1.4 | • | (** -) | T | • | 1.4 |
| Net unrealized loss on hedging activities | | | | (0.3) | | | (0.3) |
| Balance as of October 2, 2009 | \$ | (3.0) | \$ | (0.7) | \$ | \$ | (3.7) |
| Balance as of June 27, 2008 | \$ | 4.1 | \$ | (0.3) | \$ | \$ | 3.8 |
| Foreign currency translation loss | | (4.2) | | | | | (4.2) |
| Net unrealized gain on hedging activities | | | | 1.4 | | | 1.4 |
| Balance as of September 26, 2008 | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | \$ | 1.0 |

Total comprehensive (loss) income for the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008 was comprised of the following:

Quarter Ended

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | October 2, 2009 | | er 26, 3 |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Net (loss) income Other comprehensive income (loss): Foreign currency translation gain (loss) Net unrealized (loss) gain on hedging activities | \$ (7.8) 1.4 (0.3) | (In millions) | 6.5 (4.2) 1.4 |
| Total comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (6.7) | \$ | 3.7 |
| 8 | | | |

Note D Receivables

Our receivables are summarized below:

| | October | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2, | | | | | | |
| | 2009 | July | y 3, 2009 | | | | |
| | (In millions) | | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 138.7 | \$ | 163.1 | | | | |
| Notes receivable due within one year net | 3.5 | | 6.8 | | | | |
| | 142.2 | | 169.9 | | | | |
| Less allowances for collection losses | (27.9) | | (27.0) | | | | |
| | \$ 114.3 | \$ | 142.9 | | | | |

To comply with requests from our customers for payment terms, we often accept letters of credit with payment terms of up to one year or more, which we then discount with various financial institutions. Under these arrangements, collection risk is fully transferred to the financial institutions. We record the cost of discounting these letters of credit as interest expense. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and 2009 we discounted customer letters of credit totaling \$20.8 million and \$29.3 million and recorded related interest expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million.

Note E Inventories

Our inventories are summarized below:

| | October 2, | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | July 3, 2009 |
| | (In r | nillions) |
| Finished products | \$ 73.3 | \$ 69.9 |
| Work in process | 8.6 | 13.6 |
| Raw materials and supplies | 51.8 | 65.0 |
| | 133.7 | 148.5 |
| Inventory reserves | (41.0) | (49.9) |
| | \$ 92.7 | \$ 98.6 |

Note F Property, Plant and Equipment

Our property, plant and equipment are summarized below:

| | October 2, 2009 | July 3, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | (In | millions) |
| Land | \$ 1.2 | \$ 1.2 |
| Buildings | 21.7 | 21.5 |
| Software developed for internal use | 12.5 | 11.6 |
| Machinery and equipment | 101.7 | 94.8 |
| | 137.1 | 129.1 |

Less accumulated depreciation and amortization

(80.0) (71.7)

\$ 57.1 **\$** 57.4

Depreciation and amortization expense related to plant and equipment, including amortization of software developed for internal use, was \$5.3 million and \$4.7 million during the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008.

Note G Credit Facility and Debt

Our debt consisted of short-term debt of \$10.0 million as of October 2, 2009 and July 3, 2009.

Our credit facility provides for an initial committed amount of \$70 million with an uncommitted option for an additional \$50 million available with the same or additional banks. The initial term of our credit facility is three years expiring June 30, 2011 and provides for (1) demand borrowings (with no stated maturity date) with an interest rate of the greater of Bank of America s prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%, (2) fixed term Eurodollar loans for up to six months or more as agreed with the banks with an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of between 1.25% to 2.00% based on our current leverage ratio and (3) the issuance of standby or commercial letters of credit. The credit facility contains a minimum liquidity ratio covenant and a maximum leverage ratio covenant and is unsecured. As of October 2, 2009, we were in compliance with these financial covenants.

ç

The credit facility allows for borrowings of up to \$70 million with available credit defined as \$70 million less the outstanding balance of short-term borrowings (\$10.0 million as of October 2, 2009) and letters of credit (\$13.2 million as of October 2, 2009). Therefore, available credit as of October 2, 2009 was \$46.8 million. The weighted average interest rate on our short-term borrowings was 1.94% as of October 2, 2009.

As of October 2, 2009, the amount under standby letters of credit outstanding totaled \$2.1 million under a previous credit facility in effect as of the end of fiscal year 2008.

Note H Accrued Warranties

Changes in our warranty liability, which is included as a component of Other accrued items on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, during the first quarters of fiscal 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| | Oc | tober | | | |
| | 2, 2009 | | - | eptember 26, 2008 | |
| | | | (In millions | s) | |
| Balance as of the beginning of the fiscal year | \$ | 5.5 | \$ | 6.9 | |
| Warranty provision for revenue recorded during the first quarter | | 0.7 | | 2.0 | |
| Settlements made during the first quarter | | (0.9) | | (2.0) | |
| Balance as of the end of the first quarter | \$ | 5.3 | \$ | 6.9 | |

Note I Restructuring Activities

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we continued executing restructuring plans and activity that commenced during fiscal 2009 (the Fiscal 2009 Plan) to reduce our workforce in the U.S., France, Canada and other locations throughout the world. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, our restructuring charges totaled \$1.1 million consisting of:

Severance, retention and related charges totaling \$0.9 million associated with reduction in force activities (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

Charges totaling \$0.2 million related to the relocation of U.S. employees to North Carolina from Florida (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

The information in the following table summarizes our restructuring activity during the quarter ended October 2, 2009 and the remaining restructuring liability as of October 2, 2009.

| | a | erance and nefits | 0 | cilities and ther millions) | Т | 'otal |
|--|----|-------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|----|---------------------|
| Restructuring liability as of July 3, 2009 Provision in the quarter (Fiscal 2009 Plan) Cash payments in the quarter | \$ | 2.5 0.9 (1.1) | \$ | 5.3 0.2 (0.9) | \$ | 7.8 1.1 (2.0) |
| Restructuring liability as of October 2, 2009 | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 4.6 | \$ | 6.9 |
| Current portion of restructuring liability as of October 2, 2009 Long-term portion of restructuring liability as of October 2, 2009 | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 2.8 1.8 | | 5.1 1.8 |
| Total restructuring liability as of October 2, 2009 | \$ | 2.3 | \$ | 4.6 | \$ | 6.9 |

The following table summarizes our costs incurred through October 2, 2009 and costs expected to be incurred for our Fiscal 2009 Plan:

| | Inci | l Costs ırred | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| | Du | ring | | ulative | • | | | | |
| | т | 'he | | osts urred | | | 7 | Total | |
| | | | IIIC | urreu | | | J | otai | |
| | Quarter Ended | | through Estimated | | | imated | Restructuring | | |
| | | | | tober | | litional | | Costs | |
| | Octo | October 2, | | 2, | | Costs to be | | pected o be | |
| | 20 | 009 | 2009 | | Incurred | | Incurred | | |
| | | | | (Iı | n million | s) | | | |
| North America: | | | | | | | | | |
| Severance and benefits | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 5.2 | \$ | 2.0 | \$ | 7.2 | |
| Facilities and other | | 0.2 | | 0.9 | | 6.0 | | 6.9 | |
| Total North America | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | 6.1 | \$ | 8.0 | \$ | 14.1 | |
| International: | | | | | | | | | |
| Severance and benefits | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 3.7 | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 4.7 | |
| Facilities and other | | | | | | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Total International | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 3.7 | \$ | 2.0 | \$ | 5.7 | |
| Totals | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 9.8 | \$ | 10.0 | \$ | 19.8 | |

As a result of an increase in the level of outsourcing activity, we expect to incur \$10 million in restructuring charges with the majority of such charges occurring in the North America segment. Specifically, we expect to incur \$6 million of costs to restructure our manufacturing operations in San Antonio with an additional \$2 million to be used for related severance payments.

Note J Share-Based Compensation

Compensation expense for share-based awards was \$1.1 million for each of the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008. Amounts were included in our consolidated statements of operations as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----|--------------|------------------|--|
| | Octo | ber | | | |
| | 2 20 | , | - | mber 26, 2008 | |
| | | | (In millions | i) | |
| Cost of product sales and services | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.2 | |
| Research and development expenses | | 0.1 | | 0.2 | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | | 0.9 | | 0.7 | |
| Total compensation expense | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 1.1 | |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we awarded stock options for 14,247 shares and 7,133 performance shares to one employee and 6,780 shares of restricted stock to a non-employee director.

We issued 688 shares during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 upon the exercise of stock options; none during the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Note K Business Segments

As discussed in Note A to these condensed consolidated financial statements, we previously reported three operating segments in our public filings: North America Microwave, International Microwave and Network Operations. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we realigned the management structure of our Network Operations segment to geographically integrate with our North America Microwave and International Microwave segments to gain cost efficiencies. As a result, we eliminated the Network Operations segment as a separate reporting unit and consolidated this segment into our remaining two segments that are based on the geographical location where revenue is recognized. Additionally, we have dropped the word Microwave from the name of our North America and International segments. Segment information for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 has been adjusted to reflect this change.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, the MTN group in Africa (MTN) and Middle East Telecommunications Company (METCO) each accounted for 12% of our total revenue (two customers accounted for 24% of our total revenue). During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, MTN accounted for 13% of our total revenue. We have entered into separate and distinct contracts with METCO and MTN, as well as separate arrangements with MTN group subsidiaries. None of such other contracts on an individual basis are material to our operations. The loss of all METCO or MTN group business could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and financial position, however.

11

Revenue and (loss) income before income taxes by segment are as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| | October | | | |
| | 2, | Sept | ember 26, | |
| | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| | | (In million | ıs) | |
| Revenue | | | | |
| North America | \$ 48.0 | \$ | 63.0 | |
| International | 72.0 | | 132.8 | |
| Total Revenue | \$ 120.0 | \$ | 195.8 | |
| (Loss) Income Before Income Taxes | | | | |
| Segment Operating (Loss) Income: | | | | |
| North America (1) | \$ (3.2) | \$ | 2.5 | |
| International (2) | (3.2) | | 5.2 | |
| Net interest expense | (0.5) | | (0.3) | |
| (Loss) Income before provision for income taxes | \$ (6.9) | \$ | 7.4 | |

(1) During the first quarter of fiscal 2010 in our North America segment, we recorded \$0.7 million in amortization of developed technology, tradenames and customer relationships, \$0.1 million in amortization of the step-up in the fair value of fixed assets related to the acquisition of Stratex, \$0.8 million in restructuring charges and \$1.0 million in share-based

compensation.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009 in our North America segment, we recorded \$0.4 million in amortization of developed technology, tradenames and customer relationships, \$0.2 million in amortization of the step-up in the fair value of fixed assets related to the acquisition of Stratex, \$2.7 million in restructuring charges and \$0.8 million in share-based compensation.

(2) During the first quarter of fiscal 2010 in our International segment, we recorded \$2.9 million in amortization of developed technology, tradenames and customer relationships, \$0.1 million in amortization of the step-up in the fair value of fixed assets related to the acquisition of Stratex, \$0.3 million in

restructuring charges and \$0.1 million in share-based compensation.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009 in our International segment, we recorded \$2.8 million in amortization of developed technology, tradenames and customer relationships, \$0.4 million in amortization of the step-up in the fair value of fixed assets related to the acquisition of Stratex. \$0.6 million in restructuring charges and \$0.3 million in share-based compensation.

Note L Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 of \$0.9 million is based on our estimated annual effective tax rate adjusted for losses in separate jurisdictions for which no tax benefit can be recognized. The 2010 tax provision was primarily due to tax expense generated in certain profitable foreign jurisdictions. Because our operations are taxable in a number of jurisdictions, income tax expense is recorded on a consolidated pre-tax loss. Our effective tax rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to results of foreign operations that are subject to income taxes at lower statutory rates and certain jurisdictions where we can not recognize tax benefits on current losses.

As of July 3, 2009, we had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$30.9 million for various federal, foreign, and state income tax matters. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$0.4 million. The total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of October 2, 2009 was \$31.3 million. If the unrecognized tax benefits associated with these positions are ultimately recognized, they would not be expected to have a material impact on our effective tax rate or financial position.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are accounted for as part of the provision for federal, foreign, and state income taxes. We accrued no additional amount for such interest during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and less than \$0.1 million in 2009. No penalties have been accrued on any of the unrecognized tax benefits.

We expect that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits may change in the next year; however, it is not expected to have a significant impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Our major tax jurisdictions include the U.S., Singapore, Poland, Nigeria, France and the U.K. The earliest years still open and subject to audits for these jurisdictions are as follows: United States 2003; Singapore 2006; Poland 2004; Nigeria 2004; France 2006; and U.K. 2006. As of October 2, 2009, we were not under audit by any major tax jurisdiction, including the U.S. Internal Revenue Service.

12

Note M Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

We determine fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal market (or most advantageous market, in the absence of a principal market) for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. We try to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs in measuring fair value and establish a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Observable market-based inputs or observable inputs that are corroborated by market data;
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions.

The following table represents the fair value hierarchy of our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) as of October 2, 2009:

| | Level | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--|
| | Level 1 | 2 (In m | Level 3 illions) | Total | |
| Financial Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 32.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Financial Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ | \$ 0.1 | |
| | | | | | |

Note N Risk Management, Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

We are exposed to global market risks, including the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and use derivatives to manage financial exposures that occur in the normal course of business. We do not hold nor issue derivatives for trading purposes or make speculative investments in foreign currencies.

We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives to either specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. We also enter into foreign exchange forward contracts to mitigate the change in fair value of specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet; these are not designated as hedging instruments. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of hedges of recorded balance sheet positions are recognized immediately in cost of external product sales on the consolidated statements of operations together with the transaction gain or loss from the hedged balance sheet position.

Substantially all derivatives outstanding as of October 2, 2009 are designated as cash flow hedges or non-designated hedges of recorded balance sheet positions. All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value. The total notional amount of outstanding derivatives as of October 2, 2009 was \$82.3 million, of which \$11.6 million were designated as cash flow hedges and \$70.7 million were not designated as cash flow hedging instruments.

A 10% adverse change in currency exchange rates for our foreign currency derivatives held as of October 2, 2009 would have an impact of approximately \$5.1 million on the fair value of such instruments. This quantification of exposure to the market risk associated with foreign exchange financial instruments does not take into account the offsetting impact of changes in the fair value of our foreign denominated assets, liabilities and firm commitments.

As of October 2, 2009, we had 51 foreign currency forward contracts outstanding with a total net notional amount of \$27.2 million consisting of 14 different currencies, primarily the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Euro and Polish zloty. Following is a summary by currency of the contract net notional amounts grouped by the underlying foreign currency as of October 2, 2009:

| | Contract |
|------------------------|----------|
| Contract Amount | Amount |
| (Local Currency) | (USD) |

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | (In millions) | |
|--|-------|------------------|--------------------|
| Australian dollar (AUD) net contracts to receive (pay) USD | (AUD) | 6.4 | \$ 5.5 |
| Canadian dollar (CAD) net contracts to receive (pay) USD | (CAD) | (8.5) | \$ (7.9) |
| Euro (EUR) net contracts to receive (pay) USD | (EUR) | 5.3 | \$ 7.8 |
| Polish zloty (PLN) net contracts to receive (pay) USD | (PLN) | 52.6 | \$ 18.3 |
| All other currencies net contracts to receive (pay) USD | | | \$ 3.5 |
| Total of all currencies | | | \$ 27.2 |
| 13 | | | |

The following table presents the fair value of derivative instruments included within our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of October 2, 2009.

| | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Deri | | rivatives | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Balance Sheet | | | Balance Sheet | | |
| | | F | 'air | | | Fair |
| | Location | \mathbf{V} | alue | Location | 7 | Value |
| | | | (In m | illions) | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | |
| | Other | | | Other | | |
| | current | | | current | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | assets | \$ | 0.0 | liabilities | \$ | 0.1 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | |
| | Other | | | Other | | |
| | current | | | current | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | assets | | 0.0 | liabilities | | 0.0 |
| Total derivatives | | \$ | 0.0 | | \$ | 0.1 |

The following table presents the amounts of gains (losses) from cash flow hedges recorded in Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income, the amounts transferred from Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income and recorded in Revenue and Cost of Products Sold, and the amounts associated with excluded time value and hedge ineffectiveness during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 (in millions):

| | F | iscal |
|--|----|-----------|
| | Qı | ıarter |
| | E | nded |
| | Od | ctober 2, |
| Locations of Losses Recorded From Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges | 2 | 2009 |
| Amount of loss of effective hedges recognized in Other Comprehensive Income Amount of loss of effective hedges reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income into: | \$ | (0.2) |
| Revenue Cost of Products Sold | \$ | (0.1) |

Amount of loss recorded into Cost of Products Sold associated with excluded time value Amount of gain (loss) recorded into Cost of Products Sold due to hedge ineffectiveness

Refer to Note M Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities for a description of how the above financial instruments are valued and Note C Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income and Comprehensive (Loss) Income for additional information on changes in other comprehensive (loss) income for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and 2009.

Cash Flow Hedges

The purpose of our foreign currency hedging activities is to protect us from the risk that the eventual cash flows resulting from transactions in foreign currencies, including revenue, product costs, selling and administrative expenses and intercompany transactions will be adversely affected by changes in exchange rates. It is our policy to utilize derivatives to reduce foreign currency exchange risks where internal netting strategies cannot be effectively employed. As of October 2, 2009, hedged transactions included our customer and intercompany backlog and outstanding purchase commitments denominated primarily in Australian dollars, Euros and Polish zlotys. We hedge up to 100% of anticipated exposures typically one to three months in advance, but have hedged as much as five months in advance.

We generally review our exposures twice each month and adjust the amount of derivatives outstanding as needed. All changes in fair values of outstanding cash flow hedge derivatives, except those associated with excluded time value and hedge ineffectiveness are recorded in the consolidated financial statements and the related gain or loss on the transaction is included in net income or loss. In some cases, amounts recorded in other comprehensive income or loss will be released to net income or loss some time after the maturity of the related derivative. The consolidated statement of income classification of effective hedge results is the same as that of the underlying exposure. For example, results of hedges of revenue and product costs are recorded in revenue and cost of external product sales, respectively, when the underlying hedged transaction is recorded.

As of October 2, 2009, \$0.7 million of deferred net losses on both outstanding and matured derivatives accumulated in other comprehensive income or loss are expected to be reclassified to net income or loss during the next twelve months as a result of underlying hedged transactions also being recorded in net income or loss. Actual amounts ultimately reclassified to net income or loss are dependent on the exchange rates in effect when derivative contracts that are currently outstanding mature. As of October 2, 2009, the maximum term over which we are hedging cash flow exposures is four months.

We formally assess both at inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in the hedging transaction have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the value or cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain highly effective in future periods. We discontinue hedge accounting when the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised or it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur. When it is determined that a derivative is not, or has ceased to be, highly effective as a hedge, we discontinue hedge accounting and redesignate the hedge as a non-Statement 133 hedge, if it is still outstanding at the time the determination is made.

14

When we discontinue hedge accounting because it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur in the originally expected period, the gain or loss on the derivative remains in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss and is reclassified to net income or loss when the forecasted transaction affects net income or loss. However, if it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter, the gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income or loss will be recognized immediately in net income or loss. In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, we will carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing future changes in the fair value in cost of external product sales.

Non-Designated Hedges

As mentioned above, the total notional amount of outstanding derivatives as of October 2, 2009 not designated as cash flow hedging instruments was \$70.7 million. The purpose of these hedges is to offset realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses recorded on non-functional currency monetary assets and liabilities, including primarily cash balances and accounts receivable and accounts payable from third party and intercompany transactions recorded on the balance sheet. Since these gains and losses are considered by us to be operational in nature, we record both the gains and losses from the revaluation of the balance sheet transactions and the gains and losses on the derivatives in cost of products sold. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded in cost of products sold the following amount of net losses recorded on non-designated hedges as follows, in millions:

| | Fiscal | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | Quarter | Location of Gain |
| | Ended | (Loss) Recognized |
| | October 2, | in Income on |
| | 2009 | Derivatives |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | |
| Losses on foreign exchange forward contracts | \$ (1.9) | Cost of products |
| | | sold |

Credit Risk

We are exposed to credit-related losses in the event of non-performance by counterparties to hedging instruments. The counterparties to all derivative transactions are major financial institutions with investment grade credit ratings. However, this does not eliminate our exposure to credit risk with these institutions. Should any of these counterparties fail to perform as contracted, we could incur interest charges and unanticipated gains or losses on the settlement of the derivatives in addition to the recorded fair value of the derivative due to non-delivery of the currency. To manage this risk, we have established strict counterparty credit guidelines and maintain credit relationships with several financial institutions providing foreign currency exchange services in accordance with corporate policy. As a result of the above considerations, we consider the risk of counterparty default to be immaterial.

We have informal credit facilities with several commercial banks under which we transact foreign exchange transactions. These facilities are generally restricted to a total notional amount outstanding, a maximum settlement amount in any one day and a maximum term. There are no written agreements supporting these facilities with the exception of one bank which provided us with their general terms and conditions for trading that we acknowledged. None of the facilities are collateralized and none require compliance with financial covenants or contain cross default or other provisions which could affect other credit arrangements we have with the same or other banks. If we fail to deliver currencies as required upon settlement of a trade, the bank may require early settlement on a net basis of all derivatives outstanding and if any amounts are still owing to the bank, they may charge any cash account we have with the bank for that amount.

Note O Net (Loss) Income per Share of Class A and Class B Common Stock

We compute net (loss) income per share of Class A and Class B common stock using the two class method. Basic net (loss) income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) during the period. Such unvested share-based payment awards are considered to be

participating securities.

Diluted net income per share is computed using the number of shares computed for basic net (loss) income per share and, if dilutive, potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options is included in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method. The computation of the diluted net income per share of Class A common stock assumes the conversion of Class B common stock, while the diluted net income per share of Class B common stock does not assume the conversion of those shares.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded net income, so we considered the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding during the period. The rights of our holders of Class A and Class B common stock, including the liquidation and dividend rights, are substantially the same. However, the holders of Class B common stock have the sole and exclusive right to elect or remove

15

the Class B directors, who currently number five of the nine members of our board of directors. Further, our restated certificate of incorporation cannot be amended or replaced to adversely affect the rights of the holders of Class B common stock or to approve a new issuance of Class B common stock without the approval of the holders of a majority of Class B common stock. At any time each holder may exchange the holder s shares of Class B common stock for an equal number of shares of Class A common stock at the holder s option. Under certain circumstances, each share of Class B common stock will convert automatically into one share of Class A common stock. The holders of Class B common stock have the right to preserve their proportionate interest in the company by participating in any issuance of capital stock by the company other than issuances pursuant to stock option or similar employee benefit plan. As a result, the undistributed earnings for each year are allocated based on the contractual participation rights of the Class A and Class B common shares as if the earnings for the year had been distributed. As the liquidation and dividend rights are identical, the undistributed earnings are allocated on a proportionate basis. Further, as we assume the conversion of Class B common stock in the computation of the diluted net income per share of Class A common stock, the undistributed earnings are equal to net income for that computation.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we recorded a net loss, so our basic and diluted net loss per common share amounts are the same. Additionally, we had no Class B shares of common stock outstanding during the first quarter of fiscal 2010, so the table below is not presented for the current period. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock for the quarter ended September 26, 2008:

Ouarter Ended

| | | September 26, 2008 | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|----|-------------|--|
| | (In millions, except | | | t per share | |
| | amounts) | | | | |
| | \mathbf{C} | lass A | C | lass B | |
| Basic net income per share: | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | • • | | | |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings | \$ | 2.9 | \$ | 3.6 | |
| Denominator: | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares and unvested awards outstanding | | 25.6 | | 32.9 | |
| Basic net income per share | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.11 | |
| Diluted net income per share: | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings for basic computation | \$ | 2.9 | \$ | 3.6 | |
| Change in fair value of warrants, net of tax | | (0.2) | | | |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B to | | | | | |
| Class A shares | | 3.6 | | | |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class B shares | | | | (0.2) | |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings | | 6.3 | | 3.4 | |
| Denominator: | | | | | |
| Number of shares used in basic computation | | 25.6 | | 32.9 | |
| Weighted average effect of dilutive securities add: | | | | | |
| Conversion of Class B to Class A common shares outstanding | | 32.9 | | | |
| Stock options | | | | | |
| Warrants | | | | | |

| Number of shares used in per share computations | 58.5 | 32.9 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.10 |

We excluded 2.1 million and 0.5 million shares attributable to outstanding stock options and warrants from the denominator in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for Class A and Class B common stock for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. The net income per share amounts are the same for Class A and Class B because the holders of each class are legally entitled to equal per share distributions whether through dividends or in liquidation.

Note P Preferred Share Purchase Rights

On April 20, 2009, our board of directors adopted a rights plan. The terms of the rights and the rights plan are set forth in a Rights Agreement dated as of April 20, 2009 (the Rights Plan). The Rights Plan is intended to act as a deterrent to any person or group acquiring 15% or more of our outstanding common stock without the approval of our board of directors. The Rights Plan will continue in effect until January 20, 2010, unless it is terminated or redeemed earlier by our board of directors.

Under the Rights Plan, we are authorized to issue one preferred share purchase right (a Right) for each share of our Class A Common Stock outstanding as of the close of business on May 4, 2009. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the Preferred Shares), at a price of \$40.00 per one one-thousandth of a Preferred Share, subject to adjustment.

16

Note Q Legal Proceedings

We and certain of our current and former executive officers and directors were named in a federal securities class action complaint filed on September 15, 2008 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware by plaintiff Norfolk County Retirement System on behalf of an alleged class of purchasers of our securities from January 29, 2007 to July 30, 2008, including shareholders of Stratex Networks, Inc. who exchanged shares of Stratex Networks, Inc. for our shares as part of the merger between Stratex Networks and the Microwave Communications Division of Harris Corporation. This action relates to the restatement of our prior financial statements as discussed in our fiscal 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 25, 2008. Similar complaints were filed in the United States District Court of Delaware on October 6 and October 30, 2008. Each complaint alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, as well as violations of Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and seeks, among other relief, determinations that the action is a proper class action, unspecified compensatory damages and reasonable attorneys fees and costs. The actions were consolidated on June 5, 2009 and a consolidated class action complaint was filed on July 29, 2009. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

On February 8, 2007, a court order was entered against Stratex do Brasil, a subsidiary of Harris Stratex Networks Operating Company, in Brazil, to enforce performance of an alleged agreement between the former Stratex Networks, Inc. entity and a supplier. We have not determined what, if any, liability this may result in, as the court did not award any damages. We have appealed the decision to enforce the alleged agreement, and do not expect this litigation to have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

From time to time, we may be involved in various legal claims and litigation that arise in the normal course of our operations. While the results of such claims and litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, we currently believe that we are not a party to any litigation the final outcome of which is likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, should we not prevail in any such litigation; it could have a materially adverse impact on our operating results, cash flows or financial position.

17

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc.

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 2, 2009, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the quarters ended October 2, 2009 and September 26, 2008. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. and subsidiaries as of July 3, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders—equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein and in our report dated September 3, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of July 3, 2009, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina November 10, 2009

18

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they do not materialize or prove correct, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including statements of, about, concerning or regarding: our plans, strategies and objectives for future operations; our research and development efforts and new product releases and services; trends in revenue; drivers of our business and the markets in which we operate; future economic conditions, performance or outlook and changes in our industry and the markets we serve; the outcome of contingencies; the value of our contract awards; beliefs or expectations; the sufficiency of our cash and our capital needs and expenditures; our intellectual property protection; our compliance with regulatory requirements and the associated expenses; expectations regarding litigation; our intention not to pay cash dividends; seasonality of our business; the impact of foreign exchange and inflation; taxes; and assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as believes, expects, should, would, will, intends, plans, estimates, anticipates, projects, goals, may, potential. or the negative of these terms, and similar words or express forecasts. future. predict. might. could. forward looking statements in this document are based on information available to us as of the date hereof and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based on estimates reflecting our current beliefs. These forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements should therefore be considered in light of various important factors, including those set forth in this document. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from estimates or projections contained in the forward-looking statements include the following: downturn in the global economy affecting customer spend;

the ability of our customers to access capital markets in developing countries;

continued price erosion as a result of increased competition in the microwave transmission industry;

the volume, timing and customer, product and geographic mix of our product orders may have an impact on our operating results;

the ability to achieve our business plans;

the ability to manage and maintain key customer relationships;

the ability to maintain projected product rollouts, product functionality, anticipated cost reductions or market acceptance of planned products;

the ability to successfully integrate entities acquired;

future costs or expenses related to litigation;

the ability of our subcontractors to perform or our key suppliers to manufacture or deliver material;

customers may not pay for products or services in a timely manner, or at all;

the failure to protect our intellectual property rights and its ability to defend itself against intellectual property infringement claims by others;

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

currency and interest rate risks;

the impact of political, economic and geographic risks on international sales;

the impact of slowing growth in the wireless telecommunications market combined with supplier and operator consolidations;

Other factors besides those listed here also could adversely affect us. Additional details and discussions concerning some of the factors that could affect our forward-looking statements or future results are set forth in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K under Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2009. The foregoing list of factors and the factors set forth in Item 1A. Risk Factors included in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K and in Part II. Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not exhaustive. Additional risks and uncertainties not known to us or that we currently believe not to be material also may adversely impact our operations and financial position. Should any risks or uncertainties develop into actual events, these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

19

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our management s opinions only as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, along with provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and we undertake no obligation, other than as imposed by law, to update forward-looking statements to reflect further developments or information obtained after the date of filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or, in the case of any document incorporated by reference, the date of that document, and disclaim any obligation to do so.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - Quarter Ended October 2, 2009 compared with Quarter Ended September 26, 2008

Highlights

Operations results for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 include:

Net loss was \$7.8 million, or \$0.13 per share, in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with net income of \$6.5 million, or \$0.10 per diluted share, in the first quarter of fiscal 2009;

Revenue decreased 38.7 percent to \$120.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 from \$195.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009;

Our North America segment revenue decreased 23.8 percent to \$48.0 million and reporting an operating loss of \$3.2 million compared with operating income of \$2.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009;

Our International segment revenue decreased 45.8 percent to \$72.0 million and reporting an operating loss of \$3.2 million compared with operating income of \$5.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009;

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with \$3.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

Discussion of Consolidated Results of Operations

Revenue and Net (Loss) Income

| | Quar | Quarter Ended | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | October 2, 2009 | September 26, 2008 | Increase/(Decrease) | | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$120.0 | \$ 195.8 | (38.7)% | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (7.8) | \$ 6.5 | N/M | | |
| % of revenue | (6.5)% | 3.3% | | | |

N/M = Not statistically meaningful

Our revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was \$120.0 million, a decrease of \$75.8 million or 38.7%, compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009. This decrease in revenue resulted from significant declines in all regions, most acutely in Africa and Europe, Middle East and Russia. These declines in revenue were primarily due to the global economic recession and the continuing credit crisis adversely affecting our customers—expansion, as well as increased competition from our competitors. Furthermore, revenue has been negatively affected by anticipated or planned consolidation of our customers, particularly in Africa. Revenue by region comparing the first quarter of fiscal 2010 with the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and the related decreases is shown in the table below:

| Qua | rter Ended | Amount | Percentage |
|---------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| October | September 26, | Increase/(Decrease | Increase/(Decrease) |
| 2, | 2008 | | |

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | 2009 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----|-------|----|--------|---------|
| (In millions, except percentages) | | | | | | |
| North America | \$ 48.0 | \$ | 63.0 | \$ | (15.0) | (23.8)% |
| International: | | | | | | |
| Africa | 29.9 | | 65.8 | | (35.9) | (54.6)% |
| Europe, Middle East, and Russia | 18.6 | | 37.8 | | (19.2) | (50.8)% |
| Latin America and AsiaPac | 23.5 | | 29.2 | | (5.7) | (19.5)% |
| Total International | 72.0 | | 132.8 | | (60.8) | (45.8)% |
| Total Revenue | \$ 120.0 | \$ | 195.8 | \$ | (75.8) | (38.7)% |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, the MTN group in Africa (MTN) and Middle East Telecommunications Company (METCO) each accounted for 12% of our total revenue (two customers accounted for 24% of our total revenue). During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, MTN accounted for 13% of our total revenue.

20

Our net loss in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was \$7.8 million compared with net income of \$6.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The net loss in fiscal 2010 included restructuring charges, expenses for rebranding, share-based compensation expense, as well as purchase accounting adjustments and other expenses related to the acquisitions of Stratex and Telsima. These charges and expenses are set forth on a comparative basis in the table below:

| | Fi Qu | irst iscal arter 010 | Fi Qu | irst scal arter 009 |
|--|----------|-------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | | (In m | illions) |) |
| Amortization of developed technology | \$ | 2.1 | \$ | 1.8 |
| Amortization of trade names and customer relationships | | 1.5 | | 1.4 |
| Restructuring charges | | 1.1 | | 3.3 |
| Amortization of the fair value adjustments related to fixed assets and inventory | | 0.2 | | 0.6 |
| Rebranding expenses | | 0.1 | | |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 1.1 | | 1.1 |
| | \$ | 6.1 | \$ | 8.2 |

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we continued executing restructuring plans and activity that commenced during fiscal 2009 (the Fiscal 2009 Plan) to reduce our workforce in the U.S., France, Canada and other locations throughout the world. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, our restructuring charges totaled \$1.1 million consisting of:

Severance, retention and related charges totaling \$0.9 million associated with reduction in force activities (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

Charges totaling \$0.2 million related to the relocation of U.S. employees to North Carolina from Florida (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we implemented a new restructuring plan (the Fiscal 2009 Plan) to reduce our workforce in Canada, Brazil and the U.S. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, our restructuring charges totaled \$3.3 million consisting of:

Severance, retention and related charges associated with reduction in force activities totaling \$3.4 million (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

Impairment of fixed assets (non-cash charges) totaling \$0.5 million at our Canadian location (Fiscal 2009 Plan).

Adjustments to the restructuring liability under our 2007 restructuring plans (the Fiscal 2007 Plans) for changes in estimates related to sub-tenant activity at our U.S. (\$0.3 million) and Canadian locations (\$0.3 million).

As a result of an increase in the level of outsourcing activity, we expect to incur \$10 million in restructuring charges with the majority of such charges occurring in the North America segment. Specifically, we expect to incur \$6 million of costs to restructure our manufacturing operations in San Antonio with an additional \$2 million to be used for related severance payments.

Gross Margin

| Quar | ter Ended | Percentage |
|------------|---------------|---------------------|
| October 2, | September 26, | |
| 2009 | 2008 | Increase/(Decrease) |

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|---------|---------|
| Revenue | \$120.0 | \$ | 195.8 | (38.7)% |
| Cost of product sales and services | (82.3) | | (136.7) | (39.8)% |
| Gross margin | \$ 37.7 | \$ | 59.1 | (36.2)% |
| % of revenue | 31.4% | | 30.2% | |

N/M = Not statistically meaningful

Gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was \$37.7 million, or 31.4% of revenue, compared with \$59.1 million, or 30.2% of revenue in fiscal 2009. Gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 was reduced by \$2.1 million for amortization of developed technology and \$0.1 million of amortization of the fair value of adjustments for fixed assets acquired from Stratex.

By comparison, gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was reduced by \$1.8 million of amortization on developed technology and \$0.2 million for amortization of the fair value of adjustments for fixed assets acquired from Stratex.

Aside from the charges and expenses mentioned above, gross margin and gross margin percentage benefited from lower logistics expenses, lower manufacturing overhead and improved supplier pricing on select projects.

Research and Development Expenses

| | Quarter Ended | | Percentage | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| | October 2, 2009 | September 26, 2008 | | Increase/(Decrease | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$120.0 | \$ | 195.8 | (38.7)% | |
| Research and development expenses | \$ 10.7 | \$ | 10.2 | 4.9% | |
| % of revenue | 8.9% | | 5.2% | | |

Research and development (R&D) expenses were \$10.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with \$10.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. As a percentage of revenue, these expenses increased to 8.9% in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 from 5.2% in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 due to 38.7% lower revenue and a 4.9% increase in spending. The increase in R&D spending in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to increases in the areas of WiMAX and Energy, Security and Surveillance, partially offset by a reduction in TRuepoint 6000 development efforts.

Selling and Administrative Expenses

| | Quarter Ended | | Percentage | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | October 2, 2009 | September 26, 2008 | Increase/(Decrease | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | |
| Revenue | \$120.0 | \$ 195.8 | (38.7)% | |
| Selling and administrative expenses | \$ 30.8 | \$ 36.5 | (15.6)% | |
| % of revenue | 25.7% | 18.6% | | |

The following table summarizes the significant increases and decreases to our selling and administrative expenses comparing the first quarter of fiscal 2010 with the first quarter of fiscal 2009:

| | e/(Decrease) millions) |
|--|---------------------------|
| Decrease in selling expenses and sales commissions due to lower order levels | \$ (2.0) |
| Decrease in facility costs due primarily to restructuring and cost reduction activities during | |
| fiscal 2009 | (1.5) |
| Decrease in fees related to cost of restatement of financial statements during the prior year | (1.0) |
| Decrease in amounts accrued under bonus plans due to lower profitability | (0.6) |
| Decrease in audit and tax fees | (0.4) |
| Increase in administrative costs due to 4G initiatives | 0.2 |
| Other, net | (0.4) |
| | \$ (5.7) |

Income Taxes

| Qua | rter Ended | Percentage |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------|
| October | September 26, | |
| 2, 2009 | 2008 | Increase/(Decrease) |
| (In | millions except pe | ercentages) |

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| (Loss) income before income taxes | \$ (6.9) | \$ 7.4 | N/M |
|--|----------|-----------|-----|
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.9 | |
| % of (loss) income before income taxes | N/M | 12.2% | |

N/M = Not statistically meaningful

The provision for income taxes for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 consists of \$0.9 million of tax expense generated in certain profitable foreign jurisdictions. The provision for income taxes for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and 2009 reflect our pre-tax income based on our estimated annual effective tax rate, adjusted for losses in separate jurisdictions for which no tax benefit can be recognized. Our effective tax rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and 2009 due to results of foreign operations that are subject to income taxes at lower statutory rates and certain jurisdictions where we can not recognize tax benefits on current losses.

22

Discussion of Business Segment Results of Operations North America Segment

| | Quarter Ended | | | Percentage | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------|--|
| | October 2, 2009 | September 26, 2008 | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$48.0 | \$ | 63.0 | (23.8)% | |
| Segment operating income (loss) | \$ (3.2) | \$ | 2.5 | N/M | |
| % of revenue | (6.7)% | | 4.0% | | |

N/M = Not statistically meaningful

North America segment revenue decreased by \$15.0 million, or 23.8%, in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009. This decrease in revenue resulted primarily from the global economic recession and the continuing credit crisis adversely affecting our customers—expansion. The operating loss in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 resulted primarily from the decline in revenue when compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

The North America segment first quarter fiscal 2010 operating loss included deductions for the following amounts related to the acquisition of Stratex: \$0.1 million for amortization of the fair value adjustments for fixed assets, \$0.7 million for amortization of developed technology, trade names and customer relationships and \$0.8 million of restructuring charges. The total of such charges was less for this segment than in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

The operating loss for this segment in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 included the following amounts related to the acquisition of Stratex: \$0.2 million for amortization of the fair value adjustments for fixed assets, \$0.4 million for amortization of developed technology, trade names and customer relationships and \$2.7 million of restructuring charges.

The North America segment operating results also included \$1.0 million in share-based compensation expense during the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

International Segment

| Quarter Ended | | | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| October 2, 2009 | Sept | tember 26, 2008 | Increase/(Decrease) |
| (In millions, except percentages) | | | |
| \$72.0 | \$ | 132.8 | (45.8)% |
| \$ (3.2) | \$ | 5.2 | N/M |
| (4.4)% | | 3.9% | |
| | October 2, 2009 (I \$72.0 \$ (3.2) | October 2, 2009 (In million \$72.0 \$ \$ (3.2) \$ | October September 26, 2, 2009 2008 (In millions, except pe \$72.0 \$ 132.8 \$ (3.2) \$ 5.2 |

N/M = Not statistically meaningful

International segment revenue decreased by \$60.8 million or 45.8% in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009. This decrease in revenue resulted from significant declines in all regions, most acutely in Africa and Europe, Middle East and Russia. These declines in revenue were primarily due to the global economic recession and the continuing credit crisis adversely affecting our customers expansion, as well as increased competition from our competitors.

The International segment operating loss in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 resulted primarily from the decline in revenue when compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The operating loss in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 included deductions for the following charges related to the acquisition of Stratex: \$0.1 million for amortization of the fair value adjustments for fixed assets, \$2.9 million for amortization of developed technology, trade names and customer relationships and \$0.3 million of restructuring charges. The total of such charges was less for this segment than in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

The operating income in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 included deductions for the following charges related to the acquisition of Stratex: \$0.4 million for amortization of the fair value adjustments for fixed assets, \$2.8 million for amortization of developed technology, trade names and customer relationships and \$0.6 million of restructuring

Edgar Filing: Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. - Form 10-Q

charges.

We also recorded \$0.1 million in share-based compensation expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 in our International segment compared with \$0.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

23

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Cash

As of October 2, 2009, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of \$133.0 million in cash and cash equivalents plus \$46.8 million of available credit under our current \$70 million credit facility with two commercial banks. Cash flow from operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 totaled \$4.4 million. However, our total accounts receivable has declined to \$114.3 million as of October 2, 2009 from \$142.9 million as of July 3, 2009. As a result, we have a lower level of receivables compared with prior periods as a source of cash, which may negatively affect our cash flow.

Available Credit Facility and Repayment of Debt

As of October 2, 2009, we had \$46.8 million of credit available under our \$70 million revolving credit facility with two commercial banks as mentioned above. The total amount of revolving credit available was \$70 million less \$10 million in outstanding short term loans which mature by December 2010, and \$13.2 million in outstanding standby letters of credit issued under the facility.

The initial commitment of \$70 million under the facility is currently divided equally between Bank of America and Silicon Valley Bank, with each providing \$35 million. The initial term of the facility expires in June 2011 and provides for (1) demand borrowings at the greater of Bank of America s prime rate and the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%, (2) fixed term Eurodollar loans for six months or more as agreed with the banks at LIBOR plus a spread of between 1.25% to 2.00% based on the company s current leverage ratio and (3) the issuance of standby or commercial letters of credit. The facility contains a minimum liquidity ratio covenant and a maximum leverage ratio covenant and is unsecured.

Based on covenants included as part of the credit facility we must maintain, as measured at the last day of each fiscal quarter, (1) no more than a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1 (defined as the ratio of total consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA for the four fiscal quarters most recently ended) and (2) a minimum liquidity coverage ratio of 1.75 to 1 (defined as the ratio of total unrestricted cash and equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities plus 50% of total monetary receivables to the total amount of outstanding loans and letter of credit obligations under the facility). As of October 2, 2009, we were in compliance with these financial covenants.

Restructuring and Payments

We have a liability for restructuring activities totaling \$6.9 million as of October 2, 2009, of which \$5.1 million is classified as a current liability and expected to be paid out in cash over the next year. We expect to fund these future payments with available and cash flow provided by operations.

Commercial Commitments and Contractual Obligations

The amounts disclosed in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K include our commercial commitments and contractual obligations. During the quarter ended October 2, 2009, no material changes occurred in our contractual cash obligations to repay debt, to purchase goods and services and to make payments under operating leases or our commercial commitments and contingent liabilities on outstanding letters of credit, guarantees and other arrangements as disclosed in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K, except surety bonds used for bids and performance declined by \$18.4 million.

Additionally, during October 2009, subsequent to the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we entered into a 10 year lease for office space in San Jose California, as a result, our total operating lease commitments will increase by approximately \$24 million. Our rent expense will not be materially affected during future periods.

Critical Accounting Estimates

For information about our critical accounting estimates, see the Critical Accounting Estimates section of Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 3, 2009.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

As described in Note B Accounting Changes and Recent Accounting Pronouncements in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, there are accounting pronouncements that have recently been issued but have not yet been implemented by us. Note B describes the potential impact that these pronouncements are expected to have on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Financial Risk Management

In the normal course of doing business, we are exposed to the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates. We employ established policies and procedures governing the use of financial instruments to manage our exposure to such risks.

Exchange Rate Risk

Descriptions of our exchange rate risk are incorporated by reference from Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note N in response to this item.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our cash equivalents and bank debt.

Exposure on Cash and Cash Equivalents

We do not use derivative financial instruments in our short-term investment portfolio. We invest in high-credit quality issues and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer and country. The portfolio includes only marketable securities with active secondary or resale markets to ensure portfolio liquidity. The portfolio is also diversified by maturity to ensure that funds are readily available as needed to meet our liquidity needs. This policy reduces the potential need to sell securities in order to meet liquidity needs and therefore the potential effect of changing market rates on the value of securities sold.

We had \$133.0 million in cash and cash equivalents as of October 2, 2009.

The primary objective of our short-term investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing yields, without significantly increasing risk. Our cash equivalents earn interest at fixed rates; therefore, changes in interest rates will not generate a gain or loss on these investments unless they are sold prior to maturity. Actual gains and losses due to the sale of our investments prior to maturity have been immaterial. The weighted average days to maturity for cash equivalents held as of October 2, 2009 was one day, and these investments had an average yield of 0.18% per annum. A 10% change in interest rates on our cash and cash equivalents is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Cash equivalents have been recorded at fair value on our balance sheet.

Exposure on Borrowings

During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, borrowings under our \$70 million revolving credit facility incurred interest under the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.25%. As of October 2, 2009, our weighted average interest rate was 1.94%. During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we had \$10 million of short-term borrowings outstanding under the credit facility and recorded total interest expense of \$0.1 million on these borrowings. A 10% change in interest rates on the current borrowings or on future borrowings is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows since interest on our short-term debt is not material to our overall financial position.

25

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on our management s evaluation (with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer), as of the end of the quarter covered by this report, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)), are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting are or will be capable of preventing or detecting all errors and all fraud. Any control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system is objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Descriptions of our legal proceedings are incorporated by reference from Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note Q in response to this item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Investors should carefully review and consider the information regarding certain factors which could materially affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition set forth under Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K.

We do not believe that there have been any other material additions or changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in our Fiscal 2009 Form 10-K, although we may disclose changes to such factors or disclose additional factors from time to time in our future filings with the SEC. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the SEC:

- (15) Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
- (31.1) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- (31.2) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- (32.1) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HARRIS STRATEX NETWORKS, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: November 10, 2009 By: /s/ J. Russell Mincey

J. Russell Mincey

Vice President, Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer and duly authorized

officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| (15) | Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information. |
| (31.1) | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| (31.2) | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| (32.1) | Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. 27 |