

Professional Diversity Network, Inc.  
Form 8-K  
November 08, 2018

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**Form 8-K**

**CURRENT REPORT**

**Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**Date of Report (Date of Earliest Event Reported): November 8, 2018 (November 5, 2018)**

**PROFESSIONAL DIVERSITY NETWORK, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

**Delaware**                      **001-35824**    **80-0900177**  
(State of other Jurisdiction) (Commission) (IRS Employer)

of Incorporation) File Number) Identification Number)

**801 W. Adams Street, Sixth Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60607**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(312) 614-0950**

(Former name or former address if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an emerging growth company as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 (§230.405 of this chapter) or Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (§240.12b-2 of this chapter).

Emerging growth company

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. [ ]

### **Item 1.01 Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement**

### **Item 3.02 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

On November 5, 2018, Professional Diversity Network, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “**Company**”), entered into a note purchase agreement (the “**Note Purchase Agreement**”) with GNet Tech Holdings Public Limited Company (the “**GNet Tech**”), pursuant to which the Company issued to GNet Tech a \$500,000 convertible promissory note with an interest rate of 6% per annum (the “**Note**”). The Note shall mature six months after the date of issuance (the “**Maturity Date**”). Pursuant to the Note Purchase Agreement and the Note, at any time on or after the Maturity Date, at the election of the note holder, the Note will convert into the Company’s common stock (the “**Common Stock**”) at a conversion price of the lower of (i) the closing price of the Common Stock on NASDAQ immediately preceding the date of issuance or the date of conversion, as applicable, or (ii) the average closing price of the Common Stock on NASDAQ for the five trading days immediately preceding the date of issuance or the date of conversion, as applicable (the “**Minimum Price**”). However, in no event shall the conversion price be less than the Minimum Price on the date of issuance.

The issuance of the Note is exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as a transaction not involving a public offering.

The foregoing description of the terms of the Note Purchase Agreement and the Note does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to the forms of the Note Purchase Agreement and the Note, which are filed herewith as Exhibit 99.1 and 99.2, and are incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.**

(d) Exhibits.

99.1 Form of the Note Purchase Agreement

99.2 Form of the Convertible Promissory Note

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 8, 2018 **PROFESSIONAL  
DIVERSITY NETWORK,  
INC.**

By: */s/ Jiangping (Gary) Xiao*  
Jiangping (Gary) Xiao  
Chief Financial Officer

\$.07 and \$.06 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively) in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. These items are discussed below.

Restructurings

Included in the total restructuring charges for 2006 is \$12.3 million related to initiatives by the company to improve operating efficiencies. These initiatives, in the aggregate, are expected to generate annual cost savings of approximately \$9.0 million beginning in 2007.

During 2005, 2004, and 2003, the company announced a series of steps to make its organizational structure more efficient. The cumulative restructuring charges associated with these actions total \$61.8 million, which include restructuring charges of \$.2 million, \$13.8 million, and \$9.8 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The restructuring charges for 2005 and 2004 are net of a gain of \$2.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, on the sale of facilities. Included in the restructuring charge for 2005 was a \$1.3 million loss resulting from the sale of the company's Cable Assembly business. Approximately 85% of the total charge was spent in cash.

At December 31, 2006, \$4.3 million of the previously discussed charges were accrued but unused of which \$2.6 million are for personnel costs and \$1.7 million are to address remaining facilities commitments.

## Table of Contents

Also during 2006, the company recorded a restructuring credit against the accrual related to the 2001 restructuring of \$.7 million. During 2005, the company recorded a restructuring credit against the accrual of \$1.0 million related to the 2001 restructuring. At December 31, 2006, \$4.2 million related to the 2001 restructuring was accrued but unused of which \$1.4 million is to address remaining real estate lease commitments and \$2.8 million primarily relates to the termination of certain customer programs.

### Integration

During 2005, the company recorded \$2.3 million as additional cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired associated with the Disway acquisition.

During 2004, the company recorded an integration credit, due to a change in estimate, of \$2.3 million (\$1.4 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), which primarily related to the final negotiation of facilities related obligations for numerous acquisitions made prior to 2001.

At December 31, 2006, the integration accrual of \$3.4 million related to the acquisition of Disway in 2004 and certain acquisitions made prior to 2004 and is for remaining contractual obligations.

### Restructuring and Integration Summary

The remaining balances of the restructuring and integration accruals aggregate \$11.9 million at December 31, 2006, of which \$9.0 million is expected to be spent in cash, will be utilized as follows:

- The personnel costs accruals of \$2.6 million will be utilized to cover costs associated with the termination of personnel, which are primarily expected to be spent through 2007.
- The facilities accruals totaling \$5.8 million relate to vacated leases with expiration dates through 2010, of which \$2.4 million will be paid in 2007, \$1.4 million in 2008, \$1.2 million in 2009, and \$.8 million in 2010.
- The customer termination accrual of \$2.8 million relates to costs associated with the termination of certain customer programs, primarily related to services not traditionally provided by the company, and is expected to be utilized over several years.
- Other of \$.7 million primarily relates to certain terminated contracts and is expected to be utilized over several years.

### Acquisition Indemnification

During the first quarter of 2005, Tekelec, a French subsidiary of the company, entered into a settlement agreement with Airtronic pursuant to which Airtronic paid 1.5 million (approximately \$2.0 million) to Tekelec in full settlement of all of Tekelec's claims for indemnification under the purchase agreement. The company recorded the net amount of the settlement of \$1.7 million (\$1.3 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on a basic basis) as an acquisition indemnification credit.

In August 2004, an agreement was reached with the French tax authorities pursuant to which Tekelec agreed to pay 3.4 million in full settlement of a claim asserted by the French tax authorities related to alleged fraudulent activities concerning value-added tax by Tekelec. The alleged fraudulent activities occurred prior to the company's purchase of Tekelec from Airtronic. The company recorded an acquisition indemnification credit of 7.9 million (\$9.7 million at the exchange rate prevailing on August 12, 2004 or \$.09 and \$.08 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), in 2004, to reduce the liability previously recorded ( 11.3 million) to the required level ( 3.4 million). In December 2004, Tekelec paid 3.4 million in full settlement of this claim.

### Impairment

In 2004, the company recorded an impairment charge related to cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired of \$10.0 million (\$.09 and \$.08 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). This non-cash charge principally related to the company's electronic components operations in Latin America. In calculating the impairment charge, the fair value of the reporting units was estimated using a weighted average multiple of earnings before interest and taxes from comparable businesses.

**Table of Contents**

**Pre-Acquisition Warranty Claim**

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the company recorded a charge of \$2.8 million (\$1.9 million net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) related to a pre-acquisition warranty claim.

**Pre-Acquisition Environmental Matters**

As discussed in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in 2000, when the company purchased Wyle from VEBA, the company assumed Wyle's then outstanding obligations. Among the obligations the company assumed was Wyle's 1994 indemnification of the purchasers of one of its divisions, Wyle Laboratories, for costs associated with then existing contamination or violation of environmental regulations. Under the terms of the company's purchase of Wyle, VEBA agreed to indemnify the company for, among other things, costs related to environmental pollution associated with Wyle, including those associated with its prior sale of Wyle Laboratories. VEBA has since merged with E.ON AG, a German-based multinational conglomerate. The company's net costs for such indemnified matters may vary from period to period as estimates of recoveries are not always recognized in the same period as the accrual of estimated expenses. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the company recorded a charge of \$1.4 million (\$.9 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) related to the environmental matters arising out of the company's purchase of Wyle.

**Stock-Based Compensation Expense**

Effective January 1, 2006, the company adopted the provisions of Statement No. 123(R), which requires share-based payment ( SBP ) awards exchanged for employee services to be measured at fair value and expensed in the consolidated statements of operations over the requisite employee service period. The company adopted the modified prospective transition method provided for under Statement No. 123(R) and, accordingly, did not restate prior period amounts.

As a result of adopting Statement No. 123(R), the company recorded, as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses, a charge of \$13.0 million (\$8.5 million net of related taxes or \$.07 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) for 2006 relating to the expensing of stock options. Upon adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the company evaluated the need to record a cumulative effect adjustment relating to estimated forfeitures for unvested previously issued awards and concluded the impact was not material. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements ( Note 1 ) for a further discussion on stock-based compensation.

**Operating Income**

The company recorded operating income of \$606.2 million in 2006 as compared with operating income of \$480.3 million in 2005.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$161.3 million, or 13.4%, in 2006, on a sales increase of 21.6% compared with 2005. The dollar increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in 2006, as compared with the year-earlier period, was due to selling, general and administrative expense incurred by DNS and Ultra Source of \$66.0 million and \$13.0 million for the expensing of stock options as a result of the company adopting Statement No. 123(R), with the difference attributable to higher variable selling expenses



**Table of Contents**

due to increased sales. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, was 10.0% and 10.8% for 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales, compared with the year-earlier period, was primarily the result of the acquisitions of DNS and Ultra Source, which have lower operating expense structures, and due to the company's ability to more effectively leverage its existing cost structure to support a higher level of sales.

The company recorded operating income of \$480.3 million in 2005 as compared with operating income of \$439.3 million in 2004.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$19.1 million, or 1.6%, in 2005 on a sales increase of 4.9% compared with 2004. This decrease was primarily due to the cost savings resulting from the company's initiatives to be more efficiently organized, offset, in part, by the impact of the acquisition of Disway in 2004.

**Loss on Prepayment of Debt**

The company recorded a loss on prepayment of debt of \$2.6 million (\$1.6 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), \$4.3 million (\$2.6 million net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), and \$33.9 million (\$20.3 million net of related taxes or \$.18 and \$.16 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively) in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. These items are discussed below.

During 2006, the company redeemed the total amount outstanding of \$283.2 million principal amount (\$156.4 million accreted value) of its zero coupon convertible debentures due in 2021 ( convertible debentures ) and repurchased \$4.1 million principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The related loss on the redemption and repurchase, including any related premium paid, write-off of deferred financing costs, and cost of terminating a portion of the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$2.6 million (\$1.6 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and is recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2.6 million from the dates of redemption and repurchase through the respective maturity dates, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the redemption and repurchase.

During 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$151.8 million accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$3.2 million (\$1.9 million net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$26.8 million principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The premium paid, the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase of this debt, and the loss for terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$1.1 million (\$.7 million net of related taxes). These charges totaled \$4.3 million (\$2.6 million net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$1.7 million in cash, and were recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2.4 million from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

During 2004, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$319.8 million accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$15.0 million (\$9.0 million net of related taxes or \$.08 and \$.07 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2004, the company repurchased and/or redeemed, through a series of transactions, \$250.0 million principal amount of its 8.7% senior notes due in October 2005. The premium paid and the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase and/or redemption of this debt, net of the gain recognized by terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$18.9 million (\$11.3 million net of related taxes or \$.10 and \$.09 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). These charges totaled \$33.9 million (\$20.3 million net of related taxes or \$.18 and \$.16 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$28.2 million in cash, and were recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$36.2 million from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

**Write-Down of Investments**

During 2005, the company determined that an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of its investment in Marubun Corporation had occurred and, accordingly, recognized a loss of \$3.0 million (\$.03 per share on



**Table of Contents**

both a basic and diluted basis) on the write-down of this investment. During 2004, the company determined that an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of an investment had occurred and, accordingly, recognized a loss of \$1.3 million (\$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) on the write-down of this investment.

**Tax Items**

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the company settled certain tax matters covering multiple years. As a result of the settlement of the tax matters, the company recorded a reduction of the provision for income taxes of \$46.2 million (\$.38 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), of which \$40.4 million (\$.33 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) related to tax years prior to 2006.

**Interest Expense**

Net interest expense decreased 1.4% in 2006 to \$90.6 million, compared with \$91.8 million in 2005, primarily as a result of the previously discussed resolution of certain tax matters, which resulted in a reduction of related interest expense of \$6.9 million (\$4.2 million net of related taxes or \$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), of which \$4.0 million (\$2.4 million net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) related to tax years prior to 2006, and lower debt balances, offset by higher variable-rate debt and reduced interest income. Interest income decreased \$6.0 million in 2006 compared with the year-earlier period primarily due to the use of interest-bearing cash to redeem the convertible debentures and to fund acquisitions.

Net interest expense decreased 11.0% in 2005 to \$91.8 million, compared with \$103.2 million in 2004, primarily as a result of lower debt balances.

**Income Taxes**

The company recorded an income tax provision of \$128.5 million on income before income taxes and minority interest of \$518.3 million for 2006 (an effective tax rate of 24.8%) compared with an income tax provision of \$131.2 million on income before income taxes and minority interest of \$385.6 million (an effective tax rate of 34.0%) for 2005. The income taxes recorded in 2006 are impacted by the previously discussed resolution of certain tax matters, which resulted in a reduction in the provision for income taxes of \$46.2 million, of which \$40.4 million related to tax years prior to 2006, and the previously discussed restructuring charges, pre-acquisition warranty claim, and pre-acquisition environmental matters. Excluding the impact related to tax years prior to 2006 of the previously discussed resolution of certain tax matters, the company's effective tax rate would have been 32.3%. The income taxes recorded in 2005 are impacted by the previously discussed restructuring charges, acquisition indemnification credit, and write-down of an investment. There was no tax benefit provided on the aforementioned write-down of investment in 2005 as this capital loss was not deductible for tax purposes. The company's income tax provision and effective tax rate is primarily impacted by, among other factors, the statutory tax rates in the countries in which it operates and the related level of income generated by these operations.

The company recorded an income tax provision of \$131.2 million on income before income taxes and minority interest of \$385.6 million for 2005 (an effective tax rate of 34.0%) compared with an income tax provision of \$96.4 million on income before income taxes and minority interest of \$305.0 million (an effective tax rate of 31.6%) for 2004. The income taxes recorded in 2004 were impacted by the previously discussed restructuring charges, integration credit, impairment charge, and write-down of an investment. The acquisition indemnification credit in 2004 did not result in a tax charge. There was no tax benefit provided on the aforementioned write-down of investments in 2005 and 2004 as these capital losses are not currently deductible for tax purposes.

**Net Income**

The company recorded net income of \$388.3 million for 2006, compared with \$253.6 million in the year-earlier period. Included in the results for 2006 are the previously discussed restructuring charges of \$9.0 million, a charge related to a pre-acquisition warranty claim of \$1.9 million, a charge related to pre-acquisition environmental matters arising out of the company's purchase of Wyle of \$.9 million, stock option expense of \$8.5 million,

**Table of Contents**

a loss on prepayment of debt of \$1.6 million, and the reduction of the provision for income taxes of \$46.2 million and the reduction of interest expense, net of related taxes, of \$4.2 million related to the settlement of certain tax matters totaling \$50.4 million. The acquisitions of DNS, Ultra Source, and Alternative Technology generated net income of \$11.5 million in 2006. The company recorded net income of \$253.6 million for 2005, compared with \$207.5 million in the year-earlier period. Included in the results for 2005 are the previously discussed restructuring charges of \$7.3 million, acquisition indemnification credit of \$1.3 million, loss on prepayment of debt of \$2.6 million, and loss of \$3.0 million on the write-down of an investment. Included in the results for 2004 are the previously discussed restructuring charges of \$6.9 million, acquisition indemnification credit of \$9.7 million, impairment charge of \$10.0 million, integration credit of \$1.4 million, loss on prepayment of debt of \$20.3 million, and loss of \$1.3 million on the write-down of an investment.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the company had cash and cash equivalents of \$337.7 million and \$580.7 million, respectively. The net amount of cash provided by the company's operating activities during 2006 was \$120.8 million, primarily due to earnings from operations, adjusted for non-cash items, offset, in part, by increased inventory purchases, and increased accounts receivable supporting increased sales in the worldwide electronic components businesses. The net amount of cash used for investing activities during 2006 was \$238.7 million, primarily reflecting \$66.1 million for various capital expenditures and \$176.2 million for consideration paid for acquired businesses. The net amount of cash used for financing activities during 2006 was \$132.7 million, including \$160.6 million used to repurchase convertible debentures and senior notes, \$15.7 million in other long-term debt repayments, and net repayments of short-term borrowings of \$22.3 million, offset by \$59.2 million for proceeds from the exercise of stock options and \$6.7 million relating to excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was an increase of \$7.6 million.

The net amount of cash generated by the company's operating activities during 2005 was \$402.5 million, primarily from earnings from operations adjusted for non-cash items, and the company's ability to reduce the amount of net working capital required to support sales. The net amount of cash used for investing activities during 2005 was \$32.8 million, including \$179.0 million for consideration paid for acquired businesses, \$33.2 million for various capital expenditures offset, in part, by \$158.6 million for net proceeds from the sale of short-term investments and \$18.4 million from the sale of facilities. The net amount of cash used for financing activities during 2005 was \$88.4 million, primarily reflecting \$152.4 million used to repurchase convertible debentures and \$27.8 million used to repay senior notes offset by \$82.2 million for proceeds from the exercise of stock options and a change in short-term borrowings of \$12.0 million. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was a decrease of \$5.9 million.

The net amount of cash provided by operating activities in 2004 was \$187.5 million, primarily from earnings from operations, adjusted for non-cash items and the net impact of the charges, credits, and losses, partially offset by investments in working capital to support increased sales. The net amount of cash used for investing activities during 2004 was \$196.4 million, including \$158.6 million for net purchases of short-term investments, \$35.0 million for consideration paid for acquired businesses, and \$23.5 million for various capital expenditures offset, in part, by proceeds of \$10.5 million from the sale of facilities. The net amount of cash used for financing activities during 2004 was \$300.6 million, primarily reflecting \$329.6 million used to repurchase convertible debentures, \$268.4 million used to repay senior notes, and a change in short-term borrowings of \$39.9 million offset by the net proceeds of \$312.5 million from the sale of common stock in February 2004 and \$27.9 million from the exercise of stock options. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was an increase of \$2.4 million.

**Cash Flows from Operating Activities**

The company historically has maintained a significant investment in accounts receivable and inventories. As a percentage of total assets, accounts receivable and inventories were approximately 66.0% and 63.1% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net cash provided by the company's operating activities decreased by \$281.7 million in 2006, as compared with the year-earlier period, primarily due to investments in working capital to support increased sales offset by earnings from operations adjusted for non-cash items. Working capital as a percentage of sales was 19.2% in 2006 compared with 19.6% in 2005.



**Table of Contents**

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$215.0 million in 2005, as compared with the year-earlier period, primarily reflecting the company's initiatives to manage working capital more efficiently and earnings from operations. Working capital as a percentage of sales was 19.6% in 2005 compared with 20.7% in 2004.

**Cash Flows from Investing Activities**

In December 2006, the company acquired InTechnology, a distributor of storage and security solutions to VARs based in the United Kingdom, for a purchase price of \$80.5 million, which included acquisition costs. The cash consideration paid was \$80.5 million.

In November 2006, the company acquired Alternative Technology, a leading specialty distributor of access infrastructure and security solutions based in Englewood, Colorado, for a purchase price of \$77.3 million, which included \$17.5 million of debt paid at closing, cash acquired of \$2.3 million, and acquisition costs. Additional cash consideration ranging from zero to a maximum of \$4.8 million may be due if Alternative Technology achieves certain specified financial performance targets over a three-year period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired, was \$75.0 million.

In February 2006, the company acquired SKYDATA Corporation (SKYDATA), a value-added distributor of data storage solutions with sales in 2005 of approximately \$43.0 million. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired of \$3.2 million, was \$9.8 million. The impact of the SKYDATA acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In December 2005, the company acquired DNS, a distributor of mid-range computer products in Central, Northern, and Eastern Europe, for a purchase price of \$116.2 million, which included cash acquired as well as acquisition costs. In addition, there was the assumption of \$30.6 million in debt. Additional cash consideration ranging from zero to a maximum of \$12.8 million (approximately \$16.9 million at the December 31, 2006 exchange rate) may be due if DNS achieves certain specified financial performance targets over a two-year period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired of \$7.5 million, was \$108.7 million.

In December 2005, through a series of transactions, the company acquired 70.7% of the common shares of Ultra Source, one of the leading electronic components distributors in Taiwan, for a purchase price of \$64.6 million, which included cash acquired as well as acquisition costs. In addition, Ultra Source had \$78.9 million in debt and \$19.5 million in cash. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired, was \$45.2 million.

In July 2005, the company acquired the component distribution business of Connektron Pty. Ltd (Connektron), a passive, electromechanical, and connectors distributor in Australia and New Zealand. The cash consideration paid was \$2.5 million. The impact of the Connektron acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In July 2004, the company acquired Disway, an electronic components distributor in Italy, Germany, Austria, and Switzerland. The company made a final payment of \$20.1 million during 2005 related to this acquisition. The impact of the Disway acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the company made payments of \$4.7 million, \$2.5 million, and \$.8 million, respectively, which were capitalized as cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired, partially offset by the carrying value of the related minority interest, to increase its ownership interest in majority-owned subsidiaries.

During 2005, the net proceeds from the sale of short-term investments were \$158.6 million. During 2004, the net purchases of short-term investments were \$158.6 million.

Capital expenditures were \$66.1 million, \$33.2 million, and \$23.5 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. During 2006, the company initiated a global ERP effort to standardize processes worldwide and adopt best-in-class capabilities. Implementation is expected to be phased-in over the next four years. For 2007, the estimated cash flow impact of this initiative is expected to be in the \$70 to \$80 million range. The company expects to finance these costs from cash flow from operations.

The company received proceeds of \$18.4 million and \$10.5 million during 2005 and 2004, respectively, on the sale of facilities.

**Table of Contents****Cash Flows from Financing Activities**

Net payments of short-term debt were \$22.3 million in 2006, net borrowings of short-term debt were \$12.0 million in 2005, and net payments of short-term debt were \$39.9 million in 2004. Repayments of long-term debt were \$15.7 million, \$2.4 million, and \$3.1 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Proceeds from the exercise of stock options were \$59.2 million, \$82.2 million, and \$27.9 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

During 2006, the company redeemed the total amount outstanding of \$283.2 million principal amount (\$156.4 million accreted value) of its convertible debentures and repurchased \$4.1 million principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The related loss on the redemption and repurchase, including any related premium paid, write-off of deferred financing costs, and cost of terminating a portion of the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$2.6 million (\$1.6 million net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis). As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2.6 million from the dates of redemption and repurchase through the respective maturity dates, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the redemption and repurchase.

During 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$151.8 million accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$3.2 million (\$1.9 million net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$26.8 million principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The premium paid, the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase of this debt, and the loss for terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$1.1 million (\$.7 million net of related taxes). These charges totaled \$4.3 million (\$2.6 million net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$1.7 million in cash. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2.4 million from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

During 2004, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$319.8 million accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$15.0 million (\$9.0 million net of related taxes or \$.08 and \$.07 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2004, the company repurchased and/or redeemed, through a series of transactions, \$250.0 million principal amount of its 8.7% senior notes due in October 2005. The premium paid and the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase and/or redemption of this debt, net of the gain recognized by terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$18.9 million (\$11.3 million net of related taxes or \$.10 and \$.09 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). These charges totaled \$33.9 million (\$20.3 million net of related taxes or \$.18 and \$.16 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$28.2 million in cash. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$36.2 million from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

In June 2004, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps (the 2004 swaps), with an aggregate notional amount of \$300.0 million. The 2004 swaps modify the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 9.15% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.73% and 8.57% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), and a portion of the fixed 6.875% senior notes to a floating rate also based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 7.50% and 5.55% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), through their maturities. The 2004 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$3.2 million and a fair value of \$.4 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In November 2003, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps (the 2003 swaps), with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million. The 2003 swaps modify the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 7% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.55% and 7.77% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively) through their maturities. The 2003 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$.2 million and \$4.1 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2003 swaps related to the 7% senior notes were terminated in January 2007 upon the repayment of the 7% senior notes.

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

In January 2007, the company amended and restated its bank credit agreement and, among other things, increased the revolving credit facility size from \$600.0 million to \$800.0 million and entered into a term loan of \$200.0 million. Interest on borrowings under the revolving credit facility is based on a base rate or a euro



**Table of Contents**

currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (.425% at January 11, 2007). The company had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility at December 31, 2006 and 2005. The credit facility matures in January 2012. The facility fee related to the credit facility is .125%. In January 2007, the company borrowed \$200.0 million under the term loan facility. The \$200.0 million term loan is repayable in full in January 2012. Interest on the term loan is based on a base rate or euro currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (.60% at January 11, 2007).

The company has a \$550.0 million asset securitization program (the program). At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there were no receivables sold to and held by third parties under the program, and, as such, the company had no obligations outstanding under the program. The program expires in February 2008. The program agreement requires annual renewals of the banks' underlying liquidity facilities, and the next renewal date is May 2007. The facility fee related to the program agreement is .175%.

In February 2004, the company issued 13.8 million shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$312.5 million. The proceeds were used to redeem \$208.5 million of the company's outstanding 8.7% senior notes due in October 2005, as described above, and for the repurchase of a portion of the company's outstanding convertible debentures (\$91.9 million accreted value).

**Restructuring and Integration Activities**

Based on the previously discussed restructuring and integration charges at December 31, 2006, the company has a remaining accrual of \$11.9 million, of which \$9.0 million is expected to be spent in cash. The expected cash payments are approximately \$5.2 million in 2007, \$1.6 million in 2008, \$1.4 million in 2009, and \$.8 million in 2010.

**Contractual Obligations**

Payments due under contractual obligations at December 31, 2006 were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Within 1 Year</b>	<b>1-3 Years</b>	<b>4-5 Years</b>	<b>After 5 Years</b>	<b>Total</b>
Debt (a)	\$ 262,063	\$ 408	\$ 219,297	\$ 753,538	\$ 1,235,306
Interest on long-term debt	68,017	134,844	115,049	349,480	667,390
Capital leases	720	1,687	1,563	281	4,251
Operating leases	50,399	77,431	42,708	53,116	223,654
Purchase obligations (b)	1,575,111	12,872	1,771	226	1,589,980
Other (c)	5,464	15,447	6,585	2,727	30,223
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 1,961,774	\$ 242,689	\$ 386,973	\$ 1,159,368	\$ 3,750,804
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

(a) Includes 7% senior notes of \$169.1 million. The company repaid these senior notes in January 2007 in accordance with their terms.

(b) Amounts represent an estimate of

non-cancelable inventory purchase orders and other contractual obligations related to information technology and facilities as of December 31, 2006. Most of the company's inventory purchases are pursuant to authorized distributor agreements, which are typically cancelable by either party at any time or on short notice, usually within a few months.

- (c) Includes estimates of contributions required to meet the requirements of several defined benefit plans. Amounts are subject to change based upon the performance of plan assets, as well as the discount rate used to determine the obligation. The company is unable to estimate the projected contributions

beyond 2012.  
Also included  
are amounts  
relating to  
personnel,  
facilities,  
customer  
termination, and  
certain other  
costs resulting  
from  
restructuring  
and integration  
activities.

As previously discussed, in January 2007, the company borrowed \$200.0 million under the term loan facility. On January 2, 2007, the company announced that it signed a definitive agreement with Agilysys pursuant to which the company will acquire substantially all of the assets and operations of the Agilysys KeyLink Systems

## **Table of Contents**

Group, a leading enterprise computing solutions distributor, for \$485 million in cash. The company expects to fund this transaction with cash-on-hand plus borrowings under its existing committed liquidity facilities.

Under the terms of various joint venture agreements, the company would be required to pay its pro-rata share, based upon its ownership interests, of the third party debt of the joint ventures in the event that the joint ventures are unable to meet their obligations. At December 31, 2006, there was no third party debt outstanding.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The company does not have off-balance sheet financing or unconsolidated special-purpose entities.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires the company to make significant estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The company evaluates its estimates, including those related to uncollectible receivables, inventories, intangible assets, income taxes, restructuring and integration costs, and contingencies and litigation, on an ongoing basis. The company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances; the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The company believes the following critical accounting policies, among others, involve the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements:

- The company recognizes revenue in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition ( SAB 104 ). Under SAB 104, revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue typically is recognized at time of shipment. Sales are recorded net of discounts, rebates, and returns.

A portion of the company's business involves shipments directly from its suppliers to its customers. In these transactions, the company is responsible for negotiating price both with the supplier and customer, payment to the supplier, establishing payment terms with the customer, product returns, and has risk of loss if the customer does not make payment. As the principal with the customer, the company recognizes the sale and cost of sale of the product upon receiving notification from the supplier that the product has been shipped.

In addition, the company has certain business with select customers and suppliers that is accounted for on an agency basis (that is, the company recognizes the fees associated with serving as an agent in sales with no associated cost of sales) in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent .

- The company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. The allowances for doubtful accounts are determined using a combination of factors, including the length of time the receivables are outstanding, the current business environment, and historical experience.
- Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Write-downs of inventories to market value are based upon contractual provisions governing price protection, stock rotation, and obsolescence, as well as assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If assumptions about future demand change and/or actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by the company, additional write-downs of inventories may be required. Due to the large number of transactions and the complexity of managing the process around price protections and stock rotations, estimates are made regarding adjustments to the book cost of inventories. Actual amounts could be different from those estimated.
- The company assesses its long-term investments accounted for as available-for-sale on a quarterly basis to determine whether declines in market value below cost are other-than-temporary. When the decline is determined to

be other-than-temporary, the cost basis for the individual security is reduced and a loss is

**Table of Contents**

realized in the period in which it occurs. The company makes such determination based upon the quoted market price, financial condition, operating results of the investee, and the company's intent and ability to retain the investment over a period of time, which would be sufficient to allow for any recovery in market value. In addition, the company assesses the following factors:

- broad economic factors impacting the investee's industry,
- publicly available forecasts for sales and earnings growth for the industry and investee, and
- the cyclical nature of the investee's industry.

The company could potentially have an impairment charge in future periods if, among other factors, the investee's future earnings differ from currently available forecasts.

- The carrying value of the company's deferred tax assets is dependent upon the company's ability to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain tax jurisdictions. Should the company determine that it would not be able to realize all or part of its deferred tax assets in the future, a valuation allowance to the deferred tax assets would be established in the period such determination was made.
- It is the company's policy to establish accruals for taxes that may become payable in future years as a result of examinations by tax authorities. The company establishes the accruals based upon management's assessment of probable contingencies. At December 31, 2006, the company believes it has appropriately accrued for probable contingencies. To the extent the company prevails in matters for which accruals are established or is required to pay amounts in excess of the accruals, the company's effective tax rate in a given financial statement period may be affected.
- The company uses various financial instruments, including derivative financial instruments, for purposes other than trading. Derivatives used as part of the company's risk management strategy are designated at inception as hedges and measured for effectiveness both at inception and on an ongoing basis. The company also entered into interest rate swap transactions that convert certain fixed-rate debt to variable-rate debt, effectively hedging the change in fair value of the fixed-rate debt resulting from fluctuations in interest rates. The fair value hedges and the hedged debt are adjusted to current market values through interest expense.
- The effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative designated as a net investment hedge is recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustment, which is included in the shareholders' equity section, and any ineffective portion would be recorded in earnings. The company uses the hypothetical derivative method to assess the effectiveness of its net investment hedge on a quarterly basis.
- The company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, and other claims related to environmental, labor, product, tax, and other matters. The company assesses the likelihood of an adverse judgment or outcomes for these matters, as well as the range of potential losses. A determination of the reserves required, if any, is made after careful analysis. The required reserves may change in the future due to new developments impacting the probability of a loss, the estimate of such loss, and the probability of recovery of such loss from third parties.
- The company has recorded charges in connection with restructuring its businesses, as well as the integration of acquired businesses. These items primarily include employee separation costs and estimates related to the consolidation of facilities (net of sub-lease income), contractual obligations, and the valuation of certain assets. Actual amounts could be different from those estimated.
- Effective January 1, 2006, the company adopted the provisions of Statement No. 123(R), which requires SBP awards exchanged for employee services to be measured at fair value and expensed in the consolidated statements

of operations over the requisite employee service period.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the company accounted for SBP awards under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, which utilized the intrinsic value method and did not require any expense to be recorded in the consolidated financial statements if the exercise price of the award was not less than the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The company elected to adopt, for periods prior to January 1, 2006, the disclosure requirements of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by FASB Statement No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*, which used a fair value based method of accounting for SBP awards.

**Table of Contents**

The company adopted the modified prospective transition method provided for under Statement No. 123(R) and, accordingly, has not restated prior period amounts. The fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the assumptions shown in Note 1. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of SBP awards represent management's best estimates. The company's estimates may be impacted by certain variables including, but not limited to, stock price volatility, employee stock option exercise behaviors, additional stock option grants, estimates of forfeitures, and related tax impacts. See Note 1 for a further discussion on stock-based compensation.

- The costs and obligations of the company's defined benefit pension plan are dependent on actuarial assumptions. The two critical assumptions used, which impact the net periodic pension cost (income) and the benefit obligation, are the discount rate and expected return on plan assets. The discount rate represents the market rate for a high quality corporate bond, and the expected return on plan assets is based on current and expected asset allocations, historical trends, and expected returns on plan assets. These key assumptions are evaluated annually. Changes in these assumptions can result in different expense and liability amounts.
- The company performs an annual impairment test as of the first day of the fourth quarter, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist, to evaluate goodwill. Goodwill is considered impaired if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value. In assessing the recoverability of goodwill, the company reviews both quantitative and qualitative factors to support its assumptions with regard to fair value. The fair value of a reporting unit is estimated using a weighted average multiple of earnings before interest and taxes from comparable companies. In determining the fair value, the company makes certain judgments, including the identification of reporting units and the selection of comparable companies. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future as a result of changes in strategy and/or market conditions, the company may be required to record an impairment charge.
- Shipping and handling costs may be reported as either a component of cost of products sold or selling, general and administrative expenses. The company reports shipping and handling costs, primarily related to outbound freight, in the consolidated statements of operations as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. If the company included such costs in cost of products sold, gross profit margin as a percentage of sales for 2006 would decrease from 15.0% to 14.5% with no impact on reported earnings.

**Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the provisions of EITF Issue No. 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences ( EITF Issue No. 06-2 ). EITF Issue No. 06-2 requires that compensation expense associated with a sabbatical leave, or other similar benefit arrangement, be accrued over the requisite service period during which an employee earns the benefit. EITF Issue No. 06-2 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and should be recognized as either a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption or a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. The adoption of the provisions of EITF Issue No. 06-2 is not anticipated to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ( FIN 48 ) which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute, as well as criteria for subsequently recognizing, derecognizing, and measuring uncertain tax positions for financial statement purposes. FIN 48 also requires expanded disclosure with respect to the uncertainty in income tax assets and liabilities. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and is required to be recognized as a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The adoption of the provisions of FIN 48 is not anticipated to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.



In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( Statement No. 157 ) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Statement No. 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and, accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. Statement No.

**Table of Contents**

157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and should be applied prospectively, except for the provisions for certain financial instruments that should be applied retrospectively as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The transition adjustment of the difference between the carrying amounts and the fair values of those financial instruments should be recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the provisions of Statement No. 157.

**Information Relating to Forward-Looking Statements**

This report includes forward-looking statements that are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, which could cause actual results or facts to differ materially from such statements for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to: industry conditions, the company's planned implementation of its new global financial system and new enterprise resource planning system, changes in product supply, pricing and customer demand, competition, other vagaries in the electronic components and computer products markets, changes in relationships with key suppliers, increased profit margin pressure, the effects of additional actions taken to become more efficient or lower costs, and the company's ability to generate additional cash flow. Shareholders and other readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. The company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any of the forward-looking statements.

**Table of Contents****Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

The company is exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates.

**Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk**

The company, as a large global organization, faces exposure to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could have a material impact on the company's financial results in the future. The company's primary exposure relates to transactions in which the currency collected from customers is different from the currency utilized to purchase the product sold in Europe, the Asia Pacific region, Canada, and Latin America. The company's policy is to hedge substantially all such currency exposures for which natural hedges do not exist. Natural hedges exist when purchases and sales within a specific country are both denominated in the same currency and, therefore, no exposure exists to hedge with a foreign exchange forward, option, or swap contracts (collectively, the "foreign exchange contracts"). In many regions in Asia, for example, sales and purchases are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars, resulting in a "natural hedge". Natural hedges exist in most countries in which the company operates, although the percentage of natural offsets, as compared with offsets, which need to be hedged by foreign exchange contracts, will vary from country to country. The company does not enter into foreign exchange contracts for trading purposes. The risk of loss on a foreign exchange contract is the risk of nonperformance by the counterparties, which the company minimizes by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions. The fair value of the foreign exchange contracts is estimated using market quotes. The notional amount of the foreign exchange contracts at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$298.0 million and \$228.4 million, respectively. The carrying amounts, which are nominal, approximated fair value at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

The translation of the financial statements of the non-United States operations is impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The increase in consolidated sales and operating income was impacted by the translation of the company's international financial statements into U.S. dollars, which resulted in increased sales of \$44.6 million and increased operating income of \$2.3 million for 2006, compared with the year-earlier periods, based on 2005 sales at the average rate for 2006. Sales and operating income would have decreased by approximately \$385.8 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, if average foreign exchange rates had declined by 10% against the U.S. dollar in 2006. This amount was determined by considering the impact of a hypothetical foreign exchange rate on the sales and operating income of the company's international operations.

In May 2006, the company entered into a cross-currency swap, which has a maturity date of July 2011, for approximately \$100.0 million or 78.3 million (the "2006 cross-currency swap") to hedge a portion of its net investment in euro-denominated net assets and which has been designated as a net investment hedge. The 2006 cross-currency swap will also effectively convert the interest expense on \$100.0 million of long-term debt from U.S. dollars to euros. Based on the foreign exchange rate at December 31, 2006, the company would expect reduced interest expense of approximately \$.7 million for the period from January 2007 through July 2007 (date that interest will reset). As the notional amount of the 2006 cross-currency swap is expected to equal a comparable amount of hedged net assets, no material ineffectiveness is expected. The 2006 cross-currency swap had a negative fair value of \$3.2 million at December 31, 2006.

In October 2005, the company entered into a cross-currency swap, which has a maturity date of October 2010, for approximately \$200.0 million or 168.4 million (the "2005 cross-currency swap") to hedge a portion of its net investment in euro-denominated net assets and which has been designated as a net investment hedge. The 2005 cross-currency swap will also effectively convert the interest expense on \$200.0 million of long-term debt from U.S. dollars to euros. Based on the foreign exchange rate at December 31, 2006, the company would expect reduced interest expense of approximately \$1.4 million for the period from October 2006 through April 2007 (date that interest will reset). As the notional amount of the 2005 cross-currency swap is expected to equal a comparable amount of hedged net assets, no material ineffectiveness is expected. The 2005 cross-currency swap had a negative fair value of \$21.7 million and a fair value of \$.5 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

**Interest Rate Risk**

The company's interest expense, in part, is sensitive to the general level of interest rates in North America, Europe, and the Asia Pacific region. The company historically has managed its exposure to interest rate risk through the proportion of fixed-rate and floating-rate debt in its total debt portfolio. Additionally, the company also utilizes interest rate

swaps in order to manage its targeted mix of fixed- and floating-rate debt.

**Table of Contents**

At December 31, 2006, approximately 52% of the company's debt was subject to fixed rates, and 48% of its debt was subject to floating rates. A one percentage point change in average interest rates would not have had a material impact on interest expense, net of interest income, in 2006. This was determined by considering the impact of a hypothetical interest rate on the company's average floating rate on investments and outstanding debt. This analysis does not consider the effect of the level of overall economic activity that could exist. In the event of a change in the level of economic activity, which may adversely impact interest rates, the company could likely take actions to further mitigate any potential negative exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that might be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no changes in the company's financial structure.

In June 2004, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$300.0 million. The 2004 swaps modify the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 9.15% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.73% and 8.57% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), and a portion of the fixed 6.875% senior notes to a floating rate, also based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 7.50% and 5.55% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), through their maturities. The 2004 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$3.2 million and a fair value of \$.4 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In November 2003, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million. The 2003 swaps modify the company's interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 7% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.55% and 7.77% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), through their maturities. The 2003 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$.2 million and \$4.1 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2003 swaps related to the 7% senior notes were terminated in January 2007 upon the repayment of the 7% senior notes.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Arrow Electronics, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Arrow Electronics, Inc. (the company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and the schedule are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Arrow Electronics, Inc. at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, as revised, effective January 1, 2006. In addition, as discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans*, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), effective December 31, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Arrow Electronics, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

New York, New York

February 22, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Sales	\$ 13,577,112	\$ 11,164,196	\$ 10,646,113
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of products sold	11,545,719	9,424,586	8,922,962
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,362,149	1,200,826	1,219,888
Depreciation and amortization	46,904	47,482	54,538
Restructuring charges	11,829	12,716	11,391
Pre-acquisition warranty claim	2,837	-	-
Pre-acquisition environmental matters	1,449	-	-
Acquisition indemnification credit	-	(1,672)	(9,676)
Impairment charge	-	-	9,995
Integration credit	-	-	(2,323)
	12,970,887	10,683,938	10,206,775
Operating income	606,225	480,258	439,338
Equity in earnings of affiliated companies	5,221	4,492	4,106
Loss on prepayment of debt	2,605	4,342	33,942
Write-down of investments	-	3,019	1,318
Interest expense, net	90,564	91,828	103,201
	518,277	385,561	304,983
Income before income taxes and minority interest			
Provision for income taxes	128,457	131,248	96,436
	389,820	254,313	208,547
Income before minority interest			
Minority interest	1,489	704	1,043

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Net income	\$ 388,331	\$ 253,609	\$ 207,504
	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.83
	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>
Diluted	\$ 3.16	\$ 2.09	\$ 1.75
	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>	<b>_____</b>
Average number of shares outstanding:			
Basic	121,667	117,819	113,109
Diluted	123,181	124,080	124,561

See accompanying notes.



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In thousands except par value)

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 337,730	\$ 580,661
Accounts receivable, net	2,710,321	2,316,932
Inventories	1,691,536	1,494,982
Prepaid expenses and other assets	156,034	124,899
	4,895,621	4,517,474
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land	41,810	41,855
Buildings and improvements	167,157	160,012
Machinery and equipment	481,689	426,239
	690,656	628,106
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(428,283)	(392,641)
	262,373	235,465
Investments in affiliated companies	41,960	38,959
Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired	1,231,281	1,053,266
Other assets	238,337	199,753
	\$ 6,669,572	\$ 6,044,917
	\$ 6,669,572	\$ 6,044,917
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,795,089	\$ 1,628,568
Accrued expenses	446,238	434,644
Short-term borrowings, including current portion of long-term debt	262,783	268,666

Total current liabilities	2,504,110	2,331,878
Long-term debt	976,774	1,138,981
Other liabilities	192,129	201,172
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1:		
Authorized 160,000 shares in 2006 and 2005		
Issued 122,626 and 120,286 shares in 2006 and 2005, respectively	122,626	120,286
Capital in excess of par value	943,958	861,880
Retained earnings	1,787,746	1,399,415
Foreign currency translation adjustment	155,166	13,308
	3,009,496	2,394,889
Less: Treasury stock (207 and 272 shares in 2006 and 2005, respectively), at cost	(5,530)	(7,278)
Unamortized employee stock awards	-	(2,395)
Other	(7,407)	(12,330)
Total shareholders' equity	2,996,559	2,372,886
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 6,669,572	\$ 6,044,917

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 388,331	\$ 253,609	\$ 207,504
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:			
Depreciation and amortization	46,904	47,482	54,538
Amortization of deferred financing costs and discount on notes	2,808	3,589	4,796
Amortization of restricted stock and performance awards	8,289	6,953	6,341
Amortization of employee stock options	12,979	-	-
Accretion of discount on zero coupon convertible debentures	876	8,698	16,827
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	(6,661)	-	-
Deferred income taxes	(9,433)	21,920	44,732
Restructuring charges	8,977	7,310	6,943
Pre-acquisition warranty claim and environmental matters	2,728	-	-
Acquisition indemnification credit	-	(1,267)	(9,676)
Impairment charge	-	-	9,995
Integration credit	-	-	(1,389)
Equity in earnings of affiliated companies	(5,221)	(4,492)	(4,106)
Loss on prepayment of debt	1,558	2,596	20,297
Write-down of investments	-	3,019	1,318
Impact of settlement of tax matters	(50,376)	-	-
Minority interest	1,489	704	1,043
Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquired businesses:			
Accounts receivable	(202,135)	(188,235)	(122,882)
Inventories	(119,612)	11,707	(97,083)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(25,131)	(17,300)	1,843
Accounts payable	52,561	258,485	11,588
Accrued expenses	13,784	(11,738)	23,423
Other	(1,875)	(492)	11,454
	120,840	402,548	187,506
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	(66,078)	(33,179)	(23,516)
Proceeds from sale of facilities	-	18,353	10,507
Cash consideration paid for acquired businesses	(176,235)	(178,998)	(34,979)
Purchase of short-term investments	-	(230,456)	(452,587)
Proceeds from sale of short-term investments	-	389,056	293,987

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Other	3,652	2,429	10,151
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net cash used for investing activities	(238,661)	(32,795)	(196,437)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Change in short-term borrowings	(22,298)	11,994	(39,875)
Change in long-term debt	(15,687)	(2,400)	(3,144)
Repurchase of senior notes	(4,268)	(27,762)	(268,399)
Repurchase of zero coupon convertible debentures	(156,330)	(152,449)	(329,639)
Proceeds from common stock offering	-	-	312,507
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	59,194	82,176	27,925
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements	6,661	-	-
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net cash used for financing activities	(132,728)	(88,441)	(300,625)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	7,618	(5,945)	2,446
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(242,931)	275,367	(307,110)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	580,661	305,294	612,404
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 337,730	\$ 580,661	\$ 305,294
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**  
(In thousands)

	Common Stock at Par	Capital in Excess of Par	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Treasury Stock	Unamortized Employee Stock Awards	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Value	Value	Earnings	Adjustment	Stock	Awards	(Loss)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2003	\$ 103,878	\$ 503,320	\$ 938,302	\$ 67,046	\$ (74,816)	\$ (8,074)	\$ (24,325)	\$ 1,505,331
Net income	-	-	207,504	-	-	-	-	207,504
Translation adjustments	-	-	-	123,549	-	-	-	123,549
Unrealized gain on securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,654	6,654
Unrealized loss on options	-	-	-	-	-	-	(612)	(612)
Minimum pension liability adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,038	1,038
Comprehensive income								338,133
Issuance of common stock	13,800	298,707	-	-	-	-	-	312,507
Amortization of restricted stock and performance awards	-	1,772	-	-	-	4,569	-	6,341
Exercise of stock options	-	(10,173)	-	-	38,098	-	-	27,925
Tax benefits related to exercise of stock options	-	2,890	-	-	-	-	-	2,890
Restricted stock awards, net	-	119	-	-	-	(119)	-	-
Other	(3)	1,193	-	-	(17)	(114)	-	1,059
Balance at December 31, 2004	\$ 117,675	\$ 797,828	\$ 1,145,806	\$ 190,595	\$ (36,735)	\$ (3,738)	\$ (17,245)	\$ 2,194,186

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Net income	-	-	253,609	-	-	-	-	253,609
Translation adjustments	-	-	-	(177,287)	-	-	-	(177,287)
Unrealized gain on securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,457	8,457
Unrealized loss on employee benefit plan assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	(585)	(585)
Minimum pension liability adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,957)	(2,957)
Comprehensive income								81,237
Amortization of restricted stock and performance awards	-	4,706	-	-	-	2,247	-	6,953
Exercise of stock options	2,612	51,190	-	-	28,888	-	-	82,690
Tax benefits related to exercise of stock options	-	7,315	-	-	-	-	-	7,315
Restricted stock awards, net	-	333	-	-	600	(933)	-	-
Other	(1)	508	-	-	(31)	29	-	505
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 120,286	\$ 861,880	\$ 1,399,415	\$ 13,308	\$ (7,278)	\$ (2,395)	\$ (12,330)	\$ 2,372,886

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (continued)**  
(In thousands)

	Common Stock at Par  Value	Capital in Excess of Par  Value	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Treasury Stock	Unamortized Employee Stock Awards	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 120,286	\$ 861,880	\$ 1,399,415	\$ 13,308	\$ (7,278)	\$ (2,395)	\$ (12,330)	\$ 2,372,886
Net income	-	-	388,331	-	-	-	-	388,331
Translation adjustments	-	-	-	141,858	-	-	-	141,858
Unrealized gain on securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,386	3,386
Unrealized loss on employee benefit plan assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,703)	(1,703)
Minimum pension liability adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,810	5,810
Comprehensive income								537,682
Reclassification of employee stock awards upon adoption of FASB Statement No. 123(R)	-	(2,395)	-	-	-	2,395	-	-
Amortization of restricted stock and performance awards	-	8,289	-	-	-	-	-	8,289
Amortization of employee stock options	-	12,979	-	-	-	-	-	12,979
Exercise of stock options	2,339	56,529	-	-	-	-	-	58,868
Tax benefits related to exercise	-	6,661	-	-	-	-	-	6,661

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

of stock options								
Restricted stock awards, net	-	(1,790)	-	-	1,790	-	-	-
Adjustment to initially apply FASB Statement No. 158	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,570)	(2,570)
Other	1	1,805	-	-	(42)	-	-	1,764
	<hr/>							
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 122,626	\$ 943,958	\$ 1,787,746	\$ 155,166	\$ (5,530)	\$ -	\$ (7,407)	\$ 2,996,559
	<hr/>							

See accompanying notes.



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated.

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments, which are readily convertible into cash and have original maturities of three months or less.

**Net Investment Hedge**

The effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative designated as a net investment hedge is recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustment, which is included in the shareholders' equity section, and any ineffective portion would be recorded in earnings. The company uses the hypothetical derivative method to assess the effectiveness of its net investment hedge on a quarterly basis.

**Financial Instruments**

The company uses various financial instruments, including derivative financial instruments, for purposes other than trading. Derivatives used as part of the company's risk management strategy are designated at inception as hedges and measured for effectiveness both at inception and on an ongoing basis. The company has also entered into interest rate swap transactions that convert certain fixed-rate debt to variable-rate debt, effectively hedging the change in fair value of the fixed-rate debt resulting from fluctuations in interest rates. The fair value hedges and the hedged debt are adjusted to current market values through interest expense.

**Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost approximates the first-in, first-out method.

**Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives for depreciation of buildings is generally 20 to 30 years, and the estimated useful lives of machinery and equipment is generally three to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the related lease or the life of the improvement. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever changes in circumstances or events may indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If the fair value is less than the carrying amount of the asset, a loss is recognized for the difference.

**Software Development Costs**

The company capitalizes qualifying costs under Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Statement of Position 98-1, Accounting for the Costs to Develop or Obtain Software for Internal Use , including certain costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining software for internal use.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

Capitalized software costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the software, which is generally three to seven years.

**Investments**

Investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting if the investment provides the company the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over an investee. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist if the company has an ownership interest in the voting stock of the investee between 20% and 50%, although other factors, such as representation on the investee's Board of Directors, are considered in determining whether the equity method of accounting is appropriate. The company records its investments in equity method investees meeting these characteristics as Investments in affiliated companies in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

All other equity investments, which consist of investments for which the company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence, are accounted for under the cost method, if private, or as available-for-sale, if public, and are included in Other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Under the cost method of accounting, investments are carried at cost and are adjusted only for other-than-temporary declines in realizable value, and additional investments. If classified as available-for-sale, the company accounts for the changes in the fair value with unrealized gains or losses reflected in the shareholders' equity section in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in Other. The company assesses its long-term investments accounted for as available-for-sale on a quarterly basis to determine whether declines in market value below cost are other-than-temporary. When the decline is determined to be other-than-temporary, the cost basis for the individual security is reduced and a loss is realized in the period in which it occurs. When the decline is determined to be temporary, the unrealized losses are included in the shareholders' equity section in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in Other. The company makes such determination based upon the quoted market price, financial condition, operating results of the investee, and the company's intent and ability to retain the investment over a period of time, which would be sufficient to allow for any recovery in market value. In addition, the company assesses the following factors:

- broad economic factors impacting the investee's industry,
- publicly available forecasts for sales and earnings growth for the industry and investee, and
- the cyclical nature of the investee's industry.

The company could potentially have an impairment charge in future periods if, among other factors, the investee's future earnings differ from currently available forecasts.

**Cost in Excess of Net Assets of Companies Acquired**

The company performs an annual impairment test as of the first day of the fourth quarter, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist, to evaluate goodwill. Goodwill is considered impaired if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value. In assessing the recoverability of goodwill, the company reviews both quantitative and qualitative factors to support its assumptions with regard to fair value. The fair value of a reporting unit is estimated using a weighted average multiple of earnings before interest and taxes from comparable companies. In determining the fair value, the company makes certain judgments, including the identification of reporting units and the selection of comparable companies. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future as a result of changes in strategy and/or market conditions, the company may be required to record an impairment charge.

**Foreign Currency Translation**

The assets and liabilities of foreign operations are translated at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, with the related translation gains or losses reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The results of foreign operations are translated at the monthly average exchange rates.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**Income Taxes**

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Deferred taxes reflect the tax consequences on future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts. The carrying value of the company's deferred tax assets is dependent upon the company's ability to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain tax jurisdictions. Should the company determine that it would not be able to realize all or part of its deferred tax assets in the future, a valuation allowance to the deferred tax assets would be established in the period such determination was made.

It is the company's policy to establish accruals for taxes that may become payable in future years as a result of examinations by tax authorities. The company establishes the accruals based upon management's assessment of probable contingencies. At December 31, 2006, the company believes it has appropriately accrued for probable contingencies. To the extent the company prevails in matters for which accruals are established or is required to pay amounts in excess of the accruals, the company's effective tax rate in a given financial statement period may be affected.

**Net Income Per Share**

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock.

**Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income consists of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gain on securities, unrealized loss on employee benefit plan assets, unrealized gain (loss) on foreign exchange options, and minimum pension liability adjustments. The unrealized gain on securities are net of any reclassification adjustments for realized loss included in net income. The foreign currency translation adjustments included in comprehensive income have not been tax effected as investments in foreign affiliates are deemed to be permanent.

**Stock-based Compensation**

Effective January 1, 2006, the company adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* and the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (collectively, *Statement No. 123(R)*), which requires share-based payment ( *SBP* ) awards exchanged for employee services to be measured at fair value and expensed in the consolidated statements of operations over the requisite employee service period.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the company accounted for SBP awards under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, which utilized the intrinsic value method and did not require any expense to be recorded in the consolidated financial statements if the exercise price of the award was not less than the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The company elected to adopt, for periods prior to January 1, 2006, the disclosure requirements of FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by FASB Statement No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure* (collectively, *Statement No. 123*) which used a fair value based method of accounting for SBP awards.

*Statement No. 123(R)* requires companies to record compensation expense for stock options measured at fair value, on the date of grant, using an option-pricing model. The fair value of the company's stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which is consistent with the company's valuation techniques previously utilized under *Statement No. 123*.

The company adopted the modified prospective transition method provided for under *Statement No. 123(R)* and, accordingly, did not restate prior period amounts. Under this transition method,

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 includes compensation expense for all SBP awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement No. 123. Stock-based compensation expense for all SBP awards granted after January 1, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of Statement No. 123(R). Stock-based compensation expense includes an estimate for forfeitures and is recognized over the expected term of the award on a straight-line basis upon adoption of Statement No. 123(R). Upon adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the company evaluated the need to record a cumulative effect adjustment relating to estimated forfeitures for unvested previously issued awards and concluded the impact was not material.

As a result of adopting Statement No. 123(R), the company recorded, as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses, a charge of \$12,979 (\$8,543 net of related taxes or \$.07 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) for the year ended December 31, 2006, relating to the expensing of stock options.

Statement No. 123(R) requires that the realized tax benefit related to the excess of the deductible amount over the compensation expense recognized be reported as a financing cash flow rather than as an operating cash flow, as required under previous accounting guidance. As a result, the related excess tax benefits for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$6,661 is classified as a reduction in cash flows from operating activities and as a cash inflow from financing activities. The actual tax benefit realized from SBP awards for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$7,297.

The following table presents the company's pro forma net income and basic and diluted net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 had compensation expense been determined in accordance with the fair value method of accounting at the grant dates for awards under the company's various stock-based compensation plans:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Net income, as reported	\$ 253,609	\$ 207,504
Impact of stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of related taxes	(9,100)	(11,073)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Pro forma net income	\$ 244,509	\$ 196,431
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net income per share:		
Basic-as reported	\$ 2.15	\$ 1.83
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Basic-pro forma	\$ 2.08	\$ 1.74
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Diluted-as reported	\$ 2.09	\$ 1.75
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Diluted-pro forma	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.66

The fair value of SBP awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Volatility (percent) *	35	39	47
Expected term (in years) **	4.4	4.3	4.3
Risk-free interest rate (percent) ***	4.7	4.4	3.3

\* Volatility is measured using historical daily price changes of the company's common stock over the expected term of the option.

\*\* The expected term represents the weighted average period the option is expected to be outstanding and is based primarily on the historical exercise behavior of employees.

\*\*\* The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury zero-coupon yield with a maturity that approximates the expected term of the option.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

There is no expected dividend yield.

The weighted-average fair value per option granted was \$11.11, \$11.90, and \$11.34 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**Segment Reporting**

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The company's operations are classified into two reportable business segments, the distribution of electronic components and the distribution of computer products.

**Revenue Recognition**

The company recognizes revenue in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition ( SAB 104 ). Under SAB 104, revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue typically is recognized at time of shipment. Sales are recorded net of discounts, rebates, and returns.

A portion of the company's business involves shipments directly from its suppliers to its customers. In these transactions, the company is responsible for negotiating price both with the supplier and customer, payment to the supplier, establishing payment terms with the customer, product returns, and has risk of loss if the customer does not make payment. As the principal with the customer, the company recognizes the sale and cost of sale of the product upon receiving notification from the supplier that the product was shipped.

In addition, the company has certain business with select customers and suppliers that is accounted for on an agency basis (that is, the company recognizes the fees associated with serving as an agent in sales with no associated cost of sales) in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent .

**Shipping and Handling Costs**

Shipping and handling costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$65,599, \$56,629, and \$57,296 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the provisions of EITF Issue No. 06-2, Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences ( EITF Issue No. 06-2 ). EITF Issue No. 06-2 requires that compensation expense associated with a sabbatical leave, or other similar benefit arrangement, be accrued over the requisite service period during which an employee earns the benefit. EITF Issue No. 06-2 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and should be recognized as either a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption or a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. The adoption of the provisions of EITF Issue No. 06-2 is not anticipated to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ( FIN 48 ) which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute, as well as criteria for subsequently recognizing, derecognizing, and measuring uncertain tax positions for financial statement purposes. FIN 48 also requires expanded disclosure with

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

respect to the uncertainty in income tax assets and liabilities. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and is required to be recognized as a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The adoption of the provisions of FIN 48 is not anticipated to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations. In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (Statement No. 157) which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Statement No. 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and, accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. Statement No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and should be applied prospectively, except for the provisions for certain financial instruments that should be applied retrospectively as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The transition adjustment of the difference between the carrying amounts and the fair values of those financial instruments should be recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the provisions of Statement No. 157.

**Reclassification**

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation.

**2. Acquisitions**

The following acquisitions were accounted for as purchase transactions and, accordingly, results of operations were included in the consolidated results of the company from the dates of acquisition.

**2006**

On November 30, 2006, the company acquired Alternative Technology, Inc. (Alternative Technology) for a purchase price of \$77,346, which included \$17,483 of debt paid at closing, cash acquired of \$2,315, and acquisition costs. Additional cash consideration ranging from zero to a maximum of \$4,800 may be due if Alternative Technology achieves certain specified financial performance targets over a three-year period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009. Alternative Technology, which is headquartered in Englewood, Colorado, has approximately 150 employees and supports value-added resellers (VARs) in delivering solutions that optimize, accelerate, monitor, and secure end-user's networks. Total Alternative Technology sales for 2006 were approximately \$320,000, of which \$48,699 were included in the company's consolidated results of operations from the acquisition date. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired, was \$75,031.

On December 29, 2006, the company acquired InTechnology plc's storage and security distribution business (InTechnology) for a purchase price of \$80,457, which included acquisition costs. InTechnology, which is headquartered in Harrogate, England, has approximately 200 employees and delivers storage and security solutions to VARs in the United Kingdom. Total InTechnology sales for 2006 were approximately \$365,000. The cash consideration paid was \$80,457.

The following table summarizes the preliminary allocation of the net consideration paid to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the Alternative Technology and InTechnology acquisitions (2006 acquisitions):

Accounts receivable, net	\$ 107,771
Inventories	28,621
Prepaid expenses and other assets	10,076
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,954
Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired	105,414
Accounts payable	(69,067)
Accrued expenses	(29,281)
	<hr/>
Net consideration paid	\$ 155,488





**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

The preliminary allocation is subject to refinement as the company has not yet completed its evaluation of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including the valuation of any potential intangible assets created through these acquisitions.

The following unaudited summary of consolidated operations has been prepared on a pro forma basis as though the 2006 acquisitions occurred on January 1:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Sales	\$ 14,163,783	\$ 11,718,265
Net income	392,578	260,551
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$ 3.23	\$ 2.21
Diluted	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.14

The unaudited summary of consolidated operations does not purport to be indicative of the results, which would have been obtained if the above acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of 2006 and 2005 or of those results, which may be obtained in the future.

In February 2006, the company acquired SKYDATA Corporation ( SKYDATA ), a value-added distributor of data storage solutions in Mississauga, Ottawa, and Calgary, as well as Laval, Quebec. Total SKYDATA sales for 2005 were approximately \$43,000. The impact of the SKYDATA acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**2005**

On December 30, 2005, the company acquired DNSint.com AG ( DNS ) for a purchase price of \$116,224, which included cash acquired as well as acquisition costs. In addition, there was the assumption of \$30,638 in debt. Additional cash consideration ranging from zero to a maximum of 12,800 (approximately \$16,900 at the December 31, 2006 exchange rate) may be due if DNS achieves certain specified financial performance targets over a two-year period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007. DNS is a distributor of mid-range computer products in Central, Northern, and Eastern Europe and is one of the largest suppliers of Sun Microsystems, Inc. products in Europe. In 2005, DNS had sales in excess of \$400,000. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired of \$7,500, was \$108,724.

In December 2005, through a series of transactions, the company acquired 70.7% of the common shares of Ultra Source Technology Corp. ( Ultra Source ) for a purchase price of \$64,647, which included cash acquired as well as acquisition costs. In addition, Ultra Source had \$78,850 in debt and \$19,497 in cash. Ultra Source is one of the leading electronic components distributors in Taiwan with sales offices and distribution centers in Taiwan, Hong Kong, and the People's Republic of China. In 2005, Ultra Source had sales in excess of \$500,000. The cash consideration paid, net of cash acquired, was \$45,150.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

The following table summarizes the allocation of the net consideration paid to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the DNS and Ultra Source acquisitions ( 2005 acquisitions ):

Accounts receivable, net	\$ 196,916
Inventories	70,438
Prepaid expenses and other assets	25,501
Property, plant and equipment, net	13,087
Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired	125,819
Identifiable intangible assets	19,668
Accounts payable	(140,789)
Accrued expenses	(31,941)
Debt (including short-term borrowings of \$94,416)	(109,489)
Minority interest	(14,956)
Other liabilities	(380)
	<hr/>
Net consideration paid	\$ 153,874

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the company completed its valuation of identifiable intangible assets and, accordingly, recorded, as a component of depreciation and amortization expense, \$1,609 of amortization expense, which represents a full year of intangible asset amortization. The company allocated \$17,891 of the purchase price to intangible assets relating to customer relationships, with a useful life of 20 years, and other intangible assets (consisting of non-competition agreements, customer databases, and sales backlog) of \$1,777, with useful lives ranging from one to five years. Amortization expense for the next five years is estimated to be approximately \$1,393 in 2007, \$1,393 in 2008, \$1,261 in 2009, \$1,261 in 2010, and \$997 in 2011. These identifiable intangible assets are included in Other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following unaudited summary of consolidated operations has been prepared on a pro forma basis as though the 2005 acquisitions occurred on January 1:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Sales	\$ 12,138,880	\$ 11,398,095
Net income	257,784	211,078
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.87
Diluted	\$ 2.12	\$ 1.78

The unaudited summary of consolidated operations does not purport to be indicative of the results, which would have been obtained if the above acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of 2005 and 2004 or of those results, which may be obtained in the future.

On July 1, 2005, the company acquired the component distribution business of Connektron Pty. Ltd. ( Connektron ), a passive, electromechanical, and connectors distributor in Australia and New Zealand. The impact of the Connektron acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

2004

In July 2004, the company acquired Disway AG ( Disway ), an electronic components distributor in Italy, Germany, Austria, and Switzerland. The impact of the Disway acquisition was not deemed to be material to the company s consolidated financial position and results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**Other**

During 2006, 2005, and 2004, the company made payments of \$4,666, \$2,527, and \$805, respectively, which were capitalized as cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired, partially offset by the carrying value of the related minority interest, to increase its ownership interest in majority-owned subsidiaries.

**3. Investments****Affiliated Companies**

The company has a 50% interest in several joint ventures with Marubun Corporation (collectively Marubun/Arrow ) and a 50% interest in Altech Industries (Pty.) Ltd. ( Altech Industries ), a joint venture with Allied Technologies Limited. These investments are accounted for using the equity method.

The following table presents the company's investment in Marubun/Arrow, the company's investment and long-term note receivable in Altech Industries, and the company's other equity investments at December 31:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Marubun/Arrow	\$ 27,283	\$ 23,352
Altech Industries	14,419	14,675
Other	258	932
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	\$ 41,960	\$ 38,959
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

The equity in earnings (loss) of affiliated companies for the years ended December 31 consist of the following:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Marubun/Arrow	\$ 4,024	\$ 4,027	\$ 4,290
Altech Industries	1,244	500	(184)
Other	(47)	(35)	-
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	\$ 5,221	\$ 4,492	\$ 4,106
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

Under the terms of various joint venture agreements, the company would be required to pay its pro-rata share, based upon its ownership interests, of the third party debt of the joint ventures in the event that the joint ventures were unable to meet their obligations. At December 31, 2006 there was no third party debt outstanding.

**Investment Securities**

The company has a 3.2% ownership interest in WPG Holdings Co., Ltd. ( WPG ) and an 8.4% ownership interest in Marubun Corporation ( Marubun ), which are accounted for as available-for-sale securities.

The company accounts for these investments in accordance with FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities ( Statement No. 115 ) and EITF Issue No. 03-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments ( EITF Issue No. 03-1 ). Under Statement No. 115 and EITF Issue No. 03-1, if the fair value of an investment is less than the cost basis, the company must determine if an other-than-temporary decline has occurred based on its intent and ability to hold the investment

until the cost is recovered and the assessment of evidence indicates that the cost of the investment is recoverable within a reasonable period of time. If the company determines that an other-than-temporary decline has occurred, the cost basis of the investment must be written down to fair value as the new cost basis and the amount of the write-down is recognized as a loss in the consolidated results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

The fair value of the company's available-for-sale securities are as follows at December 31:

	<u>2006</u>		<u>2005</u>	
	<u>Marubun</u>	<u>WPG</u>	<u>Marubun</u>	<u>WPG</u>
Cost basis	\$ 20,046	\$ 10,798	\$ 20,046	\$ 10,798
Unrealized holding gain (loss)	12,173	1,496	12,008	(2,978)
Fair value	<u>\$ 32,219</u>	<u>\$ 12,294</u>	<u>\$ 32,054</u>	<u>\$ 7,820</u>

During 2005, in accordance with Statement No. 115 and EITF Issue No. 03-1, the company determined that an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of its investment in Marubun had occurred and, accordingly, recognized a loss of \$3,019 (\$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) on the write-down of this investment. The new cost basis of the company's investment in Marubun is \$20,046.

During 2004, the company determined that an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of an investment had occurred and, accordingly, recognized a loss of \$1,318 (\$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) on the write-down of this investment.

The fair value of these investments are included in "Other assets" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related net unrealized holding gains and losses are included in "Other" in the shareholders' equity section in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

**4. Accounts Receivable**

The company has a \$550,000 asset securitization program (the "program"), which is conducted through Arrow Electronics Funding Corporation ("AFC"), a wholly-owned, bankruptcy remote, special purpose subsidiary. Any receivables held by AFC would likely not be available to creditors of the company in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there were no receivables sold to and held by third parties under the program, and, as such, the company had no obligations outstanding under the program. The program expires in February 2008. The program agreement requires annual renewals of the banks' underlying liquidity facilities, and the next renewal date is May 2007. The facility fee related to the program is .175%.

Accounts receivable, net, consists of the following at December 31:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Accounts receivable	\$ 2,785,725	\$ 2,364,008
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(75,404)	(47,076)
Accounts receivable, net	<u>\$ 2,710,321</u>	<u>\$ 2,316,932</u>

The company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. The allowances for doubtful accounts are determined using a combination of factors, including the length of time the receivables are outstanding, the current business environment, and historical

experience.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

**5. Cost in Excess of Net Assets of Companies Acquired**

Cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired allocated to the company's business segments are as follows:

	<b>Electronic Components</b>	<b>Computer Products</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
December 31, 2004	\$ 974,285	\$ -	\$ 974,285
Acquisitions	27,654	106,909	134,563
Other (primarily foreign currency translation)	(55,582)	-	(55,582)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
December 31, 2005	946,357	106,909	1,053,266
Acquisitions	14,746	97,884	112,630
Other (primarily foreign currency translation)	53,204	12,181	65,385
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
December 31, 2006	\$ 1,014,307	\$ 216,974	\$ 1,231,281
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

In 2004, the company recorded an impairment charge related to cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired of \$9,995 (\$.09 and \$.08 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). This non-cash charge principally related to the company's electronic components operations in Latin America. In calculating the impairment charge, the fair value of the reporting units was estimated using a weighted-average multiple of earnings before interest and taxes from comparable businesses.

All existing and future cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired are subject to an annual impairment test as of the first day of the fourth quarter of each year, or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist.

The company has not completed its valuation of any potential intangible assets created as a result of its 2006 acquisitions and, as a result, is currently undergoing further review of this valuation process.

**6. Debt**

Short-term borrowings, including current portion of long-term debt, consist of the following at December 31:

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
7% senior notes, due 2007	\$ 169,136	\$ -
Interest rate swaps	(185)	-
Zero coupon convertible debentures	-	155,479
Short-term borrowings in various countries	93,832	113,187
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 262,783	\$ 268,666
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Short-term borrowings in various countries are primarily utilized to support the working capital requirements of certain foreign operations. The weighted average interest rates on these borrowings at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were 5.5% and 4.4%, respectively.





**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

Long-term debt consists of the following at December 31:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
7% senior notes, due 2007	\$ -	\$ 173,016
9.15% senior notes, due 2010	199,987	199,984
6.875% senior notes, due 2013	349,559	349,491
6.875% senior debentures, due 2018	197,613	197,404
7.5% senior debentures, due 2027	197,191	197,051
Cross-currency swap, due 2010	21,729	(517)
Cross-currency swap, due 2011	3,218	-
Interest rate swaps	(3,245)	(3,608)
Other obligations with various interest rates and due dates	10,722	26,160
	<u>\$ 976,774</u>	<u>\$ 1,138,981</u>

The 7.5% senior debentures are not redeemable prior to their maturity. The 9.15% senior notes, 6.875% senior notes, and 6.875% senior debentures may be called at the option of the company subject to make whole clauses. The estimated fair market value at December 31, as a percentage of par value, is as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
7% senior notes, due 2007	100%	102%
9.15% senior notes, due 2010	112%	114%
6.875% senior notes, due 2013	105%	107%
6.875% senior debentures, due 2018	103%	106%
7.5% senior debentures, due 2027	108%	112%
Zero coupon convertible debentures	-	55%

The company's cross-currency swaps, interest rate swaps, and other obligations approximate their fair value.

Annual payments of borrowings during each of the years 2007 through 2011 are \$262,783, \$1,175, \$920, \$220,162, and \$698, respectively, and \$753,819 for all years thereafter. Included in payments for 2007 is \$169,136 related to the 7% senior notes due in 2007 that were repaid in January 2007 in accordance with their terms.

In January 2007, the company amended and restated its bank credit agreement and, among other things, increased the revolving credit facility size from \$600,000 to \$800,000 and entered into a term loan of \$200,000. Interest on borrowings under the revolving credit facility is based on a base rate or a euro currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (.425% at January 11, 2007). The company had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility at December 31, 2006 and 2005. The credit facility matures in January 2012. The facility fee related to the credit facility is .125%. In January 2007, the company borrowed \$200,000 under the term loan facility. The \$200,000 term loan is repayable in full in January 2012. Interest on the term loan is based on a base rate or a euro currency rate plus a spread based on the company's credit ratings (.60% at January 11, 2007).

The five-year credit agreement and the asset securitization program include terms and conditions, which limit the incurrence of additional borrowings, limit the company's ability to issue cash dividends or repurchase stock, and require that certain financial ratios be maintained at designated levels. The company was in compliance with all of the

covenants as of December 31, 2006. The company is currently not aware of any events, which would cause non-compliance in the future.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

During 2006, the company redeemed the total amount outstanding of \$283,184 principal amount (\$156,354 accreted value) of its zero coupon convertible debentures due in 2021 ( convertible debentures ) and repurchased \$4,125 principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The related loss on the redemption and repurchase, including any related premium paid, write-off of deferred financing costs, and cost of terminating a portion of the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$2,605 (\$1,558 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and is recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2,600 from the dates of redemption and repurchase through the respective maturity dates, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the redemption and repurchase.

During 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$151,845 accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$3,209 (\$1,919 net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2005, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$26,750 principal amount of its 7% senior notes due in January 2007. The premium paid, the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase of this debt, and the loss for terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$1,133 (\$677 net of related taxes). These charges totaled \$4,342 (\$2,596 net of related taxes or \$.02 and \$.01 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$1,697 in cash, and were recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$2,381 from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

During 2004, the company repurchased, through a series of transactions, \$319,849 accreted value of its convertible debentures. The related loss on the repurchases, including the premium paid and the write-off of related deferred financing costs, aggregated \$15,021 (\$8,982 net of related taxes or \$.08 and \$.07 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). Also during 2004, the company repurchased and/or redeemed, through a series of transactions, \$250,000 principal amount of its 8.7% senior notes due in October 2005. The premium paid and the related deferred financing costs written-off upon the repurchase and/or redemption of this debt, net of the gain recognized by terminating the related interest rate swaps, aggregated \$18,921 (\$11,315 net of related taxes or \$.10 and \$.09 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively). These charges totaled \$33,942 (\$20,297 net of related taxes or \$.18 and \$.16 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), including \$28,194 in cash, and were recognized as a loss on prepayment of debt. As a result of these transactions, net interest expense was reduced by approximately \$36,200 from the dates of repurchase through the redemption date, based on interest rates in effect at the time of the repurchases.

Interest expense, net, includes interest income of \$7,817, \$13,789, and \$9,660 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Interest paid, net of interest income, amounted to \$105,078, \$81,689, and \$97,367 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**7. Financial Instruments****Cross-Currency Swaps**

In May 2006, the company entered into a cross-currency swap, which has a maturity date of July 2011, for approximately \$100,000 or 78,281 (the 2006 cross-currency swap ) to hedge a portion of its net investment in euro-denominated net assets and which has been designated as a net investment hedge. The 2006 cross-currency swap will also effectively convert the interest expense on \$100,000 of long-term debt from U.S. dollars to euros. Based on the foreign exchange rate at December 31, 2006, the company would expect reduced interest expense of approximately \$700 for the period from January 2007 through July 2007 (date that interest will reset). As the notional amount of the 2006 cross-currency swap is expected to equal a comparable amount of hedged net assets, no material ineffectiveness is expected. The 2006 cross-currency swap had a negative fair value of \$3,218 at December 31, 2006.

In October 2005, the company entered into a cross-currency swap, which has a maturity date of October 2010, for approximately \$200,000 or 168,384 (the 2005 cross-currency swap ) to hedge a portion of its net investment in euro-denominated net assets and which has been designated as a net investment



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

hedge. The 2005 cross-currency swap will also effectively convert the interest expense on \$200,000 of long-term debt from U.S. dollars to euros. Based on the foreign exchange rate at December 31, 2006, the company would expect reduced interest expense of approximately \$1,400 for the period from October 2006 through April 2007 (date that interest will reset). As the notional amount of the 2005 cross-currency swap is expected to equal a comparable amount of hedged net assets, no material ineffectiveness is expected. The 2005 cross-currency swap had a negative fair value of \$21,729 and a fair value of \$517 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

**Foreign Exchange Contracts**

The company enters into foreign exchange forward, option, or swap contracts (collectively, the foreign exchange contracts ) to mitigate the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily the euro. These contracts are executed to facilitate the hedging of foreign currency exposures resulting from inventory purchases and sales and generally have terms of no more than six months. Gains or losses on these contracts are deferred and recognized when the underlying future purchase or sale is recognized or when the corresponding asset or liability is revalued. The company does not enter into foreign exchange contracts for trading purposes. The risk of loss on a foreign exchange contract is the risk of nonperformance by the counterparties, which the company minimizes by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions. The fair value of the foreign exchange contracts is estimated using market quotes. The notional amount of the foreign exchange contracts at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$297,950 and \$228,422, respectively. The carrying amounts, which are nominal, approximated fair value at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

**Interest Rate Swaps**

The company utilizes interest rate swaps in order to manage its targeted mix of fixed and floating rate debt. The fair value of the interest rate swaps are included in Other liabilities , and the offsetting adjustment to the carrying value of the debt is included in Long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In June 2004, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps (the 2004 swaps ), with an aggregate notional amount of \$300,000. The 2004 swaps modify the company s interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 9.15% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.73% and 8.57% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), and a portion of the fixed 6.875% senior notes to a floating rate, also based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 7.50% and 5.55% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), through their maturities. The 2004 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$3,245 and a fair value of \$445 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In November 2003, the company entered into a series of interest rate swaps (the 2003 swaps ), with an aggregate notional amount of \$200,000. The 2003 swaps modify the company s interest rate exposure by effectively converting the fixed 7% senior notes to a floating rate, based on the six-month U.S. dollar LIBOR plus a spread (an effective rate of 9.55% and 7.77% at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively), through their maturities. The 2003 swaps are classified as fair value hedges and had a negative fair value of \$185 and \$4,053 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2003 swaps related to the 7% senior notes were terminated in January 2007 upon the repayment of the 7% senior notes.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**8. Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31 consists of the following:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b><u>Current</u></b>			
Federal	\$ 92,842	\$ 68,759	\$ 3,528
State	19,159	6,894	3,349
Foreign	25,889	33,675	44,827
	<u>137,890</u>	<u>109,328</u>	<u>51,704</u>
<b><u>Deferred</u></b>			
Federal	(11,892)	73	32,738
State	953	10,974	6,053
Foreign	1,506	10,873	5,941
	<u>(9,433)</u>	<u>21,920</u>	<u>44,732</u>
	<u>\$ 128,457</u>	<u>\$ 131,248</u>	<u>\$ 96,436</u>

The principal causes of the difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% and effective income tax rates for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
United States	\$ 252,334	\$ 230,624	\$ 109,221
Foreign	265,943	154,937	195,762
	<u>\$ 518,277</u>	<u>\$ 385,561</u>	<u>\$ 304,983</u>
Provision at statutory rate	\$ 181,397	\$ 134,946	\$ 106,744
State taxes, net of federal benefit	13,073	11,614	6,111
Foreign effective tax rate differential	(24,492)	(11,839)	(18,912)
Capital loss valuation allowance	(1,027)	601	1,966
Other non-deductible expenses	2,280	2,808	650

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Settlement of tax matters	(40,426)	-	-
Other	(2,348)	(6,882)	(123)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Provision for income taxes	\$ 128,457	\$ 131,248	\$ 96,436
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

It is the company's policy to establish accruals for taxes that may become payable in future years as a result of examinations by tax authorities. The company establishes tax accruals based upon management's assessment of probable contingencies, which for a global organization is complex and subject to change in regulations and interpretations by government regulators. At December 31, 2006, the company believes it has appropriately accrued for probable tax contingencies in accordance with professional standards. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the company settled certain tax matters covering multiple years. As a result of the settlement of the tax matters, the company recorded a reduction of \$46,176 in the Provision for income taxes, of which \$40,426 related to tax years prior to 2006, in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. In connection with the settlement of the tax matters, an accrual of \$6,900 (\$4,200 net of related taxes) for related interest costs, of which \$3,994 related to tax years prior to 2006, was reversed and, accordingly, the company recorded a reduction in Interest expense, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Deferred income taxes are provided for the effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its reported amount in the consolidated balance sheets. These temporary differences result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years.



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

The significant components of the company's deferred tax assets and liabilities, included primarily in Prepaid expenses and other assets, Other assets, and Other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consist of the following at December 31:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 52,981	\$ 54,991
Capital loss carryforwards	15,971	16,998
Inventory adjustments	37,122	33,962
Allowance for doubtful accounts	20,447	10,274
Accrued expenses	42,230	36,394
Pension costs	5,112	8,671
Integration and restructuring reserves	1,154	2,769
Other	12,947	10,496
	<u>187,964</u>	<u>174,555</u>
Valuation allowance	(50,466)	(45,081)
	<u>\$137,498</u>	<u>\$129,474</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Goodwill	\$ (61,754)	\$ (53,815)
Other	(21)	(2,647)
	<u>\$ (61,775)</u>	<u>\$ (56,462)</u>
Total net deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 75,723</u>	<u>\$ 73,012</u>

At December 31, 2006, certain international subsidiaries had tax loss carryforwards of approximately \$190,000 expiring in various years after 2007. Deferred tax assets related to the tax loss carryforwards of the international subsidiaries in the amount of \$44,492 as of December 31, 2006 have been recorded with a corresponding valuation allowance of \$31,197. In addition, a valuation allowance of \$3,298 has been provided against the other deferred tax assets for certain international subsidiaries. The impact of the change in this valuation allowance on the effective rate reconciliation is included in the foreign effective tax rate differential.

At December 31, 2006, the company had a capital loss carryforward of approximately \$40,000. This loss will expire through 2010. A full valuation allowance of \$15,971 has been provided against the deferred tax asset relating to the capital loss carryforward.

Valuation allowances reflect the deferred tax benefits that management is uncertain of the ability to utilize in the future.

Cumulative undistributed earnings of international subsidiaries were \$1,145,858 at December 31, 2006. No deferred U.S. federal income taxes were provided for the undistributed earnings as they are permanently reinvested in the company's international operations.

Income taxes paid, net of income taxes refunded, amounted to \$163,889, \$97,916, and \$44,545 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**9. Restructuring, Integration, and Other Charges (Credits)**

The company recorded total restructuring charges of \$11,829 (\$8,977 net of related taxes or \$.07 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), \$12,716 (\$7,310 net of related taxes or \$.06 and \$.05 per share

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), and \$11,391 (\$6,943 net of related taxes or \$.07 and \$.06 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively) in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**Restructurings**

Included in the total restructuring charges for 2006 is \$12,280 related to initiatives by the company to improve operating efficiencies. These initiatives, in the aggregate, are expected to generate annual cost savings of approximately \$9,000 beginning in 2007.

During 2005, 2004, and 2003, the company announced a series of steps to make its organizational structure more efficient. The cumulative restructuring charges associated with these actions total \$61,770, which include restructuring charges of \$218, \$13,757, and \$9,830 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The restructuring charges for 2005 and 2004 are net of a gain of \$2,914 and \$1,463, respectively, on the sale of facilities. Included in the restructuring charge for 2005 was a \$1,300 loss resulting from the sale of the company's Cable Assembly business. Approximately 85% of the total charge was spent in cash.

At December 31, 2006, the restructuring accrual related to the aforementioned restructurings was \$4,283 and was comprised of the following:

	<b>Personnel Costs</b>	<b>Facilities</b>	<b>Asset Write- Downs</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
December 31, 2004	\$ 2,828	\$ 2,573	\$ 346	\$ 25	\$ 5,772
Additions (a)	13,562	(910)	1,087	18	13,757
Payments	(11,217)	424	(913)	(41)	(11,747)
Non-cash usage	(407)	-	(240)	-	(647)
Foreign currency translation	(85)	(133)	-	-	(218)
Reclassification	(41)	(25)	-	66	-
December 31, 2005	4,640	1,929	280	68	6,917
Additions (a)	6,306	2,001	4,259	(68)	12,498
Payments	(8,238)	(2,110)	(55)	(26)	(10,429)
Non-cash usage	-	-	(4,484)	-	(4,484)
Foreign currency translation	(107)	(138)	-	26	(219)
December 31, 2006	\$ 2,601	\$ 1,682	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,283

(a) Personnel costs associated with the elimination of 300 positions in 2006, primarily within multiple functions in

North America,  
and  
approximately  
425 positions in  
2005 across  
multiple  
locations,  
primarily within  
the company's  
electronic  
components  
business  
segment and  
shared services  
function.

In mid-2001, the company took a number of significant steps related to cost containment and cost reduction actions. The cumulative restructuring charges recorded as of 2006 related to the 2001 restructuring total \$229,525, which include restructuring credits of \$669 and \$1,041 recorded in 2006 and 2005, respectively, and a restructuring charge of \$1,561 recorded in 2004. At December 31, 2006, cumulative cash payments of \$34,471 (\$2,225 in 2006) and non-cash usage of \$190,879 were recorded against the accrual. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the company had \$4,175 and \$7,069, respectively, of unused accruals of which \$1,369 and \$3,596, respectively, are required to address remaining real estate lease

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

commitments. In addition, accruals of \$2,806 and \$3,473 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, primarily relate to the termination of certain customer programs.

**Integration**

During 2005, the company recorded \$2,271 as additional cost in excess of net assets of companies acquired associated with the Disway acquisition.

During 2004, the company recorded an integration credit, due to a change in estimate, of \$2,323 (\$1,389 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), which primarily related to the final negotiation of facilities related obligations for numerous acquisitions made prior to 2001.

At December 31, 2006, the integration accrual of \$3,393 related to the acquisition of Disway in 2004 and certain acquisitions made prior to 2004 was comprised of the following:

	<b>Personnel Costs</b>	<b>Facilities</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
December 31, 2004	\$ -	\$ 4,474	\$ 1,019	\$ 5,493
Additions	1,144	984	143	2,271
Payments	(1,105)	(143)	(350)	(1,598)
Reclassification	-	(482)	482	-
Foreign currency translation	(15)	(459)	76	(398)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
December 31, 2005	24	4,374	1,370	5,768
Payments	(295)	(1,682)	(838)	(2,815)
Reclassification	271	(346)	75	-
Non-cash usage	-	(59)	-	(59)
Foreign currency translation	-	448	51	499
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
December 31, 2006	\$ -	\$ 2,735	\$ 658	\$ 3,393
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

**Restructuring and Integration Summary**

The remaining balances of the restructuring and integration accruals aggregate \$11,851 at December 31, 2006, of which \$9,045 is expected to be spent in cash, will be utilized as follows:

- The personnel costs accruals of \$2,601 will be utilized to cover costs associated with the termination of personnel, which are primarily expected to be spent through 2007.
- The facilities accruals totaling \$5,786 relate to vacated leases with expiration dates through 2010, of which \$2,396 will be paid in 2007, \$1,354 in 2008, \$1,212 in 2009, and \$824 in 2010.
- The customer termination accrual of \$2,806 relates to costs associated with the termination of certain customer programs, primarily related to services not traditionally provided by the company, and is expected to be utilized over several years.
- Other of \$658 primarily relates to certain terminated contracts and is expected to be utilized over several years.

The company's restructuring and integration programs primarily impacted its electronic components business segment, shared services function and multiple functions in North America.

Acquisition Indemnification

During the first quarter of 2005, Tekelec Europe SA ( Tekelec ), a French subsidiary of the company, entered into a settlement agreement with Tekelec Airtronic SA ( Airtronic ) pursuant to which Airtronic paid 1,510 (approximately \$2,000) to Tekelec in full settlement of all of Tekelec's claims for

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

indemnification under the purchase agreement. The company recorded the net amount of the settlement of \$1,672 (\$1,267 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on a basic basis) as an acquisition indemnification credit.

In August 2004, an agreement was reached with the French tax authorities pursuant to which Tekelec agreed to pay 3,429 in full settlement of a claim asserted by the French tax authorities related to alleged fraudulent activities concerning value-added tax by Tekelec. The alleged fraudulent activities occurred prior to the company's purchase of Tekelec from Airtronic. The company recorded an acquisition indemnification credit of 7,898 (\$9,676 at the exchange rate prevailing on August 12, 2004 or \$.09 and \$.08 per share on a basic and diluted basis, respectively), in 2004, to reduce the liability previously recorded ( 11,327) to the required level ( 3,429). In December 2004, Tekelec paid 3,429 in full settlement of this claim.

**10. Shareholders' Equity**

The activity in the number of shares outstanding is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Common Stock Issued</b>	<b>Treasury Stock</b>	<b>Common Stock Outstanding</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2003	103,878	2,798	101,080
Issuance of common stock	13,800	-	13,800
Exercise of stock options	-	(1,424)	1,424
Other	(3)	-	(3)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2004	117,675	1,374	116,301
Restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	-	(22)	22
Exercise of stock options	2,612	(1,080)	3,692
Other	(1)	-	(1)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2005	120,286	272	120,014
Restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	-	(65)	65
Exercise of stock options	2,339	-	2,339
Other	1	-	1
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Common stock outstanding at December 31, 2006	122,626	207	122,419
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

In February 2004, the company issued 13,800,000 shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$312,507. The proceeds were used to redeem \$208,500 of the company's outstanding 8.7% senior notes due in October 2005 and for the repurchase of a portion of the company's outstanding convertible debentures (\$91,873 accreted value).

The company has 2,000,000 authorized shares of serial preferred stock with a par value of one dollar. There were no shares of serial preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

In 1988, the company paid a dividend of one preferred share purchase right on each outstanding share of common stock. Each right, as amended, entitles a shareholder to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of a new series of preferred stock at an exercise price of fifty dollars (the exercise price). The rights are exercisable only if a person or

group acquires 20% or more of the company's common stock or announces a tender or exchange offer that will result in such person or group acquiring 30% or more of the company's common stock. Rights owned by the person acquiring such stock or transferees thereof will automatically be void. Each other right will become a right to buy, at the exercise price, that number of shares of common stock having a market value of twice the exercise price. The rights, which do not have voting rights, may be redeemed by the company at a price of one cent per right at any time until ten days after a 20% ownership position has been acquired. In the event that the company merges with, or transfers 50% or more of its consolidated assets or earnings power to, any person or group after the rights become exercisable, holders of the rights may purchase, at the exercise price, a number of shares of common stock of the acquiring entity having a market value equal to twice the exercise price. The rights, as amended, expire on March 1, 2008.



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

**11. Net Income Per Share**

The following table sets forth the calculation of net income per share on a basic and diluted basis for the years ended December 31 (shares in thousands):

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Net income, as reported	\$ 388,331	\$ 253,609	\$ 207,504
Adjustment for interest expense on convertible debentures, net of tax	524	5,201	10,063
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Net income, as adjusted	<u>\$ 388,855</u>	<u>\$ 258,810</u>	<u>\$ 217,567</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	121,667	117,819	113,109
Net effect of various dilutive stock-based compensation awards	1,047	1,355	1,595
Net effect of dilutive convertible debentures	467	4,906	9,857
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted	<u>123,181</u>	<u>124,080</u>	<u>124,561</u>
Net income per share:			
Basic	<u>\$ 3.19</u>	<u>\$ 2.15</u>	<u>\$ 1.83</u>
Diluted (a)	<u>\$ 3.16</u>	<u>\$ 2.09</u>	<u>\$ 1.75</u>

(a) The effect of options to purchase 1,620, 1,040, and 5,887 shares for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of net income per share on a diluted basis as their effect is anti-dilutive.

**12. Employee Stock Plans****Omnibus Plan**

The company maintains the Arrow Electronics, Inc. 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), which replaced the Arrow Electronics, Inc. Stock Option Plan, the Arrow Electronics, Inc. Restricted Stock Plan, the 2002 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan, the Non-Employee Directors Deferral Plan, and the 1999 CEO Bonus Plan (collectively, the "Prior Plans"). The Plan broadens the array of equity alternatives available to the company when designing compensation incentives. The Plan permits the grant of cash-based awards, non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options (ISOs), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, covered employee annual incentive awards, and other stock-based awards. The Compensation Committee of the company's Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") determines the vesting requirements, termination provision, and the terms of the award for any awards under the Plan when such awards are issued.

Under the terms of the Plan, a maximum of 8,300,000 shares of common stock may be awarded, subject to adjustment. There were 5,200,702 and 5,592,657 shares available for grant under the Plan as of December 31, 2006

and 2005, respectively. Shares currently subject to awards granted under the Prior Plans, which cease to be subject to such awards for any reason other than exercise for, or settlement in, shares will also be available under the Plan. Generally, shares are counted against the authorization only to the extent that they are issued. Restricted stock, restricted stock units, and performance shares count against the authorization at a rate of 1.69 to 1.

After adoption of the Plan, there were no additional awards made under any of the Prior Plans, though awards previously granted under the Prior Plans will survive according to their terms.

Stock Options

Under the Plan, the company may grant both ISOs and non-qualified stock options. ISOs may only be granted to employees, subsidiaries, and affiliates. The exercise price for options cannot be less than the fair market value of Arrow's common stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the Prior Plans

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

become exercisable in equal installments over a four-year period, except for stock options authorized for grant to directors, which become exercisable in equal installments over a two-year period. Options currently outstanding have terms of ten years.

The following information relates to the stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2006:

<b>Options</b>	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</b>
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	7,986,752	\$ 26.31		
Granted	194,350	32.32		
Exercised	(2,339,057)	25.17		
Forfeited	(316,780)	28.07		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	<u>5,525,265</u>	26.90	77 months	<u>\$ 31,000</u>
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	<u>2,536,231</u>	24.44	52 months	<u>\$ 18,370</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the company's closing stock price on the last trading day of 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2006. This amount changes based on the market value of the company's stock.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$21,158.

Cash received from option exercises during 2006 was \$59,194 and is included within the financing activities section in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

**Performance Shares**

The Compensation Committee, subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan, may grant performance unit and/or performance share awards. The fair value of a performance unit award is the fair market value of the company's common stock on the date of grant. Such awards will be earned only if performance goals over performance periods established by or under the direction of the Compensation Committee are met. The performance goals and periods may vary from participant-to-participant, group-to-group, and time-to-time. The performance shares will be delivered in common stock at the end of the service period based on the company's actual performance compared to the target metric and may be from 0% to 200% of the initial award. Compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line method over the service period, which is generally three years and is adjusted each period based on the current estimate of performance compared to the target metric.

**Restricted Stock**

Subject to the terms and conditions of the Plan, the Compensation Committee may grant shares of restricted stock and/or restricted stock units. Restricted stock units are similar to restricted stock except that no shares are actually awarded to the participant on the date of grant. Shares of restricted stock and/or restricted stock units awarded under the Plan may not be sold, transferred, pledged, assigned, or otherwise alienated or hypothecated until the end of the

applicable period of restriction established by the Compensation Committee and specified in the award agreement (and in the case of restricted stock units until the date of delivery or other payment). Compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis as shares become free of forfeiture restrictions (i.e., vest) generally over a four-year period.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**Non-Employee Director Awards**

The company's Board of Directors (the Board) shall set the amounts and types of equity awards that shall be granted to all non-employee directors on a periodic, nondiscriminatory basis pursuant to the Plan, as well as any additional amounts, if any, to be awarded, also on a periodic, nondiscriminatory basis, based on each of the following: the number of committees of the Board on which a non-employee director serves, service of a non-employee director as the chair of a Committee of the Board, service of a non-employee director as Chairman of the Board or Lead Director, or the first selection or appointment of an individual to the Board as a non-employee director. Non-employee directors currently receive annual awards of restricted stock units valued at \$60. The restricted stock units will vest one year from date of grant and are subject to further restrictions until one year from the director's separation from the Board. All restricted stock units are settled in common stock after the restriction period.

Unless a non-employee director gives notice setting forth a different percentage, 50% of each director's annual retainer fee will be deferred and converted into units based on the fair market value of the company's stock as of the date it would have been payable. Upon a non-employee director's retirement from the Board, each unit in their deferral account will be converted into a share of company stock and distributed to the non-employee director as soon as practicable following such date.

**Summary of Non-Vested Shares**

The following information summarizes the changes in non-vested performance shares, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and non-employee director awards for 2006:

	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>
Non-vested shares at December 31, 2005	708,824	\$ 23.68
Granted	394,863	31.22
Vested	(141,160)	20.13
Forfeited	(90,493)	29.48
	<hr/>	
Non-vested shares at December 31, 2006	872,034	27.06

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$10,692 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested shares which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years. The total fair value of shares vested for 2006 was \$4,841.

**Stock Ownership Plan**

The company maintains a noncontributory employee stock ownership plan, which enables most North American employees to acquire shares of the company's common stock. Contributions, which are determined by the Board, are in the form of common stock or cash, which is used to purchase the company's common stock for the benefit of participating employees. Contributions to the plan for 2006, 2005, and 2004 amounted to \$9,668, \$9,462, and \$10,446, respectively.

**Share-Repurchase Program**

On February 28, 2006, the Board authorized the company to repurchase up to \$100,000 of the company's outstanding common stock through a share repurchase program. The purpose of this program is to partially offset the dilutive

effect of the issuance of common stock upon the exercise of stock options. Purchases under the stock repurchase program may be made from time to time, as market and business conditions warrant, in accordance with applicable regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. As of December 31, 2006, no shares were repurchased under this plan.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

**13. Employee Benefit Plans**

On December 31, 2006, the company adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) ( Statement No. 158 ), which required the company to recognize the funded status of its defined benefit plans in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006, with the corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. The adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income upon adoption represents the net unrecognized actuarial losses, unrecognized prior service costs, and unrecognized transition obligation remaining from the initial adoption of FASB Statement No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions ( Statement No. 87 ), which were previously netted against the funded status in the company s consolidated balance sheets in accordance with the provisions of Statement No. 87. These amounts will be subsequently recognized as net periodic pension cost. Actuarial gains and losses that arise in subsequent periods and are not recognized as net periodic pension cost in the same periods will be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income and will be subsequently recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost on the same basis as the amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income upon adoption of Statement No. 158.

The incremental effects of adopting the provisions of Statement No. 158 on the company s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006 is as follows:

	<b>Prior to Adopting Statement</b>	<b>Effect of Adopting Statement</b>	<b>As Reported</b>
	<b>No. 158</b>	<b>No. 158</b>	<b>No. 158</b>
Pension assets	\$ 6,617	\$ (6,617)	\$ -
Intangible assets	817	(817)	-
Net deferred tax assets	74,179	1,544	75,723
Pension liabilities	73,198	(3,320)	69,878
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,837)	(2,570)	(7,407)

Pension assets and intangible assets are included in Other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Net deferred tax assets are included primarily in Prepaid expenses and other assets , Other assets , and Other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Pension liabilities are included in Other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Accumulated other comprehensive income is included in Other in the shareholders equity section in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2006 are the following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost: unrecognized transition obligation of \$2,054 (\$1,349 net of related taxes), unrecognized prior service costs of \$1,551 (\$927 net of related taxes), and unrecognized actuarial losses of \$27,389 (\$16,369 net of related taxes). The transition obligation, prior service cost, and actuarial loss included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and expected to be recognized in net periodic pension cost for the year ended December 31, 2007 is \$475 (\$294 net of related taxes), \$538 (\$322 net of related taxes), and \$1,382 (\$826 net of related taxes), respectively.

Prior to the adoption of Statement No. 158, minimum pension liability adjustments were required to recognize a liability equal to the unfunded accumulated benefit obligation. At December 31, 2006, prior to adopting Statement No. 158, and at December 31, 2005, the company had accumulated additional minimum pension liabilities of \$26,662 and \$36,377, respectively, related to the company s employee benefit plans, which were recorded in Other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2005, the accumulated additional minimum pension liabilities are offset by an intangible asset included in Other assets of \$3,578 and an accumulated other comprehensive

loss of \$32,799 included in Other in the shareholders equity section in the accompanying 2005 consolidated balance sheet. In addition, the



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

company recognized deferred tax assets of \$13,185 at December 31, 2005 related to the accumulated other comprehensive loss included in Other in the shareholders equity section in the accompanying 2005 consolidated balance sheet.

**Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans ( SERP )**

The company maintains an unfunded Arrow SERP under which the company will pay supplemental pension benefits to certain employees upon retirement. There are 26 current and former corporate officers participating in this plan. The Board determines those employees who are eligible to participate in the Arrow SERP.

The Arrow SERP, as amended in 2002, provides for the pension benefits to be based on a percentage of average final compensation, based on years of participation in the Arrow SERP. The Arrow SERP permits early retirement, with payments at a reduced rate, based on age and years of service subject to a minimum retirement age of 55. Participants whose accrued rights under the Arrow SERP, prior to the 2002 amendment, which would have been adversely affected by the amendment, will continue to be entitled to such greater rights.

The company acquired Wyle Electronics ( Wyle ) in 2000. Wyle also sponsored an unfunded SERP for certain of its executives. Benefit accruals for the Wyle SERP were frozen as of December 31, 2000. There are 19 participants in this plan.

The company uses a December 31 measurement date for the Arrow SERP and the Wyle SERP. Pension information for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 44,589	\$ 44,609
Changes in projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 48,452	\$ 46,633
Service cost (Arrow SERP)	2,292	1,800
Interest cost	2,697	2,602
Actuarial (gain)/loss	(1,602)	220
Benefits paid	(2,736)	(2,803)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	<u>\$ 49,103</u>	<u>\$ 48,452</u>
Funded status	<u>\$ (49,103)</u>	<u>\$ (48,452)</u>
Components of net periodic pension cost:		
Service cost	\$ 2,292	\$ 1,800
Interest cost	2,697	2,602
Amortization of net loss	499	597
Amortization of prior service cost (Arrow SERP)	549	549
Amortization of transition obligation (Arrow SERP)	411	411
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

Net periodic pension cost	<u>\$ 6,448</u>	<u>\$ 5,959</u>
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligation:		
Discount rate	5.75%	5.50%
Rate of compensation increase (Arrow SERP)	5.00%	5.00%
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost:		
Discount rate	5.50%	5.75%
Rate of compensation increase (Arrow SERP)	5.00%	5.00%

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

At December 31, 2005, the net amount of \$39,126, which represents the funded status of \$48,452, offset by the unamortized net loss, unamortized prior service cost, and unamortized transition obligation, which totaled \$9,326, was recognized as a pension liability.

The amounts reported for net periodic pension cost and the respective benefit obligation amounts are dependent upon the actuarial assumptions used. The company reviews historical trends, future expectations, current market conditions, and external data to determine the assumptions. The discount rate represents the market rate for a high quality corporate bond. For purposes of calculating the 2006 net periodic benefit cost, the company used a discount rate of 5.5%. For purposes of calculating the 2006 benefit obligation, the company used a discount rate of 5.75%, which was increased 25 basis points higher from the 2005 rate to reflect overall market conditions. The rate of compensation increase is determined by the company, based upon its long-term plans for such increases. The actuarial assumptions used to determine the net periodic pension cost are based upon the prior year's assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation.

The company makes contributions to the plan so that minimum contribution requirements, as determined by government regulations, are met. Based upon the performance of plan assets, the company does not anticipate a contribution to this plan in 2007.

Benefit payments are expected to be paid as follows:

2007	\$ 2,721
2008	2,809
2009	3,335
2010	3,539
2011	3,586
2012 - 2016	18,562

66

---

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Dollars in thousands except per share data)

**Defined Benefit Plan**

Wyle provided retirement benefits for certain employees under a defined benefit plan. Benefits under this plan were frozen as of December 31, 2000 and former participants may now participate in the company's employee stock ownership and 401(k) plans. The company uses a December 31 measurement date for this plan. Pension information for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 98,168	\$ 100,717
Changes in projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 100,717	\$ 95,218
Interest cost	5,401	5,375
Actuarial (gain)/loss	(2,959)	5,012
Benefits paid	(4,991)	(4,888)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	<b>\$ 98,168</b>	<b>\$ 100,717</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Changes in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 77,107	\$ 77,649
Actual return on plan assets	7,759	4,346
Benefits paid	(4,991)	(4,888)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	<b>\$ 79,875</b>	<b>\$ 77,107</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Funded status	<b>\$ (18,293)</b>	<b>\$ (23,610)</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Components of net periodic pension cost:		
Interest cost	\$ 5,401	\$ 5,375
Expected return on plan assets	(6,326)	(6,404)
Amortization of net loss	1,761	1,363
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net periodic pension cost	<b>\$ 836</b>	<b>\$ 334</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligation:

Discount rate	5.75%	5.50%
Expected return on plan assets	8.50%	8.50%

Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost:

Discount rate	5.50%	5.75%
Expected return on plan assets	8.50%	8.50%

At December 31, 2005, the net amount of \$7,453, which represents the funded status of \$23,610, offset by the unamortized net loss of \$31,063, was recognized as a pension asset.

The amounts reported for net periodic pension cost and the respective benefit obligation amounts are dependent upon the actuarial assumptions used. The company reviews historical trends, future expectations, current market conditions, and external data to determine the assumptions. The discount rate represents the market rate for a high quality corporate bond. For purposes of calculating the 2006 net periodic benefit cost, the company used a discount rate of 5.5%. For purposes of calculating the 2006 benefit obligation, the company used a discount rate of 5.75%, which was increased 25 basis points higher from the 2005 rate to reflect overall market conditions. The expected return on plan assets is based on current and expected asset allocations, historical trends, and expected returns on plan assets. Based upon the above factors and the long-term nature of the returns, the company did not change the 2006 assumption

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

from prior year. The actuarial assumptions used to determine the net periodic pension cost are based upon the prior year's assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation.

The company makes contributions to the plan so that minimum contribution requirements, as determined by government regulations, are met. Based upon the performance of plan assets, the company does not anticipate a contribution to this plan in 2007.

Benefit payments are expected to be paid as follows:

2007	\$ 5,466
2008	5,636
2009	5,776
2010	5,890
2011	5,915
2012 - 2016	31,338

The plan asset allocations at December 31 are as follows:

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Equities	63%	54%
Fixed income	35	44
Cash	2	2
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100%	100%
	<hr/>	<hr/>

The investment portfolio contains a diversified blend of common stocks, bonds, cash equivalents and other investments, which may reflect varying rates of return. The investments are further diversified within each asset classification. The portfolio diversification provides protection against a single security or class of securities having a disproportionate impact on aggregate performance. The target allocations for plan assets are 65% in equities and 35% in fixed income, although the actual plan asset allocations may be within a range around these targets. The actual asset allocations are reviewed and rebalanced on a regular basis to maintain the target allocations.

**Defined Contribution Plan**

The company has a defined contribution plan for eligible employees, which qualifies under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The company's contribution to the plan, which is based on a specified percentage of employee contributions, amounted to \$7,967, \$8,174, and \$8,690 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Certain foreign subsidiaries maintain separate defined contribution plans for their employees and made contributions hereunder, which amounted to \$4,333, \$3,422, and \$3,210 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**14. Lease Commitments**

The company leases certain office, distribution, and other property under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2053. Rental expense under non-cancelable operating leases, net of sublease income, amounted to \$54,790, \$54,286, and \$65,942 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

Aggregate minimum rental commitments under all non-cancelable operating leases, exclusive of real estate taxes, insurance, and leases related to facilities closed as a result of the integration of acquired businesses and the restructuring of the company, are as follows:

2007	\$ 50,399
2008	43,052
2009	34,379
2010	24,269
2011	18,439
Thereafter	53,116

**15. Contingencies****Tekelec Matters**

In 2000, the company purchased Tekelec from Airtronic and certain other selling shareholders. Subsequent to the closing of the acquisition, Tekelec received a product liability claim in the amount of 11,333. The product liability claim was the subject of a French legal proceeding started by the claimant in 2002, under which separate determinations were made as to whether the products that are subject to the claim were defective and the amount of damages sustained by the purchaser. The manufacturer of the products also participated in this proceeding. The claimant has commenced legal proceedings against Tekelec and its insurers to recover damages in the amount of 3,742 and expenses of 312 plus interest.

**Environmental and Related Matters**

In connection with the purchase of Wyle from the VEBA Group ( VEBA ) in 2000, the company assumed certain of the then outstanding obligations of Wyle. In 1994, Wyle sold one of its divisions, Wyle Laboratories, an engineering unit specializing in the testing of military, aerospace, and commercial products. As a result, among the Wyle obligations the company assumed was Wyle's indemnification of the purchasers of Wyle Laboratories for environmental clean-up costs associated with any then existing contamination or violation of environmental regulations. Under the terms of the company's purchase of Wyle from VEBA, VEBA agreed to indemnify the company for, among other things, costs related to environmental pollution associated with Wyle, including those associated with Wyle's sale of its laboratory division.

The company is aware of two Wyle Laboratories facilities (in Huntsville, Alabama and Norco, California) at which contaminated groundwater has been identified. Each site will require remediation, the final form and cost of which is as yet undetermined.

The company has also been named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in September 2006 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (Apollo Associates, L.P., a California Limited Partnership; Murray Neidorf, an individual, v. Arrow Electronics, Inc. et al.) in connection with alleged contamination at a third site, a small industrial building formerly leased by Wyle Laboratories, in El Segundo, California. The outcome of the proceedings, as well as the nature of any contamination and the amount of any associated liability, is all as yet unknown.

Characterization of the extent of contaminated groundwater continues at the site in Huntsville, Alabama. Under the direction of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, approximately \$1,400 has been spent to date. Though the complete scope of the characterization effort and the design of any remedial action are not yet known, the company currently estimates additional expenditures at the site of approximately \$4,750.

Regarding the Norco site, in October 2003, the company entered into a consent decree among it, Wyle Laboratories and the California Department of Toxic Substance Control (the DTSC). In May 2004, a Removal Action Work Plan pertaining to the remediation of contaminated groundwater at certain previously identified areas of the Norco site was accepted by the DTSC. That remediation is under way. The company currently estimates that additional cost of interim remediation under the Removal Action





**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

Work Plan ranges from \$226 to \$475. The implementation of a second Removal Action Work Plan, pertaining to the interim remediation of certain areas immediately adjacent to the site, is also under way, the total completion cost of which is currently estimated at between \$114 and \$200. Additional onsite remediation-related activities are underway, with estimated additional implementation costs of \$450.

Even as the above-referenced interim remedial activities are underway, investigation and characterization of the Norco site continue. A series of additional work plans and technical memoranda were submitted to the DTSC during late 2005 for additional onsite and offsite characterization activities and were approved. It is estimated that the cost of implementing the updated plans is \$1,500 to \$3,000. Expenses for activities such as onsite and offsite ground water monitoring, regulatory oversight, and project management during 2007 are expected to range from \$1,500 to \$3,500.

Preliminary removal action plans for source control related to offsite contamination were submitted to the DTSC early in 2006, and the review and discussion of such measures is ongoing. The costs of implementing these plans and the potential interim actions to address indoor air quality issues are estimated to be between \$3,000 and \$5,000.

Despite the amount of work undertaken and planned to date, the complete scope of work under the consent decree is not yet known, and, accordingly, the associated costs have not yet been determined.

In addition, the company has been named as a defendant in three suits related to the Norco facility, all of which have been consolidated for pre-trial purposes. In January 2005, an action was filed in the California Superior Court in Riverside County, California (Gloria Austin, et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al.) in which 91 plaintiff landowners and residents have sued a number of defendants under a variety of theories for unquantified damages allegedly caused by environmental contamination at and around the Norco site. Also filed in the Superior Court in Riverside County were Jimmy Gandara, et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al. in January 2006, and Lisa Briones et al. v. Wyle Laboratories, Inc. et al. in May 2006, both of which contain allegations similar to those in the Austin case on behalf of approximately 20 additional plaintiffs. The outcome of the cases and the amount of any associated liability are all as yet unknown.

The company believes that any cost which it may incur in connection with environmental conditions at the Norco, Huntsville, and El Segundo sites and the related litigation is covered by the contractual indemnifications (except, under the terms of the environmental indemnification, for the first \$450), which arose out of the company's purchase of Wyle from VEBA.

Wyle Laboratories has demanded indemnification from the company with respect to the work at both sites and in connection with the litigation, and the company has, in turn, demanded indemnification from VEBA. VEBA merged with a publicly traded, German conglomerate in June 2000 and the combined entity is now known as E.ON AG, which remains responsible for VEBA's liabilities.

E.ON AG has acknowledged liability under the terms of the VEBA contract with the company in respect to the Norco and Huntsville sites and made an initial, partial payment. Neither the company's demands for subsequent payments nor its demand for defense and indemnification in the Riverside County litigation and other costs associated with the Norco site has been met. In September 2004, the company filed suit against E.ON AG and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama seeking further payments related to those sites and additional damages. The case has since been transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, where it has been consolidated with a case commenced by the company and Wyle Laboratories in May 2005 against E.ON AG seeking indemnification, contribution, and a declaration of the parties respective rights and obligations in connection with the Riverside County litigation and other costs associated with the Norco site. The court has ruled that the enforcement and interpretation of E.ON AG's contractual obligations are matters for a court in Germany, a ruling with which the company disagrees and which it is appealing. Nevertheless, in October 2005, the company filed a related action with regard to such matters against E.ON AG in the Frankfurt am Main Regional Court in Germany.

Also included in the proceedings against E.ON AG is a claim for the reimbursement of pre-acquisition tax liabilities of Wyle in the amount of \$8,729 for which E.ON AG is also contractually liable to indemnify the



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

company. E.ON AG has specifically acknowledged owing the company not less than \$6,335 of such amounts, but its promises to make payments of at least that amount have not been kept.

The company has received an opinion of counsel that the recovery of costs incurred to date, which are covered under the contractual indemnifications associated with the environmental clean-up costs related to the Norco and Huntsville sites, is probable. Based on the opinion of counsel, the company increased the receivable for amounts due from E.ON AG by \$7,362 during 2006 to \$17,700. The company's net costs for such indemnified matters may vary from period to period as estimates of recoveries are not always recognized in the same period as the accrual of estimated expenses. In 2006, the company recorded a charge of \$1,449 (\$867 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) related to the environmental matters arising out of the company's purchase of Wyle.

In connection with the acquisition of Wyle, the company acquired a \$4,495 tax receivable due from E.ON AG (as successor to VEBA) in respect of certain tax payments made by Wyle prior to the effective date of the acquisition, the recovery of which the company also believes is probable.

The company believes strongly in the merits of its actions against E.ON AG, and is pursuing them vigorously.

**Other**

From time to time, in the normal course of business, the company may become liable with respect to other pending and threatened litigation, environmental, regulatory, and tax matters. While such matters are subject to inherent uncertainties, it is not currently anticipated that any such other matters will have a material adverse impact on the company's financial position, liquidity, or results of operations.

**16. Segment and Geographic Information**

The company is engaged in the distribution of electronic components to original equipment manufacturers ( OEMs ) and contract manufacturers and computer products to VARs. As a result of the company's philosophy of maximizing operating efficiencies through the centralization of certain functions, selected fixed assets and related depreciation, as well as borrowings, are not directly attributable to the individual operating segments. Computer products includes the Arrow Enterprise Computing Solutions businesses, UK Microtronica, ATD (in Spain), and Arrow Computer Products (in France).

Effective January 1, 2006, the OEM Computing Solutions business, which was previously included in the worldwide computer products business, was transitioned into the company's worldwide electronic components business to further leverage customer overlap and to take advantage of greater opportunities for selling synergies. Prior period segment data was adjusted to conform with the current period presentation.

Sales and operating income (loss), by segment, for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Sales:			
Electronic components	\$ 10,818,421	\$ 8,825,774	\$ 8,477,428
Computer products	2,758,691	2,338,422	2,168,685
	\$ 13,577,112	\$ 11,164,196	\$ 10,646,113
Consolidated			
Operating income (loss):			
Electronic components	\$ 595,643	\$ 457,832	\$ 445,273
Computer products	126,638	124,381	99,341
Corporate (a)	(116,056)	(101,955)	(105,276)

	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>
Consolidated	\$ 606,225	\$ 480,258	\$ 439,338
	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

- (a) Includes a charge related to a pre-acquisition warranty claim of \$2,837, a charge related to pre-acquisition environmental matters arising out of the company's purchase of Wyle of \$1,449 and stock option expense of \$12,979 resulting from the company's adoption of Statement No. 123(R). Also includes restructuring charges of \$11,829, \$12,716, and \$11,391 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, acquisition indemnification credits of \$1,672 and \$9,676 in 2005 and 2004, respectively, as well as an integration credit of \$2,323 and an impairment charge of \$9,995 in 2004.

Total assets, by segment, at December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Electronic components	\$ 4,924,703	\$ 4,584,378
Computer products	1,113,001	820,114
Corporate	631,868	640,425
	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>
Consolidated	<u>\$ 6,669,572</u>	<u>\$ 6,044,917</u>

Sales, by geographic area, for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
North America (b)	\$ 6,846,468	\$ 6,337,613	\$ 6,117,587
EMEASA	4,348,484	3,360,643	3,358,333
Asia/Pacific	2,382,160	1,465,940	1,170,193
	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>
	<u>\$ 13,577,112</u>	<u>\$ 11,164,196</u>	<u>\$ 10,646,113</u>

- (b) Includes sales related to the United States of \$6,337,169, \$5,879,863, and \$5,734,890 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Total assets, by geographic area, at December 31 are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
North America (c)	\$ 3,468,583	\$ 3,417,448
EMEASA	2,407,074	1,973,731
Asia/Pacific	793,915	653,738
	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>
	<u>\$ 6,669,572</u>	<u>\$ 6,044,917</u>

- (c) Includes total assets related to the United States of \$3,338,499 and \$3,310,221 in 2006 and 2005, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

**17. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

A summary of the company's consolidated quarterly results of operations are as follows:

	<u>First Quarter</u>	<u>Second Quarter</u>	<u>Third Quarter</u>	<u>Fourth Quarter</u>
<b>2006</b>				
Sales	\$ 3,192,463	\$ 3,437,032	\$ 3,454,297	\$ 3,493,320
Gross profit	487,543	524,424	508,083	511,343
Net income	81,579 (b)	92,763 (c)	85,918 (d)	128,071 (e)

Net income per share

(a):

Basic	\$ .68 (b)	\$ .76 (c)	\$ .70 (d)	\$ 1.05 (e)
Diluted	.66 (b)	.76 (c)	.70 (d)	1.04 (e)

**2005**

Sales	\$ 2,726,871	\$ 2,767,547	\$ 2,710,168	\$ 2,959,610
Gross profit	432,229	441,333	419,256	446,792
Net income	57,191 (f)	58,449 (g)	63,523 (h)	74,446 (i)

Net income per share

(a):

Basic	\$ .49 (f)	\$ .50 (g)	\$ .54 (h)	\$ .62 (i)
Diluted	.47 (f)	.48 (g)	.52 (h)	.60 (i)

- (a) Quarterly net income per share is calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each quarterly period, while net income per share for the full year is calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Therefore, the sum of the net income per share for each of the four quarters may not equal the net income per share for the full year.
- (b) Includes stock option expense (\$1,805 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), a restructuring charge (\$920 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), and a loss on prepayment of debt (\$1,558 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis).
- (c) Includes stock option expense (\$2,131 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and a restructuring charge (\$1,894 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis).
- (d) Includes stock option expense (\$2,239 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and a restructuring charge (\$1,101 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis).
- (e) Includes stock option expense (\$2,368 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), a charge related to a pre-acquisition warranty claim (\$1,861 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), a charge related to the pre-acquisition environmental matters arising out of the company's purchase of Wyle (\$867 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), and a restructuring charge (\$5,062 net of related taxes or \$.04 per share on both a basic and diluted basis). Also includes the reduction of the provision for income taxes of \$46,176 and the reduction of interest expense of \$6,900 (\$4,200 net of related taxes) related to the settlement of certain tax matters.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Dollars in thousands except per share data)**

- (f) Includes a restructuring charge (\$2,533 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), an acquisition indemnification credit (\$1,267 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on a basic basis), and a loss on prepayment of debt (\$212 net of related taxes).
- (g) Includes a restructuring charge (\$2,925 net of related taxes or \$.02 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), a loss on prepayment of debt (\$1,035 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis), and a loss on the write-down of an investment (\$3,019 or \$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis).
- (h) Includes a restructuring gain (\$442 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and a loss on prepayment of debt (\$672 net of related taxes or \$.01 per share on both a basic and diluted basis).
- (i) Includes a restructuring charge (\$2,294 net of related taxes or \$.03 per share on both a basic and diluted basis) and a loss on prepayment of debt (\$677 net of related taxes).

**18. Subsequent Event (Unaudited)**

On January 2, 2007, the company announced that it signed a definitive agreement with Agilysys, Inc. ( Agilysys ) pursuant to which the company will acquire substantially all of the assets and operations of the Agilysys KeyLink Systems Group ( KeyLink ), a leading enterprise computing solutions distributor, for \$485,000 in cash. The company will also enter into a long-term procurement agreement with the Agilysys Enterprise Solutions Group, Agilysys value-added reseller business. KeyLink, which is based in Cleveland, Ohio, has approximately 500 employees and provides complex solutions from industry leading manufacturers to more than 800 reseller partners. Total KeyLink sales for 2006, including revenues associated with the above-mentioned procurement agreement, were approximately \$1,600,000. The KeyLink acquisition is expected to be \$.18 to \$.22 accretive in the first twelve months post closing, excluding any potential integration costs. This transaction, which will be funded with cash-on-hand plus borrowings under the company's existing committed liquidity facilities, is subject to customary closing conditions, including obtaining necessary government approvals, and is expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter of 2007.



**Table of Contents**

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2006 (the "Evaluation"). Based upon the Evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) are effective in ensuring that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to them by others within those entities as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, particularly during the period in which this annual report was being prepared.

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

The company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)). Management evaluates the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, and concluded that it is effective.

The company acquired Alternative Technology, Inc. ( "Alternative Technology" ) on November 30, 2006, and InTechnology plc's storage and security distribution business ( "InTechnology" ) on December 29, 2006. The company has excluded Alternative Technology and InTechnology from its assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Alternative Technology and InTechnology accounted for 4.2 percent and 5.3 percent of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2006 and less than one percent of the company's consolidated net sales and net income for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has audited the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting and management's assessment of the effectiveness of such controls as of December 31, 2006, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Arrow Electronics, Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Arrow Electronics, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Arrow Electronics, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Alternative Technology, Inc. (Alternative Technology) and InTechnology plc's storage and security distribution business (InTechnology), which are included in the 2006 consolidated financial statements of Arrow Electronics, Inc. and constituted 4.2 percent and 5.3 percent of total and net assets, respectively, as of December 31, 2006 and less than one percent of revenues and net income for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Arrow Electronics, Inc. also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Alternative Technology and InTechnology.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Arrow Electronics, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Arrow Electronics, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Arrow Electronics, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and our report dated February 22, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

New York, New York

February 22, 2007

**Table of Contents**

**Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There was no change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Transition of Business and Financial Systems**

During the first quarter of 2007, the company completed the process of installing modules in Europe as part of a phased implementation schedule associated with the design of a new global financial system. Additional installations of these modules at other geographic locations are expected to be completed by the end of 2007. The implementation of the new global financial system involves changes to the company's procedures for control over financial reporting. The company has followed a system implementation life cycle process that required significant pre-implementation planning, design, and testing. The company has also conducted extensive post-implementation monitoring and process modifications to ensure the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and the company has not experienced any significant difficulties in results to date in connection with the implementation or operations of the new financial system. There were no other changes in the company's internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this quarterly report.

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.**

See Executive Officers in Part I of this annual report on Form 10-K. In addition, the information set forth under the headings Election of Directors and Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in the company's Proxy Statement, filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, are incorporated herein by reference.

Information about the company's audit committee financial experts set forth under the heading The Board and its Committees in the company's Proxy Statement, filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference.

Information about the company's code of ethics governing the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Corporate Controller, known as the Finance Code of Ethics, as well as a code of ethics governing all employees, known as the Worldwide Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, is available free-of-charge on the company's website at <http://www.arrow.com> and is available in print to any shareholder upon request.

Information about the company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and written committee charters for the company's Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance Committee is available free-of-charge on the company's website at <http://www.arrow.com> and is available in print to any shareholder upon request.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

The information set forth under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the company's Proxy Statement, filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The information required by Item 12 is included in the company's Proxy Statement filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.**

The information required by Item 13 is included in the company's Proxy Statement filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

The information set forth under the heading Principal Accounting Firm Fees in the company's Proxy Statement, filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 8, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

	Page
1. Financial Statements.	
<u>Report of Ernst &amp; Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	36
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004</u>	37
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u>	38
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004</u>	39
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004</u>	40
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	42
2. Financial Statement Schedule.	
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	87

All other schedules have been omitted since the required information is not present, or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.

3. Exhibits.

See Index of Exhibits included on pages 80 - 86.

Table of Contents**INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
2(a)	Shareholder s Agreement, dated as of October 10, 1991, among EDI Electronics Distribution International B.V., Giorgio Ghezzi, Germano Fanelli, and Renzo Ghezzi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(f)(iii) to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993, Commission File No. 1-4482).
2(b)	Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2000, by and between Arrow Electronics, Inc., Tekelec Airtronic, Zedtek, Investitech, and Natec (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(g) to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4482).
2(c)	Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2000, among VEBA Electronics GmbH, EBV Verwaltungs GmbH i.L., Viterra Grundstucke Verwaltungs GmbH, VEBA Electronics LLC, VEBA Electronics Beteiligungs GmbH, VEBA Electronics (UK) Plc, Raab Karcher Electronics Systems Plc and E.ON AG and Arrow Electronics, Inc., Avnet, Inc., and Cherrybright Limited regarding the sale and purchase of the VEBA electronics distribution group (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2(i) to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4482).
2(d)	Agreement for Sale and Purchase of Shares of DNSint.com AG, dated as of October 26, 2005, by and between the company and the Sellers referred to therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
2(e)	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated January 2, 2007, for sale of certain assets of KeyLink Systems, a business of Agilysys, Inc., and Agilysys Canada Inc., to Arrow Electronics, Inc., Arrow Electronics Canada Ltd., and Support Net, Inc.
3(a)(i)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994, Commission File No. 1-4482).
3(a)(ii)	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of Arrow Electronics, Inc., dated as of August 30, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1996, Commission File No. 1-4482).
3(a)(iii)	Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the company, dated as of October 12, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a)(iii) to the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4482).
3(b)	Amended Corporate By-Laws, dated July 29, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to the company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
4(a)(i)	Rights Agreement, dated as of March 2, 1988, between Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, as Rights Agent, which includes, as Exhibit A, a Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation for Arrow Electronics, Inc. for the Participating Preferred Stock, as Exhibit B, a letter to shareholders describing the Rights and a summary of the provisions of the Rights Agreement, and, as Exhibit C, the forms of Rights Certificate and Election to Exercise (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 3, 1988, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(a)(ii)	First Amendment, dated June 30, 1989, to the Rights Agreement in (4)(a)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b) to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 30, 1989, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(a)(iii)	Second Amendment, dated June 8, 1991, to the Rights Agreement in (4)(a)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i)(iii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(a)(iv)	Third Amendment, dated July 19, 1991, to the Rights Agreement in (4)(a)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i)(iv) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(a)(v)	Fourth Amendment, dated August 26, 1991, to the Rights Agreement in (4)(a)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(i)(v) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(a)(vi)	Fifth Amendment, dated February 25, 1998, to the Rights Agreement in (4)(a)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 7 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-A/A dated March 2, 1998, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(b)(i)	Indenture, dated as of January 15, 1997, between the company and the Bank of Montreal Trust Company, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(i) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(b)(ii)	Officers' Certificate, as defined by the Indenture in 4(b)(i) above, dated as of January 22, 1997, with respect to the company's \$200,000,000 7% Senior Notes due 2007 and \$200,000,000 7 1/2% Senior Debentures due 2027 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(ii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(b)(iii)	Officers' Certificate, as defined by the indenture in 4(b)(i) above, dated as of January 15, 1997, with respect to the \$200,000,000 6 7/8% Senior Debentures due 2018, dated as of May 29, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(iii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998, Commission File No. 1-4482).
4(b)(iv)	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 21, 2001, between the company and The Bank of New York (as successor to the Bank of Montreal Trust Company), as trustee (incorporated by



Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 12, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).

- 4(b)(v) Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 31, 2001, between the company and The Bank of New York (as successor to the Bank of Montreal Trust Company), as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(vi) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
4(b)(vi)	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 11, 2005, between the company and The Bank of New York (as successor to the Bank of Montreal Trust Company), as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(b)(vii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(a)	Arrow Electronics Savings Plan, as amended and restated on January 1, 2007.
10(b)	Wyle Electronics Retirement Plan, as amended and restated on March 17, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(c)	Arrow Electronics Stock Ownership Plan, as amended and restated on March 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 1, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(i)	Arrow Electronics, Inc. 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan as of May 27, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(ii)	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (Senior Management) under 10(d)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-0 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 23, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(iii)	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (Other) under 10(d)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 23, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(iv)	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under 10(d)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-0 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 23, 2006, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(v)	Form of Performance Share Award Agreement under 10(d)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-0 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 31, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(d)(vi)	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 10(d)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10-0 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 14, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(e)(i)	Arrow Electronics, Inc. Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective February 27, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d)(i) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(e)(ii)	Paying Agency Agreement, dated November 11, 2003, by and between Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d)(iii) to the company's

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).

- 10(f) Restricted Stock Plan of Arrow Electronics, Inc., as amended and restated effective February 27, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e)(i) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
- 10(g) 2002 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan as of May 23, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
10(h)	Non-Employee Directors Deferral Plan as of May 15, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99(d) to the company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-45631).
10(i)	Arrow Electronics, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended effective January 1, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(j)	Arrow Electronics, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan as of October 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(i)	Consulting Agreement dated as of June 3, 2002, between the company and Stephen P. Kaufman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(ii)	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2001, by and between the company and Michael J. Long (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(v) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(iii)	Employment Agreement, dated as of December 13, 2002, by and between the company and Peter S. Brown (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i)(vii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(iv)	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2003, by and between the company and Paul J. Reilly (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i)(x) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(v)	Employment Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2003, by and between the company and William E. Mitchell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i)(xi) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(vi)	Amendment, dated as of March 16, 2005, to the Employment Agreement dated as of February 3, 2003, by and between the company and William E. Mitchell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 18, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(vii)	Employment Agreement, dated as of August 29, 2006, by and between the company and Vincent Melvin (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 8, 2006, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(viii)	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2007, by and between the company and Kevin Gilroy (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 12, 2006, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(ix)	

Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

Employment Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2007, by and between the Company and John P. McMahon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4482).

83

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
10(k)(x)	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2007, by and between the company and M. Catherine Morris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 22, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(xi)	Form of agreement between the company and all corporate officers, including the employees party to the Employment Agreements listed in 10(k)(ii)-(xv) above, providing extended separation benefits under certain circumstances (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(ix) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(xii)	Form of agreement between the company and non-corporate officers providing extended separation benefits under certain circumstances (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c)(x) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(xiii)	Grantor Trust Agreement, as amended and restated on November 11, 2003, by and between Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i)(xvii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(k)(xiv)	First Amendment, dated September 17, 2004, to the amended and restated Grantor Trust Agreement in 10(k)(xii) above by and between Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(l)	Commercial Paper Private Placement Agreement, dated as of November 9, 1999, among Arrow Electronics, Inc., as issuer; and Chase Securities Inc.; Bank of America Securities LLC; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as placement agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(m)(i)	9.15% Senior Exchange Notes due October 1, 2010, dated as of October 6, 2000, among Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co.; Chase Securities Inc.; Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated; Bank of America Securities LLC; Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Securities Corporation; BNY Capital Markets, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation; Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.; Fleet Securities, Inc.; and HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., as underwriters (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, Registration No. 333-51100).
10(m)(ii)	6.875% Senior Exchange Notes due 2013, dated as of June 25, 2003, among Arrow Electronics, Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co.; JPMorgan; and Bank of America Securities LLC, as joint book-running managers; Credit Suisse First Boston, as lead manager; and Fleet Securities, Inc.; HSBC, Scotia Capital; and Wachovia Securities, as co-managers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 25, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).

- 10(n) Amended and Restated Five Year Credit Agreement, dated as of January 11, 2007, among Arrow Electronics, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders from time to time party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and Bank of America, N.A., The Bank of Nova Scotia, BNP Paribas and Wachovia Bank National Association, as syndication agents.
- 10(o)(i) Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of March 21, 2001, by and among Arrow Electronics Funding Corporation, Arrow Electronics, Inc., individually and as Master Servicer, the several Conduit Investors, Alternate Investors and Funding Agents and Bank of America, National Association, as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m)(i) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
10(o)(ii)	Amendment No. 1 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m)(ii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(iii)	Amendment No. 2 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2001, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m)(iii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(iv)	Amendment No. 3 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of March 20, 2002, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m)(iv) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(v)	Amendment No. 4 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of March 29, 2002, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(v) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(vi)	Amendment No. 5 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of May 22, 2002, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(vi) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(vii)	Amendment No. 6 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2002, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(vii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(viii)	Amendment No. 7 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2003, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 6, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(ix)	Amendment No. 8 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2003, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(ix) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(x)	Amendment No. 9 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of August 13, 2003, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(x) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).



Edgar Filing: Professional Diversity Network, Inc. - Form 8-K

- 10(o)(xi) Amendment No. 10 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of February 18, 2004, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n)(xi) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4482).
- 10(o)(xii) Amendment No. 11 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of August 13, 2004, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(b) to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>
10(o)(xiii)	Amendment No. 12 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2005, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(xiii) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(xiv)	Amendment No. 13 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of February 13, 2006, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in (10)(o)(i) above (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(o)(xiv) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4482).
10(o)(xv)	Amendment No. 14 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2006, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in 10(o)(i) above.
10(o)(xvi)	Amendment No. 15 to the Transfer and Administration Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2007, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement in 10(o)(i) above.
10(p)	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the company and each director (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986, Commission File No. 1-4482).
21	Subsidiary Listing.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31(i)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31(ii)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(i)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32(ii)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Table of Contents**

**ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.**  
**SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**  
(In thousands)

For the three years ended December 31,	<b>Balance at beginning of year</b>	<b>Charged to income</b>	<b>Other (a)</b>	<b>Write- down</b>	<b>Balance at end of year</b>
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
2006	\$ 47,076	\$ 13,023	\$ 26,179	\$ 10,874	\$ 75,404
2005	\$ 42,476	\$ 3,216	\$ 11,168	\$ 9,784	\$ 47,076
2004	\$ 47,079	\$ 15,262	\$ 833	\$ 20,698	\$ 42,476

(a) Represents the allowance for doubtful accounts of the businesses acquired by the company during 2006, 2005, and 2004.

**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ARROW ELECTRONICS, INC.

By: /s/ Peter S. Brown  
Peter S. Brown  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and  
Secretary  
February 23, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 23, 2007:

By: /s/ William E. Mitchell

\_\_\_\_\_  
William E. Mitchell, Chairman, President and  
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Paul J. Reilly

\_\_\_\_\_  
Paul J. Reilly, Senior Vice President and Chief  
Financial Officer

By: /s/ Michael A. Sauro

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael A. Sauro, Vice President and  
Corporate Controller

By: /s/ Daniel W. Duval

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel W. Duval, Lead Director

By: /s/ John N. Hanson

\_\_\_\_\_  
John N. Hanson, Director

By: /s/ Richard S. Hill

\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard S. Hill, Director

By: /s/ Fran Keeth

Fran Keeth, Director

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Roger King, Director

By: /s/ Karen Gordon Mills  
\_\_\_\_\_

Karen Gordon Mills, Director

By: /s/ Stephen C. Patrick  
\_\_\_\_\_

Stephen C. Patrick, Director

By: /s/ Barry W. Perry  
\_\_\_\_\_

Barry W. Perry, Director

By: /s/ John C. Waddell  
\_\_\_\_\_

John C. Waddell, Director