PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST Form N-CSR December 29, 2005

Item 1. Report to Stockholders:

The following is a copy of the report transmitted to stockholders pursuant

to Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940:

What makes Putnam different?

In 1830, Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court Justice Samuel Putnam established The Prudent Man Rule, a legal foundation for responsible money management.

THE PRUDENT MAN RULE

All that can be required of a trustee to invest is that he shall conduct himself faithfully and exercise a sound discretion. He is to observe how men of prudence, discretion, and intelligence manage their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to the permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income, as well as the probable safety of the capital to be invested.

A time-honored tradition in money management

Since 1937, our values have been rooted in a profound sense of responsibility for the money entrusted to us.

A prudent approach to investing

We use a research-driven team approach to seek consistent, dependable, superior investment results over time, although there is no guarantee a fund will meet its objectives.

Funds for every investment goal

We offer a broad range of mutual funds and other financial products so investors and their advisors can build diversified portfolios.

A commitment to doing what s right for investors

We have stringent investor protections and provide a wealth of information about the Putnam funds.

Industry-leading service

We help investors, along with their financial advisors, make informed investment decisions with confidence.

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust 10|31|05

Semiannual Report

Message from the Trustees	2
About the fund	4
Report from the fund managers	7
Performance	13
Your fund∏s management	15
Terms and definitions	18
Trustee approval of management contract	19
Other information for shareholders	24
Financial statements	25
Shareholder meeting results	46

Cover photograph: © Richard H. Johnson

Message from the Trustees

Dear Fellow Shareholder

During the period that ended October 31, 2005, domestic stocks advanced at a pace reflecting their long-term average returns, while bonds registered sub-par results. Outside the United States, most markets showed more impressive gains. Although U.S. economic growth proceeded at a steady pace, new concerns emerged. High energy prices, the Federal Reserve Board program of interest-rate increases, and the impact of the unusually active 2005 hurricane season proved challenging to consumers and sparked brief bouts of volatility in finan-cial markets. Putnam Management believes that energy prices, interest rates, and the aftereffects of this year storms are likely to continue to shape investment opportunities and risks in the months to come.

Amid the uncertainties of this environment, the professional research, diversification, and active management that mutual funds provide continue to make them an intelligent choice for investors. We want you to know that Putnam Investments management team, under the leadership of Chief Executive Officer Ed Haldeman, continues to focus on investment performance and remains committed to putting the interests of shareholders first. In keeping with these goals, we have redesigned and expanded our shareholder reports to make it easier for you to learn more about your fund. Furthermore, on page 19 we provide

We would also like to take this opportunity to announce the retirement of one of your fund strustees, Ronald J. Jackson, who has been an independent Trustee of the Putnam funds since 1996. We thank him for his service.

2

In the following pages, members of your fund \square s management team discuss the fund \square s performance and strategies, and their outlook for the months ahead. As always, we thank you for your support of the Putnam funds.

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust: potential for high current income exempt from federal income tax

One of the most significant challenges of fixed-income investing is taxes on income. Investing in municipal bonds through a fund such as Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust can help address this challenge. While the stated yields on municipal bonds are usually lower than those of taxable bonds, the income most of these bonds pay has the advantage of being exempt from federal taxes. Residents of the state in which a bond is issued can benefit even more, as the bond is income may also be exempt from state and local taxes.

Municipal bonds are issued by states and local municipalities to raise funds for building and maintaining public facilities. These bonds are typically backed by either the issuing city or town, by revenues collected from usage fees, or by state tax revenues. Depending on the type of backing, the bonds will have varying degrees of credit risk, which is the risk that the issuer will not be able to repay the bond.

Many municipal bonds are not rated by independent rating agencies such as Standard & Poor s and Moody s. This is primarily because many issuers decide not to pursue a rating that might be below investment grade. As a result, investment managers must do additional research to determine whether these bonds are prudent investments.

Evaluating a bond scredit risk is one area in which Putnam has particular expertise. Putnam s municipal bond research team analyzes each issue in depth and assigns non-rated bonds an agency-equivalent Putnam rating. This analysis helps the team identify bonds with attractive risk/return profiles among the large number of bonds not rated by agencies.

Once the fund has invested in a bond, the fund is management team continues to monitor developments that affect the overall bond market, the specific sector (for example, hospitals or utilities), and the issuer of the

Municipal bonds may finance a range of projects in your community and thus play a key role in its development.

bond. Typically, higher-risk, lower-rated bonds are reviewed more frequently because of their greater potential risk.

The goal of the fund sapproach to research and active management is to stay a step ahead of the industry and pinpoint opportunities to adjust the fund sholdings for the benefit of the fund and its shareholders.

Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes. For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes. Mutual funds that invest in bonds are subject to certain risks, including interest-rate risk, credit risk, and inflation risk. As interest rates rise, the prices of bonds fall. Long-term bonds are more exposed to interest-rate risk than short-term bonds. Unlike bonds, bond funds have ongoing fees and expenses. Leverage can mean higher returns, but adds risk and may increase share price volatility.

How do closed-end funds differ from open-end funds?

More assets at work Open-end funds must maintain a cash position to meet redemptions. Closed-end funds need not do so and can keep more of their assets invested in the market.

Traded like stocks Closed-end fund shares are traded on stock exchanges, and their prices fluctuate in response to supply and demand, among other factors.

Market price vs. net asset value Like an open-end fund snet asset value (NAV) per share, the NAV of a closed-end fund share equals the current value of the fund sassets, minus its liabilities, divided by the number of shares outstanding. When buying or selling closed-end fund shares, you pay or receive the market price, which may be higher or lower than the NAV.

Strategies for higher income Closed-end funds have greater flexibility to use strategies such as <code>[leverage]</code> -- for example, issuing preferred shares to raise capital, then seeking to invest it at higher rates to enhance income for common shareholders.

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust is a leveraged fund that seeks to provide as high a level of current income free from federal income tax as Putnam Management believes is consistent with the preservation of capital. The fund invests in investment-grade and some below-investment-grade municipal bonds. The fund may be appropriate for investors seeking tax-free income and who are willing to accept a moderate degree of risk, including risk associated with the use of leverage.

Highlights

- * For the six months ended October 31, 2005, Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust had a total return of 1.14% at net asset value (NAV) and 0.74% at market price.
- * The fund s benchmark, the Lehman Municipal Bond Index, returned 0.58%.
- * The average return for the fund s Lipper category, General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end), was 1.16%.
- * In June 2005, the fund s monthly dividend was reduced to \$0.0562 per share. See page 11 for details.
- * Additional fund performance, comparative performance, and Lipper data can be found in the performance section beginning on page 13.

Performance

It is important to note that a fund sperformance at market price may differ from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results, it may also be influenced by several other factors, including changes in investor perceptions of the fund or its investment advisor, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the fund shares, and changes in fund distributions.

Total return for periods ended 10/31/05

Since the fund's inception (5/28/93), average annual return is 6.46% at NAV and 4.90% at market price.

	Average annual return	١	Cumulative return		
	NAV	NAV Market price		Market price	
10 years	6.40%	5.36%	86.04%	68.60%	
5 years	7.25	4.82	41.89	26.53	
1 year	5.23	-2.94	5.23	-2.94	
6 months			1.14	0.74	

Data is historical. Past performance does not guarantee future results. More recent returns may be less or more than those shown. Investment return, net asset value, and market price will fluctuate and you may have a gain or a loss when you sell your shares. Performance assumes reinvestment of distributions and does not account for taxes.

6

Report from the fund managers

The period in review

Strong investor demand helped fuel a rally in lower-quality municipal bonds, which have benefited from solid credit fundamentals as a result of the economic recovery. With more than a quarter of its assets invested in bonds rated Baa and below, Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust benefited in turn. The fund performance at NAV surpassed that of its benchmark, the Lehman Municipal Bond Index, which consists solely of investment-grade municipal bonds. The fund sresults were in line with the average for funds in its Lipper category. The pre-refunding of a revenue bond in the transportation sector (discussed further on page 10) also contributed positively to performance.

Market overview

Signs of solid economic growth, and the desire to curb the potential inflation that often accompanies growth, prompted the Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) to increase short-term interest rates four times in 0.25% increments during the first half of the fund signal year. As a result, the federal funds rate rose from 2.75% at the beginning of the period to 3.75% at period-end. Yields rose across all maturities during the period and the yield curve flattened as shorter-term rates rose more than longer-term rates. The yield curve is a graphical representation of bond yields with the same quality plotted from the shortest to the longest maturity.

An improving economy and rising corporate earnings contributed to the strong performance of lower-rated bonds. Among uninsured bonds in general and especially bonds rated Baa and below, yield spreads tightened, benefiting from strong interest among both traditional and nontraditional buyers in search of higher yields. Based on continued favorable legal rulings, yields on tobacco settlement bonds declined overall for the year, and their prices rose accordingly. Airline-related industrial development bonds (IDBs) exhibited a high level of volatility and ended on weakness as both Northwest and Delta filed for bankruptcy in September 2005. No single state performed notably better than other states. Callable bonds (which can be redeemed by their issuers before

7

Bonds

maturity) outperformed non-callable bonds, as investors generally expect that callable bonds will be less sensitive to interest-rate increases.

Strategy overview

Given our expectation for rising interest rates, we maintained a short (defensive) duration position for your portfolio. Duration is a measure of a fund sensitivity to changes in interest rates. Having a shorter-duration portfolio may help protect principal when interest rates are rising, but it can reduce the fund spotential for appreciation when rates fall. Your fund benefited from its defensive duration strategy.

During the period, we took steps to better position the portfolio for a flattening yield curve. However, the degree of flattening exceeded our efforts to mitigate its impact, resulting in a net negative contribution to relative results from the fund yield curve position.

The fund benefited from its overweight to lower-rated, higher-yielding bonds in comparison with other funds in its peer group, as this segment of the market outperformed during the period. An overweight to tobacco settlement bonds relative to the fund\[]s peer group contributed to results as this sector outperformed. The fund\[]s relative underweight to airline-related IDBs also boosted returns as this sector underperformed over the period. We increased the fund\[]s exposure to the single-family housing sector, as we

Market sector performance

These indexes provide an overview of performance in different market sectors for the six months ended 10/31/05.

Lehman Municipal Bond Index (tax-exempt bonds)	0.58%
Lehman Aggregate Bond Index (broad bond market)	0.15%
Lehman Government Bond Index (U.S. Treasury and agency securities)	0.07%
JP Morgan Global High Yield Index (global high-yield corporate bonds)	3.50%
Equities	
S&P 500 Index (broad stock market)	5.27%
Russell 1000 Growth Index (large-company growth stocks)	7.59%
Russell 1000 Value Index (large-company value stocks)	4.82%

believe rising interest rates and declining mortgage prepayments will help this sector outperform.

With the U.S. economy prospering, higher-yielding, lower-rated municipal bonds performed well during the six-month period. Increased demand and the ensuing higher prices of these bonds have contributed to a narrowing of the yield spread, or the difference in yield between higher- and lower-quality issues in the sector. Consequently, the fund investments in lower-rated bonds, such as the Tomball Hospital Authority for Tomball Regional Hospital revenue bonds, were rewarding. Tomball Regional Hospital is located about 30 miles northwest of Houston, Texas --an area experiencing tremendous economic growth and a burgeoning population. We expect this growth trend to continue as Houston expands toward the Tomball area. With a cancer center, a senior-care facility, and the modernization and upgrading of a number of patient service and support areas completed in 2003, we think Tomball Regional Hospital is in a good position to benefit from these trends.

We believe that the market has over-discounted the potentially negative impact of mortgage prepayments on the single-family housing sector, particularly in light of rising interest rates, which are likely to slow prepayments. During the period, we purchased

Comparison of the fund's maturity and duration

This chart compares changes in the fund duration (a measure of its sensitivity to interest-rate changes) and its average effective maturity (a weighted average of the holdings maturities).

Average effective maturity also takes into account put and call features, where applicable, and reflects prepayments for mortgage-backed securities.

Q

\$1,200,000 of single-family mortgage revenue bonds issued by **Michigan State Housing Development Authority.**

Tobacco settlement bonds also enjoyed strong performance during the first half of the fiscal year. Payments from tobacco settlement bonds are secured by income promised to various states through settlements from tobacco companies. This income could be jeopardized as a result of large judgments against the companies, and market sentiment with regard to this sector has tended to shift from concern about litigation to optimism. Bonds from this sector strengthened considerably during the period as the outcome of various litigation efforts proved positive for the tobacco industry. The fund holds tobacco settlement bonds issued by the states of **South** Carolina, Wisconsin, New Jersey, Washington, Rhode Island, South Dakota and New York. In August, the fund investments in some Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority revenue bonds were prere-funded. Pre-refundings occur when a municipality issues new bonds to raise funds to pay off an older issue. This money is then invested in a secure investment — usually U.S. Treasury securities — that matures at the older bond first call date, effectively raising the bonds perceived rating and frequently its market value.

Finally, we maintained an underweight position in airline-related industrial

Credit quality overview

Credit qualities shown as a percentage of portfolio value as 10/31/05. A bond rated Baa or higher is considered investment grade. The chart reflects Moody⊡s ratings; percentages may include bonds not rated by Moody's but

considered by Putnam Management to be of comparable quality. Ratings will vary over time.

10

development bonds (IDBs). IDBs are issued by municipalities but backed by the credit of the institution benefiting from the financing. Investor perceptions about the backer health, or that of its industry group, can affect the prices of these bonds to a greater extent than the rating of the issuing municipality. In this case, the airline industry struggles have negatively affected prices of many airline-related IDBs, so our underweighting was helpful to the fund. In addition, our decision to invest only in IDBs issued for **American Airlines** and **British Airways** proved wise, as they weathered the downturn better than other airline-related IDBs.

Please note that the holdings discussed in this report may not have been held by the fund for the entire period discussed, are subject to review in accordance with the fund in investment strategy, and may vary in the future.

Of special interest

Several older holdings matured or were called during the period, requiring reinvestment of the assets at current lower interest rates. To reflect this reduction in earnings, the dividend was reduced in June from \$0.0644 to \$0.0562.

11

The outlook for your fund

The following commentary reflects anticipated developments that could affect your fund over the next six months, as well as your management team || s plans for responding to them.

We expect the Fed to maintain its policy of increasing rates through 2005 and into 2006. We also expect more Fed tightening than is currently anticipated by the market, and believe that bond yields may begin to rise more quickly as other investors come to the same conclusion. We plan to maintain the fund defensive duration and to continue to increase its exposure to callable bonds, which, in our opinion, are likely to outperform in a rising-rate cycle.

We have a positive view of the single-family housing sector and plan to add selectively to the fund so positions. As the outperformance of lower-rated, higher-yielding bonds is slowing, we continue to reduce the fund sexposure to this segment of the credit spectrum. We remain bearish on airline-related IDBs, while our view on tobacco settlement bonds is positive.

We will continue to search for the most attractive opportunities among tax-exempt securities, and work to balance the pursuit of current income with prudent risk management.

The views expressed in this report are exclusively those of Putnam Management. They are not meant as investment advice.

Lower-rated bonds may offer higher yields in return for more risk. Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes. For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes. Mutual funds that invest in bonds are subject to certain risks, including interest-rate risk, credit risk, and inflation risk. As interest rates rise, the prices of bonds fall. Long-term bonds are more exposed to interest-rate risk than short-term bonds. Unlike bonds, bond funds have ongoing fees and expenses. The fund uses leverage, which involves risk and may increase the volatility of the fund\(\sigma\) s net asset value. The fund\(\sigma\) s hares trade on a stock exchange at market prices, which may be higher or lower than the fund\(\sigma\) s net asset value.

12

Your fund s performance

This section shows your fund performance during the first half of its fiscal year, which ended October 31, 2005. In accordance with regulatory requirements, we also include performance for the most current calendar quarter-end. Performance should always be considered in light of a fund investment strategy. Data represents past performance. Past performance does not guarantee future results. More recent returns may be less or more than those shown. Investment return, net asset value, and market price will fluctuate, and you may have a gain or a loss when you sell your shares.

Fund performance

Total return for periods ended 10/31/05

	NAV	Market price	Lehman Municipal Bond Index	Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end) category average*
Annual average Life of fund (since 5/28/93)	6.46%	4.90%	5.92%	6.36%
10 years Annual average	86.04 6.40	68.60 5.36	76.52 5.85	88.28 6.52
5 years Annual average	41.89 7.25	26.53 4.82	33.70 5.98	45.44 7.75
1 year	5.23	2.94	2.54	5.08
6 months	1.14	0.74	0.58	1.16

Performance assumes reinvestment of distributions and does not account for taxes.

Index and Lipper results should be compared to fund performance at net asset value. Lipper calculations for reinvested dividends may differ from actual performance.

13

Fund price and distribution information

For the six-month period ended 10/31/05

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust

^{*} Over the 6-month and 1-, 5-, and 10-year periods ended 10/31/05, there were 64, 64, 48, and 43 funds, respectively, in this Lipper category.

Distributions from common shares

Number	6		
Income	\$0.3454		
Capital gains ¹			
Total	\$0.3454		
Distributions Preferred shares	Series A (800 shares)	Series B (1,620 shares)	Series C (1,620 shares)
Income	\$659.47	\$316.07	\$316.79
Capital gains ¹			
Total	\$659.47	\$316.07	\$316.79
Share value: 4/30/05	NAV \$13.15	Market Price \$11.72	
10/31/05	12.92	11.47	
Current yield (common shares, end of period) Current dividend rate ²	5.22%	5.88%	
Taxable equivalent ³	8.03	9.05	

¹ Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes. For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes.

Fund performance for most recent calendar quarter

Total return for periods ended 9/30/05

	NAV	Market price
Annual average Life of fund (since 5/28/93)	6.59%	5.17%

² Most recent distribution, excluding capital gains, annualized and divided by NAV or POP at end of period.

³ Assumes maximum 35% federal tax rate for 2005. Results for investors subject to lower tax rates would not be as advantageous.

10 years	90.39	79.38
Annual average	6.65	6.02
5 years	45.13	30.84
Annual average	7.73	5.52
1 year	7.69	-0.51
6 months	4.43	6.04

14

Your fund s management

Your fund is managed by the members of the Putnam Tax Exempt Fixed-Income Team. David Hamlin is the Portfolio Leader, and Paul Drury, Susan McCormack, and James St. John are Portfolio Members of your fund. The Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members coordinate the team smanagement of the fund.

For a complete listing of the members of the Putnam Tax Exempt Fixed-Income Team, including those who are not Portfolio Leaders or Portfolio Members of your fund, visit Putnam□s Individual Investor Web site at www.putnam.com.

Fund ownership by the Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members

The table below shows how much the fund surrent Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members have invested in the fund (in dollar ranges). Information shown is as of October 31, 2005, and October 31, 2004.

		\$1 [\$10,001] \$50,001 []	\$100,001 [\$500,001 [\$1,000,001
Year \$0 2005	*	\$10,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000	and over
2004	*						
2005	*						
2004	*						
2005	*						
2004	*						
2005	*						
2004	*						
	2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 2004 2005	2005 * 2004 * 2005 * 2004 * 2005 * 2004 * 2005 *	Year \$0	Year \$0	Year \$0	Year \$0	Year \$0

Fund manager compensation

The total 2004 fund manager compensation that is attributable to your fund is approximately \$50,000. This amount includes a portion of 2004 compensation paid by Putnam Management to the fund managers listed in this section for their portfolio management responsibilities, calculated based on the fund assets they manage taken as a percentage of the total assets they manage. The compensation amount also includes a portion of the 2004 compensation paid to the Chief Investment Officer of the team and the Group Chief Investment Officer of the fund broader investment category for their oversight responsibilities, calculated based on the fund assets they oversee taken as a percentage of the total assets they oversee. This amount does not include compensation of other personnel involved in research, trading, administration, systems, compliance, or fund operations; nor does it include non-compensation costs. These percentages are determined as of the fund fiscal period-end. For personnel who joined Putnam Management during or after 2004, the calculation reflects annualized 2004 compensation or an estimate of 2005 compensation, as applicable.

Other Putnam funds managed by the Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members

David Hamlin is the Portfolio Leader and Paul Drury, Susan McCormack, and James St. John are Portfolio Members for Putnam stax-exempt funds for the following states: Arizona, California, Florida, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. The same group also manages Putnam AMT-Free Insured Municipal Fund, Putnam California Investment Grade Municipal Trust, Putnam High Yield Municipal Trust, Putnam Investment Grade Municipal Trust, Putnam Managed Municipal Income Trust, Putnam Municipal Bond Fund, Putnam New York Investment Grade Municipal Trust, Putnam Tax Exempt Income Fund, Putnam Tax-Free Health Care Fund, and Putnam Tax-Free High Yield Fund.

David Hamlin, Paul Drury, Susan McCormack, and James St. John may also manage other accounts and variable trust funds advised by Putnam Management or an affiliate.

Your fund so Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members did not change during the year ended October 31, 2005.

16

The table below shows how much the members of Putnam sexecutive Board have invested in the fund (in dollar ranges). Information shown is as of October 31, 2005, and October 31, 2004.

				\$1 []	\$10,001 [\$50,001	\$100,001
Philippe Bibi	Year 2005	\$0	*	\$10,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	and over
Chief Technology Officer	2004		*				
Joshua Brooks	2005		*				
Deputy Head of Investments	N/A						
William Connolly	2005		*				

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSR

N/A	
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
2004	*
2005	*
N/A	
2005	*
N/A	
	2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 2004 2005 N/A

N/A indicates the individual was not a member of Putnam\(\)s Executive Board as of 10/31/04.

17

Terms and definitions

Important terms

Total return shows how the value of the fund s shares changed over time, assuming you held the shares through the entire period and reinvested all distributions in the fund.

Net asset value (NAV) is the value of all your fund sassets, minus any liabilities and the net assets allocated to any outstanding preferred shares, divided by the number of outstanding common shares.

Market price is the current trading price of one share of the fund. Market prices are set by transactions between buyers and sellers on exchanges such as the American Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange.

Comparative indexes

JP Morgan Global High Yield Index is an unmanaged index of global high-yield fixed-income securities.

Lehman Aggregate Bond Index is an unmanaged index of U.S. investment-grade fixed-income securities.

Lehman Government Bond Index is an unmanaged index of U.S. Treasury and agency securities. Lehman Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of long-term fixed-rate investment-grade tax-exempt bonds.

Russell 1000 Growth Index is an unmanaged index of those companies in the large-cap Russell 1000 Index chosen for their growth orientation.

Russell 1000 Value Index is an unmanaged index of those companies in the large-cap Russell 1000 Index chosen for their value orientation.

S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of common stock performance.

Indexes assume reinvestment of all distributions and do not account for fees. Securities and performance of a fund and an index will differ. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Lipper is a third-party industry-ranking entity that ranks mutual funds. Lipper rankings are based on total return at net asset value and do not reflect sales charges. Funds are ranked among other funds with similar current investment styles or objectives as determined by Lipper. Lipper category averages reflect performance trends for funds within a category.

18

Trustee approval of management contract

General conclusions

The Board of Trustees of the Putnam funds oversees the management of each fund and, as required by law, determines annually whether to approve the continuance of your fund smanagement contract and administrative services contract with Putnam Management. In this regard, the Board of Trustees, with the assistance of its Contract Committee consisting solely of Trustees who are not sinterested persons (as such term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) of the Putnam funds (the slndependent Trustees), requests and evaluates all information it deems reasonably necessary under the circumstances. Over the course of several months beginning in March and ending in June 2005, the Contract Committee met five times to consider the information provided by Putnam Management and other information developed with the assistance of the Board independent counsel and independent staff. The Contract Committee reviewed and discussed key aspects of this information with all of the Independent Trustees. Upon completion of this review, the Contract Committee recommended and the Independent Trustees approved the continuance of your fund management contract and administrative services contract, effective July 1, 2005.

This approval was based on the following conclusions:

* That the fee schedule currently in effect for your fund (which includes fees paid under the administrative services contract), subject to certain changes noted below, represents reasonable compensation in light of the nature and

quality of the services being provided to the fund, the fees paid by competitive funds and the costs incurred by Putnam Management in providing such services, and

* That such fee schedule represents an appropriate sharing between fund shareholders and Putnam Management of such economies of scale as may exist in the management of the fund at current asset levels.

These conclusions were based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and were not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees deliberations and how the Trustees considered these factors are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for your fund and the other Putnam funds are the result of many years of review and discussion between the Independent Trustees and Putnam Management, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than others, and that the Trustees conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of these same arrangements in prior years.

19

Model fee schedules and categories; total expenses

The Trustees review of the management fees and total expenses of the Putnam funds focused on three major themes:

* Consistency. The Trustees, working in cooperation with Putnam Management, have devel- oped and implemented a series of model fee schedules for the Putnam funds designed to ensure that each fund smanagement fee is consistent with the fees for similar funds in the Putnam family of funds and compares favorably with fees paid by competitive funds spon- sored by other investment advisors. Under this approach, each Putnam fund is assigned to one of several fee categories based on a combination of factors, including competitive fees and perceived difficulty of management, and a common fee schedule is implemented for all funds in a given fee category. The Trustees reviewed the model fee schedules currently in effect for the Putnam funds, including fee levels and breakpoints, and the assignment of your fund to a particular fee category under this structure. (
||Breakpoints|| refer to reductions in fee rates that apply to additional assets once specified asset levels are reached.)

Since their inception, Putnam[s closed-end funds have generally had management fees that higher than those of Putnam[s open-end funds pursuing comparable investment strategies. These differences ranged from five to 20 basis points. The Trustees have reexamined this matter and recommend that these differences be conformed to a uniform five basis points. As a result, the Trustees approved a reduction in the management fees for your fund. Under the new fee schedule, the fund pays a quarterly fee to Putnam Management at the lower of the following rates:

(a) 0.55% of the fund saverage net assets

(including assets attributable to both common and preferred shares)

or

(b) 0.65% of the first \$500 million of the fund s average net assets

(including assets attributable to both common and preferred shares);

0.55% of the next \$500 million;

0.50% of the next \$500 million:

0.45% of the next \$5 billion;

0.425% of the next \$5 billion:

0.405% of the next \$5 billion;

0.39% of the next \$5 billion; and

0.38% thereafter.

The new fee schedule for your fund will result in lower management fees paid by common shareholders. The Trustees approved the new fee schedule for your fund effective as of January 1, 2006, in order to provide Putnam Management an opportunity to accommodate the impact on revenues in its budget process for the coming year.

20

- * Competitiveness. The Trustees also reviewed comparative fee and expense information for competitive funds, which indicated that, in a custom peer group of competitive funds selected by Lipper Inc., your fund ranked in the 57th percentile in management fees and in the 64th percentile in total expenses as of December 31, 2004 (the first percentile being the least expensive funds and the 100th percentile being the most expensive funds). The Trustees expressed their intention to monitor this information closely to ensure that fees and expenses of the Putnam funds continue to meet evolving competitive standards.
- * Economies of scale. The Trustees concluded that the fee schedule currently in effect for your fund, subject to the changes noted above, represents an appropriate sharing of economies of scale at current asset levels. The Trustees examined the existing breakpoint structure of the Putnam funds[] management fees in light of competitive industry practices. The Trustees consid- ered various possible modifications to the Putnam Funds[] current breakpoint structure, but ultimately concluded that the current breakpoint structure continues to serve the interests of fund shareholders. Accordingly, the Trustees continue to believe that the fee schedules currently in effect for the funds, subject to the changes noted above, represent an appropriate sharing of economies of scale at current asset levels.

In connection with their review of the management fees and total expenses of the Putnam funds, the Trustees also reviewed the costs of the services to be provided and profits to be realized by Putnam Management and its affiliates from the relationship with the funds. This information included trends in revenues, expenses and profitability of Putnam Management and its affiliates relating to the investment management and distribution services provided to the funds. In this regard, the Trustees also reviewed an analysis of Putnam Management servenues, expenses and profitability with respect to the funds management contracts, allocated on a fund-by-fund basis.

Investment performance

The quality of the investment process provided by Putnam Management represented a major factor in the Trustees evaluation of the quality of services provided by Putnam Management under your fund management contract. The Trustees were assisted in their review of the funds investment process and performance by the work of the Investment Oversight Committees of the Trustees, which meet on a regular monthly basis with the funds portfolio teams throughout the year. The Trustees concluded that Putnam Management generally provides a high-quality investment process -- as measured by the experience and skills of the individuals assigned to the management of fund portfolios, the resources made available to such personnel, and in general the ability of Putnam Management to attract and retain high-quality personnel -- but also recognize that this does not guarantee favorable investment results for every fund in every time period. The Trustees considered the investment performance of each fund over multiple time periods and considered information comparing the fund performance with various benchmarks and with

21

the performance of competitive funds. The Trustees noted the satisfactory investment performance of many Putnam funds. They also noted the disappointing investment performance of certain funds in recent years and continued to discuss with senior management of Putnam Management the factors contributing to such underperformance and actions being taken to improve performance. The Trustees recognized that, in recent years, Putnam Management has made significant changes in its investment personnel and processes and in the fund product line to address areas of underperformance. The Trustees indicated their intention to continue to monitor performance trends to assess the effectiveness of these changes and to evaluate whether additional remedial

changes are warranted.

In the case of your fund, the Trustees considered that your fund sommon share performance at net asset value was in the following percentiles of its Lipper Inc. peer group (compared using tax-adjusted performance to recognize the different federal income tax treatment for capital gains distributions and exempt-interest distributions) for the one-, three- and five-year periods ended December 31, 2004 (the first percentile being the best-performing funds and the 100th percentile being the worst-performing funds):

One-year period	Three-year period	Five-year period
36th	56th	57th

(Because of the passage of time, these performance results may differ from the performance results for more recent periods shown elsewhere in this report.)

As a general matter, the Trustees believe that cooperative efforts between the Trustees and Putnam Management represent the most effective way to address investment performance problems. The Trustees believe that investors in the Putnam funds have, in effect, placed their trust in the Putnam organization, under the oversight of the funds. Trustees, to make appropriate decisions regarding the management of the funds. Based on the responsiveness of Putnam Management in the recent past to Trustee concerns about investment performance, the Trustees believe that it is preferable to seek change within Putnam Management to address performance shortcomings. In the Trustees view, the alternative of terminating a management contract and engaging a new investment advisor for an underperforming fund would entail significant disruptions and would not provide any greater assurance of improved investment performance.

Brokerage and soft-dollar allocations; other benefits

The Trustees considered various potential benefits that Putnam Management may receive in connection with the services it provides under the management contract with your fund. These include principally benefits related to brokerage and soft-dollar allocations, whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a fund for brokerage is earmarked to pay for research services that may be utilized by a fund investment advisor. The Trustees believe that soft-dollar credits and other potential benefits associated with the allocation of fund brokerage, which pertains mainly

22

to funds investing in equity securities, represent assets of the funds that should be used for the benefit of fund shareholders. This area has been marked by significant change in recent years. In July 2003, acting upon the Contract Committee is recommendation, the Trustees directed that allocations of brokerage to reward firms that sell fund shares be discontinued no later than December 31, 2003. In addition, commencing in 2004, the allocation of brokerage commissions by Putnam Management to acquire research services from third-party service providers has been significantly reduced, and continues at a modest level only to acquire research that is customarily not available for cash. The Trustees will continue to monitor the allocation of the funds brokerage to ensure that the principle of best price and execution remains paramount in the portfolio trading process.

The Trustees annual review of your fund smanagement contract also included the review of your fund scustodian agreement with Putnam Fiduciary Trust Company, which provides benefits to affiliates of Putnam Management.

Comparison of retail and institutional fee schedules

The information examined by the Trustees as part of their annual contract review has included for many years information regarding fees charged by Putnam Management and its affiliates to institutional clients such as defined benefit pension plans, college endowments, etc. This information included comparison of such fees with fees charged to the funds, as well as a detailed assessment of the differences in the services provided to these two types of clients. The Trustees observed, in this regard, that the differences in fee rates between institutional clients and the mutual funds are by no means uniform when examined by individual asset sectors, suggesting that

differences in the pricing of investment management services to these types of clients reflect to a substantial degree historical competitive forces operating in separate market places. The Trustees considered the fact that fee rates across all asset sectors are higher on average for mutual funds than for institutional clients, as well as the differences between the services that Putnam Management provides to the Putnam funds and those that it provides to institutional clients of the firm, but have not relied on such comparisons to any significant extent in concluding that the management fees paid by your fund are reasonable.

23

Other information for shareholders

Important notice regarding share repurchase program

In October 2005, the Trustees of your fund authorized Putnam Investments to implement a repurchase program on behalf of your fund, which would allow your fund to repurchase up to 5% of its outstanding shares over the 12 months following the announcement.

Important notice regarding delivery of shareholder documents

In accordance with SEC regulations, Putnam sends a single copy of annual and semiannual shareholder reports, prospectuses, and proxy statements to Putnam shareholders who share the same address. If you prefer to receive your own copy of these documents, please call Putnam at 1-800-225-1581, and Putnam will begin sending individual copies within 30 days.

Proxy voting

Putnam is committed to managing our mutual funds in the best interests of our shareholders. The Putnam funds proxy voting guidelines and procedures, as well as information regarding how your fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2005, are available on the Putnam Individual Investor Web site, www.putnam.com/individual, and on the SEC Web site, www.sec.gov. If you have questions about finding forms on the SEC Web site, you may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also obtain the Putnam funds proxy voting guidelines and procedures at no charge by calling Putnam Shareholder Services at 1-800-225-1581.

Fund portfolio holdings

The fund will file a complete schedule of its portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. Shareholders may obtain the fund s Forms N-Q on the SEC s Web site at www.sec.gov. In addition, the fund Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC public reference room in Washington, D.C. You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information about the SEC Web site or the operation of the public reference room.

24

Financial statements