

HOME PROPERTIES INC

Form DEF 14A

March 28, 2013

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a party other than the Registrant
Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a—6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive additional materials
- Soliciting material under Rule 14a-12

HOME PROPERTIES, INC.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transactions applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

March 29, 2013

Dear Stockholder:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Home Properties, Inc. will be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013, at 9:00 a.m. at Clinton Square, 14th Floor, Rochester, New York. The agenda for the Annual Meeting is expected to consist solely of a brief report on the outcome of the stockholder vote on the various matters upon which the stockholders have been asked to vote and will not include a formal presentation by management.

A Notice of Annual Meeting and a Proxy Statement are attached. They describe the matters to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting.

Your vote on all the matters described in the Proxy Statement is very important. Please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card in the envelope provided. Alternatively, you may choose to vote by telephone or Internet. Voting by any of these methods before the meeting will ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting.

Thank you for your continued confidence in Home Properties.

Sincerely,

HOME PROPERTIES, INC.

Edward J. Pettinella
President and Chief Executive Officer

HOME PROPERTIES, INC.
850 Clinton Square
Rochester, New York 14604

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON APRIL 30, 2013

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Home Properties, Inc. (the "Company") will be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at Clinton Square, 14th Floor, Rochester, New York. Clinton Square is located at the northwest corner of Clinton Avenue and Broad Street in downtown, Rochester, New York. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to:

1. Elect six Directors of the Company to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are elected;
2. Cast a non-binding advisory vote to approve the Company's executive compensation;
3. Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2013; and
4. Consider and act upon any other matters that are properly brought before the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board" or the "Board of Directors") set the close of business on March 5, 2013 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders whose names appear on the stock register of the Company at the close of business on the record date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments or postponements. If you hold your stock in the name of a brokerage firm, bank or other nominee, only that entity can vote your shares. Please give instructions as to how you wish your shares to be voted to the person responsible for your account.

There are four ways to vote. We encourage you to vote by Internet or telephone.

You can vote by:

- Internet at www.investorvote.com/HME;
- toll-free telephone at 1-800-652-VOTE (8683);
- completing the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope; or
- written ballot at the meeting.

If you vote by Internet or telephone, your vote must be received before 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on April 29, 2013, the day before the Annual Meeting. You may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before the Annual Meeting:

- by entering a new vote by Internet or telephone;
- by returning a later dated proxy card;
- by sending written notice to Ann M. McCormick, Secretary of the Company, at 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604; or
- by completing a written ballot at the Annual Meeting.

Rochester, New York
March 29, 2013

By Order of the Board of Directors

Ann M. McCormick
Secretary

EVEN IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE VOTE BY ONE OF THE ABOVE METHODS. IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, YOU MAY VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU WISH, EVEN IF YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY VOTED.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials
for the Annual Stockholders Meeting to be Held on April 30, 2013:

This Proxy Statement and the 2012 Annual Report are available at
www.homeproperties.com/Investors

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>General Information</u>	1
<u>PROPOSAL 1: Election of Directors</u>	2
<u>Board Matters</u>	5
<u>Board Composition</u>	5
<u>Board Meetings</u>	5
<u>Board Independence</u>	5
<u>Board Evaluation</u>	5
<u>Director Qualifications</u>	5
<u>Process for Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors</u>	6
<u>Stockholder Nominees</u>	6
<u>Director Communications</u>	6
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	6
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	6
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	7
<u>Board Committees</u>	7
<u>Audit Committee</u>	7
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee</u>	9
<u>Real Estate Investment Committee</u>	9
<u>Board Compensation</u>	10
<u>2012 Director Compensation Table</u>	11
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	12
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	12
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	12
<u>Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	13
<u>Executive Officers</u>	13
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	14
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	14
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	32
<u>2012 Summary Compensation Information</u>	32
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	33
<u>2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Information</u>	34
<u>2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	35
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2012 Table</u>	37
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2012 Table</u>	39
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	40
<u>2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table</u>	40
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	41
<u>Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination Table</u>	43
<u>Compensation Risks</u>	44
<u>PROPOSAL 2: Advisory Vote to Approve the Company's Executive Compensation</u>	44
<u>Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans</u>	46
<u>Securities Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	47
<u>Securities Ownership of Management</u>	47
<u>Security Ownership by Beneficial Owners of More than 5% of the Company's Common Stock</u>	49

<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	50
<u>Transactions with Related Persons, Promoters and Certain Control Persons</u>	50
<u>Policies and Procedures for Approval of Related Party Transactions</u>	50
<u>PROPOSAL 3: Ratification of Appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2013</u>	51
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	51
<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	52
<u>Additional Information</u>	53
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	53
<u>Tabulation of Votes</u>	53
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	53
<u>Form 10-K</u>	53
<u>Other Matters</u>	53

This page intentionally left blank.

HOME PROPERTIES, INC.
Suite 850
Clinton Square
Rochester, New York 14604

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
to be held on April 30, 2013

March 29, 2013

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement is delivered to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Home Properties, Inc. (the "Company") for use at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting"). The Annual Meeting will be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at Clinton Square, 14th Floor, Rochester, New York. The approximate date on which the enclosed form of proxy and this Proxy Statement are first being sent to stockholders is March 29, 2013. The principal executive offices of the Company are located at 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604.

Who May Vote?

Stockholders of the Company as of the Company's record date, March 5, 2013, may vote. On March 5, 2013, there were 51,664,308 shares of the Company's Common Stock outstanding. Each share of Common Stock has one vote.

How Do I Vote?

There are four ways to vote:

1. by Internet at www.investorvote.com/HME;
2. by toll-free telephone at 1-800-652-VOTE (8683);
3. by completing the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope; or
4. by written ballot at the Annual Meeting.

How Does a Proxy Work?

The Company's Board of Directors is asking for your proxy. By giving us your proxy, you authorize the proxy holders (Edward J. Pettinella, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and Ann M. McCormick, the Company's General Counsel and Secretary) to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting in the manner you direct.

If you vote by any of the above methods but do not specify how you wish to vote your shares, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors. The proxy holders will also vote shares according to their discretion on any other matter properly brought before the meeting.

What if a Broker Holds my Shares?

If you hold shares through someone else, such as a stockbroker, you will get proxy material from them and a card requesting your instructions on how to vote your shares. If you want your vote to count with respect to Proposals 1 and 2 you must complete and return the card. If a broker holds your shares and you do not instruct your broker how to vote, no votes will be cast on your behalf. Your broker will, however, continue to have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on the ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 3).

Table of Contents

What Constitutes a Quorum?

The presence, in person or by proxy, of holders of a majority of all of the shares of Common Stock entitled to vote is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Votes withheld, abstentions and “broker non-votes” will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. A “broker non-vote” refers to a share represented at the Annual Meeting which is held by a broker or other nominee who has not received instructions from the beneficial owner or person entitled to vote such share and with respect to which such broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power to vote such share on one or more but not all proposals.

What Vote is Required to Approve Each Proposal?

Proposal 1: The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of a Director. For purposes of the election of Directors, abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote.

Proposal 2: The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required to provide advisory approval of the Company’s executive compensation. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the votes.

Proposal 3: The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for 2013. For purposes of the vote on Proposal 3, abstentions will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote.

Can I Change My Vote?

You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by entering a new vote by Internet or telephone, by submitting a new proxy with a later date, by voting in person at the Annual Meeting or by notifying the Company’s Secretary in writing prior to the Annual Meeting as follows: Ann M. McCormick, Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604.

Can I Access the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Annual Report on the Internet?

The Notice of Annual Meeting, this Proxy Statement, the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and the 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders are available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors.”

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has nominated Stephen R. Blank, Alan L. Gosule, Leonard F. Helbig, III, Thomas P. Lydon, Jr., Edward J. Pettinella and Clifford W. Smith, Jr. to serve as Directors (the “Nominees”). Each of the Nominees is currently serving as a Director of the Company. The Board of Directors anticipates that each of the Nominees will serve as a Director if elected.

If the Nominees are all elected, the size of the Board of Directors will decrease to six members. Amy L. Tait and Charles J. Koch were elected at the 2012 Annual Stockholders Meeting. Mrs. Tait resigned from the Board of Directors in November 2012 and Mr. Koch chose not to stand for re-election. No successors are currently being nominated to replace them.

Any individual elected at the Annual Meeting is elected to serve as a Director until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until a successor has been elected. The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of the Nominees as Directors.

Table of Contents

Information Regarding Nominees for Director

The following paragraphs provide information as of the date of this Proxy Statement about each Nominee. The information presented includes information the Directors have given us about their age, all positions they hold, their principal occupation and business experience for the past five years, and the names of other publicly-held companies of which they currently serve as a director or have served as a director during the past five years. The ticker symbols for those other publicly-held companies traded on a national securities exchange are included for your reference. In addition to the information presented below regarding the Nominees' specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our Board to the conclusion that they should serve as a Director, we also believe that all of our Nominees have a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment of service to the Company and our Board.

Stephen R. Blank, age 67, has been a Director of the Company since 2009. Since 1998, Mr. Blank has been a Senior Resident Fellow, Finance, at the Urban Land Institute ("ULI"), a non-profit education and research institute which studies land use and real estate development policy. Prior to joining ULI, Mr. Blank served from 1993 to 1998 as Managing Director - Real Estate Investment Banking of CIBC Oppenheimer Corp. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Blank was Managing Director of the Real Estate Corporate Finance Department of Cushman & Wakefield, Inc. From 1979 to 1989, Mr. Blank served as Managing Director - Real Estate Investment Banking of Kidder, Peabody & Co. From 1973 to 1979, Mr. Blank was employed by Bache & Co., Incorporated as Vice President, Direct Investment Group. Mr. Blank is Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust (NYSE: RPT) and a Director of MFA Financial, Inc. (NYSE: MFA). For MFA, he serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. For RPT, he serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee and as a member of the Compensation Committee. From May 1999 to February 2007, Mr. Blank was a member of the Board of Directors of BNP Residential Trust, Inc. Mr. Blank is a graduate of Syracuse University and received a Masters in Business Administration degree in Finance from Adelphi University.

Mr. Blank's knowledge of the real estate industry as evidenced by his position at ULI, his experience in the investment banking industry, including his expertise in public and private real estate finance, and his service on the boards and committees of other public and private companies led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

Alan L. Gosule, age 72, has been a Director of the Company since 1996. Mr. Gosule is a partner in the law firm of Clifford Chance US LLP in New York, New York and has practiced law with that firm and its predecessor since 1991. From 2002 to August 2005, he served as the Regional Head of Clifford Chance's Real Estate Department for the Americas and, prior to 2002, was the Regional Head of the firm's Tax, Pension and Employment Department for the Americas. Prior to 1991, Mr. Gosule practiced law with the firm of Gaston & Snow, where he was a member of that firm's Management Committee and the Chairman of the Tax Department. Mr. Gosule currently serves on the Boards of MFA Financial, Inc. (NYSE: MFA), F.L. Putnam Investment Management Company and Pioneer Natural Resources, GP, LLC, the general partner of Pioneer Southwest Energy Partners, L.P. (NYSE: PSE). For MFA, he serves as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. For Pioneer, Mr. Gosule serves as a member of the Audit Committee. He also serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of the Ursuline Academy. Mr. Gosule is a graduate of Boston University and received a Juris Doctor degree from Boston University Law School and an LLM in Taxation from Georgetown Law School.

Mr. Gosule's experience as a lawyer and partner of a major international law firm (including prior service as Chair of the Tax and Real Estate Departments), his knowledge of tax law and related matters, including real estate investment trusts, and his experience in advising and serving on the boards and committees of other public and private companies led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

Leonard F. Helbig, III, age 67, has been a Director of the Company since 1994. Since September 2002, he has served as a Director of Integra Realty Resources in Philadelphia. He is also an active owner and manager of various self storage and manufactured housing communities throughout the northeast. Between 1980 and 2002 he was employed by Cushman & Wakefield, Inc. where he held various senior management positions. From 1980 through 1987, he founded and served as National Director of that firm's Valuation and Consulting Services. From

Table of Contents

1987 until 2002, Mr. Helbig served as President of Financial Services. Between 1995 and 2000, he also served as Executive Managing Director of Asset and Property Management Services. He was a member of Cushman & Wakefield's Board of Directors and Executive and Management Committees. He also holds the MAI professional designation from the Appraisal Institute. Mr. Helbig is a graduate of LaSalle University in Philadelphia with a Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Management.

Mr. Helbig's corporate management experience and his experience in the acquisition, disposition, development, leasing, management and appraisal of commercial and multifamily real estate led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

Thomas P. Lydon, Jr., age 64, became a Director of the Company in 2011. Since 2003, Mr. Lydon has been President of The City Investment Fund, L.P., a \$770 million real estate opportunity fund. Prior to that, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of SSR Realty Advisors Inc. He is a Director of Lowe Enterprises Investors, where he serves as a member of the Audit and Compensation Committees. Mr. Lydon also serves on the Board of Directors and as Treasurer of Settlement Housing Fund, a not-for-profit organization. He was a member of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Managers from 1998 to 2004 and served as its Chair from 2000-2002. Mr. Lydon is a graduate of Syracuse University with a Bachelor in Business Administration degree with a major in Real Estate.

Mr. Lydon's prior experience as President and Chief Executive Officer of organizations focused on the acquisition, management and disposition of commercial and multifamily real estate led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

Edward J. Pettinella, age 61, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2004. He is also a Director. He joined the Company in 2001 as an Executive Vice President and Director. From 1997 until February 2001, Mr. Pettinella served as President of Charter One Bank of New York and Executive Vice President of Charter One Financial, Inc. From 1980 through 1997, Mr. Pettinella served in several managerial capacities for Rochester Community Savings Bank, Rochester, NY, including the positions of Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Pettinella serves on the Board of Directors of Manning & Napier, Inc. (NYSE:MN), where he serves as Chair of the Audit Committee and a member of the Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. He is also a Board member of Rochester Business Alliance, National Multi Housing Council and Syracuse University School of Business, as well as a member of ULI and the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Mr. Pettinella is a graduate of the State University of New York at Geneseo and holds a Masters in Business Administration degree in Finance from Syracuse University.

Mr. Pettinella's role as Chief Executive Officer responsible for the Company's day-to-day operations and strategic initiatives, as well as his experience in corporate finance and public company operations, led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

Clifford W. Smith, Jr., age 66, has been a Director of the Company since 1994. Mr. Smith is the Epstein Professor of Finance of the William E. Simon Graduate School of Business Administration of the University of Rochester, where he has been on the faculty since 1974. He has written numerous books and articles on a variety of financial, capital markets and risk management topics and has held editorial positions for a variety of journals. Mr. Smith is a graduate of Emory University and has a PhD from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Mr. Smith's expertise in corporate finance, strategic planning, executive compensation and corporate governance, about which he has taught and written for many years, led the Board to conclude that he should continue to serve as a Director.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE NOMINEES.

4

Table of Contents

BOARD MATTERS

Board Composition

The Company is managed by its Board of Directors. If all of the Nominees are elected, the Board will have six members.

Board Meetings

The Board holds regular meetings on a quarterly basis. Pursuant to the Company's By-Laws, the Board Chair, President or a majority of the Board of Directors may call for a special meeting of the Board. During 2012, the full Board of Directors met six times, including regular and special meetings. During 2012, each Director attended more than 75% of the Board meetings.

Board Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that five of the six Nominees are "independent" within the meaning of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") current director independence standards. The independent Nominees are: Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule, Leonard Helbig, Thomas Lydon and Clifford Smith. This represents more than a majority (over 80%) of the members of the Board of Directors. The Nominee determined by the Board not to be independent under the above standards is Edward Pettinella, who is an employee of the Company. Current Board member Charles Koch, who has decided not to stand for re-election, was determined to be independent under the above standards, while Amy Tait, who resigned from the Board in November, 2012, was determined not to be independent.

In determining the independence of each Director, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee of the Board considered any relationships between the Company and the individual Director and the Director's immediate family members as required under the applicable standards. The Board, consistent with the view of the NYSE, determined that the ownership of even a significant amount of stock in the Company is not a bar to a finding of independence. Current Board members Blank, Gosule, Helbig, Koch, Lydon and Smith have no relationship with the Company other than their compensation and benefits as members of the Board and its Committees and ownership of the Company's Common Stock. Amy Tait was not considered to be independent because of her family's interests in and control over Clinton Square, the building in which the Company has its headquarters as disclosed in "Transactions with Related Persons, Promoters and Certain Control Persons." Edward Pettinella is not independent as he currently is employed by the Company.

Board Evaluation

In 2012, each Board member participated in a written self-evaluation of his or her performance as a Board member as well as an evaluation of the Board as a whole. The Board and members of senior management also participated in a written evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer.

Director Qualifications

The minimum qualifications for prospective Board members are a successful career as well as the potential to contribute to the effectiveness of the Board. Beyond those minimum qualifications, the first priority in selecting members of the Board is to attract a group of individuals who will maximize stockholder value, which generally means attracting individuals of the highest capabilities. Another focus is on individuals who demonstrate the highest ethical standards. Critical Board functions involve setting the basic strategy of the Company, monitoring senior

management and offering insight/expertise in the selection of tactics and operational policies, drawing on Directors' experiences outside the Company. In discharging its responsibilities, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee considers diversity of experience and perspectives to be valuable. In considering Board composition and nomination for new Board members, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee focuses on several aspects of prior experience including real estate (especially multifamily real estate) experience, experience as a chief executive officer of a public company, accounting/audit experience, legal experience and academic experience related to business or finance. Continuity also is viewed as a valuable Board asset, thus some diversity in ages among Board members is beneficial so that the Board does not face major turnover at any single date. The

Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee considers all of the foregoing diversity characteristics in making its recommendations for nominees to the Board of Directors and evaluates the effectiveness of its diversity policy annually.

Process for Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Directors

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director. The Committee develops and updates a list of potential Board candidates who meet the Board qualifications as described above. Candidates may come to the attention of the Committee through current Board members, stockholders, management or other individuals. To date, the Committee has not utilized the services of a professional service firm to identify potential candidates, but it may do so in the future. If a vacancy on the Board occurs or is anticipated, the Committee selects candidates to have personal meetings with members of the Committee, the Chair of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer. Selected candidates would then be invited to meet with other Board members and management. A candidate, if acceptable, would then be elected by the Board (in the event of a mid-term vacancy) or be nominated to stand for election at the next annual stockholders' meeting.

Stockholder Nominees

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will consider Director candidates proposed by stockholders on the same basis as it considers other potential candidates for Board membership. Stockholders may submit nominations, which should include the name and address of the proposed candidate as well as biographical information evidencing that the proposed candidate meets the minimum qualifications and possesses the skills and expertise as required by the Board and as described above under "Director Qualifications." The submission must also include the candidate's written consent to the nomination and to serve if elected. To be considered for nomination for election at the 2014 Annual Meeting and inclusion in the Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of the Stockholders, stockholder submissions for nomination must be received at the office of the Company in care of Secretary, Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604, on or prior to December 1, 2013.

Director Communications

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors by sending written materials addressed to the Board or any of the Directors, including the non-employee or independent Directors as a group and the Chair of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, in care of Secretary, Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. They may also communicate confidentially or anonymously through use of the Company's hotline at 1-877-888-0002. The Company's Secretary will relay all relevant written communications to the Board of Directors or individual members designated by the stockholder or other interested party.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Compensation Committee is or has been an officer or employee of the Company or had any relationship that is required to be disclosed as a transaction with a related party.

Risk Oversight

The Board is actively involved in oversight of risks that could affect the Company. This oversight is conducted primarily through some of the Committees of the Board, as disclosed in the descriptions of those Committees and their charters. The full Board has retained overall responsibility for the general oversight of risks. The Board satisfies this responsibility through full reports by each Committee chair regarding the Committee's considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within the Company.

Table of Contents

Board Leadership Structure

The roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chair of the Board are separated in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company, while the Chair of the Board provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer and presides over meetings of the full Board and the executive sessions of the Board. The Board recognizes that different board leadership structures may be appropriate for companies in different situations and believes that no one structure is suitable for all companies. It believes the Company is well-served by the current leadership structure.

BOARD COMMITTEES

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately designated standing Audit Committee. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Committee and the Board. A copy of the charter is available on the Company's website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading "Investors/Governance Documents Highlights." In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the charter to anyone, without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. The Audit Committee charter is reviewed by the Audit Committee on an annual basis.

The Audit Committee currently consists of Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule and Charles Koch, each of whom has been determined by the Board to be an independent Director. Stephen Blank chairs this Committee. Following the 2013 Annual Meeting, and contingent upon their re-election to the Board, it is expected that the Audit Committee will consist of Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule and Leonard Helbig, with Stephen Blank continuing as Chair.

The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility for general oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's compliance with applicable laws and regulations including the Company's own Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and the Company's internal and disclosure controls and procedures. The Audit Committee also selects and oversees the appointment, performance and compensation of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

In addition, the Audit Committee provides oversight over the Company's risk management and risk assessment programs, including discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm about any significant risks and exposures to the Company and plans to mitigate those risks. In connection with its risk oversight role, at each of its meetings, the Audit Committee receives a written report from the Company's Vice President-Risk Management and meets separately with each of the representatives from the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the Company's Vice President-Internal Audit, and the Company's senior financial executives and General Counsel. The Audit Committee reports to the Board describing these activities.

The Chair of the Audit Committee also regularly attends the Compensation Committee meetings with a particular focus on the financial targets and goals being set in connection with the Company's incentive plans to assure that these do not encourage excessive risk taking.

The Audit Committee has adopted procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of concerns and complaints about accounting, internal controls and auditing matters. The Audit Committee oversees the existence and administration of a hot line (1-877-888-0002) where such concerns and complaints can be reported anonymously.

The Board of Directors has reviewed the qualifications of each member of the Audit Committee and has determined that each member is independent as required by applicable securities laws and by the listing standards of the NYSE. No Audit Committee member serves on the audit committee of more than two other public companies. In the exercise of its business judgment, the Board of Directors has also determined that each member of the Audit

7

Table of Contents

Committee is financially literate. Finally, the Board has determined that each of Stephen Blank and Charles Koch qualify as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by applicable SEC rules.

The Audit Committee works closely with management and the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm. It meets quarterly to review the Company’s financial statements, and on other occasions on an as-needed basis. The Audit Committee met five times in 2012. During 2012, each member of the Audit Committee attended more than 75% of the Committee’s meetings. The Audit Committee conducted a self-evaluation in 2012.

Compensation Committee

The Company has a separately designated Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Committee and the Board. A copy of the charter is available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors/Governance Documents Highlights.” In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the charter to anyone, without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. The Compensation Committee charter is reviewed by the Compensation Committee on an annual basis.

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Leonard Helbig, Charles Koch and Thomas Lydon, each of whom has been determined by the Board to be an independent Director. Leonard Helbig chairs this Committee. Following the 2013 Annual Meeting, and contingent upon their re-election to the Board, it is expected that the Compensation Committee will consist of Stephen Blank, Leonard Helbig, and Thomas Lydon, with Leonard Helbig continuing as Chair.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves, at least annually, the Company’s goals and objectives relevant to compensation of the Company’s executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, reviews on an annual basis the performance of the Chief Executive Officer in light of those goals and objectives, recommends to the other Directors for approval the Chief Executive Officer’s annual compensation, approves the compensation levels of the other executive officers, reviews significant employee benefit programs, and establishes and administers executive compensation programs.

As part of its oversight of the Company’s executive compensation program, the Compensation Committee considers the impact of the Company’s executive compensation program, and the incentives created by the compensation awards that it administers, on the Company’s risk profile. In addition, the Committee reviews all of its compensation policies and procedures, including the incentives that they create and factors that may reduce the likelihood of excessive risk taking, to determine whether they present a significant risk to the Company. The Chair of the Audit Committee regularly attends the Compensation Committee meetings in part to focus on how compensation decisions might impact the Company’s risk profile.

The agenda for meetings of the Compensation Committee is determined by its Chair with the assistance of the Senior Vice President-Human Resources and the Company’s General Counsel. Compensation Committee meetings are regularly attended by the Chair of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, the Senior Vice President-Human Resources, the Chief Financial Officer and the General Counsel so that those officers can provide information and answer questions about the Company’s compensation packages, the performance of a particular employee, the Company and various business functions, as well as competitive factors. At each meeting, the Compensation Committee meets in executive session outside the presence of the Company’s officers and employees to make its determinations with respect to compensation matters. The Compensation Committee’s Chair reports the Committee’s recommendation on executive compensation to the Board.

Independent advisors and the Company's Human Resources Department support the Compensation Committee in its duties. In addition, members of senior management may be delegated authority by the Compensation Committee to fulfill certain administrative duties regarding the compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has sole authority under its charter to retain, approve fees for and terminate advisors, consultants and agents as it deems necessary to assist in the fulfillment of its responsibilities. It reviews the total fees paid by the Company to outside consultants engaged by the Compensation Committee to ensure that the consultants maintain their objectivity and independence when rendering advice to the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

In 2012, the Compensation Committee retained FPL Associates, L.P. (“FPL”) to provide ongoing support to the Compensation Committee, including assistance with benchmarking for Director and executive compensation. FPL did not provide any other services to the Company in 2012 and none of the services that were provided raised any conflicts of interest.

The Compensation Committee also consults with senior management and, in particular, the Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President-Human Resources in making determinations about the executive compensation program and the compensation of individual executive officers.

The Compensation Committee met four times in 2012. During 2012, each member of the Compensation Committee attended more than 75% of the Committee’s meetings. The Compensation Committee conducted a self-evaluation in 2012.

Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee

The Company has a separately designated Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Committee and the Board. A copy of the charter is available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors/Governance Documents Highlights.” In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the charter to anyone, without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee charter is reviewed by the Committee on an annual basis.

Pursuant to its charter, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee at all times consists of at least three Directors, all of whom are independent Directors and two of whom are the Chairs of the Audit and Compensation Committees. This Committee currently consists of Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule and Leonard Helbig, each of whom has been determined by the Board to be an independent Director. Alan Gosule chairs the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee. Following the 2013 Annual Meeting, and contingent upon their re-election to the Board, it is expected that the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will continue to consist of Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule and Leonard Helbig with Mr. Gosule continuing as Chair.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee identifies individuals qualified to become Board members consistent with criteria approved by the Board, evaluates the size, composition and organization of the Board, monitors implementation of specific corporate governance initiatives, reviews any stockholder proposals submitted to the Company and oversees the evaluation of the Board. A description of the qualifications considered by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee for Board nominees and the procedure for stockholder nominations are described earlier in this Proxy Statement.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee met four times in 2012. During 2012, each member of this Committee attended more than 75% of the Committee’s meetings. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee conducted a self-evaluation in 2012.

Real Estate Investment Committee

The Company has a separately designated Real Estate Investment Committee. The Real Estate Investment Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Committee and the Board. A copy of the charter is available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors/Governance Documents Highlights.” In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the charter to anyone, without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. The charter for the

Real Estate Investment Committee requires that it consist of at least three Directors, at least a majority of whom shall be non-employee Directors.

Table of Contents

Prior to her resignation, Amy Tait chaired this Committee, which also consisted of Stephen Blank, Leonard Helbig, Thomas Lydon and Edward Pettinella. Subsequent to Mrs. Tait's resignation, Leonard Helbig was appointed as Chair of this Committee. Following the 2013 Annual Meeting, and contingent upon their re-election to the Board, it is expected that the Real Estate Investment Committee will consist of Stephen Blank, Alan Gosule, Leonard Helbig, Thomas Lydon and Edward Pettinella, with Leonard Helbig serving as Chair.

The purpose of the Real Estate Investment Committee is to review potential acquisitions, dispositions and developments and to approve, or to recommend to the full Board for approval, acceptable transactions pursuant to the authorization parameters established by the Board.

The Real Estate Investment Committee met seven times in 2012. During 2012, each member of this Committee attended more than 75% of the Committee's meetings. The Real Estate Investment Committee conducted a self-evaluation in 2012.

BOARD COMPENSATION

Non-employee Directors are paid an annual stipend of \$50,000. The Chair of the Board is paid an additional stipend of \$50,000 and the Chair of each Committee is paid an additional annual stipend of \$10,000, which was increased to \$15,000 for the Chairs of the Audit and Compensation Committees, effective May 1, 2012. Non-employee Directors who serve on three or more Committees also are paid an additional stipend of \$5,000. All cash fees continue to be paid on a quarterly basis. Each of the seven non-employee Directors who stood for re-election at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was issued 1,570 shares of restricted stock under the Company's 2011 Stock Benefit Plan. The shares had a value of approximately \$100,000 on the grant date. In addition, Mr. Helbig received an additional 549 shares of restricted stock (having a value of approximately \$35,000) in recognition of his leadership in connection with the implementation of new executive compensation programs.

It is expected that the Board will consider whether to make any changes to Board compensation at its May 2013 meeting. At that time, it will also evaluate and approve any additional equity awards for the non-employee Directors.

Under the Second Amended and Restated Director Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Director Deferred Compensation Plan") approved by the stockholders at the 2005 Annual Meeting, the non-employee Directors can defer up to 100% of their total annual cash compensation for three, five or ten years and their compensation in the form of restricted stock for five or ten years. The Company matches 10% of the deferred cash amount. The matching amount vests after three years. A "phantom" stock account is established for each of the Directors and the Company contribution amounts. Each deferral and the Company contribution is reflected by crediting those accounts with either: the phantom equivalent of the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that could be purchased with the amounts deferred and contributed at the Common Stock's fair market value (composite closing price on the NYSE) as of the day before the compensation would otherwise have been paid; or the number of shares of restricted stock deferred. Participants' accounts are also credited with the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that could be purchased with hypothetical dividends that would be paid with respect to shares previously allocated to the accounts on the same date and at the same price that shares are purchased for participants in the dividend reinvestment feature of the Company's Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan (the "DRIP"). Payments out of the deferred accounts, upon vesting or otherwise, are made by issuance of Common Stock, except in the event of payment by reason of a change in control in which event payment may be made in cash or by issuance of Common Stock at the election of the Compensation Committee. The Director Deferred Compensation Plan is designed to provide substantially the same benefits to the non-employee Directors as are provided to eligible employees under the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan (the "Deferred Bonus Plan").

Directors of the Company who are employees of the Company do not receive any compensation for their services as Directors. All Directors are reimbursed for their expenses incurred in attending Directors' meetings.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the compensation paid by the Company to non-employee Directors for the year ended December 31, 2012. There are no amounts to report in the Option Awards, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings columns so these have not been included in the table.

2012 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Fees			Total (\$)
	Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	
Stephen R. Blank	68,333	99,993	14,412	182,738
Alan L. Gosule	60,000	99,993	17,898	177,891
Leonard F. Helbig, III	68,333	141,792	31,751	241,876
Charles J. Koch	50,000	99,993	10,047	160,040
Thomas P. Lydon, Jr.	50,000	104,993	7,201	162,194
Clifford W. Smith, Jr.	100,000	109,993	36,330	246,323
Amy L. Tait (1)	60,000	99,993	17,898	177,891

(1) Amy L. Tait resigned her position as a director on November 8, 2012.

(2) Each of the listed Directors was granted 1,570 shares of restricted stock on May 8, 2012. Also on this date, Leonard Helbig was granted an additional 549 shares of restricted stock for special service. This column represents the grant date fair value on the date of issue in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 ("ASC Topic 718"). For additional information, refer to Note 13 of the Company's financial statements in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC ("2012 Form 10-K"). The 2012 Form 10-K also is included within the Annual Report delivered to stockholders with this Proxy Statement. To the extent that a Director has elected to participate in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan, this column also includes the value of the 10% Company match. Of the amounts listed in this column, the following amounts represent the value of the Company match: Leonard Helbig \$6,833; Thomas Lydon, Jr. \$5,000; Clifford Smith \$10,000.

(3) This column includes: (a) dividends paid on all shares of restricted stock held by each of the listed Directors whether receipt of the restricted stock was deferred or not; plus (b) value of all hypothetical dividends paid in 2012 on the 10% Company match shares in the listed Director's deferred compensation account.

The following table shows the aggregate number of outstanding shares of restricted stock and options held by each non-employee Director at December 31, 2012:

Name	Restricted Shares(1)	Unvested Options	Vested Options
Stephen R. Blank	5,839	5,309	0
Alan L. Gosule	6,937	6,100	34,453
Leonard F. Helbig III	9,027	6,100	4,717

Edgar Filing: HOME PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Charles J. Koch	4,198	2,909	1,940
Thomas P. Lydon, Jr.	2,922	0	0
Clifford W. Smith Jr.	9,512	6,100	26,253

(1) Some of the Directors deferred receipt of their restricted stock pursuant to the Director Deferred Compensation Plan. This column includes those shares as follows: Mr. Blank - 1,570 shares; Mr. Helbig - 9,027 shares; Mr. Lydon - 2,922 shares; and Mr. Smith - 9,512 shares.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Code of Ethics

A significant part of the Company's culture is the focus on "doing the right thing." The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics ("Code of Ethics") to embody the Company's commitment to continue to conduct business in accordance with the highest ethical standards. The Code of Ethics applies to all employees and Directors of the Company. The Code of Ethics covers such topics as conflicts of interest, proper use of Company property, complete and accurate reporting and disclosure of its business and financial results and compliance with laws. Each employee and each member of the Board of Directors is required on an annual basis to acknowledge that they have received a copy of and reviewed the Code of Ethics and to disclose any situation that may conflict with the provisions of the Code of Ethics. Training on the Code of Ethics and ethical behavior is periodically required for all employees.

The Company has also adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers with Certification ("Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics") that applies to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer/Treasurer and Controller. These individuals also are required to comply with the Code of Ethics.

The Code of Ethics and Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics meet the definition of "Code of Ethics" under the rules and regulations of the SEC and the listing standards of the NYSE. Both Codes are available on the Company's website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading "Investors/Governance Documents Highlights." In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the Codes to anyone without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, NY 14604. Amendments to the Code of Ethics and Senior Financial Officer Code of Ethics that apply to the executive officers and Directors of the Company and any waivers granted thereunder to those individuals will be posted on the Company's website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading "Investors/Governance Documents Highlights." The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors monitors the implementation and enforcement of both Codes.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted corporate governance guidelines (the "Guidelines") which meet the requirements of the listing standards of the NYSE and cover such topics as Director qualifications and responsibilities, Director access to management, and Director orientation and continuing education. Some specific policies included in the Guidelines follow.

Retirement Age. The retirement age for Directors is 75.

Change of Employment. Any Director who changes jobs or employers or otherwise experiences a significant change in job responsibilities is to submit a letter to the Board offering to resign as a Board member.

Other Boards. Without the approval of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, Directors may not serve on the Boards of more than two additional public companies.

Stock Ownership. Within five years of becoming a Director of the Company, Directors are required to have equity in the Company having a then current value of not less than \$100,000.

Meeting Attendance. Directors are expected to attend each annual stockholders' meeting, all Board meetings and meetings of the Committees on which they serve. All of the then current Directors attended the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Executive Sessions. The non-management Directors are to meet at least quarterly in executive sessions and, at least once per year, without any Directors who are not independent Directors. The independent Chair presides at the executive sessions.

Table of Contents

A copy of the Guidelines is available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors/Governance Documents Highlights.” In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the Guidelines to anyone without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, NY 14604.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines

In keeping with its belief that aligning the financial interests of the executive officers of the Company with those of the stockholders will result in enhanced stockholder value, the Board established ownership guidelines for those senior officers. These guidelines provide that, prior to February 2014 or within three years of joining the Company or a promotion, whichever is later, the following officers should own shares equal to the following respective multiple of their annual base salary: Chief Executive Officer-5 times; Executive Vice Presidents-3 times; and Senior Vice Presidents-2 times. As of December 31, 2012, all executive officers were in compliance with the guidelines.

A copy of the Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines is available on the Company’s website at www.homeproperties.com under the heading “Investors/Governance Documents Highlights.” In addition, the Company will provide a copy of the Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines to anyone without charge, upon written request addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, NY 14604.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

There is no family relationship among any of the Directors or executive officers. All executive officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. No executive officer was selected as a result of any arrangement or understanding, between that executive and any other persons. The following table sets forth, as of March 5, 2013, the eight executive officers of the Company, together with their respective ages and positions.

Name	Age	Position
Edward J. Pettinella	61	President and Chief Executive Officer
David P. Gardner	57	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Ann M. McCormick	56	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Lisa M. Critchley	51	Senior Vice President, Human Resources
Donald R. Hague	61	Senior Vice President, Development
Robert J. Luken	48	Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer
Bernard J. Quinn	56	Senior Vice President, Property Management Operations
John E. Smith	62	Senior Vice President and Chief Investment Officer

Edward J. Pettinella’s biographical information is included on page 4 of this Proxy Statement.

David P. Gardner has served as Executive Vice President since 2004 and a Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since its initial public offering (“IPO”) in 1994. From 1984 until the IPO, Mr. Gardner was employed by and an officer of the prior operator of many of the Company’s properties acquired at the time of the IPO. From 1977 until 1984, Mr. Gardner was an accountant at Cortland L. Brovitz & Co. He is a graduate of the Rochester Institute of Technology.

Table of Contents

Ann M. McCormick has served as Executive Vice President since 2004 and a Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the Company since its IPO. From 1987 until the IPO, Mrs. McCormick was employed by and an officer of the prior operator of many of the Company's properties acquired at the time of the IPO. From 1981 until 1987, she was an associate with the law firm of Nixon Peabody LLP. Mrs. McCormick is a graduate of Colgate University and holds a Juris Doctor from Cornell University.

Lisa M. Critchley has served as Senior Vice President since joining the Company in 2007. Prior to joining the Company, she was employed by ALSTOM Signaling, Inc. as Director of Human Resources since 2004. She was an Assistant Dean at the William E. Simon School of Business Administration from 1999 until 2004. Mrs. Critchley is a graduate of St. John Fisher College and holds an MBA from the E. Phillip Saunders College of Business of the Rochester Institute of Technology. She also is certified as a Senior Professional in Human Resources (SPHR).

Donald R. Hague has served as Senior Vice President since 2008. He joined the Company in 2006 as a Vice President. From 2000 until 2006, Mr. Hague was a Vice President of KSI Services, Inc. Prior to that, he worked with The Evans Company and was a partner in a land development and homebuilding company. He is a graduate of Davidson College and holds an MBA from The George Washington University.

Robert J. Luken has served as Senior Vice President since 2004 and as Chief Accounting Officer since 2005 and as Chief Administrative Officer since 2012. He has been the Company's Treasurer since 2000 and became a Vice President in 1997. He joined the Company in 1996, serving as its Controller. Prior to joining the Company, he was the Controller of Bell Corporation and an Audit Supervisor for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Mr. Luken is a graduate of St. John Fisher College and holds an MBA from the William E. Simon Graduate School of Business Administration of the University of Rochester. He is a Certified Public Accountant. He is on the Board of Directors of The Bell Company, LLC.

Bernard J. Quinn has served as Senior Vice President since 2009 and Vice President since 2000. Prior to joining Home Properties, Mr. Quinn was a Regional Property Manager for Millcreek Realty Co. Home Properties purchased Millcreek's Philadelphia apartment portfolio in 1997. Mr. Quinn is a graduate of Villanova University.

John E. Smith has served as Chief Investment Officer of the Company since 2006 and as Senior Vice President since 2001. From 1998 until 2001, he was a Vice President of the Company. Prior to joining the Company in 1997, Mr. Smith was general manager for Direct Response Marketing, Inc. and Executive Vice President for The Equity Network, Inc. Mr. Smith was Director of Investment Properties at Hunt Commercial Real Estate for 20 years. He has been a Certified Commercial Investment Member (CCIM) since 1982, a New York State Certified Instructor and has taught accredited commercial real estate courses in four states.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Company's executive compensation philosophy supports its mission of maximizing long-term value for stockholders by rewarding successful execution of its vision and short and long-term strategic and operational goals, which are designed to achieve that mission. The Company believes that its success, in large part, is attributable to the performance and dedication of its employees and, in particular, to the leadership efforts of its executive officers. It is therefore important that the interests of executives be aligned closely with the interests of stockholders.

The Company's executive compensation program for its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (our "Named Executive Officers") has the following key objectives:

- Attraction and Retention: The Company seeks to attract and retain highly capable executives both from within and outside the multifamily REIT industry by offering competitive total compensation.

Table of Contents

- **Motivation:** The Company endeavors to motivate its executives to maximize the long-term value of the Company by achieving certain operational and financial goals, while at the same time not encouraging unnecessary or excessive risk taking.
- **Linkage:** The Company's executive compensation program is tied directly to the operating, financial and stock performance of the Company since the payout under the bonus plan and the level and value of equity awards are directly impacted by that performance. By ensuring that executives are rewarded in step with the Company's performance, their interests are aligned with the interests of the Company's stockholders.

Financial Performance

In evaluating the Company's executive compensation programs and setting compensation for 2012 and 2013, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (referred to in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis as the "Compensation Committee") reviewed the Company's 2011 and 2012 financial performance. The Company performed well in both 2011 and 2012 during a period of economic transition.

- **Growth in Operating Funds From Operations ("OFFO")** for 2012 of 15.9% was the highest in the Company's history. This topped 2011's third highest level of OFFO growth of 13.8%. (A description of the Company's calculation of FFO is contained in the 2012 Form 10-K on page 32. OFFO is FFO net of certain non-recurring items such as prepayment penalties incurred on the sale of property and costs associated with the acquisition of real estate.)

Table of Contents

- Growth in the Company's net operating income ("NOI") was also strong, increasing 8.1% in 2012, (7.6% in 2011) well above the average increase of 7.5% in 2012 (6.4% in 2011) for the other 11 companies in the public apartment REIT sector. (A description of the Company's calculation of NOI is contained in the 2012 Form 10-K, on page 36.)

- As of December 31, 2012, the Company's five-year compound annual total return was higher than the US REIT Index, the S&P 500 and the Company's Multifamily Peer Group (listed and defined on page 20).

Table of Contents

2012 Compensation Decisions

The Company's 2011 and 2012 financial performance, including performance relative to peers, along with the individual performance of its executive officers, served as key factors impacting compensation decisions for 2012 in the following ways:

- The Compensation Committee engaged FPL in 2011 to conduct an extensive executive compensation benchmarking analysis to ensure that the executives responsible for the Company's past successful performance and critical to the future success of the Company were being adequately and appropriately compensated in line with market levels and compensation programs. In 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged FPL to provide an annual update of its prior benchmarking analysis.
- In light of the benchmarking study, the Compensation Committee recommended and the full Board approved, a new performance-based equity program for the Company's executive officers. The first grant under this plan was made in February 2012 and is based on a forward-looking three-year rolling period (2012-2014). This new program is described in more detail later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- Recognizing that the Company's performance in 2011 had been industry-leading and wanting to reward the Named Executive Officers for that performance, a final grant was made to the executive officers under the prior equity program, which had been designed as a reward for prior performance.
- OFFO and NOI continue to be used as the key metrics to determine executives' annual cash incentive awards. The Compensation Committee believes that those metrics, along with team and individual effectiveness goals, represent a balanced and consistent tool for evaluating performance. In light of the fact that 2012 results exceeded even the Maximum level of achievement for the goals set with respect to both the OFFO and NOI metrics and at least the Target level of performance was achieved with respect to the team and individual goals, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Board approved the payment of 100% of the bonus earned by each of the Named Executive Officers.

Based on the continued positive relative financial and operational performance of the Company in 2011 and 2012, the Compensation Committee was comfortable adopting the new performance-based equity plan for 2012, and with the amount, balance and structure of the compensation provided to the Named Executive Officers in 2012.

The balance of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis contains a detailed discussion and analysis of the Company's executive compensation program, including information about 2012 compensation to the Named Executive Officers.

Oversight of the Executive Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things, establishing, administering and reviewing compensation plans and policies for executive officers and ensuring that these executive officers are compensated in a manner consistent with the philosophy and objectives outlined above. The Compensation Committee also reviews and approves the Company's goals and objectives relevant to compensation of the executive officers, considers the structure of the Company's compensation program as it applies to all employees and administers the Company's stock option plans (including awards to the executive officers). When appropriate, the Compensation Committee recommends to the full Board changes to the executive and the general compensation plans. In addition, on an annual basis, the Compensation Committee makes specific compensation recommendations to the Board relating to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and approves the compensation for the other executive officers.

For additional information on the members of the Compensation Committee and on the structure, scope of authority, and operation of the Compensation Committee, see “Compensation Committee” on page 8.

Table of Contents

Stockholder Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

At the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's stockholders voted to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2011 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure. The Compensation Committee incorporated the result of the stockholder advisory vote as one of many factors it considered in connection with the discharge of its responsibilities and took the result into account in determining executive compensation since the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Compensation Committee viewed the vote as an expression of the stockholders' general satisfaction with the Company's current executive compensation programs. Because the stockholders approved the compensation of the Named Executive Officers as described in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Compensation Committee did not implement any changes to the Company's executive compensation program as a result of or reaction to the stockholder advisory vote.

Setting Executive Compensation

Guiding Principles

It is the Compensation Committee's practice to provide a balanced mix of fixed compensation, in the form of salary and 401(k) savings plan match, and incentive compensation both short term, in the form of the annual cash incentive (bonus), and long term, in the form of equity, in order to align the current and long-term interests of executives with that of stockholders and to encourage executives to act in the interest of stockholders. The Compensation Committee takes into account the aggregate amount and mix of all components of compensation when considering compensation decisions affecting the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers. Although the Compensation Committee does not target a specific level of compensation relative to industry peers, for a typical year its goal is to seek to provide total compensation (consisting of base salary, annual incentives and equity incentives) between the 50th and 75th percentile of the market with factors such as market capitalization of the peers, an individual's job performance and length of service, the current recruiting or retention market for the position and the value of the position impacting where the compensation for a particular executive falls within that range. The Company's financial and relative performance in a particular year might cause the Compensation Committee to make decisions that cause compensation to fall outside of that range for all or some of the executive officers.

The Compensation Committee believes it is necessary to assess the components of compensation to ensure that each component contributes appropriately to the achievement of the objectives of the executive compensation program in order to provide a market-competitive level of compensation and benefits, which benefits employees and stockholders alike. The Compensation Committee considers whether any components of executive compensation might lead to excessive risk taking by management and whether any features of the executive compensation program appropriately mitigate any risks. The Compensation Committee works to ensure that compensation practices and decisions are consistent with the Company's general risk profile. It is the Compensation Committee's practice to discuss and evaluate data and make the most significant compensation decisions in a multi-step process over more than one meeting, so that Compensation Committee members have the ability to consider and discuss alternative courses of action, to request additional information as necessary and to raise and discuss related questions.

Role and Responsibilities

The Compensation Committee has sole authority under its charter to retain advisors and consultants as it deems appropriate. To assist in evaluating the compensation practices and levels, the Company regularly uses independent

compensation consultants to provide benchmarking data, advice and ongoing recommendations regarding executive compensation. In 2012, the Compensation Committee retained FPL to provide a variety of services for the Compensation Committee as described specifically throughout this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

In addition to considering input provided by its outside consultants, the Compensation Committee also considers input from the Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Vice President-Human Resources in making determinations regarding the overall executive compensation program and the individual compensation of the executive officers. In particular, the Chief Executive Officer annually reviews the performance of each of the executive officers. He also works with the Company's Human Resources Department to evaluate each component of compensation paid to the other executive officers separately and then as a whole against industry data, peer data, achievement of corporate and personal objectives and financial performance. The conclusions reached by the Chief Executive Officer and his recommendations for each compensation component for each of the other executive officers are presented to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee can exercise its discretion in modifying any recommended component of the executives' compensation.

The full Board considers written evaluations of the Chief Executive Officer's performance completed by each member of the Board. It also considers the performance evaluations completed by each of the executive officers who report directly to the CEO. The Board meets in executive session to approve each component of compensation for the Chief Executive Officer. Reference in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis to the compensation for the Chief Executive Officer being considered or approved by the "full Board" means the Board meeting in executive session without the presence of the CEO or other Company employees.

Members of the Company's Human Resources Department support the Compensation Committee and its work and, in some cases, act pursuant to delegated authority to fulfill various functions in administering the Company's compensation programs.

Competitive Benchmarking in General

As part of its consideration as to the appropriateness of the executive officers' compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews market data for executives in the multifamily sector classification of real estate companies and for executives in comparably-sized companies in other sectors of the real estate industry. The primary benchmark used in 2012 by the Compensation Committee for the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, as well as the compensation of the other Named Executive Officers, was the peer group in the multifamily REIT industry (the "Multifamily Peer Group"). This is the same peer group that is used to calculate the relative NOI component of the annual cash incentive payable pursuant to the Company's incentive compensation plan. See "Annual Cash Incentive Awards" beginning on page 22. The Multifamily Peer Group consists of companies against which the Compensation Committee and its consultants believe the Company competes for talent and for stockholder investment. The Compensation Committee recognizes that the members of the Multifamily Peer Group vary in terms of the size of their market capitalization and takes this variation into account in its use of related data. In using the data, the Compensation Committee also takes into account the Company's financial performance as compared to that of the Multifamily Peer Group. In connection with compensation decisions made in 2012, the Multifamily Peer Group consisted of the following companies (whose NYSE ticker symbols appear in parenthesis).

- Apartment Investment & Management Company (AIV)
 - Associated Estates Realty Corporation (AEC)
 - AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (AVB)
 - BRE Properties, Inc. (BRE)
 - Camden Property Trust (CPT)
 - Colonial Properties Trust (CLP)
 - Equity Residential (EQR)
 - Essex Property Trust, Inc. (ESS)
 - Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. (MAA)

- Post Properties, Inc. (PPS)
 - UDR, Inc. (UDR)

The Compensation Committee will periodically review and update the companies that compose its benchmarking group.

Table of Contents

Compensation data for peers is taken from their most recently available proxy statements and other public filings and analyzed by members of the Company's Human Resources Department under the direction of the Compensation Committee with the assistance in 2012 of FPL. The publicly available data was supplemented by peer practices and other information provided by FPL from its proprietary data base.

Multifamily Peer Group data is supplemented by survey data (collectively, the "Survey Data") obtained from the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), which is the trade association for REITs and publicly traded real estate companies with an interest in U.S. property and investment markets, and from Towers Watson and Mercer, global human resource consulting and survey firms. The compensation data from NAREIT reflects the real property sector classification (including multifamily and other real estate sectors) and the compensation data from Towers Watson and Mercer reflects services industry companies with comparable revenue within the New York/Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions.

Typically, for the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, the Compensation Committee reviews the Multifamily Peer Group data to determine the appropriate level of compensation. For the other Named Executive Officers, peer group data is not as comparable as titles and the responsibilities associated with the positions vary from company to company. Therefore, with respect to other Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee and the Chief Executive Officer may rely more heavily on the Survey Data in their compensation deliberations. In certain instances, some interpolation between market data points was made as the responsibilities associated with a Named Executive Officer's position did not match the responsibilities described as being associated with the data point.

2011 and 2012 Benchmarking

In 2011, the Compensation Committee engaged FPL to conduct an extensive executive compensation benchmarking analysis as part of a broader compensation engagement. FPL's comprehensive analysis of the Company's executive compensation program addressed base salary levels as well as both annual cash incentive and long-term equity incentive structural design considerations and involved detailed benchmarking of the Multifamily Peer Group. In 2012, the Compensation Committee again engaged FPL to update the 2011 benchmarking analysis, with a focus on compensation levels as opposed to structural design consideration.

Based on the weighted average results of FPL's benchmarking analyses, the Compensation Committee concluded that the base salaries of the Named Executive Officers, in general, ranked in line with the median peer practices. With regard to total annual cash compensation, however, the 2011 analysis suggested that the executives' cash compensation in the aggregate ranked below the median market practices indicating that some re-structuring of the annual cash incentive program was appropriate. Long-term equity compensation also was positioned below the median on an aggregate basis. The weighted average of total compensation for the Company's executives, therefore, ranked below the median market practices.

As a result, the Compensation Committee recommended and the full Board approved significant modifications to the Company's annual cash incentive and long-term compensation programs.

Changes to Compensation Programs Resulting from 2011 and 2012 Benchmarking

FPL's 2011 total compensation analysis pointed to opportunities to address compensation gaps and create further executive/stockholder alignment by enhancing the pay for performance focus of the Company's incentive plans. The Compensation Committee focused significant effort on re-designing the corporate annual cash incentive pay-for-performance program for 2011 implementation. The new annual cash incentive plan design features a mix of financial, management effectiveness and individual goals designed to increase the incentive for the CEO and senior executives to deliver stockholder value. This plan also was implemented for all corporate employees to create a

consistent alignment of employee behavior with value creation and to reinforce the Company's pay-for-performance compensation philosophy. The revised annual incentive plan is described in more detail later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee also re-designed the long-term equity incentive program for the executive team, including the Named Executive Officers, effective in 2012. The new long-term equity incentive plan features a 75%/25% split between performance-based and service-based equity awards and replaced the prior equity program for the executive team. The performance-based portion of the award is based on achieving three total stockholder return measures: one absolute and two relative measures for a three-year rolling performance period. The new long-term incentive program is described in more detail later in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

2012 Executive Compensation Components

For 2012, the primary elements of compensation for the Named Executive Officers were:

- base salary,
- annual cash incentive awards,
- long-term service-based equity incentive awards,
- long-term performance based-equity incentive awards,
 - deferred compensation, and
 - retirement and other benefits.

The amount of cash compensation earned in 2012 in the form of salary and bonus in proportion to total compensation for the continuing Named Executive Officers ranges from 25% to 41%, with the Chief Executive Officer receiving the lowest percentage of his total compensation in the form of cash. With respect to cash received, the Chief Executive Officer received the highest percentage among the continuing Named Executive Officers of his cash compensation in the form of bonus rather than salary. This is consistent with the Compensation Committee's philosophy that the proportion of an individual's total compensation that varies with Company performance should increase as the individual's total compensation and business responsibilities increase.

Base Salary

The Company provides Named Executive Officers and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salaries for the executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, are established based on the individual's job responsibilities, performance and experience, including specific experience in the position, the Company's overall budget for merit increases and benchmarking as described above. On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves salary adjustments for the executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer, based on a review of competitive market data, an assessment of Company performance, as well as recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer. With respect to salary adjustments for the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee reviews competitive market data, assesses the annual performance reviews for the Chief Executive Officer completed by each member of the Board of Directors and the CEO's direct reports, assesses Company performance, and, after extensive discussion at a Compensation Committee executive session, makes a recommendation to the full Board for approval during a Board executive session. The 2012 benchmarking analysis demonstrated that the Named Executive Officers' base salaries were generally in line with median market practices. In 2012, the Compensation Committee therefore approved minimal or no base salary increases for the Named Executive Officers ranging from 0% to 3.8%, other than Mr. Quinn who received a 6.6% increase in his base salary in 2012 to recognize his increased role at the Company.

The approved annual base salaries for the Named Executive Officers for 2012 are listed on the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 33.

Annual Cash Incentive Awards

Significant changes were made to the Company's Incentive Plan (the "Bonus Plan") in 2011. However, the goal of the Bonus Plan remained unchanged, which is to motivate executive officers and certain other full-time employees to maximize the Company's annual operating and financial performance to drive stockholder value and to reward participants based on the Company's annual performance.

Table of Contents

Financial Goals

Prior to 2011, Bonus Plan participants were eligible to earn a cash incentive award based upon the Company's performance on two measures: (1) growth in the Company's Operating FFO on a per share diluted basis from the previous year, and (2) "same-store" (for 2012, this would have been properties owned since January 1, 2011) NOI growth from the previous year as compared to the same-store NOI growth for the Multifamily Peer Group. These same metrics were used in 2011 and 2012 to determine a portion of each participant's cash incentive payout ranging from a weighting of 60% to 80%, with the payout for the Named Executive Officers being weighted at the 60% level for these financial components. When evaluating whether to change the financial metrics used in the Bonus Plan for 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the Company's strategic, operational and financial objectives, as well as industry-specific metrics typically used by peers, investors and analysts for measuring financial success. FFO is considered by the Compensation Committee to be an important indicator of the Company's overall financial performance. Operating FFO is FFO adjusted in order to remove certain non-recurring items, such as prepayment penalties incurred on the sale of property and costs associated with the acquisition of real estate, from the Company's published FFO. Same-store NOI relative to the Bonus Peer Group is considered by the Compensation Committee to be an important driver of real estate property values and thus stockholder value.

Beginning in 2011, the Compensation Committee established a Threshold, Target and Maximum level of performance for these Financial Goals. Each of the levels represents a percentage of the participant's salary and the goals were established based on the Company's budget and projections for the applicable year, which were carefully reviewed by the Compensation Committee and the full Board. For 2012, the two metrics were weighted equally and were as follows with the actual results as indicated:

Financial Goals	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Results
OFFO per Share	\$3.83	\$3.91	\$3.99	\$4.17
Relative Same-Store NOI Growth	-2.70	% -1.70	% -0.70	% +.60

Management Effectiveness Goals

Also beginning in 2011, a portion of the bonus payment for services rendered by the senior management team, including the Named Executive Officers, is based on the level of achievement of certain Management Effectiveness Goals. These represent collective operational goals which were recommended by the senior management team for approval by the Compensation Committee and the full Board in connection with the Company's strategic planning process for the relevant year. They include goals related to the level of acquisitions and dispositions, growth of the development pipeline and capital markets activities as well as several other management initiatives. Threshold, Target and Maximum performance pay-out levels were established by the Compensation Committee. The level of achievement with respect to the Management Effectiveness Goals is designed to be more subjective than the achievement of the Financial Goals. Management Effectiveness Goals were weighted at 20% of the bonus potential for all of the Named Executive Officers. Scoring for the Management Effectiveness Goals was set at 1.0 for Threshold, 2.0 for Target and 3.0 for Maximum. The Compensation Committee determined that the performance of the senior management team, including the Named Executive Officers, regarding the Management Effectiveness Goals warranted a score of 2.70 for 2012. The Board confirmed that level of performance for the Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee and Board in their deliberations also considered several additional initiatives completed in 2012 by the senior management team that were not originally included as Management Effectiveness Goals established earlier in 2012.

Individual Goals

Individual Goals for 2012 were established by the Compensation Committee for each of the Named Executive Officers. Mr. Pettinella's Individual Goals were approved by the Board of Directors. Individual Goals were weighted at 20% of the bonus potential for all of the Named Executive Officers. Again, performance levels of 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 for Threshold, Target and Maximum, respectively were established. Individual Goals for the continuing Named Executive Officers included the following:

Table of Contents

Edward J. Pettinella: (i) proactively managing sources and uses of capital; (ii) leading a comprehensive review of the Company's strategic plan and portfolio allocations; and (iii) attracting, retaining and mentoring a skilled management team.

David P. Gardner: (i) renewing the Company's at-the-market equity offering; (ii) successfully refinancing 2012 debt maturities with focus on decreasing reliance on secured debt; and (iii) continuing to support accounting function process improvements focusing on operating efficiencies.

Ann M. McCormick: (i) working to re-design and re-energize the relationship between certain operating functions; (ii) overseeing the practical implementation of certain risk management initiatives; and (iii) ensuring continued compliance with SEC and NYSE rules and regulations.

John E. Smith: (i) acquiring \$200 to \$300 million in gross acquisitions; (ii) disposing of \$50 to \$150 million in gross dispositions; and (iii) further assisting the development team's efforts with the knowledge and resources of the acquisitions/dispositions team.

Bernard J. Quinn: (i) continuing aggressive apartment unit upgrade program; (ii) delivering 2012 forecasted or better NOI results for core properties; and (iii) reducing the frequency of employee injuries and property and general liability casualty claims.

Again, the level of achievement of the Individual Goals is designed to be more subjective than for the achievement of the Financial Goals. The Compensation Committee determined the level of performance of their Individual Goals by the Named Executive Officers (except for the CEO) after receiving recommendations by Mr. Pettinella. The performance levels for the Named Executive Officers ranged from 2.50 to 2.70, with an average of 2.62. The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Pettinella's level of performance with respect to his Individual Goals was 2.70.

Bonus Pay-Out

The following shows the weighting, bonus potential and annual cash incentive payment earned in 2012 (paid in 2013) for each of the continuing Named Executive Officers.

	Weighting		% of Salary			Results (\$ and % of Base)					
			Threshold	Target	Maximum						
Edward J. Pettinella											
Financial Goals	60	%	36	%	72	%	108	%	\$594,000	108.0	%
Management Effectiveness	20	%	12	%	24	%	36	%	178,200	32.4	%
Individual Goals	20	%	12	%	24	%	36	%	178,200	32.4	%
Total			60	%	120	%	180	%	\$950,400	172.8	%
David P. Gardner											
Financial Goals	60	%	27	%	54	%	81	%	\$281,812	81.0	%
Management Effectiveness	20	%	9	%	18	%	27	%	84,544	24.3	%
Individual Goals	20	%	9	%	18	%	27	%	82,978	23.8	%
Total			45	%	90	%	135	%	\$449,334	129.1	%
Ann M. McCormick											
Financial Goals	60	%	27	%	54	%	81	%	\$243,000	81.0	%
Management Effectiveness	20	%	9	%	18	%	27	%	72,900	24.3	%

Edgar Filing: HOME PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Individual Goals	20	%	9	%	18	%	27	%	69,660	23.2	%
Total			45	%	90	%	135	%	\$385,560	128.5	%

John E. Smith			Threshold		Target		Maximum				
Financial Goals	60	%	18	%	36	%	54	%	\$147,375	54.0	%
Management Effectiveness	20	%	6	%	12	%	18	%	44,212	16.2	%
Individual Goals	20	%	6	%	12	%	18	%	40,938	15.0	%
Total			30	%	60	%	90	%	\$232,525	85.2	%

Bernard J. Quinn			Threshold		Target		Maximum				
Financial Goals	60	%	18	%	36	%	54	%	\$134,640	54.0	%
Management Effectiveness	20	%	6	%	12	%	18	%	40,392	16.2	%
Individual Goals	20	%	6	%	12	%	18	%	40,093	16.1	%
Total			30	%	60	%	90	%	\$215,125	86.3	%

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee has discretion to determine and recommend to the Board what portion of the annual cash incentive otherwise earned should be paid to the Chief Executive Officer. In making its determination as to what portion of the 2012 annual cash incentive (paid in 2013) should be paid to the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee considered a variety of factors including the level of performance with respect to the 2012 goals under the Bonus Plan, leadership and managerial competencies, execution of the Company's business plan and overall business strategy, the Company's absolute and relative financial performance, as well as results from the performance appraisals completed by Directors and the Chief Executive Officer's direct reports. Specifically for 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the following:

- Growth in OFFO for 2012 was 15.9%, the highest in the Company's history.
- At 11.2%, the Company's total return to stockholders ranked fourth for 2012 among the Multifamily Peer Group.
 - Management led several successful initiatives to improve the Company's liquidity and balance sheet.
 - Both resident and employee annual turnover rates remained favorable in 2012.

The Compensation Committee considered the above factors, along with the very favorable evaluation of Mr. Pettinella by senior management and the Board, and in February 2013 recommended, and the Board approved payment to the Chief Executive Officer of 100% of his earned 2012 annual cash incentive. With respect to determination of final annual cash incentive awards to other executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, up to 50% of the award payment is discretionary. The Compensation Committee determines what portion of the annual incentive otherwise earned should be paid to the executive officers through the evaluation of various performance criteria, including the results of the participant's department, the participant's performance, and the participant's relative influence on the Company's performance. The Compensation Committee also takes under advisement the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer. Based on the Compensation Committee's consideration of all of these criteria, each of the other Named Executive Officers received 100% of the 2012 annual cash incentive earned under the Bonus Plan.

From time to time, the Compensation Committee may decide to provide more than the amount of the cash incentive award earned under the Bonus Plan in recognition of extraordinary efforts. Reasons for this extra payment could include successful completion of a special project, singular leadership on an important initiative and a temporary or short-term significant increase in job responsibilities. No amounts in excess of the amounts earned under the terms of the Bonus Plan were awarded to the Named Executive Officers with respect to their 2012 annual cash incentive.

On an annual basis, the Company enters into a Bonus Repayment Agreement with each of the Named Executive Officers and all other executive officers as well as the Controller. The Agreement states that the Company may recover cash incentive compensation in the event of a restatement of financial results. Under the Agreement, each individual is required to return to the Company so much of the cash bonus paid to them for services rendered during the restated period that would not have been paid if the restated financial results originally had been stated correctly.

Awards made to the Named Executive Officers under the Bonus Plan in 2013 for performance in 2012 are reflected in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 33.

Equity Awards in General

Equity incentive awards are provided to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as well as other key employees, in order to increase their personal stake in the Company's success and motivate them to enhance the long-term value of the Company. Although the Compensation Committee does not target a specific mix of equity versus cash

compensation when setting awards each year, it does strive to deliver a relatively large portion of the Named Executive Officers' overall compensation in the form of equity.

Table of Contents

On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves the equity incentives to be issued to each of the Named Executive Officers for that year. At the same time, it makes a recommendation relating to the Chief Executive Officer to the full Board for approval at an executive session.

2012 Performance-Based Equity Program

In November 2011, the Board approved a performance-based equity program for administering awards under the Company's 2011 Stock Benefit Plan for the executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers (the "2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program"). It is a subplan of the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan, approved by the stockholders at their 2011 Annual Meeting. The initial grants made under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program were made in February 2012. This program replaces the prior equity program for the executive officers (the "Prior Service-Based Equity Program"), except one additional grant was made in May 2012 under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program as a reward for 2011 service as described below.

Key highlights of the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program are as follows:

- This is a forward-looking grant program on a three-year rolling performance period with the initial period running from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014.
 - It is expected that each year a new grant will be made based on a three-year rolling period, for example:
 - January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015
 - January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2016
 - January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017
- Under this new program, 75% of the total award value is performance-based and subject to continued employment with the Company and three Total Shareholder Return ("TSR") performance measures (the "Performance-Based Awards" or "Performance-Based RSUs"). Fifty percent of the Performance-Based Awards that are earned vest at the end of the applicable performance period and fifty percent vest one year later.
- Twenty-five percent of the total award is time-based, otherwise known as service-vested and subject to continued employment with the Company (the "Service-Based Award" or "Service-Based RSUs"). These awards vest over three years.
- The equity award takes the form of Restricted Stock Units, or "RSU"s. Upon fulfillment of the restrictions, the executive is eligible to receive one share of Common Stock for each RSU. In this program, the "restrictions" are fulfilled by achieving established performance measures (75%) and/or by service and time (25%).

Performance Measures for the Performance-Based Awards

The performance-based component of the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program has three TSR performance measures:

- Absolute – Home Properties TSR weighted 50% ("Absolute TSR")
- Relative – NAREIT All Equity REIT Index weighted 25% ("All REIT TSR Index")
- Relative – NAREIT Apartment Index weighted 25% ("Apartment TSR Index")

At the end of the initial three-year performance period, ending December 31, 2014, the Company's TSR results will be compared to the Threshold, Target and Maximum hurdles established at the beginning of the three-year performance period.

Table of Contents

The hurdles established for the 2012-2014 performance period are as follows:

Performance Requirements	Threshold	2012 – 2014 Performance Goals		Maximum		
		Target				
Absolute TSR	7	%	9	%	11	%
All REIT TSR Index	Absolute TSR is within 350 basis points below the index return		Absolute TSR is within 100 basis points below or above the index return		Absolute TSR is greater than 250 basis points above the index return	
Apartment TSR Index	Absolute TSR is within 350 basis points below the index return		Absolute TSR is within 100 basis points below or above the index return		Absolute TSR is greater than 250 basis points above the index return	

So long as Home Properties' TSR results meet the Threshold hurdle for any of the three performance measures, Performance-Based Awards will be earned. Equity grant levels were established as a dollar value for each executive officer, including the Named Executive Officers, at each of the three hurdles, based on a percentage of base salary. The number of RSUs was determined by dividing the dollar value by the closing stock price on the NYSE on February 14, 2012, the grant date. The relative grant levels were established using factors similar to those used in establishing the levels for the annual cash incentive program, including level of responsibility with the Company and internal and external equity considerations. The grant levels were established at a higher dollar value than the equity grants historically awarded to the Named Executive Officers under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program to recognize that 75% of the award is at risk, dependent on achieving the TSR performance levels. The approved grant levels for the continuing Named Executive Officers for the 2012-2014 performance period are as follows:

Name	Service Based # of RSUs	2012 – 2014 Performance-Based Grant Levels					
		Threshold		Target		Maximum	
		% of Base*	# of RSUs	% of Base*	# of RSUs	% of Base*	# of RSUs
Edward J. Pettinella	8,112	175%	8,113	350%	24,339	525%	40,564
David P. Gardner	2,865	100%	2,866	200%	8,598	300%	14,329
Ann M. McCormick	2,022	80%	2,023	160%	6,069	240%	10,115
John E. Smith	1,228	55%	1,229	110%	3,686	165%	6,143
Bernard J. Quinn	925	45%	925	90%	2,776	135%	4,627

*Percentages include value of Service-Based Awards.

Based on 2012 results alone, the performance has achieved the Maximum hurdle for Absolute TSR and the Apartment TSR Index, but is below the Threshold hurdle for the All REIT TSR Index. However, since the performance period is a three-year period, this does not indicate what the final results might be.

Table of Contents

In January, 2013, the following grant levels were approved for the continuing Named Executive Officers for the 2013-2015 performance period:

Name	Service Based # of RSUs	2013 – 2015 Performance-Based Grant Levels				Maximum	
		Threshold % of Base* # of RSUs	Target % of Base* # of RSUs	% of Base* # of RSUs	% of Base* # of RSUs		
Edward J. Pettinella	7,783	175% 7,783	350% 23,350	525%	38,917		
David P. Gardner	2,830	100% 2,830	200% 8,491	300%	14,151		
Ann M. McCormick	1,940	80% 1,940	160% 5,822	240%	9,704		
John E. Smith	1,223	55% 1,223	110% 3,669	165%	6,115		
Bernard J. Quinn	1,156	45% 1,156	90% 3,469	135%	5,781		

*Percentages include value of Service-Based Awards.

The hurdles established for the 2013-2015 performance period are the same as those described above for the 2012-2014 performance period.

Dividends Equivalent Rights

Under this new plan, each RSU granted has a Dividend Equivalent Right (“DER”) associated with it. DERs are paid by creating a hypothetical account with the amount of cash equal to the dividends that would have been paid on the vested RSUs if they had actually been outstanding shares of Common Stock on the dividend payment dates. DERs are paid in cash for the Service-Based RSUs upon vesting. DERs for Performance-Based RSUs will be tracked and only paid in cash upon vesting contingent upon achievement of the established performance measures.

Conclusion

The Compensation Committee and the full Board approved the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program for the executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, to bring the Company’s long-term equity incentive compensation program more in line with market practices and to further align performance with stockholder value for the senior executives who most impact performance. The Compensation Committee believes that RSUs tend to have a strong retention value, even when the Company’s stock price declines, and require fewer shares to deliver the same grant value as stock options. The equity grants are issued under the Company’s 2011 Stock Benefit Plan, approved by the stockholders at the 2011 Annual Meeting.

May 2012 Final Equity Grants Under Prior Service-Based Equity Program

The 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program is designed to be “forward-looking” meaning grants are subject to performance for a future period of time. Annual grants previously made under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program were “backward-looking” in that the grants provided each year rewarded the recipient for performance delivered in the prior year.

In May 2012, executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, were awarded an equity grant under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program as a reward for 2011 performance. Except in special circumstances, it is expected that this will be the last equity grant to the executive officers of this nature and that in the future all equity grants will be RSUs issued in three-year performance and service periods as described above.

In determining equity incentive awards for 2012 under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program, the Compensation Committee reviewed stock compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and each of the other executive officers in light of various factors, including both Company and individual performance for 2011, the other elements of their compensation, their overall equity interest in the Company, a comparison to the Multifamily Peer Group, the value of long-term compensation paid to other executive officers of the Company, and in the case of the executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, the CEO's recommendation. For 2012, the

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee determined that the mix of approximately 75% restricted stock and 25% options granted in previous years was appropriate for this final grant under the prior program.

The level of stock awards granted was based on the dollar value of the grant when made rather than a fixed number of shares. For 2012 grants under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program, there was no established target for long-term equity incentive awards for any of the Named Executive Officers either as a dollar value or as a percentage of their total compensation.

Equity incentive awards made to the Named Executive Officers in 2012 under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program are described in the “2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Information” on page 34.

Deferred Compensation

The Company has a Deferred Bonus Plan which permits certain employees with annual compensation of \$115,000 or more, including the Named Executive Officers, to defer up to 100% of their annual cash bonus awarded under the Bonus Plan for three, five or ten years. As additional incentive for deferring the receipt of annual cash bonuses, the Company matches 10% of the amount deferred. The Company match vests after three years. Payout at the end of the deferral period is made in the form of the Company’s common stock.

In 2011, the Board of Directors also approved a Nonqualified Voluntary Deferred Compensation Plan (the “Deferred Compensation Plan”), which permits certain employees with annual compensation of \$115,000 or more, including the Named Executive Officers, to defer up to 50% of their base salary for three, five or ten years. The Company does not provide any match with respect to the deferred amounts. Payout at the end of the deferral period is made in the form of cash. The first deferrals permitted under this Deferred Compensation Plan relate to base salary paid in 2012.

The purpose of the Deferred Bonus Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan is to assist key employees with their individual tax and financial planning and to permit the Company to remain competitive in attracting, retaining, motivating and rewarding key employees who can directly influence the Company’s operating results.

Further details with respect to the Deferred Bonus Plan and voluntary deferrals under that Plan are provided in the “2012 Summary Compensation Information” on page 32 and in “2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation” on page 40.

Retirement and Other Benefits

401(k) Savings Plan

Under the 401(k) Savings Plan, all Company employees, including the Named Executive Officers, earn the right to receive certain benefits upon retirement. The Company will match 75% of the first 4% of each participant’s contribution not to exceed up to 3% of that participant’s eligible wages.

The Company believes that it has an appropriately competitive 401(k) Savings Plan for all of its employees and therefore does not provide any additional retirement benefits to executives.

Disability Supplemental Income Protection Plan

All employees are eligible to participate in the Company’s disability plan. In addition, employees who earn over \$60,000 and who participate in the Bonus Plan above a certain assigned level are provided benefits under the Company’s Supplemental Income Protection Plan. This is a long-term disability plan that provides, among other

things, 75% income replacement for total disability and return-to-work benefits such as rehabilitation services and recovery benefits. The Company affords this benefit to its key employees, including the Named Executive Officers, in order to provide competitive employee benefit programs and to help mitigate any loss of income by a key employee due to a long-term disability.

Table of Contents

Health and Life Insurance

Health and life insurance benefits are provided to the Named Executive Officers on the same basis as they are provided to other employees of the Company.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

The Compensation Committee has adopted, and the Board has approved, a policy of not providing perquisites to its executives unless they also are available to all other full-time employees of the Company. For example, the Company does not provide payment or reimbursement for costs associated with the use of Company vehicles, country club memberships, tax preparation and financial consulting fees or similar benefits frequently provided by other companies (“Perquisites”). The Company believes that other elements of its compensation program sufficiently attract and retain superior employees for key positions and there is no present need to provide Perquisites and other personal benefits frequently provided by other companies.

Employment Agreements

In general, it is the Company’s policy not to enter into employment agreements with, or provide executive severance benefits (other than change in control arrangements described below) to its executive officers. As a result, the Named Executive Officers serve at the will of the Board of Directors. The only exception to this policy is the individual employment agreement with Mr. Pettinella, which was originally entered into on May 17, 2004 (the “2004 Employment Agreement”) and which was amended and restated effective January 1, 2007. The 2004 Employment Agreement as amended and restated provided that Mr. Pettinella would continue to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company until December 31, 2008. The term of the 2004 Employment Agreement subsequently was extended to December 31, 2010, with no other changes.

On December 29, 2010, the Company entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Pettinella (the “2010 Employment Agreement”). The 2010 Employment Agreement was effective January 1, 2011. The Compensation Committee retained FPL to provide market information on chief executive officer compensation as well as to review the terms of the 2010 Employment Agreement to ensure that they were consistent with the market data. The 2010 Employment Agreement provides that Mr. Pettinella will continue to serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company until December 31, 2013. It specifies a minimum base salary of \$550,000 but does not specify a fixed incentive compensation or the level of stock option grants and restricted stock awards, each of which is at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. However, he is assured of the payment of a 2.9 multiple of his salary and bonus (the “Severance Benefits”) in the event that the agreement is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Pettinella with good reason. The bonus payment is to be 2.9 times the greater of: (i) his target bonus for the year termination occurs; or (ii) the average bonus paid to Mr. Pettinella for services rendered in the three years prior to termination. In the year following termination, he also is to be paid the amount of annual cash incentive compensation that he would have earned at the target level pro-rated for the portion of the year that he was an employee. In the event of the termination of his employment by reason of death or disability, he is entitled to 1.0 times the Severance Benefits. In addition, upon the occurrence of any of the termination events described above all stock options held by Mr. Pettinella become exercisable and remain so for one year and all restricted shares held by Mr. Pettinella vest. Performance-based awards vest at the greater of: (i) the target amount (if any); and (ii) a pro-rata amount based on performance from the commencement of the performance period through the date of termination. He is also entitled to the continuance of his fringe benefits for two years following termination. In the event of a change in control, Mr. Pettinella is entitled to receive the benefits provided under the Executive Retention Plan (described below), except he would receive three times his base salary and bonus amount instead of two times as provided to certain other beneficiaries of that plan. In the case of retirement, he only is

entitled to benefits generally provided to other salaried employees as described below. The Compensation Committee and the full Board believe that Mr. Pettinella's agreement is in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders in order to provide stability to the Company and that it is an appropriate expression of their confidence in Mr. Pettinella and represents a level of commitment to Mr. Pettinella that is necessary in order to retain the services of a talented executive in a competitive market. Mr. Pettinella's agreement also includes non-compete and confidentiality provisions, and the Compensation Committee and the full Board also believe that these commitments are of significant value to the Company and its stockholders.

Table of Contents

Change in Control Arrangements

In 1999, the Compensation Committee and the full Board determined that it was in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders to assure that the Company will have the continued dedication of its key executives and employees in the event of a threat or occurrence of a change in control. They continue to believe that it is in the best interests of the stockholders to diminish the inevitable distraction of these individuals because of personal uncertainties and risks created by possible consolidation in the REIT industry and to encourage the executives' full attention and dedication to the Company's business currently and in the event of any threatened or pending change in control. As a result, the Company adopted an Executive Retention Plan that provides for severance benefits to the Company's officers, including the Named Executive Officers, and certain employees, upon a change in control. The Compensation Committee and the full Board believe that the triggering events stipulated in the Executive Retention Plan for equity acceleration are appropriate so that key executives and employees remain with the Company despite a climate of industry consolidation.

In 2011, the Board approved the adoption of an Amended and Restated Executive Retention Plan. The revised plan eliminated the excise tax gross-up payment to certain executives, including the Named Executive Officers, and the rights, under the earlier plan, of those executives to terminate employment for any reason during a 30-day window following the one-year anniversary of the change in control. The Compensation Committee and the full Board have reviewed the change in control plans of the Multifamily Peer Group described above and determined that the arrangements under the Executive Retention Plan as revised are competitive with those of other companies in the REIT industry. Severance benefits for the Named Executive Officers under the revised Executive Retention Plan provide that if, within two years following a change in control, an executive's employment is terminated by the Company other than for cause, or by the executive with good reason, the executive is eligible to receive: (1) two times base salary and two times the greater of: (a) the target bonus for the year termination occurs; or (b) the average bonus paid to the executive in the three years prior to termination; and (2) payment of accrued/deferred bonus amounts. In addition, all stock options, restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs outstanding become fully vested. Performance-Based RSUs vest at the greater of: (i) the target amount of the awards; or (ii) a pro-rata amount based on the performance from the commencement of the performance period through the termination date.

Pursuant to the 2010 Employment Agreement, the benefits to be paid to the Chief Executive Officer under the Executive Retention Plan are the same as those provided in the Executive Retention Plan to other Named Executive Officers, except that the Chief Executive Officer is paid three times his base salary and three times the bonus amount. The Compensation Committee believes that this level of change in control severance benefit is appropriate to ensure Mr. Pettinella's full attention to the Company's business and the stockholders' best interests in light of the consolidation environment in the REIT industry and in order to be competitive with the benefits provided by other companies in the REIT industry.

A more detailed description of the Executive Retention Plan and a schedule showing the amount of estimated payments and benefits payable to the Named Executive Officers upon various termination scenarios and a change in control are disclosed under "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 41.

Tax Implications - Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, limits the deductibility on the Company's tax return of compensation over \$1 million to any of the Named Executive Officers of the Company unless, in general, the compensation is paid pursuant to a plan which is performance related, non-discretionary and has been approved by the Company's stockholders. The Company believes that, because it qualifies as a REIT under the Code and pays dividends sufficient to minimize federal income taxes, the payment of compensation that does not satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) will generally not affect the Company's net income. The Compensation Committee's

compensation policy and practices therefore are not directly guided by considerations relating to Section 162(m).

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee,

Leonard F. Helbig, III, Chair

Charles J. Koch

Thomas P. Lydon, Jr.

2012 SUMMARY COMPENSATION INFORMATION

As described in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” the Named Executive Officers are compensated with a combination of salary, non-equity incentive compensation, equity compensation and certain other benefits. Perquisites are not provided to executives unless they also are available to all other full-time employees of the Company.

Of the Named Executive Officers, only Edward Pettinella has an employment agreement. The level of incentive compensation and equity grants are, pursuant to the terms of both the 2004 Employment Agreement and the 2010 Employment Agreement, to be determined by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. There are no minimum or maximum levels provided in either agreement, other than his current base salary of \$550,000 is set as the minimum level for that component of his compensation under the 2010 Employment Agreement.

Amounts listed in the table under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation represent payments received by the Named Executive Officers under the Bonus Plan for services rendered in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Payment of the 2012 amount was approved by the Compensation Committee (and, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors) at their February 2013 meetings and payment was made in February 2013. The Bonus Plan is described in more detail in the above “Compensation Discussion and Analysis.”

As described above in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” the Board approved the new “forward-looking” 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program in November 2011 with the initial grants made to the executive officers of the Company in February 2012. The new program provides for a three-year rolling period with the first period running from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014. Under the new program, 75% of the total value awarded is issued in the form of Performance-Based RSUs with the remainder being Service-Based RSUs.

As also described above in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” a final equity grant was made in 2012 under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program, pursuant to which grants were provided each year to reward participants for performance delivered in the prior year. The amounts listed under the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table include the value of the restricted stock award made in 2012 and the amounts listed under the Option Award column reflect the value of options granted in 2012, both of which were for services rendered in 2011.

Pursuant to the Deferred Bonus Plan, eligible employees, including the Named Executive Officers, can elect to defer up to 100% of their bonus under the Bonus Plan for three, five or ten years. The Company matches 10% of the amount deferred (referred to as the “10% Company Match”), which amounts vest after three years. A “phantom” stock account is established for both amounts. Each deferral and 10% Company Match is reflected by crediting those

accounts with the number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that could be purchased with the amounts deferred and contributed at the Common Stock's fair market value as of the day before the bonus would otherwise have been paid. The equivalent of dividends on those shares is also credited to the accounts at the time dividends are paid on the Company's Common Stock. Shares that could be purchased with the hypothetical dividends are credited to accounts at the same price that shares are purchased for participants under the dividend

Table of Contents

reinvestment feature of the Company's DRIP. Payments out of deferred accounts, upon vesting or otherwise, are made by issuance of Common Stock, except in the event of payment by reason of a change in control in which event payment may be made in cash or by issuance of Common Stock at the election of the Compensation Committee.

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned by the Named Executive Officers during 2010, 2011 and 2012. There are no amounts to report in the Bonus and Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings columns so they have not been included. Annual cash incentives under the Company's Bonus Plan, whether paid or deferred, are listed below under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(2)	Stock Awards (\$)(3)	Option Awards (\$)(4)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(5)	All Other Compensation (\$)(6)	Total (\$)
Edward J. Pettinella, President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	550,000	3,682,254	649,995	950,400	155,775	5,988,424
	2011	550,000	1,237,454	412,497	894,630	129,438	3,224,019
	2010	550,000	1,089,993	329,999	463,335	110,258	2,543,585
David P. Gardner, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	347,917	1,211,874	199,998	449,334	59,466	2,268,589
	2011	339,768	588,116	159,369	415,265	58,794	1,561,312
	2010	338,888	427,223	125,748	197,650	49,478	1,138,987
Ann M. McCormick, Executive Vice President and General Counsel	2012	300,000	825,672	131,244	385,560	41,330	1,683,806
	2011	296,875	371,236	123,748	361,505	45,428	1,198,792
	2010	285,000	326,204	108,750	166,222	41,409	927,585
John E. Smith, Senior Vice President	2012	272,917	706,039	131,244	232,525	44,061	1,386,786
	2011	259,792	348,077	99,371	208,561	35,712	951,513
	2010	240,000	213,735	71,249	108,872	29,871	663,727
Bernard J. Quinn Senior Vice President	2012	249,333	560,025	87,496	215,125	23,875	1,135,854
Scott A. Doyle, Senior Vice President(1)	2012	183,333	740,181	131,244	-	482,092	1,536,850
	2011	271,875	365,158	116,246	219,485	39,863	1,012,627
	2010	260,000	268,390	87,499	117,944	33,083	766,916

(1) Mr. Doyle's employment with the Company terminated on August 31, 2012.

(2) This represents actual cash compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers, including any mid-year salary changes which may have been retroactive.

(3) This column represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock and RSUs granted in the year indicated in accordance with ASC Topic 718 except, pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Fair value for restricted stock is calculated using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the grant date. Fair value for RSUs was estimated on the date of grant using a multifactor Monte Carlo simulation model, which takes into account the DERs associated with the RSUs. For additional information, refer to Note 13 of the Company's financial statements in the 2012 Form 10-K. To the extent that a Named Executive Officer has elected to participate in the Deferred Bonus Plan, this column also includes the value of the 10% Company Match. Of the amounts listed in this column, the following amounts represent that Match for 2010 and 2011 respectively: Mr. Doyle \$5,897 and \$16,461. The first grants made under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program (for the period 2012-2014) were made in 2012. Recognizing that the awards under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program were forward-looking, the Compensation Committee and the Board also in 2012 approved a grant related to 2011 service in the form of shares of restricted stock under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program. Of the amounts listed in this column for 2012, the following amounts relate to the restricted stock issued to the Named Executive Officers under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program in 2012 for service in 2011: Mr. Pettinella \$1,949,997; Mr. Gardner \$599,960; Mrs. McCormick \$393,732; Mr. Smith \$443,728; Mr. Quinn \$262,466; and Mr. Doyle \$393,732. The remaining value

Table of Contents

relates to the RSUs granted under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program, except Mr. Quinn's total includes an additional value of \$99,947 relating to a one-time grant of restricted stock.

(4) This column represents the grant date fair value on the date of issue of options granted in the year indicated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. This value was calculated using the Black-Scholes formula. The formula resulted in a grant date fair value of \$9.32 per share for 2012. For additional information on the valuation assumptions with respect to the 2012 grants, refer to Note 13 of the Company's financial statements in the 2012 Form 10-K. These options were granted in 2012 for service in 2011, in 2011 for service in 2010 and in 2010 for service in 2009.

(5) This column represents the payments received by the Named Executive Officers for services rendered in the year indicated pursuant to the Company's Bonus Plan. The following Named Executive Officer deferred a portion of the 2010 and 2011 payment pursuant to the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan as follows: Mr. Doyle \$58,972 and \$164,613. The gross payment (before deferral) is listed in this column. The payment was actually received by the Named Executive Officer in the year following the year in which the services were rendered.

(6) This column represents (a) \$7,350 a year for 2010 and 2011 for each of the Named Executive Officers and \$7,500 for Mr. Smith's 2012 contribution under the Company's 401(k) Savings Plan plus (b) dividends paid in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively on all shares of restricted stock held by each of the Named Executive Officers as follows: Mr. Pettinella \$102,908, \$122,088 and \$155,775; Mr. Gardner \$41,897, \$51,444 and \$59,466; Mrs. McCormick \$33,492, \$37,858 and \$41,142; Mr. Smith \$22,671, \$28,362 and \$36,561; Mr. Quinn (2012 only) \$23,875; Mr. Doyle \$23,825, \$30,117 and \$39,881 plus (c) the value of all hypothetical dividends paid in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively on the 10% Company Match shares in the accounts of the following Named Executive Officers pursuant to the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan: Mr. Gardner \$231, \$0 and \$0; Mrs. McCormick \$567, \$220 and \$188; and Mr. Doyle \$1,908, \$2,396 and \$2,091 plus (d) severance payments to Mr. Doyle in the amount of \$440,120.

2012 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS INFORMATION

The following table provides information about plan-based awards granted to the Named Executive Officers in 2012. These awards consist of cash paid pursuant to the Bonus Plan, stock options, restricted stock, RSUs and, if applicable, the value of the 10% Company Match made pursuant to the Deferred Bonus Plan. There are no amounts to be reported in the Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards column for 2012 so it has not been included.

All stock options, shares of restricted stock and RSUs were issued pursuant to the Company's 2011 Stock Benefit Plan.

Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Individuals receiving restricted stock awards have voting rights and are entitled to receive dividends or dividend equivalents prior to vesting. Individuals receiving RSUs have no voting rights, but each RSU has a dividend equivalent right associated with it.

Stock options vest 20% per year over the first five years of the ten-year option term and restricted stock grants vest 25% per year over a four-year period. Service-Based RSUs vest over three years. Fifty percent of earned Performance-Based RSUs vest at the end of the applicable performance period and fifty percent vest one year later.

The phantom shares issued in connection with the 10% Company Match vest after three years. The only criteria for vesting is continued employment.

In the event of termination of employment due to total disability, death, or retirement, stock options vest immediately and are exercisable for the lesser of one year or the remaining option term, except that for executive officers, stock options do not vest automatically upon retirement but continue to vest as scheduled and are

Table of Contents

exercisable for the remaining option term. Additionally, in the event the Company terminates the employment of an option holder for any reason except “good cause,” stock options vest immediately and are exercisable for the lesser of one year or the remaining option term. Upon a change in control, stock options and restricted stock outstanding as of the change in control date vest immediately, except for equity issued under the Company’s 2000 Stock Benefit Plan, which requires termination of employment for vesting to occur. Restricted stock vests upon termination of employment due to total disability or death. In the event of retirement, restricted stock awards continue to vest as scheduled. Service-Based RSUs vest upon termination of employment due to disability, death, termination by the Company without cause and a change in control. In the event of retirement, Service-Based RSUs continue to vest as scheduled. Performance-Based RSUs vest upon termination of employment due to disability, death, termination by the Company without cause and change of control at the greater of: (i) the target number of the Performance-Based Award; and (ii) a pro rata number of the Performance-Based Award based on performance from the commencement of the applicable performance period to the date of termination. In the event of retirement, the right to the Performance-Based RSUs is retained through the performance period as if the retirement had not occurred and vest at the end of the performance period based on performance during the entire performance period.

2012 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Incentive Plan Threshold (\$)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Awards(1) Target (\$)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Awards(2) Maximum (\$)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Incentive Plan Threshold (#)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Awards(2) Target (#)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Awards(2) Maximum (#)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units(3)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Underlying Options(4)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)(5)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(6)
Edward J. Pettinella		330,000	660,000	990,000							
	2/14/12				8,113	24,339	40,564	8,112			1,732,257
	5/8/12								69,742	63.69	649,995
	5/8/12							30,617			1,949,997
David P. Gardner		156,563	313,125	469,688							
	2/14/12				2,866	8,598	14,329	2,865			611,914
	5/8/12								21,459	63.69	199,998
	5/8/12							9,420			599,960
Ann M. McCormick		135,000	270,000	405,000							
	2/14/12				2,023	6,069	10,115	2,022			431,940
	5/8/12								14,082	63.69	131,244
	5/8/12							6,182			393,732

John E. Smith									
	81,875	163,750	245,625						
2/14/12				1,229	3,686	6,143	1,228		262,311
5/8/12								14,082	63.69
5/8/12							6,967		443,728

Bernard J. Quinn									
	74,800	149,600	224,400						
2/14/12				925	2,776	4,627	925		197,612
5/8/12								9,388	63.69
5/8/12							4,121		262,466
11/6/12							1,627		99,947

Scott A. Doyle									
	55,000	110,000	165,000						
2/14/12				1,623	4,868	8,113	1,622		346,449
5/8/12								14,082	63.69
5/8/12							6,182		393,732

Table of Contents

- (1) These columns represent amounts that could have been paid to the Named Executive Officers under the Company's Bonus Plan for services rendered in 2012. That Plan is described in more detail in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The actual amounts paid in February 2013 for services rendered in 2012 are listed under 2012 in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 33.
- (2) Amounts shown reflect the Threshold, Target, and Maximum awards for Performance-Based RSUs under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program, which is described in detail in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 14.
- (3) This column represents restricted stock awarded to each of the Named Executive Officers in May 2012 under the Prior Service-Based Equity Program and the Service-Based RSUs awarded to each of the Named Executive Officers in February 2012 under the 2011 Executive Performance-Based Equity Program. Mr. Quinn also received a one-time grant of restricted stock in November 2012.
- (4) This column represents options granted to the Named Executive Officers in 2012.
- (5) The exercise price is the closing price (\$63.69) on the grant date (May 8, 2012) as provided in the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan.
- (6) For stock options, grant date fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes formula. For additional information on the valuation assumptions, refer to Note 13 of the Company's financial statements in the 2012 Form 10-K. For options, the Black-Scholes formula resulted in a grant date fair value of \$9.32 per share. For restricted stock, the grant date fair value is calculated using the closing price of a share of the Company's Common Stock on the award date (May 8, 2012 - \$63.69; November 6, 2012 - \$61.43). Fair value for RSUs was estimated on the date of grant using a multifactor Monte Carlo simulation model, which takes into account the DERs associated with the RSUs. For additional information on the valuation assumptions, refer to Note 13 of the Company's financial statements in the 2012 Form 10-K. For restricted stock units, the Monte Carlo simulation model formula resulted in a weighted average grant date fair value of \$53.39 per share. The grant date fair value for the option grants, restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards are computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718.

Table of Contents

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2012

The following table provides information about unexercised options, restricted stock and RSUs that have not vested and were issued under the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan or previous stock benefit plans. It also includes all phantom shares in the Named Executive Officers' accounts under the Deferred Bonus Plan that were credited to the accounts as a result of the 10% Company Match but only to the extent that the phantom shares have not vested. There are no unearned options or shares under the Company's equity incentive plans so related columns are not included.

Name	Option Awards(1)				Stock Awards				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Unexercisable)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	
Edward J. Pettinella	50,000	-	36.85	08/05/13	70,073	(4) 4,296,176	40,564	(4) 2,486,979	
	55,000	-	38.83	08/03/14					
	65,000	-	41.95	05/06/15					
	65,000	-	51.06	05/04/16					
	64,864	-	55.50	05/01/17					
	65,544	16,385	52.56	05/01/18					
	74,874	49,915	33.90	05/11/19					
	31,884	47,826	49.35	05/11/20					
	8,291	33,166	62.09	05/10/21					
	-	69,742	63.69	05/08/22					
David P. Gardner	2,713	-	36.85	08/05/13	25,757	(5) 1,579,162	14,329	(5) 878,511	
	15,000	-	38.83	08/03/14					
	25,000	-	41.95	05/06/15					
	25,000	-	51.06	05/04/16					
	30,486	-	55.50	05/01/17					
	30,812	7,702	52.56	05/01/18					
	28,532	19,020	33.90	05/11/19					
	12,150	18,224	49.35	05/11/20					
	3,203	12,814	62.09	05/10/21					
	-	21,459	63.69	05/08/22					

Ann M.										
McCormick	8,900	-	36.85	08/05/13	17,665	(6)	1,083,041	10,115	(6)	620,151
	15,000	-	38.83	08/03/14						
	20,000	-	41.95	05/06/15						
	20,000	-	51.06	05/04/16						
	24,972	-	55.50	05/01/17						
	25,237	6,309	52.56	05/01/18						
	23,370	15,579	33.90	05/11/19						
	10,508	15,760	49.35	05/11/20						
	2,487	9,950	62.09	05/10/21						
	-	14,082	63.69	05/08/22						

Table of Contents

Name	Option Awards(1)				Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Stock Awards				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Unexercisable)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date		Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)(3)		
John E. Smith	17,837	-	55.50	05/01/17	15,720	(7)	963,793	6,143	(7)	376,627
	16,845	4,211	52.56	05/01/18						
	-	10,777	33.90	05/11/19						
	-	10,326	49.35	05/11/20						
	1,997	7,990	62.09	05/10/21						
	-	14,082	63.69	05/08/22						
Bernard J. Quinn	10,000	-	41.95	05/06/15	11,494	(8)	704,697	4,627	(8)	283,681
	10,000	-	51.06	05/04/16						
	10,810	-	55.50	05/01/17						
	10,209	2,552	52.56	05/01/18						
	11,345	7,562	33.90	05/11/19						
	4,831	7,246	49.35	05/11/20						
	1,381	5,528	62.09	05/10/21						
	-	9,388	63.69	05/08/22						
Scott A. Doyle	14,415	-	55.50	09/12/13	-	-	-	-	-	-
	20,520	-	52.56	09/12/13						
	26,281	-	33.90	09/12/13						
	21,135	-	49.35	09/12/13						
	11,683	-	62.09	09/12/13						
	14,082	-	63.69	09/12/13						

(1) All option grants have a ten-year term and vest pro rata as to 20% of the option grant beginning on the first anniversary of grant date, thus the vesting dates for each of the option awards in this table can be calculated

accordingly.

(2) This column represents restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs. The market value is the closing price of a share of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2012 (\$61.31).

(3) This column represents Performance-Based RSUs as if they were earned at the Maximum level for the 2012-2014 performance period. For fiscal year 2012, the Absolute TSR performance was at the Maximum level, the Relative All Equity TSR was below Threshold and the Relative Apartment Index TSR was at the Maximum level, resulting in a combined performance level between Target and Maximum. Since the performance period is a three-year period, this does not indicate what the final actual results might be. The market value is the closing price of a share of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2012 (\$61.31).

(4) Mr. Pettinella's restricted stock will vest as follows: 2,704 shares on 2/14/2013, 2/14/2014 and 2/14/2015; 7,655 shares on 5/8/2013; 5,353 shares on 5/11/2013; 5,522 shares on 5/11/2013; 4,982 shares on each of 5/11/2013 and 5/11/2014; 7,654 shares on each of 5/8/2014, 5/8/2015 and 5/8/2016; 5,521 shares on 5/11/2014 and 4,984 shares on 5/11/2015. Mr. Pettinella's Performance-Based Awards will vest as follows: 20,282 shares on each of 2/14/2015 and 2/14/2016.

Table of Contents

(5) Mr. Gardner's restricted stock will vest as follows: 955 shares on 2/14/2013, 2/14/2014 and 2/14/2015; 2,355 shares on each of 5/8/2013, 5/8/2014, 5/8/2015 and 5/8/2016; 2,040 shares on 5/11/13; 2,368 shares on each of 5/11/2013, 5/11/2014 and 5/11/2015; 2,164 shares on each of 5/11/2013 and 5/11/2014. Mr. Gardner's Performance-Based Awards will vest as follows: 7,165 shares on 2/14/2015 and 7,164 shares on 2/14/2016.

(6) Mrs. McCormick's restricted stock will vest as follows: 674 shares on 2/14/2013, 2/14/2014 and 2/14/2015; 1,546 shares on each of 5/8/2013 and 5/8/2015; 1,671 shares on 5/11/2013; 1,653 shares on 5/11/2013; 1,494 shares on each of 5/11/2013 and 5/11/2014; 1,545 shares on each of 5/8/2014 and 5/8/2016; 1,652 shares on 5/11/2014 and 1,497 shares on 5/11/2015. Mrs. McCormick's Performance-Based Awards will vest as follows: 5,058 shares on 2/14/2015 and 5,057 shares on 2/14/2016.

(7) Mr. Smith's restricted stock will vest as follows: 409 shares on 2/14/2013 and 2/14/2014; 1,742 shares on each of 5/8/2013, 5/8/2014 and 5/8/2015; 1,155 shares on 5/11/2013; 1,083 shares on 5/11/2013; 1,401 shares on each of 5/11/2013 and 5/11/2014; 1,082 shares on 5/11/2014; 410 shares on 2/14/2015; 1,403 shares on 5/11/2015 and 1,741 shares on 5/8/2016. Mr. Smith's Performance-Based Awards will vest as follows: 3,072 shares on 2/14/2015 and 3,071 shares on 2/14/2016.

(8) Mr. Quinn's restricted stock will vest as follows: 308 shares on 2/14/2013 and 2/14/2014; 1,031 shares on 5/8/2013; 811 shares on 5/11/2013; 760 shares on 5/11/2013; 830 shares on each of 5/11/2013 and 5/11/2014; 406 shares on each of 11/6/2013, 11/6/2014 and 11/6/2015; 1,030 shares on each of 5/8/2014, 5/8/2015 and 5/8/2016; 759 shares on 5/11/2014; 309 shares on 2/14/2015; 831 shares on 5/11/2015 and 409 shares on 11/6/2016. Mr. Quinn's Performance-Based Awards will vest as follows: 2,314 shares on 2/14/2015 and 2,313 shares on 2/14/2016.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2012

The following table provides information for each of the Named Executive Officers concerning the following events that occurred during 2012: exercises of stock options, vesting of restricted stock, RSUs and phantom shares deposited in certain of the Named Executive Officer's deferred bonus accounts as the 10% Company Match and dividends on the 10% Company Match. The table reports the number of securities for which the options were exercised, the aggregate dollar value realized upon exercise of options, the number of shares of stock (including phantom shares) that have vested and the aggregate dollar value realized upon vesting of stock (including phantom shares).

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(2)
Edward J. Pettinella	50,000	1,320,028	18,795	1,179,428
David P. Gardner	24,126	596,372	7,952	499,131
Ann M. McCormick	21,100	575,264	5,947	373,370
John E. Smith	8,830	173,001	4,394	275,794
Bernard J. Quinn	19,000	438,152	2,900	182,023
Scott A. Doyle	57,446	1,087,512	21,481	1,357,920

(1) The dollar amount realized upon exercise was computed by multiplying the number of shares times the difference between the market price of the underlying securities and the exercise price of the options.

(2) The aggregate dollar amount realized upon vesting was computed by multiplying the number of shares of stock by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date.

39

Table of Contents

PENSION BENEFITS

The Company does not maintain a defined benefit pension plan or supplemental pension plan.

2012 NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

A description of the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan is included in "2012 Summary Compensation Information" beginning on page 32.

Name	Executive Contributions in 2012(\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in 2012(\$)(2)	Aggregate Earnings in 2012(\$)(3)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2012(\$)(4)	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/12(\$)(5)
Edward J. Pettinella	-	-	-	-	-
David P. Gardner	-	-	-	-	-
Ann M. McCormick	-	188	1,876	-	49,237
John E. Smith	-	-	-	-	-
Bernard J. Quinn	-	-	-	-	-
Scott A. Doyle	-	2,091	32,302	-	864,834

(1) This column represents deferral of a portion of the bonus paid under the Bonus Plan in February 2013 for services rendered in 2012. None of the Named Executive Officers deferred any portion of their 2012 bonus paid in 2013.

(2) This column represents value of all hypothetical dividends paid in 2012 on all shares in the Named Executive Officer's deferred bonus account as a result of a 10% Company Match. Of the amounts listed above, the following amounts were also reported in the Summary Compensation Table for 2012: Mrs. McCormick \$188; and Mr. Doyle \$2,091. If any Named Executive Officers had deferred any portion of their 2012 bonus paid in 2013, the 10% Company Match made in February 2013 relating to the amounts deferred as described in footnote (1) would also be included this column.

(3) This column represents the value of all hypothetical dividends on all shares in the Named Executive Officer's deferred bonus accounts except for the shares related to the 10% Company Match which are already included as described in footnote (2) above.

(4) The amounts listed in this column represent the value of the phantom stock on the day prior to the issue date, which includes the value of the deferred amount, the 10% Company Match, hypothetical dividends reinvested and appreciation. There were no distributions in 2012.

(5) The total includes the following amounts also reported on the "Summary Compensation Table" for 2012: Mrs. McCormick \$188; and Mr. Doyle \$2,091. It also includes the following amounts that were listed as "bonus" in prior years' proxy statements: Mrs. McCormick \$13,300; and Mr. Doyle \$489,932.

Table of Contents

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Other than Mr. Pettinella, none of the Named Executive Officers have employment agreements which provide for any cash payment or other benefits in the event of the termination of employment. Any rights that any of the Named Executive Officers have to such payments and benefits are the result of provisions in the various compensation plans that are available to certain other salaried employees of the Company. Those compensation plans and the Named Executive Officers' rights thereunder are described below.

In addition to the rights available under those plans, Mr. Pettinella has contractual rights pursuant to the terms of his 2010 Employment Agreement, which were used in preparing the table on page 43. That employment agreement provides that, if his employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Pettinella for good reason, he is entitled to receive a lump sum amount equal to 2.9 times: (i) his base salary; and (ii) the greater of: (a) his targeted incentive compensation for the year of termination; and (b) the average incentive compensation paid to Mr. Pettinella for services rendered in the three years prior to termination (the "Severance Benefits"). In the year following termination, he also is to be paid the amount of annual cash incentive compensation that he would have earned at the target level pro-rated for the portion of the year that he was an employee. In the event of the termination of his employment by reason of death or disability, he is entitled to 1.0 times the Severance Benefits. In addition, upon the occurrence of any of the termination events described above all options become exercisable and remain so for one year and all restricted shares held by Mr. Pettinella vest. Performance-based awards vest at the greater of: (i) the target amount (if any); and (ii) a

Table of Contents

pro-rata amount based on performance from the commencement of the performance period through the date of termination. He is also entitled to the continuance of his fringe benefits for two years following termination. In the event of a change in control, Mr. Pettinella is entitled to receive the benefits provided under the Executive Retention Plan (described below), except he would receive three times his base salary and bonus amount instead of two times as provided to certain other beneficiaries of that plan. In the case of retirement, he only is entitled to benefits generally provided to other salaried employees as described below.

The terms of the 2010 Employment Agreement are described under “Employment Agreements” on page 30.

Change in Control

The Company’s Executive Retention Plan provides for severance benefits and other compensation to virtually all of the corporate staff of the Company in the event of a change in control of the Company and a subsequent termination of their employment, either by the Company without cause or by the employee with good reason. The Executive Retention Plan was amended in 2011 to eliminate: (i) the right of certain officers of the Company, including the Named Executive Officers, to receive benefits under the Executive Retention Plan if they elect to terminate their employment for any reason during a 30-day window following the one-year anniversary of the change in control; and (ii) the right of the Named Executive Officers and other members of senior management to receive a gross-up payment in the amount necessary to pay any excise tax due on the severance payment.

The level of benefits to be received under the Executive Retention Plan varies depending on the bonus level of the individual pursuant to the Company’s Bonus Plan. In all cases, regardless of bonus level, employees are entitled to receive in a lump sum their base salary accrued through the termination date and to be paid in a lump sum all other amounts earned, accrued or deferred under the Bonus Plan and other compensation plans.

In addition to the above, upon a termination following a change in control, employees are entitled to receive in a lump sum a multiple of their current cash compensation ranging from a minimum of one month’s salary for every year employed (with a minimum of two months and a maximum of 24 months) up to a maximum of two times their current annual salary. In addition, certain employees are entitled to receive two times the greater of: (i) the employee’s target annual cash incentive compensation for services rendered in the year of termination; or (ii) the average annual cash incentive compensation paid to the employee for services rendered in the three years prior to termination. The Named Executive Officers, along with approximately 40 other employees, are entitled to the maximum cash benefits. Mr. Pettinella is entitled to three times salary and the bonus amount pursuant to his 2010 Employment Agreement as described above. In addition, upon termination following a change in control, all options, restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs vest. Upon termination following a change in control, Performance-Based RSUs vest at the greater of: (i) the target number of the Performance-Based Award; and (ii) a pro-rata number of the Performance-Based Award based on performance from the commencement of the performance period to the date of termination.

Stock Benefit Plans

Under the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan, in the event of the termination of employment by the Company without good cause, and upon termination by reason of disability, death or retirement, all options become fully exercisable and remain so for one year, except that options held by the executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, do not vest upon retirement but continue to vest on their original terms. Shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs, including those held by the Named Executive Officers, vest upon termination of employment by the Company without good cause and upon termination by reason of disability or death but remain in place on their original terms upon retirement. In the event of termination of employment by the Company without good cause and upon termination by reason of death or disability, Performance-Based RSUs vest at the greater of: (i) the target amount of

the awards; or (ii) a pro-rata amount based on the performance from the commencement of the performance period through the termination date. In the event of retirement, the right to Performance-Based RSUs is retained through the performance period as if the retirement had not occurred and vest at the end of the performance period based on performance during the entire performance period.

No additional grants are being made under the Company's prior stock benefit plans, but there are awards still outstanding under those plans. Under the 2000 and 2003 plans, options held for more than six months (one year with respect to the 2008 Plan) by the Named Executive Officers become fully exercisable and remain so for three months (one year with respect to the 2003 and 2008 plans) following a termination by the Company without good cause. Upon death, disability or retirement, all options become fully exercisable and remain so for a period of one year in the case of disability and death and three months (one year with respect to the 2003 Stock Benefit Plan) in the case of retirement. Options and restricted stock issued under the 2003 Stock Benefit Plan and held upon retirement by executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, receive the same treatment as under the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan.

Miscellaneous Benefits

The termination of employment for any reason also triggers certain events under the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan and 401(k) Savings Plan. In addition, the termination of employment, by reason of disability or death, triggers benefits under disability and life insurance plans provided by the Company. The benefits payable to the Named Executive Officers under those plans are the same as those available to other salaried employees, so no amount in respect to those plans is reported on the table below.

The following table provides information about the estimated maximum amounts to be paid to the Named Executive Officers under various scenarios if they had occurred on December 31, 2012. As noted above, the amounts estimated for Mr. Pettinella are based on the terms of his 2010 Employment Agreement. The Named Executive Officers would not receive any payment in the event of a voluntary termination on their part or a termination for cause by the Company. Please note that the Executive Retention Plan was amended in 2011 to eliminate the right to receive a tax gross-up.

Table of Contents

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination						
Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination	Voluntary Termination (\$)	Involuntary Cause Termination (\$)	For Cause Termination (\$)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination (Change in Control) (\$)	Retirement (\$)	Death or Disability (\$)
Edward J. Pettinella						
Severance(1)	-	3,826,420	-	3,958,365	-	1,319,455
Accelerated Vesting of Long-Term Incentives(2)						
Other Benefits	-	6,866,699	-	7,871,937	-	7,871,937
	-	22,944	-	34,416	22,944	-
	-	10,716,063	-	11,864,718	22,944	9,191,392
David P. Gardner						
Severance	-	-	-	1,408,166	-	-
Accelerated Vesting of Long-Term Incentives(2)						
Other Benefits	-	2,522,573	-	2,912,995	-	2,912,995
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	2,522,573	-	4,321,161	-	2,912,995
Ann M. McCormick						
Severance	-	-	-	1,208,858	-	-
Accelerated Vesting of Long-Term Incentives(2)						
Other Benefits	-	1,820,766	-	2,125,845	-	2,125,845
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	1,820,766	-	3,334,703	-	2,125,845
John E. Smith						
Severance	-	-	-	916,639	-	-
Accelerated Vesting of Long-Term Incentives(2)						
Other Benefits	-	1,441,976	-	1,645,525	-	1,645,525
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	1,441,976	-	2,562,164	-	1,645,525
Bernard J. Quinn						
Severance	-	-	-	856,478	-	-
Accelerated Vesting of Long-Term Incentives(2)						
Other Benefits	-	1,048,307	-	1,191,159	-	1,191,159
	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	1,048,307	-	2,047,637	-	1,191,159

(1) This payment would be made pursuant to Mr. Pettinella's 2010 Employment Agreement and is based on his 2012 salary and the average of his bonuses paid for service in 2010, 2011 and 2012. This does not include the amount Mr. Pettinella would receive in the year following termination, which would equal his target incentive compensation for the year of termination pro-rated for the portion of the year that he was an employee.

(2) The vesting of options, restricted stock and restricted stock units upon the occurrence of certain termination triggers is made in accordance with the terms of the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan, the Company's prior stock benefit plans, the 2012 Restricted Stock Unit Master Agreement, or the Executive Retention Plan, as applicable. For options, the amount listed represents the gain realized for unvested stock option grants as of December 31, 2012, using a year-end closing stock price of \$61.31. For restricted stock and restricted stock units, the amount listed represents the number of unvested restricted shares or units as of December 31, 2012 multiplied by \$61.31.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION RISKS

The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of FPL, its independent compensation consultant, undertook a thorough review of all of the components of executive and non-executive compensation to determine whether any of those components were likely to encourage excessive risk taking that was not in the best interests of the Company and the stockholders. The Compensation Committee considered mitigating features of the various components which include the following.

- Base salary decisions are made based on competitive benchmarking by an independent compensation consultant, the results of which are reviewed by the Compensation Committee. The benchmarking analysis is completed on a routine basis based on the most recent data available in order to capture the dynamic competitive landscape. Further, the Company generally targets total compensation near the market median, of which base salary comprises a relatively limited portion of the overall total compensation opportunity.
- The Bonus Plan includes two performance metrics, both of which represent a significant contribution to stockholder value. Annual performance goals are recommended by management based on the budget for the year and then reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. This process provides the appropriate checks and balances to ensure adequate performance levels are established for the annual incentive plan.
- 100% of the CEO's payment under the Bonus Plan is in the Board's discretion and 50% of the payment to the senior executives of the Company is in the discretion of the Compensation Committee.
- Each of the senior executives executes a Bonus Repayment Agreement every year as described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" on page 25.
- Vesting schedules for restricted stock, RSUs and options cause management to hold a significant amount of unvested equity at all times and promotes a long-term view predicated on increasing stockholder value.
- Only the CEO has an employment agreement, which limits the Company's exposure to potential separation payments (outside of those described in "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" beginning on page 41).

After its comprehensive review, the Compensation Committee concluded that the risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

PROPOSAL 2
ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted in July 2010, and Section 14A of the Securities and Exchange Act, as amended, the Company is providing its stockholders with the opportunity to vote on a nonbinding, advisory basis, to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

At the 2011 Annual Stockholders Meeting, the stockholders cast an advisory vote on their preferred frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation. The Board of Directors recommended, and the stockholders indicated a preference for, an annual advisory vote on executive compensation. Following this vote, the next stockholder

advisory vote on executive compensation, therefore, will take place at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

As described in detail under the heading “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” we seek to closely align the interests of our Named Executive Officers with the interests of our stockholders. Our compensation programs are designed to reward our Named Executive Officers for the achievement of short-term and long-term strategic and operational goals and the achievement of increased total stockholder return, while at the same time avoiding the encouragement of unnecessary or excessive risk-taking.

The “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” describes in more detail the Company’s executive compensation program and decisions made with respect to 2012 executive compensation. Highlights include:

- In 2012, the Company initiated a new long-term incentive plan for its senior executives to put even more emphasis on performance as 75% of the equity awards are to be based on performance against predetermined goals for absolute and relative stockholder return over a three-year performance period.
 - In addition to the cash incentive compensation plan’s two main corporate/financial based metrics, which are designed to directly correlate to stockholder value creation, additional team-based and individual goals are included to ensure that management is focused on a variety of initiatives to position the Company for long-term success.
 - The Company has enacted Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines which require the Named Executive Officers and other executive officers to hold and retain significant equity value in the Company.
 - The three-year average “burn-rate” (options, restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs granted divided by the sum of the weighted average outstanding Common Stock and UPREIT Units) for equity issuance by the Company for 2010-2012 was approximately 1.06% (with each share of restricted stock and each Service-Based RSU issued counted as 2.5 units) demonstrating the Company’s recognition that equity-based compensation is a valuable and limited resource.
 - All of the Chief Executive Officer’s annual cash incentive is payable at the discretion of the Board of Directors and 50% of the other Named Executive Officers annual cash incentive is similarly in the discretion of the Compensation Committee.
 - Decisions relating to executive compensation are made by the Compensation Committee in a multi-step process over several meetings. The Compensation Committee has engaged independent outside consultants to assist it with benchmarking and other compensation related matters.
 - The Company has a robust performance evaluation process in place to evaluate the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers. The Compensation Committee uses the results of those evaluations in making compensation decisions.
 - The Compensation Committee’s philosophy is that the proportion of an individual’s total compensation that varies by Company performance should increase as the individual’s total compensation and business responsibilities increase. Consistent with this philosophy, the Chief Executive Officer’s base salary represented only 25% of his total compensation.
- The Company does not provide any Perquisites to its executives.
 - The Company does not maintain or contribute to any defined benefit pension plan for its executive officers.
 - As it has for several years, the Company requires its executive officers to execute a Bonus Repayment Agreement as a condition to receiving their cash incentive compensation. Under the agreement, the Company may recover cash

incentive compensation in the event of the restatement of financial results.

The vote on this resolution is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of the Named Executive Officers as described in this Proxy Statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The vote is advisory, which

Table of Contents

means that the vote is not binding on the Company, the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. To the extent there is any significant vote against the Named Executive Officer compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, the Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address the concerns of stockholders.

Accordingly, our stockholders are requested to vote on the following resolution at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

“RESOLVED, that the Company’s stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.”

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options(1)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Issuance(2)(3)
Options:			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,655,788	\$ 48.40	1,807,549
Total Options	2,655,788	\$ 48.40	1,807,549
Restricted Stock Awards:			
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	-	N/A	404,430
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	N/A	-
Total Restricted Stock Awards	-	N/A	404,430

(1) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options include 29,963 phantom shares deferred by officers under the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan and 69,484 phantom shares deferred by Directors under the Director Deferred Compensation Plan. The weighted average exercise price of outstanding options does not take these 99,447 deferred shares into account.

(2) This assumes that all 1,730,963 equity awards that are available under the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan are issued in the form of options. In that case, there would be no awards available for the issuance of restricted stock. There were 33,090 and 43,496 shares available for issuance under the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan and Director Deferred Compensation Plan, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

(3) This assumes that all 1,730,963 equity awards that are available under the 2011 Stock Benefit Plan are issued in the form of restricted stock. In that case, there would be no awards available for the issuance of options. Under the Plan, awards of restricted stock reduce the number of shares available for award by 4.28 shares for every one share awarded.

Table of Contents

SECURITIES OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Securities Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth information as of March 5, 2013 regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of Common Stock by: (i) Directors and continuing Named Executive Officers of the Company; and (ii) Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. The table also includes information relating to the number and percentage of shares of Common Stock and UPREIT Units beneficially owned by the persons included in (i) and (ii) above. UPREIT Units are exchangeable into shares of Common Stock or cash at the election of the Company. In preparing this table, the Company has relied on information supplied by its officers and Directors and upon information contained in filings with the SEC. The business address of each of the following Directors and executive officers is 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604.

Name of Owner	# of Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	% of Shares Outstanding(1)	# of Shares/ UPREIT Units Owned(2)	% of Shares/ UPREIT Units Outstanding(2)
Edward J. Pettinella(3)	778,873	1.490 %	778,873	1.243 %
Stephen R. Blank(4)	5,908	*	5,908	*
Alan L. Gosule(5)	65,009	*	65,009	*
Leonard F. Helbig, III(6)	74,828	*	74,828	*
Charles J. Koch(7)	14,938	*	14,938	*
Thomas P. Lydon, Jr.(8)	10,303	*	10,303	*
Clifford W. Smith, Jr.(9)	107,119	*	107,119	*
David P. Gardner(10)	272,520	*	275,751	*
Ann M. McCormick(11)	242,472	*	242,472	*
John E. Smith(12)	104,062	*	104,062	*
Bernard J. Quinn(13)	86,247	*	86,247	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (14 persons)	1,953,394 (14)	3.694 % (15)	1,956,625	3.091 % (16)

*Less than 1%

(1) Assumes that all currently exercisable options or options exercisable within 60 days ("Currently Exercisable Options") issued to the person have been exercised, that all shares of restricted stock awards and Service-Based RSUs

issued to the person have vested and that all shares in the person's account pursuant to the Company's Deferred Bonus Plan or Director Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Phantom Shares") that would be issued upon termination of service of the individual have been issued. The total number of shares outstanding used in calculating the percentage assumes that none of the options held by any other person have been exercised and that all of the shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs issued to any other person have vested and that all of the Phantom Shares that would be issued upon termination of service of the listed persons have been issued.

Table of Contents

- (2) Same assumptions as footnote (1) plus assumes that UPREIT Units issued to the person have been exchanged for shares of Common Stock (on a one-for-one basis) and that for purposes of calculating the percentage the total number of shares assumes that all of the UPREIT Units issued to any other person have been exchanged for shares of Common Stock.
- (3) Includes 496,842 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options and 75,152 shares of restricted stock awards and Service-Based RSUs. Of the scheduled shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Pettinella, 205,202 have been pledged as collateral.
- (4) Includes 4,269 shares of restricted stock.
- (5) Includes 35,244 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options and 6,937 shares of restricted stock.
- (6) Includes 5,508 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options. There are 459 additional shares in Mr. Helbig's account pursuant to the Director Deferred Compensation Plan that represent the 10% Company Match and which will not vest within 60 days. Mr. Helbig shares voting and dispositive power with his wife with respect to 4,532 shares. Of the scheduled shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Helbig, 18,000 have been pledged as collateral.
- (7) Includes 4,198 shares of restricted stock. Mr. Koch has advised the Board that he will not stand for re-election.
- (8) There are 197 additional shares in Mr. Lydon's account pursuant to the Director Deferred Compensation Plan that represents the 10% Company Match and which will not vest within 60 days. Includes 1,600 shares owned indirectly, over which Mr. Lydon has investment authority.
- (9) Includes 27,044 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options. There are 569 additional shares in Mr. Smith's account pursuant to the Director Deferred Compensation Plan that represent the 10% Company Match and which will not vest within 60 days. Of the scheduled shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Smith, 39,614 have been pledged as collateral.
- (10) Includes 180,598 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options and 27,632 shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs. Mr. Gardner shares voting and dispositive power with his spouse with respect to 63,739 shares of Common Stock, of which 40,005 have been pledged as collateral. The third and fourth columns also include 3,231 UPREIT Units owned by Mr. Gardner.
- (11) Includes 150,596 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options and 18,931 shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs. Mrs. McCormick shares voting and dispositive power with her spouse with respect to 71,745 shares of Common Stock, of which 25,000 have been pledged as collateral.
- (12) Includes 40,890 shares which may be acquired upon exercise of Currently Exercisable Options, 16,534 shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs and 1,365 shares held in Mr. Smith's account under the Company's 401(k) Savings Plan.
- (13) Includes 61,128 shares which may be acquired upon exercise of Currently Exercisable Options, 12,342 shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs and 655 shares held in Mr. Quinn's account under the Company's 401(k) Savings Plan.

(14) Includes 1,113,616 shares which may be acquired upon the exercise of Currently Exercisable Options and 193,932 shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs. In addition to the shares of Common Stock pledged as collateral as indicated in the footnotes above, 30,698 shares of Common Stock have been pledged as collateral by other executive officers of the Company.

Table of Contents

(15) Assumes that all Currently Exercisable Options issued to all listed persons have been exercised, that all shares of restricted stock and Service-Based RSUs issued to such persons have vested and that all Phantom Shares that would be issued upon the termination of services of such person have been issued.

(16) Same assumptions as footnote (15) plus assumes that all UPREIT Units issued to all listed persons have been exchanged for shares of Common Stock.

Security Ownership by Beneficial Owners of More than 5% of the Company's Common Stock

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by each person or entity known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock as of December 31, 2012. In preparing this table, the Company has relied on information contained in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock(1)
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	6,131,151 (2)	11.90 %
FRM LLC 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	5,274,063 (3)	10.24 %
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	4,171,001 (4)	8.10 %
Cohen & Steers, Inc. Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. 280 Park Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017	3,855,015 (5)	7.48 %
Vanguard Specialized Funds – Vanguard REIT Index Fund 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	3,303,033 (6)	6.41 %

(1) Percentage is based on actual number of shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and may be different than the percentage referenced in the reports described below.

(2) Based on a Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 9) filed by The Vanguard Group on February 11, 2013, reflecting that it beneficially owns 6,131,151 shares and has sole voting power with respect to 115,373 shares, shared

voting with respect to 37,200 shares, shared dispositive power with respect to 82,729 and sole dispositive power with respect to 6,048,422 shares.

(3) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by FMR LLC on January 10, 2013, reflecting that it beneficially owns 5,274,063 shares and has sole voting power with respect to 464,303 and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,274,063 shares.

(4) Based on a report on Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 4) filed by BlackRock, Inc. on February 1, 2013, reflecting that it beneficially owns and has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 4,171,001 shares.

Table of Contents

(5) Based on a report on Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 8) filed by Cohen & Steers, Inc. and Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. on February 14, 2013, reflecting that Cohen & Steers, Inc. beneficially owns and has sole dispositive power with respect to 3,855,015 shares and has sole voting power with respect to 1,753,486 and that Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. beneficially owns and has sole dispositive power with respect to 3,781,925 shares and sole voting power with respect to 1,713,228 shares.

(6) Based on a report on Schedule 13G (Amendment No. 3) filed by Vanguard Specialized Funds – Vanguard REIT Index Fund on February 14, 2013, reflecting that it beneficially owns and has sole voting power with respect to 3,303,033 shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's executive officers and Directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the NYSE. Officers, Directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its executive officers, Directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were satisfied, except that grant of 1,627 of shares of restricted stock to Bernard Quinn and the conversion of 2,649 phantom shares to common shares pursuant to the end of a deferral period for Leonard F. Helbig, III were not filed on a timely basis as a result of an oversight.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS, PROMOTERS AND CERTAIN CONTROL PERSONS

On July 6, 2009, Home Properties, L.P. entered into an Amended and Restated Lease Agreement (the "Lease") with Clinton Asset Holding Associates, L.P. ("CAHA") for 62,213 rentable square feet of office space in the Clinton Square building located in Rochester, New York. The office space will continue to be used by the Company as its corporate headquarters. The Lease amends and restates existing leases that the Company had for 75,296 rentable square feet in the same building. The term commenced on October 1, 2009 and extends to September 30, 2019 with two five-year renewal options, unless terminated earlier in accordance with the Lease's terms. The base rent payable under the Lease in 2012 was approximately \$1.4 million. The Lease contains customary commercial terms for office leases. Amy Tait, who was a Director of the Company until her resignation in November, 2012 and members of her immediate family collectively have an approximate 75% interest in CAHA. In addition, the Clinton Square building is managed by Broadstone Real Estate, LLC, which receives a management fee from the building owner. Amy Tait is an owner and director of Broadstone Real Estate, LLC. She is also the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of that entity. The Lease was approved by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee and non-interested members of the Board of Directors.

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR APPROVAL OF RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On an annual basis, each employee of the Company and each of the Directors is required to provide a written acknowledgement that he or she has reviewed the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. If an employee or Director, or member of his or her immediate family, is involved in any transaction or arrangement in which the Company is a participant, that individual is to provide a written disclosure of that transaction or arrangement. Pursuant to the Company's Related Party Transaction Policies and Procedures, any such disclosure

provided by an executive officer or Director is reviewed by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee of the Board and approved or disapproved. In determining whether to approve such a transaction, the Committee takes into account, among other factors, whether the transaction was on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to third parties and the extent of the executive officer's or Director's involvement.

All related party transactions which are required to be reported in this Proxy Statement were approved by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee pursuant to that policy.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPANY'S
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2013

The Audit Committee has appointed, and the Board of Directors has ratified, the appointment of the accounting firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (and its predecessor, Coopers & Lybrand, L.L.P.) has served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm since commencement of the Company's operations and is considered by the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors and management of the Company to be well qualified. The stockholders are being asked to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. If the stockholders fail to ratify this appointment, the Audit Committee may, but is not required to, reconsider whether to retain that firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if he or she so desires and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR THE 2013 FISCAL YEAR.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Audit Committee") is composed entirely of independent Directors as required by applicable securities laws and the current listing standards of the NYSE. Its members are identified at the end of this report. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Committee and the Board.

As described more fully in its charter, the Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing and financial reporting practices of the Company. Among other matters, the Audit Committee is responsible for the selection and oversight of the appointment, performance and compensation of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

The management of the Company is responsible for the preparation and integrity of the financial reporting information and related systems of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an integrated audit on the Company's consolidated financial statements, as well as on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Accounting Oversight Board (United States), and for issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee, in carrying out its role, relies on the Company's senior management and its independent public accountants.

During 2012, the Audit Committee met five times. The Audit Committee's meetings include, no less frequently than quarterly, executive sessions with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm without the presence of the Company's management, and sessions with the Company's management without the presence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also meets in executive session with the Company's Vice President-Internal Audit without the presence of the Company's other management.

As part of its oversight responsibility, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with both management and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, all annual and quarterly financial statements prior to their

issuance. Management advised the Audit Committee that each set of the Company's financial statements was prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that all significant accounting and disclosure issues were reviewed with the Audit Committee. In addition, the Audit Committee continued to monitor the scope and adequacy of the Company's internal audit program.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee also discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (Communication With Audit Committees). In addition, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm their independence from management and the Company.

All audit and non-audit services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the fees paid by the Company with respect to such services have been reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee, which has also considered whether the provision of any non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independent registered public accounting firm's independence and determined that such services were compatible with the maintenance of independence.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the Audit
Committee,

Stephen R. Blank, Chair
Alan L. Gosule
Charles J. Koch

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee pre-approves on an annual basis the provision of certain audit, audit-related and tax services specifically described to the Audit Committee. Any additional engagements require separate pre-approval. As permitted by the SEC's rules, the Audit Committee authorized its Chair, Stephen Blank, to approve any additional non-audit services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, provided that such service is permitted without impairing the firm's independence under applicable laws and regulations and reported to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting.

All of the services described below for 2012 and 2011 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee considered whether the provision of non-audit services by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP was compatible with maintenance of the firm's independence in the conduct of its audit function and determined that such services were compatible with the maintenance of independence.

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as of or for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, were:

	2012	2011
Audit fees (1)	\$893,279	\$840,501
Audit-related fees (2)	35,881	153,893

Tax fees (3)	140,400	135,500
All other fees (4)	54,444	54,444
Total fees	\$1,124,004	\$1,184,338

(1) Audit fees consisted of professional services rendered for the annual audits and quarterly reviews of the Company's consolidated financial statements as well as the audits of internal controls over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

(2) Audit-related fees consisted of assurance and related services in connection with the issuance of comfort letters, consents and assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC.

(3) Tax fees consisted of services related to preparation of tax returns of \$125,500 for 2012 and \$120,500 for 2011 and tax planning and tax advice \$14,900 for 2012 and \$15,000 for 2011.

(4) All other fees consisted of license fees for software developed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, including those that assisted with partner allocations for the Operating Partnership.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Solicitation of Proxies

The cost of solicitation of proxies in the form enclosed herewith will be paid by the Company. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, the Directors, officers and employees of the Company may also solicit proxies personally or by telephone without additional compensation for such activities. The Company will also request persons, firms and corporations holding shares in their names or in the names of their nominees, which are beneficially owned by others, to send proxy materials to and obtain proxies from such beneficial owners. The Company will reimburse such holders for their reasonable expenses.

Tabulation of Votes

As part of its responsibilities as the Company's transfer agent, Computershare Shareholder Services LLC will tabulate all stockholders' votes made by written, telephonic and Internet proxies.

Stockholder Proposals

A stockholder proposal submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act for inclusion in the Company's Proxy Statement and form of proxy for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by the Company by the close of business on December 1, 2013. Any proposal submitted outside the process of Rule 14a-8 received after February 12, 2014 will not, under the rules of the SEC, be considered timely for presentation at the 2014 Annual Meeting. A proposal must comply with the requirements as to form and substance established by the SEC for such a proposal to be included in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy, and the proponent or a representative of the proponent must attend the annual meeting to present the proposal.

Form 10-K

Copies of the 2012 Form 10-K may be obtained without charge from Shareholder Services, Home Properties, Inc., 850 Clinton Square, Rochester, New York 14604. A copy of the Form 10-K is also available through the Company's website at www.homeproperties.com or from the SEC at its website at www.sec.gov.

Other Matters

The Board of Directors does not know of any matters other than those described in this Proxy Statement which will be presented for action at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If other matters are presented, proxies will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the proxy holders.

REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU OWN, YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT TO THE COMPANY. PLEASE VOTE BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD TODAY.

Table of Contents
