

EUROSEAS LTD.  
Form 20-F  
May 28, 2010

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

---

FORM 20-F

---

(Mark One)

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report

Commission file number 001-33283

EUROSEAS LTD.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

Marshall Islands  
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

4 Messogiou & Evropis Street, 151 25 Maroussi Greece  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Tasos Aslidis, Tel: (908) 301-9091, Euroseas Ltd. c/o Tasos Aslidis,  
11 Canterbury Lane, Watchung, NJ 07069  
(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile, and address of Company Contact Person)

---

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common shares, \$0.03 par value	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None  
(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

30,849,711 Common shares, \$0.03 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
 Yes  No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.  
 Yes  No

Note – Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  
 Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

- U.S. GAAP
- International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the international Accounting Standards Board.
- Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17  Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes  No

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Forward-Looking Statements	1
 Part I	
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers	2
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	2
Item 3. Key Information	2
Item 4. Information on the Company	28
Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments	44
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	44
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	58
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	64
Item 8. Financial information	68
Item 9. The Offer and Listing	70
Item 10. Additional Information	68
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	78
Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities	79
 Part II	
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	80
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	80
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	80
Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert	82
Item 16B. Code of Ethics	82
Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	82
Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	83
Item 16E. Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	83
Item 16 F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant	83
Item 16 G. Corporate Governance	83
 Part III	
Item 17. Financial Statements	85
Item 18. Financial Statements	85
Item 19. Exhibits	85



## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Euroseas Ltd., or the Company, desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation. This annual report contains forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include information about possible or assumed future results of our operations or our performance. Words such as "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "anticipates," "estimates," and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify the forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, no assurance can be given that such expectations will prove to have been correct. These statements involve known and unknown risks and are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates which are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding:

- our future operating or financial results;
- future, pending or recent acquisitions, joint ventures, business strategy, areas of possible expansion, and expected capital spending or operating expenses;
- drybulk and container shipping industry trends, including charter rates and factors affecting vessel supply and demand;
- our financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to obtain additional financing in the future to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate activities;
  - availability of crew, number of off-hire days, drydocking requirements and insurance costs;
  - our expectations about the availability of vessels to purchase or the useful lives of our vessels;
  - our expectations relating to dividend payments and our ability to make such payments;
- our ability to leverage to our advantage our manager's relationships and reputations in the drybulk and container shipping industry;
  - changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns;
  - changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;
    - potential liability from future litigation;
    - global and regional political conditions;
  - acts of terrorism and other hostilities, including piracy; and
  - other factors discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors."

WE UNDERTAKE NO OBLIGATION TO PUBLICLY UPDATE OR REVISE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THIS ANNUAL REPORT, OR THE DOCUMENTS TO WHICH WE REFER YOU IN THIS ANNUAL REPORT, TO REFLECT ANY CHANGE IN OUR EXPECTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH STATEMENTS OR ANY CHANGE IN EVENTS, CONDITIONS OR CIRCUMSTANCES ON WHICH ANY STATEMENT IS BASED.



PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not Applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not Applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

Please note: Throughout this report, all references to "we," "our," "us" and the "Company" refer to Euroseas and its subsidiaries. We use the term deadweight ton, or dwt, in describing the size of vessels. Dwt, expressed in metric tons, each of which is equivalent to 1,000 kilograms, refers to the maximum weight of cargo and supplies that a vessel can carry. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to "dollars" and "\$" in this report are to, and amounts are presented in, U.S. dollars.

A. Selected Financial Data

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following information sets forth selected historical financial data for Euroseas. We derived this information from our audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 included in this annual report. The information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements and related notes, and our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained elsewhere herein. The historical financial and other data presented for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006 have been derived from audited financial statements not included in this Annual Report and are provided for comparison purposes. The historical results included below and elsewhere in this annual report are not indicative of our future performance.

As of January 1, 2009, the Company changed its accounting policy of drydocking costs from the deferral method, under which the Company amortized drydocking costs over the estimated period of benefit between drydockings, to the direct expense method, under which the Company expenses all drydocking costs as incurred. The Company believes that the direct expense method is preferable as it eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity involved in determining which costs and activities related to drydocking qualify for the deferral method.

The Company reflected this change as a change in accounting principles from an accepted accounting principle to a preferable accounting principle in accordance with guidance relating to Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The new accounting principle has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented.

See next page for table of Euroseas Ltd. – Summary of Selected Historical Financials.



Euroseas Ltd. – Summary of Selected Historical Financials  
Year Ended December 31,

	2005 (as adjusted)	2006 (as adjusted)	2007 (as adjusted)	2008 (as adjusted)	2009
<b>Income Statement Data</b>					
Voyage revenues	44,523,401	42,143,361	86,104,365	132,243,918	66,215,669
Commissions	(2,388,349 )	(1,829,534 )	(4,024,032 )	(5,940,460 )	(2,433,776 )
Net revenue	42,135,052	40,313,827	82,080,333	126,303,458	63,781,893
Voyage expenses	(670,551 )	(1,154,738 )	(897,463 )	(3,092,323 )	(1,510,551 )
Vessel operating expenses	(8,610,279 )	(10,368,817 )	(17,240,132 )	(27,521,194 )	(23,763,480 )
Drydocking expenses (5)	(1,076,233 )	(821,198 )	(5,770,007 )	(6,129,257 )	(1,912,474 )
Vessel depreciation (1)	(2,657,914 )	(6,277,328 )	(16,423,092 )	(28,284,752 )	(19,092,384 )
Management fees	(1,911,856 )	(2,266,589 )	(3,669,137 )	(5,387,415 )	(5,074,297 )
Other general and administration expenses	(420,755 )	(1,076,884 )	(2,656,176 )	(4,057,736 )	(3,640,534 )
Impairment loss	-	-	-	(25,113,364 )	-
Net gain (loss) on sale of vessels (5)	-	4,892,177	3,440,681	-	(8,959,321 )
Operating income(5)	26,787,464	23,240,450	38,865,007	26,717,417	22,429
Interest and other financing costs	(1,495,871 )	(3,398,858 )	(4,850,239 )	(2,930,737 )	(1,437,637 )
Interest income	460,457	870,046	2,357,633	3,168,501	1,123,317
Other income (loss)	(99,491 )	(1,598 )	90,920	(5,464,271 )	(15,335,613 )
Net income / (loss)(5)	25,652,559	20,710,040	36,463,321	21,490,910	(15,627,504 )
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>					
Current assets	25,350,707	9,975,596	118,307,463	92,538,220	58,933,240
Vessels, net	52,334,897	95,494,342	238,248,984	231,963,606	257,270,824
Deferred assets and other long term assets	201,405	11,021,531	9,419,852	8,716,960	7,214,230
Total assets	77,887,009	116,491,469	365,976,299	333,218,786	323,418,294
Current liabilities including current portion of long term debt	18,414,877	21,665,399	35,182,511	21,417,515	30,443,552
Long term debt, including current portion	48,560,000	74,950,000	81,590,000	56,015,000	71,515,000
Total liabilities	52,544,877	79,493,599	99,400,483	76,387,354	91,965,031
Common shares outstanding (adjusted for the 1-for-3 split)	12,260,387	12,620,150	30,261,113	30,575,611	30,849,711
Share capital	367,812	378,605	907,834	917,269	925,492
Total shareholders' equity(5)	25,342,132	36,997,869	266,575,816	256,831,432	231,453,263
<b>Other Financial Data</b>					
Net cash provided by operating activities	20,594,782	20,968,824	48,958,771	74,283,741	7,837,660
Net cash used in investing activities	(21,833,616)	(55,367,015 )	(146,671,991)	(46,145,503 )	(45,598,765 )
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	6,188,653	16,741,997	199,057,433	(58,422,367 )	4,894,463
Earnings / (loss) per share, basic	2.39	1.65	1.69	0.71	(0.51 )
Earnings / (loss) per share, diluted	2.39	1.65	1.68	0.70	(0.51 )
Dividends declared	30,175,223 (2)	9,465,082	20,278,538	34,664,699	10,779,609
	46,875,223(2)	9,465,082	20,278,538	34,547,949	10,849,609

Cash paid for common dividend / return  
of capital

Cash dividends / return of capital, declared per common share	4.67	(2)	0.75	1.00	1.13	0.35
Weighted average number of shares outstanding during period, basic	10,739,476		12,535,365	21,566,619	30,437,107	30,648,991
Weighted average number of shares outstanding during period, diluted	10,739,476		12,535,365	21,644,920	30,505,476	30,648,991

3

---

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Other Fleet Data (3)					
Number of vessels	7.10	8.09	11.48	15.61	16.30
Calendar days	2,591	2,942	4,190	5,714	5,949
Available days	2,546	2,895	3,980	5,563	4,983
Voyage days	2,508	2,864	3,969	5,451	4,724
Utilization Rate (percent)	98.5%	98.9%	99.7%	98.0%	94.8%

	(In U.S. dollars per day per vessel)				
Average TCE rate (4)	17,485	14,312	21,468	23,695	13,698
Vessel Operating Expenses excluding drydocking expenses	3,323	3,524	4,115	4,816	3,979
Management Fees	738	770	875	943	853
G&A Expenses	162	366	634	710	612
Total Operating Expenses excluding drydocking expenses	4,223	4,660	5,624	6,469	5,444

(1) In November 2008, the estimated useful life of the containerships and multipurpose vessels was increased to 30 years (from 25 years until then) in line with industry practice and intended use of such vessels; also, the estimated scrap value of the vessels was reduced from \$300 to \$250 per light ton to better reflect market price developments in the scrap metal market. The effect of this change was to reduce 2008 depreciation expenses by \$0.8 million or \$0.03 per share and increase 2008 net income by the same amount; and, to reduce 2009 depreciation expenses by \$6.4 million or \$0.21 per share and decrease net losses by the same amount.

(2) This amount reflects a dividend in the amount of \$30,175,223 (\$2.99 per share) and a return of capital in the amount of \$16,700,000 (\$1.68 per share). The total payment to shareholders made in 2005 is in excess of previously retained earnings because the Company decided to distribute to its original shareholders in advance of going public most of the profits relating to the Company's operations up to that time and to recapitalize the Company. This one-time dividend cannot be considered indicative of future dividend payments and the Company refers you to the other sections in this annual report for a clearer understanding of the Company's dividend policy.

(3) For the definition of calendar days, available days, voyage days and utilization rate see Item 5A-Operating Results.

(4) Time charter equivalent rate, or, "TCE rate", is determined by dividing voyage revenues less voyage expenses or time charter equivalent revenue or "TCE revenues" by the number of voyage days during the relevant time period. TCE revenues, a non-GAAP measure, provides additional meaningful information in conjunction with shipping revenues, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, because it assists Company management in making decisions regarding the deployment and use of its vessels and in evaluating their financial performance. TCE revenues and TCE rate is also a standard shipping industry performance measure used primarily to compare period-to-period changes in a shipping company's performance despite changes in the mix of charter types (i.e., spot charters, time charters and bareboat charters) under which the vessels may be employed between the periods (see also Item 5A-Operating Results).

(5) Beginning with the first quarter of 2009, the Company changed its accounting policy of drydocking costs from the deferral method, under which the Company amortized drydocking costs over the estimated period of benefit between drydockings, to the direct expense method, under which the Company expenses all drydocking costs as incurred. The Company believes that the direct expense method is preferable as it eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity involved in determining which costs and activities related to drydocking qualify for the deferral method. The Company reflected this change as a change in accounting principle from an accepted accounting principle to a preferable accounting principle in accordance with guidance relating to Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The new accounting guidance has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented. See also Note 2 of the attached financial statements.

Reconciliation of TCE revenues as reflected in the consolidated statement of income and calculation of TCE rate follow:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	(In U.S. dollars, except TCE rates which are expressed in U.S. dollars per day, and voyage days)				
Voyage revenues	44,523,401	42,143,361	86,104,365	132,243,918	66,215,669
Voyage expenses	(670,551 )	(1,154,738 )	(897,463 )	(3,092,323 )	(1,510,551 )
Time Charter Equivalent ("TCE")					
Revenues	43,852,850	40,988,623	85,206,902	129,151,595	64,705,118
Voyage days	2,508	2,864	3,969	5,451	4,724
Average TCE rate	17,485	14,313	21,468	23,695	13,698

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not Applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Any investment in our stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the following factors, as well as the other information set forth in this annual report, before making an investment in our common stock. Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and our business in general. Other risks relate to the securities market for and ownership of our common stock. Any of the described risks could significantly and negatively affect our business, financial condition, operating results and common stock price. The following risk factors describe the material risks that are presently known to us.

Industry Risk Factors

The cyclical nature of the shipping industry may lead to volatile changes in freight rates which may reduce our revenues and net income.

We are an independent shipping company that operates in the drybulk, container and multipurpose shipping industry. Our profitability is dependent upon the freight rates we are able to charge. The supply of and demand for shipping capacity strongly influences freight rates. The demand for shipping capacity is determined primarily by the demand for the type of commodities carried and the distance that those commodities must be moved by sea. The demand for commodities is affected by, among other things, world and regional economic and political conditions (including developments in international trade, fluctuations in industrial and agricultural production and armed conflicts), environmental concerns, weather patterns, and changes in seaborne and other transportation costs. The size of the

existing fleet in a particular market, the number of new vessel deliveries, the scrapping of older vessels and the number of vessels out of active service (i.e., laid-up, drydocked, awaiting repairs or otherwise not available for hire), determines the supply of shipping capacity, which is measured by the amount of suitable tonnage available to carry cargo. The cyclical nature of the shipping industry may lead to volatile changes in freight rates which may reduce our revenues and net income.



In addition to the prevailing and anticipated freight rates, factors that affect the rate of newbuilding, scrapping and laying-up include newbuilding prices, secondhand vessel values in relation to scrap prices, costs of bunkers and other operating costs, costs associated with classification society surveys, normal maintenance and insurance coverage, the efficiency and age profile of the existing fleet in the market and government and industry regulation of maritime transportation practices, particularly environmental protection laws and regulations. These factors influencing the supply of and demand for shipping capacity are outside of our control, and we may not be able to correctly assess the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions. Some of these factors may have a negative impact on our revenues and net income.

Our future profitability will be dependent on the level of charter rates in the international drybulk and container shipping industry.

Charter rates for the international drybulk shipping industry reached record highs during 2007 and during the first eight months of 2008; however, in September 2008, drybulk charter rates fell dramatically as a result of the worldwide credit crunch and financial crisis. Containership rates also dropped precipitously during the fourth quarter of 2008. In 2009, drybulk rates steadily recovered returning to approximately average historical levels by mid-2009 and have further improved since then, generally maintaining such levels although rates for large (capesize) vessels have shown more volatility. On the contrary, containership rates declined to historically low levels and stayed at such levels for all of 2009. During the first quarter of 2010, rates for medium and large size containerships (i.e. vessels larger than 3,000 teu) started recovering but such a recovery has not yet been evident for smaller containerships, a class size that includes all of our containerships. Rates in drybulk or containership markets are influenced by the balance of demand for and supply of vessels and may remain depressed or decline again in the future. Rates for multipurpose vessels are influenced by both drybulk and containership market developments as multipurpose ships can carry either drybulk or containerized cargo.

Because the factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are outside of our control and are unpredictable, the nature, timing, direction and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable, and as a result so are the rates we can charter our vessels at. In addition, we may not be able to successfully charter our vessels in the future or renew existing charters at rates sufficient to allow us to meet our obligations or to pay dividends to our shareholders.

Some of the factors that influence demand for vessel capacity include:

- supply of and demand for drybulk commodities, as well as containerized cargo;
- changes in the exploration or production of energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
- global and regional economic and political conditions, including armed conflicts and terrorist activities; embargoes and strikes;
  - the location of regional and global exploration, production and manufacturing facilities;
  - availability of credit to finance international trade;
- the location of consuming regions for energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
  - the distance drybulk and containerized commodities are to be moved by sea;
  - environmental and other regulatory developments;
  - currency exchange rates;
- changes in global production and manufacturing distribution patterns of finished goods that utilize drybulk and other containerized commodities;
  - changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns; and
  - weather.



Some of the factors that influence the supply of vessel capacity include:

- the number of newbuilding deliveries;
- the scrapping rate of older vessels;
- the price of steel and other materials;
- port and canal congestion;
- changes in environmental and other regulations that may limit the useful life of vessels;
- vessel casualties; and
- the number of vessels that are out of service.

We anticipate that the future demand for our drybulk, container and multipurpose vessels and the charter rates of the corresponding markets will be dependent upon economic recovery in the United States, Europe and Japan, among others, as well as continued economic growth in China, India and the overall world economy, seasonal and regional changes in demand, and changes to the capacity of the world fleet. The capacity of the world fleet seems likely to increase and economic growth may not continue. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments could also have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

An over-supply of drybulk carrier and containership capacity may lead to further reductions in charter hire rates and profitability.

The market supply of drybulk carriers and especially containerships has been increasing, and the number of both drybulk vessels and containerships on order have recently reached historic highs. The containership newbuildings are expected to continue being delivered in significant numbers over the next several years despite a number of order cancellations and delivery delays. The drybulk vessel newbuildings are expected to continue being delivered in 2010 and 2011 at significantly higher rates than in any previous year despite a number of order cancellations and delivery delays. If the number of new ships delivered exceeds the number of vessels being scrapped and lost, vessel capacity will increase. An over-supply of drybulk carrier and containership capacity may result in a further reduction of charter hire rates. For instance, given that as of May 1, 2010, as reported by Clarkson Research Services Limited ("CRSL"), the capacity of the fully cellular worldwide container vessel fleet was approximately 13.2 million teu, with approximately 4.2 million teu, or, about 32% of the present fleet, of additional capacity on order, the growing supply of container vessels may exceed future demand, particularly in the short term. Similarly, as of May 1, 2010, as reported by CRSL, the capacity of the worldwide drybulk fleet was approximately 481.2 million dwt with another 287.1 million dwt, or about 60% of the present fleet, of additional capacity on order. If the supply of vessel capacity increases but the demand for vessel capacity does not increase correspondingly, charter rates and vessel values could materially decline.

If such a reduction occurs upon the expiration or termination of our drybulk carriers' and containerships' current charters, such as during 2010 or 2011 when the charters under which at least seven of our containerships are currently deployed expire, we may only be able to recharter those drybulk carriers and containerships at reduced or unprofitable rates or we may not be able to charter these vessels at all. All of the containership charters we renewed or concluded during 2009 were at unprofitable rates and were entered into because they resulted in lower losses than would have resulted had we put the vessels in lay-up. In fact, as of May 15, 2010, we have not been able to re-charter two of our containerships that completed their charters in early 2009; as a result, we laid-up these two vessels as well as a third vessel which was sold in December 2009.

The value of our vessels may fluctuate, adversely affecting our earnings, liquidity and causing us to breach our secured credit agreements.

The market value of our vessels can fluctuate significantly. The market value of our vessels may increase or decrease depending on the following factors:

- general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry;
- supply of drybulk, container and multipurpose vessels;
- demand for drybulk, container and multipurpose vessels;
  - types and sizes of vessels;
  - other modes of transportation;
  - cost of newbuildings;
- new regulatory requirements from governments or self-regulated organizations; and
  - prevailing level of charter rates.

As vessels grow older, they generally decline in value. Due to the cyclical nature of the drybulk and container shipping industry, if for any reason we sell vessels at a time when prices have fallen, we could incur a loss and our business, results of operations, cash flow, financial condition and ability to pay dividends could be adversely affected. For example, we incurred a \$9.0 million loss on the sale of two of our vessels in 2009.

In addition, we periodically re-evaluate the carrying amount and period over which long-lived assets are depreciated to determine if events have occurred which would require modification to their carrying values or their useful lives. A determination that a vessel's estimated remaining useful life or fair value has declined below its carrying amount could result in an impairment charge against our earnings and a reduction in our shareholders' equity. Any change in the assessed market value of any of our vessels might also cause a violation of the covenants of each secured credit agreement which in turn might restrict our cash and affect our liquidity. All of our credit agreements provide for a minimum security maintenance ratio. If the assessed market value of our vessels declines below certain thresholds, we will be deemed to have violated these covenants and may incur penalties for breach of our credit agreements. For example, these penalties could require us to prepay the shortfall between the assessed market value of our vessels and the value of such vessels required to be maintained pursuant to the secured credit agreement, or to provide additional security acceptable to the lenders in an amount at least equal to the amount of any shortfall. Furthermore, we may enter into future loans which may include various other covenants, in addition to the vessel-related ones, that may ultimately depend on the assessed values of our vessels. Such covenants could include, but are not limited to, maximum fleet leverage covenants and minimum fair net worth covenants.

An economic slowdown in the Asia Pacific region could materially reduce the amount and/or profitability of our business.

A significant number of the port calls made by our vessels involve the loading or discharging of raw materials and semi-finished products in ports in the Asia Pacific region. As a result of the credit and financial crisis at the end of 2008 and beginning of 2009 and the resulting world economic slowdown demand for the services of our vessels has declined. However, economic activity in the Asia Pacific region led by China quickly recovered, especially with regard to the import of bulk commodities. Any negative change in economic conditions in any Asia Pacific country,

particularly in China, may have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations, as well as our future prospects. In particular, in recent years, China has been one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product. Such growth may not be sustained and the Chinese economy may experience contraction in the future. Moreover, any continued or renewed weakness in the economies of the United States of America, the European Union or certain Asian countries may adversely effect economic growth in China and elsewhere. Our business, financial position and results of operations, as well as our future prospects, will likely be materially and adversely affected by an economic downturn in any of these countries.

Changes in the economic and political environment in China and policies adopted by the government to regulate its economy may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Chinese economy differs from the economies of most countries belonging to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, or OECD, in such respects as structure, government involvement, level of development, growth rate, capital reinvestment, allocation of resources, rate of inflation and balance of payments position. Prior to 1978, the Chinese economy was a planned economy. Since 1978, increasing emphasis has been placed on the utilization of market forces in the development of the Chinese economy. Annual and five year State Plans are adopted by the Chinese government in connection with the development of the economy. Although state-owned enterprises still account for a substantial portion of the Chinese industrial output, in general, the Chinese government is reducing the level of direct control that it exercises over the economy through State Plans and other measures. There is an increasing level of freedom and autonomy in areas such as allocation of resources, production, pricing and management and a gradual shift in emphasis to a "market economy" and enterprise reform. Limited price reforms were undertaken, with the result that prices for certain commodities are principally determined by market forces. Many of the reforms are unprecedented or experimental and may be subject to revision, change or abolition based upon the outcome of such experiments. The Chinese government may not continue to pursue a policy of economic reform. The level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by the nature of the economic reforms pursued by the Chinese government, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government, such as changes in laws, regulations or export and import restrictions, all of which could, adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may become dependent on spot charters in the volatile shipping markets, which may result in decreased revenues and/or profitability.

Although a majority of our vessels are currently under time charters, in the future, we may have more of these vessels and/or any newly acquired vessels on spot charters. The spot market is highly competitive and rates within this market are subject to volatile fluctuations, while time charters provide income at pre-determined rates over more extended periods of time. If we decide to spot charter our vessels, we may not be able to keep all our vessels fully employed in these short-term markets. In addition, we may not be able to predict whether future spot rates will be sufficient to enable our vessels to be operated profitably. A significant decrease in charter rates has affected and could continue affecting the value of our fleet and could adversely affect our profitability and cash flows with the result that our ability to pay debt service to our lenders and dividends to our shareholders could be adversely affected.

We are subject to complex laws and regulations, including environmental regulations that can adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Our operations are subject to numerous laws and regulations in the form of international conventions and treaties, national, state and local laws and national and international regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate or are registered, which can significantly affect the ownership and operation of our vessels. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969, the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships of 1975, the International Maritime Organization, or IMO, International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution of 1973, the IMO International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea of 1974, the International Convention on Load Lines of 1966, the U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, the U.S. Clean Air Act, U.S. Clean Water Act and the U.S. Marine Transportation Security Act of 2002. Compliance with such laws, regulations and standards, where applicable, may require installation of costly equipment or operational changes and may affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels. We may also incur additional costs in order to comply with other existing and future regulatory obligations, including, but not limited to, costs relating to air emissions including greenhouse gases, the management of ballast waters, maintenance and inspection, elimination of tin-based paint, development and implementation of emergency

procedures and insurance coverage or other financial assurance of our ability to address pollution incidents. These costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. A failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in administrative and civil penalties, criminal sanctions or the suspension or termination of our operations. Environmental laws often impose strict liability for remediation of spills and releases of oil and hazardous substances, which could subject us to liability without regard to whether we were negligent or at fault. Under OPA, for example, owners, operators and bareboat charterers are jointly and severally strictly liable for the discharge of oil within the 200-mile exclusive economic zone around the United States. An oil spill could result in significant liability, including fines, penalties and criminal liability and remediation costs for natural resource damages under other federal, state and local laws, as well as third-party damages. We are required to satisfy insurance and financial responsibility requirements for potential oil (including marine fuel) spills and other pollution incidents. Although we have arranged insurance to cover certain environmental risks, there can be no assurance that such insurance will be sufficient to cover all such risks or that any claims will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends.

We are subject to international safety regulations and the failure to comply with these regulations may subject us to increased liability, may adversely affect our insurance coverage and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

The operation of our vessels is affected by the requirements set forth in the UN's International Maritime Organization's International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention, or the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires shipowners, ship managers and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. The failure of a shipowner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject it to increased liability, may invalidate existing insurance or decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Each of the vessels that has been delivered to us is ISM Code-certified and we expect that each other vessel that we have agreed to purchase will be ISM Code-certified when delivered to us.

In addition, vessel classification societies also impose significant safety and other requirements on our vessels. In complying with current and future environmental requirements, vessel-owners and operators may also incur significant additional costs in meeting new maintenance and inspection requirements, in developing contingency arrangements for potential spills and in obtaining insurance coverage. Government regulation of vessels, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental requirements, can be expected to become stricter in the future and require us to incur significant capital expenditures on our vessels to keep them in compliance.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by other government regulation in the form of international conventions, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which the vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration. Because such conventions, laws, and regulations are often revised, we may not be able to predict the ultimate cost of complying with such conventions, laws and regulations or the impact thereof on the resale prices or useful lives of our vessels. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and which may materially adversely affect our operations. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances with respect to our operations.

The operation of our vessels is affected by the requirements set forth in the International Maritime Organization's ("IMO's") International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention ("ISM Code"). The ISM Code requires shipowners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive "Safety Management System" that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. The failure of a shipowner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels, and/or may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. Currently, each of our vessels and Eurobulk Ltd., or Eurobulk, our affiliated ship management company, are ISM Code-certified, but we may not be able to maintain such certification indefinitely.

Although the United States of America is not a party, many countries have ratified and follow the liability scheme adopted by the IMO and set out in the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage, 1969, as amended (the "CLC"), and the Convention for the Establishment of an International Fund for Oil Pollution of 1971, as amended and the Regulations for the Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (as modified in 1978 and 1997), including Annex VI thereto. Under these conventions, a vessel's registered owner is strictly liable for pollution damage, including air pollution, caused on the



territorial waters of a contracting state by discharge of persistent oil, subject to certain complete defenses. Many of the countries that have ratified the CLC have increased the liability limits through a 1992 Protocol to the CLC. The right to limit liability is also forfeited under the CLC where the spill is caused by the owner's actual fault or privity and, under the 1992 Protocol, where the spill is caused by the owner's intentional or reckless conduct. Vessels trading to contracting states must provide evidence of insurance covering the limited liability of the owner. In jurisdictions where the CLC has not been adopted, various legislative schemes or common law govern, and liability is imposed either on the basis of fault or in a manner similar to the CLC.

OPA established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and clean-up of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States of America or any of its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in waters of the United States of America, which includes the territorial sea of the United States of America and its 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone. OPA allows for potentially unlimited liability without regard to fault of vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels, including bunkers (fuel), in the U.S. waters. OPA also expressly permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to hazardous materials and oil pollution materials occurring within their boundaries.

While we do not carry oil as cargo, we do carry fuel oil (bunkers) in our drybulk carriers and containerships. We currently maintain, for each of our vessels, pollution liability coverage insurance of \$1 billion per incident. If the damages from a catastrophic spill exceeded our insurance coverage, that would have a material adverse affect on our financial condition.

Capital expenditures and other costs necessary to operate and maintain our vessels may increase due to changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards.

Changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards, as well as compliance with standards imposed by maritime self-regulatory organizations and customer requirements or competition, may require us to make additional expenditures. In order to satisfy these requirements, we may, from time to time, be required to take our vessels out of service for extended periods of time, with corresponding losses of revenues. In the future, market conditions may not justify these expenditures or enable us to operate some or all of our vessels profitably during the remainder of their economic lives.

Increased inspection procedures and tighter import and export controls and new security regulations could increase costs and disrupt our business.

International shipping is subject to various security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin and destination. Inspection procedures may result in the seizure of contents of our vessels, delays in the loading, offloading or delivery and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against us.

International container shipping is subject to additional security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin, destination and trans-shipment points. Since the events of September 11, 2001, U.S. authorities have increased container inspection rates. Government investment in non-intrusive container scanning technology has grown, and there is interest in electronic monitoring technology, including so-called "e-seals" and "smart" containers that would enable remote, centralized monitoring of containers during shipment to identify tampering with or opening of the containers, along with potentially measuring other characteristics such as temperature, air pressure, motion, chemicals, biological agents and radiation.

It is unclear what changes, if any, to the existing security procedures will ultimately be proposed or implemented, or how any such changes will affect the container shipping industry. These changes have the potential to impose additional financial and legal obligations on carriers and, in certain cases, to render the shipment of certain types of goods by container uneconomical or impractical. These additional costs could reduce the volume of goods shipped in containers, resulting in a decreased demand for container vessels. In addition, it is unclear what financial costs any new security procedures might create for container vessel owners, or whether companies responsible for the global traffic of containers at sea, referred to as container line operators, may seek to pass on certain of the costs associated with any new security procedures to vessel owners.



Rising fuel prices may adversely affect our profits.

Fuel (bunkers) is a significant, if not the largest, operating expense for many of our shipping operations when our vessels are under voyage charter. When a vessel is operating under a time charter, these costs are paid by the charterer. However fuel costs are taken into account by the charterer in determining the amount of time charter hire and therefore fuel costs also indirectly affect time charter rates. The price and supply of fuel is unpredictable and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geopolitical developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by OPEC and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns. Fuel prices had been at historically high levels for most of 2008, but shipowners did not really feel the effect of these high prices because the shipping markets were also at high levels. As the shipping markets declined in the last three months of 2008 and into 2009, fuel prices fell too, thus reducing fuel costs and certain other components of operating expenses (e.g. the cost of lubricants, etc.). However, any increase in the price of fuel may adversely affect our profitability, especially if such increase is combined with lower drybulk and containership rates.

If our vessels fail to maintain their class certification and/or fail any annual survey, intermediate survey, dry-docking or special survey, that vessel would be unable to carry cargo, thereby reducing our revenues and profitability and violating certain covenants in our loan agreements.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention ("SOLAS"). Our vessels are currently classed with Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Bureau Veritas and Nippon Kaiji Kyokai. ISM and International Ship and Port Facilities Security ("ISPS") certification have been awarded by Bureau Veritas and the Panama Maritime Authority to our vessels and Eurobulk.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys, drydockings and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Every vessel is also required to be drydocked every two to three years for inspection of the underwater parts of such vessel.

If any vessel does not maintain its class and/or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey, drydocking or special survey, the vessel will be unable to carry cargo between ports and will be unemployable and uninsurable which could cause us to be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements. Any such inability to carry cargo or be employed, or any such violation of covenants, could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. That status could cause us to be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements.

Rising crew costs may adversely affect our profits.

Crew costs are a significant operating expense for many of our shipping operations. The cost of employing suitable crew is unpredictable and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including supply and demand and the wages paid by other shipping companies. Crew costs were at high levels in 2008, but shipowners did not really feel the effect of these high prices because the shipping markets were also at high levels until September 2008 when the credit and financial crisis commenced. Since then, shipping rates have declined significantly and crew salaries have remained largely unchanged. An increase in the world vessel operating fleet, either because of the delivery of new tonnage or the re-activation of laid-up containerships, will likely result in higher demand for crews which, in turn, might drive crew costs up. Any increase in crew costs may adversely affect our profitability especially if such increase is combined with lower drybulk and containership rates.



Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against that vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien holder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arresting or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay large sums of funds to have the arrest lifted which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "sister ship" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert "sister ship" liability against one of our vessels for claims relating to another of our vessels.

Governments could requisition our vessels during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings.

A government could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes the owner. Also, a government could requisition our vessels for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

World events outside our control may negatively affect our ability to operate, thereby reducing our revenues and net income or our ability to obtain additional financing, thereby restricting the implementation of our business strategy.

Terrorist attacks such as the attacks on the United States of America on September 11, 2001, on Madrid, Spain on March 11, 2004, on London, England on July 7, 2005, on Mumbai, India in December 2008 and the continuing response to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continue to cause uncertainty in the world financial markets and may affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. The continuing conflict in Iraq and Afghanistan may lead to additional acts of terrorism and armed conflict around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. These uncertainties could also have a material adverse effect on our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all. Terrorist attacks on vessels, such as the October 2002 attack on the m/v Limburg, a very large crude carrier not related to us, may in the future also negatively affect our operations and financial condition and directly impact our vessels or our customers. Future terrorist attacks could result in increased volatility and turmoil of the financial markets in the United States of America and globally and could result in an economic recession in the United States of America or the world. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and costs.

Disruptions in world financial markets and the resulting governmental action in the United States and in other parts of the world could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, and could cause the market price of our common stock to further decline.

The United States and other parts of the world are exhibiting deteriorating economic trends and have been in a recession. For example, the credit markets in the United States have experienced significant contraction, deleveraging and reduced liquidity, and the United States federal government and state governments have implemented and are considering a broad variety of governmental action and/or new regulation of the financial markets. Securities and futures markets and the credit markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations and other requirements. The SEC, other regulators, self-regulatory organizations and exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of market emergencies, and may effect changes in law or interpretations of existing laws.

Recently, a number of financial institutions have experienced serious financial difficulties and, in some cases, have entered bankruptcy proceedings or are in regulatory enforcement actions. The uncertainty surrounding the future of the credit markets in the United States and the rest of the world has resulted in reduced access to credit worldwide.

We face risks attendant to changes in economic environments, changes in interest rates, and instability in the banking and securities markets around the world, among other factors. Major market disruptions and the current adverse changes in market conditions and regulatory climate in the United States and worldwide may adversely affect our business or impair our ability to borrow amounts under our credit facilities or any future financial arrangements. We cannot predict how long the current market conditions will last. However, these recent and developing economic and governmental factors, including proposals to reform the financial system, together with the concurrent decline in charter rates and vessel values, may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows, and might cause the price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market to decline.

Our operating results are subject to seasonal fluctuations, which could affect our operating results and the amount of available cash with which we could pay dividends.

We operate our vessels in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, as a result, in charter hire rates. This seasonality may result in quarter-to-quarter volatility in our operating results which could affect the amount of dividends that we may pay to our shareholders from time to time. In addition, unpredictable weather patterns in these months tend to disrupt vessel scheduling and supplies of certain commodities. While this seasonality has not materially affected our operating results and cash available for distribution to our shareholders as dividends, it could materially affect our operating results in the future.

Maritime claimants could attach our assets under United States Supplemental Admiralty Rule B ("Rule B"), which could interrupt our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

It is possible that we could be sued and the plaintiff could use Rule B to attach our assets located in the United States. Rule B provides that where a defendant is not "found" for jurisdictional purposes within the United States federal district in which a Rule B action is commenced, a plaintiff with a valid maritime claim can, ex parte, attach or garnish the defendant's tangible or intangible property located in such federal district. Certain United States' courts have broadly defined what constitutes a maritime claim and this exposes defendants to a greater risk of attachment. Under Rule B, the property sought to be attached must be in the possession of the garnishee (such as a bank). While we do not maintain an office or own property located in the United States, it is possible that our assets, if found within the United States, could be attached by a plaintiff pursuant to Rule B. Attachments of our assets under Rule B could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

#### Company Risk Factors

If we do not use our cash on hand to acquire vessels and expand our fleet, we may use it for general corporate purposes which may result in lower earnings.

We intend to use our cash on hand to acquire additional vessels and expand our fleet when we believe market conditions are favorable for purchasing such vessels. Our management will have the discretion to identify and acquire vessels. If our management is unable to identify and acquire vessels on terms acceptable to us, we may use our cash on hand for general corporate purposes. It may take a substantial period of time before we can locate and purchase suitable vessels. During this period, our cash on hand may be invested on a short-term basis and therefore may not yield returns at rates comparable to what a vessel might have earned.

We depend entirely on Eurobulk to manage and charter our fleet, which may adversely affect our operations if Eurobulk fails to perform its obligations.

We have no employees and we currently contract the commercial and technical management of our fleet, including crewing, maintenance and repair, to Eurobulk, our affiliated ship management company. We may lose Eurobulk's



services or Eurobulk may fail to perform its obligations to us which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of our operations. Although we may have rights against Eurobulk if it defaults on its obligations to us, you will have no recourse against Eurobulk. Further, we expect that we will need to seek approval from our lenders to change Eurobulk as our ship manager.

Because Eurobulk is a privately held company, there is little or no publicly available information about it and there may be very little advance warning of operational or financial problems experienced by Eurobulk that may adversely affect us.

The ability of Eurobulk to continue providing services for our benefit will depend in part on its own financial strength. Circumstances beyond our control could impair Eurobulk's financial strength, and because Eurobulk is privately held it is unlikely that information about its financial strength would become public unless Eurobulk began to default on its obligations. As a result, there may be little advance warning of problems affecting Eurobulk, even though these problems could have a material adverse effect on us.

As of May 15, 2010, Friends Investment Company Inc. owns approximately 32.7% of our outstanding shares of common stock, which may limit your ability to influence our actions.

As of May 15, 2010 Friends Investment Company Inc., or "Friends", our largest shareholder, owns approximately 32.7% of the outstanding shares of our common stock and unvested incentive award shares. As a result of this share ownership and for so long as Friends owns a significant percentage of our outstanding common stock, Friends will be able to influence the outcome of any shareholder vote, including the election of directors, the adoption or amendment of provisions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws and possible mergers, corporate control contests and other significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control, merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us. This concentration of ownership could also discourage a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us, which could in turn have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

If our Euromar joint venture partners exercise their conversion rights, they may own a significant percentage of our stock and may have representatives on our Board of Directors, thus enabling them to influence our actions.

Our joint venture agreement (the "Joint Venture") to form Euromar LLC, a Marshall Islands limited liability company ("Euromar") includes the option by Eton Park Capital Management, L.P. ("Eton Park") and an affiliate of Rhône Capital III L.P. ("Rhône"), exercisable in certain instances and at any time after the two year anniversary of the Joint Venture, to convert all or part of their equity interests in Euromar into common shares of Euroseas at a price to be based on the comparable values of Euromar and Euroseas at the time of exercise, with such conversion happening at not less than the net asset value of each entity. Depending on the values of each entity at the time of conversion, our joint venture partners may wind up owning a majority of our common shares. In addition, depending upon the share percentage of Euroseas owned by Eton Park and Rhône following any such conversion, the number of directors on Euroseas' Board of Directors may be increased from 7 to up to a maximum of 11 directors for so long as the respective ownership thresholds are met. As part of the Joint Venture, Euroseas' largest shareholder, Friends, has entered into a shareholder voting agreement with Eton Park and Rhône whereby Friends has agreed to vote its shares in favor of any directors nominated by Eton Park and Rhône to fill such additional board seats. Under the same shareholder voting agreement, the parties have agreed that Eton Park and Rhône may vote a certain percentage of their shares in their sole discretion (based upon their percentage interest on the Euroseas Board of Directors and the number of shares outstanding), with the remainder of their shares being voted in accordance with the vote of all other Euroseas shareholders. As a result of the foregoing, upon exercise of their conversion rights Eton Park and Rhône may be able to influence our actions.

Our corporate governance practices are in compliance with, and are not prohibited by, the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and as such we are entitled to exemption from certain NASDAQ corporate governance standards. As a result, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NASDAQ corporate governance requirements.

Our Company's corporate governance practices are in compliance with, and are not prohibited by, the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Therefore, we are exempt from many of NASDAQ's corporate governance practices other than the requirements regarding the disclosure of a going concern audit opinion, submission of a listing agreement, notification of material non-compliance with NASDAQ corporate governance practices, and the establishment and composition of an audit committee and a formal written audit committee charter. For a list of the practices followed by us in lieu of NASDAQ's corporate governance rules, we refer you to the section of this annual report entitled "Board Practices—Corporate Governance" under Item 6.

We and our principal officers have affiliations with Eurobulk that could create conflicts of interest detrimental to us.

Our principal officers are also principals, officers and employees of Eurobulk, which is our ship management company. These responsibilities and relationships could create conflicts of interest between us and Eurobulk. Conflicts may also arise in connection with the chartering, purchase, sale and operations of the vessels in our fleet versus other vessels that are or may be managed in the future by Eurobulk. Circumstances in any of these instances may make one decision advantageous to us but detrimental to Eurobulk and vice versa. Eurobulk currently manages one vessel other than those owned by Euroseas (and, in the future, will manage vessels acquired by Euromar, our joint venture entity established with companies managed by Eton Park and an affiliate of Rhône), and to date has managed only vessels where the Pittas family was a minority shareholder, but never any vessel which had no Pittas family participation at all. However, it is possible that in the future Eurobulk may manage additional vessels which will not belong to Euroseas and in which the Pittas family may have controlling, little or even no power or participation and where conflicts such as those described above may arise. Eurobulk may not be able to resolve all conflicts of interest in a manner beneficial to us and our shareholders.

Companies affiliated with Eurobulk or our officers and directors may acquire vessels that compete with our fleet.

Companies affiliated with Eurobulk or our officers and directors own drybulk carriers and may acquire additional drybulk carriers, containerships or multipurpose vessels in the future. These vessels could be in competition with our fleet and other companies affiliated with Eurobulk might be faced with conflicts of interest with respect to their own interests and their obligations to us. Eurobulk, Friends and Aristides J. Pittas, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, have granted us a right of first refusal to acquire any drybulk vessel or containership which any of them may consider for acquisition in the future. In addition, Mr. Pittas will use his best efforts to cause any entity with respect to which he directly or indirectly controls to grant us this right of first refusal. Were we, however, to decline any such opportunity offered to us or we do not have the resources or desire to accept any such opportunity, Eurobulk, Friends and Aristides J. Pittas, and any of their respective Affiliates, could acquire such vessels.

As part of our joint venture, Euroseas and certain affiliates have granted Euromar LLC certain rights of first refusal in respect of vessel acquisitions, and made certain arrangements with respect to vessel dispositions and chartering opportunities presented to Euroseas and its affiliates.

As part of our joint venture, Euroseas and certain affiliates have granted Euromar certain rights of first refusal in respect of vessel acquisitions, and made certain arrangements with respect to vessel dispositions and chartering opportunities presented to Euroseas and its affiliates. For example, under certain circumstances, Euroseas may be prevented from directly acquiring a vessel if Euromar elects to purchase such vessel, Euroseas may be prevented from selling a vessel if Euromar elects to sell a similar vessel and/or Euroseas may be prevented from chartering a vessel to a third party if Euromar elects to charter a similar vessel to such third party. As a result of these arrangements, we may miss out on taking advantage of favorable opportunities in the market with respect to the vessels in our fleet.

Our officers do not devote all of their time to our business.

Our officers are involved in other business activities that may result in their spending less time than is appropriate or necessary in order to manage our business successfully. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Internal Auditor and Secretary are not employed directly by us, but rather their services are provided pursuant to our Master Management Agreement with Eurobulk. In addition, on March 25, 2010, we entered into the Joint Venture to form Euromar. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are each on the board of Euromar and Euroseas and Eurobulk have each agreed to provide certain management services to

Euomar. Therefore our officers may spend a material portion of their time providing services to Euomar. They may also spend a material portion of their time providing services to Eurobulk and its affiliates on matters unrelated to us.

We are a holding company, and we depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to distribute funds to us in order to satisfy our financial obligations or to make dividend payments.

We are a holding company and our subsidiaries, which are all wholly-owned by us, conduct all of our operations and own all of our operating assets. We have no significant assets other than the equity interests in our wholly-owned subsidiaries. As a result, our ability to make dividend payments to you depends on our subsidiaries and their ability to distribute funds to us. If we are unable to obtain funds from our subsidiaries, we may be unable or our Board of Directors may exercise its discretion not to pay dividends.

We may not be able to pay dividends.

We currently intend to pay quarterly dividends to holders of our common stock, when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors. Our last dividend of \$0.05 per share was declared in May 2010 for the results of the first quarter of 2010. However, we may not earn sufficient revenues or we may incur expenses or liabilities that would reduce or eliminate the cash available for distribution as dividends. Our loan agreements may also limit the amount of dividends we can pay under some circumstances based on certain covenants included in the loan agreements.

In addition, the declaration and payment of dividends will be subject at all times to the discretion of our Board of Directors. The timing and amount of dividends will depend on our earnings, financial condition, cash requirements and availability, restrictions in our loan agreements, growth strategy, charter rates in the drybulk and container shipping industry, the provisions of Marshall Islands law affecting the payment of dividends and other factors. Marshall Islands law generally prohibits the payment of dividends other than from surplus (retained earnings and the excess of consideration received for the sale of shares above the par value of the shares), but if there is no surplus, dividends may be declared out of the net profits (basically, the excess of our revenue over our expenses) for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared or the preceding fiscal year. Marshall Islands law also prohibits the payment of dividends while a company is insolvent or if it would be rendered insolvent upon the payment of a dividend. As a result, we may not be able to pay dividends.

If we are unable to fund our capital expenditures, we may not be able to continue to operate some of our vessels, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to pay dividends.

In order to fund our capital expenditures, we may be required to incur borrowings or raise capital through the sale of debt or equity securities. Our ability to access the capital markets through future offerings may be limited by our financial condition at the time of any such offering as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, general economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control. Our failure to obtain the funds for necessary future capital expenditures would limit our ability to continue to operate some of our vessels and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay dividends. Even if we are successful in obtaining such funds through financings, the terms of such financings could further limit our ability to pay dividends.

If we fail to manage our planned growth properly, we may not be able to successfully expand our market share.

We intend to continue to grow our fleet. Our growth will depend on:

- locating and acquiring suitable vessels;
- identifying and consummating acquisitions or joint ventures;
- integrating any acquired business successfully with our existing operations;

- enhancing our customer base;
- managing our expansion; and
- obtaining required financing on acceptable terms.

During periods in which charter rates are high, vessel values generally are high as well, and it may be difficult to consummate vessel acquisitions at favorable prices. When vessel prices are low, charter rates are also low and any vessel acquisition might require additional investment to cover shortfalls from operations until rates recover. In addition, growing any business by acquisition – especially if acquiring entire companies – presents numerous risks, such as undisclosed liabilities and obligations and difficulty experienced in (1) maintaining and obtaining additional qualified personnel, (2) managing relationships with customers and suppliers, and (3) integrating newly acquired operations into existing infrastructures. We may not be successful in executing our growth plans or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with the execution of those growth plans.

A decline in the market value of our vessels could lead to a default under our loan agreements and the loss of our vessels.

We have incurred secured debt under loan agreements for our vessels and currently expect to incur additional secured debt in connection with our acquisition of other vessels. If the market value of our fleet declines, we may not be in compliance with certain provisions of our existing loan agreements and we may not be able to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If we are unable to pledge additional collateral, our lenders could accelerate our debt and foreclose on our fleet.

Our existing loan agreements contain restrictive covenants that may limit our liquidity and corporate activities.

Our existing loan agreements impose operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions may limit our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens on our assets;
- sell capital stock of our subsidiaries;
- make investments;
- engage in mergers or acquisitions;
- pay dividends;
- make capital expenditures;
- change the management of our vessels or terminate or materially amend the management agreement relating to each vessel; and
- sell our vessels.

Therefore, we may need to seek permission from our lenders in order to engage in some corporate actions. The lenders' interests may be different from our interests, and we may not be able to obtain the lenders' permission when needed. This may prevent us from taking actions that are in our best interest.

Servicing future debt would limit funds available for other purposes.

To finance our fleet, we have incurred secured debt under loan agreements for our vessels. We also currently expect to incur additional secured debt to finance the acquisition of additional vessels. We must dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to pay the principal and interest on our debt. These payments limit funds otherwise available for working capital expenditures and other purposes. As of December 31, 2009, we had total bank debt of approximately \$71.52 million. As of March 31, 2010, we had repaid \$3.25 million of our total bank debt leaving us with total bank debt of \$68.27 million. Our debt repayment schedule as of December 31, 2009 requires us to repay \$23.40 million over the next two years. If we are unable to service our debt, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.



A rise in interest rates could cause an increase in our costs and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. To finance vessel purchases, we have borrowed, and may continue to borrow, under loan agreements that provide for periodic interest rate adjustments based on indices that fluctuate with changes in market interest rates. If interest rates increase significantly, it would increase our costs of financing our acquisition of vessels, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Any increase in debt service would also reduce the funds available to us to purchase other vessels.

Our ability to obtain additional debt financing may be dependent on the performance of our then existing charters and the creditworthiness of our charterers.

The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional debt financing that we will require to purchase additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such financing. Our inability to obtain additional financing at all or at a higher than anticipated cost may materially affect our results of operations, cash flows and our ability to implement our business strategy.

As we expand our business, we may need to upgrade our operations and financial systems, and add more staff and crew. If we cannot upgrade these systems or recruit suitable employees, our performance may be adversely affected.

Our current operating and financial systems may not be adequate if we expand the size of our fleet, and our attempts to improve those systems may be ineffective. In addition, if we expand our fleet, we will have to rely on Eurobulk to recruit suitable additional seafarers and shoreside administrative and management personnel. Eurobulk may not be able to continue to hire suitable employees as we expand our fleet. If Eurobulk's unaffiliated crewing agent encounters business or financial difficulties, we may not be able to adequately staff our vessels. If we are unable to operate our financial and operations systems effectively or to recruit suitable employees, our performance may be materially adversely affected.

Because we obtain some of our insurance through protection and indemnity associations, we may also be subject to calls in amounts based not only on our own claim records, but also the claim records of other members of the protection and indemnity associations.

We may be subject to calls in amounts based not only on our claim records but also the claim records of other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive insurance coverage for tort liability, including pollution-related liability. Our payment of these calls could result in significant expense to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Labor interruptions could disrupt our business.

Our vessels are manned by masters, officers and crews that are employed by third parties. If not resolved in a timely and cost-effective manner, industrial action or other labor unrest could prevent or hinder our operations from being carried out normally and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

In the highly competitive international drybulk and container shipping industry, we may not be able to compete for charters with new entrants or established companies with greater resources.

We employ our vessels in highly competitive markets that are capital intensive and highly fragmented. Competition arises primarily from other vessel owners, some of whom have substantially greater resources than us. Competition for the transportation of drybulk and container cargoes can be intense and depends on price, location, size, age, condition and the acceptability of the vessel and its managers to the charterers. Due in part to the highly fragmented market, competitors with greater resources could operate larger fleets through consolidations or acquisitions that may be able to offer better prices and fleets.

We will not be able to take advantage of potentially favorable opportunities in the current spot market with respect to vessels employed on time charters.

As of May 15, 2010, eleven of the 15 vessels in our fleet are employed under time charters with remaining terms ranging between one and 27 months. The percentage of our fleet that is under time charter contracts or short term spot contracts, or that is otherwise protected from market fluctuations (via Forward Freight Agreement, or FFA, contracts) represents approximately 68% of our vessel capacity in 2010. Although time charters provide relatively steady streams of revenue, vessels committed to time charters may not be available for spot charters during periods of increasing charter hire rates, when spot charters might be more profitable. If we cannot re-charter these vessels on time charters or trade them in the spot market profitably, our results of operations and operating cash flow may suffer. We may not be able to secure charter hire rates in the future that will enable us to operate our vessels profitably. As of May 15, 2010, two of our containerships are laid-up. Although we do not receive any revenues from certain of our vessels while such vessels are unemployed, we are required to pay expenses necessary to maintain the vessel in proper operating condition, insure it and service any indebtedness secured by such vessel.

We may be unable to attract and retain key management personnel and other employees in the shipping industry, which may negatively affect the effectiveness of our management and our results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and efforts of our management team. Our success will depend upon our ability to hire additional employees and to retain key members of our management team. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition. Difficulty in hiring and retaining personnel could adversely affect our results of operations. We do not currently intend to maintain "key man" life insurance on any of our officers.

Risks involved with operating ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which may reduce our revenues.

The operation of an ocean-going vessel carries inherent risks. These risks include, among others, the possibility of:

- marine disaster;
- piracy;
- environmental accidents;
- grounding, fire, explosions and collisions;
- cargo and property losses or damage;
- business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, political action in various countries, labor strikes or adverse weather conditions; and
- work stoppages or other labor problems with crew members serving on our vessels.

Such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, higher insurance rates, and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues, which could result in reduction in the market price of our shares of common stock. The involvement of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

The operation of drybulk carriers has certain unique operational risks.

The operation of certain ship types, such as drybulk carriers, has certain unique risks. With a drybulk carrier, the cargo itself and its interaction with the ship can be a risk factor. By their nature, drybulk cargoes are often heavy, dense, easily shifted, and react badly to water exposure. In addition, drybulk carriers are often subjected to battering treatment during unloading operations with grabs, jackhammers (to pry encrusted cargoes out of the hold), and small bulldozers. This treatment may cause damage to the vessel. Vessels damaged due to treatment during unloading procedures may be more susceptible to breach to the sea. Hull breaches in drybulk carriers may lead to the flooding of the vessels holds. If a drybulk carrier suffers flooding in its forward holds, the bulk cargo may become so dense and waterlogged that its pressure may buckle the vessels bulkheads leading to the loss of a vessel. If we are unable to adequately maintain our vessels we may be unable to prevent these events. Any of these circumstances or events could negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends. In addition, the loss of any of our vessels could harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.



The operation of containerships has certain unique operational risks.

The operation of containerships has certain unique risks. Containerships operate at high speeds in order to move cargoes around the world quickly and minimize delivery delays. These high speeds can result in greater impact in collisions and groundings resulting in more damage to the vessel when compared to vessels operating at lower speeds. In addition, due to the placement of the containers on a containership, there is a greater risk that containers carried on deck will be lost overboard if an accident does occur. Furthermore, with the highly varied cargo that can be carried on a single containership, there can be additional difficulties with any clean-up operation following an accident. Also, we may not be able to correctly control the contents and condition of cargoes within the containers which may give rise to events such as customer complaints, accidents on-board the ships or problems with authorities due to carriage of illegal cargoes. Any of these circumstances or events could negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends. In addition, the loss of any of our vessels could harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel owner and operator.

Our vessels may suffer damage and it may face unexpected drydocking costs, which could affect our cash flow and financial condition.

If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a drydocking facility. The costs of drydock repairs are unpredictable and may be substantial. We may have to pay drydocking costs that our insurance does not cover. The loss of earnings while these vessels are being repaired and reconditioned, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, would decrease our earnings.

We might have technical difficulties and face unexpected costs when re-activating vessels we put in lay-up.

As of May 15, 2010, two of our vessels are laid-up. Also if the current poor containership and multipurpose markets continue, it is likely that as our containership and multipurpose vessels complete their current charters, they will have difficulties finding employment and they may be laid-up too. We expect to reactivate any vessels we lay up when markets improve but we may face technical and operational problems in doing so that may result in higher than expected re-activation costs.

Purchasing and operating previously owned, or secondhand, vessels may result in increased operating costs and vessels off-hire, which could adversely affect our earnings.

Although we inspect the secondhand vessels prior to purchase, this inspection does not provide us with the same knowledge about their condition and cost of any required (or anticipated) repairs that it would have had if these vessels had been built for and operated exclusively by us. Generally, we do not receive the benefit of warranties on secondhand vessels.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. As of May 15, 2010 the average age of our fleet was approximately 16.5 years. As our fleet ages, we will incur increased costs. Older vessels are typically less fuel efficient and more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels. Cargo insurance rates also increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations and safety or other equipment standards related to the age of a vessel may also require expenditures for alterations or the addition of new equipment to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which our vessels may engage.

Governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may require expenditures for alterations, or the addition of new equipment, to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which the vessels may engage. As our vessels age, market conditions may not justify those expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their useful lives. If we sell vessels, we are not certain that the price for

which we sell them will equal their carrying amount at that time.

Technological innovation could reduce our charterhire income and the value of our vessels.

The charterhire rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors including the vessel's efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes speed, fuel economy and the ability to load and discharge cargo quickly. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. The length of a vessel's physical life is related to its original design and construction, its maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new vessels are built that are more efficient or more flexible or have longer physical lives than our vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced vessels could adversely affect the amount of charterhire payments we receive for our vessels once their initial charters expire and the resale value of our vessels could significantly decrease. As a result, our available cash could be adversely affected.

We are subject to certain risks with respect to our counterparties on contracts, and failure of such counterparties to meet their obligations could cause us to suffer losses or otherwise adversely affect our business.

We enter into, among other things, charterparty agreements. Such agreements subject us to counterparty risks. The ability of each of our counterparties to perform its obligations under a contract with us will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control and may include, among other things, general economic conditions, the condition of the maritime and offshore industries, the overall financial condition of the counterparty, charter rates received for specific types of vessels, and various expenses. In addition, in depressed market conditions, our charterers may no longer need a vessel that is currently under charter or may be able to obtain a comparable vessel at lower rates. As a result, charterers may seek to renegotiate the terms of their existing charter parties or avoid their obligations under those contracts and there have been reports of charterers, including some of our charter counterparties, renegotiating their charters counterparties or defaulting on their obligations under charters and our customers may fail to pay charter hire or attempt to renegotiate charter rates. Should a counterparty fail to honor its obligations under agreements with us, it may be difficult to secure substitute employment for such vessel, and any new charter arrangements we secure in the spot market or on time charters would be at lower rates given currently decreased charter rate levels. If our charterers fail to meet their obligations to us or attempt to renegotiate our charter agreements, we could sustain significant losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to pay dividends in the future and compliance with covenants in our credit facilities.

We may not have adequate insurance to compensate us adequately for damage to, or loss of, our vessels.

We procure hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance, which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance and war risk insurance and freight, demurrage and defense insurance for our fleet. We generally do not maintain insurance against loss of hire which covers business interruptions that result in the loss of use of a vessel. We may not be adequately insured against all risks and we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage for our fleet in the future. The insurers may not pay particular claims. Our insurance policies contain deductibles for which we will be responsible and limitations and exclusions which may increase our costs. Moreover, the insurers may default on any claims they are required to pay. If our insurance is not enough to cover claims that may arise, it may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our international operations expose us to risks of terrorism and piracy that may interfere with the operation of our vessels.

We are an international company and primarily conduct our operations outside the United States of America. Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or



where our vessels are registered affect our operations. In the past, political conflicts, particularly in the Arabian Gulf, resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt shipping in the area. Acts of terrorism and piracy have also affected vessels trading in regions such as the South China Sea and in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. On May 12, 2010, one of our vessels, m/v Eleni P, was hijacked by pirates off the East Coast of Africa and is currently detained by them in Somalian waters. As of the date of this filing, we are working diligently to assure the safety of its crew and to successfully resolve this matter.

When our vessels are the targets of such incidents, this could result in loss or damage of our vessels and a loss of operating revenue. If these piracy attacks result in regions in which our vessels are deployed being characterized by insurers as "war risk" zones, as the Gulf of Aden temporarily was in May 2008, or Joint War Committee "war and strikes" listed areas, premiums payable for such coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult to obtain. In addition, crew costs, including those due to employing onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, any of these events may result in loss of revenues, increased costs and decreased cash flows to our customers, which could impair their ability to make payments to us under our charters. Furthermore, detention hijacking as a result of an act of piracy against our vessels, or an increase in cost, or unavailability of insurance for our vessels, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If our vessels call on ports located in countries that are subject to restrictions imposed by the U.S. government, that could adversely affect our reputation and the market for our common stock.

From time to time, vessels in our fleet may call on ports located in countries subject to sanctions and embargoes imposed by the U.S. government and countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism. Although these sanctions and embargoes do not prevent our vessels from making calls to ports in these countries, potential investors could view such port calls negatively, which could adversely affect our reputation and the market for our common stock. In addition, certain institutional investors may have investment policies or restrictions that prevent them from holding securities of companies that have contracts with countries identified by the U.S. government as state sponsors of terrorism. The determination by these investors not to invest in or to divest our common shares may adversely affect the price at which our common shares trade. Investor perception of the value of our common stock may be adversely affected by the consequences of war, the effects of terrorism, civil unrest and governmental actions in these and surrounding countries.

Obligations associated with being a public company require significant company resources and management attention.

We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and the other rules and regulations of the Commission, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we evaluate and determine the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

We work with our legal, accounting and financial advisors to identify any areas in which changes should be made to our financial and management control systems to manage our growth and our obligations as a public company. We evaluate areas such as corporate governance, corporate control, internal audit, disclosure controls and procedures and financial reporting and accounting systems. We will make changes in any of these and other areas, including our internal control over financial reporting, which we believe are necessary. However, these and other measures we may take may not be sufficient to allow us to satisfy our obligations as a public company on a timely and reliable basis. In addition, compliance with reporting and other requirements applicable to public companies will create additional costs for us and will require the time and attention of management. Our limited management resources may exacerbate the difficulties in complying with these reporting and other requirements while focusing on executing our business strategy. We may not be able to predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur, the timing of such costs or the degree of impact that our management's attention to these matters will have on our business.

Derivatives contracts margin requirements might restrict all our funds and significantly affect our operations.

FFA contracts and other derivative instruments may be used to hedge a vessel owner's exposure to the charter market by providing for the sale of a contracted charter rate along a specified route and period of time. Upon settlement, if the

contracted charter rate is less than the average of the rates, as reported by an identified index, for the specified route and period, the seller of the FFA contract is required to pay the buyer an amount equal to the difference between the contracted rate and the settlement rate, multiplied by the number of days in the specified period. Conversely, if the contracted rate is greater than the settlement rate, the buyer is required to pay the seller the settlement sum. We have entered in several derivatives contracts, interest rate swaps as well as a number of FFA contracts, and we may enter in new ones that may require us to post margin if the markets move against our positions. We may not have enough funds to cover such margin requirements and as a result our ability to operate our vessels may be significantly curtailed, we may be forced to close the contracts at a loss, we may be forced to sell some or all of our assets. As of December 31, 2009, our FFA contracts required us to post \$12.4 million margin.

Exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations will result in fluctuations in our cash flows and operating results.

We generate all our revenues in U.S. dollars, but we incur approximately 26% of our vessel operating expenses including drydocking expenses, all our vessel management fees, and, approximately 20% in 2009 of our general and administrative expenses in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. This difference could lead to fluctuations in our operating expenses, which would affect our financial results. Expenses incurred in foreign currencies increase when the value of the U.S. dollar falls, which would reduce our profitability and cash flows.

If the recent volatility in LIBOR continues, it could affect our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

LIBOR has recently been volatile, with the spread between LIBOR and the prime lending rate widening significantly at times. These conditions are the result of the recent disruptions in the international credit markets. Because the interest rates borne by our outstanding indebtedness fluctuate with changes in LIBOR, if this volatility were to continue, it would affect the amount of interest payable on our debt, which in turn, could have an adverse effect on our profitability, earnings and cash flow.

We depend upon a few significant customers for a large part of our revenues and the loss of one or more of these customers could adversely affect our financial performance.

We have historically derived a significant part of our revenues from a small number of charterers. During 2009, approximately 54% of our revenues derived from our top five charterers. During 2008 and 2007, approximately 44% and 52%, respectively of our revenues derived from our top five charterers. If one or more of our charterers chooses not to charter our vessels or is unable to perform under one or more charters with us and we are not able to find a replacement charter, we could suffer a loss of revenues that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

U.S. tax authorities could treat us as a "passive foreign investment company," which could have adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. holders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a "passive foreign investment company," or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes if either (1) at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of "passive income" or (2) at least 50% of the average value of the corporation's assets produce or are held for the production of those types of "passive income." For purposes of these tests, "passive income" includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties which are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute "passive income." U.S. shareholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous U.S. federal income tax regime with respect to the income derived by the PFIC, the distributions they receive from the PFIC and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their shares in the PFIC.

Based on our current method of operation, we do not believe that we have been, are or will be a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. In this regard, we treat the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time chartering activities as services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, we believe that our income from our time chartering activities does not constitute "passive income," and the assets that we own and operate in connection with the production of that income do not constitute passive assets.

There is substantial legal authority supporting the position consisting of case law and U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, pronouncements concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters and voyage charters as services income for other tax purposes. However, it should be noted that there is also authority which characterizes

time charter income as rental income rather than services income for other tax purposes. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the IRS or a court of law will accept this position, and there is a risk that the IRS or a court of law could determine that we are a PFIC. Moreover, no assurance can be given that we would not constitute a PFIC for any future taxable year if the nature and extent of our operations changed.

If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, our U.S. shareholders will face adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences. Under the PFIC rules, unless those shareholders make an election available under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") (which election could itself have adverse consequences for such shareholders, as discussed in Item 10 of this annual report under "Taxation — United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders"), such shareholders would be liable to pay U.S. federal income tax at the then prevailing income tax rates on ordinary income plus interest upon excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our shares, as if the excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the shareholder's holding period of our shares. See "Taxation — United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders" in this annual report under Item 10 for a more comprehensive discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. shareholders if we are treated as a PFIC.

Legislation has previously been proposed in the United States which would prevent dividends on our shares from qualifying for certain preferential rates for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

"Qualified dividend income" derived by non-corporate U.S. shareholders that are subject to U.S. federal income tax is currently through 2010 subject to U.S. federal income taxation at reduced rates. We expect that under current law, so long as our shares are traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market, the NASDAQ Global Select Market or the NASDAQ Global Market and we do not and have not qualified as a "passive foreign investment company" for U.S. federal income tax purposes, distributions treated as dividends for U.S. tax purposes on our shares will potentially be eligible (that is, eligible if certain conditions relating to the shareholder are satisfied) for treatment as qualified dividend income. In a previous session of the U.S. Congress, legislation was introduced which would have made it unlikely that such distributions on our shares would be eligible for such treatment. Under current law, the provisions relating the "qualified dividend income" are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2010. However, the Obama Administration's recent budget proposal would make the treatment of qualified dividend income permanent, but increase the maximum rate of tax on such income to 20%.

We may have to pay tax on United States source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the Code, 50% of the gross shipping income of a vessel owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves and our subsidiaries, that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States may be subject to a 4% United States federal income tax without allowance for deduction, unless that corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under section 883 of the Code and the applicable Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

We believe that we and each of our subsidiaries qualify for this statutory tax exemption and we have taken this position for United States federal income tax return reporting purposes. However, there are factual circumstances beyond our control that could cause us to lose the benefit of this tax exemption and thereby become subject to United States federal income tax on our United States source income. Due to the factual nature of the issues involved, we may not be able to maintain our tax-exempt status or that of any of our subsidiaries.

If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to exemption under Section 883 for any taxable year, we or our subsidiaries could be subject for those years to an effective 2% United States federal income tax on the shipping income these companies derive during the year that are attributable to the transport or cargoes to or from the United States. The imposition of this taxation would have a negative effect on our business and would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our shareholders.

We may not be exempt from Liberian taxation which would materially reduce our net income and cash flow by the amount of the applicable tax.

The Republic of Liberia enacted a new income tax act effective as of January 1, 2001 (the "New Act"). In contrast to the income tax law previously in effect since 1977 (the "Prior Law"), which the New Act repealed in its entirety, the New Act does not distinguish between the taxation of a non resident Liberian corporation, such as our Liberian subsidiaries, which conduct no business in Liberia and were wholly exempted from tax under the Prior Law, and the taxation of ordinary resident Liberian corporations.

In 2004, the Liberian Ministry of Finance issued regulations pursuant to which a non-resident domestic corporation engaged in international shipping, such as our Liberian subsidiaries, will not be subject to tax under the New Act retroactive to January 1, 2001 (the "New Regulations"). In addition, the Liberian Ministry of Justice issued an opinion that the New Regulations were a valid exercise of the regulatory authority of the Ministry of Finance. Therefore, assuming that the New Regulations are valid, our Liberian subsidiaries will be wholly exempt from Liberian income tax as under the Prior Law.

If our Liberian subsidiaries were subject to Liberian income tax under the New Act, they would be subject to tax at a rate of 35% on their worldwide income. As a result, their, and subsequently our, net income and cash flow would be materially reduced by the amount of the applicable tax. In addition, we, as shareholder of the Liberian subsidiaries, would be subject to Liberian withholding tax on dividends paid by the Liberian subsidiaries at rates ranging from 15% to 20%.

It may be difficult to enforce service of process and enforcement of judgments against us and our officers and directors.

We are a Marshall Islands corporation, and our executive offices are located outside of the United States in Maroussi, Greece. A majority of our directors and officers reside outside of the United States, and a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of our officers and directors are located outside of the United States. As a result, you may have difficulty serving legal process within the United States upon us or any of these persons. You may also have difficulty enforcing, both in and outside of the United States, judgments you may obtain in the U.S. courts against us or these persons in any action, including actions based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. federal or state securities laws.

There is also substantial doubt that the courts of the Marshall Islands or Greece would enter judgments in original actions brought in those courts predicated on U.S. federal or state securities laws.

#### Risk Factors Relating To Our Common Stock

The trading volume for our common stock has been low, which may cause our common stock to trade at lower prices and make it difficult to sell your common stock.

Although our shares of common stock have traded on the NASDAQ Global Market since January 31, 2007 and on the NASDAQ Global Select Market since January 1, 2008, recently the trading volume has been lower. Our shares may not actively trade in the public market and any such limited liquidity may cause our common stock to trade at lower prices and make it difficult to sell your common stock

The market price of our common stock has been and may in the future be subject to significant fluctuations.

The market price of our common stock has been and may in the future be subject to significant fluctuations as a result of many factors, some of which are beyond our control. Among the factors that have in the past and could in the future affect our stock price are:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in quarterly and annual variations in our results of operations;
- changes in sales or earnings estimates or publication of research reports by analysts;
- shortfalls in our operating results from levels forecasted by securities analysts;
- speculation in the press or investment community about our business or the shipping industry;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies and stock market price and volume fluctuations generally;
- payment of dividends;





- strategic actions by us or our competitors such as mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, strategic alliances or restructurings;
- changes in government and other regulatory developments;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- general market conditions and the state of the securities markets; and
- domestic and international economic, market and currency factors unrelated to our performance.

The international drybulk and container shipping industry has been highly unpredictable. In addition, the stock markets in general, and the markets for drybulk and container shipping and shipping stocks in general, have experienced extreme volatility that has sometimes been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock. Our shares may trade at prices lower than you originally paid for such shares.

The market price of our common stock has recently dropped below \$5.00 per share, and the last reported sale price on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on May 25, 2010 was \$3.51 per share. If the market price of our common stock remains below \$5.00 per share, under stock exchange rules, our shareholders will not be able to use such shares as collateral for borrowing in margin accounts. This inability to continue to use our common stock as collateral may lead to sales of such shares creating downward pressure on and increased volatility in the market price of our common stock.

In addition, under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, listed companies have historically been required to maintain a share price of at least \$1.00 per share and if the share price declines below \$1.00 for a period of 30 consecutive business days, then the listed company would have a cure period of at least 180 days to regain compliance with the \$1.00 per share minimum. In the event that our share price declines below \$1.00 for a period of 30 consecutive business days, we may be required to take action, such as a reverse stock split, in order to comply with Nasdaq rules that may be in effect at the time.

Our Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws and Shareholders' Rights Plan contain anti-takeover provisions that may discourage, delay or prevent (1) our merger or acquisition and/or (2) the removal of incumbent directors and officers.

Our current Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws contain certain anti-takeover provisions. These provisions include blank check preferred stock, the prohibition of cumulative voting in the election of directors, a classified board of directors, advance written notice for shareholder nominations for directors, removal of directors only for cause, advance written notice of shareholder proposals for the removal of directors and limitations on action by shareholders. In addition, we adopted a shareholders' rights plan pursuant to which our board of directors may cause the substantial dilution of any person that attempts to acquire us without the approval of our board of directors. These anti-takeover provisions, including provisions of our shareholders' rights plan, either individually or in the aggregate, may discourage, delay or prevent (1) our merger or acquisition by means of a tender offer, a proxy contest or otherwise, that a shareholder may consider in its best interest (2) the removal of incumbent directors and officers, and (3) the ability of public shareholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Future sales of our stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, may depress the market price for our common stock. These sales could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities in the future.

In addition, as of May 1, 2010, 144,913 warrants remain outstanding. In 2009, we issued 134,100 new shares as part of our continuous at-the-market offering program which is currently suspended but could be re-activated upon resolution of our Board. Friends, our largest shareholder, and Eurobulk Marine, Inc., our affiliate, have registered for resale all of their shares owned as of July 2, 2008 under our registration statement that was declared effective on July 2, 2008 which has resulted in these shares becoming freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act.

We may issue additional shares of our stock in the future and our stockholders may elect to sell large numbers of shares held by them from time to time. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation authorize us to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock and 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock. On March 25, 2010, we entered into the Joint Venture to form Euomar LLC. The Joint Venture provides our joint venture partners the option, exercisable in certain instances and at any time after the two year anniversary of the Joint Venture, to convert all or part of their equity interests in Euomar into common shares of Euroseas at a price to be based on the comparable values of Euomar and Euroseas at the time of exercise, with such conversion happening at not less than the net asset value of each entity. Depending on the value of each entity, it is possible that our joint venture partners will be able to convert into a majority of our common shares. We plan to recommend that our shareholders approve an amendment to our articles of incorporation authorizing an additional 100,000,000 common shares so that we have a sufficient number of shares to issue in the event of a potential exercise of these conversion rights.

Sales of a substantial number of any of the shares of common stock mentioned above may cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Because the Republic of the Marshall Islands, where we are incorporated, does not have a well-developed body of corporate law, shareholders may have fewer rights and protections than under typical United States law, such as Delaware, and shareholders may have difficulty in protecting their interest with regard to actions taken by our Board of Directors.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act (the "BCA"). The provisions of the BCA resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Republic of the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the law of the Republic of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions. Stockholder rights may differ as well. For example, under Marshall Islands law, a copy of the notice of any meeting of the shareholders must be given not less than 15 days before the meeting, whereas in Delaware such notice must be given not less than 10 days before the meeting. Therefore, if immediate shareholder action is required, a meeting may not be able to be convened as quickly as it can be convened under Delaware law. Also, under Marshall Islands law, any action required to be taken by a meeting of shareholders may only be taken without a meeting if consent is in writing and is signed by all of the shareholders entitled to vote, whereas under Delaware law action may be taken by consent if approved by the number of shareholders that would be required to approve such action at a meeting. Therefore, under Marshall Islands law, it may be more difficult for a company to take certain actions without a meeting even if a majority of the shareholders approve of such action. While the BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, public shareholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling shareholders than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a U.S. jurisdiction.

Item 4. Information on the Company

A. History and Development of the Company

Euroseas Ltd. is a Marshall Islands company incorporated in May 2005. We are a provider of worldwide ocean-going transportation services. We own and operate drybulk carriers that transport major bulks such as iron ore, coal and grains, and minor bulks such as bauxite, phosphate and fertilizers. We also own and operate containerships and multipurpose vessels that transport dry and refrigerated containerized cargoes, mainly including manufactured products and perishables. As of May 15, 2010, our fleet consisted of five drybulk carriers, comprised of four Panamax and one Handymax drybulk carriers, nine containerships and one multipurpose vessel. The total cargo carrying

capacity of the five drybulk carriers is 331,808 deadweight tons, or dwt, and of the nine containerships is 243,994 dwt and 15,779 twenty-foot equivalent units, or teu. Our multipurpose vessel can carry 22,568 dwt and/or 950 teu. Three of our vessels were acquired before January 1, 2004 and were controlled by the Pittas family interests. On June 29, 2005, the shareholders of the three vessels (and of four additional vessels that have since been sold) transferred their shares in each of the vessels to Euroseas in exchange for shares in Friends, a 100% owner of Euroseas at that time. We have purchased fifteen additional vessels since June 2005, three of which we sold in 2009.

On August 25, 2005, we raised approximately \$17.5 million in net proceeds from the private placement of our securities to a number of institutional and accredited investors (the "Private Placement"). In the Private Placement, we issued 2,342,331 shares of common stock at a price of \$9.00 per share (adjusted for the 1-for-3 reverse split of our common stock effected on October 6, 2006), as well as warrants to purchase an additional 585,589 shares of common stock. The warrants have a five year term and an exercise price of \$10.80 per share (adjusted for the 1-for-3 reverse split of our common stock).

On February 5, 2007, we raised approximately \$43.3 million in net proceeds from a follow-on common stock offering. On July 5, 2007, we raised approximately \$73.0 million in net proceeds from a follow-on common stock offering. On November 9, 2007, we raised approximately \$93.6 million in net proceeds from a follow-on common stock offering. During September 2009 we raised approximately \$0.65 million in net proceeds from the sale of 134,100 common shares sold pursuant to our sales agreement with Citigroup, as sales agent.

Our shares originally traded on the OTCBB under the symbol ESEAF.OB until October 5, 2006 and EUSEF.OB from October 6, 2006 to January 30, 2007. Our shares have traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol ESEA since January 31, 2007 and on the NASDAQ Global Select Market since January 1, 2008.

Our executive offices are located at 4 Messogiou & Evropis Street, 151 25, Maroussi, Greece. Our telephone number is +30-211-1804005.

**B. Business Overview**

Our fleet consists of: (i) drybulk carriers that transport iron ore, coal, grain and other dry cargoes along worldwide shipping routes; (ii) containerships that transport container boxes providing scheduled service between ports; and (iii) multipurpose vessels that can carry either drybulk cargoes or containers. Please see information in the section "Our Fleet", below. During 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 we had a fleet utilization of 98.5%, 98.9%, 99.7%, 98.0% and 94.8%, respectively, our vessels achieved daily time charter equivalent rates of \$17,485, \$14,312, \$21,468, \$23,695 and \$13,698, respectively, and we generated revenues of \$44.52 million, \$42.14 million, \$86.10 million, \$132.24 million and \$66.22 million, respectively.

Our business strategy is focused on providing consistent shareholder returns by carefully selecting the timing and the structure of our investments in drybulk and containership vessels and by reliably, safely and competitively operating the vessels we own, through our affiliate, Eurobulk. Representing a continuous shipowning and management history that dates back to the 19th century, we believe that one of our advantages in the industry is our ability to select and safely operate drybulk and containership vessels of any age. We continuously evaluate sale and purchase opportunities, as well as long term employment opportunities for our vessels.

**Our Fleet**

As of May 15, 2010, the profile and deployment of our fleet is the following:

Name	Type	Dwt	TEU	Year Built	Employment	TCE Rate (\$/day)
<b>Dry Bulk Vessels</b>						
PANTELIS	Panamax	74,020		2000	TC 'til Feb-12	\$17,500
ELENI P	Panamax	72,119		1997	TC 'til May/Aug-10	\$15,350 \$23,500

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

Then 'til Aug-12  
(currently detained  
off the coast of  
Somalia after  
being hijacked)

IRINI (*)	Panamax	69,734	1988	Baumarine Pool	
ARISTIDES N.P.	Panamax	69,268	1993	TC 'til Mar-12	\$18,900
MONICA P (**)	Handymax	46,667	1998	Bulkhandling Pool	
Total Dry Bulk Vessels	5	331,808			

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

Multipurpose Dry  
Cargo Vessels

TASMAN TRADER	1	22,568	950	1990	TC 'til Mar-12	\$9,500 'til Dec-10, \$9,000 'til Mar-12
---------------	---	--------	-----	------	----------------	---

Container Carriers

MAERSK NOUMEA	Intermediate	34,677	2,556	2001	TC 'til Aug-11 (3 annual options 'til Aug-14)	\$16,800 'til Aug 11 \$18,735 'til Aug 12 \$19,240 'til Aug 13 \$19,750 'til Aug 14
---------------	--------------	--------	-------	------	---	--

TIGER BRIDGE	Intermediate	31,627	2,228	1990	TC 'til Jun-10 (option 'til Mar-11) (option 'til Mar-12)	\$4,000 \$4,000 \$7,500
--------------	--------------	--------	-------	------	--	-------------------------------

DESPINA P	Handy size	33,667	1,932	1990	Laid-up	
JONATHAN P	Handy size	33,667	1,932	1990	Laid-up	

(ex- OEL  
INTEGRITY)

CAPTAIN COSTAS	Handy size	30,007	1,742	1992	TC 'til Jun-10	\$4,250
----------------	------------	--------	-------	------	----------------	---------

(ex-OEL  
TRANSWORLD)

YM PORT KELANG	Handy size	23,596	1,599	1993	TC 'til Nov-10 (option 'til Nov-11)	\$3,750 \$5,900
----------------	------------	--------	-------	------	---	--------------------

(ex-MASTRO  
NICOS, ex- YM  
XINGANG I)

MANOLIS P	Handy size	20,346	1,452	1995	TC 'til Oct-10 (option 'till Oct-11)	\$4,000 CONTEX less 10% (***)
-----------	------------	--------	-------	------	--	-------------------------------------

NINOS	Feeder	18,253	1,169	1990	TC 'til Jun-10	\$4,200
-------	--------	--------	-------	------	----------------	---------

(ex-YM QINGDAO  
I)

KUO HSIUNG	Feeder	18,154	1,169	1993	Monthly options TC 'til Dec-10 (option 'til Jun-11)	\$3,850 'til Dec-10 \$5,300 'til Jun-11
------------	--------	--------	-------	------	---	--

Total Container Carriers	9	243,994	15,779			
-----------------------------	---	---------	--------	--	--	--

Fleet Grand Total	15	598,370	16,729			
-------------------	----	---------	--------	--	--	--

(\*) "Irimi" is employed in the Baumarine spot pool that is managed by Klaveness, a major global charterer in the drybulk area.

(\*\*) "Monica P" is employed in the Bulkhandling spot pool that is managed by Klaveness, a major global charterer in the drybulk area.

(\*\*\*) CONTEX is a charter market index for 1,700 teu containership vessels





We plan to expand our fleet by investing in vessels in the drybulk, containership and multipurpose markets by targeting primarily mid-age vessels at the time of purchase under favorable market conditions. We also intend to take advantage of the cyclical nature of the market by buying and selling ships when we believe favorable opportunities exist. We employ our vessels in the spot and time charter market, through pool arrangements and under contracts of affreightment. As of May 15, 2010, seven of our containerships, our multipurpose vessel and three of our panamax bulkers are employed under time charters. One of our other panamax vessel, m/v Irini, is employed in the Baumarine pool that is managed by Klaveness, a major global charterer in the drybulk area. Our handymax vessel is employed in the Bulkhandling pool, also managed by Klaveness.

As of May 15, 2010, approximately 68% of our ship capacity days in 2010 accounting for fixed spot employment in the first and second quarter of the year, and approximately 31% of our ship capacity days in 2011, are under time charter contracts or protected from market fluctuations (via FFA contracts).

#### Management of Our Fleet

The operations of our vessels are managed by Eurobulk Ltd., or Eurobulk, an affiliated company, under a Master Management Agreement with us and separate management agreements with each ship-owning company. Eurobulk was founded in 1994 by members of the Pittas family and is a reputable ship management company with strong industry relationships and experience in managing vessels. Under our master management agreement, Eurobulk is responsible for providing us with executive services and commercial management services, which include obtaining employment for our vessels and managing our relationships with charterers. Eurobulk also performs technical management services, which include managing day-to-day vessel operations, performing general vessel maintenance, ensuring regulatory and classification society compliance, supervising the maintenance and general efficiency of vessels, arranging our hire of qualified officers and crew, arranging and supervising drydocking and repairs, arranging insurance for vessels, purchasing stores, supplies, spares and new equipment for vessels, appointing supervisors and technical consultants and providing technical support and shoreside personnel who carry out the management functions described above and certain accounting services.

Our Master Management Agreement with Eurobulk is effective as of February 7, 2008 and has an initial term of 5 years until February 6, 2013. The Master Management Agreement cannot be terminated by Eurobulk without cause or under other limited circumstances, such as sale of the Company or Eurobulk or the bankruptcy of either party. This Master Management Agreement will automatically be extended after the initial period for an additional five year period unless terminated on or before the 90th day preceding the initial termination date. Pursuant to the Master Management Agreement, each new vessel we acquire in the future will enter into a separate five year management agreement with Eurobulk.

During 2009, in exchange for providing us with the services described above, we pay Eurobulk a management fee of 655 Euros per vessel per day for any vessel operating and 50% (i.e. 327.5 Euros) of that for any vessel laid-up. The management fee is adjusted annually for inflation every January 1st. Starting January 1, 2010, we pay Eurobulk a fee of 665 Euros per vessel per day in operation and 332.5 Euros per vessel per day in lay up.

#### Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we possess the following competitive strengths:

- **Experienced Management Team.** Our management team has significant experience in all aspects of commercial, technical, operational and financial areas of our business. Aristides J. Pittas, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, holds a dual graduate degree in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering and Ocean Systems Management from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He has worked in various technical, shipyard and

ship management capacities and since 1991 has focused on the ownership and operation of vessels carrying dry cargoes. Dr. Anastasios Aslidis, our Chief Financial Officer, holds a Ph.D. in Ocean Systems Management also from Massachusetts Institute of Technology and has over 20 years of experience, primarily as a partner at a Boston based international consulting firm focusing on investment and risk management in the maritime industry.

- **Cost Effective Vessel Operations.** We believe that because of the efficiencies afforded to us through Eurobulk, the strength of our management team and the quality of our fleet, we are, and will continue to be, a reliable, low cost vessel operator, without compromising our high standards of performance, reliability and safety. Despite the average age of our fleet being approximately 17 years during 2009, our total vessel operating expenses, including management fees and general and administrative expenses but excluding drydocking expenses were \$5,444 per day for the year ended December 31, 2009. We consider this amount to be among the lowest of the publicly listed drybulk shipping companies in the U.S. even after accounting for the lower operating expenses of our 2 laid-up vessels. Our technical and operating expertise allows us to efficiently manage and transport a wide range of cargoes with a flexible trade route profile, which helps reduce ballast time between voyages and minimize off-hire days. Our professional, well-trained masters, officers and on board crews further help us to control costs and ensure consistent vessel operating performance. We actively manage our fleet and strive to maximize utilization and minimize maintenance expenditures for operational and commercial utilization. For the year ended December 31, 2009, our operational fleet utilization was 99.3% and since 2003 our operational utilization rate has averaged approximately 99.0%. Our commercial utilization rate (without including laid-up vessels) declined to 95.5% in 2009 due to the poor market for containerships; it averaged in excess of 99% between 2003 and 2008.
- **Strong Relationships with Customers and Financial Institutions.** We believe Eurobulk and the Pittas family have developed strong industry relationships and have gained acceptance with charterers, lenders and insurers because of their long-standing reputation for safe and reliable service and financial responsibility through various shipping cycles. Through Eurobulk, we offer reliable service and cargo carrying flexibility that enables us to attract customers and obtain repeat business. We also believe that the established customer base and reputation of Eurobulk and the Pittas family helps us to secure favorable employment for our vessels with well known charterers.

### Our Business Strategy

Our business strategy is focused on providing consistent shareholder returns by carefully timing and structuring acquisitions of drybulk carriers and containerships and by reliably, safely and competitively operating our vessels through Eurobulk. We continuously evaluate purchase and sale opportunities, as well as long term employment opportunities for our vessels.

- **Renew and Expand our Fleet.** We expect to grow our fleet in a disciplined manner through timely and selective acquisitions of quality vessels. We perform in-depth technical review and financial analysis of each potential acquisition and only purchase vessels as market conditions and developments present themselves. We continue to be focused on purchasing well-maintained secondhand vessels, which should provide a significant value proposition given the depressed price levels that exist currently. However, we will also consider purchasing newbuildings or newbuilding resales if the value proposition exists at the time. Furthermore, as part of our fleet renewal, we will continue to sell certain vessels when we believe it is in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders.
- **Maintain Balanced Employment.** We intend to strategically employ our fleet between time and spot charters. We actively pursue time charters to obtain adequate cash flow to cover as much as possible of our fleet's fixed costs, consisting of vessel operating expenses, management fees, general and administrative expenses, interest expense and drydocking costs for the upcoming 12-month period. We also use FFA contracts – as a substitute for time charter employment - to partly provide coverage for our drybulk vessels in order to increase the predictability of our revenues. We look to deploy the remainder of our fleet through spot charters, shipping pools or contracts of affreightment depending on our view of the direction of the markets and other tactical or strategic considerations. We believe this balanced employment strategy will provide us with more predictable operating cash flows and

sufficient downside protection, while allowing us to participate in the potential upside of the spot market during periods of rising charter rates. As of May 1, 2010, on the basis of our fixed spot and existing time charters and FFA contracts, approximately 68% of our vessel capacity in 2009 and approximately 31% in 2011 are fixed, which will help protect us from market fluctuations, enable us to make significant principal and interest payments on our debt and pay dividends to our shareholders.

- **Operate a Fleet in Two Sectors.** While remaining focused on the dry cargo segment of the shipping industry, we intend to continue to develop a diversified fleet of drybulk carriers and containerships of up to Panamax size. A diversified drybulk fleet profile will allow us to better serve our customers in both major and minor drybulk trades, as well as to reduce any dependency on any one cargo, trade route or customer. We will remain focused on the smaller size ship segment of the container market, which has not experienced the same level of expansion in vessel supply that has occurred with larger containerships. A diversified fleet, in addition to enhancing the stability of our cash flows, will also help us to reduce our exposure to unfavorable developments in any one shipping sector and to benefit from upswings in any one shipping sector experiencing rising charter rates.
- **Optimize Use of Financial Leverage.** We will use bank debt to partly fund our vessel acquisitions and increase financial returns for our shareholders. We actively assess the level of debt we incur in light of our ability to repay that debt based on the level of cash flow generated from our balanced chartering strategy and efficient operating cost structure. Our debt repayment schedule as of December 31, 2009 calls for a reduction of more than 32% of our debt by the end of 2011. We expect this will increase our ability to borrow funds to make additional vessel acquisitions in order to grow our fleet and continue pay dividends to our shareholders.

#### Our Customers

Our major charterer customers during the last three years include Klaveness (Baumarine and Bulkhandling shipping pools), Orient Express Lines, Yang Ming Lines, CMA-CGM, Maersk, Sinchart and Lloyd Triestino amongst others. We are a relationship driven company, and our top five customers in 2009 include three of our top five customers from 2008 (Klaveness, Yang Ming Lines and Orient Express Lines) and two from 2007 (Klaveness and Yang Ming Lines). Our top five customers accounted for approximately 54% of our total revenues in 2009, 44% of our total revenues in 2008 and 52% of our total revenues in 2007. In 2009, Klaveness, Orient Express Lines and Maersk Lines accounted for 16.84%, 10.33% and 10.25% of our total revenues; in 2008, Sinchart accounted for 13.80% of our total revenues; in 2007, Klaveness, Yang Ming Lines and Sinchart accounted for 15.07%, 12.53% and 12.10% of our total revenues, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, we do not have any material trade receivable from any of our customers that contributed more than 10% of our revenues during 2009.

#### The Dry Cargo and Containership Industries

Dry cargo shipping refers to the transport of certain commodities by sea between various ports in bulk or containerized form.

The drybulk commodities are often divided into two categories — major bulks and minor bulks. Major bulks include items such as coal, iron ore and grains, while minor bulks include items such as aluminum, phosphate rock, fertilizer raw materials, agricultural and mineral cargo, cement, forest products and some steel products, including scrap.

There are four main classes of drybulk carriers — Handysize, Handymax, Panamax and Capesize. These classes represent the sizes of the vessel carrying the cargo in terms of deadweight ton ("dwt") capacity, which is defined as the total weight including cargo that the vessel can carry when loaded to a defined load line on the vessel. Handysize vessels are the smallest of the four categories and include those vessels weighing up to 40,000 dwt. Handymax carriers are those vessels that weigh between 40,000 and 60,000 dwt, while Panamax vessels are those ranging from 60,000 dwt to 80,000 dwt. Vessels over 80,000 dwt are called Capesize vessels.

Drybulk carriers are ordinarily chartered either through a voyage charter or a time charter, under a longer term contract of affreightment or in pools. Under a voyage charter, the owner agrees to provide a vessel for the transport of cargo between specific ports in return for the payment of an agreed freight rate per ton of cargo or an agreed dollar

lump sum amount. Voyage costs, such as canal and port charges and bunker expenses, are the responsibility of the owner. Under a time charter, the ship owner places the vessel at the disposal of a charterer for a given period of time in return for a specified rate (either hire per day or a specified rate per dwt capacity per month) with the voyage costs being the responsibility of the charterer. In both voyage charters and time charters, operating costs (such as repairs and maintenance, crew wages and insurance premiums), as well as drydockings and special surveys, are the responsibility of the ship owner. The duration of time charters varies, depending on the evaluation of market trends by the ship owner and by charterers. Occasionally, drybulk vessels are chartered on a bareboat basis. Under a bareboat charter, operations of the vessels and all operating costs are the responsibility of the charterer, while the owner only pays the financing costs of the vessel.

A contract of affreightment ("COA") is another type of charter relationship where a charterer and a ship owner enter into a written agreement pursuant to which identified cargo will be carried over a specified period of time. COA's benefit charterers by providing them with fixed transport costs for a commodity over an identified period of time. COA's benefit ship owners by offering ascertainable revenue over that same period of time and eliminating the uncertainty that would otherwise be caused by the volatility of the charter market. A shipping pool is a collection of similar vessel types under various ownerships, placed under the care of a single commercial manager. The manager markets the vessels as a single fleet and collects the earnings which are distributed to individual owners under a pre-arranged weighing system by which each entered vessel receives its share. Pools have the size and scope to combine voyage charters, time charters and contracts of affreightment with freight forward agreements for hedging purposes, to perform more efficient vessel scheduling thereby increasing fleet utilization.

Containership shipping refers to the transport of containerized trade which encompasses mainly the carriage of finished goods, but an increasing number of other cargoes in container boxes. Containerized trade is the fastest growing sector of seaborne trade. Containerships are further categorized by their size measured in twenty-foot equivalent units (teu) and whether they have their own gearing. The different categories of containerships are as follows. Post-panamax vessels are vessels with carrying capacity of more than 4,000 teu. Panamax vessels are vessels with carrying capacity from 3,000 to 4,000 teu. Intermediate containerships are vessels with carrying capacity from 2,000 to 3,000 teu. Handysize containerships are vessels with carrying capacity from 1,300 to 2,000 teu and are sometimes equipped with cargo loading and unloading gear. Finally, Feeder containerships are vessels with carrying capacity from 500 to 1,300 teu and are usually equipped with cargo loading and unloading gear. Containerships are primarily employed in time charter contracts with liner companies, which in turn employ them as part of the scheduled liner operations. Feeder containership are put in liner schedules feeding containers to and from central regional ports (hubs) where larger containerships provide cross ocean or longer haul service. The length of the time charter contract can range from several months to years.

#### Our Competitors

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. We compete for charters on the basis of price, vessel location, size, age and condition of the vessel, as well as on our reputation. Eurobulk arranges our charters (whether spot charters, time charters or shipping pools) through the use of Eurochart S.A., or Eurochart, an affiliated brokering company who negotiates the terms of the charters based on market conditions. We compete primarily with other shipowners of drybulk carriers in the Handysize, Handymax and Panamax drybulk carrier sectors and the containership sector. Ownership of drybulk carriers and containerships is highly fragmented and is divided among state controlled and independent shipowners. Some of our publicly listed competitors include Diana Shipping Inc. (NYSE: DSX), DryShips Inc. (NASDAQ: DRYS), Excel Maritime Carriers Ltd. (NYSE: EXM), Eagle Bulk Shipping Inc. (NASDAQ: EGLE), Genco Shipping and Trading Limited (NASDAQ: GSTL), Navios Maritime Holdings Inc. (NASDAQ: BULK), Danaos Corporation (NYSE: DAC) and Goldenport Holdings Inc. (LSE: GPRT).

#### Seasonality

Coal, iron ore and grains, which are the major bulks of the drybulk shipping industry, are somewhat seasonal in nature. The energy markets primarily affect the demand for coal, with increases during hot summer periods when air conditioning and refrigeration require more electricity and towards the end of the calendar year in anticipation of the forthcoming winter period. The demand for iron ore tends to decline in the summer months because many of the major steel users, such as automobile makers, reduce their level of production significantly during the summer holidays. Grains are completely seasonal as they are driven by the harvest within a climate zone. Because three of the five largest grain producers (the United States of America, Canada and the European Union) are located in the



northern hemisphere and the other two (Argentina and Australia) are located in the southern hemisphere, harvests occur throughout the year and grains require drybulk shipping accordingly.

The containership industry seasonal trends are driven by the import patterns of manufactured goods and refrigerated cargoes by the major importers, such as the United States, Europe, Japan and others. The volume of containerized trade is usually higher in the fall in preparation for the holiday season. During this period of time, container shipping rates are higher and, as a result, the charter rates for containerships are higher. However, fluctuations due to seasonality in the container shipping industry are much less pronounced than in the drybulk shipping industry.

## Environmental and Other Regulations

Government laws and regulations significantly affect the ownership and operation of our vessels. We are subject to international conventions and treaties, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered relating to safety and health and environmental protection including the storage, handling, emission, transportation and discharge of hazardous and non-hazardous materials, and the remediation of contamination and liability for damage to natural resources. Compliance with such laws, regulations and other requirements entails significant expense, including vessel modifications and implementation of certain operating procedures.

A variety of governmental, quasi-governmental and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities, national authorities, harbor masters or equivalent, classification societies, flag state administrations (countries of registry) and charterers. Certain of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses, certificates and approvals for the operation of our vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend operation of one or more of the vessels in our fleet, or lead to the invalidation or reduction of our insurance coverage.

We believe that the heightened level of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers is leading to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the industry. Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the stricter environmental standards. We are required to maintain operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our officers and crews and compliance with U.S. and international regulations. We believe that the operation of our vessels is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that our vessels have all material permits, licenses, certificates or other approvals necessary for the conduct of our operations. However, because such laws and regulations are frequently changed and may impose increasingly stricter requirements, such future requirements may limit our ability to do business, increase our operating costs, force the early retirement of our vessels, and/or affect their resale value, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### Environmental Regulation – International Maritime Organization

The International Maritime Organization, the United Nations agency for maritime safety and the prevention of pollution by ships, or the IMO, has adopted the International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution, 1973, as modified by the related Protocol of 1978 relating thereto, which has been updated through various amendments, or the MARPOL Convention. The MARPOL Convention establishes environmental standards relating to oil leakage or spilling, garbage management, sewage, air emissions, handling and disposal of noxious liquids and the handling of harmful substances in packaged forms. The IMO adopted regulations that set forth pollution prevention requirements applicable to dry bulk carriers. These regulations have been adopted by over 150 nations, including many of the jurisdictions in which our vessels operate.

In September 1997, the IMO adopted Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention, Regulations for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, to address air pollution from ships. Effective May 2005, Annex VI sets limits on sulfur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from all commercial vessel exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances (such as halons and chlorofluorocarbons), emissions of volatile organic compounds from cargo tanks, and the shipboard incineration of specific substances. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. Our vessel manager has informed us that a plan to conform with the Annex VI regulations is in place and we believe we are in substantial compliance with Annex VI.



Additional or new conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could require the installation of expensive emission control systems and could adversely affect our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. In October 2008, the IMO adopted amendments to Annex VI regarding emissions of sulfur oxide, nitrogen oxide, particulate matter and ozone-depleting substances, which amendments enter into force on July 1, 2010. The amended Annex VI will reduce air pollution from vessels by, among other things, (i) implementing a progressive reduction of sulfur oxide emissions from ships by reducing the global sulfur fuel cap initially to 3.50% (from the current cap of 4.50%), effective from January 1, 2012, then progressively to 0.50%, effective from January 1, 2020, subject to a feasibility review to be completed no later than 2018; and (ii) establishing new tiers of stringent nitrogen oxide emissions standards for new marine engines, depending on their date of installation.

On October 9, 2008, the United States ratified the amended Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention, which went into effect on January 8, 2009. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, and the state of California, however, have each proposed more stringent regulations of air emissions from ocean-going vessels. On July 24, 2008, the California Air Resources Board of the State of California, or CARB, approved clean-fuel regulations applicable to all vessels sailing within 24 miles of the California coastline whose itineraries call for them to enter any California ports, terminal facilities, or internal or estuarine waters. The new CARB regulations require such vessels to use low sulfur marine fuels rather than bunker fuel. By July 1, 2009, such vessels are required to switch either to marine gas oil with a sulfur content of no more than 1.5% or marine diesel oil with a sulfur content of no more than 0.5%. By 2012, only marine gas oil and marine diesel oil fuels with 0.1% sulfur will be allowed. CARB unilaterally approved the new regulations in spite of legal defeats at both the district and appellate court levels, but more legal challenges are expected to follow. If CARB prevails and the new regulations go into effect as scheduled on July 1, 2009, in the event our vessels were to travel within such waters, these new regulations would require significant expenditures on low-sulfur fuel and would increase our operating costs. Finally, although the more stringent CARB regime was technically superseded when the United States ratified and implemented the amended Annex VI, the possible declaration of various U.S. coastal waters as Emissions Control Areas may in turn bring U.S. emissions standards into line with the new CARB regulations, which would cause us to incur further costs.

In March 2006, the IMO amended Annex I to the MARPOL Convention, including a new regulation relating to oil fuel tank protection, which became effective August 1, 2007. The new regulation will apply to various ships delivered on or after August 1, 2010. It includes requirements for the protected location of the fuel tanks, performance standards for accidental oil fuel outflow, a tank capacity limit and certain other maintenance, inspection and engineering standards.

#### Safety Management System Requirements

IMO also adopted the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS and the International Convention on Load Lines, or the LL Convention, which impose a variety of standards that regulate the design and operational features of ships. The IMO periodically revises the SOLAS and LL Convention standards. We believe that all our vessels are in material compliance with SOLAS and LL Convention standards.

Under Chapter IX of SOLAS, the International Safety Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention, or ISM Code, our operations are also subject to environmental standards and requirements contained in the ISM Code promulgated by the IMO. The ISM Code requires the party with operational control of a vessel to develop an extensive safety management system that includes, among other things, the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for operating its vessels safely and describing procedures for responding to emergencies. We rely upon the safety management system that we and our technical manager have developed for compliance with the ISM Code. The failure of a ship owner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance

coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. As of the date of this filing, each of our vessels is ISM code-certified.

The ISM Code requires that vessel operators obtain a safety management certificate for each vessel they operate. This certificate evidences compliance by a vessel's management with the ISM Code requirements for a safety management system. No vessel can obtain a safety management certificate unless its manager has been awarded a document of compliance, issued by each flag state, under the ISM Code. Our appointed ship managers have obtained documents of compliance for their offices and safety management certificates for all of our vessels for which the certificates are required by the IMO. The document of compliance, or the DOC, and ship management certificate, or the SMC, are renewed every five years but the DOC is subject to audit verification annually and the SMC at least every 2.5 years.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code and other IMO regulations may subject the shipowner or bareboat charterer to increased liability, may lead to decreases in, or invalidation of, available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, some ports. The U.S. Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code by the applicable deadlines will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports, as the case may be.

#### Pollution Control and Liability Requirements

IMO has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for oil pollution in international waters and the territorial waters of the signatory to such conventions. For example, IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention, in February 2004. The BWM Convention's implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements (beginning in 2009), to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not become effective until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world's merchant shipping. To date there has not been sufficient adoption of this standard for it to take force.

Although the United States is not a party to these conventions, many countries have ratified and follow the liability plan adopted by the IMO and set out in the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969, as amended in 2000, or the CLC. Under this convention and depending on whether the country in which the damage results is a party to the 1992 Protocol to the CLC, a vessel's registered owner is strictly liable, subject to certain defenses, for pollution damage caused in the territorial waters of a contracting state by discharge of persistent oil. The limits on liability outlined in the 1992 Protocol use the International Monetary Fund currency unit of Special Drawing Rights, or SDR. The right to limit liability is forfeited under the CLC where the spill is caused by the ship owner's actual fault and under the 1992 Protocol where the spill is caused by the ship owner's intentional or reckless conduct. Vessels trading with states that are parties to these conventions must provide evidence of insurance covering the liability of the owner. In jurisdictions where the CLC has not been adopted, various legislative schemes or common law govern, and liability is imposed either on the basis of fault or in a manner similar to that of the CLC. We believe that our protection and indemnity insurance will cover the liability under the plan adopted by the IMO.

The United States and Canada requested that the IMO designate the area extending 200 nautical miles from the Atlantic/Gulf and Pacific coasts of the U.S. and Canada and the Hawaiian Islands as Emission Control Areas under the MARPOL Annex VI amendments, which would subject ocean-going vessels in these areas to stringent emissions controls and cause us to incur additional costs. In July 2009, the IMO accepted the proposal in principle, and all member states party to MARPOL Annex VI will vote on the proposal in March 2010. Even if the proposal is not adopted, the United States or Canada may adopt more stringent emissions standards independent of the IMO.

The IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, to impose strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of bunker fuel. The Bunker Convention, which became effective on November 21, 2008, requires registered owners of ships over 1,000 gross tons to maintain insurance or other financial security for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). With respect to non-ratifying states, liability for spills or releases of oil carried as fuel in ship's bunkers typically is determined by the national or other domestic laws in the jurisdiction where the events or damages occur.

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, or the Anti-fouling Convention. The Anti-fouling Convention prohibits the use of organotin compound coatings to prevent the attachment of mollusks and other sea life to the hulls of vessels after September 1, 2003. The exteriors of vessels constructed prior to January 1, 2003 that have not been in drydock must, as of September 17, 2008, either not contain the prohibited compounds or have coatings applied to the vessel exterior that act as a barrier to the leaching of the prohibited compounds. Vessels of over 400 gross tons engaged in international voyages must obtain an International Anti-fouling System Certificate and undergo a survey before the vessel is put into service or when the anti-fouling systems are altered or replaced.

Noncompliance with the ISM Code or other IMO regulations may subject the shipowner or bareboat charter to increased liability, may lead to decreases in available insurance coverage for affected vessels and may result in the denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. The U.S. Coast Guard and European Union authorities have indicated that vessels not in compliance with the ISM Code by the applicable deadlines will be prohibited from trading in U.S. and European Union ports, respectively. As of the date of this report, each of our vessels is ISM Code-certified. However, we may not be able to maintain such certification indefinitely.

The IMO continues to review and introduce new regulations. It is impossible to predict what additional regulations, if any, may be passed by the IMO and what effect, if any, such regulations might have on our operations.

#### Environmental Regulation – The U.S. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

The OPA established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all shipowners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States of America, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in waters of the United States of America, which includes the territorial sea of the United States of America and its 200 nautical mile exclusive economic zone. The United States has also enacted the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or CERCLA, which applies to the discharge of hazardous substances other than oil, whether on land or at sea. Both OPA and CERCLA impact our operations.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators, charterers and management companies are "responsible parties" and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels, including bunkers (fuel). OPA defines these other damages broadly to include:

- natural resources damage and related assessment costs;
- real and personal property damage;
- net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees and other lost revenues;
- lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to property or natural resources damage;
- net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards; and
- loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

Effective July 31, 2009, the U.S. Coast Guard adjusted the limits of OPA liability for non-tank vessels to the greater of \$1,000 per gross ton or \$0.85 million per non-tank vessel that is over 3,000 gross tons (subject to possible adjustment for inflation). CERCLA, which applies to owners and operators of vessels, contains a similar liability regime and provides for cleanup, removal and natural resource damages. Liability under CERCLA is limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$5 million for vessels carrying a hazardous substance as cargo and the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million for any other vessel. These OPA and CERCLA limits of liability do not apply if an incident was directly caused by violation of applicable United States federal safety, construction or operating regulations or by a responsible party's gross negligence or willful misconduct, or if the responsible party fails or



refuses to report the incident or to cooperate and assist in connection with oil removal activities.

OPA and the U.S. Coast Guard also require owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the U.S. Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet the limit of their potential liability under OPA and CERCLA.

We currently maintain for each of our vessels pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1 billion per incident. If the damages from a catastrophic spill were to exceed our insurance coverage, it could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operation.

#### Environmental Regulation – The United States of America Clean Water Act

The U.S. Clean Water Act, or CWA, prohibits the discharge of oil or hazardous substances in U.S. navigable waters unless authorized by a duly-issued permit or exemption, and imposes strict liability in the form of penalties for any unauthorized discharges. The CWA also imposes substantial liability for the costs of removal, remediation and damages and complements the remedies available under OPA and CERCLA.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, regulates the discharge of ballast water and other substances in U.S. waters under the CWA. Effective February 6, 2009, EPA regulations require vessels 79 feet in length or longer (other than commercial fishing and recreational vessels) to comply with a Vessel General Permit authorizing ballast water discharges and other discharges incidental to the operation of vessels. The Vessel General Permit imposes technology and water-quality based effluent limits for certain types of discharges and establishes specific inspection, monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting requirements to ensure the effluent limits are met. U.S. Coast Guard regulations adopted under the U.S. National Invasive Species Act, or NISA, also impose mandatory ballast water management practices for all vessels equipped with ballast water tanks entering or operating in U.S. waters, and the Coast Guard recently proposed new ballast water management standards and practices, including limits regarding ballast water releases. Compliance with the EPA and the U.S. Coast Guard regulations could require the installation of equipment on our vessels to treat ballast water before it is discharged or the implementation of other port facility disposal arrangements or procedures at potentially substantial cost, and/or otherwise restrict our vessels from entering U.S. waters.

#### Environmental Regulation – The United States of America Clean Air Act

The U.S. Clean Air Act of 1970, as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and 1990, or the CAA, requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are generally not subject to vapor control and recovery requirements for certain cargoes when loading, unloading, ballasting, cleaning and conducting other operations in regulated port areas. The CAA also requires states to draft State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, designed to attain national health-based air quality standards in primarily major metropolitan and/or industrial areas. Several SIPs regulate emissions resulting from vessel loading and unloading operations by requiring the installation of vapor control equipment. Our vessels operating in covered port areas are already equipped with vapor recovery systems that satisfy these requirements. Although a risk exists that new regulations could require significant capital expenditures and otherwise increase our costs, based on the regulations that have been proposed to date, we believe that no material capital expenditures beyond those currently contemplated and no material increase in costs are likely to be required.

#### European Union Regulations

In October 2009, the European Union amended a directive to impose criminal sanctions for illicit ship-source discharges of polluting substances, including minor discharges, if committed with intent, recklessly or with serious negligence and the discharges individually or in the aggregate result in deterioration of the quality of water. Criminal liability for pollution may result in substantial penalties or fines and increased civil liability claims.

#### Greenhouse Gas Regulation

In February 2005, the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, or UNFCCC, which we refer to as the Kyoto Protocol, entered into force. Pursuant to the Kyoto Protocol, adopting countries are required to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, which are suspected of contributing to global warming. Currently, the emissions of greenhouse gases from

international shipping are not subject to the Kyoto Protocol. However, international negotiations are continuing with respect to a successor to the Kyoto Protocol, which sets emission reduction targets through 2012, and restrictions on shipping emissions may be included in any new treaty. In December 2009, more than 27 nations, including the United States and China, signed the Copenhagen Accord, which includes a non-binding commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The European Union has indicated that it intends to propose an expansion of the existing European Union emissions trading scheme to include emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels, if such emissions are not regulated through the IMO or the UNFCCC by December 31, 2010. In the United States, the EPA has issued a final finding that greenhouse gases threaten public health and safety, and has proposed regulations governing the emission of greenhouse gases from motor vehicles and stationary sources. The EPA may decide in the future to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ships and has already been petitioned by the California Attorney General to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going vessels. Other federal and state regulations relating to the control of greenhouse gas emissions may follow, including the climate change initiatives that are being considered in the U.S. Congress. In addition, the IMO is evaluating various mandatory measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from international shipping, including market-based instruments. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, European Union, the United States or other countries where we operate that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases could require us to make significant financial expenditures that we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

### Environmental Regulation – Other Environmental Initiatives

In 2005, the European Union adopted a directive on ship-source pollution, imposing criminal sanctions for intentional, reckless or negligent pollution discharges by ships. The directive could result in criminal liability for pollution from vessels in waters of European countries that adopt implementing legislation. Criminal liability for pollution may result in substantial penalties or fines and increased civil liability claims.

Our operations occasionally generate and require the transportation, treatment and disposal of both hazardous and non-hazardous solid wastes that are subject to the requirements of the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA, or comparable state, local or foreign requirements. In addition, from time to time we arrange for the disposal of hazardous waste or hazardous substances at offsite disposal facilities. If such materials are improperly disposed of by third parties, we may still be held liable for clean up costs under applicable laws.

### Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the U.S. Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 ("MTSA"), came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the U.S. Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States of America. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to SOLAS created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter became effective in July 2004 and imposes various detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created ISPS Code. The ISPS Code is designed to protect ports and international shipping against terrorism. After July 1, 2004, to trade internationally, a vessel must attain an International Ship Security Certificate from a recognized security organization approved by the vessel's flag state. Among the various requirements are:

- on-board installation of automatic identification systems, or AIS, to provide a means for the automatic transmission of safety-related information from among similarly equipped ships and shore stations, including information on a ship's identity, position, course, speed and navigational status;
- on-board installation of ship security alert systems, which do not sound on the vessel but only alert the authorities on shore;
  - the development of vessel security plans;
  - ship identification number to be permanently marked on a vessel's hull;
- A continuous synopsis record kept onboard showing a vessel's history including the name of the ship and of the state whose flag the ship is entitled to fly, the date on which the ship was registered with that state, the ship's identification number, the port at which the ship is registered and the name of the registered owner(s) and their registered address; and
  - compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The U.S. Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt from MTSA vessel security measures non-U.S. vessels that have on board, as of July 1, 2004, a valid International Ship Security Certificate attesting to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. Our

vessels are in compliance with the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code. We do not believe these additional requirements will have a material financial impact on our operations.

### Inspection by Classification Societies

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and SOLAS. Our vessels are currently classed with Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Bureau Veritas and Nippon Kaiji Kyokai. ISM and ISPS certification have been awarded by Bureau Veritas and the Panama Maritime Authority to our vessels and Eurobulk, our ship management company.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys, drydockings and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Every vessel is also required to be drydocked every two to three years for inspection of the underwater parts of such vessel. If any vessel does not maintain its class and/or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey, drydocking or special survey, the vessel will be unable to carry cargo between ports and will be unemployable and uninsurable which could cause us to be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements. Any such inability to carry cargo or be employed, or any such violation of covenants, could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

The following table lists the next drydocking and special survey for the vessels in our current fleet.

Vessel	Next	Type
TASMAN TRADER	June 2010	Special Survey
NINOS	July 2010	Special Survey
YM PORT KELANG	March 2011	Drydocking
ARISTIDES N.P.	February 2011	Drydocking
KUO HSIUNG	April 2011	Drydocking
IRINI	July 2011	Drydocking
MANOLIS P	April 2010	Special Survey
CAPTAIN COSTAS	April 2010	Drydocking
DESPINA P	January 2011	Special Survey
JONATHAN P	December 2010	Special Survey
TIGER BRIDGE	November 2010	Special Survey
MAERSK NOUMEA	June 2011	Special Survey
MONICA P	February 2011	Drydocking
ELENI P	October 2011	Special Survey
PANTELIS	September 2012	Intermediate Survey

### Risk of Loss and Liability Insurance

#### General

The operation of any cargo vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, physical damage, collision, property loss, cargo loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, piracy incidents, hostilities and labor strikes. In addition, there is always an inherent possibility of marine disaster, including oil spills

and other environmental mishaps, and the liabilities arising from owning and operating vessels in international trade. OPA, which imposes virtually unlimited liability upon shipowners, operators and bareboat charterers of any vessel trading in the exclusive economic zone of the United States of America for certain oil pollution accidents in the United States of America, has made liability insurance more expensive for shipowners and operators trading in the United States of America market. We carry insurance coverage as customary in the shipping industry, however not all risks can be insured, specific claims may be rejected, and we might not be always able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates.

#### Hull and Machinery Insurance

We procure hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance, which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance and war risk insurance and freight, demurrage and defense insurance for our fleet. We do not maintain insurance against loss of hire, which covers business interruptions that result in the loss of use of a vessel.

#### Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity insurance is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I Associations, which covers our third-party liabilities in connection with our shipping activities. This includes third-party liability and other related expenses of injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, loss or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances, and salvage, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal. Protection and indemnity insurance is a form of mutual indemnity insurance, extended by protection and indemnity mutual associations, or "clubs."

Our current protection and indemnity insurance coverage for pollution is \$1 billion per vessel per incident. The 13 P&I Associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. Our vessels are members of the UK Club and The Standard Club. Each P&I Association has capped its exposure to this pooling agreement at \$4.5 billion. As a member of a P&I Association, which is a member of the International Group, we are subject to calls payable to the associations based on our claim records as well as the claim records of all other members of the individual associations and members of the shipping pool of P&I Associations comprising the International Group.

#### C. Organizational structure

Euroseas is the sole owner of all outstanding shares of the subsidiaries listed in Note 1 of our consolidated financial statements under Item 18 and in Exhibit 8.1 to this annual report.

#### D. Property, plants and equipment

We do not own any real property. As part of the management services provided by Eurobulk during the period in which we conducted business to date, we have shared, at no additional cost, offices with Eurobulk. We do not have current plans to lease or purchase office space, although we may do so in the future.

Our interests in our vessels are owned through our wholly-owned vessel owning subsidiaries and these are our only material properties. Our vessels are subject to mortgages. Specifically:

- Searoute Maritime Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on May 20, 1992, owner of the Cyprus flag 33,712 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Ariel, which was built in 1977 and acquired on March 5, 1993. Ariel was sold on February 22, 2007.
- Oceanopera Shipping Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on June 26, 1995, owner of the Cyprus flag 34,750 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Nikolaos P, which was built in 1984 and acquired on July 22, 1996. Nikolaos P was sold on February 12, 2009.



- Oceanpride Shipping Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on March 7, 1998, owner of the Cyprus flag 26,354 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel John P, which was built in 1981 and acquired on March 7, 1998. John P was sold on July 5, 2006.
- Alcinoe Shipping Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on March 20, 1997, owner of the Cyprus flag 26,354 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Pantelis P, which was built in 1981 and acquired on June 4, 1997. Pantelis P was sold on May 31, 2006. On February 22, 2007, Alcinoe Shipping Ltd. acquired the 38,691 dwt Cyprus flag drybulk motor vessel Gregos, which was built in 1984. On June 13, 2007, m/v Gregos was transferred to Gregos Shipping Limited incorporated in the Marshall Islands and its flag was changed to the flag of the Marshall Islands. Gregos was sold on December 16, 2009.
- Alterwall Business Inc. incorporated in Panama on January 15, 2001, owner of the Panama flag 18,253 dwt container carrier motor vessel Ninos (ex YM Qingdao 1), which was built in 1990 and acquired on February 16, 2001.

- Allendale Investment S.A. incorporated in Panama on January 22, 2002, owner of the Panama flag 18,154 dwt container carrier motor vessel Kuo Hsiung, which was built in 1993 and acquired on May 13, 2002.
- Diana Trading Ltd. incorporated in the Marshall Islands on September 25, 2002, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 69,734 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Irini, which was built in 1988 and acquired on October 15, 2002.
- Salina Shipholding Corp., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on October 20, 2005, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 29,693 dwt container carrier motor vessel Artemis, which was built in 1987 and acquired on November 25, 2005. Artemis was sold on December 17, 2009.
- Xenia International Corp., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on April 6, 2006, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 22,568 dwt / 950 teu multipurpose motor vessel Tasman Trader, which was built in 1990 and acquired on April 27, 2006.
- Prospero Maritime Inc., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on July 21, 2006, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 69,268 dwt drybulk motor vessel Aristides N.P., which was built in 1993 and acquired on September 4, 2006.
- Xingang Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on October 16, 2006, owner of the Liberian flag 23,596 dwt container carrier YM Xingang I, which was built in February 1993 and acquired on November 15, 2006. On July 11, 2009, YM Xingang I was renamed Mastro Nicos and on November 5, 2009, it was renamed YM Port Kelang.
- Manolis Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Marshall Islands on March 16, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 20,346 dwt container carrier motor vessel Manolis P, which was built in 1995 and acquired on April 12, 2007.
- Eternity Shipping Company, incorporated in the Marshall Islands on May 17, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 30,007 dwt / 1,742 teu container carrier motor vessel OEL Transworld (ex Clan Gladiator), which was built in 1992 and acquired on June 13, 2007. On August 31, 2009, OEL Transworld was renamed Captain Costas.
- Emmentaly Business Inc., incorporated in Panama on July 4, 2007, owner of the Panamanian flag 33,667 dwt / 1,932 teu container carrier motor vessel Jonathan P, which was built in 1990 and acquired on August 7, 2007. On April 16, 2008, motor vessel Jonathan P was renamed to OEL Intergrity; OEL Intergrity was renamed back to Jonathan P on March 5, 2009.
- Pilory Associates Corp., incorporated in Panama on July 4, 2007, owner of the Panamanian flag 33,667 dwt / 1,932 teu container carrier motor vessel Despina P, which was built in 1990 and acquired on August 13, 2007.
- Tiger Navigation Corp., incorporated in Marshall Islands on August 29, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 31,627 dwt / 2,228 teu container carrier motor vessel Tiger Bridge, which was built in 1990 and acquired on October 4, 2007.
- Trust Navigation Corp., incorporated in Liberia on October 1, 2007, owner of the Liberian flag 64,873 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Ioanna P, which was built in 1984 and acquired on November 1, 2007. The vessel was sold on January 12, 2009.
- Noumea Shipping Ltd, incorporated in Marshall Islands on May 14, 2008, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 34,677 DWT / 2,556 TEU container vessel motor vessel Maersk Noumea, which was built in 2001 and acquired on May 22, 2008.

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

- Saf-Concord Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on June 8, 2008, owner of the Liberian flag 46,667 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Monica P, which was built in 1998 and acquired on January 19, 2009.
- Eleni Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on February 11, 2009, owner of the Liberian flag 72,119 dwt drybulk carrier motor vessel Eleni P, which was built in 1997 and acquired on March 6, 2009.
- Pantelis Shipping Ltd., incorporated in the Republic of Malta on July 2, 2009, owner of the Maltese flag 74,020 dwt bulk carrier m/v Pantelis which was built in 2000 and acquired on July 23, 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, our vessels, m/v Ninos and m/v Kuo Hsiung, were collateral for a loan with an outstanding balance of \$3,700,000. Our vessel, m/v Tasman Trader, was collateral for a loan with an outstanding balance of \$4,540,000. Our vessel, m/v Aristides N.P., was collateral for a loan with an outstanding balance of \$9,625,000. Our vessels, m/v YM Port Kelang and m/v Irini, were collateral for a loan with an outstanding balance of \$9,000,000. Our vessel, m/v Monica P, was collateral for a loan with an outstanding amount of \$9,250,000 and also collateral (i) along with our vessel, m/v Manolis P, to a loan with an outstanding amount of \$8,400,000, and (ii) along with our vessel m/v Tiger Bridge, to a loan with an outstanding amount of \$4,600,000. Our vessel, m/v Eleni P, was collateral for a loan with an outstanding amount of \$9,900,000. Our vessel, m/v Pantelis, was collateral for a loan with an outstanding amount of \$12,500,000.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and footnotes thereto contained in this annual report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements, which are based on our assumptions about the future of our business. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements. Please read "Forward-Looking Statements" for additional information regarding forward-looking statements used in this annual report. Reference in the following discussion to "our" and "us" refer to Euroseas, our subsidiaries and the predecessor operations of Euroseas, except where the context otherwise indicates or requires.

We are a Marshall Islands company incorporated in May 2005. We are a provider of worldwide ocean-going transportation services. We own and operate drybulk carriers that transport major bulks such as iron ore, coal and grains, and minor bulks such as bauxite, phosphate and fertilizers. We also own and operate containerships and multipurpose vessels that transport dry and refrigerated containerized cargoes, mainly including manufactured products and perishables. As of May 15, 2010, our fleet consisted of five drybulk carriers, comprised of four Panamax and one Handymax drybulk carriers, nine containerships and one multipurpose vessel. The total cargo carrying capacity of the five drybulk carriers is 331,308 deadweight tons, or dwt, and of the nine containerships is 243,994 dwt and 15,779 twenty-foot equivalent units, or teu. Our multipurpose vessel can carry 22,568 dwt and/or 950 teu.

We actively manage the deployment of our fleet between spot market voyage charters, which generally last from several days to several weeks, and time charters, which can last up to several years. Some of our vessels may participate in shipping pools, or, in some cases participate in contracts of affreightment. As of May 15, 2010, two of our vessels participated in shipping pools. We also use FFA contracts to provide partial coverage for our drybulk vessels - as a substitute for time charters - in order to increase the predictability of our revenues.

Vessels operating on time charters provide more predictable cash flows but can yield lower profit margins than vessels operating in the spot market during periods characterized by favorable market conditions. Vessels operating in the spot market generate revenues that are less predictable but may enable us to achieve increased profit margins during periods of high vessel rates although we are exposed to the risk of declining vessel rates, which may have a materially adverse impact on our financial performance. Vessels operating in pools benefit from better scheduling, and thus increased utilization, and better access to contracts of affreightment due to the larger commercial operation of the pool. We are constantly evaluating opportunities to increase the number of our vessels deployed on time charters or to participate in shipping pools (if available for our vessels), however we only expect to enter into additional time charters or shipping pools if we can obtain contract terms that satisfy our criteria. Containerships are employed

almost exclusively on time charter contracts. We carefully evaluate the length and the rate of the time charter contract at the time of fixing or renewing a contract considering market conditions, trends and expectations.

We constantly evaluate vessel purchase opportunities to expand our fleet accretive to our earnings and cash flow, as well as, sale opportunities of certain of our vessels.

A. Operating results

Factors Affecting Our Results of Operations

We believe that the important measures for analyzing trends in the results of our operations consist of the following:

**Calendar days.** We define calendar days as the total number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet was in our possession including off-hire days associated with major repairs, drydockings or special or intermediate surveys. Calendar days are an indicator of the size of our fleet over a period and affect both the amount of revenues and the amount of expenses that we record during that period.

**Available days.** We define available days as the total number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet was in our possession net of off-hire days associated with scheduled repairs, drydockings or special or intermediate surveys. The shipping industry uses available days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels were available to generate revenues.

**Voyage days.** We define voyage days as the total number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet was in our possession net of off-hire days associated with scheduled and unscheduled repairs, drydockings or special or intermediate surveys or days waiting to find employment. The shipping industry uses voyage days to measure the number of days in a period during which vessels actually generate revenues.

**Fleet utilization.** We calculate fleet utilization by dividing the number of our voyage days during a period by the number of our available days during that period. The shipping industry uses fleet utilization to measure a company's efficiency in finding suitable employment for its vessels and minimizing the amount of days that its vessels are off-hire either waiting to find employment ("commercial off-hire") or for reasons such as unscheduled repairs or other off-hire time related to the operation of the vessels ("operational off-hire"). We distinguish our fleet utilization into commercial and operational. We calculate our commercial fleet utilization by dividing our available days net of commercial off-hire days during a period by our available days during that period. We calculate our operational fleet utilization by dividing our available days net of operational off-hire days during a period by our available days during that period.

**Spot Charter Rates.** Spot charter rates are volatile and fluctuate on a seasonal and year to year basis. The fluctuations are caused by imbalances in the availability of cargoes for shipment and the number of vessels available at any given time to transport these cargoes.

**Time Charter Equivalent ("TCE").** A standard maritime industry performance measure used to evaluate performance is the daily time charter equivalent, or daily TCE. Daily TCE revenues are voyage revenues minus voyage expenses divided by the number of voyage days during the relevant time period. Voyage expenses primarily consist of port, canal and fuel costs that are unique to a particular voyage, which would otherwise be paid by a charterer under a time charter. We believe that the daily TCE neutralizes the variability created by unique costs associated with particular voyages or the employment of drybulk carriers on time charter or on the spot market (containership are chartered on a time charter basis) and presents a more accurate representation of the revenues generated by our vessels.

Basis of Presentation and General Information

We use the following measures to describe our financial performances:

**Voyage revenues.** Our voyage revenues are driven primarily by the number of vessels in our fleet, the number of voyage days during which our vessels generate revenues and the amount of daily charter hire that our vessels earn

under charters, which, in turn, are affected by a number of factors, including our decisions relating to vessel acquisitions and disposals, the amount of time that we spend positioning our vessels, the amount of time that our vessels spend in drydock undergoing repairs, maintenance and upgrade work, the age, condition and specifications of our vessels, levels of supply and demand in the transportation market, the number of vessels on time charters, spot charters and in pools and other factors affecting charter rates in both the drybulk carrier and containership markets.

Commissions. We pay commissions on all chartering arrangements of 1.25% to Eurochart, one of our affiliates, plus additional commission of usually up to 5% to other brokers involved in the transaction. These additional commissions, as well as changes to charter rates will cause our commission expenses to fluctuate from period to period. Eurochart also receives a fee equal to 1% calculated as stated in the relevant memorandum of agreement for any vessel bought or sold by it on our behalf.

**Voyage expenses.** Voyage expenses primarily consist of port, canal and fuel costs that are unique to a particular voyage which would otherwise be paid by the charterer under a time charter contract, as well as commissions. Under time charters, the charterer pays voyage expenses whereas under spot market voyage charters, we pay such expenses. The amounts of such voyage expenses are driven by the mix of charters undertaken during the period.

**Vessel operating expenses.** Vessel operating expenses include crew wages and related costs, the cost of insurance, expenses relating to repairs and maintenance, the costs of spares and consumable stores, tonnage taxes and other miscellaneous expenses. Our vessel operating expenses, which generally represent fixed costs, have historically changed in line with the size of our fleet. Other factors beyond our control, some of which may affect the shipping industry in general (including, for instance, developments relating to market prices for insurance or inflationary increases) may also cause these expenses to increase.

**Management fees.** These are the fees that we pay to Eurobulk, our affiliated ship manager, under our management agreement with Eurobulk for the technical and commercial management that Eurobulk performs on our behalf. The fee, as of May 15, 2010, is 665 Euros per vessel per day for vessels in operation and is payable monthly in advance adjusted annually for inflation on January 1st. During 2009, we paid 655 Euros per day per vessel in operation. The management fee for laid-up vessels is 50% of the above rates.

**Vessel depreciation.** We depreciate our vessels on a straight-line basis with reference to the cost of the vessel, age and scrap value as estimated at the date of acquisition. Depreciation is calculated over the remaining useful life of the vessel, which is estimated to range from 25 to 30 years from the date of original construction. We use 25 years of useful life for our drybulk vessels and 30 years for our containerships. Remaining useful lives of property are periodically reviewed and revised to recognize changes in conditions, new regulations or other reasons. Revisions of estimated lives are recognized over current and future periods.

**Drydocking and special survey expense.** Our vessels are required to be drydocked approximately every 30 to 60 months for major repairs and maintenance that cannot be performed while the vessels are trading. Through the end of 2008, we capitalized the costs associated with drydockings as they occurred and amortized these costs on a straight-line basis over the period between drydockings. Costs capitalized as part of the drydocking included: actual costs incurred at the drydock yard; cost of travel, lodging and subsistence of our personnel sent to the drydocking site to supervise; and the cost of hiring a third party to oversee a drydocking. We believe that these criteria were consistent with industry practice and that our policy of capitalization reflected the economics and market values of the vessels. Starting January 1, 2009, we changed the method of accounting for drydocking and special survey expenses to the direct expense method as this method eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity to determine which costs and activities related to drydocking and special survey should be deferred. We reflected this change as a change in accounting principles from an accepted accounting principle to a preferable accounting principles in accordance with guidance relating to Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The new accounting principle has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented (see also Note 2 in the attached Financial Statements).

**Interest expense and loan costs.** We traditionally finance vessel acquisitions partly with debt on which we incur interest expense. The interest rate we pay is generally linked to the 3-month LIBOR rate, although from time to time we utilize fixed rate loans or could use interest rate swaps to eliminate our interest rate exposure. Interest due is expensed in the period it is accrued. Loan costs are deferred and amortized over the period of the loan; the un-amortized portion is written-off if the loan is prepaid early.

**Other general and administrative expenses.** We incur expenses consisting mainly of executive compensation, professional fees, directors' liability insurance and reimbursement of our directors' and officers' travel-related



expenses. General and administrative expenses increased once we became a public company due to the duties typically associated with public companies. We acquire executive services, our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Internal Auditor and Secretary, through Eurobulk as part of our Master Management Agreement.

In evaluating our financial condition, we focus on the above measures to assess our historical operating performance and we use future estimates of the same measures to assess our future financial performance. In addition, we use the amount of cash at our disposal and our total indebtedness to assess our short term liquidity needs and our ability to finance additional acquisitions with available resources (see also discussion under "Capital Expenditures" below). In assessing the future performance of our present fleet, the greatest uncertainty relates to the spot market performance which affects those of our vessels that are not employed under fixed time charter contracts. Decisions about the acquisition of additional vessels or possible sales of existing vessels are based on financial and operational evaluation of such action and depend on the overall state of the drybulk, containership and multipurpose vessel market, the availability of purchase candidates, available employment and our general assessment of economic prospects for the sectors in which we operate.

## Results from Operations

Year ended December 31, 2009 compared year ended December 31, 2008.

**Voyage revenues.** Voyage revenues for the period were \$66.22 million, down 49.9% compared to the same period in 2008 during which voyage revenues amounted to \$132.24 million. This decrease was primarily due to the lower charter rates our vessels achieved in 2009 as compared to 2008, due to the significant decline in rates in both the drybulk and containership markets. In 2009, we operated an average of 16.30 vessels, a 4.4% increase over the average of 15.61 vessels we operated during the same period in 2008. Our fleet of 16.30 vessels had 55.5 scheduled off-hire days in 2009 and 911 laid-up days as three of our vessels were laid-up, M/V Artemis for almost the entire period and M/V Despina P and M/V Jonathan P for the second, third and fourth quarters. We had 223.7 commercial off-hire days and 35 operational off-hire days. While employed, our vessels generated a time-charter equivalent ("TCE") rate of \$13,698 per day per vessel compared to \$23,695 per day per vessel in 2008, a decline of 42.2%.

The average TCE rate our vessels achieve is a combination of the time charter rate earned by our vessels under time charter contracts, which is not influenced by market developments during the duration of the charter (unless the two charter parties renegotiate the terms of the charter or the charterer is unable to make the contracted payments), and the TCE rate earned by our vessels employed in the spot market which is influenced by market developments. Charter rates in 2009 were significantly lower compared to 2008. Our vessels that operated in the spot market or came off time charter contracts and had to be re-chartered were negatively influenced by the depressed market levels during 2009 and had to incur commercial off-hire time.

**Commissions.** Commissions for the period were \$2.43 million. At 3.68% of voyage revenues, commissions as a percentage were slightly lower than in 2008; for the year ended December 31, 2008, commissions amounted to \$5.94 million, or 4.49% of voyage revenues. The dollar amount of commissions is lower because revenues in 2009 are significantly lower as compared to 2008. The main reason for this percentage reduction is that voyage revenues in 2009 include a larger contribution from the vessels that operated in pools where commission is paid at the pool level partly offset by the lower amortization of the fair value of below market charters (\$3.63 million in 2009 versus \$6.14 million in 2008) against which no commission is charged.

**Voyage expenses.** Voyage expenses for the year were \$1.51 million and related to expenses for certain voyage charters. For the year ended December 31, 2008, voyage expenses amounted to \$3.09 million. Because our vessels are generally chartered under time charter contracts, voyage expenses represent a small fraction (2.3% in each of 2009 and 2008) of voyage revenues. Voyages expenses are dependent on the number of voyage charters, the cost of fuel, port costs and canal tolls. Lower fuel prices during 2009 contributed to lower voyage costs for the year.

**Vessel operating expenses.** Vessel operating expenses were \$23.67 million in 2009 compared to \$27.52 million for 2008. The decline is partly due to the fact that three of our vessels were laid-up for the entire or a greater part of the year (a total of 911 days) and thus incurred significantly lower costs and partly to other costs savings. This was partly offset by the higher average number of vessels we operated in 2009, specifically, an average of 16.30 vessels compared to 15.61 vessels in 2008. Daily vessel operating expenses per vessel decreased between the two periods to \$3,979 per day in 2009 compared to \$4,816 per day in 2008, a 17.4% decrease, from roughly 55% savings from the laid-up vessels, and the remaining from lower lubricant costs, savings from lower exchange rate of the Euro and other currencies with respect to the U.S. dollar and further cost savings for the vessels in their second year of operations under our management.

**Management fees.** These are part of the fees we pay to Eurobulk under our Master Management Agreement. During 2009, Eurobulk charged us 655 Euros per day per vessel totalling \$5.07 million for the period, or \$853 per day per vessel (or, \$924 per vessel per operating day if we adjust for the fact that laid-up vessels paid half the daily

management fee). In 2008, management fees amounted to \$5.39 million, or \$943 per day per vessel based on the daily rate per vessel of 630 Euros. The decrease of the daily management fee in US Dollars on a per operating day basis (from \$943 in 2008 to \$924 in 2009), despite the increase of the daily management fee in Euros, is due to the lower Euro exchange rate in 2009 as compared to 2008. The decrease in the total management fees paid in 2009 also resulted from the lower fee paid by the laid-up vessels partly offset by the higher average number of vessels we owned during 2009, namely 16.30 vessels as compared to an average of 15.61 vessels we owned in 2008.

Other general and administrative expenses. These are expenses we pay as part of our operation as a public company and include the fixed portion of our management agreement fees, legal and auditing fees, directors' and officers' liability insurance and other miscellaneous corporate expenses. In 2009, we had a total of \$3.64 million of general and administrative expenses as compared to \$4.06 million in 2008. The decrease is mainly due to lower incentive non-cash compensation of approximately \$0.82 million in 2009 as opposed to \$1.62 million in 2008 for incentive awards given to certain officers, directors and other key persons partly offset by higher executive management fees and other miscellaneous expenses.

Drydocking expenses. These are expenses we pay for our vessels to complete a drydocking as part of an intermediate or special survey. As of January 1, 2009, we use the direct expense method of accounting for such expenses as opposed to the deferral method that we employed previously. In 2009, we had two vessels undergoing drydocking for a total of \$1.91 million. During 2008, we had five vessels undergoing drydocking for which we incurred \$6.13 million of expenses.

Vessel depreciation. Vessel depreciation for 2009 was \$19.09 million. Comparatively, vessel depreciation for 2008 amounted to \$28.28 million. Vessel depreciation in 2008 was higher compared to 2009 partly because the m/v Ioanna P and m/v Nikolaos P, which were sold in the first quarter of 2009, contributed about \$6.48 million to the depreciation expenses of 2008 (they were not depreciated at all in 2009 as they were classified as "held for sale" as of December 31, 2008); and, partly due to the net changes in estimates of the useful lives of our containerships (from 25 to 30 years based on their intended use and industry practice) and the decrease of the scrap price per ton (from \$300 to \$250 to better reflect changes in the scrap metal market), depreciation expenses for 2009 were reduced by \$6.44 million. These reductions were partly offset by \$3.40 million incremental depreciation expenses for the three vessels we purchased in 2009 (m/v Monica P, m/v Eleni P and m/v Pantelis) and the fact the m/v Maersk Noumea, purchased in May 2008, was depreciated for a full year in 2009 as compared to about eight months in 2008.

Impairment loss. This is an impairment loss for two vessels classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008. The impairment loss of \$25.11 million represents the excess carrying values of the vessels over their fair value which was calculated based on the agreed sale prices of the vessels less the cost to sell them. There was no impairment for any other vessel in 2008 or any vessel in 2009, as the undiscounted cash flow test performed as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively, determined that the carrying amounts of our vessels held for use were recoverable.

Net gain or loss from vessel sales. There was no vessel sale in 2008 compared to four vessels sold in 2009 for a loss of \$8.96 million which relates to the sale of m/v Artemis and m/v Gregos. Two of the vessels sold in 2009, m/v Ioanna P and m/v Nikolaos, were classified as vessels held for sale as of December 31, 2008 and their result was classified as "Impairment loss" (see discussion above).

Interest and other financing costs, net. Interest and other financing cost, net for the period were \$0.32 million. Of this amount, \$1.44 million relates to interest incurred, loan fees and expenses paid and deferred loan fees written-off during the period, offset by \$1.12 million of interest income during the period. Comparatively, during the same period in 2008, net interest and finance income amounted to \$0.24 million, comprised of \$2.93 million of interest incurred and loan fees and offset by \$3.17 million of interest income. Interest incurred and loan fees were lower in 2009 due to the lower average loan amounts outstanding and lower LIBOR interest rates partly offset by the higher interest rate margins over LIBOR for the three loans drawn during 2009. Interest income was lower in 2009 due to lower average cash balances during the year and lower LIBOR interest rates.

Investments and Foreign Exchange Gains or Losses. In 2009, we had a \$36,477 foreign exchange gain compared to a \$7,888 foreign exchange gain in 2008. In 2009, we had a realized gain from investments in trading securities of \$0.41 million and an unrealized loss of \$5,325, compared to a realized gain of \$81,193 and an unrealized loss from investments in trading securities of \$2.39 million in 2008. Our investments in trading securities produced \$0.32 million in dividend income in 2008; we had no dividend income in 2009.

Derivatives losses. In 2009, we had a realized loss of \$0.68 million from two interest rate swap contracts that we entered into in July 2008 and July 2009 and unrealized gains of \$0.28 million from the same interest rate swaps compared to a realized loss of \$77,105 from the July 2008 interest rate swap contract and unrealized losses of \$2.18 million in 2008. In 2009, we had realized losses of \$7.48 million as well as unrealized losses of \$7.90 million from a number of Forward Freight Agreement ("FFA") contracts that we entered into in December 2008 and during 2009. In

2008, we had unrealized losses from FFA contracts we entered into in 2008 of \$1.22 million. We entered into both the interest rate swaps and FFA contracts to mitigate our exposure to possible increases in interest rates and further declines in the drybulk market rates, but to-date the markets have moved against our positions under these contracts.

Net loss / income. As a result of the above, net loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$15.63 million compared to net income of \$21.49 million for the same period in 2008.

Year ended December 31, 2008 compared year ended December 31, 2007.

**Voyage revenues.** Voyage revenues for the period were \$132.24 million, up 53.6% compared to the same period in 2007 during which voyage revenues amounted to \$86.10 million. This increase was primarily due to the higher charter rates our vessels achieved in 2008 as compared to 2007, especially in the drybulk market, and to the larger fleet we operated. In 2008, we operated an average of 15.61 vessels, a 36.0% increase over the average of 11.48 vessels we operated during 2007. Our fleet of 15.61 vessels had throughout the period 113 unscheduled off-hire days and 151 days of scheduled off-hire for the drydocking of five vessels, generating an average TCE rate per vessel of \$23,695 per day compared to \$21,468 per day per vessel for the same period in 2007. The average TCE rate our vessels achieve is a combination of the time charter rate earned by our vessels under time charter contracts, which is not influenced by market developments during the duration of the charter, and the TCE rate earned by our vessels employed in the spot market which is influenced by market developments. Shipping rates in the first nine months of 2008 were on average stronger compared to the first nine months of 2007, but rates fell by more than 90% in the last three months of the year and were significantly weaker than the last three months of 2007. Our vessels that operated in the spot market or came off time charter contracts and had to be rechartered were negatively influenced by the depressed market levels during the fourth quarter of 2008.

**Commissions.** Commissions for the period were \$5.94 million. At 4.49% of voyage revenues, commissions were slightly lower than in 2007; for the year ended December 31, 2007, commissions amounted to \$4.02 million, or 4.67% of voyage revenues. The main reason for this reduction is that voyage revenues in 2008 include a larger contribution from the amortization of the fair value of below market charters (a \$6.14 million increase in 2008 as compared to a \$0.55 million reduction in 2007) against which no commission is charged.

**Voyage expenses.** Voyage expenses for the year were \$3.09 million related to expenses for certain voyage charters. For the year ended December 31, 2007, voyage expenses amounted to \$0.90 million. Because our vessels are generally chartered under time charter contracts, voyage expenses represent a small fraction (2.3% and 1.0% in 2008 and 2007, respectively) of voyage revenues; in 2008, we had more voyage charters than in 2007 which resulted in higher voyage expenses.

**Vessel operating expenses.** Vessel operating expenses were \$27.52 million in 2008 compared to \$17.24 million for 2007. This difference was due to the higher average number of vessels we operated in 2008, specifically, an average of 15.61 vessels in 2008 compared to 11.48 vessels in 2007. Daily vessel operating expenses per vessel increased between the two periods to \$4,816 per day in 2008 compared to \$4,115 per day in 2007, a 17.0% increase, reflecting primarily higher crew and lubricant costs and higher exchange rate of the Euro and other currencies with respect to the U.S. dollar.

**Management fees.** These are part of the fees we pay to Eurobulk under our Master Management Agreement. During 2008, Eurobulk charged us 630 Euros per day per vessel totalling \$5.39 million for the period, or \$943 per day per vessel. In 2007, management fees amounted to \$3.67 million, or \$875 per day per vessel based on the daily rate per vessel of 628 Euros. The increase on a per day basis is solely due to the higher Euro exchange rate in 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase in the management fees paid in 2008 also resulted from an increase in the average number of vessels we owned during the period; in 2008, we owned 15.61 vessels compared to an average of 11.48 vessels we owned during 2007.

**Other general and administrative expenses.** These are expenses we pay as part of our operation as a public company and include the fixed portion of our management agreement fees, legal and auditing fees, directors' and officers' liability insurance and other miscellaneous corporate expenses. In 2008, we had a total of \$4.06 million of general and administrative expenses as compared to \$2.66 million in 2007. The increase is mainly due to higher incentive

non-cash compensation of approximately \$0.80 million in 2008 for incentives awards given to certain officers, directors and other key persons as well as higher management fees we paid to Eurobulk for executive services of approximately \$0.49 million in 2008; the remaining increase of approximately \$0.11 million is due to various other miscellaneous expenses.

Drydocking expenses. These are expenses we pay for our vessels to complete a drydocking as part of an intermediate or special survey. On January 1, 2009, we switched to using the direct expense method of accounting for such expenses as opposed to the deferral method that we employed previously. In 2008, we had five vessels undergoing drydocking for which we incurred \$6.13 million of expenses. In 2007, we had seven vessels undergoing drydocking for which we paid \$5.77 million.

Vessel depreciation. Vessel depreciation in 2008 was \$28.28 million. Comparatively, depreciation in 2007 amounted to \$16.42 million. Depreciation in 2008 was higher than in 2007 because of the higher average number of vessels and also because full year depreciation was charged for vessels bought in 2007 and depreciation for one additional vessel bought in 2008.

Impairment loss. This is an impairment loss for two vessels classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008. The impairment loss of \$25.11 million represents the excess carrying values of the vessels over their fair value which was calculated based on the agreed sale prices of the vessels less the cost to sell them. There was no impairment for any other vessel in 2008, as the undiscounted cash flow test performed as of December 31, 2008 determined that the carrying amounts of our vessels held for use were recoverable. In 2007, there were no events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying amount of any vessels may not be recoverable and as a result no impairment was assessed.

Net gain or loss from vessel sales. There was no vessel sale in 2008 compared to one vessel sold in 2007 for a gain of \$3.44 million.

Interest and other financing costs, net. Interest and other financing income, net for the period were \$0.24 million. Of this amount, \$2.93 million relates to interest incurred, loan fees and expenses paid and deferred loan fees written-off during the period, offset by \$3.17 million of interest income during the period. Comparatively, during the same period in 2007, net interest and finance costs amounted to \$2.49 million, comprised of \$4.85 million of interest incurred and loan fees and offset by \$2.36 million of interest income. Interest incurred and loan fees were lower in 2008 due to the lower loan amount outstanding as a result of no new loans being assumed in 2008 and the repayment of loans outstanding as of December 31, 2007. Interest income was higher in 2008 due to the uninvested proceeds from the follow-on common stock offering of November 2007; of the net proceeds of the that offering amounting to approximately \$93.55 million, only \$43.58 million was invested for the acquisition of m/v Maersk Noumea with the rest producing interest income. Interest income in 2007 was also the result of the above and two additional follow-on common stock offerings earlier in 2007 the proceeds of which were invested by November 2007 and as a result produced lower interest income.

Investments and Foreign Exchange Gains or Losses. In 2008, we had a \$7,888 foreign exchange gain compared to a \$7,824 foreign exchange loss in 2007. In 2008, we had a realized gain from investments in trading securities of \$81,193 and an unrealized loss of \$2.39 million, as the share price of the securities we held declined by about 75% during 2008, compared to an unrealized gain from investments in trading securities of \$98,744 in 2007. Our investments in trading securities produced \$0.32 million in dividend income in 2008; we had no dividend income in 2007.

Derivatives losses. In 2008, we had a realized loss of \$77,105 from an interest rate swap contract that we entered in July 2008. We had unrealized losses of \$3.40 million, net, from the same interest rate swap and six Forward Freight Agreement ("FFA") contracts that we entered into in December 2008 since the market moved against our positions under the interest rate swap and most of the FFA contracts during the remainder of the year. Specifically, interest 5-year swap rates declined since July 2008, and the drybulk market FFA contract rates for 2009 and 2010 increased during December 2008 resulting in unrealized losses of \$2.18 million and \$1.22 million, net, respectively. In 2007, we had no derivative contracts.

Net income. As a result of the above, net income for the year ended on December 31, 2008 was \$21.49 million compared to \$36.46 million for the same period in 2007, representing an decrease of 41.1 %.

Critical Accounting Policies



The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or U.S. GAAP. The preparation of those financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that reflect significant judgments or uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We have described below what we believe are our most critical accounting policies that involve a high degree of judgment and the methods of their application.

## Depreciation

We record the value of our vessels at their cost (which includes acquisition costs directly attributable to the vessel and expenditures made to prepare the vessel for its initial voyage) less accumulated depreciation. We depreciate our vessels on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, estimated to range from 25 to 30 years from date of initial delivery from the shipyard. We believe that the 25 to 30 year range of depreciable life is consistent with that of other ship owners. As of December 31, 2006, one of our vessels had already reached an age of 29 years and continued to be employed (this vessel, m/v Ariel, was sold for further trading in February 2007). Depreciation is based on cost less the estimated residual scrap value. In November 2008, the estimated useful life of the containerships and multipurpose vessels was increased to 30 years (from 25 years until then) in line with industry practice and the intended use of such vessels; also, the estimated scrap value of the vessels was reduced from \$300 to \$250 per light ton to better reflect market price developments in the scrap metal market. An increase in the useful life of the vessel or in the residual value would have the effect of decreasing the annual depreciation charge and extending it into later periods. A decrease in the useful life of the vessel or in the residual value would have the effect of increasing the annual depreciation charge.

## Revenue and expense recognition

Revenues are generated from voyage and time charter agreements. If a charter agreement exists, the price is fixed, service is provided and the collection of the related revenue is reasonably assured, revenues are recorded over the term of the charter as service is provided and recognized on a pro-rata basis over the duration of the voyage or time charter adjusted for the off-hire days that a vessel spends undergoing repairs, maintenance or upgrade work. A voyage is deemed to commence upon the later of the completion of discharge of the vessel's previous cargo or the time it receives a contract that is not cancelable and is deemed to end upon the completion of discharge of the current cargo. A time charter contract is deemed to commence from the time of the delivery of the vessel to an agreed port and is deemed to end upon the re-delivery of the vessel at an agreed port. We generally enter into a charter agreement for the vessel's next voyage or time charter prior to the time of discharge of the previous cargo or completion of previous time charter. We do not begin recognizing voyage or time charter revenue until a charter contract has been agreed to both by us and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo or completed the previous time charter and it is sailing to the anticipated load port for its next voyage or to the port it will be delivered to the next charterer. Demurrage income, which is included in voyage revenues, represents payments received from the charterer when loading or discharging time exceeded the stipulated time in the voyage charter and is recognized when earned. Probable losses on voyages are provided for in full at the time such losses can be estimated.

For the Company's vessels operating in chartering pools, revenues and voyage expenses are pooled and allocated to each pool's participants on a time charter equivalent basis in accordance with an agreed-upon formula. For vessels that simultaneously participate in spot chartering pools and cargo pools (pools of contracts of affreightment, also called, short funds; in the Company's case, participation in cargo pools requires participation in spot chartering pools), a combined time charter equivalent revenue is provided by the operator of the vessel and cargo pools. Revenues and voyage expenses are recognized during the period services were performed, the collectability has been reasonably assured, an agreement with the pool exists and price is determinable.

Charter fees received in advance are recorded as a liability (deferred revenue) until charter services are rendered.

Vessels operating expenses comprise all expenses relating to the operation of the vessels, including crewing, insurance, repairs and maintenance, stores, lubricants, spares and consumables, professional and legal fees and miscellaneous expenses. Vessel operating expenses are incurred when the vessel is chartered under a voyage charter and are recognized as incurred; payments in advance of services or use are recorded as prepaid expenses. Voyage

expenses comprise all expenses relating to particular voyages, including bunkers, port charges, canal tolls, and agency fees. Voyage expenses are recognized on a pro-rata basis over the estimated length of the each voyage. The impact of recognizing voyage expenses on a pro-rata basis over the length of the each voyage is not materially different on a quarterly and annually basis from a method of recognizing such expenses as incurred.

#### Drydock costs

Our vessels are required to be drydocked approximately every 30 to 60 months for major repairs and maintenance that cannot be performed while the vessels are trading. Up to December 31, 2008, we capitalized the costs associated with drydockings as they occurred and amortized these costs on a straight-line basis over the period between drydockings. Costs capitalized as part of the drydocking included actual costs incurred at the drydock yard, cost of travel, lodging and subsistence of our personnel sent to the drydocking site to supervise and the cost of hiring a third party to oversee a drydocking. These criteria were consistent with industry practice and the policy of capitalization reflected the economics and market values of the vessels. As of January 1, 2009, the Company elected to change the method of accounting for drydocking and special survey expenses to the direct expense method as this method eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity to determine which costs and activities related to drydocking and special survey should be deferred. We reflected this change as a change in accounting principles from an accepted accounting principle to a preferable accounting principle in accordance with guidance relating to Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The new accounting principle has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented (see also Note 2 of attached Financial Statements).

#### Fair value of time charter acquired

We record all identified tangible and intangible assets or any liabilities associated with the acquisition of a vessel at fair value. Where vessels are acquired with existing time charters, the Company determines the present value of the difference between: (i) the contractual charter rate and (ii) the prevailing market rate for a charter of equivalent duration. In discounting the charter rate differences in future periods, the Company uses its Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) adjusted to account for the credit quality of the charterer. The capitalized above-market (assets) and below-market (liabilities) charters are amortized as a reduction and increase, respectively, to voyage revenues over the remaining term of the charter.

#### Impairment of long-lived assets

We evaluate the carrying amounts and periods over which long-lived assets are depreciated to determine if events have occurred which would require modification to their carrying values or useful lives. In evaluating useful lives and carrying values of long-lived assets, we review certain indicators of potential impairment, such as undiscounted projected operating cash flows, vessel sales and purchases, business plans and overall market conditions. We determine undiscounted projected net operating cash flows for each vessel and compare it to the vessel carrying value. When the estimate of undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, expected to be generated by the use of the asset is less than its carrying amount, we should evaluate the asset for an impairment loss. In the event that impairment occurred, we would determine the fair value of the related asset and we record a charge to operations calculated by comparing the asset's carrying value to the estimated fair market value. We estimate fair market value primarily through the use of third party valuations performed on an individual vessel basis.

The carrying values of the Company's vessels may not represent their fair market value at any point in time since the market prices of second-hand vessels tend to fluctuate with changes in charter rates and the cost of newbuildings.

The Company did not note for 2007, any events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying amount of its vessels may not be recoverable. However, in the fourth quarter of 2008, market conditions changed significantly as a result of the credit crisis and resulting slowdown in world trade. Starting at the end of the third quarter and during the fourth quarter of 2008, the charter rates in the drybulk and containership market declined significantly and vessel values also declined (although there were scarce transactions to document that) both as a result of a slowdown in the availability of global credit and the significant deterioration in charter rates. These were conditions that the Company considers to be indicators of potential impairment. The Company performed the undiscounted cash flow test as of December 31, 2008. We determine undiscounted projected net operating cash flows for each vessel and compare it to the vessel's carrying value. This assessment is made at the individual vessel level since separately identifiable cash flow information for each vessel is available. In developing estimates of future cash flows, the Company made assumptions about future charter rates, utilization rates, ship operating expenses, future dry docking costs and the estimated remaining useful lives of the vessels. These assumptions are based on historical trends as well as future expectations in line with the Company's historical performance and our expectations for future fleet utilization under our current fleet deployment strategy. The Company determined that the carrying amounts of its vessels held for use were recoverable. The Company recorded an impairment loss for two of its vessels that were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008. The impairment loss for these assets was measured on the basis of their fair market value as per the agreed sale price less cost to sell them and estimated at \$25.11 million. This amount is presented in the "Impairment loss" line in the "Operating Expenses" section of the "Consolidated Statements of Operations". During 2009, charter rates and vessel values for drybulk vessels improved significantly from the levels observed (or assessed in the case of vessel values) in the fourth quarter of 2008 and first quarter of 2009. In contrast, charter rates and values in the containership market remained depressed throughout the year. Once again, the Company considered the conditions of the containership market to be indicators of potential impairment. The

Company performed the undiscounted cash flow test as of December 31, 2009 and determined that the carrying amounts of its vessels held for use were recoverable.

Our impairment test exercise is highly sensitive on variances in the time charter rates, fleet effective utilization rate, estimated scrap values, future drydocking costs and estimated vessel operating costs. Our current analysis, which involved also a sensitivity analysis by assigning possible alternative values to these inputs, indicates that there is no impairment of individual long lived assets. However, there can be no assurance as to how long term charter rates and vessel values will remain at their currently low levels or whether they will improve by any significant degree. Charter rates may remain at depressed levels for some time which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability, and future assessments of vessel impairment.

### Stock incentive plan awards

We include share-based compensation in "Other general and administrative expenses" in the consolidated statements of operations. Share-based compensation represents vested and nonvested restricted shares granted to employees and to non-employee directors, for their services as directors, as well as to non-employees. These shares are measured at their fair value equal to the market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The shares that do not contain any future service vesting conditions are considered vested shares and a total fair value of such shares is expensed on the grant date. The shares that contain a time-based service vesting condition are considered nonvested shares on the grant date and a total fair value of such shares recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. In addition, nonvested awards granted to non-employees are measured at its then-current fair value as of the financial reporting dates until non-employees complete the service.

### Investments

We classify unrestricted publicly traded investments as trading securities and record them at fair value. For trading securities, the Company records unrealized gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of its investment in trading securities between measurement dates as a component of "Gain (loss) on investments". In accordance with the guidance relating to "Fair Value Measurements", the Company determines the fair value of its investments in trading securities using quoted market prices in active markets for the same securities (Level 1 under the above-said guidance hierarchy (see Note 16)). The Company determines the cost of trading securities sold by using the First-In-First-Out ("FIFO") method. Purchases of, or proceeds from, the sale of trading securities are classified as cash flows from operating activities. The Company has adopted the guidance relating to "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" which allows the classification of purchases of, or proceeds from, the sale of trading securities to be classified to cash flows from operating activities or cash flows from investing activities based upon the Company's intent with respect to these securities.

### Derivative financial instruments

We record every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value with changes in the instruments' fair value recognized in other comprehensive impact or earnings depending whether specific hedge accounting criteria are met at the inception of the hedge in accordance with the guidance relating to "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities".

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, the interest rate swaps and the Freight Forward Agreement ("FFA") contracts were not designated as hedging instruments and did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, all gains or losses have been recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. There were no interest rate swaps or FFA contracts for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please refer to Note 2 of the financial statements attached to this report.

### B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our sources of funds have been equity provided by our shareholders, operating cash flows and long-term borrowings. Our principal use of funds has been capital expenditures to establish and expand our fleet, maintain the quality of our vessels, comply with international shipping standards and environmental laws and regulations, fund

working capital requirements, make principal repayments on outstanding loan facilities, and pay dividends. We expect to rely upon funds on our balance sheet which include remaining funds raised from our follow-on common stock offering in November 2007, continuous offering program, operating cash flows, long term borrowings, as well as future offerings to implement our growth plan and meet our liquidity needs going forward. In our opinion our working capital is sufficient for our present requirements.

## Cash Flows

As of December 31, 2009, we had a cash balance of \$40.98 million and \$7.69 million of restricted cash and cash in restricted retention accounts. We owe funds to a related company of \$1.42 million. Amounts owed to such related company represent net disbursements and collections made by our fleet manager, Eurobulk, on behalf of the ship-owning companies during the normal course of operations for which they have the right of offset. Typically, amounts are due from such related company to us and mainly consist of advances to our fleet manager of funds to pay for all anticipated vessel expenses. We occasionally may owe funds to such related company if the timing of any advance delays disbursement of funds. Interest earned on funds deposited in related party accounts, if any, is credited to the account of the ship-owning companies or Euroseas. We do not owe interest for any funds that we may owe to such related company. Of the \$12.4 million funds shown under "Other deposits" in the current assets section of the consolidated balance sheets, \$9.1 million represent mark-to-market margin requirements for our FFA derivative contracts and is the amount required to close all such positions as of December 31, 2009; the remaining amount of \$3.3 million represents a "base" margin for the same contracts which will be released to us when the contracts are settled or closed. Working capital is current assets minus current liabilities, including the current portion of long term debt. We had a working capital surplus of \$28.49 million including the current portion of long term debt which was \$14.03 million as of December 31, 2009. All of the \$10.78 million dividend declared was paid as of December 31, 2009, except for \$46,750 that was accrued and will be paid at the time the underlying restricted stock of incentive awards vest. We consider our liquidity sufficient for our operations. We expect to finance all our working capital requirements from cash generated from operations and cash on our balance sheet.

### Net cash from operating activities.

Our net cash from operating activities for 2009 was \$7.84 million. This represents the net amount of cash, after expenses, generated by chartering our vessels. Eurobulk, on our behalf, collects our chartering revenues and pays our chartering expenses. Net loss for the period was \$15.63 million, which was increased by \$19.09 million of vessel depreciation, \$8.96 million loss on the sale of vessels and \$7.6 million unrealized loss on derivatives; and, it was further decreased by \$12.4 million increase in margin requirements of FFA derivative contracts. In 2008, net cash flow from operating activities was \$74.28 million based on a contribution of net income of \$21.49 million increased by an impairment loss of \$25.11 million and by \$28.28 million of vessel depreciation amongst other adjustments.

### Net cash from investing activities.

In 2009, we purchased three vessels for an aggregate purchase price of \$62.22 million and had proceeds from the sale of four vessels of \$16.67 million in the aggregate. We had to increase restricted cash by \$0.71 million and collected insurance proceeds of \$0.67 million for total cash used in investment activities of \$45.60 million. In 2008, we purchased one vessel for \$43.58 million and advanced \$1.82 million as a deposit for the purchase of another vessel that was completed in January 2009; also, we had to increase the restricted cash and the cash we had in retention accounts by \$0.74 million for total funds used in investment activities of \$46.15 million. It is our strategy to expand and renew our fleet by pursuing selective acquisitions. At the same time, we sell vessels in order to renew our fleet or take advantage of opportune market conditions.

### Net cash used in financing activities.

In 2009, net cash provided by financing activities amounted to \$4.89 million. This is accounted for by the \$0.65 million raised through our continuous stock offering program (after selling commissions) and \$33.00 million in proceeds from new long term borrowings reduced by \$17.50 million of repayments of long-term debt as well as by \$10.85 million of dividends paid, \$0.20 million of offering expenses paid and \$0.21 million of loan arrangement fees paid. In 2008, net cash used in financing activities amounted to \$58.42 million. These funds consisted primarily of



\$34.55 million of dividends paid and \$25.58 million of loan repayments. We also had proceeds from shares issued of \$1.81 million and we paid \$0.11 million for stock offering expenses.

#### Debt Financing

We operate in a capital intensive industry which requires significant amounts of investment, and we fund a major portion of this investment through long term debt. We maintain debt levels we consider prudent based on our market expectations, cash flow, interest coverage and percentage of debt to capital. We did not draw any new loans in 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, we had nine outstanding loans with a combined outstanding balance of \$71.52 million. These loans have maturity dates between 2011 and 2017. Our long-term debt as of December 31, 2009 comprises bank loans granted to our vessel-owning subsidiaries. A description of our loans as of December 31, 2009 is provided in Note 9 of our attached financial statements. In 2010, we plan to repay approximately \$14.03 million of the above debt.

The loan agreements contain covenants.

Our loans have various covenants such as minimum requirements regarding the hull ratio cover (the ratio of fair value of vessel to outstanding loan less cash in retention accounts) and restrictions as to changes in management and ownership of the vessel shipowning companies, distribution of profits or assets (i.e. limiting dividends in some loans to 60% of profits, or, not permitting dividend payment or other distributions in cases that an event of default has occurred), additional indebtedness and mortgage of vessels without the lender's prior consent, sale of vessels, maximum fleet-wide leverage, sale of capital stock of our subsidiaries, ability to make investments and other capital expenditures, entering in mergers or acquisitions, minimum cash balance requirements and minimum cash retention accounts (restricted cash). If we are found to be in default of any covenants we might be required to provide supplemental collateral to the lenders, usually in the form of restricted cash. Increases in restricted cash required to satisfy loan covenants, would reduce funds available for investment or working capital and could have a negative impact on our operations. If we cannot correct any violated covenants, we might be required to repay all or part of our loans, which, in turn, might require us to sell one or more of our vessels under distressed conditions. We are not in default of any credit facility covenant.

#### Continuous Equity Offering Program

On September 4, 2009, we established a continuous equity offering program by entering into a sales agreement with Citigroup, as sales agent. In connection therewith, on the same date we filed a prospectus supplement to our existing shelf registration statement on Form F-3 relating to the offer and sale of up to 7,000,000 common shares. We have thus far sold 134,100 shares under this program with aggregate net proceeds to us of approximately \$0.65 million and aggregate commissions paid to Citigroup of approximately \$13,250. We have suspended the program as of January 16, 2010.

#### Capital Expenditures

We make capital expenditures from time to time in connection with our vessel acquisitions. Our most recent vessel acquisitions consisted of two panamax drybulk carriers, m/v Pantelis, which was delivered to us in July 2009, and m/v Eleni P, which was delivered to us in March 2009, and one handymax drybulk carrier, m/v Monica P, which was delivered to us in January 2009. We financed m/v Monica P and m/v Eleni P with equity and two separate \$10.00 million loans and m/v Pantelis with equity and a \$13.00 million loan. Of our eight acquisitions during 2007 and 2008, five were financed with 100% equity while the remaining three were financed with equity and debt.

Two of the vessels in our operating fleet underwent scheduled drydocking or special survey in 2009. By May 15, 2010, two more vessels completed their drydocking or special survey. In the remainder of 2010, four additional vessels are scheduled to undergo a special survey, intermediate survey or drydocking. This process of recertification may require us to reposition these vessels from a discharge port to shipyard facilities, which will reduce our operating days during the period. The loss of earnings associated with the decrease in operating days, together with the capital needs for repairs and upgrades, is expected to result in increased cash flow needs. We expect to fund these expenditures with cash on hand.

#### Dividends

On May 7, 2010, the Company announced the declaration of its nineteenth consecutive dividend since its private placement in August 2005. This dividend of \$0.05 per share of common stock is expected to be paid on or about June 18, 2010 to all shareholders of record as of June 11, 2010. This follows the Company's prior dividend declarations of \$0.05 per share of common stock on February 23, 2010, \$0.05 per share of common stock on November 16, 2009,

\$0.10 per share of common stock on August 4, 2009, \$0.10 per share of common stock on May 13, 2009, \$0.10 per share of common stock, on February 17, 2009, \$0.20 per share of common stock on November 12, 2008, \$0.32 per share of common stock on August 5, 2008, \$0.31 per share of common stock on May 8, 2008, \$0.30 per share of common stock, on February 7, 2008, \$0.29 per share of common stock on October 16, 2007, \$0.25 per share of common stock on July 17, 2007, \$0.24 per share of common stock on May 8, 2007, \$0.22 per share of common stock on January 8, 2007, \$0.21 per share of common stock on November 9, 2006, \$0.18 per share of common stock on August 7, 2006, \$0.18 per share of common stock on May 9, 2006, \$0.18 per share of common stock on February 7, 2006 and \$0.21 per share of common stock on November 2, 2005. The aggregate amount of all such dividends paid was \$80.88 million; an additional \$70,250 will be paid if and when unvested restricted incentive stock awards vest.

C. Research and development, patents and licenses, etc.

Not applicable.

D. Trend information

Our results of operations depend primarily on the charter hire rates that we are able to realize. Charter hire rates paid for drybulk, containership and multipurpose carriers are primarily a function of the underlying balance between vessel supply and demand.

The demand for drybulk carrier, containership and multipurpose vessel capacity is determined by the underlying demand for commodities transported in these vessels, which in turn is influenced by trends in the global economy. One of the main reasons for the resurgence in drybulk and containerized trade has been the growth in imports by China of iron ore, coal and steel products during the last five years and exports of finished goods. Demand for drybulk carrier and containership capacity is also affected by the operating efficiency of the global fleet, with port congestion, which has been a feature of the market in 2004, the first half of 2005 and again in late 2006, 2007 and most of 2008, absorbing additional tonnage especially in the drybulk market. During the last three months of 2008 and the first three months of 2009, drybulk and containerized trade was severely affected by the world economic slowdown and the lack of bank credit to finance trade.

The supply of drybulk carriers, containerships and multipurpose vessels is dependent on the delivery of new vessels and the removal of vessels from the global fleet, either through scrapping or loss. Based on CRSL, as of May 1, 2010, the global drybulk carrier orderbook amounted to approximately 287.1 million dwt, or about 60% of the existing fleet, with more than half of the vessels on the orderbook scheduled to be delivered by the end of 2011, however, there is increasing indication that a substantial number of orders will be delayed or cancelled, following the trend of delays and cancellations of about 40% of the scheduled deliveries during 2009. Containership orderbook (including multipurpose vessels) amounted to approximately 4.61 million teu, or about 31.7% of the existing fleet with most vessels, again, expected to be delivered in 2010 and 2011.

The level of scrapping activity is generally a function of scrapping prices in relation to current and prospective charter market conditions, as well as operating, repair and survey costs. The average age at which a vessel is scrapped over the last ten years has been between 26 and 27 years, with smaller vessels scrapped at later age. During strong markets, the average age at which the vessels are scrapped increases; during 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, and the first nine months of 2008 the majority of the handysize and handymax bulkers and feedership, handysize and intermediate size containerships that were scrapped were in excess of 30 years of age. During the same period Panamax drybulk carriers were scrapped at an average age of 29 years. However, the scrapping rate increased significantly and the average age decreased since the beginning of the October of 2008 when daily charter rates declined. Increased charter hire rates in the drybulk market commencing in the second quarter of 2009 resulted in decreased scrapping rates of drybulk vessels. On the contrary, continued weakness of containership charter hire rates resulted in increased scrapping rates at even lower vessel ages. For example, we sold one of our laid-up vessels, m/v Artemis, built in 1987 (a 22-year old vessel) for scrap.

Declining shipping charter hire rates have a negative impact on our earnings when our vessels are employed in the spot market or when they are to be re-chartered after completing a time charter contract. As of May 15, 2010, approximately 68% of our ship capacity days in 2010, including fixed spot employment in the first and second quarter of the year, and approximately 31% of our ship capacity days in 2010, are under time charter contracts or protected from market fluctuations via FFA contracts.

E. Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2009 we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

56

---

Contractual obligations are set forth in the following table as of December 31, 2009:

In U.S. dollars	Total	Less Than			
		One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years
Bank debt	\$71,515,000	\$14,030,000	\$18,600,000	\$27,445,000	\$11,440,000
Interest Payments (1)	\$11,545,866	\$2,999,593	\$5,083,535	\$2,420,956	\$1,041,782
Vessel Management fees (2)	\$10,953,655	\$3,488,657	\$5,940,155	\$1,524,854	—
Other Management fees (3)	\$3,749,687	\$1,165,000	\$2,453,752	\$130,935	—
Derivative contracts (4)	\$9,118,119	\$9,118,119	—	—	—

(1) Assuming the amortization of the loans as of December 31, 2009 described above and an average calculated interest rate margin over LIBOR of about 1.72%, 1.83%, 1.94% and 2.60% p.a. for the four periods, respectively, and based on an underlying assumption for LIBOR calculated from the forward rates of the LIBOR yield curve as of December 31, 2009 of 0.69%, 2.24%, 3.39%, 4.20% and 4.64% for years 1 to 5, respectively. Also includes our obligation to make payments required as of December 31, 2009 under our interest rate swap agreements based on the same LIBOR forward rate assumption (see Item 11).

(2) Refers to our obligation for management fees of 665 Euros per day per vessel (approximately \$897.75) starting on January 1, 2010 for the fifteen vessels owned by Euroseas as of that date under the initial five-year management contract each shipowning company signs with Eurobulk when a vessel is acquired; the rate of these agreements is set in the Master Management Agreement which expires on February 6, 2013. For years two to five we have assumed no changes in the number of vessels, an inflation rate of 3.5% per year and no changes in this US Dollar to Euro exchange rate (assumed at 1.35 USD/Euro). The initial 5-year term of individual shipowning company management contracts start expiring in 2010; the contracts continue but are cancelable with two months notice. We have assumed that the two laid-up vessels as of December 31, 2009 remain laid-up during 2010 and, thus, pay half the daily management fee but are re-activated as of January 1, 2011.

(3) Refers to our obligation for management fees of \$1,165,000 per year under our Master Management Agreement with Eurobulk for the cost of providing management services to Euroseas as a public company. This fee is adjusted for inflation in Greece during the previous calendar year every January 1st. From January 1, 2010 on, we have assumed an inflation rate of 3.5% per year. The agreement expires on February 6, 2013.

(4) Refers to our obligation to make payments required as of December 31, 2009 under our outstanding FFA contracts. We have used the FFA rates as of December 31, 2009 to estimate the payments required under our FFA contracts (see Item 11).

#### G. Safe Harbor

See section "Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this annual report.

## Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

## A. Directors and Senior Management

The following sets forth the name and position of each of our directors and executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
Aristides J. Pittas	50	
Dr. Anastasios Aslidis	58	Chairman, President and CEO; Class A Director
Aristides P. Pittas	42	CFO and Treasurer; Class A Director
Stephania Karmiri	49	Vice Chairman; Class A Director
Panagiotis Kyriakopoulos	49	Secretary
George Skarvelis	62	Class B Director
George Taniskidis		Class B Director
Gerald Turner		Class C Director

Aristides J. Pittas has been a member of our Board of Directors and our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since our inception on May 5, 2005. Since 1997, Mr. Pittas has also been the President of Eurochart, our affiliate. Eurochart is a shipbroking company specializing in chartering and selling and purchasing ships. Since 1997, Mr. Pittas has also been the President of Eurotrade, a ship operating company and our affiliate. Since January 1995, Mr. Pittas has been the President and Managing Director of Eurobulk, our affiliated ship management company. He resigned as Managing Director of Eurobulk in June 2005. Eurobulk is a ship management company that provides ocean transportation services. From September 1991 to December 1994, Mr. Pittas was the Vice President of Oceanbulk Maritime SA, a ship management company. From March 1990 to August 1991, Mr. Pittas served both as the Assistant to the General Manager and the Head of the Planning Department of Varnima International SA, a shipping company operating tanker vessels. From June 1987 until February 1990, Mr. Pittas was the head of the Central Planning department of Eleusis Shipyards S.A. From January 1987 to June 1987, Mr. Pittas served as Assistant to the General Manager of Chios Navigation Shipping Company in London, a company that provides ship management services. From December 1985 to January 1987, Mr. Pittas worked in the design department of Eleusis Shipyards S.A. where he focused on shipbuilding and ship repair. Mr. Pittas has a B.Sc. in Marine Engineering from University of Newcastle - Upon-Tyne and a MSc in both Ocean Systems Management and Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Dr. Anastasios Aslidis has been our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and member of our Board of Directors since September 2005. Prior to joining Euroseas, Dr. Aslidis was a partner at Marsoft, an international consulting firm focusing on investment and risk management in the maritime industry. Dr. Aslidis has more than

20 years of experience in the maritime industry. Between 2003 and 2005, he worked on financial risk management methods for shipowners and banks lending to the maritime industry, especially as pertaining to compliance to the Basel II Capital Accords. He also served as consultant to the Boards of Directors of shipping companies (public and

private) advising in strategy development, asset selection and investment timing. Between 1993 and 2003, as part of his tenure at Marsoft, he worked on various projects including development of portfolio and risk management methods for shipowners, establishment of investments funds and structuring of private equity investments in the maritime industry and other business development for Marsoft's services. Between 1989 and 1993, Dr. Aslidis worked on economic modeling of the offshore drilling industry and on the development of a trading support system for the drybulk shipping industry on behalf of a major European shipowner. Dr. Aslidis holds a Diploma in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering from the National Technical University of Athens (1983), M.S. in Ocean Systems Management (1984) and Operations Research (1987) from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and a Ph.D. in Ocean Systems Management (1989) also from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Aristides P. Pittas has been a member of our Board of Directors since our inception on May 5, 2005 and our Vice Chairman since September 1, 2005. Mr. Pittas has been a shareholder in over 70 oceangoing vessels during the last 20 years. Since February 1989, Mr. Pittas has been the Vice President of Oceanbulk Maritime SA, a ship management company. From November 1987 to February 1989, Mr. Pittas was employed in the supply department of Drytank SA, a shipping company. From November 1981 to June 1985, Mr. Pittas was employed at Trust Marine Enterprises, a brokerage house as a sale and purchase broker. From September 1979 to November 1981, Mr. Pittas worked at Gourdomichalis Maritime SA in the operation and Freight Collection department. Mr. Pittas has a B.Sc in Economics from Athens School of Economics.



Stephania Karmiri has been our Secretary since our inception on May 5, 2005. Since July 1995, Mrs. Karmiri has been executive secretary to Eurobulk, our affiliated ship management company. Eurobulk is a ship management company that provides ocean transportation services. At Eurobulk, Mrs. Karmiri has been responsible for dealing with sale and purchase transactions, vessel registrations/deletions, bank loans, supervision of office administration and office/vessel telecommunication. From May 1992 to June 1995, she was secretary to the technical department of Oceanbulk Maritime SA, a ship management company. From 1988 to 1992, Mrs. Karmiri served as assistant to brokers for Allied Shipbrokers, a company that provides shipbroking services to sale and purchase transactions. Mrs. Karmiri has taken assistant accountant and secretarial courses from Didacta college.

Panagiotis Kyriakopoulos has been a member of our Board of Directors since our inception on May 5, 2005. Since July 2002, he has been the Chief Executive Officer of New Television S.A., one of the leading Mass Media Companies in Greece, running television and radio stations. From July 1997 to July 2002 he was the C.E.O. of the Hellenic Post Group, the Universal Postal Service Provider, having the largest retail network in Greece for postal and financial services products. From March 1996 until July 1997, Mr. Kyriakopoulos was the General Manager of ATEMKE SA, one of the leading construction companies in Greece listed on the Athens Stock Exchange. From December 1986 to March 1996, he was the Managing Director of Globe Group of Companies, a group active in the areas of shipowning and management, textiles and food and distribution. The company was listed on the Athens Stock Exchange. From June 1983 to December 1986, Mr. Kyriakopoulos was an assistant to the Managing Director of Armada Marine S.A., a company active in international trading and shipping, owning and managing a fleet of

12 vessels. Presently he is a member of the Board of Directors of the Hellenic Post and General Secretary of the Hellenic Private Television Owners Union. He has also been an investor in the shipping industry for more than

20 years. Mr. Kyriakopoulos has a B.Sc. degree in Marine Engineering from the University of Newcastle upon Tyne and a MSc. degree in Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering with specialization in Management from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

George Skarvelis has been a member of our Board of Directors since our inception on May 5, 2005. He has been active in shipping since 1982. In 1992, he founded Marine Spirit S.A., a ship management company. Between 1999 and 2003, Marine Spirit acted as one of the crewing managers for Eurobulk. From 1986 until 1992, Mr. Skarvelis was operations director at Markos S. Shipping Ltd. From 1982 until 1986, he worked with Glyzca Compania Naviera, a management company of five vessels. Over the years Mr. Skarvelis has been a shareholder in numerous shipping companies. He has a B.Sc. in economics from the Athens University Law School.

George Taniskidis has been a member of our Board of Directors since our inception on May 5, 2005. He was the Chairman and Managing Director of Millennium Bank and a member of the Board of Directors of BankEuropa (subsidiary bank of Millennium Bank in Turkey) until May 2010. He is a member of the Executive Committee of the Hellenic Banks Association. From 2003 until 2005, he was a member of the Board of Directors of Visa International Europe, elected by the Visa issuing banks of Cyprus, Malta, Portugal, Israel and Greece. From 1990 to 1998, Mr. Taniskidis worked at XIOSBANK (until its acquisition by Piraeus Bank in 1998) in various positions, with responsibility for the bank's credit strategy and network. Mr. Taniskidis studied Law in the National University of Athens and in the University of Pennsylvania Law School, where he received a L.L.M. After law school, he joined the law firm of Rogers & Wells in New York, where he worked until 1989 and was also a member of the New York State Bar Association. He is also a member of the Young Presidents Organization.

Gerald Turner has been a member of our Board of Directors since our inception on May 5, 2005. Since 1999, he has been the Chairman and Managing Director of AON Turner Reinsurance Services. From 1987 to 1999, he was the Chairman and sole owner of Turner Reinsurance services. From 1977 to 1987, he was the Managing Director of E.W.

Payne Hellas (member of the Sedgwick group).

Family Relationships

Aristides P. Pittas is the cousin of Aristides J. Pittas, our CEO.

B. Compensation

Executive Compensation

We have no direct employees. The services of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Internal Auditor and Secretary are provided by Eurobulk. In July 2005, we entered into a written services agreement with Eurobulk where we paid \$500,000 per year, before bonuses, adjusted annually for Greek inflation to account for the increased management cost associated with us being a public company. As of October 1, 2006, these services are now provided to us under our Master Management Agreement with Eurobulk. Under this Master Management Agreement, as amended in July 2007 and February 2008, for the services of our executives, Mr. Aristides J. Pittas, Dr. Anastasios Aslidis and Mr. Symeon Pariatros, our Secretary Mrs. Stephania Karmiri, and, our internal auditor, and other services associated with us being a public company we pay Eurobulk \$1,100,000 per year starting January 1, 2008, before bonuses, adjusted annually every January 1st for Greek inflation. On January 1, 2009, the management fee for executive services and other services associated with us being a public company was adjusted to \$1,150,000 and on January 1, 2010 was adjusted to \$1,165,000 to account for inflation in Greece. In 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 we paid \$250,000, \$508,750, \$608,750, \$1,100,000 and \$1,150,000, respectively.

Director Compensation

Our directors who are also our employees or have executive positions or beneficially own greater than 10% of the outstanding common stock will receive no compensation for serving on our Board or its committees.

Directors who are not our employees, do not have any executive position and do not beneficially own greater than 10% of the outstanding common stock will receive the following compensation: an annual retainer of \$10,000, plus \$2,500 for attending the quarterly meeting of the Board of Directors, plus an additional retainer of \$5,000, if serving as Chairman of the Audit Committee.

All directors are reimbursed reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending meetings of our Board of Directors or any committee of our Board of Directors.

Equity Incentive Plan

In August 2006, we adopted an equity incentive plan which entitles our Board of Directors to grant to our directors, officers and key employees awards in the form of (i) incentive stock options, (ii) non-qualified stock options, (iii) stock appreciation rights, (iv) dividend equivalent rights, (v) restricted stock, (vi) unrestricted stock, (vii) restricted stock units and (viii) performance shares. The aggregate number of shares of common stock with respect to which options or restricted shares may at any time be granted under the plan are 600,000 shares of Common Stock. The plan is administered by our Board of Directors. The plan does not have any set term. However, the Board of Directors may not grant any incentive stock options after the tenth anniversary of the adoption of the Plan. This plan was terminated and replaced by a substantially similar plan in October 2007 that entitles our Board of Directors to grant awards under the plan to directors, officers and key employees of the Company and its affiliates.

On December 18, 2007, the Board of Directors awarded 135,000 shares of restricted stock to the directors, officers and key employees of our manager, Eurobulk Ltd., 50% of which vested on December 20, 2007 and the remainder which vested on December 15, 2008. On February 7, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded 150,000 shares of

restricted stock to the directors, officers and key employees of Eurobulk, 50% of which vested on August 7, 2008 and the remainder vested on August 7, 2009. On November 12, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded 160,000 shares of restricted stock to the directors, officers and key employees of Eurobulk, 50% of which vested on November 16, 2009 and the remainder which will vest on November 16, 2010. On November 4, 2009, the Board of Directors awarded 165,000 shares of restricted stock to the directors, officers and key employees of Eurobulk, 50% of which will vest on July 1, 2010 and the remainder which will vest on July 1, 2011. Vesting of the awards is conditioned on continuous employment throughout the period to the vesting date.

On May 5, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a new equity incentive plan which is similar to our 2007 plan. The aggregate number of shares of common stock with respect to which options or restricted shares may at any time be granted under the new plan is 1,500,000 shares of common stock. The new equity incentive plan was adopted on May 5, 2010 and becomes effective on June 15, 2010.

C. Board Practices

The term of our Class A directors expires in 2011, the term of our Class B directors expires in 2012 and the term of our Class C directors expires in 2010.

Audit Committee

We currently have an audit committee comprised of three independent members of our Board of Directors. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the Company's accounting controls and the appointment of the Company's outside auditors. The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Panos Kyriakopoulos (Chairman and audit committee "financial expert" as such term is defined under SEC regulations), Mr. Gerald Turner and Mr. George Taniskidis. Our Board of Directors does not have separate compensation or nominations committees, and instead, the entire Board of Directors performs those responsibilities.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that complies with the applicable guidelines issued by the SEC. Our code of ethics is posted on our website: <http://www.euroseas.gr> under "Corporate Governance."

Corporate Governance

Our Company's corporate governance practices are in compliance with, and are not prohibited by, the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Therefore, we are exempt from many of NASDAQ's corporate governance practices other than the requirements regarding the disclosure of a going concern audit opinion, submission of a listing agreement, notification of material non-compliance with NASDAQ corporate governance practices, and the establishment and composition of an audit committee and a formal written audit committee charter. The practices followed by us in lieu of NASDAQ's corporate governance rules are described below.

- We are not required under Marshall Islands law to maintain a board of directors with a majority of independent directors, and we may not be able to maintain a board of directors with a majority of independent directors in the future.
- In lieu of a compensation committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors will be responsible for establishing the executive officers' compensation and benefits. Under Marshall Islands law, compensation of the executive officers is not required to be determined by an independent committee.
- In lieu of a nomination committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors will be responsible for identifying and recommending potential candidates to become board members and recommending directors for appointment to board committees. Shareholders may also identify and recommend potential candidates to become candidates to become board members in writing. No formal written charter has been prepared or adopted because this process is outlined in our bylaws.
- In lieu of obtaining an independent review of related party transactions for conflicts of interests, consistent with Marshall Islands law requirements, a related party transaction will be permitted if: (i) the material facts as to his or her relationship or interest and as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to the Board of Directors

and the Board of Directors in good faith authorizes the contract or transaction by the affirmative votes of a majority of the disinterested directors, or, if the votes of the disinterested directors are insufficient to constitute an act of the Board of Directors as defined in Section 55 of the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, by unanimous vote of the disinterested directors; or (ii) the material facts as to his relationship or interest are disclosed and the shareholders are entitled to vote thereon, and the contract or transaction is specifically approved in good faith by a simple majority vote of the shareholders; or (iii) the contract or transaction is fair as to the Company as of the time it is authorized, approved or ratified, by the Board of Directors, a committee thereof or the shareholders. Common or interested directors may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the Board of Directors or of a committee which authorizes the contract or transaction.

- As a foreign private issuer, we are not required to solicit proxies or provide proxy statements to NASDAQ pursuant to NASDAQ corporate governance rules or Marshall Islands law. Consistent with Marshall Islands law, we will notify our shareholders of meetings between 15 and 60 days before the meeting. This notification will contain, among other things, information regarding business to be transacted at the meeting. In addition, our bylaws provide that shareholders must give us advance notice to properly introduce any business at a meeting of the shareholders. Our bylaws also provide that shareholders may designate in writing a proxy to act on their behalf.
- In lieu of holding regular meetings at which only independent directors are present, our entire board of directors, a majority of whom are independent, will hold regular meetings as is consistent with the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.
- The Board of Directors adopted a new equity incentive plan in May 2010. Shareholder approval was not necessary since Marshall Islands law permits the Board of Directors to take these actions. The Company has filed the appropriate documentation with the Nasdaq Global Market reflecting this event.
- As a foreign private issuer, we are not required to obtain shareholder approval if any of our directors, officers or 5% or greater shareholders has a 5% or greater interest (or such persons collectively have a 10% or greater interest), directly or indirectly, in the company or assets to be acquired or in the consideration to be paid in the transaction(s) and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common stock or voting power of 5% or more.
- In lieu of obtaining shareholder approval prior to the issuance of designated securities, the Company will comply with provisions of the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, providing that the Board of Directors approves share issuances.

Other than as noted above, we are in full compliance with all other applicable NASDAQ corporate governance standards.

D. Employees

We have no salaried employees, although we reimburse our fleet manager, Eurobulk, for the services of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Internal Auditor and Secretary, messrs. Aristides J. Pittas, Dr. Anastasios Aslidis, Symeon Parios, Konstantinos Siademas and Ms. Stefania Karmiri. Eurobulk also ensures that all seamen have the qualifications and licenses required to comply with international regulations and shipping conventions, and that all our vessels employ experienced and competent personnel. As of December 31, 2009, approximately 135 officers and 210 crew members served on board the vessels in our fleet.

E. Share Ownership

The following table sets forth certain information the ownership of our common stock by each of our directors and executive officers, and all of our directors and executive officers as a group as of May 15, 2010.

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Number of Shares of Voting Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Voting Stock	
Friends Investment Company Inc.(2)	10,177,117	32.7	%
Aristides J. Pittas(3)	150,000	*	
George Skarvelis(4)	17,000	*	
George Taniskidis(5)	20,000	*	
Gerald Turner(6)	20,000	*	
Panagiotis Kyriakopoulos(7)	20,000	*	
Aristides P. Pittas(8)	25,000	*	
Anastasios Aslidis(9)	80,500	*	
Stefania Karmiri (10)	—	*	
Symeon Pariaros (11)	11,700	*	
All directors and officers as a group	10,518,317	33.8	%

\* Indicates less than 1.0%.

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the Rule 13d-3(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as subject to community property laws, where applicable, the person named above has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by him/her.

(2) Includes 10,174,117 shares of common stock held of record by Friends. A majority of the shareholders of Friends are members of the Pittas family. Investment power and voting control by Friends resides in its Board of Directors which consists of five directors, a majority of whom are members of the Pittas family. Actions by Friends may be taken by a majority of the members on its Board of Directors.

(3) Does not include 1,335,506 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Pittas' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Pittas disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 20,000 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 20,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 20,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

(4) Does not include 561,311 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Skarvelis' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Skarvelis disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

(5) Does not include 32,882 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Taniskidis' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Taniskidis disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

(6) Does not include 150,389 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Turner's ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Turner disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest.



Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

(7) Does not include 63,545 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Kyriakopoulos' ownership in Friends. Mr. Kyriakopoulos disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

- (8) Does not include 912,596 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Pittas' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Pittas disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 5,000 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 5,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 5,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (9) Includes 12,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 12,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 12,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (10) Does not include 2,226 shares of common stock held of records by Friends, by virtue of Mrs. Karmiri's ownership in Friends. Mrs. Karmiri disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of her pecuniary interest.
- (11) Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

All of the shares of our common stock have the same voting rights and are entitled to one vote per share.

#### Equity Incentive Plan

See section 6(B) of this annual report, "Compensation."

#### Options

No options were granted during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. There are currently no options outstanding to acquire any of our shares.

#### Warrants

In connection with our Private Placement in August 2005, we issued warrants to purchase 585,589 shares of our common stock. The warrants have a five year term and an exercise price of \$10.80 per share. During 2007, 248,463 of the above warrants were exercised and an additional 192,213 warrants were exercised in 2008. No warrants were exercised during 2009. As of May 15, 2010, there are 144,913 warrants outstanding. We do not currently have any other outstanding warrants.

### Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

#### A. Major Stockholders

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our voting stock as of May 15, 2010 by each person or entity known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our voting stock, each of our directors and executive officers, and all of our directors and executive officers as a group. All of our shareholders, including the shareholders listed in this table, are entitled to one vote for each share of stock held.



Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Number of Shares of Voting Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Voting Stock	
Friends Investment Company Inc.(2)	10,174,117	32.7	%
Royce & Associates, LLC(3)	2,243,346	7.2	%
Wellington Management Co. LLP(4)	1,776,600	5.7	%
Aristides J. Pittas(5)	150,000	*	
George Skarvelis(6)	17,000	*	
George Taniskidis(7)	20,000	*	
Gerald Turner(8)	20,000	*	
Panagiotis Kyriakopoulos(9)	20,000	*	
Aristides P. Pittas(10)	25,000	*	
Anastasios Aslidis(11)	80,500	*	
Stefania Karmiri (12)	—	*	
Symeon Pariatros (13)	11,700	*	
All directors and officers and 5% owners as a group	14,538,263	46.8	%

\* Indicates less than 1.0%.

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the Rule 13d-3(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as subject to community property laws, where applicable, the person named above has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by him/her.

(2) Includes 10,174,117 shares of common stock held of record by Friends. A majority of the shareholders of Friends are members of the Pittas family. Investment power and voting control by Friends resides in its Board of Directors which consists of five directors, a majority of whom are members of the Pittas family. Actions by Friends may be taken by a majority of the members on its Board of Directors.

(3) As disclosed on Schedule 13F filed on May 17, 2010.

(4) As disclosed on Schedule 13F filed on May 19, 2010.

(5) Does not include 1,335,506 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Pittas' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Pittas disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 20,000 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 20,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 20,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2011

(6) Does not include 561,311 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Skarvelis' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Skarvelis disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares

vesting on July 1, 2011.

- (7) Does not include 32,882 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Taniskidis' ownership in Friends. Mr. Taniskidis disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (8) Does not include 150,389 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Turner's ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Turner disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

- (9) Does not include 63,545 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Kyriakopoulos' ownership in Friends. Mr. Kyriakopoulos disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (10) Does not include 912,596 shares of common stock held of record by Friends, by virtue of Mr. Pittas' ownership interest in Friends. Mr. Pittas disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of his pecuniary interest. Includes 5,000 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 5,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 5,000 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (11) Includes 12,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 12,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 12,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.
- (12) Does not include 2,226 shares of common stock held of records by Friends, by virtue of Mrs. Karmiri's ownership in Friends. Friends and Eurobulk Marine are each controlled by members of the Pittas family. Mrs. Karmiri disclaims beneficial ownership except to the extent of her pecuniary interest.
- (13) Includes 2,500 shares vesting on November 16, 2010, 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2010 and 2,500 shares vesting on July 1, 2011.

B. Related Party Transactions

The operations of our vessels are managed by Eurobulk, an affiliated ship management company, under a Master Management Agreement with us and separate management agreements with each shipowning company. Under our Master Management Agreement, Eurobulk is responsible for all aspects of management and compliance for the Company, including the provision of the services of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Administrative Officer, Internal Auditor and Secretary. Eurobulk is also responsible for all commercial management services, which include obtaining employment for our vessels and managing our relationships with charterers. Eurobulk also performs technical management services, which include managing day-to-day vessel operations, performing general vessel maintenance, ensuring regulatory and classification society compliance, supervising the maintenance and general efficiency of vessels, arranging our hire of qualified officers and crew, arranging and supervising dry docking and repairs, arranging insurance for vessels, purchasing stores, supplies, spares and new equipment for vessels, appointing supervisors and technical consultants and providing technical support and shoreside personnel who carry out the management functions described above and certain accounting services. Eurobulk also currently manages one other vessel not owned by us.

Our Master Management Agreement with Eurobulk is effective as of February 7, 2008 and has an initial term of 5 years until February 6, 2013. The Master Management Agreement cannot be terminated by Eurobulk without cause or under other limited circumstances, such as sale of the Company or Eurobulk or the bankruptcy of either party. This Master Management Agreement will automatically be extended after the initial period for an additional five year period unless terminated on or before the 90th day preceding the initial termination date. Pursuant to the Master Management Agreement, each new vessel we acquire in the future will enter into a separate management agreement with Eurobulk. Under the Master Management Agreement, we pay Eurobulk since January 1, 2010 a fixed cost of \$1,165,000 annually, adjusted for Greek inflation every January 1st, and a per ship per day cost of €665 (or \$897.75 based on \$1.35/Euro exchange rate) adjusted annually for inflation (every January 1st). This cost will be reduced by

half (332.5 Euros per vessel per day) for the vessels that are laid up. Eurobulk has received fees for management and executive compensation expenses of \$2,161,856, \$2,775,339, \$4,277,887, \$6,487,415 and \$6,224,303 for years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

We receive chartering and sale and purchase services from Eurochart, an affiliate, and pay a commission of 1.25% on charter revenue and 1% on vessel sale price. We pay additional commissions to major charterers and their brokers as well that usually range from 3.75% to 5.00%. Eurochart has received chartering and vessel sale commissions of \$536,180, \$588,149, \$1,177,916, \$1,663,526 and \$910,273 for years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Eurochart also received 1% commission for vessel acquisitions we did from the sellers of the vessels that we acquired.

We engaged Eurotrade S.A., a company controlled by certain members of the Pittas family, to act on our behalf and enter into six FFA contracts in December 2008 using its existing FFA trading account arrangements with Royal Bank of Scotland ("RBS"), until we established our own separate FFA trading account. These six FFA contracts were for a total of 480 vessel-equivalent days for calendar year 2009 and 485 days for 2010 of a modern panamax size vessel. In January 2009, we set up our own FFA trading account with Banque de Paris – Paribas ("BNP") and subsequent FFA contracts were entered into via this account. We transferred the RBS contracts to our own account with BNP. Eurotrade S.A. continues to act as our agent in executing trades under our direction. We did not pay any fees to Eurotrade S.A. for these arrangements.

More Maritime Agencies Inc., a crewing agent, and Sentinel Marine Services Inc., an insurance brokering company, are affiliates to whom we paid a fee of \$50 per crew member per month and pay a commission on premium not exceeding 5%, respectively. More Maritime Agencies was our crewing agent until the end of 2009 when it was replaced by another crewing agent, Technomar S.A., also an affiliate. The terms of the arrangement between us and Technomar S.A. are similar to the terms we had with More Maritime Agencies Inc.

Aristides J. Pittas, Euroseas' President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, has provided personal guarantees for some of Euroseas' debts. Eurobulk has provided corporate guarantees for all debts. Additionally, Aristides J. Pittas is currently the Chairman of each of Eurochart, Eurotrade and Eurobulk, all of which are our affiliates.

We have entered into a registration rights agreement with Friends, our largest shareholder, pursuant to which we granted Friends the right, under certain circumstances and subject to certain restrictions, to require us to register under the Securities Act shares of our common stock held by Friends. Under the registration rights agreement, Friends has the right to request us to register the sale of shares held by it on its behalf and may require us to make available shelf registration statements permitting sales of shares into the market from time to time over an extended period. In addition, Friends has the ability to exercise certain piggyback registration rights in connection with registered offerings initiated by us. As of July 2, 2008, all the shares of Euroseas held by Friends at such time (9,539,211 shares) and all of Eurobulk Marine Holdings Inc.'s shares of Euroseas (416,668 shares) were registered under our F-3 registration statement.

Eurobulk, Friends Investment Company Inc. and Aristides J. Pittas, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, have granted us a right of first refusal to acquire any drybulk vessel or containership which any of them may consider for acquisition in the future. In addition, Mr. Pittas has granted us a right of first refusal to accept any chartering out opportunity for a drybulk vessel or containership which may be suitable for any of our vessels, provided that we have a suitable vessel, properly situated and available, to take advantage of the chartering out opportunity. Mr. Pittas has also agreed to use his best efforts to cause any entity he directly or indirectly controls to grant us this right of first refusal.

On March 25, 2010, we entered into the Joint Venture with companies managed by Eton Park and an affiliate of Rhône, two private investment firms, to form Euromar. Eton Park's investments are made through Paros Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company, and Rhône's investments are made through the Cayman Islands limited companies All Seas Investors I Ltd., All Seas Investors II Ltd., and the Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership



All Seas Investors III LP. Euomar will acquire, maintain, manage, operate and dispose of shipping vessels. As part of the Joint Venture, Euroseas and its affiliates will provide management services to Euomar, Euroseas has granted registration rights to Eton Park and Rhône and Euroseas and certain affiliates have granted Euomar certain rights of first refusal in respect of vessel acquisitions, and made certain arrangements with respect to vessel dispositions and chartering opportunities presented to Euroseas and its affiliates. See Item 8B(b) below.

C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

Not Applicable.

Item 8. Financial information

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

See Item 18.

Legal Proceedings

To our knowledge, there are no material legal proceedings to which we are a party or to which any of our properties are subject, other than routine litigation incidental to our business. In our opinion, the disposition of these lawsuits should not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Dividend Policy

Our policy is to declare regular quarterly dividends to shareholders each February, May, August and November in amounts the Board of Directors may from time to time determine are appropriate. The exact timing and amount of dividend payments will be determined by our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our earnings, financial condition, cash requirement and availability, restrictions in its loan agreements, growth strategy, the provisions of Marshall Islands law affecting the payment of distributions to shareholders and other factors, such as the acquisition of additional vessels.

The payment of dividends is not guaranteed or assured, and may be discontinued at any time at the discretion of our Board of Directors. Because we are a holding company with no material assets other than the stock of its subsidiaries, our ability to pay dividends will depend on the earnings and cash flow of its subsidiaries and their ability to pay dividends to us. If there is a substantial decline in the drybulk, containership or multipurpose charter market, our earnings would be negatively affected, thus limiting its ability to pay dividends. Marshall Islands law generally prohibits the payment of dividends other than from surplus or while a company is insolvent or would be rendered insolvent upon the payment of such dividends. Dividends may be declared in conformity with applicable law by, and at the discretion of, our Board of Directors at any regular or special meeting. Dividends may be declared and paid in cash, stock or other property of Euroseas.

Euroseas paid \$46,875,223 (consisting of \$30,175,223 of dividends and \$16,700,000 as return of capital), \$9,465,082, \$20,278,538, \$34,547,950 and \$10,849,609 in 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. While Euroseas has paid dividends on an annual basis during the time it has been a private company, it has paid dividends on a quarterly basis since it has become a public company. Since our Private Placement in August 2005, we declared and paid dividends of \$2,650,223 for the third quarter of 2005, \$2,271,620 for each of the fourth quarter of 2005, first quarter of 2006 and second quarter of 2006, \$2,650,223 for the third quarter of 2006, \$2,776,433 for the fourth quarter of 2006, \$4,409,321 for the first quarter of 2007, \$6,052,064 for the second quarter of 2007, \$7,040,717 for the third quarter of 2007 and \$9,128,334 for the fourth quarter of 2007, \$9,433,373 for the first quarter of 2008, \$9,808,346 for the second quarter of 2008, \$6,177,897 for the third quarter of 2008, and \$3,057,561 for the fourth quarter of 2008, \$3,057,561 for the first quarter of 2009, \$3,164,661 for the second quarter of 2009, \$1,569,826 for the third quarter of 2009 and 1,542,486 for the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010. As of December 31, 2009, dividends of \$46,750 have accrued and will be paid if and when unvested incentive stock awards vest. The most recent dividend, declared on May 7, 2010 for the results of first quarter of 2010, will be paid on or about June 18, 2010 to the

shareholders on record as of June 11, 2010. After the latter dividend, \$70,250 would have accrued as dividends of the unvested stock awards which will be paid if and when unvested incentive stock awards vest. See Item 8.B(a).

B. Significant Changes

After December 31, 2009, the following significant events occurred:

a) On February 23, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share of Euroseas Ltd. common stock. Such cash dividend was paid on or about March 26, 2010 to the holders of record of shares of Euroseas Ltd. common stock as of March 17, 2010.

b) On March 25, 2010, we entered into the Joint Venture with companies managed by Eton Park and an affiliate of Rhône to form Euromar. Eton Park's investments are made through Paros Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company, and Rhône's investments are made through the Cayman Islands limited companies All Seas Investors I Ltd., All Seas Investors II Ltd., and the Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership All Seas Investors III LP. Euromar will acquire, maintain, manage, operate and dispose of shipping vessels. Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, Euroseas will invest up to \$25 million, while Eton Park and Rhône will each invest up to \$75 million for a total of \$175 million, with each holding a proportionate ownership interest in Euromar. Euroseas will also receive options in Euromar which are triggered if certain performance milestones are achieved. Euromar will be managed by a board of six directors, composed of two directors appointed by each of Euroseas, Eton Park and Rhône. Management of the vessels and various administrative services pertaining to the vessels will be performed by Euroseas and its affiliates, Eurobulk and Eurochart.

The Joint Venture includes the option by Eton Park and Rhône, exercisable in certain instances and at any time after the two year anniversary of the Joint Venture, to convert all or part of their equity interests in Euromar into common shares of Euroseas at a price to be based on the comparable values of Euromar and Euroseas at the time of exercise, with such conversion happening at not less than the net asset value of each entity. Depending upon the share percentage of Euroseas owned by Eton Park and Rhône following any such conversion, the number of directors on Euroseas' Board of Directors may be increased from 7 to up to a maximum of 11 directors for so long as the respective ownership thresholds are met. As part of the Joint Venture, Euroseas' largest shareholder, Friends, has entered into a shareholder voting agreement with Eton Park and Rhône whereby Friends has agreed to vote its shares in favor of any directors nominated by Eton Park and Rhône to fill such additional board seats. Under the same shareholder voting agreement, the parties have agreed that Eton Park and Rhône may vote a certain percentage of their shares in their sole discretion (based upon their percentage interest on the Euroseas Board of Directors and the number of shares outstanding), with the remainder of their shares being voted in accordance with the vote of all other Euroseas shareholders. The Joint Venture also permits Euroseas to redeem for fair market value its interest in the Joint Venture in certain instances and at any time following the three year anniversary of the Joint Venture. In addition, Euroseas and its affiliates have granted Euromar certain rights of first refusal in respect of vessel acquisitions, and made certain arrangements with respect to vessel dispositions and chartering opportunities presented to Euroseas and its affiliates.

c) On May 7, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share of Euroseas Ltd. common stock. Such cash dividend is expected to be paid on or about June 18, 2010 to the holders of record of shares of Euroseas Ltd. common stock as of June 11, 2010.

d) On May 5, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a new equity incentive plan which is similar to our 2007 plan. The aggregate number of shares of common stock with respect to which options or restricted shares may at any time be granted under the new plan is 1,500,000 shares of common stock. The new equity incentive plan was adopted on May 5, 2010 and becomes effective on June 15, 2010.

e) On May 12, 2010, M/V "Eleni P", a vessel owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was hijacked by pirates off the coast of Somalia. As of the date of this filing, the Company is working diligently to assure the safety of its crew and to successfully resolve this matter.

f) On May 20, 2010, a subsidiary of the Company purchased the 30,300 dwt, 2008 teu containership vessel M/V "Oder Trader" built in 1998 in Poland, for \$15.85 million. The vessel is expected to be delivered to the Company in June 2010. The Company plans to finance the acquisition with cash reserves from its balance sheet.

## Item 9.

## The Offer and Listing

## A.

## Offer and Listing Details

The trading market for shares of our common stock is the NASDAQ Global Select Market, on which our shares trade under the symbol "ESEA". The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for shares of our common stock since our listing originally in the OTCBB (under symbols ESEAF.OB and EUSEF.OB), since January 31, 2007 on the NASDAQ Global Market and since January 1, 2008 on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The prices below have been adjusted for the reverse 1-for-3 common stock split that was effected on October 6, 2006.

Period	Low	High
Year ended Dec. 31, 2007	7.00	20.79
1st quarter 2007	7.00	10.00
2nd quarter 2007	10.35	15.75
3rd quarter 2007	11.80	16.91
4th quarter 2007	11.75	20.79
Year ended Dec. 31, 2008	3.12	16.80
1st quarter 2008	9.60	14.08
2nd quarter 2008	12.32	16.80
3rd quarter 2008	7.97	13.40
4th quarter 2008	3.12	7.83
Year Ended Dec. 31, 2009	3.51	6.05
1st quarter 2009	3.51	5.82
2nd quarter 2009	3.57	6.05
3rd quarter 2009	4.23	5.30
4th quarter 2009	3.82	5.02
October 2009	3.91	4.44
November 2009	3.82	5.02
December 2009	3.90	4.34
Year 2010(*)	3.41	4.50
1st quarter 2010	3.75	4.50
2nd quarter 2010	3.41	4.17
January 2010	4.06	4.50
February 2010	3.78	4.17
March 2010	3.75	4.05
April 2010	3.80	4.17
May 2010 (*).	3.41	3.97

(\* Until May 25, 2010)

B. Plan of Distribution

Not Applicable.

C. Markets

The trading market for shares of our common stock is the NASDAQ Global Select Market, on which our shares trade under the symbol "ESEA". Our shares began trading on the NASDAQ Global Market on January 31, 2007 and on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on January 1, 2008. Prior to such date, our shares traded on the OTCBB under the symbol "ESEAF.OB" until October 5, 2006 and then under the symbol "EUSEF.OB" until January 30, 2007.

70

---

D. Selling Shareholders

Not Applicable.

E. Dilution

Not Applicable.

F. Expenses of the Issue

Not Applicable.

Item 10. Additional Information

A. Share Capital

Not Applicable.

B. Articles of Incorporation, as amended, and Bylaws, as amended

We refer you to the Section of our F-3 Registration Statement (File No. 333-152089) entitled "Description of Capital Stock" and Exhibits 3.1 (Articles of Incorporation), 3.2 (Bylaws), 3.3 (Amendment to Articles of Incorporation) and 3.4 (Amendment to Bylaws) filed herewith, incorporated by reference herein.

Shareholders' Rights Plan

We adopted a shareholders' rights plan on May 18, 2009 and declared a dividend distribution of one preferred stock purchase right to purchase one one-thousandth of our Series A Participating Preferred Stock for each outstanding share of our common stock, to shareholders of record at the close of business on May 27, 2009. Each right entitles the registered holder, upon the occurrence of certain events, to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$26, subject to adjustment. The rights will expire on the earliest of (i) May 27, 2019 or (ii) redemption or exchange of the rights. The plan was designed to enable us to protect shareholder interests in the event that an unsolicited attempt is made for a business combination with or takeover of the company. We believe that the shareholders' rights plan should enhance the board of directors' negotiating power on behalf of shareholders in the event of a coercive offer or proposal. We are not currently aware of any such offers or proposals and we adopted the plan as a matter of prudent corporate governance. On March 29, 2010, the plan was amended to permit our Euromar joint venture partners, Paros Ltd., All Seas Investors I, Ltd., All Seas Investors II, Ltd. and All Seas Investors III LP, to exercise their conversion rights into the Company's shares without violating the plan.

C. Material Contracts

We have no material contracts, other than contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, to which the Company or any member of the group is a party.

D. Exchange Controls

Under Marshall Islands law, there are currently no restrictions on the export or import of capital, including foreign exchange controls or restrictions that affect the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident



holders of our shares.

71

---

E. Taxation

The following is a discussion of the material Marshall Islands, Liberian and United States federal income tax considerations applicable to us and U.S. Holders and Non-U.S. Holders, each as discussed below, of our common stock. The following discussion is based upon the provisions of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, existing and proposed United States Treasury Department regulations, administrative rulings, pronouncements and judicial decisions, all as of the date of this Annual Report.

Marshall Islands Tax Considerations

We are incorporated in the Marshall Islands. Under current Marshall Islands law, we are not subject to tax on income or capital gains, and no Marshall Islands withholding tax will be imposed upon payments of dividends by us to our stockholders.

Liberian Tax Considerations

The Republic of Liberia enacted a new income tax act effective as of January 1, 2001 (the "New Act"). In contrast to the income tax law previously in effect since 1977 (the "Prior Law"), which the New Act repealed in its entirety, the New Act does not distinguish between the taxation of a non resident Liberian corporation, such as our Liberian subsidiaries, which conduct no business in Liberia and was wholly exempted from tax under the Prior Law, and the taxation of ordinary resident Liberian corporations.

In 2004, the Liberian Ministry of Finance issued regulations pursuant to which a non-resident domestic corporation engaged in international shipping, such as our Liberian subsidiaries, will not be subject to tax under the New Act retroactive to January 1, 2001 (the "New Regulations"). In addition, the Liberian Ministry of Justice issued an opinion that the New Regulations were a valid exercise of the regulatory authority of the Ministry of Finance. Therefore, assuming that the New Regulations are valid, our Liberian subsidiaries will be wholly exempt from Liberian income tax as under the Prior Law.

If our Liberian subsidiaries were subject to Liberian income tax under the New Act, they would be subject to tax at a rate of 35% on their worldwide income. As a result, their, and subsequently our, net income and cash flow would be materially reduced by the amount of the applicable tax. In addition, we, as shareholder of the Liberian subsidiaries, would be subject to Liberian withholding tax on dividends paid by the Liberian subsidiaries at rates ranging from 15% to 20%.

United States Federal Income Tax

The following are the material United States federal income tax consequences to us of our activities and to U.S. Holders and Non-U.S. Holders, each as defined below, of our common stock. The following discussion of United States federal income tax matters is based on the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, judicial decisions, administrative pronouncements, and existing and proposed regulations issued by the United States Department of the Treasury, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. This discussion is based in part upon Treasury Regulations promulgated under Section 883 of the Code. The discussion below is based, in part, on the description of our business as described in "Business" above and assumes that we conduct our business as described in that section. References in the following discussion to "we" and "us" are to Euroseas and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

United States Federal Income Taxation of Our Company

Taxation of Operating Income: In General

Unless exempt from United States federal income taxation under the rules discussed below, a foreign corporation is subject to United States federal income taxation in respect of any income that is derived from the use of vessels, from the hiring or leasing of vessels for use on a time, voyage or bareboat charter basis, from the participation in a pool, partnership, strategic alliance, joint operating agreement, code sharing arrangements or other joint venture it directly or indirectly owns or participates in that generates such income, or from the performance of services directly related to those uses, which we refer to as "shipping income," to the extent that the shipping income is derived from sources within the United States. For these purposes, 50% of shipping income that is attributable to transportation that begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States constitutes income from sources within the United States, which we refer to as "U.S.-source shipping income."

Shipping income attributable to transportation that both begins and ends in the United States is considered to be 100% from sources within the United States. We are not permitted by law to engage in transportation that produces income which is considered to be 100% from sources within the United States.

Shipping income attributable to transportation exclusively between non-United States ports will be considered to be 100% derived from sources outside the United States. Shipping income derived from sources outside the United States will not be subject to any United States federal income tax.

In the absence of exemption from tax under Section 883, our gross U.S.-source shipping income would be subject to a 4% tax imposed without allowance for deductions as described below.

#### Exemption of Operating Income from United States Federal Income Taxation

Under Section 883 of the Code, we will be exempt from United States federal income taxation on our U.S.-source shipping income if:

- we are organized in a foreign country (our "country of organization") that grants an "equivalent exemption" to corporations organized in the United States; and

either

- more than 50% of the value of our stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by "qualified stockholders," individuals who are "residents" of our country of organization or of another foreign country that grants an "equivalent exemption" to corporations organized in the United States, which we refer to as the "50% Ownership Test," or
- our stock is "primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market" in our country of organization, in another country that grants an "equivalent exemption" to United States corporations, or in the United States, which we refer to as the "Publicly-Traded Test."

The Marshall Islands, Liberia, Cyprus and Panama, the jurisdictions where we and our ship-owning subsidiaries were incorporated during 2009 each grants an "equivalent exemption" to United States corporations. Therefore, we will be exempt from United States federal income taxation with respect to our U.S.-source shipping income if we satisfy either the 50% Ownership Test or the Publicly-Traded Test.

We believe that we satisfied the Publicly-Traded Test for the 2009 taxable year and we intend to take this position on our United States federal income tax return.

#### Taxation in Absence of Exemption

To the extent the benefits of Section 883 are unavailable, our U.S.-source shipping income, to the extent not considered to be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business, as described below, would be subject to a 4% tax imposed by Section 887 of the Code on a gross basis, without the benefit of deductions. Since under the sourcing rules described above, no more than 50% of our shipping income would be treated as being derived from United States sources, the maximum effective rate of United States federal income tax on our shipping income would never exceed 2% under the 4% gross basis tax regime.

To the extent the benefits of the Section 883 exemption are unavailable and our U.S.-source shipping income is considered to be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business, as described below, any such "effectively connected" U.S.-source shipping income, net of applicable deductions, would be subject to the United States federal corporate income tax currently imposed at rates of up to 35%. In addition, we may be subject to the 30% "branch profits" taxes on earnings effectively connected with the conduct of such trade or business, as determined after allowance for certain adjustments, and on certain interest paid or deemed paid attributable to the conduct of its United States trade or business.

Our U.S.-source shipping income would be considered "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business only if:

- We have, or are considered to have, a fixed place of business in the United States involved in the earning of shipping income; and
- substantially all of our U.S.-source shipping income is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation, such as the operation of a vessel that follows a published schedule with repeated sailings at regular intervals between the same points for voyages that begin or end in the United States.

We do not intend to have, or permit circumstances that would result in having any vessel operating to the United States on a regularly scheduled basis. Based on the foregoing and on the expected mode of our shipping operations and other activities, we believe that none of our U.S.-source shipping income are or will be "effectively connected" with the conduct of a United States trade or business.

#### United States Taxation of Gain on Sale of Vessels

Regardless of whether we qualify for exemption under Section 883, we will not be subject to United States federal income taxation with respect to gain realized on a sale of a vessel, provided the sale is considered to occur outside of the United States under United States federal income tax principles. In general, a sale of a vessel will be considered to occur outside of the United States for this purpose if title to the vessel, and risk of loss with respect to the vessel, pass to the buyer outside of the United States. It is expected that any sale of a vessel by us will be considered to occur outside of the United States.

#### United States Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Holders

As used herein, the term "U.S. Holder" means a beneficial owner of common stock that is a United States citizen or resident, United States corporation or other United States entity taxable as a corporation, an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source, or a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary jurisdiction over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

This discussion does not purport to deal with the tax consequences of owning common stock to all categories of investors, some of which, such as dealers in securities, investors whose functional currency is not the United States dollar and investors that own, actually or under applicable constructive ownership rules, 10% or more of our common stock, may be subject to special rules. This discussion deals only with holders who hold the common stock as a capital asset. You are encouraged to consult your own tax advisors concerning the overall tax consequences arising in your own particular situation under United States federal, state, local or foreign law of the ownership of common stock.

If a partnership holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding our common stock, you are encouraged to consult your tax advisor.

#### Distributions

Subject to the discussion of passive foreign investment companies below, any distributions made by us with respect to our common stock to a U.S. Holder will generally constitute dividends, which may be taxable as ordinary income or "qualified dividend income" as described in more detail below, to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles. Distributions in excess of our earnings

and profits will be treated first as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. Holder's tax basis in his common stock on a dollar-for-dollar basis and thereafter as capital gain. Because we are not a United States corporation, U.S. Holders that are corporations will not be entitled to claim a dividends received deduction with respect to any distributions they receive from us. Dividends paid with respect to our common stock will generally be treated as "passive category income" or, in the case of certain types of U.S. Holders, "general category income" for purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for United States foreign tax credit purposes.

Dividends paid on our common stock to a U.S. Holder who is an individual, trust or estate (a "U.S. Individual Holder") will generally be treated as "qualified dividend income" that is taxable to such U.S. Individual Holders at preferential tax rates (through 2010) provided that (1) we are not a passive foreign investment company for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year (which we do not believe we are, have been or will be), (2) our common stock is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as the NASDAQ Global Select Market, on which our common stock is listed), and (3) the U.S. Individual Holder has owned the common stock for more than 60 days in the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the date on which the common stock becomes ex-dividend. There is no assurance that any dividends paid on our common stock will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a U.S. Individual Holder. Dividends paid on our stock prior to the date on which our stock became listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market were not eligible for these preferential rates. Legislation has been previously introduced in the U.S. Congress which, if enacted in its present form, would preclude our dividends from qualifying for such preferential rates prospectively from the date of the enactment. Any dividends paid by us which are not eligible for these preferential rates will be taxed as ordinary income to a U.S. Individual Holder.

Special rules may apply to any "extraordinary dividend" generally, a dividend in an amount which is equal to or in excess of ten percent of a stockholder's adjusted basis (or fair market value in certain circumstances) in a share of common stock paid by us. If we pay an "extraordinary dividend" on our common stock that is treated as "qualified dividend income," then any loss derived by a U.S. Individual Holder from the sale or exchange of such common stock will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of such dividend.

#### Sale, Exchange or other Disposition of Common Stock

Assuming we do not constitute a passive foreign investment company for any taxable year, a U.S. Holder generally will recognize taxable gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the U.S. Holder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the U.S. Holder's tax basis in such stock. Such gain or loss will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Holder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition. Such capital gain or loss will generally be treated as U.S.-source income or loss, as applicable, for United States foreign tax credit purposes. A U.S. Holder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to certain limitations.

#### Passive Foreign Investment Company Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Special United States federal income tax rules apply to a U.S. Holder that holds stock in a foreign corporation classified as a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for United States federal income tax purposes. In general, we will be treated as a PFIC with respect to a U.S. Holder if, for any taxable year in which such holder held our common stock, either:

- at least 75% of our gross income for such taxable year consists of passive income (e.g., dividends, interest, capital gains and rents derived other than in the active conduct of a rental business); or
- at least 50% of the average value of the assets held by the corporation during such taxable year produce, or are held for the production of, passive income.



For purposes of determining whether we are a PFIC, we will be treated as earning and owning our proportionate share of the income and assets, respectively, of any of our subsidiary corporations in which we own at least 25% of the value of the subsidiary's stock. Income earned, or deemed earned, by us in connection with the performance of services would not constitute passive income. By contrast, rental income would generally constitute "passive income" unless we were treated under specific rules as deriving our rental income in the active conduct of a trade or business.

Based on our current operations and future projections, we do not believe that we are, nor do we expect to become, a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. Although there is no legal authority directly on point, and we are not relying upon an opinion of counsel on this issue, our belief is based principally on the position that, for purposes of determining whether we are a PFIC, the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from the time chartering and voyage chartering activities of our wholly-owned subsidiaries should constitute services income, rather than rental income. Correspondingly, such income should not constitute passive income, and the assets that we or our wholly-owned subsidiaries own and operate in connection with the production of such income, in particular, the vessels, should not constitute passive assets for purposes of determining whether we are a PFIC. We believe there is substantial legal authority supporting our position consisting of case law and Internal Revenue Service pronouncements concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters and voyage charters as services income for other tax purposes. However, there is also authority which characterizes time charter income as rental income rather than services income for other tax purposes. Moreover, in the absence of any legal authority specifically relating to the statutory provisions governing PFICs, the Internal Revenue Service or a court could disagree with our position. In addition, although we intend to conduct our affairs in a manner to avoid being classified as a PFIC with respect to any taxable year, the nature of our operations may change in the future.

If we were to be classified as a PFIC in any taxable year, a U.S. Holder (i) would generally be required to treat any gain on sales of our shares held by him as ordinary income and pay an interest charge on the value of the deferral of their United States federal income tax attributable to such gain and (ii) could also be subject to an interest charge on distributions paid by us. In addition, for taxable years beginning on or after March 18, 2010, if we are treated as a PFIC, a U.S. Holder of our shares will be required to file an annual information return containing information regarding us as required by United States Department of the Treasury regulations.

The above results may be eliminated if a "mark-to-market" election or "qualified electing fund" election is available and a U.S. Holder validly makes such an election. If a U.S. Holder makes a "qualified electing fund" election, then generally, in lieu of the foregoing treatment, our earnings would be currently included in the U.S. Holder's gross income. If a "mark-to-market" election is made, such holder generally will be required to take into account the difference, if any, between the fair market value and its adjusted tax basis in shares at the end of each taxable year as ordinary income or ordinary loss (to the extent of any net mark-to-market gain previously included in income). In addition, any gain from a sale or other disposition of shares will be treated as ordinary income, and any loss will be treated as ordinary loss (to the extent of any net mark-to-market gain previously included in income).

#### United States Federal Income Taxation of "Non-U.S. Holders"

A beneficial owner of common stock that is not a U.S. Holder is referred to herein as a "Non-U.S. Holder."

#### Dividends on Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on dividends received from us with respect to our common stock, unless that income is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of a United States income tax treaty with respect to those dividends, that income is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States.

#### Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Common Stock

Non-U.S. Holders generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax or withholding tax on any gain realized upon the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock, unless:

- the gain is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. If the Non-U.S. Holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty with respect to that gain, that gain is taxable only if it is attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by the Non-U.S. Holder in the United States; or
- the Non-U.S. Holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year of disposition and other conditions are met.

If the Non-U.S. Holder is engaged in a United States trade or business for United States federal income tax purposes, the income from the common stock, including dividends and the gain from the sale, exchange or other disposition of the stock that is effectively connected with the conduct of that trade or business will generally be subject to regular United States federal income tax in the same manner as discussed in the previous section relating to the taxation of U.S. Holders. In addition, if you are a corporate Non-U.S. Holder, your earnings and profits that are attributable to the effectively connected income, which are subject to certain adjustments, may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a rate of 30%, or at a lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

#### Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, dividend payments, or other taxable distributions, made within the United States to you will be subject to information reporting requirements. Such payments will also be subject to backup withholding tax if you are a non-corporate U.S. Holder and you:

- fail to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;
- are notified by the Internal Revenue Service that you have failed to report all interest or dividends required to be shown on your federal income tax returns; or
- in certain circumstances, fail to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Non-U.S. Holders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding by certifying their status on IRS Form W-8BEN, W-8ECI or W-8IMY, as applicable.

If you sell your common stock to or through a United States office or broker, the payment of the proceeds is subject to both United States backup withholding and information reporting unless you certify that you are a non-U.S. person, under penalties of perjury, or you otherwise establish an exemption. If you sell your common stock through a non-United States office of a non-United States broker and the sales proceeds are paid to you outside the United States then information reporting and backup withholding generally will not apply to that payment. However, United States information reporting requirements, but not backup withholding, will apply to a payment of sales proceeds, even if that payment is made to you outside the United States, if you sell your common stock through a non-United States office of a broker that is a United States person or has some other contacts with the United States.

Backup withholding tax is not an additional tax. Rather, you generally may obtain a refund of any amounts withheld under backup withholding rules that exceed your income tax liability by filing a refund claim with the Internal Revenue Service.

We encourage each stockholder to consult with his, her or its own tax advisor as to particular tax consequences to it of holding and disposing of Euroseas shares, including the applicability of any state, local or foreign tax laws and any proposed changes in applicable law.

F. Dividends and paying agents

Not Applicable.

G. Statement by experts

Not Applicable.

H. Documents on display

We file reports and other information with the SEC. These materials, including this annual report and the accompanying exhibits, may be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the Commission at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, or from the SEC's website <http://www.sec.gov>. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling 1 (800) SEC-0330 and you may obtain copies at prescribed rates.

I. Subsidiary Information

Not Applicable.

## Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

In the normal course of business, we face risks that are non-financial or non-quantifiable. Such risks principally include country risk, credit risk and legal risk. Our operations may be affected from time to time in varying degrees by these risks but their overall effect on us is not predictable. We have identified the following market risks as those which may have the greatest impact upon our operations:

## Interest Rate Fluctuation Risk

The international drybulk, containership and multipurpose vessel industry is capital intensive, requiring significant amounts of investment. Much of this investment is financed by long term debt. Our debt usually contains interest rates that fluctuate with LIBOR. In 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap contract for a notional amount of \$25.0 million in order to manage interest costs and the risks associated with changing interest rates. Under the terms of the swap, Eurobank makes a quarterly payment to the Company based on 3-month LIBOR less 3.99% on the relevant amount if the 3-month LIBOR is greater than 3.99%. If 3-month LIBOR is less than 3.99%, Eurobank receives an amount from the Company based on 3.99% less the 3-month LIBOR for the relevant amount. If LIBOR is equal to 3.99% no amount is due or payable to the Company. The swap is effective from July 14, 2008 to July 14, 2013. In 2009, we entered into a second interest rate swap contract for a notional amount of \$25.0 million. Under the terms of the swap, Eurobank makes a quarterly payment to the Company based on 3-month LIBOR less 2.88% on the relevant amount if the 3-month LIBOR is greater than 2.88%. If 3-month LIBOR is less than 2.88%, Eurobank receives an amount from the Company based on 2.88% less the 3-month LIBOR for the relevant amount. If LIBOR is equal to 2.88% no amount is due or payable to the Company. The swap is effective from July 8, 2009 to July 8, 2014. These swap contracts do not cover our entire debt over the next two years and thus increasing interest rates could adversely impact future earnings.

As at December 31, 2009, we had \$71.52 million of floating rate debt outstanding with margins over LIBOR ranging from 0.80% to 2.70%. Our interest expense is affected by changes in the general level of interest rates. As an indication of the extent of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, an increase of 100 basis points would have decreased our net income and cash flows in the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 by approximately \$669,000 assuming the same debt profile throughout the year.

The following table sets forth the sensitivity of our loans and the interest rate swaps in U.S. dollars to a 100 basis points increase in LIBOR during the next five years. Specifically, the interest we will have to pay for our loans will increase but net payments we will have to make under our interest rate swap contracts will decrease.

Year Ended December 31,	Amount in \$(loans)	Amount in \$(swap)
2010	666,000	(500,000 )
2011	533,000	(500,000 )
2012	435,000	(500,000 )
2013	352,000	(384,000 )
2014 and thereafter	306,000	(129,000 )

## Charter Rate Fluctuation Risk

In December 2008 and during 2009, we entered into FFA contracts to hedge our exposure to the drybulk market. These are futures contracts on an index of the earnings of a typical drybulk vessel of certain type and size (i.e. a modern panamax drybulk carrier). Selling such a contract for a specific period entitles you to receive the agreed upon daily rate (index level) for the period in exchange for the actual daily rate (index level) for each of the days of the period. Sale of FFA contracts is designed to secure a certain level of revenues in conjunction with our drybulk vessels operating in the spot market under the expectation that the amount the vessels earn in the spot market is correlated to the daily rate (index level) that we have to pay to settle the FFA contracts. However, there are risks that the hedge may not work in the intended way because the vessels, even when employed in the spot market, are chartered for short periods of time (as opposed to earning the daily rate that is used for the settlement of the FFA contract), are employed in routes possibly different from the one(s) used in the index and are of different size from the vessel assumed in the index.

As of December 31, 2009, we have FFA contracts outstanding for a total of 905 days in 2010 for an average daily rate of approximately \$12,800 on the Baltic Panamax 4-TC index. The contracts are to be settled monthly. There is also a margin maintenance requirement (over and above a base margin) based on marking the contract to market. The table below shows the sensitivity of our FFA contracts and margin requirements for an increase of \$1,000/day in the Baltic Panamax 4-TC index. Specifically, the mark-to-market value of our FFA contracts will decrease and the amount we will have to post as margin will increase.

Year Ended December 31, 2010	Change in Fair Value in \$
Margin	(905,000 )
Maximum increase in margin	905,000

As of May 1, 2010, we have settled about 331 days of the above contracts and we have outstanding contracts for about 574 days for the rest of 2010. We have not entered into any additional FFA contracts.

#### Inflation Risk

The general rate of inflation has been relatively low in recent years and as such its associated impact on costs has been minimal. We do not believe that inflation has had, or is likely to have in the foreseeable future, a significant impact on expenses. Should inflation increase, it will increase our expenses and subsequently have a negative impact on our earnings.

#### Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

The international drybulk and containership shipping industry's functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. We generate all of our revenues in U.S. dollars, but incur approximately 26% of our vessel operating expenses including drydocking expenses in 2009 in currencies other than U.S. dollars. In addition, our vessel management fee is denominated in Euros (655 Euros per vessel per day in 2009), and, certain general and administrative expenses (about 20% in 2009) are mainly in Euros and some other currencies. On December 31, 2009, approximately 34% of our outstanding accounts payable were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, mainly in Euros. We do not use currency exchange contracts to reduce the risk of adverse foreign currency movements but we believe that our exposure from market rate fluctuations is unlikely to be material. Net foreign exchange gain for the year to December 31, 2009 was \$36,477.

#### Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not Applicable.



PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

We adopted a shareholders' rights plan on May 18, 2009 and declared a dividend distribution of one preferred stock purchase right to purchase one one-thousandth of our Series A Participating Preferred Stock for each outstanding share of our common stock, to shareholders of record at the close of business on May 27, 2009. Each right entitles the registered holder, upon the occurrence of certain events, to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$26, subject to adjustment. The rights will expire on the earliest of (i) May 27, 2019 or (ii) redemption or exchange of the rights. The plan was designed to enable us to protect shareholder interests in the event that an unsolicited attempt is made for a business combination with or takeover of the company. We believe that the shareholders' rights plan should enhance the board of directors' negotiating power on behalf of shareholders in the event of a coercive offer or proposal. We are not currently aware of any such offers or proposals and we adopted the plan as a matter of prudent corporate governance. On March 29, 2010, the plan was amended to permit our Euromar joint venture partners, Paros Ltd., All Seas Investors I, Ltd., All Seas Investors II, Ltd. and All Seas Investors III LP, to exercise their conversion rights into the Company's shares without violating the plan.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), the Company's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009. The term disclosure controls and procedures are defined under SEC rules as controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, as of December 31, 2009.

(b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is identified in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the issuer's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the issuer's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with the authorization of its management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Our management, with the participation of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, the Company used the control criteria framework of the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") published in its report entitled Internal Control-Integrated Framework. As a result of its assessment, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's internal controls over financial reporting are effective as of December 31, 2009.

(c) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

Deloitte. Hadjipavlou, Sofianos and Cambanis S.A., or "Deloitte", an independent registered public accounting firm, as auditors of our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, has issued the following attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009:

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries, Majuro, Republic of the Marshall Islands

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Euroseas Ltd and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting." Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.



Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 of the Company and our report dated May 28, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte. Hadjipavlou, Sofianos, & Cambanis S.A.  
Athens, Greece  
May 28, 2010

(d) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period covered by this annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Item 16A.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has determined that all the members of our Audit Committee qualify as financial experts and they are all considered to be independent according to the SEC rules. Mr. Panos Kyriakopoulos serves as the chairman of our Audit Committee with Mr. Gerald Turner and Mr. George Taniskidis as members.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to officers and employees. Our code of ethics is posted in our website: <http://www.euroseas.gr> under "Corporate Governance".

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Our principal auditors, Deloitte Hadjipavlou, Sofianos & Cambanis S.A. have charged us for audit, audit-related and non-audit services as follows:

82

---

	2009 (dollars in thousands)	2008 (dollars in thousands)
Audit Fees	\$ 531	\$ 546
Further assurance / audit related fees	-	-
Tax fees	-	-
Other fees / expenses	-	-
Total	\$ 531	\$ 546

Audit fees relate to regular audit services and audit of our internal controls and services required for follow-on common stock offerings, our shelf registration filings, our continuous equity offering (currently suspended) and filings on Form S-8.

The audit committee is responsible for the appointment, replacement, compensation, evaluation and oversight of the work of the independent registered public accounting firm. As part of this responsibility, the Audit Committee pre-approves the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm in order to assure that they do not impair the auditor's independence from the Company. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy which sets forth the procedures and the conditions pursuant to which services proposed to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm may be pre-approved.

All audit services and other services provided by Deloitte Hadjipavlou, Sofianos & Cambanis S.A., after the formation of our audit committee in November 2005 were pre-approved by the audit committee.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not Applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Not Applicable.

Item 16 F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

None.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

Our Company's corporate governance practices are in compliance with, and are not prohibited by, the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Therefore, we are exempt from many of NASDAQ's corporate governance practices other than the requirements regarding the disclosure of a going concern audit opinion, submission of a listing agreement, notification of material non-compliance with NASDAQ corporate governance practices, and the establishment and composition of an audit committee and a formal written audit committee charter. The practices followed by us in lieu of NASDAQ's corporate governance rules are described below.

We are not required under Marshall Islands law to maintain a board of directors with a majority of independent directors, and we may not maintain a board of directors with a majority of independent directors in the future.

In lieu of a compensation committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors will be responsible for establishing the executive officers' compensation and benefits. Under Marshall Islands law, compensation of the executive officers is not required to be determined by an independent committee.



In lieu of a nomination committee comprised of independent directors, our Board of Directors will be responsible for identifying and recommending potential candidates to become board members and recommending directors for appointment to board committees. Shareholders may also identify and recommend potential candidates to become candidates to become board members in writing. No formal written charter has been prepared or adopted because this process is outlined in our bylaws.

In lieu of obtaining an independent review of related party transactions for conflicts of interests, consistent with Marshall Islands law requirements, a related party transaction will be permitted if: (i) the material facts as to his or her relationship or interest and as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to the Board of Directors and the Board of Directors in good faith authorizes the contract or transaction by the affirmative votes of a majority of the disinterested directors, or, if the votes of the disinterested directors are insufficient to constitute an act of the Board of Directors as defined in Section 55 of the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, by unanimous vote of the disinterested directors; or (ii) the material facts as to his relationship or interest are disclosed and the shareholders are entitled to vote thereon, and the contract or transaction is specifically approved in good faith by a simple majority vote of the shareholders; or (iii) the contract or transaction is fair as to the Company as of the time it is authorized, approved or ratified, by the Board of Directors, a committee thereof or the shareholders. Common or interested directors may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the Board of Directors or of a committee which authorizes the contract or transaction.

As a foreign private issuer, we are not required to solicit proxies or provide proxy statements to NASDAQ pursuant to NASDAQ corporate governance rules or Marshall Islands law. Consistent with Marshall Islands law, we will notify our shareholders of meetings between 15 and 60 days before the meeting. This notification will contain, among other things, information regarding business to be transacted at the meeting. In addition, our bylaws provide that shareholders must give us advance notice to properly introduce any business at a meeting of the shareholders. Our bylaws also provide that shareholders may designate in writing a proxy to act on their behalf.

In lieu of holding regular meetings at which only independent directors are present, our entire board of directors, a majority of whom are independent, will hold regular meetings as is consistent with the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

The Board of Directors adopted an equity incentive plan in October 2007 which replaced the prior equity incentive plan. Shareholder approval was not necessary to terminate the original equity incentive plan or to establish a new equity incentive plan since Marshall Islands law permits the Board of Directors to take these actions. The Company has filed the appropriate documentation with the Nasdaq Global Select Market reflecting this event.

The Board of Directors adopted a new equity incentive plan in May 2010. Shareholder approval was not necessary since Marshall Islands law permits the Board of Directors to take these actions. The Company has filed the appropriate documentation with the Nasdaq Global Market reflecting this event.

As a foreign private issuer, we are not required to obtain shareholder approval if any of our directors, officers or 5% or greater shareholders has a 5% or greater interest (or such persons collectively have a 10% or greater interest), directly or indirectly, in the company or assets to be acquired or in the consideration to be paid in the transaction(s) and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into or exercisable for common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common stock or voting power of 5% or more.

In lieu of obtaining shareholder approval prior to the issuance of designated securities, the Company will comply with provisions of the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, providing that the Board of Directors approves share

issuances.

OTHER THAN AS NOTED ABOVE, WE ARE IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH ALL OTHER APPLICABLE NASDAQ CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS.

84

---

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements

See Item 18

Item 18. Financial Statements

The following financial statements set forth on pages F-1 through F-46 are filed as part of this annual report.

Item 19. Exhibits

- 1.1 Articles of Incorporation of Euroseas Ltd.(11)
- 1.2 Bylaws of Euroseas Ltd.(11)
- 1.3 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation of Euroseas Ltd.(11)
- 1.4 Amendment to Bylaws of Euroseas Ltd.(11)
- 2.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate(7)
- 2.2 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement(1)
- 2.3 Form of Registration Rights Agreement(1)
- 2.4 Form of Warrant(1)
- 2.5 Registration Rights Agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and Friends Investment Company Inc., dated November 2, 2005(2)
- 2.6 Form of Registration Rights Agreement among Euroseas Ltd., Paros Ltd., All Seas Investors I Ltd., All Seas Investors II Ltd. and All Seas Investors III LP dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 3.1 Form of Shareholder Voting Agreement among Euroseas Ltd., Paros Ltd., All Seas Investors I Ltd., All Seas Investors II Ltd., All Seas Investors III LP, Friends Investment Company Inc. and Aristides J. Pittas dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 4.1 Form of Lock-up Agreement(1)
- 4.2 Secured Loan Facility Agreement dated May 24, 2005 between Allendale Investments S.A. and Alterwall Business Inc. as borrowers, Fortis Bank (Nederland) N.V. and others as lenders, and Fortis Bank (Nederland) N.V. as agent and security trustee for \$20,000,000(1)
- 4.3 Form of Standard Ship Management Agreement(1)
- 4.4 Agreement between Eurobulk Ltd. and Eurochart S.A., for the provision of exclusive brokerage services, dated December 20, 2004(1)
- 4.5 Form of Current Time Charter(1)
- 4.6 Amended and Restated Master Management Agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and Eurobulk Ltd. dated as of July 17, 2007, as amended February 7, 2008 (6)
- 4.7 Addendum No. 1 to Amendment to Amended and Restated Master Management Agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and Eurobulk Ltd. dated as of February 7, 2009 (9)
- 4.8 Loan Agreement between Xenia International Corp., as borrower, and Fortis Bank N.V./S.A., Athens Branch and others, as lenders, for the amount of USD\$8,250,000 dated June 30, 2006(3)
- 4.9 Loan Agreement between Prospero Maritime Inc., as borrower, and Calyon, as lender, for the amount of USD\$15,500,000 dated August 30, 2006(3)

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

- 4.10 Euroseas 2007 Equity Incentive Plan(8)
- 4.11 Loan Agreement between Xingang Shipping Ltd., as borrower, and HSBC Bank plc, as lender, for the amount of USD\$20,000,000 dated November 14, 2006(4)
- 4.12 Amendment to Loan Agreement among Xingang Shipping Ltd., as borrower, HSBC Bank plc, as lender, and Diana Trading Ltd. and Euroseas Ltd., as corporate guarantors, dated April 14, 2010(11)
- 4.13 Form of Right of First Refusal(5)
- 4.14 Form of Advisory Agreement(5)
- 4.15 Loan Agreement between Manolis Shipping Limited, as borrower, and EFG Eurobank Ergasias S.A., as lender, for the amount of USD\$10,000,000 dated June 7, 2007(6)
- 4.16 Supplemental Agreement to Loan Agreement between Manolis Shipping Limited, as borrower, and EFG Eurobank Ergasias S.A., as lender, dated August 5, 2009(11)

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

- 4.17 Loan Agreement between Trust Navigation Corp., as borrower and EFG Eurobank Ergasias S.A., as lender, for the amount of USD\$15,000,000 dated October 29, 2007 (6)
- 4.18 Amendment to Loan Agreement between Trust Navigation Corp., as borrower and EFG Eurobank Ergasias S.A., as lender, dated December 29, 2008 (9)
- 4.19 Form of Senior Security Debt Indenture(7)
- 4.20 Form of Subordinated Debt Security Indenture(7)
- 4.21 Loan Agreement between Saf-Concord Shipping Ltd., as borrower and EFG Eurobank Ergasias S.A., as lender, for the amount of USD\$10,000,000 dated January 9, 2009 (9)
- 4.22 Loan Agreement between Eleni Shipping Ltd., as borrower and Calyon, as lender, for the amount of USD\$10,000,000 dated April 30, 2009 (9)
- 4.23 Shareholders Rights Agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, LLC dated May 18, 2009(10)
- 4.24 Amendment to Shareholders Rights Agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, LLC dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 4.25 Loan Agreement between Pantelis Shipping Limited, as borrower, and HSBC Bank plc, as lender, for the amount of USD\$13,000,000 dated December 15, 2009(11)
- 4.26 Amendment to Loan Agreement between Pantelis Shipping Limited, as borrower, and HSBC Bank plc, as lender, dated April 14, 2010 (11)
- 4.27 Form of Limited Liability Company Agreement for Euromar LLC, a Marshall Islands Limited Liability Company, among Euroseas Ltd., Paros Ltd., All Seas Investors I Ltd., All Seas Investors II Ltd. and All Seas Investors III LP dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 4.28 Form of Management Agreement among Euromar LLC, the vessel owning subsidiaries of Euromar LLC, Euroseas Ltd., Eurobulk Ltd. and Eurochart S.A. dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 4.29 Form of Agreement Regarding Vessel Opportunities among Euroseas Ltd., Eurobulk Ltd., Eurochart S.A., Aristides J. Pittas and Euromar LLC dated March 25, 2010(11)
- 4.30 Euroseas 2010 Equity Incentive Plan(11)
  - 8.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant(11)
  - 12.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer(11)
  - 12.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer(11)
  - 13.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(11)
  - 13.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(11)
- 15.1 Consent of Deloitte, Hadjipavlou, Sofianos & Cambanis S.A.(11)

- (1) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement (File No. 333-129145) on October 20, 2005.
- (2) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Amendment No.1 to Registration Statement (File No. 333-129145) on December 5, 2005.
- (3) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement (File No. 333-12945) on September 12, 2006.
- (4) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement (File No. 333-138780) on November 17, 2006.
- (5) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Amendment No. 4 to Registration Statement (File No. 333-138780) on January 29, 2007.

Edgar Filing: EUROSEAS LTD. - Form 20-F

- (6) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 001-33283) on May 13, 2008.
- (7) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement (File No. 333-152089) on July 2, 2008.
- (8) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement (File No. 333-148124) on July 17, 2008.
- (9) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 001-33283) on May 18, 2009.
- (10) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 001-33283) on May 18, 2009.
- (11) Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

The Registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign on its behalf.

EUROSEAS LTD.  
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Aristides J. Pittas  
Aristides J. Pittas  
Chairman, President and  
CEO

Date: May 28, 2010

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated financial statements  
December 31, 2008 and 2009

---

Index to consolidated financial statements

	Pages
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2009	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009	F-7
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

F-1

---



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries, Majuro, Republic of the Marshall Islands

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Euroseas Ltd. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Euroseas Ltd. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated May 28, 2010 expressed unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte. Hadjipavlou, Sofianos, & Cambanis S.A.  
Athens, Greece  
May 28, 2010

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated balance sheets

December 31, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts, except share data, expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Notes	December 31, 2008 (as adjusted) (see Note 2)	December 31, 2009
<b>Assets</b>			
<b>Current assets</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents		73,851,191	40,984,549
Trade accounts receivable, net		1,233,895	1,650,713
Other receivables		1,439,628	239,656
Due from related company	8	4,678,750	-
Inventories	3	2,011,973	1,869,238
Restricted cash	9	2,181,264	1,191,230
Other deposits	16	-	12,376,119
Vessels held for sale	4	6,067,020	-
Trading securities		771,727	436,598
Derivatives	15, 16	61,670	-
Prepaid expenses		241,102	185,137
Total current assets		92,538,220	58,933,240
<b>Fixed assets</b>			
Vessels, net	4	231,963,606	257,270,824
Advances for vessel acquisitions		1,821,798	-
<b>Long-term assets</b>			
Restricted cash	9	4,800,000	6,500,000
Deferred charges, net	5	373,702	327,694
Derivatives	15, 16	68,038	386,536
Fair value of above market time charter acquired	7	1,653,422	-
Total long-term assets		240,680,566	264,485,054
Total assets		333,218,786	323,418,294
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>			
<b>Current liabilities</b>			
Long-term debt, current portion	9	12,450,000	14,030,000
Trade accounts payable		2,283,488	1,843,182
Accrued expenses	6	1,206,466	1,060,326
Accrued dividends		116,750	46,750
Due to related company	8	-	1,416,380
Deferred revenues		4,533,601	1,247,782
Derivatives	15, 16	827,210	10,799,132
Total current liabilities		21,417,515	30,443,552

(Consolidated balance sheets continues on the next page)



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated balance sheets  
 December 31, 2008 and 2009  
 (All amounts, except share data, expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

(continued)

Long-term liabilities			
Long-term debt, net of current portion	9	43,565,000	57,485,000
Derivatives	15, 16	2,700,028	611,852
Fair value of below market time charters acquired	7	8,704,811	3,424,627
Total long-term liabilities		54,969,839	61,521,479
Total liabilities		76,387,354	91,965,031
Commitments and contingencies	11	-	
Shareholders' equity			
Common stock (par value \$0.03, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 30,575,611 and 30,849,711 issued and outstanding)		917,269	925,492
Preferred shares (par value \$0.01, 20,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding)		-	-
Additional paid-in capital		234,567,670	235,588,391
Retained earnings / (Accumulated deficit)		21,346,493	(5,060,620 )
Total shareholders' equity		256,831,432	231,453,263
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		333,218,786	323,418,294

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated statements of operations

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts, except for share data, expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Notes	2007 (as adjusted – see Note 2)	2008 (as adjusted – see Note 2)	2009
<b>Revenues</b>				
Voyage revenue	7	86,104,365	132,243,918	66,215,669
Commissions	8, 14	(4,024,032 )	(5,940,460 )	(2,433,776 )
Net revenue		82,080,333	126,303,458	63,781,893
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Voyage expenses	14	897,463	3,092,323	1,510,551
Vessel operating expenses	14	17,240,132	27,521,194	23,673,480
Drydocking expenses	2	5,770,007	6,129,257	1,912,474
Vessel depreciation	4	16,423,092	28,284,752	19,092,384
Impairment loss	4	-	25,113,364	-
Management fees	8	3,669,137	5,387,415	5,074,297
Other general and administrative expenses		2,656,176	4,057,736	3,640,534
Net (gain) / loss on sale of vessels	4	(3,440,681 )	-	8,959,321
Charter termination fees	4	-	-	(103,577 )
Total operating expenses		43,215,326	99,586,041	63,759,464
Operating income		38,865,007	26,717,417	22,429
<b>Other income/(expenses)</b>				
Interest and other financing costs		(4,850,239 )	(2,930,737 )	(1,437,637 )
Change in fair value of derivatives	16	-	(3,474,635 )	(15,778,209)
Foreign exchange gain/(loss)		(7,824 )	7,888	36,477
Realized gain on investments		-	81,193	411,444
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		98,744	(2,393,983 )	(5,325 )
Dividend income		-	315,266	-
Interest income		2,357,633	3,168,501	1,123,317
Other expenses, net		(2,401,686 )	(5,226,507 )	(15,649,933)
Net income / (loss)		36,463,321	21,490,910	(15,627,504)
Earnings / (loss) per share - basic	13	1.69	0.71	(0.51 )
Weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year, basic	13	21,566,619	30,437,107	30,648,991
Earnings / (loss) per share - diluted	13	1.68	0.70	(0.51 )
Weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year, diluted	13	21,644,920	30,505,476	30,648,991

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts, except share data, expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	Comprehensive Income / (loss)	Number of Shares	Common Stock Amount	Preferred Shares Amount	Additional Paid - Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance, January 1, 2007		12,620,150	378,605	-	18,283,767	19,349,288	38,011,660
Cumulative effect of adjustment from change in accounting policy to January 1, 2007 (see Note 2)						(1,013,789 )	(1,013,789 )
Net income	36,463,321					36,463,321	36,463,321
Issuance of shares in public offerings, net of issuance costs		17,325,000	519,750	-	209,367,229	-	209,886,979
Issuance of shares for warrants exercised		248,463	7,454	-	2,675,947	-	2,683,401
Issuance of restricted shares for stock incentive award and share-based compensation		67,500	2,025	-	820,757	-	822,782
Dividends declared and paid (\$1.00 per share)			-	-		(20,278,538 )	(20,278,538 )
Balance, December 31, 2007		30,261,113	907,834	-	231,147,700	34,520,282	266,575,816
Net income	21,490,910					21,490,910	21,490,910
Issuance of shares for warrants		171,998	5,160	-	1,805,762	-	1,810,922

exercised						
Issuance of restricted shares for stock incentive award and share-based compensation	142,500	4,275	-	1,614,208	-	1,618,483
Dividends declared (\$1.13 per share)		-	-		(34,664,699)	(34,664,699)
Balance, December 31, 2008	30,575,611	917,269	-	234,567,670	21,346,493	256,831,432
Net loss (15,627,504 )					(15,627,504)	(15,627,504)
Issuance of shares in "Continuous Offering Program, net of issuance costs"	134,100	4,023	-	204,732	-	208,755
Issuance of restricted shares for stock incentive award and share-based compensation	140,000	4,200	-	815,989	-	820,189
Dividends declared (\$0.30 per share)		-	-		(10,779,609)	(10,779,609)
Balance, December 31, 2009	30,849,711	925,492	-	235,588,391	(5,060,620)	231,453,263

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated statements of cash flows  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	2007 (as adjusted) (Note 2)	2008 (as adjusted) (see Note 2)	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income / (loss)	36,463,321	21,490,910	(15,627,504)
Adjustments to reconcile net income / (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation of vessels	16,423,092	28,284,752	19,092,384
Impairment loss	-	25,113,364	-
Amortization of deferred charges	72,715	85,141	110,504
Amortization of fair value of time charters	548,254	(6,144,507 )	(3,626,762 )
(Gain) / loss on sale of vessels	(3,440,681 )	-	8,959,321
Share-based compensation	822,782	1,618,484	820,189
Investment in trading securities, net	(2,792,914 )	(192,859 )	741,248
(Gain) loss on trading securities	(98,744 )	2,312,790	(406,118 )
Unrealized loss on derivatives	-	3,397,530	7,626,918
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
(Increase)/decrease in:			
Trade accounts receivable	(671,888 )	(183,791 )	(416,818 )
Prepaid expenses	(188,047 )	189,503	55,965
Other receivables	(472,217 )	(698,547 )	532,133
Inventories	(1,187,547 )	(108,295 )	142,735
Other deposits	-	-	(12,376,119)
Due from related company	(2,641,936 )	612,446	4,678,750
Increase/(decrease) in:			
Due to related company	-	-	1,416,380
Trade accounts payable	2,755,051	(1,506,276 )	(243,112 )
Accrued expenses	1,074,809	(870,284 )	(356,615 )
Deferred revenue	2,292,721	883,380	(3,285,819 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	48,958,771	74,283,741	7,837,660
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of vessels	(149,502,254)	(43,582,320)	(62,224,639)
Advance for vessel purchase	-	(1,821,798 )	-
Insurance proceeds	-	-	667,839
Change in restricted cash	(2,393,258 )	(741,385 )	(709,966 )
Proceeds from sale of vessels	5,223,521	-	16,668,001
Net cash used in investing activities	(146,671,991)	(46,145,503)	(45,598,765)

(Consolidated statements of cash flows continues on the next page)



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
 Consolidated statements of cash flows  
 Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
 (All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

(Continued)

Cash flows from financing activities:			
Issuance of share capital	527,204	5,030	4,023
Proceeds from shares issued	213,692,072	1,805,892	645,242
Offering expenses paid	(1,413,305 )	(110,340 )	(197,193 )
Dividends paid	(20,278,538 )	(34,547,949 )	(10,849,609 )
Loan arrangement fees paid	(110,000 )	-	(208,000 )
Proceeds from long-term debts	25,000,000	-	33,000,000
Repayment of long-term debts	(18,360,000 )	(25,575,000 )	(17,500,000 )
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	199,057,433	(58,422,367 )	4,894,463
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	101,344,213	(30,284,129 )	(32,866,642 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,791,107	104,135,320	73,851,191
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	104,135,320	73,851,191	40,984,549
Cash paid for interest	4,570,773	3,161,197	1,421,193
Non cash items:			
Other increase in accrued expenses and deferred charges	-	143,505	297,008
Fair value of below market charters acquired	9,675,481	9,597,438	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

1. Basis of Presentation and General Information

Euroseas Ltd. (the "Company") was formed on May 5, 2005 under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands to consolidate the beneficial owners of the ship owning companies in existence at that time (see list below). On June 28, 2005, the beneficial owners exchanged all their shares in the ship-owning companies for shares in Friends Investment Company Inc., a newly formed Marshall Islands company. On June 29, 2005, Friends Investment Company Inc. then exchanged all the shares in the ship-owning companies for shares in Euroseas Ltd., thus, becoming the sole shareholder of Euroseas Ltd.

On August 25, 2005, Euroseas Ltd. sold 2,342,331 common shares at \$9.00 per share in an institutional private placement, together with 0.25 of detachable warrants for each common share to acquire up to 585,589 common shares. The total proceeds, net of issuance costs of \$3,500,309, amounted to \$17,510,400. The warrants allow their holders to acquire one share of Euroseas Ltd. stock at a price of \$10.80 per share and are exercisable for a period of five years from the issue of the warrant.

On August 25, 2005, as a condition to the institutional private placement described above, the Company and Cove Apparel, Inc. (Cove, an unrelated party and public shell corporation) signed an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"). The Merger Agreement provided for the merger of Cove and Euroseas Acquisition Company Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Euroseas Ltd. formed on June 21, 2005, with the current stockholders of Cove receiving 0.0034323 shares of Euroseas Ltd. common shares for each share of Cove common stock they owned. Euroseas Ltd., as part of the merger, filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to register the shares issued in the merger to the Cove stockholders.

The SEC declared effective on February 3, 2006 the Company's registration statement on Form F-4 that registered the Euroseas Ltd. common shares issued to Cove shareholders. The SEC also declared effective on February 3, 2006 the Company's registration statement on Form F-1 that registered the re-sale of the 2,342,331 Euroseas Ltd. common shares and 585,589 Euroseas Ltd. common shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants issued in connection with the institutional private placement as well as 272,868 Euroseas Ltd. common shares that were issued to certain Cove shareholders as part of the merger with Cove.

On March 27, 2006, Euroseas Ltd. consummated the merger with Cove and, as a result, Cove merged into Euroseas Acquisition Company Inc., and the separate corporate existence of Cove ceased. The Cove stockholders received Euroseas Ltd. common shares and received dividends totaling to \$140,334 related to dividends previously declared by Euroseas Ltd. Euroseas Acquisition Company Inc. changed its name to Cove Apparel, Inc. Also, following the completion of the merger, the common stock of Cove was de-listed and no longer traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. On the date of the merger, Cove had cash of \$10,000, had no other assets and had no liabilities. Cove was dissolved in March 2008.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

1. Basis of Presentation and General Information - continued

On January 31, 2007 upon the pricing of the Company's follow-on common stock offering of 5,750,000 shares. Euroseas Ltd. common share started trading on the NASDAQ Global Market. The total proceeds of the follow-on common stock offering, net of issuance costs of \$4,122,289, amounted to \$43,315,220. On June 29, 2007 the Company priced, and, on July 5, 2007 completed an additional follow-on offering of 5,750,000 shares of common stock. The total proceeds of this follow-on offering, net of issuance costs of \$4,609,428, amounted to \$73,015,572. On November 6, 2007 the Company priced, and, on November 9, 2007 completed an additional follow-on offering of 5,825,000 shares of common stock. The total proceeds of this follow-on offering, net of issuance costs of \$5,468,812, amounted to \$93,556,187.

The operations of the vessels are managed by Eurobulk Ltd. (the "manager"), a corporation controlled by members of the Pittas family. The Pittas family is the controlling shareholders of Friends Investment Company Inc. which owns 33% of the Company's shares and of Eurobulk Marine Holdings Inc. which owns another 1.3% of the Company's shares as of December 31, 2009.

The manager has an office in Greece located at 4, Messogiou & Evropis Street, Maroussi, Athens, Greece. The manager provides the Company with a wide range of shipping services such as technical support and maintenance, insurance consulting, chartering, financial and accounting services, as well as executive management services, in consideration for fixed and variable fees (see Note 8).

The Company is engaged in the ocean transportation of dry bulk and containers through ownership and operation of dry bulk and container carriers owned by the following ship-owning companies:

- Searoute Maritime Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on May 20, 1992, owner of the Cyprus flag 33,712 DWT bulk carrier motor vessel (M/V) "Ariel", which was built in 1977 and acquired on March 5, 1993. M/V "Ariel" was sold in February, 2007.
- Oceanopera Shipping Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on June 26, 1995, owner of the Cyprus flag 34,750 DWT bulk carrier M/V "Nikolaos P", which was built in 1984 and acquired on July 22, 1996. M/V "Nikolaos P" was sold in February 2009.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

1. Basis of Presentation and General Information - continued

- Alcinoe Shipping Ltd. incorporated in Cyprus on March 20, 1997, owner of the Cyprus flag 26,354 DWT bulk carrier M/V "Pantelis P", which was built in 1981 and acquired on June 4, 1997. M/V "Pantelis P" was sold on May 31, 2006. On February 22, 2007, Alcinoe Shipping Ltd. acquired the 38,691 DWT Cyprus flag drybulk carrier M/V "Gregos", which was built in 1984. On June 13, 2007, M/V Gregos was transferred to Gregos Shipping Limited incorporated in the Marshall Islands and its flag was changed to the flag of the Marshall Islands. M/V "Gregos" was sold in December 2009.
- Allendale Investment S.A. incorporated in Panama on January 22, 2002, owner of the Panama flag 18,154 DWT container carrier M/V "Kuo Hsiung", which was built in 1993 and acquired on May 13, 2002.
- Alterwall Business Inc. incorporated in Panama on January 15, 2001, owner of the Panama flag 18,253 DWT container carrier M/V "Ninos" (previously named M/V "Quingdao I") which was built in 1990 and acquired on February 16, 2001.
- Diana Trading Ltd. incorporated in the Marshall Islands on September 25, 2002, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 69,734 DWT bulk carrier M/V "Irimi", which was built in 1988 and acquired on October 15, 2002.
- Salina Shipholding Corp., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on October 20, 2005, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 29,693 DWT container carrier M/V "Artemis", which was built in 1987 and acquired on November 25, 2005. M/V Artemis was sold in December 2009.
- Xenia International Corp., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on April 6, 2006, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 22,568 DWT / 950 TEU multipurpose M/V "Tasman Trader", which was built in 1990 and acquired on April 27, 2006.
- Prospero Maritime Inc., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on July 21, 2006, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 69,268 DWT dry bulk M/V "Aristides N.P.", which was built in 1993 and acquired on September 4, 2006.
- Xingang Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on October 16, 2006, owner of the Liberian flag 23,596 DWT container carrier M/V "YM Xingang I", which was built in February 1993 and acquired on November 15, 2006. On November 6, 2009 the vessel was renamed M/V "YN Port Kelang" (from July 11, 2009 to November 5, 2009 the vessel was named M/V "Mastro Nicos").
- Manolis Shipping Ltd., incorporated in the Marshall Islands on March 16, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 20,346 DWT / 1,452 TEU container carrier M/V "Manolis P", which was built in 1995 and acquired on April 12, 2007.
- Eternity Shipping Company, incorporated in the Marshall Islands on May 17, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 30,007 DWT / 1,742 TEU container carrier M/V "Clan Gladiator", which was built in 1992 and acquired on June 13, 2007. On May 9, 2008, M/V "Clan Gladiator" was renamed M/V "OEL Transworld" and on August 31, 2009 the vessel was renamed M/V "Captain Costas".

F-11

---

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

## 1. Basis of Presentation and General Information - continued

- Emmentaly Business Inc., incorporated in Panama on July 4, 2007, owner of the Panamanian flag 33,667 DWT / 1,932 TEU container carrier M/V "Jonathan P", which was built in 1990 and acquired on August 7, 2007. On April 16, 2008, M/V "Jonathan P" was renamed M/V "OEL Integrity"; on March 5, 2009, the vessel was renamed again M/V "Jonathan P" upon the expiration of its charter with OEL.
- Pilory Associates Corp., incorporated in Panama on July 4, 2007, owner of the Panamanian flag 33,667 DWT / 1,932 TEU container carrier M/V "Despina P", which was built in 1990 and acquired on August 13, 2007.
- Tiger Navigation Corp., incorporated in Marshall Islands on August 29, 2007, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 31,627 DWT / 2,228 TEU container carrier M/V "Tiger Bridge", which was built in 1990 and acquired on October 4, 2007.
- Trust Navigation Corp., incorporated in Liberia on October 1, 2007, owner of the Liberian flag 64,873 DWT bulk carrier M/V "Ioanna P", which was built in 1984 and acquired on November 1, 2007. M/V "Ioanna P" was sold in January 2009.
- Noumea Shipping Ltd, incorporated in Marshall Islands on May 14, 2008, owner of the Marshall Islands flag 34,677 DWT / 2,556 TEU container vessel M/V "Maersk Noumea", which was built in 2001 and acquired on May 22, 2008.
- Saf-Concord Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on June 8, 2008, owner of the Liberian flag 46,667 DWT bulk carrier M/V Monica P, which was built in 1998 and acquired on January 19, 2009.
- Eleni Shipping Ltd., incorporated in Liberia on February 11, 2009, owner of the Liberian flag 72,119 DWT bulk carrier M/V Eleni P, which was built in 1997 and acquired on March 6, 2009.
- Pantelis Shipping Ltd. , incorporated in the Republic of Malta on July 2, 2009, owner of the Maltese flag 74,020 DWT bulk carrier M/V Pantelis which was built in 2000 and acquired on July 23, 2009.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, the following charterers individually accounted for more than 10% of the Company's voyage and time charter revenues as follows:

Charterer	Year ended December 31,		
	2007	2008	2009
A (Klaveness)	15.07%	8.81%	16.84%
B (Orient Express Lines)	-	6.05%	10.33%
C (Maersk Lines)	-	2.49%	10.25%
D (YM Lines)	12.53%	7.27%	8.66%
E (Sinchart)	12.10%	13.80%	2.52%





Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

## 2. Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The following are the significant accounting policies adopted by the Company:

### Principles of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements included the accounts of Euroseas Ltd. and its subsidiaries. Inter-company transactions were eliminated on consolidation.

### Use of estimates

The preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the stated amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Other comprehensive income

The Company presents separately comprehensive income, if any, and its components in shareholders' equity. The Company has no other comprehensive income and, accordingly, comprehensive income / loss equals net income / loss for all periods presented.

### Foreign currency translation

The Company's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. Income and expenses denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transaction. The resulting exchange gains and/or losses on settlement or translation are included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

### Cash equivalents

Cash equivalents are time deposits or other certificates purchased with an original maturity of three months or less.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Restricted cash

Restricted cash reflects deposits with certain banks that can only be used to pay the current loan installments or are required to be maintained as a certain minimum cash balance per mortgaged vessel.

Other deposits

Other deposits refer to deposits with certain banks in order to satisfy margin requirements of derivative contracts. They may include a base margin required to maintain the position and may also include an additional mark-to-market margin if the derivative contracts are out-of-the-money.

Trade accounts receivable

The amount shown as trade accounts receivable, at each balance sheet date, includes estimated recoveries from each voyage or time charter. At each balance sheet date, the Company provides for doubtful accounts on the basis of specific identified doubtful receivables. No allowance for doubtful accounts was recorded for any of the periods presented.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost and market value. Inventories are valued using the FIFO (First-In First-Out) method.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

### Vessels

Vessels are stated at cost which comprises the vessels' contract price, costs of major repairs and improvements upon acquisition, direct delivery and other acquisition expenses less accumulated depreciation. Subsequent expenditures for conversions and major improvements are also capitalized when they appreciably extend the life, increase the earning capacity or improve the efficiency or safety of the vessels; otherwise these amounts are charged to expense as incurred. In November 2008, the estimated useful life of the containerships and multipurpose vessels was increased to 30 years (from 25 years until then) in line with industry practice and intended use of such vessels; also, the estimated scrap value of the vessels was reduced from \$300 to \$250 per light ton to better reflect market price developments in the scrap metal market. The effect of these changes was to reduce 2008 depreciation expenses by \$0.8 million, or \$0.03 per share and increase 2008 net income by the same amount; and, to reduce 2009 depreciation expenses by \$6.4 million, or, \$0.21 per share and decrease net loss for the year by the same amount.

Expenditures for vessel repair and maintenance are charged against income in the period incurred.

### Depreciation

Depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis with reference to the cost of the vessel, age and scrap value as estimated at the date of acquisition. Depreciation is calculated over the remaining useful life of the vessel, which is estimated to range from 25 to 30 years from the completion of its construction. Remaining useful lives of property are periodically reviewed and revised to recognize changes in conditions and such revisions, if any, are recognized over current and future periods.

### Insurance proceeds

Insurance proceeds are recorded according to type of claim that gives rise to the proceeds in the consolidated statements of operations and the consolidated statements of cash flow. For example, certain hull and machinery claim receipts are classified as "cash flow from investment activities". In 2009, the Company had \$667,839 receipts for hull and machinery claims (mainly for M/V "Jonathan P", ex-M/V "OEL Intergrity ") which were classified under "cash flows from investment activities".

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Revenue and expense recognition

Revenues are generated from voyage and time charter agreements. If a charter agreement exists, the price is fixed, service is provided and the collection of the related revenue is reasonably assured, revenues are recorded over the term of the charter as service is provided and recognized on a pro-rata basis over the duration of the voyage or time charter adjusted for the off-hire days that a vessel spends undergoing repairs, maintenance or upgrade work. A voyage is deemed to commence upon the later of the completion of discharge of the vessel's previous cargo or the time it receives a contract that is not cancelable and is deemed to end upon the completion of discharge of the current cargo. A time charter contract is deemed to commence from the time of the delivery of the vessel to an agreed port and is deemed to end upon the re-delivery of the vessel at an agreed port. We generally enter into a charter agreement for the vessel's next voyage or time charter prior to the time of discharge of the previous cargo or completion of previous time charter. We do not begin recognizing voyage or time charter revenue until a charter contract has been agreed to both by us and the customer, even if the vessel has discharged its cargo or completed the previous time charter and it is sailing to the anticipated load port for its next voyage or to the port it will be delivered to the next charterer. Demurrage income, which is included in voyage revenues, represents payments received from the charterer when loading or discharging time exceeded the stipulated time in the voyage charter and is recognized when earned. Probable losses on voyages are provided for in full at the time such losses can be estimated.

For the Company's vessels operating in chartering pools, revenues and voyage expenses are pooled and allocated to each pool's participants on a time charter equivalent basis in accordance with an agreed-upon formula, which is determined by points awarded to each vessel in the pool based on the vessel's age, design and other performance characteristics. For vessels that simultaneously participate in spot chartering pools and cargo pools (pools of contracts of affreightment, also called, short funds; in the Company's case, participation in cargo pools requires participation in spot chartering pools), a combined time charter equivalent revenue is provided by the operator of the vessel and cargo pools. Revenues and voyage expenses are recognized during the period services were performed, the collectability has been reasonably assured, an agreement with the pool exists and price is determinable. Pool income may be subject to future adjustments by the pool however, the effect on the Company's income resulting from a subsequent reallocation of pool income on the results for the year historically has not been significant.

Charter fees received in advance are recorded as a liability (deferred revenue) until charter services are rendered.

Vessels operating expenses comprise of all expenses relating to the operation of the vessels, including crewing, insurance, repairs and maintenance, stores, lubricants, spares and consumables, professional and legal fees and miscellaneous expenses. Vessel operating expenses are recognized as incurred; payments in advance of services or use are recorded as prepaid expenses. Voyage expenses are incurred when the vessel is chartered under a voyage charter and comprise of all expenses relating to particular voyages, including bunkers, port charges, canal tolls, and agency fees. Voyage expenses are expensed as incurred.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Drydocking and special survey expenses

Drydocking and special survey expenses are expensed as incurred. The Company believes that the direct expense method is preferable as it eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity involved in determining which costs and activities related to drydocking qualify for the deferral method (see also "Change in accounting principle for drydocking cost" at the end of this section).

Pension and retirement benefit obligations – crew

The ship-owning companies employ the crews on board the vessels under short-term contracts (usually up to 9 months). Accordingly, they are not liable for any pension or post retirement benefits.

Financing costs

Loan arrangement fees are deferred and amortized to interest expense over the duration of the underlying loan using the effective interest method. Unamortized fees relating to loan repaid or refinanced are expensed in the period the repayment or refinancing occurs.

Assets held for sale

It is the Company's policy to dispose of vessels when suitable opportunities occur and not necessarily to keep them until the end of their useful life. The Company classifies a vessel as being held for sale when all the following criteria are met:

- management has committed to a plan to sell the vessel;
- the vessel is available for immediate sale in its present condition;
- an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the vessel has been initiated;
- the sale of the vessel is probable, and transfer of the asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year;
- the vessel is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value and actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Long-lived assets classified as held for sale are measured at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. These vessels are not depreciated once they meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale.





Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Fair value of time charter acquired

The Company records all identified tangible and intangible assets or any liabilities associated with the acquisition of a vessel at fair value. Where vessels are acquired with existing time charters, the Company determines the present value of the difference between: (i) the contractual charter rate and (ii) the prevailing market rate for a charter of equivalent duration. In discounting the charter rate differences in future periods, the Company uses its Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) adjusted to account for the credit quality of the charterer. The capitalized above-market (assets) and below-market (liabilities) charters are amortized as a reduction and increase, respectively, to voyage revenues over the remaining term of the charter.

Stock incentive plan awards

Share-based compensation represents vested and unvested restricted shares granted to employees and to non-employee directors, for their services as directors, as well as to non-employees and are included in "Other general and administrative expenses" in the "Consolidated statements of operations." These shares are measured at their fair value equal to the market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date. The shares that do not contain any future service vesting conditions are considered vested shares and a total fair value of such shares is expensed on the grant date. The shares that contain a time-based service vesting condition are considered unvested shares on the grant date and a total fair value of such shares recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. In addition, unvested awards granted to non-employees are measured at their then-current fair value as of the financial reporting dates until non-employees complete the service (Note 13).

Investments

The Company classifies unrestricted publicly traded investments as trading securities and records them at fair value. The Company records unrealized gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value of its investment in trading securities between measurement dates as a component of "Gain (loss) on investments". In accordance with guidance relating to "Fair Value Measurements", the Company determines the fair value of its investments in trading securities using quoted market prices in active markets for the same securities (Level 1 under the "Fair Value Measurements" guidance hierarchy (see Note 16). The Company determines the cost of trading securities sold by using the First-In-First-Out ("FIFO") method. Purchases of, or proceeds from, the sale of trading securities are classified as cash flows from operating activities. The Company has adopted guidance relating to "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" which allows the classification of purchases of, or proceeds from, the sale of trading securities to be classified to cash flows from operating activities or cash flows from investing activities based upon the Company's intent with respect to these securities.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Impairment of long-lived assets

Impairment loss is recognized on a long-lived asset used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the carrying amount of the long-lived asset is not recoverable from the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the asset and the asset's carrying amount is less than its fair value. In determining fair value and future benefits derived from use of long-lived assets, the Company performs an analysis of the anticipated undiscounted future net cash flows of the related long-lived assets. If the carrying value of the related asset exceeds its undiscounted future net cash flows, the carrying value is reduced to its fair value. Various factors including future charter rates and vessel operating costs are included in this analysis. The Company did not note for 2007, any events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying amount of its vessels may not be recoverable. However, in the fourth quarter of 2008, market conditions changed significantly as a result of the credit crisis and resulting slowdown in world trade. Charter rates for both drybulk carriers and containership vessels fell significantly and values of assets were significantly affected although there were limited transactions to confirm that. The Company considered these market developments as indicators of potential impairment of the carrying amount of its assets. The Company performed the undiscounted cash flow test as of December 31, 2008 for its vessels held for use and determined that the carrying amount of those vessels was not impaired. The Company recorded an impairment loss for two of its vessels that were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2008. The impairment loss for these assets was measured on the basis of their fair value less costs to sell and amounted to \$25,113,364. This amount is presented in the "Impairment loss" line in the "Operating Expenses" section of the "Consolidated Statements of Operations". Although during 2009, market conditions generally improved compared to the end of 2008, the Company performed again the undiscounted cash flow test as of December 31, 2009 for its vessels held for use and determined that its vessels were not impaired.

Derivative financial instruments

Every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) is recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value with changes in the instruments' fair value recognized as a component in other comprehensive income or earnings depending on whether specific hedge accounting criteria are met at the inception of the hedge and over the life of the contract in accordance with guidance relating to "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities".

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, the interest rate swaps and the Freight Forward Agreement ("FFA") contracts were not designated as hedging instruments and did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, all gains or losses have been recorded in the "Consolidated statements of operations." There were no interest rate swaps or FFA contracts for the years ended December 31, 2007.

Earning per common share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The weighted-average number of common shares outstanding does not include any potentially dilutive securities or any unvested restricted shares of

common stock. These unvested restricted shares, although classified as issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2009, are considered contingently returnable until the restrictions lapse and will not be included in the basic net income per share calculation until the shares are vested.

F-19

---

Diluted net income per share gives effect to all potentially dilutive securities. The Company's outstanding warrants and unvested restricted shares were potentially dilutive securities during the twelve months ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 (Note 14). However, if the result for the year is a loss the effect of any potentially dilutive securities is not considered.

#### Segment reporting

The Company reports financial information and evaluates its operations by charter revenue and not by the length of ship employment for its customers, i.e. spot or time charters. The Company does not use discrete financial information to evaluate the operating results for each such type of charter. Although revenue can be identified for these types of charters, management cannot and does not identify expenses, profitability or other financial information for these charters. As a result, management, including the chief operating decision maker, reviews operating results solely by revenue per day and operating results of the fleet and thus the Company has determined that it operates under one reporting segment. Furthermore, when the Company charters a vessel to a charterer, the charterer is free to trade the vessel worldwide and, as a result, the disclosure of geographical information is impracticable.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments such as trade receivables, trade accounts payable, cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and other deposits approximate their individual carrying amounts as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, due to their short-term maturity (see guidance relating to "Fair Value Instruments" in Recent Accounting Pronouncements section below).

The carrying amounts of borrowings under the Company's loan agreements approximate their fair value due to the variable interest rate they bear.

The fair value of the interest rate swaps is the estimated amount the Company would receive or pay to terminate these agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates and the creditworthiness of the counterparty for assets and creditworthiness of the Company for liabilities.

The fair value of the Freight Forward Agreement ("FFA") contracts is the amount the Company would receive or pay to terminate these agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current broker quoted rates for same contracts and the creditworthiness of the counterparty for assets and creditworthiness of the Company for liabilities (see Note 15 - Financial Instruments for additional disclosure on the fair values of interest rate swap agreements and FFA contracts).

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Recent accounting pronouncements

i) In March 2008 new guidance was issued with the intent to provide users of financial statements with enhanced understanding of derivative instruments and hedging activities. The new guidance requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts and gain and losses on instruments, and disclosures about credit –risk- related contingent features in derivative agreements. The new guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We have adopted the new guidance and included the required disclosures (see Note 16).

ii) In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance on "Subsequent Events." This guidance sets forth general standards of accounting for, and disclosure of, events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This guidance is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. In February 2010, the FASB amended the subsequent events guidance issued in May 2009 to remove the requirement for SEC filers to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. The amendment is effective upon issuance. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

iii) On June 16, 2008, new guidance clarified that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is prohibited. The adoption of this new guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements as dividends are required to be returned to the entity if the employee forfeits the award.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

iv) On June 29, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which became the single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. The Codification's content will carry the same level of authority, effectively superseding previous guidance. In other words, the GAAP hierarchy will be modified to include only two levels of GAAP: authoritative and nonauthoritative. This new guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company adopted the new guidance in the third quarter of 2009 and updated references to US GAAP in these consolidated financial statements to reflect the guidance in the Codification.

(v) In September 2009, clarifying guidance was issued on multiple-element revenue arrangements. The revised guidance primarily provides two significant changes: 1) eliminates the need for objective and reliable evidence of the fair value for the undelivered element in order for a delivered item to be treated as a separate unit of accounting, and 2) eliminates the residual method to allocate the arrangement consideration. In addition, the guidance also expands the disclosure requirements for revenue recognition. The new guidance will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted provided that the revised guidance is retroactively applied to the beginning of the year of adoption. The Company is currently assessing the future impact of this new accounting pronouncement to its consolidated financial statements.

(vi) In December 2009, new guidance was issued with regards to the consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIE"). This guidance responds to concerns about the application of certain key provisions of the former FASB guidance, including those regarding the transparency of the involvement with VIEs. The new guidance revises the approach to determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE to be more qualitative in nature and requires companies to more frequently reassess whether they must consolidate a VIE. Specifically, the new guidance requires a qualitative approach to identifying a controlling financial interest in a VIE and requires ongoing assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and whether an interest in a VIE makes the holder the primary beneficiary of the VIE. In addition, the standard requires additional disclosures about the involvement with a VIE and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. The guidance is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009 and early adoption is prohibited. The Company evaluated this guidance and determined that it did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Change in estimates

As discussed above, during the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company changed its estimates of the scrap price and useful life of its containerships to better reflect the present market environment, industry practice and intended use. These changes decreased net loss for year ended December 31, 2009 by \$6.4 million, or \$0.21 per share.

Change in accounting principle for drydocking costs

Beginning with the first quarter of 2009, the Company changed its accounting policy of drydocking costs from the deferral method, under which the Company amortized drydocking costs over the estimated period of benefit between drydockings, to the direct expense method, under which the Company expenses all drydocking costs as incurred. The Company believes that the direct expense method is preferable as it eliminates the significant amount of time and subjectivity involved in determining which costs and activities related to drydocking qualify for the deferral method.

The Company reflected this change as a change in accounting principle from an accepted accounting principle to a preferable accounting principle in accordance with guidance relating to Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. The new accounting principle has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented.

Consolidated balance sheets

	December 31, 2008			December 31, 2009		
	As originally reported under the deferral method	As adjusted under the direct expense method	Effect of change	As computed under the deferral method	As reported under the direct expense method	Effect of change
Increase (decrease)						
Deferred charges	7,771,342	373,702	(7,397,640)	3,935,067	327,694	(3,607,373)
Total long-term assets	248,078,206	240,680,566	(7,397,640)	268,092,427	264,485,054	(3,607,373)
Total assets	340,616,426	333,218,786	(7,397,640)	327,025,667	323,418,294	(3,607,373)
Retained earnings	28,744,133	21,346,493	(7,397,640)	(1,453,247 )	(5,060,620 )	(3,607,373)
Total shareholders equity	264,229,072	256,831,432	(7,397,640)	235,060,636	231,453,263	(3,607,373)
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	340,616,426	333,218,786	(7,397,640)	327,025,667	323,418,294	(3,607,373)



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

2. Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Change in accounting principle for drydocking costs – continued

Consolidated statements of operations

	Year ended December 31, 2007			Year ended December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2009		
	As originally reported under the deferral method	As adjusted under the direct expense method	Effect of change	As originally reported under the deferral method	As adjusted under the direct expense method	Effect of change	As computed under the deferral method	As reported under the direct expense method	Effect of change
<b>I n c o m e</b> (expense)									
Drydocking expenses	-	5,770,007	5,770,007	-	6,129,257	6,129,257	-	1,912,474	1,912,474
Amortization of drydocking and special survey expense and vessel depreciation	17,963,072	16,423,092	(1,539,980)	32,230,901	28,284,752	(3,946,149)	23,973,871	19,092,384	(4,881,487)
(Gain) / loss on sale of vessels	(3,411,397)	(3,440,681)	(29,284)	-	-	-	8,138,067	8,959,321	821,254
<b>T o t a l</b> operating Expenses	39,014,583	43,215,326	4,200,743	97,402,933	99,586,041	2,183,108	65,907,223	63,759,464	(2,147,759)
<b>Operating</b> income	43,065,750	38,865,007	(4,200,743)	28,900,525	26,717,417	(2,183,108)	(2,125,330)	22,429	2,147,759
<b>Net income /</b> (loss)	40,664,064	36,463,321	(4,200,743)	23,674,018	21,490,910	(2,183,108)	(17,775,263)	(15,627,504)	2,147,759
<b>Earnings</b> (loss) per share, basic	1.89	1.69	(0.20)	0.78	0.71	(0.07)	(0.58)	(0.51)	0.07
<b>Earnings</b> (loss) per	1.88	1.68	(0.20)	0.78	0.70	(0.08)	(0.58)	(0.51)	0.07

share,  
diluted

## Consolidated statements of cash flow

	Year ended December 31, 2007			Year ended December 31, 2008			Year ended December 31, 2009		
	As originally reported under the deferral method	As adjusted under the direct expense method	Effect of change	As originally reported under the deferral method	As adjusted under the direct expense method	Effect of change	As computed under the deferral method	As reported under the direct expense method	Effect of change
Inflow (outflow)									
Net income / (loss)	40,664,064	36,463,321	(4,200,743)	23,674,018	21,490,910	(2,183,108)	(17,775,263)	(15,627,504)	2,147,759
Amortization of deferred charges	1,612,695	72,715	(1,539,980)	4,031,290	85,141	(3,946,149)	4,991,991	110,504	(4,881,487)
(Gain) / loss on sale of vessels	(3,411,397)	(3,440,681)	(29,284)	-	-	-	8,138,067	8,959,321	821,254
Increase/(decrease) in trade accounts payable	1,920,051	2,755,051	835,000	(2,189,320)	(1,506,276)	683,044	(243,112)	(243,112)	-
Drydocking expenses paid	(4,935,007)	-	4,935,007	(5,446,213)	-	5,446,213	(1,912,474)	-	1,912,474

The amounts disclosed under the deferral method for the year ended and at December 31, 2009 are based on the estimated effect of not changing the drydocking accounting method to the direct expense method for this period. Accordingly, these estimated period amounts have not been previously reported, but are disclosed in accordance with the requirements of guidance relating to "Change in Accounting Policy".

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

## 3. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	2008	2009
Lubricants	1,410,063	1,346,502
Victualling	164,708	120,239
Bunkers	437,202	402,497
Total	2,011,973	1,869,238

## 4. Vessels, net

The amounts in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	Costs	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
Balance, January 1, 2007	113,530,775	(18,036,433 )	95,494,342
- Depreciation for the year	-	(16,423,092 )	(16,423,092 )
- Purchase of vessels	159,177,734	-	159,177,734
Balance, December 31, 2007	272,708,509	(34,459,525 )	238,248,984
- Depreciation for the year	-	(28,284,752 )	(28,284,752 )
- Purchase of vessel	53,179,758	-	53,179,758
- Vessels held for sale	(45,620,268 )	14,439,884	(31,180,384 )
Balance, December 31, 2008	280,267,999	(48,304,393 )	231,963,606
- Depreciation for the year	-	(19,092,384 )	(19,092,384 )
- Purchase of vessels	64,046,437	-	64,046,437
- Sale of vessels	(33,986,672 )	14,339,837	(19,646,835 )
Balance, December 31, 2009	310,327,764	(53,056,940 )	257,270,824

The Company acquired seven vessels during 2007 (M/V "Gregos", M/V "Manolis P", M/V "OEL Transworld", M/V "Despina P", M/V "Jonathan P", M/V "Tiger Bridge" and M/V "Ioanna P") for an aggregate purchase price plus costs required to make the vessels available for use of \$159,177,734. M/V "Tiger Bridge" and M/V "Ioanna P" were acquired with below market charters with estimated fair value of \$9,675,481 (see Note 7 below). During 2008, the Company acquired M/V "Maersk Noumea" for a purchase price of \$53,179,758 with a below market charter with estimated fair value of \$9,597,438 (see Note 7 below). The Company made payments equal to the purchase price less the fair value of the below market charters to acquire the vessels. During 2009, the Company acquired M/V "Monica P", M/V "Eleni P" and M/V "Pantelis" for an aggregate purchase price plus costs required to make the vessels available for use of \$64,046,437.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

4. Vessels, net - Continued

On February 22, 2007, Searoute Maritime Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the company, sold M/V "Ariel", a handysize bulk carrier of 33,712 DWT built in 1977 for a gross price of \$5,350,000 with 2% sales commissions resulting in a gain of \$3,411,397.

In October and November 2008, the Company decided to dispose of two of its vessels. The Company completed the sale of the two vessels in January and February of 2009. The Company determined that the carrying values of the two vessels exceeded their fair values which were calculated on the basis of the agreed price to sell the vessels. Consequently, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$25,113,364, which represents the excess of the carrying values of the assets over their fair values, less cost to sell. The impairment loss is recorded as a separate line item ("Impairment loss") in the Income Statement for 2008. The carrying value of the assets that are held for sale is separately presented in the Balance Sheet in the caption "Assets held for sale" as of December 31, 2008; these assets were no longer depreciated from the time it was decided that they would be sold until the completion of the sale in January and February of 2009, respectively as mentioned above.

In November 2009, the Company decided to dispose of two of its vessels, M/V Artemis and M/V Gregos, which were sold in December 2009 for a gross price of \$3,162,786 and \$7,900,000, respectively. After sales commissions of 2% and 3%, respectively, and other sales expenses the Company recorded a loss of \$8,959,321 from the sale of the two vessels.

5. Deferred Charges, net

"Deferred charges, net" consist of loan arrangement fees which are amortized over the duration of the loan and deferred offering expenses.

	2007 (as adjusted)	2008(as adjusted)	2009
Balance, beginning of year	778,053	315,338	373,702
Additions, loan arrangement fees	110,000	-	208,000
Additions, deferred offering expenses	340,053	143,505	297,008
Amortization of loan arrangement fees	(72,715 )	(85,141 )	(110,504 )
Deferred offering expenses reclassified to paid-in capital	(840,053 )	-	(440,513 )
Balance, end of year	315,338	373,702	327,694

The additions of \$110,000 in 2007 consisted of loan arrangement fees for the loans of M/V "Manolis P" and M/V "Ioanna P". The additions of \$208,000 in 2009 consisted of loan arrangement fees for the loans of M/V "Monica P", M/V "Eleni P" and M/V "Pantelis". The additions of deferred offering expenses refer to expenses related to the filing of shelf registration offering of common stock in 2007 and 2008 and other offering related expenses. The \$840,053 reduction of deferred offering expenses in 2007 represents only part of the offering expenses for the three common stock offerings completed that year that were classified against paid-in capital which amounted to \$1,648,896. The

\$440,513 reduction in 2009 refers to offering expenses related to the shelf registration filing of July 2008 and expenses related to the Company's continuous offering program in 2009 and were charged against the paid-in capital proceeds of the continuous offering program.

F-26

---

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

## 6. Accrued Expenses

The accrued expenses account consisted of:

	As of December 31, 2008	As of December 31, 2009
Accrued payroll expenses	262,370	190,384
Accrued interest	182,716	88,656
Accrued general and administrative expenses	48,000	415,510
Accrued commissions	204,531	97,630
Other accrued expenses	508,849	268,146
Total	1,206,466	1,060,326

## 7. Fair Value of Above or Below Market Time Charters Acquired

M/V "Tasman Trader" was acquired on April 27, 2006 with an outstanding time charter terminating on December 17, 2008 with a charter rate of \$8,850 per day. The charter rate was below the market rate for equivalent time charter prevailing at the time the foregoing vessel was acquired. The present value of the below the market charter was estimated by the Company at \$1,237,072 and was recorded as liability in the "Consolidated balance sheets." Net voyage revenues included \$465,503, \$452,697 and \$0 as amortization of the below market rate charter for M/V "Tasman Trader" for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. There was no unamortized below market rate charter for M/V "Tasman Trader" as of December 31, 2008 and 2009.

M/V "YM Xingang I" was acquired on November 15, 2006 with an outstanding time charter terminating on July 21, 2009 with a charter rate of \$26,650 per day. This charter rate was above the market rates for equivalent time charters prevailing at the time. The present value of the above the market charter was estimated by the Company at \$7,923,480, and was recorded as an asset in the "Consolidated balance sheets." Net voyage revenues included a reduction of \$2,938,963, \$2,951,092 and \$1,653,422 as amortization of the above market rate charter for M/V "YM Xingang I" for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The remaining unamortized above market rate charter was \$1,653,422 and \$0 as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively, and is recorded as a long term asset in the "Consolidated balance sheets."

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

7. Fair Value of Above or Below Market Time Charters Acquired - continued

M/V "Tiger Bridge" was acquired on October 4, 2007 with an outstanding time charter terminating on August 4, 2009 with a charter rate of \$16,500 per day. This charter rate was below the market rates for equivalent time charters prevailing at the time. The present value of the below-market charter was estimated by the Company at \$2,263,924, and was recorded as a liability in the "Consolidated balance sheets." Net voyage revenues included \$298,946, \$1,226,012 and \$738,965 as amortization of the below-market rate charter for M/V "Tiger Bridge" for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The remaining unamortized below market rate charter was \$738,965 and \$0 as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively and is recorded as a liability in the "Consolidated balance sheets."

M/V "Ioanna P" was acquired on November 1, 2007 with an outstanding time charter terminating on August 4, 2008 with a charter rate of \$35,500 per day. This charter rate was below the market rates for equivalent time charters prevailing at the time. The present value of the below-market charter was estimated by the Company at \$7,441,558 and was recorded as a liability in the "Consolidated balance sheets." Net voyage revenues included \$1,626,260, \$5,785,298 and \$0 as amortization of the below-market rate charter for M/V "Ioanna P" for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. There was no remaining unamortized below market rate charter as of December 31, 2008 and 2009.

M/V "Maersk Noumea" was acquired on May 22, 2008 with an outstanding time charter terminating on August 2011 with a charter rate of \$16,800 per day plus three one-year consecutive optional extensions at \$18,735, \$19,240 and \$19,750 per day respectively. This charter rate was below the market rates for equivalent time charters prevailing at the time. The present value of the below-market charter plus the optional periods was estimated by the Company at \$9,597,438 and was recorded as a liability in the "Consolidated balance sheets." Net voyage revenues included \$1,631,592 as amortization of the below-market rate charter for M/V "Maersk Noumea" for the year ended December 31, 2008. In 2009, the Company assessed that due to the decline of the containership charter market the likelihood of the charter exercising the optional periods declined significantly and as a result amortized the entire portion attributed to the optional periods. Thus, net voyage revenues included \$4,541,219 as amortization of the below-market rate charter for M/V "Maersk Noumea" for the year ended December 31, 2009. The remaining unamortized below market rate charter was \$7,965,846 and \$3,424,627 as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively and is recorded as a liability in the Consolidated balance sheets.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

8. Related Party Transactions

The Company's vessel owning companies are parties to management agreements with Eurobulk Ltd. ("Management Company"), which is controlled by members of the Pittas family, whereby the Management Company provides technical and commercial vessel management for a fixed daily fee of an average of Euro 628 for 2007, Euro 630 for 2008 and Euro 655 for 2009 under the Company's Master Management Agreement (see below). Vessel management fees paid to the Management Company amounted to \$3,669,137, \$5,387,415 and \$5,074,297 in 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. These agreements were renewed on January 31, 2005 and amended in August and October 2006 with an initial term of five years and will automatically be extended after the initial term until terminated by the parties. Termination is not effective until two months following notice having been delivered in writing by either party after the expiration of the initial five-year period. An annual adjustment of the management fee due to inflation as provided under the management agreement took effect January 31, 2007 to Euro 630 per vessel per day. Eurobulk Ltd. agreed not to adjust the daily management fee for inflation in 2008. For 2009, the annual management fee was adjusted to Euro 655 per vessel per day. Laid-up vessels are billed for half of the daily fee for the period they are laid-up. The Company's master management agreement with Eurobulk - effective as of October 1, 2006 and with an initial term of five years until September 30, 2011 - was amended and renewed for five years on February 7, 2008 with effect from January 1, 2008. Future inflation adjustments will take effect on January 1. The management fee was adjusted for inflation and agreed at Euro 665 per vessel per day for 2010 taking effect on January 1, 2010.

In addition to the vessel management services, Eurobulk provides us with management services for the Company's needs as a public company. In 2007, compensation for such services to us as a public company was \$608,750. On February 7, 2008, as part of the amended and renewed master management agreement, the Board of Directors approved a further increase to this component of the management fee to \$1,100,000 per year starting on January 1, 2008 to be adjusted for inflation every January 1. This fee was adjusted for inflation and agreed at \$1,150,000 for 2009 starting on January 1, 2009 and at \$1,165,000 for 2010 starting on January 1, 2010.

F-29

---

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

8. Related Party Transactions - Continued

Amounts due to or from related parties represent net disbursements and collections made on behalf of the vessel-owning companies by the Management Company during the normal course of operations for which a right of off-set exists. As of December 31, 2008, the amount due from related companies was \$4,678,750 while as of December 31, 2009, the amount due to related companies was \$1,416,380. Based on the master management agreement between Euroseas Ltd. and Euroseas' shipowning subsidiaries and Eurobulk Ltd. an estimate of the quarter's operating expenses, expected drydock expenses, vessel management fee and fee for management executive services are to be advanced in the beginning of quarter to Eurobulk Ltd. For the fleet as of December 31, 2008 this advance is estimated between \$7,500,000 and \$9,000,000, and as of December 31, 2009 between \$6,700,000 and \$8,000,000 excluding any advances needed for drydock expenses and is paid in advance around the beginning of each quarter. Depending on the date the advance takes place there could be an amount due from or to related companies.

The Company uses brokers for various services, as is industry practice. Eurochart S.A., an affiliated company controlled by certain members of the Pittas family, provides vessel sale and purchase services, and chartering services to the Company whereby the Company pays commission of 1% of the vessel sales price and 1.25% of charter revenues. Commission expenses for vessel sales for the years ended December 31, 2007 were \$53,500, incurred for the sale of M/V "Ariel" in 2007; for the year ended December 31, 2008, commission expenses of \$62,490 were recorded for the sale of M/V "Nikolaos P" and M/V "Ioanna P" as the two vessels were held for sale; these commission expenses were paid to Eurochart in 2009 along with additional commission expenses of \$110,628 for the sale of M/V "Artemis" and M/V "Gregos" for a total of \$173,118. Eurochart S.A. also received 1% commission for vessel acquisitions from the sellers of the vessels that the Company acquired. Commissions to Eurochart S.A. for chartering services were \$1,124,416, \$1,663,526 and \$766,879 in 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Certain members of the Pittas family, together with another unrelated ship management company, have formed a joint venture with the insurance broker Sentinel Maritime Services Inc. ("Sentinel"), and with a crewing agent More Maritime Agencies Inc. ("More"). The shareholders' percentage participation in these joint ventures was 78% in 2007 and 2008 for both Sentinel and More; in 2009, ownership in Sentinel was 86.8% and in More 88.8%. Sentinel is paid a commission on premium not exceeding 5%; More is paid a fee of about \$50 per crew member per month. Total fees charged by Sentinel and More were \$67,900 and \$117,145 in 2007, \$111,325 and \$171,442 in 2008, and 180,198 and 162,197 in 2009, respectively. These amounts are recorded in "Vessel operating expenses" under "Operating expenses". In 2010, Technomar Crew Management Services Corp ("Technomar"), a company owned by certain members of the Pittas family, together with two other unrelated ship management companies replaced More as crew agent.

The Company has authorized Eurotrade S.A., a company controlled by certain members of the Pittas family, to act on its behalf and enter into six FFA contracts in December 2008 using its existing FFA trading account arrangements with the Royal Bank of Scotland ("RBS"), until the Company establishes its own separate FFA trading account. These six FFA contracts were for a total of 480 vessel-equivalent days for calendar year 2009 and 485 days for 2010 of a modern panamax size vessel. The Company collects the difference between the spot rate and the contract rate if the spot rate is lower or will pay it if it is higher. Settlement takes place monthly. As of December 31, 2008, the fair value of these contracts resulted in a \$1,216,083 unrealized loss which has been included in the "Consolidated statements of

operations." These contracts were transferred to the Company's own FFA trading account in 2009. The Company did not pay any fees to Eurotrade S.A. for its services in 2008 or 2009.

F-30

---

## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

## 9. Long-Term Debt

This consisted of bank loans of the ship-owning companies and is as follows:

Borrower		December 31, 2008	December 31, 2009
Alterwall Business Inc./ Allendale Investments S.A	(a)	5,500,000	3,700,000
Salina Shipholding Corp.	(b)	5,000,000	-
Xenia International Corp	(c)	5,600,000	4,540,000
Prospero Maritime Inc.	(d)	11,275,000	9,625,000
Xingang Shipping Ltd. / Alcinoe Shipping Ltd	(e)	12,000,000	9,000,000
Manolis Shipping Ltd.	(f)	9,040,000	8,400,000
Trust Navigation Corp. / Tiger Navigation Co.	(g)	7,600,000	4,600,000
Saf-Concord Shipping Ltd.	(h)	-	9,250,000
Eleni Shipping Ltd.	(i)	-	9,900,000
Pantelis Shipping Ltd.	(j)	-	12,500,000
		56,015,000	71,515,000
Less: Current portion		(12,450,000)	(14,030,000)
Long-term portion		\$ 43,565,000	\$ 57,485,000

The future annual loan repayments are as follows:

To December 31:	
2010	14,030,000
2011	9,370,000
2012	9,230,000
2013	15,035,000
2014	12,410,000
Thereafter	11,440,000
Total	\$71,515,000

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

9. Long-Term Debt - continued

- (a) Allendale Investments S.A. and Alterwall Business Inc. drew \$20,000,000 on May 26, 2005 against a loan facility for which they are jointly and severally liable. The loan is payable in twenty-four unequal consecutive quarterly installments of \$1,500,000 each in the first year, \$1,125,000 each in the second year, \$775,000 each in the third year, \$450,000 each in the fourth through sixth years and a balloon payment of \$1,000,000 payable with the final installment due in May 2011. The interest is based on LIBOR plus 1.25% per annum as long as the outstanding loan amount remains below 60% of the fair market value (FMV) of M/V "Ninos" and M/V "Kuo Hsiung" and plus 1.375% if the outstanding loan amount is above 60% of the FMV of such vessels.
- (b) This is a \$15,500,000 loan drawn by Salina Shipholding Corp. on December 30, 2005. The loan is payable in ten consecutive semi-annual installments consisting of six installments of \$1,750,000 each and four installments of \$650,000 each and a balloon payment of \$2,400,000 payable with the final installment due in January 2011. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin that ranges between 0.9%-1.1%, depending on the asset cover ratio. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Artemis", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance of M/V "Artemis", (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd., (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Salina Shipholding Corp. maintains with the bank, and (v) overall liquidity (cash and cash equivalents) of \$300,000 for each of the Company's vessels throughout the life of the facility. M/V Artemis was sold in December 2009 and as a result this loan was fully repaid.
- (c) This is an \$8,250,000 loan drawn by Xenia International Corp. on June 30, 2006. The loan is payable in twenty three consecutive quarterly installments consisting of \$265,000 each and a balloon payment of \$2,155,000 payable with the final quarterly installment due in March 2012. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin of 0.95%. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Tasman Trader", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance of M/V "Tasman Trader", (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd., and (iv) overall liquidity (cash and cash equivalents) of \$300,000 for each of the Company's vessels throughout the life of the facility.
- (d) This is a \$15,500,000 loan drawn by Prospero Maritime Inc. on September 4, 2006. The loan is payable in fourteen consecutive semi-annual installments consisting of two installments of \$1,200,000 each, one installment of \$1,000,000 each and eleven installments of \$825,000 each and a balloon payment of \$3,025,000 payable with the final semi-annual installment due in September 2013. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin that ranges between 0.9%-0.95%, depending on the asset cover ratio. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Aristides N.P.", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance of M/V "Aristides N.P.", (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd., (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Prospero Maritime Inc. maintains with the bank, and (v) overall liquidity (cash and cash equivalents) of \$300,000 for each of the Company's vessels throughout the life of the facility.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

9. Long-Term Debt - continued

- (e) This is a \$20,000,000 loan drawn by Xingang Shipping Ltd. on November 15, 2006; Alcinoe Shipping Ltd., owner of the M/V "Gregos", became a guarantor to the loan in March 2007. Diana Shipping Ltd, owner of M/V "Irimi" is a guarantor to this loan. After M/V Gregos was sold in December 2009, a cash collateral of \$2,000,000 has been maintained with the bank in lieu of any repayment because of the sale. The loan is payable in eight consecutive quarterly installments of \$1.0 million each, the first of which is due in February 2007, followed by four consecutive quarterly installments of \$750,000 each, followed by sixteen consecutive installments of \$250,000 each and a balloon payment of \$5.0 million payable with the final quarterly installment due in November 2013. The interest was based on LIBOR plus a margin of 0.935% initially; after Alcinoe Shipping Ltd. became a guarantor the rate became 0.90%. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "YM Xingang I", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a mortgage on M/V "Irimi".
- (f) This is a \$10,000,000 loan drawn by Manolis Shipping Ltd. on June 11, 2007. In August 2009, an additional guarantee was provided by the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd., owner of vessel M/V "Monica P" in order to ensure compliance with the hull cover ratio covenant (a similar guarantee was provided by SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. to the loan drawn by Trust Navigation Corp. – see (g) below). On such date, SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. also granted the lender a second priority mortgage over M/V "Monica" to secure the loan and its guarantee. The loan is payable in thirty-two consecutive quarterly installments of \$160,000 each, the first of which is due in September 2007, plus a balloon payment of \$4,880,000 payable with the final quarterly installment in June 2015. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin of 0.80% if the ratio of the outstanding loan to the vessel value is below 55%, otherwise the margin is 0.90%. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Manolis P", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Manolis Shipping Ltd. maintains with the bank. Other covenants and guarantees are similar to the rest of the loans of the Company.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
 Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
 (All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

9. Long-Term Debt - continued

- (g) This loan is a \$15,000,000 loan drawn by Trust Navigation Corp. on November 1, 2007. The M/V "Ioanna P" secured the loan until the vessel was sold on January 12, 2009. In anticipation of such sale, on December 29, 2008, a replacement guarantee for the loan was put in place by Tiger Navigation Trust Corp., one of the Company's subsidiaries and the owner of M/V "Tiger Bridge". On such date, Tiger Navigation Trust Corp. also granted the lender a first priority mortgage over M/V "Tiger Bridge" to secure the loan and its guarantee. In August 2009, an additional guarantee was provided by the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd., owner of vessel M/V "Monica P" in order to ensure compliance with the hull cover ratio covenant (a similar guarantee was provided by SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. to the loan drawn by Manolis Shipping Ltd. – see (f) above). On such date, SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. also granted the lender a second priority mortgage over M/V "Monica" to secure the loan and its guarantee. The loan is payable in four consecutive quarterly installments of \$1,850,000 each, the first of which is due in February 2008, followed by four consecutive quarterly installments of \$750,000 each, followed by four consecutive quarterly installments of \$550,000 each, plus a balloon payment of \$2,400,000 payable with the final quarterly installment in November 2010. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin of 0.90%. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Tiger Bridge", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Trust Navigation Corp. maintains with the bank. Other covenants and guarantees are similar to the rest of the loans of the Company.
- (h) This loan is a \$10,000,000 loan drawn by SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. on January 19, 2009. The loan is payable in twenty consecutive quarterly installments of \$250,000 each, the first of which is due in April 2009, plus a balloon payment of \$5,000,000 payable with the final quarterly installment in January 2014. The interest is based on LIBOR plus a margin of 2.50%. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Monica P", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account SAF-Concord Shipping Ltd. Shipping Ltd. maintains with the bank. Other covenants and guarantees are similar to the rest of the loans of the Company.
- (i) This loan is a \$10,000,000 loan drawn by Eleni Shipping Ltd. on April 30, 2009. The loan is payable in 10 consecutive semi-annual installments, two in the amount of \$100,000, two in the amount of \$400,000, two in the amount of \$600,000 and four in the amount of \$800,000, with a \$4.6 million balloon payment to be paid together with the last installment. The margin of the loan is 2.50% above LIBOR for the \$5.4 million repaid throughout the 5 years and 2.70% above LIBOR for the amount of the balloon payment. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Eleni P", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Eleni Shipping Ltd. maintains with the bank. Other covenants and guarantees are similar to the rest of the loans of the Company.





Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

9. Long-Term Debt - continued

- (j) This loan is a \$13,000,000 loan drawn by Pantelis Shipping Ltd. on September 29, 2009. The loan is payable in 32 consecutive quarterly installments, four in the amount of \$500,000 and twenty-eight in the amount of \$280,000, with a \$3.16 million balloon payment to be paid together with the last installment. The margin of the loan is 2.70% above LIBOR. The loan is secured with the following: (i) first priority mortgage over M/V "Pantelis", (ii) first assignment of earnings and insurance, (iii) a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd. and (iv) a minimum cash balance equal to an amount of no less than \$300,000 in an account Pantelis Shipping Ltd. maintains with the bank. Other covenants and guarantees are similar to the rest of the loans of the Company.

In addition to the terms specific to each loan described above, all the above loans are secured with one or more of the following:

- first priority mortgage over the respective vessels on a joint and several basis.
- first assignment of earnings and insurance.
- a personal guarantee of one shareholder.
- a corporate guarantee of Euroseas Ltd.
- a pledge of all the issued shares of each borrower.

The loan agreements contain covenants such as minimum requirements regarding the hull ratio cover (the ratio of fair value of vessel to outstanding loan less cash in retention accounts), restrictions as to changes in management and ownership of the vessel shipowning companies, distribution of profits or assets (i.e. limiting dividends in some loans to 60% of profits, or, not permitting dividend payment or other distributions in cases that an event of default has occurred), additional indebtedness and mortgage of vessels without the lender's prior consent, sale of vessels, maximum fleet-wide leverage, sale of capital stock of our subsidiaries, ability to make investments and other capital expenditures, entering in mergers or acquisitions, minimum cash balance requirements and minimum cash retention accounts (restricted cash). The loans agreements also require the Company to make deposits in retention accounts with certain banks that can only be used to pay the current loan installments. Minimum cash balance requirements are in addition to cash held in retention accounts. These cash deposits amounted to \$6,981,264 and \$7,691,230 as of December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively, and are shown as "Restricted cash" under "Current Assets" and "Long-Term Assets" in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company is currently satisfying all the debt covenants.

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 amounted to \$4,777,524, \$2,845,596 and \$1,327,133, respectively. At December 31, 2009, LIBOR for the Company's loans was on average approximately 0.25% per year, the average interest rate margin over LIBOR on our debt was approximately 1.70% per year for a total average interest rate of approximately 1.95% per year.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

10. Income Taxes

Under the laws of the countries of the companies' incorporation and/or vessels' registration, the companies are not subject to tax on international shipping income, however, they are subject to registration and tonnage taxes, which have been included in Vessel operating expenses in the accompanying "Consolidated statements of operations."

Pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code of the United States (the "Code"), U.S. source income from the international operations of ships is generally exempt from U.S tax if the company operating the ships meets certain requirements. Among other things, in order to qualify for this exemption, the company operating the ships must be incorporated in a country, which grants an equivalent exemption from income taxes to U.S corporations. All the company's ship-operations subsidiaries satisfy this particular criterion. In addition, more than 50% of the value of the stock must be owned, directly or indirectly, by individuals who are residents as defined in the countries of incorporation or another foreign country that grants an equivalent exemption to U.S corporations, the "50% Ownership Test", or, the stock is "primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market" in our country of organization, in another country that grants an "equivalent exemption" to United States corporations, or in the United States, the "Publicly-Traded Test". These companies also satisfied the "50% Ownership Test" requirement for 2007. In addition, the management of the Company believes that by virtue of the special rule applicable to situations where the ship operating companies are beneficially owned by a publicly-traded company like the Company, the "Publicly-Traded Test" was satisfied for 2008, 2009 and thereafter, but no assurance can be given that this will remain so, since continued compliance with this rule is subject to factors outside the Company's control.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

- (a) There are no material legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or to which any of its properties are subject, other than routine litigation incidental to the Company's business. In the opinion of the management, the disposition of these lawsuits should not have a material impact on the consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flows.
- (b) During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company has recognized approximately \$1.7 million of revenue upon resolution of a contingency related to the redelivery date of a vessel.
- (c) Future minimum long-term time charter revenue net of commissions, based on non-cancelable time charter contracts as of December 31, 2009 will be \$21.3 million for 2010, \$18.6 million for 2011 and \$2.7 million for 2012 assuming the scheduled drydockings and special surveys (20-25 days every two and a half years) and one additional offhire day per quarter to account for any unscheduled off-hire time.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

12. Stock Incentive Plan

On October 25, 2007, the Board of Directors approved the Company's 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors which can make awards totaling in aggregate up to 600,000 shares over the next 10 years. The persons eligible to receive awards under the Plan are officers, directors, and executive, managerial, administrative and professional employees of the Company or Eurobulk or Eurochart, (collectively, "key persons") as the Board, in its sole discretion, shall select based upon such factors as the Board shall deem relevant. Awards may be made under the Plan in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, restricted stock, unrestricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares.

- a) The Board awarded 135,000 unvested restricted shares to 12 key persons on December 18, 2007 of which 50% vested on December 20, 2007 and the remaining vested on December 15, 2008 subject to continuous employment with the Company. Awards to officers and directors amounted to 80,000 shares; the remaining 55,000 shares were awarded to employees of Eurobulk.
- b) On February 7, 2008, an award of 150,000 unvested restricted shares was made to the same 12 key persons of which 50% vested on August 7, 2008 and the remaining 50% vested on August 7, 2009; awards to officers and directors amounted to 95,000 shares and the remaining 55,000 shares were awarded to employees of Eurobulk; of the latter 5,000 shares were forfeited.
- c) On November 12, 2008, an award of 160,000 unvested restricted shares was made to the same 12 and an additional two (a total of 14) key persons of which 50% vested on November 16, 2009 and 50% will vest on November 16, 2010; awards to officers and directors amounted to 100,000 shares and the remaining 60,000 shares were awarded to employees of Eurobulk; of the latter 20,000 shares were forfeited.
- d) On November 4, 2009, an award of 165,000 unvested restricted shares was made to 14 key persons of which 50% will vest on July 1, 2010 and 50% on July 1, 2011; awards to officers and directors amounted to 100,000 shares and the remaining 65,000 shares were awarded to employees of Eurobulk.

All unvested restricted shares are conditional upon the grantee's continued service as an employee of the Company, Eurobulk or as a director until the applicable vesting date. The grantee does not have the right to vote such unvested restricted shares until they vest or exercise any right as a shareholder of these shares, however, the unvested shares will accrue dividends as declared and paid which will be retained by the Company until the share vest at which time they are payable to the grantee. As of December 31, 2008 and 2009 the unvested restricted shares accrued dividends of \$116,750 and \$46,750, respectively. As unvested restricted share grantees accrue dividends on awards that are expected to vest, such dividends are charged to retained earnings.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

12. Stock Incentive Plan - continued

The Company estimates the forfeitures of unvested restricted shares to be immaterial. The Company will, however, re-evaluate the reasonableness of its assumption at each reporting period.

The compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans was \$822,782, \$1,618,484 and \$820,189 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The Company has used the straight-line method to recognize the cost of the awards.

A summary of the status of the Company's unvested shares as of December 31, 2009, and changes during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009, are presented below:

Unvested Shares	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested on January 1, 2007	-	-
Granted	135,000	\$ 1,602,450
Vested	67,500	\$ 801,225
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested on December 31, 2007	67,500	\$ 801,225
Granted	310,000	\$ 2,290,000
Vested	(142,500 )	\$ (1,626,225 )
Forfeited	-	-
Unvested on December 31, 2008	235,000	\$ 1,465,000
Granted	165,000	\$ 658,350
Vested	(140,000 )	\$ (1,050,000 )
Forfeited	(25,000 )	\$ (135,000 )
Unvested on December 31, 2009	235,000	\$ 938,350

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$828,135 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan based on the closing stock price of \$3.91 on December 31, 2009 used for the valuation of the shares awarded to non-employees. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.6 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$797,925 and the recognized portion of the unvested shares was \$24,857. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$1,422,900 and the recognized portion of the unvested shares was \$195,584. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$716,974 and the recognized portion of the unvested shares was \$103,215.



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

13. Earnings / (Loss) Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings / (loss) per common share are computed as follows:

	2007	2008	2009
<b>Income:</b>			
Net income / (loss)	36,463,321	21,490,910	(15,627,504)
<b>Basic earnings per share:</b>			
Weighted average common shares – Outstanding	21,566,619	30,437,107	30,648,991
Basic earnings / (loss) per share	1.69	0.71	(0.51 )
<b>Effect of dilutive securities</b>			
Warrants	78,301	18,932	-
Unvested incentive stock awards	-	49,437	-
Weighted average common shares – Outstanding	21,644,920	30,505,476	30,648,991
Diluted earnings / (loss) per share	1.68	0.70	(0.51 )

During the year ended December 31, 2007, 248,463 warrants (of the originally issued 585,589) were exercised for gross proceeds of \$2,683,400. During the year ended December 31, 2008, 192,213 warrants were exercised resulting in the issuance of 171,998 common shares for gross proceeds of \$1,810,922. During 2009, the effect of both the warrants and unvested stock awards was anti-dilutive. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has outstanding warrants that entitle their holders to purchase 144,913 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$10.80 per share which are due to expire on August 25, 2010. As of December 31, 2009, these warrants are out-of-the-money.

In 2007, 2008 and 2009, the Company declared dividends of \$20,278,538 (\$1.00 per share), \$34,664,699 (\$1.13 per share) and \$10,779,609 (\$0.35 per share), respectively.

The Company initiated a continuous offering equity program on September 8, 2009 under which it may sell from time to time shares of common stock. During September 2009 the Company sold under this program 134,100 shares at a average price of \$4.94 per share resulting in net sales proceeds of \$649,265 after commissions of \$13,251. The Company recorded a \$4,023 increase in share capital and \$204,729 increase in paid-in capital after accounting for offering expenses of \$440,513. This program is suspended as of January 16, 2010.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

14. Voyage, Vessel Operating Expenses and Commissions

These consisted of:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2007	2008	2009
Voyage expense			
Port charges and canal dues	335,091	789,303	793,490
Bunkers	547,046	2,274,908	694,329
Agency fees	15,326	28,112	22,732
Total	897,463	3,092,323	1,510,551
Vessel operating expenses			
Crew wages and related costs	8,152,303	11,012,931	10,349,699
Insurance	2,256,024	3,517,437	2,866,665
Repairs and maintenance	481,557	795,138	390,759
Lubricants	1,815,340	2,583,617	2,290,034
Spares and consumable stores	3,235,221	5,435,171	3,418,607
Professional and legal fees	74,050	46,011	88,630
Other	1,225,637	4,130,889	4,269,086
Total	17,240,132	27,521,194	23,673,480

Commission consisted of commissions charged by:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2007	2008	2009
Third parties	2,899,616	4,276,934	1,666,897
Related parties (see Note 8)	1,124,416	1,663,526	766,879
	4,024,032	5,940,460	2,433,776

Euroseas Ltd. and subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

## 15. Financial Instruments

The principal financial assets of the Company consist of cash on hand and at banks, trading securities, interest rate swaps, FFA contracts and accounts receivable due from charterers. The principal financial liabilities of the Company consist of long-term loans, FFA contracts and accounts payable due to suppliers.

### Interest rate risk

The Company enters into interest rate swap contracts as economic hedges to manage its exposure to variability in its floating rate long term debt. Under the terms of the interest rate swaps the Company and the bank agreed to exchange, at specified intervals the difference between a paying fixed rate and floating rate interest amount calculated by reference to the agreed principal amounts and maturities. Interest rate swaps allow the Company to convert long-term borrowings issued at floating rates into equivalent fixed rates. Even though the interest rate swaps were entered into for economic hedging purposes, the derivatives described below (see Note 16) do not qualify for accounting purposes as fair value hedges, under guidance relating to Accounting for derivative instruments and hedging activities, as the Company does not have currently written contemporaneous documentation, identifying the risk being hedged, and both on a prospective and retrospective basis perform an effectiveness test supporting that the hedging relationship is highly effective. Consequently, the Company recognizes the change in fair value of these derivatives in the "Consolidated statements of operations." As of December 31, 2009, the Company had two open swap contracts.

### Concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to significant concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash and trade accounts receivable. The Company places its temporary cash investments, consisting mostly of deposits, with high credit qualified financial institutions. The Company performs periodic evaluation of the relative credit standing of these financial institutions that are considered in the Company's investment strategy. The Company limits its credit risk with accounts receivable by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral for its accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2009, the amounts in trade accounts receivable from each customer accounting for more than 10% of 2009 hire revenues are not significant (see Note 1).

### Forward freight agreements ("FFA")

The Company trades in the FFA market with an objective to utilize the FFAs as economic hedging instruments that are effective at reducing the market risk of specific drybulk vessels. The Company does not trade FFAs speculatively. FFA trading generally has not qualified as hedge accounting and as such the trading of FFAs could lead to material fluctuations in the Company's reported results from operations on a period to period basis. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had eleven open FFAs amounting to 905 days vessel-days in 2010 on the Baltic Panamax Index, the equivalent capacity of approximately 2.5 modern panamax –size drybulk carriers. Since December 2008, the Company has sold a total of 765 days for 2009 (all of which settled during 2009) and 1145 days for 2010 and bought 240 days for 2010 (see Note 16). None of the "mark-to-market" positions of the open FFA contracts qualified for hedge accounting treatment.



F-41

---

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

15. Financial Instruments - continued

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying values of cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable are reasonable estimates of their fair value due to the short term nature of these financial instruments. The fair value of long term bank loans bearing interest at variable interest rates approximates the recorded values. Additionally, the Company considers the creditworthiness when determining the fair value of the credit facilities. The carrying value approximates the fair market value of the floating rate loans. The fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps was the estimated amount the Company would pay to terminate the swap agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates and the current creditworthiness of the Company and its counter parties. The fair value of trading securities and FFA contracts is based on the closing price on the last day of the reporting period.

The Company follows guidance relating to "Fair value measurements", which establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This statement enables the reader of the financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The statement requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data;

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The fair value of the Company's investments in trading securities and FFA contracts are determined based on quoted prices in active markets and therefore are considered Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy as defined in guidance relating to "Fair value measurements".

The fair value of the Company's interest rate swap agreements is determined using a discounted cash flow approach based on market-based LIBOR swap rates. LIBOR swap rates are observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full terms of the swaps and therefore are considered Level 2 items. The fair values of the interest rate swap determined through Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as defined in guidance relating to "Fair value measurements" are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets, liabilities (risk adjusted) and market-corroborated inputs, such as market comparables, interest rates, yield curves and other items that allow value to be determined. As of December 31, 2009 no fair value measurements for assets or liabilities under Level 3 were recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

15. Financial Instruments - continued

Fair value of financial instruments - continued

	Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using			
	Total, December 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets</b>				
Trading securities	\$ 436,598	\$ 436,598	-	-
Interest rate swaps, current and long-term portion	\$ 386,536	-	\$ 386,536	-
FFA contracts, current and long-term portion	-	-	-	-
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Interest rate swaps, current and long-term portion	\$ 2,292,865	-	\$ 2,292,865	-
FFA contracts, current and long-term portion	\$ 9,118,119	\$ 9,118,119	-	-

16. Derivative Financial Instruments

The derivative losses for the period ended December 31, 2009 arose from two interest rate swap contracts entered into in July 2008 and 2009 and a number of FFA contracts entered in December 2008 and throughout 2009 that did not meet the criteria for hedge accounting. The losses for the period ended December 31, 2008 arose from the interest rate swap entered into in July 2008 and FFA contracts entered in December 2008 that did not meet the criteria for hedge accounting treatment. The Company did not enter into any derivative transactions in 2007. The FFA contracts entered in December 2008 were entered by Eurotrade S.A., a related party, on the account of the Company and transferred into the Company's own trading account in 2009 (see Note 8 – "Related Party Transactions").

Interest rate swaps

Effective July 14, 2008 and July 8, 2009, respectively, the Company entered into two interest rate swaps with EFG Eurobank – Ergasias S.A. ("Eurobank") on a notional amount of \$25.0 million each in order to manage interest costs and the risk associated with changing interest rates. Under the terms of the swaps, Eurobank makes a quarterly payment to the Company equal to the 3-month LIBOR while the Company pays the fixed rate of 3.99% and 2.88% on the two respective swaps based on the relevant notional amount; both contracts are net settled between Eurobank and the Company. The swaps are effective for five years from July 14, 2008 to July 14, 2013 and from July 8, 2009 to July 8, 2014, respectively. The interest rate swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting as of December 31, 2008 and 2009.

F-43

---

Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

16. Derivative Financial Instruments - continued

Interest rate swaps - continued

The Company follows guidance relating to "Fair Value measurements" to calculate the fair value of the swaps (see Note 15). A realized loss of (\$77,105) and an unrealized loss of (\$2,181,447) are included under "Change in fair value of derivatives" in the "Consolidated statements of operations" for the year ended December 31, 2008, and, a realized loss of (\$677,011) and an unrealized gain of \$275,117 for the year ended December 31, 2009. A current liability of \$471,559 and a long term liability of \$1,709,888 are included under the "Derivatives" heading in the respective sections in the "Consolidated balance sheets" of the Company as of December 31, 2008, and, a long term asset of \$386,536, a current liability of \$1,681,013 and a long term liability of \$611,852 as of December 31, 2009.

Freight Forward Agreements ("FFA")

In December 2008, the Company sold six FFA contracts on the Baltic Panamax Index ("BPI") for calendar years 2009 and 2010 totaling 480 and 485 days, respectively, at an average time charter equivalent date of approximately \$11,350 and \$11,430 per day, respectively. During 2009, the Company also sold and bought a number of additional FFA contracts on the BPI for certain periods of 2009 and calendar year 2010. Specifically, the Company sold an additional 285 days for certain periods of 2009 at an average time charter equivalent rate of approximately \$13,550 per day, sold 660 days for calendar 2010 at an average time charter equivalent rate of approximately \$14,350 per day and bought 240 days for calendar 2010 at average time charter equivalent rate of approximately \$18,300 per day. The contracts are settled on a monthly basis using the average of the BPI for the days of the month the BPI is published. The Company will receive a payment if the average BPI for the month is below the contract rate equal to the difference of the contract rate less the average BPI for the month times the number of contract days sold (for example, January 2009 was settled based on 40 days as 40 of the 480 days sold by the Company referred to January 2009); if the average BPI for the month is greater than the contract rate the Company will make a payment equal to the difference of the average BPI for the month less the contract rate times the number of contract days sold. If the Company buys contracts previously sold (or the opposite) the Company receives or pays the difference of the two rates for the period covered by the contracts.

The FFA contracts did not qualify for hedge accounting as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The Company follows guidance relating to "Fair value measurements" to calculate the fair value of the FFA contracts (see Note 16). An unrealized loss of (\$1,216,083) is included under "Change in fair value of derivatives" in the "Consolidated statements of operations" for 2008. As of December 31, 2008, a current asset of \$61,670, a long-term asset of \$68,038, a current liability of \$355,651 and a long-term liability of \$990,140 are included under the "Derivatives" heading in the respective sections in the "Consolidated balance sheets" of the Company. A realized loss of (\$7,474,279) and an unrealized loss of (\$7,902,036) are included under "Change in fair value of derivatives" in the "Consolidated statements of operations" for 2009. As of December 31, 2009, a current liability of \$9,118,119 is included under the "Derivatives" heading in the respective section in the "Consolidated balance sheets" of the Company.



## Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009

(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

## 16. Derivative Financial Instruments - continued

Margin requirements for the FFA contracts are shown under "Other deposits" under "Current Assets" in the "Consolidated balance sheets" as of December 31, 2009. There was no margin requirement as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2009, there was a total margin requirement of \$12,376,119 which consisted of a \$3,258,000 base margin requirement and a \$9,118,119 mark-to-market margin requirement.

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Balance Sheet Location	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2009
FFA contracts	Current assets - Derivatives	61,670	-
FFA contracts	Long-tem assets - Derivatives	68,038	-
Interest rate contracts	Long-tem assets - Derivatives	-	386,536
<b>Total derivative assets</b>		<b>129,708</b>	<b>386,536</b>
FFA contracts	Current liabilities - Derivatives	355,651	9,118,119
Interest rate contracts	Current liabilities - Derivatives	471,559	1,681,013
<b>Total derivative current liabilities</b>		<b>827,210</b>	<b>10,799,132</b>
FFA contracts	Long-term liabilities - Derivatives	990,140	-
Interest rate contracts	Long-term liabilities - Derivatives	1,709,888	611,852
<b>Total derivative long-term liabilities</b>		<b>2,700,028</b>	<b>611,852</b>
<b>Total derivative liabilities</b>		<b>3,527,238</b>	<b>11,410,984</b>

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Location of gain (loss) recognized	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2009
FFA contracts – Fair value	Change in fair value of derivatives	(1,216,083)	(7,902,036)
FFA contracts - Realized loss	Change in fair value of derivatives	-	(7,474,279)
Interest rate – Fair value		(2,181,447)	275,117

	Change in fair value of derivatives		
Interest rate contracts -	Change in fair value	(77,105)	(677,011)
Realized loss	of derivatives		
Total loss on derivatives		(3,474,635)	(15,778,209)



Euroseas Ltd. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to the consolidated financial statements  
Years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009  
(All amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars)

---

17. Subsequent Events

- a) On February 23, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per Euroseas Ltd. common share. Such cash dividend was paid on or about March 26, 2010 to the holders of record of Euroseas Ltd. common shares as of March 17, 2010.
- b) On May 7, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per Euroseas Ltd. common share. Such cash dividend was paid on or about June 18, 2010 to the holders of record of Euroseas Ltd. common shares as of June 11, 2010.
- c) On March 25, 2010, the Company entered in a joint venture agreement with two private equity firms to form Euromar LLC. entered into a joint venture (the "Joint Venture") with companies managed by Eton Park Capital Management, L.P. ("Eton Park") and an affiliate of Rhône Capital III L.P. ("Rhône"), two private investment firms, to form Euromar LLC, a Marshall Islands limited liability company ("Euromar"). Euromar will acquire, maintain, manage, operate and dispose of shipping vessels. Pursuant to the terms of the Joint Venture, the Company will invest up to \$25 million, while Eton Park and Rhône will each invest up to \$75 million for a total of \$175 million, with each holding a proportionate ownership interest in Euromar.
- d) On May 5, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a new equity incentive plan. The aggregate number of shares of common stock with respect to which options or restricted shares may at any time be granted under the new plan is 1,500,000 shares of common stock. The new equity incentive plan becomes effective on June 15, 2010.
- e) On May 12, 2010, M/V "Eleni P", a vessel owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was hijacked by pirates off the coast of Somalia. As of the date of this filing, the Company is working diligently to assure the safety of its crew and to successfully resolve this matter.
- f) On May 20, 2010, a subsidiary of the Company purchased the 30,300 dwt, 2008 teu containership vessel M/V "Oder Trader" built in 1998 in Poland, for \$15.85 million. The vessel is expected to be delivered to the Company in June 2010. The Company plans to finance the acquisition with cash reserves from its balance sheet.

