SMITHFIELD FOODS INC

Form 10-K March 29, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the twelve months ended: January 3, 2016

Commission file number: 1-15321

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in

its charter)

Virginia 52-0845861
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

200 Commerce Street
23430

Smithfield, Virginia

(Address of principal executive (Zip Code)

offices) (757) 365-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer b Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

As of June 26, 2015, there was no established public trading market for the common stock of the registrant and therefore, an aggregate market value of the registrant's shares is not determinable.

At March 29, 2016, 1,000 shares of the registrant's Common Stock (no par value per share) were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE None

SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PART I		
	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
	Risk Factors	<u>13</u>
	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>20</u>
	<u>Properties</u>	21 23 23 24
	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>23</u>
ITEM 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>23</u>
	Executive Officers of the Registrant	<u>24</u>
PART II		
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of	25
HEMI 3.	Equity Securities	<u>25</u>
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u> 26</u>
ITEM 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>29</u>
ITEM 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>66</u>
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>67</u>
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>123</u>
ITEM 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>123</u>
ITEM 9B.	Other Information	<u>123</u>
PART III		
ITEM 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>124</u>
	Executive Compensation	124
	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	
ITEM 12.	Matters	<u>124</u>
ITEM 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>124</u>
ITEM 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	<u>124</u>
PART IV		
	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>125</u>
Signatures		<u>129</u>
2		

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

Smithfield Foods, Inc., together with its subsidiaries (the "Company," "Smithfield," "we," "us" or "our"), began as a pork processing operation called The Smithfield Packing Company, founded in 1936 by Joseph W. Luter and his son, Joseph W. Luter, Jr. Through a series of acquisitions starting in 1981, we have become the largest pork processor and hog producer in the world.

We produce and market a wide variety of fresh meat and packaged meats products both domestically and internationally. We operate in a cyclical industry and our results are affected by fluctuations in commodity prices. Additionally, some of the key factors influencing our business are customer preferences and demand for our products; our ability to maintain and grow relationships with customers; the introduction of new and innovative products to the marketplace; accessibility to international markets for our products including the effects of any trade barriers; and operating efficiencies of our facilities.

We conduct our operations through five reportable segments: Fresh Pork, Packaged Meats, Hog Production, International and Corporate. The Fresh Pork segment consists of our U.S. fresh pork operations. The Packaged Meats segment consists of our U.S. packaged meats operations. The Hog Production segment consists of our U.S. hog production operations. The International segment is comprised mainly of our meat processing and distribution operations in Poland, Romania and the United Kingdom, our interests in meat processing operations in Mexico, our hog production operations located in Poland and Romania, our interests in hog production operations in Mexico and our former investment in Campofrío Food Group (CFG). The Corporate segment provides management and administrative services to support our other segments.

On September 26, 2013 (the Merger Date), pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated May 28, 2013 (the Merger Agreement) with WH Group Limited, formerly Shuanghui International Holdings Limited, a corporation formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands hereinafter referred to as WH Group, the Company merged with Sun Merger Sub, Inc., a Virginia corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of WH Group (Merger Sub), in a transaction hereinafter referred to as the Merger. As a result of the Merger, the Company survived as a wholly owned subsidiary of WH Group.

The Merger has enabled Smithfield to continue to execute on its strategic priorities while maintaining brand excellence and its commitment to environmental stewardship and animal welfare. We have established Smithfield as the world's leading vertically integrated pork processor and hog producer with best-in-class operations and outstanding food safety practices. Operationally, we have become part of an enterprise that shares our belief in global opportunities and our commitment to the highest standards of product safety and quality. With our shared expertise and leadership, we expect to continue to work on accelerating a global expansion strategy as part of WH Group. On January 16, 2014, the Company elected to change its fiscal year from the 52 or 53 week period which previously ended on the Sunday nearest to April 30 to the 52 or 53 week period which ends on the Sunday nearest to December 31. The change became effective at the end of the period ended December 29, 2013. Unless otherwise noted, all references to "2015" and "2014" in this report are to the 53 week period ended January 3, 2016 and the 52 week period ended December 28, 2014, respectively.

DESCRIPTION OF SEGMENTS

Fresh Pork Segment

The Fresh Pork segment consists of our U.S. fresh pork operations. The Fresh Pork segment produces a wide variety of fresh pork products in the U.S. and markets them nationwide and to numerous foreign markets, including China, Japan, Mexico, Russia and Canada. We process hogs at nine plants (six in the Midwest and three in the Southeast), with an aggregate slaughter capacity of approximately 117,800 hogs per day. In 2015, the Fresh Pork segment processed 30.5 million hogs.

The Fresh Pork segment sold approximately 4.4 billion pounds of fresh pork in 2015. A substantial portion of our fresh pork is sold to retail customers as unprocessed, trimmed cuts such as butts, loins (including roasts and chops),

picnics and ribs. Our product lines also include leaner fresh pork products.

In 2015, export sales comprised approximately 25% of the Fresh Pork segment's volumes and approximately 27% of the segment's revenues.

Packaged Meats Segment

The Packaged Meats segment consists of our U.S. packaged meats operations. The Packaged Meats segment produces a wide variety of packaged meat products in the U.S. and markets them primarily in the U.S. The Packaged Meats segment currently operates approximately 33 processing plants.

The Packaged Meats segment sold approximately 3.0 billion pounds of packaged meats products in 2015. We produce a wide variety of packaged meats, including smoked and boiled hams, bacon, sausage, hot dogs (pork, beef and chicken), deli and luncheon meats, specialty products such as pepperoni, dry meat products, and ready-to-eat, prepared foods such as pre-cooked entrees and pre-cooked bacon and sausage. We market our domestic packaged meats products under a number of labels including the following core brand names: Smithfield, Farmland, John Morrell, Gwaltney, Armour, Eckrich, Margherita, Carando, Kretschmar, Cook's, Curly's, Healthy Ones and Nathan's Famous. We also sell a substantial quantity of packaged meats as private-label products.

Our product lines also include lower-fat and lower-salt packaged meats. We also market a line of lower-fat, value-priced luncheon meats, smoked sausage and hot dogs, as well as fat-free deli hams and 40% lower-fat bacon. In 2015, export sales comprised approximately 3.0% of the Packaged Meats segment's volumes and approximately 3.1% of the segment's revenues.

Hog Production Segment

As a complement to our Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats segments, we are the world's largest hog producer. The Hog Production segment consists of our hog production operations located in the U.S. The Hog Production segment operates numerous hog production facilities with approximately 897,000 sows, which produced 15.9 million market hogs in 2015.

The profitability of hog production is directly related to the market price of live hogs and the cost of feed grains such as corn and soybean meal. The Hog Production segment generates higher profits when hog prices are high and feed grain prices are low, and lower profits (or losses) when hog prices are low and feed grain prices are high. In addition, with the importance of food safety to the consumer, our vertically integrated system provides increased traceability from conception of livestock to consumption of the pork product.

The following table shows the percentages of Hog Production segment revenues derived from hogs sold internally and externally and other products for the periods indicated:

	Twelve Months I		Ended		The Transit Period	ion	Twelve Months Ended	
	January 3, 2016		December 2 2014	28,	April 29 - December 2 2013	29,	April 28, 20	013
Internal hog sales	76	%	79	%	80	%	77	%
External hog sales	12		14		13		15	
Other products (1)	12	01	7	04	7	04	8	Cd.
	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

⁽¹⁾ Consists primarily of grains.

Genetics

We own certain genetic lines of breeding stock, under the name Smithfield Premium Genetics (SPG). The Hog Production segment makes extensive use of these genetic lines. In addition, we have sublicensed some of these rights to some of our strategic hog production partners.

Hog production operations

We use advanced management techniques to produce premium quality hogs on a large scale at a low cost. We develop breeding stock, optimize diets for our hogs at each stage of the growth process, process feed for our hogs and design hog containment facilities. We believe our economies of scale and production methods, together with our use of the advanced SPG genetics, make us a low cost producer of premium quality hogs. We also utilize independent farmers and their facilities to raise hogs produced from our breeding stock. Under multi-year contracts, a farmer provides the initial facility investment, labor and front line management in exchange for a service fee. In 2015, approximately 81% of our market hogs were finished on contract farms.

Hog production competitiveness

Our Hog Production segment competes in a highly competitive marketplace in which only the best and most competitive firms can expect to make a reasonable and regular profit. We consider our hog production business a leader in this field. Therefore, we expect it to consistently outperform the overall industry.

Feed makes up more than 65% of the production cost of hogs. In many cases, our hogs are produced in regions having higher cost grains. This location disadvantage could, in the absence of extra efforts, result in our hog production business being inherently disadvantaged as compared to other producers.

To that end, we take an active role in the physical markets for grain and other feed ingredients throughout the world. These efforts range from buying lower cost corn from local farmers around any of our feed mills to importing grain or soybean meal from South America. In doing so, we work to procure and deliver the highest quality grains and ingredients at the lowest possible cost. These activities provide significant benefit to the overall cost competitiveness of our hogs. These benefits show as lower feed cost and improved animal performance. We consider this effort and our capabilities in this area to be a competitive advantage.

We regularly and consistently use the futures market to lock the value associated with ongoing purchase arrangements of lower cost or higher value alternative ingredients and grains. These derivatives are used effectively to keep our grains and feed ingredients appropriately indexed to market prices.

International Segment

The International segment includes our meat processing and distribution operations in Poland, Romania and the United Kingdom, our interests in meat processing operations in Mexico, our hog production operations located in Poland and Romania, our interests in hog production operations in Mexico, and our former investment in CFG. Our international meat processing operations produce a wide variety of fresh pork, poultry and packaged meats products, including cooked hams, sausages, hot dogs, bacon and canned meats.

The following table shows the percentages of International segment revenues derived from packaged meats, fresh meats and hog production for the periods indicated:

	Twelve Month		is Ended		The Transition Period		Twelve Months Ended	
	January 3, 2016		December 2 2014	28,	April 29 - December 29, 2013	29,	April 28, 2	2013
Packaged meats	43	%	41	%	44	%	49	%
Fresh meats (1)	54		55		55		50	
Hog production (2)	3		4		1		1	
	100	%	100	%	100	%	100	%

⁽¹⁾ Includes feathers, by-products and rendering

(2) Includes external hog and feed sales

The International segment has sales denominated in foreign currencies and, as a result, is subject to certain currency exchange risk. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Derivative Financial Instruments" for a discussion of our foreign currency hedging activities.

SEGMENTS IN GENERAL

Sources and Availability of Raw Materials

Feed grains, including corn, soybean meal and wheat, are the primary raw materials of our hog production operations. These grains are readily available from numerous sources at competitive prices. We generally purchase corn and soybean meal through forward purchase contracts. Historically, grain prices have been subject to significant fluctuations, particularly in recent years.

Live hogs are the primary raw materials of the Fresh Pork segment. Historically, hog prices have been subject to substantial fluctuations. Hog supplies, and consequently prices, are affected by factors such as corn and soybean meal prices, weather and farmers' access to capital. Hog prices tend to rise seasonally as hog supplies decrease during the hot summer months and tend to decline as supplies increase during the fall. This tendency is due to lower farrowing performance during the winter months and slower animal growth rates during the hot summer months.

The Fresh Pork segment purchased approximately 47% of its U.S. live hog requirements from the Hog Production segment in 2015. In addition, we have established multi-year agreements with several suppliers, which provide us with a stable supply of high-quality hogs at market-indexed prices. We also purchase hogs on a daily basis at our Southeastern and Midwestern processing plants and our company-owned buying stations in the Southeast and Midwest.

Like the Fresh Pork segment, live hogs are the primary raw material of our meat processing operations in the International segment with the primary source of hogs being our hog production operations located in Poland and Romania. Our meat processing operations in the International segment purchased approximately 60% of its live hog requirements from our hog production operations located in Poland and Romania in 2015.

A substantial portion of the fresh meat processed by the Packaged Meats segment is transferred from the Fresh Pork segment. We also purchase fresh pork from other meat processors to supplement our processing requirements. Additional purchases include raw beef, poultry and other meat products that are added to sausages, hot dogs and luncheon meats. Those meat products and other materials and supplies, including seasonings, smoking and curing agents, sausage casings and packaging materials, are readily available from numerous sources at competitive prices. Nutrient Management and Other Environmental Issues

Our hog production facilities have been designed to meet or exceed all applicable zoning and other government regulations. These regulations require, among other things, maintenance of separation distances between farms and nearby residences, schools, churches, public use areas, businesses, rivers, streams and wells and adherence to required construction standards.

Hog production facilities generate significant quantities of manure, which must be managed properly to protect public health and the environment. We believe that we use the best technologies currently available and economically feasible for the management of swine manure, which require permits under state, and in some instances, federal law. The permits impose standards and conditions on the design and operation of the systems to protect public health and the environment, and can also impose nutrient management planning requirements depending on the type of system utilized. The most common system of swine manure management employed by our hog production facilities is the lagoon and spray field system, in which lined earthen lagoons are utilized to treat the manure before it is applied to agricultural fields by spray application. The nitrogen and phosphorus in the treated manure serve as a crop fertilizer. We follow a number of other policies and protocols to reduce the impact of our hog production operations on the environment, including: the employment of environmental management systems; ongoing employee training regarding environmental controls; walk-around inspections at all sites by trained personnel; formal emergency response plans that are regularly updated; and collaboration with manufacturers regarding testing and developing new equipment. For further information see "Regulation" below.

Customers and Marketing

Our fundamental marketing strategy is to provide quality and value to the ultimate consumers of meat products. We have a variety of consumer advertising and trade promotion programs designed to build awareness and increase sales distribution and penetration. We also provide sales incentives for our customers through rebates based on achievement of specified volume and/or growth in volume levels.

We have significant market presence, both domestically and internationally, where we sell our meat products to national and regional supermarket chains, wholesale distributors, the foodservice industry (fast food, restaurant and hotel chains, hospitals and other institutional customers), export markets and other further processors. We use both in-house salespersons as well as independent commission brokers to sell our products. In 2015, we sold our products to more than 3,800 customers, none of whom accounted for as much as 10% of consolidated revenues. We have no significant or seasonally variable backlog because most customers prefer to order products shortly before shipment and, therefore, do not enter into formal long-term contracts.

Methods of Distribution

We use a combination of private fleets of leased tractor trailers and independent common carriers and owner operators to distribute live hogs and meat products to our customers, as well as to move raw materials between plants for further processing. We coordinate deliveries and use backhauling to reduce overall transportation costs. In the U.S., we distribute products directly from some of our plants and from leased distribution centers primarily in Missouri, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, Virginia, Kansas, Wisconsin, Indiana, Illinois, California, Iowa, Nebraska and Texas. We also operate distribution centers adjacent to our plants in Bladen County, North Carolina, Sioux Falls, South Dakota and Crete, Nebraska. Internationally, we distribute our products through a combination of leased and owned warehouse facilities.

Trademarks

We own and use numerous marks, which are registered trademarks or are otherwise subject to protection under applicable intellectual property laws. We consider these marks and the accompanying goodwill and customer recognition valuable and material to our business. We believe that registered trademarks have been important to the success of our branded fresh pork and packaged meats products. In a number of markets, our brands are among the leaders in select product categories.

Seasonality

The meat processing business is somewhat seasonal in that, traditionally, the periods of higher sales for hams are the holiday seasons such as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving, and the periods of higher sales for smoked sausages, hot dogs and luncheon meats are the summer months. We typically build substantial inventories of hams in anticipation of our seasonal holiday business. In addition, the Hog Production segment experiences lower farrowing performance during the winter months and slower animal growth rates during the hot summer months resulting in a decrease in hog supplies in the summer and an increase in hog supplies in the fall.

Competition

The protein industry is highly competitive. Our products compete with a large number of other protein sources, including chicken, beef and seafood, but our principal competition comes from other pork processors. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the pork processing industry are price, product quality and innovation, product distribution and brand loyalty. Some of our competitors are more diversified than us, especially now that we have sold our beef and turkey operations. To the extent that their other operations generate profits, these more diversified competitors may be able to support their meat processing operations during periods of low or negative profitability.

Research and Development

We conduct continuous research and development activities to develop new products and to improve existing products and processes. We incurred expenses on company-sponsored research and development activities of \$78.5 million, \$75.3 million, \$55.1 million and \$80.9 million in 2015, 2014, the eight months ended December 29, 2013 and the twelve months ended April 28, 2013, respectively.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS

Financial information for each reportable segment, including revenues and operating profit, is disclosed in Note 15—Reportable Segments in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEDGING

We are exposed to market risks primarily from changes in commodity prices, as well as interest rates and foreign exchange rates. To mitigate these risks, we utilize derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to changing prices and rates. For further information see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Derivative Financial Instruments."

REGULATION

Regulation in General

Like other participants in the industry, we are subject to various laws and regulations administered by federal, state and other government entities, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and corresponding state agencies, as well as the United States Department of Agriculture, the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyard Administration, the United States Food and Drug Administration, the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Commodities and Futures Trading Commission and similar agencies in foreign countries.

From time to time, we receive notices and inquiries from regulatory authorities and others asserting that we are not in compliance with particular laws and regulations. In some instances, litigation ensues. In addition, individuals may initiate litigation against us.

Many of our facilities are subject to environmental permits and other regulatory requirements, violations of which are subject to civil and criminal sanction. In some cases, third parties may also have the right to sue to enforce compliance.

We use internationally recognized management systems to manage many of our regulatory programs. For example, we use the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14001:2004 standard to manage and optimize environmental performance, and we were the first in the industry to achieve ISO 14001:2004 certification for our hog production and processing facilities. ISO guidelines require a long-term management plan integrating regular third-party audits, goal setting, corrective action, documentation, and executive review. Our Environmental Management System (EMS), which conforms to the ISO 14001:2004 standard, addresses the significant environmental aspects of our operations, provides employee training programs and facilitates engagement with local communities and regulators. Most importantly, the EMS allows the collection, analysis and reporting of relevant environmental data to facilitate our compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Water

In March 2011, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit overturned EPA's November 2008 rule requiring that confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs) that "discharge or propose to discharge" apply for permit coverage under the Clean Water Act's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The Fifth Circuit's decision (which held that only discharging CAFOs have a duty to apply for NPDES permit coverage) has clarified the extent of our obligations under the NPDES permit program. EPA has not yet proposed or finalized a rule in response to the Fifth Circuit's decision, and it is not clear whether any such action may attempt to impose additional obligations on our hog production operations.

Air

During calendar year 2002, the National Academy of Sciences (the Academy) undertook a study at EPA's request to assist EPA in considering possible future regulation of air emissions from animal feeding operations. The Academy's study identified a need for more research and better information, but also recommended implementing without delay technically and economically feasible management practices to decrease emissions. Further, our hog production subsidiaries have accepted EPA's offer to enter into an administrative consent agreement and order with owners and operators of hog farms and other animal production operations. Under the terms of the consent agreement and order, participating owners and operators agreed to pay a penalty, contribute towards the cost of an air emissions monitoring study and make their farms available for monitoring. In return, participating farms have been given immunity from federal civil enforcement actions alleging violations of air emissions requirements under certain federal statutes, including the Clean Air Act. Pursuant to our consent agreement and order, we paid a \$100,000 penalty to EPA. Premium Standard Farm, Inc.'s (PSF's) (now Murphy-Brown of Missouri LLC's) Texas farms and company-owned farms in North Carolina also agreed to participate in this program. The National Pork Board, of which we are a member and financial contributor, paid the costs of the air emissions monitoring study on behalf of all hog producers, including us, out of funds collected from its members in previous years. The cost of the study for all hog producers was approximately \$6.0 million. Monitoring under the study began in the spring 2007 and ended in the winter 2010. In March 2012, EPA made available draft emission estimation methodologies for broilers and swine and dairy feeding operations for public comment. Soon thereafter, EPA also submitted the draft emission estimation methodologies to EPA's Science Advisory Board ("SAB") for review and comment. In its April 19, 2013 report to EPA, the SAB found significant problems with data used in the study and the EPA's approach to developing the draft methodologies and recommended that EPA develop a process-based modeling approach to predict air emissions from broiler confinement facilities and swine and dairy lagoons and basins. EPA has not announced when or how it will respond to the SAB's findings and recommendations or when it expects to finalize the methodologies. New regulations governing air emissions from animal agriculture operations are likely to emerge from the monitoring program undertaken pursuant to the consent agreement and order.

There can be no assurance that any new regulations that may be proposed to address air emissions from animal feeding operations will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) and Climate Change

In calendar year 2009, EPA finalized its Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) rule, which requires owners or operators of certain facilities (including facilities that contain a manure management system) that emit at least 25,000 metric tons or more of GHGs per year to report their emissions. Although EPA has not been implementing the rule as it applies to manure management systems due to a congressional restriction prohibiting the expenditure of funds for this purpose, there is no assurance that this prohibition will not be lifted in the future. Should that occur, the rule would impose additional costs on our hog production operations; however, it is not expected that such costs would have a material adverse effect on our hog production operations.

The EPA finalized regulations in calendar year 2010 under the Clean Air Act, which may trigger new source review and permitting requirements for certain sources of GHG emissions. Beginning in early 2011, when GHG emissions standards for light-duty vehicles took effect, permits issued under the Clean Air Act permitting programs for large stationary sources of air pollution, the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and the Title V Operating Permit Programs, must address GHGs. In April 2012, EPA issued the GHG Tailoring Rule to ensure that only the largest sources of GHGs, those responsible for 70% of the GHG pollution from stationary sources, would require air permits. As in virtually every industry, GHG emissions occur at several points across our operations, including production, transportation and processing. Compliance with future legislation, if any, and compliance with currently evolving regulation of GHGs by EPA and the states may result in increased compliance costs, capital expenditures, and operating costs. In the event that any future compliance requirements at any of our facilities require more than the sustainability measures that we are currently undertaking to monitor emissions and improve our energy efficiency, we may experience significant increases in our costs of operation. Such costs may include the cost to purchase offsets or allowances and costs to reduce GHG emissions if such reductions are required. These regulatory changes may also lead to higher cost of goods and services which may be passed on to us by suppliers.

As an agriculture-based company, changes to the climate and weather patterns could also affect key inputs to our business as the result of shifts in temperatures, water availability, precipitation, and other factors. Both the cost and availability of corn and other feed crops, for example, could be affected. The regulation or taxation of carbon emissions could also affect the prices of commodities, energy, and other inputs to our business. We believe there could also be opportunities for us as a result of heightened interest in alternative energy sources, including those derived from manure, and participation in carbon markets. However, it is not possible at this time to predict the complete structure or outcome of any future legislative efforts to address GHG emissions and climate change, whether EPA's regulatory efforts will survive court challenge, or the eventual cost to us of compliance. There can be no assurance that GHG regulation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Regulatory and Other Proceedings

From time to time we receive notices from regulatory authorities and others asserting that we are not in compliance with certain environmental laws and regulations. In some instances, litigation ensues.

In March 2006, we entered into a consent decree that settled two citizen lawsuits alleging among other things violations of certain environmental laws. The consent decree provides, among other things, that our subsidiary, Murphy-Brown LLC, will undertake a series of measures designed to enhance the performance of the swine waste management systems on approximately 244 company-owned farms in North Carolina and thereby reduce the potential for surface water or ground water contamination from these farms. Murphy-Brown has successfully completed a number of the measures called for in the consent decree and expects to fulfill its remaining consent decree obligations over the next 12 to 24 months, at which time it will move for termination of the decree.

Prior to our acquisition of PSF, it had entered into a consent judgment with the State of Missouri and a consent decree with the federal government and a citizens group. The judgment and decree generally required that PSF pay penalties to settle past alleged regulatory violations, utilize new technologies to reduce nitrogen in the material that it applies to farm fields and research, and develop and implement "Next Generation Technology" for environmental controls at certain of its Missouri farm operations. PSF successfully completed the measures called for in the state judgment and the state court terminated the judgment in the fall of 2012.

PSF has also completed a number of the measures called for in the federal consent decree, but is unable to predict at this time when it will complete the remaining consent decree obligations or when the consent decree will be terminated.

Environmental Stewardship

In July 2000, in furtherance of our continued commitment to responsible environmental stewardship, we and our North Carolina-based hog production subsidiaries voluntarily entered into an agreement with the Attorney General of North Carolina (the Agreement) designed to enhance water quality in the State of North Carolina through a series of initiatives to be undertaken by us and our subsidiaries while protecting access to swine operations in North Carolina. One of the features of the Agreement reflects our commitment to preserving and enhancing the environment of eastern North Carolina by providing a total of \$50.0 million to assist in the preservation of wetlands and other natural areas in eastern North Carolina and to promote similar environmental enhancement activities. We began annual contributions of \$2.0 million in fiscal 2001, deferred annual payments in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012 and re-started our annual \$2.0 million payment in fiscal 2013.

Animal Care

More than a decade ago, Smithfield developed and implemented a comprehensive, systematic animal care management program to monitor and measure the well-being of pigs on company-owned and contract farms. Developed in consultation with two of the world's foremost experts in animal behavior and handling, this system continues to guide our operations today. Our animal care management program guides the proper and humane care of our animals at every stage of their lives, from gestation to transport to processing plant. All farm employees and contract hog producers must employ the methods and techniques of the management system and take steps to verify their compliance. Adherence to proper animal welfare management is a condition of our agreements with contract producers.

Our Animal Care Policy underscores the company's Commitments to providing the following:

shelter that is designed, maintained, and operated to meet the animals' needs;

access to adequate water and high-quality feed to meet nutritional requirements;

humane treatment of animals that enhances their well-being and complies with all applicable laws and regulations;

identification and appropriate treatment of animals in need of health care; and

use of humane methods to euthanize sick or injured animals not responding to care and treatment.

Several years ago, we volunteered to provide input and recommendations to help the National Pork Board enhance its animal care management program for all pork producers. That program, which includes many of the tenets of our own guidelines, became the National Pork Board's Pork Quality Assurance Plus (PQA Plus®) program. A pork producer becomes PQA Plus certified only after staff attend training sessions on good production practices (which includes topics such as responsible animal handling, disease prevention, biosecurity, responsible antibiotic use, and appropriate feeding). Farms entered into the program undergo on-farm site assessments and are subject to random third-party audits. We obtained certification of all company-owned and contract farms under the PQA Plus program by the end of calendar year 2009.

Smithfield was also one of the founding adopters of the National Pork Board's "We Care" program, which demonstrates that pork producers are accountable to established ethical principles and animal well-being practices.

At all of our slaughter facilities, we also use a systematic approach that includes the following:

an animal welfare and humane handling manual;

a comprehensive training program; and

an auditing system with internal verification and third-party audits.

Our plants all have developed quality programs following the standards set in the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Process Verified Program (PVP), as described elsewhere in this report. Our PVP programs monitor aspects of traceability, country of origin, PQA Plus® adherence on farms, and Transport Quality Assurance status of drivers. In January 2007, we announced a voluntary, ten-year program to phase out individual gestation stalls at our company-owned sow farms and replace the gestation stalls with group pens. We currently estimate the total cost of our transition to group pens to be approximately \$360.0 million, including associated maintenance and repairs. This program represents a significant financial commitment and reflects our desire to be more animal friendly, as well as to address the concerns and needs of our customers. As of the end of 2015, we had completed conversions to group housing for over 82% of our sows on company-owned farms. We remain on track to finish conversion to group housing for all sows on company-owned farms by the end of 2017. Worldwide, we have pledged to convert all company sow farms by 2022. Our hog production operations in Poland and Romania completed their conversions to group housing facilities a number of years ago, and our joint ventures in Mexico are currently working toward the 2022 goal.

In January 2014, we announced the recommendation that all of our contract sow growers join with us in converting their facilities to group housing systems for pregnant sows. We asked contract sow growers to convert by 2022 and offered a sliding scale of incentives to accelerate that timetable. Growers who commit to convert to group housing will receive contract extensions upon completion of the conversion.

EMPLOYEES

The following table shows the approximate number of our employees and the approximate number of employees covered by collective bargaining agreements or that are members of labor unions in each segment, as of January 3, 2016:

Segment	Employees	Employees Covered by Collective Bargaining Agreements
Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats (2)	33,709	18,621
International	11,252	989
Hog Production	5,065	_
Corporate	210	
Totals	50,236	19,610

⁽¹⁾ Includes employees that are members of labor unions.

Approximately 2,000 employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2016. Collective bargaining agreements covering other employees expire over periods throughout the next several years. We believe that our relationship with our employees is satisfactory.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

See Note 15—Reportable Segments in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for financial information about geographic areas. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors" for a discussion of the risks associated with our international sales and operations.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our website address is www.smithfieldfoods.com. The information on our website is not part of this annual report. Our annual report on Form 10-K, transition report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after filing or furnishing the material to the SEC. You may read and copy documents we file at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the public reference room. The SEC maintains a website that contains transition, annual, quarterly and current reports and other information that issuers and voluntary reporting companies, like us, file electronically with the SEC. The SEC's website is www.sec.gov.

⁽²⁾ Employees are shared across both segments.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and the forward-looking information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risk factors below represent what we believe are the known material risk factors with respect to us and our business. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, operations, industry, financial position or future financial results.

Our results of operations are cyclical and could be adversely affected by fluctuations in the commodity prices for hogs

Our results of operations are cyclical and could be adversely affected by fluctuations in the commodity prices for hogs and grains.

We are largely dependent on the cost and supply of hogs and feed ingredients and the selling price of our products and competing protein products, all of which are determined by constantly changing and volatile market forces of supply and demand as well as other factors over which we have little or no control. These other factors include:

competing demand for corn for use in the manufacture of ethanol or other alternative fuels,

environmental and conservation regulations,

import and export restrictions such as trade barriers resulting from, among other things, food safety concerns and developments in international relations,

economic conditions,

weather, including the impact of weather on our water supply and the availability and pricing of grains, energy prices, including the effect of changes in energy prices on our transportation costs and the cost of feed, and erop and livestock diseases.

We cannot assure you that all or part of any increased costs experienced by us from time to time can be passed along to consumers of our products, in a timely manner or at all.

Hog prices demonstrate a cyclical nature over periods of years, changing market supply and demand of hogs on the market. These fluctuations can be significant, as shown in recent years, with average domestic live hog prices going from \$79 per hundredweight in 2014 to \$53 per hundredweight in 2015. Further, hog raising costs are largely dependent on the fluctuations of commodity prices for corn and other feed ingredients. For example, hog raising costs decreased from \$64 per hundredweight in 2014 to \$58 per hundred weight in 2015. When hog prices are lower than our hog production costs which occurred in 2015, our non-vertically integrated competitors (i.e., those without significant hog production operations) may have a cost advantage over us.

Additionally, commodity pork prices demonstrate a cyclical nature over periods of years, reflecting changes in the supply of fresh pork and competing animal proteins on the market, especially beef and chicken.

We attempt to manage certain of these risks through the use of our risk management and hedging programs. However, these programs may also limit our ability to participate in gains from favorable commodity fluctuations. Additionally, a portion of our commodity derivative contracts are marked-to-market such that the related unrealized gains and losses are reported in earnings on a quarterly basis. This accounting treatment may cause significant volatility in our quarterly earnings. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Derivative Financial Instruments" for further information.

Outbreaks of disease among or attributed to livestock can significantly affect production, the supply of raw materials, demand for our products and our business.

We take precautions to ensure that our livestock are healthy and that our processing plants and other facilities operate in a sanitary manner. Nevertheless, we are subject to risks relating to our ability to maintain animal health and control diseases. Livestock health problems could adversely impact our production, our supply of raw materials and consumer confidence in all of our operating segments.

From time to time, we have experienced outbreaks of livestock diseases and we may experience additional occurrences of disease in the future. Disease can reduce the number of offspring produced, hamper the growth of livestock to finished size, result in expensive vaccination programs and require in some cases the destruction of infected livestock, any of which could adversely affect our production or ability to sell or export our products. For example, during 2013, the USDA identified the first case of Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv). See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Executive Overview-Animal Health" for additional details.

Adverse publicity concerning any disease or health concern could also cause customers to lose confidence in the safety and quality of our food products, particularly as we expand our branded pork products. In addition to risks associated with maintaining the health of our livestock, any outbreak of disease elsewhere in the U.S. or in other countries could reduce consumer confidence in the meat products affected by the particular disease, generate adverse publicity, depress market conditions for our hogs internationally and/or domestically and result in the imposition of import or export restrictions.

Outbreaks of disease among or attributed to livestock also may have indirect consequences that adversely affect our business. For example, past outbreaks of avian influenza in various parts of the world reduced the global demand for poultry and thus created a temporary surplus of poultry both domestically and internationally. This poultry surplus placed downward pressure on poultry prices, which in turn reduced meat prices including pork prices both in the U.S. and internationally. The occurrence of similar events in the future could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our operations are subject to the general risks associated with the food industry, including perceived or real health risks related to our products or the food industry generally and risks associated with government regulations.

We are subject to risks affecting the food industry generally, including risks posed by the following:

food spoilage,

food contamination,

food allergens,

evolving consumer preferences and nutritional and health-related concerns,

consumer product liability claims,

product tampering,

product labeling errors,

the expense and possible unavailability of product liability insurance, and

the potential cost and disruption of a product recall.

Negative publicity relating to our products, brands, operations, industry or products similar to ours may adversely affect consumer perceptions of our products and result in decreased demand for our products. In particular, negative publicity relating to one of our core brands may be particularly harmful since we face risks from brand concentration. Adverse publicity concerning any perceived or real health risk associated with our brands or our products could also cause customers to lose confidence in the safety and quality of our food products, which could adversely affect our ability to sell our reputation, business, financial condition, results of operation and prospects, particularly as we expand our branded products business. We could also be adversely affected by perceived or real health risks associated with similar products produced by others to the extent such risks cause customers to lose confidence in the safety and quality of such products generally and, therefore, lead customers to opt for other meat options that are perceived as safe. The A(H1N1) influenza outbreak that occurred in late fiscal 2009 and early fiscal 2010 illustrates the adverse impact that can result from perceived health risks associated with the products we sell. Although the CDC and other regulatory and scientific bodies indicated that people cannot get A(H1N1) influenza from eating cooked pork or pork products, the perception of some consumers that the disease could be transmitted in that manner was the apparent cause of the temporary decline in pork consumption in late fiscal 2009 and early fiscal 2010.

Our products are susceptible to contamination by disease producing organisms or pathogens, such as Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella, Campylobacter and generic E. coli. Because these organisms and pathogens are generally found in the environment, there is a risk that one or more, as a result of food processing, could be present in our products. We have systems in place designed to monitor food safety risks throughout all stages of our vertically integrated process. However, we cannot assure you that such systems, even when working effectively, will eliminate the risks related to food safety. These organisms and pathogens can also be introduced to our products as a result of improper handling in transportation or at the further processing, foodservice or consumer level. In addition to the risks caused by our processing operations and the subsequent handling of the products, we may encounter the same risks if any third party tampers with our products. We could be required to recall certain of our products in the event of contamination or adverse test results. Any product contamination also could subject us to product liability claims, adverse publicity and government scrutiny, investigation or intervention, resulting in increased costs and decreased sales as customers lose confidence in the safety and quality of our food products. Any of these events could have an adverse impact on our reputation, business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Our manufacturing facilities and products, including the processing, packaging, storage, distribution, advertising and labeling of our products, are subject to extensive federal, state and foreign laws and regulations in the food safety area, including regular government inspections and governmental food processing controls. Loss of or failure to obtain necessary permits and registrations could delay or prevent us from meeting current product demand, introducing new products, building new facilities or acquiring new businesses and could adversely affect operating results. If we are found to be out of compliance with applicable laws and regulations, particularly if it relates to or compromises food safety, we could be subject to civil remedies, including fines, injunctions, recalls or asset seizures, as well as potential criminal sanctions, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In addition, future material changes in food safety regulations could result in increased operating costs or could be required to be implemented on schedules that cannot be met without interruptions in our operations.

Environmental regulation and related litigation and commitments could have a material adverse effect on us. Our past and present business operations and properties are subject to extensive and increasingly stringent federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations pertaining to protection of the environment, including among others: the treatment and discharge of materials into the environment,

the handling and disposition of manure and solid wastes and

the nandring and disposition of manufe and some wa

the emission of greenhouse gases.

Failure to comply with these laws and regulations or any future changes to them may result in significant consequences to us, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties, liability for damages and negative publicity. Some requirements applicable to us may also be enforced by citizen groups or other third parties. Natural disasters, such as flooding and hurricanes, can cause the discharge of effluents or other waste into the environment, potentially resulting in our being subject to further liability claims and governmental regulation as has occurred in the past. See "Item 1. Business—Regulation" for further discussion of regulatory compliance as it relates to environmental risk. We have incurred, and will continue to incur, significant capital and operating expenditures to comply with these laws and regulations.

We also face the risk of lawsuits even if we are operating in compliance with applicable regulations. For example, 26 nuisance suits seeking recovery of an unspecified amount of compensatory, special and punitive damages are currently pending against our subsidiary Murphy-Brown in North Carolina. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings--North Carolina Nuisance Litigation" for additional details. Although the Company believes that the claims are unfounded and intends to defend the suits vigorously, we cannot assure you that we will be successful, that additional nuisance claims will not arise in the future, or that the accruals for this litigation will not have to be substantially increased.

In addition, new environmental issues could arise that would cause currently unanticipated investigations, assessments or expenditures.

Governmental authorities may take further action restricting our ability to produce and/or sell livestock or adopt new regulations impacting our production or processing operations, which could adversely affect our business.

A number of states, including Iowa and Missouri, have adopted legislation that prohibits or restricts the ability of meat packers, or in some cases corporations generally, from owning livestock or engaging in farming. In addition, Congress has in the past considered federal legislation that would ban meat packers from owning livestock. We cannot assure you that such or similar legislation affecting our operations will not be adopted at the federal or state levels in the future. Such legislation, if adopted and applicable to our current operations and not successfully challenged or settled, could have a material adverse impact on our operations and our financial statements.

In fiscal 2008, the State of North Carolina enacted a permanent moratorium on the construction of new hog farms using the lagoon and sprayfield system. The moratorium limits us from expanding our North Carolina production operations. This permanent moratorium replaced a 10-year moratorium on the construction of hog farms with more than 250 hogs or the expansion of existing large farms. This moratorium may over time lead to increased competition for contract growers.

Our level of indebtedness and the terms of our indebtedness could adversely affect our business and liquidity position.

As of January 3, 2016, we had:

indebtedness of \$2.3 billion;

guarantees of \$6.7 million for leases that were transferred to JBS S.A. in connection with the sale of Smithfield Beef, Inc.; and

aggregate unused capacity available totaling approximately \$1.4 billion under (1) our inventory based revolving credit facility with capacity up to \$1.025 billion, with an option to expand up to \$1.225 billion (the Inventory Revolver), (2) our accounts receivable securitization facility with capacity up to \$325.0 million (the Securitization Facility) and (3) our other credit facilities with capacity of \$167.2 million, such total taking into account outstanding borrowings of \$38.8 million and \$88.0 million of outstanding letters of credit under the Securitization Facility.

Because the borrowing capacity under the Inventory Revolver and Securitization Facility depends, in part, on inventory and accounts receivable levels, respectively, which fluctuate from time to time, such amounts may not reflect actual borrowing capacity.

Our indebtedness may increase from time to time for various reasons, including fluctuations in operating results, working capital needs, capital expenditures and potential acquisitions or joint ventures. In addition, due to the volatile nature of the commodities markets, we may have to borrow significant amounts to cover any margin calls under our risk management and hedging programs. During 2015, margin deposits posted by us reached \$80.7 million. Our consolidated indebtedness level could significantly affect our business because:

it may, together with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness, limit or impair our ability in the future to obtain financing, refinance any of our indebtedness, sell assets or raise equity on commercially reasonable terms or at all, which could cause us to default on our obligations and materially impair our liquidity,

a downgrade in our credit rating could restrict or impede our ability to access capital markets at attractive rates and increase the cost of future borrowings,

it may reduce our flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions or to take advantage of business opportunities that may arise,

• a portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to interest payments on our indebtedness and is not available for other purposes, which amount would increase if prevailing interest rates rise, substantially all of our working capital assets in the United States secure the Inventory Revolver and the

Securitization Facility, all of which could limit our ability to dispose of such assets or utilize the proceeds of such dispositions and, upon an event of default under any such secured indebtedness, the lenders thereunder could foreclose upon our pledged assets, and

it could make us more vulnerable to downturns in general economic or industry conditions or in our business.

Further, our debt agreements, under certain circumstances, may limit additional borrowings, investments, the payment of dividends, the acquisition or disposition of assets, mergers and consolidations, transactions with affiliates, the creation of liens and the repayment of certain debt.

Should market conditions deteriorate, or our operating results be depressed in the future, we may have to request amendments or waivers to our covenants and restrictions under our debt agreements. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain such relief should it be needed in the future. A breach of any of these covenants or restrictions could result in a default that would permit our senior lenders, including lenders under the Inventory Revolver, the Securitization Facility, the Rabobank term loan (Rabobank Term Loan) and the holders of our senior unsecured notes, as the case may be, to declare all amounts outstanding under the Inventory Revolver, the Securitization Facility, the Rabobank Term Loan or the senior unsecured notes to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest, and the commitments of the relevant lenders to make further extensions of credit under the Inventory Revolver and the Securitization Facility could be terminated. If we were unable to repay our secured indebtedness to our lenders, these lenders could proceed against the collateral securing that indebtedness, which could include substantially all of our working capital assets in the United States.

Our future ability to comply with financial covenants and other conditions, make scheduled payments of principal and interest, or refinance existing borrowings depends on future business performance which is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors, including the other risks set forth in this Item 1A. Any failure to comply with the covenants of our debt agreements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our operations are subject to the risks associated with acquisitions and investments in joint ventures.

From time to time we review opportunities for strategic growth through acquisitions. We have also pursued and may in the future pursue strategic growth through investment in joint ventures. These acquisitions and investments may involve large transactions or realignment of existing investments. These transactions present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including:

diversion of management attention from managing our existing business,

• difficulty with integrating businesses, operations, personnel and financial and other systems,

lack of experience in operating in the geographical or product markets of the acquired business,

increased levels of debt potentially leading to associated reduction in ratings of our debt securities and adverse impact on our various financial ratios,

the requirement that we periodically review the value at which we carry our investments in joint ventures and, in the event we determine that the value at which we carry a joint venture investment has been impaired, the requirement to record a non-cash impairment charge, which charge could substantially affect our reported earnings in the period of such charge, would negatively impact our financial ratios and could limit our ability to obtain financing in the future, potential loss of key employees and customers of the acquired business.

assumption of and exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of acquired businesses,

potential disputes with the sellers, and

for our investments, potential lack of common business goals and strategies with, and cooperation of, our joint venture partners.

In addition, acquisitions outside the U.S. may present unique difficulties and increase our exposure to those risks associated with international operations.

We may experience financial or other set-backs if any of the businesses that we have acquired or may acquire in the future have problems of which we are not aware or liabilities that exceed expectations.

Our numerous equity investments in joint ventures, partnerships and other entities, both within and outside the U.S., are periodically involved in modifying and amending their credit facilities and loan agreements. The ability of these entities to refinance or amend their facilities on a successful and satisfactory basis, and to comply with the covenants in their financing facilities, affects our assessment of the carrying value of any individual investment. As of January 3, 2016, none of our equity investments represented more than 5% of our total consolidated assets. If we determine in the future that an investment is impaired, we would be required to record a non-cash impairment charge, which could substantially affect our reported earnings in the period of such charge. In addition, any such impairment charge would negatively impact our financial ratios and could limit our ability to obtain financing in the future. See "Item 8. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5: Investments" for a discussion of the accounting treatment of our equity investments.

We are subject to risks associated with our international sales and operations.

Sales to international customers accounted for approximately 20% of our net sales in 2015. We conduct foreign operations in Poland, Romania and the United Kingdom and export our products to more than 40 countries. In addition, we are engaged in joint ventures in Mexico. As of January 3, 2016, approximately 16% of our long-lived assets were associated with our foreign operations. Because of the growing market share of U.S. pork products in the international markets, U.S. exporters are increasingly being affected by measures taken by importing countries to protect local producers.

Our international sales, operations and investments are subject to various risks related to economic or political uncertainties including among others:

general economic and political conditions,

imposition of tariffs, quotas, trade barriers and other trade protection measures imposed by foreign countries, import or export licensing requirements imposed by various foreign countries,

the closing of borders by foreign countries to the import of our products due to, among other things, animal disease or other perceived health or safety issues,

difficulties and costs associated with complying with, and enforcing remedies under, a wide variety of complex domestic and international laws, treaties and regulations, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act,

different regulatory structures and unexpected changes in regulatory environments,

tax rates that may exceed those in the United States and earnings that may be subject to withholding requirements and incremental taxes upon repatriation,

potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws, and

distribution costs, disruptions in shipping or reduced availability of freight transportation.

Furthermore, our foreign operations are subject to the risks described above as well as additional risks and uncertainties including among others:

fluctuations in currency values, which have affected, among other things, the costs of our investments in foreign operations,

translation of foreign currencies into U.S. dollars, and

foreign currency exchange controls.

Negative consequences relating to these risks and uncertainties could jeopardize or limit our ability to transact business in one or more of those markets where we operate or in other developing markets and could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our operations are subject to the general risks of litigation.

We are involved on an ongoing basis in litigation arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Trends in litigation may include class actions involving consumers, shareholders, employees or injured persons, and claims related to commercial, labor, employment, antitrust, securities or environmental matters. Moreover, the process of litigating cases, even if we are successful, may be costly, and may approximate the cost of damages sought. These actions could also expose us to adverse publicity, which might adversely affect our brands, reputation and/or customer preference for our products and distract management from other tasks. Litigation trends and expenses and the outcome of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty and adverse litigation trends, expenses and outcomes could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We depend on availability of, and satisfactory relations with, our employees.

As of January 3, 2016, we had approximately 50,200 employees, 19,600 of whom are covered by collective bargaining agreements or are members of labor unions. Our operations depend on the availability, retention and relative costs of labor and maintaining satisfactory relations with employees and the labor unions. Further, employee shortages can and do occur, particularly in rural areas where some of our operations are located. Labor relations issues arise from time to time, including issues in connection with union efforts to represent employees at our plants and with the negotiation of new collective bargaining agreements. If we fail to maintain satisfactory relations with our employees or with the labor unions, we may experience labor strikes, work stoppages or other labor disputes. Negotiation of collective bargaining agreements also could result in higher ongoing labor costs. In addition, the discovery by us or governmental authorities of undocumented workers, as has occurred in the past, could result in our having to attempt to replace those workers, which could be disruptive to our operations or may be difficult to do. Immigration reform continues to attract significant attention in the public arena and the U.S. Congress. If new immigration legislation is enacted, such laws may contain provisions that could increase our costs in recruiting, training and retaining employees and increase our costs of complying with federal law in reviewing employees' immigration status. Furthermore, increased enforcement efforts with respect to existing immigration laws by governmental authorities may disrupt a portion of our workforce or our operations.

There can be no assurance that these activities or consequences will not adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects in the future.

The continued consolidation of customers could negatively impact our business.

Our ten largest customers represented approximately 29% of net sales for 2015. We do not have long-term sales agreements (other than to certain third-party hog customers) or other contractual assurances as to future sales to these major customers. In addition, continued consolidation within the retail industry, including among supermarkets, warehouse clubs and food distributors, has resulted in an increasingly concentrated retail base and increased our credit exposure to certain customers. Our business could be materially adversely affected and suffer significant set-backs in sales and operating income from the loss of some of our larger customers or if our larger customers' plans, markets, and/or financial condition should change significantly.

An impairment in the carrying value of goodwill could negatively impact our consolidated results of operations and net worth.

Goodwill is recorded at fair value and is not amortized, but is reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. In evaluating the potential for impairment of goodwill, we make assumptions regarding future operating performance, business trends, and market and economic conditions. Such analyses further require us to make judgmental assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates, and discount rates. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and to management's judgment in applying these factors to the assessment of goodwill recoverability. Goodwill reviews are prepared using estimates of the fair value of reporting units based on market multiples of EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) and/or on the estimated present value of future cash flows. We could be required to evaluate the recoverability of goodwill prior to the annual assessment if we experience disruptions to the business, unexpected significant declines in operating results, divestiture of a significant component of our business or market capitalization declines. Impairment charges could substantially affect our reported earnings in the periods of such charges. In addition, impairment charges would negatively impact our financial ratios and could limit our ability to obtain financing in the future. As of January 3, 2016, we had \$1.6 billion of goodwill, which represented approximately 16% of total assets. Deterioration of economic conditions could negatively impact our business.

Our business may be adversely affected by changes in national or global economic conditions, including inflation, interest rates, availability of and access to capital markets, consumer spending rates, energy availability and costs (including fuel surcharges) and the effects of governmental initiatives to manage economic conditions. Any such changes could adversely affect the demand for our products or the cost and availability of our needed raw materials, cooking ingredients and packaging materials, thereby negatively affecting our financial results.

Disruptions and instability in credit and other financial markets and deterioration of national and global economic conditions, could, among other things:

make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain financing for our operations or investments or to refinance our debt in the future;

cause our lenders to depart from prior credit industry practice and make more difficult or expensive the granting of any technical or other waivers under our credit agreements to the extent we may seek them in the future; impair the financial condition of some of our customers, suppliers or counterparties to our derivative instruments,

thereby increasing customer bad debts, non-performance by suppliers or counterparty failures negatively impacting our treasury operations;

negatively impact global demand for our products, which could result in a reduction of sales, operating income and cash flows;

decrease the value of our investments in equity and debt securities, including our company-owned life insurance and pension plan assets, which could result in higher pension cost and statutorily mandated funding requirements; and impair the financial viability of our insurers.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table lists our material plants and other physical properties. Based on a five day week, our weekly domestic pork slaughter capacity was 589,000 head and our domestic packaged meats capacity was 69.0 million pounds, as of January 3, 2016. During 2015, the average weekly domestic capacity utilization for pork slaughter and packaged meats was 99% and 88%, respectively. We believe these properties are adequate and suitable for our needs.

packaged meats was 99% and 8 Location	8%, respectively. V Segment	Ve believe these properties are adequate and suitable for our needs. Operation
Processing Plant Bladen County, North Carolina	Fresh Pork	Slaughtering and cutting hogs
Processing Plant Smithfield, Virginia	Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products
Processing Plant Kinston, North Carolina	Packaged Meats	Production of boneless cooked hams, deli hams and sliced deli products
Processing Plant Clinton, North Carolina	Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products
Processing Plant Wilson, North Carolina	Packaged Meats	Production of bacon
Processing Plant Sioux Falls, South Dakota	Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products
Processing Plant Springdale, OH	Packaged Meats	Production of hot dogs and luncheon meats
Processing Plant Sioux City, Iowa	Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Production of raw and cooked ribs and other BBQ items
Processing Plant St. Charles, Illinois	Packaged Meats	Production of bulk and sliced dry sausages
Processing Plant Omaha, Nebraska	Packaged Meats	Production of bulk and sliced dry sausages
Processing Plant Peru, Indiana	Packaged Meats	Production of pre-cooked bacon
Processing Plant Junction City, Kansas	Packaged Meats	Production of smoked sausage
Processing Plant Mason City, Iowa	Packaged Meats	Production of boneless bulk and sliced ham products
Processing Plant St. James, Minnesota	Packaged Meats	Production of sliced luncheon meats

Processing Plant Fresh Pork and Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products Crete, Nebraska Packaged Meats

Location Processing Plant Monmouth, Illinois	Segment Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Operation Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products
Processing Plant Denison, Iowa	Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats	Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh and packaged pork products
Processing Plant Milan, Missouri	Fresh Pork	Slaughtering and cutting hogs; fresh pork
Processing Plant Wichita, Kansas	Packaged Meats	Production of hot dogs and luncheon meats
Processing Plant Lincoln, Nebraska	Packaged Meats	Production of smoked hams and other smoked meats
Processing Plant Grayson, Kentucky	Packaged Meats	Production of spiral hams and smoked ham products
Processing Plant Martin City, Missouri	Packaged Meats	Production of spiral hams
Processing Plant Cudahy, Wisconsin	Packaged Meats	Production of bacon, dry sausage and refinery products
Processing Plant Szczecin, Poland	International	Slaughtering and deboning hogs; production of packaged and other pork products
Processing Plant Starachowice, Poland	International	Slaughtering and deboning hogs; production of packaged and other pork products
Processing Plant Elk, Poland	International	Slaughtering and deboning hogs; production of packaged and other pork products
Processing Plant Morliny, Poland	International	Production of packaged and other pork and beef products
Processing Plant Ilawa, Poland	International	Slaughtering of turkey and geese; production of fresh and packaged poultry products
Processing Plant Suwalki, Poland	International	Slaughtering of chicken; production of fresh and packaged poultry products
Processing Plant Opole, Poland	International	Slaughtering of chicken; production of fresh and packaged poultry products
Processing Plant Debica, Poland	International	Production of packaged poultry products

Processing Plant
Timisoara, Romania

International

Slaughtering, deboning and rendering hogs

Corporate Headquarters
Smithfield, Virginia

Corporate

Management and administrative support services for other segments

The Hog Production segment owns and leases numerous hog production and grain storage facilities, as well as feedmills, mainly in North Carolina, Utah, Missouri, Iowa, Colorado and Virginia, with additional facilities in Oklahoma, Texas, Illinois, South Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Dakota and Kansas.

Also, the International segment owns and leases numerous hog production and grain storage facilities, as well as feedmills, in Poland and Romania.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We and certain of our subsidiaries are parties to the environmental litigation matters discussed in "Item 1. Business—Regulation" above. Apart from those matters and the matters listed below, we and our affiliates are parties to various lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, any ultimate liability with respect to the ordinary course matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

North Carolina Nuisance Litigation

In July, August and September 2013, 25 complaints were filed in the Superior Court of Wake County, North Carolina by 479 individual plaintiffs against Smithfield and our wholly owned subsidiary, Murphy-Brown, alleging causes of action for nuisance and related claims. All 25 complaints were dismissed without prejudice in September and October 2014.

In August, September and October 2014, 25 complaints were filed in the Eastern District of North Carolina by 515 individual plaintiffs against our wholly owned subsidiary, Murphy-Brown, alleging causes of action for nuisance and related claims. The complaints stemmed from the nuisance cases previously filed in the Superior Court of Wake County. On February 23, 2015, all 25 complaints were amended, one complaint was severed into two separate actions, and several additional plaintiffs were joined, bringing the total number of plaintiffs to 541. On June 29, 2015, the Court granted Murphy-Brown's motion to strike certain allegations in the complaints, and plaintiffs subsequently amended all 26 complaints pursuant to the Court's order. Ten plaintiffs dismissed their claims without prejudice. Murphy-Brown filed its answers and affirmative defenses to all 26 complaints on August 31, 2015, and the parties are engaging in discovery. During discovery, several additional plaintiffs dismissed their claims. The 26 currently pending complaints include claims on behalf of 516 plaintiffs and relate to approximately 14 company-owned and 75 contract farms. All 26 complaints include causes of action for temporary nuisance and negligence and seek recovery of an unspecified amount of compensatory, special and punitive damages. The Company believes that the claims are unfounded and intends to defend the suits vigorously.

Neuse Riverkeeper Foundation and Waterkeeper Alliance NOI

From time to time we receive notices from regulatory authorities and others asserting that we are not in compliance with certain environmental laws and regulations. In some instances, litigation ensues.

On March 13, 2014, the Neuse Riverkeeper Foundation and Waterkeeper Alliance submitted a 60-day Notice of Intent (NOI) to file a citizen suit against the Company, our wholly owned subsidiary Murphy-Brown, a contract grower, the landowner, and certain individual employees of either Murphy-Brown or the contract grower related to alleged violations of the Clean Water Act at a hog farm in Greene County, North Carolina. The Company is continuing to investigate the allegations and is in the process of responding.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Gregg Schmidt (58)

The following table shows the name and age, position and business experience during the past five years of each of our executive officers. Our board of directors (the "Board" or "Board of Directors") elects executive officers to hold office until the next annual meeting of the Board, until their successors are elected or until their resignation or removal.

Name and Age	Position	Business Experience During Past Five Years
Kenneth M. Sullivan (52)	President and Chief Executive Officer	Mr. Sullivan was elected Chief Executive Officer effective December 31, 2015. He served as Chief Operating Officer from October 2015 to December 2015 and elected President effective October 1, 2015. He served as Chief Financial Officer from 2013 to October 2015 and elected Executive Vice President effective March 1, 2015. He served as Senior Vice President of Finance and Chief Accounting Officer from 2012 to 2013, Vice President of Finance and Chief Accounting Officer from 2010 to 2012, Chief Accounting Officer from 2007 to 2010 and Vice President of Internal Audit from 2003 to 2007.
Glenn T. Nunziata (42)	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	Mr. Nunziata was elected Chief Financial Officer effective October 1, 2015. Mr. Nunziata most recently served as a Partner in Assurance Services at Ernst & Young, having been with Ernst & Young for 19 years.
Dhamu Thamodaran (60)	Executive Vice President, Chief Strategy Officer and Chief Commodity Hedging Officer	Mr. Thamodaran was elected Chief Strategy Officer in February 2016 and was elected as an Executive Vice President in July 2011. He was named Senior Vice President and Chief Commodity Hedging Officer in June 2008. Prior to these appointments, Mr. Thamodaran served as Vice President, Price Risk Management.
Joseph B. Sebring (68)	President, Packaged Meats Division	Mr. Sebring was elected President, Packaged Meats Division effective March 1, 2015. He served as President of John Morrell from May 1994 to February 2015.
Dariusz Nowakowski (62)	President, Smithfield Europe	Mr. Nowakowski was elected President of Smithfield Europe in August 2012 and is responsible for all of Smithfield's wholly owned subsidiaries in Europe. Mr. Nowakowski joined Smithfield in 2006 as President of Animex.
Scott Saunders (52)	President, Fresh Pork Division	Mr. Saunders was elected President, Fresh Pork Division, effective March 1, 2015. He served as Chief Financial Officer of John Morrell from 2008 to February 2015.
G G 1 :1, (50)		

President, Hog Production Division

Mr. Schmidt was elected President, Hog Production Division, effective March 1, 2015. He served as President of Murphy-Brown from 2013 to February 2015. He served as President of Murphy-Brown East Production Operations from 2011 to 2013 and served as President of the International Division from 2002 to 2011.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

MARKET INFORMATION

Prior to the Merger, our common stock was listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "SFD." As a result of the Merger, our common stock ceased to be traded on the New York Stock Exchange after close of market on September 26, 2013. Smithfield Foods, Inc. is wholly owned by a subsidiary of WH Group. DIVIDENDS

During 2015, we paid a \$30.0 million dividend to WH Group. Subject to the limitations in certain of our debt agreements, we expect to continue to pay dividends to WH Group based on a certain percentage of our net income. The terms of certain of our debt agreements limit the payment of cash dividends on our common stock. We only pay cash dividends from assets legally available for that purpose.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

On September 26, 2013, we were acquired by an indirect subsidiary of WH Group in a merger transaction accounted for as a business combination. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to "Successor" refer to Smithfield Foods, Inc. and all its subsidiaries for the period subsequent to the Merger. All references to "Predecessor" refer to Smithfield Foods, Inc. and all its subsidiaries for all periods prior to the Merger. In addition, the Merger was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, which resulted in purchase price allocations that affect the comparability of results of operations for periods before and after the Merger.

The following table shows selected consolidated financial data and other operational data for each of the periods indicated. This financial data has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The financial data for the eight months ended December 29, 2013 (the Transition Period) have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, but have not been audited. You should read the information in conjunction with "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

As a result of the Merger, all outstanding common stock of the Company during the Predecessor period was retired and all of the outstanding shares of Merger Sub were converted to 1,000 shares of common stock of the Company, no par value, and such shares are owned by a wholly owned subsidiary of WH Group. There are no other shares of stock outstanding in the Company; therefore we have not reported earnings per share.

	Successor			Predecesso	or			
	Twelve Mo	nths Ended			Twelve Mo	nths Ended		
	January 3, 2016	December 28, 2014	September 27 - December 29, 2013	April 29 - September 26, 2013	April 28, 2013	April 29, 2012	May 1, 2011	
	(in millions)						
Statement of Income Data:								
Sales	\$14,438.4	\$15,031.3	\$3,894.2	\$5,679.5	\$13,221.1	\$13,094.3	\$12,202.7	
Cost of sales	12,683.0	13,255.7	3,543.1	5,190.1	11,901.4	11,544.9	10,488.6	
Gross profit	1,755.4	1,775.6	351.1	489.4	1,319.7	1,549.4	1,714.1	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	973.3	902.2	213.4	341.7	815.4	816.9	789.8	
Gain on fire insurance recovery	_						(120.6)	
Merger related costs	_		23.9	18.0	_	_		
(Income) loss from equity method investments	(11.7)	(58.2)	2.6	0.5	(15.0)	9.9	(50.1)	
Operating profit	793.8	931.6	111.2	129.2	519.3	722.6	1,095.0	
Interest expense	133.8	159.4	59.0	64.6	168.7	176.7	245.4	
Non-operating (gain) loss	12.1	(0.9)	1.7		120.7	12.2	92.5	
Income before income taxes	647.9	773.1	50.5	64.6	229.9	533.7	757.1	
Income tax expense	195.6	217.0	15.8	12.7	46.1	172.4	236.1	
Net income	\$452.3	\$556.1	\$34.7	\$51.9	\$183.8	\$361.3	\$521.0	
26								

	Successor January 3, 2016 (in millions	2014	, December 29 2013	Predecessor, April 28, 2013	April 29, 2012	May 1, 2011
Balance Sheet Data: Working capital Total assets Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$2,196.3 9,894.0 2,257.9	\$ 2,280.4 10,131.5 2,678.5	\$ 2,208.5 9,935.8 2,978.4	\$1,805.6 7,681.3 1,794.1	\$2,162.7 7,388.8 1,867.5	\$2,110.0 7,575.5 1,942.3
Shareholder's equity	4,820.5 Twelve Mo January 3, 2016	4,539.5 onths Ended December 28 2014	4,231.1 The Transition Period	3,097.0 Twelve Mo April 28, 2013	3,387.3 onths Ended April 29, 2012	3,545.5 May 1, 2011
Other Consolidated Operational Data: Total hogs processed (1) Packaged meats sales	(in millions 35.1	32.1	21.9	32.0	30.7	30.4
(pounds) (2) Fresh meats sales (pounds) (1) Total hogs sold (3)	3,509.6 5,180.6 18.7	3,333.6 4,471.3 17.3	2,225.1 2,920.7 12.6	3,260.2 4,234.3 18.4	3,119.4 4,154.6 18.1	3,159.7 4,035.0 18.6

⁽¹⁾ Comprised of Fresh Pork and International.

Notes to Selected Financial Data:

Twelve Months Ended January 3, 2016

Includes gain of \$12.0 million on the sale of our product label printing business in Kansas City.

Includes losses of \$12.8 million on debt extinguishments.

Twelve Months Ended December 28, 2014

None.

Three Months Ended December 29, 2013

Includes \$23.9 million of professional fees related to the Merger.

Includes \$17.3 million of debt issuance costs for a financing arrangement entered into by Merger Sub. We recognized these costs in interest expense upon termination of the financing arrangement following the Merger.

Five Months Ended September 26, 2013

Includes \$18.0 million of professional fees related to the Merger.

Twelve Months Ended April 28, 2013

Includes losses of \$120.7 million on debt extinguishment.

Twelve Months Ended April 29, 2012

Includes our share of charges related to the CFG Consolidation Plan, as defined in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations," of \$38.7 million.

Includes net charges of \$22.2 million related to the litigation in Missouri that involved a number of claims alleging that hog farms owned by us or operated under hog raising contracts with third parties interfered with the plaintiffs' use

⁽²⁾ Comprised of Packaged Meats and International.

⁽³⁾ Comprised of Hog Production and International and includes intercompany hog sales.

and enjoyment of their properties (the Missouri Litigation).

Includes losses of \$12.2 million on debt extinguishment.

Includes accelerated depreciation charges associated with the idling of certain Missouri hog farm assets of \$8.2 million.

Includes accelerated depreciation and other charges associated with the planned closure of our Portsmouth facility of \$4.7 million.

Includes \$3.1 million of charges related to our plan to improve the cost structure and profitability of our domestic hog production operations (the Cost Savings Initiative).

Twelve Months Ended May 1, 2011

Includes an involuntary conversion gain on fire insurance recovery of \$120.6 million.

Includes losses of \$92.5 million on debt extinguishment.

Includes \$28.0 million of charges related to the Cost Savings Initiative.

Includes a net benefit of \$19.1 million related to the Missouri Litigation.

Includes net gains of \$18.7 million on the sale of hog farms.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following information in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We are the largest hog producer and pork processor in the world. In the United States, we are also the leader in numerous packaged meats categories with popular brands including Smithfield®, Eckrich®, Farmland®, Armour® and John Morrell®. We are committed to providing good food in a responsible way and maintaining robust animal care, community involvement, employee safety, environmental, and food safety and quality programs. We produce and market a wide variety of fresh meat and packaged meats products both domestically and

internationally. We operate in a cyclical industry and our results are significantly affected by fluctuations in commodity prices for livestock (primarily hogs) and grains. Some of the factors that we believe are critical to the success of our business are our ability to:

maintain and expand market share, particularly in packaged meats,

develop and maintain strong customer relationships,

continually innovate and differentiate our products,

manage risk in volatile commodities markets, and

maintain our position as a low cost producer of live hogs, fresh pork and packaged meats.

We conduct our operations through five reportable segments: Fresh Pork, Packaged Meats, Hog Production, International and Corporate. The Fresh Pork segment consists of our U.S. fresh pork operations. The Packaged Meats segment consists of our U.S. packaged meats operations. The Hog Production segment consists of our hog production operations located in the U.S. The International segment is comprised mainly of our meat processing and distribution operations in Poland, Romania and the United Kingdom, our interests in meat processing operations in Mexico, our hog production operations located in Poland and Romania, our interests in hog production operations in Mexico, and our former investment in Campofrío Food Group (CFG). The Corporate segment provides management and administrative services to support our other segments.

In February 2015, we announced an organizational realignment and key senior management appointments that unify all of our independent operating companies, brands, marketing and employees under one corporate umbrella. Moving to a more centralized structure allows for a more efficient and effective approach to customers, best utilizes management talent, maximizes the manufacturing platform and plant efficiency and optimizes marketing, innovation and brand management.

WH Group Merger

On September 26, 2013 (the Merger Date), pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated May 28, 2013 (the Merger Agreement) with WH Group Limited, formerly Shuanghui International Holdings Limited, a corporation formed under the laws of the Cayman Islands and hereinafter referred to as WH Group, the Company merged with Sun Merger Sub, Inc., a Virginia corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of WH Group (Merger Sub), in a transaction hereinafter referred to as the Merger. As a result of the Merger, the Company survived as a wholly owned subsidiary of WH Group.

WH Group is the majority shareholder of Henan Shuanghui Investment & Development Co., which is China's largest meat processing enterprise and China's largest publicly traded meat products company as measured by market capitalization. WH Group is a pioneer in the Chinese meat processing industry with over 30 years of history. WH Group's businesses include hog production, meat processing, fresh meat and packaged meats production and distribution. The merging of WH Group's distribution network with our strong management team, leading brands and vertically integrated model is allowing us to provide high-quality, competitively-priced and safe U.S. meat products to consumers in markets around the world. As part of WH Group's international platform, we expect our best practices in large-scale farming, food safety standards, environmental stewardship and animal welfare to set the global industry standard.

This transaction enabled Smithfield to continue to execute on its strategic priorities while maintaining brand excellence and commitment to environmental stewardship and animal welfare. We believe we have established Smithfield as the world's leading vertically integrated pork processor and hog producer with best-in-class operations and outstanding food safety practices. Operationally, we have become part of an enterprise that shares our belief in global opportunities and our commitment to the highest standards of product safety and quality. With our shared expertise and leadership, we continue to work on accelerating a global expansion strategy as part of WH Group. The Merger was accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. WH Groups's cost of acquiring the Company has been pushed-down to establish a new accounting basis for the Company. The difference in the cost basis of the Company before and after the Merger impacts the comparability of results. Change in Fiscal Year

On January 16, 2014, the Company elected to change its fiscal year from the 52 or 53 week period which previously ended on the Sunday nearest to April 30 to the 52 or 53 week period which ends on the Sunday nearest to December 31. The change became effective at the end of the period ended December 29, 2013. Unless otherwise noted, all references to "2015" and "2014" in this report are to the 53 week period ended January 3, 2016 and the 52 week period ended December 28, 2014, respectively.

2015 Summary

Net income was \$452.3 million in 2015, compared to net income of \$556.1 million in 2014. The following summarizes the operating results of each of our reportable segments for 2015 compared to 2014:

Fresh Pork operating profit increased \$80.6 million primarily as the impact of lower meat values was more than offset by lower hog prices.

Packaged Meats operating profit increased \$213.5 million to a record \$673.3 million primarily as a result of lower raw material costs and higher sales volume, partially offset by lower average selling prices.

Hog Production operating profit decreased \$324.5 million primarily as a result of lower live hog market prices driven by higher hog supplies, partially offset by favorable hedging results and lower feed costs.

International operating profit decreased \$89.7 million due to lower pork market prices in Europe and Mexico and the impact of foreign currency translation due to a stronger U.S. dollar.

Corporate expenses increased by \$17.7 million primarily due to higher stock-based compensation expense and charitable contributions.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net income to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA for all periods presented. EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP measures. We believe EBITDA is a useful measure to our investors because it excludes the effects of financing and investing activities by eliminating interest and depreciation costs. We also believe adjusted EBITDA is a useful measure as it excludes the effect of non-operating activities. EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are not intended to be substitutes for our comparable GAAP measures and should not be used by investors or other users of our financial statements as the sole basis for formulating decisions as they exclude a number of important cash and non-cash charges.

	1 WCIVE WIOI	Iuis Liiucu
	January 3,	December 28,
	2016	2014
	(in millions)	
Net income	\$452.3	\$ 556.1
Interest expense	133.8	159.4
Income tax expense	195.6	217.0
Depreciation and amortization expense	234.1	230.8
EBITDA	\$1,015.8	\$1,163.3
Non-operating (gain) loss	12.1	(0.9)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$1,027.9	\$1,162.4

Twelve Months Ended

Animal Health

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) identified Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (PEDv) in the United States for the first time in 2013. During 2014, the U.S. pork market was significantly impacted by the spreading of PEDv, a disease that only infects pigs, not humans or other livestock, which has been an industry-wide issue and continues to have a presence in U.S. swine. Our herds in several regions in which we operate were affected in 2014 as PEDv spread throughout the U.S. There were confirmed cases of PEDv in the U.S. in 2015; however, there were very few cases compared to the outbreak that occurred in 2014. The USDA and the industry continue to monitor the situation. During 2015, herds in several of our geographic regions were also impacted by outbreaks of Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus (PRRSv). While PRRSv is not new to the swine industry, the impact of these outbreaks was more severe than observed in recent years. We are subject to risks related to our ability to maintain animal health and control PEDv and PRRSv. We are unable to predict the extent these diseases will impact our operations or market prices in the future.

In 2014, the spread of PEDv in the U.S. reduced hog supplies and lead to higher hog and meat prices. In 2015, the hog herds recovered and the supply increase yielded lower market prices.

Renewable Fuel Standard

The federal Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program requires that bio-fuels be blended into transportation fuels at ever-increasing volumes up to 36 billion gallons in 2030. In October 2010, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) granted a "partial waiver" to a statutory bar under the Clean Air Act prohibiting fuel manufacturers from introducing fuel additives that are not "substantially similar" to those already approved and in use for vehicles of model year (MY) 1975 or later. Prior to the EPA's decision, the ethanol content of gasoline in the United States was limited to 10 percent (E10), which created a barrier, commonly referred to as the "blendwall," to the expansion of blended bio-fuels as prescribed by the RFS. The EPA's decision allows fuel manufacturers to increase the ethanol content of gasoline to 15 percent (E15) for use in MY 2007 and newer light-duty motor vehicles, including passenger cars, light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles. In January 2011, the EPA granted another partial waiver authorizing E15 use in MY 2001-2006 light-duty motor vehicles. Judicial challenges to these rulemakings by a coalition of industry groups were dismissed.

In 2013, the EPA issued a proposed rule that would have reduced the volume of renewable fuels mandated by statute and reflected the EPA's estimate of what would actually be produced in 2014. In April 2015, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree which would have them propose the 2015 RFS by June 1, 2015 and to finalize the 2014 and 2015 RFS targets by November 30, 2015. On May 29, 2015, the EPA proposed to establish the annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel and total renewable fuels that apply to all gasoline and diesel produced or imported in years 2014, 2015 and 2016 as well as the volume of biomass-based diesel for 2017. The proposed volumes are below statutory levels, but above historical output of renewable fuels. On November 30, 2015, the EPA finalized RFS standards for 2014, 2015 and 2016 at higher levels than the proposed volumes, but below statutory targets. The 2016 standard is set at 18.11 billion gallons of renewable fuels, or 10.10% of the motor fuel pool.

Representative Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) has re-introduced legislation in the 114th Congress that would eliminate the conventional (corn starch) ethanol mandate, cap the blendwall at E10, and require the EPA to set cellulosic standards at production levels. Additionally, Sens. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) and Pat Toomey (R-PA) have introduced similar legislation which would eliminate the conventional ethanol mandate. Although the long-term impact of the RFS is currently unknown, studies have shown that expanded corn-based ethanol production has driven up the price of livestock feed and led to commodity-price volatility. We cannot presently assess the full economic impact of the RFS program on the meat processing industry or on our operations.

Country of Origin Labeling

Following a World Trade Organization (WTO) panel ruling on a complaint by Canada and Mexico that existing U.S. country- of-origin labeling (COOL) requirements violated the United States' WTO obligations, the USDA published a new rule effective May 23, 2013, Mandatory Country of Origin Labeling of Beef, Pork, Lamb, Chicken, Goat Meat, Wild and Farm-Raised Fish and Shellfish, Perishable Agricultural Commodities, Peanuts, Pecans, Ginseng, and Macadamia Nuts. 78 Fed. Reg. 31367 (May 24, 2013) (the 2013 Rule). The 2013 Rule requires, in part, that labels on covered meat products must list separately, in sequence, the specific country where the animal was "born," the country where it was "raised," and the country where it was "slaughtered." The rule also prohibits combining or commingling of meats with different "Born, Raised, and Slaughtered" combinations in the same package at retail.

On March 28, 2014 and on July 29, 2014, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit rejected a judicial challenge to these rulemakings by a coalition of industry groups. As of February 9, 2015, industry opponents dropped their lawsuit against the USDA. The Canadian and Mexican governments challenged the 2013 Rule before the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) of the WTO. On October 20, 2014, the DSB issued panel reports finding in favor of Canada and Mexico and against the United States' 2013 Rule. An appeal of the DSB's ruling brought by the U.S. was rejected. Canada and Mexico are seeking a combined \$3.2 billion in retaliatory tariffs against a range of U.S. agricultural and manufactured product exports, including frozen and chilled pork products. In December 2015, a WTO Arbitration Panel report set retaliatory tariffs against the United States at just over \$1 billion.

In December 2015, Congress passed and the President signed into law the Fiscal Year 2016 omnibus spending legislation which included legislative language to repeal the WTO-noncompliant components of the COOL statute.

legislation which included legislative language to repeal the WTO-noncompliant components of the COOL statute. Although Canada and Mexico still have the right to initiate retaliatory tariffs against the U.S. under WTO rules, there is no indication that they intend to do so and the revocation of mandatory COOL for meat has essentially settled the dispute.

Outlook

The commodity markets affecting our business fluctuate on a daily basis. In this operating environment, it is difficult to forecast industry trends and conditions. The outlook statements that follow must be viewed in this context.

Our most exciting growth prospect is the ongoing development of our packaged meats business. Although we have experienced meaningful and consistent improvement in packaged meats margins, we believe significant growth potential remains. We will continue to strengthen our consumer-focused marketing programs and promote innovation to improve our product mix toward branded, value-added products. We expect these actions to result in continued broad-based gains in packaged meats sales, volume, market share, distribution and margins.

With our organizational realignment, we are taking steps to build on our record results in 2014 as we continue to solidify Smithfield's position as a global leader in branded packaged meats. There is a plethora of benefits to moving to a centralized structure and unifying all our resources and brands together as "One Smithfield," which should position us to take advantage of growth opportunities in the following ways:

- Leveraging Smithfield's size and scope in pork industry;
- Maximizing our manufacturing platform and distribution system;
- Approaching the market more efficiently and effectively;
- Best utilizing management talent across company;
- Aligning our operations to provide better customer service;
- Optimizing operations in areas like brand management, manufacturing, sales, and marketing; and
- Strengthening marketing, brand building and innovation across all brands.

We will continue to sharpen our strategic focus and drive operational improvements across our entire platform, including our fresh pork, hog production and international divisions. We are focused on growth and believe that Smithfield is in an ideal position to continue to achieve strong results into 2016.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations

Sale of Label Printing Plant

In 2015, we sold our product label printing business in Kansas City for \$1.65 million cash plus contingent consideration, which we valued at \$11.9 million, and recognized a gain of \$12.0 million in SG&A, reflected in the Packaged Meats segment.

Sale of CFG

In June 2015, we completed the sale of our entire equity interest in CFG to Alfa for \$354.0 million in cash. As of the date of the sale, the book value of our investment in CFG was \$298.7 million. Additionally, we had \$54.6 million of unrealized currency translation losses on our balance sheet related to our investment in CFG.

Tender Offer

In January 2015, we commenced a cash tender offer for our 7.75% senior unsecured notes due July 2017, 5.25% senior unsecured notes due August 2018, 5.875% senior unsecured notes due August 2021 and 6.625% senior unsecured notes due August 2022, subject to a maximum aggregate purchase price up to \$275.0 million (2015 Tender Offer). As a result of the 2015 Tender Offer, we paid \$275.0 million to repurchase \$258.1 million of principal and recognized losses on debt extinguishment of \$12.8 million in non-operating (gain) loss in the consolidated condensed income statement, including the write-off of related unamortized premiums and debt issuance costs.

WH Group Merger

In connection with the Merger, we incurred \$23.9 million and \$18.0 million of professional fees during the three months ended December 29, 2013 and five months ended September 26, 2013, respectively. These fees are recognized in merger related costs on the consolidated statements of income and reflected in the results of our Corporate segment. In addition, Merger Sub deferred \$17.3 million of debt issuance costs for a financing arrangement. We recognized these deferred costs in interest expense during the three months ended December 29, 2013 upon termination of the financing arrangement following the Merger.

WH Group's cost of acquiring the Company has been pushed-down to establish a new accounting basis for the Company. The allocation of consideration to the net tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed by WH Group in the Merger reflects fair value estimates based on management analysis, including work performed by third-party valuation specialists. This work was finalized during the third quarter of 2014 with no material adjustments. Our pre-tax earnings for the twelve months ended December 29, 2013 were negatively impacted by \$37.7 million as a result of the fair value adjustments to our assets and liabilities, including a \$45.4 million increase in cost of sales as a result of the fair value step-up of our inventories.

Acquisition of Kansas City Sausage, LLC

In May 2013, we acquired a 50% interest in Kansas City Sausage Company, LLC (KCS), for \$36.0 million in cash. KCS operates in Des Moines, Iowa and Kansas City, Missouri. In Des Moines, KCS produces premium raw materials for sausage, as well as value-added products, including boneless hams and hides. The Kansas City plant is a modern sausage processing facility and is designed for optimum efficiency to provide retail and foodservice customers with high quality products. With our strong ongoing focus on building our packaged meats business, and with 15% of the U.S. sow population, this joint venture is a logical fit for the Company. It is expected to provide a growth platform in two key packaged meats categories — breakfast sausage and dinner sausage — and to allow us to expand our product offerings to our customers. These categories represent over \$4.0 billion in industry retail and foodservice sales annually.

KCS is managed by its Board of Directors, which makes decisions that most significantly impact the economic performance of KCS. We have the right to nominate and elect the majority of the members of the Board of Directors of KCS, and based on the associated voting rights, we have determined that we have a controlling financial interest in KCS. As a result, the acquisition of our interest in KCS was accounted for in the Fresh Pork and Packaged Meats segments using the acquisition method of accounting. In 2015, KCS generated over \$275 million in sales.

Missouri Litigation

During the twelve months ended April 29, 2012, we engaged in global settlement negotiations and recognized \$22.2 million in net charges associated with the expected settlement of the Missouri Litigation. The charges were recognized in selling, general and administrative expenses in the Hog Production segment. During the twelve months ended April 28, 2013, the parties to the litigation reached an agreement and consummated the global settlement. CFG Consolidation Plan

In December 2011, the board of Campofrío Food Group (CFG) approved a multi-year plan to consolidate and streamline its manufacturing operations to improve operating efficiencies and increase utilization (the CFG Consolidation Plan). The CFG Consolidation Plan included the disposal of certain assets, employee redundancy costs and the contribution of CFG's French cooked ham business into a newly formed joint venture. As a result, we recorded our share of CFG's charges totaling \$38.7 million in equity in (income) loss of affiliates within the International segment in the twelve months ended April 29, 2012.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The tables presented below compare our results of operations for the periods indicated.

The Transition Period reflects the combined results of predecessor and successor periods. This combined information does not purport to represent what our consolidated results of operations would have been if the Merger had taken place on April 29, 2013, nor have we made any attempt to either include or exclude expenses or income that would have resulted had the Merger actually occurred on April 29, 2013.

Twelve Months Ended

As used in the tables below, "NM" means "not meaningful."

Twelve Months Ended January 3, 2016 and December 28, 2014

	I weive Months Ended				
	January 3,	December	07.	Change	
	2016	28, 2014	70	Change	
		(unaudited)			
	(in millions)				
Sales	\$14,438.4	\$15,031.3	(4)%	
Cost of sales	12,683.0	13,255.7	(4)	
Gross profit	1,755.4	1,775.6	(1)	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	973.3	902.2	8		
Income from equity method investments	(11.7)	(58.2) (8)	
Operating profit	793.8	931.6	(1	.5)	
Interest expense	133.8	159.4	(1	.6)	
Non-operating (gain) loss	12.1	(0.9) N	M	
Income before income taxes	647.9	773.1	(1	.6)	
Income tax expense	195.6	217.0	(1	.0	
Net income	\$452.3	\$556.1	(1	9)%	

Sales and Gross Profit

Sales decreased primarily as a result of lower market prices across all of our segments and the impact of foreign currency translation as a result of a stronger U.S. dollar.

Gross profit decreased primarily as a result of lower sales, partially offset by lower pork processing raw material costs and lower feed costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)

The increase in SG&A is primarily attributable to higher marketing and advertising costs as we focus on growing our brands through consumer-focused marketing programs as well as higher stock-based compensation expense.

Income from Equity Method Investments

Equity income decreased primarily as a result of lower hog prices in Mexico. Additionally, equity income decreased due to a significant tax benefit recognized through our former investment in CFG in 2014.

Interest Expense

The decrease in interest expense is primarily due to lower debt balances in the current year as a result of various debt repayment activities.

Non-operating (gain) loss

During 2015, we recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$12.8 million.

Income Tax Expense

For 2015, the effective tax rate was impacted by income relative to permanent items, the lower mix of earnings from foreign operations, which are taxed at lower rates, and foreign restructuring. For 2014, taxable income relative to permanent items, the mix of income between jurisdictions and foreign restructuring impacted the effective rate. Twelve Months Ended December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013

	Twelve Months Ended					
	December	December	% Cho	Change		
	28, 2014	29, 2013	70 Cita	inge		
		(unaudited)				
	(in millions)					
Sales	\$15,031.3	\$13,896.1	8	%		
Cost of sales	13,255.7	12,691.1	4			
Gross profit	1,775.6	1,205.0	47			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	902.2	830.1	9			
Merger related costs		41.9	(100)		
Income from equity method investments	(58.2	(5.5)	958			
Operating profit	931.6	338.5	175			
Interest expense	159.4	180.5	(12)		
Non-operating (gain) loss	(0.9)	1.7	(153)		
Income before income taxes	773.1	156.3	395			
Income tax expense	217.0	35.6	510			
Net income	\$556.1	\$120.7	361	%		

Sales and Gross Profit

Sales increased primarily as a result of higher domestic pork market prices.

Gross profit increased primarily as a result of higher average selling prices and lower hog raising costs, which more than offset the increase in pork processing raw material costs. As noted in "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations--WH Group Merger," the twelve months ended December 29, 2013 included an additional \$45.4 million in cost of sales as a result of the fair value step-up of our inventory.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)

The increase in SG&A is primarily attributable to higher variable compensation expenses stemming from higher year-over-year operating results, partially offset by lower pension expense.

Merger Related Costs

We incurred an aggregate of \$41.9 million of professional fees in the twelve months ended December 29, 2013 as a result of the Merger.

Income from Equity Method Investments

The increase in profitability in the current year is primarily driven by higher hog prices in Mexico. Additionally, favorable changes to income tax rates positively impacted equity income from CFG.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the twelve months ended December 29, 2013 included \$17.3 million of debt issuance costs originally deferred by Merger Sub.

Income Tax Expense

For the twelve months ended December 28, 2014, taxable income relative to permanent items, the mix of income between jurisdictions and foreign restructurings impacted the effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for the twelve months ended December 29, 2013 was also impacted by income relative to permanent items for the period, the mix of income between jurisdictions and state income tax credits.

Eight Months Ended December 29, 2013 and December 30, 2012

			The			
	Successor	Predecessor	Transition	Predecessor		
			Period			
			Eight Months	s Ended		
			(unaudited)			
	September	April 29 -				
	27 -	September	December	December	% Cha	nge
	December	26, 2013	29, 2013	30, 2012	70 CHu	iige
	29, 2013	20, 2013				
	(in millions)					
Sales	\$3,894.2	\$5,679.5	\$9,573.7	\$8,898.7	8	%
Cost of sales	3,543.1	5,190.1	8,733.2	7,943.5	10	
Gross profit	351.1	489.4	840.5	955.2	(12)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	213.4	341.7	555.1	540.5	3	
Merger related costs	23.9	18.0	41.9		NM	
Loss (income) from equity method investments	2.6	0.5	3.1	(6.5)	(148)
Operating profit	111.2	129.2	240.4	421.2	(43)
Interest expense	59.0	64.6	123.6	111.8	11	
Loss on debt extinguishment	1.7		1.7	120.7	(99)
Income before income taxes	50.5	64.6	115.1	188.7	(39)
Income tax expense	15.8	12.7	28.5	58.7	(51)
Net income	\$34.7	\$51.9	\$86.6	\$130.0	(33)%

Sales and Gross Profit

Sales increased primarily as a result of higher average selling prices in the Fresh Pork, Packaged Meats and Hog Production segments and an 18% increase in volume in the International segment.

Gross profit decreased primarily as the result of an 8% increase in domestic live hog prices. As noted in "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations--WH Group Merger," the eight months ended December 29, 2013 included an additional \$45.4 million in cost of sales as a result of the fair value step-up of our inventory.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Advertising costs during the eight months ended December 29, 2013 were approximately \$20.0 million higher than during the eight months ended December 30, 2012 as we continued our investment in marketing and advertising programs focused on building brand equity and growing sales.

Merger Related Costs

As noted in "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations," we incurred an aggregate of \$41.9 million of professional fees during the eight months ended December 29, 2013 as a result of the Merger.

Loss (Income) from Equity Method Investments

The decline in profitability was primarily driven by lower selling prices in the meat processing operations of our Mexican joint ventures. Also, tax law changes in Mexico negatively impacted our joint ventures. during the eight months ended December 29, 2013.

Interest Expense and Loss on Debt Extinguishment

As noted in "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations," interest expense for the eight months ended December 29, 2013 includes \$17.3 million of debt issuance costs originally deferred by Merger Sub.

In the eight months ended December 30, 2012, we recognized losses of \$120.7 million on the repurchase of \$694.4 million of our outstanding senior notes due in May 2013 and July 2014.

Income Tax Expense

The effective tax rate was impacted in all periods presented by income relative to permanent items, the mix of income between jurisdictions and state income tax credits.

Twelve Months Ended April 28, 2013 and April 29, 2012

	Predecessor Twelve Mont April 28, 2013 (in millions)	hs Ended April 29, 2012	% Char	nge
Sales	\$13,221.1	\$13,094.3	1	%
Cost of sales	11,901.4	11,544.9	3	
Gross profit	1,319.7	1,549.4	(15)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	815.4	816.9	_	
(Income) loss from equity method investments	(15.0)	9.9	(252)
Operating profit	519.3	722.6	(28)
Interest expense	168.7	176.7	(5)
Loss on debt extinguishment	120.7	12.2	889	
Income before income taxes	229.9	533.7	(57)
Income tax expense	46.1	172.4	(73)
Net income	\$183.8	\$361.3	(49)%

Sales and Gross Profit

Sales increased slightly as higher volumes across all segments were largely offset by lower domestic fresh meat and hog market prices and the effects of foreign currency translation.

The decline in gross profit margin was primarily caused by higher hog feed costs and lower pork prices in the U.S. Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$22.2 million in net charges associated with the Missouri litigation.

The twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$6.4 million in professional fees related to the potential acquisition of a controlling interest in CFG. In June 2011, we terminated negotiations to purchase the additional interest.

Pension and other post-retirement benefit expenses increased \$26.4 million.

(Income) Loss from Equity Method Investments

CFG's results for twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$38.7 million of charges related to the CFG Consolidation Plan.

Results from our Mexican joint ventures declined due to higher feed costs, lower hog prices and lower meat sales volumes.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased due to lower average interest rates resulting from the refinancing of our 10% senior secured notes due July 2014 (2014 Notes) and our 7.75% senior unsecured notes due May 2013 (2013 Notes) as described under "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below.

Loss on Debt Extinguishment

Twelve Months Ended April 28, 2013

We recognized losses of \$120.7 million on the repurchase of \$694.4 million of our outstanding senior notes due in May 2013 and July 2014.

Twelve Months Ended April 29, 2012

We recognized losses of \$11.0 million on the repurchase of \$59.7 million of our 2014 Notes.

We recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of \$1.2 million in the first quarter associated with the refinancing of our working capital facilities in June 2011.

Income Tax Expense

The following items explain the significant changes in the effective tax rate from the twelve months ended April 29, 2012 to twelve months ended April 28, 2013:

Tax credits increased due in part to the passage of the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 that retroactively reinstated the Research and Development, Work Opportunity and Welfare to Work tax credits.

We released \$11.1 million in deferred tax asset valuation allowances in the twelve months ended April 28, 2013, primarily related to the utilization of tax losses in foreign jurisdictions.

• The mix of earnings from foreign operations, which are taxed at lower rates, was higher in the twelve months ended April 28, 2013.

Segment Results

The following information reflects the comparative results from each respective segment:

Twelve Months Ended January 3, 2016 and December 28, 2014

	Twelve Months Ended				
	January 3, December		% Cha	ngo	
	2016	28, 2014	70 Cila	inge	
	(in millions)				
Sales:					
Fresh Pork	\$5,089.9	\$5,780.0	(12)%	
Packaged Meats	7,089.1	7,173.0	(1)%	
Hog Production	3,069.7	3,384.6	(9)%	
International	1,422.8	1,654	(14)%	
Total segment sales	16,671.5	17,991.6	(7)%	
Intersegment sales	(2,233.1)	(2,960.3) (25)%	
Consolidated sales	\$14,438.4	\$15,031.3	(4)%	
Operating profit (loss):					
Fresh Pork	\$177.3	\$96.7	83	%	
Packaged Meats	673.3	459.8	46	%	
Hog Production	19.7	344.2	(94)%	
International	66.1	155.8	(58)%	
Corporate	(142.6)	(124.9) (14)%	
Consolidated operating profit	\$793.8	\$931.6	(15)%	

Fresh Pork

Sales decreased 12% due to a 21% decrease in average selling prices, partially offset by a 12% increase in volume.

Operating profit per head increased to \$6 from \$4 due to lower raw material costs, which more than offset the impact of lower fresh pork market prices.

We processed 30.5 million hogs during 2015, an increase of 13% from the prior year.

Packaged Meats

Current year sales decreased 1% due to an 8% decrease in average selling prices, partially offset by a 7% increase in volume. Current year sales volume totaled 3.0 billion pounds.

Current year operating profit increased to \$0.22 per pound from \$0.16 per pound due primarily to lower raw material costs. Current year results included a gain of \$12.0 million on the sale of our product label printing business in Kansas City

Hog Production

Sales decreased 9% due to lower domestic live hog market prices which were partially offset by favorable hedging results. Head sold during the year amounted to 15.9 million hogs, an increase of 8% from the prior year. These changes in sales volumes and market prices are driven largely by the effects of PEDv in the prior year. See "Executive Overview--Animal Health" for additional discussion about PEDv.

Operating profit decreased to \$1 per head from \$23 per head due to lower selling prices, partially offset by favorable hedging results and lower feed costs.

International

Sales decreased due primarily to changes in foreign exchange rates, which negatively impacted sales by \$260.5 million, or 16%. On a constant currency basis, sales increased 2% due to a 9% increase in volume to 1.5 billion pounds driven largely by a 9% increase in hogs processed and an 11% increase in poultry processed in Europe, partially offset by a 7% decrease in average selling prices. We processed 4.6 million hogs during 2015. Operating profit was negatively impacted by lower pork market prices in Europe along with lower equity income from our Mexican joint ventures. Foreign currency translation also negatively impacted operating profit by

approximately \$12.6 million due to a stronger U.S. Dollar.

Corporate

The decrease in operating results is primarily attributable to higher stock-based compensation expense and charitable contributions.

Twelve Months Ended December 28, 2014 and December 29, 2013

	Twelve Months Ended December December 28, 2014 29, 2013			% Change	
	20, 2014	(unaudited)			
	(in millions)	(0110001000)			
Sales:					
Fresh Pork	\$5,780.0	\$5,155.6		12	%
Packaged Meats	7,173.0	6,522.6		10	%
Hog Production	3,384.6	3,420.6	((1)%
International	1,654.0	1,556.7	(6	%
Total segment sales	17,991.6	16,655.5	;	8	%
Intersegment sales	(2,960.3)	(2,759.4) ′	7	%
Consolidated sales	\$15,031.3	\$13,896.1	:	8	%
Operating profit (loss):					
Fresh Pork	\$96.7	\$76.0	,	27	%
Packaged Meats	459.8	378.0	2	22	%
Hog Production	344.2	(21.9)	1,672	%
International	155.8	60.5		158	%
Corporate	(124.9)	(154.1)	19	%
Consolidated operating profit	\$931.6	\$338.5		175	%

Fresh Pork

Current year sales increased 12% due to a 15% increase in average selling prices partially offset by a 3% decrease in volume.

Current year operating profit increased 27%. Operating profit per head increased from \$2.61 to \$3.47 due to higher fresh pork market prices, which more than offset higher raw material costs.

We processed 27.9 million hogs during 2014, a decrease of 4%, largely attributable to PEDv. However, average hog weights were up 2%, which helped to offset the overall decline in volume.

Packaged Meats

Current year sales increased 10% due to a 10% increase in average selling prices. Current year sales volume totaled 2.8 billion pounds, which remained relatively unchanged from the twelve months ended December 29, 2013.

Current year operating profit increased to \$0.16 per pound from \$0.13 per pound due to higher average selling prices. Additionally, the prior year included \$38.7 million, or \$0.01 per pound, of non-cash costs related to the fair value step-up of inventories due to the Merger. See "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations" for further discussion.

Hog Production

Current year sales decreased due to lower sales volume, partially offset by higher domestic live hog market prices. Head sold during the year amounted to 14.7 million hogs, a decrease of 10% from the twelve months ended December 29, 2013. PEDv was a significant factor in the volume decline and favorably impacted market prices.

Current year operating profit benefited from a 20% increase in domestic live hog market prices and lower feed costs. International

Current year sales were positively impacted by an 18% increase in volume of 1.5 billion pounds, driven largely by a 13% increase in hogs processed in Europe, and partially offset by an 11% decrease in average selling prices. We processed 4.3 million hogs during 2014. The effects of foreign currency translation also positively impacted sales by approximately \$18 million.

Current year operating profit was positively impacted by higher sales and lower feed costs in Europe along with higher equity income from our Mexican joint ventures. Additionally, favorable changes to income tax rates positively impacted equity income from CFG.

Corporate

Operating results in the Corporate segment were improved from last year due to the impact of \$41.9 million of merger related costs in the prior year, partially offset by higher variable compensation expense in the current year driven by improved operating results.

Eight Months Ended December 29, 2013 and December 30, 2012

	Successor	Predecessor	The Transition Period Eight Months (unaudited)	Predecessor s Ended		
	September 27 - December 29, 2013 (in millions)	April 29 - September 26, 2013	December 29, 2013	December 30, 2012	% Char	nge
Sales:						
Fresh Pork	\$1,347.3	\$2,240.3	\$3,587.6	\$3,356.1	7	%
Packaged Meats	1,968.9	2,541.7	4,510.6	4,140.0	9	
Hog Production	889.2	1,439.1	2,328.3	2,042.8	14	
International	428.2	643.6	1,071.8	983.6	9	
Total segment sales	4,633.6	6,864.7	11,498.3	10,522.5	9	
Intersegment sales	(739.4)	(1,185.2)	(1,924.6)	(1,623.8)	(19)
Consolidated sales	\$3,894.2	\$5,679.5	\$9,573.7	\$8,898.7	8	%
Operating profit (loss):						
Fresh Pork	\$96.0	\$(50.7)	\$45.3	\$131.0	(65)%
Packaged Meats	81.7	149.2	230.9	322.7	(28)
Hog Production	(40.6)	81.4	40.8	(56.4)	172	
International	25.4	15.9	41.3	89.0	(54)
Corporate	(51.3)	(66.6)	(117.9)	(65.1)	(81)
Consolidated operating profit	\$111.2	\$129.2	\$240.4	\$421.2	(43)%

Fresh Pork

Sales increased during the Transition Period as a result of a 6% increase in average selling prices and a 1% increase in volume.

Operating profit decreased despite the increase in average selling prices primarily as a result of an 8% increase in domestic live hog prices.

Packaged Meats

Sales increased during the Transition Period as a result of a 9% increase in average selling prices.

Operating profit in the current year decreased as the increase in selling prices was more than offset by higher raw material costs. Additionally, operating profit in the Transition Period included \$38.7 million of additional non-cash costs related to the fair value step-up of our inventories. See "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations" for further discussion.

Hog Production

•Transition Period sales benefited from an 8% increase in domestic live hog prices and a 3% increase in head sold. Hog Production operating profit improved by \$97.2 million mainly due to higher live hog market prices.

International

As a result of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, International segment sales and operating profit in the Transition Period were both positively impacted by approximately 3%.

Sales and operating profit in the transition period were positively impacted by an 18% increase in volume which was partially offset by a 10% decrease in average selling prices.

Transition Period operating profit was also negatively impacted by 8% and 6% increases in raising costs in both Poland and Romania, respectively, along with significantly lower equity income from our Mexican joint ventures. Corporate

The Transition Period includes fees related to the Merger. See "Significant Events Affecting Results of Operations" for further discussion.

Twelve Months Ended April 28, 2013 and April 29, 2012

	Predecessor				
	Twelve Mon				
	April 28,	April 29,	Of Char		
	2013	2012	% Chai	ige	
	(in millions)				
Sales:					
Fresh Pork	\$4,924.1	\$5,089.4	(3)%	
Packaged Meats	6,152.0	6,003.6	2		
Hog Production	3,135.1	3,052.6	3		
International	1,468.5	1,466.7	_		
Total segment sales	15,679.7	15,612.3	_		
Intersegment sales	(2,458.6)	(2,518.0)	2		
Consolidated sales	\$13,221.1	\$13,094.3	1		
Operating profit (loss):					
Fresh Pork	\$161.6	\$222.0	(27)%	
Packaged Meats	470.0	401.7	17		
Hog Production	(119.1)	166.1	(172)	
International	108.2	42.8	153		
Corporate	(101.4)	(110.0	8		
Consolidated operating profit	\$519.3	\$722.6	(28)%	

Fresh Pork

Sales declined 3% due to a 6% decrease in average selling prices, partially offset by a 3% increase in volume as a result of higher slaughter levels and hog weights.

Operating profit decreased to \$6 per head from \$8 per head due to lower fresh pork market prices.

We processed 28.5 million hogs, an increase of 3% from the twelve months ended April 29, 2012.

Packaged Meats

Sales increased 2% due to a 4% increase in volume partially offset by a 1% decrease in average selling prices. Sales volume totaled 2.8 billion pounds and 2.7 billion pounds for the twelve months ended April 28, 2013 and April 29, 2012, respectively.

Operating profit increased to \$0.17 per pound from \$0.15 per pound due to lower raw material costs.

Hog Production

Sales increased due to higher volumes, which more than offset the impact of lower market hog prices. Head sold during the twelve months ended April 28, 2013 amounted to 16.0 million hogs, an increase of 1% from the twelve months ended April 29, 2012.

Operating profit was negatively impacted by higher hog supplies, resulting in a 6% decrease in live hog prices, and increased domestic raising costs, including the effects of grain derivative contracts designated in hedging relationships for accounting purposes, primarily as a result of higher priced feed.

Operating profit for the twelve months ended April 28, 2013 included gains of \$91.2 million compared to \$58.6 million for the twelve months ended April 29, 2012 on lean hog derivative contracts and grain derivative contracts that are not designated in hedging relationships for accounting purposes.

Operating profit for the twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$22.2 million in net charges associated with the Missouri litigation as well as accelerated depreciation charges of \$8.2 million as a result of our decision to permanently idle certain farm assets in Missouri.

International

Fluctuation in foreign exchange rates and their effect on foreign currency translation decreased sales by 8% and decreased operating profit by \$11.5 million.

Sales and operating profit for the twelve months ended April 28, 2013 benefited from significantly higher volumes in our Polish operations due to a 19% increase in the number of hogs processed. Unit sales prices in our Polish operations increased in several key product categories; however, higher volumes of lower value by-products that resulted from more processed hogs effectively diminished the overall average unit selling price compared to twelve months ended April 29, 2012.

Sales and operating profit in our Romanian operations improved on significantly higher average unit selling prices and sales volumes, which benefited from the approval to export pork products to European Union member countries beginning in the fourth quarter of the twelve months ended April 29, 2012. Sales and hog slaughter volumes benefited from an expansion in our hog production operations in the second quarter of the twelve months ended April 29, 2012. Operating profit for the twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$38.7 million of charges related to the CFG Consolidation Plan.

Equity income from our Mexican joint ventures decreased by \$4.1 million due to higher feed costs and unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

Corporate

The twelve months ended April 29, 2012 included \$6.4 million of professional fees related to the potential acquisition of a controlling interest in CFG. In June 2011, we terminated negotiations to purchase the additional interest.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Summary

Our cash requirements consist primarily of the purchase of raw materials used in our hog production and pork processing operations, long-term debt obligations and related interest, lease payments for real estate, machinery, vehicles and other equipment, and expenditures for capital assets, other investments and other general business purposes. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash we receive as payment for the products we produce and sell, as well as our credit facilities.

We believe that our current liquidity position is strong and that our cash flows from operations and availability under our credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our working capital needs and financial obligations for at least the next twelve months. As of January 3, 2016, our liquidity position was \$2.3 billion, comprised of \$1.4 billion in availability under our credit facilities, \$704.9 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$160.0 million in unutilized loans. Our liquidity position was enhanced by cash held for payments deferred by livestock suppliers to 2016 as well as cash held for the \$125.0 million voluntary contribution to fund our qualified pension plans made in the first quarter of 2016. Sources of Liquidity

We have available a variety of sources of liquidity and capital resources, both internal and external. These sources provide funds required for current operations, acquisitions, integration costs, debt retirement and other capital requirements.

Accounts Receivable and Inventories

The meat processing industry is characterized by high sales volume and rapid turnover of inventories and accounts receivable. Because of the rapid turnover rate, we consider our meat inventories and accounts receivable highly liquid and readily convertible into cash. The Hog Production segment also has rapid turnover of accounts receivable. Although inventory turnover in the Hog Production segment is slower, mature hogs are readily convertible into cash. Borrowings under our credit facilities are used, in part, to finance increases in the levels of inventories and accounts receivable resulting from seasonal and other market-related fluctuations in raw material costs. Credit Facilities

January 3, 2016								
		Borrowing		Outstanding	5	Outstanding		Amount
Facility	Capacity	Base		Letters of		Borrowings		Available
		Adjustment	t	Credit		Donowings		Tivanaoic
	(in millions)							
Inventory Revolver	\$1,025.0	\$(1.3)	\$		\$ —		\$1,023.7
Securitization Facility	325.0			(87.9)			237.1
International facilities	167.2	(2.7)	(0.1)	(38.8)		125.6
Total credit facilities	\$1,517.2							