BLACKROCK VIRGINIA MUNICIPAL BOND TRUST Form N-CSR November 07, 2011

UNITEDSTATES SECURITIESANDEXCHANGECOMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21053

Name of Fund: BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust (BHV)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2011

Date of reporting period: 08/31/2011

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

Annual Report

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM) BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN) BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust (BLJ) BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust (BQH) BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust (BSE) BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II (BFY) BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust (BHV) The Massachusetts Health & Education Tax-Exempt Trust (MHE) **Not FDIC Insured No Bank Guarantee May Lose Value**

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
Trust Summaries	5
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	13
Derivative Financial Instruments	14
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	15
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	38
Statements of Operations	40
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	42
Statement of Cash Flows	46
Financial Highlights	47
Notes to Financial Statements	55
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	63
Important Tax Information	63
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements and Sub-Advisory Agreements	64
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	68
Officers and Trustees	69
Additional Information	72

Dear Shareholder

Market volatility has been extraordinary in recent months. Government debt and deficit issues in both the US and Europe have taken a toll on investor sentiment while weaker-than-expected US economic data raised concerns of another recession. Political instability and concerns that central banks have nearly exhausted their stimulus measures have further compounded investor uncertainty. Although markets remain volatile and conditions are highly uncertain, BlackRock remains focused on finding opportunities in this environment.

The pages that follow reflect your fund's reporting period ended August 31, 2011. Accordingly, the following discussion is intended to provide you with additional perspective on the performance of your investments during that period.

One year ago, the global economy appeared to solidly be in recovery mode and investors were optimistic given the anticipated second round of quantitative easing from the US Federal Reserve (the "Fed"). Stock markets rallied despite the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe and inflationary pressures looming over emerging markets. Fixed income markets, however, saw yields move sharply upward (pushing prices down) especially on the long end of the historically steep yield curve. While high yield bonds benefited from the risk rally, most fixed income sectors declined in the fourth quarter. The tax-exempt municipal market faced additional headwinds as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would not be extended and municipal finance troubles abounded.

The new year brought spikes of volatility as political turmoil swept across the Middle East/North Africa region and as prices of oil and other commodities soared. Natural disasters in Japan disrupted industrial supply chains and concerns mounted over US debt and deficit issues. Equities generally performed well early in the year, however, as investors chose to focus on the continuing stream of strong corporate earnings and positive economic data. Credit markets were surprisingly resilient in this environment and yields regained relative stability in 2011. The tax-exempt market saw relief from its headwinds and steadily recovered from its fourth-quarter lows. Equities, commodities and high yield bonds outpaced higher-quality assets as investors increased their risk tolerance.

However, longer-term headwinds had been brewing. Inflationary pressures intensified in emerging economies, many of which were overheating, and the European debt crisis continued to escalate. Markets were met with a sharp reversal in May when political unrest in Greece pushed the nation closer to defaulting on its debt. This development rekindled fears about the broader debt crisis and its further contagion among peripheral European countries. Concurrently, it became evident that the pace of global economic growth had slowed as higher oil prices and supply chain disruptions finally showed up in economic data. By mid-summer, confidence in policymakers was tarnished as the prolonged US debt ceiling debate revealed the degree of polarization in Washington, DC. The downgrade of the US government's credit rating on August 5 was the catalyst for the recent turmoil in financial markets. Extreme volatility persisted as Europe's debt and banking crisis deepened and US economic data continued to weaken. Investors fled from riskier assets, pushing stock and high yield bond indices into negative territory for the six-month period ended August 31, while lower-risk investments including US Treasuries, municipal securities and investment grade corporate bonds posted gains.Twelve-month returns on all asset classes remained positive. Continued low short-term interest rates kept yields on money market securities near their all-time lows.

"BlackRock remains focused on managing risk and finding opportunities in all market environments."

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of August 31, 2011

	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities	(7.23)%	18.50%
(S&P 500 [®] Index)		
US small cap equities	(11.17)	22.19
(Russell 2000 [®] Index)		
International equities	(11.12)	10.01
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market	(5.11)	9.07
equities (MSCI Emerging		
Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury	0.08	0.15
bill (BofA Merrill Lynch		
3-Month Treasury		
Bill Index)		
US Treasury securities	13.04	6.21
(BofA Merrill Lynch 10-		
Year US Treasury Index)		
US investment grade	5.49	4.62
bonds (Barclays		
Capital US Aggregate		
Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	6.39	2.66
bonds (Barclays Capital		
Municipal Bond Index)		
US high yield bonds	(1.57)	8.32
(Barclays Capital US		
Corporate High Yield 2%		
Issuer Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Municipal Market Overview

As of August 31, 2011

At the outset of the 12-month period, investor concerns were focused on the possibility of deflation and a double-dip recessionin the US economy thus

leading to a flatter municipal yield curve at that time as compared to August 31, 2011. Rates moved lower (and prices higher) across the curve through

September 2010, reaching historic lows in August when the yield on 5-year issues touched 1.06%, the 10-year reached 2.18% and the 30-year closed at

3.67%. However, the market took a turn in October amid a perfect storm of events that ultimately resulted in the worst quarterly performance for munici-

pals since the Fed tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost support due to concerns over the US deficit and municipal valuations suffered a quick and

severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond (BAB) program would expire at the end of 2010. The program had opened the taxable

market to municipal issuers, successfully alleviating supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace and bringing down yields in that space.

Towards the end of the fourth quarter 2010, news about municipal finance troubles mounted and damaged confidence among retail investors. From mid-

November through year end, weekly outflows from municipal mutual funds averaged over \$2.5 billion. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections

and tax policies along with the expiration of the BAB program exacerbated the situation. These conditions combined with seasonal illiquidity sapped willful

market participation from the trading community. December brought declining demand with no comparable reduction in supply as issuers rushed their deals

to market before the BAB program was retired. This supply-demand imbalance led to wider quality spreads and higher yields.

Demand is usually strong at the beginning of a new year, but retail investors continued to move away from municipal mutual funds in 2011. From mid-

November, outflows persisted for 29 consecutive weeks, totaling \$35.1 billion before the trend finally broke in June. Weak demand has been counterbal-

anced by lower supply in 2011. According to Thomson Reuters, year-to-date through August, new issuance was down 38% compared to the same period

last year. Issuers have been reluctant to bring new deals to the market due to higher interest rates, fiscal policy changes anda reduced need for municipal

borrowing. In this positive technical environment, the S&P/Investortools Main Municipal Bond Index gained 4.22% for the secondquarter of 2011, its best

second-quarter performance since 1992, and municipals outperformed most other fixed income asset classes for the quarter.

On August 5, S&P downgraded the US credit rating from AAA to AA+, leading to the downgrade of 11,000 municipal issues directly linked to the US govern-

ment debt rating. Nevertheless, the municipal market posted solid gains for the month of August, aided primarily by an exuberant Treasury market, severe

volatility in US equities and continued supply constraint in the primary municipal market. For the month of August, the curve flattened due to outperfor-

mance in the long-end driven by demand from both traditional and non-traditional buyers.

Overall, the municipal yield curve steepened during the period from August 31, 2010, to August 31, 2011. As measured by ThomsonMunicipal Market

Data, yields on AAA quality-rated 30-year municipals rose 22 basis points (bps) to 3.89%, while yields for 5-year maturitiesrallied by 17 bps to .89%,

and 10-year maturities increased by 7 bps to 2.25%. With the exception of the 2- to 5-year range, the yield spread between maturities increased over the

past year, with the greatest increase seen in the 5- to 30-year range, where the spread widened by 39 bps, while overall the slope between 2- and 30-year

maturities increased by 27 bps to 3.59%.

The fundamental picture for municipalities is improving as most states began their new fiscal year with a balanced budget. Austerity is the general theme

across the country, while a small number of states continue to rely on the kick the can approach, using aggressive revenue projections and accounting

gimmicks to close their shortfalls. As long as economic growth stays positive, tax receipts for states should continue to rise and lead to better credit funda-

mentals. BlackRock maintains a constructive view of the municipal market, recognizing that careful credit research and security selection remain imperative

amid uncertainty in the economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust's (BZM) (the "Trustithvestment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income

taxes and Maryland personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from

federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Maryland personal income taxes. The Trust

invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment.

The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 0.83% based on market price and 2.45% based on net asset value ("NAV"). For the same

period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.60)% based on market price and 1.97% based

on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between per-

formance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from its higher

coupon bond holdings, which performed well in the rising interest rate environment. In addition, the Trust sought investments with valuations that remain

attractive relative to their level of credit risk. However, the Trust's overall long duration stance (greater sensitivity to interest rates) detracted from performance

as the municipal market saw long-term interest rates rise and the yield curve steepen due to municipal credit concerns and the expiration of the BAB pro-

gram. During the period, we reduced some of the Trust's most concentrated holdings and held the cash proceeds in reserve to enable the Trust to improve

its portfolio diversification when opportunities arise in the market. The Trust's increased cash position did not have a material impact on performance. US

Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE Amex	BZM
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$15.02) ¹	6.31%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.71%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0790
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9480

Leverage as of August 31, 2011⁴

37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares ("AMPS") and tender option bond trusts ("TOBs") as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, includ-

ing any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks

of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$15.02	\$15.91	(5.59)%	\$17.32	\$13.80
Net Asset Value	\$14.61	\$15.23	(4.07)%	\$15.33	\$13.42

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	21%	26%
Transportation	19	18
Health	18	20
Housing	12	8
Education	11	11
Utilities	10	10
State	5	3
Tobacco	3	3
Corporate	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	14%	28%
AA/Aa	30	9
A	35	29
BBB/Baa	8	23
BB/Ba	1	2
Not Rated	126	9
5		

⁵ Using the higher of Standard & Poor's ("S&P's") or Moody's Investors Service

("Moody's") ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011, the market value of these securities was \$1,031,990, representing 2% of the Trust's long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010 BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Insured Fund, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc.'s (MHN) (the "Trusti)nvestment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from

federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under nor-

mal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in investment grade New York municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the

interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes ("New York Municipal Bonds"),

except at times when, in the judgment of its investment adviser, New York Municipal Bonds of sufficient quality and quantity are unavailable for investment

by the Trust. At all times, however, except during temporary defensive periods, the Trust invests at least 65% of its assets in New York Municipal Bonds. The

Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more. The Trust

may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust's investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its

assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category

into the Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (1.80)% based on market price and

1.85% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average returnof (1.32)% based on

market price and 1.46% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of

(1.03)% based on market price and 2.20% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a dis-

count by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion

relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust's positive performance was derived mostly from its holdings in higher-yielding sectors including housing.

health and corporate/industrial development bonds. In addition to providing incremental income, these holdings experienced price appreciation due to

spread compression in those sectors during the period. The Trust also benefited from its exposure to lower-quality bonds, which offered higher embedded

yields and benefited from spread compression during the period. The Trust was most heavily invested in tax-backed credits, which returned moderately posi-

tive performance. Detracting from performance was the Trust's allocation to Puerto Rico credits, which underperformed New York issues for the period. Over

the period, the Trust maintained a slightly long duration bias and greater exposure to the long end of the yield curve. Although this positioning was favorable

as the period drew to a close, it detracted from performance on the whole for the year. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate

risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE")	MHN
Initial Offering Date	September 19, 1997
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.90) ¹	6.86%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.55%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0795
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9540
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	42%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares ("VRDP Shares") and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is thetotal assets of the Trust, including any assets

attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of

Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.90	\$15.17	(8.37)%	\$15.39	\$12.35
Net Asset Value	\$14.34	\$15.09	(4.97)%	\$15.09	\$12.61

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
Transportation	30%	31%
County/City/Special District/School District	25	25
State	11	12
Education	11	7
Utilities	9	10
Health	5	4
Housing	3	3
Corporate	3	5
Tobacco	3	3

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	10%	42%
AA/Aa	56	20
A	16	29
BBB/Baa	13	3
BB/Ba	3	4
Not Rated ⁶	2	2
5 Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.		
⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rat	ted securities to be of	
investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 3	1, 2010, the market	
value of these securities was \$4,172,122 and \$3,941,088, eac respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.	h representing 1%,	

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Bond Trust's (BLJ) (the "Trustitivestment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax

and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income

taxes (except that the interest may subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the

Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such

securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (6.68)% based on market price and 2.46% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (3.48)% based on market price and 1.29% based on NAV. All returns

reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between perform-

ance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Contributing positively to the Trust's

performance was its exposure to spread sectors, including housing, health and corporate-backed bonds, which provided a relatively high degree of incre-

mental income in the low interest rate environment. The Trust's holdings of premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) and shorter-duration bonds (bonds with

lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) performed well as long-term interest rates climbed toward the end of 2010 and into the early part of 2011.

Conversely, the Trust's exposure to bonds with longer duration (greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) and bonds with longer-dated maturities

detracted from performance as the municipal yield curve steepened over the 12-month period. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge inter-

est rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Irust	Information	

Symbol on NYSE Amex	BLJ
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.60) ¹	6.88%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.58%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0780
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9360

Leverage as of August 31, 20114

37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assetsattributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.60	\$15.63	(12.99)%	\$16.81	\$12.21
Net Asset Value	\$14.55	\$15.23	(4.46)%	\$15.26	\$12.88

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
Transportation	23%	16%
State	20	23
Education	18	10
Health	12	16
Housing	10	12
Corporate	9	9
County/City/Special District/School District	5	11
Utilities	2	2
Tobacco	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	8%	27%
AA/Aa	38	28
A	33	23
BBB/Baa	8	10
BB/Ba	4	2
В	4	5
Not Rated ⁶	5	5

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of

investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market

value of these securities was \$987,040 and \$1,013,550, each representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Bond Trust's (BQH) (the "Trust") vestment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income taxes

and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds

exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City

personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at

the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 0.50% based on market price and 1.81% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.32)% based on market price and 1.46% based onNAV. All returns

reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between perform-

ance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust's positive performance was

derived mostly from its holdings in higher-yielding sectors including housing, health and corporate/industrial development bonds. In addition to providing

incremental income, these holdings experienced price appreciation due to spread compression in those sectors during the period. The Trust also benefited

from its exposure to lower-quality bonds, which offered higher embedded yields and benefited from spread compression during the period. The Trust was

most heavily invested in tax-backed credits, which returned moderately positive performance. Detracting from performance was the Trust's allocation to

Puerto Rico credits, which underperformed New York issues for the period. A large exposure to the very short end of the yield curve and high-quality, pre-

refunded bonds also had a negative impact. Over the period, the Trust maintained a slightly long duration bias and greater exposure to the long end of the

yield curve. Although this positioning was favorable as the period drew to a close, it detracted from performance on the wholefor the year. US Treasury

financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions.

These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BQH
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$14.83) ¹	6.64%

Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.22%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0820
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9840
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	35%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assetsattributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.83	\$15.79	(6.08)%	\$16.19	\$13.30
Net Asset Value	\$14.89	\$15.65	(4.86)%	\$15.70	\$13.68

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
State	20%	19%
County/City/Special District/School District	20	20
Housing	13	12
Education	11	13
Corporate	9	10
Transportation	9	8
Health	7	5
Utilities	6	7
Tobacco	5	6

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	11%	29%
AA/Aa	43	23
A	20	28
BBB/Baa	16	10
BB/Ba	2	2
В	3	7
Not Rated	5	1

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010 BlackRock New York Insured Municipal Income Trust changed its name to BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust.

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Quality Trust's (BSE) (the "Trust") westment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax,

including the alternative minimum tax, and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by

investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (including the alternative minimum tax) and New York State

and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust's investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that

at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category into the Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category. For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (3.20)% based on market price and 1.94% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average returnof (1.32)% based on market price and 1.46% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.03)% based on market price and 2.20% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust's positive performance was derived mostly from its holdings in higher-yielding sectors including health and corporate/industrial development bonds. In addition to providing incremental income, these holdings experienced price appreciation due to spread compression in those sectors during the period. The Trust was most heavily invested in tax-backed credits and the education sector, both of which returned moderately positive performance. Detracting from performance was the Trust's allocation to Puerto Rico credits, which underperformed New York issues for the period. Over the period, the Trust maintained a slightly long duration bias and greater exposure to the long end of the yield curve. Although this positioning was favorable as the period drew to a close, it detracted from performance on the whole for the year. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BSE
Initial Offering Date	October 31, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.54) ¹	6.34%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.75%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0715
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8580
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	36%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assetsattributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.54	\$14.91	(9.19)%	\$15.03	\$12.01
Net Asset Value	\$14.25	\$14.90	(4.36)%	\$14.90	\$12.46

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

8/31/11 8/31/10 Education 29% 24% Transportation 25 26 County/City/Special District/School District 16 18
Transportation 25 26
County/City/Special District/School District 16 18
Health 11 13
Utilities 10 8
State 8 10
Corporate 1 1

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	9%	31%
AA/Aa	49	19
A	19	30
BBB/Baa	15	8
BB/Ba	2	2
Not Rated ⁶	6	10

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$8,358,790 and \$9,329,772, each representing 6%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust II's (BFY) (the "Trustitivestment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax

and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds

exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New YorkState and New York City

personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that areinvestment grade quality at

the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned (0.37)% based on market price and 2.56% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.32)% based on market price and 1.46% based onNAV. All returns

reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between per-

formance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust's positive performance

was derived mostly from its holdings in higher-yielding sectors including housing, health care and corporate/industrial development bonds. In addition to

providing incremental income, these holdings experienced price appreciation due to spread compression in those sectors during the period. The Trust also

benefited from its exposure to lower-quality bonds, which offered higher embedded yields and benefited from spread compression during the period. The

Trust was most heavily invested in tax-backed credits and the education sector, both of which returned moderately positive performance. Detracting from

performance was the Trust's allocation to Puerto Rico credits, which underperformed New York issues for the period. Over the period, the Trust maintained

a slightly long duration bias and greater exposure to the long end of the yield curve. Although this positioning was favorableas the period drew to a close,

it detracted from performance on the whole for the year. US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a

negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE Amex	BFY
Initial Offering Date	July 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$14.38) ¹	6.97%

Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.72%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0835
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$1.0020
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.38	\$15.48	(7.11)%	\$15.60	\$12.93
Net Asset Value	\$14.66	\$15.33	(4.37)%	\$15.34	\$13.12

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	21%	20%
Education	16	15
Transportation	13	14
Health	12	11
Utilities	11	10
Corporate	10	14
Housing	6	6
State	6	4
Tobacco	5	6

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	20%	24%
AA/Aa	31	24
A	26	29
BBB/Baa	12	11
BB/Ba	3	3
В	3	6
Not Rated	5	3
5 Using the birth on a COSDir, on March is notice as		

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Virginia Municipal Bond Trust's (BHV) (the "Trustithvestment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax

and Virginia personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal

income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Virginia personal income taxes. The Trust invests, under

normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest

directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 0.89% based on market price and 1.98% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.60)% based on market price and 1.97% based on NAV. All returns

reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based

on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust benefited from its higher coupon bond

holdings, which performed well in the rising interest rate environment. In addition, the Trust sought investments with valuations that remain attractive rela-

tive to their level of credit risk. However, the Trust's overall long duration stance (greater sensitivity to interest rates) detracted from performance as the

municipal market saw long-term interest rates rise and the yield curve steepen due to municipal credit concerns and the expiration of the BAB program.

US Treasury financial futures contracts used to hedge interest rate risk in the portfolio had a negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market,

economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE Amex	BHV
Initial Offering Date	April 30, 2002
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$17.77) ¹	5.60%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	8.62%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0830
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9960
Leverage as of August 31, 2011 ⁴	36%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assetsattributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$17.77	\$18.77	(5.33)%	\$20.60	\$15.98
Net Asset Value	\$15.33	\$16.02	(4.31)%	\$16.03	\$13.80

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
Health	19%	17%
Education	15	10
Housing	15	16
Transportation	13	14
County/City/Special District/School District	12	12
Utilities	9	14
Corporate	8	7
State	6	5
Tobacco	3	5

8/31/11	8/31/10
18%	31%
34	30
20	17
14	9
14	13
	18% 34 20 14

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of

investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$2,649,194 and \$2,770,588, each representing 7%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of August 31, 2011 The Massachusetts Health & Education Tax-Exempt Trust

Trust Overview

The Massachusetts Health & Education Tax-Exempt Trust's (MHE) (the "Trust") vestment objective is to provide as high a level of current income exempt from

both regular federal income taxes and Massachusetts personal income taxes as is consistent with the preservation of shareholders' capital. The Trust seeks to

achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in tax-exempt obligations (including bonds, notes and capital lease obligations) issued on behalf of

Massachusetts not-for-profit health and education institutions ("Massachusetts Health & Education Obligations"). The Trust invests, under normal market condi-

tions, at least 80% of its assets in Massachusetts Health & Education Obligations and at least 80% of its assets in obligations that are rated investment grade

at the time of investment. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests its assets so that at least 80% of the income generated by the Trust is exempt from

federal income taxes, including federal alternative minimum tax, and Massachusetts personal income taxes. The Trust invests primarily in long term municipal

obligations with maturities of more than ten years. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust's investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12 months ended August 31, 2011, the Trust returned 0.16% based on market price and 2.78% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.60)% based on market price and 1.97% basedon NAV. All returns

reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on

price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Contributing positively to the Trust's performance was

its exposure to spread sectors, including education, health and housing bonds, which provided a relatively high degree of incremental income in the low

interest rate environment. Conversely, exposure to pre-refunded bonds in the 2- to 3-year maturity range hindered performance as nominal interest rates on

those issues remained quite low.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changesin market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE Amex	MHE
Initial Offering Date	July 23, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of August 31, 2011 (\$13.11) ¹	6.41%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.86%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0700

\$0.8400

39%

Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share³

Leverage as of August 31, 2011⁴

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market prce. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assetsattributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of

accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust's market price and NAV per share:

	8/31/11	8/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.11	\$13.98	(6.22)%	\$14.37	\$12.05
Net Asset Value	\$13.01	\$13.52	(3.77)%	\$13.52	\$11.33

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust's long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
Education	50%	50%
Health	27	30
State	11	8
Housing	6	4
Corporate	4	3
Utilities	2	3
County/City/Special District/School District	_	2

Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	8/31/11	8/31/10
AAA/Aaa	12%	19%
AA/Aa	38	25
A	33	34
BBB/Baa	11	14
Not Rated ⁶	6	8

⁵ Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of

investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market

value of these securities was $\$855,\!291,$ representing 2%, and $\$2,\!061,\!578,$

representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust's long-term investments.

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their common shares ("Common Shares"). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Trusts issue AMPS or VRDP Shares (collectively, "Preferred Shares"), which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust's shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares ("Preferred Shareholders") are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust's long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between shortterm and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays higher short-term interest rates whereas the Trust's total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Trusts' portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trusts' Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts' NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of TOBs, as

described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Trust's NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts' NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Trusts' net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, each Trust's net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust's ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate the Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Trusts are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Trust anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of August 31, 2011, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Leverage
BZM	37%
MHN	42%
BLJ	37%
BQH	35%
BSE	36%
BFY	38%
BHV	36%
MHE	39%

Percent of

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts' ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor's ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts' investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM) Schedule of Investments August 31, 2011 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Maryland — 113.8% Corporate — 1.0% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, Potomac Electric Power Co., 620%, 9/01/22 \$ 250 \$ 296,180 County/City/Special District/School District — 31.0% Sity of Annapolity Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds, Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, 993 987,419 200 County of Anne Anudel Maryland, RB, Community College Project, 5.25%, 9/01/28 1,870 1.906,858 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 2,000 2.087,740 2.000 2.087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 300 514,255 5.00%, 60/1/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1.338,060 514,255 5.00%, 60/1/24 1,500 1.338,060 514,255 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local Feducation — 16,5% 826,876 93,382,876 93,382,876 Education — 16,6% Same of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 9,382,876 Education — 16,6% Same of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 </th <th></th> <th>Par</th> <th></th>		Par	
Corporate — 1.0%. Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, Potomac Electric Prover Co., 6.20%, 9/01/22 \$ 250 \$ 296, 180 ContryUt/Special District/School District — 31.0% City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds, Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, 993 987,419 County of Anna Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 993 987,419 College Project, 5.25%, 901/28 1,870 1,906,858 County of Anna Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 2000 2,087,740 College Project, 5.25%, 901/28 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 801 1,906,858 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 300 514,255 S00%, 601/23 500 514,255 S00%, 601/23 500 620,790 S104co foreict, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, SO, National 44400 790 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 701/32 2,	Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, Potomac Electric Power Co., 6, 20%, 9/01/22 \$ 250 \$ 296, 180 County/Clity/Special District — 31.0%	Maryland — 113.8%		
Power Co., 6.20%, 9/01/22 \$ 250 \$ 296, 180 County/City/Special District/School District — 31.0% 490 431,842 City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds, 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO. 933 987,419 County of Anne Annolel Maryland, RB, Community 933 987,419 County of Anne Annolel Maryland, RB, Community 1,906,858 2000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 881 lissue, 5,00%, 801/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 305,14255 500 514,255 S00%, 601/23 500 514,255 500 514,255 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,435 1,475,912 2000 2,936,287 Caunty of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 3816 39,362,876 Education — 16.6% 400,017,81 500 620,790 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 2,000 1,99,980 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities	Corporate — 1.0%		
County City/Special District/School District — 31.0% City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds. Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,906,858 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 881 Issue, 5.00%, 801/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 2	Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, Potomac Electric		
City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds, Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 88th Issue, 5.00%, 801/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 2,000 2,087,740 2,007,400 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 500 514,255 5.00%, 601/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,435 1,475,912 1,380,060 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,500 1,388,060 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,500 1,388,060 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,500 1,388,060 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,500 1,388,060 514,255 5.00%, 601/24 1,500 1,388,060 514,255 5.010 (Agree Fraine S, 5,20%, 7/01/34 500 620,790 9,362,376 Education — 16.6% 1,500	Power Co., 6.20%, 9/01/22	\$ 250	\$ 296,180
Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34 490 431,842 City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 88th Issue, 500%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 3 500 514,255 Gowf, 6/01/23 500 514,255 500%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Montgomery Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 514,255 500%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 1,338,060 514,255 500 620,790 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 1,500 1,500 1,338,060 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 1,000 1,017,90 1,019,90,980 9,362,876 9,362,876 1,000	County/City/Special District/School District — 31.0%		
City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO, Harborview Lot No. 2, 6.50%, 7/01/31 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 2,000 2,087,740 S8th Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 3 500 514,255 S.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5,00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 1,999,980 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB: 2,000 2,010,940 2,001,240 5,012,120 Hoalth Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 8,095,5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Hoalth — 26.8% 2,011,940 5,012,120	City of Annapolis Maryland, Tax Allocation Bonds,		
Harborview Lot No. 2, 6.50%, 7/01/31 993 987,419 County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 2,000 2,087,740 S8th Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 500 514,255 S.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1 1 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Pacilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 State of Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 306,876 8362,876 Authority, RB: 2,000 2,010,940 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 2,000 1,999,980 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 8,007,1,001/39 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 28.6% 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120	Park Place Project, Series A, 5.35%, 7/01/34	490	431,842
County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 2,000 2,087,740 S8th Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 2 2 Garage Projects: 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1 3 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Pacilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 3 3,936,876 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 2,000 1,999,980 1,999,980 1,901,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 5,012,120	City of Baltimore Maryland, Special Tax Bonds, SO,		
College Project, 5.25%, 9/01/28 1,870 1,906,858 County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 2,000 2,087,740 S8th Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 3 3 Garage Projects: 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1 3 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 8 8 RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 S,017,35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% 2 1,001 1,001,200	Harborview Lot No. 2, 6.50%, 7/01/31	993	987,419
County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District, 2,000 2,087,740 B8th Issue, 5.00%, 6/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 300 514,255 Garage Projects: 1,435 1,475,912 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 9,362,876 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 4404 4106 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 2,010,940 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 3,999,800 3,999,800 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 88 4,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 5,012,120 </td <td>County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community</td> <td></td> <td></td>	County of Anne Arundel Maryland, RB, Community		
Bath Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a) 2,000 2,087,740 County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail 3 3 Garage Projects: 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 2,000 2,010,940 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 8.00%, 5/01/35 1,001,200 S.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Heath — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 1,000	College Project, 5.25%, 9/01/28	1,870	1,906,858
County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail Garage Projects: 5.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1 1 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 Baryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 30362,876 3362,876 Education — 16.6% 32,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 8 5,007,50 1,001,200 RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 5,01/35 5,012,120 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 5,012,120 5,012,120	County of Baltimore Maryland, GO, Metropolitan District,		
Garage Projects: 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1 1,338,060 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 5,012,120	68th Issue, 5.00%, 8/01/12 (a)	2,000	2,087,740
5.00%, 6/01/23 500 514,255 5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 1,500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 1 1,999,980 1,999,980 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 1,999,980 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 1,909,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 5,012,120	County of Montgomery Maryland, RB, Metrorail		
5.00%, 6/01/24 1,435 1,475,912 County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National 1,500 1,338,060 Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 9,362,876 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 2,000 2,010,940 Maryland Heatth & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 88,00r Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 5,012,120 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% Curry of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 1,000 1,001,200	Garage Projects:		
County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 400 2,000 2,010,940 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 88,000 1,000 1,01,200 S.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 1,000 1,001,200	5.00%, 6/01/23	500	514,255
Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34 1,500 1,338,060 State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local 500 620,790 Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% 4 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 5,012,120	5.00%, 6/01/24	1,435	1,475,912
State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8%	County of Prince George's Maryland, SO, National		
Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20 500 620,790 9,362,876 Education — 16.6% Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Harbor Project, 5.20%, 7/01/34	1,500	1,338,060
Education — 16.6% Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 Eduth — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	State of Maryland, GO, Refunding, State & Local		
Education — 16.6% Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 Bealth — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Facilities Loan Third, Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/20	500	620,790
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage			9,362,876
Authority, RB: 2,000 2,010,940 Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 1,999,980 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 1,000 1,001,200 RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 Health — 26.8% 5,012,120	Education — 16.6%		
Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32 2,000 2,010,940 Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, 100 1,001,200 RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage 100	Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities		
Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,000 1,999,980 Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Authority, RB:		
Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Board of Child Care, 5.38%, 7/01/32	2,000	2,010,940
RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A, 1,000 1,001,200 6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Loyola College Issue, 5.00%, 10/01/39	2,000	1,999,980
6.00%, 5/01/35 1,000 1,001,200 5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority,		
5,012,120 Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	RB, Our Lady of Good Counsel School, Series A,		
Health — 26.8% County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage	6.00%, 5/01/35	1,000	1,001,200
County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage			5,012,120
	Health — 26.8%		
House Facility, Series A, 5.25%, 4/01/33 500 357,120	County of Howard Maryland, Refunding RB, Vantage		
	House Facility, Series A, 5.25%, 4/01/33	500	357,120

Gaithersburg Maryland, Refunding RB, Asbury Maryland		
Obligation, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/23	250	260,620
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities		
Authority, RB:		
Anne Arundel Health System, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,000	992,380
Carroll County General Hospital, 6.00%, 7/01/37	1,990	2,001,363
Union Hospital of Cecil County Issue,		
5.63%, 7/01/32	2,000	2,006,200
Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
Charlestown Community, 6.25%, 1/01/41	1,000	1,031,990
Doctor's Community Hospital, 5.75%, 7/01/38	500	440,585
University of Maryland Medical System,		
5.13%, 7/01/39	1,000	1,011,830
		8,102,088

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Maryland (concluded)		
Housing — 10.7%		
Maryland Community Development Administration, RB:		
AMT, 5.10%, 9/01/37	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,005,230
Residential, Series A, 5.05%, 9/01/39	500	508,725
Residential, Series B, 4.75%, 9/01/39	150	0 149,539
Maryland Community Development Administration,		
Refunding RB, Residential, Series B, 5.25%, 9/01/35	1,495	5 1,558,463
		3,221,957
Transportation — 13.6%		
Maryland EDC, RB:		
Term Project, Series B, 5.75%, 6/01/35	500	9 491,055
Transportation Facilities Project, Series A,		
5.75%, 6/01/35	500	9 491,055
Maryland State Department of Transportation, RB,		
Series B, 4.00%, 5/15/22	1,000	1,088,480
Maryland State Transportation Authority, RB, Baltimore/		
Washington International Airport, Series B, AMT		
(AMBAC), 5.13%, 3/01/24	2,000	2,029,580
		4,100,170

Utilities — 14.1%

City of Baltimore Maryland, Refunding RB, Wastewater

Projects, Series A (NPFGC):		
5.20%, 7/01/32	2,250	2,272,500
5.13%, 7/01/42	1,500	1,511,325
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,		
5.75%, 9/01/25	500	491,425
		4,275,250
Total Municipal Bonds in Maryland		34,370,641
District of Columbia — 3.5%		
Transportation — 3.5%		
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, RB,		
Transit, Series A, 5.13%, 7/01/32	1,000	1,064,580
Total Municipal Bonds in the District of Columbia		1,064,580
Guam — 1.4%		
State — 1.4%		
Territory of Guam, RB, Section 30, Series A,		
5.63%, 12/01/29	410	416,039
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		416,039
Multi-State — 7.3%		
Housing — 7.3%		
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c)	2,000	2,200,680
Total Municipal Bonds in Multi-State		2,200,680

Portfolio Abbreviations

			Board of Cooperative Educational		
To simpl	ify the listings of portfolio holdings in the	BOCES	Services	HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds
Schedule	es of Investments, the names and				Industrial Development
descripti	ons of	CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	IDA	Authority
	the securities have been abbreviated				
accordin	g to	CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
the follow	wing list:	COP	Certificates of Participation	MRB	Mortgage Revenue Bonds
					National Public Finance
		EDA	Economic Development Authority	NPFGC	Guarantee Corp.
ACA	American Capital Access Corp.	EDC	Economic Development Corp.	PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.	ERB	Economic Revenue Bonds	RB	Revenue Bonds
					Stand-by Bond Purchase
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.	SBPA	Agreement
	American Municipal Bond Assurance				
AMBAC	Corp.	FHA	Federal Housing Administration	S/F	Single-Family
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	GO	General Obligation Bonds	SO	Special Obligation
					State of New York Mortgage
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.	HDA	Housing Development Authority	SONYMA	Agency
See Notes to Financial Statements.		HFA	Housing Finance Agency	Syncora	Syncora Guarantee
				VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2011 15

BlackRock Maryland Municipal Bond Trust (BZM) Schedule of Investments (concluded) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Puerto Rico — 9.2%		
State — 5.1%		
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, GO, Refunding, Public		
Improvement, Series A-4 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/30	\$ 130	\$ 132,197
Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Refunding RB,		
Government Facilities, Series D, 5.38%, 7/01/33	350	336,711
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First		
Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39	1,000	1,081,470
		1,550,378
Tobacco — 4.1%		
Children's Trust Fund, Refunding RB, Asset-Backed,		
5.50%, 5/15/39	1,500	1,248,345
Total Municipal Bonds in Puerto Rico		2,798,723
Total Municipal Bonds — 135.2%		40,850,663
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (d)		
Maryland — 10.5%		
Transportation — 10.5%		
Maryland State Transportation Authority, RB,		
Transportation Facility Project (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/41	3,000	3,161,160
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts — 10.5%		3,161,160
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost — \$43,339,844) — 145.7%		44,011,823
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (e)(f)	3,348,424	3,348,424
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost — \$3,348,424) — 11.1%		3,348,424
Total Investments (Cost — \$46,688,268*) — 156.8%		47,360,247
Other Assets Less Liabilities — 1.2%		344,004
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable — (5.0)%		(1,500,708)
AMPS, at Redemption Value — (53.0)%		(16,000,802)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares — 100.0%		\$ 30,202,741

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of August 31, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 45,104,474
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 1,293,965
Gross unrealized depreciation	(538,192)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 755,773

(a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.(b) Security represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.

(c) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.

(d) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.

(e) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the year, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at August 31,	Net	at August 31,	
Affiliate	2010	Activity	2011	Income
FFI Institutional				
Tax-Exempt Fund	1,846,050	1,502,374	3,348,424	\$ 2,523

(f) Represents the current yield as of report date.

•For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by Trust management. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

•Financial futures contracts sold as of August 31, 2011 were as follows:

				Notional	Unrealized
Contract	s Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Appreciation
5	10-Year US	Chicago	December		
Т	reasury Note	Board of Trade	2011	\$ 646,944	\$ 1,787

National Uproplized

Fair Value Measurements — Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs are categorized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:
Level 1 — price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

•Level 2 — other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

•Level 3 — unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and does not necessarily correspond to the Trust's perceived risk of investing in those securities. For information about the Trust's policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. The following tables summarize the inputs used as of August 31, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust's investments and derivative financial instruments:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	I	Level 3	Total	
Assets:						
Investments:						
Long-Term						
Investments ¹			644,011,823		—	\$44,011,823
Short-Term						
Securities	\$ 3,348,424		_	-	_	3,348,424
Total	\$ 3,348,424	\$	644,011,823		_	\$47,360,247
¹ See above Schedule of Investm	ents for values in each sector.					
Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Leve	el 2	Level 3	Total	
Derivative Financial Instruments ²						
Assets:						
Interest rate						
contracts		\$ 1,787		—	 \$ 1,787	,
² Derivative financial instruments valued at the unrealized appreciat						

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN) Schedule of Investments August 31, 2011 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds (000) Value New York (118,5%) Value Value Corporate = 5.0% Value Value New York (Diy Industrial Development Agency, Rehunding \$1,500 \$1,540,400 RB, Terminal One Group Association Project, AMT, \$1,500 \$1,540,400 New York (Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman Value \$3,010,000 New York State Energy Research & Development Value \$4,000,000 Authorthy, Relunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan, Sates \$4,065,000 Suffek County Industrial Development Agency New York, Yalue Yalue Re Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5,25%, 60(1/27) 4,35 4,365,450 Suffek County Industrial Development Agency New York, Yalue Yalue Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, Yalue Yalue Authort (AMBAC): Yalue Yalue Yalue County/ChylSpecial District/School District - 34.4% Yalue Yalue County/ChylSpecial District/School District - 34.4% Yalue Yalue County Orkin Kew York, RD, Saries A 1, Yalue Yalue		Par	
Corporate — 5.0%. New York City Industrial Development Agency, Refunding RB, Terminal One Group Association Project, AMT, 5.50%, 1/01/24 (a) \$ 1,500 \$ 1,549,410 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman 3 3.910,000 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman 4,000 3.910,000 New York State Energy Research & Development 4 4 Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan, 5 3.340 3.432,618 Suffok County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4.365 4.365,622 Suffok County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 4.365,602 3.340 3.432,618 Suffok County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 4.365,622 3.360 5.023,612 Suffok County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 6.15%, 1001/11 5.000 5.023,150 6.25%, 1001/12 3.530 3.741,694 2.022,224 2.022,224 2.022,224 2.022,224 2.021,224 2.022,224 2.021,225 2.021,225 2.021,225 2.021,225 2.021,225 2.02	Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New York City Industrial Development Agency, Refunding RB, Terminal One Group Association Project, AMT, 550%, 101/24 (a) \$ 1,500 \$ 1,549,410 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman	New York — 118.5%		
RB, Terminal One Group Association Project, AMT, \$ 1,500 \$ 1,540, 101/24 (a) \$ 1,500 \$ 1,540, 410 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman 3,910,000 Sachs Headquarters, 525%, 1001/35 4,000 3,910,000 New York State Energy Research & Development 4,000 3,910,000 New York State Energy Research & Development 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, 4,350 5,023,150 AMT (AMBAC): 5,000 5,023,150 6,25%, 1001/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 2 22,022,324 County/Chy/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4,635, 1001/12 3,530 3,741,694 Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A 4,643, 4,635, 1001/12 3,500 2,489,379 County Chy/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4,975 4,71,323 2,489,379 County Chy New York, RO, Series A 1, 5,00%, 1201/35 2,350 2,489,379 County Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1,100	Corporate — 5.0%		
5.5%, 1/01/24 (a) \$ 1,500 \$ 1,549,410 New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman	New York City Industrial Development Agency, Refunding		
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman Sachs Headquarters, 5.25%, 10/01/35 4,000 3,910,000 New York State Energy Research & Development 3,340 3,432,618 Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan, 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, New York, 5,000 5,023,150 5,020 5,023,150 6,25%, 10/01/12 5,000 5,023,150 2,022,232 2 2 County/Chty/Special District School District — 34,4% Ammerst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo 5,007,132 2,350	RB, Terminal One Group Association Project, AMT,		
Sachs Headquarters, 5.2%, 10/01/35 4,000 3,910,000 New York State Energy Research & Development 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 4,353 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 9 4,353 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 9 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 9 2,022,324 22,022,324 County/City/Special District School District — 34.4% 4,975 4,71,323 2,022,324 County/City/Special District School District — 34.4% 4,975 4,71,323 2,350 2,489,379 County Industrial Development Agency, RB, Syracuse 1,001,0140 1,975 1,235,584 5,00%, 1,201,263 <t< td=""><td>5.50%, 1/01/24 (a)</td><td>\$ 1,500</td><td>\$ 1,549,410</td></t<>	5.50%, 1/01/24 (a)	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,549,410
New York State Energy Research & Development Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan, Series A, AMT (FGIC), 4.70%, 2/01/24 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 8 8 Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, 4 3,530 5,023,150 6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 2,202,324 Outty/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% 2,202,324 2,202,324 County/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4,975 4,771,323 Citly of New York, New York, GO, Series A 1, 2,350 2,489,379 County/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% 2,350 2,489,379 County/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% 2,350 2,489,379 County/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% 3,300 1,135 1,235,584 Schow, 10/03 2,350 2,489,379 2,00%, 12,01/36 1,000 1,613,47 S	New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, Goldman		
Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan, Series A, AMT (FGIC), 4.70%, 2/01/24 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 RB, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5,25%, 6/01/27 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York,	Sachs Headquarters, 5.25%, 10/01/35	4,000	3,910,000
Series A, AMT (FGIC), 4.70%, 2/01/24 3,340 3,432,618 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 5,000 5,023,150 RB, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/27 5,000 5,023,150 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 5,000 5,023,150 RE, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 Zourty/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% 3,530 3,741,694 County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A 1, 5,00%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A 1, 5,00%, 12/01/29 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse University Project: 5,00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 S.00%, 12/01/26 1,100 1,161,347 2,55%, 5/01/31 1,001 1,081,830 City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5,25%, 5/01/31 1,001 1,081,830 S.00%, 12/01/26 Series A.	New York State Energy Research & Development		
Sulfolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, 4,355 4,365,452 RB, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/27 4,355 4,365,452 Sulfolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, AMT (AMBAC): 5,000 5,023,150 6,15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6,25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 200tr//Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 Citly of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, Stoo%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse University Project: 1,135 1,235,684 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Fire County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1,000 1,081,830	Authority, Refunding RB, Brooklyn Union Gas/Keyspan,		
RB, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/27 4,355 4,365,452 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, Kernel State Kernel State Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, 5,000 5,023,150 6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 2000t//12 3,530 3,741,694 County/City/Special District—34.4% Xennerst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo Kennerst Development Corp., Series A Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 5,00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1,135 1,235,584 Jon%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5,00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Eric County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1,000 1,081,803 Lity School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5,25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,803 Lity School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5,25%, 5/01/31 1,001 1,081,803 Lity School District of Buffalo Project, Series A: 1,00	Series A, AMT (FGIC), 4.70%, 2/01/24	3,340	3,432,618
Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York, International System Huntington, AMT (AMBAC): 5,000 5,023,150 6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 22,022,324 22,022,324 County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4 4 Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo 5 4,771,323 Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 5 5,00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1,135 1,235,584 5,00%, 12/01/29 1,161,347 Eric County Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5,25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5,00%, 2/15/47 2,049,595 5,049,501	Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York,		
Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington, AMT (AMBAC): 6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 22,022,324 County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% 4 Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo 4,975 Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A 4,975 (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 70% 8/01/35 2,350 2,00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,00%, 12/01/29 1,135 5,00%, 12/01/29 1,135 5,00%, 12/01/36 1,000 City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5,25%, 5/01/31 5,25%, 5/01/31 1,000 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5,00%, 2/15/47 5,00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	RB, Keyspan, Port Jefferson, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/27	4,355	4,365,452
AMT (AMBAC): 6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 5.00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse University Project: 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,00 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency New York,		
6.15%, 10/01/11 5,000 5,023,150 6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 6.25%, 10/01/12 22,022,324 County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo 2 Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A 4,975 4,771,323 (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 2 2 University Project: 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,000 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1,000 1,081,830 File County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	Refunding RB, Ogden Martin System Huntington,		
6.25%, 10/01/12 3,530 3,741,694 22,022,324 Countly/Citly/Special District/School District — 34.4% Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 Citly of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 5.00%, 8/01/35 2,350 Countly of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse University Project: 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 Citly School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150	AMT (AMBAC):		
فالمالية 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	6.15%, 10/01/11	5,000	5,023,150
County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4% Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Bulfalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 2,350 2,489,379 So0%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1 1 University Project: 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 1,000 1,081,830 Fuestor Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	6.25%, 10/01/12	3,530	3,741,694
Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 2,350 2,489,379 Soo%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1 1 University Project: 1 1 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1 1 Sity School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 1 1 S.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2 2,150 2,049,595			22,022,324
Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A (AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40 4,975 4,771,323 City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 2,350 2,489,379 5.00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1 1 University Project: 1 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,100 1,161,347 Frie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1 1 City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 1 1 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2 2,150 2,049,595	County/City/Special District/School District — 34.4%		
(AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/404,9754,771,323City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1,2,3502,489,3795.00%, 8/01/352,3502,489,379County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse11University Project:1,1351,235,5845.00%, 12/01/291,1351,235,5845.00%, 12/01/361,1001,161,347Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB,11City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A,11,0005.25%, 5/01/311,0001,081,830Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A:2,1502,049,595	Amherst Development Corp., RB, University at Buffalo		
City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1, 2,350 2,489,379 5.00%, 8/01/35 2,350 2,489,379 County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse	Foundation Faculty-Student Housing Corp., Series A		
5.00%, 8/01/352,3502,489,3795.00%, 8/01/352,3502,489,379County of Onondaga New York, RB, SyracuseUniversity Project:1,1351,235,5845.00%, 12/01/291,1351,235,5845.00%, 12/01/361,1001,161,347Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB,City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A,1,0001,081,830F.25%, 5/01/311,0001,081,830Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A:2,1502,049,595	(AGM), 4.63%, 10/01/40	4,975	4,771,323
County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse 1 University Project: 1,135 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, 1 1,000 1,161,347 City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 1 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	City of New York, New York, GO, Series A-1,		
University Project: 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/29 1,100 1,161,347 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	5.00%, 8/01/35	2,350	2,489,379
5.00%, 12/01/29 1,135 1,235,584 5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	County of Onondaga New York, RB, Syracuse		
5.00%, 12/01/36 1,100 1,161,347 Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, - - City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, - - 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: - - 5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	University Project:		
Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB,	5.00%, 12/01/29	1,135	1,235,584
City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	5.00%, 12/01/36	1,100	1,161,347
5.25%, 5/01/31 1,000 1,081,830 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 2,150 2,049,595	Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB,		
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A: 5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A,		
5.00%, 2/15/47 2,150 2,049,595	5.25%, 5/01/31	1,000	1,081,830
	Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A:		
(AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/47 8,020 7,885,023	5.00%, 2/15/47	2,150	2,049,595
	(AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/47	8,020	7,885,023

(FGIC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	4,750	4,528,175
(NPFGC), 4.50%, 2/15/47	14,505	12,507,516
New York City Industrial Development Agency, PILOT, RB:		
CAB, Yankee Stadium (AGC), 6.56%, 3/01/39 (b)	1,380	287,206
Queens Baseball Stadium (AGC), 6.38%, 1/01/39	800	847,144
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 1/01/31	3,500	3,180,590
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 1/01/36	12,740	10,945,189
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 1/01/39	4,000	3,385,240
Queens Baseball Stadium (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 1/01/46	3,700	2,970,508
Yankee Stadium (FGIC), 5.00%, 3/01/46	9,500	8,810,585
Yankee Stadium (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3/01/36	2,700	2,593,161
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB:		
Fiscal 2008, Series S-1, 4.50%, 1/15/38	1,510	1,489,268
Fiscal 2009, Series S-1 (AGC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	4,000	4,282,760
Fiscal 2009, Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/39	1,250	1,343,025
Future Tax Secured, Series C (FGIC),		
5.00%, 2/01/33	10,000	10,360,700
Future Tax Secured, Series E (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 2/01/22	2,500	2,673,843
Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 2/01/13	110	111,575
Series S-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 1/15/37	3,750	3,861,863
Series S-2 (NPFGC), 4.25%, 1/15/34	4,830	4,713,887
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Refunding		
RB, Series A (FGIC), 5.00%, 11/15/26	1,000	1,039,000
New York Convention Center Development Corp., RB,		
Hotel Unit Fee Secured (AMBAC):		
5.00%, 11/15/30	2,100	2,138,073
5.00%, 11/15/35	19,150	19,292,859
5.00%, 11/15/44	4,955	4,977,000

	F	Par	
Municipal Bonds	((000)	Value
New York (continued)			

County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)

New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB,

School Districts Financing Program, Series A (AGM),

5.00%, 10/01/35	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,171,450
Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Management Authority		
New York, Refunding RB (AGM), 5.50%, 4/01/13	1,800	1,931,346
Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corp., RB, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 10/15/32	14,175	14,778,713
St. Lawrence County Industrial Development Agency, RB,		
Clarkson University Project:		
6.00%, 9/01/34	300	325,104
5.38%, 9/01/41	125	127,795
Syracuse Industrial Development Agency New York, RB,		
Carousel Center Project, Series A, AMT (Syncora),		
5.00%, 1/01/36	3,100	2,573,310
Tompkins County Industrial Development Agency, RB,		
Civic Facility Cornell University Project, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/37	500	527,725
		152,448,691
Education — 16.3%		
City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer		
Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40	4,050	4,028,819
Madison County Industrial Development Agency		
New York, RB, Colgate University Project, Series A		
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/30	4,000	4,142,080
New York City Industrial Development Agency, RB,		
New York University Project (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/01/41	4,500	4,500,765
New York City Industrial Development Agency,		
Refunding RB, Nightingale-Bamford School (AMBAC),		
5.25%, 1/15/17	1,200	1,261,464
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,		
Fiscal 2009, Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/33	3,000	3,264,750
New York State Dormitory Authority, LRB, State University		
Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,500	1,559,625
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB:		
Convent Sacred Heart (AGM), 5.75%, 11/01/40	1,770	1,895,882
Cornell University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40	1,000	1,068,370
Fordham University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/28	175	185,883
Fordham University, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/36	1,375	1,470,783
General Purpose, Series A, 4.50%, 3/15/35	2,000	2,016,960
Mount Sinai School of Medicine, 5.13%, 7/01/39	1,000	1,015,720
Mount Sinai School of Medicine at NYU (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/35	5,100	5,163,954
The New School (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/43	5,475	5,796,382

New York University, Series 1 (AMBAC),		
5.50%, 7/01/40	3,500	4,006,695
New York University, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 7/01/37	3,000	3,093,090
New York University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/38	2,000	2,072,420
Siena College 5.13%, 7/01/39	1,345	1,364,395
State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/35	4,445	4,652,137
Tompkins County Development Corp., RB, Ithaca College		
Project (AGM):		
5.50%, 7/01/33	500	538,125
5.25%, 7/01/36	700	730,926
Trust for Cultural Resources, RB, Carnegie Hall, Series A:		
4.75%, 12/01/39	3,150	3,130,376
5.00%, 12/01/39	1,850	1,876,085
Trust for Cultural Resources, Refunding RB, American		
Museum of Natural History, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/36	6,300	6,411,069
Westchester County Industrial Development Agency		
New York, RB, Purchase College Foundation Housing,		
Series A (AMBAC), 5.75%, 12/01/31	7,000	7,068,320
		72,315,075

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2011 17

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN) Schedule of Investments (continued)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds New York (continued) Health — 8.5% Dutchess County Industrial Development Agency, RB, Vassar Brothers Medical Center (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/34	(000) \$ 500 3,925	Value \$ 521,015
Health — 8.5% Dutchess County Industrial Development Agency, RB, Vassar Brothers Medical Center (AGC),		\$ 521,015
Dutchess County Industrial Development Agency, RB, Vassar Brothers Medical Center (AGC),		\$ 521,015
RB, Vassar Brothers Medical Center (AGC),		\$ 521,015
		\$ 521,015
5.50%, 4/01/34		\$ 521,015
	3,925	
Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., RB, Unity	3,925	
Hospital of Rochester Project (FHA), 5.50%, 8/15/40		4,239,079
New York City Health & Hospital Corp., Refunding RB,		
Health System, Series A, 5.00%, 2/15/30	1,800	1,843,596
New York City Industrial Development Agency, RB,		
Royal Charter, New York Presbyterian (AGM),		
5.75%, 12/15/29	7,965	8,213,667
New York State Dormitory Authority, MRB, Montefiore		
Hospital (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/33	1,000	1,013,420
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB:		
Healthcare, Series A, 5.00%, 3/15/38	2,250	2,366,730
Hudson Valley Hospital (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	5,500	5,671,105
New York & Presbyterian Hospital (AGM),		
5.25%, 2/15/31	1,500	1,551,330
New York & Presbyterian Hospital (AGM),		
5.00%, 8/15/36	4,000	4,054,680
New York University Hospitals Center, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/36	1,000	949,510
North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System,		
Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/37	1,825	1,855,660
NYU Hospital Center, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/31	2,680	2,790,818
NYU Hospital Center, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/40	1,800	1,867,248
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB,		
St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital (FHA), 4.90%, 8/15/31	1,000	1,007,480
		37,945,338
Housing — 5.3%		
New York City Housing Development Corp., RB, AMT:		
Series A-1-A, 5.00%, 11/01/30	750	731,888
Series A-1-A, 5.45%, 11/01/46	1,335	1,277,435

Series C, 5.00%, 11/01/26	1,250	1,264,437
Series C, 5.05%, 11/01/36	2,000	1,947,060
Series H-1, 4.70%, 11/01/40	1,000	936,970
Series H-2-A, 5.20%, 11/01/35	835	818,058
Series H-2-A, 5.35%, 5/01/41	600	575,856
New York Mortgage Agency, RB, Series 145, AMT,		
5.13%, 10/01/37	1,000	1,004,050
New York Mortgage Agency, Refunding RB:		
Homeowner Mortgage, Series 67, AMT (NPFGC),		
5.70%, 10/01/17	2,140	2,141,969
Homeowner Mortgage, Series 83 (NPFGC),		
5.55%, 10/01/27	2,100	2,101,617
Series 82, AMT (NPFGC), 5.65%, 4/01/30	705	705,338
Series 97, AMT, 5.50%, 4/01/31	780	780,203
Series 133, AMT, 4.95%, 10/01/21	685	700,803
Series 143, AMT, 4.85%, 10/01/27	1,085	1,061,249
Series 143, AMT, 4.90%, 10/01/37	950	926,734
Series 143, AMT (NPFGC), 4.85%, 10/01/27	2,000	2,001,860
New York State HFA, RB, St. Philip's Housing, Series A,		
AMT (Fannie Mae), 4.65%, 11/15/38	1,000	958,670
Yonkers Economic Development Corp., Refunding RB,		
Riverview II (Freddie Mac), 4.50%, 5/01/25	1,500	1,521,435
Yonkers Industrial Development Agency New York,		
RB, Monastery Manor Associates LP Project, AMT		
(SONYMA), 5.25%, 4/01/37	2,000	1,983,220
		23,438,852
State — 10.0%		
New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series C,		
5.00%, 12/15/31	2,320	2,444,166

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
State (concluded)			
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB:			
Master BOCES Program Lease (AGC),			
5.00%, 8/15/28		\$ 250	\$ 267,768
Mental Health Facilities, Series B,			
5.25%, 2/15/14 (c)		1,550	1,726,018
Mental Health Services Facilities Improvement,			

Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 2/15/33	4,500	4,759,605
Mental Health Services Facilities, Series C, AMT		
(AGM), 5.40%, 2/15/33	5,650	5,764,638
School Districts Financing Program, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 10/01/35	450	463,532
School Districts Financing Program, Series C (AGM),		
5.00%, 10/01/37	2,500	2,569,800
School Districts Financing Program, Series D		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/30	1,240	1,250,094
School Districts Financing Program, Series E		
(NPFGC), 5.75%, 10/01/30	6,900	7,183,383
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB,		
Secured Hospital, North General Hospital (Syncora),		
5.75%, 2/15/17	2,000	2,100,420
New York State Thruway Authority, RB:		
Second General, Series B 5.00%, 4/01/27	1,000	1,079,250
Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/01/26	8,700	9,370,161
New York State Urban Development Corp., RB (NPFGC):		
Personal Income Tax, Series C-1,		
5.00%, 3/15/13 (c)	3,000	3,215,070
State Personal Income Tax, State Facilities,		
Series A-1, 5.00%, 3/15/29	2,000	2,114,540
		44,308,445
Tobacco — 4.4%		
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp. New York, RB,		
Asset-Backed, Series A-1 (AMBAC):		
5.25%, 6/01/20	5,000	5,319,850
5.25%, 6/01/21	13,275	14,117,033
		19,436,883
Transportation — 23.3%		
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB:		
(AGC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	7,370	7,245,963
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 2/15/47	305	299,867
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB, Series 2008C,		
6.50%, 11/15/28	6,015	7,050,542
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB:		
Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/29	4,000	4,097,640
Series A (FGIC), 5.25%, 11/15/31	2,500	2,521,875
Series B, 5.00%, 11/15/34	2,500	2,610,975
Series C (AGM), 4.75%, 7/01/12 (c)	2,535	2,630,975
Transportation, Series F (NPFGC),		

5.25%, 11/15/12 (c)	6,300	6,679,323
New York State Thruway Authority, RB:		
Series F (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/30	5,000	5,239,250
Series G (AGM), 4.75%, 1/01/29	1,250	1,300,988
Series G (AGM), 4.75%, 1/01/30	1,030	1,067,523
Series G (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/32	3,450	3,592,451
Niagara Falls Bridge Commission, Refunding RB,		
Bridge System, Series A (AGC), 4.00%, 10/01/19	1,600	1,723,584
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB:		
Consolidated, 124th Series, AMT, 5.00%, 8/01/36	750	750,098
Consolidated, 160th Series, 4.13%, 9/15/32	2,310	2,254,352
Consolidated, 163rd Series, 5.00%, 7/15/35	2,500	2,650,550
Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal,		
Series 6 (NPFGC), 5.75%, 12/01/22	26,725	26,426,214
Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal,		
Series 6, AMT (NPFGC), 6.25%, 12/01/11	3,000	3,024,750
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

18 ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN) Schedule of Investments (continued)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New York (concluded)		
Transportation (concluded)		
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB (concluded):		
Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal,		
Series 6, AMT (NPFGC), 6.25%, 12/01/15	\$ 7,830	\$ 8,329,162
Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal,		
Series 6, AMT (NPFGC), 5.90%, 12/01/17	4,000	4,003,760
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB:		
Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 11/15/30	6,000	6,231,060
Subordinate Bonds (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/15/28	1,965	2,036,683
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, Refunding RB,		
Series C, 5.00%, 11/15/38	1,385	1,447,934
		103,215,519
Utilities — 11.3%		
Long Island Power Authority, RB, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 9/01/29	3,000	3,058,530
Long Island Power Authority, Refunding RB:		
General, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 5/01/33	1,500	1,680,540
General, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/35	3,500	3,588,900
Series A (AGC), 5.75%, 4/01/39	1,000	1,093,620
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB:		
2nd General Resolution, Series FF, 5.00%, 6/15/31	1,500	1,603,260
2nd General Resolution, Series HH, 5.00%, 6/15/32	7,850	8,401,384
Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/36	3,500	3,617,040
Series DD (AGM), 4.50%, 6/15/39	2,500	2,508,550
Series DD, 5.00%, 6/15/32	5,750	6,067,572
Series G (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/34	6,475	6,479,662
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
2nd General Resolution, Fiscal 2011, Series BB,		
5.00%, 6/15/31	1,000	1,068,840
Series A (AGM), 4.25%, 6/15/39	1,700	1,667,258
New York State Environmental Facilities Corp., RB:		
Long Island Water Corp. Project, Series A, AMT		

(NPFGC), 4.90%, 10/01/34		6,000	5,765,640
Revolving Funds, New York City Municipal Water,			
Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/36		3,200	3,398,272
			49,999,068
Total Municipal Bonds in New York			525,130,195
Guam — 1.3%			
Transportation — 1.1%			
Guam International Airport Authority, Refunding RB,			
General, Series C, AMT (NPFGC):			
5.25%, 10/01/21		3,700	3,703,885
5.25%, 10/01/22		1,050	1,050,913
			4,754,798
Utilities — 0.2%			
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM),			
5.00%, 10/01/37		1,175	1,193,824
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam			5,948,622
Puerto Rico — 15.3%			
Housing — 0.6%			
Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB,			
Subordinate, Capital Fund Modernization,			
5.13%, 12/01/27		2,500	2,587,750
State — 6.8%			
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, GO, Refunding (NPFGC):			
Public Improvement, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/20		1,970	2,154,215
Sub-Series C-7, 6.00%, 7/01/27		2,000	2,096,720
Sub-Series C-7, 6.00%, 7/01/28		4,000	4,151,480
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Puerto Rico (concluded)			
State (concluded)			
Puerto Rico Commonwealth Infrastructure Financing			
Authority, RB, CAB, Series A (b):			
(AMBAC) 4.66%, 7/01/34		\$ 9,300	\$ 1,912,080
(AMBAC) 4.67%, 7/01/37		2,200	354,838
(FGIC) 4.62%, 7/01/31		10,280	2,744,246
(FGIC) 4.66%, 7/01/33		5,500	1,235,135
Puerto Rico Convention Center Authority, RB, Series A			
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/31		3,270	3,047,673
Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority,			

Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/31	1,855	1,942,018
Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Refunding RB,	1,000	1,012,010
Government Facilities, Series M-3 (NPFGC),		
6.00%, 7/01/28	2,500	2,594,675
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First	2,000	2,001,070
Sub-Series A:		
5.63%, 8/01/30	1,000	1,039,810
5.75%, 8/01/37	3,000	3,103,860
(AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/40	1,905	1,921,859
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., Refunding RB,	1,000	1,521,000
CAB, Series A (NPFGC) (b):		
5.76%, 8/01/41	11,000	1,685,310
5.98%, 8/01/43	2,500	333,000
3.30 %, 0/01/43	2,500	30,316,919
Transportation — 6.8%		30,310,919
Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, RB:	E 0.0E	E 700 700
Series Y (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/21 (a)	5,025	5,780,760
Subordinate (FGIC), 5.25%, 7/01/17	4,800	4,964,544
Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority,		
Refunding RB:	6.950	6 400 000
Series AA-1 (AGM), 4.95%, 7/01/26	6,350	6,490,906
Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/29	2,145	2,291,418
Series D, 5.75%, 7/01/12 (c)	10,000	10,452,300
		29,979,928
Puerto Rico Aqueduct & Sewer Authority, RB, Senior Lien,		
Series A (AGC), 5.13%, 7/01/47	1,800	1,823,148
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, RB:		
Series NN, 5.13%, 7/01/13 (c)	940	1,022,673
Series RR (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/01/24	1,000	1,028,000
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Refunding RB,		
Series VV (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/30	1,000	1,009,480
		4,883,301
Total Municipal Bonds in Puerto Rico		67,767,898
Total Municipal Bonds — 135.1%		598,846,715
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (d)		
New York — 31.6%		
County/City/Special District/School District — 7.8%		
City of New York, New York, GO:		
Series J, 5.00%, 5/15/23	6,800	7,370,044

Sub-Series C-3 (AGC), 5.75%, 8/15/28	10,000	11,499,100
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, State University		
Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/29	5,000	5,448,050
Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corp., RB, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00% 10/15/32	9,500	10,156,545
		34,473,739
Education — 1.3%		
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, New York		
University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/38	5,498	5,697,587
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
ANNUAL REPORT AUGUST 31, 2011 19		

BlackRock MuniHoldings New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MHN) Schedule of Investments (concluded)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (d)	(000)	Value
New York (concluded)		
State — 1.2%		
New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B,		
5.75%, 3/15/36	\$ 5,00	0 \$ 5,599,050
Transportation — 19.7%		
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB, Series A		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/15/31	7,00	2 7,351,043
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB,		
Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 11/15/30	5,01	0 5,050,431
5.75%, 11/15/32	29,00	0 30,169,280
New York State Thruway Authority, RB, Series G (AGM),		
5.00%, 1/01/32	12,00	0 12,495,480
New York State Thruway Authority, Refunding RB,		
Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/37	8,50	0 8,783,475
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB,		
Consolidated, 37th Series, AMT (AGM),		
5.13%, 7/15/30	2,50	0 2,566,250
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, Refunding		
RB (NPFGC):		
5.25%, 11/15/23	12,00	0 12,550,560
5.00%, 11/15/32	8,30	9 8,441,514
		87,408,033
Utilities — 1.6%		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB:		
Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40	4,00	4 4,459,602
Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	2,39	9 2,624,623
		7,084,225
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts — 31.6%		140,262,634
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost — \$727,236,398) — 166.7%		739,109,349
Short-Term Securities		
New York — 0.1%		

City of New York, New York, GO, VRDN, Sub-Series A-6

(AGM Insurance, Dexia Credit Local SBPA),

Money Fund

8,738,117

(AGM Insurance, Dexia Credit Local	I SBPA),		075	275.000
1.50%, 11/01/26 (e)		c	375 Shares	375,000
Money Market Fund — 2.9%			Jilaies	
BIF New York Municipal Money Fun	nd 0.00% (f)(a)		12,792,001	12,792,001
Total Short-Term Securities	u, 0.0078 (I)(g)		12,752,001	12,732,001
(Cost — \$13,167,001) — 3.0%				13,167,001
Total Investments (Cost — \$740,403	3 399*) — 169 7%			752,276,350
Other Assets Less Liabilities — 1.49				6,413,821
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, In				0,410,021
Expense and Fees Payable — (16.2	-			(71,765,179)
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value				(243,600,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common S	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			\$443,324,992
Net Assets Applicable to Common 3	mares — 100.0 %			\$ 440,024,992
* The cost and unrealized appreciation				
August 31, 2011, as computed for fe	deral income tax purposes, w	vere as follows:		
Aggregate cost				\$ 669,261,286
Gross unrealized appreciation				\$ 25,471,869
Gross unrealized depreciation				(14,169,405)
Net unrealized appreciation				\$ 11,302,464
	an la casa di ana anta da ta			
(a) Variable rate security. Rate show(b) Represents a zero-coupon bond.		ent vield as of		
report date.				
(c) US government securities, held ir	n escrow, are used to pay inte	erest on this security as		
well as to retire the bond in full at the				
(d) Securities represent bonds transf	-			
acquired residual interest certificates financing transaction. See Note 1 of				
of municipal bonds transferred to TO				
(e) Variable rate security. Rate show	In is as of report date and mai	turity shown is the		
date the principal owed can be recov	-			
 (f) Investments in companies consider year, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) 		•		
as amended, were as follows:	or are investment company i			
Chr	ares Held		Shares Held	
	August 31,	Net	at August 31,	
Affiliate 201	-	Activity	2011	Income
BIF New York Municipal		nouvity	2011	Income

4,053,884

12,792,001

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

•For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by Trust management. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

•Fair Value Measurements — Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. These inputs are categorized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

•Level 1 — price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

•Level 2 — other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

•Level 3 — unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust's own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The categorization of a value determined for investments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and does not necessarily correspond to the Trust's perceived risk of investing in those securities. For information about the Trust's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please