NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUND INC Form N-CSR January 08, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-05120

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

> Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2014

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

NUVEEN INVESTMENTS ACQUIRED BY TIAA-CREF

On October 1, 2014, TIAA-CREF completed its previously announced acquisition of Nuveen Investments, Inc., the parent company of your fund's investment adviser, Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC ("NFAL") and the Nuveen affiliates that act as sub-advisers to the majority of the Nuveen Funds. TIAA-CREF is a national financial services organization with approximately \$840 billion in assets under management as of October 1, 2014 and is a leading provider of retirement services in the academic, research, medical and cultural fields. Nuveen expects to operate as a separate subsidiary within TIAA-CREF's asset management business. Nuveen's existing leadership and key investment teams have remained in place following the transaction.

NFAL and your fund's sub-adviser(s) continue to manage your fund according to the same objectives and policies as before, and there have been no changes to your fund's operations.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Managers' Comments	5
Fund Leverage	10
Share Information	11
Risk Considerations	13
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries	14
Shareholder Meeting Report	22
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	23
Portfolios of Investments	24
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	64
Statement of Operations	65
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	66
Financial Highlights	68
Notes to Financial Statements	72
Additional Fund Information	84
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	85
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	87
Board Members & Officers	88

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

Over the past year, global financial markets were generally strong as stocks of many countries rose due to strengthening economies and abundant central bank support. A low and stable interest rate environment allowed the bond market to generate modest but positive returns.

More recently, markets have been less certain as economic growth is strengthening in some parts of the world, but in other areas recovery has been slow or uneven at best. Despite increasing market volatility, geopolitical turmoil and concerns over rising rates, better-than-expected earnings results and economic data have supported U.S. stocks. Europe continues to face challenges as disappointing growth and inflation measures led the European Central Bank to further cut interest rates. Japan is suffering from the burden of the recent consumption tax as the government's structural reforms continue to steadily progress. Flare-ups in hotspots, such as the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict and Middle East, have not yet been able to derail the markets, though that remains a possibility. With all the challenges facing the markets, accommodative monetary policy around the world has helped lessen the impact of these events.

It is in such changeable markets that professional investment management is most important. Investment teams who have experienced challenging markets in the past understand how their asset class can behave in rapidly changing times. Remaining committed to their investment disciplines during these times is a critical component to achieving long-term success. In fact, many strong investment track records are established during challenging periods because experienced investment teams understand that volatile markets place a premium on companies and investment ideas that can weather the short-term volatility. By maintaining appropriate time horizons, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams, we believe that investors can achieve their long-term investment objectives.

As always, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board December 22, 2014

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. (NUV) Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund (NUW) Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NMI) Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (NEV)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments, Inc. Portfolio managers Thomas C. Spalding, CFA, Christopher L. Drahn, CFA, and Steven M. Hlavin discuss U.S. economic and municipal market conditions, key investment strategies and the twelve-month performance of these four national Funds. Tom has managed NUV since its inception in 1987, adding NUW at its inception in 2009. Chris assumed portfolio management responsibility for NMI in 2011. Steve has been involved in the management of NEV since its inception in 2009, taking on full portfolio management responsibility in 2010.

What factors affected the U.S. economy and the national municipal market during the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2014?

During this reporting period, the U.S. economy continued to expand at a moderate pace. The Federal Reserve (Fed) maintained efforts to bolster growth and promote progress toward its mandates of maximum employment and price stability by holding the benchmark fed funds rate at the record low level of zero to 0.25% that it established in December 2008. At its October 2014 meeting, the Fed announced that it would end its bond-buying stimulus program as of November 1, 2014, after tapering its monthly asset purchases of mortgage-backed and longer-term Treasury securities from the original \$85 billion per month to \$15 billion per month over the course of seven consecutive meetings (December 2013 through September 2014). In making the announcement, the Fed cited substantial improvement in the outlook for the labor market since the inception of the current asset purchase program as well as sufficient underlying strength in the broader economy to support ongoing progress toward maximum employment in a context of price stability. The Fed also reiterated that it would continue to look at a wide range of factors, including labor market conditions, indicators of inflationary pressures and readings on financial developments, in determining future actions, saying that it would likely maintain the current target range for the fed funds rate for a considerable time after the end of the asset purchase program, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the Fed's 2% longer-run goal. However, if economic data shows faster progress toward the Fed's employment and inflation objectives than currently anticipated, the Fed indicated that the first increase in the fed funds rate since 2006 could occur sooner than expected.

In the third quarter of 2014, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at a 3.9% annual rate, compared with -2.1% in the first quarter of 2014 and 4.6% in the second quarter. Third-quarter growth was attributed in part to expanded business investment in equipment and a major increase in military spending. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 1.7%

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch) Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and

BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

year-over-year as of October 2014, while the core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.8% during the same period, below the Fed's unofficial longer term inflation objective of 2.0%. As of October 2014, the national unemployment rate was 5.8%, the lowest level since July 2008, down from the 7.2% reported in October 2013, marking the ninth consecutive month in which the economy saw the addition of more than 200,000 new jobs. The housing market continued to post gains, although price growth has shown signs of deceleration in recent months. The average home price in the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas rose 4.9% for the twelve months ended September 2014 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), putting home prices at fall 2004 levels, although they continued to be down 15%-17% from their mid-2006 peaks.

During the first two months of this reporting period, the financial markets remained unsettled in the aftermath of widespread uncertainty about the future of the Fed's quantitative easing program. Also contributing to investor concern was Congress's failure to reach an agreement on the Fiscal 2014 federal budget, which triggered sequestration, or automatic spending cuts and a 16-day federal government shutdown in October 2013. This sequence of events sparked increased volatility in the financial markets, with the Treasury market trading off, the municipal market following suit and spreads widening as investor concern grew, prompting selling by bondholders across the fixed income markets.

As we turned the page to calendar year 2014, the market environment stabilized, as the Fed's policies continued to be accommodative and some degree of political consensus was reached. The Treasury market rallied and municipal bonds rebounded, with flows into municipal bond funds increasing, while supply continued to drop. This supply/demand dynamic served as a key driver of municipal market performance for the period. The resultant rally in municipal bonds generally produced positive total returns for the reporting period as a whole. Overall, municipal credit fundamentals continued to improve, as state governments made good progress in dealing with budget issues. Due to strong growth in personal income tax and sales tax collections, year-over-year totals for state tax revenues had increased for 16 consecutive quarters as of the second quarter of 2014, while on the expense side, many states made headway in cutting and controlling costs, with the majority implementing some type of pension reform. The current level of municipal issuance reflects the more conservative approach to state budgeting. For the twelve months ended October 31, 2014, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$319.7 billion, down 4.6% from the issuance for the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2013.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2014?

During this reporting period, we saw the municipal market environment shift from the volatility of late 2013 to a rally driven by strong demand and tight supply and reinforced by an environment of improving fundamentals in 2014. For the reporting period as a whole, municipal bond prices generally rose, as interest rates declined and the yield curve flattened. We continued to take a bottom-up approach to identifying sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helped us keep the Funds fully invested.

During the first two months of this reporting period, we primarily focused on strategies that enabled us to take advantage of the higher coupons and attractive prices resulting from a pattern of outflows, predominately from high yield funds. This presented us with opportunities to add lower rated credits and bonds with longer maturities to the Funds in the secondary market. In general, municipal supply nationally remained tight throughout this reporting period, although issuance improved during the second half of this twelve-month reporting period compared with the first half. However, much of this increase was attributable to refunding activity as bond issuers, prompted by low interest rates, sought to lower debt service costs by retiring older bonds from proceeds of lower cost new bond issues. During the third quarter of 2014, for example, we saw current refunding activity increase by more than 64%

nationwide and estimates are that these refundings accounted for 35% of issuance during the first nine months of 2014. These refunding bonds do not represent an actual net increase in issuance because they are mostly replacing outstanding issues that were called soon thereafter. As a result, it remained challenging to source attractive bonds that would enhance the Funds' holdings. Much of our investment activity focus during this reporting period was on reinvesting the cash generated by current calls into credit-sensitive sectors and longer maturity bonds that could help us offset the decline in rates and maintain investment performance potential. These Funds were well positioned coming into the reporting period, so we could be selective in looking for opportunities to purchase bonds that added value.

In general, NUV and NUW continued to find value in sectors that represent some of our larger exposures, including transportation (e.g., tollroads, highways, bridges) and health care. Among our additions in the transportation sector were tollroad revenue bonds issued for Route 460 in Virginia and a new issue from the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency in Orange County, California, the largest tollroad network in the western U.S. In October 2014, the agency announced that it would restructure its debt through a tender offer for existing tollroad bonds at above-market prices, followed by the issuance of new bonds at the lower interest rates available in the current market. This allowed the agency to reduce debt service costs, improve cashflows and increase financial flexibility. In our view, the agency's debt restructuring resulted in an improved credit outlook for these bonds and we participated in the tender offer and also added the new San Joaquin credits to our portfolios.

NMI also found value in the transportation and health care sectors, adding the San Joaquin issue described above as well as bonds issued for Lake Regional Health System in Missouri. Much of our focus was on reinvesting proceeds from bond calls into credits that would help us maintain NMI's duration within its target range.

In NEV, we believed the Fund was well positioned at the start of the reporting period in a way that would enable it to harvest the potential for excess return in an environment where yields declined, the yield curve flattened and credit spreads contracted. As this environment unfolded during 2014, NEV benefited significantly from the credits and positions already in place in the Fund when the reporting period began. As a result, activity was relatively light during this reporting period and purchases generally were opportunistic. To further benefit from the current market environment, we continued to purchase bonds with maturities of 20 years or longer that would extend NEV's duration and increase our exposure to the longer end of the curve. In addition, we emphasized lower rated, investment grade bonds that would perform well as credit spreads contracted. Overall, our focus was on consistent maintenance of the Fund's current positioning.

Also during this reporting period, S&P upgraded its credit rating on National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. (NPFG), the insurance subsidiary of MBIA, to AA- from A, citing NPFG's strong operating performance and competitive position in the financial guarantee market. As a result, the ratings on the Funds' holdings of bonds backed by insurance from NPFG, and not already rated at least AA-due to higher underlying borrower ratings, were similarly upgraded to AA- as of mid-March 2014. This action produced an increase in the percentage of our portfolios held in the AA credit quality category (and a corresponding decrease in the A category), improving the overall credit rating of the Funds. During this reporting period, S&P also upgraded its rating on Assured Guaranty Municipal (AGM) as well as AGM's municipal-only insurer Municipal Assurance Corp. to AA from AA-.

Cash for purchases was generated primarily by proceeds from called and matured bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. As previously mentioned, the decline in municipal yields and the flattening of the municipal yield curve relative to the Treasury curve helped to make refunding deals more attractive. The increase in this activity provided ample cash for purchases and drove much of our trading for the reporting period. In addition, the Funds continued to trim their holdings of Puerto Rico paper.

As of October 31, 2014, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement. As part of our duration management strategies, NEV also invested in forward interest rates swaps to help reduce price volatility risk to movements in U.S. interest rates relative to the Fund's benchmark. During the reporting period, NEV found it advantageous to add a new inverse floating rate trust as of January 2014 and to rebalance the Fund's position in forward interest rate swaps at the end of April 2014. These swaps had a mildly negative impact on performance.

How did the Funds perform during the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2014?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the one-year, five-year, ten-year and since inception periods ended October 31, 2014. Each Fund's total returns at net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

For the twelve months ended October 31, 2014, the total returns at NAV for all four of these Funds exceeded the return for the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. NUV, NUW and NMI outperformed the average return for the Lipper General and Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while NEV surpassed the Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average return.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns included duration and yield curve positioning, the use of derivatives in NEV, credit exposure and sector allocation. Keeping the Funds fully invested throughout the reporting period also was beneficial for performance. In addition, NEV's use of leverage was an important positive factor in its performance during this reporting period. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in the Fund Leverage section of this report.

Given the combination of declining interest rates and a flattening yield curve during this reporting period, municipal bonds with longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. Overall, credits with maturities of 15 years or more, especially those at the longest end of the municipal yield curve, outperformed the general municipal market, while bonds at the shortest end of the curve produced the weakest results. In general, the Funds' durations and yield curve positioning were positive for performance during this reporting period. Consistent with our long term strategy, these Funds tended to have longer durations than the municipal market in general, with overweightings in the longer parts of the yield curve that performed well and underweightings in the underperforming shorter end of the curve. This was especially true in NEV, where greater sensitivity to changes in interest rates benefited its performance. NUW had the shortest duration among these Funds, which slightly diminished its positive contribution from duration. Overall, duration and yield curve positioning was the major driver of performance and differences in positioning accounted for much of the differences in performance.

While NEV's performance was boosted by its longer duration, this Fund also used forward interest rate swaps to moderate interest rate risk, as previously described. Because the swaps limited NEV's duration, they detracted somewhat from the Fund's total return performance, but were offset to a large degree by NEV's overall duration and yield curve positioning.

During this reporting period, lower rated bonds, that is, bonds rated A or lower, generally outperformed higher quality bonds, as the municipal market rally continued and investors became more willing to accept risk in their search for yield in the current low rate environment. While their longer average durations provided an advantage for lower rated bonds, these bonds also generally had stronger duration-adjusted results. These Funds tended to have overweights in bonds rated A and BBB and underweights in the AAA and AA categories relative to their benchmarks and credit exposure was generally positive for their performance during this period. In particular, NMI benefited from having the largest overweight in bonds rated BBB, while NEV was helped by its heavier allocation to subinvestment grade bonds as credit spreads contracted. As with duration, differences in credit allocation accounted for some of the differences in performance.

Among the municipal market sectors, health care, industrial development revenue (IDR) and transportation (especially tollroads) bonds generally were the top performers, with water and sewer, education and housing credits also outperforming the general municipal market. The outperformance of the health care sector can be attributed in part to the recent scarcity of these bonds, with issuance in this sector declining 31% during the first nine months of 2014, while the performance of tollroad bonds was boosted by improved traffic and revenue from increased rates. Each of these Funds had strong or targeted exposures to the health care and transportation sectors, which benefited their performance. NEV also benefited from its overweights in IDRs and land-secured credits, including redevelopment agency (RDA) bonds in California and community development district (CDD) issues in Florida. During this reporting period, lower rated tobacco credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement experienced

some volatility, but finished the reporting period ahead of the national municipal market as a whole. The performance of these bonds was helped by their longer effective durations, lower credit quality and the broader demand for higher yields. In addition, several tobacco bond issues were strengthened following the favorable resolution of a dispute over payments by tobacco companies. All of these Funds were overweighted in tobacco bonds.

In contrast, pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, were among the poorest performing market segments. The underperformance of these bonds relative to the market can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. All of these Funds had holdings of pre-refunded bonds, with NUV having the heaviest allocation of these bonds as of October 31, 2014. In addition, general obligation (GO) credits generally trailed the revenue sectors as well as the municipal market as a whole, although by a substantially smaller margin than the pre-refunded category. Some of the GOs' underperformance can be attributed to their higher quality. These Funds tended to be underweighted in GOs.

We continued to monitor two situations in the broader municipal market for any impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the ongoing economic problems of Puerto Rico and the City of Detroit's bankruptcy case. In terms of Puerto Rico holdings, shareholders should note that the Funds in this report had very limited exposure to Puerto Rico debt, with NUW and NMI selling the last of their Puerto Rico holdings during this reporting period. These territorial bonds were originally added to our portfolios to keep assets fully invested and working for the Funds as well as to enhance diversity, duration and credit. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from most federal, state and local taxes). However, Puerto Rico's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations and long-standing inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past two years. Following the latest rating reduction by Moody's in July 2014, Puerto Rico general obligation debt was rated B2/BB+/BB (below investment grade) by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, with negative outlooks. In late June 2014, Puerto Rico approved new legislation creating a judicial framework and formal process that would allow several of the commonwealth's public corporations to restructure their public debt. As of October 2014, the Nuveen complex held \$69.8 million in bonds backed by public corporations in Puerto Rico that could be restructured under this legislation, representing less than 0.1% of our municipal assets under management. In light of the evolving economic situation in Puerto Rico, Nuveen's credit analysis of the commonwealth had previously considered the possibility of a default and restructuring of public corporations and we adjusted our portfolios to prepare for such an outcome, although no such default or restructuring has occurred to date. The Nuveen complex's entire exposure to obligations of the government of Puerto Rico and other Puerto Rico issuers totaled 0.35% of assets under management as of October 31, 2014. As of October 31, 2014, NUV's and NEV's exposure to Puerto Rico generally was invested in bonds that were insured (which we believe adds value), pre-refunded (and therefore backed by securities such as U.S. Treasuries) or unrelated to the government of Puerto Rico. Due to credit selection, NEV's Puerto Rico holdings performed very well during this reporting period. However, the small size of our exposures meant that these holdings had a negligible impact on performance.

The second situation that we continued to monitor was the City of Detroit's filing for Chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court in July 2013. Burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration. Detroit had been under severe financial stress for an extended period prior to the filing. Before Detroit could exit bankruptcy, issues surrounding the city's complex debt portfolio, numerous union contracts, significant legal questions and more than 100,000 creditors had to be resolved. By October 2014, all of the major creditors had reached an agreement on the city's plan to restructure its \$18.5 billion of debt and emerge from bankruptcy and on November 7, 2014 (subsequent to the close of this reporting period). The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the city's bankruptcy exit plan, thereby erasing approximately \$7 billion in debt. The settlement plan also provided for \$1.7 billion to be reinvested in the city for improved public safety, blight removal and upgraded basic services. All of these Funds had exposure to Detroit-related bonds, including Detroit water and sewer credits. In August 2014, Detroit announced a tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds, aimed at replacing some of the \$5.2 billion of existing debt with lower cost bonds. (Not all of the Detroit water and sewer bonds were eligible for the tender offer, e.g., NEV's holding of insured water and sewer bonds are callable at par in December 2014 and were not included in the offer.) Approximately \$1.5 billion in existing water and sewer bonds were returned to the city by investors under the tender offer, which enabled Detroit to issue \$1.8 billion in new water and sewer bonds, resulting in savings of \$250 million over the life of the bonds. The city also raised about \$150 million to finance sewer system improvements. As part of the deal, Detroit water and sewer bonds were permanently removed from the city's

bankruptcy case. NUV and NUW participated in the tender offer for existing Detroit water and sewer bonds and also purchased the new water and sewer bonds. In general, Detroit water and sewer credits rallied following these positive developments.

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of NEV relative to its comparative benchmark was the Fund's use of leverage through its investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. This was also a factor, although less significantly, for NUV, NUW and NMI because their use of leverage is more modest. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage made a modest positive contribution to the performance of NUW, NMI and NEV over this reporting period.

As of October 31, 2014, the Funds' percentages of leverage are as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUV	NUW	NMI	NEV
Effective Leverage*	2.02%	7.08%	8.91%	32.82%

* Effective Leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values.

Share Information

DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION

The following information regarding the Funds' distributions is current as of October 31, 2014. Each Fund's distribution levels may vary over time based on each Fund's investment activity and portfolio investment value changes.

During the current reporting period, each Fund's monthly distributions to shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

	Per Share Amounts						
Ex-Dividend Date		NUV		NUW	NMI		NEV
November 2013	\$	0.0370	\$	0.0670	\$ 0.0475	\$	0.0800
December		0.0370		0.0670	0.0475		0.0800
January		0.0370		0.0670	0.0475		0.0800
February		0.0370		0.0670	0.0475		0.0800
March		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
April		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
May		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
June		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
July		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
August		0.0360		0.0670	0.0450		0.0800
September		0.0345		0.0670	0.0425		0.0800
October 2014		0.0345		0.0670	0.0425		0.0800
Long-Term Capital Gain*	\$		- \$	0.0887	\$ 	\$	
Ordinary Income Distribution*	\$	0.0049	\$	0.0034	\$ 0.0051	\$	0.0010
Market Yield**		4.30%		4.76%	4.51%		6.44%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield**		5.96%		6.61%	6.26%		8.94%

* Distribution paid in December 2013.

**

Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

Each Fund in this report seeks to pay regular monthly dividends out of its net investment income at a rate that reflects its past and projected net income performance. To permit each Fund to maintain a more stable monthly dividend, the Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it will hold the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's net asset value. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid in dividends more than it has earned, the excess will constitute a negative UNII that will likewise be reflected in the Fund's net asset value. Each Fund will, over time, pay all its net investment income as dividends to shareholders.

As of October 31, 2014, all the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

All monthly dividends paid by the Funds during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2014 were paid from net investment income. If a portion of a Fund's monthly distributions was sourced from or comprised of elements other than net investment income, including

Share Information (continued)

capital gains and/or a return of capital, the Funds' shareholders would have received a notice to that effect. The composition and per share amounts of each Fund's monthly dividends for the reporting period are presented in the Statement of Changes in Net Assets and Financial Highlights, respectively (for reporting purposes) and in Note 6 - Income Tax Information within the accompany Notes to Financial Statements (for income tax purposes), later in this report.

EQUITY SHELF PROGRAMS

During the reporting period, the following Funds were authorized to issue additional shares through their ongoing equity shelf programs. Under these programs, each Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above each Fund's NAV per share. Under the equity shelf programs, the Funds are authorized to issue the following number of additional shares:

	NUV	NUW	NEV
Additional Shares Authorized	19,600,000	1,200,000	1,900,000

During the current reporting period the Funds did not sell shares through their equity shelf programs.

As of February 28, 2014, NUV's and NUW's shelf offering registration statements are no longer effective. Therefore, the Funds may not issue additional shares under their equity shelf programs until a new registration statement is effective.

SHARE REPURCHASES

During August 2014, the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees reauthorized an open-market share repurchase program, allowing each Fund to repurchase an aggregate of up to approximately 10% of its outstanding shares.

As of October 31, 2014, and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired their outstanding shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUV	NUW	NMI	NEV
Shares Cumulatively Repurchased and Retired	0	0	0	0
Shares Authorized for Repurchase	20,565,000	1,320,000	830,000	2,110,000

OTHER SHARE INFORMATION

As of October 31, 2014, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' share prices were trading at a premium/(discount) to their NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	NUV	NUW	NMI	NEV
NAV	\$ 10.21 \$	17.19 \$	11.52 \$	15.69
Share Price	\$ 9.64 \$	16.89 \$	11.30 \$	14.91
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(5.58)%	(1.75)%	(1.91)%	(4.97)%
12-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(4.99)%	(3.96)%	(1.76)%	(4.53)%

Risk Considerations

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Market and Price Risk. An investment in shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Funds, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful. Certain aspects of the recently adopted Volcker Rule may limit the availability of tender option bonds, which are used by the Funds for leveraging and duration management purposes. The effects of this new Rule, expected to take effect in mid-2015, may make it more difficult for a Fund to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Fund to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds may invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Derivatives Strategy Risk: Derivative securities, such as calls, puts, warrants, swaps and forwards, carry risks different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with the underlying investments.

Municipal Bond Market Liquidity Risk. Inventories of municipal bonds held by brokers and dealers have decreased in recent years, lessening their ability to make a market in these securities. This reduction in market making capacity has the potential to decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds, and increase bond price volatility and trading costs, particularly during periods of economic or market stress. In addition, recent federal banking regulations may cause certain dealers to reduce their inventories of municipal bonds, which may further decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds. As a result, the Fund may be forced to accept a lower price to sell a security, to sell other securities to raise cash, or to give up an investment opportunity, any of which could have a negative effect on performance. If the Fund

needed to sell large blocks of bonds, those sales could further reduce the bonds' prices and hurt performance.

NUV

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of October 31, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of October 31, 2014

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NUV at NAV	11.04%	6.47%	5.17%
NUV at Share Price	11.54%	4.46%	5.50%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	7.94%	5.45%	4.74%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification	10.77%	6.13%	4.89%
Average			

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Municipal Bonds	98.9%
Common Stocks	0.3%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Floating Rate Obligations	(0.9)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.7%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	14.1%
AA	46.2%
A	18.3%
BBB	9.9%
BB or Lower	8.6%
N/R (not rated)	2.6%
N/A (not applicable)	0.3%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	20.5%
Health Care	19.1%
Transportation	15.5%
Tax Obligation/General	13.7%
Consumer Staples	7.0%
U.S. Guaranteed	6.4%
Utilities	5.6%
Other	12.2%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
Illinois	15.0%
California	13.9%
Texas	12.8%
Florida	5.7%
Colorado	5.1%
New York	4.5%
Michigan	4.4%
Ohio	3.8%
Virginia	3.4%

Wisconsin	3.0%
Indiana	2.4%
Washington	2.3%
New Jersey	2.1%
Louisiana	1.9%
Other	19.7%

NUW

Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of October 31, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of October 31, 2014

	Average Annual		
			Since
	1-Year	5-Year I	nception1
NUW at NAV	10.95%	6.64%	8.77%
NUW at Share Price	17.27%	6.83%	7.65%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	7.94%	5.45%	6.22%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification	10.77%	6.13%	8.33%
Average			

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

1 Since inception returns are from 2/25/09.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Municipal Bonds	101.6%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.1)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.5%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	8.0%
AA	38.0%
A	28.1%
BBB	17.8%
BB or Lower	6.8%
N/R (not rated)	1.3%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	22.0%
Tax Obligation/Limited	18.4%
Transportation	14.4%
Tax Obligation/General	12.9%
Utilities	9.3%
Consumer Staples	7.2%
Water and Sewer	4.2%
U.S. Guaranteed	3.9%
Other	7.7%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
Illinois	12.2%
California	9.9%
Florida	8.8%
Indiana	7.4%
Louisiana	7.3%
Ohio	6.2%
Colorado	6.2%
Wisconsin	5.9%
Texas	5.8%
Michigan	4.1%
Nevada	3.7%

Arizona	3.5%
Other	19.0%

NMI

Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of October 31, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of October 31, 2014

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NMI at NAV	12.06%	7.50%	5.87%
NMI at Share Price	17.55%	6.56%	6.44%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	7.94%	5.45%	4.74%
Lipper General & Insured Unleveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification	10.77%	6.13%	4.89%
Average			

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	101.3%
Short-Term Municipal Bonds	1.1%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.5)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.1%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	6.5%
AA	30.3%
A	28.0%
BBB	23.3%
BB or Lower	6.0%
N/R (not rated)	5.9%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	21.8%
Tax Obligation/Limited	13.4%
Tax Obligation/General	12.5%
Education and Civic Organizations	12.1%
Utilities	10.9%
Transportation	6.9%
Consumer Staples	5.4%
U.S. Guaranteed	5.3%
Other	11.7%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
California	17.5%
Illinois	9.5%
Texas	9.2%
Missouri	8.7%
Colorado	7.8%
Wisconsin	6.0%
Florida	5.6%
Ohio	4.7%
New York	3.9%
Pennsylvania	2.7%

Tennessee	2.5%
Kentucky	2.4%
Other	19.5%
other	1

NEV

Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of October 31, 2014

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of October 31, 2014

	Average Annual		
			Since
	1-Year	5-Year I	nception1
NEV at NAV	18.67%	9.58%	8.48%
NEV at Share Price	14.58%	6.71%	6.57%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	7.94%	5.45%	4.94%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification	17.38%	9.24%	8.52%
Average			

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

1 Since inception returns are from 9/25/09.

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Municipal Bonds	102.1%
Common Stocks	0.9%
Floating Rate Obligations	(5.4)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.4%
Creatite Organiste	
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)2 AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	0.201
	0.3%
AA	51.2%
A	14.6%
BBB	12.8%
BB or Lower	11.5%
N/R (not rated)	9.0%
N/A (not applicable)	0.6%
Dortfolio Composition	
Portfolio Composition (% of total investments)2	
Tax Obligation/Limited	22.0%
•	
Health Care	17.4%
Transportation	13.0%
Education and Civic Organizations	10.6%
Tax Obligation/General	9.9%
Consumer Staples	5.4%
Long-Term Care	4.4%
Water and Sewer	4.1%
Other	13.2%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
California	16.3%
Illinois	10.5%
Florida	6.8%
Ohio	6.2%
Georgia	6.0%
Pennsylvania	5.8%
Michigan	5.5%
Wisconsin	5.1%
Texas	4.2%

Arizona	3.8%
Colorado	3.7%
New York	3.3%
Kansas	2.4%
Washington	2.3%
Other	18.1%

2 Excluding investments in derivatives.

Shareholder Meeting Report

The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on August 5, 2014 for NUV, NUW, NMI and NEV; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote to approve a new investment management agreement, to approve a new sub-advisory agreement and to elect Board Members.

	NUV Common shares	NUW Common shares	NMI Common shares	NEV Common shares
To approve a new investment management	Silares	5114105	5114105	Shares
agreement				
For	90,253,159	5,455,034	3,701,427	8,558,294
Against	3,143,955	202,099	142,512	286,737
Abstain	3,040,199	180,416	162,088	252,272
Broker Non-Votes	31,115,910	1,725,774	951,219	2,766,795
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098
To approve a new sub-advisory agreement				
For	89,790,566	5,430,179	3,678,513	8,515,615
Against	3,415,727	206,632	161,455	307,279
Abstain	3,231,020	200,738	166,059	274,409
Broker Non-Votes	31,115,910	1,725,774	951,219	2,766,795
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098
Approval of the Board Members was reached as				
follows:				
William Adams IV				
For	123,195,162	7,294,290	4,711,475	11,445,504
Withhold	4,358,061	269,033	245,771	418,594
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098
David J. Kundert				
For	123,138,818	7,290,613	4,690,404	11,453,087
Withhold	4,414,405	272,710	266,842	411,011
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098
John K. Nelson				
For	123,313,315	7,289,264	4,709,146	11,445,082
Withhold	4,239,908	274,059	248,100	419,016
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098
Terence J. Toth				
For	123,180,673	7,289,465	4,702,275	11,443,262
Withhold	4,372,550	273,858	254,971	420,836
Total	127,553,223	7,563,323	4,957,246	11,864,098

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors/Trustees and Shareholders of Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc., Nuveen AMT-Free Municipal Value Fund, Nuveen Municipal Income Fund, Inc. and Nuveen Enhanced Municipal Value Fund (the "Funds") as of October 31, 2014, and the related statements of operations, changes in net assets, and the financial highlights for the year then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits. The statements of changes in net assets and the financial highlights for the periods presented through October 31, 2013 were audited by other auditors whose report dated December 27, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements and those financial highlights.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2014, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or other appropriate auditing procedures. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Funds as of October 31, 2014, the results of their operations, the changes in their net assets and the financial highlights for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP Chicago, Illinois December 26, 2014

NUV

Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments

	Principal		Optional Call		
An	mount (000)	Description (1)		Ratings (3)	Value
		LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 99.2%			
		MUNICIPAL BONDS – 98.9%			
		Alaska – 0.8%			
\$	3,335	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, General Housing Purpose Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – FGIC Insured	12/14 at 100.00	AA+ (4) \$	3,348,540
	5,000	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, General Housing Purpose Bonds, Series 2005B-2, 5.250%, 12/01/30 – NPFG Insured	6/15 at 100.00	AA+	5,197,500
	5,405	CivicVentures, Alaska, Revenue Bonds, Anchorage Convention Center Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/34 – NPFG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	AA-	5,568,826
	2,710	Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 6/01/32	12/14 at 100.00	B2	2,168,596
	16,450	Total Alaska			16,283,462
		Arizona – 1.1%			
	2,630	Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 4.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA	2,697,538
	2,500	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Civic Plaza Expansion Project, Subordinate Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/35 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	2,560,075
	2,500	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.000%, 7/01/38	7/18 at 100.00	AA-	2,769,950
	2,575	Quechan Indian Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation, Arizona, Government Project Bonds, Series 2008, 7.000%, 12/01/27	12/17 at 102.00	B–	2,450,138
	5,600	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc. Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call	A-	6,347,376
	4,240	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2006C. Re-offering, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – AGC Insured	9/20 at 100.00	AA	4,628,850
	1,000	Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 9/01/30	3/15 at 100.00	A2	1,011,990
	21,045	Total Arizona			22,465,917

	Arkansas – 0.1%			
1,150	Benton Washington Regional Public Water Authority, Arkansas, Water Revenue Bonds, Refunding & Improvement Series 2007, 4.750%, 10/01/33 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17) – SYNCORA GTY Insured	10/17 at 100.00	A- (4)	1,286,172
5,000	California – 13.8% Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2013S-4,	4/23 at 100.00	A+	5,637,700
	5.000%, 4/01/38	100.00		
5,195	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Gold Country Settlement Funding Corporation, Series 2006, 0.000%, 6/01/33	12/14 at 100.00	CCC	1,368,207
3,275	California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Los Angeles County Securitization Corporation, Series 2006A, 5.450%, 6/01/28	12/18 at 100.00	B2	3,052,071
6,100	California Department of Water Resources, Water System Revenue Bonds, Central Valley Project, Series 2005AC, 5.000%, 12/01/27 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – NPFG Insured	12/14 at 100.00	AAA	6,124,888
	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006:			
5,000	5.000%, 4/01/37 – BHAC Insured	4/16 at 100.00	AA+	5,254,800
6,000	5.000%, 4/01/37 (UB) (5)	4/16 at 100.00	A+	6,184,920
3,850	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph Health System, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/33	7/23 at 100.00	AA–	4,432,082
2,335	California Municipal Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2010A, 5.750%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	Baa2	2,593,928

Am	Principal nount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings (3)	Value
	()		(2)	0 ()	
\$	2,130	California (continued) California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 2004C, 4.750%, 12/01/23 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/17 at 100.00	A3 \$	2,282,295
	1,625	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2013I, 5.000%, 11/01/38	11/23 at 100.00	A1	1,827,150
	1,400	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 4.500%, 8/01/30	2/17 at 100.00	Aa3	1,494,640
	2,235	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 2/01/33	8/15 at 100.00	Aa3	2,242,845
	16,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/37	6/17 at 100.00	Aa3	17,242,240
	5,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	Aa3	5,618,650
	2,530	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Certificates of Participation, Internext Group, Series 1999, 5.375%, 4/01/17	4/15 at 100.00	BBB+	2,540,955
	3,125	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Methodist Hospital Project, Series 2009, 6.750%, 2/01/38	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	3,782,750
	3,600	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. Joseph Health System, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 7/01/47 – FGIC Insured	7/18 at 100.00	AA–	4,083,840
	5,000	Coast Community College District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 8/01/32 – AGM Insured	8/18 at 100.00	Aa1	5,547,150
	4,505	Covina-Valley Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B, 0.000%, 6/01/28 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	2,520,953
	16,045	Desert Community College District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2004 Series 2007C, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGM Insured	8/17 at 42.63	AA	6,295,737
	30,000	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 0.000%, 1/01/22 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	26,686,200
	2,180	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2013A, 0.000%, 1/15/42	1/31 at 100.00	BBB-	1,396,072
		Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A:			
	11,830	5.000%, 6/01/38 – FGIC Insured		A1	12,142,075

		6/15 at		
		100.00		
15,000	5.000%, 6/01/45	6/15 at	A1	15,375,150
12.065		100.00	A 1	12 201 756
13,065	5.000%, 6/01/45 – AMBAC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	A1	13,391,756
	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation,	100.00		
	California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,			
	Series 2007A-1:			
25,790	4.500%, 6/01/27	6/17 at	В	24,227,900
		100.00	_	
13,985	5.000%, 6/01/33	6/17 at	В	11,490,076
1,500	5 1 25 07 6101 147	100.00 6/17 at	В	1 121 200
1,500	5.125%, 6/01/47	100.00	D	1,121,280
4,500	Hemet Unified School District, Riverside County,	8/16 at	AA	4,876,920
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008B,	102.00		
	5.125%, 8/01/37 – AGC Insured			
	Merced Union High School District, Merced County,			
0.500	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999A:	NO		1 000 075
2,500	0.000%, 8/01/23 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	1,929,075
2,555	0.000%, 8/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt.	AA-	1,873,198
2,000		Call		1,070,170
2,365	Montebello Unified School District, Los Angeles	No Opt.	AA-	1,453,766
	County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series	Call		
4.405	2004, 0.000%, 8/01/27 – FGIC Insured	N		
4,405	Moreland School District, Santa Clara County,	No Opt.	AA+	1,621,569
	California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004D, 0.000%, 8/01/32 – FGIC Insured	Call		
	Mount San Antonio Community College District, Los			
	Angeles County, California, General Obligation			
	Bonds, Election of 2008, Series 2013A:			
2,200	0.000%, 8/01/28	2/28 at	AA	1,753,268
		100.00		1 500 105
2,315	0.000%, 8/01/43	8/35 at	AA	1,503,106
3,550	M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue	100.00 No Opt.	А	4,833,503
5,550	Bonds, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009C,	Call	1 1	1,035,505
	6.500%, 11/01/39	Cuit		

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)

	Principal		Optional Call		
Ar	mount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		California (continued)			
		Napa Valley Community College District, Napa and Sonoma Counties, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2002 Series 2007C:			
\$	7,200	0.000%, 8/01/29 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 54.45	Aa2 \$	3,625,992
	11,575	0.000%, 8/01/31 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 49.07	Aa2	5,168,701
	2,620	New Haven Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,285,529
	2,350	Palomar Pomerado Health Care District, California, Certificates of Participation, Series 2009, 6.750%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	Ba1	2,543,546
	10,150	Placer Union High School District, Placer County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004C, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	4,554,407
	2,355	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 1997B, 4.875%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 102.00	A3	2,436,954
	4,000	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/47	7/17 at 100.00	Baa2	4,107,800
	15,505	Riverside Public Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Bonds, University Corridor, Series 2007C, 5.000%, 8/01/37 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 100.00	AA–	15,944,722
		San Bruno Park School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000B:			
	2,575	0.000%, 8/01/24 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,950,511
	2,660	0.000%, 8/01/25 - FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,930,681
	250	San Francisco Redevelopment Financing Authority, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project, Series 2011D, 7.000%, 8/01/41	2/21 at 100.00	BBB+	306,350
	12,040	San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 1997A, 0.000%, 1/15/25 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	8,413,311
	5,000			A2	5,399,250

	San Jose, California, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 6.000%, 3/01/47 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	3/17 at 100.00		
13,220	San Mateo County Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 0.000%, 9/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,567,882
5,000	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series 2002B, 0.000%, 9/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,850,850
2,000	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Refunding Series 2005A-2, 5.400%, 6/01/27	6/17 at 100.00	B+	1,849,820
1,300	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009O, 5.250%, 5/15/39	5/19 at 100.00	AA	1,497,574
337,490	Total California			289,236,595
5,000	Colorado – 5.0% Arkansas River Power Authority, Colorado, Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/40 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	10/16 at 100.00	BBB-	5,059,250
5,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2006A, 4.500%, 9/01/38	9/16 at 100.00	A+	5,058,550
7,105	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2013A, 5.250%, 1/01/45	1/23 at 100.00	A+	8,035,116
1,700	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Poudre Valley Health System, Series 2005C, 5.250%, 3/01/40 – AGM Insured	9/18 at 102.00	AA	1,871,377
15,925	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Services Corporation, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 1/01/40	1/20 at 100.00	AA–	17,346,784
750	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Longmont United Hospital, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 12/01/23 – RAAI Insured	12/16 at 100.00	Baa2	765,398
2,000	Colorado State Board of Governors, Colorado State University Auxiliary Enterprise System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 3/01/41	3/22 at 100.00	Aa2	2,237,040
1,000	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 4.000%, 11/15/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	No Opt. Call	A+	1,001,550
2,200	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B, 5.000%, 11/15/29	11/22 at 100.00	A+	2,543,640

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Colorado (continued)			
\$ 5,160	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2013B, 5.000%, 11/15/43	11/23 at 100.00	A\$	5,761,604
3,000	Denver School District 1, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012B, 3.000%, 12/01/14	No Opt. Call	AA+	3,007,350
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B:			
24,200	0.000%, 9/01/31 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	12,527,372
17,000	0.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	8,129,230
7,600	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006B, 0.000%, 9/01/39 – NPFG Insured	9/26 at 52.09	AA-	2,266,320
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B:			
7,700	0.000%, 9/01/27 – NPFG Insured	9/20 at 67.94	AA–	4,190,879
10,075	0.000%, 3/01/36 – NPFG Insured	9/20 at 41.72	AA–	3,207,376
5,000	Ebert Metropolitan District, Colorado, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.350%, 12/01/37 – RAAI Insured	12/17 at 100.00	N/R	5,026,800
7,000	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Senior Series 2001C, 5.700%, 6/15/21 (Pre-refunded 6/15/16) – AMBAC Insured	6/16 at 100.00	N/R (4)	7,602,000
5,000	Rangely Hospital District, Rio Blanco County, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 6.000%, 11/01/26	11/21 at 100.00	Baa1	5,750,550
3,750	Regional Transportation District, Colorado, Denver Transit Partners Eagle P3 Project Private Activity Bonds, Series 2010, 6.000%, 1/15/41	7/20 at 100.00	Baa3	4,210,238
136,165	Total Colorado Connecticut – 0.9%			105,598,424
1,500	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hartford Healthcare, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/41	7/21 at 100.00	А	1,617,885
15,000	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42	7/16 at 100.00	AAA	15,943,950
7,872	Mashantucket Western Pequot Tribe, Connecticut, Special Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Series 2013A, 6.050%, 7/01/31 (6)	No Opt. Call	N/R	1,577,192

Total Connecticut			19,139,027
District of Columbia – 0.5%			
Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	A1	10,184,500
Florida – 5.6%			
Cape Coral, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured	10/21 at 100.00	AA	3,267,510
Florida Department of Transportation, State Infrastructure Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AA+	4,879,082
Florida State Board of Education, Public Education Capital Outlay Bonds, Series 2005E, 4.500%, 6/01/35 (UB)	6/15 at 101.00	AAA	10,281,300
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34	No Opt. Call	Aa3	3,219,914
Hillsborough County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Tampa General Hospital, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/41	10/16 at 100.00	A3	2,792,385
JEA, Florida, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series Three 2006A, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured	4/15 at 100.00	AA	3,049,560
Lee County, Florida, Transportation Facilities Revenue Bonds, Sanibel Bridges & Causeway Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/30 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) – CIFG Insured	10/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	4,755,784
Marion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 10/01/34 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17)	10/17 at 100.00	BBB+ (4)	5,632,750
Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority, Florida, Toll System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	A–	4,410,329
Miami-Dade County Health Facility Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Miami Children's Hospital, Series 2010A, 6.000%, 8/01/46	8/21 at 100.00	А	10,812,235
	District of Columbia – 0.5% Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured Florida – 5.6% Cape Coral, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured Florida Department of Transportation, State Infrastructure Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/15 Florida State Board of Education, Public Education Capital Outlay Bonds, Series 2005E, 4.500%, 6/01/35 (UB) Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34 Hillsborough County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Tampa General Hospital, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/41 JEA, Florida, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series Three 2006A, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured Lee County, Florida, Transportation Facilities Revenue Bonds, Sanibel Bridges & Causeway Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/30 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) – CIFG Insured Marion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 10/01/34 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17) Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority, Florida, Toll System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40 Miami-Dade County Health Facility Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Miami Children's	District of Columbia – 0.5%Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured100.00Florida – 5.6%10/21 atCape Coral, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured10/21 atFlorida Department of Transportation, State Infrastructure Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/15No Opt.Florida State Board of Education, Public Education Capital Outlay Bonds, Series 2005E, 4.500%, 6/01/35 (UB)6/15 atGreater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34No Opt.Hillsborough County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Iseries 2005, 5.250%, 10/01/4110/16 atJEA, Florida, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 100.00100.00Lee County, Florida, Transportation Facilities Revenue Bonds, Sanibel Bridges & Causeway 100.00100.00Project, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/30 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) – CIFG Insured10/17 atMarion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 2000%, 10/01/3410/17 atMarion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 2000%, 10/01/347/20 at 10/17 atMiami-Dade County Expressway Authority, Florida, Toll System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/347/20 at 100.00Miami-Dade County Health Facility Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Miami Children's 8/21 at Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Miami Children's	District of Columbia – 0.5%Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured100.00Florida – 5.6%10/21 at Cape Coral, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – 100.0010/21 at AAA 100.00AGM Insured10/21 at Infrastructure Bank Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/15No Opt. CallFlorida State Board of Education, Public Education (UB)6/15 at 101.00AAA 101.00Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34No Opt. CallGreater Orlando Aviation Authority, Florida, Airport Facilities Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2009C, 5.000%, 10/01/34No Opt. CallHillsborough County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 10/01/41100.00JEA, Florida, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 100.004/15 at AAAuthority, Florida, Transportation Facilities Revenue Bonds, Sanibel Bridges & Causeway 100.00100.00Pre-refunded 10/01/15) – CIFG Insured10/17 at 100.00Marion County Hospital District, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 20007, 5.000%, 10/01/34 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17)BBB+ (4) 100.00Bonds, Munroe Regional Medical Center, Series 20107, 5.000%, 10/01/34 (Pre-refunded 10/01/17)A/20 at A- 100.00Miami-Dade County Expressway Authority, Florida, Miami-Dade County Health Facility Authority, Florida, No000%, 10/01/44 (Pre-refunded 10/01/

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc.

Portfolio of Investments (continued)

·	Principal		Optional Call	5	
Am	ount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		Florida (continued)			
\$	4,000	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2010B, 5.000%, 10/01/29	10/20 at 100.00	A\$	4,487,080
	9,340	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/39 – AGM Insured	10/20 at 100.00	AA	10,594,642
	2,900	Orange County, Florida, Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 10/01/31 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	10/16 at 100.00	AA-	3,089,051
	3,250	Palm Beach County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Jupiter Medical Center, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 11/01/43	11/22 at 100.00	BBB+	3,436,420
	9,250	Port Saint Lucie, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Southwest Annexation District 1B, Series 2007, 5.000%, 7/01/40 – NPFG Insured	7/17 at 100.00	AA-	9,997,030
	8,175	Saint John's County, Florida, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 10/01/36 – BHAC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	AA+	8,723,951
	2,500	Seminole Tribe of Florida, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A, 144A, 5.250%, 10/01/27 South Miami Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue, Baptist Health System Obligation Group, Series 2007:	10/17 at 100.00	BBB-	2,665,275
	3,035	5.000%, 8/15/19	8/17 at 100.00	AA	3,379,837
	14,730	5.000%, 8/15/42 (UB)	8/17 at 100.00	AA	15,574,618
	3,300	Tampa, Florida, Health System Revenue Bonds, Baycare Health System, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/33	5/22 at 100.00	Aa2	3,675,177
	109,845	Total Florida			118,723,930
	1,105	Georgia – 0.1% Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/33 – NPFG Insured	11/14 at 100.00	Aa2	1,108,989
	330	Guam – 0.0% Guam International Airport Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2013C, 6.375%, 10/01/43 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/23 at 100.00	BBB	380,256
	3,625	Hawaii – 0.2%		Aa1	4,173,644
	5,025			Aai	7,173,077

	0 0			
	Honolulu City and County, Hawaii, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.250%, 4/01/32 Illinois – 14.8%	No Opt. Call		
5,125	Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University, Housing and Auxiliary Facilities System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 4/01/36 – NPFG Insured	4/16 at 100.00	AA–	5,361,980
17,205	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1998B-1, 0.000%, 12/01/24 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	11,387,301
7,195	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1999A, 0.000%, 12/01/31 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	3,115,507
1,500	Chicago Park District, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Limited Tax Series 2011A, 5.000%, 1/01/36	1/22 at 100.00	AA+	1,638,975
2,280	Chicago, Illinois, General Airport Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Third Lien Series 2003C-2, 5.250%, 1/01/30 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/15 at 100.00	AA	2,283,488
	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Project & Refunding Series 2006A:			
2,585	4.750%, 1/01/30 – AGM Insured	1/16 at 100.00	AA	2,611,910
5,000	4.625%, 1/01/31 – AGM Insured	1/16 at 100.00	AA	5,041,550
285	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.625%, 1/01/39 – AMBAC Insured	1/15 at 100.00	AA–	285,143
7,750	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 1/01/34 – AGM Insured	1/15 at 100.00	AA	7,762,478
	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A:			
6,850	5.000%, 1/01/16 – AGM Insured	1/15 at 100.00	AA	6,902,197
3,500	5.000%, 1/01/17 – AGM Insured	1/15 at 100.00	AA	3,526,285
3,320	Cook and DuPage Counties Combined School District 113A Lemont, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 0.000%, 12/01/20 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	2,601,751
3,020	Cook County High School District 209, Proviso Township, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/19 – AGM Insured	12/16 at 100.00	AA	3,229,195

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
\$ 8,875	Illinois (continued) Cook County, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.250%, 11/15/33	11/20 at 100.00	AA\$	9,823,116
3,260	Cook County, Illinois, Recovery Zone Facility Revenue Bonds, Navistar International Corporation Project, Series 2010, 6.500%, 10/15/40	10/20 at 100.00	B3	3,503,881
5,000	Cook County, Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2012, 5.000%, 11/15/37	No Opt. Call	AAA	5,616,450
28,030	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Local Government Program Revenue Bonds, Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District U46 – Elgin, Series 2002, 0.000%, 1/01/19 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa3	25,629,791
1,800	Illinois Development Finance Authority, Local Government Program Revenue Bonds, Winnebago and Boone Counties School District 205 – Rockford, Series 2000, 0.000%, 2/01/19 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	A2	1,647,270
1,875	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Central DuPage Health, Series 2009B, 5.500%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	AA	2,125,106
3,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Central DuPage Health, Series 2009, 5.250%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	AA	3,326,670
5,245	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loyola University of Chicago, Tender Option Bond Trust 1137, 9.262%, 7/01/15 (IF)	No Opt. Call	AA+	6,095,057
4,845	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, OSF Healthcare System, Refunding Series 2010A, 6.000%, 5/15/39	5/20 at 100.00	А	5,517,971
4,800	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Provena Health, Series 2009A, 7.750%, 8/15/34	8/19 at 100.00	BBB+	6,027,984
4,260	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Health Systems, Series 2007A, 5.500%, 8/01/37	8/17 at 100.00	А	4,645,530
2,500	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Series 2011C, 5.500%, 8/15/41	2/21 at 100.00	AA–	2,827,350
4,475	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers, Series 2008A, 5.500%, 8/15/30	8/18 at 100.00	BBB+	4,816,085
2,260	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, South Suburban Hospital, Series 1992, 7.000%, 2/15/18 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	N/R (4)	2,522,567
3,750	Illinois Sports Facility Authority, State Tax Supported Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 6/15/30 – AMBAC Insured	6/15 at 101.00	А	3,882,750
1,540	Illinois Sports Facility Authority, State Tax Supported Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 6/15/30 (Pre-refunded 6/15/15) – AMBAC Insured	6/15 at 101.00	N/R (4)	1,606,482

	Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL VALUE FUNL	INC - Form I	N-CSR	
655	Illinois State, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 8/01/25	8/22 at 100.00	A–	715,384
5,590	Illinois Toll Highway Authority, Toll Highway Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2013A, 5.000%, 1/01/38	1/23 at 100.00	AA–	6,254,595
10,740	Lake and McHenry Counties Community Unit School District 118, Wauconda, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 0.000%, 1/01/23 – AGM Insured	1/15 at 66.94	A1	7,153,055
5,000	Lombard Public Facilities Corporation, Illinois, First Tier Conference Center and Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A-2, 5.500%, 1/01/36 – ACA Insured	1/16 at 100.00	CCC-	3,365,600
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1993A:			
12,320	0.010%, 6/15/17 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	11,865,269
9,270	0.010%, 6/15/18 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,721,680
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1994B:			
7,250	0.000%, 6/15/18 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	6,821,163
3,635	0.000%, 6/15/21 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,002,437
5,190	0.000%, 6/15/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,982,174
11,670	0.000%, 6/15/29 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	6,373,220
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002A:			
10,000	0.000%, 6/15/24 – NPFG Insured	6/22 at 101.00	AAA	10,191,400
4,950	0.000%, 12/15/32 - NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,273,436
21,375	0.000%, 6/15/34 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,977,928
21,000	0.000%, 12/15/35 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,119,230
21,970	0.000%, 6/15/36 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,252,811
10,375	0.000%, 12/15/36 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	3,810,011
25,825	0.000%, 6/15/39 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	8,347,157

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)

	Principal		Optional Call		
Am	ount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		Illinois (continued)			
\$	16,800	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1996A, 0.000%, 12/15/21 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–\$	13,605,312
		Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002B:			
	3,775	5.500%, 6/15/20 – NPFG Insured	6/17 at 101.00	AAA	4,174,622
	5,715	5.550%, 6/15/21 – NPFG Insured	6/17 at 101.00	AAA	6,286,271
	6,095	Regional Transportation Authority, Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will Counties, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.000%, 7/01/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	8,269,635
	1,160	Round Lake, Lake County, Illinois, Special Tax Bonds, Lakewood Grove Special Service Area 4, Series 2007, 4.700%, 3/01/33 – AGC Insured	3/17 at 100.00	AA	1,179,337
	5,020	Southwestern Illinois Development Authority, Local Government Revenue Bonds, Edwardsville Community Unit School District 7 Project, Series 2007, 0.000%, 12/01/23 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	3,740,603
	3,000	Springfield, Illinois, Electric Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2007, 5.000%, 3/01/22 – NPFG Insured	3/17 at 100.00	AA–	3,242,550
	4,900	Springfield, Illinois, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/26 – NPFG Insured	3/16 at 100.00	AA–	5,132,554
	615	University of Illinois, Health Services Facilities System Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, 6.000%, 10/01/42	10/23 at 100.00	А	708,455
	1,575	Will County Community School District 161, Summit Hill, Illinois, Capital Appreciation School Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/01/18 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	A3	1,441,802
	720	Will County Community School District 161, Summit Hill, Illinois, Capital Appreciation School Bonds, Series 1999, 0.000%, 1/01/18 – FGIC Insured (ETM)	No Opt. Call	A3 (4)	703,130
		Will County Community Unit School District 201U, Crete-Monee, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Appreciation Series 2004:			
	3,680	0.000%, 11/01/16 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	3,594,955
	3,330	0.000%, 11/01/22 – NPFG Insured		AA-	2,694,037

		No Opt. Call		
2,945	Will County School District 86, Joliet, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 0.000%, 11/01/15 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	2,925,563
397,275	Total Illinois Indiana – 2.3%			310,287,196
300	Anderson, Indiana, Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Anderson University, Series 2007, 5.000%, 10/01/24	4/15 at 100.00	BB+	301,413
2,525	Indiana Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Community Health Network Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 5/01/42	5/23 at 100.00	А	2,754,826
1,640	Indiana Finance Authority, Private Activity Bonds, Ohio River Bridges East End Crossing Project, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/23 at 100.00	BBB	1,729,511
4,000	Indiana Finance Authority, Tax-Exempt Private Activity Revenue Bonds, I-69 Section 5 Project, Series 2014, 5.000%, 9/01/46 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/24 at 100.00	BBB	4,280,360
2,250	Indiana Health and Educational Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Saint Francis Health Services Inc., Series 2006E, 5.250%, 5/15/41 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	Aa3	2,431,395
2,000	Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Community Foundation of Northwest Indiana, Series 2007, 5.500%, 3/01/37	3/17 at 100.00	А	2,113,860
8,235	Indiana Municipal Power Agency, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/42 – NPFG Insured	1/17 at 100.00	AA–	8,785,922
	Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Indiana, Series 1999E:			
12,500	0.000%, 2/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	11,062,375
2,400	0.000%, 2/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	1,778,856
14,595	0.000%, 2/01/27 - AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	9,936,276
3,460	Whiting Redevelopment District, Indiana, Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Lakefront Development Project, Series 2010, 6.750%, 1/15/32	7/20 at 100.00	N/R	3,882,501
53,905	Total Indiana			49,057,295
14,500	Iowa – 1.2% Iowa Finance Authority, Iowa, Midwestern Disaster Area Revenue Bonds, Iowa Fertilizer Company Project, Series 2013, 5.500%, 12/01/22	12/18 at 100.00	BB–	15,388,850

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Iowa (continued)			
\$ 7,000	Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Asset Backed Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.625%, 6/01/46	6/15 at 100.00	B+\$	5,927,600
4,965	Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.600%, 6/01/34	6/17 at 100.00	B+	4,445,363
26,465	Total Iowa			25,761,813
	Kansas – 0.3%			
9,490	Wyandotte County-Kansas City Unified Government, Kansas, Sales Tax Special Obligation Capital Appreciation Revenue Bonds Redevelopment Project Area B – Major Multi-Sport Athletic Complex Project, Subordinate Lien Series 2010B, 0.000%, 6/01/21	No Opt. Call	A–	6,675,835
2.2.0	Kentucky – 0.3%			001 000
820	Greater Kentucky Housing Assistance Corporation, FHA-Insured Section 8 Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 6.100%, 1/01/24 – NPFG Insured	1/15 at 100.00	AA-	821,902
1,750	Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Louisville Arena Project Revenue Bonds, Louisville Arena Authority, Inc., Series 2008-A1, 6.000%, 12/01/38 – AGC Insured	6/18 at 100.00	AA	1,898,225
6,000	Kentucky Public Transportation Infrastructure Authority, First Tier Toll Revenue Bonds, Downtown Crossing Project, Convertible Capital Appreciation Series 2013C, 0.000%, 7/01/39	7/31 at 100.00	Baa3	4,129,680
8,570	Total Kentucky			6,849,807
	Louisiana – 1.9%			
12,000	Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Westlake Chemical Corporation Project, Series 2007, 6.750%, 11/01/32	11/17 at 100.00	BBB	13,450,440
2,310	Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Westlake Chemical Corporation Projects, Series 2009A, 6.500%, 8/01/29	2/15 at 100.00	BBB	2,736,634
5,450	Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Westlake Chemical Corporation Projects, Series 2010A-1, 6.500%, 11/01/35	11/20 at 100.00	BBB	6,467,297
5,150	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Series 2005A, 5.250%, 8/15/32	8/15 at 100.00	A+	5,246,511

	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ochsner Clinic Foundation Project, Series 2007A:			
3,620	5.250%, 5/15/38	5/17 at 100.00	Baa1	3,800,421
1,900	5.375%, 5/15/43	5/17 at 100.00	Baa1	1,995,228
5,000	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of New Orleans Research and Technology, Series 2006, 5.250%, 3/01/37 (Pre-refunded 9/01/16) – NPFG Insured	9/16 at 100.00	AA- (4)	5,447,450
35,430	Total Louisiana Maine – 0.1%			39,143,981
1,050	Maine Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Maine General Medical Center, Series 2011, 6.750%, 7/01/41	7/21 at 100.00	BBB-	1,178,268
	Maryland – 0.7% Baltimore, Maryland, Senior Lien Convention Center			
	Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A:			
1,300	5.250%, 9/01/17 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+	1,382,797
2,150	4.600%, 9/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+	2,185,239
1,545	5.250%, 9/01/39 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+	1,581,091
2,500	Baltimore, Maryland, Subordinate Lien Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.875%, 9/01/39	9/16 at 100.00	Ba2	2,581,250
1,500	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Adventist Healthcare, Series 2011A, 6.125%, 1/01/36	1/22 at 100.00	Baa2	1,729,110
5,725	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, MedStar Health, Series 2004, 5.500%, 8/15/33	2/15 at 100.00	A2	5,745,209
14,720	Total Maryland			15,204,696
2 100	Massachusetts – 1.8%	11/02 -4	٨	2 2 4 9 1 1 5
2,100	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cape Cod Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2013, 5.250%, 11/15/41	11/23 at 100.00	A–	2,348,115
			Nuveen Inv	vestments 31

NUV Nuveen Municipal Value Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)

October 31, 2014

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value	
	Massachusetts (continued)				
\$ 1,347	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds, Northern Berkshire Community Services Inc., Series 2012A, 6.000%, 2/15/43 (6), (7)	2/15 at 100.00	D\$	694,235	
987	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds, Northern Berkshire Community Services Inc., Series 2012B, 0.000%, 2/15/43 (6), (7)	1/43 at 102.19	D	10	
1,526	Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds, Northern Berkshire Community Services Inc., Series 2012C, 0.000%, 2/15/43 (6)	1/15 at 100.00	D	15	
500					

		For	Against Abstain
2.	Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as independent auditor for Viacom Inc. for 2007.		
3.	Approval of the Viacom Inc. Senior Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan.		
4.	Approval of the Viacom Inc. 2006 Long-Term Management Incentive Plan.		
The Board reco	ommends a vote AGAINST matter 5.		

5.

Stockholder proposal to divest Paramount.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) on this proxy card. When shares are held jointly, both holders should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting. If you check		
yes , an admission ticket will be sent to you.		
	Yes	No

Please sign, date and return this proxy card in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope.

Date

SignaturĐate (Joint Holder)

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Table of Contents

VIACOM INC.

1515 Broadway

New York, New York 10036

2007 Annual Meeting Proxy Card

The undersigned hereby appoints PHILIPPE P. DAUMAN and MICHAEL D. FRICKLAS, and each of them, as proxy holders with full power of substitution, to represent and to vote on behalf of the undersigned all of the shares of Class A Common Stock of Viacom Inc. represented by this proxy at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Wednesday, May 30, 2007, at the Hudson Theatre, Millennium Broadway Hotel, 145 West 44th Street, New York, New York, beginning at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof, on the matters set forth on the reverse side as more fully described in the Notice of 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF VIACOM INC. THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED AND TIMELY RECEIVED PRIOR TO THE MEETING WILL BE VOTED IN THE MANNER DIRECTED HEREIN BY THE UNDERSIGNED STOCKHOLDER.

You are encouraged to specify your choices by marking the appropriate boxes, but you need not mark any boxes if you wish to vote in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations. The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR matters (1) - (4) and AGAINST matter (5). Therefore, unless otherwise specified, the vote represented by this proxy will be cast FOR matters (1) - (4) and AGAINST matter (5). The proxy holders are directed to vote as specified on the reverse side hereof and in their discretion on all other matters.

Attention 401(k) plan participants: If you hold shares of Viacom Inc. Class A Common Stock through a 401(k) plan, you should complete, sign and return this proxy card to instruct the trustee of the plan how to vote these shares. Your proxy must be received no later than 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 28, 2007 so that the trustee of the plan (who votes the shares on behalf of plan participants) has adequate time to tabulate the voting instructions. Your voting instructions will be kept confidential.

Address Changes/Comments: _____

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Viacom Inc.

c/o Broadridge

51 Mercedes Way

Edgewood, NY 11717

(Continued, and to be signed and dated on the reverse side.)