

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

CIT GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q/A  
December 13, 2005

=====

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

-----  
Form 10-Q/A  
Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-Q  
-----

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-31369

CIT Group Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

65-1051192  
(IRS Employer  
Identification Number)

1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York  
(Address of Registrant's principal executive offices)

10036  
(Zip Code)

(212) 536-1211  
(Registrant's telephone number)

-----

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,  
if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports  
required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of  
1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the  
registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such  
filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer as  
defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes  No

As of July 29, 2005, there were 201,708,815 shares of the Registrant's  
common stock outstanding.

=====

# Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

## Overview

We are filing this amendment to our Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005 to restate financial statements and corresponding financial information for certain derivative transactions that do not qualify for hedge accounting. We are also including other previously identified, immaterial, in-period financial statement changes in conjunction with this amendment.

The primary impacts of this restatement of non-cash items on our financial statements and certain key financial ratios are as follows (\$ in millions, per share amounts in dollars):

At or for the Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2005	Quarter			Previously Reported
	Previously Reported	Restated	Change	
<b>Income Statement</b>				
Finance income	\$ 1,106.7	\$ 1,100.7	\$ (6.0)	\$ 2,128.7
Interest expense	466.7	467.8	1.1	860.9
Other revenue	278.9	331.3	52.4	518.3
Salaries and general operating expenses	271.8	268.8	(3.0)	532.8
Provision for income taxes	113.0	132.9	19.9	235.8
Net income	220.7	249.1	28.4	431.1
Basic earnings per share	1.05	1.18	0.13	2.05
Diluted earnings per share	1.03	1.16	0.13	2.01
<b>Balance Sheet</b>				
Finance receivables and education lending receivables	40,509.3	40,507.9	(1.4)	
Total debt	43,458.5	43,376.8	(81.7)	
Accrued liabilities and payables	3,748.5	3,783.2	34.7	
Total stockholders' equity	6,401.2	6,446.8	45.6	
<b>Financial Ratios</b>				
Net finance margin as a percentage of average earning assets	3.36%	3.30%		3.44%
Return on average earning assets	1.86%	2.10%		1.88%
Return on average common equity	13.9%	15.7%		13.8%
Return on average tangible common equity	16.3%	18.3%		15.7%
Tangible stockholders' equity and preferred capital securities to managed assets	9.92%	10.00%		
Efficiency ratio	43.7%	40.6%		42.3%

During the fourth quarter of 2005, we learned of an interpretation with respect to applying the "matched terms" approach in hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended ("SFAS 133"). We reviewed our accounting for certain cross-currency interest rate swaps ("compound swaps" or "compound derivatives") under SFAS 133.

We determined that seven compound cross-currency and interest rate swaps with a notional principal of approximately \$1.4 billion at June 30, 2005 were not appropriately accounted for, even though these compound swaps were highly

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

effective economic hedges of the interest rate and currency exchange risks associated with the corresponding foreign denominated debt. We documented these swaps originally as "matched terms" hedges which assumes no hedge ineffectiveness. The swaps would have qualified for "long-haul" hedge accounting with ineffectiveness reflected in current earnings. However, the swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment from their inception, as SFAS 133 does not allow for subsequent documentation modifications.

i

The elimination of hedge accounting from inception of the compound swaps resulted in an increase to other revenue and earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2005 to reflect the elimination of adjustments to the basis of the corresponding debt under SFAS 133 fair value hedge accounting for changes in interest rates during each period. This increase to revenues in the current period will reduce future earnings by an equal amount through 2015.

As a result of the review of our accounting, we have terminated these compound cross-currency swaps and replaced each with a pair of individual swaps (a cross-currency basis swap and an interest rate swap, both with zero fair value at inception) with the same counterparties and with the same terms as both the hedged debt and the original compound derivatives. The replacement derivative contracts achieve the same economics as the original compound derivatives and will be accounted for as hedges under SFAS 133. Accessing non-U.S. capital markets is a key element of our funding strategy, and we remain committed to our risk management strategy to hedge, or significantly mitigate, our interest and currency risk and to transact derivatives only for risk management purposes. We plan to utilize stand alone swaps for similar hedge transactions in the future.

After reviewing the above with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors on December 9, 2005, the Audit Committee agreed with management's recommendation to adjust our financial statements. In light of this decision and resulting restatement, the previously reported financial statements and other information included in the CIT Group Inc. Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005 should no longer be relied upon.

ii

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

	Page
	----
Part I--Financial Information:	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements .....	1
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) .....	1
Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) .....	2
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) ..	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) .....	4
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) .....	5-21

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and	
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk ...	22-46
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures .....	47

### Part II--Other Information:

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings .....	49
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds .	49
Item 3.	Default Upon Senior Securities .....	50
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders .....	50
Item 5.	Other Information .....	51
Item 6.	Exhibits .....	51
Signatures .....		52

iii

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

##### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

##### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (\$ in millions -- except share data)

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
ASSETS	(Restated)	
Financing and leasing assets:		
Finance receivables .....	\$36,337.0	\$35,048.2
Education lending receivables pledged .....	4,170.9	--
Reserve for credit losses .....	(622.3)	(617.2)
	-----	-----
Net finance receivables .....	39,885.6	34,431.0
Operating lease equipment, net .....	8,642.9	8,290.9
Finance receivables held for sale .....	1,435.9	1,640.8
Cash and cash equivalents, including \$309.3 and \$0.0 restricted ....	2,231.7	2,210.2
Retained interest in securitizations and other investments .....	1,122.0	1,228.2
Goodwill and intangible assets, net .....	903.1	596.5
Other assets .....	3,084.1	2,713.7
	-----	-----
Total Assets .....	\$57,305.3	\$51,111.3
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Debt:		
Commercial paper .....	\$ 3,253.4	\$ 4,210.9
Variable-rate senior unsecured notes .....	13,556.0	11,545.0
Fixed-rate senior unsecured notes .....	22,375.7	21,715.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Non-recourse, secured borrowings -- education lending .....	3,938.8	--
Preferred capital securities .....	252.9	253.8
	-----	-----
Total debt .....	43,376.8	37,724.8
Credit balances of factoring clients .....	3,649.2	3,847.3
Accrued liabilities and payables .....	3,783.2	3,443.7
	-----	-----
Total Liabilities .....	50,809.2	45,015.8
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		
Minority interest .....	49.3	40.4
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock: \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 authorized, none issued .....	--	--
Common stock: \$0.01 par value, 600,000,000 authorized, Issued: 212,256,253 and 212,112,203 .....	2.1	2.1
Outstanding: 210,047,448 and 210,440,170		
Paid-in capital, net of deferred compensation of \$55.2 and \$39.3 .....	10,648.1	10,674.3
Accumulated deficit .....	(4,084.3)	(4,499.1)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss .....	(29.6)	(58.4)
Less: treasury stock, 2,208,805 and 1,672,033 shares, at cost .....	(89.5)	(63.8)
	-----	-----
Total Stockholders' Equity .....	6,446.8	6,055.1
	-----	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity .....	\$57,305.3	\$51,111.3
	=====	=====

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

1

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)  
(\$ in millions -- except per share data)

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Month June
	2005	2004	2005
	-----	-----	-----
	(Restated)		(Restated)
Finance income .....	\$1,100.7	\$ 908.9	\$2,128.7
Interest expense .....	467.8	300.0	859.3
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance income .....	632.9	608.9	1,269.4
Depreciation on operating lease equipment .....	241.2	237.9	478.8
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin .....	391.7	371.0	790.6
Provision for credit losses .....	47.2	65.7	92.5
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin after provision for credit losses ....	344.5	305.3	698.1
Other revenue .....	331.3	233.5	597.0
Net gain on venture capital investments .....	1.3	3.0	12.1

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Operating margin .....	677.1	541.8	1,307.2
Salaries and general operating expenses .....	268.8	252.4	532.8
Provision for restructuring .....	25.2	--	25.2
Gain on redemption of debt .....	--	--	--
Income before provision for income taxes .....	383.1	289.4	749.2
Provision for income taxes .....	(132.9)	(112.8)	(270.5)
Minority interest, after tax .....	(1.1)	--	(2.0)
Net income .....	\$ 249.1	\$ 176.6	\$ 476.7
Earnings per share			
Basic earnings per share .....	\$ 1.18	\$ 0.83	\$ 2.26
Diluted earnings per share .....	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.82	\$ 2.22
Number of shares -- basic (thousands) .....	210,506	211,532	210,581
Number of shares -- diluted (thousands) .....	214,699	215,359	214,894
Dividends per common share .....	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.29

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

2

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Unaudited)  
(\$ in millions)

	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	Accumulated (Deficit)/ Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income
	-----	-----	----- (Restated)	-----
December 31, 2004 .....	\$2.1	\$10,674.3	\$ (4,499.1)	\$ (58.4)
Net income .....			476.7	
Foreign currency translation adjustments .....				21.5
Change in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges .....				(2.4)
Unrealized gain on equity and securitization investments, net ..				9.3
Minimum pension liability adjustment .....				0.4
Total comprehensive income .....				
Cash dividends .....			(61.9)	
Restricted common stock grants amortization .....		23.1		
Treasury stock purchased, at cost ...				
Exercise of stock option awards .....		(48.9)		

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Employee stock purchase plan participation .....		(0.4)		
June 30, 2005 .....	\$2.1	\$10,648.1	\$ (4,084.3)	\$ (29.6)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)  
(\$ in millions)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
	(Restated)	
<b>Cash Flows From Operations</b>		
Net income (loss) .....	\$ 476.7	\$ 365.9
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operations:		
Depreciation and amortization .....	499.9	490.7
Provision for deferred federal income taxes .....	216.8	151.3
Provision for credit losses .....	92.5	175.2
Gains on equipment, receivable and investment sales .....	(164.3)	(115.7)
Gain on debt redemption .....	--	(41.8)
Decrease (increase) in finance receivables held for sale .....	278.8	(523.9)
(Increase) decrease in other assets .....	(41.6)	228.3
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities and payables .....	194.8	(223.4)
Other .....	(78.3)	(57.8)
Net cash flows provided by operations .....	1,475.3	448.8
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Loans extended .....	(26,792.6)	(24,551.1)
Collections on loans .....	24,869.2	22,739.1
Proceeds from asset and receivable sales .....	3,056.5	2,072.0
Purchase of finance receivable portfolios .....	(2,095.0)	(1,373.5)
Purchases of assets to be leased .....	(985.1)	(548.7)
Net decrease in short-term factoring receivables .....	(165.9)	(88.9)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired .....	(152.6)	--
Goodwill and intangibles assets acquired .....	(60.0)	--
Other .....	167.2	51.1
Net cash flows (used for) investing activities .....	(2,158.3)	(1,700.0)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Proceeds from the issuance of variable and fixed-rate notes .....	7,656.4	6,628.1
Repayments of variable and fixed-rate notes .....	(5,626.4)	(5,374.3)
Net decrease in commercial paper .....	(957.6)	(3.5)
Net loans extended -- pledged in conjunction with secured borrowings .....	(270.3)	--
Cash dividends paid .....	(61.9)	(55.9)
Net repayments of non-recourse leveraged lease debt .....	16.2	(103.3)
Other .....	(51.9)	(35.9)
	-----	-----
Net cash flows provided by financing activities .....	704.5	1,055.2
	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents .....	21.5	(196.0)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period .....	2,210.2	1,973.7
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period .....	\$ 2,231.7	\$ 1,777.7
	=====	=====
Supplementary Cash Flow Disclosure		
Interest paid .....	\$ 759.4	\$ 642.3
Federal, foreign, state and local income taxes paid, net .....	\$ 48.3	\$ 45.1

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited)

##### Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

CIT Group Inc., a Delaware corporation ("we," "CIT" or the "Company"), is a global commercial and consumer finance company that was founded in 1908. CIT provides financing and leasing capital for consumers and companies in a wide variety of industries, offering vendor, equipment, commercial, factoring, home lending, educational lending and structured financing products as well as rendering management advisory services. CIT operates primarily in North America, with locations in Europe, Latin America, Australia and the Asia-Pacific region.

These financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q, do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Financial statements in this Form 10-Q have not been audited by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (U.S.), but in the opinion of management include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of CIT's financial position and results of operations. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

##### Education Lending Acquisition

In February 2005, CIT acquired Education Lending Group, Inc. ("EDLG"), a specialty finance company principally engaged in providing education loans (primarily U.S. government guaranteed), products and services to students,



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

parents, schools and alumni associations. The shareholders of EDLG received \$19.05 per share or approximately \$383 million in cash. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method, with the acquired assets and liabilities recorded at their estimated fair values as of the February 17, 2005 acquisition date. The assets acquired included approximately \$4.4 billion of finance receivables and \$287 million of goodwill and intangible assets. This business is largely funded with "Education Loan Backed Notes," which are accounted for under SFAS No. 140 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities." The assets related to these borrowings are owned by a special purpose entity that is consolidated in the CIT financial statements, and the creditors of that special purpose entity have received ownership and / or security interests in the assets. As EDLG retains certain call features with respect to these borrowings, the transactions do not meet the SFAS 140 requirements for sales treatment and are therefore recorded as secured borrowings and are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as "Education lending receivables pledged" and "Non-recourse, secured borrowings -- education lending." Certain cash balances, included in cash and cash equivalents, are restricted in conjunction with these borrowings.

### Stock-Based Compensation

CIT has elected to apply Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25 ("APB 25") rather than the optional provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"), as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition and Disclosure" in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans. Under APB 25, CIT does not recognize compensation expense on the issuance of its stock options because the option terms are fixed and the exercise price equals the market price of the underlying stock on the grant date. The following table presents the proforma information required by SFAS 123 as if CIT had accounted for stock options granted under the fair value method of SFAS 123, as amended (\$ in millions, except per share data):

5

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

	Quarters Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
	----- (Restated)	-----
Net income as reported .....	\$249.1	\$176.6
Stock-based compensation expense -- fair value method, after tax .....	(4.8)	(5.4)
Proforma net income .....	\$244.3	\$171.2
	=====	=====
Basic earnings per share as reported .....	\$1.18	\$0.83
Basic earnings per share proforma .....	\$1.16	\$0.81
Diluted earnings per share as reported .....	\$1.16	\$0.82
Diluted earnings per share proforma .....	\$1.14	\$0.79

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

For the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, net income includes \$6.3 million and \$3.4 million of after-tax compensation cost related to restricted stock awards, while the year to date costs were \$14.1 million and \$7.4 million, respectively.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On January 1, 2005, the Company adopted Statement of Position No. 03-3, "Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer" ("SOP 03-3"). SOP 03-3 requires acquired loans to be carried at fair value and prohibits the establishment of credit loss valuation reserves at acquisition for loans that have evidence of credit deterioration since origination. The implementation of SOP 03-3 did not have a material financial statement impact.

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to SFAS No. 123, "Share-Based Payment" ("FAS 123R"). FAS 123R requires the recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based compensation plans as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. The current accounting for employee stock options is most impacted by this new standard, as costs associated with restricted stock awards are already recognized in net income and amounts associated with employee stock purchase plans are not significant. Similar to the proforma amounts disclosed historically, the compensation cost relating to options will be based upon the grant-date fair value of the award and will be recognized over the vesting period. The financial statement impact of adopting FAS 123R is not expected to differ materially from proforma amounts previously disclosed.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004" ("FSP 109-2"). Given the lack of clarification of certain provisions and the timing of the Act, FSP 109-2 allows for time beyond the year ended December 31, 2004 (the period of enactment) to evaluate the effect of the Act on plans for reinvestment or repatriation of foreign earnings for purposes of applying income tax accounting under SFAS No. 109. The implementation of FSP 109-2 is not expected to have a material financial statement impact on the Company, as there are no present plans to repatriate foreign earnings.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." On November 7, 2003, certain measurement and classification provisions of SFAS 150, relating to certain mandatorily redeemable non-controlling interests, were deferred indefinitely. The adoption of these delayed provisions, which relate primarily to minority interests associated with finite-lived entities, is not expected to have a material financial statement impact on the Company.

Restatement Relating to Derivative Hedge Accounting (see Note 16 -- Restatement Relating to Derivative Hedge Accounting for additional information).

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

### Note 2 -- Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The diluted EPS computation includes the potential impact of dilutive securities, including stock options and restricted stock grants. The dilutive effect of stock options is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes the repurchase of common shares by CIT at the average market price for the period. Options that do not have a dilutive effect (because the exercise price is above the market price) are not included in the denominator and averaged approximately 16.1 million shares and 16.7 million shares for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and 16.5 million shares and 16.4 million shares for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic EPS with that of diluted EPS is presented (\$ in millions, except per share amounts, which are in whole dollars; weighted-average share balances are in thousands):

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2005			In	
	Income	Shares	Per Share		(Nu
	(Numerator)	(Denominator)	Amount		(Nu
	(Restated)		(Restated)		
Basic EPS:					
Income available to common stockholders .....	\$249.1	210,506	\$1.18	\$	
Effect of Dilutive Securities:					
Restricted shares .....		890			
Performance shares .....		644			
Stock options .....		2,659			
Diluted EPS .....	\$249.1	214,699	\$1.16	\$	
	=====	=====		=	
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005				
	(Restated)		(Restated)		
Basic EPS:					
Income available to common stockholders .....	\$476.7	210,581	\$2.26	\$	
Effect of Dilutive Securities:					
Restricted shares .....		883			
Performance shares .....		537			
Stock options .....		2,893			
Diluted EPS .....	\$476.7	214,894	\$2.22	\$	
	=====	=====		=	

### Note 3 -- Business Segment Information

The selected financial information by business segment presented below is based upon the allocation of most corporate expenses. For the 2005 periods, capital is allocated to the segments by applying different leverage ratios to each business unit using market capitalization and risk criteria. The capital allocations reflect the relative risk of individual asset classes within

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

segments and range from approximately 2% of managed assets for U.S. government guaranteed loans to approximately 15% of managed assets for longer-term assets such as aerospace and rail.

During the second quarter of 2005, segment reporting was modified in conjunction with certain business restructuring initiatives. First, the former Commercial Finance segment has been divided into two segments, Commercial Services (factoring) and Corporate Finance. Corporate Finance includes the former Business Credit (asset based lending), Power, Energy and Infrastructure, which was transferred from Capital Finance, and Healthcare, which was transferred from Equipment Finance. Prior period balances have been adjusted to conform to current period presentation, except for the transfer of Healthcare assets and related income data (\$ in millions):

7

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

	Specialty Finance- commercial -----	Specialty Finance- consumer -----	Commercial Services -----	Corporate Finance -----	Equipment Finance -----	Capital Finance -----
Quarter Ended						
June 30, 2005						
(Restated)						
Operating margin .....	\$ 216.2	\$ 61.6	\$ 97.3	\$ 100.6	\$ 72.6	\$ 59.4
Income taxes .....	48.8	10.5	26.2	26.4	20.6	3.6
Net income (loss) .....	75.5	16.7	42.6	43.4	34.2	38.9
Quarter Ended						
June 30, 2004						
Operating margin .....	\$ 187.5	\$ 39.8	\$ 92.6	\$ 110.0	\$ 53.6	\$ 33.2
Income taxes .....	33.8	8.4	25.9	31.8	11.7	3.8
Net income (loss) .....	65.6	14.2	40.0	48.3	19.3	13.2
At or for the Six						
Months Ended						
June 30, 2005						
(Restated)						
Operating margin .....	\$ 427.5	\$ 111.8	\$ 185.9	\$ 196.1	\$ 128.2	\$ 114.6
Income taxes .....	89.8	20.5	48.4	51.3	34.1	14.3
Net income (loss) .....	153.4	32.4	79.9	85.1	55.5	65.5
Total financing and leasing assets .....	10,726.5	10,899.0	6,417.2	8,104.2	4,636.2	9,835.2
Total managed assets .....	14,523.7	11,926.6	6,417.2	8,158.2	7,217.3	9,835.2
At or for the Six						
Months Ended						
June 30, 2004						

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Operating margin .....	\$ 382.7	\$ 70.3	\$ 179.2	\$ 182.9	\$ 102.0	\$ 82.6
Income taxes .....	72.0	14.1	49.2	51.1	21.5	15.3
Net income (loss) .....	134.5	21.7	76.3	78.2	35.4	35.7
Total financing and leasing assets .....	10,322.2	3,756.5	5,808.6	6,335.2	6,847.5	8,383.5
Total managed assets .....	14,330.4	5,244.4	5,808.6	6,335.2	9,752.4	8,383.5

8

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

Note 4 -- Concentrations

The following table summarizes the geographic and industry compositions (by obligor) of financing and leasing portfolio assets (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005 ----- (Restated)		Decem -----
Geographic			
North America:			
West.....	\$10,023.3	19.8%	\$ 8,595
Northeast.....	9,372.3	18.5%	8,463
Midwest.....	8,297.7	16.4%	6,907
Southeast.....	7,270.3	14.4%	6,283
Southwest.....	5,114.9	10.1%	4,848
Canada.....	2,583.9	5.1%	2,483
	-----	-----	-----
Total North America.....	42,662.4	84.3%	37,580
Other foreign.....	7,955.9	15.7%	7,580
	-----	-----	-----
Total.....	\$50,618.3	100.0%	\$45,160
	=====	=====	=====
Industry			
Manufacturing(1).....	\$ 7,156.5	14.1%	\$ 6,932
Consumer based lending -- home lending.....	6,285.0	12.4%	5,069
Commercial airlines (including regional airlines).....	6,063.9	12.0%	5,512
Retail(2).....	5,993.6	11.8%	5,859
Consumer based lending -- education lending.....	4,291.5	8.5%	
Service industries.....	2,863.6	5.7%	2,854
Transportation(3).....	2,430.9	4.8%	2,969
Consumer based lending -- non-real estate(4).....	2,325.7	4.6%	2,480
Wholesaling.....	1,901.1	3.8%	1,727
Construction equipment.....	1,603.5	3.2%	1,603
Communications(5).....	1,255.7	2.5%	1,292
Healthcare services.....	1,134.5	2.2%	992
Other (no industry greater than 3.0%)(6).....	7,312.8	14.4%	7,867
	-----	-----	-----
Total.....	\$50,618.3	100.0%	\$45,160
	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

- 
- (1) Includes manufacturers of apparel (2.9%), followed by food and kindred products, textiles, transportation equipment, chemical and allied products, rubber and plastics, industrial machinery and equipment, and other industries.
  - (2) Includes retailers of apparel (4.8%) and general merchandise (3.8%).
  - (3) Includes rail, bus, over-the-road trucking industries and business aircraft.
  - (4) Includes receivables from consumers in the Specialty Finance -- commercial segment for products in various industries such as computers and related equipment and the remaining manufactured housing portfolio.
  - (5) Includes \$278.9 million and \$335.2 million of equipment financed for the telecommunications industry at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, but excludes telecommunications equipment financed for other industries.
  - (6) Includes financing and leasing assets in the energy, power and utilities sectors, which totaled \$1.1 billion, or 2.1% of total financing and leasing assets at June 30, 2005. This amount includes approximately \$740.8 million in project financing and \$262.5 million in rail cars on lease.

9

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

Note 5 -- Retained Interests in Securitizations and Other Investments

The following table details the components of retained interests in securitizations and other investments (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005	D
	-----	
Commercial:		
Retained subordinated securities.....	\$ 413.1	
Interest-only strips.....	324.2	
Cash reserve accounts.....	271.3	
	-----	
Total retained interests in commercial loans.....	1,008.6	
	-----	
Consumer: (1)		
Retained subordinated securities.....	68.6	
Interest-only strips.....	8.8	
Cash reserve accounts.....	--	
	-----	
Total retained interests in consumer loans.....	77.4	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Total retained interests in securitizations.....	1,086.0
Aerospace equipment trust certificates and other(2).....	36.0
	-----
Total.....	\$1,122.0
	=====

-----

(1) Comprised of amounts related to home lending receivables securitized.

(2) At December 31, 2004 other includes a \$4.7 million investment in common stock received as part of a loan work-out of an aerospace account.

Note 6 -- Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) / Income

The following table details the components of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) / income, net of tax (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005
	-----
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges.....	\$(29.5)
Foreign currency translation adjustments.....	(15.7)
Minimum pension liability adjustments.....	(2.3)
Unrealized gain on equity and securitization investments.....	17.9
	-----
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss.....	\$(29.6)
	=====

The changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges related to variations in market interest rates during the quarter, as these derivatives effectively convert an equivalent amount of variable-rate debt, including commercial paper, to fixed rates of interest. See Note 7 for additional information.

Total comprehensive income for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$188.3 million and \$254.9 million and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 was \$505.5 million and \$389.4 million.

Note 7 -- Derivative Financial Instruments

As part of managing exposure to interest rate, foreign currency, and, in limited instances, credit risk, CIT, as an end-user, enters into various derivative transactions, all of which are transacted in over-the-counter markets with other financial institutions. Derivatives are utilized to hedge exposures, and not for speculative purposes. To ensure both appropriate use as a hedge and to achieve hedge accounting treatment, whenever possible, substantially all derivatives entered into are designated according to a hedge objective against a specific or forecasted liability or, in limited instances, assets. The notional amounts, rates, indices, and maturities of derivatives closely match the related terms of the underlying hedged items.

# Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

## CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

CIT utilizes interest rate swaps to convert variable-rate interest underlying forecasted issuances of commercial paper, specific variable-rate debt instruments, and, in limited instances, variable-rate assets to fixed-rate amounts. These interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedges and changes in fair value of these swaps, to the extent they are effective as a hedge, are recorded in other comprehensive income. Amounts related to hedges to the extent ineffective are recorded in interest expense.

The components of the adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss for derivatives qualifying as hedges of future cash flows are presented in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Fair Value Adjustments of Derivatives	Income Tax Effects
	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2004 -- unrealized loss.....	\$(41.3)	\$14.2
Changes in values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges...	(3.9)	1.5
	-----	-----
Balance at June 30, 2005 -- unrealized loss.....	\$(45.2)	\$15.7
	=====	=====

The unrealized loss for the six months ended and as of June 30, 2005 primarily reflects our use of interest rate swaps to effectively convert variable-rate debt to fixed-rate debt followed by increased market interest rates. The Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (along with the corresponding swap asset or liability) will be adjusted as market interest rates change over the remaining life of the swaps. Assuming no change in interest rates, approximately \$7.2 million, net of tax, of the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss as of June 30, 2005 is expected to be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months as contractual cash payments are made.

The ineffective amounts, due to changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges, are recorded as either an increase or decrease to interest expense as presented in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Ineffectiveness	Incr In
	-----	-----
Quarter ended June 30, 2005 (Restated).....	\$1.7	
Quarter ended June 30, 2004.....	\$0.4	
Six months ended June 30, 2005 (Restated).....	\$0.6	
Six months ended June 30, 2004.....	\$0.7	

CIT also utilizes interest rate swaps to convert fixed-rate interest on specific debt instruments to variable-rate amounts. These interest rate swaps are designated as fair value hedges and changes in fair value of these swaps are



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

effectively recorded as an adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged item, as the offsetting changes in fair value of the swaps and the hedged items are recorded in earnings.

The following table presents the notional principal amounts of interest rate swaps by class and the corresponding hedged item (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005 -----	December 31, 2004 -----	
Floating to fixed-rate swaps.....	\$ 4,399.1	\$ 3,533.6	Effectively o an equivalent paper, variab assets to a f
Fixed to floating-rate swaps.....	7,781.4 -----	7,642.6 -----	Effectively o an equivalent and selected
Total interest rate swaps.....	\$12,180.5 =====	\$11,176.2 =====	

11

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

In addition to the swaps in the table above, in conjunction with securitizations, at June 30, 2005, CIT has \$2.4 billion in notional amount of interest rate swaps outstanding with the related trusts to protect the trusts against interest rate risk. CIT entered into offsetting swap transactions with third parties totaling \$2.4 billion in notional amount at June 30, 2005 to insulate the related interest rate risk.

CIT also utilizes foreign currency exchange forward contracts and cross-currency swaps to hedge currency risk underlying foreign currency loans to subsidiaries and the net investments in foreign operations. These contracts are designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges or net investment hedges and changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss along with the translation gains and losses on the underlying hedged items. CIT utilizes cross currency swaps to hedge currency risk underlying foreign currency debt and selected foreign currency assets. These swaps are designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges or foreign currency fair value hedges and changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss (for cash flow hedges), or effectively as a basis adjustment (including the impact of the offsetting adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged item) to the hedged item (for fair value hedges) along with the transaction gains and losses on the underlying hedged items.

During 2005 and 2004, CIT entered into credit default swaps, with a combined notional value of \$118.0 million and terms of 5 years, to economically hedge certain CIT credit exposures. These swaps do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting treatment and therefore are recorded at fair value, with both realized and unrealized gains or losses recorded in other revenue in the consolidated statement of income. The fair value adjustment for the quarter and

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

six months ended June 30, 2005 amounted to a \$1.3 million and \$5.5 million pretax gain. CIT also has certain cross-currency swaps (with a combined notional principal of \$1,635 million (Restated)) and an interest rate swap (basis swap denominated in U.S. dollars with notional principal of \$935 million) that was acquired in the education lending acquisition. These instruments economically hedge exposures, but do not qualify for hedge accounting. These derivatives are recorded at fair value, with both realized and unrealized gains or losses recorded in other revenue in the consolidated statement of income.

### Note 8 -- Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

CIT is a partner with Dell Inc. ("Dell") in Dell Financial Services L.P. ("DFS"), a joint venture that offers financing to Dell's customers. The joint venture provides Dell with financing and leasing capabilities that are complementary to its product offerings and provides CIT with a source of new financings. The joint venture agreement provides Dell with the option to purchase CIT's 30% interest in DFS in February 2008 based on a formula tied to DFS profitability, within a range of \$100 million to \$345 million. CIT has the right to purchase a minimum percentage of DFS's finance receivables on a declining scale through January 2010.

CIT regularly purchases finance receivables from DFS at a premium, portions of which are typically securitized within 90 days of purchase from DFS. CIT has limited recourse to DFS on defaulted contracts. In accordance with the joint venture agreement, net income and losses generated by DFS as determined under GAAP are allocated 70% to Dell and 30% to CIT. The DFS board of directors voting representation is equally weighted between designees of CIT and Dell, with one independent director. DFS is not consolidated in CIT's financial statements and is accounted for under the equity method. At June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, financing and leasing assets related to the DFS program included in the CIT Consolidated Balance Sheet (but excluding certain related International receivables originated directly by CIT) were approximately \$2.2 billion and \$2.0 billion, and securitized assets included in managed assets were approximately \$2.3 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively. CIT's investment in the form of equity and loans to the joint venture was approximately \$233 million and \$267 million at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

CIT also has a joint venture arrangement with Snap-on Incorporated ("Snap-on") that has a similar business purpose and model to the DFS arrangement described above, including limited credit recourse on defaulted receivables. The agreement with Snap-on was recently extended until January 2009. CIT and Snap-on have 50% ownership interests, 50% board of directors' representation, and share income and losses equally. The Snap-on joint venture is accounted for under the equity method and is not consolidated in CIT's financial statements. At both June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, financing and leasing assets were approximately \$1.1 billion and

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

securitized assets included in managed assets were \$0.1 billion. In addition to the owned and securitized assets purchased from the Snap-on joint venture, CIT's investment in and loans to the joint venture were approximately \$12 million and \$16 million at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

Since December 2000, CIT has been a joint venture partner with Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce ("CIBC") in an entity that is engaged in asset-based

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

lending in Canada. Both CIT and CIBC have a 50% ownership interest in the joint venture, and share income and losses equally. This entity is not consolidated in CIT's financial statements and is accounted for under the equity method. At June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, CIT's investment in and loans to the joint venture were approximately \$257 million and \$191 million.

CIT invests in various trusts, partnerships, and limited liability corporations established in conjunction with structured financing transactions of equipment, power and infrastructure projects. CIT's interests in certain of these entities were acquired by CIT in a 1999 acquisition, and others were subsequently entered into in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, other assets included approximately \$17 million and \$19 million of investments in non-consolidated entities relating to such transactions that are accounted for under the equity or cost methods.

Certain shareholders of CIT provide investment management, banking and investment banking services in the normal course of business.

### Note 9 -- Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans

The following table discloses various components of pension expense (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
	----	----
<b>Retirement Plans</b>		
Service cost .....	\$ 4.9	\$ 4.4
Interest cost .....	4.3	3.9
Expected return on plan assets .....	(4.8)	(4.0)
Amortization of net loss .....	0.7	0.7
	-----	-----
Net periodic benefit cost .....	5.1	5.0
Loss due to settlements and curtailments .....	0.5	--
Cost for special termination benefits .....	2.3	--
	-----	-----
Net amount recognized .....	\$ 7.9	\$ 5.0
	=====	=====
<b>Postretirement Plans</b>		
Service cost .....	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.4
Interest cost .....	0.8	0.9
Amortization of net loss .....	0.3	0.2
	-----	-----
Net periodic benefit cost .....	\$ 1.6	\$ 1.5
	=====	=====

CIT contributed \$6.5 million to its pension plans for the six months ended June 30, 2005, and currently expects to fund approximately an additional \$15 million during the second half of 2005.

## CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

## Note 10 -- Commitments and Contingencies

Financing and leasing asset commitments, referred to as loan commitments or lines of credit, are agreements to lend to customers subject to the customers' compliance with contractual obligations. The accompanying table summarizes these and other credit-related commitments as well as purchase and funding commitments (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005		
	Due to Expire		Total Outstanding
	Within One Year	After One Year	
Financing Commitments			
Financing and leasing commitments.....	\$1,725.2	\$7,611.9	\$9,337.1
Letters of credit and acceptances:			
Standby letters of credit.....	499.0	43.3	542.3
Other letters of credit.....	657.9	0.4	658.3
Acceptances.....	27.3	--	27.3
Guarantees.....	144.2	12.1	156.3
Purchase and Funding Commitments			
Aerospace purchase commitments.....	794.0	766.0	1,560.0
Other equipment purchase commitments.....	593.1	--	593.1
Sale-leaseback payments.....	10.0	462.4	472.4
Venture capital fund investment commitments.....	--	--	--

In addition to the amounts shown in the table above, unused, cancelable lines of credit to consumers in connection with a third-party vendor program, which may be used to finance additional technology product purchases, amounted to approximately \$13.1 billion and \$9.8 billion at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. These uncommitted vendor-related lines of credit can be reduced or canceled by CIT at any time without notice. Our experience does not indicate that customers will exercise their entire available line of credit at any point in time.

In the normal course of meeting the needs of its customers, CIT also enters into commitments to provide financing, letters of credit and guarantees. Standby letters of credit obligate CIT to pay the beneficiary of the letter of credit in the event that a CIT client to which the letter of credit was issued does not meet its related obligation to the beneficiary. These financial instruments generate fees and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. To minimize potential credit risk, CIT generally requires collateral and other forms of credit support from the customer.

Guarantees are issued primarily in conjunction with CIT's factoring

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

product, whereby CIT provides the client with credit protection for its trade receivables without actually purchasing the receivables. The trade terms are generally sixty days or less. In the event that the customer is unable to pay according to the contractual terms, then the receivables would be purchased from the client. As of June 30, 2005, there were no outstanding liabilities relating to these credit-related commitments or guarantees, as amounts are generally billed and collected on a monthly basis.

CIT has entered into aerospace commitments to purchase commercial aircraft from both Airbus Industries and The Boeing Company. The commitment amounts detailed in the preceding table are based on estimated values, as actual amounts will vary based upon market factors at the time of delivery. Pursuant to existing contractual commitments, 34 aircraft remain to be purchased (18 within the next twelve months). Lease commitments are in place for ten of the remaining aircraft to be delivered over the next twelve months. The order amount excludes CIT's options to purchase additional aircraft.

Outstanding commitments to purchase equipment to be leased to customers, other than aircraft, relates primarily to rail equipment. Additionally, CIT is party to railcar sale-leaseback transactions under which it is obligated to pay a remaining total of \$472.4 million, approximately \$31 million per year through 2010 and

14

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

declining thereafter through 2024, which is more than offset by CIT's re-lease of the assets, contingent on its ability to maintain railcar usage. In conjunction with this sale-leaseback transaction, CIT has guaranteed all obligations of the related consolidated lessee entity.

CIT has guaranteed the public and private debt securities of a number of its wholly-owned, consolidated subsidiaries, including those disclosed in Note 14 -- Summarized Financial Information of Subsidiaries. In the normal course of business, various consolidated CIT subsidiaries have entered into other credit agreements and certain derivative transactions with financial institutions that are guaranteed by CIT. These transactions are generally used by CIT's subsidiaries outside of the U.S. to allow the local subsidiary to borrow funds in local currencies.

#### Note 11 -- Legal Proceedings

On September 9, 2004, Exquisite Caterers Inc., et al. v. Popular Leasing Inc., et al. ("Exquisite Caterers"), a putative national class action, was filed against 13 financial institutions, including CIT, which had acquired equipment leases ("NorVergence Leases") from NorVergence, Inc., a reseller of telecommunications and Internet services to businesses. The Exquisite Caterers lawsuit is now pending in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County. Exquisite Caterers has alleged that NorVergence misrepresented the capabilities of the equipment leased to its customers and overcharged for the equipment. The complaint asserts that the NorVergence Leases are unenforceable and seeks rescission, punitive damages, treble damages and attorneys' fees. In addition, putative class action suits in Florida, Illinois, New York and Texas and several individual suits, all based upon the same core allegations and seeking the same relief, were filed by NorVergence customers against CIT and other financial institutions. On June 16, 2005, the Court in Exquisite Caterers denied the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs filed a motion for

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

reconsideration of the Court's denial. Thereafter, the putative class action suits in Florida and New York and one of the putative class action suits in Illinois were dismissed as to CIT, leaving pending putative class action suits in Illinois and Texas.

On July 14, 2004, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court ordered the liquidation of NorVergence under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Thereafter, the Attorneys General of several states commenced investigations of NorVergence and the financial institutions, including CIT, that purchased NorVergence Leases. CIT has entered into settlement agreements with all of those Attorneys General except for California and Texas. Under those settlements, lessees will have an opportunity to resolve all claims by and against CIT by paying a percentage of the remaining balance on their lease. CIT has also produced documents related to NorVergence at the request of the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"). No further action by the FTC against CIT is expected. In addition, on February 15, 2005, CIT was served with a subpoena seeking the production of documents in a grand jury proceeding being conducted by the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York in connection with an investigation of transactions related to NorVergence. CIT has produced documents in response to that subpoena.

In addition, there are various proceedings that have been brought against CIT in the ordinary course of business. While the outcomes of the NorVergence related litigation and the ordinary course legal proceedings, and the related activities, are not certain, based on present assessments, management does not believe that they will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of CIT.

### Note 12 -- Severance and Facility Restructuring Reserves

The following table summarizes previously established purchase accounting liabilities (pre-tax) related to severance of employees and closing of facilities, as well as restructuring activities during 2005 (\$ in millions):

	Severance		Facilities	
	Number of Employees	Reserve	Number of Facilities	Reserve
Balance at December 31, 2004.....	129	\$12.2	15	\$ 5.7
2005 additions.....	172	20.9	--	2.5
2005 utilization.....	(140)	(8.4)	(5)	(1.0)
	----	----	--	----
Balance at June 30, 2005.....	161	\$24.7	10	\$ 7.2
	====	=====	==	=====

### CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

The beginning severance reserves relate primarily to the 2004 acquisition of a Western European vendor finance and leasing business, and include amounts payable within the year after the acquisition to individuals who chose to receive payments on a periodic basis. Severance and facilities restructuring

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

liabilities were established under purchase accounting in conjunction with fair value adjustments to acquired assets and liabilities. The additions during 2005 are largely employee termination benefits related to the realignment of the Commercial Finance Group business segments and other business streamlining activities (\$20.3 million, which was recorded as a component of the \$25.2 million restructuring charge within Corporate and Other). The 2005 addition also included facility exit plan refinements relating to the acquired Western European vendor finance and leasing business, which were recorded as fair value adjustments to purchased liabilities / adjustments to goodwill. The employee termination benefits accrued during the second quarter will largely be paid during the quarter ending September 30, 2005. The facility reserves relate primarily to shortfalls in sublease transactions and will be utilized over the remaining lease terms, generally 6 years.

### Note 13 -- Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net

Goodwill and intangible assets totaled \$903.1 million and \$596.5 million at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. The Company periodically reviews and evaluates its goodwill and other intangible assets for potential impairment. Effective October 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"), under which goodwill is no longer amortized but instead is assessed periodically for impairment.

The most recent goodwill and intangible asset impairment analyses indicated that the fair values of goodwill and intangible assets were in excess of the carrying values.

The following table summarizes the goodwill balance by segment (\$ in millions):

	Specialty Finance - commercial -----	Specialty Finance - consumer -----	Commercial Services -----	Cor Fi ---
Balance at December 31, 2004.....	\$62.3	\$ --	\$261.6	\$
Additions, foreign currency translation, other.....	1.9	257.2	(0.1)	-
	-----	-----	-----	-
Balance at June 30, 2005.....	\$64.2	\$257.2	\$261.5	\$
	=====	=====	=====	=

The increase in goodwill during the period was primarily due to the education lending acquisition in Specialty Finance -- consumer.

Other intangible assets, net, are comprised primarily of acquired customer relationships (Specialty Finance and Commercial Service balances), as well as proprietary computer software and related transaction processes (Commercial Services). The following table summarizes the net intangible asset balances by segment (\$ in millions):

	Specialty Finance - commercial -----	Specialty Finance - consumer -----	Commercial Services -----
Balance at December 31, 2004.....	\$68.0	\$ --	\$ 95.8
Additions, foreign currency translation, other.....	(1.9)	29.4	30.3

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Amortization.....	(5.0)	(0.2)	(5.0)
	-----	-----	-----
Balance at June 30, 2005.....	\$61.1	\$29.2	\$121.1
	=====	=====	=====

The increase was primarily related to the education lending acquisition in Specialty Finance -- consumer and a factoring acquisition in Commercial Services during the first quarter of 2005. Other intangible assets are being amortized over their corresponding lives ranging from five to twenty years in relation to the related cash flows, where applicable. Amortization expense totaled \$5.5 million and \$10.2 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2005 versus \$2.3 million and \$4.5 million for the respective prior year periods.

16

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

Accumulated amortization totaled \$33.9 million and \$23.7 million at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. The projected amortization for the years ended December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2009 is: \$21.1 million for 2005; \$20.8 million for 2006; \$17.5 million for 2007; \$17.6 million for 2008 and \$17.8 million for 2009.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, segment reporting was modified in conjunction with various business realignments. The December 31, 2004 balances have been conformed to the current presentation. See Note 3 -- Business Segment Information for additional information.

Note 14 -- Summarized Financial Information of Subsidiaries

The following presents condensed consolidating financial information for CIT Holdings LLC and Capita Corporation (formerly AT&T Capital Corporation). CIT has guaranteed on a full and unconditional basis the existing debt securities that were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and certain other indebtedness of these subsidiaries. CIT has not presented related financial statements or other information for these subsidiaries on a stand-alone basis (\$ in millions):

CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS	CIT Group Inc.	Capita Corporation	CIT Holdings LLC	Ot Subsi
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
June 30, 2005 (Restated)				
ASSETS				
Net finance receivables .....	\$ 1,051.2	\$3,592.4	\$1,891.7	\$33
Operating lease equipment, net .....	--	526.1	134.8	7
Finance receivables held for sale .....	--	124.3	54.9	1
Cash and cash equivalents .....	876.7	676.0	267.2	
Other assets .....	9,595.9	60.6	16.4	1
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Assets .....	\$ 11,523.8	\$4,979.4	\$2,365.0	\$44
	=====	=====	=====	=====



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Debt .....	\$ 33,398.8	\$ 150.1	\$3,873.4	\$ 5
Credit balances of factoring clients .....	--	--	--	3
Accrued liabilities and payables .....	(28,321.8)	4,243.5	(3,341.7)	31
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Liabilities .....	5,077.0	4,393.6	531.7	40
Minority interest .....		--	--	
Total Stockholders' Equity .....	6,446.8	585.8	1,833.3	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity .....	\$ 11,523.8	\$4,979.4	\$2,365.0	\$44
	=====	=====	=====	=====

December 31, 2004

ASSETS				
Net finance receivables .....	\$ 1,121.1	\$3,129.8	\$1,682.7	\$28
Operating lease equipment, net .....	--	517.9	130.8	7
Finance receivables held for sale .....	--	122.4	72.0	1
Cash and cash equivalents .....	1,311.4	670.8	127.5	
Other assets .....	9,536.8	(278.9)	316.2	1
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Assets .....	\$ 11,969.3	\$4,162.0	\$2,329.2	\$38
	=====	=====	=====	=====

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Debt .....	\$ 34,699.1	\$ 487.8	\$1,383.8	\$ 1
Credit balances of factoring clients .....	--	--	--	3
Accrued liabilities and payables .....	(28,784.9)	3,184.5	(591.3)	29
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Liabilities .....	5,914.2	3,672.3	792.5	34
Minority interest .....		--	--	
Total Stockholders' Equity .....	6,055.1	489.7	1,536.7	4
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity .....	\$ 11,969.3	\$4,162.0	\$2,329.2	\$38
	=====	=====	=====	=====

17

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME	CIT Group Inc.	Capita Corporation	CIT Holdings LLC	Ot Subsi
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)				
Finance income .....	\$ 23.2	\$ 330.9	\$112.7	\$1,
Interest expense .....	(32.8)	82.1	40.4	
	-----	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net finance income .....	56.0	248.8	72.3	
Depreciation on operating lease equipment .....	--	133.6	22.0	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin .....	56.0	115.2	50.3	
Provision for credit losses .....	(4.8)	22.6	5.8	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin, after provision for credit losses .....	60.8	92.6	44.5	
Equity in net income of subsidiaries .....	453.2	--	--	
Other revenue .....	70.6	68.4	82.9	
Net gain on venture capital investments .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating margin .....	584.6	161.0	127.4	
Operating expenses .....	84.9	104.7	51.6	
Provision for restructuring .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes .....	499.7	56.3	75.8	
Benefit (provision) for income taxes .....	(23.0)	(20.7)	(27.9)	
Minority interest, after tax .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income .....	\$ 476.7	\$ 35.6	\$ 47.9	\$
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Six Months Ended June 30, 2004				
Finance income .....	\$ 15.5	\$ 359.6	\$ 93.7	\$1,
Interest expense .....	(43.5)	105.6	7.5	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net finance income .....	59.0	254.0	86.2	
Depreciation on operating lease equipment .....	--	161.4	21.4	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin .....	59.0	92.6	64.8	
Provision for credit losses .....	7.7	25.5	5.2	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin, after provision for credit losses .....	51.3	67.1	59.6	
Equity in net income of subsidiaries .....	341.2	--	--	
Other revenue .....	(2.2)	77.0	50.6	
Net gain on venture capital investments .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating margin .....	390.3	144.1	110.2	
Operating expenses .....	56.7	71.7	50.9	
Gain on redemption of debt .....	41.8	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes .....	375.4	72.4	59.3	
Provision for income taxes .....	(9.5)	(28.2)	(23.1)	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income .....	\$ 365.9	\$ 44.2	\$ 36.2	\$
	=====	=====	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Continued)

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS -----	CIT Group Inc. -----	Capita Corporation -----	CIT Holdings LLC -----	Ot Subsi -----
Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)				
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:				
Net cash flows provided by (used for) operations .....	\$ 6,180.5	\$ (267.5)	\$ 633.9	\$ (5
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:				
Net increase (decrease) in financing and leasing assets .....	74.7	(594.9)	(205.7)	(1
Inter-company loans and investments .....	(5,327.7)	--	--	
Other .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flows (used for) provided by investing activities .....	(5,253.0)	(594.9)	(205.7)	(1
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Net increase (decrease) in debt .....	(1,300.3)	(337.7)	2,489.6	
Net loans extended -- pledged .....	--	--	--	
Inter-company financing .....	--	1,205.3	(2,778.1)	6
Cash dividends paid .....	(61.9)	--	--	
Other .....	--	--	--	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities .....	(1,362.2)	867.6	(288.5)	6
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents .....	(434.7)	5.2	139.7	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period .....	1,311.4	670.8	127.5	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period ...	\$ 876.7	\$ 676.0	\$ 267.2	\$
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Six Months Ended June 30, 2004				
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:				
Net cash flows provided by operations .....	\$ 128.8	\$ 514.0	\$ 166.4	\$
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:				
Net (decrease) increase in financing and leasing assets .....	457.5	322.3	(122.7)	(2
Inter-company loans and investments .....	(2,453.7)	--	--	
Other .....	--	--	--	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net cash flows (used for) provided by investing activities .....	(1,996.2)	322.3	(122.7)	(2)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Net increase (decrease) in debt .....	1,475.1	(566.1)	6.8	
Inter-company financing .....	--	(134.5)	47.4	2
Cash dividends paid .....	(55.9)	--	--	
Other .....	--	--	--	
Net cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities .....	1,419.2	(700.6)	54.2	2
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents .....	(448.2)	135.7	97.9	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period .....	1,479.9	410.6	227.5	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period ...	\$ 1,031.7	\$ 546.3	\$ 325.4	\$

19

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Unaudited) (Concluded)

Note 15 -- Subsequent Events

In connection with a share repurchase program authorized by the Company's Board of Directors, on July 19, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("Goldman Sachs") to purchase shares of the Company's common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$500 million under an accelerated stock buyback program. The buyback agreement provides for the upfront delivery of \$500 million to Goldman Sachs and the initial delivery of shares to CIT, followed by the potential delivery of additional shares depending upon the price of CIT common stock during the term of the program. Additional shares may be delivered to CIT at two subsequent dates, during the third quarter when minimum and maximum number of shares will be set and in the fourth quarter at the end of the program. Repurchased shares delivered to CIT will be held in treasury.

The number of additional shares the Company may receive over the remaining term of the agreement, which expires during December 2005, will generally be based upon the volume-weighted average price of the Company's common stock during the term of the program. However, as part of the agreement, minimum and maximum share prices will be set, which will serve to determine the number of shares to be received. The minimum and maximum share prices will be established based upon the volume-weighted average price, during a period that began on July 25, 2005, and will be completed during the third quarter of 2005. The Company expects that the program will be completed in December 2005, although in certain circumstances the completion date may be accelerated or extended.

In connection with the program, the Company expects that Goldman Sachs will purchase shares of the Company's common stock in the open market over time. Also in conjunction with the program, Goldman Sachs may sell CIT shares in the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

open market over time. These activities undertaken by Goldman Sachs are expected to increase the amount of short interest in the Company's stock, but will be reversed over the course of the agreement term.

On July 28, 2005, the Company delivered \$500 million to Goldman Sachs and received the initial delivery of approximately 8.2 million shares, while retaining the right to receive additional shares as explained above. The 8.2 million shares represents 80% of the minimum expected share delivery based upon preliminary pricing. In no event, will CIT receive less than the 8.2 million shares.

On July 26, 2005, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate amount of Series A and Series B preferred equity securities. The offering was comprised of \$350 million 6.35% non-cumulative fixed rate Series A preferred stock and \$150 million 5.189% non-cumulative adjustable rate Series B preferred stock. Holders of the Series A preferred shares will be entitled to receive dividends as declared, at an annual rate of 6.35%. Holders of the Series B preferred shares will be entitled to receive dividends as declared, at an annual rate of 5.189% through and including September 15, 2010, and thereafter at an annual floating rate spread over a pre-specified benchmark rate. Both the Series A and Series B preferred stock are callable at par at any time after September 15, 2010. The intended use of proceeds from this offering was to fund the repurchase of our common stock in conjunction with the previously announced accelerated stock buyback program.

On July 19, 2005, the Company announced the definitive agreement to acquire Healthcare Business Credit Corporation ("HBCC"), a full service healthcare financing company that specializes in asset-based and cash flow financing to U.S. healthcare providers. HBCC, which is located in New Jersey, has approximately \$500 million in assets and \$1 billion in lending commitments. The transaction is expected to close in the third quarter of 2005.

20

### Note 16 -- Restatement Relating to Derivative Hedge Accounting

During the fourth quarter of 2005, we learned of an interpretation with respect to applying the "matched terms" approach in hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended ("SFAS 133"). We reviewed our accounting for certain cross-currency interest rate swaps ("compound swaps" or "compound derivatives") under SFAS 133.

We determined that certain compound swaps were not appropriately accounted for, even though these compound swaps were highly effective economic hedges of the interest rate and currency exchange risks associated with foreign denominated debt. We documented these swaps originally as "matched terms" hedges, which assumes no hedge ineffectiveness. The swaps would have qualified for "long-haul" hedge accounting with ineffectiveness reflected in current earnings. However, the swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment from their inception, as SFAS 133 does not allow for subsequent documentation modifications.

The elimination of hedge accounting from inception of the compound swaps resulted in an increase in pre-tax income of \$52.4 million and \$80.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, to reflect the elimination of adjustments to the basis of the corresponding debt under SFAS 133 fair value hedge accounting for changes in interest rates during the period. This amount includes a year to date increase in other revenue for 2004 and prior derivative

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

hedge accounting adjustments that management determined to be immaterial to the 2004 annual and interim financial statements. This increase to revenues in the six-month period will reduce future earnings by an equal amount through 2015. We are also including other previously identified, immaterial, in-period financial statement changes for various revenue and expense accruals in conjunction with this restatement with respect to year to date results.

The primary impacts of this restatement of non-cash items on our financial statements are as follows (\$ in millions, per share amounts in dollars):

At or for the Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2005	Quarter			Previously Reported
	Previously Reported	Restated	Change	
<b>Income Statement</b>				
Finance income	\$ 1,106.7	\$ 1,100.7	\$ (6.0)	\$ 2,128.7
Interest expense	466.7	467.8	1.1	860.9
Other revenue	278.9	331.3	52.4	518.3
Salaries and general operating expenses	271.8	268.8	(3.0)	532.8
Provision for income taxes	113.0	132.9	19.9	235.8
Net income	220.7	249.1	28.4	431.1
Basic earnings per share	1.05	1.18	0.13	2.05
Diluted earnings per share	1.03	1.16	0.13	2.01
<b>Balance Sheet</b>				
Finance receivables and education lending receivables	40,509.3	40,507.9	(1.4)	
Total debt	43,458.5	43,376.8	(81.7)	
Accrued liabilities and payables	3,748.5	3,783.2	34.7	
Total stockholders' equity	6,401.2	6,446.8	45.6	

The effect of the restatement on our statement of financial position at the end of the reported periods is immaterial and the restatement has no effect on our cash flows.

21

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations  
and  
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Certain data within this section has been "Restated" as detailed in Note 16 -- Restatement Relating to Derivative Hedge Accounting to the financial statements.

CIT Group Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a global commercial and consumer finance company that was founded in 1908. CIT provides financing and leasing capital for consumers and companies in a wide variety of industries, offering vendor, equipment, commercial, factoring, home lending, educational lending and structured financing products as well as rendering management

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

advisory services.

Refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 for a glossary of key terms used in our business and an overview of profitability drivers and related metrics.

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk" contain certain non-GAAP financial measures. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for additional information.

### Profitability

Profitability measurements for the respective periods are presented in the table below:

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2005	2004	2005
	-----	-----	-----
	(Restated)		(Restated)
Net income.....	\$249.1	\$176.6	\$476.7
Net income per diluted share.....	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.82	\$ 2.22
Net income as a percentage of AEA.....	2.10%	1.86%	2.08%
Return on average tangible common equity.....	18.3%	13.7%	17.4%
Return on average common equity.....	15.7%	12.5%	15.2%

-----  
 For the six months ended June 30, 2004, net income, net income per diluted share, net income as a percentage of average earning assets ("AEA"), return on average tangible equity and return on average equity excluding gain on redemption of debt, were \$340.4 million, \$1.58, 1.82%, 13.4% and 12.2%, respectively.

Current quarter net income includes: a pre-tax \$25.2 million restructuring charge corresponding to the termination benefits of approximately 200 employees in conjunction with the realignment of Commercial Finance and other business streamlining activities (detailed further in Note 12 -- Severance and Facility Restructuring Reserves) and a pre-tax \$22.0 million gain on the sale of a significant portion of the business aircraft portfolio. Current quarter and year to date 2005 also include pre-tax mark-to-market gains of \$52.4 million and \$80.1 million relating to derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Prior year first half net income included a pre-tax \$41.8 million gain on early debt redemption. Excluding these transactions, the improved results reflected lower charge-offs, strong non-spread revenues and a lower effective tax rate.

### Results by Business Segment

The tables that follow summarize selected financial information by business segment. See Note 3 -- Business Segments Information for details on 2005 realignment initiatives and measuring segment performance using risk-adjusted capital. The 2004 results have been conformed to the current presentation reflecting the revised 2005 capital allocation methodology and

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

certain asset transfers, as described in Note 3. (\$ in millions)

	Quarters Ended June 30,				
	2005			2004	
	(Restated)				
	Net Income	Return on AEA	Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	Net Income	Return on AEA
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$ 75.5	2.71%	22.8%	\$ 65.6	2.66%
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	16.7	0.63%	9.5%	14.2	1.60%
Total Specialty Finance.....	92.2	1.70%	17.8%	79.8	2.37%
Commercial Services.....	42.6	6.43%	25.5%	40.0	5.87%
Corporate Finance.....	43.4	2.21%	20.6%	48.3	2.97%
Equipment Finance.....	34.2	2.19%	15.6%	19.3	1.12%
Capital Finance.....	38.9	1.72%	12.4%	13.2	0.65%
Total Commercial Finance.....	159.1	2.47%	17.5%	120.8	1.99%
Corporate, including certain charges	(2.2)	(0.02)%	--	(24.0)	(0.27)%
Total.....	\$249.1	2.10%	18.3%	\$176.6	1.86%

22

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2005			2004	
	(Restated)				
	Net Income	Return on AEA	Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	Net Income	Return on AEA
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$153.4	2.75%	23.4%	\$134.5	2.74%
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	32.4	0.71%	10.2%	21.7	1.33%
Total Specialty Finance.....	185.8	1.83%	18.8%	156.2	2.39%
Commercial Services.....	79.9	6.23%	25.0%	76.3	5.76%
Corporate Finance.....	85.1	2.19%	20.4%	78.2	2.40%
Equipment Finance.....	55.5	1.78%	12.6%	35.4	1.03%
Capital Finance.....	65.5	1.48%	10.7%	35.7	0.88%
Total Commercial Finance.....	286.0	2.25%	16.0%	225.6	1.87%



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Corporate, including certain charges	4.9	0.02%	--	(15.9)	(0.10)%
Total.....	\$476.7	2.08%	17.4%	\$365.9	1.95%

Capital is allocated to the segments by applying different leverage ratios to each business using market and risk criteria. The capital allocations reflect the relative risk of individual asset classes within the segments and range from approximately 2% of managed assets for U.S. government guaranteed education loans to approximately 15% of managed assets for longer-term assets such as aerospace. The targeted risk-adjusted capital allocations by segment (as a percentage of average managed assets) are as follows: Specialty Finance -- commercial 9%, Specialty Finance -- consumer 5%, Commercial Services, Corporate Finance and Equipment Finance 10% and Capital Finance 14%.

Results by segment were as follows:

- o Specialty Finance -- commercial reflected stronger second quarter earnings in the major vendor unit, while earnings in the international, small / mid-ticket leasing and small business lending units were strong.
- o Specialty Finance -- consumer reported strong home lending results due to a higher earning assets base and lower charge-offs. Education lending earnings turned positive in the second quarter and included gains on approximately \$300 million in loan sales. Returns in this segment were below last year given the 2005 addition of the education lending business, however our home lending portfolio generated returns on a risk-adjusted basis that met the corporate hurdle rate for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.
- o Commercial Services earnings benefited from continued strong factoring commissions (in amount). However, commission rates declined modestly from the prior year.
- o Corporate Finance earnings improvement from the prior year was particularly strong in the Capital Markets and Communications & Media units, reflecting higher risk-adjusted margins and non-spread revenue. The earnings decline from the prior year quarter was due to a large syndication gain on a project finance asset completed in 2004.
- o Equipment Finance returns for the quarter reflected the \$22.0 million pretax gain from the sale of a majority of the business aircraft portfolio, while year to date also reflected improvement in the level of charge-offs.
- o Capital Finance earnings improved from the prior year due to stronger operating lease margins in both aerospace and rail and the lower effective tax rate resulting from aircraft transfers to Ireland. See "Income Taxes" for additional information.

Corporate included amounts as shown in the table below (after tax):

Quarters Ended June 30, Six Months

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	2005 ----- (Restated)	2004 -----	2005 ----- (Restated)
Unallocated expenses.....	\$ (16.6)	\$ (23.9)	\$ (30.8)
Restructuring charges.....	(15.4)	--	(15.4)
Gain on derivatives.....	29.7	--	45.4
Gain on debt redemption.....	--	--	--
Venture capital operating income/(losses) (1).....	0.1	(0.1)	5.7
	-----	-----	-----
Total.....	\$ (2.2) =====	\$ (24.0) =====	\$ 4.9 =====

(1) Venture capital operating income / (losses) include realized and unrealized gains and losses related to venture capital investments as well as interest costs and other operating expenses associated with these portfolios.

23

Net Finance Margin

A table summarizing the components of net finance margin is set forth below (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30, -----		Six Months E -----
	2005 ----- (Restated)	2004 -----	2005 ----- (Restated)
Finance income -- loans and capital leases.....	\$ 730.2	\$ 577.0	\$ 1,400.2
Rental income on operating leases.....	370.5	331.9	728.5
Interest expense.....	467.8	300.0	859.3
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance income.....	632.9	608.9	1,269.4
Depreciation on operating lease equipment.....	241.2	237.9	478.8
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin.....	\$ 391.7 =====	\$ 371.0 =====	\$ 790.6 =====
As a % of AEA:			
Finance income -- loans and capital leases.....	6.15%	6.08%	6.10%
Rental income on operating leases.....	3.12%	3.49%	3.18%
Interest expense.....	3.94%	3.16%	3.74%
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance income.....	5.33%	6.41%	5.54%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment.....	2.03%	2.50%	2.09%
	-----	-----	-----
Net finance margin.....	3.30% =====	3.91% =====	3.45% =====
As a % of AOL:			
Rental income on operating leases.....	17.42%	17.40%	17.32%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment.....	11.34%	12.47%	11.38%
	-----	-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net operating lease margin.....	6.08%	4.93%	5.94%
	=====	=====	=====
Average Earning Assets.....	\$47,482.4	\$37,992.8	\$45,869.5
	=====	=====	=====
Average Operating Leases Equipment ("AOL").....	\$ 8,508.7	\$ 7,628.5	\$ 8,413.7
	=====	=====	=====

Analysis of net finance margin is as follows:

- o Finance income for 2005 benefited from assets repricing at higher market interest rates, and higher 2005 asset levels.
  
- o Interest expense increased from 2004, reflecting debt assumed in the education lending acquisition, higher 2005 market interest rates and the effect of extending the maturity of the debt portfolio, which exceeded the savings from refinancing higher-cost debt at tighter 2005 spreads.
  
- o The decline in net finance margin as a percentage of AEA reflects the blending of the lower-margin education lending receivables into the portfolio, lower yield-related fees (as prepayments declined this quarter), as well as pricing pressure, reflecting liquidity in many of our lending businesses, particularly in Corporate Finance and Equipment Finance. Lease margin trends were favorable as discussed below.
  
- o Rental income increased over the prior year periods as rates strengthened in aerospace and rail. Depreciation expense declined as a percentage of AOL from 2004, reflecting the continued asset mix change from shorter-term small to mid-ticket leasing assets in Specialty Finance and Equipment Finance to longer-lived assets in Capital Finance. The 2004 depreciation expense includes a \$14.8 million impairment charge. See "Concentrations -- Operating Leases" for additional information regarding our operating lease assets.

We regularly monitor and simulate our degree of interest rate sensitivity by measuring the repricing characteristics of interest-sensitive assets, liabilities, and derivatives. The Capital Committee reviews the results of this modeling periodically. The interest rate sensitivity modeling techniques we employ include the creation of prospective twelve month "baseline" and "rate shocked" net interest income simulations.

24

At the date that interest rate sensitivity is modeled, "baseline" net interest income is derived using the current level of interest-sensitive assets, the current level of interest-sensitive liabilities and related maturities, and the current level of derivatives. The "baseline" simulation assumes that, over the next successive twelve months, market interest rates (as of the date of simulation) are held constant and that no new loans or leases are extended. Once the "baseline" net interest income is calculated, market interest rates, which were previously held constant, are raised instantaneously 100 basis points across the entire yield curve, and a "rate shocked" simulation is run. Interest rate sensitivity is then measured as the difference between calculated "baseline" and "rate shocked" net interest income.

An immediate hypothetical 100 basis point increase in the yield curve on July 1, 2005 would reduce net income by an estimated \$15 million after-tax over

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

the next twelve months. A corresponding decrease in the yield curve would cause an increase in net income of a like amount. A 100 basis point increase in the yield curve on July 1, 2004 would have reduced net income by an estimated \$15 million after tax, while a corresponding decrease in the yield curve would have increased net income by a like amount. Although management believes that this static analysis provides an estimate of our interest rate sensitivity, it does not account for potential changes in the credit quality, size, composition and prepayment characteristics of the balance sheet and other business developments that could affect net income. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the estimated outcomes of our simulations. Further, such simulations do not represent management's current view of future market interest rate movements.

A comparative analysis of the weighted average principal outstanding and interest rates on our debt before and after the effect of interest rate swaps is shown in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Before Swaps		After
	-----		-----
Quarter Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)			
Commercial paper, variable-rate senior notes and bank credit facilities.....	\$16,678.0	3.28%	\$20,225.5
Fixed-rate senior and subordinated notes.....	26,632.0	5.10%	23,084.5
	-----		-----
Composite.....	\$43,310.0	4.40%	\$43,310.0
	=====		=====
Quarter Ended June 30, 2004			
Commercial paper, variable-rate senior notes and bank credit facilities.....	\$14,875.7	1.55%	\$18,250.0
Fixed-rate senior and subordinated notes.....	19,312.4	5.71%	15,938.1
	-----		-----
Composite.....	\$34,188.1	3.90%	\$34,188.1
	=====		=====
Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (Restated)			
Commercial paper, variable-rate senior notes and bank credit facilities.....	\$16,003.1	3.05%	\$19,917.4
Fixed-rate senior and subordinated notes.....	25,460.1	5.01%	21,545.8
	-----		-----
Composite.....	\$41,463.2	4.25%	\$41,463.2
	=====		=====
Six Months Ended June 30, 2004			
Commercial paper, variable-rate senior notes and bank credit facilities.....	\$14,289.9	1.56%	\$18,036.9
Fixed-rate senior and subordinated notes.....	19,130.3	5.70%	15,383.3
	-----		-----
Composite.....	\$33,420.2	3.93%	\$33,420.2
	=====		=====

The weighted average interest rates before swaps do not necessarily reflect the interest expense that would have been incurred over the life of the borrowings had the interest rate risk been managed without the use of such

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

swaps.

25

Net Finance Margin after Provision for Credit Losses (Risk-Adjusted Margin)

The following table summarizes risk-adjusted margin, both in amount and as a percentage of AEA (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2005	2004	2005
	(Restated)		(Restated)
Net finance margin.....	\$391.7	\$371.0	\$790.6
Provision for credit losses.....	47.2	65.7	92.5
Net finance margin after provision for credit losses (risk adjusted margin).....	\$344.5	\$305.3	\$698.1
As a % of AEA:			
Net finance margin.....	3.30%	3.91%	3.45%
Provision for credit losses.....	0.40%	0.70%	0.40%
Net finance margin after provision for credit losses (risk adjusted margin).....	2.90%	3.21%	3.05%

For both the quarter and the six months, credit quality, including lower charge-offs, mitigated the previously discussed decline in net finance margin in relation to 2004. Charge-off trends are discussed further in "Credit Metrics".

Other Revenue

The components of other revenue are set forth in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2005	2004	2005
	(Restated)		(Restated)
Fees and other income.....	\$168.6	\$141.0	\$317.4
Factoring commissions.....	56.3	53.5	111.1
Gains on derivatives .....	52.4	--	80.1
Gains on sales of leasing equipment.....	20.9	27.1	43.5
Gain on sale of business aircraft portfolio.....	22.0	--	22.0
Gains on securitizations.....	11.1	11.9	22.9

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Total other revenue.....	\$331.3	\$233.5	\$597.0
	=====	=====	=====
Other revenue as a percentage of AEA.....	2.79%	2.46%	2.60%
	=====	=====	=====

- o Fees and other income include securitization-related servicing fees and accretion, syndication fees, miscellaneous fees and gains from asset sales. The improvement from 2004 reflected gains from receivable sales in Specialty Finance -- consumer, including the sale of approximately \$300 million in education lending assets during the second quarter, as well as strong fees in Corporate Finance.
  
- o Factoring commissions, though up modestly in amount, reflected slightly lower factoring rates (as a percentage of factoring volume).
  
- o Gains on sales of equipment declined from 2004, reflecting lower gains in Capital Finance.
  
- o During the second quarter we sold the majority of the Equipment Finance business aircraft portfolio (approximately \$900 million), resulting in a \$22.0 million gain. The remaining portfolio (approximately \$600 million) was transferred to Capital Finance. See "Financing and Leasing Assets" section for further detail.

26

The following table presents information regarding gains on securitizations (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2005	2004	2005
Total volume securitized.....	\$1,052.7	\$847.2	\$1,981.7
Gains.....	\$ 11.1	\$ 11.9	\$ 22.9
Gains as a percentage of volume securitized.....	1.05%	1.40%	1.16%
Gains as a percentage of pre-tax income (Restated).....	2.90%	4.11%	3.06%
Securitized assets.....			\$7,459.9
Retained interest in securitized assets.....			\$1,086.0

### Reserve for Credit Losses

The changes to the reserve for credit losses, including related provisions, are presented in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months
	2005	2004	2005

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Balance beginning of period.....	\$620.4	\$636.7	\$617.2
Provision for credit losses -- finance receivables.....	47.2	65.7	92.5
Reserves relating to acquisitions, other.....	8.6	2.2	15.6
Additions to reserve for credit losses, net.....	55.8	67.9	108.1
Net credit losses			
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	26.1	23.5	45.5
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	13.5	9.9	24.5
Commercial Services.....	5.5	5.0	12.1
Corporate Finance.....	1.9	23.2	7.2
Equipment Finance.....	6.9	15.5	13.3
Capital Finance.....	--	6.5	0.4
Total net credit losses.....	53.9	83.6	103.0
Balance end of period.....	\$622.3	\$621.0	\$622.3
Reserve for credit losses as a percentage of finance receivables.....			1.54%
Reserve for credit losses as a percentage of past due receivables (60 days or more) (1) (2).....			91.0%
Reserve for credit losses as a percentage of non-performing assets (2).....			131.6%

(1) The reserve for credit losses as a percentage of past due receivables and non-performing accounts, excluding telecommunications reserves and account balances, were 96.3% and 105.9% at June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(2) At June 30, 2005, the reserve to non-performing asset percentage exceeded the reserve to delinquency percentage primarily due to the fact that the education lending portfolio has no non-performing assets, as education lending past due receivables are not classified as non-performing assets because such loans are subject to the U.S. government guarantee.

The reserve for credit losses was \$622.3 million (1.54% of finance receivables) at June 30, 2005, compared to \$617.2 million (1.76%) at December 31, 2004. The increase in reserve amount from December 31, 2004 was due to portfolio growth and increased risk related to U.S. commercial airline hub carriers, reflecting the continuation of high fuel costs and losses, as well as the potential for additional bankruptcies in this sector. The decline as a percentage of receivables represents credit quality improvements across portfolios and asset mix changes, including an additional \$4.2 billion of U.S. Government-guaranteed education lending loans.

The reserve for credit losses is determined based on three key components: (1) specific reserves for collateral and cash flow dependent loans that are impaired under SFAS 114; (2) reserves for estimated losses inherent in the portfolio based upon historical and projected credit trends; and (3) reserves for economic environment and other factors.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

The reserve included specific reserves, excluding telecommunication accounts, relating to impaired loans of \$32.8 million, \$42.4 million, and \$35.9 million at June 30, 2005, December 31, 2004 and June 30, 2004. The portion of the reserve related to inherent estimated loss and estimation risk reflects our evaluation of trends in our key credit metrics, as well as our assessment of risk in certain industry sectors, including commercial aerospace.

The consolidated reserve for credit losses is intended to provide for losses inherent in the portfolio, which requires the application of estimates and significant judgment as to the ultimate outcome of collection efforts and realization of collateral values, among other things. Therefore, changes in economic conditions or credit metrics, including past due and non-performing accounts, or other events affecting specific obligors or industries may necessitate additions or reductions to the consolidated reserve for credit losses. Management continues to believe that the credit risk characteristics of the portfolio are well diversified by geography, industry, borrower and equipment type. Refer to "Concentrations" for more information.

Based on currently available information, management believes that our total reserve for credit losses is adequate.

See Concentrations for additional information on the commercial aerospace portfolio.

### Credit Metrics

#### Net Charge-offs

Net charge-offs, both in amount and as a percentage of average finance receivables, are shown in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended				Six Months		
	June 30, 2005		June 30, 2004		June 30, 2005		
Specialty Finance -- commercial..	\$26.1	1.17%	\$23.5	1.17%	\$ 45.5	1.02%	\$
Specialty Finance -- consumer....	13.5	0.53%	9.9	1.18%	24.5	0.55%	
<b>Total Specialty Finance Group.</b>	<b>39.6</b>	<b>0.83%</b>	<b>33.4</b>	<b>1.17%</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>0.79%</b>	
Commercial Services.....	5.5	0.33%	5.0	0.32%	12.1	0.38%	
Corporate Finance.....	1.9	0.10%	23.2	1.43%	7.2	0.19%	
Equipment Finance.....	6.9	0.48%	15.5	0.99%	13.3	0.47%	
Capital Finance.....	--	0.00%	6.5	1.49%	0.4	0.04%	
<b>Total Commercial Finance Group</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>0.26%</b>	<b>50.2</b>	<b>0.97%</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>0.31%</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$53.9</b>	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>\$83.6</b>	<b>1.04%</b>	<b>\$103.0</b>	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>\$1</b>

Net charge-offs for the quarter were 0.52% of average finance receivables, unchanged from last quarter and down from 1.04% last year. Charge-offs for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, excluding amounts related to liquidating and specifically-reserved telecommunication accounts, were \$55.4 million or 0.72%. The most notable improvements from the prior year were in Capital Finance, Equipment Finance and the communication & media and capital markets units within



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Corporate Finance. Additional analysis by segment follows:

- o Specialty Finance -- commercial charge-offs for the quarter increased from 2004 primarily due to higher losses in the small-ticket portfolios.
- o Specialty Finance -- consumer home lending charge-offs, while up in amount, were down as a percentage of average finance receivables from the prior year, reflecting portfolio growth, improved credit performance and the addition of the student lending assets.
- o Commercial Services charge-offs were modestly above the prior year.
- o Corporate Finance charge-off improvement was driven by a decline in the capital markets unit charge-offs and by recoveries from previously written-off accounts in the communication and media unit.
- o Equipment Finance charge-off improvement continued in the second quarter of 2005, as current quarter charge-offs, were essentially flat with last quarter and considerably below the 2004 levels.
- o Capital Finance charge-offs were below 2004 due to a project finance write-off in the prior year.

28

Net charge-offs on a managed basis, including securitized receivables, both in amount and as a percentage of average managed receivables, are shown in the following table (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended				Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2005		June 30, 2004		June 30, 2005		June 30, 2004	
Specialty Finance -- commercial..	\$35.6	1.12%	\$ 34.9	1.15%	\$ 65.3	1.03%	\$ 62.1	1.05%
Specialty Finance -- consumer....	20.1	0.72%	15.0	1.21%	36.6	0.74%	36.6	0.74%
<b>Total Specialty Finance Group.</b>	<b>55.7</b>	<b>0.93%</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>1.17%</b>	<b>101.9</b>	<b>0.90%</b>	<b>98.7</b>	<b>1.00%</b>
Commercial Services.....	5.5	0.33%	5.0	0.32%	12.1	0.38%	12.1	0.38%
Corporate Finance.....	2.1	0.11%	23.2	1.43%	7.7	0.21%	23.2	1.43%
Equipment Finance.....	10.1	0.49%	27.4	1.19%	21.7	0.53%	27.4	1.19%
Capital Finance.....	--	0.00%	6.5	1.49%	0.4	0.04%	6.5	1.49%
<b>Total Commercial Finance Group</b>	<b>17.7</b>	<b>0.29%</b>	<b>62.1</b>	<b>1.05%</b>	<b>41.9</b>	<b>0.35%</b>	<b>65.2</b>	<b>1.00%</b>
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$73.4</b>	<b>0.60%</b>	<b>\$112.0</b>	<b>1.10%</b>	<b>\$143.8</b>	<b>0.61%</b>	<b>\$173.9</b>	<b>1.00%</b>

The previously discussed trends in owned portfolio charge-offs were the primary cause of fluctuations in charge-offs on a managed basis.

### Past Due Loans and Non-performing Assets

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our past due (sixty days or more) and non-performing assets and the related percentages of

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

finance receivables (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005		Decemb
	-----		-----
Past due accounts:			
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$281.2	3.27%	\$283.3
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	249.6	2.35%	116.4
	-----		-----
Total Specialty Finance Group.....	530.8	2.76%	399.7
	-----		-----
Commercial Services.....	39.7	0.62%	88.0
Corporate Finance.....	46.5	0.58%	43.3
Equipment Finance.....	41.1	0.93%	50.1
Capital Finance.....	25.7	1.04%	26.9
	-----		-----
Total Commercial Finance Group.....	153.0	0.72%	208.3
	-----		-----
Total.....	\$683.8	1.69%	\$608.0
	=====		=====
	June 30, 2005		Decemb
	-----		-----
Non-performing accounts:			
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$163.1	1.90%	\$165.9
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	135.2	1.27%	119.3
	-----		-----
Total Specialty Finance Group.....	298.3	1.55%	285.2
	-----		-----
Commercial Services.....	10.2	0.16%	56.8
Corporate Finance.....	69.4	0.87%	61.9
Equipment Finance.....	78.5	1.78%	131.2
Capital Finance.....	16.3	0.66%	4.6
	-----		-----
Total Commercial Finance Group.....	174.4	0.82%	254.4
	-----		-----
Total.....	\$472.7	1.17%	\$539.6
	=====		=====
Non-accrual loans	\$410.9		\$458.4
Reposessed assets.....	61.8		81.2
	-----		-----
Total non-performing accounts.....	\$472.7		\$539.6
	=====		=====

-----  
Corporate Finance and Equipment Finance non-performing assets include accounts that are less than sixty days past due.

Delinquency levels, though down from the prior quarter (largely due to a

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

reduction in Commercial Services), increased from December 31, 2004 primarily due to the education lending acquisition, as excluding these assets, delinquency was \$569.5 million (1.57%) at June 30, 2005. Although delinquency is higher in this portfolio, this metric is not indicative of ultimate loss, given the U.S. government guarantee of these loans. Additional analysis follows:

- o Specialty Finance -- commercial delinquency level was essentially unchanged from last quarter and the fourth quarter of 2004.
- o Specialty Finance -- consumer delinquency increased from December 31, 2004, due to the education lending acquisition. Excluding education lending receivables, delinquencies were \$135.3 million (2.10%), versus \$116.4 million (2.27%) last year-end, reflecting the continued home lending growth.
- o Commercial Services delinquency was down from 2004 due to the work out of one significant account.
- o Corporate Finance, Equipment Finance and Capital Finance delinquencies remained at the relatively low year end 2004 levels.

Similarly, non-performing assets remained at the low fourth quarter 2004 levels, and the percentage trends were impacted by the education lending acquisition, which had no non-performing accounts at June 30, 2005.

Managed past due loans in dollar amount and as a percentage of managed financial assets are shown in the table below (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005		Decemb
	-----		-----
Managed past due accounts:			
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$362.4	2.70%	\$402.1
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	348.0	2.92%	227.8
	-----		-----
Total Specialty Finance Group.....	710.4	2.80%	629.9
	-----		-----
Commercial Services.....	39.7	0.62%	88.0
Corporate Finance.....	47.3	0.59%	43.3
Equipment Finance.....	57.7	0.81%	90.3
Capital Finance.....	25.7	1.04%	26.9
	-----		-----
Total Commercial Finance Group.....	170.4	0.71%	248.5
	-----		-----
Total.....	\$880.8	1.78%	\$878.4
	=====		=====

The trends in the table above largely reflect the previously discussed fluctuations in the owned portfolios.

### Salaries and General Operating Expenses

The efficiency ratio and the ratio of salaries and general operating expenses to average managed assets ("AMA") are summarized in the following table (\$ in millions):

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months E
	2005	2004	2005
	(Restated)		(Restated)
Salaries and employee benefits.....	\$ 161.7	\$ 156.8	\$ 331.0
Other general operating expenses.....	107.1	95.6	201.8
	-----	-----	-----
Salaries and general operating expenses.....	268.8	252.4	532.8
Provision for restructuring.....	25.2	--	25.2
	-----	-----	-----
Total.....	\$ 294.0	\$ 252.4	\$ 558.0
	=====	=====	=====
Efficiency ratio(1).....	40.6%	41.5%	39.9%
Salaries and general operating expenses as a percentage of AMA(2).....	2.16%	2.17%	2.09%
Average Managed Assets.....	\$54,910.8	\$46,608.4	\$53,426.9

(1) Efficiency ratio is the ratio of salaries and general operating expenses to operating margin, excluding the provision for credit losses. Excluding the provision for restructuring, the gain on sale of business aircraft, and the gain on derivatives, the efficiency ratio was 41.4% and 41.1% for the quarter, six months ended June 30, 2005.

(2) "AMA" means average managed assets, which is average earning assets plus the average of finance receivables previously securitized and still managed by us. Excluding the provision for restructuring, the ratio of salaries and general operating expenses to managed assets was 1.98% and 1.99% for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2005.

30

Salaries and general operating expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 increased from 2004 due to incremental salaries and other operating expenses related to recent acquisitions, as well as higher incentive-based costs (driven primarily by higher restricted stock awards and sales incentive plans), consistent with the improved volume, fees and profitability. Operating expenses for education lending were \$16.7 million and \$23.7 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2005.

Personnel totaled approximately 6,110 at June 30, 2005, versus 6,130 last quarter and 5,705 last year. The increase from 2004 was largely due to the education lending acquisition and higher international headcount.

Improvement in the efficiency ratio remains one of management's goals, and several initiatives are underway to reduce costs, including system consolidations and process efficiency improvements. Accordingly, a \$25.2 million restructuring charge was accrued during the quarter, reflecting the realignment of Commercial Finance and back-office streamlining and consolidation activities in Specialty Finance. The charge consists largely of employee termination costs related to approximately 200 personnel. We anticipate reinvesting the savings from these initiatives in sales and growth initiatives, as well as the continuation of streamlining initiatives. We expect the streamlining initiatives

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

to reduce costs by approximately \$23 million in 2006.

### Income Taxes

The effective tax rate differs from the U.S. Federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to state and local income taxes, the domestic and international geographic distribution of taxable income and corresponding foreign income taxes, as well as differences between book and tax treatment of certain items.

The effective tax rate was 34.7% and 39.0% for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 and 36.1% and 39.0% for the respective year to date periods. The reduction in the 2005 effective tax rate, which is based on our revised estimate of annual effective tax rate of approximately 35%, reflects improved profitability in certain foreign locations, as well as our plan to relocate and place certain aerospace assets in Ireland with offshore funding, as provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 provide favorable treatment for certain aircraft leasing operations conducted offshore. In total, we anticipate transferring approximately 40 commercial aircraft during the year. The improved profitability in international operations resulted from our initiative to grow our international profitability via better platform efficiency coupled with asset growth.

At June 30, 2005, CIT had U.S. federal net operating losses of approximately \$2.0 billion, which expire in various years beginning in 2011. In addition, CIT has various state net operating losses that will expire in various years beginning in 2005. Federal and state operating losses may be subject to annual use limitations under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and other limitations under certain state laws. Management believes that CIT will have sufficient taxable income in future years and can avail itself of tax planning strategies in order to utilize these federal losses fully. Accordingly, we do not believe a valuation allowance is required with respect to these federal net operating losses. As of June 30, 2005, based on management's assessment as to realizability, the net deferred tax liability includes a valuation allowance of approximately \$9.4 million relating to state net operating losses.

CIT has open tax years in the U.S., Canada and other jurisdictions that are currently under examination by the applicable taxing authorities, and certain tax years that may in the future be subject to examination. Management periodically evaluates the adequacy of our related tax reserves, taking into account our open tax return positions, tax assessments received, tax law changes and third party indemnifications. We believe that our tax reserves are appropriate. The final determination of tax audits could affect our tax reserves.

See Item 4. Controls and Procedures for a discussion of internal controls relating to income taxes.

31

### Financing and Leasing Assets

The managed assets of our business segments and the corresponding strategic business units are presented in the following table (\$ in millions):

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
	(Restated)	
Specialty Finance Group		
Specialty Finance -- commercial		
Finance receivables.....	\$ 8,588.8	\$ 8,805.7
Operating lease equipment, net.....	1,105.8	1,078.7
Finance receivables held for sale.....	1,031.9	1,288.4
	-----	-----
Owned assets.....	10,726.5	11,172.8
Finance receivables securitized and managed by CIT.....	3,797.2	4,165.5
	-----	-----
Managed assets.....	14,523.7	15,338.3
	-----	-----
Specialty Finance -- consumer		
Finance receivables -- home lending.....	6,172.9	4,896.8
Finance receivables -- education lending.....	4,170.9	--
Finance receivables -- other.....	273.2	236.0
Finance receivables held for sale.....	282.0	241.7
	-----	-----
Owned assets.....	10,899.0	5,374.5
Home lending finance receivables securitized and managed by CIT .....	1,027.6	1,228.7
	-----	-----
Managed assets.....	11,926.6	6,603.2
	-----	-----
Commercial Finance Group		
Commercial Services		
Finance receivables.....	6,417.2	6,204.1
	-----	-----
Corporate Finance		
Finance receivables.....	7,998.0	6,702.8
Operating lease equipment, net.....	78.3	35.1
Finance receivables held for sale.....	27.9	--
	-----	-----
Owned assets.....	8,104.2	6,737.9
Finance receivables securitized and managed by CIT.....	54.0	--
	-----	-----
Managed assets.....	8,158.2	6,737.9
	-----	-----
Equipment Finance		
Finance receivables.....	4,420.7	6,373.1
Operating lease equipment, net.....	121.4	440.6
Finance receivables held for sale.....	94.1	110.7
	-----	-----
Owned assets.....	4,636.2	6,924.4
Finance receivables securitized and managed by CIT.....	2,581.1	2,915.5
	-----	-----
Managed assets.....	7,217.3	9,839.9
	-----	-----
Capital Finance		
Finance receivables.....	2,466.2	1,829.7
Operating lease equipment, net.....	7,337.4	6,736.5
	-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Owned assets.....	9,803.6	8,566.2
	-----	-----
Other -- Equity Investments.....	31.6	181.0
	-----	-----
Total		
Finance receivables.....	\$40,507.9	\$35,048.2
Operating lease equipment, net.....	8,642.9	8,290.9
Finance receivables held for sale.....	1,435.9	1,640.8
	-----	-----
Financing and leasing assets excl. equity investments.....	50,586.7	44,979.9
Equity investments (included in other assets).....	31.6	181.0
	-----	-----
Owned assets.....	50,618.3	45,160.9
Finance receivables securitized and managed by CIT.....	7,459.9	8,309.7
	-----	-----
Managed assets.....	\$58,078.2	\$53,470.6
	=====	=====

32

The six-month activity reflects strong volumes and the education lending acquisition, offset by asset sales and syndications, done largely for risk management and capital allocation purposes, particularly in the second quarter. Additional trends by segment follow:

- o Specialty Finance -- commercial declined despite strong volume, as runoff / liquidations were similarly high. The trend also reflected the sale of over \$300 million of liquidating manufactured housing assets in the first quarter of 2005.
- o Specialty Finance -- consumer increased, reflecting the acquisition of the \$4.3 billion education lending unit in addition to continued strength in the home equity lending market, where originations and purchases were partially offset by sales to balance certain portfolio demographics and risk characteristics.
- o Commercial Services increased, reflecting the purchase of substantially all of the factoring assets of Receivables Capital Management, a division of SunTrust, in the first quarter of 2005, while the decline from last quarter was due to seasonal runoff.
- o The increase in Corporate Finance reflects strong volumes and the transfer of approximately \$850 million of sports and gaming portfolio assets and healthcare assets from Equipment Finance.
- o Equipment Finance declined, reflecting the sale of \$923 million in business aircraft assets, the transfer of the remaining \$0.6 billion of this portfolio to Capital Finance, and the transfer of approximately \$450 million in healthcare assets to Corporate Finance. In addition, the \$400 million sports and gaming portfolio was transferred to Corporate Finance last quarter.
- o Capital Finance's increase reflected commercial aerospace deliveries and the transfer of the remaining business aircraft portfolio from Equipment Finance during the quarter.
- o Equity investments decreased due to the completion of the previously

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

contracted sale of most of the remaining private equity funds.

Consistent with our capital optimization activities during the current period, we will consider other opportunities for more rapid liquidation of non-strategic and under-performing assets to the extent available.

### Business Volumes

The following table presents new business origination volume by segment (\$ in millions):

	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months E
	2005	2004	2005
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$2,685.2	\$2,411.0	\$ 5,022.7
Specialty Finance -- consumer.....	2,306.3	867.3	3,668.8
Commercial Services.....	76.1	142.4	172.1
Corporate Finance.....	1,324.7	685.0	2,159.1
Equipment Finance.....	1,044.6	1,049.2	1,959.7
Capital Finance.....	641.7	438.4	892.9
	\$8,078.6	\$5,593.3	\$13,875.3
	=====	=====	=====

- o Specialty Finance -- commercial volume increase was broad-based across units, including strength in the vendor programs.
- o Specialty Finance -- consumer volume increase included strong origination volume, including bulk receivable purchases in home lending.
- o Commercial Services volume was low in relation to the prior year in the seasonally soft second quarter.
- o Corporate Finance's capital markets and communications and media units posted strong volumes and drove the favorable trends.
- o Capital Finance year-over-year volume increases reflected additional aircraft fundings.

33

### Concentrations

#### Ten Largest Accounts

Our ten largest financing and leasing asset accounts in the aggregate represented 4.8% of our total financing and leasing assets at June 30, 2005 (the largest account being less than 1.0%), compared to 5.3% at December 31, 2004. The decline is due to the addition of the education lending receivables.

### Operating Leases

The following table summarizes the total operating lease portfolio by segment (\$ in millions):



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
Capital Finance -- Aerospace.....	\$4,920.0	\$4,461.0
Capital Finance -- Rail and Other.....	2,417.4	2,275.5
Specialty Finance.....	1,105.8	1,078.7
Equipment Finance.....	121.4	440.6
Corporate Finance.....	78.3	35.1
	-----	-----
Total.....	\$8,642.9	\$8,290.9
	=====	=====

The increases in the Capital Finance aerospace portfolio reflected deliveries of eleven new commercial aircraft, partially offset by the disposition of eight aircraft.

Management strives to maximize the profitability of the lease equipment portfolio by balancing equipment utilization levels with market rental rates and lease terms. Equipment not subject to lease agreements totaled \$220.4 million and \$118.3 million at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively. Weakness in the commercial airline industry could adversely impact prospective rental and utilization rates.

#### Leveraged Leases

The major components of net investments in leveraged leases include: commercial aerospace transactions, including tax-optimized leveraged leases, which generally have increased risk of loss in default for lessors in relation to conventional lease structures due to additional leverage and the third party lender priority recourse to the equipment in these transactions, project finance transactions, primarily in the power and utility sectors, and rail transactions. The balances are as follows (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
Commercial aerospace -- non-tax optimized.....	\$ 339.7	\$ 336.6
Commercial aerospace -- tax optimized.....	219.3	221.0
Project finance.....	349.4	334.9
Rail.....	241.3	233.9
Other.....	122.3	115.4
	-----	-----
Total leveraged lease transactions.....	\$1,272.0	\$1,241.8
	=====	=====
As a percentage of finance receivables.....	3.1%	3.5%
	=====	=====

#### Joint Venture Relationships

Our strategic relationships with industry-leading equipment vendors are a significant origination channel for our financing and leasing activities. These vendor alliances include traditional vendor finance programs, joint ventures and profit sharing structures. Our vendor programs with Dell, Snap-on and Avaya are among our largest alliances. The agreements with Dell grants Dell the option to purchase CIT's 30% interest in Dell Financial Services L.P. ("DFS") in February 2008 and extends CIT's right to purchase a percentage of DFS's finance receivables through January 2010. The joint venture agreement with Snap-on recently extended until January 2009, pursuant to an automatic renewal provision in the agreement. The Avaya agreement, which relates to profit sharing on a CIT direct origination program, extends through September 2006.

Our financing and leasing assets include amounts related to the Dell, Snap-on and Avaya joint venture programs. These amounts include receivables originated directly by CIT as well as receivables purchased from joint venture entities. The asset balances for these programs are as follows (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
Owned Financing and Leasing Assets		
Dell.....	\$3,546.1	\$3,389.4
Snap-on.....	1,073.2	1,114.7
Avaya Inc.....	586.8	620.7
Securitized Financing and Leasing Assets		
Dell.....	\$2,297.6	\$2,489.2
Snap-on.....	54.0	64.8
Avaya Inc.....	504.8	599.6
Dell International Financing and Leasing Assets Included above		
Dell -- owned.....	\$1,366.6	\$1,403.6
Dell -- securitized.....	46.2	5.1

Returns relating to the joint venture relationships (i.e., net income as a percentage of average managed assets) for 2005 were somewhat in excess of CIT's consolidated returns. A significant reduction in origination volumes from any of these alliances could have a material impact on our asset and net income levels. For additional information regarding certain of our joint venture activities, see Note 8 -- Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

#### Home Lending Portfolio

The Specialty Finance -- consumer home lending business is largely originated through a broker network. As part of originating business through this core channel, we employ an active portfolio management practice, whereby we target desired portfolio mix / risk attributes in terms of product type, lien position, and geographic concentrations, among other factors. We supplement business with opportunistic purchases in the secondary market when market conditions are favorable from a credit and price perspective. The interest rate environment over the last 18 months, combined with substantial volume growth in the industry, have made these bulk asset purchases attractive.

The home lending portfolio totaled \$6.2 billion (owned) and \$7.3 billion (managed) at June 30, 2005, representing 12.3% and 12.5% of owned and managed assets, respectively. Selected statistics for our managed home lending portfolio are as follows:

- o 93% first mortgages.
- o Average loan size of approximately \$108.7 thousand.
- o Top 5 state concentrations (California, Texas, Florida, Ohio, and Pennsylvania) represented an aggregate 43% of the managed portfolio.
- o 47% fixed-rate.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

- o Average loan-to-value of 81%.
- o Average FICO score of 633.
- o Delinquencies (sixty days or more) were 3.17% and 3.59% at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004.
- o Charge-offs were 1.05% and 0.95% for the quarters ended June 30, and March 31, 2005.

### Education Lending Portfolio (Student Loan Xpress)

The Specialty Finance -- consumer education lending portfolio, which is marketed as Student Loan Xpress, totaled \$4.3 billion at June 30, 2005, representing 8.5% of owned and 7.4% of managed assets. Loan origination volumes for the June 2005 quarter were \$383.5 million, and \$554.2 million for the period of CIT ownership. Student Loan Xpress has arrangements with certain financial institutions to sell selected loans and works jointly with these financial institutions to promote this mutually beneficial relationship.

35

Selected statistics for our education lending portfolio are as follows (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005	March 31, 2005
	-----	-----
Finance receivables by product type		
Consolidation loans.....	\$3,954.8	\$3,996.9
Other U.S. Government guaranteed loans.....	322.6	424.6
Private (non-guaranteed) loans and other.....	14.1	13.4
	-----	-----
Total.....	\$4,291.5	\$4,434.9
	=====	=====

- o Delinquencies (sixty days or more) were \$114.3 million, 2.66% of finance receivables at June 30, 2005 and \$112.6 million, 2.60% at March 31, 2005.
- o Top 5 state concentrations (California, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Illinois) represented an aggregate 36.4% of the portfolio.

### Geographic Composition

The following table summarizes significant state concentrations greater than 5.0% and foreign concentrations in excess of 1.0% of our owned financing and leasing portfolio assets. For each period presented, our managed asset geographic composition did not differ significantly from our owned asset geographic composition.

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	-----	-----
State		

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

California.....	10.7%	10.3%
Texas.....	7.3%	7.8%
New York.....	6.7%	6.8%
All other states.....	54.5%	52.8%
	----	----
Total U.S.....	79.2%	77.7%
	=====	=====

Country

Canada.....	5.1%	5.5%
England.....	3.6%	3.9%
France.....	1.3%	1.4%
Australia.....	1.2%	1.3%
China.....	1.1%	1.2%
Germany.....	1.0%	1.2%
All other countries.....	7.5%	7.8%
	----	----
Total Outside U.S.....	20.8%	22.3%
	=====	=====

Industry Composition

The following discussions provide information with respect to selected industry compositions.

Aerospace

Our commercial and regional aerospace portfolios reside in the Capital Finance segment.

36

The commercial aircraft all comply with Stage III noise regulations. The following table summarizes the composition of the commercial aerospace portfolio (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005		December 31, 2004	
	Net	Number	Net	Number
	Investment		Investment	
	-----	-----	-----	-----
By Region:				
Europe.....	\$2,226.7	71	\$2,160.0	72
North America.....	1,081.4	61	1,057.7	66
Asia Pacific.....	1,511.0	55	1,242.4	46
Latin America.....	594.2	23	611.3	25
Africa / Middle East.....	159.8	5	54.2	3
	-----	---	-----	---
Total.....	\$5,573.1	215	\$5,125.6	212
	=====	===	=====	===
By Manufacturer:				
Boeing.....	\$2,708.6	132	\$2,558.8	133
Airbus.....	2,818.9	75	2,536.9	70
Other.....	45.6	8	29.9	9
	-----	---	-----	---
Total.....	\$5,573.1	215	\$5,125.6	212
	=====	===	=====	===
By Body Type(1):				

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Narrow body.....	\$4,262.8	171	\$3,894.9	168
Intermediate.....	920.4	19	842.7	18
Wide body.....	344.3	17	358.1	17
Other.....	45.6	8	29.9	9
	-----	----	-----	----
Total.....	\$5,573.1	215	\$5,125.6	212
	=====	====	=====	====

By Product:

Operating lease.....	\$4,791.5	169	\$4,324.6	167
Leverage lease (other).....	339.7	12	336.6	12
Leverage lease (tax optimized).....	219.3	9	221.0	9
Capital lease.....	130.3	6	137.4	6
Loan.....	92.3	19	106.0	18
	-----	----	-----	----
Total.....	\$5,573.1	215	\$5,125.6	212
	=====	====	=====	====

Other Data:

Off-lease aircraft.....	6	2
Number of accounts.....	96	92
Weighted average age of fleet (years) .....	6	6
Largest customer net investment...	\$ 281.9	\$ 286.4

(1) Narrow body are single aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 737 and 757 series and Airbus A320 series aircraft. Intermediate body are smaller twin aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 767 series and Airbus A330 series aircraft. Wide body are large twin aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 747 and 777 series and McDonnell Douglas DC10 series aircraft.

The top five commercial aerospace exposures totaled \$1,058.4 million at June 30, 2005. Each of the top five exposures is to carriers outside of the U.S. The largest exposure to a U.S. carrier at June 30, 2005 was \$165.2 million. Future revenues and aircraft values could be impacted by the actions of the carriers, management's actions with respect to re-marketing the aircraft, airline industry performance and aircraft utilization levels.

The profitability of the commercial aerospace portfolio has improved throughout the year reflecting a number of positive factors including: improving global demand for commercial aircraft, continued recovery in rental rates and lower tax rates. Additionally, the risk-based capital returns on new aircraft deliveries (from our existing order book) exceed our targeted hurdle rate. As a result, we are considering whether to extend our aircraft order book beyond its current expiration of Spring 2007. Any future order book would likely be smaller, slightly shorter and more flexible than our previous order.

The regional aircraft portfolio at June 30, 2005 consisted of 119 planes and a net investment of \$349.1 million. The carriers are primarily located in North America and Latin America. Operating leases account for about 36.8% of the portfolio, with the remainder capital leases or loans. At December 31, 2004, the portfolio consisted of 121 planes with a net investment of \$302.6 million.

At June 30, 2005, six aircraft were off-lease (book value of \$106.7 million), five of which we have obtained letters of intent for new leases.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Despite some recent improvement in rental rates, current placements remain at compressed rental rates, which reflect current market conditions. Generally, leases are being written for terms between three and five years. Within the regional aircraft portfolio at June 30, 2005, there were 4 aircraft off-lease with a total book value of approximately \$20.3 million.

The following is a list of our exposure to current or previously-announced aerospace carriers that have filed for bankruptcy protection and the current status of the related aircraft at June 30, 2005:

- o UAL Corp. -- United Airlines leases two CIT-owned narrow body aircraft (Boeing 757 aircraft) with a net investment of \$46.0 million. We also hold Senior A tranche Enhanced Equipment Trust Certificates ("EETCs") issued by United Airlines, which are debt instruments collateralized by aircraft operated by the airline, with a fair value of \$30.3 million. We have an outstanding balance of \$9.4 million (with a commitment of \$31.3 million) relating to a debtor-in-possession facility in connection with United Airlines' filing under Chapter 11 as of June 30, 2005.
- o US Airways -- On September 12, 2004, US Airways Group, Inc. announced that it had filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Under an existing agreement, we lease one CIT-owned 737-300, for a total net investment of \$5.9 million.

In total, we have exposures to U.S. commercial airline hub carriers of approximately \$403 million at June 30, 2005. See "Reserve for Credit Losses" for additional information regarding our reserves and the applicability to commercial aerospace.

Our aerospace assets include both operating leases and capital leases. Management monitors economic conditions affecting equipment values, trends in equipment values, and periodically obtains third party appraisals of commercial aerospace equipment, which include projected rental rates. We adjust the depreciation schedules of commercial aerospace equipment on operating leases or residual values underlying capital leases when required. Aerospace assets are reviewed for impairment annually, or more often should events or circumstances warrant. An aerospace asset is defined as impaired when the expected undiscounted cash flow over its expected remaining life is less than its book value. Both historical information and current economic trends are factored into the assumptions and analyses used when determining the expected undiscounted cash flow. Included among these assumptions are the following:

- o Lease terms
- o Remaining life of the asset
- o Lease rates supplied by independent appraisers
- o Remarketing prospects
- o Maintenance costs

In conjunction with capital optimization and risk management activities, we are actively managing the composition of the commercial aerospace portfolio in terms of type and age of aircraft, among other factors. As a result, we may consider sales of certain aircraft and new aircraft deliveries in the future.

See table in "Risk Management" section and Note 10 -- Commitments and Contingencies for additional information regarding commitments to purchase additional aircraft. See Note 4 -- Concentrations for further discussion on concentrations.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

### Risk Management

Our risk management process is described in more detail in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our processes remained substantially the same as outlined in our 2004 Form 10-K.

**Interest Rate Risk Management** -- We monitor our interest rate sensitivity on a regular basis by analyzing the impact of interest rate changes upon the financial performance of the business. We also consider factors such as the strength of the economy, customer prepayment behavior and re-pricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities.

38

We evaluate and monitor various risk metrics:

- o Margin at Risk, which measures the impact of changing interest rates upon interest income over the subsequent twelve months. See Net Finance Margin section for discussion and results of this simulation.
- o Value at Risk, which measures the net economic value of assets by assessing the duration of assets and liabilities.

The following table summarizes the composition of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities before and after swaps:

	Before Swaps		Aft
	Fixed rate	Floating rate	Fixed rate
June 30, 2005			
Assets.....	48%	52%	48%
Liabilities (Restated).....	54%	46%	41%
December 31, 2004			
Assets.....	55%	45%	55%
Liabilities.....	60%	40%	46%

Total interest sensitive assets were \$47.4 billion and \$41.7 billion at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. Total interest sensitive liabilities were \$41.6 billion and \$35.9 billion at June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004. The addition of the education lending receivables and related debt during first quarter increased the proportions of floating-rate assets and liabilities at June 30, 2005, as compared to December 31, 2004.

**Foreign Exchange Risk Management** -- To the extent local foreign currency borrowings are not raised, CIT utilizes foreign currency exchange forward contracts to hedge or mitigate currency risk underlying foreign currency loans to subsidiaries and the net investments in foreign operations. These contracts

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

are designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges or net investment hedges and changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss along with the translation gains and losses on the underlying hedged items. Translation gains and losses of the underlying foreign net investment, as well as offsetting derivative gains and losses on designated hedges, are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. CIT also utilizes cross currency swaps to hedge currency risk underlying foreign currency debt and selected foreign currency assets. These swaps are designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges or foreign currency fair value hedges and changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss (for cash flow hedges), or effectively as a basis adjustment (including the impact of the offsetting adjustment to the carrying value of the hedged item) to the hedged item (for fair value hedges) along with the transaction gains and losses on the underlying hedged items. CIT also has certain cross-currency swaps which economically hedge exposures, but do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Liquidity Risk Management and Capital Resources -- Liquidity risk refers to the risk of being unable to meet potential cash outflows promptly and cost-effectively. Factors that could cause such a risk to arise might be a disruption of a securities market or other source of funds. We actively manage and mitigate liquidity risk by maintaining diversified sources of funding and committed alternate sources of funding, and we maintain and periodically review a contingency funding plan to be implemented in the event of any form of market disruption. Additionally, we target our debt issuance strategy to achieve a maturity pattern designed to reduce refinancing risk. The primary funding sources are commercial paper (U.S., Canada and Australia), long-term debt (U.S. and International) and asset-backed securities (U.S. and Canada).

Outstanding commercial paper totaled \$3.3 billion at June 30, 2005, compared with \$4.2 billion at December 31, 2004. Our targeted U.S. program size remains at \$5.0 billion with modest programs aggregating approximately \$500 million to be maintained in Canada and Australia. Our goal is to maintain committed bank lines in excess of aggregate outstanding commercial paper. We have aggregate bank facilities of \$6.3 billion in multi-year facilities. In addition, we have a separate 364-day unsecured committed line of credit of \$154 million, which supports the Australian commercial paper program.

39

We maintain registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") covering debt securities that we may sell in the future. At June 30, 2005, our registration statements had \$6.9 billion of registered, but unissued, securities available, under which we may issue debt securities and other capital market securities. Term-debt issued during 2005 totaled \$7.5 billion: \$3.4 billion in variable-rate medium-term notes and \$4.1 billion in fixed-rate notes. Included with the fixed rate notes are issuances under a retail note program in which we offer fixed-rate senior, unsecured notes utilizing numerous broker-dealers for placement to retail accounts. During 2005, we issued \$0.3 billion under this program having maturities of between 2 and 10 years.

To further strengthen our funding capabilities, we maintain committed asset backed facilities and shelf registration statements, which cover a range of assets from equipment to consumer home lending receivables and trade accounts receivable. While these are predominately in the U.S., we also maintain facilities for Canadian domiciled assets. As of June 30, 2005, we had approximately \$5.0 billion of availability in our committed asset-backed facilities and \$5.6 billion of registered, but unissued, securities available



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

under public shelf registration statements relating to our asset-backed securitization program.

Our committed asset-backed commercial paper programs in the U.S. and Canada provide a substantial source of alternate liquidity. We also maintain committed bank lines of credit to provide backstop support of commercial paper borrowings and local bank lines to support our international operations. Additional sources of liquidity are loan and lease payments from customers, whole-loan asset sales and loan syndications.

We also target and monitor certain liquidity metrics to ensure both a balanced liability profile and adequate alternate liquidity availability as outlined in the following table.

Liquidity Measurement -----	Current Target -----	June 30, 20 -----
Commercial paper to total debt.....	Maximum of 15%	7%
Short-term debt to total debt.....	Maximum of 45%	28%
Bank lines to commercial paper.....	Minimum of 100%	211%
Aggregate alternative liquidity* to short-term debt.....	Minimum of 75%	115%

\* Aggregate alternative liquidity includes available bank facilities, asset-backed facilities and cash.

Our credit ratings are an important factor in meeting our earnings and margin targets as better ratings generally correlate to lower cost of funds (see Net Finance Margin, interest expense discussion). The following credit ratings have been in place since September 30, 2002:

	Short-Term -----	Long-Term -----	Outlook -----
Moody's.....	P-1	A2	Stable
Standard & Poor's.....	A-1	A	Stable
Fitch.....	F1	A	Stable

The credit ratings stated above are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal by the assigning rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

We have certain covenants contained in our legal documents that govern our funding sources. The most significant covenant in CIT's indentures and credit agreements is a minimum net worth requirement of \$4.0 billion.

The following tables summarize significant contractual obligations and projected cash receipts, and contractual commitments at June 30, 2005 (\$ in millions):

Payments and Collections by Period(3) Ending Ju  
-----

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009
	(Restated)	(Restated)			
Commercial Paper.....	\$ 3,253.4	\$ 3,253.4	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Variable-rate senior unsecured notes....	13,556.0	4,505.1	5,625.4	2,217.3	83.0
Fixed-rate senior unsecured notes.....	22,375.7	4,000.3	1,910.6	3,130.6	1,690.0
Non-recourse, secured borrowings.....	3,938.8	1,157.9	--	--	--
Preferred capital security.....	252.9	--	--	--	--
Lease rental expense.....	320.9	54.1	52.9	46.0	3.0
<b>Total contractual obligations.....</b>	<b>43,697.7</b>	<b>12,970.8</b>	<b>7,588.9</b>	<b>5,393.9</b>	<b>2,566.0</b>
Finance receivables(1).....	40,507.9	12,047.2	4,362.2	3,717.2	2,460.0
Operating lease rental income.....	3,443.4	1,118.6	836.4	550.9	37.0
Finance receivables held for sale(2)...	1,435.9	1,435.9	--	--	--
Cash -- current balance.....	2,231.7	2,231.7	--	--	--
Retained interest in securitizations and other investments.....	1,122.0	819.8	124.2	42.9	9.0
<b>Total projected cash receipts.....</b>	<b>48,740.9</b>	<b>17,653.2</b>	<b>5,322.8</b>	<b>4,311.0</b>	<b>2,996.0</b>
<b>Net projected cash inflow (outflow)....</b>	<b>\$ 5,043.2</b>	<b>\$ 4,682.4</b>	<b>\$ (2,266.1)</b>	<b>\$ (1,082.9)</b>	<b>\$ 37.0</b>

- (1) Based upon contractual cash flows; actual amounts could differ due to prepayments, extensions of credit, charge-offs and other factors.
- (2) Based upon management's intent to sell rather than the contractual maturities of underlying assets.
- (3) Projected proceeds from the sale of operating lease equipment, interest revenue from finance receivables, debt interest expense and other items are excluded. Obligations relating to postretirement programs are also excluded.

	Commitment Expiration by June 30,				
	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009
Credit extensions(1).....	\$ 9,337.1	\$1,725.2	\$ 870.6	\$ 880.4	\$91.0
Aircraft purchases.....	1,560.0	794.0	640.0	126.0	--
Letters of credit.....	1,200.6	1,156.9	28.2	0.2	1.0
Sale-leaseback payments.....	472.4	10.0	31.0	31.1	3.0
Manufacturer purchase commitments.....	593.1	593.1	--	--	--
Guarantees.....	156.3	144.2	--	10.5	--
Acceptances.....	27.3	27.3	--	--	--
<b>Total contractual commitments.....</b>	<b>\$13,346.8</b>	<b>\$4,450.7</b>	<b>\$1,569.8</b>	<b>\$1,048.2</b>	<b>\$95.0</b>

- (1) Excludes amounts related to a third-party vendor program that are cancellable at any time or for any reason by the Company. See Note 10 for additional explanation.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

### Internal Controls

The Internal Controls Committee is responsible for monitoring and improving internal controls and overseeing the internal controls attestation mandated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SARBOX"), for which the implementation year was 2004. The committee, which is chaired by the Controller, includes the CFO, the Director of Internal Audit and other senior executives in finance, legal, risk management and information technology.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we recorded a charge relating to third-party servicing errors. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, we received and reviewed the servicer's internal control enhancements and remediation plan. The servicer's remediation plan included improved reconciliation procedures and additional systems change controls. We are continuing to implement enhancements to our analytical review controls with respect to information provided to us by the servicer.

See Item 4. Controls and Procedures for further discussion.

41

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

#### Securitization Program

We fund asset originations on our balance sheet by accessing various sectors of the capital markets, including the term debt and commercial paper markets. In an effort to broaden funding sources and provide an additional source of liquidity, we use an array of securitization programs, including both asset-backed commercial paper and term structures, to access both the public and private asset-backed securitization markets. Current products in these programs include receivables and leases secured by equipment as well as consumer loans secured by residential real estate. The following table summarizes data relating to our securitization balance and activity (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005
	-----
<b>Securitized Assets:</b>	
Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$3,797.2
Specialty Finance -- home lending.....	1,027.6
Equipment Finance.....	2,581.1
Corporate Finance.....	54.0
	-----
Total securitized assets.....	\$7,459.9
	=====
Securitized assets as a % of managed assets.....	12.8%
	=====

	Quarters Ended June 30,	Six Months
	-----	-----
	2005	2005
	-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Volume Securitized:

Specialty Finance -- commercial.....	\$ 787.2	\$475.5	\$1,462.3
Equipment Finance.....	265.5	371.7	519.4
	-----	-----	-----
Total volume securitized.....	\$1,052.7	\$847.2	\$1,981.7
	=====	=====	=====

Under our typical asset-backed securitization, we sell a "pool" of secured loans or leases to a special-purpose entity ("SPE"), typically a trust. SPEs are used to achieve "true sale" requirements for these transactions in accordance with SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities." The special-purpose entity, in turn, issues certificates and/or notes that are collateralized by the pool and entitle the holders thereof to participate in certain pool cash flows. Accordingly, CIT has no legal obligations to repay the securities in the event of a default by the SPE. CIT retains the servicing rights of the securitized contracts, for which we earn a servicing fee. We also participate in certain "residual" cash flows (cash flows after payment of principal and interest to certificate and/or note holders, servicing fees and other credit-related disbursements). At the date of securitization, we estimate the "residual" cash flows to be received over the life of the securitization, record the present value of these cash flows as a retained interest in the securitization (retained interests can include bonds issued by the special-purpose entity, cash reserve accounts on deposit in the special-purpose entity or interest only receivables) and typically recognize a gain. Assets securitized are shown in our managed assets and our capitalization ratios on a managed basis.

In estimating residual cash flows and the value of the retained interests, we make a variety of financial assumptions, including pool credit losses, prepayment speeds and discount rates. These assumptions are supported by both our historical experience and anticipated trends relative to the particular products securitized. Subsequent to recording the retained interests, we review them quarterly for impairment based on estimated fair value. These reviews are performed on a disaggregated basis. Fair values of retained interests are estimated utilizing current pool demographics, actual note/certificate outstandings, current and anticipated credit losses, prepayment speeds and discount rates.

Our retained interests had a carrying value at June 30, 2005 of \$1.1 billion. Retained interests are subject to credit and prepayment risk. As of June 30, 2005, approximately 50% of our outstanding securitization pool balances are in conduit structures. These assets are subject to the same credit granting and monitoring processes which are described in the "Credit Risk Management" section.

The key assumptions used in measuring the retained interests at the date of securitization for transactions completed during 2005 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Weighted average prepayment speed.....	43.28%
Weighted average expected credit losses.....	0.50%
Weighted average discount rate.....	7.77%
Weighted average life (in years).....	1.28

The key assumptions used in measuring the fair value of retained interests in securitized assets at June 30, 2005 were as follows:

	Commercial Equipment		Home Lending
	Specialty	Equipment	and
	Finance	Finance (1)	Manufactured
			Housing
Weighted average prepayment speed.....	18.7%	11.7%	25.8%
Weighted average expected credit losses.....	0.97%	1.28%	1.52%
Weighted average discount rate.....	7.92%	9.45%	13.09%
Weighted average life (in years).....	1.15	1.37	3.10

(1) Includes retained interests transferred to Corporate Finance during 2005.

The education lending business, which was acquired in February 2005, is funded largely with securitization structures that do not meet the accounting requirements for sales treatment, and are therefore accounted for as secured borrowings. Accordingly, the related receivables, restricted cash and debt are "on balance sheet," and there are no gains on sale or retained interests in securitizations related to these transactions. See disclosure in Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

#### Joint Venture Activities

We utilize joint ventures organized through distinct legal entities to conduct financing activities with certain strategic vendor partners. Receivables are originated by the joint venture and purchased by CIT. The vendor partner and CIT jointly own these distinct legal entities, and there is no third-party debt involved. These arrangements are accounted for using the equity method, with profits and losses distributed according to the joint venture agreement. See disclosure in Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 8 -- Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

#### Capitalization

The following table presents information regarding our capital structure (\$ in millions):

Commercial paper and term debt.....

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

Preferred capital securities.....	
Stockholders' equity(1).....	
Goodwill and other intangible assets.....	
Total tangible stockholders' equity and preferred capital securities.....	
Total tangible capitalization.....	
Tangible stockholders' equity(1) and Preferred Capital Securities to managed assets .....	

- 
- (1) Stockholders' equity excludes the impact of the accounting change for derivative financial instruments described in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and certain unrealized gains or losses on retained interests and investments, as these amounts are not necessarily indicative of amounts that will be realized. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements."

43

The education lending acquisition in Specialty Finance -- consumer and a factoring purchase in Commercial Services drove the increase in goodwill and acquired intangibles from December 2004.

The preferred capital securities are 7.7% Preferred Capital Securities issued in 1997 by CIT Capital Trust I, a wholly-owned subsidiary. CIT Capital Trust I invested the proceeds of that issue in Junior Subordinated Debentures of CIT having identical rates and payment dates. Preferred capital securities are included in tangible equity in our leverage ratios. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for additional information.

See "Liquidity Risk Management and Capital Resources" for discussion of risks impacting our liquidity and capitalization.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") requires management to use judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. We consider accounting estimates relating to the following to be critical in applying our accounting policies:

- o Investments
- o Charge-off of Finance Receivables
- o Impaired Loans
- o Reserve for Credit Losses
- o Retained Interests in Securitizations
- o Lease Residual Values
- o Goodwill and Intangible Assets

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

### o Income Tax Reserves and Deferred Income Taxes

There have been no significant changes to the methodologies and processes used in developing estimates relating to these items from what is described in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Statistical Data

The following table presents components of net income as a percentage of AEA, along with other selected financial data (\$ in millions):

	Six Months E
	2005
	(Restated)
Finance income.....	9.28%
Interest expense.....	3.74%
Net finance income.....	5.54%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment.....	2.09%
Net finance margin.....	3.45%
Provision for credit losses.....	0.40%
Net finance margin after provision for credit losses.....	3.05%
Other revenue.....	2.60%
Gain (loss) on venture capital investments.....	0.05%
Operating margin.....	5.70%
Salaries and general operating expenses.....	2.32%
Provision for restructuring.....	0.11%
Gain on redemption of debt.....	--
Income before provision for income taxes.....	3.27%
Provision for income taxes.....	(1.18)%
Minority interest, after tax.....	(0.01)%
Net income.....	2.08%
Average Earning Assets.....	\$45,869.5

### Non-GAAP Financial Measurements

The SEC adopted Regulation G, which applies to any public disclosure or release of material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure. The accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk contain certain non-GAAP financial measures. The SEC defines a non-GAAP financial measure as a numerical measure of a company's historical or future financial performance, financial position, or cash flows that excludes amounts,

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP in the financial statements or includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measure so calculated and presented.

Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in this report are meant to provide additional information and insight relative to historical operating results and financial position of the business and in certain cases to provide financial information that is presented to rating agencies and other users of financial information. These measures are not in accordance with, or a substitute for, GAAP and may be different from or inconsistent with non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

Selected non-GAAP disclosures are presented and reconciled in the table below (\$ in millions):

	June 30, 2005 ----- (Restated)
Managed assets(1):	
Finance receivables.....	\$40,507.9
Operating lease equipment, net.....	8,642.9
Finance receivables held for sale.....	1,435.9
Equity and venture capital investments (included in other assets).....	31.6
	-----
Total financing and leasing portfolio assets.....	50,618.3
Securitized assets.....	7,459.9
	-----
Managed assets.....	\$58,078.2
	=====
Earning assets(2):	
Total financing and leasing portfolio assets.....	\$50,618.3
Credit balances of factoring clients.....	(3,649.2)
	-----
Earning assets.....	\$46,969.1
	=====
Tangible equity(3):	
Total equity.....	\$ 6,446.8
Other comprehensive loss relating to derivative financial instruments.....	29.5
Unrealized gain on securitization investments.....	(17.0)
Goodwill and intangible assets.....	(903.1)
	-----
Tangible common equity.....	5,556.2
Preferred capital securities.....	252.9
	-----
Tangible equity.....	\$ 5,809.1
	=====
Debt, net of overnight deposits(4):	
Total debt.....	\$43,376.8
Overnight deposits.....	(1,149.2)
Preferred capital securities.....	(252.9)
	-----
Debt, net of overnight deposits.....	\$41,974.7
	=====



- 
- (1) Managed assets are utilized in certain credit and expense ratios. Securitized assets are included in managed assets because CIT retains certain credit risk and the servicing related to assets that are funded through securitizations.
  - (2) Earning assets are utilized in certain revenue and earnings ratios. Earning assets are net of credit balances of factoring clients. This net amount, which corresponds to amounts funded, is a basis for revenues earned.
  - (3) Tangible equity is utilized in leverage ratios. Other comprehensive losses and unrealized gains on securitization investments (both included in the separate component of equity) are excluded from the calculation, as these amounts are not necessarily indicative of amounts that will be realized.
  - (4) Debt, net of overnight deposits is utilized in certain leverage ratios. Overnight deposits are excluded from these calculations, as these amounts are retained by the Company to repay debt. Overnight deposits are reflected in both debt and cash and cash equivalents.

45

#### Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this document are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements contained herein that are not clearly historical in nature are forward-looking and the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "estimate," "target" and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements contained herein, in press releases, written statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in communications and discussions with investors and analysts in the normal course of business through meetings, webcasts, phone calls and conference calls, concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and contingencies. Forward-looking statements are included, for example, in the discussions about:

- o our liquidity risk management,
- o our credit risk management,
- o our asset/liability risk management,
- o our funding, borrowing costs and net finance margin,
- o our capital, leverage and credit ratings,
- o our operational and legal risks,
- o our ability to remediate the material weakness in internal controls related to income taxes,
- o our growth rates,
- o our commitments to extend credit or purchase equipment, and

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

- o how we may be affected by legal proceedings.

All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated results, performance or achievements. Also, forward-looking statements are based upon management's estimates of fair values and of future costs, using currently available information. Therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in those statements. Factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- o risks of economic slowdown, downturn or recession,
- o industry cycles and trends,
- o demographic trends,
- o risks inherent in changes in market interest rates and quality spreads,
- o funding opportunities and borrowing costs,
- o changes in funding markets, including commercial paper, term debt and the asset-backed securitization markets,
- o uncertainties associated with risk management, including credit, prepayment, asset/liability, interest rate and currency risks,
- o adequacy of reserves for credit losses,
- o risks associated with the value and recoverability of leased equipment and lease residual values,
- o changes in laws or regulations governing our business and operations,
- o changes in competitive factors, and
- o future acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or asset portfolios.

46

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13-a15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of the period covered by this interim quarterly report because of the material weaknesses discussed below.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

#### Income Tax Accounting

As previously disclosed, management has determined that the lack of a control capability to reconcile the difference between the tax basis and book basis of each component of the Company's balance sheet with the deferred tax asset and liability accounts constitutes a material weakness. Management has performed alternative analyses and reconciliations of the income tax balance sheet and income statement accounts and based thereon believes that the income tax provision is appropriate and that the remediation will not result in a material adjustment to the Company's reported balance sheet or net income.

In connection with the June 2001 acquisition by Tyco, our income tax compliance, reporting and planning function was transferred to Tyco. This caused a lapse in maintaining, developing and implementing changes to various income tax financial reporting processes that are currently required. Following our 2002 IPO, we classified our tax reporting as a "reportable condition", as defined by standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. As previously reported, we have made substantial progress with respect to the reportable condition by hiring and training personnel, rebuilding tax reporting systems, preparing amendments to prior U.S. Federal income tax returns, and implementing processes and controls with respect to income tax reporting and compliance. We continued to develop the processes and controls to complete an analysis of our income tax asset and liability accounts, including the refinement of, and reconciliation to transactional-level detail of, book to tax differences. During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, we continued developing the transactional-level reconciliations of book to tax differences of our business units and legal entities, which in turn validated our current methodology in connection with remediating the material weakness.

We are completing the transactional-level reconciliations, as well as the computations of other tax basis balance sheet assets and liabilities, including the net operating loss carryforward. Accordingly, as of the end of the period covered by this report, we have not fully remediated the material weakness in the Company's internal control over income tax deferred assets and liabilities but anticipate a resolution during 2005.

#### Derivative Hedge Accounting

During the fourth quarter of 2005, we learned of an interpretation with respect to applying the "matched terms" approach in hedge accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended ("SFAS 133"). We reviewed our accounting for certain cross-currency interest rate swaps ("compound swaps" or "compound derivatives") under SFAS 133.

We determined that certain compound swaps were not appropriately accounted for, even though these compound swaps were highly effective economic hedges of the interest rate and currency exchange risks associated with corresponding foreign denominated debt. We documented these swaps originally as "matched terms" hedges, which assumes no hedge ineffectiveness. The swaps would have qualified for "long-haul" hedge accounting with ineffectiveness reflected in current earnings. However, the swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment from their inception, as SFAS 133 does not allow for subsequent documentation modifications.

The elimination of hedge accounting from inception of the compound swaps resulted in adjustments to originally reported earnings in each of the quarterly periods of 2005 to reflect a significant component of the mark-to-market on

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

these swaps in earnings, which was previously reported as an adjustment to the basis of the corresponding debt. These adjustments to earnings will reduce future earnings by an equal amount through 2015.

47

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company did not maintain effective controls over the valuation and documentation of certain of its compound derivative transactions. Specifically, the Company recognized certain derivative financial instruments as hedges of interest rate risk without sufficient documentation as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Furthermore, the Company did not perform required periodic hedge effectiveness testing because it was inappropriately assumed that the hedges were effective. This control deficiency did not result in a material misstatement to the 2004 annual or interim consolidated financial statements. However, this control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's 2005 first, second and third quarter interim consolidated financial statements. In addition, this control deficiency could result in the misstatement of derivative related accounts including other revenue, debt and other comprehensive income that would result in a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has concluded that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness as of June 30, 2005.

In connection with this restatement, under the direction of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, we determined that the above constituted a material weakness in internal control with respect to our accounting treatment and related hedge documentation for certain cross-currency swaps as of June 30, 2005.

### Remediation of Derivative Hedge Accounting Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As of the date of this filing, we have fully remediated this material weakness relating to derivative documentation and hedge accounting treatment. Our remediation actions included the following:

- o All of the subject compound swaps have been replaced with corresponding stand alone replacement swaps (a cross-currency basis swap and an interest rate swap, both with zero fair value at inception), which qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133.
- o We do not intend to transact compound swaps prospectively and have communicated a formal prohibition against such transactions to the appropriate treasury, accounting, legal and internal audit personnel.
- o We have updated our derivative policy manual to specifically prohibit the use of compound swaps.
- o We have conducted training sessions with the appropriate treasury, accounting, legal and internal audit personnel with respect to the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

above to ensure that we evaluate and document derivative transactions in compliance with SFAS 133.

Other than the changes discussed above with respect to income tax accounting and derivative hedge accounting, there have been no changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred since the beginning of the Company's first quarter of 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

48

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

##### NorVergence Related Litigation

On September 9, 2004, Exquisite Caterers Inc., et al. v. Popular Leasing Inc., et al. ("Exquisite Caterers"), a putative national class action, was filed against 13 financial institutions, including CIT, which had acquired equipment leases ("NorVergence Leases") from NorVergence, Inc., a reseller of telecommunications and Internet services to businesses. The Exquisite Caterers lawsuit is now pending in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Monmouth County. Exquisite Caterers has alleged that NorVergence misrepresented the capabilities of the equipment leased to its customers and overcharged for the equipment. The complaint asserts that the NorVergence Leases are unenforceable and seeks rescission, punitive damages, treble damages and attorneys' fees. In addition, putative class action suits in Florida, Illinois, New York and Texas and several individual suits, all based upon the same core allegations and seeking the same relief, were filed by NorVergence customers against CIT and other financial institutions. On June 16, 2005, the Court in Exquisite Caterers denied the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial. Thereafter, the putative class action suits in Florida and New York and one of the putative class action suits in Illinois were dismissed as to CIT, leaving pending putative class action suits in Illinois and Texas.

On July 14, 2004, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court ordered the liquidation of NorVergence under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Thereafter, the Attorneys General of several states commenced investigations of NorVergence and the financial institutions, including CIT, that purchased NorVergence Leases. CIT has entered into settlement agreements with all of those Attorneys General except for California and Texas. Under those settlements, lessees will have an opportunity to resolve all claims by and against CIT by paying a percentage of the remaining balance on their lease. CIT has also produced documents related to NorVergence at the request of the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"). No further action by the FTC against CIT is expected. In addition, on February 15, 2005, CIT was served with a subpoena seeking the production of documents in a grand jury proceeding being conducted by the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York in connection with an investigation of transactions related to NorVergence. CIT has produced documents in response to that subpoena.

##### Other Litigation

In addition, there are various legal proceedings that have been brought against CIT in the ordinary course of business. While the outcomes of the NorVergence related litigation and the ordinary course legal proceedings, and the related activities, are not certain, based on present assessments,

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

management does not believe that they will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of CIT.

### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table details the repurchase activity of CIT common stock during the June 30, 2005 quarter:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Max of Sh Yet Und o
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at March 31, 2005 .....	1,348,391	\$39.51		
April 1 - 30, 2005 .....	630,000	\$38.99	630,000	
May 1 - 31, 2005 .....	630,000	\$40.78	630,000	
June 1 - 30, 2005 .....	765,100	\$42.23	765,100	
Total Purchases .....	2,025,100			
Reissuances(1) .....	(1,164,686)			
Balance at June 30, 2005.....	2,208,805			
	=====			

(1) Includes the issuance of common stock held in treasury upon exercise of stock options, payment of employee stock purchase plan obligations and the vesting of restricted stock.

(2) Reflects the repurchase program for up to an additional 5 million shares to be used for employee stock purchase plans and general corporate purposes that was approved by the Company's Board of Directors on April 18, 2005.

In connection with a share repurchase program authorized by the Company's Board of Directors, on July 19, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("Goldman Sachs") to purchase shares of the Company's common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$500 million under an accelerated stock buyback program. The buyback agreement provides for the upfront delivery of \$500 million to Goldman Sachs and the initial delivery of shares to CIT, followed by the potential delivery of additional shares depending upon the price of CIT common stock during the term of the program. Additional shares may be delivered to CIT at two subsequent dates, during the third quarter when minimum and maximum number of shares will be set and in the fourth quarter at the end of the program. Repurchased shares delivered to CIT will be held in treasury.

The number of additional shares the Company may receive over the remaining term of the agreement, which expires during December 2005, will generally be based upon the volume-weighted average price of the Company's common stock during the term of the program. However, as part of the agreement, minimum and

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

maximum share prices will be set, which will serve to determine the number of shares to be received. The minimum and maximum share prices will be established based upon the volume-weighted average price during a period that began on July 25, 2005, and will be completed during the third quarter of 2005. The Company expects that the program will be completed in December 2005, although in certain circumstances the completion date may be accelerated or extended.

In connection with the program, the Company expects that Goldman Sachs will purchase shares of the Company's common stock in the open market over time. Also in conjunction with the program, Goldman Sachs may sell CIT shares in the open market over time. These activities undertaken by Goldman Sachs are expected to increase the amount of short interest in the Company's stock, but will be reversed over the course of the agreement term.

On July 28, 2005, the Company delivered \$500 million to Goldman Sachs and received the initial delivery of approximately 8.2 million shares, while retaining the right to receive additional shares as explained above. The 8.2 million shares represents 80% of the minimum expected share delivery based upon preliminary pricing. In no event, will CIT receive less than the 8.2 million shares.

On July 26, 2005, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate amount of Series A and Series B preferred equity securities. The offering was comprised of \$350 million 6.35% non-cumulative fixed rate Series A preferred stock and \$150 million 5.189% non-cumulative adjustable rate Series B preferred stock. Holders of the Series A preferred shares will be entitled to receive dividends as declared, at an annual rate of 6.35%. Holders of the Series B preferred shares will be entitled to receive dividends as declared, at an annual rate of 5.189% through and including September 15, 2010, and thereafter at an annual floating rate spread over a pre-specified benchmark rate. Both the Series A and Series B preferred stock are callable at par at any time after September 15, 2010. The intended use of proceeds from this offering was to fund the repurchase of our common stock in conjunction with the previously announced accelerated stock buyback program.

### ITEM 3. DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The annual meeting of stockholders was held on May 11, 2005. The following table includes individuals, comprising all of the directors of CIT, who were elected to the Board of Directors, each with the number of votes shown, to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders, or until succeeded by another qualified director who has been elected, along with all other proposals and vote tallies:

Proposal No.	Description	Votes For	Votes Withheld	Votes Abstain
1	Election of Directors:			
	GARY C. BUTLER	109,977,954	81,012,782	--
	WILLIAM A. FARLINGER	189,603,008	1,387,728	--
	WILLIAM M. FREEMAN	177,238,830	13,751,906	--
	HON. THOMAS H. KEAN	175,048,156	15,942,580	--

Proposal	Votes	Votes
----------	-------	-------

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

No.	Description	Votes For	Withheld	Abstain
	MARIANNE MILLER PARRS	189,657,686	1,333,050	--
	JEFFREY M. PEEK	185,436,076	5,554,660	--
	TIMOTHY M. RING	177,268,799	13,721,937	--
	JOHN R. RYAN	189,657,558	1,333,178	--
	PETER J. TOBIN	189,646,864	1,343,872	--
	LOIS M. VAN DEUSEN	189,661,021	1,329,715	--
2	Ratification of Independent Accountants	189,704,057	293,217	993,462
3	Other business	74,707,328	103,710,158	12,573,250

### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

#### (a) Exhibits

- 3.1 Second Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed by CIT on August 12, 2003).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Form 10-Q filed by CIT on August 12, 2003).
- 3.3 Certificate of Designations relating to the Company's 6.350% Non-Cummulative Preferred Stock Series A (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to Form 8-A filed by CIT on July 29, 2005).
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations relating to the Company's Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series B (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to Form 8-A filed by CIT on July 29, 2005).
- 4.1 Indenture dated as of August 26, 2002 by and among CIT Group Inc., Bank One Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee and Bank One NA, London Branch, as London Paying Agent and London Calculation Agent, for the issuance of unsecured and unsubordinated debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to Form 10-K filed by CIT on February 26, 2003).
- 4.2 Indenture dated as of October 29, 2004 between CIT Group Inc. and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association for the issuance of senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form S-3/A filed by CIT on October 28, 2004).
- 4.3 Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of CIT's long-term debt, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of 10% of the total amounts outstanding of CIT and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis have not been filed as exhibits. CIT agrees to furnish a copy of these agreements to the Commission upon request.
- 10.1\* Master Confirmation Agreement and the related Supplemental Confirmation dated as of July 19, 2005 between Goldman, Sachs and Co. and CIT Group Inc. relating to CIT's accelerated stock repurchase program.



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-Q/A

- 12.1 CIT Group Inc. and Subsidiaries Computation of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Certification of Jeffrey M. Peek pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Joseph M. Leone pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Jeffrey M. Peek pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Joseph M. Leone pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

-----  
\* Portions of this exhibit have been redacted and are subject of a confidential treatment request filed with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended.

51

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CIT GROUP INC.

By: /s/ JOSEPH M. LEONE

-----  
Joseph M. Leone  
Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ WILLIAM J. TAYLOR

-----  
William J. Taylor  
Executive Vice President, Controller  
and Principal Accounting Officer

December 13, 2005

52