NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

Form 10-K

February 13, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 001-11290

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of 56-1431377 incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

450 South Orange Avenue, Suite 900

Orlando, Florida 32801

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (407) 265-7348

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class: Name of exchange on which registered:

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

New York Stock Exchange

6.625% Series D Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange

5.700% Series E Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange

5.200% Series F Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act Yes " No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. No ·

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company x Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes x No x

The aggregate market value of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2016 was \$7,472,258,000.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of January 31, 2017 was 147,235,328.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Registrant incorporates by reference into Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K portions of National Retail Properties, Inc.'s definitive Proxy Statement for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") pursuant to Regulation 14A. The definitive Proxy Statement will be filed with the Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE REFERENCE
Part I		
	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>6</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>14</u>
	<u>Properties</u>	<u>14</u>
	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>14</u> <u>14</u>
	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>14</u>
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>15</u>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>18</u>
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>20</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>37</u>
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>38</u>
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>68</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>68</u>
Item 9B.	Other Information	<u>69</u>
Part III		
Item 10	.Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>70</u>
Item 11	.Executive Compensation	<u>70</u>
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>70</u>
Item 13	.Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>70</u>
Item 14	.Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>70</u>
Part IV		
Item 15	.Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>71</u>
Signatu	<u>res</u>	<u>76</u>
-		

PART I

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the terms "registrant" or "NNN" or the "Company" refer to National Retail Properties, Inc. and all of its consolidated subsidiaries. NNN may elect to treat certain subsidiaries as taxable real estate investment trust subsidiaries. These subsidiaries and their majority owned and controlled subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "TRS."

Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the documents that are incorporated by reference, that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Also, when NNN uses any of the words "anticipate," "assume," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," or similar expressions, NNN is making forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon present expectations and reasonable assumptions, NNN's actual results could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Certain factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those NNN anticipates or projects are described in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any document incorporated herein by reference. NNN undertakes no obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1. Business

The Company

NNN, a Maryland corporation, is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") formed in 1984. NNN's assets are primarily real estate assets. NNN's consolidated financial statements are included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Real Estate Assets

NNN acquires, owns, invests in and develops properties that are leased primarily to retail tenants under long-term net leases and are primarily held for investment ("Properties" or "Property Portfolio," or individually a "Property"). NNN owned 2,535 Properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 27,204,000 square feet, located in 48 states, with a weighted average remaining lease term of 11.6 years as of December 31, 2016. Approximately 99 percent of the Properties were leased as of December 31, 2016.

Competition

NNN generally competes with numerous other REITs, commercial developers, real estate limited partnerships and other investors including but not limited to insurance companies, pension funds and financial institutions that own, manage, finance or develop retail and net leased properties.

Employees

As of January 31, 2017, NNN employed 65 associates.

Other Information

NNN's executive offices are located at 450 S. Orange Avenue, Suite 900, Orlando, Florida 32801, and its telephone number is (407) 265-7348. NNN has a website at www.nnnreit.com where NNN's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") can be downloaded free of charge.

The common shares of National Retail Properties, Inc. are traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the ticker symbol "NNN." National Retail Properties, Inc. has three series of preferred shares outstanding which are traded on the NYSE: the depositary shares, each representing a 1/100th of a share of 6.625% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Series D Preferred Stock"), the depositary shares, each representing a 1/100th of a share of 5.700% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Series E Preferred Stock"), and the depositary shares, each representing a 1/100of a share of 5.200% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Series F Preferred Stock").

Business Strategies and Policies

The following is a discussion of NNN's operating strategy and certain of its investment, financing and other policies. These strategies and policies have been set by management and the Board of Directors and, in general, may be amended or revised from time to time by management and the Board of Directors without a vote of NNN's stockholders.

Operating Strategies

NNN's strategy is to invest primarily in retail real estate that is typically well located within each local market for its tenants' retail lines of trade. Management believes that these types of properties, generally leased pursuant to triple-net leases, provide attractive opportunities for stable current returns and the potential for increased returns and capital appreciation. Triple-net leases typically require the tenant to pay property operating expenses such as insurance, utilities, repairs, maintenance, capital expenditures and real estate taxes and assessments. Initial lease terms are generally 10 to 20 years.

NNN holds real estate assets until it determines that the sale of such an asset is advantageous in view of NNN's investment objectives. In deciding whether to sell a real estate asset, NNN may consider factors such as potential capital appreciation, net cash flow, tenant credit quality, tenant's line of trade, portfolio composition, market lease rates, local market conditions, potential use of sale proceeds and federal income tax considerations.

NNN's management team focuses on certain key indicators to evaluate the financial condition and operating performance of NNN. These key indicators include the composition of the Property Portfolio (such as tenant, geographic and line of trade diversification), the occupancy rate of the Property Portfolio, certain financial performance ratios and profitability measures, and industry trends and performance compared to NNN.

The operating strategies employed by NNN have allowed NNN to increase the annual dividend (paid quarterly) per common share for 27 consecutive years. NNN is one of only four publicly traded REITs to increase its annual dividend per common share for 27 or more consecutive years.

Investment in Real Estate or Interests in Real Estate

NNN's management believes that single tenant, freestanding net lease retail properties will continue to provide attractive investment opportunities and that NNN is well suited to take advantage of these opportunities because of its experience in accessing capital markets, and its ability to source, underwrite and acquire properties.

In evaluating a particular acquisition, management may consider a variety of factors, including but not limited to: the location, visibility and accessibility of the property,

the geographic area and demographic characteristics of the community, as well as the local real estate market conditions, including potential for growth, market rents, and existing or potential competing properties or retailers, the size, age and title status of the property,

the quality of construction and design and the current physical condition of the Property Portfolio,

the potential for, and current extent of, any environmental problems,

the purchase price,

the non-financial terms of the proposed acquisition,

the availability of funds or other consideration for the proposed acquisition and the cost thereof,

the compatibility of the property with NNN's existing portfolio,

the property-level operating history,

the financial and other characteristics of the existing tenant,

the tenant's business plan, operating history and management team,

the tenant's industry,

the terms of any lease,

the rent to be paid by the tenant, and

any existing indebtedness encumbering the property which may be assumed in connection with acquiring or refinancing these investments.

NNN intends to engage in future investment activities in a manner that is consistent with the maintenance of its status as a

REIT for federal income tax purposes. Additionally, NNN does not intend to engage in activities that will make NNN an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Securities of or Interests in Persons Engaged in Real Estate Activities While NNN's primary business objectives emphasize retail properties, NNN may invest in (i) a wide variety of property and tenant types, (ii) leases, mortgages and other types of real estate interests, (iii) loans secured by personal property, (iv) loans secured by partnership or membership interests in partnerships or limited liability companies, respectively, or (v) securities of other REITs, or other issuers, including for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

Financing Strategy

NNN's financing objective is to manage its capital structure effectively in order to provide sufficient capital to execute its operating strategies while servicing its debt requirements and providing value to its stockholders. NNN generally utilizes debt and equity security offerings, bank borrowings, the sale of properties, and to a lesser extent, internally generated funds to meet its capital needs.

NNN typically funds its short-term liquidity requirements including investments in additional properties with advances from its \$650,000,000 unsecured revolving credit facility ("Credit Facility"). As of December 31, 2016, there was no outstanding balance and \$650,000,000 was available for future borrowings under the Credit Facility, excluding undrawn letters of credit totaling \$230,000.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN's ratio of total debt to total gross assets (before accumulated depreciation and amortization) was approximately 30 percent and the ratio of secured indebtedness to total gross assets was less than one percent. The ratio of total debt to total market capitalization was approximately 22 percent. Certain financial agreements contain covenants that limit NNN's ability to incur additional debt under certain circumstances. NNN anticipates it will be able to obtain additional financing for short-term and long-term liquidity requirements as

further described in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity." However, there can be no assurance that additional financing or capital will be available, or that the terms will be acceptable or advantageous to NNN.

The organizational documents of NNN do not limit the absolute amount or percentage of indebtedness that NNN may incur. Additionally, NNN may change its financing strategy at any time.

Strategies and Policy Changes

Any of NNN's strategies or policies described above may be changed at any time by NNN without notice to or a vote of NNN's stockholders.

Property Portfolio

As of December 31, 2016, NNN owned 2,535 Properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 27,204,000 square feet, located in 48 states, with a weighted average remaining lease term of 11.6 years. Approximately 99 percent of total Properties were leased as of December 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes the Property Portfolio at December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	S17e(1)			Total Dollars			
				Invested ⁽²⁾			
	High	Low	Average	High	Low	Average	
Land	3,733	2	102	\$8,882	\$ 5	\$ 855	
Building	;142	1	11	45,286	19	1,826	

⁽¹⁾ Approximate square feet.

⁽²⁾ Costs vary depending upon size, local market conditions and other factors.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN has committed to fund construction commitments on 21 Properties. The improvements are estimated to be completed within 12 months. These construction commitments, at December 31, 2016, are outlined in the table below (dollars in thousands):

Total commitment⁽¹⁾ \$114,206 Amount funded \$54,782 Remaining commitment \$59,424

(1) Includes land, construction costs, tenant improvements and lease costs.

Leases

The following is a summary of the general structure of the leases in the Property Portfolio, although the specific terms of each lease can vary. Generally, the Property leases provide for initial terms of 10 to 20 years. As of December 31, 2016, the weighted average remaining lease term of the Property Portfolio was approximately 11.6 years. The Properties are generally leased under net leases, pursuant to which the tenant typically bears responsibility for substantially all property costs and expenses associated with ongoing maintenance and operation, including utilities, property taxes and insurance. NNN's leases provide for annual base rental payments (payable in monthly installments) ranging from \$6,000 to \$3,714,000 (average of \$218,000), and generally provide for increases in rent as a result of (i) increases in the Consumer Price Index ("CPI"), (ii) fixed increases, or, to a lesser extent, (iii) increases in the tenant's sales volume.

Generally, NNN's leases provide the tenant with one or more multi-year renewal options subject to generally the same terms and conditions provided under the initial lease term. Some of the leases also provide that in the event NNN wishes to sell the Property subject to that lease, NNN first must offer the lessee the right to purchase the Property on the same terms and conditions as any offer which NNN intends to accept for the sale of the Property. The following table summarizes the lease expirations, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options, of the

The following table summarizes the lease expirations, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options, of the Property Portfolio for each of the next 10 years and then thereafter in the aggregate as of December 31, 2016:

% of Annual Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	# of Properties	Gross Leasable Area ⁽²⁾		% of Annual Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	# of Properties	Gross Leasable Area ⁽²⁾
20171.2%	27	502,000	2023	2.5%	85	1,014,000
20183.2%	90	1,153,000	2024	2.6%	50	883,000
20193.0%	76	1,122,000	2025	5.0%	132	1,116,000
20203.8%	132	1,571,000	2026	6.0%	181	1,830,000
20214.4%	122	1,320,000	Thereafter	62.2%	1,495	14,733,000
20226.1%	111	1,456,000				

⁽¹⁾ Based on annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31, 2016.

⁽²⁾ Approximate square feet.

The following table summarizes the diversification of the Property Portfolio based on the top 10 lines of trade:

		% of Annual Base		
		Rent(1)		
	Top 10 Lines of Trade	2016	2015	2014
1.	Convenience stores	16.9%	16.7%	18.0%
2.	Restaurants - full service	11.8%	11.0%	9.1%
3.	Restaurants - limited service	7.5%	7.2%	6.5%
4.	Automotive service	6.6%	7.0%	7.2%
5.	Family entertainment centers	5.8%	5.6%	5.1%
6.	Health and fitness	5.7%	3.8%	3.9%
7.	Theaters	4.9%	5.2%	5.2%
8.	Automotive parts	3.9%	4.2%	4.7%
9.	Recreational vehicle dealers, parts and accessories	3.4%	3.6%	3.1%
10.	Banks	3.1%	3.4%	3.7%
	Other	30.4%	32.3%	33.5%
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Based on annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31 of the respective year. The following table summarizes the diversification of the Property Portfolio by state as of December 31, 2016:

		# of	% of
	State		Annual
		Properties	Base Rent(1)
1.	Texas	448	18.4%
2.	Florida	197	9.1%
3.	Illinois	132	5.7%
4.	Ohio	165	5.7%
5.	North Carolina	134	4.7%
6.	Georgia	118	4.3%
7.	Indiana	118	4.2%
8.	Virginia	88	3.5%
9.	Alabama	101	3.0%
10.	Tennessee	77	2.8%
	Other	957	38.6%
		2,535	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Based on annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31, 2016.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN did not have any tenant that accounted for ten percent or more of its rental income.

Governmental Regulations Affecting Properties

Property Environmental Considerations. Subject to a determination of the level of risk and potential cost of remediation, NNN may acquire a property where some level of environmental contamination may exist. Investments in real property create a potential for substantial environmental liability for the owner of such property from the presence or discharge of hazardous materials on the property or the improper disposal of hazardous materials emanating from the property, regardless of fault. In order to mitigate exposure to environmental liability, NNN maintains an environmental insurance policy which provides some coverage for substantially all of the properties. Such policy expires in August 2018. As a part of its acquisition due diligence process, NNN obtains an environmental site assessment for each property. In such cases where NNN intends to acquire a property where some level of contamination may exist, NNN generally requires the seller or tenant to (i) remediate the problem, (ii) indemnify NNN for environmental liabilities, and/or (iii) agree to other arrangements deemed appropriate by NNN, including, under certain circumstances, the purchase of environmental insurance to address environmental conditions at the property.

As of February 6, 2017, NNN has 76 Properties currently under some level of environmental remediation and/or monitoring. In general, the seller, a previous owner, the tenant or an adjacent land owner is responsible for the cost of the environmental remediation for each of these Properties.

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. The Properties, as commercial facilities, are required to comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and similar state and local laws and regulations (collectively, the "ADA"). The tenants will typically have primary responsibility for complying with the ADA, but NNN may incur costs if the tenant does not comply. As of February 6, 2017, NNN has not been notified by any governmental authority of, nor is NNN's management aware of, any non-compliance with the ADA that NNN's management believes would have a material adverse effect on its business, financial position or results of operations.

Other Regulations. State and local fire, life-safety and similar entities regulate the use of the Properties. NNN's leases generally require each tenant to undertake primary responsibility for complying with regulations, but failure to comply could result in fines by governmental authorities, awards of damages to private litigants, or restrictions on the ability to conduct business on such properties.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto. If any of the events or developments described below were actually to occur, NNN's business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. Financial and economic conditions may have an adverse impact on NNN, its tenants, and commercial real estate in general.

Financial and economic conditions continue to be challenging and volatile and any worsening of such conditions, including any disruption in the capital markets, could adversely affect NNN's business and results of operations. Such conditions could also affect the financial condition of NNN's tenants, developers, borrowers, lenders or the institutions that hold NNN's cash balances and short-term investments, which may expose NNN to increased risks of default by these parties.

There can be no assurance that actions of the United States Government, the Federal Reserve or other government and regulatory bodies intended to stabilize the economy or financial markets will achieve their intended effect. Additionally, some of these actions may adversely affect financial institutions, capital providers, retailers, consumers, NNN's financial condition, NNN's results of operations or the trading price of NNN's shares.

Potential consequences of challenging and volatile financial and economic conditions include:

the financial condition of NNN's tenants may be adversely affected, which may result in tenant defaults under the leases due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, operational failures or for other reasons,

the ability to borrow on terms and conditions that NNN finds acceptable may be limited or unavailable, which could reduce NNN's ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce NNN's returns from acquisition and development activities, reduce NNN's ability to make cash distributions to its stockholders and increase NNN's future interest expense,

the recognition of impairment charges on or reduced values of the Properties, may adversely affect NNN's results of operations,

reduced values of the Properties may limit NNN's ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices and reduce the availability of buyer financing, and

the value and liquidity of NNN's short-term investments and cash deposits could be reduced as a result of (i) a deterioration of the financial condition of the institutions that hold NNN's cash deposits or the institutions or assets in which NNN has made short-term investments, (ii) the dislocation of the markets for NNN's short-term investments, (iii) increased volatility in market rates for such investments or (iv) other factors.

NNN may be unable to obtain debt or equity capital on favorable terms, if at all.

NNN may be unable to obtain capital on favorable terms, if at all, to further its business objectives or meet its existing obligations. Nearly all of NNN's debt, including the Credit Facility, is subject to balloon principal payments due at maturity. These maturities range between 2017 and 2026. NNN's ability to make these scheduled principal payments may be adversely impacted by NNN's inability to extend or refinance the Credit Facility, the inability to dispose of assets at an attractive price or the inability to obtain additional debt or equity capital. Capital that may be available may be materially more expensive or available under terms that are materially more restrictive which would have an adverse impact on NNN's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Loss of rent from tenants would reduce NNN's cash flow.

NNN's tenants encounter significant macroeconomic, governmental and competitive forces. Adverse changes in consumer spending or consumer preferences for particular goods, services or store based retailing could severely impact their ability to pay rent. Shifts from in-store to online shopping could increase due to changing consumer shopping patterns as well as the increase in consumer adoption and use of mobile electronic devices. This expansion of e-commerce could have an adverse impact on NNN's tenants' ongoing viability. The default, financial distress, bankruptcy or liquidation of one or more of NNN's tenants could cause substantial vacancies in the Property Portfolio. Vacancies reduce NNN's revenues, increase property expenses and could decrease the value of each such vacant Property. Upon the expiration of a lease, the tenant may choose not to renew the lease and NNN may not be able to re-lease the vacant Property at a comparable lease rate. Furthermore, NNN may incur additional expenditures in connection with such renewal or re-leasing.

A significant portion of the Property Portfolio annual base rent is concentrated in specific industry classifications, tenants and geographic locations.

As of December 31, 2016, approximately,

48.6% of the Property Portfolio annual base rent is generated from tenants in five retail lines of trade, including convenience stores (16.9%) and full-service and limited-service restaurants (19.3%),

20.1% of the Property Portfolio annual base rent is generated from five tenants, including Sunoco (5.4%), Mister Car Wash (4.0%), LA Fitness (3.8%), AMC Theatres (3.5%), and Camping World (3.4%), and

43.6% of the Property Portfolio annual base rent is generated from properties located in five states, including Texas (18.4%) and Florida (9.1%).

Any financial hardship and/or economic changes in these lines of trade, tenants or states could have an adverse effect on NNN's results of operations.

Owning real estate and indirect interests in real estate carries inherent risks.

NNN's economic performance and the value of its real estate assets are subject to the risk that if the Properties do not generate revenues sufficient to meet its operating expenses, including debt service, NNN's cash flow and ability to pay distributions to its stockholders will be adversely affected. As a real estate company, NNN is susceptible to the following real estate industry risks, which are beyond its control:

changes in national, regional and local economic conditions and outlook,

decreases in consumer spending and retail sales or adverse changes in consumer preferences for particular goods, services or store based retailing,

economic downturns in the areas where the Properties are located,

adverse changes in local real estate market conditions, such as an oversupply of space, reduction in demand for space, loss of a large employer, intense competition for tenants, or a demographic change,

changes in tenant or consumer preferences that reduce the attractiveness of the Properties to tenants,

changes in zoning, regulatory restrictions, or tax laws, and

changes in interest rates or availability of financing.

All of these factors could result in decreases in market rental rates and increases in vacancy rates, which could adversely affect NNN's results of operations.

NNN's real estate investments are illiquid.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, NNN's ability to adjust the portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions is limited. Certain significant expenditures generally do not change in response to economic or other conditions, including: (i) debt service (if any), (ii) real estate taxes, and (iii) operating and maintenance costs. This combination of variable revenue and relatively fixed expenditures may result, under certain market conditions, in reduced earnings and could have an adverse effect on NNN's financial condition.

Costs of complying with changes in governmental laws and regulations may adversely affect NNN's results of operations.

NNN cannot predict what laws or regulations will be enacted in the future, how future laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted, or how future laws or regulations will affect NNN or its Properties, including, but not limited to environmental laws and regulations. Compliance with new laws or regulations, or stricter interpretation of existing laws, may require NNN, its retail tenants, or consumers to incur significant expenditures, impose significant liability, restrict or prohibit business activities and could cause a material adverse effect on NNN's results of operation. NNN may be subject to known or unknown environmental liabilities and hazardous materials on Properties owned by NNN.

There may be known or unknown environmental liabilities associated with properties owned or acquired in the future by NNN. Certain particular uses of some properties may also have a heightened risk of environmental liability because of the hazardous materials used in performing services on those properties, such as convenience stores with underground petroleum storage tanks or auto parts and auto service businesses using petroleum products, paint and machine solvents. Some of the Properties may contain asbestos or asbestos-containing materials, or may contain or may develop mold or other bio-contaminants. Asbestos-containing materials must be handled, managed and removed in accordance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations. Mold and other bio-contaminants can produce airborne toxins, may cause a variety of health issues in individuals and must be remediated in accordance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations.

As part of its due diligence process, NNN generally obtains an environmental site assessment for each property it acquires. In cases where NNN intends to acquire real estate where evidence of some level of known contamination may exist, NNN generally requires the seller or tenant to (i) remediate the contamination in accordance with applicable laws, rules and regulations, (ii) indemnify NNN for environmental liabilities, and/or (iii) agree to other arrangements deemed appropriate by NNN, including, under certain circumstances, the purchase of environmental insurance. Although sellers or tenants may be contractually responsible for remediating hazardous materials on a property and may be responsible for indemnifying NNN for any liability resulting from the use of a property and for any failure to comply with any applicable environmental laws, rules or regulations, NNN has no assurance that sellers or tenants shall be able to meet their remediation and indemnity obligations to NNN. A tenant or seller may not have the financial ability to meet its remediation and indemnity obligations to NNN when required. Furthermore, NNN

may have strict liability to governmental agencies or third parties as a result of the existence of hazardous materials on Properties, whether or not NNN knew about or caused such hazardous materials to exist.

As of February 6, 2017, NNN has 76 Properties currently under some level of environmental remediation and/or monitoring. In general, the seller, a previous owner, the tenant or an adjacent land owner is responsible for the cost of the environmental remediation for each of these Properties.

If NNN is responsible for hazardous materials located on its Properties, NNN's liability may include investigation and remediation costs, property damage to third parties, personal injury to third parties, and governmental fines and penalties. Furthermore, the presence of hazardous materials on a Property may adversely impact the Property value or NNN's ability to sell the Property. Significant environmental liability could impact NNN's results of operations, ability to make distributions to stockholders, and its ability to meet its debt obligations.

In order to mitigate exposure to environmental liability, NNN maintains an environmental insurance policy which provides some coverage for substantially all of its Properties. That policy expires in August 2018. However, the policy is subject to exclusions and limitations and does not cover all of the Properties owned by NNN. For those Properties covered under the policy, insurance may not fully compensate NNN for any environmental liability. NNN has no assurance that the insurer on its environmental insurance policy will be able to meet its obligations under the policy. NNN may not desire to renew the environmental insurance policy in place upon expiration or a replacement policy may not be available at a reasonable cost, if at all.

NNN may not be able to successfully execute its acquisition or development strategies.

NNN may not be able to implement its investment strategies successfully. Additionally, NNN cannot assure that its Property Portfolio will expand at all, or if it will expand at any specified rate or to any specified size. In addition, investment in additional real estate assets is subject to a number of risks. Because NNN expects to invest in markets other than the ones in which its current Properties are located or properties which may be leased to tenants other than those to which NNN has historically leased properties, NNN will also be subject to the risks associated with investment in new markets or with new tenants that may be relatively unfamiliar to NNN's management team. NNN's development activities are subject to, without limitation, risks relating to the availability and timely receipt of zoning and other regulatory approvals, the cost and timely completion of construction (including risks from factors beyond NNN's control, such as weather or labor conditions or material shortages), the risk of finding tenants for the properties and the ability to obtain both construction and permanent financing on favorable terms. These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development activities once undertaken or provide a tenant the opportunity to reduce rent or terminate a lease. Any of these situations may delay or eliminate proceeds or cash flows NNN expects from these projects, which could have an adverse effect on NNN's financial condition.

NNN may not be able to dispose of properties consistent with its operating strategy.

NNN may be unable to sell properties targeted for disposition due to adverse market conditions. This may adversely affect, among other things, NNN's ability to sell under favorable terms, execute its operating strategy, achieve target earnings or returns, retire or repay debt or pay dividends.

NNN may suffer a loss in the event of a default of or bankruptcy of a borrower or a tenant.

As of December 31, 2016, mortgages and notes receivables had an outstanding principal balance of \$1,252,000. If a borrower defaults on a mortgage or other loan made by NNN, and does not have sufficient assets to satisfy the loan, NNN may suffer a loss of principal and interest. In the event of the bankruptcy of a borrower, NNN may not be able to recover against all or any of the assets of the borrower, or the collateral may not be sufficient to satisfy the balance due on the loan. In addition, certain of NNN's loans may be subordinate to other debt of a borrower. These investments are typically loans secured by a borrower's pledge of its ownership interests in the entity that owns the real estate or other assets and are typically subordinated to senior loans encumbering the underlying real estate or assets. Subordinated positions are generally subject to a higher risk of nonpayment of principal and interest than the more senior loans. If a borrower defaults on the debt senior to NNN's loan, or in the event of the bankruptcy of a borrower, NNN's loan will be satisfied only after the borrower's senior creditors' claims are satisfied. Where debt senior to NNN's loans exists, the presence of intercreditor arrangements may limit NNN's ability to amend loan documents, assign the loans, accept prepayments, exercise remedies and control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings relating to borrowers. Bankruptcy proceedings and litigation can significantly increase the time needed for NNN to acquire underlying collateral, if any, in the event of a default, during which time the collateral may decline in value. In addition, there are significant costs and delays associated with the foreclosure process.

Certain provisions of NNN's leases or loan agreements may be unenforceable.

NNN's rights and obligations with respect to its leases, mortgage loans or other loans are governed by written agreements. A court could determine that one or more provisions of such an agreement are unenforceable, such as a particular remedy, a master lease covenant, a loan prepayment provision or a provision governing NNN's security interest in the underlying collateral of a borrower or lessee. NNN could be adversely impacted if this were to happen with respect to an asset or group of assets.

Property ownership through joint ventures and partnerships could limit NNN's control of those investments. Joint ventures or partnerships involve risks not otherwise present for direct investments by NNN. It is possible that NNN's co-venturers or partners may have different interests or goals than NNN at any time and they may take actions contrary to NNN's requests, policies or objectives, including NNN's policy with respect to maintaining its qualification as a REIT. Other risks of joint venture or partnership investments include impasses on decisions because in some instances no single co-venturer or partner has full control over the joint venture or partnership, respectively, or the co-venturer or partner may become insolvent, bankrupt or otherwise unable to contribute to the joint venture or partnership, respectively. Further, disputes may develop with a co-venturer or partner over decisions affecting the property, joint venture or partnership that may result in litigation, arbitration or some other form of dispute resolution. Competition from numerous other REITs, commercial developers, real estate limited partnerships and other investors may impede NNN's ability to grow.

NNN may not complete suitable property acquisitions or developments on advantageous terms, if at all, due to competition for such properties with others engaged in real estate investment activities or lack of properties for sale on terms deemed acceptable to NNN. NNN's inability to successfully acquire or develop new properties may affect NNN's ability to achieve anticipated return on investment or realize its investment strategy, which could have an adverse effect on its results of operations.

NNN's loss of key management personnel could adversely affect performance and the value of its securities. NNN is dependent on the efforts of its key management. Competition for senior management personnel can be intense and NNN may not be able to retain its key management. Although NNN believes qualified replacements could be found for any departures of key management, the loss of their services could adversely affect NNN's performance and the value of its securities.

On September 29, 2016, NNN announced that, as the culmination of its long-term executive succession planning process, Craig Macnab, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chairman of NNN's Board of Directors, will retire as CEO and step down as Chairman and a member of NNN's Board of Directors effective April 28, 2017. Julian E. ("Jay") Whitehurst, currently President and Chief Operating Officer, will assume the role of President and CEO as of April 28, 2017.

Uninsured losses may adversely affect NNN's operating results and asset values.

The Properties are generally covered by comprehensive liability, fire, and extended insurance coverage. NNN believes that the insurance carried on its Properties is adequate and in accordance with industry standards. There are, however, types of losses (such as from hurricanes, floods, earthquakes or other types of natural disasters or wars or other acts of violence) which may be uninsurable, self-insured by tenants, or the cost of insuring against these losses may not be economically justifiable in the opinion of tenants or NNN. If an uninsured loss occurs or a loss exceeds policy limits, NNN could lose both its invested capital and anticipated revenues from the property, thereby reducing NNN's cash flow and asset value.

Acts of violence, terrorist attacks or war may affect the markets in which NNN operates and NNN's results of operations.

Terrorist attacks or other acts of violence may negatively affect NNN's operations. There can be no assurance that there will not be terrorist attacks against businesses within the United States. These attacks may directly or indirectly impact NNN's physical facilities or the businesses or the financial condition of its tenants, developers, borrowers, lenders or financial institutions with which NNN has a relationship. The United States is engaged in armed conflict, which could have an impact on these parties. The consequences of armed conflict are unpredictable, and NNN may not be able to foresee events that could have an adverse effect on its business or be insured for such.

More generally, any of these events or threats of these events could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the United States and worldwide financial markets and economies. They

also could result in, or

cause a deepening of, economic recession in the United States or abroad. Any of these occurrences could have an adverse impact on NNN's financial condition or results of operations.

Vacant properties or bankrupt tenants or borrowers could adversely affect NNN's business or financial condition. As of December 31, 2016, NNN owned 27 vacant, un-leased Properties, which accounted for approximately one percent of total Properties held in the Property Portfolio. NNN is actively marketing these properties for sale or lease but may not be able to sell or lease these properties on favorable terms or at all. The lost revenues and increased property expenses resulting from the rejection by any bankrupt tenant of any of their respective leases with NNN could have a material adverse effect on the liquidity and results of operations of NNN if NNN is unable to re-lease the Properties at comparable rental rates and in a timely manner. As of January 31, 2017, less than one percent of the total gross leasable area of the Property Portfolio was leased to tenants that have filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and have the right to reject or affirm their leases with NNN. The amount of debt NNN has and the restrictions imposed by that debt could adversely affect NNN's business and financial condition.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN had outstanding debt including mortgages payable of \$13,878,000, total unsecured notes payable of \$2,297,811,000 and zero outstanding on the Credit Facility. NNN's organizational documents do not limit the level or amount of debt that it may incur. If NNN incurs additional indebtedness and permits a higher degree of leverage, debt service requirements would increase and could adversely affect NNN's financial condition and results of operations, as well as NNN's ability to pay principal and interest on the outstanding indebtedness or cash dividends to its stockholders. In addition, increased leverage could increase the risk that NNN may default on its debt obligations.

The amount of debt outstanding at any time could have important consequences to NNN's stockholders. For example, it could:

require NNN to dedicate a substantial portion of its cash flow from operations to payments on its debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, real estate investments and other business opportunities that may arise in the future,

increase NNN's vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions,

limit NNN's ability to obtain any additional financing it may need in the future for working capital, debt refinancing, capital expenditures, real estate investments, development or other general corporate purposes,

make it difficult to satisfy NNN's debt service requirements,

limit NNN's ability to pay dividends in cash on its outstanding common and preferred stock,

limit NNN's flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the factors that affect the profitability of its business, and

limit NNN's flexibility in conducting its business, which may place NNN at a disadvantage compared to competitors with less debt or debt with less restrictive terms.

NNN's ability to make scheduled payments of principal or interest on its debt, or to retire or refinance such debt will depend primarily on its future performance, which to a certain extent is subject to the creditworthiness of its tenants, competition, and economic, financial, and other factors beyond its control. There can be no assurance that NNN's business will continue to generate sufficient cash flow from operations in the future to service its debt or meet its other cash needs. If NNN is unable to generate sufficient cash flow from its business, it may be required to refinance all or a portion of its existing debt, sell assets or obtain additional financing to meet its debt obligations and other cash needs. NNN cannot assure stockholders that any such refinancing, sale of assets or additional financing would be possible or, if possible, on terms and conditions, including but not limited to the interest rate, which NNN would find acceptable or would not result in a material decline in earnings.

NNN is obligated to comply with financial and other covenants in its debt instruments that could restrict its operating activities, and the failure to comply with such covenants could result in defaults that accelerate the payment of such debt.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN had approximately \$2,311,689,000 of outstanding indebtedness, of which approximately \$13,878,000 was secured indebtedness. NNN's unsecured debt instruments contain various restrictive covenants which include, among others, provisions restricting NNN's ability to:

incur or guarantee additional debt,

make certain distributions, investments and other restricted payments,

enter into transactions with certain affiliates,

create certain liens,

consolidate, merge or sell NNN's assets, and

pre-pay debt.

NNN's secured debt instruments generally contain customary covenants, including, among others, provisions:

requiring the maintenance of the property securing the debt,

restricting its ability to sell, assign or further encumber the properties securing the debt,

restricting its ability to incur additional debt on the property securing the debt,

restricting modifications to property improvements,

restricting its ability to amend or modify existing leases on the property securing the debt, and

establishing certain prepayment restrictions.

In addition, NNN's debt instruments may contain cross-default provisions, in which case a default of NNN under one debt instrument will be a default of NNN under multiple or all debt instruments of NNN.

NNN's ability to meet some of its debt covenants, including covenants related to the condition of the property or payment of real estate taxes, may be dependent on the performance by NNN's tenants under their leases.

In addition, certain covenants in NNN's debt instruments, including its Credit Facility, require NNN, among other things, to:

limit certain leverage ratios,

maintain certain minimum interest and debt service coverage ratios, and

4imit investments in certain types of assets.

NNN's failure to comply with certain of its debt covenants could result in defaults that accelerate the payment under such debt and limit the dividends paid to NNN's common and preferred stockholders which would likely have a material adverse impact on NNN's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, these defaults could impair its access to the debt and equity markets.

The market value of NNN's equity and debt securities is subject to various factors that may cause significant fluctuations or volatility.

As with other publicly traded securities, the market price of NNN's equity and debt securities depends on various factors, which may change from time-to-time and/or may be unrelated to NNN's financial condition, operating performance or prospects that may cause significant fluctuations or volatility in such prices. These factors, among others, include:

general economic and financial market conditions,

level and trend of interest rates,

changes in government taxation or regulatory authorities,

NNN's ability to access the capital markets to raise additional capital,

the issuance of additional equity or debt securities,

changes in NNN's funds from operations or earnings estimates,

changes in NNN's debt ratings or analyst ratings,

NNN's financial condition and performance,

market perception of NNN compared to other REITs, and

market perception of REITs compared to other investment sectors.

NNN's failure to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes could result in significant tax liability. NNN intends to operate in a manner that will allow NNN to continue to qualify as a REIT. NNN believes it has been organized as, and its past and present operations qualify NNN as a REIT. However, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") could successfully assert that NNN is not qualified as such. In addition, NNN may not remain qualified as a REIT in the future. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within NNN's control. Furthermore, new tax legislation, administrative guidance or court decisions, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for NNN to qualify as a REIT or avoid significant tax liability.

If NNN fails to qualify as a REIT, it would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to stockholders in computing taxable income and would become subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. In this event, NNN could be subject to potentially significant tax liabilities and penalties. Unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, NNN would also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which the qualification was lost.

Even if NNN remains qualified as a REIT, NNN faces other tax liabilities that reduce operating results and cash flow. Even if NNN remains qualified for taxation as a REIT, NNN is subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on its income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. Any of these taxes would decrease earnings and cash available for distribution to stockholders. In addition, in order to meet the REIT qualification requirements, NNN has owned some of its assets in the TRS.

Adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes could reduce NNN's earnings and cash flow and the market value of NNN's securities.

At any time, the federal and state income tax laws or the administrative interpretations of those laws may change. Any such changes may have current and retroactive effects, and could adversely affect NNN or its stockholders. Legislation could cause shares in non-REIT corporations to be a more attractive investment to individual investors than shares in REITs, and could have an adverse effect on the value of NNN's securities.

Compliance with REIT requirements, including distribution requirements, may limit NNN's flexibility and may negatively affect NNN's operating decisions.

To maintain its status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, NNN must meet certain requirements on an on-going basis, including requirements regarding its sources of income, the nature and diversification of its assets, the amounts NNN distributes to its stockholders and the ownership of its shares. NNN may also be required to make distributions to its stockholders when it does not have funds readily available for distribution or at times when NNN's funds are otherwise needed to fund expenditures or debt service requirements. NNN generally will not be subject to federal income taxes on amounts distributed to stockholders, so long as it distributes 100 percent of its REIT taxable income and meets certain other requirements for qualifying as a REIT. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, NNN believes it has qualified as a REIT. Notwithstanding NNN's qualification for taxation as a REIT, NNN is subject to certain state taxes on its income and real estate.

Changes in accounting pronouncements could adversely impact NNN's or NNN's tenants' reported financial performance.

Accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how NNN records and reports its financial condition and results of operations. From time to time the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") and the Commission, who create and interpret appropriate accounting standards, may change the financial accounting and reporting standards or their interpretation and application of these standards that govern the preparation of NNN's financial statements. These changes could have a material impact on NNN's reported financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, NNN could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in restating prior period financial statements. Similarly, these changes could have a material impact on NNN's tenants' reported financial condition or results of operations and affect their preferences regarding leasing real estate.

NNN's failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on its business, operating results and the market value of NNN's securities.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. If NNN fails to maintain the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting, as such standards may be modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, NNN may not be able to ensure that it can

conclude on an ongoing basis that it has effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Moreover, effective internal control over financial reporting, particularly those related to revenue recognition, are necessary for NNN to produce reliable financial reports and to maintain its qualification as a REIT and are important in helping to prevent financial fraud. If NNN cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, its business and operating results could be harmed, REIT qualification could be jeopardized, investors could lose confidence in the Company's reported financial information, the company's access to capital could be impaired, and the trading price of NNN's shares could drop significantly.

NNN's ability to pay dividends in the future is subject to many factors.

NNN's ability to pay dividends may be impaired if any of the risks described in this section were to occur. In addition, payment of NNN's dividends depends upon NNN's earnings, financial condition, maintenance of NNN's REIT status and other factors as NNN's Board of Directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Cybersecurity risks and cyber incidents could adversely affect NNN's business, disrupt operations and expose NNN to liabilities to tenants, employees, capital providers, and other third parties.

Cyber incidents can result from deliberate attacks or unintentional events. These incidents can include, but are not limited to, gaining unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data, or causing operational disruption. The result of these incidents could include, but are not limited to, disrupted operations, misstated financial data, liability for stolen assets or information, increased cybersecurity protection costs, litigation and reputational damage adversely affecting customer or investor confidence. These cyber incidents could negatively impact NNN, NNN's tenants and/or the capital markets.

Future investment in international markets could subject NNN to additional risks.

If NNN expands its operating strategy to include investment in international markets, NNN could face additional risks, including foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, operational risks due to local economic and political conditions and laws and policies of the U.S. affecting foreign investment.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

Item 2. Properties

Please refer to Item 1. "Business."

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of its business, NNN is a party to various legal actions that management believes are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of the business of NNN. Management does not believe that any of these proceedings are material.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The common stock of NNN currently is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "NNN." Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return on NNN's common stock, based on the market price of the common stock and assuming reinvestment of dividends, with the FTSE National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity Index ("NAREIT") and the S&P 500 Index ("S&P") for the five-year period commencing December 31, 2011 and ending December 31, 2016. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2011. Comparison to Five-Year Cumulative Total Return

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return on NNN's common stock, based on the market price of the common stock and assuming reinvestment of dividends, with the FTSE National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity Index ("NAREIT") and the S&P 500 Index ("S&P") for the ten-year period commencing December 31, 2006 and ending December 31, 2016. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2006.

Comparison to Ten-Year Cumulative Total Return

For each calendar quarter and year indicated, the following table reflects respective high, low and closing sales prices for the common stock as quoted by the NYSE and the dividends paid per share in each such period.

2016	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Year
2010	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	1 Cai
High	\$46.86	\$51.72	\$53.60	\$51.26	\$53.60
Low	38.29	43.52	47.76	39.86	38.29
Close	46.20	51.72	50.85	44.20	44.20
Dividends paid per share	0.435	0.435	0.455	0.455	1.780
2015					
High	\$44.43	\$42.11	\$38.91	\$40.37	\$44.43
Low	38.60	34.86	33.62	35.51	33.62
Close	40.97	35.01	36.27	40.05	40.05
Dividends paid per share	0.420	0.420	0.435	0.435	1.710

2016

The following table presents the characterizations for tax purposes of such common stock dividends for the years ended December 31:

2015

	2010		2013		
Ordinary dividends	\$1.513705	85.0396 %	\$1.363294	79.7248	%
Qualified dividends	_	_	0.019005	1.1114	%
Capital gain	_	_	0.007806	0.4565	%
Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain	_		0.011055	0.6465	%
Nontaxable distributions	0.266295	14.9604 %	0.308840	18.0608	%
	\$1.780000	100.0000%	\$1.710000	100.0000	%

NNN intends to pay regular quarterly dividends to its stockholders, although all future distributions will be declared and paid at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon cash generated by operating activities, NNN's financial condition, capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant.

In January 2017, NNN declared dividends payable to its stockholders of \$66,780,000, or \$0.455 per share, of common stock.

On January 31, 2017, there were 1,800 stockholders of record of NNN's common stock.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data Historical Financial Highlights (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Gross revenues ⁽¹⁾	\$ 533,817	\$483,025	\$435,278	\$397,008	\$ 342,057
Earnings from continuing operations	212,324	187,511	179,777	154,006	132,388
Earnings including noncontrolling interests	239,506	197,961	191,170	160,085	141,937
Net earnings attributable to NNN	239,500	197,836	190,601	160,145	142,015
Total assets	6,334,151	5,460,044	4,915,551	4,445,308	3,980,210
Total debt	2,311,689	1,975,944	1,729,891	1,560,844	1,579,148
Total stockholders' equity of NNN	3,916,799	3,342,134	3,082,515	2,777,045	2,296,285
Cash dividends declared to:					
Common stockholders	257,007	228,699	204,157	189,107	167,495
Series C preferred stockholders					1,979
Series D preferred stockholders	19,047	19,047	19,047	19,047	15,449
Series E preferred stockholders	16,387	16,387	16,387	8,876	
Series F preferred stockholders	3,189		_		_
Weighted average common shares:					
Basic					3 106,965,156
Diluted	144,660,633	3 134,489,416	5 124,710,226	5 119,864,824	109,117,515
Per share information:					
Earnings from continuing operations:					
Basic	\$1.39	\$ 1.21	\$1.24	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.04
Diluted	1.38	1.20	1.24	1.05	1.02
Net earnings:					
Basic	1.39	1.21	1.24	1.11	1.13
Diluted	1.38	1.20	1.24	1.10	1.11
Cash dividends declared to:					
Common stockholders	1.78	1.71	1.65	1.60	1.56
Series C preferred depositary stockholders					0.537760
Series D preferred depositary stockholders	1.656250	1.656250	1.656250	1.656250	1.343403
Series E preferred depositary stockholders	1.425000	1.425000	1.425000	0.771875	
Series F preferred depositary stockholders	0.231111			_	_
Other data:					
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$415,337	\$ 341,095	\$ 296,733	\$ 274,421	\$ 228,130
Investing activities	·	•	·		(601,759)
Financing activities	644,886	307,105	253,944	293,028	373,623
Funds from operations – available to common			•		
stockholders ⁽²⁾	330,544	289,193	260,902	228,622	193,563

⁽¹⁾ Gross revenues include revenues from NNN's continuing and discontinued operations. Prior to January 1, 2014, in accordance with FASB guidance on Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, NNN classified the revenues related to (i) all Properties which generated revenue that were sold and a leasehold interest which expired and (ii) all Properties which generated revenue and were held for sale at December 31, 2013, as discontinued operations. Effective January 1, 2014, NNN early adopted ASU 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposal of Components of an Entity." Therefore, only disposals representing a strategic shift in operations are to be presented as discontinued operations. This requires the Company to continue to classify any

Property disposal or Property classified as

held for sale as of December 31, 2013, as discontinued operations prospectively. Therefore, the revenues and expenses related to these properties are presented as discontinued operations as of December 31, 2014. The Company has not classified any additional properties as discontinued operations subsequent to December 31, 2013.

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") developed Funds from Operations ("FFO") as a relative non-U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") financial measure of performance of a REIT in order to recognize that income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined

(2) under GAAP. FFO is defined by NAREIT and is used by NNN as follows: net earnings (computed in accordance with GAAP) plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, excluding gains (or losses) on the disposition of certain assets, any impairment charges on a depreciable real estate asset and NNN's share of these items from NNN's unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Funds From Operations (FFO) Reconciliation

FFO is generally considered by industry analysts to be an appropriate measure of operating performance of real estate companies. FFO does not necessarily represent cash provided by operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of NNN's operating performance or to cash flow as a measure of liquidity or ability to make distributions. Management considers FFO an appropriate measure of operating performance of an equity REIT because it primarily excludes the assumption that the value of the real estate assets diminishes

predictably over time, and because industry analysts have accepted it as an operating performance measure. NNN's computation of FFO may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO used by other equity REITs, and therefore, may not be comparable to such other REITs.

The following table reconciles FFO to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, net earnings for the years ended December 31:

	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net earnings available to common stockholders	\$200,877	\$162,402	\$155,167	\$132,222	\$121,489
Real estate depreciation and amortization:					
Continuing operations	148,779	134,380	115,888	99,048	73,685
Discontinued operations	_	_	3	343	1,381
Joint venture real estate depreciation	_	_	_	_	112
Joint venture gain on disposition of real estate					(2,341)
Gain on disposition of real estate, net of income tax and noncontrolling interests	, , ,	(10,397)	(10,904)	(5,442)	(10,956)
Impairment losses – depreciable real estate, net of recoveries and income tax	es 8,025	2,808	748	2,451	10,193
FFO available to common stockholders	\$330,544	\$289,193	\$260,902	\$228,622	\$193,563
	C.1 .	c	CI . 11	1 . 1 .	

For a discussion of material events affecting the comparability of the information reflected in the selected financial data, refer to "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with "Item 6. Selected Financial Data," and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the forward-looking disclaimer language in italics before "Item 1. Business."

The term "NNN" or the "Company" refers to National Retail Properties, Inc. and all of its consolidated subsidiaries. NNN may elect to treat certain subsidiaries as taxable real estate investment trust subsidiaries. These subsidiaries and their majority owned and controlled subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "TRS." At the close of business on December 31, 2015, NNN elected to revoke its election to classify the TRS as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS Revocation Election").

Overview

NNN, a Maryland corporation, is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") formed in 1984. NNN's assets include: real estate assets and mortgages and notes receivable. NNN acquires, owns, invests in and develops properties that are leased primarily to retail tenants under long-term net leases and primarily held for investment ("Properties," "Property Portfolio," or individually a "Property").

NNN owned 2,535 Properties, with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 27,204,000 square feet, located in 48 states, with a weighted average remaining lease term of 11.6 years as of December 31, 2016. Approximately 99 percent of the Properties were leased as of December 31, 2016.

NNN's management team focuses on certain key indicators to evaluate the financial condition and operating performance of NNN. The key indicators for NNN include items such as: the composition of the Property Portfolio (such as tenant, geographic and line of trade diversification), the occupancy rate of the Property Portfolio, certain financial performance ratios and profitability measures, and industry trends and performance compared to that of NNN.

NNN evaluates the creditworthiness of its current and prospective tenants. This evaluation includes reviewing available financial statements, store level financial performance, press releases, public credit ratings from major credit rating agencies, industry news publications and financial market data (debt and equity pricing). NNN also evaluates the tenant's business and operations, including periodically meeting with senior management of certain tenants. NNN continues to maintain its diversification by tenant, geography and tenant's line of trade. NNN's largest lines of trade concentrations are the convenience store and restaurant (including full and limited service) sectors. These sectors represent a large part of the freestanding retail property marketplace and NNN's management believes these sectors present attractive investment opportunities. The Property Portfolio is geographically concentrated in the south and southeast United States, which are regions of historically above-average population growth. Given these concentrations, any financial hardship within these sectors or geographic regions, respectively, could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition and operating performance of NNN.

As of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Property Portfolio has remained at least 99 percent leased. As of December 31, 2016, the average remaining lease term of the Property Portfolio was 11.6 years, which is consistent with the past three years, coupled with a net lease structure, provides enhanced probability of maintaining occupancy and operating earnings.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of NNN's consolidated financial statements in conformance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses as well as other disclosures in the financial statements. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions; however, actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions, which in turn could have a material impact on NNN's financial statements. A summary of NNN's accounting policies and procedures are included in Note 1 of NNN's consolidated financial statements. Management believes the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect its more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of NNN's consolidated financial statements.

Real Estate Portfolio. NNN records the acquisition of real estate at cost, including acquisition and closing costs. The cost of properties developed or funded by NNN includes direct and indirect costs of construction, property taxes, interest and other miscellaneous costs incurred during the development period until the project is substantially

complete and available for occupancy.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate Subject to a Lease. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") guidance on business combinations, the fair value of the real estate acquired with in-place leases is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and tenant improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, value of in-place leases, and based in each case on their fair values. Acquisition and closing costs incurred on the acquisition of real estate with an in-place lease is expensed as incurred and recorded as real estate acquisition costs.

Impairment – Real Estate. Based upon certain events or changes in circumstances, management periodically assesses its Properties for possible impairment whenever the carrying value of the asset, including accrued rental income, may not be recoverable through operations. Events or circumstances that may occur include significant changes in real estate market conditions or the ability of NNN to re-lease or sell properties that are vacant or become vacant in a reasonable period of time. Management evaluates whether an impairment in carrying value has occurred by comparing the estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges), including the residual value of the real estate, with the carrying value of the individual asset. If an impairment is indicated, a loss will be recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its estimated fair value.

Real Estate – Held For Sale. Real estate held for sale is not depreciated and is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell.

Commercial Mortgage Residual Interests, at Fair Value. Commercial mortgage residual interests, classified as available for sale, are reported at their market values with unrealized gains and losses reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. NNN recognizes the excess of all cash flows attributable to the commercial mortgage residual interests estimated at the acquisition/transaction date over the initial investment (the accretable yield) as interest income over the life of the beneficial interest using the effective yield method. Losses are considered other than temporary valuation impairments if and when there has been a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows, exclusive of changes in interest rates, that leads to a loss in value.

Revenue Recognition. Rental revenues for properties under construction commence upon completion of construction of the leased asset and delivery of the leased asset to the tenant. Rental revenues for non-development real estate assets are recognized when earned in accordance with the FASB guidance on accounting for leases, based on the terms of the lease of the leased asset.

NNN's real estate is generally leased to tenants on a net lease basis, whereby the tenant is responsible for all operating expenses relating to the Property, generally including property taxes, insurance, maintenance, utilities, repairs and capital expenditures. The leases are accounted for using either the operating or the direct financing method. Such methods are described below:

Operating method – Properties with leases accounted for using the operating method are recorded at the cost of the real estate. Revenue is recognized as rentals are earned and expenses (including depreciation) are charged to operations as incurred. Buildings are depreciated on the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Leasehold interests are amortized on the straight-line method over the terms of their respective leases. When scheduled rental revenue varies during the lease term, income is recognized on a straight-line basis so as to produce a constant periodic rent over the term of the lease. Accrued rental income is the aggregate difference between the scheduled rents which vary during the lease term and the income recognized on a straight-line basis.

Direct financing method – Properties with leases accounted for using the direct financing method are recorded at their net investment (which at the inception of the lease generally represents the cost of the Property). Unearned income is deferred and amortized into income over the lease terms so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return on NNN's net investment in the leases.

New Accounting Pronouncements. Refer to Note 1 of the December 31, 2016, Consolidated Financial Statements. Use of Estimates. Additional critical accounting policies of NNN include management's estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Additional critical accounting policies include management's estimates of the useful lives used in calculating depreciation expense relating to real estate assets, the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets, including the commercial mortgage residual interests, and the collectibility of receivables from tenants, including accrued rental income. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Results of Operations

Property Analysis

General. The following table summarizes the Property Portfolio as of December 31:

	2016	2015	2014
Properties Owned:			
Number	2,535	2,257	2,054
Total gross leasable area (square feet)	27,204,000	24,964,000	22,479,000
Properties:			
Leased and unimproved land	2,508	2,236	2,025
Percent of Properties – leased and unimproved land	.99 %	99 %	99 %
Weighted average remaining lease term (years)	11.6	11.4	11.6
Total gross leasable area (square feet) – leased	26,700,000	24,544,000	21,938,000

The following table summarizes the lease expirations, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options, of the Property Portfolio for each of the next 10 years and then thereafter in the aggregate as of December 31, 2016:

	% of	# of	Gross		% of	# of	Gross
	Annual	Proportios	Leasable		Annual	Properties	Leasable
	Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Properties	Area ⁽²⁾		Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Troperties	Area ⁽²⁾
2017	1.2%	27	502,000	2023	2.5%	85	1,014,000
2018	3.2%	90	1,153,000	2024	2.6%	50	883,000
2019	3.0%	76	1,122,000	2025	5.0%	132	1,116,000
2020	3.8%	132	1,571,000	2026	6.0%	181	1,830,000
2021	4.4%	122	1,320,000	Thereafter	62.2%	1,495	14,733,000
2022	6.1%	111	1,456,000				

⁽¹⁾ Based on the annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes the diversification of the Property Portfolio based on the top 10 lines of trade:

		% of Annual Base		
		Rent(1)		
	Top 10 Lines of Trade	2016	2015	2014
1.	Convenience stores	16.9%	16.7%	18.0%
2.	Restaurants - full service	11.8%	11.0%	9.1%
3.	Restaurants - limited service	7.5%	7.2%	6.5%
4.	Automotive service	6.6%	7.0%	7.2%
5.	Family entertainment centers	5.8%	5.6%	5.1%
6.	Health and fitness	5.7%	3.8%	3.9%
7.	Theaters	4.9%	5.2%	5.2%
8.	Automotive parts	3.9%	4.2%	4.7%
9.	Recreational vehicle dealers, parts and accessories	3.4%	3.6%	3.1%
10.	Banks	3.1%	3.4%	3.7%
	Other	30.4%	32.3%	33.5%
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Based on annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31 of the respective year.

⁽²⁾ Approximate square feet.

The following table summarizes the diversification of the Property Portfolio by state as of December 31, 2016:

State	# of Duamouties	% of Annual
	# of Properties	Base Rent(1)
Texas	448	18.4%
Florida	197	9.1%
Illinois	132	5.7%
Ohio	165	5.7%
North Carolina	134	4.7%
Georgia	118	4.3%
Indiana	118	4.2%
Virginia	88	3.5%
Alabama	101	3.0%
Tennessee	77	2.8%
Other	957	38.6%
	2,535	100.0%
	Texas Florida Illinois Ohio North Carolina Georgia Indiana Virginia Alabama Tennessee	Texas 448 Florida 197 Illinois 132 Ohio 165 North Carolina 134 Georgia 118 Indiana 118 Virginia 88 Alabama 101 Tennessee 77 Other 957

⁽¹⁾ Based on annualized base rent for all leases in place as of December 31, 2016.

Property Acquisitions. The following table summarizes the Property acquisitions for each of the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015	2014
Acquisitions:			
Number of Properties	313	221	221
Gross leasable area (square feet)	2,734,000	2,706,000	2,417,000
Initial cash yield	6.9 %	7.2 %	7.5 %
Total dollars invested ⁽¹⁾	\$846,906	\$726,303	\$618,145

⁽¹⁾ Includes dollars invested in projects under construction or tenant improvements for each respective year.

NNN typically funds Property acquisitions either through borrowings under NNN's unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") or by issuing its debt or equity securities in the capital markets.

Property Dispositions. The following table summarizes the Properties sold by NNN for each of the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015	2014
Number of properties	38	19	27
Gross leasable area (square feet)	490,000	232,000	317,000
Net sales proceeds	\$103,215	\$39,116	\$55,378
Gain, net of income tax expense ⁽¹⁾	\$27,182	\$10,450	\$11,424
Can rate	68 %	59 %	72 %

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include deferred gains on previously sold properties.

NNN typically uses the proceeds from a Property disposition to either pay down the Credit Facility or reinvest in real estate.

Analysis of Revenue from Continuing Operations

General. During the year ended December 31, 2016, NNN's rental income increased primarily due to the increase in rental income from Property acquisitions (See "Results of Operations – Property Analysis – Property Acquisitions"). NNN anticipates increases in rental income will continue to come from additional Property acquisitions and increases in rents pursuant to existing lease terms.

The following summarizes NNN's revenues from continuing operations (dollars in thousands):

]		Percent of Total					2016		2015		
	2016	2015	2014						Versus				
	2010	20	2016	2016 2015		5 2014			2015	,	2014	r	
				2010		2013		2014		Percent		Percent	
Rental Income ⁽¹⁾	\$515,954	\$465,282	\$416,842	96.7	%	96.3	%	95.9	%	10.9	%	11.6	%
Real estate expense reimbursement from tenants	14,984	14,868	13,875	2.8	%	3.1	%	3.2	%	0.8	%	7.2	%
Interest and other income from real estate transactions	1,032	988	2,326	0.2	%	0.2	%	0.5	%	4.5	%	(57.5	5)%
Interest income on commercial mortgage residual interests	1,677	1,778	1,834	0.3	%	0.4	%	0.4	%	(5.7)%	(3.1)%
Total revenues from continuing operations	\$533,647	\$482,916	\$434,877	100.0	%	100.0)%	100.0	%	10.5	%	11.0	%

Includes rental income from operating leases, earned income from direct financing leases and percentage rent from continuing operations ("Rental Income").

Comparison of Revenues from Continuing Operations – 2016 versus 2015

Rental Income. Rental Income increased in amount and as a percent of the total revenues from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2016, is primarily due to a partial year of Rental Income received as a result of the acquisition of 313 properties with aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,734,000 during 2016 and a full year of Rental Income received as a result of the acquisition of 221 properties with a gross leasable area of approximately 2,706,000 square feet in 2015.

Comparison of Revenues from Continuing Operations – 2015 versus 2014

Rental Income. Rental Income increased in amount and as a percent of the total revenues from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2015, is primarily due to a partial year of Rental Income received as a result of the acquisition of 221 properties with aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,706,000 during 2015 and a full year of Rental Income received as a result of the acquisition of 221 properties with a gross leasable area of approximately 2,417,000 square feet in 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2015, NNN recorded \$1,950,000 of rental revenue from a settlement with a prior tenant.

Real Estate Expense Reimbursement from Tenants. Real estate expense reimbursements from tenants increased for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, but decreased as a percentage of total revenues from continuing operations for the same period. The increase is primarily attributable to a full year of reimbursements from properties acquired in 2014 and a partial year of reimbursements from certain newly acquired properties in 2015.

Analysis of Expenses from Continuing Operations

General. Operating expenses from continuing operations increased primarily due to an increase in depreciation expense and an increase in impairments during the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the same period in 2015. The following summarizes NNN's expenses from continuing operations (dollars in thousands):

General and administrative \$ Real estate 2 Depreciation and amortization 1 Impairment – commercial mortgage residual interests valuation 6 Impairment losses – real estate and other charges, net of recoveries 1					20,3 149 6,83 11,3	5,508 852 9,101 30	3	2015 \$34,7 19,77 134,7 531 4,420 \$194	736 76 798)	\$ \$ 1 1 2 7	8,93 16, 56 60	518				
Interest and other income						\$(1	70)	\$(10	9) \$	(35	7)			
Interest expense						96,	352		90,00)8	8	5,5	10			
Real estate acquisition costs						563			927		1	,39	1			
Total other expenses (revenues)						\$96	5,745		\$90,	826	\$	86,	544			
	Perce Expe		ge of T	\ota	1		Reve	nı	tage oues frouing (om		ons	2016 Versus 2015		2015 Versu 2014	ıs
	2016		2015		2014		2016		2015		2014		Percent		Perce	nt
General and administrative	16.3	%	17.9	%	19.3	%	6.9	%	7.2	%	7.5	%	5.1		6.8	%
Real estate	9.3	%	10.2	%	11.2	%	3.9	%	4.1	%	4.3	%	5.4	%	4.4	%
Depreciation and amortization	66.4	%	69.4	%	68.9	%	27.9	%	27.9	%	26.7	%	10.6	%	16.0	%
Impairment – commercial mortgage residual interests valuation	3.0	%	0.3	%	0.2	%	1.3	%	0.1	%	0.1	%	1,186.3	%	107.4	. %
Impairment losses – real estate and other charges, net of recoveries	5.0	%	2.2	%	0.4	%	2.1	%	0.9	%	0.2	%	155.4	%	481.6	%
Total operating expenses	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0) %	42.1	%	40.2	%	38.8	%	15.6	%	15.2	%
Interest and other income Interest expense Real estate acquisition costs	(0.2 99.6 0.6	%	(0.1 99.1 1.0	%	(0.4 98.8 1.6	%	18.1		— 18.6 0.2		19.7	%		%	(69.5 5.3 (33.4	%

Comparison of Expenses from Continuing Operations – 2016 versus 2015

Total other expenses (revenues)

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the same period in 2015, but decreased both as a percentage of total operating expenses and as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016, is primarily attributable to an increase in personnel costs. Real Estate. Real estate expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the same period in 2015, but decreased both as a percentage of total operating expenses and as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations. The increase is primarily due to the increase in tenant reimbursable and non-reimbursable expenses related to a partial year of reimbursable and non-reimbursable expenses from certain properties acquired in 2016 and a full year of reimbursable and non-reimbursable expenses from certain properties acquired in 2015.

100.0 % 100.0 % 100.0 % 18.2 % 18.8 % 19.9 % 6.5

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased in amount, decreased as a percentage of total operating expenses and remained flat as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in expenses is primarily due to the

% 4.9

acquisition of 313 properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,734,000 square feet in 2016 and 221 properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,706,000 square feet during 2015. Impairment – Commercial Mortgage Residual Interests Valuation. As of December 31, 2015, NNN held the commercial mortgage residual interests ("Residuals") from seven loan securitizations. In 2016, the loan servicer of five of the securitizations exercised its clean-up call option. The clean-up call allowed the servicer to purchase all of the trusts' assets, thereby terminating future cash distributions payable to NNN as the holder of these residual interests. Unrealized gains and losses are reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity and other than temporary valuation impairment. As of December 31, 2016, the remaining two Residuals are recorded at fair value. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN recorded other than temporary valuation impairments as a reduction of earnings from operations of \$6,830,000, \$531,000 and \$256,000. The other than temporary valuation impairment recorded during the year ended December 31, 2016 related primarily to the execution of the clean-up call option on the five securitizations.

Impairment Losses – Real Estate and Other Charges, Net of Recoveries. NNN reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever certain events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Events or circumstances that may occur include changes in real estate market conditions, the ability of NNN to re-lease properties that are currently vacant or become vacant, and the ability to sell properties at a price that exceeds NNN's carrying value. Management evaluates whether an impairment in value has occurred by comparing the estimated undiscounted future cash flows, including the residual value of the real estate, with the carrying cost of the individual asset. If an impairment is indicated, a loss will be recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, NNN recorded \$8,025,000 and \$3,970,000, respectively, of real estate impairments. NNN also recorded a \$3,269,000 loss on mortgages receivable for the year ended December 31, 2016, and a \$450,000 loss on the sale of mortgages receivable during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased in total and as a percentage of total other expenses (revenues) for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the same period in 2015, and decreased as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations.

The following represents the primary changes in debt that have impacted interest expense:

- (i) the issuance in October 2015 of \$400,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a maturity of November 2025, and stated interest rate of 4.000%,
- (ii) the repayment in December 2015 of \$150,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a stated interest rate of 6.150%,
- the repayment in January 2016 of \$5,876,000 principal amount of mortgages payable with an interest rate of 5.750%,
- (iv) the repayment in March 2016 of \$722,000 principal amount of mortgages payable with an interest rate of 6.900%, the repayment in October 2016 of \$2,709,000 principal amount of mortgages payable with an interest rate of 6.400%,
- the issuance in December 2016 of \$350,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a maturity of December 2026, and stated interest rate of 3.600%, and
- the decrease of \$8,543,000 in the weighted average outstanding balance on the Credit Facility and a slightly (vii)higher weighted average interest rate for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to the same period in 2015.

Comparison of Expenses from Continuing Operations – 2015 versus 2014

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, but decreased both as a percentage of total operating expenses and as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2015, is primarily attributable to an increase in incentive compensation. Real Estate. Real estate expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, but decreased both as a percentage of total operating expenses and as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations. The increase is primarily due to the increase in tenant reimbursable expenses related to a partial year of reimbursable expenses from certain properties acquired in 2015 and a full year of reimbursable expenses from certain

properties acquired in 2014.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased in amount and as a percentage of total operating expenses and as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in expenses is primarily due to the acquisition of 221 properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,706,000 square feet in 2015 and 221 properties with an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 2,417,000 square feet during 2014. Impairment Losses – Real Estate and Other Charges, Net of Recoveries. NNN reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever certain events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Events or circumstances that may occur include changes in real estate market conditions, the ability of NNN to re-lease properties that are currently vacant or become vacant, and the ability to sell properties at a price that exceeds NNN's carrying value. Management evaluates whether an impairment in value has occurred by comparing the estimated undiscounted future cash flows, including the residual value of the real estate, with the carrying cost of the individual asset. If an impairment is indicated, a loss will be recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, NNN recorded \$3,970,000 and \$760,000, respectively, of real estate impairments. NNN also recorded a \$450,000 loss on the sale of a mortgage receivable during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased in total and as a percentage of total other expenses (revenues) for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, and decreased as a percentage of revenues from continuing operations.

The following represents the primary changes in debt that have impacted interest expense:

- (i) the issuance in May 2014 of \$350,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a maturity of June 2024, and stated interest rate of 3.900%,
- the repayment in June 2014 of \$150,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a stated interest rate of 6.250%,
- (iii) the assumption of a mortgage in September 2014 of \$2,824,000 in connection with a Property acquisition with an interest rate of 6.400%,
- the assumption of a mortgage in November 2014 of \$14,430,000 in connection with the acquisition of Properties with an interest rate of 5.230%,
- (v) the issuance in October 2015 of \$400,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a maturity of November 2025, and stated interest rate of 4.000%,
- the repayment in December 2015 of 150,000,000 principal amount of notes payable with a stated interest rate of 6.150%, and
- the increase of \$22,092,000 in the weighted average debt outstanding on the Credit Facility for the year ended (vii) December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014, and a slightly lower weighted average interest rate for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014.

Impact of Inflation

NNN's leases typically contain provisions to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation on NNN's results of operations. Tenant leases generally provide for limited increases in rent as a result of fixed increases, increases in the consumer price index, and/or, to a lesser extent, increases in the tenant's sales volume. During times when inflation is greater than increases in rent, rent increases will not keep up with the rate of inflation.

Properties are leased to tenants under long-term, net leases which typically require the tenant to pay certain operating expenses for a Property, thus, NNN's exposure to inflation is reduced with respect to these expenses. Inflation may have an adverse impact on NNN's tenants.

Liquidity

General. NNN's demand for funds has been and will continue to be primarily for (i) payment of operating expenses and cash dividends; (ii) Property acquisitions and development; (iii) capital expenditures; (iv) payment of principal and interest on its outstanding indebtedness; and (v) other investments.

NNN expects to meet short-term liquidity requirements through cash provided from operations and NNN's Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2016, there was no outstanding balance and \$650,000,000 was available for future

borrowings under the

Credit Facility, excluding undrawn letters of credit totaling \$230,000. NNN anticipates its long-term capital needs will be funded by the Credit Facility, cash provided from operations, the issuance of long-term debt or the issuance of common or preferred equity or other instruments convertible into or exchangeable for common or preferred equity. However, there can be no assurance that additional financing or capital will be available, or that the terms will be acceptable or advantageous to NNN.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. NNN's cash and cash equivalents includes the aggregate of Cash and cash equivalents and Restricted cash and cash held in escrow from the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The table below summarizes NNN's cash flows for each of the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2016	2015	2014
\$415,337	\$341,095	\$296,733
(779,943)	(644,544)	(541,558)
644,886	307,105	253,944
280,280	3,656	9,119
14,260	10,604	1,485
\$294,540	\$14,260	\$10,604
	\$415,337 (779,943) 644,886 280,280 14,260	\$415,337 \$341,095 (779,943) (644,544) 644,886 307,105 280,280 3,656 14,260 10,604

Cash provided by operating activities represents cash received primarily from Rental Income and interest income less cash used for general and administrative expenses. NNN's cash flow from operating activities has been sufficient to pay the distributions for each period presented. The change in cash provided by operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, is primarily the result of changes in revenues and expenses as discussed in "Results of Operations." Cash generated from operations is expected to fluctuate in the future.

Changes in cash for investing activities are primarily attributable to acquisitions and dispositions of Properties. NNN typically uses proceeds from its Credit Facility to fund the acquisition of its Properties.

NNN's financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016, included the following significant transactions: \$334,103,000 in net proceeds from the issuance of 13,800,000 depositary shares representing interests in NNN's

5.200% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series F Preferred Stock") in October,

\$342,765,000 in net proceeds from the issuance of the 3.600% notes payable in December,

\$8,340,000 in net proceeds from the issuance of 187,626 shares of common stock in connection with the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan ("DRIP"),

\$265,696,000 in net proceeds from the issuance of 5,716,222 shares of common stock in connection with the at-the-market ("ATM") equity program,

\$19,047,000 in dividends paid to holders of the depositary shares of NNN's Series D Preferred Stock,

\$16,387,000 in dividends paid to holders of the depositary shares of NNN's Series E Preferred Stock,

\$3,189,000 in dividends paid to holders of the depositary shares of NNN's Series F Preferred Stock, and \$257,007,000 in dividends paid to common stockholders.

Financing Strategy. NNN's financing objective is to manage its capital structure effectively in order to provide sufficient capital to execute its operating strategy while servicing its debt requirements, maintaining its investment grade credit rating, staggering debt maturities and providing value to NNN's stockholders. NNN generally utilizes debt and equity security offerings, bank borrowings, proceeds from the disposition of certain properties, and to a lesser extent, internally generated funds to meet its capital needs.

NNN typically funds its short-term liquidity requirements, including investments in additional Properties, with cash from its Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2016, there was no outstanding balance and \$650,000,000 was available for future borrowings under the Credit Facility, excluding undrawn letters of credit totaling \$230,000.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN's ratio of total debt to total gross assets (before accumulated depreciation and amortization) was approximately 30 percent and the ratio of secured indebtedness to total gross assets was less than one percent. The ratio of total debt to total market capitalization was approximately 22 percent. Certain financial agreements to which NNN is a party contain covenants that limit NNN's ability to incur additional debt under certain circumstances. The organizational documents of NNN do not limit the absolute amount or percentage of indebtedness that NNN may incur. Additionally, NNN may change its financing strategy.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments. The information in the following table summarizes NNN's contractual obligations and commercial commitments outstanding as of December 31, 2016. The table presents principal cash flows by year-end of the expected maturity for debt obligations and commercial commitments outstanding as of December 31, 2016.

	Expected Maturity Date (dollars in thousands)										
	Total 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Thereafter										
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	\$2,338,452	\$250,510	\$538	\$567	\$596	\$300,630	\$1,785,611				
Long-term debt − interest)	606,083	96,958	83,323	83,294	83,265	75,668	183,575				
Operating lease	6,462	728	743	758	773	788	2,672				

Total contractual cash obligations \$2,950,997 \$348,196 \$84,604 \$84,619 \$84,634 \$377,086 \$1,971,858

- (1) Includes only principal amounts outstanding under mortgages payable and notes payable and excludes unamortized mortgage premiums, note discounts and note costs.
- (2) Interest calculation based on stated rate of the principal amount.

In addition to the contractual obligations outlined above, NNN has committed to fund construction commitments on 21 Properties. The improvements are estimated to be completed within 12 months. These construction commitments, at December 31, 2016, are outlined in the table below (dollars in thousands):

Total commitment⁽¹⁾ \$114,206 Amount funded \$54,782 Remaining commitment \$59,424

(1) Includes land, construction costs, tenant improvements and lease costs.

As of December 31, 2016, NNN did not have any other material contractual cash obligations, such as purchase obligations, financing lease obligations or other long-term liabilities other than those reflected in the table. In addition to items reflected in the table, NNN has issued preferred stock with cumulative preferential cash distributions, as described below under "Dividends."

Management anticipates satisfying these obligations with a combination of NNN's cash provided from operations, current capital resources on hand, its Credit Facility, debt or equity financings and asset dispositions.

Generally the Properties are leased under long-term net leases, which require the tenant to pay all property taxes and assessments, to maintain the interior and exterior of the property, and to carry property and liability insurance coverage. Therefore, management anticipates that capital demands to meet obligations with respect to these Properties will be modest for the foreseeable future and can be met with funds from operations and working capital. Certain of the Properties are subject to leases under which NNN retains responsibility for specific costs and expenses associated with the Property. Management anticipates the costs associated with the vacant Properties or those Properties that become vacant will also be met with funds from operations and working capital. NNN may be required to borrow under its Credit Facility or use other sources of capital in the event of significant capital expenditures.

The lost revenues and increased property expenses resulting from vacant Properties or uncollectibility of lease revenues could have a material adverse effect on the liquidity and results of operations if NNN is unable to re-lease the Properties at comparable rental rates and in a timely manner. As of December 31, 2016, NNN owned 27 vacant, un-leased Properties which accounted for approximately one percent of total Properties. Additionally, as of January 31, 2017, less than one percent of the total gross leasable area of the Property Portfolio was leased to tenants that have filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. As a result, these tenants have the right to reject or affirm their leases with NNN.

Dividends. NNN has made an election to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, as amended, and related regulations and intends to continue to operate so as to remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. NNN generally will not be subject to federal income tax on income that it distributes to its stockholders, provided that it distributes 100 percent of its REIT taxable income and meets certain other requirements for qualifying as a REIT. If NNN fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income tax on its taxable income at regular corporate rates and will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the four years following the year during which qualification is lost. Such an event could materially adversely affect NNN's income and ability to pay dividends.

One of NNN's primary objectives, consistent with its policy of retaining sufficient cash for reserves and working capital purposes and maintaining its status as a REIT, is to distribute a substantial portion of its funds available from operations to its stockholders in the form of dividends.

The following table outlines the dividends declared and paid for NNN's common stock for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

```
2016 2015 2014
Dividends$257,007 $228,699 $204,157
Per share 1.780 1.710 1.650
```

The following presents the characterizations for tax purposes of such common stock dividends for the years ended December 31:

	2016		2015		2014		
Ordinary dividends	\$1.513705	85.0396 %	\$1.363294	79.7248	% \$1.306992	79.2116	%
Qualified dividends	_		0.019005	1.1114	6 0.006212	0.3765	%
Capital gain	_		0.007806	0.4565	% 0.008603	0.5214	%
Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain	ı 		0.011055	0.6465	% 0.015362	0.9310	%
Nontaxable distributions	0.266295	14.9604 %	0.308840	18.0608	6 0.312831	18.9595	%
	\$1.780000	100.0000%	\$1.710000	100.00009	% \$1.650000	100.0000)%

On January 17, 2017, NNN declared a dividend of \$0.455 per share, payable February 15, 2017 to its common stockholders of record as of January 31, 2017.

Holders of NNN's preferred stock issuances are entitled to receive, when and as authorized by the Board of Directors, cumulative preferential cash distributions based on the stated rate and liquidation preference per annum. The following table outlines the dividends declared and paid for NNN's preferred stock for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

2016 2015 2014

Series D

Preferred

Stock⁽¹⁾:

Dividends \$ 19,047 \$ 19,047 \$ 19,047 Per share 1.656250 1.656250 1.656250

Series E Preferred Stock⁽²⁾:

Dividends 16,387 16,387 16,387 Per share 1.425000 1.425000 1.425000

Series F
Preferred
Stock⁽³⁾:
Dividends 3,189 — —
Per share 0.231111 — —

⁽¹⁾ In January 2017, NNN called for redemption of all outstanding shares of its Series D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares, each representing a 1/100th interest in a Series D Preferred Stock share. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017.

⁽²⁾ The Series E Preferred Stock has no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed by NNN. The earliest redemption date for the Series E Preferred Stock is May 2018.

⁽³⁾ The Series F Preferred Stock was issued October 11, 2016 and has no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed by NNN. The earliest redemption date for the Series F preferred stock is October 2021.

The following presents the characterizations for tax purposes of such preferred stock dividends for the years ended December 31:

	Ordinary dividends	Qualified dividends	Capital gain	Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain	Totals
2016					
Percentage of Total	100.0000%			_	100.0000 %
Series D	\$1.656250				\$1.656250
Series E	\$1.425000				\$1.425000
Series F ⁽¹⁾	\$0.231111				\$0.231111
2015					
Percentage of Total	97.2400 %	1.4134 %	0.5570 %	0.7896 %	100.0000 %
Series D	\$1.610538	\$0.023409	\$0.009225	\$0.013078	\$1.656250
Series E	\$1.385670	\$0.020141	\$0.007937	\$0.011252	\$1.425000
2014					
Percentage of Total	97.8035 %	0.4027 %	0.6440 %	1.1498 %	100.0000 %
Series D	\$1.619870	\$0.006670	\$0.010666	\$0.019044	\$1.656250
Series E	\$1.393700	\$0.005738	\$0.009177	\$0.016385	\$1.425000
(1) The Series F Pre	ferred Stock v	vac iccued in C	October 2016		

⁽¹⁾ The Series F Preferred Stock was issued in October 2016.

In January 2017, NNN called for redemption of all outstanding shares of its Series D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares, each representing a 1/100th interest in a Series D Preferred Stock share. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017 at \$25.00 per depositary share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price of \$25.3128472 per depositary share. After the redemption date, dividends on the depositary shares representing interests in the Series D Preferred Stock shares will cease to accrue.

Capital Resources

Generally, cash needs for Property acquisitions, debt payments, capital expenditures, development and other investments have been funded by equity and debt offerings, bank borrowings, the sale of Properties and, to a lesser extent, by internally generated funds. Cash needs for operating and interest expenses and dividends have generally been funded by internally generated funds. If available, future sources of capital include proceeds from the public or private offering of NNN's debt or equity securities, secured or unsecured borrowings from banks or other lenders, proceeds from the sale of Properties, as well as undistributed funds from operations.

Debt The following is a summary of NNN's total outstanding debt as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	Percentage of Total		2015	Percent of Tota	_
Mortgages payable	\$13,878	0.6	%	\$23,964	1.2	%
Notes payable	2,297,811	99.4	%	1,951,980	98.8	%
Total outstanding debt	\$2,311,689	100.0	%	\$1,975,944	100.0	%

Indebtedness. NNN expects to use indebtedness primarily for property acquisitions and development of single-tenant retail properties, either directly or through investment interests. Additionally, indebtedness may be used to refinance existing indebtedness.

Line of Credit Payable. NNN's \$650,000,000 unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") had a weighted average outstanding balance of \$70,139,000 and a weighted average interest rate of 1.4% for the year ended December 31, 2016. The Credit Facility matures January 2019, with an option to extend maturity to January 2020. As of December 31, 2016, the Credit Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 92.5 basis points; however, such interest rate may change pursuant to a tiered interest rate structure based on NNN's debt rating. The Credit Facility also includes an accordion feature to increase the facility size up to \$1,000,000,000. As of December 31, 2016, there was no outstanding balance and \$650,000,000 was available for future borrowings under the Credit Facility, excluding undrawn letters of credit totaling \$230,000.

In accordance with the terms of the Credit Facility, NNN is required to meet certain restrictive financial covenants, which, among other things, require NNN to maintain certain (i) leverage ratios, (ii) debt service coverage, (iii) cash flow coverage, and (iv) investment limitations. At December 31, 2016, NNN was in compliance with those covenants. In the event that NNN violates any of these restrictive financial covenants, it could cause the indebtedness under the Credit Facility to be accelerated and may impair NNN's access to the debt and equity markets and limit NNN's ability to pay dividends to its common and preferred stockholders, each of which would likely have a material adverse impact on NNN's financial condition and results of operations.

Mortgages Payable. The following table outlines the mortgages payable included in NNN's consolidated financial statements (dollars in thousands):

Entered ⁽¹⁾	Initial Balance	Interest Rate	Maturity ⁽²⁾	Carrying Value of Encumbered Asset(s) ⁽³⁾	Outstand Principal Balance December 2016	l at	
February 2004 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 6,952	6.90%	January 2017	\$ —	\$ —	\$848	
June 2012 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	6,850	5.75%	April 2016		_	5,890	
September 2014 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁷⁾	2,957	6.40%	February 2017		_	2,804	
November 2014 ⁽⁴⁾	15,151	5.23%	July 2023	21,403	13,987	14,555	
				\$ 21,403	13,987	24,097	
Debt costs					(147) (226)
Accumulated amortiz	ation				38	93	
Debt costs, net of acc		(109) (133)			
Mortgages payable, in and net of unamortize		\$13,878	\$23,96	4			

- (1) Date entered represents the date that NNN acquired real estate subject to a mortgage securing a loan.
- (2) Monthly payments include interest and principal, if any; the balance is due at maturity.
- (3) Each loan is secured by a first mortgage lien on certain of the Properties. The carrying values of the assets at December 31, 2016.
- (4) Initial balance and outstanding principal balance includes unamortized premium.
- (5) NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in January 2016.
- (6) NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in March 2016.
- (7) NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in October 2016.

Notes Payable. Each of NNN's outstanding series of non-convertible notes is summarized in the table below (dollars in thousands):

Notes(1)	Issue Date	Dringing	Discount ⁽²⁾	Net	Stated	Effective	Maturity
Notes	Issue Date	rinicipai	Discount -	Price	Rate	Rate ⁽³⁾	Date
$2017^{(4)}$	September 2007	\$250,000	\$ 877	\$249,123	6.875%	6.924%	October 2017
$2021^{(5)}$	July 2011	300,000	4,269	295,731	5.500%	5.689%	July 2021
2022	August 2012	325,000	4,989	320,011	3.800%	3.985%	October 2022
$2023^{(6)}$	April 2013	350,000	2,594	347,406	3.300%	3.388%	April 2023
$2024^{(7)}$	May 2014	350,000	707	349,293	3.900%	3.924%	June 2024
$2025^{(8)}$	October 2015	400,000	964	399,036	4.000%	4.029%	November 2025
$2026^{(9)}$	December 2016	350,000	3,860	346,140	3.600%	3.733%	December 2026

- (1) The proceeds from the note issuance were used to pay down outstanding indebtedness of NNN's Credit Facility, fund future property acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.
- (2) The note discounts are amortized to interest expense over the respective term of each debt obligation using the effective interest method.
- (3) Includes the effects of the discount at issuance.
 - NNN entered into an interest rate hedge with a notional amount of \$100,000. Upon issuance of the 2017 Notes,
- (4) NNN terminated the interest rate hedge agreement resulting in a liability of \$3,260, of which \$3,228 was recorded to other comprehensive income. The liability has been deferred and is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
 - NNN entered into two interest rate hedges with a total notional amount of \$150,000. Upon issuance of the 2021
- Notes, NNN terminated the interest rate hedge agreements resulting in a liability of \$5,300, of which \$5,218 was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into four forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$240,000. Upon issuance of the 2023 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$3,156, of which \$3,141 was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into three forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$225,000. Upon issuance of the 2024 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$6,312, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into four forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$300,000. Upon issuance of the 2025 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$13,369, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into two forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$180,000. Upon issuance of the 2026 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a gain of \$13,345, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred asset is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.

Each series of notes represents senior, unsecured obligations of NNN and is subordinated to all secured indebtedness of NNN. The notes are redeemable at the option of NNN, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to the sum of (i) the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon through the redemption date, and (ii) the make-whole amount, if any, as defined in the applicable supplemental indenture relating to the notes. In connection with the outstanding note offerings, NNN incurred debt issuance costs totaling \$21,157,000 consisting primarily of underwriting discounts and commissions, legal and accounting fees, rating agency fees and printing expenses. Debt issuance costs for all note issuances have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the respective notes using the effective interest method.

In December 2015, NNN repaid the \$150,000,000 6.150% notes payable that were due in December 2015.

In accordance with the terms of the indentures, pursuant to which NNN's notes have been issued, NNN is required to meet certain restrictive financial covenants, which, among other things, require NNN to maintain (i) certain leverage ratios, and (ii) certain interest coverage. At December 31, 2016, NNN was in compliance with those covenants. NNN's failure to comply with certain of its debt covenants could result in defaults that accelerate the payment under such debt and limit the dividends paid to NNN's common and preferred stockholders which would likely have a material adverse impact on NNN's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, these defaults could impair its access to the debt and equity markets.

Debt and Equity Securities

NNN has used, and expects to use in the future, issuances of debt and equity securities primarily to pay down its outstanding indebtedness and to finance investment acquisitions. In February 2015, NNN filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") which was automatically effective and permits the issuance by NNN of an indeterminate amount of debt and equity securities.

A description of NNN's outstanding series of publicly held notes is found under "Debt – Notes Payable" above. NNN completed the following underwritten public offerings of cumulative redeemable preferred stock that are still outstanding ("Preferred Stock Shares") (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Series	Dividend Rate ⁽¹⁾	Issued	Depositary Shares Outstanding ⁽²⁾	Gross Proceeds	Stock Issuance Costs ⁽³⁾	Per Depositary Share	Earliest Redemption Date ⁽⁴⁾
Series D ⁽⁵⁾	6.625 %	February 2012	11,500,000	\$287,500	\$ 9,855	\$1.656250	February 2017
Series E ⁽⁶⁾	5.700 %	May 2013	11,500,000	287,500	9,856	1.425000	May 2018
Series F ⁽⁷⁾	5.200 %	October 2016	13,800,000	345,000	10,897	1.300000	October 2021

- (1) Holders are entitled to receive, when and as authorized by the Board of Directors, cumulative preferential cash dividends.
- Representing 1/100th of a preferred share. Series D and E issuances each included 1,500,000 depositary shares in
- (2) connection with the underwriters' over-allotment. Series F issuance included 1,800,000 depositary shares in connection with the underwriters' over-allotment.
- (3) Consisting primarily of underwriting commissions and fees, rating agency fees, legal and accounting fees and printing expenses.
- (4) NNN may redeem the preferred stock underlying the depositary shares at a redemption price of \$2,500.00 per share (or \$25.00 per depositary share), plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends.
 - NNN used the net proceeds to redeem the 7.375% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for an
- (5) aggregate redemption price of \$92,000, excluding accumulated dividends of \$283. NNN used the remainder of the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including repaying outstanding indebtedness under its Credit Facility.
- (6) NNN used the net proceeds from the offering for general corporate purposes and funding property acquisitions.
- (7) NNN used the net proceeds from the offering to repay outstanding indebtedness under its Credit Facility, fund property acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

The Preferred Stock Shares underlying the depositary shares rank senior to NNN's common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of NNN. The Preferred Stock Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed. In addition, upon a change of control, as defined in the articles supplementary fixing the rights and preferences of the Preferred Stock Shares, NNN may redeem the Preferred Stock Shares underlying the depositary shares at a redemption price of \$2,500.00 per share (or \$25.00 per depositary share), plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends, and in limited circumstances the holders of depositary shares may convert some or all of their Preferred Stock Shares into shares of NNN's common stock at conversion rates provided in the related articles supplementary. As of February 13, 2017, the Series E and Series F Preferred Stock Shares were not redeemable or convertible.

In January 2017, NNN announced the redemption of all outstanding depositary shares representing interests in its Series D Preferred Stock. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017 at \$25.00 per depositary share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price of \$25.3128472 per depositary share. After the redemption date, dividends on the depositary shares representing interests in the Series D Preferred Stock will cease to accrue.

Common Stock Issuances. In November 2014, NNN filed a prospectus supplement to the prospectus contained in its February 2012 shelf registration statement and issued 5,462,500 shares (including 712,500 shares in connection with the underwriters' over-allotment) of common stock at a price of \$38.16 per share and received net proceeds of \$199,961,000. In connection with this offering, NNN incurred stock issuance costs totaling approximately \$8,488,000, consisting primarily of underwriters' fees and commissions, legal and accounting fees and printing expenses. The

Company used the net proceeds from this offering to repay outstanding indebtedness under the Credit Facility, to fund property acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. In February 2015, NNN filed a shelf registration statement with the Commission for its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan ("DRIP") which permits the issuance by NNN of 16,000,000 shares of common stock. The following outlines the common stock issuances pursuant to the DRIP for the year ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015 2014

Shares of common stock 187,626 196,584 422,406 Net proceeds \$8,340 \$7,182 \$14,817

The proceeds from the issuances were used to pay down outstanding indebtedness of NNN's Credit Facility, fund future property acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

At-The-Market Offerings. NNN has established an at-the-market equity program ("ATM") which allows NNN to sell shares of common stock from time to time. The following outlines NNN's ATM programs:

2016 ATM 2015 ATM 2013 ATM

 Established date
 March 2016 February 2015 March 2013

 Termination date
 March 2019 March 2016
 February 2015

 Total allowable shares
 12,000,000
 10,000,000
 9,000,000

 Total shares issued as of December 31, 2016
 4,223,290
 9,852,465
 6,252,812

The following table outlines the common stock issuances pursuant to NNN's ATM equity program (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014

Shares of common stock 5,716,222 8,573,533 3,758,362 Average price per share (net) \$46.48 \$37.45 \$35.90

 Net proceeds
 \$265,696
 \$321,067
 \$134,919

 Stock issuance costs⁽¹⁾
 \$4,266
 \$4,016
 \$2,195

Commercial Mortgage Residual Interests

As of December 31, 2015, NNN held the commercial mortgage residual interests ("Residuals") from seven loan securitizations. In 2016, the loan servicer of five of the securitizations exercised its clean-up call option. The clean-up call allowed the servicer to purchase all of the trusts' assets, thereby terminating future cash distributions payable to NNN as the holder of these residual interests. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN recorded an other than temporary valuation impairment as a reduction of earnings from operations. The other than temporary valuation impairment recorded during the year ended December 31, 2016, includes impairment related to the execution of the clean-up call option on the five securitizations, as well as the fair value adjustment on the remaining two securitizations.

Unrealized gains and losses are reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity and other than temporary losses as a result of a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows are recorded as an other than temporary valuation impairment. The following table summarizes the recognition of unrealized gains and/or losses recorded as other comprehensive income as well as other than temporary valuation impairment (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015 2014

Unrealized gains (losses), net \$(182) \$(585) \$875 Other than temporary valuation impairment 6,830 531 256

Stock issuance costs consist primarily of underwriters' fees and commissions, and legal and accounting fees.

As of December 31, 2016, the remaining two Residuals are recorded at fair value. Certain valuation assumptions are made based on the expected timing of future cash flows relating to the Residuals. The following table summarizes the key assumptions used in determining the value of the Residuals as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016		2015	
Discount rate	20	%	20	%
Average life equivalent CPR ⁽¹⁾ speeds range	0.87% to 21.56% CPR		0.87% to 21.73% CPR	
Foreclosures:				
Frequency curve default model	0% - 1.33% range		0.72% - 1.57% range	
Loss severity of loans in foreclosure	20	%	20	%
Yield:				
LIBOR	Forward 3-mor	nth curve	Forward 3-month	curve
Prime	Forward curve		Forward curve	
Fair value at December 31	\$ 36		\$ 11,115	
(1) Conditional prepayment rate				

Item7A.Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

NNN is exposed to interest rate risk primarily as a result of its variable rate Credit Facility and its fixed rate debt which is used to finance NNN's development and acquisition activities, as well as for general corporate purposes. NNN's interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower its overall borrowing costs. To achieve its objectives, NNN borrows at both fixed and variable rates on its long-term debt. As of December 31, 2016, NNN had no outstanding derivatives.

The information in the table below summarizes NNN's market risks associated with its debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2016 and 2015. The table presents principal payments and related interest rates by year for debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2016. NNN has a variable interest rate risk on its Credit Facility which had no outstanding balance as of December 31, 2016. The weighted average rate for the Credit Facility for the year ended December 31, 2016, was 1.4%. The outstanding balance of the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$0. The table incorporates only those debt obligations that existed as of December 31, 2016, and it does not consider those debt obligations or positions which could arise after this date and therefore has limited predictive value. As a result, NNN's ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, NNN's hedging strategies at that time and interest rates. If interest rates on NNN's variable rate debt increased by one percent, NNN's interest expense would have increased by less than one percent for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Debt Obligations (dollars in thousands)

	Fixed Ra	ite Debt					
	Mortgag	es ⁽¹⁾	Unsecured Debt ⁽²⁾				
	Debt Obligation	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Debt Obligation	Effective Interest Rate			
2017	\$596	5.23%	\$249,907	6.92%			
2018	623	5.23%	_	_			
2019	652	5.23%	_	_			
2020	682	5.23%	_	_			
2021	716	5.23%	297,764	5.69%			
Thereafter	10,718	5.23%	1,764,921	$3.73\%^{(3)}$			
Total	\$13,987	5.23%	\$2,312,592	4.39%			
Fair Value:							
December 31, 2016	\$13,987		\$2,367,102				
December 31, 2015	\$24,097		\$2,007,242				

- (1) NNN's mortgages payable include unamortized premiums and exclude debt costs.
- (2) Includes NNN's notes payable, each exclude debt costs and are net of unamortized discounts. NNN uses market prices quoted from Bloomberg, a third party, which is a Level 1 input, to determine the fair value.
- (3) Weighted average effective interest rate for periods after 2021.

NNN is also exposed to market risks related to NNN's Residuals. Factors that may impact the market value of the Residuals include delinquencies, loan losses, prepayment speeds and interest rates. The Residuals, which are reported at market value, had a carrying value of \$36,000 and \$11,115,000 as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Unrealized gains and losses are reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Losses are considered other than temporary and reported as a valuation impairment in earnings from operations if and when there has been a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows that leads to a loss in value.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors and Stockholders of National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 and our report dated February 13, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Certified Public Accountants

Orlando, Florida February 13, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm The Board of Directors and Stockholders of National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of National Retail Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), National Retail Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 13, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Certified Public Accountants

Orlando, Florida February 13, 2017

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

ASSETS		, December 31,
	2016	2015
Real estate portfolio:		
Accounted for using the operating method, net of accumulated depreciation and	\$5,881,280	\$5,231,413
amortization	11 220	
Accounted for using the direct financing method	11,230	14,518
Real estate held for sale	23,850	57,527
Cash and cash equivalents	294,540	13,659
Restricted cash and cash held in escrow		601
Receivables, net of allowance of \$1,006 and \$566, respectively	3,418	3,344
Mortgages, notes and accrued interest receivable, net of allowance of \$14 and \$5,	1,252	8,688
respectively	25 101	25 520
Accrued rental income, net of allowance of \$3,078	25,101	25,529
Debt costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$11,268 and \$9,877, respectively Commercial mortgage residual interests	2,715 36	4,003 11,115
Other assets	90,729	89,647
Total assets		\$5,460,044
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$6,334,151	\$ 5,400,044
Liabilities:		
Mortgages payable, including unamortized premium and net of unamortized debt costs	\$13,878	\$23,964
Notes payable, net of unamortized discount and unamortized debt costs	2,297,811	1,951,980
Accrued interest payable	19,665	20,113
Other liabilities	85,869	121,594
Total liabilities	2,417,223	2,117,651
Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	2,417,223	2,117,031
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 15,000,000 shares		
6.625% Series D, 115,000 shares issued and outstanding, at stated liquidation value of		
\$2,500 per share	287,500	287,500
5.700% Series E, 115,000 shares issued and outstanding, at stated liquidation value of		
\$2,500 per share	287,500	287,500
5.200% Series F, 138,000 shares issued and outstanding, at stated liquidation value of		
\$2,500 per share	345,000	
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 375,000,000 shares; 147,149,945 and		
141,007,725	1,473	1,412
shares issued and outstanding, respectively	-,	-,
Capital in excess of par value	3,322,771	3,049,198
Accumulated deficit		(263,124)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(20,352)
Total stockholders' equity of NNN	3,916,799	3,342,134
Noncontrolling interests	129	259
Total equity	3,916,928	3,342,393
Total liabilities and equity	\$6,334,151	\$5,460,044
_ · ·		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2016	2015	2014	
Revenues:				
Rental income from operating leases	\$512,883	\$462,346	\$414,043	
Earned income from direct financing leases	1,336	1,506	1,725	
Percentage rent	1,735	1,430	1,074	
Real estate expense reimbursement from tenants	14,984	14,868	13,875	
Interest and other income from real estate transactions	1,032	988	2,326	
Interest income on commercial mortgage residual interests	1,677	1,778	1,834	
	533,647	482,916	434,877	
Operating expenses:				
General and administrative	36,508	34,736	32,518	
Real estate	20,852	19,776	18,935	
Depreciation and amortization	149,101	134,798	116,162	
Impairment – commercial mortgage residual interests valuation	6,830	531	256	
Impairment losses – real estate and other charges, net of recoveries	11,287	4,420	760	
	224,578	194,261	168,631	
Earnings from operations	309,069	288,655	266,246	
Other expenses (revenues):				
Interest and other income	(170	(109	(357)	
Interest expense	96,352	90,008	85,510	
Real estate acquisition costs	563	927	1,391	
	96,745	90,826	86,544	
Earnings from continuing operations before income tax benefit (expense)	212,324	197,829	179,702	
Income tax benefit (expense)	_	(10,318	75	
Earnings from continuing operations	212,324	187,511	179,777	
Earnings from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense	_	_	124	
Earnings before gain on disposition of real estate, net of income tax expense	212,324	187,511	179,901	
Gain on disposition of real estate, net of income tax expense	27,182	10,450	11,269	
Earnings including noncontrolling interests	239,506	197,961	191,170	
Earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests – continuing operations	(6)	(125	(569)	
Net earnings attributable to NNN	\$239,500	\$197,836	\$190,601	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

and SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME – CONTINUED (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016	2015	2014			
Net earnings attributable to NNN	\$239,500	\$ 197,836	\$ 190,601			
Series D preferred stock dividends	(19,047)		(19,047)			
Series E preferred stock dividends	(16,387)	, , ,	(16,387)			
Series F preferred stock dividends	(3,189)	-	_			
Net earnings attributable to common stockholders	\$200,877	\$ 162,402	\$ 155,167			
Net earnings per share of common stock:						
Basic	\$1.39	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.24			
Diluted	\$1.38	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.24			
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:						
Basic	144,176,22	4133,998,674	124,257,558			
Diluted	144,660,63	3134,489,416	124,710,226			
Other comprehensive income:						
Net earnings attributable to NNN	\$239,500	\$ 197,836	\$ 190,601			
Amortization of deferred interest rate hedges	2,802	1,902	1,129			
Fair value forward starting swaps	13,345	(13,369)	(6,312)			
Net gain (loss) – commercial mortgage residual interests	(4,454)	(339)	1,038			
Net gain (loss) – available-for-sale securities	468	112	(8)			
Comprehensive income attributable to NNN	\$251,661	\$ 186,142	\$ 186,448			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Series D Preferred Stock	Series E Preferred Stock	Series F Commo Pr Stork d Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings (Loss)	Accumul Other Compreh Income (Loss)	ated Total en Sive khold Equity	,Noncor ers Interests	n ffolli ng Equity	
Balances at December 31, 2013	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$-\$1,221	\$2,353,166	\$(147,837)	\$(4,505)	\$2,777,045	\$1,240	\$2,778,285	5
Net earnings	_	_		_	190,601	_	190,601	569	191,170	
Dividends declared and paid										
\$1.65625 per	•									
depositary share of Series D	_	_		_	(19,047) —	(19,047) —	(19,047)
preferred stock										
\$1.42500 per depositary share										
of Series E	_	_	——	_	(16,387) —	(16,387) —	(16,387)
preferred stock	r									
\$1.65 per share of common stock		—	3	11,443	(204,157) —	(192,711) —	(192,711)
Issuance of										
common stock: 5,493,595 shares	_	_	— 55	209,185	_	_	209,240		209,240	
100,161 shares -									·	
stock purchase plan	_	_	—1	3,370	_	_	3,371	_	3,371	
3,758,362 shares	_									
ATM equity program	_	_	—38	137,077	_	_	137,115		137,115	
Issuance of										
360,080 shares of	· —	_	<u>4</u>	(313)	_	_	(309) —	(309)
restricted common stock										
Stock issuance	_	_		(10,683)	_	_	(10,683) —	(10,683)
costs Amortization of				(-, ,			(-,	,	(-)	
deferred	_	_		8,433	_	_	8,433	_	8,433	
compensation Amortization of										
interest rate				_	_	1,129	1,129		1,129	
hedges						(6.212.)	(6.212	`	(6.212	`
	_	_			_	(6,312)	(0,312) —	(6,312)

Fair value										
forward starting										
swaps										
Unrealized gain - commercial mortgage residua interests		_		_	_	875	875	_	875	
Realized gain – commercial mortgage residua interests	1—	_		_	_	163	163	_	163	
Valuation adjustments – available-for-sale securities	_	_		_	_	111	111	_	111	
Realized gain – available-for-sale securities	: <u>—</u>	_		_	_	(119) (119) —	(119)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	_	_		_	_	_	_	(1,232)	(1,232)
Balances at December 31, 2014	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$-\$1,322	\$2,711,678	\$(196,827)	\$(8,658)	\$3,082,515	\$577	\$3,083,092	2

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

and SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY – CONTINUED

Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 $\,$

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Series D Preferred Stock	Series E Preferred Stock	Series F Commo Prestionaled Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings (Loss)	Accumula Other Comprehe Income (Loss)	ted Total ns Ste ckhold Equity	Arc	o hotal ling st E quity	
Balances at December 31, 2014	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$ -\$ 1,322	\$2,711,678	\$(196,827)	\$(8,658)	\$3,082,515	\$577	\$3,083,092	2
Net earnings	_	_		_	197,836	_	197,836	125	197,961	
Dividends declared and paid \$1.65625 per	:									
depositary share of Series D	_			_	(19,047)) —	(19,047) —	(19,047)
preferred stock \$1.42500 per depositary share										
of Series E	_	_	——	_	(16,387)) —	(16,387) —	(16,387)
preferred stock \$1.71 per share of common stock Issuance of	f	_	—2	6,886	(228,699)) —	(221,811) —	(221,811)
common stock: 34,230 shares	_	_		991	_	_	991	_	991	
12,065 shares – stock purchase plan	_	_		455	_	_	455	_	455	
8,573,533 shares ATM equity program Issuance of	_	_	—86	324,998	_	_	325,084	_	325,084	
209,284 shares of restricted	· —	_	—2	(311)	_	_	(309) —	(309)
common stock Stock issuance costs	_	_		(4,178)	_	_	(4,178) —	(4,178)
Amortization of deferred compensation	_	_		8,679	_	_	8,679	_	8,679	
Amortization of interest rate hedges	_	_		_	_	1,902	1,902	_	1,902	
Fair value forward starting swaps	_	_		_	_	(13,369)	(13,369) —	(13,369)

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Unrealized loss – commercial mortgage residua interests		_		_	_	(585)	(585)	· —	(585)
Realized gain – commercial mortgage residua interests	1	_		_	_	246	246	_	246	
Valuation adjustments – available-for-sale securities	. —	_		_	_	112	112	_	112	
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	_	_		_	_	_	_	334	334	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	_	_		_	_	_	_	(362)	(362)
Sale of noncontrolling interests	_	_		_	_	_	_	(415)	(415)
Balances at December 31, 2015	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$-\$1,412	\$3,049,198	\$(263,124)	\$(20,352)	\$3,342,134	\$259	\$3,342,393	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

and SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY – CONTINUED

Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 $\,$

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Series D Preferred Stock	Series E Preferred Stock	Series F Preferred Stock	Commo Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings (Loss)	Accumula Other Comprehe Income (Loss)	ted Total ns 8to ckholde Equity	arc	co hota lling st E quity
Balances at December 31, 2015	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$	\$1,412	\$3,049,198	\$(263,124) \$(20,352)	\$3,342,134	\$259	\$3,342,39
Net earnings Dividends declared and paid	 1:	_	_	_	_	239,500	_	239,500	6	239,506
\$1.65625 per depositary share of Series D preferred stock \$1.42500 per	_	_	_	_	_	(19,047) —	(19,047) —	(19,047
depositary share of Series E preferred stock	_	_	_	_	_	(16,387) —	(16,387) —	(16,387
\$0.231111 per depositary share of Series F preferred stock	_	_	_	_	_	(3,189) —	(3,189) —	(3,189
\$1.78 per share of common stock Issuance of	of	_	_	2	7,949	(257,007) —	(249,056) —	(249,056
13,800,000 depositary shares of Series F preferred stock Issuance of	s —	_	345,000	_	(10,897)	_	_	334,103	_	334,103
common stock: 31,807 shares 8,444 shares –	_	_	_	_	1,148		_	1,148	_	1,148
stock purchase plan	_	_	_	_	389	_	_	389		389
5,716,222 shares ATM equity program Issuance of	_	_	_	57	269,905	_	_	269,962		269,962
222,157 shares o restricted	f	_	_	2	(264)		_	(262) —	(262
common stock Stock issuance costs	_	_	_	_	(4,266)	_	_	(4,266) —	(4,266

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Amortization of deferred compensation	_	_	_	_	9,609	_	_	9,609	_	9,609
Amortization of interest rate hedges	_	_	_	_	_	_	2,802	2,802	_	2,802
Fair value forward starting swaps	_	_	_	_	_	_	13,345	13,345		13,345
Unrealized loss – commercial mortgage residual interests		_	_	_	_	_	(182) (182) —	(182
Realized gain – commercial mortgage residual interests	ı—	_	_	_	_	_	(4,272) (4,272) —	(4,272
Valuation adjustments – available-for-sale securities	_	_	_	_	_	_	468	468	_	468
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(136)	(136
Balances at December 31,	\$287,500	\$287,500	\$345,000	\$1,473	\$3,322,771	\$(319,254)	\$(8,191) \$3,916,799	\$129	\$3,916,9

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (dollars in thousands)

	Year Ende	•	
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Earnings including noncontrolling interests	\$239,506	\$197,961	\$191,170
Adjustments to reconcile earnings including noncontrolling interests to net cash			
provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	149,101	134,798	116,165
Impairment losses – real estate and other charges, net of recoveries	11,294	4,420	823
Impairment – commercial mortgage residual interests valuation	6,830	531	256
Amortization of notes payable discount	1,394	1,306	1,238
Amortization of debt costs	3,086	2,915	2,782
Amortization of mortgages payable premium	(147)	(207)	(93)
Amortization of deferred interest rate hedges	2,802	1,902	1,129
Settlement of forward starting swaps	13,345	(13,369)	(6,312)
Gain on disposition of real estate	(27,182)	(10,807)	(11,742)
Deferred income taxes		10,488	58
Performance incentive plan expense	11,401	10,474	9,841
Performance incentive plan payment	(581)	(676	(2,808)
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of assets acquired and liabilities			
assumed in business combinations:			
Decrease in real estate leased to others using the direct financing method	1,364	1,277	1,368
Decrease in mortgages, notes and accrued interest receivable	26	74	76
Decrease (increase) in receivables	(74)	(335	16
Increase in accrued rental income	(252)	(368)	(1,731)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	1,663	4,996	(2,256)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	(448)	2,717	254
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	2,636	(6,610	(4,746)
Other	(427)	(392)	1,245
Net cash provided by operating activities	415,337	341,095	296,733
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from the disposition of real estate	104,117	38,502	58,853
Additions to real estate:			
Accounted for using the operating method	(885,966)	(683,243)	(602,780)
Increase in mortgages and notes receivable	_		(7,246)
Principal payments on mortgages and notes receivable	4,141	2,363	13,346
Other	(2,235)	(2,166)	(3,731)
Net cash used in investing activities	(779,943)	(644,544)	(541,558)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – CONTINUED (dollars in thousands)

	Year Endec	l December 31 2015	, 2014
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from line of credit payable	\$1,330,200	\$1,262,400	\$678,500
Repayment of line of credit payable	(1,330,200) (1,262,400)	(724,900)
Repayment of mortgages payable	(9,962) (2,035	(1,151)
Proceeds from notes payable	346,140	399,036	349,293
Repayment of notes payable	_	(150,000	(150,000)
Payment of debt costs	(3,362) (3,654	(6,321)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	278,040	332,117	360,072
Proceeds from issuance of Series F preferred stock	345,000	_	
Stock issuance costs	(15,204) (4,198	(10,726)
Payment of Series D Preferred Stock dividends	(19,047) (19,047	(19,047)
Payment of Series E Preferred Stock dividends	(16,387) (16,387	(16,387)
Payment of Series F Preferred Stock dividends	(3,189) —	
Payment of common stock dividends	(257,007) (228,699	(204,157)
Noncontrolling interest contributions	_	334	
Noncontrolling interest distributions	(136) (362	(1,232)
Net cash provided by financing activities	644,886	307,105	253,944
Net increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	280,280	3,656	9,119
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year ⁽¹⁾	14,260	10,604	1,485
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year ⁽¹⁾	\$294,540	\$14,260	\$10,604
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid, net of amount capitalized	\$91,403	\$83,758	\$81,829
Taxes paid (received)	\$(155) \$234	\$59
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Issued 285,573, 285,263 and 386,433 shares of restricted and unrestricted			
common stock in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, pursuant to NNN's	\$11,337	\$8,990	\$10,884
performance incentive plan			
Surrender of 1,520 shares of restricted common stock in 2016	\$59	\$	\$
Change in other comprehensive income	\$12,161	\$11,694	\$4,153
Change in lease classification (direct financing lease to operating lease)	\$1,924	\$1,179	\$ —
Mortgages payable assumed in connection with real estate transactions	\$ —	\$ —	\$17,254
Mortgage receivable accepted in connection with real estate transactions	\$ —	\$500	\$62
Note receivable accepted in connection with real estate transactions	\$ —	\$ —	\$70

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at the end of the year is the aggregate of Cash and cash equivalents and Restricted cash and cash held in escrow from the Consolidated Balance Sheets. NNN had restricted cash and cash held in escrow of \$601 at December 31, 2015. NNN did not have restricted cash or cash held in escrow at December 31, 2016 and 2014.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

and SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Note 1 – Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Organization and Nature of Business – National Retail Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, is a fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") formed in 1984. The term "NNN" or the "Company" refers to National Retail Properties, Inc. and all of its consolidated subsidiaries. NNN has elected to treat certain subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries. These taxable subsidiaries and their majority owned and controlled subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "TRS." At the close of business on December 31, 2015, NNN elected to revoke its election to classify the TRS as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS Revocation Election").

NNN's assets primarily include real estate assets. NNN acquires, owns, invests in and develops properties that are leased primarily to retail tenants under long-term net leases and primarily held for investment ("Properties" or "Property Portfolio," or individually a "Property").

December 31,

2016

Property Portfolio:

Total properties 2,535 Gross leasable area (square feet) 27,204,000

States 48

Weighted average remaining lease term (years) 11.6

NNN's operations are reported within one business segment in the financial statements and all properties are considered part of the Properties or Property Portfolio. As such, property counts and calculations involving property counts reflect all NNN properties.

Principles of Consolidation – NNN's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of each of the respective majority owned and controlled affiliates, including transactions whereby NNN has been determined to be the primary beneficiary in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") guidance included in Consolidation. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated.

NNN consolidates certain joint venture development entities based upon either NNN being the primary beneficiary of the respective variable interest entity or NNN having a controlling interest over the respective entity. NNN eliminates significant intercompany balances and transactions and records a noncontrolling interest for its other partners' ownership percentage.

Real Estate Portfolio – NNN records the acquisition of real estate at cost, including acquisition and closing costs. The cost of properties developed by NNN includes direct and indirect costs of construction, property taxes, interest and other miscellaneous costs incurred during the development period until the project is substantially complete and available for occupancy. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN recorded \$1,738,000, \$2,383,000 and \$1,629,000, respectively, in capitalized interest during development.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate Subject to a Lease – In accordance with the FASB guidance on business combinations, the fair value of the real estate acquired with in-place leases is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting of land, building and tenant improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases and the value of in-place leases, as applicable, based on their respective fair values. Acquisition costs

incurred in connection with a business combination are expensed when incurred.

The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired leased property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the "as-if-vacant" value is then allocated to land, building and tenant improvements based on the determination of their fair values.

In allocating the fair value of the identified intangible assets and liabilities of an acquired property, above-market and below-market in-place lease values are recorded as other assets or liabilities based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual

amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases, and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease and the applicable option terms if it is probable that the tenant will

exercise options. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases. The capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to rental income over the initial term unless the Company believes that it is likely that the tenant will renew the lease for an option term whereby the Company amortizes the value attributable to the renewal over the renewal period. The aggregate value of other acquired intangible assets, consisting of in-place leases, is measured by the excess of (i) the purchase price paid for a property after adjusting existing in-place leases to market rental rates over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property as-if-vacant, determined as set forth above. The value of in-place leases exclusive of the value of above-market and below-market in-place leases is amortized to expense over the remaining non-cancelable periods of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be written off in that period. The value of tenant relationships is reviewed on individual transactions to determine if future value was derived from the acquisition.

Intangible assets and liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015

Intangible lease assets (included in Other assets):

Value of above market in-place leases, net \$9,591 \$10,883 Value of in-place leases, net 55,290 61,359

Intangible lease liabilities (included in Other liabilities):

Value of below market in-place leases, net 22,100 25,767

NNN's real estate is generally leased to tenants on a net lease basis, whereby the tenant is responsible for all operating expenses relating to the Property, including property taxes, insurance, maintenance, repairs and capital expenditures. The leases are accounted for using either the operating or the direct financing method. Such methods are described below:

Operating method – Properties with leases accounted for using the operating method are recorded at the cost of the real estate. Revenue is recognized as rentals are earned and expenses (including depreciation) are charged to operations as incurred. Buildings are depreciated on the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Leasehold interests are amortized on the straight-line method over the terms of their respective leases. When scheduled rentals vary during the lease term, income is recognized on a straight-line basis so as to produce a constant periodic rent over the term of the lease. Accrued rental income is the aggregate difference between the scheduled rents which vary during the lease term and the income recognized on a straight-line basis.

Direct financing method – Properties with leases accounted for using the direct financing method are recorded at their net investment (which at the inception of the lease generally represents the cost of the Property). Unearned income is deferred and amortized into income over the lease terms so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return on NNN's net investment in the leases.

Real Estate – Held For Sale – Real estate held for sale is not depreciated and is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less cost to sell.

Impairment – Real Estate – Based upon certain events or changes in circumstances, management periodically assesses its Properties for possible impairment whenever the carrying value of the asset, including accrued rental income, may not be recoverable through operations. Events or circumstances that may occur include significant changes in real estate market conditions and the ability of NNN to re-lease or sell properties that are currently vacant or become vacant in a reasonable period of time. Management evaluates whether an impairment in carrying value has occurred by comparing the estimated future and undiscounted cash flows, including the residual value of the real estate, with the carrying value of the individual asset. If an impairment is indicated, a loss will be recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its estimated fair value.

Real Estate Dispositions – When real estate is disposed of, the related cost, accumulated depreciation or amortization and any accrued rental income for operating leases and the net investment for direct financing leases are removed from the accounts, and gains and losses from the dispositions are reflected in income. Gains from the disposition of real estate are generally recognized using the full accrual method in accordance with the FASB guidance included in Real Estate Sales, provided that various criteria relating to the terms of the sale and any subsequent involvement by NNN with the real estate sold are met.

Valuation of Mortgages, Notes and Accrued Interest Receivable – The reserve allowance related to the mortgages, notes and accrued interest receivable is NNN's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses. The reserve allowance is determined

on an individual note basis in reviewing any payment past due for over 90 days. Any outstanding amounts are written off against the reserve allowance when all possible means of collection have been exhausted.

Commercial Mortgage Residual Interests, at Fair Value – Commercial mortgage residual interests, classified as available for sale, are reported at their estimated market values with unrealized gains and losses reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. NNN recognizes the excess of all cash flows attributable to the commercial mortgage residual interests estimated at the acquisition/transaction date over the initial investment (the accretable yield) as interest income over the life of the beneficial interest using the effective yield method. Losses are considered other than temporary valuation impairments if and when there has been a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows, exclusive of changes in interest rates, that leads to a loss in value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents – NNN considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and money market accounts. Cash equivalents are stated at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value.

Cash accounts maintained on behalf of NNN in demand deposits at commercial banks and money market funds may exceed federally insured levels or may be held in accounts without any federal insurance or any other insurance or guarantee. However, NNN has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

Restricted Cash and Cash Held in Escrow – Restricted cash and cash held in escrow include (i) cash proceeds from the sale of assets held by qualified intermediaries in anticipation of the acquisition of replacement properties in tax-free exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code, (ii) cash that has been placed in escrow for the future funding of construction commitments, or (iii) cash that is not immediately available to NNN.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, "Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash." The amendments in this update require that a statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted or restricted cash equivalents. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. NNN has elected early adoption of ASU 2016-18. The adoption of ASU 2016-18 did not impact NNN's financial position or results of operations.

Valuation of Receivables – NNN estimates the collectibility of its accounts receivable related to rents, expense reimbursements and other revenues. NNN analyzes accounts receivable and historical bad debt levels, tenant credit-worthiness and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. In addition, tenants in bankruptcy are analyzed and estimates are made in connection with the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims.

Debt Costs – Line of Credit Payable – Debt costs incurred in connection with NNN's \$650,000,000 line of credit have been deferred and are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the loan commitment using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. NNN has recorded debt costs associated with the line of credit as an asset, in Debt Costs on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Debt Costs – Mortgages Payable – Debt costs incurred in connection with NNN's mortgages payable have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the respective loan commitment using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. These costs of \$147,000 and \$226,000 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, are included in Mortgages Payable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets net of accumulated amortization of \$38,000 and \$93,000, respectively.

Debt Costs – Notes Payable – Debt costs incurred in connection with the issuance of NNN's notes payable have been deferred and are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the respective debt obligation using the effective interest method. These costs of \$21,157,000 and \$17,782,000 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, are included in Notes Payable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets net of accumulated amortization of \$6,376,000 and \$4,704,000, respectively.

Revenue Recognition – Rental revenues for properties under construction commence upon completion of construction of the leased asset and delivery of the leased asset to the tenant. Rental revenues for non-development real estate assets are recognized when earned in accordance with the FASB guidance included in Leases, based on the terms of the lease of the leased asset. Lease termination fees are recognized when the related leases are cancelled and NNN no

longer has a continuing involvement with the former tenant with respect to that property.

Earnings Per Share – Earnings per share have been computed pursuant to the FASB guidance included in Earnings Per Share. The guidance requires classification of the Company's unvested restricted share units which contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends, as participating securities requiring the two-class method of computing earnings per share. Under the two-class method, earnings per common share are computed by dividing the sum of distributed earnings to common stockholders and undistributed earnings allocated to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. In applying the two-class method, undistributed earnings are allocated to both common shares and participating securities based on the weighted average shares outstanding during the period. The following table is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share using the two-class method for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015	2014
Basic and Diluted Earnings:			
Net earnings attributable to NNN	\$ 239,500	\$ 197,836	\$ 190,601
Less: Series D preferred stock dividends	(19,047)	(19,047)	(19,047)
Less: Series E preferred stock dividends	(16,387)	(16,387)	(16,387)
Less: Series F preferred stock dividends	(3,189)		
Net earnings attributable to common stockholders	200,877	162,402	155,167
Less: Earnings attributable to unvested restricted shares	(695)	(706)	(773)
Net earnings used in basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 200,182	\$ 161,696	\$ 154,394
Basic and Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:			
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	145,014,422	134,868,640	125,221,358
Less: Unvested restricted shares	(390,522)	(412,505)	(467,968)
Less: Unvested contingent restricted shares	(447,676)	(457,461)	(495,832)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding used in basic earnings per share	144,176,224	133,998,674	124,257,558
Effects of dilutive securities:			
Other	484,409	490,742	452,668
Weighted average number of shares outstanding used in diluted earnings per	144,660,633	134,489,416	124,710,226

Income Taxes – NNN has made an election to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and related regulations. NNN generally will not be subject to federal income taxes on amounts distributed to stockholders, providing it distributes 100 percent of its REIT taxable income and meets certain other requirements for qualifying as a REIT. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, NNN believes it has qualified as a REIT. Notwithstanding NNN's qualification for taxation as a REIT, NNN is subject to certain state taxes on its income and real estate.

NNN and its taxable REIT subsidiaries have made timely TRS elections pursuant to the provisions of the REIT Modernization Act. A taxable REIT subsidiary is able to engage in activities resulting in income that previously would have been disqualified from being eligible REIT income under the federal income tax regulations. As a result, certain activities of NNN which occur within its TRS entities are subject to federal and state income taxes (See Note 11). All provisions for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are attributable to NNN's taxable REIT subsidiaries and to the Orange Avenue Mortgage Investments, Inc. ("OAMI"), a wholly owned qualified REIT subsidiary, built-in gain tax liability.

At the close of business on December 31, 2015, NNN elected to revoke its election to classify the TRS as taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS Revocation Election"). This TRS Revocation Election resulted in an additional tax expense of approximately \$9,607,000 for 2015.

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method as required by the FASB guidance included in Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the temporary differences based on estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets

and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect

on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Fair Value Measurement – NNN's estimates of fair value of financial and non-financial assets and liabilities are based on the framework established in the fair value accounting guidance. The framework specifies a hierarchy of valuation inputs which was established to increase consistency, clarity and comparability in fair value measurements and related disclosures. The guidance describes a fair value hierarchy based upon three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value, two of which are considered observable and one that is considered unobservable. The following describes the three levels:

Level 1 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Valuation is based upon inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 – Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) – The following table outlines the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (dollars in thousands):

	Gain or Loss on Cash Flow Hedges ⁽¹⁾	Gains and Losses of Commerce Mortgage Residual Interests	n cial e	Gains and Losses on Available-for-S Securities	Total Sale
Beginning balance, December 31, 2014	\$(13,579)	\$ 4,793		\$ 128	\$(8,658)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(13,369)	(585)	112	(13,842)
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to net earnings	1,902 (3)	246	(4)		2,148
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	(11,467)	(339)	112	(11,694)
Ending balance, December 31, 2015	(25,046)	4,454		240	(20,352)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	13,345	(182)	468	13,631
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income to net earnings	2,802 (3)	(4,272) (4)		(1,470)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	16,147	(4,454)	468	12,161
Ending balance, December 31, 2016	\$(8,899)	\$ —		\$ 708	\$(8,191)
(1) Additional disalegame is included in Note 12. Desiration	_				

⁽¹⁾ Additional disclosure is included in Note 12 – Derivatives.

New Accounting Pronouncements – In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The core principle of ASU 2014-09, is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Certain contracts are excluded from ASU 2014-09,

⁽²⁾ Additional disclosure is included in Note 17 – Fair Value Measurements.

⁽³⁾ Reclassifications out of other comprehensive income (loss) are recorded in Interest Expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. There is no income tax expense (benefit) resulting from this reclassification.

⁽⁴⁾ Reclassifications out of other comprehensive income (loss) are recorded in Impairment on the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income. There is no income tax expense (benefit) resulting from this reclassification.

including lease contracts within the scope of the FASB guidance included in Leases. In March 2016, the FASB issued updated guidance. ASU 2016-08, "Revenue from Contracts with customers (Topic 606) - Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Gross Versus Net)," clarifies the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations included within the scope of ASU 2014-09. The guidance permits two methods of adoption: full retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented, or modified retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the guidance recognized at the date of initial application (the cumulative catch-up

transition method). The guidance was initially effective January 1, 2017 and early adoption was not permitted. The amended guidance provides for a one-year deferral of the effective date to January 1, 2018, with an option of applying the standard on the original effective date. NNN will adopt the guidance on January 1, 2018 and apply the cumulative catch-up transition method. NNN is currently evaluating to determine the potential impact the adoption of ASU 2014-09 and ASU 2016-08 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, "Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10) - Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this update address certain aspects of recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial instruments. The adoption of ASU 2016-01 will not have an impact on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The FASB issued final guidance that requires lessees to put most leases on their balance sheets but recognize expenses in the income statement in a manner similar to today's accounting. The guidance also eliminates today's real estate-specific provisions and changes the guidance on sale-leaseback transactions, initial direct costs and lease executory costs for all entities. For lessors, the standard modifies the classification criteria and the accounting for sales-type and direct financing leases. NNN is currently evaluating to determine the potential impact the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-06, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Contingent Put and Call Options in Debt Instruments." The update is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The update clarifies the requirements for assessing whether contingent call (put) options that can accelerate the payment of principal on debt instruments are clearly and closely related to their debt hosts. The adoption of ASU 2016-06 will not have an impact on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, "Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718)," effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. The areas for simplification in this update involve several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The adoption of ASU 2016-09 will not have an impact on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, "Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this update replace the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. The adoption of ASU 2016-13 will not have an impact on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, "Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this update provide guidance on certain cash flow classification issues. The objective of the amendment is to reduce existing diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows under Topic 230. NNN is currently evaluating to determine the potential impact, if any, the adoption of ASU 2016-15 will have on the presentation of NNN's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01, "Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those periods. The amendments in this update provide a screen to determine when a set is not a business. The screen requires that when substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired (or disposed of) is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or a group of similar identifiable assets, the set is not a business. NNN is currently evaluating to determine the potential impact the adoption of ASU 2017-01 will have on NNN's financial position or results of operations.

Use of Estimates – Additional critical accounting policies of NNN include management's estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Additional critical accounting policies include management's estimates of the useful lives used in calculating depreciation expense relating to real estate assets, purchase price allocation, the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets, including the commercial mortgage residual interests, the recoverability of the deferred income taxes, and the collectibility of receivables from tenants, including accrued rental income. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassification – Certain items in the prior year's consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 presentation.

Note 2 – Real Estate:

Real Estate - Portfolio

Leases – The following outlines key information for NNN's leases at December 31, 2016:

Lease classification:

Operating 2,566
Direct financing 9
Building portion – direct financing/land portion – operating
Weighted average remaining lease term (years) 11.6

The leases generally provide for limited increases in rent as a result of fixed increases, increases in the consumer price index, and/or increases in the tenant's sales volume. Generally, the tenant is also required to pay all property taxes and assessments, substantially maintain the Property and carry property and liability insurance coverage. Certain of the Properties are subject to leases under which NNN retains responsibility for specific costs and expenses of the Property. Generally, the leases provide the tenant with one or more multi-year renewal options, subject to generally the same terms and conditions of the base term of the lease, including rent increases.

Real Estate Portfolio – Accounted for Using the Operating Method – Real estate subject to operating leases consisted of the following as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015
Land and improvements	\$2,102,915	\$1,909,569
Buildings and improvements	4,489,248	3,876,986
Leasehold interests	4,565	1,290
	6,596,728	5,787,845
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(739,505)	(617,786)
	5,857,223	5,170,059
Work in progress	24,057	61,354
	\$5,881,280	\$5,231,413

Some leases provide for scheduled rent increases throughout the lease term. Such amounts are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN recognized (\$12,000), \$153,000 and \$1,521,000, respectively, of such income, net of reserves. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the balance of accrued rental income was \$25,101,000 and \$25,529,000, respectively, net of \$3,078,000 allowance.

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments to be received on noncancellable operating leases at December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

```
2017 $535,048
2018 522,708
2019 508,143
2020 490,805
2021 470,388
Thereafter 3,721,409
$6,248,501
```

Since lease renewal periods are exercisable at the option of the tenant, the above table only presents future minimum lease payments due during the current lease terms. In addition, this table does not include amounts for potential variable rent increases that are based on the CPI or future contingent rents which may be received on the leases based on a percentage of the tenant's gross sales.

Real Estate Portfolio – Accounted for Using the Direct Financing Method – The following lists the components of net investment in direct financing leases at December 31 (dollars in thousands):

```
Minimum lease payments to be received $11,200 $13,900 Estimated unguaranteed residual values 5,664 7,589 Less unearned income (5,634) (6,971) Net investment in direct financing leases $11,230 $14,518
```

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments to be received on direct financing leases held for investment at December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

```
2017 $1,862
2018 1,834
2019 1,512
2020 1,043
2021 719
Thereafter 4,230
$11,200
```

The above table does not include future minimum lease payments for renewal periods, potential variable CPI rent increases or contingent rental payments that may become due in future periods (see Real Estate Portfolio – Accounted for Using the Operating Method).

Real Estate - Held For Sale

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its Properties for held for sale classification based on specific criteria as outlined in ASC 360, Property, Plant & Equipment, including management's intent to commit to a plan to sell the asset. NNN anticipates the disposition of Properties classified as held for sale to occur within 12 months. As of December 31, 2016, NNN had 16 of its Properties categorized as held for sale. NNN's real estate held for sale at December 31, 2015, included 21 properties, five of which were sold in 2016. Real estate held for sale consisted of the following as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015
Land and improvements	\$14,114	\$23,024
Building and improvements	15,446	43,327
	29,560	66,351
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,962)	(6,821)
Less impairment	(2,748)	(2,003)
	\$23,850	\$57,527

Real Estate – Dispositions

The following table summarizes the Properties sold and the corresponding gain recognized on the disposition of Properties for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016		2015		2014		
	# of Sold Properties	Gain	# of Sold Properties	Gain	# of Sold Properties	Gain	
Gain on disposition of real estate	38	\$27,182	19	\$10,807 (1)	25	\$11,587	'
Income tax expense		_		(357)		(318)
		27,182		10,450		11,269	
Gain on disposition of real estate included in discontinued operations	_	_	_	_	2	155	(1)
Income tax expense		_					
		\$27,182		\$10,450		\$11,424	ļ

⁽¹⁾ Amount includes the recognition of deferred gains on previously sold properties.

Real Estate – Commitments

NNN has committed to fund construction commitments on 21 Properties. The improvements are estimated to be completed within 12 months. These construction commitments, at December 31, 2016, are outlined in the table below (dollars in thousands):

Total commitment⁽¹⁾ \$114,206 Amount funded \$54,782 Remaining commitment \$59,424

(1) Includes land, construction costs, tenant improvements and lease costs.

Real Estate – Impairments

Management periodically assesses its real estate for possible impairment whenever certain events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset, including accrued rental income, may not be recoverable through operations. Events or circumstances that may occur include significant changes in real estate market conditions and the ability of NNN to re-lease or sell properties that are vacant or become vacant in a reasonable period of time. Impairments are measured as the amount by which the current book value of the asset exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. As a result of the Company's review of long lived assets, including identifiable intangible assets, NNN recognized the following real estate impairments for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015 2014
Continuing operations \$8,025 \$3,970 \$760
Discontinued operations — 63
\$8,025 \$3,970 \$823

The valuation of impaired assets is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis, income capitalization, analysis of recent comparable sales transactions, actual sales negotiations and bona fide purchase offers received from third parties, which are Level 3 inputs. NNN may consider a single valuation technique or multiple valuation techniques, as appropriate, when estimating the fair value of its real estate.

Note 3 – Commercial Mortgage Residual Interests:

As of December 31, 2015, NNN held the commercial mortgage residual interests ("Residuals") from seven loan securitizations. In 2016, the loan servicer of five of the securitizations exercised its clean-up call option. These clean-up calls allowed the servicers to purchase all of the trusts' assets, thereby terminating future cash distributions payable to NNN as the holder of these residual interests. During the years ended December 31 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN recorded an other than temporary valuation impairment as a reduction of earnings from operations. The other than temporary valuation impairment recorded during the year ended December 31, 2016 related to the execution of the clean-up call option on the five securitizations, as well as the fair value adjustment on the remaining two securitizations.

Unrealized gains and losses are reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity and other than temporary losses as a result of a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows are recorded as an other than temporary valuation impairment. The following table summarizes the recognition of unrealized gains and/or losses recorded as other comprehensive income as well as other than temporary valuation impairment (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015 2014 Unrealized gains (losses), net \$(182) \$(585) \$875 Other than temporary valuation impairment 6,830 531 256

As of December 31, 2016, the remaining two Residuals are recorded at fair value. Certain valuation assumptions are made based on the expected timing of future cash flows relating to the Residuals. The following table summarizes the key assumptions used in determining the value of the Residuals as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

key assumptions used in determining the varie	ac of the reside	iais as or	December 31 (done	<i>4</i> 15 111 (
	2016		2015			
Discount rate	20	%	20	%		
Average life equivalent CPR ⁽¹⁾ speeds range	0.87% to		0.87% to 21.73%			
Average me equivalent CFK speeds range	21.56% CPR		CPR			
Foreclosures:						
Frequency curve default model	0% - 1.33%		0.72% - 1.57%			
rrequency curve default model	range		range			
Loss severity of loans in foreclosure	20	%	20	%		
Yield:						
LIBOR	Forward 3-mo	nth curve	Forward 3-month	curve		
Prime	Forward curve	;	Forward curve			
Fair value at December 31	\$ 36		\$ 11,115			
(1) Conditional prepayment rate						

Note 4 – Line of Credit Payable:

NNN's \$650,000,000 unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") had a weighted average outstanding balance of \$70,139,000 and a weighted average interest rate of 1.4% for the year ended December 31, 2016. The Credit Facility matures January 2019, with an option to extend maturity to January 2020. As of December 31, 2016, the Credit Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 92.5 basis points; however, such interest rate may change pursuant to a tiered interest rate structure based on NNN's debt rating. The Credit Facility also includes an accordion feature to increase the facility size up to \$1,000,000,000. As of December 31, 2016, there was no outstanding balance and \$650,000,000 was available for future borrowings under the Credit Facility, excluding undrawn letters of credit totaling \$230,000.

In accordance with the terms of the Credit Facility, NNN is required to meet certain restrictive financial covenants which, among other things, require NNN to maintain certain (i) leverage ratios, (ii) debt service coverage, (iii) cash flow coverage and (iv) investment and dividend limitations. At December 31, 2016, NNN was in compliance with those covenants.

Note 5 – Mortgages Payable:

The following table outlines the mortgages payable included in NNN's consolidated financial statements (dollars in thousands):

Entered ⁽¹⁾	Initial Balance	Interest Rate	Maturity ⁽²⁾	Carrying Value of Encumbered Asset(s) ⁽³⁾	Outstand Principa Balance Decemb 2016	ıl at	t	
February 2004 ⁽⁶⁾	\$6,952	6.90%	January 2017	\$ —	\$ —		\$848	
June 2012 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	6,850	5.75%	April 2016	_			5,890	
September 2014 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁷⁾	2,957	6.40%	February 2017				2,804	
November 2014 ⁽⁴⁾	15,151	5.23%	July 2023	21,403	13,987		14,555	
				\$ 21,403	13,987		24,097	
Debt costs Accumulated amortiz		(147 38)	(226 93)			
Debt costs, net of acc		(109)	(133)			
Mortgages payable, in and net of unamortized	\$13,878	,	\$23,964	ļ				

⁽¹⁾ Date entered represents the date that NNN acquired real estate subject to a mortgage securing a loan.

The following is a schedule of the scheduled principal payments, including premium amortization of NNN's mortgages payable at December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

⁽²⁾ Monthly payments include interest and principal, if any; the balance is due at maturity.

⁽³⁾ Each loan is secured by a first mortgage lien on certain of the Properties. The carrying values of the assets at December 31, 2016.

⁽⁴⁾ Initial balance and outstanding principal balance includes unamortized premium.

⁽⁵⁾ NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in January 2016.

⁽⁶⁾ NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in March 2016.

⁽⁷⁾ NNN repaid the outstanding principal balance in October 2016.

Note 6 – Notes Payable:

Each of NNN's outstanding series of unsecured notes is summarized in the table below (dollars in thousands):

Notes	Issue Date	Dringing!	Discount ⁽¹⁾	Net	Stated	Effective	Maturity
Notes	Issue Date	rinicipai	Discount	Price	Rate	Rate ⁽²⁾	Date
$2017^{(3)}$	September 2007	\$250,000	\$ 877	\$249,123	6.875%	6.924%	October 2017
$2021^{(4)}$	July 2011	300,000	4,269	295,731	5.500%	5.689%	July 2021
2022	August 2012	325,000	4,989	320,011	3.800%	3.985%	October 2022
$2023^{(5)}$	April 2013	350,000	2,594	347,406	3.300%	3.388%	April 2023
$2024^{(6)}$	May 2014	350,000	707	349,293	3.900%	3.924%	June 2024
$2025^{(7)}$	October 2015	400,000	964	399,036	4.000%	4.029%	November 2025
$2026^{(8)}$	December 2016	350,000	3,860	346,140	3.600%	3.733%	December 2026

- (1) The note discounts are amortized to interest expense over the respective term of each debt obligation using the effective interest method.
- (2) Includes the effects of the discount at issuance.
 - NNN entered into an interest rate hedge with a notional amount of \$100,000. Upon issuance of the 2017 Notes,
- (3) NNN terminated the interest rate hedge agreement resulting in a liability of \$3,260, of which \$3,228 was recorded to other comprehensive income. The liability has been deferred and is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into two interest rate hedges with a total notional amount of \$150,000. Upon issuance of the 2021 Notes, NNN terminated the interest rate hedge agreements resulting in a liability of \$5,300, of which \$5,218 was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into four forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$240,000. Upon issuance of the 2023 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$3,156, of which \$3,141 was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into three forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$225,000. Upon issuance of the 2024 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$6,312, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into four forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$300,000. Upon issuance of the 2025 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a liability of \$13,369, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred liability is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.
- NNN entered into two forward starting swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$180,000. Upon issuance of the 2026 Notes, NNN terminated the forward starting swaps resulting in a gain of \$13,345, which was deferred in other comprehensive income. The deferred asset is being amortized over the term of the notes using the effective interest method.

Each series of the notes represents senior, unsecured obligations of NNN and is subordinated to all secured indebtedness of NNN. Each of the notes is redeemable at the option of NNN, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to the sum of (i) the principal amount of the notes being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon through the redemption date and (ii) the make-whole amount, if any, as defined in the applicable supplemental indenture relating to the notes.

In connection with the outstanding debt offerings, NNN incurred debt issuance costs totaling \$21,157,000 consisting primarily of underwriting discounts and commissions, legal and accounting fees, rating agency fees and printing expenses. Debt issuance costs for all note issuances have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the respective notes using the effective interest method.

In December 2015, NNN repaid the \$150,000,000 6.150% notes payable that were due in December 2015.

In accordance with the terms of the indenture, pursuant to which NNN's notes have been issued, NNN is required to meet certain restrictive financial covenants, which, among other things, require NNN to maintain (i) certain leverage ratios and (ii) certain interest coverage. At December 31, 2016, NNN was in compliance with those covenants.

Note 7 – Preferred Stock:

NNN completed the following underwritten public offerings of cumulative redeemable preferred stock and are still outstanding ("Preferred Stock Shares") (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Series	Dividend Rate ⁽¹⁾	Issued	Depositary Shares Outstanding ⁽²⁾	Gross Proceeds	Stock Issuance Costs ⁽³⁾	Per Depositary Share	Earliest Redemption Date
Series D	6.625 %	February 2012	11,500,000	\$287,500	\$ 9,855	\$1.656250	February 2017
Series E	5.700 %	May 2013	11,500,000	287,500	9,856	1.425000	May 2018
Series F	5.200 %	October 2016	13,800,000	345,000	10,897	1.300000	October 2021

- (1) Holders are entitled to receive, when and as authorized by the Board of Directors, cumulative preferential cash dividends.
- Representing 1/100th of a preferred share. Series D and E issuances each included 1,500,000 depositary shares in connection with the underwriters' over-allotment. Series F issuance included 1,800,000 depositary shares in connection with the underwriters' over-allotment.
- (3) Consisting primarily of underwriting commissions and fees, rating agency fees, legal and accounting fees and printing expenses.

The Preferred Stock Shares underlying the depositary shares rank senior to NNN's common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of NNN. The Preferred Stock Shares have no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed. In addition, upon a change of control, as defined in the articles supplementary fixing the rights and preferences of the Preferred Stock Shares, NNN may redeem the Preferred Stock Shares underlying the depositary shares at a redemption price of \$2,500.00 per share (or \$25.00 per depositary share), plus all accumulated and unpaid dividends, and in limited circumstances the holders of depositary shares may convert some or all of their Preferred Stock Shares into shares of NNN's common stock at conversion rates provided in the related articles supplementary. As of February 13, 2017, the Series E and Series F Preferred Stock Shares were not redeemable or convertible.

In January 2017, NNN announced the redemption of all outstanding depositary shares representing interests in its 6.625% Series D Preferred Stock. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017 at \$25.00 per depositary share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price of \$25.3128472 per depositary share. After the redemption date, dividends on the depositary shares representing interests in the Series D Preferred Stock will cease to accrue.

Note 8 – Common Stock:

In February 2015, NNN filed a shelf registration statement with the Commission which permits the issuance by NNN of an indeterminate amount of debt and equity securities.

Equity Offerings. In November 2014, NNN filed a prospectus supplement to the prospectus contained in its February 2012 shelf registration statement and issued 5,462,500 shares (including 712,500 shares in connection with the underwriters' over-allotment) of common stock at a price of \$38.16 per share and received net proceeds of \$199,961,000. In connection with this offering, NNN incurred stock issuance costs totaling approximately \$8,488,000, consisting primarily of underwriters' fees and commissions, legal and accounting fees and printing expenses. Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. In February 2015, NNN filed a shelf registration statement with the Commission for its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan ("DRIP") which permits the issuance by NNN of 16,000,000 shares of common stock. The following outlines the common stock issuances pursuant to the DRIP for the year ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2016 2015 2014 Shares of common stock 187,626 196,584 422,406 Net proceeds \$8,340 \$7,182 \$14,817

At The Market Offerings. NNN has established an at-the-market equity program ("ATM") which allows NNN to sell shares of common stock from time to time. The following outlines NNN's ATM programs:

 2016 ATM
 2015 ATM
 2013 ATM

 Established date
 March 2016 February 2015 March 2013

 Termination date
 March 2019 March 2016 February 2015

 Total allowable shares
 12,000,000 10,000,000 9,000,000

 Total shares issued as of December 31, 2016 4,223,290 9,852,465 6,252,812

The following table outlines the common stock issuances pursuant to NNN's ATM equity program (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

Year Ended December 31, 2016 2015 2014 Shares of common stock 5,716,222 8,573,533 3,758,362 Average price per share (net) \$46.48 \$37.45 \$35.90 Net proceeds \$265,696 \$321,067 \$134,919 Stock issuance costs⁽¹⁾ \$4,266 \$4,016 \$2,195

Note 9 – Employee Benefit Plan:

Effective January 1, 1998, NNN adopted a defined contribution retirement plan (the "Retirement Plan") covering substantially all of the employees of NNN. The Retirement Plan permits participants to defer a portion of their compensation, as defined in the Retirement Plan, subject to limits established by the Code. NNN generally matches 60 percent of the first eight percent of a participant's contributions. Additionally, NNN may make discretionary contributions. NNN's contributions to the Retirement Plan for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$491,000, \$474,000 and \$453,000, respectively.

Note 10 – Dividends:

The following presents the characterization for tax purposes of common stock dividends per share paid to stockholders for the years ended December 31:

	2016	2015	2014
Ordinary dividends	\$1.513705	\$1.363294	\$1.306992
Qualified dividends	_	0.019005	0.006212
Capital gain	_	0.007806	0.008603
Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain	_	0.011055	0.015362
Nontaxable distributions	0.266295	0.308840	0.312831
	\$1.780000	\$1.710000	\$1.650000

The following table outlines the dividends declared and paid for NNN's common stock for the years ended December 31 (in thousands, except per share data):

2016 2015 2014 Dividends \$257,007 \$228,699 \$204,157 Per share 1.780 1.710 1.650

On January 17, 2017, NNN declared a dividend of \$0.455 per share, payable February 15, 2017 to its common stockholders of record as of January 31, 2017.

⁽¹⁾ Stock issuance costs consist primarily of underwriters' fees and commissions, and legal and accounting fees.

The following presents the characterization for tax purposes of Series D, E and F Preferred Stock dividends per share and dividends declared and paid to stockholders for the year ended December 31:

	Series F ⁽³⁾	Series E ⁽²⁾			Series D ⁽¹⁾		
	2016	2016	2015	2014	2016	2015	2014
Ordinary dividends	\$0.231111	\$1.425000	\$1.385670	\$1.393700	\$1.656250	\$1.610538	\$1.619870
Qualified dividends	_	_	0.020141	0.005738	_	0.023409	0.006670
Capital gain	_		0.007937	0.009177	_	0.009225	0.010666
Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain	-	_	0.011252	0.016385	_	0.013078	0.019044
Dividend paid per share	\$0.231111	\$1.425000	\$1.425000	\$1.425000	\$1.656250	\$1.656250	\$1.656250
Dividende de claus de and mei d	¢2 100	¢ 16 207	¢16 207	¢16 207	¢ 10 047	¢ 10 047	¢ 10 047

Dividends declared and paid \$3,189 \$16,387 \$16,387 \$16,387 \$19,047 \$19

Note 11 – Income Taxes:

For income tax purposes, NNN had taxable REIT subsidiaries in which certain real estate activities were conducted. NNN treats some depreciation expense and certain other items differently for tax than for financial reporting purposes. The principal differences between NNN's effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, and the statutory rates relate to state taxes and nondeductible expenses.

At the close of business on December 31, 2015, NNN elected to revoke its election to classify the TRS as taxable REIT subsidiaries. This TRS Revocation Election resulted in an additional tax expense of approximately \$9,607,000 for 2015.

The significant components of the net deferred income tax asset consist of the following at December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Capital loss carryforward	\$830	\$880
Net operating loss carryforward	5,088	4,983
	5,918	5,863
Valuation allowance	(5,743	(5,666)
Total deferred tax assets	175	197
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Built-in gain	(175)	(197)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(175)	(197)
Net deferred tax asset	\$—	\$

In assessing the ability to realize a deferred tax asset, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. The net operating loss carryforwards were generated

⁽¹⁾ In January 2017, NNN called for redemption of all outstanding shares of its Series D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares, each representing a 1/100th interest in a Series D Preferred Stock share. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017.

⁽²⁾ The Series E Preferred Stock has no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed by NNN. The earliest redemption date for the Series E Preferred Stock is May 2018.

⁽³⁾ The Series F Preferred Stock was issued October 11, 2016 and has no maturity date and will remain outstanding unless redeemed by NNN. The earliest redemption date for the Series F preferred stock is October 2021.

by NNN's taxable REIT subsidiaries. The net

operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2028. Due to the revocation of the TRS election management believes it is unlikely that NNN will realize all of the benefits of these deductible differences that existed as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The increase in the valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$77,000, \$5,047,000 and \$619,000, respectively.

The income tax benefit (expense) consists of the following components for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015	2014	
Net earnings before income taxes	\$239,500	\$208,511	\$190,84	4
Provision for income tax benefit (expense):				
Current:				
Federal	_	(58) (190)
State and local	_	(129) 5	
Deferred:				
Federal	_	(8,935) (166)
State and local	_	(1,553) 108	
Total expense for income taxes	_	(10,675) (243)
	A 220 FOO	A 105 000	\$100.60	4

Net earnings attributable to NNN's stockholders \$239,500 \$197,836 \$190,601

The total income tax benefit (expense) differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate to net earnings before taxes as follows for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2016	2015	2014	
Federal expense at statutory tax rate	\$ —	\$(70,894)	\$(64,887)
Nontaxable income of NNN	_	69,651	63,353	
State taxes, net of federal benefit	_	(141)	(196)
Amortization of built-in gain tax	_	_	372	
Expiration of built-in gain tax	_	316	1,792	
Other	55	_	(58)
Built-in gain tax liability (1)	22	(197)		
TRS Revocation Election (1)	_	(4,363)		
Valuation allowance increase (1)	(77)	(5,047)	(619)
Total tax expense	\$ —	\$(10,675)	\$(243)

⁽¹⁾ The change for the year ended December 31, 2015, is due to TRS Revocation Election.

FASB prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FASB also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. NNN, in accordance with FASB guidance included in Income Taxes, has analyzed its various federal and state filing positions. NNN believes that its income tax filing positions and deductions are well documented and supported. Additionally, NNN believes that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded pursuant to the FASB guidance. In addition, NNN did not record a cumulative effect adjustment related to the adoption of the FASB guidance.

NNN has had no unrecognized tax benefits during any of the years presented. Further, no interest or penalties have been included since no reserves were recorded and no significant increases or decreases are expected to occur within the next 12 months. When applicable, such interest and penalties will be recorded in non-operating expenses. The periods that remain open under federal statute are 2013 through 2016. NNN also files in many states with varying open years under statute.

Note 12 – Derivatives:

In accordance with the guidance on derivatives and hedging, NNN records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

NNN's objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. To accomplish this objective, NNN primarily uses treasury locks, forward swaps and interest rate swaps as part of its cash flow hedging strategy. Treasury locks and forward starting swaps are used to hedge forecasted debt issuances. Treasury locks designated as cash flow hedges lock in the yield/price of a treasury security. Forward swaps also lock the associated swap spread. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges are used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with floating rate debt and involve the receipt or payment of variable rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings.

NNN discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item, the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, the derivative is re-designated as a hedging instrument or management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

When hedge accounting is discontinued, NNN recognizes any changes in its fair value in earnings and continues to carry the derivative on the balance sheet or may choose to settle the derivative at that time with a cash payment or receipt.

The following table outlines NNN's derivatives which were hedging the risk of changes in forecasted interest payments on forecasted issuance of long-term debt (dollars in thousands):

		Liability	Fair Value
	Aggregate	e(Asset) Fair	Deferred In
Description	Notional	Value	Other
	Amount	When	Comprehensive
		Terminated	Income ⁽¹⁾
Two treasury locks	\$100,000	\$ 3,260	\$ 3,228
Two treasury locks	150,000	5,300	5,218
Four forward starting swaps	240,000	3,156	3,141
Three forward starting swaps	225,000	6,312	6,312
Four forward starting swaps	300,000	13,369	13,369
Two forward starting swaps	180,000	(13,352)	(13,345)
	Two treasury locks Two treasury locks Four forward starting swaps Three forward starting swaps Four forward starting swaps	Description Notional Amount Two treasury locks Two treasury locks Four forward starting swaps Three forward starting swaps Four forward starting swaps Four forward starting swaps 300,000	Aggregate (Asset) Fair Description Notional Value Amount When Terminated Two treasury locks Two treasury locks 150,000 \$ 3,260 150,000 \$ 5,300 Four forward starting swaps 240,000 3,156 Three forward starting swaps 225,000 6,312 Four forward starting swaps 300,000 13,369

⁽¹⁾ The amount reported in accumulated other comprehensive income will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the related notes payable.

As of December 31, 2016, \$8,899,000 remains in other comprehensive income related to the effective portion of NNN's previous interest rate hedges. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN reclassified \$2,802,000, \$1,902,000 and \$1,129,000 out of other comprehensive income as an increase to interest expense. Over the next 12 months, NNN estimates that an additional \$1,746,000 will be reclassified as an increase in interest expense. Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on NNN's long-term debt.

NNN does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes or currently have any derivatives that are not designated as hedges. NNN had no derivative financial instruments outstanding at December 31, 2016.

Note 13 – Performance Incentive Plan:

In June 2007, NNN filed a registration statement on Form S-8 with the Commission which permits the issuance of up to 5,900,000 shares of common stock pursuant to NNN's 2007 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan"). The 2007 Plan replaced NNN's previous Performance Incentive Plan. The 2007 Plan allows NNN to award or grant to key employees, directors and persons performing consulting or advisory services for NNN or its affiliates, stock options, stock awards, stock appreciation rights, Phantom Stock Awards, Performance Awards and Leveraged Stock Purchase Awards, each as defined in the 2007 Plan.

There were no stock options outstanding or exercisable at December 31, 2016.

Pursuant to the 2007 Plan, NNN has granted and issued shares of restricted stock to certain officers and key associates of NNN. The following summarizes the restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2016:

	Number	Weighted
	of	Average
	Shares	Share Price
Non-vested restricted shares, January 1	896,667	\$ 35.13
Restricted shares granted	269,448	44.70
Restricted shares vested	(247,106)	33.33
Restricted shares forfeited	(38,138)	33.93
Restricted shares repurchased	(9,153)	28.94
Non-vested restricted shares, December 31	871,718	\$ 38.88

Compensation expense for the restricted stock which is not contingent upon NNN's performance goals is determined based upon the fair value at the date of grant and is recognized as the greater of the amount amortized over a straight lined basis or the amount vested over the vesting periods. Vesting periods for officers and key associates of NNN range from three to five years and generally vest annually. NNN recognizes compensation expense on a straight-line basis for awards with only service conditions.

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, NNN granted 142,199 and 145,916, respectively, performance based shares subject to its total stockholder return growth after a three years period relative to its peers. The shares were granted to certain executive officers and had weighted average grant price of \$44.70 and \$41.00, respectively, per share. Once the performance criteria are met and the actual number of shares earned is determined, the shares vest immediately. For the 2016 and 2015 grants, the conditions are based on market conditions, and the fair value was determined at the grant date (for a fair value share price of \$34.60 and \$22.72, respectively). Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period for both grants.

The following summarizes other grants made during the year ended December 31, 2016, pursuant to the 2007 Plan.

		Weighted
	Shares	Average
		Share Price
Other share grants under the 2007 Plan:		
Directors' fees	16,125	\$ 45.27
Deferred directors' fees	17,565	45.60
	33,690	\$ 45.44
Shares available under the 2007 Plan for grant, end of period	3,088,970	

The total compensation expense for share-based payments for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$10,758,000, \$9,671,000 and \$9,224,000, respectively. At December 31, 2016, NNN had \$13,398,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements under the 2007 Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years. In addition, NNN recognized no performance based long-term incentive cash compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Note 14 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

NNN believes the carrying value of its Credit Facility approximates fair value based upon its nature, terms and variable interest rate. NNN believes that the carrying value of its mortgages and notes receivable and mortgages payable at December 31, 2016 and 2015, approximate fair value based upon current market prices of comparable instruments (Level 3). At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value and fair value of NNN's notes payable net of unamortized discount and excluding debt costs, was \$2,367,102,000 and \$2,007,242,000, respectively, based upon quoted market prices, which is a Level 1 valuation since NNN's debt is publicly traded.

Note 15 – Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited):

The following table outlines NNN's quarterly financial data (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

2016	First	Second	Third	Fourth
2010	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
Revenues as originally reported	\$126,999	\$130,998	\$134,558	\$141,261
Net earnings attributable to NNN's stockholders	\$70,683	\$51,942	\$50,784	\$66,092
Net earnings per share ⁽¹⁾ :				
Basic	\$0.44	\$0.30	\$0.29	\$0.37
Diluted	0.44	0.30	0.28	0.37
2015				
Revenues as originally reported	\$116,187	\$117,208	\$123,143	\$126,377
Net earnings attributable to NNN's stockholders	\$53,978	\$46,188	\$55,198	\$42,471
Net earnings per share ⁽¹⁾ :				
Basic	\$0.34	\$0.28	\$0.34	\$0.24
Diluted	0.34	0.28	0.34	0.24

⁽¹⁾ Calculated independently for each period and consequently, the sum of the quarters may differ from the annual amount.

Note 16 – Segment Information:

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, NNN's operations are reported within one business segment in the consolidated financial statements and all properties are part of the Properties or Property Portfolio.

Note 17 – Fair Value Measurements:

As of December 31, 2016, NNN holds the Residuals from two loan securitizations. Each of the Residuals is recorded at estimated fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reported as other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity and other than temporary losses as a result of a change in the timing or amount of estimated cash flows are recorded as an other than temporary valuation impairment.

NNN values its Residuals using a discounted cash flow analysis based upon estimated prepayment speeds, expected loan losses and yield curves. These valuation inputs are generally considered unobservable; therefore, the Residuals are considered Level 3 financial assets. The table below presents a rollforward of the Residuals during the year ended December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

Balance at beginning of year	\$11,115	5
Total gains (losses) – realized/unrealized:		
Included in earnings	(6,983)
Included in other comprehensive income	(4,454)
Interest income on Residuals	1,677	
Cash received from Residuals	(1,319)
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net		
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		
Balance at end of year	\$36	
Changes in gains (losses) included in earnings attributable to a change		
in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at the end of	\$4,272	
period		

Note 18 – Major Tenants:

As of December 31, 2016, NNN had no tenants that accounted for ten percent or more of its rental and earned income.

Note 19 – Commitments and Contingencies:

A summary of NNN's commitments are included in Note 2 – Real Estate.

In the ordinary course of its business, NNN is a party to various other legal actions which management believes are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of the business of NNN. Management does not believe that any of these proceedings are material to NNN's consolidated financial statements.

Note 20 – Subsequent Events:

NNN reviewed all subsequent events and transactions that have occurred after December 31, 2016, the date of the consolidated balance sheet.

In January 2017, NNN announced the redemption of all outstanding depositary shares representing interests in its 6.625% Series D Preferred Stock. The depositary shares will be redeemed on February 23, 2017 at \$25.00 per depositary share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date, for an aggregate redemption price of \$25.3128472 per depositary share. NNN will record a preferred stock redemption charge of \$9,855,000, which is the excess carrying amount of preferred stock to be redeemed over the cash to be paid to redeem the Series D Preferred Stock. After the redemption date, dividends on the depositary shares representing interests in the Series D Preferred Stock will cease to accrue.

In February 2017, the Company entered into one forward starting swap with a total notional amount of \$125,000,000 to hedge the risk of changes in the interest-related cash outflows associated with the potential issuance of long-term debt. The outstanding forward starting swap was designated as a cash flow hedge.

There were no other reportable subsequent events or transactions.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Process for Assessment and Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Control over Financing Reporting.

NNN carried out an assessment as of December 31, 2016, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures and its internal control over financial reporting. This assessment was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer. Rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") require NNN to present the conclusions of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer about the effectiveness of NNN's disclosure controls and procedures and the conclusions of NNN's management about the effectiveness of NNN's internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

CEO and CFO Certifications. Included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forms of "Certification" of NNN's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The forms of Certification are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. This section of the Annual Report on Form 10-K that stockholders are currently reading is the information concerning the assessment referred to in the Section 302 certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in NNN's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act, such as this Annual Report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed with the objective of providing reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to NNN's management, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, and affected by NNN's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of NNN's assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial
 statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that NNN's receipts and expenditures are being made in accordance with authorizations of management or the Board of Directors; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of NNN's assets that could have a material adverse effect on NNN's financial statements.

Scope of the Assessments. The assessment by NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer of NNN's disclosure controls and procedures and the assessment by NNN's management, including NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, of NNN's internal control over financial reporting included a review of procedures and discussions with NNN's management and others at NNN. In the course of the assessments, NNN sought to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and to confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, were being undertaken.

NNN's internal control over financial reporting is also assessed on an ongoing basis by personnel in NNN's Accounting department and by NNN's internal auditors in connection with their internal audit activities. The overall goals of these various assessment activities are to monitor NNN's disclosure controls and procedures and NNN's internal control over financial reporting and to make modifications as necessary. NNN's intent in this regard is that the disclosure controls

and procedures and the internal control over financial reporting will be maintained and updated (including with improvements and corrections) as conditions warrant. Management also sought to deal with other control matters in the assessment, and in each case if a problem was identified, management considered what revision, improvement and/or correction was necessary to be made in accordance

with NNN's on-going procedures. The assessments of NNN's disclosure controls and procedures and NNN's internal control over financial reporting is done on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions concerning effectiveness of those controls can be reported in NNN's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Report on Form 10-K. Assessment of Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Based upon the assessments, NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, NNN's disclosure controls and procedures were effective. Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

Management, including NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for NNN. Management used the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – 2013 Integrated Framework to assess the effectiveness of NNN's internal control over financial reporting. Based upon the assessments, NNN's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, NNN's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Ernst & Young LLP, NNN's independent registered public accounting firm, audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in connection therewith has issued an attestation report on NNN's effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, which appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

During the three months ended December 31, 2016, there were no changes in NNN's internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, NNN's internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls.

Management, including NNN's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, do not expect that NNN's disclosure controls and procedures or NNN's internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within NNN have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management's override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Item 9B. Other Information None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Reference is made to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14(a); information responsive to this Item is included in the Registrant's proxy statement including the information, without limitation, contained in the sections thereof captioned "Proposal I: Election of Directors – Nominees," "Proposal I: Election of Directors – Executive Officers," "Proposal I: Election of Directors – Code of Business Conduct and Insider Trading Policy" and "Security Ownership", and such information in such sections is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Reference is made to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14(a); information responsive to this Item is included in the Registrant's proxy statement including the information, without limitation, contained in the sections thereof captioned "Proposal I: Election of Directors – Director Compensation," "Executive Compensation" and "Compensation Committee Report", and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Reference is made to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14(a); information responsive to this Item is included in the Registrant's proxy statement including the information, without limitation, contained in the section thereof captioned "Executive Compensation – Equity Compensation Plan Information," and "Security Ownership", and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Reference is made to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14(a); information responsive to this Item is included in the Registrant's proxy statement including the information, without limitation, contained in the section thereof captioned "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Reference is made to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14(a); information responsive to this Item is included in the Registrant's proxy statement including the information, without limitation, contained in the section thereof captioned "Audit Committee Report" and "Proposal V: Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm", and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following

- documents are
- (a) filed as part of this report
 - (1) Financial Statements

Reports of

Independent

Registered

Public

38

Accounting

<u>Firm</u>

Consolidated

Balance Sheets

<u>as of</u> <u>40</u>

December 31,

2016 and 2015

Consolidated

Statements of

Comprehensive

Income for the 41

vears ended

December 31,

2016, 2015 and

2014

Consolidated

Statements of

Stockholders'

Equity for the

<u>43</u>

years ended

December 31,

2016, 2015 and

2014

Consolidated

Statements of

Cash Flows for

the years ended 46

December 31,

2016, 2015 and

<u>2014</u>

Notes to

Consolidated

<u>48</u>

<u>Financial</u> Statements

Financial

(2) Statement

2016

Schedules

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization and Notes as of December 31,

Schedule IV – Mortgage Loans on Real Estate and Notes as of December 31, 2016

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information is shown in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as a part of this report.

- 3. Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws
 - First Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the 3.1 Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 3, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 6.625% Series D Cumulative 3.2 Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated February 21, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 23, 2012, incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 5.70% Series E Cumulative 3.3 Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated May 29, 2013 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 30, 2013, incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 5.20% Series F Cumulative 3.4Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated October 7, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-A dated October 11, 2016, incorporated herein by reference).

Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated May 1, 2006, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to 3.5 the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

Second Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated December 13, 2007 3.6(filed as Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

Third Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated February 13, 2014 3.7 (filed as Exhibit 3.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4. Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures
 - Specimen Certificate of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to 4.1 the Registrant's Registration Statement No. 1-11290 on Form 8-B filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Indenture, dated as of March 25, 1998, between the Registrant and First Union National Bank, as trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-132095) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Specimen certificate representing the 6.625% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated February 22, 2012 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and 4.4 the holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of Supplemental Indenture No. 8 between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National
 Association relating to 6.875% Notes due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form
 8-K dated and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of 6.875% Notes due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated 4.6 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of Tenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National
 Association relating to 5.500% Notes due 2021 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form
 8-K dated July 6, 2011 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of 5.500% Notes due 2021 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated 4.8 July 6, 2011 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of Eleventh Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.80% Notes due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 14, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
 - Form of 3.800% Notes due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated 4.1 August 14, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
 - 4.11 Form of Twelfth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.300% Notes due 2023 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 9, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013 and

incorporated herein by reference).

- Form of 3.300% Notes due 2023 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 4.129, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Specimen certificate representing the 5.700% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value 4.13\$.01 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and 4.14the holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.900% Notes due 2024 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

- Form of 3.900% Notes due 2024 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank

 National Association relating to 4.000% Notes due 2025 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report
 on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 26, 2015, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.18 Form of 4.000% Notes due 2025 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 26, 2015, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Specimen certificate representing the 5.20% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 11, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and the holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 11, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.60% Notes due 2026 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 3.60% Notes due 2026 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed 4.22 with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

10. Material Contracts

- 2007 Performance Incentive Plan (filed as Annex A to the Registrant's 2007 Annual Proxy Statement on 10.1 Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 3, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the 10.2 Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Craig Macnab (filed as 10.3 Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Julian E. Whitehurst 10.4(filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Kevin B. Habicht (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Paul E. Bayer (filed as 10.6Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Christopher P. Tessitore 10.7 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Indemnification Agreement (as entered into between the Registrant and each of its directors and 10.8 executive officers) (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).

Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Craig 10.9 Macnab (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Julian E. 10.10 Whitehurst (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Kevin B. 10.11 Habicht (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Paul E. 10.12 Bayer (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and 10.13 Christopher P. Tessitore (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 25, 2011, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Performance between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as 10.15 Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Service between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as Exhibit 10.1610.16 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Special Grant between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as 10.17 Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2012, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 1, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of January 2, 2014, between the Registrant and Stephen A. Horn, Jr. (filed 10.19 as Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2014, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 28, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Performance between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as 10.21 exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Restricted Award Agreement - Service - Non-Executives between NNN and the Participant of NNN 10.22(filed as exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Restricted Award Agreement - Service between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as exhibit 10.23 10.23 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

Retirement and Transition Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2016, between the registrant and Craig 10.24 Macnab (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 30, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2016, between the registrant 10.25 and Julian Whitehurst (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 30, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

- 12. Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges (filed herewith).
- 21. Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith).
- 23. Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - 23.1 Ernst & Young LLP dated February 13, 2017 (filed herewith).
- 24. Power of Attorney (included on signature page).
- 31. Section 302 Certifications
 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
 - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32. Section 906 Certifications
 - Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
 - Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 99. Additional Exhibits
- 29.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual (filed herewith).
- 101. Interactive Data File

The following materials from National Retail Properties, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2016, are formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language: (i) consolidated

101.1 balance sheets, (ii) consolidated statements of comprehensive income, (iii) consolidated statements of stockholders' equity (iv) consolidated statements of cash flows, and (v) notes to consolidated financial statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 13th day of February, 2017.

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC.

By: /s/ Craig Macnab

Craig Macnab

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints each of Craig Macnab, Kevin B. Habicht and Michelle L. Miller as his or her attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him in any and all capacities, to sign any or all amendments to this report and to file same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, granting unto such attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary in connection with such matters and hereby ratifying and confirming all that such attorney-in-fact and agent or his substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Craig Macnab Craig Macnab	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 13, 2017
/s/ Robert C. Legler Robert C. Legler	Lead Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Pamela K. Beall Pamela K. Beall	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Steven D. Cosler Steven D. Cosler	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Don DeFosset Don DeFosset	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ David M. Fick David M. Fick	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Edward J. Fritsch Edward J. Fritsch	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Sam L. Susser Sam L. Susser	Director	February 13, 2017
/s/ Kevin B. Habicht Kevin B. Habicht	Director, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer), Executive Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Treasurer	February 13, 2017
/s/ Michelle L. Miller Michelle L. Miller	Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) and Executive Vice President	February 13, 2017

Exhibit Index

3. Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

First Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the 3.1 Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 3, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 6.625% Series D Cumulative 3.2 Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated February 21, 2012 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 23, 2012, incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 5.70% Series E Cumulative 3.3 Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated May 29, 2013 (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 30, 2013, incorporated herein by reference).

Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of 5.20% Series F Cumulative 3.4Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, dated October 7, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-A dated October 11, 2016, incorporated herein by reference).

Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated May 1, 2006, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to 3.5 the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

Second Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated December 13, 2007 3.6(filed as Exhibit 3.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

Third Amendment to the Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated February 13, 2014 (filed 3.7 as Exhibit 3.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).

4. Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures

Specimen Certificate of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 3.4 to the 4.1 Registrant's Registration Statement No. 1-11290 on Form 8-B filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and incorporated herein by reference).

Indenture, dated as of March 25, 1998, between the Registrant and First Union National Bank, as trustee (filed as 4.2 Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-132095) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2006, and incorporated herein by reference).

Specimen certificate representing the 6.625% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 4.3 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated February 22, 2012 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and the 4.4 holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.20 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Supplemental Indenture No. 8 between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 6.875% Notes due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of 6.875% Notes due 2017 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and 4.6 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 4, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Tenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 5.500% Notes due 2021 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 6, 2011 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

- Form of 5.500% Notes due 2021 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 4.186, 2011 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Eleventh Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.80% Notes due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 14, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 3.800% Notes due 2022 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 4.1014, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Twelfth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.300% Notes due 2023 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 9, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 3.300% Notes due 2023 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 9, 4.122013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 15, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Specimen certificate representing the 5.700% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 4.13 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and the 4.14 holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2013 and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National 4.15 Association relating to 3.900% Notes due 2024 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of 3.900% Notes due 2024 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 4.000% Notes due 2025 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 26, 2015, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.18 Form of 4.000% Notes due 2025 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 26, 2015, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Specimen certificate representing the 5.20% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 4.19 per share, of the Registrant (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 11, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference).

Deposit Agreement, among the Registrant, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, as Depositary, and the holders of depositary receipts (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 11, 2016 and incorporated herein by reference).

- Form of Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture between National Retail Properties, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to 3.60% Notes due 2026 (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.22 Form of 3.60% Notes due 2026 (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).

10. Material Contracts

- 2007 Performance Incentive Plan (filed as Annex A to the Registrant's 2007 Annual Proxy Statement on 10.1 Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 3, 2007, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Stock Agreement between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the 10.2 Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Craig Macnab (filed as 10.3 Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Julian E. Whitehurst 10.4 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Kevin B. Habicht (filed 10.5 as Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Paul E. Bayer (filed as 10.6 Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of December 1, 2008, between the Registrant and Christopher P. Tessitore 10.7 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 3, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Indemnification Agreement (as entered into between the Registrant and each of its directors and 10.8 executive officers) (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Craig 10.9 Macnab (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Julian E. 10.10 Whitehurst (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Kevin B. 10.11 Habicht (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and Paul E. 10.12 Bayer (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

Amendment to Employment Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, between the Registrant and 10.13 Christopher P. Tessitore (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 24, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 25, 2011, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Restricted Award Agreement - Performance between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as 10.15 Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

Form of Restricted Award Agreement - Service between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as Exhibit 10.1610.16 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).

- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Service between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as Exhibit 10.17 10.17 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2012, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 1, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Employment Agreement dated as of January 2, 2014, between the Registrant and Stephen A. Horn, Jr. (filed 10.19 as Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of October 27, 2014, by and among the Registrant, certain lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 28, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Performance between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as 10.21 exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Service Non-Executives between NNN and the Participant of NNN 10.22 (filed as exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Form of Restricted Award Agreement Service between NNN and the Participant of NNN (filed as exhibit 10.23 10.23 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Retirement and Transition Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2016, between the registrant and Craig 10.24 Macnab (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 30, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of September 29, 2016, between the registrant and 10.25 Julian Whitehurst (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 30, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 12. Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges (filed herewith).
- 21. Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith).
- 23. Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - 23.1 Ernst & Young LLP dated February 13, 2017 (filed herewith).
- 24. Power of Attorney (included on signature page).
- 31. Section 302 Certifications

- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

32. Section 906 Certifications

- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

99. Additional Exhibits

Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual (filed herewith).

101. Interactive Data File

The following materials from National Retail Properties, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2016, are formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language: (i) consolidated 101.1 balance sheets, (ii) consolidated statements of comprehensive income, (iii) consolidated statements of stockholders' equity (iv) consolidated statements of cash flows, and (v) notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION December 31, 2016 (Dollars in thousands)

Costs

	Enc	Initial (Compa culnalma	ny Buildi	Subsequ to Acquisit ng, vementari Improve nold Cos	Which Carried ion	at Clos	se of Pering, vements Total nold	Accun Depreand	(b) nulated c Datio nof Construction tization	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Hel	ld for	Investm	nent the								
Company has I		ted in Ur	nder								
Operating Leas	es:										
7-Eleven: Tampa, FL	\$ _	_\$1.081	\$ 917	\$ — \$ —	\$1.070	\$ 917	\$1 987	\$408	1999	12/98 (9	g)40
Austin, TX		1,101		ψ—ψ— — —		2,987		437	2006	11/11	35
Austin, TX		900				3,571	-	523	2004	11/11	35
Austin, TX		259	1,361		259	1,361	1,620	279	1985	11/11	25
Beaumont, TX	_	115	1,543		115	1,543	1,658	264	1996	11/11	30
Beaumont, TX	_	124	2,968		124	2,968	3,092	507	1996	11/11	30
Beaumont, TX	_	239	2,031		239	2,031	2,270	297	2002	11/11	35
Bloomingtor TX	n,	38	3,093		38	3,093	3,131	634	1985	11/11	25
Bryan, TX		479	3,561		479	3,561	4,040	608	2000	11/11	30
Canyon Lake, TX	_	144	1,830		144	1,830	1,974	375	1977	11/11	25
Cedar Park, TX	_	833	1,705		833	1,705	2,538	250	2002	11/11	35
College Station, TX	_	393	3,342		393	3,342	3,735	571	2000	11/11	30
Corpus Christi, TX	_	412	2,356		412	2,356	2,768	402	1999	11/11	30
Corpus Christi, TX	_	450	1,370		450	1,370	1,820	234	1996	11/11	30
Corpus Christi, TX		383	3,093		383	3,093	3,476	453	2006	11/11	35
Corpus Christi, TX		661	2,624		661	2,624	3,285	448	1999	11/11	30
Edinburg, T	X—	431	2,193		431	2,193	2,624	375	1999	11/11	30

		_	_							
Edna, TX -		67	1,897 — —	67	1,897	1,964	389	1976	11/11	25
Harlingen,		230	2,356 — —	230	2.356	2,586	402	2000	11/11	30
TX		230	2,330	230	2,330	2,300	102	2000	11/11	50
Kingsland, TX		153	2,691 — —	153	2,691	2,844	552	1972	11/11	25
Kingsville,										
TX		163	1,485 — —	163	1,485	1,648	305	1990	11/11	25
Laredo, TX -		938	5,829 — —	938	5.829	6,767	996	1995	11/11	30
Laredo, TX -			1,935 — —		-	2,376	283	2002	11/11	35
Laredo, TX -			2,509 — —		*	2,844	429	1999	11/11	30
Laredo, TX -			1,476 — —		-	1,888	252	2001	11/11	30
·		421	3,016 — —		-	3,437	515	1998	11/11	30
Mercedes,		721	3,010	721	3,010	3,737	313	1770	11/11	50
TX	_	556	1,523 — —	556	1,523	2,079	260	1998	11/11	30
Palacios, TX -		29	1,667 — —	29	1 667	1,696	342	1984	11/11	25
Pflugerville,			1,007 — —	2)	1,007	1,070	372	1704	11/11	23
TX		996	2,336 — —	996	2,336	3,332	342	2002	11/11	35
Portland, TX -		488	4,710 — —	488	4,710	5,198	805	1999	11/11	30
Rio Bravo,		355	1,351 — —	355	1 251	1,706	198	2002	11/11	35
TX		333	1,551 — —	333	1,331	1,700	190	2002	11/11	33
Rockport, TX-		660	4,269 — —	660	4,269	4,929	625	2008	11/11	35
Round Rock,		661	1,140 — —	661	1 140	1,801	195	2000	11/11	30
TX			1,110	001	1,110	1,001	170	2000	11,11	20
San Antonio,		441	1,313 — —	441	1.313	1,754	224	1999	11/11	30
TX			•		•	•				
San Juan, TX-			1,179 — —		-	1,744	201	1999	11/11	30
Victoria, TX -		259	2,346 — —	259	-	2,605	401	1984	11/11	30
Victoria, TX -		431	2,298 — —	431	2,298	2,729	393	1986	11/11	30
West Orange,		220	2,088 — —	220	2 088	2,308	357	1993	11/11	30
TX		220	2,000	220	2,000	2,300	331	1773	11/11	50
Winnie, TX -			4,566 — —		4,566	4,681	669	2002	11/11	35
Austin, TX -		612	2,775 — —	612	2,775	3,387	466	1999	12/11	30
Austin, TX -		488	2,163 — —			2,651	363	2000	12/11	30
Austin, TX -	_	938	1,436 — —	938	1,436	2,374	241	1998	12/11	30
Austin, TX -		756	2,870 — —	756	2,870	3,626	482	1999	12/11	30
Austin, TX -		775	4,677 — —	775		5,452	786	1996	12/11	30
Austin, TX -			1,790 — —		-	2,670	301	1998	12/11	30
Austin, TX -			3,004 — —		,	3,865	505	2001	12/11	30
Austin, TX -			4,524 — —			5,739	652	2004	12/11	35
,			3,061 — —	*	-	3,673	514	1999	12/11	30
Austin, TX -			1,732 — —		1,732		291	1999	12/11	30
			1,732 — —							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0/9	1,905 — —	0/9	1,905	2,384	320	1999	12/11	30
Cedar Park,		536	1,914 — —	536	1,914	2,450	322	1999	12/11	30
TX										
San Antonio,		411	2,555 — —	411	2,555	2,966	429	1999	12/11	30
1 X										
San Antonio,		766	1,474 — —	766	1,474	2,240	248	1999	12/11	30
lΛ			•		•	•				
San Antonio,		899	2,593 — —	899	2,593	3,492	373	2002	12/11	35
TX			·							
-		909	1,359 — —	909	1,359	2,268	228	1999	12/11	30

San Antonio, TX										
San Antonio,	985	3,253		985	3,253	4,238	547	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio,		2,727		469	2,727	3,196	458	1998	12/11	30
San Antonio,	919	2,344		919	2,344	3,263	338	2002	12/11	35
San Antonio,	031	2,851		631	2,851	3,482	479	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio,		2,670		517	2,670	3,187	449	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio,	711	2,535		947	2,535	3,482	426	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio, TX		2,048		603	2,048	2,651	344	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio,		1,991		632	1,991	2,623	335	2001	12/11	30
San Antonio, TX	679	2,937		679	2,937	3,616	494	1999	12/11	30
TX	545	3,148		545	3,148	3,693	529	1999	12/11	30
San Antonio,	412	2,010		412	2,010	2,422	338	1999	12/11	30
Universal — City, TX	699	1,675		699	1,675	2,374	281	2001	12/11	30
Belpre, OH —	408	759		408	759	1,167	75	1990	07/14	25
Charleston, — WV	689	974		689	974	1,663	80	1970	07/14	30
Charleston, — WV	549	729		549	729	1,278	60	1995	07/14	30
Clarksburg, — WV	390	613		390	613	1,003	60	1978	07/14	25
WV	218	745		218	745	963	61	1996	07/14	30
N. Belle Vernon, PA	438	1,165		438	1,165	1,603	115	1996	07/14	25
New Castle, PA	292	617		292	617	909	51	1983	07/14	30
Parkersburg,	298	782		298	782	1,080	77	1988	07/14	25
Parkersburg, — WV	422	739		422	739	1,161	61	1985	07/14	30
Weston, WV —	114	583		114	583	697	48	1995	07/14	30
Aaron's: Memphis, TN	820	_	2,598-	820	2,598	3,418	1,177	1998	12/97	(g)40
Academy: Franklin, TN —	1,807	2,108		1,589	2,108	3,697	811	1999	06/05	30

Ace Hardware and Lighting:

Bourbonnais, — 298 1,329 — — 298 1,329 1,627 549 1997 11/98 37 IL

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-1

Table of Contents

All Star Sports:

	Initial to Comp		Costs Capital: Subsequents to Acquising.	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is						
	En čuno i	Improverances Leaseho Interest	ements & Improv	Carrying emenand Costs	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements a Total old	&Depred and	nulated c Date nof Construction ization	Date Acquired	Computed (Years)
Real Estate Hel Company has In Operating Leas Advance Auto Parts:	nvested in		he							
Miami, FL	<u> </u>	_	1,035	—867	1,035	1,902	299	2005	12/04 (§	g)40
Richmond, VA	—193	1,268		—193	1,268	1,461	122	2008	02/14	30
Adventure Landing: Jacksonville Beach, FL Jacksonville, FL Raleigh, NC St. Augustine, FL Tonawanda, NY		861	_ _ _ _	-3,615 -721 -1,841 -797 -205	861	9,251 1,582 4,965 1,086 1,132	1,861 407 992 200 429	1995 1983 1989 1999	04/11 04/11 04/11 04/11 04/11	30 25 25 30 25
Affordable Care:										
Asheville, NC	<u> 467 </u>	576	_	— 467	576	1,043	47	2005	07/14	30
Conover, NC	C — 187	623	_	—187	623	810	51	2002	07/14	30
Poland, OH		650		—231	650	881	64	2001	07/14	25
Wilmington, NC	— 398	565		398	565	963	46	2002	07/14	30
Ajuua Mexican Restaurant: Aurora, CO		1,105	22	—1,168	1,127	2,295	426	2000	06/05	30
Cutler Bay, FL	— 989	1,479	205	—989	1,684	2,673	800	1995	06/96	40

Wichita, KS — 3,275 1,631 Wichita, KS — 1,551 965	167 152	—3,275 —1,551	-	5,073 2,668	413 251	1988 1987	05/07 05/07	40 40
Amazing Jake's: Plano, TX — 5,705 17,049	18	5,705	17,067	22,772	4,123	1982	07/08	35
AMC Theatre:								
Bloomington,—2,338 4,000 IN	_	-2,338	4,000	6,338	1,487	1987	09/07	25
		-1,070	6,991	8,061	1,286	2005	09/07	40
CO C		-2,905	5,002	7,907	1,162	2005	09/07	40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-2

Table of Contents

	Initia to Comp Endumb	Buildin	ements & Improv	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)								
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases:												
Evansville, IN		4,269	3,400	1,300	7,669	8,969	1,160	1999	09/07	35		
Galesburg, IL				1,205			567	2003	09/07	40		
Machesney Park, IL	3,018	8,770	_	3,018	8,770	11,788	2,037	2005	09/07	40		
Michigan City, IN	— 1,996	8,422	_	-1,996	8,422	10,418	1,956	2005	09/07	40		
Muncie, IN				1,243	5,512	6,755	1,280	2005	09/07	40		
Naperville, IL	<u></u> 6,141	11,624	_	— 6,141	11,624	17,765	2,700	2006	09/07	40		
New Lenox IL	·— 6,778	10,980	_	<u>6,778</u>	10,980	17,758	2,551	2004	09/07	40		
Chicago, IL	— 7,257	10,955	_	— 7,257	10,955	18,212	2,453	2007	01/08	40		
Johnson Creek, WI	— 1,433	3,932	_	—1,433	3,932	5,365	1,006	1997	01/08	35		
Lake Delton, WI	2,063	8,366	_	-2,063	8,366	10,429	2,141	1999	01/08	35		
Quincy, IL				1,297	2,850	4,147	729	1982	01/08	35		
Schererville IN	,— 6,619	14,225		—6,619	14,225	20,844	4,248	1996	01/08	30		
West Jordan, UT	— 3,302	245	3,117	-3,302	3,362	6,664	98	2015	05/15 (r	n)30		
American Auto Auction:												
El Paso, TX						3,991	25	1987	06/16	25		
Jenison, MI Lubbock,	,	•		•	,	4,847	29	1984	10/16	25		
TX	— 301	1,507	_	—301	1,507	1,808	8	1980	11/16	25		
American Family Care: Mobile, AL	8/12	562	348	—843	910	1,753	269	1997	12/01	40		
Alcoa, TN				-843 $-1,221$		2,951	142	2013		n)40		
•	— 541				1,517	2,058	122	2013	,	n)40		

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Cullman,									
AL									
Decatur, AL—460	1,283	_	—460	1,283	1,743	148	2010	12/12	35
Nashville, — 377	_	1,403	—377	1,403	1,780	107	2013	12/12	(m)40
Pace, FL — 738		1,459		1,459	2,197	117	2013	12/12	(m)40
Woodstock, — 563	_	1,653	— 563	1,653	2,216	119	2014	12/12	(m)40
Fairhope, — (l)	1,929		— (l)	1,929	1,929	187	2012	02/13	40
Dothan, AL — 667	_	1,400	-667	1,400	2,067	115	2013	02/13	(m)40
Auburn, AL — 663	_	1,835	-663	1,835	2,498	140	2013	03/13	(m)40
Milton, GA — 577	1,526		— 577	1,526	2,103	145	2012	03/13	40
Roswell, —814	_	1,851	<u>_816</u>	1,851	2,667	110	2014	04/13	(m)40
Marietta, — 432	_	1,846	—432	1,846	2,278	133	2014	04/13	(m)40
Mt. Juliet, — 875	1,566		<u>875</u>	1,566	2,441	135	2013	07/13	40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm. F-3

Table of Contents

			Costs									
	Initial	Cost	Capital	izedGross	Amour	nt at					Life on Which	
	to		Subseq	uentWhich							Depreciation &	
	Comp	any	to		d at Clo	ose of P	Period (a) (b)			Amortization in	
			Acquis	ition							Latest Income	
		Buildi	<u> </u>	ec :	Buildi	•		nulated	D.		Statement is	
	Endumbr	Impro ances	vements Improv	Carrying emenand Costs	Impro	vement Total	sl øe pre	claaten of	Date		Computed	
				Costs				Construction ization	Acquired		(Years)	
		Interes	sts		Interes	is	Amort	ızatıon				
Real Estate Held t	For Investi	ment th	۵									
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under												
Operating Leases:		11401										
Chattanaga			1.606	460	1.606	2 005	115	2014	07.41.0		40	
TN	— 469		1,626	—469	1,626	2,095	117	2014	07/13	(m)	140	
Columbus, GA	— 550		1,520	-550	1,520	2,070	109	2014	07/13	(m)	40	
Birmingham,	<u>445</u>		1 640	—445	1 640	2,085	121	2005	08/13	(o)	40	
AL			1,040	773	1,040	2,003	121	2003	00/13	(0)	40	
Hendersonville	·— 660	1,640	_	—660	1.640	2,300	128	2013	11/13		40	
11N		,			•	•						
*	— 606		-	-606	-	2,279		2014	12/13	(m)		
Spring Hill, TN Athens, AL	— 389 — 497		,	—589 —497	-	2,307 2,331		2014 2014	02/14 03/14	(m) (m)		
Panama City					1,054	2,331	7 4			(111)	40	
Beach, FL	— 995		1,745	-995	1,745	2,740	93	2014	04/14	(m)	40	
Gadsden, AL	<u> </u>		1,565	— 527	1,565	2,092	80	2014	05/14		40	
Knoxville, TN								2015	08/14	(m)	40	
Fort	— 736		1 932	 736	1,832	2 568	74	2015	08/14	(m)	40	
Oglethorpe, GA		_			1,032	2,308	/4	2013	06/14	(111)	140	
Enterprise, AL	<u> </u>		1,703	— 570	1,703	2,273	51	2015	01/15	(m)	40	
American Freight		1 040		000	1 040	2 027	1 002	1006	05/06		40	
Glen Allen, VA	— 889	1,948		—889	1,948	2,837	1,003	1996	05/96		40	
American Retail												
Service:												
Lincoln City,												
OR	— 1,099	1,560		1,099	1,560	2,659	252	1973	12/12		25	
Salem, OR	— 433	1,627	735	433	2,362	2,795	277	1999	12/12	(o)	40	
Yuma, AZ	1,118	1,878		-1,118	1,878	2,996	304	1987	12/12		25	
Amoco:												
,	— 969	_	_	—969	(i)	969	(i)	(i)	05/03		(i)	
Sunrise, FL	— 949	_		— 949	(i)	949	(i)	(i)	06/03		(i)	
Deerfield	 770	274	26		300	1,070	79	1980	12/05		40	
Beach, FL												

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm. F-4

Table of Contents

				Costs								
		Initial	Cost	Capita	lize Gross	I	ife on Which					
		to		Subse	quen W hich	ļ					Depreciation &	
		Comp	any	to		d at Clos	se of Pe	riod (a			Amortization in	
				Acqui	sition							atest Income
			Buildin	_	~ .	Buildin	C .		mulated	_		tatement is
	En	c uluanbd a	Improv nces	ements Impro	& arrying vements of Costs	Improv	ements Total	&Depre	e Dateonof	Date		Computed
					Costs	Leasen	ola	ana	Construction	Acquired	. (Years)
			Interest	ts		Interest	S	Amo	rtization			
Real Estate Held	1 for	Invect	ment the	د								
Company has In												
Operating Lease		ica iii c	ridei									
Amscot:												
Tampa, FL	_	1,160	352		— 1,160	352	1,512	99	1981	10/05	4	0
Orlando, FL				891	— 764	891	1,655	234	2006	12/05	4	0
Orlando, FL		664	1,011		— 664	983	1,647	254	2006	12/05	(g)4	0
Orlando, FL			_	900	— 358	900	1,258	238	2006		(g)4	
Orlando, FL	_	546		872	— 546	872	1,418	234	2006		(g)4	
Clearwater,		456	332		— 456	332	788	85	1967	09/06	1	.0
FL	_	430	332	_	- 430	332	700	63	1907	09/00	4	.0
Applebee's:												
Ballwin, MO	_	1,496	1,404		— 1,496	1,404	2,900	528	1995	12/01	4	0
Cincinnati,		312	898		— 312	898	1,210	191	2002	08/10	3	0
OH		012	0,0		012	0,0	1,210		2002	00/10		
Crestview	_	1,069	1,367		— 1,069	1,367	2,436	349	1993	08/10	2	5
Hills, KY		-					•		2002		2	0
Danville, KY			1,645		— 641	1,645	2,286		2003	08/10		0
Florence, KY			,		— 1,075	1,488	2,563		1988	08/10		5
Frankfort, KY			1,610	_	— 862	1,610	2,472	342	1993	08/10	3	0
Georgetown, KY		809	1,437		— 809	1,437	2,246	305	2001	08/10	3	0
Hilliard, OH	_	808	1,846		— 808	1,846	2,654	392	1998	08/10	3	0
		545	941		— 545	941	1,486		1997	08/10		0
Maysville,												
KY		513	1,387		— 513	1,387	1,900	253	2005	08/10	3	5
Nicholasville,	,	454	1 077		454	1 077	1.521	220	2000	00/10	2	0
KY		454	1,077		— 454	1,077	1,531	229	2000	08/10	3	0
Troy, OH		645	862	_	— 645	862	1,507	220	1996	08/10	2	5
Grove City,		511	1,415		<u> </u>	1,415	1,926	203	1990	10/10	2	0
OH	_	311	1,413	_	— 311	1,413	1,920	293	1990	10/10	3	U
Kettering, OF	I—	359	1,043		— 359	1,043	1,402	185	2005	10/10	3	5
Mesa, AZ	_	748	1,734		— 748	1,734	2,482	359	1998	10/10	3	0
Mt. Sterling,	_	510	1,392		— 510	1,392	1,902	247	2000	10/10	3	5
KY												
Phoenix, AZ			1,456		— 781	1,456	2,237		1995	10/10		0
Phoenix, AZ			1,099		— 458	1,099	1,557		2004	10/10		5
Angola, IN		478	1,533	—	— 478	1,533	2,011	108	2002	07/14	3	5

Arby's:									
Colorado Springs, CO — 200	5 534		— 206	534	740	201	1998	12/01	40
Thomson, GA— 268	3 504		— 268	504	772	189	1997	12/01	40
Washington Courthouse, OH — 157	546	_	— 157	546	703	205	1998	12/01	40
Whitmore Lake, MI — 171			— 171	469	640	176	1993	12/01	40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm. F-5

Table of Contents

At Home:

	1		any Buildir	Costs Capitali Subsequence to Acquising, rements of	uen tior	tWhich Carrie	d at Clo Buildir	se of Pe	Accum Repres	nulated Date of Construction	Date Acquired	A L S	ife on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income tatement is Computed Years)
Real Estate Held	l for	Invac	Interes				Interest	ts	Amort	ization			
Company has In Operating Lease	vest			IC									
Indianapolis, IN	— <i>'</i> .	285	686	_		285	686	971	56	1998	07/14	3	0
Indianapolis, IN	<u> </u>	456	830	_		456	830	1,286	58	2005	07/14	3	5
Madison, GA	— :	242	697		_	242	697	939	52	1985	02/15	2	5
Muncie, IN		400	876		_	400	876	1,276	52	1995	03/15	3	0
Gordonsville, TN		408	1,077	_		408	1,077	1,485	37	2009	12/15	3	0
ARCO ampm:													
Casa Grande, AZ				83			1,905			1993	05/08	3	
Gilbert, AZ				85			1,325			1996	05/08	3	
· ·			,	114		762	2,180	-		1998	05/08	3	
			1,367 2,140	92 89		1,156	1,385 2,170	2,541		1986 2000	05/08 05/08	3 4	0
Prescott, AZ				118		-	1,294	-		1997	05/08	3	
Scottsdale						Í	,	•					
AZ	—	1,529	1,373	240	_	1,529	1,451	2,980	412	1999	05/08	3	5
Sedona, AZ		1,281	1,324	107		1,281	1,345	2,626	305	2000	05/08	4	0
Tucson, AZ	_	1,105	1,336	111	_	1,105	1,358	2,463	350	1992	05/08	3	5
Tucson, AZ	—	1,083	1,599	86	_	1,083	1,620	2,703	414	1992	05/08	3	5
Tucson, AZ				125	_	1,457	1,651	3,108	429	1995	05/08	3	5
Tucson, AZ				102		-	1,932	-		1996	05/08	3	
Soldotna, AK		180	891			180	891	1,071	88	1985	07/14	2	5
Ashley Furniture:													
Altamonte Springs, FL	— :	2,906	4,877	315	_	2,906	5,192	8,098	2,481	1997	09/97	4	0
Florissant, MO	_ ;	896	1,057	3,058		899	4,113	5,012	762	1996	04/03	(g)4	0
Louisville, KY	_	1,667	4,989	_		1,667	4,989	6,656	1,471	2005	03/05	4	0

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Douglasville, GA	·— 1,588	3,916	_	— 1,588	3,916	5,504	889	1987	06/12	20
Humble, TX	— 3,559	5,046	_	— 3,559	5,046	8,605	917	2001	06/12	25
Noblesville, IN	— 1,870	4,241	_	1,870	4,241	6,111	963	1995	06/12	20
Sandston, VA	— 1,972	6,599	_	— 1,972	6,599	8,571	1,199	1996	06/12	25
Greensboro, NC	_ 2,121	6,460	_	2,121	6,460	8,581	870	1998	12/12	30
Greenville, SC	— 1,892	5,404	_	1,727	5,404	7,131	513	1996	08/14	25
Hilliard, OH	— 1,747	4,642		— 1,836	4,514	6,350	399	1994	10/14	25

Eı	Initial to Comp	oany Buildir	Subsequento Acquising,		d at Clo Buildin	se of Pe	Accum	nulated	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
		Interes		0000	Interest		Amorti		110401100	(1000)
Real Estate Held for Company has Investigating Leases:			he							
San Antonio,	- 3,818	5,922	_	— 3,818	5,922	9,740	304	1999	06/15	30
AT&T: Cincinnati, OH	- 297	443	347	— 312	775	1,087	273	1999	06/98	40
Auto Solution: Albuquerque, NM	- 1,113	_	1,443	— 1,113	1,443	2,556	410	2005	04/04 (1	f)40
AutoZone: Homestead, PA	- 500	_	105	— 605	(i)	605	(i)	(i)	02/97	(i)
Babies R Us: Arlington, TX	- 831	2,612	_	— 831	2,612	3,443	1,339	1996	06/96	40
Bandana's										
BBQ: St. Peters, MO	- 318	640	_	— 318	640	958	48	1981	02/15	25
BankUnited: Orlando, FL —	- 257	287	_	— 257	72	329	13	1988	07/92	30
Bar Louie: Rochester, NY	- 792	1,535	204	— 792	1,739	2,531	372	1995	06/07	40
Barnes &										
Noble: Brandon, FL — Glendale, CO— Houston, TX —	- 3,245	2,722		3,245	2,722	5,967	1,514		09/94	f)40 40 f)40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Plantation, — 3,616 3,498		— 3,616	960	4,576	103	1996	05/95	(f)30
Freehold, NJ — 2,917 2,261	_	2,917	2,261	5,178	1,182	1995	01/96	40
Dayton, OH — 1,413 3,325 Redding, CA — 497 1,626		— 1,413 — 497	3,325 1,626		-	1996 1997	05/97 06/97	40 40
Memphis, — 1,574 2,242	_	— 1,574	2,242	3,816	724	1997	09/97	40
Marlton, NJ — 2,831 4,319		2,709	4,319	7,028	1,957	1995	11/98	40

1	Initial to Comp En tunt i	Buildin Improvances Leaseho	Subsequence to Acquising, ements & Improved		n d at Clos Building	g, ements & Total	Accum Depredand	nulated	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held Company has In Operating Leases Batteries Plus	vested in				interests	S	Amort	ization		
Bulbs: Sunrise, FL -	— 287	424	41	—287	465	752	136	1979	05/04	40
Bealls: Sarasota, FL -	— 1,078	1,795	_	1,078	1,795	2,873	607	1996	09/97	40
Beautiful America Dry Cleaners: Orlando, FL	— 40	111	_	—40	111	151	36	2001	02/04	40
Bed Bath & Beyond:										
Glen Allen, VA	1,184	2,843	179	-1,184	3,021	4,205	1,073	1997	06/98	40
Glendale, AZ- Midland, MI -				—1,082 —231	2,758 2,705	3,840 2,936	1,204 685	1999 2006	12/98 (g 07/03)40 40
Colonie, NY -				-231 $-3,119$	-	7,249	327	1967	08/14	30
BedMart: Portland, OR -	— 283	60	_	—294	_	294	(e)	(e)	09/06	(e)
Best Buy:										
Brandon, FL - Cuyahoga	·	•		•	•	5,757	1,377	1996	02/97	40
Falls, OH	— 3,709	2,359		-3,709	2,359	6,068	1,153	1970	06/97	40
Rockville, MD	- 6,233	3,419	_	6,233	3,419	9,652	1,663	1995	07/97	40
Fairfax, VA -	_ 3,052	3,218	_	-3,052	3,218	6,270	1,559	1995	08/97	40
Petersburg, FL	 4,032	2,611	_	4,032	2,611	6,643	1,088	1997	09/97	35
North Fayette, PA	2,331	2,293		-2,331	2,293	4,624	1,063	1997	06/98	40
Denver, CO -	-	-		—8,882 —2,157	-	13,255 5,289	1,699 663	1991 1992	06/01 09/11	40 25

Albuquerque,									
NM Arlington, —1,372	3,890		1,372	3,890	5,262	823	1991	09/11	25
TX Beaumont, —614	2,177		—614	2,177	2,791	576	1992	09/11	20
TX Dallas, TX — 906	_	_	— 906	_	906	(e)	(e)	09/11	(e)
(n) Fort Collins, 2 054	3.346	_	-2,054	3.346	5,400	708	1992	09/11	25
Fort Worth, — 687	2,177		— 687	2,177	2,864	384	1992	09/11	30
TX Houston, TX — 1,409	,	_	—1,409	•	4,504	546	1992	09/11	30
	2,089		384	2,089	2,473	553	1992	09/11	20
·	-		-1,028	*				09/11	30
Nashua, NH — 1,028 North	7,032	_	-1,028	7,032	8,080	1,244	1999	09/11	30
Attleborough, — 2,761 MA	4,165		-2,761	4,165	6,926	735	1999	09/11	30
Schaumburg, — 3,170	4,784		3,170	4,784	7,954	1,266	1965	09/11	20
Virginia Beach, VA — 3,140	4,276		_3,140	4,276	7,416	754	1999	09/11	30
Big Lots:									
Dover, NJ — 1,138	3,238	732	1,138	3,970	5,108	1,594	1995	11/98	40
BJ's Wholesale Club:									
	0.60=	26-	226	0.0=6		• •=•	2004	00101	4.0
Orlando, FL $-3,271$		367	-3,265	-		-		02/04	40
Orlando, FL $-3,271$ Fairfax, VA $-6,792$			—3,265 —6,792	-		-		02/04 09/11	40 30
	14,941	_	•	14,941	21,733	2,636	1992		
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166	14,941 29,373	_	6,792 3,166	14,941 29,373	21,733 32,539	2,636 4,441	1992 2002	09/11 09/11	30 35
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792	14,941 29,373 14,067	_ _ _	-6,792 -3,166 -4,792	14,941 29,373 14,067	21,733 32,539 18,859	2,636 4,441 2,481	1992 2002 2000	09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168	_ _ _	-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422	1992 2002 2000 1993	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422	1992 2002 2000 1993	09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ — 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt:	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11	30 35 30 25 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt: Lapeer, MI — 63	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055		6,792 3,166 4,792 3,040 2,846 2,783 3,103	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt:	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040 -2,846 -2,783 -3,103	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt: Lapeer, MI — 63 BMW: Duluth, GA — 4,434 Bob Evans:	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040 -2,846 -2,783 -3,103	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt: Lapeer, MI — 63 BMW: Duluth, GA — 4,434	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040 -2,846 -2,783 -3,103	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt: Lapeer, MI — 63 BMW: Duluth, GA — 4,434 Bob Evans:	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055 457 4,080		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040 -2,846 -2,783 -3,103 -63 -4,504	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055 436	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158 499	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332 105 3,032	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30 40
Fairfax, VA — 6,792 Hamilton, NJ— 3,166 Hialeah, FL — 4,792 Roxbury, NJ — 3,040 W. Hartford, — 2,846 CT Cape Coral, — 2,783 FL Voorhees, NJ— 3,103 Blend Frozen Yogurt: Lapeer, MI — 63 BMW: Duluth, GA — 4,434 Bob Evans: Amherst, NY— 422	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055 457 4,080		-6,792 -3,166 -4,792 -3,040 -2,846 -2,783 -3,103 -63 -4,504	14,941 29,373 14,067 16,168 14,299 13,710 14,055 436 10,639	21,733 32,539 18,859 19,208 17,145 16,493 17,158 499 15,143	2,636 4,441 2,481 3,422 2,522 362 332 105 3,032	1992 2002 2000 1993 1996 2005 2004 2007	09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 09/11 03/16 04/16	30 35 30 25 30 30 30 40 40

Baltimore,									
MD									
Batavia, NY — 599	657	_	— 599	657	1,256	16	1996	04/16	30
Beachwood, — 542	108		542	108	650	3	2004	04/16	30
OH Beavercreek, 570									
OH — 570	334	_	—570	334	904	8	2003	04/16	30
Beckley, WV—579	824		— 579	824	1,403	19	1992	04/16	30
Bel Air, MD — 911	1,147		—911	1,147	2,058	27	1995	04/16	30
Benton — 157	1,079		<u>157</u>	1,079	1,236	25	1989	04/16	30
Harbor, MI	1,079		—137	1,079	1,230	23	1909	04/10	50
Blue Springs,—550	462		— 550	462	1,012	11	1996	04/16	30
MO					,				
Brook Park, — 570	570		— 570	570	1,140	13	2002	04/16	30
Camby, IN — 510	932		— 510	932	1,442	22	2002	04/16	30
Canton, MI — 776	167		—776	167	943	4	2002	04/16	30
Canton, MI — 804	589		804	589	1,393	14	2003	04/16	30
Chesterfield — 746	491			491	1,237	12	2003	04/16	30
Twp, MI	7/1		— / -1 0	7/1	1,237	12	2003	04/10	30
Chillicothe, — 334	727		334	727	1,061	17	1995	04/16	30
OH Cincinnoti					,				
Cincinnati, —482	295		-482	295	777	7	1997	04/16	30
Cincinnati									
OH — 500	1,323	_	— 500	1,323	1,823	31	1999	04/16	30
Clarksville	704		726	704	1.520	10	2000	04/16	20
$\frac{\text{Clarksvine}}{\text{IN}}$ -726	794	_		794	1,520	19	2000	04/16	30
Clearwater, — 520	648		—520	648	1,168	18	1986	04/16	25
FL									
Clermont, FL—1,011	49	_	-1,011	49	1,060	1	2006	04/16	30
Coldwater, — 324	1,020		-324	1,020	1,344	29	1995	04/16	25
Columbia									
MO — 491	521	_	491	521	1,012	12	1997	04/16	30
Columbus	1 117		606	1 117	1 012	22	2005	04/16	25
IN — 696	1,117	_	696	1,117	1,813	23	2005	04/16	35
Columbus, —432	961		432	961	1,393	27	1985	04/16	25
OH	701		.52	701	1,575		1700	0 1/10	
Columbus, — 647	1,010		647	1,010	1,657	24	1994	04/16	30
OH Corning, NY — 196	1,412		—196	1,412	1,608	33	1996	04/16	30
Cross Longs				•	*				
WV — 354	600	_	354	600	954	17	1987	04/16	25
Dearborn, MI—560	579		— 560	579	1,139	16	1984	04/16	25
Dublin, OH — 697	677		697	677	1,374	19	1985	04/16	25
Dublin, OH — 804	559		804	559	1,363	13	1996	04/16	30
Dunkirk, NY — 392	1,353		—392	1,353	1,745	32	1994	04/16	30
Englewood, — 794	696		 794	696	1,490	20	1985	04/16	25
OH Erie, PA — 941	902		—941	902	1,843	26	1990	04/16	25
-110, 171 - 741	702		- JT1	702	$_{1,0}$	20	1770	O T / 1 O	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Erie, PA — 451	765	_	— 451	765	1,216	18	1998	04/16	30
Fairfield, OH—138	776	_	—138	776	914	18	1999	04/16	30
Fayetteville, — 392	1,285	_	—392	1,285	1,677	30	2006	04/16	30
Festus, MO — 451	1,020		—451	1,020	1,471	29	1990	04/16	25
Fort Wayne, — 765	716	_		716	1,452	17	2003	04/16	30
Fort Wayne, — 795	451		— 795	451	1,246	11	1997	04/16	30

		Costs						
	Initial Cost	Capitaliz cdros	s Amount	at				T 1.C XX71-1-1-
	to	SubsequeWhie						Life on Which
	Company	to Carr	ied at Clos	se of Per	riod (a	a) (b)		Depreciation &
	1	Acquisition			,			Amortization in
	Buildin	•	Building		Accu	mulated		Latest Income
_		0.	\mathcal{C}	*	Depr	e Daté onof	Date	Statement is
Enci	ui hbrah ces Leaseho	ements@rrying Improvem ent old Costs	Leasehol	Total	and	Construction		Computed (Years)
	Interest		Interests			rtization	1	
Real Estate Held for In	nvestment the							
Company has Invested								
Operating Leases:	a in chaci							
Franklin, IN —	245 1,011	— — 245	1,011	1,256	24	2003	04/16	30
Frederick, MD—	491 491	— — 491	491	982	12	1995	04/16	30
Gahanna, OH —	755 1,176	— — 755	1,176	1,931	28	1994	04/16	30
Gaylord, MI —	618 922	- 618	922	1,540	22	1997	04/16	30
Greenfield, IN —	246 766	- $ -$ 246	766	1,012	18	1994	04/16	30
Greenwood,	240 700	— — Z40	700	1,012	10	1994	04/10	30
IN —	481 883	— — 481	883	1,364	21	2002	04/16	30
	540 1 079	540	1.070	1 627	25	2002	04/16	30
Groveport, OH—	549 1,078	— — 549	1,078	1,627	25	2003	04/16	30
Harborcreek,	510 609	— — 510	609	1,119	14	2004	04/16	30
PA	262 1 222	262	1 202	1.606	27	1006	04/16	25
Heath, OH —	363 1,323	— — 363	1,323	1,686	37	1986	04/16	25
Hillsboro, OH —	245 1,285	— — 245	1,285	1,530	30	2004	04/16	30
Holland, OH —	804 843	— — 804	843	1,647	24	1987	04/16	25
Indianapolis,	569 1,157	— — 569	1,157	1,726	27	2000	04/16	30
IN	ŕ		,	ŕ				
Indianapolis,	765 765	— — 765	765	1,530	22	1985	04/16	25
IN				,				
Indianapolis,	559 1,088	— — 559	1,088	1,647	26	2001	04/16	30
IN			•	•				
Jackson, MI —	608 1,029	— — 608	1,029	1,637	24	2002	04/16	30
Jacksonville,	696 696	— — 696	696	1,392	16	2002	04/16	30
FL	070 070	070	070	1,372	10	2002	0 1/10	30
Jamestown,	334 697	334	697	1,031	16	1995	04/16	30
NY					10			
Lakeland, FL —	618 540	— — 618	540	1,158	13	2005	04/16	30
Lancaster, PA —	647 687	— — 647	687	1,334	16	1997	04/16	30
Lansing, MI —	588 873	— — 588	873	1,461	21	2001	04/16	30
Laurel, MD —	716 990	— — 716	990	1,706	23	1998	04/16	30
Lewis Center,	608 1,049	— — 608	1.040	1 657	25	2001	04/16	20
ОН —	008 1,049	— — 608	1,049	1,657	25	2001	04/16	30
Lewisburg,	254 (10	254	<i>C</i> 10	072	1.5	2002	04/16	20
WV —	354 619	— — 354	619	973	15	2003	04/16	30
Lexington, KY—	432 619	— — 432	619	1,051	15	2001	04/16	30
Linthicum								
Heights, MD	687 755	— — 687	755	1,442	18	2004	04/16	30
Livonia, MI —	716 755	— — 716	755	1,471	21	1982	04/16	25
Er voma, mi	,10 ,22	, 10	, 55	1, . , 1		1702	0 1/10	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Logan, WV —	314 1,285	— — 314	1,285	1,599	30	1999	04/16	30
Logansport,	118 1,148	— — 118	1,148	1,266	27	1994	04/16	30
London, OH —	235 1,060	— — 235	1,060	1,295	25	2004	04/16	30
Louisville, KY—	815 432	— — 815	432	1,247	10	2003	04/16	30
Madison — Heights, MI	599 667	— — 599	667	1,266	16	2000	04/16	30
Mansfield, OH—	275 1,069	— — 275	1,069	1,344	25	2005	04/16	30
Marion, IL —	344 658	— — 344	658	1,002	16	1997	04/16	30
Marion, IN —	443 364	— — 443	364	807	9	1996	04/16	30

		Initial Comp	Cost to any	Subsequ	Mhich Carried	Amount a		iod (a)) (b)		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
	Enc	u hxbrd n	Building Improve Ices Leaseho Interests	g, ement©&r Improve old Cos		Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ments d Total ld	&Depr and	mulated construction rtization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed
Real Estate Held Company has In	veste										
Operating Lease Martinsburg, WV	s: —	815	491		815	491	1,306	12	1992	04/16	30
Maumee, OH		766	295		766	295	1,061	7	2000	04/16	30
Medina, OH	_	402	922		402	922	1,324	26	1988	04/16	25
Mentor, OH		667	1,039			1,039	1,706	25	1995	04/16	30
Merrillville, IN	_	942	422		942	422	1,364	10	2004	04/16	30
Moon Township, PA	_	452	521		452	521	973	15	1984	04/16	25
Morgantown, WV		1,000	990	— —	1,000	990	1,990	23	1992	04/16	30
New Albany, OH	_	539	1,431		539	1,431	1,970	34	2002	04/16	30
New Castle, PA	_	461	912		461	912	1,373	22	2005	04/16	30
Ocala, FL		853	706			706	1,559	17	2005	04/16	30
Ocala, FL		608	1,137			1,137	1,745	27	2000	04/16	30
Oxford, OH Perrysburg,	_	294559	1,216 990		294559	1,216 990	1,510 1,549	29 28	1994 1984	04/16 04/16	30 25
OH Perrysburg,		795	363		795	363	1,158		2001	04/16	30
OH Pickerington,		519	1,509				2,028		1999	04/16	30
OH											
Pittsburgh, PA Port Orange,	1 —	491	687		491	687	1,178	19	1985	04/16	25
FL		648	491		648	491	1,139	12	2002	04/16	30
Powell, OH		824	706		824	706	1,530	17	2004	04/16	30
Princeton, WV	—	363	1,255		363	1,255	1,618	30	1998	04/16	30
Richmond, IN	I —	363	1,001		363	1,001	1,364	20	2003	04/16	35
Rio Grande, OH		314	1,333		314	1,333	1,647	38	1962	04/16	25
Romulus, MI	_	902	628		902	628	1,530	18	1988	04/16	25
Saginaw, MI		648	481			481	-	14	1987	04/16	25
Salisburg, MI)—	913	471		913	471	1,384	11	1997	04/16	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Somerset, KY —	245	1,295	— — 245	1,295	1,540 31	1995	04/16	30
South Bloomfield, OH	177	1,236	— — 177	1,236	1,413 29	2005	04/16	30
South Euclid,OH	216	933	— — 216	933	1,149 19	2012	04/16	35
St. Louis, MO —	697	589	— — 697	589	1,286 17	1986	04/16	25
St. Petersburg,	727	324	— — 727	324	1,051 9	1986	04/16	25
Stafford, VA —	764	1,225	— — 764	1,225	1,989 29	2004	04/16	30
Toledo, OH —	745	1,225	— — 745	1,225	1,970 35	1990	04/16	25
Waldorf, MD —	844	657	<u> </u>	657	1,501 16	2004	04/16	30
Washington C	304	923	— — 304	923	1,227 22	1993	04/16	30
Washington,	579	501	— — 579	501	1,080 12	2003	04/16	30

Table of Contents

	Initial to Comp		D	ife on Which epreciation & mortization in										
		Buildi	vements Improv nold		d at Clo Buildin Improv Leaseh Interes	ng, vement Total iold	Accuns Representation	nulated	Date Acquired	L: St C	atest Income catement is computed Years)			
Real Estate Held Company has Inv Operating Leases	ested in U		e											
Watertown, NY — 196 1,461 — — 196 1,461 1,657 34 1996 04/16 30 Waverly, OH — 226 1,226 — — 226 1,226 1,452 29 1995 04/16 30														
•	— 226	1,226	_	—226	1,226	1,452	29	1995	04/16	30)			
West Chester, OH	— 765	706	_		706	1,471	17	1999	04/16	30)			
Wilmington, OH	— 216	1,392	_	—216	1,392	1,608	33	1993	04/16	30)			
Woodhaven, MI	<u> </u>	599	_	— 511	599	1,110	14	2000	04/16	30)			
Wooster, OH		1,109		—216	1,109			1995	04/16	30				
Zanesville, OH Zanesville, OH		1,333 746	_	—314 —363	1,333 746	1,647		2000 2003	04/16 04/16	30 30				
Bob's Discount Furniture: Merrillville, IN	V — 981	_	7,285	—981	7,285	8,266	114	2016	09/15	(m)40)			
Bombones Sports Bar:	3													
Dallas, TX	— 1,138	1,025	370	1,138	1,395	2,533	408	1994	12/01	40)			
Bonefish: Mobile, AL Pensacola, FL	801 734							2006 2004	03/12 03/12	35 35				
Books-A-Million														
Newark, DE	 2,394								12/94	4()			
Bangor, ME									06/96	40)			
See accompanyin	g report of	f indepe	endent re	egistered p	ublic ac	ecounti	ng firm							

F-11

	Costs Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount at to SubsequentWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulated										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is	
	Enduandr	Building Improvances Leasel Interes	vements Improviold	& arrying emenand Costs	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements & Total old	&Depred and		Date Acquired		Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate He Company has I Operating Leas Boston Market	Invested in ses:											
Geneva, IL		601	_		518	1,187	202	1996	12/01		40	
North Olmsted, OH	— 602	461	_	— 602	389	991	147	1996	12/01		40	
Novi, MI	— 836	651		—836	298	1,134	116	1995	12/01		40	
BP: Jeannette, PA	— 79	235	_	— 79	235	314	23	1995	07/14		25	
Buck's: St. Louis, MO	— 776	_	3,822	—776	3,822	4,598	737	2009	12/07	(o)	40	
Glendale	— 1,662	_	3,101	— 1,662	3,101	4,763	74	2016	03/14	(m)	40	
Heights, IL Omaha, NE	— 2,662	_	3,397	-2,662	3,397	6,059	67	2016	05/15	(m)	(k)	
Council Bluffs, IA	— 374	2,187	386	— 376	2,573	2,949	122	2015		(m)		
Buffalo Wild Wings: Michigan City, IN	— 163	492	_	— 163	492	655	185	1996	12/01		40	
Burger King: Colonial Heights, VA	— 662	610	_	— 662	610	1,272	229	1997	12/01		40	
Clifton Park	·— 199	1,639	_	— 199	1,639	1,838	88	2004	02/15		35	
NY Colorado Springs, CO	<u> </u>	1,047	_	— 638	1,047	1,685	79	1978	02/15		25	
Durham, NO	C — 604	581	_	<u>604</u>	581	1,185	36	2005	02/15		30	
Durham, NO	C — 566	555		— 566	555	1,121	35	1998	02/15		30	
(11)	<u>461</u>	708		— 461	708	1,169	44	1980	02/15		30	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Farmington, ME									
Yakima, — 596 WA	1,110	_	— 596	1,110	1,706	69	1979	02/15	30
Fairfield, — 382	1,146	_	—382	1,146	1,528	59	1984	03/15	35
Burlington Coat Factory:									
Lacey, WA — 2,777				10,699	13,476	3,849	1992	02/97	40
Chesterfield, — 2,742	6,469	165	2,742	6,634	9,376	242	2015	04/15	40
Buybacks Entertainment:									
Lafayette, — 603	1,149	30	— 603	1,179	1,782	322	1999	12/05	40
C&C Gymnastics:									
Augusta, GA— 177	674	_	—177	674	851	254	1998	12/01	40
Caliber									
Collision: Alvin, TX — 400	712		— 400	712	1,112	209	1984	02/11	20
Galveston					•				
TX — 361	789	_	— 361	789	1,150	232	1965	02/11	20
Houston, TX— 348	1,731	_	—348	1,731	2,079	407	1987	02/11	25
Cove, TX — 269	1,436	_	— 269	1,436	1,705	203	1972	01/12	35
Killeen, TX — 408	2,171		— 408	2,171	2,579	431	1986	01/12	25
Austin, TX — 1,071	-		—1,071	-	4,483	665	1975	02/12	25
,	1,543		—474	1,543	2,017	238	2003	05/12	30
Spring, TX — 913			—913	-	3,220	349	2006	06/12	30
Tomball, TX— 414 Edmond,	1,281		—414	1,281	1,695	166	2009	06/12	35
OK — 472	1,437	_		1,437	1,909	182	1964	03/13	30
Duluth, GA — 855	2,791	_	<u> </u>	2,791	3,646	43	1996	07/16	30
San Antonio, — 717	2,768	_	—717	2,768	3,485	51	1984	07/16	25
Camping World:									
Vacavilla	6,575	_	2,467	6,575	9,042	1,213	2008	07/10	35
North Little — 1,198 Rock, AR	3,348	2,237	1,280	5,513	6,793	795	2007	09/10	(m) 35
MO	3,694	2,099	— 1,846	5,225	7,071	757	2007	09/10	(o) 35
Avondale, — 1,976	3,040	3,200	— 1,976	6,239	8,215	833	2009	05/11	(o) 35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

			_	_							
Mesa, AZ	— í	3,972	2,046	981	_3,975	3,027	7,002	601	1983	05/11	25
Bowling Green, KY	— :	584	2,481	_	— 584	2,481	3,065	387	2007	07/11	35
Council Bluffs, IA	— 2	2,013	2,806	2,187	-2,955	4,048	7,003	445	2008	07/11	(o) 35
Roanoke, VA	— 2	2,046	5,050	2,408	-3,563	5,940	9,503	792	2008	07/11	(k) 35
Golden, CO	— :	5,516		8,176	6,446	7,246	13,692	781	2012	10/11	(m)40
Belleville, MI		1,156	2,071		— 1,156	2,071	3,227	418	1986	12/11	25
Kissimmee, FL		1,578	2,783	_	— 1,578	2,783	4,361	561	1979	12/11	25
La Mirada, CA	— 3	3,593	911	_	3,577	907	4,484	152	1996	12/11	30
Myrtle Beach, SC	— :	540	61		540	61	601	12	1976	12/11	25
Nashville, TN	;	1,155	1,034	5,665	3,626	4,235	7,861	552	1985	12/11	(o) 40
Valencia, CA		4,788	4,191	_	4,766	4,179	8,945	843	1980	12/11	25
	_	1,204	3,075		-1,204	3,075	4,279	421	2008	03/12	35
Jacksonville FL	'— <i>'</i>	2,343	2,679		1,289	2,679	3,968	513	1973	03/12	25
Louisville, TN	_ 9	990	554	1,194	— 990	1,748	2,738	175	1977	03/12	(o) 40
Winter Garden, FL	_ ;	1,173	3,178		1,173	3,178	4,351	508	1973	03/12	30
Cocoa, FL	_ ;	1,194	1,876		-1,194	1,876	3,070	279	1981	07/12	30
Dover, FL	— 2	2,431	9,658	3,047	5,478	9,658	15,136	1,005	2013	01/13	35
Grain Valley, MO	_ ;	1,210	2,908	3,441	-2,533	5,026	7,559	305	2003	09/13	(o) 35
Lubbock, TX	— ′	775	3,998	_		3,998	4,773	439	1997	09/13	30
Olive Branch, MS	— í	3,163		3,836	-3,163	3,836	6,999	236	2014	11/13	(m)40
Cedar Falls, IA		1,924	3,810	1,158	1,924	4,968	6,892	417	2004	03/14	(o) 30
Akron, OH	—	1,221	7,868		1,221	7,868	9,089	564	1991	03/15	25
Anniston, AL	— 3	3,206	5,328	1,264	-3,206	6,594	9,800	353	2007	03/15	(o) 30
Richmond, IN	_ :	1,096	1,424	3,104	-2,062	3,562	5,624	122	1998	03/15	(o) 35
Marion, NC		1.712	5.317	_	— 1.712	5.317	7,029	328	2003	06/15	25
Syracuse,					— 1,070		9,643				
NY	_	1,070	0,373	_	-1,070	0,373	9,043	441	2001	06/15	30
North Charleston, SC	— <i>2</i>	2,444	681	1,047	-2,444	1,728	4,172	41	1985	07/15	(k) 25
Jackson, MS							5,931	146	2015	08/15	40
		, 0	,		-,-/	, - · -	- , = =				
Captain D's: Tupelo, MS	<u> </u>	360	517	_	— 360	517	877	32	1999	02/15	30
_											

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Ft. Worth, — 254	563	_	—254	563	817	50	1982	03/15	20
Kingsland, — 570	_	844	— 570	844	1,414	20	2015	09/15	(m)40
Dothan, AL — 159	1,075		— 159	1,075	1,234	37	1985	12/15	30
Boiling Springs, SC — 214	_	1,181	—214	1,181	1,395	24	2003	02/16	(o) 40
Hermitage, — 546	348	_	— 546	348	894	10	1976	04/16	25
Easley, SC — 690	_	755	-690	755	1,445	2	2016	06/16	(m)(k)
Augusta, GA— 227	1,136	_	-227	1,136	1,363	9	1993	10/16	25
Augusta, GA— 573	869	_	— 573	869	1,442	7	1986	10/16	25
Augusta, GA— 288	268	_	-288	268	556	2	1985	10/16	25
Augusta, GA— 296	1,274		-296	1,274	1,570	8	2014	10/16	35
Eastman, — 228 GA	693	_	—228	693	921	6	1987	10/16	25
Fort Valley, — 208	841	_	—208	841	1,049	4	1987	10/16	40
Macon, GA — 237	1,303		— 237	1,303	1,540	11	1982	10/16	25
Perry, GA — 247	1,353		<i>—</i> 247	1,353	1,600	11	1972	10/16	25
Baton Rouge, LA — 890	_	_	—890	(e)	890	(e)	(e)	12/16	(m)

F-12

	Initial to Comp En čumö r	any Building Improve ances Leaseho	Subsequ to Acquisit g, ements & Improve		n d at Clos Building Improve Leaseho	g, ements & Total	Accı Depi	umulated construction	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
		Interest	S		Interests	S	Amo	ortization		
Real Estate Hel Company has In Operating Lease Carl's Jr.:	nvested in		he							
Spokane, WA (n)	<u> 471</u>	530	_	<u>-471</u>	530	1,001	199	1996	12/01	40
Chandler, AZ	— 729	644	_		644	1,373	372	1984	06/05	20
Tucson, AZ	<u> 681</u>	536	103	— 681	639	1,320	639	1988	06/05	10
Carmike Cinemas: Fayetteville, NC Montgomery AL									11/13 09/14	40 40
Albuquerque NM	·— 1,474	_	10,301	—1,474	10,301	11,775	311	2015	11/14 (m)40
CarQuest: Abbeville, LA Abbotsford,	—23	148	_	—23	148	171	45	1970	12/10	20
WI	— 56	163		—56	163	219	39	1984	12/10	25
Aberdeen, SD (n)	— 71	329	_	— 71	329	400	99	1961	12/10	20
Addison, IL		314	_	—76	314	390	76	1971	12/10	25
Alsip, IL Anaconda,	— 57	323	_	—57	323	380	98	1972	12/10	20
IVI I	<u>35</u>	307		—35	307	342	93	1965	12/10	20
Ann Arbor, MI	<u> 25</u>	241	_	—25	241	266	73	1970	12/10	20
C .	— 96	294	_	—96	294	390	59	1998	12/10	30
Appleton, WI (n)	<u> </u>	438		—85	438	523	88	1995	12/10	30
Arden, NC	<u>42</u>	281	_	—42	281	323	68	1989	12/10	25
Baker, MT Bakersfield,	—12	140	_	—12	140	152	42	1965	12/10	20
CA CA	<u> </u>	484	_	—77	484	561	146	1945	12/10	20

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Bangor, ME — 51	339		— 51	339	390	82	1985	12/10	25
Bangor, ME — 53	356	_	—53	356	409	143	1945	12/10	15
Bartlett, TN — 40	293	_	40	293	333	71	1989	12/10	25
Bay City, MI — 14	100	_	—14	100	114	40	1942	12/10	15
Bay City, MI — 10	6 521		—106	521	627	210	1920	12/10	15
Bay City, MI — 41	282		—41	282	323	68	1989	12/10	25
Bend, OR — 12	5 245		—125	245	370	99	1935	12/10	15
Biddeford, —60	320	_	— 60	320	380	97	1968	12/10	20
Billings, MT — 31	188	_	—31	188	219	45	1970	12/10	25
Bismarck, —25	136	_	—25	136	161	33	1985	12/10	25
Bozeman, — 28	257	_	—28	257	285	78	1964	12/10	20

		to	nl Cost pany	Subsequento Acquisi	ıe \ Whic Carrie	ed at Clo	se of F		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income		
	Encu	nhlarad	Buildin Improv hees Leaseh Interest	ement©a Improve old Co	erying entents sts	Buildin Improve Leaseho Interest	ements Total old	and	nulated cathing of Construction ization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held Company has Inv Operating Leases	vested										
Brunswick, ME	_	41	254		41	254	295	61	1985	12/10	25
Bucksport, ME	_	19	114		19	114	133	35	1976	12/10	20
Burlington, NC	_	47	229		47	229	276	46	1994	12/10	30
Carol Stream, IL		103	515		103	515	618	155	1960	12/10	20
Chicago, IL	_	83	383		83	383	466	92	1987	12/10	25
Chippewa Falls, WI	_	33	328		33	328	361	66	1996	12/10	30
Cody, WY (n)		146	253		96	253	349	51	1999	12/10	30
Colstrip, MT		39	275		39	275	314	66	1981	12/10	25
Connersville, IN	_	28	171		28	171	199	69	1920	12/10	15
Corapolis, PA (n)	_	74	316		74	316	390	95	1980	12/10	20
Cut Bank, MT	_	9	115		9	115	124	35	1937	12/10	20
Devils Lake, ND	_	38	276		38	276	314	56	1999	12/10	30
D:11 1/17		24	204		24	204	228	62	1973	12/10	20
Dodge City, KS (n)	_	43	166		43	166	209	67	1948	12/10	15
Eau Claire, W	I—	33	204		33	204	237	62	1956	12/10	20
Elgin, IL	—	88	311		88	311	399	94	1965	12/10	20
Enterprise, AL		25	184		25	184	209	44	1988	12/10	25
Escanaba, MI		40	283		40	283	323	68	1982	12/10	25
Evansville, IN		60	301		60	301	361	73	1980	12/10	25
Fairbanks, AK Gainesville,	. —	292	545		292	545	837	94	2003	12/10	35
FL (n)		47	362		47	362	409	146	1957	12/10	15
Glasgow, MT		48	275		48	275	323	83	1972	12/10	20
Great Falls, MT		17	173		17	173	190	52	1967	12/10	20
Greenville, OH		63	193		63	193	256	78	1910	12/10	15

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Hamilton, MT —	24	242	_	_	24	242	266	58	1991	12/10	25
Harlem, MT —	17	116			17	116	133	28	1983	12/10	25
Hayward, WI —	57	333	_		57	333	390	80	1980	12/10	25
Helena, MT —	31	282	_		31	282	313	68	1987	12/10	25
Houlton, ME —	38	219		_	38	219	257	132	1915	12/10	10
Irving, TX —	182	208	_	_	182	208	390	63	1984	12/10	20
Kalispell, MT	59	645		_	59	645	704	130	1998	12/10	30
Kennedale,	88	283		_	88	283	371	85	1959	12/10	20
Lafayette, LA —	51	357			51	357	408	72	1996	12/10	30
Laurel, MS —	74	202		_	74	202	276	81	1959	12/10	15

	Encui	to Cor	npany Buildin	Substo to Acques, ementings	pitalizeGross Amount at bsequenWhich Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) equisition Building, Accumulated ent Carrying Improvements proventeened Leasehold Costs Leasehold Amortization						Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held	for In	vesti	ment the									
Company has In												
Operating Leases	s:											
Lewistown,		19	180			19	180	199	44	1964	12/10	25
MT		17	100			19	100	177		1504	12/10	23
Livingston,	_	34	261			34	261	295	79	1976	12/10	20
MT												
Lufkin, TX	_		229	_	—	94	229	323	69	1986	12/10	20
Madison, TN	_		179	_	—	78	179	257	43	1988	12/10	25
Madison, WI	_		409	_	—	57	409	466	99	1973	12/10	25
Malta, MT	_	19	181	_	_	19	181	200	44	1976	12/10	25
Marshfield,	_	60	282	_		60	282	342	85	1940	12/10	20
WI						27	220	266		1000	10/10	25
Medford, WI		37	229			37	229	266	55	1988	12/10	25
Memphis, TN			199			38	199	237	48	1987	12/10	25
Metamora, IL			292			69	292	361	59	1996	12/10	30
,	_		336 212			44 36	336 212	380 248	68 85	1986	12/10 12/10	30 15
Midland, TX Montello, WI			173			26	173	248 199	35	1960 1997	12/10	30
Muskegon, M			257	_	_	38	257	295	52	1997	12/10	30
Neillsville, W			145	_		26	145	171	35	1979	12/10	25
Nicholasville,		20	143	_		20	143	1/1	33	1979	12/10	23
KY	—	54	241	—	—	54	241	295	58	1988	12/10	25
Ocala, FL		78	416	_		78	416	494	167	1971	12/10	15
Olathe, KS			235			78	235	313	95	1950	12/10	15
Oshkosh, WI			224			99	224	323	45	1999	12/10	30
Overland, MO			370			68	370	438	112	1961	12/10	20
Owosso, MI			264			50	264	314	64	1986	12/10	25
Pearl, MS	_		195			43	195	238	39	1989	12/10	30
Phillips, WI			177	_		23	177	200	36	1992	12/10	30
Powell, WY			182			37	182	219	44	1978	12/10	25
Rhinelander,												
WI		28	115	—		28	115	143	35	1958	12/10	20
River Falls,		10	22.1			40	22.1	27.6	71	1076	10/10	20
WI	_	42	234	_	_	42	234	276	71	1976	12/10	20
Riverton, WY		99	300	_		99	300	399	73	1978	12/10	25
Rockford, IL			376			61	376	437	91	1962	12/10	25
Roundup, MT			205	_		23	205	228	62	1972	12/10	20
Schofield, WI		41	425			41	425	466	128	1968	12/10	20

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Sheboygan, WI		77 370	— — 77	370	447	64	2007	12/10	35
•	_	20 208	— — 20	208	228	63	1976	12/10	20
Sidney, MT (n)	_	42 395	— — 42	395	437	119	1962	12/10	20
Spartanburg, SC		53 252	— — 53	252	305	61	1972	12/10	25

	Encu	to Com	al Cost pany Buildir Improv hces Leaseh	Sub to Acq ng, remen Imp old	italiz seque	eWhick Carrie	ed at Clo Buildin Improve Leaseho	se of I g, ement Total	Accum Seprecand	nulated cation of Construction	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
			Interest	ts			Interest	S	Amort	ization		
Real Estate Held												
Company has Inv		ın Ur	ider									
Operating Leases			201				201	267	<i>C</i> 1	1065	10/10	20
Spokane, WA		66	201			66	201	267	61	1965	12/10	20
Spokane, WA		93	373			93	373	466	113	1972	12/10	20
St. Peter, MN	_	17	259	_		17	259	276	52	1999	12/10	30
Stayton, OR	_	88	312	_	_	88	312	400	63	1994	12/10	30
Stevens Point,		61	405			61	405	466	98	1975	12/10	25
WI (n)											10/10	
Sulphur, LA	_	31	216	_	_	31	216	247	65	1984	12/10	20
Thornton, CO	_	414		_	_	414	536	950	108	1996	12/10	30
Troy, AL		15	52			15	52	67	21	1966	12/10	15
Wasilla, AK	_	227	504	_	_	227	504	731	87	2002	12/10	35
Wausau, WI	_	52	300	_	_	52	300	352	72	1989	12/10	25
Wautoma, WI		18	106			18	106	124	32	1959	12/10	20
Waynesboro,		15	71			15	71	86	29	1962	12/10	15
MS									-			
West	_	41	159			41	159	200	48	1962	12/10	20
Columbia, SC												
West		58	294			58	294	352	71	1987	12/10	25
Memphis, AR												
Whitefish, MT		30	227	—	—	30	227	257	46	1993	12/10	30
Williston, ND		35	297	—	—	35	297	332	60	1999	12/10	30
Windom, MN	_	5	137	_	_	5	137	142	41	1950	12/10	20
Wisconsin	_	41	215	_		41	215	256	65	1975	12/10	20
Rapids, WI												
Yakima, WA		50	321			50	321	371	97	1965	12/10	20
Aurora, IL		641	226			641	226	867	67	1971	02/11	20
Benton Harbor	·,	207	160			207	160	367	47	1978	02/11	20
MI												
Caro, MI	_	85	132	_	_	85	132	217	78	1941	02/11	10
Eagle River,	_	99	52			99	52	151	15	1978	02/11	20
WI												
Essexville, MI		113			_	113	113	226	33	1974	02/11	20
Lexington, KY		85	226		_	85	226	311	44	1991	02/11	30
Mt. Pleasant,	_	85	207			85	207	292	49	1984	02/11	25
MI												
υ,		179		—		179	75	254	44	1955	02/11	10
Warrenton, VA	\ —	123	66	—	—	123	66	189	39	1939	02/11	10

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Billings, MT —	66 291	— — 66	291 35	7 63	1994	07/11	25
Mobile, AL —	75 197	— — 75	197 27	2 54	1975	07/11	20
New Castle, IN—	113 19	— — 113	19 13	2 4	1991	07/11	25
Spokane, WA —	75 56	— — 75	56 13	1 15	1955	07/11	20
Chicago, IL —	90 239	— — 90	239 32	9 82	1949	11/11	15
Missoula, MT —	99 367	— — 99	367 46	6 94	1965	11/11	20

F-16

	Enc	Costs Initial Cost Capitalize Gross Amount at to Subsequen Which Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulated Improvements Carrying Improvements Leasehold Costs Leasehold Costs Interests Amortization										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
			Interest	S		Interest	S	Amor	tization			
Real Estate Hel Company has In Operating Lease	nves			ie								
Sheridan, WY		198	385	_	— 198	385	583	99	1980	11/11		20
Sauk Centre, MN		64	85	_	— 64	85	149	17	1958	11/11		25
Watford City, ND		31	124	_	— 31	124	155	25	1974	11/11		25
Fairmont, MN	_	98	166	_	— 98	166	264	41	1978	01/12		20
Sycamore, IL	_	49	476	_	— 49	476	525	118	1924	01/12		20
Worland, WY	_	48	193	_	— 48	193	241	45	1949	04/12		20
Anchorage, AK	_	315	92	_	— 315	92	407	21	1971	06/12		20
•	_	29	305		— 29	305	334	69	1964	06/12		20
Orchard Park, NY	—	353	_	725	— 267	725	992	58	2013	05/13	(m)	40
Morrisville, NC	_	127	332	_	— 127	332	459	48	1992	05/13		25
Salt Lake City, UT	_	571	697	_	<u> </u>	697	1,268	126	1951	05/13		20
San Antonio, TX			719	_	— 87	719	806	104	1973	05/13		25
San Antonio, TX	_	137	361		— 137	361	498	65	1980	05/13		20
Jackson, MS	_	253	_	604	— 253	604	857	46	2013	06/13	(m)	40
Crestview, FL	_	158	463		— 158	463	621	51	2003	09/13		30
Depew, NY	_	309		821	— 309	821	1,130	54	2014	10/13	(m)	40
Sherman, TX		183	_	657	— 183	657	840	49	2005	01/14	(o)	35
Carrabba's:												
Canton, MI	_	685	1,687		— 685	1,687	2,372	269	2002	03/12		30
Cape Coral, FL	_	645	2,965	_	— 645	2,965	3,610	406	2005	03/12		35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Dallas, TX						1,078	1,750	172	2000	03/12	30
Gainesville, FL	_	922	1,944	_	— 922	1,944	2,866	310	2001	03/12	30
Jacksonville, FL	·—	1,140	1,428	_	1,140	1,428	2,568	228	2001	03/12	30
Mason, OH		653	2,267	_	— 653	2,267	2,920	362	2000	03/12	30
Maumee, OH	_	525	2,684	_	— 525	2,684	3,209	429	2002	03/12	30
Mobile, AL	—	633	1,909	_	— 633	1,909	2,542	305	2001	03/12	30
Pensacola, FL	_	734	1,854	_	— 734	1,854	2,588	254	2003	03/12	35
Waldorf, MD	_	1,473	2,199	_	— 1,473	2,199	3,672	301	2007	03/12	35
Carvers: Centerville, OH		851	1,059	_	<u> </u>	1,059	1,910	398	1986	12/01	40
OH											

F-17

Table of Contents

	Costs Initial Cost Capitalized Gross Amount at to Subsequent Which Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulated										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
Enc	cLumbra		rements d Improve old	&Carrying emelitand Costs		ements Total old	Repr and		Date Acquired		Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Invest Operating Leases: Chair King:			e								
Grapevine, —	1,018	2,067	273	1,018	2,340	3,358	998	1998	06/98		40
Champps: Irving, TX —	1,760	1,724	_	— 1,760	1,724	3,484	648	2000	12/01		40
Charleston Auto Auction: Moncks Corner, SC	1,628	5,911	471	— 1,628	6,383	8,011	256	2000	09/15	(o)	30
Cheddar's Cafe: Baytown, TX —	858	2,251	_	— 858	2,251	3,109	340	2010	12/10		40
West Monroe,	907	2,301	_	— 907	2,301	3,208	343	2010	01/11		40
LA			2,439	1,446	2,439	3,885	323	2011	03/11	(m))40
Ionesboro				1,206				2011)40
II atti a alauma	1,203	_	_	1,196	(i)	1,196	(i)	(i)	11/11		(i)
Pleasant	1,310	_	2,779	1,310	2,779	4,089	223	2013	04/13	(m))40
Prairie, WI Liberty, MO —	1,313	_	3,140	1,313	3,140	4,453	232	2014	07/13	(m))40
Chick-Fil-A: Ankeny, IA —	662	_	_	— 662	(i)	662	(i)	(i)	06/05		(i)
Chili's: Camden, SC —	627	1 000		627	1,888	2 5 1 5	522	2005	09/05		40
Milledgeville,	516	1,997	_	-627 -516	•	2,513			09/05		40
GA Sumter, SC —		•		— 310 — 800	1,717	•			12/05		40
II:	921	1,898		— 921	1,898	•			02/07		40
GA Albany, GA —		•			1,984	•				(m))40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Statesboro, GA	— 703		1,888	— 703	1,888	2,591 431	2007	06/07	(m)40
Florence, SC	— 889	1,715		— 889	1,715	2,604 409	2007	06/07	40
Valdosta, GA	— 716	_	1,871	— 716	1,871	2,587 423	2007	07/07	(m)40
Tifton, GA	— 454	1,550	_	— 454	1,550	2,004 318	2008	06/08	40
Evans, GA	— 700	_	1,511	— 685	1,511	2,196 298	2009	10/08	(m)40
Jefferson City, MO	— 305	898		— 305	898	1,203 181	2003	12/09	35
Merriam, KS	— 853	981		— 853	981	1,834 230	1998	12/09	30

	to	tial Cost	_	s talize G ross equenWhich Carrie	a) (b)		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in			
		Build	Acqu ling, ovement Impr ehold	ts & arrying over the Costs	Buildir	ng, vements Total old	Accu	umulated reElateorof Construction ortization	Date Acquired	Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Hell Company has I	nvested		the							
Operating Leas Wichita, KS		0 623		— 420	623	1,043	146	1995	12/09	30
Hutchinson, KS	— 45		ــ ا	— 456	1,794	2,250		2004	02/13	30
Lexington, SC	— 63) 1,620) —	— 630	1,620	2,250	179	2008	02/13	35
China 1: Cohoes, NY	— 16	87	6	— 16	93	109	31	1994	09/04	40
China Garden: Tucson, AZ	_ 82	7 305	142	— 845	429	1,274	133	1974	12/01	40
Chipotle: Florissant, MO	_ 50	59	170	— 50	228	278	42	2013	04/03 (g)40
Chuck E. Cheese's:										
Mobile, AL Antioch, TN			_ 	— 340 — 459	951 1,738	1,291 2,197		1981 1982	11/11 07/14	20 15
Huntsville,	— 38	2 1,182	2 —	— 382	1,182	1,564	145	1960	07/14	20
AL Saginaw, MI				— 489	1,203	1,692	148	1981	07/14	20
Albuquerque NM				— 794	2,126	2,920	144	2003	08/14	35
Alexandria, LA	— 87	2 3,291	_	— 872	3,291	4,163	313	1983	08/14	25
Alpharetta, GA	_ 2,0	27 1,743	3 —	— 2,027	1,743	3,770	138	2001	08/14	30
Atlanta, GA	-			— 1,313	*	2,969		1982	08/14	25
Austin, TX Batavia, IL				— 852 1 214	4,024	4,876 3,878		2001 1999	08/14 08/14	30 30
Birmingham	— 1,2 ,	7 2,004								
AL	— 62°	7 3,662	2 —	— 627	3,662	4,289	348	1982	08/14	25
Columbia, SC	_ 50	9 2,655	5 —	— 509	2,655	3,164	210	1983	08/14	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Conroe, TX	_	793	3,388		— 793	3,388	4,181	268	2001	08/14	30
Cordova, TN		1,195	3,055		— 1,195	3,055	4,250	242	2002	08/14	30
Denton, TX		833	1,245		— 833	1,245	2,078	84	2003	08/14	35
El Centro, CA		470	2,811		— 470	2,811	3,281	191	2005	08/14	35
Englewood, CO		911	3,056	_	— 911	3,056	3,967	242	1970	08/14	30
Foothill Ranch, CA		1,088	1,391		1,088	1,391	2,479	110	2003	08/14	30
Ft. Wayne, IN	_	686	3,232	_	— 686	3,232	3,918	256	1985	08/14	30
Garland, TX	_	1,224	2,302		— 1,224	2,302	3,526	156	2006	08/14	35

	Initia Comp	l Cost to pany	Subsequennich			iod (a)	(b)		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
Er	ncu hahrd i	Building Improve nces Leaseho Interests	ement©&rying Improventands old Costs	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements a Total old	&Depre and	mulated editation of Construction tization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Inves									
Operating Leases:									
Grand Prairie,TX	1,380	4,983	— — 1,380	4,983	6,363	394	2001	08/14	30
Grapevine,	1,303	2,135	— — 1,303	2,135	3,438	169	2002	08/14	30
Greenville,	764	3,554	— — 764	3,554	4,318	338	1983	08/14	25
Hickory, NC —	647	1,686	— — 647	1,686	2,333	114	2002	08/14	35
Horn Lake, — MS	960	3,388	— — 960	3,388	4,348	230	2002	08/14	35
Jacksonville,	1,038	4,220	— — 1,038	4,220	5,258	401	1981	08/14	25
Katy, TX —	960	4,171	— — 960	4,171	5,131	330	2002	08/14	30
Kennesaw,	1,332	3,818	— — 1,332	3,818	5,150	302	1999	08/14	30
GA Killeen, TX —	832	4,876	— — 832	4,876	5,708	331	2004	08/14	35
Lake Charles,		1,539	— — 853	1,539		122	2001	08/14	30
LA Littleton, CO —			— — 1,234	4,288		339	1994	08/14	30
Longview,	314	1,931	314	1,931	*	131	2004	08/14	35
TX Madison, WI —		•	— — 999	1,989	2,988		1982	08/14	25
Miamisburg,	- 607	4,416	— — 607	4,416	5,023		1986	08/14	25
OH TY		•							
Midland, TX — N. Richland		2,537	— — 588	2,537	3,125		2000	08/14	30
Hills, TX	- 588	4,064	— — 588	4,064	4,652		1982	08/14	25
Norcross, GA — North		2,703	— — 1,077		3,780		1982	08/14	25
Charleston, SC	1,449	3,319	— — 1,449	3,319	4,768	263	2003	08/14	30
Oklahoma — City, OK	499	3,203	— — 499	3,203	3,702	304	1982	08/14	25
Olathe, KS —		736	— — 843	736	1,579		2002	08/14	30
Racine, WI — Roanoke, TX —		834 4,787	765 617	834 4,787	1,599 5,404		2000 1983	08/14 08/14	30 25
San Antonio,	793	4,670	- $ -$	4,670	5,463		1990	08/14	25
TX	173	7,070	— — 195	7,070	5,405	-1-1-1	1 <i>77</i> U	00/1 1	<i>4.3</i>

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

San Antonio, TX		1,371	2,703		1,371	2,703	4,074	214	2001	08/14	30
Savannah, GA	_	1,469	2,634		1,469	2,634	4,103	250	1982	08/14	25
Sharonville, OH	_	696	1,597		696	1,597	2,293	152	1982	08/14	25
Sterling Heights, MI	_	725	2,322		725	2,322	3,047	184	1994	08/14	30
Sugarland, TX	_	1,107	3,134		1,107	3,134	4,241	248	2002	08/14	30
Topeka, KS		373	619		373	619	992	49	1990	08/14	30
Virginia Beach, VA		1,018	3,848		1,018	3,848	4,866	366	1984	08/14	25
Wichita Falls TX	·	323	3,105		323	3,105	3,428	295	1982	08/14	25
Wichita, KS		862	2,850		862	2,850	3,712	226	1991	08/14	30
Yuma, AZ		471	668	— —	471	668	1,139	45	2004	08/14	35

Table of Contents

Cool Crest:

	Initial to Comp	any Buildi	Subsequ to Acquisit ng, vements of Improve hold		n d at Clo Buildin	g, ements (Total	Accun Depre	nulated	Date Acquired		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held Company has In Operating Lease Chuy's: Cincinnati,	vested in	Under									
OH	-1,165	1,322	_	—1,165	1,322	2,487	149	1996	05/13		30
Cinemark: Draper, UT Fort Worth,	1,523 2,140		4,487 7,660	—1,523 —2,140		6,010 9,800	631 870	2011 2012	08/10 08/11	(m) (o)	
TX Cincinnati,	—1,334		•	—1,334		•		2013	09/12	(m)	
OH McCandless,	-3,094		6,389	—3,094 —3,094		9,483	366	2013	09/13	(m)	
PA Marina, CA	•		5,614	—3,094 —15	5,614	5,629	170	2014	08/14	(m)	
Altoona, IA			9,923	-1,161		11,084		2016	01/15	(m)	
City Barbeque: Charlotte, NC	£—576	_	_	— 576	(e)	576	(e)	(e)	07/16		(m)
Claim Jumper: Roseville, CA Tempe, AZ	,			—1,557 —2,531		3,571 5,452	757 1,098	2000 2000	12/01 12/01		40 40
Clairton Mini Mart: Clairton, PA	—215	701	_	—215	701	916	307	1986	01/06		25
Coastal Bend Skates: Aransas Pass, TX	—90	1,241	245	—89	1,485	1,574	579	1983	03/99		40
Continental Rental: Lapeer, MI	—88	633	_	—88	603	691	145	2007	10/05		40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Independence, MO	1,838	1,534	75	—1,838	1,609	3,447	378	1988	05/07	40
CORA Rehabilitation Clinics: Orlando, FL —	80	221	_	—80	221	301	71	2001	02/04	40
Crest Furniture: Woodbridge, NJ (n)	3,750	5,983	_	3,750	5,983	9,733	2,088	1994	01/03	40
Fox Lake, IL — Grayslake, IL — Joliet, IL — Lincolnshire,	194 87	2,244 1,184 924 1,418 1,146	_ _ _	—261 —252 —194 —87 —350	2,244 1,184 924 1,418 1,146	2,505 1,436 1,118 1,505 1,496	4 2 2 2 2	1988 1997 1988 2005	12/16 12/16 12/16 12/16 12/16	25 30 25 30 20
Loves Park,	107	829	_	—107	829	936	1	2000	12/16	30
Markham, IL — Matteson, IL —		1,483 1,202		—145 —475	1,483 1,202	1,628 1,677	2 2	2007 2001	12/16 12/16	30 30
O.1 1 D1.	204	1,290		204	1,290	1,494	2	1992	12/16	25
Richton Park,	126	1,021	_	—126	1,021	1,147	1	2005	12/16	40
Rockford, IL — Rockford, IL — Rockford, IL — Rockford, IL —	-136 -214 -97	742 1,167 1,002 1,205	_	-263 -136 -214 -97	742 1,167 1,002 1,205	1,005 1,303 1,216 1,302	1 2 2 2	1997 1968 1987 2002	12/16 12/16 12/16 12/16	30 20 20 30
Spring Grove,	233	1,068	_	—233	1,068	1,301	2	1987	12/16	20
Wadeworth	398	835	_	—398	835	1,233	1	1997	12/16	30
Wauconda, IL—	338	2,629	_	—338	2,629	2,967	4	1991	12/16	25
CVS: Lafayette, LA —	968	_	_	— 968	(c)	968	(c)	1995	01/96	(c)
Lauderdaic, I'L		3,319	190	-3,165	3,509	6,674	1,493	1995	02/96	33
Midwest City,	673	1,103	_	<u>673</u>	1,103	1,776	574	1996	03/96	40
Pantego, TX — Arlington, TX—			 1,397	-1,016 $-2,079$	-	2,465 3,476	708 642	1997 1998	06/97 11/97	40 (g) 40
T 41	726		1,331	—2,07 <i>)</i> —726	1,331	2,057	617	1998	11/97	(g) 40
Lewisville,	789	_	1,335	— 789	1,335	2,124	611	1998	04/98	(g) 40
TX —	692	_	1,175	— 692	1,175	1,867	540	1998	04/98	(g) 40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Forest Hill, TX									
Garland, TX —1,477	_	1,400	—1,477	1,400	2,877	635	1998	06/98	(g) 40
Oklahoma City, OK —1,581	_	1,471	—1,581	1,471	3,052	660	1999	08/98	(g) 40
Dallas, TX —2,618	_	2,571	-2,618	2,571	5,189	849	2003	06/99	(g) 40
Gladstone, —1,851	_	1,740	—1,851	1,740	3,591	712	2000	12/99	(g) 40
Dairy Queen: Lubbock, TX —313	450	_	—313	450	763	56	1981	02/15	15
Dave & Buster's:									
Hilliard, OH —934 Tulsa, OK —1,862	-		—934 —1,862	4,689 2,105	5,623 3,967	1,187 419	1998 2009	11/06 04/08	40 (m)40
Wauwatosa, —5,694	_	5,638	5,694	5,638	11,332	957	2010	12/08	(m)40
Orlando, FL —8,114	_	4,224	8,114	4,224	12,338	576	2011	06/10	(m)40
Oklahoma City, OK —3,156	_	4,870	-3,156	4,870	8,026	604	2012	02/11	(m)40
Dallas, TX —5,052		8,808	5,052	•	13,860		2012	03/12	(m)40
Livonia, MI —2,116 Euless, TX —2,592		7,758 7,563	-2,116 $-2,592$	-	9,874 10,155	590 307	2013 2015	04/13 08/14	(m)40 (m)40
		7,505	2,372	7,505	10,100	207	2013	00/11	(111) 10
DaVita Dialysis: Columbus, OH -527	1,426		— 527	1,426	1,953	117	2000	07/14	30
Del Frisco's:									
Fort Worth, —351	5,874	_	—351	5,874	6,225	1,750	1890	01/11	20
Greenwood Village, CO —1,863	5,649	_	—1,863	5,649	7,512	1,683	1979	01/11	20
Denny's:									
Columbus —245	732	375	—245	1,107	1,352	340	1998	12/01	40
Columbus, —428	817		428	817	1,245	307	1997	12/01	40
Alexandria, —604 VA	196		604	196	800	101	1981	09/06	20
Amarillo, TX —590	632		— 590	632	1,222	325	1982	09/06	20
Arlington —470	228		470	228	698	117	1977	09/06	20
Heights, IL Austintown, OH —466	397	_	— 466	397	863	204	1980	09/06	20
Boardman Township, OH —497	258	_	— 497	258	755	133	1977	09/06	20
Campbell, CA—460	238	_	460	238	698	123	1976	09/06	20
Carson, CA —1,246 Chehalis, WA—415	157 287	_	—1,246 —415	157 287	1,403 702	81 148	1975 1977	09/06 09/06	20 20
Chichans, WA—413	201		+ 13	201	102	140	1711	09/00	۷0

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

	_	_							
Chubbuck, ID—350	394		344	394	738	203	1983	09/06	20
Clackamas, —468	407	_	— 468	407	875	210	1993	09/06	20
Collinsville, —676	283	_	— 676	283	959	146	1979	09/06	20
Colorado Springs, CO —321	377	_	—321	377	698	194	1984	09/06	20
Colorado Springs, CO —585	390	_	— 585	390	975	201	1978	09/06	20
Corpus Christi, TX (n) —345	776	300	—345	1,076	1,421	529	1980	09/06	20
Dallas, TX —497	150	_	497	150	647	77	1979	09/06	20
Enfield, CT —684	229		684	229	913	118	1976	09/06	20
Fairfax, VA —768	683			683	1,451	351	1979	09/06	20
	003		—700	003	1,431	331	1919	09/00	20
Federal Way, —543	193	_	—543	193	736	99	1977	09/06	20
Florissant, —443	238	_	—443	238	681	122	1977	09/06	20
Fort Worth, —392	314	_	—392	314	706	162	1974	09/06	20
Hermitage, —321	420	_	—321	420	741	216	1980	09/06	20
Houston, TX —504	348		— 504	348	852	179	1976	09/06	20
Indianapolis, —358	767	_	—358	767	1,125	394	1978	09/06	20
Indianapolis, —310	590		—310	590	900	303	1981	09/06	20
Indianapolis, —326	511		—326	511	837	263	1978	09/06	20
Indianapolis, —231	511	_	—231	511	742	263	1974	09/06	20
Kernersville, —407	557	_	—407	557	964	287	2000	09/06	20
Lafayette, IN —424	773		416	773	1,189	398	1978	09/06	20
Laurel, MD —528	379	_	— 528	379	907	195	1976	09/06	20
Little Rock, —703	180	_		180	883	92	1979	09/06	20
Maplewood,630	271	_	— 630	271	901	140	1983	09/06	20
MN —030	0.4.2			0.4.0	4 404	440	1056	00.10.6	• •
Merriville, IN — 368	813	_	368	813	1,181	418	1976	09/06	20
N. Miami, FL —855	151	_	-855	151	1,006	78	1977	09/06	20
Nampa, ID —357 North	729		—357	729	1,086	375	1979	09/06	20
Richland Hills, —500 TX	130	_	— 500	130	630	67	1970	09/06	20
Omaha, NE —496	314	_	—496	314	810	162	1994	09/06	20
Pompano —436	394		-436	394	830	203	1976	09/06	20
Beach, FL	016		512	216	700	111	1070	00/07	20
Provo, UT —519	216		—513	216	729	111	1978	09/06	20
Pueblo, CO —475	302	_	475	302	777	155	1980	09/06	20
Raleigh, NC $-1,09$	4 482	_	-1,094	482	1,576	248	1984	09/06	20

St. Louis, MO—520									
51. Louis, MO—520	266	_	— 520	266	786	137	1973	09/06	20
Sugarland, —315	334	_	—315	334	649	172	1997	09/06	20
Tacoma, WA —580 Tucson, AZ —922	201 290	_	—575 —922	201 290	776 1,212	103 149	1984 1979	09/06 09/06	20 20
Wethersfield, —884	176	_	—884	176	1,060	91	1978	09/06	20
Worcester, —383	493	_	—383	493	876	253	1978	09/06	20
Boise, ID —514 St. Louis, MO—635	477 303	_	—514 —635	477 303	991 938	239 151	1983 1980	12/06 01/07	20 20
Virginia Gardens, FL -793	133	_	— 793	133	926	66	1977	01/07	20
Akron, OH —308 Moab, UT —395	1,062 1,432		—308 —395	1,062 1,432	1,370 1,827	125 90	1992 2000	06/13 02/15	30 30
Dickey's Barbeque Pit:									
Medina, OH —405	464	104	370	568	938	191	1996	12/01	40
Dick's Sporting Goods:									
Taylor, MI —1,920			1,920	3,527	5,447	1,790	1996	08/96	40
White Marsh, —2,681	3,917	_	2,681	3,917	6,598	1,987	1996	08/96	40
Dollar General:									
San Antonio,441	784	_	<u>441</u>	196	637	20	1993	12/93	30
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266	784 1,136		—441 —266	196 1,182	637 1,448	20 509	1993 1998	12/93 12/97	30 40
San Antonio, —441 TX —441 Memphis, TN—266 High Springs, —409 FL		1,072	—266 —432	1,182 1,072	1,448 1,504	509 164	1998 2010	12/97 07/10	
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459	1,136 — —	1,072 1,046	—266 —432 —471	1,182 1,072 1,046	1,448 1,504 1,517	509 164 156	1998 2010 2011	12/97 07/10 08/10	40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, TX Memphis, TN — 266 High Springs, — 409 FL Inverness, FL — 459 Cocoa, FL — 385	1,136 — — —	1,072 1,046 935	-266 -432 -471 -406	1,182 1,072 1,046 935	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341	509 164 156 143	1998 2010 2011 2010	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355	1,136 — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376	509 164 156 143 153	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355 Deland, FL —585	1,136 — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543	509 164 156 143 153 141	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355 Deland, FL —585 Seffner, FL —673	1,136 — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878	509 164 156 143 153 141 180	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, —441 TX Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355 Deland, FL —585	1,136 — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, —441 Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355 Deland, FL —585 Seffner, FL —673 Hernando, FL —372 Titusville, FL —512 Disputanta, —170	1,136 — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878	509 164 156 143 153 141 180	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, TX Memphis, TN — 266 High Springs, — 409 FL Inverness, FL — 459 Cocoa, FL — 385 Palm Bay, FL — 355 Deland, FL — 585 Seffner, FL — 673 Hernando, FL — 372 Titusville, FL — 512 Disputanta, VA Lumberton, — 115	1,136 — — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372 -512	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342 1,514	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138 135	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011 201	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11 04/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, TX Memphis, TN — 266 High Springs, — 409 FL Inverness, FL — 459 Cocoa, FL — 385 Palm Bay, FL — 355 Deland, FL — 585 Seffner, FL — 673 Hernando, FL — 372 Titusville, FL — 512 Disputanta, VA Lumberton	1,136 — — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372 -512 -170	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342 1,514 890	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138 135 95	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011 201	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11 04/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (o) 40
San Antonio, —441 Memphis, TN —266 High Springs, —409 FL Inverness, FL —459 Cocoa, FL —385 Palm Bay, FL —355 Deland, FL —585 Seffner, FL —673 Hernando, FL —372 Titusville, FL —512 Disputanta, —170 Lumberton, NC Newport —363	1,136 — — — — — — — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372 -512 -170 -115	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342 1,514 890 1,017	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138 135 95	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011 201	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11 04/11 09/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (o) 40 (m)40
San Antonio, TX Memphis, TN — 266 High Springs, — 409 FL Inverness, FL — 459 Cocoa, FL — 385 Palm Bay, FL — 355 Deland, FL — 585 Seffner, FL — 673 Hernando, FL — 372 Titusville, FL — 512 Disputanta, — 170 VA Lumberton, NC Newport News, VA Cumberland, — 317	1,136 — — — — — — — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720 902	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372 -512 -170 -115 -363	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720 902	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342 1,514 890 1,017 1,330	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138 135 95 112	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011 201	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11 04/11 10/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40
San Antonio, TX Memphis, TN — 266 High Springs, — 409 FL Inverness, FL — 459 Cocoa, FL — 385 Palm Bay, FL — 355 Deland, FL — 585 Seffner, FL — 673 Hernando, FL — 372 Titusville, FL — 512 Disputanta, — 170 Lumberton, NC Newport News, VA Cumberland, VA Cumberland, — 317	1,136 — — — — — — — — — — — —	1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720 902 967 1,147	-266 -432 -471 -406 -365 -585 -655 -372 -512 -170 -115 -363 -317	1,182 1,072 1,046 935 1,011 958 1,223 970 1,002 720 902 967 1,147	1,448 1,504 1,517 1,341 1,376 1,543 1,878 1,342 1,514 890 1,017 1,330 1,464	509 164 156 143 153 141 180 138 135 95 112 124	1998 2010 2011 2010 2010 2010 2011 2011 2011 2012 2011 2012	12/97 07/10 08/10 08/10 08/10 11/10 12/10 01/11 04/11 09/11 10/11 10/11	40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (o) 40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40 (m)40

Cascade, VA —139 — 806 —139 806 945 92 2012 03/12 (m)40

Real Estate Helo	l for Inve	Buildin Improverances Leaseho Interest	Subseq to Acquis g, ements of Improvold		n d at Clos Buildin	se of Pe g, ements Total	Accur &Depre	nulated	Date Acquired]	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Company has In		Under									
Operating Lease	es:										
Sanford, NC	—147		834	-147	834	981	91	2012	04/12	(m)	40
Leland, NC	-245		892	245	892	1,137	94	2012	06/12	(m)	40
Sanford, NC	-206		829	— 206	829	1,035	87	2012	07/12	(m)	
Richmond,						•					
VA	-305		902	-305	902	1,207	93	2012	08/12	(m)	40
Martinsville,											
•	-165		831	-165	831	996	84	2012	09/12	(m)	40
VA											
Yerington,	-313	_	1,170	313	1,170	1,483	116	2013	09/12	(m)	40
NV			-,		_,_,	-,			****	()	
Hawthorne,	—210	1,069		— 210	1,069	1,279	108	2012	12/12	4	40
NV	210	1,007		-210	1,007	1,217	100	2012	12/12		10
Norfolk, VA	455	_	929	455	929	1,384	82	2013	03/13	(m)	40
Suffolk, VA	—186		958	—186	958	1,144	85	2013	03/13	(m)	40
Suffolk, VA	—128		1,010	128	1,010	1,138	85	2013	04/13	(m)	40
Irving, NY			961	—210	961	1,171	77	2013		(m)	
Oakfield, NY		_	1,108	—271	1,108	1,379	75	2014		(m)	
Holland, NY		_	1,103	-176	1,103	1,279	68	2014	12/13	(m)	
Jeffersonville			1,103	-170	1,103	1,217	00	2014	12/13	(111)	10
IN	·—115	960		—115	960	1,075	79	2010	02/14	•	35
LaFayette,	—157	378		—157	378	535	37	2002	07/14	,	25
LA											
Youngsville,	— 98	370		— 98	370	468	36	2002	07/14	,	25
LA											
Dollar Tree:											
Garland, TX	-239	626		-239	626	865	243	1994	02/94	4	40
Homestead,	—256		1,964	210	1.010	2,220	12	2016	02/07	(~)	40
PA	-230	_	1,904	310	1,910	2,220	42	2016	02/97	(g) 4	+0
Copperas	2.42	510	104	2.42	706	0.40	451	1070	11/00		40
Cove, TX	<u>242</u>	512	194	242	706	948	451	1972	11/98	4	40
Marietta, GA	— 525	_	787	— 524	787	1,311	49	1997	12/14	(o) .	30
1.12.1000, 011	. 20					-,	•	-//		(-)	
Don Tello's											
Tex-Mex Grill:											
	022	1 276	27	022	1 202	2 226	207	2002	06/07		40
Lithonia, GA	<u> </u>	1,276	27	—923	1,303	2,226	307	2002	06/07	4	40

Dr. Clean Dry

Cleaners:

Monticello, —20 72 — —20 72 92 21 1996 03/05 40

Eagle Tax

Center:

Hollywood, —203 46 19 —124 — 124 — 1960 12/05 15

Ecotech

Institute:

Aurora, CO —5,076 13,874 5,663 —5,041 19,537 24,578 4,288 1986 04/07 40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-22

	Costs Initial Cost to Capitalized Gross Amount at Subsequent Which Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition									Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
En	c lumbi ta	Buildin Improvinces Leaseh Interess	ng, rements d Improve old		Buildin Improv Leaseho Interest	ements Total old	® eproand	mulated eDiateonf Construction rtization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Inve			ne							
Operating Leases: Austin, TX —	2,291	1,770	4,999	2,291	6,769	9,060	850	1996	12/11	35
El Jalapeno: Indianapolis, IN	223	483	79	— 223	562	785	273	1979	09/06	20
Empire Buffet: Las Cruces, NM	947	_	2,390	— 947	2,390	3,337	577	2006	01/06 (m)40
Encore at Crosswoods: Columbus, OH	1,032	1,107	_	— 1,032	1,107	2,139	416	1998	12/01	40
Express Mart: Thomasville, NC	140	228	_	— 140	228	368	28	1962	07/14	20
Express Oil Change:										
Birmingham,	470	695		<u> </u>	695	1,165	153	2008	02/08 (f) 40
Florence, AL— Helena, AL—		381 628	_	— 110 — 363	381 628	491 991	113 139	1987 1998	02/08 02/08	30 40
Muscle	168	624	_	— 168	624	792		1985	02/08	30
Opelika, AL —		680		<u> </u>	680	1,227		2006	02/08	40
Cordova, TN — Horn Lake,		785	_	— 639	785	1,424		2000	12/08	40
MS —	326	611	_	— 326	611	937	140	1998	12/08	35
Lakeland,	186	489	_	— 186	489	675	98	2000	12/08	40
Memphis, —	402	721		— 402	721	1,123	145	2001	12/08	40
Houston, TX —	651	_	648	— 543	648	1,191	72	2012	02/12 (m)40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

	830	— 539	829	1,368	84	2012	07/12	(m)40
	_	— 239	1,214	1,453	170	1998	10/12	30
4 173	_	— 224	173	397	24	2001	10/12	30
3 1,756	_	— 238	1,756	1,994	246	1998	10/12	30
3 1,064	_	— 318	1,064	1,382	128	2004	10/12	35
1 331	_	— 241	331	572	40	2003	10/12	35
5 1,092	 983	618295550	30 1,092 983	648 1,387 1,533	4 177 46	1988 1984 2014	12/12 12/12 05/14	30 25 40
	9 1,214 4 173 8 1,756 8 1,064 1 331 8 30 5 1,092	9 1,214 — 4 173 — 8 1,756 — 8 1,064 — 1 331 — 8 30 — 5 1,092 —	9 1,214 — — 239 4 173 — — 224 8 1,756 — — 238 8 1,064 — — 318 1 331 — — 241 8 30 — — 618 5 1,092 — — 295	9 1,214 — 239 1,214 4 173 — 224 173 8 1,756 — 238 1,756 8 1,064 — 318 1,064 1 331 — 241 331 8 30 — 618 30 5 1,092 — 295 1,092	9 1,214 — 239 1,214 1,453 4 173 — 224 173 397 8 1,756 — 238 1,756 1,994 8 1,064 — 318 1,064 1,382 1 331 — 241 331 572 8 30 — 618 30 648 5 1,092 — 295 1,092 1,387	9 1,214 — 239 1,214 1,453 170 4 173 — 224 173 397 24 8 1,756 — 238 1,756 1,994 246 8 1,064 — 318 1,064 1,382 128 1 331 — 241 331 572 40 8 30 — 618 30 648 4 5 1,092 — 295 1,092 1,387 177	9 1,214 — 239 1,214 1,453 170 1998 4 173 — — 224 173 397 24 2001 8 1,756 — 238 1,756 1,994 246 1998 8 1,064 — 318 1,064 1,382 128 2004 1 331 — — 241 331 572 40 2003 8 30 — — 618 30 648 4 1988 5 1,092 — — 295 1,092 1,387 177 1984	9 1,214 — 239 1,214 1,453 170 1998 10/12 4 173 — — 224 173 397 24 2001 10/12 8 1,756 — 238 1,756 1,994 246 1998 10/12 8 1,064 — 318 1,064 1,382 128 2004 10/12 1 331 — — 241 331 572 40 2003 10/12 8 30 — — 618 30 648 4 1988 12/12 5 1,092 — — 295 1,092 1,387 177 1984 12/12

				Costs								
		Initial	Cost	Capitalized Gross Amount at Subsequent Which								Life on Which
		to		Subsequ								Depreciation &
		Comp	any	to		d at Clos	se of Pe	eriod (a) (b)			Amortization in
			Buildin	Acquisi	tion	Buildin	ıœ	Асси	mulated			Latest Income Statement is
					&Carrying		_			Date		Computed
	Enc	ciluanibota	nces Leaseh	Improvolation	&Carrying ementand Costs	Leaseh	Total old	and	e Eiate onof Construction			(Years)
			Interest			Interest	ts	Amo	rtization	•		
Real Estate He				the								
Company has		sted in	Under									
Operating Lea Boaz, AL		205	368		— 205	368	573	28	1995	01/15		25
Gadsden,												
AL		116	690		— 116	690	806	44	1999	01/15		30
Rainbow		164	653		— 164	653	817	50	1992	01/15		25
City, AL												
Seffner, FL		155	593		— 155	593	748	31	2008	02/15		35
Fayetteville TN	,	117	860		— 117	860	977	49	1998	04/15		30
Huntsville,								•				
AL	_	292	526	_	— 292	526	818	30	1995	04/15		30
Huntsville,		214	710		— 214	710	924	48	1995	04/15		25
AL		217	710		- 214	710	<i>72</i> 4	70	1775	04/13		23
Madison,	_	319	1,006		— 319	1,006	1,325	57	1992	04/15		30
AL Houston,												
TX	_	576		1,017	— 576	1,017	1,593	3	2016	04/16	(m)	(k)
Tampa, FL	_	718		1,020	— 718	1,020	1,738	1	2016	06/16	(m)	(k)
West Point,		335			— 335	(e)	335	(e)	(e)	10/16		(m)
MS		333			— 555	(C)	333	(C)	(C)	10/10		(III)
E-11 D 1												
Fallas Paredes Arlington,												
TX		318	1,680	242	— 318	1,923	2,241	931	1996	06/96		38
Houston,		2 211	1 620	270	2.502	1 620	4 211	724	1076	02/00	(~)	40
TX	_	2,311	1,628	270	— 2,583	1,028	4,211	124	19/0	03/99	(g)	40
Family Dollar		2.4	924		2.4	024	0.50	252	1002	00/04		40
Albany, NY Cohoes, NY			824 753	 49	— 34 — 140	824 802	858 942	253265	1992 1994	09/04 09/04		40 40
Hudson												
Falls, NY		51	380	625	— 187	869	1,056	163	1993	09/04		40
Monticello,		96	352		06	252	448	104	1996	03/05		40
NY	_	90	332		— 96	352	440	104	1770	03/05		40
Richmond,		366	1,059	_	— 366	1,059	1,425	87	2012	02/14		35
TX						•						
Spring, TX		199	1,152		— 199	1,152	1,351	93	2012	02/14		35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Bartlesville, — 110	445	_	— 110	445	555	44	2001	07/14	25
Huntsville, — 141	596	_	— 141	596	737	49	2005	07/14	30
Tulsa, OK — 70	519	_		519	589	51	2001	07/14	25
Famous Footwear: Lapeer, MI — 163	835	_	— 163	812	975	191	2007	10/05	40
Famsa: Harlingen, — 317 TX	756	170	— 317	926	1,243	351	1999	11/98 ((f) 40

to	Costs Initial Cost to Capitalized Gross Amount at Subsequent Which Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition									Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
Епсил	ı mbd aı	Buildin Improv nces Leaseh Interest	g, ements & Improve		Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements Total old	& epreaded and	mulated eElateonf Construction rtization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Investo Operating Leases: Ferguson:			the							
Destin, FL — 5:	54	1,012	253	— 554	1,265	1,819	301	2006	03/07	40
Union City, — 14	44	1,260	_	— 144	1,260	1,404	203	2010	05/11	35
Fikes Wholesale: Belton, TX — 7	22	1,814	_	— 722	1,814	2,536	279	2007	08/11	35
Godley, TX — 1.	,453	2,084		1,453		3,537		2008	08/11	35
Killeen, TX — 1,				— 1,053		1,886		2007	08/11	35
Killeen, TX — 1.	,302	2,514		— 1,302	2,514	3,816	386	2008	08/11	35
McGregor, — 5		1,484	_	— 511	1,484	1,995	228	2006	08/11	35
Thorndale, — 3: TX Valley	31	984	_	— 331	984	1,315	151	2007	08/11	35
Mills, TX — 7	11	2,114		— 711	2,114	2,825	325	2006	08/11	35
West, TX — 40		864		— 402	864	1,266	155	1999	08/11	30
Gladewater, 14	45	2,107	_	— 145	2,107	2,252	138	2007	09/14	35
Hearne, TX — 68		2,184		— 68	2,184				09/14	30
Jarrell, TX — 54 Killeen, TX — 62			_	- 541 - 628	2,965 2,878	3,506 3,506		2009 2013	09/14 09/14	35 35
Liberty Hill, TX — 20		3,303		— 028 — 203	•	3,506			09/14	35
Rosebud, — 58	8	1,847	_	58	1,847	1,905	121	2012	09/14	35
Temple, TX 1.	,052	3,302		1,052	3,302	4,354	216	2012	09/14	35
Waco, TX — 1.	,400	2,106		1,400	2,106	3,506	161	1997	09/14	30
Claude, TX — 19		3,728	_	— 193	3,728	3,921	111	2013	12/15	35
Covington, — 10	64	2,512	—	— 164	2,512	2,676	87	2001	12/15	30
Hamilton, — 9'	7	2,175	_	— 97	2,175	2,272	91	1987	12/15	25
TX Lott, TX — 13	35	3,236	_	— 135	3,236	3,371	96	2013	12/15	35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Salado, TX — 715	3,206		— 715	3,206	3,921	95	2014	12/15	35
Temple, TX— 77	2,291		 77	2,291	2,368	68	2012	12/15	35
Vernon, TX — 154	5,850	_	— 154	5,850	6,004	152	2015	12/15	40
Milton, FL — 1,498	3 —	3,289	— 1,498	3,289	4,787	3	2016	04/16	(m)(k)
Giddings, — 845	_	_	— 845	(e)	845	(e)	(e)	11/16	(m)
Daphne, AL— 1,411	1,247		— 1,411	1,247	2,658	2	2006	12/16	30
Foley, AL — 783	1,721		— 783	1,721	2,504	2	2007	12/16	40
First Cash Pawn:									
Alice, TX — 318	578		— 318	578	896	217	1995	12/01	40

				Costs								
		Initial	Cost	Capital		Life on Which						
		to		Subseq	uent Which							Depreciation &
		Comp	any	to		d at Clo	se of Pe	riod (a	ı) (b)			Amortization in
			~	Acquisi	ition							Latest Income
			Buildir	ıg,	00 :	Buildin	ıg,		mulated	D.		Statement is
	Enc	Lumbdra	Improv ances	ements Improv	&Carrying emeiltand Costs	Improv	Total	&Depr	eclatte on f	Date	ı	Computed
			Interes		Costs	Interest			Construction rtization	Acquired	l	(Years)
			micres	ıs		meres	ıs	Aiiio	ruzation			
Real Estate He Company has I Operating Leas Five Below:	Inves			he								
Florissant, MO		249	294	849	— 250	1,142	1,392	212	1996	04/03	(g)	40
Five Guys Burgers and Fries: Middleburg Heights, OH	_	497	260	250	— 497	510	1,007	200	1976	09/06		20
Flash Markets: Lebanon, Th		582	_	2,063	— 582	2,063	2,645	458	2007	03/07	(m))40
Fleming's: Akron, OH		475	3,140	_	— 475	3,140	3,615	430	2005	03/12		35
Floor & Decor Knoxville, TN		2,364	_	7,879	— 2,364	7,879	10,243	189	2016	09/15	(m))40
Food 4 Less: Chula Vista, CA	,	3,569	_	_	— 3,569	(c)	3,569	(c)	1995	11/98		(c)
Food Fast: Bossier City LA	·,	883	658	_	— 883	658	1,541	419	1975	06/07		15
Brownsboro TX	,	328	385		— 328	385	713	122	1990	06/07		30
Flint, TX	_	272	411		— 272	411	683	157	1985	06/07		25
Forney, TX			707		— 545	707	1,252	225	1989	06/07		30
Forney, TX			654		— 473	654	1,127	208	1990	06/07		30
Gun Barrel City, TX		270	386	_	— 270	386	656	147	1986	06/07		25
Gun Barrel City, TX	_	242	467	_	— 242	467	709	178	1988	06/07		25

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Jacksonville	e, — 660	632		— 660	632	1,292	402	1976	06/07	15
TX Kemp, TX				<u> </u>	505	1,086	193	1986	06/07	25
Longview,			_	— 360	535	895		1983	06/07	25
Longview, TX			_	— 403	572	975	218	1985	06/07	25
Longview, TX	— 252	304		— 252	304	556	116	1983	06/07	25
Longview, TX		382		— 426	382	808	146	1984	06/07	25
Longview, TX	— 271	431	_	— 271	431	702	137	1990	06/07	30

	Enc	to Con	al Cost npany Buildin Improvedunces Leaseho Interest	ements & Improve	uent tion	Whie Carr	ch ied at Cl Buildin	ose of F g, ements Total old	Accu Depro	(a) (b) mulated eDationof Construction rtization	Date Acquired		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held				he									
Company has In		ted in	Under										
Operating Lease Mabank, TX		220	404			229	101	723	188	1986	06/07		25
Mt. Vernon,													
TX		292	666	2,800	_	292	2,800	3,092	260	2013	06/07	(m)40
Tyler, TX		323	283		_	323	283	606	135	1978	06/07		20
Tyler, TX		742	546	_	_	742	546	1,288	208	1985	06/07		25
Tyler, TX		188	329			188	329	517	125	1984	06/07		25
Tyler, TX		542				481		884	154	1984	06/07		25
Tyler, TX	_	488	831		_	488	831	1,319	397	1980	06/07		20
Tyler, TX	—	316	545		—	316	545	861	173	1989	06/07		30
Fort Ticonderoga: Ticonderoga, NY	_	89	689	60		89	749	838	221	1993	09/04		40
Fresenius													
Medical Care:													
Houston, TX		422	1,915	518	_	422	2,434	2,856	633	1995	08/06		40
Rockford, MI	—	226	1,404		_	226	1,404	1,630	115	2002	07/14		30
Fresh Market: Gainesville,		317	1,248	656		317	1,904	2 221	573	1982	03/99		40
FL		317	1,240	030		317	1,504	2,221	313	1902	03177		40
Frisch's Big Boy:													
Batavia, OH							2,637	2,956		1995	08/15		30
•		242	2,512	_	_	242	2,512	2,754	138	1982	08/15		25
Burlington, KY	—	589	2,357	_	_	589	2,357	2,946	108	1995	08/15		30
Cincinnati, OH	—	271	939	_	—	271	939	1,210	52	1994	08/15		25
Cincinnati, OH		638	1,845	_		638	1,845	2,483	101	1993	08/15		25
Cincinnati, OH		695	2,173	_		695	2,173	2,868	100	1982	08/15		30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Cincinnati, OH	_	183	3,283		— 183	3,283	3,466	181	1980	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH	_	976	1,806		— 976	1,806	2,782	71	2011	08/15	35
Cincinnati, OH	_	329	1,672		— 329	1,672	2,001	92	1988	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH	_	319	2,753	_	— 319	2,753	3,072	126	2007	08/15	30
Cincinnati, OH	_	290	3,100		— 290	3,100	3,390	171	1985	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH	_	754	1,044	_	— 754	1,044	1,798	48	1997	08/15	30
Cincinnati, OH	_	782	1,961	_	— 782	1,961	2,743	108	1973	08/15	25

	Enci	to Com	al Cost pany Building Improve hees Leasehol Interests	Substo Acq , ment Imp	italiz sequ uisit	eWthic Carri ion	s Amount h ed at Clos Building Improver Leasehol Interests	se of Per	Accun Depredand	nulated	Date	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held Company has In				;								
Operating Lease		cu iii	Cildei									
Cincinnati, OH		300	1,952		_	300	1,952	2,252	107	1990	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH		445	929			445	929	1,374	43	2005	08/15	30
Cincinnati, OH		541	1,981	_	_	541	1,981	2,522	109	1964	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH		435	3,457	_	_	435	3,457	3,892	190	1970	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH		734	1,768			734	1,768	2,502	97	1991	08/15	25
Cincinnati, OH		387	1,865	—	—	387	1,865	2,252	85	1996	08/15	30
Cincinnati, OH		657	1,874	—	—	657	1,874	2,531	103	1986	08/15	25
Cold Spring, KY		763	2,144	_	_	763	2,144	2,907	98	1993	08/15	30
Covington, KY			2,444	_			2,444		112	1991	08/15	30
Dayton, OH			2,029				2,029	2,493	93	1988	08/15	30
Dayton, OH			1,662	_	_		1,662	2,251	76	2006	08/15	30
Dayton, OH			1,633	_	_			1,981	90	1990	08/15	25
Dayton, OH			1,276				1,276	1,721	50	2008	08/15	35
Dayton, OH		407		_		407	349	756	14	2010	08/15	35
Dayton, OH			1,392				1,392	1,653	77	1985	08/15	25
,		319	1,267			319	1,267	1,586	70	1992	08/15	25
Englewood, OH			1,846	_			1,846	2,194	102	1976	08/15	25
Erlanger, KY			1,740	_			1,740	2,165	96	1991	08/15	25
Fairborn, OH			1,305	_			1,305	1,653	60	1989	08/15	30
Fairfield, OH			1,556				1,556	2,136	86	1976	08/15	25
Florence, KY			1,971				1,971	2,821	90	2001	08/15	30
Florence, KY	—	860	1,903		—	860	1,903	2,763	105	1986	08/15	25
Fort Mitchell, KY	_	792	3,051	_	—	792	3,051	3,843	140	1988	08/15	30
Franklin, OH	_	415	2,425	_	_	415	2,425	2,840	111	1987	08/15	30
Franklin, OH		406	1,749			406	1,749	2,155	96	1977	08/15	25

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Gahanna, OH		389 10	65			389	165	554	8	1994	08/15	30
Greensburg, IN	_	464 1.	,575	_		464	1,575	2,039	72	1990	08/15	30
Grove City, OH	_	406 1.	,846	_		406	1,846	2,252	85	1993	08/15	30
Groveport, OH	_	145 1.	,084	_	_	145	1,084	1,229	50	1992	08/15	30
Hamilton, OH	_	310 1.	,045	_	_	310	1,045	1,355	57	1968	08/15	25
Hamilton, OH	_	560 1.	,894	_		560	1,894	2,454	87	2009	08/15	30
Harrison, OH		338 2	,685			338	2,685	3,023	123	1989	08/15	30
Heath, OH	—	939 3	48	—		939	348	1,287	14	2011	08/15	35

Eı	to Con	mpany Building Improve Inces Leaseho Interests	Acquisition g, ement@crying Improvement ld Costs	ch ied at Clo Building	esse of Pe	Accur Depreand	nulated	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Investor									
Operating Leases:									
Hillsboro, OH —	- 502	2 2,926	— — 502	2,926	3,428	161	1980	08/15	25
Independence,	- 657	1,816	— — 657	1,816	2,473	83	2009	08/15	30
Lancaster, OH —	- 570	1,604	— — 570	1,604	2,174	74	1992	08/15	30
Lawrenceburg,	- 550	3,071	— — 550	3,071	3,621	121	2010	08/15	35
Lebanon, OH —	- 560	2,550	— — 560	2,550	3,110	117	2006	08/15	30
Lexington, KY —		1,382	— — 734	1,382	2,116	54	2013	08/15	35
Lexington, KY —		2,289	— — 647	2,289	2,936	126	1976	08/15	25
Louisville, KY —		97	— — 891	97	988	4	1994	08/15	30
Louisville, KY —		3 1,691	— — 628	1,691	2,319	78	1990	08/15	30
Loveland, OH —		2,666	— — 241	2,666	2,907	147	1980	08/15	25
Loveland, OH —		1,740	— — 184	1,740	1,924	80	1990	08/15	30
Marysville, OH —		823	— — 281	823	1,104	38	1993	08/15	30
Mason, OH —		1,981		1,981	2,512	109	1987	08/15	25
Maysville, KY —		3,119	— — 454		3,573	172	1992	08/15	25
Miamisburg,									
OH —	- 551	1,701	<u> </u>	1,701	2,252	94	1970	08/15	25
Middletown,	- 823	3 310	— — 823	310	1,133	12	2013	08/15	35
OH	0_0	010	028	010	1,100		2016	30,10	
Middletown,	- 155	5 1,952	— — 155	1,952	2,107	107	1966	08/15	25
Milford, OH —	- 309	1,942	— — 309	1,942	2,251	107	1960	08/15	25
New Albany,	- 493	3 1,238	— — 493	1,238	1,731	57	1995	08/15	30
Shepherdsville,									•
KY —	- 793	3 1,092	— — 793	1,092	1,885	50	2009	08/15	30
Springfield, OH—	- 560	1,691	— — 560	1,691	2,251	78	2007	08/15	30
Tipp City, OH —	- 503	919	— — 503	919	1,422	42	1996	08/15	30
Troy, OH —	- 445	5 1,807	— — 445	1,807	2,252	83	1987	08/15	30
Urbana, OH —	- 252	2 1,142	— — 252	1,142	1,394	63	1991	08/15	25
Washington, — OH	- 300	1,672	— — 300	1,672	1,972	77	1990	08/15	30
Wilmington, — OH	- 377	2,502	— — 377	2,502	2,879	138	1973	08/15	25
Winchester, KY—	- 348	3 1,325	— — 348	1,325	1,673	61	2008	08/15	30

Xenia, OH — 261 2,299 — — 261 2,299 2,560 105 1986 08/15 30 Fuel Up:

Chambersburg, — 76 197 — 76 197 273 112 1990 08/05 20 PA

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-29

Table of Contents

			Costs							
	Initial	Cost	Capita	lizedGross	Amoun	t at	Life on Which			
	to		Subsec	quen t Which	ı					Depreciation &
	Comp	any	to Acquis		ed at Clo	se of Pe	riod (a)) (b)		Amortization in Latest Income
		Buildir	_		Buildin	ıg,	Accui	mulated		Statement is
	T I U		_	&Carrying		_	&Depre	ec Daite nof	Date	Computed
	En čumo n	rances Leaseh	old	&Carrying vemenand Costs	Leaseh	old	and	Construction	Acquired	(Years)
		Interes	ts		Interest	ts	Amor	tization		
Real Estate Hel			the							
Company has I		n Under								
Operating Leas	es:									
Fuel-On:										
Bloomsburg,	·— 541	146		—541	146	687	83	1967	08/05	20
PA										
Emporium,	-380	569		-380	569	949	323	1996	08/05	20
PA										
Johnsonburg PA	·— 781	504		 781	504	1,285	286	1978	08/05	20
Kane, PA	<u> 478</u>	592		356	_	356		1984	08/05	0
Luzerne, PA		415		-330 -171	415	586	236	1989	08/05	20
Ridgway,										
PA	<u> 382</u>	259		382	259	641	147	1975	08/05	20
St. Mary's,										
PA	<u> 274</u>	261	_	274	261	535	148	1979	08/05	20
White	40.6	0.67		40.6	0.67	1.252	402	1000	00/05	20
Haven, PA (n)	—486	867	_	—486	867	1,353	493	1990	08/05	20
Danville, PA	<u>— 180</u>	359		-180	359	539	98	1988	01/06	40
Houtzdale,	<u> </u>	500		356	_	356	_	1977	01/06	0
PA	— J 1 1	300	_	-330		330	_	17//	01/00	O
Minersville,	— 680	582		680	582	1,262	159	1974	01/06	40
PA		002		000	202	1,202	10)	177.	01,00	
Pittsburgh,	— 905	1,346		—905	1,346	2,251	369	1967	01/06	40
PA		,			,	,				
Zelienople,	— 160	437		—160	437	597	120	1988	01/06	40
PA										
Fuji Japanese										
Steakhouse:										
Farmington, NM	-2,757	—	773	2,757	773	3,530	159	2003	12/07	(o) 40
1,1,1										
Furniture Bank	:									
Columbus,	— 1,596	024	226	1 605	1 150	2 757	205	1070	11/04	(a) 40
ОН	— 1,396	734	226	-1,605	1,132	2,131	295	1970	11/04	(o) 40
Furr's Family										
Dining:										

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Moore, OK	— 939		2,429	—939	2,429	3,368	559	2007	03/07	(m)40
Arlington, TX	—1,061		1,594	-1,061	1,594	2,655	247	2010	04/10	(m)40
McAllen, TX	— 520	1,700	_	— 520	1,700	2,220	286	2004	12/11	30
Gander Mountain:										
Mountain: Florence, Al	L— 1 034		4 315	<u>851</u>	4 315	5 166	463	2012	06/04	(m)40
Amarillo,	,		,	—1,514	•	•			11/04	40
DeForest, WI	2,798	10,953	2,500	2,787	13,413	16,200	2,308	2008	09/10	35
Springfield, IL	— 1,717	7,622	_	1,717	7,622	9,339	1,370	2009	09/10	35
Onalaska, WI	—1,963	_	6,817	1,733	6,817	8,550	973	2011	10/10	(m)40
Ocala, FL	3,315	8,908	_	-3,315	8,908	12,223	1,580	2008	10/10	35
Bowling Green, KY	— 1,777	7,319	_	—1,777	7,319	9,096	1,141	2007	07/11	35

	Costs Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount at to SubsequentWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income	
	En &ıan& r	Buildin Improverances Leaseho Interest	g, ements d Improv	&Carrying emdnand Costs	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements & Total old	&Depreand	nulated c Date nof Construction ization	Date Acquired		Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate Hell Company has I Operating Leas	nvested in		he									
Eau Claire, WI	2,263	8,418	_	2,263	8,418	10,681	1,313	2008	07/11		35	
Roanoke, VA	— 1,769	8,120	_	—1,769	8,120	9,889	1,266	2008	07/11		35	
Greenfield, IN	<u> </u>		6,166	<u>878</u>	6,166	7,044	405	2014	12/13	(m))40	
Lakeville, MN	•			-3,243		-		2003	03/15		30	
Chesterfield, MO	3,424	_	7,711	-3,424	7,711	11,135	217	2015	06/15	(m))40	
Gate Petroleum:												
Concord, NC	C—852	1,201	_	—852	1,201	2,053	346	2001	06/05		40	
Rocky Mount, NC	— 259	1,164	_	—259	1,164	1,423	336	2000	06/05		40	
Gerber												
Collision: Garner, NC		1,056			1,056	1,408	200	1972	03/13	()	20	
Estero, FL Woodstock,	-839 -328	 1,291	2,133 —	—839 —328	2,135 1,291	2,974 1,619	5391	20151990	10/14 11/14	(m)	30	
GA Roswell, GA	958	_	1,920	— 961	1,920	2,881	138	2015	12/14	(m))25	
Tucson, AZ Tucson, AZ		1,746 1,518	_	—330 —242	1,746 1,518	2,076 1,760	97 99	2008 2002	01/15 01/15		35 30	
Global:												
Augusta, ME Bedford, NH		1,384 907	_	—234 —332	1,384 907	1,618 1,239	30 20	1987 1980	06/16 06/16		25 25	
Bridgeport, CT	— 331	1,762		—331	1,762	2,093	38	1979	06/16		25	
	— 176 — 497	1,044 926	_	—176 —497	1,044 926	1,220 1,423	23 17	1987 2004	06/16 06/16		25 30	
Epping, NH		1,363	_		1,363	2,161	25	1998	06/16		30	
Exeter, NH	— 59 <i>3</i>	3,258	_	— 593	3,258	3,851	59	2001	06/16		30	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Fitzwilliam, — 146 NH	2,404		—146	2,404	2,550	52	1993	06/16	25
Gardner, —88	2,764	_	—88	2,764	2,852	60	1968	06/16	25
Hanover, —380	1,131	_	—380	1,131	1,511	24	1991	06/16	25
Johnston, RI — 478	1,082		478	1,082	1,560	23	1992	06/16	25
Manchester, — 584	1,869		— 584	1,869	2,453	40	1983	06/16	25
CT	1,007	_	—30 4	1,007	2,733	1 0	1703	00/10	23
Middleton, —331	1,694	_	—331	1,694	2,025	31	2001	06/16	30
Milford, MA — 642	1,869		642	1,869	2,511	40	1972	06/16	25
Nashua, NH — 351	1,160		-351	1,160	1,511	25	1991	06/16	25
North Easton, MA — 1,293	3 2,917	_	1,293	2,917	4,210	53	2005	06/16	30
Portland, ME —361	732	_	361	732	1,093	16	1987	06/16	25
Saugus, MA — 885	3,209	_		3,209	4,094	58	1997	06/16	30
Scarborough,—662	1,393			•	·				
ME	1,393	_	662	1,393	2,055	25	1998	06/16	30
Tewksbury, —449	839	_	—449	839	1,288	15	2000	06/16	30
Townsend, —195	1,695		—195	1,695	1,890	37	1983	06/16	25
Waltham	1.005		465	1.005	2.462	4.2	1002	0646	2.5
MA —467	1,995	_	—467	1,995	2,462	43	1983	06/16	25
Warwick, RI — 633	1,120	_	633	1,120	1,753	20	2004	06/16	30
Waterville, —49	1,112	_	49	1,112	1,161	24	1987	06/16	25
ME Westerly, RI — 506	2,141	_	— 506	2,141	2,647	39	1998	06/16	30
Westerly, RI — 351	1,830	_	-351	1,830	2,181	40	1989	06/16	25
Westford, —448	1,072		—448	1,072	1,520	19	1998	06/16	30
MA	1,072		770	1,072	1,320	1)	1770	00/10	30
Weymouth, —214	1,802		—214	1,802	2,016	39	1960	06/16	25
Wyoming, —409	1,276		— 409	1,276	1,685	23	1999	06/16	30
RI York, ME — 175	2,812	_	 175	2,812	2,987	61	1990	06/16	25
101K, WILL 175	2,012		175	2,012	2,707	01	1,7,0	00/10	23
Golden Corral:									
Lake Placid, —115	305	54	—115	359	474	322	1985	05/85	35
FL			—1,188		2,527	504	1998	12/01	40
Temple	•		,	*					
Terrace, FL —1,330	1,391	_	-1,330	1,391	2,721	523	1997	12/01	40
Davenport, —923	2 122		—923	2,122	3,045	114	1998	02/15	35
IA	•	_	123	2,122	2,072	117	1770	0411	55
Orange Park, — 1,074	1,794		-1,074	1,794	2,868	112	1995	02/15	30
Pansagala									
FL — 1,344	3,212	_	-1,344	3,212	4,556	172	1999	02/15	35

Goodwill:									
Sealy, TX — 612	675	644	612	1,319	1,931	398	1982	03/99	40
Fort Worth, —988	2,368	32	—988	2,401	3,389	705	1997	02/05	40
Goodyear									
Truck & Tire: Anthony, TX— (1)	1,242	6	— (1)	1,248	1,248	294	2007	02/07	40
Daggardana		U							
OH (l)	1,521	_	— (l)	1,521	1,521	366	2004	05/07	40
Benton, AR — (1)	309	_	— (l)	309	309	73	2001	05/07	40
Bowman, SC— (l) Dalton, GA — (l)	969 1,541	_	— (1) — (1)	969 1,541	969 1,541	267 371	1998 2004	05/07 05/07	35 40
Dondridge									
$\frac{\text{Daildridge}}{\text{TN}}$ — (1)	1,030		— (l)	1,030	1,030	283	1989	05/07	35
Franklin, OH— (l)	563		— (l)	563	563	155	1998	05/07	35
Gary, IN — (l)	1,486	_	— (l)	1,486	1,486	358	2004	05/07	40
$\frac{\text{Georgetown}}{\text{KY}}$ — (l)	679		— (l)	679	679	218	1997	05/07	30
Mebane, NC — (l)	561		— (l)	561	561	154	1998	05/07	35
Piedmont, — (1)	567		— (l)	567	567	156	1999	05/07	35
SC Port			()						
Wentworth, — (l)	552		— (l)	552	552	152	1998	05/07	35
GA			()						
Valdosta, — (1)	1,477		— (l)	1,477	1,477	355	2004	05/07	40
GA	•						2007	06/07	40
Temple, GA — (l) Whiteland,	1,065	_	— (1)	1,065	1,065	243			
$\frac{\text{Winterland}}{\text{IN}}$ — (1)	1,471	_	— (l)	1,471	1,471	348	2004	07/07	40
Des Moines, — (1)	816	_	— (l)	816	816	193	1987	07/07	40
IA	010		(1)	010	010	1,0	1,0,	07707	
Robinson, — (l)	1,183		— (1)	1,183	1,183	270	2007	07/07	40
Kearney	1 260		(1)	1 260	1 260	200	2002	07/07	40
MO — (1)	1,269	_	— (l)	1,269	1,269	300	2003	07/07	40
Oklahoma — (l)	1,247	_	— (l)	1,247	1,247	277	2008	08/07	40
City, OK Amarillo,									
TX — (1)	1,158	_	— (l)	1,158	1,158	247	2008	02/08	40
Jackson, MS — (l)	1,281		— (l)	1,281	1,281	271	2008	03/08	40
Glendale, — (1)	1,066	_	— (l)	1,066	1,066	219	2008	07/08	40
KY Lebanon, TN— (1)	1,331		— (l)	1,331	1,331	268	2008	08/08	(p) 40
Laredo, TX — (1)	1,238		— (1)	1,238	1,238	241	2009	11/08	(p) 40
Midland, TX— (l)	1,148		— (l)	1,148	1,148	185	2010	04/10	(p) 40
Tuscaloosa, (1)	1,002	_	— (1)	1,002	1,002	151	2010	08/10	(p) 40
AL	•								-
Kenly, NC — (l)	1,066		— (l)	1,066	1,066	157 150	2011 2011	11/10 01/11	(p) 40
— (l)	1,042	50	— (l)	1,092	1,092	130	2011	01/11	(p) 40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Matthews, MO									
Baytown, — (1)	_	1,375	— (1)	1,375	1,375	185	2011	05/11	(p) 40
Sunbury, OH— (1)		1,424	— (l)	1,424	1,424	179	2011	06/11	(p) 40
Greenwood, — (l)		1,291	— (1)	1,291	1,291	165	2011	06/11	(p) 40
Joplin, MO — (l)	_	1,168	— (1)	1,168	1,168	150	2011	06/11	(p) 40
Winslow, — (l)		1,613	— (l)	1,613	1,613	197	2012	09/11	(p) 40
Gulfport, — (1)	_	1,377	— (1)	1,377	1,377	162	2012	11/11	(p) 40
Sulphur Springs, TX — (1)		1,283	— (l)	1,283	1,283	148	2012	12/11	(p) 40
Walcott, IA — (l)	_	1,673	— (1)	1,673	1,673	47	2015	07/15	(p) 40
S. Beloit, IL — (1)	—	1,927	— (l)	1,927	1,927	42	2016	08/15	(p) 40
Eloy, AZ — (l)		1,739	— (l)	1,739	1,739	38	2016	10/15	(p) 40
Gordmans:	2	4 170	1 202	4 170	5 490	166	2012	10/11	(***) 40
Avon, IN — 1,30 Wyoming,		4,178	—1,302		5,480	466	2012	12/11	(m)40
MI $-1,32$	2 —	4,447	—1,322	•	5,769	273	2014	10/13	(m)40
Saginaw, MI — 763	_	4,088		4,088	4,851	251	2014	02/14	(m)40
Great Clips:									
Swansea, IL — 46	132	157	—46	290	336	44	1997	12/01	(g) 40
Lapeer, MI — 27	194		—27	184	211	44	2007	10/05	40
Green Light									
Convenience:	200		222	200	(22	176	1000	00/05	20
_	309	_	—323	309	632	176	1980	08/05	20
Convenience:	309	_	—323	309	632	176	1980	08/05	20
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, — 1 59	309 9 1,419		—323 —1,599			176 394	1980 1994	08/05 08/06	20
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Possaville									
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block:	9 1,419		—1,599	1,442	3,041	394	1994	08/06	40
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46	9 1,419	69	—1,599 —46	1,442 201	3,041	394 100	1994 1997	08/06 12/01	40
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block:	9 1,419		—1,599	1,442	3,041	394	1994	08/06	40
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46	9 1,419	69	—1,599 —46	1,442 201	3,041	394 100	1994 1997	08/06 12/01	40
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46 Bristol, VA — 63 Harbor Freight Tools: Federal — 2 03	9 1,419	69	—1,599 —46	1,442 201 224	3,041	394 100	1994 1997	08/06 12/01	40
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46 Bristol, VA — 63 Harbor Freight Tools: Federal Way, WA Gastonia	9 1,419 132 184 7 1,662	69 40 438		1,442 201 224 2,100	3,041 247 287 4,137	394 100 19	1994 1997 2000	08/06 12/01 07/14	40 40 25
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46 Bristol, VA — 63 Harbor Freight Tools: Federal Way, WA Gastonia, NC — 994	9 1,419 132 184	69 40	—1,599 —46 —63	1,442 201 224	3,041 247 287	394 100 19	1994 1997 2000	08/06 12/01 07/14	40 40 25
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46 Bristol, VA — 63 Harbor Freight Tools: Federal Way, WA Gastonia, NC Plainfield, — 503	9 1,419 132 184 7 1,662	69 40 438		1,442 201 224 2,100	3,041 247 287 4,137	394 100 19	1994 1997 2000	08/06 12/01 07/14	40 40 25
Convenience: Moosic, PA — 323 Guitar Center: Roseville, MN — 1,59 H&R Block: Swansea, IL — 46 Bristol, VA — 63 Harbor Freight Tools: Federal Way, WA Gastonia, NC Plainfield	9 1,419 132 184 7 1,662 1,513 —	69 40 438 146	1,59946632,037994	1,442 201 224 2,100 1,659 1,633	3,041 247 287 4,137 2,653	394 100 19 888 478	1994 1997 2000 1994 2004	08/06 12/01 07/14 06/98 12/04	40 40 25 40 40

Hardee's:

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL	RETAIL PROPE	ERTIES, INC	Form 10-K

Savannah, —151	713	_	—151	713	864	67	1988	02/15	20
Warrenton, NC (n) — 143	633	_	—143	633	776	40	1960	02/15	30
Harvey's Bar & Grill: Bay City, MI—647	634	_	—647	634	1,281	238	1997	12/01	40
Havertys Furniture: Pensacola,									
FL —633 Bowie, MD —1,966	1,595 4 221	66	-603 $-1,966$	1,661 4 221	2,2646,187	827 1,914	1994 1997	06/96 12/97	40 39
Health Source	7,221		-1,700	7,221	0,107	1,717	1777	12/)/	3)
Chiropractic: Houston, TX — 112	509	302	—112	811	923	170	1995	08/06	40
Healthy Pet: Suwanee, GA — 175	1,038	_	—175	1,038	1,213	261	1997	12/06	40
Colonial Heights, VA — 160	746	_	—160	746	906	186	1996	01/07	40
Hear USA: Lapeer, MI — 29	211	_	—29	201	230	48	2007	10/05	40
Hibbett Sports: Sealy, TX — 208	230	278	—208	508	716	109	1982	03/99 ((g) 40
Hobby Lobby: Beavercreek, — 1,837	_	3,790	—1,926	3,701	5,627	96	2015	08/15 ((m)40
Hollywood Feed: Ridgeland, MS — 343	411	362	—343	773	1,116	150	1997	08/06	40
Home Decor: Memphis, TN — 549	540	364	— 549	904	1,453	389	1998	12/97	40
Home Depot: Sunrise, FL — 5,149	_	_	5,149	(i)	5,149	(i)	(i)	05/03	(i)
HomeGoods: Fairfax, VA — 523	756	1,585	— 971	2,341	3,312	940	1995	12/95	40

Hometown

Urgent Care:

Warren, OH — 562 468 100 — 562 568 1,130 192 1997 12/01 40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-31

	Initial to Comp Encluande	oany Buildi	Subseq to Acquis ng,		i d at Clo Buildii	ose of Pe	Accun	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)	
		Interes		Costs	Interes			ization	riequirea	(Tears)
Real Estate He Company has l Operating Leas Hooters:	invested in ses:	under								
Tampa, FL	— 784	505	450	— 784	955	1,739	209	1993	12/01	40
Hudson Grille: Alpharetta, GA		1,642	_	-3,033	1,642	4,675	617	1999	12/01	40
Humana: Sunrise, FL	— 800	253	_	— 800	253	1,053	80	1984	05/04	40
Hy-Vee: St. Joseph, MO	— 1,580	2,849	_	— 1,580	2,849	4,429	1,018	1991	09/02	40
Insurance Auto Auctions:)									
New Orleans, LA	— 1,445	_	4,123	— 1,445	3,987	5,432	437	1993	06/13 (o)	30
E Dundee, IL	2,772		8,320	2,772	8,320	11,092	416	2014	01/14 (m	1)40
Bergen, NY	— 762		3,201	— 762	3,201	3,963	70	2016	08/15 (m	1)40
Eminence, KY	— 724	4,928	_		4,928	5,652	41	2015	09/16	35
Meridian, II Flint, MI	-	-		— 1,076 — 1,049	-	5,562 1,049	5 (e)	2006 (e)) (k) n)(m)
Int'l House of Pancakes:										
Midwest City, OK	— 407	_	_	<u>407</u>	(i)	407	(i)	(i)	11/00	(i)
Ankeny, IA	— 693	515	_	— 693	515	1,208	198	2002	06/05	30
ISD Renal:										
Corpus Christi, TX	— 406	4,036	_	— 406	4,036	4,442	678	1978	12/11	30
,	— 66	2,748	_	— 66	2,748	2,814	396	2007	12/11	35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Kendallville,

IN

Memphis, TN	— 180	3,223 —	— 180	3,223 3,403	542	2002	12/11	30
Memphis, TN	— 283	4,146 —	— 283	4,146 4,429	697	2001	12/11	30

J & J

Insurance:

Jack in the

Box:

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-32

Table of Contents

Jiffi Stop:

Real Estate Held Company has In	d for	Comp ultabreln Invest	Building Improve Improve Leaseho Interests	Subseque to Acquising, ement@accurre	a Wihich Carried tion	l at Close Building	e of Periods, g, ments of Total	Accur Depre	(b) mulated dDationof Construction tization	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Operating Lease		ca iii c	ilaci								
Jack's: Blounstville, AL Centre, AL	_	435 128	1,543 2,648	 		1,543 2,648	1,978 2,776	62 91	1997 2006	10/15 10/15	30 35
Collinsville,		119	1,968		119	1,968	2,087	95	1994	10/15	25
AL Demopolis, AL		208	1,514			1,514	1,722		2007	10/15	35
Geraldine, AL		119	2,125		119	2,125	2,244	86	1998	10/15	30
Guin, AL	_	89	1,652		89	1,652	1,741	67	1999	10/15	30
Hanceville, AL	_	544	1,779		544	1,779	2,323	72	2002	10/15	30
Holly Pond, AL		119	2,056		119	2,056	2,175	83	2000	10/15	30
Jasper, AL Ohatchee,	_	247	2,549		247	2,549	2,796	123	1983	10/15	25
AL		119	1,938		119	1,938	2,057	78	1995	10/15	30
Scottsboro, AL	_	247	1,494		247	1,494	1,741	52	2006	10/15	35
Fyffe, AL	_	95	1,657		95	1,657	1,752	39	2001	04/16	30
Lafayette, AL	—	209	1,989		209	1,989	2,198	56	1987	04/16	25
Pinson, AL		228	2,453		228	2,453	2,681	58	1994	04/16	30
Jared Jewelers: Phoenix, AZ		(1)	1,242		(1)	310	310	20	1998	12/01	30
Richmond,	_	955	1,336		955	1,336	2,291	502	1998	12/01	40
VA Brandon, FL		1,197	1,182		1,197	1,182	2,379	433	2001	05/02	40
Lithonia, GA		-			-	1,216	2,487		2001	05/02	40
Houston, TX Oviedo, FL		-			-	1,440	3,116 2,196		1999 1998	12/02 06/13	40 30
Ovicuo, FL	_	1,320	1,500		1,340	000	2,190	31	1770	00/13	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Bowen, IL —		1,194 744	48 39	1,194 744	1,242 783	10 5	1984 1999	10/16 10/16	25 30
Carrollton,		1,319	— — 48	1,319	1,367	11	1986	10/16	25
Griggsville,		801	— — 29	801	830	7	1983	10/16	25
Jacksonville,	854	4,251	— — 854	4,251	5,105	25	2010	10/16	35
Pittsfield, IL —		581	— — 19	581	600	5	1947	10/16	25
Pleasant Hill,	87	753	— — 87	753	840	6	1980	10/16	25
Quincy, IL —	183	1,539	<u> </u>	1,539	1,722	11	2002	10/16	30

	En	Initial to Comp	any Buildir	Subsequents Acquising, Vernents Improvious	uent Which Carrie	Building, Improvements I Leasehold		Accum	nulated Dataten of Construction	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
	Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under										
		sted in	Under								
Operating Leas Quincy, IL		506	2,056	_	— 596	2,056	2,652	1/1	2003	10/16	30
Quincy, IL Quincy, IL			676		-58	676	734	6	1994	10/16	25
Springfield,		231	1,625						1999		30
IL		231	1,023		<u> 231</u>	1,625	1,856	11	1999	10/16	30
Springfield,	_	518	3,782	_	— 518	3,782	4,300	32	1995	10/16	25
IL Springfield,											
IL		192	2,593		— 192	2,593	2,785	22	1993	10/16	25
Springfield,		288	2,411		— 288	2,411	2,699	20	1992	10/16	25
IL			·								
Taylor, MO		39	945		 39	945	984	8	1982	10/16	25
Jiffy Lube:											
Auburn, MA	. —	455	856		— 455	856	1,311	60	1988	07/14	35
Ayer, MA	—	326	792		— 326	792	1,118	65	1989	07/14	30
Barrington,		371	612		— 371	612	983	50	1986	07/14	30
IL Berwyn, IL			709		— 359	709	1,068		1985	07/14	35
Bolingbrook		339					,				
IL	'—	185	562		— 185	562	747	46	1986	07/14	30
Burbank, IL			418		— 156	418	574	51	1986	07/14	20
Plattsburgh,		127	421		— 127	421	548	41	1993	07/14	25
NY Romeoville											
Romeoville, IL		158	557		<u> </u>	557	715	46	1988	07/14	30
Worcester,		287	827		<u> </u>	827	1,114	50	1988	07/14	35
MA		207	021		— 20 <i>1</i>	021	1,114	30	1900	07/14	33
Jin's Asian Cafe: Sealy, TX	_	67	74	_	— 67	74	141	34	1982	03/99	40
Jo-Ann etc:											
Corpus	_	818	896	71	<u> </u>	967	1,785	531	1967	11/93	40
Christi, TX											
		1,/41	3,400	1,233	— 1,741	0,039	0,380	1,/39	2003	06/05 (g	9)40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

St. Peters,

MO

Johnny

Carino's:

Lubbock, TX— 1,007 1,206 — — 1,007 1,206 2,213 453 1995 12/01 40

Just Toys

Classic Cars:

Orlando, FL — 820 2,441 125 — 820 2,566 3,386 1,395 1992 05/93 40

Kangaroo

Express:

Carthage, — 485 354 — — 485 354 839 92 1989 08/06 40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-34

		Initial Comp	Cost to any Building	Subsequaritich to Carrie Acquisition	Capitali Coxbss Amount at Subsequivitioh to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition								
Enc		u lmabna lm	•	ementsærving Improvenænts old Costs	0.		&Depreculation of and Construction Amortization		Date Acquired	Computed (Years)			
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases:													
Sanford, NC		666	661	— — 666	661	1,327	171	2000	08/06	40			
Sanford, NC				- 1,638	1,371		355	2003	08/06	40			
Siler City, NC	_	586	645	— — 586	645	1,231	167	1998	08/06	40			
West End, NC	_	426	516	— — 397	516	913	134	1999	08/06	40			
Belleview, FL		471	1,451	— — 471	1,451	1,922	376	2006	08/06	40			
Jacksonville, FL	_	683	1,362	— — 683	1,362	2,045	353	1969	08/06	40			
Jacksonville, FL	_	807	1,239	— — 807	1,239	2,046	321	1975	08/06	40			
Destin, FL	_	1,366	1,192	— — 1,366	1,192	2,558	307	2000	09/06	40			
Niceville, FL (n)	_	1,434	1,124	— — 1,434	1,124	2,558	289	2000	09/06	40			
Kill Devil Hills, NC	_	679	552	— — 679	552	1,231	141	1990	10/06	40			
Kill Devil Hills, NC	_	490	741	— — 490	741	1,231	189	1995	10/06	40			
Interlachen, FL	_	519	1,500	— — 519	1,500	2,019	330	2007	10/06	40			
Clarksville, TN	_	276	955	— — 276	955	1,231	240	1999	12/06	40			
Clarksville, TN	_	521	710	— — 521	710	1,231	178	1999	12/06	40			
Gallatin, TN	_	474	757	— — 474	757	1,231	190	1999	12/06	40			
Midland City, AL	_	729	2,538	— — 729	2,538	3,267	637	2006	12/06	40			
Naples, FL	_	3,195	1,403	— — 2,985	1,403	4,388	352	2001	12/06	40			
Columbiana, AL	_	771	989	— — 771	989	1,760	246	1982	01/07	40			
Naples, FL	_	3,162 745	1,597 758	— — 3,162 — — 745	1,597 758	4,759 1,503	394 186	1995 2001	02/07 03/07	40 40			
Kentwood,													
LA Dothan, AL	_	985 774	891 1,886	— 985— 774	891 1,886	1,876 2,660		20012007	03/07 03/07	40 40			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,		,	,							

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL	RETAIL	PROPERTIES.	INC	Form 10-K
=aga: :g. : : . : : =				

Cary, NC		-	-	 -	-	4,001 3,439	382 498	2000 2007	05/07 08/07	40 40
Havelock, NC	_	170	681	 170	681	851	56	1962	07/14	30
Statesville, NC	_	249	653	 249	653	902	46	1960	07/14	35
KARM Home Store: Knoxville, TN	_	467	735	 467	735	1,202	330	1999	01/98	(f)40
Kash n' Karry: Seffner, FL	_	322	1,222	 322	1,222	1,544	403	1983	03/99	40

		Costs Capitali@obss Amount at Subsequentich to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition								
Enc	: wlicalouch i	Buildin Improvences Leaseho Interest	g, emen Gas rying Improvera cti old Costs	Improv Leaseh	Building, Improvements Leasehold Interests		Accumulated Deprementation Construction Amortization		Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate Held for In Company has Invested Operating Leases: Keg Steakhouse:										
Lynnwood, WA—	1,256	649	— — 1,250	649	1,905	244	1992	12/01	40	
KFC:										
Fenton, MO —	307	496	— — 307	496	803	369	1985	07/92	33	
Erie, PA —	517	496	<u> </u>	496	1,013	187	1996	12/01	40	
Marysville, WA—		546	<u> </u>	546	1,193	205	1996	12/01	40	
Evansville, IN —		767	— — 370	767	1,137	204	2004	05/06	40	
Hampton, VA —		1,173	<u> </u>	1,173	1,424	161	2001	11/12	30	
Mechanicsville,VA	482	422	— — 482	422	904	70	1989	11/12	25	
Newport News,	461	883	— — 461	883	1,344	121	2001	11/12	30	
Newport News,	582	392	— — 582	392	974	65	1985	11/12	25	
Newport News,	572	442	— — 572	442	1,014	73	1986	11/12	25	
Richmond, VA —	492	452	— — 492	452	944	53	2003	11/12	35	
Richmond, VA —	552	532	<u> </u>	532	1,084	88	1984	11/12	25	
Richmond, VA —	452	452	— — 452	452	904	75	1984	11/12	25	
Richmond, VA —	532	472	<u> </u>	472	1,004	78	1986	11/12	25	
Richmond, VA —	481	1,253	— — 481	1,253	1,734	207	1990	11/12	25	
Virginia Beach, VA	402	482	— — 402	482	884	80	1984	11/12	25	
Ahoskie, NC —	393	1,012	— — 393	1,012	1,405	123	1988	12/13	25	
Elizabeth City,NC	197	1,209	— — 197	1,209	1,406	147	1988	12/13	25	
Brownsville,	334	865	— — 334	865	1,199	102	1990	01/14	25	
Brownsville, —	404	374	— — 404	374	778	32	2003	01/14	35	
Copperas Cove,	256	747	— — 256	747	1,003	74	2001	01/14	30	
Del Rio, TX —	453	246	— — 453	246	699	24	1995	01/14	30	
Eagle Pass, TX —			— — 226	1,071	1,297		1992	01/14	25	
Edinburg, TX —		1,237	— — 452	1,237	1,689		1996	01/14	30	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Harker Heights, — 275	1,218 — — 275	1,218 1,493 103	2008	01/14	35
Harlingen, TX — 128	1,708 — — 128	1,708 1,836 202	1992	01/14	25
Jacksonville, — 69	562 — 69	562 631 67	1985	01/14	25
Killeen, TX — 226	1,228 — — 226	1,228 1,454 121	1993	01/14	30
Laredo, TX — 265	1,580 — — 265	1,580 1,845 156	1996	01/14	30
Marshall, TX — 89	709 - 89	709 798 84	1985	01/14	25
McAllen, TX — 491	1,051 - 491	1,051 1,542 124	1987	01/14	25

		Costs Initial Cost Capitalizedross Amount at to SubsequeWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income	
	Encu	ırhlara	Building Improve thces Leaseho Interests	,, ment Imp ld			Building Improver Leasehol Interests		Depred and	nulated catten of Construction ization	Date Acquired	Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate He Company has l	Invest			e									
Operating Leas		127	1 404			127	1 404	1 5 4 1	120	1002	01/14	20	
Mission, TX Palestine,	_	13/	1,404	_	_	137	1,404	1,541	138	1993	01/14	30	
TX	—	89	484	—		89	484	573	57	1996	01/14	25	
Pharr, TX	_	167	581			167	581	748	57	1999	01/14	30	
Rio Grande City, TX		256	394			256	394	650	33	2004	01/14	35	
S Padre Island, TX	_	856	30	_	_	856	30	886	3	1994	01/14	30	
San Benito, TX		177	503	_	_	177	503	680	50	1994	01/14	30	
Temple, TX			1,188			246	1,188	1,434	141	1985	01/14	25	
Tyler, TX	—	709		—		709	30	739	3	1994	01/14	30	
Waco, TX	—		620		_	276	620	896	73	1984	01/14	25	
Waco, TX Weslaco,		463	246	_		463	246	709	24	1993	01/14	30	
TX	_	236	1,561	_	_	236	1,561	1,797	154	1995	01/14	30	
Belton, MO		267	744	_	_	267	744	1,011	33	1987	06/15	35	
Cameron, MO		229	1,143			229	1,143	1,372	59	1999	06/15	30	
Columbia, MO	_	343	839	_	_	343	839	1,182	43	1987	06/15	30	
Excelsior Springs, MO	_	286	1,219		_	286	1,219	1,505	75	1988	06/15	25	
Ft Pierce, FL	_	591	695	_	_	591	695	1,286	36	2004	06/15	30	
Ft Pierce, FL	_	363	487	_	_	363	487	850	25	1992	06/15	30	
Lake Wales, FL	·	162	1,561	_	_	162	1,561	1,723	96	1986	06/15	25	
Oak Grove, MO	_	209	1,323	_	_	209	1,323	1,532	68	2003	06/15	30	
Port St Lucie, FL	_	695	857	_	_	695	857	1,552	44	1998	06/15	30	
Port St Lucie, FL	_	723	1,740		_	723	1,740	2,463	77	2006	06/15	35	
	—	409	1,123	_		409	1,123	1,532	58	2000	06/15	30	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Sebastian,								
FL								
Vero Beach, FL	428 1,218	— — 428	1,218	1,646	63	2004	06/15	30
Lisle, IL —	499 1,314	— — 499	1,314	1,813	57	2000	09/15	30
Lockport, IL—	499 1,085	— — 499	1,085	1,584	47	2007	09/15	30
Sandwich,	86 1,143	— — 86	1,143	1,229	49	1999	09/15	30
Yorkville,	413 960	— — 413	960	1,373	50	1972	09/15	25
Kohl's: Florence, AL	818 1,047	— — 818	698	1,516	218	2006	06/04	40
Kroger: Elkhart, IN —	541 1,550	— — 541	1,550	2,091	254	1979	07/14	15

F-37

	Fn	Initial to Comp	any Buildi	Subsequento Acquising,		ı d at Clo Buildiı	Accum	nulated	Date	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed	
	Lii	duanton	Leasel Interes		Costs	Leaseh Interes		and Amort		Acquired	(Years)
Real Estate Held Company has In Operating Lease Kum & Go:	ves			he							
Omaha, NE		393	214	_	— 393	214	607	124	1979	06/05	20
Kwik Pik:											
Bear Creek, PA		191	230	_	— 191	230	421	131	1980	08/05	20
Bradford, PA	_	184	762		—184	762	946	433	1983	08/05	20
Coraopolis, PA (n) Bear Creek		476	347	_	—476	347	823	198	1983	08/05	20
Township, PA (n)		689	275	_	— 689	275	964	155	1980	09/05	20
Beech Creek, PA		477	613		<u>477</u>	613	1,090	168	1988	01/06	40
Canisteo, NY		142	485		—142	485	627	133	1983	01/06	40
Curwensville, PA	· —	226	608	_	—226	608	834	167	1983	01/06	40
Ellwood City, PA	, —	196	526	_	—196	526	722	144	1987	01/06	40
Hastings, PA Jersey Shore,	_	199	455		—199	455	654	125	1989	01/06	40
PA		515	381		—515	381	896	104	1960	01/06	40
Leeper, PA Lewisberry,		286	644		—286	644	930	176	1987	01/06	40
PA		412	534		—412	534	946	146	1988	01/06	40
Mercersburg, PA		672	746	_		746	1,418	204	1988	01/06	40
New Florence, PA	_	298	812	_	—298	812	1,110	223	1989	01/06	40
Newstead, NY		255	835	_	—255	835	1,090	229	1990	01/06	40
Philipsburg, PA		428	269	_	—428	269	697	74	1978	01/06	40
Plainfield, PA		244	383	_	—244	383	627	105	1988	01/06	40
Reynoldsville PA	,	113	328	_	—113	328	441	90	1983	01/06	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Port Royal, PA	— 238	635	_	—238	635	873	332	1989	07/06	20
LA Fitness:										
Little Rock, AR	3,113	2,660	4,125	-3,113	6,785	9,898	1,577	1997	09/98	40
Sarasota, FL	<u>471</u>	1,344	4,450	471	5,794	6,265	1,073	1983	03/99	(g) 40
Centerville, OH	2,700	_	8,572	2,700	8,572	11,272	1,616	2009	06/08	(m)40
Warren, MI	2,360	_	6,674	2,360	6,674	9,034	1,300	2009	07/08	(m)40
Cincinnati, OH	5,145		9,011	5,145	9,011	14,156	1,699	2009	08/08	(m)40
Lawrence, IN	1,599		5,867	1,762	5,870	7,632	935	2010	01/10	(m)40
Laveen, AZ	— 1,665		5,749	1,665	5,749	7,414	892	2010	02/10	(m)40
Kennesaw, GA	— 3,653	_	3,325	-3,653	3,325	6,978	495	2011	07/10	(m)40
Arlington, ΤΣ	X— 1,166	6,214	_	— 1,166	6,214	7,380	1,058	2007	01/11	35

	Initial to Comp		_	ize G ross uen W hich Carrie ition) (b)		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income			
	Enkandb	Buildin Improv rances Leaseh Interes	rements Improv old	&Carrying emetatsd Costs	Building Improv Leaseh Interest	ements Total old	&Depre and	nulated dationof Construction tization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Investigation	ested in U		e							
Operating Leases: Hurst, TX		6.187	_	1,494	6.187	7.681	965	2008	07/11	35
South Plainfield, NJ	 2,415	•		 2,415			855	2006	06/12	35
McDonough,	1,503	6,727	_	1,503	6,727	8,230	825	2008	09/12	35
GA Greensburg, PA				-1,791		8,806	709	2012	12/12	40
Indianapolis, IN				—1,651		8,236	665	2012	12/12	40
Phoenix, AZ	,			-1,601		8,141	661	2012	12/12	40
Tampa, FL	-4,492	10,894	_	-4,492	10,894	15,386	1,101	2012	12/12	40
West Dundee, IL	—1,961	6,525	_	1,961	6,525	8,486	659	2012	12/12	40
	-3,636			-3,636	-	10,962		2006	05/13	35
Royal Oak, MI	-3,238	8,998	_	-3,238	8,998	12,236	846	2010	09/13	35
St. Louis Park, MN	-3,436	8,665		-3,436	8,665	12,101	753	2009	12/13	35
Pompano Beach, FL	— 7,009	_	9,572	— 7,009	9,572	16,581	233	2015	12/14	(m)40
San Antonio, TX	2,084	_	7,814	2,081	7,814	9,895	73	2016	02/15	(m)(k)
Antioch, CA	-2,521		-	-2,521	-	-		2016		(m)40
Plymouth, MI				1,646				2015		(m)40
Spanaway, WA	. —846	_	7,331	846	7,331	8,177	160	2016	07/15	(m)40
Round Rock, TX	—1,556	_	_	1,556	(e)	1,556	(e)	(e)	04/16	(m)(m)
Roswell, GA	-3,175		_	-3,175	(e)	3,175	(e)	(e)	10/16	(m)(m)
· ·	-2,391			-2,391		2,391	(e)	(e)	12/16	(m)(m)
Lakeland, FL	1,856	_	_	1,856	(e)	1,856	(e)	(e)	12/16	(m)(m)
LaPetite Academy: Albuquerque,										
NM	—332	1,166	_	—332	1,166	1,498	96	1989	07/14	30
Ft. Worth, TX	—140	383	_	—140	383	523	63	1981	07/14	15
Moore, OK	— 119	412	_	—119	412	531	68	1982	07/14	15
Oklahoma City OK	·—100	391	_	—100	391	491	64	1982	07/14	15

Last Stop West:

Azle, TX —648 859 — —648 859 1,507 205 1970 06/07 40

Legacy-GoHealth:

Portland, OR —191 40 — —197 — 197 (e) (e) 09/06 (e)

			Costs								
Initial Cost to CapitalizedGross Amount at SubsequentWhich											Life on Which
			Subseq	•		CD	. 17	\			Depreciation &
	•	•	to Acquis		ed at Clos	se of Per	10a (a	l) (b)			Amortization in Latest Income
		Buildin	_	ition	Buildin	g.	Accı	ımulated			Statement is
	E., J.,		·	&Carrying					Date		Computed
	Enduantor	Leaseho	old	&Carrying vemenand Costs	Leaseho	old			Acquirec	l	(Years)
		Interest	S		Interest	S	Amo	ortization			
Real Estate Hell Company has I Operating Leas Life Time Fitness:	nvested in		ne								
Mt. Laurel, NJ	— 3,617	39,878	_	— 3,617	39,878	43,495	712	2015	05/16		35
Framingham MA					•	,			10/16		40
Gaithersburg MD	s,— 8,344	45,286	_	8,344	45,286	53,630	236	2016	10/16		40
Lil' Champ:											
Gainesville, FL			1,800	— 900	1,800	2,700	441	2006	07/05	(m)	40
Jacksonville, FL		3,265	_	2,225	3,265	5,490	701	2006	08/05		40
Ocala, FL	— 846	_	1,564		1,564	2,410	373	2006	02/06	(m)	40
LoanMax: Bridgeview, IL	— 673	744	_	— 673	744	1,417	280	1997	12/01		40
Logan's Roadhouse:											
Alexandria, LA	— 1,218	3,049	_	1,218	3,049	4,267	772	1998	11/06		40
Beckley, WV	/— 1,396	2,405	—	—1,396	2,405	3,801	609	2006	11/06		40
Cookeville, TN	— 1,262	2,271	_	—1,262	2,271	3,533	575	1997	11/06		40
Greenwood, IN	— 1,341	2,105	_	1,341	2,105	3,446	533	2000	11/06		40
Hurst, TX		-				3,774		1999	11/06		40
Jackson, TN Lake	•	•		1,200	,	3,446		1994	11/06		40
Charles, LA	— 1,285			—1,285		3,487		1998	11/06		40
McAllen, TX						3,786		2005	11/06		40
Roanoke, VA				-2,302 -837		4,249 2,290		1998 2000	11/06 11/06		40 40
	00,	-,		00,	-,	_,	_ 00		0 0		-

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

San Marcos,								
TX								
Smyrna, TN — 1,335 2,047		— 1,335	2,047	3,382	518	2002	11/06	40
Franklin, TN — 2,519 1,705		-2,519	1,705	4,224	428	1995	12/06	40
Southhaven, — 1,298 1,338 MS	_	1,298	1,338	2,636	336	2005	12/06	40
Columbus, — 707 —	1,681		1,681	2,388	226	2011	11/10	(m)40
Nashville, — 844 —	1,592	<u> </u>	1,592	2,436	204	2011	06/11	(m)40
Marion, IL — 1,016 —	1,674	-1,016	1,674	2,690	180	2012	03/12	(m)40
Pooler, GA — 1,159 —	1,720	— 1,159	1,720	2,879	167	2013	03/12	(m)40
Cullman, AL — 889 —	1,585	-889	1,585	2,474	167	2012	04/12	(m)40
Lebanon, TN — 789 —	1,725	— 789	1,725	2,514	174	2012	06/12	(m)40
Chester, VA — 871 —	1,697	<u> </u>	1,697	2,568	168	2013	07/12	(m)40

	Encumb	Initial to Comp	any Buildin	Subsequ to Acquisit g, ements & Improve	ion	n ed at Clo Buildin	se of Pe g, ements Total old	Accur Depreand) (b) mulated dDationof Construction tization	Date Acquired		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held												
has Invested in Gonzales, LA Madison, AL	_ ^	erating 975 689	g Leases — —	: 1,696 1,657	—975 —689	1,696 1,657	2,671 2,346	161 150	2013 2013	10/12 11/12	(m) (m)	
Hopkinsville, KY	_	644		1,788	644	1,788	2,432	121	2014	09/13	(m)	40
Muscle Shoals, AL	_	907	_	1,506	— 907	1,506	2,413	77	2014	06/14	(m)	40
Lowe's: Memphis, TN	I—	3,215	9,170	24	—3,215	9,194	12,409	3,342	2001	06/02		40
Magic China Café: Orlando, FL	_	40	111	_	40	111	151	36	2001	02/04		40
Magic Mountain: Columbus, OH Columbus,	_ _		1,906 2,693	124 25	—2,076 —5,380		4,106 8,098	470 646	1990 1990	06/07 06/07		40 40
Main Event:												
Oklahoma City, OK	_	2,004	8,711	_	2,004	8,711	10,715	336	2014	06/15		40
San Antonio, TX	_	2,115	10,080	_	-2,115	10,080	12,195	444	2014	06/15		35
Tulsa, OK	_	1,542	7,748	_	1,542	7,748	9,290	299	2015	06/15		40
Fort Worth, TX	_	2,538	_	6,623	-2,538	6,622	9,160	145	2016	12/15	(m)	40
Louisville, KY	_	2,504	_	6,375	2,504	6,375	8,879	126	2016	12/15	(m)	40
Independence MO	·,	1,794	7,650		-1,794	7,650	9,444	199	2015	12/15		40
Memphis, TN Olathe, KS	T—	1,263 3,174	6,825	— 6,365	—1,263 —3,174	-	8,088 9,539	178 33	2015 2016	12/15 02/16	(m)	40 (k)
West Chester OH	·	2,767	_	6,414	2,767	6,414	9,181	100	2016	02/16	(m)	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Hoffman Estates, IL	_	1,730		8,022	-1,730	8,022	9,752	75	2016	06/16	(m)40
Suwanee, GA		2,172		4,842	-2,172	4,842	7,014	5	2016	06/16	(m)(k)
Albuquerque NM	,	2,531		6,889	-2,531	6,889	9,420	93	2016	06/16	(m)40
Humble, TX		2,669			-2,669	(e)	2,669	(e)	(e)	10/16	(m)
Kansas City, MO		3,519	_		-3,519	(e)	3,519	(e)	(e)	10/16	(m)
Knoxville, TN	_	3,225		_	-3,225	(e)	3,225	(e)	(e)	12/16	(m)
Mariscos Morales Mexican Restaurant:											
Gresham, OR Mattress Firm:		817	108	28	8 17	136	953	44	1993	12/01	40
Baton Rouge LA	,	609	914		— 609	914	1,523	480	1995	12/95	(m)40
Buford, GA	_	635	1,635	465	— 635	2,100	2,735	568	2003	07/04	(g) 40
Lancaster, OH	_	600		793	— 600	671	1,271	80	2012	01/08	(g) 40
Plainfield, IN	I —	379		1,267	379	1,267	1,646	75	2014	01/14	(m)40
Fayetteville, AR	_	891	2,229	_	891	2,229	3,120	214	1998	02/14	30
Pocatello, ID		268		1,505	<u>268</u>	1,505	1,773	77	2014	09/14	(m)40
South Jordan UT	,	719		1,572	719	1,572	2,291	70	2015	11/14	(m)40
Helena, MT		658	1,568		— 658	1,568	2,226	57	2015	03/15	40
Kentwood, MI		593	1,531		— 593	1,531	2,124	65	2015	04/15	40
Muncie, IN		288	1,537		288	1,537	1,825	75	2015	04/15	35
Sandusky, OH	_	518	1,409	_	— 518	1,409	1,927	54	2015	06/15	40
Fort Collins,		757		1,301	 757	1,301	2,058	37	2015	07/15	(m)40
Wooster, OH	_	332	1,334	_	—332	1,334	1,666	10	2016	09/16	40
MedExpress Urgent Care:											
Fairmont, WV	_	245	1,859	_	—245	1,859	2,104	246	2011	05/12	35
Hanover, PA	_	533	1,521	_	— 533	1,521	2,054	201	2011	05/12	35
Hermitage, PA	_	445	2,108	_	-445	2,108	2,553	279	2011	05/12	35
Latrobe, PA	_	681	1,511	_	681	1,511	2,192	200	2011	05/12	35
Mt. Pleasant, PA	_	593	1,482	_	— 593	1,482	2,075	196	2011	05/12	35
Pittsburgh, PA		227	1,936		—227	1,936	2,163	298	1970	05/12	30
111		917		650	 917	650	1,567	53	2013	12/12	(m)40

Martinsburg, WV											
Wheeling, WV	_	485	1,232	_	485	1,232	1,717	156	1989	03/13	30
Huntington, WV	_	990		735	-1,017	735	1,752	59	2013	08/13	(m)40
Anderson, IN		777	_	661	<u> </u>	661	1,438	50	2013	08/13	(m)40
Terre Haute, IN		144	1,616		—144	1,616	1,760	182	1991	08/13	30
Benton, AR		376	1,125		376	1,125	1,501	41	2015	07/15	40
Connellsville PA	,	162	1,172		—162	1,172	1,334	43	2015	07/15	40
Rogers, AR		435	1,168		4 35	1,168	1,603	43	2015	07/15	40
Russellville, AR		247	1,098		—247	1,098	1,345	46	2015	07/15	35
Hot Springs, AR	_	440	1,155	_	44 0	1,155	1,595	40	2015	08/15	40
Salina, KS		321	1,315	_	321	1,315	1,636	49	1999	09/15	35
Lehigh Acres FL	,	459	_	2,151	459	2,151	2,610	75	2016	10/15	(m)25
North Little Rock, AR		489	1,137	_	489	1,137	1,626	27	2015	01/16	40
Little Rock, AR	_	858	1,806	_	858	1,806	2,664	43	2016	01/16	40
Swansea, IL		236	1,292		236	1,292	1,528	23	1997	06/16	30
Derby, KS		442		_	-44 2	(i)	442	(i)	(i)	07/16	(i)
Alton, IL	_	376	1,397	_	376	1,397	1,773	16	2016	07/16	40
Pine Bluff, AR	_	478	_	_	478	(i)	478	(i)	(i)	07/16	(i)
Collinsville,	_	304	_	_	-304	(i)	304	(i)	(i)	08/16	(i)
IL Wichita, KS		482			482		482	(i)	(i)	08/16	(i)
Wichita, KS	_	213	_	_		(i) (i)	213	(i)	(i) (i)	08/16	(i)
Quakertown,	_	658		_	— 658	(i)	658	(i)	(i)	08/16	(i)
PA Fort Myers,		050			050	(1)	050	(1)	(1)	00/10	(1)
FL	_	1,522	_	_	1,522	(i)	1,522	(i)	(i)	09/16	(i)
Grand Rapids MI	5,	435	_	_	-435	(i)	435	(i)	(i)	10/16	(i)
Naples, FL	_	689	_	_	689	(i)	689	(i)	(i)	10/16	(i)
New		478	_		4 78	(i)	478	(i)	(i)	10/16	(i)
Baltimore, MI Duluth, MN	_	535	_	_	— 535	(i)	535	(i)	(i)	12/16	(i)
Manahantla											
Merchant's Tires:											
Hampton, VA	\ —	180	427	_	—180	427	607	126	1986	03/05	40
Newport		234	259		234	259	493	76	1986	03/05	40
News, VA Norfolk, VA		398	508		398	508	906	150	1986	03/05	40
ronom, vii		1,030		_	— 1,016		1,322	90	1974	03/05	40

Rockville, MD Washington, DC	_	624	578	_	— 624	578	1,202	170	1983	03/05	40
Mi Pueblo Foods: Palo Alto, CA	\ —	2,272	3,405	28	2,272	3,433	5,705	1,521	1998	12/98	(f) 40
Michaels: Fairfax, VA Altamonte Springs, FL		534 1,947	773 3,267	1,369 1,198	—992 —1,947	2,141 3,370	3,133 5,317	878 676	1995 1997	12/95 09/97	40 26
Plymouth Meeting, PA	_	2,911	2,595	_	2,911	2,595	5,506	1,099	1999	10/98	(g) 40
Florissant, MO	_	523	617	1,784	— 524	2,399	2,923	445	1996	04/03	(g) 40
Miller's Ale											
House: Pensacola, FI Oviedo, FL	L— —	1,363 113	1,842	 3,785	—1,363 —113	1,842 3,785	3,205 3,898	300 398	2008 2012	04/11 10/11	35 40
Mimi's: Tampa, FL	_	688	2,357	_	— 688	2,357	3,045	226	2003	02/14	30
Mister Car Wash:		212	214		212	214	106	120	10/0	0.4.107	1.5
Anoka, MN Brooklyn	_	212	214		<u>—212</u>	214	426	139	1968	04/07	15
Park, MN		438	778		438	778	1,216	302	1985	04/07	25
Cedar Rapids	,	391	816	_	391	816	1,207	317	1989	04/07	25
Clive, IA	_	1,141	935		1,141	935	2,076	454	1983	04/07	20
Cottage Grove, MN		274	485	_	<u>274</u>	485	759	188	1992	04/07	25
Des Moines,	_	213	476	_	—213	476	689	231	1964	04/07	20
Des Moines, IA	_	249	596	_	—249	596	845	193	1990	04/07	30
Eden Prairie, MN	_	865	751		865	751	1,616	365	1984	04/07	20
Edina, MN		894	687	_	894	687	1,581	333	1985	04/07	20
Houston, TX			1,267	_	— 5,126	-	6,393	351	1995	04/07	35
Houston, TX		-	1,145	_	-1,960	-	3,105	444	1983	04/07	25
Houston, TX			1,806	—	-2,260	-	4,066	701	1975	04/07	25
Houston, TX			1,592	_	-1,846	-	3,438	618	1983	04/07	25
Houston, TX		-	1,702	_	-1,347	-	3,049	551	1984	04/07	30
Houston, TX	_	3,193	1,305	_	-3,193	1,305	4,498	362	1995	04/07	35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

			_								
Houston, TX	_	796	678	_	 796	678	1,474	263	1986	04/07	25
Houston, TX		288	466	_	<u> </u>	466	754	301	1970	04/07	15
Houston, TX		624	1,108		624	1,108	1,732	359	1988	04/07	30
Humble, TX			1,517	_	-1,204		2,721	421	1993	04/07	35
Plymouth,		•					•				
MN		827	182		827	182	1,009	176	1955	04/07	10
Roseville,											
MN	—	861	564	—	861	564	1,425	274	1963	04/07	20
Spokane, WA		1 253	1,146		-1,253	1 1/16	2,399	318	1997	04/07	35
•		214	580		-1,233 -214	580	794	188	1997	04/07	30
Spokane, WA	. —	214	300		—Z14	300	194	100	1990	04/07	30
St. Cloud,		243	391	_	<u>242</u>	391	633	190	1986	04/07	20
MN (n)											
Stillwater,		289	214	_	289	214	503	139	1971	04/07	15
MN											
Sugarland,	_	3.789	1,972	_	— 3,789	1.972	5,761	547	1995	04/07	35
TX		2,.0>	-,> · -		2,,,,,	-,>	0,701	<i>C</i> . <i>,</i>	1,,,,	0.707	
West St Paul,		836	236	_	836	236	1,072	114	1972	04/07	20
MN		030	230		030	230	1,072	117	17/2	04/07	20
Rochester,		1.055	2,327		-1,055	2 227	3,382	536	2003	10/07	40
MN		1,033	2,321	_	-1,033	2,321	3,362	330	2003	10/07	40
Birmingham,		2 270	2 1 4 5		2 279	2 1 4 5	4.500	(50	1005	11/07	20
AL		2,378	2,145		-2,378	2,143	4,523	652	1985	11/07	30
Clearwater,		005	765		005	765	1.500	270	1060	11/07	25
FL	_	825	765	_	825	765	1,590	279	1969	11/07	25
Mesquite, TX		1.596	2,201	_	-1,596	2.201	3,797	803	1987	11/07	25
Seminole, FL			1,496	_	-2,166	-	3,662	455	1985	11/07	30
Tampa, FL		-	1,669		 2,993		4,662	609	1969	11/07	25
Vestavia		•			-	•	•				
Hills, AL		1,009	956		-1,009	956	1,965	349	1967	11/07	25
El Paso, TX		664	824	_	664	824	1,488	186	1991	12/07	40
	_		2,287	_	—1,807		4,094	518	1983	12/07	40
El Paso, TX		-	1,306	_	-1,807 $-1,424$	-	2,730	393	1986	12/07	30
El Paso, TX El Paso, TX	_	-	-	_	—1,424 —988	-	-	237	1980	12/07	40
			1,046			1,046	2,034				
El Paso, TX			1,468	_	— 1,399		2,867	332	1991	12/07	40
Tampa, FL		541	829	_	<u> </u>	829	1,370	222	1978	04/10	25
Springfield,		1.064	2,109	_	-1,064	2,109	3,173	384	1990	07/11	30
MO		,	,		,	,	-,				
Springfield,	_	1.188	2,817	_	-1,188	2.817	4,005	439	2000	07/11	35
MO		1,100	_,01/		1,100	_,01,	.,			07711	
Springfield,		642	1,767	_	642	1,767	2,409	321	1979	07/11	30
MO		012	1,707		012	1,707	2,40)	321	17/7	07/11	50
Missouri City	,	549	1,553		— 549	1,553	2,102	227	2004	11/11	35
TX		349	1,333	_		1,333	2,102	221	2004	11/11	33
Bountiful, UT	·—	484	292	_	-484	292	776	48	1995	01/12	30
Salt Lake		522	1 006		500	1 006	2 220	200	1002	01/12	20
City, UT		522	1,806	_	522	1,806	2,328	298	1993	01/12	30
Tucson, AZ	_	946	2,566	_	9 46	2,566	3,512	424	2003	01/12	30
Tucson, AZ		493	345	_	-493	345	838	49	2007	01/12	35
Tucson, AZ		742	2,226		742	2,226	2,968	368	2000	01/12	30
Tucson, AZ		108	778		-108	778	886	129	2004	01/12	30
	_	794	1,316		794	1,316	2,110	177	2009	04/12	35
			-, 0			-,0	_,			- · -	

TX Spokane Valley, WA Salt Lake City, UT College Park, GA Griffin, GA	Cedar Park,										
Valley, WA —	TX										
Valley, WA Salt Lake City, UT College Park, GA	Spokane	151	057		151	057	1 211	115	2005	04/12	25
City, UT — 781 2,303 — 781 2,303 3,084 293 2009 07/12 35 GA Clermont, FL — 781 2,303 5,084 293 2009 07/12 35 GA — 322 1,056 — 322 1,056 1,378 129 2008 09/12 35 Hampton, GA — 401 1,996 — 421 1,996 2,417 245 2006 09/12 35 Clermont, FL — 783 2,328 — -783 1,2426 2,807 298 2007 09/12 35 Oxford, AL — 301 3,607 — 301 3,607 3,908 442 2008 09/12 35 Clermont, FL — 783 2,328 — -783 2,328 3,111 280 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, MO — 474 736 — -474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Abilene, TX — 641 3,093 — -641 3,093 3,734 365 2006 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — -400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — -400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 401 2,534 — -411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Lancaster, PA — 241 2,797 — -241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 35 Spring, PA — 350 2,984 — -350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Spring, PA — 591 4,605 — -591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Alanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Alanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 35 Alanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 2,567 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 2,567 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Rockester, — 396 264 — 396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Spring, TX — 612 3,287 3,944 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — -988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 — 396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 — 396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 — -360 2,879 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — -63	Valley, WA	434	837	_	-434	837	1,311	113	2003	04/12	33
College Park, GA GA Griffin, GA GA Griffin, GA Griffin, GA G	Salt Lake	701	2 202		701	2 202	2.004	202	2000	07/12	25
GA - 322 1,095 - 322 1,095 - 322 1,095 1,378 129 2008 09/12 35 Griffin, GA - 401 2,897 - 401 2,897 3,298 355 2007 09/12 35 Lilburn, GA - 421 1,996 - 421 1,996 2,417 245 2006 09/12 35 Oxford, AL - 301 3,607 - 301 3,607 3,908 442 2008 09/12 35 Springfield, 783 2,328 - 783 2,328 3,111 280 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, 796 - 474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, 797 10/12 35 Springfield, 797 10/12 35 Springfield, 797 10/15 35 Springfield, 798 10/12 35 Spri	City, UT	/81	2,303		/81	2,303	3,084	293	2009	07/12	33
Grifffin, GA — 401 2,897 — 401 2,897 3,298 355 2007 09/12 35 Hampton, GA — 421 1,996 — 421 1,996 2,417 245 2006 09/12 35 Libburn, GA — 381 2,426 — 381 2,426 2,426 2,807 298 2007 09/12 35 Oxford, AL — 301 3,607 — 301 3,607 3,908 442 2008 09/12 35 Clermont, FL — 783 2,328 — -783 2,328 3,111 280 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, MO — 474 736 — 474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Abilene, TX — 101 426 — —101 426 527 50 2009 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 401 3,403 — 400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 401 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — 350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — 241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — 920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 1,251 4,735 — —1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 York, PA — 591 4,605 — —591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 — 7,1633 5,378 — 7,1633 5,378 — 7,1633 5,378 — 7,1633 5,378 — 1,633 5,37	College Park,	222	1.056		222	1.056	1 270	120	2000	00/12	25
Hampton, GA	GA —	322	1,036		-322	1,036	1,3/8	129	2008	09/12	33
Lilburn, GA — 381 2,426 — —381 2,426 2,807 298 2007 09/12 35 Oxford, AL — 301 3,607 —301 3,607 3,908 442 2008 09/12 35 Springfield, — 474 736 — —474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, — 474 736 — —474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, — 474 736 — —474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 35 Abilene, TX — 641 3,093 — —641 3,093 3,734 365 2006 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — —400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — —411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — —350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — —241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 1,251 4,735 — —1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Xinking Spring, PA — 591 4,605 — —591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA— 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,729 266 2006 06/13 35 Rockester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rockester, — 396 264 3,488 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 40,10 20 2013 09/16 35	Griffin, GA —	401	2,897		-4 01	2,897	3,298	355	2007	09/12	35
Oxford, AL — 301 3,607 — -301 3,607 3,908 442 2008 09/12 35 Clermont, FL — 783 2,328 — -783 2,328 3,111 280 2006 10/12 35 Springfield, MO Abilene, TX — 641 3,093 — -641 3,093 3,734 365 2006 11/12 35 Abilene, TX — 101 426 — -101 426 527 50 2009 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — -400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — -350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — -241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 35 Sinking Spring, PA York, PA — 591 4,605 — -591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 35 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — -542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 751 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 512 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 421 2,157 — -421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,218 255 2002 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 421 2,157 — -421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 612 3,327 — -611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 654 1,357 — -654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Houston, AZ — 684 1,357 — -692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,387 — -287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,388 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,388 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,388 — -1,262 4,388 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Houston, AZ — 664 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 1	Hampton, GA-	421	1,996	_	-421	1,996	2,417	245	2006	09/12	35
Clermont, FL	Lilburn, GA —	381	2,426	_	381	2,426	2,807	298	2007	09/12	35
Springfield, MO 474 736 — 474 736 1,210 104 2006 10/12 30 Abilene, TX 641 3,093 — 641 3,093 3,734 365 2006 11/12 35 Abilene, TX 101 426 — -101 426 527 50 2009 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX 400 3,403 — 400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX 411 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA 241 2,797 — 2241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA 920 7,894 — 920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Spring, PA York, PA 920 7,894 — 1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA	Oxford, AL —	301	3,607	_	301	3,607	3,908	442	2008	09/12	35
MO	Clermont, FL —	783	2,328	_	 783	2,328	3,111	280	2006	10/12	35
Abilene, TX — 641 3,093 — —641 3,093 3,734 365 2006 11/12 35 Abilene, TX — 101 426 — —101 426 527 50 2009 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — —400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — —411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — —350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — —241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 512 2,676 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 1,635 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 1,636 2,379 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 87 394 — —88 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 87 394 — —88 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 87 394 — —88 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Brooklyn — 88 272 — —88 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, Br	Springfield,	171	726		474	726	1 210	104	2006	10/12	20
Abilene, TX — 101 426 — —101 426 527 50 2009 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — —400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 30 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — —350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — —241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA York, PA — 591 4,605 — —591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA— 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —552 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 753 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 611 3,327 — 611 3,327 3,938 377 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 198	MO —	4/4	730	_	-4 /4	730	1,210	104	2000	10/12	30
Lubbock, TX — 400 3,403 — 400 3,403 3,803 401 2004 11/12 35 Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 30 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — 350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — 241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — 920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 591 4,605 — 591 4,605 5,196 638 2005 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — -1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — -1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — 485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — -542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — -713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 611 3,327 — -611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 652 2,627 — -652 2,627 3,739 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 684 1,357 — -641 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — -396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — -287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,262 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,262 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — -630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — -1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16	Abilene, TX —	641	3,093	_	64 1	3,093	3,734	365	2006	11/12	35
Lubbock, TX — 411 2,534 — 411 2,534 2,945 348 2003 11/12 30 Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — 350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — -241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — -920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 1,251 4,735 — -1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 York, PA — 591 4,605 — -591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — -1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — -1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — 485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — -542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — -752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — -713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — -551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 611 3,327 — -611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — 421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — -654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — -988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — -287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,262 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — -630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 35 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — -1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Abilene, TX —	101	426	_	101	426	527	50	2009	11/12	35
Lubbock, TX — 350 2,984 — —350 2,984 3,334 352 2007 11/12 35 Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — —241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 1,251 4,735 — —1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 151 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — 611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — 421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — 652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —398 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —398 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —398 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —398 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Rochester, — 396 264 3,488 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Lubbock, TX —	400	3,403	_	4 00	3,403	3,803	401	2004	11/12	35
Ephrata, PA — 241 2,797 — —241 2,797 3,038 452 1987 12/12 25 Lancaster, PA — 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA — 1,251 4,735 — —1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 York, PA — 591 4,605 — —591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — 485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 611 3,327 — 611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — 421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, FL Melbourne, — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Lubbock, TX —	411	2,534	_	-4 11	2,534	2,945	348	2003	11/12	30
Lancaster, PA— 920 7,894 — —920 7,894 8,814 1,064 1999 12/12 30 Sinking Spring, PA	Lubbock, TX —	350	2,984	_	350	2,984	3,334	352	2007	11/12	35
Sinking Spring, PA 1,251 4,735 — 1,251 4,735 5,986 638 2005 12/12 30 York, PA 591 4,605 — 591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA 1,773 4,528 — 1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA 1,633 5,378 — 1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA 485 374 — 485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX 542 1,876 — 542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX 752 1,736 — 752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX 713 964 — 713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX 1,573 2,315 — 1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 <td>Ephrata, PA —</td> <td>241</td> <td>2,797</td> <td>_</td> <td><u>241</u></td> <td>2,797</td> <td>3,038</td> <td>452</td> <td>1987</td> <td>12/12</td> <td>25</td>	Ephrata, PA —	241	2,797	_	<u>241</u>	2,797	3,038	452	1987	12/12	25
Spring, PA 1,231 4,735	Lancaster, PA—	920	7,894	_	920	7,894	8,814	1,064	1999	12/12	30
Spring, PA York, PA 591 4,605 — 591 4,605 5,196 620 1995 12/12 30 Atlanta, GA 1,773 4,528 — 1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA 1,633 5,378 — 1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA 485 374 — 485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX 542 1,876 — 542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX 752 1,736 — 752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX 713 964 — 713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX 1,573 2,315 — 3,512 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 35 Humble, TX	Sinking	1 251	1 725		1 251	1 725	5 006	629	2005	12/12	20
Atlanta, GA — 1,773 4,528 — —1,773 4,528 6,301 523 2003 12/12 35 Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA — 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 35 Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Spring, PA	1,231	4,733	_	-1,231	4,733	3,980	038	2003	12/12	30
Atlanta, GA — 1,633 5,378 — —1,633 5,378 7,011 725 1998 12/12 30 Urbandale, IA— 485 374 — —485 374 859 46 1990 04/13 30 Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, FL Melbourne, FL Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	York, PA —	591	4,605	_	— 591	4,605	5,196	620	1995	12/12	30
Urbandale, IA—	Atlanta, GA —	1,773	4,528	_	-1,773	4,528	6,301	523	2003	12/12	35
Houston, TX — 542 1,876 — —542 1,876 2,418 190 2012 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 FL Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Atlanta, GA —	1,633	5,378	_	-1,633	5,378	7,011	725	1998	12/12	30
Houston, TX — 752 1,736 — —752 1,736 2,488 176 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Melbourne, FL — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Urbandale, IA—	485	374	_	485	374	859	46	1990	04/13	30
Houston, TX — 713 964 — —713 964 1,677 98 2005 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, FL Melbourne, FL Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Houston, TX —	542	1,876	_	<u>542</u>	1,876	2,418	190	2012	06/13	35
Houston, TX — 1,573 2,315 — —1,573 2,315 3,888 234 2006 06/13 35 Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Melbourne, FL — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Houston, TX —	752	1,736	_	752	1,736	2,488	176	2005	06/13	35
Houston, TX — 551 2,967 — —551 2,967 3,518 420 1980 06/13 25 Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Hake Mary, FL — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Houston, TX —	713	964	_	713	964	1,677	98	2005	06/13	35
Humble, TX — 611 3,327 — —611 3,327 3,938 337 2006 06/13 35 Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Melbourne, FL — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Houston, TX —	1,573	2,315	_	1,573	2,315	3,888	234	2006	06/13	35
Katy, TX — 421 2,157 — —421 2,157 2,578 255 2002 06/13 30 Spring, TX — 652 2,627 — —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN — 396 264 — —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Lake Mary, FL — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15	Houston, TX —	551	2,967		— 551	2,967	3,518	420	1980	06/13	25
Spring, TX 652 2,627 —652 2,627 3,279 266 2006 06/13 35 Tucson, AZ 654 1,357 —654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, MN —396 264 —602 25 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN —287 394 —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Lake Mary, FL —692 3,518 —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, FL —1,262 4,348 —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL —1,322 3,887 —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL —630 2,879 —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Humble, TX —	611	3,327	_	— 611	3,327	3,938	337	2006	06/13	35
Tucson, AZ — 654 1,357 — -654 1,357 2,011 149 1986 09/13 30 Rochester, — 396 264 — -396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — -988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn — 287 394 — -287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Lake Mary, — 692 3,518 — -692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, — 1,262 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — -630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — -1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Katy, TX —	421	2,157	_	-421	2,157	2,578	255	2002	06/13	30
Rochester, MN 396 264 —396 264 660 25 1987 02/14 30 Tucson, AZ —988 272 —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn —988 272 —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Lake Mary, FL —692 3,518 —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, FL —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL —1,322 3,887 —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL —630 2,879 —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Spring, TX —	652	2,627	_	652	2,627	3,279	266	2006	06/13	35
MN Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, FL Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 Sanford, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Tucson, AZ —	654	1,357	_	654	1,357	2,011	149	1986	09/13	30
Tucson, AZ — 988 272 — —988 272 1,260 26 1987 02/14 30 Brooklyn Park, MN Lake Mary, FL Melbourne, FL Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 Clermont, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Rochester,	206	264		206	264	660	25	1097	02/14	20
Brooklyn Park, MN — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Lake Mary, FL — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, FL — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	MN —	390	204	_	390	204	000	23	1987	02/14	30
Park, MN — 287 394 — —287 394 681 15 2011 09/15 35 Lake Mary, — 692 3,518 — —692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, — 1,262 4,348 — —1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Tucson, AZ —	988	272		<u> </u>	272	1,260	26	1987	02/14	30
Park, MIN Lake Mary, — 692 3,518 — -692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, — 1,262 4,348 — -1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — -1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — -630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 — -1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Brooklyn	207	204		207	204	601	15	2011	00/15	25
FL 692 3,518 — 692 3,518 4,210 142 1997 10/15 30 Melbourne, FL 1,262 4,348 — 1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL 1,322 3,887 — 1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — 630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 — 1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Park, MN	287	394	_	287	394	001	13	2011	09/13	33
FL Melbourne, 1,262 4,348 — 1,262 4,348 5,610 150 2009 10/15 35 Sanford, FL 1,322 3,887 — 1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL 630 2,879 — 630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL 1,550 2,460 — 1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Lake Mary,	602	2 5 1 0		602	2 5 1 0	4 210	1.42	1007	10/15	20
FL Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	FL —	092	3,318		092	3,318	4,210	142	1997	10/13	30
Sanford, FL — 1,322 3,887 — —1,322 3,887 5,209 134 2008 10/15 35 Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Melbourne,	1 262	1 2 1 0		1 262	1 2 1 0	5 610	150	2000	10/15	25
Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	FL —	1,202	4,348		-1,202	4,348	3,610	130	2009	10/13	33
Tampa, FL — 630 2,879 — —630 2,879 3,509 36 1991 08/16 30 Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Sanford, FL —	1,322	3,887		-1,322	3,887	5,209	134	2008	10/15	35
Clermont, FL — 1,550 2,460 — —1,550 2,460 4,010 20 2013 09/16 35	Tampa, FL —	630	2,879	_			3,509	36	1991	08/16	30
	-	1,550	2,460		-1,550	2,460	4,010	20	2013	09/16	35
Lakeland, FL — 446 3,064 — —446 3,064 3,510 15 1979 11/16 25	Lakeland, FL —	446	3,064		446	3,064	3,510	15	1979	11/16	25

Movie Tavern Theatre:											
Covington, LA	_	1,081	6,779	_	1,081	6,779	7,860	518	1993	09/14	30
Baton Rouge, LA	_	1,497	_	10,888	—1,497	10,888	12,385	408	1993	11/14	(o) 40
Mr. Hero: Parma, OH	_	36	291	_	36	291	327	18	1980	06/15	25
Muchas Gracias Mexican Restaurant:											
Salem, OR	_	556	736	_	— 556	736	1,292	277	1996	12/01	40
Murphy Oil: Fort Worth, TX	_	1,652	2,018	_	—1,652	2,018	3,670	599	2000	02/05	40
National Karate Academy: Eden Prairie,											
MN	_	76	211	110	 76	321	397	114	1997	12/01	40
Natural Grocers Lincoln, NE	: 	1,482	2,811	_	1,482	2,811	4,293	298	2012	04/13	35
Coeur D'Alene, ID	_	2,172	_	2,778	-2,172	2,778	4,950	194	2014	08/13	40
Flagstaff, AZ	2,899 (j)	831	4,079		831	4,079	4,910	248	2012	11/14	35
Helena, MT	2,542 (j)	1,079	3,062	_	-1,079	3,062	4,141	186	2012	11/14	35
Missoula, MT	2,264 (j)	929	3,222	_	929	3,222	4,151	196	2012	11/14	35
Sedona, AZ	2,664 (j)	1,064	3,211		-1,064	3,211	4,275	195	2012	11/14	35
Steamboat Springs, CO	3,083 (j))1,512	3,447	_	1,512	3,447	4,959	209	2012	11/14	35
Independence MO	·,	912	5,002	_	<u> </u>	5,002	5,914	340	2002	12/14	30
,	_	1,432	_	4,912	1,432	4,912	6,344	148	2015	06/15	(m)40
Oklahoma City, OK	_	955	3,975	—	<u> 955 </u>	3,975	4,930	137	2014	10/15	35
Vancouver, WA	_	1,639	_	3,628	1,639	3,628	5,267	4	2016	06/16	(m)(k)
South Jordan, UT	_	1,460	_	_	—1,460	(e)	1,460	(e)	(e)	08/16	(m)
Nebraskaland											
Tire: Park City, KS		214	687	_	—214	687	901	396	1989	06/05	20
Nitlantika: Hollywood, FL	_	383	88	37	—234	_	234	_	1960	12/05	15

	Initial to		_	izedGross uentWhich			Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in				
	Comp Enduander	Buildin	Acquising, yements Improvioud		Buildin	ements Total iold	Accum Depredand	nulated	Date Acquired		Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate He Company has l Operating Leas Northern Tool:	Invested in ses:		the								
Beaumont, TX	— 483	831	1,211	—483	2,042	2,525	12	1992	03/99		40
Asheville, NC	519	2,998	_	<u>519</u>	2,998	3,517	396	2007	05/12		35
Spartanburg SC	·— 654	3,174	_	<u>654</u>	3,174	3,828	242	2007	09/14		30
Office Depot: Gastonia, NC	— 1,554	2,367	946	— 1,554	3,313	4,867	857	2004	12/04		40
OfficeMax: Cincinnati, OH	— 543	1,575	_	<u> 543</u>	1,575	2,118	885	1994	07/94		40
Evanston, II Salinas, CA Redding, CA Kelso, WA	— 1,353 A— 667	1,829	_	1,868 1,353 667 868	-	-	947 909 1,066 856	1995 1995 1997 1998	06/95 02/97 06/97 09/97		40 40 40 40
Lynchburg, VA	— 562		1,851	<u>562</u>	1,851	2,413	847	1998	02/98	(m)	40
Tigard, OR Griffin, GA Omaha, NE	— 685 — 664	_	1,802	<u> 685</u>	2,247 1,802 1,778	2,487	1,018 798 219	1995 1999 1995	11/98 11/98 07/14	(g)	40 40 20
Weatherford TX	·,— 548	2,436	_	— 548	2,436	2,984	186	1999	09/14		30
Old Chicago: Garland, TX	i — 895	_	1,085	— 895	1,085	1,980	15	2016	01/16	(m)	30
Orchard Suppl Hardware: Pismo											
Beach, CA				-2,436				1989		(o)	
San Jose, CA San Jose, CA										(o)	

Chico, CA — 1,782 4,563	746	— 1,782 5,30	8 7,090	763	2002	07/12	(o) 30
Clovis, CA — 1,226 1,426	151	-1,226 1,57	7 2,803	277	1982	07/12	(o) 25
Pinole, CA — 2,784 5,195		-2,784 5,19	5 7,979	926	1987	07/12	(o) 25
San Jose, CA— 3,370 2,517		-3,370 2,51	7 5,887	449	1965	07/12	25
San Jose, CA— 5,850 4,129	_	 5,850 4,12	9,979	736	1946	07/12	(o) 25

F	Initial to Comp Enc luantor	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)								
		Interes		Costs	Interes			Construction rtization	ricquired	(Tears)
Real Estate Held fo Company has Invest Leases: Orlando Metro Gymnastics: Orlando, FL		nent the	erating	— 428	1,345				01/05	40
Outback:										
Cheyenne, WY -	– 672	2,502		<u> </u>	2,502	3,174	400	2001	03/12	30
•	- 524	583	_	— 524	583	1,107		1992	03/12	25
Copley	— 753	2,407	_	— 753	2,407	3,160		1993	03/12	25
Coraopolis, PA -	– 487	2,326		— 487	2,326	2,813	371	1998	03/12	30
	– 850	1,305		— 850	1,305	2,155	179	2003	03/12	35
Knoxville, TN -	— 753	1,852		— 753	1,852	2,605	254	2004	03/12	35
Largo, MD -	- 1,738	2,227		— 1,738	2,227	3,965	356	2001	03/12	30
Lufkin, TX -	– 850	1,147	_	— 850	1,147	1,997	183	1999	03/12	30
Marrero, LA -	– 781	3,144		 781	3,144	3,925	603	1995	03/12	25
Mechanicsville, VA	— 674	2,328		<u> </u>	2,328	3,002	372	2002	03/12	30
Mt. Pleasant, SC	— 713	1,466		— 713	1,466	2,179	234	1999	03/12	30
Phoenix, AZ -	– 821	2,284		<u> </u>	2,284				03/12	30
Shreveport, LA -					3,105				03/12	25
Smithfield, NC -	— 772	2,345	_	<i>—</i> 772	2,345	3,117	321	2004	03/12	35
Stockbridge,	- 910	1,988		— 910	1,988	2,898	318	2001	03/12	30
	– 456	1,575		— 456	1,575	2,031	216	2004	03/12	35
•	- 833	2,529		— 833		3,362			03/12	30
Warrenton, VA -		-		— 1,833		3,854			03/12	30
Wheaton, IL -		654		— 901	654	1,555			03/12	25
Fultondale, AL -		2,097		— 765	2,097				11/14	30
Palais Royale:										
Sealy, TX -	— 457	504	1,769	<u>462</u>	2,273	2,735	604	1982	03/99	40
Panda Express: Florissant, MO -	_ 50	59	170	50	228	278	42	2012	04/03 (g)40

Panera Bread:

Lewisville, TX - 815 - 59 - 1,151 (i) 1,151 (i) 04/01 (i)

	Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount at to SubsequentWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulated Improvements & Earrying Improvements Deprecation of Date Leasehold Costs Interests Amortization										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held to Company has Involutional Company has Involutional Company has Estate Held to Compa	ested in U		e								
Richmond, VA		1,545		—270 —772		1,815 3,767		1988	05/11		30
York, PA Mechanicsburg	— 772	2,995			•			2011	07/11		40
PA	'— 933	3,401	_	—933	3,401	4,334	415	2011	02/12		40
Patriot Fuels: Vinita, OK		368		 72	368	440	135	1972	07/09		20
viinta, Oix	- 12	300		72	300	440	133	1772	01107		20
Pawn America: Fargo, ND Fridley, MN	— 335 — 1,013	2,747 4,465		—335 —1,013	2,747 4,465	· ·		2008 1978	12/12 12/12		35 30
Sioux Falls, SD		1,490		207	-	1,697		1985	12/12		30
Mankato, MN	— 449	_	1,705	—449	1,705	2,154	133	2013	03/13	(m)	40
PDQ: Altamonte Springs, FL	— 553	997	_	— 553	997	1,550	521	1995	01/96		40
Pep Boys:											
0	-	-		— 1,077	-	-			11/07		35
Cicero, IL Cornwell	— 1,341			1,341	•			1993	11/07		35
Heights, PA	2,058	3,102		2,058	3,102	5,160	1,132	1972	11/07		25
East Brunswick, NJ	2,449	5,026		-2,449	5,026	7,475	1,529	1987	11/07		30
Guayama, PR	— 1,729	2,732		1,729	2,131	3,860	459	1998	11/07		33
Jacksonville, FL	— 810	2,331			2,331	3,141	608	1989	11/07		35
Joliet, IL	— 1,506	3,727	_	— 1,506	3,727	5,233	972	1993	11/07		35
Lansing, IL	— 869	3,440	_	-869	3,440	4,309	897	1993	11/07		35
Marietta, GA	— 1,311	3,556		-1,311	3,556	4,867	1,082	1987	11/07		30
Marlton, NJ	— 1,608	4,142	_	— 1,608	4,142	5,750	1,260	1983	11/07		30
Philadelphia, PA	1,300	•		1,300	•			1995	11/07		35
	-1,129	3,252		-1,129	3,252	4,381	848	1995	11/07		35

Quakertown, PA Reading, PA $-1,189\ 3,367\ -$ **—**1,189 2,819 4,008 715 1989 11/07 28 Roswell, GA **—** 931 2,732 — -9312,732 3,663 831 11/07 30 2007 Turnersville, **—** 990 3,494 — -9903,494 4,484 1,063 1986 11/07 30 NJ Houston, TX **—** 734 3,028 — 3,028 3,762 677 1994 04/10 30

	t	nitial o Comp		Subsequento Acquisi	zed Gross uent Which Carried tion			Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is				
	Encil	u antod a		ements d Improve old	&Carrying emediand Costs	Buildin Improv Leaseh Interest	ements Total old	Accum Deprecand Amorti	cilotaten of Construction	Date Acquired		Computed (Years)
Real Estate I Company ha Operating Le Perkins Restaurant:	s Inve											
Des Moines, IA	— 2	256	136	_	— 256	136	392	136	1976	06/05		10
Des Moines, IA	— 2	226	203	_	— 226	203	429	203	1976	06/05		10
Des Moines, IA	— 2	270	218		— 270	218	488	218	1977	06/05		10
Newton, IA	3	354	402	_	— 354	402	756	402	1979	06/05		10
Urbandale IA	² ,— 3	377	581	_	— 377	581	958	336	1979	06/05		20
Pet Paradise Houston, TX		117	2,306	_	— 417	2,306	2,723	507	2008	03/08		40
Bunnell, FL	— 3	316	881		— 316	881	1,197	192	1997	04/08		40
Charlotte, NC	_ 8	325		3,231	— 825	3,231	4,056	602	2009	11/08	(m)	40
Davie, FL	— 1	,138	1,069	_	1,138	1,069	2,207	246	2003	12/08		35
Petco: Grand Forks, ND Florissant MO	— 3 '— 2		910 352		— 307 — 300	910 1,371	1,217 1,671		1996 2012	12/97 04/03	(g)	40 40
Petro Express: Belmont, NC Charlotte,			•		— 1,508	·			2001	04/07		35
NC Charlotte,	— ı		1,839		— 1,291	·	3,130		1988	04/07		30
NC	— 1	,697	2,419		— 1,697	2,419	4,116	587	2005	04/07		40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Charlotte, _	1,810	2,570	_	1,810	2,570	4,380	624	2004	04/07	40
Charlotte,	1,030	1,725	_	1,030	1,725	2,755	558	1983	04/07	30
Charlotte,NC	1,458	2,047	_	— 1,458	2,047	3,505	663	1987	04/07	30
Charlotte,	1,778	1,977	—	— 1,778	1,977	3,755	640	1992	04/07	30
NC	507	698	_	507	698	1,205	339	1967	04/07	20
Charlotte,	629	876	—	<u>623</u>	876	1,499	283	1986	04/07	30
NC	2,784	3,720	—	2,784	3,720	6,504	1,032	1998	04/07	35
Charlotte,	429	425	—	— 429	425	854	138	1983	04/07	30
Charlotte,	1,532	1,973	_	1,532	1,973	3,505	547	1998	04/07	35
Charlotte,	2,316	2,064	_	2,316	2,064	4,380	573	1996	04/07	35
Charlotte, — NC	2,165	1,965	_	2,165	1,965	4,130	545	1997	04/07	35
Charlotte,	1,340	1,790	_	1,340	1,790	3,130	497	1998	04/07	35
Concord, NC —	2,144	1,986	_	2,144	1,986	4,130	551	2000	04/07	35

		Initial to Comp			Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is							
	Enc	u l n alor lar	Improvences Leaseho Interest	ements Impro old	Æai vem Cos	rrying dnasid sts	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements Total Id	Accum Deprecand Amorti	i Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Computed
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases:												
Concord, NC		1,828	1,677			1,707	1,677	3,384	465	2002	04/07	35
Denver, NC	Z —	2,317	1,750			2,317	1,750	4,067	485	1999	04/07	35
Fort Mill, SC		3,825	2,554			3,825	2,554	6,379	709	1998	04/07	35
Gastonia, NC	_	335	545	_		335	545	880	132	2000	04/07	40
Gastonia, NC		1,070	1,185	_		1,070	1,185	2,255	329	1990	04/07	35
Gastonia, NC	_	745	760	_		745	760	1,505	185	2003	04/07	40
Gastonia, NC	_	965	1,228	_		965	1,228	2,193	340	2001	04/07	35
Hickory, NC		1,975	1,530	_		1,975	1,530	3,505	424	2002	04/07	35
Kings Mountain, NC	<u>.</u> —	1,210	982	_	_	1,210	982	2,192	272	1988	04/07	35
Lake Wylie SC		1,381	2,061	_	_	1,381	2,061	3,442	572	1998	04/07	35
Lake Wylie SC	,	1,972	1,283	_	_	1,972	1,283	3,255	356	2003	04/07	35
Lincolnton, NC	_	723	532		_	723	532	1,255	172	1989	04/07	30
Mineral Springs, NC		678	577	_		678	577	1,255	140	2002	04/07	40
Monroe, NC		857	1,023	_		857	1,023	1,880	248	2004	04/07	40
Monroe, NC		709	796		_	709	796	1,505	221	1999	04/07	35
Monroe, NC	_	421	834		_	421	834	1,255	231	1997	04/07	35
Rock Hill, SC	_	3,095	1,910	_	_	3,095	1,910	5,005	530	1999	04/07	35
Rock Hill, SC	_	2,119	1,886	_		2,119	1,886	4,005	523	1998	04/07	35
50	_	778	727	_	_	778	727	1,505	235	1990	04/07	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Rock Hill,												
SC												
Statesville, NC	_	1,886	2,182		_	1,864	2,182	4,046	605	1999	04/07	35
Waxhaw, NC	_	508	747	_	_	508	747	1,255	181	2002	04/07	40
York, SC	_	2,306	1,449	_	_ :	2,306	1,449	3,755	402	1999	04/07	35
Charlotte, NC		1,834	1,214	_		1,834	1,214	3,048	292	1997	05/07	40
Charlotte, NC	_	1,849	2,280	_	_	1,849	2,280	4,129	549	2005	05/07	40
Rock Hill, SC	_	3,108	2,146	_	;	3,055	2,146	5,201	516	1999	05/07	40
PetSense: Kingsville, TX	_	499	458	224		499	682	1,181	207	1995	12/01	40
PetSmart: Chicago, II		2,724	3,566	_	_ ;	2,724	3,566	6,290	1,631	1998	09/98	40

	Costs Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount at to SubsequentWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulated Improvements & Carrying Encluranderances Improvementand Leasehold Costs Interests Interests Amortization										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate Held Company has Inv Operating Leases	vested in	tment tl			meres	tis	Zimore	ization				
Pier I Imports: Anchorage, AK	— 928	1,663	_	— 928	1,663	2,591	866	1995	02/96		40	
Memphis, TN Sanford, FL Valdosta, GA	— 738	822 803 806	_ _ _	—713 —738 —391	822 803 806	1,535 1,541 1,197	377	1997 1998 1999	06/97	f)	40 40 40	
Pizza Hut: Monroeville, AL	— 547	44	_	<u>547</u>	44	591	17	1976	12/01		40	
Graanavilla	— 111 — 111	346717	<u> </u>	—111 —111	346717	457 828	2654	1976 1972	02/15 02/15		2525	
Pollo Tropical: Hialeah, FL	— 170	106	_	— 170	(i)	170	(i)	(i)	09/06		(i)	
Popeye's: Snellville, GA Randallstown, MD		437 609	_ _	642 483	437 609	1,079 1,092		1995 1958	12/01 02/14		40 25	
Power Center: Midland, MI Big Flats, NY						2,683 7,323 830		2005 2006 2008	08/05 (g)	40 40 40	
Harlingen	— 749	1,238	195	— 749	1,043	1,792	288	2008	09/06 (g)	40	
Premium Spas & Billiards: Fairfax, VA	— 105	151	413	— 194	564	758	135	1995	12/95		40	
Pull-A-Part: Augusta, GA	— 1,414	_	1,449	— 1,414	1,449	2,863	346	2007	08/06 (m))40	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Birmingham, AL	— 1,165	2,090	_	—1,165	2,090	3,255	542	1964	08/06	40
Charlotte, NO	C — 2,913	1,724	_	2,908	1,724	4,632	447	2006	08/06	40
Conley, GA	— 1,686	1,387	_	-1,686	1,387	3,073	360	1999	08/06	40
Harvey, LA	— 1,887	_	4,326	— 1,887	4,326	6,213	915	2008	08/06	(m)40
Knoxville, TN	— 961		2,384	— 961	2,384	3,345	564	2007	08/06	(m)40

	Initial to Comp		•	izedGross uentWhich Carrie ition		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income						
	Enduandr	Building Improvances Leasel Interes	vements Improv iold	& Carrying emehtsno Costs	Buildin Improv Leaseh Interes	ements Total old	s B eprand	nmulated edittionf Construction ertization	Date Acquired		Statement is Computed (Years)	
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases:												
Operating Leases Louisville, KY Nashville, TN Norcross, GA Cleveland, OH Lafayette, LA Montgomery, AL Jackson, MS Baton Rouge, LA Memphis, TN Mobile, AL Winston-Salem NC Lithonia, GA Columbia, SC	- 3,206 - 2,164 - 1,831 - 4,556 - 1,036 - 934 - 1,315 - 893 - 1,779 - 550 - 846 - 2,410 - 935	1,414 1,040 — — — — — — — —	2,096 2,226 2,013 2,471 3,256 2,964 2,772 2,449 2,345 2,178	-2,164 -1,831 -4,556 -1,036 -934 -1,315 -893 -1,779 -550 -836 -2,410 -935	1,414 1,040 2,096 2,226 2,013 2,318 3,256 2,964 2,772 2,449 2,345 2,178	3,578 2,871 6,652 3,262 2,947 3,633 4,149 4,743 3,322 3,285 4,755 3,113	367 270 478 503 459 520 634 639 552 492 467 433	2006 1998 2007 2007 2007 2008 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009	08/06 11/06 12/06 01/07 05/07 06/07 08/07 08/07	(m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m)	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	
Akron, OH Quaker Steak & Lube:	— 1,065	_	1,869	— 1,065	1,869	2,934	333	2009	10/08	(m)	40	
Mentor, OH	— 841	2,452	_	<u> </u>	2,452	3,293	190	2009	04/14		35	
QuikTrip: Alpharetta, GA Clive, IA Herculaneum, MO Johnston, IA		607 557 1,613 385	_ _ _ _	1,048 623 856 394	607 557 1,613 385	1,655 1,180 2,469 779	214621	1994	06/05 06/05 06/05 06/05		40 30 30 30	
Olathe, KS Tulsa, OK Urbandale, IA Wichita, KS	— 793 — 1,225 — 340 — 127	1,392 650 764 543	 	793 1,225 340 127	1,392 650 764 543	2,185 1,875 1,104 670	250 220		06/05 06/05 06/05 06/05		40 30 40 30	
Woodstock, GA Fountain Inn, SC	488723	1,042 3,289		488723		1,530 4,012		1997 2015	06/05 07/16		40 35	

Charlotte, NC	— 739	3,512	 739	3,512	4,251 33	3 2016	08/16	40
Marietta, GA	— 1,870	3,795	 — 1,870	3,795	5,665 20	2016	10/16	40

		Costs										
	Initial Cost Capitalized Gross Amount at											
	to		uent Which						Depreciation &			
	Compan	y to	Carrie	d at Clo	se of Pe	eriod ((a) (b)		Amortization in			
		Acquis		Latest Income								
		uilding,		Building, Accum			ımulated	Statement is				
	Enc luarbd anc	provements	&Carrying	Improv	ements	& epr	e Diate onf	Date	Computed			
	Liculaturane Le	easehold	Costs	Leaseh	old	and	Construction	Acquired	(Years)			
	In	terests	S		Interests		rtization					
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under												
Operating Lease Qwest	es:											
Corporation												
Service Center:												
Cedar												
Rapids, IA	— 184 62	29 143	— 184	772	956	365	1976	06/05	20			
Decorah, IA	<i>—</i> 72 27	⁷ 2 —	<i>—</i> 72	272	344	272	1974	06/05	10			
Rabobank:												
Chico, CA	— 346 —		— 346	_	346	(e)	(i)	07/12	(e)			
Raising Cane's:												
Lancaster,	— 600 —	- 1,075	— 600	1,075	1,675	111	2012	01/08	(g) 40			
OH		,		•								
Sulphur, LA		268 —	— 326	1,268	1,594		2009	04/11	35			
*	— 763 —	1,309	— 763	1,309	2,072	170	2011	05/11	(m)40			
Fort Worth, TX	— 792 —	- 1,144	— 792	1,144	1,936	149	2011	06/11	(m)40			
Plano, TX	— 1,316 —	- 1,349	— 1,316	1,349	2,665	176	2011	06/11	(m)40			
Pearland, TX			— 774	1,255	2,029		2011		(m)40			
Addison, TX			— 869	1,343	2,212		2012		(m)40			
Houston, TX		- 1,163	<i>—</i> 737	1,163	1,900		2012		(m)40			
Euless, TX		- 1,376	— 1,226	1,376	2,602	173	2011	12/11	(m)40			
Moore, OK	— 762 —	- 1,153	— 762	1,153	1,915	135	2012	01/12	(m)40			
Rowlett, TX	<u> </u>	- 1,398	— 814	1,398	2,212	156	2012	02/12	(m)40			
Keller, TX			— 833	1,265	2,098	133	2012	06/12	(m)40			
Omaha, NE	— 1,181 —	1,676	— 1,181	1,676	2,857	166	2013	08/12	(m)40			
McKinney,	— 1,443 —	- 1,255	— 1,443	1 255	2 698	116	2013	11/12	(m)40			
IA												
•	— 1,006 —	- 1,508	— 1,006	1,508	2,514	140	2013	12/12	(m)40			
Broken	— 1,267 1,i	285 —	— 1,267	1,285	2,552	108	2013	04/13	40			
Arrow, OK	, 7		, - ,	, -		-						
Oklahoma	— 1,217 —	- 1,312	— 1,217	1,312	2,529	100	2013	06/13	(m)40			
City, OK	,	,	,	•	,							
Oklahoma	— 988 —	1,268	— 988	1,268	2,256	102	2013	06/13	(m)40			
City, OK	6/1											
Owasso, OK	— 041 —	- 1,313	— 041	1,313	1,934	91	2014	09/13	(m)40			

Longview, — 1,020 —	1,488	— 1,020	1,488	2,508	91	2014	02/14	(m)40
Georgetown, — 1,101 —	1,830	— 1,101	1,830	2,931	105	2014	05/14	(m)40
Rallys: Toledo, OH — 126 320	_	— 126	320	446	202	1989	07/92	39

	Costs												
		Initial to	Cost	_	ized Gross uent Which		at				Life on Which Depreciation &		
		.o Comp	any	to		d at Clo	se of Pe	eriod (a) (b)		Amortization in		
		· r	J	Acquisi					, (-)		Latest Income		
			Buildir			<i>U</i> ,		Accum			Statement is		
	Encl	L umbi ra	Improv nces	ements Improv	&Carrying emelitand Costs	Improv	ements Total	R eprec	cilation of	Date	Computed		
			Interes				Leasehold Interests		and Construction Amortization		(Years)		
			interes	ts.	S Interests Amortization								
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases:													
RBC Bank:	.s.												
Altamonte	<u> </u>	1,316	2,014	_	— 1,316	2,014	3,330	381	2007	05/10	35		
Regal Theatre:													
Bolingbrook, IL	— 2	2,937	3,032	1,500	— 2,937	4,532	7,469	1,084	1994	09/07	30		
Rent-A-Center:													
Cohoes, NY	_ (54	348	242	<u> </u>	590	654	122	1994	09/04	40		
Rite Aid:													
Douglasville,													
GA	4	413	995		<u>— 413</u>	995	1,408	521	1996	01/96	40		
Conyers, GA	— 5	575	999		<u> </u>	999	1,574	488	1997	06/97	40		
Riverdale, GA	<u> </u>	1,089	1,707	_	— 1,089	1,707	2,796	813	1997	12/97	40		
Warner					-0-		1 00 1		1000	02400	\		
Robins, GA	— ī	/01/		1,227	— 707	1,227	1,934	551	1999	03/98 (g)40		
Mobile, AL (n)	<u> </u>	1,137	1,694	_	— 1,137	1,694	2,831	637	2000	12/01	40		
Orange		1 410	1.006		1 410	1.006	2.406	751	2000	10/01	40		
Beach, AL					— 1,410				2000	12/01	40		
Norfolk, VA					— 2,742	1,797	4,539	668	2001	02/02	40		
Thorndale, PA			2,472		— 2,261	2,472	4,733	919	2001	02/02	40		
West Mifflin,		1.402	2.044	_	1,402	2.044	3.446	760	1999	02/02	40		
PA Albany, NY			867		— 25	867	892	267	1994	09/04	40		
Canataga				1.560									
Springs, NY	— 7		591	1,560	— 2,319	621	2,940	18/	1993	09/04 (0)40		
Clinton Twp, MI	9	977	1,664	_	— 977	1,664	2,641	155	1998	03/14	30		
Dowagiac,	4	409	1,609	_	— 409	1,609	2.018	150	1998	03/14	30		
MI Durham, NC			*			•	•		1999	09/15	30		
2 31114111, 110	-	-,555	_,521		1,000	_,0_1	., . / -	110	-///	57,10	50		

Rite Care Pharmacy:										
Dallas, TX —	2,407	2,299	320	2,407	2,618	5,025	689	1971	06/05	40
RNR Wheels / RNR Tire										
Express:										
Anderson, SC (n)	140	815	_	— 140	815	955	57	1996	07/14	35
Road Ranger:										
Springfield,	705	1,500	_	— 705	1,500	2,205	395	1997	06/06	40
Belvidere, IL —	1,098	1,256	1,257	1,098	2,513	3,611	507	1997	06/06	40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-50

				Costs								
	Initial Cost Capitalized Gross Amount at											
		to		Subsequ	uent Which						Depreciation &	
		Comp	any	to	Carrie	d at Clos	se of Pe	riod (a)	(b)		Amortization in	
				Acquisi	Latest Income							
			Buildir			Buildin	<i>U</i> ,	Accum			Statement is	
	Enc	ali madeal a	Improv	ements &	Carrying Emelitand Costs	Improv	ements	№ eprec	iDate of	Date	Computed	
	Liic	шанша	Leaseh	old	Costs	Leaseh	old	and	Construction	Acquired	(Years)	
			Interes	ts		Interest	S	Amorti	zation			
Real Estate Held for Investment the Company has Invested in Under												
		sted in	Under									
Operating Lea												
Brazil, IN		2,199	907		— 2,199	907	3,106	239	1990	06/06	40	
Cherry		1.409	1,897		— 1,409	1.897	3,306	500	1991	06/06	40	
Valley, IL		1,.02	1,00		1,.05	1,00	2,200			00,00		
Cottage		2.175	1,733		— 2,175	1.733	3,908	457	1990	06/06	40	
Grove, WI					•	*						
Decatur, IL			1,314		— 815	1,314	2,129		2002	06/06	40	
Dekalb, IL	_	747	1,658		<i>—</i> 747	1,658	2,405	437	2000	06/06	40	
Elk Run		1,538	2,470		— 1,538	2,470	4,008	651	1989	06/06	40	
Heights, IA												
Lake		3,172	1,112		— 3,172	1,112	4,284	293	1987	06/06	40	
Station, IN		1 210	2 205		1 210	2 205	4.512	(12	1006	06/06	40	
Mendota, IL		-	-		— 1,218	-	4,513		1996	06/06	40	
Oakdale, W	1—	1,844	1,003		— 1,844	1,003	3,507	438	1998	06/06	40	
Rockford, IL	_	623	1,331	7	— 596	803	1,399	212	2000	06/06	40	
Rockford,												
IL	_	1,094	1,662		— 1,093	1,662	2,755	438	1996	06/06	40	
Springfield, IL	—	1,795	1,863	—	— 2,211	1,863	4,074	572	1978	06/06	40	
111												
Champaign, IL	_	3,241	2,008		— 3,241	2,008	5,249	496	2006	02/07	40	
DeKalb, IL	_	505	1 503		— 505	1,503	2,008	371	2004	02/07	40	
Fenton, MO			,		-2,584		5,206		2007	02/07	40	
					•		,					
Hampshire, IL	_	1,307	1,501	1,629	— 1,307	3,130	4,437	746	1988	02/07 (1	f)40	
Princeton,			2066			2000			•	0010=	40	
IL (n)	_	1,141	3,066		— 1,141	3,066	4,207	757	2003	02/07	40	
South		2.024	2 200		2.02.1	2 200	c 100	55 0	2002	00/05	40	
Beloit, IL	_	3,824	2,309		— 3,824	2,309	6,133	570	2002	02/07	40	
Cedar		1 005	004		1.005	004	2 000	0.41	1000	02/07	40	
Rapids, IA	_	1,025	984		— 1,025	984	2,009	241	1990	03/07	40	
Marion, IA	_	737	1,071		<i>—</i> 737	1,071	1,808	262	1974	03/07	40	
Okawville,		1 520	1 1 1 1 7	1,034	— 1,536	2 101	3,717	302	1997	08/07	40	
IL	_	1,330	1,14/	1,034	— 1,330	۷,101	3,/1/	372	177/	08/07	40	
Dubuque,		561	1,941		— 561	1,941	2 502	451	2000	09/07	40	
IA		501	1,771		- 501	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,502	-r <i>J</i> 1	2000	07101	TU	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Belvidere, — 521	1,053	_	— 521	1,053	1,574	240	2008	09/07	(f)40
South Beloit, IL — 1,182	1,324	_	1,182	1,324	2,506	302	2008	09/07	(f)40
Chicago, IL — 1,350			1,350	6,450	7,800	1,150	1970	07/12	25
Bensenville, 842	3,164		— 842	3,164	4,006	189	2002	03/15	30
Loves Park, — 911	2,283	_	— 911	2,283	3,194	117	2010	03/15	35
Robbins Diamonds: Newark, DE— 636	1,273	38	— 629	1,311	1,940	707	1994	12/94	40
Ross Dress for									
Less: Coral Gables, FL — 1,782	1,661	19	— 1,782	1,680	3,462	821	1994	06/96	38
Lodi, CA — 614	1,415		<u> </u>	1,415	2,029	467	1984	03/99	40

F-51

Costs		
Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount	at	Life on Which
to SubsequentWhich Company to Carried at Clos	e of Period (a) (b)	Depreciation & Amortization in
Acquisition	c of 1 criou (a) (b)	Latest Income
Building, Building	g, Accumulated	Statement is
Encluand Improvements & Carrying Improvements of Encluand Leasehold Costs Leasehold	ments Repreciation of Date	1
Leasehold Costs Leasehold Interests Interests		uired (Years)
interests interests	Amortization	
Real Estate Held for Investment the		
Company has Invested in Under		
Operating Leases: Ruby's Place:		
•	266 33 1997 12/0	01 (g) 40
Rue 21:		
Lapeer, MI — 126 645 — — 126 629	755 148 2007 10/0	05 40
Sally Beauty		
Supply:		
Lapeer, MI — 33 167 — — 33 163	196 38 2007 10/0	05 40
Salons by JC:		
•	2,337 456 2003 07/0)4 (g) 40
Saltgrass Steakhouse:		
Regument		10 () 20
TX — 538 — 2,336 — 901 1,819 1	2,720 286 1975 09/1	10 (m)30
San Antonio, — 1,280 — 853 — 1,280 853	2,133 109 2011 08/1	11 (m)40
TX	2,957 210 2012 03/1	12 (m)40
· ·	2,910 210 1998 01/1	()
Port Arthur, — 890 — 2,049 — 890 2,049	2,939 152 2014 08/1	13 (m)40
TX		
College		. ,
Station, TX — 934 — 2,076 — 934 2,076	3,010 119 2014 04/1	14 (m)40
Lewisville, — 1,268 — 2,456 — 1,268 2,456	3,724 67 2015 11/1	14 (m)40
TX		
Odessa, TX — 1,000 — 2,410 — 1,000 2,410		` '
Lubbock, TX — 1,025 — 2,251 — 1,025 2,251	3,276 40 2016 10/1	15 (m)40
•	1,208 (e) (e) 07/1	16 (m)(e)
Corpus — 1,008 — 2,732 — 1,008 2,732	3,740 3 2016 09/1	(m)(k)

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

1983

08/16

25

Save on Gas and

C-Store:

Wilkes-Barre PA Hughesville, PA				876 290	1,957 258	2,833 548	1,113 149	1998 1977	08/05 01/06	20 40
Savers Thrift Superstore: Fairview	— 1,258	2 622	246	— 1,258	2 860	4 127	761	1980	10/05	(g) 40
Heights, IL North	,	4.540	240	,		4,127		1900	00/16	(g) 40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

-1,6134,549 -1,6134,5496,16238

F-52

Olmsted, OH

to Subsequent Which Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition										Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income	
En	c luantd a	Buildir Improvances Leaseh Interes	ng, /ements a Improviold		Buildin Improv Leaseh Interest	ements Total old	® eprand	mulated eDiateonf Construction rtization	Date Acquired		Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for Company has Inve Operating Leases: Schlotzsky's Deli:			he								
Phoenix, AZ —	706 717	315 311	_	706686	315311	1,021 997		1995 1995	12/01 12/01		40 40
Season's 52: Schaumburg, IL	2,065	1,311	_	2,065	(i)	2,065	(i)	(i)	12/01		(i)
Select Comfort: Tucson, AZ — Billings, MT —			1,271 836	— 906 — 708	1,271 836	2,177 1,544		2015 2016		(m) (m)	
Shek's Chinese Express: Eden Prairie, MN	65	261	_	— 65	261	326	96	1997	12/01		40
Shell: Glendale, AZ— Peoria, AZ —									05/08 05/08		40 30
Shop-a-Snak: Bessemer, AL	564	742	_	— 564	742	1,306	197	2002	05/06		40
Chelsea, AL —	391	628	_	— 391	628	1,019	167	1981	05/06		40
Jasper, AL (n)	551	747	_	<u> </u>	747	1,298	199	1998	05/06		40
Birmingham,		704	_	— 439	704	1,143	187	1989	05/06		40
Birmingham,	446	672	_	<u>446</u>	672	1,118	178	1989	05/06		40
Birmingham,	361	744	_	— 361	744	1,105	198	1989	05/06		40
AL	468	657	_	— 468	657	1,125			05/06		40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Homewood,									
AL									
Hoover, AL — 490	769		— 444	769	1,213	204	1992	05/06	40
Hoover, AL — 713	865		— 713	865	1,578	230	1998	05/06	40
Hoover, AL — 764	1,157	_	— 663	1,157	1,820	307	2005	05/06	40
Trussville, — 272	542		— 272	542	814	144	1992	05/06	40
Tuscaloosa, — 525	463	_	— 525	463	988	123	1991	05/06	40
Tuscaloosa, — 432 AL	559	_	— 432	559	991	149	1991	05/06	40
Tuscaloosa, — 386	733	_	— 386	733	1,119	195	1991	05/06	40

	Initial to Comp	any Buildi	Subseq to Acquis ng, vements Improv		n ed at Cl Buildi	ose of P ng, vements Total nold	Accum Repreand	nulated	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held for	r Investm	ent the								
Company has Inves Operating Leases: Shopko:	ted in Un	der								
Riverdale, UT	2,294	5,396		2,294	5,396	7,690	405	1991	02/15	25
Spanish Fork, U7	_1,526	4,458		1,526	4,458	5,984	334	1991	02/15	25
Spokane, WA	2,270	7,975		-2,270	7,975	10,245	598	1986	02/15	25
West Bend, WI	1,435	7,654		1,435	7,654	9,089	574	1987	02/15	25
C1 .										
Sleepy's: Bay Shore, NY	674	1 007		674	1,907	2 591	35	1985	07/16	25
Bridgehampton,		•			•	•				
NY	1,819	2,283		1,819	2,283	4,102	35	2003	07/16	30
Dickson City, PA	_509	3,563		— 509	3,563	4,072	54	1998	07/16	30
Farmingdale, NY		2,021		— 522	2,021	2,543	37	1999	07/16	25
Hasbrouck	609	989	_	— 609	989	1,598	18	1965	07/16	25
Heights, NJ	007	707		007	707	1,370	10	1703	07/10	23
Huntington	437	1,766		-437	1,766	2,203	32	1990	07/16	25
Station, NY					•					
Ledgewood, NJ Middletown, NY		1,312		456 351	3,232	1,768	24 59	1981 1977	07/16 07/16	25 25
		3,232		-331	3,232	3,363	39	19//	07/10	23
Montgomeryville PA	·—283	3,084		—283	3,084	3,367	57	1988	07/16	25
Old Saybrook,	601	2.505		601	2.505	4.206	0.2	1020	07/1/	20
CT	691	3,595		691	3,595	4,286	82	1929	07/16	20
Rockville Centre	· —732	951	_	732	951	1,683	22	1925	07/16	20
NY						•				
Somers Point, NJ		1,691		313	,	2,004	26	2004	07/16	30
Watchung, NJ	—587	2,662		<u>587</u>		3,249	49	1981	07/16	25
•		2,736		<u>615</u>		-	50	1976	07/16	25
Whitehall, PA	—218	1,177		<u>218</u>	1,177	1,393	18	2002	07/16	30
Sonic Automotive:										
Charlotte, NC	-3,619	4,854		-3,619	4,854	8,473	1,168	1996	05/07	40
	- ,	,== 1		- ,	, *	,	,		•	
Sparkling Image:										
Bakersfield, CA	2,564	4,465	2,178	2,564	6,643	9,207	1,788	1988	03/08	30
Bakersfield, CA					-	-	1,508		03/08	35
Bakersfield, CA	2,798	5,260	22	1,781	284	2,065	267	1997	03/08	35

	Edgar	Filing	: NATIO	ONAL R	ETAIL	PROPE	ERTIES	S, INC For	m 10-K	
Bakersfield, CA Bakersfield, CA Bakersfield, CA	2,043	3,520	40	-3,664 -2,043 -3,363	719	2,762	934 324 723	1994 1988 2002	03/08 03/08 03/08	35 30 40
San Fernando, CA	6,630	2,706	47	6,630	2,753	9,383	809	1988	03/08	30
Ventura, CA Ventura, CA	—5,590 —6,253			-	-	10,116 11,020		2001 1994	03/08 03/08	40 35
Spec's Liquor and Fine Foods:										
Corpus Christi, TX	 768	841	601	 768	1,442	2,210	654	1967	11/93	40
Coffee City, TX	-1,330	3,858	_	-1,330	3,858	5,188	1,145	1996	02/05	40
Speedy Cash: Knoxville, TN Chicago, IL	—324 —317	779 859	3	—324 —317	783 859	1,107 1,176	38 19	2014 2014	04/15 03/16	35 35
Spencer's Air Conditioning & Appliance:										
Glendale, AZ	—342	982		—342	982	1,324	428	1999	12/98	(g) 40
Sprint PCS: Lewisville, TX	— 555	_	1,172	— 598	1,128	1,726	25	2016	12/01	(m)40
Stanton Optical: Portland, OR	—290	61	_	—300		300	(e)	(e)	09/06	(e)
Staples: Memphis, TN	—931	2,210	_	— 931	2,210	3,141	182	2011	02/14	35
Starplex Theatre: Southington, CT	—1,346	_	4,263	—1,346	4,263	5,609	361	1993	05/14	(o) 30
Steak N Shake: Munhall, PA South Bend, IN	—688 —447	727 1,238		—688 —447	727 1,238	1,415 1,685	72 101	2002 2004	07/14 07/14	25 30
Sterling Collision: Lombard, IL	— 622	1,714	_	— 622	1,714	2,336	277	1997	12/12	25
Stone Mountain Chevrolet: Lilburn, GA	3,027	4,685	_	-3,027	4,685	7,712	1,449	2004	08/04	40
Stop N Go: Grand Prairie, TX	K—421	685	_	<u>-421</u>	685	1,106	257	1986	12/01	40
Stripes: Laredo, TX	—841	739		<u> 841 </u>	739	1,580	204	2001	12/05	40

Brownsville, TX	2,033	1,288		2,033	1,288	3,321	355	1995	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-			2,417	-	-	505	2000	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX				-1,279		-	280	1990	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX				-2,915	-	-	497	2000	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	1,843	1,419		1,843		3,262	392	2000	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX		699		— 933	699	1,632	193	1999	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-2,530	1,125		-2,530	1,125	3,655	311	1990	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-1,182	1,105		-1,182	1,105	2,287	305	2000	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-1,039	1,145		-1,039	1,145	2,184	316	2004	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-1,392	1,444	_	-1,392	1,444	2,836	399	2005	12/05	40
Brownsville, TX	-1,015	1,308		-1,015	1,308	2,323	361	2003	12/05	40
Corpus Christi,	1,385	1 410		1 205	1 410	2 904	392	1982	12/05	40
TX	-1,363	1,419	_	1,385	1,419	2,004	392	1902	12/05	40
Corpus Christi,	1,400	1 521		1,400	1 521	2 021	423	1984	12/05	40
TX	-1,400	1,331	_	-1,400	1,331	2,931	423	1984	12/05	40
Corpus Christi,	1 200	2 151		1 200	2 151	2.450	504	1005	12/05	40
TX	1,308	2,131		1,308	2,131	3,439	594	1995	12/03	40
Corpus Christi,	702	1 027		702	1 027	1 740	206	1006	12/05	40
TX	 703	1,037		 703	1,037	1,740	286	1986	12/05	40
Corpus Christi,	052	1 416		0.52	1 416	2.260	201	2005	12/05	40
TX	853	1,416		853	1,416	2,269	391	2005	12/05	40
Donna, TX	-1,004	1,127		-1,004	1,127	2,131	311	1995	12/05	40
Edinburg, TX	-1,317			-1,317	1,624	2,941	448	1999	12/05	40
Edinburg, TX	970			970		-	355	2003	12/05	40
Falfurias, TX	-4,244			-4,213	-	-		2002	12/05	40
Freer, TX	<u>-1,151</u>			-1,151		-	320	1984	12/05	40
George West, TX	-			-1,243		1,938	192	1996	12/05	40
Harlingen, TX	 906			<u> </u>	953	1,859	263	1991	12/05	40
Harlingen, TX	 755	601		 755	601	1,356	166	1987	12/05	40
Harlingen, TX	754	1,152		754		1,906	318	1999	12/05	40
La Feria, TX	—900	1,347		—900	,	2,247	372	1988	12/05	40
Laredo, TX	-1,495			-1,495			387	1993	12/05	40
Laredo, TX	<u>675</u>	533		<u>675</u>	533	1,208	147	1993	12/05	40
Laredo, TX	—1,553			— 1,553			490	2000	12/05	40
Laredo, TX	—459	460		—459	460	919	127	1983	12/05	40
Laredo, TX	 736	670		736	670	1,406	185	1984	12/05	40
Lawton, OK	697	964		649	964	1,613	266	1984	12/05	40
Los Indios, TX	—1,387			— 1,387		,	402	2005	12/05	40
McAllen, TX	— 987	893		<u>987</u>	893	1,880	247	1999	12/05	40
McAllen, TX	<u> </u>	1,030		<u> </u>		2,005	284	2003	12/05	40
Mission, TX	880	1,101		880		1,981	304	1999	12/05	40
Mission, TX	— 1,125			— 1,125		-	335	2003	12/05	40
Olmito, TX	— 3,688			—3,688			795	2002	12/05	40
Pharr, TX	— 2,426			2,426		-	519	2003	12/05	40
Pharr, TX	—982	1,178		 982		2,160	325	1988	12/05	40
Pharr, TX	—782 —784	805		—782 —784	805	1,589	222	2000	12/05	40
Port Isabel, TX	-7.04 $-2,062$			-7.04 $-2,062$		-	358	1994	12/05	40
Portland, TX	-2,002 -656	915		-2,002 -656	915	1,571	252	1983	12/05	40
	-0.50 $-1,769$			-0.50 $-1,769$		-	500	1903	12/05	40
Progreso, TX Riviera, TX	-1,769 $-2,351$			-1,769 $-2,351$		-	596	2005	12/05	40
	-									40
San Benito, TX	 791	1,857		791	1,03/	2,648	513	1994	12/05	40

San Benito, TX	-1,103	1,586	_	-1,103	1,586	2,689	438	2005	12/05	40
San Juan, TX	-1,424	1,546	_	1,424	1,546	2,970	427	2004	12/05	40
San Juan, TX	-1,124	1,172		-1,124	1,172	2,296	323	1996	12/05	40
South Padre	-1,367	1 380		1,367	1 380	2 756	383	1988	12/05	40
Island, TX	-1,507	1,307	_	-1,507	1,307	2,730	363	1700	12/03	40
Wichita Falls, TX-	484	828		-484	828	1,312	229	1983	12/05	40
Wichita Falls, TX-	— 905	1,351	_	— 905	1,351	2,256	373	2000	12/05	40
Wichita Falls, TX-	440	751	_	44 0	751	1,191	207	1984	12/05	40
Palmview, TX	835	1,372		835	1,372	2,207	350	2005	10/06	40
Harlingen, TX	638	1,807		638	1,807	2,445	454	2006	12/06	40
Rio Grande City,	1 071	1 610		1 071	1 610	2 402	105	2006	12/06	40
TX	-1,8/1	1,012		— 1,871	1,012	3,483	405	2006	12/06	40
San Juan, TX	816	1,434		816	1,434	2,250	360	2006	12/06	40
Zapata, TX	-1,333	1,773		-1,333	1,773	3,106	445	2006	12/06	40
Orange Grove,	1 767	1 020		1 767	1 020	2 605	116	2007	04/07	40
TX	—1,767	1,838		—1,767	1,838	3,003	446	2007	04/07	40
Harlingen, TX	-408	826		408	826	1,234	251	1982	11/07	30
_	468	728		468	728	1,196	221	1973	11/07	30
Laredo, TX	448	734	_	448	734	1,182	223	1981	11/07	30
Laredo, TX	698	1,169	_	698	1,169	1,867	355	1981	11/07	30
•	— 584	958		— 584	958	1,542	292	1981	11/07	30
•	348	1,168		348	1,168	1,516	355	1983	11/07	30
*	420	1,135		420		1,555	345	1985	11/07	30
	-1,565			-1,565		2,323	173	1996	11/07	40
·	—640	1,616		640		2,256	369	1996	11/07	40
•	-2,628			-2,628		-	678	1996	11/07	40
·	-2,633			-2,633		-	730	2006	11/07	40
·	—194	471		—194	471	665	108	1998	11/07	40
•	—573	1,229		— 573		1,802	278	2000	12/07	40
•	—329	935		-329	935	1,264	279	1980	01/08	30
•	—277	808		<u>277</u>	808	1,085	241	1983	01/08	30
•	—325	816	_	<u>-325</u>	816	1,141	244	1983	01/08	30
•	—643	1,776		<u>643</u>		2,419	530	1980	01/08	30
Port Isabel, TX		855		<u>299</u>		1,154	255	1983	01/08	30
Brownsville, TX		1,429		<u>843</u>		2,272	308	2007	05/08	40
	—834 —834	1,787			1,787		385	2007	05/08	40
O .	—634 —710	2,166		—834 —710	-	2,876	467	2007	05/08	40
·	—710 —879	1,593			1,593	,	344	2007	05/08	40
	-0.79 $-1,183$			-379 $-1,183$		-	417	2007	05/08	40
•	-1,183 -1,270			-1,183 -1,270	-		685	1986	05/08	30
Houston, TX (n)	-	1,458		-696		2,154	293	2008	12/08	40
	—690 —671								12/08	40
Lubbock, TX	<u> </u>	1,612		671	1,012	2,283	324	2007	12/08	40
Cubway										
Subway: Eden Prairie, MN	51	150	67	<u>54</u>	218	272	80	1997	12/01	40
		67		—34 —3	67	70	20	1997	09/04	40
• .	—3 —21	116	8	—3 —21	123	70 144	41	1992		40
Conoes, IN I	—∠ı	110	0	—21	123	144	41	1774	09/04	4 U
Sullivan's										
Steakhouse:										
Lincolnshire, IL	862	1,574		862	1 574	2,436	312	1999	01/12	25
Lincomsilie, iL	-002	1,5/4		002	1,5/4	4,430	314	1777	01/12	۷)

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Sunbelt Rentals:										
Dayton, OH	391	1,223		391	1,223	1,614	165	2008	04/12	35
Shepherdsville,	— 516	1 577		516	1 577	2.002	212	2009	04/12	25
KY	—310	1,577		— 516	1,577	2,093	212	2009	04/12	35
Sunoco:										
Arnold, MD	417	581		-4 17	581	998	72	1993	04/13	30
Baltimore, MD	— 542	2,054	_	— 542	2,054	2,596	254	1998	04/13	30
Baltimore, MD	— 523	2,809	_	— 523	2,809	3,332	417	1982	04/13	25
Baltimore, MD	271	1,482	_	<u>271</u>	1,482	1,753	220	1968	04/13	25
Baltimore, MD	368	1,647	_	368	1,647	2,015	204	1996	04/13	30
Baltimore, MD	455	2,122	_	455	2,122	2,577	315	1980	04/13	25
Baltimore, MD	310	1,686	_	310	1,686	1,996	179	2004	04/13	35
Baltimore, MD	620	1,279	_	620	1,279	1,899	158	1989	04/13	30
Bel Air, MD	-1,376	620	_	-1,376	620	1,996	77	1994	04/13	30
Bethesda, MD	-1,414	1,347	_	-1,414	1,347	2,761	200	1971	04/13	25
Centreville, VA	-1,753	697	_	-1,753	697	2,450	86	1994	04/13	30
Chantilly, VA	-1,472	1,831		-1,472	1,831	3,303	272	1966	04/13	25
Dale City, VA	639	2,461		639	2,461	3,100	304	1992	04/13	30
Dumfries, VA	387	2,364		387	2,364	2,751	292	1999	04/13	30
Edgewood, MD	823	2,073		823	2,073	2,896	308	1985	04/13	25
Frederick, MD	—940	1,860	_	—940	1,860	2,800	230	1996	04/13	30
Gaithersburg,	1,027	2.072		-1,027	2.072	2 100	308	1982	04/13	25
MD	-1,027	2,073	_	-1,027	2,073	3,100	308	1982	04/13	23
Glen Burnie, MD		1,647	_	804	1,647	2,451	204	1994	04/13	30
Herndon, VA	 707	1,792	_	 707	1,792	2,499	222	1989	04/13	30
Joppa, MD	862	174	_	862	174	1,036	26	1987	04/13	25
Manassas, VA	-1,230	1,521	_	-1,230	1,521	2,751	188	1991	04/13	30
Manassas, VA	746	1,434	_	746	1,434	2,180	177	1993	04/13	30
Odenton, MD	668	2,780	_	668	2,780	3,448	344	2000	04/13	30
Owings Mills,	-1,337	011	_	1 227	011	2,248	112	1994	04/13	30
MD	-1,337	911	_	1,337	911	2,248	113	1994	04/13	30
Parkton, MD	397	2,151	_	397	2,151	2,548	266	1993	04/13	30
Pasadena, MD	— 591	2,509	_	— 579	2,509	3,088	310	1997	04/13	30
Pasadena, MD	407	1,492	_	4 07	1,492	1,899	184	1989	04/13	30
Perryville, MD	601	3,778	_	601	3,778	4,379	467	1990	04/13	30
Randallstown,	 746	1,715		746	1,715	2 461	212	1995	04/13	30
MD	—/40	1,/13	_	—/40	1,/13	۷ ,4 01	<i>L</i> 1 <i>L</i>	1773	U4/13	30

		Initial Comp	Cost to any	Costs Capitali@doss Subsequ@diticl to Carrie Acquisition		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income				
	Enc	ulmalmeter	Building Improvences Leaseho Interests	g, emen (særying Improve nænt s Id Costs	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements Total old	Accum Deprecand Amorti	i Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed
Real Estate Held Company has Ir										
Operating Lease Reisterstown, MD		649	2,354	— — 649	2,354	3,003	291	1995	04/13	30
Rockville, MD	_	1,996	2,054	— — 1,996	2,054	4,050	305	1971	04/13	25
Severn, MD	_	765	3,139	— — 765	3,139	3,904	388	1987	04/13	30
Sterling, VA Sterling, VA						2,451 4,001	135	1997 1998	04/13 04/13	30 30
Timonium,	_	*	*	,	,					
MD		*	*	— — 1,356		2,954		1981	04/13	25
Towson, MD Warrenton,	_			— — 630	2,771	3,401		1988	04/13	25
VA	_	1,802	2,703	— — 1,802	2,703	4,505	334	1994	04/13	30
Woodbridge, VA	_	678	2,664	— — 678	2,664	3,342	395	1988	04/13	25
Sunshine Energy:										
Kansas City, MO	_	517	720	— — 517	720	1,237	215	1993	07/09	25
SunTrust: Albany, GA		287	890	— — 287	890	1,177	210	1990	06/13	15
Alexandria,		2,735		-207 $-2,735$		3,467		1969	06/13	15
VA	_	2,733	132	— — 2,733	132	3,407	173	1909	00/13	13
Alpharetta, GA	_	1,056	1,425	— — 1,056	1,425	2,481	168	2005	06/13	30
Alpharetta, GA	_	1,625	1,366	— — 1,625	1,366	2,991	242	1991	06/13	20
Arlington, VA	_	1,998	638	— — 1,998	638	2,636	113	1993	06/13	20
Atlanta, GA			748	— — 296	748			1964	06/13	15
Atlanta, GA				- 2,130	1,623	3,753	287	1976	06/13	20
Augusta, GA Augusta, GA		472 352	443 397	472 352	443 397	915 749	314 281	1970 1949	06/13 06/13	5 5
Augusta, GA Augusta, GA			872		397 872	1,737	309	1949	06/13	10
	_	360		— — 360	1,564	1,924		1983	06/13	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Avon Park,									
FL									
Bartow, FL —	218	769	<u> </u>	769	987	109	1980	06/13	25
Beaverdam,	230	309	— — 230	309	539	219	1964	06/13	5
Belleview, FL-			<u> </u>	1,085	1,311	128	1979	06/13	30
Beverly Hills,	376	1,414	— — 376	1,414	1,790	167	1989	06/13	30
Black Mountain, NC	780	655	— — 780	655	1,435	464	1943	06/13	5
Bladensburg, _ MD	1,528	1,538	— — 1,528	1,538	3,066	182	1946	06/13	30
Bradenton,FL	437	1,251	— — 429	1,251	1,680	148	1980	06/13	30
Brunswick,	158	2,169	— — 158	2,169	2,327	1,536	1957	06/13	5
Butner, NC —	344	606	<u> </u>	606	950	107	1957	06/13	20

		Initial Comp	·	Costs Capitali Subsequato Acquisi		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income					
	Enc	u lnalmc ar	Building Improvences Leaseho Interests	emen(Sak Improvi old Cos	cying d naedd ts sts	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ements Total old	Accumi Deprectand Amortis	Diage of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed (Years)
			microsis	•		microsis	•	Amoru	zation		
Real Estate Held Company has In											
Operating Lease	s:										
Cary, NC		616	826	— —	616	826	1,442	146	1987	06/13	20
Chattanooga, TN		308	652		308	652	960	462	1972	06/13	5
Chattanooga, TN	_	260	374		260	374	634	265	1981	06/13	5
Chattanooga, TN		496	824		496	824	1,320	584	1948	06/13	5
Chattanooga, TN	_	336	341		336	341	677	241	1974	06/13	5
Chestertown, MD	_	856	290		856	290	1,146	206	1974	06/13	5
Clearwater, FL	_	433	530		433	530	963	125	1983	06/13	15
Conyers, GA		366	501		366	501	867	177	1986	06/13	10
Crystal River, FL	_	430	2,971		430	2,971	3,401	301	1983	06/13	35
Daytona Beach Shores, FL		318	720		318	720	1,038	102	1982	06/13	25
Deland, FL		270	1,296		270	1,296	1,566	153	1993	06/13	30
Denton, NC		472	783		472	783	1,255	185	1969	06/13	15
Doral, FL		1,912	,			1,100	3,012		1988	06/13	20
Douglas, GA			168			168	522	119	1972	06/13	5
,	—	851	845	— —		845	1,696		1992	06/13	20
Edgewater, FI			1,417			1,417	1,836		1986	06/13	30
		380	89		380	89	469	63	1955	06/13	5
Flagler Beach FL	,	366	1,313		366	1,313	1,679	133	1993	06/13	35
Fort Myers, FL		814	684		814	684	1,498	162	1986	06/13	15
Fort Myers, FL		543	758		543	758	1,301	107	1986	06/13	25
Franklin, VA		103	911		103	911	1,014	215	1967	06/13	15
Gainesville, GA	_	406	1,830		406	1,830	2,236	1,296	1966	06/13	5
	_	1,395	1,533		1,395	1,533	2,928	181	1988	06/13	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Greenacres										
City, FL										
Greensboro, NC		516	394	 516	394	910	279	1980	06/13	5
Gulf Breeze, FL		1,021	1,382	 1,021	1,382	2,403	490	1960	06/13	10
Haines City, FL		405	1,241	 405	1,241	1,646	147	1989	06/13	30
Harrisonburg, _VA	_	245	438	 245	438	683	310	1968	06/13	5
Hialeah, FL – Holly Hill, FL –			1,149 699	 ,	1,149 699	3,727 1,208	407 495	1978 1963	06/13 06/13	10 5
Homosassa, FL		344	825	 344	825	1,169	117	1985	06/13	25
Hudson, NC -	_	220	207	 220	207	427	37	1994	06/13	20
Huntersville, NC		177	830	 177	830	1,007	118	1998	06/13	25
Inverness, FL -	_	471	755	 471	755	1,226	178	1984	06/13	15
Jacksonville, _FL	_	938	926	 938	926	1,864	164	1979	06/13	20

	Initial Comp	Cost to any	Subsequ	Mittich Carried	Amount a			Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income		
Encul	licaloic hr	Building Improvences Leaseho Interests	g, emen G a& Improve old Cos		Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ments Total Id	&Depre and	nulated Dationof Construction tization	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed
Real Estate Held for Inv Company has Invested in										
Operating Leases:		.01								
Jacksonville, FL—	674	821		674	821	1,495	116	1987	06/13	25
Jonesboro, GA — 5		1,185			1,185	-	839	1965	06/13	5
Jonesborough, 9	95	285		95	285	380	202	1974	06/13	5
Jupiter, FL —	1,035	1,327		1,035	1,327	2,362	134	1998	06/13	35
Kannapolis, NC — 8	850	834		850	834	1,684	591	1906	06/13	5
Kernersville, 2	284	708		284	708	992	167	1990	06/13	15
Lady Lake, FL — 3	340	1,355		340	1,355	1,695	160	1996	06/13	30
Lady Lake, FL — 3	388	1,537		388	1,537	1,925	181	1996	06/13	30
Lake City, TN — 3		514		326	514	840	364	1958	06/13	5
Lake Placid, FL — 2		1,402			1,402	1,691	166	1988	06/13	30
C .	258	643		258	643	901	114	1979	06/13	20
Lawrenceburg, 2	205	413		205	413	618	292	1975	06/13	5
Lawrenceville, — 6	657	1,764		657	1,764	2,421	625	1985	06/13	10
Lightfoot, VA —	177	512		177	512	689	181	1973	06/13	10
Lynn Haven, FL—	797	865		797	865	1,662	306	1974	06/13	10
Macon, GA — 2	207	392		207	392	599	93	1980	06/13	15
Madison Heights, VA	215	379		215	379	594	268	1973	06/13	5
Manassas, VA —	1,765	1,714		1,765	1,714	3,479	304	1967	06/13	20
Marietta, GA — 6	617	714		617	714	1,331	253	1974	06/13	10
Mechanicsville,	343	493		343	493	836	349	1965	06/13	5
Mocksville, NC —	189	434		189	434	623	307	1967	06/13	5
Monroe, NC — 5	586	353		586	353	939	250	1981	06/13	5
Murfreesboro, 2	276	554		276	554	830	131	1989	06/13	15
N Miami Beach, 9	915	497		915	497	1,412	117	1986	06/13	15
Nashville, TN —	438	1,295		438	1,295	1,733	153	1994	06/13	30
Nashville, TN — 6		639			639	1,266		1972	06/13	10
New Port Richey, FL	463	1,178		463	1,178	1,641		1998	06/13	25

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Norcross, GA	_	789	663	7	789	663	1,452	156	1986	06/13	15
Norwood, NC		519	410	5	519	410	929	290	1946	06/13	5
Orlando, FL	—	801	1,135	8	301	1,135	1,936	201	1993	06/13	20
Palm Harbor, FL	_	532	384	5	532	384	916	136	1983	06/13	10
Punta Gorda, FL (n)	_	1,483	1,330	<u> </u>	1,483	1,330	2,813	235	1972	06/13	20
Radford, VA	—	221	326	2	221	326	547	231	1964	06/13	5
Richmond, VA		263	563	2	263	563	826	199	1981	06/13	10

		Initial Comp	·	Costs Capitali Subsequ to Acquisi		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is					
	Enc	ulnabudar	Building Improvences Leaseho Interests	ementsak Improvi old Cos	cying elnaendts sts	Building Improve Leaseho Interests	ments Total Id	Accumi Depreciand Amorti	Dime of Construction	Date Acquired	Computed
Real Estate Held	d for	Investn									
Company has In		ed in U	nder								
Operating Lease	es:										
Richmond, VA	_	283	245		283	245	528	173	1973	06/13	5
Richmond, VA	_	398	673		398	673	1,071	477	1972	06/13	5
Roanoke, VA	. —	264	256		264	256	520	182	1973	06/13	5
Roanoke, VA	. —	103	360		103	360	463	128	1957	06/13	10
Roxboro, NC	—	452	918		452	918	1,370	217	1983	06/13	15
Sebastian, FL	. —	438	856		438	856	1,294	152	1987	06/13	20
Sebring, FL		326	920	— —	326	920	1,246	130	1985	06/13	25
South Boston VA	,	221	1,441		221	1,441	1,662	255	1975	06/13	20
Spartanburg, SC	_	435	372		435	372	807	132	1921	06/13	10
Spotsylvania, VA	_	1,398	1,158		1,398	1,158	2,556	117	1964	06/13	35
Spring Hill, FL		460	1,102		460	1,102	1,562	780	1973	06/13	5
Spring Hill, FL	_	631	1,950		631	1,950	2,581	230	1988	06/13	30
St.	_	207	1,150		207	1,150	1,357	136	1974	06/13	30
Petersburg, FL Stuart, FL (n)	_	1,143	2,570		1,143	2,570	3,713	303	1985	06/13	30
Sun City Center, FL (n)	_	568	3,671		568	3,671	4,239	371	1971	06/13	35
Tamarac, FL		966	1,115		966	1,115	2,081	395	1972	06/13	10
Tucker, GA		395	1,208		395	1,208	1,603	214	1971	06/13	20
Valrico, FL		178	870		178	870	1,048	103	1981	06/13	30
Virginia Beach, VA	_	326	366		326	366	692	130	1985	06/13	10
Warner Robins, GA	_	905	1,276		905	1,276	2,181	452	1973	06/13	10
Wildwood,	_	308	953		308	953	1,261	135	1978	06/13	25
FL Youngsville,		237	165		237	165	402	117	1946	06/13	5
NC											
	_	345	3,112		345	3,112	3,457	735	1972	06/13	15

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Zephyrhills,

FL

Sι	ıp	erior	
-		4	

Petroleum: Midway, PA	_	311	708	3	11	708	1,019	259	1990	01/06	30
Supervalu:											
Huntington, WV	_	1,254	761	— — 1	,254	761	2,015	378	1971	02/97	40
Maple Heights, OH	_	1,035	2,874	— — 1	,035	2,874	3,909	1,428	1985	02/97	40
Susser HQ:											
Corpus Christi, TX		630	3,131	— — 6	530	3,131	3,761	1,393	1982	03/99	40

See accompanying report of independent registered public accounting firm.

F-58

	Initial Comp	Cost to	_	alize eque	Gross A Which Carried		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in				
Eı	ncu lnaloc h	Buildin Improvences Leaseho Interest	ements Impro old	isitio	on	Building	g, ements Total	Accur Depre	mulated Dationof Construction tization	Date Acquired	Latest Income Statement is Computed
Real Estate Held for Company has Invest Operating Leases: Sweet Berries Cafe: Sherman, TX —	sted in U		24	_	233	150	383	75	1969	09/06	20
Taco Bell:	275	755			275	755	1 020	204	2001	12/01	40
Ocala, FL —		755			275	755	1,030	284	2001	12/01	
Phoenix, AZ — Bedford, IN —		283 937			594 797	283 937	877 1,734	106 249	1995 1989	12/01 05/06	40 40
Columbus, IN—					1,257	2,055	3,312	546	1989	05/06	40
Columbus, IN —		1,213	_		690	1,213	1,903	322	2005	05/06	40
Evansville, IN—		1,815			524	1,815	2,339	482	2005	05/06	40
Evansville, IN—		828		_		828	1,049	220	2003	05/06	40
Evansville, IN—		1,301			308	1,301	1,609	345	2000	05/06	40
Fishers, IN —		486			990	486	1,476		1998	05/06	40
Greensburg,							•				
IN	- 648	1,079			648	1,079	1,727	287	1998	05/06	40
Indianapolis,	- 547	703	_	_	547	703	1,250	187	2004	05/06	40
Indianapolis,	- 1,032	1,650	_		1,032	1,650	2,682	438	2004	05/06	40
Madisonville, KY	- 682	1,193	_		682	1,193	1,875	317	1999	05/06	40
Ownesboro, KY	- 639	1,326	—		639	1,326	1,965	352	2005	05/06	40
Shelbyville,	- 670	1,756	_		670	1,756	2,426		1998	05/06	40
Speedway, IN—	- 408	1,426		—	408	1,426	1,834	379	2003	05/06	40
Terre Haute,	- 1,314	2,249			1,314	2,249	3,563	597	2003	05/06	40
Terre Haute, IN	- 1,037	1,656	_	_	1,037	1,656	2,693	440	2003	05/06	40
Vincennes, IN—	- 502	880		_	502	880	1,382	234	2004	05/06	40
Hialeah, FL —		69			262	(i)	262	(i)	(i)	09/06	(i)
Anderson, SC —		436			176	436	612	88	2000	12/10	30
Anderson, SC —		820	_		273	820	1,093	198	1989	12/10	25
Asheville, NC—	- 252	483		_	252	483	735	117	1993	12/10	25

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	408	732		— 408	732	1,140	177	1992	12/10	25
Black Mountain, NC	149	313	_	— 149	313	462	76	1992	12/10	25
Blue Ridge,	276	553	_	— 276	553	829	134	1992	12/10	25
Cedartown, — GA	353	890		— 353	890	1,243	215	1990	12/10	25
Duncan, SC —	280	483	—	— 280	483	763	97	1999	12/10	30
Easley, SC (n)—	444	818	_	— 444	818	1,262	198	1991	12/10	25
Fort Payne,	362	533	_	— 362	533	895	129	1989	12/10	25

		Costs								
	Initial Cost									
	to	0.1. **********								
	Company	to Carr	ied at Clos	se of Pe	eriod (a	ı) (b)		Depreciation &		
		Acquisition						Amortization in		
	Buildir	-	Building,	,	Accur	nulated		Latest Income		
Г	, Improv	ement©&crying	Improver,	nents &	&Depre	edDationof	Date	Statement is		
En	cu marra nces Leaseh	rement & rying Improventant old Costs	Leasehol	d otai	and	Construction	Acquired	Computed (Years)		
	Interes		Interests			tization	•			
Real Estate Held for I	nvestment the									
Company has Investe	d in Under									
Operating Leases:										
Franklin, NC —	472 687	<i>— 472</i>	687	1,159	166	1992	12/10	25		
Gaffney, SC —	388 940	— — 388	940	1,328	189	1998	12/10	30		
Greenville, SC —	169 330	— — 169	330	499	80	1990	12/10	25		
Greenville, SC —	414 810	— — 414	810	1,224	163	1995	12/10	30		
Hendersonville,	569 1,163	— — 569	1 162	1,732	281	1988	12/10	25		
NC	309 1,103	— — 569	1,163	1,732	201	1900	12/10	23		
Inman, SC —	223 502	— — 223	502	725	101	1999	12/10	30		
Lavonia, GA —	122 359	— — 122	359	481	72	1999	12/10	30		
Madison, AL —	498 886	— — 498	886	1,384	214	1985	12/10	25		
Oneonta, AL —	362 881	362	881	1,243	213	1992	12/10	25		
Piedmont, SC —	249 702	— — 249	702	951	141	2000	12/10	30		
Pisgah Forest,	260 672	260	672	022	125	1000	12/10	20		
NC	260 672	— — 260	072	932	135	1998	12/10	30		
Rainsville, AL —	411 1,077	— — 411	1,077	1,488	217	1998	12/10	30		
Seneca, SC —	304 807	— — 304	807	1,111	195	1993	12/10	25		
Simpsonville,	625 1 022	625	1 022	1 657	247	1001	12/10	25		
SC	635 1,022	635	1,022	1,657	247	1991	12/10	25		
Spartanburg, SC—	239 496	— — 239	496	735	100	1992	12/10	30		
Spartanburg, SC—	492 949	— — 492	949	1,441	191	1993	12/10	30		
Sylva, NC —	580 786	— — 580	786	1,366	158	1994	12/10	30		
Toccoa, GA —	201 600	— — 201	600	801	121	1993	12/10	30		
Anderson, IN —	313 1,338	— — 313	1,338	1,651	155	2008	12/12	35		
Bloomington,	332 1,234	— — 332	1 224	1,566	142	2009	12/12	35		
IN	332 1,234	— — 332	1,234	1,500	142	2009	12/12	33		
Bloomington,	275 1,026	— — 275	1.026	1,301	166	1988	12/12	25		
IN	273 1,020	— — 213	1,020	1,501	100	1900	12/12	23		
Carmel, IN —	360 1,546	— — 360	1,546	1,906	208	1994	12/12	30		
Daleville, IN —	209 893	— — 209	893	1,102	120	1995	12/12	30		
Edinburgh, IN —	313 1,338	— — 313	1,338	1,651	155	2007	12/12	35		
Evansville, IN —	209 1,092	— — 209	1,092	1,301	126	2008	12/12	35		
Indianapolis, IN —	351 1,452	— — 351	1,452	1,803	196	2005	12/12	30		
Indianapolis, IN —	209 799	— — 209	799	1,008	108	1994	12/12	30		
Indianapolis, IN —	256 1,102	— — 256	1,102	1,358	127	2008	12/12	35		
Indianapolis, IN —	285 1,225	— — 285	1,225	1,510	141	2008	12/12	35		
Indianapolis, IN —	247 931	— — 247	931	1,178	125	1995	12/12	30		
Indianapolis, IN —	304 1,206	<u> </u>	1,206	1,510	139	2010	12/12	35		

Jasper, IN —	200 960	— — 200	960	1,160	129	1992	12/12	30
New Castle, IN —	427 1,830	— — 427	1,830	2,257	247	2006	12/12	30
Owensboro, KY—	436 1,119	— — 436	1,119	1,555	129	2010	12/12	35

		Initi	al Cost	Capita		Life on Which					
		to		Subsec	ju &W thic	ch					Depreciation &
		Con	npany	to		ied at Clo	se of Pe	riod (a)) (b)		Amortization in
				Acquis	sition						Latest Income
			Building			Building			nulated		Statement is
	Enci	ıılındacılırı	Improve Inces	ment Ca	errying Zemani	Improve Leaseho	ments &	2Depre	d Date nof	Date	Computed
			_		osts					Acquired	(Years)
			Interests			Interests		Amort	tization		
Real Estate Held Company has Inv	ested										
Operating Leases	:										
Connersville, IN	—	136	1,280		- 136	1,280	1,416	148	1991	07/13	30
Linton, IN		155	1,203		- 155	1,203	1,358	139	1996	07/13	30
Owensboro, KY	_	136	1,549		- 136	1,549	1,685	179	1998	07/13	30
Arnold, MO		436	698		436	698	1,134	94	1991	08/13	25
Collinsville, IL		368	1,713		- 368	1,713	2,081	231	1993	08/13	25
East Alton, IL	—	271	1,008		- 271	1,008	1,279	113	1991	08/13	30
Edwardsville, IL	_	310	1,549		- 310	1,549	1,859	174	1987	08/13	30
Eureka, MO	_	466	466		466	466	932	63	1984	08/13	25
Granite City, IL		707	852		- 707	852	1,559	82	2006	08/13	35
Hazelwood, MO	_	513	1,470		- 513	1,470	1,983	165	1991	08/13	30
Maryland		40=	0.60		40=	0.64	1.000	0.	1001	0044	•
Heights, MO		407	862		- 407	862	1,269	97	1991	08/13	30
O'Fallon, MO	_	580	1,403		- 580	1,403	1,983	135	2003	08/13	35
O'Fallon, MO		445	1,770		445	1,770	2,215	199	1985	08/13	30
St. Charles,		581	872		- 580	872	1,452	98	2000	08/13	30
MO		165	1 171		165	1 171		112	2000	00/12	25
St. Louis, MO St. Louis, MO			1,171		- 465	1,171 785	1,636	113	2009 1990	08/13 08/13	35
•			785				1,037	88			30
St. Louis, MO Fayetteville,	_	232	1,047		- 232	1,047	1,299	141	1981	08/13	25
NC	_	448	1,334		- 448	1,334	1,782	113	1998	06/14	30
Fayetteville, NC	_	686	1,631		- 686	1,631	2,317	166	1992	06/14	25
Fayetteville, NC	_	269	1,771		- 269	1,771	2,040	180	1993	06/14	25
Fayetteville, NC		298	1,989		- 298	1,989	2,287	169	2005	06/14	30
Fayetteville,	_	149	1,652		- 149	1,652	1,801	168	1988	06/14	25
NC Fayetteville,			•								
NC		388	1,552		- 388	1,552	1,940	132	1996	06/14	30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Fayetteville, NC		289 1,205	— — 289	1,205	1,494	102	1998	06/14	30
Fayetteville, NC	_	497 1,691	— — 497	1,691	2,188	143	2008	06/14	30
Fayetteville, NC	_	607 1,135	— — 607	1,135	1,742	115	1982	06/14	25
Holly Ridge, NC	_	189 1,791	— — 189	1,791	1,980	130	2012	06/14	35
Hope Mills, NC	_	438 2,138	— — 438	2,138	2,576	217	1990	06/14	25
Jacksonville, NC	_	428 2,327	— — 428	2,327	2,755	237	1993	06/14	25
Jacksonville, NC	_	388 2,347	— — 388	2,347	2,735	170	2007	06/14	35
Jacksonville, NC	_	398 2,069	— — 398	2,069	2,467	175	1994	06/14	30
Jacksonville, NC	_	577 1,304	— — 577	1,304	1,881	95	2013	06/14	35
Leland, NC	_	289 1,205	— — 289	1,205	1,494	88	2008	06/14	35
Lumberton, NC	_	368 2,208	— — 368	2,208	2,576	187	2003	06/14	30

F-61

	Enci	to Com	al Cost npany Building Improve inces Leaseho Interests	Subseque to Acquising, ement C& Improve old Cos	eWthic Carri tion	s Amount ch ed at Clo Building Improve Leaseho Interests	se of Pe , ments & Total	Accur Depre and	nulated	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held Company has Inv											
Operating Leases		<i>-</i> 111 C									
Midway Park, NC	_	467	2,069		467	2,069	2,536	210	1993	06/14	25
Pembroke, NC	<u>'</u> —	438	1,095		438	1,095	1,533	93	2008	06/14	30
Saint Pauls, NC		419	767		419	767	1,186	65	2008	06/14	30
Shallotte, NC	_	329	827		329	827	1,156	60	2011	06/14	35
Spring Lake,	_	408	2,009		408	2,009	2,417	146	2009	06/14	35
NC Whiteville, NC	C—	179	1,315		179	1,315	1,494	95	2010	06/14	35
Wilmington,			2,277		587	2.277		165	2006	06/14	35
NC Wilmington,											
NC		547	1,423		547	1,423	1,970	103	2013	06/14	35
Wilmington, NC	_	239	1,463		239	1,463	1,702	106	2013	06/14	35
Swansboro,		120	1,359		420	1,359	1,789	58	2015	04/15	40
NC Buffala Crava		430	1,339		430	1,339	1,709	36	2013	04/13	40
Buffalo Grove IL	' —	234	1,236		234	1,236	1,470	39	1987	03/16	25
Columbia City	,	122	1,535		122	1,535	1,657	49	1990	03/16	25
IN Dowagiac, MI		131	1,236		131	1,236	1,367	33	1999	03/16	30
Edwardsburg,	_		1,479		47	1,479	1,526	39	1998	03/16	30
MI Elkhart, IN	_		1,618			1,618	2,011	37	2008	03/16	35
Fox Lake, IL			1,376		309	1,376	1,685	36	2006	03/16	30
Freeport, IL	_		2,141		84	2,141	2,225	57	1999	03/16	30
Kendallville,	_	150	1,637		150	1,637	1,787	43	1992	03/16	30
IN Knox, IN		66	1,255		66	1,255	1,321	40	1993	03/16	25
Lake Delton,	_		599		815	599		14	2011	03/16	35
WI Lake In The											
Hills, IL			2,029			2,029	2,431	54	1998	03/16	30
Ligonier, IN	_		1,021 768		216609	1,021 768	1,237 1,377	27 20	2000 1999	03/16 03/16	30 30

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Lindenhurst,

IL								
McHenry, IL —	468 1,814	— — 468	1,814	2,282	48	2006	03/16	30
Monroe, WI —	515 1,030	— — 515	1,030	1,545	27	1999	03/16	30
Mundelein, IL —	178 1,134	— — 178	1,134	1,312	30	1999	03/16	30
Mundelein, IL —	131 1,544	— — 131	1,544	1,675	41	2004	03/16	30
Nappanee, IN —	178 1,404	— — 178	1,404	1,582	32	2008	03/16	35
Portage, WI —	197 1,479	— — 197	1,479	1,676	39	1999	03/16	30
Richland Center, WI	215 1,236	— — 215	1,236	1,451	33	2000	03/16	30
Rochester, IN —	215 1,787	— — 215	1,787	2,002	57	1993	03/16	25
Rockford, IL —	328 1,413	— — 328	1,413	1,741	37	1999	03/16	30
Roscoe, IL —	346 1,479	— — 346	1,479	1,825	33	2010	03/16	35
Roseland, IN —	496 880	— — 496	880	1,376	23	2001	03/16	30

		Costs Initial Cost CapitalizedGross Amount at to SubsequenWhich Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Acquisition Building, Building, Accumulat Improvements Carrying Improvements Depre Date Enclimbdances Improvements Depre Date Intervented Improvements Depre Date Enclimbdances Improvements Depre Date Total								Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization i Latest Income Statement is		
	Enc	e Urabd a		eg, ements Impro old	Carrying vements of Costs		ements Total old	D epro		Date Acquired	Computed	
Real Estate He Company has I	nve			he								
Operating Leas Round Lake Beach, IL		159	2,169	_	— 159	2,169	2,328	57	2005	03/16	30	
South Bend, IN	_	365	1,170	_	— 365	1,170	1,535	26	2014	03/16	35	
South Bend, IN	_	365	965	_	— 365	965	1,330	22	2010	03/16	35	
South Bend, IN	_	291	788	_	— 291	788	1,079	21	2006	03/16	30	
St. Joseph, MI	_	94	1,413	_	— 94	1,413	1,507	32	2007	03/16	35	
Watervliet, MI	_	281	1,105	_	— 281	1,105	1,386	29	2000	03/16	30	
Wauconda, IL	_	169	1,358	_	— 169	1,358	1,527	36	2001	03/16	30	
Waukegan, IL	_	570	1,674	_	— 570	1,674	2,244	53	1997	03/16	25	
West Baraboo, WI	_	150	1,348	_	— 150	1,348	1,498	36	1999	03/16	30	
Wheeling, IL	_	486	1,861	_	— 486	1,861	2,347	49	2000	03/16	30	
Winnebago, IL	_	131	1,041	_	— 131	1,041	1,172	24	2009	03/16	35	
Wisconsin Dells, WI	_	365	1,095	_	— 365	1,095	1,460	29	1999	03/16	30	
Zion, IL	_	150	1,554	_	— 150	1,554	1,704	35	2008	03/16	35	
Taco Bueno: Moore, OK	_	624	507	_	— 624	507	1,131	22	2015	01/15	40	
Mansfield, TX	_	808	_	508	— 808	508	1,316	16	2015	06/15	(m)40	
Flower Mound, TX		1,056	_	_	— 1,056	(e)	1,056	(e)	(e)	04/16	(m)	
Taco Cabana: Austin, TX			1,227	_	— 561	1,227	1,788		1994	02/15	35	
	—	1,070	978	_	— 1,016	978	1,994	73	1998	02/15	25	

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Houston,											
TX											
Houston, TX		667	852	_	— 667	852	1,519	53	2000	02/15	30
Houston, TX	_	590	1,284	_	— 590	1,284	1,874	80	1987	02/15	30
San Antonio, TX		492	1,283	_	— 492	1,283	1,775	69	1995	02/15	35
Texas Roadhouse:											
Grand Junction, CO		584	920	_	— 584	920	1,504	346	1997	12/01	40
Thornton, CO		599	1,019	_	— 599	1,019	1,618	383	1998	12/01	40
Palm Bay, FL	_	1,035	1,512	_	— 1,035	1,512	2,547	279	2004	06/11	30
TGI Friday's:											
Corpus Christi, TX		1,210	1,532	_	— 1,157	1,532	2,689	576	1995	12/01	40

F-63

Real Estate Held for Investment teachers Improvements Reary Improvements Rear Improvements Rear Rear		Initial to Comp	any	Subseq to Acquis		n d at Clo	ose of P				Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
Company has Invested in Under Operating Leases: The Beach: Mason, OH — 1,707 1,303 — — 1,707 1,303 3,010 198 1985 03/13 25 The Containter Store: Plano, TX — 1,758 5,115 — — 1,758 5,115 6,873 530 2009 05/13 35 The Snooty Fox: Cincinnati, OH — 282 521 403 — 543 662 1,205 221 1998 12/01 40 The Tile Shop: Scarsdale, NY — 4,509 2,454 352 — 4,509 2,807 7,316 821 1996 09/97 40 Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Alken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 35 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40		Encluamlor	Improvances Leasel	vements Improv nold	&Carrying emehasno Costs	Improv Leaseh	vements Total iold	s B eprand	reDiattionf Construction		Computed
Mason, OH — 1,707 1,303 — — 1,707 1,303 3,010 198 1985 03/13 25 The Containter Store: Plano, TX — 1,758 5,115 — — 1,758 5,115 6,873 530 2009 05/13 35 The Snooty Fox: Cincinnati, OH — 282 521 403 — 543 662 1,205 221 1998 12/01 40 The Tile Shop: Scarsdale, NY — 4,509 2,454 352 — 4,509 2,807 7,316 821 1996 09/97 40 Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 91 159 1974 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 91 159 1974 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 91 159 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Company has Inv Operating Leases	ested in U		2							
Store: Plano, TX		— 1,707	1,303	_	— 1,707	1,303	3,010	198	1985	03/13	25
The Snooty Fox: Cincinnati, OH — 282 521 403 — 543 662 1,205 221 1998 12/01 40 The Tile Shop: Scarsdale, NY — 4,509 2,454 352 — 4,509 2,807 7,316 821 1996 09/97 40 Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Store:	— 1,758	5,115	_	— 1,758	5,115	6,873	530	2009	05/13	35
Cincinnati, OH — 282 521 403 — 543 662 1,205 221 1998 12/01 40 The Tile Shop: Scarsdale, NY — 4,509 2,454 352 — 4,509 2,807 7,316 821 1996 09/97 40 Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	,	,	-, -		,	-, -	-,				
Scarsdale, NY — 4,509 2,454 352 — 4,509 2,807 7,316 821 1996 09/97 40 Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	•	I — 282	521	403	<u> </u>	662	1,205	221	1998	12/01	40
Buford, GA — 1,267 2,406 25 — 1,267 2,430 3,697 753 2003 07/04 40 Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	The Tile Shop:										
Third Federal Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	·	-	-			-	-				
Savings: Parma, OH — 370 238 1,100 — 370 1,338 1,708 594 1977 09/06 20 Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Buford, GA	— 1,267	2,406	25	— 1,267	2,430	3,697	753	2003	07/04	40
Tile Outlets of America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Savings:	270	229	1 100	270	1 220	1 700	504	1077	00/07	20
America: Sarasota, FL — 1,168 1,904 735 — 1,170 2,639 3,809 764 1988 09/97 40 TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Parma, OH	— 370	238	1,100	— <i>31</i> 0	1,338	1,/08	594	1977	09/06	20
TitleMax: Geneva, IL — 473	America:						• • • • •		1000	0.0.10	10
Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — 613 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 31 89 1987 08/08 30 Darlington, GA — 178 347 — 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — 173	Sarasota, FL	— 1,168	1,904	735	— 1,170	2,639	3,809	764	1988	09/97	40
Geneva, IL — 473 436 — — 484 375 859 146 1996 12/01 40 Mobile, AL — 491 498 — — 491 498 989 187 1997 12/01 40 Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — 613 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 31 89 1987 08/08 30 Darlington, GA — 178 347 — 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Pairfield, AL — 133 178 — 173	TitleMax:										
Dallas, TX — 1,554 1,229 46 — 1,554 1,275 2,829 362 1982 06/05 40 Aiken, SC — 442 646 — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — 18 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Darlington, GA — 178 347 — 255 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — 250 389 639 81		— 473	436	_	— 484	375	859	146	1996	12/01	40
Aiken, SC — 442 646 — 442 646 1,088 180 1989 08/08 30 Anniston, AL — 160 453 — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Mobile, AL	— 491	498		— 491	498	989	187	1997	12/01	40
Anniston, AL — 160 453 — — 160 453 613 95 2008 08/08 40 Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Dallas, TX	— 1,554	1,229	46	— 1,554	1,275	2,829	362	1982	06/05	40
Berkeley, MO — 237 282 — — 237 282 519 118 1961 08/08 20 Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	Aiken, SC	— 442			— 442	646	1,088	180	1989		
Cheraw, SC — 88 330 — — 88 330 418 110 1976 08/08 25 Columbia, SC — 212 319 — — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40											
Columbia, SC — 212 319 — 212 319 531 89 1987 08/08 30 Dalton, GA — 178 347 — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	•										
Dalton, GA — 178 347 — 178 347 525 116 1972 08/08 25 Darlington, SC — 47 267 — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40											
Darlington, SC — 47 267 — — 47 267 314 90 1973 08/08 25 Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40											
Fairfield, AL — 133 178 — — 133 178 311 59 1974 08/08 25 Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	•										
Gadsden, AL — 250 389 — — 250 389 639 81 2007 08/08 40	•										
	·										
Hueytown, AL — 135 93 — — 135 93 228 78 1948 08/08 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		93	_	-230 -135	93	228	78	1948	08/08	10

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

		_							
Jonesboro, GA — 675	292	_	<u> </u>	292	967	98	1970	08/08	25
Lawrenceville, — 370	332		 370	332	702	93	1986	08/08	30
GA Lewisburg, TN — 70	298	_	 70	298	368	71	1998	08/08	35
Ç.									
Macon, GA — 103	290	_	— 103	290	393	121	1967	08/08	20
Marietta, GA — 285	278	_	-285	278	563	116	1967	08/08	20
Memphis, TN — 111	237		— 111	237	348	66	1981	08/08	30
Memphis, TN — 226	444		-226	444	670	124	1986	08/08	30
Mantaamami									
AL — 96	233		 96	233	329	78	1970	08/08	25
	201		256	201		0.4	1002	00.00	20
Nashville, TN — 256	301	_	— 256	301	557	84	1982	08/08	30
Nashville, TN — 268	276	_	-268	276	544	93	1978	08/08	25
Norcross, GA — 599	350		— 599	350	949	117	1975	08/08	25
Pulaski, TN — 109	361	_	— 109	361	470	101	1986	08/08	30
Riverdale, GA — 877	400		— 877	400	1,277	134	1978	08/08	25
Springfield,	100		077	100	1,277	151	1770	00/00	23
	230	_	<u> — 125 </u>	230	355	77	1979	08/08	25
MO 123									
Springfield, — 220	400		— 220	400	620	134	1979	08/08	25
MO	100		220	100	020	151	17/7	00/00	23
St. Louis, MO — 134	398	_	— 134	398	532	95	1993	08/08	35
St. Louis, MO — 244	288		— 244	288	532	96	1971	08/08	25
Sylacauga, AL — 94	191		— 94	191	285	53	1986	08/08	30
Taylors, SC — 299	372	_	— 299	372	671	89	1999	08/08	35
•	312	_	299	312	0/1	09	1999	06/06	33
Bay Minette, — 51	113		— 51	113	164	27	1980	01/11	25
AL							-, -,		
N. Richland — 132	132		— 132	132	264	39	1976	01/11	20
Hills, TX — 132	132	_	— 13Z	132	204	39	1970	01/11	20
Petersburg, VA — 139	366		-139	366	505	108	1979	02/11	20
Savannah, GA — 231	361	_	— 231	361	592	105	1972	03/11	20
-	312		-119	312	431	72	1985	03/11	25
Fort Worth, TX— 131									
Hoover, AL — 378	546		— 378	546	924	127	1970	03/11	25
Eufaula, AL — 61	360	_	-61	360	421	77	1980	08/11	25
Kansas City, — 69	129		 69	129	198	35	1920	08/11	20
MO — 69	129		— 09	129	198	33	1920	08/11	20
Arnold, MO — 321	120	_	-321	120	441	31	1960	10/11	20
Bristol, VA — 199	517		— 199	517	716	90	2001	10/11	30
-	317		— 177	317	710	70	2001	10/11	30
Fairview — 93	185	_	— 93	185	278	39	1979	10/11	25
Heights, IL									
Florissant, MO — 143	153	_	<u> — 143 </u>	153	296	32	1974	10/11	25
Greenville, SC — 602	612		602	612	1 214	127	2000	10/11	25
-602	612		<u> </u>	612	1,214	12/	2008	10/11	25
Jonesboro, GA — 301	683		-301	683	984	102	2007	10/11	35
Olive Branch									
	312		<u> — 121 </u>	312	433	65	1978	10/11	25
MS									
Sugar Creek, — 202	181		-202	181	383	38	1978	10/11	25
MO									
Roanoke, VA — 158	207		— 158	207	365	45	1950	08/12	20
Fredericksburg,	555		— 228	555	702	O.F	1000	00/12	25
VA VA 228			//X	777	783	95	1989	09/12	25
V A	333		220	333	705		-, -,	~~~	
		_							25
Florissant, MO — 119 Savannah, GA — 259	288 359	_	-228 -119 -259	288 359	407 618	47 37	1970 2012	12/12 05/13	25 35

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

C 4 D 4										
South Boston, VA	— 163	133		— 163	133	296	24	1980	05/13	20
O'Fallon, MO	— 75	261	_	— 75	261	336	33	1981	11/13	25
Crest Hill, IL	— 92	323		— 92	323	415	29	1963	03/15	20
St. Louis, MO		237		 76	237	313	21	1953	03/15	20
5t. Louis, 1110	70	237		70	237	313	21	1755	03/13	20
Tony's Tires: Montgomery, AL	— 593	1,187	43	— 593	1,229	1,822	335	1998	08/06	40
Toys R Us: Gastonia, NC	— 1,825		-	— 1,825					10/11	(m)35
Parma, OH	— 688	2,767		<u></u> 688	2,767	3,455	213	1980	06/15	25
Tractor Supply Co.: Aransas Pass, TX	— 101	1,399	353	— 100	1,753	1,853	699	1983	03/99	40
Tutor Time: Elk Grove, CA	— 1,216	2,786	9	— 1,216	2,750	3,966	521	2009	09/08	40
Twenty Seven										
Truck Stop:										
Lake Placid, FI	2,532	1,157	491	— 2,532	1,648	4,180	505	1990	12/05	40
Twin Peaks:										
Beaumont, TX	/30	1,363	336	<u> </u>	1,462	2 326	516	2000	12/01	(g) 40
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— 5 25	731		— 50 - 4	731	1,256		2005	09/10	35
Olathe, KS	— <i>323</i>	/31	_	— 323	/31	1,230	131	2003	09/10	33
ULTA Salon, Cosmetics and Fragrance:										
Florissant, MO	— 423	499	1,444	— 425	1,942	2,367	360	1996	04/03	(g) 40
Lapeer, MI	— 408	2,086	540	— 408	2,571	2,979	484	2007	10/05	40

	Enc	Initial to Comp		Subsecto Acquir g, ements Improold	qu ll /iltich Carried sition	d at Clos Building	e of Per g, ements Total	Accur &Depre) (b) mulated e Dati onof Construction rtization	Date Acquired	Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income Statement is Computed (Years)
Real Estate Held fo	or In	vestme	nt the								
Company has Inve Operating Leases:	ested	in Und	er								
Ultra Car Wash:											
Mobile, AL			1,086				2,157		2005	08/07	40 40
Lilburn, GA		1,390	1,119		1,390	1,119	2,515	241	2004	05/08	40
Uni-Mart:		2.60			2.60	.	0.70	222	100=	0040#	• •
East Brady, PA Pleasant Gap,	_		583			583	852	332	1987	08/05	20
PA	_	332	593		332	593	925	337	1996	08/05	20
Port Vue, PA	_	824	118		824	118	942	67	1953	08/05	20
Punxsutawney, PA	—	253	542		253	542	795	308	1983	08/05	20
Shamokin, PA	—	324	506		324	506	830	288	1956	08/05	20
Shippensburg, PA		204	330		204	330	534	188	1989	08/05	20
Wilkes-Barre,		171	422		171	422	593	240	1999	08/05	20
PA Willred Borne		1/1	722		1/1	722	373	240	1777	00/03	20
Wilkes-Barre, PA		178	471		178	471	649	268	1989	08/05	20
Williamsport,		909	122		909	122	1,031	69	1950	08/05	20
PA Ashland, PA		355	545			545	900	308	1977	09/05	20
Mountaintop,		423	616			616	1,039		1987	09/05	20
PA Effort, PA	_	1,297			1,297	1,202	*	329	2000	01/06	40
McSherrystown		1,297				-	•				
PA			365			365	500	100	1988	01/06	40
Milesburg, PA Nuangola, PA		134 1,062	373 1.203		134	373 1,195	507 2,257	102 330	1987 2000	01/06 01/06	40 40
Punxsutawney,		294	650			650	944	178	1983	01/06	40
PA		<i>△</i>	0.50		<i>□</i>	0.50) T T	170	1705	01/00	
United Rentals:											
Carrollton, TX		478	535		478	535	1,013	161	1981	12/04	40
Cedar Park, TX (n)	_	535	829		535	829	1,364	250	1990	12/04	40
(/		1,173	1,811		1,173	1,811	2,984	545	2001	12/04	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Clearwater, FL

(n)										
Fort Collins, CO	2,057	978	— — 2,	057	978	3,035	294	1975	12/04	40
Irving, TX —	708	911	<u> </u>)8	911	1,619	274	1984	12/04	40
La Porte, TX —	1,115	2,125	1,	115	2,125	3,240	640	2000	12/04	40
Littleton, CO —	1,743	1,944	1	743	1,944	3,687	585	2002	12/04	40
Oklahoma City,OK	744	1,265	<u> </u>	14	1,265	2,009	381	1997	12/04	40
Perrysberg, OH	642	1,119	<u> </u>	12	1,119	1,761	337	1979	12/04	40
Plano, TX —	1,030	1,148	1,	030	1,148	2,178	346	1996	12/04	40
Temple, TX (n) —	1,160	1,360	1,	160	1,360	2,520	410	1998	12/04	40

		Initial to Comp		_				eriod (a)	(b)		Life on Which Depreciation & Amortization in Latest Income
	Enc	c Luanhd ra	Buildin Improv nces Leaseh Interest	ements d Improve		Buildin Improv Leaseho Interest	ements Total old	Accum Deprecand Amorti	iDaten of Construction	Date Acquired	Statement is Computed
Real Estate Held Company has In Operating Lease	vest			e							
Fort Worth, TX		1,428	_	_	1,428	(i)	1,428	(i)	(i)	01/05	(i)
Fort Worth, TX		510	1,128	_	— 510	1,128	1,638	337	1997	01/05	40
Melbourne, FL	_	747	607	_	— 747	607	1,354	176	1970	05/05	40
University of Phoenix: Glen Allen, VA		2,177	2,600	670	2,177	3,270	5,447	1,501	1995	06/95	40
Vacant Land: Homestead, PA	_	383	_	81	— 464	(e)	464	(e)	(e)	02/97	(e)
Indianapolis, IN		640	_		— 700	(e)	700	(e)	(e)	12/01	(e)
Southfield, M	I—	405	644	_	— 389	(e)	389	(e)	(e)	12/01	(e)
Bonita Springs, FL	_	112	_	_	25	(e)	25	(e)	(e)	09/06	(e)
Lancaster, OF Bakersfield,					— 218	(e)	218	(e)	(e)	01/08	(e)
CA CA		3,303	3,845	_	— 1,826	(e)	1,826	(e)	(e)	03/08	(e)
Vacant Property Corpus											
Christi, TX		125	137	195	— 125	332	457	138	1967	11/93	40
Arlington, TX Tampa, FL		435 2,128	2,300	334	4352,128	2,634	3,069 3,650		1996 1994	06/96 06/96	38 40
Sacramento,		1,144		_	— 1,144		4,105		1996	12/96	40
CA Conyers, GA			556	29	 320	585	905	274	1997	06/97	40
Sarasota, FL				_	— 1,428	1,703	3,131	550	1988	09/97	40
Copperas Cove, TX	_	204	432	171	— 204	603	807	121	1972	11/98	40
	_	397	1,257	_	— 397	1,257	1,654	570	1997	11/98	40

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL RETAIL PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Nacogdoches	,										
TX											
Beaumont, TX		941	1,618	1,505	— 941	3,123	4,064	1,100	1992	03/99	40
Burton, MI	_	620	707	_	— 620	707	1,327	266	1997	12/01	40
Eden Prairie, MN		65	181	81	<u> </u>	261	326	96	1997	12/01	40
Hammond, LA		248	814	62	— 248	627	875	247	1997	12/01	40
Homewood, AL		1,032	697	_	1,032	697	1,729	262	1997	12/01	40
Independence MO	2,	1,679	2,302	115	— 1,679	2,417	4,096	898	1996	12/01	40
Kennedale, TX	_	400	692	_	— 391	692	1,083	260	1985	12/01	40
Swansea, IL		46	132		 46	132	178	75	1997	12/01	40
Tacoma, WA		527	795	182	— 527	976	1,503	305	1981	12/01	40
Valrico, FL		1.235	3.255		— 814	1.111	1.925	12	1997	06/02	12

		Costs								
	Initial CosCapitalizedGross Amount at								Life on Which	
	to SubsequenWhich								Depreciation &	
	Company to Carried at Close of Period (a) (b)								Amortization in	
	Acquisition								Latest Income	
		Building,		Building,		umulated			Statement is	
	Encu	Improvementsi im baand ces Improver Leasehold Cos	xying	Improven	nentDep	r E citetion	Date		Computed (Years)	
	Liicu	Leasehold Cos	sts	Leasehold	l and	Construction	Acquired		Computed (Tears)	
		Interests		Interests	Amo	ortization				
Real Estate Held for Investment the										
Company has Inve		in Under								
Operating Leases:										
Orlando, FL	_	37 101 — —	37	101	1383	2001	02/04		40	
Buford, GA	_	751 1,979 336 —	751	2,315	3,06662			(g)	40	
Cohoes, NY	_	27 145 59 —	27	204	23 5 6	1994	09/04		40	
Hudson Falls,		57 780 39 —	57	819	87 6 50	1990	09/04		40	
NY										
Fort Worth, TX		2,503,138 — —		52,138	4,66335		02/05		40	
Monticello, NY		664 769 — —		769	1,423237		03/05		40	
Lapeer, MI	_	37 264 — —	37	251	28 8 0	2007	10/05		40	
Abbottstown,		110400 — —	110	400	510 10	2000	01/06		40	
PA										
Ridgeland, MS	_	436 523 133 —		656	1,019923		08/06	, .	40	
Tucson, AZ	_	996— 2,742—	996	2,742	3,763387	2007	12/06	(m)40	
Fort Collins,		390 895 — —	390	895	1,2875	1995	02/11		30	
CO										
Overland Park,	_	1,166- 1,741-	1,16	61,741	2,920273	2011	04/11	(m)40	
KS		230 175 — —	220	175	1046	1077	02/15		20	
Amherst, NY		230173 — —	230	175	40 5 6	1977	02/13		20	
Volue City										
Value City Furniture:										
White Marsh,										
MD		3,762- 3,006-	3,76	23,006	6,716,81	21998	10/97	(g)	40	
MID										
VCA Animal										
Hospital:										
Mission, KS		891 3,758 — —	852	3 758	4,661000	2000	03/12		30	
Mission, KS		691 3,736 — —	032	3,730	4,000	2000	03/12		30	
Verizon Wireless:										
Anderson, SC										
(n)		38 — — —	38	_	38 (e)	(i)	07/14		(e)	
Bristol, VA	_	175 512 — —	175	512	6830	2000	07/14		25	
North Olmsted,										
OH		324 1,015 — —	324	1,015	1,3B99	1983	08/16		40	
Virginia College:										
Knoxville, TN	_	1,50 5 ,571 — —	1,50	05,571	7,07/917	1996	09/12		30	

Vitamin Shoppe,

The:

Cincinnati, OH — 297 443 385 — 312 813 1,12851 1999 06/98 40

Walgreens:

Altamonte Springs, FL — 1,13**2**,053 — 1,1372,053 3,12**0**711995 01/96 40 Sunrise, FL — 1,958,401 — 1,9581,401 3,3**5**77 1994 05/03 40

Table of Contents

Costs

Initial Cost Capitalized Gross Amount at

Subsequent Which

Company Carried at Close of Period (a) (b) Depreciation & Amortization in

Life on Which

Acquisition

Building, Accumulated Latest Income

Encumbrances Improvements Carrying Improvements and Leasehold Costs

Building,

Improvements & Depre Date of Date Leasehold and Construction Acquired

Statement is Computed (Years)

Amortization

Interests Interests

Real Estate Held for

Investment the Company has Invested in Under Operating

Leases:

OK

Tulsa,

1,193,056 — - 1,193 3,056

4,2892 2003

06/05

40