

APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY INC  
Form 10-Q  
March 08, 2010

**Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q  
(Mark One)**

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

**For the Period Ended January 29, 2010**

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number 0-21236

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**California**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**77-0015491**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**400 West California Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**(408) 749-1888**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

ü  
**Yes                  No**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

**Yes                  No**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

ü  
**Large accelerated          Accelerated filer          Non-accelerated filer          Smaller reporting**

**filer**

**company**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes                                                  
No                                                  

The number of shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding as of January 29, 2010, was 13,304,653.

---

## **Index**

### **Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**

#### Part I. Financial Information

##### Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – Three months ended January 29, 2010 and January 30, 2009

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – January 29, 2010, (unaudited) and October 31, 2009

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Three months ended January 29, 2010, and January 30, 2009

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) – January 29, 2010

##### Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

##### Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

##### Item 4: Controls and Procedures

#### Part II. Other Information

##### Item 1: Legal Proceedings

##### Item 1A: Risk Factors

##### Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

##### Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

##### Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

##### Item 5: Other Information

##### Item 6: Exhibits

#### Signatures

#### Index to Exhibits

---

## **Part I. Financial Information**

### **Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**  
**Statements of Operations (unaudited)**

(in thousands, except per-share data)

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Revenues from contracts	\$46,613	\$43,687
	1,467	1,697
Revenues from royalty agreements	-----	-----
	48,080	45,384
Total revenues	=====	=====
Operating expenses:		
Contract costs	34,000	31,531
Research and development	3,047	3,075
	5,839	5,130
General and administrative	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	42,886	39,736
Operating income	5,194	5,648
Interest income, net	(3)	112
	-----	-----
Income before provision for income taxes	5,191	5,760
Provision for income taxes	2,052	2,245
	-----	-----
Net income	\$3,139	\$3,515
	=====	=====
Net income per common share:		
Basic	\$0.24	\$0.27
Diluted	\$0.23	\$0.27
Number of shares used in calculating net income per common share:		

Basic	13,068	12,754
Diluted	13,216	12,932

See accompanying notes

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**

**Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share data)

<b>Assets</b>	<b>January 29, 2010 (unaudited)</b>	<b>October 31, 2009†</b>
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,558	\$4,102
Short-term investments	38,390	43,454
	-----	-----
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	43,948	47,556
Accounts receivable:		
Billed	24,148	26,630
Unbilled	20,051	20,433
	-----	-----
Total accounts receivable	44,199	47,063
Inventory	12,992	8,378
Prepaid and other current assets	11,274	10,517
	-----	-----
Total current assets	112,413	113,514
Property and equipment, at cost:		
Machinery and equipment	46,709	46,517
Furniture and fixtures	4,771	4,756
Leasehold improvements	19,811	18,480
Construction in process	154	647
	-----	-----
	71,445	70,400
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(56,456)	(55,405)
	-----	-----
Property and equipment, net	14,989	14,995

Long-term investments	1,074	2,129
Goodwill	33,208	33,158
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	1,712	1,904
Long-term deferred tax asset	4,241	4,196
Other assets	1,682 -----	1,104 -----
Total assets	\$169,319 =====	\$171,000 =====

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**  
**Balance Sheets (continued)**

(in thousands, except share data)

<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>	<b>January 29, 2010 (unaudited)</b>	<b>October 31, 2009†</b>
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$4,165	\$6,807
Accrued payroll and related benefits	12,862	15,351
Note payable	1,429	1,429
Income taxes payable	1,636	444
Other accrued liabilities	2,381	2,298
Total current liabilities	22,473	26,329
Long-term note payable	2,143	2,500
Accrued rent	2,065	2,103
Other long-term liabilities	1,031	1,043
<b>Shareholders' equity:</b>		
Common stock and additional paid-in capital, no par value: 20,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding shares: 13,304,653 at January 29, 2010, and 13,211,462 at October 31, 2009	77,606	76,492
Retained earnings	64,075	62,600
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(74) -----	(67) -----

Total shareholders' equity	141,607 -----	139,025 -----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$169,319 =====	\$171,000 =====

† The balance sheet at October 31, 2009, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

See accompanying notes

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**  
**Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)**  
(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$3,139	\$3,515
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,799	1,500
Stock-based compensation	547	617
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	(55)	(35)
Changes in:		
Accounts receivable	2,864	(2,041)
Inventory, prepaid expenses, and other assets	(7,087)	(1,947)
Accounts payable, taxes payable, and accrued liabilities	(3,463) -----	(2,529) -----
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,256)	(920)
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Cash received from Pyxis escrow account, net	693	—
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(15,625)	(12,694)
Maturities of available-for-sale securities	21,460	18,350
Additions to property and equipment		

	(1,375)	(1,041)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by investing activities	5,153	4,615
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Issuances of common stock	663	1,683
Shares repurchased for tax withholding of vested restricted stock awards	(151)	(101)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	55	35
Term loan	(357)	(358)
Dividends paid	(1,651)	(1,603)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,441)	(344)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,456	3,351
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,102	4,668
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$5,558	\$8,019
	=====	=====
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$57	\$79
Income taxes paid	\$825	\$659

*See accompanying notes*

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.**  
**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**  
**January 29, 2010**

**Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Description of Business and Basis of Presentation**

Applied Signal Technology, Inc. (AST) provides advanced intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) solutions to enhance global security. We provide systems, products and services in the areas of communications, signals intelligence (SIGINT), cyber intelligence and sensor signature processing. Our communication capabilities include the development and production of communication support products, bandwidth compression software and network management software. Our SIGINT competencies include communications intelligence (COMINT), and electronic intelligence (ELINT). Our sensor signature expertise includes processing information from electro-optic, sonar, radar, magnetic, and chemical sensors to detect changes in the environment. Our cyber intelligence capabilities include high-speed network monitoring, deep packet inspection, and high-end software engineering services. We develop and manufacture sophisticated sensors and digital signal processing equipment that use advanced software.

Substantially all of our revenues were from contracts with the United States Government or prime contractors for the United States Government.

The accompanying unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements, and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2009. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended January 29, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending October 31, 2010. The balance sheet at October 31, 2009, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

#### **Estimates**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

The financial statements include the accounts of AST and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Dynamics Technology, Inc. (DTI) and Pyxis Engineering, LLC (Pyxis), acquired on September 1, 2009. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

#### **Revenues and Contract Accounting**

**Revenues and cost recognition.** Our contracts are executed through written contractual arrangements, most of which require us to design, develop, manufacture, and/or modify our complex products and perform related services according to specifications provided by the customer. The majority of our contracts are accounted for following the authoritative guidance for construction-type and production-type contracts. We recognize revenue on a limited number of standalone software contracts following the guidance for software revenue. For these software contracts, we defer revenue that is related to billings and customer payments in advance of the completion of the performance requirements set forth in the contracts.

As a supplier to the United States Government, we are required to comply with numerous regulations, including those governing security and contracting practices. Failure to comply with these procurement regulations and practices could result in fines being imposed against us or our suspension for a period of time from eligibility for bidding on, or for award of, new Government contracts. Among the causes for the suspension are violations of various statutes, including those related to procurement integrity, export control, United States Government security regulations, employment practices, protection of the environment, accuracy of records in the recording of costs, and foreign corrupt practices. The Government may investigate and make inquiries of our business practices and conduct audits of contract performance and cost accounting. The Government audits and investigations can take several years to complete. Depending on the results of these audits and investigations, the Government may make claims against us or take exception to certain costs we determined to be recoverable. In the period management determines recoverability is not likely, reserves are established for the estimated loss by a charge to operating income.

We account for cost-reimbursement contracts by charging actual labor, materials, and other direct costs, plus estimated indirect costs of operations as incurred, including overhead, research and development (R&D), and general



and administrative expenses (incurred costs). Stock compensation expense is generally not reimbursable under these contracts. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, we apply indirect costs to all subcontract costs.

We recognize contract revenues and profits on cost-reimbursement contracts by applying an estimated fee rate to all incurred costs on an individual contract basis. Fee calculations are based on either negotiated fee amounts or management's assessment of the fee amounts that are likely to be earned. On cost-reimbursement contracts, we may bear unexpected cost increases for purposes of maintaining customer relationships. Historically, the effect on operating results and financial condition from cost-reimbursement losses has been minimal.

Our policy for recognizing interim award fees on our cost-plus-award-fee contracts is based on management's assessment as to the likelihood that the award fee or an incremental portion thereof will be earned, on a contract-by-contract basis. Management bases its assessments on numerous factors including contract terms, nature of the work to be performed, our relationship and history with the customer, our history with similar types of projects, and our current and anticipated performance on the specific contract. No award fee is recognized in whole or in part until management determines that it is probable that the award fee or portion thereof will be earned. Historically, management's estimates have generally been consistent with the actual fees awarded. However, changes in facts and circumstances could arise within an award fee period, causing management to either lower or raise the award fee estimate in the period in which the changes occur.

Our time-and-materials contracts are performed on a level-of-effort basis. We recognize revenue for these contracts by applying a negotiated billing rate to the level of effort.

We account for fixed-price contracts by using the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, we charge labor, materials, and other direct costs, plus estimated indirect costs of operations, as they are incurred. Each period, we recognize as revenue a portion of the contract revenue, based on estimated profits and the degree of completion of the contract as measured by a comparison of the actual costs incurred and the estimated costs to complete. On fixed-price contracts, we bear the risk of any unexpected increases in the cost to develop or manufacture a product; whether due to inaccurate estimates in the bidding process, unanticipated increases in material costs, inefficiencies, or other factors, and these costs could have a materially adverse effect on our results of operations.

We have one licensing agreement for which we accrue royalties on sales of our licensed product by a third party. Since there are essentially no costs associated with this agreement, operating income will increase by the same amount of revenue that we recognize. Royalties contributed approximately \$1,467,000 and \$1,697,000 to revenues and operating income during the first quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table represents our revenue concentration during the respective periods by contract type.

	———— Three Months Ended ————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Cost-reimbursement contracts	74%	62%
Time-and-materials contracts	12%	19%
Fixed-price contracts	11%	15%
Royalty contracts	3%	4%
	-----	-----
	100%	100%

=====

=====

The following table represents the revenue concentration from significant contracts during the respective periods. Due to security requirements of the United States Government, we are unable to disclose the actual contract names. Therefore, for the ease of the reader, we have renamed these contracts for reporting purposes in the table below.

	———— Three Months Ended ————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Next Generation ASA	21%	—
Tiffany	11%	20%
Thunderdome	11%	—
ASA	—	16%
High Beam	—	14%
Stone Face II	5%	7%
Raider	—	6%
	-----	-----
	48%	63%
	=====	=====

*a dash (—) designates less than 5% revenue concentration*

The following contracts were indefinite-delivery-indefinite-quantity (IDIQ) contracts: Tiffany, ASA, Next Generation ASA, and Stone Face II. Under the terms of this type of contract, the Government may issue individual delivery orders (DOs) for goods or services that they require. Each DO is treated as a separate contract, which may be awarded on a cost-reimbursable, fixed-price, or time-and-materials basis. We typically aggregate the DOs under each IDIQ contract for purposes of distinguishing significant revenue concentrations. However, Thunderdome is an individual DO within an IDIQ contract.

ASA and Next Generation ASA are time-and-materials contracts and Raider is a fixed-price contract. All of the other contracts referenced are cost-reimbursement contracts.

For those contracts in which all of the terms have not yet been finalized, revenue does not include an estimated fee rate on cost.

Project management reviews contract performance, costs incurred, and estimated completion costs regularly. We adjust revenues and profits on all contracts in the period in which changes, including anticipated losses, become determinable.

Precontract costs represent costs incurred in anticipation of specific expected future contract awards and costs incurred in connection with ongoing contracts for which contract modifications have not been defined or completed at the end of the reporting period. These costs are included in other current assets on the balance sheet. Precontract costs incurred at January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009, were approximately \$2,685,000 and \$1,139,000, respectively. Approximately \$432,000 of the October 31, 2009, balance was recognized as revenue during the three months ended

January 29, 2010.

**Indirect rate variance adjustments to operations.** We record contract revenues and costs of operations for interim reporting purposes based on annual targeted indirect rates. During our interim reporting periods, variances may accumulate between the actual indirect rates and the annual targeted rates. Timing-related indirect spending variances are removed from contract costs, research and development, and general and administrative expenses, and are included in inventory as part of work in process during these interim reporting periods. These rates are reviewed regularly, and we record adjustments for any material, permanent variances in the period they become estimable. At the beginning of fiscal year 2009, we implemented a new rate structure, which changed the application of certain general and administrative expenses to contracts that created a one-time increase to operating income of approximately \$450,000 from fixed-price contracts.

Our accounting policy for recording indirect rate variances is based on management's belief that variances accumulated during interim reporting periods will be absorbed by expected contract activities during the remainder of the year. We consider the rate variance to be unfavorable when our actual indirect rates are greater than our annual targeted rates. In contrast, a favorable rate variance occurs when our actual indirect rates are lower than our annual targeted rates. During interim reporting periods, we record unfavorable rate variances as reductions to operating expenses and increases to work in process inventory. Favorable rate variances are recorded as increases to operating expenses and decreases to work in process inventory.

If we anticipate that actual contract activities will be different than planned levels, there are alternatives we can utilize to absorb the variance: we can adjust our planned indirect spending during the year, we can modify our billing rates to our customers through the Defense Contract Audit Agency in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations, or we can record adjustments to expense based on estimates of future contract activities for the remainder of the fiscal year.

If our rate variance is unfavorable, the modification of our billing rates will likely increase revenue and operating expenses, and decrease the unfavorable indirect rate variance accumulated in inventory. Fee percentages on fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts will generally decline as a result of any increase to indirect costs. Fee percentages on active cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be unaffected as a result of any increase to indirect costs. Fee percentages on completed cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be reduced. If our rate variance is favorable, in general, the modification of our billing rates will generally decrease revenue and operating expenses, and decrease the favorable indirect rate variance accumulated in inventory. In this event, fee percentages on fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts will generally increase. Fee percentages on cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be unaffected as a result of any reduction to indirect costs, due to the fact that programs will typically expend all of the funds available. Any impact on operating income, however, will depend on a number of other factors, including mix of contract types, contract terms, anticipated performance on specific contracts, and anticipated changes in inventory.

At January 29, 2010, the unfavorable rate variance was approximately \$1,721,000, and at January 30, 2009, the unfavorable rate variance was approximately \$887,000.

**Accounts receivable and allowance for bad debt.** Accounts receivable are segregated between billed and unbilled accounts. For cost-reimbursement contracts, we bill incurred costs and a portion of our fees on a regular basis. Under fixed-price contracts, we either regularly progress bill 90% of incurred costs or bill contract costs on a milestone or unit-of-delivery basis. Unbilled amounts result from our recognition of contract revenue in advance of contractual billing or progress billing terms.

When evaluating our need for a bad debt allowance, we consider our customer base and their payment history. The majority of our revenues are generated from the United States Government and therefore credit risk is minimal. We record allowances for bad debt as a reduction to accounts receivable and an increase to bad debt expense. These allowances are recorded in the period a specific collection problem is identified. Once the receivable is deemed

uncollectible, the allowance is reversed and the receivable is written off to bad debt expense. Charges to bad debt expense were not significant during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

***Inventory valuation and disposal.*** Typical life cycles of our products are eight to ten years or more. In addition, we maintain spare parts in order to repair the equipment. We evaluate our inventory quarterly at interim reporting periods, and assess our ability to sell our products, which include raw materials. Historically, we have sold our inventory at or above cost, so there was typically no decrement in valuation. When we determine a product has reached the end of its life cycle or there is no longer a need for a certain product, typically, we will dispose of any remaining inventory, and record the associated reduction to inventory. Typically, any disposals of raw materials represent a minor amount. These disposals are included in general and administrative expenses on the statement of operations because we use raw materials in a variety of situations other than contract costs, including R&D. Disposals of other obsolete inventory and raw materials were not significant during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

If we determine that the estimated market value of certain inventory is below cost, we will write-down the inventory to the estimated market value. We did not write-down any inventory during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009. Subsequent sales of inventory that has been subject to a write-down has not been significant to date.

### **Income Taxes**

We estimate our income taxes in each of the taxing jurisdictions in which we operate. Our effective tax rate can differ from the statutory rate primarily due to research and development credits and tax exempt interest, offset by the non-tax-deductible nature of meals and entertainment expense and certain types of stock-based compensation expense. In addition, our process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing any temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of certain items, such as the timing for recognizing certain expenses, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences may result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet. We are required to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets, which included our net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences that are expected to be deductible in future years, will be recoverable from future taxable income or other tax planning strategies. If recovery is not likely, we have to provide a valuation allowance based on our estimates of future taxable income in the various taxing jurisdictions, and the amount of deferred taxes that are ultimately realizable. The provision for current and deferred taxes involves evaluations and judgments of uncertainties in the interpretation of complex tax regulations by various taxing authorities. Actual results could differ from our estimates.

We also recognize the impact of an uncertain income tax position on the income tax return at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than 50% likelihood of being sustained.

We record interest or penalties related to income taxes as a component of income tax expense in our financial statements.

Please refer to “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7: Provision for Income Taxes” for further information.

### **Price Redetermination**

As a government contractor, we are subject to price redetermination on certain fixed-price contracts if it is determined that we did not price our products and services consistent with the requirements of the Federal Acquisition Regulations. We did not incur any price redeterminations on any of our contracts during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

### **Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments**

Edgar Filing: APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents balances include cash held in banks to support daily cash needs and were approximately \$5,558,000 on January 29, 2010, and approximately \$4,102,000 on October 31, 2009. We consider all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity date of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents include money market funds and municipal securities.

Our remaining securities are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value in short-term and long-term investments. At January 29, 2010, all of our short-term and long-term investments consist of municipal securities. At the time of purchase, management determines the appropriate classification of these securities and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, are reported in shareholders' equity as part of comprehensive income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Realized gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale securities were not material for the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

The following tables summarize our cash equivalents, short-term securities, and long-term securities (in thousands).

January 29, 2010				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	2,068	—	—	2,068
Municipal securities	1,000	—	—	1,000
Available-for-sale securities:				
Short-term municipal	38,365	27	(2)	38,390
Long-term municipal	1,074	—	—	1,074
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$42,507	\$27	\$(2)	\$42,532
	=====	=====	=====	=====

October 31, 2009				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	2,028	—	—	2,028
Municipal securities	—	—	—	—
Available-for-sale securities:				
Short-term municipal	43,403	51	—	43,454
Long-term municipal	2,131	—	(2)	2,129
	-----	-----	-----	-----

\$47,562 =====	\$51 =====	\$(2) =====	\$47,611 =====
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------------

The following table summarizes the effective maturities of our available-for-sale investments (in thousands).

	January 29, 2010	October 31, 2009
Due in one year or less	\$38,390	\$43,454
Due in one to two years	1,074 -----	2,129 -----
	\$39,464 =====	\$45,583 =====

#### Fair Value

The fair value accounting standard defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. It also establishes a framework for measuring fair value. We adopted the requirements of the fair value standard as they relate to certain non-financial assets and liabilities on November 1, 2009. This adoption did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. The standard on fair value measurement establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- **Level 1.** Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- **Level 2.** Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data
- **Level 3.** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities

The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	January 29, 2010		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Total Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$2,068	\$—	\$2,068
Municipal securities	—	1,000	1,000
Available-for-sale securities:			

Short-term municipal	—	38,390	38,390
Long-term municipal	-----	1,074	1,074
<b>Total assets</b>	<u>\$2,068</u>	<u>\$40,464</u>	<u>\$42,532</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Interest rate swap	\$—	\$99	\$99
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$99</u>	<u>\$99</u>

	October 31, 2009		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Total Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$2,028	\$—	\$2,028
Available-for-sale securities:			
Short-term municipal	—	43,454	43,454
Long-term municipal	-----	2,129	2,129
<b>Total assets</b>	<u>\$2,028</u>	<u>\$45,583</u>	<u>\$47,611</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Interest rate swap	\$—	\$116	\$116
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$116</u>	<u>\$116</u>

As of January 29, 2010, our investment portfolio did not include investments in assets valued using Level 3 inputs.

Our money market funds are priced by using unadjusted prices in active markets for identical assets.

We determine the fair values of our municipal securities by using pricing models with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

The inputs used to value the interest rate swap liability are based on observable market data such as the LIBOR swap rate (floating interest rate), a fixed rate (term loan interest rate), a discount factor, and a calculation of a present value of the interest paid/received based on the expected loan principal. Please refer to “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 5: Borrowing Arrangements” for further information.

#### **Restricted Cash**

We had restricted cash balances of approximately \$655,000 and \$663,000 at January 29, 2010, and at October 31, 2009, respectively. These balances primarily include contributions made by our employees residing in California for disability funds. These contributions were paid in lieu of participating in the state-sponsored disability program.

Approximately \$152,000 was included in prepaids and other current assets at both January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009. Approximately \$503,000 and \$511,000 was included in other assets at January 29, 2010, and at October 31, 2009, respectively.

#### **Property and Equipment**

Machinery and equipment, as well as furniture and fixtures, are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging up to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of the useful life of the assets or the remaining lease term. Construction in process includes costs incurred to build leasehold improvements and test equipment that have not yet been placed into service.

#### **Business Combinations, Goodwill, and Long-Lived Asset Valuation**

In accordance with business combination accounting, we allocated the purchase price of Pyxis to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. We engaged a third-party appraisal firm to assist management in determining the fair values of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such a valuation required management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets.

Management made estimates of fair value based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable. These estimates were based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of Pyxis and are inherently uncertain. Critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets included, but were not limited to, future expected cash flows from funded backlog, unfunded backlog, future contracts, and non-compete agreements. Unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that may affect the accuracy or validity of such assumptions, estimates, or actual results. Please refer to “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 3: Business Combination” for further information.

**Goodwill valuation.** We test goodwill for possible impairment on an annual basis, as of the first day of the fourth quarter, and at any other time if events occur or circumstances indicate that the current carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. Circumstances that could trigger an impairment test include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in the business climate or legal factors, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition and loss of key personnel.

To perform the goodwill impairment test, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit and compare the fair value to the reporting unit’s carrying value. We believe AST is one reporting unit, and therefore, we compare the fair value of AST to the total net asset value on our balance sheet. If our total net asset value were to exceed our fair value, we would perform the second step of the impairment test. In the second step, we would compare the implied fair value of our goodwill to our carrying amount, taking a write-down to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the implied fair value. If the fair value of AST exceeds the carrying value of our net assets under step one, then no impairment is indicated and the test is complete.



There were no indicators of impairment as of January 29, 2010.

**Long-lived asset valuation (property, plant and equipment, and intangible assets).** We test long-lived assets or asset groups for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Circumstances that could trigger a review include, but are not limited to, significant decreases in the market price of the asset, significant adverse changes in the business climate or legal factors, accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of the asset, current period cash flow or operating losses combined with a history of losses or a forecast of continuing losses associated with the use of the asset, and current expectation that the asset will more likely than not be sold or disposed of significantly before the end of its estimated useful life.

We assess recoverability based on the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value, which is generally determined based on the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and the eventual disposal of the asset. We recognize an impairment loss when the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds fair value.

There were no indicators of impairment as of January 29, 2010.

#### Net Income Per-Share Data

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, we adopted new guidance issued by the staff of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (included in ASC Topic 260-10-45) on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. This guidance requires us to include our unvested restricted stock awards, which have rights to receive dividends, as participating securities in the calculation of net income per share using the two-class method. We are required to apply this method retrospectively, and we have adjusted prior period calculations. For the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the adoption of this guidance decreased our previously reported basic net income per share by \$0.01 and had no impact on the diluted net income per share.

Under the two-class method, we allocate a portion of net income to the participating securities and exclude that income from the net income allocated to common stock. Basic net income per share is determined by dividing the net income allocated to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is determined by dividing the net income allocated to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares used in the basic calculation plus the number of common shares issuable for dilutive stock options under the treasury stock method. The result of this method is more dilutive than if we had used the treasury stock method to calculate the dilutive impact of the restricted stock.

The per-share data is as follows (in thousands, except per-share amounts):

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
<b>Numerator:</b>		
Net Income	\$3,139	\$3,515
Less income allocated to participating securities (restricted stock awards)	(47) -----	(49) -----
Net income allocated to common stock	\$3,092 =====	\$3,466 =====

<b>Denominator:</b>		
Shares used to compute net income per common share – basic	13,068	12,754
Effect of dilutive stock options	148 -----	178 -----
Shares used to compute net income per common share – diluted	13,216 =====	12,932 =====
Net income per common share – basic	\$0.24	\$0.27
Net income per common share – diluted	\$0.23	\$0.27

We excluded approximately 408,000 and 766,000 potential common shares for the first quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, from the diluted net income per common share computation, as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

### Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows (in thousands):

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Net income	\$3,139	\$3,515
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities	(24)	119
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative	17 -----	(72) -----
Comprehensive income	\$3,132 =====	\$3,562 =====

The balance of accumulated comprehensive income on securities as of January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009, was approximately \$25,000 and \$49,000, respectively. The derivative-related accumulated comprehensive loss balance was approximately \$99,000 and \$116,000 as of January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009, respectively.

### Dividends

We have paid dividends at the rate of \$0.50 per share per annum, payable quarterly. Continued payment of the dividend is subject to approval by the Board of Directors and is reviewed quarterly. We paid dividends on November 13, 2009, and February 12, 2010, to shareholders of record at October 31, 2009, and January 29, 2010.

We paid dividends of approximately \$1,651,000 during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 and approximately \$1,603,000 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009.

At January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009, accrued dividends of approximately \$1,663,000 and \$1,651,000,

respectively, were included in other accrued liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet.

### Stock-Based Compensation

We have stock-based compensation programs that enable our Board of Directors to award employee equity incentives. These programs include restricted stock awards and incentive and non-statutory stock options granted under various plans. Restrictions on our restricted stock awards typically lapse in four equal annual installments, on each anniversary of the grant date, conditioned on continued employment. The restrictions on restricted stock granted to our non-employee directors typically lapse in three equal annual installments. Stock options granted in 2006 and prior years are generally time based, typically vesting 20% on each anniversary of the grant date over five years, and expiring eight or ten years from the grant date, conditioned on continued employment.

Additionally, we have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). For offering periods beginning prior to December 1, 2008, the ESPP allowed employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the closing stock price at the lower of either the date of enrollment or the date of purchase. For offering periods subsequent to December 1, 2008, the purchase price is 95% of the closing stock price on the date of purchase, and therefore, is non-compensatory under the authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation. The remaining expense related to the final compensatory award under the ESPP was recognized in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009.

As of January 29, 2010, 1,254,000 shares were reserved for future issuance under the equity incentive and ESPP plans.

We recognize stock compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which generally equals the vesting period of each grant.

The following table sets forth the total stock-based compensation expense resulting from the grant of stock options, restricted stock awards, and purchases under the ESPP included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands, except share data).

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Contract costs	\$318	\$403
Research and development	12	21
General and administrative	217 -----	193 -----
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	\$547	\$617
Income taxes	192 -----	179 -----
Stock-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$355 =====	\$438 =====

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations reflects estimated forfeitures that are based on historical option forfeitures.

Edgar Filing: APPLIED SIGNAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

The net cash proceeds associated with our ESPP were \$635,000 and \$1,473,000 for the three-month periods ended January 29, 2010, and January 30, 2009, respectively.

Stock option activity for the three months ended January 29, 2010, is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at October 31, 2009	947,147	\$19.57
Grants	—	
Exercised	(3,150)	\$9.29
Forfeitures or expirations	(6,500)	\$17.98
Outstanding at January 29, 2010	937,497	\$19.62
Exercisable at January 29, 2010	842,737	\$19.77

Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were approximately \$29,000 and \$211,000 for the three-month periods ended January 29, 2010, and January 30, 2009, respectively. Unrecognized compensation cost associated with unvested stock options as of January 29, 2010, is approximately \$420,000, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately one year.

The following table summarizes our restricted stock grant activity for the three months ended January 29, 2010.

Nonvested Shares	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at October 31, 2009	181,733	\$17.69
Grants	66,000	\$19.77
Vested	(24,624)	\$14.90
Forfeitures	(2,397)	\$20.72
Nonvested at January 29, 2010	220,712	\$18.64

The fair value of our restricted stock is based on our closing stock price on the date of grant. Our unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested (restricted) stock is \$3,319,000, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.69 years.

We realized a net income tax benefit of approximately \$54,000 from stock options exercised or forfeited and restricted stock vested during the first three months of fiscal year 2010. For the same period of fiscal year 2009, we experienced a net income tax deficiency of \$19,000. As required, we present excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock, if any, as financing cash flows rather than operating cash flows.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2007, the FASB issued a revised standard, *Business Combinations* (ASC Topic 805). The revised standard retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in the purchase accounting. It changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. In April 2009, the FASB amended the standard to clarify the accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations. The standard, as amended, applies to business combinations occurring in our fiscal year that began on November 1, 2009. The effects on future periods will depend on the nature and significance of business combinations subject to the new rules.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-13, updating ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. This update provides new standards for revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables. These new standards affect the determination of when the individual deliverables may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, these new standards modify the method of allocating consideration to the deliverables. The relative fair value method based on selling prices will be required and the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration will no longer be permitted. These new standards are effective for our fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010; however, early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of adopting these new standards.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-14, updating ASC Topic 985, *Software*. This update provides new standards that amend the scope of previous software revenue guidance by excluding non-software components of tangible products and certain software components of tangible products. These new standards are effective for our fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010; however, early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of adopting these new standards.

#### **Note 2: Inventory**

Inventories are stated at the lower of average cost or market and consisted of the following (in thousands):

	January 29, 2010	October 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$760	\$767
Work in process	11,315	7,070
Finished goods	917 -----	541 -----
	\$12,992	\$8,378

At January 29, 2010, the unfavorable indirect rate variance included in work in process was approximately \$1,721,000.

Disposal activities were not significant during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

#### **Note 3: Business Combination**

On September 1, 2009, we acquired all of the outstanding membership interests in Pyxis Engineering, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company (Pyxis). The amount of goodwill recorded for the Pyxis acquisition at October 31, 2009, was approximately \$13.2 million and reflected the value of the future engineering services revenue opportunities associated with the cyber security marketplace. We funded the purchase price from our current

investments. The results of operations of the acquisition are included in the accompanying statement of operations since the acquisition date.

The aggregate preliminary purchase price for the acquisition was allocated to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The fair values assigned to the intangible assets acquired totaled approximately \$1.9 million and are based on estimates, assumptions, and other information compiled by management, including independent valuations that utilize established valuation techniques. Intangible assets related to the acquisition, excluding goodwill, are amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives ranging from one to seven years.

We allocated the aggregate preliminary purchase price for the acquisition to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition as follows (in thousands).

Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,382
Accounts receivable and other current assets	4,047
Property and equipment	19
Accrued payroll and other current liabilities	(3,365)
	(625)
Notes payable	-----
Net tangible assets assumed	1,458
Amortizable intangible assets:	
Future contracts	880
Unfunded backlog	610
Funded backlog	300
	140
Non-compete agreements	-----
Total amortizable intangible assets	1,930
	13,244
Goodwill	-----
Total purchase price	=====
	\$16,632

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, we increased the amount of the purchase price allocated to goodwill by approximately \$50,000 due to an increase in transaction costs. The purchase price allocation is subject to change if we obtain additional information concerning the fair value of certain assets and liabilities acquired from Pyxis.

The sellers are also entitled to additional consideration (the Earn-Out) based upon the performance of the business acquired from Pyxis during the 12-month period ending October 31, 2010 (Earn-Out Period). If total revenue that we generate from the acquired Pyxis contracts plus new contracts in our cyber intelligence business, is in excess of \$13.25 million during the Earn-Out Period, then we will pay the sellers all of such excess up to a maximum of \$3.75 million for revenues of \$17 million or more. Any payment of the Earn Out to sellers will result in an increase to goodwill.

#### Note 4: Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The goodwill and identifiable intangible assets are related to our September 2009 acquisition of Pyxis Engineering, LLC (Pyxis) and July 2005 acquisition of Dynamics Technology, Inc. (DTI).

**Goodwill.** Goodwill is not subject to amortization. Rather, we evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. No impairment was recognized in the first three months of fiscal year 2010.

The activity of our goodwill balance during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 is as follows.

Goodwill balance at October 31, 2009	\$33,158
Additional transaction costs (Pyxis acquisition)	50
	-----
Goodwill balance at January 29, 2010	\$33,208
	=====

**Intangible assets.** The tables below present information on our identifiable intangible assets that are subject to amortization (in thousands).

		January 29, 2010		
	Useful Life	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Future contracts	7 years	\$880	\$(52)	\$828
Unfunded backlog	3 years	610	(85)	525
Funded backlog	1 year	300	(125)	175
Non-compete agreements	2 years	140	(29)	111
Existing technology	5 years	340	(312)	28
Patent	18 years	60	(15)	45
		-----	-----	-----
Total		\$2,330	(\$618)	\$1,712
		=====	=====	=====

October 31, 2009

	Useful Life	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Future contracts	7 years	\$880	\$(21)	\$859
Unfunded backlog	3 years	610	(34)	576
Funded backlog	1 year	300	(50)	250
Non-compete agreements	2 years	140	(12)	128
Existing technology	5 years	340	(295)	45
Patent	18 years	60	(14)	46
		-----	-----	-----
Total		\$2,330	\$(426)	\$1,904
		=====	=====	=====

All of our acquired identifiable intangible assets are subject to amortization and have approximately original estimated useful lives as noted in the table above. Amortization expense associated with our intangible assets was approximately \$192,000 and \$18,000 for the first quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of January 29, 2010, the estimated future amortization expense for acquired identifiable intangible assets is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years	
Remainder of 2010	506
2011	391
2012	298
2013	129
2014	129
Thereafter	259
	-----
Total	\$1,712

#### Note 5: Borrowing Arrangements

**Revolving line of credit.** At January 29, 2010, we had a revolving line of credit (Line of Credit) under which Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo), could advance funds to us, up to a maximum principal amount of \$3 million.

At January 29, 2010, we had three standby letters of credit under the Line of Credit, totaling approximately \$1,407,000. The first letter of credit, related to our Sunnyvale, California, facilities lease, had a committed balance of approximately \$1,221,000 at January 29, 2010. The second letter of credit was a requirement of our workers compensation insurance, and had a committed balance of approximately \$150,000 at January 29, 2010. The third letter of credit, a requirement of one of our customers, had a committed balance of \$36,000. We do not pay interest on the amounts associated with the standby letters of credit.



As a result of the committed but unused funds associated with the three letters of credit, the total amount under the Line of Credit available for borrowing was approximately \$1,593,000 at January 29, 2010. No fees or interest were associated with this unused portion. Borrowings under the Line of Credit bear interest at Wells Fargo's reference rate (3.25% at January 29, 2010), and interest on those borrowings is payable monthly. As security for our indebtedness under the Line of Credit, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our cash and marketable securities maintained with an affiliate of Wells Fargo. This line of credit expired in March 2010.

We entered into a new line of credit in February 2010 and increased the maximum principal amount to \$35 million. For our indebtedness under the Line of Credit, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. No fees or interest are associated with the unused portion of this line of credit. For borrowings under the new Line of Credit we will have the option to bear interest at either Wells Fargo's reference rate or at a fixed rate per annum equal to 2.5% above the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR).

**Term loan and interest rate swap.** Effective July 1, 2005, and in connection with the acquisition of DTI, we entered into a term loan with Wells Fargo in the principal amount of \$10 million, plus interest, the proceeds of which were used for acquisition financing (the Term Loan).

The Term Loan bears interest at a fixed rate per annum equal to 1.750% above the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (0.23% at January 29, 2010). Our Term Loan is for a seven-year term ending on July 1, 2012. The loan terms require us to make monthly payments of principal and interest.

As security for our indebtedness under the Term Loan, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our accounts receivable, general intangibles, inventory, and equipment.

We are required to maintain certain financial covenants setting forth minimum ratios for quick ratio and fixed charge coverage and maximum ratios for total liabilities to tangible net worth. As of January 29, 2010, we were in compliance with these covenants.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on the Term Loan, and manage this exposure through the use of an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo. By locking in a fixed rate for the entire term of the loan, this strategy decreases the variability of earnings and cash flows resulting from interest rate fluctuations and lowers the overall borrowing costs should interest rates rise. The interest rate swap is accounted for under the authoritative guidance for derivative instruments, and it is designated as a cash flow hedge. We do not anticipate losses on the agreement due to counterparty credit issues. Under this swap, we pay an interest rate of 4.33%, per annum, over the seven-year term of the loan and receive an average floating rate of LIBOR on the notional amount of the loan. Thus, we exchanged a variable rate obligation for a fixed interest obligation, resulting in an effective interest rate of 6.08%.

The effective portion of the cash flow hedge is reported as other comprehensive income and is reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. At January 29, 2010, the effective portion of the cash flow hedge was a deferred loss of approximately \$163,000 on a gross basis. The deferred loss net of taxes was approximately \$99,000 and was included in other comprehensive income and long-term liabilities on our balance sheet. During the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we recognized losses related to the hedge of approximately \$13,000 and of \$144,000 in other comprehensive income. During the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we recognized interest expense of approximately \$38,000 and \$36,000, respectively, reclassified from other comprehensive income. Over the next twelve months, we expect to reclassify approximately \$116,000 of the loss to interest expense as principal on the Term Loan is repaid and the related swap-instrument notional amount is reduced.

We assess effectiveness of the hedge instrument regularly. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss, if there is one, would impact earnings as it occurs. There is no ineffective portion of the outstanding swap as of January 29, 2010.

**Note 6: Segment Reporting**

We have reviewed our business operations and determined that we operate in a single homogeneous business segment. We sell similar products and services with similar economic characteristics to similar classes of customers, primarily to the United States Government, its agencies, or prime contractors for the United States Government. We have integrated the companies that we have acquired into our existing operating and financial reporting structure. Our CEO, who is the chief decision maker of our operations, reviews aggregate financial information for the Company as a whole. As the technologies and the operations of our divisions are highly integrated, he makes resource allocation decisions based on this aggregate financial information.

**Note 7: Provision for Income Taxes**

Our provision for income taxes for the first three months of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$2,052,000, with an estimated annual effective tax rate of 39.5%. Our provision for income taxes for the first three months of fiscal year 2009 was approximately \$2,245,000, with an estimated annual effective tax rate of 39.0%.

In general, our income tax returns are subject to examination by United States federal tax authorities for tax years 2005 onward and by various United States state tax authorities for tax years 2004 onward.

The balance of our liability associated with gross unrecognized tax benefits was approximately \$211,000 at January 29, 2010 and October 31, 2009. Accrued interest associated with the gross unrecognized tax benefits was approximately \$10,000 and \$6,000 at January 29, 2010 and October 31, 2009, respectively.

**Note 8: Contingencies**

**Product warranties.** Our products are warranted against defective workmanship and materials for a period of one year from the date of acceptance by the original purchaser. Warranty costs in the first quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009 were approximately \$95,000 and \$14,000, respectively.

**Guarantees.** From time to time, we enter into certain types of contracts that contingently require us to indemnify parties against third-party claims. These contracts primarily relate to (i) certain real estate leases, under which we may be required to indemnify property owners for environmental and other liabilities, and other claims arising from our use of the applicable premises; and (ii) certain agreements with our officers, directors, and employees under which we may be required to indemnify such persons for liabilities arising out of their employment relationship. The terms of such obligations vary. Generally, a maximum obligation is not explicitly stated. Because the obligated amounts of these types of agreements often are not explicitly stated, the overall maximum amount of the obligations cannot be reasonably estimated. Historically, we have not been obligated to make significant payments for these obligations, and no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations on the balance sheets as of January 29, 2010, or October 31, 2009.

**Legal proceedings.** We are subject to litigation, from time to time, in the ordinary course of business including, but not limited to, allegations of wrongful termination or discrimination, or Governmental agency investigations. As a Government contractor, we may also be subject to investigations by the United States Government for alleged violations of procurement or other federal laws. Under present Government procurement regulations, if judged in violation of procurement or other federal civil laws, we could be suspended or barred from eligibility for awards of new Government contracts.

On March 11, and July 19, 2005, purported securities class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The cases were considered as *In re Applied Signal Technology Inc. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 4:05-cv-1027 (SBA). The amended consolidated complaint is brought on behalf of a putative class of persons who purchased our Company's securities during a class period of August 24, 2004, through

February 22, 2005. The complaints name us, our former Chief Executive Officer, and our Chief Financial Officer as defendants, and allege that false and misleading statements regarding us were issued during the class period. The parties have agreed to a settlement, which will be paid by our insurers. On August 3, 2009, the District Court gave final approval to a settlement of this case. A purported appeal of a ruling denying a motion to intervene has been filed.

On August 12, 2008, a purported shareholder derivative action was filed in the Superior Court, State of California. *Shoemaker v. Devine et al.*, No. 1:08-CV-119810. It is brought on purported behalf of the Company, against current and former members of the Board of Directors, our Chief Financial Officer, and our former Chief Executive Officer, asserting that these individuals breached their fiduciary duties, based on essentially the same allegations as the class action case. No damages are specified. As the case is brought on purported behalf of the Company, there is no possible loss that might be incurred as a result of this proceeding.

On May 18, 2009, we filed a complaint for patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case Number 3:09-cv-2180-SBA, against Emerging Market Communications, Paradise Datacom LLC, and ViaSat, Inc. On June 12, 2009, we filed an amended complaint adding EMC Satcom Technologies, Inc. as a defendant. All of the defendants have been served with the complaint and have answered; each asserts standard patent case defenses. On October 15, 2009, ViaSat, Inc. filed a counterclaim against us for infringement of four patents. On November 9, 2009, we answered those counterclaims, denying infringement and alleging that the ViaSat patents are invalid. The Court held a case management conference on November 19, 2009, and set a claim construction hearing for September 23, 2010. No trial date has been set. The Court referred the parties to a settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge in the Northern District of California. That conference is to be preceded by informal discussions between the parties, and will take place on March 25 and 26, 2010. If we are unable to come to a resolution of the patent infringement claims with the defendants, we expect to vigorously pursue our claims.

## **Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following information should be read in conjunction with the attached condensed financial statements and notes thereto, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2009.

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements made pursuant to the provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and beliefs, including estimates and projections about our industry. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of terms such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "seeks," "estimates," "believes," and similar expressions, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. Statements concerning financial position, business strategy, and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict and may cause actual results to differ materially from management's current expectations. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth in this document under "Item 1A, Risk Factors." The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the time they are made and do not necessarily reflect management's outlook at any other point in time. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or for any other reason. However, readers should carefully review the risk factors set forth in other reports or documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

### **Description of Business**

Applied Signal Technology, Inc. (AST) is a leading provider of advanced intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) solutions to enhance global security. We provide systems, products and services in the areas of communications, signals intelligence (SIGINT), cyber intelligence and sensor signature processing. Our communication capabilities include the development and production of communication support products, bandwidth

compression software and network management software. Our SIGINT competencies include communications intelligence (COMINT), and electronic intelligence (ELINT). Our cyber intelligence capabilities include high-speed network monitoring, deep packet inspection, and high-end software engineering services. Our sensor signature expertise includes processing information from electro-optic, sonar, radar, magnetic, and chemical sensors to detect changes in the environment.

We specialize in the collection, processing, and understanding of signals for ISR missions with low size, weight, and power configurations to enable increased deployment on unmanned platforms. We develop and manufacture sophisticated sensors and digital signal processing equipment that use advanced software. Our primary customers are the United States Government and defense industry prime contractors for the United States Government.

Our sophisticated products, systems, and services help resolve our customers' most critical national security and signal collection issues. We provide advanced ISR solutions that allow our nation to prepare for, prevent, evaluate, and respond to foreign and domestic threats. Our specific capabilities include:

- **Broadband Network Communications.** Our broadband communication technology provides secure, high speed communication networks to Government customers. Our solutions enable private networks to operate at the highest communication rates while simultaneously monitoring and scanning all channels to prevent disruptions to network quality of service. We also provide bandwidth compression technology to maximize performance for satellite communications.
- **Cyber Intelligence.** Our specialized systems, software and analytics address sophisticated cyber space threats by creating a unique blend of proprietary intelligence techniques and advanced computer network solutions. We are developing a suite of high capacity network monitoring products to provide deep packet inspection to protect against the threat of cyber attacks. These complex systems identify and localize illicit activity on communication networks and provide the analysis and visualization tools necessary to produce meaningful results in time to make a difference.
- **Tactical COMINT.** Our tactical COMINT solutions include deployment of ground-based and airborne payloads for the detection, identification, location, and tracking of mobile communications and weapons systems. The AST product portfolio includes man-portable, airborne, remote/unattended, and system-level solutions for both strategic and tactical missions. Our systems are wideband digital systems that utilize signal processing technology to deliver superior performance against conventional and modern signals, even in the most challenging signal environments.
- **Electronic Warfare(EW).** Our EW solutions detect, identify, and counter adversary weapons and sensor systems by collecting signals from electronic emitters. We have developed a suite of ELINT and electronic support measures (ESM) to derive intelligence from signals associated with weapons systems. This equipment is able to scan the radar bands associated with weapons systems and determine the type of system and its precise location for battlefield characterization and force protection. To date, we have derived a limited amount of revenue from the sale of our ELINT products.
- **Sensor Surveillance.** Our specialized sensors and signature processing observes changes in the environment that can provide an indication of activities of concern to global security. Sensor signatures addressed by AST include the use of sound, such as in sonar or radar, to detect mines or submarines; detection of chemicals to expose explosive devices; and detection of magnetic materials that might indicate the presence of buried mines or underground weapons facilities. Our sensor processing equipment provides automatic detection and classification of threatening objects in both marine and terrestrial environments.
- **Mission Planning and Support.** We provide software-based management systems that provide the tasking and planning of deployed sensors and collection systems to conduct operations, training, and sustainment. We also provide mission management of deployed systems.
- **Professional Services.** We perform high-end engineering services for Government product development and operationally deployed systems. Examples of these services include: software engineering development of service oriented architectures; system-level performance evaluation and modeling; system integration; field support and customer training in the use of our standard products.

Substantially all of our revenues are from contracts with the United States Government or prime contractors to the United States Government.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

**General.** Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements. These condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. We believe that the estimates, assumptions, and judgments involved in the accounting policies described below have the greatest potential impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements and, therefore, consider these to be critical accounting policies. See “Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” included elsewhere in this report for more information about these critical accounting policies, as well as descriptions of other significant accounting policies.

**Revenues and cost recognition.** Our contracts are executed through written contractual arrangements, most of which require us to design, develop, manufacture, and/or modify our complex products, and perform related services according to specifications provided by the customer. The majority of our contracts are accounted for following the authoritative guidance for construction-type and production-type contracts. We recognize revenue on a limited number of standalone software contracts following the guidance for software revenue. For these software contracts, we defer revenue that is related to billings and customer payments in advance of the completion of the performance requirements set forth in these contracts.

As a supplier to the United States Government, we are required to comply with numerous regulations, including those governing security and contracting practices. Failure to comply with these procurement regulations and practices could result in fines being imposed against us or our suspension for a period of time from eligibility for bidding on, or for award of, new Government contracts. Among the causes for the suspension are violations of various statutes, including those related to procurement integrity, export control, United States Government security regulations, employment practices, protection of the environment, accuracy of records in the recording of costs, and foreign corrupt practices. The Government may investigate and make inquiries of our business practices and conduct audits of contract performance and cost accounting. The Government audits and investigations can take several years to complete. Depending on the results of these audits and investigations, the government may make claims against us or take exception to certain costs we determined to be recoverable. In the period management determines recoverability is not likely, reserves are established for the estimated loss by a charge to operating income.

We account for cost-reimbursement contracts by charging actual labor, materials, and other direct costs, plus estimated indirect costs of operations as incurred, including overhead, R&D, and general and administrative expenses (incurred costs). Stock compensation expense is generally not reimbursable under these contracts. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, we apply indirect costs to all subcontract costs.

We recognize contract revenues and profits on cost-reimbursement contracts by applying an estimated fee rate to all incurred costs on an individual contract basis. Fee calculations are based on either negotiated fee amounts or management’s assessment of the fee amounts that are likely to be earned. On cost-reimbursement contracts, we may bear unexpected cost increases for purposes of maintaining customer relationships. Historically, the effect on operating results and financial condition from cost-reimbursement losses has been minimal.

Our policy for recognizing interim award fees on our cost-plus-award-fee contracts is based on management’s assessment as to the likelihood that the award fee or an incremental portion thereof will be earned, on a contract-by-contract basis. Management bases its assessments on numerous factors, including contract terms, nature of the work to be performed, our relationship and history with the customer, our history with similar types of projects,

and our current and anticipated performance on the specific contract. No award fee is recognized in whole or in part until management determines that it is probable that the award fee or portion thereof will be earned. Historically, management's estimates have generally been consistent with the actual fees awarded. However, changes in facts and circumstances could arise within an award fee period, causing management to either lower or raise the award fee estimate in the period in which the changes occur.

Our time-and-materials contracts are performed on a level-of-effort basis. We recognize revenue for these contracts by applying a negotiated billing rate to the level of effort.

We account for fixed-price contracts by using the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, we charge labor, materials, and other direct costs, plus estimated indirect costs of operations, as they are incurred. Each period, we recognize as revenue a portion of the contract revenue, based on estimated profits and the degree of completion of the contract as measured by a comparison of the actual costs incurred and the estimated costs to complete. On fixed-price contracts, we bear the risk of any unexpected increases in the cost to develop or manufacture a product, whether due to inaccurate estimates in the bidding process, unanticipated increases in material costs, inefficiencies, or other factors; and these costs could have a materially adverse effect on our results of operations.

For those contracts in which all of the terms have not yet been finalized, revenue does not include an estimated fee rate on cost.

Project management reviews contract performance, costs incurred, and estimated completion costs regularly. We adjust revenues and profits on all contracts in the period in which changes, including anticipated losses, become determinable.

Precontract costs represent costs incurred in anticipation of specific expected future contract awards and costs incurred in connection with ongoing contracts for which contract modifications have not been defined or completed at the end of the reporting period. These costs are included in other current assets on the balance sheet. Precontract costs for the periods ending January 29, 2010, and October 31, 2009, were approximately \$2,685,000 and \$1,139,000, respectively. Approximately \$432,000 of the October 31, 2009, balance was recognized as revenue during the three months ended January 29, 2010.

We have one royalty licensing agreement for which we accrue royalties on sales of our licensed product by a third party. Since there are essentially no costs associated with this agreement, operating income will increase by the same amount of revenue that we recognize. Royalties contributed approximately \$1,467,000 and \$1,697,000 to revenues and operating income during the first quarter of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

***Indirect rate variance adjustment to operations.*** We record contract revenues and costs of operations for interim reporting purposes based on annual targeted indirect rates. During our interim reporting periods, variances may accumulate between the actual indirect rates and the annual targeted rates. Timing-related indirect spending variances are removed from contract costs, research and development, and general and administrative expenses, and are included in inventory as part of work in process during these interim reporting periods. These rates are reviewed regularly, and we record adjustments for any material, permanent variances in the period they become estimable. We believe that this estimate is the preferred practice used within our industry. At year-end, we adjust the revenues and costs for actual indirect rates. At the beginning of fiscal year 2009, we implemented a new rate structure, which changed the application of certain general and administrative expenses to contracts which created a one-time increase to operating income of approximately \$450,000 from fixed-price contracts.

Our accounting policy for recording indirect rate variances is based on management's belief that variances accumulated during interim reporting periods will be absorbed by expected contract activities during the remainder of the year. We consider the rate variance to be unfavorable when our actual indirect rates are greater than our annual targeted rates. In contrast, a favorable rate variance occurs when our actual indirect rates are lower than our annual

targeted rates. During interim reporting periods, unfavorable rate variances are recorded as reductions to operating expenses and increases to work-in-process inventory. We record favorable rate variances as increases to operating expenses and decreases to work-in-process inventory.

If we anticipate that actual contract activities will be different than planned levels, there are alternatives we can utilize to reduce the variance: we can adjust some of our planned indirect spending during the year we can request a modification of our billing rates to our customers through the Defense Contract Audit Agency any time during the year, in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations, or we can record adjustments to expense based on estimates of future contract activities for the remainder of the fiscal year.

If our rate variance is unfavorable, the modification of our billing rates will likely increase revenue and operating expenses, and decrease the unfavorable indirect rate variance accumulated in inventory. Fee percentages on fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts will generally decline as a result of any increase to indirect costs. Fee percentages on active cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be unaffected as a result of any increase to indirect costs. Fee percentages on completed cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be reduced. If our rate variance is favorable, in general, the modification of our billing rates will decrease revenue and operating expenses, and decrease the favorable indirect rate variance accumulated in inventory. In this event, fee percentages on fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts will generally increase. Fee percentages on cost-reimbursable contracts will generally be unaffected as a result of any reduction to indirect costs, due to the fact that programs will typically expend all of the funds available. Any impact on operating income, however, will depend on a number of other factors, including mix of contract types, contract terms, anticipated performance on specific contracts, and anticipated changes in inventory.

At January 29, 2010, the unfavorable rate variance was approximately \$1,721,000 and at January 30, 2009, the unfavorable rate variance was approximately \$887,000.

***Inventory valuation and disposal.*** We provide advanced digital signal processing products and systems to the United States Government. Typical life cycles of our products are eight to ten years or more. In addition, we maintain spare parts in order to repair the equipment. We evaluate our inventory quarterly, at interim reporting periods, and assess our ability to sell our products, which includes raw materials. Historically, we have sold our inventory at or above cost, so there was typically no decrement in valuation. When we determine a product has reached the end of its life cycle or there is no longer a need for a certain product, typically, we will dispose of any remaining inventory, and record the associated reduction to inventory. Typically, any disposals of raw materials represent a minor amount. These disposals are included in general and administrative expenses on the statement of operations because we use raw materials in a variety of situations other than contract costs, including R&D. Disposals of other obsolete inventory and raw materials were not significant during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

If we determine that the estimated market value of certain inventory is below cost, we will write-down the inventory to the estimated market value. We did not write-down any inventory during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009. Subsequent sales of inventory that has been subject to a write-down has not been significant to date.

***Income taxes.*** We estimate our income taxes in each of the taxing jurisdictions in which we operate. Our effective tax rate can differ from the statutory rate primarily due to research and development credits and tax exempt interest, offset by the non-tax-deductible nature of meals and entertainment expense and certain types of stock-based compensation expense. In addition, our process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing any temporary differences resulting from the different treatment of certain items, such as the timing for recognizing certain expenses, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences may result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet. We are required to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets, which included our net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences that are expected to be deductible in future years, will be recoverable from future taxable income or other tax planning strategies. If recovery is not likely, we have to provide a valuation allowance based on our estimates of future taxable income in the various taxing jurisdictions, and the amount of deferred taxes that are ultimately realizable. The provision for current and deferred taxes involves

evaluations and judgments of uncertainties in the interpretation of complex tax regulations by various taxing authorities. Actual results could differ from our estimates.

We also recognize the impact of an uncertain income tax position on the income tax return at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than 50% likelihood of being sustained.

We record interest or penalties related to income taxes as a component of income tax expense in our financial statements.

Please refer to “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7: Provision for Income Taxes” for further information.

**Price redetermination.** As a government contractor, we are subject to price redetermination on certain fixed-price contracts if it is determined that we did not price our products and services consistent with the requirements of the Federal Acquisition Regulations. During the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we did not incur any price redeterminations on any of our contracts.

**Business Combination.** In accordance with business combination accounting, we allocated the purchase price of Pyxis to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. We engaged a third-party appraisal firm to assist management in determining the fair values of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The valuation required management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets.

Management made estimates of fair value based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable. These estimates were based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of Pyxis and are inherently uncertain. Critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets included, but were not limited to, future expected cash flows from funded backlog, unfunded backlog, future contracts, and non-compete agreements. Unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that may affect the accuracy or validity of such assumptions, estimates, or actual results.

**Goodwill valuation.** We test goodwill for possible impairment on an annual basis, as of the first day of the fourth quarter, and at any other time if events occur or circumstances indicate that the current carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. Circumstances that could trigger an impairment test include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in the business climate or legal factors, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition and loss of key personnel.

The determination as to whether a write-down of goodwill is necessary involves significant judgment based on the short-term and long-term projections of future performance as well as the estimation of discount rates.

To perform the goodwill impairment test, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit and compare the fair value to the reporting unit’s carrying value. We believe AST is one reporting unit, and therefore, we compare the fair value of AST to the total net asset value on our balance sheet. If our total net asset value were to exceed our fair value, we would perform the second step of the impairment test. In the second step, we would compare the implied fair value of our goodwill to our carrying amount, taking a write-down to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the implied fair value. If the fair value of AST exceeds the carrying value of our net assets under step one, then no impairment is indicated and the test is complete.

There were no indicators of impairment as of January 29, 2010.

**Long-lived asset valuation (property, plant and equipment, and intangible assets).** We test long-lived assets or asset groups for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be



recoverable. Circumstances that could trigger a review include, but are not limited to, significant decreases in the market price of the asset, significant adverse changes in the business climate or legal factors, accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of the asset, current period cash flow or operating losses combined with a history of losses or a forecast of continuing losses associated with the use of the asset, and current expectation that the asset will more likely than not be sold or disposed of significantly before the end of its estimated useful life.

We assess recoverability based on the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value, which is generally determined based on the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and the eventual disposal of the asset. We recognize an impairment loss when the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds fair value.

There were no indicators of impairment as of January 29, 2010.

**Share-based payment.** We adopted the current accounting standard related to share-based payment in fiscal year 2006. We elected to use the modified prospective transition method. Stock-based compensation expense for equity awards granted subsequent to November 1, 2005, was based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of the new standard. For awards granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of November 1, 2005, stock-based compensation expense was based on the grant-date fair value previously estimated in accordance with the prior accounting standard. We recognize the stock compensation expense over the requisite service period of the award, which generally equals the vesting period of each grant.

We have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). For offering periods subsequent to December 1, 2008, the purchase price for participants is 95% of the closing stock price on the date of purchase, and therefore, the ESPP is non-compensatory. For offering periods beginning prior to December 1, 2008, the ESPP allowed employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the closing stock price at the lower of either the date of enrollment or the date of purchase. The remaining expense related to the final compensatory award under the ESPP was recognized in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009.

The following table sets forth the total stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options, restricted stock, and ESPP activity included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations (in thousands, except share data).

	———— Three Months Ended ————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Contract costs	\$318	\$403
Research and development	12	21
General and administrative	217 -----	193 -----
Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes	\$547	\$617
Income taxes	192 -----	179 -----
Stock-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$355	\$438

Please refer to “Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Stock-Based Compensation” for additional information.

**Fair value measurements.** The fair value accounting standard defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. It also establishes a framework for measuring fair value. We adopted the requirements of the fair value standard as they relate to certain non-financial assets and liabilities on November 1, 2009. This adoption did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

The standard on fair value measurement establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- **Level 1.** Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- **Level 2.** Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data
- **Level 3.** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The following table presents information about the Company’s financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	January 29, 2010		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Total Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$2,068	\$—	\$2,068
Municipal securities	—	1,000	1,000
Available-for-sale securities:			
Short-term municipal	—	38,390	38,390
Long-term municipal	-----	1,074	1,074
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$2,068</b>	<b>\$40,464</b>	<b>\$42,532</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Interest rate swap	\$—	\$99	\$99
	-----	-----	-----

	\$—	\$99	\$99
<b>Total liabilities</b>	=====	=====	=====

October 31, 2009			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Total Fair Value
<b>Assets</b>			
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$2,028	\$—	\$2,028
Available-for-sale securities:			
Short-term municipal	—	43,454	43,454
Long-term municipal	-----	2,129	2,129
<b>Total assets</b>	\$2,028	\$45,583	\$47,611
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Interest rate swap	\$—	\$116	\$116
<b>Total liabilities</b>	\$—	\$116	\$116

As of January 29, 2010, our investment portfolio did not include investments in assets using Level 3 inputs, and we do not intend to purchase such investments in future periods.

Our money market funds are priced by using unadjusted prices in active markets for identical assets.

We determine the fair values of our municipal securities by using pricing models with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

The inputs used to value the interest rate swap liability are based on observable market data such as the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swap rate (floating interest rate), a fixed rate (term loan interest rate), a discount factor, and a calculation of a present value of the interest paid/received based on the expected loan principal. Please refer to “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 5: Borrowing Arrangements” for further information.

#### Overview

We believe funding of the United States defense budget is slowing relative to the prior five-year period as a result of anticipated decreases in supplemental budgets and pressures from other government spending priorities. Despite these potential declines in overall defense spending, we believe that interest in intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) by the United States Government will remain strong to respond to the continuing threat of terrorist activities and

irregular warfare campaigns.

As we look ahead, we believe that spending levels in our high-demand niche categories of procurement will remain strong. We are a full-service provider of ISR products, systems, and services, serving the defense, intelligence, and homeland security markets. We believe these core markets have strong growth potential and that we are well positioned to benefit from defense spending in these areas. Since the beginning of fiscal year 2009, we have strengthened our product development pipeline and debuted three new products: a broadband network analysis product for cyber surveillance, a tactical wireless reconnaissance product capable of exploiting modern communication signals, and a towed high definition sonar system for undersea port and harbor surveillance.

We have a firm foundation in broadband communications and anticipate continued strong activity in this core market. Over the next several years, we anticipate growth opportunities in tactical SIGINT and cyber intelligence as the Government recapitalizes its existing equipment with new technology and develops an integrated response to emerging cyber security threats. We continue to focus our operations on delivering strong program performance, meeting staffing requirements, maintaining a competitive cost structure, and expanding our marketplace. Our customers continue to come to us with new requirements for ISR solutions, weighted heavily toward new development efforts. A significant portion of our revenue continues to be generated by cost-reimbursable contracts that tend to be developmental in nature, and require highly specialized, technical skill sets. We believe that this trend will continue through the remainder of fiscal year 2010.

We implemented a new multi-rate structure in fiscal year 2009 that we believe should have several positive effects on our business. This rate structure has reduced the cost of our product offerings. We believe this allows us to compete for product sales in highly competitive markets where price is a significant factor. We believe that this new structure could also allow us to compete more effectively on system integration efforts and to be competitive in our professional services efforts.

Our diluted earnings per share for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 was \$0.23, compared to \$0.27 for the same quarter of fiscal year 2009. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the change in the method of allocating indirect expenses to fixed-price contracts increased operating income by approximately \$450,000. In addition, the decline in royalties of approximately \$230,000, an increase in retention bonus expense of approximately \$150,000 and an increase in amortization of intangible assets of approximately \$175,000 also contributed to the decline in earnings per share. The events that occurred during the first quarters of fiscal years 2010 and 2009 created a combined decrease to diluted earnings per share of \$0.05.

#### **Three Months Ended January 29, 2010, Compared to Three Months Ended January 30, 2009**

**Revenues and backlog.** Revenues for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 were approximately \$48,080,000, a 5.9% increase from revenues of approximately \$45,384,000 recorded in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. Revenues generated by our development programs increased by approximately \$5,517,000 during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, compared to the same period in fiscal year 2009. The increase was due to the strength in our broadband and cyber security areas. The increase in our development programs was offset by a decrease in product sales by approximately \$2,591,000 during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, compared to the same period of fiscal year 2009. The decrease was primarily attributed to a decline in sales of our Model 680 Raider product.

We have one royalty licensing agreement for which we accrue royalties on sales of our licensed product by a third party. Since there are essentially no costs associated with this agreement, operating income will increase by the same amount of revenue that we recognize. Royalties contributed approximately \$1,467,000 and \$1,697,000 to revenues and operating income during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 and 2009, respectively.

New orders received during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 were approximately \$30,022,000, a decline of approximately 9.4% from approximately \$33,121,000 in new orders received during the same period of fiscal year

2009. New orders decreased primarily due to a decline in orders for our Model 680 Raider product.

Our backlog consists of the uncompleted portions of existing contracts (excluding unexercised contract options). At January 29, 2010, ending backlog was approximately \$121,225,000, representing a 12.9% decrease from the ending backlog of approximately \$139,108,000 at October 31, 2009. Reported backlog includes both funded and unfunded portions of contract values. There is no assurance or obligation that contracts will be fully funded. To the extent that contracts are not fully funded, there will be a reduction to backlog in a future period. During fiscal year 2008, we received a termination for the convenience of the Government related to several delivery orders under the Stone Face II IDIQ contract. We reduced backlog by approximately \$3.7 million during fiscal year 2009 and recorded an additional reduction \$0.5 million reduction during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 as a result of the negotiation of a portion of these terminated efforts. We anticipate the remaining balance of approximately \$0.5 million will be negotiated in future periods.

Our contracts can be fixed-price contracts, where we agree to deliver equipment or services for a fixed price and assume the risk of cost overruns; cost-reimbursement contracts, where we are reimbursed for our direct and indirect costs and paid a negotiated profit; or time-and-materials contracts, where we recognize revenue for these contracts by applying a negotiated billing rate to the level of effort. Cost-reimbursement and time-and-materials contracts typically do not return as high a profit margin as fixed-price contracts, and accordingly, our profit margin will be affected by the mix of our orders by contract type.

The following table represents our revenue concentration during the respective periods by contract type.

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Cost-reimbursement contracts	74%	62%
Time-and-materials contracts	12%	19%
Fixed-price contracts	11%	15%
Royalty contracts	3% -----	4% -----
	100% =====	100% =====

The following table represents the revenue concentration from significant contracts during the respective periods. Due to security requirements of the United States Government, we are unable to disclose the actual contract names. Therefore, for the ease of the reader, we have renamed these contracts for reporting purposes in the table below.

	————— Three Months Ended —————	
	January 29, 2010	January 30, 2009
Next Generation ASA	21%	—
Tiffany	11%	20%
Thunderdome	11%	—
ASA	—	16%

High Beam	—	14%
Stone Face II	5%	7%
	—	6%
Raider	-----	-----
	48%	63%
	=====	=====

*a dash (—) designates less than 5% revenue concentration*

The following contracts were indefinite-delivery-indefinite-quantity (IDIQ) contracts: Tiffany, ASA, Next Generation ASA, and Stone Face II. Under the terms of this type of contract, the Government may issue individual delivery orders (DOs) for goods or services that they require. Each DO is treated as a separate contract, which may be awarded on a cost-reimbursable, fixed-price, or time-and-materials basis. Typically, we aggregate the DOs under each IDIQ contract for purposes of distinguishing significant revenue concentrations. However, Thunderdome is an individual DO within an IDIQ contract.

Next Generation ASA and ASA are time-and-materials contracts and Raider is a fixed-price. All of the other contracts referenced were cost-reimbursement contracts.

**Contract costs.** Contract costs consist of direct costs incurred in the performance of the contract, including labor, materials, and overhead costs. Contract costs were approximately \$34,000,000, or 70.7 % of revenues, for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 compared to approximately \$31,531,000, or 69.5% of revenues, for the same period of fiscal year 2009. Contract costs increased in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, compared to the same periods of fiscal year 2009 primarily due to increase in activity associated with development contracts.

**Research and development (R&D).** Company-directed investment in research and development expenses for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 were approximately \$3,047,000, or 6.3 % of revenues, and were consistent with R&D expenses of approximately \$3,075,000, or 6.8% of revenues, for the same period of fiscal year 2009.

**General and administrative (G&A).** General and administrative expenses include administrative salaries, costs related to marketing and proposal activities, costs associated with product warranties, and other administrative costs. We record G&A expenses based on annual targeted indirect rates applied to our quarterly revenue base, for interim reporting periods. G&A expenses were approximately \$5,839,000, or 12.1 % of revenues, for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, compared to approximately \$5,130,000, or 11.3% of revenues, for the same period of fiscal year 2009. G&A expenses increased in absolute dollars during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 primarily due to the overall increase in contract activities. G&A expenses increased as a percentage of revenue during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 primarily due to expenses related to the acquisition of Pyxis, including the amortization expense of intangible assets acquired of \$175,000 and retention bonus expense of \$150,000.

**Interest income and other, net.** Net interest income for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$89,000, compared to net interest income of approximately \$230,000 for the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The decrease is primarily due to lower average balances and yields in our investment portfolio.

**Interest expense.** Interest expense for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$92,000, compared to approximately \$118,000 of interest expense in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. Interest expense decreased during the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 primarily due to the reduction of the balance of our Term Loan with Wells Fargo through scheduled principal payments.

**Provision for income taxes.** Our provision for income taxes for the first three months of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$2,052,000, representing an estimated 39.5% annual effective tax rate. Our provision for income taxes for the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 was approximately \$2,245,000, with a 39.0% effective tax rate. The difference in our estimated annual effective tax rate at January 29, 2010, from our effective tax rate at January 30, 2009, was primarily due to a reduction in projected credits for research and development and tax-exempt interest.

#### **Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our primary sources of liquidity during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 were cash flows generated from operations, the issuance of common stock through exercise of options granted under our employee stock option plans, and stock purchases under our ESPP. We have reduced option grants in favor of restricted stock awards and have modified our ESPP. As a result of the modification to our ESPP, participation has significantly declined. Cash received from the issuance of common stock under our ESPP may continue to decline in 2010.

**Net cash from operating activities.** Net cash from operating activities has varied significantly from quarter to quarter. These quarter-to-quarter variances are primarily the result of changes in net income and operating assets and liabilities. Operating activities used cash of approximately \$2,256,000 and used cash of approximately \$920,000 during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Net income for the first three months of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$3,139,000 compared to net income of approximately \$3,515,000 for the comparable period of fiscal year 2009.

Accounts receivable balances decreased during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 by approximately \$2,864,000 and increased by approximately \$2,041,000 during the same period in fiscal year 2009. During the first three months of fiscal year 2010, we generated revenues of approximately \$48,080,000 and collected approximately \$51,047,000. During the first three months of fiscal year 2009, we generated revenues of approximately \$45,384,000 and collected approximately \$43,300,000.

Inventory, prepaid expenses, and other assets increased by approximately \$7,087,000 and \$1,947,000 during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. The difference between the first quarter of fiscal year 2010 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 was due to inventory and precontract cost activities. During the first three months of fiscal year 2010, inventory balances increased by approximately \$4,614,000, due to an increase to our work-in-process inventory of approximately \$2,900,000 and an increase to our indirect rate variance of approximately \$1,721,000. In addition, precontract costs increased other current assets by approximately \$1,546,000 during the first three months of fiscal year 2010.

During the first three months of fiscal year 2009, inventory balances increased by approximately \$739,000 primarily due to our indirect rate variance of approximately \$887,000. In addition, precontract costs increased other current assets by approximately \$1,104,000 during the first three months of fiscal year 2009 primarily due the timing of final negotiations on certain contracts.

Accounts payable, taxes payable, accrued payroll liabilities, and other accrued liabilities balances decreased by approximately \$3,463,000 and \$2,529,000 during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. Accounts payable declined by approximately \$2,292,000 due to normal timing of vendor payments. Accrued payroll liabilities decreased during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 by approximately \$2,489,000 due to bonus payments of approximately \$4,397,000 accrued at the end of fiscal year 2009 and payments of approximately \$1,077,000 for the bonus liability acquired from Pyxis. These payments were partially offset by an increase to accrued salaries and related payroll taxes of approximately \$2,136,000 due to the timing of our bi-weekly payroll. Income taxes payable increased by approximately \$1,192,000 due to an increase in operating income.

Accounts payable declined by approximately \$1,428,000 during the first three months of fiscal year 2009 due to the timing of our vendor payments at the end of fiscal year 2008. Accrued payroll liabilities decreased during the first three months of fiscal year 2009 by approximately \$2,438,000 due to bonus payments of approximately \$2,751,000, accrued at the end of fiscal year 2008, and payments for accrued vacation of approximately \$1,181,000 due to a change in our vacation policy. These payments were partially offset by an increase to accrued salaries of approximately \$1,538,000. Accrued salaries increased during the first three month of fiscal year 2009 due to the timing of our bi-weekly payroll.

**Net cash from investing activities.** Net cash provided by investing activities during the first three months of fiscal year 2010 was approximately \$5,153,000. Net cash provided by investing activities during the same period of fiscal year 2009 was approximately \$4,615,000.

During the first three months of fiscal year 2010, we purchased approximately \$15,625,000 of investment securities and approximately \$21,460,000 matured. During the same period of fiscal year 2009, we purchased approximately \$12,694,000 of investment securities and approximately \$18,350,000 matured.

**Net cash from financing activities.** Net cash used in financing activities during the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$1,441,000 and approximately \$344,000, respectively. The sources of cash from financing activities were from the purchase of common stock under our ESPP and the cash received from stock option exercises. During the first three months of fiscal year 2010, cash from purchases under our ESPP declined by approximately \$838,000 as compared to fiscal year 2009 due to less participation in our ESPP.

Our sources of cash are primarily from operating activities, employee stock activities, and investing activities. At January 29, 2010, we held in our investment portfolio approximately \$39,464,000 of short-term and long-term municipal securities. Substantially all of our investments in the municipal securities are with AA/AAA/SP-1 (Standard & Poor's), Aa/Aaa/MIG-1 (Moody's), and AA/AAA/F-1 (Fitch) rated issuers.

Our investment policy prohibits our investment in auction rate securities, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. Insured municipal notes or bonds are also prohibited, with the exception of daily and weekly variable rate demand notes that contain a hard put feature.

Based on our ability to liquidate our investment portfolio and our expected operating cash flows, we do not anticipate any liquidity constraints as a result of the current credit environment.

We believe the primary risk to liquidity is the potential decrease in demand for our products and services. Historically, this demand has been influenced by the ISR needs of the United States Government.

We believe that the funds generated from operations, existing working capital, and the amount available under our existing line of credit will be sufficient to meet our cash needs for the next twelve months.

#### **Borrowing Arrangements**

**Revolving line of credit.** At January 29, 2010, we had a revolving line of credit (Line of Credit) under which Wells Fargo Bank (Wells Fargo) could advance funds to us, up to a maximum principal amount of \$3 million.

At January 29, 2010, we had three standby letters of credit under the Line of Credit totaling approximately \$1,407,000. The first letter of credit, related to our Sunnyvale, California, facilities lease, had a committed balance of approximately \$1,221,000 at January 29, 2010. The second letter of credit was a requirement of our workers compensation insurance, and had a committed balance of approximately \$150,000 at January 29 2010. The third letter of credit, a requirement of one of our customers, had a committed balance of \$36,000. We do not pay interest on the amount associated with the standby letters of credit.



As a result of the committed but unused funds associated with the three letters of credit, the total amount under the Line of Credit available for borrowing was approximately \$1,593,000. No fees or interest was associated with this unused portion. Borrowings under the Line of Credit bear interest at Wells Fargo's reference rate (3.25% at January 29, 2010), and interest on those borrowings are payable monthly. As security for our indebtedness under the Line of Credit, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our cash and marketable securities maintained with an affiliate of Wells Fargo. This line of credit expired in March 2010.

We entered into a new line of credit in February 2010 and increased the maximum principal amount to \$35 million. For our indebtedness under the Line of Credit, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our inventory, equipment, and accounts receivable. No fees or interest are associated with the unused portion of this line of credit. For borrowings under the new Line of Credit we will have the option to bear interest at either Wells Fargo's reference rate or at a fixed rate per annum equal to 2.5% above the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR).

**Term loan and interest rate swap.** Effective July 1, 2005, and in connection with the acquisition of Dynamics Technology, Inc. (DTI), we entered into a term loan with Wells Fargo, in the principal amount of \$10 million, plus interest, the proceeds of which were used for acquisition financing (the Term Loan). The Term Loan bears interest at a fixed rate per annum equal to 1.750% above the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (0.23% at January 29, 2010). Our Term Loan is for a seven-year term ending on July 1, 2012. The loan terms require us to make monthly payments of principal and interest.

As security for our indebtedness under the Term Loan, we have granted to Wells Fargo a security interest in our accounts receivable, general intangibles, inventory, and equipment.

We are required to maintain certain financial covenants setting forth minimum ratios for quick ratio and fixed charge coverage and maximum ratios for total liabilities to tangible net worth. As of January 29, 2010, we were in compliance with these covenants.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on the Term Loan, and manage this exposure through the use of an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo. By locking in a fixed rate for the entire term of the loan, this strategy decreases the variability of earnings and cash flows resulting from interest rate fluctuations and lowers the overall borrowing costs should interest rates rise. The interest rate swap is accounted for under the authoritative guidance for derivative instruments, and it is designated as a cash flow hedge. We do not anticipate losses on the agreement due to counterparty credit issues. Under this swap, we pay an interest rate of 4.33%, per annum, over the seven-year term of the loan and receive an average floating rate of LIBOR on the notional amount of the loan. The combined interest amounts on the Term Loan and the swap reflect our total monthly interest obligation, which is fixed at 6.08%.

The effective portion of the cash flow hedge is reported as other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. At January 29, 2010, the effective portion of the cash flow hedge was a deferred net loss of approximately \$163,000, on a gross basis. The deferred loss net of taxes was approximately \$99,000 and was included in other comprehensive income and long-term liabilities on our balance sheet. During the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we recognized losses related to the hedge of approximately \$13,000 and of \$144,000 in other comprehensive income. During the first three months of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we recognized interest expense of approximately \$38,000 and \$36,000, respectively, reclassified from other comprehensive income. Over the next twelve months, we expect to reclassify approximately \$116,000 of the loss to interest expense as principal on the Term Loan is repaid and the related swap-instrument notional amount is reduced. We assess effectiveness of the hedge instrument regularly. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss, if there is one, would impact earnings as it occurs. There is no ineffective portion of the outstanding swap as of January 29, 2010.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or related parties, and, accordingly, our liquidity and capital resources are not subject to off-balance sheet risks from unconsolidated entities.

For information on our contractual obligations, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, filed with the SEC.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2007, the FASB issued a revised standard, *Business Combinations*, (ASC Topic 805). The revised standard retains the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in the purchase accounting. It changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. In April 2009, the FASB amended the standard to clarify the accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations. The standard, as amended, applies to business combinations occurring in our fiscal year that began on November 1, 2009. The effects on future periods will depend on the nature and significance of business combinations subject to the new rules.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-13, updating ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. This update provides new standards for revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables. These new standards affect the determination of when the individual deliverables may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, these new standards modify the method of allocating consideration to the deliverables. The relative fair value method based on selling prices will be required and the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration will no longer be permitted. These new standards are effective for our fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010; however, early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of adopting these new standards.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASC Update No. 2009-14, updating ASC Topic 985, *Software*. This update provides new standards that amend the scope of previous software revenue guidance by excluding non-software components of tangible products and certain software components of tangible products. These new standards are effective for our fiscal year beginning November 1, 2010; however, early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of adopting these new standards.

### **Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

**Interest rate risk.** Our interest income is sensitive to changes in the general level of United States interest rates. At January 29, 2010, our short-term and long-term securities consisted of municipal securities. Substantially all of our investments in the municipal securities are with AA/AAA/SP-1 (Standard & Poor's), Aa/Aaa/MIG-1 (Moody's), and AA/AAA/F-1 (Fitch) rated issuers. Our investment policy prohibits our investment in auction rate securities, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. Insured municipal notes or bonds are also prohibited, with the exception of daily and weekly variable rate demand notes that contain a hard put feature.

The average days to maturity of our investment portfolio is 101 days as of January 29, 2010. Due to the short-term nature of these cash investments and the ability to liquidate our investment portfolio, we do not believe that there is a material interest rate risk associated with the current credit environment.

As of January 29, 2010, our total cash and investments balance that was sensitive to interest rate risk was approximately \$ 42,532,000. As a measurement of the sensitivity of our portfolio, if yields were to fluctuate by 100 basis points, the total effect to the investment portfolio balance would be approximately \$116,000.

The following table summarizes our cash equivalents, and short-term securities, at fair value, that are sensitive to interest rate risk (in thousands).

	January 29, 2010	October 31, 2009
Cash equivalents:		
Money market funds	\$2,068	\$2,028
Municipal securities	1,000	—
Available-for-sale securities:		
Short-term municipal	38,390	43,454
Long-term municipal	1,074	2,129
	-----	-----
	\$42,532	\$47,611
	=====	=====

**Term loan and interest rate swap.** Effective July 1, 2005, and in connection with the acquisition of DTI, we entered into a Term Loan agreement in the principal amount of \$10 million with Wells Fargo Bank, the proceeds of which were used for acquisition financing. The Term Loan bears interest at an annual rate of 1.75% above LIBOR (0.23% at January 29, 2010).

We manage potential market risk from changes in interest rates on the Term Loan through the use of an interest rate swap agreement designated as a cash flow hedge. By locking in a fixed rate for the entire term of the loan, this strategy decreases the variability of earnings and cash flows resulting from interest rate fluctuations and lowers our overall borrowing costs should interest rates rise.

Coincident with the Term Loan transaction, we also entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo whereby we pay interest to Wells Fargo at a fixed rate of 4.33% and Wells Fargo pays interest to us at a floating rate tied to the LIBOR index. The combined interest amounts on the Term Loan and the swap reflect our total monthly interest obligation, which is locked in at 6.08%.

#### Item 4: Controls and Procedures

**Conclusions regarding disclosure controls and procedure.** Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting.** There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended January 29, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Limitations of the effectiveness of internal control.** A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations of any internal control system, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. Notwithstanding these limitations, our

disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at the “reasonable assurance” level.

## Part II. Other Information

### Item 1: Legal Proceedings

We are subject to litigation, from time to time, in the ordinary course of business including, but not limited to, allegations of wrongful termination or discrimination, or Governmental agency investigations. As a Government contractor, we may also be subject to investigations by the United States Government for alleged violations of procurement or other federal laws. Under present Government procurement regulations, if judged in violation of procurement or other federal civil laws, we could be suspended or barred from eligibility for awards of new Government contracts.

On March 11, and July 19, 2005, purported securities class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. The cases were considered as *In re Applied Signal Technology Inc. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 4:05-cv-1027 (SBA). The amended consolidated complaint is brought on behalf of a putative class of persons who purchased our Company’s securities during a class period of August 24, 2004, through February 22, 2005. The complaints name us, our former Chief Executive Officer, and our Chief Financial Officer as defendants, and allege that false and misleading statements regarding us were issued during the class period. The parties have agreed to a settlement, which will be paid by our insurers. On August 3, 2009, the District Court gave final approval to a settlement of this case. A purported appeal of a ruling denying a motion to intervene has been filed.

On August 12, 2008, a purported shareholder derivative action was filed in the Superior Court, State of California. *Shoemaker v. Devine et al.*, No. 1:08-CV-119810. It is brought on purported behalf of the Company, against current and former members of the Board of Directors, our Chief Financial Officer, and our former Chief Executive Officer, asserting that these individuals breached their fiduciary duties, based on essentially the same allegations as the class action case. No damages are specified. As the case is brought on purported behalf of the Company, there is no possible loss that might be incurred as a result of this proceeding.

On May 18, 2009, we filed a complaint for patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case Number 3:09-cv-2180-SBA, against Emerging Market Communications, Paradise Datacom LLC, and ViaSat, Inc. On June 12, 2009, we filed an amended complaint adding EMC Satcom Technologies, Inc. as a defendant. All of the defendants have been served with the complaint and have answered; each asserts standard patent case defenses. On October 15, 2009, ViaSat, Inc. filed a counterclaim against us for infringement of four patents. On November 9, 2009, we answered those counterclaims, denying infringement and alleging that the ViaSat patents are invalid. The Court held a case management conference on November 19, 2009, and set a claim construction hearing for September 23, 2010. No trial date has been set. The Court referred the parties to a settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge in the Northern District of California. That conference is to be preceded by informal discussions between the parties, and will take place on March 25 and 26, 2010. If we are unable to come to a resolution of the patent infringement claims with the defendants, we expect to vigorously pursue our claims.

### Item 1A: Risk Factors

Our future performance is subject to a variety of risks. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, including the information included in the discussion in Part I, Item 2, above, under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2009, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, or future results. There were no other material changes from the

risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, filed on January 12, 2010.

**Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.

**Item 5: Other Information**

None.

**Item 6: Exhibits**

*Exhibits.* See Index to Exhibits.

---

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Applied Signal Technology, Inc.  
/s/ James E. Doyle

March 8, 2010

James E. Doyle  
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

---

**Applied Signal Technology, Inc.  
Index to Exhibits**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate
10.71	

	Line of Credit Agreement, dated February 22, 2010, with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002