

CENTURYLINK, INC
Form 10-K
March 01, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number 1-7784

CENTURYLINK, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Louisiana
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

72-0651161
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe,
Louisiana
(Address of principal executive offices)

71203
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code - (318) 388-9000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, par value \$1.00 | New York Stock Exchange Berlin Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Stock Options
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

1

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates (affiliates being for these purposes only directors, executive officers and holders of more than five percent of our outstanding voting securities) was \$8.7 billion as of June 30, 2010. As of February 28, 2011, there were 305,609,343 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be furnished in connection with the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report.

2

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Part I. | |
| Item 1. Business | 4 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 25 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 49 |
| Item 2. Properties | 50 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 51 |
| Item 4. [Reserved] | 52 |
| Part II. | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 53 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 54 |
| Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 56 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 80 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 81 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 130 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 131 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 131 |
| Part III. | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 132 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 133 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 133 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 133 |
| Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 133 |
| Part IV. | |
| Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 134 |

All references herein to “we”, “us”, “our” or “CenturyLink” refer to CenturyLink, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, including, for all references to dates or periods on or after July 1, 2009 (except as otherwise stated herein), Embarq Corporation and its subsidiaries, which we acquired on July 1, 2009. In addition, “access lines” mean telephone lines that connect homes or businesses to the public switched telephone network; “competitive local exchange carriers,” or “CLECs,” mean telecommunications providers that compete in a particular local service area with the ILEC by providing local voice or other services, frequently by using the ILEC’s network; “FCC” means the Federal Communications Commission; “incumbent local exchange carrier,” or “ILEC,” means a traditional telecommunications provider that, prior to the Telecommunications Act of 1996, had the exclusive right and responsibility for providing

local telecommunications services in its local service area; “LEC” means any local exchange carrier; “Notes” means the Notes to the Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report or Form 10-K; “SEC” means the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission; “Universal Service Fund,” or “USF,” refers collectively to federal funds established primarily to promote the availability of telecommunications services to all consumers at reasonable and affordable rates; and “Voice Over Internet Protocol,” or “VoIP,” means an application that enables broadband Internet subscribers to engage in two-way voice communication similar to our traditional voice services.

PART I

Item 1. Business

On July 1, 2009, we acquired Embarq Corporation (“Embarq”) in a transaction that substantially expanded the size and scope of our business, and on April 1, 2011 we expect to acquire Qwest Communications International Inc. (“Qwest”) in a transaction that will similarly impact the size and scope of our business. Due to the significant size of Embarq, direct comparisons of our recent results of operations or operating data to periods prior to July 1, 2009 are less meaningful than usual because most of the variances are due to the Embarq acquisition. Similarly, due to the significant size of Qwest, direct comparisons of our future results and data for periods following the upcoming anticipated closing date of the Qwest acquisition to prior periods will be less meaningful than usual for similar reasons. For additional information on our Embarq acquisition, see “– Embarq acquisition” below, and for additional information on our pending Qwest acquisition, see “– Pending acquisition of Qwest” below.

General. CenturyLink, together with its subsidiaries, is an integrated communications company engaged primarily in providing a broad array of communications services, including voice, Internet, data and video services. We strive to maintain our customer relationships by, among other things, bundling our service offerings to provide a complete offering of integrated communications services. We primarily conduct our operations in 33 states located within the continental United States.

At December 31, 2010, our incumbent local exchange telephone subsidiaries operated approximately 6.5 million telephone access lines in 33 states, with over 75% of these lines located in Florida, North Carolina, Missouri, Nevada, Ohio, Wisconsin, Virginia, Texas, Pennsylvania, and Alabama. According to published sources, we are currently the fourth largest local exchange telephone company in the United States based on the number of access lines served.

We also provide fiber transport, wholesale communications services, competitive local exchange carrier service, security monitoring and other communications, professional and business information services in certain local and regional markets.

For information on the amount of revenue derived by our various lines of services, see “Operations - Services” below and Item 7 of this annual report. The financial information included in this Item 1 should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in Item 8 and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in Item 7 of this annual report.

Pending acquisition of Qwest. On April 21, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Qwest in a tax-free stock-for-stock transaction. Under the terms of the agreement, Qwest shareholders will receive 0.1664 CenturyLink shares for each share of Qwest common stock they own at closing. CenturyLink shareholders are expected to own approximately 50.5% and Qwest shareholders are expected to own approximately 49.5% of the combined company at closing. As of December 31, 2010, Qwest had outstanding approximately (i) 1.764 billion shares of common stock and (ii) \$11.947 billion of long-term debt.

4

While we have received most of the necessary approvals from state public service commissions, completion of the transaction is still subject to the receipt of approvals from the Federal Communications Commission and several other state public service commissions, as well as other customary closing conditions. Subject to these conditions, we anticipate closing this transaction on April 1, 2011. During the process of securing the required state regulatory

approvals, we have committed to spend an aggregate of approximately \$400 million in capital expenditures over a five-year period to expand our broadband deployment. We anticipate making additional complementary broadband commitments as part of the FCC approval process to expand broadband deployment in the Qwest fourteen state region. If the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, we may be obligated to pay Qwest a termination fee of \$350 million.

See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for additional information concerning the pending acquisition of Qwest. Additional information about Qwest is included in documents filed by it with the SEC. See “Where to find additional information” below.

The foregoing description of the pending Qwest merger is not complete, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our Current Reports on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 22 and April 27, 2010, including the exhibits thereto.

Embarq acquisition. On July 1, 2009, we acquired Embarq, which was spun-off from Sprint Nextel Corporation in 2006, and is currently a wholly-owned subsidiary of CenturyLink. As a result of this merger transaction, each outstanding share of Embarq common stock was converted into 1.37 shares of CenturyLink common stock, with cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. In addition to delivering the aggregate merger consideration valued at \$6.1 billion, we also assumed approximately \$5.1 billion of Embarq’s indebtedness upon the consummation of the transaction. As of the acquisition date, Embarq served approximately 5.4 million access lines and 1.5 million high-speed Internet customers located in 18 states.

See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for additional information concerning the acquisition of Embarq. Additional information about Embarq is included elsewhere herein and in documents that it previously filed with the SEC. See “Where to find additional information” below.

Other recently completed acquisitions. On April 30, 2007, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Madison River Communications Corp. (“Madison River”) for approximately \$322 million cash. In connection with the acquisition, we also paid all of Madison River’s existing indebtedness (including accrued interest), which approximated \$522 million. At the time of this acquisition, Madison River operated approximately 164,000 predominantly rural access lines in four states.

In June 2005, we acquired fiber assets in 16 metropolitan markets from KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc. for approximately \$75.5 million cash, which has enabled us to offer broadband and competitive local exchange services to customers in these markets. During 2008, we sold the assets in six of these markets in two separate transactions.

5

We also acquired approximately 660,000, 490,000 and 650,000 telephone access lines in transactions completed in 1997, 2000 and 2002, respectively, each of which substantially expanded our operations. The 2002 acquisition of telephone access lines was funded primarily from proceeds received from the sale of substantially all of our wireless operations in August 2002. In addition, in 2003 we acquired fiber transport assets in the central United States that enabled us to significantly expand our wholesale data transport services.

We continually evaluate the possibility of acquiring additional communications assets in exchange for cash, securities or other properties, and at any given time may be engaged in discussions or negotiations regarding additional acquisitions. We generally do not announce our acquisitions or dispositions until we have entered into a preliminary or definitive agreement. Although our primary focus will continue to be on acquiring interests that are proximate to our properties or that serve a customer base large enough for us to operate efficiently, we may also acquire other communications interests and these acquisitions could have a material impact upon us.

Where to find additional information. We make available all of our filings with the SEC (including Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K) on our website (www.centurylink.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after we complete such filings with the SEC. These documents may also be obtained from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. You may obtain copies of Embarq's previous filings with the SEC from our website or the SEC's website. You may obtain copies of Qwest's SEC filings from Qwest's website or the SEC's website.

We also make available on our website our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our corporate ethics and compliance program and the charters of our audit, compensation, risk evaluation, and nominating and corporate governance committees. We will furnish printed copies of these materials free of charge upon the request of any shareholder. If a provision of our corporate ethics and compliance program is amended, other than by a technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendment, or a waiver under this program is granted to a director or executive officer, we will post notice of such amendment or waiver on our website or disclose the amendment or waiver in a report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC. Only our board of directors, or an authorized committee of the board, may consider a waiver of our corporate ethics and compliance program for a director or executive officer.

In connection with filing this annual report, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer made the certifications regarding our financial disclosures required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the Act's related regulations. In addition, during 2010 our chief executive officer certified to the New York Stock Exchange that he was unaware of any violation by us of the New York Stock Exchange's corporate governance listing standards.

Industry information. Unless otherwise indicated, information contained in this annual report and other documents filed by us under the federal securities laws concerning our views and expectations regarding the communications industry are based on estimates made by us using data from industry sources, and on assumptions made by us based on our management's knowledge and experience in the markets in which we operate and the communications industry generally. We believe these estimates and assumptions are accurate as of the date made; however, this information may prove to be inaccurate because it cannot always be verified with certainty. You should be aware that we have not independently verified data from industry or other third-party sources and cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Our estimates and assumptions involve risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed in Item 1A of this annual report.

6

Other. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately 20,300 employees, of which approximately 6,600 were members of 45 different bargaining units represented by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and the Communications Workers of America. As of December 31, 2010, five of the union contracts that represent approximately 1,600 employees had expired; however, employees continue to perform their work activities while contract negotiations continue. An additional 14 union contracts representing approximately 2,500 employees are scheduled to expire in 2011. We believe that relations with our employees continue to be generally good. Over the last several years, we announced reductions of our workforce primarily due to (i) progress made on our integration efforts from recent acquisitions (including the recently completed Embarq acquisition); (ii) increased competitive pressures and the loss of access lines over the last several years, and (iii) the elimination of certain customer service personnel due to reduced call volumes.

We were incorporated under Louisiana law in 1968 to serve as a holding company for several telephone companies acquired over the previous 15 to 20 years. Our principal executive offices are located at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe, Louisiana 71203 and our telephone number is (318) 388-9000.

OPERATIONS

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

According to published sources, our acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009 positioned us as the fourth largest local exchange telephone company in the United States, based on the approximately 6.5 million access lines we served at December 31, 2010, all of which are digitally switched.

Before the Embarq acquisition, (i) CenturyLink provided local exchange telephone services to predominantly rural areas and small to mid-size cities in 25 states and (ii) Embarq provided local exchange telephone services to a wide variety of markets in 18 states, including Las Vegas, Nevada, and the suburbs of Orlando, Florida and several other large U.S. cities. At the time of the acquisition, the average population density of CenturyLink's and Embarq's local exchange markets was 25 and 94 persons per square mile, respectively. Although the services provided by each company prior to the acquisition were substantially similar, the merger resulted in several important changes to our operations, including:

providing services to an expanded number of densely-populated markets, which tend to afford consumers access to a greater range of competitive communications products than less dense markets and exposes the incumbent telephone service provider to higher levels of service terminations;

7

reducing the percentage of our total revenue derived from governmental support programs, which typically focus on disbursing payments to companies operating in less densely-populated areas;

expanding and reconfiguring our operating regions to incorporate the Embarq service areas in order to provide day-to-day decision making at the regional level as opposed to Embarq's prior operating model which operated under a more centralized structure; and

offering certain services, such as inmate payphone services, that CenturyLink did not historically provide.

If and when we complete our pending Qwest acquisition, it will result in material changes to our operations, including changes similar to those listed above and changes in our mix of product and service offerings.

The following table lists information (rounded to the nearest thousand lines) regarding our access lines as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| State | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Number of access lines | Percent of access lines | Number of access lines | Percent of access lines |
| Florida | 1,234,000 | 19 % | 1,352,000 | 19 % |
| North Carolina | 910,000 | 14 | 997,000 | 14 |
| Missouri | 516,000 | 8 | 548,000 | 8 |
| Nevada | 463,000 | 7 | 523,000 | 7 |
| Ohio | 354,000 | 5 | 388,000 | 5 |
| Wisconsin (1) | 326,000 | 5 | 343,000 | 5 |
| Virginia | 315,000 | 5 | 334,000 | 5 |
| Texas | 286,000 | 4 | 303,000 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | 252,000 | 4 | 271,000 | 4 |
| Alabama | 237,000 | 4 | 254,000 | 4 |
| Washington | 189,000 | 3 | 200,000 | 3 |
| Indiana | 172,000 | 3 | 186,000 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 170,000 | 3 | 182,000 | 3 |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----|-------------|-------|
| Tennessee | 162,000 | 2 | 176,000 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 133,000 | 2 | 144,000 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 130,000 | 2 | 145,000 | 2 |
| Oregon | 102,000 | 2 | 109,000 | 2 |
| All other states (2) | 553,000 | 8 | 584,000 | 8 |
| | 6,504,000 | 100 | % 7,039,000 | 100 % |

(1) As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately 43,000 and 45,000, respectively, of these lines were owned and operated by our 89%-owned affiliate.

(2) Includes all of the remaining 16 states in which we operate, each of which has less than 100,000 access lines served.

The following table summarizes certain information related to our customer base, operating revenues and capital expenditures for the past five years. The 2010 and 2009 information includes the impact of the Embarq operations we acquired on July 1, 2009. Periods subsequent to April 30, 2007 include the impact of the Madison River properties we acquired on April 30, 2007.

| | Year ended or as of December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Access lines | 6,504,000 | 7,039,000 | 2,025,000 | 2,135,000 | 2,094,000 |
| % Residential | 67 % | 68 | 73 | 73 | 74 |
| % Business | 33 % | 32 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
| Internet customers | 2,410,000 | 2,259,000 | 683,000 | 623,000 | 459,000 |
| % High-speed | | | | | |
| Internet service | 99 % | 99 | 94 | 89 | 80 |
| % Dial-up service | 1 % | 1 | 6 | 11 | 20 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 7,041,534 | 4,974,239 | 2,599,747 | 2,656,241 | 2,447,730 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 863,769 | 754,544 | 286,817 | 326,045 | 314,071 |

As discussed further below, during the last several years (exclusive of acquisitions and certain non-recurring favorable adjustments), we have experienced declines in our voice and network access revenues primarily due to declines in access lines, intrastate access rates, minutes of use, and federal support fund payments. In an attempt to mitigate these declines, we plan to, among other things, continue to (i) promote long-term relationships with our customers through bundling of integrated services, (ii) provide new services, such as video and other additional services that may become available in the future due to advances in technology, wireless spectrum sales by the FCC, improvements in our infrastructure, or agency or reselling arrangements with other carriers, (iii) provide our special access, broadband and premium services to a higher percentage of our customers, (iv) pursue acquisitions of additional communications properties if available at attractive prices, (v) increase usage of our networks and (vi) market our products and services to new customers. See “Services” and “Regulation and Competition” for additional information.

Services

We derive revenue from providing (i) local exchange and long distance voice telephone services, (ii) data services, including high-speed Internet services, as well as special access and private line services, (iii) wholesale local network access services, and (iv) other related services (as more fully described below). The following table reflects the percentage of operating revenues derived from each of these services:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------|---------|-------|-------|
| Voice | 44.6 % | 43.6 | 40.1 |
| Data | 27.1 | 24.2 | 20.2 |
| Network access | 15.3 | 18.6 | 25.0 |
| Other | 13.0 | 13.6 | 14.7 |
| | 100.0 % | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Voice. We offer local calling service to residential and business customers within our local service areas, generally for a fixed monthly charge. While we have achieved significant pricing deregulation over time, the maximum amount that we can charge a customer for local calling services is still largely governed by state and federal regulatory authorities and by our competitors. We offer a number of enhanced voice services (such as call forwarding, caller identification, conference calling, voicemail, selective call ringing and call waiting) to our local exchange customers for an additional monthly fee. At December 31, 2010, approximately 68% of both our business and residential customers subscribed to one or more enhanced services. We also offer long distance services to our customers based

on either usage or pursuant to flat-rate calling plans. We anticipate that most of our long distance service will continue to be provided as part of an integrated bundle with our other service offerings, including our local exchange telephone service offering.

9

Total access lines declined 535,000 (7.6%) during 2010 compared to a decline of 380,000 during 2009 (excluding access lines we acquired from Embarq on July 1, 2009 but including access lines lost in Embarq's markets following such acquisition). We believe these declines in the number of access lines were primarily due to the displacement of traditional wireline telephone services by other competitive services and recent economic conditions. Over the last few years, our recently-acquired Embarq markets have experienced higher rates of access line losses than our incumbent markets due principally to such markets being more densely-populated and competitive. Based on our current retention initiatives, we estimate that our access line loss will be between 7.0% and 7.5% in 2011 (excluding access lines acquired upon consummation of the pending Qwest acquisition and access lines lost in Qwest's markets following such acquisition).

Data. We derive our data revenues primarily from monthly recurring charges for providing (i) high-speed Internet access services, which is also referred to as "broadband" data service, (ii) data transmission services over special circuits and private lines, which we market to business customers who require dedicated equipment to transmit large amounts of data between sites, and (iii) switched digital television services. At December 31, 2010, approximately 92% of our access lines were broadband-enabled and we provided high-speed Internet access services to approximately 2.4 million customers. During 2010, we added approximately 158,000 high-speed Internet customers.

We offer a range of data services to businesses, long distance carriers, wireless carriers and CLECs. Our special access data service consists of providing dedicated circuits connecting other carriers' networks to their customers' locations, wireless carriers' cell towers to mobile switching centers, or business customers to our network. Although the traffic handled through special access facilities may include voice as well as data, we report revenues associated with special access as data revenue.

In late 2005, we began providing switched digital television service through our own facilities in a limited number of our operating markets. Currently, we provide these services in LaCrosse, Wisconsin; Columbia, Missouri; Jefferson City, Missouri; Las Vegas, Nevada and Fort Myers, Florida. The access lines that we serve in these markets represent less than 20% of our total access lines at December 31, 2010. We incur a sizable amount of start-up costs when we launch our switched digital television service to new markets. We expect to launch this service to additional markets in the near future. Should the customer acceptance rate not meet expected levels or the start-up costs exceed our current projections, our results of operations may be more adversely impacted in the future than our current expectations.

Network access. We derive our network access revenues primarily from (i) providing wholesale services to various carriers and customers in connection with the use of our facilities to originate and terminate their interstate and intrastate voice transmissions; (ii) receiving universal support funds which allows us to recover a portion of our costs under federal and state cost recovery mechanisms (as described further below under the heading "Regulation and Competition Relating to Incumbent Local Exchange Operations"), (iii) receiving reciprocal compensation from CLECs and wireless service providers for terminating their calls on our networks and (iv) offering certain network facilities and related services to CLECs. Our revenues for switched access services depend primarily on the level of call volumes.

10

Substantially all of our interstate network access revenues are based on tariffed access charges prescribed by the FCC. Certain of our intrastate network access revenues are derived through access charges that we bill to intrastate long distance carriers and other LEC customers. Such intrastate network access charges are based on tariffed access charges, which are subject to state regulatory commission approval. Additionally, certain of our intrastate network access revenues, along with intrastate and intra-LATA (Local Access and Transport Areas) long distance revenues, are derived through revenue sharing arrangements with other LECs.

Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996, we offer certain network facilities to CLEC's on a resale or unbundled basis and allow them to collocate certain of their equipment in our central offices. The FCC sets general guidelines for pricing of resale, unbundled network elements and collocation agreements, while the state regulatory authorities approve the actual prices charged.

Other. We derive our "other revenues" principally by (i) providing fiber transport, CLEC and security monitoring services, (ii) leasing, selling, installing and maintaining customer premise telecommunications equipment and wiring, (iii) providing payphone services primarily within our local service territories and at various state and county correctional facilities around the country, (iv) participating in the publication of local telephone directories, which allows us to share in revenues generated by the sale of yellow page and related advertising to businesses, (v) providing network database services and (vi) offering our new services described below under the heading "Recent Product Developments". We also provide printing, direct mail services and cable television services.

We sell fiber capacity to other carriers and businesses over a network that encompassed, at December 31, 2010, approximately 13,900 miles of fiber in the central United States. We began our fiber transport business during 2001 and expanded it by acquiring various fiber transport assets in 2003 and 2007, which enabled us to expand our fiber network business and further reduce our reliance on third-party transport providers.

During 2008, we paid an aggregate of approximately \$149 million for 69 licenses in the FCC's auction of 700 megahertz wireless spectrum. Our plan has been to use the spectrum to develop wireless voice and data service capabilities in our markets, built upon LTE (Long-Term Evolution) technology. The LTE network deployment plans of larger wireless carriers are driving most vendor activity, including the availability of handsets and other end-user devices. Therefore, we are monitoring their deployment efforts to help shape our plans for moving forward.

From time to time, we also make investments in other communications companies.

For further information on regulatory, technological and competitive changes that could impact our revenues, see "Regulation and Competition" under this Item 1 below and "Risk Factors and Cautionary Statements" under Item 1A below. For more information on the financial contributions of our various services, see Item 7 of this annual report.

11

Recent Product Developments

In late 2010, we signed an agency agreement with DIRECTV that allows us to offer its satellite television service to our residential customers throughout our 33-state service area. Between 2005 and late 2010, we had a similar arrangement with DISH Network Corporation to offer similar services.

Pursuant to a five-year agency agreement with Verizon Wireless entered into in late 2010, we plan to market, sell and bill for Verizon wireless voice services under its brand name, primarily to customers who buy these services as part of a bundle including one or more of our other products and services. Subject to several exceptions, we are prohibited under the agreement from (i) selling any other wireless services in Verizon Wireless' markets on behalf of a third party and (ii) engaging in certain other competitive activities.

Federal Financing Programs

Some of our telephone subsidiaries receive long-term financing from the Rural Utilities Service, a federal agency that has historically provided long-term financing to telephone companies at relatively attractive interest rates. For additional information regarding our financings, see our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 herein.

Sales and Marketing

Following our acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009, we began using the trade name “CenturyLink.” We formally changed our legal name to “CenturyLink, Inc.” in May 2010 upon approval of the name change by our shareholders. In addition, our satellite television service is offered on a co-branded basis under the “DIRECTV” name. Our switched digital television service offering is branded under the name “PRISM”. The wireless service that we plan to offer under our agency agreement with Verizon Wireless will be marketed under the Verizon brand name.

12

We maintain local offices in most of the larger population centers within our service territories. These offices provide sales and customer support services in the community. We also rely on our call center personnel to promote sales of services that meet the needs of our customers. Our strategy is to enhance our communications services by offering comprehensive bundling of services and deploying new technologies to build upon the strong reputation we enjoy in our markets and to further enhance customer loyalty.

Our consumer marketing approach emphasizes customer-oriented sales, marketing and service with a local presence. We market our products and services primarily through direct sales representatives, local retail stores, telemarketing and third parties. We support our distribution with direct mail, bill inserts, newspaper advertising, website promotions, public relations activities and sponsorship of community events. Our business marketing approach includes a commitment to deliver communications solutions that meet existing and future business customer needs through bundles of services and integrated service offerings, focusing on comprehensive customer communications solutions for small businesses to large enterprise customers.

Network Architecture

Most of our products and services are provided using our telecommunications network, which consists of voice and data switches, copper cables, fiber-optic broadband cables and other equipment. Our local exchange carrier networks also include central office hosts and remote sites, all with advanced digital switches and operating with licensed software. Our outside plant consists of transport and distribution delivery networks connecting each of our host central offices to our remote central offices, and ultimately to our customers. As of December 31, 2010, we maintained over 595,000 miles of copper plant and approximately 73,000 miles of fiber optic plant in our local exchange networks. Our fiber optic cable is the primary transport technology between our host and remote central offices and interconnection points with other incumbent carriers. Most of our long distance service is provided through reselling arrangements with other long distance carriers, with the balance being provided directly through CenturyLink’s own switches and network equipment. All of our satellite television and wireless voice service is provided by other carriers under agency agreements.

In our markets, high-speed Internet-enabled technologies are being deployed to provide significant broadband capacity to our customers. We continue to expand and enhance the capabilities of our network to offer high-speed Internet service to more customers. At the end of 2010, approximately 92% of our access lines were capable of providing high-speed Internet service to our customers.

We also maintain networks in connection with providing fiber transport and CLEC services.

Rapid and significant changes in technology are expected in the communications industry. Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and adapt to technological changes.

Regulation and Competition Relating to Incumbent Local Exchange Operations

General. Traditionally, LECs operated as regulated monopolies having the exclusive right and responsibility to provide local telephone services in their franchised service territories. Consequently, most of our intrastate telephone operations have been regulated extensively by various state regulatory agencies (generally called public service commissions or public utility commissions) and our interstate operations have been regulated by the FCC under the Communications Act of 1934. As we discuss in greater detail below, passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, coupled with state legislative and regulatory initiatives and technological changes, fundamentally altered the telephone industry by generally reducing the regulation of ILECs and creating a substantial increase in the number of competitors. We anticipate that these trends toward reduced regulation and increased competition will continue.

13

The following description discusses some of the major industry regulations that affect our traditional telephone operations, but numerous other regulations not discussed below could also impact us. Some legislation and regulations are currently the subject of judicial proceedings, legislative hearings and administrative proceedings which could substantially change the manner in which the communications industry operates and the amount of revenue we receive for our services. Neither the outcome of these proceedings, nor their potential impact on us, can be predicted at this time. The impact of regulatory changes in the communications industry could have a substantial impact on our operations. See Item 1A of this annual report below.

State regulation. In recent years, most states have substantially reduced their regulation of ILECs. Nonetheless, state regulatory commissions generally continue to regulate the local service rates and intrastate access charges of ILECs, and continue to grant and revoke certifications authorizing companies to provide communications services. State commissions traditionally regulated pricing through “rate of return” regulation that focused on authorized levels of earnings by LECs. Only a few states (representing a small portion of our access lines) continue to regulate us in this manner. In most of our states, we are generally regulated under various forms of alternative regulation that typically limit our ability to increase rates for local services, but relieve us from the requirement to meet certain earnings tests. In a few states, we have recently gained pricing freedom for the majority of retail services except for the most basic of services, such as stand-alone basic residential service. In most of the states in which we operate, we have gained pricing flexibility for certain enhanced calling services, such as caller identification, and for bundled services that include local voice service. State commissions periodically conduct proceedings to review the rates that we charge other telecommunications providers for using our network or reselling our service, and those proceedings can result in reductions in our revenues.

As an ILEC, we generally face “carrier of last resort” obligations which include an ongoing requirement to provide service to all prospective and current customers in our service territories who request service and are willing to pay rates prescribed in our tariffs. In competitively-bid situations, such as newly-constructed housing developments or multi-tenant dwellings, this may constitute a competitive disadvantage to us if competitors can choose to focus on low-risk profitable customers and withhold service from high-risk unprofitable customers. Strict adherence to carrier of last resort requirements may force us to construct facilities with a low likelihood of positive economic return. In certain cases, we seek to mitigate these risks by receiving regulatory approval to use less costly alternative technologies, such as fixed wireless, or by sharing network construction costs with our customers. In addition, a few of our states provide relief from these obligations under certain specific circumstances, and in certain areas our costs to build and maintain network infrastructure are partially offset by payments from universal service programs.

14

We are responding to carrier complaints, legislation or generic investigations regarding our intrastate switched access rate levels in several of our states. In particular, certain long distance providers have begun to dispute existing intercarrier compensation rates payable to us and other ILECs with respect to VoIP traffic or to refuse to pay such rates, based on the contention that tariffed switched access charges should not apply to VoIP originated and terminated traffic. Although outcomes cannot be determined at this time, we believe our intrastate switched access rate levels are appropriate and we plan to vigorously defend them. If we are required to reduce our intrastate switched access rates as a result of any of these initiatives, we will seek to recover displaced switched access revenues from state universal service funds or other services. However, the amount of such recovery, if any, is not assured.

Under state law, our telephone operating subsidiaries are typically governed by laws and regulations that (i) regulate the purchase and sale of LECs, (ii) prescribe depreciation rates and certain accounting procedures, (iii) require LECs to provide service under publicly-filed tariffs setting forth the terms, conditions and prices of regulated services, (iv) limit LECs' ability to borrow and establish asset liens (v) regulate transactions between LECs and their affiliates, and (vi) impose various other service standards.

For a discussion of legislative, regulatory and technological changes that have introduced competition into the local exchange industry, see "Developments Affecting Competition."

Federal regulation. Our telephone subsidiaries are required to comply with the Communications Act of 1934, which requires us to offer services at just and reasonable rates and on non-discriminatory terms, as well as the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which amended the Communications Act to promote competition.

The FCC regulates interstate services provided by our telephone subsidiaries primarily by regulating the interstate access charges that we bill to long distance companies, other communications companies and end users for use of our network in connection with the origination and termination of interstate voice and data transmissions. Additionally, the FCC has prescribed certain rules and regulations for telephone companies, including a uniform system of accounts and rules regarding the separation of costs between jurisdictions and, ultimately, between interstate services. In addition, the FCC has responsibility for maintaining and administering the federal Universal Service Fund, or USF. LECs must obtain FCC approval to use certain radio frequencies, or to transfer control of any such licenses. The FCC retains the right to revoke these licenses if a carrier materially violates relevant legal requirements.

The FCC requires price-cap regulation of interstate access rates for the Regional Bell Operating Companies, and permits it for all other LECs. Under price-cap regulation, limits imposed on a company's interstate rates are adjusted periodically to reflect inflation, productivity improvement and changes in certain non-controllable costs. On July 1, 2009, we converted substantially all of our remaining rate-of-return study areas to price cap regulation. In addition, all of the properties we acquired from Embarq operate under price- cap regulation.

The FCC is currently reviewing the rates and terms under which ILECs provide special access services. The FCC is also reviewing requests by some other carriers to order reductions in some or all ILECs' rates for special access services. It is uncertain whether or how the FCC might order changes in how special access services are regulated, or in the rates ILECs are able to charge for them. If the FCC were to adopt significant changes in regulations affecting special access services, the proceeding could have an impact on our provision and pricing of special access services.

15

Beginning in 2003, the FCC initiated a series of broad intercarrier compensation proceedings designed to create a uniform mechanism to be used by the entire telecommunications industry for payments between carriers originating, terminating, or carrying telecommunications traffic. In connection therewith, the FCC has received intercarrier compensation proposals from several industry groups, and solicited public comments on a variety of topics related to access charges and intercarrier compensation. Most recently, on February 8, 2011, the FCC sought comments from

the public on proposals designed to lower intercarrier compensation rates. The ultimate outcome of the FCC's intercarrier compensation proceedings could change the way we receive compensation from, and remit compensation to, other carriers, our end user customers and the USF. As discussed further in Item 1A of this annual report, these changes could substantially reduce the amount of revenues we receive with respect to various services.

As part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act"), in early 2010 the FCC released its National Broadband Plan, which is the FCC's framework to develop a comprehensive plan over the next decade for broadband deployment, intercarrier compensation reform and regulatory reform initiatives such as reformation of the USF high cost support fund. As originally proposed, the Plan is likely to reduce our USF support and network access revenues over a reasonable transition period. Since releasing the Plan, the FCC has undertaken various studies and solicited public input on a variety of issues, including its proposal to replace the current legacy USF high cost support fund with a new fund to support the provision of broadband services in areas that are unserved or underserved. Our markets that meet the unserved or underserved criteria may be recipients of USF to advance broadband deployment. The Plan is a blueprint at this time and is subject to change as a result of public input, Congressional action or further regulatory action. Given the relatively early stages of the FCC's proceedings, we cannot predict the ultimate outcome nor can we be assured that such Plan will not have a material adverse effect on us or our industry in the future.

The Recovery Act also includes certain broadband initiatives that are intended to accelerate broadband deployment across the United States. The Recovery Act approved \$7.2 billion in funding for broadband stimulus projects across the United States to be administered by two governmental agencies. The programs implemented by the two agencies are expected to provide grants and loans to applicants for construction of certain broadband infrastructure, provision of certain broadband services, and support of certain broadband adoption initiatives. This program has attracted a wide range of applicants including states, municipalities, start-up companies and consortiums. We have not applied for funding under the Recovery Act programs. The participation of other parties in these programs could increase competition in selected areas, which may increase our marketing costs and decrease our revenues in those areas. We cannot at this time estimate the impact these programs may have on our operations.

16

For several years, we have been working with other carriers to develop proposals that would advance universal broadband deployment while reforming intercarrier compensation and universal service funding at the same time and we plan to continue these efforts. We cannot predict what part, if any, of these proposals will ultimately be adopted.

Our operations and those of all communications carriers also may be impacted by legislation and regulation imposing new or greater obligations on us. The most likely areas of impact include regulations or laws related to bolstering homeland security, increasing disaster recovery requirements, minimizing environmental impacts, enhancing privacy, or addressing other issues that impact our business, including the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, and laws governing local number portability and customer proprietary network information requirements. These laws and regulations may cause us to incur additional costs and could impact our ability to compete effectively.

Universal service support fund and related matters. A number of our telephone subsidiaries recover a portion of their costs from the federal USF and from similar state "universal support" mechanisms, which receive their funding from fees charged to interexchange carriers and LECs. Disbursements from these programs traditionally have focused principally on allowing LECs serving small communities and rural areas to provide communications services on terms and at prices reasonably comparable to those available in urban areas. However, use of universal service funding for other social policy goals continues to grow and to exert pressure on the size of the fund and the contribution rate.

During 2010, we received approximately \$430.6 million (which includes a full year of receipts related to our Embarq properties acquired July 1, 2009) of receipts from federal and state universal service programs as compared to \$384.9

million in 2009 (which only includes a half year of receipts related to our Embarq properties). Such amounts represented approximately 6.1% and 7.7% of our 2010 and 2009 total operating revenues, respectively. A significant portion of our payments have varied over time based on our average cost to serve customers compared to national cost averages. Under the USF High Cost Loop program, which is the USF's principal program from which we received \$109.3 million in 2010, our payments from the USF will decrease if national average costs per loop increase at a rate greater than our average cost per loop. Excluding the effects of the additional six months of receipts recorded in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to the July 1, 2009 Embarq acquisition, our revenues from the USF High Cost Loop program decreased in 2010 compared to 2009. We anticipate that such revenues will continue to decline in 2011. See Items 1A and 7 of this annual report for more information.

Federal USF programs have undergone substantial changes since 1997, and are expected to experience more changes in the coming years. Since May 2001, the FCC has administered its existing universal service support programs for rural telephone companies based on embedded, or historical, costs. The FCC, in April 2010, proposed to replace the USF with a broadband "Connect America" fund that would modernize the current "voice only" funding mechanism and direct funding away from local voice services to advance broadband deployment in areas unserved or underserved by broadband communications, and in February 2011 sought public comments regarding creating this new broadband fund.

17

Universal service funds available to ILECs are currently available to local competitors that (i) certify they will serve all customers in a study area, (ii) offer nine core services, and (iii) qualify as an "eligible telecommunications carrier." Wireless and other competitive service providers continue to seek to qualify to receive USF funds although funding for these carriers is undergoing reform. This trend, coupled with changes in usage of telecommunications services, has placed stress on the funding mechanism of the USF, which is subject to annual caps on disbursements. As a result of these developments, there is no assurance that sufficient funds will be available to provide funding to all eligible telecommunications carriers.

Over the past few years, each of the FCC, Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and certain Congressional committees has initiated wide-ranging reviews of the administration of the federal USF. As part of this process, we, along with a number of other USF recipients, have undergone a number of USF audits and have also received requests for information from the FCC's Office of Inspector General ("OIG") and Congressional committees. In addition, in July 2008 we received a subpoena from the OIG requesting a broad range of information regarding our depreciation rates and methodologies since 2000, and in July 2009 we received a second subpoena requesting information about our participation in the E-rate program for Wisconsin schools and libraries since 2004. The OIG has not identified to us any specific issues with respect to our participation in the USF program and all USAC audits are finalized with no material issues reported regarding our participation in the USF program. During 2010, USAC moved from the audit process to a Payment Quality Assessment ("PQA") program. We continue to receive and respond to these PQAs and to date no material issues have been identified. While we believe our participation is in compliance with FCC rules and in accordance with accepted industry practices, we cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of these various reviews.

In 2004, the FCC mandated changes in the administration of the universal service programs that temporarily suspended the disbursement of funds under the USF's E-rate program (for service to Schools and Libraries), and, more significantly, created uncertainty regarding whether these administrative changes could similarly delay the disbursement of funds to ILECs from the Universal Service High Cost Loop support program. Congress has passed bills in recent years granting successive one-year exemptions from the federal law that impacted the E-rate program, including a bill extending the exemption through December 31, 2011. Although we expect funding from this program to continue, we cannot assure you that the lack of a definitive resolution of this issue will not delay or impede the disbursement of funds in the future.

Several states in which we operate have established their own universal service programs. In 2010, we received support totaling approximately \$118.9 million from various state universal service programs (or approximately 27.6% of our total federal and state support payments), with the largest amounts received in Texas, Louisiana and Kansas. Several states have recently implemented and expanded state universal service programs and several are currently reviewing their state universal service fund programs, which could change the support we receive.

18

Some of our telephone subsidiaries operate in states where traditional cost recovery mechanisms, including rate structures, are under evaluation or have been modified. See “State Regulation.” There can be no assurance that these states will continue to provide for cost recovery at current levels.

Developments affecting competition. Over the past decade, fundamental technological, regulatory and legislative changes have significantly impacted the communications industry, and we expect these changes will continue. Primarily as a result of regulatory and technological changes, competition has been introduced and encouraged in each sector of the communications industry in recent years. As a result, we increasingly face competition from other communication service providers, as further described below.

Wireless telephone services increasingly constitute a significant source of competition with ILEC services, especially since wireless carriers have begun to compete effectively on the basis of price with more traditional telephone services. As a result, some customers have chosen to completely forego use of traditional wireline phone service and instead rely solely on wireless service for voice services. This trend is more pronounced among residential customers, which comprise 67% of our access line customers. We anticipate this trend will continue, particularly if wireless service providers continue to expand their coverage areas, reduce their rates, improve the quality of their services, and offer enhanced new services. Substantially all of our access line customers are currently capable of receiving wireless services from at least one competitive service provider. We believe that our new agency agreement with Verizon Wireless may assist us in retaining customers by enabling us to offer Verizon Wireless service as part of our service bundles. Technological and regulatory developments in wireless services, personal communications services, digital microwave, satellite, coaxial cable, fiber optics, local multipoint distribution services, WiFi, and other wired and wireless technologies are expected to further permit the development of alternatives to traditional landline services. Moreover, the growing prevalence of electronic mail, text messaging, social networking, and similar digital communications continues to reduce the demand for traditional landline voice services.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996, which obligates ILECs to permit competitors to interconnect their facilities to the ILEC’s network and to take various other steps that are designed to promote competition, imposes several duties on an ILEC if it receives a specific request from another entity which seeks to connect with or provide services using the ILEC’s network. In addition, each ILEC is obligated to (i) negotiate interconnection agreements in good faith, (ii) provide nondiscriminatory “unbundled” access to all aspects of the ILEC’s network, (iii) offer resale of its telecommunications services at wholesale rates and (iv) permit competitors, on terms and conditions (including rates) that are just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory, to collocate their physical plant on the ILEC’s property, or provide virtual collocation if physical collocation is not practicable. Current FCC rules require ILECs to lease a network element only in those situations where competing carriers genuinely would be impaired without access to such network elements, and where the unbundling would not interfere with the development of facilities-based competition.

19

As a result of these regulatory, consumer and technological developments, ILECs also face competition from CLECs, particularly in densely populated areas. CLECs provide competing services through reselling the ILECs’ local services, through use of the ILECs’ unbundled network elements or through their own facilities.

As noted above, wireless and other competitive services providers have been increasingly aggressive in seeking and obtaining USF support funds. This support is likely to encourage additional competitors to enter our high-cost service areas.

Technological developments have led to the development of new services that compete with traditional ILEC services. Technological improvements have enabled cable television companies to provide traditional circuit-switched telephone service over their cable networks, and several national cable companies have aggressively pursued this opportunity. As of December 31, 2010, we believe that approximately 70% of our access lines faced competition from cable voice offerings.

Improvements in the quality of VoIP service have led several cable, Internet, data and other communications companies, as well as start-up companies, to substantially increase their offerings of VoIP service to business and residential customers. VoIP providers frequently offer features that cannot readily be provided by traditional ILECs and may price their services at or below those prices currently charged for traditional local and long distance telephone services for several reasons, including lower operating costs and regulatory advantages. Although over the past several years the FCC has increasingly subjected portions of VoIP operations to federal regulation, VoIP services currently operate under fewer regulatory constraints than LEC services. For all these reasons, we cannot assure you that VoIP providers will not successfully compete for our customers.

In December 2010, the FCC adopted an order imposing “network neutrality” rules that it believes will preserve the openness of the Internet. The rules apply to all providers of wireline broadband Internet access services, and, to a lesser extent, providers of wireless broadband Internet access services. The rules do not apply to providers of applications, content or other services. The order provides that (i) wireline broadband providers may not block lawful traffic, applications, services or non-harmful devices, subject to reasonable network management practices, (ii) wireless broadband providers may not block lawful websites and competing voice and video telephony services, subject to reasonable network management practices, (iii) wireline broadband providers may not engage in “unreasonable discrimination,” and (iv) all wireline or wireless broadband providers must disclose their network management practices, performance and commercial terms. The order indicates that broadband providers can price their services based on usage, subject to continued FCC oversight. Assuming the order is not overturned, amended or stayed by the U.S. Congress or the courts, we believe the impact of the order on us may depend in part on how the order is implemented by the FCC and interpreted by the courts.

20

Our industry has witnessed an increase in disputes about the intercarrier compensation rules applicable to various categories of traffic exchanged between carriers. These disputes are subject to review by the FCC, state commissions and federal courts. Rulings in such proceedings, whether or not we are a party, may influence our exposure to disputes about our wholesale charges or to claims against us for prior wholesale billings. We cannot assure you that regulatory or court rulings on such issues will not have a material adverse effect on us or our industry.

Similar to us, many cable, technology or other communications companies that previously offered a limited range of services are now offering diversified bundles of services, either through their own networks, reselling arrangements or joint ventures. As such, a growing number of companies are competing to serve the communications needs of the same customer base. Such activities will continue to place downward pressure on the demand for our access lines and the pricing of our services.

In addition to facing direct competition from those providers described above, ILECs increasingly face competition from alternate communication systems constructed by long distance carriers, large customers or alternative access vendors. These systems are capable of originating or terminating calls without use of the ILECs’ networks or switching services. Other potential sources of competition include non-carrier systems that are capable of bypassing

ILECs' local networks, either partially or completely, through various means, including the provision of special access or independent switching services and the concentration of telecommunications traffic on a few of the ILECs' access lines. We anticipate that all these trends will continue and lead to decreased use of our networks.

Significant competitive factors in the local telephone industry include pricing, packaging of services and features, quality and convenience of service and meeting customer needs such as simplified billing and timely response to service calls.

As the telephone industry increasingly experiences competition, the size and resources of each respective competitor may increasingly influence its prospects. Some companies currently providing or planning to provide competitive communication services have substantially greater financial and marketing resources than we do or own larger or more diverse networks than ours. In addition, many of them are not subject to the same regulatory constraints we are. Consequently, some competitors may be able to charge lower prices for their products and services, develop and expand their communications and network infrastructure more quickly, adapt more swiftly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements and devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their products and services than we can.

Competition can harm us by causing us to lose customers, or by causing us to lower prices or increase our capital or operating expenses to retain customers. Competing communications services, such as wireless, VoIP, electronic mail, text messaging and optional calling services, can also reduce usage of our network and thereby decrease our network access revenues. Competition can also cause customers to reduce either usage of our services or switch to less profitable services, and could impede our ability to diversify into new lines of business dominated by incumbent providers.

21

We anticipate that the traditional operations of LECs will continue to be impacted by changes in regulation, technology, and consumer preferences affecting the ability of LECs to attract and retain customers and the capability of wireless companies, CLECs, cable television companies, VoIP providers, electric utilities and others to provide competitive LEC services. Competition relating to traditional LEC services has thus far affected large urban areas to a greater extent than less dense areas.

Exclusive of acquisitions, we expect our operating revenues in 2011 to decline as we continue to experience downward pressure primarily due to continued access line losses, reduced universal service funding and lower network access revenues. We expect such declines to be partially offset primarily due to increased demand for our high-speed Internet service offering and special access services.

Regulation and Competition Relating to Other Operations

Long Distance Operations. We offer intra-LATA, intrastate and interstate long distance voice services. State public service commissions generally regulate intra-LATA toll calls within the same LATA and inter-LATA toll calls between different LATAs located in the same state. Federal regulators have jurisdiction over interstate toll calls. Recent state regulatory changes have increased competition to provide intra-LATA toll services in our local exchange markets. Competition for intrastate and interstate long distance services has been intense for several years, and focuses primarily on price and pricing plans, and secondarily on customer service, reliability and communications quality. Our principal competitors for providing long distance services include wireless companies offering attractively-priced calling plans, as well as large national carriers, regional phone companies, cable companies and dial-around resellers. Technological substitutions, including VoIP, text messaging and electronic mail, have further reduced demand for traditional long distance voice services. To counter such competition, we now offer unlimited long distance calling plans.

Data Operations. In connection with our data business, we face competition from Internet service providers, satellite companies and cable companies which use wired or wireless technologies to offer high-speed broadband services. As of December 31, 2010, we believe approximately 85% of our local exchange markets were overlapped by cable systems offering data services competitive with ours. Many of these competitors offer content or other features that we cannot match. Moreover, many of these providers have traditionally been subject to less rigorous regulatory scrutiny than our subsidiaries, a trend that was recently reaffirmed when the FCC issued in December 2010 “network neutrality” rules that regulate mobile broadband operators less rigorously than our wireline providers. Additionally, the federal broadband stimulus programs discussed above could result in greater competition in some of our markets. During 2006, all of CenturyLink’s and Embarq’s operating companies elected to either deregulate or detariff their high-speed Internet services, which decreased regulatory oversight and increased our retail pricing flexibility.

22

Fiber Transport Operations. When our fiber transport networks are used to provide intrastate telecommunications services, we must comply with state requirements for telecommunications utilities, including state tariffing requirements. To the extent our facilities are used to provide interstate communications, we are subject to federal regulation as a non-dominant common carrier. Our primary competitors in the fiber transport industry are from other communications companies, some of whom operate networks and have resources much larger than ours. Over the last few years, several large communications companies have merged and have implemented strategies to transfer a significant portion of their voice and data traffic from our fiber network to their networks. We expect this trend to continue as companies seek opportunities to reduce their transport-related costs. In addition, new IP-based services may enable new entrants to transport data at prices lower than we currently offer.

CLEC Operations. Competitive local exchange carriers are subject to certain reporting and other regulatory requirements imposed by the FCC and state public service commissions, although the degree of regulation is much less substantial than that imposed on ILECs operating in the same markets. Local governments also frequently require competitive local exchange carriers to obtain licenses or franchises regulating the use of rights-of-way necessary to install and operate their networks. In each of our limited number of CLEC markets, we face competition from the ILEC, which traditionally has long-standing relationships with its customers. Over time, we may also face competition from one or more other CLECs, or from other communications providers who can provide comparable services.

Other Operations. Similar to our CLEC business, we may be required to obtain licenses or franchises to enter new markets for our switched digital television and wireless broadband services, which could delay our rollout of these offerings. Both the video and wireless broadband markets have been highly competitive in recent years. In particular, television service providers have recently had to compete against Internet video services, some of which are free. This development could constrain our ability to attract and retain paying customers for our switched digital television service offering. In reselling Verizon Wireless services, we compete with national and regional wireless carriers, as well as other sales agents and resellers.

Environmental Compliance

As discussed in greater detail in Item 3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, several decades ago one of our subsidiaries acquired entities that may have owned or operated seven former “manufactured gas” plant sites that may require environmental remediation. From time to time we may incur other environmental compliance and remediation expenses, mainly resulting from the ownership of other prior industrial sites or the operation of vehicle fleets or power supplies for our communications equipment. Although we cannot assess with certainty the impact of any future compliance and remediation obligations, we do not believe that future environmental compliance and remediation expenditures will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

23

Patents, Trademarks and Licenses

We own several patents, patent applications, trade names, service marks and trademarks in the U.S., including our “CenturyLink” and “PRISM” brand names. Our services often use the intellectual property of others, including licensed software. We occasionally license our intellectual property to others.

We have incurred claims in the past, and may in the future incur claims alleging that we infringe on the intellectual property of others. These claims can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management resources. If these claims are successful, we could be forced to pay significant damages or stop selling certain products or services.

Seasonality

Overall, our business is not significantly impacted by seasonality. However, in our Florida markets, we typically experience increased demand for new service orders in the late fall months and a decline in access lines in the early spring months due to seasonal population trends. In certain of our other markets servicing colleges or universities, we experience increased demand for our services while school is in session. Additionally, from time to time weather-related problems have resulted in increased costs to repair our network and respond to service calls in some of our markets. The amount and timing of the costs are subject to the weather patterns of any given year, but have generally been highest during the third quarter and have been related to damage from severe storms, including hurricanes, tropical storms and tornadoes in our markets along the lower Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coastlines.

OTHER DEVELOPMENTS OR MATTERS

In recent years, our board of directors has approved various stock repurchase programs under which we have repurchased approximately \$401.0 million, \$186.7 million, \$437.5 million, \$1.028 billion and \$503.9 million of our shares under separate repurchase programs approved in February 2004, February 2005, May 2005, February 2006 and August 2007, respectively. For additional information, see “Liquidity and Capital Resources” included in Item 7 of this annual report.

In June 2008, our board of directors increased our quarterly cash dividend rate from \$.0675 to \$.70 per share and in February 2010 our board further increased our quarterly dividend to \$.725 per share. See “Risk Factors” below for additional information regarding our current dividend practice.

24

For additional information concerning our business and properties, see Items 2 and 7 elsewhere herein, and the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes 2, 3, 5, 6 and 18 thereto set forth in Item 8 elsewhere herein.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

RISK FACTORS AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

Risk Factors

Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or prospects. The risks described below are not the only risks facing us. Please be aware that additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial could also materially and adversely affect our business operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

If we continue to experience access line losses similar to the past several years, our revenues, earnings and cash flows may be adversely impacted.

Our business generates a substantial portion of its revenues by delivering voice and data services over access lines. We have experienced substantial access line losses over the past several years due to a number of factors, including increased competition and wireless and broadband substitution. We expect to continue to experience access line losses in our markets for the foreseeable future. Our inability to retain access lines could adversely impact our revenues, earnings and cash flow from operations.

We face competition, which we expect to intensify and which may reduce market share and lower profits.

As a result of various technological, regulatory and other changes, the telecommunications industry has become increasingly competitive. We face competition from (i) wireless telephone services, which is expected to increase as wireless providers continue to expand and improve their network coverage and offer enhanced services, (ii) cable television operators, (iii) CLECs, (iv) VoIP and broadband service providers, (v) alternative networks or non-carrier systems designed to reduce demand for our switching or access services and (vi) resellers, sales agents and facilities-based providers that either use their own networks or lease parts of our networks. Over time, we expect to face additional local exchange competition from electric utilities, satellite communications providers and municipalities. The recent proliferation of companies offering integrated service offerings has intensified competition in Internet, long distance and data services markets, and we expect that competition will further intensify in these markets.

25

Our competitive position could be weakened in the future by strategic alliances or consolidation within the communications industry or the development of new technologies. Our ability to compete successfully will depend on how well we market our products and services and on our ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive and technological factors affecting the industry, including changes in regulation (which may affect us differently from our competitors), changes in consumer preferences or demographics, and changes in the product offerings or pricing strategies of our competitors.

Some of our current and potential competitors (i) offer a more comprehensive range of communications products and services, (ii) have market presence, engineering and technical capabilities, and financial and other resources substantially greater than ours, (iii) own larger and more diverse networks, (iv) conduct operations or raise capital at a lower cost than us, (v) are subject to less regulation, (vi) offer greater online content services or (vii) have substantially stronger brand names. Consequently, these competitors may be better equipped to charge lower prices for their products and services, to provide more attractive offerings, to develop and expand their communications and network infrastructures more quickly, to adapt more swiftly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, and to devote greater resources to the marketing and sale of their products and services.

Competition could adversely impact us in several ways, including (i) the loss of customers and market share, (ii) the possibility of customers reducing their usage of our services or shifting to less profitable services, (iii) reduced traffic on our networks, (iv) our need to expend substantial time or money on new capital improvement projects, (v) our need to lower prices or increase marketing expenses to remain competitive and (vi) our inability to diversify by successfully offering new products or services.

Changes in technology could harm us.

The communications industry is experiencing significant technological changes, particularly in the areas of VoIP, data and video transmission and electronic and wireless communications. The growing prevalence of electronic mail and

other non-voice communications continues to reduce demand for many of our products and services. Other changes in technology could result in the development of additional products or services that compete with or displace those offered by ILECs, or that enable current customers to reduce or bypass use of our networks. Some of our competitors may enjoy network advantages that will enable them to provide services that have a greater market acceptance than ours. Technological change could also require us to expend capital or other resources in excess of currently contemplated levels, or to forego the development or provision of products or services that others can provide more efficiently. We cannot predict with certainty which technological changes will provide the greatest threat to our competitive position. We may not be able to obtain timely access to new technology on satisfactory terms or incorporate new technology into our systems in a cost effective manner, or at all. If we cannot develop new products to keep pace with technological advances, or if such products are not widely embraced by our customers, we could be adversely impacted.

26

We cannot assure you that our diversification efforts will be successful.

The telephone industry has recently experienced a decline in access lines and intrastate minutes of use, which, coupled with the other changes resulting from competitive, technological and regulatory developments, could materially adversely affect our core business and future prospects. As explained in greater detail elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our access lines (excluding the effect of acquisitions) have decreased over the last several years, and we expect this trend to continue. We have also earned less network access revenues in recent years due to reductions in access rates and minutes of use (partially due to the displacement of minutes of use by wireless, electronic mail, text messaging, arbitrage and other optional calling services). We believe that our access rates and minutes of use will continue to decline, although the magnitude of such decrease is uncertain.

Recently, we broadened the scope of our service bundles by offering satellite television provided by DIRECTV and wireless voice services provided by Verizon Wireless. As noted in further detail below, our reliance on other companies and their networks to provide these services could constrain our flexibility and limit the profitability of these new offerings. We also provide facilities-based digital video services to select markets and may initiate other new service or product offerings in the future. We anticipate that these new offerings will generate lower profit margins than many of our traditional services. Moreover, our new product or service offerings could be constrained by intellectual property rights held by others, or could subject us to the risk of infringement claims brought against us by others. For these and other reasons, we cannot assure you that our recent or future diversification efforts will be successful.

Future deterioration in our financial performance could adversely impact our credit ratings, our cost of capital and our access to the capital markets.

Our ability to attract more customers or expand our services may be constrained.

To partially offset the loss of revenues from declining access lines, we have relied over the past several years upon growth in the number of customers subscribing to our long distance, broadband and enhanced telephone service offerings. As increasing numbers of our existing or potential customers have subscribed to these services over the past several years, the growth rate in our revenues derived therefrom has slowed. We expect this trend to continue as we increasingly saturate our markets with these services.

Weakness in the economy and capital markets may adversely affect our future results of operations.

To date, we have not been materially impacted by weaknesses in the credit markets over the past few years; however, these weaknesses may negatively impact our operations in the future if overall borrowing rates increase. In addition, if the economy and credit markets continue to remain weak, it may impact our ability to collect our receivables. This

weakness may also cause our customers to reduce or terminate their receipt of service offerings from us. Economic weakness could also negatively affect our vendors. We cannot predict with certainty the impact to us of any further weakness in the overall economy and credit markets.

27

We are also exposed to market risk from changes in the fair value of our pension plan assets. Should our actual return on plan assets be significantly lower than our anticipated return, our net periodic pension expense and our required cash contribution to our pension plan will increase in future periods. Such events would negatively impact our results of operations and cash flow.

We may not be able to continue to grow through acquisitions.

We have traditionally sought growth largely through acquisitions of properties similar to those currently operated by us, such as those that we acquired from Embarq in 2009 and those that we have agreed to acquire from Qwest. However, no assurance can be given that additional properties will in the future be available for purchase on terms attractive to us, particularly if they are burdened by regulations, pricing plans or competitive pressures that are new or different from those historically applicable to our incumbent properties. Moreover, no assurance can be given that we will be able to arrange additional financing on terms acceptable to us or to obtain timely federal and state governmental approvals on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Our future results will suffer if we do not effectively adjust to changes in our business, and will further suffer if we do not effectively manage our expanded operations.

The above-described changes in our industry have placed a higher premium on marketing, technological, engineering and provisioning skills. Our acquisition of Embarq also significantly changed the composition of our markets and product mix, and completion of the pending Qwest merger would result in similar changes. Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to retrain our staff to acquire or strengthen skills necessary to address these changes, and, where necessary, to attract and retain new personnel that possess these skills.

Following our pending acquisition of Qwest, we may continue to expand our operations through additional acquisitions, other strategic transactions, and new product and service offerings, some of which could involve complex technical, engineering, and operational challenges. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to manage our expansion opportunities, which pose substantial challenges for us to integrate new operations into our existing business in an efficient and timely manner, to successfully monitor our operations, costs, regulatory compliance and service quality, and to maintain other necessary internal controls. We cannot assure you that our expansion or acquisition opportunities will be successful, or that we will realize our expected operating efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancements, synergies or other benefits.

Our relationships with other communications companies are material to our operations and expose us to a number of risks.

We originate and terminate calls for long distance carriers and other interexchange carriers over our networks in exchange for access charges that represent a significant portion of our revenues. If these carriers go bankrupt or experience substantial financial difficulties, or are otherwise unable to or unwilling to pay our access charges, our inability to timely collect access charges from them could have a negative effect on our business and results of operations.

28

In addition, certain of our operations carry a significant amount of voice and data traffic for larger communications companies. As these larger communications companies consolidate or expand their networks, it is possible that they

could transfer a significant portion of this traffic from our fiber network to their networks, which could have a negative effect on our business and results of operations.

We rely on certain reseller and sales agency arrangements with other companies to provide some of the services that we sell to our customers. If we fail to extend or renegotiate these arrangements as they expire from time to time or if these other companies fail to fulfill their contractual obligations, we may have difficulty finding alternative arrangements. In addition, as a reseller or sales agent, we do not control the availability, retail price, design, function, quality, reliability, customer service or branding of these products and services, nor do we directly control all of the marketing and promotion of these products and services. To the extent that these other companies make decisions that negatively impact our ability to market and sell our products and services, our business plans and reputation could be negatively impacted.

Network disruptions or system failures could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

To be successful, we will need to continue providing our customers with a high capacity, reliable and secure network. Some of the risks to our network and infrastructure include:

- breaches of security, including sabotage, tampering, computer viruses and break-ins
- power losses or physical damage, whether caused by fire, adverse weather conditions (including those described immediately below), terrorism or otherwise
- capacity limitations
- software and hardware defects or malfunctions, and
- other disruptions that are beyond our control.

Disruptions or system failures may cause interruptions in service or reduced capacity for customers. If service is not restored in a timely manner, agreements with our customers or service standards set by state regulatory commissions could obligate us to provide credits or other remedies. If network security is breached, confidential information of our customers or others could be lost or misappropriated, and we may be required to expend additional resources modifying network security to remediate vulnerabilities. The occurrence of any disruption or system failure may result in a loss of business, increase expenses, damage our reputation, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny or expose us to litigation and possible financial losses, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

29

We face hurricane and other natural disaster risks, which can disrupt our operations and cause us to incur substantial additional capital costs.

A substantial number of our access lines are located in Florida, Alabama, Louisiana, Texas, North Carolina, and South Carolina, and our operations there are subject to the risks associated with severe tropical storms, hurricanes and tornadoes, including downed telephone lines, power-outages, damaged or destroyed property and equipment, and work interruptions.

Although we maintain property and casualty insurance on our plant (excluding our outside plant) and may under certain circumstances be able to seek recovery of some additional costs through increased rates, only a portion of our additional costs directly related to such hurricanes and natural disasters have historically been recoverable. We cannot predict whether we will continue to be able to obtain insurance for hazard-related damages or, if obtainable and

carried, whether this insurance will be adequate to cover our losses. In addition, we expect any insurance of this nature to be subject to substantial deductibles and to provide for premium adjustments based on claims. Any future hazard-related costs and work interruptions could adversely affect our operations and our financial condition.

Any failure or inadequacy of our information technology infrastructure could harm our business.

The capacity, reliability and security of our internal information technology hardware and software infrastructure (including our billing systems) are important to the operation of our current business, which would suffer in the event of system failures. Likewise, our ability to expand and update our information technology infrastructure in response to our growth and changing needs is important to the continued implementation of our new service offering initiatives. Our inability to expand or upgrade our technology infrastructure could have adverse consequences, which could include the delayed implementation of new service offerings, increased acquisition integration costs, service or billing interruptions, and the diversion of development resources.

We rely on a limited number of key suppliers and vendors to operate our business.

We depend on a limited number of suppliers and vendors for equipment and services relating to our network infrastructure. Our local exchange carrier networks consist of central office and remote sites, all with advanced digital switches. If any of these suppliers experience interruptions or other problems delivering or servicing these network components on a timely basis, our operations could suffer significantly. To the extent that proprietary technology of a supplier is an integral component of our network, we may have limited flexibility to purchase key network components from alternative suppliers. In addition, we rely on a limited number of software vendors to support our business management systems. In the event it becomes necessary to seek alternative suppliers and vendors, we may be unable to obtain satisfactory replacement supplies or services on economically attractive terms, on a timely basis, or at all, which could increase costs or cause disruptions in our services.

30

We may not own or have a license to use all technology that may be necessary to expand our product offerings, either of which could adversely affect our business and profitability.

From time to time, we may need to obtain the right to use certain patents or other intellectual property from third parties to be able to offer new products and services. If we cannot license or otherwise obtain rights to use any required technology from a third party on reasonable terms, our ability to offer new products and services, including IP-based offerings, may be restricted, made more costly or delayed. Our inability to implement IP-based or other new offerings on a cost-effective basis could impair our ability to successfully meet increasing competition from companies offering voice or integrated communications services. Our inability to deploy new technologies could also prevent us from successfully diversifying, modifying or bundling our service offerings and result in accelerated loss of access lines and revenues or otherwise adversely affect our business and profitability.

Portions of our property, plant and equipment are located on property owned by third parties.

Over the past few years, certain utilities, cooperatives and municipalities in certain of the states in which we operate have requested significant rate increases for attaching our plant to their facilities. To the extent that these entities are successful in increasing the amount we pay for these attachments, our future operating costs will increase.

In addition, we rely on rights-of-way, collocation agreements and other authorizations granted by governmental bodies and other third parties to locate our cable, conduit and other network equipment on their respective properties. If any of these authorizations terminate or lapse, our operations could be adversely affected.

We depend on key members of our senior management team.

Our success depends largely on the skills, experience and performance of a limited number of senior officers. Competition for senior management in our industry is intense and we may have difficulty retaining our current senior officers or attracting new ones in the event of terminations or resignations. For a discussion of similar retention concerns relating to the Embarq merger and the pending Qwest merger, please see the risks described below under the headings “– Risks Related to our Acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009” and “– Risks Relating to Our Pending Acquisition of Qwest.”

We could be affected by certain changes in labor matters.

A substantial number of our employees are members of various bargaining units represented by two different unions. From time to time, our labor agreements with these unions lapse, and we typically negotiate the terms of new agreements. We cannot predict the outcome of these negotiations. We may be unable to reach new agreements, and union employees may engage in strikes, work slowdowns or other labor actions, which could materially disrupt our ability to provide services. In addition, new labor agreements may impose significant new costs on us, which could impair our financial condition or results of operations in the future. To the extent they contain benefit provisions, these agreements also limit our flexibility to change benefits in response to industry or competitive changes. In particular, the post-employment benefits provided under these agreements cause us to incur costs not faced by many of our competitors, which could ultimately hinder our competitive position.

31

Risks Relating to Our Pending Acquisition of Qwest

Our ability to complete the Qwest merger is subject to the receipt of consents and approvals from government entities, which may impose conditions that could have an adverse effect on us or could cause us to abandon the merger.

We are unable to complete the merger until we receive approvals from the FCC and various state governmental entities. In deciding whether to grant some of these approvals, the relevant governmental entity will make a determination of whether, among other things, the merger is in the public interest. Regulatory entities may impose certain requirements or obligations as conditions for their approval or in connection with their review.

The Qwest merger agreement may require us to accept conditions from these regulators that could adversely impact the combined company without us having the right to refuse to close the merger on the basis of those regulatory conditions. We can provide no assurance that we will obtain the necessary approvals or that any required conditions will not materially adversely effect us following the merger. In addition, we can provide no assurance that these conditions will not result in the abandonment of the merger.

Failure to complete the Qwest merger could negatively impact us.

If the merger is not completed, our ongoing businesses may be adversely affected and we will be subject to several risks, including the following:

- breaches of security, including sabotage, tampering, computer viruses and break-ins

power losses or physical damage, whether caused by fire, adverse weather conditions (including those described immediately below), terrorism or otherwise

- capacity limitations
- software and hardware defects or malfunctions, and

- other disruptions that are beyond our control.

in each case, without realizing any of the benefits of having the merger completed.

The Qwest merger agreement contains provisions that could discourage a potential acquirer of CenturyLink or could result in any proposal being at a lower price than it might otherwise be.

The merger agreement contains “no shop” provisions that restrict our ability to solicit, encourage, facilitate or discuss third-party proposals to acquire all or a significant part of CenturyLink. This and other provisions in the Qwest merger agreement could discourage a potential acquirer that might have an interest in acquiring all or a significant part of CenturyLink from considering or proposing that acquisition, or might result in a potential acquirer proposing to pay a lower price than it might otherwise have proposed to pay.

32

The pendency of the Qwest merger could adversely affect our business and operations.

In connection with the pending Qwest merger, some of our customers or vendors may delay or defer decisions, which could negatively impact our revenues, earnings, cash flows and expenses, regardless of whether the merger is completed. Similarly, our current and prospective employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company following the merger, which may materially adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key personnel during the pendency of the merger. In addition, due to operating covenants in the merger agreement, we may be unable, during the pendency of the merger, to pursue strategic transactions, undertake significant capital projects, undertake certain significant financing transactions and pursue other actions that are not in the ordinary course of business, even if such actions would prove beneficial.

We expect to incur substantial expenses related to the Qwest merger.

We expect to incur substantial expenses in connection with completing the Qwest merger and integrating Qwest’s business, operations, networks, systems, technologies, policies and procedures of Qwest with ours. There are a large number of systems that must be integrated, including billing, management information, purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, payroll and benefits, fixed asset, lease administration and regulatory compliance. While we have assumed that a certain level of transaction and integration expenses will be incurred, there are a number of factors beyond our control that could affect the total amount or the timing of our integration expenses. Many of the expenses that will be incurred, by their nature, are difficult to estimate accurately at the present time. Moreover, we expect to commence these integration initiatives before we have completed a similar integration of our business with the business of Embarq, acquired in 2009, which could cause both of these integration initiatives to be delayed or rendered more costly or disruptive than would otherwise be the case. Due to these factors, we expect the transaction and integration expenses associated with the Qwest merger to exceed in the near term our anticipated post-merger integration savings resulting from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization of economies of scale, many of which cannot be attained until several months or years after the merger. As a result of these expenses, we expect to take charges against our earnings before and after the completion of the merger. The charges taken after the merger are expected to be significant, although the aggregate amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

Following the Qwest merger, the combined company may be unable to integrate successfully our business and Qwest’s business and realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The Qwest merger involves the combination of two companies which currently operate as independent public companies. The combined company will be required to devote significant management attention and resources to

integrating the business practices and operations of CenturyLink and Qwest. We may encounter difficulties in the integration process, including the following:

33

- the inability to successfully combine our business and Qwest's business in a manner that permits the combined company to achieve the cost savings and operating synergies anticipated to result from the merger, either due to technological challenges, personnel shortages, strikes or otherwise, any of which would result in the anticipated benefits of the merger not being realized partly or wholly in the time frame currently anticipated or at all;
- lost sales as a result of customers of either of the two companies deciding not to do business with the combined company;
- the complexities associated with managing the combined businesses out of several different locations and integrating personnel from the two companies, while at the same time attempting to provide consistent, high quality products and services under a unified culture;
- the additional complexities of combining two companies with different histories, regulatory restrictions, markets and customer bases, and initiating this process before we have fully completed the integration of our operations with those of Embarq;
- the failure to retain key employees of either of the two companies, some of whom could be critical to integrating the companies;
- potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses or regulatory conditions associated with the merger; and
- performance shortfalls at one or both of the two companies as a result of the diversion of management's attention caused by completing the merger and integrating the companies' operations.

For all these reasons, you should be aware that it is possible that the integration process could result in the distraction of the combined company's management, the disruption of the combined company's ongoing business or inconsistencies in the combined company's products, services, standards, controls, procedures and policies, any of which could adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, vendors and employees or to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger, or could otherwise adversely affect our business and financial results.

The Qwest merger will change the profile of our local exchange markets to include more large urban areas, with which we have limited operating experience.

Prior to the Embarq acquisition, we provided local exchange telephone services to predominantly rural areas and small to mid-size cities. Although Embarq's local exchange markets include Las Vegas, Nevada and suburbs of Orlando and several other large U.S. cities, we have operated these more dense markets only since mid-2009. Qwest's markets include Phoenix, Arizona, Denver, Colorado, Minneapolis — St. Paul, Minnesota, Seattle, Washington, Salt Lake City, Utah, and Portland, Oregon. Compared to our legacy markets, these urban markets, on average, are substantially denser and have experienced greater access line losses in recent years. While we believe our strategies and operating models developed serving rural and smaller markets can successfully be applied to larger markets, we can not assure you of this. Our business, financial performance and prospects could be harmed if our current strategies or operating models cannot be successfully applied to larger markets following the merger, or are required to be changed or abandoned to adjust to differences in these larger markets.

34

Following the Qwest merger, we may be unable to retain key employees.

Our success after the merger will depend in part upon our ability to retain key Qwest and CenturyLink employees. Key employees may depart either before or after the merger because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with us following the merger. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that we will be able to retain key employees to the same extent that we or Qwest have been able to in the past.

Following the Qwest merger, we will conduct branding or rebranding initiatives that are likely to involve substantial costs and may not be favorably received by customers.

Prior to the merger, each of us will each continue to market our respective products and services using the “CenturyLink” and “Qwest” brand names and logos. Following the merger, our Board of Directors has approved “CenturyLink” as the name and brand for the combined company. As a result, we expect to incur substantial capital and other costs in rebranding the CenturyLink name in those markets that previously used the Qwest name. The failure of any of these initiatives could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain customers after the merger, resulting in reduced revenues.

Any adverse outcome of the KPNQwest litigation or other material litigation of Qwest or CenturyLink could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and operating results following the Qwest merger.

As described in further detail in Qwest’s reports filed with the SEC, the pending KPNQwest litigation presents material and significant risks to Qwest, and, following the merger, to the combined company. In the aggregate, the plaintiffs in these matters have sought billions of dollars in damages.

There are other material proceedings pending against Qwest and CenturyLink, as described in their respective reports filed with the SEC. Depending on their outcome, any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on the financial position or operating results of Qwest, CenturyLink or, following the merger, the combined company. We can give you no assurances as to the impact of these matters on our operating results or financial condition.

35

Counterparties to certain significant agreements with Qwest may exercise contractual rights to terminate such agreements following the Qwest merger.

Qwest is a party to certain agreements that give the counterparty a right to terminate the agreement following a “change in control” of Qwest. Under most such agreements, the Qwest merger will constitute a change in control of Qwest and therefore the counterparty may terminate the agreement upon the closing of the merger. Qwest has agreements subject to such termination provisions with significant customers, major suppliers and service providers. In addition, certain Qwest customer contracts, including those with state or federal government agencies, allow the customer to terminate the contract at any time for convenience, which would allow the customer to terminate its contract before, at or after the closing of the merger. Any such counterparty may request modifications of their respective agreements as a condition to their agreement not to terminate. There is no assurance that such agreements will not be terminated, that any such terminations will not result in a material adverse effect, or that any modifications of such agreements to avoid termination will not result in a material adverse effect.

We may be unable to obtain security clearances necessary to perform certain Qwest government contracts.

Certain Qwest legal entities and officers have security clearances required for Qwest's performance of customer contracts with various government entities. Following the merger, it may be necessary for us to obtain comparable security clearances. If we or our officers are unable to qualify for such security clearances, we may not be able to continue to perform such contracts.

We cannot assure you whether, when or in what amounts we will be able to use Qwest's net operating losses following the Qwest merger.

As of December 31, 2010, Qwest had \$5.6 billion of net operating losses, or NOLs, which for federal income tax purposes can be used to offset future taxable income, subject to certain limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. Our ability to use these NOLs following the Qwest merger may be further limited by Section 382 if Qwest is deemed to undergo an ownership change as a result of the merger or we are deemed to undergo an ownership change following the merger, either of which could potentially restrict use of a material portion of the NOLs. Determining the limitations under Section 382 is technical and highly complex. As a result, we cannot assure you that we will be able to use the NOLs after the merger in the amounts we project.

The pending Qwest merger raises other risks.

For information on other risks raised by the pending Qwest merger, please see (i) the risks described below under the heading "– Other Risks" and (ii) the joint proxy statement – prospectus filed by us with the SEC on July 19, 2010.

36

Risks Related to our Acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009

We have not yet fully integrated Embarq's operations into our operations, which involves several risks.

We continue to incur substantial expenses in connection with integrating the business, operations, networks, systems, technologies, policies and procedures of Embarq with ours, which will likely result in us continuing to take significant charges against earnings in future quarters. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully integrate our legacy business with Embarq's business, or that we will be able to retain key employees affected by the Embarq merger. For more information on these risks, please see (i) the risk factors included in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and (ii) the risks described above under the heading "– Risks Relating to Our Pending Acquisition of Qwest" that discuss the costs and uncertainties associated with integrating Qwest's operations into ours.

In connection with completing the Embarq merger, we launched branding initiatives that may not be favorably received by customers.

Upon completion of the Embarq merger, we changed our brand name to CenturyLink. We have incurred substantial capital and operating costs in re-branding our products and services. There is no assurance that we will be able to achieve name recognition or status under our new brand that is comparable to the recognition and status previously enjoyed. The failure of these initiatives could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain customers after the merger, resulting in reduced revenues.

In connection with approving the Embarq merger, the Federal Communications Commission imposed conditions that could increase our future capital costs and limit our operating flexibility.

In connection with approving the Embarq merger, the FCC issued a publicly-available order that imposed a comprehensive set of conditions on our operations over periods ranging from one to three years following the closing

date. Among other things, these conditions commit us (i) to make broadband service available to all of our residential and single line business customers within three years of the closing, (ii) to meet various targets regarding the speed of our broadband services, and (iii) to enhance the wholesale service levels in our legacy markets to match the service levels in Embarq's markets. Although most of these commitments largely correspond to our business strategies, they could increase our overall future capital or operating costs or limit our flexibility to deploy capital in response to changing market conditions.

In connection with completing the Embarq merger, we assumed various contingent liabilities and a sizable underfunded pension plan of Embarq, which could negatively impact our future financial position or performance.

Upon consummating the merger, Embarq became our wholly-owned subsidiary and remains responsible for all of its pre-closing contingent liabilities, including Embarq's previously-disclosed tax sharing, retiree benefit litigation and environmental risks. Embarq also remains responsible for benefits under its existing qualified defined benefit pension plan, which as of December 31, 2010 was in an underfunded position. If any of these matters give rise to material liabilities, our consolidated operating results or financial position will be negatively affected. Additional information regarding these risks is available in (i) Items 3 and 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and (ii) the periodic reports filed by Embarq with the SEC through the date of the merger.

37

Risks Related to Our Regulatory Environment

Our revenues could be materially reduced or our expenses materially increased by changes in state or federal regulations.

The majority of our revenues are substantially dependent upon regulations which, if changed, could result in material revenue reductions. Laws and regulations applicable to us and our competitors have been and are likely to continue to be subject to ongoing changes and court challenges, which could also affect our financial performance.

Risk of loss or reduction of network access charge revenues or support fund payments. A significant portion of our revenues is derived from switched or special access charge revenues that are paid to us by other carriers based largely on rates set by federal and state regulatory bodies. Interexchange carriers have filed complaints in various forums requesting reductions in our access rates. In addition, several long distance providers have begun disputing amounts owed to us for carrying VoIP traffic, or refusing to pay such amounts. Several state public service commissions are investigating intrastate access rates and the ultimate outcome and impact of such investigations are uncertain.

The FCC regulates tariffs for interstate switched access, special access and subscriber line charges, all of which are components of our revenue. The FCC has been considering comprehensive reform of its intercarrier compensation rules for several years, including proposals included in its recently-released National Broadband Plan that, as proposed, are likely to reduce network access payments. Any reform eventually adopted by the FCC will likely involve significant changes in the current access charge system and could potentially result in a significant decrease or elimination of access charges altogether. In addition, we could be harmed if carriers that use our access services become financially distressed or bypass our networks, either due to changes in regulation or other factors. Action or inaction by the FCC on intercarrier compensation could lead to disputes with other carriers that delay or prevent us from collecting the full amount of our established access charges.

The FCC and Congress may take actions that would impact our video programming access and pricing, which could adversely affect our ability to continue to expand our video business and our competitive position in our existing video markets.

We receive revenues from the USF, and, to a lesser extent, intrastate support funds. These governmental programs are reviewed and amended from time to time, and we cannot provide assurance that they will not be changed or impacted in a manner adverse to us. Over the past few years, high cost support fund payments to our operating subsidiaries have decreased due to increases in the nationwide average cost per loop factor used to determine payments to program participants, as well as declines in the overall size of the high cost support fund. In addition, the number of eligible telecommunications carriers receiving support payments from this program has increased substantially in recent years, which, coupled with other factors, has placed additional financial pressure on the amount of money that is available to provide support payments to all eligible recipients, including us. For several years, the FCC and others have considered comprehensive reforms of the federal USF contribution and distribution rules. In April 2010, the FCC proposed to replace the USF with a broadband “Connect America” fund, the impact of which on us is currently unclear.

38

The FCC’s National Broadband Plan released on March 16, 2010 seeks comprehensive changes in federal communications regulations and programs that could, among other things, reduce or eliminate USF and access revenues for our local exchange companies. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this plan or provide any assurances that its implementation will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

Risks posed by state regulations. We are also subject to the authority of state regulatory commissions which have the power to regulate intrastate rates and services, including local, in-state long-distance and network access services. Under certain circumstances, our ILECs could be ordered to reduce rates or could experience rate reductions following the lapse of regulatory plans currently in effect. Our business could also be materially adversely affected by the adoption of new laws, policies and regulations or changes to existing state regulations. In particular, we cannot assure you that we will succeed in obtaining or maintaining all requisite state regulatory approvals for our operations without the imposition of adverse conditions on our business that impose additional costs or limit our revenues.

Risks posed by costs of regulatory compliance. Regulations continue to create significant compliance costs for us. Challenges to our tariffs by regulators or third parties or delays in obtaining certifications and regulatory approvals could cause us to incur substantial legal and administrative expenses, and, if successful, such challenges could adversely affect the rates that we are able to charge our customers. Our business also may be impacted by legislation and regulation imposing new or greater obligations related to regulations or laws related to bolstering homeland security, increasing disaster recovery requirements, minimizing environmental impacts, enhancing privacy, or addressing other issues that impact our business, including the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (which requires communications carriers to ensure that their equipment, facilities, and services are able to facilitate authorized electronic surveillance), and laws governing local number portability and customer proprietary network information requirements. We expect our compliance costs to increase if future laws or regulations continue to increase our obligations to assist other governmental agencies.

Regulatory changes in the communications industry could adversely affect our business by facilitating greater competition against us.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 fundamentally changed the communications industry, and resulted in increased competition among service providers. This Act and the FCC’s implementing regulations remain subject to judicial review and additional rulemakings, thus making it difficult to predict what effect the legislation will ultimately have on us and our competitors. Several regulatory and judicial proceedings addressing communications issues have recently concluded, are underway or may soon be commenced. Moreover, certain communities nationwide have expressed an interest in establishing municipal telephone utilities that would compete for customers. Finally, we could be adversely affected by programs or initiatives recently undertaken by Congress or the FCC, including (i) the federal broadband stimulus projects authorized by Congress in 2009; (ii) the FCC’s above-described National Broadband Plan; (iii) new “network neutrality” rules and; (iv) the proposed broadband “Connect America” replacement

support fund.

39

We are subject to significant regulations that limit our flexibility.

As a diversified full service ILEC, we have traditionally been subject to significant regulation that does not apply to many of our competitors. For instance, unlike many of our competitors, we are subject to federal mandates to share facilities, file and justify tariffs, maintain certain accounts and file reports, and state requirements that obligate us to offer service to all eligible customers in our service territories, require us to maintain service standards and limit our ability to change tariffs in a timely manner. This regulation imposes substantial compliance costs on us and restricts our ability to change rates, to compete and to respond rapidly to changing industry conditions. Although newer alternative forms of regulation permit us greater freedoms in several states in which we operate, they nonetheless typically impose caps on the rates that we can charge our customers. As our business becomes increasingly competitive, regulatory disparities between us and our competitors could impede our ability to compete. Litigation and different objectives among federal and state regulators could create uncertainty and impede our ability to respond to new regulations. Moreover, changes in tax laws, regulations or policies could increase our tax rates, particularly if state regulators continue to search for additional revenue sources to address budget shortfalls. We are unable to predict the future actions of the various regulatory bodies that govern us, but such actions could materially affect our business.

We are subject to franchising requirements that could impede our expansion opportunities.

We may be required to obtain from municipal authorities operating franchises to install or expand facilities. Some of these franchises may require us to pay franchise fees. These franchising requirements generally apply to our fiber transport and CLEC operations, and to our emerging switched digital television and wireless broadband businesses. These requirements could delay us in expanding our operations or increase the costs of providing these services.

We will be exposed to risks arising out of recent legislation affecting U.S. public companies, including risks relating to evaluations of controls required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and related regulations implemented by the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, are increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time consuming. Any future failure to successfully or timely complete annual assessments of our internal controls required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could subject us to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities. Any such action could adversely affect our financial results or investors' confidence in us, and could cause our stock price to fall. If we fail to maintain effective controls and procedures, we may be unable to provide financial information in a timely and reliable manner, which could in certain instances limit our ability to borrow or raise capital.

40

Our current or prior operations could subject us to liabilities under laws governing the environment, health and safety.

Our operations are subject to a variety of environmental, safety, health and other governmental regulations. We monitor our compliance with federal, state and local regulations governing the use, discharge and disposal of hazardous and environmentally sensitive materials. Although we believe that we are in compliance with these regulations, the current or prior use, discharge or disposal of these materials by us or companies we have acquired could expose us to claims or actions that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

For a more thorough discussion of the regulatory issues that may affect our business, see Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Other Risks

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness and may need to incur more in the future.

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness, which could have material adverse consequences for us, including (i) hindering our ability to adjust to changing market, industry or economic conditions, (ii) limiting our ability to access the capital markets to refinance maturing debt or to fund acquisitions or emerging businesses, (iii) limiting the amount of free cash flow available for future operations, acquisitions, dividends, stock repurchases or other uses, (iv) making us more vulnerable to economic or industry downturns, including interest rate increases, and (v) placing us at a competitive disadvantage to those of our competitors that have less indebtedness.

As a result of assuming Qwest's indebtedness in connection with the pending Qwest merger, we will become more leveraged. This could reduce our credit ratings and thereby raise our borrowing costs.

In connection with executing our business strategies following the Qwest merger, we expect to continue to evaluate the possibility of acquiring additional communications assets and making strategic investments, and we may elect to finance future acquisitions by incurring additional indebtedness. Moreover, to respond to competitive challenges, we may be required to raise substantial additional capital to finance new product or service offerings. Other events that are currently unforeseen, such as higher than expected cash contributions to our benefit plans or significant payments arising out of the matters discussed in Items 1A or 3 of this annual report, could also require us to obtain additional financing or investigate other methods to generate cash. Our ability to arrange additional financing will depend on, among other factors, our financial position and performance, as well as prevailing market conditions and other factors beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all. If we are able to obtain additional financing, our credit ratings could be adversely affected, which could further raise our borrowing costs and further limit our future access to capital and our ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

41

Adverse changes in the value of assets or obligations associated with our employee benefit plans could negatively impact our financial results or financial position.

We maintain one or more qualified pension plans, non-qualified pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans, several of which are currently underfunded. Adverse changes in interest rates or market conditions, among other assumptions and factors, could cause a significant increase in the benefit obligations under these plans or a significant decrease in the value of plan assets. With respect to our qualified pension plans, adverse changes could require us to contribute a material amount of cash to the plans or could accelerate the timing of any required cash payments. The process of calculating benefit obligations is complex. The amount of required contributions to these plans in future years will depend on earnings on investments, prevailing discount rates, changes in the plans and funding laws and regulations. Any future material cash contributions could have a negative impact on our financial results or financial position.

We have a significant amount of goodwill and other intangible assets on our balance sheet. If our goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings and reduce our stockholders' equity.

Under generally accepted accounting principles, intangible assets are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently whenever events or circumstances indicate that its carrying value may not be recoverable. If our intangible assets are determined to be impaired in the future, we may be required to record a significant, non-cash charge to earnings during the period in which the impairment is determined.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue paying dividends at the current rate.

Based on current circumstances, we plan to continue our current dividend practices. However, you should be aware that these practices are subject to change for reasons that may include any of the following factors:

- we may not have enough cash to pay such dividends due to changes in our cash requirements, capital spending plans, cash flows or financial position;

- decisions on whether, when and in which amounts to make any future distributions will remain at all times entirely at the discretion of our board of directors, which reserves the right to change our dividend practices at any time and for any reason;

- the effects of regulatory reform, including any changes to intercarrier compensation, Universal Service Fund or special access rules;

42

- our desire to maintain or improve the credit ratings on our senior debt;

- the amount of dividends that we may distribute to our shareholders is subject to restrictions under Louisiana law and is limited by restricted payment and leverage covenants in our credit facilities and, potentially, the terms of any future indebtedness that we may incur; and

- the amount of dividends that our subsidiaries may distribute to CenturyLink is subject to restrictions imposed by state law, restrictions that have been or may be imposed by state regulators in connection with obtaining necessary approvals for the Embarq merger and pending Qwest merger, and restrictions imposed by the terms of credit facilities applicable to certain subsidiaries and, potentially, the terms of any future indebtedness that these subsidiaries may incur.

Our Board of Directors is free to change or suspend our dividend practices at any time. Our common shareholders should be aware that they have no contractual or other legal right to dividends.

Our current dividend practices could limit our ability to pursue growth opportunities.

The current practice of our Board of Directors to pay an annual \$2.90 per common share dividend reflects an intention to distribute to our shareholders a substantial portion of our free cash flow. As a result, we may not retain a sufficient amount of cash to finance a material expansion of our business in the future. In addition, our ability to pursue any material expansion of our business, through acquisitions or increased capital spending, will depend more than it otherwise would on our ability to obtain third party financing. We cannot assure you that such financing will be available to us at all, or at an acceptable cost.

As a holding company, we rely on payments from our operating companies to meet our obligations.

As a holding company, substantially all of our income and operating cash flow is dependent upon the earnings of our subsidiaries and their distribution of those earnings to us in the form of dividends, loans or other payments. As a result, we rely upon our subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet our obligations, including the payment of

amounts owed under our long-term debt. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to pay any amounts owed by us or, subject to limited exceptions for tax-sharing purposes, to make any funds available to us to repay our obligations, whether by dividends, loans or other payments. Certain of our subsidiaries may be restricted under loan agreements or regulatory orders from transferring funds to us, including certain restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be paid to us. Moreover, our rights to receive assets of any subsidiary upon its liquidation or reorganization will be effectively subordinated to the claims of creditors of that subsidiary, including trade creditors. The notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K describe these matters in additional detail.

43

If conditions or assumptions differ from the judgments, assumptions or estimates used in our critical accounting policies, the accuracy of our financial statements and related disclosures could be affected.

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” in Item 7 of this annual report. If future events or assumptions differ significantly from the judgments, assumptions and estimates in our critical accounting policies, these events or assumptions could have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Taxing authorities may determine we owe additional taxes relating to various matters, which could adversely affect our financial results.

As a significant taxpayer, we are subject to frequent and regular audits and examinations by the Internal Revenue Service, as well as state and local tax authorities. These tax audits and examinations may result in tax liabilities that differ materially from those that we have recorded in our consolidated financial statements. Because the ultimate outcomes of these matters are uncertain, we can give no assurance as to whether an adverse result from one or more of them will have a material effect on our financial results.

Our agreements and organizational documents and applicable law could limit another party’s ability to acquire us.

Our articles of incorporation provide for a classified board of directors, which limits the ability of an insurgent to rapidly replace the board. In addition, a number of other provisions in our agreements and organizational documents and various provisions of applicable law may delay, defer or prevent a future takeover of CenturyLink unless the takeover is approved by our Board of Directors. This could deprive our shareholders of any related takeover premium.

We face other risks.

The list of risks above is not exhaustive, and you should be aware that we face various other risks discussed in this or other reports, proxy statements or documents filed by us with the SEC.

Cautionary Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report and other documents filed by us under the federal securities laws include, and future oral or written statements or press releases by us and our management may include, certain forward-looking statements relating to CenturyLink or Qwest, the operations of either such company or our pending acquisition of Qwest, including without limitation statements with respect to CenturyLink’s or Qwest’s anticipated future operating and financial performance, financial position and liquidity, tax position, contingent liabilities, growth opportunities and growth rates, acquisition

and divestiture opportunities, merger synergies, business prospects, regulatory and competitive outlook, investment and expenditure plans, investment results, financing opportunities and sources (including the impact of financings on our financial position, financial performance or credit ratings), pricing plans, strategic alternatives, business strategies, and other similar statements of expectations or objectives or accompanying statements of assumptions that are highlighted by words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “projects,” “seeks,” “estimates,” “hopes,” “should,” “could,” and “may,” and variations thereof and similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are based upon our judgment and assumptions as of the date such statements are made concerning future developments and events, many of which are outside of our control. These forward-looking statements, and the assumptions upon which such statements are based, are inherently speculative and are subject to uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from such statements. Anticipated events may not occur and the actual results or performance of CenturyLink or Qwest may differ materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected if one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect. Factors that could impact the actual results of CenturyLink or Qwest include but are not limited to:

44

- the extent, timing, success and overall effects of competition from wireless carriers, VoIP and broadband providers, CLECs, cable television companies and others, including without limitation the risks that these competitors may offer less expensive or more innovative products and services;

- the risks inherent in rapid technological change, including without limitation the risk that new technologies will displace our products and services;

- the effects of ongoing changes in the regulation of the communications industry, including without limitation (i) increased competition resulting from regulatory changes, (ii) the final outcome of various federal, state and local regulatory initiatives, disputes and proceedings that could impact our competitive position, revenues, compliance costs, capital expenditures or prospects, (iii) the effect of the National Broadband Plan, (iv) the reduction or elimination of revenues received from the federal Universal Service Fund or other current or future federal and state support programs designed to compensate communications companies operating in high-cost markets, (v) changes in the regulatory treatment of VoIP traffic, and (vi) changes in the regulation of special access;

- our ability to effectively adjust to changes in the communications industry and changes in the composition of our markets and product mix caused by the Embarq merger and the pending Qwest merger;

- the possibility that the anticipated benefits from the Embarq merger cannot be fully realized in a timely manner or at all, or that integrating Embarq’s operations into ours will be more difficult, disruptive or costly than anticipated;

45

- our ability to (i) successfully complete our pending acquisition of Qwest, including timely receipt of the required regulatory approvals for the merger free of detrimental conditions, and (ii) timely realize the anticipated benefits of the transaction, including our ability after the closing to use the net operating losses of Qwest in the amounts projected;

- our ability to effectively manage our expansion opportunities, including without limitation our ability to (i) effectively integrate newly-acquired or newly-developed businesses into our operations, (ii) attract and retain technological, managerial and other key personnel, (iii) achieve projected growth, revenue and cost savings targets from the Embarq acquisition and the pending Qwest acquisition within the timeframes anticipated, and (iv) otherwise monitor our operations, costs, regulatory compliance, and service quality and maintain other necessary internal controls;

possible changes in the demand for, or pricing of, our products and services, including without limitation reduced demand for our traditional telephone or access services caused by greater use of wireless, electronic mail or Internet communications, or other factors;

our ability to successfully introduce new product or service offerings on a timely and cost-effective basis, including without limitation our ability to (i) successfully roll out our new video and broadband services, (ii) expand successfully our full array of service offerings to new or acquired markets and (iii) offer bundled service packages on terms attractive to our customers;

our continued access to credit markets on favorable terms, including our continued access to financing in amounts, and on terms and conditions, necessary to support our operations and refinance existing indebtedness when it becomes due;

- our ability to collect receivables from financially troubled communications companies;
- the inability of third parties to discharge their commitments to us;

the outcome of pending litigation in which CenturyLink, Embarq or Qwest is involved, including the KPNQwest litigation matters in which plaintiffs have sought, in the aggregate, billions of dollars in damages from Qwest;

our ability to pay a \$2.90 per common share dividend annually, which may be affected by changes in our cash requirements, capital spending plans, cash flows or financial position;

- unanticipated increases in our capital expenditures;

our ability to successfully negotiate collective bargaining agreements on reasonable terms without work stoppages;

- our ownership of or access to technology that may be necessary for us to operate or expand our business;

46

- regulatory limits on our ability to change the prices for telephone services in response to industry changes;

impediments to our ability to expand through attractively priced acquisitions, whether caused by regulatory limits, financing constraints, a decrease in the pool of attractive target companies, or competition for acquisitions from other interested buyers;

uncertainties relating to the implementation of our business strategies, including the possible need to make abrupt and potentially disruptive changes in our business strategies due to changes in competition, regulation, technology, product acceptance or other factors;

- the lack of assurance that we can compete effectively against better-capitalized competitors;
- the impact of equipment failure, including potential network disruptions;
- declines in the value of our business that result in non-cash earnings charges for goodwill impairment;
 - general worldwide economic conditions and related uncertainties;
 - the effects of adverse weather on our customers or properties;

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

- other risks referenced in this report and from time to time in our other filings with the SEC;
 - the effects of more general factors, including without limitation:
 - changes in general industry and market conditions and growth rates
 - changes in labor conditions, including workforce levels and labor costs
 - changes in interest rates or other general national, regional or local economic conditions
- changes in legislation, regulation or public policy, including changes that increase our tax rate

• increases in capital, operating, medical, pension or administrative costs, or the impact of new business opportunities requiring significant up-front investments

• changes in our relationships with vendors, or the failure of these vendors to provide competitive products on a timely basis

- failures in our internal controls that could result in inaccurate public disclosures or fraud
 - changes in our debt ratings

47

- unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings and investigations, including rate proceedings and tax audits
 - losses or unfavorable returns on our investments in other communications companies
 - delays in the construction of our networks

• changes in accounting policies, assumptions, estimates or practices adopted voluntarily or as required by generally accepted accounting principles.

For additional information, see the risk factors listed above in this report and the description of our business included as Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Due to these uncertainties, we cannot assure you that our anticipated results will occur, that our judgments or assumptions will prove correct, or that unforeseen developments will not occur. Accordingly, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance upon any of our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Additional risks that we currently deem immaterial or that are not presently known to us could also cause our actual results to differ materially from our expected results. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any of our forward-looking statements for any reason, whether as a result of new information, future events or developments, changed circumstances, or otherwise.

Any information about our intentions contained in this annual report is a statement of our intentions as of the date of this document and is based upon, among other things, the regulatory, industry, competitive, economic and market conditions as of such date, as well as various of our assumptions at such time. We may change our intentions, at any time and without notice, based upon any changes in such factors, in our assumptions or otherwise.

Investors should also be aware that while we do, at various times, communicate with securities analysts, it is against our policy to disclose to them selectively any material non-public information or other confidential information. Accordingly, investors should not assume that we agree with any statement or report issued by an analyst irrespective of the content of the statement or report. To the extent that reports issued by securities analysts

contain any projections, forecasts or opinions, such reports are not our responsibility.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

48

Item 2. Properties.

Our properties consist principally of telephone lines, central office equipment, and land and buildings related to telephone operations. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, our gross property, plant and equipment of approximately \$16.3 billion and \$15.6 billion, respectively, consisted of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cable and wire | 50.2 | % 52.3 |
| Central office | 31.1 | 29.6 |
| General support | 13.5 | 12.0 |
| Fiber transport | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Construction in progress | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Other | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| | 100.0 | % 100.0 |

“Cable and wire” facilities consist primarily of buried cable and aerial cable, poles, wire, conduit and drops used in providing local and long distance services. “Central office” consists primarily of switching equipment, circuit equipment and related facilities. “General support” consists primarily of land, buildings, tools, furnishings, fixtures, motor vehicles and work equipment. “Fiber transport” consists of network assets and equipment to provide fiber transport services. “Construction in progress” includes property of the foregoing categories that has not been placed in service because it is still under construction.

The properties of certain of our telephone subsidiaries are subject to mortgages securing the debt of such companies. We own substantially all of the central office buildings, local administrative buildings, warehouses, and storage facilities used in our telephone operations.

For further information on the location and type of our properties, see the descriptions of our operations in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

49

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Over 60 years ago, one of our indirect subsidiaries, Centel Corporation, acquired entities that may have owned or operated seven former plant sites that produced “manufactured gas” under a process widely used through the mid-1900s. Centel has been a subsidiary of Embarq since being spun-off in 2006 from Sprint Nextel, which acquired Centel in 1993. None of these plant sites are currently owned or operated by either Sprint Nextel, Embarq or their subsidiaries. On three sites, Embarq and the current landowners are working with the Environmental Protection

Agency (“EPA”) pursuant to administrative consent orders. Remediation expenditures pursuant to the orders are not expected to be material. On five sites, including the three sites where the EPA is involved, Centel has entered into agreements with other potentially responsible parties to share remediation costs. Further, Sprint Nextel has agreed to indemnify Embarq for most of any eventual liability arising from all seven of these sites. Based upon current circumstances, we do not expect this issue to have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In *William Douglas Fulghum, et al. v. Embarq Corporation, et al.*, filed on December 28, 2007 in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas (Civil Action No. 07-CV-2602), a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit challenging the decision to make certain modifications to Embarq’s retiree benefits programs generally effective January 1, 2008 (which resulted in a \$300 million reduction to the liability for retiree benefits at the time of the modifications). Defendants include Embarq, certain of its benefit plans, its Employee Benefits Committee and the individual plan administrator of certain of its benefits plans. Additional defendants include Sprint Nextel and certain of its benefit plans. Recently, the Court certified a class on certain of plaintiffs’ claims, but rejected class certification as to other claims. Embarq and other defendants continue to vigorously contest these claims and charges. Given that this litigation is still in discovery, it is premature to estimate the impact this lawsuit could have to our results of operation or financial condition. In 2009, a ruling in Embarq’s favor was entered in an arbitration proceeding filed by 15 former Centel executives, similarly challenging the benefits changes.

In April 2010, a series of lawsuits were filed by shareholders of Qwest Communications International Inc. in Colorado state and federal courts and in Delaware federal court, alleging that Qwest’s officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to maximize the value to be received by Qwest’s stockholders in connection with CenturyLink’s recently announced acquisition of Qwest. CenturyLink was also named as a defendant in most of the lawsuits. On July 16, 2010, the parties entered into a memorandum of understanding reflecting the terms of their agreement-in-principle for a settlement of all of the claims asserted in these actions. Pursuant to this agreement, defendants included additional disclosures in the final joint proxy statement-prospectus dated July 19, 2010, in response to allegations and claims asserted in certain of the complaints. At a hearing in late February 2011, the Court gave final approval to this settlement, and all lawsuits challenging the transaction will be dismissed with prejudice effective March 17, 2011. We do not expect the settlement to have a material adverse impact to our results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2009, subsidiaries of CenturyLink filed two lawsuits against subsidiaries of Sprint Nextel to recover terminating access charges for VoIP traffic owed under various interconnection agreements and tariffs which presently approximate \$33 million. The lawsuits allege that Sprint Nextel has breached contracts, violated tariffs, and violated the Federal Communications Act by failing to pay these charges. One lawsuit, filed on behalf of all legacy Embarq operating entities, was tried in federal court in Virginia in August 2010 and a ruling is expected in the first quarter of 2011. The other lawsuit, filed on behalf of all legacy CenturyLink operating entities, is pending in federal court in Louisiana. In that case, the Court recently dismissed certain of CenturyLink’s claims, referred other claims to the FCC, and stayed the litigation for 12 months. We have not recorded a reserve related to these lawsuits.

50

From time to time, we are involved in other proceedings incidental to our business, including administrative hearings of state public utility commissions relating primarily to rate making, actions relating to employee claims, various tax issues, occasional grievance hearings before labor regulatory agencies and miscellaneous third party tort actions. The outcome of these other proceedings is not predictable. However, based on current circumstances, we do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these other proceedings, after considering available insurance coverage and current levels of reserves, will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4.

[Reserved]

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and is traded under the symbol CTL. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices, along with the quarterly dividends, for each of the quarters indicated.

| | Sales prices | | Dividend per common share |
|----------------|--------------|-------|------------------------------------|
| | High | Low | |
| 2010: | | | |
| First quarter | \$ 37.00 | 32.98 | .725 |
| Second quarter | \$ 36.73 | 14.16 | (1) .725 |
| Third quarter | \$ 40.00 | 32.92 | .725 |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 46.87 | 39.18 | .725 |
| 2009: | | | |
| First quarter | \$ 29.22 | 23.41 | .70 |
| Second quarter | \$ 33.62 | 25.26 | .70 |
| Third quarter | \$ 34.00 | 28.90 | .70 |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 37.15 | 32.25 | .70 |

(1) During the widely-publicized temporary market disruption that occurred on the afternoon of May 6, 2010, our common stock momentarily traded as low as \$14.16 in markets other than the NYSE. The opening and closing prices of our common stock on May 6, 2010, were \$34.48 and \$33.52, respectively.

Common stock dividends during 2010 and 2009 were paid each quarter.

In June 2008, our board of directors increased our quarterly cash dividend rate from \$.0675 to \$.70 per share. In February 2010, our board of directors further increased our quarterly cash dividend rate to \$.725 per share.

As described in greater detail in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the declaration and payment of dividends is at the discretion of our Board of Directors, and will depend upon our financial results, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors.

As of February 28, 2011, there were approximately 35,000 stockholders of record of our common stock. As of February 28, 2011, the closing stock price of our common stock was \$41.18.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we withheld 50,823 shares of stock at an average price of \$43.07 per share to pay taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock for certain of our employees in October, November and December 2010.

52

For information regarding shares of our common stock authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans, see Item 12.

Item 6.

Selected Financial Data.

The following table presents certain selected consolidated financial data as of and for each of the years ended in the five-year period ended December 31, 2010. The results of operations of the Embarq properties are included herein subsequent to its July 1, 2009 acquisition date.

The selected consolidated financial data shown below is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results that you can expect for any future period. You should read this data in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our full consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

53

Selected Income Statement Data

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars, except per share amounts, and shares expressed in thousands) | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$7,041,534 | 4,974,239 | 2,599,747 | 2,656,241 | 2,447,730 |
| Operating income | \$2,059,944 | 1,233,101 | 721,352 | 793,078 | 665,538 |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. | \$947,705 | 647,211 | 365,732 | 418,370 | 370,027 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.53 | 3.79 | 3.15 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.52 | 3.71 | 3.07 |
| Dividends per common share | \$2.90 | 2.80 | 2.1675 | .26 | .25 |
| Average basic shares outstanding | 300,619 | 198,813 | 102,268 | 109,360 | 116,671 |
| Average diluted shares outstanding | 301,297 | 199,057 | 102,560 | 112,787 | 121,990 |

Selected Balance Sheet Data

| | December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Net property, plant and equipment | \$8,754,476 | 9,097,139 | 2,895,892 | 3,108,376 | 3,109,277 |
| Goodwill | \$10,260,640 | 10,251,758 | 4,015,674 | 4,010,916 | 3,431,136 |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total assets | \$22,038,098 | 22,562,729 | 8,254,195 | 8,184,553 | 7,441,007 |
| Long-term debt, including current portion and short-term debt | \$7,327,587 | 7,753,718 | 3,314,526 | 3,014,255 | 2,590,864 |
| Stockholders' equity | \$9,647,159 | 9,466,799 | 3,167,808 | 3,415,810 | 3,198,964 |

The following table presents certain selected consolidated operating data as of the following dates:

| | December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Telephone access lines (1) | 6,504,000 | 7,039,000 | 2,025,000 | 2,135,000 | 2,094,000 |
| High-speed Internet customers (1) | 2,394,000 | 2,236,000 | 641,000 | 555,000 | 369,000 |

(1) In connection with our Embarq acquisition in July 2009, we acquired approximately 5.4 million telephone access lines and 1.5 million high-speed Internet customers. In connection with our Madison River acquisition in April 2007, we acquired approximately 164,000 telephone access lines and 57,000 high-speed Internet customers.

See Items 1 and 2 in Part I and Items 7 and 8 elsewhere herein for additional information.

54

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All references to "Notes" in this Item 7 refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this annual report.

OVERVIEW

On July 1, 2009, we acquired Embarq Corporation ("Embarq") in a transaction that substantially expanded the size and scope of our business. The results of operations of Embarq are included in our consolidated results of operations beginning July 1, 2009. Due to the significant size of Embarq, direct comparisons of our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 (which includes a full year of results of operations from our Embarq properties) and December 31, 2009 (which only includes a half year of results of operations from our Embarq properties) with prior periods are less meaningful than usual since most of the significant period over period variances are caused by the Embarq acquisition. We discuss below certain trends that we believe are significant, even if they are not necessarily material to the combined company.

We are an integrated communications company primarily engaged in providing a broad array of communications services to customers in 33 states, including local and long distance voice, wholesale network access, special access, high-speed Internet access, other data services, and video services. In certain local and regional markets, we also provide fiber transport, competitive local exchange carrier, security monitoring, and other communications, professional and business information services. We operate approximately 6.5 million access lines and serve approximately 2.4 million broadband customers, based on operating data as of December 31, 2010. For additional information on our revenue sources, see Note 20. For additional information on our acquisition of Embarq, see Note 2.

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we incurred a significant amount of one-time expenses, the vast majority of which are directly attributable to our acquisition of Embarq and our pending acquisition of Qwest Communications International Inc. (“Qwest”). In 2010, we also recognized a \$20.9 million reduction to operating expenses related to a curtailment gain upon the freezing of benefit accruals for non-represented employees under our defined benefit pension plans. See Note 12 for additional information. Such one-time expenses are summarized in the table below.

55

| Description | Year ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cost of services and products | | |
| Integration related costs associated with our acquisition of Embarq | \$36,573 | - |
| Severance costs and accelerated recognition of share-based compensation and pension costs due to workforce reductions | 13,702 | 5,704 |
| Curtailment gain related to changes in our defined benefit pension plan | (5,895) | - |
| Selling, general and administrative | | |
| Integration related costs associated with our acquisition of Embarq | 54,941 | 86,371 |
| Severance costs and accelerated recognition of share-based compensation and pension costs due to workforce reductions | 16,490 | 114,462 |
| Transaction and other costs associated with our pending acquisition of Qwest | 22,265 | - |
| Curtailment gain related to changes in our defined benefit pension plan | (15,013) | - |
| Transaction related costs associated with our acquisition of Embarq, including investment banker and legal fees | - | 47,154 |
| Settlement and curtailment loss related to certain executive retirement plans | - | 17,834 |
| Interest expense | | |
| Credit associated with certain debt extinguishments | - | (11,119) |
| Other income (expense) | | |
| Net charge associated with certain debt extinguishments | - | 71,968 |
| Charge incurred in connection with terminating our \$800 million bridge facility | - | 8,000 |
| | \$123,063 | 340,374 |

Based on current plans and information, we expect to incur approximately \$80-90 million of additional non-recurring integration related operating expenses associated with our Embarq acquisition in 2011 and \$400-500 million of non-recurring transaction and integration related operating expenses associated with our Qwest acquisition in 2011, although actual amounts could vary significantly.

In addition, due to executive compensation limitations pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, a portion of the lump sum distributions related to the termination of an executive retirement plan made in the first quarter of 2009 is reflected as non-deductible for income tax purposes and thus increased our effective income tax rate. Certain merger-related costs incurred during 2010 and 2009 are also non-deductible for income tax purposes and similarly increased our effective income tax rate. In 2009, such increase in our effective tax rate was partially offset by a \$7.0 million reduction to our deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with state net operating loss carryforwards. In addition, in 2009 and 2008, we recognized net after-tax benefits of approximately \$15.7 million and \$12.8 million, respectively, primarily related to the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits. See Note 13

and “Income Tax Expense” below for additional information.

56

Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting effective July 1, 2009, we recorded a one-time, non-cash extraordinary gain that aggregated approximately \$218.6 million before income tax expense and noncontrolling interests (\$136.0 million after-tax and noncontrolling interests). See Note 16 for additional information.

During the last several years (exclusive of acquisitions and certain non-recurring favorable adjustments), we have experienced revenue declines in our voice and network access revenues primarily due to declines in access lines, intrastate access rates, minutes of use, and federal support fund payments. In an attempt to mitigate these declines, we plan to, among other things, (i) promote long-term relationships with our customers through bundling of integrated services, (ii) provide new services, such as video and other additional services that may become available in the future due to advances in technology, wireless spectrum sales by the FCC or improvements in our infrastructure, or agency or reselling arrangements with other carriers, (iii) provide our special access, broadband and premium services to a higher percentage of our customers, (iv) pursue acquisitions of additional communications properties if available at attractive prices, (v) increase usage of our networks and (vi) market our products and services to new customers.

In addition to historical information, this management’s discussion and analysis includes certain forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations only, and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, many of which are beyond our control. Actual events and results may differ materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected if one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect. Factors that could affect actual results include but are not limited to: the timing, success and overall effects of competition from a wide variety of competitive providers; the risks inherent in rapid technological change; the effects of ongoing changes in the regulation of the communications industry (including those arising out of the FCC’s proposed rules regarding intercarrier compensation and the Universal Service Fund and the FCC’s related Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released on February 8, 2011); our ability to effectively adjust to changes in the communications industry and changes in the composition of our markets and product mix caused by our recent acquisitions; our ability to successfully integrate Embarq into our operations, including the possibility that the anticipated benefits from the Embarq merger cannot be fully realized in a timely manner or at all, or that integrating Embarq’s operations into ours will be more difficult, disruptive or costly than anticipated; our ability to successfully complete our pending acquisition of Qwest, including timely receiving all regulatory approvals and realizing the anticipated benefits of the transaction; our ability to effectively manage our expansion opportunities, including retaining and hiring key personnel; possible changes in the demand for, or pricing of, our products and services; our ability to successfully introduce new product or service offerings on a timely and cost-effective basis; our continued access to credit markets on favorable terms; our ability to collect our receivables from financially troubled communications companies; our ability to pay a \$2.90 per common share dividend annually, which may be affected by changes in our cash requirements, capital spending plans, cash flows or financial position; unanticipated increases in our capital expenditures; our ability to successfully negotiate collective bargaining agreements on reasonable terms without work stoppages; the effects of adverse weather; other risks referenced from time to time in this report or other of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC; and the effects of more general factors such as changes in interest rates, in tax rates, in accounting policies or practices, in operating, medical, pension or administrative costs, in general market, labor or economic conditions, or in legislation, regulation or public policy. These and other uncertainties related to our business, our pending acquisition of Qwest and our July 2009 acquisition of Embarq are described in greater detail in Item 1A of this report, as updated and supplemented by our subsequent SEC reports. You should be aware that new factors may emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to identify all such factors nor can we predict the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any one or more factors may cause actual results to differ from those reflected in any forward-looking statements. You are further cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update any of our forward-looking statements for any reason.

57-58

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net income attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. for 2010 was \$947.7 million, compared to \$647.2 million during 2009 and \$365.7 million during 2008. Net income before extraordinary item was \$947.7 million, \$511.3 million and \$365.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Diluted earnings per share for 2010 was \$3.13 compared to \$3.23 in 2009 and \$3.52 in 2008. Diluted earnings per share before extraordinary item for 2009 was \$2.55. As mentioned in the "Overview" section above, we incurred a significant amount of one-time expenses in 2010 and 2009 related principally to our acquisition of Embarq and our pending acquisition of Qwest. The increase in the number of average shares outstanding in 2010 and 2009 is primarily attributable to the common stock issued in connection with our acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009 (such shares are included for a full year in 2010 and a half year in 2009).

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--|------------|------------|
| | (Dollars, except per share amounts, and shares in thousands) | | |
| Operating income | \$ 2,059,944 | 1,233,101 | 721,352 |
| Interest expense | (557,478) | (370,414) | (202,217) |
| Other income (expense) | 29,619 | (48,175) | 42,252 |
| Income tax expense | (582,951) | (301,881) | (194,357) |
| Income before noncontrolling interests and extraordinary item | 949,134 | 512,631 | 367,030 |
| Noncontrolling interests | (1,429) | (1,377) | (1,298) |
| Net income before extraordinary item | 947,705 | 511,254 | 365,732 |
| Extraordinary item, net of income tax expense and noncontrolling interests | - | 135,957 | - |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. | \$ 947,705 | 647,211 | 365,732 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$ 3.13 | 2.55 | 3.53 |
| Extraordinary item | \$ - | .68 | - |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 3.13 | 3.23 | 3.53 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$ 3.13 | 2.55 | 3.52 |
| Extraordinary item | \$ - | .68 | - |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 3.13 | 3.23 | 3.52 |
| Average basic shares outstanding | 300,619 | 198,813 | 102,268 |
| Average diluted shares outstanding | 301,297 | 199,057 | 102,560 |

Operating income increased \$826.8 million in 2010 due to a \$2.067 billion increase in operating revenues and a \$1.240 billion increase in operating expenses. Operating income increased \$511.7 million in 2009 due to a \$2.374 billion increase in operating revenues and a \$1.863 billion increase in operating expenses. Such increases in operating

revenues, operating expenses and operating income in 2010 and 2009 were substantially due to our July 1, 2009 acquisition of Embarq.

59

As mentioned in Note 16, we discontinued the application of regulatory accounting effective July 1, 2009. As a result of such discontinuance, since the third quarter of 2009 we have eliminated all intercompany transactions with regulated affiliates that previously were not eliminated under the application of regulatory accounting. This has caused our revenues and operating expenses to be lower by equivalent amounts (approximately \$104 million) for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Similarly, our revenues and operating expenses for 2009 are lower than 2008 by approximately \$108 million due to the mid-year discontinuance of regulatory accounting.

OPERATING REVENUES

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Voice | \$ 3,137,921 | 2,168,480 | 1,043,386 |
| Data | 1,908,901 | 1,202,284 | 524,194 |
| Network access | 1,079,678 | 927,905 | 651,038 |
| Other | 915,034 | 675,570 | 381,129 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 7,041,534 | 4,974,239 | 2,599,747 |

Beginning in 2010, we have reclassified revenues generated from subscriber line charges to “Voice” revenues from “Network access” revenues to better align our presentation of such revenues with others in our industry and we have included revenues generated from our fiber transport, CLEC and security monitoring operations in “Other” revenues. Prior periods have been adjusted to reflect the new presentation.

Voice revenues. We derive voice revenues by providing local exchange telephone services and retail long distance services to customers in our service areas. The \$969.4 million increase in voice revenues is primarily due to \$1.047 billion of additional revenues attributable to the Embarq properties acquired July 1, 2009. The remaining \$77.8 million decrease is primarily due to (i) a \$35.3 million decrease due to a 6.2% decline in the average number of access lines in our legacy CenturyLink markets; (ii) a \$13.7 million decrease in custom calling feature revenues primarily due to the continued migration of customers to bundled service offerings at a lower rate; (iii) an \$11.1 million reduction in long distance revenues due primarily to a decrease in minutes of use; and (vi) a \$9.1 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the above-described discontinuance of regulatory accounting.

The \$1.125 billion increase in voice revenues in 2009 is primarily due to \$1.199 billion of revenues attributable to the Embarq properties acquired July 1, 2009. The remaining \$73.9 million decrease is primarily due to (i) a \$42.0 million decrease due to a 6.6% decline in the average number of access lines in our incumbent markets; (ii) a \$14.5 million decrease in custom calling feature revenues primarily due to the continued migration of customers to bundled service offerings at a lower effective rate and (iii) an \$8.1 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the discontinuance of regulatory accounting.

Total access lines declined 535,000 during 2010 compared to a decline of 380,000 during 2009 (excluding access lines we acquired from Embarq on July 1, 2009 but including access lines lost in Embarq’s markets following such acquisition). We believe the decline in the number of access lines during 2010 is primarily due to the displacement of traditional wireline telephone services by other competitive services and recent economic conditions. Based on our current retention initiatives, we estimate that our access line loss will be between 7.0% and 7.5% in 2011 (exclusive of the impact of access line loss in the properties we expect to acquire from Qwest in 2011).

60

Data revenues. We derive our data revenues primarily by providing high-speed Internet access services and data transmission services over special circuits and private lines. Data revenues increased \$706.6 million in 2010 due to \$735.1 million of additional revenues attributable to Embarq. Excluding Embarq, data revenues decreased \$28.5 million due to a \$52.9 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the discontinuance of regulatory accounting. The remaining \$24.4 million increase is primarily attributable to an increase in DSL-related revenues principally due to growth in the number of DSL customers and an increase in special access revenues due to increased demand for such services.

Data revenues increased \$678.1 million in 2009 due to \$689.8 million of revenues attributable to Embarq. Excluding Embarq, data revenues decreased \$11.7 million due to a \$51.4 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions resulting from the discontinuance of regulatory accounting. Such decrease was partially offset by a \$38.5 million increase in DSL-related revenues primarily due to growth in the number of DSL customers in our incumbent markets.

Network access revenues. We derive our network access revenues primarily from (i) providing services to various carriers and customers in connection with the use of our facilities to originate and terminate their interstate and intrastate voice transmissions; (ii) receiving universal support funds which allows us to recover a portion of our costs under federal and state cost recovery mechanisms; (iii) receiving reciprocal compensation from competitive local exchange carriers and wireless service providers for terminating their calls; and (iv) offering certain network facilities and related services to CLECs. Substantially all of our interstate network access revenues are based on tariffed access charges prescribed by the FCC. Certain of our intrastate network access revenues are derived through access charges that we bill to intrastate long distance carriers and other LEC customers.

61

Network access revenues increased \$151.8 million in 2010 and \$276.9 million in 2009 due to the following factors:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------------|------------|
| | increase | increase |
| | (decrease) | (decrease) |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009 | \$247,615 | 347,680 |
| Reduced recoveries from the federal Universal Service High Cost Loop support program | (35,815) | (12,964) |
| Reduced intrastate revenues due to decreased minutes of use, decreased access rates in certain states and recoveries from state support funds | (17,275) | (35,406) |
| Elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the discontinuance of regulatory accounting | (21,509) | (26,031) |
| Reduced partial recovery of operating costs through revenue sharing arrangements with other telephone companies, interstate access revenues and return on rate base | (13,441) | (5,930) |
| Prior year revenue settlement agreements and other | (7,802) | 9,518 |
| | \$151,773 | 276,867 |

As mentioned above, upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting effective July 1, 2009, we began eliminating all intercompany transactions with regulated affiliates that previously were not eliminated under the application of regulatory accounting.

We believe that access rates and minutes of use will continue to decline in conjunction with losses of access lines and substitution of other alternatives, although we cannot precisely estimate the magnitude of such decrease. Complaints filed by interexchange carriers in several of our operating states or state initiated legislation could, if successful, place

further downward pressure on our intrastate access rates. We also expect our network access revenues to continue to be negatively impacted in 2011 by a reduction in Universal Service Fund receipts. In addition, delays in the migration of traffic of a wireless carrier off our networks in 2010 will reduce our operating revenues in 2011. Based on our current estimates, we believe these items in the aggregate will reduce network access revenues approximately \$160-180 million in 2011 as compared to 2010.

Other revenues. We derive other revenues primarily by (i) providing fiber transport, CLEC and security monitoring services; (ii) leasing, selling, installing and maintaining customer premise telecommunications equipment and wiring; (iii) providing payphone services primarily within our local service territories and various correctional facilities around the country; (iv) participating in the publication of local directories, which allows us to share in revenues generated by the sale of yellow page and related advertising to businesses; (v) providing network database services; and (vi) providing certain other new product and service offerings. Other revenues increased \$239.5 million in 2010 due to \$272.1 million of additional revenues attributable to Embarq. Excluding Embarq, other revenues decreased \$32.7 million primarily due to a \$20.8 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions as a result of the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, a \$7.1 million decrease in directory revenues and a \$5.5 million decrease in certain non-regulated product sales and service offerings.

62

Other revenues increased \$294.4 million in 2009, of which approximately \$326.5 million related to our acquisition of Embarq. Excluding Embarq, other revenues decreased \$32.1 million primarily as a result of a \$22.0 million reduction due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions resulting from the discontinuance of regulatory accounting and a \$10.5 million decrease in certain non-regulated product sales and service offerings.

OPERATING EXPENSES

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | \$ 2,410,048 | 1,752,087 | 955,473 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,137,989 | 1,014,341 | 399,136 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,433,553 | 974,710 | 523,786 |
| Operating expenses | \$ 4,981,590 | 3,741,138 | 1,878,395 |

Cost of services and products. Cost of services and products increased \$658.0 million (37.6%) in 2010 primarily due to a \$758.2 million increase in expenses incurred by Embarq (which includes approximately \$36.6 million of integration costs and an incremental \$8.0 million of costs associated with employee severance benefits and is partially offset by a curtailment gain of approximately \$5.9 million associated with freezing certain future benefit accruals related to our defined benefit pension plans). The remaining \$100.3 million decrease is primarily due to (i) an \$89.4 million reduction in expenses resulting from the elimination of all intercompany transactions resulting from the discontinuance of regulatory accounting; (ii) a \$13.1 million decrease in access expense and (iii) a \$10.4 million decrease in transport costs associated with our long distance operations.

Cost of services and products increased \$796.6 million (83.4%) in 2009 primarily due to \$888.8 million of expenses attributable to the Embarq properties acquired on July 1, 2009. The remaining \$92.2 million decrease is primarily due to (i) a \$88.7 million reduction in expenses resulting from the elimination of all intercompany transactions resulting from the discontinuance of regulatory accounting; (ii) a \$4.9 million decrease in customer service related expenses;

(iii) a \$4.6 million decrease in access expense; and (iv) a \$4.1 million decrease in CLEC expenses as a result of the divestiture of six CLEC markets in 2008. Such decreases were partially offset by a \$15.8 million increase in salaries, wages and benefits primarily due to increases in pension expense and share-based compensation expense and a \$12.4 million increase in DSL-related expenses due to an increase in the number of DSL customers served.

Selling, general and administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$123.6 million in 2010 primarily due to a \$170.4 million increase in expenses incurred by Embarq. The \$170.4 million increase is net of (i) a \$98.0 million reduction of severance costs and accelerated recognition of share based compensation and pension costs due to workforce reductions incurred during 2010 as compared to similar expenses incurred during 2009; (ii) \$47.2 million of transaction related costs incurred in 2009 related to the Embarq acquisition; (iii) a \$31.4 million reduction in integration costs related to our acquisition of Embarq and (iv) a 2010 curtailment gain of approximately \$15.0 million associated with freezing certain future defined benefit pension accruals. During 2010, we also incurred approximately \$22.3 million of transaction and other costs associated with our pending acquisition of Qwest. Such increases were partially offset by (i) a \$31.5 million reduction in salaries and benefits (which includes \$16.6 million of one-time charges related to a supplemental executive pension plan in 2009); (ii) a \$17.4 million decrease in legal costs, and (iii) a \$14.9 million reduction in expenses due to the elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the discontinuance of regulatory accounting.

63

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$615.2 million in 2009 primarily due to \$500.6 million of expenses attributable to operating Embarq for the second half of 2009 (which includes approximately \$106.0 million of costs associated with employee termination benefits, primarily due to severance and retention benefits, contractual pension benefits and acceleration of share-based compensation expense associated with Embarq employee terminations). The remaining \$114.6 million increase is primarily due to (i) \$86.4 million of integration costs associated with our acquisition of Embarq, primarily related to system conversion efforts; (ii) \$47.2 million of transaction related merger costs, including investment banker and legal fees associated with our acquisition of Embarq; and (iii) \$13.8 million of higher employee benefit costs, primarily due to higher pension expense (primarily due to accelerated expense recognition due to change of control provisions triggered upon our acquisition of Embarq and the termination of a supplemental executive retirement plan) and share-based compensation expense (due to the accelerated vesting of equity grants of our employees upon the acquisition of Embarq). Such increases were partially offset by (i) a \$19.5 million reduction in expenses resulting from the elimination of all intercompany transactions due to the discontinuance of regulatory accounting; (ii) a \$10.7 million reduction in operating taxes primarily due to the favorable resolution of certain transaction tax audit issues; and (iii) an \$8.1 million reduction in marketing expenses.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$458.8 million in 2010 primarily due to \$480.8 million of additional depreciation and amortization attributable to Embarq (including \$69.3 million of additional amortization expense related to the customer list and other intangible assets acquired in connection with the Embarq transaction) and a \$22.4 million increase due to higher levels of plant in service in our legacy markets. The remaining decrease was primarily due to a \$19.1 million decrease in depreciation expense due to a change in certain depreciation rates effective July 1, 2009 upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting and a \$24.5 million decrease due to certain assets becoming fully depreciated.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$450.9 million in 2009 primarily due to \$492.6 million of depreciation and amortization attributable to Embarq (including \$118.4 million of amortization expense related to its customer list and other intangible assets). The remaining \$41.7 million decrease was primarily due to a \$59.8 million decrease in depreciation expense resulting from a reduction in certain depreciation rates effective July 1, 2009 upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting (see Note 16) and due to certain assets becoming fully depreciated. Such decreases were partially offset by an \$18.8 million increase due to higher levels of plant placed in service in our incumbent markets.

64

Other. For additional information regarding certain matters that have impacted or may impact our operations, see “Regulation and Competition”.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense increased \$187.1 million in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to interest expense attributable to Embarq’s indebtedness assumed in connection with our acquisition of Embarq.

Interest expense increased \$168.2 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to \$179.9 million of interest expense attributable to Embarq’s indebtedness assumed in connection with our acquisition of Embarq. The remaining \$11.7 million decrease is primarily attributable to a \$4.6 million decrease in interest expense due to favorable resolution of certain transaction tax audit issues and a \$4.7 million one-time reduction in interest expense in 2009 related to debt extinguishment transactions consummated in October 2009. See Note 6 for additional information.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other income (expense) includes the effects of certain items not directly related to our core operations, including gains or losses from nonoperating asset dispositions and impairments, our share of the income from our 49% interest in a cellular partnership, interest income and allowance for funds used during construction. Other income (expense) was \$29.6 million for 2010 compared to \$(48.2) million for 2009 and \$42.3 million in 2008.

Included in 2009 is (i) a \$72.0 million pre-tax charge related to certain debt extinguishment transactions consummated in October 2009 (see Note 6 for additional information) and (ii) an \$8.0 million pre-tax charge associated with terminating our \$800 million bridge credit facility (see Note 2 for additional information). Included in 2008 is (i) approximately \$10.0 million related to the recognition of previously accrued transaction related and other contingencies; (ii) a pre-tax gain of \$4.5 million upon the liquidation of our investments in marketable securities in our SERP trust; (iii) a pre-tax gain of approximately \$7.3 million from the sales of certain nonoperating investments; and (iv) a \$3.4 million pre-tax charge related to terminating all of our existing derivative instruments in the first quarter of 2008. Our share of income from our 49% interest in a cellular partnership decreased \$2.7 million in 2010 compared to 2009 and increased \$7.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008. We record our share of the partnership income based on unaudited results of operations until the time we receive audited financial statements for the partnership from the unaffiliated general partner. In 2008, we recorded unfavorable adjustments upon receipt of the partnership’s audited financial statements for 2007.

65

INCOME TAX EXPENSE

The effective income tax rate was 38.1%, 37.2%, and 34.7% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Certain executive compensation amounts, including the lump sum distributions paid to certain executive officers in connection with discontinuing the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (see Note 12), are reflected as non-deductible for income tax purposes pursuant to executive compensation limitations prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code. Our inability to deduct these amounts resulted in the recognition of approximately \$3.3 million and \$9.8 million of income tax expense in 2010 and 2009 above amounts that would have been recognized had such payments been deductible for income tax purposes. Our 2010 and 2009 effective tax rate is also higher because a portion of our merger-related transaction costs incurred during those years are non-deductible for income tax purposes (with such treatment resulting in a \$3.9 million and \$7.4 million increase to income tax expense in 2010 and 2009, respectively). In 2009, such increase in our effective tax rate was partially offset by a \$7.0 million reduction to our deferred tax asset

valuation allowance associated with state net operating loss carryforwards.

Income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$15.7 million in 2009 and \$12.8 million in 2008 due to the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits (see Critical Accounting Policies below and Note 13) and other adjustments upon finalization of tax returns.

EXTRAORDINARY ITEM

Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting on July 1, 2009, we recorded a one-time extraordinary gain of approximately \$136.0 million after-tax. See Note 16 for additional information related to this extraordinary gain.

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board updated the accounting standard regarding revenue recognition for multiple deliverable arrangements, such as the service bundles that we offer to our customers. This update requires the use of the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in these types of arrangements. This method allows a vendor to use its best estimate of selling price if neither vendor specific objective evidence nor third party evidence of selling price exists when evaluating multiple deliverable arrangements. This standard update is effective January 1, 2011 and may be adopted prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the date of adoption or retrospectively for all revenue arrangements for all periods presented. We currently do not expect this standard update to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We are subject to certain accounting standards that define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value and expand the disclosures about fair value measurements required or permitted under other accounting pronouncements. The fair value accounting guidance establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. These tiers include: Level 1 (defined as observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets), Level 2 (defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable), and Level 3 (defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists). See Note 19 for additional information. In January 2010, we adopted the accounting standard update regarding fair value measurements and disclosures, which requires additional disclosures and explanations for transfers of financial assets and liabilities between certain levels in the fair value hierarchy. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

66

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles that are generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We continually evaluate our estimates and assumptions including those related to (i) revenue recognition, (ii) allowance for doubtful accounts, (iii) pension and postretirement benefits, (iv) intangible and long-lived assets, (v) depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets; (vi) business combinations and (vii) income taxes. Actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions and these differences may be material. We believe these critical accounting policies discussed below involve a higher degree of judgment or complexity.

Revenue recognition. We collect in advance fees for fixed rate services, such as local service, unlimited long distance, high-speed Internet and certain data services, and defer revenue recognition until these services are provided to the customer. We bill in arrears variable rate billing services, including usage-based long distance, data and access

revenues. We have multiple billing cycles spread throughout each month resulting in accounts receivables and deferred revenue balances at the end of each reporting period. In the event that the variable rate usage data is not available at the end of a reporting period, we estimate revenue based on historic usage and other relevant factors. Service activation and installation fees are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over our average customer lives. Operating revenues include certain revenue reserves for billing disputes and contract interpretations. These reserves require management's judgment and are based on many factors including historical trends, contract and tariff interpretations and developments during the resolution process.

Allowance for doubtful accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses that result from the failure of our customers to make required payments. In evaluating the collectibility of our accounts receivable, we assess a number of factors, including a specific customer's or carrier's ability to meet its financial obligations to us, the length of time the receivable has been past due and historical collection experience. Based on these assessments, we record both specific and general reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable to reduce the related accounts receivable to the amount we ultimately expect to collect from customers and carriers. Our reserves for accounts receivable generally increase as the receivable ages. If circumstances change or economic conditions worsen such that our past collection experience is no longer relevant, we may need to increase our reserves from the levels reflected in our accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

67

Pension and postretirement benefits. Accounting for pensions and postretirement benefits involves estimating the cost of benefits to be provided well into the future and attributing that cost over the time period each employee provides service to us. To accomplish this, extensive use is made of various assumptions, such as discount rates, investment returns, mortality, turnover, medical costs and inflation through a collaborative effort by management and independent actuaries. These efforts are designed to provide management with the necessary information on which to base its judgment and develop the estimates used to prepare the financial statements. Changes in assumptions used could result in a material impact to our financial results in any given period. Our actuarial estimates of retiree benefit expense and the associated significant assumptions are discussed in Notes 11 and 12.

A significant assumption used in determining our pension and postretirement expense is the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. For 2010 and 2009, we utilized an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.25% for our incumbent pension plan and 8.50% for the pension plan we assumed in connection with the Embarq acquisition. In setting the long-term assumed rate of return, management considers capital markets' future expectations and the asset mix of the plans' investments. If all other factors were to remain unchanged, a 50 basis point decrease in the assumed long-term rate of return would cause combined pension and postretirement cost to increase by approximately \$18 million. Should we experience asset returns that are significantly below our long-term rate of return assumptions, we may experience in the future higher levels of pension expense, higher levels of required contributions and lower stockholders' equity balances (due to accumulated other comprehensive losses). For 2011, we are reducing our long-term assumed rate of return assumption to 7.5% for our legacy CenturyLink pension plan and 8.0% for our legacy Embarq pension plan. Such reduction in our assumed rate of asset return is primarily due to lower prevailing bond yields.

Another assumption used in the determination of our pension and postretirement benefit plan obligations is the appropriate discount rate. The discount rate is an assumed rate of return derived from high-quality debt securities that, if applicable at the measurement date to a specified amount of principal, would provide the necessary future cash flows to pay our pension benefit obligations when they become due. For our pension plan, the discount rate used for the December 31, 2010 measurement date was derived by matching projected benefit payments to bond yields obtained from a hypothetical yield curve from Aa-rated corporate bonds. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we utilized a similar process using as a reference the CitiGroup Pension Discount Curve (Above Median) to derive our discount rate. Our discount rate for determining benefit obligations under our pension plans at December 31, 2010 ranged from 5.0 to 5.5% compared to 5.5 to 6.0% at December 31, 2009. The discount rate can change from

year to year based on market conditions that impact corporate bond yields. We use a similar methodology to determine the discount rate for our postretirement plan by utilizing as a reference the Hewitt Top Quartile Yield Curve as of the end of the year. Our discount rate for determining benefit obligations under our postretirement plans at December 31, 2010 was 5.30% compared to 5.70-5.80% at December 31, 2009. We estimate that a 25 basis point decrease in the assumed discount rate would increase our combined pension and postretirement benefit obligations by approximately \$148 million.

68

Intangible and long-lived assets. We are subject to testing for impairment of long-lived assets (including goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets) based on applicable accounting guidelines.

We are required to review goodwill recorded in business combinations for impairment at least annually and are required to write-down the value of goodwill only in periods in which the recorded amount of goodwill exceeds the fair value. As disclosed in the table below, substantially all of our goodwill is associated with our local exchange telephone operations. Subsequent to our acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009, we have managed our local exchange telephone operations based on five geographic regions (which we internally refer to as Mid-Atlantic, Southern, South Central, Northeast and Western) and have considered these five operating regions to be our reporting units in testing for goodwill impairment of our telephone operations. The remainder of our goodwill is associated with our competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC), fiber transport, security monitoring and other operations of our business, all of which we treat as separate reporting units in our goodwill impairment testing.

The breakdown of our goodwill balances as of December 31, 2010 by reporting unit is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Telephone operations (Mid-Atlantic) | \$2,227,596 |
| Telephone operations (Southern) | 2,297,064 |
| Telephone operations (South Central) | 2,487,536 |
| Telephone operations (Northeast) | 2,252,288 |
| Telephone operations (Western) | 946,367 |
| CLEC operations | 29,935 |
| Fiber transport operations | 10,607 |
| Security monitoring operations | 4,966 |
| All other operations | 4,281 |
| Total goodwill | \$10,260,640 |

We estimate the fair value of our telephone operations reporting units using multiples of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation (EBITDA). For each telephone reporting unit, we compare its estimated fair value to its carrying value. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is greater than the carrying value, we conclude that no impairment exists. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, a second calculation is required in which the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to its carrying value. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value, goodwill must be written down to its implied fair value.

The multiple of EBITDA we utilize in our goodwill impairment testing for our telephone operations is supported by an independent valuation analysis performed by a major investment banking firm. For the past several years, we have utilized EBITDA multiples derived from comparable independent analysis. The EBITDA multiple derived in the independent reports and utilized in our goodwill impairment testing was 5.8 in 2010, 5.6 in 2009 and 6.5 in 2008. We believe the decline in EBITDA multiples since 2008 is primarily due to, among other factors, the continued erosion of access lines.

69

We estimate the fair value of our other reporting units using various methods, including multiples of EBITDA (as described above) and multiples of revenues.

As of September 30, 2010, we completed the required annual test of goodwill impairment and concluded that our goodwill was not impaired as of that date. However, as of that date, the estimated fair value of the Southern region exceeded its carrying value by less than 10%. Should events occur (such as continued access line losses or other revenue reductions) that would cause the fair value to decline below its carrying value, we may be required to record a non-cash charge to earnings during the period in which the impairment is determined.

The carrying value of long-lived assets other than goodwill is reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that such carrying amount cannot be recoverable by assessing the recoverability of the carrying value through estimated undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If the undiscounted net cash flows are less than the carrying value, an impairment loss would be measured as the excess of the carrying value of a long-lived asset over its fair value.

Depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets. Our depreciation of property, plant and equipment, including the use of group depreciation for our telephone operations and estimates of useful lives, is discussed in Note 1. We assign useful lives based on annual studies of actual asset lives, taking into account actual usage, replacement history and assumptions about technology evolution and access line losses. No significant changes to our remaining useful lives occurred as a result of our study performed in 2010.

We also have significant customer list intangible assets, the vast majority of which is attributable to our July 1, 2009 Embarq acquisition. The customer list intangible assets from our Embarq acquisition are finite-lived intangible assets and are amortized using an accelerated method over the period in which those relationships are expected to contribute to our future cash flows. The accelerated method employed is a process of allocation which reflects our belief that we expect greater revenue generation from these customer relationships during the earlier years of their lives. Amortization of other intangible assets is determined using the straight-line method of amortization over the expected remaining useful lives.

We periodically evaluate our intangible assets to insure that our current amortization method and remaining useful lives are appropriate.

Business combinations. The new accounting guidance for business combinations was effective for us for all business combinations consummated on or after January 1, 2009 and requires an acquiring entity to recognize all of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date fair value. The allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from Embarq (and the related estimated lives of depreciable tangible and identifiable intangible assets) was finalized during 2010 at the end of the one-year measurement period and required a significant amount of judgment. Such allocation of certain aspects of the purchase price to items that are more complex to value was performed by an independent valuation firm based on information provided by management. See Note 2 for additional information concerning the assignment of fair values to the assets and assumed liabilities of Embarq. We will similarly assign fair values to the assets and liabilities acquired from our pending acquisition of Qwest, which we expect to close during 2011.

70

Income taxes. We estimate our current and deferred income taxes based on our assessment of the future tax consequences of transactions that have been reflected in our financial statements or applicable tax returns. Actual income taxes paid could vary from these estimates due to several factors, including future changes in income tax law

or the resolution of audits by federal and state taxing authorities. We maintain liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits for various uncertain tax positions taken in our tax returns. These liabilities are estimated based on our judgment of the probable outcome of the uncertain tax positions and are adjusted periodically based on changing facts and circumstances. Changes to the liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits could materially affect operating results in the period of change. During 2009 and 2008, we recognized approximately \$15.7 million and \$12.8 million, respectively, of previously unrecognized tax benefits (including related interest and net of federal tax benefit) and other adjustments upon finalization of tax returns. Such benefits were recorded primarily as a result of the favorable resolution of audits, administrative practices and the lapse of statute of limitations in certain jurisdictions. See Note 13 for additional information regarding our unrecognized tax benefits.

For additional information on our critical accounting policies, see “Accounting Pronouncements” and “Regulation and Competition – Other Matters” below, and the Notes to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein.

MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on our long-term debt obligations. We have estimated our market risk using sensitivity analysis. Market risk is defined as the potential change in the fair value of a fixed-rate debt obligation due to a hypothetical adverse change in interest rates. We determine fair value of long-term debt obligations based on a discounted cash flow analysis, using the rates and maturities of these obligations compared to terms and rates currently available in the long-term financing markets. The results of the sensitivity analysis used to estimate market risk are presented below, although the actual results may differ from these estimates.

At December 31, 2010, we estimated the fair value of our long-term debt to be \$8.0 billion based on the overall weighted average interest rate of our debt of 7.0% and an overall weighted maturity of 11 years compared to terms and rates currently available in long-term financing markets. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 95% of our long-term debt obligations were fixed rate. Market risk is estimated as the potential decrease in fair value of our long-term debt resulting from a hypothetical increase of 70 basis points in prevailing interest rates (ten percent of our overall weighted average borrowing rate). Such an increase in interest rates would result in approximately a \$331.9 million decrease in the fair value of our fixed-rate long-term debt at December 31, 2010, but would have no impact on our interest expense or cash flows. A 100 basis point increase in prevailing variable interest rates would have had a negative pre-tax impact of approximately \$1.7 million on our results of operations and cash flows for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, but would have no impact on the fair value of our long-term variable-rate debt.

71

From time to time over the past several years, we have used derivative instruments to (i) lock-in or swap our exposure to changing or variable interest rates for fixed interest rates or (ii) to swap obligations to pay fixed interest rates for variable interest rates. We have established policies and procedures for risk assessment and the approval, reporting and monitoring of derivative instrument activities. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Management periodically reviews our exposure to interest rate fluctuations and implements strategies to manage the exposure. Currently, we have no derivative instruments in place.

We are also exposed to market risk from changes in the fair value of our pension plan assets. The pension plan we assumed in connection with the Embarq acquisition was underfunded by approximately \$667 million with respect to the projected benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010. We contributed \$300 million and \$115 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan during 2010 and the last half of 2009, respectively. We currently expect to contribute approximately \$100 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan in 2011. Based on current actuarial estimates as of December 31, 2010 that assume a \$100 million contribution in 2011 and the utilization of our existing remaining credit balance to partially satisfy future required cash contributions, our estimated future contributions for the

2012-2014 time period ranges from approximately \$75-185 million per year for all of our pension plans. The actual level of contributions required in future years can change significantly depending on discount rates and actual returns on plan assets. See “Critical Accounting Policies – Pension and Postretirement Benefits”

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the computation of fair value of financial instruments. Actual values may differ from those presented if market conditions vary from assumptions used in the fair value calculations. The analysis above incorporates only those risk exposures that existed as of December 31, 2010.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Excluding cash used for acquisitions, we rely on cash provided by operations to fund our operating and capital expenditures as well as our dividend payments. Our operations have historically provided a stable source of cash flow which has helped us continue our long-term program of capital improvements.

72

Operating activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2.045 billion, \$1.574 billion and \$853.3 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Payments for income taxes aggregated \$431.7 million, \$258.9 million and \$208.8 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2009, we paid approximately \$54 million to fund lump sum distributions under our frozen supplemental executive retirement plan upon the discontinuance of such plan and under change of control provisions triggered upon the acquisition of Embarq. We also contributed \$300 million and \$115 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan during 2010 and the last half of 2009, respectively. Our accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows identify major differences between net income and net cash provided by operating activities for each of these periods. For additional information relating to our operations, see “Results of Operations” above.

Investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$859.1 million, \$678.8 million and \$389.0 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Payments for property, plant and equipment were \$863.8 million in 2010, \$754.5 million in 2009 (which includes \$396.1 million of capital expenditures attributable to our Embarq operations subsequent to our July 1, 2009 acquisition of Embarq) and \$286.8 million in 2008. Capital expenditures for 2010 and 2009 include approximately \$29.0 million and \$75.1 million, respectively, of one-time capital expenditures related to the integration of Embarq.

On July 1, 2009, we consummated the acquisition of Embarq Corporation by issuing approximately \$6.0 billion of CenturyLink common stock (valued as of June 30, 2009). We financed our merger transaction expenses with (i) available cash of the combined company and (ii) proceeds from CenturyLink’s and Embarq’s existing revolving credit facilities. We acquired \$76.9 million of cash in connection with our acquisition of Embarq.

During 2008, we paid an aggregate of approximately \$149 million for 69 licenses in the FCC’s auction of 700 megahertz wireless spectrum. Our plan has been to use the spectrum to develop wireless voice and data service capabilities in our markets, built upon LTE (Long-Term Evolution) technology. The LTE network deployment plans of larger wireless carriers are driving most vendor activity, including the availability of handsets and other end-user devices. Therefore, we are monitoring their deployment efforts to help shape our plans for moving forward.

In anticipation of making lump sum distributions to certain participants of our SERP in early 2009, we liquidated our investments in marketable securities in the SERP trust during the second quarter of 2008 and thereby increased our cash and cash equivalents by \$34.9 million. As noted above, the lump sum distributions were paid in 2009 and aggregated approximately \$54 million.

Financing activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$1.175 billion during 2010, \$976.4 million during 2009 and \$255.4 million in 2008. In October 2010, we repaid our \$482.5 million Series H Senior Notes at its scheduled maturity using borrowings under our existing credit facility. In September 2009, we received net proceeds of \$644.4 million from the issuance of \$250 million of 10-year, 6.15% senior notes and \$400 million of 30-year, 7.6% senior notes. In October 2009, the proceeds from these note offerings, along with additional borrowings under our existing credit facility, were used to repurchase an aggregate of \$746.1 million of CenturyLink, Inc. and Embarq indebtedness (see Note 6 for additional information). During 2008, we paid our \$240 million Series F Senior Notes at maturity primarily using borrowings from our credit facility.

73

In June 2008, our Board of Directors (i) increased our annual cash dividend to \$2.80 from \$.27 per share and (ii) declared a one-time dividend of \$.6325 per share, which was paid in July 2008, effectively adjusting the total second quarter dividend to the new \$.70 quarterly dividend rate. In February 2010, our Board of Directors further increased our quarterly dividend to \$.725 per share. We paid dividends of \$878.0 million in 2010, \$560.7 million in 2009 and \$220.3 million in 2008. Such increase is primarily attributable to the dividend rate increases mentioned above and the substantial increase in shares outstanding as a result of the common stock issued in connection with our Embarq acquisition on July 1, 2009. Based on current circumstances, we intend to continue our current dividend practice, subject to any other factors that our Board in its discretion deems relevant.

In accordance with previously announced stock repurchase programs, we repurchased 9.7 million shares (for \$347.3 million) in 2008.

As of December 31, 2010, we had available two unsecured revolving credit facilities, (i) a five-year, \$750 million facility of CenturyLink and (ii) an \$800 million facility of Embarq. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$365.0 million outstanding under these credit facilities (all of which related to CenturyLink's facility).

In January 2011, we entered into a new four-year revolving credit facility that allows us to borrow up to \$1.0 billion initially with the total capacity of the credit facility increasing to \$1.7 billion upon the consummation of our pending acquisition of Qwest. Up to \$400 million of this new credit facility can be used for letters of credit. Interest will be assessed on future borrowings using the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin between .5% and 2.5% per annum depending on the type of loan and our then current senior unsecured long-term debt rating. Upon the execution of the new credit facility, the two credit facilities mentioned above were terminated. As of February 28, 2011, we had \$280 million outstanding under the new credit facility. For additional information regarding our new credit facility, see Note 22.

As was the case with our predecessor credit facilities, (i) outstanding letters of credit directly reduce the amount available for other extensions of credit under our new credit facility and (ii) outstanding borrowings under our commercial paper program, which effectively cannot exceed the amount available under our new facility, effectively have the same result on our borrowing capacity under the new facility. As of February 28, 2011, approximately \$61 million of letters of credit were outstanding and no amounts were outstanding under our commercial paper program.

74

Other. For 2011, we have budgeted approximately \$1.0 billion for capital expenditures (excluding any capital related to the integration of the Embarq acquisition or the pending Qwest acquisition). Such increase over the \$863.8 million of capital expenditures in 2010 is due to our planned incremental fiber to the tower investment in 2011. Our 2011 capital expenditure budget also includes amounts for expanding our new service offerings and our data networks. We currently expect aggregate integration-related capital expenditures associated with our pending Qwest acquisition will approximate \$200 million over the next two years.

The pension plan we assumed in our acquisition of Embarq was approximately \$667 million underfunded as compared to the projected benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010. If this underfunded status continues, we may be required to contribute additional funds to our pension plan in the near future. To reduce the underfunded position, in March 2010 we contributed \$300 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan using cash on hand and borrowings from our credit facility. We currently expect to contribute approximately \$100 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan in 2011. For further information, see Item 1A - Risk Factors, of this annual report.

The following table contains certain information concerning our material contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010.

| Contractual obligations | Total | Payments due by period | | | After 2015 and Other |
|--|-------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | 2011 | 2012-2013 | 2014-2015 | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Long-term debt, including current maturities and capital lease obligations (1) | \$7,327,587 | 376,583 | 1,146,064 | 381,794 | 5,423,146 |
| Interest on long-term debt obligations | \$6,175,641 | 506,858 | 946,277 | 834,095 | 3,888,411 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits (2) | \$ 76,997 | - | - | - | 76,997 |

(1) For additional information on the terms of our outstanding debt instruments, see Note 6.

(2) Represents the amount of tax and interest we would pay assuming we are required to pay the entire amount that we have reserved for our unrecognized tax benefits (see Note 13 for additional information). The timing of any payments for our unrecognized tax benefits cannot be predicted with certainty; therefore, such amount is reflected in the "After 2015 and Other" column in the above table.

We continually evaluate the possibility of acquiring additional communications operations and expect to continue our long-term strategy of pursuing the acquisition of attractively-priced communications properties in exchange for cash, securities or both. At any given time, we may be engaged in discussions or negotiations regarding additional acquisitions. We generally do not announce our acquisitions or dispositions until we have entered into a preliminary or definitive agreement. We may require additional financing in connection with any such acquisitions, the consummation of which could have a material impact on our financial condition or operations. Approximately 4.1 million shares of our common stock and 200,000 shares of our preferred stock remain available for future issuance in connection with acquisitions under our acquisition shelf registration statement. We also have access to debt and equity capital markets.

75

Following our announcement of our pending acquisition of Qwest, (i) Standard & Poor's indicated that our current long-term debt rating of BBB- had been placed under watch for a possible downgrade; (ii) Moody's Investors Service affirmed our current long-term debt rating of Baa3, but downgraded its outlook from stable to negative; and (iii) Fitch Ratings has rated our long-term debt BBB- with a negative watch. It is expected that any downgrades would be made

only following the completion of the Qwest acquisition. Our commercial paper program is rated P-3 by Moody's and A-3 by S&P. Any downgrade in our credit ratings will increase our borrowing costs and commitment fees under our new revolving credit facility. Downgrades could also restrict our access to the capital markets, increase our borrowing costs under new or replacement debt financings, or otherwise adversely affect the terms of future borrowings by, among other things, increasing the scope of our debt covenants and decreasing our financial or operating flexibility.

The following table reflects our debt to total capitalization percentage and ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred stock dividends as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The debt to total capitalization ratio for 2010 and 2009 reflects our Embarq acquisition. The ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred stock dividends calculation for 2009 reflects the operations of Embarq only since July 1, 2009.

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------|--------|------|
| Debt to total capitalization | 43.2 | % 45.0 | 51.2 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred stock dividends* | 3.74 | 3.20 | 3.78 |

* For purposes of the chart above, "earnings" consist of income before income taxes (before extraordinary item) and fixed charges, and "fixed charges" include our interest expense, including amortized debt issuance costs, and our preferred stock dividend costs.

Our debt to capitalization percentage will increase upon acquiring Qwest, which has \$11.947 billion of long-term debt at December 31, 2010.

REGULATION AND COMPETITION

The communications industry continues to undergo various fundamental regulatory, legislative, competitive and technological changes. These changes may have a significant impact on the future financial performance of all communications companies.

Events affecting the communications industry. Wireless telephone services increasingly constitute a significant source of competition with ILEC services, especially since wireless carriers have begun to compete effectively on the basis of price with more traditional telephone services. Similarly, the growing prevalence of electronic mail, text messaging, social networking, and similar digital communications continue to reduce the demand for traditional landline voice services. We anticipate these trends will continue.

Federal USF programs have undergone substantial changes since 1997, and are expected to experience more changes in the coming years. Since May 2001, the FCC has administered its existing universal service support programs for rural telephone companies based on embedded, or historical, costs. The FCC, in April 2010, proposed to replace the USF with a broadband "Connect America" fund that would modernize the current "voice only" funding mechanism and direct funding away from local voice services to advance broadband deployment in areas unserved or underserved by broadband communications, and in February 2011 sought public comments regarding creating this new broadband fund. These developments have placed additional financial pressure on the amount of money that is necessary and available to provide support to all eligible service providers, including payments we receive from the USF High Cost Loop program. Increases in the nationwide average cost per loop factor used to allocate funds among all USF recipients caused our revenues from the USF High Cost Loop program (excluding the effects of the additional six months of receipts recorded in 2010 as compared to 2009 due to the July 1, 2009 acquisition of Embarq) to decrease in 2010 when compared to 2009. We anticipate that such revenues will continue to decline in 2011. See Item 7 of Part II of this annual report for more information.

Technological developments have led to the development of new services that compete with traditional ILEC services. Technological improvements have enabled cable television companies to provide alternatives to traditional circuit-switched telephone service over their cable networks, and several national cable companies have aggressively pursued this opportunity. Improvements in the quality of VoIP service have led several cable, Internet, data and other communications companies, as well as start-up companies, to substantially increase their offerings of VoIP service to business and residential customers. VoIP providers frequently offer features that cannot readily be provided by traditional ILECs and may price their services at or below those prices currently charged for traditional local and long distance telephone services. Although over the past several years the FCC has increasingly subjected portions of VoIP operations to federal regulation, VoIP services currently operate under fewer regulatory constraints than LEC services. For all these reasons, we cannot assure you that VoIP providers will not successfully compete for our customers.

Beginning in 2003, the FCC initiated a series of broad intercarrier compensation proceedings designed to create a uniform mechanism to be used by the entire telecommunications industry for payments between carriers originating, terminating, or carrying telecommunications traffic. In connection therewith, the FCC has received intercarrier compensation proposals from several industry groups, and solicited public comments on a variety of topics related to access charges and intercarrier compensation. Most recently, on February 8, 2011, the FCC sought comments from the public on proposals designed to lower intercarrier compensation rates. The ultimate outcome of the FCC's intercarrier compensation proceedings could change the way we receive compensation from, and remit compensation to, other carriers, our end user customers and the USF. As discussed further in Item 1A of this annual report, these changes could substantially reduce the amount of revenues we receive with respect to various services.

77

During 2010, the FCC released its National Broadband Plan and adopted an order imposing "network neutrality" rules governing Internet services, both of which are discussed in further detail in Item 1 of this annual report.

As discussed further in such item, we cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these actions, but believe that these and other FCC initiatives could have a material impact on our operations and those of other communications companies.

Many cable, technology or other communication companies that previously offered a limited range of services are now, like us, offering diversified bundles of services. As such, a growing number of companies are competing to serve the communications needs of the same customer base. Several of these companies may be able to provide more comprehensive or less costly service bundles than ours. Such activities will continue to place downward pressure on the demand for our access lines.

Recent events affecting us. During the last few years, most of the states in which we provide telephone services have taken legislative or regulatory steps to further introduce competition into the ILEC business.

At the state level, we are responding to carrier complaints, legislation or generic investigations regarding our intrastate switched access rate levels in several of our states. Although outcomes cannot be determined at this time, we believe our intrastate switched access rate levels are appropriate and we vigorously plan to defend them. If we are required to reduce our intrastate switched access rates as a result of any of these initiatives, we will seek to recover displaced switched access revenues from state universal service funds or other services. However, the amount of such recovery, if any, is not assured.

Over the past few years, each of the FCC, Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") and certain Congressional committees has initiated wide-ranging reviews of the administration of the federal USF. As part of this process, we, along with a number of other USF recipients, have undergone a number of USF audits and have also received requests for information from the FCC's Office of Inspector General ("OIG") and Congressional

committees. In addition, in July 2008 we received a subpoena from the OIG requesting a broad range of information regarding our depreciation rates and methodologies since 2000, and in July 2009 we received a second subpoena requesting information about our participation in the E-rate program for Wisconsin schools and libraries since 2004. The OIG has not identified to us any specific issues with respect to our participation in the USF program and all USAC audits are finalized with no material issues reported regarding our participation in the USF program. During 2010, USAC moved from the audit process to a Payment Quality Assessment (“PQA”) program. We continue to receive and respond to these PQAs and to date no material issues have been identified. While we believe our participation is in compliance with FCC rules and in accordance with accepted industry practices, we cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of these various reviews.

78

Excluding our pending acquisition of Qwest, we expect our operating revenues in 2011 to decline from 2010 levels as we continue to experience downward pressure primarily due to continued access line losses, reduced universal service funding and lower network access revenues. We expect such revenue declines to be partially offset primarily due to increased demand for our high-speed Internet service offering and special access services.

For a more complete description of regulation and competition impacting our operations and various attendant risks, please see Items 1 and 1A of this annual report.

Other matters. Through June 30, 2009, CenturyLink accounted for its regulated telephone operations (except for the properties acquired from Verizon in 2002) in accordance with the provisions of codification ASC 980-10 (formerly SFAS 71) which addresses regulatory accounting under which actions by regulators can provide reasonable assurance of the recognition of an asset, reduce or eliminate the value of an asset and impose a liability on a regulated enterprise. On July 1, 2009, we discontinued the accounting requirements of regulatory accounting upon the conversion of substantially all of our rate-of-return study areas to federal price cap regulation (based on the FCC’s approval of our petition to convert our study areas to price cap regulation).

In the third quarter of 2009, we recorded a net non-cash extraordinary after-tax gain of approximately \$136.0 million upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting. See Note 16 for additional information.

We have certain obligations based on federal, state and local laws relating to the protection of the environment. Costs of compliance through 2010 have not been material, and we currently do not believe that such costs will become material.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

7A.

For information pertaining to the our market risk disclosure, see “Item 7 - Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Market Risk”.

79

Item 8.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Management

The Shareholders
CenturyLink, Inc.:

Management has prepared and is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of our consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and necessarily include amounts determined using our best judgments and estimates.

Our consolidated financial statements have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, who have expressed their opinion with respect to the fairness of the consolidated financial statements. Their audit was conducted in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). Based on our evaluation under the framework of COSO, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by KPMG LLP, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is composed of independent directors who are not officers or employees. The Committee meets periodically with the external auditors, internal auditors and management. The Committee considers the independence of the external auditors and the audit scope and discusses internal control, financial and reporting matters. Both the external and internal auditors have free access to the Committee.

/s/ R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.
R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
March 1, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
CenturyLink, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CenturyLink, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and stockholders' equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule as listed in Item 15a(2). These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 1, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP
KPMG LLP
Shreveport, Louisiana
March 1, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
CenturyLink, Inc.:

We have audited CenturyLink, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of CenturyLink, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and stockholders' equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 and our report dated March 1, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

KPMG LLP
Shreveport, Louisiana
March 1, 2011

83

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Income

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars, except per share amounts, and shares in thousands) | | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | \$7,041,534 | 4,974,239 | 2,599,747 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | |
| Cost of services and products (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 2,410,048 | 1,752,087 | 955,473 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,137,989 | 1,014,341 | 399,136 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,433,553 | 974,710 | 523,786 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,981,590 | 3,741,138 | 1,878,395 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 2,059,944 | 1,233,101 | 721,352 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | |
| Interest expense | (557,478) | (370,414) | (202,217) |
| Other income (expense) | 29,619 | (48,175) | 42,252 |
| Total other income (expense) | (527,859) | (418,589) | (159,965) |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 1,532,085 | 814,512 | 561,387 |
| Income tax expense | 582,951 | 301,881 | 194,357 |
| INCOME BEFORE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS AND EXTRAORDINARY ITEM | 949,134 | 512,631 | 367,030 |
| Noncontrolling interests | (1,429) | (1,377) | (1,298) |
| NET INCOME BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY ITEM | 947,705 | 511,254 | 365,732 |
| Extraordinary item, net of income tax expense and noncontrolling interests (see Note 16) | - | 135,957 | - |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CENTURYLINK, INC. | \$947,705 | 647,211 | 365,732 |
| BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$3.13 | 2.55 | 3.53 |
| Extraordinary item | \$- | .68 | - |
| Basic earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.53 |
| DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$3.13 | 2.55 | 3.52 |
| Extraordinary item | \$- | .68 | - |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.52 |
| DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE | \$2.90 | 2.80 | 2.1675 |
| AVERAGE BASIC SHARES OUTSTANDING | 300,619 | 198,813 | 102,268 |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| AVERAGE DILUTED SHARES OUTSTANDING | 301,297 | 199,057 | 102,560 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| NET INCOME BEFORE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS | \$949,134 | 650,133 | 367,030 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES | | | |
| Marketable securities: | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of (\$332) tax | - | - | (533) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gain included in net income, net of (\$1,730) tax | - | - | (2,776) |
| Derivative instruments: | | | |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of \$267, \$267 and \$267 tax | 429 | 429 | 429 |
| Items related to employee benefit plans: | | | |
| Change in net actuarial loss, net of (\$37,908), \$30,100 and (\$48,656) tax | (62,321) | 39,209 | (82,505) |
| Change in net prior service credit, net of (\$1,328), (\$5,798) and (\$589) tax | (2,130) | (9,301) | (945) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income: | | | |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss, net of \$5,845, \$6,161 and \$1,198 tax | 9,376 | 9,883 | 1,921 |
| Amortization of net prior service credit, net of (\$749), (\$1,270) and \$2,261 tax | (1,201) | (2,037) | 3,627 |
| Net change in other comprehensive income (loss) (net of reclassification adjustment), net of taxes | (55,847) | 38,183 | (80,782) |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | 893,287 | 688,316 | 286,248 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,429) | (2,922) | (1,298) |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CENTURYLINK, INC. | \$891,858 | 685,394 | 284,950 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | December 31, | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$172,943 | 161,807 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$60,086 and \$47,450 | 712,814 | 685,589 |
| Income tax receivable | 102,465 | 115,684 |
| Materials and supplies, at average cost | 32,717 | 35,755 |
| Deferred income tax asset | 81,341 | 83,319 |
| Other | 40,849 | 41,437 |
| Total current assets | 1,143,129 | 1,123,591 |
| NET PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 8,754,476 | 9,097,139 |
| GOODWILL AND OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Goodwill | 10,260,640 | 10,251,758 |
| Other intangible assets | | |
| Customer list | 929,907 | 1,130,817 |
| Other | 310,170 | 315,601 |
| Other assets | 639,776 | 643,823 |
| Total goodwill and other assets | 12,140,493 | 12,341,999 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$22,038,098 | 22,562,729 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$11,583 | 500,065 |
| Accounts payable | 299,619 | 394,687 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 159,258 | 255,103 |
| Other taxes | 124,155 | 98,743 |
| Interest | 104,156 | 108,020 |
| Other | 121,828 | 168,203 |
| Advance billings and customer deposits | 190,443 | 182,374 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,011,042 | 1,707,195 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 7,316,004 | 7,253,653 |
| DEFERRED CREDITS AND OTHER LIABILITIES | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,368,698 | 2,256,579 |
| Benefit plan obligations | 1,305,997 | 1,485,643 |
| Other deferred credits | 389,198 | 392,860 |
| Total deferred credits and other liabilities | 4,063,893 | 4,135,082 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| | 304,948 | 299,189 |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$1.00 par value, authorized 800,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 304,947,538 and 299,189,279 shares

| | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| Paid-in capital | 6,174,741 | 6,014,051 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (141,153) | (85,306) |
| Retained earnings | 3,302,469 | 3,232,769 |
| Preferred stock - non-redeemable | 236 | 236 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 5,918 | 5,860 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 9,647,159 | 9,466,799 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$22,038,098 | 22,562,729 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$949,134 | 648,588 | 367,030 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,433,553 | 974,710 | 523,786 |
| Extraordinary item | - | (135,957) | - |
| Gains on asset dispositions and liquidation of marketable securities | - | - | (12,452) |
| Deferred income taxes | 131,768 | 153,950 | 67,518 |
| Share-based compensation | 38,168 | 55,153 | 16,390 |
| Income from unconsolidated cellular entity | (16,369) | (19,087) | (12,045) |
| Distributions from unconsolidated cellular entity | 16,029 | 20,100 | 15,960 |
| Changes in current assets and current liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (27,225) | (23,778) | (7,978) |
| Accounts payable | (95,068) | (32,209) | 14,043 |
| Accrued taxes | 38,194 | (150,073) | (64,778) |
| Other current assets and other current liabilities, net | (127,539) | 121,380 | (15,612) |
| Retirement benefits | (271,308) | (82,114) | (26,066) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (11,884) | (4,194) | (1,123) |
| (Increase) decrease in noncurrent assets | (22,980) | (2,347) | 9,744 |
| Increase (decrease) in other noncurrent liabilities | 10,231 | 41,649 | (27,561) |
| Other, net | - | 7,944 | 6,444 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,044,704 | 1,573,715 | 853,300 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Payments for property, plant and equipment | (863,769) | (754,544) | (286,817) |
| Cash acquired from Embarq acquisition | - | 76,906 | - |
| Purchase of wireless spectrum | - | (2,000) | (148,964) |
| Proceeds from liquidation of marketable securities | - | - | 34,945 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | - | 1,595 | 15,809 |
| Other, net | 4,716 | (801) | (3,968) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (859,053) | (678,844) | (388,995) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Payments of debt | (499,931) | (1,097,064) | (285,401) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of debt | 73,800 | 644,423 | 563,115 |
| Cash dividends | (878,005) | (560,697) | (220,266) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (16,515) | (15,563) | (347,264) |
| Net proceeds from settlement of hedges | - | - | 20,745 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 130,260 | 56,823 | 14,599 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 11,884 | 4,194 | 1,123 |
| Other, net | 3,992 | (8,507) | (2,031) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,174,515) | (976,391) | (255,380) |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 11,136 | (81,520) | 208,925 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 161,807 | 243,327 | 34,402 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$172,943 | 161,807 | 243,327 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| (Dollars, except per share amounts, and shares in thousands) | | | |
| COMMON STOCK (represents dollars and shares) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$299,189 | 100,277 | 108,492 |
| Issuance of common stock to acquire Embarq Corporation | - | 196,083 | - |
| Repurchase of common stock | - | - | (9,626) |
| Conversion of preferred stock into common stock | - | - | 367 |
| Shares withheld to satisfy tax withholdings | (460) | (503) | (50) |
| Issuance of common stock through dividend reinvestment, incentive and benefit plans | 6,219 | 3,332 | 1,094 |
| Balance at end of year | 304,948 | 299,189 | 100,277 |
| PAID-IN CAPITAL | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 6,014,051 | 39,961 | 91,147 |
| Issuance of common stock to acquire Embarq Corporation, including portion of share-based compensation awards assumed by CenturyLink | - | 5,873,904 | - |
| Repurchase of common stock | - | - | (91,408) |
| Shares withheld to satisfy tax withholdings | (16,055) | (15,060) | (1,667) |
| Conversion of preferred stock into common stock | - | - | 6,368 |
| Issuance of common stock through dividend reinvestment, incentive and benefit plans | 124,041 | 53,491 | 13,505 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 11,884 | 4,194 | 1,123 |
| Share-based compensation | 38,168 | 55,153 | 16,390 |
| Other | 2,652 | 2,408 | 4,503 |
| Balance at end of year | 6,174,741 | 6,014,051 | 39,961 |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS, NET OF TAX | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | (85,306) | (123,489) | (42,707) |
| Net change in other comprehensive loss (net of reclassification adjustment), net of tax | (55,847) | 38,183 | (80,782) |
| Balance at end of year | (141,153) | (85,306) | (123,489) |
| RETAINED EARNINGS | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 3,232,769 | 3,146,255 | 3,245,302 |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. | 947,705 | 647,211 | 365,732 |
| Repurchase of common stock | - | - | (244,513) |
| Cash dividends declared | | | |
| Common stock - \$2.90, \$2.80 and \$2.1675 per share | (877,993) | (560,685) | (220,086) |
| Preferred stock | (12) | (12) | (180) |
| Balance at end of year | 3,302,469 | 3,232,769 | 3,146,255 |
| PREFERRED STOCK - NON-REDEEMABLE | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 236 | 236 | 6,971 |
| Conversion of preferred stock into common stock | - | - | (6,735) |
| Balance at end of year | 236 | 236 | 236 |

NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | 5,860 | 4,568 | 6,605 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 1,429 | 1,377 | 1,298 |
| Extraordinary gain attributable to noncontrolling interests | - | 1,545 | - |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (1,371) | (1,630) | (3,335) |
| Balance at end of period | 5,918 | 5,860 | 4,568 |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$9,647,159 | 9,466,799 | 3,167,808 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of consolidation - Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CenturyLink, Inc. (“CenturyLink”) and its majority-owned subsidiaries.

Embarq acquisition - On July 1, 2009, we acquired Embarq Corporation (“Embarq”) through a merger transaction, with Embarq surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of CenturyLink. The results of operations of Embarq are included in our consolidated results of operations beginning July 1, 2009. See Note 2 for additional information related to the Embarq acquisition.

Discontinuance of regulatory accounting – Through June 30, 2009, CenturyLink accounted for its regulated telephone operations (except for the properties acquired from Verizon in 2002) in accordance with the provisions of regulatory accounting under which certain of our assets and liabilities were required to be recorded and, accordingly, reflected in the balance sheets of our regulated entities. On July 1, 2009, we discontinued the accounting requirements of regulatory accounting upon the conversion of substantially all of our rate-of-return study areas to federal price cap regulation. In the third quarter of 2009, upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we recorded a non-cash extraordinary gain in our consolidated statements of income of \$136.0 million after-tax. See Note 16 for additional information.

Subsequent to the July 1, 2009 discontinuance of regulatory accounting, all intercompany transactions with affiliates have been eliminated from the consolidated financial statements. Prior to July 1, 2009, intercompany transactions with regulated affiliates subject to regulatory accounting were not eliminated in connection with preparing the consolidated financial statements, as allowed by the provisions of regulatory accounting. The amount of intercompany revenues and costs that were not eliminated related to the first half of 2009 approximated \$114 million.

Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Revenue recognition - Revenues are generally recognized when services are provided or when products are delivered to customers. Revenue that is billed in advance includes monthly recurring network access services, special access services and monthly recurring local line charges. The unearned portion of this revenue is initially deferred as a component of advance billings and customer deposits on our balance sheet and recognized as revenue over the period that the services are provided. Revenue that is billed in arrears includes switched access services, nonrecurring network access services, nonrecurring local services and long distance services. The earned but unbilled portion of this revenue is recognized as revenue in the period that the services are provided. We offer bundle discounts to our customers who receive certain groupings of services. These bundle discounts are recognized concurrently with the associated revenues and are allocated to the various services in the bundled offering based on the relative fair value of services included in each bundled combination. Revenues from installation activities are deferred and recognized as revenue over the estimated life of the customer relationship. The costs associated with such installation activities, up to the related amount of deferred revenue, are deferred and recognized as an operating expense over the same

period. We offer some products and services that are provided by third-party vendors. We review the relationship between us, the vendor and the end customer to assess whether revenue should be reported on a gross or net basis. In assessing whether revenue should be reported on a gross or net basis, we consider whether we act as a principal in the transaction, take title to the products, have risk and rewards of ownership, and act as an agent or broker.

89

Allowance for doubtful accounts. In evaluating the collectibility of our accounts receivable, we assess a number of factors, including a specific customer's or carrier's ability to meet its financial obligations to us, the length of time the receivable has been past due and historical collection experience. Based on these assessments, we record both specific and general reserves for uncollectible accounts receivable to reduce the stated amount of applicable accounts receivable to the amount we ultimately expect to collect.

Property, plant and equipment – As discussed in Note 2, the property acquired in connection with the acquisition of Embarq was recorded based on its fair value. Substantially all other telephone plant is stated at original cost. Normal retirements of telephone plant are charged against accumulated depreciation, along with the costs of removal, less salvage, with no gain or loss recognized. Renewals and betterments of plant and equipment are capitalized while repairs, as well as renewals of minor items, are charged to operating expense. Depreciation of telephone plant is provided on the straight line method using class or overall group rates; such average annual rates range from 2% to 29%.

Non-telephone property is stated at cost and, when sold or retired, a gain or loss is recognized. We depreciate such property on the straight line method over estimated service lives ranging from two to 35 years.

We perform annual internal studies to determine the depreciable lives for our property, plant and equipment. Our studies utilize models that take into account actual usage, replacement history and assumptions about technology evolution to estimate the remaining life of our asset base. The changes in our estimates incorporated as a result of our 2010 internal study did not have a material impact on the level of our depreciation expense.

90

Goodwill and other long-lived assets – Goodwill recorded in a business combination is required to be reviewed for impairment and to be written down only in periods in which the recorded amount of goodwill exceeds its fair value.

Applicable accounting guidance also stipulates certain factors to consider regarding whether or not a triggering event has occurred that would require performance of an interim goodwill impairment test. We test impairment of goodwill at least annually by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value (including goodwill). We base our estimates of the fair value of the reporting unit on valuation models using criterion such as multiples of earnings. See Note 4 for additional information. Other long-lived assets (exclusive of goodwill) are reviewed for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate that such carrying amount cannot be recoverable by assessing the recoverability of the carrying value through undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets.

Income taxes - We file a consolidated federal income tax return with our eligible subsidiaries. We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. We establish valuation allowances when necessary to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amounts that we believe are more likely than not to be recovered.

Postretirement and pension plans – We recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of our defined benefit and postretirement plans as an asset or a liability on our balance sheet, with an adjustment to stockholders' equity (reflected as an increase or decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss) for the accumulated actuarial gains or losses. Pension and postretirement benefit expenses are recognized over the period in which the employee renders

service and becomes eligible to receive benefits. We make significant assumptions (including the discount rate, expected rate of return on plan assets and health care trend rates) in computing the pension and postretirement benefits expense and obligations. See Notes 11 and 12 for additional information.

Stock-based compensation – We measure our cost of awarding employees with equity instruments based upon allocations of the fair value of the award on the grant date. See Note 15 for additional information.

Derivative financial instruments – We account for derivative instruments and hedging activities in accordance with applicable accounting guidance which requires that all derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps, be recognized in the financial statements and measured at fair value regardless of the purpose or intent of holding them. On the date a derivative contract is entered into, we designate the derivative as either (i) a fair value hedge, which involves a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment or (ii) a cash flow hedge, which involves a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability. We also formally assess, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. If we determine that a derivative is not, or is no longer, highly effective as a hedge, we would discontinue hedge accounting prospectively. We recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at their fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are either recognized in income or stockholders' equity (as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)), depending on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Management periodically reviews our exposure to interest rate fluctuations and implements strategies to manage the exposure. See Note 7 for additional information.

91

Earnings per share – We determine basic earnings per share amounts on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the applicable accounting period. Diluted earnings per share gives effect to all potential dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period. See Note 14 for additional information.

Cash equivalents - We consider short-term investments with a maturity at date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Recent accounting pronouncements - In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board updated the accounting standard regarding revenue recognition for multiple deliverable arrangements, such as the service bundles we offer to our customers. This update requires the use of the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in these types of arrangements. This method allows a vendor to use its best estimate of selling price if neither vendor specific objective evidence nor third party evidence of selling price exists when evaluating multiple deliverable arrangements. This standard update is effective January 1, 2011 and may be adopted prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the date of adoption or retrospectively for all revenue arrangements for all periods presented. We currently do not expect this standard update to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, we adopted the accounting standard update regarding fair value measurements and disclosures, which requires additional disclosures and explanations for transfers of financial assets and liabilities between certain levels in the fair value hierarchy. The adoption of this accounting standard update did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications – Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation, including the reclassification of certain revenue components as more fully described in Note 20.

(2) EMBARQ ACQUISITION

On July 1, 2009, we acquired Embarq through a merger transaction, with Embarq surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of CenturyLink. We accounted for such acquisition pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board guidance on business combinations, which requires an acquiring entity to recognize all of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition date fair value with limited exceptions. Such guidance also changed the accounting treatment for certain specific items, including acquisition costs, acquired contingent liabilities, restructuring costs, deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date and is effective for us for all business combinations with acquisition dates after January 1, 2009.

92

As a result of the acquisition, each outstanding share of Embarq common stock was converted into the right to receive 1.37 shares of CenturyLink common stock (“CTL common stock”), with cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. Based on the number of CenturyLink common shares issued to consummate the merger (196.1 million), the closing stock price of CTL common stock as of June 30, 2009 (\$30.70) and the pre-combination portion of share-based compensation awards assumed by CenturyLink (\$50.2 million), the aggregate merger consideration approximated \$6.1 billion. The premium paid by us in this transaction is attributable to strategic benefits, including enhanced financial and operational scale, market diversification, leveraged combined networks and improved competitive positioning. None of the goodwill associated with this transaction is deductible for income tax purposes.

The results of operations of Embarq are included in our consolidated results of operations beginning July 1, 2009. Approximately \$4.866 billion and \$2.563 billion of operating revenues of Embarq are included in our consolidated results of operations for 2010 and 2009, respectively. CenturyLink was the accounting acquirer in this transaction. We have recognized Embarq’s assets and liabilities at their acquisition date estimated fair values. The assignment of a fair value to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of Embarq (and the related estimated lives of depreciable tangible and identifiable intangible assets) require a significant amount of judgment. The fair value of property, plant and equipment and identifiable intangible assets were determined based upon analysis performed by an independent valuation firm. The fair value of pension and postretirement obligations was determined by independent actuaries. The fair value of long-term debt was determined by management based on a discounted cash flow analysis, using the rates and maturities of these obligations compared to terms and rates currently available in the long-term financing markets at the time of acquisition. All other fair value determinations, which consisted primarily of Embarq’s current assets, current liabilities and deferred income taxes, were made by management. Upon the end of the measurement period in June 2010, we assigned the following final fair value amounts to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the Embarq acquisition.

93

| | Fair value as of July 1, 2009 (Dollars in thousands) |
|---|--|
| Current assets* | \$675,720 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 6,077,672 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | |
| Customer list | 1,098,000 |
| Rights of way | 268,472 |
| Other (trademarks, internally developed software, licenses) | 26,817 |
| Other non-current assets | 24,131 |
| Current liabilities | (837,132) |
| Long-term debt, including current maturities | (4,886,708) |

| | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Other long-term liabilities | (2,621,493) |
| Goodwill | 6,244,966 |
| Total purchase price | \$6,070,445 |

* Includes a fair value of \$440 million assigned to accounts receivable which had a gross contractual value of \$492 million as of July 1, 2009. The \$52 million difference represents our best estimate as of July 1, 2009 of the contractual cash flows that would not be collected.

We recognized approximately \$88 million of liabilities arising from contingencies as of the acquisition date on the basis that it was probable that a liability had been incurred and the amount could be reasonably estimated. Such contingencies primarily relate to transaction and property tax contingencies and contingencies arising from billing disputes with various parties in the communications industry.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of CenturyLink and Embarq as though the acquisition had been consummated as of January 1, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for the two periods presented below.

| | Twelve months ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars, except per share amounts, in thousands) | |
| Operating revenues | \$7,645,000 | 8,289,000 |
| Income before extraordinary item | \$895,000 | 1,087,000 |
| Basic earnings per share before extraordinary item | \$3.00 | 3.55 |
| Diluted earnings per share before extraordinary item | \$2.99 | 3.53 |

These results include certain adjustments, primarily due to adjustments to depreciation and amortization associated with the property, plant and equipment and identifiable intangible assets, increased retiree benefit costs due to the remeasurement of the benefit obligations, and the related income tax effects. Pro forma operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 include approximately \$104 million of revenues that would have been eliminated had our July 1, 2009 discontinuance of the application of regulatory accounting been effective as of January 1, 2009. The pro forma information does not necessarily reflect the actual results of operations had the acquisition been consummated at the beginning of the period indicated nor is it necessarily indicative of future operating results. Other than those actually realized during the last half of 2009, the pro forma information does not give effect to any potential revenue enhancements or cost synergies or other operating efficiencies that have resulted or could result from the acquisition.

94

During 2010 and 2009, we recognized an aggregate of approximately \$121.7 million and \$253.7 million, respectively, of integration, transaction and other costs related to the Embarq acquisition, including costs associated with system and customer conversions, employee-related severance and benefit costs, investment banker and legal fees associated with the merger closing, and branding costs associated with changing our trade name to CenturyLink.

In connection with consummating the Embarq acquisition, on July 1, 2009, we amended our charter to (i) eliminate our time-phase voting structure, which previously entitled persons who beneficially owned shares of our common stock continuously since May 30, 1987 to ten votes per share, and (ii) increase the authorized number of shares of our common stock from 350 million to 800 million. As so amended and restated, our charter provides that each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote per share with respect to each matter properly submitted to shareholders for

their vote, consent, waiver, release or other action.

On January 23, 2009, Embarq amended its Credit Agreement to effect, upon completion of the merger, a waiver of the event of default that would have arisen under the Credit Agreement solely as a result of the merger and enabled the Credit Agreement, as amended, to remain in place after the merger. Previously, in connection with agreeing to acquire Embarq, we had entered into a commitment letter with various lenders which provided for an \$800 million bridge facility that would have been available to, among other things, refinance borrowings under the Credit Agreement in the event a waiver of the event of default arising from the consummation of the merger could not have been obtained and other financing was unavailable. On January 23, 2009, we terminated the commitment letter and paid an aggregate of \$8.0 million to the lenders. Such amount is reflected as an expense (in Other income (expense)) in 2009.

(3) PENDING ACQUISITION OF QWEST

On April 21, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement under which we propose to acquire Qwest Communications International Inc. ("Qwest") in a tax-free stock-for-stock transaction. Under the terms of the agreement, Qwest shareholders will receive 0.1664 CenturyLink shares for each share of Qwest common stock they own at closing. CenturyLink shareholders are expected to own approximately 50.5% and Qwest shareholders are expected to own approximately 49.5% of the combined company at closing. As of December 31, 2010, Qwest had outstanding approximately (i) 1.764 billion shares of common stock and (ii) \$11.947 billion of long-term debt.

Completion of the transaction is subject to the receipt of regulatory approvals, including approvals from the Federal Communications Commission and certain state public service commissions, as well as other customary closing conditions. Subject to these conditions, we anticipate closing this transaction on April 1, 2011. If the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, we may be obligated to pay Qwest a termination fee of \$350 million or Qwest may be obligated to pay CenturyLink a termination fee of \$350 million.

95

(4) GOODWILL AND OTHER ASSETS

Goodwill and other assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were composed of the following:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Goodwill | \$ 10,260,640 | 10,251,758 |
| Intangible assets subject to amortization | | |
| Customer list, less accumulated amortization of \$349,402 and \$148,491 | 929,907 | 1,130,817 |
| Other, less accumulated amortization of \$8,297 and \$22,466 | 41,670 | 47,101 |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization | 268,500 | 268,500 |
| Billing system development costs, less accumulated amortization of \$73,735 and \$61,672 | 162,809 | 174,872 |
| Investment in 700 MHz wireless spectrum licenses | 149,425 | 149,425 |

| | | |
|--|---------------|------------|
| Deferred costs associated with installation activities | 114,375 | 91,865 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance contracts | 99,462 | 100,945 |
| Investment in unconsolidated cellular partnership | 33,019 | 32,679 |
| Other | 80,686 | 94,037 |
| | \$ 12,140,493 | 12,341,999 |

Our goodwill was derived from numerous previous acquisitions whereby the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired. The change in the balance of goodwill from December 31, 2009 is attributable to the finalization of the assignment of fair value to Embarq's assets and liabilities acquired (primarily certain contingent liabilities and deferred income taxes finalized within the measurement period) in connection with our July 1, 2009 acquisition of Embarq.

The vast majority of our goodwill is attributable to our telephone operations, which we internally operate and manage based on five geographic regions which were established in connection with our acquisition of Embarq. We test for goodwill impairment for our telephone operations at the region level due to the similar economic characteristics of the individual reporting units that comprise each region. Impairment of goodwill is tested by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value (including goodwill). Estimates of the fair value of the reporting unit of our telephone operations are based on valuation models using techniques such as multiples of earnings (before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization). We also evaluate goodwill impairment of our other operations primarily based on multiples of earnings and revenues. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, a second calculation is required in which the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to its carrying value. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value, goodwill must be written down to its implied fair value.

As of September 30, 2010, we completed our annual impairment test of goodwill and concluded that our goodwill was not impaired as of that date and we believe that no events have occurred subsequent to that date that would impact our analysis. However, as of September 30, 2010, the estimated fair value of the Southern region exceeded its carrying value by less than 10%. Should events occur (such as continued access line losses or other revenue reductions) that would cause the fair value to decline below its carrying value, we may be required to record a non-cash charge to earnings during the period in which the impairment is determined.

96

We are amortizing our customer list intangible asset associated with our Embarq acquisition over an average of 10 years using an accelerated method of amortization (sum-of-the-years digits) to more closely match the estimated cash flow generated by such asset. Our remaining customer list intangible assets are being amortized over a range of 5-15 years using the straight-line amortization method. Effective July 1, 2009 we changed the assessment of useful life for our franchise rights from indefinite to 20 years (straight-line). We periodically evaluate our customer list intangible asset to insure that our current amortization method and remaining useful lives are appropriate.

Total amortization expense related to the intangible assets subject to amortization for 2010 was \$206.3 million and is expected to be \$185.6 million for 2011, \$164.5 million for 2012, \$145.2 million for 2013, \$126.0 million in 2014 and \$106.9 million in 2015 (based on intangible assets held at December 31, 2010).

In connection with our acquisition of Embarq, we established an intangible asset associated with right-of-way and other real estate agreements of approximately \$268.5 million. We have concluded that such asset has an indefinite life and therefore is currently not being amortized. We annually review this asset for potential impairment.

We accounted for the costs to develop an integrated billing and customer care system in accordance with applicable accounting guidance related to internally developed software. Aggregate capitalized costs (before accumulated

amortization) totaled \$236.5 million and are being amortized over a twenty-year period.

The costs associated with installation activities are deferred and recognized as an operating expense over the estimated life of the customer relationship (10 years). Such costs are only deferred to the extent of the related deferred revenue.

During 2008, we paid an aggregate of approximately \$149 million for 69 licenses in the FCC's auction of 700 megahertz wireless spectrum. We annually review this asset for potential impairment.

97

(5) PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Net property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was composed of the following:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cable and wire | \$ 8,194,393 | 8,133,830 |
| Central office | 5,082,850 | 4,611,407 |
| General support | 2,199,525 | 1,873,525 |
| Fiber transport | 370,196 | 343,208 |
| Information origination/termination | 104,831 | 85,029 |
| Construction in progress | 271,736 | 430,119 |
| Other | 105,713 | 79,645 |
| | 16,329,244 | 15,556,763 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (7,574,768) | (6,459,624) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | \$ 8,754,476 | 9,097,139 |

Depreciation expense was \$1.227 billion, \$838.8 million and \$506.9 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

98

(6) Long-term Debt

Our long-term debt as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| CenturyLink | | |
| .82%* Senior credit facility | \$ 365,000 | 291,200 |
| Senior notes and debentures: | | |
| 7.20% Series D, due 2025 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| 6.875% Series G, due 2028 | 425,000 | 425,000 |
| 8.375% Series H | - | 482,470 |
| 7.875% Series L, due 2012 | 317,530 | 317,530 |
| 5.0% Series M, due 2015 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
| 6.0% Series N, due 2017 | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| 5.5% Series O, due 2013 | 175,665 | 175,665 |
| 7.6% Series P, due 2039 | 400,000 | 400,000 |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| 6.15% Series Q, due 2019 | 250,000 | 250,000 |
| Unamortized net discount | (4,205) | (5,331) |
| Unamortized premium associated with derivative instruments: | | |
| Series H senior notes | - | 2,240 |
| Series L senior notes | 5,739 | 9,182 |
| Total CenturyLink | 2,884,729 | 3,297,956 |
| Subsidiaries | | |
| Embarq Corporation | | |
| Senior notes | | |
| 6.738% due 2013 | 528,256 | 528,256 |
| 7.1%, due 2016 | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| 8.0%, due 2036 | 1,485,000 | 1,485,000 |
| 8.1%* Other, due through 2025 | 522,223 | 524,273 |
| Unamortized net discount | (174,991) | (178,155) |
| First mortgage debt | | |
| 5.40%* notes, payable to agencies of the U. S. government and cooperative lending associations, due in installments through 2028 | | |
| | 82,270 | 94,603 |
| Other debt | | |
| 10.0% notes | 100 | 100 |
| Capital lease obligations | - | 1,685 |
| Total subsidiaries | 4,442,858 | 4,455,762 |
| Total long-term debt | 7,327,587 | 7,753,718 |
| Less current maturities | 11,583 | 500,065 |
| Long-term debt, excluding current maturities | \$ 7,316,004 | 7,253,653 |

* Weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2010

The approximate annual debt maturities for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2010 are as follows: 2011 - \$11.6 million; 2012 - \$327.6 million; 2013 - \$818.5 million; 2014 - \$31.5 million and 2015 \$715.3 million.

Certain of our loan agreements contain various restrictions, among which are limitations regarding issuance of additional debt, payment of cash dividends, reacquisition of capital stock and other matters. In addition, the transfer of funds from certain consolidated subsidiaries to CenturyLink is restricted by various loan agreements. Subsidiaries which have loans from government agencies and cooperative lending associations, or have issued first mortgage bonds, generally may not loan or advance any funds to CenturyLink, but may pay dividends if certain financial ratios are met. At December 31, 2010, all of our consolidated retained earnings reflected on the balance sheet was available under our loan agreements for the declaration of dividends.

99

The senior notes and debentures of CenturyLink referred to above were issued under an indenture dated March 31, 1994. This indenture does not contain any financial covenants, but does include restrictions that limit our ability to (i) incur, issue or create liens upon our property and (ii) consolidate with or merge into, or transfer or lease all or substantially all of its assets to, any other party. The indenture does not contain any provisions that are impacted by

our credit ratings, or that restrict the issuance of new securities in the event of a material adverse change to us.

Embarq's senior notes were issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of May 17, 2006. While Embarq is generally prohibited from creating liens on its property unless its senior notes are secured equally and ratably, Embarq can create liens on its property without equally and ratably securing its senior notes so long as the sum of all indebtedness so secured does not exceed 15% of Embarq's consolidated net tangible assets. The indenture contains customary events of default, none of which are impacted by Embarq's credit rating. The indenture does not contain any financial covenants or restrictions on the ability to issue new securities in accordance with the terms of the indenture.

Various of our other subsidiaries have outstanding first mortgage bonds or unsecured debentures. Each issue of these first mortgage bonds are secured by substantially all of the property, plant and equipment of the issuing subsidiary. Approximately 50% of our property, plant and equipment is pledged to secure the long-term debt of subsidiaries.

In September 2009, CenturyLink and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Embarq, commenced joint debt tender offers under which they offered to purchase up to \$800 million of their outstanding notes. In October 2009, (i) Embarq purchased for cash \$471.7 million principal amount of its 6.738% Notes due 2013 and (ii) CenturyLink purchased for cash \$74.3 million principal amount of its 5.5% Series O Senior Notes, due 2013, \$182.5 million principal amount of its 7.875% Series L Senior Notes, due 2012, and \$17.5 million principal amount of its 8.375% Series H Senior Notes, due 2010. Due primarily to the premiums paid in connection with these debt extinguishments, we recorded a one-time pre-tax charge of approximately \$61 million in the fourth quarter of 2009 related to the completion of the tender offers (which is reflected in other income (expense) and interest expense on our consolidated statements of income).

We funded these debt tender offers with net proceeds of \$644.4 million from the September 2009 issuance of (i) \$250 million of 10-year, 6.15% Series Q senior notes and \$400 million of 30-year, 7.6% Series P senior notes and (ii) additional borrowings under our existing revolving credit facility.

100

As of December 31, 2010, we had available two unsecured revolving credit facilities, (i) a five-year, \$750 million facility of CenturyLink and (ii) an \$800 million facility of Embarq. As of December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$365.0 million outstanding under these credit facilities (all of which related to CenturyLink's facility).

In January 2011, we entered into a new four-year revolving credit facility that allows us to borrow up to \$1.0 billion initially with the total capacity of the credit facility increasing to \$1.7 billion upon the consummation of our pending acquisition of Qwest. Up to \$400 million of this new credit facility can be used for letters of credit. Interest will be assessed on future borrowings using the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin between .5% and 2.5% per annum depending on the type of loan and our then current senior unsecured long-term debt rating. Upon the execution of the new credit facility, the two credit facilities mentioned above were terminated. As a result of the execution of the new credit facility in early 2011, the amount outstanding under our predecessor credit facility as of December 31, 2010 has been reflected in long-term debt. As of February 28, 2011, we had \$280 million outstanding under the new credit facility. For additional information regarding our new replacement credit facility, see Note 22.

As was the case with our predecessor credit facilities, (i) outstanding letters of credit directly reduce the amount available for other extensions of credit under our new credit facility and (ii) outstanding borrowings under our commercial paper program, which effectively cannot exceed the amount available under our new facility, effectively have the same result on our borrowing capacity under the new facility. As of February 28, 2011, approximately \$61 million of letters of credit were outstanding and no amounts were outstanding under our commercial paper program.

(7) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

In 2003, we entered into four separate fair value interest rate hedges associated with the full \$500 million principal amount of our Series L senior notes, due 2012, that pay interest at a fixed rate of 7.875%. These hedges were “fixed to variable” interest rate swaps that effectively converted our fixed rate interest payment obligations under these notes into obligations to pay variable rates. In January 2008, we terminated all of our existing “fixed to variable” interest rate swaps associated with the full \$500 million principal amount of our Series L senior notes. In connection with the termination of these derivatives, we received aggregate cash payments of approximately \$25.6 million, which has been reflected as a premium of the associated long-term debt and is being amortized as a reduction of interest expense through 2012 using the effective interest method. In addition, in January 2008, we also terminated certain other derivatives that were not deemed to be effective hedges. Upon the termination of these derivatives, we paid an aggregate of approximately \$4.9 million (and recorded a \$3.4 million pre-tax charge in the first quarter of 2008 related to the settlement of these derivatives). During 2010 and as of December 31, 2010, we had no derivative instruments outstanding.

101

(8) DEFERRED CREDITS AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Deferred credits and other liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were composed of the following:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------------------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Deferred federal and state income taxes | \$ 2,368,698 | 2,256,579 |
| Accrued pension costs | 802,090 | 960,610 |
| Accrued postretirement benefit costs | 503,907 | 525,033 |
| Deferred revenue | 147,759 | 136,969 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions | 66,501 | 83,931 |
| Casualty insurance reserves | 64,183 | 60,666 |
| Other | 110,755 | 111,294 |
| | \$ 4,063,893 | 4,135,082 |

For additional information on deferred federal and state income taxes, accrued pension costs and accrued postretirement benefit costs, see Notes 13, 12 and 11, respectively.

(9) REDUCTIONS IN WORKFORCE

During each of the last three years, we have announced workforce reductions primarily due to (i) increased competitive pressures and the loss of access lines over the last several years; (ii) progression or completion of our Embarq and Madison River integration plans; and (iii) the elimination of certain customer service personnel due to reduced call volumes. In connection therewith, we incurred pre-tax operating expense charges of approximately \$27.3 million in 2010, \$80.6 million in 2009 and \$2.0 million in 2008 for severance and related costs.

The following table reflects additional information regarding the severance-related liability for 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ 1,835 |
|------------------------------|----------|

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Amount accrued to expense | 2,046 |
| Amount paid | (2,083) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 1,798 |
| Severance-related liability assumed in Embarq acquisition | 31,086 |
| Amount accrued to expense | 80,580 |
| Amount paid | (44,895) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 68,569 |
| Amount accrued to expense | 27,258 |
| Amount paid | (77,823) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$18,004 |

102

(10) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock - Unissued shares of CenturyLink common stock were reserved as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 |
|---|----------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| Incentive compensation programs | 25,245 |
| Acquisitions | 4,064 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 3,990 |
| Dividend reinvestment plan | 595 |
| Conversion of convertible preferred stock | 13 |
| | 33,907 |

In January 2009, in connection with the special meeting of shareholders to approve share issuances in connection with our acquisition of Embarq, our shareholders approved a charter amendment to eliminate certain special voting rights of long-term shareholders upon the consummation of the Embarq acquisition. On July 1, 2009, we issued 196.1 million shares of CenturyLink common stock in connection with the acquisition of Embarq. See Note 2 for additional information.

In accordance with previously-announced stock repurchase programs, we repurchased 9.7 million shares (for \$347.3 million) in 2008.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance regarding noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements, which requires noncontrolling interests to be recognized as equity in the consolidated financial statements. In addition, net income attributable to such noncontrolling interests is required to be included in consolidated net income. This guidance was effective for our 2009 fiscal year and prior periods have been adjusted to reflect this presentation.

Preferred stock - As of December 31, 2010, we had 2.0 million shares of authorized preferred stock, \$25 par value per share. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were approximately 9,400 shares of outstanding convertible preferred stock. Holders of outstanding CenturyLink preferred stock are entitled to receive cumulative dividends, receive preferential distributions equal to \$25 per share plus unpaid dividends upon CenturyLink's liquidation and vote as a

single class with the holders of common stock.

(11) POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Our postretirement health care plan provides postretirement benefits to qualified retirees. The postretirement health care plan we assumed as part of our acquisition of Embarq provides postretirement benefits to qualified legacy Embarq retirees and allows (i) eligible employees retiring before certain dates to receive benefits at no or reduced cost and (ii) eligible employees retiring after certain dates to receive benefits on a shared cost basis. The postretirement health care plan is generally funded by us and we expect to continue funding these postretirement obligations as benefits are paid. Our plan uses a December 31 measurement date.

103

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the benefit obligation and the plan assets.

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Change in benefit obligation | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 582,345 | 292,887 | 306,633 |
| Service cost | 14,680 | 8,764 | 4,926 |
| Interest cost | 32,118 | 26,693 | 19,395 |
| Participant contributions | 14,382 | 3,013 | 2,789 |
| Plan amendments | (846) | - | (9,093) |
| Acquisitions | - | 228,200 | - |
| Direct subsidy receipts | 1,092 | 626 | 1,092 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (31,977) | 58,455 | (11,992) |
| Benefits paid | (54,073) | (36,293) | (20,863) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 557,721 | 582,345 | 292,887 |
| Change in plan assets | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 57,312 | 16,805 | 28,324 |
| Return (loss) on plan assets | 5,916 | 6,405 | (6,166) |
| Acquisitions | - | 33,200 | - |
| Employer contributions | 30,277 | 34,182 | 12,721 |
| Participant contributions | 14,382 | 3,013 | 2,789 |
| Benefits paid | (54,073) | (36,293) | (20,863) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 53,814 | 57,312 | 16,805 |

The following table sets forth the amounts recognized as liabilities on the balance sheet for postretirement benefits at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Benefit obligation | \$ (557,721) | (582,345) | (292,887) |
| Fair value of plan assets | 53,814 | 57,312 | 16,805 |
| Accrued benefit cost | \$ (503,907) | (525,033) | (276,082) |

Net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 2010, 2009 (which only includes the effects of our Embarq acquisition subsequent to July 1, 2009) and 2008 included the following components:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Service cost | \$ 14,680 | 8,764 | 4,926 |
| Interest cost | 32,118 | 26,693 | 19,395 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (3,435) | (2,386) | (2,337) |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service credit | (3,433) | (3,546) | (2,606) |
| Amortization of unrecognized net loss | 942 | - | - |
| Net periodic postretirement benefit cost | \$ 40,872 | 29,525 | 19,378 |

104

The unamortized prior service credit (\$11.7 million as of December 31, 2010) and unrecognized net actuarial loss (\$30.6 million as of December 31, 2010) components have been reflected as a \$12.3 million after-tax decrease to accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity. The estimated amount of net amortization income of the above unrecognized items that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss and reflected as a component of net periodic postretirement cost during 2011 is \$2.3 million income for the prior service credit.

Assumptions used in accounting for postretirement benefits as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were:

| | 2010 | 2009 | | |
|--|---------|-------------|---|--|
| Determination of benefit obligation | | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.30 | % 5.7-5.8 | % | |
| Healthcare cost increase trend rates | | | | |
| Following year | 8.50 | % 8.0 | % | |
| Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate cost trend rate) | 5.0 | % 5.0 | % | |
| Year that the rate reaches the ultimate cost trend rate | 2018 | 2014 | | |
| Determination of benefit cost | | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.7-5.8 | % 6.4-6.90 | % | |
| Expected return on plan assets | 7.25 | % 8.25-8.50 | % | |

Our discount rate is based on a hypothetical portfolio of bonds rated AA- or better that produces a cash flow matching the projected benefit payments of the plans. In determining the expected return on plan assets, we study historical markets and apply the widely-accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility and risk generate a greater return over the long term. We evaluate current market factors such as inflation and interest rates before determining long-term capital market assumptions. We also review peer data and historical returns to check for reasonableness.

Assumed health care cost trends have an impact on the amounts reported for postretirement benefit plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost rates would have the following effects:

| | 1-Percentage Point Increase | 1-Percentage Point Decrease |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Effect on annual total of service and interest cost components | \$ 108 | (132) |
| Effect on postretirement benefit obligation | \$ 1,285 | (1,527) |

We employ a total return investment approach whereby a mix of equities and fixed income investments are used to maximize the long-term return of plan assets for a prudent level of risk. Risk tolerance is established through careful consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status and corporate financial condition. We measure and monitor investment risk on an ongoing basis through annual liability measurements, periodic asset studies and periodic portfolio reviews.

105

Our weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009 by asset category are as follows:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|---------------------------|-------|---|-------|
| Equity securities | 19.9 | % | 18.6 |
| Debt securities | 72.2 | | 64.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 7.9 | | 16.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | % | 100.0 |

As of December 31, 2010, we used the following valuation techniques to measure fair value for assets. There were no changes to these methodologies during 2010:

Level 1 - Assets were valued using the closing price reported in the active market in which the individual security was traded.

Level 2 - Assets were valued using quoted prices in markets that are not active, broker dealer quotations, net asset value of shares held by the plans and other methods by which all significant input were observable at the measurement date.

Level 3 - Assets were valued using valuation reports from the respective institutions at the measurement date.

The following table presents the hierarchy levels for our postretirement benefit plan's investments as of December 31, 2010:

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| Common stocks, preferred stocks, equity funds and related securities | \$5,204 | 5,487 | - | 10,691 |
| Debt securities | 35,222 | 3,648 | - | 38,870 |
| Cash | 4,253 | - | - | 4,253 |
| Total | \$44,679 | 9,135 | - | 53,814 |

The following table presents the hierarchy levels for our postretirement benefit plan's investments as of December 31, 2009:

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| Common stocks, preferred stocks, equity funds and related securities | \$4,967 | 5,688 | - | 10,655 |
| Debt securities | 32,900 | 4,075 | - | 36,975 |
| Cash | 9,682 | - | - | 9,682 |
| Total | \$47,549 | 9,763 | - | 57,312 |

Our plan invests in various securities, some of which are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities will occur in the near term and that those changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the statement of net assets available for benefits.

106

Based on current estimates, we expect to contribute approximately \$47.9 million to our postretirement benefit plan in 2011.

Our estimated future projected benefit payments under our postretirement benefit plan are as follows:

| Year | Before Medicare | Medicare | Net of Medicare |
|-----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | Subsidy | Subsidy | Subsidy |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| 2011 | \$ 49,206 | (1,351) | 47,855 |
| 2012 | \$ 51,186 | (1,600) | 49,586 |
| 2013 | \$ 47,751 | (1,852) | 45,899 |
| 2014 | \$ 46,281 | (2,099) | 44,182 |
| 2015 | \$ 45,620 | (2,351) | 43,269 |
| 2016-2020 | \$ 203,780 | (5,537) | 198,243 |

(12) DEFINED BENEFIT AND OTHER RETIREMENT PLANS

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans for substantially all employees, including separate plans for legacy CenturyLink employees and legacy Embarq employees. Until such time as we elect to integrate Embarq's benefit plans with ours, we plan to continue to operate these plans independently. Pension benefits for participants of these

plans who are represented by a collective bargaining agreement are based on negotiated schedules. All other participants' pension benefits are based on each individual participant's years of service and compensation. Both CenturyLink and Embarq have previously sponsored, or continue to sponsor, supplemental executive retirement plans providing certain officers with supplemental retirement, death and disability benefits. We use a December 31 measurement date for all our plans.

To align our benefit structure closer to those offered by our competitors, in late 2010 we froze pension benefit accruals for our non-represented employees as of December 31, 2010. Such action resulted in a reduction of our benefit obligation of approximately \$110.2 million and resulted in the recognition of a curtailment gain of approximately \$20.9 million in 2010.

In late February 2008, our Board of Directors approved certain actions related to CenturyLink's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, including (i) freezing benefit accruals effective February 29, 2008 and (ii) amending the plan in the second quarter of 2008 to permit participants to receive in 2009 a lump sum distribution of the present value of their accrued plan benefits based on their election. We also enhanced plan termination benefits by (i) crediting each active participant with three additional years of service and (ii) crediting each participant who was not in pay status under the plan with three additional years of age in connection with calculating the present value of any lump sum distribution. We recorded an aggregate curtailment loss of approximately \$8.2 million in 2008 related to the above-described items. In addition, principally due to the payment of the lump sum distributions in early 2009, we also recognized a settlement loss (which is included in selling, general and administrative expense) of approximately \$7.7 million in 2009.

107

Due to change of control provisions that were triggered upon the consummation of the Embarq acquisition on July 1, 2009, certain retirees who were receiving monthly annuity payments under a CenturyLink supplemental executive retirement plan were paid a lump sum distribution calculated in accordance with the provisions of the plan. A settlement expense of approximately \$8.9 million was recognized in the third quarter of 2009 as a result of these actions.

The legacy Embarq pension plan contains a provision that grants early retirement benefits for certain participants affected by workforce reductions. During 2009, we recognized approximately \$14.7 million of additional pension expense related to these contractual benefits.

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the aggregate benefit obligation and the assets for our above-referenced defined benefit plans.

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Change in benefit obligation | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 4,181,582 | 462,701 | 469,437 |
| Service cost | 61,156 | 36,223 | 13,761 |
| Interest cost | 245,753 | 134,898 | 29,373 |
| Plan amendments | 4,304 | 16,016 | 2,393 |
| Acquisitions | - | 3,467,260 | - |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 426,700 | 231,663 | (24,819) |
| Contractual retirement benefits | - | 14,676 | - |
| | (110,169) | - | 8,235 |

| | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|
| Curtailment (gain) loss | | | |
| Settlements | - | 8,294 | (1,945) |
| Benefits paid | (275,357) | (190,149) | (33,734) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ 4,533,969 | 4,181,582 | 462,701 |
| Change in plan assets | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ 3,219,706 | 352,830 | 459,198 |
| Return (loss) on plan assets | 482,709 | 473,878 | (123,210) |
| Acquisitions | - | 2,407,200 | - |
| Employer contributions | 304,811 | 175,946 | 52,521 |
| Settlements | - | - | (1,945) |
| Benefits paid | (275,357) | (190,148) | (33,734) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ 3,731,869 | 3,219,706 | 352,830 |

108

The following table sets forth the combined plans' funded status and amounts recognized in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Benefit obligation | \$ (4,533,969) | (4,181,582) | (462,701) |
| Fair value of plan assets | 3,731,869 | 3,219,706 | 352,830 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (802,100) | (961,876) | (109,871) |

Amounts recognized on the balance sheet consist of:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$ (10) | (1,266) |
| Other deferred credits | (802,090) | (960,610) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (802,100) | (961,876) |

Our aggregate accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.509 billion and \$4.042 billion, respectively.

Net periodic pension expense for 2010, 2009 (which only includes the effects of our Embarq acquisition subsequent to July 1, 2009) and 2008 included the following components:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Service cost | \$ 61,156 | 36,223 | 13,761 |
| Interest cost | 245,753 | 134,898 | 29,373 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (283,026) | (127,613) | (36,667) |
| Curtailed (gain) loss | (20,908) | - | 8,235 |
| Settlements | - | 17,834 | 410 |
| Contractual retirement benefits | - | 14,676 | - |
| Net amortization and deferral | 18,765 | 16,271 | 3,377 |
| Net periodic pension expense | \$ 21,740 | 92,289 | 18,489 |

The unamortized prior service cost (\$18.9 million as of December 31, 2010) and unrecognized net actuarial loss (\$187.5 million as of December 31, 2010) components have been reflected as a \$206.4 million net reduction (\$127.1 million after-tax) to accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders' equity. The estimated amount of amortization expense of the above unrecognized amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss and reflected as a component of net periodic pension cost for 2011 are (i) \$2.4 million for the prior service cost and (ii) \$13.4 million for the net actuarial loss.

109

Assumptions used in accounting for pension plans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were:

| | 2010 | | 2009 |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| Determination of benefit obligation | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.0-5.5 | % | 5.5-6.0 |
| Weighted average rate of compensation increase | 3.25-4.0 | % | 3.5-4.0 |
| Determination of benefit cost | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.5-6.0 | % | 6.60-6.90 |
| Weighted average rate of compensation increase | 3.5-4.0 | % | 4.0 |
| Expected return on plan assets* | 8.25-8.50 | % | 8.25-8.50 |

* For 2011, we are reducing our long-term assumed rate of return assumption to 7.5% for our legacy CenturyLink pension plan and 8.0% for our legacy Embarq pension plan.

Our discount rate is based on a hypothetical portfolio of bonds rated AA- or better that produces a cash flow matching the projected benefit payments of the plans. In determining the expected return on plan assets, we study historical markets and apply the widely-accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility and risk generate a greater return over the long term. We evaluate current market factors such as inflation and interest rates before determining long-term capital market assumptions. We also review peer data and historical returns to check for reasonableness.

We employ a total return investment approach whereby a mix of equities and fixed income investments are used to maximize the long-term return of plan assets for a prudent level of risk. Risk tolerance is established through careful

consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status and corporate financial condition. We measure and monitor investment risk on an ongoing basis through annual liability measurements, periodic asset studies and periodic portfolio reviews. The fair value of most of our pension plan assets is determined by reference to observable market data consisting of published market quotes.

Our pension plans weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009 by asset category are as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------|-------|---------|
| Equity securities | 52.3 | % 49.3 |
| Debt securities | 33.4 | 28.8 |
| Hedge funds | 4.3 | 8.5 |
| Real estate | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Cash equivalents and other | 5.1 | 8.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | % 100.0 |

As of December 31, 2010, we used the following valuation techniques to measure fair value for assets. There were no changes to these methodologies during 2010:

Level 1 - Assets were valued using the closing price reported in the active market in which the individual security was traded.

110

Level 2 - Assets were valued using quoted prices in markets that are not active, broker dealer quotations, net asset value of shares held by the plans and other methods by which all significant input were observable at the measurement date.

Level 3 - Assets were valued using valuation reports from the respective institutions at the measurement date.

The following table presents the hierarchy levels for our defined benefit pension plans' investments as of December 31, 2010:

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| Common stocks, preferred stocks, equity funds and related securities | \$1,675,565 | 277,269 | - | 1,952,834 |
| Debt securities | | | | |
| Corporate bonds and related securities | - | 911,122 | 1,589 | 912,711 |
| Government bonds, municipal bonds and related securities | - | 331,937 | 2,899 | 334,836 |
| Hedge funds | - | - | 161,612 | 161,612 |
| Real estate | - | - | 181,581 | 181,581 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 26,041 | - | - | 26,041 |
| Other | 13,473 | 146,172 | 2,609 | 162,254 |
| Total | \$1,715,079 | 1,666,500 | 350,290 | 3,731,869 |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

The following table presents the hierarchy levels for our defined benefit pension plans' investments as of December 31, 2009:

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--|------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| Common stocks, preferred stocks, equity funds and related securities | \$ 1,345,669 | 242,852 | - | 1,588,521 |
| Debt securities | | | | |
| Corporate bonds and related securities | - | 798,143 | 1,005 | 799,148 |
| Government bonds, municipal bonds and related securities | - | 129,129 | - | 129,129 |
| Hedge funds | - | 113,340 | 159,886 | 273,226 |
| Real estate | - | - | 161,336 | 161,336 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 21,210 | - | - | 21,210 |
| Other | 67,156 | 181,116 | (1,136) | 247,136 |
| Total | \$ 1,434,035 | 1,464,580 | 321,091 | 3,219,706 |

111

The following sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our defined benefit pension plans' Level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| | Real estate | Hedge funds | All other | Total |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousand) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 161,336 | 159,886 | (131) | 321,091 |
| Realized gain (loss) in investments, net | (1,677) | 2,102 | 92 | 517 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) in investments, net | 20,038 | 8,851 | 169 | 29,058 |
| Purchases and sales, net | 1,884 | (9,227) | 6,967 | (376) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 181,581 | 161,612 | 7,097 | 350,290 |

The following sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of our defined benefit pension plans' Level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2009:

| | Real estate | Hedge funds | All other | Total |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | (Dollars in thousand) | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$- | - | - | - |
| Level 3 assets acquired in the Embarq acquisition | 182,819 | 146,335 | (4,875) | 324,279 |
| Transfers to (from) Level 3 | - | - | (3,458) | (3,458) |
| Realized gain (loss) in investments, net | 21 | - | 70 | 91 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) in investments, net | (24,223) | 13,551 | 31 | (10,641) |
| Purchases and sales, net | 2,719 | - | 8,101 | 10,820 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 161,336 | 159,886 | (131) | 321,091 |

Our plans invest in various securities, some of which are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investment securities, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in the values of investment securities will occur in the near term and that those changes could materially

affect the value of our pension plan assets.

Some of our plans' investment securities have contractual cash flows, such as asset backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, and commercial and government mortgage backed securities, including securities backed by sub-prime mortgage loans. The value, liquidity, and related income of these securities are sensitive to changes in economic conditions, including real estate values, delinquencies or defaults, or both, and may be adversely affected by shifts in the market's perception of the issuers and changes in interest rates.

During 2010, we contributed \$300 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan. Based on current actuarial estimates, we expect to contribute approximately \$100 million to the legacy Embarq pension plan in 2011.

Our estimated future projected benefit payments under our defined benefit pension plans are as follows: 2011 - \$268.0 million; 2012 - \$272.6 million; 2013 - \$280.3 million; 2014 - \$284.7 million; 2015 - \$289.8 million; and 2016-2020 - \$1.526 billion.

112

We also sponsor qualified profit sharing plans pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code which are available to substantially all employees. Our matching contributions to these plans were \$16.7 million in 2010, \$13.8 million in 2009 and \$10.5 million in 2008.

(13) INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense included in the Consolidated Statements of Income was as follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Federal | | | |
| Current | \$ 384,443 | 158,248 | 141,604 |
| Deferred | 145,166 | 210,202 | 59,669 |
| State | | | |
| Current | 66,740 | 2,285 | (14,765) |
| Deferred | (13,398) | 12,206 | 7,849 |
| | \$ 582,951 | 382,941 | 194,357 |

Income tax expense was allocated as follows:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Income tax expense in the consolidated statements of income: | | | |
| Attributable to income before extraordinary item | \$ 582,951 | 301,881 | 194,357 |
| Attributable to extraordinary item | - | 81,060 | - |
| Stockholders' equity: | | | |
| Compensation expense for tax purposes in excess of amounts recognized for financial reporting purposes | (11,884) | (4,194) | (1,123) |
| | (33,873) | 29,460 | (47,581) |

Tax effect of the change in accumulated
other comprehensive loss

The following is a reconciliation from the statutory federal income tax rate to our effective income tax rate:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--------|--------|
| | (Percentage of pre-tax income) | | | |
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0 | % | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | 1.9 | | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Change in tax treatment of Medicare subsidy | 0.3 | | - | - |
| Nondeductible acquisition related costs | 0.2 | | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Nondeductible compensation pursuant to executive compensation limitations | 0.2 | | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| Recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits | - | | (1.5) | (2.3) |
| Other, net | 0.5 | | 0.1 | (0.5) |
| Effective income tax rate | 38.1 | % | 37.2 | 34.7 |

113

Included in income tax expense in 2010 is a \$4.0 million charge related to the change in the tax treatment of the Medicare Part D subsidy as a result of the comprehensive health care reform legislation signed into law in March 2010. In addition, a portion of our transaction costs associated with our pending acquisition of Qwest is considered non-deductible for income tax purposes. The treatment of these costs as non-deductible resulted in the recognition of approximately \$3.9 million of higher income tax expense in 2010 than would have been recognized had such costs been deductible for income tax purposes. Certain executive compensation amounts, including the lump sum distributions paid to certain executive officers upon discontinuing the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (see Note 12), are reflected as nondeductible for income tax purposes pursuant to executive compensation limitations of the Internal Revenue Code. The treatment of these amounts as non-deductible resulted in the recognition of approximately \$3.3 million and \$9.8 million of income tax expense in 2010 and 2009, respectively, above amounts that would have been recognized had such payments been deductible for income tax purposes. Our 2009 effective tax rate was also higher because a portion of our Embarq merger-related transaction costs incurred during 2009 are nondeductible for income tax purposes (with such treatment resulting in a \$7.4 million increase to income tax expense).

In 2009, our effective tax rate was reduced by a \$7.0 million reduction to our net deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with state operating loss carryforwards.

During 2009 and 2008, we recognized net after-tax benefits of approximately \$15.7 million and \$12.8 million, respectively, which include (i) the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits primarily due to certain issues being effectively settled through examinations or the lapse of statute of limitations and (ii) other adjustments needed upon finalization of tax returns.

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| December 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets | | |

| | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| Postretirement and pension benefit costs | \$ 509,950 | 479,163 |
| Net state operating loss carryforwards | 74,933 | 64,782 |
| Other employee benefits | 45,458 | 67,048 |
| Other | 115,750 | 127,306 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 746,091 | 738,299 |
| Less valuation allowance | (42,894) | (41,533) |
| Net deferred tax assets | 703,197 | 696,766 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, primarily due to depreciation differences | (1,761,500) | (1,573,986) |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | (1,158,525) | (1,189,141) |
| Other | (70,529) | (106,900) |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | (2,990,554) | (2,870,027) |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ (2,287,357) | (2,173,261) |

114

Of the \$2.287 billion net deferred tax liability as of December 31, 2010, approximately \$2.369 billion is reflected as a long-term liability and approximately \$81.3 million is reflected as a net current deferred tax asset.

We establish valuation allowances when necessary to reduce the deferred tax assets to amounts we expect to realize. As of December 31, 2010, we had available tax benefits associated with net state operating loss carryforwards, which expire through 2030, of \$74.9 million. The ultimate realization of the benefits of the carryforwards is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider our scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. As of December 31, 2010, a valuation allowance of \$42.9 million was established as it is more likely than not that this amount of net operating loss carryforwards will not be utilized prior to expiration.

The following table reflects the activity of our gross unrecognized tax benefits (excluding both interest and any related federal benefit) during 2010 (amounts expressed in thousands).

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009 | \$327,227 |
| Increase in tax positions taken in the current year | 320 |
| Increase due to tax positions taken in a prior year | 7,272 |
| Decrease due to the reversal of tax positions taken in a prior year | (22,525) |
| Decrease from the lapse of statute of limitations | (1,232) |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2010 | \$311,062 |

Approximately \$246 million of the above unrecognized tax benefits represents refund claims related to the treatment of universal service fund receipts of certain subsidiaries acquired in connection with our Embarq acquisition, which due to the uncertainty of these claims have not been recognized in current or deferred taxes in our consolidated financial statements. Of the remaining gross balance of \$65.5 million, approximately \$58.2 million is included as a component of "Deferred credits and other liabilities" and the remainder is included in "Accrued income taxes". If we were to prevail on all unrecognized tax benefits recorded on our balance sheet, we would recognize approximately \$37.0

million (including interest and net of federal benefit), which would lower our effective tax rate.

Our policy is to reflect interest expense associated with unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We had accrued interest (presented before related tax benefits) of approximately \$11.5 million and \$9.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

We file income tax returns, including returns for our subsidiaries, with federal, state and local jurisdictions. Our uncertain income tax positions are related to tax years that are currently under or remain subject to examination by the relevant taxing authorities. Our open income tax years by major jurisdiction are as follows.

115

| Jurisdiction | Open tax years |
|----------------|----------------|
| Federal | 2007-current |
| State | |
| Florida | 2006-current |
| Georgia | 2003-current |
| Louisiana | 2007-current |
| North Carolina | 2003-current |
| Oregon | 2002-current |
| Texas | 2001-current |
| Other states | 2002-current |

Additionally, Embarq, its subsidiaries, and their predecessors have filed amended returns on a specific tax issue relating to years as early as 1990. These amended returns have been audited by the IRS, and the refund claims contained therein have all been denied by the Exam Division and the Appeals Division of the IRS. Embarq has filed suit for refund in U.S. District Court for a portion of the years, and is considering litigation for the rest.

Since the period for assessing additional liability typically begins upon the filing of a return, it is possible that certain jurisdictions could assess tax for years prior to the open tax years disclosed above. Additionally, it is possible that certain jurisdictions in which we do not believe we have an income tax filing responsibility, and accordingly did not file a return, may attempt to assess a liability, or that other jurisdictions to which we pay taxes may attempt to assert that we owe additional taxes.

Based on our current assessment of various factors, including (i) the potential outcomes of these ongoing examinations, (ii) the expiration of statute of limitations for specific jurisdictions, (iii) the negotiated settlement of certain disputed issues, and (iv) the administrative practices of applicable taxing jurisdictions, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions previously taken may decrease by up to \$213.0 million within the next 12 months. The actual amount of such decrease, if any, will depend on several future developments and events, many of which are outside our control.

116

(14) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|--|------|------|
| | (Dollars, except per share amounts, and shares in thousands) | | |

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|
| Income (Numerator): | | | |
| Net income before extraordinary item | \$947,705 | 511,254 | 365,732 |
| Extraordinary item, net of income tax expense and noncontrolling interests | - | 135,957 | - |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. | 947,705 | 647,211 | 365,732 |
| Dividends applicable to preferred stock | (12) | (12) | (155) |
| Earnings applicable to unvested restricted stock awards: | | | |
| Income before extraordinary item | (5,525) | (3,559) | (4,240) |
| Extraordinary item | - | (946) | - |
| Net income as adjusted for purposes of computing basic earnings per share | 942,168 | 642,694 | 361,337 |
| Dividends applicable to preferred stock | 12 | 12 | 155 |
| Net income as adjusted for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share | \$942,180 | 642,706 | 361,492 |
| Shares (Denominator): | | | |
| Weighted average number of shares: | | | |
| Outstanding during period | 301,428 | 199,177 | 103,467 |
| Unvested restricted stock | (1,756) | (1,387) | (1,199) |
| Unvested restricted stock units | 947 | 1,023 | - |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding during period for computing basic earnings per share | 300,619 | 198,813 | 102,268 |
| Incremental common shares attributable to dilutive securities: | | | |
| Shares issuable under convertible securities | 13 | 13 | 169 |
| Shares issuable under incentive compensation plans | 665 | 231 | 123 |
| Number of shares as adjusted for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share | 301,297 | 199,057 | 102,560 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$3.13 | 2.55 | 3.53 |
| Extraordinary item | \$- | .68 | - |
| Basic earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.53 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | |
| Before extraordinary item | \$3.13 | 2.55 | 3.52 |
| Extraordinary item | \$- | .68 | - |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$3.13 | 3.23 | 3.52 |

The weighted average number of shares of common stock subject to issuance under outstanding options that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share (because the exercise price of the option was greater than the average market price of the common stock) was 2.9 million for 2010, 4.1 million for 2009 and 2.1 million for 2008.

117

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance in determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. Based on this guidance, we have concluded that our outstanding non-vested restricted stock is a participating security and therefore should be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share using the two-class method. The guidance was effective for us beginning

in first quarter 2009 and required us to adjust our previously reported earnings per share.

(15) STOCK COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

We recognize as compensation expense our cost of awarding employees with equity instruments by allocating the fair value of the award on the grant date over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

We currently maintain programs which allow the Board of Directors (through its Compensation Committee) and the Chief Executive Officer to grant incentives to certain employees and our outside directors in any one or a combination of several forms, including incentive and non-qualified stock options; stock appreciation rights; restricted stock; restricted stock units and performance shares. As of December 31, 2010, we had reserved approximately 25.2 million shares of common stock which may be issued in connection with awards under our current incentive programs. We also offer an Employee Stock Purchase Plan whereby employees can purchase our common stock at a 15% discount based on the lower of the beginning or ending stock price during recurring six-month periods stipulated in such program.

Upon the consummation of the Embarq acquisition on July 1, 2009 (see Note 2), outstanding Embarq stock options and restricted stock units were converted to 7.2 million CenturyLink stock options and 2.4 million restricted stock units based on the exchange ratio stipulated in the Embarq merger agreement. The fair value of the former Embarq stock option awards that were converted to CenturyLink stock options was estimated as of the July 1, 2009 conversion date using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: dividend yield – 9.12%; expected volatility – 27-50%; weighted average risk free interest rate – 0.5-2.6% and expected term - 0.3-6 years. Other than in connection with converting the former Embarq stock options into CenturyLink stock options, we did not grant any stock options to employees in 2010 or 2009.

In late February 2008, the Compensation Committee authorized all long-term incentive grants for 2008 to be in the form of restricted stock instead of a mix of stock options and restricted stock as had been granted in recent years. During 2008, prior to this authorization, 25,700 options were granted with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$8.85 per share using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: dividend yield - 0.6%; expected volatility - 25%; weighted average risk free interest rate - 2.9%; and expected term - 4.5 years. The expected volatility was based on the historical volatility of our common stock over the 4.5- year term mentioned above. The expected term was determined based on the historical exercise and forfeiture rates for similar grants.

118

Our outstanding stock options have been granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of CenturyLink’s shares at the date of grant. The exercise price of former Embarq stock options were converted by applying the exchange ratio stipulated in the Embarq merger agreement. Our outstanding options generally have a three-year vesting period and all of them expire ten years after the date of grant. The fair value of each stock option award is estimated as of the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Stock option transactions during 2010 were as follows:

| | Number of options | Average exercise price | Remaining contractual | Aggregate intrinsic value* |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|

| | | | term (in years) | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| Outstanding December 31, 2009 | 9,318,553 | \$37.85 | | |
| Exercised | (3,507,895) | 32.25 | | |
| Forfeited/Cancelled | (770,373) | 55.40 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2010 | 5,040,285 | \$39.06 | 4.16 | \$49,225,000 |
| Exercisable December 31, 2010 | 4,739,732 | \$39.60 | 3.97 | \$44,554,000 |

* Equals the difference between the market price on such date and the average exercise price multiplied by the number of shares subject to the options.

Our outstanding restricted stock awards generally vest over a three- or five-year period (for employees) or a three-year period (for outside directors).

During the first quarter of 2010, we granted 396,753 shares of restricted stock to certain executive-level employees, of which 198,374 were time-vested restricted stock that vests over a three-year period and 198,379 were performance-based restricted stock. The performance-based restricted stock will vest over time only if specific performance measures are met for the applicable periods. One half of the performance-based restricted stock will vest in March 2012 based on our two-year total shareholder return for 2010 and 2011 as measured against the total shareholder return of the companies comprising the S&P 500 Index for the same period. The other half will vest in March 2013 based on our three-year total shareholder return for 2010, 2011 and 2012 as measured against the total shareholder return of the companies comprising the S&P 500 Index for the same period. The 198,379 shares of performance-based restricted stock issued represent the target award. Each recipient has the opportunity to ultimately receive between 0% and 200% of the target restricted stock award depending on our total shareholder return in relation to that of the S&P 500 Index. We valued these performance-based awards using Monte-Carlo simulations. In addition, we granted 525,377 shares of time-vested restricted stock during 2010 (which, subject to certain limited exceptions, vest over a three-year period) to certain other key employees and our outside directors as part of our normal recurring annual equity compensation programs.

During the third quarter of 2010, we granted 407,236 shares of restricted stock and approximately \$15.2 million of deferred cash compensation awards to certain executive officers and other key employees as part of a retention program in connection with our pending acquisition of Qwest. The shares of restricted stock will vest in equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the closing date. Each employee receiving a deferred cash award will be entitled to receive one-half of the award on the closing date of the Qwest merger and the other half on the first anniversary of the closing date. Both the restricted stock grant and the deferred cash award will accelerate if we terminate the recipient without cause or under certain other conditions, and will be forfeited if the Qwest merger is not consummated. No compensation expense has been recorded to date related to the retention program since recognition is contingent upon consummation of the Qwest merger. In addition to the above retention awards, 75,000 shares of restricted stock were granted to an incoming executive officer during the third quarter of 2010 (which vests fully at the end of the officer's term of employment).

119

Nonvested restricted stock and restricted stock unit transactions during 2010 were as follows:

| | Number | Average |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| | of shares | grant |
| | | date fair |
| | | value |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2009 | 2,922,855 | \$31.04 |
| Granted | 1,404,366 | 36.56 |
| Vested | (1,343,171) | 31.04 |
| Forfeited | (92,391) | 31.79 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2010 | 2,891,659 | \$33.69 |

During 2009, we issued 820,234 shares of restricted stock to certain employees and our outside directors at a weighted-average price of \$27.34 per share. During 2008, we issued 643,397 shares of restricted stock to certain employees and our outside directors at a weighted-average price of \$34.86 per share.

The total compensation cost for all share-based payment arrangements in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$38.2 million, \$55.2 million and \$16.4 million, respectively. Upon the consummation of the acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009, the vesting schedules of certain of our equity-based grants issued prior to 2009 were accelerated due to change of control provisions in the respective share-based compensation plans (with the exception of grants to certain officers who waived such acceleration right). In addition, the vesting of certain other awards was accelerated upon the termination of employment of certain employees. As a result of accelerating the vesting schedules of these awards, we recorded share-based compensation expense of approximately \$21.2 million in 2009 above amounts that would have been recognized absent the triggering of these acceleration provisions.

We recognized a tax benefit related to such arrangements of approximately \$14.1 million in 2010, \$20.5 million in 2009 and \$5.8 million in 2008. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$60.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the share-based payment arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

We received net cash proceeds of \$113.1 million during 2010 in connection with option exercises. The total intrinsic value of options exercised (the amount by which the market price of the stock on the date of exercise exceeded the market price of the stock on the date of grant) was \$28.1 million during 2010, \$6.0 million during 2009 and \$208,000 during 2008. The excess tax benefit realized from share-based compensation transactions during 2010 was \$11.9 million. The total fair value of restricted stock that vested during 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$47.9 million, \$45.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively.

120

(16) DISCONTINUANCE OF REGULATORY ACCOUNTING

Through June 30, 2009, we accounted for our regulated telephone operations (except for the properties acquired from Verizon in 2002) in accordance with the provisions of regulatory accounting under which actions by regulators can provide reasonable assurance of the recognition of an asset, reduce or eliminate the value of an asset and impose a liability on a regulated enterprise. Such regulatory assets and liabilities were required to be recorded and, accordingly, reflected in the balance sheet of entities subject to regulatory accounting.

On July 1, 2009, we discontinued the accounting requirements of regulatory accounting upon the conversion of substantially all of our rate-of-return study areas to federal price cap regulation (based on the FCC's approval of our petition to convert our study areas to price cap regulation).

Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we reversed previously established regulatory assets and liabilities. Depreciation rates of certain assets established by regulatory authorities for our telephone operations subject to regulatory accounting have historically included a component for removal costs in excess of the related salvage value. Notwithstanding the adoption of accounting guidance related to the accounting for asset retirement obligations, regulatory accounting required us to continue to reflect this accumulated liability for removal costs in excess of salvage value even though there was no legal obligation to remove the assets. Therefore, we did not adopt

the asset retirement obligation provisions for our telephone operations that were subject to regulatory accounting. Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, such accumulated liability for removal costs included in accumulated depreciation was removed and an asset retirement obligation was established. Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we were required to adjust the carrying amounts of property, plant and equipment only to the extent the assets are impaired, as judged in the same manner applicable to nonregulated enterprises. We did not record an impairment charge related to the carrying value of the property, plant and equipment of our regulated telephone operations as a result of the discontinuance of regulatory accounting.

121

In the third quarter of 2009, upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we recorded a non-cash extraordinary gain in our consolidated statements of income comprised of the following components (dollars, except per share amounts, in thousands):

| | Gain (loss) |
|---|-------------|
| Elimination of removal costs embedded in accumulated depreciation | \$222,703 |
| Establishment of asset retirement obligation | (1,556) |
| Elimination of other regulatory assets and liabilities | (2,585) |
| Net extraordinary gain before income tax expense and noncontrolling interests | 218,562 |
| Income tax expense associated with extraordinary gain | (81,060) |
| Net extraordinary gain before noncontrolling interests | 137,502 |
| Less: extraordinary gain attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,545) |
| Extraordinary gain attributable to CenturyLink, Inc. | \$135,957 |
| Basic earnings per share of extraordinary gain | \$.68 |
| Diluted earnings per share of extraordinary gain | \$.68 |

Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we revised the lives of our property, plant and equipment to reflect the economic estimated remaining useful lives of the assets. In general, the estimated remaining useful lives of our telephone property were lengthened as compared to the rates used that were established by regulatory authorities.

Upon the discontinuance of regulatory accounting, we eliminated certain intercompany transactions with regulated affiliates that previously were not eliminated under the application of regulatory accounting. This has caused our operating revenues and operating expenses to be lower by equivalent amounts beginning in the third quarter of 2009.

(17) GAIN ON ASSET DISPOSITIONS

In third quarter 2008, we sold our interest in a non-operating investment for approximately \$7.2 million and recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$3.2 million. In anticipation of making the lump sum plan distributions in early 2009 discussed in Note 12, we liquidated our investments in marketable securities in the SERP trust and recognized a \$4.5 million pre-tax gain in the second quarter of 2008. In first quarter 2008, we sold a non-operating investment for approximately \$4.2 million and recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$4.1 million. Such gains are included in "Other income (expense)" on our Consolidated Statements of Income.

(18) SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW AND OTHER DISCLOSURES

The amount of interest actually paid, net of amounts capitalized of \$12.9 million, \$3.5 million, and \$2.4 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was \$548.4 million, \$391.8 million, and \$204.1 million during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Income taxes paid were \$431.7 million in 2010, \$258.9 million in 2009, and \$208.8 million in

2008. Income tax refunds totaled \$7.6 million in 2010, \$2.1 million in 2009, and \$4.6 million in 2008.

122

In connection with our July 1, 2009 acquisition of Embarq, the following assets were acquired and liabilities assumed:

| Year ended December 31, | 2009 (Dollars in thousands) |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 6,077,672 |
| Goodwill | 6,236,084 |
| Long-term debt, deferred credits and other liabilities | (7,508,066) |
| Other assets and liabilities, excluding cash and cash equivalents | 1,187,849 |
| Common equity issued for acquisition | (6,070,445) |
| Increase in cash due to acquisition | \$ (76,906) |

See Note 2 for additional information related to our acquisition of Embarq in 2009.

We collect various taxes from our customers and subsequently remit such funds to governmental authorities. Substantially all of these taxes are recorded through the balance sheet. We are required to contribute to several universal service fund programs and generally include a surcharge amount on our customers' bills which is designed to recover our contribution costs. Such amounts are reflected on a gross basis in our statement of income (included in both operating revenues and expenses) and aggregated approximately \$115 million for 2010, \$84 million for 2009 and \$42 million for 2008.

123

(19) FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of certain of our financial instruments at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| | Carrying Amount | Fair value |
|---|------------------------|---------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| December 31, 2010 | | |
| Financial assets | | |
| Other | \$ 110,178 | 110,178 (2) |
| Financial liabilities | | |
| Long-term debt (including current maturities) | \$ 7,327,587 | 8,006,508 (1) |
| Other | \$ 190,443 | 190,443 (2) |
| December 31, 2009 | | |

| | | | |
|---|----|-----------|---------------|
| Financial assets | | | |
| Other | \$ | 111,809 | 111,809 (2) |
| Financial liabilities | | | |
| Long-term debt (including current maturities) | \$ | 7,753,718 | 8,408,943 (1) |
| Other | \$ | 182,374 | 182,374 (2) |

(1) Fair value was estimated by discounting the scheduled payment streams to present value based upon rates currently available to us for similar debt.

(2) Fair value was estimated by us to approximate carrying value or is based on current market information.

We believe the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, short-term debt, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximates the fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments, which have not been reflected in the above table.

We are subject to certain accounting standards that define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value and expand the disclosures about fair value measurements required or permitted under other accounting pronouncements. The fair value accounting guidance establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. These tiers include: Level 1 (defined as observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets); Level 2 (defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable); and Level 3 (defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists).

As of December 31, 2010, we held life insurance contracts with cash surrender value that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table depicts those assets held and the related tier designation pursuant to the accounting guidance related to fair value disclosure.

124

| Description | Balance | | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Dec. 31, 2010 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance contracts | \$ 99,462 | 99,462 | - | - |

See Notes 11 and 12 for the tier designation related to our postretirement and pension plan assets.

(20) BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We are an integrated communications company engaged primarily in providing an array of communications services to our customers, including local exchange, long distance, Internet access and broadband services. We strive to maintain our customer relationships by, among other things, bundling our service offerings to provide our customers with a complete offering of integrated communications services. Because of the similar economic characteristics of our operations, we have utilized the aggregation criteria specified in the segment accounting guidance and concluded that we operate as one reportable segment.

Our operating revenues for our products and services include the following components:

| Year ended December 31, | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Voice | \$ 3,137,921 | 2,168,480 | 1,043,386 |
| Data | 1,908,901 | 1,202,284 | 524,194 |
| Network access | 1,079,678 | 927,905 | 651,038 |
| Other | 915,034 | 675,570 | 381,129 |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 7,041,534 | 4,974,239 | 2,599,747 |

Beginning in 2010, we have reclassified revenues generated from subscriber line charges to “Voice” revenues from “Network access” revenues to better align our presentation of such revenues with others in our industry and we have included revenues generated from our fiber transport, CLEC and security monitoring operations in “Other” revenues. Prior periods have been adjusted to reflect this new presentation.

(21) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Over 60 years ago, one of our indirect subsidiaries, Centel Corporation, acquired entities that may have owned or operated seven former plant sites that produced “manufactured gas” under a process widely used through the mid-1900s. Centel has been a subsidiary of Embarq since being spun-off in 2006 from Sprint Nextel, which acquired Centel in 1993. None of these plant sites are currently owned or operated by either Sprint Nextel, Embarq or their subsidiaries. On three sites, Embarq and the current landowners are working with the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) pursuant to administrative consent orders. Remediation expenditures pursuant to the orders are not expected to be material. On five sites, including the three sites where the EPA is involved, Centel has entered into agreements with other potentially responsible parties to share remediation costs. Further, Sprint Nextel has agreed to indemnify Embarq for most of any eventual liability arising from all seven of these sites. Based upon current circumstances, we do not expect this issue to have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

125

In *William Douglas Fulghum, et al. v. Embarq Corporation, et al.*, filed on December 28, 2007 in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas (Civil Action No. 07-CV-2602), a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit challenging the decision to make certain modifications to Embarq’s retiree benefits programs generally effective January 1, 2008 (which resulted in a \$300 million reduction to the liability for retiree benefits at the time of the modifications). Defendants include Embarq, certain of its benefit plans, its Employee Benefits Committee and the individual plan administrator of certain of its benefit plans. Additional defendants include Sprint Nextel and certain of its benefit plans. Recently, the Court certified a class on certain of plaintiffs’ claims, but rejected class certification as to other claims. Embarq and other defendants continue to vigorously contest these claims and charges. Given that this litigation is still in discovery, it is premature to estimate the impact this lawsuit could have to our results of operation or financial condition. In 2009, a ruling in Embarq’s favor was entered in an arbitration proceeding filed by 15 former Centel executives, similarly challenging the benefits changes.

In April 2010, a series of lawsuits were filed by shareholders of Qwest Communications International Inc. in Colorado state and federal courts and in Delaware federal court, alleging that Qwest’s officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to maximize the value to be received by Qwest’s stockholders in connection with CenturyLink’s recently announced acquisition of Qwest. CenturyLink was also named as a defendant in most of the lawsuits. On July 16, 2010, the parties entered into a memorandum of understanding reflecting the terms of their agreement-in-principle for a settlement of all of the claims asserted in these actions. Pursuant to this agreement, defendants included additional disclosures in the final joint proxy statement-prospectus dated July 19, 2010, in

response to allegations and claims asserted in certain of the complaints. At a hearing in late February 2011, the Court gave final approval to this settlement, and all lawsuits challenging the transaction will be dismissed with prejudice effective March 17, 2011. We do not expect the settlement to have a material adverse impact to our results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2009, subsidiaries of CenturyLink filed two lawsuits against subsidiaries of Sprint Nextel to recover terminating access charges for VoIP traffic owed under various interconnection agreements and tariffs which presently approximate \$33 million. The lawsuits allege that Sprint Nextel has breached contracts, violated tariffs, and violated the Federal Communications Act by failing to pay these charges. One lawsuit, filed on behalf of all legacy Embarq operating entities, was tried in federal court in Virginia in August 2010 and a ruling is expected in the first quarter of 2011. The other lawsuit, filed on behalf of all legacy CenturyLink operating entities, is pending in federal court in Louisiana. In that case, the Court recently dismissed certain of CenturyLink's claims, referred other claims to the FCC, and stayed the litigation for 12 months. We have not recorded a reserve related to these lawsuits.

126

From time to time, we are involved in other proceedings incidental to our business, including administrative hearings of state public utility commissions relating primarily to rate making, actions relating to employee claims, various tax issues, occasional grievance hearings before labor regulatory agencies and miscellaneous third party tort actions. The outcome of these other proceedings is not predictable. However, based on current circumstances, we do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these other proceedings, after considering available insurance coverage and current levels of reserves, will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

(22) SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On January 19, 2011, we entered into a four-year revolving credit facility with various lenders. This credit facility allows CenturyLink to borrow up to \$1.0 billion initially with the total capacity of the credit facility increasing to \$1.7 billion upon the consummation of our pending acquisition of Qwest. Up to \$400 million of the credit facility can be used for letters of credit, which reduces the amount available for other extensions of credit.

Interest will be assessed on future borrowings using the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin between .5% to 2.5% per annum depending on the type of loan and CenturyLink's then current senior unsecured long-term debt rating. CenturyLink's obligations under the credit facility are currently guaranteed by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Embarq Corporation, and upon consummation of the Qwest acquisition will also be guaranteed by Qwest and one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

CenturyLink's ability to borrow under the credit facility is conditioned upon its continued compliance with various loan covenants, including financial covenants that stipulate that CenturyLink shall not permit (i) the ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated EBITDA to exceed 4.0 to 1.0 and (ii) the ratio of consolidated EBITDA to the sum of consolidated interest expense and preferred stock dividends to be less than 1.5 to 1.0 (with all of the above terms having the meanings stipulated in the agreement). Amounts outstanding under the credit facility may be accelerated upon specified events of default, including failures to make payments when due, defaults of obligations under certain other debt, breaches of representations, warranties or covenants, commencement of bankruptcy proceedings and certain other failures to discharge specified obligations or comply with specified laws.

CenturyLink's previously existing \$750 million credit facility and Embarq's previously existing \$800 million credit facility (which CenturyLink assumed upon its acquisition of Embarq on July 1, 2009) were both terminated upon the execution of this new credit facility.

CENTURYLINK, INC.
Consolidated Quarterly Income Statement Information
(Unaudited)

| | First quarter | Second quarter | Third quarter | Fourth quarter |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| 2010 | (unaudited) | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$1,800,426 | 1,772,030 | 1,747,101 | 1,721,977 |
| Operating income | \$545,230 | 522,988 | 505,355 | 486,371 |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink | \$252,601 | 238,771 | 231,167 | 225,166 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$.84 | .79 | .76 | .74 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$.84 | .79 | .76 | .74 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$636,385 | 634,469 | 1,874,325 | 1,829,060 |
| Operating income | \$164,337 | 149,443 | 378,983 | 540,338 |
| Net income before extraordinary item | \$67,154 | 69,030 | 147,635 | 227,436 |
| Basic earnings per share before extraordinary item | \$.67 | .68 | .49 | .76 |
| Diluted earnings per share before extraordinary item | \$.67 | .68 | .49 | .76 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$648,614 | 658,106 | 650,073 | 642,954 |
| Operating income | \$183,493 | 180,690 | 180,727 | 176,442 |
| Net income attributable to CenturyLink | \$88,760 | 92,167 | 84,733 | 100,072 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$.83 | .88 | .83 | 1.00 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$.82 | .88 | .83 | 1.00 |

The results of operations of Embarq are reflected subsequent to its July 1, 2009 acquisition date.

We incurred a significant amount of integration related expenses in each quarter of 2010 related to our acquisition of Embarq. Such pre-tax operating expenses aggregated approximately \$24.1 million in first quarter 2010, \$31.1 million in second quarter 2010, \$26.9 million in third quarter 2010 and \$27.2 million in fourth quarter 2010. We also incurred pre-tax operating expenses related to our pending acquisition of Qwest of approximately \$10.0 million in second quarter 2010, \$5.1 million in third quarter 2010 and \$7.1 million in fourth quarter 2010. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2010 we recognized a curtailment gain of approximately \$20.9 million upon the freezing of certain future defined benefit pension plan accruals.

During the third and fourth quarters of 2009, we incurred a significant amount of one-time expenses related to our acquisition of Embarq. Such expenses included (i) severance, retention and early retirement pension benefit costs due to workforce reductions, (ii) transaction related costs, including legal and investment banker costs, (iii) integration related costs associated with our acquisition of Embarq, (iv) accelerated recognition of share-based compensation expense due to change of control provisions and terminations of employment and (v) settlement expenses related to certain executive retirement plans. Such expenses aggregated approximately \$195.5 million (pre-tax) in the third quarter of 2009 and approximately \$37.6 million (pre-tax) in the fourth quarter of 2009.

128

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we recognized a pre-tax charge of approximately \$60.8 million due primarily to the premiums paid in connection with certain debt extinguishments consummated in October 2009.

In the first quarter of 2008, we recognized a \$4.1 million pre-tax gain upon the sale of a non-operating investment. In the second quarter of 2008, we recognized an \$8.2 million curtailment loss in connection with amending our SERP. We also recognized a \$4.5 million pre-tax gain upon liquidation of our investments in marketable securities in the SERP trust in the second quarter of 2008. In the third quarter of 2008, we recorded a one-time pre-tax gain of approximately \$3.2 million related to the sale of a non-operating investment. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we recognized (i) a net benefit of approximately \$12.8 million after-tax related to the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits, (ii) a pre-tax benefit of approximately \$10.0 million related to the recognition of previously accrued transaction and other contingencies and (iii) a \$5.0 million charge associated with costs associated with our then pending acquisition of Embarq.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting
and Financial Disclosure

None.

129

Item Controls and Procedures
9A.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurances that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is timely recorded, processed, summarized and reported as required. Our Chief Executive Officer, Glen F. Post, III, and our Chief Financial Officer, R. Stewart Ewing, Jr., have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2010. Based on the evaluation, Messrs. Post and Ewing concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures have been effective in providing reasonable assurance that they have been timely alerted of material information required to be filed in this annual report. Since the date of Messrs. Post's and Ewing's most recent evaluation, we did not make any change to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or that we believe is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events and contingencies, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals. Because of inherent limitations in any control system, misstatements due to error or fraud could occur and not be detected.

Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. We incorporate by reference into this Item 9A the reports appearing at the forefront of Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data".

Item Other Information
9B.

Not applicable.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The name, age and office(s) held by each of our executive officers are shown below. Each of the executive officers listed below serves at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

| Name | Age | Office(s) held with CenturyLink |
|-----------------------|-----|---|
| Glen F. Post, III | 58 | Chief Executive Officer and President |
| Karen A. Puckett | 50 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| R. Stewart Ewing, Jr. | 59 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Stacey W. Goff | 45 | Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |
| William E. Cheek | 55 | President – Wholesale Operations |
| David D. Cole | 53 | Senior Vice President – Operations Support |
| Dennis G. Huber | 50 | Executive Vice President, Network Services |

130

With the exception of Mr. Cheek and Mr. Huber, each of our executive officers has served for more than five years as an officer of CenturyLink and one or more of its subsidiaries in varying capacities substantially similar to their current positions. Mr. Post has served as President since July 1, 2009, and served as Chairman of the Board between June 2002 and June 2009. Prior to being named to her current positions on July 1, 2009, Ms. Puckett served as President and Chief Operating Officer since 2002. Mr. Goff was promoted from Senior Vice President to Executive Vice President on July 1, 2009.

Prior to CenturyLink’s acquisition of Embarq, Mr. Cheek previously served as Embarq’s President - Wholesale Markets since May 2006. He served in this role at Sprint Nextel’s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. He served as Assistant Vice President—Strategic Sales and Account Management in Sprint Business Solutions from January 2004 until July 2005.

Mr. Huber has served as Executive Vice President – Network Services since July 1, 2009 (excluding the period beginning on his resignation date of May 3, 2010 and ending on the date he rejoined us at our request on September 7, 2010). Prior to CenturyLink’s acquisition of Embarq, Mr. Huber previously served as Embarq’s Chief Technology Officer and Senior Vice President since July 2008. He served as Senior Vice President - Corporate Strategy and Development from December 2007 through June 2008. Mr. Huber served as Senior Vice President of Product Development from October 2006 until December 2007. Before that, he served as Senior Vice President of Wireless

Solutions from August 2006 until October 2006. From January 2003 to August 2005 he served as President of Sprint North Supply Company, a Sprint subsidiary that procured and distributed materials to the communications industry.

The balance of the information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders (the "Proxy Statement"), which Proxy Statement will be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within the first 120 days of 2011.

131

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement.

132

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K

(a). Documents filed as a part of this report

(1) The following Reports and Consolidated Financial Statements are included in Part II, Item 8:

Report of Management, including its assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedule and Effectiveness of the Company's Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Quarterly Income Statement Information (unaudited)

(2) The attached Schedule II, Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, is the only applicable schedule that we are required to file.

133

(3) Exhibits:

2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 26, 2008, among CenturyLink, Inc., Embarq Corporation and Cajun Acquisition Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2008).

2.2 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 21, 2010, among CenturyLink, Inc., its subsidiary SB44 Acquisition Company, and Qwest Communications International Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by CenturyLink with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 27, 2010).

3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of CenturyLink, Inc., as amended through May 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010).

3.2 Bylaws of CenturyLink, Inc., as amended and restated through November 4, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010).

4.1 Form of common stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010).

4.2 Instruments relating to CenturyLink's Revolving Credit Facility

a. Four-Year Revolving Credit Facility, dated January 19, 2011, between CenturyLink, Inc. and the lenders named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. on January 24, 2011).

b. Form of related Guarantee Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. on January 24, 2011).

4.3 Indebtedness of Embarq Corporation.

a. Indenture, dated as of May 17, 2006, by and between Embarq Corporation and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, a national banking association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32732) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 18, 2006).

b. 6.738% Global Note due 2013 of Embarq Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

c. 7.082% Global Note due 2016 of Embarq Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).

d. 7.995% Global Note due 2036 of Embarq Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).

4.4 Instruments relating to CenturyLink's public senior debt.

a. Indenture dated as of March 31, 1994 between CenturyLink and Regions Bank (formerly First American Bank & Trust of Louisiana), as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 33-52915).

134

b. Form of CenturyLink's 7.2% Senior Notes, Series D, due 2025 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.27 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).

c. Form of CenturyLink's 6.875% Debentures, Series G, due 2028, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997).

d. Form of CenturyLink's 7.875% Senior Notes, Series L, due 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of our Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-100480).

e. Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 14, 2005 between CenturyLink and Regions Bank, as Trustee, designating and outlining the terms and conditions of CenturyLink's 5% Senior Notes, Series M, due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 15, 2005).

f. Form of 5% Senior Notes, Series M, due 2015 (included in Exhibit 4.4(e)).

g. Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 26, 2007 between CenturyLink and Regions Bank, as Trustee, designating and outlining the terms and conditions of CenturyLink's 6.0% Senior Notes, Series N, due 2017 and 5.5% Senior Notes, Series O, due 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 29, 2007).

h. Form of 6.0% Senior Notes, Series N, due 2017 and 5.5% Senior Notes, Series O, due 2013 (included in Exhibit 4.4(g)).

i. Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of September 21, 2009 between CenturyLink and Regions Bank, as Trustee, designating and outlining the terms and conditions of CenturyLink's 7.60% Senior Notes, Series P, due 2039 and 6.15% Senior Notes, Series Q, due 2019 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 21, 2009).

j. Form of 7.60% Senior Notes, Series P, due 2019 and 6.15% Senior Notes, Series Q, due 2019 (included in Exhibit 4.4(i)).

10.1** Qualified Employee Benefit Plans of CenturyLink, Inc. (excluding several narrow-based qualified plans that cover union employees or other limited groups of employees).

a.

CenturyLink Dollars & Sense 401(k) Plan and Trust, as amended and restated through December 31, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2007), as amended by the First Amendment and the Second Amendment thereto, each dated December 31, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2008), as amended by the Third Amendment thereto dated November 20, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2009), as amended by the Fourth Amendment thereto dated June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009), as amended by the Fifth Amendment thereto dated September 15, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by the Sixth Amendment thereto, dated December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by the Seventh Amendment thereto, effective May 20, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended September 30, 2010) and as amended by the Eighth Amendment thereto, effective January 1, 2011, included herein.

135

b. CenturyLink Union 401(k) Plan and Trust, as amended and restated through December 31, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2007), as amended by the First Amendment thereto dated May 29, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2008), as amended by the Second Amendment thereto dated December 31, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2008), as amended by the Third Amendment thereto dated November 20, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2009), as amended by the Fourth Amendment thereto dated June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009), as amended by the Fifth Amendment thereto dated September 15, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by the Sixth Amendment thereto, dated December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by the Seventh Amendment thereto, effective May 20, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended September 30, 2010) and as amended by the Eighth Amendment thereto, effective January 1, 2011, included herein.

c. CenturyLink Retirement Plan, as amended and restated through December 31, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2007), as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto dated April 2, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2008), as amended by Amendment No. 2 thereto dated as of December 31, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2008), as amended by

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

Amendment No. 3 thereto dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2009), as amended by Amendment No. 4 dated June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009), as amended by Amendment No. 5 thereto dated September 15, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by Amendment No. 6 thereto, dated December 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009), as amended by Amendment No. 7 thereto, effective at various dates during 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended September 30, 2010) and as amended by Amendment No. 8 thereto, effective January 1, 2011, included herein.

10.2** Stock-based Incentive Plans and Agreements of CenturyLink, Inc.

- a. Amended and Restated 1983 Restricted Stock Plan, as amended and restated through February 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(a) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009).
- b. Amended and Restated 2000 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended through May 23, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000) and amendment thereto dated May 29, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003).

136

- (i) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the 2000 Incentive Compensation Plan and dated as of May 21, 2001, entered into by CenturyLink and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001).
- (ii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the 2000 Incentive Compensation Plan and dated as of February 25, 2002, entered into by CenturyLink and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(d)(ii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
- c. Amended and Restated 2002 Directors Stock Option Plan, dated as of February 25, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003) and amendment thereto dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(d) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
 - (i) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into by CenturyLink in connection with options granted to the outside directors as of May 10, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002).
 - (ii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into by CenturyLink in connection with options granted to the outside directors as of May 9, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e)(ii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
 - (iii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into by CenturyLink in connection with options granted to the outside directors as of May 7, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(d)(iii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).

- d. Amended and Restated 2002 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, dated as of February 25, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003) and amendment thereto dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- (i) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and certain of its officers and key employees at various dates during 2002 following May 9, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2002).
- (ii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to foregoing plan and dated as of February 24, 2003, entered into by CenturyLink and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f)(ii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
- (iii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to foregoing plan and dated as of February 25, 2004, entered into by CenturyLink and its officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f)(iii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- (iv) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 24, 2003, entered into by CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2003).

137

- (v) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 25, 2004, entered into by CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f)(v) of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2004).
- (vi) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to foregoing plan and dated as of February 17, 2005, entered into by CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e)(v) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
- (vii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 17, 2005, entered into by CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e)(vi) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2004).
- e. Amended and Restated 2005 Directors Stock Plan, as amended and restated through February 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009).
- (i) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and each of its outside directors as of May 13, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 13, 2005).
- (ii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and each of its outside directors as of May 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2006).
- (iii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and each of its outside directors as of May 11, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f)(iii) of our Annual Report

on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008).

- (iv) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and each of its outside directors as of May 9, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(f)(iv) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008).
- (v) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of May 8, 2009, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and each of its outside directors on such date who remained on the Board following July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(b) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- (vi) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of May 8, 2009, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and each of its outside directors who retired on July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(c) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- (vii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of July 2, 2009, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and each of its outside directors named to the Board on July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(d) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- (viii) Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of July 2, 2009, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and William A. Owens in payment of Mr. Owens' 2009 supplemental chairman's fees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(e) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).

138

- (ix) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of May 21, 2010, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and seven of our outside directors on such date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2010).
- f. Amended and Restated 2005 Management Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated through February 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(g) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009)
- (i) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and certain officers and key employees at various dates since May 12, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005).
 - (ii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan, entered into between CenturyLink and certain officers and key employees at various dates since May 12, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005).
 - (iii) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 21, 2006, entered into between CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(g)(iii) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
 - (iv) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 21, 2006, entered into between CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(g)(iv) of our

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).

- (v) Form of Stock Option Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 26, 2007, entered into between CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007).
 - (vi) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 26, 2007, entered into between CenturyLink and its executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007).
 - (vii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 21, 2008, entered into between CenturyLink and its executive officers ((incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008).
 - (viii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of February 26, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(g) of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009).
 - (ix) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of March 8, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010).
- g. Amended and Restated CenturyLink Legacy Embarq 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated through February 23, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2(h) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2009).

139

- (i) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of May 21, 2010, entered into between CenturyLink and four of its outside directors as of such date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010).
 - (ii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, pursuant to the foregoing plan and dated as of May 21, 2010, entered into between CenturyLink and William A. Owens in payment of Mr. Owens' 2010 supplemental chairman's fees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010).
 - (iii) Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2010 by and between CenturyLink, Inc. and Dennis G. Huber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010).
- h. Form of Retention Award Agreement, pursuant to the equity incentive plans of CenturyLink or Embarq and dated August 23, 2010, entered into between CenturyLink, Inc. and certain officers and key employees as of such date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010).

10.3 Key Employee Incentive Compensation Plan, dated January 1, 1984, as amended and restated as of November 16, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(f) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995) and amendment thereto dated November 21, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1(f) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996), amendment thereto dated February 25, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1997), amendment thereto dated April 25, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

- 10.2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001), amendment thereto dated April 17, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(a) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001) and amendment thereto dated February 27, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007).
- 10.4* Supplemental Dollars & Sense Plan, 2008 Restatement, effective January 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(c) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007) and amendment thereto dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(c) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008) and amendment thereto dated December 27, 2010, included herein.
- 10.5* Supplemental Defined Benefit Plan, 2008 Restatement, effective as of January 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(d) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007) and amendment thereto dated October 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3(d) of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008) and amendment thereto dated December 27, 2010, included herein.
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Salary Continuation (Disability) Plan for Officers, dated November 26, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991).
- 10.7 2010 Executive Officer Short-Term Incentive Program (incorporated by reference to our 2010 Proxy Statement filed on Form 14A with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 7, 2010).
- 10.8 Amended and Restated CenturyLink 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, dated as of June 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.9 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by CenturyLink, Inc. and each of its directors as of July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 1, 2009).
- 140
- 10.10 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by CenturyLink, Inc. and each of its officers as of July 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- 10.11* Change of Control Agreement, effective January 1, 2011, by and between Glen F. Post, III and CenturyLink, included herein.
- 10.12* Form of Change of Control Agreement, effective January 1, 2011 by and between CenturyLink and each of its other executive officers, included herein.
- 10.13 Amended and Restated CenturyLink, Inc. Bonus Life Insurance Plan for Executive Officers, dated as of April 3, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008) and First Amendment thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010).
- 10.14 Certain Material Agreements and Plans of Embarq Corporation.

a.

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

Employment Agreement, dated as of March 3, 2008, between Thomas A. Gerke and Embarq Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2008).

- b. Amendment to the Employment Agreement among Thomas A. Gerke, Embarq Corporation and CenturyLink, Inc. dated October 26, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 26, 2008).
- c. Amendment 2008-2 to the Employment Agreement between Embarq Corporation and Thomas A. Gerke, dated December 20, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) on February 13, 2009).
- d.*Letter agreement, dated as of December 15, 2010, by and between Embarq Corporation, CenturyLink, Inc. and Thomas A. Gerke, designating Mr. Gerke's last day of employment, included herein.
- e. Agreement Regarding Special Compensation and Post Employment Restrictive Covenants, dated December 12, 1995, by and between Sprint Corporation and Dennis G. Huber, which continues to govern certain payments being made to Mr. Huber as of the date of this report (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2008).
- f. Amendment 2008-1 to the Employment Agreement between Embarq Corporation and Dennis G. Huber, dated December 22, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) on February 13, 2009).
- g. Embarq Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed by CenturyLink, Inc. (File No. 001-07784) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 1, 2009).
- h. Form of 2007 Award Agreement for executive officers of Embarq Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2007).

141

- i. Form of 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2008).
- j. Form of 2009 Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32732) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 5, 2009).
- k. Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 4, 2008).
 - l. Amendment to Outstanding RSUs granted in 2007 and 2008 under the Embarq Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) on February 13,

2009).

- m. Form of 2006 Award Agreement between Embarq Corporation and Richard A. Gephardt (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2006), as amended by the amendment thereto dated June 26, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 (m) to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by CenturyLink, Inc. for the period ended June 30, 2009).
- n. Amended and Restated Executive Severance Plan, including Form of Participation Agreement entered into between Embarq Corporation and William E. Cheek (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2008).
- o. Embarq Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed by Embarq Corporation (File No. 001-32372) on February 13, 2009) and amendment thereto dated December 27, 2010, included herein.
- 10.15 Employment Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2010 by and between CenturyLink, Inc. and Dennis G. Huber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010).
- 12* Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.
- 21* Subsidiaries of CenturyLink, Inc.
- 23* Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consent.
- 31.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of CenturyLink, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of CenturyLink, Inc. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of CenturyLink, Inc. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Exhibit filed herewith.

** Portions of Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 filed herewith.

Note: Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Charters of our Board of Director Committees are located on our website at www.centurylink.com.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CenturyLink, Inc

Date: March 1, 2011

By: /s/ Glen F. Post, III
Glen F. Post, III
Chief Executive Officer and
President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

| | | |
|--|--|---------------|
| /s/ Glen F. Post, III Glen F. Post, III | Chief Executive Officer, President and Director | March 1, 2011 |
|--|--|---------------|

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| /s/ William A. Owens William A. Owens | Chairman of the Board | March 1, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|

| | | |
|--|--|---------------|
| /s/ R. Stewart Ewing, Jr. R. Stewart Ewing, Jr. | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | March 1, 2011 |
|--|--|---------------|

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| /s/ Neil A. Sweasy Neil A. Sweasy | Vice President and Controller | March 1, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|---------------|
| /s/ Virginia Boulet Virginia Boulet | Director | March 1, 2011 |
|--|----------|---------------|

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| /s/ Peter C. Brown Peter C. Brown | Director | March 1, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|---------------|
| /s/ Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt | Director | March 1, 2011 |
|--|----------|---------------|

Edgar Filing: CENTURYLINK, INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|----------|---------------|
| /s/ W. Bruce Hanks W. Bruce Hanks | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| | 143 | |
| /s/ Gregory J. McCray Gregory J. McCray | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| /s/ C. G. Melville, Jr. C. G. Melville, Jr. | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| /s/ Fred R. Nichols Fred R. Nichols | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| /s/ Harvey P. Perry Harvey P. Perry | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| /s/ Laurie A. Siegel Laurie A. Siegel | Director | March 1, 2011 |
| /s/ Joseph R. Zimmer Joseph R. Zimmer | Director | March 1, 2011 |

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
CENTURYLINK, INC.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

| Description | Balance at beginning of period | Additions | | | Balance at end of period |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | charged to costs and expenses | Deductions from allowance | Other changes | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 47,450 | 91,202 | (78,566) (1) | - | 60,086 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | \$ 41,533 | 3,681 | (2,320) | - | 42,894 |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 16,290 | 56,609 | (25,449) (1) | - | 47,450 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | \$ 33,858 | 3,886 | (6,329) | 10,118 (2) | 41,533 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 20,361 | 9,866 | (13,524) (1) | (413) (2) | 16,290 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | \$ 30,907 | 1,603 | - | 1,348 | 33,858 |

(1) Customers' accounts written-off, net of recoveries.

(2) Allowances at the date of acquisition of purchased subsidiaries, net of allowances at the date of disposition of subsidiaries sold.