

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
March 15, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended January 31, 2013

Or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From to

Commission file number: 001-33417

OCEAN POWER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or  
Organization)

22-2535818  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1590 REED ROAD, PENNINGTON, NJ 08534  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

(609) 730-0400  
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting  
company   
(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
 No

As of February 28, 2013, the number of outstanding shares of common stock of the registrant was 10,406,548.

---

OCEAN POWER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
INDEX TO FORM 10-Q  
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2013

	Page Number
<b>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited):	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2013 and April 30, 2012	3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012	6
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	29
<b>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A. Risk Factors	29
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	30
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	30
Item 5. Other Information	30
Item 6. Exhibits	31

PowerBuoy® is a registered trademark of Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and the Ocean Power Technologies logo is a trademark of Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. All other trademarks appearing in this report are the property of their respective holders.

---

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

We have made statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements convey our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, plans and objectives of management for future operations. The words "may," "continue," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "will," "believe," "project," "expect," "anticipate" and similar expressions may identify forward-looking statements, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report may turn out to be inaccurate. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. They may be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or unknown risks and uncertainties, including the risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in Item 1A "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2012 and elsewhere in this report. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur as contemplated and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied by the forward-looking statements.

You should not unduly rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this filing. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information or future events or otherwise.

## PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

	January 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	April 30, 2012
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,954,941	9,353,460
Marketable securities	14,101,205	22,369,484
Accounts receivable	655,254	1,064,796
Unbilled receivables	525,266	223,050
Other current assets	314,533	842,820
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>24,551,199</b>	<b>33,853,610</b>
Property and equipment, net	815,384	682,933
Patents, net	1,106,830	1,269,457
Restricted cash	1,398,656	1,453,712
Other noncurrent assets	229,038	181,925
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$28,101,107</b>	<b>37,441,637</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$375,570	440,773
Accrued expenses	3,261,245	2,770,094
Deferred credits payable	—	600,000
Unearned revenues	463,677	1,073,389
Current portion of long-term debt	100,000	100,000
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>4,200,492</b>	<b>4,984,256</b>
Long-term debt	275,000	350,000
Long-term unearned revenues	841,524	—
Deferred credits	600,000	—
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>5,917,016</b>	<b>5,334,256</b>
Commitments and contingencies (note 9)		
Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares, none issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized 105,000,000 shares, issued 10,406,548 and 10,407,389 shares, respectively	10,407	10,407
Treasury stock, at cost; 33,771 and 23,544 shares, respectively	(123,893 )	(102,388 )
Additional paid-in capital	159,052,026	158,296,458

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(136,542,838 )	(125,989,474 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(85,167 )	(78,990 )
Total Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. stockholders' equity..	22,310,535	32,136,013
Noncontrolling interest in Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd...	(126,444 )	(28,632 )
Total equity	22,184,091	32,107,381
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$28,101,107	37,441,637

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

## Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues	\$865,553	923,619	3,208,248	4,349,908
Cost of revenues	890,051	934,142	3,116,188	4,319,634
Gross (loss) profit	(24,498 )	(10,523 )	92,060	30,274
Operating expenses:				
Product development costs	601,748	1,388,380	5,466,742	6,551,507
Selling, general and administrative costs	2,367,849	1,822,806	6,856,815	5,857,656
Total operating expenses	2,969,597	3,211,186	12,323,557	12,409,163
Operating loss	(2,994,095 )	(3,221,709 )	(12,231,497 )	(12,378,889 )
Interest income, net	21,804	95,261	112,116	341,631
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	21,778	(113,373 )	16,196	(93,080 )
Loss before income taxes	(2,950,513 )	(3,239,821 )	(12,103,185 )	(12,130,338 )
Income tax benefit	1,453,243	1,053,427	1,453,243	1,053,427
Net loss	(1,497,270 )	(2,186,394 )	(10,649,942 )	(11,076,911 )
Less: Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd.	31,499	19,708	96,578	32,804
Net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc	\$(1,465,771 )	(2,166,686 )	(10,553,364 )	(11,044,107 )
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.14 )	(0.21 )	(1.02 )	(1.07 )
Weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	10,304,277	10,276,788	10,300,626	10,273,636

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).



Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net loss	\$(1,497,270 )	(2,186,394 )	(10,649,942 )	(11,076,911 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment	16,549	(47,605 )	(7,411 )	(267,454 )
Total comprehensive loss	(1,480,721 )	(2,233,999 )	(10,657,353 )	(11,344,365 )
Comprehensive loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd.	32,260	20,266	97,812	34,085
Comprehensive loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc	\$(1,448,461 )	(2,213,733 )	(10,559,541 )	(11,310,280 )

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

## Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2013	2012
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$(10,649,942 )	(11,076,911 )
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:</b>		
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(16,196 )	93,080
Depreciation and amortization	376,105	294,820
Loss on disposals of property, plant and equipment	310	9,715
Treasury note premium amortization	27,598	(31,633 )
Compensation expense related to stock option grants and restricted stock	755,570	935,370
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Accounts receivable	405,354	334,946
Unbilled receivables	(302,215 )	316,084
Other current assets	526,232	20,340
Other noncurrent assets	(48,803 )	54,183
Accounts payable	(32,503 )	(761,241 )
Accrued expenses	511,490	(860,101 )
Unearned revenues	(608,275 )	928,016
Long-term unearned revenues	841,524	—
Net cash used in operating activities	(8,213,751 )	(9,743,332 )
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of marketable securities	(12,680,022 )	(12,849,207 )
Maturities of marketable securities	20,913,831	26,727,857
Restricted cash	75,000	53,936
Purchases of equipment	(387,626 )	(210,316 )
Payments of patent costs	—	(138,889 )
Net cash provided by investing activities	7,921,183	13,583,381
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Repayment of debt	(75,000 )	(114,378 )
Acquisition of treasury stock	(21,505 )	(55,783 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(96,505 )	(170,161 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(9,446 )	(216,273 )
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(398,519 )	3,453,615
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	9,353,460	4,376,136
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$8,954,941	7,829,751
<b>Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Capitalized patent costs financed through accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$—	73,019
Capitalized purchases of equipment financed through accounts payable and accrued expenses	6,681	14,871

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).



Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity  
(Unaudited)

	Common Shares		Treasury Shares		Additional	Accumulated	Accumulated	Noncontrolling	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Deficit	Other Comprehensive Loss	Interest	Total
Balance, April 30, 2011	10,419,183	\$10,419	(7,685 )	\$(42,734 )	157,174,930	(110,848,972)	175,907	21,948	46,497
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(11,044,107 )	—	(32,804 )	(11,076,911)
Stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	841,602	—	—	—	841,602
Issuance (forfeiture) of restricted stock, net	(4,794 )	(5 )	—	—	93,773	—	—	—	93,763
Acquisition of treasury stock	—	—	(14,510)	(55,783 )	—	—	—	—	(55,783)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(266,173)	(1,281 )	(267,454)
Balance, January 31, 2012	10,414,389	\$10,414	(22,195)	\$(98,517 )	158,110,305	(121,893,079)	(90,266 )	(12,137 )	36,020
Balance, April 30, 2012	10,407,389	\$10,407	(23,544)	\$(102,388)	158,296,458	(125,989,474)	(78,990 )	(28,632 )	32,103
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(10,553,364 )	—	(96,578 )	(10,649,942)
Stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	713,635	—	—	—	713,635
Issuance (forfeiture) of restricted stock, net	(841 )	—	—	—	41,933	—	—	—	41,933
Acquisition of treasury stock	—	—	(10,227)	(21,505 )	—	—	—	—	(21,505)

Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,177 )	(1,234 )	(7,411 )
Balance, January 31, 2013	10,406,548	\$10,407	(33,771)	\$(123,893)	159,052,026	(136,542,838)	(85,167 )	(126,444)	22,188

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

(1) Background and Basis of Presentation

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in April 1984 in New Jersey, commenced business operations in 1994 and re-incorporated in Delaware in April 2007. The Company develops and is seeking to commercialize proprietary systems that generate electricity by harnessing the renewable energy of ocean waves. The Company markets its products in the United States and internationally. Since fiscal 2002, the US Navy and other government agencies have accounted for a significant portion of the Company’s revenues. These revenues were largely for the support of product development efforts. The Company’s goal, over time, is to generate revenues from the sale of products and maintenance services to utilities and other non-government commercial customers, and to have any such revenues represent a substantial portion of its total revenues. As we continue to advance our proprietary technologies, we expect to continue to have a net decrease in cash from operating activities unless or until we achieve positive cash flow from the planned commercialization of our products and services.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year or for any other interim period. Further information on potential factors that could affect the Company's financial results can be found in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Participation of stockholders other than the Company in the net assets and in the earnings or losses of a consolidated subsidiary is reflected as a noncontrolling interest in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets and Statements of Operations, which adjusts the Company's consolidated results of operations to reflect only the Company's share of the earnings or losses of the consolidated subsidiary. As of January 31, 2013, there was one noncontrolling interest, consisting of 11.8% of the Company's Australian subsidiary, Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty. Ltd.

In addition, the Company evaluates its relationships with other entities to identify whether they are variable interest entities, and to assess whether it is the primary beneficiary of such entities. If the determination is made that the Company is the primary beneficiary, then that entity is included in the consolidated financial statements. As of January 31, 2013, there were no such entities.

The Company has a 10% investment in Iberdrola Energias Marinas de Cantabria, S.A. (Iberdrola Cantabria). During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company evaluated the realizability of this investment and concluded that it was impaired. Accordingly, the Company recorded an impairment expense of \$0.3 million representing 100% of the investment amount. In addition, outstanding receivables from Iberdrola Cantabria in the amount of \$0.3 million as of April 2012 were fully reserved during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012. The investment in Iberdrola Cantabria and net accounts receivable and unbilled receivables from Iberdrola Cantabria were \$0 as of January 31, 2013 and April 30, 2012. See Note 9.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management of the Company to make a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the recoverability of the carrying amount of property and equipment and patents; valuation allowances for receivables and deferred income tax assets; and percentage of completion of customer contracts for purposes of revenue recognition. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The current economic environment, particularly the macroeconomic pressures in certain European countries, has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in those estimates and assumptions.

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 (Unaudited)

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Revenue Recognition

The Company's contracts are either cost plus or fixed price contracts. Under cost plus contracts, customers are billed for actual expenses incurred plus an agreed-upon fee. Currently, the Company has two types of fixed price contracts, firm fixed price and cost-sharing. Under firm fixed price contracts, the Company receives an agreed-upon amount for providing products and services specified in the contract. Under cost-sharing contracts, the fixed amount agreed upon with the customer is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project.

Generally, the Company recognizes revenue using the percentage-of-completion method based on the ratio of costs incurred to total estimated costs at completion. In certain circumstances, revenue under contracts that have specified milestones or other performance criteria may be recognized only when the customer acknowledges that such criteria have been satisfied. In addition, recognition of revenue (and the related costs) may be deferred for fixed-price contracts until contract completion if the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the total costs of the project prior to completion. Because the Company has a small number of contracts, revisions to the percentage-of-completion determination or delays in meeting performance criteria or in completing projects may have a significant effect on revenue for the periods involved. Upon anticipating a loss on a contract, the Company recognizes the full amount of the anticipated loss in the current period.

Under cost plus and firm fixed price contracts, a profit or loss on a project is recognized depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed upon amount. Under cost sharing contracts, an amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues, resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. The Company's share of the costs is recorded as product development expense.

Unbilled receivables represent expenditures on contracts, plus applicable profit margin, not yet billed. Unbilled receivables are normally billed and collected within one year. Billings made on contracts are recorded as a reduction of unbilled receivables, and to the extent that such billings and cash collections exceed costs incurred plus applicable profit margin, they are recorded as unearned revenues.

(b) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of investments in short-term financial instruments with initial maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Cash and cash equivalents include the following:

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Checking and savings accounts	\$ 7,158,753	2,051,918
Certificates of deposits and US Treasury obligations	—	5,998,925
Money market funds	1,796,188	1,302,617
	\$ 8,954,941	9,353,460



Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

(c) Restricted Cash and Credit Facility

A portion of the Company's cash is restricted under the terms of two security agreements.

One agreement is between Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Barclays Bank. Under this agreement, the cash is on deposit at Barclays Bank and serves as security for letters of credit that are expected to be issued by Barclays Bank on behalf of Ocean Power Technologies Ltd., one of the Company's subsidiaries, under a €800,000 credit facility established by Barclays Bank for Ocean Power Technologies Ltd. The credit facility is for the issuance of letters of credit and bank guarantees and carries a fee of 1% per annum of the amount of any such obligations issued by Barclays Bank. As of January 31, 2013, there were €266,000 in letters of credit outstanding under this agreement. The credit facility does not have an expiration date, but is cancelable at the discretion of the bank.

The other agreement is between Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (NJBPU). The Company received a \$500,000 recoverable grant award from the NJBPU. Under this agreement, the Company is required to assign to the NJBPU a certificate of deposit in an amount equal to the outstanding grant balance. See Note 6.

Cash restricted under security agreements is as follows:

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Barclays Bank agreement	\$ 973,656	953,712
NJBPU agreement	425,000	500,000
	\$ 1,398,656	1,453,712

(d) Foreign Exchange Gains and Losses

The Company has invested in certain certificates of deposit and has maintained cash accounts that are denominated in British pounds sterling, Euros and Australian dollars. These amounts are included in cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and marketable securities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Such positions may result in realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses from exchange rate fluctuations, which gains and losses are included in foreign exchange loss in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2013	2012	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013	2012
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	\$ 21,778	(113,373 )	16,196	(93,080 )

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Foreign currency denominated certificates of deposit and cash accounts	\$ 3,248,658	2,826,000

(e) Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and patents subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, then an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. The Company reviewed its long-lived assets for impairment and determined there was no impairment for the nine months ended January 31, 2013.

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

## (f) Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash balances, bank certificates of deposit and trade receivables. The Company invests its excess cash in highly liquid investments (principally, short-term bank deposits, Treasury bills, Treasury notes and money market funds) and does not believe that it is exposed to any significant risks related to its cash accounts, money market funds or certificates of deposit.

The table below shows the percentage of the Company's revenues derived from customers whose revenues accounted for at least 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues for at least one of the periods indicated:

Customer	Three months ended January 31,				Nine months ended January 31,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
US Department of Energy	44	%	42	%	52	%	28	%
Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding	12	%	—		20	%	—	
European Union (WavePort project)	25	%	19	%	18	%	8	%
US Navy	2	%	1	%	4	%	37	%
UK Government's Technology Strategy Board	7	%	30	%	4	%	23	%
	90	%	92	%	98	%	96	%

The loss of, or a significant reduction in revenues from, any of the current customers could significantly impact the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company does not require its customers to maintain collateral.

## (g) Net Loss per Common Share

Basic and diluted net loss per share for all periods presented is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Due to the Company's net losses, potentially dilutive securities, consisting of outstanding stock options and non-vested performance-based shares, were excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation due to their anti-dilutive effect.

In computing diluted net loss per share, options to purchase shares of common stock and non-vested restricted stock issued to employees and non-employee directors, totaling 1,462,731 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2013, and 1,534,767 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2012, were excluded from the computations as the effect would be anti-dilutive due to the Company's losses.

## (h) Recently Issued Accounting Standards

During the quarter ended July 31, 2012, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amendment to the disclosure requirements for presentation of comprehensive income. The amendment requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance became effective retrospectively for the interim periods and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011; however, the FASB agreed to an indefinite deferral of the reclassification requirement. See page 5 for the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss.

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
 (Unaudited)

(3) Marketable Securities

Marketable securities with initial maturities longer than three months but that mature within one year from the balance sheet date are classified as current assets and are summarized as follows:

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Certificates of deposit denominated in Australian dollars	\$ 104,450	556,437
Certificate of deposit denominated in US dollars	-	3,806,808
US Treasury obligations	13,996,755	18,006,239
	\$ 14,101,205	22,369,484

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

## (4) Balance Sheet Detail

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
<b>Accounts Receivable, net</b>		
Accounts receivable	\$ 950,640	1,369,400
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(295,386 )	(304,604 )
	\$ 655,254	1,064,796
<b>Property and Equipment</b>		
Property and Equipment	\$ 2,430,476	2,213,820
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,615,092 )	(1,530,887 )
	\$ 815,384	682,933
<b>Patents</b>		
Patents	\$ 1,574,044	1,574,044
Accumulated amortization	(467,214 )	(304,587 )
	\$ 1,106,830	1,269,457
<b>Accrued Expenses</b>		
Project costs	\$ 1,001,836	244,892
Contract loss reserves	785,000	785,000
Employee incentive payments	138,627	661,328
Other	211,211	187,986
Employee-related costs	618,159	521,058
Investment in joint venture (Iberdrola Cantabria)	179,840	176,110
Legal and accounting fees	326,572	193,720
	\$ 3,261,245	2,770,094

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

## (5) Related Party Transactions

In August 1999, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with an individual for the provision of marketing services. This agreement provides for fees at a rate of \$950 per day of services provided. The individual became a member of the board of directors in June 2006. In addition, this individual is also the chief executive officer of a company that provides engineering and technical services to the Company. The Company also provides services to the company where this individual is the chief executive officer. This individual did not stand for re-election to the board of directors at the Company's Annual Meeting on October 4, 2012, and is no longer considered a related party, consequently, subsequent transactions are not included in the amounts below.

	Three Months Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Related party consulting expense	\$ -	21,000	42,000	65,000
Expenses for services provided by related party company	-	-	-	29,000
Revenue for services provided to related party company	-	55,000	32,000	122,000

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Consulting fees payable to related party	\$ -	\$ 7,000
Payable to related party company	-	-
Receivable from related party company	-	-

## (6) Debt

The Company was awarded a recoverable grant totaling \$500,000 from the NJBPU under the Renewable Energy Business Venture Assistance Program. Under the terms of this agreement, the amount to be repaid is a fixed monthly amount of principal only, repayable over a five-year period beginning in November 2011. The terms also required the Company to assign to the NJBPU a certificate of deposit in an amount equal to the outstanding grant balance. See Note 2(c).

	January 31, 2013	April 30, 2012
Total debt	\$ 375,000	450,000
Current portion of long-term debt	(100,000 )	(100,000 )
Long-term debt	\$ 275,000	350,000

## (7) Deferred Credits Payable and Deferred Credits

During the year ended April 30, 2001, in connection with the sale of common stock to an investor, the Company received \$600,000 from the investor in exchange for an option to purchase up to 500,000 metric tons of carbon emissions credits generated by the Company during the years 2008 through 2012, at a 30% discount from the then-prevailing market rate. If the Company received emission credits under applicable laws and failed to sell to the investor the credits up to the full amount of emission credits covered by the option, the investor was entitled to

liquidated damages equal to 30% of the aggregate market value of the shortfall in emission credits (subject to a limit on the market price of emission credits). Under the terms of the agreement, if the Company did not become entitled under applicable laws to the full amount of emission credits covered by the option by December 31, 2012, the Company was obligated to return the option fee of \$600,000, less the aggregate discount on any emission credits sold to the investor prior to such date. In December 2012, the Company and the investor agreed to extend the period for the sale of emission credits until December 31, 2017. As of January 31, 2013, the Company has not generated any emissions credits eligible for purchase under the agreement and the Company does not believe it is probable that it will generate any eligible emissions credits before December 31, 2017. Accordingly, the \$600,000 has been classified as a current liability as of April 30, 2012 and as a noncurrent liability as of January 31, 2013.



Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

## (8) Stock-Based Compensation

Costs resulting from all stock-based payment transactions are recognized in the consolidated financial statements at their fair values. Compensation cost for the portion of the awards for which the requisite service had not been rendered that were outstanding as of May 1, 2006 is being recognized in the consolidated statements of operations over the remaining service period after such date based on the award's original estimated fair value. The aggregate stock-based compensation expense related to all stock-based transactions recorded in the consolidated statements of operations was approximately \$756,000 and \$935,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## (a) Stock Options

## Valuation Assumptions for Options Granted During the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012

The fair value of each stock option granted, for both service-based and performance-based vesting requirements, during the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, assuming no dividends and using the weighted average valuation assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free rate is based on the US Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected life (estimated period of time outstanding) of the stock options granted was estimated using the "simplified" method as permitted by the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility for a peer group of companies for a period equal to the stock option's expected life, calculated on a daily basis.

	Nine Months Ended January 31,			
	2013		2012	
Risk-free interest rate	0.9	%	1.8	%
Expected dividend yield	0.0	%	0.0	%
Expected life (years)	6.1		5.8	
Expected volatility	86.15	%	94.5	%

The above assumptions were used to determine the weighted average per share fair value of \$1.62 and \$2.98 for stock options granted during the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A summary of stock options under the plans is as follows:

	Shares Underlying Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)
Outstanding as of April 30, 2012	1,353,473	\$ 8.92	
Forfeited	(304,898)	7.37	
Exercised	—	—	
Granted	356,021	2.01	
Outstanding as of January 31, 2013	1,404,596	7.51	6.2
Exercisable as of January 31, 2013	845,956	10.13	4.7

As of January 31, 2013, the total intrinsic value of outstanding options was approximately \$106,000 and the total intrinsic value of exercisable options was \$0. As of January 31, 2013, approximately 559,000 additional options are expected to vest in the future, which options had approximately \$106,000 of intrinsic value and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 8.5 years. There was approximately \$714,000 and \$842,000 of total recognized compensation cost related to stock options for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of January 31, 2013, there was approximately \$988,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years. The Company normally issues new shares to satisfy option exercises under these plans. During the nine months ended January 31, 2013, stock options granted included 39,240 stock options which are subject to performance-based vesting requirements. Stock options outstanding as of January 31, 2013 included 53,006 stock options subject to performance-based vesting requirements.

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

(b) Restricted Stock

Compensation expense for non-vested restricted stock was historically recorded based on its market value on the date of grant and recognized over the associated service and performance period. During the nine months ended January 31, 2013, there were 18,000 shares of non-vested restricted stock granted to employees with performance-based vesting requirements. During the nine months ended January 31, 2012, 31,950 shares of non-vested restricted stock subject to performance-based vesting requirements were forfeited in accordance with performance objectives. Restricted stock issued and unvested at January 31, 2013 included 40,000 shares of non-vested restricted stock subject to performance-based vesting requirements.

A summary of non-vested restricted stock under the plans is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price per Share
Issued and unvested at April 30, 2012	93,840	\$ 5.86
Granted	31,744	2.39
Forfeited	(32,585)	4.62
Vested	(34,864)	6.02
Issued and unvested at January 31, 2013	58,135	4.57

There was approximately \$42,000 and \$93,000 of total recognized compensation cost related to restricted stock for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of January 31, 2013, there was approximately \$63,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock granted under the plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years.

(c) Treasury Stock

During the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, 10,227 and 14,510 shares, respectively, of common stock were purchased by the Company from employees to pay taxes related to the vesting of restricted stock.

(9) Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The Company is involved from time to time in certain legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that the outcome of such actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Spain Construction Agreement

The Company is currently engaged with Iberdrola Cantabria in discussions regarding modifications to its agreement for the first phase of the construction of a wave power project off the coast of Spain. This first phase was due to be completed by December 31, 2009. If no modification is agreed to by the parties, the customer may, subject to certain

conditions in the agreement, terminate the agreement and would not be obligated to make any more milestone payments. The agreement also provides that the customer may seek reimbursement for direct damages only, limited to amounts specified in the agreement, if the Company is in default of its obligations under the agreement. As of January 31, 2013, the Company does not believe that the outcome of this matter will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. See Note 1.

Spain IVA (sales tax)

The Company received notice that the Spanish Tax Authorities are inquiring into its 2010 IVA (value-added tax) filing for which the Company benefitted from the offset of approximately \$250,000 input tax. The Company believes that the inquiry will find that the tax credit was properly claimed and, therefore, no liability has been recorded.

Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

## (10) Income Taxes

During the three and nine months ended January 31, 2013, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$1,453,243, representing the proceeds from the sale of \$18,675,000 of New Jersey net operating loss carryforwards. During the three and nine months ended January 31, 2012, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$1,053,427, representing the proceeds from the sale of \$12,862,000 of New Jersey net operating loss carryforwards.

Other than as a result of the sale of New Jersey net operating loss carryforwards, the Company did not recognize any consolidated income tax benefit (expense) for the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance to reduce its net deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized in future years. Accordingly, the benefit of the net operating loss that would have been recognized was offset by changes in the valuation allowance.

During the nine months ended January 31, 2013, the Company had no material changes in uncertain tax positions.

## (11) Operating Segments and Geographic Information

The Company views its business as one segment, which is the development and sale of its PowerBuoy product for wave energy applications. The Company operates on a worldwide basis with one operating company in the US, one operating subsidiary in the UK and one operating subsidiary in Australia, which are categorized below as North America, Europe, and Asia and Australia, respectively. Revenues are generally attributed to the operating unit that bills the customers.

Geographic information is as follows:

	North America	Europe	Asia and Australia	Total
<b>Three months ended January 31, 2013</b>				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 803,524	62,029	—	865,553
Operating loss	(2,479,394 )	(250,466 )	(264,235 )	(2,994,095 )
<b>Three months ended January 31, 2012</b>				
Revenues from external customers	651,036	272,583	—	923,619
Operating loss	(2,707,718 )	(336,482 )	(177,509 )	(3,221,709 )
<b>Nine months ended January 31, 2013</b>				
Revenues from external customers	3,088,355	119,893	—	3,208,248
Operating loss	(10,669,102 )	(751,444 )	(810,951 )	(12,231,497 )
<b>Nine months ended January 31, 2012</b>				
Revenues from external customers	3,367,070	982,838	—	4,349,908
Operating loss	(10,988,918 )	(1,080,726 )	(309,245 )	(12,378,889 )
<b>January 31, 2013</b>				
Long-lived assets	736,459	77,148	1,777	815,384

Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total assets	26,047,332	1,709,619	344,156	28,101,107
April 30, 2012				
Long-lived assets	585,818	97,115	—	682,933
Total assets	\$35,181,637	1,619,973	640,027	37,441,637

17

---

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should review the "Risk Factors" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2012 for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis. References to a fiscal year in this Form 10-Q refer to the year ended April 30 of that year (e.g., fiscal 2012 refers to the year ended April 30, 2012).

Overview

We develop and are seeking to commercialize proprietary systems that generate electricity by harnessing the renewable energy of ocean waves. Our PowerBuoy® systems use proprietary technologies to convert the mechanical energy created by the rising and falling of ocean waves into electricity. We currently offer and continue to develop two PowerBuoy product lines, which consist of our utility PowerBuoy system and our autonomous PowerBuoy system. We also offer operations and maintenance services for our PowerBuoy systems. In addition, we market our undersea substation pod product and undersea power connection infrastructure services to other companies in the marine energy sector. Since fiscal 2002, the US Navy and other government agencies have accounted for a significant portion of our revenues. These revenues were largely for the support of our product development efforts. Our goal, over time, is to generate revenues from utilities and other non-government commercial customers and to have any such revenues represent a substantial portion of our total revenues. In addition, our goal is that an increased portion of our revenues be from the sale of products and maintenance services, as compared to revenue to support our product development efforts. As we continue to advance our proprietary technologies, we expect to have a net decrease in cash from operating activities unless or until we achieve positive cash flow from the planned commercialization of our products and services.

We market our utility PowerBuoy system, which is designed to supply electricity to a local or regional power grid, to utilities and other electrical power producers seeking to add electricity generated by wave energy to their existing electricity supply. We market our autonomous PowerBuoy system, which is designed to generate power for use independent of the power grid, to customers that require electricity in remote locations. We believe there are a variety of potential applications for our autonomous PowerBuoy system, including sonar and radar surveillance, tsunami warning, oceanographic data collection, offshore platforms and offshore aquaculture.

We were incorporated in New Jersey in April 1984, began business operations in 1994, and were re-incorporated in Delaware in 2007. We currently have three wholly-owned subsidiaries, Ocean Power Technologies Ltd., Reedsport OPT Wave Park LLC, and Oregon Wave Energy Partners I, LLC, and we own approximately 88% of the ordinary shares of Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd (OPTA). In March 2012, OPTA acquired 100% ownership of Victorian Wave Partners Pty Ltd.

The development of our technology has been funded by capital we raised and by development engineering contracts we received starting in fiscal 1995. In fiscal 1996, we received the first of several research contracts with the US Navy to study the feasibility of wave energy. As a result of those research contracts, we entered into our first development and construction contract with the US Navy in fiscal 2002 under a project for the development and testing of our wave power systems at the US Marine Corps Base in Oahu, Hawaii. This project included the grid-connection of one of our utility-grade PowerBuoys at the Marine Corps Base. We generated our first revenue relating to our autonomous PowerBuoy system from contracts with Lockheed Martin Corporation, or Lockheed Martin, in fiscal 2003, and we

entered into our first development and construction contract with Lockheed Martin in fiscal 2004 for the development and construction of a prototype demonstration autonomous PowerBuoy system. Subsequently, we received a contract from the US Navy to test our autonomous PowerBuoy system as a power source for the Navy's Deep Water Active Detection System (DWADS). In 2011, an autonomous PowerBuoy was deployed for ocean trials off the coast of New Jersey under a contract from the US Navy under its Littoral Expeditionary Autonomous PowerBuoy (LEAP) program. The LEAP PowerBuoy, incorporating a unique power take-off and on-board storage system, is significantly smaller and more compact than our standard utility PowerBuoy. It is designed to provide persistent, off-grid clean energy in remote ocean locations for a wide variety of maritime security, monitoring and other commercial applications. Also in 2011, ocean trials of our first Mark 3 PowerBuoy (previously referred to as "150kW PowerBuoy" or "PB150") were conducted. These ocean trials were conducted at a site approximately 33 nautical miles from Invergordon, off Scotland's northeast coast. During the ocean trials, our Mark 3 PowerBuoy produced power in excess of our expectations of performance. Our utility scale Mark 3 PowerBuoy structure and mooring system achieved independent certification from Lloyd's Register. This certification from Lloyd's Register confirms that the Mark 3 PowerBuoy design complies with the requirements of Lloyd's 1999 Rules and Regulations for the Classification of Floating Offshore Installations at Fixed Locations.



During the three months ended January 31, 2013, we continued work on the projects with Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding and the US Department of Homeland Security, our WavePort project in Spain and our Mark 3 PowerBuoy in Oregon. We also continued to work on developing our Mark 4 PowerBuoy (previously referred to as “PB500 PowerBuoy”).

We are planning for deployment of a Mark 3 PowerBuoy off the coast of Oregon. However, deployment and commissioning of this PowerBuoy must take into consideration various regulatory, business, and a financial factors, including requirements of regulatory agencies and a significant use of funds. In February 2013, we received notification from staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) that it now considers our first Oregon PowerBuoy to be subject to its jurisdiction. If FERC is ultimately determined to have such jurisdiction, significant reporting and other procedures will be necessary to comply with FERC requirements, which will require us to make additional expenditures. These factors may delay deployment of the Oregon PowerBuoy beyond calendar 2013.

Our efforts continued in connection with deployment of the planned 19MW wave power project off the coast of Victoria, Australia. Funding for this project includes a grant of A\$66.5 million (approximately US \$70 million) awarded by the Commonwealth of Australia. The grant is subject to certain terms, including achievement of significant external funding milestones, in order to enable our receipt of the grant funds. In November 2012, we engaged a financial advisor to lead efforts to structure power purchase agreements and secure appropriate financing for this project. The Board of Directors of the Australian Renewable Energy Agency, the Commonwealth agency, that manages the grant, is reviewing the status of the grant, including progress toward funding milestones and amendments to the grant as proposed by us.

At January 31, 2013, our total negotiated backlog was \$4.3 million compared with \$7.8 million at January 31, 2012. We anticipate that the majority of our backlog will be recognized as revenue over a period exceeding 12 months. A portion of our backlog at January 31, 2013, is for our Oregon project, and we intend to seek additional funding to complete this project. Most of our backlog at January 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of cost-sharing contracts as described in the Financial Operations Overview section of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis. Our backlog can include both funded amounts, which are unfilled firm orders for our products and services for which funding has been both authorized and appropriated by the customer (Congress, in the case of US Government agencies) and unfunded amounts, which are unfilled firm orders from the US Department of Energy (DOE) for which funding has not been appropriated. If any of our contracts were to be terminated, our backlog would be reduced by the expected value of the remaining terms of such contracts. Funded backlog was \$4.3 million and \$5.8 million at January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the three months ended January 31, 2013, we generated revenues of \$0.9 million and incurred a net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. of \$1.5 million, compared to revenues of \$0.9 million and a net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. of \$2.2 million for the three months ended January 31, 2012. For the nine months ended January 31, 2013, we generated revenues of \$3.2 million and incurred a net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. of \$10.6 million, compared to revenues of \$4.3 million and a net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. of \$11.0 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2012. As of January 31, 2013, our accumulated deficit was \$136.5 million. We have not been profitable since inception, and we do not know whether or when we will become profitable because of the significant uncertainties with respect to our ability to successfully commercialize our PowerBuoy systems in the emerging renewable energy market.

The recent global economic uncertainty may have a negative effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Currently, the cost of electricity generated from wave energy, without the benefit of subsidies or other economic incentives, substantially exceeds the prevailing price of electricity in many significant markets in the world. As a result, the near-term growth of the market opportunity for our utility PowerBuoy systems, which are designed to feed electricity into a local or regional power grid, depends significantly on the availability and magnitude of

government incentives and subsidies for wave energy. Federal, state and local governmental bodies in many countries have provided subsidies in the form of tariff subsidies, rebates, tax credits and other incentives to utilities, power generators and distributors using renewable energy. However, these incentives and subsidies generally decline over time, and many incentive and subsidy programs have specific expiration dates. The timing, scope and size of new government programs for renewable energy is uncertain, and there can be no assurances that we or our customers will be successful in obtaining any additional government funding. We do not believe the recent global economic uncertainty will have a material negative impact on our sources of supply, as our products incorporate what are substantially non-custom, standard parts found in many regions of the world.

According to the International Energy Agency, \$3.4 trillion is expected to be spent for new renewable energy generation equipment in the period from 2007 to 2030. This equates to annual global expenditures of approximately \$150 billion. We plan to take advantage of these global drivers of demand for renewable energy as we continue to refine and expand our proprietary technology.

## Financial Operations Overview

The following describes certain line items in our consolidated statements of operations and some of the factors that affect our operating results.

## Revenues

Generally, we recognize revenue using the percentage-of-completion method based on the ratio of costs incurred to total estimated costs at completion. In certain circumstances, revenue under contracts that have specified milestones or other performance criteria may be recognized only when our customer acknowledges that such criteria have been satisfied. In addition, recognition of revenue (and the related costs) may be deferred for fixed-price contracts until contract completion if we are unable to reasonably estimate the total costs of the project prior to completion. Because we have a small number of contracts, revisions to the percentage-of-completion determination or delays in meeting performance criteria or in completing projects may have a significant effect on our revenue for the periods involved. Upon anticipating a loss on a contract, we recognize the full amount of the anticipated loss in the current period.

Generally our contracts are either cost plus or fixed price contracts. Under cost plus contracts, we bill the customer for actual expenses incurred plus an agreed-upon fee. Revenue is typically recorded using the percentage-of-completion method based on the maximum awarded contract amount. In certain cases, we may choose to incur costs in excess of the maximum awarded contract amounts resulting in a loss on the contract. Currently, we have two types of fixed price contracts, firm fixed price and cost-sharing. Under firm fixed price contracts, we receive an agreed-upon amount for providing products and services that are specified in the contract. Revenue is typically recorded using the percentage-of-completion method based on the contract amount. Depending on whether actual costs are more or less than the agreed-upon amount, there is a profit or loss on the project. Under cost-sharing contracts, the fixed amount agreed upon with the customer is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project. We fund the remainder of the costs as part of our product development efforts. Revenue is typically recorded using the percentage-of-completion method based on the amount agreed upon with the customer. An amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. Our share of the costs is recorded as product development expense. Most of our revenue in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 was from cost-sharing contracts.

The following table provides information regarding the breakdown of our revenues by customer for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012:

Customer (\$ millions)	Three months ended January 31,		Nine months ended January 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
US Department of Energy	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4	\$ 1.7	\$ 1.2
Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding	0.1	—	0.6	—
European Union (WavePort project)	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.3
US Navy	—	—	0.1	1.6
UK Government's Technology Strategy Board	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.0
Other	0.1	—	0.1	0.2
	\$ 0.9	\$ 0.9	\$ 3.2	\$ 4.3



We currently focus our sales and marketing efforts on North America, the west coast of Europe, Australia and Japan. The following table provides information regarding the breakdown of our revenues by geographical location of our customers for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012:

Customer Location	Nine months ended January 31,			
	2013		2012	
United States	60	%	70	%
Europe	21	%	30	%
Asia and Australia	19	%	—	
	100	%	100	%

#### Cost of revenues

Our cost of revenues consists primarily of incurred material, labor and manufacturing overhead expenses, such as engineering expense, equipment depreciation and maintenance and facility related expenses, and includes the cost of PowerBuoy parts and services supplied by third-party suppliers. Cost of revenues also includes PowerBuoy system delivery and deployment expenses and anticipated losses at completion on certain contracts.

#### Product development costs

Our product development costs consist of salaries and other personnel-related costs and the costs of products, materials and outside services used in our product development and unfunded research activities. Our product development costs relate primarily to our efforts to increase the output and reliability of our utility PowerBuoy system, including the Mark 3 PowerBuoy, and to our research and development of new products, product applications and complementary technologies. We expense all of our product development costs as incurred.

#### Selling, general and administrative costs

Our selling, general and administrative costs consist primarily of professional fees, salaries and other personnel-related costs for employees and consultants engaged in sales and marketing and support of our PowerBuoy systems and costs for executive, accounting and administrative personnel, professional fees and other general corporate expenses.

#### Interest income

Interest income consists of interest received on cash and cash equivalents, investments in commercial bank-issued certificates of deposit and US Treasury bills and notes. Total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and marketable securities were \$24.5 million as of January 31, 2013, compared to \$37.8 million as of January 31, 2012. Interest income in the nine months ended January 31, 2013 decreased compared to the nine months ended January 31, 2012 due to a decline in interest rates and a decline in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities.

We anticipate that our interest income reported in fiscal 2013 will continue to be lower than the comparable periods of the prior fiscal year as a result of the decrease in invested cash.

#### Income tax benefit

During the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, we sold New Jersey net operating tax loss carryforwards resulting in income tax benefits of \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Foreign exchange gain (loss)

We transact business in various countries and have exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Foreign exchange gains and losses arise in the translation of foreign-denominated assets and liabilities, which may result in realized and unrealized gains or losses from exchange rate fluctuations. Since we conduct our business in US dollars and our functional currency is the US dollar, our main foreign exchange exposure, if any, results from changes in the exchange rate between the US dollar and the British pound sterling, the Euro and the Australian dollar. Due to the macroeconomic pressures in certain European countries, foreign exchange rates may become more volatile in the future.

We invest in certificates of deposit and maintain cash accounts that are denominated in British pounds sterling, Euros and Australian dollars. These foreign-denominated certificates of deposit and cash accounts had a balance of \$3.2 million as of January 31, 2013 and \$3.6 million as of January 31, 2012, compared to our total cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and marketable securities balances of \$24.5 million as of January 31, 2013 and \$37.8 million as of January 31, 2012. These foreign currency balances are translated at each month end to our functional currency, the US dollar, and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in our results of operations.

In addition, a portion of our operations is conducted through our subsidiaries in countries other than the United States, specifically Ocean Power Technologies Ltd. in the United Kingdom, the functional currency of which is the British pound sterling, and Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd. in Australia, the functional currency of which is the Australian dollar. Both of these subsidiaries have foreign exchange exposure that results from changes in the exchange rate between their functional currency and other foreign currencies in which they conduct business. All of our international revenues for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 were recorded in Euros, British pounds sterling, Australian dollars or Japanese yen.

We currently do not hedge our exchange rate exposure. However, we assess the anticipated foreign currency working capital requirements and capital asset acquisitions of our foreign operations and attempt to maintain a portion of our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities denominated in foreign currencies sufficient to satisfy these anticipated requirements. We also assess the need and cost to utilize financial instruments to hedge currency exposures on an ongoing basis and may hedge against exchange rate exposure in the future.

## Results of Operations

## Three Months Ended January 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended January 31, 2012

The following table contains selected statement of operations information, which serves as the basis of the discussion of our results of operations for the three months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2013			Three Months Ended January 31, 2012			% Change 2013 Period to 2012 Period
	Amount	As a % of Revenues (1)		Amount	As a % of Revenues (1)		
Revenues	\$ 865,553	100	%	\$ 923,619	100	%	(6)%
Cost of revenues	890,051	103		934,142	101		(5)
Gross loss	(24,498 )	(3 )		(10,523 )	(1 )		(133 )
Operating expenses:							
Product development costs	601,748	70		1,388,380	150		(57 )
Selling, general and administrative costs	2,367,849	274		1,822,806	197		30
Total operating expenses	2,969,597	343		3,211,186	348		(8 )
Operating loss	(2,994,095 )	(346 )		(3,221,709 )	(349 )		7
Interest income, net	21,804	3		95,261	10		(77 )
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	21,778	3		(113,373 )	(12 )		
Loss before income taxes	(2,950,513 )	(341 )		(3,239,821 )	(351 )		9
Income tax benefit	1,453,243	168		1,053,427	114		38
Net loss	(1,497,270 )	(173 )		(2,186,394 )	(237 )		32
Less: Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd.	31,499	4		19,708	2		60
Net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.	\$ (1,465,771 )	(169 )%		\$ (2,166,686 )	(235 )%		32 %

(1) Certain subtotals may not add due to rounding.

## Revenues

Revenues were \$0.9 million in the three months ended January 31, 2013 and also in the three months ended January 31, 2012. There was a slight decrease in revenue related to our Mark 4 PowerBuoy project, partially offset by an increase in revenue related to our project with Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding.

## Cost of revenues



Cost of revenues was \$0.9 million in the three months ended January 31, 2013 and also in the three months ended January 31, 2012. There was a slight decrease in cost of revenues related to our Mark 4 PowerBuoy project, partially offset by an increase in cost of revenues related to our project with Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding.

We operated at a slight gross loss in the three month periods ended January 31, 2013 and 2012. Most of our projects in the three month periods ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 were under cost-sharing contracts. Under cost-sharing contracts, we receive a fixed amount agreed upon with the customer that is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project. We fund the remainder of the costs primarily as part of our product development efforts. Revenue is typically recorded using the percentage-of-completion method applied to the contractual amount agreed upon with the customer. An equal amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. Our share of the costs is considered to be product development expense. Our ability to generate a gross profit will depend on the nature of future contracts, our success at increasing sales of our PowerBuoy systems and on our ability to manage costs incurred on our fixed price contracts.

#### Product development costs

Product development costs decreased by \$0.8 million, or 57%, to \$0.6 million in the three months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$1.4 million in the three months ended January 31, 2012. Product development costs were attributable primarily to our efforts to increase the power output and reliability of our utility PowerBuoy system, especially for our utility-scale PowerBuoy system. The decrease in product development costs was related primarily to a decrease in activity related to our PowerBuoy project off the coast of Oregon. There was also a decrease in activity related to our project off the coast of Hawaii. The Hawaii project was completed in fiscal 2012. Over the next several years, it is our intent to fund the majority of our research and development expenses, including cost-sharing arrangements, with sources of external funding. If we are unable to obtain external funding, we may curtail our research and development expenses or we may decide to self-fund significant research and development expenses, in which case our product development costs may increase. During the three months ended January 31, 2013, the majority of funding for our Mark 4 PowerBuoy development project was from external sources.

#### Selling, general and administrative costs

Selling, general and administrative costs increased by approximately \$0.6 million, or 30%, to \$2.4 million for the three months ended January 31, 2013 as compared to \$1.8 million for the three months ended January 31, 2012. The increase was due primarily to an increase in business development-related legal fees, site development expenses related to a potential project in Australia and certain employee-related costs.

#### Interest income

Interest income decreased approximately 77% to \$22,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$95,000 in the three months ended January 31, 2012, due to a decrease in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and a decrease in average yield.

#### Foreign exchange gain

Foreign exchange gain was \$22,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2013, compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$113,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2012. The difference was attributable primarily to the relative change in value of the British pound sterling, Euro, Australian dollar and Japanese yen compared to the US dollar during the two periods.

#### Income tax benefit

During the three months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, we sold New Jersey net operating tax loss carryforwards resulting in income tax benefits of \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.



Edgar Filing: Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 Compared to Nine Months Ended January 31, 2012

The following table contains selected statement of operations information, which serves as the basis of the discussion of our results of operations for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013		Nine Months Ended January 31, 2012		% Change 2013 Period to 2012 Period	
	Amount	As a % of Revenues (1)	Amount	As a % of Revenues (1)		
Revenues	\$ 3,208,248	100 %	\$ 4,349,908	100 %	(26 )	%
Cost of revenues	3,116,188	97	4,319,634	99	(28 )	
Gross profit	92,060	3	30,274	1	204	
Operating expenses:						
Product development costs	5,466,742	170	6,551,507	151	(17 )	
Selling, general and administrative costs	6,856,815	214	5,857,656	135	17	
Total operating expenses	12,323,557	384	12,409,163	285	(1 )	
Operating loss	(12,231,497 )	(381 )	(12,378,889 )	(285 )	1	
Interest income, net	112,116	3	341,631	8	(67 )	
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	16,196	1	(93,080 )	(2 )		
Loss before income taxes	(12,103,185 )	(377 )	(12,130,338 )	(279 )	—	
Income tax benefit	1,453,243	45	1,053,427	24	38	
Net loss	(10,649,942 )	(332 )	(11,076,911 )	(255 )	4	
Less: Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in Ocean Power Technologies (Australasia) Pty Ltd.	96,578	3	32,804	—	194	
Net loss attributable to Ocean Power Technologies, Inc.	\$ (10,553,364 )	(329 )%	\$ (11,044,107 )	(254 )%	4	%

(1) Certain subtotals may not add due to rounding.

Revenues

Revenues decreased by \$1.1 million, or 26%, to \$3.2 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$4.3 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2012. The change in revenues was attributable to the following factors:

- Revenues relating to our autonomous PowerBuoy system decreased by \$1.5 million primarily as a result of a decrease in billable work on our projects to provide our PowerBuoy technology to the US Navy's Littoral Expeditionary Autonomous PowerBuoy ("LEAP") program. The LEAP project was completed during fiscal

2012.

- Revenues relating to our utility PowerBuoy system increased by \$0.4 million due primarily to an increase in billable work on our Power Buoy project off the coast of Oregon, our WavePort project off the coast of Spain and our project with Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding, partially offset by a decrease in billable work related to our Mark 4 PowerBuoy project.

#### Cost of revenues

Cost of revenues decreased by \$1.2 million, or 28%, to \$3.1 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$4.3 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2012. This decrease in the cost of revenues reflected the decrease in billable work related to our LEAP project with the US Navy and our Mark 4 PowerBuoy project. The LEAP project was completed during fiscal 2012. This was partially offset by the increased activity on our PowerBuoy project off the coast of Oregon and our project with Mitsui Engineering & Shipbuilding.

25

---

We operated at a slight gross profit in the nine month periods ended January 31, 2013 and 2012. Most of our projects in the nine month periods ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 were under cost-sharing contracts. Under cost-sharing contracts, we receive a fixed amount agreed upon with the customer that is only intended to fund a portion of the costs on a specific project. We fund the remainder of the costs primarily as part of our product development efforts. Revenue is typically recorded using the percentage-of-completion method applied to the contractual amount agreed upon with the customer. An equal amount corresponding to the revenue is recorded in cost of revenues resulting in gross profit on these contracts of zero. Our share of the costs is considered to be product development expense. Our ability to generate a gross profit will depend on the nature of future contracts, our success at increasing sales of our PowerBuoy systems and on our ability to manage costs incurred on our fixed price contracts.

#### Product development costs

Product development costs decreased by \$1.1 million, or 17%, to \$5.5 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$6.6 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2012. Product development costs were attributable primarily to our efforts to increase the power output and reliability of our utility PowerBuoy system, especially for our utility-scale PowerBuoy system. The decrease in product development costs was related primarily to a decrease in activity related to our project off the coast of Scotland. The Scotland project was completed in fiscal 2012. This was partially offset by an increase in activity related to our PowerBuoy project off the coast of Oregon and our WavePort project off the coast of Spain. Over the next several years, it is our intent to fund the majority of our research and development expenses, including cost-sharing arrangements, with sources of external funding. If we are unable to obtain external funding, we may curtail our research and development expenses or we may decide to self-fund significant research and development expenses, in which case our product development costs may increase. During the nine months ended January 31, 2013, the majority of funding for our Mark 4 PowerBuoy development project was from external sources.

#### Selling, general and administrative costs

Selling, general and administrative costs increased by \$1.0 million, or 17%, to \$6.9 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 as compared to \$5.9 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2012. The increase was due primarily to an increase in business development-related legal fees and in site development expenses related to a potential project in Australia.

#### Interest income

Interest income decreased approximately 67% to \$112,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2013, as compared to \$342,000 in the nine months ended January 31, 2012, due to a decrease in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and a decrease in average yield.

#### Foreign exchange loss

Foreign exchange gain was \$16,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2013, compared to a foreign exchange loss of \$93,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2012. The difference was attributable primarily to the relative change in value of the British pound sterling, Euro, Australian dollar and Japanese yen compared to the US dollar during the two periods.

#### Income tax benefit

During the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, we sold New Jersey net operating tax loss carryforwards resulting in income tax benefits of \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.



## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, the cash flows from customer revenues have not been sufficient to fund our operations and provide the capital resources for the planned growth of our business. For the two years ended April 30, 2012, our net losses were \$35.6 million and our net cash used in operating activities was \$32.7 million.

Cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2013	2012
Net loss	\$ (10,649,942)	\$ (11,076,911)
Adjustments for noncash operating items	1,143,387	1,301,352
Net cash operating loss	(9,506,555 )	(9,775,559 )
Net change in operating assets and liabilities	1,292,804	32,227
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (8,213,751 )	\$ (9,743,332 )
Net cash provided by investing activities	\$ 7,921,183	\$ 13,583,381
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (96,505 )	\$ (170,161 )
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	\$ (9,446 )	\$ (216,273 )

## Net cash used in operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$8.2 million and \$9.7 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The change was the result of an increase in cash provided by the net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$1.3 million and a decrease in net loss of \$0.4 million. Our quarterly cash outflow from operating activities may vary significantly in future periods depending upon the success of our new business development initiatives and expenditures related to our PowerBuoy project off the coast of Oregon.

## Net cash provided by investing activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$7.9 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and \$13.6 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2012. The change was primarily the result of a net decrease in maturities of marketable securities during the nine months ended January 31, 2013.

## Net cash used in financing activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$97,000 and \$170,000 for the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net cash used was primarily for repayment of long-term debt.

## Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents

The effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents was a decrease of \$9,000 and \$216,000 in the nine months ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents results



primarily from gains or losses on consolidation of foreign subsidiaries and foreign denominated cash and cash equivalents.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources Outlook

We expect to devote substantial resources to continue our development efforts for our PowerBuoy systems and to expand our sales, marketing and manufacturing programs associated with the planned commercialization of the PowerBuoy systems. Our future capital requirements will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the cost of development efforts for our PowerBuoy systems;
- our success in developing commercial relationships with major customers;
- the ability to obtain project-specific financing, grants, subsidies and other sources of funding for some of our projects;
- the cost of manufacturing activities;
- the cost of commercialization activities, including demonstration projects, product marketing and sales;
- our ability to establish and maintain additional customer relationships;
- the implementation of our expansion plans, including the hiring of new employees as our business increases;
- potential acquisitions of other products or technologies; and
- the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims and other patent-related costs.

We are planning for deployment of a Mark 3 PowerBuoy off the coast of Oregon. However, deployment and commissioning of this PowerBuoy must take into consideration various regulatory, business, and a financial factors, including requirements of regulatory agencies and a significant use of funds. Therefore, we intend to seek additional funding for this project, in view of risks associated with weather delays, operational needs and other factors.

We believe that our current cash, cash equivalents and investments will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures through April 30, 2014. We will seek to satisfy our capital needs through our business development activities; however, we may seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain a credit facility. In January 2013, we filed a Form S-3 with the SEC. This shelf registration was declared effective in February 2013. The sale of additional equity or convertible securities could result in dilution to our stockholders. If additional funds are raised through the issuance of debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those associated with our common stock and could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. Financing may not be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we are unable to obtain required financing, we may be required to reduce the scope of our current projects, planned product development and marketing efforts, which could harm our financial condition and operating results.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Since inception, we have not engaged in any off-balance sheet financing activities.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable.

28

---

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon that evaluation, as of January 31, 2013, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The discussion of our business and operations should be read together with the risk factors contained in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2012. These risk factors describe various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may become subject. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies or prospects in a material and adverse manner. There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on July 13, 2012.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table details the Company's share repurchases during the quarter:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
November 1-30, 2012				
December 1-31, 2012				
January 1-31, 2013	5,953	\$ 2.08		

(1) Represents shares delivered back to the Company by an employee to pay taxes related to the vesting of restricted shares.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Amendment Letter to Employment Agreement, dated December 12, 2012, between George W. Taylor and Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed December 14, 2012)
- 10.2 Amendment Letter to Employment Agreement, dated December 12, 2012, between Charles F. Dunleavy and Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed December 14, 2012)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101 The following materials formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) from Ocean Power Technologies, Inc Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2013, filed March 15, 2013: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets – January 31, 2013 (unaudited) and April 30, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – Three and nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (unaudited) – Three and nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) – Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.\*

\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this exhibit shall not be deemed “filed” or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

By: /s/ Charles F. Dunleavy  
Charles F. Dunleavy  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 15, 2013

By: /s/ Brian M. Posner  
Brian M. Posner  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: March 15, 2013

EXHIBITS INDEX

- 10.1 Amendment Letter to Employment Agreement, dated December 12, 2012, between George W. Taylor and Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed December 14, 2012)
- 10.2 Amendment Letter to Employment Agreement, dated December 12, 2012, between Charles F. Dunleavy and Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 10-Q filed December 14, 2012)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101 The following materials formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) from Ocean Power Technologies, Inc Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2013, filed March 15, 2013: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets – January 31, 2013 (unaudited) and April 30, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – Three and nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss (unaudited) – Three and nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012, (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) – Nine Months Ended January 31, 2013 and 2012 and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.\*

\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this exhibit shall not be deemed “filed” or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability under those sections.