NUTRA PHARMA CORP
Form 10-Q
August 18, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

þ QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015

o TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file numbers 000-32141

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California	**91-2021600**
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Organization)	(IRS Employer Identification Number)

12502 West Atlantic Blvd., Coral Springs, Florida	**33071**
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(954) 509-0911

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer ¨	Accelerated filer ¨
Non-accelerated filer ¨	Smaller reporting company þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ¨ No þ

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant’s common stock, par value $0.001 per share, as of August 18, 2015, there was 65,047,754 shares of common stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

Nutra Pharma Corp. is referred to hereinafter as we , us or our

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2015, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The words or phrases would be, will allow, intends to, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, project, or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We are subject to risks detailed in Item 1(a). All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including: (a) any projections of revenue, gross margin, expenses, earnings or losses from operations, synergies or other financial items; and (b) any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; and (c) any statement concerning developments, plans, or performance. Unless otherwise required by applicable law, we do not undertake and we specifically disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences, developments, unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statement.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2015 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	$ 118,902	$ 15,530
Accounts receivable	160,453	127,368
Inventory	47,060	46,945
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	238,591	151,968
Total current assets	565,006	341,811
Property and equipment, net	23,249	29,490
Other assets	15,955	15,955
Total assets	$ 604,210	$ 387,256
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	$ 1,271,600	$ 1,243,997
Accrued expenses	1,326,948	1,019,673
Due to officers	485,343	540,877
Derivative warrant liability	437,073	186,549
Other debt, net of debt discount of $212,908 and $2,611, respectively	1,319,175	960,921
Total current liabilities	4,840,139	3,952,017
Convertible debts	108,000	30,000
Legal settlement liability, long term portion	188,570	-
Total liabilities	5,136,709	3,982,017
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 8)	-	-
Stockholders' deficit:		
Common stock, $0.001 par value, 2,000,000,000 shares authorized:48,550,419 and 36,765,781 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	48,550	36,766

Additional paid-in capital	42,068,440	40,888,278
Accumulated deficit	(46,649,489)	(44,519,805)
Total stockholders' deficit	(4,532,499)	(3,594,761)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	$ 604,210	$ 387,256

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements.

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	**2014**	**2015**	**2014**
Net sales	$ 78,063	$ 98,905	$ 199,061	$ 153,658
Cost of sales	19,621	16,956	44,675	24,967
Gross profit	58,442	81,949	154,386	128,691
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative - including stock based compensation of $204,380 and $70,549, for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively	1,054,549	722,695	1,443,281	997,383
Total other costs and expenses	1,054,549	722,695	1,443,281	997,383
Net Loss from Operations	(996,107)	(640,746)	(1,288,895)	(868,692)
Other Expenses				
Rental Income	6,364	-	6,364	-
Interest expense	(110,424)	(27,208)	(163,380)	(57,716)
Change in fair value of derivatives	(665,503)	(642,844)	(683,773)	(591,299)
Loss on settlement of debt and accounts payable, net	-	(8,178)	-	(8,178)
Other Expenses	(769,563)	(678,230)	(840,789)	(657,193)
Net loss before income taxes	(1,765,670)	(1,318,976)	(2,129,684)	(1,525,885)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-	-
Net loss	$ (1,765,670)	$ (1,318,976)	$ (2,129,684)	$ (1,525,885)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	$ (0.04)	$ (0.05)	$ (0.05)	$ (0.06)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period - basic and diluted	40,824,333	28,590,309	39,822,556	27,121,466

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements.

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	**2014**
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash collected from customers	$ 496,875	$ 376,986
Cash paid for commission	(426,478)	(94,596)
Cash paid to suppliers	(42,458)	(34,935)
Cash paid to employees	(68,395)	(27,675)
Interest paid	(31,991)	(17,613)
Other operating cash payments	(553,286)	(270,772)
Cash collected from rental income	6,364	-
Net cash used in operating activities	(619,369)	(68,605)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(718)	-
Net cash used in investing activities:	(718)	-
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Common stock sold for cash	430,820	60,000
Loans from officers	55,820	60,161
Repayment of officers loans	(113,164)	(52,220)
Repayments of notes payable-related party	(30,000)	(10,000)
Proceeds from convertible notes, net of debt discount and loan issuance cost of $53,750	397,500	50,000
Proceeds from other notes payable, net of debt discount of $54,000 and loan issuance cost of $10,130	139,870	-
Repayments of other notes payable	(157,387)	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	723,459	107,941
Net increase(decrease) in cash	103,372	39,336
Cash - beginning of period	15,530	4,640
Cash - end of period	118,902	43,976
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	$ (2,129,684)	$ (1,525,885)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		

Shares issued for services	-	502,178
Depreciation and amortization	6,957	7,490
Stock-based compensation	204,380	70,549
Stock issued for loan extension and accounts payable	26,500	33,225
Change in fair value of derivative	683,773	591,299
Amortization of loan discount	109,733	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivables	(33,085)	(25,133)
Decrease (increase) in inventory	(115)	-
Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets	(47,753)	(39,376)
Increase in accounts payable	42,603	280,903
Increase in accrued expenses	517,322	36,145
Net cash used in operating activities	(619,369)	(68,605)
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid for interest	$ (31,991)	$ (17,613)
Cash paid for income taxes	$ -	$ -
Non cash Financing and Investing:		
Stock issued in settlement of accounts payable	$ 15,000	$ 110,000
Shares issued to satisfy debt	$ 454,227	$ 922,266
Shares issued to satisfy debt-related party	$ 10,000	$ 100,000
Discounts on notes payable	$ 189,958	$ -

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements.

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

Nutra Pharma Corp. ("Nutra Pharma"), is a holding company that owns intellectual property and operates in the biotechnology industry. Nutra Pharma incorporated under the laws of the state of California on February 1, 2000, under the original name of Exotic-Bird.com.

Through its wholly-owned subsidiary, ReceptoPharm, Inc. (ReceptoPharm), Nutra Pharma conducts drug discovery research and development activities. In October 2009, Nutra Pharma launched its first consumer product called Cobroxin®, an over-the-counter pain reliever designed to treat moderate to severe chronic pain. In May 2010, Nutra Pharma launched its second consumer product called Nyloxin®, an over-the-counter pain reliever that is a stronger version of Cobroxin® and is designed to treat severe chronic pain. In December 2014, we launched Pet Pain-Away, an over-the-counter pain reliever designed to treat pain in cats and dogs.

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not contain certain information included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal, recurring nature. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. Therefore, the interim Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial statements include the results of Nutra Pharma and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Designer Diagnostics Inc. and ReceptoPharm (collectively "the Company", us , we or our). We operate as one reportable segment. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Liquidity and Going Concern

Our Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements are presented on a going concern basis, which contemplate the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. We have experienced recurring, significant losses from operations, and have an accumulated deficit of $46,649,489 at June 30, 2015. In addition, we had respective working capital and stockholders deficits at June 30, 2015 of $4,275,133 and $4,532,499, respectively.

There is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern which is contingent upon our ability to secure additional financing, increase ownership equity and attain profitable operations. In addition, our ability to continue as a going concern must be considered in light of the problems, expenses and complications frequently encountered in established markets and the competitive environment in which we operate.

As of June 30, 2015, we do not have sufficient cash to sustain our operations for the next year and will require additional financing in order to execute our operating plan and continue as a going concern. Since our sales are not currently adequate to fund our operations, we continue to rely principally on debt and equity funding; however proceeds from such funding have not been sufficient to execute our business plan. Our plan is to attempt to secure adequate funding until sales of our pain products are adequate to fund our operations. We cannot predict whether additional financing will be available, and/or whether any such funding will be in the form of equity, debt, or another form. In the event that these financing sources do not materialize, or if we are unsuccessful in increasing our revenues and profits, we will be unable to implement our current plans for expansion, repay our obligations as they become due and continue as a going concern.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Use of Estimates

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which require management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense. Significant estimates include our ability to continue as going concern, the recoverability of inventories and long-lived assets, and the valuation of stock-based compensation and certain debt and warrant liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates, which would be recorded in the period in which they become known.

Revenue Recognition

In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Provision for sales returns is estimated based on our historical return experience. Revenue is presented net of returns and allowances for returns.

The Company collects 100% of the cash proceeds from the sale of its product by its distributor, remits a portion of the cash proceeds received back to the distributor and records the sale on a net basis. In the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company collected $496,875 in gross receipts and recorded $199,061 as net sales.

Accounting for Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company records shipping and handling costs incurred in cost of sales.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company grants credit without collateral to its customers based on the Company s evaluation of a particular customer s credit worthiness. In addition, allowances for doubtful accounts are maintained for potential credit losses based on the age of the accounts receivable and the results of the Company s periodic credit evaluations of its customers financial condition. Accounts receivable are written off after collection efforts have been deemed to be unsuccessful. Accounts written off as uncollectible are deducted from the allowance for doubtful accounts, while subsequent recoveries are netted against the provision for doubtful accounts expense. The Company generally does not charge interest on accounts receivable.

Accounts receivable are stated at estimated net realizable value. Accounts receivable are comprised of balances due from customers net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts.

Inventories

Inventories, which are stated at the lower of average cost or market, and consist of packaging materials, finished products, and raw venom that is utilized to make the API (active pharmaceutical ingredient). The raw unprocessed venom has an indefinite life for use. The Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand. If necessary it records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on its estimates of component obsolescence, product demand and production requirements. Write-downs are charged to cost of goods sold. We performed evaluations of our inventory during the six months ended June 30, 2015 and determined no allowances need to be recorded.

Financial Instruments and Concentration of Credit Risk

Our financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, loans payable, due to officers and derivative financial instruments. Other than certain warrant and convertible instruments (derivative financial instruments) and liabilities to related parties (for which it was impracticable to estimate fair value due to uncertainty as to when they will be satisfied and a lack of similar type transactions in the marketplace), we believe the carrying values of our financial instruments approximate their fair values because they are short term in nature or payable on demand. Our derivative financial instruments are carried at a measured fair value.

Balances in various cash accounts may at times exceed federally insured limits. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. In addition, for the six months ended June 30, 2015, no customers accounted for more than 10% of the Company s total revenues.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company does not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow, market, or foreign currency risks. Management evaluates all of its financial instruments to determine if such instruments are derivatives or contain features that qualify as embedded derivatives. For derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as liabilities, the derivative instrument is initially recorded at its fair value and is then re-valued at each reporting date, with changes in the fair value reported as charges or credits to income. For option-based simple derivative financial instruments, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. For embedded derivatives, the Company uses a Dilution-Adjusted Black-Scholes method to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Convertible Debt

The Company bifurcates the embedded derivative element in convertible debt which contain conversion features which are not considered to be conventional convertible debt. The convertible debt is recorded at the bifurcated amount after reducing the proceeds for the liability related to the embedded call provision which is accounted for separately in the accompanying balance sheets. After recording the initial amount of the debt, the discount related to the bifurcated embedded derivative is amortized as additional interest expense over the term of the debt with the resulting debt discount being accreted over the term of the note.

Property and Equipment and Long-Lived Assets

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Expenditures for major improvements and additions are added to property and equipment, while replacements, maintenance and repairs which do not extend the useful lives are expensed. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets of 3 7 years.

Property and equipment consists of the following at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Computer equipment	$ 24,208	$ 24,208
Furniture and fixtures	34,757	34,757
Lab equipment	42,129	42,129
Telephone equipment	12,421	12,421
Office equipment other	16,856	16,138
Leasehold improvements	73,168	73,168
Total	203,539	202,821
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(180,290)	(173,331)
Property and equipment, net	$ 23,249	$ 29,490

We review our long-lived assets for recoverability if events or changes in circumstances indicate the assets may be impaired. At June 30, 2015, we believe the carrying values of our long-lived assets are recoverable. Depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was $6,957 and $7,490, respectively.

Advertising

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs were approximately $2,016 and $3,984 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Income Taxes

We compute income taxes in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC Topic 740). Under ASC Topic 740, deferred taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. Also, the effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that included the enactment date. Temporary differences between financial and tax reporting arise primarily from the use of different methods to record bad debts and /or sales returns, and inventory reserves.

On an annual basis, we evaluate tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in our tax returns to determine if they are more than likely to be sustained if the taxing authority examines the respective position. As of June 30, 2015, we do not believe we have a need to record any liabilities for uncertain tax positions or provisions for interest or penalties related to such positions.

Since inception, we have been subject to tax by both federal and state taxing authorities. Until the respective statutes of limitations expire (which may be as much as 20 years while we have unused net operation losses), we are subject to income tax audits in the jurisdictions in which we operate. The Company s 2011 to 2014 tax returns are subject to examination by Internal Revenue Services and State Taxing Agency s.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, *Stock Compensation* (ASC Topic 718). ASC Topic 718, which requires that the cost resulting from all share-based transactions be recorded in the financial statements over the respective service periods. It establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. The statement also establishes fair value as the measurement objective for transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services from non-employees in share-based payment transactions.

Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260, *Earnings per Share*. Basic loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive

common stock equivalents outstanding. During periods in which we incur losses, common stock equivalents, if any, are not considered, as their effect would be anti-dilutive or have no effect on earnings per share. Any common shares issued as of a result of the exercise of stock options and warrants would come from newly issued common shares from our remaining authorized shares. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the following items were not included in dilutive loss as the effect is anti-dilutive:

	June 30, 2015	**June 30, 2014**
Options and warrants	10,786,998	4,272,917
Convertible notes payable	8,077,185	1,411,658
Total	18,864,183	5,684,575

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2014 Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Stock Split

On April 20, 2015, the Company declared a 1 for 40 reverse common stock split to stockholders. The Stock Split was effectuated on May 18, 2015 based upon filing the appropriate documentation with FINRA. Per share and weighted average amounts have been retroactively restated in the accompanying financial statements and related notes to reflect this stock split (See Note 6).

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2015, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-03, *Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs* , is to simplify presentation of debt issuance costs by requiring that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The ASU does not affect the recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs. For public companies, the ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. We have adopted the provisions of this ASU for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

In April 2015, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-04, *Compensation Retirement Benefits (Topic 715): Practical Expedient for the Measurement Date of an Employer s Defined Benefit Obligation and Plan Assets* , permits the entity to measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations using the month-end that is closest to the entity s fiscal year-end and apply that practical expedient consistently from year to year. The ASU is effective for public business entities for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. We are currently reviewing the provisions of this ASU to determine if there will be any impact on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In April 2015, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-05, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer s Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement* , provides guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license. If such an arrangement includes a software license, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If the arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for it as a service contract. For public business entities, the ASU is effective for annual periods, including interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. We are currently reviewing the provisions of this ASU to determine if there will be any impact on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition

In April 2015, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-06, *Earnings Per Share (Topic 260): Effects on Historical Earnings per Unit of Master Limited Partnership Dropdown Transactions* , specifies that, for purposes of calculating historical earnings per unit under the two-class method, the earnings (losses) of a transferred business before the date of a drop down transaction should be allocated entirely to the general partner. In that circumstance, the previously reported earnings per unit of the limited partners (which is typically the earnings per unit measure presented in the financial statements) would not change as a result of the dropdown transaction. Qualitative disclosures about how the rights to the earnings (losses) differ before and after the dropdown transaction occurs for purposes of computing earnings per unit under the two-class method also are required. The ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. We are currently reviewing the provisions of this ASU to determine if there will be any impact on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

All other newly issued accounting pronouncements but not yet effective have been deemed either immaterial or not applicable.

2. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Certain assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2015 are measured in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 820-10-05, *Fair Value Measurements*. FASB ASC Topic 820-10-05 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements for financial assets and liabilities as well as for non-financial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements.

The statement requires fair value measurement be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1:

Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2:

Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and

Level 3:

Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

The following table summarizes our financial instruments measured at fair value as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2015			
Liabilities:	**Total**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Warrant liability	$ 437,073	$ -	$ -	$ 437,073
Convertible notes at fair value	$ 1,035,215	$ -	$ -	$ 1,035,215

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014			
Liabilities:	**Total**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**
Warrant liability	$ 186,549	$ -	$ -	$ 186,549
Convertible notes at fair value	$ 330,277	$ -	$ -	$ 330,277

The following table shows the changes in fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

Description	**June 30, 2015**
Beginning balance	$ 186,549
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	189,958
Day one loss on value of hybrid instrument	-
Total loss included in earnings (1)	60,566
Ending balance	$ 437,073

(1)

The gain or loss related to the revaluation of our warrant liability is included in Change in fair value of derivatives in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

The Company values its warrants using a Dilution-Adjusted Black-Scholes Model. Assumptions used include (1) 0.02% to 1.01% risk-free rate, (2) warrant life is the remaining contractual life of the warrants, (3) expected volatility of 157% to 174% (4) zero expected dividends (5) exercise price set forth in the agreements (6) common stock price of the underlying share on the valuation date, and (7) number of shares to be issued if the instrument is converted.

The following table summarizes the significant terms of each of the debentures for which the entire hybrid instrument is recorded at fair value as of June 30, 2015:

Debenture Issuance Year	Face Amount	Interest Rate	Default Interest Rate	Conversion Price - Lower of Fixed Price or Percentage of VWAP for Look-back Period: Anti-Dilution Adjusted Price	%	Look-back Period
2015	$831,250	8%-20%	n/a	$0.06-$0.20	50%-85%	10 to 30 Days

The following table shows the changes in fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the six months ended June 30, 2015 for the Convertible Notes:

Description	June 30, 2015
Beginning balance	$ 330,277
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	810,916
Day one loss on value of hybrid instrument	525,022
(Gain) loss from change in fair value	(176,773)
Conversion to common stock	(454,227)
Ending balance	$ 1,035,215

3. INVENTORIES

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market on an average cost basis. At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, inventories were as follows:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Raw Materials	$ 20,866	$ 16,805
Finished Goods	26,194	30,140
Total Inventories	$ 47,060	$ 46,945

The Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand. If necessary, the Company records a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on its estimates of component obsolescence, product demand and production requirements. Write-downs and write-offs are charged to cost of goods sold. We performed evaluations of our inventory at June 30, 2015, the Company did not experience any write downs or write offs.

4. DUE TO OFFICERS

At June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the balance due to officers consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
An unsecured demand loan from our President and CEO, Rik Deitsch. The loan bears interest at 4%. The loan balance at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, includes accrued interest payable of $378,563 and $369,983.	$ 352,646	$ 411,411
A loan from Paul Reid, the former President of ReceptoPharm bearing interest at a rate of 5% per annum, due on demand and secured by certain intellectual property of ReceptoPharm having a zero cost at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. The accrued interest at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was $52,870 and $49,638, respectively.	132,697	129,466
Ending balances	$ 485,343	$ 540,877

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, we borrowed $55,820 and repaid $113,164 to Mr. Deitsch. In addition, Mr. Deitsch accepted a total of 125,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock as a repayment to discharge

$10,000 of his outstanding loan in January 2015(See Note 6). Subsequent to June 30, 2015 and through August 17, 2015, the Company repaid $4,800 to its President, Rik Deitsch and repaid $20,000 to the Company owned by Rik. The amount owed to Mr. Deitsch at August 17, 2015 was $402,413, which includes $380,680 of accrued interest. The repayment to Companies owned by Rik at August 17, 2015 was $72,450.

5. OTHER DEBT

Other debt (Both short-term and long term) consists of the following at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Note payable Related Party (1)	$ 90,000	$ 120,000
Notes payable Non Related Parties		
(Net of discount of $42,034 and $2,611, respectively) (2)	472,835	540,644
Convertible notes payable, at fair value		
(Net of discount of $170,875 and $0, respectively) (3)	864,340	330,277
Ending balances	$ 1,427,175	$ 990,921

(1) During 2010 we borrowed $200,000 from one of our directors. Under the terms of the loan agreement, this loan was expected to be repaid in nine months to a year from the date of the loan along with interest calculated at 10% for the first month plus 12% after 30 days from funding. We are in default regarding this loan. The loan is under personal guarantee by our President and CEO, Rik Deitsch. We repaid $40, 000 and $30,000, respectively during 2014 and the six months ended June 30, 2015. At June 30, 2015, we owed this director principal balance of $90,000 and accrued interest of $173,156.

(2) At June 30, 2015, the balance of $472,835 consisted of the following loans:

In August 2014, the Company issued a promissory note to the Michael McDonald Trust in the amount of $75,000 bearing monthly interest at a rate of 2%. The note is due in six months from the execution and funding of the note. In connection with the issuance of this promissory note, the Company issued 50,000 shares of the Company's common stocks (See note 7). The Company has recorded a debt discount in the amount of $15,665 to reflect the value of the common stocks as a reduction to the carrying amount of the convertible debt and a corresponding increase to common stocks and additional paid-in capital. The total discount of $15,665 was amortized over the term of the debt. Amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $2,611. An additional 25,000 shares were issued in February 2015 with a fair value at $6,000 (See Note 6) due to the default. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the total amount of $84,666 including the accrued interest of $9,666 was assigned and sold to Coventry Enterprises, LLC (Coventry) in the form of a Convertible Redeemable Note. Coventry made the conversions of total 1,324,341 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in full (See Note 5(3)).

.

On August 2, 2011 under a settlement agreement with Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. (LPR), the Company agreed to pay LPR a total of $350,000 in monthly installments of $50,000 beginning August 15, 2011 and ending on February 15, 2012. This settlement amount was recorded as general and administrative expenses on the date of the settlement. We did not make the December 2011 or January 2012 payments and on January 26, 2012, we signed the first amendment to the settlement agreement where under we agreed to pay $175,000 which was the balance outstanding at December 31, 2011(this includes a $25,000 penalty for non-payment). The Company repaid $25,000 during the six months ended March 31, 2012. The Company did not make all of the payments under such amendment and as a result pursuant to the original settlement agreement, LPR had the right to sell 142,858 shares of the Company s free trading stock held in escrow by their attorney and receive cash settlements for a total amount of $450,000 (the initial $350,000 plus total default penalties of $100,000). The $100,000 default was expensed during 2012. LPR sold the note to Southridge Partners, LLP (Southridge) for consideration of $281,772 in October 2012. The debt has reverted back to the Company.

.

As of June 30, 2015, the Company owed *University Centre West Ltd*. approximately $55,410, which was assigned and sold to Southridge and subsequently reverted back to the Company.

.

On November 5, 2014, the Company received a loan for a total of $150,000 from a non-related party. The loan is expected to be repaid through scheduled payments through November 13, 2015 along with interest on average 15% annum. The Company has recorded loan costs in the amount of $14,350 for the loan origination fees paid at inception date. The total loan cost of $14,350 was amortized over the term of the loan. Amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $6.982. During the six months ended June 30,

2015, repayment of $70,664 was made. At June 30, 2015, the principal balance of the loans is $60,410. The interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015 is $11,422.

.

During January, 2015, the Company entered a Payment Rights Purchase and Sale Agreement with EBF Partners LLC (EBF). EBF purchased $204,000 of the merchant sales for $150,000. In exchange for the purchased amount, the Company agreed to enter into a credit card processing agreement with preapproval by EBF with credit card processor. The Company authorized credit card processor to pay to EBF the cash attributable to 23% of each credit card receivable due to the Company, until EBF has received the purchase amount of $204,000. In the event of default, 100% instead of 23% of each credit card receivable will be paid. The loan is under personal guarantee by our President and CEO, Rik Deitsch and Director, Garry Pottruck. The Company has recorded debt discount of $54,000, and loan issuance cost of $10,130 for the loan origination fees paid at inception date. The total debt discount and loan issuance cost of $64,130 was amortized over the term of the loan. Amortization for the debt discount and loan issuance cost for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $22,956 and $4,307, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, repayment of $86,723 was made. At June 30, 2015, the principal balance of the loan net of discount and loan cost of $36,866 is $80,410.

(3) At June 30, 2015, the balance of $864,340 consisted of the following convertible loans:

.

In September 2011, the Company borrowed $250,000 from a non-related party. The principal of this loan were to be repaid with a balloon payment on or before October 1, 2012. On October 19, 2012 the parties amended the notes to extend the due date to May 1, 2013 and include a conversion feature that would allow the holders to convert some or all of their outstanding notes into restricted Company stock at a 15% discount to the average closing market price of the Company's stock traded over the previous 10 days. Interest on these loans is payable monthly beginning in November 2011 with interest calculated at 20%. At June 30, 2015, the accrued interest payable was $4,163.

During June 2015, the conversion for a total of 196,850 shares of the company s restricted stock was made in satisfying the note in the amount of $25,000 with a fair value of $43,716 (See Note 6). With the conversions during 2013,2014 and six months ended June 30, 2015, the remaining balance of the Note was $75,000 with a fair value of $87,969 at June 30, 2015 and matured on August 3, 2015. On February 1, 2015, the Company issued 25,000 restricted shares with a fair value of $7,000 to the note holder in connection with the amendment of maturity date to August 3, 2015 (See Note 6).

.

On July 8, 2014, the Company issued a Convertible Debenture in the amount of $10,000 to Christopher Castaldo in connection with an agreement for investor relation services (See Note 6). The note carries interest at 8% and is due on January 8, 2015. The note holder has the right to convert the note, until it is no longer outstanding into shares of Common Stock at a price of $.14. On January 8, 2015, the conversion for a total of 71,429 shares of the company s restricted stock was made in satisfying the note in full with a fair value of $17,428 (See Note 6).

.

On April 9, 2014, the Company issued a Convertible Debenture in the amount of $20,000 to Coventry Enterprises, LLC (Coventry). The note carries interest at 10% and is due on April 9, 2015, unless previously converted into shares of restricted common stock. Coventry has the right to convert the note, until is no longer outstanding into shares of Common Stock at a price lesser of $.80, or (ii) fifty-five percent (55%) of the average of the three lowest VWAP prices of the Company s Common Stock for the twenty trading days preceding the conversion date.In connection with the issuance of the convertible note payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $16,172. During June, 2015, the conversion for a total of 250,000 shares of the company s restricted stock was made in satisfying the note in full with a fair value of $44,277 (See Note 6).During June 2014, $92,310 of Michael McDonald s debt was assigned and sold to Coventry in the form of a Convertible Redeemable Note. The note carries interest at 8% and is due on June 18, 2015, unless previously converted into shares of restricted common stock. Coventry has the right to convert the note, until is no longer outstanding into shares of Common Stock at fifty-five percent (55%) of the average of the three lowest VWAP prices of the Company s Common Stock for the fifteen trading days preceding the conversion date. In connection with the issuance of the convertible note payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $371,772. On June 18, 2014 and July 2, 2014, Coventry made a conversion of 219,535 and 107,337 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying $18,462 each (total $36,924) of the note with a fair value of $92,816 and $29,909, respectively. On January 26, 2015, Coventry made a conversion of 461,548 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the remaining of $55,386 of the note with a fair value of $146,912 (See Note 6).

.

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, $84,666 of Michael McDonald s debt was assigned and sold to Coventry in the form of a Convertible Redeemable Note. The note carries interest at 8% and is due in one year from the debt purchase date , unless previously converted into shares of restricted common stock. Coventry has the right to convert the note, until is no longer outstanding into shares of Common Stock at fifty-five percent (55%) of the average of the three lowest VWAP prices of the Company s Common Stock for the fifteen trading days preceding the conversion date. In connection with the issuance of the convertible note payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $83,589. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, Coventry made the conversions of a total 1,324,341 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in full with a fair value of $201,894.

.

On March 19, 2014, the Company issued two Convertible Debentures in the amount of up to $500,000 each (total $1,000,000) to two non-related parties. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded the first tranche of $15,000 each (total $30,000) of the funds was received during the first quarter of 2014. The notes carry interest at 8% and are due on the date that is two years from the execution and funding of the note. The note holders have the right to convert the notes into shares of Common Stock at a price of $0.20. In connection with the issuance of these convertible notes payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $18,104. At June 30, 2015, these convertible notes payable, at fair value, was recorded at $28,482.

.

On February 25, 2015, the Company issued a Convertible Debenture in the amount of $68,250 to LG Capital Funding, LLC (LG). The note carries interest at 9% and is due on February 25, 2016, unless previously converted into shares of restricted common stock. LG has the right to convert the note, until is no longer outstanding into shares of Common Stock at a price of sixty-one percent (61%) of the average of the two lowest closing bid prices of the Company s Common Stock for the twenty trading days preceding the conversion date. In connection with the issuance of the convertible note payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $49,541. At June 30, 2015, the convertible note payable, at fair value, was recorded at $137,751. The Company has recorded loan costs in the amount of $3,250 for the loan origination fees paid at inception date. The total loan cost of $3,250 was amortized over the term of the loan. Amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $1,083.

.

On February 24, 2015, the Company issued a Convertible Debentures in the amount of up to $250,000 to a non-related party. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company received the fund for first three tranche of a total of $100,000. The note carries interest at 12% and is due on the date that is two years from the execution and funding of the note. The note holders have the right to convert the notes into shares of Common Stock at a price of lessor of (a) 0.40 or (b) sixty percent (60%) of the average of the two lowest closing bid prices of the Company s Common Stock for the twenty trading days preceding the conversion date. In connection with the issuance of the convertible note payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $116,935. At June 30, 2015, the convertible note payable, at fair value, was recorded at $231,012. The Company has recorded loan costs in the amount of $4,000 for the loan origination fees paid at inception date. The total loan cost of $8,000 was amortized over the term of the loan. Amortization for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $750.

.

During April 2015, the Company issued two Convertible Debentures in the amount of $275,000 each (aggregating $550,000) to two non-related parties. The notes carry interest at 8% and are due on the date that is nine months from the execution and funding of the note. The notes holders have the right to convert the notes into shares of Common Stock at a fixed price of $0.10. In the event of default, $275,000 each (aggregating $550,000) plus interest may be paid in the form of conversion into common stock at the lower of: (i) the 0.10 or (ii) 0.45 multiplied by the lowest bid price of the Common Stock during the ten consecutive trading day period immediately preceding the trading day that the Company receives a notice of conversion. In connection with the issuance of these convertible notes payable, the Company encountered a day-one derivative loss of $274,958. At June 30, 2015, these convertible notes payable, at fair value, was recorded at $388,542 net of discount of $161,458.

During April 2015, the Company issued a total of 2,000,000 two year warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.35 per share The Company classified embedded conversion features in these warrants as a derivative liability. The warrants were valued at their fair value of $189,959 and $310,047, respectively using the Black-Scholes method at the commitment and re-measurement dates of April 9, 2015 and June 30, respectively (See Note 7).

Also, the Company issued a total of 125,000 shares of common stocks in connection with issuance of these convertible notes payable. (See Note 6).

The Company has recorded debt discount a total of $232,500 for the warrants issued and origination fees at inception date. The total debt discount was amortized over the term of the loan. Amortization for the debt discount and loan issuance cost for the six months ended June 30, 2015 was $71,042.

In the evaluation of these financing arrangements, the Company concluded that these conversion features did not meet the conditions set forth in current accounting standards for equity classification. Since equity classification is not available for the conversion feature, it requires bifurcation and liability classification, at fair value. The Company also concluded that the Default Put required bifurcation because, while puts on debt instruments are generally considered clearly and closely related to the host, the Default Put is indexed to certain events that are not associated with the convertible note payable.

The Company elected to account for these hybrid contracts under the guidance of ASC 815-15-25-4. The fair value has been defined as the common stock equivalent value, enhanced by the fair value of the default put plus the present value of the coupon.

The holder of this convertible note has substantial rights and protections regarding dilution if certain events, including a default were to occur. There are a number of events that could trigger a default, including but not limited to failure to pay principal or interest, failure to issue shares under the conversion feature, breach of covenants, breach of representations and warranties, appointment of a receiver or trustee, judgments, bankruptcy, delisting of common stock, failure to comply with the exchange act, liquidation, cessation of operations, failure to maintain assets, material financial statement restatement, reverse split of borrowers stock, etc. In the event of these events the lender may be entitled to receive significant amounts of additional stock above the amounts for conversion.

Furthermore, there are additional events that could cause the lender to be due additional shares of common stock above and beyond the shares due from a conversion. Some of these events include, but are not limited to a merger or consolidation of the Company, dividend distribution or spin off, dilutive issuances of the Company s stock, etc. If the lender receives additional shares of the Company s commons stock due to any of the foregoing events or for other reasons, then this may have an extremely dilutive effect on the shareholders of the Company. Such dilution would likely result in a significant drop in the per share price of the Company s common stock. The potential dilutive nature of this note presents a very high degree of risk to the Company and its shareholders.

6. STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

Private Placements of Common Stock

During May and June, the Company sold 7,180,331 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.06 and received proceeds of $430,820. The Company issued 7,180,331 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016 (See Note 7).

Common Stock Issued for Services

During May 2015, the Company signed an agreement with a consultant for investor relation services for one month. In connection with the agreement, 200,000 shares of company s restricted common stocks were issued with a fair value of $26,000. The share was valued at $0.13 per share.

During January 2015, the Company signed an agreement with a consultant for investor relation services for one month. In connection with the agreement, 100,000 shares of company s restricted common stocks were issued with a fair value of $24,400. The share was valued at $0.244 per share.

During January 2015, the Company issued 250,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to a consultant for services for six months. The share was valued at $0.244 per share. The Company recorded an equity compensation charge of $58,304 during the six months ended June 30, 2015. The remaining unrecognized compensation cost of $2,696 related to non-vested equity-based compensation to be recognized by the Company over the remaining vesting period.

During February 2015, the Company signed an agreement with a consultant for investor relation services for one month. In connection with the agreement, 50,000 shares of company s restricted common stocks were issued with a fair value of $14,000. The share was valued at $0.28 per share.

During March 2015, the Company issued 1,250,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to a consultant for services for a year. The share was valued at $0.104 per share. The Company recorded an equity compensation charge of $36,329 during the six months ended June 30, 2015. The remaining unrecognized compensation cost of $93,671 related to non-vested equity-based compensation to be recognized by the Company over the remaining vesting period of eight and half months.

During November 2014, the Company issued 50,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to a consultant for services for one year. The share was valued at $0.32 per share. The Company recorded an equity compensation charge of $1,929 during the year ended December 31, 2014 and $7,934 for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The remaining unrecognized compensation cost of $6,137 related to non-vested equity-based compensation to be recognized by the Company over the remaining vesting period of four and half months.

During November 2014, the Company issued 125,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to a consultant for services for one year. The share was valued at $0.288 per share. The Company recorded an equity compensation charge of $5,918 during the year ended December 31, 2014 and $17,852 for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The remaining unrecognized compensation cost of $12,230 related to non-vested equity-based compensation to be recognized by the Company over the remaining vesting period of four months.

During June 2014, the Company issued 125,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to a consultant for services for one year. The share was valued at $0.34 per share. The Company recorded an equity compensation charge of $22,938 during the year ended December 31, 2014 and $19,561 for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Common Stock Issued for Debt Modification

During February 2015, the Company issued a total of 25,000 restricted shares to the Michael McDonald Trust due to the default on repayment of the promissory note of $75,000. The shares were valued at a fair value of $6,000 (See Note 5).

During February 2015, the Company amended the maturity dates for notes of $100,000 from a non-related party to August 3, 2015. The Company issued a total of 25,000 restricted shares to the note holder per the amendment. The shares were valued at a fair value of $7,000 (See Note 5).

Common Stock Issued with Promissory Note

In April 2015, in connection with the issuance of two promissory notes to two non-related Parties in the amount of $550,000 which is due in nine months from the funding of the note. The Company also issued a total of 125,000 shares of common stocks as part of the agreement (See Note 5).

Common Stock Issued for Settlement of Accounts Payable & Debt

During June 2015, the Company issued a total of 150,000 shares of the company s restricted stock to settle the outstanding commissions payable in aggregate of $15,000 with a vendor. The shares were recorded at a fair value of $28,500 or $0.19 per share.

During January 2015, Castaldo converted for a total of 71,429 shares of the company s restricted stock, with a fair value of $17,428 (See Note 5).

Following the assignment of Michael McDonald s debt of $92,310 in June 2014, Coventry made the following conversions of a total of 788,419 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying $92,310 of the note with a fair value of $269,637 (See Note 5).

Date	Number of shares converted	Fair Value of Debt

		Converted
6/18/2014	219,535	$92,816
7/2/2014	107,337	$29,909
1/25/2015	461,548	$146,912

Following the assignment of Michael McDonald s debt of $84,666 in the six months ended June 30, 2015, Coventry made the following conversions of a total of 1,324,341 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in full with a fair value of $201,894 (See Note 5).

Date	Number of shares converted	Fair Value of Debt Converted
4/20/2015	489,964	$60,034
6/03/2015	453,000	$68,392
6/22/2015	381,377	$73,468

During June 2015, one of the convertible Notes holders made the conversion of 196,850 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in the amount of $25,000 with a fair value of $43,716 (See Note 5).

During June, 2015, Coventry made the conversion for a total of 250,000 shares of the company s restricted stock in satisfying the note of $20,000 in full with a fair value of $44,277 (See Note 5).

During January 2015, Mr. Deitsch accepted a total of 125,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock as a repayment to discharge $10,000 of his outstanding loan to the Company (See Note 4). The shares were valued at the note payable amount due to the fact that it was a related party transaction.

Stock Split

On April 20, 2015, the Company declared a 1 for 40 reverse common stock split to stockholders. The Stock Split was effectuated on May 18, 2015 based upon filing the appropriate documentation with FINRA. Per share and weighted average amounts have been retroactively restated in the accompanying financial statements and related notes to reflect this stock split.

7. STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Common Stock Warrants

During April 2015, the Company issued a total of 2,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.35 per share in connection with issuance of two convertible notes payable. The warrants expire on April 14, 2017 (See Note 6).

From time to time, we issue warrants to purchase our common stock. These warrants have been issued for cash in conjunction with the private placement of shares of our common stock.

During May and June 2015, the Company issued a total of 7,180,331 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share in connection with the private placement offerings. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016 (See Note 6).

A summary of warrants outstanding in conjunction with private placements of common stock were as follows during the six months ended June 30, 2015:

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price
Balance December 31, 2014	1,606,667	$ 1.92
Exercised	-	-
Issued	9,180,331	$ 0.286
Forfeited	-	-
Balance June 30, 2015	10,786,998	$ 1.50

The following table summarizes information about fixed-price warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2015**:**

	Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price
2015	$0.20-6.0	10,786,998	1.37 years	$1.50

As of June 30, 2015, the aggregate intrinsic value of all stock options and warrants outstanding and expected to vest was $0. The intrinsic value of each option share is the difference between the fair value of our common stock and the exercise price of such option share to the extent it is in-the-money . Aggregate intrinsic value represents the value that would have been received by the holders of in-the-money options had they exercised their options on the last trading day of the year and sold the underlying shares at the closing stock price on such day. The intrinsic value calculation is based on the $0.18, closing stock price of our common stock on June 30, 2015. There were no in-the-money warrants at June 30, 2015.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

In February 2010, Nutra Pharma entered into an operating lease for the use of office space. The lease expired in January 2013 and required monthly payments of approximately $9,000. In February 2013, Nutra Pharma entered into a new operating lease for monthly payments of approximately $3,500 for three years. ReceptoPharm leases a lab and renewed its operating lease agreement for five years in July of 2012. The lease requires monthly payments of approximately $5,000 beginning August 1, 2012.

We incurred rent expense of $62,548 and $59,736 during six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company sublets approximately 3779 square feet of its space to Nationwide Laboratory Services, Inc. for one year started from April 2015. The rent for the first three months is $1,500 for the first three months, and then the rent will be increased by $100 per month until the sum of $2,200 per month is attained. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a rental income of $6,364 which included the rent and utilities.

Litigation

f/k/a Receptogen, Inc.

On August 18, 2006, ReceptoPharm was named as a defendant in Patricia Meding, et. al. v. ReceptoPharm, Inc. f/k/a Receptogen, Inc., Index No.: 18247/06 (New York Supreme Court, Queens County). The original proceeding claimed that ReceptoPharm owed the Plaintiffs, including Patricia Meding, a former ReceptoPharm officer and shareholder and several corporations that she claims to own, the sum of $118,928.15 plus interest and counsel fees on a series promissory notes that were allegedly executed in 2001 and 2002. On August 23, 2007, the Queens County New York Supreme Court issued a decision denying Plaintiffs motion for summary judgment in lieu of a complaint, concluding that there were issues of fact concerning the enforceability of the promissory notes. On May 23, 2008, the Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in which they reasserted their original claims and asserted new claims seeking damages of no less than $768,506 on their claims that in or about June 2004 ReceptoPharm breached its fiduciary duty to the Plaintiffs as shareholders of ReceptoPharm by wrongfully canceling certain of their purported ReceptoPharm share certificates. In late 2010, Plaintiffs further amended their complaint alleging that ReceptoPharm violated Plaintiffs contractual and statutory rights by cancelling an additional 30,370 share certificates and failing to permit the Plaintiffs to exercise dissenting shareholder rights with respect to those share certificates. The damages associated with the Plaintiffs' claims could rise as the result of increases in our share price as the Receptopharm shares may be convertible into our common shares. The potential exposure may exceed $10,000,000 if the Plaintiffs are successful with all of their claims.

ReceptoPharm believes the suit is without merit and has filed an answer denying the material allegations of the amended complaint and asserted a series of counterclaims against the Plaintiffs alleging claims for declaratory judgment, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, conversion and unjust enrichment as a result of the promissory notes. Plaintiffs have moved for partial summary judgment on their claims regarding the additional 30,370 shares, but not on their claims regarding the alleged promissory notes or the 43,750 alleged shares. In August of 2011, the Plaintiff's motion was partially granted. In September 2012, ReceptoPharm's attorneys filed a Motion to be removed as counsel. On October 10, 2014 their motion was granted.

On June 1, 2015, the parties executed a settlement agreement whereby ReceptoPharm would pay the Plaintiffs a total of $360,000 over 35 months. The first payment of $20,000 was made on July 1, 2015. A second payment of $20,000 is due on August 17, 2015 with 32 subsequent monthly $10,000 payments to be made on the 15th of every month. In the event of default on any of the payments due under the settlement agreement, the settlement amount would increase by an additional $200,000. Further, in the event of a default in the making of the first three payments, the settlement amount would increase by an additional $100,000. The Company has accrued the legal settlement amount at present value of $288,406 and an additional contingency of $200,000. The settlement agreement is personally guaranteed by Rik Deitsch, our CEO.

Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. v. Nutra Pharma Corp. and Erik "Rik" Deitsch

On April 21, 2011, Nutra Pharma Corp. and its CEO, Erik Deitsch, were named as defendants in Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. v. Nutra Pharma Corp. and Erik Rik Deitsch, Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 2011-CV-199562. Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. (LPR) claimed that Nutra Pharma Corp. and Mr. Deitsch, directly or through other companies, placed orders with LPR that required LPR to purchase components from third parties. LPR sought reimbursement for those third party expenses in the amount of not less than $359,826.85 plus interest. LPR also sought punitive damages in the amount of not less than $500,000 and attorney's fees.

Mr. Deitsch and Nutra Pharma Corp. then removed the action to the United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Civil Action No. 11-CV-01663-ODE. After removal, LPR amended the Complaint to assert that Nutra Pharma Corp. and Mr. Deitsch were the alter egos of the alleged other companies through whom the subject orders were placed and therefore should be considered one and the same. Mr. Deitsch and Nutra Pharma Corp. moved to dismiss the Complaint on several grounds including statute of frauds, failure to state a claim, and jurisdiction (only for Mr. Deitsch). Mr. Deitsch and Nutra Pharma Corp. believe the suit is without merit.

After June 30, 2011, at LPR's request, the parties mediated the dispute before LPR responded to the Motion To Dismiss. At the mediation, the parties worked out an agreement whereby Nutra Pharma Corp. would purchase from LPR the components LPR purchased from third parties at an amount slightly less than the principal amount of the suit and on terms acceptable to us. The agreed price was $350,000 payable over 7 months in equal $50,000 amounts. This agreement was reached by us because it provided tangible value in exchange for the purchase price rather than incurring the expense of litigation, which would likely be substantial and not recouped. While Nutra Pharma Corp. had counterclaims we could assert, we believe this was a practical resolution. The settlement allowed us to take possession of the components prior to full payment and, in exchange, provided security to LPR in the form of our stock valued at $400,000 at the time of issuance. The stock can only be sold in event of a default of the payment schedule. The litigation was dismissed in August of 2011. We made the August, September and November payments (totaling $150,000) in a timely fashion. We were late for the payment due October 15, 2011 and requested an accommodation from LPR, eventually paying an extra $5,000 towards that payment. At December 31, 2011, Nutra Pharma Corp. had made total payments of $205,000 with an additional

$150,000 owed. In order to allow us to skip the December payment, LPR agreed to another accommodation whereby we would pay both the December and January payment with an additional $10,000 on or before January 16, 2012. We were unable to make this payment and on January 26, 2012 signed an amended payment schedule adding an additional $15,000 for a total of $175,000 owed. Our CEO, Rik Deitsch, added additional collateral stock in a separate company that he held personally. $25,000 was paid in January, with subsequent payments of $30,000 due monthly on the 15th of March through the 15th of July, 2012. We failed to make the March payment and was subsequently called in default of the Agreement. Under the original agreement, if we are in default of the agreement, LPR has the right to sell shares of our free trading stock held in escrow by their attorney and receive cash settlements for a total amount of $450,000 representing the new total cash amount due to LPR by the Company.

On June 11, 2012, LPR sold their debt to Southridge Partners, LLP in an agreement to be paid out over time. In August, 2013, LPR cancelled their agreement with Southridge Partners, LLP. As of June 30, 2015, LPR continues to hold the collateral stock. We are currently negotiating a settlement with LPR. Upon the settlement of the outstanding debt, LPR will return the collateral shares to the Company.

Involuntary Petition of Bankruptcy

On August 31, 2012, certain former ReceptoPharm employees and a former ReceptoPharm consultant filed a Petition for Involuntary Bankruptcy against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Florida. The Petitioners originally claimed they were owed $990,927 from Nutra Pharma in the form of accrued wages and promissory notes, but amended their claim to $816,662 in a subsequent filing. In response to the Petition, we filed a motion to dismiss the action which, if successful, would avoid the case being converted into an actual bankruptcy action. On September 30, 2013, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Petitioners, which is effective upon the court dismissal of the action. In full and final satisfaction of all claims, the Company settled the Agreement with the Petitioners for a total sum of $350,000. As of June 30, 2015, $35,000 has been paid and a second lump sum payment was due within 8 months from February 12, 2014, the date the court dismissed the action. The Parties executed mutual releases exclusive of releases under the Settlement Agreement. On October 21, 2014 we received a Notice of Default from the Petitioners' counsel. We have responded to the notice and will be seeking remedies to the alleged default.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Private Placements of Common Stock

During July 2015, the Company sold 1,167,335 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.06 and received proceeds of $70,040. The Company issued 1,167,335 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016.

During August 2015, the Company sold 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.1 and received proceeds of $3,000. The Company issued 30,000 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016.

Common Stock Issued for Settlement of AP

On July 10, 2015, the Company issued a total of 4,400,000 shares of the company s restricted stock to settle the outstanding commissions payable in aggregate of $264,000 with TCN. The shares were valued at $0.185 per share.

Common Stocks Issued to Employees and Directors

During July 10, 2015, the Board of Directors approved a resolution for the issuance of a total of 10,900,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to directors and employees of the Company. The issuance was valued at $2,016,500 or $0.185 per share which was the stock price on the date of issuance.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Introduction

Our business during the second quarter of 2015 has focused upon marketing our homeopathic drugs for the treatment of pain:

.

Nyloxin® (Stage 2 Pain)

.

Nyloxin® Extra Strength (Stage 3 Pain)

.

Pet Pain-Away

We will continue this focus during the remainder of 2015.

During our second quarter of 2015 and thereafter, the following has occurred:

On April 15, 2015 we provided an update regarding plans to market Nyloxin® through S.Zhaveri Pharmakem for distribution throughout India. The update stated that our management had been working in Mumbai throughout the week to finalize plans for the national rollout of Nyloxin®.

On April 20, 2015, our stockholders voted at a special meeting of the shareholders held in Coral Springs, Florida to approve a one-for-forty reverse stock split of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock. Under the adopted proposal, each forty shares of our presently issued and outstanding Common Stock as of the close of business on the effective date was converted automatically into one share of our post-Reverse Split Common Stock. Fractional shares and "odd lots" were rounded up to the nearest whole share. The Reverse Split did not change the number of authorized shares of our Common Stock. The principal effect of the Reverse Split was the reduction in the number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding, from 1,510,950,321 shares as of March 6, 2015 to 39,123,758 shares after the Reverse Split was deemed effective. The Reverse Split affected all of our shareholders uniformly and did not affect

any shareholder s percentage ownership interest in Nutra or any shareholder s proportionate voting power. Per share and weighted average amounts have been retroactively restated in the accompanying financial statements and related notes to reflect this stock split.

On April 22, 2015 we announced that we had engaged the Vancouver Commodities Group (VCG) to begin the process of identifying and vetting potential distributors in China for Nyloxin®.

On April 30, 2015 we announced that we had received notification of the acceptance of Nyloxin® by the China International Exchange and Promotive Association for Medical and Healthcare (CPAM). This process was successfully conducted by the Vancouver Commodities Group (VCG) that had been hired by Nutra Pharma to begin the process of identifying and vetting potential distributors in China.

On May 14, 2015 we announced that we had engaged the Nature's Clinic to begin the process of regulatory approval of our Company's Over-the-Counter pain drug, Nyloxin® for marketing and distribution in Canada. The Nature s Clinic has already begun setting up their Chatham, Ontario warehouse and expect to complete the approval process to begin distributing Nyloxin® by the end of the year.

On May 26, 2015 we announced that we had engaged Medical Strategy Consultants, LLC to aid the company in the filing of Orphan Drug applications with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). The first application under development is for the treatment of Pediatric Multiple Sclerosis with the Company's lead drug candidate, RPI-78M. In order to create the application, RPI-78M needed to meet several key criteria. This included preclinical data in support of the drug that provided a reasonable rationale for the treatment of the disease based on its potential ability to treat the symptoms of multiple sclerosis. The FDA grants Orphan Drug Designation status to products that treat rare diseases, providing incentives to sponsors developing drugs or biologics. The Orphan Drug Act of 1983 is intended to assist and encourage companies to develop safe and effective therapies for the treatment of rare diseases and disorders, defined as those affecting fewer than 200,000 Americans at any given time. The designation of RPI-78M as an Orphan Drug would provide Nutra Pharma with a 7-year period of market exclusivity in the U.S. upon approval of the drug, as well as tax credits for clinical research costs, the ability to apply for grant funding, clinical trial design assistance, assistance from the FDA in the drug development process and the waiver of Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) filing fees which could be in excess of $2.5 million. The decision to proceed with an Orphan Drug Designation submission is part of Nutra Pharma's plan to move forward with the preparation of an Investigative New Drug Application.

On June 9, 2015 we announced that we had entered into a facility and personnel sharing agreement with Nationwide Laboratory Services, Inc. (NLS): Nationwide Laboratory Services, Inc. operates as a clinical diagnostics laboratory, performing routine and specialty human diagnostics. The company also offers clinical trial services, such as specimen and special handling requirements, status of test results, turnaround time, testing, participants test results, channeling calls to technical or professional personnel, communicating specimen issues, notifying investigational site of critical calls, and telephone support with data management training services; and courier tracking services. The collaboration provides NLS with the use of our lab space and equipment and provides us with lab and clinical personnel as well as cost-savings on rent and utilities.

On June 23, 2015 we announced that we had completed a series of projects to update and expand the facilities that house the Asian cobras utilized for the production of Nyloxin®. We also announced the addition of 100 snakes to the existing milking line to increase venom production for the upcoming international orders from India and China.

On June 30, 2015 we announced that we had engaged Pickwick Capital Partners; a leading investment banking, securities and investment management firm, to provide strategic corporate planning and investment banking services. Pickwick will focus on assisting Nutra Pharma in our strategies for maximizing shareholder value through its full scope of investment banking services.

On July 10, 2015, SeeThruEquity, a leading independent equity research and corporate access firm focused on smallcap and microcap public companies, announced it has initiated coverage of Nutra Pharma Corporation with a Price Target of $0.53 per share.

On July 15, 2015 we announced that we had filed an application with the FDA for orphan drug status of the Company's RPI-78M drug candidate for the treatment of Multiple Sclerosis in children. This represents the first such filing for the company.

Cobroxin®

We offered Cobroxin®, our over-the-counter pain reliever that has been clinically proven to treat moderate to severe (Stage 2) chronic pain. Cobroxin® is not currently being marketed. In August 2009, we completed an agreement with XenaCare Holdings (XenaCare) granting it the exclusive license to market and distribute Cobroxin® within the United States. In mid-October 2009, XenaCare began selling Cobroxin® online through its product website, www.Cobroxin.com.

In November 2009, XenaCare began selling Cobroxin® to brick-and-mortar retailers, including distribution to CVS in March 2010 and Walgreens in May 2010. On April 1, 2011, we notified our Cobroxin® Distributor, XenaCare that they were in breach of our agreement. As a result of this, the distribution agreement was terminated effective April 10, 2011. XenaCare had a large stock of the product that they had ordered from us and we have allowed them to continue to market their existing inventory of Cobroxin®. In October, 2011 we discontinued their website at www.Cobroxin.com. All current traffic to that website is now redirected to www.Nyloxin.com. On June 10, 2013, we announced a new licensing agreement for the distribution of Cobroxin® with Cobra Pharmaceuticals, LLC. They had expected to begin a direct response campaign by the first quarter of 2014, but have not yet started and have not yet ordered any product for production. If Cobra Pharmaceuticals does not meet minimum orders by first quarter of 2015, they will lose their rights to the product and we may seek other potential distributors for Cobroxin®.

Cobroxin® was available as a two ounce topical gel for treating joint pain and pain associated with arthritis and repetitive stress, and as a one ounce oral spray for treating lower back pain, migraines, neck aches, shoulder pain, cramps, and neuropathic pain. Both the topical gel and oral spray are packaged and sold as a one-month supply.

Cobroxin® offers several benefits as a pain reliever. With increasing concern about consumers using opioid and acetaminophen-based pain relievers, Cobroxin® provides an alternative that does not rely on opiates or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, otherwise known as NSAIDs, for its pain relieving effects. Cobroxin® also has a well-defined safety profile. Since the early 1930s, the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) of Cobroxin®, Asian cobra venom, has been studied in more than 46 human clinical studies. The data from these studies provide clinical evidence that cobra venom provides an effective treatment for pain with few side effects and has the following benefits:

.

safe and effective;

.

all natural;

.

long-acting;

.

easy to use;

.

non-narcotic;

.

non-addictive; and

.

analgesic and anti-inflammatory.

Potential side effects from the use of Cobroxin® are rare, but may include headache, nausea, vomiting, sore throat, allergic rhinitis and coughing.

Nyloxin®/Nyloxin® Extra Strength

Nyloxin® and Nyloxin® Extra Strength are similar to Cobroxin® in that they both contain the same active ingredient as Cobroxin®, Asian cobra venom. The primary difference between Nyloxin®, Nyloxin® Extra Strength and Cobroxin® is the dilution level of the venom. The approximate dilution levels for Nyloxin®, Nyloxin® Extra Strength and Cobroxin® are as follows:

Nyloxin®

.

Topical Gel: 30 mcg/mL

.

Oral Spray: 70 mcg/mL

Nyloxin® Extra Strength

.

Topical Gel: 60 mcg/mL

.

Oral Spray: 140 mcg/mL

Cobroxin®

.

Topical Gel: 20 mcg/mL

.

Oral Spray: 35 mcg/mL

In December 2009, we began marketing Nyloxin® and Nyloxin® Extra Strength at www.nyloxin.com. Both Nyloxin® and Nyloxin® Extra Strength are packaged in a roll-on container, squeeze bottle and as an oral spray. Additionally, Nyloxin® topical gel is available in an 8 ounce pump bottle.

In December of 2013, we announced an agreement with MyNyloxin.com for the exclusive rights to market and distribute Nyloxin® in the Network Marketing channel. MyNyloxin.com provides a business opportunity to their Distributors to earn commissions on the sale of our products through their Distributor groups. In January of 2014, we announced the first product shipments to the MyNyloxin Independent Entrepreneurs (MIEs). MyNyloxin conducts webinars, conference calls and live meetings to support recruitment of new MIEs as well as to provide product and business education. In April of 2014, we announced that MyNyloxin.com had signed an agreement that creates the MyNyloxin Telemarketing Division (MTD). MTD began their telemarketing campaign on April 7 to identify customers for Nyloxin® as well as potential Distributors for MyNyloxin.com. In June of 2014, we announced that MyNyloxin had begun rolling out a national television campaign to support Nyloxin® branding and sales. In November of 2014, MyNyloxin.com changed their name to Lumaxa.

We are currently marketing Nyloxin® and Nyloxin® Extra Strength as treatments for moderate to severe chronic pain. Nyloxin® is available as an oral spray for treating back pain, neck pain, headaches, joint pain, migraines, and neuralgia and as a topical gel for treating joint pain, neck pain, arthritis pain, and pain associated with repetitive stress. Nyloxin® Extra Strength is available as an oral spray and gel application for treating the same physical indications, but is aimed at treating the most severe (Stage 3) pain that inhibits one s ability to function fully.

Nyloxin® Military Strength

In December 2012, we announced the availability of Nyloxin® Military Strength for sale to the United States Military and Veteran's Administration. Over the past few years, the U.S. Department of Defense has been reporting an increase in the use and abuse of prescription medications, particularly opiates. In 2009, close to 3.8 million prescriptions for pain relievers were written in the military. This staggering number was more than a 400% increase from the number of prescriptions written in the military in 2001. But prescription drugs are not the only issue. The most common and seemingly harmless way to treat pain is with non steroidal, anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS). But there are risks. Overuse can cause nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, heartburn, ulcers and internal bleeding. In severe cases chest pain, heart failure, kidney dysfunction and life-threatening allergic reactions can occur. It is reported that approximately 7,600 people in America die from NSAID use and some 78,000 are hospitalized. Ibuprofen, also an NSAID has been of particular concern in the military. The terms Ranger Candy and Military Candy refer to the service men and women who are said to use 800mg doses of Ibuprofen to control their pain. But when taking anti-inflammatory Ibuprofen in high doses for chronic pain, there is potential for critical health risks; abuse can lead to serious stomach problems,

internal bleeding and even kidney failure. There are significantly greater health risks when abuse of this drug is combined with alcohol intake. Our goal is that with Nyloxin®, we can greatly reduce the instances of opiate abuse and overuse of NSAIDS in high risk groups like the US military. The Nyloxin® Military Strength represents the strongest version of Nyloxin® available and is approximately twice as strong as Nyloxin® Extra

Strength. We are working with outside consultants to register Nyloxin® Military Strength and the other Nyloxin® products for sale to the US government and the various arms of the military as well as the Veteran's Administration. On April 22, 2014, we announced that we are seeking GSA (Government Services Administration) Certification in order to supply Military Strength Nyloxin® to the Department of Defense (DoD) and Veterans Affairs Hospitals (VA). We have completed the registration process and are awaiting final approval through the GSA. We expect to be able to actively market through these channels by the end of 2015.

We are pursuing international drug registrations in Canada, Mexico, India, China, Central and South America and Europe. Since European rules for homeopathic drugs are different than the rules in the US, we cannot estimate when this process will be completed. On March 25, 2013 we announced the publication of our patent and trademark for Nyloxin® in India. We are currently working with potential Distributors in India. In February, 2015 we completed the first test shipments to India through our importer, S.Zhaveri Pharmakem. We plan to begin active sales and marketing in India by the end of 2015.

Additionally, we plan to complete two human clinical studies aimed at comparing the ability of Nyloxin® Extra Strength to replace prescription pain relievers. We originally believed that these studies would begin during the second quarter of 2010; however, these studies have been delayed because of lack of funding. We cannot provide any timeline for these studies until adequate financing is available.

To date, our marketing efforts have been limited due to lack of funding. As sales increase, we plan to begin marketing more aggressively to increase the sales and awareness of our products.

Pet Pain-Away

During June of 2013, we announced the launch of our new homeopathic formula for the treatment of chronic pain in companion animals, Pet Pain-Away. Pet Pain-Away is a homeopathic, non-narcotic, non-addictive, over-the-counter pain reliever, primarily aimed at treating moderate to severe chronic pain in companion animals. It is specifically indicated to treat pain from hip dysplasia, arthritis pain, joint pain, and general chronic pain in dogs and cats. The initial product run was completed in December of 2014 and launched through Lumaxa Distributors on December 19, 2014.

Equine Nyloxin®

In October of 2013, we announced that we were in the process of launching the newest addition to our line of homeopathic treatments for chronic pain, *Equine Nyloxin*®, a topical therapy for horses that is provided as a two piece

kit: *Nyloxin® Topical Gel* comprises Step 1 and a solution of DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide) comprises Step 2. We have been working with trainers and veterinarians in the equine industry and have already identified distributors for the product. The *Equine Nyloxin®* represents the Company's first topical solution for the animal market. The product is now undergoing market evaluation. Pending positive results as far as price and availability, it is expected to be commercially available by the end of 2015.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) applied on a consistent basis. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods.

We regularly evaluate the accounting policies and estimates that we use to prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements. In general, management s estimates are based on historical experience, information from third party professionals, and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the facts and circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates made by management under different and/or future circumstances.

We believe that our critical accounting policies and estimates include our ability to continue as a going concern, revenue recognition, accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory obsolescence, accounting for long-lived assets and accounting for stock based compensation.

Ability to Continue as a Going Concern: Our ability to continue as a going concern is contingent upon our ability to secure additional financing, increase ownership equity, and attain profitable operations. In addition, our ability to continue as a going concern must be considered in light of the problems, expenses and complications frequently encountered in established markets and the competitive environment in which we operate.

Revenue Recognition: In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Provision for sales returns will be estimated based on the Company's historical return experience.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: Our accounts receivable are stated at estimated net realizable value. Accounts receivable are comprised of balances due from customers net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts. In determining collectability, historical trends are evaluated and specific customer issues are reviewed to arrive at appropriate allowances.

Inventory Obsolescence: Inventories are valued at the lower of average cost or market value. We periodically perform an evaluation of inventory for excess, impairments and obsolete items.

Long-Lived Assets: The carrying value of long-lived assets is reviewed annually and on a regular basis for the existence of facts and circumstances that may suggest impairment. If indicators of impairment are present, we determine whether the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the long-lived asset in question is less than its carrying amount. If less, we measure the amount of the impairment based on the amount that the carrying value of the impaired asset exceeds the discounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposal of the impaired assets.

Derivative Financial Instrument: We do not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow, market, or foreign currency risks. Management evaluates all of its financial instruments to determine if such instruments are derivatives or contain features that qualify as embedded derivatives. For derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as liabilities, the derivative instrument is initially recorded at its fair value and is then re-valued at each reporting date, with changes in the fair value reported as charges or credits to income. For option-based simple derivative financial instruments, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. For complex embedded derivatives, we use a Dilution-Adjusted Black-Scholes method to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Share-Based Compensation: We record share-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC 718, Stock Compensation. FASB ASC 718 requires that the cost resulting from all share-based transactions are recorded in the financial statements over the respective service periods. It establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. FASB ASC 718 also establishes fair value as the measurement objective for transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services from non-employees in

share-based payment transactions.

Results of Operations Comparison of Three Months Periods Ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013

Net sales for the three-month period ended June 30, 2015 are $78,063 compared to $98,905 for the three months period ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in net sales is primarily attributable to the decrease in Nyloxin® sales.

Cost of sales for the three-month period ended June 30, 2015 is $19,621 compared to $16,965 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014. Our cost of sales includes the direct costs associated with Nyloxin® manufacturing. Our gross profit margin for the three-month period ended June 30, 2015 is $58,442 or 74.9% compared to $81,949 or 82.9% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in our profit margin is due primarily to the increase in the credit card processing fees.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased $331,854 or 45.9% from $722,695 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 to $1,054,549 for the quarter ended June 30, 2015, generally due to the increase in stock based compensation of $99,060 or 381.0% from $25,997 for the three months period ending June 30, 2014 to $125,057 for the three months period ending June 30, 2015, the increase of approximately $540,000 in legal fees and potential penalty on default payment of settlement of Meding case, and the increase of $174,000 in consulting, payroll, travel and professional fees. These increases were offset by decrease in selling expense of $494,000 related to distributors.

Rental income increased $6,364 or 100%, from $0 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 to $6,364 for the comparable 2015 period. This increase was due to a sublease agreement entered in April, 2015.

Interest expense increased $83,216 or 305.9%, from $27,208 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 to $110,424 for the comparable 2015 period. This increase was due to an overall increase in short term and long term indebtedness in the quarter ended June 30, 2015 compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

We carry certain of our debentures and common stock warrants at fair value. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the liability related to these hybrid instruments fluctuated, resulting in a loss of $665,503 and $642,844, respectively.

Loss on settlement of debt and accounts payable increased $8,178 or 100%, from the loss of $8,178 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 to the loss of $0 for the comparable 2015 period. This slight increase was due to a decrease in settlement of debts and accounts payable through issuance of stocks for the three months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the comparable 2014 period.

As a result of the foregoing, our net loss increased by $446,694 or 33.9%, from $1,318,976 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 to $1,765,670 for the comparable 2015 period.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014

Net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2015 are $199,061 compared to $153,658 for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase in sales is primarily attributable to an overall increase in sales of Nyloxin®.

Cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2015 is $44,675 compared to $24,967 for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Our cost of sales includes the direct costs associated with Nyloxin® manufacturing. Our gross profit margin for the six months ended June 30, 2015 is $154,386 or 77.6% compared to $128,691 or 83.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in our profit margin is due primarily to increase in the credit card processing fees.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased $445,898 or 44.7% from $997,383 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to $1,443,281 for the six months ended June 30, 2015, generally due to increase in stock based compensation of $133,831 or 189.7% from $70,549 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to $204,380 for the six months ended June 30, 2015, the increase of approximately $540,000 in legal fees and potential penalty on default payment of settlement of Meding case and the increase of $244,000 in consulting, payroll, travel and professional fees. These increases were offset by decrease in selling expense of $494,000 related to distributors.

Rental income increased $6,364 or 100%, from $0 for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 to $6,364 for the comparable 2015 period. This increase was due to a sublease agreement entered in April, 2015.

Interest expense increased $105,664 or 183.1%, from $57,716 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to $163,380 for the comparable 2015 period. This increase was due to an overall increase in short term and long term indebtedness for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the comparable period in 2014.

We carry certain of our debentures and common stock warrants at fair value. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the liability related to these hybrid instruments fluctuated, resulting in a loss of $683,773 and $591,299, respectively.

Loss on settlement of debt and accounts payable increased $8,178 or 100%, from the loss of $8,178 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to the loss of $0 for the comparable 2015 period. This slight increase was due to an increase in settlement of debts and accounts payable through issuance of stocks for the six months ended June 30, 2015 compared to the comparable 2014 period.

Our net loss increased by $603,799 or 39.6%, from $1,525,885 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to $2,129,684 for the comparable 2015 period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have incurred significant losses from operations and working capital and stockholders deficits raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Further, as stated in Note 1 to our condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2015, we have an accumulated deficit of $46,649,989 and working capital and stockholders deficits of $4,275,133 and $4,532,499, respectively.

Our ability to continue as a going concern is contingent upon our ability to secure additional financing, increase ownership equity, and attain profitable operations. In addition, our ability to continue as a going concern must be considered in light of the problems, expenses and complications frequently encountered in established markets and the competitive environment in which we operate. As of June 30, 2015, we do not believe that our source of cash is adequate for the next 12 months of operation and there is substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Historically, we have relied upon loans from our Chief Executive Officer, Rik Deitsch, to fund our operations. These loans are unsecured, accrue interest at a rate of 4.0% per annum and are due on demand. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, we borrowed $55,820 and repaid $113,164 to Mr. Deitsch. In addition, Mr. Deitsch accepted a total of 125,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock as a repayment to discharge $10,000 of his outstanding loan in January 2015. At June 30, 2015, the amount owed to Mr. Deitsch was $405,096 and the amount advanced to the companies owned by him was $52,450.

Subsequent to June 30, 2015 and through August 17, 2015, the Company repaid $4,800 to its President, Rik Deitsch and repaid $20,000 to the Company owned by Rik. The amount owed to Mr. Deitsch at August 17, 2015 was $402,413, which includes $380,680 of accrued interest. The repayment to Companies owned by Rik at August 17, 2015 was $72,450.

As of June 30, 2015, we raised $139,870, net of debt discount and loan issuance cost of a total of $64,130 through issuance of promissory notes, $397,500, net of debt discount and loan issuance cost of $53,750, through the issuance of convertible notes.

We expect to utilize the proceeds from these funds and additional capital to manufacture Nyloxin® and Pet Pain-Away and reduce our debt level. We estimate that we will require approximately $160,000 to fund our existing operations and ReceptoPharm s operations through December 31, 2015. These costs include: (i) compensation for two (2) full-time employees; (ii) compensation for various consultants who we deem critical to our business; (iii) general office expenses including rent and utilities; (iv) product liability insurance; and (v) outside legal and accounting services. These costs reflected in (i) (v) do not include research and development costs or other costs associated with clinical studies.

We began generating revenues from the sale of Cobroxin® in the fourth quarter of 2009 and from the sale of Nyloxin® during the first quarter of 2011. Our ability to meet our future operating expenses is highly dependent on the amount of such future revenues. To the extent that future revenues from the sales of Cobroxin® and Nyloxin® are insufficient to cover our operating expenses we may need to raise additional equity capital, which could result in substantial dilution to existing shareholders. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient equity capital to fund our working capital requirements on terms acceptable to us, or at all. We may also seek additional loans from our officers and directors; however, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in securing such additional loans.

Uncertainties and Trends

Our operations and possible revenues are dependent now and in the future upon the following factors:

.

whether Cobroxin®, Nyloxin®, Nyloxin® Extra Strength and Pet Pain-Away will be accepted by retail establishments where they are sold;

.

because Nyloxin® is a novel approach to the over-the-counter pain market, whether it will be accepted by consumers over conventional over-the-counter pain products;

.

whether Nyloxin® Military Strength and/or Equine Nyloxin® will be successfully launched and be accepted in the marketplace;

.

whether our international drug applications will be approved and in how many countries;

.

whether we will be successful in marketing Cobroxin®, Nyloxin® and Nyloxin® Extra Strength in our target markets and create nationwide and international visibility for our products;

.

whether our drug delivery system, i.e. oral spray and gel, will be accepted by consumers who may prefer a pain pill delivery system;

.

whether competitors pain products will be found to be more attractive to consumers;

.

whether we successfully develop and commercialize products from our research and development activities;

.

whether we compete effectively in the intensely competitive biotechnology area;

.

whether we successfully execute our planned partnering and out-licensing products or technologies;

.

whether the current economic downturn and related credit and financial market crisis will adversely affect our ability to obtain financing, conduct our operations and realize opportunities to successfully bring our technologies to market;

.

whether we are subject to litigation and related costs in connection with use of products;

.

whether we will successfully contract with domestic distributor(s)/advertiser(s) for our products and whether that will cause interruptions in our operations;

.

whether we comply with FDA and other extensive legal/regulatory requirements affecting the healthcare industry.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any transaction, agreement or other contractual arrangement with an entity unconsolidated with us under whom we have:

.

An obligation under a guarantee contract.

.

A retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to the unconsolidated entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity for such assets.

.

Any obligation, including a contingent obligation, under a contract that would be accounted for as a derivative instrument.

.

Any obligation, including a contingent obligation, arising out of a variable interest in an unconsolidated entity that is held by us and material to us where such entity provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to, or engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with us.

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements or commitments other than those disclosed in this report that have a current or future effect on its financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources that is material.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2015, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and the participation of our Chief Executive Officer/Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2015, as defined in Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer/Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, because of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting discussed in Section 9A of our annual report on Form 10-K, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective, at a reasonable assurance level, as of June 30, 2015. In light of this, we performed additional post-closing procedures and analyses in order to prepare the Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements included in this report. As a result of these procedures, we believe our Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements included in this report present fairly, in all material respects, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. A control system cannot provide absolute assurance, however, that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, with the company have been detected.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer, who also acted as our Principal Financial Officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2015 that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Patricia Meding, et. al. v. ReceptoPharm, Inc. f/k/a Receptogen, Inc.

On August 18, 2006, ReceptoPharm was named as a defendant in Patricia Meding, et. al. v. ReceptoPharm, Inc. f/k/a Receptogen, Inc., Index No.: 18247/06 (New York Supreme Court, Queens County). The original proceeding claimed that ReceptoPharm owed the Plaintiffs, including Patricia Meding, a former ReceptoPharm officer and shareholder and several corporations that she claims to own, the sum of $118,928.15 plus interest and counsel fees on a series promissory notes that were allegedly executed in 2001 and 2002. On August 23, 2007, the Queens County New York Supreme Court issued a decision denying Plaintiffs motion for summary judgment in lieu of a complaint, concluding that there were issues of fact concerning the enforceability of the promissory notes. On May 23, 2008, the Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in which they reasserted their original claims and asserted new claims seeking damages of no less than $768,506 on their claims that in or about June 2004 ReceptoPharm breached its fiduciary duty to the Plaintiffs as shareholders of ReceptoPharm by wrongfully canceling certain of their purported ReceptoPharm share certificates. In late 2010, Plaintiffs further amended their complaint alleging that ReceptoPharm violated Plaintiffs contractual and statutory rights by cancelling an additional 30,370 share certificates and failing to permit the Plaintiffs to exercise dissenting shareholder rights with respect to those share certificates. The damages associated with the Plaintiffs' claims could rise as the result of increases in our share price as the Receptopharm shares may be convertible into our common shares. The potential exposure may exceed $10,000,000 if the Plaintiffs are successful with all of their claims.

On June 1, 2015, the parties executed a settlement agreement whereby ReceptoPharm would pay the Plaintiffs a total of $360,000 over 35 months. The first payment of $20,000 was made on July 1, 2015. A second payment of $20,000 is due on August 17, 2015 with 32 subsequent monthly $10,000 payments to be made on the 15th of every month. In the event of default on any of the payments due under the settlement agreement, the settlement amount would increase by an additional $200,000. Further, in the event of a default in the making of the first three payments, the settlement amount would increase by an additional $100,000. The Company has accrued the legal settlement amount at present value of $288,406 and an additional contingency of $200,000. The settlement agreement is personally guaranteed by Rik Deitsch, our CEO.

Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. v. Nutra Pharma Corp. and Erik Rik Deitsch

On April 21, 2011, Nutra Pharma Corp. and its CEO, Erik Deitsch, were named as defendants in Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. v. Nutra Pharma Corp. and Erik Rik Deitsch, Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 2011-CV-199562. Liquid Packaging Resources, Inc. (LPR) claimed that Nutra Pharma Corp. and Mr. Deitsch,

directly or through other companies, placed orders with LPR that required LPR to purchase components from third parties. LPR sought reimbursement for those third party expenses in the amount of not less than $359,826.85 plus interest. LPR also sought punitive damages in the amount of not less than $500,000 and attorney's fees.

Mr. Deitsch and Nutra Pharma Corp. then removed the action to the United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Civil Action No. 11-CV-01663-ODE. After removal, LPR amended the Complaint to assert that Nutra Pharma Corp. and Mr. Deitsch were the alter egos of the alleged other companies through whom the subject orders were placed and therefore should be considered one and the same. Mr. Deitsch and Nutra Pharma Corp. moved to dismiss the Complaint on several grounds including statute of frauds, failure to state a claim, and jurisdiction (only for Mr. Deitsch).

After June 30, 2011, at LPR's request, the parties mediated the dispute before LPR responded to the Motion To Dismiss. At the mediation, the parties worked out an agreement whereby Nutra Pharma Corp. would purchase from LPR the components LPR purchased from third parties at an amount slightly less than the principal amount of the suit and on terms acceptable to us. The agreed price was $350,000 payable over 7 months in equal $50,000 amounts. This agreement was reached by us because it provided tangible value in exchange for the purchase price rather than incurring the expense of litigation, which would likely be substantial and not recouped. While Nutra Pharma Corp. had counterclaims we could assert, we believe this was a practical resolution. The settlement allowed us to take possession of the components prior to full payment and, in exchange, provided security to LPR in the form of our stock valued at $400,000 at the time of issuance. The stock can only be sold in event of a default of the payment schedule. The litigation was dismissed in August of 2011. We made the August, September and November payments (totaling $150,000) in a timely fashion. We were late for the payment due October 15, 2011 and requested an accommodation from LPR, eventually paying an extra $5,000 towards that payment. At December 31, 2011, Nutra Pharma Corp. had made total payments of $205,000 with an additional $150,000 owed. In order to allow us to skip the December payment, LPR agreed to another accommodation whereby we would pay both the December and January payment with an additional $10,000 on or before January 16, 2012. We were unable to make this payment and on January 26, 2012 signed an amended payment schedule adding an additional $15,000 for a total of $175,000 owed. Our CEO, Rik Deitsch, added additional collateral stock in a separate company that he held personally. $25,000 was paid in January,

with subsequent payments of $30,000 due monthly on the 15th of March through the 15th of July, 2012. We failed to make the March payment and was subsequently called in default of the Agreement. Under the original agreement, if we are in default of the agreement, LPR has the right to sell shares of our free trading stock held in escrow by their attorney and receive cash settlements for a total amount of $450,000 representing the new total cash amount due to LPR by the Company.

On June 11, 2012, LPR sold their debt to Southridge Partners, LLP in an agreement to be paid out over time. In August, 2013, LPR cancelled their agreement with Southridge Partners, LLP. As of June 30, 2015, LPR continues to hold the collateral stock. We are currently negotiating a settlement with LPR. Upon the settlement of the outstanding debt, LPR will return the collateral shares to the Company.

Involuntary Petition of Bankruptcy

On August 31, 2012, certain former ReceptoPharm employees and a former ReceptoPharm consultant filed a Petition for Involuntary Bankruptcy against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Florida. The Petitioners originally claimed they were owed $990,927 from Nutra Pharma in the form of accrued wages and promissory notes, but amended their claim to $816,662 in a subsequent filing. In response to the Petition, we filed a motion to dismiss the action which, if successful, would avoid the case being converted into an actual bankruptcy action. On September 30, 2013, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Petitioners, which is effective upon the court dismissal of the action. In full and final satisfaction of all claims, the Company settled the Agreement with the Petitioners for a total sum of $350,000. As of June 30, 2015, $35,000 has been paid and a second lump sum payment was due within 8 months from February 12, 2014, the date the court dismissed the action. The Parties executed mutual releases exclusive of releases under the Settlement Agreement. On October 21, 2014 we received a Notice of Default from the Petitioners' counsel. We have responded to the notice and will be seeking remedies to the alleged default.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Private Placements of Common Stock

During May and June, the Company sold 7,180,331 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.06 and received proceeds of $430,820. The Company issued 7,180,331 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016 (See Note 7).

During July, the Company sold 1,167,335 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.06 and received proceeds of $70,040. The Company issued 1,167,335 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016.

During August, the Company sold 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to investors at a price per share of $0.1 and received proceeds of $3,000. The Company issued 30,000 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of $0.20 per share. The warrants expire on June 30, 2016.

Common Stock Issued for Services

During May 2015, the Company signed an agreement with a consultant for investor relation services for one month. In connection with the agreement, 200,000 shares of company s restricted common stocks were issued with a fair value of $26,000. The share was valued at $0.13 per share.

Common Stock Issued with Promissory Note

In April 2015, in connection with the issuance of two promissory notes to two non-related Parties in the amount of $550,000 which is due in nine months from the funding of the note. The Company also issued a total of 125,000 shares of common stocks as part of the agreement.

Common Stock Issued for Settlement of Accounts Payable & Debt

During June 2015, the Company issued a total of 150,000 shares of the company s restricted stock to settle the outstanding commissions payable in aggregate of $15,000 with a vendor. The shares were recorded at a fair value of $28,500 or $0.19 per share.

Following the assignment of Michael McDonald s debt of $84,666 in the six months ended June 30, 2015, Coventry made the following conversions of a total of 1,324,341 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in full with a fair value of $201,894 (See Note 5).

Date	Number of Shares converted	Fair Value of Debt Converted
4/20/2015	489,964	$60,034
6/03/2015	453,000	$68,392
6/22/2015	381,377	$73,468

During June 2015, one of the convertible Notes holders made the conversion of 196,850 shares of the company s restricted stock satisfying the notes in the amount of $25,000 with a fair value of $43,716 (See Note 5).

During June, 2015, Coventry made the conversion for a total of 250,000 shares of the company s restricted stock in satisfying the note of $20,000 in full with a fair value of $44,277 (See Note 5).

On July 10, 2015, the Company issued a total of 4,400,000 shares of the company s restricted stock to settle the outstanding commissions payable in aggregate of $264,000 with TCN. The shares were valued at $0.185 per share.

Common Stocks Issued to Employees and Directors

During July 10, 2015, the Board of Directors approved a resolution for the issuance of a total of 10,900,000 shares of the Company s restricted common stock to directors and employees of the Company. The issuance was valued at $2,016,500 or $0.185 per share which was the stock price on the date of issuance.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

During the third quarter of 2010 we borrowed $200,000 from one of our directors. We repaid a total of $110,000 as of June 30, 2015. Under the terms of the loan agreement, this loan was expected to be repaid in nine months to a year from the date of the loan along with interest calculated at 10% for the first month plus 12% after 30 days from funding. We are in default regarding this loan. The loan is under personal guarantee by our President and CEO, Rik

Deitsch. At June 30, 2015, we owed this director accrued interest of $173,156.

On June 11, 2012, LPR sold their remaining debt, which included legal fees, of $281,772 to Southridge Partners, LLP in an agreement to be paid out over time. In August, 2013, LPR cancelled their agreement with Southridge Partners, LLP. As of June 30, 2015, LPR continues to hold the collateral stock. We are currently negotiating a settlement with LPR. Upon the settlement of the outstanding debt, LPR will return the collateral shares to the Company.

On August 31, 2012, certain former ReceptoPharm employees and a former ReceptoPharm consultant filed a Petition for Involuntary Bankruptcy against us in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Florida. On September 30, 2013, the Company entered into a Settlement Agreement with the Petitioners, which is effective upon the court dismissal of the action. In full and final satisfaction of all claims, the Company settled the Agreement with the Petitioners for a total sum of $350,000. $35,000 has been paid and a second lump sum payment is due within 8 months from February 12, 2014, the date the court dismissed the action. The Parties executed mutual releases exclusive of releases under the Settlement Agreement. On October 21, 2014 we received a Notice of Default from the Petitioners' counsel. We have responded to the notice and will be seeking remedies to the default.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Title
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NUTRA PHARMA CORP.
Registrant

Dated: August 18, 2015

/s/ Rik J. Deitsch
Rik J. Deitsch
Chief Executive Officer/Chief Financial Officer