## ANTHRACITE CAPITAL INC Form 10-Q August 09, 2006

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

for the quarterly period ended value 307	2000
OR	
( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	THE SECURITIES
For the transition period from: to	
Commission File Number 001-13937	
ANTHRACITE CAPITAL, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in it	s charter)
Maryland	13-3978906
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York	10022
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
(Registrant's telephone number including area code)	: (212) 810-3333

## NOT APPLICABLE

-----

(Former name, former address, and for new fiscal year; if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

(1) Yes X No (2) Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  $|\_|$  Accelerated filer |X| Non-accelerated filer  $|\_|$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

(1) Yes \_ No X \_

At August 9, 2006, 57,096,618 shares of common stock (\$.001 par value per share) were outstanding.

1

# ANTHRACITE CAPITAL, INC. FORM 10-Q INDEX

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited) At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
Part II - OTH	ER INFORMATION
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings
Item 1A.	Risk Factors
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
Item 5.	Other Information

item 6.	EXNIDITS
SIGNATURES	

2

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Anthracite Capital, Inc. is a real estate finance company focused on investments in high yield commercial real estate loans and related securities. Anthracite is externally managed by BlackRock Financial Management, Inc., which is a subsidiary of BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") (NYSE:BLK), one of the largest publicly traded investment management firms in the United States with approximately \$464.1 billion in global assets under management at June 30, 2006. BlackRock Realty Advisors, Inc., another subsidiary of BlackRock, provides real estate equity and other real estate-related products and services in a variety of strategies to meet the needs of institutional investors. BlackRock is a member of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. ("PNC") (NYSE:PNC), a diversified financial services organization. Through its affiliates, PNC originates commercial, multifamily and residential real estate loans, and services \$173.7 billion in commercial mortgage loans for third parties through its Midland Loan Services, Inc. subsidiary at June 30, 2006.

#### Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained herein constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to future financial or business performance, strategies or expectations. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words or phrases such as "trend," "potential," "opportunity," "pipeline," "believe," "comfortable," "expect," "anticipate," "current," "intention," "estimate," "position," "assume," "outlook," "continue," "remain," "maintain," "sustain," "seek," "achieve," and similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could," "may" or similar expressions. Anthracite cautions that forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, which change over time. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Anthracite assumes no duty to and does not undertake to update forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements and future results could differ materially from historical performance.

In addition to factors previously disclosed in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") reports and those identified elsewhere in this report, the following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements or historical performance:

- (1) the introduction, withdrawal, success and timing of business initiatives and strategies;
- (2) changes in political, economic or industry conditions, the interest rate environment or financial and capital markets, which could result in changes in the value of Anthracite's assets;
- (3) the relative and absolute investment performance and operations of BlackRock;
- (4) the impact of increased competition;
- (5) the impact of capital improvement projects;
- (6) the impact of future acquisitions or divestitures;
- (7) the unfavorable resolution of legal proceedings;
- (8) the extent and timing of any share repurchases;

- (9) the impact, extent and timing of technological changes and the adequacy of intellectual property protection;
- (10) the impact of legislative and regulatory actions and reforms and regulatory, supervisory or enforcement actions of government agencies relating to Anthracite, BlackRock or PNC;
- (11) terrorist activities and international hostilities, which may adversely affect the general economy, domestic and global financial and capital markets, specific industries, and Anthracite;
- (12) the ability of BlackRock to attract and retain highly talented professionals;

3

- (13) fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;
- (14) the impact of changes to tax legislation and, generally, the tax position of the Company;
- (15) the ability of BlackRock to successfully integrate the business of Merrill Lynch Investment Managers (MLIM) with its existing business following the closing of its pending transaction with Merrill Lynch;
- (16) the ability of BlackRock to effectively manage the former MLIM assets along with its historical assets under management; and
- (17) the ability of BlackRock to complete the transaction with Merrill Lynch.

Anthracite's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and Anthracite's subsequent reports filed with the SEC, accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov, identify additional factors that can affect forward-looking statements.

4

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Financial Statements

Anthracite Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

7 ... 20 ... 200

June 30, 2006 ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents \$31,211 Restricted cash equivalents 53,647 Securities available-for-sale, at fair value: Subordinated commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") \$802,625 Investment grade CMBS 1,464,642 Residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") 155,751 \_\_\_\_\_ Total securities available-for-sale 2,423,018 Commercial mortgage loan pools, at amortized cost 1,281,946

Securities held-for-trading, at estimated fair value CMBS RMBS	21,079 146,201	
Total securities held-for-trading		
Commercial mortgage loans, net Equity investments Interest rate swap agreements, at fair value Receivable for investments sold Other assets	_	167,280 435,713 167,951 68,631 21,372 53,973
Total Assets	=:	\$4,704,742 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities: Borrowings:  Collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") Secured by pledge of subordinated CMBS Secured by pledge of other securities available-for-sale Secured by pledge of commercial mortgage loan pools Secured by pledge of securities held-for-trading Secured by pledge of commercial mortgage loans Junior subordinated notes to subsidiary trust issuing preferred securities	\$1,483,078 36,366 800,749 1,268,315 140,808 119,259	
Total borrowings Payable for investments purchased Distributions payable Interest rate swap agreements, at fair value Other liabilities		\$4,029,052 11,996 17,456 67 25,725
Total Liabilities		4,084,296
Commitments and Contingencies Stockholders' Equity: Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 400,000 shares authorized; 57,097 shares issued and outstanding in 2006; 56,339 shares issued and outstanding in 2005 9.375% Series C Preferred stock, liquidation preference \$57,500 Additional paid-in capital Distributions in excess of earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income		57 55,435 620,419 (127,424 71,959
Total Stockholders' Equity		620,446
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		\$4,704,742

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30		For
	2006	2005	20
<pre>Income:</pre>			
Interest from securities available-for-sale	\$43,080	\$34,203	
Interest from commercial mortgage loans	8 <b>,</b> 973	4,937	
Interest from commercial mortgage loan pools	13,287	•	
Interest from securities held-for-trading	· ·	2,090	
Earnings from equity investments	9,674	3,024	
Earnings from real estate joint ventures	-	200	
Interest from cash and cash equivalents	580	266	
Total income	77,441	58 <b>,</b> 125	
Expenses:			
Interest	49,529	37,418	
Interest - securities held-for-trading	1,829	1,552	
Management and incentive fees	5,503	2,661	
General and administrative expense	1,135	938	
Total expenses	57,996	42,569	
Other gains (losses): Gain (loss) on sale of securities available-for-sale, net	(93)	47	
Gain (loss) on securities held-for-trading, net	1,365	(1,306)	
Foreign currency gain (loss)	271	(176)	
Loss on impairment of assets	(4,653)	(3,072)	
Total other losses	(3,110)	(4,507)	
Income from Continuing Operations	16,335	11,049	
Income from Discontinued Operations	1,366		
Theome 110m biscontinued operations			
Net income	17,701	11,049	
Dividends on preferred stock	1,348	1,348	
Net income available to common stockholders		\$9,701	
Net income per common share, basic:	\$0.29	\$0.18	
Net income per common share, diluted:	\$0.29	\$0.18	======

6

<pre>Income from continuing operations per share   of common stock, after preferred dividends</pre>		
Basic	\$0.26	\$0.18
Diluted	\$0.26	\$0.18
Income from discontinued operations per share of common stock		
Basic	\$0.03	_
Diluted	\$0.03	-
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:		
Basic	57 <b>,</b> 066	53,302
Diluted	57 <b>,</b> 355	53,311
Dividend declared per share of Common Stock	\$0.29	\$0.28

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

7

Anthracite Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 (in thousands)

Dividends declared-common stock

(III thousands)					
	Stock,		Paid-In	Distribution In Excess Of Earnings	Comprehensive
Balance at January 1, 2006	\$56	\$55 <b>,</b> 435	\$612 <b>,</b> 368	\$(130,038)	\$60 <b>,</b> 197
Net income				37,842	
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges					58,855
Reclassification of losses from cash flow hedges included in net income					2,770
Change in net unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of reclassification adjustment					(49,863)
Other comprehensive income					
Comprehensive income					

(32,532)

Dividends declared - preferred stock				(2,696)		
Issuance of common stock	1		8 <b>,</b> 051			
Balance at June 30, 2006	\$57 			\$(127,424) 		
Disclosure of reclassification adjust	ment:					
Unrealized holding loss Reclassification for realized gains p	reviously rec	orded as u	nrealized			
The accompanying notes are an integra	l part of the	se consoli	dated finar	icial stateme	nts.	
	8					
Anthracite Capital, Inc. and Subsidia Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)						
					Mont	the Sinder
Cash flows from operating activities	:					0-
Net income					\$	37,8
Adjustments to reconcile net income operating activities:	to net cash p	rovided by	(used in)			
Net decrease (purchase) in t	rading securi	ties				22,5
Net (gain) loss on sale of s	ecurities					(2,2
Gain on sale of real estate	held for sale					(1,3
Develope Comment to the control of	- 1					

Earnings from subsidiary trust

joint ventures

Discount accretion (net)

Loss on impairment of assets

Distributions from subsidiary trust

Earnings from equity investments and real estate joint ventures

Amortization of collateralized debt obligation issuance costs

Amortization of junior subordinated note issuance costs

Distributions of earnings from equity investments and real estate

8

(20

17

(19,01

8,65

1,17

(2,61)

5,43

Edgar Filing: ANTHRACITE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q	
Unrealized net foreign currency gain	(7,77
Proceeds from sale of interest rate swap agreements	15,10
Decrease (increase) in other assets	22,33
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(3,93
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	76,14
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	(506 <b>,</b> 06
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale	73 <b>,</b> 56
Principal payments received on securities available-for-sale	24,64
Funding of commercial mortgage loans	(123,77
Repayments received from commercial mortgage loans	65 <b>,</b> 98
Repayments received from commercial mortgage loan pools	4,34
Purchase of real estate held-for-sale	(5,43
Proceeds from sale of real estate held-for-sale	6,80
Increase in restricted cash equivalents	(52,40
Return of capital from equity investments and joint ventures	14,74
Investment in equity investments	(55 <b>,</b> 99
Net cash used in investing activities	(553,59
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Net (decrease) increase in borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements and credit facilities	(5,65
9	

Repayments of borrowings secured by commercial mortgage loan pools	(3,99
Issuance of collateralized debt obligations	417,00
Issuance costs for collateralized debt obligations	(7,05
Repayments of collateralized debt obligations	(1,35
Issuance of junior subordinated notes to subsidiary trust	100,00
Issuance costs of junior subordinated notes	(3,14
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(2 <b>,</b> 69

Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of offering costs		6,76
Dividends paid on common stock		(31,74
Net cash provided by financing activities		468,11
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(9,34
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		40,55
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ =======	31,21
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:    Interest paid    Investments purchased not settled	\$ ====== \$	11,99
Investments sold not settled	======= \$ =======	21,37
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Investments in subsidiary trusts	\$	3,09
Incentive fees paid by the issuance of common stock	\$ =======	1,28

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

10

Anthracite Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) (Dollar amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

#### Note 1 ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Anthracite Capital, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and its subsidiaries (the "Company") is a real estate finance company that primarily generates income based on the spread between the interest income, gains and net operating income on its commercial real estate assets and the interest expense from borrowings to finance its investments. The Company seeks to earn high returns on a risk-adjusted basis to support a consistent quarterly dividend. The Company has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and, therefore, its income is largely exempt from corporate taxation. The Company commenced operations on March 24, 1998.

The Company's ongoing investment activities primarily encompass three core investment activities:

- 1) Commercial Real Estate Securities
- 2) Commercial Real Estate Loans
- 3) Commercial Real Estate Equity

The accompanying June 30, 2006 unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10, Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X for interim financial statements. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and changes in cash flows have been made. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the statements of financial condition and revenues and expenses for the periods covered. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. Significant estimates in the financial statements include the valuation of certain of the Company's mortgage-backed securities and certain other investments.

Recent Accounting Developments

Accounting Changes and Corrections

In June 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections ("SFAS No. 154"). SFAS

11

No. 154 replaces Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS No. 154 requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively with all prior period financial statements presented in accordance with the new accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 also requires that a change in the method of depreciating or amortizing a long-lived non-financial asset be accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate, and correction of errors in previously issued financial statements should be termed "restatements." SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The implementation of SFAS No. 154 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Stock Based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment. This statement is a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and superseded APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. This statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services, primarily focusing on the accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. Entities will be required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service (usually the vesting

period) in exchange for the award. The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models. If an equity award is modified after the grant date, incremental compensation cost will be recognized in an amount equal to the excess of the fair value of the modified award over the fair value of the original award immediately before the modification. As amended by Rule 4-01(a) of Regulation S-X promulgated by the SEC, this statement is effective as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period of the Company's first fiscal year beginning on or after December 15, 2005. The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R, as amended, effective January 1, 2006 with no impact on the consolidated financial statements as there are no unvested options as of December 31, 2005 and the Company applied the fair value method to all options issued after January 1, 2003.

#### Reverse Repurchase Agreements

The FASB has placed an item on its agenda relating to the treatment of transactions where mortgage-backed securities purchased from a particular counterparty are financed via a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty. Currently, the Company records such assets and the related financing gross on the consolidated statement of financial condition, and the corresponding interest income and interest expense gross on the consolidated statement of operations. Any change in fair value of the security is reported through other comprehensive income pursuant to SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, because the security is classified as available-for-sale. However, in a transaction where the mortgage-backed securities are acquired from and financed under a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty, the acquisition may not qualify as a sale from the seller's perspective under the provisions of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. In such cases, the seller may be required to continue to

12

consolidate the assets sold to the Company, based on their continuing involvement with such investments. Depending on the ultimate outcome of the FASB deliberations, the Company may be precluded from presenting the assets gross on the Company's balance sheet and should instead be treating the Company's net investment in such assets as a derivative. If it is determined that these transactions should be treated as investments in derivatives, the derivative instruments entered into by the Company to hedge the Company's interest rate exposure with respect to the borrowings under the associated repurchase agreements may no longer qualify for hedge accounting, and would then, as with the underlying asset transactions, also be marked to market through the income statement. This potential change in accounting treatment does not affect the economics of the transactions but does affect how the transactions would be reported on the consolidated financial statements. The Company's cash flows, liquidity and ability to pay a dividend would be unchanged, and the Company does not believe its REIT taxable income or REIT status would be affected. The Company's net equity would not be materially affected. At June 30, 2006, the Company has identified available-for-sale securities with a fair value of approximately \$207,985 which had been purchased from and financed with reverse repurchase agreements totaling approximately \$142,719 with the same counterparty since their purchase. If the Company were to change the current accounting treatment for these transactions at June 30, 2006, total assets and total liabilities would be reduced by approximately \$142,719.

Impairment of Investments

In November 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FAS 115-1/124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, which provides guidance for determining when impairment charges should be taken on certain debt and equity securities. FSP FAS 115-1/124-1 requires that debt and equity securities subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and equity securities subject to the provisions of APB Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock, but which are not accounted for under the equity method (i.e., securities accounted for under the cost method) shall be reviewed for impairment when circumstances warrant. For securities subject to SFAS No. 115, a review for other-than-temporary impairments shall occur in each accounting period where the fair value of the security is less than its cost. For securities subject to APB Opinion No. 18, a review for other-than-temporary impairments shall occur in each accounting period where a) circumstances indicate that impairment may exist and b) the fair value of the security is less than its carrying value. The provisions of the FSP were required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP FAS 115-1/124-1 on January 1, 2006 had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. The Statement provides, among other things, that:

13

- o Permits fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation.
- o Concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not considered embedded derivatives.
- o Clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133.

SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to remeasurement after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Upon adoption, differences between the total carrying amount of the individual components of an existing bifurcated hybrid financial instrument and the fair value of the combined hybrid financial instrument should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. Prior periods should not be restated. The Company intends to adopt the Statement on January 1, 2007 and does not expect the impact of adoption to be material to its consolidated financial statements.

Determining the Variability in a Potential VIE

The FASB issued FASB Staff Position FIN 46(R)-6, Determining the Variability to be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) ("FSP FIN 46(R)-6") in April 2006. FSP FIN 46(R)-6 addresses the application of FIN 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, in determining whether certain contracts or arrangements with a variable interest entity ("VIE") are variable interests by requiring companies to base such evaluations on an analysis of the VIE's purpose and design, rather than its legal form or accounting classification.

FSP FIN 46(R)-6 is required to be applied for all reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2006. While the Company is still evaluating the impact of this FSP, the adoption of FIN 42(R)-6 is not expected to result in material differences from the Company's existing accounting policies regarding the consolidation of VIEs.

#### Reclassifications

Certain items previously reported have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

#### Note 2 NET INCOME PER SHARE

Net income per share is computed in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. Basic income per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period plus the additional dilutive effect, if any, of common stock equivalents. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options is calculated using the treasury stock method.

14

	For the Thr Ended Ju 2006	ine 30,
Numerator:		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$16,353	\$9,701
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$16 <b>,</b> 353	\$9,701
Denominator:  Denominator for basic earnings per shareweighted average common shares Outstanding Dilutive effect of stock options Dilutive effect of stock based incentive fee  Denominator for diluted earnings per shareweighted average common shares outstanding and common stock equivalents outstanding	3,741 285,483	53,310,969
Basic net income per weighted average common share:	\$0.29	\$0.18
Diluted net income per weighted average common share and common share equivalents:	•	\$0.18

Total anti-dilutive stock options excluded from the calculation of net income per share were 1,384,151 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Total anti-dilutive stock options excluded from the calculation of net income per share were 1,384,151 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

#### Note 3 SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The Company's securities available-for-sale are carried at estimated fair value. The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2006 are summarized as follows:

Security Description	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain
CMDC		
CMBS: CMBS interest only securities ("IOs")	\$90,315	\$737
<b>-</b>	708,573	36,764
Investment grade CMBS	•	•
Non-investment grade rated subordinated securities	598 <b>,</b> 849	51,630
Non-rated subordinated securities	46,798	3,208
Credit tenant leases	24,720	518
Investment grade REIT debt	229,497	3,162
Multifamily agency securities	413,876	_
CDO investments	116,752	2,545
Total CMBS	2,229,380	98,564

15

2,006	21
143,687	67
13,893	_
159,586 	
\$2,388,966 	\$98 <b>,</b> 652
	143,687 13,893 

At June 30, 2006, the Company's securities available-for-sale included non-U.S. dollar denominated assets with an estimated fair value of \$105,828.

At June 30, 2006, an aggregate of \$2,284,860 in estimated fair value of the Company's securities available-for-sale was pledged to secure its collateralized borrowings.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company sold three securities classified as available for sale. Total proceeds from the sales were \$73,563. The sales resulted in a gain of \$1,142 from two securities and a loss of \$1,202 on the third security sold. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company realized a gain of \$57 on securities available-for-sale.

The following table shows the Company's estimated fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2006.

	Less than 12 Months		12 Month	ns or More
	Fair	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair	Unrealized
CMBS IOs Investment grade CMBS Non-investment grade rated	•	\$(1,263) (4,660)	•	
3	215,202 17,934	(10,025) (1,621)	19 <b>,</b> 742 -	(2,302)
Credit tenant leases Investment grade REIT debt Multifamily agency securities	57,554 207,198			, ,
CDO investments Agency adjustable rate securities	34,959	(3,209)	•	(±0 <b>,</b> 727) -
Residential CMOs Hybrid ARMs	139 <b>,</b> 808 -	(3,420)	- 13,390	(503)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$868,789	\$ (33,106)	\$427,534	\$ (31,494)

The temporary impairment of the available-for-sale securities results from the estimated fair value of the securities falling below the amortized cost basis. These unrealized losses are primarily the result of market factors other than credit impairment and the Company believes the carrying value of the securities are fully recoverable over their expected holding period. Management possesses both the

16

intent and the ability to hold the securities until maturity, allowing for the anticipated recovery in estimated fair value of the securities held. As such, management does not believe any of the securities held are other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2006.

At June 30, 2006, the anticipated weighted average yield to maturity based upon the amortized cost of the Company's securities available-for-sale ("reported yield") was 7.6% per annum. At June 30, 2006, the anticipated weighted average yields of the Company's subordinated CMBS and investment grade securities available-for-sale were 10.8% and 6.1%, respectively. The Company's anticipated yields on its subordinated CMBS and other securities available-for-sale are based upon a number of assumptions that are subject to certain business and

economic uncertainties and contingencies. Examples of these include, among other things, the rate and timing of principal payments (including prepayments, repurchases, defaults, and liquidations and related expenses), the pass-through or coupon rate, and interest rate fluctuations. The Company considers the CMBS securities where it maintains the right to influence the foreclosure/workout process on the underlying loans to be its controlling class CMBS ("Controlling Class"). Additional factors that may affect the Company's anticipated yields to maturity on its Controlling Class CMBS include interest payment shortfalls due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans, and the timing and magnitude of credit losses on the mortgage loans underlying the Controlling Class CMBS that are a result of the general condition of the real estate market (including competition for tenants and their related credit quality) and changes in market rental rates. As these uncertainties and contingencies are difficult to predict and are subject to future events which may alter these assumptions, no assurance can be given that the anticipated yields to maturity, discussed above and elsewhere, will be achieved.

#### Note 4 IMPAIRMENTS - CMBS

The Company updates its estimated cash flows for securities subject to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets ("EITF 99-20") on a quarterly basis. The Company compares the yields resulting from the updated cash flows to the current accrual yields. An impairment charge is required under EITF 99-20 if the updated yield is lower than the current accrual yield and the security has a market value less than its adjusted purchase price. The Company carries all these securities at their market value on its consolidated statement of financial condition.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company had eight CMBS that required an impairment charge of \$5,434, of which \$4,226 was attributed to higher prepayment rates on a pool of Small Business Administration commercial mortgages. The decline in the updated yields that caused the remaining impairment charge of \$1,208 is not related to increases in losses but rather accelerated prepayments and changes in the timing of credit losses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company had two CMBS that required an impairment charge of \$3,231, of which \$3,072 was attributable to the Company increasing its underlying loan loss expectations on a 1998 vintage CMBS. The remaining impairment charge of \$159 was not related to an increase in losses but rather a change in prepayment assumptions.

17

#### Note 5 COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE LOAN POOLS

During the second quarter of 2004, the Company acquired subordinated CMBS in a trust establishing a Controlling Class interest. The Company negotiated for and obtained a greater degree of influence over the disposition of the commercial mortgage loans than is typically granted to the special servicer. As a result of this expanded influence, the trust was not a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") and FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003) ("FIN 46R") required the Company to consolidate the net assets and results of operations of the trust.

Approximately 45% of the par amount of the commercial mortgage loan pool is comprised of investment grade loans and the remaining 55% are unrated. For income recognition purposes, the Company considers the investment grade and unrated commercial mortgage loans in the pool as single assets reflecting the

credit assumptions made in establishing loss adjusted yields for Controlling Class securities. The Company has taken into account the credit quality of the underlying loans in formulating its loss assumptions. Credit losses assumed on the entire pool are 1.40% of the principal balance, or 2.53% of the unrated principal balance.

Over the life of the commercial mortgage loan pools, the Company reviews and updates its loss assumptions to determine the impact on expected cash flows to be collected. A decrease in estimated cash flows will reduce the amount of interest income recognized in future periods and may result in a loan loss reserve depending upon the severity of the cash flow reductions. An increase in estimated cash flows will first reduce the loan loss reserve and any additional cash will increase the amount of interest income recorded in future periods.

#### Note 6 SECURITIES HELD-FOR-TRADING

The Company's securities held-for-trading are carried at estimated fair value. At June 30, 2006, the Company's securities held-for-trading consisted of FNMA Mortgage Pools with an estimated fair value of \$146,201 and subordinated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$21,079. The FNMA Mortgage Pools, and the underlying mortgages, bear interest at fixed rates for specified periods, generally three to seven years, after which the rates are periodically reset to market.

#### Note 7 EQUITY INVESTMENTS

The Company has a \$100,000 commitment to acquire shares of BlackRock Diamond Property Fund ("BlackRock Diamond"). At June 30, 2006, 86.3% of the commitment has been called and the Company owned approximately 25.5% of BlackRock Diamond. The Company's investment in BlackRock Diamond at June 30, 2006 was \$96,962.

18

The Company recorded \$11,697 of income related to its ownership in BlackRock Diamond for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as reported by BlackRock Diamond. Of the \$11,697 in income, \$652 represented current income and \$11,045 represented unrealized capital appreciation. To date, the Company has invested an aggregate of \$86,272, which represents a 25.5% interest in BlackRock Diamond, and has remaining capital commitments totaling \$13,728. At June 30, 2006, BlackRock Diamond's portfolio consisted of nineteen assets with a total market value of approximately \$460,400. BlackRock Diamond carries its real estate investments at estimated fair values based upon valuations performed internally and upon appraisal reports prepared annually by independent real estate appraisers. The estimated fair values of real estate may differ significantly from those that could be realized if the real estate were actually offered for sale in the marketplace.

The Company entered into a \$50,000 commitment on July 20, 2001 to acquire shares in Carbon Capital, Inc. ("Carbon I"). On July 12, 2004, Carbon I's investment period expired. The Company's investment in Carbon I at June 30, 2006 was \$3,265. At June 30, 2006, the Company owned approximately 20% of Carbon I.

The Company entered into an aggregate commitment of \$100,000 to acquire shares in Carbon Capital II, Inc. ("Carbon II"). The Company's investment in Carbon II at June 30, 2006 was \$63,874. The Company's remaining commitment at June 30, 2006 was \$39,472. At June 30, 2006, the Company owned approximately 26% of Carbon II.

On December 22, 2005, the Company entered into an \$11,000 commitment to acquire shares of Dynamic India Fund IV. At June 30, 2006, 35% of the commitment has been called. The Company's investment in Dynamic India Fund IV at June 30, 2006 was \$3,850. The Company's remaining commitment at June 30, 2006 was \$7,150.

#### Note 8 REAL ESTATE, HELD-FOR-SALE

SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets specifies that long-lives assets to be disposed by sale, which meet certain criteria, should be classified as real estate held-for-sale and measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less costs of sale. In addition, depreciation is not recorded for real estate held-for-sale.

On March 6, 2006, the Company purchased a defaulted loan from a Controlling Class CMBS trust. The loan was secured by a first mortgage on a multi-family property in Texas. Subsequent to the loan purchase, the property was acquired by the Company at foreclosure. The Company sold the property during the second quarter of 2006 and recorded a gain from discontinued operations of \$1,366 on the consolidated statement of operations.

#### Note 9 BORROWINGS

The Company's borrowings consist of reverse repurchase agreements, credit facilities, commercial mortgage loan pools, CDOs and trust preferred securities.

19

Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at June 30, 2006 is summarized as follows:

	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	Credit Facilities	Commercial Mortgage Loan Pools	Collateralized Debt Obligations	Trust Preferred Securities
Outstanding borrowings	\$895 <b>,</b> 236	\$208 <b>,</b> 272	\$1,261,989	\$1,483,078	\$180 <b>,</b> 47
Weighted average borrowing rate Weighted average remaining	5.27%	5.11%	3.79%	6.04%	7.64
maturity	25 days	1.53 years	6.33 years	7.37 years	29.60 year
Estimated fair value of assets pledged	\$948,602	\$277 <b>,</b> 168	\$1,281,946	\$1,628,189	

At June 30, 2006, the Company's borrowings had the following remaining maturities:

Reverse		Commercial		
Repurchase	Credit	Mortgage Loan	Collateralized	Trust Pr
Agreements	Facilities	Pools	Debt Obligations*	Secur

Within 30 days	\$861 <b>,</b> 520	\$-	\$-	\$-	
31 to 59 days	22,164	_	_	_	,
60 days to less than 1 year	11,552	39 <b>,</b> 772	_	-	,
1 year to 3 years	_	168,500	_	_	,
3 years to 5 years	_	_	_	_	
Over 5 years	_	_	1,261,989	1,483,078	180
	\$895 <b>,</b> 236	\$208 <b>,</b> 272	\$1,261,989	\$1,483,078	\$180
====					

\* At June 30, 2006, collateralized debt obligations are comprised of \$418,809 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 5.79 years, \$292,358 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 6.16 years, \$367,007 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 6.89 years, and \$416,935 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 10.18 years.

Reverse Repurchase Agreements and Credit Facilities

On February 16, 2006, the Company entered into a \$200,000 committed non-U.S. dollar credit facility with Morgan Stanley Mortgage Servicing, Inc. which matures in February 2008. Outstanding borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at a LIBOR based variable rate. At June 30, 2006, there were \$35,604 of borrowings under this facility.

On March 17, 2006, the Company entered into a \$100,000 committed non-U.S. dollar credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. which matures in September 2008. Outstanding borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at a LIBOR based variable rate. At June 30, 2006, there were \$34,838 of borrowings under this facility.

20

Under the credit facilities and the reverse repurchase agreements, the respective lender retains the right to mark the underlying collateral to estimated fair value. A reduction in the estimated fair value of its pledged assets will require the Company to provide additional collateral or fund margin calls. From time to time, the Company expects that it will be required to provide such additional collateral or fund margin calls.

The Company is subject to various covenants in its credit facilities, including maintaining a minimum net worth measured on GAAP of \$400,000, a recourse debt-to-equity of 3.0 to 1, a minimum cash requirement based upon certain debt-to-equity ratios, a minimum recourse debt service coverage ratio of 1.75 and a minimum liquidity reserve of \$10,000. At June 30, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all covenants in its credit facilities.

CDOs

On May 23, 2006, the Company closed its sixth CDO issuance ("CDO HY3") resulting in the issuance of \$417,000 of non-recourse debt to investors. The debt is secured by a portfolio of CMBS and subordinated commercial real estate loans. This debt, rated AAA through BBB-, was privately placed, and the Company retained additional CDO debt rated BB and 100% of the preferred shares issued by the CDO.

In accordance with the terms of this offering, the Company is expected to contribute to the CDO up to \$50,000 of additional CMBS during a ramp-up period

ending in October 2006. The additional CMBS will be contributed at face value. The debt issuance match funded existing Company assets that were contributed to the CDO at closing as well as the assets to be purchased during the ramp-up period, with long-term liabilities. The Company accounts for this transaction as a financing. All debt placed has legal final maturity of May 2051 but an assumed weighted average life of 8.1 years. Including interest rate hedges, this debt had a cost of funds of approximately 6.3% for the second quarter of 2006 after issuance expenses.

#### Trust Preferred

On January 31, 2006, the Company issued \$50,000 of trust preferred securities through its wholly owned subsidiary, Anthracite Capital Trust II, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust II"). The trust preferred securities have a thirty-year term ending April 30, 2036 with interest at a fixed rate of 7.73% for the first ten years and at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.7% thereafter. The trust preferred securities can be redeemed at par by the Company beginning in April 2011. Trust II issued \$1,550 aggregate liquidation amount of common securities, representing 100% of the voting common stock of Trust II to the Company for a purchase price of \$1,550. The Company realized net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$48,491.

On March 16, 2006, the Company issued \$50,000 of trust preferred securities through its wholly owned subsidiary, Anthracite Capital Trust III, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust III" and collectively with Trust II, the "Trusts"). The trust preferred securities have a thirty-year term ending March 15, 2036 with interest at a fixed rate of 7.77% for the first ten years and at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.7% thereafter. The trust preferred securities can be redeemed at par by the Company

21

beginning in March 2011. Trust III issued \$1,547 aggregate liquidation amount of common securities, representing 100% of the voting common stock of Trust III to the Company for a purchase price of \$1,547. The Company realized net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$48,435.

The Trusts used the proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities and the common securities to purchase the Company's junior subordinated notes. The terms of the junior subordinated notes match the terms of the trust preferred securities. The notes are subordinate and junior in right of payment to all present and future senior indebtedness and certain other of our financial obligations.

The Company's interests in the Trusts are accounted for using the equity method and the assets and liabilities of the Trusts are not consolidated into the Company's financial statements. Interest on the junior subordinated notes is included in interest expense on the consolidated statement of operations while the common securities are included as a component of other assets on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition.

#### Note 10 COMMON STOCK

Pursuant to its 2006 Management Agreement (defined below), 30% of the incentive fees earned in 2005 or after may be paid in shares of the Company's Common Stock. On March 20, 2006 the Company issued 117,679 shares of Common Stock related to \$1,287 of fourth quarter 2005 incentive fees. On June 7, 2006, the Company issued 33,183 shares of Common Stock related to \$351 of first quarter

2006 incentive fees. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements, Transactions with Affiliates, for further discussion of the Company's Management Agreement.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company issued 590,216 shares of Common Stock under its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the "Dividend Reinvestment Plan"). Net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$6,270. For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company issued 16,230 shares of Common Stock under its Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$184. As of February 24, 2006, the Company suspended the Dividend Reinvestment Plan for all future investments dates.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, 17,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$8.44 per share were exercised pursuant to the Company's stock option plan (the "1998 Stock Option Plan"). Net proceeds to the Company were \$143.

On May 18, 2006, the Company declared dividends to its common stockholders of \$0.29 per share, payable on July 31, 2006 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2006. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, the dividends are expected to be ordinary income to the Company's stockholders.

#### Note 11 TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

The Company has a Management Agreement with the Manager, a majority owned indirect subsidiary of

22

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and the employer of certain directors and all of the officers of the Company, under which the Manager manages the Company's day-to-day operations, subject to the direction and oversight of the Company's Board of Directors ("Management Agreement"). Pursuant to the Management Agreement, the Manager formulates investment strategies, arranges for the acquisition of assets, arranges for financing, monitors the performance of the Company's assets and provides certain other advisory and managerial services in connection with the operations of the Company. For performing these services, the Company pays the Manager a base management fee equal to 2.0% of the quarterly average total stockholders' equity for the applicable quarter.

To provide an incentive, the Manager is entitled to receive an incentive fee equal to 25% of the amount by which the rolling four-quarter GAAP net income before the incentive fee exceeds the greater of 8.5% or 400 basis points over the ten-year Treasury note multiplied by the adjusted per share issue price of the common stock (\$11.35 per common share at June 30, 2006).

The Company's unaffiliated directors approved an extension of the Management Agreement to March 31, 2007 at the Board of Directors' February 2006 meeting. Additionally, pursuant to a resolution of the Company's Board of Directors adopted at the February 2006 meeting, 30% of the incentive fees earned in 2005 or after may be paid in shares of the Company's Common Stock subject to certain provisions. The Board of Directors also authorized a stock based incentive plan where one half of one percent of common shares outstanding will be paid to the Manager in 2006. The Company recognized an expense of \$856 related to the deferred shares of Common Stock to be issued under the stock-based incentive plan.

The Company incurred \$3,109 and \$6,160 in base management fees in accordance with the terms of the Management Agreement for the three and six months ended

June 30, 2006, respectively, and \$2,661 and \$5,240 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The Company incurred \$1,538 and \$2,708 in incentive fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The Company did not incur incentive fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, management and incentive fees of \$4,422 and \$2,477 are payable to the Manager and are included on the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition as a component of other liabilities. In accordance with the provisions of the Management Agreement, the Company recorded reimbursements to the Manager of \$100 and \$200 for certain expenses incurred on behalf of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, and \$40 and \$80 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, which are included in general and administrative expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The Company has administration and investment accounting agreements with the Manager. Under the terms of the administration agreement, the Manager provides financial reporting, audit coordination and accounting oversight services to the Company. Under the terms of the investment accounting agreement, the Manager provides investment accounting services to the Company. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded administration and investment accounting fees of \$140 and \$115 respectively, which are included in general and administrative expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

23

The special servicer on 20 of the Company's 25 Controlling Class trusts is Midland Loan Services, Inc. ("Midland"), a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of PNC Bank, and therefore an affiliate of the Manager. The Company's fees for Midland's services are at market rates.

The Company has a \$100,000 commitment to acquire shares of BlackRock Diamond. BlackRock Diamond is a private REIT managed by BlackRock Realty Advisors, Inc., a subsidiary of the Manager. At June 30, 2006, 86.3% of the commitment has been called and the Company owned approximately 25.5% of BlackRock Diamond. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in BlackRock Diamond. The Company's investment in BlackRock Diamond at June 30, 2006 was \$96,962. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in September 2005.

During 2001, the Company entered into a \$50,000 commitment to acquire shares in Carbon I, a private commercial real estate income opportunity fund managed by the Manager. The Carbon I investment period ended on July 12, 2004 and the Company's investment in Carbon I at June 30, 2006 was \$3,265. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in Carbon I. On June 30, 2006, the Company owned approximately 20% of the outstanding shares in Carbon I. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in July 2001.

The Company entered into an aggregate commitment of \$100,000 to acquire shares in Carbon II, a private commercial real estate income opportunity fund managed by the Manager. At June 30, 2006, the Company's investment in Carbon II was \$63,874 and the Company's remaining commitment to Carbon II is \$39,472. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in Carbon II. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in September 2004.

During 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of CORE Cap, Inc. At the time of the CORE Cap, Inc. acquisition, the Manager agreed to pay GMAC (CORE

Cap, Inc.'s external advisor) \$12,500 over a ten-year period ("Installment Payment") to purchase the right to manage the CORE Cap, Inc. assets under the existing management contract ("GMAC Contract"). The GMAC Contract had to be terminated in order to allow the Company to complete the merger, as the Company's management agreement with the Manager did not provide for multiple managers. As a result the Manager offered to buy-out the GMAC Contract as the Manager estimated it would receive incremental fees above and beyond the Installment Payment, and thus was willing to pay for, and separately negotiate, the termination of the GMAC Contract. Accordingly, the value of the Installment Payment was not considered in the Company's allocation of its purchase price to the net assets acquired in the acquisition of CORE Cap, Inc. The Company agreed that should the Management Agreement with its Manager be terminated, not renewed or not extended for any reason other than for cause, the Company would pay to the Manager an amount equal to the Installment Payment less the sum of all payments made by the Manager to GMAC. At June 30, 2006, the Installment Payment would be \$4,000 payable over four years. The Company does not accrue for this contingent liability.

24

#### Note 12 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company accounts for its derivative investments under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities. All derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are required to be recorded on the consolidated statement of financial condition at estimated fair value. If the derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portions of change in the estimated fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income ("OCI") and are recognized in the income statement when the hedged item affects earnings. Ineffective portions of changes in the estimated fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative and of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings.

The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage exposure to variable cash flows on portions of its borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements and the floating rate debt of its CDOs and as trading derivatives intended to offset changes in estimated fair value related to securities held as trading assets. On the date in which the derivative contract is entered, the Company designates the derivative as either a cash flow hedge or a trading derivative.

Occasionally, counterparties will require the Company or the Company will require counterparties to provide collateral for the interest rate swap agreements in the form of margin deposits. Net deposits are recorded as a component of either other assets or other liabilities. Should the counterparty fail to return deposits paid, the Company would be at risk for the estimated fair value of that asset. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the balance of such net margin deposits held by the Company as collateral under these agreements totaled \$3,647 and \$1,246, respectively.

At June 30, 2006, the Company had interest rate swaps with notional amounts aggregating \$1,552,808 designated as cash flow hedges of borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements and the floating rate debt of its CDOs. Cash flow hedges with an estimated fair value of \$61,993 are included in other assets on the consolidated statement of financial condition and cash flow hedges with an estimated fair value of \$21 are included in other liabilities on the consolidated statement of financial condition. For the six months ended June

30, 2006, the net change in the estimated fair value of the interest rate swaps was an increase of \$59,430, of which \$575 was deemed ineffective and is included as a decrease of interest expense and \$58,855 was recorded as an addition to OCI. At June 30, 2006, the \$1,552,808 notional of swaps designated as cash flow hedges had a weighted average remaining term of 7.54 years.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company terminated nine of its interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$260,650 that were designated as a cash flow hedge of borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements. The Company will reclassify the \$14,357 gain in value incurred from OCI to interest expense over 9.1 years, which was the weighted average remaining term of the swaps at the time they were closed out. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, \$306 was reclassified as a decrease to interest expense and \$1,480 will be reclassified as a decrease to interest expense for the next twelve months. At June 30, 2006 the Company has, in aggregate, \$18,070 of net losses related to terminated

25

swaps in OCI. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006, \$1,267 was reclassified as an increase to interest expense and \$4,603 will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense for the next twelve months.

At June 30, 2006, the Company had interest rate swaps with notional amounts aggregating \$323,445 designated as trading derivatives. Trading derivatives with an estimated fair value of \$6,269 are included in other assets on the consolidated statement of financial condition and trading derivatives with an estimated fair value of \$46 are included in other liabilities on the consolidated statement of financial condition. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the change in estimated fair value for these trading derivatives was an increase of \$3,637 and is included as a reduction of loss on securities held-for-trading on the consolidated statement of operations. At June 30, 2006, the \$323,445 notional of swaps designated as trading derivatives had a weighted average remaining term of 6.24 years.

At June 30, 2006, the Company had a forward LIBOR cap with a notional amount of \$85,000 and an estimated fair value at June 30, 2006 of \$369 which is included in other assets, and the change in estimated fair value related to this derivative is included as a component of gain (loss) on securities held-for-trading on the consolidated statements of operations.

#### Foreign Currency

The U.S. dollar is considered the functional currency for the Company's international subsidiaries. Foreign currency transaction gains or losses related to the Company's non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities are recognized in the period incurred and are included in other gain (loss) on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company uses foreign currency forward commitments to hedge the Company's net foreign investments. Gains and losses on foreign currency forward commitments are included in other gain (loss) on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company recorded net foreign currency transaction gain of \$271 and \$315 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, and \$(176) and \$(344) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company also had foreign currency forward commitments with an estimated fair value of \$(156,580) and \$(55,390) included in other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All dollar figures expressed herein are expressed in thousands, except share or per share amounts or as otherwise noted.

#### I. General

Anthracite Capital, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and subsidiaries (the "Company") is a commercial real estate company that invests in commercial real estate opportunities on a global basis. The Company seeks to generate income from the spread between the interest income, gains and net operating income on its commercial real estate assets and the interest expense from borrowings to finance its investments. The Company's primary activity is investing in high yielding commercial real estate debt. The Company combines traditional real estate underwriting and capital markets expertise to maximize the opportunities arising from the continuing integration of these two disciplines. The Company focuses on acquiring pools of performing loans in the form of commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), issuing secured debt backed by CMBS and providing strategic capital for the commercial real estate industry in the form of mezzanine loan financing. The Company also began investing in diversified portfolios of commercial real estate in the United States during December 2005. The Company commenced operations on March 24, 1998.

The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "AHR". The Company's primary long-term objective is to distribute consistent dividends supported by earnings. The Company establishes its dividend by analyzing the long-term sustainability of earnings given existing market conditions and the current composition of its portfolio. This includes an analysis of the Company's credit loss assumptions, general level of interest rates, projected hedging costs and the estimated return potential of its real estate investments.

The Company is managed by BlackRock Financial Management, Inc. (the "Manager"), a subsidiary of BlackRock, Inc., a publicly traded (NYSE:BLK) asset management company with approximately \$464 billion of assets under management, including more than \$10 billion in real estate equity and debt at June 30, 2006. The Manager provides an operating platform that incorporates significant asset origination, risk management, operational and property management capabilities.

The Company's ongoing investment activities primarily encompass three core investment activities:

- 1) Commercial Real Estate Securities
- 2) Commercial Real Estate Loans
- 3) Commercial Real Estate Equity

The commercial real estate securities portfolio provides diversification and high yields that are adjusted for anticipated losses over a period of time (typically, a ten-year weighted average life) and can be financed through the issuance of secured debt that matches the life of the investment. Commercial real estate loans provide attractive risk adjusted returns over shorter periods of time through strategic investments in specific property types or regions. The Company's equity strategy is to invest in a diverse portfolio of commercial real estate with the objective of repositioning the property to maximize its value.

The return objective is to provide strong returns over a medium term period of four to seven years through a combination of real estate operating income and capital gains. It is expected that, over the short term, current returns will fluctuate as gains and losses are reported based on a valuation process each quarter. The Company believes that the combination of these activities will result in a strong, sustainable dividend stream for its shareholders.

The Company's fixed income investment activity continues to be managed to maintain a positive, though controlled, exposure to both long- and short-term interest rates through its active hedging strategies. See "Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for a discussion of interest rates and their effect on earnings and book value.

The following table illustrates the mix of the Company's asset types at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Carrying Value at					
	June 30, 2006		December 31,	2005		
	Amount	%	Amount	્ર		
<del></del>						
Commercial real estate securities	\$2,288,346	51.1%	\$2,005,383	49.7%		
Commercial mortgage loan pools(1)	1,281,946	28.6	1,292,407	32.0		
Commercial real estate loans((2))	502 <b>,</b> 852	11.2	425,453	10.6		
Commercial real estate equity	100,812	2.3	51,003	1.3		
Total commercial real estate assets	4,173,956	93.2	3,774,246	93.6		
Residential mortgage-backed securities	301,952	6.8	259 <b>,</b> 026	6.4		
Total	\$4,475,908	100.0%	\$4,033,272	100.0%		

- (1) Represents a Controlling Class CMBS that is consolidated for accounting purposes. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Includes the Company's investments in Carbon Capital, Inc. ("Carbon I") and Carbon Capital II, Inc. ("Carbon II", and collectively with Carbon I, the "Carbon Capital Funds") at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company purchased a total of \$527,169 of commercial real estate assets. Included in this amount is \$158,665 of non-U.S. dollar denominated assets as the Company continues to expand its global investment activities. Commercial real estate assets purchased were comprised of: \$209,724 of CMBS, \$148,743 of multifamily agency securities, \$4,939 of investment grade real estate investment trust ("REIT") debt, \$124,484 of commercial real estate loans and \$39,279 of real estate equity. In addition, the Company purchased \$142,767 of investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities.

Summary of Commercial Real Estate Assets

A summary of the Company's commercial real estate assets with estimated fair values in local currencies at June 30, 2006 is as follows:

	Commercial Real Estate Securities	Commercial Real Estate Loans(1)	Commercial Real Estate Equity	Commercial Mortgage Loan Pools	Total Commercial Real Estate Assets	C Re As
USD	\$2,182,518	\$293,445	\$96 <b>,</b> 962	\$1,281,946	\$3,854,871	\$
GBP	(pound) 21, 122	(pound) 50, 355	_	_	(pound) 71,477	P
EURO	(euro)52,259	(euro)91,022	_	-	(euro)143,281	,
Indian Rupees		_	Rs179,669	_	Rs179,669	
Total USD						
Equivalent	\$2,288,346	\$502 <b>,</b> 852	\$100,812	\$1,281,946	\$4,173,956	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the Company's investments in the Carbon Capital Funds at June 30, 2006.

A summary of the Company's commercial real estate assets with estimated fair values in local currencies at December 31, 2005 is as follows:

	Commercial Real Estate Securities	Commercial Real Estate Loans(1)	Commercial Real Estate Equity	Commercial Mortgage Loan Pools	Total Commercial Real Estate Assets	C Re As
USD GBP EURO	\$1,968,063 (pound)16,334 (euro)7,809	\$295,499 (pound)33,139 (euro)61,642	\$51,003 - -	\$1,292,407 - -	\$3,606,972 (pound)49,473 (euro)69,451	\$
Total USD Equivalent	\$2,005,383	\$425,453	\$51,003	\$1,292,407	\$3,774,246	\$

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the Company's investments in the Carbon Capital Funds at December 31, 2005.

The Company has foreign currency rate exposure related to its non-U.S. dollar denominated assets. The Company's primary currency exposures are to the Euro and British pound. Changes in currency rates can adversely impact the estimated fair value and earnings of the Company's non-U.S. holdings. The Company mitigates this impact by utilizing local currency-denominated financing on its foreign investments and foreign currency forward commitments to hedge the net exposure. Foreign currency gain (loss) was \$315 and \$(344) for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

29

Commercial Real Estate Securities Portfolio Activity

The following table details the par, estimated fair value, adjusted purchase price, and expected yield of the Company's commercial real estate assets

included in as well as outside its collateralized debt obligations ("CDO") at June 30, 2006:

Investment grade CMBS Investment grade real estate investment trust ("REIT") debt CMBS rated BB+ to B CMBS rated B- or lower CDO Investments CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOs") Multifamily agency securities Commercial mortgage loan pools	\$133,735 23,000 90,113 48,053 423,268 3,373,991 404,571 1,216,744	\$141,113 20,508 96,983 14,693 116,089 87,801 396,190 1,281,946	94.88 89.17 87.41 30.58 27.43 2.60 97.93 105.36	\$150,769  22,839 94,982 14,000 116,752  90,315 413,876	102 99 85 29 26
Investment grade real estate investment trust ("REIT") debt CMBS rated BB+ to B CMBS rated B- or lower CDO Investments CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOS") Multifamily agency securities	90,113 48,053 423,268 3,373,991 404,571	96,983 14,693 116,089 87,801 396,190	87.41 30.58 27.43 2.60 97.93	94,982 14,000 116,752 90,315 413,876	85 29 26
CMBS rated BB+ to B CMBS rated B- or lower CDO Investments CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOs") Multifamily agency securities	90,113 48,053 423,268 3,373,991 404,571	96,983 14,693 116,089 87,801 396,190	87.41 30.58 27.43 2.60 97.93	94,982 14,000 116,752 90,315 413,876	85 29 26
CMBS rated B- or lower CDO Investments CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOs") Multifamily agency securities	48,053 423,268 3,373,991 404,571	14,693 116,089 87,801 396,190	30.58 27.43 2.60 97.93	14,000 116,752 90,315 413,876	29 26 2
CDO Investments CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOs") Multifamily agency securities	423,268 3,373,991 404,571	116,089 87,801 396,190	27.43 2.60 97.93	90,315 413,876	26
CMBS Interest Only securities ("IOs") Multifamily agency securities	3,373,991 404,571	87,801 396,190	2.60 97.93	90,315 413,876	2
("IOs") Multifamily agency securities	404,571	396,190	97.93	413,876	2 102
Multifamily agency securities	404,571	396,190	97.93	413,876	2 102
					102
Commercial mortgage loan pools	1,210,744	1,281,946	105.36		105
				1,281,946	105
Total commercial real estate					
assets outside CDOs	5,713,475	2,155,323	18.70	2,185,479	19
Commercial real estate loans Commercial real estate  Total commercial real estate	267,609 90,122	295,010		281,971 90,122	
loans and equity outside CDOs   Commercial real estate assets inc	357,731 	395,822		372,093	
Investment grade CMBS	624,231	607,887	97.38	578,173	92
Investment grade REIT debt	204,255	205,860	100.79	206,658	101
CMBS rated BB+ to B	625,276	510,040	81.57	473 <b>,</b> 261	75
CMBS rated B- or lower	179,275	66,612	37.16	65 <b>,</b> 147	36
Credit tenant lease	24,059	24,570	102.13	24,720	102
Commercial real estate loans	219 <b>,</b> 478	207,842	94.70	208,013	94
Total commercial real estate assets included in CDOs	1,657,096	1,622,811	86.48	1,555,972	82
Total commercial real estate assets	\$7,947,782	\$4,173,956		\$4,113,544	

30

The following table details the par, estimated fair value, adjusted purchase price and expected yield of the Company's commercial real estate assets included in as well as outside its CDOs at December 31, 2005:

Commercial real estate securities outside CDOs	Par	Estimated Fair Value	Dollar Price	Purchase Price	Doll Pric
Investment grade CMBS	\$150,128	\$151 <b>,</b> 889	96.22	\$161,314	102
Investment grade real estate					
investment trust ("REIT") debt	23,000	21,828	94.90	22,828	99
CMBS rated BB+ to B	104,784	90,289	78.38	92,931	80
CMBS rated B- or lower	132,242	47,854	34.05	45,070	31
CDO Investments	423,349	124,549	29.42	112,577	26
CMBS Interest Only securities	0 505 646	100.000	0.05	100 100	
("IOs")	3,505,646	103,363	2.95	103,120	2
Multifamily agency securities	256,398	263,362	102.72	268,319	104
Commercial mortgage loan pools	1,221,302	1,292,407	105.82	1,292,407	105
Total commercial real estate					
securities outside CDOs	5,816,849	2,095,541	17.19	2,098,566	17
Commercial real estate loans and	equity outside (	CDOs			
Commercial real estate loans	368,433	405,782		404,217	
Commercial real estate	50,704	51,004		50,704	
_					
Total commercial real estate	419,137	456,786		454,921	
loans and equity outside CDOs					
_					
Commercial real estate securitie	s included in CDC	)s			
Investment grade CMBS	375 <b>,</b> 502	377 <b>,</b> 291	100.48	354 <b>,</b> 561	94
Investment grade REIT debt	223,445	233,939		226,583	101
CMBS rated BB+ to B	656,207	566,181	86.28	513,446	78
Credit tenant lease	24,317	24,837	102.14	24,995	102
Commercial real estate loans	20,175	19,671	97.49	20,160	99
Total commercial real estate securities included in CDOs	1,299,647	1,221,919	94.02	1,139,745	87
_					
Total commercial real estate					
securities	\$7 <b>,</b> 535 <b>,</b> 633	\$3 <b>,</b> 774 <b>,</b> 246		\$3,693,232	
==				:========	

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company's commercial real estate asset portfolio increased by approximately 11% from an estimated fair value of \$3,774,246 at December 31, 2005, compared with \$4,173,956 at June 30, 2006.

The Company's CDO offerings allow the Company to match fund its commercial real estate portfolio by issuing long-term debt to finance long-term assets. The CDO debt is non-recourse to the Company;

31

therefore, the Company's losses are limited to its equity investment in the CDO. The CDO debt is also hedged to protect the Company from an increase in short-term interest rates. At June 30, 2006, over 83% of the estimated fair value of the Company's subordinated CMBS is match funded in the Company's CDOs in this manner.

Adjusted

The Company retained 100% of the equity of CDOs I, II, III and HY3 (each as defined below) and recorded the transactions on its consolidated financial statements as secured financing. The table below summarizes the Company's CDO debt and collateral at June 30, 2006.

	Collateral at June 30, 2006		Debt at June 30, 2006		
	Adjusted Purchase Price	Loss Adjusted Yield	Adjusted Issue Price	Weighted Average Cost of Funds *	Net Spread
CDO I	\$432,836	9.32%	\$406,662	7.02%	2.30%
CDO II	319,123	7.91%	292,477**	5.76%	2.14%
CDO III	378,103	7.28%	367,004**	4.83%	2.44%
CDO HY3	431,287	8.66%	416,935**	6.33%	2.33%
Total	\$1 <b>,</b> 561 <b>,</b> 349	8.35%	\$1,483,078	6.04%	2.32%

- \* Weighted Average Cost of Funds is the current cost of funds plus hedging expenses.
- \*\* The Company chose not to sell \$10,000 of par of CDO II debt rated BB, \$13,069 of par of CDO III debt rated BB and \$47,000 par of CDO HY3 debt rated BB

#### Securitizations

On July 26, 2005, the Company closed its fifth CDO ("CDO HY2") and issued non-recourse liabilities with a face amount of \$365,010. Senior investment grade notes with a face amount of \$240,134 were issued and sold in a private placement. The Company retained the floating rate BBB- note, the below investment grade notes and the preferred shares. The Company recorded CDO HY2 as a secured financing for accounting purposes and consolidated the assets, liabilities, income and expenses of CDO HY2 until the sale of the floating rate BBB- note in December 2005, at which point CDO HY2 qualified as a sale under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities ("SFAS No. 140").

32

The Company received cash proceeds of \$244,212 as well as all of the retained interests that had an estimated fair value of \$105,025 at December 31, 2005. The transaction raised investable proceeds of \$56,226. The following table summarizes the impact of this transaction on 2005 results:

Net realized gain related to sale of CDO HY2	\$16 <b>,</b> 523
Increase in accumulated other comprehensive income	9,611
Total stockholders' equity impact	\$26,134

Real Estate Credit Profile of Below Investment Grade CMBS

The Company divides its below investment grade CMBS investment activity into two portfolios; Controlling Class CMBS and other below investment grade CMBS. The Company considers the CMBS securities where it maintains the right to influence the foreclosure/workout process on the underlying loans to be its controlling class CMBS ("Controlling Class"). The distinction between the two is in the rights the Company obtains with its investment in Controlling Class CMBS. Controlling Class rights allow the Company to influence the workout and/or disposition of defaults that occur in the underlying loans. These securities absorb the first losses realized in the underlying loan pools. The coupon payment on the non-rated security also can be reduced for special servicer fees charged to the trust. The next highest rated security in the structure then generally will have its rating withdrawn and will then be non-rated. This non-rated security will become the first to absorb losses and expenses from that point on. At June 30, 2006, the Company owns 25 different trusts where it is in the first loss position and is designated as the controlling class representative by owning the lowest rated or non-rated CMBS class. The total par of the loans underlying these securities was \$35,224,119. At June 30, 2006, subordinated Controlling Class CMBS with a par of \$775,466 were included on the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition. Subordinated Controlling Class CMBS with a par of \$753,043 were held as collateral for CDOs HY1 and HY2.

The Company's other below investment grade CMBS have more limited rights associated with its ownership to influence the workout and/or disposition of underlying loan defaults. The total par of the Company's other below investment grade CMBS at June 30, 2006 was \$167,251; the average credit protection, or subordination level, of this portfolio is 2.67%.

The Company's investment in its subordinated Controlling Class CMBS by credit rating category at June 30, 2006 is as follows:

	Par	Estimated Fair Value				Weighted Average Subordination Level
BB+ BB	138,155	115,954		101,869	73.73	3.15%
BB- B+		99,047 65,738		94,458 60,416		
		33				
В	69,378	•		40,564		
B- CCC NR	9,671	·	38.54		38.54	
Total	\$775 <b>,</b> 466	\$514 <b>,</b> 599	66.36	\$480 <b>,</b> 369	61.95	

The Company's investment in its subordinated Controlling Class CMBS by credit rating category at December 31, 2005 was as follows:

_	Par	Estimated Fair Value	Dollar Price	Adjusted Purchase Price	Dollar Price	Weighted Average Subordinatio Level
BB+	\$139 <b>,</b> 541	\$131 <b>,</b> 676	94.36	\$120,541	86.38	5
BB	92,583	81,469	88.00	76 <b>,</b> 527	82.66	4
BB-	110,514	92,116	83.35	85 <b>,</b> 829	77.66	4
B+	79,564	56,651	71.20	52 <b>,</b> 828	66.40	2
В	132,247	84,201	63.37	77,784	58.82	2
B-	23,775	13,216	55.59	12,303	51.75	1
NR	96,626	27,777	28.75	25,727	26.63	
Total	\$674,850	\$487 <b>,</b> 106	72.18	\$451 <b>,</b> 539	66.91	

As the portfolio matures and expected losses occur, subordination levels of the lower rated classes of a CMBS investment will be reduced. This may cause the lower rated classes to be downgraded, which would negatively affect their estimated fair value and therefore the Company's net asset value. Reduced estimated fair value would negatively affect the Company's ability to finance any such securities that are not financed through a CDO or similar matched funding vehicle. In some cases, securities held by the Company may be upgraded to reflect seasoning of the underlying collateral and thus would increase the estimated fair value of the securities. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, ten securities in six of the Company's Controlling Class CMBS were upgraded by at least one rating agency and none were downgraded. Additionally, at least one rating agency upgraded 46 of the Company's non-Controlling Class commercial real estate securities. None were downgraded during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

For all of the Company's Controlling Class securities, the Company follows a policy of assigning estimated losses to specific loans as well as adding a general loss assumption that is not loan specific. In performing continuing credit reviews on the 25 Controlling Class trusts, the Company estimates that specific losses totaling \$423,100 related to principal of the underlying loans will not be recoverable, of which \$201,459 is expected to occur over the next five years. The total loss estimate of \$423,100 represents 1.20% of the total current underlying loan pools at June 30, 2006. Additionally, the Company assumes a constant default rate of approximately ten to forty basis points with a 35% loss severity and a one-year recovery period. These estimates were developed based on an analysis of individual loan characteristics and prevailing market conditions at the time of origination. All estimated workout expenses including special servicer fees are included in these assumptions. These loss assumptions are

34

then used to compute a loss adjusted yield, which is then used to record interest income on the Company's consolidated financial statements. If the loss assumptions prove to be consistent with actual loss experience, the yield will be consistent over the life of the security. As actual losses differ from the original loss assumptions, yields are adjusted to reflect the updated assumptions. In addition, a write down of the adjusted purchase price or write up of loss adjusted yields of the security may be required. (See Item 3 - "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for more

information on the sensitivity of the Company's income and adjusted purchase price to changes in credit experience.)

The Company considers delinquency information from the Lehman Brothers Conduit Guide to be the most relevant benchmark to measure credit performance and market conditions applicable to its Controlling Class CMBS holdings. The year of issuance, or vintage year, is important, as older loan pools will tend to have more delinquencies than newly underwritten loans. Comparable delinquency statistics referenced by vintage year as a percentage of par outstanding at June 30, 2006 are shown in the table below:

Vintage Year	Underlying Collateral	Delinquencies Outstanding	Lehman Brothers Conduit Guide	
1000				
1998	\$5,631,173	1.14%	1.44%	
1999	622 <b>,</b> 718	1.53%	1.46%	
2001	866 <b>,</b> 687	2.99%	1.36%	
2002	1,154,537	0.31%	0.57%	
2003	2,105,613	0.44%	0.39%	
2004	6,582,916	0.11%	0.25%	
2005	12,147,370	0.00%	0.13%	
2006	6,113,105	0.00%	0.01%	
Total	\$35,224,119	0.34%	0.42%*	

<sup>\*</sup> Weighted average based on current principal balance.

Delinquencies on the Company's CMBS collateral as a percent of principal are in line with expectations and are consistent with comparable data provided in the Lehman Brothers Conduit Guide. Future delinquencies and losses may cause par reductions and cause the Company to conclude that a change in loss adjusted yield is required along with a write down of the adjusted purchase price through the income statement according to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets ("EITF 99-20").

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the aggregate principal balance and payment status of delinquent mortgage loans underlying the Controlling Class CMBS held by the Company at June 30, 2006.

35

		June 30, 2006		
	Principal	Number of Loans	% of Collateral	
Past due 30 days to 60 days	\$892	3	0.01%	
Past due 61 days to 90 days	8,491	3	0.02%	
Past due 91 days or more	15,580	11	0.04%	
Real estate owned ("REO")	90,849	9	0.26%	
Foreclosure	3,608	1	0.01%	
Total delinquent	\$119,420		0.34%	
Total principal balance	\$35 <b>,</b> 224 <b>,</b> 119			

Of the 27 delinquent loans at June 30, 2006, nine loans were real estate owned and being marketed for sale, one loan was in foreclosure and the remaining 17 loans were in some form of workout negotiations. The Controlling Class CMBS owned by the Company have a delinquency rate of 0.34%, which is consistent with industry averages. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the underlying collateral experienced early payoffs of \$314,320, representing 0.89% of the quarter-end pool balance. These loans were paid off at par with no loss. Pay down proceeds are distributed to the highest rated CMBS class first and reduce the percent of total underlying collateral represented by each rating category. Aggregate losses related to the underlying collateral of \$7,784 were realized during the six months ended June 30, 2006. This brings cumulative realized losses to \$97,954, which is 23.2% of total estimated losses. These losses include special servicer and other workout expenses. This experience to date is in line with the Company's loss expectations. Realized losses and special servicer expenses are expected to increase on the underlying loans as the portfolio matures.

To the extent that realized losses differ from the Company's original loss estimates, it may be necessary to reduce or increase the projected yield on the applicable CMBS investment to better reflect such investment's expected earnings net of expected losses, from the date of purchase. While realized losses on individual assets may be higher or lower than original estimates, the Company currently believes its aggregate loss estimates and yields remain appropriate.

36

The Company manages its credit risk through disciplined underwriting, diversification, active monitoring of loan performance and exercise of its right to control the workout process for delinquent loans as early as possible. The Company maintains diversification of credit exposures through its underwriting process and can shift its focus in future investments by adjusting the mix of loans in subsequent acquisitions. The comparative profiles of the loans underlying the Company's CMBS by property type at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are as follows:

	June 30, 2006 Exp	osure Dec	cember 31, 2005 Ex	posure
		% of		% of
Property Type	Loan Balance	Total	Loan Balance	Total
Retail	\$11,306,942	32.1%	\$9,195,747	31.0%
Office	10,556,688	23.3	9,406,148	31.7
Multifamily	8,214,265	30.0	6,874,450	23.2
Industrial	2,462,166	7.0	2,060,953	7.0
Lodging	1,969,028	5.6	1,670,436	5.6
Healthcare	450,869	1.3	299 <b>,</b> 692	1.0
Other	264,181	0.7	160,923	0.5
Total	\$35,224,119	100%	\$29,668,349	100%

At June 30, 2006, the estimated fair value of the Company's holdings of subordinated Controlling Class CMBS is \$34,229 higher than the adjusted cost for these securities which consists of a gross unrealized gain of \$47,765 and a gross unrealized loss of \$13,536. The adjusted purchase price of the Company's subordinated Controlling Class CMBS portfolio at June 30, 2006 represents

approximately 61% of its par amount. The estimated fair value of the Company's subordinated Controlling Class CMBS portfolio at June 30, 2006 represents approximately 66% of its par amount. As the portfolio matures, the Company expects to recoup the \$13,536 of unrealized loss, provided that the credit losses experienced are not greater than the credit losses assumed in the projected cash flow analysis. At June 30, 2006, the Company believes there has been no material deterioration in the credit quality of its portfolio below current expectations.

The Company's interest income calculated in accordance with EITF 99-20 for its CMBS is computed based upon a yield, which assumes credit losses will occur. The yield to compute the Company's taxable income does not assume there would be credit losses, as a loss can only be deducted for tax purposes when it has occurred. This is the primary difference between the Company's income in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and taxable income. As a result, for the years 1998 through June 30, 2006, the Company's GAAP income was approximately \$37,300 lower than the taxable income.

Commercial Real Estate Loan Activity

The Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio generally emphasizes larger transactions located in metropolitan markets, as compared to the typical loan in the CMBS portfolio.

37

The following table summarizes the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio by property type at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Carrying Value						
	June 30, 2006		December 31, 2005		Weighted Average Yield		
Property Type	Amount	%	Amount	% 	2006	2005	
Office	\$131 <b>,</b> 722	30.2%	\$94,432	25.8%	8.7%	8.9%	
Retail	124,608	28.6	76,502	20.9	8.3	7.3	
Hotel	54,186	12.4	79,840	21.8	9.1	8.6	
Residential	50,733	11.6	57 <b>,</b> 466	15.7	9.0	8.6	
Industrial	36,742	8.5	2,423	0.7	8.0	8.1	
Storage	32,768	7.5	32 <b>,</b> 913	9.0	9.1	9.1	
Other Mixed Use	4,959	1.2	2,230	0.6	7.8	8.1	
Communication Tower	_	_	20,000	5.5	_	9.4	
Total	\$435,713	100.0%	\$365 <b>,</b> 806	100.0%	8.6%	8.5%	

Included in the chart above are non-U.S. dollar denominated commercial real estate loans with a carrying value of \$209,406 and \$129,951 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company finances its non-U.S. dollar denominated loans by borrowing in the applicable local currency and hedging the un-financed portion.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company purchased \$35,600 U.S. dollar denominated commercial real estate loans with a total principal balance

of \$40,000, two British pound denominated commercial real estate loans with a total cost of (pound)21,835 (\$38,982) and a principal balance of (pound)22,389 and three Euro denominated commercial real estate loans for a total cost of (euro)39,186 (\$49,801) and with a principal balance totaling (euro)39,586. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company experienced repayments in the aggregate amount of \$65,980.

The average yields on the Company's commercial real estate loans for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	For the quarters ended	
	June 30,	
	2006	2005
Fixed-rate commercial real estate loans	9.1%	9.5%
Floating-rate commercial real estate loans	8.1%	8.1%
All commercial real estate loans	8.5%	8.7%

Also included in commercial real estate loans are the Company's investments in the Carbon Capital Funds. The annualized yield on the Carbon Capital Funds was 23.7% for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 as compared with 18.8% for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. The increase in the annualized yield is primarily attributable to prepayment penalties received on underlying assets in Carbon Capital II. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Carbon Capital II acquired \$143,541 of commercial mortgage loans and received repayments of \$34,567. As loans are repaid, Carbon Capital II has redeployed capital into acquisitions of additional loans for

38

the portfolio. The Carbon Capital I investment period has expired and as repayments occur, capital will be returned to investors.

The Company's investments in the Carbon Capital Funds are as follows:

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Carbon Capital I Carbon Capital II	\$ 3,265 63,874	\$18,458 41,185
	\$67 <b>,</b> 139	\$59 <b>,</b> 643

At June 30, 2006, all commercial real estate loans owned directly by the Company were performing in line with expectations. The Company's investment in Carbon Capital II includes a \$28,300 mezzanine loan which defaulted during July 2006. The underlying property is a hotel located in the South Beach area of Miami, Florida. Based on the credit analysis performed by Carbon Capital II, a loan loss reserve is not necessary at this time. To the extent a loan loss reserve becomes necessary, the Company would incur 26% of the loss, which represents the Company's pro rata share of Carbon II. All other commercial real estate loans in the Carbon Capital Funds are performing as expected.

#### Commercial Real Estate

As previously announced, the Company invests in BlackRock Diamond Property Fund ("BlackRock Diamond"). BlackRock Diamond is an open-end fund that applies value-added strategies to a portfolio of commercial real estate properties. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded \$6,155 of income

consisting of \$282 of current income and \$5,873 of unrealized gains on the underlying portfolio assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded \$11,697 of income consisting of \$652 of current income and \$11,045 of unrealized gains on the underlying portfolio assets. To date, the Company has invested an aggregate of \$86,272, which represents a 25.5% interest in BlackRock Diamond and has remaining capital commitments totaling \$13,728. At June 30, 2006, BlackRock Diamond's portfolio consists of nineteen assets with a total market value of approximately \$460,400. BlackRock Diamond is managed by a subsidiary of the Company's Manager and all financial information was reported by BlackRock Diamond.

As previously reported, the Company purchased a defaulted loan from a Controlling Class CMBS trust during the first quarter of 2006. The loan was secured by a first mortgage on a multi-family property in Texas. Subsequent to the loan purchase, the Company foreclosed on the loan and acquired title to the property in the process. The Company sold the property during the second quarter of 2006 and recorded a gain from discontinued operations of \$1,366 on the consolidated statement of operations.

The Company has an investment in a commercial real estate development fund located in India. Total capital committed is \$11,000, of which \$3,850 has been drawn. The entity conducts its operations in the local currency, Indian Rupees.

Critical Accounting Estimates

39

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based on the amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements. These financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The following is a summary of the Company's accounting policies that are the most affected by management judgments, estimates and assumptions:

#### Securities Available-for-sale

The Company has designated certain investments in mortgage-backed securities, mortgage-related securities and certain other securities as available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale are carried at estimated fair value with the net unrealized gains or losses reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. Many of these investments are relatively illiquid, and management must estimate their values. In making these estimates, management generally utilizes market prices provided by dealers who make markets in these securities, but may, under certain circumstances, adjust these valuations based on management's judgment. Changes in the valuations do not affect the Company's reported net income or cash flows, but impact stockholders' equity and, accordingly, book value per share.

Management must also assess whether unrealized losses on securities reflect a decline in value that is other than temporary, and, accordingly, write the impaired security down to its fair value, through earnings. Significant judgment by management is required in this analysis, which includes, but is not limited to, making assumptions regarding the collectability of the principal and interest, net of related expenses, on the underlying loans.

Income on these securities is recognized based upon a number of assumptions that are subject to uncertainties and contingencies. Examples of these

assumptions include, among other things, the rate and timing of principal payments (including prepayments, repurchases, defaults and liquidations), the pass—through or coupon rate and interest rate fluctuations. Additional factors that may affect the Company's reported interest income on its mortgage securities include interest payment shortfalls due to delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans, the timing and magnitude of credit losses on the mortgage loans underlying the securities that are a result of the general condition of the real estate market (including competition for tenants and their related credit quality) and changes in market rental rates. These uncertainties and contingencies are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter the assumptions.

The Company recognizes interest income from its purchased beneficial interests in securitized financial interests ("beneficial interests") (other than beneficial interests of high credit quality, sufficiently collateralized to ensure that the possibility of credit loss is remote, or that cannot contractually be prepaid or otherwise settled in such a way that the Company would not recover substantially all of its recorded investment) in accordance with EITF 99-20. Accordingly, on a quarterly basis, when changes in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously estimated occur due to actual prepayment and credit loss experience, the Company calculates a revised yield based on the current amortized cost of the investment

40

(including any other-than-temporary impairments recognized to date) and the revised cash flows. The revised yield is then applied prospectively to recognize interest income.

For other mortgage-backed and related mortgage securities, the Company accounts for interest income under SFAS No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases ("SFAS No. 91"), using the effective yield method which includes the amortization of discount or premium arising at the time of purchase and the stated or coupon interest payments.

#### Impairment - Securities

In accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities ("SFAS No. 115"), when the estimated fair value of the security classified as available-for-sale has been below amortized cost for a significant period of time and the Company concludes that it no longer has the ability or intent to hold the security for the period of time over which the Company expects the values to recover to amortized cost, the investment is written down to its fair value. The resulting charge is included in income, and a new cost basis is established. Additionally, for certain securities, when changes in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously estimated occur due to actual prepayment and credit loss experience, and the present value of the revised cash flows using the current expected yield is less than the present value of the previously estimated remaining cash flows (adjusted for cash receipts during the intervening period), an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred. Accordingly, the security is written down to fair value with the resulting change being included in income, and a new cost basis established. In both instances, the original discount or premium is written off when the new cost basis is established.

After taking into account the effect of an impairment charge, income is recognized under EITF 99-20 or SFAS No. 91, as applicable, using the market yield for the security used in establishing the write-down.

Variable Interest Entities

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, which are wholly-owned or controlled by the Company or entities which are variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Company is the primary beneficiary under FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003) ("FIN 46R"). FIN 46R requires a VIE to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party that absorbs the majority of the VIE's anticipated losses and/or the majority of the expected returns. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company has analyzed the governing pooling and servicing agreements for each of its Controlling Class CMBS and believes that the terms are industry standard and are consistent with the qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") criteria. However, there is uncertainty with respect to QSPE treatment due to ongoing review by accounting standard setters, potential actions by various parties involved with the QSPE, as well as varying and evolving interpretations of the QSPE criteria under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities ("SFAS

41

No. 140"). Additionally, the accounting standard setters continue to review the FIN 46R provisions related to the computations used to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Future guidance from the accounting standard setters may require the Company to consolidate CMBS trusts in which the Company has invested.

#### Mortgage Loans

The Company purchases and originates commercial mortgage loans to be held as long-term investments. The Company also has investments in the Carbon Capital Funds that invest in commercial mortgage loans and are managed by the Manager. Management periodically evaluates each loan for possible impairment. Impairment is indicated when it is deemed probable that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If a loan was determined to be impaired, the Company will establish a reserve for probable losses and a corresponding charge to earnings. Given the nature of the Company's loan portfolio and the underlying commercial real estate collateral, significant judgment of management is required in determining impairment and the resulting loan loss allowance, which includes but is not limited to making assumptions regarding the value of the real estate that secures the mortgage loan. To date, the Company has determined that no loan loss allowances have been necessary on the loans in its portfolio or held by the Carbon Capital Funds.

#### Equity Investments

For those investments in real estate entities where the Company does not control the investee, or is not the primary beneficiary of a VIE, but can exert significant influence over the financial and operating policies of the investee, the Company uses the equity method of accounting. The Company recognizes its share of each investee's income or loss, and reduces its investment balance by distributions received. The Company owns an equity method investment in BlackRock Diamond, a privately held REIT that maintains its financial records on a fair value basis. The Company has retained such accounting relative to its investment in this REIT pursuant to EITF Issue 85-12, Retention of Specialized Accounting for Investments in Consolidation.

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes various hedging instruments (derivatives) to hedge interest rate and foreign currency exposures or to modify the interest rate or foreign currency characteristics of related Company investments. All derivatives are carried at fair value, generally estimated by management based on valuations provided by the counterparty to the derivative contract. For accounting purposes, the Company's management must decide whether to designate these derivatives as either a hedge of an asset or liability, securities available-for-sale, securities held-for-trading, or foreign currency exposure. This designation decision affects the manner in which the changes in the fair value of the derivatives are reported.

Securitizations

When the Company sells assets in securitizations, it can retain certain tranches which are considered

42

retained interests in the securitization. Gain or loss on the sale of assets depends in part on the previous carrying amount of the financial assets securitized, allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests based on their relative fair value at the date of securitization. To obtain fair values, quoted market prices are used. Gain or loss on securitizations of financial assets is reported as a component of sale of securities available-for-sale on the consolidated statement of operations. Retained interests are carried at estimated fair value on the consolidated statement of financial condition. Adjustments to estimated fair value for retained interests classified as securities available-for-sale are included in accumulated other comprehensive income on the consolidated statement of financial condition.

43

#### II. Results of Operations

Net income available to common stockholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$16,353 or \$0.29 per share (basic and diluted) and \$35,146 or \$0.62 per share (basic and diluted), respectively. Net income available to common stockholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$9,701 or \$0.18 per share (basic and diluted) and \$24,069 or \$0.45 per share (basic and diluted), respectively. Net income available to common stockholders increased to \$0.62 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$0.45 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

Interest Income: The following tables set forth information regarding the total amount of income from certain of the Company's interest-earning assets.

	For the Three Mo		
	2006	2005	Amc
Commercial real estate securities	\$41,847	\$33 <b>,</b> 602	\$8
Commercial mortgage loan pools	13,287	13,605	(

Commercial real estate loans Residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") Cash and cash equivalents	8,973 3,080 580	4,937 2,691 266	4
Total interest income	\$67,767 ========	\$55,101	\$12 === =====
	For the Six Mo June 30		
	2006	2005	Amo
Commercial real estate securities Commercial mortgage loan pools Commercial real estate loans RMBS Cash and cash equivalents	\$79,638 26,513 16,989 6,110 918	\$66,233 27,157 10,281 5,571 503	\$13 ( 6
Total interest income	\$130,168	\$109,745	\$20

44

The following table reconciles interest income and total income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

	For the Three Month	ns Ended June 30,	
	2006	2005	Amount
Interest Income	\$67 <b>,</b> 767	\$55,101	\$12,666
Earnings from BlackRock Diamond	6,155	_	6,155
Earnings from the Carbon Capital I	8	1,732	(1,726)
Earnings from the Carbon Capital II	3,511	1,292	2,219
Total Income	\$77,441	\$58 <b>,</b> 125	19 <b>,</b> 316
	For the Six Months	s Ended June 30, 2005	Amount
Interest Income Earnings from BlackRock Diamond Property	\$130,168	\$109,745	\$20,423
Fund ("BlackRock Diamond")	11,697	_	11,697
Earnings from the Carbon Capital I	714	3,424	(2,710)
Earnings from the Carbon Capital II	6,605	2,205	4,400
Earnings from real estate joint ventures	_	59	(59)
Total Income	\$149 <b>,</b> 184	\$115,433	(33,751)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, interest income increased \$12,666 and \$20,423, or 23.0% and 18.6%, from the same three and six month

periods in 2005. The Company continued to increase its investments in commercial real estate securities and loans, resulting in an increase of \$13,405, or 20.2%, and \$6,708, or 65.2%, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Income from BlackRock Diamond was \$6,155 and \$11,697 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. The Company began investing in BlackRock Diamond in the fourth quarter of 2005, as a result, there was no income related to BlackRock Diamond for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. BlackRock Diamond maintains its financial records on a fair value basis. The Company has retained such accounting in its consolidated financial statements pursuant to EITF Issue 85-12, Retention of Specialized Accounting for Investments in Consolidation. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, income from Carbon I decreased \$(1,726), or (99.5)%, and (2,710), or (79.1)%, from the same three and six month periods in 2005. The decrease in Carbon I income is attributable to Carbon I's investment period expiring on July 12, 2004 and the subsequent pay down of its assets. For the three and

45

six months ended June 30, 2005, income from Carbon II increased \$2,219, or 171.7%, and 4,400, or 199.5%, from the same three and six month periods in 2005. The increase in Carbon II income is primarily attributable to the investment of additional capital in 2006 and prepayment penalties received on its underlying commercial real estate loans.

Interest Expense: The following table sets forth information regarding the total amount of interest expense from certain of the Company's borrowings and cash flow hedges.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		Variance	
	2006	2005	Amount	&
Collateralized debt obligations	\$18 <b>,</b> 580	\$16,018	\$2 <b>,</b> 562	16.
Commercial real estate securities	9,280	3,377	5,903	174
Commercial mortgage loan pools*	12,612	12,745	(133)	(1.
Commercial real estate loans	3,023	1,275	1,748	137
RMBS	3,748	2,396	1,352	56
Junior subordinated notes - net	3,439	-	3,439	n
Cash flow hedges	636	1,626	(990)	(60.
Hedge ineffectiveness**	40	1,533	(1,493)	(97.
Total Interest Expense	\$51,358	\$38 <b>,</b> 970	\$12 <b>,</b> 388	31.

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		Variance	
	2006	2005	Amount	00
Collateralized debt obligations	\$34 <b>,</b> 714	\$31 <b>,</b> 766	2 <b>,</b> 948	9.
Commercial real estate securities	17,356	5,964	11,392	191
Commercial mortgage loan pools*	25,278	25,525	(247)	(1.
Commercial real estate loans	5,988	2,496	3,492	139
RMBS	7,343	4,525	2,818	62
Junior subordinated notes - net	5,659	-	5 <b>,</b> 659	n

Cash flow hedges	2,119	3,926	(1,807)	(46.
Hedge ineffectiveness**	(575)	1,272	(1,847)	(145.
Total Interest Expense	\$97 <b>,</b> 882	\$75 <b>,</b> 474	\$22,408	29.

46

\* Includes \$35 and \$81 of interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 from short-term financings of securities related to the consolidation of commercial mortgage loan pools. Includes \$13 and \$17 of interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 from short-term financings of securities related to the consolidation of commercial mortgage loan pools.

\*\*See Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, for a further description of the Company's hedge ineffectiveness.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, interest expense increased \$12,388, or 31.8%, and \$22,408, or 29.7%, from the same three and six month periods in 2005. Interest expense related to CDOs increased \$2,562, or 16.0%, and \$2,948, or 9.2%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, primarily due to the issuance of CDO HY3. The financing of additional commercial real estate securities and commercial real estate loans along with higher short-term borrowing rates increased interest expense \$11,392, 191.0%, and \$3,492, or 139.9%, respectively, for the same six month period of 2005. For the three and sixth months ended June 30, 2006, the issuance of \$175,000 of trust preferred securities since the fourth quarter of 2005 increased interest expense \$3,439 and 5,659, respectively. Cash flow hedge expense decreased \$990, or 60.9%, and \$1,807, or 46.0%, for the three and six months ended June 2006 and 2005, respectively. This decrease is due to the cash flow hedges offsetting higher short-term interest rates on commercial real estate securities and loans.

Net Interest Margin and Net Interest Spread from the Portfolio: The Company considers its portfolio to consist of securities available-for-sale, securities held-for-trading, commercial mortgage loans, and cash and cash equivalents because these assets relate to its core strategy of acquiring and originating high yield loans and securities backed by commercial real estate, while at the same time maintaining a portfolio of investment grade securities to enhance the Company's liquidity.

Net interest margin from the portfolio is annualized net interest income divided by the average estimated fair value of interest-earning assets. Net interest income is total interest income less interest expense relating to collateralized borrowings. Net interest spread equals the yield on average assets for the period less the average cost of funds for the period. The yield on average assets is interest income divided by average amortized cost of interest earning assets. The average cost of funds is interest expense from the portfolio divided by average outstanding collateralized borrowings.

The following chart describes the interest income, interest expense, net interest margin, average yield, cost of funds and net interest spread for the Company's portfolio. The following interest income and interest expense amounts exclude income and expense related to hedge ineffectiveness, and the gross-up effect of the consolidation of a VIE that includes commercial mortgage loan pools. The Company believes interest income and expense excluding the effects

of these items better reflects the Company's net interest margin and net interest spread from the portfolio.

47

		Three Months June 30,	For the Si Ended Ju	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Interest income	\$55 <b>,</b> 190	\$42,369	\$104 <b>,</b> 972	\$84,465
Interest expense	\$38,740	\$24 <b>,</b> 705	\$73 <b>,</b> 261	\$48,805
Net interest margin	2.23%	3.07%	2.22%	3.14%
Average yield	7.50%	7.36%	7.36%	7.43%
Cost of funds	6.11%	5.31%	6.11%	5.27%
Net interest spread	1.38%	2.05%	1.25%	2.17%

48

Other Expenses: Expenses other than interest expense consist primarily of management fees and general and administrative expenses. The table below summarizes those expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

	For the Three Mo		Variance
	2006	2005	Amount
Management fee	\$3,109	\$2,661	\$448
Incentive fee	1,538	_	1,538
Incentive fee - stock based	856	_	856
General and administrative expense	1,135	938	197
Total other expenses	\$6,638 ====================================	\$3,599 ===================================	\$3,039 == ==================================
	For the Six Mor June 30		Variance
			Variance Amount
Management fee	June 30	0, 2005 	
Management fee Incentive fee	June 30 2006	0, 2005 	Amount
	June 30 2006  \$6,160	0, 2005 	Amount \$740
Incentive fee	June 30 2006 \$6,160 2,708	0, 2005 	Amount \$740 2,708

Management fees are based on 2% of average quarterly stockholders' equity. The increase of \$448 and \$740 or 16.8% and 13.7% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, is due to the increase in the Company's stockholders' equity. The Manager earned an incentive fee of \$1,538 and \$2,708

for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, as the Company achieved the necessary performance goals specified in the Management Agreement. The \$856 of expense is the accrual for the stock based incentive fee that was approved by the Company's Board of Directors in February 2006. See Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements, Transactions with Affiliates, for further discussion of the Company's Management Agreement.

General and administrative expense is comprised of accounting agent fees, custodial agent fees, directors' fees, fees for professional services, insurance premiums, broken deal expenses, and due diligence costs. The increase in general and administrative expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 is primarily attributable to the Company's increased professional fees and costs associated with the Company's increased non-U.S. investment activity.

Other Gains (Losses): Gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale were \$(60) and \$57 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Gains (losses) on securities held-for-trading were \$2,315 and \$(2,678) for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Foreign currency gains (losses) were \$315 and \$(344) for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which represent the net impact of the Company's foreign currency exposure for the applicable quarters. The losses on impairment of assets of \$5,434 and \$3,231 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were related to the Company's write down of certain

49

CMBS as required by EITF 99-20. See Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements, Impairment - CMBS, for further discussion of impairments.

Income from Discontinued Operations: The Company purchased a defaulted loan from a Controlling Class CMBS trust during the first quarter of 2006. The Company sold the property during the second quarter of 2006 and recorded a gain from discontinued operations of \$1,366 on the consolidated statement of operations.

Dividends Declared: On May 18, 2006, the Company declared distributions to its stockholders of \$0.29 per share, payable on July 31, 2006 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2006.

Changes in Financial Condition

Securities Available-for-sale: The Company's securities available-for-sale, which are carried at estimated fair value, included the following at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

Security Description	June 30, 2006 Estimated Fair Value	Percentage	December 31, 2005 Estimated Fair Value
Commercial mortgage-backed securities:			
CMBS IOs	\$87 <b>,</b> 801	3.6%	\$103 <b>,</b> 363
Investment grade CMBS	729 <b>,</b> 713	30.1	509 <b>,</b> 835
Non-investment grade rated subordinated			
securities	638,152	26.3	675 <b>,</b> 995
Non-rated subordinated securities	48,385	2.0	26,411
Credit tenant leases	24,570	1.0	24,837

	=======================================		
Total securities available-for-sale	\$2,423,018	100.0%	\$2,076,936
Total RMBS	155,751 	6.5	92,817
Hybrid adjustable rate mortgages	13,390	0.6	15 <b>,</b> 601
Residential CMOs	140,334	5.8	725
Agency adjustable rate securities	2,027	0.1	76,491
Single-family RMBS:			
Total CMBS	2,267,267 	93.5 	1,984,119
CDO investments	116,088	4.8	124 <b>,</b> 549
Multifamily agency securities	396,190	16.4	263 <b>,</b> 362
Investment grade REIT debt	226,368	9.3	255 <b>,</b> 767

50

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company purchased \$506,068 of securities available-for-sale. In addition, the Company received principal payments of \$24,666 related to securities available-for-sale.

Borrowings: At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company's debt consisted of line-of-credit borrowings, CDO debt, junior subordinated notes, term loans and reverse repurchase agreements, collateralized by a pledge of most of the Company's securities available-for-sale, securities held-for-trading, and its commercial mortgage loans. The Company's financial flexibility is affected by its ability to renew or replace on a continuous basis its maturing short-term borrowings. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company has obtained financing in amounts and at interest rates consistent with the Company's short-term financing objectives.

Under the credit facilities, and the reverse repurchase agreements, the lender retains the right to mark the underlying collateral to estimated fair value. A reduction in the estimated fair value of its pledged assets would require the Company to provide additional collateral or fund margin calls. From time to time, the Company expects that it will be required to provide such additional collateral or fund margin calls.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's borrowings:

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

		,	
	June 30, 2006 Balance	Maximum Balance	Range of Maturities
CDO debt*	\$1,483,078	\$1,483,078	5.4 to 10.2 ye
Commercial mortgage loan pools	1,261,989	1,294,058	2.5 to 12.5 ye
Reverse repurchase agreements	895,236	1,079,980	3 to 184 day
Credit facilities	208,272	317,490	122 days to 2.2
Junior subordinated notes	180,477	180,477	29.6 years**

Total borrowings \$4,029,052

Hedging Instruments: From time to time, the Company may reduce its exposure to market interest rates by entering into various financial instruments that adjust portfolio duration. These financial instruments are intended to mitigate the effect of changes in interest rates on the estimated fair value of the Company's assets and the cost of borrowing.

51

Interest rate hedging instruments at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consisted of the following:

At June 30, 2006

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	Notional Value	Estimated Fair Value	Unamortized Cost	Average Rema (year
Cash flow hedges	\$647,900	\$26,330	\$-	7
CDO cash flow hedges	904,908	35 <b>,</b> 642	_	7
Trading swaps	100,000	6 <b>,</b> 145	_	5
CDO timing swaps	223,445	78	_	6
CDO LIBOR cap	85,000	369	1,407	6
		At Dec	cember 31, 2005	
	Notional Value	Estimated Fair Value	Unamortized Cost	Average Rema (year

	Notional Value	Estimated Fair Value	Unamortized Cost	Average Rema (year
Cash flow hedges	\$500,350	\$6 <b>,</b> 234	\$-	8
CDO cash flow hedges	701,603	10,616	_	7
Trading swaps	133,000	4,032	_	6
CDO timing swaps	223,445	(37)	_	7
CDO LIBOR cap	85,000	1,419	1,407	7

Capital Resources and Liquidity

The Company requires capital to fund its investment activities and operating expenses. The Company believes it has sufficient access to capital resources to fund its existing business plan. The Company's capital sources include cash flow from operations, borrowings under reverse repurchase agreements, credit facilities, CDOs, junior subordinated notes and the issuance of preferred and common equity securities.

The distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") limit the Company's

<sup>\*</sup> Disclosed as adjusted issue price. Total par of the Company's CDO debt at June 30, 2006 was \$1,495,110.

<sup>\*\* \$77,380</sup> of the Company's junior subordinated notes can be redeemed at par beginning in October 2010, \$51,550 can be redeemed at par beginning in March 2011 and \$51,547 can be redeemed at par beginning in April 2011.

ability to retain earnings and thereby replenish or increase capital committed to its operations. However, the Company believes that its access to significant capital resources and financing will enable the Company to meet current and anticipated capital requirements.

The Company believes that its existing sources of funds will be adequate for purposes of meeting its short— and long—term liquidity needs. The Company's ability to meet its long—term (i.e., beyond one year) liquidity requirements is subject to obtaining additional debt and equity financing. Any decision by the Company's lenders and investors to provide the Company with financing will depend upon a number of factors, such as the Company's compliance with the terms of its existing credit arrangements, the Company's financial performance, industry or market trends, the general availability of and rates applicable to financing transactions, such lenders' and investors' resources and policies concerning the terms under which they make capital commitments and the relative attractiveness of alternative investment or lending opportunities.

Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at June 30, 2006 is summarized as follows:

52

	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	Credit Facilities	Commercial Mortgage Loan Pools	Collateralized Debt Obligations	Trust Preferred Securities
Outstanding borrowings	\$895,236	\$208,272	\$1,261,989	\$1,483,078	\$180 <b>,</b> 477
Weighted average	7093 <b>,</b> 230	\$200 <b>,</b> 272	71,201,909	71,403,070	\$100 <b>,</b> 477
borrowing rate	5.27%	5.11%	3.79%	6.04%	7.64%
Weighted average remaining					
maturity	25 days	1.53 years	6.33 years	7.37 years	29.60 years
Estimated fair value of assets pledged	\$948 <b>,</b> 602	\$277 <b>,</b> 168	\$1,281,946	\$1,628,189	-

At June 30, 2006, the Company's borrowings had the following remaining maturities:

	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	Credit Facilities	Commercial Mortgage Loan Pools	Collateralized Debt Obligations	Trust Preferred Securities
Within 30 days	\$861 <b>,</b> 520	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
31 to 59 days	22,164	_	_	-	-
60 days to less than 1			_	-	-
year	11,552	39,772			
1 year to 3 years	_	168,500	_	_	_
3 years to 5 years	-	_	_	-	-
Over 5 years	_	_	1,261,989	1,483,078	180,477
	\$895 <b>,</b> 236	\$208 <b>,</b> 272	\$1 <b>,</b> 261 <b>,</b> 989	\$1,483,078	\$180,477

\* At June 30, 2006, collateralized debt obligations are comprised of \$418,809 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 5.79 years, \$292,358 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 6.16 years, \$367,007 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 6.89 years, and \$416,935 of CDO debt with a weighted average remaining maturity of 10.18 years.

#### Credit Facilities

At June 30, 2006, \$127,308 of the Company's \$200,000 committed multicurrency credit facility with Deutsche Bank, AG was available for future borrowings and \$10,523 of the Company's \$75,000 committed credit facility with Greenwich Capital, Inc. was similarly available.

On February 16, 2006, the Company entered into a \$200,000 committed non-U.S. dollar credit facility with Morgan Stanley Mortgage Servicing, Inc. which matures in February 2008. Outstanding borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at a LIBOR based variable rate. At June 30, 2006, \$164,369 was available for future borrowings under this facility.

On March 17, 2006, the Company entered into a \$100,000 committed non-U.S. dollar credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. which matures in September 2008. Outstanding borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at a LIBOR based variable rate. At June 30, 2006, \$65,162 was available for future borrowings under this facility.

53

The Company is subject to various covenants in its credit facilities, including maintaining a minimum net worth measured on GAAP of \$400,000, a recourse debt-to-equity of 3.0 to 1, a minimum cash requirement based upon certain debt-to-equity ratios, a minimum recourse debt service coverage ratio of 1.75 and a minimum liquidity reserve of \$10,000. At June 30, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all covenants in its credit facilities.

#### CDOs

Issuance of secured term debt is generally done through a CDO offering. This entails creating a special purpose entity that holds assets used to secure the payments required of the debt issued. Asset cash flows generally are matched with the debt service requirements over their respective lives and an interest rate swap is used to match the fixed or floating rate nature of the coupon payments where necessary. This type of transaction is usually referred to as "match funding" or "term financing" the assets. There is no mark to market requirement in this structure and the debt cannot be called or terminated by the bondholders. Furthermore, the debt issued is non-recourse to the issuer; and therefore permanent reductions in value do not affect the liquidity of the Company. However, since the Company expects to earn a positive spread between the income generated by the assets and the expense of the debt issued, a permanent impairment of any of the assets would negatively affect the spread over time.

On May 23, 2006, the Company closed its sixth CDO issuance ("CDO HY3") resulting in the issuance of \$417,000 of non-recourse debt to investors. The debt is secured by a portfolio of CMBS and subordinated commercial real estate loans. This debt, rated AAA through BBB-, was privately placed, and the Company retained additional CDO debt rated BB and 100% of the preferred shares issued by the CDO.

In accordance with the terms of this offering, the Company is expected to contribute to the CDO up to \$50,000 of additional CMBS during a ramp-up period ending in October 2006. The additional CMBS will be contributed at face value. The debt issuance match funded existing Company assets that were contributed to the CDO at closing as well as the assets to be purchased during the ramp-up period, with long-term liabilities. The Company accounts for this transaction as a financing. All debt placed has legal final maturity of May 2051 but an assumed weighted average life of 8.1 years. Including interest rate hedges, this debt had a cost of funds of approximately 6.3% for the second quarter of 2006 after issuance expenses. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to pay down existing debt on the CDO collateral. At June 30, 2006, approximately 83% of the Company's subordinated CMBS is match funded in CDOs.

#### Trust Preferred

On September 26, 2005, the Company issued \$75,000 of trust preferred securities through its wholly owned subsidiary, Anthracite Capital Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust I"). The trust preferred securities have a thirty-year term ending October 30, 2035 with interest at a fixed rate of 7.497% for the first ten years and at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.9% thereafter. The trust preferred securities can be redeemed at par by the Company beginning in October 2010. Trust I

54

issued \$2,380 aggregate liquidation amount of common securities, representing 100% of the voting common stock of Trust I to the Company for a purchase price of \$2,380. The Company realized net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$72,618.

On January 31, 2006, the Company issued \$50,000 of trust preferred securities through its wholly owned subsidiary, Anthracite Capital Trust II, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust II"). The trust preferred securities have a thirty-year term ending April 30, 2036 with interest at a fixed rate of 7.73% for the first ten years and at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.7% thereafter. The trust preferred securities can be redeemed at par by the Company beginning in April 2011. Trust II issued \$1,550 aggregate liquidation amount of common securities, representing 100% of the voting common stock of Trust II to the Company for a purchase price of \$1,550. The Company realized net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$48,491.

On March 16, 2006, the Company issued \$50,000 of trust preferred securities through its wholly owned subsidiary, Anthracite Capital Trust III, a Delaware statutory trust ("Trust III"). The trust preferred securities have a thirty-year term ending March 15, 2036 with interest at a fixed rate of 7.77% for the first ten years and at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 2.7% thereafter. The trust preferred securities can be redeemed at par by the Company beginning in March 2011. Trust III issued \$1,547 aggregate liquidation amount of common securities, representing 100% of the voting common stock of Trust III to the Company for a purchase price of \$1,547. The Company realized net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$48,435.

#### Equity Issuances

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company issued 590,216 shares of its Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "Common Stock"), under its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the "Dividend Reinvestment Plan"). Net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$6,270. For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company issued 7,706 shares of its Common Stock

under its Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$91.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's ownership of the subordinated classes of CMBS from a single issuer gives it the right to influence the foreclosure/workout process on the underlying loans ("Controlling Class CMBS"). FIN 46(R)-5 has certain scope exceptions, one of which provides that an enterprise that holds a variable interest in a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") does not consolidate that entity unless that enterprise has the unilateral ability to cause the entity to liquidate. SFAS No. 140 provides the requirements for an entity to be considered a QSPE. To maintain the QSPE exception, the trust must continue to meet the QSPE criteria both initially and in subsequent periods. A trust's QSPE status can be impacted in future periods by activities by its transferors or other involved parties, including the manner in which certain servicing activities are performed. To the extent its CMBS investments were issued by a trust that meets the requirements to be considered a QSPE, the Company records the investments at the purchase price paid. To the extent the underlying trusts are not QSPEs the Company follows the guidance set forth in FIN 46(R)-5 as the trusts would be considered VIEs.

55

The Company has analyzed the governing pooling and servicing agreements for each of its Controlling Class CMBS and believes that the terms are industry standard and are consistent with the QSPE criteria. However, there is uncertainty with respect to QSPE treatment due to ongoing review by accounting standard setters, potential actions by various parties involved with the QSPE, as discussed above, as well as varying and evolving interpretations of the QSPE criteria under SFAS No. 140. Additionally, the accounting standard setters continue to review the FIN 46(R)-5 provisions related to the computations used to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Future guidance from the accounting standard setters may require the Company to consolidate CMBS trusts in which the Company has invested.

At June 30, 2006, the Company owned securities of 25 Controlling Class CMBS trusts with a par of \$1,001,704. The total current par amount of CMBS issued by the 25 trusts was \$35,224,118. One of the Company's 25 Controlling Class trusts does not qualify as a QSPE and has been consolidated by the Company.

The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in these VIEs totaled \$686,024 and \$565,231 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

In addition, the Company has completed two securizations that qualify as QSPEs under SFAS No. 140. Through CDO HY1 and HY2 the Company issued non-recourse liabilities secured by commercial related assets including portions of 17 Controlling Class CMBS. Should future guidance from the accounting standard setters determine that Controlling Class CMBS are not QSPE's, the Company would be required to consolidate the assets, liabilities, income and expense of CDO HY1 and CDO HY2.

The Company's total maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investments in CDOs HY1 and HY2 at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, is  $$113,089$ and }109,003$ .

At June 30, 2006, the Company also owns non-investment debt and preferred securities in LEAFs CMBS I Ltd ("Leaf"), a QSPE under SFAS No. 140. Leaf issued non-recourse liabilities secured by investment grade commercial real estate

securities.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the Company's total maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Leaf is \$3,664 and \$3,573, respectively.

Cash Flows

Cash provided by operating activities is net income adjusted for certain non-cash items and changes in assets and liabilities including the Company's trading securities. Operating activities provided (used) cash flows of \$76,143 and \$(30,307) during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Operating cash flow is affected by the purchase and sale of fixed income securities classified as trading securities. Proceeds received from repayment of these securities also increase operating cash flows. During 2006 the Company received \$22,509 of repayments related to these securities, whereas in 2005 the Company purchased \$58,862 of fixed income securities classified as trading. In addition, in 2006 the Company closed interest rate swaps classified as a cash flow hedges and received cash of \$15,107.

56

The Company's investing cash flow consists primarily of the purchase, sale, and repayments on securities activities available for sale, commercial loan pools, commercial mortgage loans and equity investments. The Company's investing activities used cash flows of \$553,599 and \$147,120 during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The variance in investing cash flows is primarily attributable to significant purchases of securities and commercial mortgage loans.

Financing cash flows consist primarily of borrowings, CDO and junior subordinated note issuances, common and preferred stock offerings offset by dividends on common and preferred stock and repayments of borrowings. The Company's financing activities provided cash flows \$468,111 and \$166,923 during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in financing cash flows in 2006 was primarily attributable to issuance of collateralized debt obligations as well as the issuance of junior subordinated notes.

The Company's ability to execute its business strategy depends to a significant degree on its ability to obtain additional capital. Factors which could affect the Company's access to the capital markets, or the costs of such capital, include changes in interest rates, general economic conditions and perception in the capital markets of the Company's business, covenants under the Company's current and future credit facilities, results of operations, leverage, financial conditions and business prospects. Consequently, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to effectively fund future growth. Except as discussed herein, management is not aware of any other trends, events, commitments or uncertainties that may have a significant effect on liquidity.

Contingent Liability

During 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of CORE Cap, Inc. At the time of the CORE Cap, Inc. acquisition, the Manager agreed to pay GMAC (CORE Cap, Inc.'s external advisor) \$12,500 over a ten-year period ("Installment Payment") to purchase the right to manage the Core Cap, Inc. assets under the existing management contract ("GMAC Contract"). The GMAC Contract had to be terminated in order to allow the Company to complete the merger, as the Company's management agreement with the Manager did not provide for multiple managers. As a result the Manager offered to buy-out the GMAC Contract as the

Manager estimated it would receive incremental fees above and beyond the Installment Payment, and thus was willing to pay for, and separately negotiate, the termination of the GMAC Contract. Accordingly, the value of the Installment Payment was not considered in the Company's allocation of its purchase price to the net assets acquired in the acquisition of CORE Cap, Inc. The Company agreed that should the Management Agreement with its Manager be terminated, not renewed or not extended for any reason other than for cause, the Company would pay to the Manager an amount equal to the Installment Payment less the sum of all payments made by the Manager to GMAC. At June 30, 2006, the Installment Payment would be \$4,000 payable over four years. The Company does not accrue for this contingent liability.

Transactions with Affiliates

The Company has a Management Agreement with the Manager, a majority owned indirect subsidiary of

57

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. and the employer of certain directors and all of the officers of the Company, under which the Manager manages the Company's day-to-day operations, subject to the direction and oversight of the Company's Board of Directors. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, the Manager formulates investment strategies, arranges for the acquisition of assets, arranges for financing, monitors the performance of the Company's assets and provides certain other advisory and managerial services in connection with the operations of the Company. For performing these services, the Company pays the Manager a base management fee equal to 2.0% of the quarterly average total stockholders' equity for the applicable quarter.

To provide an incentive, the Manager is entitled to receive an incentive fee equal to 25% of the amount by which the rolling four-quarter GAAP net income before the incentive fee exceeds the greater of 8.5% or 400 basis points over the ten-year Treasury note multiplied by the adjusted per share issue price of the common stock (\$11.35 per common share at June 30, 2006).

The Company's unaffiliated directors approved an extension of the Management Agreement to March 31, 2007 at the Board of Directors' February 2006 meeting. Additionally, pursuant to a resolution of the Company's Board of Directors adopted at the February 2006 meeting, 30% of the incentive fees earned in 2005 or after may be paid in shares of the Company's Common Stock subject to certain provisions. The Board of Directors also authorized a stock based incentive plan where one half of one percent of common shares outstanding will be paid to the Manager in 2006. The Company recognized an expense of \$856 related to the deferred shares of Common Stock to be issued under the stock-based incentive plan.

The Company incurred \$3,109 and \$6,160 in base management fees in accordance with the terms of the Management Agreement for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, and \$2,661 and \$5,240 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. The Company incurred \$1,538 and \$2,708 in incentive fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The Company did not incur incentive fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. At June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, management and incentive fees of \$4,422 and \$2,477 are payable to the Manager and are included on the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition as a component of other liabilities. In accordance with the provisions of the Management Agreement, the Company recorded reimbursements to the Manager of \$100 and \$200 for certain expenses incurred on behalf of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, and \$40 and \$80 for the three and six

months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, which are included in general and administrative expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

58

The Company has administration and investment accounting agreements with the Manager. Under the terms of the administration agreement, the Manager provides financial reporting, audit coordination and accounting oversight services to the Company. Under the terms of the investment accounting agreement, the Manager provides investment accounting services to the Company. For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded administration and investment accounting fees of \$140 and \$115 respectively, which are included in general and administrative expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The special servicer on 20 of the Company's 25 Controlling Class trusts is Midland Loan Services, Inc. ("Midland"), a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of PNC Bank, and therefore an affiliate of the Manager. The Company's fees for Midland's services are at market rates.

The Company has a \$100,000 commitment to acquire shares of BlackRock Diamond. BlackRock Diamond is a private REIT managed by BlackRock Realty Advisors, Inc., a subsidiary of the Manager. At June 30, 2006, 86.3% of the commitment has been called and the Company owned approximately 25.5% of BlackRock Diamond. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in BlackRock Diamond. The Company's investment in BlackRock Diamond at June 30, 2006 was \$96,962. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in September 2005.

During 2001, the Company entered into a \$50,000 commitment to acquire shares in Carbon I, a private commercial real estate income opportunity fund managed by the Manager. The Carbon I investment period ended on July 12, 2004 and the Company's investment in Carbon I at June 30, 2006 was \$3,265. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in Carbon I. On June 30, 2006, the Company owned approximately 20% of the outstanding shares in Carbon I. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in July 2001.

The Company entered into an aggregate commitment of \$100,000 to acquire shares in Carbon II., a private commercial real estate income opportunity fund managed by the Manager. At June 30, 2006, the Company's investment in Carbon II was \$63,874 and the Company's remaining commitment to Carbon II is \$39,472. The Company does not incur any additional management or incentive fees to the Manager as a result of its investment in Carbon II. The Company's unaffiliated directors approved this transaction in September 2004.

REIT Status: The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT and therefore must comply with the provisions of the Code with respect thereto. Accordingly, the Company generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax to the extent of its distributions to stockholders and as long as certain asset, income and stock ownership tests are met. The Company may, however, be subject to tax at corporate rates or at excise tax rates on net income or capital gains not distributed.

During the first quarter, the Company and certain subsidiaries elected to have the subsidiaries treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries. This election permits the subsidiaries to enter into activities related to foreign investments that may not have constituted qualifying assets generating qualifying income for the

REIT tests.

59

#### ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market Risk: Market risk includes the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, credit curve spreads, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risks to which the Company is exposed are interest rate risk and credit curve risk. Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental, monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the control of the Company. Credit curve risk is highly sensitive to the dynamics of the markets for commercial mortgage securities and other loans and securities held by the Company. Excessive supply of these assets combined with reduced demand will cause the market to require a higher yield. This demand for higher yield will cause the market to use a higher spread over the U.S. Treasury securities yield curve, or other benchmark interest rates, to value these assets. Changes in the general level of the U.S. Treasury yield curve can have significant effects on the estimated fair value of the Company's portfolio.

The majority of the Company's assets are fixed-rate securities valued based on a market credit spread to U.S. Treasuries. As U.S. Treasury securities are priced to a higher yield and/or the spread to U.S. Treasuries used to price the Company's assets is increased, the estimated fair value of the Company's portfolio may decline. Conversely, as U.S. Treasury securities are priced to a lower yield and/or the spread to U.S. Treasuries used to price the Company's assets is decreased, the estimated fair value of the Company's portfolio may increase. Changes in the estimated fair value of the Company's portfolio may affect the Company's net income or cash flow directly through their impact on unrealized gains or losses on securities held-for-trading or indirectly through their impact on the Company's ability to borrow. Changes in the level of the U.S. Treasury yield curve can also affect, among other things, the prepayment assumptions used to value certain of the Company's securities and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of such assets. In addition, changes in the general level of the LIBOR money market rates can affect the Company's net interest income. At June 30, 2006, all of the Company's liabilities outside of the CDOs are floating rate based on a market spread to LIBOR. As the level of LIBOR increases or decreases, the Company's interest expense will move in the same direction.

The Company may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate exchange contracts, in order to limit the effects of fluctuations in interest rates on its operations. The use of these types of derivatives to hedge interest-earning assets and/or interest-bearing liabilities carries certain risks, including the risk that losses on a hedge position will reduce the funds available for payments to holders of securities and that such losses may exceed the amount invested in such instruments. A hedge may not perform its intended purpose of offsetting losses or rising interest rates. Moreover, with respect to certain of the instruments used as hedges, the Company is exposed to the risk that the counterparties with which the Company trades may cease making markets and quoting prices in such instruments, which may render the Company unable to enter into an offsetting transaction with respect to an open position. If the Company anticipates that the income from any such hedging transaction will not be qualifying income for REIT income purposes, the Company may conduct part or all of its hedging activities through a to-be-formed

60

corporate subsidiary that is fully subject to federal corporate income taxation. The profitability of the Company may be adversely affected during any period as a result of changing interest rates.

The Company monitors and manages interest rate risk based on a method that takes into consideration the interest rate sensitivity of the Company's assets and liabilities, including its preferred stock. The Company's objective is to acquire assets and match fund the purchase so that interest rate risk associated with financing these assets is reduced or eliminated. The primary risks associated with acquiring and financing these assets under 30-day repurchase agreements and committed borrowing facilities are mark-to-market risk and short-term rate risk. Certain secured financing arrangements provide for an advance rate based upon a percentage of the estimated fair value of the asset being financed. Market movements that cause asset values to decline would require a margin call or a cash payment to maintain the relationship between asset value and amount borrowed. A cash flow based CDO is an example of a secured financing vehicle that does not require a mark-to-market to establish or maintain a level of financing. When financed assets are subject to a mark-to-market margin call, the Company carefully monitors the interest rate sensitivity of those assets. The duration of the assets financed which are subject to a mark-to-market margin call was 2.3 years based on net asset value at June 30, 2006. This means that a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would cause a margin call of approximately \$10,000.

Earnings per share sensitivity to changes in interest rates is analyzed using the assumptions that interest rates, as defined by the LIBOR curve, increase or decrease and that the yield curves of the LIBOR rate shocks will be parallel to each other. Estimated fair value in this scenario is calculated using the assumption that the U.S. Treasury yield curve remains constant even though changes in both long-term and short-term interest rates can occur simultaneously.

Regarding the table below, all changes in income and value are measured as percentage changes from the respective values calculated in the scenario labeled as "Base Case." The base interest rate scenario assumes interest rates at June 30, 2006. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Projected Percentage Change In Earnings Per Share Given LIBOR Movements

Change in LIBOR, +/- Basis Points	Projected Change in Earnings per Share
-200	\$0.160
-100	\$0.008
-50	\$0.004
Base Case	
+50	\$(0.004)
+100	\$(0.008)
+200	\$(0.160)

The Company's GAAP book value incorporates the estimated fair value of the Company's interest bearing assets but it does not incorporate the estimated fair value of the Company's interest bearing fixed rate liabilities and preferred stock. The fixed-rate liabilities and preferred stock generally will reduce the actual interest rate risk of the Company from an economic perspective even though changes in the estimated fair value of these liabilities are not reflected in the Company's reported book value. The Company focuses on economic risk in managing its sensitivity to interest rates and maintains an economic duration within a band of 2.0 to 5.0 years. At June 30, 2006, economic duration for the Company's entire portfolio was 2.5 years. This implies that for each 100 basis points of change in interest rates the Company's economic value will change by approximately 2.5%. At June 30, 2006, the Company estimates its economic value, or net asset value of its common stock to be \$530,943.

A reconciliation of the economic duration of the Company to the duration of the reported book value of the Company's common stock is as follows:

Duration - GAAP book value at June 30, 2006	8.0
Less:	
Duration contribution of CDO I liabilities	(1.3)
Duration contribution of CDO II liabilities	(1.1)
Duration contribution of CDO III liabilities	(1.0)
Duration contribution of CDO HY3 liabilities	(0.8)
Duration contribution of Series C Preferred Stock	(0.2)
Duration contribution of Junior subordinated notes	(1.1)
Economic duration at June 30, 2006	2.5

The GAAP book value of the Company's common stock is \$9.86 per share. As indicated in the table above a 100 basis point change in interest rates will change reported book value by approximately 8.0%, or \$50,000. As indicated above, approximately \$10,000 of that change would be required to meet margin calls in the event rates rise by 100 basis points.

Credit Risk: The Company's portfolios of commercial real estate assets are subject to a high degree of credit risk. Credit risk is the exposure to loss from loan defaults. Default rates are subject to a wide variety of factors, including, but not limited to, property performance, property management, supply/demand factors, construction trends, consumer behavior, regional economics, interest rates, the strength of the global economies, and other factors beyond the control of the Company.

All loans are subject to a certain probability of default. Before acquiring a Controlling Class security, the Company will perform an analysis of the quality of all of the loans proposed. As a result of this analysis, loans with unacceptable risk profiles are either removed from the proposed pool or the Company receives a price adjustment. The Company underwrites its Controlling Class CMBS investments assuming the underlying loans will suffer a certain dollar amount of defaults and these defaults will lead to some level of realized losses. Loss adjusted yields are computed based on these assumptions and applied to each class of security supported by the cash flow on the underlying loans. The most significant variables affecting loss adjusted yields include, but are not limited to, the

62

number of defaults, the severity of loss that occurs subsequent to a default and the timing of the actual loss. The different rating levels of CMBS will

react differently to changes in these assumptions. The lowest rated securities (B- or lower) are generally more sensitive to changes in timing of actual losses. The higher rated securities (B or higher) are more sensitive to the severity of losses and timing of cashflows.

The Company generally assumes that all of the principal of a non-rated security and a significant portion, if not all, of CCC and a portion of B- rated securities will not be recoverable over time. The loss adjusted yields of these classes reflect that assumption; therefore, the timing of when the total loss of principal occurs is the most important assumption in determining value. The interest coupon generated by a security will cease when there is a total loss of its principal regardless of whether that principal is paid. Therefore, timing is of paramount importance because the longer the principal balance remains outstanding, the more interest coupon the holder receives; which results in a larger economic return. Alternatively, if principal is lost faster than originally assumed, there is less opportunity to receive interest coupon; which results in a lower or possibly negative return.

If actual principal losses on the underlying loans exceed assumptions, the higher rated securities will be affected more significantly as a loss of principal may not have been assumed. The Company generally assumes that all principal will be recovered by classes rated B or higher. The Company manages credit risk through the underwriting process, establishing loss assumptions and careful monitoring of loan performance. After the securities have been acquired, the Company monitors the performance of the loans, as well as external factors that may affect their value.

Factors that indicate a higher loss severity or acceleration of the timing of an expected loss will cause a reduction in the expected yield and therefore reduce the earnings of the Company. Furthermore, the Company may be required to write down a portion of the adjusted purchase price of the affected assets through its consolidated statements of operations.

For purposes of illustration, a doubling of the losses in the Company's Controlling Class CMBS, without a significant acceleration of those losses, would reduce GAAP income going forward by approximately \$0.72 per share of Common Stock per year and cause a significant write down at the time the loss assumption is changed. The amount of the write down depends on several factors, including which securities are most affected at the time of the write down, but is estimated to be in the range of \$0.14 to \$0.34 per share based on a doubling of expected losses. A significant acceleration of the timing of these losses would cause the Company's net income to decrease. The Company's exposure to a write down is mitigated by the fact that most of these assets are financed on a non-recourse basis in the Company's CDOs, where a significant portion of the risk of loss is transferred to the CDO bondholders. At June 30, 2006, securities with a total estimated fair value of \$1,664,277 are collateralizing the CDO borrowings of \$1,531,183; therefore, the Company's preferred equity interest in its four consolidated CDOs is \$133,094 (\$2.33 per share). In accordance with GAAP, the Company's CDO borrowings are not marked-to-market, however, the economic value of the Company's CDO borrowings will change in response to changes in interest rates and/or credit spreads.

63

Asset and Liability Management: Asset and liability management is concerned with the timing and magnitude of the re-pricing and/or maturing of assets and liabilities. It is the Company's objective to attempt to control risks associated with interest rate movements. In general, management's strategy is to match the term of the Company's liabilities as closely as possible with the

expected holding period of the Company's assets. This is less important for those assets in the Company's portfolio considered liquid, as there is a very stable market for the financing of these securities.

Other methods for evaluating interest rate risk, such as interest rate sensitivity "gap" (defined as the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or re-pricing within a given time period), are used but are considered of lesser significance in the daily management of the Company's portfolio. Management considers this relationship when reviewing the Company's hedging strategies. Because different types of assets and liabilities with the same or similar maturities react differently to changes in overall market rates or conditions, changes in interest rates may affect the Company's net interest income positively or negatively even if the Company were to be perfectly matched in each maturity category.

Currency Risk: The Company has foreign currency rate exposures related to certain CMBS and commercial real estate loans. The Company's principal currency exposures are to the Euro and British pound. Changes in currency rates can adversely impact the fair values and earnings of the Company's non-U.S. holdings. The Company mitigates this impact by utilizing local currency-denominated financing on its foreign investments and foreign currency forward commitments to hedge the net exposure.

During the first quarter, the Company and certain subsidiaries elected to have the subsidiaries treated as taxable REIT subsidiaries. This election permits the subsidiaries to enter into activities related to foreign investments that may not have constituted qualifying assets generating qualifying income for the REIT tests.

64

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the direction of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company's management evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures at June 30, 2006. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at June 30, 2006.

No change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal control over financial reporting.

65

#### Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

At June 30, 2006 there were no pending legal proceedings of which the Company was a defendant or of which any of its properties were subject.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Certain factors may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. For discussion of the Company's potential risks, refer to Part I, "Item 1A., Risk Factors", included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on March 16, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At the annual meeting of the Company's stockholders on May 18, 2006, the Company's stockholders approved (1) the election of Scott M. Amero, Ralph. L. Schlosstein and Deborah J. Lucas to the Board of Directors of the Company, (2) the 2006 Stock Award and Incentive Plan and (3) the ratification of the appointment by the Board of Directors of the Company of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent auditors. The Company's stockholders did not approve a stockholder proposal relating to the compensation of the management of the Company.

The result of the vote is as follows:

Election of Scott M. Amero

For:	41,649,198
Withheld:	7,907,364

Election of Ralph L. Schlosstein

For:	43,681,778
Withheld:	7,874,784

66

Election of Deborah J. Lucas

For:	47,611,340
Withheld:	3,945,222

2006 Stock Award and Incentive Plan

For:	30,358,629
Against:	4,192,823
Abstaining:	444,451

Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP

For:	50,811,246
Against:	512,333
Abstaining:	232,983

Stockholder proposal relating to the compensation of management

For: 3,193,618
Against: 31,289,228
Abstaining: 513,047

The terms of the following other directors of the Company continued after the meeting: Hugh R. Frater, Donald G. Drapkin, Carl F. Geuther, Jeffrey C. Keil and Leon T. Kendall.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	Letter agreement, dated as of July 1, 2006 to Master Repurchase Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2002 between the Registrant, as seller, and Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc., as buyer.
24.1	Power of Attorney (included on signature page hereto)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

67

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ANTHRACITE CAPITAL, INC.

Dated: August 9, 2006

By: /s/ Christopher A. Milner

Name: Christopher A. Milner Title: Chief Executive Officer (duly authorized representative)

Dated: August 9, 2006 By: /s/ James J. Lillis

\_\_\_\_\_

Name: James J. Lillis

Title: Chief Financial Officer