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## PART I

## Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K ( Annual Report ) contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act ), that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include both historical information and other information that can be used to infer future performance. Examples of historical information include annual financial statements and the commentary on past performance contained in Part II Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ( MD\&A ). While certain information has specifically been identified as being forward-looking in the context of its presentation, we caution you that, with the exception of information that is historical, all the information contained in this Annual Report should be considered to be forward-looking statements as referred to in the Reform Act. Without limiting the generality of the preceding sentence, any time we use the words estimate, project, intend, expect, believe, anticipate, continue and similar expressions, we intend to clearly express that the deals with possible future events and is forward-looking in nature. Certain information in our MD\&A is clearly forward-looking in nature, and without limiting the generality of the preceding cautionary statements, we specifically advise you to consider all of our MD\&A in the light of the cautionary statements set forth herein.

Much of the information in this Annual Report that looks towards future performance of Office Depot, Inc. and its subsidiaries is based on various factors and important assumptions about future events that may or may not actually come true. As a result, our operations and financial results in the future could differ materially and substantially from those we have discussed in this Annual Report. Significant factors that could impact our future results are provided in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors included in this Annual Report. Other risk factors are incorporated into the text of our MD\&A, which should itself be considered a statement of future risks and uncertainties, as well as management $s$ view of our businesses.

In this Annual Report, unless the context otherwise requires, the Company, Office Depot, we , us, and our refer to Office Depot, Inc. at subsidiaries.

## Item 1. Business

## Recent Developments

On February 4, 2015, Staples, Inc. ( Staples ) and the Company announced that the companies have entered into a definitive merger agreement (the Staples Merger Agreement ), under which Staples will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Office Depot and the Company will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples (the Staples Acquisition ). Under the terms of the Staples Merger Agreement, Office Depot shareholders will receive, for each Office Depot share held by such shareholders, $\$ 7.25$ in cash and 0.2188 of a share in Staples common stock at closing. Each employee share-based award outstanding at the date of the agreement will vest upon the effective date of the Staples Acquisition. The transaction has been approved by both companies Board of Directors and the completion of the Staples Merger is subject to customary closing conditions including, among others, the approval of Office Depot shareholders and various regulatory approvals. The transaction is anticipated to close before the end of 2015. Refer to the Company s Form 8-K filed February 4, 2015 for additional information on the transaction. We cannot guarantee that the Staples Acquisition will be completed or that, if completed, it will be exactly on the terms as set forth in the Staples Merger Agreement.

## Merger and Integration

On November 5, 2013, the Company completed its merger with OfficeMax Incorporated ( OfficeMax ) in an all-stock transaction (the Merger ). In connection with the Merger, each outstanding share of OfficeMax common stock was converted into 2.69 shares of Office Depot common stock. The Company issued approximately 240 million shares of Office Depot, Inc. common stock to former holders of OfficeMax common stock. Office Depot was determined to be the accounting acquirer. Since the Merger date, OfficeMax s financial results are included in our Consolidated Financial Statements, as discussed herein.
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Integration planning commenced shortly after the announcement of the Merger in February 2013, while the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) conducted an investigation of the proposed Merger. On November 1, 2013, the FTC closed its investigation and the transaction closed on November 5, 2013 when all other closing conditions were met.

From the Merger date through the end of 2014, significant progress has been made on key integration activities. The organization design and talent selection was completed in early 2014 and the integration of staff functions was completed during the course of the year. Mid-year, the Company s real estate strategy (the Real Estate Strategy ) identified at least 400 retail stores for closure through 2016 along with planned changes to the supply chain. By year end, in the United States, we closed 168 stores, converted over 50 stores to common point of sale systems, completed two cross-banner warehouse consolidations and platform modifications, successfully launched the co-branded website (www.officedepot.com), combined operating support functions, and made significant progress on identifying customer preferences and developing methods to service their needs. The facility closures, store and warehouse conversions and certain back-office functions will continue through 2016.

The remaining discussion of the Business section in this Annual Report addresses the way the Company operates currently; however, the integration will continue to impact many of these processes in future periods.

## The Company

Office Depot is a global supplier of office products and services. Office Depot was incorporated in Delaware in 1986 with the opening of its first retail store in Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

The Company sells products and services to consumers and businesses of all sizes through three reportable segments (or Divisions ): North American Retail Division, North American Business Solutions Division and International Division. Sales for these Divisions are processed through multiple channels, consisting of office supply stores, a contract sales force, Internet sites, an outbound telephone account management sales force, direct marketing catalogs and call centers, all supported by a network of supply chain facilities and delivery operations. Office Depot currently operates under the Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$ and OfficeMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ brands and utilizes other proprietary company and product brand names.

Additional information regarding our Divisions and operations in geographic areas is presented below in Part II Item 7. MD\&A and in Note 19, Segment Information, of the Consolidated Financial Statements located in Part IV Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules of this Annual Report.

The Company s primary website is www.officedepot.com. The Company s primary brands are discussed in the Intellectual Property section below.

During 2014, the Company voluntarily transferred the listing of its common stock from the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) to the NASDAQ Global Select Market ( NASDAQ ). The Company s common stock continues to trade under the ticker symbol ODP.

## Fiscal Year

Our fiscal year results are based on a 52- or 53-week retail calendar ending on the last Saturday in December. Fiscal years 2014, 2013, and 2012 consisted of 52 weeks and ended on December 27, 2014, December 28, 2013, and December 29, 2012, respectively. The Company s business in Canada, which has been included in the Company s results since the date of the Merger maintains calendar years with December 31 year-ends. The Australia and New Zealand businesses, also included in the Company s results since the date of the Merger, migrated to the Company s retail calendar during 2014.
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## North American Retail Division

The North American Retail Division sells a broad assortment of merchandise through our chain of office supply stores throughout the United States, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. We currently offer office supplies, technology products and solutions, business machines and related supplies, facilities products, and office furniture from national brands as well as our own brands. Refer to the Merchandising section below for additional product information. Most retail stores also offer copy and print services, as discussed in the Copy \& Print Depot ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and OfficeMax ImPress ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ section below.

At the end of 2014, the North American Retail Division operated 1,745 office supply stores. The count of open stores may include locations temporarily closed for remodels or other factors. We have a broad representation across North America with the largest concentration of our retail stores in Texas, Florida, California and Illinois. The majority of our retail stores are located in leased facilities that currently average over 20,000 square feet; however, most new store openings and store remodels have been in facilities smaller than the Company s current average square footage.

During 2012, we developed a retail strategy that included planned downsizing of a significant number of stores or closing lower-contributing stores at the end of their lease terms. Additionally, as part of the integration of the Office Depot and OfficeMax stores, we are implementing the Real Estate Strategy that anticipates closing at least 400 stores in North America through 2016, which includes the 168 stores closed in 2014. Closures include both Office Depot and OfficeMax locations. The real estate portfolio optimization plan will be adjusted in future periods as market and competitive conditions change. Implementation of this strategy is expected to result in exit costs associated with facility closures, termination costs, and possibly additional asset impairments. Refer to Part II Item 7. MD\&A for additional information on the store activity.

The retail stores continue to operate under their legacy banners of Office Depot or OfficeMax, though the business is managed as a single operation. The OfficeMax stores are being converted to a common point of sale system, the loyalty programs have merged and product offerings are converging. The customer-facing material generally contains the brand message Office Depot OfficeMax Now One Company.

Refer to the North American Supply Chain discussion below for additional information on our supply chain network.
Sales and marketing efforts are integral to understanding the Divisions processes and management. These efforts are addressed after the Divisions discussions.

## North American Business Solutions Division

The North American Business Solutions Division sells nationally branded and our own brands office supplies, technology products, cleaning and breakroom supplies, office furniture, certain services, and other solutions to customers in Canada and the United States, including Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Office Depot customers are served by a dedicated sales force, through catalogs, telesales, and electronically through our Internet sites. Refer to the Merchandising section below for additional product information. The North American Business Solutions Division also offers copy and print services, as discussed in the Copy \& Print Depo ${ }^{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{M}}}$ and OfficeMax ImPress ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ section below.

Our contract sales channel employs a dedicated inside and field sales force that services the office supply needs to a range of small, medium and large-sized businesses. Part of our contract business is also with various schools, local, state and national governmental agencies. We also enter into agreements with consortiums to sell to various entities and across industries, including governmental and non-profit entities, for non-exclusive buying arrangements. Sales to our contract customers that are fulfilled at retail locations are included in the results of our North American Retail Division, while honoring their contract pricing, as applicable. The migration of customers to Office Depot systems was initiated during 2014.
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Our direct sales channel is tailored to serve small- to medium-sized customers. During 2014, the Company launched its co-branded and expanded website to serve legacy customers of both Office Depot and OfficeMax. Similar to the approach used in reaching the customers identified in the North America Retail Division, the co-branded site operates under the banner Office Depot OfficeMax Now One Company. Site functionality provides customers the convenience of using the combined loyalty program and offers suggestions by product ratings, pricing, and brand, among other features. Direct customers can order products through our public websites, from our catalogs, or by phone. Customer orders are fulfilled through the common supply chain; refer to the North American Supply Chain discussion below for additional information on our supply chain network.

## Copy \& Print Depot ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and OfficeMax ImPress ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

Office Depot Copy \& Print Depot ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and OfficeMax ImPress ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ provide printing, digital imaging, reproduction, mailing, shipping through UPS, FedEx, and the U.S. Postal Service, and other services. We also maintain nationwide availability of personal computer ( PC ) support and network installation service that provides our customers with in-home, in-office and in-store support for their technology needs. Sales are recognized by the respective Division based on how the customer order is placed.

## North American Supply Chain

We operate a network of distribution centers (or DCs ) and crossdock facilities across the United States, Puerto Rico, and Canada. Certain of our DCs operate as flow-through facilities where merchandise is sorted for distribution and shipped to fulfill the inventory needs of our retail stores and customers. Some DCs in the OfficeMax network are larger facilities primarily serving the retail business. The crossdocks in the OfficeMax network are smaller buildings where customer orders are sorted and loaded onto private fleet trucks for last mile delivery. The DC and crossdock facilities costs, including real estate, technology, labor and inventory, are allocated to the North American Retail Division and North American Business Solutions Division based on the relative services provided.

The integration of the companies has resulted in changes to two facilities to service both Office Depot and OfficeMax banner customers and the closure of seven facilities during 2014. In the next two years, we expect to change the warehouse management system in six facilities to service both Office Depot and OfficeMax banner customers, create or repurpose five locations, expand capacity in 12 existing facilities to service both Office Depot and Office max banner customers, and close 12 locations.

Inventory is held in our DCs at levels we believe sufficient to meet current and anticipated customer needs. Certain purchases are sent directly from the manufacturer to our customers or retail stores. Some supply chain facilities and some retail locations also house sales offices, showrooms, and administrative offices supporting our contract sales channel.

As of December 27, 2014, we operated 78 DC and crossdock facilities in the United States and Canada.

Out-bound delivery and inbound direct import operations are currently provided by us and third-party carriers.

## International Division

In recent years, the International Division has undergone significant restructuring activities, including disposing of assets and streamlining processes, primarily in Europe. These activities have helped to lower operating expenses. In late 2014, the Company approved a European restructuring plan to realign the organization from a geographic-focus to a business channel-focus. The restructuring plan includes the creation of centralized and standardized processes that operate across Europe and the elimination of approximately 1,100 employee positions. This excludes approximately 300 employee positions previously eliminated. As required by law, the Company is consulting with each of the affected countries local Works Councils as part of the implementation of the restructuring plan, which is expected to be substantially completed by December 2015. Refer to Part II Item 7. MD\&A for further details on this plan.
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The International Division sells office products and services through direct mail catalogs, contract sales forces, Internet sites and retail stores, primarily through Company-owned operations, but also through joint ventures, and to a lesser extent, licensing and franchise agreements, alliances and other arrangements. We also offer copy and print services to our customers in Europe through our e-commerce business and certain retail locations. Refer to the Merchandising section below for additional product information.

As of December 27, 2014, the International Division sold to customers in 54 countries throughout Europe, Asia/Pacific, and Latin America. Outside of North America, the Company operates wholly-owned entities and participates in other ventures covering 27 countries and has alliances in an additional 27 countries.

As of December 27, 2014, the International Division operated, through wholly-owned entities, 146 retail stores in France, South Korea, Sweden, New Zealand, and Australia. In addition, we participate in the retail business under licensing and merchandise arrangements in certain countries in Latin America, in Europe, Israel, and Japan.

In August 2014, the Company completed the sale of its $51 \%$ capital stock interest in Grupo OfficeMax S. de R.L. de C.V. and related entities (together, Grupo OfficeMax ), the former OfficeMax business in Mexico, to its joint venture partner. Refer to Part II Item 7. MD\&A for additional information.

The Company maintains DCs and call centers throughout Europe and Asia/Pacific to support these operations. Refer to Part I Item 2. Properties for additional information on the International Division stores and DCs count and Part II Item 7. MD\&A for stores activity.

The International Division has separate regional headquarters for Europe in The Netherlands and for Asia in Hong Kong.

## Merchandising

Our merchandising strategy is to meet our customers needs by offering a broad selection of country and regional branded office products, as well as our own branded products and services. The selection of our own branded products has increased in breadth and level of sophistication over time. We currently offer products under various labels, including Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$, OfficeMax ${ }^{\circledR}$, Foray ${ }^{\circledR}$, Ativa ${ }^{\circledR}$, TUL ${ }^{\circledR}$, Realspace ${ }^{\circledR}$, WorkPro ${ }^{\circledR}$, Brenton Studio ${ }^{\circledR}$, Highmark ${ }^{\circledR}$, Grand \& Toy ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Viking Office Products ${ }^{\circledR}$.

We classify our products into three categories: (1) supplies, (2) technology, and (3) furniture and other. The supplies category includes products such as paper, binders, writing instruments, and school supplies. The technology category includes products such as desktop and laptop computers, monitors, tablets, printers, ink and toner, cables, software, digital cameras, telephones, and wireless communications products. The furniture and other category includes products such as desks, chairs, luggage, sales in our copy and print centers, and other miscellaneous items.

Total Company sales by product group were as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $2013^{*}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Supplies | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 \%}$ | $46.6 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| Technology | $\mathbf{3 8 . 0 \%}$ | $40.6 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| Furniture and other | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 \%}$ | $12.8 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

[^0]
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We buy substantially all of our merchandise directly from manufacturers, industry wholesalers, and other primary suppliers, including direct sourcing of our own brands products from domestic and offshore sources. We also enter into arrangements with vendors that can lower our unit product costs if certain volume thresholds or other criteria are met. For additional discussion regarding these arrangements, refer to Critical Accounting Policies in Part II Item 7. MD\&A .

We operate separate merchandising functions in North America, Europe and Asia/Pacific. Each group is responsible for selecting, purchasing and pricing merchandise as well as managing the product life cycle of our inventory. In recent years, we have increasingly used global offerings across all regions to further reduce our product cost while maintaining product quality.

We operate a converged global sourcing office in Shenzhen, China, which allows us to better manage our product sourcing, logistics and quality assurance. This office consolidates our purchasing power with Asian factories and, in turn, help us to increase the scope of our own branded offerings.

## Sales and Marketing

As part of bringing Office Depot and OfficeMax together and setting a foundation for growth, the Company invested significant effort during 2014 to identify our customers, understand their preferences and develop strategies to meet their needs. This includes assessing consumer shopping desires and tendencies which will help refine our strategy to identify and offer desired product assortment, shopping environment and purchasing methods. This effort will help shape our business in future periods and may impact store and website design, product offerings and placement, promotional activity and customer contact methods. Identifying the most desirable and effective way to reach our customers and allowing them to shop through whichever channel they prefer will continue to be a priority in 2015 and the future.

Our marketing programs are designed to attract new customers and to drive frequency of customer visits to our stores and websites. We regularly advertise in major newspapers in most of our North American markets. We also advertise through local and national radio, network and cable television advertising campaigns, and direct marketing efforts, such as the Internet and social networking. Our North American marketing programs are now prepared on a combined banner basis.

In early 2015, we combined the previously existing separate Office Depot and OfficeMax loyalty programs. Our customer loyalty program provides customers with rewards that can be applied to future purchases or other incentives. These programs enable us to market more effectively to our customers. Loyalty programs may change in popularity in the future, and we may make alterations to them from time to time.

We perform periodic competitive pricing analyses to monitor each market, and prices are adjusted as necessary to further our competitive positioning. We generally target our everyday pricing to be competitive with other resellers of office products.

We acquire new customers by selectively mailing specially designed catalogs and by making on-premises sales calls to prospective customers. We also make outbound sales calls using dedicated agents through our telephone account management program. We obtain the names of prospective customers in new and existing markets through the purchase of selected lists from outside marketing information services and other sources as well as through the use of a proprietary mailing list system. We also acquire customers through e-mail marketing campaigns and online affiliates. No single customer in any of our Divisions accounts for more than $10 \%$ of our total sales or accounts receivable.

Our business is somewhat seasonal, with sales generally trending lower in the second quarter, following the back-to-business sales cycle in the first quarter and preceding the back-to-school sales cycle in the third
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quarter and the holiday sales cycle in the fourth quarter. Certain working capital components may build and recede during the year reflecting established selling cycles. Business cycles can and have impacted our operations and financial position when compared to other periods.

With the exception of online purchases placed or fulfilled in our retail locations, online sales activities are reported in the North American Business Solutions or International Divisions, as appropriate.

## Intellectual Property

We currently operate under the Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$ and OfficeMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand names. We hold trademark registrations domestically and worldwide and have numerous other applications pending worldwide for the names Office Depot , Viking , Ativa , Foray , Realspace , OfficeMax , TUL
Brenton Studio , Highmark and others. As with all domestic trademarks, our trademark registrations in the United States are for a ten year period and are renewable every ten years, prior to their respective expirations, as long as the trademarks are used in the regular course of trade.

## Industry and Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment in all three Divisions. We compete with office supply stores, wholesale clubs, discount stores, mass merchandisers, Internet-based companies, food and drug stores, computer and electronics superstores and direct marketing companies. These companies compete with us in substantially all of our current markets. Increased competition in the office products markets, together with increased advertising, has heightened price awareness among end-users. Such heightened price awareness has led to margin pressure on office products and impacted our results. In addition to price, competition is also based on customer service, the quality and breadth of product selection and convenience. Other office supply retail companies market similarly to us in terms of store format, pricing strategy, product selection and product availability in the markets where we operate, primarily those in the United States. Some of our competitors are larger than us and have greater financial resources, which affords them greater purchasing power, increased financial flexibility and more capital resources for expansion and improvement, which may enable them to compete more effectively. We anticipate that in the future we will continue to face competition from these companies.

We believe our customer service and the efficiency and convenience for our customers from our combined contract and retail distribution channels helps our North American Business Solutions Division to compete with other business-to-business office products distributors.

We believe our North American Retail Division segment competes based on the quality of our customer service, our store formats, the breadth and depth of our merchandise offering and our pricing.

Internationally, we compete on a similar basis to North America. Our wholly-owned entities in the International Division sell through contract and catalog channels and operates retail stores in 17 countries. Additionally, our International Division provides office products and services in another 37 countries through licensing and franchise agreements, cross-border transactions, alliances and other arrangements.

## Employees

As of January 24, 2015, we had approximately 56,000 employees worldwide. In certain international locations, changes in staffing or work arrangements may need approval of local works councils or other bodies.
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## Environmental Matters

As both a significant user and seller of paper products, we have developed environmental practices that are values-based and market-driven. Our environmental initiatives center on three guiding principles: (1) recycling and pollution reduction; (2) sustainable forest management; and (3) issue awareness and market development for environmentally preferable products. We offer thousands of different products containing recycled content and technology recycling services in our retail stores.

Office Depot continues to implement environmental programs in line with our stated environmental vision to increasingly buy green, be green and sell green including environmental sensitivity in our packaging, operations and sales offerings. Operations in the US and internationally have been commended for our leadership position for our facility design, recycling efforts, and Green product offerings. Additional information on our achievements and green product offerings can be found at www.officedepotcitizenship.com/planet/ and www.officedepot.com/buygreen.

We are subject to a variety of environmental laws and regulations related to historical OfficeMax operations of paper and forest products businesses and timberland assets. We record environmental and asbestos liabilities, and accrue losses associated with these obligations, when probable and reasonably estimable. We record a separate insurance recovery receivable when considered probable. Refer to Item 3. Legal Proceedings for additional information.

## Available Information

We make available, free of charge, on the Investor Relations section of our website www.officedepot.com, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ). In addition, the public may read and copy any of the materials we file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers, such as the Company, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that website is www.sec.gov.

Additionally, our corporate governance materials, including our bylaws; corporate governance guidelines; charters of the Audit, Compensation, Finance and Integration, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees; and code of ethical behavior may also be found under the Investor Relations section of our website.

## Our Executive Officers

## Michael Allison Age: 57

Mr. Allison was appointed as our Executive Vice President and Chief People Officer in December 2013. From July 2011 until December 2013, Mr. Allison was our Executive Vice President, Human Resources. Mr. Allison joined Office Depot in September 2006 as Vice President, Human Resources. Prior to joining Office Depot, Mr. Allison served as Executive Vice President of Human Resources for Victoria s Secret Direct from February, 2001 to September, 2005. Prior to Victoria s Secret, he was Senior Vice President of Human Resources for Bank One, and Senior Vice President and Director of Human Resources for National City Bank.

## Mark Cosby Age: 56

Mr. Cosby was appointed as our President, North America in July 2014. From September 2011 to November 2013, Mr. Cosby served as the President, Pharmacy at CVS Caremark Corporation, where he was responsible for
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all aspects of the $\$ 65$ billion retail business, including 7,600 retail stores, 19 distribution centers, retail merchandising, supply chain, marketing, real estate and store pharmacy operations. Prior to CVS, Mr. Cosby spent five years at Macy s, Inc., where he served in a number of executive roles, including President, Stores from April 2009 to August 2011. Prior to Macy s, Inc., Mr. Cosby served as President, Full-line Stores at Sears, Roebuck \& Company and chief operating officer and chief development officer at YUM! Brands, Inc.

## Elisa D. Garcia C. Age: 57

Ms. Garcia was appointed as our Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary in December 2013. From July 2007 until December 2013, Ms. Garcia was our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Ms. Garcia is responsible for global legal and compliance matters, loss prevention, safety, and government relations. Prior to joining Office Depot, Ms. Garcia served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Domino s Pizza, Inc. from April 2000 until May 2007. Prior to joining Domino s Pizza, Ms. Garcia served as Latin American Regional Counsel for Philip Morris International, and Corporate Counsel for GAF Corporation.

## Stephen Hare Age: 61

Mr. Hare was appointed as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in December 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hare served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Wendy s Company, a restaurant owner, operator and franchisor, from July 2011 until September 2013. Mr. Hare also served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wendy s/Arby s Group, Inc., a position he held from October 2008 until July 2011. He also served as Chief Financial Officer of Arby s Restaurant Group, Inc., a restaurant owner, operator and franchisor ( Arby s ), from June 2006 until the sale of Arby s by The Wendy s Company in July 2011. Prior to joining The Wendy s Company, Mr. Hare served as an Executive Vice President of Cadmus Communications Corporation ( Cadmus ), a leading publisher of scientific, technical, medical, and scholarly journals, and as President of Publisher Services Group, a division of Cadmus, from January 2003 to June 2006, and as the Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Cadmus from September 2001 to January 2003. Mr. Hare currently serves as a director of Hanger, Inc., a provider of orthotic and prosthetic products and services that enhance human physical capability.

## Juliet Johansson Age: 45

Ms. Johansson was appointed as our Executive Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer in March 2014. From May 2012 to September 2013, Ms. Johansson served as Senior Vice President of the Global Commercial Business of Biomet 3i, a dental implant manufacturer. Prior to Biomet 3i, Ms. Johansson served as an Operating Advisor at The Blackstone Group from September 2010 to May 2012. Prior to the Blackstone Group, Ms. Johansson spent five years at Ryder, Inc., where she held a number of senior executive roles involving strategy, national sales and marketing, including Vice President Marketing from September 2005 to March 2008 and Vice President National Sales until March 2010. Prior to Ryder, Ms. Johansson was a consultant with McKinsey \& Company for seven years.

## Kim Moehler Age: 46

Ms. Moehler was appointed as our Senior Vice President and Controller in March 2012, and Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Accounting Officer in December 2013. Ms. Moehler previously served as Senior Vice President, Finance North American Retail and North America Financial Planning \& Analysis from February 2012 until March 2012, and as our Senior Vice President, Finance North American Retail from September 2006 through February 2012. From May 2000 through September 2006, Ms. Moehler served in director and vice president finance positions at the Company. Ms. Moehler joined Office Depot in February 1999 as Senior Manager, Budget \& Finance Reporting. Before Office Depot, Ms. Moehler was employed with Advantica Corporation (owner of Denny s restaurants), leaving as the Director of Field Finance. Ms. Moehler is a licensed CPA.
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Steven Schmidt Age: 60

Mr. Schmidt was appointed as our Executive Vice President and President of International in November 2011 after serving as our Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy and New Business Development from July 2011 until November 2011, and as our President, North American Business Solutions from July 2007 until November 2011. Prior to joining Office Depot, Mr. Schmidt spent 11 years with the ACNielsen Corporation, most recently serving as President and Chief Executive Officer. Prior to joining ACNielsen, Mr. Schmidt spent eight years at the Pillsbury Food Company, serving as President of its Canadian and Southeast Asian operations. He has also held management positions at PepsiCo and Procter \& Gamble.

## Roland Smith Age 60

Mr. Smith was appointed as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in November 2013. Prior to joining Office Depot, Mr. Smith served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Delhaize America, LLC, the U.S. division of Delhaize Group, and Executive Vice President of Delhaize Group, an international food retailer, from October 2012 to September 2013. Mr. Smith was a Special Advisor to The Wendy s Company, a restaurant owner, operator and franchisor, from September 2011 to December 2011, served as President and Chief Executive Officer from July 2011 to September 2011. Mr. Smith served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Wendy s/Arby s Group, Inc. and Chief Executive Officer of Wendy s International, Inc. from September 2008 to July 2011. Mr. Smith also served as Chief Executive Officer of Triarc Companies, Inc. from June 2007 to July 2011, and the Chief Executive Officer of Arby s Restaurant Group, Inc., a restaurant owner, operator and franchisor, from April 2006 to September 2008. Mr. Smith served as President and Chief Executive Officer of American Golf Corporation and National Golf Properties, an owner and operator of golf courses, from February 2003 to November 2005. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of AMF Bowling Worldwide, Inc., an owner and operator of bowling centers, from April 1999 until January 2003. Mr. Smith has been a member of Carmike Cinemas, Inc. s ( Carmike ) board of directors since June 2009, and also serves as Chairman of the Compensation and Nominating Committee and as a member of the Executive Committee of Carmike s board.
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## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to risks and uncertainties in the ordinary course of business that are common to all businesses, important factors that are specific to our industry and our Company could materially impact our future performance and results. We have provided below a list of risk factors that should be reviewed when considering investing in our securities.

## Risks Related to the Staples Acquisition

On February 4, 2015, we entered into the Staples Merger Agreement with Staples, a Delaware corporation and Staples AMS, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples ( Merger Sub ), providing for, among other things, that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into the Company, with the Company surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples. In connection with the proposed merger, we are subject to certain risks including, but not limited to, those set forth below.

For additional information related to the Staples Merger Agreement, please refer to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 4, 2015 (the Staples Merger Form 8-K ). The foregoing description of the Staples Merger Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Merger Agreement attached as Exhibit 2.1 to the Staples Merger Form 8-K.

## The announcement and pendency of the Staples Acquisition could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The announcement and pendency of the Staples Acquisition of our company with Staples could cause disruptions in and create uncertainty surrounding our business, including affecting our relationships with our existing and future customers, suppliers and employees, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, regardless of whether the proposed Staples Acquisition is completed. In particular, we could potentially lose important personnel as a result of the departure of employees who decide to pursue other opportunities in light of the Staples Acquisition. We could also potentially lose customers or suppliers, and new customer or supplier contracts could be delayed or decreased. In addition, we have allocated, and will continue to allocate, significant management resources towards the completion of the transaction, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We are subject to putative class action lawsuits challenging the Staples Acquisition. The lawsuits seek, among other things, to enjoin the consummation of the Staples Acquisition, rescission of the Staples Acquisition if it is consummated, and an award of monetary damages, costs and fees. The costs, delay and management resources associated with litigation related to the Staples Acquisition could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are also subject to restrictions on the conduct of our business prior to the consummation of the Staples Acquisition as provided in the Staples Merger Agreement, including, among other things, certain restrictions on our ability to acquire other businesses, sell or transfer our assets, amend our organizational documents, and incur indebtedness. These restrictions could result in our inability to respond effectively to competitive pressures, industry developments and future opportunities and may otherwise harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

## Failure to complete the proposed Staples Acquisition could adversely affect our business and the market price of our common stock.

There is no assurance that the closing of the Staples Acquisition will occur. Consummation of the Staples Acquisition is subject to various conditions, including, among other things, the approval of the Staples Merger Agreement and the Staples Acquisition by the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock,
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and certain other customary conditions, including, among other things, the absence of laws or judgments prohibiting or enjoining the merger and the receipt of certain regulatory approvals. We cannot predict with certainty whether and when any of these conditions will be satisfied. We are subject to putative class action lawsuits challenging the Staples Acquisition, which seek, among other things, to enjoin the consummation of the Staples Acquisition. In addition, the Staples Merger Agreement may be terminated under certain specified circumstances, including, but not limited to, a change in the recommendation of our Board of Directors or a termination of the Staples Merger Agreement by us to enter into an agreement for a superior proposal . If the Staples Merger Agreement is terminated, we may be required to pay Staples a termination fee of $\$ 185$ million. If the Staples Acquisition is not consummated, our stock price will likely decline as our stock has recently traded based on the proposed per share price for the Staples Acquisition. We will have incurred significant costs, including, among other things, the diversion of management resources, for which we will have received little or no benefit if the closing of the Staples Acquisition does not occur. A failed transaction may result in negative publicity and a negative impression of us in the investment community. The occurrence of any of these events individually or in combination could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and the market price of our common stock.

## Risks Related to Our Business

## Our ongoing business is subject to certain risks related to our merger with OfficeMax and restructuring activities.

We completed a merger with OfficeMax on November 5, 2013, pursuant to which OfficeMax became an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of our Company. The Merger involved the integration of two companies that previously operated independently with principal offices in two distinct locations. We have devoted, and will continue to devote, significant management attention and resources to integrating the companies. As previously disclosed, the combined company is expected to capture over $\$ 750$ million in cost synergies by the end of 2016, including at least $\$ 100$ million in annual run-rate synergies from store closures. Additionally, in response to economic and competitive factors in our industry, we may, from time to time, undertake certain restructuring activities within our business divisions to improve our performance. In recent years, the International Division has undergone significant restructuring activities, including disposing of assets and streamlining processes, primarily in Europe in an effort to be more responsive to customer needs and further improve processes. In 2014, the International Division launched a European-wide restructuring plan to realign the business organization from a geographic focus to a business channel focus. As previously disclosed, this plan is expected to provide $\$ 90$ million of annual cost savings by the end of 2016.

We may not be able to achieve the expected Merger synergies or restructuring benefits due to certain risks, among other things, risks that:
the businesses of Office Depot and OfficeMax may not be integrated successfully or such integration may take longer, be more difficult, time-consuming or costly to accomplish than expected;
we may experience business disruption following the Merger, including adverse effects on employee retention and loss of employee focus during periods of restructuring activities;
we may be unable to avoid potential liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses or delays associated with the Merger integration or other restructuring activities, including in Europe;
there may be unanticipated changes in the markets for the combined Company s business segments;
branding or rebranding initiatives may involve substantial costs and may not be favorably received by customers;
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there may be unanticipated downturns in business relationships with customers;
there may be competitive pressures on the combined Company s sales and pricing;
we may be unable to close all of the stores targeted for closure or such store closures may not result in the benefits or cost savings at levels that we anticipate due to factors such as sales transfers to stores remaining open being below our projections and costs to close stores being higher than our projections, because of the terms of the existing lease, the condition of the local property market, demand for the specific property, our relationship with the landlord, the availability of potential sub-lease tenants and employee severance and other costs;
the benefits of any restructuring activity, including in Europe, may not be fully realized due to competitive, regulatory or operational difficulties; and
we may be unable to successfully manage the complex integration of systems, technology, networks and other assets of the combined Company in a manner that minimizes any adverse impact on our customers, vendors, suppliers, employees and other constituencies. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that: (i) the Merger and restructuring activities will result in the realization of the full benefits of synergies, innovation and operational efficiencies that we currently expect; (ii) these benefits will be achieved within the anticipated timeframe: (iii) we will be able to fully and accurately measure any such synergies; or (iv) we will be able to implement new strategies to transform the combined Company. Failure to successfully integrate the businesses and realize the projected synergies, innovation and operational efficiencies may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Our business is highly competitive and failure to adequately differentiate ourselves or respond to the decline in general office supplies sales or to shifting consumer demands could adversely impact our financial performance.

The office products market is highly competitive and we compete locally, domestically and internationally with office supply stores, including Staples, wholesale clubs such as Costco, Sam s Club and BJs, mass merchandisers such as Wal-Mart and Target, computer and electronics superstores such as Best Buy, Internet-based companies such as Amazon.com, food and drug stores, discount stores, and direct marketing companies. Many competitors have also increased their presence by broadening their assortments or broadening from retail into the delivery and e-commerce channels, while others have substantially greater financial resources to devote to sourcing, marketing and selling their products. Product pricing is also becoming ever more competitive, particularly among competitors on the Internet. In order to achieve and maintain expected profitability levels, we must continue to grow by adding new customers and taking market share from competitors. In addition, consumers are utilizing more technology and purchasing less paper, ink and toner, physical file storage and general office supplies. If we are unable to: (i) provide technology solutions and services that meet consumer needs; (ii) continuously stock products that are up-to-date and among the latest trends in the rapidly changing technological environment; (iii) differentiate ourselves from other retailers who sell similar products; and (iv) effectively compete, our sales and financial performance could be negatively impacted.

If we are unable to successfully maintain a relevant multichannel experience for our customers, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

With the increasing use of computers, tablets, mobile phones and other devices to shop in our stores and online, we offer full and mobile versions of our website and applications for mobile phones and tablets. In addition, we are increasing the use of social media as a means of interacting with our customers and enhancing their shopping experiences. Multichannel retailing is rapidly evolving and we must keep pace with the changing expectations of our customers and new developments by our competitors. If we are unable to attract and retain team members or
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contract third parties with the specialized skills needed to support our multichannel platforms, or are unable to implement improvements to our customer-facing technology in a timely manner, our ability to compete and our results of operations could be adversely affected. In addition, if our website and our other customer-facing technology systems do not function as designed, the customer experience could be negatively affected, resulting in a loss of customer confidence and satisfaction, and lost sales, which could adversely affect our reputation and results of operations.

## Disruptions of our computer systems could adversely affect our operations.

We rely heavily on computer systems to process transactions, manage our inventory and supply-chain and to summarize and analyze our global business. If our systems are damaged or fail to function properly, or, if we do not replace or upgrade certain systems, we may incur substantial costs to repair or replace them and may experience an interruption of our normal business activities or loss of critical data. We are undertaking certain system enhancements and conversions to increase productivity and efficiency, that, if not done properly, could divert the attention of our workforce and constrain for some time our ability to provide the level of service our customers demand. Also, once implemented, the new systems and technology may not provide the intended efficiencies or anticipated benefits, and could add costs and complications to our ongoing operations.

## A breach of our information technology systems could adversely affect our reputation, business partner and customer relationships and operations and result in high costs.

Through our sales, marketing activities, and use of third-party information, we collect and store certain personally identifiable information that our customers provide to purchase products or services, enroll in promotional programs, register on our website, or otherwise communicate and interact with us. This may include but is not limited to names, addresses, phone numbers, driver license numbers, e-mail addresses, contact preferences, personally identifiable information stored on electronic devices, and payment account information, including credit and debit card information. We also gather and retain information about our employees in the normal course of business. We may share information about such persons with vendors that assist with certain aspects of our business. In addition, our online operations depend upon the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks, such as information permitting cashless payments.

We have instituted safeguards for the protection of such information. These security measures may be compromised as a result of third-party security breaches, burglaries, cyber-attack, errors by employees or employees of third-party vendors, faulty password management, misappropriation of data by employees, vendors or unaffiliated third-parties, or other irregularity, and result in persons obtaining unauthorized access to our data or accounts. Despite instituted safeguards for the protection of such information, we cannot be certain that all of our systems and those of our vendors and unaffiliated third-parties are entirely free from vulnerability to attack or compromise given that the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, disable or degrade service, or sabotage systems change frequently. During the normal course of our business, we have experienced and we expect to continue to experience attempts to breach our systems, and we may be unable to protect sensitive data and the integrity of our systems or to prevent fraudulent purchases. Moreover, an alleged or actual security breach that affects our systems or results in the unauthorized release of personally identifiable information could:
materially damage our reputation and brand, negatively affect customer satisfaction and loyalty, expose us to negative publicity, individual claims or consumer class actions, administrative, civil or criminal investigations or actions, and infringe on proprietary information; and
cause us to incur substantial costs, including but not limited to costs associated with remediation for stolen assets or information, payments of customer incentives for the maintenance of business relationships after an attack, litigation costs, lost revenues resulting from unauthorized use of proprietary information or the failure to retain or attract customers following an attack, and increased cyber security protection costs. While we maintain insurance coverage that may, subject to policy terms and conditions, cover certain aspects of our cyber risks, such insurance coverage may be unavailable or insufficient to cover our losses or all types of claims that may arise in the continually evolving area of cyber risk.
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## We do a significant amount of business with government entities, various purchasing consortiums, and through sole- or limited-source distribution arrangements, and loss of this business could negatively impact our results.

One of our largest customer groups consists of various national and international governmental entities, government agencies and non-profit organizations, such as purchasing consortiums. Contracting with U.S. state and local governments is highly competitive, subject to federal and state procurement laws, requires more restrictive contract terms and can be expensive and time-consuming. Bidding such contracts often requires that we incur significant upfront time and expense without any assurance that we will win a contract. Our ability to compete successfully for and retain business with the federal and various state and local governments is highly dependent on cost-effective performance. Our business with governmental entities and agencies is also sensitive to changes in national and international priorities and their respective budgets, which in the current economy continue to decrease. We also service a substantial amount of business through agreements with purchasing consortiums and other sole- or limited-source distribution arrangements. If we are unsuccessful in retaining these customers, or if there is a significant reduction in sales under any of these arrangements, it could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

## Macroeconomic conditions have had and may continue to adversely affect our business and financial performance.

Our operating results and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions and their impact on business and consumer spending. In the past, the decline in business and consumer spending resulting from the global recession has caused our comparable store sales to continue to decline from prior periods and we have experienced similar declines in most of our other domestic and international businesses. Our business and financial performance may continue to be adversely affected by current and future economic conditions in the U.S. and internationally, including, without limitation, the level of consumer debt, high levels of unemployment, higher interest rates and the ability of our customers to obtain credit, which may cause a continued or further decline in business and consumer spending.

## Increases in fuel and other commodity prices could have an adverse impact on our earnings.

While fuel prices declined late in 2014, because these products are non-renewable resources, there can be no assurance that prices will not rise in the near future even above past levels. We operate a large network of stores, delivery centers, and delivery vehicles around the globe. As such, we purchase significant amounts of fuel needed to transport products to our stores and customers as well as shipping costs to import products from overseas. While we may hedge our anticipated fuel purchases, the underlying commodity costs associated with this transport activity have been volatile in recent years and disruptions in availability of fuel could cause our operating costs to rise significantly to the extent not covered by our hedges. Additionally, other commodity prices, such as paper, may increase and we may not be able to pass along such costs to our customers. Fluctuations in the availability or cost of our energy and other commodity prices could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

## Our business may be adversely affected by the actions of and risks related to the activities of our third-party vendors.

We purchase products for resale under credit arrangements with our vendors and have been able to negotiate payment terms that are approximately equal in length to the time it takes to sell the vendor s products. When the global economy is experiencing weakness as it has over the last five years, vendors may seek credit insurance to protect against non-payment of amounts due to them. If we continue to experience declining operating performance, and if we experience severe liquidity challenges, vendors may demand that we accelerate our payment for their products or require cash on delivery, which could have an adverse impact on our operating cash
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flow and result in severe stress on our liquidity. Borrowings under our existing credit facility could reach maximum levels under such circumstances, causing us to seek alternative liquidity measures, but we may not be able to meet our obligations as they become due until we secure such alternative measures.

We use and resell many manufacturers branded items and services. As a result, we are dependent on the availability and pricing of key products and services, including ink, toner, paper and technology products. As a reseller, we cannot control the supply, design, function, cost or vendor-required conditions of sale of many of the products we offer for sale. Disruptions in the availability of these products or the products and services we provide to our customers may adversely affect our sales and result in customer dissatisfaction. Further, we cannot control the cost of manufacturers products, and cost increases must either be passed along to our customers or will result in erosion of our earnings. Failure to identify desirable products and make them available to our customers when desired and at attractive prices could have an adverse effect on our business and our results of operations. In addition, a material interruption in service by the carriers that ship goods within our supply chain may adversely affect our sales. Many of our vendors are small or medium sized businesses which are impacted by current macroeconomic conditions, both in the U.S., Asia and other locations. We may have no warning before a vendor fails, which may have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our product offering also includes many of our own branded products. While we have focused on the quality of our own branded products, we rely on third-party manufacturers for these products. Such third-party manufacturers may prove to be unreliable, the quality of our globally sourced products may not meet our expectations, such products may not meet applicable regulatory requirements which may require us to recall those products, or such products may infringe upon the intellectual property rights of third-parties. Furthermore, economic and political conditions in areas of the world where we source such products may adversely affect the availability and cost of such products. In addition, our own branded products compete with other manufacturers branded items that we offer. As we continue to increase the number and types of our own branded products that we sell, we may adversely affect our relationships with our vendors, who may decide to reduce their product offerings through us and may increase their product offerings through our competitors. Finally, if any of our customers are harmed by our own branded products, they may bring product liability and other claims against us. Any of these circumstances could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Disruption of global sourcing activities and our own brands quality concerns could negatively impact brand reputation and earnings.

Economic and civil unrest in areas of the world where we source products, as well as shipping and dockage issues, could adversely impact the availability or cost of our products, or both. Most of our goods imported to the U.S. arrive from Asia through ports located on the U.S. west coast and we are therefore subject to potential disruption due to labor unrest, security issues or natural disasters affecting any or all of these ports. In addition, in recent years, we have substantially increased the number and types of products that we sell under our own brands including Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$, OfficeMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ and other proprietary brands. While we have focused on the quality of our proprietary branded products, we rely on third-parties to manufacture these products. Such third-party manufacturers may prove to be unreliable, the quality of our globally sourced products may vary from our expectations and standards, such products may not meet applicable regulatory requirements which may require us to recall those products, or such products may infringe upon the intellectual property rights of third-parties. Moreover, as we seek indemnities from the manufacturers of these products, the uncertainty of realization of any such indemnity and the lack of understanding of U.S. product liability laws in certain foreign jurisdictions make it more likely that we may have to respond to claims or complaints from our customers.

## A downgrade in our credit ratings or a general disruption in the credit markets could make it more difficult for us to access funds, refinance indebtedness, obtain new funding or issue securities.

While Merger-related costs have been significant in 2014 and 2013, historically, we have generated positive cash flow from operating activities and have had access to broad financial markets that provide the liquidity we need to operate our business. Together, these sources have been used to fund operating and working capital needs, as
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well as invest in business expansion through new store openings, capital improvements and acquisitions. A deterioration in our financial results or the impact of significant Merger and integration costs could negatively impact our credit ratings, our liquidity and our access to the capital markets. If we need to refinance all or a portion of that indebtedness, there is no assurance that we will be able to secure such refinancing at the same or more favorable terms than the terms of our existing indebtedness.

## A default under our credit facility could significantly restrict our access to funding and adversely impact our operations.

Our asset based credit facility contains a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant that is operative only when borrowing availability is below $\$ 125$ million or prior to a restricted transaction, such as incurring additional indebtedness, acquisitions, dispositions, dividends, or share repurchases. The agreement governing our credit facility (the Credit Agreement ) also contains representations, warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, and default provisions. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our Credit Agreement. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under our Credit Agreement, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If the lenders were to accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we may not have sufficient assets to repay our asset based credit facility and our other indebtedness. Also, should there be an event of default, or a need to obtain waivers following an event of default, we may be subject to higher borrowing costs and/or more restrictive covenants in future periods. Acceleration of our obligations under our credit facilities would permit the holders of our other material debt to accelerate their obligations.

## We have incurred significant impairment charges and we continue to incur significant impairment charges.

In recent years, we recognized significant non-cash asset impairment charges related to under-performing stores in North America. These charges reflect greater than anticipated downturns in sales at certain lower performing stores and in some cases, early closures associated with the Real Estate Strategy. We regularly assess past performance and make estimates and projections of future performance at an individual store level. Reduced sales, our shift in strategy to be less promotional, as well as competitive factors and changes in consumer spending habits resulted in a downward adjustment of anticipated future cash flows for the individual stores that resulted in the impairment. We foresee challenges in the market and economy that could adversely impact our operations. To the extent that forward-looking sales and operating assumptions are not achieved and are subsequently reduced, or if we commit to a more aggressive store downsizing strategy, including allocating capital to further modify store formats, additional impairment charges may result. We have also recognized non-cash asset impairment charges from the abandonment of assets identified as not to be used in the new organization and from certain lease-related intangible assets that were deemed unrecoverable based on the Real Estate Strategy. Additional asset impairments may be recognized based on future decisions and conditions.

Changes in the numerous variables associated with the judgments, assumptions and estimates we make, in assessing the appropriate valuation of our goodwill, including changes resulting from macroeconomic challenges in international markets, or disposition of components within reporting units, could in the future require a reduction of goodwill and recognition of related non-cash impairment charges. If we were required to further impair our store assets, our goodwill or intangible assets, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Our quarterly operating results are subject to fluctuation due to the seasonality of our business.

Our business is somewhat seasonal, with sales generally trending lower in the second quarter, following the back-to-business sales cycle in the first quarter and preceding the back-to-school sales cycle in the third quarter and the holiday sales cycle in the fourth quarter. As a result, our operating results have fluctuated from quarter to quarter in the past, with sales and profitability being generally stronger in the second half of our fiscal year than the first half of our fiscal year. Factors that could also cause these quarterly fluctuations include: the
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pricing behavior of our competitors; the types and mix of products sold; the level of advertising and promotional expenses; severe weather; macroeconomic factors that affect consumer confidence; and the other risk factors described in this section. Most of our operating expenses, such as occupancy costs and associate salaries, are not variable, and so short term adjustments to reflect quarterly results are difficult. As a result, if sales in certain quarters are significantly below expectations, we may not be able to proportionately reduce operating expenses for that quarter, and therefore such a sales shortfall would have an adverse effect on our net income for the quarter.

## We have retained responsibility for liabilities of acquired companies that may adversely affect our financial results.

OfficeMax sponsors noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain terminated employees, vested employees, retirees, and some active employees (the Pension Plans ). The Pension Plans are under-funded and we may be required to make contributions in subsequent years in order to maintain required funding levels, which would have an adverse impact on our cash flows and our financial results. Additional future contributions to the Pension Plans, financial market performance and Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) funding requirements could materially change these expected payments.

In connection with OfficeMax s sale of its paper, forest products and timberland assets in 2004, OfficeMax agreed to assume responsibility for certain liabilities of the businesses sold. These obligations include liabilities related to environmental, asbestos, health and safety, tax, litigation and employee benefit matters. Some of these retained liabilities could turn out to be significant, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Our exposure to these liabilities could harm our ability to compete with other office products distributors, who would not typically be subject to similar liabilities.

## Changes in tax laws in any of the multiple jurisdictions in which we operate can cause fluctuations in our overall tax rate impacting our reported earnings.

Our global tax rate is derived from a combination of applicable tax rates in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. Depending upon the sources of our income, any agreements we may have with taxing authorities in various jurisdictions, and the tax filing positions we take in these jurisdictions, our overall tax rate may fluctuate significantly from other companies or even our own past tax rates. At any given point in time, we base our estimate of an annual effective tax rate upon a calculated mix of the tax rates applicable to our Company and to estimates of the amount of income likely to be generated in any given geography. Additionally, because of recent operating losses, the Company has significant valuation allowances on deferred tax assets, limiting the amount of deferred tax benefits that can be recognized on current operations. The loss of one or more agreements with taxing jurisdictions, a change in the mix of our business from year to year and from country to country, changes in rules related to accounting for income taxes, changes in tax laws in any of the multiple jurisdictions in which we operate, changes in valuation allowances, or adverse outcomes from the tax audits that regularly are in process in any of the jurisdictions in which we operate could result in substantial volatility, including an unfavorable change in our overall tax rate and/or our effective tax rate.

## Loss of key personnel could have an adverse impact on our business.

We depend on our executive management team and other key personnel, and the loss of certain personnel could result in the loss of management continuity and institutional knowledge. We depend heavily upon our retail labor force to identify new customers and provide desired products and personalized customer service to existing customers. The market for qualified employees, with the right talent and competencies, is highly competitive, and may subject us to increased labor costs during periods of low unemployment. The loss of the services of key employees or the inability to attract additional qualified managers for our retail stores and other lines of business may adversely affect our ability to conduct operations in accordance with the standards that we have set.
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Although certain members of our executive team have entered into agreements relating to their employment with us, most of our key personnel are not bound by employment agreements, and those with employment or retention agreements are bound only for a limited period of time. If we are unable to retain our key personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop and implement our business plans, which may have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## We are subject to legal proceedings and legal compliance risks.

We are involved in various legal proceedings, which from time to time may involve class action lawsuits, state and federal governmental inquiries, audits and investigations, environmental matters, employment, tort, state false claims act, consumer litigation and intellectual property litigation. At times, such matters may involve directors and/or executive officers. Certain of these legal proceedings, including government investigations, may be a significant distraction to management and could expose our Company to significant liability, including settlement expenses, damages, fines, penalties, attorneys fees and costs, and non-monetary sanctions, including suspensions and debarments from doing business with certain government agencies, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Failure to successfully manage our domestic and international business could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

Circumstances outside of our control could negatively impact anticipated store openings, joint ventures, strategic alliances and franchise arrangements. We cannot provide assurance that our new store openings, including some newly sized or formatted stores or retail concepts, will be successful. There may be unintended consequences of adding joint venture, strategic alliances and franchising partners to the Office Depot model, such as the potential for compromised operational control in certain countries and inconsistent international brand image. These joint venture, strategic alliances and franchise arrangements may also add complexity to our processes, and may require unanticipated operational adjustments in the future that could adversely impact our business and results of operations.

## Our international operations subject us to risks as foreign currency fluctuations, potential unfavorable foreign trade policies or unstable political and economic conditions.

As of December 27, 2014, we sold to customers in 56 countries throughout North America, Europe, Asia/Pacific, and Latin America. We operate wholly-owned entities and participate in joint ventures and alliances globally. Sales from our operations outside the U.S. are denominated in local currency, which must be translated into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes and therefore our consolidated earnings can be significantly impacted by fluctuations in world currency markets. We are required to comply with multiple foreign laws and regulations that may differ substantially from country to country, requiring significant management attention and cost. In addition, the business cultures in certain areas of the world are different than those that prevail in the U.S., and we may be at a competitive disadvantage against other companies that do not have to comply with standards of financial controls or business integrity that we are committed to maintaining as a U.S. publicly traded company.

## Changes in the regulatory environment may increase our expenses and may negatively impact our business.

We are subject to regulatory matters relating to our corporate conduct and the conduct of our business, including securities laws, consumer protection laws, advertising regulations, privacy and cybersecurity laws, and wage and hour regulations and anti-corruption legislation. Certain jurisdictions have taken a particularly aggressive stance with respect to such matters and have implemented new initiatives and reforms, including more stringent disclosure and compliance requirements. To the extent that we are subject to more challenging regulatory environments and enhanced legal and regulatory requirements, such exposure could have a material adverse effect on our business, including the added cost of increased compliance measures that we may determine to be necessary.
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## We could be adversely affected by violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws.

The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act and similar anti-bribery laws in other jurisdictions generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Recent years have seen a substantial increase in anti-bribery law enforcement activity with more frequent and aggressive investigations and enforcement proceedings by both the Department of Justice and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, increased enforcement activity by non-U.S. regulators and increases in criminal and civil proceedings brought against companies and individuals. Our policies mandate compliance with all anti-bribery laws. However, we operate in certain countries that are recognized as having governmental and commercial corruption. Our internal control policies and procedures may not always protect us from reckless or criminal acts committed by our employees or third-party intermediaries. Violations of these anti-bribery laws may result in criminal or civil sanctions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

## Healthcare reform legislation could adversely affect our future profitability and financial condition.

Rising healthcare costs and interest in universal healthcare coverage in the United States have resulted in government and private sector initiatives proposing significant healthcare reforms. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, signed into law on March 23, 2010, is expected to increase our annual employee health care costs, with the most significant increases commencing in 2015. We cannot predict the extent of the effect of this statute, or any future state or federal healthcare legislation or regulation, will have on us. However, an expansion in government s role in the U.S. healthcare industry could result in significant long-term costs to us, which could in turn adversely affect our future profitability and financial condition.

## Our business could be disrupted due to weather-related factors.

Our operations are heavily concentrated in the Southern and Midwestern U.S. (including Illinois, Ohio, Florida and the Gulf Coast). Because of our concentration in the Southern U.S., we may be more susceptible than some of our competitors to the effects of tropical weather disturbances, such as tornadoes and hurricanes. In addition, winter storm conditions in areas that have a large concentration of our business activities could also result in reduced demand for our products, lost retail sales, supply chain constraints or other business disruptions. We believe that we have taken reasonable precautions to prepare for weather-related events, but our precautions may not be adequate to mitigate the adverse effect of such events in the future.

## The unionization of a significant portion of our workforce could increase our overall costs and adversely affect our operations.

We have a large employee base and while our management believes that our employee relations are good, we cannot be assured that we will not experience organization efforts from labor unions. The potential for unionization could increase if federal legislation is passed that would facilitate labor organization. Significant union representation would require us to negotiate wages, salaries, benefits and other terms with many of our employees collectively and could adversely affect our results of operations by significantly increasing our labor costs or otherwise restricting our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations.

## Disclaimer of Obligation to Update

We assume no obligation (and specifically disclaim any such obligation) to update these Risk Factors or any other forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or other factors affecting such forward-looking statements.

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.
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## Item 2. Properties.

As of December 27, 2014, our wholly-owned entities operated the following retail stores, which are presented in the tables below by Division and location.

## STORES

## North American Retail Division

| State | \# | State | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES: |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 30 | Montana | 6 |
| Alaska | 6 | Nebraska | 15 |
| Arizona | 37 | Nevada | 30 |
| Arkansas | 13 | New Jersey | 11 |
| California | 176 | New Mexico | 14 |
| Colorado | 58 | New York | 33 |
| Connecticut | 2 | North Carolina | 60 |
| Delaware | 1 | North Dakota | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | Ohio | 61 |
| Florida | 169 | Oklahoma | 18 |
| Georgia | 68 | Oregon | 25 |
| Hawaii | 11 | Pennsylvania | 32 |
| Idaho | 9 | Puerto Rico | 18 |
| Illinois | 83 | Rhode Island | 1 |
| Indiana | 30 | South Carolina | 24 |
| Iowa | 10 | South Dakota | 5 |
| Kansas | 17 | Tennessee | 40 |
| Kentucky | 22 | Texas | 210 |
| Louisiana | 40 | Utah | 17 |
| Maine | 1 | U.S. Virgin Islands | 2 |
| Maryland | 20 | Virginia | 44 |
| Massachusetts | 5 | Washington | 51 |
| Michigan | 53 | West Virginia | 5 |
| Minnesota | 43 | Wisconsin | 43 |
| Mississippi | 20 | Wyoming | 4 |
| Missouri | 47 | TOTAL UNITED STATES | 1,745 |
| International Division |  |  |  |


| Country | $\#$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Australia | 4 |
| France | 58 |
| New Zealand | 16 |
| South Korea | 21 |
| Sweden | 47 |
|  |  |
| TOTAL | 146 |

The supply chain facilities which we operate in the United States support our North American Retail and North American Business Solutions Divisions and the facilities in Canada support our North American Business Solutions Division. We also operate DCs outside of the United States and Canada, which support our
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International Division. The following tables set forth the locations of our supply chain facilities as of December 27, 2014. The number of facilities in the United States and Canada, as of December 27, 2014, exclude or include certain locations reported in the prior year because of the updated assessment of the supply chain facilities primary function and size considerations.

## DCs and Crossdock Facilities (United States)

| State | $\#$ |  | State |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | 1 | Missouri | $\#$ |
| Arizona | 1 | Nevada | 1 |
| California | 6 | New Hampshire | 1 |
| Colorado | 2 | New Jersey | 1 |
| Connecticut | 1 | New York | 1 |
| Florida | 4 | North Carolina | 1 |
| Georgia | 2 | Ohio | 1 |
| Hawaii | 4 | Oregon | 1 |
| Illinois | 4 | Pennsylvania | 3 |
| Indiana | 1 | Puerto-Rico | 2 |
| Kansas | 1 | Tennessee | 6 |
| Kentucky | 2 | Texas | 1 |
| Maine | 1 | Utah | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | Virginia | 2 |
| Minnesota | 2 | Washington | 4 |
| Mississippi | 1 | Wisconsin | 1 |
|  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 |
|  |  |  | 1 |


| Country |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Canada | \# |

DCs (International Division)


Although we own a small number of our retail store locations, most of our facilities are leased or subleased.
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## Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is involved in litigation arising in the normal course of business. While, from time to time, claims are asserted that make demands for a large sum of money (including, from time to time, actions which are asserted to be maintainable as class action suits), the Company does not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters (including the matters discussed below), either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On February 4, 2015, Staples and Office Depot entered into the Staples Merger Agreement under which the companies would combine in a stock and cash transaction. On February 9, 2015, a putative class action lawsuit was filed by purported Office Depot shareholders in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware ( Court ) challenging the transaction and alleging that the defendant companies and individual members of Office Depot s Board of Directors violated applicable laws by breaching their fiduciary duties and/or aiding and abetting such breaches. The plaintiffs in David Raul, v. Office Depot, Inc. et al. seek, among other things, injunctive relief and rescission, as well as fees and costs. Subsequently, seven other lawsuits were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware making similar allegations, namely Beth Koeneke v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., Jamison Miller v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., Eric R. Gilbert v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., The Feivel and Helene Gottlieb Defined Benefit Pension Plan v. Office Depot, et al., Charles Miller v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., David Max v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., and Steve Renous v. Staples Inc. et al. Two lawsuits were filed in Palm Beach County Circuit Court, namely Keny Petit-Frere v. Office Depot, Inc., et al. and John Sweatman v. Office Depot, Inc., et al. Other lawsuits may be filed with similar allegations.

In addition, in the ordinary course of business, sales to and transactions with government customers may be subject to lawsuits, investigations, audits and review by governmental authorities and regulatory agencies, with which the Company cooperates. Many of these lawsuits, investigations, audits and reviews are resolved without material impact to the Company. While claims in these matters may at times assert large demands, the Company does not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition to the foregoing in 2009, State of California et al., ex rel. David Sherwin v. Office Depot, Inc. (the Sherwin lawsuit ) was filed in Superior Court for the State of California, Los Angeles County, and unsealed on October 16, 2012. The lawsuit asserted claims, including claims under the California False Claims Act, based on allegations regarding certain pricing practices under now expired agreements that were in place between 2001 and January 1, 2011, pursuant to which governmental agencies purchased office supplies from us. The plaintiffs sought monetary damages and other relief, including trebling of damages and statutory penalties. On June 25, 2014, the Company participated in a non-binding, voluntary mediation in which the Company negotiated a potential settlement to resolve the matter. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company recorded an $\$ 80$ million incremental increase to the legal accrual which included the potential settlement, as well as attorneys fees and other related legal matters. On December 19, 2014, Office Depot and the plaintiffs executed a Settlement Agreement to resolve the lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed to pay the plaintiffs $\$ 68$ million to settle the matter (the Settlement Amount ), as well as $\$ 9$ million in legal fees, costs, and expenses. In exchange for, and in consideration of, the Company s agreement to pay the Settlement Amount, the plaintiffs agreed to dismiss their action against the Company with prejudice. In February 2015, the court entered orders approving the settlement and dismissing the case with prejudice. The Settlement Amount was subsequently placed in escrow pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The funds are to be released from escrow and disbursed in accordance with the terms of the court $s$ orders.

In addition to the foregoing, Heitzenrater v. OfficeMax North America, Inc., et al. was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York in September 2012 as a putative class action alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law. The complaint alleges that OfficeMax misclassified its assistant store managers ( ASMs ) as exempt employees. The Company believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses and such amounts are not material. However, in light of the early stage of the case and the inherent uncertainty of litigation, the Company is unable to estimate a reasonably possible range of loss in the matter. OfficeMax intends to vigorously defend itself in this lawsuit. Further, Kyle Rivet v. Office Depot, Inc., is pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
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The complaint alleges that Office Depot s use of the fluctuating workweek (FWW) method of pay was unlawful because Office Depot failed to pay a fixed weekly salary and failed to provide its ASMs with a clear and mutual understanding notification that they would receive a fixed weekly salary for all hours worked. The plaintiffs similarly seek unpaid overtime, punitive damages, and attorneys fees. The Company believes in this case that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses and such amounts are not material. However, in light of the early stage of the case and the inherent uncertainty of litigation, the Company is unable to estimate a reasonably possible range of loss in these matters. Office Depot intends to vigorously defend itself in these lawsuits.

OfficeMax is named a defendant in a number of lawsuits, claims, and proceedings arising out of the operation of certain paper and forest products assets prior to those assets being sold in 2004, for which OfficeMax agreed to retain responsibility. Also, as part of that sale, OfficeMax agreed to retain responsibility for all pending or threatened proceedings and future proceedings alleging asbestos-related injuries arising out of the operation of the paper and forest products assets prior to the closing of the sale. The Company has made provision for losses with respect to the pending proceedings. As of December 27, 2014, our estimate of the range of reasonably possible losses for environmental liabilities was approximately $\$ 10$ million to $\$ 25$ million. We regularly monitor our estimated exposure to these liabilities. As additional information becomes known, our estimates may change. However, the Company does not believe any of these OfficeMax retained proceedings are material to the Company s business.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.
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## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

In connection with the voluntary transfer of the listing of the Company s common stock from the NYSE to NASDAQ, the Company s common stock ceased trading on the NYSE effective at the close of business on September 25, 2014 and, commenced trading on NASDAQ at market open on September 26, 2014. The Company s common stock continues to trade under the ticker symbol ODP .

As of the close of business on January 23, 2015, there were 9,634 holders of record of our common stock. The last reported sale price of the common stock on the NASDAQ on January 23, 2015 was $\$ 7.82$.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sale prices of our common stock. These prices do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commission.

|  | High | Low |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 9 7}$ |  |
| First Quarter | $\mathbf{5 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ |  |
| Second Quarter | $\mathbf{5 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 3}$ |
| Third Quarter | $\mathbf{8 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 6}$ |  |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  |  |
| 2013 | $\$ 6.10$ | $\$ 3.40$ |  |
| First Quarter | 4.51 | 3.55 |  |
| Second Quarter | 4.85 | 3.86 |  |
| Third Quarter | 5.85 | 4.53 |  |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  |  |

At December 27, 2014, pursuant to an indenture, dated as of March 14, 2012, we have restrictions on the amount of cash dividends we can pay. We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate declaring or paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Our common stock price has been, and likely will continue to be, impacted by the pending Staples Acquisition.
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The following graph compares the five-year cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total returns of the S\&P 500 index and the S\&P Specialty Stores index.

The foregoing graph shall not be deemed to be filed as part of this Annual Report and does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent we specifically incorporate the graph by reference.
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## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data at and for each of the five fiscal years in the period ended December 27, 2014. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto in Part IV Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules and Part II Item 7. MD\&A of this Annual Report.

| (In millions, except per share amounts and statistical data) | 2014 |  | $2013{ }^{(1)}$ |  | 2012 |  | $2011{ }^{(2)}$ |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statements of Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 16,096 | \$ | 11,242 | \$ | 10,696 | \$ | 11,489 | \$ | 11,633 |
| Net income (loss) ${ }^{(3)(4)(5)(6)}$ | \$ | (352) | \$ | (20) | \$ | (77) | \$ | 96 | \$ | (46) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. ${ }^{(3)(4)(5)(6)}$ | \$ | (354) | \$ | (20) | \$ | (77) | \$ | 96 | \$ | (45) |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders ${ }^{(3)(4)(5)(6)}$ | \$ | (354) | \$ | (93) | \$ | (110) | \$ | 60 | \$ | (82) |
| Net earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.66) | \$ | (0.29) | \$ | (0.39) | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | (0.30) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.66) | \$ | (0.29) | \$ | (0.39) | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | (0.30) |

Statistical Data:

| Facilities open at end of period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office supply stores |  | 1,745 |  | 1,912 |  | 1,112 |  | 1,131 |  | 1,147 |
| Distribution centers and crossdock facilities |  | 66 |  | 81 |  | 15 |  | 15 |  | 16 |
| International ${ }^{(7)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office supply stores |  | 146 |  | 163 |  | 123 |  | 131 |  | 97 |
| Distribution centers and crossdock facilities |  | 43 |  | 46 |  | 23 |  | 27 |  | 26 |
| Call centers |  | 14 |  | 19 |  | 21 |  | 22 |  | 25 |
| Total square footage North American Retail Division |  | 39,614,909 |  | 43,642,514 |  | 25,518,027 |  | 26,556,126 |  | 559,184 |
| Percentage of sales by segment: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North American Retail Division |  | 40.6\% |  | 41.0\% |  | 41.7\% |  | 42.4\% |  | 42.7\% |
| North American Business Solutions Division |  | 37.4\% |  | 31.8\% |  | 30.0\% |  | 28.4\% |  | 28.3\% |
| International Division |  | 21.1\% |  | 26.8\% |  | 28.3\% |  | 29.2\% |  | 29.0\% |
| Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 6,844 | \$ | 7,477 | \$ | 4,011 | \$ | 4,251 | \$ | 4,569 |
| Long-term recourse debt, excluding current maturities |  | 674 |  | 696 |  | 485 |  | 648 |  | 660 |
| Redeemable preferred stock, net |  |  |  |  |  | 386 |  | 364 |  | 356 |

${ }^{(1)}$ On November 5, 2013, the Company merged with OfficeMax. Statement of operations data and percentage of sales by segment include OfficeMax s results from the Merger date through December 28, 2013. Balance sheet and facilities data include OfficeMax data as of December 28, 2013. Sales in 2013 include $\$ 939$ million from OfficeMax operations. Additionally, fiscal year Net income (loss), Net income attributable to Office Depot, Inc., and Net income available to common shareholders includes a $\$ 382$ million pre-tax gain on sale of investment, $\$ 70$ million of asset impairment charges, and $\$ 201$ million of Merger-related, restructuring, and other operating expenses. Net income (loss) available to common shareholders includes $\$ 45$ million of dividends related to the redemption of the redeemable preferred stock. Refer to MD\&A for additional information.
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(2) Includes 53 weeks in accordance with our 5253 week reporting convention.
${ }^{(3)}$ Fiscal year 2014 Net income (loss), Net income attributable to Office Depot, Inc., and Net income available to common shareholders includes $\$ 88$ million of asset impairment charges, $\$ 403$ million of Merger-related, restructuring, and other operating expenses, and $\$ 81$ million of Legal accrual. Refer to MD\&A for additional information.
${ }^{(4)}$ Fiscal year 2012 Net income (loss), Net income attributable to Office Depot, Inc., and Net income available to common shareholders include approximately $\$ 139$ million of asset impairment charges, $\$ 63$ million net gain on purchase price recovery and $\$ 51$ million of charges related to closure costs and process improvement activity. Refer to MD\&A for additional information.
${ }^{(5)}$ Fiscal year 2011 Net income (loss), Net income attributable to Office Depot, Inc., and Net income available to common shareholders include approximately $\$ 58$ million of charges relating to facility closure and process improvement activity. Additionally, approximately $\$ 123$ million of tax and interest benefits were recognized associated with settlements and removal of contingencies and valuation allowances.
(6) Fiscal year 2010 Net income (loss), Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc., and Net loss available to common shareholders include charges of approximately $\$ 87$ million, including approximately $\$ 51$ million for the write-off of Construction in Progress related to developed software. Additionally, tax benefits and interest reversals of approximately $\$ 41$ million were recognized from settlements.
(7) Includes Canadian locations. Fiscal year 2013 includes 144 stores operated by our International Division and 19 stores in Canada operated by our North American Business Solutions Division. These Canadian stores were closed in 2014.
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Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## Acquisition by Staples

On February 4, 2015, Staples and the Company announced that the companies have entered into a definitive merger agreement (the Staples Merger Agreement ), under which Staples will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Office Depot and the Company will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples (the Staples Acquisition ). Under the terms of the Staples Merger Agreement, Office Depot shareholders will receive, for each Office Depot share held by such shareholders, $\$ 7.25$ in cash and 0.2188 of a share in Staples common stock at closing. Each employee share-based award outstanding at the date of the agreement will vest upon the effective date of the Staples Acquisition. The transaction has been approved by both companies Board of Directors and the completion of the Staples Acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions including, among others, the approval of Office Depot shareholders and various regulatory approvals. Certain existing debt agreements will require modification prior to closing. The transaction is anticipated to close before the end of 2015 . Refer to the Company s Form 8-K filed February 4, 2015 for additional information on the transaction. We cannot guarantee that the Staples Merger will be completed or that, if completed, it will be exactly on the terms as set forth in the Staples Merger Agreement. Should the Staples Acquisition not be completed, the Company will continue to be responsible for payment of commitments to current employees under retention arrangements and may either receive or pay a breakup fee, as provided for in the Staples Merger Agreement.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## OVERVIEW

Our business is comprised of three segments. The North American Retail Division includes our retail stores in the United States, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, which offer office supplies, technology products and solutions, business machines and related supplies, facilities products, and office furniture. Most stores also have a copy and print center offering printing, reproduction, mailing and shipping. The North American Business Solutions Division sells office supply products and services in Canada and the United States, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. North American Business Solutions Division customers are served through dedicated sales forces, through catalogs, telesales, and electronically through our Internet sites. Our International Division sells office products and services through direct mail catalogs, contract sales forces, Internet sites, and retail stores in Europe and Asia/Pacific. The former OfficeMax business in Mexico is presented as an Other segment. The integration of this business into the International Division was suspended in the second quarter of 2014 due to the sale and it was managed and reported independently of the Company s other international businesses through the date of the sale. Prior period segment information has been recast to reflect this change in reporting structure.

A summary of factors important to understanding our results for 2014 is provided below. A more detailed comparison to prior years is included in the narrative that follows this overview.

## Merger

On November 5, 2013, the Company completed its Merger with OfficeMax. OfficeMax s financial results since the Merger date are included in our 2013 and 2014 Consolidated Statements of Operations, affecting comparability of the full year amounts. Due to the significance of the OfficeMax results to the Company, the OfficeMax sales and operating expense categories, as well as the Merger-related integration and restructuring activities in the twelve months of 2014, are the main drivers of the changes in results of operations when compared to the 2013 results.
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The impact of the Merger on total Company sales is as follows:

|  |  | North |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North | American |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | American | Business |  |  |  |  |  |

Due to the similarities in the underlying businesses under both banners (Office Depot and OfficeMax), the trends impacting the results are often the same or similar. In the Division operating results discussion that follows, where the factors affecting the Office Depot banner business differ significantly from the factors affecting the OfficeMax banner business compared to their operations in the fiscal year 2013 (including period prior to the Merger), separate explanations are provided. As the integration of the two companies systems, processes and offerings continues, the delineation of the contribution from the separate banners is diminishing; however, providing the OfficeMax banner component of sales remains relevant for 2014.

During 2014, we made significant progress on our integration activities. We are implementing our Real Estate Strategy that anticipates closing at least 400 stores in North America through 2016, of which we closed 168 in 2014. We completed modifications to two supply chain facilities to service both Office Depot and OfficeMax banner customers and closed seven facilities. In the next two years, we expect to convert another six supply chain facilities to service both Office Depot and OfficeMax banner customers, create or repurpose five locations, expand capacity in 12 existing facilities, and close another 12 locations. Additionally, in 2014, we converted over 50 stores to common point of sale systems, launched a combined company website (www.officedepot.com), combined operating support functions, and made significant progress on identifying customer preferences and developing methods to reach them and service their needs.
Other Significant Factors Impacting Total Company Results and Liquidity

Gross margin increased 10 basis points in 2014 compared to 2013, following a 36 basis point decrease in the prior year to year comparison. An increase in gross margin in the North American Retail Division was partially offset by decreases in the other Divisions.

Total Company Selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2014 compared to 2013, reflecting the addition of OfficeMax operating expenses for the twelve months in 2014. Selling and general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased 116 basis points, reflecting lower payroll and advertising expenses, as well as operational efficiencies and synergies from the Merger.

Non-cash asset impairment charges of $\$ 88$ million and $\$ 70$ million were recorded in 2014 and 2013, respectively. The 2014 year-to-date charges include a $\$ 28$ million asset impairment related to the abandonment of a software implementation project in Europe, $\$ 25$ million
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related to the write off of capitalized software following certain information technology platform decisions related to the Merger, $\$ 5$ million related to favorable lease assets reassessed in connection with the Real Estate Strategy, and $\$ 5$ million related to the
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reassessment of the use of an international private brand trade name. The 2013 impairment charge includes a $\$ 44$ million goodwill impairment triggered by the sale of our interest in Office Depot de Mexico. Both 2014 and 2013 include charges related to underperforming stores in North America.

We incurred $\$ 403$ million and $\$ 201$ million of Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net in 2014 and 2013, respectively. In 2014, this line item includes $\$ 332$ million of expenses related to the Merger transaction and integration activities, including store closure costs incurred to date, and $\$ 71$ million of restructuring and other operating expenses. Additional integration and restructuring expenses are expected to be incurred in 2015 and 2016.

We recorded an $\$ 81$ million incremental legal accrual during 2014 relating to allegations of certain pricing practices under agreements that were in place between 2001 and January 1, 2011. In December 2014, the Company and plaintiffs executed a Settlement Agreement to resolve this litigation. In February 2015, the court entered orders approving the settlement and dismissing the case with prejudice. The Settlement Amount was subsequently placed in escrow pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The funds are to be released from escrow and disbursed in accordance with the terms of the court s orders.

In August 2014, we completed the sale of our interest in Grupo OfficeMax to our joint venture partner, for net cash proceeds of $\$ 43$ million. The loss associated with this disposed Mexican business amounted to approximately $\$ 2$ million, which resulted primarily from the release of the net foreign currency remeasurement differences from investment to the disposition date recorded in other comprehensive income (cumulative translation adjustment) and fees incurred to complete the transaction.

Interest income increased in 2014 primarily due to the impact of OfficeMax Timber Notes income. Interest expenses in 2014 increased when compared to 2013, mainly due to interest expense related to OfficeMax recourse and non-recourse debt, which was partially offset by a reversal in 2014 of previously accrued interest expense on uncertain tax positions and the August 2013 maturity of $\$ 150$ million of the $6.25 \%$ senior notes.

The effective tax rate for 2014 was negative $4 \%$, reflecting the impact of valuation allowances limiting recognition of deferred tax assets. Because of the valuation allowances and changes in the mix of earnings among jurisdictions, the Company continues to experience significant effective tax rate volatility within the year and across years.

The loss per share was $\$(0.66)$ in 2014 compared to $\$(0.29)$ in 2013 . The 2014 loss per share was negatively impacted by Merger expenses and restructuring charges, asset impairments and the legal accrual. The 2014 weighted average shares include a twelve month impact from the outstanding shares issued in connection with the Merger, compared to the 2013 impact for the period from the Merger date through year end. The 2013 loss per share was positively impacted by the gain on joint venture sale.

At the end of 2014, we had approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion in cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 1.1$ billion available on our asset based credit facility. Cash flow from operating activities was a source of $\$ 156$ million for 2014.
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## OPERATING RESULTS

Discussion of additional income and expense items, including material charges and credits and changes in interest and income taxes follows our review of segment results.

## NORTH AMERICAN RETAIL DIVISION

| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ | 6,528 | \$ | 4,614 | \$ | 4,458 |
| \% change |  | 41\% |  | 3\% |  | (8)\% |
| Division operating income | \$ | 126 | \$ | 8 | \$ | 24 |
| \% of sales |  | 2\% |  | \% |  | 1\% |
| Comparable store sales decline |  | (2)\% |  | (4)\% |  | (5)\% |

Sales in our North American Retail Division increased $41 \%$ in 2014 and 3\% in 2013, as a result of the addition of OfficeMax sales of $\$ 2,526$ million in 2014 and $\$ 384$ million in 2013. Excluding the OfficeMax sales, sales would have decreased 5\% in both 2014 and 2013. The sales decline in each of the three years was impacted by store closures. The Company believes that some shoppers continue to purchase in Company stores that are in proximity to closed locations and online or through catalogs. Online and catalog sales are reported in the North American Business Solutions Division. While store closures result in lower sales in the North American Retail Division, they are typically lower performing stores and future Division operating results may benefit.

Comparable sales in 2014 from the 973 Office Depot branded stores that were open for more than one year decreased $2 \%$. Comparable sales for Office Depot branded stores in 2013 from the 1,071 stores that were open for more than one year decreased $4 \%$. Transaction counts and average order values were lower each of the three years, consistent with the comparable store sales declines. Lower transaction counts reflect lower customer traffic. The decline in average order values reflect, in part, declines in technology sales as customers continue to reduce purchases in this overall category, as well as due to lower average sale prices on certain computer products. Additionally, sales of ink, toner, and paper declined in all years presented reflecting the highly-competitive market for sales of these products as consumers switch to an increasingly digital environment. The Company is working to offset declines in these categories with enhanced customer service, improved product adjacencies and offerings. Under the Office Depot banner, sales of furniture, supplies, and in Copy and Print Depot increased. Sales in the OfficeMax stores since the Merger date trended negative in the major categories, with the exception of portable computers, which experienced a positive sales trend as a result of expanded offering of these products in the OfficeMax branded stores.

Our comparable store sales relate to stores that have been open for at least one year. Stores are removed from the comparable sales calculation during remodeling and if significantly downsized. Our measure of comparable store sales has been applied consistently across periods, but may differ from similar measures used by other companies. Because the OfficeMax stores were acquired in November 2013, they have impacted the comparable store sales calculation for only a portion of the full year 2014 measure. Also, as we close stores consistent with the Real Estate Strategy, we expect that comparable store sales will be favorably impacted as customers migrate from closed stores to nearby stores which remain open.

The North American Retail Division reported operating income of $\$ 126$ million in 2014, compared to $\$ 8$ million in 2013 and $\$ 24$ million in 2012. The Division s operating income improvement in 2014 reflects higher gross profit margin from the addition of the OfficeMax banner and improvements in the Office Depot banner, as well as synergy benefits from combining the two companies. Partially offsetting the benefits recognized in operating expenses, the Division recognized amortization of Merger-related intangible assets and higher variable pay compared to 2013. As the integration of the businesses continues, including the phasing in of certain common systems, the identity of Division operating results is becoming less identifiable at the individual banner level and
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operating trends will be discussed at the Division level in future periods. Division operating income in all periods was negatively affected by the impact our comparable sales volume decline had on gross profit and fixed operating expenses (the flow through impact ). In 2013, based on sales trends, the Division recorded a $\$ 13$ million inventory markdown related to product with a short selling cycle. Additionally, higher freight charges were largely offset by lower property costs. Excluding the OfficeMax impact, operating expenses in 2013 decreased from lower payroll and advertising costs, as well as a benefit from settlement of a dispute. Division operating income in 2013 includes the positive contribution from the Merger. Operating expenses in 2012 included higher allocated support costs, partially offset by lower Division payroll and variable pay.

At the end of 2014, we operated 1,745 retail stores in the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Store opening and closing activity for the last three years has been as follows:

|  | Open at |  |  |  | Open at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning of Period | OfficeMax Merger | Closed | Opened | End of Period |
| 2012 | 1,131 |  | 23 | 4 | 1,112 |
| 2013 | 1,112 | $829^{(1)}$ | 33 | 4 | 1,912 |
| 2014 | 1,912 |  | 168 | 1 | 1,745 |

(1) Store count as of November 5, 2013.

Based on the current Real Estate Strategy, at least 400 stores in the United States, including the 168 stores closed in 2014, are expected to be closed through 2016. The real estate portfolio optimization plan will be adjusted in future periods as market and competitive conditions change. Implementation of this strategy is expected to result in additional integration charges that will be reflected in Corporate reporting, and not included in the determination of Division income in future periods. Refer to Corporate and other discussion below for additional information of expenses incurred to date.

## NORTH AMERICAN BUSINESS SOLUTIONS DIVISION

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 1 3}$ | $\$ 3,580$ | $\$ 3,215$ |
| $\%$ change | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ | $11 \%$ | $(1) \%$ |
| Division operating income | $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{2 3 2}$ | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 110$ |
| $\%$ of sales | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Sales in our North American Business Solutions Division increased 68\% and 11\% in 2014 and 2013, respectively, primarily as a result of the addition of OfficeMax sales of $\$ 2,759$ million in 2014 and $\$ 422$ million in 2013. Excluding the sales reported under the OfficeMax banner, sales would have increased $3 \%$ in 2014 and decreased $2 \%$ in 2013. As the integration of the two companies continues, the delineation of the contribution from the Office Depot and OfficeMax banners is diminishing. Sales reported under the Office Depot banner in 2014 are benefiting from the integration as sales are recorded in the combined entity s systems and presented under the Office Depot banner.

Compared to the same period in 2013, the 2014 sales under the Office Depot banner increased in the contract and direct channels. Online sales through the direct channel increased during 2014, reflecting efforts to enhance the Internet shopping offering and experience, as well as a result of the Office Depot banner benefit from the launch of a combined website for Office Depot and OfficeMax customers in September 2014 (www.officedepot.com). The increased online sales were partially offset by reduced call center sales. We anticipate this shift in customer shopping preference will continue. Sales in the merged business in Canada have shown declines in the second half of 2014 compared to the first half of 2014, in part reflecting the closing of Grand \& Toy stores during the second quarter of 2014. In future periods, Division results may be impacted by changes in foreign currency
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exchange rates associated with the Canadian business added through the Merger. Sales under the Office Depot banner increased across all categories.

Sales contribution in 2013 from the Office Depot business decreased 2\%, reflecting a $2 \%$ decline in the contract channel and a slight decline in the direct channel. During 2013, the Company restructured and relocated the focused technology selling effort in the contract channel and anticipated this negative impact on sales. This change is projected to lower future operating costs and have an overall positive impact on future operating income. Additionally, during 2013, the contract channel experienced declines in sales to the federal government customers as they continue to experience budgetary pressures. Sales in the remaining portions of the contract channel were flat in 2013. Increased sales during 2013 to state and local governments and education accounts were offset by declines in sales to large and enterprise-level accounts. Online sales through the direct channel increased during 2013, offset by reduced catalog and call center sales. On a product category basis for the total Division, copy and print, cleaning and breakroom, and furniture sales increased in 2013, while sales in the supplies category decreased.

Division operating income was $\$ 232$ million in 2014, compared to $\$ 113$ million in 2013, and $\$ 110$ million in 2012. Division operating income as a percentage of sales in 2014 increased to $4 \%$ compared to $3 \%$ in both 2013 and 2012. Gross profit margin decreased reflecting in part the impact of adding OfficeMax contract channel customers with a higher mix of lower margin accounts. The gross profit margin decrease was offset by lower advertising and payroll expense as a percentage of sales across this Division compared to the prior year. These benefits reflect efficiencies of combining the companies. Division operating income in 2013 reflects lower operating expenses, partially offset by legal expenses, relatively constant gross profit margin and a positive contribution from the Merger.

In 2014, the Company closed the 19 Grand \& Toy stores in Canada that were added as part of the Merger. Because this decision was after the Merger date, the fair value of assets for these locations recognized in purchase accounting has been written down to the amount recoverable through operations. The impairment charge of $\$ 1$ million has been reflected in the Asset impairments line in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and as a Corporate charge and is not included in determination of Division operating income. These locations primarily serviced contract and other small business customers and, accordingly, were included in results of the North America Business Solutions Division.

## INTERNATIONAL DIVISION

| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ | 3,400 | \$ | 3,008 | \$ | 3,023 |
| \% change |  | 13\% |  | \% |  | (10)\% |
| Division operating income | \$ | 53 | \$ | 36 | \$ | 36 |
| \% of sales |  | 2\% |  | 1\% |  | 1\% |

Sales in our International Division in U.S. dollars increased 13\% in 2014 and remained flat in 2013 when compared to 2012, as a result of the addition of OfficeMax sales of $\$ 551$ million in 2014 and $\$ 93$ million in 2013. Excluding the OfficeMax sales, 2014 and 2013 sales would have decreased $2 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively. Excluding the OfficeMax sales, constant currency sales decreased $3 \%$ in 2014 and 5\% in 2013. Sales under the Office Depot banner in the contract and direct channels decreased in 2014. The contract channel sales decline reflects competitive market pressures, soft economic conditions in Europe, the loss of certain contracts, discontinuation of low margin business, and reduced spend in the public sector across regions. The sales decline in the direct channel over the three years reflects the continued decline in catalog and call center sales, partially offset by online sales increases. The Company anticipates the customer migration to online purchases and away from catalogs and call center sales will continue and has added functional capabilities, improved content and developed more effective marketing to grow the online business. The sales decline in 2013 also results from
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competitive pressures and soft economic conditions in Europe, as well as the discontinuation of certain less profitable contract accounts. Retail sales were lower in 2013, reflecting the disposition of stores in Hungary in late 2012.

Division operating income totaled $\$ 53$ million in 2014, compared to $\$ 36$ million in both 2013 and 2012. Division operating income as a percentage of sales was $2 \%$ in 2014 and $1 \%$ for both 2013 and 2012. The improvement in Division operating income reflects benefits from lower payroll and advertising, as well as benefits associated to prior restructuring activities under the Office Depot banner. Division operating income in 2013 reflects the negative flow-through impact of lower sales, offset by the favorable impact of operational efficiencies, and the inclusion of a slightly positive OfficeMax contribution in 2013 since the date of the Merger. Operating expenses decreased across the Division in 2013, reflecting benefits from current and prior period restructuring activities.

During 2014, the Division announced a restructuring plan to align the organization from a geographic-focus to a channel-focus. The restructuring plan is intended to provide operational efficiency and allow enhanced customer service and is expected to benefit future Division operating results. Costs associated with restructuring activities, including employee termination benefits, lease obligations and other costs, are reported at the Corporate level and discussed in the Restructuring and other operating expenses, net section below.

For U.S. reporting, the International Division s sales are translated into U.S. dollars at average exchange rates experienced during the year. The Division s reported sales were positively impacted from changes in foreign currency exchange rates by approximately $\$ 35$ million and $\$ 52$ million in 2014 and 2013, respectively. Internally, we analyze our international operations in terms of local currency performance to allow focus on operating trends and results.

International Division store count and activity is summarized below:

|  | Office Supply Stores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open |  |  |  |
|  | at |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beginning } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | Opened/ Acquired | Closed/ <br> Changed <br> Designation | Open at <br> End of <br> Period |
| Company-Owned Stores | 131 | 4 | 12 | 123 |
| Operated by Joint Ventures | 232 | 16 |  | 248 |
| Franchise and Licensing Arrangements | 183 | 7 | $44^{(1)}$ | 146 |
| Total stores 2012 | 546 | 27 | 56 | 517 |
| Company-Owned Stores | 123 | $25^{(3)}$ | 4 | 144 |
| Operated by Joint Ventures | 248 | $96{ }_{(3)}$ | $251^{(2)}$ | 93 |
| Franchise and Licensing Arrangements | 146 | 8 | 39 | 115 |
| Total stores 2013 | 517 | 129 | 294 | 352 |
| Company-Owned Stores | 144 | 7 | 5 | 146 |
| Operated by Joint Ventures | 93 |  | $93{ }^{(4)}$ |  |
| Franchise and Licensing Arrangements | 115 | 6 | 3 | 118 |
| Total stores 2014 | 352 | 13 | 101 | 264 |

[^1](2) Includes 249 stores operated by Office Depot de Mexico, which the Company sold its interest in during 2013.
(3) 22 Company owned stores and 93 stores operated by Grupo OfficeMax.
(4) Stores operated by Grupo OfficeMax, which the Company sold its interest in the third quarter of 2014.
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## OTHER

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales | $\$$ | 155 | $\$$ |
| Other operating income (loss) | $\$$ | 8 | $\$$ |

With the Merger, we acquired the OfficeMax joint venture business operating in Mexico, Grupo OfficeMax. In August 2014, we completed the sale of our interest in this business to our joint venture partner. In the second quarter of 2014, due to the pending sale, the integration of this business into the International Division was suspended and has since been managed and reported independently of the Company s other international businesses. Prior period segment information has been recast to reflect this change in the reporting structure.

Since the Company controlled the joint venture, the total Grupo OfficeMax results through the date of the sale are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, with an apportionment of the period results to the noncontrolling interest based on their ownership percentage. The release of cumulative translation adjustments and transaction fees are included in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The Company adopted the new accounting standard on discontinued operations in the second quarter of 2014. While this is a disposal of all of our operations in Mexico, it is not considered to have a major effect on our operations or financial results and, accordingly, it is not presented as discontinued operations.

## CORPORATE

The line items in our Consolidated Statements of Operations impacted by these Corporate activities are presented in the table below, followed by a narrative discussion of the significant matters. These activities are managed at the Corporate level and, accordingly, are not included in the determination of Division income for management reporting or external disclosures.

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery of purchase price |  |  | (68) |
| Asset impairments | 88 | 70 | 139 |
| Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net | 403 | 201 | 56 |
| Legal accrual | 81 |  |  |
| Total charges and credits impact on Operating loss | \$ 572 | \$ 271 | \$ 127 |

In addition to these charges and credits, certain Selling, general and administrative expenses are not allocated to the Divisions and are managed at the Corporate level. Those expenses are addressed in the section Unallocated Costs below.

## Recovery of purchase price

The sale and purchase agreement ( SPA ) associated with the 2003 European acquisition included a provision whereby the seller was required to pay an amount to the Company if the acquired pension plan was determined to be underfunded based on 2008 plan data. The unfunded obligation amount calculated by the plan s actuary based on that data was disputed by the seller. While the matter was still pending, in 2011, the seller paid GBP 5.5 million ( $\$ 9$ million, measured at then-current exchange rates) to the Company to allow for future monthly payments to the pension plan. In January 2012, the Company and the seller entered into a settlement agreement that settled all claims by either party for this and any other matter under the original SPA. The seller paid an additional GBP 32.2 million (approximately $\$ 50$ million, measured at then-current exchange rates) to the Company in February 2012. Following this cash receipt in February 2012, the Company contributed the GBP
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37.7 million (approximately $\$ 58$ million at then-current exchange rates) to the pension plan, resulting in the plan changing from an unfunded liability position to a net asset position. There are no additional funding requirements while the plan is in a surplus position.

This pension provision of the SPA was disclosed in 2003 and subsequent periods as a matter that would reduce goodwill when the plan was remeasured and cash received. However, all goodwill associated with this transaction was impaired in 2008, and because the remeasurement process had not yet begun, no estimate of the potential payment to the Company could be made at that time. Consistent with disclosures subsequent to the 2008 goodwill impairment, resolution of this matter in the first quarter of 2012 was reflected as a credit to operating expense. The cash received from the seller, reversal of an accrued liability as a result of the settlement agreement, fees incurred in 2012, and fee reimbursement from the seller have been reported in Recovery of purchase price in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2012, totaling $\$ 68$ million. An additional expense of $\$ 5$ million of costs related to this arrangement is included in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net, resulting in a net increase in operating profit for 2012 of $\$ 63$ million. Refer to Note 14, Employee Benefit Plans Pension Plans-Europe of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about this pension plan.

## Asset Impairments, Merger, Restructuring, Other Charges and Credits

In recent years, we have taken actions to adapt to changing and competitive conditions. These actions include closing stores and distribution centers, consolidating functional activities, disposing of businesses and assets, and improving process efficiencies. We have also recognized significant asset impairment charges related to stores and intangible assets and significant expenses associated with the Merger and integration. These expense items are expected to continue in future periods.

## Asset impairments

We recognized asset impairment charges of $\$ 88$ million, $\$ 70$ million, and $\$ 139$ million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.
Asset impairment charges are comprised as follows:

| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North America stores | \$ | 25 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 124 |
| Goodwill |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |
| Software implementation project |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Software |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible assets |  | 10 |  |  |  | 15 |
| Total Asset impairments | \$ | 88 | \$ | 70 | \$ | 139 |

## Store impairments

As a result of declining sales in recent periods and adoption of our Real Estate Strategy in 2014, the Company has conducted a detailed quarterly store impairment analysis. The analysis includes estimates of store-level sales, gross margins, direct expenses, exercise of future lease renewal options where applicable, and resulting cash flows and, by their nature, include judgments about how current initiatives will impact future performance.

The 2014 analysis incorporated the probability assessment of which stores will be closed through 2016, as well as projected cash flows through the base lease period for stores identified for ongoing operations. The projections assumed flat sales for one year, decreasing thereafter. Gross margin assumptions have been held constant at current actual levels and operating costs have been assumed to be consistent with recent actual results and
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planned activities. For the fourth quarter 2014 impairment analysis, identified locations were reduced to estimated fair value of $\$ 1$ million based on their projected cash flows, discounted at $13 \%$ or estimated salvage value of $\$ 2$ million, as appropriate. The Company continues to capitalize additions to previously-impaired operating stores and tests for subsequent impairment. The 2014 store impairment charge also includes $\$ 1$ million related to the closure of stores in Canada.

The store impairment analysis for 2013 projected sales declines for several years, then stabilizing. Gross margin and operating cost assumptions were consistent with actual results and planned activities. For the 2013 impairment analysis, identified locations were reduced to estimated fair value of $\$ 10$ million based on their projected cash flows, discounted at $13 \%$ or estimated salvage value of $\$ 7$ million, as appropriate.

A review of the North American Retail portfolio during 2012 concluded with a plan for each location to maintain its current configuration, downsize to either small or mid-size format, relocate, remodel, renew or close at the end of the base lease term. The asset impairment analysis previously had assumed at least one optional lease renewal. Additionally, projected sales trends included in the impairment calculation model in prior periods were reduced. These changes, and continued store performance, served as a basis for the Company s asset impairment review for 2012.

The Company will continue to evaluate initiatives to improve performance and lower operating costs. To the extent that forward-looking sales and operating assumptions are not achieved and are subsequently reduced, or in certain circumstances, even if store performance is as anticipated, additional impairment charges may result. However, at the end of 2014, the impairment analysis reflects the Company s best estimate of future performance.

## Software impairments

As part of the integration process during 2014, the Company decided to convert certain websites and other information technology applications to common platforms resulting in $\$ 25$ million related to the write off of capitalized software. Additionally, the Company abandoned a software project in Europe and recognized impairment of the $\$ 28$ million capitalized software.

## Intangible assets

Following identification of retail stores for closure as part of our Real Estate Strategy, the related favorable lease assets recorded in the Merger were assessed for accelerated amortization or impairment. Considerations included the projected cash flows discussed above, the net book value of operating assets and favorable lease assets and related estimated favorable lease fair value. Impairment of $\$ 5$ million was recognized during 2014. Additionally, the Company decided to change the profile and expected life of a private brand trade name previously identified as having an indefinite life. The projected cash flow on a relief from royalty measurement over the shortened estimated life resulted in a $\$ 5$ million impairment charge.

The 2013 goodwill impairment of $\$ 44$ million was triggered by the sale of our interest in Office Depot de Mexico. The related reporting unit of the International Division included operating subsidiaries in Europe and ownership of the investment in Office Depot de Mexico. A substantial majority of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit over its carrying value related to the joint venture. Following the July 2013 sale of our interest in Office Depot de Mexico and return of cash proceeds to the U.S. parent company, the fair value of the reporting unit with goodwill decreased below its carrying value and goodwill was fully impaired.

The 2012 impairment charge of $\$ 15$ million related to intangible asset impairment associated with a prior acquisition of operations in Sweden that experienced a downturn in performance and certain operational difficulties.

## Table of Contents

## Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net

The table below summarizes the major components of Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net.

| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merger related expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Severance, retention, and relocation | \$ | 148 | \$ | 92 | \$ |  |
| Transaction and integration |  | 124 |  | 80 |  |  |
| Other related expenses |  | 60 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Merger related expenses |  | 332 |  | 180 |  |  |
| Restructuring and certain other expenses |  | 71 |  | 21 |  | 56 |
| Total Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net | \$ | 403 | \$ | 201 |  | 56 |

## Merger-related expenses

Expenses in 2014 include severance, employee retention, integration-related professional fees, incremental temporary contract labor, salary and benefits for employees dedicated to Merger activity, travel and relocation costs, non-capitalizable software integration costs, facility closure accruals, gains and losses on asset dispositions, accelerated depreciation, and other direct costs to combine the companies.

Expenses in 2013 include expenses incurred by Office Depot prior to the Merger and are primarily investment banking and professional fees associated with the transaction, including preparation for regulatory filings and shareholder approvals, as well employee retention accruals, direct incremental travel and dedicated personnel costs.

It is expected that significant Merger-related expenses will continue to be incurred in future periods as decisions are made about facility closures, organizational structure and other integration activities in 2015 and 2016.

## Restructuring and other operating expenses, net

Restructuring and certain other expenses in 2014 and 2013 primarily relate to international organizational changes and facility closures prior to the European restructuring plan approved in October 2014 to realign the organization from a geographic-focus to a business channel-focus (the European restructuring plan). These charges include severance and other costs for organizational changes intended to promote operational efficiency in future periods, as well as a net benefit from the reversal of cumulative translation account balances following the liquidation of certain subsidiaries.

The Company anticipates incurring incremental expenses associated with the European restructuring plan of approximately $\$ 120$ million, $\$ 112$ million of which are cash expenditures. The expected $\$ 120$ million of charges associated with the restructuring plan consist primarily of approximately $\$ 95$ million of severance pay and other employee termination benefits and approximately $\$ 25$ million of costs associated with lease obligations and other costs. Of these total estimated expenses, $\$ 37$ million has been incurred through December 27, 2014 with the remainder expected to be recognized during 2015.

Restructuring and certain other expenses in 2012 include severance, lease and other restructuring accruals, primarily related to the consolidation and elimination of functions in Europe, as well as Company-wide process improvement initiatives. Additionally, in 2012, the Company recognized $\$ 5$ million of expense related to the purchase price recovery discussed above.

Refer to Note 2, Merger, Acquisitions and Dispositions and Note 3, Merger, Restructuring, and Other Accruals , in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.
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## Legal Accrual

On June 25, 2014, the Company participated in a non-binding, voluntary mediation in which the Company negotiated a potential settlement to resolve the Sherwin lawsuit. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company recorded an $\$ 80$ million incremental increase to the legal accrual which included the potential settlement, as well as attorneys fees and other related legal matters. On December 19, 2014, Office Depot and the plaintiffs executed a Settlement Agreement to resolve the lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed to pay the plaintiffs $\$ 68$ million to settle the matter (the Settlement Amount ), as well as $\$ 9$ million in legal fees, costs, and expenses. In exchange for, and in consideration of, the Company s agreement to pay the Settlement Amount, the plaintiffs agreed to dismiss their action against the Company with prejudice. In February 2015, the court entered orders approving the settlement and dismissing the case with prejudice. The Settlement Amount was subsequently placed in escrow pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The funds are to be released from escrow and disbursed in accordance with the terms of the court $s$ orders.

## Unallocated Costs

The Company allocates to the Divisions functional support costs that are considered to be directly or closely related to segment activity. Those allocated costs are included in the measurement of Division operating income. Other companies may charge more or less of functional support costs to their segments, and our results therefore may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. The unallocated costs primarily consist of the buildings used for the Company s corporate headquarters and personnel not directly supporting the Divisions, including certain executive, finance, audit and similar functions. Following the Merger, unallocated costs also include certain pension expense or credit related to the frozen OfficeMax pension and other benefit plans.

Unallocated costs were $\$ 122$ million, $\$ 89$ million, and $\$ 74$ million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The 2014 and 2013 increases are primarily due to the addition of OfficeMax expenses and higher variable pay.

## Other Income and Expense

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Interest income | $\$ \mathbf{2 4}$ | $\$$ | 5 |  |
| Interest expense | $\mathbf{( 8 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 9 )}$ | $(69)$ |  |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  |  | $(12)$ |  |
| Gain on disposition of joint venture |  | 382 |  |  |
| Other income, net |  | 14 | 35 |  |

Interest income in 2014 and 2013 includes $\$ 21$ million and $\$ 3$ million, respectively, related to OfficeMax Timber Notes, including amortization of the fair value adjustment recorded in purchase accounting. The associated non-recourse debt added $\$ 20$ million and $\$ 3$ million of interest expense in 2014 and 2013, respectively, including amortization of the fair value adjustment recorded in purchase accounting. Refer to Note 7 ,
Timber Notes/Non-Recourse Debt , in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Interest expense in 2014 includes a $\$ 9$ million reversal of previously accrued interest expense on uncertain tax positions following resolution of the related matter. Interest expense in 2013 also reflects the maturity in August 2013 of $\$ 150$ million of the $6.25 \%$ senior notes.

On March 15, 2012, we completed a cash tender offer to purchase up to $\$ 250$ million aggregate principal amount of $6.25 \%$ Senior Notes due 2013. The total consideration for each $\$ 1,000.00$ note surrendered was $\$ 1,050.00$. Additionally, tender fees and a proportionate amount of deferred debt issue costs and a deferred cash flow hedge gain were included in the measurement of the $\$ 12$ million extinguishment costs reported in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for 2012.

The pre-tax Gain on disposition of joint venture of $\$ 382$ million results from the July 2013 sale of the investment in Office Depot de Mexico for the Mexican Peso amount of 8,777 million in cash ( $\$ 680$ million at then-current
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exchange rates). The gain is net of third party fees, as well as recognition of $\$ 39$ million of cumulative translation loss released from other comprehensive income because the subsidiary holding the investment was substantially liquidated. The removal of this investment from the related reporting unit resulted in an impairment of goodwill. Both the gain on disposition and the related impairment charge were recognized at the Corporate level and not included in the determination of Division income.

Other income (expense), net includes gains and losses related to foreign exchange transactions, losses on sales of the Boise Cascade Company stock received by the Company following the Merger, investment results from deferred compensation plans, and prior to the sale in July 2013, our portion of the Office Depot de Mexico joint venture income. Our portion of the joint venture results for the year-to-date 2013 was $\$ 13$ million compared to $\$ 32$ million in 2012.

## Income Taxes

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | $\$ \mathbf{1 2}$ | $\$ 147$ | $\$ \quad 2$ |
| Effective income tax rate* | $\mathbf{( 4 ) \%}$ | $116 \%$ | $(2) \%$ |

* Income taxes as a percentage of income (loss) before income taxes.

The 2014 effective tax rate is negative because we recognized tax expense in jurisdictions with pretax income but were precluded from recognizing deferred tax benefits on pretax losses in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions with valuation allowances. In addition, no benefit was recognized for certain non-deductible expenses, including foreign interest expense. The effective tax rate for 2014 also reflects a benefit for our international operations in jurisdictions with statutory tax rates that are lower than the aggregate U.S. federal and state income tax rates, as well as jurisdictions in which we have favorable tax rulings.

The significant 2013 effective tax rate is primarily attributable to $\$ 140$ million of U.S. and Mexico income tax expense resulting from the sale of our investment in Office Depot de Mexico. The sale of our interest in Grupo OfficeMax during 2014 did not generate a similar gain or income tax expense. The 2013 effective tax rate also includes certain Merger related expenses and the International Division s goodwill impairment that are not deductible for income tax purposes. For 2012, the effective tax rate includes a $\$ 16$ million benefit related to the favorable settlement of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) examination of the 2009 and 2010 tax years and a $\$ 22$ million benefit related to the pension settlement since it was a non-taxable purchase price adjustment. Both 2013 and 2012 effective tax rates reflect the impact of valuation allowances limiting the recognition of deferred tax assets, as well as the benefit of lower statutory tax rates and favorable rulings in our international operations, as described above for 2014.

Following the recognition of significant valuation allowances in 2009, we have regularly experienced substantial volatility in our effective tax rate in interim periods and across years. Because deferred income tax benefits cannot be recognized in several jurisdictions, changes in the amount, mix and timing of pretax earnings among jurisdictions can have a significant impact on the overall effective tax rate. This interim and full year volatility is likely to continue in future periods until the valuation allowances can be released.

We file a U.S. federal income tax return and other income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. The U.S. federal income tax return for 2013 is under concurrent year review. Generally, we are subject to routine examination for years 2008 and forward in our foreign jurisdictions and for years 2009 and forward in our state jurisdictions. The acquired OfficeMax U.S. consolidated group is no longer subject to U.S. federal and state income tax examinations for years before 2010 and 2006, respectively. It is reasonably possible that some audits will close within the next twelve months, which we do not believe would result in a change to our accrued uncertain tax positions.

Refer to Note 9, Income Taxes, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional tax discussion.
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## Preferred Stock Dividends

In accordance with certain OfficeMax Merger-related agreements, which we entered into with the holders of our preferred stock concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement, in 2013, we redeemed the preferred stock, with 50 percent redeemed upon shareholder approval in July 2013 and the remaining 50 percent redeemed immediately prior to closing of the Merger in November 2013. The $\$ 431$ million in cash payments for full redemption of the preferred stock in 2013 included the liquidation preference of $\$ 407$ million and redemption premium of $\$ 24$ million measured at $6 \%$ of the liquidation preference.

Preferred stock dividends for 2013 in our Consolidated Statement of Operations were $\$ 73$ million, including $\$ 28$ million regular dividends and $\$ 45$ million related to the redemptions. The $\$ 45$ million is comprised of $\$ 24$ million redemption premium and $\$ 21$ million representing the difference between liquidation preference and carrying value of the preferred stock. The liquidation preference exceeded the carrying value because of initial issuance costs and paid-in-kind dividends recorded for accounting purposes at fair value.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Liquidity

In 2011, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with a group of lenders. Additional amendments to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement have been entered into and were effective February 2012 and November 2013 (the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement including all amendments is referred to as the Amended Credit Agreement ). The Amended Credit Agreement provides for an asset based, multi-currency revolving credit facility of up to $\$ 1.25$ billion and expires May 25, 2016. Refer to Note 8, Debt, of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

At December 27, 2014, we had approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion in cash and cash equivalents and approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion available under the Amended Credit Agreement based on the December 2014 borrowing base certificate, for a total liquidity of approximately $\$ 2.2$ billion. Approximately $\$ 309$ million of cash and cash equivalents was held outside the United States and could result in additional tax expense if repatriated. Refer to Note 9, Income Taxes of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. We consider our resources adequate to satisfy our cash needs for at least the next twelve months.

No amounts were drawn under the Amended Credit Agreement during 2014 and no amounts were outstanding at December 27, 2014. There were letters of credit outstanding under the Amended Credit Agreement at the end of the year totaling $\$ 92$ million.

The Company had short-term borrowings of $\$ 1$ million at December 27, 2014 under various local currency credit facilities for international subsidiaries that had an effective interest rate at the end of the year of approximately $5 \%$. The maximum month end balance occurred in March 2014 at approximately $\$ 10$ million and the maximum monthly average amount occurred in March 2014 at approximately $\$ 6$ million. The majority of these short-term borrowings represent outstanding balances on uncommitted lines of credit, which do not contain financial covenants.

The Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants at December 27, 2014.
In 2014, we have incurred $\$ 332$ million in expenses associated primarily with the Merger integration activities and $\$ 71$ million in expenses associated primarily with restructuring actions taken in Europe. Significant Merger and restructuring expenses are expected to continue to be incurred in 2015 and 2016. In 2015, the Company expects capital expenditures to be approximately $\$ 250$ million, including approximately $\$ 100$ million related to merger integration.
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The Company assumed obligations under the OfficeMax U.S. pension plans. In 2004, the plans were closed to new entrants and the benefits of eligible participants frozen. However, the plans were and continue to be in a net underfunded liability position. The Company makes contributions to the plans in amounts that are within the limits of deductibility under current tax regulations and not less than the minimum required by law. During 2014, the Company contributed $\$ 43$ million to these plans. The passage of new legislation in the Highway and Transportation Funding Act (HATFA) of 2014 reduced the required contributions to underfunded plans beginning retroactively with the 2013 plan year. The funding relief provided by HATFA will also reduce required contributions to the pension plans for the next several years. The Company now anticipates contributing $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 2$ million to these plans in 2015 and 2016, respectively. The amounts funded are presented as Operating activity outflows in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

We have entered into the Staples Merger Agreement with Staples and have agreed to pay a fee of $\$ 185$ million to Staples if the Staples Merger Agreement is terminated under any of the following circumstances:
the Company s Board makes a change in recommendation;
the Company terminates, at any time prior to obtaining approval of the Staples Acquisition from its stockholders, for the purpose of entering into an agreement for a superior proposal ; or
the Staples Acquisition is not consummated by November 4, 2015 (or, February 4, 2016, if extended as permitted in the Staples Merger Agreement) or the Company s stockholders fail to adopt the Merger Agreement and to approve the Staples Acquisition, in each case, only if (i) a third party has made an acquisition proposal before the Company s meeting of stockholders to vote on the Staples Acquisition and (ii) within 12 months of the termination of the Staples Merger Agreement, the Company enters into an alternative transaction.

In addition, whether or not the Staples Acquisition is completed, the uncertainty related to the proposed Staples Acquisition could adversely impact our business through several factors, including, but not limited to: (i) our current clients may experience uncertainty associated with the Staples Acquisition and may attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships or consider entering into business relationships with parties other than us; (ii) we may face additional challenges in competing for new and renewal business; and (iii) vendors or suppliers may seek to modify or terminate their business relationships with us.

## Cash Flows

Cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities is summarized as follows:

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 6}$ | $\$$ | $(107)$ |
| Investing activities | $\mathbf{( 2 8 )}$ | 1,028 | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ |
| Financing activities | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $(640)$ | $(30)$ |

## Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 156$ million in 2014 and $\$ 179$ million in 2012, compared to cash used by operating activities of $\$ 107$ million in 2013. The 2014 operating cash flows reflect a full year of operations as a combined company compared to the prior year impact of the OfficeMax business only following the Merger date of November 5, 2013. Operating activities reflect outflows related to Merger and integration activities in 2014 and 2013. Cash used in operating activities in 2013 was negatively impacted by the payment of $\$ 147$ million of income taxes related to the Company s gain on the disposition of the investment in Office Depot de Mexico. The source of cash from this gain is shown in Investing activities. In 2012, the Company recognized a credit in earnings as the Recovery of purchase price from a 2003 business combination. The cash portion of this
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recovery is reclassified out of operating activities and reflected as a source of cash in investing activities. However, that cash was required by the original purchase agreement to be contributed to the acquired pension plan. The pension funding during 2012 is presented as a use of cash in operating activities.

Changes in net working capital for 2014 resulted in a $\$ 10$ million use of cash compared to $\$ 77$ million in 2013 and $\$ 36$ million in 2012. The working capital factors in 2014 are largely attributable to timing, including the impact on certain payables of a one day shift in the retail calendar. The change in accounts receivable in 2013 was influenced by the timing of certain vendor arrangements, largely offset by proceeds from an account receivable factoring agreement in France. The increase in inventories in 2013 reflects building above prior year levels for the back to business selling cycle. Inventory balances were lower at the end of 2012 as a result of initiatives to better manage working capital. The working capital changes in 2013 were also impacted by the timing of the Merger, which caused the consolidated cash flows to reflect the changes in the OfficeMax working capital accounts from the Merger date through December 27, 2014. Payment of the legal accrual will result in approximately $\$ 80$ million use of operating cash in 2015.

The timing of changes in working capital is subject to variability during the year and across years depending on a variety of factors, including period end sales, the flow of goods, credit terms, timing of promotions, vendor production planning, new product introductions and working capital management. For our accounting policy on cash management, refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company expects total Company sales in 2015 to be lower than 2014, primarily due to challenging market trends, its decision to close certain stores, and the negative impact of currency translation.

## Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was $\$ 28$ million in 2014 and $\$ 30$ million in 2012, compared to cash provided of $\$ 1,028$ million in 2013. The use of cash is 2014 reflects $\$ 123$ million of capital expenditures, partially offset by $\$ 43$ million proceeds from the disposition of Grupo OfficeMax, $\$ 43$ million proceeds from the sale of Boise Cascade Company common stock, and $\$ 12$ million proceeds from the disposition of assets and other. The sale of a California distribution center classified as an asset held for sale at December 27, 2014 was finalized in January 2015 and will provide $\$ 35$ million of investing cash flow in the first quarter of 2015.

The source of cash in 2013 results primarily from $\$ 675$ million in net proceeds from the disposition of the joint venture Office Depot de Mexico and $\$ 460$ million in cash acquired from OfficeMax at the Merger date. The cash proceeds from the sale of Office Depot de Mexico provided additional liquidity for the preferred stock retirement, debt maturity and for the needs of the combined Company for Merger-related expenses. A $\$ 35$ million return of investment in Boise Cascade Holdings also contributed to the source of cash in 2013. Capital expenditures in 2013 were $\$ 137$ million.

The $\$ 30$ million net cash used in investing activities in 2012 reflects capital expenditures of $\$ 120$ million, partially offset by $\$ 31$ million from a sale lease back and other asset dispositions. Also, as discussed above, $\$ 50$ million was received in 2012 from a purchase price recovery, as well as a $\$ 9$ million release of restricted cash related to the same matter.

## Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities was $\$ 15$ million in 2014, compared to cash used by financing activities of $\$ 640$ million in the 2013 and $\$ 55$ million in 2012. The 2014 source of cash primarily was the net proceeds from exercise of employee share-based transactions. Proceeds from borrowings were $\$ 21$ million and payments on long- and short-term borrowings were $\$ 45$ million during 2014.
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In 2013, the Company redeemed $50 \%$ of its preferred stock in July of 2013 and the remaining $50 \%$ in November 2013 with total cash payment of $\$ 431$ million. The redemption payment of $\$ 431$ million includes the liquidation preference of $\$ 407$ million and redemption premium of $\$ 24$ million, measured at $6 \%$ of the liquidation preference. The premium of $\$ 24$ million is included in the $\$ 63$ million dividend of preferred stock. Contractual dividends on preferred stock were paid in cash in 2013 and 2011 and paid-in-kind during 2012. Also in 2013, the Company repaid the $\$ 150$ million of $6.25 \%$ senior notes at maturity. Net repayments on long- and short-term borrowings were $\$ 21$ million in 2013.

During 2012, the Company completed the settlement of a cash tender offer to purchase up to $\$ 250$ million aggregate principal amount of its outstanding $6.25 \%$ senior notes due 2013. The Company also issued $\$ 250$ million aggregate principal amount of $9.75 \%$ senior secured notes due March 15,2019 . The tender activity resulted in a $\$ 13$ million cash loss on extinguishment of debt. Additionally, new issuance costs and costs to amend a separate borrowing agreement totaled $\$ 8$ million. Net repayments on long- and short-term borrowings amounted to $\$ 35$ million in 2012.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 27, 2014, we lease retail stores and other facilities and equipment under operating lease agreements, which are included in the table below. In addition, Note 17, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules of this Annual Report describes certain of our arrangements that contain indemnifications.

## Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations at December 27, 2014, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on liquidity and cash flow in future periods. Some of the figures included in this table are based on management $s$ estimates and assumptions about these obligations, including their duration, the possibility of renewal, anticipated actions by third parties and other factors. Because these estimates and assumptions are necessarily subjective, the amounts we will actually pay in future periods may vary from those reflected in the table.

|  |  |  | nts Due b | riod |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016- | 2018- |  |  |
| (In millions) | Total | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 |  | reafter |
| Contractual Obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recourse debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt obligations ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 744 | \$ 42 | \$ 98 | \$ 317 | \$ | 287 |
| Short-term borrowings ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Capital lease obligations ${ }^{(3)}$ | 293 | 42 | 72 | 59 |  | 120 |
| Non-recourse debt ${ }^{(4)}$ | 948 | 40 | 80 | 80 |  | 748 |
| Operating lease obligations ${ }^{(5)}$ | 2,653 | 697 | 982 | 520 |  | 454 |
| Purchase obligations ${ }^{(6)}$ | 98 | 46 | 52 |  |  |  |
| Pension obligations ${ }^{(7)}$ | 119 | 9 | 6 | 6 |  | 98 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 4,856 | \$ 877 | \$ 1,290 | \$ 982 | \$ | 1,707 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Long-term obligations consist primarily of expected payments (principal and interest) on our $\$ 250$ million 9.75\% Senior Secured Notes and $\$ 186$ million of revenue bonds at various interest rates.
(2) Short-term borrowings consist of amounts outstanding under credit facilities for certain of our international subsidiaries.
${ }^{(3)}$ The present value of these obligations is included on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Refer to Note 8, Debt, of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about our capital lease obligations.
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${ }^{(4)}$ There is no recourse against the Company on the Securitization Notes as recourse is limited to proceeds from the pledged Installment Notes receivable and underlying guaranty. The non-recourse debt remains outstanding until it is legally extinguished, which will be when paid in cash or when the Installment Notes and related guaranty is transferred to and accepted by the Securitization Note holders. Interest payments on non-recourse debt will be completely offset by interest income received on the Installment Notes.
(5) The operating lease obligations presented reflect future minimum lease payments due under the non-cancelable portions of our leases, as of December 27, 2014. Some of our retail store leases require percentage rentals on sales above specified minimums and contain escalation clauses. The minimum lease payments shown in the table above do not include contingent rental expense and have not been reduced by sublease income of $\$ 43$ million. Some lease agreements provide us with the option to renew the lease or purchase the leased property. Our future operating lease obligations would change if we exercised these renewal options and if we entered into additional operating lease agreements. Our operating lease obligations are described in Note 10, Leases, of the Consolidated Financial Statements.
(6) Purchase obligations include all commitments to purchase goods or services of either a fixed or minimum quantity that are enforceable and legally binding on us that meet any of the following criteria: (1) they are non-cancelable, (2) we would incur a penalty if the agreement was cancelled, or (3) we must make specified minimum payments even if we do not take delivery of the contracted products or services. If the obligation is non-cancelable, the entire value of the contract is included in the table. If the obligation is cancelable, but we would incur a penalty if cancelled, the dollar amount of the penalty is included as a purchase obligation.
If we can unilaterally terminate the agreement simply by providing a certain number of days notice or by paying a termination fee, we have included the amount of the termination fee or the amount that would be paid over the notice period. As of December 27, 2014, purchase obligations include marketing services, outsourced accounting services, certain fixed assets and software licenses and service and maintenance contracts for information technology. Contracts that can be unilaterally terminated without a penalty have not been included.
${ }^{(7)}$ Pension obligations in the table above represent the estimated, minimum contributions required per Internal Revenue Service funding rules and the Company s estimated future payments under nonqualified pension and postretirement plans. Actuarially-determined liabilities related to pension and postretirement benefits are recorded based on estimates and assumptions. Key factors used in developing estimates of these liabilities include assumptions related to discount rates, rates of return on investments, future compensation costs, healthcare cost trends, benefit payment patterns and other factors. Changes in assumptions related to the measurement of funded status could have a material impact on the amount reported.
Our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 27, 2014 includes $\$ 621$ million classified as Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities. Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities primarily consist of net long-term deferred income taxes, deferred lease credits, long-term restructuring accruals, certain liabilities under our deferred compensation plans, accruals for uncertain tax positions, and environmental accruals. Certain of these liabilities have been excluded from the above table as either the amounts are fully funded or the timing and/or the amount of any cash payment is uncertain. Refer to Note 3, Merger, Restructuring, and Other Accruals, for a discussion of our restructuring accruals and Note 9, Income Taxes, of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our deferred tax positions and accruals for uncertain tax positions.

In addition to the above, we have outstanding letters of credit totaling $\$ 92$ million at December 27, 2014.
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## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparation of these statements requires management to make judgments and estimates. Some accounting policies and estimates have a significant impact on amounts reported in these financial statements. A summary of significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 , Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Consolidated Financial Statements. We have also identified certain accounting policies and estimates that we consider critical to understanding our business and our results of operations and we have provided below additional information on those policies. No significant changes have been made during 2014 to the methodologies used in preparing the estimates discussed below.

Merger impacts The integration of two like companies generally is expected to provide benefits from reduction of duplicate functions and greater economies of scale. Such benefits will be reflected as lower operating costs as the integration continues. However, the integration also results in significant costs related to the closure of facilities, severance of employees and incurring incremental costs required to merge the two companies where such costs are not expected to be incurred in periods following the integration. During 2014, the Company recognized $\$ 332$ million of Merger costs and costs are expected to continue through the integration timeline which is generally expected to be complete by 2016. Also, gains and losses may be recognized from dispositions of Office Depot or OfficeMax properties that are no longer in use following Merger-related facility consolidation and closure. Gains are recognized when realized; losses are recognized when closure or disposition decisions are made. These costs, net of gains, are included in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Refer to the Long-lived asset impairments, Goodwill and other intangible assets, and Closed store accruals sections below for additional Merger-related impacts. The accounting policies for the recognition of these Merger costs are the same as those the Company follows for other asset impairments, severance accruals, facility closure costs and gains and loss on dispositions. Refer to Note 1 , Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these policies.

Vendor arrangements Inventory purchases from vendors are generally under arrangements that automatically renew until cancelled with periodic updates or annual negotiated agreements. Many of these arrangements require the vendors to make payments to us or provide credits to be used against purchases if and when certain conditions are met. We refer to these arrangements as vendor programs. Vendor programs fall into two broad categories, with some underlying sub-categories. The first category is volume-based rebates. Under those arrangements, our product costs per unit decline as higher volumes of purchases are reached. Current accounting rules provide that companies with a reasonable basis for estimating their full year purchases, and therefore the ultimate rebate level, can use that estimate to value inventory and cost of goods sold throughout the year. We believe our history of purchases with many vendors provides us with a basis for our estimates of purchase volume. If the anticipated volume of purchases is not reached, however, or if we form the belief at any point in the year that it is not likely to be reached, cost of goods sold and the remaining inventory balances are adjusted to reflect that change in our outlook. We review sales projections and related purchases against vendor program estimates at least quarterly and adjust these balances accordingly.

The second broad category of arrangements with our vendors is event-based programs. These arrangements can take many forms, including advertising support, special pricing offered by certain of our vendors for a limited time, payments for special placement or promotion of a product, reimbursement of costs incurred to launch a vendor s product, and various other special programs. These payments are classified as a reduction of costs of goods sold or inventory, based on the nature of the program and the sell-through of the inventory. Some arrangements may meet the specific, incremental, identifiable cost criteria that allow for direct operating expense offset, but such arrangements are not significant.

Vendor programs are recognized throughout the year based on judgment and estimates and amounts due from vendors are generally settled throughout the year based on purchase volumes. The final amounts due from
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vendors are generally known soon after year-end. Substantially all vendor program receivables outstanding at the end of the year are settled within the three months immediately following year-end. We believe that our historical collection rates of these receivables provide a sound basis for our estimates of anticipated vendor payments throughout the year. Should the Company change its arrangements to focus more on event-based programs, the timing of programs offered and implemented may result in variability of benefit recognition throughout the year.

Inventory valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of weighted average cost or market value. We monitor active inventory for excessive quantities and slow-moving items and record adjustments as necessary to lower the value if the anticipated realizable amount is below cost. We also identify merchandise that we plan to discontinue or have begun to phase out and assess the estimated recoverability of the carrying value. This includes consideration of the quantity of the merchandise, the rate of sale, and our assessment of current and projected market conditions and anticipated vendor programs. If necessary, we record a charge to cost of sales to reduce the carrying value of this merchandise to our estimate of the lower of cost or realizable amount. Additional promotional activities may be initiated and markdowns may be taken as considered appropriate until the product is sold or otherwise disposed. Estimates and judgments are required in determining what items to stock and at what level, and what items to discontinue and how to value them prior to sale.

We also recognize an expense in cost of sales for our estimate of physical inventory loss from theft, short shipments and other factors referred to as inventory shrink. During the year, we adjust the estimate of our inventory shrink rate accrual following on-hand adjustments and our physical inventory count results. These changes in estimates may result in volatility within the year or impact comparisons to other periods.

Long-lived asset impairments Long-lived assets with identifiable cash flows are reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. We estimate the fair value of the asset or asset groups using valuation methodologies that typically include estimates of cash flows directly associated with the future use and eventual disposition of the asset or asset groups. If undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the asset, an impairment is measured as the difference between the asset $s$ estimated fair value (generally, the discounted cash flows or its salvage value) and its carrying value, and any costs of disposition. Factors that could trigger an impairment assessment include a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used or the business climate that could affect the value of the asset, among others. In 2014, the Company abandoned certain capitalized software following information technology decisions. As integration decisions are made, the Company may identify other assets or asset groups for sale or abandonment and incur impairment charges.

Because of the period of declining sales and following identification in 2014 of the Real Estate Strategy, store assets are reviewed quarterly for recoverability of their asset carrying amounts. The analysis uses input from retail store operations and the Company s accounting and finance personnel that organizationally report to the chief financial officer. These projections are based on management s estimates of store-level sales, gross margins, direct expenses, and resulting cash flows and, by their nature, include judgments about how current initiatives will impact future performance. If the anticipated cash flows of a store cannot support the carrying value of its assets, the assets are written down to estimated fair value. Store asset impairment charges of $\$ 25$ million, $\$ 26$ million and $\$ 124$ million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in Asset impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Based on the fourth quarter 2014 analysis, a 100 basis point decrease in next year sales, combined with a 50 basis point decrease in gross margin, would have increased the impairment charge by $\$ 1$ million. Further, a 100 basis point decrease in sales for all periods would have increased the impairment charge by an additional $\$ 1$ million.

Important assumptions used in these projections include an assessment of future overall economic conditions, our ability to control future costs, maintain aspects of positive performance, and successfully implement initiatives designed to enhance sales and gross margins. To the extent that management s estimates of future performance are not realized, future assessments could result in material impairment charges.
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Goodwill and other intangible assets The Merger-related purchase price allocation was completed during 2014 and goodwill was allocated to the reporting units. Because of the addition to goodwill, the Company elected to perform its 2014 impairment analysis using a quantitative approach of discounted cash flow analysis supplemented with market comparison data, where available. The estimated fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying value at the test date, which was the first day of the third quarter. The reporting unit of Australia and New Zealand, which was not combined with any existing Office Depot businesses, had an estimated fair value approximately $10 \%$ above its carrying value. Goodwill in that reporting unit is $\$ 15$ million. The estimated fair value of this reporting unit includes projected cash outflows related to certain restructuring activities. Should these restructuring activities not result in the anticipated future period benefits, or if there is a downturn in performance, a potential future goodwill impairment could result. However, the Company believes, based on these projections, that there are no current indicators of impairment in this reporting unit. The estimated fair values of the other reporting units, which were combined with existing Office Depot businesses, were substantially in excess of their carrying values.

Unless conditions suggest an asset s value may not be recoverable, indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested annually for impairment and definite-lived intangible assets are a reviewed annually to ensure the remaining useful lives are appropriate. A change in the anticipated value of an intangible asset may result in its impairment. During 2014, the Company changed its planned use of a private brand trade name used internationally from an indefinite life to a shorter period and at a lower profile. This change in planned usage resulted in an impairment charge of $\$ 5$ million.

Other intangible assets include favorable lease assets, trade names and assets associated with customer relationships. The favorable lease assets were established in the Merger for lease rental rates below current market rates for comparable properties and assumed renewal of all available options. The favorable lease asset is being amortized over the same period. Should the Company decide to close the facility prior to the full contemplated term, the recoverability will be subject to then-current fair value of the lease right. During 2014, the Company recognized $\$ 5$ million of impairment of favorable lease assets because of closure activity. Approximately $\$ 12$ million, or $50 \%$ of the favorable lease asset balance related to stores in the U.S. at December 27, 2014, is associated with 13 locations. The remainder in the U.S. is for lower amounts distributed across many locations. Should the Company close locations in the future, or assumed future sublease rates decline, some amount of the favorable lease asset value may be impaired.

The most significant remaining intangible asset at December 27, 2014 relates to customer relationships in the North America Contract channel. If the Company experiences an unanticipated decline in sales associated with these customers, the remaining useful life will be reassessed and either acceleration of amortization or impairment could result.

Closed store accruals During 2014, the Company developed the Real Estate Strategy that included closing of approximately 400 retail stores in the United States through 2016. The locations identified for possible closure considered market position, sales trends, remaining lease term, the proximity of other Company locations, likely sales transfer rates and other factors. The specific identity of stores to close will be influenced by real estate and marketplace conditions. At the point of closure, we recognize a liability for the remaining costs related to the property, reduced by an estimate of any sublease income. The calculation of this liability requires us to make assumptions and to apply judgment regarding the remaining term of the lease (including vacancy period), anticipated sublease income, and costs associated with vacating the premises. Lease commitments with no economic benefit to the Company are discounted at the credit-adjusted discount rate at the time of each location closure. With assistance from independent third parties to assess market conditions, we periodically review these judgments and estimates and adjust the liability accordingly. Future fluctuations in the economy and the market demand for commercial properties could result in material changes in this liability. Costs associated with facility closures that are related to Merger and restructuring activities are and, in future periods will be, presented in Merger, restructuring and other operating activities, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Costs
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associated with facility closures that are deemed operational are included in Selling, general and administrative expenses.

Pensions and other postretirement benefits In addition to an existing but closed defined benefit plan in Europe, the Company assumed responsibility for certain OfficeMax noncontributory defined benefit pension plans and retiree medical benefit and life insurance plans. The OfficeMax plans are frozen and do not allow new entrants. At December 27, 2014, the funded status of our existing and assumed OfficeMax defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans was a liability of $\$ 196$ million. Changes in assumptions related to the measurement of funded status could have a material impact on the amount reported. We are required to calculate our pension expense and liabilities using actuarial assumptions, including mortality assumptions, a discount rate and long-term asset rate of return. For year end 2014 measurement, we updated North America benefit plans mortality assumptions based on tables recently-published by Society of Actuaries Retirement Plan Experience Committee. We do not anticipate changes to those assumptions in the near future. We base our North America plans discount rate assumption on the rates of return for a theoretical portfolio of high-grade corporate bonds (rated AA- or better) with cash flows that generally match our expected benefit payments in future years. The discount rate for the European plan is derived based on long-term UK government fixed income yields, having regard to the proportion of assets in each asset class. We base our long-term asset rate of return assumption on the average rate of earnings expected on invested assets. Based on current market conditions, both the discount rate and the assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets decreased for year end 2014 measurements. Currently, the net impact of these plans is an annual credit to income. However, because of the judgments and estimates included in pension and other benefit valuation, such amount could change in future periods and have a significant impact on our financial position and results of operations. A 50 basis point reduction in the discount rate would increase the 2015 pension expense credit by $\$ 2$ million. A 50 basis point reduction in the assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets would reduce the 2015 net pension credit by $\$ 6$ million.

Income taxes Income tax accounting requires management to make estimates and apply judgments to events that will be recognized in one period under rules that apply to financial reporting and in a different period in our tax returns. In particular, judgment is required when estimating the value of future tax deductions, tax credits, and the realizability of net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), as represented by deferred tax assets. When we believe the realization of all or a portion of a deferred tax asset is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. Changes in judgments that increase or decrease these valuation allowances impact current earnings. Our effective tax rate in future periods may be positively or negatively impacted by changes in related judgments about valuation allowances or pre-tax operations.

In addition to judgments associated with valuation accounts, our current tax provision can be affected by our mix of income and identification or resolution of uncertain tax positions. Because income from domestic and international sources may be taxed at different rates, the shift in mix during a year or over years can cause the effective tax rate to change. We base our rate during the year on our best estimate of an annual effective rate, and update that estimate quarterly, with the cumulative effect of a change in the anticipated annual rate reflected in the tax provision of that period. Such changes can result in significant interim reporting volatility. This volatility can result from changes in our projected earnings levels, the mix of income, the impact of valuation allowances in certain jurisdictions and the interim accounting rules applied to entities expected to pay taxes on a full year basis, but recognizing losses in an interim period.

## SIGNIFICANT TRENDS, DEVELOPMENTS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Competitive Factors Over the years, we have seen continued development and growth of competitors in all segments of our business. In particular, mass merchandisers and warehouse clubs, as well as grocery and drugstore chains, have increased their assortment of office merchandise. Warehouse clubs have expanded beyond their in-store assortment by adding catalogs and websites from which a much broader assortment of products may be ordered. We also face competition from other office supply stores that compete with us in numerous markets. This competition is likely to result in increased competitive pressures on pricing, product selection and
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services provided. Many of these retail competitors, including discounters, warehouse clubs, and drug stores and grocery chains, carry a wide assortment of office supply products. Some of them also feature technology products. Many of them may price certain of these offerings lower than we do. This trend towards a proliferation of retailers offering a limited assortment of office products is a potentially serious trend in our industry that could shift purchasing away from office supply specialty retailers and adversely impact our results.

We have seen substantial growth in the number of competitors that offer office products over the Internet, as well as the breadth and depth of their product offerings. In addition to large numbers of smaller Internet providers featuring special price incentives and one-time deals (such as close-outs), we are experiencing strong competitive pressures from large Internet providers such as Amazon.com and Walmart that offer a full assortment of office products through direct sales and, in the case of Amazon.com, acting as a storefront for other specialty office product providers.

Additionally, consumers are utilizing more technology and purchasing less paper, ink and toner, physical file storage and general office supplies.

We regularly consider these and other competitive factors when we establish both offensive and defensive aspects of our overall business strategy and operating plans.

Economic Factors Our customers in the North American Retail Division, the International Division, and many of our customers in the North American Business Solutions Division are predominantly small and home office businesses. Accordingly, spending by these customers is affected by macroeconomic conditions, such as changes in the housing market and commodity costs, credit availability and other factors. The downturn in the global economy experienced in recent years negatively impacted our sales and profits.

Liquidity Factors Our cash flow from operating activities, available cash and cash equivalents, and access to broad financial markets provide the liquidity we need to operate our business and fund integration and restructuring activities. Together, these sources have been used to fund operating and working capital needs, as well as invest in business expansion through new store openings, capital improvements and acquisitions. We have in place a $\$ 1.25$ billion asset based credit facility to provide liquidity, subject to availability as specified in the agreement.

## MARKET SENSITIVE RISKS AND POSITIONS

We have adopted an enterprise risk management process patterned after the principles set out by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO). Management utilizes a common view of exposure identification and risk management. A process is in place for periodic risk reviews and identification of appropriate mitigation strategies.

We have market risk exposure related to interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and commodities. Market risk is measured as the potential negative impact on earnings, cash flows or fair values resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates over the next year. Interest rate changes on obligations may result from external market factors, as well as changes in our credit rating. We manage our exposure to market risks at the corporate level. The portfolio of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities is monitored to provide liquidity necessary to satisfy anticipated short-term needs. Our risk management policies allow the use of specified financial instruments for hedging purposes only; speculation on interest rates, foreign currency rates, or commodities is not permitted.

## Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes on cash, cash equivalents, noncontributory defined benefit pension plans, and debt obligations. The impact on cash and short-term investments held at December 27, 2014 from a hypothetical $10 \%$ decrease in interest rates would be a decrease in interest income of less than $\$ 1$ million.
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The following tables provide information about our debt portfolio outstanding as of December 27, 2014 that is sensitive to changes in interest rates. The following table does not include our obligations for pension plans and other postretirement benefits, although market risk also arises within our defined benefit pension plans to the extent that the obligations of the pension plans are not fully matched by assets with determinable cash flows. We sponsor U.S. noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain terminated employees, vested employees, retirees, and some active employees. These plans were acquired in the Merger transaction and have been frozen since 2004. Our active employees and all inactive participants who are covered by these plans are no longer accruing additional benefits. However, the pension plans obligations are still subject to change due to fluctuations in long-term interest rates as well as factors impacting actuarial valuations, such as retirement rates and pension plan participants increased life expectancies. In addition to changes in pension plan obligations, the amount of plan assets available to pay benefits, contribution levels and expense are also impacted by the return on the pension plan assets. The pension plan assets include U.S. equities, international equities, global equities and fixed-income securities, the cash flows of which change as equity prices and interest rates vary. The risk is that market movements in equity prices and interest rates could result in assets that are insufficient over time to cover the level of projected obligations. This in turn could result in significant changes in pension expense and funded status, further impacting future required contributions. Management, together with the trustees who act on behalf of the pension plan beneficiaries, assess the level of this risk using reports prepared by independent external actuaries and investment advisors and take action, where appropriate, in terms of setting investment strategy and agreed contribution levels.


The risk sensitivity of fixed rate debt reflects the estimated increase in fair value from a 50 basis point decrease in interest rates, calculated on a discounted cash flow basis. The sensitivity of variable rate debt reflects the possible increase in interest expense during the next period from a 50 basis point change in interest rates prevailing at year-end.

## Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

We conduct business through entities in various countries outside the United States where their functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. Our principal international operations are in countries with Euro, British Pound, Canadian Dollar, Australian Dollar, and New Zealand Dollar functional currencies. We continue to assess our exposure to foreign currency fluctuation against the U.S. dollar. As of December 27, 2014, a $10 \%$ change in the applicable foreign exchange rates would result in an increase or decrease in our pretax earnings of approximately $\$ 5$ million.

Although operations generally are conducted in the relevant local currency, we also are subject to foreign exchange transaction exposure when our subsidiaries transact business in a currency other than their own
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functional currency. This exposure arises primarily from inventory purchases denominated in a foreign currency. At December 27, 2014, there are foreign exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of $\$ 5$ million hedging inventory exposures. The highest notional amount outstanding at any point during 2014 was $\$ 32$ million during the month of May. Also, from time-to-time, we enter into foreign exchange forward transactions to protect against possible changes in exchange rates related to scheduled or anticipated cash movements among our operating entities. At December 27, 2014, foreign exchange forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of $\$ 25$ million were in place to hedge these movements.

Generally, we evaluate the performance of our international businesses by focusing on the results of the business in local currency, and not with regard to the translation into U.S. dollars, as the latter is impacted by external factors.

## Commodities Risk

We operate a large network of stores and delivery centers around the world. As such, we purchase fuel needed to transport products to our stores and customers as well as pay shipping costs to import products from overseas. We are exposed to potential changes in the underlying commodity costs associated with this transport activity. We enter into economic hedge transactions for a portion of our anticipated fuel consumption in the U.S. These arrangements are marked to market at each reporting period. Some of these arrangements may not be designated as hedges for accounting purposes and changes in value are recognized in current earnings through the Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Those that are designated as hedges for accounting purposes are also marked to market at each reporting period, with the change in value deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income until the related fuel is consumed. At December 27, 2014, we had entered into contracts for approximately 15 million gallons of fuel that will be settled monthly through January 2016. Currently, these economic hedging transactions are not considered material. As of December 27, 2014, excluding the impact of any hedge transaction, a $10 \%$ change in domestic commodity costs would result in an increase or decrease in our operating profit of approximately $\$ 7$ million.

## INFLATION AND SEASONALITY

Although we cannot determine the precise effects of inflation on our business, we do not believe inflation has had a material impact on our sales or the results of our operations. We consider our business to be somewhat seasonal, with sales generally trending lower in the second quarter, following the back-to-business sales cycle in the first quarter and preceding the back-to-school sales cycle in the third quarter and the holiday sales cycle in the fourth quarter. Certain working capital components may build and recede during the year reflecting established selling cycles. Business cycles can and have impacted our operations and financial position when compared to other periods.

## NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

During 2014, the Company early adopted the new accounting standard related to accounting for discontinued operations. There was no significant impact to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, during 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued a new accounting standard relating to revenue recognition. That standard will be effective in 2017, with retrospective application possible. The Company has not finalized assessing the impact this standard will have on the Consolidated Financial Statements. Refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these new accounting standards.

In addition, the FASB has issued proposed rules relating to leasing transactions that, if passed in their current form, would have a significant impact on our financial statements. Among other things, the proposed standard would create a right of use asset and corresponding liability on the balance sheet measured at the present value of lease payments. Depending on the nature of the asset being leased, the income statement impact would either be similar to current operating lease treatment or current capital lease treatment. The Company continues to monitor developments relating to this project.
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## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Refer to information in the Market Sensitive Risks and Positions subsection of Part II Item 7. MD\&A of this Annual Report.
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.
Refer to Part IV Item 15(a) of this Annual Report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on management s evaluation which included the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ), and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), as of December 28, 2013, the Company s CEO and CFO concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( the Act )), were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that the Company files or submits under the Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

## Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Office Depot is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Act. Our Internal Control structure is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In evaluating our Internal Control, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013). Based on our assessment, management has concluded that the Company sinternal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 27, 2014.

Our internal control over financial reporting as of December 27, 2014, has been audited by Deloitte \& Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report provided below.

## Item 9B. Other Information.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Office Depot, Inc.
Boca Raton, Florida

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 27, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 27, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 of the Company and our report dated February 24, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida
February 24, 2015
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## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Information concerning our executive officers is set forth in Part 1 Item 1. Business of this Annual Report under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Information required by this item with respect to our directors and the nomination process will be contained under the heading Election of Directors in the proxy statement for our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC (the Proxy Statement ) within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year, which information is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Information required by this item with respect to our audit committee and our audit committee financial experts will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Committees of Our Board of Directors Audit Committee and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Information required by this item with respect to compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Our Code of Ethical Behavior is in compliance with applicable rules of the SEC that apply to our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and our principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethical Behavior is available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our website at www.officedepot.com. We intend to satisfy any disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this Code of Ethical Behavior by posting such information on our website at the address and location specified above.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Information required by this item with respect to executive compensation and director compensation will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Compensation Discussion \& Analysis and Director Compensation, respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The information required by this item with respect to compensation committee interlocks and insider participation will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The compensation committee report required by this item will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation Committee Report and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

The information required by this item with respect to compensation policies and practices as they relate to the Company s risk management will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Information required by this item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the heading Stock Ownership Information and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.
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## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Information required by this item with respect to such contractual relationships and director independence will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Related Person Transactions Policy and Director Independence, respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Information with respect to principal accounting fees and services and pre-approval policies will be contained in the Proxy Statement under the headings Audit \& Other Fees and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures respectively, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report.
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## PART IV

## Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. The financial statements listed in Index to Financial Statements.
2. The financial statement schedules listed in Index to Financial Statement Schedules.
3. The exhibits listed in the Index to Exhibits.
(b) Exhibit 99
4. Financial statements of Office Depot de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and Subsidiaries as of July 9, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 24th day of February 2015.

OFFICE DEPOT, INC.
By: /s/ ROLAND C. SMITH
Roland C. Smith
Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities indicated on February 24, 2015.

## Signature

/s/ ROLAND C. SMITH

Roland C. Smith
/s/ STEPHEN E. HARE

Stephen E. Hare
/s/ KIM MOEHLER

Kim Moehler
/s/ WARREN BRYANT

Warren Bryant
/s/ RAKESH GANGWAL

Rakesh Gangwal
/s/ CYNTHIA T. JAMISON

Cynthia T. Jamison
/s/ FRANCESCA RUIZ DE LUZURIAGA

Francesca Ruiz de Luzuriaga
/s/ V. JAMES MARINO
V. James Marino
/s/ MICHAEL J. MASSEY

Michael J. Massey
/s/ DAVID M. SZYMANSKI
Director
/s/ NIGEL TRAVIS

Nigel Travis
/s/ JOSEPH S. VASSALLUZZO

Joseph S. Vassalluzzo

Director

Director
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Office Depot, Inc.
Boca Raton, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 27, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 27, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 27, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida
February 24, 2015
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (In millions, except per share amounts)

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$ 16,096 | \$ 11,242 | \$ 10,696 |
| Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs | 12,320 | 8,616 | 8,160 |
| Gross profit | 3,776 | 2,626 | 2,536 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,479 | 2,560 | 2,440 |
| Recovery of purchase price |  |  | (68) |
| Asset impairments | 88 | 70 | 139 |
| Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net | 403 | 201 | 56 |
| Legal accrual | 81 |  |  |
| Operating loss | (275) | (205) | (31) |
| Other income (expense): |  |  |  |
| Interest income | 24 | 5 | 2 |
| Interest expense | (89) | (69) | (69) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  |  | (12) |
| Gain on disposition of joint venture |  | 382 |  |
| Other income, net |  | 14 | 35 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (340) | 127 | (75) |
| Income tax expense | 12 | 147 | 2 |
| Net loss | (352) | (20) | (77) |
| Less: Results attributable to the noncontrolling interests | 2 |  |  |
| Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | (354) | (20) | (77) |
| Preferred stock dividends |  | 73 | 33 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (354) | \$ (93) | \$ (110) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | \$ (0.66) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.39) |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In millions)

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net loss | \$ (352) | \$ (20) | \$ (77) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, where applicable: |  |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (78) | 47 | 23 |
| Amortization of gain on cash flow hedge |  |  | (2) |
| Change in deferred pension, net of $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 10$ million of deferred income taxes in 2014 and 2013, respectively | (87) | 12 | (3) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, where applicable | (165) | 59 | 18 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | (517) | 39 | (59) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest | 2 |  |  |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | \$ (519) | \$ 39 | \$ (59) |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except shares and par value)


| Total Office Depot, Inc. stockholders equity | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 1}$ | 2,063 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Noncontrolling interests |  |  |  |
| Total equity | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 1}$ | 2,064 |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 8 4 4}$ | $\$$ |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (In millions)

|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net loss | \$ (352) | \$ (20) | \$ (77) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 313 | 209 | 203 |
| Charges for losses on inventories and receivables | 66 | 59 | 65 |
| Earnings from equity method investments |  | (14) | (30) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  |  | 13 |
| Recovery of purchase price |  |  | (58) |
| Pension plan funding associated with recovery of purchase price |  |  | (58) |
| Asset impairments | 88 | 70 | 139 |
| Compensation expense for share-based payments | 38 | 38 | 14 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of joint ventures | 2 | (382) |  |
| Deferred income taxes and deferred tax asset valuation allowances |  | 8 | 1 |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of assets | 6 | (3) | (2) |
| Other | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables | (3) | (2) | 44 |
| Decrease (increase) in inventories | (1) | (34) | 53 |
| Net decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other assets | 14 | (2) |  |
| Net decrease in trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current and other long-term liabilities | (20) | (39) | (133) |
| Total adjustments | 508 | (87) | 256 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 156 | (107) | 179 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | (123) | (137) | (120) |
| Acquired cash in Merger, net |  | 457 |  |
| Proceeds from sale of joint ventures, net | 43 | 675 |  |
| Return of investment in Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. |  | 35 |  |
| Proceeds from sale of available for sale securities | 43 |  |  |
| Recovery of purchase price |  |  | 50 |
| Release of restricted cash |  |  | 9 |
| Restricted cash | (3) | (4) |  |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets and other | 12 | 2 | 31 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (28) | 1,028 | (30) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Net proceeds from employee share-based transactions | 39 | 3 | 2 |
| Payment for noncontrolling interests |  |  | (1) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  |  | (13) |
| Debt retirement |  | (150) | (250) |
| Debt issuance |  |  | 250 |
| Debt related fees |  | (1) | (8) |
| Redemption of redeemable preferred stock |  | (407) |  |
| Redeemable preferred stock dividends |  | (63) |  |


| Proceeds from issuance of borrowings | 21 | 23 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payments on long- and short-term borrowings | (45) | (45) | (57) |
| Net cash provided by ( used in) financing activities | 15 | (640) | (55) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (27) | 3 | 6 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 116 | 284 | 100 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 955 | 671 | 571 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 1,071 | \$ 955 | \$ 671 |

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## (In millions, except share amounts)



The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.
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## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business: Office Depot, Inc. ( Office Depot or the Company ) is a global supplier of office products and services. On November 5, 2013, the Company merged with OfficeMax Incorporated ( OfficeMax ); refer to Note 2 for additional discussion of this merger (the Merger ). OfficeMax s results are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Cash Flows since the Merger date, affecting comparability of amounts in the three years presented. The merged Company currently operates under the Office Depot ${ }^{\circledR}$ and OfficeMax ${ }^{\circledR}$ banners and utilizes proprietary company and product brand names.

In connection with the voluntary transfer of the listing of the Company s common stock from the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) to the NASDAQ Global Select Market ( NASDAQ ), the Company s common stock ceased trading on the NYSE effective at the close of business on September 25, 2014 and, commenced trading on NASDAQ at market open on September 26, 2014. The Company s common stock continues to trade under the ticker symbol ODP.

As of December 27, 2014, the Company sold to customers throughout North America, Europe, and Asia/Pacific through three reportable segments (or Divisions ): North American Retail Division, North American Business Solutions Division and International Division. Following the date of the Merger: (i) the former OfficeMax U.S. Retail business is included in the North American Retail Division; (ii) the former OfficeMax United States and Canada Contract business is included in the North American Business Solutions Division; and (iii) the former OfficeMax businesses in Australia and New Zealand are included in the International Division. Due to the sale of the Company s interest in Grupo OfficeMax S. de R.L. de C.V. and related entities (together, Grupo OfficeMax ) in August 2014, the integration of this business into the International Division was suspended in the second quarter of 2014 and the joint venture s results have been removed from the International Division and reported as Other to align with how this information is presented for management reporting.

Office Depot currently operates through wholly-owned entities and participates in other ventures and alliances. The Company s corporate headquarters is located in Boca Raton, FL, and the Company s primary website is www.officedepot.com.

On February 4, 2015, Staples, Inc. ( Staples ) and the Company announced that the companies have entered into a definitive merger agreement (the Staples Merger Agreement), under which Staples will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Office Depot and the Company will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples (the Staples Acquisition ). The Company will survive the Staples Merger as a wholly owned subsidiary of Staples. Under the terms of the Staples Merger Agreement, Office Depot shareholders will receive, for each Office Depot share held by such shareholders, $\$ 7.25$ in cash and 0.2188 of a share in Staples common stock at closing. Each employee share-based award outstanding at the date of the agreement will vest upon the effective date of the Staples Merger. The agreement includes representations, warranties and conditions, including breakup fees payable or receivable under certain conditions if the transaction fails to close. Certain existing debt agreements will require modification prior to closing. The transaction has been approved by both companies Board of Directors and the completion of the Staples Merger is subject to customary closing conditions including, among others, the approval of Office Depot shareholders and various regulatory approvals. Refer to the Company s Form 8-K filed February 4, 2015 for additional information on the transaction.

Basis of Presentation: The consolidated financial statements of Office Depot include the accounts of all wholly owned and, prior to disposition in 2014, financially controlled subsidiaries. Also, variable interest entities formed by OfficeMax in prior periods solely related to the Timber Notes and Non-recourse debt are consolidated because the Company is the primary beneficiary. Refer to Note 7 for additional information. As a result of the Merger, the Company owns $88 \%$ of a subsidiary that formerly owned assets in Cuba, which were confiscated by the Cuban
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government in the 1960 s. Due to various asset restrictions, the fair value of this investment at the Merger date was not determinable and no amounts are included in the consolidated financial statements. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Noncontrolling interests related to the Company s investment in Grupo OfficeMax S. de R.L. de C.V. ( Grupo OfficeMax ) through its sale in the third quarter of 2014 is presented outside of permanent equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets because redemption features were not within the Company s control. Results attributable to noncontrolling interests were insignificant for all periods.

The equity method of accounting is used for investments in which the Company does not control but either shares control equally or has significant influence; the cost method is used when the Company neither shares control nor has significant influence.

Fiscal Year: Fiscal years are based on a 52- or 53-week period ending on the last Saturday in December. Certain international locations operate on a calendar year basis; however, the reporting difference is not considered significant. All years presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements consisted of 52 weeks.

Estimates and Assumptions: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency: International operations primarily use local currencies as their functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Revenues, expenses and cash flows are translated at average monthly exchange rates, or rates on the date of the transaction for certain significant items. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are recorded in Stockholders equity as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income.

Foreign currency transaction gains or losses are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in Other income (expense), net or Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs, depending on the nature of the transaction.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: All short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition are classified as cash equivalents. Amounts in transit from banks for customer credit card and debit card transactions are classified as cash. The banks process the majority of these amounts within two business days.

Amounts not yet presented for payment to zero balance disbursement accounts of $\$ 91$ million and $\$ 118$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively, are presented in Trade accounts payable and Accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

Approximately $\$ 309$ million of Cash and cash equivalents was held outside the United States at December 27, 2014.

Receivables: Trade receivables, net, totaled $\$ 812$ million and $\$ 855$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been recorded to reduce receivables to an amount expected to be collectible from customers. The allowance at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013 was $\$ 18$ million and $\$ 26$ million, respectively.

Exposure to credit risk associated with trade receivables is limited by having a large customer base that extends across many different industries and geographic regions. However, receivables may be adversely affected by an economic slowdown in the United States or internationally. No single customer accounted for more than $10 \%$ of total sales or receivables in 2014, 2013 or 2012.
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Other receivables are $\$ 452$ million and $\$ 478$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively, of which $\$ 360$ million and $\$ 319$ million, respectively, are amounts due from vendors under purchase rebate, cooperative advertising and various other marketing programs.

The Company sells selected accounts receivables on a non-recourse basis to an unrelated financial institution under a factoring agreement in France. The Company accounts for this transaction as a sale of receivables, removes receivables sold from its financial statements, and records cash proceeds when received by the Company as cash provided by operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The financial institution makes available $80 \%$ of the face value of the receivables to the Company and retains the remaining $20 \%$ as a guarantee until the receipt of the proceeds associated with the factored invoices.

In 2014 and 2013, the Company withdrew $\$ 479$ million and $\$ 443$ million, respectively, under the factoring agreement. Receivables sold for which the Company did not obtain cash directly from the financial institution are included in Receivables and amount to $\$ 6$ million and $\$ 10$ million as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. Retention guarantees of $\$ 11$ million and $\$ 13$ million are included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value and are reduced for inventory losses based on estimated obsolescence and the results of physical counts. In-bound freight is included as a cost of inventories. Also, cash discounts and certain vendor allowances that are related to inventory purchases are recorded as a product cost reduction. The weighted average method is used throughout the Company to determine the cost of inventory and the first-in-first-out method is used for inventory held within certain European countries where the Company has operations.

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets: At December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, Prepaid expenses and other current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets included prepaid expenses of $\$ 116$ million and $\$ 163$ million, respectively, relating to short-term advance payments on rent, marketing, services and other matters. Also, refer to Note 9 for information on deferred taxes included in this financial statement caption.

Income Taxes: Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. This approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities attributable to differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Valuation allowances are recorded to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount believed to be more likely than not to be realized. The Company recognizes tax benefits from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. Interest related to income tax exposures is included in interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Refer to Note 9 for additional information on income taxes.

Property and Equipment: Property and equipment additions are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization is recognized over the estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. The useful lives of depreciable assets are estimated to be 15-30 years for buildings and 3-10 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Computer software is amortized over three years for common office applications, five years for larger business applications and seven years for certain enterprise-wide systems. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated economic lives of the improvements or the terms of the underlying leases, including renewal options considered reasonably assured. The Company capitalizes certain costs related to internal use software that is expected to benefit future periods. These costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the 37 year expected life of the software. Major repairs that extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized and amortized over the estimated use period. Routine maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.
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Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill is the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value assigned to net tangible and identifiable intangible assets of the business acquired. The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually or sooner if indications of possible impairment are identified. The review period for the goodwill associated with the Merger was the first day of the third quarter of 2014. The Company elected to conduct a quantitative assessment of possible goodwill impairment in 2014. In periods that a quantitative test is used, the Company estimates the reporting unit s fair value using discounted cash flow analysis and market-based evaluations, when available. If the reporting unit s carrying value exceeds its fair value, an impairment charge is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. This method of estimating fair value requires assumptions, judgments and estimates of future performance. The Company may assess goodwill for possible impairment in future periods by considering qualitative factors, rather than this quantitative test.

Unless conditions warrant earlier action, intangible assets with indefinite lives also are assessed annually for impairment. Following a decision in 2014 to change the use and profile of a trade name, the only previous indefinite live intangible asset was tested for impairment and the adjusted balance will be amortized over the estimated use period. Amortizable intangible assets are periodically reviewed to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization or asset impairment. Certain locations identified for closure resulted in impairment of favorable lease assets recorded as part of the Merger.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: Long-lived assets with identifiable cash flows are reviewed for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. The Company estimates the fair value of the asset or asset groups using valuation methodologies that typically include estimates cash flows directly associated with the future use and eventual disposition of the asset or asset groups. If undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the asset, an impairment is measured as the difference between the asset $s$ estimated fair value, generally, the discounted cash flows, and its carrying value, net of salvage, and any costs of disposition.

Because of recent operating results and following identification in 2014 of the post-Merger real estate strategy (the Real Estate Strategy ), retail store long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment indicators quarterly. Impairment is assessed at the individual store level which is the lowest level of identifiable cash flows, and considers the estimated undiscounted cash flows over the asset s remaining life. If estimated undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the investment, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference between the estimated fair value of the asset and its carrying value, net of salvage, and any costs of disposition. The fair value estimate is generally the discounted amount of estimated store-specific cash flows.

Facility Closure and Severance Costs: Store performance is regularly reviewed against expectations and stores not meeting performance requirements may be closed. Additionally, in 2014, the Company completed the Real Estate Strategy review that identified approximately 400 stores for closure through 2016. Refer to Note 3 for additional information.

Costs associated with facility closures, principally accrued lease costs, are recognized when the facility is no longer used in an operating capacity or when a liability has been incurred. Store assets are also reviewed for possible impairment, or reduction of estimated useful lives.

Accruals for facility closure costs are based on the future commitments under contracts, adjusted for assumed sublease benefits and discounted at the Company s credit-adjusted risk-free rate at the time of closing. Accretion expense is recognized over the life of the contractual payments. Additionally, the Company recognizes charges to terminate existing commitments and charges or credits to adjust remaining closed facility accruals to reflect current expectations. Accretion expense and adjustments to facility closure costs are presented the Consolidated
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Statements of Operations in Selling, general and administrative expenses if the related facility was closed as part of ongoing operations or in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net, if the related facility was closed as part of Merger or restructuring activities. Refer to Note 3 for additional information on accrued expenses relating to closed facilities. The short-term and long-term components of this liability are included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities and Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Employee termination costs covered under written and substantive plans are accrued when probable and estimable and consider continuing service requirements, if any. Additionally, one-time employee benefit costs are recognized when the key terms of the arrangements have been communicated to affected employees. Amounts are recognized when communicated or over the remaining service period, based on the terms of the arrangements.

Accrued Expenses: Included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are accrued payroll-related amounts of $\$ 343$ million and $\$ 319$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The Company measures fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In developing its fair value estimates, the Company uses the following hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2 Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. Generally, these fair value measures are model-based valuation techniques such as discounted cash flows or option pricing models using own estimates and assumptions or those expected to be used by market participants.
The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities approximate their carrying values because of their short-term nature. Refer to Note 16 for further fair value information.

Revenue Recognition: Revenue is recognized at the point of sale for retail transactions and at the time of successful delivery for contract, catalog and Internet sales. Shipping and handling fees are included in Sales with the related costs included in Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Service revenue is recognized in Sales as the services are rendered. The Company recognizes sales on a gross basis when it is considered the primary obligor in the transaction and on a net basis when it is considered to be acting as an agent. Sales taxes collected are not included in reported Sales. The Company uses judgment in estimating sales returns, considering numerous factors such as historical sales return rates. The Company also records reductions to revenue for customer programs and incentive offerings including special pricing agreements, certain promotions and other volume-based incentives.

A liability for future performance is recognized when gift cards are sold and the related revenue is recognized when gift cards are redeemed as payment for products or when the likelihood of gift card redemption is considered remote. Gift cards do not have an expiration date. During 2013, the Company modified its method of recognizing the estimated portion of the gift card program liability that will not be redeemed, or the breakage amount. Based on the developed history of these programs, the Company now recognizes breakage in proportion to usage, rather than at the end of a fixed period of time. The change resulted in an increase in sales of $\$ 10$ million in 2013.
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Franchise fees, royalty income and the sales of products to franchisees and licensees, which currently are not significant, are included in Sales, while related product costs are included in Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Cost of Goods Sold and Occupancy Costs: Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs include:

- inventory costs (as discussed above);
- outbound freight;
- employee and non-employee receiving, distribution, and occupancy costs (rent), including real estate taxes and common area costs, of inventory-holding and selling locations; and
- identifiable employee-related costs associated with services provided to customers.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses: Selling, general and administrative expenses include amounts incurred related to expenses of operating and support functions, including:

- employee payroll and benefits, including variable pay arrangements;
- advertising;
- $\quad$ store and field support;
- executive management and various staff functions, such as information technology, human resources functions, finance, legal, internal audit, and certain merchandising and product development functions;
- other operating costs incurred relating to selling activities; and
- closed defined benefit pension and postretirement plans.

Selling, general and administrative expenses are included in the determination of Division operating income to the extent those costs are considered to be directly or closely related to segment activity and through allocation of support costs.

Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net: Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations includes amounts related to the Merger, including transaction, integration, employee termination benefits, employee retention, employee relocation, and other related expenses. Transaction and integration activities are primarily integration-related professional

## Edgar Filing: OFFICE DEPOT INC - Form 10-K

fees, incremental labor, travel and relocation costs, non-capitalizable software integration costs, and other direct costs to combine the companies. Other related expenses include accelerated depreciation, lease closure accruals and gains and losses on asset dispositions. This presentation reflects costs incurred by the Company prior to the Merger and costs incurred by the combined entity following the Merger. The impacts of future integration activities such as facility closures, contract terminations, and additional employee-related costs will be reported in this financial statement line item. Integration activities are expected to continue through 2016.

Also, the current and prior period amounts include restructuring-related charges not associated with the Merger. Such expenses include facility closure and functional re-alignment costs, gains and losses associated with business and asset dispositions, and expenses related to certain shareholder matters and process improvement activities. Changes in estimates and accruals related to these restructuring activities are also reflected on this line.

Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses are not included in the measure of Division operating income. Refer to Note 3 for additional information.
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#### Abstract

Advertising: Advertising costs are charged either to Selling, general and administrative expenses when incurred or, in the case of direct marketing advertising, capitalized and amortized in proportion to the related revenues over the estimated life of the materials, which range from several months to up to one year.


Advertising expense recognized was $\$ 447$ million in 2014, $\$ 378$ million in 2013 and $\$ 402$ million in 2012. Prepaid advertising costs were $\$ 21$ million as of December 27, 2014 and $\$ 26$ million as of December 28, 2013.

Share-Based Compensation: Compensation expense for all share-based awards expected to vest is measured at fair value on the date of grant and recognized on a straight-line basis over the related service period. The Black-Scholes valuation model is used to determine the fair value of stock options. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units, including performance-based awards, is determined based on the Company s stock price on the date of grant. The Merger-date value of former OfficeMax share-based awards was valued using the Black-Scholes model and apportioned between Merger consideration and unearned compensation to be recognized in expense as earned in future periods based on remaining service periods.

Self-insurance: Office Depot is primarily self-insured for workers compensation, auto and general liability and employee medical insurance programs. The Company has stop-loss coverage to limit the exposure arising from these claims. Self-insurance liabilities are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported. These liabilities are not discounted.

Vendor Arrangements: The Company enters into arrangements with substantially all significant vendors that provide for some form of consideration to be received from the vendors. Arrangements vary, but some specify volume rebate thresholds, advertising support levels, as well as terms for payment and other administrative matters. The volume-based rebates, supported by a vendor agreement, are estimated throughout the year and reduce the cost of inventory and cost of goods sold during the year. This estimate is regularly monitored and adjusted for current or anticipated changes in purchase levels and for sales activity. Other promotional consideration received is event-based or represents general support and is recognized as a reduction of Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs or Inventories, as appropriate based on the type of promotion and the agreement with the vendor. Certain arrangements meet the specific, incremental, identifiable criteria that allow for direct operating expense offset, but such arrangements are not significant.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits: The Company sponsors certain closed U.S. and international defined benefit pension plans, certain closed U.S. retiree medical benefit and life insurance plans, as well as a Canadian retiree medical benefit plan open to certain employees.

The Company recognizes the funded status of its defined benefit pension, retiree medical benefit and life insurance plans in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, with changes in the funded status recognized through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, in the year in which the changes occur. Actuarially-determined liabilities related to pension and postretirement benefits are recorded based on estimates and assumptions. Factors used in developing estimates of these liabilities include assumptions related to discount rates, rates of return on investments, healthcare cost trends, benefit payment patterns and other factors. The Company also updates periodically its assumptions about employee retirement factors, mortality, and turnover. Refer to Note 14 for additional details.

Environmental and Asbestos Matters: Environmental and asbestos liabilities relate to acquired legacy paper and forest products businesses and timberland assets. The Company accrues for losses associated with these obligations when probable and reasonably estimable. These liabilities are not discounted. A receivable for insurance recoveries is recorded when probable.
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Acquisitions: The Company applies the acquisition method of accounting for acquisitions, including mergers where the Company is considered the accounting acquirer. As such, the total consideration is allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the point the Company obtains control of the entity. Refer to Note 2 for additional information.

Leasing Arrangements: The Company conducts a substantial portion of its business in leased properties. Some of the Company s leases contain escalation clauses and renewal options. The Company recognizes rental expense for leases that contain predetermined fixed escalation clauses on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the lease. The difference between the amounts charged to expense and the contractual minimum lease payment is accrued for.

The expected term of a lease is calculated from the date the Company first takes possession of the facility, including any periods of free rent and any option or renewal periods management believes are probable of exercise. This expected term is used in the determination of whether a lease is capital or operating and in the calculation of straight-line rent expense. Rent abatements and escalations are considered in the calculation of minimum lease payments in the Company s capital lease tests and in determining straight-line rent expense for operating leases. Straight-line rent expense is also adjusted to reflect any allowances or reimbursements provided by the lessor. When required under lease agreements, estimated costs to return facilities to original condition are accrued over the lease period.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities: The Company records all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recorded in current income or deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as, and is effective as, a hedge and on the type of hedging transaction. Changes in fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income until the underlying hedged transactions are recognized in earnings, at which time any deferred hedging gains or losses are also recorded in earnings. If a derivative instrument is designated as a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the instrument are reported in current earnings and offset the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments. Historically, the Company has not entered into transactions to hedge its net investment in foreign operations but may in future periods. At December 27, 2014 the fair value of derivative instruments were not considered material and the Company had no material hedge transactions in 2014, 2013 or 2012.

New Accounting Standards: In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB ) issued an accounting standards update that changes the criteria for reporting discontinued operations. Under the new guidance, only disposals representing a strategic shift in operations that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results will be presented as discontinued operations. The standard also removed continuing cash flows and significant continuing involvement as considerations in determining if a disposal should be presented as discontinued operations. The standard is to be applied prospectively and is effective for public entities beginning in annual periods after December 15, 2014, with early adoption allowed. The Company elected to adopt this standard early, which had no significant impact in the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that will supersede most current revenue recognition guidance and modify the accounting for certain costs associated with revenue generation. The core principle of this guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance provides a number of steps to apply to achieve that principle. The standard is effective for the Company s first quarter of 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. Implementation may be either through retrospective application to each period from the first quarter of 2015 or
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with a cumulative effect adjustment upon adoption in 2017. Additional disclosures will also be required under the new standard. The Company is assessing what impacts this new standard will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTE 2. MERGER AND DISPOSITIONS

## Merger

On November 5, 2013, the Company completed its previously announced merger of equals transaction with OfficeMax. In connection with the Merger, each former share of OfficeMax common stock, par value $\$ 2.50$ per share, issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger was converted to 2.69 shares of Office Depot common stock. The Company issued approximately 240 million shares of Office Depot, Inc. common stock to former holders of OfficeMax common stock, representing approximately $45 \%$ of the approximately 530 million total shares of Company common stock outstanding on the Merger date. Additionally, OfficeMax employee stock options and restricted stock were converted into mirror awards exercisable or earned in Office Depot, Inc. common stock. The value of these awards was apportioned between total Merger consideration and unearned compensation and is being recognized over the remaining original vesting periods of the awards.

Office Depot was determined to be the accounting acquirer. In this all-stock transaction only Office Depot common stock was transferred, the Office Depot shareholders received approximately $55 \%$ of the voting interest of the combined company and other factors were equally shared between the two former companies, including representation on the combined entity s Board of Directors, or were further indicators of the Company being the accounting acquirer.

Like Office Depot, OfficeMax is a leader in both business-to-business and retail office products distribution. OfficeMax had operations in the U.S., Canada, Mexico, Australia, New Zealand, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. The Merger was intended to create a more efficient global provider of these products and services that is better able to compete in a changing office supply industry. OfficeMax s results since the Merger date are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The merged business contributed sales of $\$ 939$ million and a Net loss of $\$ 39$ million in 2013.

The following unaudited consolidated pro forma summary has been prepared by adjusting the Company shistorical data to give effect to the Merger as if it had occurred on January 1, 2012:

|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Year } \\ \text { Ended }}}$ Pro F | Unaudited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year Ended |
| (In millions, except per share amounts) | December 28, 2013 | December 29, 2012 |
| Sales | \$ 16,879 | \$ 17,640 |
| Net income | \$ 33 | \$ 262 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ 31 | \$ 258 |
| Earnings per share available to common stockholders |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.50 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.49 |

The unaudited consolidated pro forma financial information was prepared in accordance with the acquisition method of accounting under existing standards and is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred if the Merger had been completed on the date indicated, nor is it indicative of the future operating results of the Company.
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The unaudited pro forma results have been adjusted with respect to certain aspects of the Merger to reflect:
additional depreciation and amortization expenses that would have been recognized assuming fair value adjustments to the existing OfficeMax assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including property and equipment, favorable and unfavorable lease values, the Timber Notes and Non-recourse debt, and share-based compensation awards;
valuation allowances on U.S. deferred tax assets limited deferred tax benefit recognition;
elimination of the OfficeMax recognition of deferred gain in 2013 of $\$ 138$ million from the disposition of a portion of its investment in Boise Cascade Holdings and elimination of the OfficeMax recognition of pension settlement charges in 2012 of $\$ 56$ million as the related deferred values were removed in purchase accounting; and
inclusion in the pro forma year 2012 of $\$ 79$ million Merger transaction costs incurred by both companies through year end 2013. The unaudited pro forma results do not reflect future events that either have occurred or may occur after the Merger, including, but not limited to, the anticipated realization of ongoing savings from operating synergies in subsequent periods. They also do not give effect to certain charges that the Company expects to incur in connection with the Merger, including, but not limited to, additional professional fees, employee integration, retention and severance costs, facility closure costs, potential asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and amortization or product rationalization charges.

The Merger was an all-stock transaction. The following table summarizes the consideration transferred.
(In millions, except for share exchange ratio and price)
OfficeMax common shares outstanding as of November 5, 2013 ..... 88
OfficeMax share-based awards exchanged ..... 3
OfficeMax Series D preferred shares, as converted ..... 1
OfficeMax common shares exchanged ..... 92
Exchange ratio ..... 2.69
Office Depot common stock issued for consideration ..... 246.9
Office Depot common stock per share price on November 5, 2013 ..... \$ 5.65
Total fair value of consideration transferred ..... \$ 1,395
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The following table summarizes the allocation of the consideration to the assets and liabilities at November 5, 2013, as adjusted through the measurement period.

| (In millions) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 460 |
| Receivables | 521 |
| Inventories | 766 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 106 |
| Property and equipment | 521 |
| Favorable leases | 44 |
| Definite-lived intangible assets, primarily customer relationships and trade names | 57 |
| Investment in Boise Cascade Holdings | 80 |
| Timber notes receivable | 948 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 51 |
| Accounts payable | $(527)$ |
| Other current liabilities ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | $(471)$ |
| Unfavorable leases | $(54)$ |
| Non-recourse debt | $(863)$ |
| Recourse debt | $(228)$ |
| Pension and other postretirement obligations | $(180)$ |
| Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities and Noncontrolling interest | $(230)$ |
|  |  |
| Total identifiable net assets | 1,001 |
| Goodwill ${ }^{(b)}$ | 394 |

Total
\$ 1,395
(a) Includes accrued expenses and other current liabilities and income taxes payable
(b) Includes $\$ 24$ million of goodwill allocated to Grupo OfficeMax that was sold in 2014

Receivables are recorded at fair value which represents the amount expected to be collected. Contractual amounts are higher by $\$ 14$ million. Receivables include trade receivables of approximately $\$ 343$ million and vendor and other receivables of $\$ 178$ million.

The goodwill attributable to the Merger will not be amortizable or deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill is considered to represent the value associated with the workforce and synergies the two companies anticipate realizing as a combined company. Refer to Note 5 for further details on goodwill allocation to the reporting units.

Noncontrolling interest relating to the joint venture in Mexico was valued, using the same fair value measurement methodologies applied to all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merger and a fair value estimate based on market multiples. The disposition of the joint venture in 2014 resulted in no gain or loss other than transaction costs and foreign currency impacts.

Merger and integration costs are not included as components of consideration transferred but are accounted for as expenses in the period in which the costs are incurred. Transaction-related expenses are included in the Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Refer to Note 3 for additional information about the costs incurred and Note 9 for discussion of the
income tax impacts of the Merger.
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## Dispositions

## Grupo OfficeMax

In August 2014, the Company completed the sale of its $51 \%$ capital stock interest in Grupo OfficeMax, the former OfficeMax business in Mexico, to its joint venture partner for net cash proceeds of approximately $\$ 43$ million. The loss associated with the disposed business amounted to approximately $\$ 2$ million, which resulted primarily from the release of the net foreign currency remeasurement differences from investment to the disposition date recorded in other comprehensive income (cumulative translation adjustment) and fees incurred to complete the transaction. The loss on disposition is included in Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. This disposition will not have a major effect on the Company s operations and financial results, therefore, the transaction does not meet the discontinued operations criteria under the recently issued and early adopted accounting standards disclosed in Note 1.

The amounts included in the 2014 Consolidated Statements of Operations for this business through the date of sale are as follows:
(In millions)
Sales
Income before income taxes
Income attributable to Office Depot, before income taxes
fice Depot de Mexico

In the third quarter of 2013, the Company sold its 50 percent investment in Office Depot de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. ( Office Depot de Mexico ) to its joint venture partner, Grupo Gigante, S.A.B. de C.V. ( Grupo Gigante ). The transaction generated cash proceeds of the Mexican Peso amount of 8,777 million in cash (approximately $\$ 680$ million at then-current exchange rates). A pretax gain of $\$ 382$ million was recognized in 2013 as Gain on the disposition of joint venture in Other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The gain is net of third party fees, as well as recognition of $\$ 39$ million of cumulative translation losses released from Other comprehensive income because the subsidiary holding the joint venture investment was substantially liquidated following the disposition. The investment in this joint venture was accounted for under the equity method of accounting; accordingly, the disposition is not reflected as discontinued operations. Refer to Note 6 for additional information on this former joint venture. The disposition of this asset from the International Division and return of sale proceeds to the Company s U.S. parent resulted in the fair value of the related reporting unit falling below its carrying value. Refer to Notes 5 and 9 for further information on the goodwill impairment recorded in 2013 and income tax impacts of the sale, respectively.

## NOTE 3. MERGER, RESTRUCTURING, AND OTHER ACCRUALS

In recent years, the Company has taken actions to adapt to changing and competitive conditions. These actions include closing facilities, consolidating functional activities, eliminating redundant positions, disposing of businesses and assets, and taking actions to improve process efficiencies. Additionally, in 2013, the Merger was completed and integration activities similar to the actions described above began. The Company assumed certain restructuring liabilities previously recorded by OfficeMax.
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## Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net

The Company presents Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net on a separate line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations to identify these activities apart from the expenses incurred to sell to and service its customers. These expenses are not included in the determination of Division operating income. The table below summarizes the major components of Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net.

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merger related expenses | $\$ 148$ | $\$ 92$ | $\$$ |
| Severance, retention, and relocation | 124 | 80 |  |
| Transaction and integration | 60 | 8 |  |
| Other related expenses | 332 | 180 |  |
| Total Merger related expenses | 71 | 21 | 56 |
| Restructuring and certain other expenses | $\$ 403$ | $\$ 201$ | $\$ 56$ |
| Total Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net |  |  |  |

Severance, retention, and relocation includes expenses incurred by Office Depot in 2013 and by the combined companies since the date of the Merger though December 27, 2014, and reflects integration throughout the staff functions. Since the second quarter of 2014, the Real Estate Strategy has been sufficiently developed to provide a basis for estimating termination benefits for certain retail and supply chain closures that are expected to extend through 2016. Such benefits are being accrued through the anticipated employee full eligibility date. Because the specific identity of retail locations to be closed is subject to change as the Real Estate Strategy evolves, the Company applied a probability method to estimating the store closure severance accrual. The calculation considers factors such as the expected timing of store closures, terms of existing severance plans, expected employee turnover and attrition. As the integration evolves and additional decisions about the identity and timing of closures are made, more current information will be available and assumptions used in estimating the termination benefits accrual may change.

Transaction and integration expenses in 2014 include integration-related professional fees, incremental temporary contract labor, salary and benefits for employees dedicated to Merger activity, travel costs, non-capitalizable software integration costs, and other direct costs to combine the companies. Expenses in 2013 primarily relate to legal, accounting, and pre-merger integration activities incurred by Office Depot. Such costs are being recognized as incurred.

Other merger related expenses primarily relate to facility closure accruals, gains and losses on asset dispositions, and accelerated depreciation. Facility closure expenses in 2014 include amounts incurred by the Company to close 168 retail stores in the United States as part of the Real Estate Strategy. An additional 232 retail stores are expected to be closed through 2016. The specific sites to close over this period may be influenced by real estate and other market conditions and, therefore, a reasonable estimate of future facility closure accruals cannot be made at this time.

Restructuring and certain other expenses in 2014 and 2013 primarily relate to international organizational changes and facility closures prior to the European restructuring plan approved in October 2014 to realign the organization from a geographic-focus to a business channel-focus (the European restructuring plan). The 2012 amounts include restructuring activities taken in North America as well as Europe and include severance accruals, facility closure, and associated other costs.

The Company anticipates incurring incremental expenses associated with the European restructuring plan of approximately $\$ 120$ million, $\$ 112$ million of which are cash expenditures. The expected $\$ 120$ million of charges
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associated with the restructuring plan consist primarily of approximately $\$ 95$ million of severance pay and other employee termination benefits, and approximately $\$ 25$ million associated with lease obligations and other costs. Of these total estimated expenses, $\$ 37$ million has been incurred through December 27, 2014.

Merger-related asset impairments are not included in the table above. Refer to Note 16 for further information.

## Merger and restructuring accruals

The activity in the merger and restructuring accruals in 2014 and 2013 is presented in the table below. Of the total $\$ 403$ million and $\$ 201$ million Merger, restructuring and other expenses incurred in 2014 and 2013, respectively, $\$ 266$ million and $\$ 98$ million are related to merger or restructuring liabilities and are included as Charges incurred in the table below.

| (In millions) | Beginning |  | Charges |  | OfficeMax |  |  | Currency, <br> Lease <br> Accretion, |  | Ending |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Merger |  | ash |  |  |  |  |
|  | Balance |  |  |  | Incurred |  | Additions | Payments |  | Adjustments |  | Balance |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Termination benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merger-related accruals | \$ | 23 | \$ | 99 | \$ | \$ | (91) | \$ |  | \$ | 31 |
| European restructuring plan |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Other restructuring accruals |  | 5 |  | 23 |  |  | (21) |  | 1 |  | 8 |
| Acquired entity accruals |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | (2) |  | (2) |  |  |
|  |  | 32 |  | 148 |  |  | (114) |  | (1) |  | 65 |
| Lease and contract obligations, accruals for facilities closures and other costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merger-related accruals |  | 25 |  | 111 |  |  | (65) |  |  |  | 71 |
| European restructuring plan |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Other restructuring accruals |  | 62 |  | 5 |  |  | (33) |  | 1 |  | 35 |
| Acquired entity accruals |  | 59 |  |  |  |  | (25) |  | 2 |  | 36 |
|  |  | 146 |  | 118 |  |  | (125) |  | 3 |  | 142 |
| Total | \$ | 178 | \$ | 266 | \$ | \$ | (239) | \$ | 2 | \$ | 207 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Termination benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merger-related accruals | \$ |  | \$ | 29 | \$ | \$ | (6) | \$ |  | \$ | 23 |
| Other restructuring accruals |  | 6 |  | 23 |  |  | (24) |  |  |  | 5 |
| Acquired entity accruals |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | (1) |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  | 6 |  | 53 | 4 |  | (31) |  |  |  | 32 |

Edgar Filing: OFFICE DEPOT INC - Form 10-K

| Lease and contract obligations, accruals for facilities closures and other costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merger-related accruals |  |  |  | 42 |  | 22 |  | (39) |  |  |  | 25 |
| Other restructuring accruals |  | 87 |  | 1 |  |  |  | (34) |  | 8 |  | 62 |
| Acquired entity accruals |  |  |  | 2 |  | 63 |  | (6) |  |  |  | 59 |
|  |  | 87 |  | 45 |  | 85 |  | (79) |  | 8 |  | 146 |
| Total | \$ | 93 | \$ | 98 | \$ | 89 | \$ | (110) | \$ | 8 | \$ | 178 |
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The remaining $\$ 137$ million and $\$ 103$ million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, are excluded from the table above because these items are expensed as incurred, non-cash, or otherwise not associated with the merger and restructuring balance sheet accounts. The $\$ 137$ million incurred in 2014 is comprised of $\$ 124$ million merger transaction and integration expenses, $\$ 9$ million European restructuring transaction and integration expenses, $\$ 5$ million employee non-cash equity compensation expenses, and $\$ 1$ million net credit associated primarily to fixed assets and rent related items. The $\$ 103$ million incurred in 2013 is comprised of $\$ 80$ million merger transaction and integration expenses, $\$ 20$ million employee non-cash equity compensation expenses, and a net $\$ 3$ million of other expenses.

## NOTE 4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consists of:

|  | December 27, | December 28, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 |
| (In millions) | $\$$ | 88 |
| Land | 431 | 101 |
| Buildings | 745 | 469 |
| Leasehold improvements | 1,480 | 780 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 2,744 | 1,605 |
|  | $(1,781)$ | 2,955 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | $\$$ | 963 |

The above table of property and equipment includes assets held under capital leases as follows:

|  | December 27, |  | December 28, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| Buildings | \$ | 204 | \$ | 228 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment |  | 74 |  | 65 |
|  |  | 278 |  | 293 |
| Less accumulated depreciation |  | (124) |  | (127) |
| Total | \$ | 154 | \$ | 166 |

Depreciation expense was $\$ 210$ million in 2014, $\$ 149$ million in 2013, and $\$ 152$ million in 2012.
Included in furniture, fixtures and equipment above are capitalized software costs of $\$ 558$ million and $\$ 531$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. The unamortized amounts of the capitalized software costs are $\$ 148$ million and $\$ 236$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. Amortization of capitalized software costs totaled $\$ 86$ million, $\$ 56$ million and $\$ 46$ million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Software development costs that do not meet the criteria for capitalization are expensed as incurred.
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Estimated future amortization expense related to capitalized software at December 27, 2014 is as follows:

| (In millions) | $\$ 74$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2015 | 42 |
| 2016 | 19 |
| 2017 | 9 |
| 2018 | 4 |

The weighted average remaining amortization period for capitalized software is 2.8 years.

## Other assets held for sale

Certain facilities identified for closure through integration and other activities have been accounted for as assets held for sale. As of December 27, 2014, these assets amount to $\$ 31$ million and are presented in Prepaid expenses and other current assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Any gain on these dispositions, which are expected to be completed within one year, will be recognized at the Corporate level and included when realized in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

## NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Goodwill

The components of goodwill by segment are provided in the following table:

|  | North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North |  | American |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | American |  | Business |  |  |  | Corporate |  |
|  | Retail |  | Solutions |  | International |  |  |  |
| (In millions) | Division |  | Division |  | Division |  |  | Total |
| Goodwill | \$ | 2 | \$ | 368 | \$ | 909 |  | \$ 1,279 |
| Accumulated impairment losses |  | (2) |  | (349) |  | (863) |  | $(1,214)$ |
| Foreign currency rate impact |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |  | (1) |
| Balance as of December 29, 2012 | \$ |  | \$ | 19 | \$ | 45 |  | \$ 64 |
| Impairment loss |  |  |  |  |  | (44) |  | (44) |
| Additions |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 377 | 379 |
| Foreign currency rate impact |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |  | (1) |
| Balance as of December 28, 2013 | \$ |  | \$ | 21 | \$ |  | 377 | \$ 398 |


| Measurement period fair value adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale of Grupo OfficeMax |  |  |  |  |  |  | (24) |  | (24) |
| Allocation to reporting units |  | 78 |  | 277 |  | 15 | (370) |  |  |
| Balance as of December 27, 2014 | \$ | 78 | \$ | 298 | \$ | 15 |  | \$ | 391 |

Goodwill additions included in Corporate in 2013 relate to the Merger. The allocation of the Merger consideration to the reporting units was completed in the third quarter of 2014. As the Company finalized the purchase price allocation in 2014, certain preliminary values were adjusted as additional information became available. Initial amounts allocated to certain property and equipment accounts decreased by $\$ 16$ million and tax
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account adjustments were $\$ 1$ million. Goodwill of $\$ 24$ million was allocated to the Grupo OfficeMax business and was removed following the August 2014 sale of that business.

As a result of the disposition of its investment in Office Depot de Mexico and the associated return of cash to the U.S. parent, in the third quarter of 2013, the carrying value of the related reporting unit exceeded its fair value. Because the investment was accounted for under the equity method, no goodwill was allocated to the gain on disposition of joint venture calculation. However, concurrent with the sale and gain recognition, a goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 44$ million was recognized and is reported on the Asset impairments line in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Refer to Note 16 for additional discussion of goodwill valuation considerations and annual impairment testing.

## Intangible Assets

Definite-lived intangible assets are reviewed periodically to determine whether events and circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable or the remaining period of amortization should be revised. In connection with implementing the Real Estate Strategy in 2014, the Company recognized impairment charges associated with favorable leases related to identified closing locations totaling $\$ 5$ million. In 2012, the Company re-evaluated the remaining balances of certain amortizing intangible assets associated with a 2011 acquisition in Sweden. An impairment charge of $\$ 14$ million was recognized. These impairment charges are presented in Asset impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Refer to Note 16 for additional information on fair value measurement and Real Estate Strategy.

During 2014, the Company reassessed its use of a private brand trade name used internationally that previously had been assigned an indefinite life. The expected change in profile and life of this brand, along with assigning an estimated life of three years, resulted in an impairment charge of $\$ 5$ million which is reported in Asset impairments in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. At December 28, 2013, the carrying value of this indefinite-lived intangible asset was $\$ 6$ million.

Definite-lived intangible assets, which are included in Other intangible assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are as follows:

|  | Gross | December 27, 2014 Accumulated |  | Net |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | Carrying Value | Amortization |  | Carrying Value |  |
| Customer relationships | \$ 77 | \$ | (37) | \$ | 40 |
| Favorable leases | 36 |  | (8) |  | 28 |
| Trade names | 9 |  | (5) |  | 4 |
| Total | \$ 122 | \$ | (50) | \$ | 72 |

December 28, 2013

| Gross |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Carrying Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Customer relationships | \$ 74 | \$ | (20) | \$ | 54 |
| Favorable leases | 44 |  |  |  | 44 |
| Trade names | 10 |  | (1) |  | 9 |
| Total | \$ 128 | \$ | (21) | \$ | 107 |
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Definite-lived intangible assets generally are amortized using the straight-line method. The pattern of benefit associated with one customer relationship asset recognized as part of the Merger warranted a three-year accelerated declining balance method. Favorable leases are amortized using the straight-line method over the lives of the individual leases, including option renewals anticipated in the original valuation. The remaining weighted average amortization periods for customer relationships, favorable leases and trade names are 6 years, 15 years, and 1 year, respectively.

Amortization of customer relationships and trade names was $\$ 18$ million in 2014, $\$ 4$ million in 2013, and $\$ 5$ million in 2012. Intangible assets amortization expenses are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in Selling, general and administrative expenses. Amortization of favorable leases is included in rent expense. Refer to Note 10 for further detail.

Estimated future amortization expense for the intangible assets is as follows:

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| 2016 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| 2017 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 2019 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Thereafter | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |

## NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

## Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

From 1994 through the third quarter of 2013, the Company participated in a joint venture that sold office products and services in Mexico and Central and South America, and accounted for this investment under the equity method. In the third quarter of 2013, the Company sold its 50 percent investment in Office Depot de Mexico to Grupo Gigante, the joint venture partner. Refer to Note 2 for further details on the disposition. For periods prior to the sale, the Company s proportionate share of Office Depot de Mexico s net income is presented in Other income (expense), net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and totaled $\$ 13$ million through the date of sale in 2013 and $\$ 32$ million in 2012.

## Boise Cascade Holdings, LLC

As part of the Merger, the Company acquired an investment of approximately $20 \%$ of the voting equity securities ( Common Units ) of Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. ( Boise Cascade Holdings ), a building products company that originated in connection with the OfficeMax sale of its paper, forest products and timberland assets in 2004. Through the end of 2013, Boise Cascade Holdings owned common stock of Boise Cascade Company ( Boise Cascade ), a publicly traded entity, which gave the Company the indirect ownership interest of approximately $4 \%$ of the shares of Boise Cascade. During the first quarter of 2014, Boise Cascade Holdings distributed to its shareholders all of the Boise Cascade common stock it held. The Company received 1.6 million shares in this distribution, which the Company fully disposed of in open market transactions through the end of the second quarter of 2014 for total cash proceeds of $\$ 43$ million. During the third quarter of 2014, the Company received an additional $\$ 1$ million of cash in conjunction with the dissolution of Boise Cascade Holdings.
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Through the date of disposition, the investment in Boise Cascade Holdings was accounted for under the cost method because the Company did not have the ability to significantly influence the entity s operating and financial policies. The investment was recorded at fair value on the date of the Merger. At December 28, 2013, the investment of $\$ 46$ million is included in Other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Refer to Note 16 for additional fair value information.

Additionally, in November 2013, the Company received a $\$ 35$ million cash distribution as part of a distribution that Boise Cascade Holdings made to the holders of its Common Units following an offering of common shares of Boise Cascade Company. This distribution is considered return of investment and is presented as an investing activity in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

## NOTE 7. TIMBER NOTES/NON-RECOURSE DEBT

As part of the Merger, the Company also acquired credit-enhanced timber installment notes with an original principal balance of $\$ 818$ million (the Installment Notes ) that were part of the consideration received in exchange for OfficeMax s sale of timberland assets in October 2004. The Installment Notes were issued by a single-member limited liability company formed by affiliates of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. (the Note Issuers ). The Installment Notes are non-amortizing obligations bearing interest at $4.98 \%$ and maturing in 2020 . In order to support the issuance of the Installment Notes, the Note Issuers transferred a total of $\$ 818$ million in cash to Wells Fargo \& Company ( Wells Fargo ) (which at the time was Wachovia Corporation). Wells Fargo issued a collateral note (the Collateral Note ) to the Note Issuers. Concurrently with the issuance of the Installment Notes and the Collateral Note, Wells Fargo guaranteed the respective Installment Notes and the Note Issuers pledged the Collateral Note as security for the performance of the obligations under the Installment Notes. As all amounts due on the Installment Notes are current and the Company has no reason to believe that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the Installment Notes, the Installment Notes are reported as Timber Notes in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in the amount of $\$ 926$ million and $\$ 945$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively, which represents the original principal amount of $\$ 818$ million plus a fair value adjustment recorded through purchase accounting in connection with the Merger. The premium is amortized under the effective interest method as a component of interest income through the maturity date.

Also as part of the Merger, the Company acquired non-recourse debt that OfficeMax issued under the structure of the timber note transactions. In December 2004, the interests in the Installment Notes and related guarantee were transferred to wholly-owned bankruptcy remote subsidiaries in a securitization transaction. The subsidiaries pledged the Installment Notes and related guarantee and issued for cash securitized notes (the Securitization Notes ) in the amount of $\$ 735$ million supported by the Wells Fargo guaranty. Recourse on the Securitization Notes is limited to the proceeds of the applicable pledged Installment Notes and underlying Wells Fargo guaranty, and therefore there is no recourse against the Company. The Securitization Notes are non-amortizing and pay interest of $5.42 \%$ through maturity in 2019. The Securitization Notes are reported as Non-recourse debt in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets in the amount of $\$ 839$ million and $\$ 859$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively, which represents the original principal amount of $\$ 735$ million plus a fair value adjustment recorded through purchase accounting in connection with the Merger. The premium is amortized under the effective interest method as a component of interest expense through the maturity date. Refer to Note 8 for additional information.

The Installment Notes and related Securitization Notes are scheduled to mature in 2020 and 2019, respectively. The Securitization Notes have an initial term that is approximately three months shorter than the Installment Notes.

## Table of Contents

## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The sale of the timberlands in 2004 generated a tax gain for OfficeMax and a related deferred tax liability was recognized. The timber installment notes structure allowed the deferral of the resulting tax liability until 2020, the maturity date for the Installment Notes. At December 27, 2014, there is a deferred tax liability of $\$ 251$ million related to the Installment Notes that will reverse upon maturity.

## NOTE 8. DEBT

Debt consists of the following:

|  | December 27, |  | December 28, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| Recourse debt: |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings and current maturities of long-term debt: |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ | 1 | \$ | 3 |
| Capital lease obligations |  | 29 |  | 23 |
| Other current maturities of long-term debt |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Total | \$ | 32 | \$ | 29 |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities: |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Secured Notes, due 2019 | \$ | 250 | \$ | 250 |
| 7.35\% debentures, due 2016 |  | 18 |  | 18 |
| Revenue bonds, due in varying amounts periodically through 2029 |  | 186 |  | 186 |
| American \& Foreign Power Company, Inc. 5\% debentures, due 2030 |  | 14 |  | 13 |
| Grupo OfficeMax loans |  |  |  | 4 |
| Capital lease obligations |  | 192 |  | 207 |
| Other |  | 14 |  | 18 |
| Total | \$ | 674 | \$ | 696 |
| Non-recourse debt: |  |  |  |  |
| 5.42\% Securitization Notes, due 2019 Refer to Note 7 | \$ | 735 | \$ | 735 |
| Unamortized premium |  | 104 |  | 124 |
| Total | \$ | 839 | \$ | 859 |

The Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants of existing loan agreements at December 27, 2014.

## Amended Credit Agreement

On May 25, 2011, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with a group of lenders. Additional amendments to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement have been entered into and were effective February 2012 and November 2013 (the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement including all amendments is referred to as the Amended Credit Agreement ). The Amended Credit Agreement provides for an asset based, multi-currency revolving credit facility of up to $\$ 1.25$ billion (the Facility ). The Amended Credit Agreement also provides that the Facility may be increased by up to $\$ 250$ million, subject to certain terms and conditions, including obtaining increased commitments from existing or new lenders. The amount that can be drawn on the Facility at any given time is determined based on percentages of certain accounts receivable, inventory and credit card receivables (the Borrowing Base ). The Facility includes a sub-facility of up to
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$\$ 200$ million which is available to certain of the Company s European and Canadian subsidiaries (the European Borrowers ). Certain of the Company s domestic subsidiaries guaranty the obligations under the Facility (the Domestic Guarantors ). The Amended Credit Agreement also provides for a letter of credit sub-facility of up to $\$ 400$ million, as well as a swingline loan sub-facility of up to $\$ 125$ million to the Company and an additional swingline loan sub-facility of up to $\$ 25$ million to the European Borrowers. All loans borrowed under the Agreement may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed from time to time until the maturity date of May 25, 2016.

All amounts borrowed under the Facility, as well as the obligations of the Domestic Guarantors, are secured by a first priority lien on the Company s and such Domestic Guarantors accounts receivables, inventory, cash, cash equivalents and deposit accounts and a second priority lien on substantially all of the Company s and the Domestic Guarantors other assets. All amounts borrowed by the European Borrowers under the Facility are secured by a lien on such European Borrowers accounts receivable, inventory, cash, cash equivalents and deposit accounts, as well as certain other assets. At the Company s option, borrowings made pursuant to the Facility bear interest at either, (i) the alternate base rate (defined as the higher of the Prime Rate (as announced by the Agent), the Federal Funds Rate plus $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$ and the one month Adjusted LIBO Rate (defined below) and 1\%) or (ii) the Adjusted LIBO Rate (defined as the LIBO Rate as adjusted for statutory revenues) plus, in either case, a certain margin based on the aggregate average availability under the Facility.

The Amended Credit Agreement also contains representations, warranties, affirmative and negative covenants, and default provisions which are conditions precedent to borrowing. The most significant of these covenants and default provisions include limitations in certain circumstances on acquisitions, dispositions, share repurchases and the payment of cash dividends. The Company has never paid a cash dividend on its common stock.

The Facility also includes provisions whereby if the global availability is less than $\$ 150$ million, or the European availability is below $\$ 25$ million, the Company s cash collections go first to the agent to satisfy outstanding borrowings. Further, if total availability falls below $\$ 125$ million, a fixed charge coverage ratio test is required. Any event of default that is not cured within the permitted period, including non-payment of amounts when due, any debt in excess of $\$ 25$ million becoming due before the scheduled maturity date, or the acquisition of more than $40 \%$ of the ownership of the Company by any person or group, within the meaning of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, could result in a termination of the Facility and all amounts outstanding becoming immediately due and payable.

The amendment entered into by the Company which is effective November 5, 2013 (the Amendment ) increased the Facility from $\$ 1.0$ billion to $\$ 1.25$ billion, allowed for the Merger, recognized OfficeMax debt and assets, expanded amounts permitted for indebtedness, liens, investments and asset sales and increased restricted payments and capital expenditure limits, among other changes. In addition, an aggregate undrawn amount of $\$ 38$ million of letters of credit previously issued under a credit agreement of OfficeMax and certain of its subsidiaries, which credit facility was terminated in connection with and immediately prior to the consummation of the Merger, are deemed as having been issued and being outstanding under the Amended Credit Agreement.

At December 27, 2014, the Company had approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion of available credit under the Facility based on the December 2014 Borrowing Base certificate. At December 27, 2014, no amounts were outstanding under the Facility. Letters of credit outstanding under the Facility totaled $\$ 92$ million. There were no borrowings under the Facility during 2014.

## Senior Secured Notes

On March 14, 2012, the Company issued $\$ 250$ million aggregate principal amount of its $9.75 \%$ Senior Secured Notes due March 15, 2019 ( Senior Secured Notes ) with interest payable in cash semiannually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year. The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on
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a senior secured basis by each of the Company s existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee the Amended Credit Agreement. The Senior Secured Notes are secured on a first-priority basis by a lien on substantially all of the Company s domestic subsidiaries present and future assets, other than assets that secure the Amended Credit Agreement, and certain of their present and future equity interests in foreign subsidiaries. The Senior Secured Notes are secured on a second-priority basis by a lien on the Company and its domestic subsidiaries assets that secure the Amended Credit Agreement. The Senior Secured Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of March 14, 2012, among the Company, the domestic subsidiaries named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the Indenture ). Approximately $\$ 7$ million of debt issuance costs were capitalized with the issuance of the Senior Secured Notes and are being amortized through 2019.

The terms of the Indenture provide that, among other things, the Senior Secured Notes and guarantees will be senior secured obligations and will: (i) rank senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors; (ii) rank equally in right of payment with all of the existing and future senior indebtedness of the Company and the guarantors; (iii) rank effectively junior to all existing and future indebtedness under the Amended Credit Agreement to the extent of the value of certain collateral securing the Facility on a first-priority basis, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens; (iv) rank effectively senior to all existing and future indebtedness under the Amended Credit Agreement to the extent of the value of certain collateral securing the Senior Secured Notes; and (v) be structurally subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company s non-guarantor subsidiaries (other than indebtedness and liabilities owed to the Company or one of the guarantors).

The Indenture contains affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, limit or restrict the Company sability to: incur additional debt or issue stock, pay dividends, make certain investments or make other restricted payments; engage in sales of assets; and engage in consolidations, mergers and acquisitions. However, many of these currently active covenants will cease to apply for so long as the Company receives and maintains investment grade ratings from specified debt rating services and there is no default under the Indenture. There are no maintenance financial covenants.

The Senior Secured Notes may be redeemed by the Company, in whole or in part, at any time prior to March 15, 2016 at a price equal to $100 \%$ of the principal amount plus a make-whole premium as of the redemption date and accrued and unpaid interest. Thereafter, the Senior Secured Notes carry optional redemption features whereby the Company has the redemption option prior to maturity at par plus a premium beginning at $104.875 \%$ at March 15, 2016 and declining ratably to par at March 15, 2018 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Additionally, on or prior to March 15, 2015, the Company may redeem up to $35 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to $109.750 \%$ of the principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date; and, upon the occurrence of a change of control, holders of the Senior Secured Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of the Senior Secured Notes in cash at a price equal to $101 \%$ of the principal amount to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest to the repurchase date. Change of control, as defined in the Indenture, is a transfer of all or substantially all of the assets of Office Depot, acquisition of more than $50 \%$ of the voting power of Office Depot by a person or group, or members of the Office Depot Board of Directors as previously approved by the stockholders of Office Depot ceasing to constitute a majority of the Office Depot Board of Directors.

## Senior Notes

In August 2003, the Company issued $\$ 400$ million, $6.25 \%$ senior notes (Senior Notes ) that, because of amortization of a terminated treasury rate lock, had an effective interest rate of $5.86 \%$.
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On March 15, 2012, the Company repurchased $\$ 250$ million aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Senior Notes under a cash tender offer. The total consideration for each $\$ 1,000.00$ note surrendered was $\$ 1,050.00$. Tender fees and a proportionate amount of deferred debt issue costs and a deferred cash flow hedge gain were included in the measurement of the $\$ 12$ million extinguishment loss reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for 2012. The cash amounts of the premium paid and tender fees are reflected as financing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Accrued interest was paid through the extinguishment date. The remaining $\$ 150$ million was repaid at par, upon maturity in August 2013.

## Other Long-Term Debt

As a result of the Merger, the Company assumed the liability for the amounts in the table above related to the (i) $7.35 \%$ debentures, due 2016, (ii) Revenue bonds, due in varying amounts periodically through 2029, and (iii) American \& Foreign Power Company, Inc. 5\% debentures, due 2030.

## Capital Lease Obligations

Capital lease obligations primarily relate to buildings and equipment.

## Short-Term Borrowings

The Company had short-term borrowings of $\$ 1$ million at December 27, 2014 under various local currency credit facilities for international subsidiaries that had an effective interest rate at the end of the year of approximately $5 \%$. The maximum month end amount occurred in March 2014 at approximately $\$ 10$ million and the maximum monthly average amount occurred in March 2014 at approximately $\$ 6$ million. The majority of these short-term borrowings represent outstanding balances on uncommitted lines of credit, which do not contain financial covenants.

Refer to Note 7 for further information on non-recourse debt.

## Schedule of Debt Maturities

Aggregate annual maturities of recourse debt and capital lease obligations are as follows:

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2015 | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| 2016 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |
| 2017 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| 2018 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| 2019 | $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |
| Thereafter | $\mathbf{3 2 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 8 0}$ |
| Less amount representing interest on capital leases | $\mathbf{( 7 4 )}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 0 6}$ |
| Less current portion | $\mathbf{( 3 2 )}$ |
| Total long-term debt | $\mathbf{\$ 6 7 4}$ |
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## NOTE 9. INCOME TAXES

The components of income (loss) before income taxes consisted of the following:

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | $\mathbf{\$ ( 2 6 4 )}$ | $\$(230)$ | $\$(129)$ |
| Foreign | $\mathbf{( 7 6 )}$ | 357 | 54 |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ ( 3 4 0 )}$ | $\$ 127$ | $\$(75)$ |

The income tax expense related to income (loss) from operations consisted of the following:

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current: |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ (2) | \$ 15 | \$ (14) |
| State | (1) | 5 | 1 |
| Foreign | 15 | 125 | 14 |
| Deferred : |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | (4) | (5) |
| State | 3 | (1) |  |
| Foreign | (3) | 7 | 6 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 12 | \$ 147 |  |

The following is a reconciliation of income taxes at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes:

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal tax computed at the statutory rate | \$ (119) | \$ 44 | \$ (26) |
| State taxes, net of Federal benefit | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Foreign income taxed at rates other than Federal | (10) | (28) | (15) |
| Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance | 112 | 8 | (9) |
| Non-deductible goodwill impairment |  | 15 |  |
| Non-deductible Merger expenses |  | 13 |  |
| Non-deductible foreign interest | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| Other non-deductible expenses | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| Change in unrecognized tax benefits | (2) |  | 1 |
| Tax expense from intercompany transactions | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Subpart F and dividend income, net of foreign tax credits | 2 | 75 |  |
| Change in tax rate |  | 2 | 2 |
| Non-taxable return of purchase price |  |  | (22) |
| Deferred taxes on undistributed foreign earnings |  | 5 | 68 |
| Tax accounting method change ruling |  |  | (16) |
| Other items, net | (2) | (4) | 3 |

In 2014, the Company recognized income tax expense on a pretax loss due to deferred tax benefits not being recognized on pretax losses in certain tax jurisdictions with valuation allowances, while income tax expense was recognized in tax jurisdictions with pretax income. The decrease in income tax expense from 2013 to 2014 is

Table of Contents

## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

primarily attributable to the 2013 sale of the Company s investment in Office Depot de Mexico, which is discussed in Note 2. In 2013, the Company paid $\$ 117$ million of Mexican income tax upon the sale and recognized additional U.S. income tax expense of $\$ 23$ million due to dividend income and Subpart F income as a result of the sale, for total income tax expense of $\$ 140$ million. The sale of the Company s interest in Grupo OfficeMax during 2014 did not generate a similar gain or income tax expense. The 2013 effective tax rate also includes charges related to goodwill impairment (refer to Note 5) and certain Merger expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes.

The 2012 effective tax rate includes benefits related to the $\$ 16$ million favorable settlement of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) examination of the 2009 and 2010 tax years, as well as the recovery of purchase price that is treated as a purchase price adjustment for tax purposes. As discussed in Note 14, this recovery would have been a reduction of related goodwill for financial reporting purposes, but the related goodwill was impaired in 2008.

The Company operates in several foreign jurisdictions with income tax rates that differ from the U.S. Federal statutory rate, which resulted in a benefit for all years presented in the effective tax rate reconciliation. Significant foreign tax jurisdictions for which the Company realized such benefit include the Netherlands, the UK, and France. Additionally, Mexico is included for 2013 due to the sale of Office Depot de Mexico.

Due to valuation allowances against the Company s deferred tax assets, no income tax benefit was recognized in the 2014 Consolidated Statement of Operations related to stock-based compensation. In addition, no income tax benefit was initially recognized in the 2012 and 2013 Consolidated Statement of Operations related to stock-based compensation. However, due to the sale of Office Depot de Mexico in 2013, the Company realized an income tax benefit of $\$ 5$ million for the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards that had resulted from excess stock-based compensation deductions for which no benefit was previously recorded. The Company also realized an income tax benefit of $\$ 3$ million for excess stock-based compensation deductions resulting from the exercise and vesting of equity awards during 2013. These income tax benefits were recorded as increases to additional paid-in capital in 2013.
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The components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

|  | December 27, |  | December 28, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| U.S. and foreign net operating loss carryforwards | \$ | 322 | \$ | 314 |
| Deferred rent credit |  | 80 |  | 97 |
| Pension and other accrued compensation |  | 184 |  | 170 |
| Accruals for facility closings |  | 45 |  | 38 |
| Inventory |  | 23 |  | 25 |
| Self-insurance accruals |  | 33 |  | 33 |
| Deferred revenue |  | 39 |  | 34 |
| U.S. and foreign income tax credit carryforwards |  | 246 |  | 234 |
| Allowance for bad debts |  | 5 |  | 8 |
| Accrued expenses |  | 80 |  | 60 |
| Basis difference in fixed assets |  | 59 |  | 15 |
| Other items, net |  | 8 |  | 6 |
| Gross deferred tax assets |  | 1,124 |  | 1,034 |
| Valuation allowance |  | (804) |  | (683) |
| Deferred tax assets |  | 320 |  | 351 |
| Internal software |  | 8 |  | 22 |
| Installment gain on sale of timberlands |  | 251 |  | 258 |
| Deferred Subpart F income |  | 27 |  | 23 |
| Undistributed foreign earnings |  | 2 |  | 12 |
| Deferred tax liabilities |  | 288 |  | 315 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | 32 | \$ | 36 |

For financial reporting purposes, a jurisdictional netting process is applied to deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, resulting in the balance sheet classification shown below.

|  | December 27, | December 28, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 |
| Deferred tax assets: | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| Included in Prepaid and other current assets | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\$ 114$ |
| Deferred income taxes noncurrent | $\mathbf{3}$ | 35 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 110 |  |

As of December 27, 2014, the Company has $\$ 39$ million of U.S. Federal net operating loss ( NOL ) carryforwards, $\$ 9$ million of which resulted from excess stock-based compensation deductions that will increase additional paid-in capital by $\$ 3$ million if realized in future periods. The Company has $\$ 852$ million of foreign and $\$ 1.7$ billion of state NOL carryforwards. Of the foreign NOL carryforwards, $\$ 668$ million can be carried forward indefinitely, $\$ 8$ million will expire in 2015, and the remaining balance will expire between 2016 and 2034. Of the state NOL carryforwards, $\$ 23$ million will expire in 2015, and the remaining balance will expire between 2016 and 2034. The Company also has $\$ 109$ million of U.S. Federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards, which can be used to reduce future regular federal income tax, if any, over an indefinite period.
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Additionally, the Company has $\$ 125$ million of U.S. Federal foreign tax credit carryforwards, which expire between 2015 and 2024, and $\$ 17$ million of state and foreign tax credit carryforwards, $\$ 5$ million of which can be carried forward indefinitely, and the remaining balance will expire between 2023 and 2027.

As a result of the Merger in 2013, the Company triggered an ownership change as defined in Internal Revenue Code Section 382 and related provisions. Sections 382 and 383 place a limitation on the amount of taxable income which can be offset by carryforward tax attributes, such as net operating losses or tax credits, after a change in control. Generally, after a change in control, a loss corporation cannot deduct carryforward tax attributes in excess of the limitation prescribed by Section 382 and 383. Therefore, certain of the Company s carryforward tax attributes may be subject to an annual limitation regarding their utilization against taxable income in future periods. The Company estimates that at least $\$ 15$ million of deferred tax assets related to carryforward tax attributes will not be realized because of Section 382 and related provisions. Accordingly, in 2013, the Company reduced the impacted deferred tax assets by this amount, which was fully offset by a corresponding change in the valuation allowance. If the Company were to experience another ownership change in future periods, the Company s deferred tax assets and income tax expense may be negatively impacted.
U.S. deferred income taxes have not been provided on certain undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries, which were approximately $\$ 416$ million as of December 27, 2014. The determination of the amount of the related unrecognized deferred tax liabilities is not practicable because of the complexities associated with the hypothetical calculations. The Company has historically reinvested such earnings overseas in foreign operations and expects that future earnings will also be indefinitely reinvested overseas, with the exception of certain foreign subsidiaries acquired as a result of the Merger. Accordingly, the Company has recorded the deferred tax liabilities associated with the undistributed earnings of such foreign subsidiaries.

The following summarizes the activity related to valuation allowances for deferred tax assets:

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$ 683 | \$ 583 | \$ 622 |
| Additions, charged to expense | 121 | 26 |  |
| Additions, due to the Merger |  | 84 |  |
| Deductions |  | (10) | (39) |
| Ending balance | \$ 804 | \$ 683 | \$ 583 |

The Company has significant deferred tax assets in the U.S. and in foreign jurisdictions against which valuation allowances have been established to reduce such deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. The establishment of valuation allowances requires significant judgment and is impacted by various estimates. Both positive and negative evidence, as well as the objectivity and verifiability of that evidence, is considered in determining the appropriateness of recording a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets. An accumulation of recent pre-tax losses is considered strong negative evidence in that evaluation. While the Company believes positive evidence exists with regard to the realizability of these deferred tax assets, it is not considered sufficient to outweigh the objectively verifiable negative evidence, including the cumulative 36 -month pre-tax loss history.

In 2014, the Company released valuation allowances in certain foreign jurisdictions due to the existence of sufficient positive evidence, which resulted in an income tax benefit of $\$ 4$ million. Valuation allowances were established in certain foreign jurisdictions in 2012 because the realizability of the related deferred tax assets was no longer more likely than not. As of 2014, valuation allowances remain in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions where the Company believes it is necessary to see further positive evidence, such as sustained achievement of cumulative profits, before these valuation allowances can be released. If such positive evidence
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develops in certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company may release all or a portion of the remaining valuation allowances in these jurisdictions as early as the first half of 2015 . The Company will continue to assess the realizability of its deferred tax assets in the U.S. and remaining foreign jurisdictions.

The following table summarizes the activity related to unrecognized tax benefits:

| (In millions) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$ 15 | \$ | \$ |
| Increase related to current year tax positions | 7 | 4 |  |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions | 4 |  | 3 |
| Decrease related to prior year tax positions | (2) |  | (1) |
| Decrease related to lapse of statute of limitations |  |  |  |
| Decrease related to settlements with taxing authorities | (1) |  | (4) |
| Increase related to the Merger |  | 6 |  |
| Ending balance | \$ 23 | \$ 15 |  |

Due to settlements with certain tax authorities in 2014, the Company s balance of unrecognized tax benefits decreased by $\$ 3$ million, which resulted in an income tax benefit of $\$ 2$ million. Included in the balance of $\$ 23$ million at December 27, 2014, are $\$ 7$ million of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. The difference of $\$ 16$ million primarily results from tax positions which if sustained would be offset by changes in valuation allowance. It is reasonably possible that certain tax positions will be resolved within the next 12 months, which the Company does not believe would result in a material change in its unrecognized tax benefits. Additionally, the Company anticipates that it is reasonably possible that new issues will be raised or resolved by tax authorities that may require changes to the balance of unrecognized tax benefits; however, an estimate of such changes cannot reasonably be made.

The Company recognizes interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in the provision for income taxes. The Company recognized a net interest and penalty benefit of $\$ 9$ million in 2014 due to settlements reached with certain taxing authorities. The Company recognized interest and penalty expense of $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 2$ million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company had approximately $\$ 1$ million accrued for the payment of interest and penalties as of December 27, 2014, which is not included in the table above.

The Company files a U.S. federal income tax return and other income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal and state and local income tax examinations for years before 2013 and 2009 , respectively. The acquired OfficeMax U.S. consolidated group is no longer subject to U.S. federal and state and local income tax examinations for years before 2010 and 2006, respectively. The U.S. federal income tax return for 2013 is under concurrent year review. Generally, the Company is subject to routine examination for years 2008 and forward in its international tax jurisdictions.

## NOTE 10. LEASES

The Company leases retail stores and other facilities, vehicles, and equipment under operating lease agreements. Facility leases typically are for a fixed non-cancellable term with one or more renewal options. In addition to minimum rentals, the Company is required to pay certain executory costs such as real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance on most of the facility leases. Many lease agreements contain tenant improvement allowances, rent holidays, and/or rent escalation clauses. Certain leases contain provisions for additional rent to be paid if sales exceed a specified amount, though such payments have been immaterial during the years presented.
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For tenant improvement allowances, scheduled rent increases, and rent holidays, a deferred rent liability is recognized and amortized over the terms of the related lease as a reduction of rent expense. Rent related accruals totaled approximately $\$ 275$ million and $\$ 324$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. The short-term and long-term components of these liabilities are included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities and Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Rent expense, including equipment rental, was $\$ 682$ million, $\$ 458$ million and $\$ 429$ million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Rent expense was reduced by sublease income of $\$ 6$ million in 2014, $\$ 4$ million in 2013, and $\$ 5$ million in 2012.

Future minimum lease payments due under the non-cancelable portions of leases as of December 27, 2014 include facility leases that were accrued as store closure costs and are as follows.

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2015 | 697 |
| 2016 | 558 |
| 2017 | 424 |
| 2018 | 309 |
| 2019 | 211 |
| Thereafter | 454 |
|  | 2,653 |
| Less sublease income | 43 |
| Total | $\$ 2,610$ |

These minimum lease payments do not include contingent rental payments that may be due based on a percentage of sales in excess of stipulated amounts.

As of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, unfavorable lease deferred credit for store leases with terms above market value amounted to $\$ 33$ million and $\$ 52$ million, respectively, and are included in Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The unfavorable lease values are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lives of the leases, unless the facility has been identified for closure under the Real Estate Strategy. In 2014, the net amortization of favorable and unfavorable lease values reduced rent expense by approximately $\$ 9$ million. Refer to Note 5 for further details on favorable leases.

Unfavorable leases estimated future amortization is as follows:

| (In millions) | $\$ 12$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2015 | 9 |
| 2016 | 6 |
| 2017 | 6 |
| 2018 | 4 |
| 2019 | 1 |
| Thereafter | 1 |

The Company has capital lease obligations primarily related to buildings and equipment. Refer to Note 8 for further details on amounts due related to capital lease obligations.
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## NOTE 11. REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

In 2009, Office Depot issued an aggregate of 350,000 shares of $10.00 \%$ Series A Redeemable Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share, and $10.00 \%$ Series B Redeemable Conditional Convertible Participating Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share, for $\$ 350$ million (collectively, the Redeemable Preferred Stock ). The Redeemable Preferred Stock was initially convertible into 70 million shares of Company common stock and classified outside of permanent equity on the Consolidated Balance Sheets because certain redemption conditions were not solely within the control of Office Depot.

Dividends on the Redeemable Preferred Stock were declared quarterly and paid in cash or in-kind as approved by the Board of Directors. For accounting purposes, dividends paid-in-kind were measured at fair value. Refer to Note 16 for additional fair value measurement information. Reported dividends calculated on a per share basis were $\$ 221.50$ and $\$ 94.10$ for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In accordance with certain Merger-related agreements, which the Company entered into with the holders of the Company s preferred stock concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement, in both July and November 2013, the Company redeemed 50 percent of the preferred stock outstanding. A total of $\$ 431$ million in cash was paid for the full redemption of the preferred stock in 2013, included the liquidation preference of $\$ 407$ million and redemption premium of $\$ 24$ million measured at $6 \%$ of the liquidation preference.

Preferred stock dividends included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for 2013 were $\$ 73$ million, including $\$ 28$ million of contractual dividends and $\$ 45$ million related to the redemptions. The $\$ 45$ million is comprised of a $\$ 24$ million redemption premium and $\$ 21$ million representing the difference between liquidation preference and carrying value of the preferred stock. The liquidation preference exceeded the carrying value because of initial issuance costs and prior period paid-in-kind dividends recorded for accounting purposes at fair value. The $\$ 63$ million indicated as Dividends on redeemable preferred stock on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows is derived from the $\$ 73$ million of 2013 dividends per the Consolidated Statement of Operations, reduced by the $\$ 21$ million non-cash difference between liquidation preference and carrying value, plus the payment of dividends accrued at the end of 2012.

## NOTE 12. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## Preferred Stock

As of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, there were $1,000,000$ shares of $\$ 0.01$ par value preferred stock authorized; no shares were issued and outstanding.

## Treasury Stock

At December 27, 2014, there were 5,915,268 common shares held in treasury. The Company s Senior Secured Notes and the Facility include restrictions on additional common stock repurchases, based on the Company s liquidity and borrowing availability. There were no repurchases of common stock in 2014 or 2013.
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## Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income activity, net of tax, where applicable, is provided in the following tables:

|  | Change in |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | Foreign <br> Currency Translation Adjustments |  | Deferred |  |  |
| Balance at December 28, 2013 | \$ | 264 | \$ | 8 | \$ 272 |
| Other comprehensive loss activity before reclassifications |  | (79) |  | (88) | (167) |
| Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income to Net loss) ${ }^{(a)}$ |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Tax impact |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Net year-to-date other comprehensive income |  | (78) |  | (87) | (165) |
| Balance at December 27, 2014 | \$ | 186 | \$ | (79) | \$ 107 |


| (In millions) | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments ${ }^{(b)}$ |  | Change in <br> Deferred <br> Pension |  | Change in Deferred Cash Flow Hedge ${ }^{(c)}$ |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 29, 2012 | \$ | 217 | \$ | (4) | \$ |  | \$ 213 |
| Other comprehensive income activity before reclassifications |  | 11 |  | 22 |  | 2 | 35 |
| Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income to Net loss ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |  | 36 |  |  |  | (2) | 34 |
| Tax impact |  |  |  | (10) |  |  | (10) |
| Net year-to-date other comprehensive income |  | 47 |  | 12 |  |  | 59 |
| Balance at December 28, 2013 | \$ | 264 | \$ | 8 | \$ |  | \$ 272 |

[^2](b) Includes $\$ 3$ million gain included in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net and $\$ 39$ million loss, which is a component of Gain on disposition of joint venture.
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(c) Included in the $\$(2)$ million are $\$(1)$ million recorded in Cost of goods sold and occupancy costs and $\$(1)$ million included in Other income (expense), net, respectively.
Because of valuation allowances in U.S. and several international taxing jurisdictions, items other than deferred pension amounts generally have little or no tax impact. The component balances are net of immaterial tax impacts, where applicable.

## NOTE 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

## Long-Term Incentive Plans

During 2007, the Company s Board of Directors adopted, and the shareholders approved, the Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan ). The Plan permits the issuance of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance-based, and other equity-based incentive awards. Employee share-based awards are generally issued in the first quarter of the year.
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In addition, the Company assumed the share issuance plan formerly related to OfficeMax employee grants, the 2003 OfficeMax Incentive and Performance Plan (the 2003 Plan ). Eight types of awards may be granted under the 2003 Plan, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance units, performance shares, annual incentive awards and stock bonus awards. Awards granted under this plan are of Office Depot, Inc. common stock.

Each option to purchase OfficeMax common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger was converted into an option to purchase Office Depot common stock, on the same terms and conditions adjusted by the 2.69 exchange ratio provided for in the Merger Agreement. The fair value of those options was measured using an option pricing model with the following assumptions: risk-free rate $0.42 \%$; expected life 2.34 ; dividend yield of zero; expected volatility $52.18 \%$ and forfeiture rate of $5 \%$.

Similarly, each previously-existing OfficeMax restricted stock and restricted stock unit outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger was converted into an Office Depot restricted stock or restricted stock unit, as appropriate, at the 2.69 exchange ratio. The fair value of these awards was allocated to consideration and unearned compensation, based on the past and future service conditions. The assumed awards related to the Merger have been identified, as applicable, in the tables that follow.

## Stock Options

The Company s stock option exercise price for each grant of a stock option shall not be less than $100 \%$ of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date the option is granted. Options granted under the Plan and the 2003 Plan have vesting periods ranging from one to five years and from one to three years after the date of grant, respectively, provided that the individual is continuously employed with the Company. Following the date of grant, all options granted under the Plan and the 2003 Plan expire no more than ten years and seven years, respectively. No stock options were granted in 2014.

A summary of the activity in the stock option plans for the last three years is presented below.

|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Weighted |  |  | Weighted |
|  | Average |  | Average |  |  | Average |
|  | Exercise |  |  | Exercise |  | Exercise |
|  | Shares | Price | Shares | Price | Shares | Price |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 22,702,534 | \$ 4.48 | 12,578,071 | \$ 5.25 | 19,059,176 | \$ 6.90 |
| Granted |  |  | 2,003,000 | 5.24 | 82,000 | 3.22 |
| Assumed Merger |  |  | 13,142,351 | 3.62 |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(1,323,664)$ | 10.46 | $(2,072,560)$ | 8.83 | $(4,512,372)$ | 14.51 |
| Exercised | (12,776,244) | 3.83 | $(2,948,328)$ | 1.40 | $(2,050,733)$ | 0.88 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 8,602,626 | \$ 4.53 | 22,702,534 | \$ 4.48 | 12,578,071 | \$ 5.25 |

The weighted-average grant date fair values of options granted during 2013 and 2012 were $\$ 3.00$ and $\$ 1.86$, respectively, using the following weighted average assumptions for grants:

Risk-free interest rates of $1.69 \%$ for 2013 and $0.94 \%$ for 2012

Expected lives of six years for 2013 and 4.5 years for 2012

A dividend yield of zero for both years

Expected volatility ranging from $61 \%$ to $69 \%$ for 2013 and $72 \%$ to $74 \%$ for 2012

Forfeitures are anticipated at 5\% and are adjusted for actual experience over the vesting period

## Table of Contents

## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding and exercisable at December 27, 2014.

|  | Options Outstanding Weighted Average |  | Weighted |  | Options Exercisable |  | Weighted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Remaining |  | verage |  |  |  | verage |
| Range of | Number | Contractual Life |  | xercise | Number | Weighted Average Remaining |  | xercise |
| Exercise Prices | Outstanding | (in years) |  | Price | Exercisable | Contractual Life (in years) |  | Price |
| \$0.83 \$3.00 | 2,715,238 | 2.74 | \$ | 1.42 | 2,220,878 | 2.43 | \$ | 1.33 |
| 3.015 .12 | 1,456,050 | 2.92 |  | 4.37 | 1,399,176 | 2.90 |  | 4.39 |
| 5.13 | 301,034 | 2.45 |  | 5.13 | 301,034 | 2.45 |  | 5.13 |
| 5.148 .00 | 3,331,826 | 6.34 |  | 5.73 | 1,998,492 | 4.64 |  | 6.05 |
| 8.0111 .27 | 798,478 | 1.05 |  | 10.19 | 798,478 | 1.05 |  | 10.19 |
| \$0.83 \$11.27 | 8,602,626 | 4.00 | \$ | 4.53 | 6,718,058 | 3.02 | \$ | 4.60 |

The intrinsic value of options exercised in 2014, 2013, and 2012, was $\$ 27$ million, $\$ 10$ million, and $\$ 4$ million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at December 27, 2014 were $\$ 38$ million and $\$ 30$ million, respectively.

As of December 27, 2014, there was approximately $\$ 4.1$ million of total stock-based compensation expense that has not yet been recognized relating to non-vested awards granted under option plans. This expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.8 years. The Company estimates that all of the 1.9 million unvested options will vest. The number of exercisable options was 6.7 million shares of common stock at December 27, 2014 and 16.9 million shares of common stock at December 28, 2013.

## Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

In 2014, the Company granted 5.8 million shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units to eligible employees. In addition, 0.3 million shares were granted to the Board of Directors as part of their annual compensation and vested immediately on the grant date with distribution to occur following their separation from service with the Company. Restricted stock grants to Company employees typically vest annually over a three-year service period. A summary of the status of the Company s nonvested shares and changes during 2014, 2013, and 2012 is presented below.


| Assumed Merger | 6,426,968 |  |  |  |  | 3.46 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vested | $(4,179,789)$ |  | 4.75 | $(5,788,992)$ |  | 4.49 | $(695,751)$ |  | 3.45 |
| Forfeited | $(1,129,206)$ |  | 3.65 | $(775,178)$ |  | 4.01 | $(475,478)$ |  | 3.79 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 10,708,372 | \$ | 4.65 | 10,207,546 | \$ | 4.76 | 5,459,900 | \$ | 3.52 |

As of December 27, 2014, there was approximately $\$ 27$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock. This expense, net of forfeitures, is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2 years. Total outstanding shares of 10.7 million include 1.7 million granted to members of the Board of Directors that have vested but will not be issued until separation from service and nine
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million unvested shares granted to employees. Of the 9 million unvested shares at year end, the Company estimates that 8.6 million shares will vest. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during 2014 was approximately $\$ 20$ million.

## Performance-Based Incentive Program

The Company has a performance-based long-term incentive program consisting of performance stock units. Payouts under this program are based on achievement of certain financial targets set by the Board of Directors and are subject to additional service vesting requirements, generally of three years from the grant date.

A summary of the activity in the performance-based long-term incentive program since inception is presented below.


As of December 27, 2014, there was approximately $\$ 22$ million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to the performance-based long-term incentive program. This expense, net of forfeitures, is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.2 years. Of the 6.8 million unvested shares at year end, the Company estimates that 6.2 million shares will vest. The total grant date fair value of shares vested during 2014 was approximately $\$ 6.3$ million.

## NOTE 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

## Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans North America

The Company has retirement obligations under OfficeMax s U.S. pension plans (the U.S. Plans ). The Company sponsors these noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain terminated employees, terminated vested employees, retirees and some active employees, primarily in the North American Business Solutions Division. In 2004 or earlier, OfficeMax s qualified pension plans were closed to new entrants and the benefits of eligible participants were frozen. Under the terms of these qualified plans, the pension benefit for employees was based primarily on the employees years of service and benefit plan formulas that varied by plan. The Company s general funding policy is to make contributions to the plans in amounts that are within the limits of deductibility under current tax regulations, and not less than the minimum contribution required by law.
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Additionally, under previous OfficeMax arrangements, the Company has responsibility for sponsoring retiree medical benefit and life insurance plans including plans related to operations in Canada (referred to as Other Benefits in the tables below). The type of retiree benefits and the extent of coverage vary based on employee classification, date of retirement, location, and other factors. All of these postretirement medical plans are
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unfunded. The Company explicitly reserves the right to amend or terminate its retiree medical and life insurance plans at any time, subject only to constraints, if any, imposed by the terms of collective bargaining agreements. Amendment or termination may significantly affect the amount of expense incurred.

The impact of these assumed plans is included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of the Merger with OfficeMax.

## Obligations and Funded Status

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets, as well as the funded status of the plan to amounts recognized on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet. The 2013 amounts relate to the period between the Merger date and year-end.

| (In millions) | Pension Benefits |  | Other Benefits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |  | 13 |
| Changes in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligation at beginning of period | \$ 1,122 | \$ 1,135 | \$ 17 | \$ | 17 |
| Service cost | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Interest cost | 52 | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 138 | (12) | 1 |  |  |
| Currency exchange rate change |  |  | (1) |  |  |
| Benefits paid | (97) | (8) | (1) |  |  |
| Obligation at end of period | \$ 1,218 | \$ 1,122 | \$ 17 | \$ | 17 |
| Change in plan assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | \$ 986 | \$ 972 | \$ | \$ |  |
| Actual return on plan assets | 107 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Employer contribution | 43 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Benefits paid | (97) | (8) | (1) |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | 1,039 | 986 |  |  |  |
| Net liability recognized at end of period | \$ (179) | \$ (136) | \$ (17) |  |  |

The following table shows the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to the Company s North America defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans as of year-ends:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  | Other Benefits |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| Noncurrent assets | $\$$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  |
| Current liabilities | $(3)$ | $(3)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ |  |
| Noncurrent liabilities | $(176)$ | $(143)$ | $(16)$ | $(16)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net amount recognized | $\$(179)$ | $\$(136)$ | $\$(17)$ | $\$(17)$ |  |

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) consist of:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  | Other Benefits |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| Net loss (gain) | $\$ 67$ | $\$(26)$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$$ |  |
| Prior service cost (credit) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 67$ | $\$(26)$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$$ |  |
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Information for pension plans with benefit obligations and accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets is as follows:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| Projected benefit obligation | $\$(1,218)$ | $\$(785)$ |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | $(1,218)$ | $(785)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets | $\$ 1,039$ | $\$ 639$ |

The components of net periodic cost (benefit) are as follows:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | Other Benefits |  |  |  |
| Service cost | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| Interest cost | $\$ 3$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Expected return on plan assets | 52 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Net periodic cost (benefit) | $(62)$ | $\$(8)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss (income) are as follows:

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  | Other Benefits |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) at beginning of year | $\$(26)$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  |
| Net loss (gain) | 93 | $(26)$ | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) at end of year | $\$ 67$ | $\$(26)$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$$ |  |

No accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be recognized as components of net periodic cost during 2015.

## Assumptions

The assumptions used in accounting for the Company s plans are estimates of factors including, among other things, the amount and timing of future benefit payments. The following table presents the key weighted average assumptions used in the measurement of the Company s benefit obligations as of year-ends:

|  | Pension Benefits |  | Other Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | United States |  | Canada |  |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 3.91\% | 4.84\% | 3.40\% | 4.00\% | 4.00\% | 4.80\% |
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The following table presents the weighted average assumptions used in the measurement of net periodic benefit:

|  |  | Other Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pension Benefits | United States |  | Canada |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| Discount rate | $4.84 \%$ | $4.76 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ | $4.80 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ |  |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | $6.50 \%$ | $6.60 \%$ |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

For pension benefits, the selected discount rate (which is required to be the rate at which the projected benefit obligation could be effectively settled as of the measurement date) is based on the rates of return for a theoretical portfolio of high-grade corporate bonds (rated AA or better) with cash flows that generally match expected benefit payments in future years. In selecting bonds for this theoretical portfolio, the Company focuses on bonds that match cash flows to benefit payments and limit the concentration of bonds by issuer. To the extent scheduled bond proceeds exceed the estimated benefit payments in a given period, the yield calculation assumes those excess proceeds are reinvested at an assumed forward rate. The implied forward rate used in the bond model is based on the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve as of the last day of the year. The selected discount rate for other benefits is from a discount rate curve matched to the assumed payout of related obligations.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption is based on the weighted average of expected returns for the major asset classes in which the plans assets are held. Asset-class expected returns are based on long-term historical returns, inflation expectations, forecasted gross domestic product and earnings growth, as well as other economic factors. The weights assigned to each asset class are based on the Company s investment strategy. The weighted average expected return on plan assets used in the calculation of net periodic pension cost for 2015 is $5.85 \%$.

Obligation and costs related to the Canadian retiree health plan are impacted by changes in trend rates.
The following table presents the assumed healthcare cost trend rates used in measuring the Company s postretirement benefit obligations at year-ends:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weighted average assumptions as of year-end: | $6.40 \%$ | $6.70 \%$ |
| Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for next year | $4.50 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate) | 2022 | 2022 |

A $1 \%$ change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rates would impact operating income by less than $\$ 1$ million.
The Company reassessed the mortality assumptions to measure the North American pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligations at year end 2014, adopting the most applicable mortality tables based on the tables released in 2014 by The Society of Actuaries Retirement Plan Experience Committee. As a result of this assumption change, pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligations increased by $\$ 36$ million and $\$ 1$ million, respectively.
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## Plan Assets

The allocation of pension plan assets by category at year-ends is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Money market funds | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Equity securities | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $8 \%$ |
| Fixed-income securities | $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $53 \%$ |
| Equity mutual funds | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $36 \%$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

A retirement funds investment committee is responsible for establishing and overseeing the implementation of the investment policy for the Company s pension plans. The investment policy is structured to optimize growth of the pension plan trust assets, while minimizing the risk of significant losses, in order to enable the plans to satisfy their benefit payment obligations over time. The Company uses benefit payments and Company contributions as its primary rebalancing mechanisms to maintain the asset class exposures within the guideline ranges established under the investment policy.

The current asset allocation guidelines set forth an U.S. equity range of $9 \%$ to $19 \%$, an international equity range of $6 \%$ to $16 \%$, a global equity range of $4 \%$ to $14 \%$ and a fixed-income range of $61 \%$ to $71 \%$. Asset-class positions within the ranges are continually evaluated and adjusted based on expectations for future returns, the funded position of the plans and market risks. Occasionally, the Company may utilize futures or other financial instruments to alter the pension trust $s$ exposure to various asset classes in a lower-cost manner than trading securities in the underlying portfolios.

Generally, quoted market prices are used to value pension plan assets. Equities, some fixed-income securities, publicly traded investment funds, and U.S. government obligations are valued by reference to published market prices. Investments in certain restricted stocks are valued at the quoted market price of the issuer s unrestricted common stock less an appropriate discount. If a quoted market price for unrestricted common stock of the issuer is not available, restricted common stocks are valued at a multiple of current earnings less an appropriate discount. The multiple chosen is consistent with multiples of similar companies based on current market prices.
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The following table presents the pension plan assets by level within the fair value hierarchy at year-ends.


## Fair Value Measurements

| (In millions) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices | at December 28, 2013 <br> Significant |
| Significant |  |  |  |
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Purchases and sales of securities are recorded on a trade-date basis. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

## Cash Flows

Pension plan contributions include required statutory minimum amounts and, in some years, additional discretionary amounts. In 2014, the Company contributed $\$ 43$ million to these pension plans. Pension contributions for a full year of 2015 are estimated to be $\$ 9$ million. The Company may elect at any time to make additional voluntary contributions.

Qualified pension benefit payments are paid from the assets held in the plan trust, while nonqualified pension and other benefit payments are paid by the Company. Anticipated benefit payments by year are as follows:

|  | Pension | Other |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| (In millions) | Benefits | Benefits |  |
| 2015 | $\$$ | 94 | $\$$ |
| 2016 | 91 | 1 |  |
| 2017 | 89 | 1 |  |
| 2018 | 87 | 1 |  |
| 2019 | 89 | 1 |  |
| Next five years | 89 | 1 |  |
| Plan Europe |  | 4 |  |

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan which is associated with a 2003 European acquisition and covers a limited number of employees in Europe. During 2008, curtailment of that plan was approved by the trustees and future service benefits ceased for the remaining employees.

The sale and purchase agreement ( SPA ) associated with the 2003 European acquisition included a provision whereby the seller was required to pay an amount to the Company if the acquired pension plan was determined to be underfunded based on 2008 plan data. The unfunded obligation amount calculated by the plan s actuary based on that data was disputed by the seller. In accordance with the SPA, the parties entered into arbitration to resolve this matter and, in March 2011, the arbitrator found in favor of the Company. The seller pursued an annulment of the award in French court. In November 2011, the seller paid GBP 5.5 million ( $\$ 8.8$ million, measured at then-current exchange rates) to the Company to allow for future monthly payments to the pension plan, pending a court ruling on their cancellation request. That money was placed in an escrow account with the pension plan acting as trustee. On January 6, 2012, the Company and the seller entered into a settlement agreement that settled all claims by either party for this and any other matter under the original SPA. The seller paid an additional GBP 32 million (approximately $\$ 50$ million, measured at then-current exchange rates) to the Company in February 2012. Following this cash receipt in February 2012, the Company contributed the GBP 38 million (approximately $\$ 58$ million at then-current exchange rates) to the pension plan, resulting in the plan changing to a net asset position since December 29, 2012. There are no additional funding requirements while the plan is in a surplus position.

This pension provision of the SPA was disclosed in 2003 and subsequent periods as a matter that would reduce goodwill when the plan was remeasured and cash received. However, all goodwill associated with this transaction was impaired in 2008, and because the remeasurement process had not yet begun, no estimate of the potential payment to the Company could be made at that time. Consistent with disclosures subsequent to the 2008 goodwill impairment, resolution of this matter in the first quarter of 2012 was reflected as a credit to operating expense. The cash received from the seller, reversal of an accrued liability as a result of the settlement
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agreement, fees incurred in 2012, and fee reimbursement from the seller have been reported in Recovery of purchase price in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for 2012, totaling $\$ 68$ million. An additional expense of $\$ 5$ million of costs incurred in prior periods related to this arrangement is included in Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net, in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, resulting in a net increase in operating profit for 2012 of $\$ 63$ million. Similar to the presentation of goodwill impairment in 2008, this recovery and related charge is reported at the corporate level, not part of International Division operating income.

The cash payment from the seller was received by a subsidiary of the Company with the Euro as its functional currency and the pension plan funding was made by a subsidiary with Pound Sterling as its functional currency, resulting in certain translation differences between amounts reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for 2012. The receipt of cash from the seller is presented as a source of cash in investing activities. The contribution of cash to the pension plan is presented as a use of cash in operating activities.

## Obligations and Funded Status

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in the projected benefit obligation, the fair value of plan assets and the funded status of the plan to amounts recognized on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| (In millions) | December 28, 2014 |  | December 29, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |
| Obligation at beginning of period | \$ | 224 | \$ | 208 |
| Service cost |  |  |  |  |
| Interest cost |  | 10 |  | 9 |
| Benefits paid |  | (6) |  | (4) |
| Actuarial loss |  | 25 |  | 6 |
| Currency translation |  | (14) |  | 5 |
| Obligation at end of period |  | 239 |  | 224 |
| Changes in plan assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period |  | 232 |  | 216 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 47 |  | 14 |
| Benefits paid |  | (6) |  | (4) |
| Currency translation |  | (16) |  | 6 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period |  | 257 |  | 232 |
| Net asset recognized at end of period | \$ | 18 | \$ | 8 |

In the Consolidated Balance Sheets, the net funded amounts are classified as a non-current asset in the caption Other assets.

## Components of Net Periodic Benefit

The components of net periodic benefit are presented below:
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| Service cost | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest cost | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 9 | 9 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $\mathbf{( 1 4 )}$ | $(13)$ | $(11)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net periodic pension benefit | $\mathbf{\$ ( 4 )}$ | $\$(4)$ | $\$(2)$ |
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Included in Accumulated other comprehensive income were deferred losses of $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 8$ million at December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, respectively. The deferred loss is not expected to be amortized into income during 2015.

## Assumptions

Assumptions used in calculating the funded status included:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | $\mathbf{5 . 5 5 \%}$ | $6.33 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ |
| Discount rate | $\mathbf{3 . 8 0 \%}$ | $4.60 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 \%}$ | $3.40 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |

The long-term rate of return on assets assumption has been derived based on long-term UK government fixed income yields, having regard to the proportion of assets in each asset class. The funds invested in equities have been assumed to return $4.0 \%$ above the return on UK government securities of appropriate duration. Funds invested in corporate bonds are assumed to return equal to a 15 year AA bond index. Allowance is made for expenses of $0.5 \%$ of assets.

Plan Assets

The allocation of Plan assets is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Cash | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Equity securities | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ |
| Fixed-income securities | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

A committee, comprised of representatives of the Company and of this plan, is responsible for establishing and overseeing the implementation of the investment policy for this plan. The plan s investment policy and strategy are to ensure assets are available to meet the obligations to the beneficiaries and to adjust plan contributions accordingly. The plan trustees are also committed to reducing the level of risk in the plan over the long term, while retaining a return above that of the growth of liabilities. The investment strategy is based on plan funding levels, which determine the asset target allocation into matching or growth investments. Matching investments are intended to provide a return similar to the increase in the plan liabilities. Growth investments are assets intended to provide a return in excess of the increase in liabilities. At December 27, 2014, the asset target allocation was in accordance with the investment strategy. Asset-class allocations within the ranges are continually evaluated and adjusted based on expectations for future returns, the funded position of the plan and market risks.
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The following table presents the pension plan assets by level within the fair value hierarchy.

|  |  |  | ir Value Meas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In millions) |  |  | at December 27 |  |
|  |  | Quoted Prices |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { in } \\ \text { Active } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Markets for |  |  |
|  |  |  | Significant | Significant |
|  |  | Identical |  |  |
|  |  |  | Observable | Unobservable |
|  |  | Assets |  |  |
|  |  |  | Inputs | Inputs |
| Asset Category | Total | (Level | (Level 2) |  |
| Cash | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ |
| Equity securities |  |  |  |  |
| Developed market equity funds | 81 | 81 |  |  |
| Emerging market equity funds | 27 | 27 |  |  |
| Mutual funds real estate | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| Mutual funds | 17 |  | 17 |  |
| Total equity securities | 132 | 108 | 17 | 7 |
| Fixed-income securities |  |  |  |  |
| UK debt funds | 21 |  | 21 |  |
| Liability term matching debt funds | 80 |  | 80 |  |
| Emerging market debt fund | 9 |  | 9 |  |
| High yield debt | 14 |  | 14 |  |
| Total fixed-income securities | 124 |  | 124 |  |
| Total | \$ 257 | \$ 109 | \$ 141 | \$ 7 |


| (In millions) |  | at December 28, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Category | Total | Quoted Prices | Significant | Significant |
|  |  | in Active | Observable | Unobservable |

$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\text { Inputs } \\ \text { Inputs } \\ \text { (Level 3) }\end{array}\right)$

Table of Contents

## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation of the change in fair value of the pension plan assets calculated based on Level 3 inputs; during 2014, there was no change in the fair value of the pension plan assets or transfers of assets valued based on Level 3 inputs.

| (In millions) | Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| Balance at December 29, 2012 | $\$$ |
| Purchases, sales, and settlements | 7 |
|  | 7 |
| Balance at December 28, 2013 | $\$ 7$ |

## Cash Flows

Anticipated benefit payments for the European pension plan, at December 27, 2014 exchange rates, are as follows:

|  | Benefit |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| (In millions) | Payments |  |
| 2015 | $\$$ | 6 |
| 2016 | 6 |  |
| 2017 | 6 |  |
| 2018 | 6 |  |
| 2019 | 6 | 6 |
| Next five years | 34 |  |
| Savings Plans |  | 6 |

The Company also sponsors defined contribution plans for most of its employees. Eligible Company employees may participate in the Office Depot, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan. In connection with the Merger, certain employees still participate in one of two contributory defined contribution savings plans that OfficeMax had in place for most of its salaried and hourly employees: a plan for U.S. employees and a plan for Puerto Rico employees. All of the Company s existing and assumed OfficeMax defined contribution plans (the 401(k) Plans ) allow eligible employees to contribute a percentage of their salary, commissions and bonuses in accordance with plan limitations and provisions of Section $401(\mathrm{k})$ of the Internal Revenue Code and the Company makes matching contributions to each plan subject to the limits of the respective 401(k) Plans. Matching contributions are invested in the same manner as the participants pre-tax contributions. The 401(k) Plans also allow for a discretionary matching contribution in addition to the normal match contributions if approved by the Board of Directors.

Office Depot and OfficeMax previously sponsored non-qualified deferred compensation plans that allowed certain employees, who were limited in the amount they could contribute to their respective $401(\mathrm{k})$ plans, to defer a portion of their earnings and receive a Company matching amount. Both plans are closed to new contributions.

During 2014, 2013, and 2012, $\$ 16$ million, $\$ 9$ million, and $\$ 7$ million, respectively, were recorded as compensation expense for the Company s contributions to these programs and certain international retirement savings plans. Additionally, nonparticipating annuity premiums were paid for benefits in certain European countries totaling $\$ 4$ million, $\$ 4$ million, and $\$ 5$ million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.
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## NOTE 15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents the calculation of net loss per common share basic and diluted:

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (354) | \$ (93) | \$ (110) |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 535 | 318 | 280 |
| Basic loss per share | \$ (0.66) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.39) |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share |  |  |  |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |
| Net loss attributable to Office Depot, Inc. | \$ (354) | \$ (20) | \$ (77) |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 535 | 318 | 280 |
| Diluted loss per share | \$ (0.66) | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.39) |

Shares issued related to the Merger impact the weighted average share calculation for the years of 2014 and 2013. The 2013 impact is from the Merger closing date to December 28, 2013. The following potentially dilutive stock options and restricted stock were excluded from the diluted loss per share calculation because of the net loss in the periods.

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | 2014 | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Potentially dilutive securities: | 2012 |  |
| Stock options and restricted stock | $\mathbf{8}$ | 7 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 56 | 78 |

Awards of options and nonvested shares representing an additional 9 million, 6 million and 15 million shares of common stock were outstanding for the years ended December 27, 2014, December 28, 2013, and December 29, 2012, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding because their effect would have been antidilutive. For the three years presented, no tax benefits have been assumed in the weighted average share calculation in jurisdictions with valuation allowances.

Shares of the redeemable preferred stock were fully redeemed in 2013. Following the July 2013 shareholder approval of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, 50 percent of the outstanding preferred stock was redeemed and the remaining 50 percent was redeemed in November 2013 in connection with the Merger closing. In periods in which the redeemable preferred stock were outstanding, basic earnings (loss) per share ( EPS ) was computed after consideration of preferred stock dividends. The redeemable preferred stock had equal dividend participation rights with common stock that required application of the two-class method for computing earnings per share. In periods of sufficient earnings, this method assumes an allocation of undistributed earnings to both participating stock classes. The two-class method impacted the computation of earnings for the first quarter of 2012 and third quarter of 2013, but was not applicable to the full year 2012 or full year 2013 because it would have been antidilutive. The preferred stockholders were not required to fund losses. Refer to Note 11 for further redemption details.
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## NOTE 16. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

## Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As a global supplier of office products and services the Company is exposed to risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, fuel and other commodity prices and interest rates. Depending on the exposure, settlement timeframe and other factors, the Company may enter into derivative transactions to mitigate those risks. Financial instruments authorized under the Company s established risk management policy include spot trades, swaps, options, caps, collars, forwards and futures. Use of derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes is expressly prohibited. The Company may designate and account for such qualifying arrangements as hedges. As of December 27, 2014, the foreign exchange contracts extend through March 2015 and fuel contracts extended through January 2016.

The fair values of the Company s foreign currency contracts and fuel contracts are the amounts receivable or payable to terminate the agreements at the reporting date, taking into account current interest rates, exchange rates and commodity prices. The values are based on market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data. At December 27, 2014, Accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet includes $\$ 6$ million related to derivative fuel contracts payable.

## Financial Instruments

The following table presents information about financial instruments at the balance sheet dates indicated.

|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair |
| (In millions) | Value | Value | Value | Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Timber notes receivables | \$ 926 | \$ 930 | \$ 945 | \$ 933 |
| Boise investment |  |  | 46 | 47 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Recourse debt: |  |  |  |  |
| 9.75\% Senior Secured Notes | 250 | 280 | 250 | 290 |
| $7.35 \%$ debentures, due 2016 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| Revenue bonds, due in varying amounts periodically through 2029 | 186 | 185 | 186 | 186 |
| American \& Foreign Power Company, Inc. 5\% debentures, due 2030 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Non-recourse debt | 839 | 845 | 859 | 851 |

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Timber notes receivable: Fair value is determined as the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the current interest rate for loans of similar terms with comparable credit risk (Level 2 measure).

Boise Investment: Fair value at December 28, 2013 was calculated as the sum of the market value of the Company s indirect investment in Boise Cascade, the primary investment of Boise Cascade Holdings, plus the Company s portion of any cash held by Boise Cascade Holdings as of the balance sheet date (together, Level 2 measure). The Company s indirect investment in Boise Cascade was calculated using the number of shares the Company indirectly held in Boise Cascade multiplied by its closing stock price as of the last trading day prior to the balance sheet date. The investment in
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Boise Cascade Holdings was fully disposed of in 2014. Refer to Note 6 for further details.
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Recourse debt: Recourse debt for which there were no transactions on the measurement date was valued based on quoted market prices near the measurement date when available or by discounting the future cash flows of each instrument using rates based on the most recently observable trade or using rates currently offered to the Company for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities (Level 2 measure).

Non-recourse debt: Fair value is estimated by discounting the future cash flows of the instrument at rates currently available to the Company for similar instruments of comparable maturities (Level 2 measure).

## Fair Value Estimates Used in Impairment Analyses

All impairment charges discussed in the sections below are presented in Asset impairments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## Retail Stores

Because of declining sales in recent periods and adoption of the Real Estate Strategy in 2014, the Company has conducted a detailed quarterly store impairment analysis. The analysis uses input from retail store operations and the Company s accounting and finance personnel that organizationally report to the Chief Financial Officer. These Level 3 projections are based on management s estimates of store-level sales, gross margins, direct expenses, exercise of future lease renewal options where applicable, and resulting cash flows and, by their nature, include judgments about how current initiatives will impact future performance. If the anticipated cash flows of a store cannot support the carrying value of its assets, the assets are impaired and written down to estimated fair value using Level 3 measure. The Company recognized store asset impairment charges of $\$ 25$ million, $\$ 26$ million, and $\$ 124$ million in 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Following the Merger, the asset group tested for impairment included the retail store operating assets, as well as any favorable lease intangible asset. The impairment results of this analysis are addressed in the following paragraph for the operating assets and in the Intangible Assets, Software and Definite-lived intangible assets sections, respectively.

The 2014 analysis incorporated the probability assessment of which stores will be closed through 2016, as well as projected cash flows through the base lease period for stores identified for ongoing operations. The projections assumed flat sales for one year, decreasing thereafter. Gross margin assumptions have been held constant at current actual levels and operating costs have been assumed to be consistent with recent actual results and planned activities. For the fourth quarter 2014 impairment analysis, identified locations were reduced to estimated fair value of $\$ 1$ million based on their projected cash flows, discounted at $13 \%$ or estimated salvage value of $\$ 2$ million, as appropriate. The Company continues to capitalize additions to previously-impaired operating stores and tests for subsequent impairment. A 100 basis point decrease in next year sales combined with a 50 basis point decrease in next year gross margin would have increased the impairment by approximately $\$ 1$ million. Further, a 100 basis point decrease in sales for all future periods would increase the impairment by approximately $\$ 1$ million. The 2014 store impairment charge also includes $\$ 1$ million related to the closure of stores in Canada.

The store impairment analysis for 2013 projected sales declines for several years, then stabilizing. Gross margin and operating cost assumptions were consistent with actual results and planned activities. For the 2013 impairment analysis, identified locations were reduced to estimated fair value of $\$ 10$ million based on their projected cash flows, discounted at $13 \%$ or estimated salvage value of $\$ 7$ million, as appropriate.
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A review of the North American Retail portfolio during 2012 concluded with a plan for each location to maintain its current configuration, downsize to either small or mid-size format, relocate, remodel, renew or close at the end of the base lease term. The asset impairment analysis previously had assumed at least one optional lease renewal. Additionally, projected sales trends included in the impairment calculation model in prior periods were reduced. These changes, and continued store performance, served as a basis for the Company $s$ asset impairment review for 2012.

The Company will continue to evaluate initiatives to improve performance and lower operating costs. To the extent that forward-looking sales and operating assumptions are not achieved and are subsequently reduced, or in certain circumstances, even if store performance is as anticipated, additional impairment charges may result. However, at the end of 2014 , the impairment analysis reflects the Company $s$ best estimate of future performance.

## Intangible Assets

Indefinite-lived intangible assets During 2014, the Company reassessed its use of a private brand trade name used internationally, that previously had been assigned an indefinite life. The expected change in profile and life of this brand, along with assigning an estimated life of three years, resulted in an impairment charge of $\$ 5$ million. This charge is not included in determination of Division operating income. The estimated fair value was calculated based on a discounted relief from royalty method using Level 3 inputs.

Goodwill associated with the Merger has been allocated to the reporting units for the purposes of the annual goodwill impairment test. The estimated fair value of each reporting unit exceeds its carrying value at the test date. The reporting unit of Australia and New Zealand, which was not combined with any existing Office Depot businesses, has an estimated fair value approximately $10 \%$ above its carrying value. Goodwill in that reporting unit is $\$ 15$ million. The estimated fair value of this reporting unit includes projected cash outflows related to certain restructuring activities. Should these restructuring activities not result in the anticipated future period benefits, or if there is a downturn in performance, a potential future goodwill impairment could result. However, the Company believes, based on these projections, that there are no current indicators of impairment in this reporting unit. The estimated fair values of the other reporting units, which were combined with existing Office Depot businesses, were substantially in excess of their carrying values.

As of December 29, 2012, goodwill of $\$ 45$ million (at then-current exchange rates) was included in the International Division in a reporting unit comprised of wholly-owned operating subsidiaries in Europe and ownership of the joint venture operating in Mexico. The total estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeded its carrying value by approximately $30 \%$, however, a substantial majority of that excess value was associated with the joint venture. In 2013, when the reporting unit sold its investment in the joint venture and distributed essentially all of the after tax proceeds to its U.S. parent, the fair value fell below its carrying value. Because the investment was accounted for under the equity method, no goodwill was allocated to the gain on disposition of joint venture calculation. However, concurrent with the sale and gain recognition, a goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 44$ million was recognized.

Software and Definite-lived intangible assets Asset impairment charges for 2014 include $\$ 12$ million resulting from a decision to convert certain websites to a common platform, $\$ 28$ million related to the abandonment of a software implementation project in Europe, and $\$ 13$ million write off of capitalized software following certain information technology platform decisions related to the Merger.

Following identification of retail stores for closure as part of the Real Estate Strategy, the related favorable lease assets were assessed for accelerated amortization or impairment. Considerations included the Level 3 projected
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cash flows discussed above, the net book value of operating assets and favorable lease assets, and likely sublease over the option period after closure or return of property to landlords. Impairment of \$5 million was recognized during 2014.

During 2011, the Company acquired an office supply company in Sweden to supplement the existing business in that market. As a result of slowing economic conditions in Sweden after the acquisition, difficulties in the consolidation of multiple distribution centers and the adoption of new warehousing systems which impacted customer service and delayed or undermined planned marketing activities, the Company re-evaluated remaining balances of acquisition-related intangible assets of customer relationships and short-lived trade name values. Cash flows related to these acquired customer relationships with the updated Level 3 inputs were projected to be negative, then recovering, but were insufficient to recover the intangible assets remaining carrying values. Accordingly, an impairment charge of approximately $\$ 14$ million was recognized during the third quarter of 2012.

## Fair Value Estimates Used for Paid-in-Kind Dividends

Prior to redemption of the Company s Redeemable Preferred Stock in 2013, any dividends paid-in-kind were measured at fair value, using a Level 3 measure. The Company used a binomial simulation to capture the call, conversion, and interest rate reset features as well the optionality of paying the dividend in-kind or in cash. Dividends were paid in kind for the first three quarters of 2012.

For dividends paid-in-kind for the three quarters of 2012, the average stock price volatility was $63 \%$, the risk free rate was $3.0 \%$ and the risk adjusted rate was $14.5 \%$. The aggregate fair value calculated for these three quarters was $\$ 22.8$ million, $\$ 6.3$ million below the amount added to the liquidation preference. For the dividend paid-in-kind for the third quarter of 2012, a stock price volatility of $55 \%$ or $75 \%$ would have increased the estimate by $\$ 0.7$ million or decreased the estimate by $\$ 0.6$ million, respectively. Using a beginning of period stock price of $\$ 1.50$ or $\$ 3.50$ would have decreased the estimate by $\$ 1.7$ million or increased the estimate by $\$ 1.1$ million, respectively. Assuming that all future dividends would be paid in cash would have increased the estimate by $\$ 1.3$ million. Assuming all future dividends would be paid-in-kind had no significant impact. Refer to Note 11 for additional information.

There were no significant differences between the carrying values and fair values of the Company s financial instruments as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, except as disclosed above.

## NOTE 17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Commitments

On June 25, 2011, OfficeMax, with which the Company merged in November 2013, entered into a paper supply contract with Boise White Paper, L.L.C. ( Boise Paper ), under which OfficeMax agreed to purchase office papers from Boise Paper, and Boise Paper has agreed to supply office paper to OfficeMax, subject to the terms and conditions of the paper supply contract. The paper supply contract replaced the previous supply contract executed in 2004 with Boise Paper. The Company assumed the commitment under a paper supply contract to buy OfficeMax s North American requirements for office paper, subject to certain conditions, including conditions under which the Company may purchase paper from paper producers other than Boise Paper. The paper supply contract s term will expire on December 31, 2017, followed by a gradual reduction of the Company s purchase requirements over a two year period thereafter. However, if certain circumstances occur, the agreement may be terminated earlier. If terminated, it will be followed by a gradual reduction of the Company s purchase requirements over a two year period. Purchases under the agreement were $\$ 647$ million in 2014 and $\$ 87$ million in the period from Merger date through year-end 2013.
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## Indemnifications

Indemnification obligations may arise from the Asset Purchase Agreement between OfficeMax Incorporated, OfficeMax Southern Company, Minidoka Paper Company, Forest Products Holdings, L.L.C. and Boise Land \& Timber Corp. The Company has agreed to provide indemnification with respect to a variety of obligations. These indemnification obligations are subject, in some cases, to survival periods, deductibles and caps. At December 27, 2014, the Company is not aware of any material liabilities arising from these indemnifications.

## Legal Matters

The Company is involved in litigation arising in the normal course of business. While, from time to time, claims are asserted that make demands for a large sum of money (including, from time to time, actions which are asserted to be maintainable as class action suits), the Company does not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters (including the matters discussed below), either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On February 4, 2015, Staples and Office Depot entered into the Staples Merger Agreement under which the companies would combine in a stock and cash transaction. On February 9, 2015, a putative class action lawsuit was filed by purported Office Depot shareholders in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware ( Court ) challenging the transaction and alleging that the defendant companies and individual members of Office Depot s Board of Directors violated applicable laws by breaching their fiduciary duties and/or aiding and abetting such breaches. The plaintiffs in David Raul, v. Office Depot, Inc. et al. seek, among other things, injunctive relief and rescission, as well as fees and costs. Subsequently, seven other lawsuits were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware making similar allegations, namely Beth Koeneke v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., Jamison Miller v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., Eric R. Gilbert v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., The Feivel and Helene Gottlieb Defined Benefit Pension Plan v. Office Depot, et al., Charles Miller v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., David Max v. Office Depot, Inc. et al., and Steve Renous v. Staples Inc. et al. Two lawsuits were filed in Palm Beach County Circuit Court, namely Keny Petit-Frere v. Office Depot, Inc., et al. and John Sweatman v. Office Depot, Inc., et al. Other lawsuits may be filed with similar allegations.

In addition, in the ordinary course of business, sales to and transactions with government customers may be subject to lawsuits, investigations, audits and review by governmental authorities and regulatory agencies, with which the Company cooperates. Many of these lawsuits, investigations, audits and reviews are resolved without material impact to the Company. While claims in these matters may at times assert large demands, the Company does not believe that contingent liabilities related to these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will materially affect its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition to the foregoing in 2009, the Sherwin lawsuit was filed in Superior Court for the State of California, Los Angeles County, and unsealed on October 16, 2012. The lawsuit asserted claims, including claims under the California False Claims Act, based on allegations regarding certain pricing practices under now expired agreements that were in place between 2001 and January 1, 2011, pursuant to which governmental agencies purchased office supplies from the Company. The plaintiffs sought monetary damages and other relief, including trebling of damages and statutory penalties. On June 25, 2014, the Company participated in a non-binding, voluntary mediation in which the Company negotiated a potential settlement to resolve the matter. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company recorded an $\$ 80$ million incremental increase to the legal accrual which included the potential settlement, as well as attorneys fees and other related legal matters. On December 19, 2014, Office Depot and the plaintiffs executed a Settlement Agreement to resolve the Sherwin lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company agreed to pay the Plaintiffs $\$ 68$ million to settle the matter (the Settlement Amount ), as well as $\$ 9$ million in legal fees, costs, and expenses. In exchange for, and in consideration of, the Company s agreement to pay the Settlement Amount, the plaintiffs agreed to dismiss their action against the Company with prejudice. In February 2015, the court entered orders approving the settlement and dismissing the case with prejudice. The Settlement Amount was subsequently placed in escrow pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. The funds are to be released from escrow and disbursed in accordance with the terms of the court $s$ orders.
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In addition to the foregoing, Heitzenrater v. OfficeMax North America, Inc., et al. was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York in September 2012 as a putative class action alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law. The complaint alleges that OfficeMax misclassified its assistant store managers ( ASMs ) as exempt employees. The Company believes that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses and such amounts are not material. However, in light of the early stage of the case and the inherent uncertainty of litigation, the Company is unable to estimate a reasonably possible range of loss in the matter. OfficeMax intends to vigorously defend itself in this lawsuit. Further, Kyle Rivet v. Office Depot, Inc., is pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges that Office Depot s use of the fluctuating workweek (FWW) method of pay was unlawful because Office Depot failed to pay a fixed weekly salary and failed to provide its ASMs with a clear and mutual understanding notification that they would receive a fixed weekly salary for all hours worked. The plaintiffs similarly seek unpaid overtime, punitive damages, and attorneys fees. The Company believes in this case that adequate provisions have been made for probable losses and such amounts are not material. However, in light of the early stage of the case and the inherent uncertainty of litigation, the Company is unable to estimate a reasonably possible range of loss in these matters. Office Depot intends to vigorously defend itself in these lawsuits.

OfficeMax is named a defendant in a number of lawsuits, claims, and proceedings arising out of the operation of certain paper and forest products assets prior to those assets being sold in 2004, for which OfficeMax agreed to retain responsibility. Also, as part of that sale, OfficeMax agreed to retain responsibility for all pending or threatened proceedings and future proceedings alleging asbestos-related injuries arising out of the operation of the paper and forest products assets prior to the closing of the sale. As of December 27, 2014, the Company s estimate of the range of reasonably possible losses for environmental liabilities was approximately $\$ 10$ million to $\$ 25$ million. The Company regularly monitors its estimated exposure to these liabilities. As additional information becomes known, these estimates may change. The Company has made provision for losses with respect to the pending proceedings. However, the Company does not believe any of these OfficeMax retained proceedings are material to the Company s business.

## NOTE 18. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION ON OPERATING, INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Additional supplemental information related to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows is as follows:

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash interest paid, net of amounts capitalized | $\mathbf{\$ 6 8}$ | $\$$ | 65 |
| Cash taxes paid (refunded) | $\mathbf{( 1 0 )}$ | 139 | 10 |
| Non-cash asset additions under capital leases | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 10 | 9 |
| Non-cash paid-in-kind dividends (refer to Note 11) | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ |
| Issuance of common stock associated with the Merger (refer to Note 2) |  | 23 |  |

## NOTE 19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has three reportable segments: North American Retail Division, North American Business Solutions Division, and International Division. The North American Retail Division includes retail stores in the United States, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, which offer office supplies, technology products and solutions, business machines and related supplies, facilities products, and office furniture. Most stores also have a copy and print center offering printing, reproduction, mailing and shipping. The North American Business Solutions Division sells office supply products and services in Canada and the United States, including Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. North American Business Solutions Division customers are

Table of Contents

## OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

served through dedicated sales forces, through catalogs, telesales, and electronically through its Internet sites. The International Division sells office products and services through direct mail catalogs, contract sales forces, Internet sites, and retail stores in Europe and Asia/Pacific.

Following the date of the Merger, the former OfficeMax U.S. Retail business is included in the North American Retail Division. The former OfficeMax United States and Canada Contract business is included in the North American Business Solutions Division. The former OfficeMax businesses in Australia and New Zealand are included in the International Division. Due to the sale of the Company s interest in Grupo OfficeMax in August 2014, the integration of this business into the International Division was suspended in the second quarter of 2014 and the joint venture s results have been removed from the International Division and reported as Other to align with how this information is presented for management reporting. The Company continues to assess how best to serve its customers as shopping preferences and market conditions evolve. Should organizational alignment and management reporting change in future periods in response to these factors, segment reporting in future periods could be impacted.

The office supply products and services offered across all operating segments are similar. The Company s three operating segments are the three reportable segments. The North American Retail Division and North American Business Solutions Division are managed separately, primarily because of the way customers are reached and served. The International Division is managed separately because of the geographical, operational and marketplace differences outside of North America. The accounting policies for each segment are the same as those described in Note 1. Division operating income is determined based on the measure of performance reported internally to manage the business and for resource allocation. This measure charges to the respective Divisions those expenses considered directly or closely related to their operations and allocates support costs. Certain operating expenses and credits are not allocated to the Divisions including Recovery of purchase price, Asset impairments, Merger, restructuring and other operating expenses, net, and Legal accrual, as well as expenses and credits retained at the Corporate level, including certain management costs and legacy pension and environmental matters. Other companies may charge more or less of these items to their segments and results may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other entities.
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A summary of significant accounts and balances by segment, reconciled to consolidated totals follows.


* Amounts included in Corporate, Eliminations, and Other consist of (i) assets (including all cash and cash equivalents) and depreciation related to corporate activities, (ii) accounts and balances associated with Grupo OfficeMax, and (ii) $\$ 377$ million of goodwill in December 28, 2013, which was allocated to reporting units in 2014.
A reconciliation of the measure of Division operating income to Income (loss) before income taxes follows.

| (In millions) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division operating income | $\mathbf{4 1 1}$ | $\$ 157$ | $\$ 170$ |
| Add/(subtract): | $\mathbf{8}$ | $(2)$ |  |
| Other operating income (loss) | $\mathbf{( 8 8 )}$ | $(70)$ | $(139)$ |
| Recovery of purchase price | $\mathbf{( 4 0 3 )}$ | $(201)$ | $(56)$ |
| Asset impairments | $\mathbf{( 8 1 )}$ |  |  |
| Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net |  |  |  |
| Legal accrual |  |  |  |

## Edgar Filing: OFFICE DEPOT INC - Form 10-K

| Unallocated expenses | (122) | $(89)$ | $(74)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 5 | 2 |
| Interest expense | $\mathbf{( 8 9 )}$ | $(69)$ | $(69)$ |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt |  | 382 | $(12)$ |
| Gain on disposition of joint venture |  | 14 | 35 |
| Other income (expense), net | $\mathbf{\$ ( 3 4 0 )}$ | $\$ 127$ | $\$(75)$ |

As of December 27, 2014, the Company sold to customers throughout North America, Europe, and Asia/Pacific. The Company operates through wholly-owned entities and participates in other ventures and alliances. There is
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no single country outside of the United States or single customer that accounts for $10 \%$ or more of the Company stal sales. Geographic financial information relating to the Company $s$ business is as follows (in millions).

|  | Sales |  |  | Property and Equipment, Net |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 |  | 013 |  | 12 |
| United States | \$ 12,132 | \$ 8,119 | \$ 7,671 | \$ 757 | \$ | 977 | \$ | 707 |
| International | 3,964 | 3,123 | 3,025 | 206 |  | 332 |  | 149 |
| Total | \$ 16,096 | \$ 11,242 | \$ 10,696 | \$ 963 | \$ | 1,309 | \$ | 856 |

The Company classifies products into three categories: (1) supplies, (2) technology, and (3) furniture and other. The supplies category includes products such as paper, binders, writing instruments, and school supplies. The technology category includes products such as desktop and laptop computers, monitors, tablets, printers, ink and toner, cables, software, digital cameras, telephones, and wireless communications products. The furniture and other category includes products such as desks, chairs, luggage, sales in the copy and print centers, and other miscellaneous items.

Total Company sales by product category were as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2013 | 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Supplies | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 \%}$ | $46.6 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| Technology | $\mathbf{3 8 . 0 \%}$ | $40.6 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| Furniture and other | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8 \%}$ | $12.8 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## NOTE 20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | First Quarter |  | Second Quarter |  | Third Quarter |  | Fourth Quarter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year Ended December 27, 2014* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ | 4,354 | \$ | 3,841 | \$ | 4,069 | \$ | 3,832 |
| Gross profit |  | 1,015 |  | 883 |  | 987 |  | 891 |
| Net income (loss) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (108) |  | (189) |  | 29 |  | (84) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (109) |  | (190) |  | 29 |  | (84) |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (109) |  | (190) |  | 29 |  | (84) |
| Net earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.21) | \$ | (0.36) | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | (0.15) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.21) | \$ | (0.36) | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | (0.15) |

[^3](1)
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In the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2014, captions include pre-tax Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses, net amounting to $\$ 101$ million, $\$ 103$ million, $\$ 72$ million and $\$ 128$ million, respectively and asset impairments of $\$ 50$ million, $\$ 22$ million, $\$ 6$ million and $\$ 11$ million, respectively. The second and third quarters of 2014 include $\$ 80$ million and $\$ 1$ million associated to Legal accrual, respectively.
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| (In millions, except per share amounts) | First Quarter |  | Second Quarter |  | Third Quarter <br> (2) |  | Fourth Quarter <br> (3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year Ended December 28, 2013* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$ | 2,718 | \$ | 2,419 | \$ | 2,619 | \$ | 3,486 |
| Gross profit |  | 660 |  | 546 |  | 633 |  | 787 |
| Net income (loss) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (7) |  | (54) |  | 161 |  | (121) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Office Depot, Inc. ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (7) |  | (54) |  | 161 |  | (121) |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (17) |  | (64) |  | 133 |  | (144) |
| Net earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.06) | \$ | (0.23) | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | (0.34) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.06) | \$ | (0.23) | \$ | 0.41 | \$ | (0.34) |

* Due to rounding, the sum of the quarterly earnings amounts may not equal the reported amounts for the year.
${ }^{(1)}$ In the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2013, captions include pre-tax Merger, restructuring, and other operating expenses amounting to $\$ 19$ million, $\$ 26$ million, $\$ 44$ million and $\$ 111$ million, respectively and asset impairments of $\$ 5$ million, $\$ 4$ million, $\$ 49$ million and $\$ 12$ million, respectively.
(2) Net income available to common stockholders includes an after-tax gain of approximately $\$ 235$ million resulting from the sale of Office Depot de Mexico and preferred stock dividends of \$22 million associated to redemption in July 2013.
${ }^{(3)}$ Net income available to common stockholders includes (i) impact of the Merger of $\$ 939$ million in Sales and $\$(39)$ million in Net income (loss); and (ii) preferred stock dividends of $\$ 23$ million associated to redemption in November 2013.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Office Depot, Inc.
Boca Raton, Florida

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Office Depot, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) as of December 27, 2014 and December 28, 2013, and for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended December 27, 2014, and the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 27, 2014, and have issued our reports thereon dated February 24, 2015; such consolidated financial statements and reports are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15(a)(2). This consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida

February 24, 2015
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## VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES

(In millions)

| Column A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Column } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\mathrm{C}}{\text { Column }}$ | Column D <br> Deductions | Column E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Write-offs, |  |  |
|  | Balance at |  | Additions |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Payments and |  |  |
|  | Beginning |  | Charged <br> to | Other | Bala | t End |
| Description | of |  | Expense | Adjustments |  |  |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | \$ | 26 | 8 | 16 | \$ | 18 |
| 2013 | \$ | 23 | 14 | 11 | \$ | 26 |
| 2012 | \$ | 20 | 15 | 12 | \$ | 23 |
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## Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.1 | Stock Purchase and Transaction Agreement by and among Office Depot, Inc., Office Depot Delaware Overseas Finance No. 1, LLC, Grupo Gigante S.A.B. de C.V. and Hospitalidad y Servicios Especializados Gigante, S.A. de C.V dated as of June 3, 2013 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July $15,2013)$. |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 4, 2015). |
| 3.2 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference from the respective annex to the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 1995 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed with the SEC on April 20, 1995). |
| 3.3 | Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 10, 1998). |
| 4.1 | Form of Certificate representing shares of Common Stock (Incorporated by reference from the respective exhibit to Office Depot, Inc. s Registration Statement No. 33-39473 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on March 15, 1991). |
| 4.2 | Indenture, dated as of March 14, 2012, relating to the $\$ 250$ million $9.75 \%$ Senior Secured Notes due 2019, among Office Depot, Inc., the Guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 15, 2012). |
| 4.3 | Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 22, 2013, between Office Depot, Inc., eDepot, LLC, the other Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, relating to the $9.75 \%$ Senior Notes due 2019 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2014). |
| 4.4 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 22, 2013, between Office Depot Inc., Mapleby Holdings Merger Corporation, OfficeMax Incorporated, OfficeMax Southern Company, OfficeMax Nevada Company, OfficeMax North America, Inc., Picabo Holdings, Inc., BizMart, Inc., BizMart (Texas), Inc., OfficeMax Corp., OMX, Inc., the other Guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, relating to the $9.75 \%$ Senior Notes due 2019 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2014). |
| 4.5 | Form of Notes representing \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 9.75\% Senior Secured Notes due March 15, 2019 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012). |
| $4.6{ }^{(2)}$ | Trust Indenture between Boise Cascade Corporation (now OfficeMax Incorporated) and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York, Trustee, dated October 1, 1985, as amended (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Registration Statement No. 33-5673 on Form S-3, filed with the SEC on May 13, 1986). |
| 4.7 | Indenture dated as of December 21, 2004 by and between OMX Timber Finance Investments I, LLC, as the Issuer and Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, N.A., as Trustee (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Registration Statement No. 333-162866 on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2009). |
| 4.8 | Installment Note for $\$ 559,500,000$ between Boise Land \& Timber, L.L.C. (Maker) and Boise Cascade Corporation (now OfficeMax Incorporated) (Initial Holder) dated October 29, 2004 (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 9, 2004). |
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Installment Note for $\$ 258,000,000$ between Boise Land \& Timber, L.L.C. (Maker) and Boise Southern Company (Initial Holder) dated October 29, 2004 (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 9, 2004).

Lease Agreement dated November 10, 2006, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Boca 54 North LLC (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 24, 2009).

First Amendment to Lease dated July 3, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Boca 54 North LLC (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 24, 2009).
10.8 Office Depot, Inc. Amended Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2010).*
10.12 Form of Waiver, dated as of March 30, 2011 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2011). Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on April 2, 2007).*

2008 Office Depot, Inc. Bonus Plan for Executive Management Employees (Incorporated by reference from the respective appendix to the Proxy Statement for Office Depot, Inc. s 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on March 13, 2008).*

Change of Control Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven M. Schmidt (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on July 28, 2009).*
Amendment to Employment Offer Letter Agreement, dated December 31, 2008, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven Schmidt (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 23, 2010).*

Employment Offer Letter Agreement, dated July 10, 2007, by and between Office Depot, Inc. and Steven Schmidt (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 23, 2010).*

Office Depot, Inc. Amended Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan, as revised and amended effective April 21, 2010 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 26, 2010).*

Form of Associate Non-Competition, Confidentiality and Non-Solicitation Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and certain executives (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2011).*

Form of Change in Control Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and certain executives (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 21, 2010).*

First Amendment to the Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot,

Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference from the respective appendix to the Proxy Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 25, 2011).*
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10.14 Form of Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 25, 2011, among Office Depot, Inc. and certain of its European subsidiaries as Borrowers, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and U.S. Collateral Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., London Branch, as European Administrative and European Collateral Agent, and the other lenders referred to therein (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on July 26, 2011).**
10.15 Letter Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Elisa D. Garcia dated May 15, 2007 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2012).*
10.16 Amendment to Letter Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Elisa D. Garcia effective December 31, 2008 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2012).*
10.17 Retention Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Elisa D. Garcia dated November 2, 2010 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2012).*

First Amendment, dated February 24, 2012, to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 25, 2011, among Office Depot, Inc. and certain of its European subsidiaries as Borrowers, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and U.S. Collateral Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., London Branch, as European Administrative and European Collateral Agent, and the other lenders referred to therein (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. $s$ Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2012).
10.19 Form of Restricted Stock Awards for Executives (time vested) (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012).*

Form of Restricted Stock Award for Executives (performance/time vested) (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2012).*

Financing Agreement by and between Office Depot BS and ABN AMRO Commercial Finance, dated September 24, 2012 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013).

Amendment No. 1 to Financing Agreement by and between Office Depot BS and ABN AMRO Commercial Finance, dated September 24, 2012 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013).

Letter Agreement between the Company and Stephen E. Hare (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 5, 2013).*

2013 Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement between the Company and Stephen E. Hare (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 5, 2013).*

2013 Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement between the Company and Stephen E. Hare (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 5, 2013).*

2013 Performance Share Award Agreement between the Company and Stephen E. Hare (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 5, 2013).*
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\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{cl}10.27 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Employment Agreement between the Company and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s } \\
\text { Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2013).* }\end{array} \\
10.28 & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2013 Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement between the Company and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by } \\
\text { reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2013).* }\end{array} \\
10.29 & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2013 Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement between the Company and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by reference from } \\
\text { Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2013).* }\end{array} \\
\text { 2013 Performance Share Award Agreement between the Company and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by reference from } \\
\text { Office Depot, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2013).* }\end{array}
$$\right\} \begin{array}{l}2003 OfficeMax Incentive and Performance Plan (amended and restated effective as of April 29, 2013) (Incorporated by <br>

reference to Appendix A to the Definitive Proxy Statement of OfficeMax filed with the SEC on March 19, 2013).*\end{array}\right\}\)| Amendment to the 2003 OfficeMax Incentive and Performance Plan dated November 6, 2013 (Incorporated by reference |
| :--- |
| from Office Depot, Inc. s Form S-8, filed with the SEC on November 8, 2013).* |
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10.40 Form of Third Amendment, dated as of November 5, 2013, to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 25, 2011, as amended by the First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of February 24, 2012 and the Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 4, 2013, among Office Depot, Inc., and certain of its European subsidiaries as Borrowers, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and U.S. Collateral Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., London Branch, as European Administrative and European Collateral Agent, and the other lenders referred to therein (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2014).
10.41 Paper Purchase Agreement dated June 25, 2011 between Boise White Paper, L.L.C. and OfficeMax Incorporated (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, filed with the SEC on October 24, 2011)..**
10.42 Retention Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Ms. Deborah O Connor dated March 21,2014 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2014).
10.43 Second Amendment to 2013 Performance Share Award Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2014).
10.44 Award Agreement for 2014 Cash-Settled Performance Award between Office Depot, Inc. and Roland C. Smith (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2014).
10.46 Form of 2014 Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Quarterly Report on Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2014).
10.47 Form of 2014 Performance Share Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2014).
10.48 Second Amendment to the Office Depot, Inc. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on May 6, 2014).

Letter Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Mark Cosby dated July 14, 2014 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 21, 2014).
10.50 Sign-On Bonus Agreement between Office Depot, Inc. and Mark Cosby dated July 14, 2014 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 21, 2014).

The Office Depot, Inc. Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective August 1, 2014 (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K. filed with the SEC on August 7, 2014).

Form of Notice of Selection for Participation in Executive Change in Control Severance Plan and Notice of Non-Renewal of Change in Control Agreement (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 7, 2014).
10.53 Form of Settlement Agreement (Incorporated by reference from Office Depot s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 23, 2014)
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10.54 Securityholders Agreement among Boise Cascade Corporation (now OfficeMax Incorporated), Forest Products Holdings, L.L.C., and Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., dated October 29, 2004 (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 9, 2004).
10.562003 Director Stock Compensation Plan, as amended through September 26, 2003 (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 2, 2004).*
10.57 Amendment to the OfficeMax Incorporated 2003 Director Stock Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2007).*

Form of 2007 Directors Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 1, 2007).*

Form of 2008 Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 29, 2008).*

Form of 2009 Nonqualified Stock Option Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 18, 2009).*

Form of 2009 Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 28, 2009).*

Form of 2010 Nonqualified Stock Option Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 16, 2010).*

Form of 2010 Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 3, 2010).*

Form of 2011 Nonqualified Stock Option Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 15, 2011).*

Form of 2011 Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 2, 2011).*
10.66 Form of 2012 Nonqualified Stock Option Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2012).*

Form of 2012 Performance-Based RSU Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2012).*

Form of 2012 Performance Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 22, 2012).*

Form of 2012 Director Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 31, 2012).*
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## Exhibit

Exhibit

| 10.70 | First Amendment to Paper Purchase Agreement dated June 20, 2013 between Boise White Paper, L.L.C. and OfficeMax <br> Incorporated (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC <br> on August 6, 2013).** |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10.71 | Fourth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C. (Incorporated by reference from <br> OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 4, 2013). |
| 10.72 | 2005 Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on <br> Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on December 15, 2004).* |
| $10.73^{(3)}$ | Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust, as amended for the Form of Sixth Amendment dated May 1, 2001 (Incorporated <br> by reference from OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 13, 2001).* |
| 10.74 | 2001 Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended through September 26, 2003 (Incorporated by reference <br> from OfficeMax Incorporated s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on March 2, 2004).* |
| 10.75 | Amendment to OfficeMax Incorporated 2005 Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (Incorporated by reference from |
| OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on November 6, 2008).* |  |

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
** Denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted in reliance on Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.
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${ }^{(1)}$ As noted herein, certain documents incorporated by reference in this Exhibit Index have been filed previously by Office Depot, Inc. with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Commission file number 1-10948 and certain documents have been filed previously by OfficeMax Incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Commission file number 1-5057.
(2) The Trust Indenture between Boise Cascade Corporation (now OfficeMax Incorporated) and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York, Trustee, dated October 1, 1985, as amended, was filed as exhibit 4 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Registration Statement on Form S-3 No. 33-5673, filed May 13, 1986. The Trust Indenture has been supplemented on seven occasions as follows: The First Supplemental Indenture, dated December 20, 1989, was filed as exhibit 4.2 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 No. 33-32584, filed December 20, 1989. The Second Supplemental Indenture, dated August 1, 1990, was filed as exhibit 4.1 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 10, 1990. The Third Supplemental Indenture, dated December 5, 2001, between Boise Cascade Corporation and BNY Western Trust Company, as trustee, to the Trust Indenture dated as of October 1, 1985, between Boise Cascade Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association (as successor in interest to Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York) was filed as exhibit 99.2 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8 -K filed on December 10, 2001. The Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated October 21, 2003, between Boise Cascade Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association was filed as exhibit 4.1 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 20, 2003. The Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated September 16, 2004, among Boise Cascade Corporation, U.S. Bank Trust National Association and BNY Western Trust Company was filed as exhibit 4.1 to OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2004. The Sixth Supplemental Indenture dated October 29, 2004, between OfficeMax Incorporated and U.S. Bank Trust National Association was filed as exhibit 4.1 to OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2004. The Seventh Supplemental Indenture, made as of December 22, 2004, between OfficeMax Incorporated and U.S. Bank Trust National Association was filed as exhibit 4.1 to OfficeMax Incorporated s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2004. Each of the documents referenced in this footnote is incorporated herein by reference.
${ }^{(3)}$ The Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust, as amended and restated as of December 13, 1996, was filed as exhibit 10.18 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996. Amendment No. 4, dated July 29, 1999, to the Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust was filed as exhibit 10.18 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999. Amendment No. 5, dated December 6, 2000, to the Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust was filed as exhibit 10.18 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000. Amendment No. 6, dated May 1, 2001, to the Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust was filed as exhibit 10 in OfficeMax Incorporated s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001. Each of the documents referenced in this footnote is incorporated herein by reference.


[^0]:    * Amounts include the OfficeMax sales since November 5, 2013.

[^1]:    (1) 38 of these stores relate to the termination of the Thailand license agreement.

[^2]:    (a) Amounts in parentheses indicate an increase to earnings.

[^3]:    * Due to rounding, the sum of the quarterly earnings amounts may not equal the reported amounts for the year.

[^4]:    Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves
    All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the information is included elsewhere herein.

