First Foundation Inc. Form S-1 April 18, 2014 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 18, 2014

Registration No. 333-

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

First Foundation Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California (State or other jurisdiction of

6022 (Primary Standard Industrial 20-8639702 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)
18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700

Identification Number)

Irvine, California 92612

(949) 202-4160

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Scott F. Kavanaugh

Chief Executive Officer

18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700

Irvine, California 92612

(949) 202-4160

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Ben A. Frydman, Esq.

Craig D. Miller, Esq.

Ryan C. Wilkins, Esq.

Jordan E. Hamburger, Esq.

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, P.C.

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP

660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600

One Embarcadero Center

Newport Beach, California 92660

San Francisco, California 94111

(949) 725-4000

(415) 291-7400

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration

statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company x

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Proposed

Maximum

Aggregate

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share

Amount of
Offering Price⁽¹⁾ Registration Fee⁽²⁾

\$58,000,000 7,471.00

- (1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and includes shares of our common stock that the underwriters have an option to purchase within 30 days of the date of this prospectus to cover overallotments.
- (2) Calculated pursuant to Rule 457(o) based on an estimate of the proposed maximum aggregate offering price.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to Completion

Preliminary Prospectus dated April 18, 2014

PRELIMINARY PROSPECTUS

[] Shares

Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the initial public offering of First Foundation Inc. We are offering [] shares of our common stock.

We are an emerging growth company as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 and, as a result, are eligible for certain reduced public company reporting and disclosure requirements, the implications of which to purchasers of our common stock are set forth in *About This Prospectus* on page ii.

See <u>Risk Factors</u>, beginning on page 12 of this prospectus, for a discussion of certain risks that you should consider before making a decision to purchase our common stock.

	Per Share	Total
Public offering price	\$[]	\$ []
Underwriting discount ⁽¹⁾	\$[]	\$ []
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$ []	\$[]

(1) See Underwriting for additional information regarding the underwriting discount and certain expenses payable to the underwriters by us.

We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional [] shares of our common stock, at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, within 30 days after the date of this prospectus, to cover

overallotments, if any.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission nor any other regulatory authority has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed on the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares of our common stock that you purchase in this offering will not be deposits, savings accounts or other obligations of any bank or nonbank subsidiaries and are not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other governmental agency.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares of our common stock against payment in New York, New York on or about [], 2014.

Sandler O Neill + Partners, L.P.

Keefe, Bruyette & Woods

A Stifel Company

Sterne Agee

The date of this prospectus is [], 2014.

Corporate Organization Chart

Asset Management

Equities, Fixed Income, Hedge Funds, Private Equities, Real Estate, Commodities

Wealth Planning

Lifestyle/Retirement, Estate and Gift Planning, Philanthropic Giving, Generational Wealth Transfer, Tax Planning, Risk Management

Family and Philanthropic Consulting

Consulting Services, Financial Competency Education, Life Skills Training, Foundation Services, Business Succession

Location of Wealth Management Offices

Banking

Personal and Business Loans, Residential and Commercial Real Estate Loans, Deposit Accounts, Cash Management Services

Trust

Trustee Services, Estate Settlement Services, Guardianship Services, Family Business Services, Nevada Trust Powers

TABLE OF CONTENTS

About this Prospectus	(ii)
Prospectus Summary	1
Risk Factors	12
Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	28
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	30
Dividend Policy	31
<u>Capitalization</u>	32
<u>Dilution</u>	34
<u>Business</u>	36
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	48
<u>Management</u>	80
Executive Compensation	89
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	94
Description of Capital Stock	96
Principal Shareholders	99
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	101
Supervision and Regulation	103
Certain Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences for Non-U.S. Holders of Common Stock	117
<u>Underwriting</u>	120
Legal Matters	124
<u>Experts</u>	124
Where You Can Find Additional Information	124
Index to Financial Statements	F_1

(i)

About this Prospectus

In considering whether to purchase shares of common stock in this offering, you should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus and any free writing prospectus we may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. We and the underwriters have not authorized anyone to provide any information different from that contained in this prospectus or in any free writing prospectuses we have prepared. We and the underwriters take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any other information that others may give you.

This prospectus is an offer to sell only the shares offered hereby, but only under circumstances and in jurisdictions where it is lawful to do so. The information contained in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or any sale of our common stock. Information contained on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus. Unless otherwise expressly stated or the context otherwise requires, all information in this prospectus assumes the underwriters have not exercised their overallotment option to purchase additional shares of our common stock.

Market Data

Market data used in this prospectus has been obtained from independent industry sources and publications as well as from research reports prepared for other purposes. Industry publications, surveys and reports generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. However, we have not independently verified the data obtained from these sources. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and uncertainties that apply to the other forward-looking statements that are described in this prospectus. In addition, while we are not aware of any misstatements regarding the market or industry data presented herein, such statements involve risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed under the heading *Risk Factors* beginning on page 12 of this prospectus.

Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company

As a company with less than \$1.0 billion in revenue during our last fiscal year, we qualify as an emerging growth company as defined in the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012 (or the JOBS Act). An emerging growth company may take advantage of specified reduced reporting requirements and is relieved of certain other significant requirements that are otherwise generally applicable to public companies. As an emerging growth company:

we are permitted to present only two years of audited financial statements and only two years of related Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;

we are exempt from the requirement to obtain an attestation and report from our auditors on the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;

we are permitted to provide less extensive disclosure about our executive compensation arrangements;

we are not required to give our shareholders non-binding advisory votes on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements; and

we may elect to use an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards generally applicable to public companies.

We may take advantage of these provisions for up to five years subsequent to the effective date of this prospectus or such earlier time that we are no longer an emerging growth company. We will cease to be an emerging growth company upon the earliest of (i) the last day of the first fiscal year in which our annual gross

(ii)

revenues exceed \$1 billion, (ii) December 31 of the fiscal year that we become a large accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, which would occur if the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the last business day of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter and we have been publicly reporting for at least 12 months, or (iii) the date on which we have issued more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt during the preceding three-year period.

We have taken advantage of reduced reporting requirements in this prospectus. Accordingly, the information contained herein may be different from the information you receive from our competitors that are public companies, or other public companies in which you hold stock.

Representations and Warranties

The representations, warranties and covenants made by us in any agreement that is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part were made solely for the benefit of the parties to such agreement, including, in some cases, for the purpose of allocating risk among the parties to such agreement, and should not be deemed to be a representation, warranty or covenant made to you or for your benefit. Moreover, such representations, warranties or covenants were accurate only as of the date they were made. Accordingly, such representations, warranties and covenants should not be relied on as accurately representing the current state of our affairs.

Trademarks

First Foundation Inc., First Foundation Advisors, First Foundation Bank, and First Foundation Insurance Services, their respective logos are trademarks and/or service marks of First Foundation Inc. and its subsidiaries. All other trademarks, service marks and trade names referred to in this prospectus are the property of their respective owners.

(iii)

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider before deciding whether to purchase shares of our common stock in this offering. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the sections entitled Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto, before making an investment decision. Unless we state otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to we, our, and us refer to First Foundation Inc., a California corporation, (or FFI or the Company) and its consolidated subsidiaries, First Foundation Advisors (or FFA) and First Foundation Bank (or FFB).

Overview

We are a California based financial services company that provides a comprehensive platform of personalized financial services to high net-worth individuals (that is, individuals with a net worth greater than \$1.0 million, exclusive of their primary residence) and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations. Our integrated platform provides investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services to effectively and efficiently meet the financial needs of our clients. We have also established a lending platform that offers loans to individuals and entities that own and operate multifamily residential and commercial real estate properties. In addition, we provide business banking products and services to small to moderate-sized businesses and professional firms, and consumer banking products and services to individuals and families who would not be considered high net-worth. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$2.80 billion of assets under management (or AUM), \$1.09 billion of total assets, \$949 million of loans and \$855 million of deposits. Our investment management, wealth planning, consulting, and trust services provide us with substantial, fee-based, recurring revenues, such that in 2013, our noninterest income was 36% of our total revenues.

Our strategy is focused on expanding our strong and stable client relationships by delivering high quality, coordinated investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services. We are able to maintain a client-focused approach by recruiting and retaining experienced and qualified staff, including highly qualified relationship managers, private bankers and financial planners.

We intend to continue to grow our business by (i) cross-selling our services among our wealth management and banking clients; (ii) obtaining new client referrals from existing clients, attorney and accountant referral sources and through referral agreements with asset custodial firms; (iii) marketing our services directly to prospective new clients; (iv) adding experienced relationship managers and private bankers who may have established client relationships that we can serve; (v) establishing de novo wealth management offices in select markets, both within and outside our existing market areas; and (vi) making opportunistic acquisitions of complementary businesses.

Our History and Growth

We commenced our operations in 1990 as a fee-based investment advisory company, with the philosophy of providing personalized investment advisory services primarily to high net-worth individuals and their families to assist them in meeting their financial goals. From 1990 to 2007, we grew our client base and added relationship managers and supporting staff. We also entered into referral agreements with asset custodial firms from which we derived a significant portion of our new clients. As a result of this growth, our assets under management exceeded \$1.2 billion and we had total staff of 23 as of June 30, 2007.

1

In 2005 and 2006, we experienced increased competition from other companies offering a more comprehensive platform of services to their clients, including banking, investment advisory and wealth planning services. In response to this competition, we considered various strategic options, including selling our business to a larger financial institution, expanding our services or continuing on with our then-current limited offerings. In late 2006, we decided to establish a federally insured bank to expand the financial services we could provide to our clients to include trust and banking services, and to attract private banking clients to whom we could cross-sell our investment advisory and wealth planning services. As a result, we retained experienced banking executives to prepare and file an application to open a bank, and in September 2007, FFB was granted an insured federal savings bank charter and commenced its banking operations in the subsequent month. During the fourth quarter of 2007, we moved our corporate operations to our existing location and opened our first wealth management office in Irvine, California. We refer to each of our offices as a wealth management office since we offer a full suite of financial and investment advisory services at all locations.

In early 2008, we expanded our wealth planning and consulting offerings to include foundation administration and consulting services and family consulting services. While the trust and banking operations began to grow in 2008 and 2009, all of our operations were negatively impacted by the economic recession which began in 2008. As a result of the recession, FFB focused its lending efforts on lower risk and lower yielding products, such as multifamily lending. Our AUM at FFA decreased by over 20% between September 2007 and March 2009, resulting in decreased revenues. However, we believe that because of our strong capital position and our conservative approach to underwriting our loans, FFB did not experience the significant difficulties that most other banks faced, and we were allowed to continue to grow our business in line with our business plan. During this time, we took advantage of the opportunities to hire highly qualified relationship managers, private bankers and investment professionals that existed as a result of the turmoil being experienced by many of our competitors. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$1.29 billion of AUM, \$201 million of loans, \$182 million of deposits, \$239 million of total assets and our staff totaled 66.

From 2010 through 2012, we continued to focus on expanding our client base. Over this period, we opened new wealth management offices in Pasadena and San Diego and a small branch office in La Quinta, California. Effective June 28, 2012, we converted FFB from a federal savings bank to a California state chartered bank. On August 15, 2012 we acquired Desert Commercial Bank (or DCB), a California state-chartered commercial bank, based in Palm Desert, California, and merged DCB into FFB. As a result of that acquisition, we increased our total assets by \$140 million, our loans by \$90 million, and our deposits by \$127 million, and we acquired our two wealth management offices in Palm Desert and in El Centro. Our small branch office in La Quinta was merged into the Palm Desert office. Subsequent to the acquisition of DCB in 2012, and during 2013, we opened new wealth management offices in West Los Angeles and in Las Vegas, Nevada, and completed the integration of DCB into our operations. Currently, we conduct our business from our corporate offices located in Irvine, California, and from seven wealth management offices, six of which are located in Southern California and one of which is located in Las Vegas, Nevada. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$2.80 billion of AUM, \$949 million of loans, \$855 million of deposits and \$1.09 billion of total assets and our staff totaled 194.

We believe that as a result of our client-focused approach, and our ability to offer a comprehensive platform of personalized financial services to our clients, we have been able to retain existing clients and attract new clients. This has resulted in significant growth during the four year period that ended December 31, 2013, which is demonstrated by the following financial metrics:

AUM increased at a compound annual growth rate of 19.2%;

Loans increased at a compound annual growth rate of 45.6%;

Deposits increased at a compound annual growth rate of 44.9%;

Total assets increased at a compound annual growth rate of 44.4%;

2

Trust AUM increased at a compound annual growth rate of 43.0%; and

Total revenues increased at a compound annual growth rate of 38.9%.

To achieve this growth, we have raised additional capital to support the growth of FFB and made significant investments in our staff. The number of relationship managers and private bankers has increased from 18 at December 31, 2009 to 38 at March 31, 2014. As it typically takes two to three years to break even on the investment in a relationship manager or private banker, we are in a position to benefit from these investments in the coming years as the revenues generated from these relationship managers and private bankers begins to exceed the related costs. However, these investments in relationship managers and private bankers have, and over the next few years, will continue to result in higher costs in relation to our revenues.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we are well-positioned to create additional value for our shareholders, particularly as a result of our competitive strengths, which include:

Breadth of our Services. As a result of our ability to provide investment management, wealth planning, and consulting services, in addition to traditional banking services, we believe that we offer a more comprehensive range of financial services than do most banks of comparable size in our market areas. Additionally, we believe that few banks of comparable size in our market currently provide trust services, which can provide us with a competitive advantage over those banks, as well as a source of additional fee income.

Executive Management Team with Demonstrated Ability to Grow. Our executive management team, which has been together since 2007, has proven its ability to effectively lead us and has demonstrated an ability to grow our operations, as evidenced by the over 40% compound annual growth rate in loans, deposits and total assets from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013, and the 19% compound annual growth rate in AUM from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013.

Experienced and Collaborative Wealth Management and Banking Professionals. We believe we have built a strong team of relationship managers and private bankers who have, on average, 24 years of industry experience. Moreover, our relationship managers and private bankers work collaboratively to coordinate the investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking services that we provide to our clients and have developed considerable experience in cross-selling our services.

Commitment to High Quality and Personalized Services. We believe that our growth is largely attributable to our commitment and success in providing high quality, coordinated and personalized financial services to our clients. Our client-focused culture has resulted in the majority of our new clients coming to us from referrals from existing clients, centers of influence, including attorneys and accountants, and from unaffiliated asset custodial firms with which we have entered into non-exclusive referral arrangements. Our team oriented approach provides our clients with multiple points of contact and resources to address their various financial needs. Our fee-based investment management services allow us to make unbiased decisions regarding our clients investments, as we are not motivated by higher fees in our selection of investment alternatives, and our fee structure does not reward us for making higher risk investments that may adversely impact our clients during a downturn in the markets.

Stable and Scalable Platform. We have built a scalable corporate and administrative infrastructure, and have made significant investments in technology for our banking and investment management processes. In addition to our relationship managers and private bankers, we have in place highly qualified executives, senior managers, and

investment professionals who we believe are capable of supporting our continued growth. The

3

nature of our business requires significant investments in operational and administrative functions. By growing our interest-earning assets and AUM, we are able to spread this fixed cost over a larger revenue base. To achieve increased profitability, we believe that it is essential for us to build our operations to a level that allows us to provide our investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services in a cost effective manner.

Strong Credit Culture. We have implemented policies and procedures for credit underwriting and administration which have enabled us to maintain strong asset quality and at the same time grow our banking business, despite the continuing economic uncertainties and the sluggishness of the economic recovery in the United States. Our ratio of nonperforming assets (or NPAs) to total assets was 0.55% at March 31, 2014 and the ratio of net loan charge-offs-to average loans outstanding has averaged 0.07% for the three year period ended December 31, 2013.

Successful Multifamily and Commercial Real Estate Lending Platform. We have created a multifamily and commercial real estate lending platform that has resulted in the origination of over \$700 million of loans since the beginning of 2009. We believe that this platform has enabled us to add interest-earning assets with minimal adverse credit results. Since FFB commenced its operations in 2007, we have not experienced any chargeoffs on loans that were originated through this platform and secured by multifamily and commercial real estate properties. As of March 31, 2014, multifamily and commercial real estate loans originated on this platform represented 56.9% of our total loans outstanding.

Located in Strategic Markets. Our wealth management offices are located in areas that either have a high concentration of high net-worth households or are in areas where we believe the competition from other companies that provide a comprehensive platform of financial services to high net-worth individuals is limited. In addition, our wealth management office in Las Vegas offers Nevada trust powers which can offer significant benefits to high net-worth individuals and their families.

Retention of Clients. We believe that because of our client-focused culture, we have experienced a high level of retention of our clients. As an example, during the five year period ending December 31, 2013, the weighted average amount of AUM withdrawn each year as a result of client terminations as a percentage of the beginning of that year s AUM balance was less than 5%.

Diverse Revenue Base. We have a diverse revenue base as a result of our comprehensive platform of services. For 2013 our total revenue was comprised of net interest income (64%), investment management fees (28%), trust fees (3%), deposit and other banking fees (3%) and consulting fees (2%).

Strong Deposit Base. An important driver of our financial performance has been, and we believe will continue to be, the growth and stability of our deposit base, which we use to fund our loans and other interest-earning assets. In addition to the increase in the amount of our deposits, which have grown at a compound annual rate of 44.9% from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013, we have been able to increase the proportion of more stable demand deposits, which represented 53% of our total deposits at March 31, 2014 as compared to 12% at December 31, 2009.

Our Strategy

Grow Our Business Organically. In growing our business organically we intend to focus on adding experienced, high quality relationship managers and private bankers at both our existing wealth management offices and at new wealth management offices we may open in selected market areas, both within and outside of California, with demographics similar to those in our existing markets. Because a significant portion of our new business comes from referral sources, we plan to continue to work to obtain referrals from our clients, from

4

centers of influence, including accountants and attorneys, and from loan brokers, and we will maintain referral agreements with unaffiliated asset custodial firms. We intend to continue our practice of establishing and maintaining advisory boards in each of our markets to provide client referrals.

Continue Cross-Selling our Services and Expand the Services We Offer Our Clients. We intend to continue to cross-sell our investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services to our existing and future clients. Our compensation structures encourage a team approach to client service and reward relationship managers and private bankers for facilitating the cross selling of services to clients. We believe that our service-oriented culture and high quality client service will continue to enable us to strengthen and expand our client relationships and will foster continued growth in the services and products we offer them and, thereby, enabling us to increase and diversify our sources of revenues.

Grow our Business through Acquisitions. We intend to make opportunistic acquisitions that we believe will enable us to grow our franchise geographically. While we believe that banks provide the most likely opportunities for acquisition, we will also consider acquisitions of investment management firms and insurance brokerage firms. We believe that our experience with previous transactions makes us qualified to acquire other institutions and integrate their operations into our platform. In addition to providing us increased interest-earning assets and deposits, bank acquisitions would allow us to cross sell our investment management, wealth planning, consulting and trust services to clients acquired in the acquisition as well as new clients situated in the related geographic area. Although we do not have any immediate plans, arrangements or understandings related to any material acquisitions, we believe that the completion of this offering will further enhance our ability to compete for acquisition opportunities by providing available equity to invest and enabling us to offer publicly-traded shares of our common stock to the shareholders of prospective acquisition candidates.

Provide High Quality Client Services. We believe that stable long-term growth and profitability are the result of building strong client relationships, one at a time, and providing high quality, coordinated financial services. Our relationship managers and private bankers strive to build long-term relationships with our clients by understanding their financial needs and identifying and delivering appropriate and coordinated financial services to them. We believe that our service-oriented culture and high quality client service differentiates us from many of our competitors.

Attract and Retain High Quality Service Professionals. Having successful and high quality service professionals is critical to driving the development of our business and delivering high quality financial services. We have experienced low turnover in our client service personnel and intend to continue hiring and developing professionals who can establish and maintain long-term customer relationships which are the key to our culture, business and growth. We also believe that our business model, culture and scalable platform enable us to attract and retain high quality relationship managers and private bankers who share our work ethic and our commitment to providing personalized and client-focused financial services.

Our Management Team

We believe that our management team, led by Scott Kavanaugh, our Chief Executive Officer, Ulrich (Rick) Keller, Jr., our Executive Chairman of the Board, John Hakopian, President of FFA, Dave Rahn, President of FFB and John Michel, our Chief Financial Officer have the breadth of experience necessary to effectively execute our business strategy.

Our Market

Because our primary target market is high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations, we have located our offices in areas we believe offer us the best opportunity to

locate and serve this client base. The Los Angeles-Long Beach Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA), which includes Orange County, has over 250,000 high net-worth households (defined as those with \$1.0 million or more of investable assets), making it the largest high net-worth market in the Western United States. As of December 31, 2013, 68% of our AUM was from clients located in the in Los Angeles-Long Beach CBSA. Our wealth management offices are located in or adjacent to four of the top 12 markets in the Western United States as ranked by estimated number of high net-worth households. Listed below are the largest core-based statistical areas by estimated number of high net worth households in the Western United States as of December 31, 2013, according to data obtained from Phoenix Marketing International s Global Wealth Monitor:

Statistical Areas in		Estimated Number of High Net Worth	Wealth Management
Rank	Western United States (1)	Households	Office in Area
1	Los Angeles-Long Beach (CA)	252,000	Irvine, Pasadena,
			West Los Angeles
2	San Francisco-Oakland (CA)	128,000	
3	Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue (WA)	90,000	
4	Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale (AZ)	72,000	
5	Riverside (CA)	70,000	Palm Desert
6	San Diego-Carlsbad (CA)	69,000	San Diego
7	Denver-Aurora-Broomfield (CO)	63,000	
8	San Jose-Sunnyvale (CA)	52,000	
9	Sacramento Arden (CA)	48,000	
10	Portland-Vancouver (OR-WA)	46,000	
11	Las Vegas-Paradise (NV)	31,000	Las Vegas
12	Honolulu (HI)	25,000	

(1) The Western United States is defined as Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming.

In addition to offering our comprehensive platform of personalized financial services primarily to high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations, we have established a lending platform that offers loans to individuals and entities that own and operate multifamily residential properties and commercial real estate properties. The underlying properties which secure these loans are primarily located in communities throughout California that have a significant population base and are already built out. The following is a breakdown of the location of our multifamily and commercial real estate loans by county as of December 31, 2013:

(dollars in thousands)	A	Amount	% of Total
California Counties:			
Los Angeles	\$	325,738	58.0%
Orange		51,366	9.2%
Riverside		48,460	8.6%

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

San Diego	38,163	6.8%
San Francisco	34,781	6.2%
Other counties Northern California	30,198	5.4%
Other counties Southern California	18,226	3.3%
Nevada all counties	2,305	0.4%
Other states	11,935	2.1%
Total	\$ 561,172	100.0%

Our Challenges

As with all financial institutions, we face many challenges. There are a number of risks that you should consider before investing in our common stock. These risks are discussed more fully in the section entitled *Risk Factors*, beginning on page 12.

Additional Information

We were incorporated in California in 2006 to become the parent holding company of First Foundation Advisors and First Foundation Bank. We are registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Our principal executive office is located at 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700, Irvine, California 92612, where our telephone number is (949) 202-4160. Our website address is www.ff-inc.com. The information contained on our website is not a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this prospectus.

7

THE OFFERING

Common stock offered by us	[] shares
Underwriters overallotment option	[] shares.
Shares of common stock offered as a percentage of our outstanding shares of common stock	[]%, assuming the underwriters do not exercise their overallotment option.
Shares of common stock outstanding after completion of this offering	[] shares, assuming the underwriters do not exercise their overallotment option. ⁽¹⁾
Shares of common stock owned by our directors and executive officers	Our directors and executive officers own, in the aggregate, a total of [] shares of our common stock, which is not expected to materially change as a result of this offering. Each of our directors and executive officers has entered into a lock-up agreement agreeing not to sell any of their shares for 180 days after completion of this offering, subject to certain exceptions. For additional information, see Underwriting .
Use of proceeds	The net proceeds from the sale of our common stock in this offering, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and offering expenses, will be approximately \$[
	To repay a term loan obtained from an unaffiliated bank, the aggregate principal amount of which was \$21.9 million at March 31, 2014. We used \$17.5 million of the net proceeds of that loan for to make capital contributions to FFB to fund the continued growth of our banking business.
	To support our organic growth, including the addition of new wealth management offices.
	To fund possible acquisitions that we believe are complementary to

Table of Contents 25

our business and provide attractive risk-adjusted returns, although we

do not have any immediate plans, arrangements or understandings relating to any material acquisition.

For other general corporate purposes, which may include the hiring of additional personnel and the payment of costs associated with operating as a public company.

For additional information, see Use of Proceeds.

Dividend policy

We have not previously paid cash dividends on our common stock. It is our current intention to invest our cash flow and earnings in the

8

growth of our businesses and, therefore, we have no plans to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future. Investors should not purchase our common stock with the expectation of receiving cash dividends.

For additional information, see Dividend Policy.

Rank Our common stock may be subordinate to any new series of preferred

stock that we may issue in the future. However, we have no current plans

to issue any preferred stock.

Proposed Nasdaq Global Market Symbol We intend to apply to list our common stock on the Nasdaq Global

Market under the trading symbol FFWM.

Risk factors Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors,

beginning on page 12, for a discussion of certain factors that you should carefully consider before making an investment decision. In addition, see

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

(1) References in this section to the number of shares of our common stock outstanding after this offering are based on 7,733,514 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2014. Unless otherwise noted, these references exclude:

1,497,092 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of outstanding options to purchase shares of common stock under the First Foundation Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and the First Foundation Inc. 2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan (or collectively, the Stock Incentive Plans) as of March 31, 2014, at a weighted average exercise price of \$12.62 per share (of which options to acquire 1,263,789 shares of our common stock were vested as of March 31, 2014);

296,345 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plans; and

Up to an additional 270,000 shares of our common stock which may become issuable to the former shareholders of DCB. The number of these shares that will become issuable is dependent on the performance, over the two year period ending August 15, 2014, of certain assets we acquired as part of our acquisition of DCB in August 2012. However, based on the performance of those assets through March 31, 2014, we believe it is unlikely that any of these shares will become issuable.

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

With the exception of the certain items included in the selected performance and capital ratios, the following selected consolidated financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this prospectus, and the selected consolidated financial information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not appearing in this prospectus.

You should read the following selected financial and operating data in conjunction with other information contained in this prospectus, including the information set forth in the sections entitled Capitalization and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. The average balances used in computing certain ratios, have been computed using daily averages, except for average equity, which is computed using the average of beginning and end of month balances. Our historical results as set forth below are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in any future period. In addition, as described elsewhere in this prospectus, on August 15, 2012 we consummated the acquisition of DCB. The results of operations and other financial data of DCB for all periods prior to the date of its acquisition are not included in the table below and, therefore, our results and other financial data for these prior periods are not comparable in all respects to those for the periods subsequent to that acquisition. In addition, the income statement data set forth below may not be predictive of our future operating results.

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31,				As of and for the Quarter Ended March 31				
(In thousands, except share and per share data)		2013		2012(1)	2011		2014		2013
Selected Income Statement Data:									
Net interest income	\$	35,674	\$	27,729	\$ 20,141	\$	9,750	\$	8,192
Provision for loan losses		2,395		2,065	2,297	\$	235		622
Noninterest Income:									
Asset management, consulting									
and other fees		18,240		15,326	13,211		5,039		4,286
Other ⁽²⁾		1,584		1,294	4,489		512		247
Noninterest expense		43,622		34,476	26,446		12,546		10,396
Income before taxes		9,481		7,808	9,098		2,520		1,707
Net income		7,851		5,801	9,098		1,462		1,058
Share and Per Share Data:									
Net income per share:									
Basic	\$	1.06	\$	0.88	\$ 1.48	\$	0.19	\$	0.14
Diluted		1.01		0.85	1.42		0.18		0.14
Shares used in computation:									
Basic		7,424,210		6,603,533	6,164,283		7,733,514		7,376,988
Diluted		7,742,215		6,831,955	6,393,713		8,093,777		7,613,234
	\$	11.18	\$	9.94	\$ 7.98	\$	11.46	\$	10.15

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Tangible book value per share ⁽³⁾					
Shares outstanding at end of					
period ⁽⁴⁾	7,733,514	7,366,126	6,166,574	7,733,514	7,409,860
Selected Balance Sheet					
Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,954	\$ 63,108	\$ 10,098	\$ 50,287	\$ 42,443
Loans, net of deferred fees	903,645	743,627	524,103	948,844	785,760
Allowance for loan and lease					
losses (ALLL)	(9,915)	(8,340)	(6,550)	(10,150)	(8,210)
Total assets	1,037,360	830,509	551,584	1,090,348	869,830
Noninterest-bearing deposits	217,782	131,827	66,383	225,473	181,251
Interest-bearing deposits	584,255	517,914	340,443	629,211	523,577
Borrowing - FHLB Advances	134,000	100,000	91,000	119,000	85,000
Borrowings term note	7,063	-	-	21,875	-
Shareholders equit(y)	86,762	73,580	49,197	88,841	75,499

(In thousands, except share and per	As of and for	the Year Ended	As of and for the Quarter Ended March 31			
share data)	2013	$2012^{(1)}$	2011	2014	2013	
Selected Performance and Capital Ratios:						
Return on average assets-annualized	0.86%	0.80%	1.91%	0.55%	0.51%	
Return on average equity-annualized	10.2%	9.9%	20.7%	6.6%	5.7%	
Net yield on interest-earning assets	4.04%	4.20%	4.43%	3.76%	4.13%	
Efficiency ratio ⁽⁵⁾ Noninterest income as a % of	78.6%	77.7%	77.4%	82.0%	81.7%	
total revenues Tangible common equity to	35.7%	37.5%	46.8%	36.3%	35.6%	
tangible assets ⁽³⁾ Tier 1 leverage ratio	8.34% 8.67%	8.82% 9.19%	8.92% 8.92%	8.13% 8.22%	8.65% 9.19%	
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	13.04%	13.60%	13.54%	12.40%	13.12%	
Total risk-based capital ratio Other Information:	14.30%	14.85%	14.80%	13.65%	14.37%	
Assets under management (end of period)	\$ 2,594,961	\$ 2,229,116	\$ 1,827,436	\$ 2,797,562	2,372,056	
NPAs to total assets Charge-offs to average loans	0.32% 0.10%	0.17% 0.04%	0.00% 0.05%	0.55% 0.00%	0.41% 0.40%	
Ratio of ALLL to loans ⁽⁶⁾ Number of wealth	1.16%	1.25%	1.25%	1.08%	1.15%	
management offices	7	6	4	7	6	

- (1) Includes the results of operations of DCB for the period from the date of its acquisition on August 15, 2012 to December 31, 2012.
- (2) 2011 amount includes a \$3.7 million gain on the sale of other real estate owned.
- (3) Tangible common equity, (also referred to as tangible book value) and tangible assets, are equal to common equity and assets, respectively, less \$0.2 million of intangible assets as of March 31, 2014 or less \$0.3 million of intangible assets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2011, we did not have any intangible assets.
- (4) In December 2013, we sold and issued 318,987 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$18 per share, in a private offering. We sold a total of 413,172 shares in a private offering, at a price of \$15 per share, of which 374,438 were sold and issued in 2012 and 38,734 shares were sold and issued in 2013. Effective August 15,

2012, we issued a total of 815,447 shares of our common stock, valued at \$15.00 per share, to the former DCB shareholders in our acquisition of DCB in exchange for all of the outstanding shares of DCB.

- (5) The efficiency ratio is the ratio of noninterest expense to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.
- (6) This ratio excludes loans acquired in our acquisition of DCB, as generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP, requires estimated credit losses for acquired loans to be recorded as discounts to those loans.

11

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves significant risks. You should consider carefully the risks described below, together with all of the other information included in this prospectus, before investing in our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could suffer. In that case, the trading price of our common stock may decline and you might lose all or part of your investment. Certain statements below are forward-looking statements. See the section entitled Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 28 of this prospectus.

Risks Affecting our Business

We could incur losses on the loans we make.

Loan defaults and the incurrence of losses on loans are inherent risks in our business. The incurrence of loan losses necessitate loan charge-offs and write-downs in the carrying values of a bank s assets and, therefore, can adversely affect a bank s results of operations and financial condition. As a result, our results of operations will be directly affected by the volume and timing of loan losses, which for a number of reasons can vary from period to period. The risks of loan losses are exacerbated by economic recessions and downturns, as evidenced by the substantial magnitude of the loan losses which many banks incurred as a result of the economic recession that commenced in 2008 and continued into 2010, or by other events that can lead to local or regional business downturns. Although an economic recovery in the U.S. has begun, unemployment remains high and there continues to be uncertainties about the strength and sustainability of the recovery. If the economic recovery were to remain weak or economic conditions were again to deteriorate, our borrowers may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans and loan charge-offs and asset write-downs could increase, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If our allowance for loan and lease losses is not adequate to cover actual or estimated future loan losses, our earnings may decline.

On a quarterly basis we conduct various analyses to estimate the losses and risks inherent in our loan portfolio. However, this evaluation requires us to make a number of estimates and judgments regarding the financial condition of our borrowers, the fair value of the properties collateralizing the loans we have made to them and economic trends that could affect the ability of borrowers to meet their loan payment obligations to us and our ability to offset or mitigate loan losses by foreclosing and reselling the real properties collateralizing many of those loans. Based on those estimates and judgments, we make determinations, which are necessarily subjective, with respect to the adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses, or ALLL, and the extent to which it is necessary to increase our ALLL by making additional provisions for loan and lease losses through a charge to income. Inaccurate management assumptions, deterioration of economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of our control, may require us to increase our ALLL. In addition, our regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our loan portfolio and the adequacy of our ALLL and may require adjustments based on judgments different than those of management. Further, if actual charge-offs in future periods exceed the amounts allocated to the ALLL, we may need additional provision for loan losses to restore the adequacy of our ALLL. If we are required to materially increase our level of ALLL for any reason, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

Adverse changes in economic conditions in Southern California could disproportionately harm us.

The substantial majority of our clients and the properties securing a large proportion of the loans we have made and will continue to make are located in Southern California, where foreclosure rates and unemployment

12

have remained high relative to most other regions of the country. For example, the foreclosure rate in Los Angeles County as of March 2014 was 0.10% as compared to the national average of 0.08% and the unemployment rate in Los Angeles County as of February 2014 was 8.9% as compared to the national average of 6.7%. A downturn in economic conditions, or even the continued weakness of the economic recovery in California, or the occurrence of natural disasters, such as earthquakes or fires, which are more common in Southern California than in other parts of the country, could harm our business by:

reducing loan demand which, in turn, would lead to reductions in our net interest margins and net interest income;

adversely affecting the financial capability of borrowers to meet their loan obligations to us, which could result in increases in loan losses and require us to make additional provisions for possible loan losses, thereby adversely affecting our operating results or causing us to incur losses in the future; and

causing reductions in real property values that, due to our reliance on real properties to collateralize many of our loans, could make it more difficult for us to prevent losses from being incurred on nonperforming loans through the foreclosure and sale of those real properties.

Adverse changes in economic and market conditions, and changes in government regulations and government monetary policies could materially and negatively affect our business and results of operations.

Our business and results of operations are directly affected by factors such as political, economic and market conditions, broad trends in industry and finance, legislative and regulatory changes, changes in government monetary and fiscal policies and inflation, all of which are beyond our control. Deterioration in economic conditions, whether caused by global, national, regional or local concerns or problems, or a further downgrade in the United States debt rating, could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects:

a deterioration in the credit quality of our banking clients;
an increase in loan delinquencies and losses;
an increase in problem assets and foreclosures;
declines in the values of real properties collateralizing the loans we make;

the need to increase our ALLL;

fluctuations in the value of, or impairment losses which may be incurred with respect to, FFB s investment securities;

decreases in the demand for our products and services;

increases in competition for low cost or non-interest bearing deposits; and

decreases in the investment management and advisory fees we generate.

Changes in interest rates could reduce our net interest margin and net interest income.

Income and cash flows from our banking operations depend to a great extent on the difference or spread between the interest we earn on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and the interest rates we pay on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions, the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board, and competition from other banks and financial institutions. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, will influence the origination and market value of and our yields on loans and investment securities and the interest we pay on deposits and on our borrowings. If we are unable to adjust our interest rates on loans and deposits on a timely basis in response to such changes in economic conditions or monetary policies, our earnings could be adversely affected. In addition, if the rates of interest we pay on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities increase faster than we are able to increase the rates of

13

interest we charge on loans or the yields we realize on investments and other interest-earning assets, our net interest income and, therefore, our earnings will decrease. Rising interest rates also generally result in a reduction in loan originations, declines in loan repayment rates and reductions in the ability of borrowers to repay their current loan obligations, which could result in increased loan defaults and charge-offs and could require increases to our ALLL. Additionally, we could be prevented from increasing the interest rates we charge on loans or from reducing the interest rates we offer on deposits due to price competition from other banks and financial institutions with which we compete. Conversely, in a declining interest rate environment, our earnings could be adversely affected if the interest rates we are able to charge on loans or other investments decline more quickly than those we pay on deposits and borrowings.

Residential real estate loans represent a high percentage of the loans we make, making our results of operations vulnerable to downturns in the real estate market.

At March 31, 2014, loans secured by multifamily and single family residences represented 70% of FFB s outstanding loans. The repayment of residential real estate loans is highly dependent on the market values of the real properties that collateralize these loans and on the ability of the borrowers to meet their loan repayment obligations to us, which can be adversely affected by economic downturns that lead to increases in unemployment, or by rising interest rates which can increase the amount of the interest borrowers are required to pay on their loans. As a result, our operating results are more vulnerable to adverse changes in the real estate market than other financial institutions with more diversified loan portfolios and we could incur losses in the event of changes in economic conditions that disproportionately affect the real estate markets.

Liquidity risk could adversely affect our ability to fund operations and hurt our financial condition.

Liquidity is essential to our banking business, as we use cash to make loans and purchase investment securities and other interest-earning assets and to fund deposit withdrawals that occur in the ordinary course of our business. Our principal sources of liquidity include earnings, deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank (or FHLB) borrowings, sales of loans or investment securities held for sale, and repayments by clients of loans we have made to them, and capital contributions that we may make to FFB with proceeds from sales of our common stock or from borrowings that we may incur. If the ability to obtain funds from these sources becomes limited or the costs of those funds increase, whether due to factors that affect us specifically, including our financial performance, or due to factors that affect the financial services industry in general, including weakening economic conditions or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole, then our ability to grow our banking and investment advisory and wealth management businesses would be harmed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our 5 largest deposit clients account for 33% of our total deposits.

As of March 31, 2014, our 5 largest bank depositors accounted for, in the aggregate, 33% of our total deposits. As a result, a material decrease in the volume of those deposits by a relatively small number of our depositors could reduce our liquidity, in which event it could became necessary for us to replace those deposits with higher-cost deposits, lower-yielding securities or FHLB borrowings, which would adversely affect our net interest income and, therefore, our results of operations.

Although we plan to grow our business by acquiring other banks, there is no assurance that we will succeed in doing so.

One of the key elements of our business plan is to grow our banking franchise and increase our market share, and for that reason, we intend to take advantage of opportunities to acquire other banks. However, there is no assurance that we will succeed in doing so. Our ability to execute on our strategy to acquire other banks may require us to raise additional capital and to increase FFB s capital position to support the growth of our banking

14

franchise, and will also depend on market conditions, over which we have no control. Moreover, any bank acquisitions will require the approval of our bank regulators and there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain such approvals on acceptable terms, if at all.

Expansion of our banking franchise may not increase our profitability and may adversely affect our future operating results.

Since we commenced our banking business in October 2007, we have grown our banking franchise by establishing three new wealth management offices in Southern California and one in Las Vegas, Nevada and acquiring two new offices in Palm Desert and El Centro, California as part of our acquisition of Desert Commercial Bank, or DCB. We plan to continue to grow our banking franchise both organically and through potential acquisitions of other banks. However, the implementation of our growth strategy will pose a number of risks, including:

the risk that any newly established wealth management offices will not generate revenues in amounts sufficient to cover the start-up costs of those offices, which would reduce our income or possibly cause us to incur operating losses;

the risk that any bank acquisitions we might consummate in the future will prove not to be accretive to or may reduce our earnings if we do not realize anticipated cost savings or if we incur unanticipated costs in integrating the acquired banks into our operations or if a substantial number of the clients of the acquired banks move their banking business to our competitors;

the risk that such expansion efforts will divert management time and effort from our existing banking operations, which could adversely affect our future financial performance; and

the risk that the additional capital which we may need to support our growth or the issuance of shares in any bank acquisitions will be dilutive of the share ownership of our existing shareholders.

We have borrowings of \$21.9 million under a five year term loan that is secured by a pledge of all of FFB s shares. As a result, a failure by us either to meet certain financial covenants applicable to that term loan or to repay that loan when due would have a material adverse effect on us.

In April 2013, we obtained a five year term loan in the amount of \$7.5 million from an unaffiliated bank. In March 2014, we obtained a \$15 million increase in that loan, bringing the total principal amount to approximately \$21.9 million. We used \$17.5 million of the proceeds of the loan to make capital contributions to FFB to fund the growth of our banking business. In order to obtain that loan, however, we were required to pledge all of the shares of FFB stock to the bank lender as security for our payment and other obligations under that loan agreement. Additionally, the loan agreement contains a number of financial and other covenants which we are required to meet over the five year term of the loan. As a result, such borrowings may make us more vulnerable to general economic downturns and competitive pressures, which could cause us to fail to meet one or more of those financial covenants. If we were unable to meet any of those covenants, we could be required to repay the loan sooner than its maturity date in May 2018. If we are unable to repay the loan when due, whether at maturity or earlier, the lender would have the right to sell our FFB shares to recover the amounts that are due it by us under the loan agreement. Since the stock of FFB comprises one of our most important assets on which our success is dependent, an inability on our part to repay the

loan would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and cause us to incur significant losses. See the section entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Term Loan for additional information about this loan.

We face intense competition from other banks and financial institutions and other wealth and investment management firms that could hurt our business.

We conduct our business operations primarily in Southern California, where the banking business is highly competitive and is dominated by large multi-state and in-state banks with operations and offices covering

15

wide geographic areas. We also compete with other financial service businesses, including investment advisory and wealth management firms, mutual fund companies, and securities brokerage and investment banking firms that offer competitive banking and financial products and services as well as products and services that we do not offer. Larger banks and many of those other financial service organizations have greater financial and marketing resources that enable them to conduct extensive advertising campaigns and to shift resources to regions or activities of greater potential profitability. They also have substantially more capital and higher lending limits, which enable them to attract larger clients and offer financial products and services that we are unable to offer, putting us at a disadvantage in competing with them for loans and deposits and investment management clients. If we are unable to compete effectively with those banking or other financial services businesses, we could find it more difficult to attract new and retain existing clients and our net interest margins, net interest income and investment management advisory fees could decline, which would adversely affect our results of operations and could cause us to incur losses in the future.

In addition, our ability to successfully attract and retain investment advisory and wealth management clients is dependent on our ability to compete with competitors investment products, level of investment performance, client services and marketing and distribution capabilities. If we are not successful in attracting new and retaining existing clients, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be materially and adversely affected.

The loss of key personnel or inability to attract additional personnel could hurt our future financial performance.

We currently depend heavily on the contributions and services provided by Rick Keller, our Executive Chairman, Scott Kavanaugh, Chief Executive Officer of FFI and FFB, John Hakopian, President of FFA, Dave Rahn, President of FFB and John Michel, Chief Financial Officer of FFI, FFB and FFA, as well as a number of other key management personnel. Our future success also will depend, in part, on our ability to attract and retain additional qualified relationship managers, private banking officers and investment managers. Competition for such personnel is intense and we may not succeed in retaining our existing personnel or attracting additional personnel we will need to continue to grow our business. If we are unable to continue to retain the services of any of our existing executive management personnel, or attract and retain qualified relationship managers, private banking officers and investment managers, our ability to retain existing clients or attract new clients could be adversely affected and our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects could be significantly harmed.

Banking laws and government regulations may adversely affect our operations, restrict our growth or increase our operating costs.

We are also subject to extensive supervision and regulation by federal and California state bank regulatory agencies. The primary objective of these agencies is to protect bank depositors and not shareholders, whose respective interests often differ. These regulatory agencies have the legal authority to impose restrictions which they believe are needed to protect depositors, even if those restrictions would adversely affect the ability of a banking institution to expand its business, restrict its ability to pay cash dividends, cause its costs of doing business to increase, or hinder its ability to compete with less regulated financial services companies.

We are also subject to numerous laws and government regulations that are applicable to banks and other financial institutions, including:

Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations. We are required to comply with various consumer protection laws, including the Community Reinvestment Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act and other fair lending laws and regulations impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions.

Bank Secrecy Act and other Anti-Money Laundering Laws and Regulations. As a financial institution, we are required by the Bank Secrecy Act, the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and other anti-money laundering laws and regulations, to institute and maintain an effective anti-money laundering program and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. We are also subject to increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control.

If the policies, procedures or systems which we have adopted to comply with these laws and regulations are found by any regulatory or other government agencies to be deficient or we fail to comply with any of these banking laws or regulations, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions, which may lead to the imposition of restrictions on our ability to pay dividends or to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans. Additionally, a failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us. Due, moreover, to the complex and technical nature of many of these laws and government regulations, inadvertent violations may and sometimes do occur. In such an event, we would be required to correct or implement measures to prevent a recurrence of such violations, which could increase our operating costs. If more serious violations were to occur, the regulatory agencies could limit our activities or growth, fine us, or ultimately put FFB out of business if it was to encounter severe liquidity problems or a significant erosion of capital below the minimum amounts required under applicable bank regulations. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act and the new Basel III capital rules pose uncertainties for our business and are likely to increase our costs of doing business in the future.

On July 21, 2010, the President signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, into law. Changes made by the Dodd-Frank Act include, among others: (i) the establishment of new requirements on banking, derivative and investment activities, including modified capital requirements, (ii) the repeal of the prohibition on the payment of interest on business demand deposit accounts, (iii) the imposition of limitations on debit card interchange fees, (iv) the promulgation of enhanced financial institution safety and soundness regulations, (v) increases in assessment fees and deposit insurance coverage, and (vi) the establishment of new regulatory bodies, such as the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, or the BCFP. The BCFP has been granted rulemaking authority over several federal consumer financial protection laws and, in some instances, has the authority to examine and supervise and enforce compliance by banks and other financial service organizations with these laws and regulations. We expect that the Dodd-Frank Act and its implementing regulations will increase the costs of doing business for us, as well as for other banking institutions. We also expect that the repeal of the prohibition on the payment by banks of interest on business demand deposits will result in increased price competition among banks for such deposits, which could increase the costs of funds to us (as well as to other banks) and result in a reduction in our net interest margins and income in the future.

In July 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (or the Federal Reserve Board or FRB) adopted final rules (the New Capital Rules) establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations based on capital guidelines adopted by the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee), and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (or FDIC) has adopted substantially identical rules. The rules not only implement the Basel Committee s December 2010 framework for strengthening international capital standards, but also certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The New Capital Rules substantially revise and heighten the risk-based capital requirements applicable to U.S. banking organizations, including FFI and FFB, from the current U.S. risk-based capital rules and replace the existing approach used in risk-weighting of a banking organization s assets with a more risk-sensitive approach. The New Capital Rules will become effective for FFI and FFB on January 1, 2015 (subject in the case of certain of those rules to phase-in periods). These new Capital Rules will

increase the amount of capital which both FFI

17

and FFB will have to maintain and it is expected that it will also increase the costs of capital for bank holding companies and banks in the United States. See Supervision and Regulation *First Foundation Bank New Basel III Capital Rules* below for additional information regarding these new capital requirements.

The fair value of our investment securities can fluctuate due to factors outside of our control.

As of March 31, 2014, the fair value of our investment securities portfolio was \$73.3 million. Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency actions in respect of the securities, defaults by the issuer or with respect to the underlying securities, changes in market interest rates and continued instability in the capital markets. Any of these factors, among others, could cause other-than-temporary impairments and realized and/or unrealized losses in future periods and declines in other comprehensive income, which could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. In addition, the process for determining whether impairment of a security is other-than-temporary usually requires complex, subjective judgments about the future financial performance and liquidity of the issuer and any collateral underlying the security in order to assess the probability of receiving all contractual principal and interest payments on the security.

Premiums for federal deposit insurance have increased and may increase even more.

The FDIC uses the Deposit Insurance Fund, or DIF, to cover insured deposits in the event of bank failures, and maintains that Fund by assessing insurance premiums on FDIC-insured banks and other depository institutions. The increase in bank failures during the three years ended December 31, 2010 caused the DIF to fall below the minimum balance required by law, forcing the FDIC to raise the insurance premiums assessed on FDIC-insured banks in order to rebuild the DIF. Depending on the frequency and severity of bank failures in the future, the FDIC may further increase premiums or assessments. In addition, our FDIC insurance premiums will increase as we grow our banking business. Such increases in FDIC insurance premiums would increase our costs of doing business and, therefore, could adversely affect our results of operations and earnings in the future.

Technology and marketing costs may negatively impact our future operating results.

The financial services industry is constantly undergoing technological changes in the types of products and services provided to clients to enhance client convenience. Our future success will depend upon our ability to address the changing technological needs of our clients and to compete with other financial services organizations which have successfully implemented new technologies. The costs of implementing technological changes, new product development and marketing costs may increase our operating expenses without a commensurate increase in our business or revenues, in which event our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

We rely on communications, information, operating and financial control systems technology from third-party service providers, and we could suffer an interruption in those systems.

We rely heavily on third-party service providers for much of our communications, information, operating and financial control systems technology, including our internet banking services and data processing systems. Any failure or interruption, or breaches in security, of these systems could result in failures or interruptions in our client relationship management, general ledger, deposit, servicing and/or loan origination systems and, therefore, could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, interruptions in service and security breaches could lead existing clients to terminate their business relationships with us, could make it more

difficult for us to attract new clients and subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possibly financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

18

We may bear costs associated with the proliferation of computer theft and cyber-crime.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are required to collect, use and hold data concerning our clients. Threats to data security, including unauthorized access and cyber-attacks, rapidly emerge and change, exposing us to additional costs for protection or remediation and competing time constraints to secure our data in accordance with customer expectations and statutory and regulatory requirements. It is difficult or impossible to defend against every risk being posed by changing technologies, as well as against criminals who are intent on committing cyber-crime. Increasing sophistication of cyber-criminals and terrorists make keeping up with new threats difficult and could result in security breaches. Patching and other measures to protect existing systems and servers could be inadequate, especially on systems that are being retired. Controls employed by our information technology department and cloud vendors could prove inadequate. We could also experience a breach by intentional or negligent conduct on the part of employees or other internal sources. Our systems and those of our third-party vendors may become vulnerable to damage or disruption due to circumstances beyond our or their control, such as from catastrophic events, power anomalies or outages, natural disasters, network failures, and viruses and malware.

A breach of our security that results in unauthorized access to our data could expose us to a disruption or challenges relating to our daily operations, as well as to data loss, litigation, damages, fines and penalties, significant increases in compliance costs, and reputational damage, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Our ability to attract and retain clients and employees could be adversely affected if our reputation is harmed.

The ability of FFB and FFA to attract and retain clients and key employees could be adversely affected if our reputation is harmed. Any actual or perceived failure to address various issues, including legal or regulatory compliance issues, questions regarding our ability to protect the privacy of client and employee financial or other personal information; or potential conflicts of interest or other ethical issues could cause us reputational harm. Moreover, any failure to appropriately address any issues of this nature could give rise to additional regulatory restrictions and legal risks which could lead to costly litigation or subject us to enforcement actions, fines, or penalties and cause us to incur related costs and expenses. In addition, our banking, investment advisory and wealth management businesses are dependent on the integrity of our banking personnel and our investment advisory and wealth managers. Lapses in integrity could cause reputational harm to our businesses which could result in the loss of clients and, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are exposed to risk of environmental liabilities with respect to real properties that we may acquire.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of our business, we acquire, by or in lieu of foreclosure, real properties which collateralize nonperforming loans (often referred to as Real Estate Owned or REO). As an owner of such properties, we could become subject to environmental liabilities and incur substantial costs for any property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up that may be required due to any environmental contamination that may be found to exist at any of those properties, even if we did not engage in the activities that led to such contamination and those activities took place prior to our ownership of the properties. In addition, if we are the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties seeking damages for environmental contamination emanating from the site. If we were to become subject to significant environmental liabilities or costs, our business, financial conditions, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected.

We may incur significant losses as a result of ineffective risk management processes and strategies.

We seek to monitor and control our risk exposure through a risk and control framework encompassing a variety of separate but complementary financial, credit, operational, compliance systems, and internal control and

19

management review processes. However, those systems and review processes and the judgments that accompany their application may not be effective and, as a result, we may not anticipate every economic and financial outcome in all market environments or the specifics and timing of such outcomes, particularly in the event of the kinds of dislocations in market conditions experienced over the last several years, which highlight the limitations inherent in using historical data to manage risk. If those systems and review processes prove to be ineffective in identifying and managing risks, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our investment advisory and wealth management business may be negatively impacted by changes in economic and market conditions.

Our investment advisory and wealth management businesses may be negatively impacted by changes in general economic and market conditions because the performance of those businesses is directly affected by conditions in the financial and securities markets. The financial markets and businesses operating in the securities industry are highly volatile (meaning that performance results can vary greatly within short periods of time) and are directly affected by, among other factors, domestic and foreign economic conditions and general trends in business and finance, and by the threat, as well as the occurrence of global conflicts, all of which are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that broad market performance will be favorable in the future. Declines in the financial markets or a lack of sustained growth may result in a decline in the performance of our investment advisory and wealth management business and may adversely affect the market value and performance of the investment securities that we manage, which could lead to reductions in our investment management and advisory fees, because they are based primarily on the market value of the securities we manage and could lead some of our clients to reduce their assets under management by us. If any of these events occur, the financial performance of our investment advisory and wealth management business could be materially and adversely affected.

The investment management contracts we have with our clients are terminable without cause and on relatively short notice by our clients, which makes us vulnerable to short term declines in the performance of the securities under our management.

Like most other companies with an investment and wealth management business, the investment and wealth management contracts we have with our clients are typically terminable by the client without cause upon less than 30 days notice. As a result, even short term declines in the performance of the securities we manage, which can result from factors outside our control such as adverse changes in market or economic condition or the poor performance of some of the investments we have recommended to our clients, could lead some of our clients to move assets under our management to other asset classes such as broad index funds or treasury securities, or to investment advisors which have investment product offerings or investment strategies different than ours. Therefore, our operating results are heavily dependent on the financial performance of our investment portfolios and the investment strategies we employ in our investment advisory businesses and even short-term declines in the performance of the investment portfolios we manage for our clients, whatever the cause, could result in a decline in assets under management and a corresponding decline in investment management fees, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

The market for investment managers is extremely competitive and the loss of a key investment manager to a competitor could adversely affect our investment advisory and wealth management business.

We believe that investment performance is one of the most important factors that affect the amount of assets under our management. As a result, we rely heavily on our investment managers to produce attractive investment returns for our clients. However, the market for investment managers is extremely competitive and is increasingly characterized by frequent movement of investment managers among different firms. In addition, our individual investment managers often have regular direct contact with particular clients, which can lead to a strong client relationship based on the

client s trust in that individual manager. As a result, the loss of a key investment manager to a competitor could jeopardize our relationships with some of our clients and lead to the loss of client accounts. Losses of such accounts could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

20

FFA s business is highly regulated, and the regulators have the ability to limit or restrict our activities and impose fines or other sanctions on FFA s business.

FFA is registered as an investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or Investment Advisers Act, and FFA s business is highly regulated. The Investment Advisers Act imposes numerous obligations on registered investment advisers, including fiduciary, record keeping, operational and disclosure obligations. Moreover, the Investment Advisers Act grants broad administrative powers to regulatory agencies such as the SEC. If the SEC or other government agencies believe that FFA has failed to comply with applicable laws or regulations, these agencies have the power to impose fines, suspensions of individual employees or other sanctions, which could include revocation of FFA s registration under the Investment Advisers Act. Changes in legal, regulatory, accounting, tax and compliance requirements also could adversely affect FFA s operations and financial results, by, among other things, increasing its operating expenses and placing restraints on the marketing of certain investment products. Like other investment management companies, FFA also faces the risks of lawsuits by clients. The outcome of regulatory proceedings and lawsuits is uncertain and difficult to predict. An adverse resolution of any regulatory proceeding or lawsuit against FFA could result in substantial costs or reputational harm to FFA and, therefore, could have an adverse effect on the ability of FFA to retain key relationship and wealth managers and existing clients or attract new clients, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are also subject to the provisions and regulations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA to the extent that we act as a fiduciary under ERISA with respect to certain of our clients. ERISA and the applicable provisions of the federal tax laws, impose a number of duties on persons who are fiduciaries under ERISA and prohibit certain transactions involving the assets of each ERISA plan which is a client, as well as certain transactions by the fiduciaries (and certain other related parties) to such plans.

We may be adversely affected by the soundness of brokerage firms which act as custodians for the assets we manage.

FFA does not provide custodial services for its clients. Instead, client investment accounts are maintained under custodial arrangements with large, well established brokerage firms, either directly or through FFB. As a result, the performance of, or even rumors or questions about, one or more of these brokerage firms could adversely affect the confidence of FFA s customers in the services provided by those brokerage firms or otherwise adversely impact their custodial holdings. Such an occurrence could negatively impact the ability of FFA to attract or retain its customer relationships and, as a result, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to this Offering and an Investment in our Common Stock

We do not plan to pay dividends for the foreseeable future. Additionally, our ability to pay dividends is subject to regulatory and other restrictions.

In order to implement our growth strategy, it is our policy to retain cash for our businesses and, as a result, we have never paid any cash dividends and we have no plans to pay cash dividends at least for the foreseeable future. Additionally, our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders is restricted by California and federal law. Moreover, the term loan agreement we entered into in April 2013 prohibits us from paying cash dividends to our shareholders without the lender s prior written consent. See the section entitled Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Term Loan for additional information about this loan.

Our ability to pay dividends is also dependent on the payment to us of dividends by FFB and FFA, which are subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions as well. FFA s ability to pay cash dividends to us is restricted under California law. FFB s ability to pay dividends to us is limited by various banking statutes and regulations. Moreover, based on their assessment of the financial condition of FFB or other factors, the FDIC or the California Department of Business Oversight (or the DBO) could find that the payment of cash dividends to us

by FFB would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice and, therefore, prohibit FFB from paying cash dividends to us, even if FFB meets the statutory requirements to do so. See the section entitled Dividend Policy for additional information about our dividend policy and the dividend payments restrictions that apply to us and to FFB.

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop or may not be sustained, and you may not be able to sell your common stock at or above the initial public offering price.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. An active trading market for shares of our common stock may never develop or be sustained following this offering. If an active trading market does not develop, or cannot be sustained, you may have difficulty selling your shares of common stock at an attractive price, or at all. The initial public offering price for our common stock will be determined by negotiations between us and the representative of the underwriters and may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell your common stock at or above the initial public offering price or at any other price or at the time that you would like to sell. An inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital by selling our common stock and may impair our ability to expand our business by using our common stock as consideration.

The market prices and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile.

Even if a market develops for our common stock, the market prices of our common stock may be volatile and the trading volume may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure you that, if a market does develop for our common stock, the market prices of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. Some of the factors that could negatively affect the prices of our shares or result in fluctuations in those prices or in trading volume of our common stock could include the following, many of which are outside of our control:

quarterly variations in our operating results or the quality of our earnings or assets;

operating results that vary from the expectations of management, securities analysts, and investors;

recommendations or lack thereof by securities analysts;

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance;

the operating and securities price performance of other companies that investors believe are comparable to us;

our implementation of our growth strategy and performance of acquired businesses that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary and fiscal policies, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve;

the adoption of new more costly government regulations that are applicable to our businesses or the imposition of regulatory restrictions on us;

our past and future dividend practices;

additions or departures of key personnel;

future sales of our equity or equity-related securities;

perceptions in the marketplace regarding us and/or our competitors;

new technology used, or services offered, by competitors;

proposed or adopted changes in laws or policies affecting us; and

announcements of strategic developments, material acquisitions and other material events in our business or in the businesses of our competitors, including the failures of other financial institutions in the recent economic downturn.

22

In addition, if the market for stocks in our industry, or the stock market in general, experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations. If any of the foregoing occurs, it could cause our stock price to fall and may expose us to lawsuits that, even if unsuccessful, could be costly to defend and a distraction to management.

Securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company s securities. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs, divert our management s attention and resources, and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If equity research analysts do not publish research reports about our business, or if they do publish such reports but issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our common stock, the price and trading volume of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock could be affected by whether and the extent to which equity research analysts publish research reports about us and our business. We cannot predict at this time whether any research analysts will cover us and our common stock or whether they will publish research reports on us. If any of the analysts who elect to cover us downgrade their recommendation with respect to our common stock, our stock price could decline rapidly. If any of these analysts ceases coverage of us, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our common stock price or trading volume to decline and our common stock to become less liquid.

Share ownership by our officers and directors and certain agreements make it more difficult for third parties to acquire us or effectuate a change of control that might be viewed favorably by other shareholders.

As of March 31, 2014, our executive officers and directors owned, in the aggregate, 34% of our outstanding shares. As a result, if the officers and directors were to oppose a third party s acquisition proposal for, or a change in control of, FFI, the officers and directors may have sufficient voting power to be able to block or at least delay such an acquisition or change in control from taking place, even if other shareholders would support such a sale or change of control. In addition, a number of FFI s officers have change of control agreements which could increase the costs and, therefore, lessen the attractiveness of an acquisition of FFI to a potential acquiring party. See the section entitled Executive Compensation Change of Control Agreements for additional information about the change of control arrangements we have with certain executive officers.

Our corporate governance documents, and certain corporate and banking laws applicable to us, could make a takeover attempt, which may be beneficial to our shareholders, more difficult.

Our Board of Directors, or Board, has the power, under our articles of incorporation, to issue additional shares of common stock and create and authorize the sale of one or more series of preferred stock without having to obtain shareholder approval for such action. As a result, our Board could authorize the issuance of shares of a series of preferred stock to implement a shareholders rights plan (often referred to as a poison pill) or could sell and issue preferred shares with special voting rights or conversion rights, which could deter or delay attempts by our shareholders to remove or replace management, and attempts of third parties either to engage in proxy contests or to acquire control of FFI. In addition, our charter documents:

enable our Board to fill vacant directorships except for vacancies created by the removal of a director;

enable our Board to amend our bylaws without shareholder approval subject to certain exceptions; and

23

require compliance with an advance notice procedure with regard to business to be brought by a shareholder before an annual or special meeting of shareholders and with regard to the nomination by shareholders of candidates for election as directors.

Furthermore, federal and state banking laws and regulations applicable to us require prior regulatory application and approval of certain transactions involving control of FFI or of FFB. These provisions may discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change of control, including under circumstances in which our shareholders might otherwise receive a premium over the market price of our common stock.

Our management will have broad discretion as to the use of proceeds from this offering, you may not agree with the manner in which we use the proceeds and our use of those proceeds may not yield a favorable return on investment.

While we anticipate using \$21.5 million of the offering proceeds to retire our term loan, we have not formally designated the amount of net proceeds that we will use for any other particular purpose. Accordingly, our management will have broad discretion as to the application of the net proceeds of this offering and could use them for purposes other than those contemplated at the time of this offering. We may not be successful in using the net proceeds from this offering to increase our profitability or market value, and we cannot predict whether the proceeds will yield a favorable return on investment.

You will incur immediate dilution as a result of this offering.

If you purchase common stock in this offering, y	ou will pay more for your shares than our existing net tangible book
value per share. As a result, you will incur imme	diate dilution of \$[] per share, representing the difference
between the initial public offering price of \$[] per share and our adjusted net tangible book value per share after
giving effect to this offering. This represents []% dilution from the initial public offering price.

Future equity issuances could result in dilution, which could cause our common stock price to decline.

We are generally not restricted from issuing additional shares of our common stock up to the number of shares authorized in our Articles of Incorporation which currently is 20 million shares. Among other scenarios, we may issue additional shares of our common stock in the future pursuant to current or future equity incentive plans, in connection with future acquisitions or financings, to enhance our capital position or as a result of a regulatory order or directive. In addition, we could be required to issue up to 270,000 additional shares of our common stock, valued at \$15.00 per share, to former shareholders of DCB depending on the performance of certain assets we acquired from DCB. If we were to raise capital in the future by selling shares of our common stock, or securities that are convertible into our common stock or issuing shares in a business acquisition, their issuance would have a dilutive effect on the percentage ownership of our shareholders and, depending on the prices at which such shares or convertible securities are sold or issued, on their investment in our common stock and, therefore, could have a material adverse effect on the market prices of our common stock.

Future sales of our common stock could depress the market prices of our common stock.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market following this offering, or the perception that large sales of our shares could occur, could cause the market prices of our common stock to decline or limit our future ability to raise capital through an offering of equity securities.

After completion of this offering, there will be [] shares of our common stock outstanding. All of the shares of common stock sold in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the federal

securities laws, other than shares which our directors or executive officers may purchase, which will be subject to the resale limitations of Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Our directors, executive officers and certain other shareholders have agreed to enter into lock-up

agreements generally providing, subject to limited exceptions, that they will not, without the prior written consent of Sandler O Neill + Partners, L.P., directly or indirectly offer to sell, or otherwise dispose of any shares of our common stock during the period ending 180 days after the date of this prospectus.

On January 30, 2014, we registered under the Securities Act a total of 1,799,103 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under our Equity Plans (as described in the section of this prospectus titled Executive Compensation Equity Incentive Plans). Accordingly, subject to certain vesting requirements and transferability restrictions on shares reserved for issuance to our executive officers and directors under the Equity Plans, those shares will be available for sale in the open market immediately by persons other than our executive officers and directors, and immediately after the lock-up agreements expire in the case of our executive officers and directors.

The requirements of being a public company will increase our costs and may strain our management and financial resources.

We have been a public reporting company since December 16, 2013 and will continue to be a public company following this offering. As a public company, we face increased legal, accounting, administrative and other costs and expenses that we have not previously incurred as a private company. We are also subject to numerous other laws that impose significant disclosure and governance requirements on public companies, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the Dodd-Frank Act. In addition, we are subject to the rules of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and, following this offering, will be subject to the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, each of which imposes additional reporting, governance and other requirements on public companies.

As a public company, we are required to:

prepare and distribute periodic reports, proxy statements, and other shareholder communications in compliance with federal securities laws and the listing rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market;

expand the roles and duties of our board of directors and committees thereof, and potentially retain and compensate advisers retained by the board or those committees;

institute more comprehensive financial reporting and disclosure compliance functions;

involve and retain to a greater degree outside counsel and accountants in the activities listed above;

establish new internal policies, including those relating to trading in our securities and disclosure controls and procedures;

comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, in particular Section 404 and Section 302; and

comply with certain disclosure and governance requirements set forth in the Dodd-Frank Act.

We expect these rules and regulations to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly, although we are currently unable to estimate these costs with any degree of certainty. A number of these requirements will require us to carry out activities we have not performed previously and complying with these requirements may divert management s attention from their other responsibilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results. We also expect that it will be more difficult and expensive to maintain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. Furthermore, if we are unable to satisfy our obligations as a public company, we could be subject to delisting of our common stock, fines, sanctions and other regulatory action and potentially civil litigation.

We have elected under the JOBS Act to use an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards.

We are electing to take advantage of the extended transition period afforded by the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act, for the implementation of new or revised accounting standards. As a result, we will not be required to comply with new or revised accounting standards that have different effective dates for public and private companies until those standards apply to private companies or we cease to be an emerging growth company as defined in the JOBS Act. As a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to the financial statements of companies that comply with public company effective dates.

The reduced disclosures and relief from certain other significant disclosure requirements that are available to emerging growth companies may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an emerging growth company, as defined in the JOBS Act, and we intend to take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that apply to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These exemptions include the following:

not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;

less extensive disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements; and

exemptions from the requirements to hold nonbinding advisory votes on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

In addition, even if we comply with the greater obligations of public companies that are not emerging growth companies immediately after this offering, we may avail ourselves of the reduced requirements applicable to emerging growth companies from time to time in the future, so long as we are an emerging growth company.

We will remain an emerging growth company for up to five years, though we may cease to be an emerging growth company earlier under certain circumstances, including if, before the end of such five years, we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the rules of the SEC (which depends on, among other things, having a market value of common stock held by non-affiliates in excess of \$700 million). Investors and securities analysts may find it more difficult to evaluate our common stock because we will rely on one or more of these exemptions. If, as a result, some investors find our common stock less attractive, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock, which could result in a reductions and greater volatility in the prices of our common stock.

Further, Section 102(b)(1) of the JOBS Act exempts emerging growth companies from being required to comply with new or revised financial accounting standards until private companies (that is, those that have not had a Securities Act registration statement declared effective or do not have a class of securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act) are required to comply with the new or revised financial accounting standards. The JOBS Act provides that a company can elect to opt out of the extended transition period and comply with the requirements that apply to non-emerging growth companies but any such election to opt out is irrevocable. We have elected not to opt out of such extended transition period which means that when a standard is issued or revised and it

has different application dates for public or private companies, we, as an emerging growth company, can adopt the new or revised standard at the time private companies adopt the new or revised standard. As a result, our financial statements may not be comparable with those of another public company which is neither an emerging growth company nor an emerging growth company which has opted out of using the extended transition period because of the potential differences in accounting standards used.

Pursuant to the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for so long as we are an emerging growth company.

Under existing SEC rules and regulations, we will be required to disclose changes made in our internal control over financial reporting on a quarterly basis and management will be required to assess the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and our internal control over financial reporting annually. However, under the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act until we are no longer an emerging growth company.

A failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock prices.

The rules governing the standards that must be met for our management to assess our internal control over financial reporting are complex and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation, which could significantly increase our operating expenses.

Moreover, if we identify material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting in the future, or if we cannot comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in a timely manner or attest that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, we may not be able to report our financial results accurately and timely. In such an event, investors and clients could lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports; our liquidity, access to capital markets, and perceptions of our creditworthiness could be adversely affected; and the market prices of our common stock could decline. In addition, we could become subject to investigations by the stock exchange on which our securities are listed, the SEC, the Federal Reserve or the FDIC, or other regulatory authorities, which could require additional financial and management resources. These events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may also encounter problems or delays in implementing any changes necessary to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting or in completing the implementation of any requested improvements that may be needed for this purpose. If we cannot favorably assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in our financial information which could adversely affect the market prices of our common stock.

An investment in our common stock is not an insured deposit and is not guaranteed by the FDIC, so you could lose some or all of your investment.

An investment in our common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss or guaranteed by the FDIC, any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. An investment in our common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described herein. As a result, if you acquire our common stock, you could lose some or all of your investment.

Other risks and uncertainties.

Additional risks that we currently do not know about or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also impair our business, financial condition, operating results and future prospects.

27

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus, including in the sections entitled Prospectus Summary, Risk Factors, Use of Proceeds, Managemen Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Business, contains estimates and forward-looking statements. Our estimates and forward-looking statements are based on our current assumptions and expectations about future events and trends, which affect or may affect our business, strategy, operations or financial performance. Although we believe that these estimates and forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, they are subject to numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties and are made in light of information currently available to us. Many important factors, in addition to the factors described in this prospectus, may materially and adversely affect the results of our operations that are described in the forward-looking statements. You should read this prospectus and the documents that we have filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different and worse from what we expect.

All statements in this prospectus other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. The words believe, may, might, could, will, aim, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, expect, plan an intended to identify estimates and forward-looking statements.

Our estimates and forward-looking statements may be affected by one or more of the following factors:

business and economic conditions generally, and in the financial services industry, both nationally and within our local market area, principally Southern California;

liquidity, credit and interest rate risks associated with our business;

geographic concentration of our business operations and clients;

changes in real estate values;

our ability to execute our strategy;

our ability to identify potential candidates for, and consummate acquisitions of other financial institutions or financial service businesses;

our ability to cross-sell our services between our banking business and our investment advisory and wealth management business;

increased competition in the financial services industry, nationally or locally;

changes in the regulatory environment, including changes in existing banking laws or regulations, accounting regulations or standards, and federal tax law or policy;

additional or changes in government intervention in the U.S. financial system;

changes in the scope and cost of FDIC insurance and other coverage;

unexpected loss of key management personnel, relationship managers or private bankers;

natural and man-made disasters, acts of terrorism, an outbreak of hostilities, and other matters beyond our control;

cyber-crime and theft of our clients personal and financial data;

data processing system failures and errors; and

other factors that are discussed in the section entitled *Risk Factors*, beginning on page 12 of this prospectus.

Other sections of this prospectus include additional factors that could adversely impact our business, strategy, operations, or financial performance. Moreover, we operate in an evolving environment. New risk factors and uncertainties emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all risk

28

factors and uncertainties, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.

Estimates and forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they were made, and, except to the extent required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or to review any estimate and/or forward-looking statement because of new information, future events or other factors. You should, however, review the factors and risks we describe in the reports we will file from to time to time with the SEC, after the date of this prospectus. See Where You Can Find Additional Information. Estimates and forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are not guarantees of future performance. As a result of the risks and uncertainties described above, the results and outcomes set forth in the forward-looking statements discussed in this prospectus might not occur and our future results and our performance may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements due to, but not limited to, the factors mentioned above. Because of these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements when making an investment decision.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that our net proceeds from the sale of [] shares of our common stock in this offering will be approximately \$[] million, or \$[] million if the underwriters fully exercise their overallotment option to purchase additional shares, assuming an initial public offering price of \$[] per share, which is the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

We currently anticipate that we will use a portion of the net proceeds received by us to:

repay the full amount of the indebtedness outstanding under our term loan described below, the aggregate principal amount of which was \$21.9 million at March 31, 2014; and

support our organic growth, including the addition of new wealth management offices;

fund possible acquisitions that we believe are complementary to our business and provide attractive risk-adjusted returns, although we do not have any immediate plans, arrangements or understandings relating to any material acquisition;

for other general corporate purposes, which purposes may include hiring of additional personnel and the payment of costs of operating as a public company.

In April 2013, we obtained a five year term loan in the amount of \$7.5 million from an unaffiliated bank lender. In March 2014, we obtained a \$15 million increase in the amount of that loan, bringing the total principal amount of that loan outstanding to approximately \$21.9 million. We have used \$17.5 million of the proceeds of the loan to make capital contributions to FFB, to fund the continued growth of our banking business. The principal amount of the loan bears interest at a rate of 90 day Libor plus 4.0% per annum. We intend to use \$21.5 million of the net proceeds of this offering to repay that loan in full. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Term Loan for additional information about this term loan.

Our expected use of the net proceeds from this offering is based upon our present plans and business condition. As of the date of this prospectus, we cannot predict with certainty all of the particular uses for the net proceeds to be received upon the completion of this offering or the amounts that we will actually spend on the uses set forth above. The amounts and timing of our actual use of proceeds will vary depending on numerous factors, including the factors described under the heading Risk Factors beginning on page 12 of this prospectus. As a result, management will retain broad discretion over the allocation of the net proceeds from this offering, and investors will be relying on the judgment of our management regarding the application of the net proceeds.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have not previously paid cash dividends on our common stock. It is our current intention to invest our cash flow and earnings in the growth of our businesses and, therefore, we have no plans to pay cash dividends for the foreseeable future. Investors should not purchase our common stock with the expectation of receiving cash dividends.

Additionally, our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders is subject to the restrictions set forth in the California General Corporation Law, or CGCL. The CGCL provides that a corporation may pay a dividend to its shareholders if the amount of the corporation s retained earnings immediately prior to the dividend, equals or exceeds the amount of the proposed dividend plus, if the corporation has shares of preferred stock outstanding, the amount of the unpaid accumulated dividends on those preferred shares. The CGCL further provides that, in the event that sufficient retained earnings are not available for the proposed dividend, a corporation may nevertheless pay a dividend to its shareholders if, immediately after the dividend, the value of its assets would equal or exceed the sum of its total liabilities plus, if the corporation has shares of preferred stock outstanding, the amount of the unpaid accumulated dividends on those preferred shares. In addition, since we are a bank holding company subject to regulation by the FRB, it may become necessary for us to obtain the approval of the FRB before we can pay cash dividends to our shareholders. As a bank holding company, we are required to be a source of financial strength for our bank subsidiary and, therefore, we will not be permitted to pay dividends if, in the view of the FRB, doing so would weaken our financial condition or capital resources or would otherwise be prohibited pursuant to a regulatory order.

Cash dividends from our two wholly-owned subsidiaries, First Foundation Bank and First Foundation Advisors, represent the principal source of funds available to us, which we might use to pay cash dividends to our shareholders or for other corporate purposes. Since FFA is a California corporation, the same dividend payment restrictions, described above, that apply to us under the CGCL also apply to FFA. In addition the laws of the State of California, as they pertain to the payment of cash dividends by California state chartered banks, limit the amount of funds that FFB would be permitted to dividend to us more strictly than does the CGCL and, depending on the amount of dividend, may require prior approval of the DBO. In particular, under California law, cash dividends by a California state chartered bank may not exceed, in any calendar year, the lesser of (i) the sum of the bank s net income for its last three fiscal years (after deducting all dividends or other distributions paid during the period), or (ii) the amount of its retained earnings.

Additionally, because FFB is considered a de novo institution under FDIC guidelines until September 2014, we are required to obtain prior approval from the FDIC before FFB may pay any dividends. Also, because the payment of cash dividends has the effect of reducing capital, capital requirements imposed on FFB by the DBO and the FDIC may operate, as a practical matter, to preclude the payment, or limit the amount, of cash dividends that might otherwise be permitted to be made under California law, and the DBO and the FDIC, as part of their supervisory powers, generally require insured banks to adopt dividend policies which limit the payment of cash dividends much more strictly than do applicable state laws.

Furthermore, the loan agreement governing our term loan requires us to obtain the prior approval of the lender for the payment by us of any dividends to our shareholders. However, we intend to repay the full amount of the indebtedness outstanding under the term loan with a portion of the net proceeds from this offering. See the section entitled *Use of Proceeds* for additional information.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our capitalization, including regulatory capital ratios, as of March 31, 2014:

on an actual basis; and

on an as adjusted basis after giving effect to the sale of [] shares of common stock assuming an initial public offering price of \$[] per share, which is the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

The as adjusted information below is illustrative only and our capitalization following the closing of this offering will be adjusted based on the actual initial public offering price and other terms of this offering determined at pricing. You should read this table in conjunction with Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Information, Use of Proceeds, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of March 31, 2014				
(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Actual	As Adjusted for the Offering			
Capitalization:					
Debt, net of current portion	\$	\$			
at 1.11					
Shareholders equity:					
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, 5,000,000 shares					
authorized, none issued or outstanding	-	-			
Common stock, par value \$0.001; 20,000,000 shares					
authorized; 7,733,514 shares (actual) and [] shares					
(as adjusted) issued and outstanding ⁽¹⁾					
Additional paid-in-capital					
Retained earnings					
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)					
Total shareholders equity					
Total capitalization	\$	\$			
Book Value Per Share ⁽²⁾	\$	\$			
Capital Ratios:(3)					
Tangible common equity to tangible assets ⁽⁴⁾	%	%			
Tier 1 leverage ratio	%	%			

Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽⁵⁾	%	%
Total risk-based capital ratio ⁽⁵⁾	%	%

(1) The number of as adjusted shares of common stock issued and outstanding assumes the issuance of shares of our common stock upon the consummation of this offering. The actual and as adjusted numbers of shares of issued and outstanding common stock exclude:

1,497,092 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of outstanding options to purchase shares of common stock under the Stock Incentive Plans as of March 31, 2014, at a weighted average exercise price of \$12.62 per share (of which options to acquire 1,263,789 shares of our common stock were vested as of March 31, 2014);

296,345 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plans; and

32

up to an additional 270,000 shares of our common stock which may become issuable to the former shareholders of DCB. The number of these shares that will become issuable is dependent on the performance, over the two year period ending August 15, 2014, of certain assets we acquired as part of our acquisition of DCB in August 2012. However, based on the performance of those assets through March 31, 2014, we believe it is unlikely that any of these shares will become issuable.

- (2) Book value per share as of March 31, 2014 equals our total shareholders equity as of that date divided by the 7,733,514 shares of our common stock that were outstanding on that date. The as-adjusted book value per share as of March 31, 2014 equals our as-adjusted shareholders equity as of that date divided by the [] shares of our common stock assumed to be outstanding after this offering.
- (3) We expect that an aggregate of \$. million of the net proceeds from the sale of our common stock in this offering will remain after the anticipated repayment of \$21.5 million principal amount of our term note and those remaining net proceeds are presumed, for purposes of this table, to be invested in securities which carry no risk weighting for purposes of all adjusted risk-based capital ratios. If the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full, the net proceeds of this offering expected to be remaining after such anticipated repayment of the term loan would be \$. million, in which event our Tier 1 leverage ratio, Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, total capital to risk-weighted assets, total shareholders equity to total assets ratios would be . %, . %, . %, and . % respectively.
- (4) Tangible common equity (also referred to as tangible book value) and tangible assets, are equal to common equity and assets, respectively, less \$0.2 million of intangible assets as of March 31, 2014.
- (5) We calculated our risk-weighted assets using the standardized method of the Basel II Framework, as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC.

33

DILUTION

If you invest in our common stock in this offering, your ownership interest will be diluted to the extent of the difference between the initial public offering price per share of our common stock and the as-adjusted net tangible book value per share of our common stock upon completion of this offering. Historical net tangible book value per share is determined by dividing our total shareholders—equity, less our intangible assets of \$0.2 million as of March 31, 2014, by the number of our outstanding shares of common stock. Our net tangible book value at March 31, 2014 was \$88.6 million, or \$11.46 per share of our common stock.

Assuming an initial public offering price of \$[] per share (which is the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), and after deducting the underwriting discount and estimated offering expenses payable by us, our as-adjusted net tangible book value as of March 31, 2014 would have been \$[] million, or \$[] per share of our common stock. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$[] per share to our existing shareholders, and an immediate dilution of \$[] per share to investors purchasing shares in this offering, or approximately []% of the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page.

The following table illustrates the calculation of the amount of dilution per share that a purchaser of our common stock in this offering will incur:

	As to Existing	As to Investors
	Shareholders	in this Offering
Assumed initial public offering price per share		\$
Historical net tangible book value per share as of March 31, 2014	\$	
Increase in net tangible book value per share attributable to investors		
purchasing shares in this offering	\$	
As adjusted net tangible book value per share after giving effect to		
this offering	\$	\$
Dilution per share to investors purchasing shares of common stock in		
this offering		\$

The following table summarizes as of March 31, 2014, on an as-adjusted basis, the number of shares of common stock purchased from us, the total consideration paid to us and the average price per share paid by our existing shareholders and by investors purchasing shares in this offering, respectively, based upon the initial public offering price of . per share and before deducting estimated underwriting discounts and offering expenses payable by us.

(dollars in thousands Shar		res Consider		tion Paid	
except per share amounts)	Number	Percent	Amount	Percent	Price Per Share
Existing shareholders		%	\$	%	\$
New investors		%		%	\$
Total			\$		

Except as otherwise indicated, the discussion and tables above:

(1) Assume no exercise of the underwriters overallotment option. If the underwriters exercise their overallotment option in full, the number of shares of common stock held by existing shareholders will be reduced to [. %] of the total number of shares of common stock to be outstanding upon consummation of this offering, and the number of shares of common stock held by new investors purchasing shares in this offering will be increased to [] shares or [.]% of the total number of shares of common stock to be outstanding upon consummation of this offering.

34

(2) Do not take into account:

1,497,092 shares of common stock are issuable upon exercise of outstanding options to purchase shares of common stock under the Stock Incentive Plans as of March 31, 2014, at a weighted average exercise price of \$12.62 per share (of which options to acquire 1,263,789 shares of our common stock were vested as of March 31, 2014);

296,345 shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plans; and

up to an additional 270,000 shares of our common stock which may become issuable to the former shareholders of DCB. The number of these shares that will become issuable is dependent on the performance, over the two year period ending August 15, 2014, of certain assets we acquired as part of our acquisition of DCB in August 2012. However, based on the performance of those assets through March 31, 2014, we believe it is unlikely that any of these shares will become issuable.

35

BUSINESS

Overview

We are a California based financial services company that provides a comprehensive platform of personalized financial services to high net-worth individuals (that is, individuals with a net worth greater than \$1.0 million, exclusive of their primary residence) and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations. Our integrated platform provides investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services to effectively and efficiently meet the financial needs of our clients. We have also established a lending platform that offers loans to individuals and entities that own and operate multifamily residential and commercial real estate properties. In addition, we provide business banking products and services to small to moderate-sized businesses and professional firms, and consumer banking products and services to individuals and families who would not be considered high net-worth. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$2.80 billion of AUM, \$1.09 billion of total assets, \$949 million of loans and \$855 million of deposits. Our investment management, wealth planning, consulting, and trust services provide us with substantial, fee-based, recurring revenues, such that in 2013, our noninterest income was 36% of our total revenues.

Our strategy is focused on expanding our strong and stable client relationships by delivering high quality, coordinated investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services. We are able to maintain a client-focused approach by recruiting and retaining experienced and qualified staff, including highly qualified relationship managers, private bankers and financial planners.

We intend to continue to grow our business by (i) cross-selling our services among our wealth management and banking clients; (ii) obtaining new client referrals from existing clients, attorney and accountant referral sources and through referral agreements with asset custodial firms; (iii) marketing our services directly to prospective new clients; (iv) adding experienced relationship managers and private bankers who may have established client relationships that we can serve; (v) establishing de novo wealth management offices in select markets, both within and outside our existing market areas; and (vi) making opportunistic acquisitions of complementary businesses.

As a bank holding company, we are subject to regulation and examination by the FRB and the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (or the FRBSF) under delegated authority from the FRB. As an FDIC-insured, California state chartered bank, FFB is subject to regulation and examination by the FDIC and the DBO. FFB also is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (or FHLB), which provides it with a source of funds in the form of short-term and long-term borrowings. FFA is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act and is subject to regulation by the SEC under that Act.

Our History and Growth

We commenced our operations in 1990 as a fee-based investment advisory company, with the philosophy of providing personalized investment advisory services primarily to high net-worth individuals and their families to assist them in meeting their financial goals. From 1990 to 2007, we grew our client base and added relationship managers and supporting staff. We also entered into referral agreements with asset custodial firms from which we derived a significant portion of our new clients. As a result of this growth, our assets under management exceeded \$1.2 billion and we had total staff of 23 as of June 30, 2007.

In 2005 and 2006, we experienced increased competition from other companies offering a more comprehensive platform of services to their clients, including banking, investment advisory and wealth planning services. In response to this competition, we considered various strategic options, including selling our business to a larger financial

institution, expanding our services or continuing on with our then-current limited offerings. In late 2006, we decided to establish an insured bank to expand the financial services we could provide to our clients to include trust and banking services, and to attract private banking clients to whom we could cross-sell our investment advisory and wealth planning services.

As a result of our decision to establish an insured bank, beginning in late 2006 and into 2007, we retained experienced banking executives to prepare an application. In March 2007, we filed the application, together with a business plan, with the U.S. Office of Thrift Supervision (or the OTS) for approval to establish a federal savings bank insured by the FDIC under the name First Foundation Bank. In September 2007, FFB was granted an insured federal savings bank charter and commenced its banking operations in October 2007. During the fourth quarter of 2007, we moved our corporate operations to our existing location and opened our first wealth management office in Irvine, California. We refer to each of our offices as a wealth management office since we offer a full suite of financial and investment advisory services at all locations.

In early 2008, we expanded our wealth planning and consulting offerings to include foundation administration and consulting services and family consulting services. While the trust and banking operations began to grow in 2008 and 2009, all of our operations were negatively impacted by the economic recession which began in 2008. As a result of the recession, FFB focused its lending efforts on lower risk and lower yielding products, such as multifamily lending. Our AUM at FFA decreased by over 20% between September 2007 and March 2009, resulting in decreased revenues. However, we believe that because of our strong capital position and our conservative approach to underwriting our loans, FFB did not experience the significant difficulties that most other banks faced, and we were allowed to continue to grow our business in line with our business plan. During this time, we took advantage of the opportunities to hire highly qualified relationship managers, private bankers and investment professionals that existed as a result of the turmoil being experienced by many of our competitors. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$1.29 billion of AUM, \$201 million of loans, \$182 million of deposits, \$239 million of total assets and our staff totaled 66.

From 2010 through 2012, we continued to focus on expanding our client base. Over this period, we opened new wealth management offices in Pasadena and San Diego and a small branch office in La Quinta, California. In 2011, the OTS was dissolved and the FRB and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency became the primary regulators of FFI and FFB, respectively. Effective June 28, 2012, we converted FFB from a federal savings bank to a California state chartered bank, and converted FFI to a bank holding company. On August 15, 2012 we acquired DCB, a California state-chartered commercial bank, based in Palm Desert, California, and merged DCB into FFB. As a result of that acquisition, we increased our total assets by \$140 million, our loans by \$90 million, and our deposits by \$127 million, and we acquired our two wealth management offices in Palm Desert and in El Centro. Our small branch office in La Quinta was merged into the Palm Desert office. Subsequent to the acquisition of DCB in 2012, and during 2013, we opened new wealth management offices in West Los Angeles and in Las Vegas, Nevada, and completed the integration of DCB into our operations. Currently, we conduct our business from our corporate offices located in Irvine, California, and from seven wealth management offices, six of which are located in Southern California and one of which is located in Las Vegas, Nevada. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$2.80 billion of AUM, \$949 million of loans, \$855 million of deposits and \$1.09 billion of total assets and our staff totaled 194.

We believe that as a result of our client-focused approach, and our ability to offer a comprehensive platform of personalized financial services to our clients, we have been able to retain existing clients and attract new clients. This has resulted in significant growth during the four year period that ended December 31, 2013, which is demonstrated by the following financial metrics:

AUM increased at a compound annual growth rate of 19.2%;

Loans increased at a compound annual growth rate of 45.6%;

Deposits increased at a compound annual growth rate of 44.9%;

Total assets increased at a compound annual growth rate of 44.4%;

Trust AUM increased at a compound annual growth rate of 43.0%; and

Total revenues increased at a compound annual growth rate of 38.9%.

37

To achieve this growth, we have raised additional capital to support the growth of FFB and made significant investments in our staff. The number of relationship managers and private bankers has increased from 18 at December 31, 2009 to 38 at March 31, 2014. As it typically takes two to three years to break even on the investment in a relationship manager or private banker, we are in a position to benefit from these investments in the coming years as the revenues generated from these relationship managers and private bankers begin to exceed the related costs. However, these investments in relationship managers and private bankers have, and over the next few years, will continue to result in higher costs in relation to our revenues.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we are well-positioned to create additional value for our shareholders, particularly as a result of our competitive strengths, which include:

Breadth of our Services. As a result of our ability to provide investment management, wealth planning, and consulting services, in addition to traditional banking services, we believe that we offer a more comprehensive range of financial services than do most banks of comparable size in our market areas. Additionally, we believe few banks of comparable size in our market provide trust services, which can provide us with a competitive advantage over those banks, as well as a source of additional fee income.

Executive Management Team with Demonstrated Ability to Grow. Our executive management team, which has been together since 2007, has proven its ability to effectively lead us and has demonstrated an ability to grow our operations, as evidenced by the over 40% compound annual growth rate in loans, deposits and total assets from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013, and the 19% compound annual growth rate in AUM from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013.

Experienced and Collaborative Wealth Management and Banking Professionals. We believe we have built a strong team of relationship managers and private bankers, who have, on average, 24 years of industry experience. Moreover, our relationship managers and private bankers work collaboratively to coordinate the investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking services that we provide to our clients and have developed considerable experience in cross-selling our services.

Commitment to High Quality and Personalized Services. We believe that our growth is largely attributable to our commitment and success in providing high quality, coordinated and personalized financial services to our clients. Our client-focused culture has resulted in the majority of our new clients coming to us from referrals from existing clients, centers of influence, including attorneys and accountants, and from unaffiliated asset custodial firms with which we have entered into non-exclusive referral arrangements. Our team oriented approach provides our clients with multiple points of contact and resources to address their various financial needs. Our fee-based investment management services allow us to make unbiased decisions regarding our clients investments, as we are not motivated by higher fees in our selection of investment alternatives, and our fee structure does not reward us for making higher risk investments that may adversely impact our clients during a downturn in the markets.

Stable and Scalable Platform. We have built a scalable corporate and administrative infrastructure, and have made significant investments in technology for our banking and investment management processes. In addition to our relationship managers and private bankers, we have in place highly qualified executives, senior managers, and investment professionals who we believe are capable of supporting our continued growth. The nature of our business requires significant investments in operational and administrative functions. By growing our interest-earning assets and AUM, we are able to spread this fixed cost over a larger revenue base. To achieve increased profitability, we believe that it is essential for us to build our operations to a level that allows us to provide our investment

management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services in a cost effective manner.

38

Strong Credit Culture. We have implemented policies and procedures for credit underwriting and administration which have enabled us to maintain strong asset quality and at the same time grow our banking business, despite the continuing economic uncertainties and the sluggishness of the economic recovery in the United States. Our ratio of NPAs to total assets was 0.55% at March 31, 2014 and the ratio of net loan charge-offs-to average loans outstanding has averaged 0.07% for the three year period ended December 31, 2013.

Successful Multifamily and Commercial Real Estate Lending Platform. We have created a multifamily and commercial real estate lending platform that has resulted in the origination of over \$700 million of loans since the beginning of 2009. We believe that this platform has enabled us to add interest-earning assets with minimal adverse credit results. Since FFB commenced its operations in 2007, we have not experienced any chargeoffs on loans that were originated through this platform and secured by multifamily and commercial real estate properties. As of March 31, 2014, multifamily and commercial real estate loans originated on this platform represented 56.9% of our total loans outstanding.

Located in Strategic Markets. Our wealth management offices are located in areas that either have a high concentration of high net-worth households or are in areas where we believe the competition from other companies that provide a comprehensive platform of financial services to high net-worth individuals is limited. In addition, our wealth management office in Las Vegas offers Nevada trust powers which can offer significant benefits to high net-worth individuals and their families. These benefits include the availability of asset protection trusts in Nevada and the absence of state income taxes in Nevada.

Retention of Clients. We believe that because of our client-focused culture, we have experienced a high level of retention of our clients. As an example, during the five year period ending December 31, 2013, the weighted average amount of AUM withdrawn as a result of client terminations as a percentage of the beginning of year AUM balance was less than 5%.

Diverse Revenue Base. We have a diverse revenue base as a result of our comprehensive platform of services. For 2013 our total revenue was comprised of net interest income (64%), investment management fees (28%), trust fees (3%), deposit and other banking fees (3%) and consulting fees (2%).

Strong Deposit Base. An important driver of our financial performance has been, and we believe will continue to be, the growth and stability of our deposit base, which we use to fund our loans and other interest-earning assets. In addition to the increase in the amount of our deposits, which have grown at a compound annual rate of 44.9% from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2013, we have been able to increase the proportion of more stable demand deposits, which represented 53% of our total deposits at March 31, 2014, as compared to 12% at December 31, 2009.

Our Strategy

Grow Our Business Organically. In growing our business organically we intend to focus on adding experienced, high quality relationship managers and private bankers at both our existing wealth management offices and at new wealth management offices we may open in selected market areas, both within and outside of California, with demographics similar to those in our existing markets. Because a significant portion of our new business comes from referral sources, we plan to continue to work to obtain referrals from our clients, from centers of influence, including accountants and attorneys, and from loan brokers, and we will maintain referral agreements with unaffiliated asset custodial firms. We intend to continue our practice of establishing and maintaining advisory boards in each of our markets to provide client referrals.

Continue Cross-Selling our Services and Expand the Services We Offer Our Clients. We intend to continue to cross-sell our investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services to our existing and future clients. Our compensation structures encourage a team approach to client

39

service and reward relationship managers and private bankers for facilitating the cross selling of services to clients. We believe that our service-oriented culture and high quality client service will continue to enable us to strengthen and expand our client relationships and will foster continued growth in the services and products we offer them and, thereby, enabling us to increase and diversify our sources of revenues.

Grow our Business through Acquisitions. We intend to make opportunistic acquisitions that we believe will enable us to grow our franchise geographically. While we believe that banks provide the most likely opportunities for acquisition, we will also consider acquisitions of investment management firms and insurance brokerage firms. We believe that our experience with previous transactions makes us qualified to acquire other institutions and integrate their operations into our platform. In addition to providing us increased interest-earning assets and deposits, bank acquisitions would allow us to cross sell our investment management, wealth planning, consulting and trust services to clients acquired in the acquisition as well as new clients situated in the related geographic area. Although we do not have any immediate plans, arrangements or understandings related to any material acquisitions, we believe that the completion of this offering will further enhance our ability to compete for acquisition opportunities by providing available equity to invest and enabling us to offer publicly-traded shares of our common stock to the shareholders of prospective acquisition candidates.

Provide High Quality Client Services. We believe that stable long-term growth and profitability are the result of building strong client relationships, one at a time, and providing high quality, coordinated financial services. Our relationship managers and private bankers strive to build long-term relationships with our clients by understanding their financial needs and identifying and delivering appropriate and coordinated financial services to them. We believe that our service-oriented culture and high quality client service differentiates us from many of our competitors.

Attract and Retain High Quality Service Professionals. Having successful and high quality service professionals is critical to driving the development of our business and delivering high quality financial services. We have experienced low turnover in our client service personnel and intend to continue hiring and developing professionals who can establish and maintain long-term customer relationships which are the key to our culture, business and growth. We also believe that our business model, culture and scalable platform enable us to attract and retain high quality relationship managers and private bankers who share our work ethic and our commitment to providing personalized and client-focused financial services.

Our Markets

Because our primary target market is high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations, we have located our offices in areas we believe offer us the best opportunity to locate and serve this client base. The Los Angeles-Long Beach Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA), which includes Orange County, has over 250,000 high net-worth households (defined as those with \$1.0 million or more of investable assets), making it the largest high net-worth market in the Western United States. As of December 31, 2013, 68% of our AUM was from clients located in the in Los Angeles-Long Beach CBSA. Our wealth management offices are located in or adjacent to four of the top 12 markets in the Western United States as ranked by estimated number of high net-worth households. Listed below are the largest core-based statistical areas by estimated number of high net worth households in the Western United States as of December 31, 2013, according to data obtained from Phoenix Marketing International s Global Wealth Monitor:

Rank	Statistical Areas in Western United States ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Number of High Net-Worth Households	Wealth Management Office in Area
1	Los Angeles-Long Beach (CA)	252,000	Irvine, Pasadena,
			West Los Angeles
2	San Francisco-Oakland (CA)	128,000	
3	Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue (WA)	90,000	
4	Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale (AZ)	72,000	
5	Riverside (CA)	70,000	Palm Desert
6	San Diego-Carlsbad (CA)	69,000	San Diego
7	Denver-Aurora-Broomfield (CO)	63,000	_
8	San Jose-Sunnyvale (CA)	52,000	
9	Sacramento-Arden (CA)	48,000	
10	Portland-Vancouver (OR-WA)	46,000	
11	Las Vegas-Paradise (NV)	31,000	Las Vegas
12	Honolulu (HI)	25,000	C

(1) The Western United States is defined as Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming.

In addition to offering our comprehensive platform of personalized financial services primarily to high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations, we have established a lending platform that offers loans to individuals and entities that own and operate multifamily residential properties and commercial real estate properties. The underlying properties which secure these loans are primarily located in communities throughout California that have a significant population base and are already built out.

The following is a breakdown of the location of our multifamily and commercial real estate loans by county as of December 31, 2013:

(dollars in thousands)	A	mount	% of Total
California Counties:			
Los Angeles	\$	325,738	58.0%
Orange		51,366	9.2%
Riverside		48,460	8.6%
San Diego		38,163	6.8%
San Francisco		34,781	6.2%
Other counties Northern California		30,198	5.4%
Other counties Southern California		18,226	3.3%
Nevada all counties		2,305	0.4%
Other states		11,935	2.1%
Total	\$	561,172	100.0%

Our Business

Through FFA and FFB, we offer a comprehensive platform of personalized financial services to high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations. Our integrated platform provides investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services to effectively and efficiently meet the financial needs of our clients. Our broad range of financial product and services are more consistent with those offered by larger financial institutions, while our high level of personalized service, accessibility and responsiveness to our clients are more typical of the services offered by boutique investment management firms and community banks. We believe this combination of an integrated platform of comprehensive financial services and products and personalized and responsive service differentiates us from many of our competitors and has contributed to the growth of our client base and our business.

Overview of our Investment Advisory and Wealth Management Business

FFA is a fee-based investment adviser which provides investment advisory services primarily to high net-worth individuals, their families and their family businesses, and other affiliated organizations. FFA strives to provide its clients with a high level of personalized service by its staff of experienced relationship managers. As of March 31, 2014, FFA had \$2.80 billion of AUM. FFA s operations comprise the investment management, wealth planning and consulting segment of our business.

Overview of Our Banking Business

FFB is engaged in private and commercial banking, offering a broad range of personal and business banking products and services and trust services to its clients. Its private banking services include a variety of deposit products, including personal checking, savings and money market deposits and certificates of deposit, single family real estate loans, and consumer loans. FFB also provides the convenience of online and other personal banking services to its clients. FFB s business banking products and services include multifamily and commercial real estate loans, commercial term loans and lines of credit, transaction and other deposit accounts, online banking and enhanced business services. FFB has also established a lending platform that offers loans to individuals and entities who own and operate multifamily residential and commercial real estate properties. In addition, we provide business banking products and services to small to moderate-sized businesses and professional firms, and consumer banking products and services to individuals and families who would not be considered high net-worth. At March 31, 2014, FFB had \$1.09 billion of total assets, \$949 million of loans and \$855 million of deposits. FFB s operations comprise the trust and banking segment of our business.

Relationship Managers and Private Bankers

Our operating strategy has been to build strong and stable long-term client relationships, one at a time, by delivering high quality, coordinated investment management, wealth planning, consulting, trust and banking products and services. The success of this strategy is largely attributable to our experienced and high quality client relationship managers and private bankers. The primary role of our relationship managers and private bankers, in addition to attracting new clients, is to develop and maintain a strong relationship with their clients and to coordinate the services we provide to their clients. We have experienced low turnover in our client service personnel and we believe we can continue to attract and retain experienced and client-focused relationship managers and private bankers. At March 31, 2014, we employed 16 relationship managers and 22 private bankers.

Wealth Management Products and Services

FFA provides fee-based investment advisory services and wealth management and consulting services primarily for high net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses and other affiliated organizations (including public and closely-held corporations, family foundations and private charitable organizations). FFA provides high net-worth clients with personalized services designed to enable them to reach their personal and financial goals by coordinating FFA s investment advisory and wealth management services with risk management and estate and tax planning services provided by outside service providers, for which FFA does not receive commissions or referral fees. FFA s clients benefit from certain cost efficiencies available to institutional managers, such as block trading, access to institutionally priced no-load mutual funds, the ability to seek competitive bid/ask pricing for bonds, low transaction costs and investment management fees charged as a percentage of the assets managed, with tiered pricing for larger accounts.

FFA s investment management team strives to create diversified investment portfolios for its clients that are individually designed, monitored and adjusted based on the discipline of fundamental investment analysis. FFA focuses on creating investment portfolios that are commensurate with a client s objectives, risk preference and time horizon, using traditional investments such as individual stocks and bonds and mutual funds. FFA also

provides comprehensive and ongoing advice and coordination regarding estate planning, retirement planning, charitable and business ownership issues, and issues faced by executives of publicly-traded companies.

AUM at FFA has grown at a compound annual growth rate of 19.2% over the four year period ending December 31, 2013. Changes in our AUM reflects additions from new clients, the gains or losses recognized from investment results, additional funds received from existing clients, withdrawals of funds by clients, and terminations. During the 3 year period ending December 31, 2013, additions from new clients and net gains from investment results were 71% and 29%, respectively, of the total of additions from new clients and net gains from investment results.

FFA does not provide custodial services for its clients. Instead, client investment accounts are maintained under custodial arrangements with large, well established brokerage firms, either directly or through FFB. However, FFA advises its clients that they are not obligated to use those services and that they are free to select securities brokerage firms and custodial service providers of their own choosing. FFA has entered into referral agreements with certain of the asset custodial firms that provide custodial services to our clients. Under these arrangements, the asset custodial firms provide referrals of prospective new clients whose increase in wealth warrants a more personalized and expansive breath of financial services that we are able to provide in exchange for a fee. This fee is either a percentage of the fees we charge to the client or a percentage of the AUM of the client. The asset custodial firms are entitled to continue to receive these fees for as long as we continue to provide services to the referral client. These referral agreements do not require the client to maintain their assets at the custodial firm and are fully disclosed to the client prior to our providing services to them.

FFA also provides wealth management services, consisting of financial, investment and economic advisory and related services, to high-net-worth individuals and their families, family businesses, and other affiliated organizations (including public and closely-held corporations, family foundations and private charitable organizations). Those services include education, instruction and consultation on financial planning and management matters, and Internet-based data processing administrative support services involving the processing and transmission of financial and economic data primarily for charitable organizations.

Banking Products and Services

Through FFB, we offer a wide range of loan products, deposit products, business and personal banking services and trust services. Our loan products are designed to meet the credit needs of our clients in a manner that, at the same time, enables us to effectively manage the credit and interest rate risks inherent in our lending activities. Deposits represent our principal source of funds for making loans and investments and acquiring other interest-earning assets. The yields we realize on our loans and other interest-earning assets and the interest rates we pay to attract and retain deposits are the principal determinants of our banking revenues. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations elsewhere in this prospectus.

FFB also provides trust services to clients in California and Nevada. Those services, which consist primarily of the management of trust assets, complement the investment and wealth management services that FFA offers to our clients and, as a result, provide us with cross-selling opportunities. Additionally, trust service fees provide an additional source of noninterest income for us. At March 31, 2014, trust AUM totaled \$364 million.

Our lending activities serve the credit needs of high net-worth individuals and their businesses, owners of multifamily and commercial real estate properties, individuals and families who would not be considered high net-worth, small to moderate size businesses and professional firms in our market areas. As a result we offer a variety of loan products consisting of multifamily and single family residential real estate loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial term loans and lines of credit, and consumer loans. We handle all loan processing, underwriting and servicing at our

administrative office in Irvine, California.

43

The following table sets forth information regarding the types of loans that we make, by amounts and as a percentage of our total loans outstanding at:

	March 3	31, 2014	December 31, 2013		
(dollars in thousands)	Balance	% of Total	Balance	% of Total	
Outstanding principal balance:					
Loans secured by real estate:					
Residential properties:					
Multifamily	\$ 401,877	42.4%	\$ 405,984	44.9%	
Single family	263,922	27.9%	227,096	25.2%	
•					
Total loans secured by residential properties	665,799	70.3%	633,080	70.1%	
Commercial properties	166,290	17.5%	154,982	17.2%	
Land	2,345	0.2%	3,794	0.4%	
Total real estate loans	834,434	88.0%	791,856	87.7%	
Commercial and industrial loans	97,248	10.2%	93,255	10.3%	
Consumer loans	17,201	1.8%	18,484	2.0%	
	,		,		
Total loans	\$ 948,883	100.0%	\$ 903,595	100.0%	

Residential Mortgage Loans Multi-family: We make multi-family residential mortgage loans for terms up to 30 years primarily for properties located in Southern California. These loans generally are adjustable rate loans with interest rates tied to a variety of independent indexes; although in some cases these loans have fixed interest rates for periods ranging from 3 to 7 years and adjust thereafter based on an applicable index. These loans generally have interest rate floors, payment caps, and prepayment penalties. The loans are underwritten based on a variety of underwriting criteria, including an evaluation of the character and creditworthiness of the borrower and guarantors, loan-to-value and debt service coverage ratios, borrower liquidity and credit history. In addition, we perform stress testing for changes in interest rates, capitalization rates and other factors and review general economic trends such as lease rates, values and absorption rates. We typically require personal guarantees from the owners of the entities to which we make such loans.

Residential Mortgage Loans Single-family: We offer single family residential mortgage loans primarily as an accommodation to our existing clients. In most cases, these take the form of non-conforming loans and FFB does not sell or securitize any of its single family residential mortgage loan originations. FFB does not originate loans defined as high cost by state or federal banking regulators. The majority of FFB s single family residential loan originations are collateralized by first mortgages on real properties located in Southern California. These loans are generally adjustable rate loans with fixed terms ranging from 3 to 7 years terms. These loans generally have interest rate floors and payment caps. The loans are underwritten based on a variety of underwriting criteria, including an evaluation of the character and creditworthiness of the borrower and guarantors, loan-to-value and debt to income ratios, borrower liquidity, income verification and credit history. In addition, we perform stress testing for changes in interest rates and other factors and review general economic trends such as market values.

Commercial Real Estate Loans: Our commercial real estate loans are secured by first trust deeds on nonresidential real property. These loans generally are adjustable rate loans with interest rates tied to a variety of independent indexes; although in some cases these loans have fixed interest rates for periods ranging from 3 to 7 years and adjust

thereafter based on an applicable index. These loans generally have interest rate floors, payment caps, and prepayment penalties. The loans are underwritten based on a variety of underwriting criteria, including an evaluation of the character and creditworthiness of the borrower and guarantors, loan-to-value and debt service coverage ratios, borrower liquidity and credit history. In addition, we perform stress testing for changes in interest rates, cap rates and other factors and review general economic trends such as lease rates, values and absorption rates. We typically require personal guarantees from the owners of the entities to which we make such loans.

44

Commercial Loans: We offer commercial term loans and commercial lines of credit to our clients. Commercial loans generally are made to businesses that have demonstrated a history of profitable operations. To qualify for such loans, prospective borrowers generally must have operating cash flow sufficient to meet their obligations as they become due, and good payment histories. Commercial term loans are either fixed rate loans or adjustable rate loans with interest rates tied to a variety of independent indexes and are made for terms ranging from one to five years. Commercial lines of credit are adjustable rate loans with interest rates usually tied to the Wall Street Journal prime rate, are made for terms ranging from one to two years, and contain various covenants, including a requirement that the borrower reduce its credit line borrowings to zero for specified time periods during the term of the line of credit. The loans are underwritten based on a variety of underwriting criteria, including an evaluation of the character and creditworthiness of the borrower and guarantors, debt service coverage ratios, historical and projected client income, borrower liquidity and credit history. In addition, we perform stress testing for changes in interest rates and other factors and review general economic trends in the client s industry. We typically require personal guarantees from the owners of the entities to which we make such loans.

Consumer Loans: We offer a variety of consumer loans and credit products, including personal installment loans and lines of credit, and home equity lines of credit designed to meet the needs of our clients. Consumer loans are either fixed rate loans or adjustable rate loans with interest rates tied to a variety of independent indexes and are made for terms ranging from one to ten years. The loans are underwritten based on a variety of underwriting criteria, including an evaluation of the character creditworthiness and credit history of the borrower and guarantors, debt to income ratios, borrower liquidity, income verification, and the value of any collateral securing the loan. Consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower s ongoing cash flows and financial stability and, as a result, generally pose higher credit risks than the other loans that we make.

For all of our loan offerings, we utilize a comprehensive approach in our underwriting process. This includes the requirement that all factors considered in our underwriting be appropriately documented. In our underwriting, our primary focus is always on the borrower s ability to repay. However, because our underwriting process allows us to view the totality of the borrower s capacity to repay, concerns or issues in one area can be compensated for by other favorable financial criteria. This personalized and detailed approach allows us to better understand and meet our clients lending needs.

Bank Deposit Products

We offer a wide range of deposit products, including personal and business checking, savings accounts, interest-bearing negotiable order of withdrawal accounts, money market accounts and time certificates of deposit. The following table sets forth information regarding the type of deposits which our clients maintained with us and the average interest rates on those deposits as of:

		Mai	rch 31, 2014		December 31, 2013			
(dollars in thousands)	A	Amount	% of Total	Weighted Average tal Rate		Amount	% of Total	Weighted Average Rate
Demand deposits:								
Noninterest-bearing	\$	225,473	26.4%	-	\$	217,782	27.1%	-
Interest-bearing		228,587	26.8%	0.497%		217,129	27.1%	0.504%
Money market and savings		125,872	14.7%	0.496%		121,260	15.1%	0.499%

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Certificates of deposits	274,752	32.1%	0.557%	245,866	30.7%	0.606%
Total	\$ 854,684	100.0%	0.385%	\$ 802,037	100.0%	0.398%

Insurance Services

Through First Foundation Insurance Services (or FFIS), a wholly owned subsidiary of FFB, we offer life insurance products provided by unaffiliated insurance carriers from whom we collect a brokerage fee.

Competition

The banking and investment and wealth management businesses in California and Las Vegas, Nevada, generally, and in FFI s market areas, in particular, are highly competitive. A relatively small number of major national and regional banks, operating over wide geographic areas, including Wells Fargo, JP Morgan Chase, US Bank, Comerica, Union Bank and Bank of America, dominate the Southern California banking market. Those banks, or their affiliates, also offer private banking and investment and wealth management services. We also compete with large, well known private banking and wealth management firms, including City National, First Republic, Northern Trust and Boston Private. Those banks and investment and wealth management firms generally have much greater financial and capital resources than we do and as a result of their ability to conduct extensive advertising campaigns and their relatively long histories of operations in Southern California, are generally better known than us. In addition, by virtue of their greater total capitalization, the large banks have substantially higher lending limits than we do, which enables them to make much larger loans and to offer loan products that we are not able to offer to our clients.

We compete with these much larger banks and investment and wealth management firms primarily on the basis of the personal and one-on-one service that we provide to our clients, which many of these competitors are unwilling or unable to provide, other than to their wealthiest clients, due to costs involved or their one size fits all approaches to providing financial services to their clients. We believe that our principal competitive advantage is our ability to offer our banking, trust, and investment and wealth management services through one integrated platform, enabling us to provide our clients with the efficiencies and benefits of dealing with a cohesive group of professional advisors and banking officers working together to assist our clients to meet their personal investment and financial goals. We believe that only the largest financial institutions in our area provide similar integrated platforms of products and services, which they sometimes reserve for their wealthiest and institutional clients. In addition, while we also compete with many local and regional banks and numerous local and regional investment advisory and wealth management firms, we believe that only a very few of these banks offer investment or wealth management services and that a very few of these investment and wealth management firms offer banking services and, therefore, these competitors are not able to provide such an integrated platform of comprehensive financial services to their clients. This enables us to compete effectively for clients who are dissatisfied with the level of service provided at larger financial institutions, yet are not able to receive an integrated platform of comprehensive financial services from other regional or local financial service organizations.

While we provide our clients with the convenience of technological access services, such as remote deposit capture and internet banking, we compete primarily by providing a high level of personal service associated with our private banking focus. As a result, we do not try to compete exclusively on pricing. However, because we are located in a highly competitive market place and because we are seeking to grow our businesses, we attempt to maintain our pricing in line with our principal competitors.

Employees

As of March 31, 2014, we had a total of 188 full time employees and 6 part time employees. None of our employees are represented by labor unions and we believe that employee relations are good.

Facilities

Our headquarters and administrative offices are located at 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700, Irvine, California 92612. In addition, we operate seven wealth management offices located, respectively, in Newport Beach, Pasadena, West Los Angeles, Palm Desert, El Centro and San Diego, California and Las Vegas, Nevada. All of these offices are leased pursuant to non-cancelable operating leases that will expire between 2015 and 2020.

Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we are subject to claims, counter claims, suits and other litigation of the type that generally arise from the conduct of financial services businesses. We are not aware of any threatened or pending litigation that we expect will have a material adverse effect on our business operations, financial condition or results of operations.

47

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends in our results of operations in the quarter ended March 31, 2014 as compared to our results of operations in the quarter ended March 31, 2013; our financial condition at March 31, 2014 as compared to our financial condition at December 31, 2013; our results of operations in the year ended, and our financial condition at December 31, 2013, as compared to our results of operation in the year ended and our financial condition at December 31, 2012; and our results of operations in the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to our results of operation in December 31, 2011. This discussion and analysis is based on and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our results of operations or financial condition. In addition to historical information, this discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause results to differ materially from management s expectations. Some of the factors that could cause results to differ materially from expectations are discussed in the sections entitled Risk Factors and Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) and accounting practices in the banking industry. Certain of those accounting policies are considered critical accounting policies, because they require us to make estimates and assumptions regarding circumstances or trends that could materially affect the value of those assets, such as economic conditions or trends that could impact our ability to fully collect our loans or ultimately realize the carrying value of certain of our other assets. Those estimates and assumptions are made based on current information available to us regarding those economic conditions or trends or other circumstances. If changes were to occur in the events, trends or other circumstances on which our estimates or assumptions were based, or other unanticipated events were to occur that might affect our operations, we may be required under GAAP to adjust our earlier estimates and to reduce the carrying values of the affected assets on our balance sheet, generally by means of charges against income, which could also affect our results of operations in the fiscal periods when those charges are recognized.

Utilization and Valuation of Deferred Income Tax Benefits. We record as a deferred tax asset on our balance sheet an amount equal to the tax credit and tax loss carryforwards and tax deductions (collectively tax benefits) that we believe will be available to us to offset or reduce income taxes in future periods. Under applicable federal and state income tax laws and regulations, tax benefits related to tax loss carryforwards will expire if they cannot be used within specified periods of time. Accordingly, the ability to fully use our deferred tax asset related to tax loss carryforwards to reduce income taxes in the future depends on the amount of taxable income that we generate during those time periods. At least once each year, or more frequently, if warranted, we make estimates of future taxable income that we believe we are likely to generate during those future periods. If we conclude, on the basis of those estimates and the amount of the tax benefits available to us, that it is more likely, than not, that we will be able to fully utilize those tax benefits prior to their expiration, we recognize the deferred tax asset in full on our balance sheet. On the other hand, if we conclude on the basis of those estimates and the amount of the tax benefits available to us that it has become more likely, than not, that we will be unable to utilize those tax benefits in full prior to their expiration, then, we would establish a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax asset on our balance sheet to the amount with respect to which we believe it is still more likely, than not, that we will be able to use to offset or reduce taxes in the future. The establishment of such a valuation allowance, or any increase in an existing valuation allowance, would be effectuated through a charge to the provision for income taxes or a reduction in any income tax credit for the period in which such

valuation allowance is established or increased.

48

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. Our ALLL is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense and may be reduced by a recapture of previously established loss reserves, which are also reflected in the statement of income. Loans are charged against the ALLL when management believes that collectability of the principal is unlikely. The ALLL is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb estimated losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible based on an evaluation of the collectability of loans and prior loan loss experience. This evaluation also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, current economic conditions and certain other subjective factors that may affect the borrower s ability to pay. While we use the best information available to make this evaluation, future adjustments to our ALLL may be necessary if there are significant changes in economic or other conditions that can affect the collectability in full of loans in our loan portfolio.

Adoption of new or revised accounting standards. We have elected to take advantage of the extended transition period afforded by the JOBS Act, for the implementation of new or revised accounting standards. As a result, we will not be required to comply with new or revised accounting standards that have different effective dates for public and private companies until those standards apply to private companies or we cease to be an emerging growth company as defined in the JOBS Act. As a result of this election, our financial statements may not be comparable to the financials statements of companies that comply with public company effective dates.

We have two business segments, Banking and Investment Management, Wealth Planning and Consulting (Wealth Management). Banking includes the operations of FFB and FFIS and Wealth Management includes the operations of FFA. The financial position and operating results of the stand-alone holding company, FFI, are included under the caption. Other in certain of the tables that follow, along with any consolidation elimination entries.

Recent Developments and Overview

We have continued to grow both our Banking and Wealth Management operations. Comparing the first quarter of 2014 to the first quarter of 2013, we have increased our revenues (net interest income and noninterest income) by 20%. This growth in revenues is the result of the growth in Banking s total interest-earning assets and the growth in Wealth Management s AUM. During the first quarter of 2014, total loans and total deposits in Banking increased 5% and 7%, respectively, while the AUM in Wealth Management increased by \$203 million or 8% and totaled \$2.80 billion as of March 31, 2014. The growth in AUM includes the addition of \$158 million of net new accounts and \$66 million of gains realized in client accounts during the first quarter of 2014.

The results of operations for Banking reflect the benefits of this growth as income before taxes for Banking increased \$0.9 million from \$2.8 million in the first quarter of 2013 to \$3.7 million in the first quarter of 2014. Because we continue to add new staff and locations as part of our business plan, the increases in our revenues in Wealth Management were partially offset by increases in noninterest expenses. On a consolidated basis, our earnings before taxes increased from \$1.7 million in the first quarter of 2013 to \$2.5 million in the first quarter of 2014.

In the second quarter of 2013, we entered into a loan agreement to borrow \$7.5 million for a term of five years. This note bears interest at a rate of ninety day Libor plus 4.0% per annum. In the first quarter of 2014, we entered into an amendment to this loan agreement pursuant to which we obtained an additional \$15,000,000 of borrowings. As a result, as of March 31, 2014, the outstanding principal amount of the loan was \$21,875,000. This amendment did not alter any of the terms of the loan agreement or the loan, other than the \$15,000,000 increase in the principal amount of the loan and a corresponding increase in the amount of the monthly installments of principal and interest payable on the Loan. The amended loan agreement requires us to make monthly payments of principal of \$0.2 million plus interest, with a final payment of the unpaid principal balance, in the amount of approximately \$12.1 million, plus accrued but unpaid interest, at the maturity date of the loan in May 2018. We have the right, in our discretion, to

prepay the loan at any time in whole or, from time to time, in part, without any penalties or premium. We are required to meet certain financial covenants during the term of

49

the loan. As security for our repayment of the loan, we pledged all of the common stock of FFB to the lender. See Financial Condition Term Loan below for additional information regarding this loan.

Results of Operations

Quarters Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

Our net income for the first quarter of 2014 was \$1.5 million, as compared to \$1.1 million for first quarter of 2013. Income before taxes was \$2.5 million in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$1.7 million in the first quarter of 2013. The effective tax rate increased to 42.0% in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to 38.0% in the first quarter of 2013 due in part to the elimination of certain credits under California tax laws. The following is a comparison of our income before taxes between the first quarter of 2014 and first quarter of 2013.

The following tables show key operating results for each of our business segments for the quarters ended March 31:

Wealth								
(dollars in thousands)	В	anking	Man	agement	(Other		Total
2014:								
Interest income	\$	10,675	\$	-	\$	-	\$	10,675
Interest expense		851		-		74		925
Net interest income		9,824		-		(74)		9,750
Provision for loan losses		235		-		-		235
Noninterest income		1,042		4,625		(116)		5,551
Noninterest expense		6,942		4,842		762		12,546
Income (loss) before taxes						(0.74)		
on income	\$	3,689	\$	(217)	\$	(952)	\$	2,520
2013:								
Interest income	\$	9,004	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,004
Interest expense		812		-		-		812
Net interest income		8,192		-		-		8,192
Provision for loan losses		622		-		-		622
Noninterest income		819		3,816		(102)		4,533
Noninterest expense		5,612		4,325		459		10,396
Income (loss) before taxes								
on income	\$	2,777	\$	(509)	\$	(561)	\$	1,707

The primary sources of revenue for Banking are net interest income, fees from its deposits, trust and insurance services, and certain loan fees. The primary sources of revenue for Wealth Management are asset management fees assessed on the balance of AUM and fees charged for consulting and administrative services. Compensation and benefit costs, which represent the largest component of noninterest expense accounted for 65% and 77%, respectively,

of the total noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management in the first quarter of 2014.

General. Consolidated income before taxes increased \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 as a result of an increase in income before taxes for Banking and a decrease in loss before taxes for Wealth Management, which were partially offset by increases in corporate expenses. Income before taxes in Banking was \$3.7 million in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$2.8 million in the

first quarter of 2013 as higher net interest income, a lower provision for loan losses and higher noninterest income was partially offset by higher noninterest expenses. As a result of higher noninterest income, which was only partially offset by higher noninterest expenses, the loss before taxes for Wealth Management was \$0.3 million lower in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013. Our operating losses in Wealth Management are due in part to our continued investment in new relationship managers which are a key component in growing our revenues. Typically, it takes two to three years to realize enough revenues to cover the costs associated with hiring and retaining a new relationship manager. Corporate expenses were \$0.4 million higher in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 due to interest costs on the term loan entered into in the second quarter of 2013, increased allocations of compensation costs from FFB and increased contributions made under our community support programs.

Net Interest Income. The following tables set forth information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yields on those assets; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense and the average rate of interest on our interest-bearing liabilities; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest rate spread; and (v) net yield on interest-earning assets for the quarters ended March 31:

			201	2014				201	3		
(dollars in thousands)		Average Balances	I	nterest	Average Yield /Rate	Average Average Yield /Rate Balances		In	terest	Average Yield /Rate	
Interest-earning assets:											
Loans	\$	925,893	\$	10,104	4.37%	\$	757,781	\$	8,919	4.72%	
Securities and FHLB											
Stock		63,675		392	2.46%		8,748		23	1.08%	
Fed funds and deposits		49,267		179	1.48%		28,274		62	0.89%	
Total interest-earning											
assets		1,038,835		10,675	4.12%		794,803		9,004	4.54%	
Noninterest-earning assets:											
Nonperforming assets		3,112					2,290				
Other		16,410					15,318				
Total assets	\$	1,058,357				\$	812,411				
Interest-bearing liabilities:											
Demand deposits	\$	221,199		278	0.51%	\$	110,674		150	0.55%	
Money market and	Ψ	·				Ψ	,				
savings		124,945		151	0.49%		91,737		106	0.47%	
Certificates of deposit		255,267		375	0.60%		312,778		526	0.68%	
		601,411		804	0.54%		515,189		782	0.62%	

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Total interest-bearing deposits						
Borrowings	151,582	121	0.32%	58,322	30	0.21%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	752,993	925	0.50%	573,511	812	0.57%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand deposits	210,330			158,194		
Other liabilities	7,200			6,596		
Total liabilities	970,523			738,301		
Stockholders equity	87,834			74,110		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,058,357			\$ 812,411		
Net Interest Income		\$ 9,750			\$ 8,192	
Net Interest Rate Spread		·	3.62%			3.97%
Net Yield on Interest-earning Assets			3.76%			4.13%

Net interest income is impacted by the volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), interest rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume) and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table provides a breakdown of the changes in net interest income due to volume and rate changes between the first quarter of 2014 as compared to first quarter of 2013.

(dollars in thousands)	Increase (Decrease) due to Volume Rate]	Net Increase (Decrease)		
Interest earned on:								
Loans	\$	1,870	\$	(685)		\$	1,185	
Securities and FHLB Stock		307		62			369	
Fed funds and deposits		60		57			117	
Total interest-earning assets		2,237		(566)			1,671	
Interest paid on:								
Demand deposits		141		(13)			128	
Money market and savings		40		5			45	
Certificates of deposit		(88)		(63)			(151)	
Borrowings		67		24			91	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		160		(47)			113	
Net interest income	\$	2,077	\$	(519)	:	\$	1,558	

Net interest income increased 19% from \$8.2 million in the first quarter of 2013 to \$9.8 million in the first quarter of 2014 because of a 32% increase in interest-earning assets, which was partially offset by a decrease in our net interest rate spread. The decrease in the net interest rate spread from 3.97% in the first quarter of 2013 to 3.62% in the first quarter of 2014 was due to a decrease in yield on total interest-earning assets which was partially offset by a decrease in rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The decrease in yield on interest-earning assets reflected the decrease in interest rates in the overall market, prepayments of higher yielding loans, and an increase in the proportion of lower yielding securities and deposits to total interest-earning assets. The decrease in rates on interest-bearing liabilities from 0.57% in the first quarter of 2013 to 0.50% in the first quarter of 2014 was due to decreases in market interest rates on deposits and an increase in the proportion of lower rate borrowings, which were partially offset by increased borrowing costs related to interest on the FFI term loan.

Provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses represents our determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period s earnings to maintain the ALLL at a level that is considered adequate in relation to the estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses is impacted by changes in loan balances as well as changes in estimated loss assumptions and charge-offs and recoveries. The amount of our provision also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, current economic conditions and certain other subjective factors that may affect the ability of borrowers to meet their repayment obligations to us. The provision for loan losses was \$0.2 million in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$0.6 million in the first quarter of 2013. The decrease in the provision for loan losses in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was the result of

reductions in estimated loss assumptions and the lower amount of chargeoffs. We did not recognized any chargeoffs in the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the \$0.8 million of chargeoffs we recognized in the first quarter of 2013.

Noninterest income. Noninterest income for Banking includes fees charged to clients for trust services and deposit services, prepayment and late fees charged on loans, insurance commissions and intercompany fees charged for services provided to Wealth Management. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Banking for the quarters ended March 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2	2014	2013		
Trust fees	\$	487	\$	527	
Deposit charges		93		92	
Prepayment fees		116		54	
Other		346		146	
Total noninterest income	\$	1.042	\$	819	

The \$0.2 million increase in noninterest income for Banking in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was due primarily to a \$0.2 million increase in insurance commissions as there was larger cases closed in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013.

Noninterest income for Wealth Management includes fees charged to high net-worth clients for managing their assets and for providing financial planning and consulting services, as well as fees for administration services provided to family foundations and private charitable organizations. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Wealth Management for the quarters ended March 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	
Asset management fees	\$ 4,368	\$ 3,552	
Consulting and administration fees	263	270	
Other	(6)	(6)	
Total noninterest income	\$ 4,625	\$ 3,816	

The \$0.8 million increase in noninterest income in Wealth Management in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was primarily due to increases in asset management fees of 23%. That increase was primarily due to the 16% increase in the AUM balances used for computing the asset management fees in 2014 as compared to 2013.

Noninterest Expense. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management for the quarters ended March 31:

	В	anking	Wealth I	Management
(dollars in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Compensation and benefits	\$ 4,52	0 \$ 3,84	\$ 3,728	\$ 3,313
Occupancy and depreciation	1,28	4 92	26 515	393
Professional services and marketing	50	3 37	71 464	456

Edgar Filing: I	First Foundation I	Inc	Form:	S-1
-----------------	--------------------	-----	-------	-----

Other expenses	635	471	135	163
Total noninterest expense	\$ 6,942	\$ 5,612	\$ 4,842	\$ 4,325

The \$1.3 million increase in noninterest expense in Banking in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was due primarily to increases in staffing and costs associated with FFB s higher balances of loans and deposits and our continuing expansion. Compensation and benefits for Banking increased \$0.7 million during in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 as the number of full-time equivalent employees, (FTE) in Banking increased to 136.3 during the first quarter of 2014 from 112.8 during the first

quarter of 2013. The \$0.4 million increase in occupancy and depreciation costs for Banking in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was due to the one additional office being open during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 and the expansion into additional space at the administrative office in the second quarter of 2013. For Banking, the combined \$0.3 million increase in professional services and marketing, which includes costs for legal, accounting, consulting and information technology services, as well as management fees paid to FFA for providing asset management services for FFB s trust clients, and other expenses, which includes office related costs, FDIC and other regulatory assessments, director fees, insurance costs, loan related expenses, employee reimbursements and REO expenses, reflect increased costs related to our larger loan and deposit balances, increased staffing and increased number of wealth management offices.

The \$0.5 million increase in noninterest expense in Wealth Management in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was primarily due to increases in staffing and costs associated with our continuing expansion and growth. Compensation and benefits for Wealth Management increased \$0.4 million in the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 as the number of FTE in Wealth Management increased to 54.7 during the first quarter of 2014 from 51.0 during the first quarter of 2013.

Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Our net income for 2013 was \$7.9 million, as compared to \$5.8 million for 2012. The proportional increase in net income was more than the proportional increase in income before taxes because of a decrease in our effective tax rate from 26% in 2012 to 17% in 2013. In 2013 and 2012, the valuation allowance for deferred taxes was reduced by \$2.4 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, resulting in lower effective tax rates as compared to a normalized income tax provision of 42%.

Income before taxes was \$9.5 million in 2013 as compared to \$7.8 million in 2012. The following is a comparison of our income before taxes between 2013 and 2012.

The following tables show key operating results for each of our business segments for the years ended December 31:

Wealth												
(dollars in thousands)	F	Banking	Mar	nagement	Other			Total				
2013:												
Interest income	\$	39,181	\$	-	\$	-	\$	39,181				
Interest expense		3,288		-		219		3,507				
Net interest income		35,893		-		(219)		35,674				
Provision for loan losses		2,395		-		-		2,395				
Noninterest income		3,514		16,715		(405)		19,824				
Noninterest expense		24,302		17,400		1,920		43,622				
Income (loss) before taxes on income	\$	12,710	\$	(685)	\$	(2,544)	\$	9,481				
2012:												
Interest income	\$	30,874	\$	-	\$	-	\$	30,874				

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Interest expense	3,145	-	-	3,145
Net interest income	27,729	-	-	27,729
Provision for loan losses	2,065	-	-	2,065
Noninterest income	2,599	14,250	(229)	16,620
Noninterest expense	18,280	14,896	1,300	34,476
_				
Income (loss) before				
taxes on income	\$ 9,983	\$ (646)	\$ (1,529)	\$ 7,808

The primary sources of revenue for Banking are net interest income, fees from its deposits, trust and insurance services, and certain loan fees. The primary sources of revenue for Wealth Management are asset management fees assessed on the balance of AUM and fees charged for consulting and administrative services. Compensation and benefit costs, which represent the largest component of noninterest expense accounted for 62% and 76%, respectively, of the total noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management in 2013.

General. As a result of an increase in income before taxes for Banking, which was partially offset by an increase in corporate expenses, consolidated income before taxes increased \$1.7 million in 2013 as compared to 2012. Income before taxes in Banking was \$2.7 million higher in 2013 as compared to 2012 as higher net interest income and higher noninterest income was partially offset by a higher noninterest expenses. The loss before taxes for Wealth Management for 2013 was comparable to the loss for 2012 as increases in noninterest income were offset by increases in noninterest expenses. Our operating losses in Wealth Management are due in part to our continued investment in new relationship managers which are a key component in growing our revenues. Typically, it takes two to three years to realize enough revenues to cover the costs associated with hiring and retaining a new relationship manager. Corporate expenses were \$1.0 million higher in 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increased sales and marketing activities, increased allocations of compensation costs from FFB and interest costs on the term loan.

Net Interest Income. The following tables set forth information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yields on those assets; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense and the average rate of interest on our interest-bearing liabilities; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest rate spread; and (v) net yield on interest-earning assets for the year ended December 31:

	A 22000 00	2013	A 220 ma 200	A	2012	A
(dollars in thousands)	Average Balances	Interest	Average Yield /Rate	Average Balances	Interest	Average Yield /Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans	\$ 808,747	\$ 37,918	4.69%	\$ 626,866	\$ 30,552	4.87%
Securities	37,325	864	2.31%	16,047	193	1.20%
Fed funds and deposits	37,919	399	1.05%	17,346	129	0.75%
Total interest-earning assets	883,991	39,181	4.43%	660,259	30,874	4.68%
Noninterest-earning assets:						
Nonperforming assets	2,778			1,232		
Other	13,935			12,631		
Total assets	\$ 900,704			\$ 674,122		
Interest-bearing liabilities:	·			·		
Demand deposits	\$ 165,736	857	0.52%	\$ 43,776	251	0.58%
Money market and savings	99,826	434	0.44%	92,404	516	0.56%
Certificates of deposit	279,470	1,876	0.67%	283,677	2,151	0.76%
	545,032	3,167	0.58%	419,857	2,918	0.70%

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Total interest-bearing deposits						
Borrowings	84,409	340	0.40%	99,257	227	0.23%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	629,441	3,507	0.56%	519,114	3,145	0.61%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand deposits	186,760			92,641		
Other liabilities	7,813			4,970		
Total liabilities Stockholders equity	824,014 76,690			616,725 57,397		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 900,704			\$ 674,122		
Net Interest Income		\$ 35,674			\$ 27,729	
Net Interest Rate Spread			3.87%			4.07%
Net Yield on Interest-earning Assets			4.04%			4.20%

Net interest income is impacted by the volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), interest rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume) and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table provides a breakdown of the changes in net interest income due to volume and rate changes between 2013 as compared to 2012.

	In	crease (Dec	crease	e) due to	Net Increase		
(dollars in thousands)	Volume			Rate	(Decrease)		
Interest earned on:							
Loans	\$	8,565	\$	(1,199)	\$	7,366	
Securities		396		275		671	
Fed funds and deposits		201		69		270	
Total interest-earning assets		9,162		(855)		8,307	
Interest paid on:							
Demand deposits		635		(29)		606	
Money market and savings		39		(121)		(82)	
Certificates of deposit		(33)		(242)		(275)	
Borrowings		(38)		151		113	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		603		(241)		362	
Net interest income	\$	8,559	\$	(614)	\$	7,945	

Net interest income increased 29% from \$27.7 million in 2012 to \$35.7 million in 2013 because of a 33% increase in interest-earning assets and because we realized \$1.1 million of interest income in 2013 on the net recovery of mark to market adjustments related to payoffs of acquired loans, which were partially offset by a decrease in our net interest rate spread. Excluding this net recovery, the yield on total interest-earning assets would have been 4.31%, the net interest rate spread would have been 3.75% and the net yield on interest-earning assets would have been 3.91% in 2013. Excluding the net recovery on acquired loans, the decrease in the net interest rate spread from 4.07% in 2012 to 3.75% in 2013 was due to a decrease in yield on total interest-earning assets which was partially offset by a decrease in rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The decrease in yield on interest-earning assets reflected the decrease in interest rates in the overall market, prepayments of higher yielding loans, and an increase in the proportion of lower yielding securities and deposits to total interest-earning assets. The decrease in rates on interest-bearing liabilities from 0.61% in 2012 to 0.56% in 2013 was due to decreases in market interest rates on deposits which were partially offset by increased borrowing costs related to interest on the FFI term loan.

Provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses represents our determination of the amount necessary to be charged against the current period s earnings to maintain the ALLL at a level that is considered adequate in relation to the estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses is impacted by changes in loan balances as well as changes in estimated loss assumptions and charge-offs and recoveries. The amount of our provision also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, current economic conditions and certain other subjective factors that may affect the ability of borrowers to meet their repayment obligations to us. The provision for loan losses was

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

\$2.4 million for 2013 and \$2.1 million for 2012. The increase in the provision for loan losses in 2013 as compared to 2012 was the result of higher loan balances and a \$0.5 million increase in charge-offs which were partially offset by reductions in estimated loss assumptions.

56

Noninterest income. Noninterest income for Banking includes fees charged to clients for trust services and deposit services, prepayment and late fees charged on loans and intercompany fees charged for services provided to Wealth Management. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Banking for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2013		2012
Trust fees	\$ 1,785	\$	1,170
Deposit charges	366		143
Prepayment fees	846		779
Other	517		507
Total noninterest income	\$ 3,514	\$	2,599

The \$0.9 million increase in noninterest income for Banking in 2013, as compared to 2012 was due primarily to higher trust fees. The increase in trust fees reflects the continuing growth of the trust operations as evidenced by the higher level of trust AUM, which has increased to \$341 million as of December 31, 2013.

Noninterest income for Wealth Management includes fees charged to high net-worth clients for managing their assets and for providing financial planning consulting services, as well as fees for administration services provided to family foundations and private charitable organizations. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Wealth Management for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
Asset management fees	\$ 15,560	\$ 12,983
Consulting and administration fees	1,164	1,341
Other	(9)	(74)
Total noninterest income	\$ 16.715	\$ 14.250

The \$2.5 million increase in noninterest income in Wealth Management in 2013, as compared to 2012 was primarily due to increases in asset management fees of 20%. That increase was primarily due to the 19% increase in the AUM balances used for computing the asset management fees in 2013 as compared to 2012.

Noninterest Expense. The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management for the years ended December 31:

	Banking				Wealth Management			
(dollars in thousands)		2013		2012		2013		2012
Compensation and benefits	\$	14,971	\$	11,208	\$	13,176	\$	11,673
Occupancy and depreciation		4,568		3,656		1,922		1,393
Professional services and marketing		1,752		1,000		1,536		1,179
Other expenses		3,011		2,416		766		651

Total noninterest expense \$ 24,302 \$ 18,280 \$ 17,400 \$ 14,896

The \$6.0 million increase in noninterest expense in Banking during 2013 as compared to 2012 was due primarily to increases in staffing and costs associated with FFB s higher balances of loans and deposits and our continuing expansion, including the DCB Acquisition in August 2012. Compensation and benefits for Banking increased \$3.8 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 as the number of full-time equivalent employees, (FTE) in Banking increased to 123.1 during 2013 from 87.9 during 2012. The \$0.9 million increase in occupancy and depreciation costs for Banking during 2013 as compared to 2012 was due to the four additional offices being open at some time during 2013 as compared to 2012 and the expansion into additional space at the

administrative office in the second quarter of 2013. Those increases were partially offset by reduced operating system costs relating to \$0.6 million of costs incurred in 2012 as part of FFB s conversion to a new core processing system. Professional services and marketing for Banking, which includes costs for legal, accounting, consulting and information technology services, as well as management fees paid to FFA for providing asset management services for FFB s trust clients, increased \$0.8 million during 2013 as compared to 2012. This increase was due primarily to additional consulting and legal costs incurred in relation to strategic activities of FFB and an increase in asset management fees related to trust clients. Other expenses for Banking, which include office related costs, FDIC and other regulatory assessments, director fees, insurance costs, loan related expenses, employee reimbursements and real estate owned (REO) expenses, increased \$0.6 million during 2013 as compared to 2012. This increase was primarily due to a \$0.3 million charge to REO reserves in 2013 and \$0.1 million increases in employee reimbursements and in loan related expenses, both of which were related to our continued growth.

The \$2.5 million increase in noninterest expense in Wealth Management during 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily due to increases in staffing and costs associated with our continuing expansion and growth. Compensation and benefits for Wealth Management increased \$1.5 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 as the number of FTE in Wealth Management increased to 53.4 during 2013 from 44.7 during 2012. The \$0.5 million increase in occupancy and depreciation costs for Wealth Management during 2013 as compared to 2012 was due to additional offices being open during all or a portion of 2013 as compared to 2012 and \$0.2 million of costs incurred related to an upgrade of our asset management operating system. Professional services and marketing for Wealth Management, which includes costs for legal, accounting and information technology services, as well as recurring referral fees paid to third parties, increased \$0.4 million during 2013 as compared to 2012. This \$0.4 million increase was due primarily to higher referral fees related to the increased asset management fees and higher recruiting fees paid related to the increase in staffing during 2013. Other expenses for Wealth Management, which include office related costs, insurance costs and employee reimbursements did not change significantly in 2013 as compared to 2012.

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Our net income for 2012 was \$5.8 million, as compared to \$9.1 million for 2011. The proportional decrease in net income was greater than the proportional decrease in income before taxes because of an increase in our effective tax rate from 0% in 2011 to 26% in 2012. In 2012 and 2011, the valuation allowance for deferred taxes was reduced by \$1.0 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, resulting in lower effective tax rates as compared to a normalized income tax provision of 42%.

Income before taxes was \$7.8 million in 2012 as compared to \$9.1 million in 2011. The following is a comparison of our income before taxes between 2012 and 2011.

The following tables show key operating results for each of our business segments for the years ended December 31:

			We	ealth			
(dollars in thousands)	1	Banking	Mana	gement	Oth	ıer	Total
2012:							
Interest income	\$	30,874	\$	-	\$		\$ 30,874
Interest expense		3,145		-		-	3,145
_							
Net interest income		27,729		-		-	27,729
Provision for loan losses		2,065		-		-	2,065

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Noninterest income	2,599	14,250	(229)	16,620	
Noninterest expense	18,280	14,896	1,300	34,476	
Income (loss) before taxes on income	\$ 9,983	\$ (646)	\$ (1,529)	\$ 7,808	

				Wealth				
(dollars in thousands)	В	anking	Ma	Management Other		Total		
2011:								
Interest income	\$	23,022	\$	-	\$	-	\$	23,022
Interest expense		2,881		-		-		2,881
Net interest income		20,141		-		-		20,141
Provision for loan losses		2,297		-		-		2,297
Noninterest income		5,094		12,719		(113)		17,700
Noninterest expense		12,137		13,027		1,282		26,446
_								
Income (loss) before taxes on								
income	\$	10,801	\$	(308)	\$	(1,395)	\$	9,098

The primary sources of revenue for Banking are net interest income and fees from its deposit, trust and insurance services. The primary sources of revenue for Wealth Management are asset management fees assessed on the balance of AUM and fees charged for consulting and administrative services. For 2012, compensation and benefits comprised 61% and 78%, respectively, of the total noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management, respectively.

General: In 2011, FFB realized a \$3.7 million gain on sale of REO which is included in noninterest income in Banking. Excluding the gain on sale of REO, income before taxes for Banking increased to \$10.0 million in 2012 from \$7.1 million in 2011 due primarily to higher net interest income and higher noninterest income which were partially offset by higher noninterest expenses. The net loss before taxes in Wealth Management increased to \$0.6 million in 2012 from \$0.3 million in 2011 as higher noninterest expenses in 2012 were only partially offset by higher asset management fees.

Net Interest Income: The following tables set forth information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yields on those assets; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense and the average rate of interest on our interest-bearing liabilities; (iii) net interest income; (iv) net interest rate spread; and (v) net yield on interest-earning assets for the years ended December 31:

		2012			2011	
(dollars in thousands)	Average Balances	Interest	Average terest Yield /Rate		Interest	Average Yield /Rate
Interest-earning						
assets:						
Loans	\$ 626,866	\$ 30,552	4.87%	\$ 436,247	\$ 22,864	5.24%
Securities and						
FHLB stock	16,047	193	1.20%	9,710	135	1.35%
Fed funds and						
deposits	17,346	129	0.75%	8,902	23	0.26%
Total						
interest-earning						
assets	660,259	30,874	4.68%	454,859	23,022	5.06%

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Noninterest-earning

assets:

Nonperforming						
assets	1,232			467		
Other	12,631			3,876		
Total assets	\$ 674,122			\$ 459,202		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand deposits	\$ 43,776	251	0.58%	\$ 11,375	74	0.65%
Money market and savings	92,404	516	0.56%	60,844	405	0.67%
Certificates of deposit	283,677	2,151	0.76%	225,263	2,312	1.03%
Total interest-bearing	410.957	2.010	0.70%	207.482	2 701	0.94%
deposits	419,857	2,918		297,482	2,791	
Borrowings Total interest-bearing	99,257	227	0.23%	60,375	90	0.15%
liabilities	519,114	3,145	0.61%	357,857	2,881	0.81%

Table of Contents										
			2012						2011	
(dollars in thousands)		Average Balances	Interest	Average Yield /Rat			rage inces]	Interest	Average Yield /Rate
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:										
Demand deposits	\$	92,641				\$ 59	9,650			
Other liabilities		4,970				2	2,641			
Total liabilities		616,725				420	0,148			
Stockholders equity	y	57,397				39	9,054			
Total liabilities and equity	\$	674,122				\$ 459	9,202			
Net Interest Income			\$ 27,729					\$	20,141	
Net Interest Rate Spread				4.0	7%					4.25%
Net Yield on Interest-earning Assets				4.20)%					4.43%

Net interest income is impacted by the volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), interest rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume) and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table provides a breakdown of the changes in net interest income due to volume and rate changes between 2012 as compared to corresponding period in 2011.

	I	ncrease	(Decrease) due to	Net Increase		
(dollars in thousands)	V	olume	Rate	(De	ecrease)	
Interest earned on:						
Loans	\$	9,406	\$ (1,718)	\$	7,688	
Securities and FHLB stock		78	(20)		58	
Fed funds and deposits		35	71		106	
Total interest-earning assets		9,519	(1,667)		7,852	
Interest paid on:						
Demand deposits		186	(9)		177	
Money market and savings		186	(75)		111	
Certificates of deposit		528	(689)		(161)	
Borrowings		75	62		137	
Total interest-bearing liabilities		975	(711)		264	
Net interest income	\$	8,544	\$ (956)	\$	7,588	

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

The yield on interest-earning assets and the rate on interest-bearing liabilities have been impacted by the continuing decreases in market interest rates, which resulted in a 37 basis point decrease in the yield on average loans and a 20 basis point decrease in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities in 2012 as compared to 2011. Because the decrease in our yield on loans was greater than our decrease in the rate on interest-bearing liabilities, our net interest rate spread decreased to 4.07% in 2012 as compared to 4.25% in 2011. Because the loans and deposits acquired in the DCB Acquisition were valued at fair value, the results related to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the DCB Acquisition did not have a significant impact on our net yield on interest-earning assets in 2012.

Provision for loan losses: Our provision for loans losses in 2012 was \$2.1 million as compared to \$2.3 million in 2011 because the increase in our net loans in 2012, excluding the loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition, was 24% less than the increase in our net loans in 2011. The impact of this decrease was partially offset by a \$0.3 million increase in net charge-offs in 2012 as compared to 2011. Under accounting guidelines, FFB is required to provide a calculated reserve for loan losses for its outstanding loan balances, including those acquired in the DCB Acquisition. However, these guidelines also require FFB to record the calculated reserve for acquired loans as a reduction of the carrying balance of those loans on the date they are acquired, and then amortize this calculated reserve into income for each loan over the life of the loan. Therefore, the ALLL represents the estimated credit losses of all loans not acquired in the DCB Acquisition, plus any

60

deficiency in the estimated credit losses, which is included as a reduction of the carrying balance of those loans, for the loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition. Excluding the loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition, FFB s ALLL levels at December 31, 2012 and 2011 equaled 1.25% of the respective loan balances then outstanding.

Noninterest income: The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Banking for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Trust fees	\$ 1,170	\$ 555
Prepayment fees	779	208
Gain on sale of REO	-	3,695
Other	650	636
Total noninterest income	\$ 2,599	\$ 5,094

During 2009, FFB foreclosed on properties securing two participation loans, with a book value of \$3.6 million, resulting in a \$0.3 million charge-off and the transfer of the remaining outstanding balances to REO. Subsequently, FFB recorded \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million provisions for REO losses related to these properties in 2010 and 2009, respectively. During 2011, we reached a settlement agreement with the bank who sold us these participation loans. As a result of the settlement we transferred the properties to the other bank and recognized a \$3.7 million gain on sale of REO in 2011.

Excluding the \$3.7 million gain on sale of REO recognized in 2011, the \$1.2 million increase in noninterest income in Banking for 2012, as compared to 2011, was due to increased activity levels in the trust operations of FFB as well as increased fees related to the prepayment of loans. Trust AUM increased from \$135 million at the beginning of 2011 to \$309 million at the end of 2012. Loan prepayments totaled \$116 million in 2012 as compared to \$61 million in 2011.

The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest income for Wealth Management for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	
Asset management fees	\$ 12,983	\$ 11,338	
Consulting and administration			
fees	1,341	1,393	
Other	(74)	(12)	
Total noninterest income	\$ 14,250	\$ 12,719	

Asset management fees increased by 15% in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to a 21% increase in the average billable AUM which was partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average investment advisory fee rate. At December 31, 2012, AUM totaled \$2.23 billion as compared to \$1.83 billion at December 31, 2011 and \$1.56 billion at December 31, 2010.

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Noninterest Expense: The following table provides a breakdown of noninterest expense for Banking and Wealth Management for the years ended December 31:

	Ba	nking		Wealth Management				
(dollars in thousands)	2012		2011		2012	_	2011	
Compensation and benefits	\$ 11,208	\$	7,808	\$	11,673	\$	10,091	
Occupancy and depreciation	3,656		1,786		1,393		1,226	
Professional services and								
marketing	1,000		501		1,179		1,231	
Other expenses	2,416		2,042		651		479	
Total noninterest expense	\$ 18,280	\$	12,137	\$	14,896	\$	13,027	

The increase in noninterest expense in Banking during 2012 as compared to 2011 was due to increases in staffing, increases in noninterest expenses as a result of the DCB Acquisition and costs associated with our higher balances of loans and deposits. Compensation and benefits increased \$3.4 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 as the number of FTE in Banking increased to 87.9 FTE during 2012 as compared to 58.6 FTE during 2011. The increase in staffing was primarily due to the opening of our new office in West Los Angeles and increased staffing related to the DCB Acquisition. The \$1.9 million increase in occupancy and depreciation for Banking in 2012 as compared to 2011 reflects the facility costs for those branches acquired or opened in 2012 as well as the full year of costs related to the branch and corporate expansions that occurred in 2011. The \$0.5 million increase in professional services and marketing for Banking in 2012 as compared to 2011 was due to costs related to our increased activities, including information technology upgrades and projects and increased management fees paid on trust AUM. The \$0.4 million increase in other expenses in 2012 as compared to 2011 reflects costs related to our continuing growth including FDIC insurance premiums and general office costs.

The \$1.9 million increase in noninterest expenses in Wealth Management during 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily due to \$1.6 million of higher compensation and benefits costs resulting from increased staffing associated with opening of our new office in West Los Angeles and increased incentive compensation related to the growth in AUM. Staffing for Wealth Management increased to 44.7 FTE in 2012 from 42.0 FTE in 2011.

Financial Condition

The following table shows the financial position for each of our business segments, and of FFI and elimination entries used to arrive at our consolidated totals which are included in the column labeled Other, as of:

(dollars in thousands)	Banking		Wealth nagement	ther and minations	Total		
March 31, 2014:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	50,045	\$ 1,058	\$ (816)	\$	50,287	
Securities AFS		73,257	-	-		73,257	
Loans, net		938,366	328	-		938,694	
Premises and equipment		2,096	782	100		2,978	
FHLB Stock		6,580	-	-		6,580	
Deferred taxes		10,512	878	(190)		11,200	
REO		1,875	-	-		1,875	
Other assets		3,840	910	727		5,477	
Total assets	\$	1,086,571	\$ 3,956	\$ (179)	\$	1,090,348	
Deposits	\$	865,889	\$ -	\$ (11,205)	\$	854,684	
Borrowings		119,000	-	21,875		140,875	
Intercompany balances		365	400	(765)		-	
Other liabilities		2,897	1,515	1,536		5,948	
Shareholders equity		98,420	2,041	(11,620)		88,841	
Total liabilities and equity	\$	1,086,571	\$ 3,956	\$ (179)	\$	1,090,348	

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

December 31, 2013:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	56,795	\$ 2,134	\$ (1,975)	\$ 56,954
Securities AFS		59,111	-	-	59,111
Loans, net		893,364	366	-	893,730
Premises and equipment		2,286	863	100	3,249
FHLB Stock		6,721	-	-	6,721
Deferred taxes		11,426	865	(239)	12,052
REO		375	-	-	375
Other assets		3,840	717	611	5,168
Total assets	\$ 1	1,033,918	\$ 4,945	\$ (1,503)	\$ 1,037,360

(dollars in thousands)	Banking	Wealth Management		her and ninations	Total	
Deposits	\$ 809,306	\$ -	\$	(7,269)	\$	802,037
Borrowings	134,000	-		7,063		141,063
Intercompany balances	857	248		(1,105)		-
Other liabilities	4,018	2,590		890		7,498
Shareholders equity	85,737	2,107		(1,082)		86,762
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,033,918	\$ 4,945	\$	(1,503)	\$	1,037,360
December 31, 2012:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 62,965	\$ 1,895	\$	(1,752)	\$	63,108
Securities AFS	5,813	-		-		5,813
Loans, net	734,778	509		-		735,287
Premises and equipment	1,661	657		66		2,384
FHLB Stock	8,500	-		-		8,500
Deferred taxes	8,734	981		340		10,055
REO	650	-		-		650
Other assets	3,509	638		565		4,712
Total assets	\$ 826,610	\$ 4,680	\$	(781)	\$	830,509
Deposits	\$ 653,671	\$ -	\$	(3,930)	\$	649,741
Borrowings	100,000	-		-		100,000
Intercompany balances	1,451	205		(1,656)		-
Other liabilities	3,302	2,168		1,718		7,188
Shareholders equity	68,186	2,307		3,087		73,580
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 826,610	\$ 4,680	\$	(781)	\$	830,509

Our consolidated balance sheet is primarily affected by changes occurring in our Banking operations as our Wealth Management operations do not maintain significant levels of assets. Banking has experienced and is expected to continue to experience increases in its total assets as a result of our growth strategy.

During the first quarter of 2014, total assets for the Company and FFB increased by \$53 million. For FFB, during the first quarter of 2014, loans and deposits increased \$45.2 million and \$52.6 million, respectively, cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$6.8 million, securities AFS increased by \$14.1 million and FHLB advances decreased by \$15.0 million. Borrowings at FFI increased by \$14.8 million during the first quarter of 2014. During 2013, total assets for the Company and FFB increased by \$207 million. For FFB, during 2013, loans and deposits increased \$160.2 million and \$155.6 million, respectively, cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$6.2 million, securities AFS increased by \$53.3 million and FHLB advances increased by \$34.0 million. Borrowings at FFI increased by \$7.1 million during 2013. During 2012, our consolidated total assets increased by \$278.9 million primarily due to a \$278.0 million increase in assets at FFB. As a result of the DCB Acquisition, FFB s total assets and deposits increased \$139.9 million and \$126.9 million, respectively, in 2012. Excluding the DCB Acquisition, loans and deposits at FFB increased \$129.6 million and \$116.9 million, respectively during 2012.

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Cash and cash equivalents, certificates of deposit and securities: Cash and cash equivalents, which primarily consist of funds held at the Federal Reserve Bank or at correspondent banks, including fed funds, decreased \$6.8 million during the first quarter of 2014 and decreased \$6.2 million during 2013. Changes in cash equivalents are primarily affected by the funding of loans, investments in securities, and changes in our sources of funding: deposits, FHLB advances and FFI borrowings. The \$53.0 million increase in cash and cash equivalents during 2012 includes the \$34.9 million received in the DCB Acquisition.

Securities available for sale: The following table provides a summary of the Company s AFS securities portfolio as of:

(dollars in thousands)	Aı	mortized Cost	G	Gross U Sains	ized Losses	Estimated Fair Value		
March 31, 2014:								
US Treasury security	\$	300	\$	-	\$	-	\$	300
FNMA and FHLB Agency								
notes		10,496		-		(528)		9,968
Agency mortgage-backed								
securities		64,329		108		(1,448)		62,989
Total	\$	75,125	\$	108	\$	(1,976)	\$	73,257
December 31, 2013:								
US Treasury security	\$	300	\$	-	\$	-	\$	300
FNMA and FHLB Agency								
notes		10,496		-		(716)		9,780
Agency mortgage-backed								
securities		50,983		-		(1,952)		49,031
Total	\$	61,779	\$	-	\$	(2,668)	\$	59,111
December 31, 2012:								
US Treasury Securities	\$	300	\$	_	\$	_	\$	300
FHLB Agency Notes	Ψ	5,513	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	5,513
THEB Agency Notes		3,313		_		_		3,313
Total	\$	5,813	\$	_	\$	_	\$	5,813
Total	Ψ	3,013	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	5,015
December 31, 2011:								
US Treasury Securities	\$	200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200
FHLB Agency Note		10,000		-		(14)		9,986
Total	\$	10,200	\$	-	\$	(14)	\$	10,186

The US Treasury Securities are pledged as collateral to the State of California to meet regulatory requirements related to FFB s trust operations.

The \$14.1 million increase in AFS Securities during in the first quarter of 2014 and the \$53.3 million increase in AFS Securities during 2013 reflected our actions to increase our on-balance sheet sources of liquidity.

The scheduled maturities of securities AFS, other than agency mortgage backed securities, and the related weighted average yield is as follows as of March 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands) Total

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

	ss than Year	hrough years	Through 0 Years	er 10 ears	
Amortized Cost:					
US Treasury securities	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300
FNMA and FHLB					
Agency notes	-	-	10,496	-	10,496
Total	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ 10,496	\$ -	\$ 10,796
Weighted average yield	0.21%	0.00%	1.78%	0.00%	1.74%
Estimated Fair Value:					
US Treasury securities	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300
FNMA and FHLB					
Agency notes	-	-	9,968	-	9,968
Total	\$ 300	\$ -	\$ 9,968	\$ -	\$ 10,268

Agency mortgage backed securities are excluded from the above table because such securities are not due at a single maturity date. The weighted average yield of the agency mortgage backed securities as of March 31, 2014 was 2.57%.

Loans. The following table sets forth our loans, by loan category, as of:

	March 31,	Dec	ember 31,	Dec	ember 31,	Dec	cember 31,	Dec	cember 31,	Dec	ember 31,
(dollars in thousands)	2014		2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
Outstanding principal											
balance:											
Loans secured by real estate:											
Residential properties:											
Multifamily	\$ 401,877	\$	405,984	\$	367,412	\$	320,053	\$	196,059	\$	124,120
Single family	263,922		227,096		155,864		85,226		44,281		20,019
Total real estate loans											
secured by residential											
properties	665,799		633,080		523,276		405,279		240,340		144,139
Commercial properties	166,290		154,982		132,217		75,542		57,633		33,028
Land and construction	2,345		3,794		7,575						
Total real estate loans	834,434		791,856		663,068		480,821		297,973		177,167
Commercial and industrial											
loans	97,248		93,255		67,920		35,377		30,696		13,821
Consumer loans	17,201		18,484		12,585		8,012		8,582		10,263
Total loans	948,883		903,595		743,573		524,210		337,251		201,251
Premiums, discounts and											
deferred fees and expenses	(39)		50		54		(107)		(71)		20
Total	\$ 948,844	\$	903,645	\$	743,627	\$	524,103	\$	337,180	\$	201,271

The \$45.2 million increase in loans during the first quarter of 2014 was the result of loan originations and funding of existing credit commitments of \$91.8 million, offset by \$46.6 million of payoffs and scheduled principal payments. The \$160.0 million increase in loans during 2013 was the result of loan originations and funding of existing credit commitments of \$353.4 million, offset by \$193.4 million of payoffs and scheduled principal payments. During 2012, the \$219.5 million increase in loans was the result of \$90.1 million in loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition and loan originations and funding of existing credit commitments of \$279.4 million, partially offset by \$150.0 million of payoffs and scheduled principal payments.

The scheduled maturities, as of December 31, 2013, of the performing loans categorized as land and construction loans and as commercial and industrial loans, are as follows:

	~				a Scheduled
	Sc	cheduled Maturity		Maturity Af	ter One Year
		Due After One			
	Due in One Year	Year Through	Due After	Loans With	Loan With
(dollars in thousands)	or Less	Five Years	Five Years	Fixed Rates	Adjustable Rates

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Land and construction loans	\$ 2,933	\$ 19	\$ 842	\$ 19	\$ 842
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 50,272	\$ 17,968	\$ 24,671	\$ 41,775	\$ 864

65

Deposits: The following table sets forth information with respect to our deposits and the average rates paid on deposits, as of:

	March 3	· ·		December	,			December				December	r 31, 20 W
A	Amount	Average Rate	A	Amount		0		Amount		0	A	Amount	Avei
\$	225,473	-	\$	217,782		-	\$	131,827		-	\$	66,383	
	228,587	0.497%		217,129		0.504%		103,085		0.558%		13,411	
	125,872	0.496%		121,260		0.499%		91,278		0.488%		75,534	
	274,752	0.557%		245,866		0.606%		323,551		0.732%		251,498	
\$	854,684	0.385%	\$	802,037		0.398%	\$	649,741		0.522%	\$	406,826	
	\$	\$ 225,473 228,587 125,872 274,752	\$ 225,473 - 228,587 0.497% 125,872 0.496% 274,752 0.557%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount \$ 225,473 - \$ 228,587 \$ 228,587 0.497% \$ 125,872 0.496% 274,752 0.557%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 228,587 0.497% 217,129 125,872 0.496% 121,260 274,752 0.557% 245,866	Weighted Awerage Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Weighted Awerage Rate Weighted A	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 125,872 0.496% 121,260 0.499% 274,752 0.557% 245,866 0.606%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - \$ 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 125,872 0.496% 121,260 0.499% 274,752 0.557% 245,866 0.606%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - \$ 131,827 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 103,085 125,872 0.496% 121,260 0.499% 91,278 274,752 0.557% 245,866 0.606% 323,551	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate W	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Meighted Average Rate \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - \$ 131,827 - 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 103,085 0.558% 125,872 0.496% 121,260 0.499% 91,278 0.488% 274,752 0.557% 245,866 0.606% 323,551 0.732%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Average Rate Amount \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - \$ 131,827 - \$ 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 103,085 0.558% \$ 125,872 0.496% \$ 121,260 0.499% 91,278 0.488% \$ 274,752 0.557% \$ 245,866 0.606% \$ 323,551 0.732%	Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount Weighted Average Rate Amount \$ 225,473 - \$ 217,782 - \$ 131,827 - \$ 66,383 228,587 0.497% 217,129 0.504% 103,085 0.558% 13,411 125,872 0.496% 121,260 0.499% 91,278 0.488% 75,534 274,752 0.557% 245,866 0.606% 323,551 0.732% 251,498

The \$52.6 million increase in deposits during the first quarter of 2014, the \$152.3 million increase in deposits during 2013 and, excluding the \$126.9 million in deposits acquired in the DCB Acquisition, the \$116.9 million increase in deposits during 2012, reflects the organic growth of our Banking operations.

As market interest rates have continued to decline, FFB has been able to lower the cost of its deposit products. As a result, the weighted average rate of interest-bearing deposits has decreased from 0.65% at December 31, 2012 to 0.55% at December 31, 2013 to 0.52% at March 31, 2014, while the weighted average interest rates of both interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing deposits have decreased from 0.52% at December 31, 2012 to 0.40% at December 31, 2013 to 0.39% at March 31, 2014.

The maturities of our certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more were as follows as of March 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands)

3 months or less	\$ 85,390
Over 3 months through 6 months	86,705
Over 6 months through 12 months	61,081
Over 12 months	25,715
Total	\$ 258,891

FFB utilizes a third party program called CDARs which allows FFB to transfer funds of its clients in excess of the FDIC insurance limit (currently \$250,000) to other institutions in exchange for an equal amount of funds from clients of these other institutions. This has allowed FFB to provide FDIC insurance coverage to its clients. As of March 31, 2014 FFB held \$95.1 million of CDARs deposits. Under certain regulatory guidelines, these deposits are considered brokered deposits. As of March 31, 2014, FFB did not have any other brokered certificates of deposit.

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Borrowings: At March 31, 2014, our borrowings consisted of \$119.0 million of overnight FHLB advances at FFB and a \$21.9 million term loan at FFI. At December 31, 2013, our borrowings consisted of \$134.0 million of overnight FHLB advances at FFB and a \$7.1 million term loan at FFI. At December 31, 2012, our borrowings consisted of \$100.0 million of overnight FHLB advances. These FHLB advances were paid in full in the early parts of April, 2014, January 2014 and January 2013, respectively. Because FFB utilizes overnight borrowings, the balance of outstanding borrowings fluctuates on a daily basis. The average balance of overnight borrowings during the first quarter of 2014 was \$144.5 million, as compared to \$79.2 million during 2013, \$99.3 million during 2012 and \$60.4 million during 2011. The weighted average interest rate on these overnight borrowings was 0.13% for the first quarter of 2014, as compared to 0.15% during 2013, 0.23% during 2012 and 0.15% during 2011. The maximum amount of overnight borrowings

outstanding at any month-end during the first quarter of 2014, during 2013, and during 2012 and during 2011, was \$161.0 million, \$134.0 million, and \$197.0 million and \$114.0 million, respectively.

Term Loan. In the second quarter of 2013, we entered into a loan agreement to borrow \$7.5 million for a term of five years. This note bears interest at a rate of ninety day Libor plus 4.0% per annum. In the first quarter of 2014, we entered into an amendment to this loan agreement pursuant to which we obtained an additional \$15,000,000 of borrowings. As a result, as of March 31, 2014, the outstanding principal amount of the loan was \$21,875,000. This amendment did not alter any of the terms of the loan agreement or the loan, other than the \$15,000,000 increase in the principal amount of the loan and a corresponding increase in the amount of the monthly installments of principal and interest payable on the Loan. The amended loan agreement requires us to make monthly payments of principal of \$0.2 million plus interest, with a final payment of the unpaid principal balance, in the amount of approximately \$12.1 million, plus accrued but unpaid interest, at the maturity date of the loan in May 2018. We have the right, in our discretion, to prepay all or a portion of the loan at any time, without any penalties or premium. We have pledged all of the common stock of FFB to the lender as security for the performance of our payment and other obligations under the loan agreement. The loan agreement obligates us to meet certain financial covenants, including the following:

a Tier 1 capital (leverage) ratio at FFB of at least 5.0% at the end of each calendar quarter;

a total risk-based capital ratio at FFB of not less than 10.0% at the end of each calendar quarter;

a ratio at FFB of nonperforming assets to net tangible capital, as adjusted, plus our ALLL, of not more than 40.0% at the end of each calendar quarter;

a ratio at FFB of classified assets to tier 1 capital, plus our ALLL, of no more than 50.0% at the end of each calendar quarter;

a consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.0, measured quarterly for the immediately preceding 12 months; and

minimum liquidity at all times of not less than \$1.0 million.

As of March 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all of those financial covenants.

The loan agreement also prohibits FFI (but not FFB or FFA) from doing any of the following without the lender s prior approval: (i) paying any cash dividends to our shareholders, (ii) incurring any other indebtedness, (iii) granting any security interests or permitting the imposition of any liens, other than certain permitted liens, on any of FFI s assets, or (iv) entering into significant merger or acquisition transactions outside of our banking operations. The loan agreement provides that if we fail to pay principal or interest when due, or we commit a breach of any of our other obligations or covenants in the loan agreement, or certain events occur that adversely affect us, then, unless we are able to cure such a breach, we will be deemed to be in default of the loan agreement and the lender will become entitled to require us to immediately pay in full the then principal amount of and all unpaid interest on the loan. If in any such event we fail to repay the loan and all accrued but unpaid interest, then the lender would become entitled to sell our FFB shares which

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

we pledged as security for the loan in order to recover the amounts owed to it.

We plan to use a \$21.5 million of the net proceeds from this offering to pay the entire outstanding principal balance of this loan, together with accrued but unpaid interest due the lender. See Use of Proceeds elsewhere in this prospectus. The lender is contractually obligated, upon repayment in full of the loan, to release its pledge and security interest in our FFB shares.

67

Delinquent Loans, Nonperforming Assets and Provision for Credit Losses

Loans are considered past due following the date when either interest or principal is contractually due and unpaid. Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued are designated as nonaccrual loans. Accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when reasonable doubt exists as to the full, timely collection of interest or principal and, generally, when a loan becomes contractually past due for 90 days or more with respect to principal or interest. However, the accrual of interest may be continued on a well-secured loan contractually past due 90 days or more with respect to principal or interest if the loan is in the process of collection or collection of the principal and interest is deemed probable. The following tables provide a summary of past due and nonaccrual loans as of:

		Past Du	ie and	d Still Ac		_				tal Past				
(dollars in	•	50 D		.0.70		0 Days		_		ue and		~		
thousands)	30	59 Days	60-8	9 Days	Ol	r More	Noi	naccrual	Noi	naccrual	(Current		Total
March 31, 2014:														
Real estate														
loans:														
Residential														
properties	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,057	\$	3,057	\$	662,742	\$	665,799
Commercial														
properties		338		-		-		598		936		165,354		166,290
Land and construction												2,345		2,345
Commercial		-		-		-		-		-		2,343		2,343
and														
industrial														
loans		959		-		2,950		344		4,253		92,995		97,248
Consumer														
loans		-		-		-		130		130		17,071		17,201
Total	\$	1,297	\$		\$	2,950	\$	4,129	\$	8,376	\$	940,507	\$	948,883
Total	φ	1,297	φ	-	Ф	2,930	φ	4,129	Ф	0,370	Ф	940,307	Ф	940,003
Percentage														
of total loans		0.14%		0.00%		0.31%		0.44%		0.88%				
December														
31, 2013:														
Real estate														
loans:														
Residential														
properties	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,820	\$	1,820	\$	631,260	\$	633,080
Commercial						417		500		1.015		152.065		154.000
properties Land and		-		-		417		598		1,015		153,967		154,982
construction		_		_		1,480		_		1,480		2,314		3,794
						1,100				1,100		2,011		2,771

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Commercial and industrial							
loans	-	2,744	1,315	344	4,403	88,852	93,255
Consumer loans	-	-	-	132	132	18,352	18,484
Total	\$ -	\$ 2,744	\$ 3,212	\$ 2,894	\$ 8,850	\$ 894,745	\$ 903,595
Percentage of total loans	0.00%	0.30%	0.36%	0.32%	0.98%		
December 31, 2012:							
Real estate loans:							
Residential properties	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 146	\$ 146	\$ 523,130	\$ 523,276
Commercial properties	2,012	-	-	-	2,012	130,205	132,217
Land and construction	-	-	3,169	524	3,693	3,882	7,575
Commercial and industrial							
loans	1,188	1,113	11	97	2,409	65,511	67,920
Consumer loans	-	147	-	-	147	12,438	12,585
Total	\$ 3,200	\$ 1,260	\$ 3,180	\$ 767	\$ 8,407	\$ 735,166	\$ 743,573
Percentage of total loans	0.43%	0.17%	0.43%	0.10%	1.13%		

The amount of delinquent loans and nonaccrual loans have been adversely impacted by the loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition. As of March 31, 2014, the Company had \$0.1 million of loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, which are included as nonaccrual loans in the table above.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$0.5 million of loans 30 to 59 days past due which represented 0.10% of total loans outstanding. The Company did not have any loans over 60 days past due as of December 31, 2011.

The Company did not have any loans over 30 days past due as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The Company did not have any loans classified as nonaccrual as of December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

The following is a breakdown of our loan portfolio by the risk category of loans as of:

(dollars in thousands)	Pass	-	pecial ention	Sub	standard	Im	paired	Total
March 31, 2014:								
Real estate loans:								
Residential properties	\$ 662,315	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,484	\$ 665,799
Commercial properties	161,739		-		3,734		817	166,290
Land and construction	2,345		-		-		-	2,345
Commercial and industrial loans	92,213		35		2,032		2,968	97,248
Consumer loans	17,028		-		173		-	17,201
Total	\$ 935,640	\$	35	\$	5,939	\$	7,269	\$ 948,883
December 31, 2013:								
Real estate loans:								
Residential properties	\$ 630,832	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,248	\$ 633,080
Commercial properties	150,053		-		4,108		821	154,982
Land and construction	2,314		-		1,480		-	3,794
Commercial and industrial loans	88,166		43		2,047		2,999	93,255
Consumer loans	18,309		-		175		-	18,484
Total	\$ 889,674	\$	43	\$	7,810	\$	6,068	\$ 903,595
December 31, 2012:								
Real estate loans:								
Residential properties	\$ 519,288	\$	-	\$	1,731	\$	2,257	\$ 523,276
Commercial properties	127,803		-		4,414		_	132,217
Land and construction	3,818		-		3,214		543	7,575
Commercial and industrial loans	62,000		889		2,295		2,736	67,920
Consumer loans	12,387		127		71		-	12,585
Total	\$ 725,296	\$	1,016	\$	11,725	\$	5,536	\$ 743,573
December 31, 2011:								
Real estate loans:								
Residential properties	\$ 402,630	\$	291	\$	2,358		-	\$ 405,279
Commercial properties	75,542		-		-		_	75,542
Commercial and industrial loans	31,627		3,750		-		-	35,377

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

,210
,340
,633
,696
,582
,251
,139
,028
,821
,263
,251
7,, 1,, 1,, 1,,

As of March 31, 2014, \$5.9 million of the loans classified as substandard and \$1.0 million of the loans classified as impaired were loans acquired in the DCB acquisition.

We consider a loan to be impaired when, based upon current information and events, we believe that it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan. We measure impairment using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, or the fair value of the properties collateralizing the loan. Impairment losses are included in the allowance for loan losses through a charge to provision for loan losses. Adjustments to impairment losses due to changes in the fair value of the property collateralizing an impaired loan are considered in computing the provision for loan losses. Loans collectively reviewed for impairment include all loans except for loans which are individually reviewed based on specific criteria, such as delinquency, debt coverage, adequacy of collateral and condition of property collateralizing the loans. Impaired loans include nonaccrual loans (excluding those collectively reviewed for impairment), certain restructured loans and certain performing loans less than ninety days delinquent (other impaired loans) which we believe are not likely to be collected in accordance with contractual terms of the loans.

In 2012, we purchased loans, for which there was, at acquisition, evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and it was probable, at acquisition, that all contractually required payments would not be collected. The carrying amount of these purchased credit impaired loans is as follows as of:

(dollars in thousands)	Marcl	n 31, 2014	Decemb	er 31, 2013	Decem	ber 31, 2012
Outstanding principal						
balance:						
Loans secured by real estate:						
Residential properties	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,574
Commercial properties		5,007		5,543		5,567
Land and construction		-		2,331		6,137
Total real estate loans		5,007		7,874		14,278
Commercial and industrial						
loans		2,467		2,489		2,621
Consumer loans		257		260		276
Total loans		7,731		10,623		17,175
Unaccreted discount on						
purchased credit impaired						
loans		(1,921)		(2,945)		(5,782)
Total	\$	5,810	\$	7,678	\$	11,393

Allowance for Loan Losses.

The following table summarizes the activity in our ALLL for the periods indicated:

(dollars in thousands) Charge-offs Recoveries

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

	ginning alance	ovision for oan Losses			Ending Balance
Quarter ended March 31,					
2014:					
Real estate loans:					
Residential properties	\$ 6,157	\$ 98	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,255
Commercial properties	1,440	153	-	-	1,593
Commercial and industrial					
loans	2,149	8	-	-	2,157
Consumer loans	169	(24)	-	-	145
Total	\$ 9,915	\$ 235	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,150
Year ended December 31, 2013:					
Real estate loans:					
Residential properties	\$ 4,355	\$ 1,802	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,157
Commercial properties	936	561	(57)	-	1,440
Commercial and industrial					
loans	2,841	71	(763)	-	2,149
Consumer loans	208	(39)	-	-	169
Total	\$ 8,340	\$ 2,395	\$ (820)	\$ -	\$ 9,915

Table of Contents										
(dollars in thousands)		eginning Salance		vision for an Losses	Cha	arge-offs	Rec	coveries		Ending Salance
Year ended December 31, 2012:										
Real estate loans:										
Residential properties	\$	3,984	\$	646	\$	(275)	\$	-	\$	4,355
Commercial properties		1,218		(282)		-		-		936
Commercial and										
industrial loans		1,104		1,737		-		-		2,841
Consumer loans		244		(36)		-		-		208
Total	\$	6,550	\$	2,065	\$	(275)	\$	-	\$	8,340
Year ended December 31, 2011:										
Real estate loans:										
Residential properties	\$	2,185	\$	1,524	\$	_	\$	275	\$	3,984
Commercial properties	Ψ	900	Ψ	318	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ	1,218
Commercial and		700		310						1,210
industrial loans		955		381		(232)		_		1,104
Consumer loans		170		74		-		_		244
Total	\$	4,210	\$	2,297	\$	(232)	\$	275	\$	6,550
Year ended December 31, 2010:										
Real estate loans:										
Residential properties	\$	1,379	\$	806	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,185
Commercial properties	Ψ	333	Ψ	567	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	900
Commercial and				207						700
industrial loans		413		542		_		_		955
Consumer loans		385		(215)		-		-		170
Total	\$	2,510	\$	1,700	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,210
Year ended December 31,										
2009:										
Real estate loans:										
Residential properties	\$	818	\$	561	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,379
Commercial properties		165		268		-		-		333
Land		100		175		(275)		-		-
Commercial and										
industrial loans		135		278		-		-		413
Consumer loans		12		373		-		-		385

Total \$ 1,130 \$ 1,655 \$ (275) \$ - \$ 2,510

Excluding the loans acquired in the DCB Acquisition, our ALLL as a percentage of total loans was 1.08%, 1.16% and 1.25% as of March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The amount of the ALLL is adjusted periodically by charges to operations (referred to in our income statement as the provision for loan losses) (i) to replenish the ALLL after it has been reduced due to loan write-downs or charge-offs, (ii) to reflect increases in the volume of outstanding loans, and (iii) to take account of changes in the risk of potential loan losses due to a deterioration in the condition of borrowers or in the value of property securing non performing loans or adverse changes in economic conditions. The amounts of the provisions we make for loan losses are based on our estimate of losses in our loan portfolio. In estimating such losses, we use economic and loss migration models that are based on bank regulatory guidelines and industry standards, and our historical charge-off experience and loan delinquency rates, local and national economic conditions, a borrower s ability to repay its borrowings, and the value of any property collateralizing the loan, as well as a number of subjective factors. However, these determinations involve judgments about changes and trends in current

economic conditions and other events that can affect the ability of borrowers to meet their loan obligations to us and a weighting among the quantitative and qualitative factors we consider in determining the sufficiency of the ALLL. Moreover, the duration and anticipated effects of prevailing economic conditions or trends can be uncertain and can be affected by a number of risks and circumstances that are outside of our control. If changes in economic or market conditions or unexpected subsequent events were to occur, or if changes were made to bank regulatory guidelines or industry standards that are used to assess the sufficiency of the ALLL, it could become necessary for us to incur additional, and possibly significant, charges to increase the ALLL, which would have the effect of reducing our income.

In addition, the FDIC and the DBO, as an integral part of their examination processes, periodically review the adequacy of our ALLL. These agencies may require us to make additional provisions for loan losses, over and above the provisions that we have already made, the effect of which would be to reduce our income.

The following table presents the balance in the ALLL and the recorded investment in loans by impairment method as of:

(dollars in thousands)	Evaluated f	Unaccreted Credit			
	Individual	llv Callagtivaly	Purchased	Component Other Loans	
March 31, 2014:	murviduai	lly Collectively	Impaired	Total	Other Loans
Allowance for loan losses:					
Real estate loans:					
Residential properties	\$ -	\$ 6,255	\$ -	\$ 6,255	\$ 34
Commercial properties	190	1,403	-	1,593	269
Land and construction	-	-	-	-	14
Commercial and industrial loans	895	1,262	-	2,157	117
Consumer loans	-	145	-	145	11
Total	\$ 1,085	\$ 9,065	\$ -	\$ 10,150	\$ 445
Loans:					
Real estate loans:					
Residential properties	\$ 3,484	\$ 662,315	\$ -	\$ 665,799	\$ 3,428
Commercial properties	817	161,738	3,735	166,290	23,775
Land and construction	-	2,345	-	2,345	1,784
Commercial and industrial loans	2,968	92,248	2,032	97,248	10,505
Consumer loans	-	17,158	43	17,201	153
Total	\$7,269	\$ 935,804	\$ 5,810	\$ 948,883	\$ 39,645
December 31, 2013:					
Allowance for loan losses:					
Real estate loans:					
Residential properties	\$ -	\$ 6,157	\$ -	\$ 6,157	\$ 36

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Commercial properties	190	1,250	-	1,440	290
Land and construction	-	-	-	-	26
Commercial and industrial loans	925	1,224	-	2,149	126
Consumer loans	-	169	-	169	11
Total	\$1,115	\$ 8,800	\$ -	\$ 9,915	\$ 489

Table of Contents									
(dollars in thousands)	Ex	aluated for		accreted Credit					
	I V	aluateu 101	1111	ipaii inciit	Pu	ırchased			mponent
	Inc	dividually	Co	ollectively	In	npaired	Total	Oth	er Loans
Loans:									
Real estate loans:									
Residential properties	\$	2,248	\$	630,832	\$	-	\$ 633,080	\$	3,449
Commercial properties		821		150,053		4,108	154,982		23,968
Land and construction		-		2,314		1,480	3,794		1,939
Commercial and industrial loans		2,999		88,209		2,047	93,255		10,354
Consumer loans		-		18,441		43	18,484		160
Total	\$	6,068	\$	889,849	\$	7,678	\$ 903,595	\$	39,870
December 31, 2012:									
Allowance for loan losses:									
Real estate loans:									
Residential properties	\$	-	\$	4,355	\$	-	\$ 4,355	\$	62
Commercial properties		-		936		-	936		617
Land and construction		-		-		-	-		129
Commercial and industrial loans		1,536		1,305		-	2,841		302
Consumer loans		-		208		-	208		19
Total	\$	1,536	\$	6,804	\$	-	\$ 8,340	\$	1,129
Loans:									
Real estate loans:									
Residential properties	\$	2,257	\$	519,288	\$	1,731	\$ 523,276	\$	5,121
Commercial properties		-		128,035		4,182	132,217		39,862
Land and construction		543		3,818		3,214	7,575		4,521
Commercial and industrial loans		2,736		62,989		2,195	67,920		16,512
Consumer loans		-		12,514		71	12,585		324
Total	\$	5,536	\$	726,644	\$	11,393	\$ 743,573	\$	66,340

The column labeled Unaccreted Credit Component Other Loans represents the amount of unaccreted credit component discount for loans acquired in the DCB acquisition, that were not classified as purchased impaired or individually evaluated for impairment as of the dates indicated, and the stated principal balance of the related loans. The unaccreted credit component discount is equal to 1.12%, 1.23% and 1.70% of the stated principal balance of these loans as of March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. In addition to this unaccreted credit component discount, an additional \$0.2 million of the ALLL has been provided for these loans as of March 31, 2014.

Liquidity

Liquidity management focuses on our ability to generate, on a timely and cost-effective basis, cash sufficient to meet the funding needs of current loan demand, deposit withdrawals, principal and interest payments with respect to outstanding borrowings and to pay operating expenses. Our liquidity management is both a daily and long-term

function of funds management. Liquid assets are generally invested in marketable securities or held as cash at the FRB or other financial institutions.

We monitor our liquidity in accordance with guidelines established by our Board of Directors and applicable regulatory requirements. Our need for liquidity is affected by our loan activity, net changes in deposit

73

levels and the maturities of our borrowings. The principal sources of our liquidity consist of deposits, loan interest and principal payments and prepayments, investment management and consulting fees, FHLB advances and proceeds from borrowings and sales of shares by FFI. The remaining balances of the Company s lines of credit available to draw down totaled \$193.4 million at March 31, 2014.

Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, operating activities provided net cash of \$0.9 million, comprised primarily of our net income of \$1.5 million and \$1.2 million of non-cash charges, including provisions for loan losses, stock based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization and deferred taxes recognized in our net income. These were partially offset by a \$0.3 million increase in other assets and a \$1.5 million decrease in other liabilities. During the year ended December 31, 2013 operating activities provided net cash of \$11.2 million, comprised primarily of our net income of \$7.9 million and \$4.3 million of non-cash charges, including provisions for loan losses, REO losses, stock based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization, offset by \$1.3 million non-cash deferred tax benefit recognized in our net income. In 2012, operating activities provided net cash of \$8.4 million, comprised primarily of net income of \$5.8 million and \$3.3 million of non-cash charges, including provision for loan losses, stock based compensation expense and depreciation and amortization, partially offset by a \$2.1 million non-cash deferred tax benefit recognized in our net income.

Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, investing activities used net cash of \$60.0 million, primarily to fund a \$46.7 million net increase in loans and a \$13.4 million net increase in securities AFS. During the year ended December 31, 2013, investing activities used net cash of \$217.0 million, primarily to fund a \$160.8 million net increase in loans and a \$56.1 million net increase in securities AFS. In 2012, investing activities used net cash of \$86.0 million, primarily to fund a \$129.9 million net increase in loans, which was partially offset by a \$10.4 million decrease net decrease in AFS securities and FHLB stock and \$34.9 million of cash acquired in the DCB Acquisition.

Cash Flow Provided by Financing Activities. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, financing activities provided net cash of \$52.5 million, consisting primarily of a net increase of \$52.6 million in deposits and a \$15.0 million borrowing under a term note, offset by a \$15.0 million decrease in FHLB advances. During the year ended December 31, 2013, financing activities provided net cash of \$199.7 million, consisting primarily of a net increase of \$152.3 million in deposits, a net increase of \$41.1 million in borrowings and \$6.3 million received from the sale of shares in a private offering. In 2012, financing activities provided net cash of \$130.6 million, consisting primarily of a net increase of \$116.0 million in deposits, a net increase of \$9.0 million in borrowings, and \$5.6 million from the sale of shares in a private offering.

Ratio of Loans to Deposits. The relationship between gross loans and total deposits can provide a useful measure of a bank s liquidity. Since repayment of loans tends to be less predictable than the maturity of investments and other liquid resources, the higher the loan-to-deposit ratio the less liquid are our assets. On the other hand, since we realize greater yields on loans than we do on other interest-earning assets, a lower loan-to-deposit ratio can adversely affect interest income and earnings. As a result, our goal is to achieve a loan-to-deposit ratio that appropriately balances the requirements of liquidity and the need to generate a fair return on our assets. At March 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the loan-to-deposit ratios at FFB were 108.4%, 110.4%, and 112.4%, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table provides the off-balance sheet arrangements of the Company as of March 31, 2014:

(dollars in thousands)

Commitments to fund new loans	\$ 27,660
Commitments to fund under existing loans, lines of	
credit	98,809
Commitments under standby letters of credit	1,327

74

Some of the commitments to fund existing loans, lines of credit and letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. As of March 31, 2014, FFB was obligated on \$68.5 million of letters of credit to the FHLB which were being used as collateral for public fund deposits, including \$56.0 million of deposits from the State of California.

Asset and Liability Management: Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is inherent in financial services businesses. Management of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities in terms of rate and maturity has an important effect on our liquidity and net interest margin. Interest rate risk results from interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing at different times, on a different basis or in unequal amounts. The Board of Directors of FFB approves policies and limits governing the management of interest rate risk. The asset / liability committee formed by these policies is responsible for monitoring our interest rate risk and providing periodic reports to the Board of Directors regarding our compliance with these policies and limits. We have established three primary measurement processes to quantify and manage our interest rate risk. These include: (i) gap analysis which measures the repricing mismatches of asset and liability cash flows; (ii) net interest income simulations which are used to measure the impact of instantaneous changes in interest rates on net interest income over a 12 month forecast period; and (iii) economic value of equity calculations which measure the sensitivity of our economic value of equity to simultaneous changes in interest rates.

Gap Analysis. Under this analysis, rate sensitivity is measured by the extent to which our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities reprice or mature at different times. Rate sensitivity gaps in which the repricing of interest-earning assets exceed the repricing of interest-bearing liabilities tend to produce an expanded net yield on interest-earning assets in rising interest rate environments and a reduced net yield on interest-earning assets in declining interest rate environments. Conversely, when the repricing of interest-bearing liabilities exceed the repricing of interest-earning assets, the net yield on interest-earning assets generally declines in rising interest rate environments and increases in declining interest rate environments. The following table sets forth the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities on the basis of when they reprice or mature as of December 31, 2013:

	Less than	From 1 to	From 3 to	Over 5	
(dollars in thousands)	1 year	3 Years	5 Years	Years	Total
Interest-earnings assets:					
Cash equivalents	\$ 56,954	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 56,954
Securities, FHLB stock	12,233	9,102	7,573	39,592	68,500
Loans	151,521	143,593	289,987	318,688	903,789
Interest-bearing					
liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Interest-bearing checking	(217,129)	-	-	-	(217,129)
Money market and					
savings	(121,260)	-	-	-	(121,260)
Certificates of deposit	(221,414)	(24,452)	-	-	(245,866)
Borrowings	(141,063)	-	-	-	(141,063)
-					
Net: Current Period	\$ (480,158)	\$ 128,243	\$ 297,560	\$ 358,280	\$ 303,925

Net: Cumulative \$ (480,158) \$ (351,915) \$ (54,355) \$ 303,925

The cumulative positive total of \$304.0 million reflects the funding provided by noninterest-bearing deposits and equity. Because we had a \$480.2 million net negative position at December 31, 2013 for the repricing period of less than one year, the result of this analysis indicate that we would be adversely impacted by a short term increase in interest rates and would benefit from a short term decrease in interest rates.

However, the extent to which our net interest margin will be impacted by changes in prevailing interest rates will depend on a number of factors, including how quickly interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities react to interest rate changes. It is not uncommon for rates on certain assets or liabilities to lag behind changes in the market rates of interest. Additionally, prepayments of loans and early withdrawals of certificates of deposit could cause

75

interest sensitivities to vary. As a result, the relationship or gap between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as shown in the above table, is only a general indicator of interest rate sensitivity and the effect of changing rates of interest on our net interest income is likely to be different from that predicted solely on the basis of the interest rate sensitivity analysis set forth in the above table.

For our loan portfolio, we also measure our interest rate sensitivity using duration analysis. The duration number is a measure of the sensitivity of the price of a fixed-income investment to a change in interest rates and is derived through a calculation involving present value, yield, coupon, final maturity and call features. The larger a duration number is, the greater the interest-rate risk exists. The duration of our loan portfolio was as 3.363 years as of December 31, 2013 as compared to 3.159 years as of December 31, 2012.

Net Interest Income Simulations (or NII). Under this analysis, we use a simulation model to measure and evaluate potential changes in our net interest income resulting from changes in interest rates. This model measures the impact of instantaneous shocks of 100, 200, 300 and 400 basis points on our net interest income over a 12 month forecast period. The computed changes to our net interest income between hypothetical rising and declining rate scenarios for the twelve month period beginning December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Assumed Instantaneous Change in Interest Rates	Estimated Increase (Decrease) in Net Interest Income
+ 100 basis points	(6.12) %
+ 200 basis points	(11.06) %
+ 300 basis points	(15.84) %
+ 400 basis points	(20.22) %
- 100 basis points	0.99 %
- 200 basis points	0.80 %

We did not include scenarios below the minus 200 basis point scenario because we believe those scenarios are not meaningful based on current interest rate levels. The NII results indicate that we would be adversely impacted by a short term increase in interest rates and would benefit from a short term decrease in interest rates. The results of the NII are hypothetical, and a variety of factors might cause actual results to differ substantially from what is depicted. These could include non-parallel yield curve shifts, changes in market interest rate spreads and the actual reaction to changes in interest rate levels of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. It is not uncommon for rates on certain assets or liabilities to lag behind changes in the market rates of interest. Additionally, prepayments of loans and early withdrawals of certificates of deposit could cause interest sensitivities to vary.

Economic Value of Equity Calculations (or EVE). The EVE measures the sensitivity of our market value equity to simultaneous changes in interest rates. EVE is derived by subtracting the economic value of FFB s liabilities from the economic value of its assets, assuming current and hypothetical interest rate environments. EVE is based on all of the future cash flows expected to be generated by the FFB s current balance sheet, discounted to derive the economic value of FFB s assets & liabilities. These cash flows may change depending on the assumed interest rate environment and the resulting changes in other assumptions, such as prepayment speeds. The computed changes to our economic value of equity between hypothetical rising and declining rate scenarios as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Assumed Simultaneous Change in Interest Rates	Estimated Increase (Decrease) in Economic Value of Equity
+ 100 basis points	(8.3) %
+ 200 basis points	(17.9) %
+ 300 basis points	(17.2) %
+ 400 basis points	(14.7) %
- 100 basis points	(12.4) %
- 200 basis points	(19.3) %

We did not include scenarios below the minus 200 basis point scenario because we believe those scenarios are not meaningful based on current interest rate levels. The EVE results indicate that we would be adversely impacted by a short term increase in interest rates and a short term decrease in interest rates. This differs from the NII results because, in the current interest rate environment, assumed interest rate floors for loans eliminates the benefit normally derived for loans in a declining interest rate environment. The results of the EVE are hypothetical, and a variety of factors might cause actual results to differ substantially from what is depicted. These could include non-parallel yield curve shifts, changes in market interest rate spreads and the actual reaction to changes in interest rate levels of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. It is not uncommon for rates on certain assets or liabilities to lag behind changes in the market rates of interest. Additionally, prepayments of loans and early withdrawals of certificates of deposit could cause interest sensitivities to vary.

The results of these analyses and simulations do not contemplate all of the actions that we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates. In response to actual or anticipated changes in interest rates, we have various alternatives for managing and reducing FFB s exposure to interest rate risk, such as entering into hedges and obtaining long-term fixed rate FHLB advances. To date, we have not entered into any hedges or other derivative instruments for this or any other purpose and it is our policy not to use derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

Capital Resources and Dividends

Under federal banking regulations that apply to all United States based bank holding companies and federally insured banks, the Company (on a consolidated basis) and FFB (on a stand-alone basis) must meet specific capital adequacy requirements that, for the most part, involve quantitative measures, primarily in terms of the ratios of their capital to their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items, calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Under those regulations, which are based primarily on those quantitative measures, each bank holding company must meet a minimum capital ratio and each federally insured bank is determined by its primary federal bank regulatory agency to come within one of the following capital adequacy categories on the basis of its capital ratios: (i) well capitalized;

(ii) adequately capitalized; (iii) undercapitalized; (iv) significantly undercapitalized; or (v) critically undercapitalized.

Certain qualitative assessments also are made by a banking institution sprimary federal regulatory agency that could lead the agency to determine that the banking institution should be assigned to a lower capital

77

category than the one indicated by the quantitative measures used to assess the institution s capital adequacy. At each successive lower capital category, a banking institution is subject to greater operating restrictions and increased regulatory supervision by its federal bank regulatory agency.

The following table sets forth the capital and capital ratios of FFI (on a consolidated basis) and FFB as of the respective dates indicated below, as compared to the respective regulatory requirements applicable to them:

		Actua			For Capi equacy Pu	rposes	Unde A	ction Prov	Corrective isions
(dollars in thousands)	A	mount	Ratio	A	mount	Ratio	A	mount	Ratio
<u>FFI</u>									
March 31, 2014									
Tier 1 leverage ratio	\$	86,933	8.22%	\$	42,278	4.00%)		
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		86,933	12.40%		28,039	4.00%)		
Total risk-based capital ratio		95,717	13.65%		56,078	8.00%)		
December 31, 2013									
Tier 1 leverage ratio	\$	85,268	8.67%	\$	39,321	4.00%)		
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		85,268	13.04%		26,150	4.00%)		
Total risk-based capital ratio		93,465	14.30%		52,300	8.00%)		
December 31, 2012									
Tier 1 leverage ratio	\$	72,909	9.19%	\$	31,730	4.00%)		
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		72,909	13.60%		21,446	4.00%)		
Total risk-based capital ratio		79,636	14.85%		42,891	8.00%)		
FFB									
March 31, 2014									
Tier 1 leverage ratio	\$	96,512	9.17%	\$	42,100	4.00%	\$	52,625	5.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		96,512	13.66%		28,263	4.00%)	42,394	6.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio		105,365	14.91%		56,526	8.00%)	70,657	10.00%
December 31, 2013									
Tier 1 leverage ratio	\$	84,243	8.61%	\$	39,115	4.00%	\$	48,894	5.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		84,243	12.95%		26,017	4.00%		39,025	6.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio		92,399	14.21%		52,034	8.00%)	65,042	10.00%
December 31, 2012									
Tier 1 (core) capital ratio	\$	67,515	8.56%	\$	31,563	4.00%	\$	39,454	5.00%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		67,515	12.68%	Ċ	21,292	4.00%		31,939	6.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio		74,194	13.94%		42,585	8.00%		53,231	10.00%
		. 1 1 . 1	~	,					

As of each of the dates set forth in the above table, the Company (on a consolidated basis) exceeded the minimum required capital ratios applicable to it and FFB (on a stand-alone basis) qualified as a well-capitalized depository institution under the capital adequacy guidelines described above. As a condition of approval of the DCB Acquisition by the FDIC, FFB is required to maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of 8.5% through August 15, 2014.

As of March 31, 2014 the amount of capital at FFB in excess of amounts required to be Well Capitalized was \$43.9 million for the Tier 1 Leverage Ratio, \$54.1 million for the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and \$34.7 million for the Total risk-based capital ratio. No conditions or events have occurred since March 31, 2014 which we believe have changed FFI s or FFB s capital adequacy classifications from those set forth in the above table.

During the first quarter of 2014, FFI made a \$10.0 million capital contribution to FFB. During 2013 and 2012, FFI made capital contributions to FFB of \$8.5 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2014, FFI had \$11.1 million of available capital and, therefore, has the ability and financial resources to contribute additional capital to FFB, if needed.

Due to the adoption in June 2013 of the Basel III capital guidelines by the FRB and the FDIC, effective beginning on January 1, 2015, FFI and FFB will be required to meet higher and more stringent capital requirements than those that currently exist. For additional information regarding the Basel III capital rules, see Supervision and Regulation First Foundation Bank *New Basel III Capital Rules* elsewhere in this prospectus.

We did not pay dividends in 2014, 2013 or 2012 and we have no plans to pay dividends at least for the foreseeable future. Instead, it is our intention to retain internally generated cash flow to support our growth. Moreover, the payment of dividends is subject to certain regulatory restrictions. For additional information regarding these restrictions, see the section of this prospectus entitled Supervision and Regulation Dividends. included elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition, the agreement governing the term loan obtained by FFI in April 2013 provides that we must obtain the prior consent of the lender to pay dividends to our shareholders.

We had no material commitments for capital expenditures as of March 31, 2014.

79

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers and Directors

The following table sets forth the name, age and position with the Company of each of the persons who we expect will serve as directors and executive officers upon completion of the offering. The business address for all of these individuals is 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700, Irvine, California 92612.

Name	Age	Position
Ulrich Keller, Jr., CFP	57	Executive Chairman and Director
Scott Kavanaugh	53	Director, Vice Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
John Hakopian	45	President of FFA and Director
James Brakke ⁽¹⁾	72	Director
Max Briggs, CFP ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	48	Director
Victoria Collins, Ph.D., CFP	71	Director
Warren Fix ⁽²⁾	75	Director
Gerald Larsen, J.D., LL.M., CFP, CPA ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	65	Director
Mitchell Rosenberg, Ph.D. ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	60	Director
Jacob Sonenshine, J.D., CFA ⁽³⁾	43	Director
John Michel	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
David Rahn	65	President of FFB

- (1) Member of the compensation committee.
- (2) Member of the audit committee.
- (3) Member of the nominating and corporate governance committee.

Set forth below is a biographical summary of the experience of the members of our Board of Directors and our executive officers.

Directors

Ulrich Keller, Jr., CFP. Mr. Keller is one of the founders of the Company and currently is the Executive Chairman of FFI and FFA. Mr. Keller served as Chief Executive Officer (or CEO) of FFA from 1990, when it began operations as a fee-based investment advisor, until December 2009, at which time he became its Executive Chairman. In 2007, Mr. Keller became the Executive Chairman of FFI and from June 2007 until December 2009 he also served as the CEO of FFI. Mr. Keller earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from San Diego State University. Mr. Keller currently serves as a trustee of the University of California, Irvine (or UCI) Foundation, as a consultant to the Investment Advisory Committee of The Board of Regents of the University of California and as a member of the Dean s Advisory Board for the Center for Investment and Wealth Management at the Paul Merage School of Business at UCI. As one the founders of the Company, who played a key role in the development and successful implementation of our business strategy of providing high quality and personalized wealth management and investment advisory services to our clients and the expansion of the financial services we offer our clients, Mr. Keller brings to the Board considerable knowledge and valuable insights about the wealth management and investment

advisory business and the Southern California financial services market.

Scott Kavanaugh. Mr. Kavanaugh is, and since December 2009 has been the CEO of FFI, and from June 2007 until December 2009, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of FFI. Mr. Kavanaugh has been the Vice-Chairman of FFI since June 2007. He also is, and since September 2007 has been, the Chairman and CEO of FFB. Mr. Kavanaugh was a founding stockholder and served as an Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer

80

and a member of the board of directors of Commercial Capital Bancorp, Inc. the parent holding company of Commercial Capital Bank. During his tenure as an executive officer and director of Commercial Capital Bancorp, Inc. that company became a publicly traded company, listed on NASDAQ, and its total assets grew to more than \$1.7 billion. From 1998 until 2003, Mr. Kavanaugh served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and a director of Commercial Capital Mortgage. From 1993 to 1998, Mr. Kavanaugh was a partner and head of trading for fixed income and equity securities at Great Pacific Securities, Inc., a west coast-based regional securities firm. Mr. Kavanaugh earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration and Accounting at the University of Tennessee and a Masters of Business Administration (or MBA) degree in Information Systems at North Texas State University. Mr. Kayanaugh is, and since 2008 has been, a member of the board of directors of Colorado Federal Savings Bank and its parent holding company, Silver Queen Financial Services, Inc. Mr. Kavanaugh also is, and since December 2013 has been, a member of the boards of directors of NexBank SSB and its parent holding company, NexBank Capital, Inc. From January 2000 until June 2012, Mr. Kavanaugh served as Independent Trustee and Chairman of the Audit Committee and from June 2012 until December 2013 served as Chairman of the Highland Mutual Funds, a mutual fund group managed by Highland Capital Management. The Board believes that Mr. Kavanaugh s extensive experience as an executive officer of banks and other financial services organizations, combined with his experience as a director of both public and private companies, qualifies him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors. In addition, because Mr. Kavanaugh is the Company s Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors believes that his participation as a member of the Board facilitates communication between the outside Board members and management.

John Hakopian. Mr. Hakopian is, and since April 2009 has been, the President of FFA and is and since 2007 has been a member of the Company s Board of Directors. Mr. Hakopian was one of the founders of the Company in 1990, when it began its operations as a fee-based investment advisor and served as its Executive Vice President and Co-Portfolio Manager from 1994 through April 2009. Mr. Hakopian earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from UCI and a MBA degree in Finance from the University of Southern California. Mr. Hakopian s extensive knowledge of the Company s wealth management and investment advisory business makes him a valuable member of the Board who is able to provide the outside Board members with insight in to the operations and risks of that business.

James Brakke. Mr. Brakke has served as a director of FFI since 2007. From 2001 until 2006 Mr. Brakke served as a director of Commercial Capital Bancorp, Inc. and from 2000 until 2006, Mr. Brakke served as a director of Commercial Capital Bank. Mr. Brakke is, and since 2001 has been, Executive Vice President and director of the Dealer Protection Group, an insurance brokerage firm that Mr. Brakke co-founded, which specializes in providing insurance products to the automobile industry. Mr. Brakke also serves as a salesperson for Brakke-Schafnitz Insurance Brokers, a commercial insurance brokerage and consulting firm that he co-founded and where he was President and Chairman from 1971 until 2009. Mr. Brakke currently serves as a director of Maury Microwave Corporation and as Chairman of Advanced Wellness and Lasers. Mr. Brakke earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Business and Finance from Colorado State University. Mr. Brakke s experience as a director of Commercial Capital Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned banking subsidiary, Commercial Capital Bank is valuable to other independent member of the Company s Board of Directors. Moreover, we believe Mr. Brakke s extensive knowledge of the insurance industry provides valuable insight and support for our insurance operations.

Max Briggs, CFP. From 2005 to 2012, Mr. Briggs served as Chairman of the Board of DCB. He was elected as a director of the Company following our acquisition of DCB in August 2012. Mr. Briggs is, and since 1996 has been the President and CEO of FLC Capital Advisors, a wealth management firm with over \$300 million of assets under management. Mr. Briggs earned a Business Administration and Finance degree from Stetson University. We believe Mr. Briggs is a valuable member of our Board of Directors due to his knowledge of the banking business, gained from his service as Chairman of DCB, particularly as conducted in Palm Desert, California and its surrounding communities, where we have two of our wealth management offices, and his experience as President and CEO of a

wealth management firm.

81

Victoria Collins, Ph.D., CFP. Dr. Collins is and has been a director of FFI since 2007. Beginning in 1990, Dr. Collins served as an executive officer of FFA, including as an Executive Vice President from 1990 until 2009 and as a Senior Managing Director from 2009, until her retirement in December 2011. Dr. Collins currently serves on the Dean's Advisory Board for the Center for Investment and Wealth Management at the Paul Merage School of Business at UCI. Dr. Collins earned a Bachelor's of Administration degree in Psychology from San Diego State University, a Master of Arts degree in Educational Psychology from St. Mary's College and a Doctor of Philosophy (or Ph.D.) degree in Cognitive Psychology from the University of California, Berkeley. Dr. Collins' found that her knowledge of psychology was invaluable in her role as an executive officer and a senior wealth manager at FFA. We believe that the Board has found that Dr. Collins brings to the Board valuable insights about the FFA's business from her knowledge of and her experience in wealth management, and her management experience at FFA.

Warren Fix. Mr. Fix has served as a director of FFI since 2007. Mr. Fix is, and since 1992 has been, a partner in The Contrarian Group, a business investment and management company. From 1995 to 2008, Mr. Fix served in various management capacities and on the Board of Directors of WCH, Inc., formerly Candlewood Hotel Company. From 1989 to 1992, Mr. Fix served as President of the Pacific Company, a real estate investment and development company. From 1964 to 1989, Mr. Fix held numerous positions at the Irvine Company, including serving as its Chief Financial Officer (or CFO) and a director. Mr. Fix currently serves as a director of Healthcare Trust of America, a publicly traded real estate investment trust, Clark Investment Group, Accel Networks and CT Realty. Mr. Fix earned a Bachelor of Administration degree from Claremont McKenna College. We believe Mr. Fix brings to the Board his knowledge of accounting as a result of his long tenure as CFO of the Irvine Company and his experience as an independent director of both public and private companies.

Gerald Larsen, J.D, LL.M, CFP, CPA. Mr. Larsen has served as a director of FFI since 2013. Mr. Larsen is, and since 1992 has served as the President, Principal and owner of the law firm of Larsen & Risley, located in Costa Mesa, California. Mr. Larsen s law practice focuses on federal and state taxation, probate, estate planning, partnerships and corporate law. Mr. Larsen earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from California State University, Northridge, a J.D. degree from Stetson University and a LL.M. degree from the University of Florida. We believe that Mr. Larsen s extensive experience as a tax and estate planning lawyer provides the Board with valuable insights regarding the tax and estate planning aspects of wealth management.

Mitchell Rosenberg, Ph.D. Dr. Rosenberg has served as a director of FFI since 2007. Dr. Rosenberg is, and since 2005 has served as, President and founder of the consulting firm of M. M. Rosenberg & Associates, which provides executive and organizational development services to technology companies, health care businesses and public entities. From 2002 to 2005, Dr. Rosenberg was Chief Executive Officer for The Picerne Group, an international investment firm investing primarily in real estate, and portfolios of loans. Prior to 2002, Dr. Rosenberg served as Executive Vice President and Director of Business Services for Ameriquest Capital Corporation and directed the Human Resource and Organizational Development functions for Washington Mutual Bank, American Savings Bank and Great Western Bank. Dr. Rosenberg earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Psychology from Ohio University, a Masters of Science degree in Industrial Psychology from California State University, Long Beach, and a Ph.D. degree in Psychology with an emphasis on Organizational Behavior from Claremont Graduate University. We believe that Dr. Rosenberg s educational and operational experience in managing the human resource and organizational development functions of a number of banking organizations and a real estate investment firm provides insight regarding the Company s human resource functions, including compensation considerations that impact the growth and expansion of the Company.

Jacob Sonenshine, *J.D.*, *CFA*. Mr. Sonenshine has served as a director of FFI since 2007. Mr. Sonenshine is, and since 2012, has served as co-chief executive officer of Prell Restaurant Group, an operator of fast casual restaurants. From 2006 until 2012, Mr. Sonenshine served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Professional Retirement

Strategy, a retirement planning and entity risk management firm. From 1999 to 2005, Mr. Sonenshine was President and co-founder of RSM EquiCo, an investment bank specializing in mergers and acquisitions of privately-held middle market companies. Mr. Sonenshine currently serves on the Board of

New Momentum, LLC, a software firm focusing on brand protection, anti-counterfeiting and channel integrity. Mr. Sonenshine earned a Bachelor of Science degree in economics and a Bachelor of Administration degree in International Relations from the University of Pennsylvania, and a J.D. degree and a MBA degree from the University of Southern California. We believe Mr. Sonenshine s experience as CEO of a retirement planning firm is valuable to the Board in overseeing FFA s wealth management and investment advisory business.

Executive Officers

John Michel. Mr. Michel is, and since September 2007 has been, the Executive Vice President and CFO of the Company and FFB. Since January 2009, he has also served as the CFO of FFA. Mr. Michel served as the Chief Financial Officer of Sunwest Bank from February 2005 to October 2006 and of Fidelity Federal Bank from September 1998 to December 2001. Mr. Michel earned a Bachelor of Business Administration Accounting degree from the University of Notre Dame.

Dave Rahn. Mr. Rahn is, and since September 2007 has been, the President of FFB. From September 2007 to August 2012, Mr. Rahn also served as Chief Operating Officer of FFB. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Rahn served as the Senior Vice President, Southern California Regional Manager for Wealth Management at Bank of the West, from 2000 to 2004 he served as California Market Manager of Private Banking for Comerica Bank, and from 1988 to 2000, Mr. Rahn served as Chief Operating Officer of First American Trust. Mr. Rahn earned a Bachelor of Science degree from California State Polytechnic University, Pomona and a MBA degree from the University of Redlands.

Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters

We are committed to having sound corporate governance principles, which are essential to running our business efficiently and maintaining our integrity in the marketplace. We recently adopted a revised Code of Business and Ethical Conduct relating to the conduct of our business by all of our employees, officers and directors, as well as a Code of Business and Ethical Conduct for Chief Executive Officer and Other Senior Financial Officers specifically for our Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers, both of which will be posted on our website upon completion of the offering. We expect that any amendments to the Code of Business and Ethical Conduct or the Code of Business and Ethical Conduct for Chief Executive Officer and Other Senior Financial Officers, or any waivers of the requirements of either, will be disclosed on our website, as well as any other means required by the NASDAQ Stock Market rules.

Director Qualifications

We believe that our directors should have the highest professional and personal ethics and values, consistent with our longstanding values and standards. They should have broad experience at the policy-making level in business, government or banking. They should be committed to enhancing shareholder value and should have sufficient time to carry out their duties and to provide insight and practical wisdom based on experience. Their service on boards of other companies should be limited to a number that permits them, given their individual circumstances, to perform responsibly all director duties. Each director must represent the interests of all shareholders. When considering potential director candidates, our Board of Directors also considers the candidate s character, judgment, diversity, age, skills, including financial literacy, and experience in the context of our needs and those of the Board of Directors.

Director Independence

Under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, independent directors must comprise a majority of our Board of Directors within a specified period of time following this offering. The rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, as well

as those of the SEC, also impose several other requirements with respect to the independence of our directors. Our Board of Directors has evaluated the independence of its members based upon the rules of the

83

NASDAQ Stock Market and the SEC. Applying these standards, our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that, with the exception of Mr. Kavanaugh, Mr. Keller, Mr. Hakopian and Dr. Collins, each of our current directors is an independent director, as defined under the applicable rules.

Family Relationships

There is no family relationship between any director, executive officer or person nominated to become a director or executive director.

Board of Directors

Election of Directors

Our bylaws provide that our directors shall be elected at each annual meeting of shareholders but, if any such annual meeting is not held or the directors are not elected thereat, the directors may be elected at any special meeting of shareholders held for that purpose. All directors shall hold office until their respective successors are elected, subject to the CGCL and the provisions of these bylaws with respect to vacancies on the Board. A vacancy in the Board of Directors shall be deemed to exist in case of the (i) death, (ii) resignation or removal of any director with or without cause, (iii) pursuant to Section 302 of the CGCL if a director has been declared of unsound mind by order of court or convicted of a felony, and (iv) if the authorized number of directors be increased, or if the shareholders fail, at any annual or special meeting of shareholders at which any director or directors are elected, to elect the full authorized number of directors to be voted for at that meeting.

Vacancies on the Board of Directors, except for a vacancy created by the removal of a director, may be filled by a majority of the remaining directors, though less than a quorum, or by a sole remaining director, and each director so elected shall hold office until his successor is elected at an annual or a special meeting of the shareholders. A vacancy on the Board of Directors created by the removal of a director may only be filled by the vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote represented at a duly held meeting at which a quorum is present, or by the written consent of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares.

Appointment of Executive Officers

Our current and future executive officers and significant employees serve at the discretion of our Board of Directors, subject to any employment agreements under which any such officers may be employed.

Board Leadership Structure

The Chairman of our Board of Directors is Rick Keller who is a member of senior management. However, the Board of Directors has decided to separate the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer because the board believes that doing so provides the appropriate leadership structure for us at this time, particularly since the separation of those two positions enables our Chief Executive Officer to focus on our day-to-day management of our business, while the Chairman leads the board of directors in the performance of its responsibilities.

The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

The Board's responsibilities in overseeing the Company's management and business include oversight of the Company's key risks and management processes and controls to manage them appropriately. Management, in turn, is responsible for the day-to-day management of risk and implementation of appropriate risk management controls and procedures.

The risk of incurring losses on the loans we make is an inherent feature of the banking business and, if not effectively managed, such risks can materially affect our results of operations. Accordingly, the Board, as a whole, exercises oversight responsibility over the processes that our management employs to manage those risks. The Board fulfills that oversight responsibility by:

monitoring trends in the Company s loan portfolio and the Company s allowance for loan losses;

establishing internal limits related to the Company s lending exposure and reviewing and determining whether or not to approve loans in amounts exceeding certain specified limits;

reviewing and discussing, at least quarterly and more frequently, if the Board deems necessary, reports from the FFB s chief credit officer relating to such matters as (i) risks in the Company s loan portfolio, (ii) economic conditions or trends that could reasonably be expected to affect (positively or negatively) the performance of the loan portfolio or require increases in the ALLL and (iii) specific loans that have been classified as special mention, substandard or doubtful and, therefore, require increased attention from management;

reviewing, at least quarterly, management s determinations with respect to the adequacy of, and any provisions required to be made to replenish or increase, the ALLL;

reviewing management reports regarding collection efforts with respect to nonperforming loans; and

authorizing the retention of, and reviewing the reports of, external loan review consultants with respect to the risks in and the quality of the loan portfolio.

Although risk oversight permeates many elements of the work of the full Board and its committees, the audit committee has direct and systematic responsibility for overseeing other significant risk management processes.

Committees of our Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee, and a nominating and governance committee. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for each of those committees, and copies of those charters will be available on our website upon the completion of this offering. In addition, from time to time, special committees may be established under the direction of our Board of Directors when necessary to address specific issues.

Audit Committee. We have an audit committee the members of which are Mr. Briggs, Mr. Fix and Mr. Larsen. Mr. Fix serves as chairman. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the audit committee are independent within the meaning of the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market and the enhanced independence requirements for audit committee members contained in Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. Our Board of Directors also has determined that Mr. Fix and Mr. Briggs meet the definition of audit committee financial expert adopted by the SEC. The audit committee s responsibilities include:

Selecting and appointing the independent auditors, following the committee s evaluation of their qualifications, and determining the compensation of the independent auditors;

overseeing the work of and monitoring and evaluating the independent auditors performance and independence and making all decisions with respect to the termination of the independent auditors;

reviewing all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the independent auditors, taking into consideration whether the provision of any non-audit services to us by the independent auditors is compatible with maintaining their independence;

reviewing and discussing with management and the independent auditors the annual and quarterly financial statements prior to their release;

85

reviewing and discussing with management and our independent auditors the adequacy and effectiveness of our accounting and financial reporting processes and internal controls and the audits of our financial statements;

establishing and overseeing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of questions or concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters;

investigating any matter brought to the audit committee s attention within the scope of its duties and engaging independent counsel and other advisors as the audit committee deems necessary;

reviewing reports to management prepared by the internal audit function, as well as management s responses;

reviewing and assessing the adequacy of the committee s formal written charter on an annual basis;

reviewing and approving related party transactions for potential conflict of interest situations; and

overseeing such other matters that may be specifically delegated to the audit committee by our Board of Directors.

Compensation Committee. We have a compensation committee the members of which are Mr. Brakke, Mr. Larsen and Mr. Rosenberg. Mr. Rosenberg serves as chairman. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the compensation committee are independent under the applicable Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. The compensation responsibilities include:

developing, reviewing, and approving our management compensation programs, and regularly reporting to the full Board of Directors regarding the adoption and effectiveness of such programs;

developing, reviewing and approving our cash and equity incentive plans, including approving individual grants or awards thereunder, and regularly reporting to the full Board of Directors regarding the terms of such plans and individual grants or awards;

reviewing and approving individual and Company performance goals and objectives that may be relevant to the compensation of executive officers and other key management employees;

reviewing and approving the terms of any employment agreement, severance or change in control arrangements, or other compensatory arrangement with any executive officers or other key management employees;

reviewing and discussing with management the narrative discussion to be included in the annual proxy statements with respect to executive officer and director compensation;

reviewing and assessing, on an annual basis, the adequacy of its formal written charter; and

overseeing any other matters that may be specifically delegated to the compensation committee by our Board of Directors.

Nominating and Governance Committee. We have a nominating and governance committee, the members of which are Mr. Briggs, Mr. Rosenberg and Mr. Sonenshine. Mr. Rosenberg serves as chairman. The Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the nominating and governance committee are independent under the Listing Rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market applicable to such committees. The nominating and governance committee s responsibilities include:

identifying and recommending nominees for election to the Board of Directors;

making recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the directors to be appointed to each of its standing committees;

developing and recommending corporate governance guidelines for adoption by the Board of Directors; and

86

overseeing annual self-assessments by the directors of the performance of the Board and its committees.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Upon completion of the offering, none of the members of our compensation committee will be or will have been an officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries. In addition, none of our executive officers serves or has served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee (or other board committee performing equivalent functions) of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as one of our directors or as one of the members of our compensation committee.

Codes of Business and Ethical Conduct

We have adopted a Code of Business and Ethical Conduct for our officers and employees and a Code of Conduct which contains specific ethical policies and principles that apply to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, FFB Chief Operating Officer and other key accounting and financial personnel. Upon completion of this offering, a copy of our Code of Business and Ethical Conduct will be accessible at the Investor Relations section of our website at www.ff-inc.com. We intend to disclose, at that same location on our website, any amendments to and any waivers of the requirements of the Code of Business and Ethical Conduct that may be granted to our Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer.

Director Compensation

Only non-employee directors are entitled to receive compensation for service on the Board and its Committees. The following table sets forth the compensation received by each of our non-employee directors for their service, during 2013, as members of our Board of Directors and its committees and as members of the Board of Directors of FFB:

	arned or in Cash	Stock Optio Awards		All Other Compensation		otal
James Brakke	\$ 60,000	\$	- 3	\$ -	\$	60,000
Max Briggs	60,000		-	-		60,000
Victoria Collins	60,000		-	-		60,000
Michael Criste ⁽²⁾	60,000		-	-		60,000
Warren D. Fix	60,000		-	-		60,000
Gerald Larsen ⁽¹⁾	60,000		-	-		60,000
Mitchell M. Rosenberg	60,000		-	-		60,000
Jacob Sonenshine	60,000		-	-		60,000
Henri Tchen ⁽²⁾	60,000		-	-		60,000

- (1) Mr. Larsen was appointed as a director of FFI in October 2013. He has served as a member of the Board of Directors of FFB since 2008.
- (2) Mr. Criste and Mr. Tchen resigned from the Board of Directors effective as of March 31, 2014. *Outstanding Equity Awards*.

Our non-employee directors also are eligible to receive stock options and restricted stock grants under the Equity Plans (as defined in the section entitled Executive Compensation Equity Incentive Plans). No stock options or shares of

restricted stock were granted to non-employee directors in 2013. Stock options and shares of restricted stock granted to our non-employee directors generally vest over three years at the rate of $33\frac{1}{3}\%$ of the options or of the restricted shares as of each anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the director is still in the service of the Company or any of its subsidiaries on the vesting date.

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding stock options held by each non-employee director as of December 31, 2013, including the number of unexercised vested and unvested stock options. The vesting schedule for each option is shown following this table.

		Option Awards ⁽¹⁾ Exercise Expira						
Name / Grant Date	Exercisab l e	nexercisable	P	rice ⁽²⁾	Date ⁽³⁾			
James Brakke 9/17/2007 1/27/2009	15,000 1,500	<u>-</u> -	\$	10.00 15.00	9/16/2017 1/26/2019			
Max Briggs 8/28/2012	5,000	10,000	\$	15.00	8/27/2022			
Victoria Collins 9/17/2007 1/27/2009	40,500 5,000	- -	\$	10.00 15.00	9/16/2017 1/26/2019			
Michael Criste(4) 8/28/2012	5,000	10,000	\$	15.00	8/27/2022			
Warren D. Fix 9/17/2007 1/27/2009	15,000 1,500	- -	\$	10.00 15.00	9/16/2017 1/26/2019			
Gerald L. Larsen 7/22/2008 1/27/2009	10,000 1,000	- -	\$	15.00 15.00	7/21/2018 1/26/2019			
Mitchell M. Rosenberg 9/17/2007 1/27/2009	15,000 1,500	- -	\$	10.00 15.00	9/16/2017 1/26/2019			
Jacob Sonenshine 9/17/2007 1/27/2009	15,000 1,500	- -	\$	10.00 15.00	9/16/2017 1/26/2019			
Henri Tchen(4) 9/17/2007 7/22/2008 1/27/2009 1/27/2011	10,000 5,000 1,500 6,000	- - - -	\$	10.00 15.00 15.00 15.00	9/16/2017 7/21/2018 1/26/2019 1/26/2021			

⁽¹⁾ Stock options granted to the directors generally vest over three years at the rate of $33\frac{1}{3}\%$ of the options as of each anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the Director is still in the service of the Company or any of its subsidiaries on that anniversary date.

⁽²⁾ In accordance with the Company s Stock Incentive Plans, the exercise prices of these options were equal to 100% of the fair market values of the Company s shares as of the respective grant dates. Since no active

market existed for the Company s shares at each grant date above, in each instance the fair market value was determined by the Board of Directors based primarily on the prices at which the Company had most recently sold shares to investors who were not affiliated with any of the Company s directors or executive officers.

- (3) The expiration date of each option award is 10 years from the date of its grant, subject to earlier termination on a cessation of service with the Company.
- (4) Mr. Criste and Mr. Tchen resigned from the Board of Directors effective as of March 31, 2014.

88

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Named Executive Officers

Our named executive officers include our principal executive officer and our two other most highly compensated executive officers. For 2013, our named executive officers were:

Ulrich E. Keller, Jr., who currently serves as our Executive Chairman, as well as a member of the Board of Directors.

Scott F. Kavanaugh, who currently serves as our Chief Executive Officer, as well as Vice Chairman and a member of the Board of Directors. Mr. Kavanaugh is our Principal Executive Officer.

John Hakopian, who currently serves as President of FFA, as well as a member of the Board of Directors. **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth, for our named executive officers, the compensation earned in the years ended December 31:

				Stock Option	
Name and Position	Year	Salary ⁽¹⁾	Bonus ⁽²⁾	Awards	Total
Ulrich E. Keller, Jr.,	2013	\$ 450,000	\$ 180,000	\$ -	\$ 630,000
Executive Chairman of FFI and FFA	2012	450,000	137,700	-	587,700
Scott F. Kavanaugh,	2013	456,000 (3)	337,500	-	793,500
Chief Executive Officer of FFI and FFB, Vice Chairman of FFI	2012	456,000 (3)	286,900	-	742,900
John Hakopian	2013	365,000	146,000	_	511,000
President of FFA	2012	365,000	124,100	-	489,100

- (1) Although Messrs. Keller, Kavanaugh and Hakopian are directors of the Company, they do not receive any fees or other compensation for their service as directors.
- (2) In 2013 and 2012, the Board of Directors established target bonus awards for each of the named executive officers, the payment of which was made contingent on FFI generating earnings, before taxes and bonuses, of \$11.3 million in 2013 and \$9.7 million in 2012. In 2013, and in 2012, Messrs. Kavanaugh and Hakopian each received 100% of their target bonus awards, the respective amounts of which are set forth in this column.

(3)

Mr. Kavanaugh s salary includes a \$6,000 per year automobile allowance for use of his personal automobile on Company business.

In addition to the compensation set forth in the table above, each executive officer receives group health and life insurance benefits. Incidental job related benefits, including employer contributions under the Company s 401k plan, totaled less than \$10,000 for each of the named executive officers in 2013.

Employment Agreements

Each of our named executive officers is employed under an employment agreement for a term ending on December 31, 2016. Set forth below are summaries of the terms of those employment agreements. These summaries are not intended to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the employment agreements themselves.

89

Material Terms of the Employment Agreements

Salaries. Each employment agreement provides for the payment of a base annual salary as follows: Mr. Keller: \$500,000; Mr. Kavanaugh: \$550,000; and Mr. Hakopian: \$425,000. Those salaries are subject to review and may be increased, but not reduced, by the Board of Directors in its discretion.

Participation in Incentive Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans. Each of the employment agreements provides that the named executive officer will be entitled to participate in any management bonus or incentive compensation plans adopted by the Board or its Compensation Committee and in any qualified or any other retirement plans, stock option or equity incentive plans, life, medical and disability insurance plans and other benefit plans which FFI and its subsidiaries may have in effect, from time to time, for all or most of its senior executives.

Termination and Severance Provisions. Each employment agreement provides that the named executive officer s employment may be terminated by the Company with or without cause or due to his death or disability or by the named executive officer with or without good reason. In the event of a termination of the named executive officer s employment by the Company without cause or by the named executive officer for good reason, the Company will become obligated to pay severance compensation to the named executive officer in an amount equal to 12 months of his annual base salary or the aggregate annual base salary that would have been paid to the named executive officer for the remainder of the term of his employment agreement if such remaining term is shorter than 12 months (the Termination Benefits Period or until the named executive officer obtains employment with another employer that offers comparable health insurance benefits, whichever period is shorter, the Company will be obligated to continue to provide any group health plan benefits to the extent authorized by and consistent with 29 U.S.C. § 1161 et seq. (commonly known as COBRA), subject to payment of premiums by the named executive officer at the active employee s rate then in effect.

Change of Control Agreements

The Company has entered into Change of Control Severance Agreements with each of its named executive officers. Each of those agreements provides that if the Company undergoes a Change of Control (as defined in such Agreements) while the named executive officer is still in the employ of the Company or one of its subsidiaries and, within the succeeding 12 months, the named executive officer terminates his employment due to the occurrence of any one of four Good Reason Events then the named executive officer will become entitled to receive the following severance compensation: (a) two times the sum of (i) his annual base salary as then in effect and (ii) the maximum bonus compensation that the named executive officer could have earned under any bonus or incentive compensation plan in which he was then participating, if any; (b) acceleration of the vesting of any then unvested stock options or restricted stock held by the named executive officer, and (c) continuation of health insurance benefits for a period that is the shorter of two years or until the named executive officer obtains employment with another employer that offers comparable health insurance benefits. However, each Change of Control Agreement provides that the severance compensation to which any named executive officer would otherwise receive under his agreement may not, in the aggregate, equal or exceed the amount which would result in the imposition of an excise tax pursuant to Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Each of these Change of Control Agreements also provides that the payment of severance compensation to a named executive officer under such agreement will be in lieu of any severance compensation that the named executive officer would otherwise have been entitled to receive under his employment agreement. Each Agreement also provides that the payment of severance compensation must comply with the applicable requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

The Good Reason Events consist of the following: (i) a reduction or adverse change of the named executive officer s authority; (ii) a material reduction in the named executive officer s salary; (iii) a relocation of the named executive

officer s principal place of employment of more than 30 miles; or (iv) a material breach by

90

the Company of its obligations under the named executive officer s employment agreement. However, each Change of Control Agreement provides that in order for a named executive officer to become entitled to receive his severance compensation, he must give the Company written notice of his election to terminate his employment for Good Reason within 45 days of the date he is notified of the occurrence of the Good Reason Event. If the named executive officer fails to provide such a notice within that 45-day period or if the Company rescinds the action taken that constituted the Good Reason Event following receipt of that notice, the named executive officer will not become entitled and the Company will not be obligated to pay any severance compensation by reason of the occurrence of the Good Reason Event.

A Change of Control Agreement will terminate in the event the named executive officer s employment is terminated by the Company for cause or due to his death or disability, or by the named executive officer without Good Reason, irrespective of whether such termination occurs prior to or after the consummation of a Change of Control of the Company.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding stock options held by each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2013, including the number of unexercised vested and unvested stock options. The vesting schedule for each option is shown following this table. None of our named executive officers has been granted any restricted stock.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year End

	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			
			Exercise	Expiration
Name / Grant Date	ExercisableUn	exercisable	Price ⁽²⁾	Date ⁽³⁾
Ulrich E. Keller, Jr.				
9/17/2007	40,500	-	\$ 11.00	9/16/2017
1/27/2009	15,000	-	16.50	1/26/2019
10/25/2011	26,666	13,334	16.50	10/24/2021
Scott F. Kavanaugh				
9/17/2007	160,000	-	10.00	9/16/2017
1/27/2009	20,000	-	15.00	1/26/2019
10/25/2011	53,334	26,666	15.00	10/24/2021
John Hakopian				
9/17/2007	40,500	-	10.00	9/16/2017
1/27/2009	10,000	-	15.00	1/26/2019
10/25/2011	26,666	13,334	15.00	10/24/2021

(1) Stock options granted to the named executive officers generally vest over three years at the rate of 33 \(^1\)/₃% of the options as of each anniversary of the date of grant, provided that the executive is still employed by the Company on that anniversary date.

(2)

In accordance with the Company s Equity Plans, the exercise prices were equal to or greater than 100% of the fair market values of the Company s shares as of the respective grant dates. The exercise prices of incentive options granted to Mr. Keller were equal to 110% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant because Mr. Keller owns more than 10% of the outstanding common stock of the Company. Since no active market existed for the Company s shares at each grant date above, in each instance the fair market value was determined by the Board of Directors based primarily on the prices at which the Company had most recently sold shares to investors who were not affiliated with any of the Company s directors or executive officers.

(3) The expiration date of each option award is 10 years from the date of its grant, subject to earlier termination on a cessation of service with the Company.

91

Equity Incentive Plans

Overview. The Board of Directors of FFI adopted and the FFI shareholders approved two equity incentive plans in 2007: the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2007 Management Stock Incentive (the Equity Plans). The following description of each of our stock incentive plans is qualified by reference to the full text of those plans, which will be filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The Equity Plans authorize, in the issuance of up to 1,880,282 shares of common stock, in the aggregate, for the grant of stock options and restricted shares to officers, key employees, and non-employee directors of and consultants to FFI or any of its subsidiaries (Plan Participants). The purposes of the Equity Plans are (i) to enhance our ability to attract and retain the services of persons upon whose judgment, initiative and efforts the successful conduct and development of our business largely depends, (ii) to provide additional incentives to such persons to devote their utmost effort and skill to the advancement and betterment of FFI, by providing them an opportunity to participate in the ownership of FFI; and (iii) to better align the interests of management and key employees with those of the shareholders by giving Plan Participants an equity interest in the success and increased value of FFI.

Administration of the Equity Plans. The Equity Plans are administered by the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board designated by it. The Equity Plans are currently administered by the Board of Directors. Following completion of this offering, the Equity Plans will be administered by our Compensation Committee.

Stock Options. The Equity Plans provide for the grant of incentive stock options (ISOs) under Section 422 of the Code, which can result in potentially favorable tax treatment to the optionee, and non-qualified stock options. The exercise price per share subject to an option may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of FFI stock on the date of grant; except that with respect to any optionee who owns stock representing more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of stock of FFI, the exercise price per share subject to an ISO may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. For purposes of the Equity Plans, the term fair market value means: (i) if FFI s shares are listed on a stock exchange which reports closing sale prices, the closing sale price of its shares as reported by the principal exchange on which such shares are admitted or traded on the date as of which such value is being determined or, if there is no closing sale price for such date, on the next succeeding date for which a closing sale price is reported, (ii) if FFI s shares are not listed on a stock exchange which reports closing sale prices, the average of the closing bid and ask prices for such a share of common stock in the over-the-counter market, or (iii) if the fair market value cannot be determined by either of these foregoing methods, then the fair market value shall be the value determined by the Board of Directors, on a good faith basis, using any reasonable method of valuation.

Restricted Stock. The Equity Plans provide for outright grants of shares of our common stock, that are subject to possible forfeiture if the Participant does not remain in the service of FFI or any of its subsidiaries for specified periods of time or if FFI or the Participant does not achieve specified financial or other performance goals established by the Board of Directors at the time such shares of restricted stock are granted. At the time these shares (Restricted Shares) are granted, the administrator of the Equity Plans determines the purchase price or other consideration, if any, that will be payable by the Participant for the Restricted Shares; the vesting requirements that, if not satisfied, will result in forfeiture of some or all of the Restricted Shares back to FFI, and the restrictions that will be imposed on those Restricted Shares or the rights of the holder with respect to those Shares prior to the time they cease to be subject to the risk of forfeiture (that is, become vested). A holder of Restricted Shares will have the rights of a shareholder with respect to the Restricted Shares only to the extent and subject to the restrictions established by the Board of Directors at the time of grant, as set forth in a restricted stock agreement pursuant to which the shares of restricted stock are granted to the Participant. Unless those restrictions provide otherwise, a holder of Restricted Shares will not be entitled to exercise voting rights with respect to such Restricted Shares or to receive any dividends that may be paid on the FFI s outstanding shares of common stock. Subject to certain limited exceptions, Restricted

Shares are not be transferable by the holder unless and until they become vested.

92

As of March 31, 2014, the number of shares reserved for issuance, number of shares issued, number of shares underlying outstanding stock options and number of shares remaining available for future issuance under each of the Equity Plans is set forth in the table.

			N	fumber of Shares
			Number of Shares	Remaining
	Number of Shares		Underlying	Available for
	Reserved forNumber of Shares Outstanding			Future
Description	Issuance	Issued	Options	Issuance
2007 Equity Incentive Plan	1,100,113	-	890,937	209,176
2007 Management Stock Incentive Plan	780,169	-	693,000	87,169
Total	1,880,282	-	1,583,937	296,345

Compensation Risk Assessment

We believe that, although a portion of the compensation provided to our executives and other employees is subject to the achievement of specified financial performance criteria, our executive compensation program does not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking. We do not believe that our compensation programs are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In addition to the compensation arrangements with directors and executive officers described in Executive Compensation above, the following is a description of each transaction since January 1, 2011, and any proposed transaction in which:

we have been or are to be a participant;

the amount involved exceeded or exceeds \$120,000; and

any of our directors, executive officers or beneficial holders of more than 5% of our capital stock, or any immediate family member of or person sharing the same household with any of these individuals (other than tenants or employees), had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Private Placement Transactions

In December 2013 we sold a total of 318,987 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$18.00 per share, in a private placement to a total of 32 investors, (the 2013 Private Placement). Between August 1, 2012 and March 31, 2013, we sold a total of 413,172 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$15.00 per share, in a private placement to a total of 45 investors (the 2012 Private Placement). Mr. Kavanaugh, our Chief Executive Officer, purchased 19,500 shares in the 2013 Private Placement, for which he paid \$18.00 per share, for a total purchase price of \$351,000. In the 2012 Private Placement, Mr. Kavanaugh purchased 13,334 shares, for which he paid \$15.00 per share, for a total purchase price of \$200,010; Roy Kavanaugh, a brother of Scott Kavanaugh, purchased 42,000 shares, for which they paid \$15.00 per share, for a total purchase price of \$630,000; and Emily Keller, a sister of Ulrich Keller, Jr., and her husband purchased 10,000 shares, for which they paid \$15.00 per share, for a total purchase price of \$150,000.

Directed Share Program. At our request, the underwriters have reserved for sale, at the initial public offering price, up to approximately % of the shares of our common stock being offered for sale by this prospectus to certain executive officers and members of our board of directors and certain business associates, friends and family of our executive officers and Board of Directors through a reserved share program. See Underwriting Directed Share Program .

Ordinary Banking Relationships

FFB has had, and in the future may have, banking transactions in the ordinary course of its business with directors, principal shareholders and their associates, including the making of loans to directors and their associates. Such loans and other banking transactions were, and in the future will be, made on the same terms, including interest rates and collateral securing the loans, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons of comparable creditworthiness who have no affiliation with the Company, FFB or any other subsidiaries of the Company and will be made only if they do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability and do not present any other unfavorable features at the times the loans are made.

Indemnification Agreements with our Directors and Officers

We are incorporated under the laws of the State of California. Section 317 of the CGCL provides that a California corporation may indemnify any persons who are, or are threatened to be made, parties to any threatened, pending or

completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (other than an action by or in the right of such corporation), by reason of the fact that such person was an officer, director, employee or agent of such corporation, or is or was serving at the request of such corporation as an officer, director, employee or agent of another corporation or enterprise. The indemnity may include expenses (including attorneys fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection with such action, suit or proceeding, provided that such person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the corporation s best interests and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe that his or her conduct was illegal. Section 317 of the CGCL further authorizes a corporation to purchase and maintain

insurance on behalf of any indemnified person against any liability asserted against and incurred by such person in any indemnified capacity, or arising out of such person s status as such, regardless of whether the corporation would otherwise have the power to indemnify such person under the CGCL.

Section 204(a)(10) of the CGCL permits a corporation to provide in its articles of incorporation that a director of the corporation shall not be personally liable to the corporation or its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duties as a director, except for certain liabilities including liability for any:

breach of a director s duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders;

act or omission not in good faith or that involves intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

unlawful payment of dividends or redemption of shares; or

transaction from which the director derives an improper personal benefit.

Our articles of incorporation authorize us to, and our amended and restated bylaws provide that we must, indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent authorized by the CGCL and also pay expenses incurred in defending any such proceeding in advance of its final disposition upon delivery of an undertaking, by or on behalf of an indemnified person, to repay all amounts so advanced if it should be determined ultimately that such person is not entitled to be indemnified under this section or otherwise.

As permitted by the CGCL, we have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and certain of our officers. These agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted under California law against liabilities that may arise by reason of their service to us, and to advance expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified.

We have an insurance policy covering our officers and directors with respect to certain liabilities, including liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, the registrant has been informed that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is therefore unenforceable.

Procedures for Approval of Related Party Transactions

Transactions by FFI or FFB with related parties are subject to regulatory requirements and restrictions. These requirements and restrictions include Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act (which govern certain transactions by a bank with its affiliates) and the Federal Reserve Board s Regulation O (which governs certain loans by FFB to its executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders). We have adopted policies to comply with these regulatory requirements and restrictions.

In addition, our Board has adopted written policy governing the approval of related party transactions that complies with all applicable SEC requirements and NASDAQ Listing Rules. FFI s related parties include directors (including any nominee for election as a director), executive officers, 5% shareholders and the immediate family members of these persons. Our Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with other members of management and outside counsel, as appropriate, will review potential related party transactions to determine if they are subject to the policy. If so, the transaction will be referred to the Board of Directors for approval. In determining whether to approve a related party transaction, the Board of Directors will consider, among other factors, the fairness of the proposed transaction, the direct or indirect nature of the related party s interest in the transaction, the appearance of an improper conflict of interests for any director or executive officer, taking into account the size of the transaction and the financial position of the related party, whether the transaction would impair an outside director s independence, the acceptability of the transaction to our regulators and any possible violations of other of our corporate policies. Upon completion of the offering, our Related Party Transactions Policy will be available on our website at www.ff-inc.com.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following description summarizes the material terms and provisions of our articles of incorporation, as amended, and our amended and restated bylaws affecting the rights of holders of our capital stock. Because it is only a summary, it does not contain all the information that may be important to you. For a complete description, you should refer to our articles of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws, each of which is included as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

We are a California corporation and our authorized capital stock consists of and, upon the closing of this offering will continue to be, 20,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value. As of December 31, 2013, a total of 7,733,514 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding which were held of record by 903 shareholders. No shares of preferred stock had been issued or were outstanding and we do not have any current plans to sell or issue any shares of preferred stock.

Common Stock

Voting Rights. Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by shareholders, except that if any shareholder in attendance at a meeting of shareholders at which directors are to be elected announces, prior to the voting, an intention to cumulate votes in the election of directors, then all shareholders will be entitled to cumulate votes in that election. In an election of directors held by cumulative voting, each shareholder is entitled to cast a number of votes that is equal to the number of directors to be elected at the meeting, multiplied by the number of shares that the shareholder is entitled to vote at the meeting, and to cast all of those votes for a single nominee or to distribute those votes among any number or all of the nominees in such proportions as the shareholder may choose.

Dividend Rights. Subject to applicable law and any preferences that may be applicable to the holders of shares of preferred stock that may be outstanding, if any, the holders of common stock are entitled to receive such lawful dividends if, as and when declared by the board of directors.

Legal Restrictions on the Payment of Cash Dividends. California law imposes restrictions on the payment of cash dividends by California corporations. In addition, as a bank holding company, we are required to be a source of financial strength for our bank subsidiary and, therefore, we will not be permitted to pay dividends if, in the view of the FRB, doing so would weaken our financial condition or capital resources. Furthermore, cash dividends from FFB and FFA will constitute the principal sources of cash available to us to pay dividends to shareholders in the future. However, there also are statutory and regulatory restrictions on their ability to pay cash dividends to us. Therefore, dividend payment restrictions on FFB and FFA may limit the amount of cash that we will have to pay dividends to shareholders. For additional information regarding restrictions on our ability to pay dividends, see the section entitled Dividend Policy.

Rights upon Dissolution. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, subject to the rights of the holders of any then outstanding shares of preferred stock, the holders of our common stock will be entitled to receive all of our assets remaining after satisfaction of all our liabilities and the payment of any liquidation preference of any outstanding preferred stock. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to our common stock.

Other than the rights described above, the holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption, sinking fund or conversion rights and are not subject to further calls or assessments. The rights of holders of common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of any series of preferred stock which we may

issue in the future.

96

Preferred Stock

Our Board of Directors has the authority, without further action by the shareholders, to issue up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series, and to fix the rights, preferences and privileges of such shares, including voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preference and number of shares constituting and the designation of any series of preferred stock. The availability of shares of preferred stock for future issuance by action of the Board of Directors is intended to provide us with the flexibility to take advantage of opportunities to raise additional capital through the issuance of shares that address competitive conditions in the securities markets. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that we may issue in the future. Although we presently have no plans to do so, the Board of Directors, without shareholder approval, may issue preferred stock with voting or conversion rights which could adversely affect the voting power of the holders of our common stock. This provision may be deemed to have a potential anti-takeover effect, because the issuance of such preferred stock may delay or prevent a change of control of the Company. Furthermore, shares of preferred stock, if any are issued, may have other rights, including economic rights, senior to our common stock, and, as a result, the issuance of preferred stock could reduce the market prices of the common stock.

Options and Restricted Stock

As of December 31, 2013, options to purchase a total of 1,430,967 shares of common stock were outstanding, and 7,666 shares of restricted stock grants were not yet vested. As of that same date, 362,470 shares of our common stock remained available for future issuance under our Equity Incentive Plans.

Desert Commercial Bank Acquisition

The merger agreement with DCB provides that if, as of the second anniversary of the consummation of the DCB Acquisition, actual losses on those assets total less than \$4.5 million, we will issue to the former DCB shareholders a number of additional shares of our common stock determined by dividing the price per share of \$15.00 into 90% of the amount, if any, by which \$4.5 million exceeds the losses incurred on those assets (or a maximum of 270,000 shares of our common stock). It is our expectation, based on the information available to us as of December 31, 2013, that we will not pay any additional consideration to the former DCB shareholders.

Antitakeover Provisions of California Law

We are subject to the provisions of Section 1203 of the CGCL, which contains provisions that may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or delaying or preventing changes in control in which our shareholders could receive a premium for their shares or other changes in our management. First, if an interested person makes an offer to purchase the shares of some or all of our existing shareholders, we must obtain an affirmative opinion in writing as to the fairness of the offering price prior to completing the transaction. California law considers a person to be an interested person if the person directly or indirectly controls our company, if the person is directly or indirectly controlled by one of our officers or directors, or if the person is an entity in which one of our officers or directors holds a material financial interest. If, after receiving an offer from such an interested person , we receive a subsequent offer from a neutral third party, then we must notify our shareholders of this offer and afford each of them the opportunity to withdraw their consent to the interested person offer.

We are also subject to other provisions of the CGCL, which include voting requirements that may also have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers, disposing of our assets or delaying or preventing changes in control or our management. Under Section 1101 of the CGCL, if a single entity or constituent corporation owns more than 50% but less than 90%

of the outstanding shares of any class of our capital stock and attempts to merge our Company into itself or other constituent corporation, the Company s non-redeemable securities may only be exchanged for non-redeemable securities of the surviving entity, unless all of our shareholders consent to the

transaction or the terms of the transaction are approved and determined to be fair by the California Department of Business Oversight (or DBO). Section 1001(d) of the CGCL provides that any proposed sale or disposition of all or substantially all of our assets to any other corporation that we are controlled by or under common control with must be consented to by our shareholders holding at least 90% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock or approved and determined fair by the DBO. Sections 1101 and 1001 of the CGCL could make it significantly more difficult for a third-party to acquire control of our Company by preventing a possible acquirer from cashing out minority shareholders or selling substantially all of our assets to a related party and therefore could discourage a hostile bid, or delay, prevent or deter entirely a merger, acquisition or tender offer in which our shareholders could receive a premium for their shares, or effect a proxy contest for control of us or other changes in our management.

Antitakeover Provisions of our Articles of Incorporation and Amended and Restated Bylaws

Certain provisions of our articles of incorporation, as amended, and amended and restated bylaws highlighted below may have anti-takeover effects and may delay, prevent or make more difficult unsolicited tender offers or takeover attempts that a shareholder may consider to be in his or her best interest, including those attempts that might result in a premium over the market price for the shares held by shareholders. These provisions may also have the effect of making it more difficult for third parties to cause the replacement of our current management. Among other things, our articles of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws:

enable our Board of Directors to issue blank check preferred stock up to the authorized amount, with such preferences, limitations and relative rights, including voting rights, as may be determined from time to time by the Board;

enable our Board of Directors to fill vacant directorships except for vacancies created by the removal of a director;

enable our Board of Directors to amend our bylaws without shareholder approval subject to certain exceptions; and

establish an advance notice procedure with regard to business to be brought before an annual or special meeting of shareholders and with regard to the nomination of candidates for election as directors.

In addition, the corporate laws and regulations applicable to us enable our Board of Directors to issue, from time to time and at its discretion, but subject to applicable listing rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, any authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock. The ability of our Board of Directors to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock at its sole discretion may enable our Board to sell shares to individuals or groups who the Board perceives as friendly with management, which may make more difficult unsolicited attempts to obtain control of our organization. In addition, the ability of our Board of Directors to issue authorized but unissued shares of our capital stock at its sole discretion could deprive the shareholders of opportunities to sell their shares of common stock or preferred stock for prices higher than prevailing market prices.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Computershare Trust Company, N.A. Its address is 250 Royall Street, Canton, Massachusetts 02021 and its telephone number is (732) 512-3172.

NASDAQ Global Market Listing

We have applied to list our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol FFWM.

98

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock, as of March 31, 2014 and immediately after the closing of this offering, for:

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors;

all our executive officers and directors as a group; and

each person, or group of affiliated persons, known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of our common stock.

For purposes of the table below, the percentage ownership calculations for purposes of determining the beneficial ownership of our directors and executive officers prior to this offering are based on 7,733,514 shares of our common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2014. The table below assumes that there will be [] shares of our common stock outstanding immediately following the closing of this offering.

Under the rules and regulations of the SEC, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of (i) shares with respect to which that person has, either alone or with others, the power to vote or dispose of those shares; and (ii) shares which that person may acquire on exercise of options or other rights to purchase shares of our common stock at any time during a 60 day period which, for purposes of this table, will end on June 15, 2014. The number of shares subject to options that are exercisable or may become exercisable during that 60 day period are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the number of shares beneficially owned by, and the percentage ownership of, the person holding such options, but not for computing the percentage ownership of any other shareholder named in this table. Except as otherwise noted below, we believe that the persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable.

The business address for each director and named executive officer listed is 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 700, Irvine, California 92612.

Name and Title	As of March Number of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾	31, 2014 ⁽¹⁾ Percent of Class	Offeri Number of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾	ng ⁽¹⁾
Ulrich Keller, Jr., Executive Chairman	1,382,751(4)	17.7%	1,382,751	%
Scott Kavanaugh, Vice Chairman and CEO	599,501	7.5%	599,501	%
James Brakke, Director	55,117	*	55,117	

Edgar Filing: First Foundation Inc. - Form S-1

Max Briggs, Director	$19,474^{(5)}$	*	19,474	
Victoria Collins, Director	432,709(6)	5.6%	432,709	%
Warren Fix, Director	51,167 ⁽⁷⁾	*	51,167	
John Hakopian, Director and President of FFA	464,846	6.0%	464,846	%
Gerald Larsen, Director	20,700	*	20,700	
Mitchell M. Rosenberg, Director	39,500	*	39,500	
Jacob Sonenshine, Director	39,500	*	39,500	
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group				
(12 persons)	3,374,597	39.8%	3,374,597	%

^{*} Represents less than one (1%) percent of the shares outstanding as of March 31, 2014 and to be outstanding after the offering.

- (1) This table is based upon information supplied to us by our officers, directors and principal shareholders. Except as otherwise noted, we believe that each of the shareholders named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as to which he or she is shown to be the beneficial owner, subject to applicable community property laws. The percentage ownership interest of each individual or group is based upon the total number of shares of the Company s common stock outstanding plus the shares which the respective individual or group has the right to acquire within 60 days after April 15, 2014 through the exercise of stock options.
- (2) Includes shares that may be acquired within 60 days of April 15, 2014 pursuant to the exercise of stock options. Shares subject to options are as follows: Mr. Keller 82,166 shares; Mr. Kavanaugh 233,334 shares; Messrs. Brakke, Fix, Sonenshine and Dr. Rosenberg, 16,500 shares each; Dr. Collins 45,500 shares; Mr. Hakopian 77,166 shares; Mr. Briggs 5,000 shares; Mr. Larsen 11,000 shares; and Directors and Executive Officers as a Group 754,498 shares.
- (3) Assumes no exercise of the underwriters option to purchase additional shares. See section entitled Underwriting.
- (4) Includes 100,000 shares beneficially owned by Mr. Keller s wife, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (5) Includes 3,000 shares beneficially owned by Mr. Briggs wife, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (6) Includes 10,000 shares beneficially owned by Dr. Collins husband, as to which she disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (7) Includes 5,000 shares beneficially owned by Mr. Fix s wife, as to which he disclaims beneficial ownership.

100

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Future sales of substantial numbers of our shares of common stock in the public market, or the perception that substantial sales could occur, may adversely affect the market prices of our shares prevailing from time to time and could impair our ability to raise capital through sales of our equity securities in the future. Upon consummation of this offering [
substantial numbers of the shares owned by our directors and officers will occur once the six-month Lock-up Period
expires. This perception also may adversely affect the prevailing market prices of our shares and our ability to raise equity capital in the future.
equity capital in the ruttire.
Upon the closing of this offering, [] shares of our common stock will be outstanding (or [] shares if the underwriters exercise their purchase option in full). Of the shares of our common stock to be outstanding immediately after the closing of this offering, a total of approximately [] shares will be freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, comprised of [] of our currently outstanding shares and the [] shares to be sold in this offering (or [] shares if the underwriters exercise their purchase option in full). Of the remaining [] shares of our common stock, [] shares will be restricted securities within the meaning of, and [] owned by our affiliates will be subject to certain volume and other restrictions, under Rule 144.
The following table illustrates the above:

Dates Shares become Available for Sale	Shares Eligible for Sale
Shares saleable on date of this prospectus:	
Currently outstanding shares not subject to resale restrictions	
Currently outstanding shares saleable under Rule 144 and not subject to lock-up agreements	
Shares saleable on expiration of six-month Lock-up Period: Shares released from lock-up and eligible for sale under Rule 144	

Other Shares that have become saleable under Rule 144

The foregoing discussion assumes that there will be an active market for our shares following this completion of this offering and we have applied to have our common stock approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol FFWM. However we cannot assure you that an active public market will develop or will be sustained for our common stock following this offering.

101

Lock-up Agreements

In connection with this offering, each of our executive officers and directors has entered into a lock-up agreement with the underwriters for this offering that restricts the sale of shares of our common stock by them during the six-month Lock-up Period that commences on the date of this prospectus. Sandler O Neill + Partners, L.P., on behalf of the underwriters, may, in its sole discretion and without notice, choose to release any or all of the shares of our common stock subject to these lock-up agreements at any time prior to the expiration of that six-month Lock-up Period. For additional information, see the section in this prospectus entitled Underwriting.

Rule 144

We registered our shares with the SEC under the Exchange Act effective December 16, 2013 and, as of March 16, 2014, we will have been subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act for 90 days. As a result, pursuant to Rule 144, a shareholder who purchased shares of our common stock subject to the resale restrictions of Rule 144, will be entitled to sell those of such shares which he or she had fully paid for and owned for at least six months, provided that the shareholder is not, and during the preceding three months had not been, one of our affiliates. For purposes of Rule 144, an affiliate includes our directors and executive officers and any other person who may own beneficially 10% or more of our outstanding shares of common stock.

Under Rule 144, a person who is one of our affiliates, or was one of our affiliates at any time during the three months preceding a sale by the affiliate of any of his or her shares of common stock and has beneficially owned those shares for at least six months, will be entitled (subject to any lock-up restrictions in effect at that time) to sell, within any three-month period, a number of shares of our common stock that does not exceed the greater of:

One percent of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding at the time of the sale, which will equal approximately [] shares following this offering; and

The average weekly trading volume in our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the date a Notice of Proposed Sale of Securities Pursuant to Rule 144 is filed with the SEC with respect to the sale.

Sales by affiliates under Rule 144 are also subject to manner of sale requirements and to a requirement that information about us is available on a current basis.

Stock Options and Form S-8 Registration Statement

On January 30, 2014, we filed a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register all of the shares of our common stock underlying outstanding options and other equity awards issuable pursuant to our equity incentive plans. As a result, all of those shares will be freely tradable in the open market subject to any vesting requirements applicable to these options or other equity awards (and, in the case of our affiliates, subject to their lock-up agreements and to the volume limitations and manner of sale requirements of Rule 144). See Executive Compensation Equity Incentive Plans for additional information regarding these equity incentive plans.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

Both federal and state laws extensively regulate bank holding companies and banks. Such regulation is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the FDIC s deposit insurance fund and is not for the benefit of shareholders. Set forth below are summary descriptions of the material laws and regulations that affect or bear on our operations. Those summaries are not intended, and do not purport, to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the laws and regulations that are summarized below.

First Foundation Inc.

General. First Foundation Inc. is a registered bank holding company subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the Holding Company Act). Pursuant to that Act, we are subject to supervision and periodic examination by, and are required to file periodic reports with the FRB.

As a bank holding company, we are allowed to engage, directly or indirectly, only in banking and other activities that the FRB has determined, or in the future may deem, to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. Business activities designated by the FRB to be closely related to banking include the provision of investment advisory, securities brokerage, insurance agency and data processing services, among others.

As a bank holding company, we also are required to obtain the prior approval of the FRB for the acquisition of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities, or of substantially all of the assets, by merger or purchase, of (i) any bank or other bank holding company and (ii) any other entities engaged in banking-related businesses or that provide banking-related services.

Under FRB regulations, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks and may not conduct its operations in an unsafe or unsound manner. In addition, it is the FRB s policy that a bank holding company, in serving as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks, should stand ready to use available resources to provide adequate capital funds to its subsidiary banks during periods of financial stress or adversity and should maintain the financial flexibility and capital-raising capacity to obtain additional resources for assisting its subsidiary banks. For that reason, among others, the FRB requires all bank holding companies to maintain capital at or above certain prescribed levels. A bank holding company s failure to meet these requirements will generally be considered by the FRB to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of the FRB s regulations or both, which could lead to the imposition of restrictions on the offending bank holding company, including restrictions on its further growth.

Additionally, among its powers, the FRB may require any bank holding company to terminate an activity or terminate control of, or liquidate or divest itself of, any subsidiary or affiliated company that the FRB determines constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of the bank holding company or any of its banking subsidiaries. The FRB also has the authority to regulate provisions of a bank holding company s debt, including authority to impose interest ceilings and reserve requirements on such debt. Subject to certain exceptions, bank holding companies also are required to file written notice and obtain approval from the FRB prior to purchasing or redeeming their common stock or other equity securities. A bank holding company and its non-banking subsidiaries also are prohibited from implementing so-called tying arrangements whereby clients may be required to use or purchase services or products from the bank holding company or any of its non-bank subsidiaries in order to obtain a loan or other services from any of the holding company s subsidiary banks.

Because FFB is a California state chartered bank, the Company also is a bank holding company within the meaning of Section 3700 of the California Financial Code. As such, we are subject to examination by, and may be required to file reports with, the DBO.

103

Financial Services Modernization Act. The Financial Services Modernization Act, which also is known as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, was enacted into law in 1999. The principal objectives of that Act were to establish a comprehensive framework to permit affiliations among commercial banks, insurance companies, securities and investment banking firms, and other financial service providers. Accordingly, the Act revised and expanded the Bank Holding Company Act to permit a bank holding company system meeting certain specified qualifications to engage in a broader range of financial activities to foster greater competition among financial services companies both domestically and internationally.

The Financial Services Modernization Act also contains provisions that expressly preempt and make unenforceable any state law restricting the establishment of financial affiliations, primarily related to insurance. That Act also:

broadened the activities that may be conducted by national banks, bank subsidiaries of bank holding companies, and their financial subsidiaries;

provided an enhanced framework for protecting the privacy of consumer information;

adopted a number of provisions related to the capitalization, membership, corporate governance, and other measures designed to modernize the Federal Home Loan Bank system;

modified the laws governing the implementation of the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), which is described in greater detail below; and

addressed a variety of other legal and regulatory issues affecting both day-to-day operations and long-term activities of banking institutions.

According to current FRB regulations, activities that are financial in nature and may be engaged in by financial holding companies, through their non-bank subsidiaries, include

securities underwriting, dealing and market making;

sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;

engaging in insurance underwriting; and

engaging in merchant banking activities.

Before a bank holding company may engage in any of the financial activities authorized by the Financial Services Modernization Act, it must file an application with its Federal Reserve Bank that confirms that it meets certain qualitative eligibility requirements established by the FRB. A bank holding company that meets those qualifications

and files such an application will be designated as a financial holding company, in which event it will become entitled to affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and engage in other activities, primarily through non-banking subsidiaries, that are financial in nature or are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature.

A bank holding company that does not qualify as a financial holding company may not engage in such financial activities. Instead, as discussed above, it is limited to engaging in banking and such other activities that have been determined by the FRB to be closely related to banking.

Privacy Provisions of the Financial Services Modernization Act. As required by the Financial Services Modernization Act, federal banking regulators have adopted rules that limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose nonpublic information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Pursuant to the rules, financial institutions must provide:

initial notices to clients about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which banks and other financial institutions may disclose non-public personal information about their clients to non-affiliated third parties and affiliates;

104

annual notices of their privacy policies to current clients; and

a reasonable method for clients to opt out of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties. *Acquisitions of Control of Banks*. The Holding Company Act and the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, as amended, together with regulations of the FRB, require FRB approval before any person or company may acquire control of a bank holding company, subject to exemptions for some transactions. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company (i) acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of a bank holding company or (ii) has the direct or indirect power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a bank holding company, whether through ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise; provided that no individual will be deemed to control a bank holding company solely on account of being a director, officer or employee of the bank holding company. Control is presumed to exist if a person acquires 10% or more but less than 25% of any class of voting securities of a bank holding company with securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act or if no other person will own a greater percentage of that class of voting securities immediately after the transaction.

However, as a bank holding company, we must obtain the prior approval of the FRB to acquire more than five percent of the outstanding shares of voting securities of a bank or another bank holding company.

Dividends. It is the policy of FRB that bank holding companies should generally pay dividends on common stock only out of income available over the past year, and only if prospective earnings retention is consistent with the holding company s expected future needs for capital and liquidity and to maintain its financial condition. It is also an FRB policy that bank holding companies should not maintain dividend levels that undermine their ability to be a source of financial strength for their banking subsidiaries. Additionally, due to the current financial and economic environment, the FRB has indicated that bank holding companies should carefully review their dividend policies and has discouraged dividend payment ratios that are at maximum allowable levels unless both asset quality and capital are very strong.

Recent Legislation and Governmental Actions. From time to time, federal and state legislation is enacted which can affect our operations and our operating results by materially increasing the costs of doing business, limiting or expanding the activities in which banks and other financial institutions may engage, or altering the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers.

The recent economic recession and credit crisis that, among other measures, required the federal government to provide substantial financial support to the largest of the banks and other financial service organizations in the United States, led the U.S. Congress to adopt a number of new laws, and the U.S. Treasury Department and the federal banking regulators, including the FRB and the FDIC, to take broad actions, to address systemic risks and volatility in the U.S. banking system. Set forth below are summaries of such recently adopted laws and regulatory actions, which are not intended to be complete and which are qualified in their entirety by reference to those laws and regulations.

The Dodd-Frank Act: On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly changes federal banking regulation. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act created a new Financial Stability Oversight Council to identify systemic risks in the banking and financial system and gives federal regulators new authority to take control of and liquidate banking institutions and other financial firms facing the prospect of imminent failure that would create systemic risks to the U.S. financial system. The Dodd-Frank Act also created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which is a new independent federal regulator with broad powers and authority to administer and regulate federal consumer protection laws.

Set forth below is a summary description of some of the key provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that may affect us. The description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Dodd-Frank Act itself.

Imposition of New Capital Standards on Bank Holding Companies. The Dodd-Frank Act required the FRB to apply consolidated capital requirements to bank holding companies that are no less stringent than those currently applied to depository institutions, such as FFB. The FRB implemented this requirement by its adoption of the new Basel III capital rules in June 2013. See First Foundation Bank *New Basel III Capital Rules* below.

Increase in Deposit Insurance and Changes Affecting the FDIC Insurance Fund. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the maximum deposit insurance amount for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act eliminates the federal statutory prohibition against the payment of interest on business checking accounts, which is likely to increase the competition for and interest that banks pay on such accounts. The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments, which will now be based on the average consolidated total assets, less tangible equity capital, of an insured financial institution and which may result in increases in FDIC insurance assessments for many FDIC insured banks. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to increase the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by 2020 and eliminates the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to insured depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds.

<u>Executive Compensation Provisions</u>. The Dodd-Frank Act directs federal banking regulators to promulgate rules prohibiting the payment of excessive compensation to executives of depository institutions and their holding companies with assets in excess of \$1.0 billion.

<u>Limitations on Conversion of Bank Charters</u>. The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits a bank or other depository institution from converting from a state to federal charter or vice versa while it is subject to a cease and desist order or other formal enforcement action or a memorandum of understanding with respect to a significant supervisory matter, unless the appropriate federal banking agency gives notice of the conversion to the federal or state regulatory agency that issued the enforcement action and that agency does not object within 30 days.

<u>Interstate Banking</u>. The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes national and state banks to establish branches in other states to the same extent as a bank chartered by that state would be permitted to branch. Previously, banks could only establish branches in other states if the host state expressly permitted out-of-state banks to establish branches in that state. Accordingly, banks will be able to enter new markets more freely.

Extension of Limitations on Banking Transactions by Banks with their Affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act applies Section 23A and Section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act (governing transactions with insiders of banks and other depository institutions) to derivative transactions, repurchase agreements and securities lending and borrowing transactions that create credit exposure to an affiliate or an insider of a bank. Any such transactions with any affiliates must be fully secured. In addition, the exemption from Section 23A for transactions with financial subsidiaries has been eliminated. The Dodd-Frank Act also expands the definition of affiliate for purposes of quantitative and qualitative limitations of Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act to include mutual funds advised by a depository institution or any of its affiliates.

<u>Debit Card Fees</u>. The Dodd-Frank Act provides that the amount of any interchange fee charged by a debit card issuer with respect to a debit card transaction must be reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the card issuer and requires the FRB to establish standards for reasonable and proportional fees which may take into account the costs of preventing fraud. As a result, the FRB adopted a rule, effective October 1, 2011, which limits interchange fees on debit card transactions to a maximum of 21 cents per transaction plus 5 basis points of the transaction amount. A debit

card issuer may recover an additional one cent per transaction for fraud prevention purposes if the issuer complies with certain fraud-related requirements prescribed by the FRB.

106

Although, as a technical matter, this new limitation applies only to institutions with assets of more than \$10 billion, it is expected that many smaller institutions will reduce their interchange fees in order to remain competitive with the larger institutions that are required to comply with this new limitation.

Consumer Protection Provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act created a new, independent federal agency, called the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which has been granted broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement powers under various federal consumer financial protection laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Truth in Lending Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair Debt Collection Act, the Consumer Financial Privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and certain other statutes. The CFPB has examination and primary enforcement authority with respect to the compliance by depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets with federal consumer protection laws and regulations. Smaller institutions are subject to rules promulgated by the CFPB, but continue to be examined and supervised by federal banking regulators for consumer compliance purposes. The CFPB has authority to prevent unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. The Dodd-Frank Act also (i) authorizes the CFPB to establish certain minimum standards for the origination of residential mortgages, including a determination of the borrower s ability to repay, and (ii) will allow borrowers to raise certain defenses to foreclosure if they receive any loan other than a qualified mortgage as defined by the CFPB. The Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and standards that are more stringent than those adopted at the federal level and, in certain circumstances, permits state attorneys general to enforce compliance with both the state and federal financial consumer protection laws and regulations.

In January 2013, the CFPB approved certain mortgage lending reform regulations impacting the Truth in Lending Act (the TILA) and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA). Among other things, those reforms:

expand the population of loans that are subject to higher cost loan regulations and additional disclosures;

prohibit the payment of compensation to mortgage brokers based on certain fees or premiums, such as yield spread premiums, payable by or charged to home borrowers;

increase the regulation of mortgage servicing activities, including with respect to error resolution, forced-placement insurance and loss mitigation and collection activities;

require financial institutions to make a reasonable and good faith determination that the borrower has the ability to repay the residential mortgage loan before it is approved for funding and provides that the failure of a financial institution to make such a determination will entitle the borrower to assert that failure as a defense to any foreclosure action on the mortgage loan; and

impose appraisal requirements for high cost loans and loans secured by first mortgage liens on residential real estate.

The CFPB issued final rules for residential mortgage lending, which became effective January 10, 2014, including definitions for qualified mortgages and detailed standards by which leaders must satisfy themselves of the borrower s ability to repay the loan and revised forms of disclosure under the TILA and RESPA.

First Foundation Bank

General. FFB is subject to primary supervision, periodic examination and regulation by (i) the FDIC, which is its primary federal banking regulator, and (ii) the DBO, because FFB is a California state chartered bank.

Various requirements and restrictions under Federal and California banking laws affect the operations of FFB. These laws and the implementing regulations, which are promulgated by federal and state bank regulatory

107

agencies, can determine the extent of supervisory control to which a bank will be subject by its federal and state bank regulators. These laws and regulations cover most aspects of a bank s operations, including:

the reserves a bank must maintain against deposits and for possible loan losses and other contingencies;

the types of deposits it obtains and the interest it is permitted to pay on different types of deposit accounts;

the types of and limits on loans and investments that a bank may make;

the borrowings that a bank may incur;

the number and location of wealth banking offices that a bank may establish;

the rate at which it may grow its assets;

the acquisition and merger activities of a bank;

the amount of dividends that a bank may pay; and

the capital requirements that a bank must satisfy.

If, as a result of an examination of a federally regulated bank, a bank s primary federal bank regulatory agency, such as the FDIC, were to determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity, or other aspects of a bank s operations had become unsatisfactory or that the bank or its management was in violation of any law or regulation, that agency has the authority to take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate under the circumstances. These actions include the power:

to enjoin unsafe or unsound banking practices;

to require that affirmative action be taken to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice;

to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced;

to require the bank to increase its capital;

to restrict the bank s growth;

to assess civil monetary penalties against the bank or its officers or directors;

to remove officers and directors of the bank; and

if the federal agency concludes that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate a bank s deposit insurance, which in the case of a California state chartered bank would result in revocation of its charter and require it to cease its banking operations.

Additionally, under California law the DBO has many of these same remedial powers with respect to FFB.

Permissible Activities and Subsidiaries. California law permits state chartered commercial banks to engage in any activity permissible for national banks. Those permissible activities include conducting many so-called closely related to banking or nonbanking activities either directly or through their operating subsidiaries.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. FFB is a member of the FHLB. Among other benefits, each regional Federal Home Loan Bank serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region and makes available loans or advances to its member banks. Each regional Federal Home Loan Bank is financed

108

primarily from the sale of consolidated obligations of the overall Federal Home Loan Bank system. As an FHLB member, FFB is required to own a certain amount of capital stock in the FHLB. At December 31, 2012, FFB was in compliance with the FHLB s stock ownership requirement. Historically, the FHLB has paid dividends on its capital stock to its members.

Federal Reserve Board Deposit Reserve Requirements. The FRB requires all federally-insured depository institutions to maintain reserves at specified levels against their transaction accounts. At December 31, 2012, FFB was in compliance with these requirements.

Dividends and Other Transfers of Funds. Cash dividends from FFB are one of the principal sources of cash (in addition to any cash dividends that might be paid to us by FFA) that is available to the Company for its operations and to fund any cash dividends that our board of directors might declare in the future. We are a legal entity separate and distinct from FFB and FFB is subject to various statutory and regulatory restrictions on its ability to pay cash dividends to us. Those restrictions would prohibit FFB, subject to certain limited exceptions, from paying cash dividends in amounts that would cause FFB to become undercapitalized. Additionally, the FDIC and the DBO have the authority to prohibit FFB from paying cash dividends, if either of those agencies deems the payment of dividends by FFB to be an unsafe or unsound practice.

The FDIC also has established guidelines with respect to the maintenance of appropriate levels of capital by banks under its jurisdiction. Compliance with the standards set forth in those guidelines and the restrictions that are or may be imposed under the prompt corrective action provisions of federal law could limit the amount of dividends which FFB may pay. Also, until September 2014, we are required to obtain the prior approval of the FDIC before FFB may pay any dividends.

Single Borrower Loan Limitations. With certain limited exceptions, the maximum amount of obligations, secured or unsecured, that any borrower (including certain related entities) may owe to a California state bank at any one time may not exceed 25% of the sum of the shareholders equity, allowance for loan losses, capital notes and debentures of the bank. Unsecured obligations may not exceed 15% of the sum of the shareholders equity, allowance for loan losses, capital notes and debentures of the bank.

Reserve Act Sections 23A and 23B and FRB Regulation W, which impose restrictions on any extensions of credit to, or the issuance of a guarantee or letter of credit on behalf of, the Company or any of its other subsidiaries; the purchase of, or investments in, Company stock or other Company securities, the taking of such securities as collateral for loans; and the purchase of assets from the Company or any of its other subsidiaries. These restrictions prevent the Company and any of its subsidiaries from borrowing from FFB unless the borrowings are secured by marketable obligations in designated amounts, and such secured loans and investments by FFB in the Company or any of its subsidiaries are limited, individually, to 10% of FFB s capital and surplus (as defined by federal regulations) and, in the aggregate, for all loans made to and investments made in the Company and its other subsidiaries, to 20% of FFB s capital and surplus. California law also imposes restrictions with respect to transactions involving the Company and any other persons that may be deemed under that law to control FFB.

Safety and Soundness Standards. Banking institutions may be subject to potential enforcement actions by the federal regulators for unsafe or unsound practices or for violating any law, rule, regulation, or any condition imposed in writing by its primary federal banking regulatory agency or any written agreement with that agency. The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines designed to identify and address potential safety and soundness concerns that could, if not corrected, lead to deterioration in the quality of a bank s assets, liquidity or capital. Those guidelines set forth operational and managerial standards relating to such matters as:

internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems;

risk management;

109

loan documentation;	
credit underwriting;	
asset growth;	
earnings; and	
compensation, fees and benefits.	

In addition, federal banking agencies have adopted safety and soundness guidelines with respect to asset quality.

These guidelines provide six standards for establishing and maintaining a system to identify problem assets and

conduct periodic asset quality reviews to identify problem assets, estimate the inherent losses in problem

prevent those assets from deteriorating. Under these standards, an FDIC-insured depository institution is expected to:

compare problem asset totals to capital;

take appropriate corrective action to resolve problem assets;

consider the size and potential risks of material asset concentrations; and

assets and establish reserves that are sufficient to absorb those estimated losses;

provide periodic asset quality reports with adequate information for the bank s management and the board of directors to assess the level of asset risk.

These guidelines also establish standards for evaluating and monitoring earnings and for ensuring that earnings are sufficient for the maintenance of adequate capital and reserves.

Capital Standards and Prompt Corrective Action. The Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA) provides a framework for regulation of federally insured depository institutions, including banks, and their parent holding companies and other affiliates, by their federal banking regulators. Among other things, the FDIA requires the relevant federal banking regulator to take prompt corrective action with respect to a depository institution if that institution does not meet certain capital adequacy standards, including requiring the prompt submission of an acceptable capital restoration plan if the depository institution s bank regulator has concluded that it needs additional capital.

Supervisory actions by a bank s federal regulator under the prompt corrective action rules generally depend upon an institution s classification within one of five capital categories, which is determined on the basis of a bank s Tier 1 leverage ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio and total capital ratio. Tier 1 capital consists principally of common stock and nonredeemable preferred stock and retained earnings.

Under current regulations a depository institution s capital category under the prompt corrective action regulations will depend upon how its capital levels compare with various relevant capital measures and the other factors established by the relevant federal banking regulations. Those regulations, which will change effective January 1, 2015 due to the adoption of new Basel III capital rules (discussed below), provide that a bank will be:

well capitalized if it has a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5.0% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater and a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater, and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory agency to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure;

adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.0% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 4.0% or greater and is not well capitalized;

undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 4.0%;

110

significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 3.0% or a leverage ratio of less than 3.0%; and

critically undercapitalized if its tangible equity is equal to or less than 2.0% of average quarterly tangible assets.

If a bank that is classified as a well-capitalized institution is determined (after notice and opportunity for hearing), by its federal regulatory agency to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or to be engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice, that agency may, under certain circumstances, reclassify the bank as adequately capitalized. If a bank has been classified as adequately capitalized or undercapitalized, its federal regulatory agency may nevertheless require it to comply with bank supervisory provisions and restrictions that would apply to a bank in the next lower capital classification, if that regulatory agency has obtained supervisory information regarding the bank (other than with respect to its capital levels) that raises safety or soundness concerns. However, a significantly undercapitalized bank may not be treated by its regulatory agency as critically undercapitalized.

The FDIA generally prohibits a bank from making any capital distributions (including payments of dividends) or paying any management fee to its parent holding company if the bank would thereafter be undercapitalized.

Undercapitalized banks are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit a capital restoration plan. The federal regulatory agency for such a bank may not accept the bank s capital restoration plan unless the agency determines, among other things, that the plan is based on realistic assumptions and is likely to succeed in restoring the bank s capital. In addition, for a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the bank s parent holding company must guarantee that the bank will comply with its capital restoration plan. The bank holding company also is required to provide appropriate assurances of performance. Under such a guarantee and assurance of performance, if the bank fails to comply with its capital restoration plan, the parent holding company may become subject to liability for such failure in an amount up to the lesser of (i) 5.0% of its bank subsidiary s total assets at the time it became undercapitalized, and (ii) the amount which is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the bank into compliance with all applicable capital standards as of the time it failed to comply with the plan.

If an undercapitalized bank fails to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan, it will be treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized. In that event, the bank s federal regulatory agency may impose a number of additional requirements and restrictions on the bank, including orders or requirements (i) to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized, (ii) to reduce its total assets, and (iii) cease the receipt of deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

New Basel III Capital Rules. The current risk-based capital rules applicable to domestic banks and bank holding companies are based on the 1988 capital accord of the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee), which is comprised of central banks and bank supervisors and regulators from the major industrialized countries. The Basel Committee develops broad policy guidelines for use by each country s banking regulators in determining the banking supervisory policies and rules they apply. In December 2010, the Basel Committee issued a new set of international guidelines for determining regulatory capital, known as Basel III . In June 2012, the FRB issued, for public comment, three notices of proposed rulemaking which, if adopted, would have made significant changes to the regulatory risk-based capital and leverage requirements for banks and bank holding companies (banking organizations) in the United States consistent with the Basel III guidelines.

In July 2013, the FRB adopted final rules (the New Capital Rules) establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations, and the FDIC has adopted substantially identical rules. The rules implement the Basel Committee s December 2010 framework for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The New Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital

requirements applicable to U.S. banking organizations, including the Company and FFB, from

111

the current U.S. risk-based capital rules, define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the capital ratios applicable to banking organizations. The New Capital Rules also replace the existing approach used in risk-weighting of a banking organization s assets with a more risk-sensitive approach. The New Capital Rules will become effective for the Company and FFB on January 1, 2015 (subject in the case of certain of those Rules to phase-in periods).

Among other things, the New Capital Rules (i) introduce a new capital measure called Common Equity Tier 1 (CET-1), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET-1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) apply most deductions and adjustments to regulatory capital measures to CET-1 and not to the other components of capital, thus potentially requiring banking organizations to achieve and maintain higher levels of CET-1 in order to meet minimum capital ratios, and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions and adjustments from capital as compared to existing capital rules.

Under the New Capital Rules, as of January 1, 2015 the minimum capital ratios will be:

CET-1 to risk-weighted assets	4.5%
Tier 1 capital (i.e., CET-1 plus Additional Tier 1) to risk-weighted assets	6.0%
Total capital (i.e., Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets	8.0%
Tier 1 capital-to-average consolidated assets as reported on consolidated financial statements ⁽¹⁾	4.0%

(1) Commonly referred to as a banking institution s leverage ratio .

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the New Capital Rules also will require the Company and FFB, as well as most other bank holding companies and banks, to maintain a 2.5% capital conservation buffer, composed entirely of CET-1, on top of the minimum risk-weighted asset ratios set forth in the above table. This capital conservation buffer will have the effect of increasing (i) the CET-1-to-risk-weighted asset ratio to 7.0%, (ii) the Tier 1 capital-to-risk-weighted asset ratio to 8.5%, and (iii) the Total capital-to-risk weighted asset ratio to 10.5%.

The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking organizations with a ratio of CET-1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum, but below the capital conservation buffer, will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and executive compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at 0.625%, and will increase by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

The New Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET-1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income, and significant investments in common equity issued by nonconsolidated financial entities be deducted from CET-1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET-1 or all such categories, in the aggregate, exceed 15% of CET-1. The deductions and other adjustments to CET-1 will be phased in incrementally between January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2018. Additionally, the impact may be mitigated prior to or during the phase-in period by the determination of other than temporary impairments (OTTI) and additional accumulation of retained earnings. Under current capital standards, the effects of certain items of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) included in capital are excluded for purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. By contrast, under the New Capital Rules, the effects of certain items of AOCI will not be excluded. However, most banking organizations, including the Company and FFB, may make a one-time permanent election, not later than January 1, 2014, to continue to exclude these items from capital. We have not yet determined whether to make this election.

The New Capital Rules require that trust preferred securities be phased out from Tier 1 capital by January 1, 2016, except in the case of banking organizations with total consolidated assets of less than \$15 billion, which will be permitted to include trust preferred securities issued prior to May 19, 2010 in Tier 2 capital, without any limitations.

112

In the case of FFB, the New Capital Rules also revise the prompt corrective action regulations under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by (i) introducing a CET-1 ratio requirement at each capital quality level (other than critically undercapitalized), with a minimum ratio of 6.5% for a bank to qualify for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the current 6%); and (iii) requiring a leverage ratio of 4% to be adequately capitalized (as compared to the current 3% leverage ratio for a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1) and a leverage ratio of 5% to be well-capitalized. The New Capital Rules do not, however, change the total risk-based capital requirement for any prompt corrective action category.

The New Capital Rules prescribe a standardized approach for calculating risk-weighted assets that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. Government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. In addition, the New Capital Rules also provide more advantageous risk weights for derivatives and repurchase-style transactions cleared through a qualifying central counterparty and increase the scope of eligible guarantors and eligible collateral for purposes of credit risk mitigation.

FDIC Deposit Insurance. In addition to supervising and regulating state chartered non-member banks, the FDIC insures deposits, up to prescribed statutory limits, of all federally insured banks and savings institutions in order to safeguard the safety and soundness of the banking and savings industries. The FDIC insures client deposits through the Deposit Insurance Fund (the DIF) up to prescribed limits for each depositor. The Dodd-Frank Act increased the maximum deposit insurance amount from \$100,000 to \$250,000. The DIF is funded primarily by FDIC assessments paid by each DIF member institution. The amount of each DIF member is assessment is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital ratios and other supervisory factors. Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, the FDIC is authorized to set the reserve ratio for the DIF annually at between 1.15% and 1.50% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semi-annual basis. The Dodd-Frank Act increased the minimum reserve ratio from 1.15% of estimated deposits to 1.35% of estimated deposits (or a comparable percentage of the asset-based assessment base described above). The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to offset the effect of the increase in the minimum reserve ratio when setting assessments for insured depository institutions with less than \$10 billion in total consolidated assets, such as FFB. The FDIC has until September 30, 2020 to achieve the new minimum reserve ratio of 1.35%.

Additionally, all FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay assessments to the FDIC to fund interest payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation (FICO), an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize the predecessor to the DIF. The FICO assessment rates, which are determined quarterly, averaged 0.066% of insured deposits in fiscal 2012. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017.

The FDIC may terminate a depository institution s deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution s financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices that pose a risk to the DIF or that may prejudice the interest of the bank s depositors. Pursuant to California law, the termination of a California state chartered bank s FDIC deposit insurance would result in the revocation of the bank s charter, forcing it to cease conducting banking operations.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Developments. Like all other federally regulated banks, FFB is subject to fair lending requirements and the evaluation of its small business operations under the CRA. The CRA generally requires the federal banking agencies to evaluate the record of a bank in meeting the credit needs of its local communities, including those of low and moderate income neighborhoods in its service area. A bank s compliance with its CRA obligations is based on a performance-based evaluation system which determines the bank s CRA ratings

on the basis of its community lending and community development

113

performance. A bank may have substantial penalties imposed on it and generally will be required to take corrective measures in the event it violates its obligations under the CRA. Federal banking agencies also may take compliance with the CRA and other fair lending laws into account when regulating and supervising other activities of a bank or its bank holding company. Moreover, when a bank holding company files an application for approval to acquire a bank or another bank holding company, the FRB will review the CRA assessment of each of the subsidiary banks of the applicant bank holding company, and a low CRA rating may be the basis for denying the application.

USA Patriot Act of 2001 and Bank Secrecy Act. In October 2001, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism of 2001 (USA Patriot Act) was enacted into law in response to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. The USA Patriot Act was adopted to strengthen the ability of U.S. law enforcement and intelligence agencies to work cohesively to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. Of particular relevance to banks and other federally insured depository institutions are the USA Patriot Act s sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency provisions and various related implementing regulations that:

establish due diligence requirements for financial institutions that administer, maintain, or manage private bank accounts and foreign correspondent accounts;

prohibit U.S. institutions from providing correspondent accounts to foreign shell banks;

establish standards for verifying client identification at account opening; and

set rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulatory agencies and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering.

Under implementing regulations issued by the U.S. Treasury Department, banking institutions are required to incorporate a client identification program into their written money laundering plans that includes procedures for:

verifying the identity of any person seeking to open an account, to the extent reasonable and practicable;

maintaining records of the information used to verify the person s identity; and

determining whether the person appears on any list of known or suspected terrorists or terrorist organizations.

The Company and FFB also are subject to the federal Bank Secrecy Act of 1970, as amended, or the Bank Secrecy Act, which establishes requirements for recordkeeping and reporting by banks and other financial institutions designed to help identify the source, volume and movement of currency and monetary instruments into and out of the United States to help detect and prevent money laundering and other illegal activities. The Bank Secrecy Act requires financial institutions to develop and maintain a program reasonably designed to ensure and monitor compliance with its requirements, to train employees to comply with and to test the effectiveness of the program. Any failure to meet

the requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act can involve substantial penalties and result in adverse regulatory action. FFI and FFB have each adopted policies and procedures to comply with the Bank Secrecy Act.

Consumer Laws and Regulations. The Company and FFB are subject to a broad range of federal and state consumer protection laws and regulations prohibiting unfair or fraudulent business practices, untrue or misleading advertising and unfair competition. Those laws and regulations include:

The Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act of 1994, or HOEPA, which requires additional disclosures and consumer protections to borrowers designed to protect them against certain lending practices, such as practices deemed to constitute predatory lending.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, or the FACT Act, which requires banking institutions and financial services businesses to adopt practices

114

and procedures designed to help deter identity theft, including developing appropriate fraud response programs, and provides consumers with greater control of their credit data.

The Truth in Lending Act, or TILA, which requires that credit terms be disclosed in a meaningful and consistent way so that consumers may compare credit terms more readily and knowledgeably.

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act, or ECOA, which generally prohibits, in connection with any consumer or business credit transactions, discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (except in limited circumstances), or the fact that a borrower is receiving income from public assistance programs.

The Fair Housing Act, which regulates many lending practices, including making it unlawful for any lender to discriminate in its housing-related lending activities against any person because of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, handicap or familial status.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, or HMDA, which includes a fair lending aspect that requires the collection and disclosure of data about applicant and borrower characteristics as a way of identifying possible discriminatory lending patterns and enforcing anti-discrimination statutes.

The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, or RESPA, which requires lenders to provide borrowers with disclosures regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements and prohibits certain abusive practices, such as kickbacks.

The National Flood Insurance Act, or NFIA, which requires homes in flood-prone areas with mortgages from a federally regulated lender to have flood insurance.

The Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008, or SAFE Act, which requires mortgage loan originator employees of federally insured institutions to register with the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry, a database created by the states to support the licensing of mortgage loan originators, prior to originating residential mortgage loans.

Regulation W. The FRB has adopted Regulation W to comprehensively implement Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. Sections 23A and 23B and Regulation W limit transactions between a bank and its affiliates and limit a bank s ability to transfer to its affiliates the benefits arising from the bank s access to insured deposits, the payment system and the discount window and other benefits of the Federal Reserve System. The statute and regulation impose quantitative and qualitative limits on the ability of a bank to extend credit to, or engage in certain other transactions with, an affiliate (and a non-affiliate if an affiliate benefits from the transaction). However, certain transactions that generally do not expose a bank to undue risk or abuse the safety net are exempted from coverage under Regulation W.

Historically, a subsidiary of a bank was not considered an affiliate for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B, since their activities were limited to activities permissible for the bank itself. However, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act authorized

financial subsidiaries that may engage in activities not permissible for a bank. These financial subsidiaries are now considered affiliates that are subject to Sections 23A and 23B. Certain transactions between a financial subsidiary and another affiliate of a bank are also covered by Sections 23A and 23B and under Regulation W.

First Foundation Advisors

Registered Investment Adviser Regulation. FFA is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and the SEC s regulations promulgated thereunder. The Investment Advisers Act imposes numerous obligations on registered investment advisers, including fiduciary, recordkeeping, operational, and disclosure obligations. FFA is also subject to regulation under the securities laws and fiduciary laws of certain states and to ERISA, and to regulations promulgated thereunder, insofar as it is a fiduciary under ERISA with respect to certain of its clients. ERISA and the applicable provisions of the Code, impose certain duties on

115

persons who are fiduciaries under ERISA, and prohibit certain transactions by the fiduciaries (and certain other related parties) to such plans. The foregoing laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict FFA from conducting its business in the event that it fails to comply with such laws and regulations. Possible sanctions that may be imposed in the event of such noncompliance include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on the business activities for specified periods of time, revocation of registration as an investment adviser and/or other registrations, and other censures and fines. Changes in these laws or regulations could have a material adverse impact on the profitability and mode of operations of FFI and its subsidiaries.

Future Legislation

Congress may enact legislation from time to time that affects the regulation of the financial services industry, and state legislatures may enact legislation from time to time affecting the regulation of financial institutions chartered by or operating in those states. Federal and state regulatory agencies also periodically propose and adopt changes to their regulations or change the manner in which existing regulations are applied. The substance or impact of pending or future legislation or regulation, or the application thereof, cannot be predicted, although enactment of the proposed legislation could impact the regulatory structure under which we operate and may significantly increase our costs, impede the efficiency of our internal business processes, require us to increase our regulatory capital and modify our business strategy, and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner.

116

CERTAIN MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

FOR NON-U.S. HOLDERS OF COMMON STOCK

The following is a summary of certain material United States federal income tax consequences relevant to non-U.S. holders, as defined below, of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our common stock. The following summary is based on current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, Treasury regulations and judicial and administrative authority, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. This section does not consider state, local, estate or foreign tax consequences, nor does it address tax consequences to special classes of investors including, but not limited to, tax-exempt organizations, insurance companies, banks or other financial institutions, partnerships or other entities classified as partnerships for United States federal income tax purposes, dealers in securities, persons liable for the alternative minimum tax, traders in securities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting for their securities holdings, persons who have acquired our common stock as compensation or otherwise in connection with the performance of services, or persons that will hold our common stock as a position in a hedging transaction, straddle, conversion transaction or other risk reduction transaction. Tax consequences may vary depending upon the particular status of an investor. The summary is limited to non-U.S. holders who will hold our common stock as capital assets (generally, property held for investment). Investors should consult their tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences in light of their own specific situation, as well as consequences arising under other federal tax laws (such as the federal estate, gift or Medicare tax laws) and the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.

As used herein, a U.S. holder is:

an individual citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation (or any other entity treated as a corporation) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust, if it (i) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust, or (ii) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

A non-U.S. holder is an individual, corporation, estate or trust that is not a U.S. holder. Special rules may apply to certain non-U.S. holders such as controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, expatriated entities subject to Section 7874 of the Code, and, in certain circumstances, individuals who are U.S. expatriates. Consequently, non-U.S. holders should consult their tax advisors to determine the U.S. federal, state, local, non-U.S. and other tax consequences that may be relevant to them in light of their particular circumstances.

If an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner in the partnership will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are treated as a partner in such an entity holding our common stock, you should consult your tax advisor as to the United States federal income tax consequences applicable to you.

Distributions

Distributions with respect to our common stock will be treated as dividends when paid to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits as determined for United States federal income tax purposes. Except as described below, if you are a non-U.S. holder of our shares, dividends paid to you are subject to withholding of United States federal income tax at a 30% rate or at a lower rate if you are eligible for the benefits of an income tax treaty that provides for a lower rate. Even if you are eligible for a lower treaty rate, we and other

117

payors will generally be required to withhold at a 30% rate (rather than the lower treaty rate) on dividends paid to you, unless you have furnished to us or another payor:

A valid Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN or an acceptable substitute form upon which you certify, under penalties of perjury, your status as a non-United States person and your entitlement to the lower treaty rate with respect to such payments, or

In the case of payments made outside the United States to an offshore account (generally, an account maintained by you at an office or branch of a bank or other financial institution at any location outside the United States), other documentary evidence establishing your entitlement to the lower treaty rate in accordance with United States Treasury regulations.

If you are eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. withholding tax under a tax treaty, you may obtain a refund of any amounts withheld in excess of that rate by timely filing a refund claim with the Internal Revenue Service.

If dividends paid to you are effectively connected with your conduct of a trade or business within the United States, or, if required by a tax treaty, the dividends are attributable to a permanent establishment that you maintain in the United States, we and other payors generally are not required to withhold tax from the dividends, provided that you have furnished to us or another payor a valid Internal Revenue Service Form W-8ECI or an acceptable substitute form upon which you represent, under penalties of perjury, that:

You are a non-United States person, and

The dividends are effectively connected with your conduct of a trade or business within the United States and are includible in your gross income.

Effectively connected dividends are taxed at rates applicable to United States citizens, resident aliens and domestic United States corporations. If you are a corporate non-U.S. holder, effectively connected dividends that you receive may, under certain circumstances, be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or at a lower rate if you are eligible for the benefits of an income tax treaty that provides for a lower rate.

Sale or Redemption

If you are a non-U.S. holder, you generally will not be subject to United States federal income or withholding tax on gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common stock unless the gain is effectively connected with your conduct of a trade or business in the United States or the gain is attributable to a permanent establishment that you maintain in the United States, if that is required by an applicable income tax treaty as a condition to subjecting you to United States taxation on a net income basis. In certain circumstances, redemption of our stock will be characterized as a dividend for United States income tax purposes and will be taxed under the rules described in the preceding section.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Payment of dividends, and the tax withheld on those payments, are subject to information reporting requirements. These information reporting requirements apply regardless of whether withholding was reduced or eliminated by an applicable income tax treaty. Under the provisions of an applicable income tax treaty or agreement, copies of the information returns reporting such dividends and withholding may also be made available to the tax authorities in the country in which the non-U.S. holder resides. U.S. backup withholding will generally apply on payment of dividends to non-U.S. holders unless such non-U.S. holders furnish to the payor a Form W-8BEN (or other applicable form), or otherwise establish an exemption and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know that the holder is a U.S. person, as defined under the Code, that is not an exempt recipient.

118

Payment of the proceeds of a sale of our common stock within the United States or conducted through certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries is subject to information reporting and, depending on the circumstances, backup withholding, unless the non-U.S. holder, or beneficial owner thereof, as applicable, certifies that it is a non-U.S. holder on Form W-8BEN (or other applicable form), or otherwise establishes an exemption and the payor does not have actual knowledge or reason to know the holder is a U.S. person, as defined under the Code, that is not an exempt recipient.

Any amount withheld under the backup withholding rules from a payment to a non-U.S. holder is allowable as a credit against the non-U.S. holder s United States federal income tax, which may entitle the non-U.S. holder to a refund, provided that the non-U.S. holder timely provides the required information to the Internal Revenue Service. Moreover, certain penalties may be imposed by the Internal Revenue Service on a non-U.S. holder who is required to furnish information but does not do so in the proper manner. Non-U.S. holders should consult with their tax advisors regarding the application of backup withholding in their particular circumstances and the availability of and procedure for obtaining an exemption from backup withholding under current Treasury regulations.

Recent Legislation Relating to Foreign Accounts

Recently enacted legislation (commonly referred to as FATCA) generally imposes a withholding tax of 30% on dividends paid on, and the gross proceeds of a disposition of, stock in a United States corporation paid to (i) a foreign financial institution, or FFI, whether as a beneficial owner or intermediary, unless such institution enters into an agreement with the U.S. government to collect and provide to the U.S. tax authorities substantial information regarding U.S. account holders of such institution (which would include certain equity and debt holders of such institution, as well as certain account holders that are foreign entities with U.S. owners), or qualifies for an exemption from these rules, and (ii) a foreign entity that is not a financial institution (whether as a beneficial owner or intermediary for another foreign entity that is not a financial institution) unless such entity provides the withholding agent with a certification identifying the substantial U.S. owners of the entity, which generally includes any U.S. person who directly or indirectly owns more than 10% of the entity, or qualifies for an exemption from these rules. A person that receives payments through one or more FFIs may receive reduced payments as a result of FATCA withholding taxes if (i) any such FFI does not enter into such an agreement with the U.S. government and does not otherwise establish an exemption, or (ii) such person is (a) a recalcitrant account holder or (b) itself an FFI that fails to enter into such an agreement or establish an exemption. Foreign governments may enter into an agreement with the IRS to implement FATCA in a different manner.

The final U.S. Treasury regulations and recent administrative guidance provide that the FATCA withholding rules will apply to payments of dividends made on or after July 1, 2014 and to payments of gross proceeds from a sale or other disposition of stock on or after January 1, 2017.

Non-U.S. Holders are encouraged to consult with their tax advisors regarding the possible implications of the legislation on their investment in our common stock.

119

UNDERWRITING

We and the underwriters named below have entered into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares of common stock being offered. Subject to the terms and conditions contained in the underwriting agreement, each underwriter has agreed to purchase from us the respective number of shares of common stock set forth opposite its name below. The underwriters—obligations are several, which means that each underwriter is required to purchase a specific number of shares, but it is not responsible for the commitment of any other underwriter to purchase shares. Sandler O—Neill & Partners, L.P. is acting as the representative of the underwriters.

Name	Number of Shares
Sandler O Neill & Partners, L.P.	
Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc.	
Sterne, Agee & Leach, Inc.	

Total

The underwriters are committed to purchase and pay for all such shares of common stock if any are purchased.

We have granted to the underwriters an option, exercisable no later than 30 days after the date of this prospectus, to purchase up to [] additional shares of common stock at the public offering price less the underwriting discount set forth on the cover page of this prospectus. The underwriters may exercise this option only to cover over-allotments, if any, made in connection with this offering. To the extent the option is exercised and the conditions of the underwriting agreement are satisfied, we will be obligated to sell to the underwriters, and the underwriters will be obligated to purchase, these additional shares of common stock in proportion to their respective initial purchase amounts.

The following table shows the per share and total underwriting discount that we will pay to the underwriters and the proceeds we will receive before expenses. These amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters—over-allotment option to purchase additional shares.

		Total	Total
		Without	With
	Per Share	Over-Allotment	Over-Allotment
Price to public	\$	\$	\$
Underwriting discount			
D 1. 4 1 C			

Proceeds to us, before expenses

expenses incurred in connection with the offering, excluding certain fees and disbursements of underwriters counsel if the offering is consummated. We estimate that such out-of-pocket expenses we will pay upon completion of the offering will not exceed \$75,000.

The shares of common stock are being offered by the several underwriters, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued to and accepted by them, subject to approval of certain legal matters by counsel for the underwriters

120

and other conditions specified in the underwriting agreement. The underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify this offer and to reject orders in whole or in part.

The underwriting agreement provides that the obligations of the underwriters are conditional and may be terminated at their discretion based on their assessment of the state of the financial markets. The obligations of the underwriters may also be terminated upon the occurrence of the events specified in the underwriting agreement. The underwriting agreement provides that the underwriters are obligated to purchase all the shares of common stock in this offering if any are purchased, other than those shares covered by the over-allotment option described above.

Lock-up Agreement. We, and each of our executive officers and directors, have agreed, subject to certain exceptions for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus, not to sell, offer, agree to sell, contract to sell, hypothecate, pledge, grant any option to sell, make any short sale, or otherwise dispose of or hedge, directly or indirectly, any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into, exchangeable or exercisable for any shares of our common stock or warrants or other rights to purchase shares of our common stock or other similar securities without, in each case, the prior written consent of Sandler O Neill & Partners, L.P. These restrictions are expressly agreed to preclude us, and our executive officers and directors, from engaging in any hedging or other transaction or arrangement that is designed to, or which reasonably could be expected to, lead to or result in a sale, disposition or transfer, in whole or in part, of any of the economic consequences of ownership of our common stock, whether such transaction would be settled by delivery of common stock or other securities, in cash or otherwise.

Indemnity. We have agreed to indemnify the underwriters, and persons who control the underwriters, against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act, and to contribute to payments that the underwriters may be required to make in respect of these liabilities.

Stabilization. In connection with this offering, the underwriters may engage in stabilizing transactions, over-allotment transactions, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids.

Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase shares of common stock so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum, and are engaged in for the purpose of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the common stock while the offering is in progress.

Over-allotment transactions involve sales by the underwriters of shares of common stock in excess of the number of shares the underwriters are obligated to purchase. This creates a syndicate short position which may be either a covered short position or a naked short position. In a covered short position, the number of shares of common stock over-allotted by the underwriters is not greater than the number of shares that they may purchase in the over-allotment option. In a naked short position, the number of shares involved is greater than the number of shares in the over-allotment option. The underwriters may close out any short position by exercising their over-allotment option and/or purchasing shares in the open market.

Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of common stock in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. In determining the source of shares to close out the short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared with the price at which they may purchase shares through exercise of the over-allotment option. If the underwriters sell more shares than could be

covered by exercise of the over-allotment option and, therefore, have a naked short position, the position can be closed out only by buying shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that after pricing there could be downward pressure on the price of the shares in the open market that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering.

Penalty bids permit the representative to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when the common stock originally sold by that syndicate member is purchased in stabilizing or syndicate covering transactions to cover syndicate short positions.

121

These stabilizing transactions, syndicate covering transactions and penalty bids may have the effect of raising or maintaining the market price of our common stock or preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of our common stock. As a result, the price of our common stock in the open market may be higher than it would otherwise be in the absence of these transactions. Neither we nor the underwriters make any representation or prediction as to the effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of our common stock. These transactions may be effected on the Nasdaq National Market, in the over-the-counter market or otherwise and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

NASDAQ Global Market Listing. We expect the shares to be approved for listing on the NASDAQ Global Market, subject to notice of issuance, under the symbol FFWM.

Offering Price Determination. Before this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price will be determined through negotiations between us and the representative of the underwriters. In addition to prevailing market conditions, the factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price are:

the valuation multiples of publicly traded companies that the representative believes to be comparable to us;

our financial information;

the history of, and the prospects for, our company and the industry in which we compete;

an assessment of our management, its past and present operations, and the prospects for, and timing of, our future revenues;

our book value; and

the above factors in relation to market values and various valuation measures of other companies engaged in activities similar to ours.

An active trading market for the shares may not develop. It is also possible that after the offering the shares will not trade in the public market at or above the initial public offering price.

From time to time, some of the underwriters and their respective affiliates have provided, and may continue to provide, investment banking services to us in the ordinary course of their respective businesses, and may receive compensation for such services. The underwriters and their respective affiliates may also make investment recommendations and/or publish or express independent research views in respect of those securities or instruments and may at any time hold, or recommend to clients that they acquire, long and/or short positions in those securities and instruments.

Electronic Distribution. A prospectus in electronic format may be made available by e-mail or on the websites or through online services maintained by one or more of the underwriters of their affiliates. In those cases, prospective investors may view offering terms online and may be allowed to place orders online. The underwriters may agree with us to allocate a specific number of shares for sale to online brokerage account holders. Any such allocation for online distributions will be made by the underwriters on the same basis as other allocations. Other than the prospectus in electronic format, the information on the underwriters websites and any information contained on any other website maintained by any of the underwriters is not part of this prospectus, has not been approved and/or endorsed by the underwriters or us and should not be relied upon by investors.

Directed Share Program. At our request, the underwriters have reserved for sale, at the initial public offering price, up to approximately % of the shares of our common stock being offered for sale by this prospectus to certain executive officers and members of our Board of Directors and certain business associates, friends and family of our executive officers and Board of Directors through a reserved share program. We will offer these shares to the extent permitted under applicable regulations in the United States and applicable

122

jurisdictions. If these persons purchase reserved shares it will reduce the number of shares available for sale to the general public. Any reserved shares that are not so purchased will be offered by the underwriters to the general public on the same terms as the other shares offered by this prospectus. Shares purchased in the reserved share program will be subject to the 180 day lock-up restrictions described above. Other than the underwriting discount described on the front cover of this prospectus, the underwriters will not be entitled to any additional commission with respect to shares of common stock sold pursuant to the reserved share program.

Selling Restrictions. Other than in the United States, no action has been taken by us or the underwriters that would permit a public offering of the securities offered by this prospectus in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required. The securities offered by this prospectus may not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, nor may this prospectus or any other offering material or advertisements in connection with the offer and sale of any such securities be distributed or published in any jurisdiction, except under circumstances that will result in compliance with the applicable rules and regulations of that jurisdiction. Persons into whose possession this prospectus comes are advised to inform themselves about and to observe any restrictions relating to the offering and the distribution of this prospectus. This prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities offered by this prospectus in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or a solicitation is unlawful.

123

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of our common stock offered pursuant to this prospectus will be passed upon for us by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California. Shareholders of the firm own a total of 8,500 shares of the Company s common stock. Certain legal matters relating to this offering will be passed upon for the underwriters by Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP, San Francisco, California.

EXPERTS

Our financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2013, appearing in this prospectus and the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part have been audited by Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report thereon appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon such report given on authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1, which includes exhibits, schedules and amendments, under the Securities Act with respect to this offering of our securities. Although this prospectus, which forms a part of the registration statement, contains all material information included in the registration statement, parts of the registration statement have been omitted as permitted by rules and regulations of the SEC. We refer you to the registration statement and its exhibits for further information about us, our securities and this offering. The registration statement and its exhibits, as well as any other documents that we have filed with the SEC, may be inspected and copied at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549-1004. The public may obtain information about the operation of the public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains a website at http://www.sec.gov that contains registration statements, reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers like us that file electronically with the SEC.

We maintain an Internet site at http://www.ff-inc.com. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus.

After we have completed this offering, and so long as we remain a reporting company under the Exchange Act, we will continue to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. We intend to make these filings available on our website once the offering is completed. You may read and copy any reports, statements or other information on file at the public reference rooms. You can also request copies of these documents, for a copying fee, by writing to the SEC.

124

FIRST FOUNDATION INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED 2013 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets: December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-3
Consolidated Income Statements:	
Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income:	
Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity:	
Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:	
Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012	F-7
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED MARCH 2014 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-8
INDEA TO CONSOLIDATED MARCH 2014 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Consolidated Balance Sheets: March 31, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013	F-45
Consolidated Income Statements (unaudited):	
Quarters Ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013	F-46
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited):	
Quarters Ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013	F-47
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholder s Equity (unaudited):	
Quarters Ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013	F-48
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited):	
Quarters Ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013	F-49
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited):	F-50

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First Foundation Inc. and Subsidiaries

Irvine, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First Foundation Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company_s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First Foundation Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Laguna Hills, California

March 17, 2014

FIRST FOUNDATION INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 56,9	954 \$ 63,108	
Securities available-for-sale (AFS)	59,	5,813	
Loans, net of deferred fees	903,	645 743,627	
Allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL)	(9,9)	915) (8,340))
Net loans	893,	730 735,287	
Premises and equipment, net	3,2	249 2,384	
Investment in FHLB stock	6,	721 8,500	
Deferred taxes	12,0	052 10,055	
Real estate owned (REO)		375 650	
Other assets	5,	168 4,712	