SIGNET JEWELERS LTD Form 10-K March 28, 2013 Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013

Commission file number 1-32349

SIGNET JEWELERS LIMITED

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

Not Applicable (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Clarendon House

2 Church Street

Hamilton HM11

Bermuda

(441) 296 5872

(Address and telephone number including area code of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on which Registered

Common Shares of \$0.18 each

The New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained to the best of Registrant s knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of voting common shares held by non-affiliates of the Registrant (based upon the closing sales price quoted on the New York Stock Exchange) as of July 31, 2012 was \$3,552,850,908.

Number of common shares outstanding on March 22, 2013: 81,331,741

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Registrant will incorporate by reference information required in response to Part III, Items 10-14, in its definitive proxy statement for its annual meeting of shareholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of February 2, 2013.

REFERENCES

Unless the context otherwise requires, references to Signet or the Company, refer to Signet Jewelers Limited (and before September 11, 2008 to Signet Group plc) and its consolidated subsidiaries. References to the Parent Company are to Signet Jewelers Limited. References to Predecessor Company are to Signet Group plc prior to the reorganization that was effected on September 11, 2008, and financial and other results and statistics for Fiscal 2008 and prior periods relate to Signet prior to such reorganization.

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

All references to dollars, US dollars, \$, cents and c are to the lawful currency of the United States of America. Signet prepares its financial statements in US dollars. All references to pounds, pounds sterling, sterling, \$\pm\$, pence, and p are to the lawful currency of the United King

Percentages in tables have been rounded and accordingly may not add up to 100%. Certain financial data may have been rounded. As a result of such rounding, the totals of data presented in this document may vary slightly from the actual arithmetical totals of such data.

Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K, financial data has been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). However, Signet gives certain additional non-GAAP measures in order to provide increased insight into the underlying or relative performance of the business. An explanation of each non-GAAP measure used can be found in Item 6.

Fiscal year and fourth quarter

Signet s fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to January 31. As used herein, Fiscal 2014, Fiscal 2013, Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011, Fiscal 2013, and Fiscal 2019 refer to the 52 week period ending February 1, 2014, the 53 week period ending February 2, 2013, and the 52 week periods ending January 28, 2012, January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009, respectively. As used herein, Fiscal 2007 refers to the 53 week period ending February 3, 2007, Fiscal 2008, and Fiscal 2006 refer to the 52 week periods ending February 2, 2008 and January 28, 2006, respectively. Fourth quarter references the 13 weeks ended January 28, 2012 (prior year fourth quarter) and the 14 weeks ended February 2, 2013 (fourth quarter).

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements which are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, based upon management s beliefs and expectations as well as on assumptions made by and data currently available to management, appear in a number of places throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include statements regarding, among other things, Signet s results of operation, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth, strategies and the industry in which Signet operates. The use of the words expects, intends, anticipates, estimates, predicts, believes, should, forecas or target, and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to general economic conditions, risks relating to Signet being a Bermuda corporation, the merchandising, pricing and inventory policies followed by Signet, the reputation of Signet and its brands, the level of competition in the jewelry sector, the cost and availability of diamonds, gold and other precious metals, regulations relating to consumer credit, seasonality of Signet s business, financial market risks, deterioration in consumers financial condition, exchange rate fluctuations, changes in consumer attitudes regarding jewelry, management of social, ethical and environmental risks, security breaches and other disruptions to Signet s information technology infrastructure and databases, inadequacy in and disruptions to internal controls and systems, and changes in assumptions used in making accounting estimates relating to items such as extended service plans and pensions.

For a discussion of these risks and other risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statement, see Item 1A and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Signet undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances, except as required by law.

2

SIGNET JEWELERS LIMITED

FISCAL 2013 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| FORWARD- | LOOKING STATEMENTS | PAGE 2 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | PART I | |
| ITEM 1. | BUSINESS | 4 |
| ITEM 1A. | RISK FACTORS | 25 |
| ITEM 1B. | UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | 33 |
| ITEM 2. | PROPERTIES | 34 |
| ITEM 3. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 35 |
| ITEM 4. | MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE | 35 |
| | PART II | |
| ITEM 5. | MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER | |
| | PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | 36 |
| ITEM 6. | SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA | 41 |
| ITEM 7. | MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF | |
| | <u>OPERATIONS</u> | 49 |
| ITEM 7A. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 75 |
| ITEM 8. | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | 78 |
| ITEM 9. | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL | |
| | <u>DISCLOSURE</u> | 122 |
| ITEM 9A. | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 122 |
| ITEM 9B. | OTHER INFORMATION | 123 |
| | PART III | |
| ITEM 10. | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 124 |
| ITEM 11. | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 124 |
| ITEM 12. | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED | |
| | STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | 124 |
| ITEM 13. | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE | 124 |
| ITEM 14. | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES | 124 |
| | PART IV | |
| ITEM 15 | EXHIBITS FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDIILES | 125 |

3

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Signet is the largest specialty retail jeweler by sales in the US and UK. Signet is incorporated in Bermuda and its address and telephone number are shown on the cover of this document. Its corporate website is www.signetjewelers.com, from where documents that the Company is obliged to file or furnish with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may be viewed or downloaded free of charge.

On September 11, 2008, Signet Group plc became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Signet Jewelers Limited, a new company incorporated in Bermuda under the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, following the completion of a scheme of arrangement approved by the High Court of Justice in England and Wales under the UK Companies Act 2006. Shareholders of Signet Group plc became shareholders of Signet Jewelers Limited, owning 100% of that company. Signet Jewelers Limited is governed by the laws of Bermuda.

Effective January 31, 2010, Signet became a foreign issuer subject to the rules and regulations of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) applicable to domestic US issuers. Prior to this date, Signet was a foreign private issuer and filed with the SEC its annual report on Form 20-F.

Signet s US division operated 1,443 stores in all 50 states at February 2, 2013. Its stores trade nationally in malls and off-mall locations as Kay Jewelers (Kay), and regionally under a number of well-established mall-based brands. Destination superstores trade nationwide as Jared The Galleria Of Jewelry (Jared). Signet acquired Ultra Stores, Inc. (Ultra) on October 29, 2012 (the Ultra Acquisition) with the primary purpose to immediately increase Signet s share of the US outlet channel for jewelry. Based on publicly available data, Signet s US division was the largest specialty jeweler in the US in calendar 2012.

Signet s UK division operated 511 stores at February 2, 2013, including 14 stores in the Republic of Ireland and three in the Channel Islands. Its stores trade in major regional shopping malls and prime High Street locations (main shopping thoroughfares with high pedestrian traffic) as H.Samuel, Ernest Jones, and Leslie Davis. Based on publicly filed accounts, Signet s UK division was the largest specialty retailer of fine jewelry in the UK in calendar 2012.

The expression of romance and appreciation through bridal jewelry and gift giving are very important to our customers, as is self reward. Management believes customers associate our brands with high quality jewelry and an outstanding customer experience. As a result, the training of sales associates to understand the customer is requirements, communicate the value of the merchandise selected and ensure customer needs are met remains a high priority. Management increases the attraction of Signet is store brands to customers through the use of branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise, while offering a compelling value proposition in more basic ranges. Signet accomplishes this by utilizing its supply chain and merchandising expertise, scale and balance sheet strength. Management intends to further develop national television advertising, digital media and customer relationship marketing, which it believes are the most effective and cost efficient forms of marketing available to grow its market share. Management follows the operating principles of excellence in execution; testing before investing; continuous improvement; and disciplined investment, in all aspects of the business.

STRATEGY, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Fiscal 2013 was an outstanding year for Signet with total sales up 6.2% and diluted earnings per share up 16.6%, driven by our sales associates who executed with excellence, discipline, and enthusiasm. In Fiscal 2013, we accelerated our real estate expansion organically and through the acquisition of Ultra. Net selling space increased 8.2%. Since inception of our share repurchase program in January 2012, we have bought back \$300 million, or 7.7%, of our outstanding shares. We also increased our dividend by 20% to \$0.12 per share during Fiscal 2013.

Table of Contents

The Board believes that long-term shareholder value can be enhanced by the use of our cash resources beyond those necessary to meet the investment needs of the business and to maintain the competitive strength of the balance sheet to return additional value to shareholders.

Our goal is to further enhance Signet s position as the market leader in both the US and the UK specialty retail jewelry markets by offering a unique customer experience and driving customer loyalty. To accomplish our goal, we will stay focused on the following:

Developing and training our team members to consistently enhance the retail experience of our customers.

Growing and developing new and existing brands and categories to delight customers.

Increasing our real estate growth and remodeling investment while completing the integration of Ultra.

Driving competitive strengths and infrastructure enhancements to enable growth.

Optimizing the capital structure to manage risk and make investments to drive long-term shareholder value. The strategy continues to be to build profitable market share for each of Signet s leading store brands by focusing on best in class customer service, great marketing campaigns that build on the store brand s leading share of voice, further development of branded products that differentiate our stores from our competitors, and, in the US, the provision of proprietary customer finance programs particularly tailored to the needs of a jewelry customer.

In setting the financial objectives for Fiscal 2014, consideration was given to the US and UK economic environments. The US economy is showing signs of strengthening; however, macro-economic conditions (e.g. payroll tax increase, government fiscal policy uncertainty) remain a concern. We plan to continue to capitalize on our US market leading position and continue to make strategic investments for the future. In the UK market, we expect to maintain our leadership position. The UK economic environment is projected to be challenging. In response, we plan to continue our strategy to improve results through initiatives around merchandising, real estate optimization, channel expansion, and cost control.

Signet s goal in Fiscal 2014 is to deliver record results building on our recent performance, while making strategic investments necessary for future growth. Financial objectives for the business in Fiscal 2014 are to:

Increase sales and gain profitable market share.

Manage gross margin by increasing sales productivity and balancing commodity cost changes.

Develop unique multi-channel advertising programs and support new initiatives, while appropriately managing the selling, general and administrative expense to sales ratio.

Invest \$180 million to \$195 million of capital in new stores, remodeling, the Ultra conversion, and enhancing our information and technology infrastructure to drive future growth.

Our operating divisions have the opportunity to take advantage of their competitive positions to grow sales and increase store productivity. Sales growth allows the business to strengthen relationships with suppliers, facilitates the ability to develop further branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise, improves the efficiency of our supply chain, supports marketing expense and improves operating margins. Our strong

balance sheet, financial flexibility and superior operating margins allow us to take advantage of investment opportunities, including space growth and strategic developments that meet our return criteria.

BACKGROUND

Business segment

Signet s results derive from one business segment the retailing of jewelry, watches and associated services. The business is managed as two geographical operating divisions: the US division (82% of sales and 93% of operating income) and the UK division (18% of sales and 7% of operating income). Both divisions are managed by executive committees, which report through divisional Chief Executives to Signet s Chief Executive Officer, who reports to the Board of Directors of Signet (the Board). Each divisional executive committee is responsible for operating decisions within parameters established by the Board. Detailed financial information about both divisions is found in Note 2 of Item 8.

Trademarks and trade names

Signet is not dependent on any material patents or licenses in either the US or the UK. However, it does have several well-established trademarks and trade names which are significant in maintaining its reputation and competitive position in the jewelry retailing industry. These registered trademarks and trade names include the following in Signet s US operations: Kay Jewelers; Kay Jewelers Outlet; Jared The Galleria Of Jewelry; JB Robinson Jewelers; Marks & Morgan Jewelers; Belden Jewelers; Shaw s Jewelers; Osterman Jewelers; Weisfield Jewelers; LeRoy s Jewelers; Rogers Jewelers; Goodman Jewelers; Friedlander s Jewelers; Every kiss begins with Kay; the Leo Diamond; Peerless Diamond; Hearts Desire; Perfect Partner; and Charmed Memories. With the Ultra Acquisition, the following trademarks and trade names were acquired (Ultra): Ultra; Ultra Diamonds; Ultra Gold & Diamond Outlet; Ultra Diamond Outlet; Ultra Diamond & Gold Outlet; Premier Fine Jewelry; and Scamp & Scoundrel. Trademarks and trade names include the following in Signet s UK operations: H.Samuel; Ernest Jones; Leslie Davis; Forever Diamonds; and Perfect Partner.

The value of Signet s trademarks and trade names are material, but in accordance with US GAAP, are not reflected on its balance sheet. Their value is maintained and increased by Signet s expenditure on training of its sales associates, marketing and store investment.

Seasonality

Signet s sales are seasonal, with the first and second quarters each normally accounting for slightly more than 20% of annual sales, the third quarter a little under 20% and the fourth quarter for about 40% of sales, with December being by far the most important month of the year. Sales made in November and December are known as the Holiday Season. Due to sales leverage, Signet s operating income is even more seasonal; about 45% to 50% of Signet s operating income normally occurs in the fourth quarter, comprised of nearly all of the UK division s operating income and about 40% to 50% of the US division s operating income.

Employees

In Fiscal 2013, the average number of full-time equivalent persons employed was 17,877 (US: 14,711; UK: 3,166). Signet usually employs a limited number of temporary employees during its fourth quarter. None of Signet s employees in the UK and less than 1% of Signet s employees in the US are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Signet considers its relationship with its employees to be excellent.

| | Year ended | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
| Average number of employees ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| US | 14,711(2) | 13,224 | 12,803 |
| UK | 3,166 | 3,331 | 3,426 |
| | | | |
| Total | 17,877 | 16,555 | 16,229 |

⁽¹⁾ Full-time equivalent.

⁽²⁾ US average number of employees includes 830 full-time equivalents employed by Ultra.

6

COMPETITION

Jewelry retailing is highly fragmented and competitive. We compete primarily against other specialty jewelers as well as other retailers that sell jewelry including department stores, discount stores, apparel outlets, and internet retailers. The jewelry category competes for customers share-of-wallet with other consumer sectors such as electronics, clothing and furniture, as well as travel and restaurants. This competition for consumers discretionary spending is particularly relevant to gift giving, but less so with regard to bridal jewelry (e.g. engagement, wedding, and anniversary). Our competitive strengths are as follows:

Outstanding customer experience driven by in store teams, training, after-sale service, and digital technology capabilities.

Successful development and growth of branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise.

Sector leading advertising and creative campaigns that drive high customer awareness and purchase intent.

High quality, diversified store base driven by disciplined real estate evaluation criteria.

Supply chain leadership that drives product and economic advantages.

In-house customer finance programs uniquely designed to support customers in the purchase of jewelry builds customer loyalty.

Solid financial performance and strong balance sheet provide operating flexibility and the ability to make strategic investments to further strengthen our competitive position.

US DIVISION

US market

Calendar 2011 estimates are used by Signet to understand the size and structure of the US jewelry market as the provisional estimates for calendar 2012 available at the time of filing have historically been subject to frequent and sometimes large revisions.

Total US jewelry sales, including watches and fashion jewelry, are estimated by the US Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) to have been \$67.3 billion in calendar 2011 in their January 2013 data release. The US jewelry market has grown at a compound annual growth rate of 4.2% over the last 25 years to calendar 2011 with significant variation over shorter term periods.

In calendar 2011, the US jewelry market grew by an estimated 10.7% (source: BEA, January 2013). The specialty jewelry sector is estimated to have grown by 10.4% to \$29.1 billion in calendar 2011 (source: US Census Bureau, January 2013). The specialty sector of the jewelry market share in calendar 2011was 43.3% as compared to 43.4% in calendar 2010. The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimated that, in calendar 2011, there were 22,237 specialty jewelry stores in the US (2010: 22,750), a reduction of 2.3% compared to the prior year.

The US division s share of the specialty jewelry market was 10.4% in calendar 2011 (calendar 2010: 10.4%), based on the estimate by the US Census Bureau of specialty jewelry store sales.

US store brand reviews

Location of Kay, Jared, regional brand stores and Ultra by state February 2, 2013:

| Alabama 23 1 4 1 29 Alaska 2 1 3 Arizona 14 7 2 4 27 Arkansus 8 1 97 California 69 10 4 14 97 Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Colorado 14 6 3 3 16 Delaware 4 1 1 6 3 3 16 16 16 20 11 7 105 3 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 54 54 54 54 54 54 | | Kay | Jared | Regional brand | Ultra ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----|-------|----------------|----------------------|-------|
| Arizonna 14 7 2 4 27 California 8 1 9 Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Comerciteut 11 1 3 1 16 Delaware 4 1 1 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 10 1 4 4 1 10 4 5 1 10 4 5 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>Alabama</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td></td<> | Alabama | | | | | 29 |
| Arizonna 14 7 2 4 27 California 8 1 9 Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Comerciteut 11 1 3 1 16 Delaware 4 1 1 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 19 11 7 10 6 6 10 1 4 4 1 10 4 5 1 10 4 5 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>Alaska</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Alaska | 2 | | 1 | | |
| Arkansas 8 1 9 10 4 14 97 Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Comeciciut 11 1 3 1 16 Elorida 68 19 11 7 105 Georgia 38 7 5 3 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 3 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 5 3 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 63 53 53 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 | Arizona | 14 | 7 | 2 | 4 | |
| Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Connecticut 11 1 3 1 16 Delaware 4 1 1 1 66 Florida 68 19 11 7 105 Georgia 38 7 5 3 53 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 4 1 5 5 18 18 1 1 5 5 18 18 1 1 5 5 18 18 1 1 5 5 18 11 1 5 5 11 1 1 5 8 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 3 1 1 1 3 4 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 </td <td>Arkansas</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Arkansas | 8 | 1 | | | |
| Colorado 14 6 3 3 26 Connecticut 11 1 3 1 16 Delaware 4 1 1 1 66 Florida 68 19 11 7 100 Georgia 38 7 5 3 53 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 4 1 5 1 1 5 5 18 18 1 1 5 5 18 10 10 4 58 11 1 1 5 5 11 1 1 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>California</td> <td>69</td> <td>10</td> <td>4</td> <td>14</td> <td>97</td> | California | 69 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 97 |
| Connecticut 11 1 3 1 16 Polaware 4 1 1 6 Florida 68 19 11 7 105 Georgia 38 7 5 3 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 51 Idaho 4 1 1 5 5 Illinois 34 10 10 4 58 Indiana 23 4 7 2 36 Iowa 13 1 1 15 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 15 15 1 1 7 2 12 19 13 4 12 3 3 1 1 7 1 3 3 1 1 7 1 3 4 4 1 3 3 4 4 1 2 3 3 | Colorado | | | | | 26 |
| Florida | Connecticut | 11 | 1 | 3 | | 16 |
| Florida | Delaware | 4 | 1 | | 1 | 6 |
| Georgia 38 7 5 3 53 Hawaii 4 1 5 Idaho 4 1 5 Illinois 34 10 10 4 58 Illinois 34 10 10 4 58 Illinois 23 4 7 2 36 60 Iowa 13 1 1 15 5 1 15 5 1 12 15 5 1 1 15 5 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 4 4 4 4 1 2 3 4 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 3 4 | Florida | 68 | 19 | 11 | 7 | |
| Hawaii 4 1 5 Idaho 4 1 5 Illiniois 34 10 10 4 58 Indiana 23 4 7 2 36 Indiana 13 1 1 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 25 2 1 12 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 7 2 19 19 14 2 1 2 19 19 14 1 2 19 19 14 1 2 19 10 10 7 2 1 12 19 19 1 3 4 1 23 3 1 8 1 3 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Georgia | | | 5 | 3 | |
| Idaho 4 1 5 Illinois 34 10 10 4 58 Indiana 23 4 7 2 36 Iowa 13 1 1 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 25 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Missachusetts 23 3 7 1 3 4 Missachusetts 23 3 7 1 3 4 Missachusetts 23 3 7 1 3 4 Minesota 14 4 4 1 23 5 Missassipi 7 1 8 15 1 8 15 < | | | | | | |
| Illinois 34 10 10 4 58 Indiana 23 4 7 2 36 Iowa 13 1 1 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 2 25 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 1 34 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Misingan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Missouri 13 4 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | 4 | 1 | | | |
| Indiana 23 4 7 2 36 Iowa 13 1 1 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 25 25 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 7 134 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 48 1 3 48 48 48 41 1 3 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 58 11 3 21 48 41 1 23 3 7 1 3 41 1 1 3 41 1 1 3 4 1 1 3 4 1 1 3 | | 34 | | 10 | 4 | |
| Iowa 13 1 1 15 Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 25 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Mischigan 30 6 12 3 51 Mincosta 14 4 4 1 23 31 Missispin 7 1 8 3 1 8 1 3 2 1 8 1 3 2 1 8 1 3 2 1 8 1 3 2 1 8 1 3 4 1 1 8 1 3 4 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td></t<> | | | | | 2 | |
| Kansas 7 2 2 1 12 Kentucky 16 2 7 2 2 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 3 21 8 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 8 Mississippi 5 5 5 5 5 5 1 8 11 3 21 1 8 1 3 21 1 8 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></th<> | | | | 1 | | |
| Kentucky 16 2 7 25 Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Marine 5 1 1 1 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Mischigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 8 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 - - 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Hersey 22 5 4 31 17 New Mexico 5 1 6 6 New Mexico 37 8 1 3 4 | Kansas | | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Louisiana 14 2 1 2 19 Maine 5 1 1 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 - 5 - 5 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Nevada 5 5 - 5 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Hersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 8 | | | | | | |
| Maine 5 1 1 7 Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 8 Mississippi 3 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 Nebraska 5 3 1 8 17 1 8 17 1 8 17 1 8 17 1 8 17 1 8 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 < | | | | | 2 | |
| Maryland 26 6 13 3 48 Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 8 3 2 1 8 21 Montana 3 4 1 3 2 3 3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 1 8 17 7 1 8 17 7 1 8 17 8 1 3 4 1 1 8 17 8 1 1 8 1 1 1 6 6 1 1 8 1 3 1 8 1 3 4 2 1 4 | | | | | | |
| Massachusetts 23 3 7 1 34 Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Misnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 8 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 2 3 3 21 Morbaska 5 5 5 5 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 3 2 10 4 Oklahoma 7 1 1 | | | 6 | 13 | 3 | |
| Michigan 30 6 12 3 51 Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Mississippi 7 1 8 Missisouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 5 5 3 1 8 17 Nebraska 5 3 1 8 17 Newbdad 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Hexico 5 1 6 6 8 1 31 9 New Mexico 5 1 8 1 31 4 1 17 17 18 1 31 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 < | | | | | | |
| Minnesota 14 4 4 1 23 Missispipi 7 1 8 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 - - 3 Nebraska 5 - - 5 Newdada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hanpshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 8 5 64 Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Pennsylvania 59 | | | | | | |
| Mississippi 7 1 8 Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 | | | | | | |
| Missouri 13 4 1 3 21 Montana 3 3 3 3 Nebraska 5 5 5 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Mexico 5 1 6 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 8 5 64 North Dakota 5 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 9 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | | | | |
| Montana 3 Sebraska 5 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 4 4 Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 7 4 2 36 | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | |
| Nebraska 5 5 Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 4 4 40 4 4 6 12 104 10 10 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | | | | |
| Nevada 5 3 1 8 17 New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 | | | | | | |
| New Hampshire 9 3 4 1 17 New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 4 4 1 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 7 1 1 1 9 1 1 9 Oklahoma 7 1 1 1 9 9 8 1 3 4 2 104 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | 3 | 1 | 8 | |
| New Jersey 22 5 4 31 New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 4 4 4 Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 2 2 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 | | | | | | |
| New Mexico 5 1 6 New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 | | 22 | 5 | | 4 | |
| New York 47 4 8 5 64 North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 | | | | | | |
| North Carolina 37 8 1 3 49 North Dakota 4 ———————————————————————————————————— | | | | 8 | 5 | |
| North Dakota 4 4 Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 | North Carolina | | 8 | 1 | | 49 |
| Ohio 55 13 34 2 104 Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Oklahoma 7 1 1 9 Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | 55 | 13 | 34 | 2 | 104 |
| Oregon 14 3 2 1 20 Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | Oklahoma | | | | 1 | |
| Pennsylvania 59 7 10 7 83 Rhode Island 2 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | 14 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 20 |
| Rhode Island 2 2 South Carolina 19 1 3 4 27 South Dakota 2 2 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | 59 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 83 |
| South Dakota 2 2 Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | South Carolina | 19 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 27 |
| Tennessee 23 7 4 2 36 Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Texas 60 19 10 89 Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | 7 | 4 | 2 | |
| Utah 6 2 1 9 Vermont 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Vermont 2 2 Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Virginia 35 8 9 3 55 Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Washington 18 3 8 1 30 West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | 8 | 9 | 3 | |
| West Virginia 9 6 15 Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| Wisconsin 15 3 5 2 25 | | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | | 2 | |
| | Wyoming | 2 | | | | |

Total 949 190 194 110 1,443

(1) Excludes 33 Ultra licensed jewelry departments.

8

| Table of Contents | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
| Total opened or acquired during the year | 163 | 25 | 6 |
| Kay | 46(1) | $22^{(3)}$ | 4 |
| Jared | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Regional brands | | _ | |
| Ultra | 110(2) | | |
| Total closed during the year | (38) | (24) | (50) |
| Kay | $(17)^{(1)}$ | (10) | (19) |
| Jared | (17) | (10) | (1)) |
| Regional brands | (21) | $(14)^{(3)}$ | (31) |
| Ultra | (=1) | (11) | (31) |
| | | | |
| Total open at the end of the year | 1,443 | 1,318 | 1,317 |
| Kay | 949 | 920 | 908 |
| Jared | 190 | 183 | 180 |
| Regional brands | 194 | 215 | 229 |
| Ultra | 110(2) | | |
| | | | |
| Average sales per store in thousands ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 2,351 | \$ 2,250 | \$ 2,028 |
| Kay | \$ 2,002 | \$ 1,899 | \$ 1,713 |
| Jared | \$ 5,201 | \$ 5,157 | \$ 4,638 |
| Regional brands | \$ 1,292 | \$ 1,288 | \$ 1,238 |
| | | | |
| Total selling square feet in thousands | 2,622 | 2,367 | 2,340 |
| Kay | 1,288 | 1,210 | 1,180 |
| Jared | 923 | 889 | 875 |
| Regional brands | 241 | 268 | 285 |
| Ultra | 170(2) | | |
| | | | |
| Increase (decrease) in net store space | 11% | 1% | (2)% |

Sales data by brand

| | | Change from previous year | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Fiscal 2013 | Sales (millions) | Total sales | Same store sales ⁽²⁾ | |
| Kay | \$ 1,953.3 | 9.3% | 6.4% | |
| Jared | \$ 1,003.1 | 4.8% | 1.6% | |
| Regional brands | \$ 271.8 | (6.4)% | (3.4)% | |
| Ultra ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 45.7 | 100.0% | % | |
| US | \$ 3,273.9 | 7.9% | 4.0% | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes five mall stores that relocated to an off-mall location in Fiscal 2013.

⁽²⁾ Excludes 33 Ultra licensed jewelry departments.

⁽³⁾ Includes two regional stores rebranded as Kay in Fiscal 2012.

⁽⁴⁾ Based only upon stores operated for the full fiscal year and calculated on a 52-week basis.

- Including 33 Ultra licensed jewelry departments.
 As Fiscal 2013 includes 53 weeks, sales in the last week of the fiscal year were not included in determining same store sales.

9

Kay Jewelers

Kay accounted for 49% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 48%) and operated 949 stores in 50 states as of February 2, 2013 (January 28, 2012: 920 stores). Since 2004, Kay has been the largest specialty retail jewelry store brand in the US, based on sales, and has subsequently increased its leadership position. Kay targets households with an income of between \$35,000 and \$100,000, with a midpoint target of approximately \$65,000. Details of Kay s performance over the last three years are given below:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales (million) | \$1,953.3 | \$ 1,786.8 | \$ 1,592.9 |
| Average sales per store (million) | \$ 2.002 | \$ 1.899 | \$ 1.713 |
| Stores at year end | 949 | 920 | 908 |
| Total selling square feet (thousands) | 1,288 | 1,210 | 1,180 |

Kay stores typically occupy about 1,600 square feet and have approximately 1,300 square feet of selling space. Kay operates in regional malls and off-mall stores. Off-mall stores primarily are located in outlet malls and power centers. Management believes the off-mall concept is supported by customers in a variety of real estate locations and that increased diversification is important for growth as increasing the store count further leverages the strong Kay brand, marketing support and the central overhead.

Recent net openings and current composition are shown below:

| | Stores at | Net (closures) openings | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | February 2, 2013 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
| Mall | 763 | $(3)^{(1)}$ | 1(2) | (11) |
| Off-mall and outlet | 186 | 32(1) | 11 | (4) |
| Total | 949 | 29 | 12 | (15) |

- (1) Includes five mall stores that relocated to an off-mall location in Fiscal 2013.
- (2) Includes two regional stores rebranded as Kay in Fiscal 2012.

Jared The Galleria Of Jewelry

With 190 stores in 39 states as of February 2, 2013 (January 28, 2012: 183 in 37 states), Jared is the leading off-mall destination specialty retail jewelry store chain in its sector of the market, based on sales. Jared accounted for 25% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 25%). The first Jared store was opened in 1993, and, since its roll-out began in 1998, it has grown to become the fourth largest US specialty retail jewelry brand by sales. Its main competitors are independent operators, with the next two largest such chains operating 20 and 12 stores, respectively. Based on its competitive strengths, particularly its scale, management believes that Jared has significant opportunity to gain market share within this segment. An important distinction of a destination store is that the potential customer visits the store with a greater intention of making a jewelry purchase. Jared targets households with an income of between \$50,000 and \$150,000, with a midpoint target of approximately \$100,000.

Details of Jared s performance over the last three years are given below:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales (million) | \$1,003.1 | \$ 956.8 | \$ 848.3 |
| Average sales per store (million) | \$5.201 | \$ 5.157 | \$ 4.638 |
| Stores at year end | 190 | 183 | 180 |

Total selling square feet (thousands) 923 889 875

10

The key points of differentiation compared to a typical mall store are Jared superior customer service and enhanced selection of merchandise. As a result of its larger size, more specialist sales associates are available to assist customers.

Every Jared store has an on-site design and repair center where most repairs are completed within one hour. The facility also mounts loose diamonds in settings and provides a custom design service when required. Each store also has at least one diamond viewing room, a children s play area and complimentary refreshments.

The typical Jared store has about 4,800 square feet of selling space and approximately 6,000 square feet of total space. Jared locations are normally free-standing sites with high visibility and traffic flow, positioned close to major roads within shopping developments. Jared stores operate in retail centers that normally contain strong retail co-tenants, including big box, destination stores such as Bed, Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Dick s Sporting Goods, Home Depot and Target, as well as some smaller specialty units.

US regional brands

Signet also operates mall stores under a variety of established regional nameplates, which accounted for 7% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 8%). As of February 2, 2013, 194 regional brand stores operated in 33 states (January 28, 2012: 215 stores in 33 states). The leading brands include JB Robinson Jewelers, Marks & Morgan Jewelers and Belden Jewelers. All of these regional brand stores are located in malls where there is also a Kay store, and target a similar customer. Details of the regional brands performance over the last three years are given below:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales (million) | \$ 271.8 | \$ 290.5 | \$ 303.0 |
| Average sales per store (million) | \$ 1.292 | \$ 1.288 | \$ 1.238 |
| Stores at year end | 194 | 215 | 229 |
| Total selling square feet (thousands) | 241 | 268 | 285 |
| Ultra | | | |

Signet acquired Ultra Stores, Inc. on October 29, 2012 with the primary purpose to immediately increase Signet s share of the US outlet channel for jewelry. Ultra accounted for 1% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013. At February 2, 2013, there were 110 Ultra stores and 33 Ultra licensed department stores. Ultra stores primarily operate in outlet malls and a majority of these stores will be converted into Kay Jewelers Outlets in Fiscal 2014. Details of Ultra from the date of acquisition are given below:

| | Fiscal |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 |
| Sales (million) | \$ 45.7 |
| Stores at year end | 110 |
| Total selling square feet (thousands) | 170(1) |

(1) Excludes 33 Ultra licensed jewelry departments.

US eCommerce sales

The Kay and Jared websites are among the most visited in the specialty jewelry sector (source: Compete) and provide potential customers with a source of information about the merchandise available, as well as the ability to buy online. The websites are integrated with the division s stores, so that merchandise ordered online may be picked up at a store or delivered to the customer. A significant number of customers who buy after visiting the websites, pick up the merchandise from a store, where they can physically examine the product. The websites

Table of Contents

make an important and growing contribution to the customer experience at Kay and Jared, and are an important part of the US division s marketing programs. In Fiscal 2013, the US division s eCommerce sales increased by 48.0% to \$101.4 million, which included \$0.5 million from Ultra (Fiscal 2012: \$68.5 million), and represented 3.1% of US sales (Fiscal 2012: 2.3%).

US operating review

Operating structure

While the US division operates under the Kay, Jared, Ultra and a number of regional store brands, many functions are integrated to gain economies of scale. For example, store operations have a separate dedicated field management team for the mall store brands, Jared and the in-store repair function, while there is a combined diamond sourcing function.

US customer experience and human resources

In specialty jewelry retailing, the level and quality of customer service is a key competitive advantage because nearly every in-store transaction involves the sales associate taking out a piece of jewelry or a watch from a display case and presenting it to the customer. Therefore the ability to recruit, train and retain qualified sales associates is important in determining sales, profitability and the rate of net store space growth. Consequently, the US division has in place comprehensive recruitment, training and incentive programs and uses employee and customer satisfaction surveys to monitor and improve performance. A continual priority of the US division is to improve the quality of the customer experience. To enhance customer service, the US division is increasingly using sales-enhancing technology, including customer-assisted selling systems. These computerized tools enable a sales associate to better assist a potential customer to make a purchase decision. Investment in the digital environment such as websites, mobile applications and social media, further adds to the customer s shopping choices.

US merchandising and purchasing

Management believes that merchandise selection, availability, and value are critical success factors for a specialty retail jeweler. In the US business, the range of merchandise offered and the high level of inventory availability are supported centrally by extensive and continuous research and testing. Best-selling products are identified and replenished rapidly through analysis of sales by stock keeping unit. This approach enables the US division to deliver a focused assortment of merchandise to maximize sales and inventory turn, and minimize the need for discounting. Management believes that the US division is better able than its competitors to offer greater value and consistency of merchandise, due to its supply chain strengths discussed below. In addition, in recent years management has continued to develop, refine and execute a strategy to increase the proportion of branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise sold, in response to customer demand.

The scale and information systems available to management and the gradual evolution of jewelry fashion trends allow for the careful testing of new merchandise in a range of representative stores. This enables management to make more informed investment decisions about which merchandise to select, thereby increasing the US division sability to satisfy customers requirements while reducing the likelihood of having to discount merchandise.

12

Merchandise mix

US division merchandise mix (excluding repairs, warranty and other miscellaneous sales)

| | Fiscal 2013 % | Fiscal 2012 % | Fiscal 2011 % |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Diamonds and diamond jewelry | 74 | 73 | 75 |
| Gold & silver jewelry, including charm bracelets | 11 | 12 | 10 |
| Other jewelry | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Watches | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The celebration of life and the expression of romance and appreciation are primary motivators for the purchase of jewelry and watches. In the US division, the bridal category, which includes engagement, wedding and anniversary purchases, is estimated by management to account for about 50% of merchandise sales, and is predominantly diamond jewelry. The bridal category is believed by management to be more stable than the other reasons for buying jewelry, but is still dependent on the economic environment as customers can trade up or down price points depending on their available budget. Outside of the bridal category, jewelry and watch purchases, including for gift giving, have a much broader merchandise mix. Gift giving is particularly important during the Holiday Season, Valentine s Day and Mother s Day.

A further categorization of merchandise is branded differentiated and exclusive, third-party branded and core merchandise. Core merchandise includes items and styles, such as solitaire rings and diamond stud earrings, which are uniquely designed, as well as items that are generally available from other jewelry retailers. It also includes styles such as diamond fashion bracelets, rings and necklaces. Within this category, the US division has many exclusive designs of particular styles and provides high quality merchandise with great value to customers. Third-party branded merchandise includes mostly watches, but also includes ranges such as charm bracelets produced by Pandora . Branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise are items that are branded and exclusive to Signet within its marketplaces, or that are not widely available in other specialty jewelry retailers.

Branded differentiated and exclusive ranges

Management believes that the development of branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise raises the profile of Signet s stores, helps to drive sales and provides its well trained sales associates with a powerful selling proposition. Such brands may also have a slightly higher gross merchandise margin than unbranded merchandise of a similar product specification and there is significantly less exposure to competitive discounting. National television advertisements for Kay and Jared include elements that drive brand awareness and purchase intent of these ranges. Management believes that Signet s scale and proven record of success in developing branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise attracts offers of such programs from jewelry manufacturers, designers, and others ahead of competing retailers, and enables it to better leverage its supply chain strengths. Management plans to develop additional branded differentiated and exclusive ranges as appropriate and to further expand and refine those already launched.

Branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise includes:

the Leo® Diamond collection, which is sold exclusively by Signet in the US and the UK, is the first diamond to be independently and individually certified to be visibly brighter;

exclusive collections of jewelry by Le Vian®, famed for its handcrafted, unique designs;

Open Hearts by Jane Seymour®, a collection of jewelry designed by the actress and artist Jane Seymour, was successfully tested and launched in Fiscal 2009:

Table of Contents

Love s Embrace, a collection of classic, timeless diamond fashion jewelry that was tested and rolled out during Fiscal 2010;

Charmed Memories[®], a create your own charm bracelet collection, tested and rolled out in Fiscal 2011, sold in Kay and the regional brand stores:

Tolkowsky[®], an ideal cut diamond Invented by Tolkowsky Perfected by TolkowskyTM. The collection was tested in Fiscal 2011 and its availability was expanded to the majority of Kay stores during Fiscal 2012 and rolled out to Jared stores in Fiscal 2013;

Neil Lane Bridal[®], a vintage-inspired bridal collection by the celebrated jewelry designer Neil Lane. The collection was tested in Fiscal 2011 and its availability was expanded to all stores during Fiscal 2012. Neil Lane DesignsTM, hand-crafted diamond rings, earrings and necklaces inspired by Hollywood s glamorous past. This collection was tested in early Fiscal 2013 and expanded to all Kay, Jared and regional brand stores during Fiscal 2013; and

Shades of WonderTM, rare, natural color diamonds, unique wonders of Australia in captivating fashion designs. Tested in late Fiscal 2011 and expanded to all Kay, Jared and regional brand stores during Fiscal 2013.

Direct sourcing of rough diamonds

Management continues to take steps to strengthen its direct sourcing of rough diamonds. In Fiscal 2013, Signet was appointed by Rio Tinto as a Select Diamontaire sightholder, as well as entered into other supplier agreements, marking a significant development in Signet s long-term diamond sourcing capabilities. This means that Signet is able to buy rough diamonds directly and then have the stones marked, cut and polished on a contract basis. Signet s objective is to expand this activity and secure additional, reliable and consistent supplies of diamonds for our customers while achieving further efficiencies in the supply chain.

Direct sourcing of polished diamonds

Signet purchases loose polished diamonds on the world markets and outsources the casting, assembly and finishing operations to third parties. In addition, Signet mounts stones in settings purchased from manufacturers. In combination, these account for 43% of Signet s diamond merchandise. By using these approaches, the cost of merchandise is reduced, and the consistency of quality is maintained, enabling the US division to provide better value to the customer, which helps to increase market share and achieve higher gross merchandise margins. The contract manufacturing strategy also allows Signet s buyers to gain a detailed understanding of the manufacturing cost structures and, in turn, leverage that knowledge with regard to negotiating better prices for the supply of finished products.

Sourcing of finished merchandise

Merchandise is purchased as a finished product where the item is complex, the merchandise is considered likely to have a less predictable sales pattern or where the labor cost can be reduced. This method of buying inventory provides the opportunity to reserve inventory held by vendors and to make returns or exchanges with the supplier, thereby reducing the risk of over- or under-purchasing.

Management believes that the division s scale and strong balance sheet enables it to purchase merchandise at a lower price, and on better terms, than most of its competitors.

Merchandise held on consignment

Merchandise held on consignment is used to enhance product selection and test new designs. This minimizes exposure to changes in fashion trends and obsolescence, and provides the flexibility to return non-performing

14

Table of Contents

merchandise. At February 2, 2013, the US division held \$227.7 million (January 28, 2012: \$141.0 million) of merchandise on consignment which included \$57.9 million of consignment inventory held by Ultra, see Note 11 of Item 8.

Suppliers

In Fiscal 2013, the five largest suppliers collectively accounted for approximately 23% (Fiscal 2012: 21%) of the US division s total purchases, with the largest supplier accounting for approximately 6% (Fiscal 2012: 6%). The US division directly transacts business with suppliers on a worldwide basis at various stages of the supply chain, with diamond cutting and jewelry manufacturing being predominantly carried out in Asia.

The division benefits from close commercial relationships with a number of suppliers and damage to, or loss of, any of these relationships could have a detrimental effect on results. Although management believes that alternative sources of supply are available, the abrupt loss or disruption of any significant supplier during the three month period (August to October) leading up to the Holiday Season could result in a materially adverse effect on performance. Therefore a regular dialogue is maintained with suppliers, particularly in the present economic climate.

Luxury and prestige watch manufacturers and distributors normally grant agencies to sell their timepieces on a store by store basis. In the US, Signet sells its luxury watch brands primarily through Jared, where management believes that they help attract customers to Jared and build sales in all categories.

Raw materials and the supply chain

The jewelry industry generally is affected by fluctuations in the price and supply of diamonds, gold and, to a much lesser extent, other precious and semi-precious metals and stones. Diamonds account for about 55%, and gold about 15%, of the US division s cost of merchandise sold, respectively.

The ability of Signet to increase retail prices to reflect higher commodity costs varies, and an inability to increase retail prices could result in lower profitability. Signet has, over time, been able to increase prices to reflect changes in commodity costs due to the visibility of cost increases and the turn of inventory.

Signet undertakes hedging for a portion of its requirement for gold through the use of options, forward contracts and commodity purchasing. It is not possible to hedge against fluctuations in the cost of diamonds. The cost of raw materials is only part of the costs involved in determining the retail selling price of jewelry, with labor costs also being a significant factor. Management continues to seek ways to reduce the cost of goods sold and enhance the resilience of its supply chain.

The largest product category sold by Signet is diamonds and diamond jewelry. The supply and price of diamonds in the principal world markets are influenced by a single entity, the Diamond Trading Company (DTC), a subsidiary of De Beers Consolidated Mines Limited, although its market share has been decreasing. Changes in government policy in a number of African diamond producing countries have caused significant changes in the structure of the diamond supply chain in recent years. In addition, there are changes in the ownership of diamond mines and further major changes are likely.

Inventory management

Sophisticated inventory management systems for merchandise testing, assortment planning, allocation and replenishment are in place, thereby reducing inventory risk by enabling management to identify and respond quickly to changes in customers buying patterns. The majority of merchandise is common to all US division mall stores, with the remainder allocated to reflect demand in individual stores. Management believes that the merchandising and inventory management systems, as well as improvements in the productivity of the

15

Table of Contents

centralized distribution center, have allowed the US division to achieve consistent improvement in inventory turns. The vast majority of inventory is held at stores rather than in the central distribution facility.

Other sales

While repair and design services represent less than 10% of sales, they account for approximately 30% of transactions and have been identified by management as an important opportunity to build customers loyalty. All Jared stores have a highly visible jewelry repair center, which is open the same hours as the store. The repair centers meet the repair requirements of the store in which they are located and also provide the same service for the US division s mall brand stores. As a result, nearly all customer repairs are performed in-house, unlike most other chain jewelers, which do this through sub-contractors. The repair and design function has its own field management and training structure.

The US division sells, as a separate item, a lifetime repair service plan for jewelry. These plans cover services such as ring sizing, refinishing and polishing, rhodium plating white gold, earring repair, chain soldering and the resetting of diamonds and gemstones that arise due to the normal usage of the merchandise. Such work is performed in-house.

US multi-channel capabilities

In Fiscal 2013, significant investments and initiatives were completed to drive growth across all of Signet s selling channels. New Kay and Jared websites with improved functionality in product search and navigation were re-launched in October 2012, increasing product selection by ten times. Fully transactional enhanced mobile sites for Kay and Jared were also launched in October 2012. Other initiatives in sales-enhancing technology included digital tablets in all Kay and Jared stores. Signet made significant investments in social media, as customer shopping practices require Signet to provide leading technology applications. Kay and Jared fan base and followers on Facebook and Twitter continue to climb and social media outlets are driving more traffic to Signet s eCommerce sites.

Virtual inventory

Signet s supplier relationships allow it to display suppliers inventories on the Jared and Kay websites for sale to customers without holding the items in its inventory until the products are ordered by customers, which are referred to as virtual inventory. Virtual inventory expands the choice of merchandise available to customers both online and in-store. Virtual inventory reduces the division s investment in inventory while increasing the selection available to the customer.

US marketing and advertising

Management believes customers confidence in our retail brands, store brand name recognition and advertising of branded differentiated and exclusive ranges, are important factors in determining buying decisions in the specialty jewelry sector where the majority of merchandise is unbranded. Therefore, the US division continues to strengthen and promote its brands by delivering superior customer service and building brand name recognition. The marketing channels used include television, digital media, radio, print, catalog, direct mail, telephone marketing, point of sale signage and in-store displays.

While marketing activities are undertaken throughout the year, the level of activity is concentrated at periods when customers are expected to be most receptive to marketing messages, which is ahead of Christmas Day, Valentine s Day and Mother s Day. A significant majority of the expenditure is spent on national television advertising, which is used to promote the Kay and Jared store brands. Within such advertisements, Signet also promotes certain merchandise ranges, in particular its branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise and other branded products. During Fiscal 2013, the US division continued to have the leading share of relevant marketing messages (share of voice) within the US jewelry sector.

16

Statistical and technology-based systems are employed to support a customer relationship marketing program that uses a proprietary database of nearly 26 million names to build customer loyalty and strengthen the relationship with customers through mail, telephone and eMail communications. The program targets current customers with special savings and merchandise offers during key sales periods. In addition, invitations to special in-store promotional events are extended throughout the year.

Given the size of the marketing budgets for Kay and Jared, management believes this has increased the US division s competitive marketing advantage. The ability to advertise branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise on national television is of growing importance. The US division s three year record of gross advertising spending is given below:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Gross advertising spending (million) | \$ 224.3 ₍₁₎ | \$ 188.4 | \$ 161.5 |
| Percent of US sales (%) | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.9 |

(1) Includes \$12.4 million impact from the 53rd week. Excluding this week, gross advertising expense as a percentage of US sales would have been 6.5%. *US real estate*

Management has specific operating and financial criteria that have to be satisfied before investing in new stores or renewing leases on existing stores. Substantially all the stores operated by Signet in the US are leased. In Fiscal 2013, net store space increased 11% due to the Ultra acquisition and new store growth (Fiscal 2012: increase 1%). The greatest opportunity for new stores is in locations outside traditional covered regional malls.

Recent investment in the store portfolio is set out below:

| (in millions) | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| New store capital investment | \$ 29.1 | \$ 10.9 | \$ 3.2 |
| Remodels and other store capital investment | 48.3 | 40.1 | 25.6 |
| Total store capital investment ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 77.4 | \$ 51.0 | \$ 28.8 |

(1) Excludes the Ultra Acquisition.

US customer finance

Management believes that in the US jewelry market, offering finance facilities to the customer provides a significant advantage to the retailer and that managing the process in-house is a competitive strength of Signet s US division. The US division:

establishes credit policies that take into account the overall impact on the business. In particular, the US division s objective is to facilitate the sale of jewelry and to collect the outstanding credit balance as quickly as possible, minimizing risk and enabling the customer to make additional jewelry purchases using the credit facility. In contrast, management believes that many financial institutions focus on earning interest by maximizing the outstanding credit balance;

utilizes proprietary authorization and collection models, which consider information on the behavior of the division s customers;

allows management to establish and implement service standards appropriate for the business;

provides a database of regular customers and their spending patterns;

facilitates investment in systems and management of credit offerings appropriate for the business; and

maximizes cost effectiveness by utilizing in-house capability.

17

The various customer finance programs assist in establishing and enhancing customer loyalty and complement the marketing strategy by enabling a greater number of purchases, higher units per transaction and greater value sales.

In addition to interest-bearing transactions that involve the use of in-house customer finance, a portion of credit sales are made using interest-free financing for one year, subject to certain conditions. In most US states, customers are offered optional third-party credit insurance.

The customer financing operation is centralized and fully integrated into the management of the US division and is not a separate operating division nor does it report separate results. All assets and liabilities relating to customer financing are shown on the balance sheet and there are no associated off-balance sheet arrangements. Signet s balance sheet and access to liquidity do not constrain the US division s ability to grant credit, which is a further competitive strength in the current economic environment. The US division s customer finance facility may only be used for purchases from the US division.

Allowances for uncollectible amounts are recorded as a charge to cost of goods sold in the income statement. The allowance is calculated using factors such as delinquency rates and recovery rates. A 100% allowance is made for any amount that is more than 90 days aged on a recency basis and any amount associated with an account the owner of which has filed for bankruptcy, as well as an allowance for those 90 days aged and under based on historical loss information and payment performance. The calculation is reviewed by management to assess whether, based on economic events, additional analyses are required to appropriately estimate losses inherent in the portfolio.

Each individual application for credit is evaluated centrally against set lending criteria. The risks associated with the granting of credit to particular groups of customers with similar characteristics are balanced against the gross merchandise margin earned by the proposed sales to those customers. Management believes that the primary drivers of the net bad debt to total US sales ratio are the accuracy of the proprietary customer credit models used when granting customer credit, the procedures used to collect the outstanding balances, credit sales as a percentage to total US sales and the rate of change in the level of unemployment in the US economy. Cash flows associated with the granting of credit to customers of the individual store are included in the projections used when considering store investment proposals.

Customer financing statistics (1)

| | | Fiscal 2013 | | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------|----|----------------|----------------|
| Total sales (million) | \$ | 3,273.9 | \$ | 3,034.1 | \$ 2,744.2 |
| Credit sales (million) | \$ | 1,862.9 | \$ | 1,702.3 | \$ 1,486.3 |
| Credit sales as % of total US sales ⁽²⁾ | | 56.9% | | 56.1% | 54.2% |
| Net bad debt expense (million) ⁽³⁾ | \$ | 122.4 | \$ | 103.1 | \$ 114.6 |
| Net bad debt to total US sales | | 3.7% | | 3.4% | 4.2% |
| Net bad debt to US credit sales | | 6.6% | | 6.1% | 7.7% |
| Late charge income (million) ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ | 27.5 | \$ | 23.2 | \$ 23.0 |
| Interest income from in-house customer finance programs (million) ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ | 159.7 | \$ | 125.4 | \$ 109.6 |
| Opening receivables (million) | \$ | 1,155.5 | \$ | 995.5 | \$ 921.5 |
| Closing receivables (million) | \$ | 1,280.6 | \$ | 1,155.5 | \$ 995.5 |
| Number of active credit accounts at year end | 1 | 1,173,053 |] | 1,107,043 | 989,697 |
| Average outstanding account balance at year end | \$ | 1,110 | \$ | 1,068 | \$ 1,029 |
| Average monthly collection rate | | 12.4% | | 12.7% | 12.6% |
| Period end bad debt allowance to period end receivables ⁽¹⁾ | | 6.8% | | 6.8% | 6.8% |

- (1) See Notes 2 and 10, Item 8.
- (2) Including any deposits taken at the time of sale.
- (3) Net bad expense is defined as the charge for the provision for bad debt less recoveries.
- (4) Late charge income represent fees charged to customers for late payments and is recorded within gross margin on the consolidated income statement.
- (5) See Note 3, Item 8. Primary component of other operating income, net, on the consolidated income statement.

Table of Contents

Customer financing administration

Authorizations and collections are performed centrally at the US divisional head office. The majority of credit applications are processed and approved automatically after being initiated via in-store terminals or online through the US division s websites. The remaining applications are reviewed by the division s credit authorization personnel. All applications are evaluated by proprietary credit scoring models. Collections focus in on a quality customer experience using risk-based calling and strategic account segmentation. Investments are geared towards best in class technology, system support and strategy analytics with the objective of maximizing effectiveness.

Third-party credit sales

In addition to in-house credit sales, the US stores accept major bank cards. Sales made exclusively using third-party bank cards accounted for approximately 35% of total US sales during Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 35%).

US management information systems

The US division s integrated and comprehensive information systems provide detailed, timely information to monitor and evaluate many aspects of the business. They are designed to support financial reporting and management control functions such as merchandise testing, loss prevention and inventory control, as well as reduce the time sales associates spend on administrative tasks and increase time spent on sales activities.

All stores are supported by the internally developed Store Information System, which includes electronic point of sale (EPOS) processing, in-house credit authorization and support, a district manager information system and constant broadband connectivity for all retail locations for data communications including eMail. The EPOS system updates sales, in-house credit and perpetual inventory replenishment systems throughout the day for each store.

The US division plans to invest approximately \$40 million in information systems in Fiscal 2014 (Fiscal 2013: \$32.5 million). The planned increase reflects the conversion of Ultra to the division s management information systems and investments in sales-enhancing technology, both in-store and in the digital environment, and in information technology designed to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the division s operations.

Management believes that the US division has the most sophisticated management information systems within the specialty jewelry sector.

US regulation

The US division is required to comply with numerous US federal and state laws and regulations covering areas such as consumer protection, consumer privacy, consumer credit, consumer credit insurance, supply chain integrity, truth in advertising and employment legislation. Management monitors changes in these laws to endeavor to comply with applicable requirements.

UK DIVISION

The UK division is managed in pounds sterling, as sales and the majority of operating expenses are both incurred in that currency, and its results are then translated into US dollars for external reporting purposes. The following information for the UK division is given in pounds sterling as management believes that this presentation assists in the understanding of the performance of the UK division. Movements in the US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate therefore may have an impact on the results of Signet, particularly in periods of exchange rate volatility. See Item 6 for analysis of results at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measures.

19

UK market

The UK market includes specialty retail jewelers and general retailers who sell jewelry and watches, such as catalog showrooms, department stores, supermarkets, mail order catalogs, and internet based retailers. The retail jewelry market is very fragmented and competitive, with a substantial number of independent specialty jewelry retailers. Management believes there are approximately 5,400 specialty retail jewelry stores in the UK as of December 2012, broadly similar to the prior year (source: Local Data Company).

In the middle market, H.Samuel competes with a large number of independent jewelers, only one of which has more than 100 stores. Some competition, at the lower end of the H.Samuel product range, also comes from a catalog showroom operator, discount jewelry retailers and supermarkets, some of whom have more stores than H.Samuel.

In the upper middle market, Ernest Jones competes with independent specialty retailers and a limited number of other upper middle market chains, the largest three of which had 119, 64 and 37 stores, respectively, at February 2, 2013.

UK store brand reviews

Sales data by brand

| | | Change from previous year Sales at | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Fiscal 2013 | Sales (millions) | Total sales | constant exchange rates ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Same store sales ⁽³⁾ |
| H.Samuel | £ 243.4 | (0.5)% | 0.1% | 0.2% |
| Ernest Jones ⁽⁴⁾ | £ 202.8 | (1.1)% | (0.5)% | 0.3% |
| UK | £ 446.2 | (0.8)% | (0.2)% | 0.3% |

- (1) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (2) The exchange translation impact on the total sales of H.Samuel was (0.6)%, and for Ernest Jones (0.6)%.
- (3) As Fiscal 2013 includes 53 weeks, sales in the last week of the fiscal year were not included in determining same store sales.
- (4) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

H.Samuel

H.Samuel accounted for 10% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 10%), and is the largest specialty retail jewelry store brand in the UK by number of stores. With over 150 years of jewelry heritage, it serves the core middle market and its customers typically have an annual household income of between £15,000 and £40,000. The typical store selling space is 1,100 square feet.

H.Samuel has increasingly focused on larger store formats in regional shopping centers, reflecting the customer s changing shopping patterns away from stand alone high street locations. The number of H.Samuel stores in smaller markets has therefore declined as leases expire.

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales (million) | £ 243.4 | £ 243.1 | £ 240.9 |
| Average sales per store (million) ⁽¹⁾ | £ 0.713 | £ 0.719 | £ 0.705 |
| Stores at year end | 318 | 337 | 338 |
| Total selling square feet (thousands) | 344 | 361 | 361 |

(1) Including only stores operated for the full fiscal year and calculated on a 52-week basis.

20

H.Samuel store data

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number of stores: | | | |
| Opened during the year | | $2^{(2)}$ | |
| Closed during the year | $(19)^{(1)}$ | (3) | (9) |
| Open at year end | 318 | 337 | 338 |

- (1) Includes one H.Samuel store rebranded as Ernest Jones.
- (2) Includes one Ernest Jones store rebranded as H.Samuel.

Ernest Jones

Ernest Jones accounted for 8% of Signet s sales in Fiscal 2013 (Fiscal 2012: 9%), and is the second largest specialty retail jewelry store brand in the UK by number of stores. It serves the upper middle market and its customers typically have an annual household income of between £30,000 and £50,000. The typical store selling space is 900 square feet.

Ernest Jones has also increasingly focused on larger store formats in regional shopping centers that drive higher traffic as compared to stand alone high street location, so as to offer a wider range of jewelry and prestige watch agencies. The number of Ernest Jones stores in smaller markets has therefore declined as leases expire.

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales (million) | £ 202.8 | £ 203.8 | £ 206.1 |
| Average sales per store (million) ⁽¹⁾ | £ 1.003 | £ 1.026 | £ 1.041 |
| Stores at year end | 193 | 198 | 202 |
| Total selling square feet (thousands) | 172 | 172 | 173 |

(1) Including only stores operated for the full fiscal year and calculated on a 52-week basis. $Ernest\ Jones\ store\ data^{(1)}$

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number of stores: | | | |
| Opened during the year | 1(2) | 2 | |
| Closed during the year | (6) | $(6)^{(3)}$ | (3) |
| Open at year end | 193 | 198 | 202 |

- (1) Including Leslie Davis stores.
- (2) Includes one H.Samuel store rebranded to Ernest Jones.
- (3) Includes one Ernest Jones store rebranded to H.Samuel.

UK eCommerce sales

H.Samuel s website, www.hsamuel.co.uk, is the most visited UK specialty jewelry website and Ernest Jones website, www.ernestjones.co.uk, is the second most visited (source: Hitwise). The websites provide potential customers with a source of information on merchandise available, as well as the ability to buy online. The websites are integrated with the division s stores, so that merchandise ordered online may be picked up at a store or delivered to the customer. The websites make an important and growing contribution to the customer experience of H.Samuel and

Ernest Jones, as well as to the UK division $\,$ s marketing programs. In the third quarter of 2013, the Ernest Jones website had a full creative redesign. In Fiscal 2013, the UK division $\,$ s

eCommerce sales increased by 20.1% to £17.9 million (Fiscal 2012: £14.9 million), and represented 4.0% of UK sales (Fiscal 2012: 3.3%). In addition, the UK division made significant investments in social media, as customer shopping practices require Signet to provide leading technology applications.

UK operating review

Operating structure

Signet s UK division operates as two brands with a single support structure and distribution center.

UK customer experience and human resources

Management regards the customer experience as an essential element in the success of its business, and the division s scale enables it to invest in industry-leading training and in the digital environment. The Signet Jewellery Academy, a multi-year program and framework for training and developing standards of capability, is operated for all sales associates. It utilizes a training system developed by the division called the Amazing Customer Experience (ACE). An ACE Index customer feedback survey gives a reflection of customers experiences and forms part of the monthly performance statistics that are monitored on a store by store basis. In addition to capability, we know that the customer experience is dependent on staff engagement.

UK merchandising and purchasing

Management believes that the UK division s leading position in the UK jewelry sector is an advantage when sourcing merchandise, enabling delivery of better value to the customer. An example of this is its capacity to contract with jewelry manufacturers to assemble products, utilizing directly sourced gold and diamonds. In addition, the UK division has the scale to utilize sophisticated merchandising systems to test, track, forecast and respond to customer preferences. The vast majority of inventory is held at stores rather than in the central distribution facility. The UK division and the US division seek to coordinate their merchandising and purchasing activities where appropriate, and are working to identify opportunities to further such coordination.

Merchandise mix

UK division merchandise mix (excluding repairs, warranty and other miscellaneous sales)

| | Fiscal 2013 % | Fiscal 2012 % | Fiscal 2011 % |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Diamonds and diamond jewelry | 28 | 27 | 28 |
| Gold and silver jewelry, including charm bracelets | 20 | 22 | 23 |
| Other jewelry | 13 | 13 | 12 |
| Watches | 33 | 31 | 30 |
| Gift category | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 |

The UK division has a different merchandise weighting to that of the US division, with watches representing 33% of merchandise sales. Bridal jewelry is estimated by management to account for approximately 25% of the UK division s merchandise sales, with gold wedding bands being an important element.

Direct sourcing

The UK division employs contract manufacturers for about 20% (Fiscal 2012: 20%) of the diamond merchandise sold, thereby achieving cost savings. Approximately 16% of the UK business s gold jewelry is manufactured on a contract basis through a buying office in Vicenza, Italy.

22

Table of Contents

Suppliers

Merchandise is purchased from a range of suppliers and manufacturers and economies of scale and buying power continued to be achieved by combining the purchases of H.Samuel and Ernest Jones. In Fiscal 2013, the five largest of these suppliers (four watch and one jewelry) together accounted for approximately 30% of total UK division purchases (Fiscal 2012: approximately 35%), with the largest accounting for around 8%.

Foreign exchange and merchandise costs

Fine gold and loose diamonds account for about 15% and 10%, respectively, of the merchandise cost of goods sold. The prices of these are determined by international markets and the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate. The other major category of goods purchased is watches, where the pound sterling cost is influenced by the Swiss franc exchange rate. In total, about 20% of goods purchased are made in US dollars. The pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate also has a significant indirect impact on the UK division s cost of goods sold for other merchandise.

Signet undertakes hedging for a portion of its requirement for US dollars and gold through the use of options, forward contracts and commodity purchasing. It is not possible to hedge against fluctuations in the cost of diamonds. The cost of raw materials is part of the costs involved in determining the retail selling price of jewelry, with labor costs also being a significant factor. Management continues to seek ways to reduce the cost of goods sold by improving the efficiency of its supply chain.

UK marketing and advertising

The UK division has strong, well-established brands and leverages them with advertising (television, print and online), catalogs and the development of customer relationship marketing techniques. Few of its competitors have sufficient scale to utilize all these marketing methods efficiently. Marketing campaigns are designed to reinforce and develop further the distinct brand identities and to expand the overall customer base and improve customer loyalty. H.Samuel used television advertising in the fourth quarter and during Fiscal 2013 expanded customer relationship marketing. For Ernest Jones, expenditure is focused on print and customer relationship marketing. Print and online advertising are important marketing tools for both H.Samuel and Ernest Jones. The UK division s three year record of gross advertising spending is given below:

| | Fiscal | Fiscal | Fiscal |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross advertising spending (million) | £ 13.5 | £ 12.6 | £ 10.7 |
| Percent of UK sales (%) | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| IIV roal estate | | | |

In Fiscal 2013, total store capital expenditure was £8.7 million (Fiscal 2012: £6.9 million), as a result of an increased investment in remodels and expansions.

UK customer finance

In Fiscal 2013, approximately 5% (Fiscal 2012: 4%) of the division s sales were made through a customer finance program provided through a third party. Signet does not provide this service itself in the UK as the demand for customer finance is of insufficient scale. Sales made using third-party bank cards were approximately 69% of sales (Fiscal 2012: 68%).

UK management information systems

EPOS equipment, retail management systems, purchase order management systems and merchandise planning processes are in place to support financial management, inventory planning and control, purchasing, merchandising, replenishment and distribution and can usually ensure replacement within 48 hours of any merchandise sold. The UK division uses third-party suppliers to support the operation of its information systems.

A perpetual inventory process allows store managers to check inventory by product category. These systems are designed to assist in the control of shrinkage, fraud prevention, financial analysis of retail operations, merchandising and inventory control.

The UK division plans to invest approximately £3 million in information systems in Fiscal 2014 (Fiscal 2013: £3.5 million). The planned expenditure reflects investments in sales-enhancing technology, both in-store and in the digital environment, and in information technology designed to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the division s execution.

UK regulation

Various laws and regulations affect Signet s UK operations. These cover areas such as consumer protection, consumer credit, data protection, health and safety, waste disposal, employment legislation and planning and development standards. Management monitors changes in these laws to endeavor to comply with legal requirements.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Signet files annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Prior to February 1, 2010, Signet filed annual reports on Form 20-F and other reports on Form 6-K. Such information, and amendments to reports previously filed or furnished, is available free of charge from our corporate website, www.signetjewelers.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are filed with or furnished to the SEC.

24

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Spending on goods that are, or are perceived to be luxuries, such as jewelry, is discretionary and is affected by general economic conditions. Therefore, a decline in consumer spending, whether due to adverse changes in the economy, changes in tax policy or other factors that reduce our customers demand for our products, may unfavorably impact Signet's future sales and earnings

Jewelry purchases are discretionary and are dependent on consumers perceptions of general economic conditions, particularly as jewelry is often perceived to be a luxury purchase. Adverse changes in the economy and periods when discretionary spending by consumers may be under pressure could unfavorably impact sales and earnings.

The success of Signet s operations depends to a significant extent upon a number of factors relating to discretionary consumer spending. These include economic conditions, and perceptions of such conditions by consumers, consumer confidence, employment, the rate of change in employment, the level of consumers disposable income and income available for discretionary expenditure, the savings ratio, business conditions, interest rates, consumer debt and asset values, availability of credit and levels of taxation for the economy as a whole and in regional and local markets where it operates. Signet s success also depends upon its reputation for integrity in sourcing its merchandise, which, if adversely affected could impact consumer sentiment and willingness to purchase Signet s merchandise.

As 18% of Signet s sales are accounted for by its UK division, and economic conditions in the eurozone, including the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe, have a significant impact on the UK economy even though the UK is not a member. Therefore developments in the eurozone could adversely impact trading in the UK division. In addition, developments in the eurozone could also adversely impact the US economy.

More than half of US sales are made utilizing customer finance provided by Signet. Therefore any deterioration in the consumers financial position could adversely impact sales and earnings

Any significant deterioration in general economic conditions or increase in consumer debt levels may inhibit consumers—use of credit and decrease the consumers—ability to satisfy Signet—s requirement for access to customer finance and could in turn have an adverse effect on the US division—s sales. Furthermore, any downturn in general or local economic conditions, in particular an increase in unemployment in the markets in which the US division operates, may adversely affect its collection of outstanding accounts receivable, its net bad debt charge and hence earnings.

Changes to the regulatory requirements regarding the granting of credit to customers could adversely impact sales and operating income

About half of Signet s US sales utilize its in-house customer financing programs and about a further 35% of purchases are made using third party bank cards. Signet s ability to extend credit to customers and the terms on which it is achieved depends on many factors, including compliance with applicable state and federal laws and regulations, any of which may change from time to time, and such changes in law relating to the provision of credit and associated services could adversely affect sales and income. In addition, other restrictions arising from applicable law could cause limitations in credit terms currently offered or a reduction in the level of credit granted by the US division, or by third parties, and this could adversely impact sales, income or cash flow, as could any reduction in the level of credit granted by the US division, or by third parties, as a result of the restrictions placed on fees and interest charged.

The US Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was signed into law in July 2010. Among other things, the US Dodd-Frank Act creates a Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with broad rule-making and supervisory authority for a wide range of consumer financial services, including Signet s customer finance programs. The Bureau s authority became effective in July 2011. Any new regulatory initiatives by the Bureau

25

Table of Contents

could impose additional costs and/or restrictions on credit practices on the US division, which could adversely affect its ability to conduct its business.

Signet s share price may be volatile

Signet s share price may fluctuate substantially as a result of variations in the actual or anticipated results and financial conditions of Signet and of other companies in the retail industry. In addition, the stock market has experienced price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many retail and other shares in a manner unrelated, or disproportionate to, the operating performance of these companies.

The concentration of a significant proportion of sales and an even larger share of profits in the fourth quarter means results are dependent on the performance during that period

Signet s business is highly seasonal, with a significant proportion of its sales and operating profit generated during its fourth quarter, which includes the Holiday Season. Management expects to continue to experience a seasonal fluctuation in its sales and earnings. Therefore there is limited ability to compensate for shortfalls in fourth quarter sales or earnings by changes in its operations and strategies in other quarters, or to recover from any extensive disruption, for example due to sudden adverse changes in consumer confidence, inclement weather conditions having an impact on a significant number of stores in the last few days immediately before Christmas Day or disruption to warehousing and store replenishment systems. A significant shortfall in results for the fourth quarter of any fiscal year would therefore be expected to have a material adverse effect on the annual results of operations. Disruption at lesser peaks in sales at Valentine s Day and Mother s Day would impact the results to a lesser extent.

Signet is dependent on a variety of financing resources to fund its operations and growth which may include equity, cash balances and debt financing

While Signet has a strong balance sheet with significant cash balances and available lines of credit, it is dependent upon the availability of equity, cash balances and debt financing to fund its operations and growth. If Signet s access to capital were to become significantly constrained, its financing costs would likely increase, its financial condition would be harmed and future results of operations could be adversely affected. The changes in general credit market conditions also affect Signet s ability to arrange, and the cost of arranging, credit facilities.

Management prepares annual budgets, medium term plans and risk models which help to identify the future capital requirements, so that appropriate facilities can be put in place on a timely basis. If these models are inaccurate, adequate facilities may not be available.

Signet s borrowing agreements include various financial covenants and operating restrictions. A material deterioration in its financial performance could result in a covenant being breached. If Signet were to breach, or believed it was going to breach, a financial covenant it would have to renegotiate its terms with current lenders or find alternative sources of finance if current lenders required cancellation of facilities or early repayment.

In addition, Signet s reputation in the financial markets and its corporate governance practices can influence the availability of capital, the cost of capital and its share price.

As Signet has material cash balances, it is exposed to counterparty credit risks

At February 2, 2013, Signet had cash and cash equivalents of \$301.0 million (January 28, 2012: \$486.8 million). Signet holds its cash and cash equivalents predominantly in AAA rated liquidity funds and in various bank accounts. If an institution or fund in which Signet invests its cash and cash equivalents were to default or become insolvent, Signet may be unable to recover these amounts or obtain access to them in a timely manner.

Movements in the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rates impact the results and balance sheet of Signet

Signet publishes its consolidated annual financial statements in US dollars. It held approximately 88% of its total assets in US dollars at February 2, 2013 and generated approximately 82% of its sales and 94% of its operating income in US dollars for the fiscal year then ended. Nearly all the remaining assets, sales and operating income are in the UK pounds sterling. Therefore its results and balance sheet are subject to fluctuations in the exchange rate between the pound sterling and the US dollar. Accordingly, any decrease in the weighted average value of the pound sterling against the US dollar would decrease reported sales and operating income.

The average exchange rate is used to prepare the income statement and is calculated from the weekly average exchange rates weighted by sales of the UK division. Due to seasonality, Signet s results are particularly impacted by movements in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year.

Where pounds sterling are held or used to fund the cash flow requirements of the business, any decrease in the weighted average value of the pound sterling against the US dollar would reduce the amount of cash and cash equivalents and increase the amount of any pounds sterling borrowings.

In addition, the prices of materials and certain products bought on the international markets by the UK division are denominated in US dollars, and therefore the UK division has an exposure to exchange rate fluctuations on the cost of goods sold.

Fluctuations in the availability and pricing of commodities, particularly polished diamonds and gold, which account for the majority of Signet's merchandise costs, could adversely impact its earnings and cash availability

The jewelry industry generally is affected by fluctuations in the price and supply of diamonds, gold and, to a lesser extent, other precious and semi-precious metals and stones. In particular, diamonds account for about 45% of Signet s merchandise costs, and gold about 16% in Fiscal 2013.

In Fiscal 2013, polished diamond prices were less variable as compared to Fiscal 2012, when the cost of diamonds increased markedly in the first half of the year, reaching record levels. Diamond costs decreased in the second half of Fiscal 2012, but remained significantly above those of Fiscal 2009. In the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2010 and during Fiscal 2011, the price of polished diamonds purchased by Signet increased but remained below Fiscal 2009 levels. Due to the sharp global decline in demand for diamonds in the second half of Fiscal 2009, and in the first six months of Fiscal 2010, particularly in the US, which accounts for about 40% of worldwide demand, the supply chain was overstocked with polished diamonds. Combined with the reduced levels of credit availability, the over-supply of diamonds resulted in decreases in the price of loose polished diamonds of all sizes and qualities. This was particularly marked in diamonds larger, and of better quality, than the type that Signet typically purchases.

Industry forecast indicates that over the medium and longer term, the demand for diamonds will probably increase faster than the growth in supply, particularly as a result of growing demand in countries such as China and India. Therefore the cost of diamonds is anticipated to rise over time, although fluctuations in price are likely to continue to occur. The mining, production and inventory policies followed by major producers of rough diamonds can have a significant impact on diamond prices, as can the inventory and buying patterns of jewelry retailers and other parties in the supply chain. A major source of rough diamonds is being developed in

27

Table of Contents

Zimbabwe, and the impact of this, including the availability and price of diamonds, is unknown. Both the European Union and US have sanctions that impact trading of diamonds mined in Zimbabwe.

While jewelry manufacturing is the major final demand for gold, management believes that the cost of gold is predominantly driven by investment transactions which have resulted in a significant increase in its cost over the past seven years. Although the price of gold has relatively stabilized over the past three years and particularly in the last year, Signet s cost of merchandise and potentially its earnings may be adversely impacted by investment market considerations that cause the price of gold to significantly escalate again.

Diamonds are the largest product category sold by Signet. The supply and price of diamonds in the principal world markets are influenced by a single entity the Diamond Trading Company (DTC), a subsidiary of De Beers Consolidated Mines Limited (De Beers). The DTC s share of the diamond supply chain has decreased over recent years, which may result in more volatility in rough diamond prices. In 2012, Anglo American plc (Anglo American) increased its ownership from 45% to 85% in De Beers. It is uncertain what, if any, impact of this transaction may have on De Beers.

The availability of diamonds is significantly influenced by the political situation in diamond producing countries and by the Kimberley Process, an inter-governmental agreement for the international trading of rough diamonds. Until acceptable alternative sources of diamonds can be developed, any sustained interruption in the supply of diamonds from significant producing countries, or to the trading in rough and polished diamonds which could occur as a result of disruption to the Kimberley Process, could adversely affect Signet, as well as the retail jewelry market as a whole. In 2012, the Kimberley Process chaired by the United States, initiated a process to review ways to strengthen and reform the Kimberley Process, including reviewing the definition of a conflict diamond. In January 2013, South Africa became the chair, and the review process is expected to continue. However, the current Kimberley Process decision making procedure is dependent on reaching a consensus among member governments, which can result in the protracted resolution of issues, and there is little expectation of significant reform. The impact of this review process on the supply of diamonds, and consumers perception of the diamond supply chain, is unknown. In addition to the Kimberley Process, the supply of diamonds to the US and the UK is also impacted by certain governmental trade sanctions imposed on Zimbabwe.

The possibility of constraints in the supply of diamonds of a size and quality Signet requires to meet its merchandising requirements may result in changes in Signet supply chain practices, for example its rough sourcing initiative. In addition, Signet may from time to time choose to hold more inventory, to purchase raw materials at an earlier stage in the supply chain or enter into commercial agreements of a nature that it currently does not use. Such actions could require the investment of cash and/or additional management skills. Such actions may not result in the expected returns and other projected benefits anticipated by management.

An inability to increase retail prices to reflect higher commodity costs would result in lower profitability. Historically jewelry retailers have been able, over time, to increase prices to reflect changes in commodity costs. However, in general, particularly sharp increases in commodity costs may result in a time lag before increased commodity costs are fully reflected in retail prices. As Signet uses an average cost inventory methodology, volatility in its commodity costs may also result in a time lag before cost increases are reflected in retail prices. There is no certainty that such price increases will be sustainable, so downward pressure on gross margins and earnings may occur. In addition, any sustained increases in the cost of commodities could result in the need to fund a higher level of inventory or changes in the merchandise available to the customer.

In August 2012, the SEC, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, issued final rules, which require annual disclosure and reporting on the source and use of certain minerals, including gold, from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. The gold supply chain is complex and, while management believes that the rules will only cover less than 1% of annual worldwide gold production (based upon current estimates), the final rules require Signet and other jewelry retailers and manufacturers that file with the SEC to exercise reasonable due diligence in determining the country of origin of the statutorily designated minerals that are used in products sold by Signet

28

Table of Contents

in the US and elsewhere. Signet must first report to the SEC on our country of origin inquiries, our due diligence measures, the results of those activities, and our related determinations in May 2014. Compliance with the rules will likely add to Signet s costs, but management does not expect this increase to be material. There may be reputational risks with customers and other stakeholders if Signet, due to the complexity of the global supply chain, is unable to sufficiently verify the origin for the relevant metals. Also, if the responses of parts of Signet s supply chain to the verification requests are adverse, it may harm Signet s ability to obtain merchandise and add to compliance costs. The final rules also cover tungsten, which is contained in a small proportion of items that are sold by Signet. Other minerals, such as diamonds, could be added to those currently covered by these rules.

Price increases may have an adverse impact on Signet s performance

If significant price increases are implemented, by either division, across a wide range of merchandise, the impact on earnings will depend on, among other factors, the pricing by competitors of similar products and the response by the customer to higher prices. Such price increases may result in lower achieved gross margin dollars and adversely impact earnings.

While Signet s major competitors are other specialty jewelers, Signet also faces competition from other retailers, including department stores, discount stores, apparel outlets and internet sellers of jewelry. In addition, other retail categories, for example electronics, and other forms of expenditure, such as travel, also compete for consumers discretionary expenditure. This is particularly so during the Christmas gift giving season. Therefore the price of jewelry relative to other products influences the proportion of consumers expenditure that is spent on jewelry. If the relative price of jewelry increases, Signet s sales and earnings may decline.

The failure to satisfy the accounting requirements for hedge accounting, or default or insolvency of a counterparty to a hedging contract, could adversely impact results

Signet hedges a portion of its purchases of gold for both its US and UK divisions and US dollar requirements of its UK division. The failure to satisfy the requirements of the appropriate accounting requirements, or a default or insolvency of a counterparty to a contract, could increase the volatility of results and may impact the timing of recognition of gains and losses in the income statement.

The inability of Signet to obtain merchandise that customers wish to purchase, particularly ahead of and during, the fourth quarter would adversely impact sales

The abrupt loss or disruption of any significant supplier during the three month period (August to October) leading up to the fourth quarter would result in a material adverse effect on Signet s business.

Also, if management misjudges expected customer demand, or fails to identify changes in customer demand and/or its supply chain does not respond in a timely manner, it could adversely impact Signet s results by causing either a shortage of merchandise or an accumulation of excess inventory.

Signet benefits from close commercial relationships with a number of suppliers. Damage to, or loss of, any of these relationships could have a detrimental effect on results. Management holds regular reviews with major suppliers. Signet s most significant supplier accounts for 6% of merchandise. Government requirements regarding sources of commodities, such as those required by the Dodd-Frank Act, could result in Signet choosing to terminate relationships with a limited number of suppliers.

Luxury and prestige watch manufacturers and distributors normally grant agencies to sell their ranges on a store by store basis, and most of the leading brands have been steadily reducing the number of agencies in the US and the UK over recent years. The watch brands sold by Ernest Jones, and to a lesser extent Jared, help attract customers and build sales in all categories. Therefore an inability to obtain or retain watch agencies for a location could harm the performance of that particular store. In the case of Ernest Jones, the inability to gain additional

Table of Contents

prestige watch agencies is an important factor in, and does reduce the likelihood of, opening new stores, which could adversely impact sales growth.

The growth in importance of branded merchandise within the jewelry market may adversely impact Signet s sales and earnings if it is unable to obtain supplies of branded merchandise that the customer wishes to purchase. In addition, if Signet loses the distribution rights to an important branded jewelry range, it could adversely impact sales and earnings.

Signet has had success in recent years in the development of branded merchandise that is exclusive to its stores. If Signet is not able to further develop such branded merchandise, or is unable to successfully develop further such initiatives, it may adversely impact sales and earnings.

An inability to recruit, train, motivate and retain suitably qualified sales associates could adversely impact sales and earnings

In specialty jewelry retailing, the level and quality of customer service is a key competitive factor as nearly every in-store transaction involves the sales associate taking a piece of jewelry or a watch out of a display case and presenting it to the potential customer. Competition for suitable individuals or changes in labor and healthcare laws could require us to incur higher labor costs. Therefore an inability to recruit, train, motivate and retain suitably qualified sales associates could adversely impact sales and earnings.

Loss of confidence by consumers in Signet s brand names, poor execution of marketing programs and reduced marketing expenditure could have a detrimental impact on sales

Primary factors in determining customer buying decisions in the jewelry sector include customer confidence in the retailer and in the brands it sells, together with the level and quality of customer service. The ability to differentiate Signet s stores and merchandise from competitors by its branding, marketing and advertising programs is an important factor in attracting consumers. If these programs are poorly executed or the level of support for them is reduced, or the customer loses confidence in any of Signet s brands for whatever reason, it could unfavorably impact sales and earnings.

In the past, the DTC has promoted unbranded diamonds and diamond jewelry in the US. The level of support provided by the DTC and the success of the promotions influenced the size of the total jewelry market in the US. As the DTC s market share of rough diamond sales has decreased, it has refocused its worldwide marketing efforts on its own brand and substantially decreased the DTC s marketing support for unbranded diamonds and diamond jewelry in the US. The impact of these actions is unknown and could unfavorably impact the overall market for diamonds and diamond jewelry and adversely impact Signet s sales and earnings.

Long-term changes in consumer attitudes to jewelry could be unfavorable and harm jewelry sales

Consumer attitudes to diamonds, gold and other precious metals and gemstones also influence the level of Signet s sales. Attitudes could be affected by a variety of issues including concern over the source of raw materials; the impact of mining and refining of minerals on the environment, the local community and the political stability of the producing country; labor conditions in the supply chain; and the availability and consumer attitudes to substitute products such as cubic zirconia, moissanite and of laboratory created diamonds. A negative change in consumer attitudes to jewelry could adversely impact sales and earnings.

The retail jewelry industry is highly fragmented and competitive. Aggressive discounting or going out of business sales by competitors may adversely impact Signet s performance in the short term

The retail jewelry industry is competitive. If Signet s competitive position deteriorates, operating results or financial condition could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Aggressive discounting by competitors, particularly those holding going out of business sales, may adversely impact Signet s performance in the short term. This is particularly the case for easily comparable pieces of jewelry, of similar quality, sold through stores that are situated near to those that Signet operates.

As a result of the growth of Jared and the development of Kay outside of its enclosed mall base, the US division is increasingly competing with independent specialty jewelry retailers that are able to adjust their competitive stance, for example on pricing, to local market conditions. This can put individual Signet stores at a competitive disadvantage as the US division has a national pricing strategy.

The inability to rent stores that satisfy management s operational and financial criteria could harm sales, as could changes in locations where customers shop

Signet s results are dependent on a number of factors relating to its stores. These include the availability of desirable property, the demographic characteristics of the area around the store, the design, and maintenance of the stores, the availability of attractive locations within the shopping center that also meet the operational and financial criteria of management, the terms of leases and Signet s relationship with major landlords. The US division leases 18% of its store locations from Simon Property Group. Signet has no other relationship with any lessor relating to 10% or more of its store locations. If Signet is unable to rent stores that satisfy its operational and financial criteria, or if there is a disruption in its relationship with its major landlords, sales could be adversely affected.

Given the length of property leases that Signet enters into, it is dependent upon the continued popularity of particular retail locations. As Signet tests and develops new types of store locations and designs, there is no certainty as to their success. The majority of long-term space growth opportunities in the US are in new developments and therefore future store space is largely dependent on the investment by real estate developers on new projects. Currently there is limited new real estate development taking place, making it challenging to identify and secure suitable new store locations. The UK division has a more diverse range of store locations than in the US, including some exposure to smaller retail centers which do not justify the investment required to refurbish the site to the current store format. Consequently the UK division is gradually closing stores in such locations as leases expire or satisfactory property transactions can be executed; however the ability to secure such property transactions is not certain. As the UK division is already represented in nearly all major retail centers, a small annual decrease in store space is expected in the medium term which will adversely impact sales growth.

The rate of new store development is dependent on a number of factors including obtaining suitable real estate, the capital resources of Signet, the availability of appropriate staff and management and the level of the financial return on investment required by management.

Signet s success is dependent on the strength and effectiveness of its relationships with its various stakeholders whose behavior may be affected by its management of social, ethical and environmental risks

Social, ethical and environmental matters influence Signet s reputation, demand for merchandise by consumers, the ability to recruit staff, relations with suppliers and standing in the financial markets. Signet s success is dependent on the strength and effectiveness of its relationships with its various stakeholders: customers, shareholders, employees and suppliers. In recent years, stakeholder expectations have increased and Signet s success and reputation will depend on its ability to meet these higher expectations.

Inadequacies in and disruption to internal controls and systems could result in lower sales and increased costs or adversely impact the reporting and control procedures

Signet is dependent on the suitability, reliability and durability of its systems and procedures, including its accounting, information technology, data protection, warehousing and distribution systems. If support ceased for a critical externally supplied software package or system, management would have to implement an alternative

31

Table of Contents

software package or system or begin supporting the software internally. Disruption to parts of the business could result in lower sales and increased costs.

Security breaches and other disruptions to Signet's information technology infrastructure and databases could interfere with Signet's operations, and could compromise Signet's and its customers and suppliers information, exposing Signet to liability which would cause Signet's business and reputation to suffer

Signet operates in multiple channels and, in the US division, maintains its own customer finance operation. Signet is also increasingly using mobile devices, social networks and other online activities to connect with customers, staff and other stakeholders. Therefore, in the ordinary course of business, Signet relies upon information technology networks and systems, some of which are managed by third parties, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes and activities, including supply chain, merchandise distribution, customer invoicing and collection of payments. Signet uses information technology systems to record, process and summarize financial information and results of operations for internal reporting purposes and to comply with regulatory financial reporting, legal and tax requirements. Additionally, Signet collects and stores sensitive data, including intellectual property, proprietary business information, the propriety business information of our customers and suppliers, as well as personally identifiable information of Signet s customers and employees, in data centers and on information technology networks. The secure operation of these information technology networks, and the processing and maintenance of this information is critical to Signet s business operations and strategy. Despite security measures and business continuity plans, Signet s information technology networks and infrastructure may be vulnerable to damage, disruptions or shutdowns due to attacks by hackers or breaches due to employee error or malfeasance, or other disruptions during the process of upgrading or replacing computer software or hardware, power outages, computer viruses, telecommunication or utility failures or natural disasters or other catastrophic events. The occurrence of any of these events could compromise Signet s networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability or regulatory penalties under laws protecting the privacy of personal information, disrupt operations, and damage Signet s reputation, which could adversely affect Signet s business. In addition, it could harm Signet s ability to execute its business and adversely impact sales, costs and earnings.

An adverse decision in legal proceedings and/or tax matters could reduce earnings

In March 2008, private plaintiffs filed a class action lawsuit for an unspecified amount against Sterling Jewelers Inc. (Sterling), a subsidiary of Signet, in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, which has been referred to private arbitration. In September 2008, the US Equal Employment Opportunities Commission filed a lawsuit against Sterling in U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York. Sterling denies the allegations from both parties and has been defending these cases vigorously. If, however, it is unsuccessful in either defense, Sterling could be required to pay substantial damages. At this point, no outcome or amount of loss is able to be estimated. See Note 22 in Item 8.

At any point in time, various tax years are subject to, or are in the process of, audit by various taxing authorities. To the extent that management s estimates of settlements change, or the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact income tax in the period in which such determinations are made.

Failure to comply with labor regulations could harm the business

Failure by Signet to comply with labor regulations could result in fines and legal actions. In addition, the ability to recruit and retain staff could be harmed.

32

Failure to comply with changes in law and regulations could adversely affect the business

Signet s policies and procedures are designed to comply with all applicable laws and regulations. Changing legal and regulatory requirements have increased the complexity of the regulatory environment in which the business operates and the cost of compliance. Failure to comply with the various regulatory requirements may result in damage to Signet s reputation, civil and criminal liability, fines and penalties, and further increase the cost of regulatory compliance. Changes in tax legislation, for example, the elimination of LIFO for US tax accounting purposes, could adversely impact cash flow.

Investors may face difficulties in enforcing proceedings against Signet Jewelers Limited as it is domiciled in Bermuda.

It is doubtful whether courts in Bermuda would enforce judgments obtained by investors in other jurisdictions, including the US and the UK, against the Parent Company or its directors or officers under the securities laws of those jurisdictions or entertain actions in Bermuda against the Parent Company or its directors or officers under the securities laws of other jurisdictions.

Any difficulty executing an acquisition, a business combination or a major business initiative may result in expected returns and other projected benefits from such an exercise not being realized

Any difficulty in executing an acquisition, a business combination or a major business initiative, including its direct diamond sourcing capabilities, may result in expected returns and other projected benefits from such an exercise not being realized. The acquisition of companies with operating margins lower than that of Signet may cause an overall lower operating margin for Signet. A significant transaction could also disrupt the operation of its current activities. Signet s current borrowing agreements place certain limited constraints on its ability to make an acquisition or enter into a business combination, and future borrowing agreements could place tighter constraints on such actions.

Changes in assumptions used in making accounting estimates or in accounting standards may adversely impact investor perception of the business

Changes in assumptions used in making accounting estimates relating to items such as extended service plans and pensions, may adversely affect Signet s financial results and balance sheet. Changes in accounting standards, such as those currently being considered relating to leases, could materially impact the presentation of Signet s results and balance sheet. Investors reaction to any such change in presentation is unknown. Such changes could also impact the way that the business is managed and access to the credit markets.

Loss of one or more key executive officers or employees could adversely impact performance, as could the appointment of an inappropriate successor or successors

Signet s future success will partly depend upon the ability of senior management and other key employees to implement an appropriate business strategy. While Signet has entered into employment contracts with such key personnel, the retention of their services cannot be guaranteed and the loss of such services, or the inability to attract and retain talented personnel, could have a material adverse effect on Signet s ability to conduct its business. In addition, any new executives may wish, subject to Board approval, to change the strategy of Signet. The appointment of new executives may therefore adversely impact performance.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Signet attributes great importance to the location and appearance of its stores. Accordingly, in both Signet s US and UK operations, investment decisions on selecting sites and refurbishing stores are made centrally, and strict real estate and investment criteria are applied.

US property

Substantially all of Signet s US stores are leased. In addition to a minimum annual rental, the majority of mall stores are also liable to pay rent based on sales above a specified base level. In Fiscal 2013, most of the division s mall stores only made base rental payments. Under the terms of a typical lease, the US business is required to conform and maintain its usage to agreed standards, including meeting required advertising expenditure as a percentage of sales, and is responsible for its proportionate share of expenses associated with common area maintenance, utilities and taxes of the mall. The initial term of a mall store lease is generally ten years. Towards the end of a lease, management evaluates whether to renew a lease and refit the store, using similar operational and investment criteria as for a new store. Where management is uncertain whether the location will meet management s required return on investment, but the store is profitable, the leases may be renewed for one to three years during which time the store s performance is further evaluated. There are typically about 200 such mall brand stores at any one time. Jared stores are normally opened on 20 year leases with options to extend the lease, and rents are not sales related. A refurbishment of a Jared store is normally undertaken every ten years. At February 2, 2013, the average unexpired lease term of US leased premises was five years, and over 65% of these leases had terms expiring within five years. The cost of refitting a store is similar to the cost of fitting out a new store which is typically between \$350,000 and \$400,000 for a mall location and between \$1,500,000 and \$2,000,000 for a Jared store. Management expects that about 70 new stores (about 58 Kay and 12 Jared) will be opened during Fiscal 2014. In Fiscal 2013, the level of major store refurbishment increased with 80 locations, including 18 Jared locations, being completed (Fiscal 2012: 70, including 16 Jared locations). It is anticipated that refurbishment activity in Fiscal 2014 will involve 76 stores, including about 7 Jared locations. In addition, management expects to convert the majority of the 110 Ultra stores to Kay Jewelers Outlets. The investment will be financed by cash flow from operating activities.

The US division leases 18% of its store locations from Simon Property Group. The US division has no other relationship with any lessor relating to 10% or more of its store locations. At February 2, 2013, the US division had 2.62 million square feet of selling space (January 28, 2012: 2.37 million).

During the past five fiscal years, the US business generally has been successful in renewing its store leases as they expire and has not experienced difficulty in securing suitable locations for its stores. No store lease is individually material to Signet s US operations.

A 340,000 square foot head office and distribution facility is leased in Akron, Ohio through 2032. An 86,000 square foot office building next door to the head office is also leased through 2032, to which Signet relocated its credit operations to in Fiscal 2013. A 39,000 square foot repair center was opened in Akron, Ohio during Fiscal 2006 and is owned by a subsidiary of Signet.

UK property

At February 2, 2013, Signet s UK division operated from six freehold premises, two premises where the lease had a remaining term in excess of 25 years and 509 other leasehold premises. The division s stores are generally leased under full repairing and insuring leases (equivalent to triple net leases in the US). Wherever possible Signet is shortening the length of new leases that it enters into, or including break clauses in order to improve the flexibility of its lease commitments. At February 2, 2013, the average unexpired lease term of UK premises with lease terms of less than 25 years was seven years, and a majority of leases had either break clauses or terms expiring within five years. Rents are usually subject to upward review every five years if market conditions so

34

Table of Contents

warrant. An increasing proportion of rents also have an element related to the sales of a store, subject to a minimum annual value. For details of assigned leases and sublet premises see Note 22 of Item 8.

At the end of the lease period, subject to certain limited exceptions, UK leaseholders generally have statutory rights to enter into a new lease of the premises on negotiated terms. As current leases expire, Signet believes that it will be able to renew leases, if desired, for present store locations or to obtain leases in equivalent or improved locations in the same general area. Signet has not experienced difficulty in securing leases for suitable locations for its UK stores. No store lease is individually material to Signet s UK operations.

A typical UK store undergoes a major refurbishment every ten years and a less costly store redecoration every five years. It is intended that these investments will be financed by cash from operating activities. The cost of refitting a store is typically between £150,000 and £250,000 for both H.Samuel and Ernest Jones, with the cost in prestige locations typically double those amounts.

The UK division has no relationship with any lessor relating to 10% or more of its store locations. At February 2, 2013, the UK division has 0.52 million square feet of selling space (January 28, 2012: 0.53 million).

Signet owns a 255,000 square foot warehouse and distribution center in Birmingham, where certain of the UK division s central administration functions are based, as well as eCommerce fulfillment. The remaining activities are situated in a 36,200 square foot office in Borehamwood, Hertfordshire which is held on a 15 year lease entered into in 2005. There are no plans for any major capital expenditure related to offices or the distribution center in the UK.

Certain corporate functions are located in a 3,350 square foot office in London, on a ten year lease which was entered into in Fiscal 2013.

Distribution capacity

Both divisions have sufficient capacity to meet their current needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See discussion of legal proceedings in Note 22 of Item 8.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

35

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market information

The principal trading market for the Company s Common Shares is the NYSE (symbol: SIG). The Company also maintains a standard listing of its Common Shares on the London Stock Exchange (symbol: SIG).

The following table sets forth the high and low share price on each stock exchange for the periods indicated.

| | Stock | ew York k Exchange e per share | Stock 1 | ndon Exchange oer share | |
|----------------|-------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|--|
| | High | Low | High | Low | |
| | | \$ | | £ | |
| Fiscal 2012 | | | | | |
| First quarter | 46.50 | 41.18 | 28.85 | 25.74 | |
| Second quarter | 48.01 | 40.45 | 29.86 | 24.78 | |
| Third quarter | 44.52 | 31.26 | 27.83 | 19.36 | |
| Fourth quarter | 47.38 | 41.49 | 30.44 | 26.13 | |
| Full year | 48.01 | 31.26 | 30.44 | 19.36 | |
| Fiscal 2013 | | | | | |
| First quarter | 51.26 | 44.55 | 32.03 | 28.14 | |
| Second quarter | 49.29 | 41.27 | 30.58 | 26.43 | |
| Third quarter | 51.71 | 42.60 | 31.97 | 27.30 | |
| Fourth quarter | 63.43 | 51.24 | 40.31 | 31.70 | |
| Full year | 63.43 | 41.27 | 40.31 | 26.43 | |

Number of holders

As of March 22, 2013, there were 11,865 shareholders of record.

Dividend policy

On March 22, 2012, Board declared a 20% increase in our first quarter dividend, resulting in an increase from \$0.10 to \$0.12 per Signet Common Share. For Fiscal 2013, dividends of \$0.12 per Common Share were paid on May 29, 2012, August 28, 2012, November 26, 2012 and February 27, 2013. For Fiscal 2012, dividends of \$0.10 per Common Share were paid on November 28, 2011 and February 27, 2012. Future payments of quarterly dividends will be based on Signet s ability to satisfy all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements and its continued financial strength. Any future payment of cash dividends will depend upon such factors as Signet s earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, financing agreement restrictions, and other factors deemed relevant by the Board.

Repurchases of equity securities

On October 26, 2011, Signet announced a program to repurchase up to \$300 million of Signet s Common Shares, which amount was increased on July 17, 2012 to \$350 million (the Repurchase Program). The Repurchase Program became effective on January 16, 2012, and will last 24 months from that date. There were no repurchases of equity securities during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013. At February 2, 2013, \$50,135,969 remained available for repurchases under the Repurchase Program.

36

Performance graph

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that Signet specifically incorporates it by reference into such filing.

Historical share price performance should not be relied upon as an indication of future share price performance.

The following graph compares the cumulative total return to holders of Signet s Common Shares against the cumulative total return of the Russell 1000 Index and Dow Jones General Retailers Index for the five year period ended February 2, 2013. The comparison of the cumulative total returns for each investment assumes that \$100 was invested in Signet s Common Shares and the respective indices on February 2, 2008 through February 2, 2013 including reinvestment of any dividends, and is adjusted to reflect a 1-for-20 share consolidation in September 2008.

Exchange controls

The Parent Company is classified by the Bermuda Monetary Authority as a non-resident of Bermuda for exchange control purposes. The transfer of Common Shares between persons regarded as resident outside Bermuda for exchange control purposes may be effected without specific consent under the Exchange Control Act of 1972 and regulations thereunder and the issue of Common Shares to persons regarded as resident outside Bermuda for exchange control purposes may be effected without specific consent under the Exchange Control Act of 1972 and regulations thereunder. Issues and transfers of Common Shares involving any person regarded as resident in Bermuda for exchange control purposes may require specific prior approval under the Exchange Control Act of 1972.

The owners of Common Shares who are ordinarily resident outside Bermuda are not subject to any restrictions on their rights to hold or vote their shares. Because the Parent Company has been designated as a non-resident for Bermuda exchange control purposes, there are no restrictions on its ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to US residents who are holders of Common Shares, other than in respect of local Bermuda currency.

37

Taxation

The following are brief and general summaries of the United States and United Kingdom taxation treatment of holding and disposing of Common Shares. The summaries are based on existing law, including statutes, regulations, administrative rulings and court decisions, and what is understood to be current Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) practice, all as in effect on the date of this document. Future legislative, judicial or administrative changes or interpretations could alter or modify statements and conclusions set forth below, and these changes or interpretations could be retroactive and could affect the tax consequences of holding and disposing of Common Shares. The summaries do not consider the consequences of holding and disposing of Common Shares under tax laws of countries other than the US (or any US laws other than those pertaining to federal income tax), the UK and Bermuda, nor do the summaries consider any alternative minimum tax, state or local consequences of holding and disposing of Common Shares.

The summaries provide general guidance to US holders (as defined below) who hold Common Shares as capital assets (within the meaning of section 1221 of the US Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the US Code)) and to persons resident, ordinarily resident and domiciled for tax purposes in the UK who hold Common Shares as an investment, and not to any holders who are taxable in the UK on a remittance basis or who are subject to special tax rules, such as banks, financial institutions, broker-dealers, persons subject to mark-to-market treatment, UK resident individuals who hold their Common Shares under a personal equity plan, persons that hold their Common Shares as a position in part of a straddle, conversion transaction, constructive sale or other integrated investment, US holders whose functional currency is not the US dollar, persons who received their Common Shares by exercising employee share options or otherwise as compensation, persons who have acquired their Common Shares by virtue of any office or employment, S corporations or other pass-through entities (or investors in S corporations or other passthrough entities), mutual funds, insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, US holders subject to the alternative minimum tax, certain expatriates or former long- term residents of the US, and US holders that directly or by attribution hold 10% or more of the voting power of the Parent Company s shares. This summary does not address US federal estate tax, state or local taxes, or the recently enacted Medicare tax on investment income.

The summaries are not intended to provide specific advice and no action should be taken or omitted to be taken in reliance upon it. If you are in any doubt about your taxation position, or if you are ordinarily resident or domiciled outside the UK or resident or otherwise subject to taxation in a jurisdiction outside the UK or the US, you should consult your own professional advisers immediately.

The Parent Company is incorporated in Bermuda. The directors intend to conduct the Parent Company s affairs such that, based on current law and practice of the relevant tax authorities, the Parent Company will not become resident for tax purposes in any other territory. This guidance is written on the basis that the Parent Company does not become resident in a territory other than Bermuda.

US taxation

As used in this discussion, the term US holder means a beneficial owner of Common Shares who is for US federal income tax purposes: (i) an individual US citizen or resident; (ii) a corporation, or entity treated as a corporation, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States; (iii) an estate whose income is subject to US federal income taxation regardless of its source; or (iv) a trust if either: (a) a court within the US is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of such trust and one or more US persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of such trust; or (b) the trust has a valid election in effect to be treated as a US resident for US federal income tax purposes.

If a partnership (or other entity classified as a partnership for US federal tax income purposes) holds Common Shares, the US federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partnerships, and partners in partnerships, holding Common Shares are encouraged to consult their tax advisers.

38

Table of Contents

Dividends and other distributions upon Common Shares

Distributions made with respect to Common Shares will generally be includable in the income of a US holder as ordinary dividend income, to the extent paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits of the Parent Company as determined in accordance with US federal income tax principles. The amount of such dividends will generally be treated partly as US-source and partly as foreign-source dividend income, for US foreign tax credit purposes, in proportion to the earnings from which they are considered paid for as long as 50% or more of the Parent Company s shares are directly or indirectly owned by US persons. Dividend income received from the Parent Company will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally allowed to US corporations under the US Code. Subject to applicable limitations, including a requirement that the Common Shares be listed for trading on the NYSE, the NASDAQ Stock Market, or another qualifying US exchange, dividends with respect to Common Shares so listed that are paid to non-corporate US holders will generally be taxable at a current maximum tax rate of 20%.

Sale or exchange of Common Shares

Gain or loss realized by a US holder on the sale or exchange of Common Shares generally will be subject to US federal income tax as capital gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the US holder s tax basis in the Common Shares and the amount realized on the disposition. Such gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if the US holder held the Common Shares for more than one year. Gain or loss, if any, will generally be US source for foreign tax credit purposes. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. Non-corporate US holders are eligible for a current maximum 20% long-term capital gains taxation rate.

Information reporting and backup withholding

Payments of dividends on, and proceeds from a sale or other disposition of, Common Shares, may, under certain circumstances, be subject to information reporting and backup withholding at a rate of 28% of the cash payable to the holder, unless the holder provides proof of an applicable exemption or furnishes its taxpayer identification number, and otherwise complies with all applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. Any amounts withheld from payments to a US holder under the backup withholding rules are not additional tax and should be allowed as a refund or credit against the US holder s US federal income tax liability, provided the required information is timely furnished to the IRS.

Passive foreign investment company status

A non-US corporation will be classified as a passive foreign investment company (a PFIC) for any taxable year if at least 75% of its gross income consists of passive income (such as dividends, interest, rents, royalties or gains on the disposition of certain minority interests), or at least 50% of the average value of its assets consists of assets that produce, or are held for the production of, passive income. For the purposes of these rules, a non US corporation is considered to hold and receive directly its proportionate share of the assets and income of any other corporation of whose shares it owns at least 25% by value. Consequently, the Parent Company s classification under the PFIC rules will depend primarily upon the composition of its assets and income.

If the Parent Company is characterized as a PFIC, US holders would suffer adverse tax consequences, and US federal income tax consequences different from those described above may apply. These consequences may include having gains realized on the disposition of Common Shares treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain and being subject to punitive interest charges on certain distributions and on the proceeds of the sale or other disposition of Common Shares. The Parent Company believes that it is not a PFIC and that it will not be a PFIC for the foreseeable future. However, since the tests for PFIC status depend upon facts not entirely within the Parent Company s control, such as the amounts and types of its income and values of its assets, no assurance can be provided that the Parent Company will not become a PFIC. US holders should consult their own tax advisers regarding the potential application of the PFIC rules to Common Shares.

39

New reporting requirement

Certain US Holders that hold certain specified foreign financial assets generally in excess of \$50,000 must file IRS Form 8938 annually. Penalties apply to failure to file this form. The definition of specified foreign financial assets includes not only financial accounts maintained in foreign financial institutions, but also, unless held in accounts maintained by a financial institution, any stock or security issued by a non-US person, any financial instrument or contract held for investment that has an issuer or counterparty other than a US person and any interest in a foreign entity. US holders may be subject to these reporting requirements unless their Common Shares are held in an account at a domestic financial institution. Investors are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the possible implications of this recently enacted legislation on their investment in Signet s Common Shares.

UK taxation

Chargeable gains

A disposal of Common Shares by a shareholder who is resident or ordinarily resident in the UK may, depending on individual circumstances (including the availability of exemptions or allowable losses), give rise to a liability to (or an allowable loss for the purposes of) UK taxation of chargeable gains.

Any chargeable gain or allowable loss on a disposal of the Common Shares should be calculated taking into account the allowable cost to the holder of acquiring his Common Shares. In the case of corporate shareholders, to this should be added, when calculating a chargeable gain but not an allowable loss, indexation allowance on the allowable cost. (Indexation allowance is not available for non-corporate shareholders).

Individuals who hold their Common Shares within an individual savings account (ISA) and are entitled to ISA-related tax relief in respect of the same, will generally not be subject to UK taxation of chargeable gains in respect of any gain arising on a disposal of Common Shares.

Taxation of dividends on Common Shares

Under current UK law and practice, UK withholding tax is not imposed on dividends.

Subject to anti-avoidance rules and the satisfaction of certain conditions, UK resident shareholders who are within the charge to UK corporation tax will in general not be subject to corporation tax on dividends paid by the Parent Company on the Common Shares.

A UK resident individual shareholder who is liable to UK income tax at no more than the basic rate will be liable to income tax on dividends paid by the Parent Company on the Common Shares at the dividend ordinary rate (10% in tax year 2012/13). A UK resident individual shareholder who is liable to UK income tax at the higher rate will be subject to income tax on the dividend income at the dividend upper rate (32.5% in 2012/13). A further rate of income tax (the additional rate) will apply to individuals with taxable income over a certain threshold, which is currently £150,000 for 2012/13. A UK resident individual shareholder subject to the additional rate will be liable to income tax on their dividend income at the rate of 42.5% (in 2012/13) of the gross dividend to the extent that the gross dividend when treated as the top slice of the shareholder s income falls above the current £150,000 threshold. As of April 6, 2013, the dividend additional rate will be reduced from 42.5% to 37.5%.

UK resident individuals in receipt of dividends from the Parent Company, if they own less than a 10% shareholding in the Parent Company, will be entitled to a non-payable dividend tax credit (currently at the rate of 1/9th of the cash dividend paid (or 10% of the aggregate of the net dividend and related tax credit)). Assuming that there is no withholding tax imposed on the dividend (as to which see the section on Bermuda taxation below), the individual is treated as receiving for UK tax purposes gross income equal to the cash dividend plus

40

the tax credit. The tax credit is set against the individual s tax liability on that gross income. The result is that a UK resident individual shareholder who is liable to UK income tax at no more than the basic rate will have no further UK income tax to pay on a Parent Company dividend. A UK resident individual shareholder who is liable to UK income tax at the higher rate will have further UK income tax to pay of 22.5% of the dividend plus the related tax credit (or 25% of the cash dividend, assuming that there is no withholding tax imposed on that dividend). A UK resident individual subject to income tax at the additional rate for 2012/13 will have further UK income tax to pay of 32.5% of the dividend plus the tax credit (or 36 1/9% of the cash dividend, assuming that there is no withholding tax imposed on that dividend), to the extent that the gross dividend falls above the threshold for the 50% rate of income tax.

A UK resident individual subject to income tax at the additional rate for 2013/14 will have further UK income tax to pay of 27.5% of the dividend plus the tax credit (or 30 5/9% of the cash dividend, assuming that there is no withholding tax imposed on that dividend), to the extent that the gross dividend falls above the threshold for the 45% rate of income tax.

Individual shareholders who hold their Common Shares in an ISA and are entitled to ISA-related tax relief in respect of the same will not be taxed on the dividends from those Common Shares but are not entitled to recover the tax credit on such dividends from HMRC.

Stamp duty/stamp duty reserve tax (SDRT)

In practice, stamp duty should generally not need to be paid on an instrument transferring Common Shares. No SDRT will generally be payable in respect of any agreement to transfer Common Shares or Depositary Interests. The statements in this paragraph summarize the current position on stamp duty and SDRT and are intended as a general guide only. They assume that the Parent Company will not be UK managed and controlled and that the Common Shares will not be registered in a register kept in the UK by or on behalf of the Parent Company. The Parent Company has confirmed that it does not intend to keep such a register in the UK.

Bermuda taxation

At the present time, there is no Bermuda income or profits tax, withholding tax, capital gains tax, capital transfer tax, estate duty or inheritance tax payable by the Parent Company or by its shareholders in respect of its Common Shares. The Parent Company has obtained an assurance from the Minister of Finance of Bermuda under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 that, in the event that any legislation is enacted in Bermuda imposing any tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, such tax shall not, until March 31, 2035, be applicable to it or to any of its operations or to its shares, debentures or other obligations except insofar as such tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda or is payable by it in respect of real property owned or leased by it in Bermuda.

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The financial data included below for Fiscal 2013, Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. The financial data for these periods should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, including the notes thereto, and Item 7. The financial data included

41

below for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009 have been derived from the previously published consolidated audited financial statements not included in this document.

| FINANCIAL DATA: | | Fiscal 2013 | | iscal 2012 | | Fiscal 2011 nillions) | | Fiscal 2010 | | Fiscal 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------|----|----------------|----|---------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|----------------|----|----------------|
| Income statement: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sales | | 3,983.4 | | 3,749.2 | | 3,437.4 | | 3,273.6 | | 3,328.0 |
| Cost of sales | (2 | 2,446.0) | (2 | 2,311.6) | (2 | 2,194.5) | (| (2,208.0) | (2 | 2,262.2) |
| Gross margin | 1 | 1,537.4 | 1 | .437.6 | | 1,242.9 | | 1,065.6 | | 1,065.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | 1,138.3) | | ,056.7) | | (980.4) | | (916.5) | | (969.2) |
| Impairment of goodwill | () | 1,130.3) | (1 | ,030.7) | | (700.4) | | (710.5) | | (516.9) |
| Relisting costs | | | | | | | | | | (10.5) |
| Other operating income, net | | 161.4 | | 126.5 | | 110.0 | | 115.4 | | 119.2 |
| Operating income (loss) | | 560.5 | | 507.4 | | 372.5 | | 264.5 | | (311.6) |
| Interest expense, net | | (3.6) | | (5.3) | | (72.1) | | (34.0) | | (29.2) |
| | | | | | | 206 | | 225 - | | (2.16.2) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | | 556.9 | | 502.1 | | 300.4 | | 230.5 | | (340.8) |
| Income taxes | | (197.0) | | (177.7) | | (100.0) | | (73.4) | | (61.8) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 359.9 | \$ | 324.4 | \$ | 200.4 | \$ | 157.1 | \$ | (402.6) |
| To come adotement. | | | | (| | | -11 | | | |
| Income statement: Sales | | 100.0 | | 100.0 | s a pe | rcent to so 100.0 | ues) | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| Cost of sales | | (61.4) | | (61.7) | | (63.8) | | (67.4) | | (68.0) |
| Cost of sales | | (01.4) | | (01.7) | | (03.8) | | (07.4) | | (08.0) |
| Gross margin | | 38.6 | | 38.3 | | 36.2 | | 32.6 | | 32.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | (28.6) | | (28.2) | | (28.5) | | (28.0) | | (29.1) |
| Impairment of goodwill | | | | | | | | | | (15.5) |
| Relisting costs | | | | | | | | | | (0.3) |
| Other operating income, net | | 4.1 | | 3.4 | | 3.1 | | 3.5 | | 3.5 |
| Operating income (loss) | | 14.1 | | 13.5 | | 10.8 | | 8.1 | | (9.4) |
| Interest expense, net | | (0.1) | | (0.1) | | (2.1) | | (1.1) | | (0.8) |
| interest expense, net | | (0.1) | | (0.1) | | (2.1) | | (1.1) | | (0.0) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | | 14.0 | | 13.4 | | 8.7 | | 7.0 | | (10.2) |
| Income taxes | | (5.0) | | (4.7) | | (2.9) | | (2.2) | | (10.2) |
| income taxes | | (5.0) | | (1.7) | | (2.) | | (2.2) | | (1.)) |
| Net income (loss) | | 9.0 | | 8.7 | | 5.8 | | 4.8 | | (12.1) |
| Per share data: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share: basic | \$ | 4.37 | \$ | 3.76 | \$ | 2.34 | \$ | 1.84 | \$ | (4.72) |
| diluted | \$ | 4.35 | \$ | 3.73 | \$ | 2.32 | \$ | 1.83 | \$ | (4.72) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | | | |
| basic | | 82.3 | | 86.2 | | 85.7 | | 85.3 | | 85.2 |
| diluted | | 82.8 | _ | 87.0 | | 86.4 | | 85.7 | | 85.2 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | 0.20 | | | | | \$ | 1.45 |

| Table of Contents | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|---------------|
| | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | Fiscal |
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| (in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance sheet: | | | | | | | | | |
| Total assets | | 3,715.8 | | 3,611.4 | | 3,089.8 | | 3,044.9 | 3,064.5 |
| Total liabilities | (| 1,385.9) | (| (1,332.3) | (| (1,150.8) | (| (1,341.3) | (1,541.8) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total shareholders equity | | 2,329.9 | | 2,279.1 | | 1,939.0 | | 1,703.6 | 1,522.7 |
| Working capital | | 2,164.2 | | 2,158.3 | | 1,831.3 | | 1,814.3 | 1,677.4 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 301.0 | | 486.8 | | 302.1 | | 316.2 | 96.8 |
| Loans and overdrafts | | | | | | (31.0) | | (44.1) | (187.5) |
| Long-term debt | | | | | | | | (280.0) | (380.0) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash (debt) (1) | \$ | 301.0 | \$ | 486.8 | \$ | 271.1 | \$ | (7.9) | \$ (470.7) |
| Common shares outstanding | | 81.4 | | 86.9 | | 86.2 | | 85.5 | 85.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash flow: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 312.7 | \$ | 325.2 | \$ | 323.1 | \$ | 515.3 | \$ 164.4 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (190.9) | | (97.8) | | (55.6) | | (43.5) | (113.3) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | | (308.1) | | (40.0) | | (282.3) | | (251.6) | 36.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | (186.3) | \$ | 187.4 | \$ | (14.8) | \$ | 220.2 | \$ 88.0 |
| Ratios: | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating margin | | 14.1% | | 13.5% | | 10.8% | | 8.1% | (9.4)% |
| Effective tax rate | | 35.4% | | 35.4% | | 33.3% | | 31.8% | (18.1)% |
| ROCE ⁽¹⁾ | | 28.1% | | 28.6% | | 23.0% | | 15.0% | 10.6% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | | Fiscal | Fiscal |
| | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Store data: | | | | | | | | | |
| Store numbers (at end of period) | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 1,443 | | 1,318 | | 1,317 | | 1,361 | 1,401 |
| UK | | 511 | | 535 | | 540 | | 552 | 558 |
| Percentage increase (decrease) in same store sales | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 4.0% | | 11.1% | | 8.9% | | 0.2% | (9.6)% |
| UK | | 0.3% | | 0.9% | | (1.4)% | | (2.4)% | (3.3)% |
| Signet | | 3.3% | | 9.0% | | 6.7% | | (0.4)% | (8.1)% |
| Number of employees (full-time equivalents) | | 17,877(2) | | 16,555 | | 16,229 | | 16,320 | 16,915 |

⁽¹⁾ Net cash (debt) and ROCE are non-GAAP measures, see GAAP and non-GAAP Measures below.

GAAP AND NON-GAAP MEASURES

The discussion and analysis of Signet s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity contained in this Report are based upon the consolidated financial statements of Signet which are prepared in accordance with US GAAP and should be read in conjunction with Signet s financial statements and the related notes included in Item 8. A number of non-GAAP measures are used by management to analyze and manage the performance of the business, and the required disclosures for these non-GAAP measures are given below. In particular, the terms underlying and underlying at constant exchange rates are used in a number of places. Underlying is used to indicate where adjustments for significant, unusual and non-recurring items have been made and underlying at constant exchange rates indicates where the underlying items have been further adjusted to eliminate the impact of exchange rate movements on translation of pound sterling amounts to US dollars.

43

⁽²⁾ Number of employees includes 830 full-time equivalents employed by Ultra.

Signet provides such non-GAAP information in reporting its financial results to give investors additional data to evaluate its operations. Management does not, nor does it suggest investors should, consider such non-GAAP measures in isolation from, or in substitute for, financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP.

1. Income statement at constant exchange rates

Movements in the US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate have an impact on Signet s results. The UK division is managed in pounds sterling as sales and costs are incurred in that currency and its results are then translated into US dollars for external reporting purposes. Management believes it assists in understanding the performance of Signet and its UK division if constant currency figures are given. This is particularly so in periods when exchange rates are volatile. The constant currency amounts are calculated by retranslating the prior year figures using the current year s exchange rate. Management considers it useful to exclude the impact of movements in the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate to analyze and explain changes and trends in Signet s sales and costs.

(a) Fiscal 2013 percentage change in results at constant exchange rates

| | _ | Fiscal 2013 nillion | - | Fiscal 2012 million | Change % | exch ra move | pact of ange ate ement llion | at o ex (nor | cal 2012 constant change rates n-GAAP) million | Fiscal 2013 change at constant exchange rates (non-GAAP) |
|----------------------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sales by origin and destination: | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 3,273.9 | | 3,034.1 | 7.9 | | | | 3,034.1 | 7.9 |
| UK | | 709.5 | | 715.1 | (0.8) | | (4.5) | | 710.6 | (0.2) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3,983.4 | | 3,749.2 | 6.2 | | (4.5) | | 3,744.7 | 6.4 |
| Cost of sales | (| 2,446.0) | (| 2,311.6) | (5.8) | | 3.0 | | (2,308.6) | (6.0) |
| | | | · | | | | | | | |
| Gross margin | | 1,537.4 | | 1,437.6 | 6.9 | | (1.5) | | 1,436.1 | 7.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | 1,138.3) | | 1,056.7) | (7.7) | | 1.2 | | (1,055.5) | (7.8) |
| Other operating income, net | Ì | 161.4 | ì | 126.5 | 27.6 | | | | 126.5 | 27.6 |
| • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 547.8 | | 478.0 | 14.6 | | | | 478.0 | 14.6 |
| UK | | 40.0 | | 56.1 | (28.7) | | (0.4) | | 55.7 | (28.2) |
| Unallocated | | (27.3) | | (26.7) | (2.2) | | 0.1 | | (26.6) | (2.6) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 560.5 | | 507.4 | 10.5 | | (0.3) | | 507.1 | 10.5 |
| Interest expense, net | | (3.6) | | (5.3) | 32.1 | | | | (5.3) | 32.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income before income taxes | | 556.9 | | 502.1 | 10.9 | | (0.3) | | 501.8 | 11.0 |
| Income taxes | | (197.0) | | (177.7) | (10.9) | | 0.1 | | (177.6) | (10.9) |
| | | | | • | . , | | | | • | . , |
| Net income | | 359.9 | | 324.4 | 10.9 | | (0.2) | | 324.2 | 11.0 |
| | | | | | | | . , | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 4.37 | \$ | 3.76 | 16.2 | \$ | | \$ | 3.76 | 16.2 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 4.35 | \$ | 3.73 | 16.6 | \$ | | \$ | 3.73 | 16.6 |
| | Ψ. | | Ψ | 2.70 | 20.0 | + | | Ψ. | 2.70 | 10.0 |

$(b) \ Fourth\ quarter\ Fiscal\ 2013\ percentage\ change\ in\ results\ at\ constant\ exchange\ rates$

| | Feb | eeks ended oruary 2, 2013 million | Jan | eeks ended uary 28, 2012 nillion | Change % | Impact of exchange rate movement \$million | Jan at c ex (nor | weeks ended uary 28, 2012 constant change rates n-GAAP) million | 14 weeks ended February 2, 2013 change at constant exchange rates (non-GAAP) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sales by origin and destination: | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 1,244.9 | | 1,090.1 | 14.2 | | | 1,090.1 | 14.2 |
| UK | | 268.4 | | 263.7 | 1.8 | 6.7 | | 270.4 | (0.7) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1,513.3 | | 1,353.8 | 11.8 | 6.7 | | 1,360.5 | 11.2 |
| Cost of sales | | (876.2) | | (790.6) | (10.8) | (5.1) | | (795.7) | (10.1) |
| | | (0100_) | | (17010) | (2010) | (0.12) | | (1,211) | (2372) |
| Gross margin | | 637.1 | | 563.2 | 13.1 | 1.6 | | 564.8 | 12.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative | | 037.1 | | 303.2 | 13.1 | 1.0 | | 301.0 | 12.0 |
| expenses | | (410.9) | | (348.8) | (17.8) | (2.3) | | (351.1) | (17.0) |
| Other operating income, net | | 41.5 | | 29.5 | 40.7 | (2.5) | | 29.5 | 40.7 |
| outer operating meeting, not | | | | 27.0 | , | | | 27.0 | |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 227.5 | | 191.0 | 19.1 | | | 191.0 | 19.1 |
| UK | | 48.8 | | 58.5 | (16.6) | (0.5) | | 58.0 | (15.9) |
| Unallocated | | (8.6) | | (5.6) | (53.6) | (0.2) | | (5.8) | (48.3) |
| Chanocated | | (0.0) | | (5.0) | (33.0) | (0.2) | | (5.0) | (10.3) |
| | | 267.7 | | 243.9 | 9.8 | (0.7) | | 243.2 | 10.1 |
| Interest expense, net | | (1.1) | | (1.5) | 26.7 | (0.7) | | (1.5) | 26.7 |
| interest expense, net | | (1.1) | | (1.5) | 20.7 | | | (1.5) | 20.7 |
| Income before income taxes | | 266.6 | | 242.4 | 10.0 | (0.7) | | 241.7 | 10.3 |
| Income taxes | | (94.8) | | (85.8) | 10.5 | 0.2 | | (85.6) | 10.7 |
| meome was | | (24.0) | | (05.0) | 10.5 | 0.2 | | (05.0) | 10.7 |
| Net income | | 171.8 | | 156.6 | 9.7 | (0.5) | | 156.1 | 10.1 |
| Tot meome | | 1/1.0 | | 150.0 | 7.1 | (0.5) | | 150.1 | 10.1 |
| Dasia aarnings par shara | ¢ | 2.13 | ¢ | 1.81 | 17.7 | ¢ | • | 1.81 | 17.7 |
| Basic earnings per share Diluted earnings per share | \$ \$ | 2.13 | \$ \$ | 1.81 | 17.7 | \$ \$ | \$ \$ | 1.81 | 17.7 |
| Diffuted earnings per snare | Φ | 2.12 | φ | 1.79 | 10.4 | Ф | Ф | 1./9 | 10.4 |

(c) Fiscal 2012 percentage change in results at constant exchange rates

| | | Fiscal 2012 nillion | | Fiscal 2011 million | Change % | exc | npact of change rate vement nillion | at (ne | iscal 2011 t constant exchange rates on-GAAP) \$million | Fiscal 2012 change at constant exchange rates (non-GAAP) |
|----------------------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|-------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sales by origin and destination: | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 3,034.1 | | 2,744.2 | 10.6 | | | | 2,744.2 | 10.6 |
| UK | | 715.1 | | 693.2 | 3.2 | | 22.4 | | 715.6 | (0.1) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3,749.2 | | 3,437.4 | 9.1 | | 22.4 | | 3,459.8 | 8.4 |
| Cost of sales | (| 2,311.6) | (| (2,194.5) | (5.3) | | (15.2) | | (2,209.7) | 4.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross margin | | 1,437.6 | | 1,242.9 | 15.7 | | 7.2 | | 1,250.1 | 15.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (| 1,056.7) | | (980.4) | 7.8 | | (6.2) | | (986.6) | 7.1 |
| Other operating income, net | | 126.5 | | 110.0 | 15.0 | | | | 110.0 | 15.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income, net: | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 478.0 | | 342.7 | 39.5 | | | | 342.7 | 39.5 |
| UK | | 56.1 | | 57.0 | (1.6) | | 1.9 | | 58.9 | (4.8) |
| Unallocated | | (26.7) | | (27.2) | 1.8 | | (0.9) | | (28.1) | 5.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 507.4 | | 372.5 | 36.2 | | 1.0 | | 373.5 | 35.9 |
| Interest expense, net | | (5.3) | | (72.1) | 92.6 | | | | (72.1) | 92.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income before income taxes | | 502.1 | | 300.4 | 67.1 | | 1.0 | | 301.4 | 66.6 |
| Income taxes | | (177.7) | | (100.0) | 77.7 | | (0.3) | | (100.3) | 77.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | 324.4 | | 200.4 | 61.9 | | 0.7 | | 201.1 | 61.3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 3.76 | \$ | 2.34 | 60.7 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 2.35 | 60.0 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 3.73 | \$ | 2.32 | 60.8 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 2.33 | 60.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

(d) Fourth quarter Fiscal 2012 percentage change in results at constant exchange rates

| | Jan | eeks ended wary 28, 2012 million | Jan | eeks ended wary 29, 2011 million | Change % | ex | mpact of change rate ovement million | Jai at ex (no | 3 weeks ended nuary 29, 2011 constant exchange rates n-GAAP) smillion | 13 weeks ended January 28, 2012 change at constant exchange rates (non-GAAP) |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------|-------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sales by origin and destination: | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 1,090.1 | | 1,007.0 | 8.3 | | | | 1,007.0 | 8.3 |
| UK | | 263.7 | | 263.5 | 0.1 | | (2.9) | | 260.6 | 1.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1,353.8 | | 1,270.5 | 6.6 | | (2.9) | | 1,267.6 | 6.8 |
| Cost of sales | | (790.6) | | (752.0) | 5.1 | | 3.3 | | (748.7) | 5.6 |
| Cost of sales | | (750.0) | | (132.0) | 5.1 | | 5.5 | | (710.7) | 3.0 |
| Gross margin | | 563.2 | | 518.5 | 8.6 | | 0.4 | | 518.9 | 8.5 |
| Selling, general and | | 303.2 | | 310.3 | 0.0 | | 0.4 | | 310.7 | 0.5 |
| administrative expenses | | (348.8) | | (336.7) | 3.6 | | 1.4 | | (335.3) | 4.0 |
| Other operating income, net | | 29.5 | | 28.7 | 2.8 | | 1.7 | | 28.7 | 2.8 |
| Other operating meome, net | | 27.3 | | 20.7 | 2.0 | | | | 20.7 | 2.0 |
| Operating income, net | | | | | | | | | | |
| US | | 191.0 | | 167.9 | 13.8 | | | | 167.9 | 13.8 |
| UK | | 58.5 | | 55.3 | 5.8 | | 1.8 | | 57.1 | 2.5 |
| Unallocated | | (5.6) | | (12.7) | 55.9 | | | | (12.7) | 55.9 |
| | | () | | (, | | | | | (, | |
| | | 243.9 | | 210.5 | 15.9 | | 1.8 | | 212.3 | 14.9 |
| Interest expense, net | | (1.5) | | (50.9) | 97.1 | | | | (50.9) | 97.1 |
| r | | (') | | () | | | | | () | |
| Income before income taxes | | 242.4 | | 159.6 | 51.9 | | 1.8 | | 161.4 | 50.2 |
| Income taxes | | (85.8) | | (54.2) | 58.3 | | (0.6) | | (54.8) | 56.6 |
| | | , , | | , , | | | . , | | , , | |
| Net income | | 156.6 | | 105.4 | 48.6 | | 1.2 | | 106.6 | 46.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 1.81 | \$ | 1.23 | 47.2 | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 1.25 | 44.8 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 1.79 | \$ | 1.23 | 47.9 | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 1.24 | 44.4 |
| Direct curinings per share | Ψ | 1.17 | Ψ | 1.21 | 17.7 | Ψ | 0.05 | Ψ | 1.21 | 17.7 |

2. Underlying income before income tax, underlying net income and underlying earnings per share

In Fiscal 2011, Signet made a make whole payment of \$47.5 million as a result of the prepayment in full of private placement notes (Private Placement Notes) outstanding (the Make Whole Payment). Management considers it useful to exclude this significant, unusual and non-recurring item to analyze and explain changes and trends in Signet s results.

(a) Fiscal 2011 reconciliation to underlying results

| (in millions, except per share amounts) | Fiscal 2011 | Impact of Make Whole Payment | und | cal 2011 derlying n-GAAP) |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| Operating income | \$ 372.5 | \$ | \$ | 372.5 |

| Interest expense, net | (72.1) | 47.5 | (24.6) |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Income before income taxes | 300.4 | 47.5 | 347.9 |
| Income taxes | (100.0) | (18.0) | (118.0) |
| Net income | \$ 200.4 | \$ 29.5 | \$ 229.9 |
| Basic earnings per share: | \$ 2.34 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 2.68 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | \$ 2.32 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 2.66 |

3. Net cash

Net cash is the total of cash and cash equivalents less loans, overdrafts and long-term debt, and is helpful in providing a measure of the total indebtedness of the business.

| (in millions) | February 2, 2013 | nuary 28, 2012 | Jai | nuary 29, 2011 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 301.0 | \$ 486.8 | \$ | 302.1 |
| Long-term debt | | | | |
| Loans and overdrafts | | | | (31.0) |
| | | | | |
| Net cash | \$ 301.0 | \$ 486.8 | \$ | 271.1 |

4. Return on capital employed excluding goodwill (ROCE)

ROCE is calculated by dividing the 52-week annual operating income by the average quarterly capital employed and is expressed as a percentage. Capital employed includes accounts and other receivables, inventories, intangible assets excluding goodwill, property and equipment, other assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities, other liabilities, deferred revenue and retirement benefit asset/obligation. This is a key performance indicator used by management for assessing the effective operation of the business and is considered a useful disclosure for investors as it provides a measure of the return on Signet s and the divisions operating assets.

5. Free cash flow

Free cash flow is a non-GAAP measure defined as the net cash provided by operating activities less purchases of property and equipment, net. It has been calculated both before and after the Make Whole Payment. Management considers that this is helpful in understanding how the business is generating cash from its operating and investing activities that can be used to meet the financing needs of the business. Free cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditure.

| (in millions) | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 312.7 | \$ 325.2 | \$ 323.1 |
| Purchase of property and equipment, net | (134.2) | (97.8) | (55.6) |
| Free cash flow, including Make Whole Payment ⁽¹⁾ | 178.5 | 227.4 | 267.5 |
| Make Whole Payment | | | 47.5 |
| Free cash flow, excluding Make Whole Payment | \$ 178.5 | \$ 227.4 | \$ 315.0 |

(1) In Fiscal 2011, a make whole payment of \$47.5 million was paid as a result of the prepayment of private placement notes outstanding.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements which are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, based upon management s beliefs and expectations as well as on assumptions made by and data currently available to management, appear in a number of places throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include statements regarding, among other things, Signet s results of operation, financial condition, liquidity, prospects, growth, strategies and the industry in which Signet operates. The use of the words expects, intends, anticipates, estimates, predicts, believes, should, forecas or target, and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to general economic conditions, risks relating to Signet being a Bermuda corporation, the merchandising, pricing and inventory policies followed by Signet, the reputation of Signet and its brands, the level of competition in the jewelry sector, the cost and availability of diamonds, gold and other precious metals, regulations relating to consumer credit, seasonality of Signet s business, financial market risks, deterioration in consumers financial condition, exchange rate fluctuations, changes in consumer attitudes regarding jewelry, management of social, ethical and environmental risks, security breaches and other disruptions to Signet s information technology infrastructure and databases, inadequacy in and disruptions to internal controls and systems, and changes in assumptions used in making accounting estimates relating to items such as extended service plans and pensions.

For a discussion of these risks and other risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statement, see Item 1A and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Signet undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances, except as required by law.

GAAP AND NON-GAAP MEASURES

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations, financial condition and liquidity is based upon the consolidated financial statements of Signet which are prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The following information should be read in conjunction with Signet s financial statements and the related notes included in Item 8.

A number of non-GAAP measures are used by management to analyze and manage the performance of the business, and the required disclosures for these measures are given in Item 6. Signet provides such non-GAAP information in reporting its financial results to give investors additional data to evaluate its operations. The Company s management does not, nor does it suggest investors should, consider such non-GAAP measures in isolation from, or in substitute for, financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Exchange translation impact

The average exchange rate is used to prepare the income statement and is calculated from the weekly average exchange rates weighted by sales of the UK division. This means that results are particularly impacted by movements in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year, with the exchange rate in the first four weeks of December having the largest effect on the average exchange rate used. A movement in the year to date exchange rate from that in the prior quarter in a particular fiscal year, will result in that quarter s results being impacted by adjustments to sales and costs in prior quarters to reflect the changed year to date exchange rate. This can have a particularly noticeable impact on Signet s results for the third quarter as the results for the third quarter are close to break-even. In addition, as the UK division s selling, administrative and general expenses are spread more evenly between quarters than its sales, these expenses can be particularly impacted in the fourth quarter. In Fiscal 2014, it is anticipated a one cent movement in the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate would impact income before income tax by approximately \$0.3 million.

49

Fiscal 2013 overview

Similar to many other retailers, Signet follows the retail 4-5-4 reporting calendar, which included an extra week in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 (the 59 week). The 59 week added \$56.4 million in net sales and decreased diluted earnings per share by approximately \$0.02 for both the quarter and Fiscal 2013. The 53rd week is not included in same-store sales calculations.

The Fiscal 2013 results were led by a same store sales increase of 3.3% compared to an increase of 9.0% in Fiscal 2012; total sales were up by 6.2% to \$3,983.4 million compared to \$3,749.2 million in Fiscal 2012 and operating margin improved by 60 basis points to 14.1% compared to 13.5% in Fiscal 2012. Operating income and diluted earnings per share increased to \$560.5 million compared to \$507.4 million in Fiscal 2012 and \$4.35 per share compared to \$3.73 in Fiscal 2012, up by 10.5% and 16.6% respectively.

At February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, Signet had no long-term debt and cash and cash equivalents of \$301.0 and \$486.8 million, respectively. Signet repurchased 6.4 million shares at an average cost of \$44.70 per share, which represented 7.4% of the shares outstanding at the start of Fiscal 2013, as compared to 0.3 million shares at an average cost of \$49.57 in Fiscal 2012.

Drivers of operating profitability

| The key drivers of | f operating profitability are: |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| sales p | performance; |
| gross | margin; |
| level o | of selling, general and administrative expenses; |
| balanc | ce between the change in same store sales and sales from new store space; and |
| includ | ments in the US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate, as 18% of Signet s sales and approximately 6% of operating income, ling unallocated costs, were generated in the UK in Fiscal 2013 and Signet reports its results in US dollars. |

Sales

Sales performance in both the US and UK divisions is driven by the change in same store sales and net store space. Same store sales growth is calculated by comparison of sales in stores that were open in both the current and the prior fiscal year. Sales from stores that have been open for less than 12 months, including acquisitions, are excluded from the comparison until their 12-month anniversary. Sales from the 12-month anniversary onwards are compared against the equivalent prior period sales within the comparable store sales comparison. Stores closed in the current financial period are included up to the date of closure and the comparative period is correspondingly adjusted. Stores that have been relocated or expanded, but remain within the same local geographic market, are included within the comparison with no adjustment to either the current or comparative period. Stores that have been refurbished are also included within the comparison except for the period when the refurbishment was taking place, when those stores are excluded from the comparison both for the current year and for the comparative period. Sales to employees are also excluded. Comparisons at divisional level are made in local currency and consolidated comparisons are made at constant exchange rates and exclude the effect of exchange rate movements by recalculating the prior period results as if they had been generated at the weighted average exchange rate for the current period. eCommerce sales are included in the calculation of sales for the period and the comparative figures from the anniversary of the launch of the relevant website. Same store sales exclude the 53rd week in the fiscal year in which it occurs. Management considers same store sales useful as it is a major benchmark used by investors to judge performance within the retail industry.

A new US store typically has sales ranging from 70% to 75% of a five year old store, and will only contribute to sales for part of the fiscal year in which it is opened. Store openings are usually planned to occur in the third quarter, and store closures in January, although this does not always occur. When investing in new space, management has stringent operating and financial criteria. US net space increased 11% in Fiscal 2013, of which 7% related to the Ultra Acquisition, and increased by 1% in Fiscal 2012. In the UK, there has typically been a decline in space as the division has exited from retail markets where operation no longer meets the economic criteria established by management due to growth in regional malls. The UK space decreased by 3% in Fiscal 2013 as compared to no space change in Fiscal 2012.

Net change in store selling space

| | US | UK | Signet |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------|--------|
| Fiscal 2013: | | | |
| Openings or acquistions | 158 ⁽¹⁾ | | 158 |
| Closures | (33) | (24) | (57) |
| Net change in store selling space | 11% | (3)% | 8% |
| Fiscal 2012: | | | |
| Openings | 23 | 3 | 26 |
| Closures | (22) | (8) | (30) |
| Net change in store selling space | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| Fiscal 2011: | | | |
| Openings | 6 | | 6 |
| Closures | (50) | (12) | (62) |
| Net change in store selling space | (2)% | (2)% | (2)% |

(1) Includes Ultra stores and excludes 33 Ultra licensed jewelry departments.

Cost of sales and gross margin

Cost of sales includes merchandise costs net of discounts and allowances, freight, processing and distribution costs of moving merchandise from suppliers to the distribution center and to stores, inventory shrinkage, store operating and occupancy costs, net bad debt expense and charges for late payments under the US customer finance program. Store operating and occupancy costs include utilities, rent, real estate taxes, common area maintenance charges and depreciation. As the classification of cost of sales or selling, general and administrative expenses varies from retailer to retailer and few retailers have in-house customer finance programs, Signet s gross margin percentage may not be directly comparable to other retailers.

The gross merchandise margin is the difference between the selling price achieved and the cost of merchandise sold expressed as a percentage of the sales price. Gross merchandise margin dollars is the difference expressed in monetary terms. The trend in gross merchandise margin depends on Signet s pricing policy, movements in the cost of merchandise sold, changes in sales mix and the direct cost of providing services such as repairs.

Important factors that impact gross margin are the cost of diamonds and gold and our ability to adjust prices to offset such costs. In the US division, about 55% of the cost of merchandise sold is accounted for by polished diamonds and about 15% is accounted for by gold. In the UK division, diamonds and gold account for about 10% and about 15%, respectively, of the cost of merchandise sold, and watches for about 45%. The pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate also has a material impact as a significant proportion of the merchandise sold in the UK is purchased in US dollars. Signet uses gold and currency hedges to reduce its exposure to market volatility in the cost of gold and the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate, but is not able to do so for diamonds. For gold and currencies, the hedging period can extend to 24 months, although the majority of hedge contracts will normally be for a maximum of 12 months.

Table of Contents

The price of diamonds varies depending on their size, cut, color and clarity. During Fiscal 2011, polished diamond prices increased from prior levels, fluctuated during Fiscal 2012 and were less variable during Fiscal 2013. Demand for diamonds is primarily driven by the manufacture and sale of diamond jewelry and their future price is uncertain.

The cost of gold, while volatile, had steadily increased during fiscal years 2009 through 2012, primarily reflecting increased investment demand rather than changes in the usage for jewelry manufacture. During Fiscal 2013, although the cost of gold remained volatile, the overall cost of gold was little changed from Fiscal 2012 with an average cost of \$1,671 per troy ounce in January 2013 compared to an average cost of \$1,657 per troy ounce in January 2012. The future price of gold is uncertain.

Signet uses an average cost inventory methodology and, as jewelry inventory turns slowly, the impact of movements in the cost of diamonds and gold takes time to be fully reflected in the gross margin. As inventory turn is faster in the fourth quarter than in the other three quarters, changes in the cost of merchandise are more quickly reflected in the gross margin in that quarter. Furthermore, Signet shedging activities result in movements in the purchase cost of merchandise taking some time before being reflected in the gross margin. An increase in inventory turn would accelerate the rate at which commodity costs impact gross margin.

Account receivables comprise a large volume of transactions with no one customer representing a significant balance. The net US bad debt expense includes an estimate of the allowance for losses as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is calculated using a proprietary model that analyzes factors such as delinquency rates and recovery rates. A 100% allowance is made for any amount that is more than 90 days aged on a recency basis and any amount associated with an account the owner of which has filed for bankruptcy, as well as an allowance for those 90 days aged and under based on historical loss information and payment performance. Management believes that the primary drivers of the net US bad debt to total US sales ratio are the accuracy of the proprietary consumer credit scores used when granting customer finance, the procedures used to collect the outstanding balances, US credit sales as a percentage of total US sales and the rate of change in the level of unemployment in the US economy.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses include store staff and store administrative costs, centralized administrative expenses, including information technology, credit and eCommerce, advertising and promotional costs and other operating expenses not specifically categorized elsewhere in the consolidated income statements.

The primary drivers of staffing costs are the number of full time equivalent employees employed and the level of compensation, taxes and other benefits paid. Management varies, on a store by store basis, the hours worked based on the expected level of selling activity, subject to minimum staffing levels required to operate the store. Non-store staffing levels are less variable. A significant element of compensation is performance based, and is primarily dependent on sales or operating profit.

The level of advertising expenditure can vary. The largest element of advertising expenditure is national television advertising and is determined by management s judgment of the appropriate level of advertising impressions and the cost of purchasing media.

Other operating income

Other operating income is predominantly interest income arising from in-house customer finance provided to Signet s customers by the US division. Its level is dependent on the rate of interest charged, the credit program selected by the customer and the level of outstanding balances. The level of outstanding balances is dependent on the sales of the US division, the proportion of sales that use the in-house customer finance and the monthly collection rate.

52

Operating income

To maintain operating income, Signet needs to achieve same store sales growth sufficient to offset any adverse movement in gross margin, any increase in operating costs, the impact of any immature selling space and any adverse changes in other operating income. Same store sales growth above the level required to offset the factors outlined above allows the business to achieve leverage of its cost base and improve operating income. Slower sales growth or a sales decline would normally result in reduced operating income. When foreseen, such as through the US division s cost saving measures implemented in Fiscal 2010, Signet may be able to reduce costs to help offset the impact of slow or negative sales growth. A key factor in driving operating income is the level of average sales per store, with higher productivity allowing leverage of expenses incurred in performing store and central functions. The acquisition of companies with operating margins lower than that of Signet may cause an overall lower operating margin for Signet.

The impact on operating income of a sharp, unexpected increase or decrease in same store sales performance can be significant. This is particularly so when it occurs in the fourth quarter due to the seasonality of the business. In the medium term, there is more opportunity to adjust costs to the changed sales level, but the time it takes varies depending on the type of cost. An example of where it can take a number of months to adjust costs is expenditure on national network television advertising in the US, where Signet makes most of its commitments for the year ahead during its second quarter. It is even more difficult to reduce base lease costs in the short or medium term, as leases in US malls are typically for ten years, Jared sites for 20 years and in the UK for five plus years.

Operating income may also be impacted by significant, unusual and non-recurring items. For example, in Fiscal 2011, the impact of amendments to the Truth in Lending Act had an estimated net direct adverse impact on operating income of \$11.9 million, primarily by reducing other operating income. In Fiscal 2010, the vacation entitlement policy in the US division was changed, which resulted in the selling, general and administrative costs being reduced, while operating income increased by \$13.4 million. Other line items may also be impacted by significant, unusual and non-recurring items. For example, in Fiscal 2011, Signet made a Make Whole Payment of \$47.5 million, reflected as an increase to interest expense as a result of the prepayment in full of Private Placement Notes in November 2010.

Results of operations

| (in millions) | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales | \$ 3,983.4 | \$ 3,749.2 | \$ 3,437.4 |
| Cost of sales | (2,446.0) | (2,311.6) | (2,194.5) |
| Gross margin | 1,537.4 | 1,437.6 | 1,242.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (1,138.3) | (1,056.7) | (980.4) |
| Other operating income, net | 161.4 | 126.5 | 110.0 |
| | | | |
| Operating income | 560.5 | 507.4 | 372.5 |
| Interest expense, net | (3.6) | (5.3) | (72.1) |
| • | | | |
| Income before income taxes | 556.9 | 502.1 | 300.4 |
| Income taxes | (197.0) | (177.7) | (100.0) |
| Net income | \$ 359.9 | \$ 324.4 | \$ 200.4 |

53

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the percentage of net sales represented by certain items included in the statements of consolidated income:

| (% to sales) | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sales | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of sales | (61.4) | (61.7) | (63.8) |
| Gross margin | 38.6 | 38.3 | 36.2 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (28.6) | (28.2) | (28.5) |
| Other operating income, net | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| | | | |
| Operating income | 14.1 | 13.5 | 10.8 |
| Interest expense, net | (0.1) | (0.1) | (2.1) |
| | | | |
| Income before income taxes | 14.0 | 13.4 | 8.7 |
| Income taxes | (5.0) | (4.7) | (2.9) |
| | | | |
| Net income | 9.0 | 8.7 | 5.8 |

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the underlying income before income taxes adjusted for the Make Whole Payment:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| (in millions) | | | |
| Operating income | \$ 560.5 | \$ 507.4 | \$ 372.5 |
| Interest expense, net | (3.6) | (5.3) | (72.1) |
| Add Make Whole Payment ⁽¹⁾ | | | 47.5 |
| · | | | |
| Underlying income before income taxes ⁽²⁾ | \$ 556.9 | \$ 502.1 | \$ 347.9 |

⁽¹⁾ In Fiscal 2011, a make whole payment of \$47.5 million was paid as a result of the prepayment of private placement notes outstanding.

COMPARISON OF FISCAL 2013 TO FISCAL 2012

Summary of Fiscal 2013

Total sales: up 6.2% to \$3,983.4 million

Same store sales: up 3.3%

Operating income: up 10.5% to \$560.5 million

⁽²⁾ Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

Operating margin: increased to 14.1%, up 60 basis points

Diluted earnings per share: up 16.6% to \$4.35

In Fiscal 2013, Signet s same store sales increased by 3.3%, compared to an increase of 9.0% in Fiscal 2012. Total sales were \$3,983.4 million compared to \$3,749.2 million in Fiscal 2012, up \$234.2 million or 6.2% compared to an increase of 9.1% in Fiscal 2012. eCommerce sales were \$129.8 million compared to \$92.3

54

million in Fiscal 2012, up \$37.5 million or 40.6%. The breakdown of the sales performance is set out in the table below.

| | | | Change fro | m previous year | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Non-same | | Total sales at | | | |
| Fiscal 2013 | Same store sales ⁽¹⁾ | store sales, net ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Impact of 53 rd week | constant exchange rate ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | Exchange translation impact ⁽³⁾ | Total sales as reported | Total sales (in millions) |
| US division | 4.0% | 2.5% | 1.4% | 7.9% | | 7.9% | \$ 3,273.9 |
| UK division | 0.3% | (2.3)% | 1.8% | (0.2)% | (0.6)% | (0.8)% | \$ 709.5 |
| Signet | 3.3% | 1.6% | 1.5% | 6.4% | (0.2)% | 6.2% | \$ 3,983.4 |

- (1) As Fiscal 2013 includes 53 weeks, sales in the last week of the fiscal year were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open or owned for 12 months, as well as the Ultra Acquisition, with sales of \$45.7 million.
- (3) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (4) The average US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate in Fiscal 2013 was \$1.59 (Fiscal 2012: \$1.60).

US sales

In Fiscal 2013, the US division s sales were \$3,273.9 million compared to \$3,034.1 million in Fiscal 2012, up \$239.8 million or 7.9%, and same store sales increased by 4.0% compared to an increase of 11.1% in Fiscal 2012. eCommerce sales were \$101.4 million compared to \$68.5 million in Fiscal 2012, up \$32.9 million or 48.0%. See the table below for analysis of sales growth.

| | Change from previous year Non-same | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Fiscal 2013 | Same store sales ⁽¹⁾ | store sales, net ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Impact of 53 rd week | Total sales as reported | Total sales (in millions) |
| Kay | 6.4% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 9.3% | \$ 1,953.3 |
| Jared | 1.6% | 1.7% | 1.5% | 4.8% | \$ 1,003.1 |
| Regional brands | (3.4)% | (3.9)% | 0.9% | (6.4)% | \$ 271.8 |
| US division, excluding Ultra | 4.0% | 1.0% | 1.4% | 6.4% | \$ 3,228.2 |
| Ultra ⁽³⁾ | % | 1.5% | % | 1.5% | \$ 45.7 |
| US division, including Ultra | 4.0% | 2.5% | 1.4% | 7.9% | \$ 3,273.9 |

- (1) As Fiscal 2013 includes 53 weeks, sales in the last week of the fiscal year were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open or owned for 12 months.
- (3) The change from previous year for Ultra is calculated as a percentage of total US sales.

| | Ave | rage Merchandise T | Merchandise Transactions Change | | | |
|-----|-------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Avera | ge Value | Change from previous year | | from previous year | |
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 |
| Kay | \$ 368 | \$ 357 | 3.1% | 0.3% | 5.5% | 12.1% |

| Jared | \$ 544 | \$ 552 | (1.4)% | 3.8% | 5.7% | 8.8% |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Regional brand | \$ 376 | \$ 371 | 1.3% | (0.3)% | (7.2)% | (4.1)% |
| US division excluding Ultra | \$ 410 | \$ 404 | 1.5% | 1 3% | 43% | 95% |

⁽¹⁾ Average merchandise transaction value is defined as net merchandise sales divided by the total number of customer transactions.

Sales increases in the US for Fiscal 2013 were driven by broad based strength across most merchandise categories in both Kay and Jared, as well as the Ultra Acquisition. The number of merchandise transactions increased in Kay and Jared. Average merchandise transaction values were up in Kay due to changes in sales mix and down in Jared due primarily to the loss of Rolex sales. Branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise

⁽²⁾ Net merchandise sales include all merchandise product sales, net of discounts and returns. In addition, excluded from net merchandise sales are sales tax in the US, repairs, warranty, insurance, employee and other miscellaneous sales.

increased its participation by 110 basis points to 27.4% of the US division s merchandise sales. This was driven by a variety of merchandise initiatives including Le Vian®, Neil Lane Bridal® and Neil Lane Designs TM, Tolkowsky® Diamond and Shades of Wonder TM. Continued growth in bridal was also experienced. Sales in other categories were primarily driven by colored diamonds and strong growth in watches, excluding the impact of the discontinuation of Rolex. Jared same store sales of 1.6% were adversely impacted by 3.5% due to the one-time Rolex clearance event in Fiscal 2012 and the discontinuation of that watch line.

UK sales

In Fiscal 2013, the UK division s sales were down by 0.8% to \$709.5 million compared to \$715.1 million in Fiscal 2012, and down 0.2% at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. Same store sales increased by 0.3% compared to an increase of 0.9% in Fiscal 2012. eCommerce sales were \$28.4 million compared to \$23.8 million in Fiscal 2012, up \$4.6 million or 19.3%. See the table below for further analysis of sales.

| Change from previous year | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | Same | Non-same store | Impact of | Total sales at constant | Exchange | Total | Total |
| Fiscal 2013 | store sales ⁽¹⁾ | sales, net ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 53 rd week | exchange rate ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | translation impact ⁽³⁾ | sales as reported | sales (in millions) |
| H.Samuel | 0.2% | (1.8)% | 1.7% | 0.1% | (0.6)% | (0.5)% | \$ 387.0 |
| Ernest Jones ⁽⁵⁾ | 0.3% | (2.7)% | 1.9% | (0.5)% | (0.6)% | (1.1)% | \$ 322.5 |
| UK division | 0.3% | (2.3)% | 1.8% | (0.2)% | (0.6)% | (0.8)% | \$ 709.5 |

- (1) As Fiscal 2013 includes 53 weeks, sales in the last week of the fiscal year were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open for 12 months.
- (3) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (4) The average US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate in Fiscal 2013 was \$1.59 (Fiscal 2012: \$1.60).
- (5) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

| | Ave | rage M | Merchandise Transactions | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | Average Value | | | Change fron | n previous year | Change from previous year | |
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fisc | al 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 |
| H.Samuel | £ 72 | £ | 70 | 2.9% | 6.6% | (2.6)% | (3.3)% |
| Ernest Jones ⁽³⁾ | £ 276 | £ | 271 | 1.8% | 12.1% | (2.2)% | (9.9)% |
| UK division | £ 109 | £ | 107 | 2.3% | 7.1% | (2.5)% | (4.5)% |

- (1) Average merchandise transaction value is defined as net merchandise sales divided by the total number of customer transactions.
- (2) Net merchandise sales include all merchandise product sales, including value added tax (VAT), net of discounts and returns. In addition, excluded from net merchandise sales are repairs, warranty, insurance, employee and other miscellaneous sales.
- (3) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

Sales performance in the UK was primarily attributed to lower traffic particularly in the fourth quarter. The UK experienced sales growth primarily in branded fashion, bridal jewelry and fashion watches, as well as prestige watches, exclusive of Rolex, which is being offered in fewer stores in the UK. Sales were unfavorable in nonbranded jewelry and beads. The economic environment remained challenging and customers purchased promotional merchandise, which reduced the effectiveness of price increases and gross margin. The continued store closing program and foreign currency fluctuations were also unfavorable to sales.

Fourth quarter sales

In the fourth quarter, Signet s same store sales were up 3.5%, compared to an increase of 6.9% in the prior year fourth quarter, and total sales increased by 11.8% to \$1,513.3 million compared to \$1,353.8 million in the prior

year fourth quarter, an increase of 6.6% in the prior year fourth quarter. eCommerce sales in the fourth quarter were \$63.9 million compared to \$43.5 million in the prior year fourth quarter, up \$20.4 million or 46.9%. The breakdown of the sales performance is set out in the table below.

| Essenth assertion of Electrical 2012 | Same store sales ⁽¹⁾ | Non-same store sales, net(1)(2) | Impact of 14 th | n previous year Total sales at constant exchange rate ⁽³⁾ | Exchange translation | Total sales | Total sales |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 | | | week | | impact ⁽³⁾ | as reported | (millions) |
| US division | 4.9% | 5.3% | 4.0% | 14.2% | | 14.2% | \$ 1,244.9 |
| UK division | (1.9)% | (3.6)% | 4.8% | (0.7)% | 2.5% | 1.8% | \$ 268.4 |
| Signet | 3.5% | 3.6% | 4.1% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 11.8% | \$ 1,513.3 |

- (1) As the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 includes 14 weeks, sales in the last week of the quarter were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open or owned for 12 months, as well as the Ultra Acquisition, with sales of \$45.7 million.
- (3) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

US sales

In the fourth quarter, the US division s sales were \$1,244.9 million compared to \$1,090.1 million in the prior year fourth quarter, up 14.2%, and same store sales increased 4.9% compared to an increase of 8.3% in the prior year fourth quarter. eCommerce sales for the fourth quarter were \$51.0 million compared to \$32.6 million in the prior year fourth quarter, up \$18.4 million or 56.4%. See the table below for further analysis of sales.

| | Change from previous year Non-same | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 | Same store sales ⁽¹⁾ | store sales, net ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Impact of 14 th week | Total sales as reported | Total sales (in millions) |
| Kay | 5.9% | 1.7% | 3.6% | 11.2% | \$ 744.9 |
| Jared | 5.5% | 2.1% | 4.5% | 12.1% | \$ 359.3 |
| Regional brands | (4.5)% | (3.0)% | 2.6% | (4.9)% | \$ 95.0 |
| US division, excluding Ultra | 4.9% | 1.3% | 3.8% | 10.0% | \$ 1,199.2 |
| Ultra ⁽³⁾ | % | 4.0% | 0.2% | 4.2% | \$ 45.7 |
| US division, including Ultra | 4.9% | 5.3% | 4.0% | 14.2% | \$ 1,244.9 |

- (1) As the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 includes 14 weeks, sales in the last week of the quarter were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open or owned for 12 months.
- (3) The change from previous year for Ultra is calculated as a percentage of total US sales.

| | Ave | rage Merchandi | Merchandise Transactions | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 | Average Value | | Change from previous year | | Change from previous year | |
| | | | | | Fiscal | Fiscal |
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Kay | \$ 337 | \$ 322 | 4.7% | 2.5% | 6.0% | 6.5% |

| Jared | \$ 505 | \$ 517 | (2.3)% | 8.2% | 14.0% | 1.4% |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| Regional brand | \$ 339 | \$ 335 | 1.2% | 3.4% | (6.7)% | (8.7)% |
| US division, excluding Ultra | \$ 375 | \$ 365 | 2.7% | 4.0% | 6.4% | 3.7% |

⁽¹⁾ Average merchandise transaction value is defined as net merchandise sales divided by the total number of customer transactions.

US sales increases in the fourth quarter were driven by broad based strength across most merchandise categories in both Kay and Jared, and the Ultra Acquisition. The number of merchandise transactions increased in Kay and Jared. Average merchandise transaction values were up in Kay due to changes in sales mix and down in Jared

⁽²⁾ Net merchandise sales include all merchandise product sales, net of discounts and returns. In addition, excluded from net merchandise sales are sales tax in the US, repairs, warranty, insurance, employee and other miscellaneous sales.

due primarily to the loss of Rolex sales. Jared same store sales of 5.5% were adversely impacted by 2.7% due to the discontinuation of the Rolex watch line, partially offset by strong growth in other watch brands.

UK sales

In the fourth quarter, the UK division s sales were up by 1.8% to \$268.4 million compared to \$263.7 million in the prior year fourth quarter and down 0.7% at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. Same store sales decreased 1.9% compared to an increase of 1.7% in the prior year fourth quarter. eCommerce sales for the fourth quarter were \$12.9 million compared to \$10.9 million in the prior year fourth quarter, up \$2.0 million or 18.3%. See table below for further analysis of sales.

| | | | Change from | n previous year | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 | Same store sales ⁽¹⁾ | Non-same store sales, net(1)(2) | Impact of 14 th week | Total sales at constant exchange rate ⁽³⁾ | Exchange translation impact ⁽³⁾ | Total sales | Total sales (in millions) |
| | | | | | • | as reported | ` ' |
| H.Samuel | (0.7)% | (3.2)% | 4.4% | 0.5% | 2.4% | 2.9% | \$ 154.1 |
| Ernest Jones ⁽⁴⁾ | (3.4)% | (4.2)% | 5.2% | (2.4)% | 2.8% | 0.4% | \$ 114.3 |
| UK division | (1.9)% | (3.6)% | 4.8% | (0.7)% | 2.5% | 1.8% | \$ 268.4 |

- (1) As the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 includes 14 weeks, sales in the last week of the quarter were not included.
- (2) Includes all sales from stores not open for 12 months.
- (3) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (4) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

| | Av | erage N | Merchandise Transactions | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|
| Fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013 | r of Fiscal 2013 Average Value | | Change from previous year | | Change from previous year | | |
| | | | | | | Fiscal | Fiscal |
| | Fiscal 2013 | Fisc | al 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| H.Samuel | £ 69 | £ | 69 | (0.1)% | 6.7% | (0.5)% | (3.9)% |
| Ernest Jones ⁽³⁾ | £ 234 | £ | 251 | (6.8)% | 13.4% | 2.5% | (9.0)% |
| UK division | £ 99 | £ | 101 | (2.4)% | 8.0% | 0.1% | (4.8)% |

- (1) Average merchandise transaction value is defined as net merchandise sales divided by the total number of customer transactions.
- (2) Net merchandise sales include all merchandise product sales, including VAT, net of discounts and returns. In addition, excluded from net merchandise sales are repairs, warranty, insurance, employee and other miscellaneous sales.
- (3) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

UK sales performance in the fourth quarter was primarily attributed to lower traffic and increased customer purchases of promotional merchandise which impacted sales and gross margin. The UK experienced sales growth primarily in branded fashion, bridal jewelry and fashion watches, as well as prestige watches, exclusive of Rolex, which is being offered in fewer stores in the UK. The reduction in the number of stores offering Rolex particularly impacted the average merchandise transaction value in Ernest Jones. Sales were unfavorable in nonbranded jewelry and beads. The continued store closing program and foreign currency fluctuations were also unfavorable to sales.

Cost of sales and gross margin

In Fiscal 2013, the gross margin was \$1,537.4 million or 38.6% of sales, an increase of 30 basis points compared to \$1,437.6 million or 38.3% of sales in Fiscal 2012. Gross margin dollars in the US increased by \$113.9 million compared to Fiscal 2012, reflecting increased sales and a gross margin rate increase of 60 basis points. This improvement was primarily a result of an increase in the gross merchandise margin rate of 80 basis points principally due to favorable changes in the merchandise sales mix and pricing. The US net bad debt expense to US

58

sales ratio was 3.7% compared to 3.4% in Fiscal 2012, reflecting the impact of a higher outstanding receivable balance credit portfolio. The performance of the credit portfolio remained strong. Leverage in store occupancy expenses also benefitted the gross margin rate. In the UK, gross margin dollars decreased \$14.1 million compared to Fiscal 2012, reflecting lower sales and a gross margin rate decrease of 170 basis points. This was primarily a result of a decrease in the gross merchandise margin rate of 120 basis points caused by customers preference for promotional merchandise in a challenging environment.

In the fourth quarter, the gross margin was \$637.1 million or 42.1% of sales, an increase of 50 basis points compared to \$563.2 million or 41.6% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter. Gross margin dollars in the US increased \$79.0 million compared to the prior year fourth quarter, reflecting increased sales and a gross margin rate increase of 120 basis points. This improvement was primarily a result of an increase in the gross merchandise margin rate of 140 basis points, principally due to favorable changes in the merchandise sales mix. The US net bad debt expense to US sales ratio was 3.3% compared to 3.0% in the prior year fourth quarter, which includes a \$2.0 million expense increase related to provisions for customers affected by Superstorm Sandy. In the UK, gross margin dollars decreased \$5.1 million compared to the prior year fourth quarter, reflecting a gross margin rate decline of 260 basis points. The decrease in rate was primarily a result of a decline in the gross merchandise margin rate of 60 basis points, caused by customers preference for promotional merchandise in the key holiday gift giving period and 200 basis points due to unfavorable leverage on the cost structure due to the impact of the 14th week and quarterly currency movement.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SGA)

Selling, general and administrative expenses for Fiscal 2013 were \$1,138.3 million compared to \$1,056.7 million in Fiscal 2012 and as a percentage of sales increased by 40 basis points to 28.6% of sales. The increase in SGA was primarily due to the 53rd week, which included advertising expense of \$12.4 million incurred ahead of the Fiscal 2014 Valentine s Day gift giving sales period and store and central costs of \$14.3 million. In addition, the Ultra Acquisition increased expense by \$13.4 million in the fourth quarter. Excluding the related sales and expenses of these factors, SGA as percentage of sales was 28.3% compared to 28.2% in Fiscal 2012.

In the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$410.9 million compared to \$348.8 million in the prior year fourth quarter up \$62.1 million and as a percentage of sales increased by 130 basis points to 27.1% of sales. The increase in SGA was driven by the 14th week and the Ultra Acquisition. Excluding the related sales and expenses of these factors, SGA as percentage of sales was 26.2% compared to 25.8% in Fiscal 2012.

Other operating income, net

In Fiscal 2013, other operating income was \$161.4 million or 4.1% of sales compared to \$126.5 million or 3.4% of sales in Fiscal 2012. This increase primarily reflected a change in the mix of finance programs selected by customers and interest income earned from higher outstanding receivable balances.

Other operating income in the fourth quarter was \$41.5 million or 2.7 % of sales compared to \$29.5 million or 2.2% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter. This increase was primarily due to the permanent adjustment in the credit cycle processing, a change in the mix of finance programs selected by customers and higher interest income earned from higher outstanding receivable balances.

Operating income

For Fiscal 2013, operating income was \$560.5 million or 14.1% of sales compared to \$507.4 million or 13.5% of sales in Fiscal 2012. The US division s operating income was \$547.8 million or 16.7% of sales compared to \$478.0 million or 15.8% of sales in Fiscal 2012. The operating income for the UK division was \$40.0 million or 5.6% of sales compared to \$56.1 million or 7.8% of sales in Fiscal 2012. Unallocated corporate administrative costs were \$27.3 million compared to \$26.7 million in Fiscal 2012.

59

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter, operating income was \$267.7 million or 17.7% of sales compared to \$243.9 million or 18.0% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter. The US division—s operating income was \$227.5 million or 18.3% of sales compared to \$191.0 million or 17.5% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter. The UK division—s operating income was \$48.8 million or 18.2% of sales compared to \$58.5 million or 22.2% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter. Unallocated corporate administrative costs were \$8.6 million compared to \$5.6 million in the prior year fourth quarter.

Interest expense, net

In Fiscal 2013, net interest expense was \$3.6 million compared to \$5.3 million in Fiscal 2012. The decrease in expense is due to a \$1.3 million write off of unamortized deferred financing fees related to the early termination of a revolving credit facility included in the prior year.

In the fourth quarter, net interest expense was \$1.1 million compared to \$1.5 million in the prior year fourth quarter.

Income before income taxes

For Fiscal 2013, income before income taxes was up 10.9% to \$556.9 million or 14.0% of sales compared to \$502.1 million or 13.4% of sales in Fiscal 2012.

For the fourth quarter, income before income taxes was up 10.0% to \$266.6 million or 17.6% of sales compared to \$242.4 million or 17.9% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter.

Income taxes

Income tax expense for Fiscal 2013 was \$197.0 million compared to \$177.7 million in Fiscal 2012, with an effective tax rate of 35.4% for Fiscal 2013, which is consistent with Fiscal 2012.

In the fourth quarter, income tax expense was \$94.8 million compared to \$85.8 million in the prior year fourth quarter. The fourth quarter effective tax rate was 35.6% compared to 35.4% in the prior year fourth quarter, due primarily to the increase in tax rate driven by the higher proportion of profits earned in the US, where the tax rate is higher.

Net income

Net income for Fiscal 2013 was up 10.9% to \$359.9 million or 9.0% of sales compared to \$324.4 million or 8.7% of sales in Fiscal 2012.

For the fourth quarter, net income was up 9.7% to \$171.8 million or 11.3% of sales compared to \$156.6 million or 11.6% of sales in the prior year fourth quarter.

Earnings per share

For Fiscal 2013, diluted earnings per share were \$4.35 compared to \$3.73 in Fiscal 2012, an increase of 16.6%. The weighted average diluted number of Common Shares outstanding was 82.8 million compared to 87.0 million in Fiscal 2012. Signet repurchased 6,425,296 shares in Fiscal 2013 compared to 256,241 shares in Fiscal 2012. The 53rd week decreased diluted earnings per share by approximately \$0.02 for both Fiscal 2013 and the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013.

For the fourth quarter, diluted earnings per share were \$2.12 compared to \$1.79 in the prior year fourth quarter, up 18.4%. The weighted average diluted number of Common Shares outstanding was 81.2 million compared to 87.3 million in the prior year fourth quarter. No shares were repurchased by Signet during the fourth quarter compared to 256,241 shares in the prior year fourth quarter.

60

Dividends per share

In Fiscal 2013, dividends of \$0.48 were approved by the Board of Directors compared to \$0.20 in Fiscal 2012.

COMPARISON OF FISCAL 2012 TO FISCAL 2011

Summary of Fiscal 2012

Same store sales: up 9.0%

Total sales: up 9.1% to \$3,749.2 million

Operating margin: increased to 13.5%, up 270 basis points

Operating income: up 36.2% to \$507.4 million

Income before income taxes: up 67.1% to \$502.1 million

Underlying net income before income taxes excluding Make Whole Payment in Fiscal 2011: up 44.3%⁽¹⁾

Diluted earnings per share: up 60.8% to \$3.73

Underlying diluted earnings per share excluding Make Whole Payment in Fiscal 2011: up 40.2%(1)

(1) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

Sales

In Fiscal 2012, Signet s same store sales increased by 9.0%, compared to an increase of 6.7% in Fiscal 2011. Total sales were \$3,749.2 million compared to \$3,437.4 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$311.8 million or 9.1% compared to an increase of 5.0% in Fiscal 2011. eCommerce sales were \$92.3 million compared to \$67.6 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$24.7 million or 36.5%. The breakdown of the sales performance is set out in the table below.

| | US | Fiscal 2012 UK | Signet |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Sales, million | \$ 3,034.1 | \$ 715.1 | \$ 3,749.2 |
| % of total | 80.9% | 19.1% | 100.0% |
| Change in sales | US % | UK % | Signet % |
| Same store sales | 11.1 | 0.9 | 9.0 |
| Change in store space, net | (0.5) | (1.0) | (0.6) |
| Total change in sales at constant exchange rates ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 10.6 | (0.1) | 8.4 |
| Exchange translation ⁽²⁾ | | 3.3 | (0.7) |
| Change in sales as reported | 10.6 | 3.2 | 9.1 |

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

⁽²⁾ The average US dollar to pound sterling exchange rate in Fiscal 2012 was \$1.60 (Fiscal 2011: \$1.55).

US sales

In Fiscal 2012, the US division s sales were \$3,034.1 million compared to \$2,744.2 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$289.9 million or 10.6%, and same store sales increased by 11.1% compared to an increase of 8.9% in Fiscal 2011. See the table below for analysis of sales growth.

| | | a . | Change from p | • |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Fiscal 2012 | Sales (millions) | Sales per store ⁽¹⁾ (millions) | Total sales | Same store sales |
| Kay | \$ 1,786.8 | \$ 1.899 | 12.2% | 11.8% |
| Jared | \$ 956.8 | \$ 5.157 | 12.8% | 12.1% |
| Regional brands | \$ 290.5 | \$ 1.288 | (4.1)% | 4.1% |
| US division | \$ 3,034.1 | | 10.6% | 11.1% |

(1) Based only on stores operated for the full year.

In Fiscal 2012, branded differentiated and exclusive products increased their share of the US division s sales. Sales in the US were driven by a variety of initiatives including Neil Lane Bridal®, Charmed Memories®, the Tolkowsky® Diamond, earrings and watches. Branded differentiated and exclusive merchandise increased their participation by about 400 basis points to about 26% of the US division s merchandise sales. In Fiscal 2012, sales increases in the US were driven by increased sales of higher priced merchandise due to compelling merchandising initiatives, price increases and increases in unit volume sales in both jewelry and charm bracelets. The increase in charm bracelets was a result of the rollout of Charmed Memories® to all Kay and Regional brand stores.

UK sales

In Fiscal 2012, the UK division s sales were up by 3.2% to \$715.1 million compared to \$693.2 million in Fiscal 2011, and down 0.1% at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. Same store sales increased by 0.9% compared to a decline of 1.4% in Fiscal 2011. See the table below for further analysis of sales.

| | | C | | | year |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fiscal 2012 | Sales (millions) | Sales per store ⁽¹⁾ (millions) | Total sales | constant exchange rates ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | Same store sales |
| H.Samuel | \$ 389.0 | £ 0.719 | 4.2% | 0.9% | 1.6% |
| Ernest Jones ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 326.1 | £ 1.026 | 2.1% | (1.1)% | 0.0% |
| UK division | \$ 715.1 | | 3.2% | (0.1)% | 0.9% |

- (1) Based only upon stores operated for the full fiscal year.
- (2) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (3) The exchange translation impact on the total sales of H.Samuel was 3.3%, and for Ernest Jones was 3.2%.
- (4) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

In the UK division, branded jewelry, fashion watches and the bridal category, including gold rings, were key drivers of the sales increase. In Fiscal 2012, sales in the UK were driven by increased sales of higher priced merchandise due to compelling merchandising initiatives and price increases, which were offset partially by lower unit sales volume.

62

Sales

In the fourth quarter, Signet s same store sales were up 6.9% compared to an increase of 8.1% in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2011, and total sales increased by 6.6% to \$1,353.8 million compared to \$1,270.5 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, an increase of 6.2% in the comparable period. The breakdown of the sales performance is set out in the table below.

| | F | ourth Quarter | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| | US | UK | Signet |
| Sales, million | \$ 1,090.1 | \$ 263.7 | \$ 1,353.8 |
| % of total | 80.5% | 19.5% | 100.0% |
| Change in sales | US % | UK % | Signet % |
| Same store sales | 8.3 | 1.7 | 6.9 |
| Change in store space, net | | (0.5) | (0.1) |
| Total change in sales at constant exchange rates ⁽¹⁾ | 8.3 | 1.2 | 6.8 |
| Exchange translation | | (1.1) | (0.2) |
| Change in sales as reported | 8.3 | 0.1 | 6.6 |

(1) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

US sales

In the fourth quarter, the US division s sales were \$1,090.1 million compared to \$1,007.0 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, up 8.3%, and same store sales increased 8.3% compared to an increase of 11.4% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011. See the table below for further analysis of sales.

| | | Change from p | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | Sales | Total | Same store | |
| Fourth quarter Fiscal 2012 | (millions) | sales | sales | |
| Kay | \$ 669.6 | 10.0% | 9.1% | |
| Jared | \$ 320.6 | 9.7% | 8.5% | |
| Regional brands | \$ 99.9 | (5.8)% | 2.3% | |
| | | | | |
| US division | \$ 1,090.1 | 8.3% | 8.3% | |

UK sales

In the fourth quarter, the UK division s sales were up by 0.1% to \$263.7 million compared to \$263.5 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011. Same store sales increased 1.7% compared to a decrease of 2.9% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011. See table below for further analysis of sales.

| | | Cha | nge from previou | s year |
|----------------------------|------------|-------|------------------|--------|
| Fourth quarter Fiscal 2012 | Sales | Total | Sales at | Same |
| | (millions) | sales | constant | store |

| | | | exchange rates ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | sales |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| H.Samuel | \$ 149.8 | 0.1% | 0.8% | 1.1% |
| Ernest Jones ⁽³⁾ | \$ 113.9 | 0.1% | 1.7% | 2.4% |
| UK division | \$ 263.7 | 0.1% | 1.2% | 1.7% |

- (1) Non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.
- (2) The exchange translation impact on the total sales of H.Samuel was (0.7)%, and for Ernest Jones was (1.6)%.
- (3) Includes stores selling under the Leslie Davis nameplate.

Gross margin

In Fiscal 2012, the gross margin was \$1,437.6 million compared to \$1,242.9 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$194.7 million or 15.7%. The gross margin rate increased by 210 basis points to 38.3% compared to 36.2% in Fiscal 2011, benefiting primarily from leverage of store occupancy costs in the US and UK divisions, an improved US net bad debt to US sales ratio of 3.4% compared to 4.2% in Fiscal 2011, and improvement in inventory related costs. These movements offset a slight decline in gross merchandise margin of 10 basis points compared to Fiscal 2011, with the US up by 10 basis points and the UK down by 60 basis points. Price increases largely offset the impact of higher commodity costs and an increase in the UK value added tax rate and minimized the impact to the gross merchandise margin.

In the fourth quarter, the gross margin was \$563.2 million compared to \$518.5 in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, up \$44.7 million or 8.6%. The gross margin rate increased by 80 basis points to 41.6% compared to 40.8% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, benefiting primarily from leverage of store occupancy costs in the US and UK divisions, an improved US net bad debt to US sales ratio of 3.0%, down from 3.8%, and improvement in inventory related costs. These movements offset a decline in gross merchandise margin of 70 basis points compared to Fiscal 2011, with the US down 60 basis points and the UK down 90 basis points. The impact of higher commodity costs, an increase in the UK value added tax rate and promotional activity in the UK were largely offset by price increases.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for Fiscal 2012 were \$1,056.7 million compared to \$980.4 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$76.3 million or 7.8%, and as a percentage of sales improved by 30 basis points to 28.2% compared to 28.5% in Fiscal 2011. The increase of \$76.3 million was primarily driven by increased net advertising of \$30.1 million; \$26.9 million as a result of an increase in store staff costs, which flexed with sales; higher 401(k) contributions of \$6.5 million; \$6.2 million attributable to foreign currency fluctuations; and the balance primarily relating to an increased investment in information technology and credit infrastructure. Corporate administrative costs were \$26.7 million compared to \$27.2 million in Fiscal 2011.

In the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$348.8 million compared to \$336.7 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, up 3.6%, and as a percentage of sales improved by 70 basis points to 25.8%. The increase of \$12.1 million was primarily driven by increased net advertising of \$16.2 million; \$4.1 million as a result of increase in store staff costs, which flexed with sales; and higher 401(k) contributions of \$2.3 million. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.2 million favorable foreign currency fluctuation, a favorable movement in corporate administrative costs of \$7.1 million primarily related to management transition costs incurred in the fourth quarter Fiscal 2011 and \$2.2 million of other savings. Corporate administrative costs were \$5.6 million compared to \$12.7 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011.

Other operating income, net

In Fiscal 2012, other operating income was \$126.5 million compared to \$110.0 million in Fiscal 2011, up 15.0%. This primarily reflected increased interest income earned from higher outstanding receivable balances.

Other operating income in the fourth quarter was \$29.5 million compared to \$28.7 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, up 2.8%. This primarily reflected increased interest income earned from higher outstanding receivable balances.

Operating income

For Fiscal 2012, net operating income increased to \$507.4 million compared to \$372.5 million in Fiscal 2011, up \$134.9 million or 36.2%. The US division s net operating income was \$478.0 million compared to \$342.7 million in

64

Fiscal 2011, up \$135.3 million or 39.5%. Net operating income for the UK division was \$56.1 million compared to \$57.0 million in Fiscal 2011, a decrease of 4.8% at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. Operating margin was 13.5% compared to 10.8% in Fiscal 2011, up 270 basis points. The US division s operating margin was 15.8% compared to 12.5% in Fiscal 2011, up 330 basis points, and that of the UK division declined by 40 basis points to 7.8% compared to 8.2% in Fiscal 2011.

In the fourth quarter, net operating income increased by 15.9% to \$243.9 million compared to \$210.5 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, and the operating margin was 18.0% compared to 16.6% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011. The US division s net operating income increased by 13.8% to \$191.0 million compared to \$167.9 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, and the operating margin was 17.5% compared to 16.7% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011. The UK division s net operating income increased by 5.8% to \$58.5 million compared to \$55.3 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, an increase of 2.5% at constant exchange rates; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. The UK division s operating margin was 22.2% compared to 21.0% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011.

Interest expense, net

In Fiscal 2012, interest expense, net was \$5.3 million compared to \$72.1 million, including \$47.5 million Make Whole Payment in Fiscal 2011, the decrease reflecting the non-recurring Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011 and the resulting benefit of eliminating the interest expense arising from the private placement notes.

In the fourth quarter, interest expense, net was \$1.5 million compared to \$50.9 million including the Make Whole Payment in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011.

Income before income taxes

For Fiscal 2012, income before income taxes was up 67.1% to \$502.1 million compared to \$300.4 million in Fiscal 2011, and up 44.3% excluding the Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

For the fourth quarter, income before income taxes was up 51.9% to \$242.4 million compared to \$159.6 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, and up 17.0% excluding the Make Whole Payment in Fiscal 2011; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

Income taxes

The charge to income taxes for Fiscal 2012 was \$177.7 million compared to \$100.0 million in Fiscal 2011, an effective tax rate of 35.4% compared to 33.3% in Fiscal 2011; the increase in tax rate primarily reflecting a higher proportion of profits earned in the US, where the tax rate is higher.

The charge to income taxes in the fourth quarter was \$85.8 million compared to \$54.2 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, an effective tax rate of 35.4% compared to 34.0% in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011; the increase in tax rate primarily reflecting a higher proportion of profits earned in the US, where the tax rate is higher.

Net income

Net income for Fiscal 2012 was up 61.9% to \$324.4 million compared to \$200.4 million in Fiscal 2011, and up 41.1% excluding the Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

For the fourth quarter, net income was up 48.6% to \$156.6 million compared to \$105.4 million in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, and up 16.1% excluding the Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

Earnings per share

For Fiscal 2012, diluted earnings per share were \$3.73 compared to \$2.32 in Fiscal 2011, an increase of 60.8%. Excluding the Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011, diluted earnings per share was up 40.2%; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

In the fourth quarter, diluted earnings per share were \$1.79 compared to \$1.21 in the 13 weeks ended January 29, 2011, up 47.9%. Excluding the Make Whole Payment made in Fiscal 2011, diluted earnings per share was up 15.5%; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6.

Dividends per share

In Fiscal 2012, dividends of \$0.20 were approved by the Board of Directors compared to no dividends in Fiscal 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Summary cash flow

The following table provides a summary of Signet s cash flow activity for Fiscal 2013, Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011:

| | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| (in millions) | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 312.7 | \$ 325.2 | \$ 323.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (190.9) | (97.8) | (55.6) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (308.1) | (40.0) | (282.3) |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (186.3) | 187.4 | (14.8) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 486.8 | 302.1 | 316.2 |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (186.3) | 187.4 | (14.8) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (0.5) | (2.7) | 0.7 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 301.0 | \$ 486.8 | \$ 302.1 |

OVERVIEW

Management s objective is to maintain a strong balance sheet, as it regards financial flexibility as a competitive strength.

Operating activities provide the primary source of cash and are influenced by a number of factors, such as:

net income, which is primarily influenced by sales and operating income margins;

changes in the level of inventory;

proportion of US sales made using in-house customer financing programs and the average monthly collection rate of the credit balances;

seasonal pattern of sales; and

working capital movements associated with changes in store space. Other sources of cash would be borrowings or the issuance of Common Shares for cash.

66

Net cash provided by operating activities

As a retail business, Signet receives cash when it makes a sale to a customer or when the payment has been processed by the relevant bank if the payment is made by credit or debit card. In the US division, if the customer makes use of financing provided by Signet, the cash is received over a period of time. In Fiscal 2013, 56.9% of the US division s sales were made using customer financing provided by Signet, as compared to 56.1% in Fiscal 2012. The average monthly collection rate from the US customer in-house finance receivables was 12.4% as compared to 12.7% in Fiscal 2012.

Signet typically pays for merchandise about 30 days after receipt. Due to the nature of specialty retail jewelry, it is usual for inventory to be held on average for approximately 12 months before it is sold. In addition, Signet holds consignment inventory, nearly all of which is in the US, which at February 2, 2013 amounted to \$227.7 million as compared to \$141.0 million at January 28, 2012, with the increase largely due to \$57.9 million of consignment inventory held by Ultra. The principal terms of the consignment agreements, which can generally be terminated by either party, are such that Signet can return any or all of the inventory to the relevant supplier without financial or commercial penalties. When Signet sells consignment inventory, it becomes liable to the supplier for the cost of the item. The sale of any such inventory is accounted for on a gross basis (see principal accounting policies, Item 8).

Signet s largest class of operating expense relates to store and central payroll and benefits. These are typically paid on a weekly, biweekly or monthly basis, with annual bonus payments also being made. Operating lease payments in respect of stores occupied are normally paid on a monthly basis by the US division and on a quarterly basis by the UK division. Payment for advertising on television, radio or in newspapers is usually made between 30 and 60 days after the advertisement appears. Other expenses, none of which are material, have various payment terms.

Signet s working capital requirements fluctuate during the year as a result of the seasonal nature of sales, and movements in the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate. The working capital needs of the business normally decline from January to August, as inventory and accounts receivable decrease from seasonal peaks. As inventory is purchased for the fourth quarter, there is a working capital outflow which reaches its highest levels in mid- to late- November. The peak level of working capital is typically \$100 million to \$150 million above the typical January to August level, and can be accentuated by new store openings. The working capital position then reverses over the Holiday Season.

The change in inventory is primarily driven by the sales performance of the existing stores, the net change in store space and the seasonal pattern of sales. Changes in the sourcing practices, commodity costs, and merchandise mix of the business can also result in changes in inventory. The value of inventory in the UK division is also impacted by movements in the pound sterling to US dollar exchange rate. The change in US customer in-house finance receivables proportionately reflect changes in sales if credit participation levels remain the same and receivable collection rates were unaltered. Changes in credit participation and the collection rate also impact the level of receivables. Movements in deferred revenue reflect the level of US sales and the attachment rate of service plan sales. Therefore if sales increase, working capital would be expected to increase. Similarly, a decrease in sales would be expected to result in a reduction in working capital.

Investment in new space requires significant investment in working capital, as well as fixed capital investment, due to the inventory turn, and the additional investment required to fund sales in the US utilizing in-house customer finance. Of the total investment required to open a new store in the US, between 50% and 60% is typically accounted for by working capital. New stores are usually opened in the third quarter or early in the fourth quarter of a fiscal year. A reduction in the number of store openings results in the difference between the level of funding required in the first half of a fiscal year and the peak level being lower, while an increase in the number of store openings would have the opposite impact. In addition, the integration and conversion of Ultra stores to Kay Jewelers Outlets will require investments in working and fixed capital.

67

Table of Contents

In Fiscal 2013, net cash provided by operating activities was \$312.7 million as compared to \$325.2 million in Fiscal 2012, a decrease of \$12.5 million. Net income increased by \$35.5 million to \$359.9 million as compared to \$324.4 million in Fiscal 2012, with depreciation and amortization increasing by \$7.0 million to \$99.4 million as compared to \$92.4 million in Fiscal 2012.

In Fiscal 2013, accounts receivable increased by \$117.1 million as compared to an increase of \$152.5 million in Fiscal 2012, reflecting increased sales and a higher rate of in-house customer financing. Inventory levels were up by \$65.7 million as compared to an increase of \$115.2 million in Fiscal 2012, while sales increased by 6.2%. This reflected the impact of higher diamond and gold costs and strategic investments partly offset by management action to improve the inventory turn. Accounts payable decreased by \$39.6 million in Fiscal 2013 as compared to an increase of \$57.2 million in Fiscal 2012 related to accelerated strategic inventory purchases that occurred in Fiscal 2012. Other movements in operating assets and liabilities in Fiscal 2013 primarily reflect increased income taxes payable reflecting Signet s performance.

In the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013, due to the seasonal sales pattern, accounts receivable increased by \$207.4 million as compared to an increase of \$197.2 million in the prior year fourth quarter and inventory decreased by \$142.1 million as compared to a decrease of \$96.3 million in the prior year fourth quarter.

Investing activities

Investment activities primarily reflect the purchases of property and equipment related to the:

rate of space expansion in the US;

investment in existing stores, reflecting the level of investment in sales-enhancing technology, and the number of store refurbishments and relocations carried out;

investment in divisional head offices and systems, which include the US and UK distribution facilities; and

purchases of intangible assets, primarily of information technology software.

When evaluating new store investment, management uses an investment hurdle rate of a 20% internal rate of return on a pre-tax basis over a five year period, assuming the release of working capital at the end of the five years. Capital expenditure accounts for about 45% of the investment in a new store in the US division. The balance is accounted for by investment in inventory and the funding of customer financing. Signet typically carries out a major refurbishment of its stores every ten years but does have some discretion as to the timing of such expenditure. A major store refurbishment is evaluated using the same investment procedures as for a new store. Minor store redecorations are typically carried out every five years. In addition to major store refurbishments, Signet carries out minor store refurbishments where stores are profitable but do not satisfy the investment hurdle rate required for a full refurbishment; this is usually associated with a short term lease renewal. Where possible, the investment appraisal approach is also used to evaluate other investment opportunities.

68

In Fiscal 2013, net cash used in investing activities was \$190.9 million as compared to \$97.8 million in Fiscal 2012, as a result of increased capital investment in the existing businesses, and the \$56.7 million Ultra Acquisition. In the US division, capital additions in Fiscal 2013 and Fiscal 2012, in contrast to Fiscal 2011, were more than depreciation and amortization, while in the the UK division, they remained lower, see table below.

| (in millions) | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Capital additions in US | \$ 111.1 | \$ 75.6 | \$ 44.5 |
| Capital additions in UK | 23.1 | 22.2 | 13.0 |
| Total purchases of property and equipment | \$ 134.2 | \$ 97.8 | \$ 57.5 |
| Ratio of capital additions to depreciation and amortization in US | 146.4% | 109.6% | 61.4% |
| Ratio of capital additions to depreciation and amortization in UK | 98.3% | 94.9% | 51.4% |
| Ratio of capital additions to depreciation and amortization for Signet | 135.0% | 105.8% | 58.8% |

Free cash flow

Free cash flow is net cash provided by operating activities less purchases of property and equipment, net; non-GAAP measure, see Item 6. Free cash flow in Fiscal 2013 was \$178.5 million as compared to \$227.4 million and \$315.0 million in Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011, respectively, and in line with the Fiscal 2013 objective of \$150 million to \$200 million. The reduction in free cash flow in Fiscal 2013 compared to Fiscal 2012 is primarily due to the increased investment in accounts receivable, inventory and capital additions more than offsetting the increase in net income.

Financing activities

The major items within financing activities are discussed below:

Dividends

Equity dividends of \$39.5 million were approved for Fiscal 2013 as compared to \$17.4 million for Fiscal 2012. For the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2013, a cash dividend of \$0.12 per share on Signet s Common Shares was approved on January 7, 2013 for payment on February 27, 2013 to shareholders of record on January 28, 2013. As a result, \$9.8 million has been recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities reflecting this dividend, which is not presented in the consolidated statement of cash flows as it is a non-cash transaction in Fiscal 2013. As of January 28, 2012, \$8.7 million was recorded in accrued expenses related to the fourth quarter Fiscal 2012 dividend that was paid on February 27, 2012. For the first, second and third quarters of Fiscal 2013, a cash dividend of \$0.12 per share on Signet s Common Shares was paid on May 29, 2012, August 28, 2012 and November 26, 2012, respectively.

Restrictions on dividend payments

Signet s current \$400 million senior unsecured multi-currency five year revolving credit facility agreement permits the making of dividend payments and stock repurchases so long as the Parent Company (i) is not in default under the agreement, or (ii) if in default at the time of making such dividend repayment or stock repurchase, has no loans outstanding under the agreement or more than \$10 million in letters of credit issued under the agreement.

Under Bermuda law, a company may not declare or pay dividends if there are reasonable grounds for believing that the company is, or would after the payment be, unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or that the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than its liabilities.

Share repurchase

The Company s share repurchase activity was as follows:

| | Fourth | Quarter | Year To Date | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|--------------|----------|
| | Fiscal | Fiscal | Fiscal | Fiscal |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cost of repurchases (in millions) | \$ | \$ 12.7 | \$ 287.2 | \$ 12.7 |
| Shares repurchased (in thousands) | | 256.2 | 6,425.3 | 256.2 |
| Average cost per share | \$ | \$ 49.57 | \$ 44.70 | \$ 49.57 |

At February 2, 2013, \$50.1 million remained available for future repurchases under the Board authorized \$350 million repurchase program, which is set to expire in January 2014. Since the repurchase program became effective on January 16, 2012, Signet has repurchased 6,681,537 shares for an aggregate cost of \$299.9 million, resulting in an average cost per share of \$44.88.

Proceeds from issues of Common Shares

In Fiscal 2013, \$21.6 million was received from the issuance of Common Shares as compared to \$10.6 million in Fiscal 2012. Other than equity based compensation awards granted to employees, Signet has not issued Common Shares as a financing activity for more than ten years.

Movement in cash and indebtedness

At February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, Signet had no long-term debt or loans and overdrafts. As of February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, Signet maintained bank balances with the right to offset issued and outstanding checks. Cash and cash equivalents were \$301.0 million at February 2, 2013 as compared to \$486.8 million at January 28, 2012.

In Fiscal 2013 and Fiscal 2012, Signet had no long-term borrowings and did not use its \$400 million revolving credit facility, except for the issuance of letters of credit. The peak level of cash and cash equivalents was about \$570 million in Fiscal 2013 as compared to about \$590 million in Fiscal 2012.

Capital availability

Signet s level of borrowings and cash balances fluctuates during the year reflecting its cash flow performance, which depends on the factors described above. Management believes that cash balances and the committed borrowing facilities (described more fully below) currently available to the business, are sufficient for both its present and near term requirements. The following table provides a summary of Signet s cash flow activity for Fiscal 2013, Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011:

| | February 2, 2013 | January 28, 2012 | January 29, 2011 |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| (in millions) | | | |
| Working capital | \$ 2,164.2 | \$ 2,158.3 | \$ 1,831.3 |
| Capitalization: | | | |
| Long-term debt | | | |
| Shareholder s equity | 2,329.9 | 2,279.1 | 1,939.0 |
| | | | |
| Total capitalization | \$ 2,329.9 | \$ 2,279.1 | \$ 1,939.0 |
| | | | |
| Additional amounts available under credit agreements | \$ 400.0 | \$ 400.0 | \$ 300.0 |

In addition to cash generated from operating activities, during Fiscal 2013 and Fiscal 2012, Signet also had funds available from the credit facilities described below.

70

Table of Contents

In May 2011, Signet entered into a \$400 million senior unsecured multi-currency five year revolving credit facility agreement (the Agreement). The Agreement replaced Signet s prior credit facility, which was due to expire in June 2013, and contains an expansion option that, with the consent of the lenders or the addition of new lenders, and subject to certain conditions, availability under the Agreement may be increased by an additional \$200 million at the request of Signet. The Agreement has a five year term and matures in May 2016, at which time all amounts outstanding under it will be due and payable. The Agreement also contains various customary representations and warranties, financial reporting requirements and other affirmative and negative covenants. The Agreement requires that Signet maintain at all times a Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Agreement) to be no greater than 2.50 to 1.00 and a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (as defined in the Agreement) to be no less than 1.40 to 1.00, both determined as of the end of each fiscal quarter of Signet for the trailing twelve months.

As a result of the early termination of the previous credit facility, Signet incurred a write-off of \$1.3 million of unamortized deferred financing fees during the second quarter of Fiscal 2012. There were no loans outstanding under this facility at termination.

As of February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, there were no amounts outstanding under the Agreement, with no intra-period borrowings during Fiscal 2013 or Fiscal 2012 under the Agreement. Signet had stand-by letters of credit under the Agreement of \$9.5 million and \$8.2 million as of February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, respectively.

Other borrowing agreements

Signet has, from time to time, various uncommitted borrowing facilities that it may use. Such facilities, if used, are primarily for short term cash management purposes, including outstanding checks. At February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, Signet had no such borrowings.

Cash balances

Signet has significant amounts of cash and cash equivalents invested in various AAA rated liquidity funds and at a number of financial institutions. The amount invested in each liquidity fund or at each financial institution takes into account the credit rating and size of the liquidity fund or financial institution and are invested in short-term durations. As a result of its cash balances, Signet did not have intra-quarter borrowings in Fiscal 2013.

Credit rating

Signet does not have a public credit rating.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Merchandise held on consignment

Signet held \$227.7 million of consignment inventory (including \$57.9 million of consignment inventory held by Ultra) which is not recorded on the balance sheet at February 2, 2013, as compared to \$141.0 million at January 28, 2012. The principal terms of the consignment agreements, which can generally be terminated by either party, are such that Signet can return any, or all of, the inventory to the relevant supplier without financial or commercial penalty.

Contingent property liabilities

At February 2, 2013, approximately 60 UK property leases had been assigned by Signet to third parties (and remained unexpired and occupied by assignees at that date) and approximately 22 additional properties were sub-let at that date. Should the assignees or sub-tenants fail to fulfill any obligations in respect of those leases or

71

any other leases which have at any other time been assigned or sub-let, Signet or one of its UK subsidiaries may be liable for those defaults. The number of such claims arising to date has been small, and the liability, which is charged to the income statement as it arises, has not been material.

Contractual obligations

A summary of operating lease obligations is set out below. These primarily relate to minimum payments due under store lease arrangements. The majority of the store operating leases provide for the payment of base rentals plus real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance fees and merchant association dues. Additional information regarding Signet s operating leases is available in Item 2, and Note 22, included in Item 8.

Long-term debt obligations comprise borrowings with an original maturity of greater than one year. It is expected that operating commitments will be funded from future operating cash flows and no additional facilities will be required to meet these obligations.

Contractual obligations as of February 2, 2013

| (in millions) | Less than one year | Between one and three years | Between three and five years | More than five years | Total |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Operating lease obligations ⁽¹⁾ | 295.8 | 499.5 | 382.8 | 879.0 | 2,057.1 |
| Capital commitments | 33.6 | | | | 33.6 |
| Pensions ⁽²⁾ | 5.2 | 1.8 | | | 7.0 |
| Commitment fee payments | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.3 | | 2.7 |
| Deferred compensation plan | 0.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 14.2 | 19.5 |
| Current income tax | 97.1 | | | | 97.1 |
| Total | \$ 432.9 | \$ 504.8 | \$ 386.1 | \$ 893.2 | \$ 2,217.0 |

Not included in the table above are obligations under employment agreements and ordinary course purchase orders for merchandise.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

The impact of inflation on Signet s results for the past three years has not been significant apart from the impact of the increased commodity costs, and in the UK, the impact on merchandise costs due to the weakness of the pound sterling against the US dollar.

IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Signet recognizes that climate change is a major risk to society and therefore continues to take steps to reduce Signet s climatic impact. Management believes that climate change has a largely indirect influence on Signet s performance and that it is of limited significance to the business.

⁽¹⁾ Operating lease obligations relate to minimum payments due under store lease arrangements. Most store operating leases require payment of real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance fees. Real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance fees were approximately 35% of base rentals for Fiscal 2013. These are not included in the table above. Some operating leases also require additional payments based on a percentage of sales.

⁽²⁾ Future pension obligations have significantly decreased in Fiscal 2013 based upon the most recent triannual actuarial valuation and revised deficit recovery plan.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies covering areas of greater complexity or those particularly subject to the exercise of judgment are listed below. There are no material off-balance sheet structures. The principal accounting policies are set out in the financial statements in Item 8.

Deferred revenue recognition and returns

Revenue from the sale of extended service plans is deferred and recognized over a 14 year period with approximately 46% recognized within the first two years, representing the anticipated period of claims arising under the plans. Signet reviews the patterns of claims, including estimates of future claims costs expected to be incurred, to determine the appropriate deferral period for revenue recognition. All direct costs associated with the sale of these plans are deferred and amortized in proportion to the revenue recognized. Management reviews the trends in current and estimated future claims to assess whether changes are required to the revenue and cost recognition rates used.

In connection with certain promotions, Signet gives customers making a purchase a voucher granting the customers a discount on a future purchase, redeemable within a stated time frame. Signet accounts for such vouchers by allocating the fair value of the voucher between the initial purchase and the future purchase.

The fair value of the voucher is determined based on the average sales transactions in which the vouchers were issued and the estimated average sales transactions when the vouchers are expected to be redeemed, combined with the estimated voucher redemption rate. The fair value allocated to the future purchase is recorded as deferred revenue.

Deferred revenue related to extended service plans, voucher promotions and other items at the end of Fiscal 2013 was \$568.6 million as compared to \$528.1 million in Fiscal 2012.

Provision is made for future returns expected within the stated return period, based on previous percentage return rates experienced.

Depreciation and impairment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation, amortization and impairment losses. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets as follows:

| Buildings | 30 to 40 years when land is owned or remaining term of lease, not to exceed 30 to 40 years |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Leasehold improvements | Remaining term of lease, not to exceed 10 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | Ranging from 3 10 years |
| Equipment, including software | Ranging from 3 5 years |

In the UK, there are circumstances where refurbishments are carried out close to the end of the lease term, such that the expected life of the newly installed leasehold improvements will exceed the lease term. Where the renewal of the lease is reasonably assured, such storefronts, fixtures and fittings are depreciated over a period equal to the lesser of their economic useful life, or the remaining lease term plus the period of reasonably assured renewal. Reasonable assurance is gained through evaluation of the right to enter into a new lease, the performance of the store and potential availability of alternative sites.

Where appropriate, impairments are recorded for the amount by which the assets have a fair value less than net book value. Management has identified potentially impaired assets considering the cash flows of individual

Table of Contents

stores where trading since the initial opening of the store has reached a mature stage. Where such stores deliver negative cash flows, the related store assets have been considered for impairment by reference to estimated undiscounted future cash flows for these stores. In Fiscal 2013, the income statement includes a charge of \$2.6 million for impairment of assets as compared to \$1.4 million in Fiscal 2012.

Taxation

Accruals for income tax contingencies require management to make judgments and estimates in relation to tax audit issues and exposures. Amounts reserved are based on management s interpretation of jurisdiction-specific tax law and the likelihood of settlement. Tax benefits are not recognized unless the tax positions are more likely than not to be sustained. Once recognized, management reviews each material tax benefit taking account of potential settlement through negotiation and/or litigation. Any recorded exposure to interest and penalties on tax liabilities is included in the income tax charge.

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for uncollectible balances. This allowance is based on Signet s past experience and the payment history of customers, which reflect the prevailing economic environment. The allowance at February 2, 2013 was \$88.3 million against a gross accounts receivable balance of \$1,293.6 million. This compares to an allowance of \$78.6 million against a gross accounts receivable balance of \$1,166.8 million at January 28, 2012. Management regularly reviews its receivable balances and when it assesses that a balance is not recoverable, it is fully provided for.

Interest earned from the US customer in-house finance program is classified as other operating income.

Inventory valuation

Inventory is valued on an average cost basis and includes appropriate overheads. Overheads allocated to inventory cost are only those directly related to bringing inventory to its present location and condition. These include relevant warehousing, distribution and certain buying, security and data processing costs.

Where necessary, inventory is written down for obsolete, slow-moving and damaged items. This write down represents the difference between the cost of the inventory and its estimated market value, based upon inventory turn rates, market conditions and trends in customer demand.

In the US, reserves for physical inventory losses are estimated and recorded throughout the year as a percentage of net sales based on historical physical inventory results, expectations of future inventory losses and current inventory levels. A physical inventory is performed at the mid-year and fiscal year end for which the physical inventory reserves are adjusted for actual results. In the UK, inventory losses are recorded on a store by store basis based on historical cycle count results, expectations of future inventory losses and current inventory levels. These estimates are based on the overall divisional inventory loss experience since the last inventory count.

The total inventory reserve at February 2, 2013 was \$23.4 million as compared to \$29.3 million at January 28, 2012. Total net inventory at February 2, 2013 was \$1,397.0 million, an increase of \$92.9 million from January 28, 2012.

Hedge accounting

Derivative financial instruments are measured at fair value and are recognized as assets or liabilities on the balance sheet with changes in the fair value of the derivatives being recognized immediately in the income statement or accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, depending on the timing and designated purpose of the derivative.

Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments that are designated and effective as hedges of future cash flows are recognized directly in equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting, together with any hedge ineffectiveness, are recognized immediately in other operating income, net. For the effective portion of cash flow hedges, amounts previously recognized in equity are recognized in the income statement in the same period and on the same line in which the hedged item affects net income or loss.

UK retirement benefits

The expected liabilities of Signet's defined benefit pension plan (the UK Plan) are calculated based primarily on assumptions regarding salary and pension increases, inflation rates, discount rates, projected life expectancy and the long-term rate of return expected on the UK Plan's assets. A full actuarial valuation was completed as of April 5, 2012 and the UK Plan valuation is updated at each year end. The discount rate assumption of 4.5% applied for Fiscal 2013 is based on the yield at the balance sheet date of long term AA rated corporate bonds of equivalent currency and term to the UK Plan's liabilities. A 0.1% increase in this discount rate would have decreased the net periodic benefit cost of \$3.2 million in Fiscal 2013 by \$0.3 million. The value of the assets of the UK Plan is measured as of the balance sheet date, which is particularly dependent on the value of equity investments held at that date. The overall impact on the consolidated balance sheet is significantly mitigated as the members of the UK Plan are only in the UK and account for about 7% of UK employees. The UK Plan ceased to admit new employees as of April 2004. In addition, if net accumulated actuarial gains and losses exceed 10% of the greater of plan assets or plan liabilities, Signet amortizes those gains or losses that exceed this 10% over the average remaining service period of the employees. The funded status of the UK Plan at February 2, 2013 was a \$48.5 million asset as compared to a \$31.5 million asset at January 28, 2012.

Accounting changes and recent accounting standards

For a description of accounting changes and recent accounting standards, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects, if any, on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 1 (x), Principal accounting policies Recently issued accounting pronouncements, in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Signet is exposed to market risk arising from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, certain commodity prices and interest rates.

Signet monitors and manages these market exposures as a fundamental part of its overall risk management program, which recognizes the volatility of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects of this volatility on Signet s operating results.

MARKET RISK MA