

Edgar Filing: RADICA GAMES LTD - Form 6-K

The following is intended to update our risk factors as disclosed in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 20-F and as previously updated in our Form 6-K report furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission as of June 8, 2005. The first two and the last paragraphs under the following caption repeat verbatim the disclosure in our June 8, 2005 Form 6-K. The third, fourth and fifth paragraphs provide an update to that disclosure.

RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of our risk factors, see "Item 3. Key Information - Risk Factors" and "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" in our 2004 Form 20-F.

As reported in our 2004 annual report on Form 20-F, in March 2005 we received a letter from a third party (AtGames Holdings Ltd., or AtGames) challenging the exclusivity of our manufacturing, vendor and distributor agreement with Sega Toys, which was represented to give us exclusive rights to the Play TV Sega Genesis games in the United States and certain other countries. Subsequently, we have worked closely with Sega Toys and Sega Corporation in an attempt to clarify our rights. We continue to believe that we have a strong position on the merits of the dispute as well as certain rights to indemnification from Sega Toys. Sega Corporation has advised us in writing that its position is that Sega has not granted AtGames any right to license Sega's Genesis/Mega Drive game titles for incorporation in TV game pads intended for worldwide markets. AtGames contends otherwise. Sega and AtGames have submitted their dispute to arbitration, and the arbitration hearing is currently scheduled to begin on September 26, 2005. Sega further stated its understanding that under the agreement between Radica and Sega Toys, Sega Toys has granted Radica the exclusive right to sell Play TV products incorporating selected Sega Genesis game titles in the United States and certain other territories under a license granted by Sega to Sega Toys.

On June 13, 2005, AtGames filed a complaint against Radica Games Limited and our subsidiary Radica (Macao Commercial Offshore) Limited, or Radica Macao, in the California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, alleging intentional interference with contract and unfair competition. The complaint seeks substantial compensatory damages, punitive damages, declaratory relief and injunctive relief. The Radica parties consider this suit to be without merit and intend to defend against it vigorously.

Previously, Radica Macao had filed suit in the California Superior Court, Los Angeles County, West District, against the attorneys representing AtGames. The Radica Macao complaint alleges misappropriation of trade secrets, conversion and unfair business practices. The complaint seeks compensatory damages, punitive damages and injunctive relief.

In addition, and supplemental to two prior indemnification demands, the Radica parties have made formal demand on Sega Toys for indemnification respecting the action recently filed by AtGames.

We are presently unable to predict the outcome of the foregoing dispute. We intend to vigorously defend our rights, and continue to believe in the strength of our position with respect to exclusive rights in the United States and certain other territories concerning Play TV Sega Genesis games. Nevertheless, as we stated in our 2004 Form 20-F, this situation illustrates that in certain cases we may face additional unanticipated competition from another party who also has rights, or who asserts that it has rights, in the intellectual property that we are using or intending to use, this may have a material adverse effect on the sales and profitability of the products concerned. We may also incur substantial costs and management time in defending, or attempting to defend, our rights.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RADICA GAMES LIMITED

Date: June 14, 2005

/s/ Craig D. Storey

Craig D. Storey
Chief Accounting Officer

3

N: left; FONT-FAMILY: "Times New Roman", Times, serif; FONT-SIZE: 10pt">x

Rule 13p-1 under the Securities Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13p-1) for the reporting period from January 1 to December 31, 2013.

Section 1 – Conflict Minerals Disclosure

Item 1.01 Conflict Minerals Disclosure and Report

Astec Industries, Inc. ("Astec") has determined that conflict minerals are necessary to the functionality or production of a product manufactured by Astec, therefore the Company is filing this specialized disclosure report.

Item 1.02 Exhibit

Following our application of appropriate due diligence, Astec has filed, as an exhibit to this Form SD, the Conflict Minerals Report required by Item 1.01.

Section 2 – Exhibits

Item 2.01 Exhibits

The following exhibit is filed as part of this report.

Exhibit 1.02 – Astec's Conflict Minerals Report as required by Items 1.01 and 1.02 of this form.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the duly authorized.

Astec Industries, Inc.

/s/ David C. Silvious

Date: June 02,
2014

David C. Silvious
Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, and Treasurer