

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.**

Form 424B3

March 28, 2014

**Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)**

**Registration Statement No. 333-179331**

**Prospectus Supplement No. 7**

**(to Prospectus dated July 19, 2012, as supplemented by Prospectus Supplement No. 1 dated August 16, 2013, Prospectus Supplement No. 2 dated August 16, 2013, Prospectus Supplement No. 3 dated August 16, 2013, Prospectus Supplement No. 4 dated August 16, 2013, Prospectus Supplement No. 5 dated August 16, 2013 and Prospectus Supplement No. 6 dated November 14, 2013)**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.**

**19,818,972 Shares of Common Stock**

**Warrants to Purchase 14,864,229 Shares of Common Stock**

**and**

**14,864,229 Shares of Common Stock Underlying Warrants**

This prospectus supplement, together with the prospectus listed above, is to be used by certain holders of the above-referenced securities or by their pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors-in-interest in connection with the offer and sale of such securities.

This prospectus supplement updates and should be read in conjunction with the prospectus dated July 19, 2012 (as supplemented to date), which is to be delivered with this prospectus supplement. Such documents contain information that should be considered when making your investment decision. To the extent there is a discrepancy between the information contained herein and the information in the prospectus, the information contained herein supersedes and replaces such conflicting information.

This prospectus supplement consists of Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on March 27, 2014 (the "Form 10-K").

Our common stock is traded on the OTCQB Marketplace, operated by OTC Markets Group, under the symbol "BCLI". On March 27, 2014, the last reported sales price for our common stock was \$0.29 per share. We do not intend to list the warrants on any securities exchange or other trading market and we do not expect that a public trading market will develop for the warrants.

**Investing in the Company's securities involves risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 4 of the Prospectus, as supplemented or amended by the prospectus supplements filed to date, to read about factors you should consider.**

**NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.**

The date of this Prospectus Supplement No. 7 is March 27, 2014

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-54365

**BRAINSTORM CELL  
THERAPEUTICS INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-8133057  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  
New York NY  
(Address of principal executive offices)

10158  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (646) 666-3188

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Title of each class**  
**Common Stock, \$0.00005 par value**

**Name of each exchange on which registered**  
**OTC Markets Group**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes   
No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The approximate aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the issuer as of June 30, 2013 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), was \$25,010,064.

As of March 7, 2014, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.00005 par value per share, was 176,263,587.

#### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS, INC.**  
**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**  
**YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>		
1.	Business	3
1A.	Risk Factors	20
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	32
2.	Properties	32
3.	Legal Proceedings	32
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	32
<b>PART II</b>		
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	32
6.	Selected Financial Data	35
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	40
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	84
9A.	Controls and Procedures	84
9B.	Other Information	84
<b>PART III</b>		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	85
11.	Executive Compensation	90
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	94
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	95

14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	98
-----	----------------------------------------	----

**PART IV**

15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	99
-----	-----------------------------------------	----

**PART I**  
**SPECIAL NOTE**

*Unless otherwise specified in this annual report on Form 10-K, all references to currency, monetary values and dollars set forth herein shall mean United States (U.S.) dollars.*

**SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*This annual report contains numerous statements, descriptions, forecasts and projections, regarding Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. and its potential future business operations and performance. These statements, descriptions, forecasts and projections constitute “forward-looking statements,” and as such involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance and achievements to be materially different from any results, levels of activity, performance and achievements expressed or implied by any such “forward-looking statements.” Some of these are described under “Risk Factors” in this annual report. In some cases you can identify such “forward-looking statements” by the use of words like “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “hopes,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “likely,” “potential,” or “continue” or the negative of any of these terms or similar words. These “forward-looking statements” are based on certain assumptions that we have made as of the date hereof. To the extent these assumptions are not valid, the associated “forward-looking statements” and projections will not be correct. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these “forward-looking statements” are reasonable, we cannot guarantee any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. It is routine for our internal projections and expectations to change as the year or each quarter in the year progresses, and therefore it should be clearly understood that the internal projections and beliefs upon which we base our expectations may change prior to the end of each quarter or the year. Although these expectations may change, we may not inform you if they do and we undertake no obligation to do so. We caution investors that our business and financial performance are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties. In evaluating our business, prospective investors should carefully consider the information set forth under the caption “Risk Factors” in addition to the other information set forth herein and elsewhere in our other public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).*

**Item 1. BUSINESS.**

**Company Overview**

Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (“we,” “us,” “our” or the “Company”) is a biotechnology company developing novel adult stem cell therapies for debilitating neurodegenerative disorders such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (“ALS”, also known as Lou Gehrig’s disease), Multiple Sclerosis (“MS”), and Parkinson’s disease (“PD”). These diseases have limited treatment options and as such represent unmet medical needs.

We believe that NurOwn, our proprietary process for the propagation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells (“MSC”) and their differentiation into NeuroTrophic factor-(“NTF”) secreting cells (“MSC-NTF”), and their transplantation at, or near, the site of damage, offers the hope of more effectively treating neurodegenerative diseases.

Our approach is considered safe based on our use of autologous cells, which are free of the risk of rejection and tumor formation. It is also free of the controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Our core technology was developed in collaboration with prominent neurologist Prof. Eldad Melamed, former head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson’s Research, and expert cell biologist Prof. Daniel Offen of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

Our wholly-owned Israeli subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. (the “Israeli Subsidiary”), holds rights to commercialize the technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. (“Ramot”), the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University, Israel.

On February 8, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into an agreement with Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd., a subsidiary of the Hadassah Medical Organization (“Hadassah”), pursuant to which Hadassah provides the Israeli Subsidiary with lab services.

On February 17, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into an agreement with Hadassah and Professor Dimitrios Karussis (the “Clinical Trial Agreement”). Under the Clinical Trial Agreement, Hadassah and our personnel agreed to conduct a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and tolerability of our NurOwn cells in patients with ALS, in accordance with a protocol developed jointly by us and Professor Karussis.

In February 2011, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) granted Orphan Drug designation to NurOwn for the treatment of ALS.

In June 2011, we initiated a Phase I/II clinical trial for the treatment of ALS with NurOwn at the Hadassah University Medical Center in Jerusalem (“HUMC”) with Principal Investigator Professor Dimitrios Karussis, after receiving approval from the Israeli Ministry of Health (“MoH”).

In July 2011, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with Massachusetts General Hospital (“MGH”) and the University of Massachusetts Medical School (“UMass”) in anticipation of applying for FDA approval to begin ALS human clinical trials in the United States. In March 2014, we entered into a definitive agreement with MGH in order to launch a Phase II clinical trial in the second quarter of 2014, and we expect to enter into a definitive agreement with UMass for the same.

In July 2012, together with Professor Karussis, we submitted an interim safety evaluation report to the Israeli MoH for the first 12 of 24 patients in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The report confirmed that our NurOwn therapy is safe, did not cause any adverse side effects, and some of the patients showed promising indications of clinical improvement.

In January 2013, the Israeli MoH approved acceleration to a Phase IIa combined treatment, dose-escalating trial, which we are currently conducting at HUMC. According to the protocol for this safety and preliminary efficacy trial 12 early-stage ALS patients received both intramuscular and intrathecal injections of NurOwn cells in three cohorts with increasing doses between February and August 2013. The patients were followed for six months after transplantation. Due to medical and technical considerations, two additional patients were enrolled in the trial in late 2013, in order to preserve the originally planned protocol design. These two patients will be treated by the end of the first quarter of 2014. The complete and final statistical analysis of the Phase IIa data is expected to be available after 6 months of follow up with the patients.

In January 2013, we also announced that we had successfully completed a 12-week repeat dose toxicity study with our NurOwn cells in mice. These repeat doses were prepared from frozen cells, using a proprietary method recently developed by the Company. We believe that our cryopreservation, or freezing, method will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that the positive data from the toxicity study in mice will support our efforts to obtain approval for a future repeat dose clinical study in ALS patients. The study was conducted at Harlan Israel’s laboratories, according to Good Laboratory Practice (“GLP”) standards of the FDA. The study protocol was approved by Israel’s National Council for Animal Experimentation.

In March 2013, Principal Investigator Professor Dimitrios Karussis of Hadassah presented some of the data from the Phase I/II trial at the American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting. The trial results analyzed to date confirmed the safety of the NurOwn Treatment and also demonstrated initial signs of possible efficacy. There was a slower decline in overall clinical and respiratory function, as measured by the ALS Functional Rating Score (“ALSFRS-R”) and Forced Vital Capacity (“FVC”) score respectively, in the six patients that received an intrathecal injection of the cells, in the six months following treatment as compared to the three months preceding treatment.

On March 14, 2013, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Mayo Clinic (“Mayo”) in Rochester, Minnesota, to participate as an additional clinical site in the multi-center Phase II ALS clinical trial in the USA. The team there will be led by Professor Anthony J. Windebank, Head of the Regenerative Neurobiology Laboratory in the Department of Neurology. In January 2014 we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement with Mayo to conduct the trial and manufacture NurOwn cells in their cleanroom facility.

On April 3, 2013, we entered into a manufacturing agreement with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (“Dana-Farber”) under which Dana-Farber’s Connell and O’Reilly Cell Manipulation Core Facility will produce NurOwn in its cGMP-compliant clean rooms for the MGH and UMass clinical sites during our upcoming Phase II ALS clinical trial in the United States.

In June 2013, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) with PRC Clinical, a Contract Research Organization (“CRO”) based in the San Francisco Bay Area, in anticipation of our planned Phase II multi-center ALS clinical trial in the United States.

On July 17, 2013, we received Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for our NurOwn for the treatment of ALS from the European Commission.

On August 1, 2013 we announced that we submitted a favorable safety report to the hospital Helsinki Committee (IRB) for the second group of patients in our ongoing Phase IIa ALS clinical trial at the Hadassah Medical Center in Jerusalem, Israel. We announced that the treatment was well tolerated and no serious adverse events were observed. Except for one SAE (Serious Adverse Event, death due to cardiopulmonary arrest) that was reported as non-treatment related.

On September 27, 2013 we announced that we had completed treatment of the 12 patients in our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial. We have been informed that one patient in the study expired due to a medical condition unrelated to the Clinical Trial.

In October 2013, we announced that we launched our activities in the US in preparation for our Phase IIa multi-center clinical trial, with the initiation of the NurOwn technology transfer process at the Dana Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI). Since then, a series of on-site training sessions for cell manufacturing are ongoing at DFCI.

On December 10, 2013, we announced that Prof. Karussis presented some of his preliminary findings from our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial at the 24<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on ALS/MND the previous week in Milan, Italy. According to Prof. Karussis, the safety data are "impressively positive," with only minimal and transient adverse events, even though the patients in this study were injected both intrathecally and intramuscularly with up to double the dose of NurOwn cells given in the Phase I trial. In addition, a number of patients showed some initial indications of clinical improvement.

In December 2013 the Company submitted an Investigational New Drug ("IND") application to the FDA.

### **Our Proprietary Technology**

Our NurOwn technology is based on a novel differentiation protocol which induces differentiation of the bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells into neuron-supporting cells, MSC-NTF cells, capable of releasing several neurotrophic factors, including Glial-derived neurotrophic factor ("GDNF") and Brain-derived neurotrophic factor ("BDNF"), Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) which are critical for the growth, survival and differentiation of developing neurons.

GDNF is one of the most potent survival factors known for peripheral neurons. VEGF and HGF have been reported to have important neuro-protective effects in ALS.

Our approach to treatment of neurodegenerative diseases with autologous adult stem cells includes a multi-step process beginning with harvesting of undifferentiated stem cells from the patient's own bone marrow, and concluding with transplantation of differentiated, neurotrophic factor-secreting mesenchymal stem cells (MSC-NTF) into the same patient intrathecally and/or intramuscularly. Intrathecal (injection into the cerebrospinal fluid) transplantation consists of injection with a standard lumbar puncture; there is no need for a laminectomy an invasive, orthopedic spine operation to remove a portion of the vertebral bone, as required by other technologies. Intramuscular (injection directly into muscle) transplantation is performed via a standard injection procedure as well.

Our proprietary, production process for induction of differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells into differentiated cells that produce NTF (MSC-NTF) for clinical use is conducted in full compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice ("cGMP").

Our proprietary technology is licensed to and developed by our Israeli Subsidiary.

#### ***The NurOwn Transplantation Process***

- § Bone marrow aspiration from patient;
- § Isolation and expansion of the mesenchymal stem cells;
- § Differentiation of the expanded stem cells into neurotrophic-factor secreting (MSC-NTF) cells; and
- § Autologous transplantation into the patient's spinal cord or muscle tissue.

#### ***Differentiation before Transplantation***

The ability to induce differentiation of autologous adult mesenchymal stem cells into MSC-NTF cells *before* transplantation is unique to NurOwn, making it the first-of-its-kind for treating neurodegenerative diseases.

The specialized cells secrete neurotrophic factors for:

- § Protection of existing motor neurons;
- § Promotion of motor neuron growth; and
- § Re-establishment of nerve-muscle interaction.

***Autologous (“Self-transplantation”)***

The NurOwn approach is autologous, or self-transplanted, using the patient’s own stem cells. In autologous transplantation there is no risk of rejection and no need for treatment with immunosuppressive agents, which can cause severe and/or long-term side effects. In addition, it is free of controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

***Transplantation site and method***

**Clinical Indication I: ALS (current)** Based on the approval of the Israeli MoH, we are currently conducting a Phase IIa dose-escalating trial to evaluate safety and preliminary efficacy of NurOwn in ALS patients. Pending approval of our IND application to the FDA, we are planning to launch a Phase II clinical trial in the USA in the second quarter of 2014. We intend to conduct further Phase II/III repeat dose clinical trials of NurOwn.

**Clinical Indication II: MS (future)** Based on positive proof-of-concept results obtained at Tel Aviv University with MSC-NTF cells for MS, we are currently conducting a pre-clinical study for this disease at HUMC's SPF-grade animal laboratory in Jerusalem. The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Hebrew University.

## History

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Washington on September 22, 2000, under the name Wizbang Technologies, Inc. and acquired the right to market and sell a digital data recorder product line in certain states in the U.S. Subsequently, the Company changed its name to Golden Hand Resources Inc. On July 12, 2004, the Company entered into a research and license agreement with Ramot to acquire certain stem cell technology and decided to discontinue all activities related to the sales of the digital data recorder product. In November 2004, the Company changed its name from Golden Hand Resources Inc. to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to better reflect its new line of business in development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. In October 2004, the Company formed its wholly-owned subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. in Israel. On December 18, 2006, the stockholders of the Company approved a proposal to change the state of incorporation of the Company from the State of Washington to the State of Delaware. The reincorporation was completed on December 21, 2006 through the merger of the Company into a newly formed, wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary of Brainstorm, also named Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. On February 19, 2013, the Israeli Subsidiary formed its wholly-owned subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics UK Ltd. in the United Kingdom (the "UK Subsidiary").

## Other Recent Developments

### *Public Offerings*

On August 16, 2013, the Company closed a public offering of an aggregate of 23,529,411 units at a public offering price of \$0.17 per unit, with each unit consisting of one share of our common stock, and 0.75 of a warrant to purchase one share of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 per whole share of common stock (the "Warrants"). The Warrants are immediately exercisable and will expire three years from the issuance date. No units were issued, however, and purchasers received only shares of common stock and Warrants. The common stock and the Warrants may be transferred separately immediately upon issuances. We do not intend to list the Warrants on any securities exchange or other trading market and we do not expect that a public trading market will develop for the Warrants. The total expenses of this Offering were approximately \$700,000. We have also reimbursed the underwriters for certain expenses. The net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$3.3 million, assuming no exercise of the Warrants and after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us associated with the Offering.

On July 17, 2012, we raised approximately \$5.7 million through a public offering ("2012 Public Offering") of our common stock. We issued a total of 19,818,968 shares of our common stock at \$0.29 per share and 14,864,228 warrants to purchase shares of common stock for every share purchased in the 2012 Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance. After deducting closing costs and fees, we received net proceeds of approximately \$5 million.

### *Governmental Grants*

In September 2011, we received notice from the Israeli Office of the Chief Scientist ("OCS") of its commitment to grant the Company approximately \$1.1 million in accordance with OCS guidelines and the relevant plan approved by the OCS (the "Approved Plan").

In 2012, we received notices from the OCS of its commitment to grant the Company approximately \$1,086,000 for the year ending June 30, 2013.

In December 2013, we were awarded an \$800,000 non-dilutive grant from Israel's Office of the OCS for the year 2014. In February 2014, we were awarded an additional \$600,000 non-dilutive grant from the OCS for 2014.

With regards to any funding received from the OCS, we are obligated to pay royalties to the OCS, amounting to 3% to 3.5% of revenues (subject to the relevant regulations, as amended from time to time) derived from sales of the products funded with the OCS grant, depending on the origin of the products' production. Such royalty payments shall be up to an amount equal to 100% of the grant received. The grant is linked to the exchange rate of the U.S. dollar and bears interest of Libor per annum.

Any plan approved by the OCS research committee for grant funding is subject to Israel's Encouragement of Industrial Research and Development Law, 5744 1984 ("R&D Law"), which, among others, restricts the transfer of any know-how (as further defined therein) and the transfer of the manufacture of the outcome product of such Approved Plan outside of Israel.

The research committee may, in special cases, approve the transfer abroad of know-how or any right thereof, derived from research and development conducted under the Approved Plan in Israel, in exchange for receiving know-how from the party abroad; provided, however, that such exchange is towards joint and new research and development.

The research committee may, in special cases and on grounds to be recorded, approve a request to transfer outside of Israel, the manufacturing or the rights to manufacture a product developed within the framework of the Approved Plan; provided, however, that in exchange for such approval, the OCS shall be entitled to, *inter alia*, payment of increased royalties due to the transfer of such manufacturing rights.

### *Collaboration with Octane Biotech*

On December 10, 2012, we signed a development agreement (the “Octane Agreement”) with Octane Biotech Inc. of Kingston, Ontario (“Octane”), to jointly collaborate towards developing proprietary bioreactor for scale up production of our NurOwn treatment. The customized bioreactor (the “NurOwn Bioreactor”) will enable us to enhance the efficiency of our NurOwn production process, significantly increasing our production capabilities by using a single clean room for multiple patients, reducing costs and time.

According to the Octane Agreement, in the event that the parties successfully complete the development of the NurOwn Bioreactor, the parties reserve the right to enter into an agreement for the supply of clinical products and/or provisions of services.

The Octane Agreement further dictates that Octane shall be prohibited from selling and/or transferring the NurOwn Bioreactor to any third party without our prior written consent.

The 3-year collaborative project with a total budget of 1,365,000 Canadian dollars is being supported by the Canada-Israel Industrial Research and Development Foundation which collaborates with the Israeli OCS. The Israeli OCS has confirmed its participation, in such project, of approximately U.S. \$141,000 for the first year, which comprises 50% of our budget of approximately U.S. \$282,000 for that period.

By the fourth quarter of 2013, Octane developed a first automation system prototype for culturing NurOwn cells, and for process development and optimization.

### *Development of Cryopreservation Method*

In January 2013, we announced the development of a proprietary method for cryopreservation, or freezing, of cells, which will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that cryopreservation will enable us to create a personalized NurOwn stem cell bank for each patient, for ongoing, repeat treatments.

### *Orphan Drug Status by the European Medicine Agency (“EMA”)*

On July 17, 2013, we received Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for our NurOwn for the treatment of ALS from the European Commission. Orphan designation grants a 10-year marketing exclusivity in the EU for the designated indication, as well as several other regulatory incentives.

### *Clinical Trial Update*

On September 27, 2013 we announced that we had completed treatment of 12 patients in our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial. We have been informed that one patient in the study expired due to a medical condition unrelated to the Clinical Trial. An interim safety summary for the first 12 patients in the study was submitted to the Hadassah Medical Center Ethical Committee about two month after transplantation of the 12th patient. One SAE (Serious Adverse Event, death due to cardiopulmonary arrest) was reported as non-treatment related. The majority of the other AE observed were procedure related and not treatment related. In the three months following this summary, one patient chose to undergo euthanasia and discontinued the study.

Due to medical and technical considerations, two additional patients were enrolled in the trial in late 2013, in order to preserve the originally planned protocol design. These two patients will be treated by the end of the first quarter of 2014. The complete and final statistical analysis of the Phase IIa data is expected to be available after 6 months of follow up with the patients.

On December 10, 2013, we announced that Prof. Karussis presented some of his preliminary findings from our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial at the 24<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on ALS/MND the previous week in Milan, Italy. According to Prof. Karussis, the safety data are "impressively positive," with only minimal and transient adverse events, even though the patients in this study were injected both intrathecally and intramuscularly with up to double the dose of NurOwn cells given in the Phase I trial. In addition, a number of patients showed some initial indications of clinical improvement.

*Chief Executive Officer*

On July 28, 2013, Alon Natanson, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, informed us of his resignation from his position with the Company effective 90 days after the notice. Mr. Natanson continued to hold the title of Chief Executive Officer of the Company until October 28, 2013, the end of the 90 day notice period required by Mr. Natanson's employment agreement. The Company is currently searching for a permanent Chief Executive Officer to replace Mr. Natanson.

On August 1, 2013, the Company appointed Chaim Lebovits, the President of the Company, as its Principal Executive Officer, and to assume the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis while we search for a new Chief Executive Officer.

**Our efforts are currently directed at:**

- § Completing a Phase IIa dose-escalating clinical trial of NurOwn for the treatment of ALS with 14 ALS patients in Israel;

- § Conducting technology transfer of the NurOwn manufacturing process to the Dana Farber Cell culture facility DFCI in Boston and to the Mayo Clinic cell culture facility in Rochester;
- § Fulfilling all requirements for IND approval;
- § Obtaining IRB approval at the three U.S. clinical sites;
- § Initiating a Phase II ALS clinical trial of NurOwn in the United States;
- § Collaborating with Octane on development of a customized NurOwn bioreactor; and
- § Completing pre-clinical studies of NurOwn for the treatment of MS.

## **Stem Cell Therapy**

Our activities are within the stem cell therapy field. Stem cells are non-specialized cells with a potential for both self-renewal and differentiation into cell types with a specialized function, such as muscle, blood or brain cells. The cells have the ability to undergo asymmetric division such that one of the two daughter cells retains the properties of the stem cell, while the other begins to differentiate into a more specialized cell type. Stem cells are therefore central to normal human growth and development, and also are a potential source of new cells for the regeneration of diseased and damaged tissue. Stem cell therapy aims to restore diseased tissue function by the replacement and/or addition of healthy cells by stem cell transplants.

Currently, two principal platforms for cell therapy products are being explored: (i) embryonic stem cells (“ESC”), isolated from the inner mass of a few days old embryo; and (ii) adult stem cells, sourced from bone marrow, cord blood and various organs. Although ESCs are the easiest to grow and differentiate, their use in human therapy is limited by safety concerns associated with their tendency to develop teratomas (a form of tumor) and their potential to elicit an immune reaction. In addition, ESC has generated much political and ethical debate due to the derivation of ESCs from aborted fetuses.

Cell therapy using adult stem cells avoids many of these concerns. Mesenchymal stem cells (“MSCs” are an example of adult stem cells. These “multi-potent” cells can produce more than one type of specialized cell of the body, such as bone, fat, cartilage, and other types of cells. They secrete factors that promote tissue repair, and decrease inflammatory and immune reactions. The bone marrow (“BM”) is an invaluable source of MSCs. Moreover, bone marrow may be obtained through a simple procedure of aspiration, from the patient himself, enabling autologous cell therapy, thus obviating the need for donor matching, circumventing immune rejection and other immunological mismatch risks, as well as avoiding the need for immunosuppressive therapy. We believe that autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, which are capable of in-vitro growth and multipotential differentiation, are a preferable source of therapeutic stem cells.

## **Neurodegenerative Diseases**

Studies of neurodegenerative diseases suggest that symptoms that arise in afflicted individuals are secondary to defects in neuron cell function and neural circuitry. To date, these diseases have been treated effectively with systemic drug delivery. Consequently, alternative approaches for treating neurodegenerative diseases have been attempted, such as transplantation of cells capable of replacing or supplementing the function of damaged neurons. For such cell replacement therapy to work, implanted cells must survive and integrate, both functionally and structurally, within the damaged tissue.

### **Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)**

ALS, often referred to as “Lou Gehrig's disease,” is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects nerve cells in the brain and the spinal cord. Motor neurons reach from the brain to the spinal cord and from the spinal cord to the muscles throughout the body. The progressive degeneration of the motor neurons in ALS eventually leads to death. As motor neurons degenerate, they can no longer send impulses to the muscle fibers that normally result in muscle

movement. With voluntary muscle action progressively affected, patients in the later stages of the disease may become completely paralyzed. However, in most cases, mental faculties are not affected.

Approximately 5,600 people in the U.S. are diagnosed with ALS each year. It is estimated that as many as 30,000 Americans have the disease at any given time. Estimated annual treatment costs for advanced stage patients can be as high as \$200,000, representing an aggregate direct cost to the healthcare system of more than \$6 billion per year (Source: Alliance for Regenerative Medicine).

Early symptoms of ALS often include increasing muscle weakness or stiffness, especially involving the arms and legs, speech, swallowing or breathing.

ALS is most often found in the 40 to 70 year age group with the same incidence as MS. There appear to be more MS sufferers because MS patients tend to live much longer, some for 30 years or more. The life expectancy of an ALS patient averages about two to five years from the time of diagnosis. However, up to 10% of ALS patients will survive more than ten years.

Treatment decisions are typically determined by the patient's symptoms and the stage of the disease. Some medications used for ALS patients include:

- Riluzole - the only medication approved by the FDA to slow the progress of ALS. While it does not reverse ALS, Riluzole has been shown to reduce nerve damage. Riluzole may extend the time before a patient needs a ventilator (a machine to assist breathing) and may prolong the patient's life by several months;

- Baclofen or Diazepam - used to control muscle spasms, stiffness or tightening (spasticity) that interfere with daily activities; and
- Trihexyphenidyl or Amitriptyline used to treat patients who have excess saliva or secretions, and emotional changes.

Other medications may be prescribed to help reduce such symptoms as fatigue, pain, sleep disturbances, constipation, and excess saliva and phlegm.

### **Multiple Sclerosis (MS)**

MS is a chronic neurodegenerative disorder that affects the brain and spinal cord. Nerve cells are normally insulated with a protective layer called myelin, which allows nerve signals to travel properly. In MS, the myelin is destroyed (demyelination), causing loss of function of the nerve cells and disrupting transmission of brain messages to various parts of the body. While generally thought to be an autoimmune disease, the exact cause of MS is unknown.

There are currently over 2.5 million people with MS worldwide, with roughly 800,000 of these in the U.S. and Europe. Over 10,000 new cases are diagnosed annually in the U.S., with the majority of these in women between the ages of 20 and 50. Annual treatment costs for MS can be as much as \$34,000 a year per patient.

MS can cause blurred vision, slurred speech, tremors, numbness, extreme fatigue, and problems with memory and concentration. Most MS patients experience muscle weakness in their extremities and difficulty with coordination and balance. These symptoms may be severe enough to impair walking or even standing. In the worst cases, MS can produce partial or complete paralysis. MS is not considered a fatal disease, as the vast majority of people with MS live a normal life-span. But the unpredictability of the disease can present many challenges, including the possibility of facing increasing limitations.

Most people experience MS symptoms between the ages of 20 and 40. At least two to three times more women than men have been diagnosed with MS. MS occurs in most ethnic groups, including African-Americans, Asians and Latinos, but is more common in Caucasians of northern European ancestry.

Treatment of MS focuses on symptom management, treatment of attacks, and reduction of disease progression. Of the nine FDA-approved, disease modifying treatments introduced since 1993, three are interferon-based, two are immunomodulators, one is an immunosuppressant, one is an antineoplastic, one is a monoclonal antibody, and one's exact mechanism is unknown. (Source: National MS Society).

While disease-modifying treatments reduce the progression rate of the disease, they do not stop it. As multiple sclerosis progresses, the symptomatology tends to increase. Therefore, MS treatment management includes symptomatic treatments as well as rehabilitative and psychological approaches such as physical therapy, speech therapy, occupational therapy, support groups, an exercise program, a healthy lifestyle, good nutrition, rest and relaxation.

The variable clinical presentation of MS and the lack of established diagnostic laboratory tests lead to delays and difficulties in diagnosis. New diagnostic methods are being investigated as well as biomarkers for monitoring disease activity.

### **Parkinson's Disease (PD)**

PD is a chronic, progressive disorder, affecting certain nerve cells, which reside in the Substantia Nigra of the brain and which produce dopamine, a neurotransmitter that directs and controls movement. In PD, these

dopamine-producing nerve cells break down, causing dopamine levels to drop below the threshold levels and resulting in brain signals directing movement to become abnormal. The cause of the disease is unknown.

Over 6.3 million people worldwide suffer from PD, of whom about one million are in the United States. Most people are diagnosed with the disease between the ages of 55 and 65 and about 85% of people with PD are over the age of 65. Prevalence of PD is increasing in line with the general aging of the population. The market for pharmaceutical treatments for PD has been estimated to be \$2.4 billion a year in the U.S., France, Germany, Italy, Spain, the United Kingdom and Japan. However, these costs are dwarfed when compared to the total economic burden of the disease, which has been estimated by the National Parkinson Foundation to exceed \$14 billion annually in the U.S. alone, including costs of medical treatment, caring, facilities and other services, as well as loss of productivity of both patients and caregivers.

The symptoms of PD include shaking (tremor), stiff muscles (rigidity) and slow movement (Bradykinesia). A person with fully developed PD may also have a stooped posture, a blank stare or fixed facial expression, speech problems and difficulties with balance or walking. Although it can be highly debilitating, the disease is not life threatening and an average patient's life span is approximately 20 years from the onset of symptoms.

Treatment of PD primarily comprises dopamine replacement, either directly (levodopa), with dopamine mimetics or by inhibition of its breakdown. These treatments focus on treating the symptoms of the disease and are not a cure for PD.

Levodopa, which remains the standard and most potent PD medication available, has a propensity to cause serious motor response complications with long-term use. Moreover, effective drug dosage often requires gradual increase, leading to more adverse side effects and eventual resistance to its therapeutic action. This greatly limits patient benefit. Therefore, physicians and researchers have sought levodopa-sparing strategies in patients with early-stage disease to delay the need for levodopa.

PD is also treated by Deep Brain Stimulation (“DBS”), which consists of implanting electrodes deep into the brain to provide permanent electrical stimulation to specific areas of the brain and to cause a delay in the activity in those areas. However, DBS is problematic as it can cause uncontrollable and severe side effects such as bleeding in the brain, infection and depression. In addition, like drug therapy, DBS focuses on treating the symptoms of PD and does not provide a cure.

There is a greatly unsatisfied need for novel approaches towards management of PD, primarily to control levodopa-induced adverse side effects and motor dysfunction, as well as to delay the onset of disease-related dementia.

In addition to the symptomatic drug development approaches, there is an intense effort to develop cell and gene therapeutic “curative” approaches to restore the neural function in patients with PD, by (i) replacing the dysfunctional cells with dopamine producing cell transplant, or by (ii) providing growth factors and proteins, such as GDNF, that can maintain or preserve the patient’s remaining dopaminergic cells, protecting them from further degeneration. Preclinical evaluation of cell therapeutic approaches based on transplantation of dopaminergic neurons differentiated *in-vitro* from ESC, have been successful in ameliorating PD in animal models, as has direct gene therapy with vectors harboring the GDNF gene. However, these approaches are limited, in the first case, by the safety and ethical considerations associated with use of ESC, and, in the second case, by the safety risks inherent to gene therapy. As a result, intensive efforts have been made to develop an adult stem-cell based treatment.

### **Company Business Strategy**

Our company is focused on advancing the NurOwn treatment, with the goal of obtaining FDA regulatory approval for uses as a treatment of ALS patients.

- § Phase IIa dose-escalating safety and preliminary efficacy clinical trial in Israel;
- § Phase II ALS safety and preliminary efficacy clinical trial in the United States; and
- § Phase II/III repeat dose clinical efficacy trial.

#### *Additional strategic goals of the Company:*

- § Development of a customized NurOwn bioreactor for optimization and scale-up of NurOwn production;
- § Development of additional clinical indications, i.e. MS;
- § Pursuing strategic partnerships with pharmaceutical companies as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization.

### **Sales and Marketing**

We intend to establish and maintain fully-equipped cGMP-certified Cell-Processing Centers in strategic locations to conduct NurOwn production and distribution over the broadest geographic area. Each Cell-Processing Center would receive an initial Bone Marrow sample of the patient, harvested at a medical center. The patient’s MSC cells would be isolated and expanded, in order to produce an initial dose of NurOwn cells. A master cell bank for each individual patient would be maintained for production of subsequent, future NurOwn doses on a long-term basis. These doses

would be produced as needed and transported to the medical centers, where they would then be transplanted back into the patient.

We intend to seek partnering opportunities with a strategic partner as we progress towards advanced clinical development and commercialization.

### **Intellectual Property**

#### *Patents:*

On January 8, 2014 we announced that we received a Notice of Intention to Grant from the European Patent Office (EPO) for our patent application entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases" (European serial number EP 06766101.7) . This patent relates to the production method for the company's proprietary stem cells induced to secrete large quantities of neurotrophic factors for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

On February 11, 2014 we were granted a U.S. Patent (No. 8,647,874) for the same patent application as above.

On March 4, 2014 we were granted a U.S. Patent (No. 8,663,987) for our “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases” (serial number 12/994,761) patent application. This patent relates to our proprietary stem cells induced to secrete large quantities of neurotrophic factors for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

We have pending patent applications in (1) the United States; (2) Europe; (3) Israel; and (4) Hong Kong, as follows:

A. The Israeli Subsidiary is the sole owner of United States Provisional patent application Serial No. 61/679,822, filed August 6, 2012, entitled "Methods of Generating Mesenchymal Stem Cells Which Secrete Neurotrophic Factors." This application has now been filed as International Application No.: PCT IL2013/050660.

This invention is directed to a method of generating MSCs which secrete neurotrophic factors (“NTFs”) comprising incubating a population of undifferentiated MSCs in a differentiating medium comprising basic fibroblast growth factor (“bFGF”), platelet derived growth factor (“PDGF”), heregulin and cAMP. The application also covers a method of treating a disease for which administration of neurotrophic factors is beneficial in a subject in need thereof, comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of isolated population of MSCs which secretes neurotrophic factors made according to the above method. Also taught is a method of selecting MSCs which secrete NTFs from a mixed population of MSCs, comprising (a) analyzing the cells of said mixed population of cells for at least one of the following parameters: (i) cells which express CD44 below a predetermined threshold, or (ii) cells which express CD73 above a predetermined threshold; and (b) selecting cells which are positive for at least one of said parameters, thereby selecting the MSCs which secrete neurotrophic factors. The application teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising the isolated population of MSCs as an active agent and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

B. The Israeli Subsidiary is co-owner, with Ramot, in the invention entitled “Mesenchymal Stem Cells for the Treatment of CNS Diseases”, filed as a PCT application on May 26, 2009, currently pending as National Phase patent applications in the following countries:

- United States: Serial No. 12/994,761
- United States: Serial No. 14/164,286
- Europe: Serial No. 09754337.5
- Europe: Serial No. 13164650.7
- Israel: Serial No. 209604
- Hong Kong: Serial No. 11107062.5
- Hong Kong: Serial No. 13109415.3

This invention is directed to an isolated human cell comprising at least one mesenchymal stem cell phenotype and secreting brain-derived neurotrophic factor (“BDNF”), wherein a basal secretion of the BDNF is at least five times greater than a basal secretion of the BDNF in a mesenchymal stem cell. Also disclosed in this application is an isolated cell population comprising human mesenchymal stem cells, wherein at least 50% of the cells express glial fibrillary acidic protein (“GFAP”) and secrete at least one neurotrophic factor. Also taught is an isolated cell population comprising human cells wherein (i) at least N% of said human cells secreting BDNF, wherein a basal secretion of said BDNF is at least five times greater than a basal secretion of the BDNF in a mesenchymal stem cell; (ii) at least M% of said human cells comprise at least one mesenchymal stem cell phenotype; and (iii) at least one of the human cells secretes the BDNF and the mesenchymal stem cell phenotype; where M and N are each independently selected between 1 and 99. Methods of generating same and uses of same are also disclosed. The method of generating cells useful for treating a CNS disease or disorder comprises (a) incubating mesenchymal stem cells in a culture medium comprising platelet lysate to generate propagated mesenchymal stem cells; and (b) incubating said propagated mesenchymal stem cells in a differentiating medium, thereby generating cells useful for treating the CNS disease or disorder. Another method taught is that of generating cells secreting neurotrophic factors, comprising (i) incubating mesenchymal stem cells in a serum free medium comprising platelet lysate to generate propagated mesenchymal stem

cells; and (ii) incubating the propagated mesenchymal stem cells in a differentiating medium comprising at least one differentiating agent, said at least one differentiating agent being selected from the group consisting of platelet derived growth factor ("PDGF"), human neuregulin 1-b1, FGF2, EGF, N2, IBMX and cAMP, thereby generating cells secreting neurotrophic factors. The European applications claim an isolated human cell comprising a cell being non-genetically manipulated, and characterized by: a) expressing tyrosine hydroxylase, nestin and H-NF and b) secreting BDNF, and c) not secreting nerve growth factor ("NGF") wherein a basal secretion of said BDNF is at least five times greater than a basal secretion of said BDNF in a mesenchymal stem cell; an isolated cell population comprising cells generated from human bone marrow derived cells expressing CD73, CD90 and CD105 and not expressing CD14, CD19, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR, wherein at least 50% of the cells of the cell population express GFAP and secrete BDNF; and a method of generating cells useful for treating a CNS disease or disorder, the method comprising: (1) incubating bone marrow derived cells expressing CD73, CD90 and CD105 and not expressing CD14, CD19, CD34, CD45 and HLA-DR in a culture medium comprising human platelet lysate to generate propagated cells; and (2) incubating said propagated cells in a medium comprising a differentiating agent, thereby generating cells useful for treating the CNS disease or disorder, wherein said differentiating agent is selected from the group consisting of PDGF, human neuregulin 1- 1, FGF2, EGF, N2, IBMX and cAMP.

C. The Israeli Subsidiary is the licensee of the following patent applications owned by Ramot under terms set forth in the Second Ramot Agreement and the Assignment Agreement, as follows:

1. Invention entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS Diseases", filed as a PCT application on June 18, 2006, currently pending as National Phase patent applications in the following countries:

.	Europe: Serial No. 06766101.7
.	Europe: Serial No. 11000994.1
.	Hong Kong: Serial No. 12112468.4
.	United States: Serial No. 11/727,583, Continuation-in-Part filed on March 27, 2007
.	United States: Serial No. 14/173,846

This invention is directed to an isolated human cell and populations thereof comprising at least one astrocytic phenotype and at least one mesenchymal stem cell phenotype, wherein the mesenchymal stem cell phenotype is not an astrocytic phenotype; an isolated human cell comprising at least one mesenchymal stem cell phenotype and at least one astrocytic structural phenotype, wherein the mesenchymal stem cell phenotype is not an astrocytic structural phenotype; or an isolated human cell comprising at least one mesenchymal stem cell phenotype and at least one astrocytic functional phenotype, wherein the mesenchymal stem cell phenotype is not an astrocytic functional phenotype. Also taught is a method of generating astrocyte-like cells expressing S100 beta, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), glutamine synthetase, GLAST, GLTI and glial derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) comprising (a) culturing mesenchymal stem cells in a medium comprising human epidermal growth factor (hEGF) and human basic fibroblast growth factor (hbFGF); and (b) incubating the mesenchymal stem cells in a differentiating medium comprising platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and human neuregulin 1-b1, thereby generating astrocyte-like cells. Another disclosed method of generating astrocyte-like cells teaches (i) incubating mesenchymal stem cells in a medium comprising hEGF and hbFGF to generate cells predisposed to generate into astrocyte-like cells; and (ii) incubating the predisposed cells in a differentiating medium comprising PDGF and human neuregulin 1-b1, thereby generating astrocyte-like cells.

2. Invention entitled "Methods, nucleic acid constructs and cells for treating neurodegenerative disorders", filed on May 17, 2005 as United States patent application Serial No. 13/783,607. This invention is directed to a method of treating a neurodegenerative disorder by administering to an individual in need thereof cells capable of exogenously regulatable neurotransmitter synthesis. The cells are produced by incubating bone marrow stromal cells in a differentiating medium comprising docosahexaenoic acid or arachidonic acid and at least one differentiating agent.

*Trademarks:*

We own a pending United States application to register the trademark NUROWN (application no. 85154891, filed October 18, 2010) for use in connection with "compositions of cells derived from stem cells for medical purposes; stem cells for medical purposes." The application was filed based on an intent-to-use the mark, but has not matured to registration yet.

The patent applications, as well as relevant know-how and research results are licensed from Ramot. We intend to work with Ramot to protect and enhance our mutual intellectual property rights by filing continuations and divisional patent applications. New discoveries arising in the course of research and development within the Company will be patented by us independently.

*Research and License Agreement with Ramot*

On July 12, 2004, we entered into a Research and License Agreement (the "Original Ramot Agreement") with Ramot, the technology licensing company of Tel Aviv University, which agreement was amended on March 30, 2006 by the

Amended Research and License Agreement (described below). Under the terms of the Original Ramot Agreement, Ramot granted to us a license to (i) the inventions, know-how and results made with respect to the above-mentioned stem cell technology developed by the team led by Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen in the course of performance of the research, and the patents and pending patent applications owned by Ramot, and (ii) the results of further research to be performed by the same team on the development of the stem cell technology. Simultaneously with the execution of the Original Ramot Agreement, we entered into individual consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen pursuant to which all intellectual property developed by Prof. Melamed or Prof. Offen in the performance of services thereunder will be owned by Ramot and licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement.

On March 30, 2006 and May 23, 2006, we entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement and an Amendment Agreement to the Amended Research and License Agreement, respectively (the "Amended Research and License Agreement") with Ramot. Under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the funding of further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year was reduced to \$380,000 per year. Moreover, under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the initial period of time that we agreed to fund the research was extended from an initial period of two (2) years to an initial period of three (3) years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extended the additional two-year period in the Original Ramot Agreement to an additional three-year period, if certain research milestones were met.

We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 26, 2007, effective July 12, 2004 (the “Second Ramot Agreement”), which amended and replaced the Amended Research and License Agreement. The Second Ramot Agreement imposed on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and other obligations. The license was granted in consideration for (i) royalty payments ranging from three percent (3%) to five percent (5%) of all net sales and (ii) potential payments concerning sublicenses ranging from twenty percent (20%) to twenty-five percent (25%) of sublicense receipts. In addition, in the event that the research period was extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the Second Ramot Agreement, then we had to make payments to Ramot for each year of the extended research period in the amount of \$380,000. As of June 30, 2007, we owed Ramot an aggregate amount of \$513,249 in overdue payments and patent fees under the Amended Research and License Agreement.

On August 1, 2007, we obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding our payment obligations under the Second Ramot Agreement and waived all claims against us resulting from our previous breaches, defaults and non-payment under the Amended Research and License Agreement.

After our failure to meet the amended payment schedule and subsequent negotiations, on December 24, 2009, we entered into a Letter Agreement and an amended agreement to the Second Ramot Agreement (collectively, the “Letter Agreement”) with Ramot, pursuant to which, among other things, Ramot agreed to: (i) release us from our obligation to fund three years of additional research (which would have totaled \$1,140,000) and (ii) accept conversion of certain research payments due in the amount of \$272,000 into 1,120,000 shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the Letter Agreement, we agreed, among other things, to: (i) reimburse Ramot for outstanding patent-related expenses; and (ii) abandon our rights in certain joint patent rights and patents of Ramot in certain countries.

As of February 2011, Ramot had sold the 1,120,000 shares of common stock of the Company for approximately \$235,000 and we paid the remaining \$5,000 due to Ramot. To date there is no additional debt to Ramot.

On December 20, 2011, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with our Israeli Subsidiary (the “Assignment Agreement”), with the consent of Ramot. Under the Assignment Agreement, we assigned and transferred all of our rights, interests, titles, liabilities and obligations (the “Rights”) under the Second Ramot Agreement to our Israeli Subsidiary, effective as of January 1, 2007 and our Israeli Subsidiary agreed to assume all such Rights. We agreed to be a guarantor of all obligations of our Israeli Subsidiary under the Second Ramot Agreement and Ramot can look to us to demand compliance with the Second Ramot Agreement.

In May 2012, we, the Israeli Subsidiary and Prof. Offen entered into a Consulting Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2012, which replaced the previous consulting agreement, dated July 31, 2004, pursuant to which all work product resulting from the provision of services will vest solely with the Israeli Subsidiary and if any work product resulting from the provision of services results in the creation or development of intellectual property it will be deemed a joint invention, and will be jointly owned by Ramot and the Israeli Subsidiary.

## **Government Regulations and Supervision**

### **Government Regulation and Product Approval**

Once fully developed, we intend to market our bone marrow derived differentiated neurotrophic-factor secreting cell products, NurOwn, for autologous transplantation in patients by neurosurgeons in medical facilities in the U.S., Europe, Japan and the Pacific Rim. We plan to submit biologics license application (“BLA”) in the United States from the development of NurOwn for the treatment of ALS patients. We initiated the regulatory process with a Pre-IND meeting with the FDA in September 2012, and submitted our IND application in December 2013. We have retained expert regulatory consultants to assist us in our approaches to the FDA.

In January 2013, the EMA Committee for Advanced Therapies classified NurOwn as an Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product.

Government authorities in the United States at the federal, state and local level extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, quality control, approval, labeling, packaging, storage, record-keeping, promotion, advertising, distribution, marketing, export and import of products such as those we are developing. Our product candidates must receive final approval from the FDA before they may legally be marketed in the United States or by the appropriate foreign regulatory agency before it may be legally marketed in foreign countries.

### ***U.S. Drug Development Process***

In the United States, the FDA regulates drugs under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and implementing regulations. Drugs are also subject to other federal, state and local statutes and regulations. Biologics are subject to regulation by the FDA under the FDCA, the Public Health Service Act, or the PHSA, and related regulations and other federal, state and local laws and regulations. Biological products are therapies used to treat disease and health conditions. They include a wide variety of products including vaccines, blood and blood components, gene therapies, tissue and proteins. Unlike most prescription products made through chemical processes, biological products generally are made from human and/or animal materials. To be lawfully marketed in interstate commerce, a biologic product must be the subject of a BLA, issued by the FDA on the basis of a demonstration that the product is safe, pure and potent, and that the facility in which the product is manufactured meets standards to assure that it continues to be safe, pure and potent. The FDA has developed and is continuously updating the requirements with respect to cell and gene therapy products and has issued documents concerning the regulation of cellular and tissue-based products. Manufacturers of cell and tissue-based products must comply with the FDA's current good tissue practices, or cGTP, which are FDA regulations that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the manufacture of such products. The primary intent of the cGTP requirements is to ensure that cell and tissue based products are manufactured in a manner designed to prevent the introduction, transmission and spread of communicable disease.

The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and ensuring compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations requires the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process, or after approval, may subject an applicant to administrative or judicial sanctions. These sanctions could include the FDA's refusal to approve pending applications, withdrawal of an approval, a clinical hold, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, product detention, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement or civil or criminal penalties. The process required by the FDA before a biological product or drug may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- Completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies according to Good Laboratory Practices or other regulations;
- Submission to the FDA of an investigational new drug application, or IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- Performance of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials according to Good Clinical Practices, or GCP, to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed biological product or drug for its intended use;
- submission to the FDA of a new drug application, or NDA, for a new drug; or a biologic license application for a new biological product;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities at which the drug is produced to assess compliance with Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP, to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the drug's or biologic's identity, strength, quality and purity; and
- FDA review and approval of the BLA or NDA.

The testing and approval process require substantial time, effort and financial resources and we cannot be certain that any approvals for our product candidates will be granted on a timely basis, if at all.

Once a pharmaceutical product candidate is identified for development, it enters the preclinical testing phase. Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluations of product chemistry, toxicity, formulation and stability, as well as animal studies. An IND sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data and any available clinical data or literature, to the FDA as part of the IND. The sponsor must also include a protocol detailing, among other things, the objectives of the initial clinical trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated if the initial clinical trial lends itself to an efficacy evaluation. Some preclinical testing may continue even after the IND is submitted. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA places the clinical trial on a clinical hold within that 30-day time period. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. Clinical holds also may be imposed by the FDA at any time before or during trials due to safety concerns or non-compliance. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that submission of an IND will result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to begin or, once begun, issues will not arise that result in the suspension or termination of such trial.

All clinical trials must be conducted under the supervision of one or more qualified investigators in accordance with GCP regulations. These regulations include the requirement that all research subjects provide informed consent. Further, an institutional review board, or IRB, must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at any institution. An IRB considers, among other things, whether the risks to individuals participating in the trials are minimized and are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. The IRB also approves the information regarding the clinical trial and the consent form that must be provided to each clinical trial subject or his or her legal representative and must monitor the clinical trial until completed. Once an IND is in effect, each new clinical protocol and any amendments to the protocol must be submitted to the IND for FDA review, and to the IRBs for approval.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined:

*Phase 1.* The product is initially introduced into healthy human subjects and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion. In the case of some products for severe or life-threatening diseases, especially when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing may be conducted in patients having the specific disease.

*Phase 2.* Phase 2 trials involve investigations in a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance and the optimal dosage and schedule.

*Phase 3.* Clinical trials are undertaken to further evaluate dosage, clinical efficacy and safety in an expanded patient population at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. These trials are intended to establish the overall risk/benefit ratio of the product and provide an adequate basis for regulatory approval and product labeling.

Post-approval studies, also called Phase 4 trials, may be conducted after initial marketing approvals. These studies are used to obtain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication and may be required by the FDA as part of the approval process.

Progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted at least annually to the FDA and safety reports must be submitted to the FDA and the investigators for serious and unexpected side effects. Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 testing may not be completed successfully within any specified period, if at all. The FDA or the sponsor may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Similarly, an IRB can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or if the drug or biologic has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients.

Concurrent with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the chemistry and physical characteristics of the product and finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with cGMP requirements. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product candidate and, among other things, the manufacturer must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality and purity of the final product. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the product candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

During the development of a new drug or biologic, a sponsor may be able to request a Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA, the purpose of which is to reach agreement with the FDA on the Phase 3 clinical trial protocol design and analysis that will form the primary basis of an efficacy claim. An SPA is intended to provide assurance that if the agreed upon clinical trial protocol is followed, the clinical trial endpoints are achieved, and there is a favorable risk-benefit profile, the data may serve as the primary basis for an efficacy claim in support of a BLA or an NDA. However, an SPA is not a guarantee of an approval of a product candidate or any permissible claims about the product candidate. In particular, SPAs are not binding on the FDA if previously unrecognized public health concerns arise during the performance of the clinical trial, other new scientific concerns regarding product candidate's safety or efficacy arise, or if the sponsoring company fails to comply with the agreed upon clinical trial protocol.

The results of product development, preclinical studies and clinical trials, along with descriptions of the manufacturing process, analytical tests conducted on the biologic or drug, proposed labeling and other relevant information, are submitted to the FDA as part of an NDA or BLA, requesting approval to market the product. The submission of an NDA or BLA is subject to the payment of substantial user fees which may be waived under certain limited circumstances.

#### ***FDA Review of Biologics License Applications and New Drug Applications***

The FDA reviews all BLAs and NDAs submitted to ensure that they are sufficiently complete for substantive review before it accepts them for filing. The FDA may request additional information rather than accept a BLA or an NDA for filing. In this event, the BLA or NDA must be re-submitted with the additional information. The re-submitted application also is subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review. Under the goals and policies agreed to by the FDA under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, the FDA has ten months in which to complete the initial review of a standard BLA or NDA and respond to the applicant and six months for a priority BLA or NDA. The FDA does not always meet its PDUFA goal dates for standard and priority BLAs or NDAs. The FDA reviews an NDA to determine, among other things, whether a product is safe and effective for its intended use and whether its manufacturing is cGMP-compliant to assure and preserve the product's identity, strength, quality and purity. The FDA reviews a BLA to determine, among other things, whether the product is safe, pure, and potent and the facility in which it is manufactured, processed, packaged or held meets standards designed to assure the products continued safety, purity

and potency. Before approving an NDA or BLA, the FDA will inspect the facility or facilities where the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve an application unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements, and additionally, in the case of biologics in accordance with cGTP guidelines, and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. The FDA may refer the NDA or BLA to an advisory committee for review, evaluation and recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. An advisory committee is a panel of independent experts who provide advice and recommendations when requested by the FDA on matters of importance that come before the agency. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee.

The approval process is lengthy and difficult and the FDA may refuse to approve a BLA an NDA if the applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied or may require additional clinical data or other data and information.

Even if such data and information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the BLA or NDA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. Data obtained from clinical trials are not always conclusive and the FDA may interpret data differently than we interpret the same data. The FDA will issue a complete response letter if the agency decides not to approve the BLA or NDA in its present form. The complete response letter usually describes all of the specific deficiencies that the FDA identified in the BLA or NDA. The deficiencies identified may be minor, for example, requiring labeling changes, or major, for example, requiring additional clinical trials. Additionally, the complete response letter may include recommended actions that the applicant might take to conform the application to a condition suitable for approval. If a complete response letter is issued, the applicant may either resubmit the BLA or NDA, addressing all of the deficiencies identified in the letter, withdraw the application, or request an opportunity for a hearing.

If a product receives regulatory approval, the approval may be significantly limited to specific diseases and dosages or the indications for use may otherwise be limited, which could restrict the commercial value of the product. Further, the FDA may require that certain contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling. In addition, the FDA may require Phase 4 testing which involves clinical trials designed to further assess a drug's or biologic's safety and effectiveness after BLA or NDA approval and may require testing and surveillance programs to monitor the safety of approved products that have been commercialized.

### ***Orphan Drug Designation***

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan designation to a drug or biological product intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or more than 200,000 individuals in the United States and for which there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making a drug or biological product available in the United States for this type of disease or condition will be recovered from sales of the product. Orphan product designation must be requested before submitting an NDA or BLA. After the FDA grants orphan product designation, the identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan product designation does not convey any advantage in or shorten the duration of the regulatory review and approval process. In addition to the potential for a period of exclusivity, we may be eligible for grant funding of up to \$400,000 per year for four years to defray costs of clinical trial expenses, tax credits for clinical research expenses and potential exemption from the FDA application user fee.

If a product that has orphan designation subsequently receives the first FDA approval for the disease or condition for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan product exclusivity, which means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug or biological product for the same indication for seven years, except in limited circumstances, such as (i) the drug's orphan designation is revoked; (ii) its marketing approval is withdrawn; (iii) the orphan exclusivity holder consents to the approval of another applicant's product; (iv) the orphan exclusivity holder is unable to assure the availability of a sufficient quantity of drug; or (v) a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity by a competitor product. Competitors, however, may receive approval of different products for the indication for which the orphan product has exclusivity or obtain approval for the same product but for a different indication for which the orphan product has exclusivity. Orphan product exclusivity also could block the approval of one of our products for seven years if a competitor obtains approval of the same drug or biological product as defined by the FDA or if our drug or biological candidate is determined to be contained within the competitor's product for the same indication or disease. If a drug or biological product designated as an orphan product receives marketing approval for an indication broader than what is designated, it may not be entitled to orphan product exclusivity. Orphan drug status in the European Union has similar but not identical benefits in the European Union.

In February 2011, we received Orphan Drug Designation for NurOwn for the treatment of ALS in the United States. In July 2013, we received Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for NurOwn for the treatment of ALS from the European Commission.

### ***Patent Term Restoration and Marketing Exclusivity***

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of FDA marketing approval of our product candidates, some of our U.S. patents may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, commonly referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit a patent restoration term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent term restoration cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the time between (a) the effective date of an IND and the submission date of a BLA or an NDA

plus (b) the time between the submission date of a BLA or an NDA and the approval of that application. Only one patent applicable to an approved drug or biologic is eligible for the extension and the application for the extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of the patent and within 60 days of approval of the drug or biologic. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves the application for any patent term extension or restoration.

***Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009***

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, amended the PHSA to create a new licensure framework for biosimilar products, which could ultimately subject our biological product candidates to competition. Under the BPCIA, a manufacturer may submit an application for licensure of a biological product that is "biosimilar to" or "interchangeable with" a referenced, branded biologic product. Previously, there had been no licensure pathway for such biosimilar or interchangeable products. For purposes of the BPCIA, a reference product is defined as the single biological product licensed under a full BLA against which a biological product is evaluated in an application submitted under a follow-on BLA.

The BPCIA also created a 12-year period of reference product exclusivity, which can be extended to 12.5 years with pediatric exclusivity. The 12-year exclusivity period begins on the date of first licensure of the reference product under the PHSA and during which the licensure of a follow-on application for a biosimilar or interchangeable product cannot be made effective. During the first four years (or four and one-half years with pediatric exclusivity) of the 12-year period, an application for a biosimilar or interchangeable version of the reference product cannot be submitted to the FDA. Under budget proposals submitted by President Obama, the Administration has requested that reference product exclusivity would decrease from twelve to seven years. Congress has not yet enacted such a change in the BPCIA, but could move to enact such a decrease in the reference product exclusivity period.

The BPCIA includes limits on obtaining 12-year reference product exclusivity for certain changes or modifications to the reference product. A separate 12-year reference product exclusivity period does not apply to:

- a BLA supplement for the product that is the reference product;
- a subsequent BLA filed by the same reference product sponsor or manufacturer (or a licensor, predecessor in interest, or other related entity) for a change (not including a modification to the structure of the biological product) that results in a new indication, route of administration, dosing schedule, dosage form, delivery system, delivery device or strength; or
- a modification to the structure of the biological product that does not result in a change in safety, purity or potency.

In February 2012, the FDA issued three draft guidance documents on biosimilar product development. The FDA is soliciting comments on the draft guidance documents which are described by the FDA as follows: (1) Scientific Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity to a Reference Product, which is intended to assist companies in demonstrating that a proposed therapeutic protein product is biosimilar to a reference product for the purpose of submitting an application, called a "351(k)" application, to the FDA. This draft guidance describes a risk-based "totality-of-the-evidence" approach that the FDA intends to use to evaluate the data and information submitted in support of a determination of biosimilarity of the proposed product to the reference product; (2) Quality Considerations in Demonstrating Biosimilarity to a Reference Protein Product, which provides an overview of analytical factors to consider when assessing biosimilarity between a proposed therapeutic protein product and a reference product for the purpose of submitting a 351(k) application; and (3) Biosimilars: Questions and Answers Regarding Implementation of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, which provides answers to common questions from people interested in developing biosimilar products. We cannot predict when or whether these draft guidance documents will ever be finalized or what changes the agency may make in its approach to implementation of the BPCIA.

In addition to creating a 12-year period of reference product exclusivity, the BPCIA clarifies the interaction of that exclusivity with orphan drug exclusivity, such that, if a reference product has been designated for a rare disease or condition the licensure of a biosimilar or interchangeable version of a reference product for such disease or condition may only occur after the later of the expiration of any applicable seven-year orphan drug exclusivity or the 12-year reference product exclusivity (or seven and one-half years and 12.5 years with pediatric exclusivity).

Our biological product candidates, if approved, could be considered reference products entitled to 12-year exclusivity. Even if our products are considered to be reference products eligible for exclusivity, another company could market a competing version of any of our biological products if the FDA approves a full BLA for such product containing the sponsor's own preclinical data and data from adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to demonstrate the safety, purity and potency of their product.

The BPCIA also sets forth a complex mechanism for resolving patent disputes that involves a step-wise exchange of information prior to the initiation of a patent infringement lawsuit against a biosimilar or interchangeable product sponsor. Unlike the Hatch-Waxman Act, the BPCIA provides no automatic stay on approval of a biosimilar product application, except an interchangeable product receives the lesser of one year of exclusivity after the date of first commercial marketing or 18 months of exclusivity after a final court decision or dismissal of a patent challenge or, if the applicant has not been sued, after approval. The BPCIA does not prevent a competitor from conducting its own clinical trials and submitting a full BLA on the same or similar product.

### ***Post-Approval Requirements***

Any drugs for which we receive FDA approval are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse effects with the product, reporting of changes in distributed products which would require field alert reports (FARs) for drugs and biological product deviation reports

(BPDRs), providing the FDA with updated safety and efficacy information, product sampling and distribution requirements, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements. In September 2007, the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 was enacted, giving the FDA enhanced post-marketing authority, including the authority to require postmarketing studies and clinical trials, labeling changes based on new safety information, and compliance with risk evaluations and mitigation strategies, or REMS, approved by the FDA. The FDA strictly regulates labeling, advertising, promotion and other types of information on products that are placed on the market. Drugs and biologics may be promoted only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved label. Further, manufacturers of drugs and biologics must continue to comply with cGMP requirements, which are extensive and require considerable time, resources and ongoing investment to ensure compliance. In addition, changes to the manufacturing process generally require prior FDA approval before being implemented and other types of changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications and additional labeling claims, are also subject to further FDA review and approval.

Drug and biologic manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacturing and distribution of approved drugs and biologics are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with cGMP, GTP applicable to biologics, and other laws. The cGMP requirements apply to all stages of the manufacturing process, including the production, processing, sterilization, packaging, labeling, storage and shipment of the drug. Manufacturers must establish validated systems to ensure that products meet specifications and regulatory standards, and test each product batch or lot prior to its release.

The FDA may withdraw a product approval if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur after the product reaches the market. Discovery of previously unknown problems with a product subsequent to its approval may result in restrictions on the product or even complete withdrawal of the product from the market. Further, the failure to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements may result in administrative or judicial actions, such as fines, warning letters, holds on clinical trials, product recalls or seizures, product detention or refusal to permit the import or export of products, refusal to approve pending applications or supplements, restrictions on marketing or manufacturing, injunctions or civil or criminal penalties.

From time to time, legislation is drafted, introduced and passed in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the approval, manufacturing and marketing of products regulated by the FDA. In addition to new legislation, the FDA regulations and policies are often revised or reinterpreted by the agency in ways that may significantly affect our business and our product candidates. It is impossible to predict whether further legislative or FDA regulation or policy changes will be enacted or implemented and what the impact of such changes, if any, may be.

### ***Foreign Regulation***

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our product candidates to the extent we choose to clinically evaluate or sell any products outside of the United States. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country. As in the United States, post-approval regulatory requirements, such as those regarding product manufacture, marketing, or distribution would apply to any product that is approved outside the United States.

### ***Third Party Payor Coverage and Reimbursement***

Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any of our biologic or drug candidates for which we obtain regulatory approval. In both the United States and foreign markets, our ability to commercialize our product candidates successfully, and to attract commercialization partners for our product candidates, depends in significant part on the availability of adequate financial coverage and reimbursement from third party payors, including, in the United States, governmental payors such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs, managed care organizations, and private health insurers. Medicare is a federally funded program managed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, through local fiscal intermediaries and carriers that administer coverage and reimbursement for certain healthcare items and services furnished to the elderly and disabled. Medicaid is an insurance program for certain categories of patients whose income and assets fall below state defined levels and who are otherwise uninsured that is both federally and state funded and managed by each state. The federal government sets general guidelines for Medicaid and each state creates specific regulations that govern its individual program. Each payor has its own process and standards for determining whether it will cover and reimburse a procedure or particular product. Private payors often rely on the lead of the governmental payors in rendering coverage and reimbursement determinations. Therefore, achieving favorable CMS coverage and reimbursement is usually a significant gating issue for successful introduction of a new product. The competitive position of some of our products will depend, in part, upon the extent of coverage and adequate reimbursement for such products and for the procedures in which such products are used. Prices at which we or our customers seek reimbursement for our product candidates can be subject to challenge, reduction or denial by the government and other payors.

The U.S. Congress and state legislatures may, from time to time, propose and adopt initiatives aimed at cost containment, which could impact our ability to sell our product candidates profitably. For example, in March 2010,

President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the associated reconciliation bill, which we refer to collectively as the Health Care Reform Law, a sweeping law intended to broaden access to health insurance, reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhance remedies against fraud and abuse, add new transparency requirements for healthcare and health insurance industries, impose new taxes and fees on the health industry and impose additional health policy reforms. Effective October 1, 2010, the Health Care Reform Law revises the definition of “average manufacturer price” for reporting purposes, which could increase the amount of Medicaid drug rebates to states once the provision is effective. Further, the law imposes a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products. Substantial new provisions affecting compliance have also been enacted, which may require us to modify our business practices with healthcare practitioners. We will not know the full effects of the Health Care Reform Law until applicable federal and state agencies issue regulations or guidance under the new law.

Although it is too early to determine the effect of the Health Care Reform Law, the new law appears likely to continue the pressure on pharmaceutical pricing, especially under the Medicare program, and may also increase our regulatory burdens and operating costs. Moreover, in the coming years, additional changes could be made to governmental healthcare programs that could significantly impact the success of our product candidates.

The cost of pharmaceuticals continues to generate substantial governmental and third party payor interest. We expect that the pharmaceutical industry will experience pricing pressures due to the trend toward managed healthcare, the increasing influence of managed care organizations and additional legislative proposals. Our results of operations could be adversely affected by current and future healthcare reforms.

Some third party payors also require pre-approval of coverage for new or innovative devices, biologics or drug therapies before they will reimburse healthcare providers that use such therapies. While we cannot predict whether any proposed cost-containment measures will be adopted or otherwise implemented in the future, the announcement or adoption of these proposals could have a material adverse effect on our ability to obtain adequate prices for our product candidates and operate profitably.

Different pricing and reimbursement schemes exist in other countries. In the European Community, governments influence the price of pharmaceutical products through their pricing and reimbursement rules and control of national health care systems that fund a large part of the cost of those products to consumers. Some jurisdictions operate positive and negative list systems under which products may only be marketed once a reimbursement price has been agreed. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval, some of these countries may require the completion of clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of a particular drug or biological candidate to currently available therapies. Other member states allow companies to fix their own prices for medicines, but monitor and control company profits. The downward pressure on health care costs in general, particularly prescription drugs and biologics, has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. In addition, in some countries, cross-border imports from low-priced markets exert a commercial pressure on pricing within a country.

### ***Other Healthcare Laws and Compliance Requirements***

In the United States, our activities are potentially subject to regulation by various federal, state and local authorities in addition to the FDA, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, other divisions of the United States Department of Health and Human Services (e.g., the Office of Inspector General), the United States Department of Justice and individual United States Attorney offices within the Department of Justice, and state and local governments. These regulations include:

- the federal healthcare program anti-kickback law, which prohibits, among other things, persons from soliciting, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, for an item or service or the purchasing or ordering of a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;
- federal false claims laws which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other government reimbursement programs that are false or fraudulent;
- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, which prohibits executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters and which also imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;
- the federal transparency requirements under the Health Care Reform Law requires manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics, and medical supplies to report to the Department of Health and Human Services information related to physician payments and other transfers of value and physician ownership and investment interests;
- the FDCA, which among other things, strictly regulates drug and biologic product marketing, prohibits manufacturers from marketing drug or biologic products for off-label use and regulates the distribution of drug samples; and
- state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third party payor, including commercial insurers, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by federal laws, thus complicating compliance efforts.

### **Compliance with Environmental, Health and Safety Laws**

In addition to FDA regulations, we are also subject to evolving federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. In the past, compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations has not had a material effect on our capital expenditures. We believe that we comply in all material respects with existing environmental, health and safety laws and regulations applicable to us. Compliance with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in the future may require additional capital expenditures.

### **Competition**

There are a number of clinical trials underway for potential treatments for ALS, of which only two are stem cell-based trials being conducted by other commercial entities. One is US-based Neuralstem (CUR), which is currently conducting a Phase II trial for its allogeneic, human (fetal) spinal cord derived neural stem cells. The other is Corestem, a Korean company, which is currently conducting two Phase I stem cell-based clinical trials. One is a recently launched Phase I trial with allogeneic bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells, and a previous trial, which is not actively recruiting, is with autologous, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. There is little public information available about Corestem. Five non-stem cell-based companies are undergoing Phase I/II, Phase II or Phase III clinical trials for ALS. A number of academic institutions are also developing treatment candidates for ALS.

### **Employees**

We currently have 16 employees, 14 of whom are full-time. None of our employees is represented by a labor union.

## WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We maintain a website at [www.brainstorm-cell.com](http://www.brainstorm-cell.com). We make available through our website, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file those reports with, or furnish them to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. We also similarly make available, free of charge through our website, the reports filed with the SEC by our executive officers, directors and 10% stockholders pursuant to Section 16 under the Exchange Act. We are not including the information contained at [www.brainstorm-cell.com](http://www.brainstorm-cell.com) or at any other Internet address as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

*We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks, some of which are beyond our control. Forward looking statements in this report and those made from time to time by us through our senior management are made under the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward looking statements concerning the expected future revenues, earnings or financial results or concerning project plans, performance, or development of products and services, as well as other estimates related to future operations are necessarily only estimates of future results and there can be no assurance that actual results will not materially differ from expectations. Forward-looking statements represent management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. We do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.*

#### **Risks related to our business**

***We need to raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise additional capital on favorable terms and in a timely manner, we will not be able to execute our business plan and we could be forced to restrict or cease our operations.***

We will need to raise additional funds to meet our anticipated expenses so that we can execute our business plan. We expect to incur substantial and increasing net losses for the foreseeable future as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. Our auditors have expressed in their audit report that there is substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern.

The amount of financing required will depend on many factors including our financial requirements to fund our research and clinical trials, and our ability to secure partnerships and achieve partnership milestones as well as to fund other working capital requirements. Our ability to access the capital markets or to enlist partners is mainly dependent on the progress of our research and development and regulatory approval of our products.

We expect that the net proceeds of the August 16, 2013 Offering will be insufficient to meet our obligations in the upcoming 12 months, as we commence and pursue clinical trials in the United States, and that additional capital will be required in order to finance the Company's planned operations or the Company will reduce its costs, including curtailing its current plan to accelerate pursuit of U.S. clinical trials, in order to continue operating for the next 12 months.

Assuming we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity, equity-related or debt securities, these securities may have rights, preferences or privileges (including registrations rights) senior to those of the rights of our common stock and our stockholders will experience additional dilution.

***Our independent registered public accounting firm has expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.***

As described in Note 1 of our accompanying financial statements, our auditors in their audit opinion have expressed concern with respect to our ability to continue as a going concern, as well as referred to Note 1 of our financial statements in this regard. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty. If we cannot continue as a viable entity, our stockholders may lose some or all of their investment in us.

***If our NurOwn treatment candidate does not demonstrate safety and efficacy sufficient to obtain regulatory approval, it will not receive regulatory approval and we will be unable to market it.***

The therapeutic treatment development and regulatory approval process is expensive, uncertain and time-consuming. The timing of any future regulatory approval, if any, for our NurOwn treatment candidate cannot be accurately predicted. We do not expect to receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates until at least 2015, if ever. If we fail to obtain regulatory approval for our NurOwn treatment candidate, we will be unable to market and sell it and we may never be profitable.

As part of the regulatory process, we must conduct clinical trials, including Phase 2 and Phase 3 clinical trials, for our NurOwn treatment candidate to demonstrate safety and efficacy in humans to the satisfaction of the FDA and regulatory authorities in other countries.

A failure of one or more of our clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. Previous results obtained in uncontrolled clinical trials may not be predictive of future results obtained in controlled clinical trials. Interim results obtained in clinical trials may not be confirmed upon full analysis of the results of a clinical trial. Results of later stage clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy despite acceptable results in earlier clinical trials. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their treatments.

Specifically, we have not yet compared our NurOwn treatment candidate against placebo or any other active therapy control group. While comparisons of outcomes to results from other reported clinical trials can provide some insight into the efficacy of our NurOwn treatment candidate, there are many factors that affect the outcome of clinical trials, some of which are not apparent in published reports, and results from two different trials cannot always be reliably compared.

***We are currently searching for a new Chief Executive Officer. If we were to unable to hire and retain an experienced and qualified CEO, we may experience difficulty executing our business strategy.***

Our future success depends in a large part upon the continued service of key members of our senior management team. Alon Natanson, our former Chief Executive Officer, resigned from the Company effective October 28, 2013. Chaim Lebovits, our President, has assumed the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis while we continue to search for a new Chief Executive Officer. Identifying and hiring an experienced and qualified Chief Executive Officer may be difficult for a small, development stage, biotech company such as ours. In particular, we expect that the CEO we hire will be critical to the overall management of the Company as well as the development of our technology, our culture and our strategic direction. If we are unable to hire and retain an experienced CEO or if we lose any other key members of our management or personnel we may not be able to execute our business strategy. We are currently searching for a new Chief Executive Officer and are deliberating over the candidates in order to hire the most qualified and best possible candidate.

***Our business in the foreseeable future will be based on technology licensed from Ramot and if this license were to be terminated upon failure to make required royalty payments in the future, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations.***

Agreements we and our Israeli Subsidiary have with Ramot impose on us royalty payment obligations. If we fail to comply with these obligations, Ramot may have the right to terminate the license under certain circumstances. If Ramot elects to terminate our license, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to cease our operations. We currently do not owe Ramot any overdue payments. Royalties are due upon commencement of revenues by the Company.

***Our company has a history of losses and we expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future.***

As a development stage company, we are in the early stages of executing our business plan. We had no revenues for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012. Our ability to operate successfully is materially uncertain and our operations are subject to significant risks inherent in a developing business enterprise. We are currently in the process of introducing the Company to strategic partners. In the upcoming three years, the Company will focus on clinical trials. We are unable at this time to foresee when we will generate revenues from strategic partnerships or otherwise. Furthermore, we expect to incur substantial and increasing operating losses for the next

several years as we increase our spending to execute our development programs. These losses are expected to have an adverse impact on our working capital, total assets and stockholders' equity, and we may never achieve profitability.

***Our product development programs are based on novel technologies and are inherently risky.***

We are subject to the risks of failure inherent in the development of products based on new technologies. The novel nature of our stem cell therapy creates significant challenges with regard to product development and optimization, manufacturing, government regulations, and market acceptance. For example, the FDA has relatively limited experience with stem cell therapies. None have been approved by them for commercial sale, and the pathway to regulatory approval for our cell therapy product candidates may accordingly be more complex and lengthy. As a result, the development and commercialization pathway for our therapies may be subject to increased uncertainty, as compared to the pathway for new conventional drugs.

***We are faced with uncertainties related to our research.***

Our research programs are based on scientific hypotheses and experimental approaches that may not lead to desired results. In addition, the timeframe for obtaining proof of principle and other results may be considerably longer than originally anticipated, or may not be possible given time, resource, financial, strategic and collaborator scientific constraints. Success in one stage of testing is not necessarily an indication that the particular program will succeed in later stages of testing and development. It is not possible to predict, based upon studies in in-vitro models and in animals, whether any of the therapies designed for these programs will prove to be safe, effective, and suitable for human use. Each therapy will require additional research and development, scale-up, formulation and extensive clinical testing in humans. Unsatisfactory results obtained from a particular study relating to a program may cause the Company to abandon its commitment to that program or to the lead therapy or product candidate being tested. The discovery of unexpected toxicities, lack of sufficient efficacy, unacceptable pharmacology, inability to increase scale of manufacture, market attractiveness, regulatory hurdles, competition, as well as other factors, may make our targets, lead therapies or product candidates unattractive or unsuitable for human use, and we may abandon our commitment to that program, target, lead therapy or product candidate. In addition, preliminary results seen in animal and/or limited human testing may not be substantiated in larger controlled clinical trials.

***If serious or unexpected adverse side effects are identified during the development of our NurOwn treatment candidate, we may need to abandon or limit its development.***

If patients treated with our NurOwn treatment candidate suffer serious or unexpected adverse effects, we may need to abandon its development or limit development to certain uses or subpopulations in which these effects are less prevalent, less severe or more acceptable from a risk-benefit perspective.

***The field of stem cell therapy is relatively new and our development efforts may not yield an effective treatment of human diseases.***

Our intended cell therapeutic treatment methods for ALS involve a new approach that has not yet been proven to work in humans. We are currently conducting Phase IIa clinical trials for ALS, which, together with other stem cell therapies, may ultimately prove ineffective in treatment of human diseases. If we cannot successfully implement our NurOwn stem cell therapy in human testing, we would need to change our business strategy and we may be forced to change our operations.

***Our NurOwn treatment candidate is based on a novel technology, which may raise development issues that we may not be able to resolve, regulatory issues that could delay or prevent approval or personnel issues that may keep us from being able to develop our treatments.***

Regulatory approval of treatment candidates that utilize novel technology such as ours can be more expensive and take longer than for other treatments that are based on more well-known or more extensively studied technology, due to our and the regulatory agencies' lack of experience with them. This may lengthen the regulatory review process, require us to perform additional studies, including clinical trials, increase our development costs, lead to changes in regulatory positions and interpretations, delay or prevent approval and commercialization of these treatment candidates or lead to significant post-approval limitations or restrictions. For example, the differentiated cell component of our NurOwn treatment candidate is a complex biologic product that is manufactured from the patient's own bone marrow that must be appropriately harvested, isolated, expanded and differentiated so that its identity, strength, quality, purity and potency may be characterized prior to release for treatment. No differentiated cell treatment for ALS has yet been approved for marketing by the FDA or any other regulatory agency. The tests that we use to make identity, strength, quality, purity and potency determinations on our NurOwn treatment candidate may not be sufficient to satisfy the FDA's expectations regarding the criteria required for release of products for patient treatment and the regulatory agency may require us to employ additional testing measures for this purpose, which could require us to undertake additional testing and/or additional clinical trials.

The novel nature of our NurOwn treatment candidate also means that fewer people are trained in or experienced with treatments of this type, which may make it difficult to recruit, hire and retain capable personnel for the research, development and manufacturing positions that will be required to continue our development and commercialization efforts.

***A significant global market for our services has yet to emerge.***

Very few companies have been successful in their efforts to develop and commercialize a stem cell product. Some stem cell products in general may be susceptible to various risks, including undesirable and unintended side effects, unintended immune system responses, inadequate therapeutic efficacy, or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their approval or commercial use. The demand for stem cell processing and the number of people who may use cell or tissue-based therapies is difficult to forecast. Physicians, patients, formularies, third party payers or the medical community in general may not accept or utilize any products that the Company or its collaborative partners may develop. Our success is dependent on the establishment of a large global market for our products and services and our ability to capture a share of this market.

***We have limited experience in conducting and managing clinical trials and the application process necessary to obtain regulatory approvals.***

Our limited experience in conducting and managing clinical trials and the application process necessary to obtain regulatory approvals might prevent us from successfully designing or implementing a preclinical study or clinical trial. Cell-based therapy products, in general, may be susceptible to various risks, including undesirable and unintended side effects, unintended immune system responses, inadequate therapeutic efficacy or other characteristics that may prevent or limit their approval by regulators or commercial use. Many companies in the industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, despite promising results in earlier trials. If our clinical trials are unsuccessful, or if we do not complete our clinical trials, we may not receive regulatory approval for or be able to commercialize our product candidates.

If we do not succeed in conducting and managing our preclinical development activities or clinical trials, or in obtaining regulatory approvals, we might not be able to commercialize our product candidates, or might be significantly delayed in doing so, which will materially harm our business.

Our ability to generate revenues from any of our product candidates will depend on a number of factors, including our ability to successfully complete clinical trials, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and implement our commercialization strategy. We may, and anticipate that we will need to, transition from a company with a research and development focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities and we may not succeed in such a transition.

***We may not be able to secure and maintain research institutions to conduct our clinical trials.***

We rely on research institutions to conduct our clinical trials. Our reliance upon research institutions, including hospitals and clinics, provides us with less control over the timing and cost of clinical trials and the ability to recruit subjects. If we are unable to reach agreements with suitable research institutions on acceptable terms, or if any resulting agreement is terminated, we may be unable to quickly replace the research institution with another qualified institution on acceptable terms. Furthermore, we may not be able to secure and maintain suitable research institutions to conduct our clinical trials.

***We are subject to a strict regulatory environment. If we fail to obtain and maintain required regulatory approvals for our potential cell therapy products, our ability to commercialize our potential cell therapy products will be severely limited.***

None of our product candidates have received regulatory approval for commercial sale. We do not expect to receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates until at least 2015, if ever.

Numerous statutes and regulations govern human testing and the manufacture and sale of human therapeutic products in the United States and other countries where we intend to market our products. Such legislation and regulation bears upon, among other things, the approval of protocols and human testing, the approval of manufacturing facilities, testing procedures and controlled research, review and approval of manufacturing, preclinical and clinical data prior to marketing approval including adherence to GMP during production and storage as well as regulation of marketing activities including advertising and labeling.

The completion of the clinical testing of our product candidates and the obtaining of required approvals are expected to take several years and require the expenditure of substantial resources. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, the clinical trial process that could delay or prevent regulatory approval and/or commercialization of our product candidates, including the following:

- The FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may find that our product candidates are not sufficiently safe or effective or may find our processes or facilities unsatisfactory;
- Officials at the Israeli MoH, the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may interpret data from preclinical studies and clinical trials differently than we do;
- Our clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results or may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the Israeli MoH, the FDA or other regulatory authorities, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional preclinical studies and/or clinical trials or to abandon one or more of our development programs;
-

The Israeli MoH, the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities may change their approval policies or adopt new regulations;

- There may be delays or failure in obtaining approval of our clinical trial protocols from the Israeli MoH, the FDA or other regulatory authorities or obtaining institutional review board approvals or government approvals to conduct clinical trials at prospective sites;
- We, or regulators, may suspend or terminate our clinical trials because the participating patients are being exposed to unacceptable health risks or undesirable side effects;
- We may experience difficulties in managing multiple clinical sites;
- Enrollment in our clinical trials for our product candidates may occur more slowly than we anticipate, or we may experience high drop-out rates of subjects in our clinical trials, resulting in significant delays; and
- We may be unable to manufacture or obtain from third party manufacturers sufficient quantities of our product candidates for use in clinical trials.

Investors should be aware of the risks, problems, delays, expenses and difficulties which may be encountered by us in light of the extensive regulatory environment in which our business operates. In particular, our development costs will increase if we have material delays in our clinical trials, or if we are required to modify, suspend, terminate or repeat a clinical trial. If we are unable to conduct our clinical trials properly and on schedule, marketing approval may be delayed or denied by the Israeli MoH or the FDA.

Even if a product candidate is approved by the Israeli MoH, the FDA or any other regulatory authority, we may not obtain approval for an indication whose market is large enough to recoup our investment in that product candidate. We may never obtain the required regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, manufacturer or facility may result in restrictions on the product or manufacturer, including a withdrawal of the product from the market.

***Even if regulatory approvals are obtained for our product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing government regulation. If we or one or more of our partners or collaborators fail to comply with applicable current and future laws and government regulations, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.***

The healthcare industry is one of the most highly regulated industries in the United States. The federal government, individual state and local governments and private accreditation organizations all oversee and monitor the activities of individuals and businesses engaged in the delivery of health care products and services. Even if regulatory authorities approve any of our human therapeutic product candidates, current laws, rules and regulations that could directly or indirectly affect our ability and the ability of our strategic partners and customers to operate each of their businesses could include, without limitation, the following:

- State and local licensing, registration and regulation of laboratories, the collection, processing and storage of human cells and tissue, and the development and manufacture of pharmaceuticals and biologics;
- The federal Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act and amendments of 1988;
- Laws and regulations administered by the FDA, including the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act and related laws and regulations;
- The Public Health Service Act and related laws and regulations;
- Laws and regulations administered by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, including the Office for Human Research Protections;
- State laws and regulations governing human subject research;
- Occupational Safety and Health requirements; and
- State and local laws and regulations dealing with the handling and disposal of medical waste.

Compliance with such regulation may be expensive and consume substantial financial and management resources. If we, or any future marketing collaborators or contract manufacturers, fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, we may be subject to sanctions including fines, product recalls or seizures, injunctions, total or partial suspension of production, civil penalties, withdrawal of regulatory approvals and criminal prosecution. Any of these sanctions could delay or prevent the promotion, marketing or sale of our products.

***Our NurOwn treatment candidate, even if approved, may not be accepted in the marketplace; therefore, we may not be able to generate significant revenue, if any.***

Even if our NurOwn treatment candidate is approved for sale, physicians and the medical community may not ultimately use it or may use it only in applications more restricted than we anticipate. Our NurOwn treatment candidate, if successfully developed, will compete with a number of traditional products manufactured and marketed by major pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Our NurOwn treatment candidate may also compete with new products currently under development by such companies and others. Physicians will prescribe a treatment only if they determine, based on experience, clinical data, side effect profiles and other factors, that it is beneficial as

compared to other products currently available and in use. Physicians also will prescribe a product based on their traditional preferences. Many other factors influence the adoption of new products, including patient perceptions and preferences, marketing and distribution restrictions, adverse publicity, product pricing, views of thought leaders in the medical community and reimbursement by government and private payers. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

***Adoption of our NurOwn treatment candidate for the treatment of patients with ALS, or other neurodegenerative diseases, even if approved, may be slow or limited. If our NurOwn treatment candidate does not achieve broad acceptance as a treatment option for ALS, or other neurodegenerative diseases, our business would be harmed.***

If approved, the rate of adoption of our NurOwn treatment candidate as a treatment for ALS, or other neurodegenerative diseases, and the ultimate sales volume for our treatment, will depend on several factors, including educating treating physicians on how to use our NurOwn treatment candidate. Our NurOwn treatment candidate utilizes individualized stem cell therapy, which is significantly different from the pharmacological approach currently used to treat neurodegenerative diseases. Acceptance of our NurOwn treatment candidate by treating physicians may require us to provide them with extensive education regarding the mechanism of action of our treatment, the method of delivery of the treatment, expected side effects and the method of monitoring patients for efficacy and follow-up. In addition, the manufacturing and delivery processes associated with our treatment will require treating physicians to adjust their current treatment of patients, which may delay or prevent market adoption of our NurOwn treatment candidate as a preferred therapy, even if approved.

***We are subject to environmental, health and safety laws.***

We are subject to various laws and regulations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices, the experimental use of animals and humans, emissions and wastewater discharges, and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances used in connection with our research. We also cannot accurately predict the extent of regulations that might result from any future legislative or administrative action. Any of these laws or regulations could cause us to incur additional expense or restrict our operations.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations may be expensive, and current or future environmental regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts.

***Our success will depend in part on establishing and maintaining effective strategic partnerships and collaborations, which may impose restrictions on our business and subject us to additional regulation.***

A key aspect of our business strategy is to establish strategic relationships in order, to expand or complement our research and development or commercialization capabilities, and to reduce the cost of research and development. There can be no assurance that we will enter into such relationships, that the arrangements will be on favorable terms or that such relationships will be successful. If we are ultimately successful in executing our strategy of securing collaborations with companies that would undertake advanced clinical development and commercialization of our products, we may not have day-to-day control over their activities. Any such collaborator may adhere to criteria for determining whether to proceed with a clinical development program under circumstances where we might have continued such a program. Potential collaborators may have significant discretion in determining the efforts and amount of resources that they dedicate to our collaborations or may be unwilling or unable to fulfill their obligations to us, including their development and commercialization. Potential collaborators may underfund or not commit sufficient resources to the testing, marketing, distribution or other development of our products. They may also not properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or they may utilize our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or potentially invalidate our proprietary information or expose us to potential liability. Potential collaboration partners may have the right to terminate the collaboration on relatively short notice and if they do so or if they fail to perform or satisfy their obligations to us, the development or commercialization of products would be delayed and our ability to realize any potential milestone payments and royalty revenue would be adversely affected.

***We will need to develop or acquire additional capabilities in order to commercialize our NurOwn treatment candidate, if approved for sale, and we may encounter unexpected costs or difficulties in doing so.***

We will need to acquire additional capabilities and effectively manage our operations and facilities to successfully pursue and complete future research, development and, if our NurOwn treatment candidate receives regulatory approval, commercialization efforts. Currently, we have no experience in preparing applications for marketing approval, commercial-scale manufacturing, managing of large-scale information technology systems or managing a large-scale distribution system. We will need to add personnel and expand our capabilities, which may strain our existing managerial, operational, regulatory compliance, financial and other resources. To do this effectively, we must:

- train, manage and motivate a growing employee base;
- accurately forecast demand for our treatment; and
- expand existing operational, financial and management information systems.

We will need to increase our manufacturing capacity prior to seeking approval for the sale of our products. If we are not successful in establishing a regulatory compliant manufacturing process, we may not obtain approval of products or our ability to obtain regulatory approval for sale could be delayed, which would further delay the period of time

when we would be able to generate revenues from the sale of such products, if we are even able to generate revenues at all.

***We expect to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing and sales and marketing capabilities, and as a result, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, which could disrupt our operations.***

We expect to experience significant growth in the number of our employees and the scope of our operations, particularly in the areas of product development, regulatory affairs, manufacturing and sales and marketing. To manage our anticipated future growth, we must continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems, expand our facilities and continue to recruit and train additional qualified personnel. Due to our limited financial resources and the limited experience of our management team in managing a company with such anticipated growth, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The physical expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our business plans or disrupt our operations.

***We have never manufactured our NurOwn treatment candidate at commercial scale and there can be no assurance that it can be manufactured in compliance with regulations at a cost or in quantities necessary to make it commercially viable.***

We have no experience in commercial-scale manufacturing, the management of large-scale information technology systems or the management of a large-scale distribution system. We may develop our manufacturing capacity in part by expanding our current facilities and/or by setting up additional facilities in other regions of the country. These activities would require substantial additional funds and we would need to hire and train significant numbers of qualified employees to staff these facilities. We may not be able to develop commercial-scale facilities that are sufficient to produce the treatment candidates or their components for later-stage clinical trials or commercial use.

Furthermore, we must supply all necessary documentation, including product characterization and process validation, to regulatory authorities in support of our BLA on a timely basis and must adhere to cGMP regulations and current Good Tissue Practices, or GTP, enforced by the regulatory authority through its facilities inspection program. We have not fully characterized our NurOwn treatment candidate and have not validated our manufacturing process. If the FDA determines that the products used in our clinical trials are not sufficiently characterized, we may be required to repeat all or a portion of our clinical trials. If our facilities cannot pass a pre-approval plant inspection, the regulatory approval of the treatment candidates will not be granted.

***We are subject to significant regulation with respect to manufacturing of our NurOwn treatment candidate.***

All entities involved in the preparation of a therapeutic biological for clinical trials or commercial sale are subject to extensive regulation. Our NurOwn treatment candidate must be manufactured in accordance with cGMP and GTP before it can be used in our clinical trials or approved for commercial sale. These regulations govern manufacturing processes and procedures and the implementation and operation of quality systems to control and assure the quality of investigational treatment candidates and treatments, including treatment component characterization and process validation, approved for sale. Our facilities and quality systems and the facilities and quality systems of some or all of our third party suppliers must pass a pre-approval inspection for compliance with the applicable regulations as a condition of regulatory approval of our NurOwn treatment candidate. If any inspection or audit of our manufacturing facilities identifies a failure to comply with applicable regulations, or if a violation of applicable regulations occurs independent of an inspection or audit, we or the relevant regulatory authority may require remedial measures that may be costly and/or time consuming for us or a third party to implement and that may include the temporary or permanent suspension of a clinical trial or commercial sales or the temporary or permanent closure of a facility. Any such remedial measures imposed on us or third parties with whom we contract could materially harm our business.

***Lack of coordination internally among our employees and externally with physicians, hospitals and third-party suppliers and carriers, could cause manufacturing difficulties, disruptions or delays and cause us to not meet our expected clinical trial requirements or potential commercial requirements.***

Manufacturing our NurOwn treatment candidate requires coordination internally among our employees and externally with physicians, hospitals and third-party suppliers and carriers. For example, a patient's physician or clinical site will need to coordinate with us for the shipping of a patient's bone marrow to our manufacturing facility, and we will need to coordinate with them for the shipping of the treatment components to them. Such coordination involves a number of risks that may lead to failures or delays in manufacturing our NurOwn treatment candidate, including:

- failure to obtain a sufficient supply of key raw materials of suitable quality;
- difficulties in manufacturing our treatment candidates for multiple patients simultaneously;
- difficulties in obtaining adequate patient-specific material, such as bone marrow samples, from physicians;
- difficulties in completing the development and validation of the harvested cells required to ensure the consistency of our NurOwn treatment candidate;
- failure to ensure adequate quality control and assurances in the manufacturing process as we increase production quantities;
- difficulties in the timely shipping of patient-specific materials to us or in the shipping of the treatment candidates to the treating physicians due to errors by third-party carriers, transportation restrictions or other reasons;
- loss or destruction of, or damage to, patient-specific materials or our NurOwn treatment candidate during the shipping process due to improper handling by third-party carriers, hospitals, physicians or us;
- loss or destruction of, or damage to, patient-specific materials or our NurOwn treatment candidate during storage at our facilities; and
- loss or destruction of, or damage to, patient-specific materials or our NurOwn treatment candidate stored at clinical and future commercial sites due to improper handling or holding by clinicians, hospitals or physicians.

If we are unable to coordinate appropriately, we may encounter delays or additional costs in achieving our clinical and commercialization objectives, including in obtaining regulatory approvals of our treatment candidates and supplying products, which could materially damage our business and financial position.

***We face competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies for ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases.***

We face competition in our efforts to develop cell therapies and other treatment or procedures to cure or slow the effects of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases. Among our competitors are companies that are involved in the fetal cell transplant or embryonic stem cell derived cell therapy and companies developing adult stem cells. Other companies are developing traditional chemical compounds, new biological drugs, cloned human proteins and other treatments, which are likely to impact the markets that we intend to target. Some of our competitors possess longer operating histories and greater financial, managerial, scientific and technical resources than we do and some possess greater name recognition and established customer bases. Some also have significantly more experience in preclinical testing, human clinical trials, product manufacturing, the regulatory approval process and marketing and distribution than we do.

***The trend towards consolidation in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries may adversely affect us.***

There is a trend towards consolidation in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. This consolidation trend may result in the remaining companies having greater financial resources and discovery technological capabilities, thus intensifying competition in these industries. This trend may also result in fewer potential collaborators or licensees for our therapeutic product candidates. Also, if a consolidating company is already doing business with our competitors, we may lose existing licensees or collaborators as a result of such consolidation.

***There is a scarcity of experienced professionals in the field of cell therapy and we may not be able to retain key personnel or hire new key personnel needed to implement our business strategy and develop our products and businesses. If we are unable to retain or hire key personnel, we may be unable to continue to grow our business or to implement our business strategy, and our business may be materially and adversely affected.***

Given the specialized nature of cell therapy and the fact that it is a young field, there is an inherent scarcity of experienced personnel in the field. Our success depends on a significant extent to the continued services of certain highly qualified scientific and management personnel. We face competition for qualified personnel from numerous industry sources, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain qualified personnel on acceptable terms. The loss of service of any of our key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operations or financial condition. In the event of the loss of services of such personnel, no assurance can be given that we will be able to obtain the services of adequate replacement personnel. We do not have key person life insurance on all of our key personnel. The future success of the Company also depends upon our ability to attract and retain additional qualified personnel (including medical, scientific, technical, commercial, business and administrative personnel) necessary to support our anticipated growth, develop our business, and maintain appropriate licensure, on acceptable terms. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining personnel required by us to continue and grow our operations. The loss of a key employee, the failure of a key employee to perform in his or her current position or our inability to attract and retain skilled employees, as needed, could result in our inability to continue to grow our business or to implement our business strategy, or may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Technological and medical developments or improvements in conventional therapies could render the use of stem cells and our services and planned products obsolete.***

The pharmaceutical industry is characterized by rapidly changing markets, technology, emerging industry standards and frequent introduction of new products. The introduction of new products embodying new technologies, including new manufacturing processes, and the emergence of new industry standards may render our technologies obsolete, less competitive or less marketable. Advances in other treatment methods or in disease prevention techniques could significantly reduce or entirely eliminate the need for our stem cell services, planned products and therapeutic efforts. Additionally, technological or medical developments may materially alter the commercial viability of our technology or services, and require us to incur significant costs to replace or modify equipment in which we have a substantial investment. In either event, we may experience a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We may expend our limited resources to pursue our NurOwn treatment candidate or a specific indication for its use and fail to capitalize on treatment candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.***

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we have focused development of our NurOwn treatment candidate for use in patients with ALS. As a result, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with other treatment candidates or for other indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our spending on current and future research and development efforts on our NurOwn treatment candidate for this indication may not

yield a commercially viable treatment. Our resource allocation decisions also may cause us to fail to capitalize on a viable commercial treatment, a more viable indication or profitable market opportunities.

We have based our research and development efforts on our NurOwn treatment candidate. Notwithstanding our large investment to date and anticipated future expenditures in our NurOwn treatment candidate, we have not yet developed, and may never successfully develop, any marketed treatments using this approach. As a result of pursuing the development of our NurOwn treatment candidate, we may fail to develop treatment candidates or address indications based on other scientific approaches that may offer greater commercial potential or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.

Our long-term business plan is to develop our NurOwn treatment candidate for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, such as ALS, MS and PD. Even if we successfully develop our NurOwn treatment candidate for use in one indication, we may not be successful in our efforts to identify or discover additional indications for it. Clinical programs to develop new indications for our NurOwn treatment candidate will require substantial technical, financial and human resources. These development programs may initially show promise in identifying potential treatment indications, yet fail to obtain regulatory approval for commercial sale.

If we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential or target market for our NurOwn treatment candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that treatment through collaboration, licensing or other royalty arrangements in cases in which it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights.

***If Ramot is unable to obtain patents on the patent applications and technology licensed to our Israeli Subsidiary or if patents are obtained but do not provide meaningful protection, we may not be able to successfully market our proposed products.***

We rely upon the patent applications filed by Ramot, the technology licensing company of Tel Aviv University, and the license granted to us by Ramot, all in accordance with the Second Ramot Agreement dated as of July 26, 2007. We further agreed under the Second Ramot Agreement that Ramot, in consultation with us, is responsible for obtaining patent protection for technology owned by Ramot and licensed to us. No assurance can be given that any of our pending or future patent applications will be approved, that the scope of any patent protection granted will exclude competitors or provide us with competitive advantages, that any of the patents that may be issued to us will be held valid if subsequently challenged, or that other parties will not claim rights to or ownership of our patents or other proprietary rights that we hold license to. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that others have not developed or will not develop similar products, duplicate any of our technology or products or design around any patents that have been or may be issued to us or any future licensors. Since patent applications in the United States and in Europe are not disclosed until applications are published, there can be no assurance that others did not first file applications for products covered by our pending patent applications, nor can we be certain that we will not infringe any patents that may be issued to others. Also, we have abandoned our rights to certain patents of Ramot in certain countries in connection with the Letter Agreement by and between us and Ramot dated December 24, 2009, which may limit our ability to fully market our proposed products.

We also rely upon unpatented proprietary technology, know-how and trade secrets and seek to protect them through confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants and advisors. If these confidentiality agreements are breached, we may not have adequate remedies for the breach. In addition, others may independently develop or otherwise acquire substantially the same proprietary technology as our technology and trade secrets.

***We may be unable to protect our intellectual property from infringement by third parties.***

Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. Our competitors may also independently develop similar technology, duplicate our processes or services or design around our intellectual property rights. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our intellectual property rights to determine their scope, validity or enforceability. Intellectual property litigation is costly, time-consuming, diverts the attention of management and technical personnel and could result in substantial uncertainty regarding our future viability. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce intellectual property protection would limit our ability to develop or market our services in the future. This would also likely have an adverse effect on the revenues generated by any sale or license of such intellectual property. Furthermore, any public announcements related to such litigation or regulatory proceedings could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

***Third parties may claim that we infringe on their intellectual property.***

We may be subject to costly litigation in the event our technology is claimed to infringe upon the proprietary rights of others. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that would be infringed by our technology. Any of these third parties could make a claim of infringement against us with respect to our technology. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark or license usage rights. Litigation and patent interference proceedings could result in substantial expense to us and significant diversion of efforts by our technical and management personnel. An adverse determination in any such proceeding or in patent litigation could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties or require us to seek licenses from third parties. Such licenses may not be available on acceptable terms or at all. Adverse determinations in a judicial or administrative proceeding or failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from commercializing our products, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***As a result of our reliance on consultants, we may not be able to protect the confidentiality of our technology, which, if disseminated, could negatively impact our plan of operations.***

We currently have relationships with two academic consultants who are not employed by us, and we may enter into additional relationships of such nature in the future. We have limited control over the activities of these consultants and can expect only limited amounts of their time to be dedicated to our activities. These persons may have consulting, employment or advisory arrangements with other entities that may conflict with or compete with their obligations to us. Our consultants typically sign agreements that provide for confidentiality of our proprietary information and results of studies. However, in connection with every relationship, we may not be able to maintain the confidentiality of our technology, the dissemination of which could hurt our competitive position and results of operations. To the extent that our scientific consultants develop inventions or processes independently that may be applicable to our proposed products, disputes may arise as to the ownership of the proprietary rights to such information, we may expend significant resources in such disputes and we may not win those disputes.

***It is uncertain to what extent the government, private health insurers and third-party payers will approve coverage or provide reimbursement for the therapies and products to which our services relate. Availability for such reimbursement may be further limited by an increasing uninsured population and reductions in Medicare and Medicaid funding in the United States.***

Our ability to successfully commercialize our human therapeutic products will depend significantly on our ability to obtain acceptable prices and the availability of reimbursement to the patient from third-party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. While we have not commenced discussions with any such parties, these third-party payers frequently require companies to provide predetermined discounts from list prices, and they are increasingly challenging the prices charged for pharmaceuticals and other medical products. Our human therapeutic products may not be considered cost-effective, and reimbursement to the patient may not be available or sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive basis. Further, as cost containment pressures are increasing in the health care industry, government and private payers adopt strategies designed to limit the amount of reimbursement paid to health care providers. Such cost containment measures may include:

- Reducing reimbursement rates;
- Challenging the prices charged for medical products and services;
- Limiting services covered;
- Decreasing utilization of services;
- Negotiating prospective or discounted contract pricing;
- Adopting capitation strategies; and
- Seeking competitive bids.

Similarly, the trend toward managed health care and bundled pricing for health care services in the United States could significantly influence the purchase of healthcare services and products, resulting in lower prices and reduced demand for our therapies.

We may not be able to negotiate favorable reimbursement rates for our human therapeutic products. If we fail to obtain acceptable prices or an adequate level of reimbursement for our products, the sales of our products would be adversely affected or there may be no commercially viable market for our products.

***Unintended consequences of recently adopted health reform legislation in the U.S. may adversely affect our business.***

The healthcare industry is undergoing fundamental changes resulting from political, economic and regulatory influences. In the U.S., comprehensive programs are under consideration that seek to, among other things, increase access to healthcare for the uninsured and control the escalation of healthcare expenditures within the economy. On March 23, 2010, health reform legislation was approved by Congress and has been signed into law. While we do not believe this legislation will have a direct impact on our business, the legislation has only recently been enacted and requires the adoption of implementing regulations, which may have unintended consequences or indirectly impact our business. For instance, the scope and implications of the recent amendments pursuant to the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 have yet to be fully determined or adjudicated and as a result it is difficult to predict how future enforcement initiatives may impact our business. Also, in some instances our clients may be health insurers that will be subject to limitations on their administrative expenses and new federal review of “unreasonable” rate increases which could impact the prices they pay for our services. If the legislation causes such unintended consequences or indirect impact, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Ethical and other concerns surrounding the use of stem cell therapy may negatively impact the public perception of our stem cell services, thereby suppressing demand for our services.***

Although our stem cell business pertains to adult stem cells only, and does not involve the more controversial use of embryonic stem cells, the use of adult human stem cells for therapy could give rise to similar ethical, legal and social issues as those associated with embryonic stem cells, which could adversely affect its acceptance by consumers and medical practitioners. Additionally, it is possible that our business could be negatively impacted by any stigma associated with the use of embryonic stem cells if the public fails to appreciate the distinction between adult and embryonic stem cells. Delays in achieving public acceptance may materially and adversely affect the results of our operations and profitability.

***We are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates.***

A significant portion of our business, particularly our research and development, is conducted outside the United States. Therefore, we are exposed to currency exchange fluctuations in other currencies such as the New Israeli Shekels (“NIS”) and the Euro. Moreover, a portion of our expenses in Israel and Europe are paid in NIS and Euros, respectively, which subjects us to the risks of foreign currency fluctuations. Our primary expenses paid in NIS are employee salaries, fees for consultants and subcontractors and lease payments on our Israeli facilities.

***The dollar cost of our operations in Israel will increase to the extent increases in the rate of inflation in Israel are not offset by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar, which would harm our results of operations.***

Since a considerable portion of our expenses such as employees' salaries are linked to an extent to the rate of inflation in Israel, the dollar cost of our operations is influenced by the extent to which any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel is or is not offset by the devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar. As a result, we are exposed to the risk that the NIS, after adjustment for inflation in Israel, will appreciate in relation to the dollar. In that event, the dollar cost of our operations in Israel will increase and our dollar-measured results of operations will be adversely affected. During the past few years inflation-adjusted NIS appreciated against the dollar, which raised the dollar cost of our Israeli operations. We cannot predict whether the NIS will appreciate against the dollar or vice versa in the future. Any increase in the rate of inflation in Israel, unless the increase is offset on a timely basis by a devaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar, will increase labor and other costs, which will increase the dollar cost of our operations in Israel and harm our results of operations.

***We may be subject to significant product liability claims and litigation which could adversely affect our future earnings and financial condition.***

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks inherent in the testing, processing and marketing of stem cell therapy products. Specifically, the conduct of clinical trials in humans involves the potential risk that the use of our stem cell therapy products will result in adverse effects. Such liability claims may be expensive to defend and result in large judgments against us. We currently maintain liability insurance for our clinical trials; however such liability insurance may not be adequate to fully cover any liabilities that arise from clinical trials of our stem cell therapy products. We also maintain errors and omissions, directors and officers, workers' compensation and other insurance appropriate to our business activities. If we were to be subject to a claim in excess of this coverage or to a claim not covered by our insurance and the claim succeeded, we would be required to pay the claim from our own limited resources, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and business. Additionally, liability or alleged liability could harm our business by diverting the attention and resources of our management and damaging our reputation and that of our subsidiaries.

***Political, economic and military instability in Israel may impede our ability to execute our plan of operations.***

Our principal operations and the research and development facilities of the scientific team funded by us under the Second Ramot Agreement are located in Israel. Accordingly, political, economic and military conditions in Israel may affect our business. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of armed conflicts have occurred between Israel and its Arab neighbors. Acts of random terrorism periodically occur which could affect our operations or personnel. Ongoing or revived hostilities or other factors related to Israel could harm our operations and research and development process and could impede our ability to execute our plan of operations.

In addition, Israeli-based companies and companies doing business with Israel have been the subject of an economic boycott by members of the Arab League and certain other predominantly Muslim countries since Israel's establishment. Although Israel has entered into various agreements with certain Arab countries and the Palestinian Authority, and various declarations have been signed in connection with efforts to resolve some of the economic and political problems in the Middle East, we cannot predict whether or in what manner these problems will be resolved. Wars and acts of terrorism have resulted in damage to the Israeli economy, including reducing the level of foreign and local investment.

Furthermore, certain of our officers and employees may be obligated to perform annual reserve duty in the Israel Defense Forces and are subject to being called up for active military duty at any time. Israeli citizens who have served in the army may be subject to an obligation to perform reserve duty until they are between 40 and 49 years old, depending upon the nature of their military service.

#### **Risks related to our common stock**

***The price of our stock is expected to be volatile.***

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly, and is likely to continue to be highly volatile. To date, the trading volume in our stock has been relatively low and significant price fluctuations can occur as a result. An active public market for our common stock may not continue to develop or be sustained. If the low trading volumes experienced to date continue, such price fluctuations could occur in the future and the sale price of our common stock could decline significantly. Investors may therefore have difficulty selling their shares.

***Your percentage ownership will be diluted by future issuances of our securities.***

In order to meet our financing needs, we may issue additional significant amounts of our common stock and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. The precise terms of any future financings will be determined by us and potential investors and such future financings may also significantly dilute your percentage ownership in the Company.

***ACCBT Corp. holds equity participation rights and other rights that could affect our ability to raise funds.***

Pursuant to the subscription agreement with ACCBT Corp., a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our President and Principal Executive Officer, we granted ACCBT Corp. the right to acquire additional shares of our common stock whenever we issue additional shares of common stock or other securities of the Company, or options or rights to purchase shares of the Company or other securities directly or indirectly convertible into or exercisable for shares of the Company (including shares of any newly created class or series). This participation right could limit our ability to enter into equity financings and to raise funds from third parties. ACCBT Corp. is entitled to purchase its pro rata share of any additional securities we offer, so that its percentage ownership of the Company remains the same after any such issuance of additional securities. Such additional securities will be offered to ACCBT Corp. at the same price and on the same terms as the other investors in the transaction. ACCBT Corp. will have 30 days from the date of our notice to ACCBT Corp. of any intended transaction, to decide whether it wishes to exercise its participation rights in the transaction. We also are prohibited from taking certain corporate actions without the consent of ACCBT Corp., including issuing shares, acquiring or divesting assets and making payment of cash compensation over \$60,000 per year. Further, ACCBT Corp. also has the right to appoint a majority of our Board of Directors. In connection with the subscription agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement with ACCBT Corp. pursuant to which we granted piggyback registration rights to ACCBT Corp. In addition, we issued ACCBT warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock, of which 30,250,000 warrants are presently outstanding. The outstanding warrants contain full-ratchet anti-dilution provisions and cashless exercise provisions, which permit the cashless exercise of up to 50% of the underlying shares of common stock, and 10,083,333 of such warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 and the remainder have an exercise price of \$0.29. ACCBT has waived its participation rights, registration rights and anti-dilution rights with respect to issuances that were made prior to the date hereof. In March 2014 we entered an agreement with ACCBT Corp. according to which ACCBT waived certain anti-dilution rights.

***You may experience difficulties in attempting to enforce liabilities based upon U.S. federal securities laws against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers.***

Our principal operations are located through our subsidiary in Israel and our principal assets are located outside the U.S. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and some of our directors are foreign citizens and do not reside in the U.S. It may be difficult for courts in the U.S. to obtain jurisdiction over our foreign assets or these persons and as a result, it may be difficult or impossible for you to enforce judgments rendered against us or our directors or executive officers in U.S. courts. Thus, should any situation arise in the future in which you have a cause of action against these persons or entities, you are at greater risk in investing in our Company rather than a domestic company because of greater potential difficulties in bringing lawsuits or, if successful, collecting judgments against these persons or entities as opposed to domestic persons or entities.

***The trading price of our common stock entails additional regulatory requirements, which may negatively affect such trading price.***

Our common stock is listed on the OTCQB Marketplace, an over-the-counter electronic quotation service. Because the trading price of our common stock is below \$5.00 per share, trading in our common stock is subject to the requirements of certain “penny stock” rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades generally involving any equity security not listed on either a securities exchange or NASDAQ that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Such rules require the delivery, before any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith, and impose various sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally institutions). For these types of transactions, the broker-dealer must determine the suitability of the penny stock for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written consent to the transaction before sale. The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in our common stock. As a consequence, the market liquidity of our common stock could be severely affected or limited by these regulatory requirements.

***If we fail to implement and maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may be unable to accurately report our results of operations or prevent fraud, and investor confidence and the market price of our common stock may be materially and adversely affected.***

As a public company in the United States, we are subject to the reporting obligations under the U.S. securities laws. The Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has adopted rules requiring every public company to include a report of management on the effectiveness of such company's internal control over financial reporting in its annual report. In prior years, management has identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. If any of our prior material weaknesses recurs, or if we identify additional weaknesses or fail to timely and successfully implement new or improved controls, our ability to assure timely and accurate financial reporting may be adversely affected, and we could suffer a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which in turn could negatively impact the trading price of our shares of common stock, result in lawsuits being filed against us by our shareholders, or otherwise harm our reputation. If material weaknesses are identified in the future, it could be costly to remediate such material weaknesses, which may adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, our auditor is not required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting due to our status of qualifying as a small reporting company. As a result, current and potential investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which could harm our business and have an adverse effect on our share price.

***Delaware law could discourage a change in control, or an acquisition of us by a third party, even if the acquisition would be favorable to you, and thereby adversely affect existing stockholders.***

The Delaware General Corporation Law contain provisions that may have the effect of making more difficult or delaying attempts by others to obtain control of our Company, even when these attempts may be in the best interests of stockholders. Delaware law imposes conditions on certain business combination transactions with “interested stockholders.” These provisions and others that could be adopted in the future could deter unsolicited takeovers or delay or prevent changes in our control or management, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices. These provisions may also limit the ability of stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests.

***We do not expect to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and accordingly you must rely on stock appreciation for any return on your investment.***

We have paid no cash dividends on our common stock to date, and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the continued development and growth of our business. As a result, we do not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Further, any payment of cash dividends will also depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors, including contractual restrictions to which we may be subject, and will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

**Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**Item 2. PROPERTIES**

Our executive offices are located in premises at 605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10158, which we use, free of charge, pursuant to an oral agreement with Malcolm Taub, a member of our Board of Directors.

On December 1, 2004, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into a lease agreement (the Lease Agreement) for the lease of premises in 12 Basel Street, Petach Tikva, Israel, which include approximately 600 square meters of office and laboratory space. The original term of the lease was 36 months (the Lease Term), commencing on April 1, 2005, with two options to extend: one for an additional 24 months (the First Option); and one for an additional 36 months (the Second Option).

On November 11, 2012, the Israeli Subsidiary entered into an amendment to the Lease Agreement, pursuant to which the Lease Term (including the First Option and the Second Option) was extended by an additional five years, through March 31, 2018. After three years, we will have the right to cancel the agreement with 6 months' notice. Rent is paid on a monthly basis in the amount of NIS 40,000 (approximately U.S. \$11,000).

We expanded our Petach Tikva facility in 2008 to include an animal research facility.

As part of the clinical trials with Hadassah, we pay \$32,500 per month for rental and operation of a clean room facilities at Hadassah facilities in Jerusalem.

We believe that the current office and laboratory space is adequate to meet our needs or will be available in the U.S. to meet the needs of U.S. clinical trials.

**Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of operations in the normal course of business, which we consider routine and incidental to our business. We currently are not a party to any legal proceedings the adverse outcome of which, in management's opinion, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation or financial condition.

**Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.**

Not applicable.

**PART II**

**Item 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.**

*Market Information*

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTCQB Marketplace under the symbol "BCLI". The following table contains information about the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock based upon reports of transactions on the OTCQB Marketplace.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
December 31, 2013	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.14
September 30, 2013	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.15
June 30, 2013	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.19
March 31, 2013	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.22
December 31, 2012	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.17
September 30, 2012	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.21
June 30, 2012	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.21
March 31, 2012	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.20

The source of these high and low prices was the OTCQB Marketplace. The high and low prices listed have been rounded up to the next highest two decimal places.

Trades in our common stock may be subject to Rule 15c-9 of the Exchange Act, which imposes requirements on broker/dealers who sell securities subject to the rule to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For transactions covered by the rule, broker/dealers must make a special suitability determination for purchasers of the securities and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction before the sale.

The Securities and Exchange Commission also has rules that regulate broker/dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities listed on certain national exchanges, provided that the current price and volume information with respect to transactions in that security is provided by the applicable exchange or system). The penny stock rules require a broker/dealer, before effecting a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Securities and Exchange Commission that provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker/dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker/dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker/dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing before effecting the transaction, and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for shares of common stock of the Company. As a result of these rules, investors may find it difficult to sell their shares.

#### *Record Holders*

As of March 7, 2014, there were approximately 44 holders of record of our common stock.

#### *Dividends*

We have not paid or declared any cash or other dividends on our common stock within the last two fiscal years. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will depend upon our results of operations, and on our capital requirements, financial condition and other factors relevant at the time.

#### *Equity Compensation Plans*

Information regarding our equity compensation plans and the securities authorized under the plans is included in Item 12 below.

#### *Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities*

On January 18, 2011, we entered into an agreement with ACCBT to offset amounts due to ACCBT, totaling approximately \$22,000. ACCBT made payments in the amount of nearly \$5 million. A cash balance of \$22,000 was not transferred to the company due to outstanding expenses in that amount owed to Mr. Chaim Lebovits. In connection with this agreement, we issued to ACCBT 10,499,999 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase 4,537,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On February 7, 2011, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with an investor pursuant to which we issued and sold 833,333 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$0.30 per share, and a warrant to purchase 641,026 shares

of our common stock until the first anniversary of the issuance date of the warrant at an exercise price of \$0.39 per share for total proceeds of \$250,000. The warrants were never exercised and are no longer valid. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

On February 18, 2011, upon conversion of a \$135,000 4% Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of September 15, 2010, issued by us to Thomas B. Rosedale, we issued 445,617 shares of our common stock to Thomas B. Rosedale upon receipt of written notice of his election to convert all of the outstanding principal and interest amount of the note into shares of common stock. The conversion price was \$0.308. The note was issued as payment for unpaid legal fees through December 31, 2010, which were owed to BRL Law Group LLC, of which Mr. Rosedale is the managing member. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

In February, 2011, we entered into Securities Purchase Agreements with institutional and individual investors pursuant to which we issued and sold 12,815,000 units comprised of shares of common stock and warrants for the purchase of common stock (the “Units”) in exchange for \$3,588,200 (\$0.28 per Unit). Each unit includes (i) one share of common stock, (ii) a warrant to purchase one-half of one share of our common stock until the first anniversary of the closing date at a purchase price of \$0.28 per share and (iii) a warrant to purchase one share of our common stock until the second anniversary of the closing date at a purchase price of \$0.50 per share. The warrants were never exercised and are no longer valid. The securities issued in this private placement were to accredited and other qualified investors outside of the United States in reliance upon available exemptions from the registration requirements of the Securities Act, including Section 4(2) thereof and Regulation S promulgated thereunder. Barak Capital Underwriting Ltd. (“Barak”) acted as the placement agent in the offering. We paid Barak approximately \$215,000 in cash and issued 512,600 shares of common stock to Barak in consideration of the services provided to us in connection with the transaction.

On April 13, 2011, pursuant to the Hadasit Agreement, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 33,334 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.00005 per share, exercisable for a period of 10 years, to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

On June 27, 2011, we issued 10,870 shares of common stock to Landoy Risk Management Ltd. for unpaid consulting services. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

In July 2011, Amatrine Ltd. exercised a warrant, dated as of March 1, 2011, held by such entity for the purchase of 759,334 shares of common stock. The exercise price paid upon exercise of the warrant was \$0.28 per share for a total of \$212,613.52, which has been received by us. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

On July 7, 2011, we issued 309,977 shares of common stock to Tayside Trading Ltd. (“Tayside”), in addition to the 1,016,109 shares of common stock previously issued in connection with the conversion of a convertible loan received in 2007 and converted to our common stock. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On July 18, 2011, we issued 180,000 shares of common stock to Thomas B. Rosedale as payment for unpaid 2011 legal fees, which were owed to BRL Law Group LLC, of which Mr. Rosedale is the managing member. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

In September 2011, Fidelity Venture Capital Ltd. exercised a warrant, dated as of March 1, 2011, held by such entity for the purchase of 187,500 shares of common stock. The exercise price paid upon exercise of the warrant was \$0.28 per share for a total of \$52,500.00, which has been received by us. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

On November 10, 2011, we issued 100,000 shares of our common stock to Dani Offen in connection with his exercise of a warrant to purchase common stock previously issued. The exercise price paid upon exercise of the warrant was \$0.067 per share for a total of \$6,700.00, which has been received by us. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On January 11, 2012, we issued 125,000 shares of our common stock to E.H.O. Consulting and Holdings Ltd. in connection with its exercise of a warrant to purchase common stock previously issued. The exercise price paid upon exercise of the warrant was \$0.001 per share for a total of \$125.00, which has been received by us. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On April 13, 2012, pursuant to the Hadasit Agreement, we issued a warrant to purchase up to 33,334 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.00005 per share, exercisable for a period of 10 years, to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

On April 22, 2012, we issued 834,729 shares of our common stock to Yossef Levy in connection with his exercise of a warrant to purchase common stock previously issued. The exercise price paid upon exercise of the warrant was \$0.01 per share for a total of \$8,347, which has been received by us. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On July 19, 2012, we issued a 2-year warrant to purchase up to 493,966 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$0.348 per share, to Maxim Group LLC, pursuant to our Placement Agency Agreement with Maxim Group LLC dated as of July 19, 2012. These securities were issued without registration pursuant to the exemption afforded by Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act.

On July 19, 2012, we issued a 30-month warrant to purchase up to 232,758 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$0.29 per share, to Leader Underwriters (1993) Ltd, pursuant to our Placement Agency Agreement with Leader Underwriters (1993) Ltd dated as of July 19, 2012. These securities were issued without registration pursuant to the exemption afforded by Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act.

On January 16, 2013, we issued 72,000 and 144,000 shares of common stock to Dani Offen and Eldad Melamed, respectively, for consulting services. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On February 4, 2013, we issued 126,111 shares of common stock to Aaron Lasry in accordance with a settlement agreement with Mr. Lasry. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On February 7, 2013, we issued 833,334 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.30 per share (for a total purchase price of \$250,000) and a 32-month warrant to purchase up to 833,334 shares of our common stock with an exercise price equal to \$0.50 per share to E.E.B Investments and Holdings (2009) Ltd. and pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement with E.E.B Investments and Holdings (2009) Ltd. dated February 7, 2013. These securities were issued without registration pursuant to the exemption afforded by Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of these securities and no commissions were paid in connection with this transaction.

In March 2013, we issued 250,000 shares of common stock to Emerging Markets Consulting, LLC for consulting, marketing and public relations services pursuant to our March 2013 Agreement with Emerging Markets Consulting, LLC. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

In March 2013, we issued 150,000 shares of common stock to LifeSci Advisors, LLC for consulting, marketing and public relations services pursuant to our March 2013 Agreement with LifeSci Advisors, LLC. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a sale by the Company not involving a public offering. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities.

On April 13, 2013, pursuant to the April 2010 Agreement with Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd., we issued a warrant to purchase up to 33,334 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.00005 per share, exercisable for a period of 10 years, to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. The issuance of these securities was effected without registration in reliance upon Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act. No underwriters were involved with the issuance of such securities and no commissions were paid in connection with such transaction.

In December 2013, the Board of the Company agreed to grant to Prof. Israeli additional options in connection with the yearly grant under the Hadasit Agreement. Starting April 2014, the Company will grant a total of 360,000 options annually out of which Prof. Israeli will receive options to purchase up to 300,000 shares of Common Stock and Hadasit will receive options and warrants to purchase up to 60,000 shares of Common Stock.

**Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Not required.

**Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Company Overview**

We are a biotechnology company developing novel adult stem cell therapies for debilitating neurodegenerative disorders such as ALS, MS, and PD. These diseases have limited treatment options and as such represent unmet medical needs.

We believe that NurOwn, our proprietary process for the propagation of MSC and their differentiation into NTF secreting cells (MSC-NTF), and their transplantation at, or near, the site of damage, offers the hope of more effectively treating neurodegenerative diseases.

Our approach is considered safe based on our use of autologous cells, which are free of the risk of rejection and tumor formation. It is also free of the controversy associated with the use of embryonic stem cells in some countries.

Our core technology was developed in collaboration with prominent neurologist Prof. Eldad Melamed, former head of Neurology of the Rabin Medical Center and member of the Scientific Committee of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and expert cell biologist Prof. Daniel Offen of the Felsenstein Medical Research Center of Tel Aviv University.

Our Israeli Subsidiary holds rights to commercialize the technology, through a licensing agreement with Ramot, the technology transfer company of Tel Aviv University, Israel.

On February 8, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into an agreement with Hadassah, pursuant to which Hadassah provides the Israeli Subsidiary with lab services.

On February 17, 2010, our Israeli Subsidiary entered into the Clinical Trial Agreement with Hadassah. Under the Clinical Trial Agreement, Hadassah and our personnel agreed to conduct a clinical trial to evaluate the safety and tolerability of our NurOwn cells in patients with ALS, in accordance with a protocol developed jointly by us and Professor Karussis.

In February 2011, the FDA granted Orphan Drug designation to NurOwn for the treatment of ALS.

In June 2011, we initiated a Phase I/II clinical trial for the treatment of ALS with NurOwn at HUMC with Principal Investigator Professor Dimitrios Karussis, after receiving approval from the Israeli MoH.

In July 2011, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with MGH and the UMass in anticipation of applying for FDA approval to begin ALS human clinical trials in the United States. In March 2014, we entered into a definitive agreement with MGH in order to launch a Phase II clinical trial in second quarter of 2014, and we expect to enter into a definitive agreement with UMass for the same.

In July 2012, together with Professor Karussis, we submitted an interim safety report to the Israeli MoH for the first 12 of 24 patients in the Phase I/II clinical trial. The report confirmed that our NurOwn therapy is safe, did not cause any adverse side effects, and some of the patients showed promising indications of clinical improvement.

In January 2013, the Israeli MoH approved acceleration to a Phase IIa combined treatment, dose-escalating trial, which we are currently conducting at HUMC. In this safety and preliminary efficacy trial, 12 early-stage ALS patients will receive both intramuscular and intrathecal injections of NurOwn cells in three cohorts with increasing doses. The patients will be followed for six months after transplantation. Due to medical and technical considerations, two additional patients were enrolled in the trial in late 2013, in order to preserve the originally planned protocol design. These two patients will be treated by the end of the first quarter of 2014. The complete and final statistical analysis of the Phase IIa data is expected to be available after 6 months of follow up with the patients.

In January 2013, we also announced that we had successfully completed a 12-week repeat dose toxicity study with our NurOwn cells in mice. These repeat doses were prepared from frozen cells, using a proprietary method recently developed by the Company. Our cryopreservation, or freezing, method will enable long-term storage, and production of repeat patient doses of NurOwn without the need for additional bone marrow aspirations. We believe that the positive data from the toxicity study in mice will support our efforts to obtain approval for a future repeat dose clinical study in ALS patients. The study was conducted at Harlan Israel's laboratories, according to GLP standards of the FDA. The study protocol was approved by Israel's National Council for Animal Experimentation.

On February 21, 2013, the UK Subsidiary filed a request for Orphan Medicinal Product Designation by the EMA for our autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells secreting neurotropic factors.

In March 2013, principal investigator Professor Dimitrios Karussis of Hadassah presented some of the final data from the Phase I/II trial at the American Academy of Neurology Annual Meeting. The trial results analyzed to date confirmed the safety of the NurOwn treatment and also demonstrated initial signs of possible efficacy. There was a slower decline in overall clinical and respiratory function, as measured by the ALS Functional Rating Score (ALSFRS-R) and Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) score respectively, in the six patients that received an intrathecal injection of the cells, in the six months following treatment as compared to the three months preceding treatment.

On March 14, 2013, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota, to participate as an additional clinical site in the Phase II ALS clinical trial planned for later this year. The team there will be led by Professor Anthony J. Windebank, Head of the Regenerative Neurobiology Laboratory in the Department of Neurology. In January 2014 we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement with Mayo to conduct the trial and manufacture our cells at their cleanroom facility.

On April 3, 2013, we entered into a manufacturing agreement with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (Dana-Farber) under which Dana-Farber's Connell and O'Reilly Cell Manipulation Core Facility will produce NurOwn in its cGMP-compliant clean rooms for the MGH and UMass clinical sites during our upcoming Phase II ALS clinical trial in the United States.

In June 2013, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with PRC Clinical, a Contract Research Organization (CRO) based in the San Francisco Bay Area, in anticipation of our planned Phase II multi-center ALS clinical trial in the United States.

On July 17, 2013, we received Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for NurOwn for the treatment of ALS from the European Commission.

On August 1, 2013 we announced that we submitted a favorable safety report to the hospital Helsinki Committee (IRB) for the second group of patients in our ongoing Phase IIa ALS clinical trial at the Hadassah Medical Center in Jerusalem, Israel. We announced that the treatment was well tolerated and no serious adverse events were observed. We plan to release the preliminary efficacy data at the conclusion of the trial.

On September 27, 2013 we announced that we had completed treatment of the 12 patients in our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial. The complete and final statistical analysis of the data is expected to be available after 6 months of follow up with the patients. We have been informed that one patient in the study expired due to a medical condition unrelated to the Clinical Trial. We also announced that for logistical reasons, our upcoming multi-center Phase II clinical trial in the US is expected to begin, subject to FDA approval, in the second quarter of 2014, instead of late 2013 as we reported previously.

On December 10, 2013, we announced that Prof. Karussis presented some of his preliminary findings from our ALS Phase IIa NurOwn dose-escalating clinical trial at the 24<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on ALS/MND last week in Milan, Italy. According to Prof. Karussis, the safety data are "impressively positive," with only minimal and transient adverse events, even though the patients in this study were injected both intrathecally and intramuscularly with up to double the dose of NurOwn cells given in the Phase I trial. In addition, a number of patients showed some initial indications of clinical improvement.

In December 2013 the Company submitted an IND application to the FDA.

## **Results of Operations**

The Company has been a development stage company since its inception. For the period from inception (September 22, 2000) until December 31, 2013, the Company did not generate any revenues from operations. The Company does not expect to earn revenues from operations until at least 2017, if ever. In addition, the Company incurred operating costs and expenses of approximately \$5,018,000 during the year ending December 31, 2013, and approximately \$49,958,000 for the period from inception (September 22, 2000) through December 31, 2013, out of which \$29,963,000 reflect stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to service providers and employees. Operating expenses incurred since inception were approximately \$20,852,000 for general and administrative expenses and \$29,106,000 for research and development costs.

### *Research and Development, net*

Our business model calls for significant investments in research and development. Our research and development expenditures (i) in 2013 (before participation by the OCS) were \$4,030,000, which included \$51,000 in stock-based compensation and (ii) in 2012 (before participation by the OCS) were \$2,688,000, which included \$74,000 in stock-based compensation. Research and development expenses, net for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,917,000 and \$1,770,000, respectively. In addition, our grant from The Office of the Chief Scientist increased by \$195,000 to \$1,113,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$918,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The increase in research and development expenses is primarily due to (i) an increase of \$966,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to zero for the year ended December 31, 2012 for costs of activities related to commencement of the US Clinical Trial including IND submission fees to PRC Clinical and FDA Consultant, purchase and validation of cleanroom equipment at DFCI and Mayo Clinic, adaptation of cleanroom facility at DFCI, and on-site technology transfer training to DFCI cleanroom personnel; (ii) an increase of \$153,000 in costs associated

with the clinical trials, conducted in accordance with GMP in Hadassah, for an aggregate amount of \$1,432,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1,279,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012; (iii) an increase of \$296,000 in payroll costs due to recruitment of two additional employees to conduct the clinical trials; and (iv) an increase of \$111,000 for patents, travel and rent costs. This increase was offset by: (i) a decrease in stock-based compensation expenses, of \$23,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$51,000, compared to \$74,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012; and (ii) a decrease of \$161,000 for consultants and depreciation from \$406,000 in the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$245,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### *General and Administrative*

General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$2,101,000 and \$1,748,000, respectively. The increase in General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013, is mainly due to: (i) an increase of \$222,000 in stock-based compensation expenses, from \$545,000 in the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$767,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013; (ii) an increase of \$140,000 in payroll costs due to recruitment of CEO during 2013; (iii) an increase of \$107,000 for travel, rent and stock costs from \$190,000 in the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$297,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$116,000 in consultants, depreciation and PR costs from \$573,000 in the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$457,000 in the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### *Financial Expenses*

Financial income for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$144,000 compared to income of \$93,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The financial income for year ended December 31, 2013 is mainly due to income from revaluation of warrants of \$174,000 and income from interest receivable from a bank deposit that were offset by conversion exchange rates and bank charges. The financial income for the year ended December 31, 2012, is primarily due to a one-time \$192,000 financial income, from conversion of debt to a subcontractor to our common stock. The issuance of stock to the subcontractor was in an amount that was lower than the amount owed to the supplier. The value of the amount issued was based on the per share price on the date of the grant. In addition, the financial income is due to (i) an increase in financial income of \$33,000 from conversion exchange; and (ii) an interest receivable from a bank deposit in the amount of \$19,000.

#### *Net Loss*

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$4,899,000, as compared to a net loss of \$3,430,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$0.03, compared to net loss per share of \$0.02 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The increase in the net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 is due to (i) an increase the progress of clinical trials conducted in GMP facilities in Hadassah and US Clinical Trial, and (ii) an increase in payroll costs, and (iii) an increase in stock-based compensation expenses. This increase was partially offset by an increase in CSO grants.

The weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 was 161,071,968, compared to 137,596,391 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The increase in the weighted average number of shares of common stock used in computing basic for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to: (i) the issuance of shares of common stock in a public offering in August 2013, as described in more detail below and in a public offering in July 2012, (ii) the exercise of options and warrants, and (iii) the issuance of shares to service providers.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through public and private sales of our common stock and warrants and the issuance of convertible promissory notes. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$4,446,000 in total current assets and \$1,332,000 in total current liabilities.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$4,054,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013. Cash used for operating activities in the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily attributed to cost of clinical trials, rent of clean rooms and materials for clinical trials, payroll costs, rent, outside legal fee expenses and public relations expenses.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$2,656,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$3,584,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 and is primarily attributable to the 2013 Public Offering, as discussed below.

On August 16, 2013, we raised approximately \$4 million gross proceeds through a public offering (“2013 Public Offering”) of our common stock. We issued a total of 23,529,411 common stock of \$0.00005 par value, (\$0.17 per share) and 17,647,058 warrants to purchase shares of common stock for every share purchased in the 2013 Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share. The Warrants are exercisable until the 36 month anniversary of the date of issuance. After deducting closing costs and fees, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$3.3 million.

Our material cash needs for the next 12 months will include payments of (i) initiation and on-going costs of the clinical trial in the U.S., (ii) employee salaries, (iii) payments due under an agreement with Hadassah and Prof. Karussis to conduct our dose-escalating Phase II clinical trial, under which we must pay to Hadassah an amount of (iv) up to \$32,225 per patient and (ii) \$32,500 per month for rent and operation of the GMP facilities, and (v) fees to our consultants and legal advisors, patents and fees for facilities to be used in our research and development.

Future operations are expected to be highly capital intensive and will require substantial capital raisings. We expect that the net proceeds of the 2013 Public Offering will be insufficient to meet our obligations in the upcoming 6 months. If we are not able to raise substantial additional capital, we may not be able to continue to function as a going concern and may have to cease operations or the Company will reduce its costs, including curtailing its current plan to accelerate pursuit of U.S. clinical trials, in order to continue operating for the next 12 months. Even if we obtain funding sufficient to continue functioning as a going concern, we will be required to raise a substantial amount of capital in the future in order to reach profitability and to complete the commercialization of our products. Our ability to fund these future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the following:

- our ability to obtain funding from third parties, including any future collaborative partners;
- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other research and development programs;
- the time and costs required to gain regulatory approvals;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;

- the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing patents, patent applications, patent claims, trademarks and other intellectual property rights;
- the effect of competition and market developments; and
- future pre-clinical and clinical trial results.

**Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have no off balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

**Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Not required.

**Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013**

**U.S. DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS**  
**(Except share data)**

40

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

**(A development stage company)**

**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013**

**U.S. DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS**

**(Except share data)**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	42
Consolidated Balance Sheets	44
Consolidated Statements of Operations	45
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	46 - 56
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	57
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	58 - 83

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**  
**To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of**  
**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. (A Development Stage Company)**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statement of income, stockholders' deficiency, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and for the period from April 1, 2004 to December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors and management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

The financial statements for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007, were audited by other auditors. The consolidated financial statements for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007 included a net loss of \$32,325,000. Our opinion on the consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficiency and cash flows for the period from April 1, 2004 through December 31, 2013, insofar as it relates to amounts for prior periods through December 31, 2007, is based solely on the report of other auditors. The other auditors report dated April 13, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion, and included an explanatory paragraph concerning an uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, and regarding the status of the Company research and development license agreement with Ramot.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of other auditor, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS Inc. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and for the period from April 1, 2004 to December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company is a development stage enterprise engaged in development innovative stem cell therapeutic products based on technologies enabling the *in-vitro* differentiation of bone marrow stem cells into neural-like cells, based on the acquired technology and research to be conducted and funded by the Company as discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements. The Company's working capital deficiency and operating losses since inception through December 31, 2013 raise substantial doubts about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

**/s/ Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.**  
**Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.**  
**Certified Public Accountants**

**A Member Firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited**  
**Tel Aviv, Israel**  
**March 27, 2014**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**  
**To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of**  
**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.**  
**(A development stage company)**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (a development stage company) ("the Company") and its subsidiary as of December 31, 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, statements of changes in stockholders' equity (deficiency) and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007, for the nine months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from March 31, 2004 through December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits and the report of the other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and its subsidiary as of December 31, 2007, and the consolidated results of their operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007, for the nine months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from March 31, 2004 through December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standard Board Statement No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment".

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully described in Note 1h, the Company has incurred operating losses and has a negative cash flow from operating activities and has a working capital deficiency. As for the Company research and development license agreement with Ramot, see Note 3. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue to operate as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Tel-Aviv, Israel  
April 13, 2008

/s/ Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer  
KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER  
A Member of Ernst & Young Global

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY****(A development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	December 31, 2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	3,503	1,317
Short-term deposit	-	2,769
Account receivable (Note 5)	910	742
Prepaid expenses	33	46
Total current assets	4,446	4,874
Long-Term Assets:		
Prepaid expenses	22	17
Total long-term assets	22	17
Property And Equipment, Net (Note 6)	258	247
Total assets	4,726	5,138
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Trade payables	228	358
Accrued expenses	877	605
Other accounts payable	227	193
Total current liabilities	1,332	1,156
Long-Term Liabilities:		
Warrants issued to investors	655	-
Total long-term liabilities	655	-
Total liabilities	1,987	1,156
Stockholders' Equity:		
Stock capital: (Note 8)	8	7
Common stock \$0.00005 par value - Authorized: 800,000,000 shares at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012; Issued and outstanding: 176,263,587 and 150,085,035 shares, respectively.		
Additional paid-in-capital	55,138	51,483
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(52,407)	(47,508)

Total stockholders' equity	2,739	3,982
Total liabilities and stockholders' Equity	4,726	5,138

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

U.S. dollars in thousands  
(Except share data)

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31, 2013(*)
	2013	2012	
	U.S. \$ in thousands		
Operating costs and expenses:			
Research and development, net (Note 9)	2,917	1,770	29,106
General and administrative	2,101	1,748	20,852
Total operating costs and expenses	5,018	3,518	49,958
Financial expense (income), net	(144)	(93)	2,310
Other income	-	-	(132)
Operating loss	4,874	3,425	52,136
Taxes on income (Note 10)	25	5	107
Loss from continuing operations	4,899	3,430	52,243
Net loss from discontinued operations	-	-	164
Net loss	4,899	3,430	52,407
Basic and diluted net loss per share from continuing operations	0.03	0.02	-
Weighted average number of shares outstanding used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	161,071,968	137,596,391	-

**(\*) Out of which, \$163, relating to the period from inception to March 31 2004, is unaudited.**

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

(A development stage company)

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock Number	Amount	Additional paid-in capital	Deferred Stock - based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)
Balance as of September 22, 2000 (date of inception) (unaudited)	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Stock issued on September 22, 2000 for cash at \$0.00188 per share	8,500,000	1	16	-	-	17
Stock issued on June 30, 2001 for cash at \$0.0375 per share	1,600,000	*	60	-	-	60
Contribution of capital	-	-	8	-	-	8
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(17)	(17)
Balance as of March 31, 2001 (unaudited)	10,100,000	\$ 1	\$ 84	\$ -	\$ (17)	\$ 68
Contribution of capital	-	-	11	-	-	11
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(26)	(26)
Balance as of March 31, 2002 (unaudited)	10,100,000	\$ 1	\$ 95	\$ -	\$ (43)	\$ 53
Contribution of capital	-	-	15	-	-	15
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(47)	(47)
Balance as of March 31, 2003	10,100,000	\$ 1	\$ 110	\$ -	\$ (90)	\$ 21

(unaudited)

2-for-1 stock split	10,100,000	*	-	-	-	-
Stock issued on August 31, 2003						
to purchase mineral option at \$0.065 per share	100,000	*	6	-	-	6
Cancellation of shares granted to Company's President	(10,062,000)	*	*	-	-	-
Contribution of capital	-	*	15	-	-	15
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(73)	(73)
Balance as of March 31, 2004	10,238,000	\$ 1	\$ 131	\$ -	\$ (163)	\$ (31)

(unaudited)

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
					development	(deficiency)
					stage	
Balance as of March 31, 2004	10,238,000	\$ 1	\$ 131	\$ -	\$ (163)	\$ (31)
Stock issued on June 24, 2004 for private placement at \$0.01 per share, net of \$25,000 issuance expenses	8,510,000	*	60	-	-	60
Contribution capital	-	-	7	-	-	7
Stock issued in 2004 for private placement at \$0.75 per unit	1,894,808	*	1,418	-	-	1,418
Cancellation of shares granted to service providers	(1,800,000)	*	-	-	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to options granted to employees	-	-	5,979	(5,979)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to employees	-	-	-	584	-	584
Compensation related to shares and options granted to service	2,025,000	*	17,506	-	-	17,506

providers							
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(18,840)	(18,840)	
Balance as of March 31, 2005	20,867,808	\$ 1	\$ 25,101	\$ (5,395)	\$ (19,003)	\$ 704	

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDER' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
					development	(deficiency)
					stage	
Balance as of March 31, 2005	20,867,808	\$ 1	\$ 25,101	\$ (5,395)	\$ (19,003)	\$ 704
Stock issued on May 12, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	186,875	*	149	-	-	149
Stock issued on July 27, 2005 for private placement at \$0.60 per share	165,000	*	99	-	-	99
Stock issued on September 30, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	312,500	*	225	-	-	225
Stock issued on December 7, 2005 for private placement at \$0.80 per share	187,500	*	135	-	-	135
Forfeiture of options granted to employees	-	-	(3,363)	3,363	-	-
Deferred stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	*	486	(486)	-	-
Amortization of deferred stock-	-	-	51	1,123	-	1,174

based compensation related to options and shares granted to employees and directors Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	934,904	*	662	-	-	662
Reclassification due to application of ASC 815-40-25 (formerly EITF 00-19) Beneficial conversion feature related to a convertible bridge loan	-	-	(7,906)	-	-	(7,906)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,317)	(3,317)
Balance as of March 31, 2006	22,854,587	\$ 1	\$ 15,803	\$ (1,395)	\$ (22,320)	\$ (7,911)

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
					development	(deficiency)
					stage	
Balance as of March 31, 2006	22,854,587	\$ 1	\$ 15,803	\$ (1,395)	\$ (22,320)	\$ (7,911)
Elimination of deferred stock compensation due to implementation of ASC 718-10 (formerly SFAS 123(R))	-	-	(1,395)	1,395	-	-
Stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	*	1,168	-	-	1,168
Reclassification due to application of ASC 815-40-25 (formerly EITF 00-19)	-	-	7,191	-	-	7,191
Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	1,147,225	-	453	-	-	453
Warrants issued to convertible note holder	-	-	11	-	-	11
Warrants issued to loan holder	-	-	110	-	-	110
Beneficial conversion feature related to convertible bridge	-	-	1,086	-	-	1,086

loans							
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,924)	(3,924)	
Balance as of December 31, 2006	24,201,812	\$ 1	\$ 24,427	\$ -	\$ (26,244)	\$ (1,816)	

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY****(A development stage company)****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)****U.S. dollars in thousands****(Except share data)**

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Deferred Stock - based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity (deficiency)
	Number	Amount				
Balance as of December 31, 2006	24,201,812	\$ 1	\$ 24,427	\$ -	\$ (26,244)	\$ (1,816)
Stock-based compensation related to options and shares granted to service providers	544,095		1,446	-	-	1,446
Warrants issued to convertible note holder	-	-	109	-	-	109
Stock-based compensation related to shares and options granted to directors and employees	200,000	*	1,232	-	-	1,232
Beneficial conversion feature related to convertible loans	-	-	407	-	-	407
Conversion of convertible loans	725,881	*	224	-	-	224
Exercise of warrants	3,832,621	*	214	-	-	214
Stock issued for private placement at \$0.1818 per unit, net of finder's fee	11,500,000	1	1,999	-	-	2,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(6,244)	(6,244)
Balance as of December 31, 2007	41,004,409	\$ 2	\$ 30,058	\$ -	\$ (32,488)	\$ (2,428)

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

50

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock -	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	based	during the	equity
				compensation	development	(deficiency)
				stage		
Balance as of December 31, 2007	41,004,409	\$ 2	\$ 30,058	\$ -	\$ (32,488)	\$ (2,428)
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	90,000	-	33	-	-	33
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	-	-	731	-	-	731
Conversion of convertible loans	3,644,610	*	1,276	-	-	1,276
Exercise of warrants	1,860,000	*	-	-	-	-
Exercise of options	17,399	*	3	-	-	3
Stock issued for private placement at \$0.1818 per unit, net of finder's fee	8,625,000	1	1,499	-	-	1,500
Subscription of shares for private placement at \$0.1818 per unit	-	-	281	-	-	281
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,472)	(3,472)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	55,241,418	\$ 3	\$ 33,881	\$ -	\$ (35,960)	\$ (2,076)

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock -	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	based	during the	equity
				compensation	development	(deficiency)
				stage		
Balance as of December 31, 2008	55,241,418	\$ 3	\$ 33,881	\$ -	\$ (35,960)	\$ (2,076)
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	5,284,284	*	775	-		775
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	-	-	409	-		409
Conversion of convertible loans	2,500,000	*	200	-		200
Exercise of warrants	3,366,783	*	-	-		-
Stock issued for amendment of private placement	9,916,667	1	-	-		1
Subscription of shares	-	-	729	-		729
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(1,781)	(1,781)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	76,309,152	\$ 4	\$ 35,994	\$ -	\$ (37,741)	\$ (1,743)

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock -	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	based	during the	equity
				compensation	development	(deficiency)
				stage		
Balance as of December 31, 2009	76,309,152	\$ 4	\$ 35,994	\$ -	\$ (37,741)	\$ (1,743)
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	443,333	*	96	-	-	96
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	466,667	*	388	-	-	388
Stock issued for amendment of private placement	7,250,000	1	1,750	-	-	1,751
Conversion of convertible note	402,385	*	135	-	-	135
Conversion of convertible loans	1,016,109	*	189	-	-	189
Issuance of shares	2,475,000		400			400
Exercise of options	1,540,885	*	77	-	-	77
Exercise of warrants	3,929,446	*	11	-	-	11
Subscription of shares for private placement at \$0.12 per unit			455	-	-	455
Conversion of trade payable to stock			201			201
Issuance of shares on account of previously subscribed shares	2,000,001	*	-	-	-	-
Net loss					(2,419)	(2,419)
Balance as of December	95,832,978	\$ 5	\$ 39,696	\$ -	\$ (40,160)	\$ (459)

31, 2010

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

53

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional	Deferred	Deficit	Total
	Number	Amount	paid-in	Stock - based	accumulated	stockholders'
			capital	compensation	during the	equity
				stage	development	(deficiency)
Balance as of December 31, 2010	95,832,978	\$ 5	\$ 39,696	\$ -	\$ (40,160)	\$ (459)
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	474,203	-	449	-	-	449
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	2,025,040	-	1,135	-	-	1,135
Conversion of convertible note	755,594	-	140	-	-	140
Exercise of options	1,648,728	-	243	-	-	243
Exercise of warrants	1,046,834	-	272	-	-	272
Issuance of shares for private placement	14,160,933	1	3,601	-	-	3,602
Issuance of shares on account of previously subscribed shares	10,499,999	-	24	-	-	24
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,918)	(3,918)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	126,444,309	\$ 6	\$ 45,560	\$ -	\$ (44,078)	\$ 1,488

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Deferred Stock - based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity
	Number	Amount				
Balance as of December 31, 2011	126,444,309	\$ 6	\$ 45,560	\$ -	\$ (44,078)	\$ 1,488
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	794,423		195	-	-	195
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	885,000		560	-	-	560
Exercise of options	1,182,606	(*)	137	-	-	137
Exercise of warrants	959,729	(*)	9	-	-	9
Issuance of shares for private placement	19,818,968	1	5,022	-	-	5,023
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(3,430)	(3,430)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	150,085,035	\$ 7	\$ 51,483	\$ -	\$ (47,508)	\$ 3,982

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

U.S. dollars in thousands

(Except share data)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Deferred Stock - based compensation	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	Total stockholders' equity
	Number	Amount				
Balance as of December 31, 2012	150,085,035	\$ 7	\$ 51,483	\$ -	\$ (47,508)	\$ 3,982
Stock-based compensation related to options and stock granted to service providers	809,696		197	-	-	197
Stock-based compensation related to stock and options granted to directors and employees	760,000		674	-	-	674
Issuance of shares for public offering	23,529,411	1	2,496	-	-	2,497
Issuance of shares for private placement	833,334	(*)	250	-	-	250
Conversion of convertible loans	126,111	-	30	-	-	30
Exercise of options	120,000	(*)	8	-	-	8
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(4,899)	(4,899)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	176,263,587	\$ 8	\$ 55,138	-	\$ (52,407)	\$ 2,739

\* Represents an amount less than \$1.

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY****(A development stage company)****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****U.S. dollars in thousands**

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31, 2013*)
	2013	2012	
	U.S. \$ in thousands		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (4,899)	\$ (3,430)	\$ (52,407)
Less - loss for the period from discontinued operations	-	-	164
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization of deferred charges	97	157	1,255
Accrued interest on loans	-	-	451
Amortization of discount on short-term loans	-	-	1,864
Change in fair value of options and warrants	-	-	(795)
Expenses related to shares and options granted to service providers	227	195	21,908
Stock-based compensation related to options granted to employees	674	560	8,055
Increase in accounts receivable and prepaid expenses	(155)	(426)	(943)
Increase (decrease) in trade payables and convertible note	(130)	114	701
Increase (decrease) in other accounts payable and accrued expenses	306	(105)	1,610
Revaluation of warrants	(174)	-	(174)
Erosion of restricted cash	-	-	(6)
Net cash used in continuing operating activities	(4,054)	(2,935)	(18,317)
Net cash used in discontinued operating activities	-	-	(23)
Total net cash used in operating activities	(4,054)	(2,935)	(18,340)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(108)	(90)	(1,331)
Restricted cash	-	-	6
Changes in short-term deposit	2,769	(2,750)	-
Investment in lease deposit	(5)	-	(22)
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing investing activities	2,656	(2,840)	(1,347)
Net cash used in discontinued investing activities	-	-	(16)
Total net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,656	(2,840)	(1,363)

## Cash flows from financing activities:

Proceeds from issuance of Common stock and warrants, net	3,576	5,023	20,918
Proceeds from loans, notes and issuance of warrants, net	-	-	2,061
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options	8	146	785
Repayment of short-term loans	-	-	(601)
Net cash provided by continuing financing activities	3,584	5,169	23,163
Net cash provided by discontinued financing activities	-	-	43
Total net cash provided by financing activities	3,584	5,169	23,206
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,186	(606)	3,503
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	1,317	1,923	-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 3,503	\$ 1,317	\$ 3,503

**The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.**

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

**(A development stage company)**

**Notes to the financial statements**

**U.S. dollars in thousands**

**NOTE 1 - GENERAL:**

- A.** Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. (formerly: Golden Hand Resources Inc. - the "Company") was incorporated in the State of Washington on September 22, 2000.

- B.** On May 21, 2004, the former major stockholders of the Company entered into a purchase agreement with a group of private investors, who purchased from the former major stockholders 6,880,000 shares of the then issued and outstanding 10,238,000 shares of Common Stock.

- C.** On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into a licensing agreement with Ramot of Tel Aviv University Ltd. ("Ramot"), to acquire certain stem cell technology (see Note 3). Subsequent to this agreement, the Company decided to focus on the development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases based on the acquired technology and research to be conducted and funded by the Company.

Following the licensing agreement dated July 8, 2004, the management of the Company decided to abandon all old activities related to the sale of the digital data recorder product. The discontinuation of this activity was accounted for under the provision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ASC 360-10, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets".

- D.** On October 25, 2004, the Company formed a wholly-owned subsidiary in Israel, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. ("BCT").

- E.** On November 22, 2004, the Company changed its name from Golden Hand Resources Inc. to Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to better reflect its new line of business in the development of novel cell therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. BCT owns all operational property and equipment.

The Common Stock is registered and publicly traded on the OTC Markets Group service of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol BCLI.

- F.** On September 17, 2006, the Company changed the Company's fiscal year-end from March 31 to December 31.

- G.** In December 2006, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Washington to Delaware.

- H.** Since its inception, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts to research and development, recruiting management and technical staff, acquiring assets and raising capital. In addition, the Company has not generated revenues.

Accordingly, the Company is considered to be in the development stage, as defined in "Accounting and reporting by development Stage Enterprises" ASC 915-10.

- I.** In October 2010, the Israeli Ministry of Health ("MOH") granted clearance for a Phase I/II clinical trial using the Company's autologous NurOwn stem cell therapy in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ("ALS"), subject to some additional process specifications as well as completion of the sterility validation study for tests performed.

On February 23, 2011, the Company submitted, to the MOH, all the required documents. Following approval of the MOH, a Phase I/II clinical study for ALS patients using the Company's autologous NurOwn stem cell therapy (the "Clinical Trial") was initiated in June 2011.

**J.** In February 2011, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") granted orphan drug designation to the Company's NurOwn autologous adult stem cell product for the treatment of ALS.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 1 - GENERAL (Cont.):**

**K.** On February 19, 2013, Brainstorm Ltd established a wholly-owned subsidiary, Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics UK Ltd. ("Brainstorm UK"). Brainstorm UK will act on behalf of the parent Company in the EU.

On February 21, 2013, Brainstorm UK filed a request for Orphan Medicinal Product Designation by the European  
**L.** Medicine Agency (EMA) for its Autologous Bone Marrow derived Mesenchymal Stromal cells Secreting Neurotropic factors (MSC-NTF, NurOwn).

On April 8, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute ("Dana-Farber") to provide cGMP-compliant clean room facilities for production of the Company's NurOwn stem cell candidate during its upcoming Phase II ALS trial in the United States. The Company's Phase II trial, will be conducted at  
**M.** Massachusetts General Hospital ("MGH"), the University of Massachusetts ("UMass") Hospital and the Mayo Clinic. The Connell and O'Reilly Cell Manipulation Core Facility at Dana-Farber will produce NurOwn for the MGH and UMass Hospital clinical sites.

On April 18, 2013, the stockholders of the Company authorized the Board of Directors of the Company, in its discretion, should it deem it to be appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, to  
**N.** amend the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock by a ratio of between 1-for-10 and 1-for-20, inclusive, without further approval or authorization of the Company's stockholders. As of December 31, 2013 a reverse stock split of the Company's shares wasn't performed.

On July 17, 2013, the European Commission granted Orphan Drug Designation to the Company's NurOwn  
**O.** autologous adult stem cell product for the treatment of ALS.

On September 27, 2013, the Company announced that it recently completed treatment of the 12 patients in its ALS  
**P.** Phase IIa dose-escalating clinical trial with the Company's NurOwn technology. The Company was informed that one patient in the study expired due to a medical condition unrelated to the Clinical Trial.

The Clinical Trial is being performed at Hadassah Medical Center in Jerusalem, Israel, under the direction of Prof. Dimitrios Karussis, M.D., Ph.D., head of Hadassah's Multiple Sclerosis Center and a member of the International Steering Committees for Bone Marrow and Mesenchymal Stem Cells Transplantation in Multiple Sclerosis (MS). The study is designed to establish the safety and preliminary efficacy of NurOwn at increasing dosages.

**Q.** In November 2013, the Company signed a definitive agreement with the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota to conduct its Phase II clinical trial of NurOwn in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), pending FDA approval. In

addition, Mayo's Human Cell Therapy Laboratory will manufacture the NurOwn cells for their clinical trial participants.

On December 4, 2013, a Notice of Intention to Grant from the European Patent Office (EPO) was issued for the Company's patent application entitled "Isolated Cells and Populations Comprising Same for the Treatment of CNS R. Diseases" (European serial number EP06766101.7) . This patent relates to the production method for the company's proprietary stem cells induced to secrete large quantities of neurotrophic factors for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 1 - GENERAL (Cont.):

- S. On December 9, 2013, a Notice of Allowance was issued from the U.S. Patent Office for the same patent application as above, U.S. serial number 11/727,583.

In March 2014, the Company signed a definitive agreement with the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) in Boston, MA to conduct a Phase II clinical trial of NurOwn in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), pending FDA approval.

#### GOING CONCERN:

As reflected in the accompanying financial statements, the Company's operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted in a net loss of \$5,073. The Company's balance sheet reflects an accumulated deficit of \$52,581. These conditions, together with the fact that the Company is a development stage Company and has no revenues nor are revenues expected in the near future, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue to operate as a going concern. The Company's ability to continue operating as a "going concern" is dependent on several factors, among them is its ability to raise sufficient additional working capital.

In 2009, the Company decided to focus only on the effort to commence clinical trials for ALS and such trials did commence in 2011.

In July 2012, the Company raised \$5.7 million, gross, in a public offering (See Note 8B 1 (i)). In August 2013, the Company raised \$4 million, gross, in a public offering (See Note 8B 1 (j)). However, there can be no assurance that additional funds will be available on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of assets, carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that may be required should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

#### NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### A.

##### Basis of presentation:

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") applied on a consistent basis.

##### B.

##### Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**C. Financial statement in U.S. dollars:**

The functional currency of the Company is the U.S dollar ("dollar") since the dollar is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the Company has operated and expects to continue to operate in the foreseeable future. Part of the transactions of BCT is recorded in new Israeli shekels ("NIS"); however, a substantial portion of BCT's costs are incurred in dollars or linked to the dollar. Accordingly, management has designated the dollar as the currency of BCT's primary economic environment and thus it is their functional and reporting currency.

Transactions and balances denominated in dollars are presented at their original amounts. Non-dollar transactions and balances have been re-measured to dollars in accordance with the provisions of ASC 830-10 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 52), "Foreign Currency Translation". All transaction gains and losses from re-measurement of monetary balance sheet items denominated in non-dollar currencies are reflected in the statement of operations as financial income or expenses, as appropriate.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

**(A development stage company)**

**Notes to the financial statements**

**U.S. dollars in thousands**

**NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.):**

**D. Principles of consolidation:**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, BCT and Brainstorm UK. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation.

**E. Cash and cash equivalents:**

Cash equivalents are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash with maturities of three months or less as of the date acquired.

**F. Property and equipment:**

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

The annual depreciation rates are as follows:

	%
Office furniture and equipment	7
Computer software and electronic equipment	33
Laboratory equipment	15
Leasehold improvements	Over the shorter of the lease term (including the option) or useful life

**G. Impairment of long-lived assets:**

The Company's and BCT's long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in accordance with ASC 360-10 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 144), "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds their fair value. During 2012 and 2013, no impairment losses were identified.

**H. Accrued post-employment benefit:**

The majority of the Company's employees in Israel have agreed to Section 14 of Israel's Severance Pay Law, 5723-1963 ("Section 14"). Pursuant to Section 14, those of the Company's employees that are covered by this section are entitled only to an amount of severance pay equal to monthly deposits, at a rate of 8.33% of their monthly salary, made on their behalf by the Company. Payments in accordance with Section 14 release the Company from any future severance liabilities in respect of those employees. Neither severance pay liability nor severance pay funds under Section 14 for such employees is recorded on the Company's balance sheet.



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

#### **NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.):**

##### **I. Fair value of financial instruments:**

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and prepaid expenses, trade payables and other accounts payable approximate their fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The Company utilized the Black Scholes Merton formula to measure the fair value of the warrants issued in the 2013 public offering (refer to note B.1.(J)). The assumptions included in the Black-Scholes model were: (i) the market price of the Company's shares; (ii) the exercise price of the warrant; (iii) risk-free interest; (iv) term available to exercise or redeem the security and (v) the volatility of the share during the relevant term. The Company determines the volatility of its share using daily historical quotes of the share. The risk free interest rate is determined as the interest rate on governmental bonds with maturity commensurate with the term of the warrant.

##### **J. Accounting for stock-based compensation:**

Effective April 1, 2006, the Company adopted ASC 718-10 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (Revised 2004)), "Share-Based Payment," which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options under the Company's stock plans based on estimated fair values. ASC 718-10 supersedes the Company's previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). In March 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 ("SAB 107") relating to ASC 718-10. The Company has applied the provisions of SAB 107 in its adoption of ASC 718-10.

ASC 718-10 requires companies to estimate the fair value of equity-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for the value of non-employee awards, which have graded vesting, based on the accelerated attribution method over the requisite service period of each award, net of estimated forfeitures.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for the value of employee awards that have graded vesting, based on the straight-line method over the requisite service period of each of the awards, net of estimated forfeitures.

##### **J. Accounting for stock-based compensation (Cont.):**

The Company estimates the fair value of restricted shares based on the market price of the shares at the grant date and estimates the fair value of stock options granted using a Black-Scholes options pricing model. The option-pricing model requires a number of assumptions, of which the most significant are, expected stock price volatility and the expected option term (the time from the grant date until the options are exercised or expire). Expected volatility was calculated based upon actual historical stock price movements over the period, equal to the expected option term. The expected option term was calculated for options granted to employees and directors in accordance with SAB 107 and SAB 110, using the "simplified" method. Grants to non-employees are based on the contractual term. The Company has historically not paid dividends and has no foreseeable plans to issue dividends. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with an equivalent term.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

#### **NOTE 2 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Cont.):**

##### **K. Basic and diluted net loss per share:**

Basic net loss per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year. Diluted net loss per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each year, plus the dilutive potential of the Common Stock considered outstanding during the year, in accordance with ASC 260-10 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 128), "Earnings per Share".

All outstanding stock options and warrants have been excluded from the calculation of the diluted loss per share for the year ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, since all such securities have an anti-dilutive effect.

##### **L. Research and development expenses, net:**

Research and development expenses, are charged to the statement of operations as incurred.

Royalty-bearing grants from the Government of Israel for funding approved research and development projects are recognized at the time the Company is entitled to such grants, on the basis of the costs incurred and applied as a deduction from research and development expenses. Such grants are included as a deduction of research and development costs since at the time received it is not probable the Company will generate sales from these projects and pay the royalties resulting from such sales.

##### **M. Income taxes:**

The Company and BCT account for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740-10 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 109), "Accounting for Income Taxes." This Statement requires the use of the liability method of accounting for income taxes, whereby deferred tax asset and liability account balances are determined based on the differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company and BCT provide a valuation allowance, if necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to their estimated realizable value.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASC 740-10 (formerly FASB interpretation ("FIN") 48), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109". ASC 740-10 establishes a single model to address accounting for uncertain tax positions. ASC 740-10 clarified the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. ASC 740-10 also provides guidance on recognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The adoption of the provisions of ASC 740-10 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of

operations.

**NOTE 3 - RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENT**

The Company has a Research and License Agreement, as amended and restated, with Ramot. The Company obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding the Company's payment obligations under the Research and License Agreement and waived all claims against the Company resulting from the Company's previous defaults and non-payment under the Research and License Agreement. The waiver and release amended and restated the original payment schedule under the original agreement providing for payments during the initial research period and additional payments for any extended research period.

63

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### **NOTE 3 - RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENT (Cont.):**

The Company is to pay Ramot royalties on Net Sales on a Licensed Product by Licensed Product and jurisdiction by jurisdiction basis as follow:

- a)** So long as the making, producing, manufacturing, using, marketing, selling, importing or exporting of such Licensed Product is covered by a Valid Claim or is covered by Orphan Drug Status in such jurisdiction 5% of all Net Sales.
- b)** In the event the making, producing, manufacturing, using, marketing, selling, importing or exporting of such Licensed Product is not covered by a Valid Claim and not covered by Orphan Drug status in such jurisdiction 3% of all Net Sales until the expiration of 15 years from the date of the First Commercial Sale of such Licensed Product in such jurisdiction.

#### **NOTE 4 - CONSULTING AGREEMENTS**

On July 8, 2004, the Company entered into two consulting agreements with Prof. Eldad Melamed and Dr. Daniel Offen (together, the "Consultants"), under which the Consultants provide the Company scientific and medical consulting services in consideration for a monthly payment of \$6 each. In addition, the Company granted each of the Consultants, a fully vested warrant to purchase 1,097,215 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of

- A.** \$0.01 per share. The warrants issued pursuant to the agreement were issued to the Consultants effective as of November 4, 2004. Each of the warrants is exercisable for a seven-year period beginning on November 4, 2005. As of September 2010, all the above warrants had been exercised. In June 2012 an amendment was signed with Dr. Daniel Offen, according to which the company will pay Daniel Offen a monthly payment of \$6, out of which \$3 in cash and \$3 by grant of Company stock.

- B.** On December 16, 2010, the Company approved a grant of 1,100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the two Consultants, for services rendered through December 31, 2010. Related compensation in the amount of \$220 was recorded as research and development expense. A sum of \$487 was cancelled concurrently with the issuance of the 1,100,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company.

- C.** On June 27, 2011, the Company approved an additional grant of 400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to Prof. Daniel Offen, for services rendered through December 31, 2009. Related compensation in the amount of \$192 is recorded as research and development expense.

- D.** On August 1, 2012, the Company approved an additional grant of 623,077 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Consultants, for services rendered during January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. Related compensation in the amount of \$162 was recorded as research and development expense.

- On January 16, 2013, the Company granted the Consultants an aggregate of 216,000 shares of Common Stock for
- E.** their services from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012. Related compensation in the amount of \$54 was recorded as research and development expense.
  
  - F.** On November 13, 2013, the Company approved a grant of 450,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Consultants, for services rendered during January 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY****(A development stage company)****Notes to the financial statements****U.S. dollars in thousands****NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE**

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
Government institutions	60	108
Grants receivable from the CSO	850	634
	910	742

**NOTE 6 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
Cost:		
Office furniture and equipment	18	9
Computer software and electronic equipment	149	120
Laboratory equipment	482	437
Leasehold improvements	716	690
	1,365	1,256
Accumulated depreciation:		
Office furniture and equipment	5	4
Computer software and electronic equipment	116	106
Laboratory equipment	350	306
Leasehold improvements	636	593
	1,107	1,009
Depreciated cost	258	247

Depreciation expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were \$97, and \$157, respectively.

**NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

On November, 2012, BCT entered into an amended lease agreement for the lease of its facilities. The term of the A. lease is 60 months, with an option to terminate the agreement with 6 month pre-notice, after 36 months. Rent is paid on a monthly basis in the amount of NIS 40,000 (approximately \$11) per month.

The facilities and vehicles of the Company and BCT are rented under operating leases that expire on various dates. Aggregate minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Period ending December 31, 2013	Facilities	Vehicles	Total
2014	121	5	126

U.S. dollars in thousands

123

Edgar Filing: BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. - Form 424B3

2015	121	-	121
2016	90	-	90
	332	5	337

Total facilities rent expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$129 and \$106, respectively.

65

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Cont.):

**B.** Commitments to pay royalties to the Chief Scientist:

BCT obtained from the Chief Scientist of the State of Israel grants for participation in research and development for the years 2007 through 2013, and, in return, BCT is obligated to pay royalties amounting to 3%-3.5% of its future sales up to the amount of the grant. The grant is linked to the exchange rate of the dollar and bears interest of Libor per annum.

Through the year ended December 31, 2013, total grants obtained amounted to \$942. After balance sheet date 2014 the Company received approximately \$380.

On February 17, 2010, BCT entered into an agreement with Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd ("Hadasit") to conduct clinical trials in ALS patients. The agreement was revised in June 2011 according to

**C.** which, in connection with the trials BCT will pay Hadasit \$32 per patient totaling up to \$773, as well as \$65 per month for rental and operation of two clean rooms. The Company has the right to cease the rental of the clean rooms at any time upon 30 days prior notice.

In April 2008, Chapman, Spira & Carson, LLC ("CSC") filed a breach of contract complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York (the "Court") against the Company. The complaint alleges that the Company improperly terminated its contract with CSC. The complaint seeks, among other things, the following relief: (i) 400,000 shares

**D.** of the common stock of the Company and (ii) warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of the common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.30 per share. Further, the complaint alleges that CSC performed its obligations under the contract and has suffered compensatory damages in an amount up to approximately \$672. CSC also seeks costs and attorneys' fees.

On October 24, 2012, the Company reached an understanding with CSC according to which the Company paid CSC \$125 in full satisfaction of CSC's claims against the Company.

#### NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL

**A.** The rights of Common Stock are as follows:

Holders of Common Stock have the right to receive notice to participate and vote in general meetings of the Company, the right to a share in the excess of assets upon liquidation of the Company and the right to receive dividends, if declared.

The Common Stock is registered and publicly traded on the OTC Markets Group service of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. under the symbol BCLI.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):

**B. Issuance of shares warrants and options:**

**1. Private placements and public offering:**

- (a) During 2004 and 2005 the Company issued, in separate transactions, 8,861,875 shares of Common Stock of the Company for total proceeds of \$308

- (b) On February 23, 2005, the Company completed a private placement for sale of 1,894,808 units for total proceeds of \$1,418. Each unit consisted of one share of Common Stock and a three-year warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$2.50 per share. This private placement was consummated in three tranches which closed in October 2004, November 2004 and February 2005. All warrants are no longer valid.

- (c) On August 11, 2005, the Company signed a private placement agreement with investors for the sale of up to 1,250,000 units at a price of \$0.80 per unit. Each unit consisted of one share of Common Stock and one warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$1.00 per share. The warrants were exercisable for a period of three years from issuance. On September 30, 2005, the Company sold 312,500 units for total net proceeds of \$225. On December 7, 2005, the Company sold 187,500 units for total net proceeds of \$135. All warrants are no longer valid.

- (d) In July 2007, the Company entered into an investment agreement, that was amended in August 2009, according to which for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5 million, the Company issued 41,666,667 shares of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase 10,083,333 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and a warrant to purchase 20,166,667 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The warrants may be exercised at any time and expire on November 5, 2013. . In May 2012 the warrants were extended by additional 18 months, through May 5, 2015.

In January 2011, the Company and an investor signed an agreement to balance the remaining amount due to the investor, totaling \$20, against the remaining balance of the investment and the Company issued the above shares and warrants.

In addition, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock to a related party as an introduction fee for the investment. As of balance sheet date no warrants have been exercised.

- (e) In January 2010, the Company issued 1,250,000 units to a private investor for total proceeds of \$250. Each unit consisted of one share of Common Stock and a two-year warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$0.50 per share. . All warrants are no longer valid.



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

#### **NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

##### **B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.):**

##### **1. Private placements and public offering: (Cont.):**

In February 2010, the Company issued 6,000,000 shares of Common Stock to three investors (2,000,000 to each (f) investor) and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of Common Stock (1,000,000 to each investor) with an exercise price of \$0.50 for aggregate proceeds of \$1,500 (\$500 each).

In February 2011, the Company issued 833,333 shares of Common Stock, at a price of \$0.30 per share, and a (g) warrant to purchase 641,026 shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.39 per share exercisable for one year for total proceeds of \$250. The warrants are no longer valid.

On February 23, 2011, the Company entered into an investment agreement, pursuant to which the Company agreed to sell up to 12,815,000 shares of Common Stock, for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$3.6 million (h) and warrants to purchase up to 19,222,500 shares of Common Stock as follows: warrant to purchase 12,815,000 shares of Common Stock at \$0.5 for two years, and warrants to purchase 6,407,500 shares of Common Stock at \$0.28 for one year, out of which 946,834 were exercised, and 5,460,666 were cancelled.

In addition, the Company agreed to pay 10% of the funds received for the distribution services received, out of this amount, 4% was be paid in stock and the remaining 6% in cash. Accordingly, in March 2011, the Company issued 512,600 shares of Common Stock and paid \$231.

On July 17, 2012, the Company raised a \$5.7 million gross proceeds through a public offering ("2012 Public Offering") of its common stock. The Company issued a total of 19,818,968 common stock of \$0.00005 par value, (I) (\$0.29 per share) and 14,864,228 warrants to purchase 0.75 shares of Common Stock for every share purchased in the Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The Warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance. After deducting closing costs and fees, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$4.9 million.

The Company paid to the Placement Agency, Maxim Group LLC (the "Placement Agent"), a cash fee and a corporate finance fee equal to 7% of the gross proceeds of the Public Offering. In addition, the Company issued to the Placement Agent a two year warrant to purchase up to 493,966 shares of Common Stock (equal to 3% of the number of shares sold in the Public Offering), with an exercise price equal to \$0.348 (120% of the Public offering price). The Warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance. In addition, the Company issued to Leader Underwriters (1993) Ltd, warrants to purchase 232,758 shares of Common stock, at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The warrants are exercisable until the 30 month anniversary of the date of issuance.



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

**B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.):**

**1. Private placements and public offering: (Cont.):**

On August 16, 2013, the Company raised \$4 million (gross) through a registered public offering (“2013 Public Offering”) of its common stock. The Company issued a total of 23,529,411 common stock of \$0.00005 par value, (\$0.17 per share) and 17,647,058 warrants to purchase 0.75 shares of Common Stock for every share purchased in the Public Offering, at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share. The Warrants are exercisable until the 36 month anniversary of the date of issuance. The Warrants also include, subject to certain exceptions, full ratchet anti-dilution protection in the event of the issuance of any common stock, securities convertible into common stock, or certain other issuances at a price below the then-current exercise price of the Warrants, which would (j) result in an adjustment to the exercise price of the Warrants. In the event of a sale of the Company, each holder of Warrants has the right, exercisable at its option, to require the Company to purchase such holder’s Warrants at a price determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model as described in the Warrants. After deducting closing costs and fees, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$3.3 million. In accordance with the provisions of ASC 815 (formerly FAS 133) the proceeds related to the warrants at the amount of \$829 were recorded to liabilities at the fair value of such warrants as of the date of issuance, and the proceeds related to common stocks of 2,496 were recorded to equity. As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of such warrants was presented as a liability at its fair value \$655 as of such date (Also see note 2(i)).

On February 7, 2013, the Company issued 833,334 units to a private investor for total proceeds of \$250. Each unit (k) consisted of one share of Common Stock and a warrant to purchase one share of Common Stock at \$0.50 per share exercisable for 32 months.

On November 25, 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the 2004 Global Stock Option Plan and the Israeli Appendix thereto (which applies solely to participants who are residents of Israel) and on March 28, 2005, the Company's stockholders approved the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan, and the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of Common Stock for issuance in the aggregate under these stock plans.

Each option granted under the plans is exercisable until the earlier of ten years from the date of grant of the option or the expiration dates of the respective option plans. The 2004 and 2005 options plans will expire on November 25, 2014 and March 28, 2015, respectively. The exercise price of the options granted under the plans may not be less than the nominal value of the shares into which such options are exercised. The options vest primarily over three years. Any options that are canceled or forfeited before expiration become available for future grants.

In June 2008, June 2011 and in June 2012, the Company's stockholders approved increases in the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under these stock option plans by 5,000,000, 5,000,000 and 9,000,000 shares, respectively.

From 2005 through 2009, the Company granted its directors options to purchase 800,000 (in total) shares of Common Stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The options are fully vested and will expire after 10 years.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

**B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**

**2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors:**

**(a) Options to employees and directors:**

As of December 31, 2013, 8,310,937 options are available for future grants.

On June 22, 2006, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's option agreement with two of its employees. The amendment changed the exercise price of 270,000 options granted to them from \$0.75 to \$0.15 per share. The excess of the fair value resulting from the modification, in the amount of \$2, was recorded as general and administration expense over the remaining vesting period of the options.

On October 23, 2007, the Company granted to its Chief Executive Officer an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.87 per share. On November 5, 2008, the Company amended the exercise price to \$0.15 per share. The option is fully vested and expires after 10 years. The total compensation related to the option is \$737, which was recorded as general and administrative expense. The options were all exercised for \$150.

On June 29, 2009, the Company granted to its former Chief Executive Officer and director an option to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.067 per share. The option vests with respect to 1/3 of the shares subject to the option on each anniversary of the date of grant and expires after 10 years. Out of which 483,333 were exercised for \$32 and 516,667 were cancelled.

The total compensation related to the option is \$68, which is amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expense. In February 2011, the former CEO resigned. On July 25, 2011, the Company signed a settlement agreement with the former CEO under which 483,333 shares out of the above grant became fully vested and exercisable through April 30, 2012. An additional \$30 was written as compensation in general and administrative expense. In April 2012, the former CEO exercised the option to 483,333 shares of Common Stock for an exercise price of \$32.

In the year ended December 31, 2012, 1,182,606 options were exercised by the former CEO of the Company for \$137.

On June 29, 2009, the Company granted to its former Chief Financial Officer an option to purchase 200,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.067 per share. The option vested with respect to 1/3 of the shares subject to the option. In connection with the former Chief Financial Officer's resignation, 2/3 of the above shares were cancelled and the remaining 66,667 were exercised for \$4.

On April 13, 2010, the Company, Abraham Israeli and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. (“Hadasit”) entered into an Agreement (the “Agreement”) pursuant to which Prof. Israeli agreed, during the term of the Agreement, to serve as (i) the Company’s Clinical Trials Advisor and (ii) a member of the Company’s Board of Directors.

70

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

**B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.):**

**2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.):**

**a) Options to employees and directors: (Cont.):**

In consideration of the services to be provided by Prof. Israeli to the Company under the Agreement, the Company agreed to grant options annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of its Common Stock, as follows:

An option for the purchase of 166,666 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Prof. Israeli; and

A warrant for the purchase of 33,334 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Hadasit.

Such options will vest and become exercisable in twelve (12) consecutive equal monthly amounts.

Accordingly, the Company granted to Prof. Israeli in each of April 2010, in June 2011, in April 2012 and April 2013, an option to purchase 166,666 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share.

The aggregated compensation related to such warrants recorded as of December 31, 2013 is \$166 was classified as general and administrative expense.

Accordingly, the Company also granted Hadasit, in each of April 2010, in June 2011, in April 2012 and April 2013, a warrant to purchase 33,334 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share. The aggregated compensation related to the options recorded as of December 31, 2013 is \$31 was classified as research and development expense.

In December 2013, the Board of the Company agreed to amend the agreement with Prof. Israeli and Hadasit and the yearly grant, starting April 2014, will be 360,000 options annually out of which Prof. Israeli will receive an option to purchase 300,000 shares of Common Stock and Hadasit will receive 60,000 shares of Common Stock.

On December 16, 2010, the Company granted to two of its directors an option to purchase 400,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The options are fully vested and are exercisable for a period of 10 years. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$78, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On December 16, 2010, the Company approved the grant to its three Scientific Board members 300,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company. The compensation related to the option, in the amount of \$60, was recorded as research and development expense.

In January 2011, the Company granted to its former CEO, an option to purchase 450,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.20. The total compensation related to the option is \$177, which is amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expense.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):

**B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.):**

**2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.):**

**a) Options to employees and directors: (Cont.)**

On June 27, 2011, the Company granted to four of its directors options to purchase an aggregate of 634,999 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.15. The total compensation related to the option was \$287, which is amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expense.

On August 10, 2011, the Company granted to its former CEO, an option to purchase 70,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.20. The total compensation related to the option was \$26, which was amortized as general and administrative expense.

On August 1, 2012, the Company granted to three of its directors options to purchase an aggregate of 460,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.15 per share. The total compensation related to the option was \$105, which is amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expense.

On August 1, 2012, the Company granted to its former CEO, an option to purchase 70,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.26 per share. The total compensation expense related to the option was \$16, which was amortized as general and administrative expense.

On February 1, 2013, the Company granted its former Chief Executive Officer an option to purchase 4,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.29 per share. The option would have vested as to 1/3 of the shares subject thereto on January 24, 2014 and the remainder would have vested over the subsequent 36 consecutive months. On July 28, 2013, the former CEO informed the Company of his resignation from his position with the Company effective October 28, 2013. In connection with the former CEO's resignation on October 28, 2013, the above options were cancelled and the total compensation expense related to the option that was recorded as general and administrative expense was cancelled.

On April 19, 2013, the Company granted to three of its directors options to purchase an aggregate of 460,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company at \$0.15 per share. The total compensation expense related to the options will be recorded as general and administrative expense.

As of December 31, 2013, 8,310,937 options are available for future grants.



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):****B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)****2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)****a) Options to employees and directors: (Cont.)**

A summary of the Company's option activity related to options to employees and directors, and related information is as follows:

	For the year ended December 31, 2013	Weighted average exercise price \$	Aggregate intrinsic value \$
	Amount of options		
Outstanding at beginning of period	4,751,665	0.1803	
Granted	5,726,666	0.2492	
Exercised	(20,000)	0.0670	
Cancelled	(4,272,500)	0.2873	
Outstanding at end of period	6,185,831	0.1705	58,765
Vested and expected-to-vest at end of period	5,036,942	0.1712	44,325

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the difference between the fair market value of the Company's shares on December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on December 31, 2013 and 2012.

The options outstanding as of December 31, 2013, have been separated into exercise prices, as follows:

Edgar Filing: BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. - Form 424B3

Exercise price \$	Options outstanding as of December 31, 2013	Weighted average remaining contractual Life Years	Options exercisable as of December 31, 2013
0.00005	666,664	7.29	611,109
0.067	96,668	5.50	96,668
0.15	2,604,999	6.86	2,451,666
0.18	1,580,000	8.57	640,000
0.2	507,500	7.51	507,500
0.26	355,000	8.59	355,000
0.32	30,000	6.12	30,000
0.39	90,000	3.50	90,000
0.4	90,000	2.47	90,000
0.47	90,000	3.22	90,000
0.75	75,000	1.16	75,000
	6,185,831	7.23	5,036,942

73

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

**B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**

**2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)**

**a) Options to employees and directors: (Cont.)**

Compensation expense recorded by the Company in respect of its stock-based employee compensation award in accordance with ASC 718-10 for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 amounted to \$674 and \$560, respectively.

The fair value of the options is estimated at the date of grant using Black-Scholes options pricing model with the following assumptions used in the calculation:

	Year ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
Expected volatility	121	%	132	%
Risk-free interest	0.86	%	0.63	%
Dividend yield	0	%	0	%
Expected life of up to (years)	5.4		5.5	
Forfeiture rate	0	%	0	%

**b) Restricted shares to directors:**

During May 2006 through April 2007, the Company issued to its directors 400,000 restricted shares of Common Stock (100,000 each). The restrictions on the shares have fully lapsed. The compensation related to the stocks issued amounted to \$198, which was amortized over the vesting period as general and administrative expenses.

On August 27, 2008, the Company issued to its director 960,000 shares of Common Stock upon a cashless exercise by a shareholder of a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$.01 per share that was acquired by the shareholder from Ramot. The shares were allocated to the director by the shareholder.

In May and June 2010, based on a board resolution dated June 29, 2009, the Company issued to three directors, three of its Scientific Advisory Board members and two of its Advisory Board members 800,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. The shares will vest in three annual and equal portions commencing with the grant date.

On December 16, 2010, the Company approved a grant to two of its directors of 400,000 (total) shares of Common Stock. Related compensation in the amount of \$80 was recorded as general and administrative cost in 2010. These shares were actually granted in June 2011, and an additional related compensation in the amount of \$112 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):

##### B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)

##### 2. Share-based compensation to employees and to directors: (Cont.)

##### b) Restricted shares to directors: (Cont.)

On June 27, 2011, the Company granted to two of its directors 476,666 (total) shares of Common Stock, out of which 216,666 shares are fully vested and 260,000 shares will vest in 12 equal monthly installments through June 2012. Related compensation in the amount of \$229 will be recorded as general and administrative expense.

On August 22, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Chen Schor (the "Executive Director Agreement") pursuant to which the Company granted to Mr. Schor 923,374 shares of restricted Common Stock of the Company. The shares will vest over 3 years - 1/3 upon each anniversary of the Grant Date. In addition, the Company will pay \$15 per quarter to Mr. Schor for his services as an Executive Board Member.

In August 2011, the Company issued to three of its Scientific Advisory Board members and three of its Advisory Board members a total of 300,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. The restrictions of the shares shall lapse in equal monthly portions over the service period.

In November 2011, the Company issued to four of its Advisory Board members a total of 500,000 restricted shares of Common Stock.

The shares will vest in equal monthly portions over the service period.

In addition, in November 2011, the Company issued to a former director 250,000 shares of Common Stock. Related compensation in the amount of \$70 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

In August 2012, the Company issued to two directors, four of its Scientific Advisory Board members and three of its Advisory Board members a total of 885,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. The shares will vest in 12 equal monthly portions over the service period. Related compensation in the amount of \$198 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On April 19, 2013, the Company issued to two of its directors and four of its Advisory Board members a total of 760,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. The shares will vest in 12 equal monthly portions until fully vested on the anniversary of grant. Related compensation expense in the amount of \$175 will be recorded as general and administrative expense.

##### 3. Shares and warrants to service providers:

The Company accounts for shares and warrant grants issued to non-employees using the guidance of ASC 505-50, "Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees" (EITTF 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services"), whereby the fair value of such option and warrant grants is determined using a Black-Scholes options pricing model at the earlier of the date at which the non-employee's performance is completed or a performance commitment is reached.

75

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

(A development stage company)

**Notes to the financial statements****U.S. dollars in thousands****NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.)****B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)****3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)****a) Warrants to investors and service providers:**

Issuance date	Number of warrants issued	Exercised	Forfeited	Outstanding	Exercise Price \$	Warrants exercisable	Exercisable through
November-December 2004	14,600,845	14,396,010	204,835	-	0.00005 - 0.01	-	-
February-December 2005	3,058,471	173,000	2,548,308	337,163	0.15 - 2.5	337,163	Jun - Dec
February-December 2006	1,686,355	727,696	478,659	480,000	0.005 - 1.5	480,000	Feb - May
March 2007	14,803,300		1,003,300	13,800,000	0.15 - 0.47	13,800,000	May 2015
April 2008	9,175,000			9,175,000	0.15 - 0.29	9,175,000	May 2015
Apr-Oct 2009	4,937,500	100,000		4,837,500	0.067 - 0.29	4,837,500	May 2015
January 2010	1,250,000		1,250,000	-	0.5	-	-
February 2010	125,000	125,000		-	0.01	-	-
February 2010	3,000,000		3,000,000	-	0.5	-	-
February 2010	1,500,000			1,500,000	0.001	500,000	Feb 2020
April 2010	33,334			33,334	0.00005	33,334	Apr 2020
January 2011	4,537,500			4,537,500	0.29	4,537,500	May 2015
February 2011	641,026		641,026	-	0.39	-	-
February 2011	6,407,500	946,834	5,460,666	-	0.28	-	-
February 2011	12,815,000		12,815,000	-	0.5	-	-
April 2011	33,334			33,334	0.00005	33,334	Apr 2021
April 2012	33,334			33,334	0.00005	33,334	Apr 2022
July 2012	493,966			493,966	0.348	493,966	Jul 2014
July 2012	232,758			232,758	0.29	232,758	Jan 2015
July 2012	14,864,228			14,864,228	0.29	14,864,228	Jan 2015
Feb 2013	833,334			833,334	0.5	833,334	Oct 2015
April 2013	33,334			33,334	0.00005	13,889	April 2023
August 2013	17,647,058			17,647,058	0.25	17,647,058	August 20
	112,742,177	16,468,540	27,401,794	68,871,843		67,852,398	

The fair value for the warrants to service providers was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, with the following weighted-average assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2010; weighted average volatility of 140%, risk free interest rates of 2.39%-3.14%, dividend yields of 0% and a weighted average life of the options of 5-5.5 and 1-9 years. There were no grants to service providers during 2013 and 2012 using Black-Scholes calculation.

**b)**

**Shares:**

In June, 2004, the Company issued 40,000 and 150,000 shares of Common Stock for 12 months of filing services and legal and due-diligence services, respectively, with respect to a private placement. Compensation expense related to filing services, totaling \$26, was amortized over a 12-month period. Compensation related to legal services, totaling \$105 was recorded as equity issuance cost and had no effect on the statement of operations.

On February 10, 2005, the Company signed an agreement with one of its service providers under which the Company issued to the service provider 100,000 restricted shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Company. All restrictions on these shares have lapsed.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

#### **NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**
- 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)**
  - b) Shares: (Cont.)**

In March and in April 2005, the Company signed an agreement with four members of its Scientific Advisory Board under which the Company issued to the members of the Scientific Advisory Board 400,000 restricted shares at a purchase price of \$0.00005 par value under the U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan (100,000 each). All restrictions on these shares have lapsed.

Between the years 2004 through 2009, the Company issued to several services providers, in separate transactions, 3,045,508 shares of Common Stock in total. The total related compensation, in the amount of \$758, was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On March 5, 2007, the Company issued a \$150 Convertible Promissory Note to a third party. Interest on the note accrued at the rate of 8% per annum for the first year and 10% per annum after the first year. On January 27, 2010, the third party converted the entire accrued principle and interest outstanding under the note, amounting to \$189, into 1,016,109 shares of Common Stock.

On October 29, 2007, the Company issued to a Scientific Advisory Board member 80,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock for scientific services. Compensation of \$67 was recorded as research and development expense.

On May 20, 2008, the Company issued to its finance advisor 90,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares are for \$35 payable to the finance advisor for introduction fee of past convertible loans. Related compensation in the amount of \$36 is recorded as finance expenses.

On April 5, 2009, the Company issued to its Chief Technology Advisor 1,800,000 shares of Common Stock. The shares are for \$180 payable to the advisor. Related compensation in the amount of \$144 was recorded as research and development expense.

On October 1, 2009, the Company issued to its service provider 150,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares are for financial and investor relation services done by the provider. Related compensation in the amount of \$51 is recorded as general and administrative expense.

On October 2, 2009, the Company issued to its service provider 1,250,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares are for investor and public relation services. Related compensation in the amount of \$400 is recorded as general and administrative expense.

On December 30, 2009, the Company issued to Ramot 1,120,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (See note 3).

On December 13, 2009, the Company issued a \$135 Convertible Promissory Note to its legal advisor for \$217 in legal fees accrued through October 31, 2009. Interest on the note accrued at the rate of 4%.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

#### NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**
- 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)**
  - b) Shares: (Cont.)**

On January 5, 2010, the Company issued to its public relations advisor 50,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock for six months service. The issuance of the shares is part of the agreement with the public relations advisor that entitles it to a monthly grant of 8,333 shares of the Company's Common Stock. Related compensation in the amount of \$12 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On January 6, 2010, the Company issued to its service provider 60,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares are for \$15 payable to the service provider for insurance and risk management consulting and agency services for three years. Related compensation in the amount of \$16 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On February 19, 2010, the Company's legal advisor converted the entire accrued principal and interest amount outstanding under the note into 402,385 shares of Common Stock.

On April 6, 2010, Prof. Melamed fully exercised his warrant to purchase 1,097,215 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The warrant was issued to him pursuant to the agreement with the Consultants effective as of November 4, 2004 (See Note 4a).

In May 2010, based on a board resolution dated June 29, 2009, the Company issued to one of its public relations advisors 100,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. The shares will vest in three annual and equal portions commencing with the grant date.

On December 16, 2010, the Company granted to its service provider 200,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares are for investor and public relations services. Related compensation in the amount of \$40 is recorded as general and administrative expense.

On December 16, 2010, the Company granted to its two consultants 1,100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (See Note 4B).

On February 18, 2011, the Company's legal advisor converted the entire accrued principal and interest of the Convertible Promissory Note granted on September 15, 2010, totaling \$137, into 445,617 shares of Common Stock.

On June 27, 2011, the Company granted to its legal advisor 180,000 shares of Common Stock for 2011 legal services. Related compensation in the amount of \$86 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## **Notes to the financial statements**

### **U.S. dollars in thousands**

#### **NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**
- 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)**
  - b) Shares: (Cont.)**

On June 27, 2011, the Company granted to its consultant 400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, for services rendered through December 31, 2009 ( See note 4c).

Related compensation in the amount of \$192 was recorded as research and development expense.

On June 27, 2011, the Company granted to a service provider 10,870 shares of the Company's Common Stock. Related compensation in the amount of \$5 is recorded as general and administrative expense.

On December 31, 2011, the Company issued to Hadasit warrants to purchase up to 1,500,000 restricted shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share, exercisable for a period of 5 years. The warrants shall vest over the course of the trials as follows: 500,000 upon enrollment of 1/3 of the patients; an additional 500,000 upon enrollment of all the patients and the final 500,000 upon completion of the study.

On August 1, 2012, the Company approved an additional grant of 623,077 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Consultants, for services rendered from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. Related compensation in the amount of \$162 was recorded as research and development expense.

On January 16, 2013, the Company granted an aggregate of 216,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company to two consultants, for services rendered through December 31, 2012. Related compensation expense in the amount of \$54 was recorded as research and development expense(See note 4E).

On February 4, 2013, the Company issued 126,111 shares of Common Stock to an investor, according to a settlement agreement, for the correction of the conversion rate of a \$200 convertible loan. The convertible loan was issued in 2006 and converted in 2010.

On March 11, 2013, the Company granted to its legal advisor 193,696 shares of Common Stock for 2013 legal services. As of June 30, 2013, related compensation expense in the amount of \$22 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

On November 13, 2013, the Company approved a grant of 450,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Consultants, for services rendered during January 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013.



**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 8 - STOCK CAPITAL (Cont.):**

- B. Issuance of shares, warrants and options: (Cont.)**
- 3. Shares and warrants to service providers: (Cont.)**

**(a) Shares: (Cont.)**

On March 11, 2013, the Company granted to two of its service providers an aggregate of 400,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares are public relations services. As of December 31, 2013, related compensation expense in the amount of \$92 was recorded as general and administrative expense.

A summary of the Company's stock awards activity related to shares issued to service providers and related information is as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2013	Weighted average issue price \$	Year ended December 31, 2012	Weighted average issue price \$
	Amount of shares		Amount of shares	
Outstanding at beginning of period	11,795,801	0.27	11,001,378	0.27
Issued	809,696	0.24	794,423	0.26
Outstanding at end of period	12,605,497	0.27	11,795,801	0.27

Stock-based compensation and issuance of shares recorded by the Company in respect of shares and warrants granted to service providers amounted to \$197 and \$195 for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total stock-based compensation expense, related to shares, options and warrants granted to employees and service providers, was comprised, at each period, as follows:

Year ended December 31,	Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through
----------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------

	2013	2012	December 31, 2013
	U.S. \$ in thousands		
Research and development	105	236	17,871
General and administrative	767	545	11,425
Financial expenses, net	-	-	248
Total stock-based compensation expense	872	781	29,544

80

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 9 - RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, NET**

	Year ended December 31,		Period from September 22, 2000 (inception date) through December 31, 2013
	2013	2012	
	U.S. \$ in thousands		
Research and development	4,030	2,688	33,551
Less : Ramot reverse accruals (See Note 3)	-	-	(760)
Less : Participation by the Israeli Office of the Chief Scientist	(1,113)	(918)	(3,685)
	2,917	1,770	29,106

**NOTE 10 - TAXES ON INCOME****A. Tax rates applicable to the income of the subsidiary:**

The corporate tax rate in Israel for 2010 was 25%. In 2011, following the Amended Legislation for Implementing the Economic Plan for 2009 and 2010, the corporate tax rate in Israel was 24%.

The Tax Burden Distribution Law of 2011 set the corporate tax rate at 25% for 2012 and 2013. In August 2013, the Israeli Knesset (Israeli parliament) approved an increase in the corporate tax rate to 26.5% for 2014 and thereafter.

Such tax rate changes have no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

**B. Tax laws applicable to the income of the Subsidiary:**Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985:

Under Israeli law (the Income Tax (Inflationary Adjustments) Law, 1985), until 2007, the Company's results for tax purposes were adjusted annually as a result of changes in the Israeli Consumer Price Index (CPI)

In February 2008, the "Knesset" passed an amendment to such law that limits its scope starting in 2008, and thereafter. Starting in 2008, the Company's results for tax purposes are measured in nominal values. The amendment to the law includes, inter alia, the elimination of the inflationary additions and deductions and the additional deduction for

depreciation starting in 2008.

The Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 ("the Law"):

According to the Law, BCT is entitled to various tax benefits by virtue of "beneficiary enterprise" status granted, as defined by this Law.

In March 2005, the Knesset passed the Arrangements Law for fiscal year 2005, which includes a broad and comprehensive amendment to the provisions of the Law ("Amendment No. 60 to the Law").

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
(A development stage company)

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 10 - TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.):**

**B. Tax laws applicable to the income of the Subsidiary: (Cont.)**

The principal benefits by virtue of the Law are:

Tax benefits and reduced tax rates under the Alternative Track of Benefits:

The Company is tax exempt for a benefit period of two years and in the five/eight subsequent years of the benefit period is subject to a reduced tax rate of 10%-25%.

In December 2010, the Knesset passed the Law for Economic Policy for 2011 and 2012 (Amended Legislation), 2011, which prescribes, among others, amendments to the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959 (the "Investment Law"). The amendment was enacted as of January 1, 2011. Under the amendment, the benefit tracks in the Investment Law were modified and a flat tax rate applies to the Company's entire "Preferred Income". The Company will be able to opt to apply (the waiver is non-recourse) the amendment and from then on it will be subject to the amended tax rates that are: 2011 and 2012 - 15% (in development area A - 10%), 2013 and 2014 - 12.5% (in development area A - 7%) and in 2015 and thereafter - 12% (in development area A - 6%).

On July 30, 2013 the Knesset passed the Law for the change in the order of National Priorities (Legislative amendments to achieve budget objectives for 2013 and 2014) - 2013. As part of the legislation, the Law for the Encouragement of Capital Investments was amended so that the tax rate applicable to a preferred enterprise in this period in Development Area A will be 9% and the tax rate in other parts of the country will be 16%.

**C. Deferred income taxes:**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows:

	December 31, 2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
Operating loss carryforward	25,156	22,067
Net deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	8,961	8,340
Valuation allowance	(8,961)	(8,340)

Net deferred tax asset	-	-
------------------------	---	---

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has provided valuation allowances of \$8,961 in respect of deferred tax assets resulting from tax loss carryforward and other temporary differences. Management currently believes that because the Company has a history of losses, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax regarding the loss carryforward and other temporary differences will not be realized in the foreseeable future.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**  
**(A development stage company)**

## Notes to the financial statements

### U.S. dollars in thousands

**NOTE 10 - TAXES ON INCOME (Cont.):**

**D. Available carryforward tax losses:**

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has an accumulated tax loss carryforward of approximately \$25,156. Carryforward tax losses in Israel are unlimited duration and carryforward tax losses in the U.S. can be carried forward and offset against taxable income in the future for a period of 20 years. Utilization of U.S. net operating losses may be subject to substantial annual limitations due to the "change in ownership" provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions. The annual limitation may result in the expiration of net operating losses before utilization.

**E. Loss from continuing operations, before taxes on income, consists of the following:**

	Year ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
United States	(1,379)	(1,197)
Israel	(3,694)	(2,233)
	(5,073)	(3,430)

**F.** Due to the Company's cumulative losses, the effect of ASC 740 as codified from ASC 740-10 (formerly FIN 48) is not material.

**NOTE 11 - TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES**

	Year ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
	U.S. \$ in thousands	
A. Fees and related benefits and compensation expenses in respect of options granted to a member of the Board who is a related party	248	239
B. As for transactions with Ramot, see Note 3.		

**NOTE 12 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

- A.** Through January and February, 2014 the Company received approximately \$380 from the Chief Scientist of the State of Israel for participation in research and development.

- In March 2014, the Company signed a definitive agreement with the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) in
- B.** Boston, MA to conduct a Phase II clinical trial of NurOwn in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), pending FDA approval.

**Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, with the participation of our President and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that the information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

*Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

*Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

Other than as described above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.**

None.

84

**PART III****Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.****Executive Officers and Directors**

The following table lists our current executive officers and directors. Our executive officers are elected annually by our Board of Directors and serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Each current director is serving a term that will expire at our Company's next annual meeting. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>
Chaim Lebovits	43	President and Principal Executive Officer
Liat Sossover	45	Chief Financial Officer
Dr. Irit Arbel	54	Director
Mordechai Friedman	61	Director
Dr. Abraham Israeli	60	Chairman and Director
Alon Pinkas	52	Director
Chen Schor	41	Director
Dr. Robert Shorr	60	Director
Malcolm Taub	68	Director

**Chaim Lebovits** joined the Company in July 2007 as President. On August 1, 2013, the Company appointed Mr. Lebovits as its Principal Executive Officer, and to assume the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis while we search for a new Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Lebovits controls ACC HOLDINGS INTERNATIONAL, and its subsidiaries ACC Resources, specializing in the mining, oil and energy industries, and ACC BioTech, which is focused on biotechnology. He has been at the forefront of mining and natural resource management in the African region for over a decade and has spent years leading the exploration and development of resources in West Africa and Israel and served as a member of the board of directors of several companies in the industry. Mr. Lebovits has also held senior positions for the worldwide Chabad Lubavitch organization, the largest Jewish organization in the world today.

**Liat Sossover** joined the Company in June 2010 as our Chief Financial Officer. From 2001 until June 2010, Ms. Sossover served as the Vice President of Finance of ForeScout Technologies, an international high tech company in the network security solutions field. In such role, Ms. Sossover managed all financial and accounting aspects. Prior to that, Ms. Sossover served as VP of Finance and Secretary of Maximal Innovative Intelligence, a high tech company in the field of business intelligence solutions, which was acquired by Microsoft. She has held positions as Chief Financial Officer at Real Time Synthesized Entertainment Technology Ltd (RT-Set), currently known as Vizrt Ltd., a publicly traded company in Norway. Vizrt provides real-time 3D graphics and asset management tools for the broadcast industry. Ms. Sossover served as Financial Controller for BVR Systems (1998), Ltd., currently known as RVB Holdings Ltd., a company that is traded on Nasdaq, which develops, manufactures and markets simulation systems for military applications, which was later was acquired by Elbit Systems. Ms. Sossover holds an MBA from Edinburgh University, and a Bachelor's degree in Accounting & Economics from Ben Gurion University.

**Dr. Irit Arbel**, one of BrainStorm's co-founders, joined the Company in May 2004 as a director and served as President of the Company for six months. Currently, Dr. Arbel is the Chair of the Governance, Nominating and Compensation Committee. Dr. Arbel serves as Executive Vice President, Research and Development at Savicell Diagnostic Ltd. since July 2012. Savicell Diagnostic Ltd. is a biotechnology company and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Online Disruptive Technologies, Inc. From 2009 through 2011, Dr. Arbel served as Chairperson of Real Aesthetics Ltd., a company specializing in cellulite ultrasound treatment, and BRH Medical, developer of medical devices for wound healing. She was also Director of M&A at RFB Investment House, a private investment firm focusing on early stage technology related companies. Previously, Dr. Arbel was President and Chief Executive Officer of Pluristem Life Systems, a biotechnology company, and prior to that, Israeli Sales Manager of Merck, Sharp & Dohme, a pharmaceutical company. Dr. Arbel earned her Post Doctorate degree in 1997 in Neurobiology, after performing research in the area of Multiple Sclerosis. Dr. Arbel also holds a Chemical Engineering degree from the Technion, Israel's Institute of Technology. We believe that Dr. Arbel possesses specific attributes that qualify her to serve on our Board of Directors including Dr. Arbel's extensive experience in the biotechnology field and significant leadership skills as a chief executive officer. Dr. Arbel previously served as our President, which service has given her a deep knowledge of the Company and its business and directly relevant management experience.

**Mordechai Friedman** joined the Company on April 4, 2011 as a director and as Chair of the Audit Committee of the Board. Mr. Friedman currently serves as Chairman of IPM Beer Tuvia Ltd. and Vice-Chairman of Triple-M Power Plants Ltd. From 2013 to 2014 Mr. Friedman served as Chief Executive Officer of Israel Financial Levers Ltd, an Israeli real estate company traded on Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange. From 2007 through 2010, Mr. Friedman served as the Chairman of the Board of The Israel Electric Corp., an electric utility company. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Friedman served as Deputy Chairman of Brightman Almagor Zohar CPAs, the Israel Member Firm of Deloitte Touché Tohmatsu. Mr. Friedman has been a partner and director in several business ventures and companies in Israel and abroad in the transportation, consumer business, telecommunication and energy industries. Mr. Friedman currently serves as a director in the following public companies: (traded on Tel-Aviv Stock Exchange): (i) Elco Holdings Ltd. (Chairman of the Board); and (ii) Carmel Olefins Ltd. Mr. Friedman holds a B.A. in Economics and Accounting from Tel Aviv University. We believe that Mr. Friedman possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our Board of Directors including Mr. Friedman's considerable experience in accounting and valuable leadership skills as a chief executive officer.

**Dr. Abraham Israeli** joined the Company on April 13, 2010 as a director, as Chairman of the Board and as a consultant. Since November 2009, Dr. Israeli has served as Head of the Department of Health Policy, Health Care Management and Health Economics at the Hebrew University, Hadassah Faculty of Medicine. Since 1996, Dr. Israeli has held the Chair of Dr. Julien Rozan Professorship of Family Medicine and Health Promotion at the Hebrew University - Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem. From November 2003 to October 2009, Dr. Israeli served as the Director General of the Israel Ministry of Health, and currently serves as Chief Scientist of the Israel Ministry of Health. Dr. Israeli holds a M.D. and M.P.H. from Hebrew University, Hadassah Medical School and a Master's Degree from the Sloan School of Management at Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dr. Israeli completed residencies in Internal Medicine and in Health-Care Management at Hadassah University Hospital and has certification in both specialties. We believe that Dr. Israeli possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our Board of Directors including Dr. Israeli's extensive experience in health care and health policy. Dr. Israeli also has substantial leadership, public policy, government and regulatory experience from his service as the Director General of the Israel Ministry of Health.

**Alon Pinkas** joined the Company on December 13, 2010 as a director. Mr. Pinkas served as the Israeli Consul General to New York from 2000 to 2004 and is an internationally respected foreign affairs analyst. Mr. Pinkas currently serves as an Adviser at Tigris Financial Group, a financial services company, and the Rhodium Group, an advisory firm, and as a director for Ormat Industries Limited, B.G.I. Investments (1961) Ltd. and Agri-Invest Ltd. Mr. Pinkas has a B.S. in Political Science from The Hebrew University of Jerusalem and a Masters Degree in Politics from Georgetown University. We believe that Mr. Pinkas possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our

Board of Directors including Mr. Pinkas' considerable experience in foreign affairs. Mr. Pinkas also has substantial leadership and government experience from his service as the consul general of Israel to New York and as chief of staff to Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Israel.

**Chen Schor** joined the Company as a director on August 22, 2011. Mr. Schor is a global industry leader with vast experience in biotechnology, medical devices, business development and private equity. Mr. Schor led multiple licensing and M&A transactions valued at over \$2 billion with companies such as GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen, Pfizer, Bayer, Merck-Serono and OncoGeneX Pharmaceuticals, and raised significant funds from reputable investors. Mr. Schor has a broad range of experience in multiple therapeutic areas including Neurology, Respiratory, Oncology, Auto-Immune, Genetic Diseases, and Women's Health. In addition to leading the global business development at Teva Pharmaceuticals, Mr. Schor played a key role in building early stage companies to regulatory approvals, IPOs and M&As. From March 2009 until September 2011, Mr. Schor served as Vice President of Business Development, global branded products at Teva Pharmaceuticals. Prior to joining Teva, Mr. Schor was Chief Business Officer at Epix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (formerly known as Predix Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) from December 2003 until March 2009, leading the formation of more than \$1.5 billion collaborations with GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen and additional pharmaceutical companies. Prior to joining Epix, Mr. Schor was a Partner at Yozma Venture Capital from September 1998 until December 2003, managing the fund's investments in biotechnology and medical device companies. Mr. Schor previously held positions at Arthur Anderson and BDO Consulting, an advisory firm. Mr. Schor holds an M.B.A., a B.A. in Biology, a B.A. in Economics and is a Certified Public Accountant. We believe that Mr. Schor possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our Board of Directors including Mr. Schor's extensive experience in biotechnology and significant leadership skills from his service as a partner of a venture capital firm.

**Dr. Robert Shorr** joined the Company in March 2005 as a director. Since 1999, Dr. Shorr has served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Science Officer of Cornerstone Pharmaceuticals, a bio technology company. He has also been a member of the Department of Biomedical Engineering at SUNY Stony Brook, where he also serves as Director of Business Development for the university's Center for Advanced Technology. He has served as trustee at the Tissue Engineering Charities, Imperial College, London. From 1999 until 2005, Dr. Shorr was Vice-President of Science and Technology (CSO) of United Therapeutics, a NASDAQ listed biotechnology company. Prior to 1998, he was Vice President, Research and Development at Enzon, Inc., a NASDAQ listed pharmaceuticals company, and AT Biochem, a pharmaceuticals company, of which he was also founder. Dr. Shorr also served on the Board of Directors of Biological Delivery Systems Inc., a NASDAQ listed company. Dr. Shorr holds both a Ph.D. and a D.I.C. from the University of London, Imperial College of Science and Technology as well as a B.Sc. from SUNY Buffalo. We believe that Dr. Shorr possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our Board of Directors including Dr. Shorr's extensive experience in biotechnology and valuable leadership skills as a chief executive officer.

**Malcolm Taub** joined the Company in March 2009 as a director. Since October 2010, Mr. Taub has been a Partner at Davidoff Malito & Hutcher LLP, a full service law and government relations firm. From 2001 to September 30, 2010, Mr. Taub was the Managing Member of Malcolm S. Taub LLP, a law firm which practiced in the areas of commercial litigation, among other practice areas. Mr. Taub also works on art transactions, in the capacity as an attorney and a consultant. Mr. Taub has also served as a principal of a firm which provides consulting services to private companies going public in the United States. Mr. Taub has acted as a consultant to the New York Stock Exchange in its Market Surveillance Department. Mr. Taub acts as a Trustee of The Gateway Schools of New York and The Devereux Glenholme School in Washington, Connecticut. Mr. Taub has served as an adjunct professor at Long Island University, Manhattan Marymount College and New York University Real Estate Institute. Mr. Taub holds a B.A. from Brooklyn College and a J.D. from Brooklyn Law School. Mr. Taub formerly served on the Board of Directors of Safer Shot, Inc. (formerly known as Monumental Marketing Inc.). We believe that Mr. Taub possesses specific attributes that qualify him to serve on our Board of Directors including Mr. Taub's vast law experience and his demonstrated leadership skills as a managing member of a law firm.

### **Qualifications of Directors**

The Board believes that each director has valuable individual skills and experiences that, taken together, provide the variety and depth of knowledge, judgment and vision necessary for the effective oversight of the Company. As indicated in the foregoing biographies, the directors have extensive experience in a variety of fields, including biotechnology (Drs. Arbel and Shorr and Mr. Schor), accounting (Mr. Friedman), health care and health policy (Dr. Israeli), foreign affairs (Mr. Pinkas) and law (Mr. Taub), each of which the Board believes provides valuable knowledge about important elements of our business. Most of our directors have leadership experience at major companies or firms with operations inside and outside the United States and/or experience on other companies' boards, which provides an understanding of ways other companies address various business matters, strategies and issues. As indicated in the foregoing biographies, the directors have each demonstrated significant leadership skills, including as a chief executive officer (Drs. Arbel and Shorr and Mr. Friedman), as the consul general of Israel to New York and as chief of staff to Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Israel (Mr. Pinkas), as the director general of a governmental body (Dr. Israeli), as a managing member of a law firm (Mr. Taub) or as a partner of a venture capital firm (Mr. Schor). A number of the directors have extensive public policy, government or regulatory experience, including Consul General of Israel, New York (Mr. Pinkas) and Director General of Israel Ministry of Health (Dr. Israeli), which can provide valuable insight into issues faced by companies in regulated industries such as the Company. One of the directors (Dr. Arbel) has served as the President of the Company, which service has given her a deep knowledge of the Company and its business and directly relevant management experience. The Board believes that these skills and experiences qualify each individual to serve as a director of the Company.

### **Certain Arrangements**

On April 13, 2010, the Company, Dr. Israeli and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. (“Hadasit”) entered into an Agreement, which was amended to clarify certain terms on December 31, 2011 (as amended, the “Agreement”) pursuant to which Dr. Israeli agreed, during the term of the Agreement, to serve as (i) our Clinical Trials Advisor and (ii) a member of our Board of Directors. Any party may terminate the Agreement upon 30 days prior notice to the other parties. In consideration of the services to be provided by Dr. Israeli to us under the Agreement, we agreed to grant: (i) options to Dr. Israeli annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of 166,666 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share and (ii) warrants to Hadasit annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of 33,334 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share. Such options and warrants will vest and become exercisable in twelve (12) consecutive equal monthly amounts. In addition, in December 2010 the Board of Directors granted Dr. Israeli an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.15 in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On August 22, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Chen Schor, which was amended and restated on November 11, 2011 to clarify vesting terms (as amended and restated, the “Executive Director Agreement”) pursuant to which we pay \$15,000 per quarter to Mr. Schor for his services as an Executive Board Member. In accordance with the terms of the Executive Director Agreement, the Company and Mr. Schor have also entered into an amended and restated Restricted Stock Agreement on November 11, 2011, pursuant to which Mr. Schor received 923,374 shares of our restricted common stock under our 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The shares vest over 3 years 307,791 shares on August 22, 2012, 307,791 shares on August 22, 2013 and 307,792 shares on August 22, 2014. Mr. Schor is not entitled to any other compensation for his services as a director.

In December 2013, the Board of the Company agreed to grant to Prof. Israeli additional options in connection with the yearly grant under the Hadasit Agreement. Starting April 2014, the Company will grant a total of 360,000 options annually out of which Prof. Israeli will receive options to purchase up to 300,000 shares of Common Stock and Hadasit will receive options and warrants to purchase up to 60,000 shares of Common Stock.

## **Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings**

None of our directors or executive officers has during the past ten years:

- been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
- had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against the business or property of the person, or of any partnership, corporation or business association of which he was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy filing or within two years prior to that time;
- been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or federal or state authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting, his involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, investment, banking, savings and loan, or insurance activities, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;
- been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;
- been the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated (not including any settlement of a civil proceeding among private litigants), relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation, any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order, or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
- been the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act, any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

## **Committees of the Board of Directors**

### *Audit Committee*

On February 7, 2008, the Board of Directors established a standing Audit Committee in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to stockholders concerning our financial reporting and internal controls, and facilitates open communication among the Audit Committee, Board of Directors, outside auditors and management. The Audit Committee discusses with management and our outside auditors the financial information developed by us, our systems of internal controls and our audit process. The Audit Committee is solely and directly responsible for appointing, evaluating, retaining and, when necessary, terminating the engagement of the independent auditor. The independent auditors meet with the Audit Committee (both with and without the presence of management) to review and discuss various matters pertaining to the audit, including our financial statements, the report of the independent auditors on the results, scope and terms of their work, and their recommendations concerning the financial practices, controls, procedures and policies employed by us. The Audit Committee preapproves all audit services to be provided to us, whether provided by the principal auditor or other firms, and all other services (review, attest and non-audit) to be provided to us by the independent auditor. The Audit Committee coordinates the Board of Directors' oversight of our internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures and code of conduct. The Audit Committee is charged with establishing procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. The Audit Committee reviews all related party transactions on an ongoing basis, and all such transactions must be

approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is authorized, without further action by the Board of Directors, to engage such independent legal, accounting and other advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate to carry out its responsibilities. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available in the corporate governance section of our website at [www.brainstorm-cell.com](http://www.brainstorm-cell.com). The Audit Committee currently consists of Mr. Friedman (Chair), Dr. Arbel and Mr. Pinkas each of whom is independent within the meaning of The NASDAQ Marketplace Rules and Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Friedman is an “audit committee financial expert” as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. The Audit Committee held four meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

### *GNC Committee*

On June 27, 2011, the Board of Directors established a standing Governance, Nominating and Compensation Committee (the “GNC Committee”), which assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to (i) compensation of the Company’s executive officers, (ii) the director nomination process and (iii) reviewing the Company’s compliance with SEC corporate governance requirements. The Board has adopted a written charter for the GNC Committee, which is available in the corporate governance section of our website at [www.brainstorm-cell.com](http://www.brainstorm-cell.com). The GNC Committee currently consists of Dr. Arbel (Chair), Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub, each of whom is independent as defined under applicable Nasdaq listing standards. The GNC Committee held one meeting during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The GNC Committee determines salaries, incentives and other forms of compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and the executive officers of the Company and reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to director compensation. The GNC Committee annually reviews and approves the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, evaluates the Chief Executive Officer’s performance in light of these goals and objectives, and sets the Chief Executive Officer’s compensation level based on this evaluation. The GNC Committee meets without the presence of executive officers when approving or deliberating on executive officer compensation, but may invite the Chief Executive Officer to be present during the approval of, or deliberations with respect to, other executive officer compensation. In addition, the GNC Committee administers the Company’s stock incentive compensation and equity-based plans.

The GNC Committee makes recommendations to the Board concerning all facets of the director nominee selection process. Generally, the GNC Committee identifies candidates for director nominees in consultation with management and the independent members of the Board, through the use of search firms or other advisers, through the recommendations submitted by stockholders or through such other methods as the GNC Committee deems to be helpful to identify candidates. Once candidates have been identified, the GNC Committee confirms that the candidates meet the independence requirements and qualifications for director nominees established by the Board. The GNC Committee may gather information about the candidates through interviews, questionnaires, background checks, or any other means that the GNC Committee deems to be helpful in the evaluation process. The GNC Committee meets to discuss and evaluate the qualities and skills of each candidate, both on an individual basis and taking into account the overall composition and needs of the Board. Upon selection of a qualified candidate, the GNC Committee would recommend the candidate for consideration by the full Board.

In considering whether to include any particular candidate in the Board’s slate of recommended director nominees, the Board will consider the candidate’s integrity, education, business acumen, knowledge of the Company’s business and industry, age, experience, diligence, conflicts of interest and the ability to act in the interests of all stockholders. The Board believes that experience as a leader of a business or institution, sound judgment, effective interpersonal and communication skills, strong character and integrity, and expertise in areas relevant to our business are important attributes in maintaining the effectiveness of the Board. As a matter of practice, the Board considers the diversity of the backgrounds and experience of prospective directors as well as their personal characteristics (e.g., gender, ethnicity, age) in evaluating, and making decisions regarding, Board composition, in order to facilitate Board deliberations that reflect a broad range of perspectives. The Board does not assign specific weights to particular criteria and no particular criterion is a prerequisite for each prospective nominee. The Company believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of its directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant breadth of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities.

### **Stockholder Nominations**

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013, we made no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors, as described in our most recent proxy statement.

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock (collectively, the "Reporting Persons"), to file reports regarding ownership of, and transactions in, our securities with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to provide us with copies of those filings. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from the Reporting Persons, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, all Reporting Persons complied with the applicable requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, except for the following:

- Dr. Abraham Israeli filed one late Form 4, reporting one transaction late.

There are no additional known failures to file a required Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5.

### **Code of Ethics**

On May 27, 2005, our Board of Directors adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to, among other persons, members of our Board of Directors, officers and employees. A copy of our Code of Ethics is posted on our website at [www.brainstorm-cell.com](http://www.brainstorm-cell.com). We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement regarding any amendment to, or waiver of, a provision of the Code of Ethics applicable to our Principal Executive Officer or our senior financial officers (Principal Financial Officer and Controller or Principal Accounting Officer, or persons performing similar functions) by posting such information on our website.

**Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.****Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 earned by our former Chief Executive Officer, our President, our Chief Financial Officer and our Director of Research and Development (the “Named Executive Officers”). In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

**Summary Compensation Table (\*)**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Alon Natanson (4) Former Chief Executive Officer	2013	117,000	-	-	94,000	211,000
	2012	-	-	-	-	-
Chaim Lebovits (5) President	2013	-	-	-	-	-
	2012	-	-	-	-	-
Adrian Harel (6) Former Director of Research and Development	2013	130,000	11,000	-	70,000	211,000
	2012	121,438	60,000	(7) 16,005	71,257	268,700
Liat Sossover Chief Financial Officer	2013	106,000	-	16,000	65,000	187,000
	2012	99,330	(8) 20,000	(9) 13,719	56,073	189,122

(\*) The Named Executive Officers were paid in NIS; the amounts above are the U.S. dollar equivalent. The conversion rate used was the average of the end of month’s rate between the U.S. dollar and the NIS as published by the Bank of Israel, the central bank of Israel.

(1) The amounts shown in the “Option Awards” column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with ASC 718, not the actual amounts paid to or realized by the Named Executive Officer during fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012. ASC 718 fair value amount as of the grant date for stock options generally is spread over the number of months of service required for the grant to vest.

(2) The fair value of each stock option award is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Additional information regarding the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of all stock option awards is included in Note(8)(B)(2)(a) to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Includes management insurance (which includes pension, disability insurance and severance pay), payments towards such employee’s education fund, Israeli social security and amounts paid for use of a Company car and cellular phone. Each Named Executive Officer also receives gross-up payments for the taxes on these benefits.

(4) Mr. Natanson joined the Company on February 1, 2013 as our Chief Executive Officer and resigned effective October 28, 2013.

(5) On August 1, 2013, the Company appointed Chaim Lebovits, the President of the Company, as its Principal Executive Officer, and to assume the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis while the Company searches for a new Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Lebovits is not compensated for these services.

(6) Dr. Harel joined the Company on January 24, 2011 as our Chief Operating Officer and Acting Chief Executive Officer. On June 11, 2012, Dr. Harel was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Director of Research and

Development. On February 1, 2013, Dr. Harel ceased serving as our Chief Executive Officer. On December 24 2013 Dr. Harel informed the Company of his resignation from his position with the Company.

(7) On August 1, 2012, the GNC Committee approved: (i) a \$50,000 cash bonus in recognition of Dr. Harel's efforts in completing the Company's recent financing transaction; and (ii) a \$10,000 cash bonus for Dr. Harel achieving individual performance goals.

(8) On August 1, 2012, the GNC Committee approved a 10% increase in Ms. Sossover's base salary (from NIS29,000 to NIS31,900, monthly).

(9) On August 1, 2012, the GNC Committee approved a \$20,000 cash bonus in recognition of Ms. Sossover's efforts in completing the Company's recent financing transaction.

(10) On December 31, 2013, the GNC Committee approved a grant of 100,000 stock options to Ms. Sossover at an exercise price of \$0.18 per share.

### ***Executive Employment Agreements and Termination of Employment and Change-in-Control Arrangements***

#### *Alon Natanson*

Pursuant to his employment agreement dated January 24, 2013, while employed by the Company Mr. Natanson was entitled to a monthly salary of 53,000 NIS (approximately \$14,200). Mr. Natanson also received other benefits that are generally made available to our employees, including pension and education fund benefits. Mr. Natanson was provided with a Company car and cellular phone, and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto. Mr. Natanson also received a grant of a stock option (the "Initial Grant") on January 24, 2013 (the "Grant Date") for the purchase of 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which would have vested and become exercisable as to 33 1/3% of the shares on the first anniversary of the Grant Date (the "Initial Vesting Date") and the remainder of the shares would vest and become exercisable in equal monthly installments on each of the 36 monthly anniversaries following the Initial Vesting Date. The exercise price for the Initial Grant was \$0.29 per share. In the event that prior to the first anniversary of the Grant Date (and provided that Mr. Natanson was then actively employed by us): (i) we raised \$10 million or more in one transaction; (ii) the shares of the Company were admitted for trading on NASDAQ; and (iii) we were granted the approval of the FDA to conduct clinical trials in the United States, then on the first anniversary of the Grant Date, Mr. Natanson would have been granted an additional stock option for the purchase of an additional 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock upon the same terms as the Initial Grant. On July 28, 2013, Alon Natanson informed the Company of his resignation from his position with the Company effective 90 days after the notice. As of October 28, 2013 Mr. Natanson was no longer employed by the Company and forfeited any right to the foregoing compensation.

*Chaim Lebovits*

On August 1, 2013, the Company appointed Chaim Lebovits, the President of the Company, as its Principal Executive Officer, and to assume the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer on an interim basis while the Company searches for a new Chief Executive Officer. Currently, we do not have an employment agreement with Mr. Lebovits and he is not entitled to receive any compensation from us at this time.

*Liat Sossover*

Pursuant to her employment agreement dated June 23, 2010, Ms. Sossover is entitled to a monthly salary of 31,900 NIS (approximately \$8,700) per month. Ms. Sossover is also entitled to contributions on her behalf by the Company into a manager's insurance fund, disability insurance and an education fund. Ms. Sossover is provided with a Company car and cellular phone, and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto.

*Adrian Harel*

Pursuant to his employment agreement dated January 23, 2011, as amended effective August 1, 2011, Dr. Harel was entitled to a monthly salary of 39,000 NIS (approximately \$10,600) (including benefits for monthly totals of approximately 60,300 NIS (approximately \$16,400)). Dr. Harel also receives other benefits that are generally made available to our employees. Dr. Harel is provided with a company car and a gross-up payment for any taxes relating thereto. On December 24 2013 Dr. Harel informed the Company of his resignation from his position with the Company.

***Terms of Option Awards***

All options granted to the Named Executive Officers were granted pursuant to our 2004 Global Share Option Plan (as amended, the "Global Plan") and each such option expires on the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

On June 27, 2011, Dr. Harel was granted an option to purchase 450,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.20. Such option vested and became exercisable as to 1/3 of the shares subject to the option on January 23, 2012 and the remainder of the shares subject to the option vest and become exercisable over the following 24 months in equal installments.

On August 10, 2011, Dr. Harel was granted an option to purchase 70,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.20. Such option became fully vested and exercisable upon our receipt of clean room approval in connection with the Hadassah trial.

On August 1, 2012, Dr. Harel was granted an option to purchase 70,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.26. Such option becomes fully vested and exercisable in 12 equal monthly installments.

On August 1, 2012, Ms. Sossover was granted an option to purchase 60,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.26. Such option becomes fully vested and exercisable in 12 equal monthly installments.

On December 31, 2013, Ms. Sossover was granted an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at a price per share of \$0.18. Such option vested and became exercisable as to 1/3 of the shares subject to the option on December 31, 2014 and the remainder of the shares subject to the option vest and become exercisable over the following 24 months in equal installments.

**Outstanding Equity Awards**

The following table sets forth information regarding equity awards granted to the Named Executive Officers that are outstanding as of December 31, 2013. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2013**

Name	Option Awards		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable		
Adrian Harel	437,500	-	0.20	3/24/2014
	70,000	-	0.20	3/24/2014
	70,000	-	0.26	3/24/2014
Liat Sossover	400,000	-	0.18	6/23/2020
	60,000	-	0.26	8/1/2022
	-	100,000 (1)	0.18	12/31/2023

(1) Options for the purchase of 33,333 shares will vest and become exercisable on December 31, 2014. Options for the purchase of 2,778 shares will vest and become exercisable on the last day of each month until the option is fully vested.

*Stock Incentive Plans*

In November 2004 and February 2005, the Board of Directors adopted and ratified the Global Plan and the 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan (as amended, the "U.S. Plan" and together with the Global Plan, the "Plans"), respectively, and further approved the reservation of 9,143,462 shares of our common stock for issuance thereunder. Our stockholders approved the Plans and the shares reserved for issuance thereunder at a special meeting of stockholders that was held on March 28, 2005.

On April 28, 2008, the Board approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 5, 2008.

On April 21, 2011, the Board approved another amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 5,000,000 shares. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 10, 2011.

On May 6, 2012, the Board approved another amendment and restatement of the Plans to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plans by an additional 9,000,000 shares. Our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Plans on June 12, 2012.

Under the Global Plan, we granted a total of 13,782,485 options with various exercise prices (a weighted average exercise price of \$0.18245 and expiration dates, to service providers, subcontractors, directors, officers, and employees. Under the U.S. Plan, we issued an additional 6,050,040 shares of restricted stock and options to Scientific Advisory Board members, consultants, and directors. As of December 31, 2013, there were 8,310,937 shares available for issuance under the Plans.

**Compensation of Directors**

The following table sets forth certain summary information with respect to the compensation paid during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 earned by each of the directors of the Company. In the table below, columns required by the regulations of the SEC have been omitted where no information was required to be disclosed under those columns.

**Director Compensation Table for Fiscal 2013**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$) (1)(2)	Total (\$)
Dr. Irit Arbel			37,050	(3) 37,050
Mr. Mordechai Friedman			30,875	(4) 30,875
Dr. Abraham Israeli			40,000	(5) 40,000
Mr. Alon Pinkas			26,759	(6) 26,759
Mr. Chen Schor	60,000	(7)	(8)	60,000
Dr. Robert Shorr		29,900	(9)	29,900
Mr. Malcolm Taub		29,900	(10)	29,900

- (1) The amounts shown in the “Stock Awards” and “Option Awards” columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with ASC 718, not the actual amounts paid to or realized by the directors during fiscal 2013.
- (2) The fair value of each stock option award is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Additional information regarding the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of all stock option awards is included in Note(8)(B)(2)(a) to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (3) At December 31, 2013, Dr. Arbel had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 1,348,333 shares of common stock.
- (4) At December 31, 2013, Mr. Friedman had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 466,667 shares of common stock.
- (5) At December 31, 2013, Dr. Israeli had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 866,664 shares of common stock.
- (6) At December 31, 2013, Mr. Pinkas had options (vested and unvested) to purchase 440,000 shares of common stock.
- (7) Represents the amount paid to Mr. Schor pursuant to the Executive Director Agreement for his services as a director and consultant.
- (8) At December 31, 2013, Mr. Schor had 307,791 shares of unvested restricted common stock.
- (9) At December 31, 2013, Mr. Shorr had 43,333 shares of unvested restricted common stock.
- (10) At December 31, 2013, Mr. Taub had vested options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock and 43,333 shares of unvested restricted common stock.

On October 14, 2007, we implemented a compensation plan for non-employee directors. Under this compensation plan, each director was entitled to receive an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock or 100,000 restricted shares of common stock. Dr. Israeli did not earn compensation in accordance with this compensation plan. In 2010, we issued an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to Dr. Arbel under this compensation policy. In addition, in 2010, we approved the issuance of 200,000 restricted shares of common stock to Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub under this compensation policy. The determination to grant equity awards in an amount greater than as set forth in the compensation plan was made at the discretion of the Board and as recognition for service on the Audit Committee by Drs. Arbel and Shorr and as recognition of service on the Board by Mr. Taub.

The Board also made the determination to issue an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to Dr. Israeli in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On June 27, 2011, we implemented a new Director Compensation Plan for non-employee directors (the “Director Compensation Plan”). Every non-employee director of the Company, other than Dr. Israeli and Mr. Schor, are eligible to participate in the Director Compensation Plan. Under the Director Compensation Plan, each eligible director is granted an annual award immediately following each annual meeting of stockholders beginning with the 2011 annual meeting. For non-U.S. directors, this annual award consists of a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock. For U.S. directors, at their option, this annual award is either (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock or (ii) 100,000 shares of restricted stock. Additionally, each member of the GNC Committee or Audit Committee receives (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 30,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 30,000 shares of restricted stock. The chair of the GNC Committee or Audit Committee will instead of the above committee award receive (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 50,000 shares of restricted stock. Any eligible participant who is serving as chairperson of the Board of Directors of the Company shall also receive (i) a nonqualified stock option to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock or (ii) in the case of U.S. directors and at their option, 100,000 shares of restricted stock. Awards are granted on a pro rata basis for directors serving less than a year at the time of grant. The exercise price for options for U.S. directors will be equal to the closing price per share of the common stock on the grant date as reported on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board or the national securities exchange on which the common stock is then traded. The exercise price for options for

non-U.S. directors is \$0.15. Every option and restricted stock award will vest monthly as to 1/12 the number of shares subject to the award over a period of twelve months from the date of grant, provided that the recipient remains a director of the Company on each such vesting date, or, in the case of a committee award, remains a member of the committee on each such vesting date.

On June 27, 2011 and August 1, 2012, the following grants were made under the Director Compensation Plan to the eligible directors: Dr. Arbel received a stock option to purchase 180,000 shares of common stock for her service as a director, chair of the GNC Committee and a member of the Audit Committee; Mr. Friedman received a stock option to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock for his service as a director and chair of the Audit Committee; Mr. Pinkas received a stock option to purchase 130,000 shares of common stock for his service as a director and a member of the Audit Committee; Mr. Shorr received 130,000 shares of restricted stock for his service as a director and a member of the GNC Committee; and Mr. Taub received 130,000 shares of restricted stock for his service as a director and a member of the GNC Committee.

Dr. Israeli receives an annual option for the purchase of 166,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per the terms of the Agreement, as described in detail in "Certain Arrangements" under Item 10 and in "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" under Item 13, which option is compensation for both his service as a director and as a clinical trials advisor. In addition, in December 2010 the Board granted Dr. Israeli an option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.15 in recognition of his service as the Chairman of the Board and the number of hours Dr. Israeli devotes to fulfillment of his responsibilities of such role.

On August 22, 2011, Mr. Schor received a grant of 923,374 shares of restricted stock and receives \$15,000 per quarter for his services as a director and advisor of the Company pursuant to the terms of the Executive Director Agreement, as described in detail in "Certain Arrangements" under Item 10.

In December 2013, the Board of the Company agreed to grant to Prof. Israeli additional options in connection with the yearly grant under the Hadasit Agreement. Starting April 2014, the Company will grant a total of 360,000 options annually out of which Prof. Israeli will receive options to purchase up to 300,000 shares of Common Stock and Hadasit will receive options and warrants to purchase up to 60,000 shares of Common Stock.

## Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 28, 2014 with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by the following: (i) each of our current directors; (ii) the Named Executive Officers; (iii) all of the current executive officers and directors as a group; and (iv) each person known by the Company to own beneficially more than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

For purposes of the following table, beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Except as otherwise noted in the footnotes to the table, we believe that each person or entity named in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of our common stock shown as beneficially owned by that person or entity (or shares such power with his or her spouse). Under the SEC's rules, shares of our common stock issuable under options that are exercisable on or within 60 days after February 28, 2014 ("Presently Exercisable Options") or under warrants that are exercisable on or within 60 days after February 28, 2014 ("Presently Exercisable Warrants") are deemed outstanding and therefore included in the number of shares reported as beneficially owned by a person or entity named in the table and are used to compute the percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by that person or entity. These shares are not, however, deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by any other person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed in the table is c/o Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., 605 Third Avenue, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10158.

The percentage of the common stock beneficially owned by each person or entity named in the following table is based on 176,263,587 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2014 plus any shares issuable upon exercise of Presently Exercisable Options and Presently Exercisable Warrants held by such person or entity.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	
	Number of Shares	Percentage of Class
Directors and Named Executive Officers		
Chaim Lebovits		
Alon Natanson	59,556,924 (1)	28.8
Adrian Harel	577,500 (2)	*
Liat Sossover	460,000 (2)	*
Irit Arbel	3,648,333 (3)	2.1
Mordechai Friedman	466,667 (2)	*
Abraham Israeli	866,664 (2)	*
Alon Pinkas	440,000 (2)	*
Chen Schor	923,374	*
Robert Shorr	490,000	*
Malcolm Taub	798,333 (4)	*
All current directors and officers as a group (9 persons)	67,650,294 (5)	32.1
5% Shareholders		
ACCBT Corp.	59,556,924 (1)	28.8

U.S. dollars in thousands

180

Morgan & Morgan Building  
Pasea Estate, Road Town  
Tortola  
British Virgin Islands

\* Less than 1%.

- (1) Consists of (i) 29,006,924 shares of common stock owned by ACCBT Corp., (ii) 30,250,000 shares of common stock issuable to ACCBT Corp. upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants and (iii) 300,000 shares of common stock owned by ACC International Holdings Ltd. ACC International Holdings Ltd. and Chaim Lebovits, our President and Principal Executive Officer, may each be deemed the beneficial owners of these shares.
- (2) Consists of shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.
- (3) Includes 1,348,333 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options. Dr. Arbel's address is 6 Hadishon Street, Jerusalem, Israel.
- (4) Includes 100,000 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.

94

- (5) Includes (i) 29,006,924 shares of common stock owned by ACCBT Corp. (Chaim Lebovits, our President and Principal Executive Officer, may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of these shares), (ii) 30,250,000 shares of common stock issuable to ACCBT Corp. upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Warrants (iii) 300,000 shares of common stock owned by ACC International Holdings Ltd. (Chaim Lebovits, our President and Principal Executive Officer, may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of these shares) and (iv) 3,681,664 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of Presently Exercisable Options.

### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	19,832,525 (1)	\$ 0.12679	8,310,937 (2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	19,832,525 (1)	0.12679	8,310,937 (2)

- (1) Does not include 180,000 shares of restricted stock that the Company has issued pursuant to the U.S. Plan to scientific advisory board members, directors, service providers, and consultants.
- (2) A total of 28,143,462 shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance in aggregate under the Plans. Any awards granted under the either the Global Plan or the U.S. Plan will reduce the total number of shares available for future issuance under the other plan.

### Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

#### Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The Audit Committee of our Board reviews and approves all related-party transactions. A “related-party transaction” is a transaction that meets the minimum threshold for disclosure under the relevant SEC rules (transactions involving amounts exceeding the lesser of \$120,000 or one (1) percent of the average of the smaller reporting company's total assets at year end for the last two fiscal years in which a “related person” or entity has a direct or indirect material interest). “Related persons” include our executive officers, directors, 5% or more beneficial owners of our common stock, immediate family members of these persons and entities in which one of these persons has a direct or indirect material interest. When a potential related-party transaction is identified, management presents it to the Audit Committee to determine whether to approve or ratify it.

The Audit Committee reviews the material facts of any related-party transaction and either approves or disapproves of the entry into the transaction. If advance approval of a related-party transaction is not feasible, then the transaction

will be considered and, if the Audit Committee determines it to be appropriate, ratified by the Audit Committee. No director may participate in the approval of a transaction for which he or she is a related party.

***Research and License Agreement with Ramot***

On July 12, 2004, we entered into the Original License Agreement with Ramot, a former 5% stockholder of the Company, which agreement was amended on March 30, 2006 by the Amended Research and License Agreement (described below). Under the terms of the Original Ramot Agreement, Ramot granted to us an exclusive license to (i) the inventions, know-how and results made with respect to the stem cell technology developed by the team led by Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen in the course of the performance of the research, and the patents and pending patent applications owned by Ramot, and (ii) the results of further research to be performed by the same team on the development of the stem cell technology. Simultaneously with the execution of the Original Ramot Agreement, we entered into individual consulting agreements with Prof. Melamed and Prof. Offen pursuant to which all intellectual property developed by Prof. Melamed or Prof. Offen in the performance of services thereunder will be owned by Ramot and licensed to us under the Original Ramot Agreement.

Under the Original Ramot Agreement, we agreed to fund further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year for an initial period of two years, and for an additional two-year period if certain research milestones were met.

In consideration for the license, we originally agreed to pay Ramot:

- An up-front license fee payment of \$100,000;
- An amount equal to 5% of all net sales of products; and
- An amount equal to 30% of all sublicense receipts.

On March 30, 2006 and on May 23, 2006, we entered into an Amended Research and License Agreement and an Amendment Agreement to the Amended Research and License Agreement, respectively (collectively, the “Amended Research and License Agreement”) with Ramot. Under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the funding of further research relating to the licensed technology in an amount of \$570,000 per year was reduced to \$380,000 per year. Moreover, under the Amended Research and License Agreement, the initial period of time that we agreed to fund the research was extended from an initial period of two (2) years to an initial period of three (3) years. The Amended Research and License Agreement also extended the additional two-year period in the Original Ramot Agreement to an additional three-year period, if certain research milestones were met.

We entered into a Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement with Ramot on July 26, 2007, effective July 12, 2004 (the “Second Ramot Agreement”), which amended and replaced the Amended Research and License Agreement. The Second Ramot Agreement imposed on us development and commercialization obligations, milestone and other obligations. The license was granted in consideration for (i) royalty payments ranging from three percent (3%) to five percent (5%) of all net sales and (ii) potential payments concerning sublicenses ranging from twenty percent (20%) to twenty-five percent (25%) of sublicense receipts. In addition, in the event that the research period was extended for an additional three year period in accordance with the terms of the Second Ramot Agreement, then we had to make payments to Ramot for each year of the extended research period in the amount of \$380,000. As of June 30, 2007, we owed Ramot an aggregate of \$513,249 in overdue payments and patent fees under the Amended Research and License Agreement.

On August 1, 2007, we obtained a waiver and release from Ramot pursuant to which Ramot agreed to an amended payment schedule regarding our payment obligations under the Second Ramot Agreement and waived all claims against us resulting from our previous breaches, defaults and non-payment under the Amended Research and License Agreement.

As of February 2011, Ramot had sold the 1,120,000 shares of common stock of the Company for \$235,000 and the Company paid the remaining approximately \$5,000 due to Ramot. There is no additional debt to Ramot.

On December 20, 2011, we entered into an Assignment Agreement with our Israeli Subsidiary (the “Assignment Agreement”), with the consent of Ramot. Under the Assignment Agreement, we assigned and transferred all of our rights, interests, titles, liabilities and obligations (the “Rights”) under the Second Ramot Agreement to our Israeli Subsidiary, effective as of January 1, 2007 and our Israeli Subsidiary agreed to assume all such Rights. We agreed to be a guarantor of all obligations of our Israeli Subsidiary under the Second Ramot Agreement and Ramot can look to us to demand compliance with the Second Ramot Agreement.

In May 2012, we, the Israeli Subsidiary and Prof. Offen entered into a Consulting Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2012, which replaced the previous consulting agreement, dated July 31, 2004, pursuant to which all work product resulting from the provision of services will vest solely with the Israeli Subsidiary and if any work product resulting from the provision of services results in the creation or development of intellectual property it will be deemed a joint invention, and will be jointly owned by Ramot and the Israeli Subsidiary.

***Investment Agreement with ACCBT Corp.***

On July 2, 2007, we entered into a Subscription Agreement with ACCBT, a 32.8% stockholder and a company under the control of Mr. Chaim Lebovits, our President, pursuant to which we agreed to sell (i) up to 27,500,000 shares of our common stock for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million, and (ii) for no additional consideration, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of our common stock. Subject to certain closing conditions, separate closings of the purchase and sale of the shares and the warrants were scheduled to take place from August 30, 2007 through November 15, 2008. The warrants originally had the following exercise prices: (i) warrants for the first 10,083,333 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.20; (ii) warrants for the next 10,083,333 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.29; and (iii) warrants for the final 10,083,334 shares of our common stock had an exercise price of \$0.36. Each warrant issued pursuant to the Subscription Agreement was to expire on November 5, 2011.

Pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, as amended, and a related registration rights agreement, ACCBT has the following rights for so long as ACCBT or its affiliates hold at least 5% of our issued and outstanding share capital:

- Board Appointment Right : ACCBT has the right to appoint 50.1% (any fractions to be rounded up to the nearest whole number) of the members of our Board of Directors and any of our committees and the Board of Directors of our subsidiary.

- Preemptive Right : ACCBT has the right to receive thirty day notice of, and to purchase a pro rata portion (or greater under certain circumstances where offered shares are not purchased by other subscribers) of, securities issued by us, including options and rights to purchase shares. This preemptive right does not include issuances under our equity incentive plans.
- Consent Right : ACCBT's written consent is required for certain corporate actions, including issuance of shares (other than existing warrants and issuances under our incentive plans), amendment of our charter or bylaws, repurchase of shares, declaration or payment of dividends or distributions, related party transactions, non-ordinary course transactions involving \$25,000 or more, liquidation or dissolution, the creation, acquisition or disposition of a subsidiary or entry into a joint venture or strategic alliance, a material change to our business, merger, change of control, sale of the Company, any acquisition, and any payment of cash compensation over \$60,000 per year.

In addition, ACCBT is entitled to demand and piggyback registration rights, whereby ACCBT may request, upon 15 days' written notice, that we file, or include within a registration statement to be filed, with the Securities and Exchange Commission for ACCBT's resale of the Subscription Shares, as adjusted, and the shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants.

On August 20, 2007, we received an aggregate of \$1,000,000 from ACCBT, and, in connection therewith, ACCBT agreed to apply the principal amounts outstanding under a \$250,000 convertible promissory note, dated as of May 6, 2007, issued to ACCBT by us towards the \$5 million aggregate subscription price under the subscription agreement in exchange for shares of common stock (at which point the promissory note was cancelled). Accordingly, we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 6,875,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 7,562,500 shares of common stock. In November 2007, we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT, and we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 4,125,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock. On April 3, 2008, we closed a transaction where we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT and a permitted assignee, and we issued 2,125,000 shares of common stock to the permitted assignee, 2,000,000 shares of common stock to ACCBT and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock to ACCBT. On September 8, 2008, we received an aggregate of \$750,000 from ACCBT, and we issued to ACCBT an aggregate of 4,125,000 shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 4,537,500 shares of common stock.

On August 18, 2009, we entered into an amendment to the Subscription Agreement (the "Amendment"), dated as of July 31, 2009, with ACCBT.

Under the terms of the Subscription Agreement, ACCBT was no longer obligated to invest any further amounts in the Company. Pursuant to the Amendment, ACCBT agreed to invest the remaining amount outstanding under the Subscription Agreement up to \$5.0 million in the Company, and, in return, we agreed to amend the Subscription Agreement to, among other things: (i) decrease the purchase price per share of the up to 27,500,000 shares (the "Subscription Shares") of our common stock that ACCBT previously purchased or will purchase pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, as amended, from \$0.1818 to \$0.12 (the "Repricing"); (ii) adjust the number of shares of common stock issuable under the Subscription Agreement in accordance with the Repricing; (iii) extend the expiration date of all warrants (as described below); (iv) amend the exercise price of certain of the warrants from \$0.36 to \$0.29; and (v) revise the investment schedule of the purchase and sale of the Subscription Shares. Pursuant to the Amendment, the Repricing retroactively applied to all Subscription Shares purchased by ACCBT prior to the Amendment.

Pursuant to the Amendment, ACCBT agreed to purchase the remainder of the Subscription Shares, as adjusted, at an aggregate purchase price of \$947,347 at a price per share of \$0.12 in monthly installments of not less than \$50,000 (with the last payment in an amount up to the maximum subscription price of \$5.0 million) at closings to be held monthly beginning on August 1, 2009.

As described above, pursuant to the terms of the Subscription Agreement, we originally agreed to sell to ACCBT the Subscription Shares for an aggregate subscription price of up to \$5.0 million and, for no additional consideration, if ACCBT purchased the Subscription Shares, warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock (the "Warrants"). As of July 31, 2009, ACCBT had purchased an aggregate of 18,306,925 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,052,652, and the following Warrants (the "Issued Warrants") had been issued to ACCBT: (i) 10,083,333 Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20; (ii) 10,083,333 Warrants with an exercise price of \$0.29; and (iii) 1,008,334 Warrants (the "Last Warrant") with an exercise price of \$0.36. Pursuant to the Amendment, the exercise price of the Last Warrant decreased from \$0.36 to \$0.29. Pursuant to the Amendment, the expiration date of all of the Warrants, including the Issued Warrants, was changed to November 5, 2013 instead of November 5, 2011.

Pursuant to the Amendment and in connection with ACCBT's completion of the investment of up to \$5.0 million, we issued to ACCBT the remainder of the Warrants.

In connection with the Repricing and the Amendment, we agreed to issue 9,916,667 shares of common stock to ACCBT for no additional consideration in order to retroactively apply the Repricing. On October 28, 2009, we issued the 9,916,667 shares of common stock to various designees of ACCBT, including 5,000,000 shares to Yosef Sternberg, a former 5% stockholder of the Company.

On May 10, 2012, we entered into a Warrant Amendment Agreement with ACCBT pursuant to which we agreed, upon the effectiveness of a six month lock-up agreement entered into by ACCBT in connection with an offering, the then current expiration date of each Warrant was automatically extended by an additional 18 months (until May 5, 2015).

As of the date of this annual report, ACCBT has purchased all of the Subscription Shares.

In sum, Warrants to purchase up to 30,250,000 shares of common stock were issued to ACCBT, of which 30,250,000 Warrants are presently outstanding. The outstanding Warrants contain full-ratchet anti-dilution provisions and cashless exercise provisions, which permit the cashless exercise of up to 50% of the underlying shares of common stock, and 10,083,333 of such Warrants have an exercise price of \$0.20 and the remainder have an exercise price of \$0.29.

#### ***Agreement with Abraham Israeli***

On April 13, 2010, the Company, Dr. Israeli, a director of the Company, and Hadasit entered into an Agreement, which was amended to clarify certain terms on December 31, 2011, pursuant to which Dr. Israeli agreed, during the term of the Agreement, to serve as (i) our Clinical Trials Advisor and (ii) a member of our Board of Directors. Any party may terminate the Agreement upon 30 days prior notice to the other parties. In consideration of the services to be provided by Dr. Israeli to us under the Agreement, we agreed to grant options and warrants annually during the term of the Agreement for the purchase of our common stock, as follows:

- an option for the purchase of 166,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Dr. Israeli; and
- warrants for the purchase of 33,334 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.00005 per share to Hadasit.

Such options will vest and become exercisable in twelve (12) consecutive equal monthly amounts.

In December 2013, the Board of the Company agreed to grant to Prof. Israeli additional options in connection with the yearly grant under the Hadasit Agreement. Starting April 2014, the Company will grant a total of 360,000 options annually out of which Prof. Israeli will receive options to purchase up to 300,000 shares of Common Stock and Hadasit will receive options and warrants to purchase up to 60,000 shares of Common Stock.

#### ***Agreement with Dr. Jonathan Javitt***

On December 12, 2011, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with Dr. Jonathan Javitt, a former director of the Company, to settle certain disputed stock issuances. Under this agreement, we issued 350,000 shares of our common stock to Dr. Javitt to settle the disputed stock issuances. As part of this agreement, Dr. Javitt released the Company and related parties from all claims he may have had against the Company and its related parties.

#### **Independence of the Board of Directors**

The Board of Directors has determined that each of Dr. Arbel, Mr. Friedman, Dr. Israeli, Mr. Pinkas, Dr. Shorr and Mr. Taub satisfies the criteria for being an “independent director” under the standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. (“Nasdaq”) and has no material relationship with the Company other than by virtue of service on the Board of Directors. During the course of determining the independence of Dr. Israeli, the Board of Directors considered the Agreement entered into by and among the Company, Hadasit and Dr. Israeli described in “Certain Arrangements” under Item 10 and “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” above. Mr. Schor is not considered an “independent director.”

The Board of Directors is comprised of a majority of independent directors and the Audit and GNC Committees are comprised entirely of independent directors.

#### **Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.**

**Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm***Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co., a member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu (“Deloitte”) for the audit of our financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and fees billed for other services rendered by Deloitte during those periods.

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 55,000	\$ 55,000
Audit-Related Fees (XBRL)	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000
Public Offering Fees	\$ 43,000	\$ 49,000
All Other Fees(2)	\$ 20,000	\$ 9,000
Total Fees	\$ 124,000	\$ 119,000

Audit fees are comprised of fees for professional services performed by Deloitte for the audit of our annual (1) financial statements and the review of our quarterly financial statements, as well as other services provided by Deloitte in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, the services performed by Deloitte were for the 2013 Public Offering, (2) Inter-Company agreement and Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The services performed in the year ended December 31, 2012 were with respect to the Inter-Company agreement, and Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

We did not use Deloitte for financial information system design and implementation. These services, which include designing or implementing a system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements and generates information that is significant to our financial statements, are provided internally or by other service providers. We did not engage Deloitte to provide compliance outsourcing services.

### **Pre-approval Policies**

Our Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee before the services were rendered.

The Board of Directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by Deloitte and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining Deloitte's independence.

## **PART IV**

### **Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.**

Financial Statements.

The financial statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements are filed as part of this report.

Financial Statement Schedules.

All financial statement schedules have been omitted as they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included.

Exhibits.

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed with or incorporated by reference in this report.

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC.**

*Date: March 27, 2014*

*By:*

*/s/ Chaim Lebovits*

*Name: Chaim Lebovits*

*Title: President*

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/s/ Chaim Lebovits Chaim Lebovits</i>	<i>President (Principal Executive Officer)</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Liat Sossover Liat Sossover</i>	<i>Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Irit Arbel Irit Arbel</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Mordechai Friedman Mordechai Friedman</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Abraham Israeli Abraham Israeli</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Alon Pinkas Alon Pinkas</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Chen Schor Chen Schor</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Robert Shorr Robert Shorr</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>
<i>/s/ Malcomb Taub Malcomb Taub</i>	<i>Director</i>	<i>March 27, 2014</i>

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 28, 2006, by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Washington corporation, and Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., a Delaware corporation, is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix B of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.2	ByLaws of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. is incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C of the Company's Definitive Schedule 14A dated November 20, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
3.3	Amendment No. 1 to ByLaws of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., dated as of March 21, 2007, is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 27, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
10.1	Research and License Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
10.2	Research and License Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2006, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.3	Amendment Agreement, dated as of May 23, 2006, to Research and License Agreement, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.4	Amendment Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2006, among the Company, Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. and certain warrant holders is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2006 (File No. 333-61610).
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement, dated July 26, 2007, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
10.6	Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 1, 2007, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
10.7	Waiver and Release, dated August 1, 2007, executed by Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. in favor of the Company is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly

Report on Form 10-QSB dated June 30, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.8 Letter Agreement, dated December 24, 2009, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 31, 2009 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.9 Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Research and License Agreement, by and between the Company and Ramot at Tel Aviv University Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 31, 2009 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.10 Assignment Agreement, dated December 20, 2011, by and between the Company and Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.11 Consulting Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Prof. Eldad Melamed is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).

101

- 10.12 Consulting Agreement, dated as of July 8, 2004, by and between the Company and Dr. Daniel Offen is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 8, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.13 Consulting Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2012, by and between the Company and Dr. Daniel Offen, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Registration Statement filed June 29, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.14 Lease Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2004, among the Company, Petah Tikvah Science and Technology District 'A' Ltd., Petah Tikvah Science and Technology District 'B' Ltd. and Atzma and Partners Maccabim Investments Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB dated December 31, 2004 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.15\* Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Global Share Option Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to the Registrant's Definitive Schedule 14A filed May 7, 2012 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.16\* Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. Amended and Restated 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to the Registrant's Definitive Schedule 14A filed May 7, 2012 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.17\* Form of Stock Option Agreement for usage under the Registrant's Amended and Restated 2004 Global Share Option Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.18\* Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for usage under the Registrant's Amended and Restated 2005 U.S. Stock Option and Incentive Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.19 Subscription Agreement, dated July 2, 2007, by and between the Company and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.20 Amendment to Subscription Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2009, by and between the Company and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2009 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.21 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by the Company to ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.22 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Company and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.23 Form of Security Holders Agreement, by and between ACCBT Corp. and certain security holders of the Registrant is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 5, 2007 (File No. 333-61610).

10.24

Finder's Fee Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2007, by and between the Company and Tayside Trading Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.63 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on April 14, 2008 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.25 Subscription Agreement, dated January 24, 2010, by and between the Company and Reytalon Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.26 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2010, by and between the Company and Abraham Suisse is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.69 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 25, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.27 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2010, by and between the Company and Yaakov Ben Zaken is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.70 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 25, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.28 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 17, 2010, by and between the Company and Abram Nanikashvili is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.71 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 25, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.29\* Agreement, dated April 13, 2010, by and between the Company, Abraham Israeli and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 15, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).

- 10.30\* First Amendment Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2011, to the Agreement by and between the Company, Abraham Israeli and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.31 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of April 13, 2010, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.38 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.32 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of April 13, 2011, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.33 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of April 13, 2012, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2013 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.34 Convertible Promissory Note, dated as of September 15, 2010, issued by the Company to Thomas B. Rosedale is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 15, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.35\* Employment Agreement, dated June 23, 2010, by and between the Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. and Liat Sossover is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 16, 2010 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.36\* Employment Agreement, dated January 30, 2011, by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. and Dr. Adrian Harel is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2011 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.37 Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated September 5, 2011, by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. and Adrian Harel, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the amendment to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on July 9, 2013 (File No. 333-186516).
- 10.38 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 2011, by and between the Company and certain investors is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2011 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.39 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2011, by and between the Company and Karinel Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2011 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.40 Clinical Trial Agreement, entered into as of February 17, 2010, among BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd., Prof. Dimitrios Karussis and Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.41 Amendment to the Clinical Trial Agreement, entered into as of June 27, 2011, among BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd., Prof. Dimitrios Karousis and Hadasit Medical Research Services and

Edgar Filing: BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. - Form 424B3

Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011 (File No. 000-54365).

- 10.42\* BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. Director Compensation Plan is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 15, 2011 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.43 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of February 17, 2010, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.50 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.44 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of February 17, 2010, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.51 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).

103

- 10.45 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of February 17, 2010, issued by the Company to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.52 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.46\* Amended and Restated Executive Director Agreement, dated November 11, 2011, by and between the Company and Chen Schor is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed November 16, 2011 (File No. 333-61610).
- 10.47 Warrant Amendment Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2012, by and between BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. and ACCBT Corp. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 11, 2012 (File No. 000-54365)
- 10.48 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, incorporated herein by reference to Annex A of the Company's Rule 424(b)(1) Prospectus filed July 19, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.49 Form of Placement Agency Agreement by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. and Maxim Group LLC, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.58 of the Company's Registration Statement filed June 29, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.50 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to Placement Agent, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A of Exhibit 10.58 of the Company's Registration Statement filed June 29, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.51 Form of Warrant, incorporated herein by reference to Annex B of the Company's Rule 424(b)(1) Prospectus filed July 19, 2012 (File No. 333-179331).
- 10.52\* Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2013 between BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd. and Alon Natanson is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2013 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.53 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated as of April 13, 2013, issued by Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. to Hadasit Medical Research Services and Development Ltd. is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2013 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.54 Underwriting Agreement dated as of August 13, 2013 by and between Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., Roth Capital Partners, LLC and Maxim Group LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2013 (File No. 000-54365).
- 10.55 Form of Warrant, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2013 (File No. 000-54365).
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23.1 Consent of Brightman Almagor & Co., a member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu.
- 23.2 Consent of Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer, a member of Ernst & Young Global.
- 31.1

Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101\*\* The following financial information from the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013, and 2012; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and from September 22, 2000 (Inception) to December 31, 2013; (3) Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) from September 22, 2000 (Inception) through December 31, 2013; (4) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and from September 22, 2000 (Inception) to December 31, 2013; and (5) Notes to Financial Statements.

- \* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement filed in response to Item 15(a)(3) of Form 10-K.
- \*\* In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K is furnished and shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be part of any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

**EXHIBIT 21**

Subsidiaries of BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.

Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Ltd.	Israel
BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics UK Ltd.	United Kingdom

**Exhibit 23.1**

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement NO. 333-131880 and 333-168763 on Form S-8 and No. 333-186516 on Form S-1 of our report dated March 27, 2014 relating to the financial statements of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern) appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. for the year ended December 31, 2013.

/s/ Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.

Brightman Almagor Zohar & Co.

A member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

Tel Aviv, Israel

March 27, 2014

**Exhibit 23.2**

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We consent to the incorporation by reference to Registration Statement No. 333-186516 on Form S-1 of our report dated April 13, 2008, relating to the financial statements of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. as of December 31, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern) appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of BRAINSTORM CELL THERAPEUTICS INC. for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*/s/ Kost Forer Gabbay & Kasierer*

Tel-Aviv, Israel KOST FORER GABBAY & KASIERER  
March 27, 2014 A Member of Ernst & Young Global

**EXHIBIT 31.1**

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

I, Chaim Lebovits, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 27, 2014

/s/ Chaim Lebovits

Name: Chaim Lebovits

Title: President (Principal Executive Officer)

**EXHIBIT 31.2**

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT RULE 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

I, Liat Sossover, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 27, 2014            /s/ Liat Sossover  
Name: Liat Sossover  
          Chief Financial Officer  
Title:  
          (Principal Financial Officer)

**EXHIBIT 32.1**

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In connection with the accompanying Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.(the “Company”) for the year ended December 31, 2013, the undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that:

(1) such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 27, 2014                      /s/ Chaim Lebovits  
Name: Chaim Lebovits  
Title: President (Principal Executive Officer)

**EXHIBIT 32.2**

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In connection with the accompanying Annual Report on Form 10-K of Brainstorm Cell Therapeutics Inc.(the “Company”) for the year ended December 31, 2013, the undersigned hereby certifies pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that:

(1) such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 27, 2014            /s/ Liat Sossover  
Name: Liat Sossover  
                                 Chief Financial Officer  
Title:  
                                 (Principal Financial Officer)