WABASH NATIONAL CORP /DE Form 10-K February 29, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 1-10883

WABASH NATIONAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

0

1000 Sagamore Parkway South Lafayette, Indiana (Address of Principal Executive Offices) **52-1375208** (IRS Employer Identification Number)

47905 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (765) 771-5300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each className of each exchange on which
registeredCommon Stock, \$.01 Par ValueNew York Stock ExchangeSeries D Preferred Share Purchase RightsNew York Stock ExchangeSecurities registered pursuant toSection 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2011 was \$638,210,171 based upon the closing price of the Company's common stock as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape on such date.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of February 22, 2012 was 68,321,729.

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference certain portions of the registrant s Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS WABASH NATIONAL CORPORATION FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

PART I	Pages
Item 1	<u>3</u>
Business Item 1A	2
Risk Factors	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 1B</u>	<u>19</u>
Unresolved Staff Comments Item 2	
Properties	<u>19</u>
Item 3	•
Legal Proceedings Item 4	<u>20</u>
	<u>21</u>
Mine Safety Disclosures PART II	
<u>Item 5</u>	
Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>24</u>
Selected Financial Data Item 7	
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 7A	<u>25</u>
	<u>40</u>
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Item 8	
	<u>41</u>
Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Item 9	<u>67</u>

<u>67</u>

<u>69</u>

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Item 9A
Controls and Procedures Item 9B

Other Information	
PART III	
<u>Item 10</u>	
Executive Officers of the Registrant Item 11	<u>69</u> <u>69</u>
Executive Compensation	
<u>Item 12</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related</u> <u>Stockholder Matters</u> <u>Item 13</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>69</u> <u>69</u>
Item 14	
Principal Accounting Fees and Services PART IV Item 15	<u>69</u>
Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>70</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>72</u>

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements may include the words may, will, estimate, intend, continue, believe, expect, plan or anticipate and other simila forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding:

our business plan; our expected revenues, income or loss and capital expenditures; plans for future operations; financing needs, plans and liquidity, including for working capital and capital expenditures; our ability to achieve sustained profitability; reliance on certain customers and corporate relationships; our ability to diversify the product offerings of non-trailer businesses; availability and pricing of raw materials; availability of capital and financing; dependence on industry trends; the outcome of any pending litigation; export sales and new markets; engineering and manufacturing capabilities and capacity; acceptance of new technology and products; government regulation; and assumptions relating to the foregoing.

Although we believe that the expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in our forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties, such as those disclosed in this Annual Report. Each forward-looking statement contained in this Annual Report reflects our management s view only as of the date on which that forward-looking statement was made. We are not obligated to update forward-looking statements or publicly release the result of any revisions to them to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Annual Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as required by law.

Currently known risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are described throughout this Annual Report, including in Item 1A. *Risk Factors*. We urge you to carefully review that section for a more complete discussion of the risks of an investment in our securities.

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

Overview

Wabash National Corporation (Wabash, Company, us, we, or our) was founded in 1985 as a start-up compan Lafayette, Indiana. We are now one of North America's leaders in designing, manufacturing and marketing standard and customized truck trailers and related transportation equipment. We believe our position as a leader has been the result of our longstanding relationships with our core customers, our demonstrated ability to attract new customers,

our broad and innovative product lines, our technological leadership and our extensive distribution and service network. Our management team is focused on continuing to optimize our manufacturing and retail operations to match the current demand environment, implementing cost savings initiatives, strengthening our

capital structure, developing innovative products, improving earnings and continuing diversification of the business into higher margin opportunities which leverage our intellectual and process capabilities.

Wabash was incorporated in Delaware in 1991 and is the successor by merger to a Maryland corporation organized in 1985. Our internet website is <u>www.wabashnational.com</u>. We make our electronic filings with the Securities Exchange Commission (the SEC), including our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports available on our website free of charge as soon as practicable after we file or furnish them with the SEC. Information on the website is not part of this Form 10-K.

Operating Segments

Through the third quarter of 2011 we segregated our operations into two reportable segments: Manufacturing and Retail and Distribution. In the fourth quarter of 2011, resulting from the execution of our strategic initiative to expand our customer base, diversify our product offerings and revenues and extend our market leadership, we began reporting three segments: Commercial Trailer Products, Diversified Products and Retail. We allocate certain corporate related administrative costs, interest and income taxes to our corporate and eliminations segment. Financial results by operating segment, including information about revenues from customers, measures of profit and loss, total assets, and financial information regarding geographic areas and export sales are discussed in Note 13, Segments and Related Information, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. By operating segment, net sales were as follows (dollars in millions):

Year Ended December 31,						
	2011	2010	2009			
Sales by Segment						
Commercial Trailer Products	\$ 1,071.3	\$ 561.3	\$ 275.9			
Diversified Products	106.5	43.0	17.3			
Retail	125.1	89.1	64.7			
Corporate and Eliminations	(115.7)	(53.0) (20.1)			
Total	\$ 1,187.2	\$ 640.4	\$ 337.8			
Commercial Trailer Products						

Commercial Trailer Products segment sales as a percentage of total consolidated net sales and gross margin were:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2011 2010		2009	
Percentage of consolidated net sales ⁽¹⁾	82.2 %	80.9	%	77.1	%
Percentage of consolidated gross margin	57.6 %	56.4	%	101.7	%

(1) Measured before intersegment eliminations The Commercial Trailer Products segment manufactures standard and customized truck trailers. We seek to identify and produce proprietary products that offer exceptional value to customers with the potential to generate higher profit margin than standardized products. We believe that we have the engineering and manufacturing capability to produce

these products efficiently. We introduced our proprietary composite product, DuraPlate®, in 1996 and have experienced widespread industry acceptance. Since 2002, sales of our DuraPlate® trailers represented approximately 92% of our total new dry van trailer sales. We are also a competitive producer of standardized sheet and post and

Operating Segments

refrigerated trailer products and we strive to become the low-cost producer of these products within our industry. Through our Transcraft subsidiary we also manufacture steel and aluminum flatbed and dropdeck trailers.

We market our transportation equipment under the Wabash®, DuraPlate®, DuraPlateHD®, DuraPlate® XD-35TM, FreightPro®, ArcticLite®, RoadRailer®, Transcraft®, Eagle®, Eagle II®, D-Eagle® and Benson® trademarks directly to customers, through independent dealers and through our Company-owned retail branch network. Historically, we have focused on our longstanding core customers representing many of the largest companies in the trucking industry, but have expanded this focus over the past several years to include numerous

additional key accounts. Our relationships with our growing list of core customers have been central to our growth since inception. We have also actively pursued the diversification of our customer base by focusing on our network of independent dealers. For our van business we utilize a total of 27 independent dealers with approximately 62 locations throughout North America to market and distribute our trailers. We distribute our flatbed and dropdeck trailers through a network of 90 independent dealers with approximately 141 locations throughout North America. In addition, we maintain two used fleet sales centers to focus on selling both large and small fleet trade packages to the wholesale market.

Diversified Products

Diversified Products segment sales as a percentage of total consolidated net sales and gross margin were:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011	2010		2009		
Percentage of consolidated net sales ⁽¹⁾	8.2 %	6.2	%	4.8	%	
Percentage of consolidated gross margin	27.2 %	18.5	%	9.3	%	

(1) Measured before intersegment eliminations The Diversified Products segment focuses on our commitment to expand our customer base, diversify our product offerings and revenues and extend our market leadership by leveraging our intellectual technology, including our proprietary DuraPlate® panel technology, drawing on our core manufacturing expertise and making available products that are complementary to the truck trailers and transportation equipment we offer. This segment includes a wide array of products and customer-specific solutions. Leveraging our intellectual technology and core manufacturing expertise into new applications and market sectors enables us to deliver greater value to our customers and shareholders.

Our DuraPlate® composite panel technology contains unique properties of strength and durability that can be utilized in numerous applications in addition to truck trailers. For example, in December 2008, we entered into a multi-year agreement to build and service all of PODS®⁽¹⁾ portable storage container requirements. Since adding portable storage containers to our portfolio of Wabash Composites, as described under Products below, we have been able to build upon and refine our manufacturing processes of portable storage containers having introduced a proprietary design for a foldable portable storage container in late 2011. We have also been able to develop other products providing additional applications of our DuraPlate® panel technology. In late 2008, we began to manufacture the AeroSkirt®, an aerodynamic solution for over-the-road trailers that, based on certified laboratory and track testing, provides an approximate 6% fuel efficiency improvement. The demand for this product by our customers was driven by increased transportation regulations as well as our customers desire to reduce transportation fuel costs. In addition to portable storage containers and AeroSkirts®, we also utilize our DuraPlate® technology in the production of truck bodies, overhead doors and other industrial applications. These DuraPlate® composite products are sold to original equipment manufacturers and aftermarket customers.

We also continue to find opportunities to enhance our business model through organic growth by leveraging our core competencies in manufacturing into new applications and end markets. In 2011, we signed an exclusive agreement to manufacture frac tanks for Sabre Manufacturing, LLC. Frac tanks are mobile water storage containers used in the oil and gas industry to pump high-pressure water into underground wells. Entering this new market sector was possible as many of the manufacturing techniques and processes for producing frac tanks were similar to skill sets and processes that we possessed and were already in place throughout our manufacturing facilities. In addition to frac tanks, we continue to explore other opportunities within the energy and environmental sector in which we can utilize our

manufacturing expertise. Through our Diversified Products segment, we also operate a wood flooring production facility that manufactures laminated hard wood oak products for the van trailer industry.

We expect to continue to focus on our diversification efforts through the Diversified Products segment in order to enhance our business model, strengthen our revenues and become a stronger company that can deliver greater value to our shareholders.

5

(1)

PODS® is a registered trademark of PODS, Inc. and Pods Enterprises, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Retail

Retail segment sales as a percentage of total consolidated net sales and gross margin were:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010		2009	
Percentage of consolidated net sales ⁽¹⁾	9.6 %	12.9	%	18.1	%
Percentage of consolidated gross margin	14.8 %	27.3	%	(11.1)%

(1) Measured before intersegment eliminations The Retail segment includes our 12 Company-owned retail branch locations, which are strategically located in large metropolitan areas to provide additional opportunities to distribute our products, diversify our factory direct sales and also offer nationwide services and support capabilities for our customers. Our retail branch network s sale of new and used trailers, aftermarket parts and service generally provides enhanced margin opportunities to our retail customers.

Strategy

We are committed to a corporate strategy that seeks to maximize shareholder value by executing on the core elements of our strategic plan:

Value Creation. We intend to continue our focus on improved earnings and cash flow.

Operational Excellence. We are focused on maintaining a reduced cost structure by adhering to continuous improvement and lean manufacturing initiatives.

People. We recognize that in order to achieve our strategic goals we must continue to develop the organization s skills to advance our associates capabilities and to attract talented people.

Customer Focus. We have been successful in developing longstanding relationships with core customers and we intend to maintain these relationships while expanding new customer relationships through the offering of tailored transportation solutions to create new revenue opportunities.

Innovation. We intend to continue to strive to be the technology leader by providing new differentiated products and services that generate enhanced profit margins.

Corporate Growth. We intend to expand our product offering and competitive advantage by increasing our focus on the diversification of products through our Diversified Products segment and leveraging our intellectual and physical assets for organic growth.

Industry and Competition

Trucking in the U.S., according to the American Trucking Association (ATA), was estimated to be a \$564 billion industry in 2010, representing approximately 81% of the total transportation industry revenue. Furthermore, ATA estimates that approximately 67% of all freight tonnage in 2010 was carried by trucks at some point during its

shipment. Trailer demand is a direct function of the amount of freight to be transported. As the economy improves, ATA estimates that the percentage of freight tonnage carried by trucks will grow to 70% by 2022. In order to meet this expected increased in freight demand, truck carriers will need to expand and replace their fleets, which typically results in increased trailer orders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Transportation in the U.S., including trucking, is a cyclical industry that has experienced three cycles over the last 20 years. In each of the last three cycles the decline in freight tonnage preceded the general U.S. economic downturn by approximately two and one-half years and the recovery has generally preceded that of the economy as a whole. The trailer industry generally follows the transportation industry, experiencing cycles in the early and late 90 s lasting approximately 58 and 67 months, respectively. Truck freight tonnage, according to ATA statistics, started declining year-over-year in 2006 and remained at depressed levels through 2009. The most recent cycle concluded in 2009, lasting a total of 89 months. After three consecutive years with total trailer demand well below replacement demand levels of approximately 185,000 trailers, 2011 was a year of significant improvement in which the total trailer market increased approximately 68% from the previous year with total shipments of approximately 209,000. In our view, we expect to see continued improvement in the overall demand for new trailer equipment as the economic and industry specific indicators we track, including but not limited to the Conference Board leading indicators index, ATA s truck tonnage index, total industrial production as well as the overall gross domestic product, appear to be trending in a positive direction. In addition, there are several different topics within state and federal legislative processes that could have a favorable impact on the demand for trailers in the near term, specifically comprehensive safety programs for carriers and drivers as well as rule changes regarding hours of service restrictions.

Wabash, and its two largest competitors, Great Dane and Utility, are generally viewed as the top three trailer manufacturers in the U.S. and have accounted for greater than 50% of U.S. new trailer market share in recent years, including approximately 60% in 2011. Our market share of U.S. total trailer shipments in 2011 was approximately 23%. Trailer manufacturers compete primarily through the quality of their products, customer relationships, service availability and cost. Over the past several years, we have seen a number of our competitors follow our leadership in the development and use of composite sidewalls that compete directly with our DuraPlate® products. Our product development is focused on maintaining our leading position with respect to these products.

The table below sets forth new trailer production for Wabash and, as provided by Trailer Body Builders Magazine, our largest competitors and the trailer industry as a whole within North America. The data represents all segments of the market, except containers and chassis. For the years included below, we have participated primarily in the van and platform trailer segments. Van trailer demand, the largest segment within the trailer industry, has continued to show sequential improvements over each of the last two years from a low of approximately 52,000 trailers in 2009 recovering to an estimated 150,000 trailers in 2011. Our market share for van trailers in 2011 was approximately 30%, an increase of approximately 3% from 2010 due to increased market demand in the dry van market, our largest segment, as compared to the refrigerated trailer market.

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Wabash	49,000	27,000	12,000	32,000	46,000
Great Dane	39,000	21,000	15,000	29,000	48,000
Utility	33,000	23,000	17,000	23,000	31,000
Hyundai Translead	18,000	8,000	5,000	7,000	13,000
Stoughton	9,000	5,000	3,000	5,000	11,000
Other principal producers	25,000	19,000	12,000	20,000	25,000
Total Industry	202,000	122,000 (1)	79,000 (1)	143,000 (1)	218,000 (1)

Data revised by publisher in a subsequent year.

Our diversified product initiatives are, in most cases, in markets that are more fragmented than our traditional trailer product offerings. The end markets that our diversified products serve are broader and more diverse than the trailer industry, including environmental, oil and gas, moving and storage and specialty vehicle. In addition, our diversification efforts pertain to new and emerging markets and many of the products are driven by regulatory

(1)

requirements or, in most cases, customer-specific needs. However, many of our diversification efforts still remain in the early growth stages and future success is largely dependent on continued customer adoption of our product solutions and general expansion of our customer base and distribution channels.

Competitive Strengths

We believe our core competitive strengths include:

Long-Term Core Customer Relationships We are the leading provider of trailers to a significant number of top tier trucking companies, generating a revenue base that has helped to sustain us as one of the market leaders. Our products are preferred by many of the industry s leading carriers with customers, according to Transport Topics, including approximately one-half of the top 50 for-hire fleets and approximately one-third of the top 100 for-hire fleets. *Innovative Product Offerings* Our DuraPlate® proprietary technology offers what we believe to be a superior trailer, which commands premium pricing. A DuraPlate® trailer is a composite plate trailer using material that contains a high-density polyethylene core bonded between high-strength steel skins. We believe that the competitive advantages of our DuraPlate® trailers include the following:

Extended Service Life operate three to five years longer; Lower Total Cost of Ownership less costly to maintain; Less Downtime higher utilization for fleets; Extended Warranty warranty period for DuraPlate® panels is ten years; and Improved Resale higher trade-in and resale values.

We have been manufacturing DuraPlate® trailers for over 16 years and through December 2011 have sold over 440,000 trailers. This proven experience, combined with ownership and knowledge of the DuraPlate® panel technology, helps ensure continued industry leadership in the future. We continue to introduce new innovations in our DuraPlate® family, including DuraPlateHD®, along with new innovations in other product lines, including our ArcticLite® refrigerated trailers and the FreightPro® sheet and post trailer.

Significant Market Share and Brand Recognition We have been one of the three largest manufacturers of trailers in North America since 1994, with one of the most widely recognized brands in the industry. We are currently the largest producer of van trailers in North America. According to data published by Trailer Body Builders Magazine, our Transcraft subsidiary is one of the top three leading producers of platform trailers.

Committed Focus on Operational Excellence Safety, quality, on-time delivery, productivity and cost reduction are the core elements of our program of continuous improvement. We currently maintain an ISO 14001 registration of our Environmental Management System.

Technology We continue to be recognized by the trucking industry as a leader in developing technology to provide value-added solutions for our customers that reduce trailer operating costs, improve revenue opportunities, and solve unique transportation problems. Throughout our history, we have been and will continue to be a leading innovator in the design and production of trailers. In addition to the introduction of new trailer product innovations made through our DuraPlate® family over the past 16 years, we have also provided a customer-focused approach in developing product enhancements for the trailer and transportation industries. Some of the more recent innovations include our Big Tire Hauler, a trailer to provide cost effective transport of large tires; DuraPlate® XD-35TM, a revolutionary 35,000 pound concentrated floor load rated dry van for heavy haul applications; Trustlock®, a proprietary single-lock rear door mechanism; a combination ID/Stop light, a dual-function rear ID light that also actuates as a brake indicator; and DuraPlate® Aeroskirt®, a durable aerodynamic solution that, based on certified laboratory and track testing, provides improved fuel efficiencies of approximately 6%.

Corporate Culture We benefit from an experienced, value-driven management team and dedicated workforce focused on operational excellence.

Extensive Distribution Network Our 12 Company-owned retail branches and two used trailer locations extend our sales network throughout North America, diversify our factory direct sales, provide an outlet for used trailer sales and support our national service contracts. Additionally, we utilize a network of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

27 independent dealers with approximately 62 locations throughout North America to distribute our van trailers, and our Transcraft distribution network consists of 90 independent dealers with approximately 141 locations throughout North America.

Regulation

Truck trailer length, height, width, maximum weight capacity and other specifications are regulated by individual states. The federal government also regulates certain safety features incorporated in the design and use of truck trailers. These regulations include, but are not limited to, requirements on anti-lock braking systems (ABS) and rear-impact guard standards as well as operator restrictions as to hours of service and minimum driver safety standards (see Industry Trends). Manufacturing operations are subject to environmental laws enforced by federal, state and local agencies (see Environmental Matters).

Products

Since our inception, we have expanded our product offerings from a single truck trailer product to a broad range of transportation equipment.

Our Commercial Trailer Products segment specializes in the development of innovative proprietary products for our key markets. Commercial trailer products segment sales represented approximately 82%, 81% and 77% of our consolidated net sales as measured before elimination of intersegment sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our current Commercial Trailer Products primarily include the following:

Dry Vans. The dry van market represents our largest product line and includes trailers sold under DuraPlate®, DuraPlate® XD-35TM and FreightPro® trademarks. Our DuraPlate® trailers utilize a proprietary technology that consists of a composite plate wall for increased durability and greater strength. Our FreightPro® trailers provide us a competitive product within the smooth aluminum, or sheet and post, trailer segment. *Platform Trailers.* Platform trailers are sold under Transcraft®, Eagle® and Benson® trademarks. The acquisition of certain assets from Benson in July 2008 provides us the ability to offer a premium all-aluminum platform trailer. Platform trailers consist of a trailer chassis with a flat or drop loading deck without permanent sides or a roof. These trailers are primarily utilized to haul steel coils, construction materials and large equipment.

Refrigerated Trailers. Refrigerated trailers have insulating foam in the walls, roof and floor, which improves both the insulation capabilities and durability of the trailers. Our refrigerated trailers are sold under the ArcticLite® trademark and use our proprietary SolarGuard® technology, coupled with our novel foaming process, which we believe enables customers to achieve lower costs through reduced operating hours of refrigeration equipment and therefore reduced fuel consumption.

Specialty Trailers, Parts and Other. This includes a wide array of specialty equipment and services generally focused on products that require a higher degree of customer specifications and requirements. Our RoadRailer® intermodal system is a patented bimodal technology consisting of a truck trailer and a detachable rail bogie that permits a trailer to run both over the highway and directly on railroad lines. In addition to these specialty products, this includes converter dollies, Big Tire Haul trailers and aftermarket component products.

Used Trailers. This includes the sales of used trailers through our two used fleet sales centers to facilitate new trailer sales with a focus on selling both large and small fleet trade packages to the wholesale market.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our Diversified Products segment focuses on our commitment to expand our customer base, diversify our product offerings and revenues and extend our market leadership by leveraging our proprietary DuraPlate® panel technology, drawing on our core manufacturing expertise and making available products that are complementary to the truck trailers and transportation equipment we offer. Diversified Products segment sales represented approximately 8%, 6% and 5% of our consolidated net sales as measured before elimination of intersegment sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our current Diversified Products primarily include the following:

Wabash Composites. Our composite products expand the use of DuraPlate® composite panels, already a proven product in the semi-trailer market for over 16 years, into new product and market applications. In 2008, we began building and servicing all of PODS® portable storage container requirements with our new DuraPlate® portable storage container. In 2009, we introduced our EPA Smartway®⁽²⁾ approved DuraPlate® AeroSkirt®. Other composite products include truck bodies and overhead doors. We continue to actively explore new opportunities to leverage our proprietary technology into new industries and applications.

Wabash Energy and Environmental Solutions. In 2011, we entered into an exclusive agreement to begin manufacturing frac tanks for Sabre Manufacturing, LLC. Frac tanks are mobile water storage tanks primarily used in the oil and gas industry to pump high-pressure water into underground wells. We continue to actively explore new opportunities to leverage our manufacturing expertise into new tank or engineered product applications. *Wabash Wood Products*. We manufacture laminated hardwood oak products used primarily in the dry van trailer segment at our manufacturing operations located in Harrison, Arkansas.

Our Retail segment offers products in three general categories, including new trailers, used trailers and parts and service. Retail segment sales represented approximately 10%, 13% and 18% of our consolidated net sales as measured before elimination of intersegment sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The following is a description of each product category:

We sell new trailers produced by the Commercial Trailer Products segment. Additionally, we sell specialty trailers produced by third parties that are purchased in smaller quantities for local or regional transportation needs. New trailer sales through the retail branch network represented approximately 6% of consolidated net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009.

We provide replacement parts and accessories, maintenance service and trailer repairs and conversions for trailers and other related equipment. Net sales of parts and service within our Retail segment represented approximately 4%, 6% and 10% of consolidated net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We sell used trailers through our retail branch network to enable us to remarket and promote new trailer sales in the local regions in which we operate. Used trailer sales represented less than 5% of consolidated net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Customers

Our customer base has historically included many of the nation s largest truckload (TL) common carriers, leasing companies, private fleet carriers, less-than-truckload (LTL) common carriers and package carriers. According to Transport Topics, our customer base includes nearly one-half of the top fifty and one-third of the top one hundred for-hire fleet operators in North America. We continue to make improvement in expanding our customer base and diversifying into the broader trailer market through leveraging our independent dealer and company-owned retail networks as well as through the acquisitions of Transcraft and Benson assets. In addition, we continue to diversify our products by expanding the use of DuraPlate® composite panel technology through products such as portable storage containers, DuraPlate® AeroSkirts®, truck bodies and overhead doors. All of these efforts have been accomplished while maintaining our relationships with our core customers. Our five largest customers together accounted for approximately 32%, 32% and 41% of our aggregate net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with one different customer each year representing approximately 13%, 10% and 14% of our net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009,

respectively. International sales, primarily to Canadian customers, accounted for less than 10% of net sales for each of the last three years.

(2) EPA Smartway® is a registered trademark of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We have established relationships as a supplier to many large customers in the transportation industry, including the following:

Truckload Carriers: Averitt Express, Inc.; Celadon Group, Inc.; Cowan Systems, LLC; Crete Carrier Corporation; Gordon Trucking, Inc.; Heartland Express, Inc.; Knight Transportation, Inc.; Schneider National, Inc.; Swift Transportation Corporation; U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc.; and Werner Enterprises, Inc. *Less-Than-Truckload Carriers:* FedEx Corporation; Old Dominion Freight Lines, Inc.; SAIA Motor Freightlines, Inc.; Vitran Express, Inc.; and YRC Worldwide, Inc.

Refrigerated Carriers: CR England, Inc.; Frozen Food Express Industries, Inc.; and Prime, Inc. Leasing Companies: GE Trailer Fleet Services; Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc.; and Xtra Lease, Inc. Private Fleets: C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc.; Dillard s, Inc.; Dollar General Corporation; Safeway, Inc.; and Wal-Mart Transportation, Inc.

Through our Diversified Products segment we also sell our products to several other customers including, but not limited to, Morgan Corporation; PODS Enterprises, Inc.; Sabre Manufacturing, LLC; Supreme Corporation; and Utilimaster Corporation.

Marketing and Distribution

We market and distribute our products through the following channels:

factory direct accounts; Company-owned distribution network; and independent dealerships.

Factory direct accounts are generally large fleets, with over 7,500 trailers, that are high volume purchasers. Historically, we have focused on the factory direct market in which customers are highly knowledgeable of the life-cycle costs of trailer equipment and, therefore, are best equipped to appreciate the design and value-added features of our products. We have also actively pursued, through our Company-owned and independent dealer network, the diversification of our customer base focusing on carriers that operate fleets of between 250 to 7,500 trailers, which we estimate account for approximately two million trailers in total.

Our Company-owned distribution network generates retail sales of trailers to smaller fleets and independent operators located in geographic regions where our branches are located. This branch network enables us to provide maintenance and other services to customers. The branch network and our used trailer centers provide an outlet to facilitate the resale of used trailers taken in trade upon the sale of new trailers, which is a common practice with fleet customers.

We also sell our van trailers through a network of 27 independent dealers with approximately 62 locations throughout North America. Our platform trailers are sold through 90 independent dealers with approximately 141 locations throughout North America. The dealers primarily serve mid-market and smaller sized carriers and private fleets in the geographic region where the dealer is located and occasionally may sell to large fleets. The dealers may also perform service work for our customers.

Raw Materials

We utilize a variety of raw materials and components including specialty steel coil, plastic, aluminum, lumber, tires, landing gear, axles and suspensions, which we purchase from a limited number of suppliers. Costs of raw materials and component parts represented approximately 77%, 74% and 75% of our consolidated net sales in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Significant price fluctuations or shortages in raw materials or finished components has had, and

could have further, adverse effects on our results of operations. In 2012 and for the foreseeable future, we expect that the raw materials used in the greatest quantity will be steel, aluminum, plastic and wood. For 2012, we expect there to be continued price volatility for our primary raw materials and component

TABLE OF CONTENTS

parts, including aluminum, steel, plastic and tires. Our Harrison, Arkansas laminated hardwood floor facility provides the majority of our requirements for the flooring of our dry van trailers and has adequate capacity to meet our needs throughout 2012.

Backlog

Orders that have been confirmed by customers in writing, have defined delivery timeframes and can be produced during the next 18 months are included in our backlog. Orders that comprise our backlog may be subject to changes in quantities, delivery, specifications and terms or cancellation. Our backlog of orders at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were approximately \$587 million and \$480 million, respectively. We expect to complete the majority of our existing backlog orders within the next 12 months.

Patents and Intellectual Property

We hold or have applied for 64 patents in the U.S. on various components and techniques utilized in our manufacture of transportation equipment. In addition, we hold or have applied for 81 patents in foreign countries. Our patents include intellectual property related to the manufacture of trailers using our proprietary DuraPlate® product, which we believe offers us a significant competitive advantage. The patents in our DuraPlate® portfolio have expiration dates ranging from 2016 to 2029. In addition, we have applied for, or been granted, patents in the U.S. and foreign countries relating to innovative product designs or design improvements, which were first developed by Wabash and have become highly desirable in our industry. In our view there are no meaningful patents having an expiration date prior to 2016.

We also hold or have applied for 34 trademarks in the U.S. as well as 36 trademarks in foreign countries. These trademarks include the Wabash®, Wabash National®, Transcraft® and Benson® brand names as well as trademarks associated with our proprietary products such as DuraPlate®, RoadRailer®, Eagle® and Benson® trailers. We believe these trademarks are important for the identification of our products and the associated customer goodwill; however, our business is not materially dependent on such trademarks.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses are charged to earnings as incurred and were \$1.0 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Environmental Matters

Our facilities are subject to various environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to air emissions, wastewater discharges, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes and occupational safety and health. Our operations and facilities have been, and in the future may become, the subject of enforcement actions or proceedings for non-compliance with such laws or for remediation of company-related releases of substances into the environment. Resolution of such matters with regulators can result in commitments to compliance abatement or remediation programs and in some cases the payment of penalties (see Item 3 Legal Proceedings).

We believe that our facilities are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Our facilities have incurred, and will continue to incur, capital and operating expenditures and other costs in complying with these laws and regulations. However, we currently do not anticipate that the future costs of environmental

compliance will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had approximately 2,600 and 1,800 full-time associates, respectively. Throughout 2011, all of our active associates were non-union. In order to meet the increase in our customers demand for products throughout 2011, we added approximately 1,000 production related full-time and temporary associates during the current year period, representing a year-over-year increase of approximately 38%. Our temporary associates represented approximately 50% of our overall production workforce as of December 31, 2011 as compared to approximately 40% as of the prior year period. We place a strong emphasis on maintaining good employee relations by promoting educational programs and quality improvement teams.

Executive Officers of Wabash National Corporation

The following are the executive officers of the Company:

Name	<u>Age</u>	Position	
Richard J. Giromini	58	President and Chief Ex	ecutive Officer, Director
Rodney P. Ehrlich	65	Senior Vice President	Chief Technology Officer
Bruce N. Ewald	60	Senior Vice President	Sales and Marketing
Timothy J. Monahan	59	Senior Vice President	Human Resources
Erin J. Roth	36	Senior Vice President	General Counsel and Secretary
Mark J. Weber	40	Senior Vice President	Chief Financial Officer

Richard J. Giromini. Mr. Giromini was promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 2007. He had been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from February 28, 2005 until December 2005 when he was appointed President and a Director of the Company. Prior to that, he had been Senior Vice President Chief Operating Officer since joining the Company on July 15, 2002. Mr. Giromini was with Accuride Corporation from April 1998 to July 2002, where he served in capacities as Senior Vice President Technology and Continuous Improvement; Senior Vice President and General Manager Light Vehicle Operations; and President and CEO of AKW LP. Previously, Mr. Giromini also serves on the board of directors of Robbins & Myers, Inc., a global supplier of highly engineered equipment and systems for critical applications in energy, industrial, chemical and pharmaceutical markets, which he joined in October 2008. Mr. Giromini holds a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical and industrial engineering and a Master of Science degree in industrial management, both from Clarkson University. He is a graduate of the Advanced Management Program at the Duke University Fuqua School of Management.

Rodney P. Ehrlich. Mr. Ehrlich has been Senior Vice President Chief Technology Officer of the Company since January 2004. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Ehrlich was Senior Vice President of Product Development. Mr. Ehrlich has been in charge of the Company's engineering operations since the Company's founding. Prior to Wabash National, Mr. Ehrlich started with Monon Trailer Corporation in 1963 working various positions until becoming Chief Engineer in 1973, Director of Engineering in 1978, and serving until joining the founders of Wabash National in 1985. Mr. Ehrlich has obtained over 60 patents in trailer related design during his 48 year trailer career. Mr. Ehrlich holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Purdue University.

Bruce N. Ewald. Mr. Ewald s original appointment was Vice President and General Manager of Wabash National Trailer Centers, Inc. when he joined the Company in March 2005. In October 2005, he was promoted to Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing. Mr. Ewald has nearly 30 years of experience in the transportation industry. Most recently, Mr. Ewald was with PACCAR from 1991 to February 2005 where he served in a number of executive-level positions. Prior to PACCAR, Mr. Ewald spent 10 years with Genuine Parts Co. where he served in several positions, including President and General Manager, Napa Auto Parts/Genuine Parts Co. Mr. Ewald holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Business from the University of Minnesota.

Timothy J. Monahan. Mr. Monahan has been Senior Vice President Human Resources since joining the Company in October 2003. In addition, he also oversees the Company s Information Technology group. Prior to Wabash, Mr.
 Monahan was with Textron Fastening Systems from 1999 to October 2003 where he served as Vice President Human Resources for the Commercial Solutions Group and later Global Vice President Human Resources. Previously, Mr. Monahan served in a variety of key executive roles at Beloit Corporation, Ingersoll Cutting Tools and Regal Beloit

Corporation, including Vice President Human Resources at both Beloit s Mill Pro and Paper Machinery Groups. Mr. Monahan serves on the board of directors of Global Specialty Solutions, a global producer of special cutting tools and tooling solutions. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Milton College and has attended several executive management programs, including the Duke University Fuqua School of Management where he completed the Advanced Executive Management Program.

Erin J. Roth. Effective January 1, 2011, Ms. Roth was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President General Counsel and Secretary, following her appointment on March 1, 2010 to the position of Vice President General Counsel and Secretary. Ms. Roth joined the Company in March 2007 as Corporate Counsel and was promoted in July 2009 to Senior Corporate Counsel. For the five years prior to joining the

Company, Ms. Roth was engaged in the private practice of law with Barnes & Thornburg, LLP, representing a number of private and public companies throughout the U.S. Ms. Roth earned her Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Butler University and her Juris Doctorate from the Georgetown University Law Center.

Mark J. Weber. Mr. Weber was promoted to Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer on August 31, 2009. Mr. Weber joined the Company in August 2005 as Director of Internal Audit, was promoted in February 2007 to Director of Finance, and in November 2007 he was promoted to Vice President and Corporate Controller. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Weber was with Great Lakes Chemical Corporation from October 1995 through August 2005 where he served in several positions of increasing responsibility within accounting and finance, including Vice President of Finance. Mr. Weber earned his Masters of Business Administration and Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Purdue University s Krannert School of Management.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report before investing in our securities. Realization of any of the following risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business, Strategy and Operations

Our business is highly cyclical, which has had, and could have further, adverse effects on our sales and results of operations.

The truck trailer manufacturing industry historically has been and is expected to continue to be cyclical, as well as affected by overall economic conditions. Customers historically have replaced trailers in cycles that run from five to 12 years, depending on service and trailer type. Poor economic conditions can adversely affect demand for new trailers and have historically, and has currently, led to an overall aging of trailer fleets beyond this typical replacement cycle. Customers buying patterns can also reflect regulatory changes, such as federal hours-of-service rules as well as overall truck safety and federal emissions standards.

While we have taken steps to diversify our product offerings through the implementation of our strategic plan, we are subject to the cyclicality. As a result, during downturns, we operate with a lower level of backlog and have had to temporarily slow down or halt production at some or all of our facilities, including extending normal shut down periods and reducing salaried headcount levels. We could be forced to further slow down or halt additional production. An economic downturn may reduce, and in the past has reduced, demand for trailers, resulting in lower sales volumes, lower prices and decreased profits.

Demand for new trailers has been and will continue to be sensitive to economic conditions over which we have no control and that may further adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

Demand for trailers is sensitive to changes in economic conditions such as the level of employment, consumer confidence, consumer income, new housing starts, government regulations and the availability of financing and

interest rates. The status of these economic conditions periodically have an adverse effect on truck freight and the demand for and the pricing of our trailers, and have resulted in, and could continue to result in, the inability of customers to meet their contractual terms or payment obligations, which could further cause our operating revenues and profits to decline.

We have a limited number of suppliers of raw materials and components; increases in the price of raw materials or the inability to obtain raw materials could adversely affect our results of operations.

We currently rely on a limited number of suppliers for certain key components and raw materials in the manufacturing of our products, such as tires, landing gear, axles, suspensions and specialty steel coil used in DuraPlate® panels. From time to time, there have been and may in the future be shortages of supplies of raw materials or components, or our suppliers may place us on allocation, which would have an adverse impact on our ability to meet demand for our products. Shortages and allocations may result in inefficient operations and a build-up of inventory, which can negatively affect our working capital position. In addition, price volatility in commodities we purchase which impact the pricing of raw materials could continue to have negative impacts to our operating margins. The loss of any of our suppliers or their inability to meet our price, quality, quantity and delivery requirements could have a significant impact on our results of operations.

Our results of operations declined significantly in recent periods and have only recently begun a recovery, and the impact of the current global economic weakness and its effects on our industry could continue to harm our operations and financial performance.

As of December 31, 2011, our stockholders' equity totaled \$146.3 million. For the three years ending December 31, 2011, we have recorded net losses from operations totaling \$61.7 million. These accumulated net operating losses reflect the conditions in the markets we serve and the general condition of the global economy. We believe that the overall industry in which we operate has been affected similarly during this period.

The recent global economic downturn caused demand for new trailers from 2007 through 2009 to decline and led to, in some cases, the cyclical timeframe for trailer replacement to be delayed due to economic pressures. However, we believe that the trailer industry is currently experiencing a period of economic recovery. The demand environment for trailers has improved in each of the last two years and we believe the strong demand market will continue for the next several years. For example, according to a January 2012 report by ACT Research Company (ACT), total trailer industry shipments for 2011 were approximately 209,000 trailers, representing an increase of approximately 68% from 2010. In addition, ACT is estimating 2012 trailer volumes to be approximately 246,000 trailers, representing an increase of approximately 18% from 2011 with continued strong demand levels through 2016 with estimated annual demand in excess of 215,000 trailers throughout the next five years. By comparison, total trailer industry shipments for the years ending 2010, 2009 and 2008 were approximately 124,000 trailers, 79,000 trailers and 143,000 trailers, respectively, which were all well below industry replacement demand levels of approximately 185,000 trailers.

However, even with the forecasted recovery within the trailer manufacturing industry, we cannot make any assurances that we will be profitable in future periods or that we will be able to sustain or increase profitability in the future. Increasing our profitability will depend on several factors, including, but not limited to, our ability to increase our overall trailer volumes, improve our gross margins, gain continued momentum on our product diversification efforts and manage our expenses. If we are unable to generate profitability in the future, we may not be able to meet our requirements under our revolving credit facility.

We continue to be affected by the credit markets, as well as the decline in the housing and construction-related markets in the U.S. The same general economic concerns faced by us are also faced by our customers. We believe that some of our customers are highly leveraged, have limited access to capital, and may be reliant on liquidity from global credit markets and other sources of external financing. If the current conditions impacting the credit markets and general economy are prolonged, we may be faced with unexpected delays in product purchases or the loss of customers, which could further materially impact our financial position, results of operations and cash flow. Further, lack of liquidity by our customers could impact our ability to collect amounts owed to us. While we have taken steps to address these concerns through the implementation of our strategic plan, we are not immune to the pressures being faced by our industry or the global economy, and our results of operations may decline.

Our ability to fund operations is limited by our cash on hand and available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility.

As of December 31, 2011, our liquidity position, defined as cash on hand and available borrowing capacity, amounted to \$125.7 million, representing an increase of \$65.3 million from December 31, 2010. Our ability to fund our working capital needs and capital expenditures is limited by the net cash provided by operations, cash on hand and available borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Additional declines in net cash provided by operations, increases in working capital requirements necessitated by an increased demand for our products and services, further decreases in the availability under the revolving credit facility or changes in the credit our suppliers provide to us, could rapidly exhaust our liquidity. However, we believe our liquidity on December 31, 2011 of \$125.7 million and our expected continued improvements in profitability will be more than adequate to fund working capital requirements and capital expenditures throughout 2012, which we expect to be a period of continued strong demand within the trailer manufacturing industry. Furthermore, we continue to have the option, subject to certain conditions, to request an additional incremental increase to the total commitment of our revolving credit facility of \$25 million.

Our revolving credit facility contains several restrictive covenants that, if breached, could limit our financial and operating flexibility and subject us to other risks.

Our revolving credit facility includes certain financial covenants. Breaching those financial covenants would trigger an event of default and our lenders may, subject to various customary cure rights, require the immediate payment of all amounts outstanding and foreclose on the collateral.

Our revolving credit facility requires that we maintain a certain minimum level of availability throughout the duration of the agreement. If our availability is less than 12.5% of the total revolving commitment, we are required to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 as of the end of any period of 12 fiscal months. If our availability is less than 15% of the total revolving commitment or if there exists an event of default, amounts in our deposit account (other than certain excluded accounts) will be transferred daily into a blocked account held by our bank and applied to reduce the outstanding amounts under the revolving credit facility. Our revolving credit facility also contains customary covenants limiting our ability to, among other things, pay cash dividends, incur debt or liens, redeem or repurchase stock, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge, dissolve, pay off subordinated indebtedness, make investments and dispose of assets.

Our revolving credit facility also contains customary events of default that include, without limitation, failure to pay obligations when due, initiation of insolvency proceedings, defaults on certain other indebtedness and the incurrence of certain judgments that are not stayed, satisfied, bonded or discharged within 30 days.

Due to the amount of our excess availability, as calculated under the revolving credit facility, we are currently in compliance with all covenants. Our ability to comply with our credit facility in the future may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions.

A change in our customer relationships or in the financial condition of our customers has had, and could have further, adverse effects on our business.

We have longstanding relationships with a number of large customers to whom we supply our products. We do not have long-term agreements with these customers. Our success is dependent, to a significant extent, upon the continued strength of these relationships and the growth of our core customers. We often are unable to predict the level of demand for our products from these customers, or the timing of their orders. In addition, the same economic conditions that adversely affect us also often adversely affect our customers. In recent years, the demand environment has caused us to experience reduced demand. As some of our customers are highly leveraged and have limited access to capital, their continued existence may be uncertain. The loss of a significant customer or unexpected delays in product purchases could further adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our backlog is not necessarily indicative of the level of our future revenues.

Our backlog represents future production for which we have written orders from our customers that can be produced

Our revolving credit facility contains several restrictive covenants that, if breached, could limit our financia8and open

or sold in the next 18 months. Orders that comprise our backlog may be subject to changes in quantities, delivery, specifications and terms, or cancellation, and our reported backlog may not be converted to revenue in any particular period and actual revenue from such orders may not equal our backlog revenues. Therefore, our backlog is not necessarily indicative of the level of our future revenues. Order cancellations within the trailer industry, according to ACT, were 3.2% for 2011 further supporting the commitment from fleet operators and their need for new equipment.

Our technology and products may not achieve market acceptance or competing products could gain market share, which could adversely affect our competitive position.

We continue to optimize and expand our product offerings to meet our customer needs through our established brands, such as DuraPlate®, DuraPlateHD®, DuraPlate® XD-35TM, FreightPro®, ArcticLite®, Transcraft®, Eagle® and Benson®. While we target product development to meet customer needs, there is no assurance that our product development efforts will be embraced and that we will meet our sales projections. Companies in the truck transportation industry, a very fluid industry in which our customers primarily operate, make frequent changes to maximize their operations and profits.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Over the past several years, we have seen a number of our competitors follow our leadership in the development and use of composite sidewalls that bring them into direct competition with our DuraPlate® products. Our product development is focused on maintaining our leadership on these products but competitive pressures may erode our market share or margins. We continue to take steps to protect our proprietary rights in our products. However, the steps we have taken to protect them may not be sufficient or may not be enforced by a court of law. If we are unable to protect our intellectual properties, other parties may attempt to copy or otherwise obtain or use our products or technology. If competitors are able to use our technology, our ability to effectively compete could be harmed. In addition, litigation related to intellectual property could result in substantial costs and efforts which may not result in a successful outcome.

Disruption of our manufacturing operations would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We manufacture our products at two van trailer manufacturing facilities in Lafayette, Indiana, a flatbed and dump-body trailer facility in Cadiz, Kentucky, and a hardwood floor facility in Harrison, Arkansas. An unexpected disruption in our production at any of these facilities for any length of time would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The inability to attract and retain key personnel could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our ability to operate our business and implement our strategies depends, in part, on the efforts of our executive officers and other key employees. Our future success depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, including manufacturing personnel, sales professionals and engineers. The unexpected loss of services of any of our key personnel or the failure to attract or retain other qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on the operation of our business.

We rely significantly on our integrated Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solution to support our operations.

We rely on an ERP system and telecommunications infrastructure to integrate departments and functions, to enhance the ability to service customers, to improve our control environment and to manage our cost reduction initiatives. Any issues involving our critical business applications and infrastructure may adversely impact our ability to manage operations and the customers we serve.

Significant competition in the industry in which we operate may result in our competitors offering new or better products and services or lower prices, which could result in a loss of customers and a decrease in our revenues.

The truck trailer manufacturing industry is highly competitive. We compete with other manufacturers of varying sizes, some of which have substantial financial resources. Trailer manufacturers compete primarily on the quality of their products, customer relationships, service availability and cost. Barriers to entry in the standard truck trailer manufacturing industry are low. As a result, it is possible that additional competitors could enter the market at any time. In the recent past, manufacturing over-capacity and high leverage of some of our competitors, along with bankruptcies and financial stresses that affected the industry, contributed to significant pricing pressures.

If we are unable to successfully compete with other trailer manufacturers, we could lose customers and our revenues may decline. In addition, competitive pressures in the industry may affect the market prices of our new and used equipment, which, in turn, may adversely affect our sales margins and results of operations.

We are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations, and our costs related to compliance with, or our failure to comply with, existing or future laws and regulations could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The length, height, width, maximum weight capacity and other specifications of truck trailers are regulated by individual states. The federal government also regulates certain truck trailer safety features, such as lamps, reflective devices, tires, air-brake systems and rear-impact guards. Changes or anticipation of changes in these regulations can have a material impact on our financial results, as our customers may defer purchasing decisions and we may have to re-engineer products. We are subject to various environmental laws and regulations dealing with the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

transportation, storage, presence, use, disposal and handling of hazardous materials, discharge of storm water and underground fuel storage tanks and may be subject to liability associated with operations of prior owners of acquired property. In addition, we are subject to laws and regulations relating to the employment of our associates and labor-related practices.

If we are found to be in violation of applicable laws or regulations in the future, it could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our costs of complying with these or any other current or future regulations may be material. In addition, if we fail to comply with existing or future laws and regulations, we may be subject to governmental or judicial fines or sanctions.

Product liability and other legal claims could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

As a manufacturer of products widely used in commerce, we are subject to product liability claims and litigation, as well as warranty claims. From time to time claims may involve material amounts and novel legal theories, and any insurance we carry may prove inadequate coverage to insulate us from material liabilities for these claims.

In addition to product liability claims, we are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business, such as workers' compensation claims, OSHA investigations, employment disputes and customer and supplier disputes arising out of the conduct of our business. Litigation may result in substantial costs and may divert management's attention and resources from the operation of our business, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. As described in more detail in Item 3 Legal Proceedings below, we are currently appealing a judgment rendered by the Fourth Civil Court of Curitiba, Brazil, in a lawsuit that has been pending since 2001. While we are appealing this judgment, which renders it unenforceable at this time, and the Brazilian Court of Appeals has the authority to render a new judgment in the case without any regard to the lower court s findings, the ultimate outcome of the case is uncertain and the resolution of this litigation may result in us incurring substantial costs that are not covered by insurance.

Risks Related to an Investment in Our Common Stock

Our common stock has experienced, and may continue to experience, price volatility and a low trading volume.

The trading price and volume of our common stock has been and may continue to be subject to large fluctuations. The market price and volume of our common stock may increase or decrease in response to a number of events and factors, including:

trends in our industry and the markets in which we operate; changes in the market price of the products we sell;

the introduction of new technologies or products by us or by our competitors;

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;

operating results that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, financings or capital commitments;

We are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations, and our costs related to compliance with, 35 our fail

changes in laws and regulations;

general economic and competitive conditions; and

changes in key management personnel.

This volatility may adversely affect the prices of our common stock regardless of our operating performance. To the extent that the price of our common stock declines, our ability to raise funds through the issuance of equity or otherwise use our common stock as consideration will be reduced. These factors may limit our ability to implement our operating and growth plans.

An ownership change could result in a limitation on the use of our net operating losses.

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$166 million of remaining U.S. federal income tax net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), which will begin to expire in 2022, if unused, and which may be subject to other limitations under Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) rules. We have various, multistate income tax net operating loss carryforwards, which have been recorded as a deferred income tax asset, of approximately \$16 million, before valuation allowances. We also have various U.S. federal income tax credit carryforwards, which will expire beginning in 2013, if unused. Our NOLs, including any future NOLs that may arise, are subject to limitations on use under the IRS rules, including Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as revised. Section 382 limits the ability of a company to utilize NOLs in the event of an ownership change. We would undergo an ownership change if, among other things, the stockholders, or group of stockholders, who own or have owned, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of the value of our stock or are otherwise treated as 5% stockholders under Section 382 and the regulations promulgated thereunder increase their aggregate percentage ownership of our stock by more than 50 percentage points over the lowest percentage of our stock owned by these stockholders at any time during the testing period, which is generally the three-year period preceding the potential ownership change.

In the event of an ownership change, Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the amount of post-ownership change taxable income a corporation may offset with pre-ownership change NOLs and certain recognized built-in losses. The limitation imposed by Section 382 for any post-change year would be determined by multiplying the value of our stock immediately before the ownership change (subject to certain adjustments) by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate in effect at the time of the ownership change. Any unused annual limitation may be carried over to later years, and the limitation may under certain circumstances be increased by built-in gains that may be present in assets held by us at the time of the ownership change that are recognized in the five-year period after the ownership change. It is expected that any loss of our NOLs would cause our effective tax rate to go up significantly when we return to profitability, excluding impacts of valuation allowance.

On May 28, 2010 a change of ownership did occur resulting from the issuance of 11,750,000 shares of common stock, which invoked a limitation on the utilization of pre-ownership change U.S. Federal NOLs under Section 382.
Pre-ownership change U.S. Federal NOLs at December 31, 2011 are \$154 million. Management has estimated the annual U.S. Federal NOL limitations under IRC Section 382 through 2014 are \$95 million for 2012, \$40 million for 2013 and \$19 million for 2014. To the extent the limitation in any year is not reached, any remaining limitation can be carried forward indefinitely to future years. Post-ownership change U.S. Federal NOLs at December 31, 2011 are \$12 million, which is currently not subject to utilization limits.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

Manufacturing Facilities

We own or lease, and operate manufacturing facilities in Lafayette, Indiana and Cadiz, Kentucky, as well as a trailer floor manufacturing facility in Harrison, Arkansas. Our main Lafayette facility is a 1.2 million square foot facility that houses truck trailer and composite material production, tool and die operations, research laboratories and offices. The

An ownership change could result in a limitation on the use of our net operating losses.

second Lafayette facility is 0.8 million square feet and used for the production of refrigerated trailers and frac tanks. In total, our facilities have the capacity to produce approximately 80,000 trailers annually on a three shift, five-day workweek schedule, depending on the mix of products.

Retail Facilities

Retail facilities include 12 branch locations throughout North America. Each sales and service branch consists of an office, parts warehouse and service space, and ranges in size from 20,000 to 50,000 square feet per facility. The 12 facilities are located in nine states with two of the facilities being leased.

Properties owned by Wabash are subject to security interests held by our lenders.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against the Company arising in the ordinary course of business, including those pertaining to product liability, labor and health related matters, successor liability, environmental matters and possible tax assessments. While the amounts claimed could be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot now be determined because of the considerable uncertainties that exist. Therefore, it is possible that results of operations or liquidity in a particular period could be materially affected by certain contingencies. However, based on facts currently available, management believes that the disposition of matters that are currently pending or asserted other than the matters below, which are addressed individually, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, liquidity or results of operations. Costs associated with the litigation and settlements of legal matters are reported within *General and Administrative Expenses* in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Brazil Joint Venture

In March 2001, Bernard Krone Indústria e Comércio de Máquinas Agrícolas Ltda. (BK) filed suit against the Company in the Fourth Civil Court of Curitiba in the State of Paraná, Brazil. Because of the bankruptcy of BK, this proceeding is now pending before the Second Civil Court of Bankruptcies and Creditors Reorganization of Curitiba, State of Paraná (No. 232/99).

The case grows out of a joint venture agreement between BK and the Company related to marketing of RoadRailer trailers in Brazil and other areas of South America. When BK was placed into the Brazilian equivalent of bankruptcy late in 2000, the joint venture was dissolved. BK subsequently filed its lawsuit against the Company alleging that it was forced to terminate business with other companies because of the exclusivity and non-compete clauses purportedly found in the joint venture agreement. BK asserted damages, exclusive of any potentially court-imposed interest or inflation adjustments, of approximately R\$20.8 million (Brazilian Reais). BK did not change the amount of damages it asserted following its filing in the case in 2001.

A bench (non-jury) trial was held on March 30, 2010 in Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil. On November 22, 2011, the Fourth Civil Court of Curitiba partially granted BK s claims, and ordered us to pay BK lost profits, compensatory, economic and moral damages in excess of the amount of compensatory damages asserted by BK. The total ordered damage amount is approximately R\$26.7 million (Brazilian Reais), which is approximately \$15.3 million U.S. dollars using current exchange rates and exclusive of any potentially court-imposed interest, fees or inflation adjustments (which are currently estimated at a maximum of approximately \$63 million, at current exchange rates, but may change with the passage of time and/or the discretion of the court at the time of final judgment in this matter). Due, in part, to the amount and types of damages awarded by the Fourth Civil Court of Curitiba, we immediately filed for clarification of the judgment, which renders the judgment unenforceable at this time. Upon receipt of a clarified judgment from the Fourth Civil Court of Curitiba, we also plan to appeal the judgment to the State of Paraná Court of Appeals. The Court

of Appeals has the authority to re-hear all facts presented to the lower court, as well as to reconsider the legal questions presented in the case, and to render a new judgment in the case without regard to the lower court s findings. Pending outcome of this appeal process, the judgment is not enforceable by the plaintiff. Any ruling from the Court of Appeals is not expected prior to the third quarter of 2012, and, accordingly, the judgment rendered by the lower court cannot be enforced prior to that time, and may be overturned or reduced as a result of this process. We believe that the

claims asserted by BK are without merit and we intend to continue to vigorously defend our position. We have not recorded a charge with respect to this loss contingency as of December 31, 2011. Furthermore, at this time, we do not have sufficient information to predict the ultimate outcome of the case and are unable to estimate the amount of any reasonable possible loss or range of loss that we may be required to pay at the conclusion of the case. We will reassess

the need for the recognition of a loss contingency upon the receipt of a clarified judgment and assignment of the case in the Court of Appeals, upon a decision to settle this case with the plaintiffs or an internal decision as to an amount that we would be willing to settle or upon the outcome of the appeals process.

Intellectual Property

In October 2006, we filed a patent infringement suit against Vanguard National Corporation (Vanguard) regarding our U.S. Patent Nos. 6,986,546 and 6,220,651 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Indiana (Civil Action No. 4:06-cv-135). We amended the Complaint in April 2007. In May 2007, Vanguard filed its Answer to the Amended Complaint, along with Counterclaims seeking findings of non-infringement, invalidity, and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

unenforceability of the subject patents. We filed a reply to Vanguard s counterclaims in May 2007, denying any wrongdoing or merit to the allegations as set forth in the counterclaims. The case has currently been stayed by agreement of the parties while the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Patent Office) undertakes a reexamination of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,986,546. In June 2010, the Patent Office notified the Company that the reexamination is complete and the Patent Office has reissued U.S. Patent No. 6,986,546 without cancelling any claims of the patent. The parties have not yet petitioned the Court to lift the stay, and it is unknown at this time when the parties petition to lift the stay may be filed or granted.

We believe that our claims against Vanguard have merit and that the claims asserted by Vanguard are without merit. We intend to vigorously defend its position and intellectual property. We believe that the resolution of this lawsuit will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity or future results of operations. However, at this stage of the proceeding, no assurance can be given as to the ultimate outcome of the case.

Environmental Disputes

In September 2003, we were noticed as a potentially responsible party (PRP) by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pertaining to the Motorola 52nd Street, Phoenix, Arizona Superfund Site (the Superfund Site) pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). PRPs include current and former owners and operators of facilities at which hazardous substances were allegedly disposed. The EPA s allegation that we were a PRP arises out of our acquisition of a former branch facility located approximately five miles from the original Superfund Site. We acquired this facility in 1997, operated the facility until 2000, and sold the facility to a third party in 2002. In June 2010, we were contacted by the Roosevelt Irrigation District (RID) informing it that the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) had approved a remediation plan in excess of \$100 million for the RID portion of the Superfund Site, and demanded that we contribute to the cost of the plan or be named as a defendant in a CERCLA action to be filed in July 2010. We initiated settlement discussions with the RID and the ADEQ in July 2010 to provide a full release from the RID, and a covenant not-to-sue and contribution protection regarding the former branch property from the ADEQ, in exchange for payment from us. If the settlement is approved by all parties, it will prevent any third party from successfully bringing claims against us for environmental contamination relating to this former branch property. We have been awaiting approval from the ADEO since the settlement was first proposed in July 2010. Based on communications with the RID and ADEQ in December 2011, we do not expect to receive a response regarding the approval of the settlement from the ADEQ for, at least, several additional months. Based upon our limited period of ownership of the former branch property, and the fact that we no longer own the former branch property, we do not anticipate that the ADEQ will reject the proposed settlement, but no assurance can be given at this time as to the ADEO s response to the settlement proposal. The proposed settlement terms were accrued in 2010 and did not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, and we believe that any ongoing proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2006, we received a letter from the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources indicating that a site that we formerly owned near Charlotte, North Carolina has been included on the state's October 2005 Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites Priority List. The letter states that we were being notified in fulfillment of the state's statutory duty to notify those who own and those who at present are known to be responsible for each Site on the Priority List. No action is being requested from us at this time, and we have received no further notices or communications regarding this matter from the state of North Carolina. We do not expect that this designation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 4 MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON STOCK, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES Information Regarding our Common Stock

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: WNC). The number of record holders of our common stock at February 22, 2012 was 877.

We declared quarterly dividends of \$0.045 per share on our common stock from the first quarter of 2005 through the third quarter of 2008. In December 2008, we suspended the payment of our quarterly dividend due to the continued weak economic environment and the uncertainty as to the timing of a recovery as well as our effort to enhance liquidity. No dividends on our common stock were declared or paid in 2011. The reinstatement of quarterly cash dividends on our common stock will depend on our future earnings, capital availability, financial condition and the discretion of our Board of Directors.

On May 13, 2010, our stockholders approved an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from 75 million shares to 200 million shares and correspondingly, to increase the total number of authorized shares of all classes of capital stock from 100 million shares to 225 million shares, which includes 25 million shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

High and low stock prices as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for the last two years were:

	High	Low
<u>2010</u>		
First Quarter	\$7.84	\$1.82
Second Quarter	\$10.85	\$5.86
Third Quarter	\$8.94	\$5.96
Fourth Quarter	\$13.00	\$7.51
<u>2011</u>		
First Quarter	\$13.17	\$9.85
Second Quarter	\$11.99	\$8.45
Third Quarter	\$9.78	\$4.48
Fourth Quarter	\$8.12	\$4.22

Performance Graph

The following graph shows a comparison of cumulative total returns for an investment in our common stock, the S&P 500 Composite Index and the Dow Jones Transportation Index. It covers the period commencing December 31, 2006 and ending December 31, 2011. The graph assumes that the value for the investment in our common stock and in each index was \$100 on December 31, 2006 and that all dividends were reinvested.

Comparative of Cumulative Total Return December 31, 2006 through December 31, 2011 among Wabash National Corporation, the S&P 500 Index and the Dow Jones Transportation Index

Purchases of Our Equity Securities

For the quarter ending December 31, 2011, we repurchased a total of 4,257 shares to cover minimum employee tax withholding obligations upon the vesting of restricted stock awards. During this period, there were no repurchases made pursuant to any repurchase programs.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 2011		\$		
November 2011		\$		
December 2011	4,257	\$ 6.61		
Total	4,257	\$ 6.61		
23				

ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data with respect to Wabash National for each of the five years in the period ending December 31, 2011, have been derived from our consolidated financial statements. The following information should be read in conjunction with *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010	2009	2008	2007
	(Dollars in	th	ousands, exce	pt per share d	lata)	
Statement of Operations Data:						
Net sales	\$1,187,244	4	\$640,372	\$337,840	\$836,213	\$1,102,544
Cost of sales	1,120,524	4	612,289	360,750	815,289	1,010,823
Gross profit	\$66,720		\$28,083	\$(22,910)	\$20,924	\$91,721
Selling, general and administrative expenses	46,930		43,500	43,164	58,384	65,255
Impairment of goodwill					66,317	
Income (Loss) from operations	\$19,790		\$(15,417)	\$(66,074)	\$(103,777)	\$26,466
Interest expense	(4,136)	(4,140)	(4,379)	(4,657)	(5,755)
Increase in fair value of warrant			(121,587)	(33,447)		
Other, net	(441)	(667)	(866)	(328)	3,977
Income (Loss) before income taxes	\$15,213		\$(141,811)	\$(104,766)	\$(108,762)	\$24,688
Income tax expense (benefit)	171		(51)	(3,001)	17,064	8,403
Net income (loss)	\$15,042		\$(141,760)	\$(101,765)	\$(125,826)	\$16,285
Preferred stock dividends and early extinguishment			25,454	3,320		
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$15,042		\$(167,214)	\$(105,085)	\$(125,826)	\$16,285
Basic net						