PNM RESOURCES INC

Form 10-Q October 31, 2014 Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

Commission File Name of Registrants, State of Incorporation, I.R.S. Employer
Number Address and Telephone Number Identification No.
001-32462 PNM Resources, Inc. 85-0468296

(A New Mexico Corporation)

414 Silver Ave. SW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3289

(505) 241-2700

001-06986 Public Service Company of New Mexico 85-0019030

(A New Mexico Corporation)

414 Silver Ave. SW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3289

(505) 241-2700

002-97230 Texas-New Mexico Power Company 75-0204070

(A Texas Corporation) 577 N. Garden Ridge Blvd. Lewisville, Texas 75067

(972) 420-4189

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

PNM Resources, Inc. ("PNMR")

Public Service Company of New Mexico ("PNM")

Texas-New Mexico Power Company ("TNMP")

YES ü NO

YES v NO ü

(NOTE: As a voluntary filer, not subject to the filing requirements, TNMP filed all reports under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months.)

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

PNMR	YES ü NO
PNM	YES ü NO
TNMP	YES ü NO

#### **Table of Contents**

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

	Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller Reporting Company
PNMR	ü			
PNM			ü	
TNMP			ü	

Indicate by check mark whether any of the registrants is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO ü

As of October 24, 2014, 79,653,624 shares of common stock, no par value per share, of PNMR were outstanding.

The total number of shares of common stock of PNM outstanding as of October 24, 2014 was 39,117,799 all held by PNMR (and none held by non-affiliates).

The total number of shares of common stock of TNMP outstanding as of October 24, 2014 was 6,358 all held indirectly by PNMR (and none held by non-affiliates).

PNM AND TNMP MEET THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (H) (1) (a) AND (b) OF FORM 10-Q AND ARE THEREFORE FILING THIS FORM WITH THE REDUCED DISCLOSURE FORMAT PURSUANT TO GENERAL INSTRUCTION (H) (2).

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating to the other registrants. When this Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference into any filing with the SEC made by PNMR, PNM, or TNMP, as a registrant, the portions of this Form 10-Q that relate to each other registrant are not incorporated by reference therein.

### Table of Contents

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

### **INDEX**

	Page No.
<u>GLOSSARY</u>	<u>4</u>
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)	
PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	7
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	7 8 9
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>11</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	<u>13</u>
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	<u>14</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>15</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>16</u> <u>18</u>
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>18</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	<u>20</u>
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	<u>21</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>22</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>23</u>
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>24</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	<u>26</u> <u>27</u>
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	<u>27</u>
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND	<u>72</u>
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>12</u>
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>99</u>
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>102</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>102</u>
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	<u>103</u>
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	<u>103</u>
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>105</u>

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **GLOSSARY**

**Definitions:** 

Afton Afton Generating Station

AFUDC Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

ALJ Administrative Law Judge AMS Advanced Meter System

AOCI Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

APS Arizona Public Service Company, which is the operator and a co-owner of PVNGS and Four

Corners

BACT Best Available Control Technology
BART Best Available Retrofit Technology
BHP BHP Billiton, Ltd, the parent of SJCC

Board of Directors of PNMR

BTU British Thermal Unit CAA Clean Air Act

CCB Coal Combustion Byproducts

CCN Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon Dioxide

CTC Competition Transition Charge

D.C. Circuit United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

Delta Delta-Person Generating Station, now known as Rio Bravo

DOE United States Department of Energy
DOI United States Department of Interior

EGU Electric Generating Unit

EIB New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board

EIP Eastern Interconnection Project
EIS Environmental Impact Statement

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

ERCOT Electric Reliability Council of Texas

ESA Endangered Species Act

Exchange Act Securities Exchange Act of 1934
FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FIP Federal Implementation Plan Four Corners Power Plant

FPPAC Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment Clause

GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America

Gallup City of Gallup, New Mexico GHG Greenhouse Gas Emissions

GWh Gigawatt hours

IBEW International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

IRP Integrated Resource Plan IRS Internal Revenue Service

KW Kilowatt KWh Kilowatt Hour

Lightning Dock
Geothermal

Lightning Dock geothermal power facility, also known as the Dale Burgett Geothermal Plant

Lordsburg Generating Station

Luna Energy Facility

#### **Table of Contents**

MD&A Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

**MMBTU** Million BTUs

Moody's Investor Services, Inc. Moody's

MW Megawatt Megawatt Hour MWh

National Ambient Air Quality Standards **NAAQS** 

Navajo Nation Air Pollution Prevention and Control Act, Navajo Nation Safe Drinking Water Navajo Acts

Act, and Navajo Nation Pesticide Act

**Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts for PVNGS NDT** 

**NEC** Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. North American Electric Reliability Council **NERC** 

New Mexico Wind Energy Center New Mexico Wind

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Ninth Circuit

**NMAG** New Mexico Attorney General

New Mexico Environment Department **NMED** 

**NMIEC** New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers Inc. New Mexico Public Regulation Commission **NMPRC** 

Nitrogen Oxides NOx

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking **NOPR** 

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission **NRC** 

New Source Performance Standards **NSPS** 

New Source Review **NSR** 

**OCI** Other Comprehensive Income Other Post Employment Benefits **OPEB** 

**OSM** United States Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

**PNM** Public Service Company of New Mexico and Subsidiaries

PNM 2014 Term Loan

Agreement

PNM's \$175.0 Million Unsecured Term Loan Facility

PNM New Mexico

PNM's \$50.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility Credit Facility

PNM Revolving

Credit Facility

PNM's \$400.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

PNM Term Loan

PNM's \$75.0 Million Unsecured Term Loan Facility Agreement

**PNMR** PNM Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries

PNMR Development and Management Corporation PNMR Development

PNMR Revolving

PNMR's \$300.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility Credit Facility

PNMR Term Loan

PNMR's \$100.0 Million Unsecured Term Loan Facility Agreement

**PPA** Power Purchase Agreement

Prevention of Significant Deterioration **PSD** Public Utility Commission of Texas **PUCT** 

PV Photovoltaic

Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station **PVNGS RCRA** Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

Reasonable Cost Threshold **RCT** 

**REA** New Mexico's Renewable Energy Act of 2004

Renewable Energy Certificates **REC** 

Red Mesa Wind Energy Center
REP Retail Electricity Provider

Rio Bravo Generating Station, formerly known as Delta

#### **Table of Contents**

RMC Risk Management Committee

RPS Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard
RSIP Revised State Implementation Plan
SCR Selective Catalytic Reduction

SEC United States Securities and Exchange Commission

SIP State Implementation Plan SJCC San Juan Coal Company SJGS San Juan Generating Station

SJPPA San Juan Project Participation Agreement

SNCR Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction

SO<sub>2</sub> Sulfur Dioxide

SPS Southwestern Public Service Company S&P Standard and Poor's Ratings Services

TECA Texas Electric Choice Act

Tenth Circuit United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit TNMP Texas-New Mexico Power Company and Subsidiaries

TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement TNMP's \$50.0 Million Secured Term Loan

TNMP Revolving

Credit Facility

TNMP's \$75.0 Million Revolving Credit Facility

TNP TNP Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Tucson Tucson Electric Power Company

VaR Value at Risk

WACC Weighted Average Cost of Capital

WEG WildEarth Guardians

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited)

	Three Mont September	30,	Nine Months September 30	0,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousand	ds, except per	share amounts	s)
Electric Operating Revenues	\$413,951	\$399,730	\$1,089,008	\$1,064,993
Operating Expenses:				
Cost of energy	132,499	114,674	354,532	325,039
Administrative and general	42,190	46,915	131,283	134,744
Energy production costs	43,287	41,142	136,422	131,546
Regulatory disallowances		1,735		1,735
Depreciation and amortization	44,295	42,743	128,424	125,189
Transmission and distribution costs	16,884	17,248	49,857	50,690
Taxes other than income taxes	17,997	17,534	51,641	49,739
Total operating expenses	297,152	281,991	852,159	818,682
Operating income	116,799	117,739	236,849	246,311
Other Income and Deductions:				
Interest income	2,084	2,264	6,241	7,731
Gains on available-for-sale securities	962	2,188	8,234	6,935
Other income	2,895	3,254	7,648	7,577
Other (deductions)	(2,084)	(5,970)	(7,185)	(13,516)
Net other income and deductions	3,857	1,736	14,938	8,727
Interest Charges	30,115	30,365	89,621	92,279
Earnings before Income Taxes	90,541	89,110	162,166	162,759
Income Taxes	31,055	30,296	53,368	58,600
Net Earnings	59,486	58,814	108,798	104,159
(Earnings) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest	(3,701)	(4,127)	(11,140)	(10,904)
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements of Subsidiary	(132	(132)	(396)	(396)
Net Earnings Attributable to PNMR	\$55,653	\$54,555	\$97,262	\$92,859
Net Earnings Attributable to PNMR per Common Share:				
Basic	\$0.70	\$0.68	\$1.22	\$1.16
Diluted	\$0.69	\$0.68	\$1.21	\$1.15
Dividends Declared per Common Share	\$0.185	\$0.165	\$0.555	\$0.495

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

### Table of Contents

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Mor Septembe				
	2014 2013				2014		2013	
	(In thousa	ınd	ls)					
Net Earnings	\$59,486		\$58,814		\$108,798		\$104,159	
Other Comprehensive Income:								
Unrealized Gain on Available-for-Sale Securities:								
Unrealized holding gains arising during the period, net of income tax (expense) of \$(137), \$(4,143), \$(3,946) and \$(7,544)	210		6,322		6,256		11,512	
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) included in net earnings, net of income tax expense of \$1,059, \$925, \$4,547 and \$3,639	(1,628	)	(1,411	)	(6,997	)	(5,551	)
Pension Liability Adjustment:								
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of experience (gain)								
loss recognized as net periodic benefit cost, net of income tax	780		960		2,340		2,880	
expense (benefit) of \$(508), \$(631), \$(1,524) and \$(1,893)								
Fair Value Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges:								
Change in fair market value, net of income tax (expense) benefit			(220	`	(100	`	(226	\
of \$0, \$128, \$53 and \$127			(238	)	(100	)	(236	)
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net								
earnings, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$3, \$(19), \$(58)	(6	)	35		109		99	
and \$(54)								
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	(644	)	5,668		1,608		8,704	
Comprehensive Income	58,842		64,482		110,406		112,863	
Comprehensive (Income) Attributable to Valencia	(3,701	`	(4,127	)	(11,140	`	(10,904	`
Non-controlling Interest	(3,701	,	(4,127	,	(11,140	,	(10,704	,
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements of Subsidiary	(132	)	(132	)	(396	)	(396	)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to PNMR	\$55,009		\$60,223		\$98,870		\$101,563	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2014 2013				
	(In thousands	s)			
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:					
Net earnings	\$108,798	\$104,159			
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating acti	vities:				
Depreciation and amortization	157,687	157,856			
Deferred income tax expense	55,553	57,878			
Net unrealized (gains) on commodity derivatives	(67	) (5,858	)		
Realized (gains) on available-for-sale securities	(8,234	) (6,935	)		
Stock based compensation expense	4,680	4,315			
Regulatory disallowances	_	1,735			
Other, net	(642	) 1,384			
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues	(22,158	) (23,731	)		
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock	5,494	(724	)		
Other current assets	(19,816	) (6,667	)		
Other assets	30,502	21,656			
Accounts payable	79	(17,786	)		
Accrued interest and taxes	32,488	126,218			
Other current liabilities	(21,197	) (32,111	)		
Other liabilities	3,074	(70,379	)		
Net cash flows from operating activities	326,241	311,010			
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:					
Additions to utility and non-utility plant	(293,361	) (233,928	)		
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	82,222	179,336			
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(81,644	) (181,423	)		
Return of principal on PVNGS lessor notes	20,758	23,357			
Purchase of Rio Bravo	(36,235	) —			
Other, net	(3,433	) 1,232			
Net cash flows from investing activities	(311,693	) (211,426	)		

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

a

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2014				
	(In thousands	s)			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:					
Short-term borrowings (repayments), net	(49,200	) (46,700	)		
Long-term borrowings	255,000	75,000			
Repayment of long-term debt	(125,000	) (26,037	)		
Cash paid in debt exchange	_	(13,048	)		
Proceeds from stock option exercise	5,495	3,500			
Awards of common stock	(15,573	) (12,429	)		
Dividends paid	(44,600	) (38,233	)		
Valencia's transactions with its owner	(12,749	) (13,477	)		
Other, net	(2,030	) (3,706	)		
Net cash flows from financing activities	11,343	(75,130	)		
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	25,891	24,454			
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	2,533	8,985			
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$28,424	\$33,439			
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:					
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$60,075	\$63,985			
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	\$(2,529	) \$(95,472	)		
meome wives para (returned), net	Ψ (2,32)	) ψ(>3,172	,		
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:					
Changes in accrued plant additions	\$(6,674	) \$2,535			
Premium on long-term debt incurred in connection with debt exchange	<b>\$</b> —	\$36,297			

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	September 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
ACCEPTO	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:	Φ20.424	Φ2.522
Cash and cash equivalents	\$28,424	\$2,533
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$1,535 and \$1,423		90,251
Unbilled revenues	63,253	58,806
Other receivables	39,061	53,909
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock	63,637	67,223
Regulatory assets	41,606	24,416
Commodity derivative instruments	4,148	4,064
Income taxes receivable	6,723	7,066
Current portion of accumulated deferred income taxes	58,681	58,681
Other current assets	55,277	34,590
Total current assets	466,326	401,539
Other Property and Investments:		
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	9,775	32,200
Available-for-sale securities	235,894	226,855
Other investments	1,667	1,835
Non-utility property	4,060	4,353
Total other property and investments	251,396	265,243
Utility Plant:	•	•
Plant in service and plant held for future use	5,754,564	5,563,061
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,913,361	1,838,832
	3,841,203	3,724,229
Construction work in progress	211,472	132,080
Nuclear fuel, net of accumulated amortization of \$52,119 and \$47,347	81,840	77,602
Net utility plant	4,134,515	3,933,911
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:	1,15 1,5 15	2,722,711
Regulatory assets	479,138	523,955
Goodwill	278,297	278,297
Commodity derivative instruments	1,084	3,002
Other deferred charges	98,453	94,263
Total deferred charges and other assets	856,972	899,517
Total deferred charges and other assets	\$5,709,209	\$5,500,210
	$\psi J, I U J, 2 U J$	ψ5,500,410

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(Onaddica)	September 30, 2014 (In thousands, einformation)	2013	ί,
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Short-term debt	\$100,000	\$149,200	
Current installments of long-term debt	333,066	75,000	
Accounts payable	102,656	109,666	
Customer deposits	12,483	13,456	
Accrued interest and taxes	82,052	49,600	
Regulatory liabilities	1,126	1,081	
Commodity derivative instruments	1,370	2,699	
Dividends declared	14,868	14,864	
Other current liabilities	52,671	77,105	
Total current liabilities	700,292	492,671	
Long-term Debt	1,542,106	1,670,420	
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes	884,402	801,408	
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	24,232	25,855	
Regulatory liabilities	472,054	460,649	
Asset retirement obligations	102,115	96,135	
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost	69,363	80,046	
Commodity derivative instruments	688	1,094	
Other deferred credits	104,270	109,805	
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	1,657,124	1,574,992	
Total liabilities	3,899,522	3,738,083	
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)			
Cumulative Preferred Stock of Subsidiary			
without mandatory redemption requirements (\$100 stated value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 115,293 shares)	11,529	11,529	
Equity:			
PNMR common stockholders' equity:			
Common stock outstanding (no par value; 120,000,000 shares authorized; issued and			
outstanding 79,653,624 shares)	1,172,876	1,178,369	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(56,532)	(58,140	)
Retained earnings	606,394	553,340	
Total PNMR common stockholders' equity	1,722,738	1,673,569	
Non-controlling interest in Valencia	75,420	77,029	
Total equity	1,798,158	1,750,598	
	\$5,709,209	\$5,500,210	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

### Table of Contents

## PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Unaudited)

	Attributable to PNMR						Non-		
	Common Stock	AOCI	Retained Earnings		Total PNMR Common Stockholder' Equity		controlling Interest in Valencia	Total Equity	
	(In thousands)								
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$1,178,369	\$(58,140)	\$553,340		\$1,673,569		\$77,029	\$1,750,598	
Proceeds from stock option exercise	5,495	_	_		5,495		_	5,495	
Awards of common stock	(15,573)	_	_		(15,573	)	_	(15,573	)
Excess tax (shortfall) from stock-based payment arrangements	(95)	_	_		(95	)	_	(95	)
Stock based compensation expense	4,680	_	_		4,680			4,680	
Valencia's transactions with its owner	er—						(12,749)	(12,749	)
Net earnings before subsidiary preferred stock dividends	_	_	97,658		97,658		11,140	108,798	
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends	_		(396	)	(396	)	_	(396	)
Total other comprehensive income	_	1,608			1,608		_	1,608	
Dividends declared on common stock	<b>~</b> —	_	(44,208	)	(44,208	)	_	(44,208	)
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$1,172,876	\$(56,532)	\$606,394		\$1,722,738		\$75,420	\$1,798,158	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNMR, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited)

	Three Mont	ths Ended	Nine Months Ended		
	September	30,	September 3	30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(In thousand	ds)			
Electric Operating Revenues	\$334,993	\$326,026	\$873,434	\$863,609	
Operating Expenses:					
Cost of energy	115,097	100,200	304,365	283,715	
Administrative and general	37,519	40,679	116,731	116,058	
Energy production costs	43,287	41,142	136,422	131,546	
Regulatory disallowances	_	1,735	_	1,735	
Depreciation and amortization	27,524	25,879	81,629	77,763	
Transmission and distribution costs	10,693	11,686	32,202	33,420	
Taxes other than income taxes	10,258	9,488	30,359	28,613	
Total operating expenses	244,378	230,809	701,708	672,850	
Operating income	90,615	95,217	171,726	190,759	
Other Income and Deductions:					
Interest income	2,102	2,298	6,295	7,839	
Gains on available-for-sale securities	962	2,188	8,234	6,935	
Other income	1,804	2,398	5,359	5,329	
Other (deductions)	(1,197)	(2,375)	(4,844 )	(5,287)	
Net other income and deductions	3,671	4,509	15,044	14,816	
Interest Charges	20,092	20,124	59,927	59,971	
Earnings before Income Taxes	74,194	79,602	126,843	145,604	
Income Taxes	25,142	27,652	42,331	49,184	
Net Earnings	49,052	51,950	84,512	96,420	
(Earnings) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest	(3,701)	(4,127)	(11,140)	(10,904)	
Net Earnings Attributable to PNM	45,351	47,823	73,372	85,516	
Preferred Stock Dividends Requirements	(132	(132)	(396)	(396)	
Net Earnings Available for PNM Common Stock	\$45,219	\$47,691	\$72,976	\$85,120	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

(Chadaced)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
	September 30,			September 30,				
	2014 2013				2014		2013	
	(In thousa	ınd	ls)					
Net Earnings	\$49,052		\$51,950		\$84,512		\$96,420	
Other Comprehensive Income:								
Unrealized Gain on Available-for-Sale Securities:								
Unrealized holding gains arising during the period, net of income tax (expense) of \$(137), \$(4,143), \$(3,946) and \$(7,544)	210		6,322		6,256		11,512	
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) included in net earnings, net of income tax expense of \$1,059, \$925, \$4,547 and \$3,639	(1,628	)	(1,411	)	(6,997	)	(5,551	)
Pension Liability Adjustment:								
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of experience (gain)								
loss recognized as net periodic benefit cost, net of income tax	780		960		2,340		2,880	
expense (benefit) of \$(508), \$(631), \$(1,524) and \$(1,893)								
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	(638	)	5,871		1,599		8,841	
Comprehensive Income	48,414		57,821		86,111		105,261	
Comprehensive (Income) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest	(3,701	)	(4,127	)	(11,140	)	(10,904	)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to PNM	\$44,713		\$53,694		\$74,971		\$94,357	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	
	(In thousands)		
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net earnings	\$84,512	\$96,420	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities	:		
Depreciation and amortization	108,069	104,161	
Deferred income tax expense	45,313	49,870	
Net unrealized (gains) on commodity derivatives	(67	) (5,858	)
Realized (gains) on available-for-sale securities	(8,234	) (6,935	)
Regulatory disallowances	_	1,735	
Other, net	(355	) (1,342	)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues	(16,782	) (14,123	)
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock	5,697	(744	)
Other current assets	(20,806	) (5,187	)
Other assets	29,796	21,977	
Accounts payable	10,100	(4,953	)
Accrued interest and taxes	19,984	66,090	
Other current liabilities	(21,586	) (43,935	)
Other liabilities	2,841	(67,062	)
Net cash flows from operating activities	238,482	190,114	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Utility plant additions	(199,771	) (164,669	)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	82,222	179,336	,
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(81,644	) (181,423	)
Return of principal on PVNGS lessor notes	20,758	23,357	,
Purchase of Rio Bravo	(36,235	)	
Other, net	(3,404	) 1,234	
Net cash flows from investing activities	(218,074	) (142,165	)
net easil nows from investing activities	(210,074	) (142,103	)

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014	2013		
	(In thousands)			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Short-term borrowings (repayments), net	(49,200	) (21,100	)	
Short-term borrowings (repayments), affiliate, net	(26,000	) —		
Long-term borrowings	175,000	75,000		
Repayment of long-term debt	(75,000	) —		
Valencia's transactions with its owner	(12,749	) (13,477	)	
Dividends paid	(30,659	) (68,424	)	
Other, net	(1,196	) (1,727	)	
Net cash flows from financing activities	(19,804	) (29,728	)	
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	604	18,221		
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	21	3,958		
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$625	\$22,179		
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:				
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$41,606	\$42,145		
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	\$(215	) \$(44,999	)	
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:				
Changes in accrued plant additions	\$(10,586	) \$8,912		

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

ASSETS	September 30, 2014 (In thousands)	December 31, 2013
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$625	\$21
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$1,535 and \$1,423		70,126
Unbilled revenues	54,643	48,992
Other receivables	38,799	52,964
Affiliate receivables	9,572	10,054
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock	60,731	64,520
Regulatory assets	38,328	19,394
Commodity derivative instruments	4,148	4,064
Income taxes receivable	6,797	4,030
Current portion of accumulated deferred income taxes	43,826	43,827
Other current assets	49,533	30,510
Total current assets	385,813	348,502
Other Property and Investments:	•	,
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	9,775	32,200
Available-for-sale securities	235,894	226,855
Other investments	300	445
Non-utility property	752	976
Total other property and investments	246,721	260,476
Utility Plant:		
Plant in service and plant held for future use	4,460,035	4,314,016
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,469,375	1,402,531
	2,990,660	2,911,485
Construction work in progress	167,180	107,344
Nuclear fuel, net of accumulated amortization of \$52,119 and \$47,347	81,840	77,602
Net utility plant	3,239,680	3,096,431
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets	347,642	384,217
Goodwill	51,632	51,632
Commodity derivative instruments	1,084	3,002
Other deferred charges	85,902	83,356
Total deferred charges and other assets	486,260	522,207
	\$4,358,474	\$4,227,616

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2014	December 31 2013	,
	(In thousands, e	xcept share	
	information)		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Short-term debt	<b>\$</b> —	\$49,200	
Short-term debt - affiliate	6,500	32,500	
Current installments of long-term debt	214,300	75,000	
Accounts payable	83,741	84,643	
Affiliate payables	14,692	20,498	
Customer deposits	12,483	13,456	
Accrued interest and taxes	51,188	27,665	
Regulatory liabilities	1,126	1,081	
Commodity derivative instruments	1,370	2,699	
Dividends declared	132	132	
Other current liabilities	35,576	50,392	
Total current liabilities	421,108	357,266	
Long-term Debt	1,176,347	1,215,618	
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes	714,983	651,239	
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	24,232	25,855	
Regulatory liabilities	425,062	414,611	
Asset retirement obligations	101,147	95,225	
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost	66,183	76,611	
Commodity derivative instruments	688	1,094	
Other deferred credits	87,264	91,340	
Total deferred credits and liabilities	1,419,559	1,355,975	
Total liabilities	3,017,014	2,928,859	
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)		, ,	
Cumulative Preferred Stock			
without mandatory redemption requirements (\$100 stated value; 10,000,000	11.500	11.500	
authorized; issued and outstanding 115,293 shares)	11,529	11,529	
Equity:			
PNM common stockholder's equity:			
Common stock outstanding (no par value; 40,000,000 shares authorized; issued a	nd <sub>1,061,776</sub>	1 061 776	
outstanding 39,117,799 shares)	1,001,770	1,061,776	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(56,278	) (57,877	)
Retained earnings	249,013	206,300	
Total PNM common stockholder's equity	1,254,511	1,210,199	
Non-controlling interest in Valencia	75,420	77,029	
Total equity	1,329,931	1,287,228	
	\$4,358,474	\$4,227,616	
	1 1	. , . , , = = 3	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Unaudited)

Balance at September 30, 2014 \$1,061,776 \$(56,278) \$249,013

(Chaadhea)						
	Attributable	to PNM				
				Total PNM	Non-	
				Common	controlling	
	Common	AOCI	Retained	Stockholder's	Interest in	Total
	Stock	Moci	Earnings	Equity	Valencia	Equity
	(In thousands	s)				
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$1,061,776	\$(57,877)	\$206,300	\$1,210,199	\$77,029	\$1,287,228
Valencia's transactions with its owner	_	_	_	_	(12,749)	(12,749 )
Net earnings	_	_	73,372	73,372	11,140	84,512
Total other comprehensive incom-	e—	1,599		1,599		1,599
Dividends declared on preferred stock	_	_	(396 )	(396 )	_	(396 )
Dividends declared on common			(30.263	(30.263		(30.263

(30,263) (30,263)

\$1,254,511

\$75,420

The accompanying notes, as they relate to PNM, are an integral part of these financial statements.

20

(30,263

\$1,329,931

)

# TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	September 3	30,	September 30,		
	2014 2013		2014	2013	
	(In thousand				
Electric Operating Revenues	\$78,958	\$73,704	\$215,574	\$201,384	
Operating Expenses:					
Cost of energy	17,402	14,474	50,167	41,324	
Administrative and general	9,230	10,641	27,839	32,446	
Depreciation and amortization	13,432	13,850	37,276	37,810	
Transmission and distribution costs	6,191	5,562	17,655	17,270	
Taxes other than income taxes	6,830	6,923	18,238	17,558	
Total operating expenses	53,085	51,450	151,175	146,408	
Operating income	25,873	22,254	64,399	54,976	
Other Income and Deductions:					
Other income	1,072	820	2,078	1,765	
Other (deductions)	(279)	(104)	(583)	(356)	
Net other income and deductions	793	716	1,495	1,409	
Interest Charges	6,870	6,655	20,122	20,661	
Earnings before Income Taxes	19,796	16,315	45,772	35,724	
Income Taxes	7,441	6,209	17,081	13,554	
Net Earnings	\$12,355	\$10,106	\$28,691	\$22,170	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

### TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

(Chadaled)								
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		s Ended			
	September 30,			September 30,				
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	(In thousa	ınd	ls)					
Net Earnings	\$12,355		\$10,106		\$28,691		\$22,170	
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):								
Fair Value Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges:								
Change in fair market value, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$0 \$128, \$53 and \$127	_		(238	)	(100	)	(236	)
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net								
earnings, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$3, \$(19), \$(58) and \$(54)	(6	)	35		109		99	
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	(6	)	(203	)	9		(137	)
Comprehensive Income	\$12,349		\$9,903		\$28,700		\$22,033	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Cook Flows From Operating Activities	Nine Months En 2014 (In thousands)	nded Septeml 2013	ber 30,
Cash Flows From Operating Activities: Net earnings	\$28,691	\$22,170	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities	·	\$22,170	
Depreciation and amortization	39,577	40,946	
Deferred income tax expense	4,256	3,901	
Other, net	(169	) (13	,
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:	(10)	) (13	)
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues	(5,376	) (9,608	)
Materials and supplies	(203	) 20	,
Other current assets	1,761	(2,420	)
Other assets	(58	) 36	,
Accounts payable	(1,302	) (291	)
Accrued interest and taxes	19,054	14,669	,
Other current liabilities	(1,217	) (1,946	)
Other liabilities	1,397	2,182	,
Net cash flows from operating activities	86,411	69,646	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		0,,0,0	
Utility plant additions	(88,940	) (67,400	)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(88,940	) (67,400	)
Cash Flow From Financing Activities:		, , ,	,
Short-term borrowings (repayments), net	_	12,000	
Short-term borrowings (repayments) – affiliate, net	(10,300	) 4,800	
Long-term borrowings	80,000	<u> </u>	
Repayment of long-term debt	(50,000	) —	
Cash paid in debt exchange		(13,048	)
Dividends paid	(16,336	) (3,726	)
Other, net	(835	) (2,117	)
Net cash flows from financing activities	2,529	(2,091	)
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	_	155	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	1	1	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$1	\$156	
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures: Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$11,778	\$13,626	
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	\$(299	) \$696	
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities: Changes in accrued plant additions Premium on long-term debt incurred in connection with debt exchange	\$1,658 \$—	\$(1,443 \$36,297	)

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	•	December 31,
	2014	2013
4 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1	\$1
Accounts receivable	26,705	20,125
Unbilled revenues	8,610	9,814
Other receivables	679	1,246
Materials and supplies	2,906	2,703
Regulatory assets	3,278	5,022
Current portion of accumulated deferred income taxes	6,501	6,501
Other current assets	1,672	980
Total current assets	50,352	46,392
Other Property and Investments:		
Other investments	245	245
Non-utility property	2,240	2,240
Total other property and investments	2,485	2,485
Utility Plant:		
Plant in service and plant held for future use	1,127,872	1,074,193
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	369,426	352,105
•	758,446	722,088
Construction work in progress	36,841	16,790
Net utility plant	795,287	738,878
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets	131,496	139,738
Goodwill	226,665	226,665
Other deferred charges	10,260	8,273
Total deferred charges and other assets	368,421	374,676
	\$1,216,545	\$1,162,431

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

# TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	_	December 31	,
	2014	2013	
	(In thousands, except share		
	information)		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY			
Current Liabilities:			
Short-term debt – affiliate	\$19,100	\$29,400	
Accounts payable	9,584	12,543	
Affiliate payables	1,758	3,181	
Accrued interest and taxes	42,831	23,778	
Other current liabilities	2,491	8,999	
Total current liabilities	75,764	77,901	
Long-term Debt	365,759	336,036	
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:			
Accumulated deferred income taxes	201,441	190,197	
Regulatory liabilities	46,992	46,038	
Asset retirement obligations	831	782	
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost	3,180	3,435	
Other deferred credits	7,283	5,111	
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	259,727	245,563	
Total liabilities	701,250	659,500	
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)			
Common Stockholder's Equity:			
Common stock outstanding (\$10 par value; 12,000,000 shares authorized;			
issued and outstanding 6,358 shares)	64	64	
Paid-in-capital	404,166	404,166	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(254	) (263	)
Retained earnings	111,319	98,964	
Total common stockholder's equity	515,295	502,931	
	\$1,216,545	\$1,162,431	

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

### **Table of Contents**

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	AOCI	Retained Earnings	Total Common Stockholder's Equity
	(In thousan	nds)			
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$64	\$404,166	\$(263	) \$98,964	\$502,931
Net earnings				28,691	28,691
Total other comprehensive income			9		9
Dividends declared on common stock	_	_		(16,336)	(16,336)
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$64	\$404,166	\$(254	) \$111,319	\$515,295

The accompanying notes, as they relate to TNMP, are an integral part of these financial statements.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

(1) Significant Accounting Policies and Responsibility for Financial Statements

### **Financial Statement Preparation**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all normal and recurring accruals and adjustments that are necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the consolidated results of operations and comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could ultimately differ from those estimated. Weather causes the Company's results of operations to be seasonal in nature and the results of operations presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are not necessarily representative of operations for an entire year.

The Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include disclosures for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. This report uses the term "Company" when discussing matters of common applicability to PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. Discussions regarding only PNMR, PNM, or TNMP are so indicated. Certain amounts in the 2013 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto have been reclassified to conform to the 2014 financial statement presentation.

These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the annual Consolidated Financial Statements have been condensed or omitted, as permitted under the applicable rules and regulations. Readers of these financial statements should refer to PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto that are included in their respective 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

GAAP defines subsequent events as events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Based on their nature, magnitude, and timing, certain subsequent events may be required to be reflected at the balance sheet date and/or required to be disclosed in the financial statements. The Company has evaluated subsequent events as required by GAAP.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of each of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP include their accounts and those of subsidiaries in which that entity owns a majority voting interest. PNM began consolidating Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta, upon its acquisition on July 17, 2014. PNM also consolidates the PVNGS Capital Trust and Valencia. PNM owns undivided interests in several jointly-owned power plants and records its pro-rata share of the assets, liabilities, and expenses for those plants. The agreements for the jointly-owned plants provide that if an owner were to default on its payment obligations, the non-defaulting owners would be responsible for their proportionate share of the obligations of the defaulting owner. In exchange, the non-defaulting owners would be entitled to their

proportionate share of the generating capacity of the defaulting owner. There have been no such payment defaults under any of the agreements for the jointly-owned plants.

PNMR shared services' administrative and general expenses, which represent costs that are primarily driven by corporate level activities, are charged to the business segments at cost. Other significant intercompany transactions between PNMR, PNM, and TNMP include interest and income tax sharing payments, as well as dividends paid on common stock. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. See Note 14.

#### Dividends on Common Stock

Dividends on PNMR's common stock are declared by its Board. The timing of the declaration of dividends is dependent on the timing of meetings and other actions of the Board. This has historically resulted in dividends considered to be attributable to the second quarter of each year being declared through actions of the Board during the third quarter of the year. The Board declared dividends on common stock considered to be for the second quarter of \$0.185 per share in July 2014 and \$0.165 in July

#### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

2013, which are reflected as being in the second quarter within "Dividends Declared per Common Share" on the PNMR Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The Board declared dividends on common stock considered to be for the third quarter of \$0.185 per share in September 2014 and \$0.165 in September 2013, which are reflected as being in the third quarter within "Dividends Declared per Common Share" on the PNMR Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

PNM declared and paid cash dividends on its common stock to PNMR of \$30.3 million and \$68.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. TNMP declared and paid cash dividends of \$16.3 million and \$3.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

**New Accounting Pronouncements** 

Information concerning recently issued accounting pronouncements that have not been adopted by the Company is presented below.

Accounting Standards Update 2014-09 – Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Company is analyzing the impacts this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company has not yet selected a transition method nor has it determined the effect of the standard on its ongoing financial reporting.

Accounting Standards Update 2014-12 – Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718) Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period

On June 19, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, which requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition and should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2016. Early adoption is permitted and the standard permits the use of either the prospective or retrospective transition methods. Although the Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements, the Company currently treats the performance targets covered by the standard as performance conditions, so the Company does not expect its impact will be significant.

Accounting Standards Update 2014-15 – Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

On August 27, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, which requires management to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern in connection with the preparation of

financial statements for each annual and interim reporting period. Disclosure requirements associated with management's evaluation are also outlined in the new guidance. The new standard is effective for the Company for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard .

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

### (2) Earnings Per Share

In accordance with GAAP, dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share is presented in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings of PNMR. Information regarding the computation of earnings per share is as follows:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 2013		September 3 2014	30, 2013	
(In thousan	ds, except per	share amounts)		
\$55,653	\$54,555	\$97,262	\$92,859	
79,654	79,654	79,654	79,654	
112	177	134	194	
79,766	79,831	79,788	79,848	
457	503	491	608	
80,223	80,334	80,279	80,456	
\$0.70	\$0.68	\$1.22	\$1.16	
\$0.69	\$0.68	\$1.21	\$1.15	
	September 2014 (In thousand \$55,653) 79,654 112 79,766 457 80,223 \$0.70	September 30, 2014 2013 (In thousands, except per \$55,653 \$54,555 79,654 79,654 112 177 79,766 79,831 457 503 80,223 80,334 \$0.70 \$0.68	September 30,       September 3         2014       2013       2014         (In thousands, except per share amount \$55,653       \$54,555       \$97,262         79,654       79,654       79,654         112       177       134         79,766       79,831       79,788         457       503       491         80,223       80,334       80,279         \$0.70       \$0.68       \$1.22	

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes the effect of out-of-the-money options for 435,472 shares of common stock at September 30, 2014.

### (3) Segment Information

The following segment presentation is based on the methodology that management uses for making operating decisions and assessing performance of its various business activities. A reconciliation of the segment presentation to the GAAP financial statements is provided.

#### **PNM**

PNM includes the retail electric utility operations of PNM that are subject to traditional rate regulation by the NMPRC. PNM provides integrated electricity services that include the generation, transmission, and distribution of electricity for retail electric customers in New Mexico. PNM also provides generation service to firm-requirements wholesale customers and sells electricity into the wholesale market, as well as providing transmission services to third parties. The sale of electricity into the wholesale market includes the optimization of PNM's jurisdictional capacity, as well as the capacity from PVNGS Unit 3, which currently is not included in retail rates. FERC has jurisdiction over wholesale and transmission rates.

### **TNMP**

TNMP is an electric utility providing regulated transmission and distribution services in Texas under the TECA. TNMP's operations are subject to traditional rate regulation by the PUCT.

# Corporate and Other

The Corporate and Other segment includes PNMR holding company activities, primarily related to corporate level debt and PNMR Services Company.

The following tables present summarized financial information for PNMR by segment. PNM and TNMP each operate in only one segment. Therefore, tabular segment information is not presented for PNM and TNMP.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

## PNMR SEGMENT INFORMATION

THUR SECIMENT INFORMATION				
	PNM	TNMP	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
	(In thousands	)		
Three Months Ended September 30, 2014				
Electric operating revenues	\$334,993	\$78,958	<b>\$</b> —	\$413,951
Cost of energy	115,097	17,402	_	132,499
Margin	219,896	61,556		281,452
Other operating expenses	101,757	22,251	(3,650	120,358
Depreciation and amortization	27,524	13,432	3,339	44,295
Operating income	90,615	25,873	311	116,799
Interest income	2,102	_	(18	2,084
Other income (deductions)	1,569	793	(589	1,773
Net interest charges	(20,092	(6,870	) (3,153	(30,115)
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes	74,194	19,796	(3,449	90,541
Income taxes (benefit)	25,142	7,441	(1,528	31,055
Segment earnings (loss)	49,052	12,355	(1,921	59,486
Valencia non-controlling interest	(3,701	) —		(3,701)
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends	(132	) —	_	(132)
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	\$45,219	\$12,355	\$(1,921	\$55,653
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014				
Electric operating revenues	\$873,434	\$215,574	<b>\$</b> —	\$1,089,008
Cost of energy	304,365	50,167	<u> </u>	354,532
Margin	569,069	165,407		734,476
Other operating expenses	315,714	63,732	(10,243	369,203
Depreciation and amortization	81,629	37,276	9,519	128,424
Operating income	171,726	64,399	724	236,849
Interest income	6,295	_	(54	6,241
Other income (deductions)	8,749	1,495		8,697
Net interest charges	(59,927	) (20,122		(89,621)
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes	126,843	45,772		162,166
Income taxes (benefit)	42,331	17,081	(6,044	53,368
Segment earnings (loss)	84,512	28,691	(4,405	108,798
Valencia non-controlling interest	(11,140	) —		(11,140)
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends	(396	· —		(396)
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	\$72,976	\$28,691	\$(4,405)	\$97,262
At September 30, 2014:				
Total Assets	\$4,358,474	\$1,216,545	\$134,190	\$5,709,209
Goodwill	\$51,632	\$226,665	\$	\$278,297

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Clin thousands		PNM	TNMP	Corporate and Other	Consolidated
Electric operating revenues		(In thousands	3)		
Cost of energy	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
Margin         225,826         59,230         —         285,056           Other operating expenses         104,730         23,126         (3,282         ) 124,574           Depreciation and amortization         25,879         13,850         3,014         42,743           Operating income (loss)         95,217         22,254         268         117,739           Interest income         2,298         —         (34         ) 2,264           Other income (deductions)         2,211         716         (3,455         ) (528         )           Net interest charges         (20,124         ) (6,655         ) (3,586         ) (30,365         )           Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         79,602         16,315         (6,807         ) 89,110           Income taxes (benefit)         27,652         6,209         (3,565         ) 30,296           Segment earnings (loss)         51,950         10,106         (3,242         ) 58,814           Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127         —         —         (4,127         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         ) \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         \$42,611	Electric operating revenues	\$326,026	\$73,704	\$—	\$399,730
Other operating expenses         104,730         23,126         (3,282)         124,574           Depreciation and amortization         25,879         13,850         3,014         42,743           Operating income (loss)         95,217         22,254         268         117,739           Interest income         2,298         —         (34         ) 2,264           Other income (deductions)         2,211         716         (3,455)         ) (528         )           Net interest charges         (20,124)         (6,655)         ) (3,586)         (30,365)         )           Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         79,602         16,315         (6,807)         89,110           Income taxes (benefit)         27,652         6,209         (3,565)         30,296           Segment earnings (loss)         51,950         10,106         (3,242)         58,814           Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127)         —         —         (132)         )         S54,555           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242)         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Electric operating revenues         \$863,609         \$201,384         \$—         \$1,064,993 </td <td>Cost of energy</td> <td>100,200</td> <td>14,474</td> <td>_</td> <td>114,674</td>	Cost of energy	100,200	14,474	_	114,674
Depreciation and amortization   25,879   13,850   3,014   42,743   Operating income (loss)   95,217   22,254   268   117,739   Interest income (deductions)   2,211   716   (3,455   ) (528   )   Net interest charges   (20,124   ) (6,655   ) (3,586   ) (30,365   )   Net interest charges   (20,124   ) (6,655   ) (3,586   ) (30,365   )   Net interest charges   (20,124   ) (6,655   ) (3,586   ) (30,365   )   Net interest charges   (20,124   ) (6,655   ) (3,586   ) (30,365   )   Net interest charges   (20,124   ) (6,655   ) (3,586   ) (30,365   )   Net interest charges   (4,127   )   (6,807   ) (3,565   ) (3,296   )   Net interest charges   (4,127   )   (4,127   )   (4,127   )   Negment earnings (loss)   (5,814   )   Negment earnings (loss)   (4,127   )   (132   )   Negment earnings (loss)   (132   )   (132   )   Negment earnings (loss)   (132   )   (132   )   Negment earnings (loss)   (132   )   (132	Margin	225,826	59,230	_	285,056
Operating income (loss)	Other operating expenses	104,730	23,126	(3,282	) 124,574
Interest income	Depreciation and amortization	25,879	13,850	3,014	42,743
Interest income	Operating income (loss)	95,217	22,254	268	117,739
Other income (deductions)         2,211         716         (3,455)         ) (528)         )           Net interest charges         (20,124)         ) (6,655)         ) (3,586)         ) (30,365)         )           Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         79,602         16,315         (6,807)         ) 89,110           Income taxes (benefit)         27,652         6,209         (3,565)         ) 30,296           Segment earnings (loss)         51,950         10,106         (3,242)         ) 58,814           Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127)         —         —         (4,127)         )           Subsidiary preferred stock dividends         (132)         )         —         —         (4,127)         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242)         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Electric operating evenues         \$863,609         \$201,384         —         \$1,064,993           Cost of energy         283,715         41,324         —         325,039           Margin         579,894         160,060         —         739,954           Other operating expenses         311,372         67,274         (10,192			<u> </u>	(34	2,264
Net interest charges	Other income (deductions)		716	(3,455	) (528
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         79,602         16,315         (6,807)         89,110           Income taxes (benefit)         27,652         6,209         (3,565)         30,296           Segment earnings (loss)         51,950         10,106         (3,242)         58,814           Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127)         —         (4,127)         )           Subsidiary preferred stock dividends         (132)         )         —         (132)         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242)         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Electric operating revenues         \$863,609         \$201,384         \$—         \$1,064,993           Cost of energy         283,715         41,324         —         325,039           Margin         579,894         160,060         —         739,954           Other operating expenses         311,372         67,274         (10,192)         368,454           Depreciation and amortization         77,763         37,810         9,616         125,189           Operating income         190,759         54,976         576         246,311           Interest income (deductions)         6,		•	) (6,655		
Income taxes (benefit)	——————————————————————————————————————	79,602			
Segment earnings (loss)         51,950         10,106         (3,242         ) 58,814           Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127         )         —         —         (4,127         )           Subsidiary preferred stock dividends         (132         )         —         —         (132         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242         )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013:         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$41,600         \$40,134         \$40,100         \$40,131         \$40,100         \$40,131					
Valencia non-controlling interest         (4,127 )         —         —         (4,127 )         )           Subsidiary preferred stock dividends         (132 )         —         —         (132 )         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242 )         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         \$863,609         \$201,384         \$—         \$1,064,993           Cost of energy         283,715         41,324         —         325,039           Margin         579,894         160,060         —         739,954           Other operating expenses         311,372         67,274         (10,192 )         368,454           Depreciation and amortization         77,763         37,810 9,616         125,189           Operating income         190,759 54,976 576         246,311           Interest income         7,839 — (108 )         7,731           Other income (deductions)         6,977 1,409 (7,390 )         996           Net interest charges         (59,971 ) (20,661 ) (11,647 )         (92,279 )           Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         145,604 35,724 (18,569 )         162,759 (10,904 )           Income taxes (benefit)         49,184 13,554 (4,138 )         58,600 (10,9					
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends         (132         ) —         —         —         (132         )           Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR         \$47,691         \$10,106         \$(3,242)         \$54,555           Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013         Electric operating revenues         \$863,609         \$201,384         \$—         \$1,064,993           Cost of energy         283,715         41,324         —         325,039           Margin         579,894         160,060         —         739,954           Other operating expenses         311,372         67,274         (10,192)         368,454           Depreciation and amortization         77,763         37,810         9,616         125,189           Operating income         190,759         54,976         576         246,311           Interest income         7,839         —         (108         ) 7,731           Other income (deductions)         6,977         1,409         (7,390)         996           Net interest charges         (59,971)         (20,661)         (11,647)         (92,279)         )           Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes         145,604         35,724         (18,569)         162,759           Income taxes (benefit			) _	_	
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$47,691       \$10,106       \$(3,242)       \$54,555         Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013       Electric operating revenues       \$863,609       \$201,384       \$—       \$1,064,993         Cost of energy       283,715       41,324       —       325,039         Margin       579,894       160,060       —       739,954         Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192)       368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390)       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971)       (20,661)       (11,647)       (92,279)       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569)       162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138)       58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431)       104,159         Valencia non-controlling		•	) —	_	
Electric operating revenues       \$863,609       \$201,384       \$—       \$1,064,993         Cost of energy       283,715       41,324       —       325,039         Margin       579,894       160,060       —       739,954         Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192       ) 368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       )—       —       (10,904       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120	• •	*	\$10,106	\$(3,242	,
Electric operating revenues       \$863,609       \$201,384       \$—       \$1,064,993         Cost of energy       283,715       41,324       —       325,039         Margin       579,894       160,060       —       739,954         Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192       ) 368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       )—       —       (10,904       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
Cost of energy       283,715       41,324       —       325,039         Margin       579,894       160,060       —       739,954         Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192       ) 368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859    At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  State Office of th		\$863.609	\$201.384	<b>\$</b> —	\$1.064.993
Margin       579,894       160,060       —       739,954         Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192       ) 368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859    At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814			•	<del></del>	
Other operating expenses       311,372       67,274       (10,192       ) 368,454         Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859     At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814 </td <td><del>-</del> •</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td>	<del>-</del> •	•	•		•
Depreciation and amortization       77,763       37,810       9,616       125,189         Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859     At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814		•		(10.192	•
Operating income       190,759       54,976       576       246,311         Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859     At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814		·			
Interest income       7,839       —       (108       ) 7,731         Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859     At September 30, 2013:  Total Assets  \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814	-	•		•	•
Other income (deductions)       6,977       1,409       (7,390       ) 996         Net interest charges       (59,971       ) (20,661       ) (11,647       ) (92,279       )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets       \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814		•			•
Net interest charges       (59,971 ) (20,661 ) (11,647 ) (92,279 )         Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604 35,724 (18,569 ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184 13,554 (4,138 ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420 22,170 (14,431 ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904 ) — — (10,904 )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396 ) — — (396 )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120 \$22,170 \$(14,431 ) \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets		•	1 409		•
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes       145,604       35,724       (18,569)       ) 162,759         Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138)       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431)       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904)       )       —       (10,904)       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396)       )       —       (396)       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431)       ) \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets       \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814			•		
Income taxes (benefit)       49,184       13,554       (4,138       ) 58,600         Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets         Total Assets       \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814	e	, ,			
Segment earnings (loss)       96,420       22,170       (14,431       ) 104,159         Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904       ) —       —       (10,904       )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396       ) —       —       (396       )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431       ) \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets       \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814		,			
Valencia non-controlling interest       (10,904 ) — — — (10,904 )         Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396 ) — — — (396 )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120 \$22,170 \$(14,431 )         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets         \$4,192,470 \$1,162,587 \$73,757 \$5,428,814		•			
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends       (396 ) — — — (396 )         Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120 \$22,170 \$(14,431 )       \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets       \$4,192,470 \$1,162,587 \$73,757 \$5,428,814		•			
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR       \$85,120       \$22,170       \$(14,431)       \$92,859         At September 30, 2013:       Total Assets       \$4,192,470       \$1,162,587       \$73,757       \$5,428,814	2		) —		
Total Assets \$4,192,470 \$1,162,587 \$73,757 \$5,428,814	• •	,	\$22,170	\$(14,431	,
Total Assets \$4,192,470 \$1,162,587 \$73,757 \$5,428,814	At September 30, 2013:				
	-	\$4,192,470	\$1,162,587	\$73,757	\$5,428,814

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

# (4) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Information regarding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)							
	Unrealized				Fair Value			
	Gain on		Pension		Adjustment			
	Available-for-		Liability		for Cash Flow			
	Sale Securities		Adjustment		Hedges		Total	
	(In thousands)							
PNMR								
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$25,748		\$(83,625	)	\$(263	)	\$(58,140	)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)	(11,544	)	3,864		167		(7,513	)
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified	4,547		(1,524	)	(58	)	2,965	
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)	10,202				(153	)	10,049	
Income tax impact of other OCI changes	(3,946	)	_		53		(3,893	)
Net change after income taxes	(741	)	2,340		9		1,608	
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$25,007		\$(81,285	)	\$(254	)	\$(56,532	)
PNM								
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$25,748		\$(83,625	)	<b>\$</b> —		\$(57,877	)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)	(11,544	)	3,864				(7,680	)
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified	4,547		(1,524	)	_		3,023	
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)	10,202		_		_		10,202	
Income tax impact of other OCI changes	(3,946	)	_				(3,946	)
Net change after income taxes	(741	)	2,340		_		1,599	
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$25,007		\$(81,285	)	<b>\$</b> —		\$(56,278	)
TNMP								
Balance at December 31, 2013	<b>\$</b> —		<b>\$</b> —		\$(263	)	\$(263	)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)					167		167	
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified					(58	)	(58	)
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)					(153	)	(153	)
Income tax impact of other OCI changes					53	_	53	
Net change after income taxes			_		9		9	
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$—		\$		\$(254	)	\$(254	)
<b>1</b> '					*		*	

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)					
	Unrealized		Fair Value			
	Gain on	Pension	Adjustment			
	Available-for-	Liability	for Cash Flo	W		
	Sale Securities	Adjustment	Hedges	Total		
	(In thousands)					
PNMR						
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$16,406	\$(97,820	) \$(216	) \$(81,630	)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)	(9,190	) 4,773	153	(4,264	)	
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified	3,639	(1,893	) (54	) 1,692		
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)	19,056		(363	) 18,693		
Income tax impact of other OCI changes	(7,544	) —	127	(7,417	)	
Net change after income taxes	5,961	2,880	(137	) 8,704		
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$22,367	\$(94,940	) \$(353	) \$(72,926	)	
PNM						
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$16,406	\$(97,820	) \$—	\$(81,414	)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)	(9,190	) 4,773	_	(4,417	)	
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified	3,639	(1,893	) —	1,746		
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)	19,056			19,056		
Income tax impact of other OCI changes	(7,544	) —	_	(7,544	)	
Net change after income taxes	5,961	2,880	_	8,841		
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$22,367	\$ (94,940	) \$—	\$(72,573	)	
TNMP						
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$—	\$—	\$(216	) \$(216	)	
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre-tax)			153	153		
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified			(54	) (54	)	
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)	_		(363	) (363	)	
Income tax impact of other OCI changes	_	_	127	127		
Net change after income taxes	_	_	(137	) (137	)	
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$—	\$—	\$(353	) \$(353	)	

Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Unrealized Gain on Available-for-Sale Securities" are included in "Gains on available-for-sale securities" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Pension Liability Adjustment" are reclassified to "Operating Expenses – Administrative and general" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, approximately 23.6% and 19.6% of the amount reclassified was capitalized into construction work in process and approximately 1.7% and 1.1% was capitalized into other accounts. Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Fair Value Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges" are reclassified to "Interest Charges" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. An insignificant amount was capitalized as AFUDC. The income tax impacts of all amounts reclassified from AOCI are included in "Income Taxes" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

### (5) Variable Interest Entities; Acquisition

GAAP determines how an enterprise evaluates and accounts for its involvement with variable interest entities, focusing primarily on whether the enterprise has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of a variable interest entity. GAAP also requires continual reassessment of the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. Additional information concerning PNM's variable interest entities is contained in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

#### Valencia

PNM has a PPA to purchase all of the electric capacity and energy from Valencia, a 158 MW natural gas-fired power plant near Belen, New Mexico, through May 2028. A third-party built, owns, and operates the facility while PNM is the sole purchaser of the electricity generated. PNM is obligated to pay fixed operations and maintenance and capacity charges in addition to variable operation and maintenance charges under this PPA. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, PNM paid \$4.8 million and \$14.4 million for fixed charges and \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million for variable charges. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, PNM paid \$4.8 million and \$14.1 million for fixed charges and \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million for variable charges. PNM does not have any other financial obligations related to Valencia. The assets of Valencia can only be used to satisfy obligations of Valencia and creditors of Valencia do not have any recourse against PNM's assets. PNM has concluded that the third party entity that owns Valencia is a variable interest entity and that PNM is the primary beneficiary of the entity under GAAP since PNM has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of Valencia and will absorb the majority of the variability in the cash flows of the plant. As the primary beneficiary, PNM consolidates the entity in its financial statements. The assets and liabilities of Valencia set forth below are immaterial to PNM and, therefore, not shown separately on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The owner's equity and net income of Valencia are considered attributable to non-controlling interest.

Summarized financial information for Valencia is as follows:

### **Results of Operations**

	Three Months Ended		Nine Mor	Nine Months Ended		
	Septemb	September 30,		er 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013		
	(In thous	sands)				
Operating revenues	\$5,061	\$5,453	\$15,300	\$15,150		
Operating expenses	(1,360	) (1,326	) (4,160	) (4,246 )		
Earnings attributable to non-controlling interest	\$3,701	\$4,127	\$11,140	\$10,904		

### **Financial Position**

September 30, December 31, 2014 2013 (In thousands)

Current assets	\$3,435	\$2,658
Net property, plant, and equipment	73,024	75,137
Total assets	76,459	77,795
Current liabilities	1,039	766
Owners' equity – non-controlling interest	\$75,420	\$77,029

During the term of the PPA, PNM has the option to purchase and own up to 50% of the plant or the variable interest entity. The PPA specifies that the purchase price would be the greater of (i) 50% of book value reduced by related indebtedness or (ii)

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

50% of fair market value. On October 8, 2013, PNM notified the owner of Valencia that PNM may exercise the option to purchase 50% of the plant. As provided in the PPA, an appraisal process was initiated since the parties failed to reach agreement on fair market value within 60 days. Under the PPA, results of the appraisal process established the purchase price, after which PNM was to determine, in its sole discretion, whether or not to exercise its option to purchase the 50% interest. The PPA also provides that the purchase price may be adjusted to reflect the period between the determination of the purchase price and the closing. The appraisal process determined the purchase price as of October 8, 2013 to be \$85.0 million, prior to any adjustment to reflect the period through the closing date. Approval of the purchase by the NMPRC and FERC would be required, which process could take in excess of 15 months. On May 30, 2014, after evaluating its alternatives with respect to Valencia, PNM notified the owner of Valencia that PNM intended to purchase 50% of the plant, subject to certain conditions. PNM's conditions include: agreeing on the purchase price, adjusted to reflect the period between October 8, 2013 and the closing; approval of the NMPRC, including specified ratemaking treatment, and FERC; approval of the Board and PNM's board of directors; receipt of other necessary approvals and consents; and other customary closing conditions. PNM received a letter dated June 30, 2014 from the owner of Valencia suggesting that the conditions set forth in PNM's notification raise issues under the PPA. PNM is discussing these issues with the owner of Valencia. PNM cannot predict whether or not it will reach agreement with the owner of Valencia, if required regulatory and other approvals will be received, or if the purchase will be completed.

#### **PVNGS Leases**

PNM leases interests in Units 1 and 2 of PVNGS under arrangements, which were entered into in 1985 and 1986, that are accounted for as operating leases. PNM is not the legal or tax owner of the leased assets. The leases provide PNM with an option to purchase the leased assets at appraised value at the end of the leases. PNM does not have a fixed price purchase option and does not provide residual value guarantees. The leases also provide PNM with options to renew the leases at fixed rates set forth in the leases for two years beyond the termination of the original lease terms. The option periods on certain leases may be further extended for up to an additional six years if the appraised remaining useful lives and fair value of the leased assets are greater than parameters set forth in the leases. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Note 6, for additional information regarding the leases and actions PNM has taken with respect to its renewal and purchase options. Under GAAP, these renewal options are considered to be variable interests in the trusts and result in the trusts being considered variable interest entities.

PNM is only obligated to make payments to the trusts for the scheduled semi-annual lease payments. As of September 30, 2014, these payments aggregate \$20.3 million, net of amounts that will be returned to PNM through its ownership in related lessor notes and the Unit 2 beneficial trust, over the remaining original terms of the leases and \$145.2 million during the renewal terms of the leases that PNM elected to renew. Under certain circumstances (for example, final shutdown of the plant, the NRC issuing specified violation orders with respect to PVNGS, or the occurrence of specified nuclear events), PNM would be required to make specified payments to the beneficial owners and take title to the leased interests. If such an event had occurred as of September 30, 2014, PNM could have been required to pay the beneficial owners up to \$123.8 million, which would result in PNM taking ownership of the leased assets and termination of the leases. Other than as discussed in Note 6, PNM has no other financial obligations or commitments to the trusts or the beneficial owners. Creditors of the trusts have no recourse to PNM's assets other than

with respect to the contractual lease payments. PNM has no additional rights to the assets of the trusts other than the use of the leased assets.

PNM has evaluated the PVNGS lease arrangements, including the notices, amendments, and agreements referred to above, and concluded that it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the trusts and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary of the trusts under GAAP. PNM has recorded no assets or liabilities related to the trusts other than the accrual of lease payments between the scheduled payment dates, which were \$11.8 million at September 30, 2014 and \$26.0 million at December 31, 2013, that are included in other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta

PNM had a 20-year PPA expiring in 2020 covering the entire output of Delta, which was a variable interest under GAAP. PNM also controlled the dispatch of the generating plant, which impacted the variable payments made under the PPA and impacted the economic performance of the entity that owned Delta. This arrangement was entered into prior to December 31, 2003 and

### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

PNM was unsuccessful in obtaining the information necessary to determine if it was the primary beneficiary of the entity that owned Delta, or to consolidate that entity if it were determined that PNM was the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, PNM was unable to make those determinations and, as provided in GAAP, accounted for this PPA as an operating lease.

In December 2012, PNM entered into an agreement with the owners of Delta under which PNM would purchase the entity that owned Delta. FERC approved the purchase on February 26, 2013 and the NMPRC approved the purchase on June 26, 2013. Closing was subject to the seller remedying specified operational, NERC compliance, and environmental issues, as well as other customary closing conditions. PNM closed on the purchase on July 17, 2014 and recorded the purchase as of that date. At closing, PNM made a cash payment of \$22.8 million, which reflected an adjustment for working capital compared to a targeted working capital and included amounts placed in escrow. Delta had project financing debt, which PNM retired at closing of the purchase, amounting to \$14.6 million at closing. Subsequent to closing, PNM changed the name of the facility to Rio Bravo.

PNM recorded the acquisition as a business combination and reflected the requirements of the FERC Uniform System of Accounts since the purchased assets are subject to traditional rate regulation by the NMPRC and FERC. Accordingly, as of the acquisition date, PNM recorded plant in service of \$58.1 million and accumulated depreciation of \$23.5 million, reflecting the original cost of the facilities and the estimated economic life to PNM. PNM also recorded current assets of \$3.6 million, deferred charges of \$3.4 million, current liabilities of \$0.3 million, and non-current regulatory liabilities of \$3.4 million.

PNM made fixed and variable payments to Delta under the PPA. For the periods from July 1, 2014 through July 17, 2014 and January 1, 2014 through July 17, 2014, PNM incurred fixed capacity charges of \$0.3 million and \$3.5 million and variable energy charges of \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million under the PPA. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, PNM incurred fixed capacity charges of \$1.6 million and \$4.8 million and variable energy charges of \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million. PNM recovered the variable energy charges through its FPPAC. PNM began consolidating Rio Bravo at the date of the acquisition. Prior to the acquisition, consolidation of Delta would have been immaterial to the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of PNMR and PNM. Since all of Delta's revenues and expenses were attributable to its PPA arrangement with PNM, the primary impact of consolidating Delta to the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings of PNMR and PNM would have been to reclassify Delta's net earnings from operating expenses and reflect such amount as earnings attributable to a non-controlling interest, without any impact to net earnings attributable to PNMR and PNM.

### (6) Lease Commitments

The Company leases office buildings, vehicles, and other equipment under operating leases. In addition, PNM leases interests in Units 1 and 2 of PVNGS and an interest in the EIP transmission line. Additional information concerning the Company's lease commitments is contained in Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

The PVNGS leases were scheduled to expire on January 15, 2015 for the four Unit 1 leases and January 15, 2016 for the four Unit 2 leases. Each of the leases provides PNM with an option to purchase the leased assets at fair market value at the end of the lease. In addition, the leases provide PNM with options to renew the leases at fixed rates set forth in each of the leases for two years beyond the termination of the original lease terms. The option periods on

certain leases could be further extended for up to an additional six years (the "Maximum Option Period") if the appraised remaining useful lives and fair values of the leased assets are greater than parameters set forth in the leases. The rental payments during the renewal option periods would be 50% of the amounts during the original terms of the leases.

Following procedures set forth in the PVNGS leases, PNM notified each of the lessors under the Unit 1 leases that it would elect to renew those leases for the Maximum Option Period on the expiration date of the original leases. In addition, PNM notified the lessor under the one Unit 2 lease containing the Maximum Option Period provision that it would elect to renew that lease for the Maximum Option Period on the expiration date of the original lease. On December 11, 2013, PNM and each of the Unit 1 lessors entered into amendments to each of the Unit 1 leases setting forth the terms and conditions that will implement the extension of the term of the lease through the agreed upon Maximum Option Period expiring on January 15, 2023. Similarly, on March 18, 2014, PNM and the lessor under the one Unit 2 lease containing the Maximum Option Period provision entered into an amendment

### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

to that lease setting forth the terms and conditions that will implement the extension of the term of the lease through the agreed upon Maximum Option Period expiring on January 15, 2024.

For the three PVNGS Unit 2 leases which do not contain the Maximum Option Period provisions, PNM, following procedures set forth in the leases, notified each of the lessors that PNM would elect to purchase the assets underlying those leases on the expiration date of the original leases. On February 25, 2014, PNM and the lessor under one of the Unit 2 leases entered into a letter agreement that establishes that the purchase price, representing the fair market value, to be paid by PNM for the assets underlying that lease will be \$78.1 million on January 15, 2016. This lease is for 31.25 MW of the entitlement from PVNGS Unit 2. The lease remains in existence and PNM will record the purchase at the termination of the lease on January 15, 2016.

On May 1, 2014, PNM and the trusts that are the lessors under the other two PVNGS Unit 2 leases signed a letter agreement that establishes a binding agreement regarding the purchase price, representing the fair market value, to be paid by PNM for the assets underlying those leases of \$85.2 million on January 15, 2016. These leases are for 32.76 MW of the entitlement from PVNGS Unit 2. PNMR Development, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PNMR, is also a party to the letter agreement, which constitutes a letter of intent providing PNMR Development with the option, subject to approval by the Board and negotiation of definitive documents, to acquire the entities that own the leased assets at any time from June 1, 2014 through January 14, 2016. The early purchase price would be equal to the January 15, 2016 purchase price discounted to the actual purchase date. The early purchase amount was \$79.9 million on June 1, 2014 and escalates to \$85.2 million on January 14, 2016. The consideration paid to the lessor on an early purchase would include an additional amount equal to the discounted value of the lessors' equity return portion of the future lease payments. Such additional consideration was \$5.8 million on June 1, 2014 and declines to \$1.2 million on January 14, 2016. PNMR and PNM are unable to predict whether or not the early purchase will occur.

(7) Fair Value of Derivative and Other Financial Instruments

**Energy Related Derivative Contracts** 

#### Overview

The primary objective for the use of derivative instruments, including energy contracts, options, and futures, is to manage price risk associated with forecasted purchases of energy and fuel used to generate electricity, as well as managing anticipated generation capacity in excess of forecasted demand from existing customers. The Company's energy related derivative contracts manage commodity risk. PNM is required to meet the demand and energy needs of its retail and firm-requirements wholesale customers. PNM is exposed to market risk for its share of PVNGS Unit 3 and the needs of its firm-requirements wholesale customers not covered under a FPPAC. PNM's operations are managed primarily through a net asset-backed strategy, whereby PNM's aggregate net open forward contract position is covered by its forecasted excess generation capabilities or market purchases. PNM could be exposed to market risk if its generation capabilities were to be disrupted or if its load requirements were to be greater than anticipated. If all or a portion of load requirements were required to be covered as a result of such unexpected situations, commitments would have to be met through market purchases. Additional information concerning the Company's energy related derivative contracts, including how commodity risk is managed, is contained in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated

Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Commodity Risk

Marketing and procurement of energy often involve market risks associated with managing energy commodities and establishing open positions in the energy markets, primarily on a short-term basis. PNM routinely enters into various derivative instruments such as forward contracts, option agreements, and price basis swap agreements to economically hedge price and volume risk on power commitments and fuel requirements and to minimize the effect of market fluctuations in wholesale portfolios. PNM monitors the market risk of its commodity contracts using VaR calculations to maintain total exposure within management-prescribed limits in accordance with approved risk and credit policies.

### Accounting for Derivatives

Under derivative accounting and related rules for energy contracts, the Company accounts for its various derivative instruments for the purchase and sale of energy based on the Company's intent. Energy contracts that meet the definition of a

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

derivative under GAAP and do not qualify, or are not designated, for the normal purchases and normal sales exception are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value at each period end. The changes in fair value are recognized in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met and elected. Normal purchases and normal sales are not marked to market and are reflected in results of operations when the underlying transactions settle.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company was not hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows from commodity derivatives through designated cash flows hedges. The contracts recorded at fair value that do not qualify or are not designated for cash flow hedge accounting are classified as economic hedges. Economic hedges are defined as derivative instruments, including long-term power agreements, used to economically hedge generation assets, purchased power and fuel costs, and customer load requirements. Changes in the fair value of economic hedges are reflected in results of operations and are classified between operating revenues and cost of energy according to the intent of the hedge. The Company has no trading transactions.

Fair value is defined under GAAP as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value is based on current market quotes as available and is supplemented by modeling techniques and assumptions made by the Company to the extent quoted market prices or volatilities are not available. External pricing input availability varies based on commodity location, market liquidity, and term of the agreement. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities take into account nonperformance risk including the effect of counterparties' and the Company's credit risk. The Company regularly assesses the validity and availability of pricing data for its derivative transactions. Although the Company uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of these instruments, there are inherent limitations in any estimation technique.

### Commodity Derivatives

Commodity derivative instruments that are recorded at fair value, all of which are accounted for as economic hedges, are summarized as follows:

	Economic Hedges				
	September 30,	December 31,			
	2014 2013				
PNMR and PNM	(In thousands)				
Current assets	\$4,148	\$4,064			
Deferred charges	1,084	3,002			
	5,232	7,066			
Current liabilities	(1,370 )	(2,699 )			
Long-term liabilities	(688)	(1,094)			
	(2,058)	(3,793)			
Net	\$3,174	\$3,273			

Included in the above table are \$3.0 million of current assets and \$0.8 million of deferred charges at September 30, 2014 and \$3.0 million of current assets and \$3.0 million of deferred charges at December 31, 2013 related to contracts, which were entered into in July 2013, for the sale of energy from PVNGS Unit 3 for 2014 and 2015 at market price plus a premium. Certain of PNM's commodity derivative instruments in the above table are subject to master netting agreements whereby assets and liabilities could be offset in the settlement process. The Company does not offset fair value, cash collateral, and accrued payable or receivable amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting arrangements and the above table reflects the gross amounts of assets and liabilities. The amounts that could be offset under master netting agreements were immaterial at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PNMR and PNM had no amounts recognized for the legal right to reclaim cash collateral. However, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, amounts posted as cash collateral under margin

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

arrangements were \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million for both PNMR and PNM. PNMR and PNM had obligations to return cash collateral of \$0.2 million at September 30, 2014 and \$0.2 million at December 31, 2013. Cash collateral amounts are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PNM has a NMPRC approved hedging plan to manage fuel and purchased power costs related to customers covered by its FPPAC. The table above includes \$0.2 million of current assets and \$0.1 million of current liabilities at September 30, 2014 and \$0.4 million of current assets and \$0.1 million of current liabilities at December 31, 2013 related to this plan. The offsets to these amounts are recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table presents the effect of mark-to-market commodity derivative instruments on earnings, excluding income tax effects. Commodity derivatives had no impact on OCI for the periods presented.

	Economic Hedges Three Months Ended Nine Months En				
	September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013			
PNMR and PNM	_01.	_010	2014	2013	
(_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_ (_	(In thous	,	¢ (2.124	λ Φ 5 7 4 2	
Electric operating revenues	\$2,352	\$7,077		) \$5,743	
Cost of energy	(60	) (72	) 186	421	
Total gain (loss)	\$2,292	\$7,005	\$(1,938	) \$6,164	

Commodity contract volume positions are presented in MMBTU for gas related contracts and in MWh for power related contracts. The table below presents PNMR's and PNM's net buy (sell) volume positions:

	Economic Hedges	<b>i</b>	
	MMBTU	MWh	
September 30, 2014			
PNMR and PNM	656,000	(2,511,371	)
December 31, 2013			
PNMR and PNM	905,000	(3,343,783	)

In connection with managing its commodity risks, the Company enters into master agreements with certain counterparties. If the Company is in a net liability position under an agreement, some agreements provide that the counterparties can request collateral from the Company if the Company's credit rating is downgraded; other agreements provide that the counterparty may request collateral to provide it with "adequate assurance" that the Company will perform; and others have no provision for collateral.

The table below presents information about the Company's contingent requirements to provide collateral under commodity contracts having an objectively determinable collateral provision that are in net liability positions and are not fully collateralized with cash. Contractual liability represents commodity derivative contracts recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, determined on an individual contract basis without offsetting amounts for individual contracts that are in an asset position and could be offset under master netting agreements with the same counterparty. The table only reflects cash collateral that has been posted under the existing contracts and does not reflect letters of

credit under the Company's revolving credit facilities that have been issued as collateral. Net exposure is the net contractual liability for all contracts, including those designated as normal purchases and normal sales, offset by existing cash collateral and by any offsets available under master netting agreements, including both asset and liability positions.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Contingent Feature – Credit Rating Downgrade	Contractual Liability	Existing Cash Collateral	Net Exposure	
	(In thousands)		_	
September 30, 2014				
PNMR and PNM	\$1,532	<b>\$</b> —	\$1,403	
December 31, 2013				
PNMR and PNM	\$2,398	<b>\$</b> —	\$2,152	

Sale of Power from PVNGS Unit 3

Because PNM's 134 MW share of Unit 3 at PVNGS is not included in retail rates, that unit's power is being sold in the wholesale market. Since January 1, 2011, PNM has been selling power from its interest in PVNGS Unit 3 at market prices. As of September 30, 2014, PNM had contracted to sell 100% of PVNGS Unit 3 output through 2015, at market price plus a premium. PNM has established fixed rates, which average approximately \$37 per MWh, for substantially all of these sales through the end of 2014 through hedging arrangements that are accounted for as economic hedges. PNM is also partially hedged for 2015.

### Non-Derivative Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets approximate fair value for cash, receivables, and payables due to the short period of maturity. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. Available-for-sale securities for PNMR and PNM consist of PNM assets held in the NDT for its share of decommissioning costs of PVNGS and a trust for PNM's share of post-term reclamation costs related to the coal mines that serve SJGS (Note 11). The fair value of and gross unrealized gains on investments in available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of available-for-sale securities included \$231.4 million and \$222.5 million for the NDT and \$4.5 million and \$4.4 million for the mine reclamation trust.

	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013		
	Unrealized	Fair Value	Unrealized	Fair Value	
	Gains	Tan varae	Gains	Tun vuiuc	
PNMR and PNM		(In thousand	s)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ <i>-</i>	\$3,338	\$—	\$3,356	
Equity securities:					
Domestic value	15,360	41,917	14,523	39,460	
Domestic growth	18,452	74,647	25,656	76,292	
International and other	1,339	16,957	1,040	16,633	
Fixed income securities:					
U.S. Government	520	19,616	158	21,941	
Municipals	4,970	67,595	1,018	58,568	
Corporate and other	631	11,824	207	10,605	
	\$41,272	\$235,894	\$42,602	\$226,855	

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The proceeds and gross realized gains and losses on the disposition of available-for-sale securities for PNMR and PNM are shown in the following table. Realized gains and losses are determined by specific identification of costs of securities sold and reflect impairments.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(In thousa	nds)		
Proceeds from sales	\$29,103	\$103,230	\$82,222	\$179,336
Gross realized gains	\$3,134	\$2,719	\$11,616	\$8,962
Gross realized (losses)	\$(2,172	) \$(531	\$(3,382	) \$(2,027)

Fair Value

Held-to-maturity securities are those investments in debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity. Held-to-maturity securities consist of the investment in PVNGS lessor notes and certain items within other investments.

The Company has no available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities for which carrying value exceeds fair value. There are no impairments considered to be "other than temporary" that are included in AOCI and not recognized in earnings.

At September 30, 2014, the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities had the following final maturities:

	ran value		
	Available-for <b>!Sald</b> -to-Maturity		
	PNMR and	PNMR	PNM
	PNM		FINIVI
	(In thousand	ls)	
Within 1 year	\$3,601	\$7,994	\$7,994
After 1 year through 5 years	20,886	25,456	24,718
After 5 years through 10 years	11,561		_
After 10 years through 15 years	9,549		_
After 15 years through 20 years	11,313		_
After 20 years	42,125		
	\$99,035	\$33,450	\$32,712

### Fair Value Disclosures

The Company determines the fair values of its derivative and other financial instruments based on the hierarchy established in GAAP, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. GAAP describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Level 3 inputs used in determining fair values for the Company consist of internal valuation models.

For available-for-sale securities, Level 2 fair values are provided by the trustee utilizing a pricing service. The pricing provider predominantly uses the market approach using bid side market value based upon a hierarchy of information for specific securities or securities with similar characteristics. For commodity derivatives, Level 2 fair values are determined based on market observable inputs, which are validated using multiple broker quotes, including forward price, volatility, and interest rate curves to establish expectations of future prices. Credit valuation adjustments are made for estimated credit losses based on the overall exposure to each counterparty. For the Company's long-term debt, Level 2 fair values are provided by an external pricing service.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The pricing service primarily utilizes quoted prices for similar debt in active markets when determining fair value. For investments categorized as Level 3, primarily the PVNGS lessor notes and certain items in other investments, fair values were determined by discounted cash flow models that take into consideration discount rates that are observable for similar types of assets and liabilities. Management of the Company independently verifies the information provided by pricing services.

Items recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are presented below by level of the fair value hierarchy. There were no Level 3 fair value measurements at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 for items recorded at fair value.

		GAAP Fair Value Hieran	
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
September 30, 2014	(In thousands)		
PNMR and PNM			
Available-for-sale securities			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,338	\$3,338	<b>\$</b> —
Equity securities:			
Domestic value	41,917	41,917	_
Domestic growth	74,647	74,647	_
International and other	16,957	16,957	_
Fixed income securities:			
U.S. Government	19,616	17,865	1,751
Municipals	67,595		67,595
Corporate and other	11,824	2,544	9,280
	\$235,894	\$157,268	\$78,626
Commodity derivative assets	\$5,232	<b>\$</b> —	\$5,232
Commodity derivative liabilities	(2,058)	<del>-</del>	(2,058)
Net	\$3,174	<b>\$</b> —	\$3,174
December 31, 2013 PNMR and PNM Available-for-sale securities			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,356	\$3,356	<b>\$</b> —
Equity securities:	40,000	φ 5,55 σ	4
Domestic value	39,460	39,460	
Domestic growth	76,292	76,292	_
International and other	16,633	16,633	_
Fixed income securities:	-,	~,~~~	
U.S. Government	21,941	20,194	1,747
	,	-,	,

Municipals Corporate and other	58,568 10,605 \$226,855		58,568 8,360 \$68,675	
Commodity derivative assets Commodity derivative liabilities Net	\$7,066 (3,793 \$3,273	\$— )	\$7,066 (3,793 \$3,273	)
42				

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The carrying amounts and fair values of investments in PVNGS lessor notes, other investments, and long-term debt, which are not recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are presented below:

which are not recorded at rail value on a	GAAP Fair Value Hierarchy				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
September 30, 2014 PNMR	(In thousands)				
Long-term debt	\$1,875,172	\$2,072,872	\$	\$2,072,872	<b>\$</b> —
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	\$31,469	\$32,712	\$—	\$—	\$32,712
Other investments PNM	\$1,667	\$2,406	\$546	\$—	\$1,860
Long-term debt	\$1,390,647	\$1,525,625	<b>\$</b> —	\$1,525,625	\$—
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	\$31,469	\$32,712	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$32,712
Other investments	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$	<b>\$</b> —
TNMP					
Long-term debt	\$365,759	\$422,583	\$—	\$422,583	<b>\$</b> —
Other investments	\$245	\$245	\$245	<b>\$</b> —	\$—
December 31, 2013					
PNMR					
Long-term debt	\$1,745,420	\$1,905,230	<b>\$</b> —	\$1,905,230	<b>\$</b> —
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	\$52,958	\$57,279	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$57,279
Other investments	\$1,835	\$3,196	\$690	<b>\$</b> —	\$2,506
PNM					
Long-term debt	\$1,290,618	\$1,382,938	<b>\$</b> —	\$1,382,938	<b>\$</b> —
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes	\$52,958	\$57,279	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$57,279
Other investments TNMP	\$445	\$445	\$445	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —
Long-term debt	\$336,036	\$390,814	\$	\$390,814	<b>\$</b> —
Other investments	\$245	\$245	\$245	<b>\$</b> —	\$

The Company records any transfers between fair value hierarchy levels as of the end of each calendar quarter. There were no transfers between levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013.

### (8) Stock-Based Compensation

PNMR has various stock-based compensation programs, including stock options, restricted stock, and performance shares granted under the Performance Equity Plan ("PEP"). In 2011, the Company changed its approach to awarding stock-based compensation. As a result, no stock options have been granted since 2010 and awards of restricted stock have increased. Certain restricted stock awards are subject to achieving performance or market targets and some of these awards also have time vesting requirements. Other awards of restricted stock are only subject to time vesting requirements. Additional information concerning stock-based compensation under the PEP is contained in Note 13 of

the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Restricted stock under the PEP refers to awards of stock subject to vesting, performance, or market conditions rather than to shares with contractual post-vesting restrictions. Generally, the awards vest ratably over three years from the grant date of the award. However, certain awards with performance or market conditions vest upon satisfaction of those conditions. In addition, plan provisions provide that upon retirement, participants become 100% vested in certain stock awards.

The stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and restricted stock awards without performance or market conditions is amortized to compensation expense over the requisite vesting period, which is generally three years. However,

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

compensation expense for awards to participants that are retirement eligible on the grant date is recognized immediately at the grant date and is not amortized. Compensation expense for performance-based shares is recognized ratably over the performance period and is adjusted periodically to reflect the level of achievement expected to be attained. Compensation expense related to market-based shares is recognized ratably over the measurement period, regardless of the actual level of achievement, provided the employees meet their service requirements. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PNMR had unrecognized expense related to stock awards of \$7.9 million and \$4.6 million.

The grant date fair value for restricted stock and stock awards with Company internal performance targets is determined based on the market price of PNMR common stock on the date of the agreements reduced by the present value of future dividends, which will not be received prior to vesting, applied to the total number of shares that are anticipated to vest, although the number of performance shares that ultimately vest cannot be determined until after the performance periods end. The grant date fair value of stock awards with market targets is determined using Monte Carlo simulation models, which provide grant date fair values that include an expectation of the number of shares to vest at the end of the measurement period.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average assumptions used to determine the awards grant date fair value:

	Nine Months Ended		1	
	September 30,			
Restricted Shares and Performance Based Shares	2014		2013	
Expected quarterly dividends per share	\$0.185		\$0.165	
Risk-free interest rate	0.62	%	0.34	%
Market-Based Shares				
Dividend yield	2.82	%	2.86	%
Expected volatility	25.11	%	25.11	%
Risk-free interest rate	0.64	%	0.36	%

The following table summarizes activity in stock options and restricted stock awards, including performance-based and market-based shares, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Stock Option Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Restricted Stock	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at beginning of period	1,343,666	\$20.63	315,305	\$17.87
Granted	_	\$—	242,164	\$21.27
Exercised	(287,075	\$19.00	(295,423	\$16.68
Forfeited	(38,534	\$27.59	(515	\$24.74
Expired	(17,151	\$26.43		\$—
Outstanding at end of period	1,000,906	\$20.73	261,531	\$22.31

Included as restricted stock granted and exercised in the table above are 112,864 shares that were based upon achieving performance or market targets for 2013. The Board approved these shares in February 2014 (based upon achieving market targets, weighted at 60%, at maximum levels, and performance targets, weighted at 40%, at below threshold levels for the 2011 through 2013 performance period).

PNMR's stock-based compensation program provides for performance or market targets through 2016. Excluded from the above table are maximums of 198,369, 179,811, and 175,735 restricted stock shares for periods ending in 2014, 2015, and 2016 that would be awarded if all performance or market criteria are achieved and all executives remain eligible.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

In March 2012, the Company entered into a retention award agreement with its Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer under which she would receive 135,000 shares of PNMR's common stock if the Company meets specific market targets at the end of 2016 and she remains an employee of the Company. If the Company achieves specific market targets at the end of 2014 and, with certain exceptions, she remains an employee of the Company, she would receive 35,000 of the total shares at that time. The retention award was made under the PEP and was approved by the Board on February 28, 2012. The above table does not include any restricted stock shares under the retention award agreement.

At September 30, 2014, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding, all of which are exercisable, was \$6.2 million with a weighted-average remaining contract life of 2.98 years. At September 30, 2014, the exercise price of 435,472 outstanding stock options is greater than the closing price of PNMR common stock on that date; therefore, those options have no intrinsic value.

The following table provides additional information concerning stock options and restricted stock activity, including performance-based and market-based shares:

	Nine Mon	nths Ended
	September 30,	
Stock Options	2014	2013
Weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted	<b>\$</b> —	\$
Total fair value of options that vested (in thousands)	<b>\$</b> —	\$625
Total intrinsic value of options exercised (in thousands)	\$2,199	\$2,466
Restricted Stock		
Weighted-average grant date fair value	\$21.27	\$20.03
Total fair value of restricted shares that vested (in	\$4,929	\$4,395
thousands)	$\psi = 0$	Ψ ¬, , , , , ,

### (9) Financing

Additional information concerning financing activities, including a TNMP cash-flow hedge, which terminated on June 27, 2014, that established a fixed interest rate on a variable rate loan, is contained in Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

### Financing Activities

On January 8, 2014, PNM entered into a new \$50.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the "PNM New Mexico Credit Facility") by and among PNM, the lenders identified therein, U.S. Bank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and BOKF, NA dba Bank of Albuquerque, as Syndication Agent. The nine participating lenders are all banks that have a significant presence in New Mexico and PNM's service territory or are headquartered in New Mexico. The PNM New Mexico Credit Facility expires on January 8, 2018 and contains covenants and conditions similar to those in the PNM Revolving Credit Facility.

On March 5, 2014, PNM entered into a new \$175.0 million Term Loan Agreement (the "PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement") among PNM and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Lender and Administrative Agent. On March 5, 2014, PNM used a portion of the funds borrowed under the PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement to repay all amounts outstanding under PNM's existing \$75.0 million PNM Term Loan Agreement. PNM also used the funds to repay other short-term amounts outstanding. The PNM Term Loan Agreement would otherwise have terminated on October 21, 2014. There were no prepayment penalties paid in connection with the termination of the PNM Term Loan Agreement. The PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a variable rate, which was 1.10% at September 30, 2014, must be repaid on or before September 4, 2015, and is reflected in current maturities of long-term debt on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement includes customary covenants, including requirements to not exceed a maximum consolidated debt-to-capital ratio and customary events of default. The PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement has a cross default provision and a change of control provision.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

On December 9, 2013, TNMP entered into an agreement (the "TNMP 2013 Bond Purchase Agreement"), which provided that TNMP would issue \$80.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.03% first mortgage bonds, due 2024 (the "Series 2014A Bonds") on or about June 27, 2014, subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. TNMP issued the Series 2014A Bonds on June 27, 2014. TNMP used \$50.0 million of the proceeds to repay the full outstanding amount of the TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement and used the remaining \$30.0 million of proceeds to reduce short-term debt. In accordance with GAAP, borrowings under the TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement were reflected as being long-term at December 31, 2013 since the TNMP 2013 Bond Purchase Agreement demonstrated TNMP's ability and intent to re-finance the TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement on a long-term basis.

### Short-term Debt

PNMR has a revolving credit financing capacity of \$300.0 million under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility. PNM has a revolving credit financing capacity of \$400.0 million under the PNM Revolving Credit Facility. Both of these facilities currently expire on October 31, 2018. TNMP has a revolving credit financing capacity of \$75.0 million under the TNMP Revolving Credit Facility that is secured by \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of TNMP first mortgage bonds and matures on September 18, 2018. PNM also has the \$50.0 million PNM New Mexico Credit Facility that expires on January 8, 2018. At September 30, 2014, there were no borrowings under any of these facilities. At September 30, 2014, PNM had \$6.5 million and TNMP had \$19.1 million in borrowings from PNMR under their intercompany loan agreements. At September 30, 2014, the weighted average interest rate was 1.01% for borrowings outstanding under the twelve-month PNMR Term Loan Agreement, which matures in December 2014. Short-term debt outstanding consisted of:

	September 30,	December 31,
Short-term Debt	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
PNM:		
Revolving credit facility	\$—	\$49,200
PNM New Mexico Credit Facility	_	_
TNMP – Revolving credit facility	_	_
PNMR:		
Revolving credit facility	_	_
PNMR Term Loan Agreement	100,000	100,000
	\$100,000	\$149,200

At October 24, 2014, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP had \$292.3 million, \$396.8 million, and \$74.9 million of availability under their respective revolving credit facilities, including reductions of availability due to outstanding letters of credit, and PNM had \$50.0 million of availability under the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility. Total availability at October 24, 2014, on a consolidated basis, was \$814.0 million for PNMR. As of October 24, 2014, PNM had \$7.4 million and TNMP had \$25.7 million in borrowings from PNMR under their intercompany loan agreements. At October 24, 2014, PNMR, PNM and TNMP had consolidated invested cash of \$23.1 million, none, and none.

(10) Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

PNMR and its subsidiaries maintain qualified defined benefit pension plans, postretirement benefit plans providing medical and dental benefits, and executive retirement programs (collectively, the "PNM Plans" and "TNMP Plans"). PNMR maintains the legal obligation for the benefits owed to participants under these plans.

Additional information concerning pension and OPEB plans is contained in Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. Annual net periodic benefit cost (income) for the plans is actuarially determined using the methods and assumptions set forth in that note and is recognized ratably throughout the year. The Society of Actuaries recently issued revised mortality tables that include changes in assumptions to reflect increased life expectancy and the corresponding decrease in mortality rates. This change will have impacts on the Company's pension plans, as the mortality assumptions are used as the basis for stating the pension obligation in financial statements, determining funding requirements,

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

and making minimum lump-sum calculations. The Company, with the assistance of its consulting actuaries, is studying the impact of the mortality table changes. This study is on-going and subject to change. Preliminary estimates indicate that the Company's pension liabilities reflecting the new mortality tables and other current assumptions could increase by approximately 7% over those previously reported. Although pension expense and funding requirements also will likely increase, these changes are not expected to be material.

#### **PNM Plans**

The following tables present the components of the PNM Plans' net periodic benefit cost:

The following thoses present the components of		ths Ended S				
	Pension Plan		OPEB Plan		Executive Retirement Program	
	2014 (In thousar	2013 nds)	2014	2013	2014	2013
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost						
Service cost	\$—	\$—	\$45	\$65	<b>\$</b> —	\$—
Interest cost	7,541	7,035	1,159	1,029	205	180
Expected return on plan assets	(9,511)	(10,482)	(1,410 )	(1,261)	_	_
Amortization of net (gain) loss	3,255	3,710	556	1,061	52	58
Amortization of prior service cost	(241)	19	(336)	(336)	_	_
Net periodic benefit cost	\$1,044	\$282	\$14	\$558	\$257	\$238
	Nine Mont	hs Ended Se	ptember 30	),		
	Nine Mont Pension Pl		optember 30 OPEB Pla		Executive R Program	etirement
	Pension Pl 2014	an 2013	-			etirement 2013
	Pension Pl	an 2013	OPEB Pla	ın	Program	
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	Pension Pl 2014	an 2013	OPEB Pla	ın	Program	
-	Pension Pl 2014	an 2013	OPEB Pla	ın	Program	
Benefit Cost	Pension Pl 2014 (In thousar	an 2013 ads)	OPEB Pla 2014	un 2013	Program 2014	2013
Benefit Cost Service cost	Pension Pl 2014 (In thousar \$— 22,622	an 2013 nds) \$—	OPEB Pla 2014 \$136	2013 \$195	Program 2014	2013
Benefit Cost Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets Amortization of net (gain) loss	Pension Pl 2014 (In thousar \$— 22,622 (28,533 ) 9,765	2013 nds)  \$— 21,106 (31,447 ) 11,130	OPEB Pla 2014 \$136 3,473 (4,229 ) 1,669	\$195 3,085 (3,782) 3,182	Program 2014 \$— 616	2013
Benefit Cost Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets	Pension Pl 2014 (In thousar \$— 22,622 (28,533)	2013 ads) \$— 21,106 (31,447)	OPEB Pla 2014 \$136 3,473 (4,229)	\$195 3,085 (3,782)	Program 2014 \$— 616 —	2013 \$— 540 —

PNM does not anticipate making any contributions to its pension trust in 2014 due to the current funded status of the pension plan. PNM made contributions to its pension plan trust of zero and \$60.0 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Based on current law, including recent amendments to funding requirements, and estimates of portfolio performance, contributions to the PNM pension plan trust for 2015-2018 are estimated to total \$50.0 million. These anticipated contributions were developed using current funding assumptions, with discount rates

of 4.5% to 5.3%. Actual amounts required to be funded in the future will depend on the actuarial assumptions at that time, including the appropriate discount rate. PNM may make additional contributions at its discretion. PNM made contributions to the OPEB trust of \$0.8 million and \$2.4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. PNM expects to make contributions to the OPEB trust totaling \$3.3 million in 2014 and \$14.0 million for 2015-2018. Disbursements under the executive retirement program, which are funded by PNM and considered to be contributions to the plan, were \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and are expected to total \$1.6 million during 2014.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

**TNMP Plans** 

The following tables present the components of the TNMP Plans' net periodic benefit cost (income):

	Three Months Ended September 30,								
	Pension Plan		OPEB	Plan	Executive Retirement Program				
	2014 (In thou	2013 sands)	2014	2013	2014	2013			
Components of Net Periodic	`	,							
Benefit Cost (Income)									
Service cost	<b>\$</b> —	\$ <i>-</i>	\$59	\$75	\$ <i>-</i>	<b>\$</b> —			
Interest cost	798	772	155	141	10	9			
Expected return on plan assets	(1,132	) (1,212	) (133	) (126	) —				
Amortization of net (gain) loss	166	262	(31	) —					
Amortization of prior service cost	_	_	8	14	_				
Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)	\$(168	) \$(178	) \$58	\$104	\$10	\$9			
	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
	Nine Mo	onths Ende	d Septemb	per 30,					
	Nine Mo		d Septemb OPEB		Executive Program	Retirement			
			-			Retirement 2013			
	Pension	Plan 2013	OPEB	Plan	Program				
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)	Pension 2014	Plan 2013	OPEB	Plan	Program				
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income) Service cost	Pension 2014 (In thou	Plan 2013 sands)	OPEB	Plan 2013	Program 2014	2013			
Benefit Cost (Income)	Pension 2014 (In thou	Plan 2013 sands) \$—	OPEB 2014	Plan	Program	2013			
Benefit Cost (Income) Service cost Interest cost	Pension 2014 (In thou \$— 2,395	Plan 2013 sands)  \$— 2,315	OPEB 2014 \$178	Plan 2013 \$225 424	Program 2014	2013			
Benefit Cost (Income) Service cost	Pension 2014 (In thou	Plan 2013 sands) \$—	OPEB 2014 \$178 464	Plan 2013 \$225	Program 2014 \$— 29	2013			
Benefit Cost (Income) Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets	Pension 2014 (In thou \$— 2,395 (3,395	Plan 2013 sands)  \$— 2,315 ) (3,637	OPEB 2014 \$178 464 ) (400	Plan 2013 \$225 424	Program 2014 \$— 29	2013			

TNMP does not anticipate making additional contributions to its pension trust in 2014 due to the current funded status of the pension plan. TNMP made contributions to its pension plan trust of zero and \$1.0 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Based on current law, including recent amendments to funding requirements, and estimates of portfolio performance, TNMP estimates there would be no contributions to its pension plan trust for 2015-2018. The anticipated contributions were developed using current funding assumptions, including discount rates of 4.5% and 5.3%. Actual amounts to be funded in the future will depend on the actuarial assumptions at that time, including the appropriate discount rate. TNMP may make additional contributions at its discretion. TNMP made contributions to the OPEB trust of zero and \$0.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and zero and \$0.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. TNMP expects to make contributions to the OPEB trust totaling \$0.3 million in 2014 and \$1.4 million for 2015-2018. Disbursements under the executive retirement program, which are funded by TNMP and considered to be contributions to the plan, were less than \$0.1

million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and are expected to total \$0.1 million during 2014.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

### (11) Commitments and Contingencies

#### Overview

There are various claims and lawsuits pending against the Company. The Company also is subject to federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations and periodically participates in the investigation and remediation of various sites. In addition, the Company occasionally enters into financial commitments in connection with its business operations. Also, the Company is involved in various legal and regulatory (Note 12) proceedings in the normal course of its business. It is not possible at this time for the Company to determine fully the effect of all litigation and other legal and regulatory proceedings on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

With respect to some of the items listed below, the Company has determined that a loss is not probable or that, to the extent probable, cannot be reasonably estimated. In some cases, the Company is not able to predict with any degree of certainty the range of possible loss that could be incurred. Nevertheless, the Company assesses legal and regulatory matters based on current information and makes judgments concerning their potential outcome, giving due consideration to the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of damages sought, and the probability of success. Such judgments are made with the understanding that the outcome of any litigation, investigation, and other legal proceeding is inherently uncertain. In accordance with GAAP, the Company records liabilities for matters where it is probable a loss has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable. The actual outcomes of the items listed below could ultimately differ from the judgments made and the differences could be material. The Company cannot make any assurances that the amount of reserves or potential insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover the cash obligations that might be incurred as a result of litigation or regulatory proceedings. Except as otherwise disclosed, the Company does not expect that any known lawsuits, environmental costs, and commitments will have a material effect on its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Additional information concerning commitments and contingencies is contained in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Commitments and Contingencies Related to the Environment

## Nuclear Spent Fuel and Waste Disposal

Nuclear power plant operators are required to enter into spent fuel disposal contracts with the DOE that require the DOE to accept and dispose of all spent nuclear fuel and other high-level radioactive wastes generated by domestic power reactors. Although the Nuclear Waste Policy Act required the DOE to develop a permanent repository for the storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel by 1998, the DOE announced that it would not be able to open the repository by 1998 and sought to excuse its performance of these requirements. In November 1997, the D.C. Circuit issued a decision preventing the DOE from excusing its own delay, but refused to order the DOE to begin accepting spent nuclear fuel. Based on this decision and the DOE's delay, a number of utilities, including APS (on behalf of itself and the other PVNGS owners, including PNM), filed damages actions against the DOE in the Court of Federal Claims. In 2010, the court ordered an award to the PVNGS owners for their damages claim for costs incurred through December 2006. APS filed a subsequent lawsuit, on behalf of itself and the other PVNGS owners, against DOE in the Court of Federal Claims on December 19, 2012. The lawsuit alleges that from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2011, additional damages were incurred due to DOE's continuing failure to remove spent nuclear fuel and high level waste from PVNGS. APS and DOE entered into a settlement agreement, and on October 7, 2014, APS received a settlement

payment of \$57.4 million for costs paid through June 30, 2011, for DOE's failure to accept spent nuclear fuel generated at PVNGS. PNM's share of the settlement is \$5.9 million, which was recorded in other deferred credits. The settlement agreement also establishes a process for the payment of subsequent claims through December 30, 2016. Under the settlement agreement, APS must submit claims annually for payment of allowable costs. The first claim is due no later than October 31, 2014, for costs paid between July 1, 2011, and June 30, 2014. The settlement agreement terminates upon payment of costs paid through December 31, 2016, unless extended by mutual written agreement.

PNM estimates that it will incur approximately \$58.0 million (in 2013 dollars) for its share of the costs related to the on-site interim storage of spent nuclear fuel at PVNGS during the term of the operating licenses. PNM accrues these costs as a component of fuel expense as the fuel is consumed. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, PNM had a liability for interim storage costs of \$12.2 million and \$11.9 million included in other deferred credits.

#### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

On June 8, 2012, the D.C. Circuit issued its decision on a challenge by several states and environmental groups of the NRC's rulemaking regarding temporary storage and permanent disposal of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel. The petitioners had challenged the NRC's 2010 update to the agency's Waste Confidence Decision. The D.C. Circuit found that the agency's 2010 Waste Confidence Decision update constituted a major federal action, which requires either an EIS or a finding of no significant impact from the agency's actions. The D.C. Circuit found that the NRC's evaluation of the environmental risks from spent nuclear fuel was deficient, and therefore remanded the 2010 Waste Confidence Decision update for further action. In September 2012, the NRC issued a directive to its staff to proceed with development of a generic EIS to support an updated Waste Confidence Decision within 24 months. In September 2013, the NRC issued its draft EIS to support an updated Waste Confidence Decision. In late 2013, the NRC held a series of nationwide public meetings to receive stakeholder input on the draft EIS. In September 2014, the NRC issued its final rule codifying the results of analyses from a generic EIS regarding the continued storage of spent nuclear fuel. The rule became effective on October 20, 2014. Untimely resolution by the NRC of the remand from the D.C. Circuit could have an adverse impact on certain NRC licensing actions. Currently, PVNGS does not have any licensing actions pending with the NRC. The petitioners also sought a writ requiring the NRC to comply with the law and resume processing DOE's pending license application for a nuclear waste site at Yucca Mountain in Nevada. In August 2013, the D.C. Circuit ordered the NRC to resume reviewing the license application. PNM is unable to predict the impact of these decisions.

In 2011, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners and the Nuclear Energy Institute challenged DOE's 2010 determination of the adequacy of the one tenth of a cent per KWh fee (the "one-mill fee") paid by the nation's commercial nuclear power plant owners pursuant to their individual contracts with the DOE. In June 2012, the D.C. Circuit held that DOE failed to conduct a sufficient fee analysis in making the 2010 determination. The D.C. Circuit remanded the 2010 determination to the DOE with instructions to conduct a new fee adequacy determination within six months. In February 2013, upon completion of DOE's revised one-mill fee adequacy determination, the court reopened the proceedings. On November 19, 2013, the D.C. Circuit ordered the DOE to notify Congress of the intent to suspend collecting annual fees for nuclear waste disposal from nuclear power plant operators. On January 3, 2014, the DOE notified Congress of the intention to suspend collection of the one-mill fee, subject to Congress' disapproval. On May 16, 2014, the DOE adjusted the fee to zero. In 2013, the one-mill fee for PNM's share of the output from all three units at PVNGS amounted to \$3.0 million. The fee applicable to PVNGS Units 1 and 2 is recovered by PNM in its retail rates. PNM anticipates challenges to this action and is unable to predict its ultimate outcome.

The Clean Air Act

### Regional Haze

In 1999, EPA developed a regional haze program and regional haze rules under the CAA. The rule directs each of the 50 states to address regional haze. Pursuant to the CAA, states have the primary role to regulate visibility requirements by promulgating SIPs. States are required to establish goals for improving visibility in national parks and wilderness areas (also known as Class I areas) and to develop long-term strategies for reducing emissions of air pollutants that cause visibility impairment in their own states and for preventing degradation in other states. States must establish a series of interim goals to ensure continued progress. The first planning period specifies setting

reasonable progress goals for improving visibility in Class I areas by the year 2018. In July 2005, EPA promulgated its final regional haze rule guidelines for states to conduct BART determinations for certain covered facilities, including utility boilers, built between 1962 and 1977 that have the potential to emit more than 250 tons per year of visibility impairing pollution. If it is demonstrated that the emissions from these sources cause or contribute to visibility impairment in any Class I area, then BART must be installed by 2018.

#### **SJGS**

BART Determination Process – SJGS is a source that is subject to the statutory obligations of the CAA to reduce visibility impacts. The State of New Mexico submitted its SIP on the regional haze and interstate transport elements of the visibility rules for review by EPA in June 2011. The SIP found that BART to reduce NOx emissions from SJGS is selective non-catalytic reduction technology ("SNCR"). Nevertheless, in August 2011, EPA published its FIP, stating that it was required to do so by virtue of a consent decree it had entered into with an environmental group in litigation concerning the interstate transport requirements of the CAA. The FIP included a regional haze BART determination for SJGS that required installation of selective catalytic reduction

#### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

technology ("SCR") on all four units by September 21, 2016. In November 2012, EPA approved all components of the SIP, except for the NOx BART determination for SJGS, which continued to be subject to the FIP.

PNM, the Governor of New Mexico, and NMED petitioned the Tenth Circuit to review EPA's decision and requested EPA to reconsider its decision. The Tenth Circuit denied petitions to stay the effective date of the rule. These parties also formally asked EPA to stay the effective date of the rule. Several environmental groups intervened in support of EPA. The parties file periodic status reports with the Tenth Circuit, but proceedings are being held in abeyance as agreed to by the parties.

During 2012 and early 2013, PNM, as the operating agent for SJGS, engaged in discussions with NMED and EPA regarding an alternative to the FIP and SIP. Following approval by a majority of the other SJGS owners, PNM, NMED, and EPA agreed on February 15, 2013 to pursue a revised plan that could provide a new BART path to comply with federal visibility rules at SJGS. The terms of the non-binding agreement would result in the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3 by the end of 2017 and the installation of SNCRs on Units 1 and 4 by the later of January 31, 2016 or 15 months after EPA approval of a revised SIP.

Contemporaneously with the signing of the non-binding agreement, EPA indicated in writing that if the terms agreed to do not move forward due to circumstances outside of the control of PNM and NMED, EPA will work with the State of New Mexico and PNM to create a reasonable FIP compliance schedule to reflect the time used to develop the revised SIP.

In accordance with the revised plan, PNM submitted a new BART analysis to NMED on April 1, 2013, reflecting the terms of the non-binding agreement. NMED developed a RSIP and submitted it to the EIB for approval in May 2013. The EIB approved the RSIP in September 2013 and it was submitted to EPA for approval on October 18, 2013. EPA published its proposed approval of the RSIP in the Federal Register on May 12, 2014. Final rules approving the RSIP and withdrawing the FIP were published in the Federal Register on October 9, 2014 and will become effective on November 10, 2014. The deadline for filing petitions for review is December 8, 2014.

Implementation Activities – Due to the compliance deadline set forth in the FIP, PNM took steps to commence installation of SCRs at SJGS. In October 2012, PNM entered into a contract with an engineering, procurement, and construction contractor to install SCRs on behalf of the SJGS owners. The construction contract, which includes termination provisions in the event that SCRs are determined in the future to be unnecessary, has been suspended through December 31, 2014. At the time PNM entered into the contract, PNM estimated the total cost to install SCRs on all four units of SJGS to be between approximately \$824 million and \$910 million. The costs for the project to install SCRs would encompass installation of technology to comply with the NAAQS requirements described below.

Also, PNM had previously indicated it estimated the cost of SNCRs on all four units of SJGS to be between approximately \$85 million and \$90 million based on a conceptual design study. Along with the SNCR installation, additional equipment would be required to be installed to meet the NAAQS requirements described below, the cost of which had been estimated to total between approximately \$105 million and \$110 million for all four units of SJGS.

The above estimates include gross receipts taxes, AFUDC, and other PNM costs. Based upon its current SJGS ownership interest, PNM's share under either SCRs or SNCRs as described above would be about 46.3%.

Following the February 2013 development of the alternative BART compliance plan, PNM began taking steps to prepare for the potential installation of SNCRs on Units 1 and 4 due to the long lead times on certain equipment purchases. In May 2013, PNM entered into an SNCR equipment and related services contract with an SNCR technology provider. In July 2014, PNM entered into a contract for management of the SNCR construction and in September 2014 entered into a construction and procurement contract.

NMPRC Filing – On December 20, 2013, PNM made a filing with the NMPRC requesting certain approvals necessary to effectuate the RSIP. In this filing, PNM requested:

Permission to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 at December 31, 2017 and to recover over 20 years their net book value at that date along with a regulated return on those costs

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

A CCN to include PNM's ownership of PVNGS Unit 3, amounting to 134 MW, as a resource to serve New Mexico retail customers at a proposed value of \$2,500 per KW, effective January 1, 2018

An order allowing cost recovery for PNM's share of the installation of SNCR equipment and the additional equipment to comply with NAAQS requirements on SJGS Units 1 and 4, not to exceed a total cost of \$82 million A CCN for an exchange of capacity out of SJGS Unit 3 and into SJGS Unit 4, resulting in ownership of an additional 78 MW in Unit 4 for PNM; the net impact of this exchange and the retirement of Units 2 and 3 would be a reduction of 340 MW in PNM's ownership of SJGS

The December 20, 2013 NMPRC filing identified a new 177 MW natural gas fired generation source and 40 MW of new utility-scale solar PV generation to replace a portion of PNM's share of the reduction in generating capacity due to the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3. PNM has included the 40 MW of solar PV facilities in its 2015 Renewable Energy Plan. A proposed stipulated settlement, which is pending approval before the NMPRC, would provide that the additional solar capacity be recovered in base rates rather than through the renewable energy rider. See Note 12. Specific approvals to acquire the gas facility and the treatment of associated costs will be made in future filings. PNM estimates the cost of these identified resources would be approximately \$268.3 million. These amounts are included in PNM's current construction expenditure forecast although approval of the plan remains subject to numerous conditions. Although operating costs would be reduced due to the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3, the operating costs for SJGS Units 1 and 4 would increase with the installation of SNCRs. See Note 12 for additional information concerning PNM's filing for NMPRC approvals regarding these matters.

As discussed under SJGS Ownership Restructuring Matters below, the owners of SJGS are attempting to negotiate agreements concerning numerous matters, the resolution of which is necessary in order to facilitate the shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3 and comply with the RSIP. PNM's requests in the December 20, 2013 NMPRC filing were based on the status of the negotiations among the SJGS owners at that time. In July 2014, PNM filed a notice with the NMPRC regarding the status of the negotiations among the SJGS participants, including that the SJGS participants reached non-binding agreements in principle on the ownership restructuring of SJGS and that PNM was proposing to acquire 132 MW of SJGS Unit 4 effective December 31, 2017, rather than exchanging 78 MW of capacity in SJGS Unit 3 for 78 MW in SJGS Unit 4 as contemplated in the December 20, 2013 NMPRC filing. Those agreements are memorialized in the resolution and term sheet described below.

On October 1, 2014, PNM, the staff of the NMPRC, the NMAG, New Mexico Independent Power Producers, Western Resource Advocates, and Renewable Energy Industries Association of New Mexico filed a stipulation with the NMPRC. NMIEC subsequently joined the agreement. Statements of opposition were filed by New Energy Economy, Southwest Generation, the City of Santa Fe, Santa Fe County, and the Coalition for Clean, Affordable Energy. Under the terms of the stipulation, PNM:

Would be authorized to abandon SJGS Units 2 and 3 effective December 31, 2017

Would be granted a CCN for an additional 132 MW of SJGS Unit 4 capacity as of January 1, 2018 with a rate base value of \$26 million plus any reasonable and prudent investments made in Unit 4 prior to that date; PNM would reduce its carrying value of SJGS Unit 3 by this \$26 million

Would recover 50% of the estimated \$231 million undepreciated value in SJGS Units 2 and 3 at December 31, 2017; recovery would be over a twenty year period and would include a return on the unrecovered amount at PNM's WACC; at September 30, 2014, PNM's net book value of its current ownership share of SJGS Units 2 and 3 was approximately \$284 million

Would be granted a CCN for 134 MW of PVNGS Unit 3 at a January 1, 2018 value of \$221.1 million (\$1,650 per KW); PNM's ownership share of PVNGS would also be subject to a capacity factor performance threshold of 75% for a seven year period beginning January 1, 2018; subject to certain exceptions, if the capacity factor is not achieved in any year, PNM would refund the cost of replacement power through its FPPAC; at September 30, 2014, PNM's net book value of PVNGS Unit 3 was approximately \$143 million

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Would recover its reasonable and prudent costs of installation of the SNCRs and equipment to comply with NAAQS requirements at SJGS Units 1 and 4 up to \$90.6 million

Would not be allowed to recover a total of approximately \$20 million of increased operations and maintenance costs associated with the agreement reached with the remaining SJGS participants, additional fuel handling expenses, and certain other costs incurred in efforts to comply with the CAA

The public hearing in the NMPRC case is scheduled to begin on January 5, 2015. PNM expects a decision from the NMPRC in the first quarter of 2015. PNM is unable to predict if the NMPRC will approve the stipulation. If the stipulation is approved as filed, PNM anticipates it would incur a regulatory disallowance that would include the write-off of 50% of the undepreciated investment in SJGS Units 2 and 3, an offset to the regulatory disallowance to reflect including the investment in PVNGS Unit 3 in the ratemaking process at the stipulated value, and other impacts of the stipulation. Although PNM would record the regulatory disallowance upon approval by the NMPRC, the amount of the disallowance would be dependent on the provisions of the NMPRC's final order, as well as PNM's projections of the December 31, 2017 net book values of SJGS Units 2 and 3 and PVNGS Unit 3. The amount initially recorded would be subject to adjustment to reflect changes in the projected December 31, 2017 net book values of the plants. Based on the provisions of the stipulation as filed and PNM's current projection of December 31, 2017 book values, PNM estimates the net pre-tax regulatory disallowance would be between \$60 million and \$70 million.

SJGS Ownership Restructuring Matters – As discussed in the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, SJGS is jointly owned by PNM and eight other entities, including three participants that operate in the State of California. Furthermore, each participant does not have the same ownership interest in each unit. The SJPPA that governs the operation of SJGS expires on July 1, 2022 and the contract with SJCC to supply the coal requirements of the plant expires on December 31, 2017. The California participants have indicated that, under California law, they may be prohibited from making significant capital improvements to SJGS. The California participants have stated they would be unable to fully fund the construction of either SCRs or SNCRs at SJGS and have expressed the intent to exit their ownership in SJGS no later than the expiration of the current SJPPA. One other participant also expressed a similar intent to exit ownership in the plant. The participants intending to exit ownership in SJGS currently own 50.0% of SJGS Unit 3 and 38.8% of SJGS Unit 4. PNM currently owns 50.0% of SJGS Unit 3 and 38.5% of SJGS Unit 4.

The SJGS participants have engaged in negotiations concerning the implementation of the RSIP to address BART at SJGS. These negotiations initially included potential shifts in ownership among participants and between Units 3 and 4 that could have resulted in PNM acquiring additional ownership in Unit 4 prior to the shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3. The discussions among the SJGS participants regarding restructuring have also included, among other matters, the treatment of plant decommissioning obligations, mine reclamation obligations, environmental matters, and certain ongoing operating costs.

On June 26, 2014, a non-binding resolution was unanimously approved by the SJGS Coordination Committee (the "Resolution"). The Resolution identifies the participants who would be exiting active participation in SJGS effective December 31, 2017, and participants, including PNM, who would retain an interest in the ongoing operation of one or more units of SJGS. The Resolution provides the essential terms of restructured ownership of SJGS between the exiting participants and the remaining participants and addresses other related matters. The Resolution includes provisions indicating that the exiting participants would remain obligated for their proportionate shares of environmental, mine reclamation, and certain other legacy liabilities that are attributable to activities that occurred

prior to their exit, as well as outlining how their shares would be determined. Also, on June 26, 2014, a non-binding term sheet was approved by all of the remaining participants that provides the essential terms of restructured ownership of SJGS among the remaining participants. As part of the non-binding terms, PNM confirmed that it would acquire an additional 132 MW in SJGS Unit 4 effective December 31, 2017. There would be no initial cost for PNM to acquire the additional 132 MW although PNM's share of capital improvements, including the costs of installing SNCRs, and operating expenses would increase to reflect the increased ownership. The acquisition of 132 MW of SJGS Unit 4 would result in PNM's ownership share of SJGS Unit 4 being 64.5% and of SJGS Units 1 and 4 aggregating approximately 59%. The Resolution and the non-binding term sheet recognize that prior to executing a binding restructuring agreement, the remaining participants will need to have greater certainty in regard to the economic cost and availability of fuel for SJGS for the period after December 31, 2017. As discussed under Coal Supply below, the remaining participants are in the process of negotiating agreements concerning future fuel supply for SJGS, the resolution of which is necessary for continued operation of SJGS after December 31, 2017. On September 2, 2014, the SJGS Coordination Committee adopted a non-binding amendment to the Resolution, which provides for allocation of future costs of decommissioning among current SJGS owners using a time-based sliding scale and outlines indemnification obligations.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

In September 2014, the SJGS participants executed a binding Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement to implement certain provisions of the Resolution, including payment by the remaining participants of capital costs for the Unit 4 SNCR project starting July 1, 2014, and acquisition by PNM of the exiting participants' coal inventory as of January 1, 2015. PNM filed the Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement with FERC on September 18, 2014, with a request for a retroactive effective date to July 1, 2014. FERC has 60 days from the date of filing to accept the filing. The Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement provides that the SJGS participants will return to the status quo if required regulatory approvals are not obtained or a binding restructuring agreement is not reached.

The participants continue to negotiate other definitive agreements that would formalize the matters contained in the Resolution, as amended. A number of regulatory approvals are required to implement the proposed ownership restructuring of SJGS. Any final binding agreements relating to the ownership restructuring are subject to the approval of each participant's board or other decision-making body and are subject to required regulatory approvals. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of the negotiations, whether definitive agreements will be reached among the owners, or whether required approvals will be obtained.

Other Developments and Current Status – The SJPPA requires PNM, as operating agent, to obtain approval of capital improvement project expenditures from participants who have an ownership interest in the relevant unit or property common to more than one unit. As provided in the SJPPA, specified percentages of both the outstanding participant shares, based on MW ownership, and the number of participants in the unit or common property must be obtained in order for a capital improvement project to be approved. PNM presented the SNCR project, including NAAQS compliance requirements described below, to the SJGS participants in Unit 1 and Unit 4 for approval in late October 2013. The project was approved for Unit 1, but the Unit 4 project, which includes some of the California participants, did not obtain the required percentage of votes for approval. In addition, other capital projects related to Unit 4 were not approved by the participants. PNM subsequently requested that the owners of Unit 4 approve the expenditure of costs critical to being able to comply with the time frame in the RSIP with respect to the Unit 4 project of \$1.9 million on March 10, 2014 and \$6.4 million on June 27, 2014. The Unit 4 owners did not approve either of the requests.

PNM, in its capacity as operating agent of SJGS, is authorized and obligated under the SJPPA to take reasonable and prudent actions necessary for the successful and proper operation of SJGS pending the resolution, by arbitration or otherwise, of any inability or failure to agree by the participants. PNM must evaluate its responsibilities and obligations as operating agent under the SJPPA regarding the SJGS Unit 4 capital projects that were not approved by the participants and take reasonable and prudent actions as it deems necessary. Therefore, on March 10, 2014 and July 14, 2014, PNM, as operating agent for SJGS, issued "Prudent Utility Practice" notices under the SJPPA indicating PNM was undertaking certain critical activities to keep the Unit 4 SNCR project on schedule.

As discussed above, EPA approved the RSIP and withdrew the FIP on October 9, 2014 and those approvals will become effective on November 10, 2014. PNM believes significant progress is being made towards implementation of the RSIP. However, the final implementation of the RSIP is still dependent upon PNM obtaining NMPRC approval to retire San Juan Units 2 and 3 and a final binding agreement among the SJGS owners on a revised ownership structure to facilitate the retirement of these two units. PNM can provide no assurance that these requirements will be accomplished. If the RSIP requirements ultimately are not implemented due to adverse or alternative regulatory,

legislative, legal, or restructuring developments or other factors, PNM would need to pursue other alternatives to address compliance with the CAA. PNM will seek recovery from its ratepayers for costs that may be incurred as a result of the CAA requirements. PNM is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

Although the additional equipment and other final requirements will result in additional capital and operating costs being incurred, PNM believes that its access to the capital markets is sufficient to be able to finance its share of the installation. It is possible that requirements to comply with the CAA, combined with the financial impact of possible future climate change regulation or legislation, if any, other environmental regulations, the result of litigation, and other business considerations, could jeopardize the economic viability of SJGS or the ability or willingness of individual participants to continue participation in the plant.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

#### Four Corners

On August 6, 2012, EPA issued its final BART determination for Four Corners. The rule included two compliance alternatives. On December 30, 2013, APS notified EPA that the Four Corners participants selected the alternative that required APS to close permanently Units 1-3 by January 1, 2014 and install SCR post-combustion NOx controls on each of Units 4 and 5 by July 31, 2018. PNM owns a 13% interest in Units 4 and 5, but had no ownership interest in Units 1, 2, and 3, which were shutdown by APS on December 30, 2013. For particulate matter emissions, EPA is requiring Units 4 and 5 to meet an emission limit of 0.015 lb/MMBTU and the plant to meet a 20% opacity limit, both of which are achievable through operation of the existing baghouses. Although unrelated to BART, the final BART rule also imposes a 20% opacity limitation on certain fugitive dust emissions from Four Corners' coal and material handling operations.

APS, on behalf of the Four Corners participants, negotiated amendments to an existing facility lease with the Navajo Nation, which extends the Four Corners leasehold interest from 2016 to 2041. The Navajo Nation approved these amendments in March 2011. The effectiveness of the amendments also requires the approval of the DOI, as does a related federal rights-of-way grant, which the Four Corners participants are pursuing. A federal environmental review is underway as part of the DOI review process. In March 2014, APS received a draft of the EIS in connection with the DOI review process. On June 19, 2014, PNM submitted comments on the draft EIS as owner and operator of two electric transmission lines that are part of the connected action for the EIS. In addition, APS will require a PSD permit from EPA to install SCR control technology at Four Corners. PNM cannot predict whether these federal approvals will be granted, and if so on a timely basis, or whether any conditions that may be attached to them will be acceptable to the Four Corners participants.

The Four Corners participants' obligations to comply with EPA's final BART determinations, coupled with the financial impact of possible future climate change regulation or legislation, other environmental regulations, and other business considerations, could jeopardize the economic viability of Four Corners or the ability of individual participants to continue their participation in Four Corners.

PNM is continuing to evaluate the impacts of EPA's BART determination for Four Corners. PNM estimates its share of costs, including PNM's AFUDC, to be up to \$80.3 million for post-combustion controls at Four Corners Units 4 and 5. PNM would seek recovery from its ratepayers of all costs that are ultimately incurred. PNM is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter.

## Four Corners BART FIP Challenge

On October 22, 2012, WEG filed a petition for review in the Ninth Circuit challenging the Four Corners BART FIP. In its petition, WEG alleges that the final BART rule results in more air pollution being emitted into the air than allowed by law and that EPA failed to follow the requirements of the ESA. APS intervened in this matter and filed a motion to dismiss this lawsuit for lack of jurisdiction or alternatively to transfer the lawsuit to the Tenth Circuit. On February 25, 2013, the Ninth Circuit denied APS' motion to dismiss, but granted the request to transfer the case to the Tenth Circuit. Oral argument was presented before the Tenth Circuit on January 23, 2014. On July 23, 2014, the Tenth Circuit issued a unanimous decision affirming EPA's action and denying WEG's petition for review. On September 15, 2014, the Tenth Circuit issued its mandate marking an official end to the case.

## Regional Haze Challenges

On December 27, 2012, WEG filed a petition for review in the Tenth Circuit challenging the SO<sub>2</sub> and particulate matter emissions elements of EPA's approval of New Mexico's Regional Haze SIP. On February 26, 2013, HEAL Utah and other environmental groups filed petitions in the Tenth Circuit challenging EPA's final approval of the remaining elements of New Mexico's Regional Haze SIP, as well as EPA's approval of the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Air Quality Control Board SIP. PNM was granted intervention in both matters and the Tenth Circuit consolidated the two matters based on the similarity of issues. Oral argument was heard before the Tenth Circuit on March 20, 2014. On October 21, 2014, the Tenth Circuit denied the petitions for review and affirmed EPA's actions. PNM is unable to predict whether petitions for rehearing or appeals of the decision will be filed.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

#### National Ambient Air Quality Standards ("NAAQS")

The CAA requires EPA to set NAAQS for pollutants considered harmful to public health and the environment. EPA has set NAAQS for certain pollutants, including NOx, SO<sub>2</sub>, ozone, and particulate matter. In 2010, EPA updated the primary NOx and SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS to include a 1-hour maximum standard while retaining the annual standards for NOx and SO<sub>2</sub> and the 24-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard. New Mexico is in attainment for the 1-hour NOx NAAQS. On May 13, 2014, EPA released the draft data requirements rule for the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, which directs state and tribal air agencies to characterize current air quality in areas with large SO<sub>2</sub> sources to identify maximum 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The proposed rule also describes the process and timetable by which air regulatory agencies would characterize air quality around large SO<sub>2</sub> sources through ambient monitoring or modeling. This characterization will result in these areas being designated as attainment, nonattainment, or unclassified for compliance with the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Although the determination process has not been finalized, PNM believes that compliance with the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard may require operational changes and/or equipment modifications at SJGS. On November 8, 2013, PNM received an amendment to its air permit for SJGS, which would be required for the installation of either SCRs or SNCRs described above. In the revised permit, PNM agreed to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions to 0.1 pound per MMBTU on SJGS Units 1 and 4 and to install equipment modifications for the purpose of reducing fugitive emissions, including NOx, SO<sub>2</sub>, and particulate matter. These reductions will help SJGS meet the NAAQS. It is anticipated that the equipment modifications would be installed at the same time as the installation of regional haze BART controls, in order to most efficiently and cost effectively conduct construction activities at SJGS. The cost of this technology is dependent upon the type of control technology that is ultimately determined to be NOx BART at SJGS. See Regional Haze - SJGS above.

EPA finalized revisions to its NAAQS for fine particulate matter on December 14, 2012. PNM believes the equipment modifications discussed above will assist the plant in complying with the particulate matter NAAQS.

In January 2010, EPA announced it would strengthen the 8-hour ozone standard by setting a new standard in a range of 0.060-0.070 parts per million. EPA is reviewing its 2008 standard and has completed certain assessment phases of developing a new ozone standard. EPA is under a court order to issue a draft proposal by December 1, 2014 and finalize the new standard by October 1, 2015. Depending upon where the standard for ozone is set, San Juan County, where SJGS is situated, could be designated as not attaining the standard for ozone. If that were to occur, NMED would have responsibility for bringing the county into compliance and would look at all sources of NOx and volatile organic compounds since these are the pollutants that form ground-level ozone. As a result, SJGS could be required to install further NOx controls to meet a new ozone NAAQS. In addition, other counties in New Mexico, including Bernalillo County, may be designated as non-attainment. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter, the impact of other potential environmental mitigations, or if additional NOx controls would be required at any of its affected facilities as a result of ozone non-attainment designation.

Citizen Suit Under the Clean Air Act

The operations of SJGS are covered by a Consent Decree with the Grand Canyon Trust and Sierra Club and with the NMED that includes stipulated penalties for non-compliance with specified emissions limits. Stipulated penalty amounts are placed in escrow on a quarterly basis pending review of SJGS's emissions performance. In May 2010, PNM filed a petition with the federal district court seeking a judicial determination on a dispute relating to PNM's mercury controls. NMED and plaintiffs sought to require PNM to implement additional mercury controls. PNM estimates the implementation would increase annual mercury control costs for the entire station, which are currently

\$0.7 million, to a total of \$6.6 million. On March 23, 2014, the court entered a stipulated order reflecting an agreement reached by the parties. Under the stipulated order, PNM is required to repeat the mercury study required under the Consent Decree using sorbent traps instead of the monitoring system used in the initial study. PNM has completed stack testing and anticipates finalizing the study report by the end of 2014. The results of the mercury study will establish the activated carbon injection rate that maximizes mercury removal at SJGS, as required under the Consent Decree. PNM cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this matter.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

#### Section 114 Request

In April 2009, APS received a request from EPA under Section 114 of the CAA seeking detailed information regarding projects at and operations of Four Corners. EPA has taken the position that many utilities have made physical or operational changes at their plants that should have triggered additional regulatory requirements under the NSR provisions of the CAA. APS has responded to EPA's request. PNM is currently unable to predict the timing or content of EPA's response, if any, or any resulting actions.

#### Four Corners Clean Air Act Lawsuit

In October 2011, Earthjustice, on behalf of several environmental organizations, filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico against APS and the other Four Corners participants alleging violations of the NSR provisions of the CAA and NSPS violations. The plaintiffs seek to have the court enjoin operations at Four Corners until APS applies for and obtains any required NSR permits and complies with the NSPS. The plaintiffs further request the court to order the payment of civil penalties, including a beneficial mitigation project. On April 2, 2012, the Four Corners participants filed motions to dismiss. The case is being held in abeyance while the parties seek to negotiate a settlement. On March 30, 2013, upon joint motion of the parties, the court issued an order deeming the motions to dismiss withdrawn without prejudice during pendency of the stay. At such time as the stay is lifted, the Four Corners owners may reinstate their motions to dismiss without risk of default. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of this matter or the range of its potential impact.

## WEG v. OSM NEPA Lawsuit

In February 2013, WEG filed a Petition for Review in the United States District Court of Colorado against OSM challenging federal administrative decisions affecting seven different mines in four states issued at various times from 2007 through 2012. In its petition, WEG challenges several unrelated mining plan modification approvals, which were each separately approved by OSM. Of the fifteen claims for relief in the WEG Petition, two concern SJCC's San Juan mine. WEG's allegations concerning the San Juan mine arise from OSM administrative actions in 2008. WEG alleges various National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") violations against OSM, including, but not limited to, OSM's alleged failure to provide requisite public notice and participation, alleged failure to analyze certain environmental impacts, and alleged reliance on outdated and insufficient documents. WEG's petition seeks various forms of relief, including a finding that the federal defendants violated NEPA by approving the mine plans, voiding, reversing, and remanding the various mining modification approvals, enjoining the federal defendants from re-issuing the mining plan approvals for the mines until compliance with NEPA has been demonstrated, and enjoining operations at the seven mines. SJCC intervened in this matter. The Court granted SJCC's motion to sever its claims from the lawsuit and transfer venue to the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico, where this matter is now proceeding. If WEG ultimately obtains the relief it has requested, such a ruling could require significant expenditures to reconfigure operations at the San Juan mine, impact the production of coal, and impact the economic viability of the San Juan mine and SJGS. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of this matter or the range of its potential impact. Navajo Nation Environmental Issues

Four Corners is located on the Navajo Reservation and is held under an easement granted by the federal government, as well as a lease from the Navajo Nation. The Navajo Acts purport to give the Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency authority to promulgate regulations covering air quality, drinking water, and pesticide activities, including those activities that occur at Four Corners. In October 1995, the Four Corners participants filed a lawsuit in

the District Court of the Navajo Nation challenging the applicability of the Navajo Acts to Four Corners. Although an agreement was reached resolving claims related to the CAA, the agreement does not address or resolve any dispute relating to other aspects of the Navajo Acts. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of these matters or the range of their potential impacts.

Cooling Water Intake Structures

EPA issued its final cooling water intake structures rule on May 19, 2014, which establishes national standards for certain cooling water intake structures at existing power plants and other facilities under the Clean Water Act to protect fish and other aquatic organisms by minimizing impingement mortality (the capture of aquatic wildlife on intake structures or against screens)

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

and entrainment mortality (the capture of fish or shellfish in water flow entering and passing through intake structures). The final rule was published on August 15, 2014 and became effective October 14, 2014.

The final rule allows multiple compliance options and considerations for site specific conditions and the permit writer is granted a significant amount of discretion in determining permit requirements, schedules, and conditions. To minimize impingement mortality, the rule provides operators of facilities, such as SJGS and Four Corners, seven options for meeting "best technology available" standards for reducing impingement. To minimize entrainment mortality, the permitting authority must establish the "best technology available" for entrainment on a site-specific basis, taking into consideration an array of factors, including social costs and benefits. Affected sources must submit source water baseline characterization data to the permitting authority to assist in the determination. Compliance deadlines under the rule are tied to permit renewal and will be subject to a schedule of compliance established by the permitting authority. PNM is performing analyses to determine the potential costs of compliance with the rule. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter or a range of the potential costs of compliance. APS is currently in discussions with EPA Region 9, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit writer for Four Corners, to determine the scope of the impingement and entrainment requirements, which will, in turn, determine APS's costs to comply with the rule. APS has indicated that it does not expect such costs to be material.

#### **Effluent Limitation Guidelines**

On June 7, 2013, EPA published proposed revised wastewater effluent limitation guidelines establishing technology-based wastewater discharge limitations for fossil fuel-fired electric power plants. EPA's proposal offers numerous options that target metals and other pollutants in wastewater streams originating from fly ash and bottom ash handling activities, scrubber activities, and non-chemical metal cleaning waste operations. The preferred alternatives differ with respect to the scope of requirements that would be applicable to existing discharges of pollutants found in wastestreams generated at existing power plants. All four alternatives would establish a "zero discharge" effluent limit for all pollutants in fly ash transport water. However, requirements governing bottom ash transport water differ depending on which alternative EPA ultimately chooses and could range from effluent limits based on Best Available Technology Economically Achievable to "zero discharge" effluent limits. Depending on which alternative EPA finalizes, Four Corners may be required to change equipment and operating practices affecting boilers and ash handling systems, as well as change its waste disposal techniques. PNM has reviewed the proposed rule and continues to assess the potential impact to SJGS and Reeves Station, the only PNM-operated power plants that would be covered by the proposed rule. On April 9, 2014, several environmental groups agreed to allow EPA until September 30, 2015 to issue final effluent limits. Under the agreement, EPA will not seek any further extensions and will follow through on a separate agreement to issue a final rule on coal ash waste disposal by December 19, 2014. If EPA misses the December 19, 2014 deadline to issue a coal ash rule, then the agreement allows the environmental groups to require the EPA to issue the final effluent limits earlier. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter or a range of the potential costs of compliance.

Santa Fe Generating Station

PNM and the NMED are parties to agreements under which PNM installed a remediation system to treat water from a City of Santa Fe municipal supply well, an extraction well, and monitoring wells to address gasoline contamination in the groundwater at the site of the former Santa Fe Generating Station and service center. PNM believes the observed groundwater contamination originated from off-site sources, but agreed to operate the remediation facilities until the groundwater meets applicable federal and state standards or until the NMED determines that additional remediation is

not required, whichever is earlier. The City of Santa Fe has indicated that since the City no longer needs the water from the well, the City would prefer to discontinue its operation and maintain it only as a backup water source. However, for PNM's groundwater remediation system to operate, the water well must be in service. Currently, PNM is not able to assess the duration of this project or estimate the impact on its obligations if the City of Santa Fe ceases to operate the water well.

The Superfund Oversight Section of the NMED has conducted multiple investigations into the chlorinated solvent plume in the vicinity of the site of the former Santa Fe Generating Station. In February 2008, a NMED site inspection report was submitted to EPA, which states that neither the source nor extent of contamination has been determined and that the source may not be the former Santa Fe Generating Station. The NMED investigation is ongoing. In January 2013, NMED notified PNM that monitoring results from April 2012 showed elevated concentrations of nitrate in three monitoring wells and an increase in free-phase hydrocarbons in another well. None of these wells are routinely monitored as part of PNM's obligations under the settlement agreement. In April 2013, NMED conducted the same level of testing on the wells as was conducted in April 2012, which produced

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

similar results. PNM conducted similar site-wide sampling activities in April 2014 and obtained results similar to the 2013 data. As part of this effort, PNM also collected a sample of hydrocarbon product for "fingerprint" analysis from a monitoring well located on the northeastern corner of the property. This analysis indicated that the hydrocarbon product was a mixture of newer and older fuels, and the location of the monitoring well suggests that the hydrocarbon product is likely from offsite sources. PNM does not believe the former generating station is the source of the increased levels of free-phase hydrocarbons, but no conclusive determinations have been made. It is possible that PNM's prior activities to remediate hydrocarbon contamination, as conducted under an NMED-approved plan, may have resulted in increased nitrate levels. Additional testing and analysis will need to be performed before conclusions can be reached regarding the cause of the increased nitrate levels or the method and cost of remediation. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of these matters.

Coal Combustion Byproducts Waste Disposal

CCBs consisting of fly ash, bottom ash, and gypsum from SJGS are currently disposed of in the surface mine pits adjacent to the plant. SJGS does not operate any CCB impoundments. The Mining and Minerals Division of the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department currently regulates mine placement of ash with federal oversight by the OSM. APS disposes of CCBs in ash ponds and dry storage areas at Four Corners and also sells a portion of its fly ash for beneficial uses, such as a constituent in concrete production. Ash management at Four Corners is regulated by EPA and the New Mexico State Engineer's Office.

In June 2010, EPA published a proposed rule that includes two options for waste designation of coal ash. One option is to regulate CCBs as a hazardous waste, which would allow EPA to create a comprehensive federal program for waste management and disposal of CCBs. The other option is to regulate CCBs as a non-hazardous waste, which would provide EPA with the authority to develop performance standards for waste management facilities handling the CCBs and would be enforced primarily by state authorities or through citizen suits. Both options allow for continued use of CCBs in beneficial applications. EPA's proposal does not address the placement of CCBs in surface mine pits for reclamation. An OSM CCB rulemaking team has been formed to develop a proposed rule governing the placement of CCBs at coal mining and reclamation operations.

On April 5, 2012, several environmental groups, including Sierra Club, filed a citizen suit in the D.C. Circuit claiming that EPA has failed to review and revise RCRA's regulations with respect to CCBs. The groups allege that EPA has already determined that revisions to the CCBs regulations are necessary and that EPA now has a non-discretionary duty to revise the regulations. The environmental groups asked the court to direct EPA to complete its review of the regulation of CCBs and a hazardous waste analytical procedure and to issue necessary revisions of such regulations as soon as possible. Two industry group members subsequently filed separate lawsuits in the D.C. Circuit seeking to ensure that disposal of coal ash would not be regulated as a hazardous waste. The environmental and industry lawsuits have been consolidated. On January 29, 2014, EPA entered into a consent decree directing EPA to publish its final action regarding whether or not to pursue the proposed non-hazardous waste option for CCBs by December 19, 2014.

PNM advocates for the non-hazardous regulation of CCBs. If CCBs are ultimately regulated as a hazardous waste, costs could increase significantly. PNM would seek recovery from its ratepayers of all costs that are ultimately incurred. PNM cannot predict the outcome of EPA's or OSM's proposed rulemaking regarding CCB regulation, including mine placement of CCBs, or whether these actions will have a material impact on its operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Hazardous Air Pollutants ("HAPs") Rulemaking

In December 2011, the EPA issued its final Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS") to reduce emissions of heavy metals, including mercury, arsenic, chromium, and nickel, as well as acid gases, including hydrochloric and hydrofluoric gases, from coal and oil-fired electric generating units with a capacity of at least 25 MW. Existing facilities will generally have up to four years to demonstrate compliance with the new rule. PNM's assessment of MATS indicates that the control equipment currently used at SJGS allows the plant to meet the emission standards set forth in the rule. With regard to mercury, stack testing performed for EPA during the MATS rulemaking process showed that SJGS achieved a mercury removal rate of 99% or greater. APS has determined that no additional equipment will be required at Four Corners Units 4 and 5 to comply with the rule.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

# Other Commitments and Contingencies Coal Supply

The coal requirements for SJGS are being supplied by SJCC, a wholly owned subsidiary of BHP. In addition to coal delivered to meet the current needs of SJGS, PNM prepays SJCC for certain coal mined but not yet delivered to the plant site. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, prepayments for coal, which are included in other current assets, amounted to \$26.1 million and \$12.3 million. SJCC holds certain federal, state, and private coal leases and has an underground coal sales agreement to supply processed coal for operation of SJGS through 2017. Under the coal sales agreement, SJCC is reimbursed for all costs for mining and delivering the coal, including an allocated portion of administrative costs, and receives a return on its investment. BHP Minerals International, Inc. has guaranteed the obligations of SJCC under the coal agreement. The coal agreement contemplates the delivery of coal that would supply substantially all the requirements of SJGS through December 31, 2017.

PNM and the other owners of SJGS are evaluating alternatives for the supply of coal after the expiration of the current coal sales agreement. As discussed under SJGS Ownership Restructuring Matters above, the Resolution and the non-binding term sheet approved by the SJGS Coordination Committee on June 26, 2014 recognize that prior to executing a binding restructuring agreement relating to the ownership of SJGS, the remaining participants will need to have greater certainty in regard to the cost and availability of fuel for SJGS for the period after December 31, 2017. The remaining participants are in the process of negotiating agreements concerning future fuel supply for SJGS with SJCC and BHP. On October 1, 2014, the San Juan Fuels Committee approved a resolution authorizing an amendment to the coal sales agreement. The parties to the coal sales agreement and the amendment are SJCC, PNM, and Tucson. The amendment provides for the negotiation of a potential purchase transaction for the mine assets by one or more of the utilities, an affiliate, or another entity agreed to by the parties to be consummated on or before December 31, 2016. PNM anticipates that a consummated arrangement would ultimately involve a third-party mining company either as the owner of the mine or as a contract miner and could involve some or all of the remaining participants in SJGS. The amendment, which was effective as of October 2, 2014, also releases the parties from the obligation to negotiate an extension of the coal sales agreement, but does not impact the utilities' option to purchase the mining assets at the end of the current contract term if the purchase transaction is not completed. On October 2, 2014, the parties also entered into an agreement that provides the SJGS participants with access to data necessary to evaluate the mine assets and liabilities. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of these negotiations or if a transaction will be consummated. APS purchased all of Four Corners' coal requirements from a supplier that was also a subsidiary of BHP and had a long-term lease of coal reserves with the Navajo Nation. That contract was to expire on July 6, 2016 with pricing determined using an escalating base-price. On December 30, 2013, ownership of the mine was transferred to an entity owned by the Navajo Nation and a new coal supply contract for Four Corners, expiring in 2031, was entered into with that entity. The BHP subsidiary is to be retained as the mine manager and operator until December 2016. Coal costs are anticipated to increase approximately 21% for the first full year of the new contract and will further increase over the contract term. PNM anticipates that its share of the increased costs will be recovered through its FPPAC. In 2013, PNM updated its study of the final reclamation costs for both the surface mines that previously provided coal to SJGS and the current underground mine providing coal and revised its estimates of the final reclamation costs. This estimate reflects that, with the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3 described above, the mine providing coal to SJGS will continue to operate through 2053, the anticipated life of SJGS. The 2013 estimate for decommissioning the Four Corners mine reflects the operation of the mine through 2031, the term of the new coal supply agreement. Based on the 2013 estimates, remaining payments for mine reclamation, in future dollars, are estimated to be \$53.9 million for the surface mines at both SJGS and Four Corners and \$93.3 million for the underground mine at SJGS as of June

30, 2014. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, liabilities, in current dollars, of \$22.9 million and \$23.8 million for surface mine reclamation and \$8.4 million and \$7.8 million for underground mine reclamation were recorded in other deferred credits.

PNM collects a provision for surface and underground mine reclamation costs in its rates. The NMPRC has capped the amount that can be collected from ratepayers for final reclamation of the surface mines at \$100.0 million. Previously, PNM recorded a regulatory asset for the \$100.0 million and recovers the amortization of this regulatory asset in rates. If future estimates increase the liability for surface mine reclamation, the excess would be expensed at that time. In conjunction with the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3 to comply with the BART requirements of the CAA discussed under The Clean Air Act – Regional Haze – SJGS above, an updated coal mine reclamation study was requested by the SJGS participants. As discussed under Coal

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Combustion Byproducts Waste Disposal above, SJGS currently disposes of CCBs from the plant in the surface mine pits adjacent to the plant. The updated coal mine reclamation study indicates reclamation costs have increased, including significant increases due to the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3, although the timing of payments will be delayed. The shutdown of Units 2 and 3 would reduce the amount of CCBs generated over the remaining life of SJGS, which could result in a significant increase in the amount of fill dirt required to remediate the underground mine area thereby increasing the overall reclamation costs. How costs would be divided among the owners of SJGS has not been finalized. Regulatory determinations made by the NMPRC may also affect the impact on PNM. The reclamation amounts discussed above reflect PNM's estimates of its share of the revised costs. PNM is currently unable to determine the outcome of these matters or the range of possible impacts.

San Juan Underground Mine Fire Incident

On September 9, 2011, a fire was discovered at the underground mine owned and operated by SJCC that provides coal for SJGS. The federal Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") was notified of the incident. On September 12, 2011, SJCC informed PNM that the fire was extinguished. However, MSHA required sealing the incident area and confirmation of a noncombustible environment before allowing re-entry of the sealed area. SJCC regained entry into the sealed area of the mine in early March 2012. At that time, MSHA conducted a root cause analysis inspection of the incident area, but has not yet issued its report. SJCC completed inspection of the mine equipment and reported no significant damage. SJCC removed the equipment from the impacted mine panel and reassembled it at a new panel face. On May 4, 2012, SJCC received approval from MSHA and resumed longwall mining operations. Coal inventories have been restored to pre-incident levels and SJCC provided notice to PNM on September 23, 2014 that the mine has been restored to normal operations.

The costs of the mine recovery flowed through the cost-reimbursable component of the coal supply agreement. PNM included the portion of such costs allocable to its customers subject to New Mexico regulation in its FPPAC. PNM's filings with the NMPRC reflected an estimate that this incident increased coal costs and the deferral of cost recovery under the FPPAC by between \$17.4 million and \$21.6 million. SJCC submitted an insurance claim regarding the costs it incurred due to the mine fire and informed PNM that it settled with its insurance carrier. PNM's portion of the insurance recovery is \$18.7 million. PNM has credited its FPPAC balancing account for the insurance proceeds allocable to PNM's New Mexico jurisdictional customers. See Note 12.

#### Continuous Highwall Mining Royalty Rate

In August 2013, the DOI Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") issued a proposed rulemaking that would retroactively apply the surface mining royalty rate of 12.5% to continuous highwall mining ("CHM"). Comments regarding the rulemaking were due on October 11, 2013, and PNM submitted comments in opposition to the proposed rule. There is no legal deadline for adoption of the final rule.

SJCC utilized the CHM technique from 2000 to 2003 and, with the approval of the Farmington, New Mexico Field Office of BLM to reclassify the final highwall as underground reserves, applied the 8.0% underground mining royalty rate to coal mined using CHM and sold to SJGS. In March 2001, SJCC learned that the DOI Minerals Management Service ("MMS") disagreed with the application of the underground royalty rate to CHM. In August 2006, SJCC and MMS entered into a settlement agreement tolling the statute of limitations on any administrative action to recover unpaid royalties until BLM issued a final, non-appealable determination as to the proper rate for CHM-mined coal. The proposed BLM rulemaking has the potential to terminate the tolling provision of the settlement agreement, and

underpaid royalties of approximately \$5 million for SJGS would become due if the proposed BLM rule is adopted as proposed. PNM's share of any amount that is ultimately paid would be approximately 46.3%, none of which would be passed through PNM's FPPAC. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

SJCC Arbitration

The coal supply agreement for SJGS provides that the participants in SJGS have the right to audit the costs billed by SJCC. An independent accounting firm has been engaged to perform audits of the costs billed under the provisions of the contract. The audit for the period from 2006 through 2009 resulted in disagreements between the SJGS participants and SJCC. As provided in the contract, certain issues were submitted to a panel for binding arbitration. The issues were: 1) whether the SJGS participants owed SJCC unbilled mining costs of \$5.2 million or whether SJCC owed the SJGS participants overbilled mining costs of \$1.1 million, and 2) whether SJCC billed the SJGS participants \$13.9 million as mining costs that SJCC should have considered to be

#### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

capital costs, which were not billable under the mining contract. PNM's share of amounts subject to the arbitration are approximately 46.3%. A hearing before the arbitration panel on the remaining issues was held in May 2014. The arbitration panel found in favor of SJCC on both issues. Of PNM's share of the costs, approximately 33% of the first issue was passed through PNM's FPPAC and the rest impacted earnings in the three months ended June 30, 2014. The amounts related to the second issue were recorded when billed in prior periods and had no impact in 2014. Four Corners Severance Tax Assessment

On May 23, 2013, the New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department ("NMTRD") issued a notice of assessment for coal severance surtax, penalty, and interest totaling approximately \$30 million related to coal supplied under the coal supply agreement for Four Corners. PNM's share of any amounts paid related to this assessment would be approximately 8%, all of which would be passed through PNM's FPPAC. For procedural reasons, on behalf of the Four Corners co-owners, including PNM, the coal supplier made a partial payment of the assessment and immediately filed a refund claim with respect to that partial payment in August 2013. On December 19, 2013, the coal supplier and APS, on its own behalf and as operating agent for Four Corners, filed a complaint in the New Mexico District Court contesting both the validity of the assessment and the refund claim denial. PNM believes the assessment and the refund claim denial are without merit, but cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

#### **PVNGS** Liability and Insurance Matters

Public liability for incidents at nuclear power plants is governed by the Price-Anderson Act, which limits the liability of nuclear reactor owners to the amount of insurance available from both private sources and an industry retrospective payment plan. In accordance with the Price-Anderson Act, the PVNGS participants have insurance for public liability exposure for a nuclear incident totaling \$13.6 billion per occurrence. Commercial insurance carriers provide \$375 million and \$13.2 billion is provided through a mandatory industry-wide retrospective assessment program. If losses at any nuclear power plant covered by the program exceed the accumulated funds, PNM could be assessed retrospective premium adjustments. Based on PNM's 10.2% interest in each of the three PVNGS units, PNM's maximum potential retrospective premium assessment per incident for all three units is \$38.9 million, with a maximum annual payment limitation of \$5.7 million.

The PVNGS participants maintain "all risk" (including nuclear hazards) insurance for damage to, and decontamination of, property at PVNGS in the aggregate amount of \$2.75 billion, a substantial portion of which must first be applied to stabilization and decontamination. These coverages are provided by Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited ("NEIL"). Effective April 1, 2014, a sublimit of \$2.25 billion for non-nuclear property damage losses has been enacted to the primary policy offered by NEIL. If NEIL's losses in any policy year exceed accumulated funds, PNM is subject to retrospective premium assessments of \$4.8 million for each retrospective premium assessment declared by NEIL's Board of Directors. The insurance coverages discussed in this and the previous paragraph are subject to policy conditions and exclusions.

#### Water Supply

Because of New Mexico's arid climate and periodic drought conditions, there is concern in New Mexico about the use of water, including that used for power generation. PNM has secured groundwater rights in connection with the existing plants at Reeves Station, Rio Bravo, Afton, Luna, and Lordsburg. Water availability is not an issue for these plants at this time. However, prolonged drought, ESA activities, and a Federal lawsuit by the State of Texas (suing the State of New Mexico over water allocations) could pose a threat of reduced water availability for these plants.

PNM, APS, and BHP have undertaken activities to secure additional water supplies for SJGS, Four Corners, and related mines to accommodate the possibility of inadequate precipitation in coming years. Since 2004, PNM has entered into agreements for voluntary sharing of the impacts of water shortages with tribes and other water users in the San Juan basin. This agreement has been extended through 2016. In addition, in the case of water shortage, PNM, APS, and BHP have reached agreement with the Jicarilla Apache Nation on a long-term supplemental contract relating to water for SJGS and Four Corners that runs through 2016. Although PNM does not believe that its operations will be materially affected by drought conditions at this time, it cannot forecast the weather or its ramifications, or how policy, regulations, and legislation may impact PNM should water shortages occur in the future.

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

In April 2010, APS signed an agreement on behalf of the PVNGS participants with five cities to provide cooling water essential to power production at PVNGS for forty years.

**PVNGS Water Supply Litigation** 

In 1986, an action commenced regarding the rights of APS and the other PVNGS participants to the use of groundwater and effluent at PVNGS. APS filed claims that dispute the court's jurisdiction over PVNGS' groundwater rights and their contractual rights to effluent relating to PVNGS and, alternatively, seek confirmation of those rights. In 1999, the Arizona Supreme Court issued a decision finding that certain groundwater rights may be available to the federal government and Indian tribes. In addition, the Arizona Supreme Court issued a decision in 2000 affirming the lower court's criteria for resolving groundwater claims. Litigation on these issues has continued in the trial court. No trial dates have been set in these matters. PNM does not expect that this litigation will have a material impact on its results of operation, financial position, or cash flows.

San Juan River Adjudication

In 1975, the State of New Mexico filed an action in New Mexico District Court to adjudicate all water rights in the San Juan River Stream System, including water used at Four Corners and SJGS. PNM was made a defendant in the litigation in 1976. In March 2009, President Obama signed legislation confirming a 2005 settlement with the Navajo Nation. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Navajo Nation's water rights would be settled and finally determined by entry by the court of two proposed adjudication decrees. The court issued an order in August 2013 finding that no evidentiary hearing was warranted in the Navajo Nation proceeding, and on November 1, 2013 issued a Partial Final Judgment and Decree of the Water Rights of the Navajo Nation approving the proposed settlement with the Navajo Nation. Several parties filed a joint motion for a new trial, which was denied by the court. A number of parties subsequently appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. PNM has entered its appearance in the appellate case. No hearing dates or deadlines have been set at this time.

PNM is participating in this proceeding since PNM's water rights in the San Juan Basin may be affected by the rights recognized in the settlement agreement as being owned by the Navajo Nation, which comprise a significant portion of water available from sources on the San Juan River and in the San Juan Basin. PNM is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter or estimate the amount or range of potential loss and cannot determine the effect, if any, of any water rights adjudication on the present arrangements for water at SJGS and Four Corners. Final resolution of the case cannot be expected for several years. An agreement reached with the Navajo Nation in 1985, however, provides that if Four Corners loses a portion of its rights in the adjudication, the Navajo Nation will provide, for an agreed upon cost, sufficient water from its allocation to offset the loss.

Rights-of-Way Matter

On January 28, 2014, the County Commission of Bernalillo County, New Mexico passed an ordinance requiring utilities to enter into a use agreement and pay a yet to be determined fee as a condition to installing, maintaining, and operating facilities on county rights-of-way. The fee is purported to compensate the county for costs of administering, maintaining, and capital improvements to the rights-of-way. On February 27, 2014, PNM and other utilities filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico challenging the validity of the ordinance. In June 2014, the utilities and Bernalillo County reached an agreement whereby the County would not take any enforcement action against the utilities pursuant to the ordinance during the pendency of the litigation, but not including any period for appeal of a judgment, or upon 30 days written notice by either the County or the utilities of their intention to terminate the agreement. The federal court ruled in favor of Bernalillo County, dismissing the state law claims. The utilities filed an amended complaint reflecting the two federal

claims remaining before the federal court. The utilities also filed a complaint in Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court reflecting the state law counts dismissed by the federal court. If the challenges to the ordinance are unsuccessful, PNM believes any fees paid pursuant to the ordinance would be considered franchise fees and would be recoverable from customers. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter or its impact on PNM's operations. Complaint Against Southwestern Public Service Company

In September 2005, PNM filed a complaint under the Federal Power Act against SPS alleging SPS overcharged PNM for deliveries of energy through its fuel cost adjustment clause practices and that rates for sales to PNM were excessive. PNM also intervened in a proceeding brought by other customers raising similar arguments relating to SPS' fuel cost adjustment clause

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

practices and issues relating to demand cost allocation (the "Golden Spread Proceeding"). In addition, PNM intervened in a proceeding filed by SPS to revise its rates for sales to PNM ("SPS 2006 Rate Proceeding"). In 2008, FERC issued its order in the Golden Spread Proceeding affirming an ALJ decision that SPS violated its fuel cost adjustment clause tariffs, but shortening the refund period applicable to the violation of the fuel cost adjustment clause issues that had been ordered by the ALJ. FERC also reversed the decision of the ALJ, which had been favorable to PNM, on the demand cost allocation issues. PNM and SPS filed petitions for rehearing and clarification of the scope of the remedies that were ordered and seeking reversal of various rulings in the order. On August 15, 2013, FERC issued separate orders in the Golden Spread Proceeding and in the SPS 2006 Rate Proceeding. The order in the Golden Spread Proceeding determined that PNM was not entitled to refunds for SPS' fuel cost adjustment clause practices. That order and the order in the SPS 2006 Rate Proceeding decided the demand cost allocation issues using the method that PNM had advocated. PNM, SPS, and other customers of SPS have filed requests for rehearing of these orders and they are pending further action by FERC. PNM cannot predict the final outcome of the case at FERC or the range of possible outcomes.

## Navajo Nation Allottee Matters

A putative class action was filed against PNM and other utilities in February 2009 in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. Plaintiffs claim to be allottees, members of the Navajo Nation, who pursuant to the Dawes Act of 1887, were allotted ownership in land carved out of the Navajo Nation and allege that defendants, including PNM, are rights-of-way grantees with rights-of-way across the allotted lands and are either in trespass or have paid insufficient fees for the grant of rights-of-way or both. In March 2010, the court ordered that the entirety of the plaintiffs' case be dismissed. The court did not grant plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint, finding that they instead must pursue and exhaust their administrative remedies before seeking redress in federal court. In May 2010, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal with the Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA"), which was denied by the BIA Regional Director. In May 2011, plaintiffs appealed the Regional Director's decision to the DOI, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Interior Board of Indian Appeals. Following briefing on the merits, on August 20, 2013, that board issued a decision upholding the Regional Director's decision that the allottees had failed to perfect their appeals, and dismissed the allottees' appeals, without prejudice. The allottees have not refiled their appeals. Although this matter was dismissed without prejudice, PNM considers the matter concluded. However, PNM continues to monitor this matter in order to preserve its interests regarding any PNM-acquired rights-of-way.

In a separate matter, in September 2012, 43 landowners claiming to be Navajo allottees filed a notice of appeal with the BIA appealing a March 2011 decision of the BIA Regional Director regarding renewal of a right-of-way for a PNM transmission line. The allottees, many of whom are also allottees in the above matter, generally allege that they were not paid fair market value for the right-of-way, that they were denied the opportunity to make a showing as to their view of fair market value, and thus denied due process. On January 6, 2014, PNM received notice that the BIA, Navajo Region, requested a review of an appraisal report on 58 allotment parcels. After review, the BIA concluded it would continue to rely on the values of the original appraisal. On March 27, 2014, while this matter was stayed, the allottees filed a motion to dismiss their appeal with prejudice. On April 2, 2014, the allotees' appeal was dismissed with prejudice concluding this matter. Subsequent to the dismissal, PNM received a letter from counsel on behalf of what appears to be a subset of the 43 landowner allottees involved in the appeal, notifying PNM that the specified allottees were revoking their consents for renewal of right of way on six specific allotments. PNM is in the process of investigating the validity of this notice of revocation and its potential impact in light of the BIA's position and the recent dismissal with prejudice of the appeal, and is therefore unable at this time to predict the likely outcome of this matter.

#### (12) Regulatory and Rate Matters

The Company is involved in various regulatory matters, some of which contain contingencies that are subject to the same uncertainties as those described in Note 11. Additional information concerning regulatory and rate matters is contained in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. PNM

## Renewable Portfolio Standard

The REA establishes a mandatory RPS requiring a utility to acquire a renewable energy portfolio equal to 10% of retail electric sales by 2011, 15% by 2015, and 20% by 2020. The NMPRC requires renewable energy portfolios to be "fully diversified." The current diversity requirements are 30% wind, 20% solar, 5% other, and 1.5% distributed generation, increasing to 3% in 2015,

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

subject to the limitation of the RCT. In December 2013, the NMPRC modified the RCT calculation to establish a two to one REC weighting for renewable energy from the non-wind/non-solar category, such as geothermal resources. On motions for rehearing, the NMPRC reversed its weighting decision in April 2014.

The REA provides for streamlined proceedings for approval of utilities' renewable energy procurement plans, assures utilities that they recover costs incurred consistent with approved procurement plans, and requires the NMPRC to establish a RCT for the procurement of renewable resources to prevent excessive costs being added to rates. Currently, the RCT is set at 3% of customers' annual electric charges.

The NMPRC approved PNM's 2014 renewable energy procurement plan on December 18, 2013. The plan meets RPS and diversity requirements within the RCT in 2014 and 2015. PNM's procurements include 50,000 MWh of wind generated RECs in 2014, the construction by December 31, 2014 of 23 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities at a cost of \$46.7 million, a 20-year PPA for the output of Red Mesa Wind, an existing wind generator having an aggregate capacity of 102 MW, beginning January 1, 2015 at a first year cost estimated to be \$5.8 million, and the purchase of 120,000 MWh of wind RECs in 2015.

PNM filed its 2015 renewable energy procurement plan on June 2, 2014. The plan meets RPS and diversity requirements within the RCT in 2015 and 2016. PNM's proposed new procurements include the construction by December 31, 2015 of 40 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities at a cost of \$79.3 million. The proposed 40 MW solar facilities are identified as being a cost-effective resource in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 (Note 11). On September 25, 2014, a stipulated settlement was filed by PNM, staff of the NMPRC, the NMAG, NMIEC, Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy, and Western Resource Advocates. The stipulation would approve PNM's procurement proposals; however, the costs for the 40 MW of solar would be included in base rates to be set in PNM's next general rate case rather than through PNM's renewable energy rider. Under the agreement, PNM will be required to make additional renewable energy procurements in the event that the prior year's actual renewable energy procurements did not meet the RPS for that year based on actual retail sales and the actual RCT. The parties also agreed to have additional discussions to attempt to reach agreement on RPS and large customer adjustment calculations to be used in future PNM renewable procurement plans. A public hearing on the stipulation was held on October 27, 2014. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Hearing Examiner asked the parties to provide her with a draft Certification of Stipulation by November 7, 2014. PNM expects a decision by December 2, 2014.

PNM is recovering certain renewable procurement costs from customers through a rate rider. See Renewable Energy Rider below.

Renewable Energy Rider

The NMPRC has authorized PNM to recover certain renewable procurement costs through a rate rider billed on a per KWh basis. The rider will terminate upon a final order in PNM's next general rate case unless the NMPRC authorizes PNM to continue it. As a separate component of the rider, if PNM's earned return on jurisdictional equity in a calendar year, adjusted for weather and other items not representative of normal operations, exceeds 10.5%, PNM would be required to refund the amount over 10.5% to customers during May through December of the following year. On April 1, 2014, PNM made a filing with the NMPRC demonstrating that it had not exceeded the 10.5% return for 2013. At the currently approved rider rate, PNM would collect an estimated \$34.6 million annually. In its 2015 renewable energy procurement plan, PNM has proposed to increase the rate to collect \$44.7 million in 2015 through its renewable energy rider.

Energy Efficiency and Load Management

# **Program Costs**

Public utilities are required by the Efficient Use of Energy Act to achieve specified levels of energy savings and to obtain NMPRC approval to implement energy efficiency and load management programs. Costs to implement approved programs are recovered through a rate rider. In 2013, this act was amended to set an annual program budget equal to 3% of an electric utility's annual revenue.

### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

In October 2012, PNM filed an energy efficiency program application for programs proposed to be offered beginning in May 2013. The filing included proposed program costs of \$22.5 million plus a proposed profit incentive. The NMPRC approved PNM's program application, including the annual profit incentive discussed below, on November 6, 2013.

On October 6, 2014, PNM filed an energy efficiency program application for programs proposed to be offered beginning in June 2015. The filing included proposed program costs of \$25.8 million plus a proposed profit incentive. The proposed energy efficiency budget and plan are consistent with the 2013 amendments to the Efficient Use of Energy Act. The NMPRC has not yet acted upon PNM's application.

#### Disincentives/Incentives

The Efficient Use of Energy Act requires the NMPRC to remove utility disincentives to implementing energy efficiency and load management programs and to provide incentives for such programs. In 2010, PNM began implementing a NMPRC rule that authorized electric utilities to collect rate adders to remove disincentives and to provide incentives for energy and demand savings related to energy efficiency and demand response programs. In November 2013, the NMPRC issued an order authorizing PNM to recover an incentive equal to 7.6% of annual program costs beginning with program implementation in December 2013. Based on PNM's currently approved program costs, this equates to an estimated annual incentive of \$1.7 million.

In PNM's 2014 energy efficiency program application, PNM proposed an energy efficiency incentive of \$2.1 million. PNM's proposed incentive was based upon a shared benefits methodology and is similar in amount to previous PNM incentives authorized by the NMPRC. The NMPRC has not yet acted upon PNM's application.

### **Energy Efficiency Rulemaking**

On May 17, 2012, the NMPRC issued a NOPR that would have amended the NMPRC's energy efficiency rule to authorize use of a decoupling mechanism to recover certain fixed costs of providing retail electric service as the mechanism for removal of disincentives associated with the implementation of energy efficiency programs. The proposed rule also addressed incentives associated with energy efficiency. On July 26, 2012, the NMPRC closed the proposed rulemaking and opened a new energy efficiency rulemaking docket that may address decoupling and incentives. Workshops to develop a proposed rule have been held, but no order proposing a rule has been issued. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

On October 2, 2013, the NMPRC issued a NOPR and a proposed rule to implement amendments to the New Mexico Efficient Use of Energy Act. Included in the proposed rule is a provision that would limit incentive awards to an amount equal to the utility's WACC times its approved annual program costs. The NMPRC received comments and a public hearing was held on November 20, 2013. The NMPRC issued an order on October 8, 2014 adopting the proposed rule.

**FPPAC Continuation Application** 

Pursuant to the rules of the NMPRC, public utilities are required to file an application to continue using their FPPAC every four years. On May 28, 2013, PNM filed the required continuation application and requested that its current FPPAC be modified to increase the reset frequency of the fuel factor from annually to quarterly, to allow PNM to retain 10% of its off-system sales margin, and to apply the same carrying charge rate to both over and under collections in the balancing account. On December 20, 2013, a stipulated agreement was filed to resolve this case. On April 23, 2014, the NMPRC approved the stipulation. The settlement allows PNM to retain 10% of off-system sales

margin from July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2016, resolves all costs related to the San Juan Coal mine fire discussed in Note 11, resolves the ratemaking treatment for coal pre-treatment at SJGS until the next rate case, requires PNM to write-off \$10.5 million of the under-collected balance in its FPPAC balancing account, and requires PNM to extend the recovery of the remaining under-collected balance over 18 months beginning July 1, 2014. PNM recorded the \$10.5 million write off as a regulatory disallowance in the fourth quarter of 2013.

# Integrated Resource Plan

NMPRC rules require that investor owned utilities file an IRP every three years. The IRP is required to cover a 20-year planning period and contain an action plan covering the first four years of that period. PNM filed its 2014 IRP on July 1, 2014. The four-year action plan was consistent with the replacement resources identified in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

and 3. PNM indicated that it planned to meet its anticipated long-term load growth with a combination of additional renewable energy resources, energy efficiency, and natural gas-fired facilities. Consistent with statute and NMPRC rule, PNM incorporated a public advisory process into the development of its 2014 IRP. On July 31, 2014, several parties requested the NMPRC not to accept the 2014 IRP as compliant with NMPRC rule because to do so could affect the pending proceeding on PNM's application to abandon SJGS Units 2 and 3 and for CCNs for certain replacement resources (Note 11) and because they assert that the IRP does not conform to the NMPRC's IRP rule. Certain parties also ask that further proceedings on the IRP be held in abeyance until the conclusion of the pending abandonment/CCN proceeding. The NMPRC issued an order in August 2014 that dockets a case to determine whether the IRP complies with applicable NMPRC rules. The order also holds the case in abeyance pending the issuance of final, non-appealable orders in PNM's 2015 renewable energy procurement plan case and its application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3.

Applications for Approvals to Purchase Rio Bravo

As discussed in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, PNM entered into an agreement to purchase Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta, a 132 MW natural gas peaking unit from which PNM acquired energy and capacity under a PPA. The agreement to purchase Delta required approvals by the NMPRC and FERC. On June 26, 2013, the NMPRC granted PNM's CCN application and approved PNM's proposed ratemaking treatment. FERC approved the purchase on February 26, 2013. PNM closed on the purchase on July 17, 2014.

Application for Approval of La Luz Generating Station

On May 17, 2013, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC for a CCN to construct, own, and operate a 40 MW gas-fired generating facility near Belen, New Mexico. The application also requested a determination of related ratemaking principles and treatment. PNM has entered into a contract for purchase of the turbine to be used for this project and a separate contract for the construction of the facility on a turn-key basis. On February 20, 2014, a stipulated agreement was filed that would resolve the case. The parties to the stipulation are PNM, the NMPRC staff, and another intervenor. The parties to the stipulation agree that a CCN should be granted and establishes a value of up to \$56.0 million to be included in rate base for the facility. A public hearing was held on April 29, 2014. The NMPRC issued an order certifying the stipulation on June 18, 2014. Construction of the facility is expected to be completed in late 2015

San Juan Generating Station Units 2 and 3 Retirement

On December 20, 2013, PNM filed an application at the NMPRC to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 on December 31, 2017. On October 1, 2014, PNM and certain parties to the case filed a stipulation with the NMPRC proposing a settlement of this case. Other parties are opposing the stipulated agreement. Additional information concerning the NMPRC filing, including a summary of the terms of the stipulation is set forth in Note 11.

The public hearing in the NMPRC case is scheduled to begin on January 5, 2015. PNM will also make an application at FERC to seek approval of the restructured SJGS participation agreements. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of these matters.

Formula Transmission Rate Case

On December 31, 2012, PNM filed an application with FERC for authorization to move from charging stated rates for wholesale electric transmission service to a formula rate mechanism pursuant to which rates for wholesale transmission service are calculated annually in accordance with an approved formula. In a settlement of a prior transmission rate case, the parties agreed that no party would oppose the general principle of a formula rate, although the parties may still object to particular aspects of the formula. PNM's proposed formula includes updating cost of

service components, including investment in plant and operating expenses, based on information contained in PNM's annual financial report filed with FERC, as well as including projected large transmission capital projects to be placed into service in the following year. The projections included are subject to true-up in the following year formula rate. Certain items, including changes to return on equity and depreciation rates, require a separate filing to be made with FERC before being included in the formula rate. As filed, PNM's request would result in a \$3.2 million wholesale electric transmission rate increase, based on PNM's 2011 data and a 10.81% return on equity ("ROE"), and authority to adjust transmission rates annually based on an approved formula.

On March 1, 2013, FERC issued an order (1) accepting PNM's revisions to its rates for filing and suspending the proposed revisions to become effective August 2, 2013, subject to refund; (2) directing PNM to submit a compliance filing to establish its ROE using the median, rather than the mid-point, of the ROEs from a proxy group of companies; (3) directing PNM to submit a

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

compliance filing to remove from its rate proposal the acquisition adjustment related to PNM's 60% ownership of the EIP transmission line, which was acquired in 2003; and (4) setting the proceeding for hearing and settlement judge procedures. PNM would be allowed to make a separate filing related to recovery of the EIP acquisition adjustment. On April 1, 2013, PNM made the required compliance filing. In addition, PNM filed for rehearing of FERC's order regarding the ROE. On June 3, 2013, PNM made additional filings incorporating final 2012 data into the formula rate request. The updated formula rate would result in a \$1.3 million rate increase over the rates approved by FERC on January 2, 2013. The new rates apply to all of PNM's wholesale electric transmission service customers. The new rates do not apply to PNM's retail customers. On June 10, 2013, FERC denied PNM's motion for rehearing regarding FERC's order requiring PNM to use the median, instead of the midpoint, to calculate its ROE for the formula rate case. On August 2, 2013, the new rates went into effect, subject to refund. On May 1, 2014, PNM updated its formula rate incorporating 2013 data resulting in a \$0.5 million rate increase over the then current rates. PNM filed the updated rate request with FERC on May 30, 2014, at which time the new rates became effective, subject to refund. Settlement negotiations are ongoing concerning issues in this proceeding. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this proceeding.

City of Gallup, New Mexico Contract

PNM provided both energy and power services to Gallup, PNM's second largest firm-requirements wholesale customer, under an electric service agreement that was to expire on June 30, 2013. On May 1, 2013, PNM and Gallup agreed to extend the term of the agreement to June 30, 2014 and to increase the demand and energy rates under the agreement. On May 1, 2013, PNM requested FERC approval of the amended agreement to be effective July 1, 2013. On June 21, 2013, FERC approved the amended agreement.

On September 26, 2013, Gallup issued a request for proposals for long-term power supply. PNM submitted a proposal in November 2013. On March 26, 2014, Gallup notified PNM that the contract for long-term power supply had been awarded to another utility. PNM's contract with Gallup ended on June 29, 2014. PNM's revenues for power sold under the Gallup contract were \$6.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2014 and totaled \$11.7 million during 2013. TNMP

# Advanced Meter System Deployment

In July 2011, the PUCT approved a settlement and authorized an AMS deployment plan that permits TNMP to collect \$113.3 million in deployment costs through a surcharge over a 12-year period. TNMP began collecting the surcharge on August 11, 2011. Deployment of advanced meters began in September 2011 and is scheduled to be completed over a 5-year period.

In February 2012, the PUCT opened a proceeding to consider the feasibility of an "opt-out" program for retail consumers that wish to decline receipt of an advanced meter. The PUCT requested comments and held a public meeting on various issues. However, various individuals filed a petition with the PUCT seeking a moratorium on any advanced meter deployment. The PUCT denied the petition and an appeal was filed with the Texas District Court on September 28, 2012.

On February 21, 2013, the PUCT filed a proposed rule to permit customers to opt-out of the AMS deployment. The PUCT adopted a rule on August 15, 2013 creating a non-standard metering service for retail customers choosing to decline standard metering service via an advanced meter. The cost of providing non-standard metering service will be borne by opt-out customers through an initial fee and ongoing monthly charge. All transmission and distribution utilities in ERCOT were required to initiate proceedings to establish these charges.

On September 30, 2013, TNMP filed an application to set the initial fee and monthly charges to be assessed for non-standard metering service provided to those retail customers who choose to decline the advanced meter necessary

for standard metering service. TNMP's filing sought recovery of \$0.2 million through proposed initial fees ranging from \$142.84 to \$247.48 and an additional \$0.5 million in annual ongoing expenses via a proposed monthly charge of \$38.99. On June 20, 2014, the PUCT approved a settlement among the parties permitting TNMP to recover \$0.2 million in costs through initial fees ranging from \$63.97 to \$168.61 and ongoing annual expenses of \$0.5 million collected through a \$36.78 monthly fee. The settlement presumes up to 1,081 consumers will elect the non-standard meter service, but preserves TNMP's rights to adjust the fees if the number of anticipated consumers differs from that estimate. TNMP notified all appropriate customers that they could elect non-standard metering. As of October 11, 2014, the end of the initial period in which a customer could elect non-standard metering, 59 customers have made

### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

the election. TNMP does not expect the implementation of non-standard metering service to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Energy Efficiency

TNMP recovers the costs of its energy efficiency programs through an energy efficiency cost recovery factor that includes projected program costs, under or over collected costs from prior years, rate case expenses, and performance bonuses (if the programs exceed expectations). On August 28, 2012, the PUCT approved a settlement that permitted TNMP to collect an aggregate of \$5.2 million effective January 1, 2013. On October 25, 2013, the PUCT approved a settlement that permits TNMP to collect an aggregate of \$5.6 million beginning March 1, 2014. On May 30, 2014, TNMP filed its 2015 energy efficiency cost recovery factor application with the PUCT requesting recovery of \$5.7 million to be collected beginning March 1, 2015. The request included an incentive bonus of \$1.5 million for having achieved demand savings for the 2013 program year that exceeded the goal. On August 6, 2014, the parties filed a stipulation resolving TNMP's application. The PUCT approved the settlement on September 11, 2014, permitting TNMP to collect \$5.7 million beginning March 1, 2015. TNMP recorded the \$1.5 million incentive bonus for 2013 in the three months ended September 30, 2014.

# Transmission Cost of Service Rates

TNMP can update its transmission rates twice per year to reflect changes in its invested capital. Updated rates reflect the addition and retirement of transmission facilities, including appropriate depreciation, federal income tax and other associated taxes, and the approved rate of return on such facilities.

On January 31, 2013, TNMP filed an application to update its transmission rates to reflect an increase in total rate base of \$21.9 million, which would increase revenues \$2.9 million annually. The PUCT ALJ approved TNMP's interim transmission cost of service filing and rates went into effect with bills rendered on March 20, 2013. On August 1, 2013, TNMP filed an application to further update its transmission rates to reflect an increase in total rate base of \$18.1 million, which would increase revenues by \$2.8 million annually. The PUCT ALJ approved TNMP's interim transmission cost of service filing and rates went into effect with bills rendered on September 17, 2013.

On January 21, 2014, TNMP filed an application to further update its transmission rates to reflect an increase in total rate base of \$18.2 million, which would increase revenues by \$2.9 million annually. The PUCT ALJ approved TNMP's interim transmission cost of service filing and rates went into effect with bills rendered on March 13, 2014. On July 18, 2014, TNMP filed an application to further update its transmission rates to reflect an increase in total rate base of \$25.2 million, which would increase revenues by \$4.2 million annually. The PUCT ALJ approved TNMP's interim transmission cost of service filing and rates went into effect with bills rendered on September 8, 2014.

### (13) Income Taxes

On January 3, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which extended fifty percent bonus depreciation for 2013, was signed into law. Due to provisions in the act, taxes payable to the State of New Mexico for 2013 were reduced, which resulted in an impairment of New Mexico wind energy production tax credits. In accordance with GAAP, PNMR was required to record this impairment, which after federal income tax benefit amounted to \$1.5 million, as additional income tax expense during the three months ended March 31, 2013. This impairment was reflected in PNMR's Corporate and Other segment.

On April 4, 2013, New Mexico House Bill 641 was signed into law. One of the provisions of the bill was to reduce the New Mexico corporate income tax rate from 7.6% to 5.9%. The rate reduction will be phased in from 2014 to 2018. In accordance with GAAP, PNMR and PNM adjusted accumulated deferred income taxes to reflect the tax rate at which the balances are expected to reverse during the period that includes the date of enactment, which was in three months ended June 30, 2013. At that time, the portion of the adjustment related to PNM's regulated activities was recorded as a reduction in deferred tax liabilities, which was offset by an increase in a regulatory liability, on the assumption that PNM will be required to return the benefit to customers over time. The increase in the regulatory liability was \$23.9 million. In addition, the portion of the adjustment that is not related to PNM's regulated activities was recorded in PNMR's Corporate and Other segment as a reduction in deferred tax assets and an increase in income tax expense of \$1.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013. Changes in the estimated timing of

### **Table of Contents**

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

reversals of deferred tax assets and liabilities will result in refinements of the impacts of this change in tax rates being recorded periodically until 2018, when the rate reduction is fully phased in. In the three months ended March 31, 2014, PNM's regulatory liability was reduced by \$4.6 million, which increased deferred tax liabilities. Additionally, deferred tax assets not related to PNM's regulatory activities were reduced by \$0.2 million, which increased income tax expense in the Corporate and Other segment.

The future reduction in taxes payable to the State of New Mexico resulting from the rate reduction in House Bill 641 and revisions in estimates of future taxable income resulted in a further impairment of New Mexico wind energy production tax credits. In accordance with GAAP, PNMR was required to record this impairment, which after federal income tax benefit amounted to \$2.4 million, as additional income tax expense during the three months ended June 30, 2013. This impairment is reflected in PNMR's Corporate and Other segment.

In 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2013-11, which requires entities to present liabilities for uncertain tax positions as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward if such carryforward could be used to offset those liabilities upon settlement. The update was required to be applied prospectively for periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and early adoption was permitted. The Company elected not to adopt the change for 2013, but did adopt it for 2014 as required by the update. Had the Company applied the update at December 31, 2013, the effect would have been decreases in net operating loss deferred tax assets of \$19.9 million for PNMR, \$11.2 million for PNM, and \$6.8 million for TNMP, along with the elimination of the corresponding assets and liabilities associated with uncertain tax positions. There was no impact to earning from adopting the update.

In June 2014, the Company settled the IRS examination of income tax years 2003 and 2005 through 2008 resulting in years prior to 2009 being closed to examination by federal taxing authorities. As a result of the settlement, the Company received net federal tax refunds of \$2.0 million. The IRS examination resulted in the settlement of certain issues for which the Company had previously reflected liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. The settlement of the IRS examination, including the uncertain tax position matters, resulted in PNMR recording an income tax benefit of \$0.2 million on a consolidated basis in the three months ended June 30, 2014. PNM recorded an income tax expense of \$1.1 million, TNMP reflected no impact, and an income tax benefit of \$1.3 million was recorded in PNMR's Corporate and Other segment. After the settlements, the liabilities related to uncertain tax positions for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP were \$14.3 million, \$11.5 million, and none.

### (14) Related Party Transactions

PNMR, PNM, and TNMP are considered related parties as defined under GAAP. PNMR Services Company provides corporate services to PNMR and its subsidiaries in accordance with shared services agreements. The table below summarizes the nature and amount of related party transactions of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP:

Three Months Ended
September 30,
2014
2013
(In thousands)

Nine Months Ended
September 30,
2014
2013
2014
2013

Services billings:

Edgar Filing: PNM RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

PNMR to PNM	\$20,813	\$22,241	\$64,069	\$65,729
PNMR to TNMP	6,471	6,731	20,695	20,948
PNM to TNMP	142	140	402	381
TNMP to PNMR	20	2	21	6
Interest billings:				
PNMR to TNMP	65	139	245	354
PNMR to PNM	1		55	1
PNM to PNMR	28	35	79	113
Income tax sharing payments:				
PNMR to PNM	_		_	45,000
PNMR to TNMP	_		_	_
70				

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

### (15)Goodwill

The excess purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed by PNMR for its 2005 acquisition of TNP was recorded as goodwill and was pushed down to the businesses acquired. In 2007, the TNMP assets that were included in its New Mexico operations, including goodwill, were transferred to PNM.

GAAP requires the Company to evaluate its goodwill for impairment annually at the reporting unit level or more frequently if circumstances indicate that the goodwill may be impaired. PNMR's reporting units that have goodwill are PNM and TNMP. Application of the impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit.

GAAP provides that in certain circumstances an entity may perform a qualitative analysis to conclude that the goodwill of a reporting unit is not impaired. Under a qualitative assessment an entity would consider macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance, other relevant entity-specific events affecting a reporting unit, as well as whether a sustained decrease (both absolute and relative to its peers) in share price had occurred. An entity would consider the extent to which each of the adverse events and circumstances identified could affect the comparison of a reporting unit's fair value with its carrying amount. An entity should place more weight on the events and circumstances that most affect a reporting unit's fair value or the carrying amount of its net assets. An entity also should consider positive and mitigating events and circumstances that may affect its determination of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. An entity would evaluate, on the basis of the weight of evidence, the significance of all identified events and circumstances in the context of determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, a quantitative analysis is not required.

In other circumstances, an entity may perform a quantitative analysis to reach the conclusion regarding impairment with respect to a reporting unit. The first step of the quantitative impairment test requires an entity to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value, including goodwill. If as a result of this analysis, the entity concludes there is an indication of impairment in a reporting unit having goodwill, the entity is required to perform the second step of the impairment analysis, determining the amount of goodwill impairment to be recorded. The amount is calculated by comparing the implied fair value of the goodwill to its carrying amount. This exercise would require the entity to allocate the fair value determined in step one to the individual assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Any remaining fair value would be the implied fair value of goodwill on the testing date. To the extent the recorded amount of goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value determined in step two, an impairment loss would be reflected in results of operations.

An entity may choose to perform a quantitative analysis without performing a qualitative analysis and may perform a qualitative analysis for certain reporting units but a quantitative analysis for others. For the annual evaluations performed as of April 1, 2014 and 2013, PNMR utilized a qualitative analysis for the TNMP reporting unit and a quantitative analysis for the PNM reporting unit. For the PNM reporting unit, a discounted cash flow methodology

was primarily used to estimate the fair value of the reporting unit. This analysis requires significant judgments, including estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent on internal forecasts, estimation of long-term growth rates for the business, and determination of appropriate weighted average cost of capital for each reporting unit. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and the conclusion of impairment.

The annual evaluations performed as of April 1, 2014 and 2013 did not indicate impairments of the goodwill of any of PNMR's reporting units. The April 1, 2014 and 2013 quantitative evaluations indicated the fair value of the PNM reporting unit, which has goodwill of \$51.6 million, exceeded its carrying value by approximately 30% and 27%. The last quantitative evaluation performed for the TNMP reporting unit on April 1, 2012 indicated the fair value of the TNMP reporting unit, which has goodwill of \$226.7 million, exceeded its carrying value by approximately 26%. Since the April 1, 2014 annual evaluation, there have been no indications that the fair values of the reporting units with recorded goodwill have decreased below the carrying values. Additional information concerning the Company's goodwill is contained in Note 21 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for PNMR is presented on a combined basis, including certain information applicable to PNM and TNMP. The MD&A for PNM and TNMP is presented as permitted by Form 10-Q General Instruction H(2). This report uses the term "Company" when discussing matters of common applicability to PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. A reference to a "Note" in this Item 2 refers to the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) included in Item 1, unless otherwise specified. Certain of the tables below may not appear visually accurate due to rounding.

### MD&A FOR PNMR

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Overview and Strategy

PNMR is a holding company with two regulated utilities serving approximately 751,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers and end-users of electricity in New Mexico and Texas. PNMR's electric utilities are PNM and TNMP.

Strategic Goals

PNMR is focused on achieving the following strategic goals:

Earning authorized returns on its regulated businesses

Maintaining investment grade credit ratings

Providing a top-quartile total return to investors

In conjunction with these goals, PNM and TNMP are dedicated to:

Achieving industry-leading safety performance

Maintaining strong plant performance and system reliability

Delivering a superior customer experience

Demonstrating environmental leadership in its business operations

Earning Authorized Returns on Regulated Businesses

PNMR's success in accomplishing its strategic goals is highly dependent on continued favorable regulatory treatment for its utilities and their strong operating performance. The Company has multiple strategies to achieve favorable regulatory treatment, all of which have as their foundation a focus on the basics: safety, operational excellence, and customer satisfaction, while engaging stakeholders to build productive relationships.

Both PNM and TNMP seek cost recovery for their investments through general rate cases and various rate riders. PNM anticipates filing a general rate case with the NMPRC by the end of 2014. The PUCT has approved mechanisms that allow TNMP to recover capital invested in transmission and distribution projects without having to file a general rate case, which allows for more timely recovery. The PUCT approved TNMP's requests for additional investments in transmission assets on March 13, 2014 and September 8, 2014. These approvals increase revenues by \$7.1 million annually. The NMPRC has approved rate riders for renewable energy and energy efficiency that also allow for more timely recovery of investments and improve the ability to earn authorized returns from PNM's retail customers.

Recently, PNM completed rate proceedings for all of its FERC regulated transmission customers and for NEC, its largest wholesale generation services customer, which improved PNM's returns for providing those services. In addition, PNM currently has a pending case before FERC in which it is requesting an increase in rates charged to transmission customers based on a formula rate mechanism. However, the contract to provide power to Gallup, PNM's second largest customer for wholesale generation services ended on June 29, 2014. Additional information about rate filings is provided in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and in Note 12.

### **Table of Contents**

Fair and timely rate treatment from regulators is crucial to PNM and TNMP earning their allowed returns, which is critical for PNMR's ability to achieve its strategic goals. PNMR believes that if the utilities earn their allowed returns, it would be viewed positively by credit rating agencies and would further improve the Company's ratings, which could lower costs to utility customers. Also, earning allowed returns should result in increased earnings for PNMR, which would lead to increased total returns to investors.

PNM's 134 MW interest in PVNGS Unit 3 is currently excluded from NMPRC jurisdictional rates. The power generated from that interest is currently sold into the wholesale market and any earnings or losses are attributable to shareholders. While PVNGS Unit 3's financial results are not included in the authorized returns on its regulated business, it impacts PNM's earnings and has been demonstrated to be a valuable asset. As part of compliance with the requirements for BART at SJGS discussed below, PNM has requested NMPRC approval to include PVNGS Unit 3 as a jurisdictional resource in the determination of rates charged to customers in New Mexico beginning in 2018. Maintaining Investment Grade Credit Ratings

PNM is committed to maintaining investment grade credit ratings. The credit ratings for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP were set forth under the heading Liquidity in the MD&A contained in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. As discussed under the subheading Liquidity in MD&A – Liquidity and Capital Resources below, S&P raised the corporate credit ratings and senior debt ratings for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP, as well as the preferred stock rating for PNM, on April 5, 2013. S&P retained the outlook as stable for all entities. On June 21, 2013, Moody's changed the ratings outlook for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP to positive from stable. On January 30, 2014, Moody's raised the credit ratings for PNMR, PNM and TNMP by one notch, while maintaining the positive outlook. On April 30, 2014, S&P changed the outlook for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP to positive from stable. Currently, all of the credit ratings issued by both Moody's and S&P on the Company's debt are investment grade.

Providing Top-Quartile Total Returns to Investors

PNMR's strategic goal to provide top quartile total return to investors over the 2012 to 2016 period is based on five-year ongoing earnings per share growth plus five-year average dividend yield from a group of regulated electric utility companies with similar market capitalization. Top quartile total return currently is equal to an average annual rate of 10% to 13%.

PNMR targets a dividend payout ratio of 50% to 60% of its ongoing earnings. Ongoing earnings, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, excludes certain non-recurring, infrequent, and other items from earnings determined in accordance with GAAP. The annual common stock dividend was raised by 16% in February 2012, 14% in February 2013, and 12% in December 2013. PNMR expects to provide above-average dividend growth in the near-term and to manage the payout ratio to meet its long-term target. The Board will continue to evaluate the dividend on an annual basis, considering sustainability and growth, capital planning, and industry standards.

### **Business Focus**

In addition to its strategic goals, PNMR's strategy and decision-making are focused on safely providing reliable, affordable, and environmentally responsible power to create enduring value for customers and communities. To accomplish this, PNMR works closely with customers, stakeholders, legislators, and regulators to ensure that resource plans and infrastructure investments benefit from robust public dialogue and balance the diverse needs of our communities.

### Reliable and Affordable Power

PNMR and its utilities are aware of the important roles they play in enhancing economic vitality in their New Mexico and Texas service territories. Management believes that maintaining strong and modern electric infrastructure is critical to ensuring reliability and economic growth. When considering expanding or relocating to other communities, businesses consider energy affordability and reliability to be important factors. PNM and TNMP strive to balance service affordability with infrastructure investment to maintain a high level of electric reliability and to deliver a superior customer experience. The utilities also work to ensure that rates reflect actual costs of providing service.

Investing in PNM's and TNMP's infrastructure is critical to ensuring reliability and meeting future energy needs. Both utilities have long-established records of providing customers with reliable electric service.

In September 2011, TNMP began its deployment of smart meters in homes and businesses across its Texas service area. Through September 30, 2014, TNMP had completed installation of more than 170,000 smart meters, which is approximately 74% of the anticipated total. TNMP's deployment is expected to be completed in 2016.

### **Table of Contents**

As part of the State of Texas' long-term initiative to create a smart electric grid, installation of smart meters will ultimately give consumers more data about their energy consumption and help them make more informed decisions. TNMP is currently installing a new outage management system that will leverage capabilities of the smart meters to enhance TNMP's responsiveness to outages.

During the 2011 to 2013 period, PNM and TNMP together invested \$937.5 million in utility plant, including substations, power plants, nuclear fuel, and transmission and distribution systems. In 2012, PNM announced plans for the 40 MW natural gas-fired La Luz peaking generating station to be located near Belen, New Mexico. In June 2014, the NMPRC approved construction of the La Luz plant. The facility is expected to go into service in late 2015. On July 17, 2014, PNM completed the purchase of Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta, a 132 MW gas-fired peaking facility, which has served PNM jurisdictional needs under a 20-year PPA since 2000.

NMPRC rules require that investor owned utilities file an IRP every three years. The IRP is required to cover a 20-year planning period and contain an action plan covering the first four years of that period. PNM filed its 2014 IRP on July 1, 2014. The four-year action plan was consistent with the replacement resources identified in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 discussed below. PNM indicated that it planned to meet its anticipated long-term load growth with a combination of additional renewable energy resources, energy efficiency, and natural gas-fired facilities.

**Environmentally Responsible Power** 

PNMR has a long-standing record of environmental stewardship. PNMR's environmental focus has been in three key areas:

Developing strategies to meet regional haze rules at the coal-fired SJGS as cost-effectively as possible while providing broad environmental benefits that also demonstrate progress in addressing proposed new federal regulations for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from existing power plants

Preparing to meet New Mexico's increasing renewable energy requirements as cost-effectively as possible •Increasing energy efficiency participation

Another area of emphasis is the reduction of the amount of fresh water used during electricity generation at PNM's power plants. The fresh water used per MWh generated has dropped by 19% since 2002, primarily due to the growth of renewable energy sources, the expansion of Afton to a combined-cycle plant that has both air and water cooling systems, and the use of gray water for cooling at Luna. As discussed below, PNM has requested approval to shut down SJGS Units 2 and 3, which would reduce water consumption at that plant by about 50%. In addition to the above areas of focus, the Company is also working to reduce the amount of solid waste going to landfills through increased recycling and reduction of waste. The Company has performed well in this area in the past and expects to continue to do so in the future.

### Renewable Energy

PNM's 2013 renewable procurement strategy almost doubled PNM's existing solar capacity with the addition of 21.5 MW of utility-owned solar capacity. In addition to the solar expansion, the 2013 plan included a 20-year agreement to purchase energy from a geothermal facility built near Lordsburg, New Mexico. The facility began providing power to PNM in January 2014. The current capacity of the facility is 4 MW and future expansion may result in up to 10 MW of generation capacity. PNM's 2014 renewable procurement strategy calls for the construction of an additional 23 MW of utility-owned solar capacity, a 20-year PPA for the output of an existing 102 MW wind energy center beginning in 2015, and the purchase of RECs in 2014 and 2015 to meet the RPS. PNM filed its 2015 renewable energy procurement plan on June 2, 2014. The plan meets RPS and diversity requirements within the RCT in 2015 and 2016. PNM's proposed new procurements include the construction of 40 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities in 2015, which are contemplated in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 discussed below. PNM expects a decision late in 2014.

In addition to PNM's utility-owned PV solar facilities, PNM owns the 500 KW PNM Prosperity Energy Storage Project, which uses advanced batteries to store solar power and dispatch the energy either during high-use periods or when solar production is limited. The project features one of the largest combinations of battery storage and PV energy in the nation and involves extensive research and development of smart grid concepts. The facility was the nation's first solar storage facility fully integrated into a utility's power grid.

PNM also has a PPA for the output from a 204 MW wind facility and purchases power from a customer-owned distributed solar generation program that had an installed capacity of 30.5 MW at the end of 2013. These renewable resources are key means

### **Table of Contents**

for PNM to meet the RPS and related regulations, which require PNM to achieve prescribed levels of energy sales from renewable sources, if that can be accomplished without exceeding the RCT cost limit set by the NMPRC. PNM makes renewable procurements consistent with the plans approved by the NMPRC. PNM believes its currently planned resources will enable it to comply with the NMPRC's diversity requirements. PNM will continue to procure renewable resources while balancing the bill impact to customers in order to meet New Mexico's escalating RPS requirements.

**SJGS** 

PNM continues its efforts to comply with the EPA regional haze rule in a manner that minimizes the cost impact to customers while still achieving broad environmental benefits. Additional information about BART at SJGS is contained in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and in Note 11.

In August 2011, EPA issued a FIP for regional haze that would have required the installation of SCRs on all four units at SJGS by September 2016. Following approval by the majority of the other SJGS owners, PNM, NMED, and EPA agreed, on February 15, 2013, to pursue a revised plan that could provide a new BART path to comply with federal visibility rules at SJGS. The terms of the non-binding agreement would result in the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3 by the end of 2017 and the installation of SNCRs on Units 1 and 4 by the later of January 31, 2016 or 15 months after EPA approval of a RSIP from the State of New Mexico. The RSIP has been approved by the EIB and EPA.

In December 2013, PNM made a filing with the NMPRC requesting certain approvals necessary to effectuate the RSIP. On October 1, 2014, PNM filed a stipulation with the NMPRC that, if approved, would settle this case. The stipulation was agreed to by the staff of the NMPRC, the NMAG, NMIEC, and certain other intervenors. The stipulation was opposed by New Energy Economy and certain other intervenors.

Under the terms of the stipulation, PNM would:

Retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 at December 31, 2017 and recover over 20 years 50% of their undepreciated book value at that date, after transferring \$26 million to SJGS Unit 4, and earn a regulated return on those costs Acquire an additional 132 MW of SJGS Unit 4

- Include PNM's ownership of PVNGS Unit 3 as a resource to serve New Mexico retail customers effective January 1, 2018 at a value of \$221.1 million (\$1,650 per KW)
- Recover up to \$90.6 million of costs for the installation of SNCR equipment and the additional equipment to comply with NAAQS requirements on SJGS Units 1 and 4
- Not recover approximately \$20 million of increased operations and maintenance expenses and other costs incurred in connection with CAA compliance

There would be no initial cost for PNM to acquire the additional 132 MW of SJGS Unit 4 although PNM's share of capital improvements, including the costs of installing SNCRs, and operating expenses would increase to reflect the increased ownership.

The public hearing in the NMPRC case is scheduled to begin on January 5, 2015. PNM expects a decision from the NMPRC in the first quarter of 2015. PNM is unable to predict if the NMPRC will approve the stipulation. If the stipulation is approved as filed, PNM anticipates it would incur a regulatory disallowance that would include the write-off of 50% of the undepreciated investment in SJGS Units 2 and 3, the write-up of the investment in PVNGS Unit 3 to the amount allowed for recovery, and other impacts of the stipulation. As further described in Note 11, PNM currently estimates the net pre-tax regulatory disallowance would be between \$60 million and \$70 million.

The RSIP would achieve similar visibility improvements as the installation of SCRs on all four units at SJGS at a lower cost to PNM customers. It has the added advantage of reducing other emissions beyond NOx, including  $SO_2$ , particulate matter,  $CO_2$ , and mercury, as well as reducing water usage.

The December 20, 2013 filing also identified a new 177 MW natural gas fired generation source and 40 MW of new utility-scale solar generation to replace a portion of PNM's share of the reduction in generating capacity due to the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3. The additional solar capacity is included in PNM's 2015 renewable procurement strategy. A proposed stipulated settlement, which is pending approval before the NMPRC, would provide that the additional solar capacity be recovered in base rates rather than through the renewable energy rider. Specific approval for the additional gas facility and the treatment of associated costs will be addressed in future filings.

### **Table of Contents**

In connection with the implementation of the revised plan and the proposed retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3, some of the SJGS participants have expressed a desire to exit their ownership in the plant. As a result, the SJGS participants are attempting to negotiate a restructuring of the ownership in SJGS, as well as addressing the obligations of the exiting participants for plant decommissioning, mine reclamation, environmental matters, and certain ongoing operating costs, among other items.

The non-binding resolution, approved by the SJGS Coordination Committee on June 26, 2014, identifies the participants who would be exiting active participation in SJGS effective December 31, 2017, and participants, including PNM, who would retain an interest in the ongoing operation of one or more units of SJGS. The non-binding resolution provides the essential terms of restructured ownership of SJGS between the exiting participants and the remaining participants and addresses other related matters. Also, on June 26, 2014, a non-binding term sheet was approved by all of the remaining participants that provides the essential terms of restructured ownership of SJGS among the remaining participants. The non-binding resolution and term sheet recognize that prior to executing a binding restructuring agreement, the remaining participants will need to have greater certainty in regard to the economic cost and availability of fuel for SJGS for the period after December 31, 2017. See Coal Supply in Note 11, for additional information. In September 2014, the SJGS participants executed a binding Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement to implement certain provisions of the above resolution, including payment by the remaining participants of capital costs for the Unit 4 SNCR project starting July 1, 2014, and acquisition by PNM of the exiting participants' coal inventory as of January 1, 2015. The Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement is subject to acceptance by FERC. Other definitive agreements among the participants are being negotiated. PNM cannot predict if final agreements will be executed.

A number of regulatory approvals are required to implement the proposed ownership restructuring of SJGS. Final binding agreements relating to the ownership restructuring are subject to the approval of each participant's board or other decision-making body and are subject to required regulatory approvals. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of the negotiations, whether definitive agreements will be reached among the owners, or whether required approvals will be obtained.

PNM, as the SJGS operating agent, presented the SNCR project to the participants in Unit 1 and Unit 4 for approval in late October 2013. The project was approved for Unit 1, but the Unit 4 project did not obtain the required percentage of votes for approval. Other capital projects related to Unit 4 were also not approved by the participants. PNM is authorized and obligated under the SJPPA to take reasonable and prudent actions necessary for the successful and proper operation of SJGS pending resolution by the participants. In March 2014 and again in June 2014, PNM requested that the owners of Unit 4 approve certain expenditures critical to being able to comply with the time frame in the RSIP with respect to Unit 4 project. The Unit 4 owners did not approve either of the requests. Thereupon, PNM issued "Prudent Utility Practice" notices that, under the SJPPA, PNM was continuing certain critical activities to keep the Unit 4 project on schedule.

In addition to the regional haze rule, SJGS is required to comply with other rules currently being developed or implemented that affect coal-fired generating units, including recently proposed rules on GHG under Section 111(d) of the CAA. Because of environmental upgrades completed in 2009, SJGS is well positioned to outperform the mercury limit imposed by EPA in the 2011 Mercury and Air Toxics Standards. The major environmental upgrades on each of the four units at SJGS have significantly reduced emissions of NOx,  $SO_2$ , particulate matter, and mercury. Since 2006, SJGS has reduced NOx emissions by 41%,  $SO_2$  by 60%, particulate matter by 69%, and mercury by 99%. Energy Efficiency

Energy efficiency also plays a significant role in helping to keep customers' electricity costs low while continuing to meet their energy needs. PNM's and TNMP's energy efficiency and load management portfolios continue to achieve robust results. In 2013, annual energy saved as a result of PNM's portfolio of energy efficiency programs was approximately 75 GWh. This is equivalent to the annual consumption of approximately 10,200 homes in PNM's

service territory. PNM's load management and energy efficiency programs also help lower peak demand requirements. TNMP's energy efficiency programs in 2013 resulted in energy savings totaling an estimated 17 GWh. This is equivalent to the annual consumption of approximately 1,650 homes in TNMP's service territory. Creating Value for Customers and Communities

The Company strives to deliver a superior customer experience by understanding the dynamic needs of its customers through ongoing market research, identifying and establishing best-in-class services and programs, and proactively communicating and engaging with customers at a regional and community level. In 2013, PNM refocused its efforts to improve the customer experience through an integrated marketing and communications strategy that encompassed brand repositioning and advertising,

### **Table of Contents**

customer service improvements, and strategic customer and stakeholder engagement. As part of this effort, in February 2014, PNM launched an updated website that provides an increase in self-service options for customers, as well as a mobile platform.

Integrated communication around known satisfaction drivers, including billing and payment options, bill redesign, energy efficiency, and environmental and community stewardship ensured PNM retained traction from prior efforts, as well as gained new ground in critical areas, notably corporate citizenship perceptions. PNM's perceived value to customers has also improved.

Recognizing the importance of environmental stewardship to customers and other stakeholders, PNM expanded engagement with environmental stakeholders to promote ongoing dialogue and input. Similarly, PNM also proactively communicated with communities about its efforts and plans related to environmental stewardship. Customers took note of PNM's efforts in this area. A nationally recognized customer satisfaction benchmark revealed gains in awareness of PNM's efforts to improve environmental impact, as well as customer perceptions around the commitment to preserving the environment now and for future generations.

PNM continues to expand its environmental stakeholder outreach, piloting small environmental stakeholder dialogue groups on key issues such as renewable energy and energy efficiency planning. PNM also employed proactive stakeholder outreach in two key projects – the development of PNM's renewable energy procurement plans that involved distributed solar energy developers early in the conversation and the siting of the gas-fired La Luz peaking generation facility near Belen, New Mexico, which featured in-depth community involvement and education early in the planning stages of the project. In both cases highly favorable outcomes were achieved, and controversial negative media coverage was avoided.

Through outreach, collaboration, and various community-oriented programs, PNMR has a demonstrated commitment to build productive relationships with stakeholders, including customers, regulators, legislators, and intervenors. Building off work that began in 2008, PNM has continued outreach efforts to connect low-income customers with nonprofit community service providers offering support and help with such needs as utility bills, food, clothing, medical programs, services for seniors, and weatherization. In 2013, PNM hosted 22 community events throughout its service territory to assist low-income customers. Furthermore, the PNM Good Neighbor Fund provided \$0.3 million of assistance with utility bills to 3,610 families in 2013. In 2013, PNM committed funding of \$0.9 million to the PNM Good Neighbor Fund.

The PNM Resources Foundation helps nonprofits become more energy efficient through Reduce Your Use grants. In 2013, PNMR committed funding of \$3.5 million to the PNM Resources Foundation. For 2013, the foundation awarded \$0.2 million to support 56 projects in New Mexico to provide shade structure installations, window replacements, and efficient appliance purchases. Since the program's inception in 2008, Reduce Your Use grants have provided nonprofit agencies in New Mexico with a total of \$1.4 million of support. In 2013, in connection with the PNM Resources Foundation's 3th anniversary, the foundation awarded thirty \$10,000 environmental grants to nonprofit agencies. In 2014, the PNM Resources Foundation launched a new grant program designed to help nonprofit organizations build more vibrant communities. Power Up Grants in the aggregate amount of \$500,000 were awarded to 24 nonprofits in New Mexico and Texas for projects ranging from creating community gathering spaces to revitalizing neighborhood parks to building a youth sports field.

In Texas, community outreach is centered first on local relationships, specifically with community leaders, nonprofit organizations and key customers in areas served by TNMP. Community liaisons serve in each of TNMP's three geographic business areas, reaching out and ensuring productive lines of communication between TNMP and its customer base.

TNMP maintains long-standing relationships with several key nonprofit organizations, including agencies that support children and families in crisis, food banks, environmental organizations, and educational nonprofits, through employee volunteerism and corporate support. TNMP also actively participates in safety fairs and demonstrations in addition to supporting local chambers of commerce in efforts to build their local economies.

TNMP's energy efficiency program provides unique offers to multiple customer groups, including residential, commercial, government, education, and nonprofit customers. These programs not only enable peak load and consumption reductions, particularly important when extreme weather affects Texas' electric system, but they also demonstrate TNMP's commitment to more than just delivering electricity by partnering with customers to optimize their energy usage.

**Economic Factors** 

In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, PNM experienced decreases in weather normalized retail load of 0.2% and 1.9% compared to 2013. New Mexico's economy still lags the nation in post-recession recovery. In the three and

nine months ended September 30, 2014, TNMP's weather normalized retail load increased 1.8% and 3.2% compared to 2013. In recent years, New Mexico and Texas have fared better than the national average in unemployment although the unemployment rate in New Mexico exceeded the national average in September 2014. However, employment growth is a stronger predictor of load. Texas' employment growth rates are well above the national rate, while New Mexico's employment remains relatively flat.

# **Results of Operations**

A summary of net earnings attributable to PNMR is as follows:

	Three Mon	ths Ended S	September	Nine Months Ended				
	30,			September 30,				
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change		
	(In million	s, except pe	r share amo	nounts)				
Net earnings attributable to PNMR	\$55.7	\$54.6	\$1.1	\$97.3	\$92.9	\$4.4		
Average diluted common and common equivalent shares	80.2	80.3	(0.1)	80.3	80.5	(0.2	)	
Net earnings attributable to PNMR per diluted share	\$0.69	\$0.68	\$0.01	\$1.21	\$1.15	\$0.06		

The components of the change in earnings attributable to PNMR are:

Nine Months
Ended
September 30,
2014
\$(12.1)
6.5
10.0
\$4.4

PNMR's operational results were affected by the following:

Lower retail load at PNM partially offset by higher retail load in at TNMP

Rate increases for PNM and TNMP – additional information about these rate increases is provided in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Note 12

Milder weather in 2014 than 2013

Net unrealized gains and losses on mark-to-market economic hedges for sales and fuel costs not recoverable under PNM's FPPAC

Higher prices for sales of power from PVNGS Unit 3

Increased income tax expense in 2013 due to impairments of state tax credits and a tax rate change in New Mexico that did not recur in 2014 (Note 13)

Other factors impacting results of operation for each segment are discussed under Results of Operations below Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has revolving credit facilities that provide capacities for short-term borrowing and letters of credit of \$300.0 million for PNMR and \$400.0 million for PNM, both of which expire in October 2018. In addition, PNM has a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, which expires in January 2018, with banks having a significant presence in New Mexico and TNMP has a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility, which expires in September 2018. Total availability for PNMR on a consolidated basis was \$814.0 million at October 24, 2014. The Company utilizes these credit facilities and cash flows from operations to provide funds for both construction and operational expenditures. PNMR also has intercompany loan agreements with each of its subsidiaries.

The Company projects that its total capital requirements, consisting of construction expenditures and dividends, will total \$2,608.5 million for 2014-2018, including amounts expended through September 30, 2014. The construction expenditures include estimated amounts related to environmental upgrades at SJGS to address regional haze and the

identified sources of replacement capacity under the revised plan for compliance described in Note 11. The construction expenditures also include additional

### **Table of Contents**

renewable resources anticipated to be required to meet the RPS, additional peaking resources needed to meet needs outlined in PNM's current IRP, and environmental upgrades at Four Corners. In addition to internal cash generation, the Company anticipates that it will be necessary to obtain additional long-term financing in the form of debt refinancing, new debt issuances, and/or new equity in order to fund its capital requirements during the 2014-2018 period. The Company currently believes that its internal cash generation, existing credit arrangements, and access to public and private capital markets will provide sufficient resources to meet the Company's capital requirements.

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **Segment Information**

**PNM** 

The following discussion is based on the segment methodology that PNMR's management uses for making operating decisions and assessing performance of its various business activities. See Note 3 for more information on PNMR's operating segments.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Trends and contingencies of a material nature are discussed to the extent known. Refer also to Disclosure Regarding Forward Looking Statements and to Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following table summarizes the operating results for PNM:

	Three M	Ionths End	led	Nine Months Ended September					
	Septemb	per 30,		30,					
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change			
	(In milli	ons)							
Electric operating revenues	\$335.0	\$326.0	\$9.0	\$873.4	\$863.6	\$9.8			
Cost of energy	115.1	100.2	14.9	304.4	283.7	20.7			
Margin	219.9	225.8	(5.9	) 569.1	579.9	(10.8)	)		
Operating expenses	101.8	104.7	(2.9	) 315.7	311.4	4.3			
Depreciation and amortization	27.5	25.9	1.6	81.6	77.8	3.8			
Operating income	90.6	95.2	(4.6	) 171.7	190.8	(19.1	)		
Other income (deductions)	3.7	4.5	(0.8	) 15.0	14.8	0.2			
Net interest charges	(20.1	) (20.1	) —	(59.9	(60.0	0.1			
Segment earnings before income taxes	74.2	79.6	(5.4	) 126.8	145.6	(18.8)	)		
Income (taxes)	(25.1	) (27.7	) 2.6	(42.3	(49.2	) 6.9			
Valencia non-controlling interest	(3.7	) (4.1	0.4	(11.1	(10.9	) (0.2	)		
Preferred stock dividend requirements	(0.1	) (0.1	) —	(0.4)	0.4	) —			
Segment earnings	\$45.2	\$47.7	\$(2.5	) \$73.0	\$85.1	\$(12.1	)		

# Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the significant changes to electric operating revenues, cost of energy, and margin:

	2013/2014	_	1.0	, 1		N: N	.1	г 1 1	C	, 1		
		30,					30,					
	· ·											
		Electric				Electric Coat of						
	1 0	Operating Cost of				Operating Cost of						
	Revenues	Energy	7	Margin		Revenues		Energy		Margin		
	(In million	ıs)										
Customer usage	\$(0.3	) \$—		\$(0.3	)	\$(9.3	)	<b>\$</b> —		\$(9.3	)	
Weather	(3.0	) —		(3.0	)	(8.7	)	_		(8.7	)	
FPPAC	13.6	13.6				12.5		12.5				
Economy service	1.5	1.5				6.0		5.8		0.2		
Rio Bravo purchase	_	(1.3	)	1.3		_		(1.3	)	1.3		
Gallup wholesale contract	(1.5	) (0.3	)	(1.2	)	(0.6)	)	(0.3	)	(0.3)	)	
Renewable energy rider	1.8	0.6		1.2		7.5		2.6		4.9		
Energy efficiency rider	1.4	_		1.4		2.9		_		2.9		
Unregulated margin	1.1	(0.2	)	1.3		3.4		(2.3	)	5.7		
Net unrealized economic hedges	(4.3	0.1		(4.4	)	(5.2	)	0.6		(5.8	)	
Other	(1.3	0.9		(2.2	)	1.3		3.1		(1.7	)	
Net change	\$9.0	\$14.9		\$(5.9	)	\$9.8		\$20.7		\$(10.8)	)	

The following table shows electric operating revenues by customer class and average number of customers:

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

	Three Mo	onths Ende	ed	Nine Months Ended					
	Septembe	er 30,		September 30,					
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change			
	(In millions, except customers)								
Residential	\$127.2	\$122.9	\$4.3	\$317.6	\$319.8	\$(2.2	)		
Commercial	127.7	119.1	8.6	326.6	319.5	7.1			
Industrial	21.3	21.2	0.1	54.4	57.7	(3.3	)		
Public authority	8.0	8.2	(0.2)	19.2	20.0	(0.8	)		
Economy service	9.3	7.8	1.5	29.9	23.9	6.0			
Other retail	(1.2)	0.4	(1.6	4.0	6.2	(2.2	)		
Transmission	9.5	10.5	(1.0)	28.3	28.5	(0.2)	)		
Firm-requirements wholesale	8.1	10.1	(2.0)	30.0	31.0	(1.0	)		
Other sales for resale	21.9	18.2	3.7	63.5	52.0	11.5			
Mark-to-market activity	3.2	7.6	(4.4	(0.1)	5.0	(5.1	)		
	\$335.0	\$326.0	\$9.0	\$873.4	\$863.6	\$9.8			
Average retail customers (thousands)	511.4	508.3	3.1	510.6	507.8	2.8			

The following table shows GWh sales by customer class:

Three Months Ended

	Three M	onths End	ed	Nine Months Ended				
	Septemb	er 30,		Septemb	September 30,			
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change		
	(Gigawa	tt hours)						
Residential	936.1	955.8	(19.7	) 2,442.2	2,543.0	(100.8)	)	
Commercial <sup>(1)</sup>	1,069.5	1,067.8	1.7	2,942.7	3,001.8	(59.1	)	
Industrial	254.6	272.2	(17.6	) 737.2	798.8	(61.6	)	
Public authority	74.3	78.4	(4.1	) 189.0	205.0	(16.0	)	
Economy service	188.8	174.9	13.9	572.4	528.6	43.8		
Firm-requirements wholesale	105.7	157.6	(51.9	) 415.9	484.8	(68.9	)	
Other sales for resale	602.0	564.2	37.8	1,725.0	1,592.4	132.6		
	3,231.0	3,270.9	(39.9	9,024.4	9,154.4	(130.0	)	

<sup>(1) 2013</sup> numbers reflect an addition of 18.0 GWh, previously included in the three months ended September 30, 2013.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, retail sales were lower compared to 2013 reflecting a continued sluggish economy in New Mexico. In particular, the Albuquerque metropolitan area continues to lag the nation in economic recovery. Although New Mexico's economy was not hit as hard by the recession as some other states, it has been reported that Albuquerque is recovering the slowest among the nation's 100 largest metro areas. For the quarter, employment growth was flat for both New Mexico and Albuquerque and, after an increase in the New Mexico unemployment rate to 7.0% in the first quarter of 2014, the unemployment rate has decreased to 6.6%. In spite of the economic pressures, PNM experienced year to date average retail customer growth of 0.6%. PNM's weather normalized retail KWh sales were 0.2% and 1.9% lower for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, which decreased revenues and margin \$0.3 million and \$9.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 2014. Weather negatively impacted revenues and margin by \$3.0 million and \$8.7 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Cooling degree days were 4.5% and 7.6% lower for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. In addition, heating degree days were 13.8% lower for the three months ended March 31, 2014 than in 2013. Cooling degree days only have a minor impact on the first quarter of any year, whereas heating degree days only have a minor impact on the second and third quarter.

PNM implemented new rates for Gallup, its second largest wholesale customer, in July 2013 under a one-year agreement, which improved revenues and margins \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to 2013. On March 26, 2014, Gallup notified PNM that the contract for long-term power supply had been awarded to another utility. PNM's contract with Gallup ended on June 29, 2014. PNM's revenues for power sold under the Gallup contract decreased \$3.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. PNM's revenues for power sold under the Gallup contract totaled \$11.7 million during 2013. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, decreases in revenues for the Gallup power contract were partially offset with off-system sales of \$1.9 million from the power that would have otherwise been used to serve Gallup and lower fuel expense of \$0.3 million.

PNM closed on the acquisition of Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta, on July 17, 2014. Prior to acquiring Rio Bravo, PNM had a 20 year PPA covering all of the output of the facility, which PNM accounted for as an operating lease and recorded fixed and variable costs in cost of energy. As a result of the Rio Bravo acquisition, cost of energy decreased and margin increased \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The increase in margin is partially offset by increases in operating and depreciation expenses.

In August 2012, PNM implemented its renewable energy rider, which recovers renewable energy procurement costs to meet the RPS, including the 22 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities completed in 2011. In January 2014, PNM

increased the rate charged under the rider to include the 21.5 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities completed in 2013. See Note 12. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, this rider increased revenues by \$1.8 million and \$7.5 million. These revenues include a return on investment of \$1.2 million and \$3.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$0.7 million and \$2.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Cost of energy, reflecting purchase of RECs and purchase of geothermal power, increased \$0.6 million and \$2.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Revenue and margin from PNM's energy efficiency rider increased \$1.4 million and \$2.9 million for the three months

### **Table of Contents**

and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Revenues from these riders also recover incremental operating, depreciation, and interest expenses applicable to these programs.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, unregulated revenue increased \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million and unregulated margins increased \$1.3 million and \$5.7 million. The increased revenues are due to higher market prices for power from PVNGS Unit 3. Lower fuel costs due to the discontinuance of DOE spent nuclear fuel charges for PVNGS Unit 3 decreased cost of energy \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. In addition, gas imbalance settlements lowered cost of energy \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013.

Changes in unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses resulted from economic hedges for sales and fuel costs not covered under the FPPAC, primarily associated with PVNGS Unit 3. Unrealized gains of \$3.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to unrealized gains of \$7.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, decreased margin by \$4.4 million. Unrealized gains of \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to unrealized gains of \$5.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, decreased margin by \$5.8 million.

As discussed in Note 12, the NMPRC approved the continuation of PNM's FPPAC and authorized PNM to recover the remaining under-collected balance in its FPPAC balancing account over 18 months effective July 1, 2014. As a result PNM's revenues increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. These revenues were offset in cost of energy with no impact on margin.

PNM provides economy energy services to a major customer. Although KWh sales to this customer increased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, there is only a minor impact in margin resulting from providing ancillary services. Other changes in revenues and cost of energy for this customer are a pass through with no impact to margin.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, other changes in revenue, cost of energy, and margin includes a \$1.7 million increase in cost of energy and decrease in margin related to the resolution of issues covered by the arbitration with SJCC discussed in Note 11. As part of the approval of the continuation of PNM's FPPAC, PNM retains 10% of the revenue from off-system sales that would otherwise be passed through the FPPAC, effective as of July 1, 2013. PNM recorded revenue of \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. The nine months ended September 30, 2014, includes amounts from July 1, 2013 through the NMPRC approval in April 2014. Other also includes the impacts of off-system purchases and sales that are not passed through PNM's FPPAC.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, operating expenses decreased \$2.9 million compared to 2013. Higher maintenance expenses for outages at Four Corners, PVNGS, and natural gas-fired plants of \$0.8 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million were partially offset by lower outage expenses of \$0.3 million at SJGS. Lower labor and employee benefit expenses of \$1.4 million and \$0.5 million decreased operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Lower property and casualty claims of \$0.4 million and lower bad debt expense of \$0.3 million decreased operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Higher capitalized administrative and general expenses due to higher capital expenditures decreased operating expenses by \$0.9 million. Higher energy efficiency expenses of \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014, which are recovered through rider revenue as described above, increased operating expenses. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2013, PNM concluded that certain costs that had been deferred as regulatory assets were no longer probable of recovery and recorded a regulatory disallowance of \$1.7 million increasing operating expenses compared to 2014.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, operating expenses increased \$4.3 million compared to 2013. Higher maintenance expenses for outages at Four Corners, PVNGS and natural gas-fired plants of \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million and \$2.0 million were partially offset by lower maintenance expenses of \$0.8 million at SJGS. Higher energy efficiency and renewable rider expenses of \$2.4 million and \$0.8 million increased operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Higher property taxes of \$2.0 million due to increased plant in service and higher assessed property values in Arizona and higher bad debt expense of \$0.4 million increased operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Process improvement initiatives increased operating expense \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. These increases were partially offset by lower labor and employee benefit expenses of \$1.6 million and \$0.7 million decreasing operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Lower property and casualty claims of \$0.6 million decreased operating expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Higher capitalized administrative and general expenses due to higher capital spend decreased operating expenses

\$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The regulatory disallowance of \$1.7 million in September 2013 further decreased operating expenses in 2014 compared to 2013.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.6 million and \$3.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013 due to additions to utility plant in service, including 21.5 MW of PNM-owned solar PV facilities. Depreciation on the PNM-owned solar PV facilities is recovered through the renewable energy rider discussed above.

Other income (deductions) decreased \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and increased \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Lower interest income on PVNGS lessor notes of \$0.4 million and \$1.4 million due to lower outstanding balances decreased interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Other income (deductions) also reflects the performance of the trusts for nuclear decommissioning and coal mine reclamation, including investment income, gains or losses on sales of investments, management expenses, and taxes paid by the trusts. Pre-tax gains on available-for-sale securities decreased \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and increased \$1.3 million for nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. In addition, gains of \$0.7 million on the disposition of property in 2013 decreased other income (deductions) for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. PNM recorded expenses of \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 for its commitments to support employment and other economic activities in the "four corners" area, including the Navajo Nation, which did not recur in 2014.

As discussed in Note 13, in September 2014, the Company settled the IRS examination of income tax years 2003 and 2005 through 2008, including the settlement of certain issues for which the Company had previously reflected liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. As a result of the settlement, PNM recorded an additional income tax expense of \$1.1 million in June 2014. An income tax benefit of \$1.3 million reflected in the Corporate and Other segment offsets this amount.

TNMP
The following table summarizes the operating results for TNMP:

	Three N	Months En	ded September	Nine Months Ended					
	30,			September 30,					
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change			
	(In mill	ions)							
Electric operating revenues	\$79.0	\$73.7	\$5.3	\$215.6	\$201.4	\$14.2			
Cost of energy	17.4	14.5	2.9	50.2	41.3	8.9			
Margin	61.6	59.2	2.4	165.4	160.1	5.3			
Operating expenses	22.3	23.1	(0.8	63.7	67.3	(3.6	)		
Depreciation and amortization	13.4	13.9	(0.5	37.3	37.8	(0.5	)		
Operating income	25.9	22.3	3.6	64.4	55.0	9.4			
Other income (deductions)	0.8	0.7	0.1	1.5	1.4	0.1			
Net interest charges	(6.9	) (6.7	) (0.2	(20.1	) (20.7	0.6			
Segment earnings before income taxes	19.8	16.3	3.5	45.8	35.7	10.1			
Income (taxes)	(7.4	) (6.2	) (1.2	(17.1	) (13.6	) (3.5	)		
Segment earnings	\$12.4	\$10.1	\$2.3	\$28.7	\$22.2	\$6.5			

The following table summarizes the significant changes to total electric operating revenues, cost of energy, and margin:

# **Table of Contents**

Net change

	2013/2014	Change					
	Three Mon	ths Ended	September		ths Ended	Septembe	r
	30,			30,			
	Electric			Electric			
	Operating	Cost of		Operating	Cost of		
	Revenues	Energy	Margin	Revenues	Energy	Margin	
	(In million	s)					
Rate increases	\$1.6	<b>\$</b> —	\$1.6	\$4.5	<b>\$</b> —	\$4.5	
Customer usage	0.5	_	0.5	1.1	_	1.1	
Customer growth	0.5	_	0.5	1.2	_	1.2	
Weather	(1.3	) —	(1.3	) (1.2	) —	(1.2	)
Recovery of third-party	2.9	2.9		8.9	8.9		
transmission costs	2.9	2.9	<del></del>	0.9	0.9		
AMS surcharge	1.4	_	1.4	2.7		2.7	
CTC surcharge	_	_		0.4		0.4	
Hurricane Ike surcharge	(1.7	) —	(1.7	) (4.2	) —	(4.2	)
Energy efficiency incentive	1.5	_	1.5	1.5	_	1.5	
Other	(0.1	) —	(0.1	) (0.7	) —	(0.7	)

The following table shows total electric operating revenues by retail tariff consumer class, including intersegment revenues, and average number of consumers:

\$2.4

\$14.2

\$8.9

\$5.3

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended			
	Septemb	er 30,		September 30,		
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
	(In millions, except consumers)					
Residential	\$36.6	\$36.4	\$0.2	\$89.5	\$84.1	\$5.4
Commercial	25.1	24.0	1.1	73.5	69.8	3.7
Industrial	3.9	3.2	0.7	11.1	9.6	1.5
Other	13.4	10.1	3.3	41.5	37.9	3.6
	\$79.0	\$73.7	\$5.3	\$215.6	\$201.4	\$14.2
Average consumers (thousands) (	1)238.9	235.3	3.6	237.7	234.7	3.0

\$2.9

TNMP provides transmission and distribution services to REPs that provide electric service to consumers in (1) TNMP's service territories. The number of consumers above represents the customers of these REPs. Under TECA,

The following table shows GWh sales by retail tariff consumer class:

consumers in Texas have the ability to choose any REP to provide energy.

\$5.3

	Three M	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended			
	Septemb	September 30,			September 30,			
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change	;	
	(Gigawa	tt hours)						
Residential	938.8	963.6	(24.8	) 2,227.9	2,176.2	51.7		
Commercial	731.6	714.7	16.9	1,926.7	1,854.2	72.5		
Industrial	715.1	686.1	29.0	2,032.2	1,920.3	111.9		
Other	26.5	27.6	(1.1	75.9	77.3	(1.4	)	
	2,412.0	2,392.0	20.0	6,262.7	6,028.0	234.7		

#### **Table of Contents**

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, revenues and margin increased by \$1.6 million and \$4.5 million compared to 2013 due to transmission rate increases in March 2013, September 2013, March 2014, and September 2014. See Note 12. TNMP experienced positive year to date average customer growth of 1.3%, increasing revenues and margin by \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Higher weather normalized usage per customer increased revenues and margin by \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. TNMP's weather normalized retail KWh sales increased 1.8% and 3.2% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Milder weather decreased revenues and margins by \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, cooling degree days were 4.0% and 3.4% lower. Due to the climate in TNMP's service territories, variances in cooling degree days have a much larger impact than variances in heating degree days.

Differences between revenues and costs charged by third party transmission providers are deferred and recovered through a transmission cost recovery factor resulting in no impact on margin. Higher transmission cost of energy resulting from rate increases from other transmission service providers within ERCOT increased cost of energy \$2.9 million and \$8.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. These increases in cost of energy resulted in TNMP rate increases for the recovery of third party transmission costs increasing revenue by the same amounts.

The AMS surcharge increased revenues and margin by \$1.4 million and \$2.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The CTC surcharge increased revenues and margin by \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, but was flat for the three months ended September 30. The Hurricane Ike surcharge decreased revenues and margin by \$1.7 million and \$4.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The Hurricane Ike surcharge was terminated in November of 2013 due to full recovery of costs associated with this item. Changes in revenues and margins from these surcharges are offset by changes in operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

TNMP earned an energy efficiency incentive bonus for having achieved demand savings for the 2013 program year that exceeded its goal. This incentive was approved by the PUCT on September 11, 2014 and increased revenues and margin by \$1.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. See Note 12.

Operating expenses decreased \$0.8 million and \$3.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Lower employee healthcare claims of \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million decreased operating expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Higher capitalization of administrative and general expenses related to higher levels of construction expenditures decreased operating expenses by \$0.4 million and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Property and casualty claims and vegetation management costs decreased \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million for the nine months ending September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, but were flat for the three months ended September 30. These decreases were partially offset by higher operating expenses associated with the AMS deployment, which are recovered through the AMS surcharge, of \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Depreciation expense associated with the AMS deployment, which is recovered through the AMS surcharge, increased \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013 due to increased AMS deployment. Amortization expense associated with the CTC, which is recovered through the CTC surcharge, increased \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. In addition, an increase in utility plant in service increased depreciation by \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. These increases were offset by lower amortization of the Hurricane Ike costs of \$1.6 million and \$3.9 million for the three and nine months

ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013.

Interest charges increased \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. The issuance of \$80.0 million of long-term debt under the TNMP 2013 Bond Purchase Agreement on June 27, 2014 increased interest charges \$0.8 million, offset by lower interest charge of \$0.5 million due to the maturity of \$50.0 million of debt under the TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement. See Note 9. Interest charges decreased \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to the April 2013 exchange of \$93.2 million of TNMP's 9.5% First Mortgage Bonds for an equal amount of a new series of 6.95% First Mortgage Bonds offset by the impact of the June 2014 refinancing.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Corporate and Other

The table below summarizes the operating results for Corporate and Other:

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended				
	30,			September 30,			
	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change	
	(In milli	ions)					
Total revenues	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$—	
Cost of energy	_			_		_	
Margin	_	_		_	_		
Operating expenses	(3.7	) (3.3	) (0.4	) (10.2	) (10.2	) —	
Depreciation and amortization	3.3	3.0	0.3	9.5	9.6	(0.1)	
Operating income	0.3	0.3		0.7	0.6	0.1	
Other income (deductions)	(0.6)	) (3.5	) 2.9	(1.6	) (7.5	) 5.9	
Net interest charges	(3.2	) (3.6	) 0.4	(9.6	) (11.6	) 2.0	
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes	(3.4	) (6.8	) 3.4	(10.4	) (18.6	) 8.2	
Income (taxes) benefit	1.5	3.6	(2.1	) 6.0	4.1	1.9	
Segment earnings (loss)	\$(1.9	) \$(3.2	) \$1.3	\$(4.4	) \$(14.4	) \$10.0	

Operating expenses for Corporate and Other are net of amounts allocated to PNM and TNMP under shared service agreements. Changes in depreciation and amortization are offset in operating expenses as a result of allocation of these costs to other business segments. Changes in depreciation and amortization primarily relate to the timing of the retirement and installation of certain items of computer software and changes in the allocation of certain items to PNM and TNMP.

The changes in other income (deductions) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013 is due to amortization related to corporate investments that became fully amortized in 2013 and premiums paid on the 2013 repurchase of \$23.8 million principal amount of PNMR's 9.25% Senior Unsecured Notes, Series A, due 2015 that did not recur in 2014. Net interest charges decreased primarily due to lower interest charges resulting from the 2013 debt repurchase. The remaining decrease in net interest charges is the result of lower borrowings and lower interest rates on short-term borrowings.

During the first six months of 2013, income (taxes) benefit for Corporate and Other included the impairment of New Mexico wind energy production tax credits of \$3.9 million, after federal income tax benefits, and an expense of \$1.2 million due to reductions in Corporate and Other's deferred tax assets resulting from legislation reducing New Mexico Corporate income tax rates. As discussed in Note 13, in June 2014, the Company settled the IRS examination of income tax years 2003 and 2005 through 2008, including the settlement of certain issues for which the Company had previously reflected liabilities related to uncertain tax positions. At that time, an income tax benefit of \$1.3 million was reflected in Corporate and Other as a result of the settlement. An additional income tax expense of \$1.1 million reflected in the PNM segment offsets this amount. The remaining change in income (taxes) benefit result from income tax benefits of \$0.9 million related to amendments of state and federal income tax returns during the three months ended September 30, 2014, the expiration of certain tax credits in 2013, and lower tax benefits due to lower segment losses before income taxes.

#### **Table of Contents**

# LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Statements of Cash Flows

The changes in PNMR's cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to September 30, 2013 are summarized as follows:

	Nine Months Ended				
	September 30,				
	2014 2013 Change				
	(In millions)				
Net cash flows from:					
Operating activities	\$326.2 \$311.0 \$15.2				
Investing activities	(311.7 ) (211.4 ) (100.3 )				
Financing activities	11.3 (75.1 ) 86.4				
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$25.9 \$24.5 \$1.4				

The decreases in PNMR's cash flow from operation activities relate primarily to \$92.9 million lower net tax refunds in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 than in 2013 offset by \$60.9 million lower contributions to the PNM and TNMP pension and other post retirement benefit plans in 2014 than in 2013. In addition, refunds to customers of \$15.2 million in 2013 related to the settlement of PNM's transmission rate case did not recur in 2014. Lower retail load at PNM was offset by higher retail load and rate increases at TNMP and other changes in assets and liabilities resulting from normal operations.

The changes in PNMR's cash flows from investing activities relate primarily to an increase of \$59.4 million in utility plant additions in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Utility plant additions at PNM were \$35.1 million higher in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, including increases in transmission and distribution additions of \$31.2 million and nuclear fuel purchases of \$6.8 million. These increases were offset by lower renewable plant additions of \$3.1 million. TNMP utility plant additions increased \$21.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013, including increases in transmission and distribution additions of \$20.8 million and AMS additions of \$0.7 million. Corporate plant additions increased by \$2.8 million in 2014 which were partially offset by payments made in 2013 for renovations of the corporate headquarters building. Investing activities in 2014 also includes \$36.2 million for the acquisition of Rio Bravo as discussed in Note 5.

The changes in PNMR's cash flows from financing activities include a \$2.5 million reduction in net short-term borrowings in the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to 2013. Long-term borrowings in 2014 include the \$175.0 million PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement, which was used to repay amounts under the existing PNM Term Loan Agreement and other short-term borrowings. In addition, 2014 includes the issuance of \$80.0 million in long-term debt at TNMP, which was used to repay amounts under the existing TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement and other short-term borrowings. In addition, cash paid of \$13.0 million in connection with TNMP debt exchange in 2013 did not recur in 2014.

### Financing Activities

See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Note 9 for additional information concerning the Company's financing activities. PNM must obtain NMPRC approval for any financing transaction having a maturity of more than 18 months. In addition, PNM files its annual short-term financing plan with the NMPRC. The Company's ability to access the credit and capital markets at a reasonable cost is largely dependent upon its:

Ability to earn a fair return on equity

Results of operations
Ability to obtain required regulatory approvals
Conditions in the financial markets
Credit ratings

On March 5, 2014, PNM entered into the \$175.0 million PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement and used a portion of the funds borrowed thereunder to repay all amounts outstanding under the existing \$75.0 million PNM Term Loan Agreement. The funds

#### **Table of Contents**

were also used to repay other short-term amounts outstanding. There were no prepayment penalties paid in connection with the termination of the PNM Term Loan Agreement. The PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement includes customary covenants and conditions. The PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a variable rate, which was 1.10% at September 30, 2014, and must be repaid on or before September 4, 2015. At September 30, 2014, the weighted average interest rate was 1.01% for borrowings outstanding under the twelve-month PNMR Term Loan Agreement, which matures in December 2014.

On June 27, 2014, TNMP issued \$80.0 million aggregate principal amount of 4.03% first mortgage bonds, due 2024 pursuant to the TNMP 2013 Bond Purchase Agreement dated December 9, 2013. TNMP used \$50.0 million of the proceeds to repay the full outstanding amount of the TNMP 2011 Term Loan Agreement and used the remaining \$30.0 million of proceeds to reduce short-term debt.

PNMR, PNM, and TNMP are subject to debt-to-capital ratio requirements of less than or equal to 65%. These ratios for PNMR and PNM include the present value of payments under the PVNGS and EIP leases as debt.

## Capital Requirements

Total capital requirements consist of construction expenditures and cash dividend requirements for PNMR common stock and PNM preferred stock. Key activities in PNMR's current construction program include:

Upgrading generation resources, including expenditures for compliance with environmental requirements and for renewable energy resources

Expanding the electric transmission and distribution systems

Purchasing nuclear fuel

Projected capital requirements, including amounts expended through September 30, 2014, are:

	2014	2015-2018	Total
	(In millions	)	
Construction expenditures	\$509.3	\$1,801.9	\$2,311.2
Dividends on PNMR common stock	58.9	235.8	294.7
Dividends on PNM preferred stock	0.5	2.1	2.6
Total capital requirements	\$568.7	\$2,039.8	\$2,608.5

The construction expenditure estimates are under continuing review and subject to ongoing adjustment, as well as to Board review and approval. The construction expenditures above include estimated amounts of \$88.5 million related to environmental upgrades at SJGS to address regional haze and \$268.3 million related to the identified sources of replacement capacity under the revised plan for compliance described in Note 11. The above construction expenditures also include additional renewable resources anticipated to be required to meet the RPS, additional peaking resources to meet needs outlined in PNM's current IRP, environmental upgrades at Four Corners of \$80.3 million, the purchase of the leased portion of the EIP and the assets underlying three of the PVNGS Unit 2 leases at the expiration of those leases, and the purchase of Rio Bravo. Expenditures for the SJGS and Four Corners environmental upgrades are estimated to be \$10.2 million in 2014. See Note 11 and Commitments and Contractual Obligations below. The ability of PNMR to pay dividends on its common stock is dependent upon the ability of PNM and TNMP to be able to pay dividends to PNMR. Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K describes regulatory and contractual restrictions on the payment of dividends by PNM and TNMP.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, PNMR met its capital requirements and construction expenditures through cash generated from operations, as well as its liquidity arrangements and borrowings under the PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement and the TNMP 2013 Bond Purchase Agreement.

In addition to the capital requirements for construction expenditures and dividends, the Company has long-term debt that must be paid or refinanced at maturity, including \$158.1 million due in the second quarter of 2015 and \$175.0 million due in the third quarter of 2015. Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K contains information about the maturities of long-term debt. The Company has from time to time refinanced or repurchased portions of its outstanding debt before scheduled maturity. Depending on market conditions, the Company may refinance other debt issuances, make additional debt repurchases, or enter into other liquidity arrangements in the future.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Liquidity

PNMR's liquidity arrangements include the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility and the PNM Revolving Credit Facility that both expire in October 2018 and the TNMP Revolving Credit Facility that expires in September 2018. The PNMR Revolving Credit Facility has a financing capacity of \$300.0 million, the PNM Revolving Credit Facility has a financing capacity of \$400.0 million, and the TNMP Revolving Credit Facility has a financing capacity of \$75.0 million. On January 8, 2014, PNM entered into the \$50.0 million PNM New Mexico Credit Facility, which expires on January 8, 2018. The Company believes the terms and conditions of its facilities are consistent with those of other investment grade revolving credit facilities in the utility industry. Each of the credit facilities contains one financial covenant that requires the maintenance of debt-to-capital ratios of less than or equal to 65%. For PNMR and PNM, these ratios reflect the present value of payments under the PVNGS and EIP leases as debt.

The revolving credit facilities and the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility provide short-term borrowing capacity. The revolving credit facilities also allow letters of credit to be issued. Letters of credit reduce the available capacity under the facilities. The Company utilizes these credit facilities and cash flows from operations to provide funds for both construction and operational expenditures. The Company's business is seasonal with more revenues and cash flows from operations being generated in the summer months. In general, the Company relies on the credit facilities to be the initial funding source for construction expenditures. Accordingly, borrowings under the facilities may increase over time. Depending on market and other conditions, the Company will periodically sell long-term debt and use the proceeds to reduce the borrowings under the credit facilities. Borrowings under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility ranged from zero to \$14.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and from zero to \$21.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Borrowings under the PNM Revolving Credit Facility ranged from zero to \$32.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and from zero to \$82.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Borrowings under the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility ranged from zero to \$15.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and from zero to \$25.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. TNMP had no borrowings under the TNMP Revolving Credit Facility during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and borrowings ranged from zero to \$30.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. At September 30, 2014, there were no borrowings under any of these facilities. At September 30, 2014, PNM had \$6.5 million and TNMP had \$19.1 million in borrowings from PNMR under their intercompany loan agreements.

The Company currently believes that its capital requirements can be met through internal cash generation, existing or new credit arrangements, and access to public and private capital markets. To cover the difference in the amounts and timing of internal cash generation and cash requirements, the Company intends to use short-term borrowings under its current and future liquidity arrangements. However, if difficult market conditions experienced during the recent recession return, the Company may not be able to access the capital markets or renew credit facilities when they expire. Should that occur, the Company would seek to improve cash flows by reducing capital expenditures and exploring other available alternatives. Also, PNM could consider seeking authorization for the issuance of first mortgage bonds to improve access to the capital markets.

In addition to its internal cash generation, the Company anticipates that it will be necessary to obtain additional long-term financing to fund its capital requirements during the 2014-2018 period. This could include debt refinancing, new debt issuances, and/or new equity.

The credit ratings for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP were set forth under the heading Liquidity in the MD&A contained in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. On January 30, 2014, Moody's raised the senior unsecured rating for PNMR, the senior unsecured and issuer ratings for PNM, and the senior secured and issuer ratings for TNMP. Moody's continued to maintain the ratings outlook for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP as positive. On April 30, 2014, S&P changed the outlook for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP to positive from stable. As of October 24, 2014, ratings on the Company's securities were as follows:

	PNMR	PNM	TNMP
S&P			
Senior secured debt	*	*	A-
Senior unsecured debt	BBB-	BBB	*

*	BB+	*	
*	*	A2	
Baa3	Baa2	*	
*	Ba2	*	
	* Baa3	* * Baa3 Baa2	* * A2 Baa3 Baa2 *

#### **Table of Contents**

Investors are cautioned that a security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold securities, that it is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization, and that each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

A summary of liquidity arrangements, which do not include the PNMR Term Loan Agreement or the PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement, as of October 24, 2014 is as follows:

	PNMR Separate (In millions	PNM Separate	TNMP Separate	PNMR Consolidated
Financing capacity: Revolving credit facility PNM New Mexico Credit Facility Total financing capacity	\$300.0 - \$300.0	\$400.0 50.0 \$450.0	\$75.0 — \$75.0	\$775.0 50.0 \$825.0
Amounts outstanding as of October 24, 2014: Revolving credit facility PNM New Mexico Credit Facility Letters of credit	\$— — 7.7	\$— - 3.2	\$— — 0.1	\$— — 11.0
Total short-term debt and letters of credit	7.7	3.2	0.1	11.0
Remaining availability as of October 24, 2014 Invested cash as of October 24, 2014	\$292.3 \$23.1	\$446.8 \$—	\$74.9 \$—	\$814.0 \$23.1

The above table excludes intercompany debt. As of October 24, 2014, PNM had \$7.4 million and TNMP had \$25.7 million in borrowings from PNMR under their intercompany loan agreements. The remaining availability under the revolving credit facilities at any point in time varies based on a number of factors, including the timing of collections of accounts receivables and payments for construction and operating expenditures.

PNMR can offer new shares of common stock through the PNM Resources Direct Plan under a SEC shelf registration statement that expires in August 2015. PNM has a shelf registration statement for up to \$500.0 million of senior unsecured notes that expires in May 2017.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

PNMR's off-balance sheet arrangements include PNM's operating lease obligations for PVNGS Units 1 and 2 and the EIP transmission line. These arrangements help ensure PNM the availability of lower-cost generation needed to serve customers. Rio Bravo, formerly known as Delta, was an off-balance sheet arrangement prior to its acquisition in July 2014. See MD&A – Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Notes 7 and 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. See Note 5 and Note 6 for additional information concerning the PVNGS Leases and Rio Bravo.

#### Commitments and Contractual Obligations

PNMR, PNM, and TNMP have contractual obligations for long-term debt, operating leases, construction expenditures, purchase obligations, and certain other long-term obligations. See MD&A – Commitments and Contractual Obligations in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

### Contingent Provisions of Certain Obligations

As discussed in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP have a number of debt obligations and other contractual commitments that contain contingent provisions. Some of these, if triggered, could affect the liquidity of the Company. In the unlikely event that the contingent requirements were to be triggered, PNMR, PNM, or TNMP could be required to provide security, immediately pay outstanding obligations, or be prevented from

drawing on unused capacity under certain credit agreements. The contingent provisions also include contractual increases in the interest rate charged on certain of the Company's short-term debt obligations in the event of a downgrade in credit ratings. The Company believes its financing

#### **Table of Contents**

arrangements are sufficient to meet the requirements of the contingent provisions. No conditions have occurred that would result in any of the above contingent provisions being implemented.

### Capital Structure

The capitalization tables below include the current maturities of long-term debt, but do not include short-term debt and do not include operating lease obligations as debt.

	September	September 30,		r 31,
	2014		2013	
PNMR				
PNMR common equity	47.7	%	48.8	%
Preferred stock of subsidiary	0.3	%	0.3	%
Long-term debt	52.0	%	50.9	%
Total capitalization	100.0	%	100.0	%
PNM				
PNM common equity	47.2	%	48.2	%
Preferred stock	0.4	%	0.4	%
Long-term debt	52.4	%	51.4	%
Total capitalization	100.0	%	100.0	%
TNMP				
Common equity	58.5	%	59.9	%
Long-term debt	41.5	%	40.1	%
Total capitalization	100.0	%	100.0	%

#### OTHER ISSUES FACING THE COMPANY

#### Climate Change Issues

#### Background

According to EPA, gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are called greenhouse gases. The four primary greenhouse gases are  $CO_2$ , methane, nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gases, including chlorofluorocarbons such as Freon. In 2013, GHG associated with PNM's interests in its generating plants were approximately 7.0 million metric tons of CQ, which comprises the vast majority of PNM's GHG. By comparison, the total GHG in the United States in 2012, the latest year for which EPA has published this data, were approximately 6.5 billion metric tons, of which approximately 5.4 billion metric tons were  $CO_2$ .

PNM has several programs underway to reduce or offset GHG from its resource portfolio, thereby reducing its exposure to climate change regulation. See Note 12. In 2011, PNM completed construction of 22 MW of utility-scale solar generation located at five sites on PNM's system throughout New Mexico. In 2013, PNM expanded its renewable energy portfolio by constructing 21.5 MW of utility-scale solar generation. On December 18, 2013, the NMPRC approved PNM's 2014 renewable energy procurement plan that includes construction of an additional 23 MW of utility-scale solar generation. This additional generation is anticipated to be online by the end of 2014. Since 2003, PNM has purchased the entire output of New Mexico Wind, which has an aggregate capacity of 204 MW, and will purchase the full output of Red Mesa Wind, which has an aggregate capacity of 102 MW, beginning in January 2015. PNM has signed a 20-year PPA for the output of Lightning Dock Geothermal, which began providing power to PNM in January 2014. The current capacity of the facility is 4 MW and future expansion may result in up to 10 MW of generation capacity. Additionally, PNM has a customer distributed solar generation program that represented 30.5 MW at the end of 2013 and is expected to grow to over 39 MW by the end of 2014. Once fully subscribed, the distributed solar programs will reduce PNM's production from fossil-fueled electricity generation by 117 GWh per

year. PNM offers its customers a comprehensive portfolio of energy efficiency and load management programs, with a 2014 budget of \$22.5 million. PNM estimates these programs saved approximately 75 GWh of electricity in 2013. Over the next 20 years, PNM projects the expanded energy efficiency and load management programs will provide the equivalent of approximately 13,565 GWh of electricity,

#### **Table of Contents**

which will avoid at least 6.8 million metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> based upon projected emissions from PNM's system-wide resources. These estimates are subject to change because of the high uncertainty of many of the underlying variables, including changes in demand for electricity, and complex interrelationships between those variables. Management periodically updates the Board on implementation of the corporate environmental policy and the Company's environmental management systems, promotion of energy efficiency, and use of renewable resources. The Board is also advised of the Company's practices and procedures to assess the sustainability impacts of operations on the environment. The Board considers associated issues around climate change, the Company's GHG exposures, and financial consequences that might result from potential federal and/or state regulation of GHG. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 74.7% of PNM's generating capacity, including resources owned, leased, and under PPAs, all of which is located within the United States, consisted of coal or gas-fired generation that produces GHG. Based on current forecasts, the Company does not expect its output of GHG from existing sources to increase significantly in the near-term. Many factors affect the amount of GHG emitted. For example, if new natural gas-fired generation resources are added to meet increased load as anticipated in PNM's current IRP, GHG would be incrementally increased. In addition, plant performance could impact the amount of GHG emitted. If PVNGS experienced prolonged outages, PNM might be required to utilize other power supply resources such as gas-fired generation, which could increase GHG. As described in Note 11, on February 15, 2013, PNM, NMED, and EPA agreed to pursue a strategy to address the regional haze requirements of the CAA at the coal-fired SJGS, which would include the shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3. The shutdown of Units 2 and 3 would result in a reduction of GHG of approximately 50% at SJGS. That agreement also contemplates that gas-fired generation would be built to partially replace the retired capacity. Although replacement power strategies potentially include some gas-fired generation, the reduction in GHG from the retirement of the coal-fired generation would be far greater than the increase in GHG from replacement generation. In September 2013, the EIB approved a RSIP submitted by NMED that encompassed the February 15, 2013 agreement and the RSIP was submitted to EPA for approval on October 18, 2013. Final rules approving the RSIP and withdrawing the FIP were published in the Federal Register on October 9, 2014 and will become effective on November 10, 2014. Because of PNM's dependence on fossil-fueled generation, any legislation or regulation that imposes a limit or cost on GHG could impact the cost at which electricity is produced. While PNM expects to recover that cost through rates, the timing and outcome of proceedings for cost recovery are uncertain. In addition, to the extent that any additional costs are recovered through rates, customers may reduce their usage, relocate facilities to other areas with lower energy costs, or take other actions that ultimately will adversely impact PNM. Given the geographic location of its facilities and customers, PNM generally has not been exposed to the extreme weather events and other physical impacts commonly attributed to climate change, with the exception of periodic drought conditions. PNM's service areas also experience high winds, forest fires, and severe thunderstorms periodically. Climate changes are generally not expected to have material consequences in the near-term. Drought conditions in northwestern New Mexico could impact the availability of water for cooling coal-fired generating plants. Water shortage sharing agreements have been in place since 2004, although no shortage has been declared due to sufficient precipitation in the San Juan River basin. PNM also has a supplemental water contract in place with the Jicarilla Apache Nation to help address any water shortages from primary sources. The contract expires on December 31, 2016. TNMP has operations in the Gulf Coast area of Texas, which experiences periodic hurricanes and drought conditions. In addition to potentially causing physical damage to TNMP-owned facilities, which disrupt the ability to transmit and/or distribute energy, hurricanes can temporarily reduce customers' usage and demand for energy.

### **EPA Regulation**

In April 2007, the United States Supreme Court held that EPA has the authority to regulate GHG under the CAA. This decision heightened the importance of this issue for the energy industry. In December 2009, EPA released its endangerment finding stating that the atmospheric concentrations of six key greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, methane, nitrous oxides, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride) endanger the public health and welfare of current and future generations. In May 2010, EPA released the final PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule (the "Tailoring Rule") to address GHG from stationary sources under the CAA permitting programs. The purpose of the rule was to "tailor" the applicability of two programs, PSD and Title V operating permit programs, to

avoid impacting millions of small GHG emitters. The rule focused on the largest sources of GHG, including fossil-fueled electric generating units. This program covered new construction projects that emit GHG of at least 100,000 tons per year (even if PSD is not triggered for other pollutants). In addition, modifications at existing facilities that increase GHG by at least 75,000 tons per year would be subject to PSD permitting requirements, even if they did not significantly increase emissions of any other pollutant. As a result, PNM's fossil-fueled generating plants were more likely to trigger PSD permitting requirements because of the magnitude of GHG. However as discussed below, a recent court case has now limited the extent of the Tailoring Rule.

#### **Table of Contents**

On June 26, 2012, the D.C. Circuit rejected challenges to EPA's 2009 GHG endangerment finding, GHG standards for light-duty vehicles, PSD Interpretive Memorandum (EPA's so-called GHG "Timing Rule"), and the Tailoring Rule. The Court found that EPA's endangerment finding and its light-duty vehicle rule "are neither arbitrary nor capricious," that "EPA's interpretation of the governing CAA provisions is unambiguously correct," and that "no petitioner has standing to challenge the Timing and Tailoring Rules." On October 15, 2013, the United States Supreme Court granted a petition for a Writ of Certiorari regarding the permitting of stationary sources that emit GHG. The Supreme Court limited the question that it would review to: "Whether EPA permissibly determined that its regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles triggered permitting requirements under the Clean Air Act for stationary sources that emit greenhouse gases." Specifically, the case deals with whether EPA's determination that regulation of GHG from motor vehicles required EPA to regulate stationary sources under the PSD and Title V permitting programs. The petitioners argued that EPA's determination that it was required to regulate GHG under the PSD and Title V Programs was unlawful as it violates Congressional intent.

On June 23, 2014, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion on the above case. The Supreme Court largely reversed the D.C. Circuit. First, the Supreme Court found the CAA does not compel or permit EPA to adopt an interpretation of the act that requires a source to obtain a PSD or Title V permit on the sole basis of its potential GHG. Second, EPA had argued that even if it was not required to regulate GHGs under the PSD and Title V programs, the Tailoring Rule was nonetheless justified on the grounds that it was a reasonable interpretation of the CAA. The Supreme Court rejected this argument. Third, the Supreme Court found EPA lacked authority to "tailor" the CAA's unambiguous numerical thresholds of 100 or 250 tons per year. Fourth, the Supreme Court found that it would be reasonable for EPA to interpret the CAA to limit the PSD program for GHGs to "anyway" sources – those sources that have to comply with the PSD program for other non-GHG pollutants. The Supreme Court said that EPA needed to establish a de minimis level below which BACT would not be required for "anyway" sources.

On March 27, 2012, EPA issued its proposed carbon pollution standards, under Section 111(b) of the CAA, for GHG from new fossil-fueled EGUs larger than 25 MW. The proposed limit was based on the performance of natural gas combined cycle technology. Therefore, coal-fired power plants would only be able to comply with the standard by using carbon capture and sequestration technology. The proposed rule included an exemption for new simple cycle EGUs. EPA accepted comment on the proposed rule through June 25, 2012, during which EPA received over 2.5 million comments. As a result of the comments, EPA reproposed the EGU NSPS as discussed below.

On June 25, 2013, President Obama announced the President's Climate Action Plan which outlines how his administration plans to cut GHG in the United States, prepare the country for the impacts of climate change, and lead international efforts to combat and prepare for global warming. The plan proposes actions that would lead to the reduction of GHG by 17% below 2005 levels by 2020. The President also issued a Presidential Memorandum to EPA to continue development of the GHG NSPS regulations for electric generators. The Presidential Memorandum establishes a timeline for the reproposal and issuance of a GHG NSPS for new sources and a timeline for the proposal and final rule for developing carbon pollution standards, regulations, or guidelines for GHG reductions from existing sources under Section 111(d) of the CAA.

The Presidential Memorandum further directs EPA to allow the use of "market-based instruments" and "other regulatory flexibilities" to ensure standards will allow for continued reliance on a range of energy sources and technologies and that they are developed and implemented in a manner that provides for reliable and affordable energy and to undertake the rulemaking through direct engagement with states, "as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants," and with utility leaders, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, tribal officials, and other stakeholders.

EPA met the President's timeline for the reproposal of the GHG NSPS for new sources (under Section 111(b) of the CAA) by releasing the draft rule on September 20, 2013. In accordance with the Presidential Memorandum, EPA will

issue a final rule in "a timely fashion thereafter." The projected release date of the final rule is January of 2015. EPA's reproposed GHG NSPS for new sources applies only to new fossil-fired EGUs. The reproposed standards, based on the size of the unit, would revise requirements for new fossil-fired utility boilers, integrated gasification combined cycle units, combined and simple cycle turbines, and new sources meeting certain other criteria. New coal-fired facilities would only be able to meet the standard by using partial carbon capture and sequestration technology. The reproposed GHG NSPS removed the blanket exemption for simple-cycle turbines and instead provided an exemption for units that sell to the transmission grid less than one-third of their potential electric output over a three-year rolling average.

The Presidential Memorandum directed EPA to issue the proposed GHG NSPS for modified and existing EGUs by June 1, 2014 and to issue the final rule by June 1, 2015. On June 2, 2014, EPA released the proposed rule under Section 111(d) of the

#### **Table of Contents**

CAA to establish GHG performance standards for existing EGUs. The proposed rule would require state-specific CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction goals based on EPA's finding of the best system of emissions reductions ("BSER"). States would be required to meet both an interim goal from 2020 to 2029 and a final goal beginning in 2030. The proposed BSER is based on four "building blocks": 1) a 6% heat rate improvement to coal-fired generation units; 2) a shift in electrical generation from coal-fired and oil/gas-fired EGUs to natural gas combined cycle units ("NGCCs") such that the NGCCs are at a 70% utilization rate; 3) substitution of fossil fuel generation with renewable resources and new nuclear facilities, and extension of life of about 6% of existing nuclear plants that may be retired; and 4) increases to demand-side energy efficiency programs. States would be required to develop SIPs to reach the CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction goals. The SIPs would need to include enforceable CO<sub>2</sub> emission limits that apply to the affected EGUs within the state. EPA is proposing to allow flexibility in how each state achieves the goal including an option to use either a rate-based or mass-based standard and to develop multi-state compliance plans. State SIPs would be due June 30, 2016 with the possibility of an extension to 2017 if a state needs additional time or 2018 if states choose to enter a multi-state approach. Comments on the proposed rule were originally due on or before October 16, 2014, which has been extended to December 1, 2014.

Also on June 2, 2014, EPA proposed carbon pollution standards for modified and reconstructed EGUs. Under the proposal rule there are two alternatives for EGUs: 1) a CO<sub>2</sub> emission limit based on the unit's best historic annual CQ emissions plus an additional 2% reduction or 2) an emission limit dependent on when the unit is modified. Sources modified before becoming subject to a section 111(d) plan would be required to meet an emission limit determined by the unit's best historical annual CQ emission rate plus an additional 2% emission reduction. Units modified after becoming subject to a section 111(d) plan would be required to meet a unit-specific emission limit determined by the section 111(b) implementing authority.

On October 28, 2014, EPA issued a supplemental rule proposing CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates for U.S. territories and areas of Indian country with existing fossil fuel-fired EGUs, as well as guidelines for plans to achieve those rates. The supplemental proposal would apply to Four Corners, which is located on the Navajo Nation. With respect to this plant, EPA applied the four building blocks described in its June 18, 2014 CAA Section 111(d) proposal to establish interim and final goals, expressed as CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates. APS has indicated that if the rule is finalized as proposed, it is unlikely that additional emission reductions would be required as a result of the plant's past and future actions to comply with the requirements for BART.

EPA regulation of GHG from large stationary sources will impact PNM's fossil-fueled EGUs. Impacts could involve investments in additional renewables, efficiency improvements, and/or control technologies at the fossil-fueled EGUs. In setting existing source standards, EPA has historically used technology-based performance standards on emission rates. The only end-of-pipe emission control technology for coal and gas fired power plants available for GHG reduction is carbon capture and sequestration, which is not yet a commercially demonstrated technology. There are limited efficiency enhancement measures that may be available to a subset of the existing EGUs; however, such measures would provide only marginal GHG improvements. Additional GHG control technologies for existing EGUs may become viable in the future. The costs of such improvements or technologies could impact the economic viability of some plants.

The ultimate impact of EPA's regulation of GHG to PNM is unknown because the regulatory requirements, including NSPS requirements, are in draft form and since existing power plants will be regulated by state plans that will not be finalized for several years. PNM estimates that implementation of the RSIP for BART at SJGS, which requires the installation of SNCRs on Units 1 and 4 by the later of January 2016 or 15 months after EPA approval of the RSIP and the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3 by the end of 2017, should provide a significant step towards compliance with Section 111(d). PNM is currently reviewing the proposed Section 111(d) rule and is unable to predict the impact of this rule on its fossil fueled generation.

#### Federal Legislation

Prospects for enactment of legislation imposing a new or enhanced regulatory program to address climate change in Congress are unlikely in 2014 or 2015, although there is growing interest among some policymakers in addressing

climate change and there may be legislation in the future. Instead, EPA is the primary venue for GHG regulation in the near future, especially for coal-fired units. PNM has assessed, and continues to assess, the impacts of potential climate change legislation or regulation on its business. This assessment is ongoing and future changes arising out of the legislative or regulatory process could impact the assessment significantly. PNM's assessment includes assumptions regarding the specific GHG limits, the timing of implementation of these limits, the possibility of a cap and trade program including the associated costs and the availability of offsets, the development of technologies for renewable energy and to reduce emissions, and provisions for cost containment. Moreover, the assessment assumes various market reactions such as the price of coal and gas and regional plant economics. These assumptions, at best, are preliminary and speculative. However, based upon these assumptions, the enactment of climate change legislation could, among other things, result in significant compliance costs, including large capital expenditures by PNM, and could jeopardize

#### **Table of Contents**

the economic viability of certain generating facilities. See Note 11. In turn, these consequences could lead to increased costs to customers and affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if the incurred costs are not fully recovered through regulated rates. Higher rates could also contribute to reduced usage of electricity. PNM's assessment process is ongoing, but too preliminary and speculative at this time for the meaningful prediction of financial impact.

State and Regional Activity

Pursuant to New Mexico law, each utility must submit an IRP to the NMPRC every three years to evaluate renewable energy, energy efficiency, load management, distributed generation, and conventional supply-side resources on a consistent and comparable basis. The IRP is required to take into consideration risk and uncertainty of fuel supply, price volatility, and costs of anticipated environmental regulations when evaluating resource options to meet supply needs of the utility's customers. The NMPRC requires that New Mexico utilities factor a standardized cost of carbon emissions into their IRPs using prices ranging between \$8 and \$40 per metric ton of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted and escalating these costs by 2.5% per year. Under the NMPRC order, each utility must analyze these standardized prices as projected operating costs. Reflecting the developing nature of this issue, the NMPRC order states that these prices may be changed in the future to account for additional information or changed circumstances. However, PNM is required to use these prices for purposes of its IRP, and the prices may not reflect the costs that it ultimately will incur. PNM's IRP filed with the NMPRC on July 1, 2014 showed that consideration of carbon emissions costs impacted the projected in-service dates of some of the identified resources.

In recent years, New Mexico adopted regulations, which have since been repealed, that would directly limit GHG from larger sources, including EGUs, through a regional GHG cap and trade program and that would cap GHG from larger sources such as EGUs. Although these rules have been repealed, PNM cannot rule out future state legislative or regulatory initiatives to regulate GHG.

On August 2, 2012, thirty-three New Mexico organizations representing public health, business, environmental, consumers, Native American, and other interested parties filed a petition for rulemaking with the NMPRC. The petition asked the NMPRC to issue a NOPR regarding the implementation of an Optional Clean Energy Standard for electric utilities located in New Mexico. The proposed standard would have utilities that elect to participate reduce their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 3% per year. Utilities that opt into the program would be assured recovery of their reasonable compliance costs. On October 4, 2012, the NMPRC held a workshop to discuss the proposed standard and whether it has authority to proceed with the NOPR. On August 28, 2013, the petitioners amended the August 2, 2012 petition and requested that the NMPRC issue a NOPR to implement a "Carbon Risk Reduction Rule" for electric utilities in New Mexico. The proposed rule would require affected utilities to demonstrate a 3% per year CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction from a three-year average baseline period between 2005 and 2012. The proposed rule would use a credit system that provides credits for electricity production based on how much less than one metric ton of CO<sub>2</sub> per MWh the utility emits. Credits would be retired such that 3% per year reductions are achieved from the baseline year until 2035 unless a participating utility elects to terminate the program at the end of 2023. Credits would not expire and could be banked. An advisory committee of interested stakeholders would monitor the program. In addition, utilities would be allowed to satisfy their obligations by funding NMPRC approved energy efficiency programs. There has been no further action on this matter at the NMPRC.

#### **International Accords**

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change ("UNFCCC") is an international environmental treaty that was produced at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (informally known as the Earth Summit). Since the UNFCCC entered into force in March 1994, the parties, including the United States, have been meeting annually in Conferences of the Parties ("COP") to assess progress in dealing with climate change and, beginning in the mid-1990s, to negotiate the Kyoto Protocol to establish legally binding obligations for developed countries to reduce their GHG. Specifically, the objective is to "stabilize greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system." The Company monitors the proceedings of the UNFCCC, including the annual COP meetings, to determine potential impacts to its

business activities. At the COP meeting in 2011, participating nations, including the United States, agreed that in 2015, they would sign an international treaty requiring all nations to begin reducing carbon emissions by 2020. Known as the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action, the new treaty would supplant the Kyoto Protocol, which was adopted in 1997, that targeted only industrialized nations for mandatory climate emission reductions. The Obama administration plans to release its commitment in March of 2015 and the treaty is scheduled to be finalized in late 2015. PNM will continue to monitor the United States participation in international accords. However, the Company believes that the Obama administration's target will be based on EPA's current proposals to regulate carbon and that implementation of the RSIP for BART at SJGS should provide a significant step towards compliance with the requirements.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Transmission Issues**

At any given time, FERC has various notices of inquiry and rulemaking dockets related to transmission issues pending. Such actions may lead to changes in FERC administrative rules or ratemaking policy, but have no time frame in which action must be taken or a docket closed with no further action. Further, such notices and rulemaking dockets do not apply strictly to PNM, but will have industry-wide effects in that they will apply to all FERC-regulated entities. PNM monitors and often submits comments taking a position in such notices and rulemaking dockets or may join in larger group responses. PNM often cannot determine the full impact of a proposed rule and policy change until the final determination is made by FERC and PNM is unable to predict the outcome of these matters.

On November 24, 2009, FERC issued Order 729 approving two Modeling, Data, and Analysis Reliability Standards ("Reliability Standards") submitted by NERC – MOD-001-1 (Available Transmission System Capability) and MOD-029-1 (Rated System Path Methodology). Both MOD-001-1 and MOD-029-1 require a consistent approach, provided for in the Reliability Standards, to measuring the total transmission capability ("TTC") of a transmission path. The TTC level established using the two Reliability Standards could result in a reduction in the available transmission capacity currently used by PNM to deliver generation resources necessary for its jurisdictional load and for fulfilling its obligations to third-party users of the PNM transmission system.

During the first quarter of 2011, at the request of PNM and other southwestern utilities, NERC advised all transmission owners and transmission service providers that the implementation of portions of the MOD-029 methodology for "Flow Limited" paths has been delayed until such time as a modification to the standard can be developed that will mitigate the technical concerns identified by the transmission owners and transmission service providers. PNM and other western utilities filed a Standards Action Request with NERC in the second quarter of 2012.

NERC initiated an informal development process to address directives in Order No. 729 to modify certain aspects of the MOD standards, including MOD-001 and MOD-029. The modifications to this standard would retire MOD-029 and require each transmission operator to determine and develop methodology for TTC values for MOD-001. A final ballot for MOD-001-2 concluded on December 20, 2013 and received sufficient affirmative votes for approval. On February 10, 2014, NERC filed with FERC a petition for approval of MOD-001-2 and retirement of reliability standards MOD-001-1a, MOD-004-1, MOD-008-1, MOD-028-2, MOD-029-1a, and MOD-030-2. On June 19, 2014, FERC issued a NOPR to approve a new reliability standard. The MOD-001-2 standard will become effective on the first day of the calendar quarter that is 18 months after the date the standard is approved by FERC. MOD-001-2 will replace multiple existing reliability standards and will remove the risk of reduced TTC for PNM and other western utilities.

In July 2011, FERC issued Order 1000 adopting new requirements for transmission planning, cost allocation, and development. Order 1000 calls for significant changes to the transmission process of WestConnect, an organization of utility companies providing transmission of electricity in the western region that includes PNM. On October 11, 2012, PNM and other WestConnect participants filed modified versions of Attachment K to their transmission tariffs to meet Order 1000 regional compliance requirements. Thirteen intervention motions were filed, with several objecting to and/or protesting various provisions of the filings submitted by the WestConnect participants. On December 17, 2012, the WestConnect participants filed responses to the issues raised by the intervenors. On March 22, 2013, FERC issued its order regarding PNM's and six other WestConnect FERC jurisdictional utilities compliance filings. FERC partially accepted many aspects of the filings including the governance structure that gives the transmission owners a veto authority over the regional plan and cost allocations. A major change directed by FERC is the requirement that the cost allocations be binding on identified beneficiaries and that a process be created that will result in a qualified developer being selected. PNM and the other WestConnect FERC jurisdictional entities submitted their regional compliance filings on September 20, 2013 to address and comply with the March 22, 2013 FERC order. On July 11, 2013, the WestConnect participants submitted their cost allocation and inter-regional coordination plan compliance filing between WestConnect and other regions. On September 18, 2014, FERC issued its order regarding PNM's and six other WestConnect FERC jurisdictional utilities' compliance filings. The WestConnect members are evaluating the order and will be required to make a compliance filing on or before November 17, 2014 to address its requirements.

# Financial Reform Legislation

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Reform Act"), enacted in July 2010, includes provisions that will require certain over-the-counter derivatives, or swaps, to be centrally cleared and executed through an exchange or other approved trading facility. It also includes provisions related to swap transaction reporting and record keeping

#### **Table of Contents**

and may impose margin requirements on swaps that are not centrally cleared. The United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") has published final rules defining several key terms related to the act and has set compliance dates for various types of market participants. The Dodd-Frank Reform Act provides exemptions from certain requirements, including an exception to the mandatory clearing and swap facility execution requirements for commercial end-users that use swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risk. PNM expects to qualify for this exception. PNM also expects to be able to comply with its requirements under the Dodd-Frank Reform Act and related rules within the time frames required by the CFTC. However, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Reform Act and related rules, PNM's swap activities could be subject to increased costs, including from higher margin requirements. In addition, implementation of, and compliance with, the swaps provisions of the Dodd-Frank Reform Act and related rules by PNM's swap counterparties could result in increased costs. At this time, PNM cannot predict the ultimate impact the Dodd-Frank Reform Act may have on PNM's financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, or liquidity.

#### Other Matters

On March 25, 2013, a petition was filed by IBEW Local 66 with the National Labor Relations Board seeking to certify a union at TNMP for utility workers. On April 12, 2013, a second petition was filed by IBEW Local 66 with the National Labor Relations Board seeking to certify a union at TNMP for meter technicians, who were not included in the original petition. Approximately 200 employees were covered by the petitions. Elections to determine whether the IBEW would represent the employees were held in May 2013. The employees voted to unionize through both petitions and contract negotiations have begun. Subsequently, on June 25, 2013, a third petition was filed by IBEW Local 66 with the National Labor Relations Board seeking to include a group of three relay technicians, who were not included in the original petition. In August 2013, the relay technicians voted to unionize and contract negotiations have begun. As of September 30, 2014, TNMP had 190 employees represented by IBEW Local 66. The parties are still in negotiations on a collective bargaining agreement.

See Notes 11 and 12 herein and Notes 16 and 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K for a discussion of commitments and contingencies and rate and regulatory matters.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires Company management to select and apply accounting policies that best provide the framework to report the results of operations and financial position for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. The selection and application of those policies requires management to make difficult, subjective, and/or complex judgments concerning reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. As a result, there exists the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.

As of September 30, 2014, there have been no significant changes with regard to the critical accounting policies disclosed in PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's 2013 Annual Reports on Forms 10-K. The policies disclosed included unbilled revenues, regulatory accounting, impairments, decommissioning and reclamation costs, derivatives, pension and other postretirement benefits, accounting for contingencies, income taxes, and market risk.

#### MD&A FOR PNM

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

PNM operates in only one reportable segment, as presented above in Results of Operations for PNMR.

### MD&A FOR TNMP

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

TNMP operates in only one reportable segment, as presented above in Results of Operations for PNMR.

# DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements made in this filing that relate to future events or PNMR's, PNM's, or TNMP's expectations, projections, estimates, intentions, goals, targets, and strategies are made pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

#### **Table of Contents**

Readers are cautioned that all forward-looking statements are based upon current expectations and estimates. PNMR, PNM, and TNMP assume no obligation to update this information.

Because actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP caution readers not to place undue reliance on these statements. PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's business, financial condition, cash flows, and operating results are influenced by many factors, which are often beyond their control, that can cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These factors include:

The ability of PNM and TNMP to recover costs and earn allowed returns in regulated jurisdictions, including treatment of SJGS Units 2 and 3 at the date of their proposed early retirement as contemplated in the stipulated settlement pending before the NMPRC in connection with the RSIP to comply with the regional haze provisions of the CAA

The ability of the Company to successfully forecast and manage its operating and capital expenditures

State and federal regulation or legislation relating to environmental matters, including the RSIP for SJGS's compliance
with the CAA, the resultant costs of compliance, and other impacts on the operations and economic viability of PNM's
generating plants

Uncertainty surrounding the status of PNM's participation in jointly-owned generation projects resulting from the scheduled expiration of the operational agreements for SJGS and Four Corners, as well as the fuel supply agreement for SJGS, including potential restructuring and approval issues at SJGS and Four Corners necessary for operational and environmental compliance matters

Physical and operational risks related to climate change and potential financial risks resulting from climate change litigation and legislative and regulatory efforts to limit GHG

The impacts on the electricity usage of the Company's customers due to performance of state, regional, and national economies and mandatory energy efficiency measures, weather, seasonality, competition, and other changes in supply and demand

State and federal regulatory, legislative, and judicial decisions and actions on ratemaking, tax, and other matters Uncertainty regarding the requirements and related costs of decommissioning power plants and coal mines supplying certain power plants, as well as the ability to recover decommissioning costs from customers

The performance of generating units, transmission systems, and distribution systems, which could be negatively affected by operational issues, unplanned outages, extreme weather conditions, terrorism, cybersecurity breaches, and other catastrophic events

Variability of prices and volatility and liquidity in the wholesale power and natural gas markets

Changes in price and availability of fuel and water supplies, including the ability of the mines supplying coal to PNM's coal-fired generating units and the companies involved in supplying nuclear fuel to provide adequate quantities of fuel The risks associated with completion of generation, transmission, distribution, and other projects

Regulatory, financial, and operational risks inherent in the operation of nuclear facilities, including spent fuel disposal uncertainties

The risk that reliability standards regarding available transmission capacity and other FERC rulemakings may negatively impact the operation of PNM's transmission system

The Company's ability to access the financial markets, including disruptions in the credit markets, actions by ratings agencies, and fluctuations in interest rates

The potential unavailability of cash from PNMR's subsidiaries due to regulatory, statutory, or contractual restrictions. The impacts of decreases in the values of marketable equity securities maintained to provide for decommissioning, reclamation, pension benefits, and other post employment benefits

Commodity and counterparty credit risk transactions and the effectiveness of risk management

The outcome of legal proceedings, including the extent of insurance coverage

Changes in applicable accounting principles or policies

Any material changes to risk factors occurring after the filing of PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's 2013 Annual Reports on Form 10-K are disclosed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, in Part II of this Form 10-Q.

For information about the risks associated with the use of derivative financial instruments, see Item 3. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

#### SECURITIES ACT DISCLAIMER

Certain securities described or cross-referenced in this report have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or any state securities laws and may not be reoffered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 and applicable state securities laws. This Form 10-Q does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities.

#### **WEBSITES**

The PNMR website, www.pnmresources.com, is an important source of Company information. New or updated information for public access is routinely posted. PNMR encourages analysts, investors, and other interested parties to register on the website to automatically receive Company information by e-mail. This information includes news releases, notices of webcasts, and filings with the SEC. Participants can unsubscribe at any time and will not receive information that was not requested.

Our Internet addresses are:

PNMR: www.pnmresources.com

PNM: www.pnm.com TNMP: www.tnmp.com

The contents of these websites are not a part of this Form 10-Q. The SEC filings of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are accessible free of charge on the PNMR website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. These reports are also available in print upon request from PNMR free of charge.

Also available on the Company's website at www.pnmresources.com/investors/governance.cfm and in print upon request from any shareholder are our:

Corporate Governance Principles

Code of Ethics (Do the Right Thing – Principles of Business

Conduct)

Charters of the Audit and Ethics Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, Compensation and Human Resources Committee, and Finance Committee

The Company will post amendments to or waivers from its code of ethics (to the extent applicable to the Company's executive officers and directors) on its website.

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company manages the scope of its various forms of risk through a comprehensive set of policies and procedures with oversight by senior level management through the RMC. The Board's Finance Committee sets the risk limit parameters. The RMC has oversight over the risk control organization. The RMC is assigned responsibility for establishing and enforcing the policies, procedures and limits and evaluating the risks inherent in proposed transactions on an enterprise-wide basis. The RMC's responsibilities include:

Establishing policies regarding risk exposure levels and activities in each of the business segments Approving the types of derivatives entered into for hedging

Reviewing and approving hedging risk

activities

Establishing policies regarding counterparty exposure and limits

Authorizing and delegating transaction limits

Reviewing and approving controls and procedures for derivative activities

Reviewing and approving models and assumptions used to calculate mark-to-market and market risk exposure

Proposing risk limits to the Board's Finance Committee for its approval

Quarterly reporting to the Board's Audit and Finance Committees on these activities

To the extent an open position exists, fluctuating commodity prices, interest rates, equity prices, and economic conditions can impact financial results and financial position, either favorably or unfavorably. As a result, the Company cannot predict with

#### **Table of Contents**

certainty the impact that its risk management decisions may have on its businesses, operating results, or financial position.

### Commodity Risk

Information concerning accounting for derivatives and the risks associated with commodity contracts is set forth in Note 7, including a summary of the fair values of mark-to-market energy related derivative contracts included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had no commodity derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments.

Commodity contracts, other than those that do not meet the definition of a derivative under GAAP, and those derivatives designated as normal purchases and normal sales, are recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table details the changes in PNMR's net asset or liability balance sheet position for mark-to-market energy transactions.

Nine Month			l
	September 30,		
	2014	2013	
Economic Hedges	(In thous	sands)	
Sources of fair value gain (loss):			
Net fair value at beginning of period	\$3,273	\$1,204	
Amount realized on contracts delivered during period	2,005	(306	)
Changes in fair value	(1,938	) 6,164	
Net mark-to-market change recorded in earnings	67	5,858	
Net change recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities	(166	) 601	
Net fair value at end of period	\$3,174	\$7,663	

The following table provides the maturity of PNMR's net assets (liabilities), giving an indication of when these mark-to-market amounts will settle and generate (use) cash.

Fair Value of Mark-to-Market Instruments at September 30, 2014

value of Wark-to-Warket instruments at September 30, 2014					
	Settlement Dates				
	2014	2015	2016		
	(In thous	ands)			
Economic hedges					
Prices actively quoted	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —		
Prices provided by other external sources	586	2,963	(375	)	
Prices based on models and other valuations	_	_	_		
Total	\$586	\$2,963	\$(375	)	

PNM measures the market risk of its long-term contracts and wholesale activities using a Monte Carlo VaR simulation model to report the possible loss in value from price movements. VaR is not a measure of the potential accounting mark-to-market loss. The quantitative risk information is limited by the parameters established in creating the model. The Monte Carlo VaR methodology employs the following critical parameters: historical volatility estimates, market values of all contractual commitments, a three-day holding period, seasonally adjusted and cross-commodity correlation estimates, and a 95% confidence level. The instruments being evaluated may trigger a potential loss in excess of calculated amounts if changes in commodity prices exceed the confidence level of the model used. PNM measures VaR for the positions in its wholesale portfolio (not covered by the FPPAC). For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the high, low, and average VaR amounts were \$1.3 million, \$0.6 million, and \$0.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the high, low, and average VaR amounts were \$1.4 million, \$0.6 million, and \$0.9 million. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the VaR amounts for the PNM wholesale portfolio were

\$0.9 million and \$0.6 million.

The VaR limits, which were not exceeded during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013, represent an estimate of the potential gains or losses that could be recognized on the Company's portfolios, subject to market

#### **Table of Contents**

risk, given current volatility in the market, and are not necessarily indicative of actual results that may occur, since actual future gains and losses will differ from those estimated. Actual gains and losses may differ due to actual fluctuations in market prices, operating exposures, and the timing thereof, as well as changes to the underlying portfolios during the year.

#### Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk from its retail and wholesale customers, as well as the counterparties to derivative instruments. The Company conducts counterparty risk analysis across business segments and uses a credit management process to assess the financial conditions of counterparties. The following table provides information related to PNMR's credit exposure by the credit worthiness (credit rating) and concentration of credit risk for counterparties to derivative transactions. All credit exposures at September 30, 2014 will mature in less than two years.

# Schedule of Credit Risk Exposure September 30, 2014

Rating (1)	Credit Risk Exposure <sup>(2)</sup>	>10%	Net Exposure of Counter-parties >10%
	(Dollars in the	iousaiius)	
External ratings:			
Investment grade	\$7,408	1	\$ 6,436
Non-investment grade	_	_	_
Internal ratings:			
Investment grade	145	_	_
Non-investment grade	121	_	_
Total	\$7,674		\$ 6,436

The rating "Investment Grade" is for counterparties, or a guarantor, with a minimum S&P rating of BBB- or Moody's rating of Baa3. The category "Internal Ratings – Investment Grade" includes those counterparties that are internally rated as investment grade in accordance with the guidelines established in the Company's credit policy.

The Credit Risk Exposure is the gross credit exposure, including long-term contracts (other than firm-requirements wholesale customers), forward sales, and short-term sales. The exposure captures the amounts from

(2) receivables/payables for realized transactions, delivered and unbilled revenues, and mark-to-market gains/losses. Gross exposures can be offset according to legally enforceable netting arrangements but are not reduced by posted credit collateral. At September 30, 2014, PNMR held \$0.2 million of cash collateral to offset its credit exposure.

Net credit risk for the Company's largest counterparty as of September 30, 2014 was \$6.4 million.

The PVNGS lessor notes are not exposed to credit risk, since the notes are repaid as PNM makes payments on the underlying leases. Other investments have no significant counterparty credit risk.

#### Interest Rate Risk

The majority of the Company's long-term debt is fixed-rate debt and does not expose earnings to a major risk of loss due to adverse changes in market interest rates. However, the fair value of PNMR's consolidated long-term debt instruments would increase by 2.0%, or \$41.5 million, if interest rates were to decline by 50 basis points from their levels at September 30, 2014. In general, an increase in fair value would impact earnings and cash flows to the extent

not recoverable in rates if all or a portion of debt instruments were acquired in the open market prior to their maturity. At October 24, 2014, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP had no short term debt outstanding under their revolving credit facilities, which allow for a maximum aggregate borrowing capacity of \$300.0 million for PNMR, \$400.0 million for PNM, and \$75.0 million for TNMP. PNM had no borrowings under its \$50.0 million PNM New Mexico Credit Facility at October 24, 2014. The revolving credit facilities, the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility, the \$175.0 million PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement, and the \$100.0 million PNMR Term Loan Agreement bear interest

#### **Table of Contents**

at variable rates, which averaged 1.01% for the PNMR Term Loan Agreement and 1.10% for the PNM 2014 Term Loan Agreement on October 24, 2014, and the Company is exposed to interest rate risk to the extent of future increases in variable interest rates.

The investments held by PNM in trusts for decommissioning and reclamation had an estimated fair value of \$235.9 million at September 30, 2014, of which 42.0% were fixed-rate debt securities that subject PNM to risk of loss of fair value with movements in market interest rates. If interest rates were to increase by 50 basis points from their levels at September 30, 2014, the decrease in the fair value of the fixed-rate securities would be 3.5%, or \$3.5 million.

PNM does not directly recover or return through rates any losses or gains on the securities, including equity investments discussed below, in the trusts for decommissioning and reclamation. However, the overall performance of these trusts does enter into the periodic determinations of expense and funding levels, which are factored into the rate making process to the extent applicable to regulated operations. PNM is at risk for shortfalls in funding of obligations due to investment losses, including those from the equity market risks discussed below to the extent not ultimately recovered through rates charged to customers.

### **Equity Market Risk**

The investments held by PNM in trusts for decommissioning and reclamation include certain equity securities at September 30, 2014. These equity securities expose PNM to losses in fair value should the market values of the underlying securities decline. Equity securities comprised 56.6% of the securities held by various trusts as of September 30, 2014. A hypothetical 10% decrease in equity prices would reduce the fair values of these funds by \$13.4 million.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, each of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of each of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

#### Changes in internal controls

There have been no changes in each of PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, each of PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Notes 11 and 12 for information related to the following matters, for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP, incorporated in this item by reference.

# Note 11

•The Clean Air Act – Regional Haze – SJGS

•The Clean Air Act – Regional Haze – Four Corners

•The Clean Air Act – Four Corners BART FIP Challenge

•The Clean Air Act – Regional Haze Challenges

•The Clean Air Act – Citizen Suit Under the Clean Air Act

•The Clean Air Act – Four Corners Clean Air Act Lawsuit

WEG v. OSM NEPA Lawsuit

Navajo Nation Environmental Issues

Santa Fe Generating Station

Continuous Highwall Mining Royalty Rate

**SJCC** Arbitration

Four Corners Severance Tax Assessment

**PVNGS** Water Supply Litigation

San Juan River Adjudication

Rights-of-Way Matter

Complaint Against Southwestern Public Service Company

Navajo Nation Allottee Matters

#### Note 12

PNM – Renewable Portfolio Standard

PNM – Energy Efficiency and Load Management

**PNM** − FPPAC Continuation Application

PNM – Integrated Resource Plan

PNM – Applications for Approvals to Purchase Rio Bravo

PNM – Application for Approval of La Luz Generating Station

PNM – San Juan Generating Station Units 2 and 3 Retirement

PNM – Formula Transmission Rate Case

•TNMP – Advanced Meter System Deployment

•TNMP – Energy Efficiency

•TNMP – Transmission Cost of Service Rates

See also Climate Change Issues under Other Issues Facing the Company in MD&A. The third paragraph under State and Regional Activity is incorporated in this item by reference.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes with regard to the Risk Factors disclosed in PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

3.1	PNMR	Articles of Incorporation of PNMR, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to PNMR's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 21, 2008)
3.2	PNM	Restated Articles of Incorporation of PNM, as amended through May 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to PNM's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002)
3.3	TNMP	Articles of Incorporation of TNMP, as amended through July 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.2 to TNMP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
3.4	PNMR	Bylaws of PNMR, with all amendments to and including December 8, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to PNMR's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 11, 2009)

Edgar Filing: PNM R	ESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q
---------------------	--------------------------

3.5	PNM	Bylaws of PNM, with all amendments to and including May 31, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.2 to PNM's Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2002)
3.6	TNMP	Bylaws of TNMP, with all amendments to and including June 18, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to TNMP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 20, 2013)
10.1	PNM	Amendment No. Six to Underground Coal Sales Agreement executed October 2, 2014 among San Juan Coal Company, PNM, and Tucson Electric Power Company and acknowledged by San Juan Transportation Company
103		

Table of Contents		
10.2	PNM	San Juan Generation Station Fuel and Capital Funding Agreement dated September 12, 2014 among PNM, Tucson Electric Power Company, The City of Farmington, New Mexico, M-S-R Public Power Agency, The Incorporated County of Los Alamos, New Mexico, Southern California Public Power Authority, City of Anaheim, Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems, and Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.
12.1	PNMR	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
12.2	PNM	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
12.3	TNMP	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
31.1	PNMR	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	PNMR	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.3	PNM	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.4	PNM	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.5	TNMP	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.6	TNMP	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	PNMR	Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	PNM	Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.3	TNMP	Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	PNMR, PNM, and TNMP	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	PNMR, PNM, and TNMP	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	PNMR, PNM, and TNMP	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

	PNMR, PNM, and TNMP
101.LAB	PNMR, PNM, ABRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document and TNMP
101.PRE	PNMR, PNM, and TNMP XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
104	

# **Table of Contents**

### **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PNM RESOURCES, INC.
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY
(Registrants)

Date: October 31, 2014 /s/ Thomas G. Sategna

Thomas G. Sategna

Vice President and Corporate Controller (Officer duly authorized to sign this report)