3M CO Form DEFA14A April 10, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- o Definitive Proxy Statement
- x Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

3M Company

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

N/A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): No fee required. o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act (3)Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: (5) Total fee paid: Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (3) Filing Party: Date Filed: (4)

Inge G. Thulin	3M Executive	3M Center
Chairman of the Board		St. Paul, MN 55144-1000
President & Chief Executive Officer		
April 10, 2013		
•		
Dear Stockholder:		
Dear Stockholder.		
		opposing two stockholder proposals one relating to
		tributions to be voted on at the Company s Annual
Meeting of Stockholders scheduled to take place	e on May 14, 2013. I will briefly explain wh	y we oppose each proposal.
1. Stockholder proposal on action by writte	on consent The proposal submitted by Jam	nes McRitchie and his agent John Chevedden, requests
		holders could take action by written consent without
		stockholders owning enough shares to approve a
		written consent approving the action, without notice
to other stockholders or to the Company until af		
to outer stockholders of to the company unit in	ter the terror may even tanen. Our Board op,	poses una proposar for the folio migreasons:
		hat are sufficiently important to be subject to a
		ven the opportunity to discuss the proposed action
		receive advance notice of any proposed action by
	to discuss the proposed action and consider	r all points of view, and ultimately are allowed to
vote on the matter.		
b. In contrast, the proposal would allow	a group of stockholders to force fundamenta	al changes on the Company, such as a sale of the
		olders or the Company and without the benefit of a
discussion at a meeting of stockholders. The pro		* *
		roposals that may not be in the best interests of all
		ticipate in matters presented for stockholder approval.
biolimoration and process that elisates the	an stockholders will be permitted to part	mental in matters presented for stockholder approval.
		ating action. The Company s amended Bylaws already
		I meeting. The ability to call a special meeting allows
a group of stockholders to submit matters direct		
written consent because a special meeting allow	s all stockholders to participate in the propo	sed action and allows the Board, acting in the best

interests of all stockholders, to make a considered recommendation regarding the proposed action. And of course, whether or not a stockholder wishes to present a proposal for consideration by other stockholders, I and the Company s other senior executives are interested in hearing from

stockholders at any time and are available to discuss stockholder concerns as and when they arise.

2. Stockholder proposal prohibiting political spending The proposal, submitted by Clean Yield Asset Management, requests that the Board study the feasibility of adopting a policy prohibiting the use of corporate funds for any political election or campaign, including the use of trade association dues for lobbying activities. Our Board opposes this proposal for the following reasons:
a. The Board believes that it is in the best interests of the stockholders and the Company to participate in the political process in appropriate circumstances. The objective of our participation in the political process is to support public policies that serve the business interests of our Company and stockholders. While we may not agree with every position ultimately taken by a candidate or organization to which we have provided support, we support candidates and organizations that, on balance, support our legislative and public policy priorities. When making a decision to support any candidate or organization, we review the candidate s or organization s known political positions to determine whether our support could have the unintended effect of supporting a cause that could result in damage to the Company s reputation.
b. Consistent with federal law, the Company does not make contributions to candidates for federal office or to national political party committees. Some states and localities allow companies to make contributions to candidates, and in those states we may support particular candidates or issues if management determines that doing so will lawfully and appropriately advance the Company s business interests.
c. The Company discloses on its Web site (www.3M.com under Investor Relations Corporate Governance Political Activities and Issue Advocacy) all contributions to state and local candidates and political parties, contributions made by our employee-funded PAC, and contributions to 527 political organizations. We also disclose the trade associations of which we are a member that allocate \$25,000 or more of our dues or payments for lobbying purposes. We believe that this transparency already provides our stockholders with the ability to consider and oversee our engagement with lawmakers.
d. Our political contributions are modest by any measure—since 2002 3M has contributed under \$100,000 per year to state and local candidates. In 2012, employee-funded PAC contributions to federal, state and local candidates totaled approximately \$210,000.
For these reasons, I ask your support in opposing these stockholder proposals. As always, I value your input and would appreciate the opportunity to discuss any questions or comments you may have.
Sincerely,
/s/ Inge G. Thulin Inge G. Thulin Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer