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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS



See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, 20062005 <br> (In thousands, except per share data) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 59,949 | \$ 37,938 |
| Interest on federal funds sold | 64 | 251 |
| Interest on deposits in financial institutions | 15 | 2 |
| Interest on investment securities | 2,166 | 2,063 |
| Total interest income | 62,194 | 40,254 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |
| Deposits | 5,629 | 1,986 |
| Borrowings | 2,163 | 797 |
| Subordinated debentures | 2,450 | 1,886 |
| Total interest expense | 10,242 | 4,669 |
| Net interest income | 51,952 | 35,585 |
| Provision for credit losses | 100 | 800 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 51,852 | 34,785 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |
| Service charges and fees on deposit accounts | 1,559 | 1,704 |
| Other commissions and fees | 1,554 | 997 |
| Gain on sale of loans, net |  | 115 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 421 | 417 |
| Other income | 171 | 269 |
| Total noninterest income | 3,705 | 3,502 |
| Noninterest expense: |  |  |
| Compensation | 15,230 | 11,853 |
| Occupancy | 3,145 | 2,563 |
| Furniture and equipment | 761 | 666 |
| Data processing | 1,335 | 1,120 |
| Other professional services | 1,120 | 1,191 |
| Business development | 347 | 259 |
| Communications | 626 | 455 |
| Insurance and assessments | 472 | 445 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 1,149 | 813 |
| Other | 2,058 | 1,586 |
| Total noninterest expense | 26,243 | 20,951 |
| Earnings before income taxes and effect of accounting change | 29,314 | 17,336 |
| Income taxes | 12,053 | 7,074 |
| Net earnings before cumulative effect of accounting change | \$ 17,261 | \$ 10,262 |
| Cumulative effect on prior years (to December 31, 2005) of changing the method of accounting for stock-based compensation forfeitures | 142 |  |
| Net earnings | \$ 17,403 | \$ 10,262 |
| Per share information |  |  |
| Number of shares (weighted average): |  |  |
| Basic | 19,377.8 | 15,857.4 |
| Diluted | 19,673.7 | 16,261.8 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ 0.89 | \$ 0.65 |
| Accounting change | 0.01 |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.90 | \$ 0.65 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.63 |
| Accounting change(1) |  |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.63 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.22 |

(1) Less than $\$ 0.01$ per diluted share for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quarter Ended } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ \text { 2006 } \\ \text { (Dollars in Thousands) }\end{array} & \mathbf{2 0 0 5}\end{array}\right]$

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

$\left.\begin{array}{l|lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Quarter Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} & \\ \hline & \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

[^0]
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## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> MARCH 31, 2006

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

We are a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as a holding company for our banking subsidiaries. As of March 31, 2006, those subsidiaries were First National Bank, which we refer to as First National, and Pacific Western National Bank, or Pacific Western. We refer to Pacific Western and First National herein as the Banks and when we say we , our or the Company, we mean the Company on a consolidated basis with the Banks. When we refer to First Community or to the holding company, we are referring to the parent company on a stand-alone basis.

We have completed sixteen acquisitions from May 2000 through March 31, 2006. These include the merger whereby the former Rancho Santa Fe National Bank and First Community Bank of the Desert became wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company in a pooling-of-interests transaction. The other acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, their operating results have been included in the consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition.

On May 16, 2005, we filed a registration statement with the SEC regarding the sale of up to $3,400,000$ shares of our common stock, no par value per share, which we may offer and sell, from time to time, in amounts, at prices and on terms that we will determine at the time of any particular offering. In January 2006, we raised $\$ 109.5$ million via the sale of $1,891,086$ million shares of our common stock that was used to augment regulatory capital to support the acquisition of Cedars Bank. To date, we have issued 2,935,766 shares of common stock under this registration statement for net proceeds of $\$ 158.5$ million. We used these proceeds to augment our capital in support of our acquisitions. We expect to use the net proceeds from any additional sales of our securities to fund future acquisitions of banks and other financial institutions, as well as for general corporate purposes.

At our annual shareholders meeting held on April 19, 2006, our shareholders approved an amendment to our articles of incorporation which increased the maximum amount of authorized shares of common stock from $30,000,000$ to $50,000,000$.

## (a) Basis of Presentation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Our financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year.

## (b) Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

accounting principles. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates subject to change in the near term include, among other items, the allowance for credit losses, the carrying values of intangible assets and the realization of deferred tax assets.

## (c) Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS

From January 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006, we completed the following three acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting, and accordingly, the operating results of the acquired entities have been included in the consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition:


## First American Bank

On August 12, 2005, we acquired First American Bank, or First American, based in Rosemead, California. We paid $\$ 59.7$ million in cash to First American shareholders, and caused First American to pay $\$ 2.6$ million in cash for all outstanding options to purchase First American common stock. The aggregate deal value was approximately $\$ 62.3$ million. We made this acquisition to expand our presence in Los Angeles County, California. At the time of the merger, First American was merged into Pacific
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## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

Western. In August and September 2005, we issued 1,044,680 shares of common stock for net proceeds of $\$ 49.0$ million. We used these proceeds to augment our regulatory capital in support of the First American acquisition.

## Pacific Liberty

On October 7, 2005, we acquired Pacific Liberty Bank, or Pacific Liberty, based in Huntington Beach, California. We issued approximately 784,000 shares of our common stock to the Pacific Liberty shareholders and caused Pacific Liberty to pay $\$ 5.0$ million in cash for all outstanding options to purchase Pacific Liberty common stock. The aggregate deal value was approximately $\$ 41.6$ million. At the time of the acquisition, Pacific Liberty was merged into Pacific Western. We made this acquisition to expand our presence in Orange County, California.

## Cedars Bank

On January 4, 2006, we acquired Cedars Bank, or Cedars, based in Los Angeles, California. We paid $\$ 120.0$ million in cash for all of the outstanding shares of common stock and options of Cedars. At the time of the acquisition, Cedars was merged into Pacific Western. We made this acquisition to expand our presence in Los Angeles, California. On January 31, 2006, we issued $1,891,086$ shares of common stock for net proceeds of $\$ 109.5$ million. We used these proceeds to augment our regulatory capital in support of the Cedars acquisition.

## Foothill Independent Bancorp

On December 15, 2005, we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement to acquire all of the outstanding common stock and options of Foothill Independent Bancorp, or Foothill, in exchange for $\$ 238.0$ million in consideration consisting of First Community Bancorp common stock for the outstanding common stock of Foothill and cash for the Foothill stock options. Foothill had $\$ 798.7$ million in assets and twelve branch offices at December 31, 2005. The acquisition of Foothill was recently approved by our regulatory agencies, the stockholders of Foothill and the shareholders of First Community and is expected to close on May 9, 2006. Upon completion of the acquisition, Foothill will be merged with and into Pacific Western and will expand Pacific Western s branch network within Los Angeles, Riverside and San Bernardino counties in California.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

## Preliminary purchase price allocations for the Foothill acquisition

An unaudited summary of First Community s preliminary purchase price allocations for the Foothill acquisition follows. These purchase price allocations are based on estimates and are subject to change as more information becomes available and after final analyses of the fair values of both tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are completed. Accordingly, the final fair value amounts may be materially different from those presented in this report.

|  | Foothill <br> (Unaudited) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assets acquired or to be acquired: | $\$$ |
| Cash and investments | 212,791 |
| Loans, net | 547,137 |
| Intangible assets | 182,195 |
| Other assets | 33,566 |
| Total assets acquired | 975,689 |
| Liabilities assumed or to be assumed: | 672,941 |
| Deposits | 74,988 |
| Other liabilities | 747,929 |
| Total liabilities assumed | $\$ 227,760$ |

## Merger Related Liabilities.

All of the acquisitions consummated after December 31, 2000 were completed using the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, we recorded the estimated merger-related charges associated with each acquisition as a liability at closing when allocating the related purchase price.

For each acquisition, we developed an integration plan for the consolidated Company that addressed, among other things, requirements for staffing, systems platforms, branch locations and other facilities. The established plans are evaluated regularly during the integration process and modified as required. Merger and integration expenses are summarized in the following primary categories: (i) severance and employee-related charges; (ii) system conversion and integration costs, including contract termination charges; (iii) asset write-downs, lease termination costs for abandoned space and other facilities-related costs; and (iv) other charges. Other charges include investment banking fees, legal fees, other professional fees relating to due diligence activities and shareholder expenses associated with preparation of securities filings, as appropriate. These costs were included in the allocation of the purchase price at the acquisition date based on our formal integration plans.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

The following table presents the activity in the merger-related liability account for the quarter ended March 31, 2006:

|  | Severance <br> and <br> Employee- <br> related <br> (Dollars in thousands) | System <br> Conversion <br> and <br> Integration | Asset Write- <br> downs, Lease <br> Terminations <br> and Other <br> Facilities-related | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Unaudited Pro Forma Information for Purchase Acquisitions

The following table presents our unaudited pro forma results of operations for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 as if the First American, Pacific Liberty, Cedars and Foothill acquisitions described above had been completed at the beginning of 2005. The unaudited pro forma results of operations include: (1) the historical accounts of the Company, First American, Pacific Liberty, Cedars and Foothill; and (2) pro forma adjustments, as may be required, including the amortization of intangibles with definite lives and the amortization or accretion of any premiums or discounts arising from fair value adjustments for assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The unaudited pro forma information is intended for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of our future operating results or operating results that would have occurred had these acquisitions been completed at the beginning of 2005. No assumptions have been applied to the pro forma results of operations regarding possible revenue enhancements, expense efficiencies or asset dispositions.

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2006 <br> (Dollar in thousands, except for share data) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) | \$ | 66,208 | 59,877 |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 19,469 | \$ | 15,357 |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.81 | \$ | 0.65 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.79 | \$ | 0.63 |

## NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and intangible assets arise from purchase business combinations. Goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives generated from purchase business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment no less than annually. Our annual impairment tests of goodwill have resulted in no impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed for impairment annually. The amortization expense represents the

## NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

estimated decline in the value of the underlying deposits or loan customers acquired. The estimated aggregate amortization expense related to the intangible assets is expected to range from $\$ 3.7$ million to $\$ 4.6$ million for each of the next five years and is expected to total $\$ 20.6$ million over this time horizon; these amounts exclude any amortization related to the upcoming Foothill acquisition. We recorded a $\$ 3.0$ million core deposit intangible asset related to the Cedars acquisition during the first quarter of 2006.

The goodwill recorded has been assigned to our one reporting segment, banking, and none of the goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. The carrying amount of goodwill was $\$ 371.1$ million at March 31, 2006 and $\$ 295.9$ million at December 31, 2005. The increase relates to the Cedars acquisition.

The following table presents the changes in goodwill for the quarter ended March 31, 2006:

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, 2006 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balance as of January 1, 2006 | $\$ \quad 295,890$ |
| Acquisitions | 75,230 |
| Miscellaneous reductions | $(42$ |
| Balance as of March 31, 2006 | $\$ 371,078$ |

The following table presents the changes in the gross amounts of core deposit and customer relationship intangibles and the related accumulated amortization for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:


## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES
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The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available-for-sale as of March 31, 2006 are as follows:


## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

The contractual maturity distribution based on amortized cost and fair value as of March 31, 2006, is shown below. Mortgage-backed securities have contractual terms to maturity, but require periodic payments to reduce principal. In addition, expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

|  | Maturity distribution as of <br> March 31, 2006 <br> Amortized cost <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  | Fair value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Due in one year or less | $\$ \quad 19,499$ | $\$ 19,388$ |  |
| Due after one year through five years | 47,393 | 46,426 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 17,118 | 16,679 |  |
| Due after ten years | 122,742 | 119,638 |  |
| Total | $\$ 1206,752$ | $\$$ | 202,131 |

The following table presents the fair value and unrealized losses on securities that were temporarily impaired as of March 31, 2006:

| Descriptions of securities | Impairment Period Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total | Unrealized Losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unrealized |  | Unrealized |  |  |
|  | Fair Value <br> (Dollars in tho | Losses <br> ds) | Fair Value | Losses | Fair Value |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 972 | \$ 7 | \$ | \$ | \$ 972 | \$ 7 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 34,480 | 308 | 12,294 | 198 | 46,774 | 506 |
| Municipal securities | 1,934 | 20 | 1,568 | 36 | 3,502 | 56 |
| Mortgage-backed and other securities | 33,677 | 347 | 102,898 | 3,813 | 136,575 | 4,160 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 71,063 | \$ 682 | \$ 116,760 | \$ 4,047 | \$ 187,823 | \$ 4,729 |

All individual securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer at March 31, 2006 were securities that have been issued by U.S. agencies and have a AAA credit rating as determined by various rating agencies. These securities have fluctuated in value since their purchase dates because of changes in market interest rates. We concluded that the continuous unrealized loss position for the past 12 months on our securities is a result of the level of market interest rates and not a result of the underlying issuers ability to repay and are, therefore, temporarily impaired. In addition, we have the ability to hold these securities until their fair value recovers to their cost. Accordingly, we have not recognized the temporary impairment in our consolidated statement of earnings.

## NOTE 5 NET EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a summary of the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per share for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, <br> (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings before cumulative effect of accounting change | \$ | 17,261 | \$ | 10,262 |
| Accounting change | 14 |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 17,403 | \$ | 10,262 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding used for basic net earnings per share |  |  |  |  |
| Effect of restricted stock and dilutive stock options |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ | 0.89 | \$ | 0.65 |
| Accounting change |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.90 | \$ | 0.65 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.63 |
| Accounting change(1) |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.88 | \$ | 0.63 |

(1) Less than $\$ 0.01$ per diluted share for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

In calculating the common stock equivalents for purposes of diluted earnings per share, we selected the transition method provided by FASB Staff Position FAS123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. Diluted earnings per share does not include all potentially dilutive shares that may result from outstanding stock options and restricted and performance stock awards that may eventually vest. The number of common shares underlying stock options and shares of restricted and performance stock which were outstanding but not included in the calculation of diluted net earnings per share were 607,363 and 690,222 for the quarters ended March 31 , 2006 and 2005.

## NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION

## Accounting Change

We adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share Based Payment ( SFAS 123R ) on January 1, 2006. SFAS 123R applies to all stock-based compensation transactions in which an entity acquires employee or director services by either issuing stock or other equity instruments, such as stock options, restricted and performance stock, and/or stock appreciation rights, or incurring liabilities that are based on an entity s stock price, and requires entities that engage in these transactions to recognize compensation expense based on the fair value of the stock or other equity instrument either issued, modified, or settled. We adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective approach. Under this approach, compensation expense is recognized for (1) new share-based payment awards (e.g., stock options, restricted stock, and performance stock), (2) awards that are modified, repurchased, or cancelled after December 31, 2005, and (3) the remaining portion of the requisite service under previously granted unvested stock awards as of December 31, 2005. The Company has been recognizing compensation expense related to stock options awarded after January 1, 2003. There will be no material effect on our financial statements for the remaining portion of the requisite service for our outstanding stock options as all stock options had vested as of March 31, 2006. We have recognized compensation expense for all restricted and performance stock awards since the dates on which they were awarded.
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## NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION (Continued)

As permitted under formerly effective accounting rules, we did not consider estimated forfeitures of stock awards during the amortization period and recognized the effect of forfeitures as they occurred. As required by SFAS 123R we recognized the cumulative effect of estimated forfeitures for unvested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2005, by increasing our first quarter 2006 earning by $\$ 242,000$. The after tax effect of this adjustment was to increase net earnings by $\$ 142,000$, or less than $\$ 0.01$ per diluted share. SFAS 123 R also requires us to use estimated forfeitures in recognizing stock compensation expense beginning January 1, 2006, and to true-up such expense when forfeitures occur. Amortization expense for all restricted and performance stock awards is estimated to be $\$ 6.7$ million for 2006 and includes an estimate for forfeitures. As of March 31, 2006, unrecognized stock-based compensation expense was $\$ 25.8$ million. When we made restricted and performance stock awards prior to January 1, 2006, we established an unearned equity compensation contra account within our shareholders equity equal to the market value of our common stock underlying the award on the award date. SFAS 123R required us to eliminate the unearned equity compensation account on January 1, 2006, by reclassifying it to common stock. Such reclassification had no effect on the amount of the Company $s$ shareholders equity.

## Restricted and Performance Stock.

At March 31, 2006, there were outstanding 330,164 shares of unvested restricted common stock, 57,500 shares of unvested performance common stock awarded in 2003, and 285,000 shares of unvested performance common stock awarded in 2006. The awarded shares of restricted common stock vest over a service period of three to four years from date of the grant. The awarded shares of performance common stock vest in full or in part on the date the Compensation, Nominating and Governance ( CNG ) Committee of the Board of Directors, as Administrator of the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan ), determines that the Company achieved certain financial goals established by the CNG Committee and set forth in the grant documents. During the first quarter of 2006, the CNG Committee determined that certain financial goals were met and vested 57,500 shares of the performance common stock awarded in 2003. We expect the remaining shares of unvested performance stock awarded in 2003 to vest in March 2007. The unvested performance stock awarded in 2006 expires in 7 years and is currently expected to vest in March 2013. Both restricted common stock and performance common stock vest immediately upon a change in control of the Company as defined in the Plan. Restricted and performance stock amortization totaled $\$ 1.6$ million for the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 1.0$ million for first quarter of 2005.

A summary of the status of our restricted and performance stock outstanding and the change during the quarter is presented in the table below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { average fair value } \\ \text { on award date }\end{array} \\ \text { Outstanding at December 31, 2005 } & \text { Shares } & 405,831\end{array}\right) \$ 36.27$.

## NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about restricted and performance stock awards at March 31, 2006:

(1) Determined using the $\$ 57.66$ closing price of First Community common stock on March 31, 2006.

Compensation expense related to awards of restricted and performance stock is based on the fair value of the underlying stock on the award date and is recognized over the vesting period using the straight-line method. The vesting of performance stock awards and recognition of related compensation expense may occur over a shorter vesting period if financial performance targets are achieved earlier than anticipated. Compensation expense related to the restricted and performance stock awards approximated $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 997,000$ during the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 and is included in compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

## Stock Options.

We adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock options effective January 1, 2003, using the prospective method of transition specified in SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123. The cost of all stock options granted on or after January 1, 2003 is based on their fair value and is included as a component of compensation expense over the vesting period for such options. For stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003, the Company continues to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for fixed stock option awards granted prior to January 1, 2003, with an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The Company has not granted stock options since the first quarter of 2003.

Had we determined compensation expense for our stock-based compensation plan consistent with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, our net earnings and earnings per share for the
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## NOTE 6 STOCK COMPENSATION (Continued)

quarter ended March 31, 2005 would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated in the table below:

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2005 <br> (In thousands, except per share data) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reported net earnings | \$ 10,262 |
| Add: Stock based compensation expense included in net earnings, net of tax | 578 |
| Deduct: All stock based compensation expense, net of tax | (645 ) |
| Pro forma net earnings | \$ 10,195 |
| Basic net earnings per share as reported | \$ 0.65 |
| Pro forma basic net earnings per share | \$ 0.64 |
| Diluted net earnings per share as reported | \$ 0.63 |
| Pro forma diluted net earnings per share | \$ 0.63 |

A summary of the status of our stock options outstanding and the changes during the quarter is presented in the table below:

|  | Shares | Weighted-Average <br> Exercise Price | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 543,793 | $\$ 21.05$ |  |  |
| Exercised | 313,170 | 22.73 |  |  |
| Outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2006 | 230,623 | $\$$ | 18.77 | $\$ 8,969$ |

Both restricted and performance stock and stock options are awarded to officers, directors, key employees and consultants under the terms described in the Company s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan authorizes grants of stock-based compensation instruments to purchase or issue up to $3,500,000$ shares of authorized but unissued Company common stock, subject to adjustments provided by the Incentive Plan. As of April 24, 2006, there were 1,092,909 shares available for grant under the Incentive Plan.

## NOTE 7 BORROWINGS AND SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

## Borrowings.

At March 31, 2006, we had $\$ 235.3$ million of borrowings outstanding. Borrowings included $\$ 150.3$ million of overnight advances and $\$ 85.0$ million of term advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (the FHLB ). The weighted average cost of these borrowings was $4.60 \%$ at March 31, 2006. The term advances begin to mature in May 2006. Our aggregate remaining secured borrowing capacity from the FHLB was $\$ 526.0$ million as of March 31, 2006.

## Subordinated Debentures.

The Company had an aggregate of $\$ 121.7$ million of subordinated debentures outstanding with a weighted average cost of $8.23 \%$ at March 31 , 2006. The subordinated debentures were issued in seven separate series. Each issuance has a maturity of thirty years from its date of issue. The subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us, which in turn issued trust preferred securities. The proceeds from the issuance of the securities were used primarily to fund several of our acquisitions.

## NOTE 7 BORROWINGS AND SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES (Continued)

Generally and with certain limitations, we are permitted to call the debentures in the first five years upon the occurrence of any of the following three events: (i) a change in the tax treatment of the debentures stemming from a change in the IRS laws; (ii) a change in the regulatory treatment of the underlying trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital; and (iii) a requirement to register the underlying trust as a registered investment company. Under certain of our series of issuances, redemption in the first five years may be subject to a prepayment penalty. Trust I may not be called for 10 years from the date of issuance unless one of the three events described above has occurred and then a prepayment penalty applies. In addition, there is a prepayment penalty if the Trust I debentures are called 10 to 20 years from the date of its issuance, although they may be called at par after 20 years.

The following table summarizes the terms of each issuance:


* As described above, certain issuances may be called earlier without penalty upon the occurrence of certain events.
** As of April 27, 2006.

As previously mentioned, the subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us, which in turn issued $\$ 118$ million of trust preferred securities. These securities are currently included in our Tier I capital for purposes of determining the Company s Tier I and total risk-based capital ratios. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, which is the holding company s banking regulator, has promulgated a modification of the capital regulations affecting trust preferred securities. Under this modification, beginning March 31, 2009, the Company will be required to use a more restrictive formula to determine the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in regulatory Tier I capital. At that time, the Company will be allowed to include in Tier I capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than $25 \%$ of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders equity less certain intangibles, including goodwill, core deposit intangibles and customer relationship intangibles, net of any related deferred income tax liability. The regulations currently in effect through December 31, 2008, limit the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in Tier I capital to $25 \%$ of the sum of core capital elements without a deduction for permitted intangibles. We have determined that our Tier I capital ratios would remain above the well-capitalized level had the modification of the capital regulations been in effect at March 31, 2006. We expect that our Tier I capital ratios will be at or above the existing well-capitalized levels on March 31, 2009, the first date on which the modified capital regulations must be applied.

## NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCES

## Lending Commitments.

The Banks are party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their customers. Such financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of such instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

Commitments to extend credit amounting to $\$ 1.1$ billion and $\$ 1.0$ billion were outstanding as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees amounting to $\$ 86.0$ million and $\$ 62.1$ million were outstanding as of March 31,2006 and December 31, 2005. Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Most guarantees expire within one year from the date of issuance. The Company generally requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Management does not anticipate that any material loss will result from the outstanding commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit or financial guarantees.

## Legal Matters.

On June 8, 2004, the Company was served with an amended complaint naming First Community and Pacific Western as defendants in a class action lawsuit filed in Los Angeles Superior Court pending as Gilbert et. al v. Cohn et al, Case No. BC310846 (the Gilbert Litigation ). A former officer of First Charter Bank, N.A. ( First Charter ), which the Company acquired in October 2001, was also named as a defendant. That former officer left First Charter in May of 1997 and later became a principal of Four Star Financial Services, LLC ( Four Star ), an affiliate of 900 Capital Services, Inc. ( 900 Capital )

On April 18, 2005, the plaintiffs filed the second amended class action complaint. The second amended complaint alleged that the former officer of First Charter improperly induced several First Charter customers to invest in 900 Capital or affiliates of 900 Capital and further alleges that Four Star, 900 Capital and some of their affiliated entities perpetuated their fraud upon investors through various accounts at First Charter, First Community and Pacific Western with those banks purported knowing participation in and/or willful ignorance of the scheme. The key allegations in the second amended complaint dated back to the mid-1990s and the second amended complaint alleged several counts for relief including aiding and abetting, conspiracy, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, relief pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code, negligence and relief under the California Securities Act stemming from an alleged fraudulent scheme and sale of securities issued by 900 Capital and Four Star. In disclosures provided to the parties, plaintiffs have asserted that the named plaintiffs have suffered losses well in excess of $\$ 3.85$ million, and plaintiffs have asserted that losses to the class total many tens of millions of dollars. While we understand that the plaintiffs intend to seek to certify a class for purposes of pursuing a class action, a class has not yet been certified and no motion for class certification has been filed. On June 15, 2005, we filed a demurrer to the second amended complaint, and on August 22, 2005, the Court sustained our demurrer as to each of the counts therein, granting plaintiffs leave to amend on four of the six counts, and dismissing the other counts outright.

## NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCES (Continued)

On August 12, 2005, the Company was notified by Progressive Casualty Insurance Company ( Progressive ), its primary insurance carrier with respect to the Gilbert Litigation, that Progressive had determined that, based upon the allegations in the second amended complaint filed in the Gilbert Litigation, there is no coverage with respect to the Gilbert Litigation under the Company s insurance policy with Progressive. Progressive also notified the Company that it was withdrawing its agreement to fund defense costs for the Gilbert Litigation and reserving its right to seek reimbursement from the Company for any defense costs advanced pursuant to the insurance policy. Through December 31, 2005, Progressive had advanced to the Company approximately $\$ 690,000$ of defense costs with respect to the Gilbert Litigation.

On August 12, 2005, Progressive filed an action in federal district court for declaratory relief, currently pending as Progressive Casualty Insurance Company, etc., v. First Community Bancorp, etc., et al., Case No. 05-5900 SVW (MAWx) (the Progressive Litigation ), seeking a declaratory judgment with respect to the parties rights and obligations under Progressive s policy with the Company. On October 11, 2005, the Company filed in federal court a motion to dismiss or stay the Progressive Litigation.

In November 2005, along with certain other defendants, we reached an agreement in principle with respect to the Gilbert Litigation toward which we would contribute $\$ 775,000$; this amount was accrued in 2005 . The proposed settlement is subject to the final settlement terms and documentation being agreed upon by First Community, the plaintiffs and other parties who are also contributing to this settlement. Additionally, the settlement is subject to approval by the Los Angeles Superior Court. While we believe that this settlement, if finalized, will end our exposure to the underlying claims by participating class members, we cannot be certain that a final settlement will be reached or that we will not be subject to further claims by parties related to the same claims who did not participate in the settlement. In connection with the Gilbert Litigation settlement, we also reached a settlement in principle with Progressive Casualty Insurance Co. in the Progressive Litigation. The settlement with Progressive, which includes an additional contribution by Progressive under First Community s policy toward the settlement of the Gilbert Litigation and a dismissal by Progressive of any claims against First Community for reimbursement, is contingent upon the consummation of the Gilbert Litigation settlement.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various other legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. Although the ultimate outcome and amount of liability, if any, with respect to these other legal actions to which we are currently a party cannot presently be ascertained with certainty, in the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## NOTE 9 DIVIDEND APPROVAL

On May 3, 2006, our Board of Directors (1) declared a quarterly cash dividend of $\$ 0.32$ per common share payable on May 31, 2006 to shareholders of record at the close of business on May 16, 2006 and (2) authorized the repurchase of up to one million shares of the Company s common stock over the next twelve months.

## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking information about the Company and its subsidiaries, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of the Company. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

- planned acquisitions and related cost savings cannot be realized or realized within the expected time frame;
- revenues are lower than expected;
- credit quality deterioration which could cause an increase in the provision for credit losses;
- competitive pressure among depository institutions increases significantly;
- the Company s ability to complete planned acquisitions, to successfully integrate acquired entities, or to achieve expected synergies and operating efficiencies within expected time-frames or at all;
- the integration of acquired businesses costs more, takes longer or is less successful than expected;
- the possibility that personnel changes will not proceed as planned;
- the cost of additional capital is more than expected;
- a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;
- asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;
- pending legal matters may take longer or cost more to resolve or may be resolved adversely to the Company;
- general economic conditions, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does or anticipates doing business, are less favorable than expected;
- the economic and regulatory effects of the continuing war on terrorism and other events of war, including the war in Iraq;
- legislative or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting the Company s business;
- changes in the securities markets; and
- regulatory approvals for announced or future acquisitions cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule.

[^1]
## Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCORP /CA/ - Form 10-Q

## Overview

We are a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as the holding company for our subsidiary banks, First National Bank and Pacific Western National Bank, which we refer to as the Banks. Through the holding company structure, First Community creates operating efficiencies for the Banks by consolidating core administrative, operational and financial functions that serve both of the Banks. The Banks reimburse the holding company for the services performed on their behalf, pursuant to an expense allocation agreement.

The Banks are full-service community banks offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting time and demand deposits; originating commercial loans, including asset-based lending and factoring, real estate and construction loans, Small Business Administration guaranteed loans, or SBA loans, consumer loans, mortgage loans and international loans for trade finance; providing tax free real estate exchange accommodation services; and providing other business-oriented products. At March 31, 2006, our gross loans totaled $\$ 2.8$ billion of which $27 \%$ consisted of commercial loans, $71 \%$ consisted of commercial real estate loans, including construction loans, and $2 \%$ consisted of consumer and other loans. Our portfolio s value and credit quality is affected in large part by real estate trends in Southern California. These percentages also include some foreign loans, primarily to individuals or entities with business in Mexico, representing approximately $4 \%$ of total loans.

The Banks compete actively for deposits, and we tend to solicit noninterest-bearing deposits. In managing the top line of our business, we focus on loan growth and loan yield, deposit cost, and net interest margin, as net interest income, on a year-to-date basis, accounts for $93 \%$ of our net revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income).

## Key Performance Indicators

Among other factors, our operating results depend generally on the following:

## The Level of Our Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the excess of interest earned on our interest-earning assets over the interest paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. Our primary interest-earning assets are loans and investment securities. Our primary interest-bearing liabilities are deposits, borrowings, and subordinated debentures. We attempt to increase our net interest income by maintaining a high level of noninterest-bearing deposits. At March 31, 2006, approximately $45 \%$ of our deposits were noninterest-bearing. Although we have borrowing capacity under various credit lines, we have traditionally borrowed funds only for short term liquidity needs such as managing deposit flows and interim acquisition financing. Some of our long-term borrowings are matched to the asset-based loan portfolio acquired in the FC Financial acquisition. Net proceeds from our other long-term borrowings, consisting of subordinated debentures, were used to fund certain of our acquisitions. Our general policy is to price our deposits in the bottom half or third-quartile of our competitive peer group, resulting in deposit products that bear somewhat lower interest rates. While our deposit balances will fluctuate depending on deposit holders perceptions of alternative yields available in the market, we attempt to minimize these variances by attracting a high percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits, which generally have no expectation of yield.

## Loan Growth

We generally seek new lending opportunities in the $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 5$ million range, try to limit loan maturities for commercial loans to one year, for construction loans up to 18 months, and for commercial real estate loans up to ten years, and to price lending products so as to preserve our interest spread and net interest margin. We sometimes encounter strong competition in pursuing lending opportunities such that potential borrowers obtain loans elsewhere at lower rates than those we offer.
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## The Magnitude of Credit Losses

We stress credit quality in originating and monitoring the loans we make and measure our success by the level of our nonperforming assets and the corresponding level of our allowance for credit losses. Our allowance for credit losses is the sum of our allowance for loan losses and our reserve for unfunded loan commitments. Provisions for credit losses are charged to operations as and when needed for both on and off balance sheet credit exposure. Loans which are deemed uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance for loan losses. Recoveries on loans previously charged off are added to the allowance for loan losses. Changes in economic conditions, however, such as increases in the general level of interest rates, could negatively impact our customers and lead to increased provisions for credit losses.

## The Level of Our Noninterest Expense

Our noninterest expense includes fixed and controllable overhead, the major components of which are compensation, occupancy, data processing, professional fees and communications. We measure success in controlling such costs through monitoring of the efficiency ratio. We calculate the efficiency ratio by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income. Accordingly, a lower percentage reflects lower expenses relative to income. The consolidated efficiency ratios have been as follows:

| Quarterly Period | Ratio |
| :--- | :--- |
| First quarter 2006 | $47.2 \%$ |
| Fourth quarter 2005 | $48.3 \%$ |
| Third quarter 2005 | $50.1 \%$ |
| Second quarter 2005 | $49.1 \%$ |
| First quarter 2005 | $53.6 \%$ |

Additionally, our operating results have been influenced significantly by acquisitions; the three acquisitions we completed from January 1, 2005 through March 31, 2006 added approximately $\$ 958.0$ million in assets. Our assets at March 31, 2006, total approximately $\$ 3.7$ billion. While the quarterly noninterest expense amounts have generally increased from the first quarter of 2005, the quarterly efficiency ratio has generally decreased over the same time period, indicating an improvement in operating efficiencies.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company saccounting policies are fundamental to understanding management $s$ discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified several policies as being critical because they require management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because of the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. These policies relate to the allowance for credit losses and the carrying values of goodwill, other intangible assets and deferred income tax assets. For further information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
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## Results of Operations

## Earnings Performance

We analyze our performance based on net earnings determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The comparability of financial information is affected by our acquisitions. Operating results include the operations of acquired entities from the dates of acquisition. The following table presents net earnings and summarizes per share data and key financial ratios:

|  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2006 <br> 2005 <br> (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | \$ | 51,952 |  | \$ | 35,585 |  |
| Noninterest income | 3,705 |  |  | 3,502 |  |  |
| Net revenues | 55,65 |  |  | 39,08 |  |  |
| Provision for credit losses | 100 |  |  | 800 |  |  |
| Noninterest expense | 26,24 |  |  | 20,95 |  |  |
| Income taxes | 12,05 |  |  | 7,074 |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ | 17,261 |  | \$ | 10,262 |  |
| Accounting change | 142 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings(1) | \$ | 17,403 |  | \$ | 10,262 |  |
| Average interest-earning assets | \$ | 3,090,229 |  | \$ | 2,418,999 |  |
| Profitability measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ | 0.89 |  | \$ | 0.65 |  |
| Accounting change | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.90 |  | \$ | 0.65 |  |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings before accounting change | \$ | 0.88 |  | \$ | 0.63 |  |
| Accounting change(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.88 |  | \$ | 0.63 |  |
| Net interest margin | 6.82 |  | \% | 5.97 |  | \% |
| Return on average assets | 1.91 |  | \% | 1.45 |  | \% |
| Return on average equity | 12.2 |  | \% | 11.0 |  | \% |
| Efficiency ratio | 47.2 |  | \% | 53.6 |  | \% |

(1) Our quarterly results include First American subsequent to August 12, 2005, Pacific Liberty subsequent to October 7, 2005, and Cedars subsequent to January 4, 2006.
(2) Less than $\$ 0.01$ per diluted share for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

The improvement in net earnings in the first quarter of 2006 compared to the same period of 2005 resulted from increased net interest margin and average loan growth. The increase in average loans was due to both organic loan growth and loans added to the portfolio from our acquisitions. Our net interest margin increased 85 basis points to $6.82 \%$ for the first quarter of 2006 compared to $5.97 \%$ for the same period in 2005. This increase was due to the positive impact the increases in market interest rates have had on our asset-sensitive balance sheet. The increase in noninterest income for the first quarter of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 is attributed to increased commissions and fees for both loans and deposit related services as loan and deposit balances have increased. The increase in noninterest expense for the first quarter of 2006 over the same period of 2005 is largely the result of higher compensation expense, which is the result of additional staff added through acquisitions, pay rate increases and increased incentive accruals, including stock-based compensation.
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Net Interest Income. Net interest income, which is our principal source of revenue, represents the difference between interest earned on assets and interest paid on liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. Net interest income is affected by changes in both interest rates and the volume of average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the distribution of average assets, liabilities and shareholders equity, as well as interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and costs on average interest-bearing liabilities:

|  | Quarter Ended March 31,$2006$ |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance (Dollars in thous | Interest <br> Income or Expense <br> nds) | Average Yield or Cost | Average Balance | Interest <br> Income or <br> Expense | Average Yield or Cost |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, net of deferred fees and costs(1)(2) | \$ 2,842,121 | \$ 59,949 | 8.55 \% | \$ 2,108,348 | \$ 37,938 | 7.30 \% |
| Investment securities(2) | 238,804 | 2,166 | 3.68 \% | 264,177 | 2,063 | 3.17 \% |
| Federal funds sold | 7,418 | 64 | 3.50 \% | 46,073 | 251 | 2.21 \% |
| Other earning assets | 1,886 | 15 | 3.23 \% | 401 | 2 | 2.02 \% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 3,090,229 | 62,194 | 8.16 \% | 2,418,999 | 40,254 | 6.75 \% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 603,267 |  |  | 443,205 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 3,693,496 |  |  | \$ 2,862,204 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking | \$ 198,841 | 36 | 0.07 \% | \$ 188,279 | 28 | 0.06 \% |
| Money market | 783,149 | 2,607 | 1.35 \% | 745,498 | 1,201 | 0.65 \% |
| Savings | 107,652 | 48 | 0.18 \% | 81,646 | 25 | 0.12 \% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 412,140 | 2,938 | 2.89 \% | 215,738 | 732 | 1.38 \% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,501,782 | 5,629 | 1.52 \% | 1,231,161 | 1,986 | 0.65 \% |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 321,336 | 4,613 | 5.82 \% | 229,952 | 2,683 | 4.73 \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,823,118 | 10,242 | 2.28 \% | 1,461,113 | 4,669 | 1.30 \% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 1,238,758 |  |  | 982,202 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 50,893 |  |  | 41,332 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 3,112,769 |  |  | 2,484,647 |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 580,727 |  |  | 377,557 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 3,693,496 |  |  | \$ 2,862,204 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 51,952 |  |  | \$ 35,585 |  |
| Net interest spread |  |  | 5.88 \% |  |  | 5.45 \% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 6.82 \% |  |  | 5.97 \% |

(1) Includes nonaccrual loans and loan fees.
(2) Yields on loans and securities have not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis because the impact is not material.

The increase in net interest income and our net interest margin for the first quarter of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 is the result of increased average loan balances and loan yield offset by the increase in our total funding sources and the cost of such funds. The significant amount of
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noninterest-bearing demand deposits we maintain also helps to increase net interest income and expand our net interest margin; we averaged $\$ 1,238.8$ million of noninterest-bearing deposits during the first quarter of 2006 , or $45.2 \%$ of average total deposits, compared to $\$ 982.2$ million, or $44.4 \%$ of average total deposits, for the same period of 2005 . Our overall cost of deposits, which includes demand deposits, was $0.83 \%$ for the first quarter of 2006, compared to $0.36 \%$ in the first quarter of 2005.

Average loans increased $\$ 733.8$ million to $\$ 2,842.1$ million for the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 2,108.3$ million for the same period of 2005. The increase was due to both organic loan growth and loans acquired in the three acquisition that have occurred since March 31, 2005. The 125 basis point increase in average loan yield to $8.55 \%$ for the first quarter of 2006 compared to $7.30 \%$ for the same period of 2005 was due mainly to the increase in our prime lending rate in response to the gradual rise in market interest rates. If market interest rates were to increase further from their levels at March 31, 2006, and we were to increase our base lending rate, approximately $62 \%$ of our March 31, 2006 loan portfolio, or $\$ 1.7$ billion, would be eligible to reprice upward. At March 31, 2006, our base lending rate was $7.75 \%$, a 200 basis point increase over our rate at March 31, 2005.

The $\$ 5.6$ million increase in interest expense for the first quarter of 2006 when compared to the same period of 2005 is due to an increase in interest-bearing deposits and FHLB advances and the cost of all funding sources. Average interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 270.6$ million to $\$ 1,501.8$ million for the first quarter of 2006 when compared to the same period of 2005 and is attributed mostly to the deposits acquired in our 2005 and 2006 acquisitions; this increased interest expense $\$ 1.1$ million. We continue to increase rates on money market and selected time deposit account categories in response to competition. This increase in rates paid for deposits represented $\$ 2.6$ million of the increase in interest expense. The average balance of other interest-bearing liabilities, which includes subordinated debentures, overnight and term borrowings from the FHLB, increased $\$ 91.4$ million to $\$ 321.3$ million for the first quarter of 2006 when compared to the same period of 2005 and is attributable to increased borrowing from the FHLB. We use FHLB borrowings to fund loan demand and to manage liquidity in light of deposit flows. In addition, other interest-bearing liabilities have continued to reprice in the higher interest rate environment contributing $\$ 1.1$ million to the increase in interest expense for the first quarter of 2006 when compared to the same period of 2005.

Our net interest margin for the first quarter of 2006 was $6.82 \%$, a decrease of 3 basis points when compared to the fourth quarter of 2005. This decrease is due mainly to the impact of the mix and rate structure of the deposits acquired in the Cedars acquisition and increased borrowings to fund loans, offset by the increase in loan yield. The cost of deposits increased 25 basis points while loan yields increased 20 basis points for the first quarter of 2006 compared to the prior quarter.

Provision for Credit Losses. The provision for credit losses reflects our judgments about the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. In determining the amount of the provision, we consider certain quantitative and qualitative factors including our historical loan loss experience, the volume and type of lending we conduct, the results of our credit review process, the amounts of classified and nonperforming assets, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, underlying collateral values, off-balance sheet exposures, and other factors regarding collectibility and impairment.

During the first quarter of 2006, we recorded a provision for credit losses of $\$ 100,000$ based on our reserve methodology.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST COMMUNITY BANCORP /CA/ - Form 10-Q

Noninterest Income. The following table summarizes noninterest income by category for the periods indicated:

|  | Quarter Ended(1) <br> March 31, <br> 2006 <br> (In thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September 30, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges and fees on deposit accounts | \$ 1,559 | \$ 1,511 | \$ 1,594 | \$ 1,558 | \$ 1,704 |
| Other commissions and fees | 1,554 | 1,164 | 1,055 | 1,076 | 997 |
| Gain on sale of loans, net |  | 129 | 208 | 144 | 115 |
| Loss on sale of securities |  | (45 |  |  |  |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 421 | 407 | 392 | 412 | 417 |
| Other income | 171 | 377 | 265 | 141 | 269 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 3,705 | \$ 3,543 | \$ 3,514 | \$ 3,331 | \$ 3,502 |

(1) Our quarterly results include First American subsequent to August 12, 2005, Pacific Liberty subsequent to October 7, 2005, and Cedars subsequent to January 4, 2006.

Noninterest income increased for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, compared to each of the quarterly periods presented for 2005, due largely to increased commissions and fees for both loan and deposit related services. This increase is primarily due to the increase in the number of loan and deposit accounts and such balances. This category also includes $\$ 95,000$ of merchant discount fees related to the merchant card portfolio acquired in the Cedars acquisition. On May 1, 2006 we sold the merchant card portfolio; as a result, merchant discount fee income will decline in future periods. Gain on sale of loans was zero for the first quarter of 2006 as we have discontinued selling loans for the foreseeable future.

Noninterest Expense. The following table summarizes noninterest expense by category for the periods indicated:

(1) Our quarterly results include First American subsequent to August 12, 2005, Pacific Liberty subsequent to October 7, 2005, and Cedars subsequent to January 4, 2006.

Noninterest expense for the first quarter of 2006 totaled $\$ 26.2$ million compared to $\$ 21.0$ million and $\$ 24.4$ million for the first and fourth quarters of 2005. The increase compared to the first quarter of 2005 relates mostly to increased compensation expense, which is a result of additional staff added through our
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acquisitions, pay rate and employee benefit cost increases, and increased stock-based compensation amortization. Occupancy costs increased due to additional office locations added by acquisitions, and most other general operating expenses increased due to the three acquisitions completed since July 2005.

The increase compared to the fourth quarter of 2005 is due mainly to increased compensation, intangible asset amortization and occupancy costs due to the impact of the Cedars acquisition, and increased professional fees. Most other expenses generally increased as a result of the Cedars acquisition. These increases were offset by a decline in other noninterest expense. Other noninterest expense for the fourth quarter of 2005 included an expense of $\$ 775,000$ in connection with the pending settlement for an ongoing legal matter; there was no such expense in the first quarter of 2006.

Noninterest expense includes amortization of restricted and performance stock, which is included in compensation, and intangible asset amortization. Restricted and performance stock amortization totaled $\$ 1.6$ million for the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million for the first and fourth quarters of 2005. The increase compared to the prior quarters resulted from new awards made during the first quarter of 2006. Amortization expense for all restricted and performance stock awards is estimated to be $\$ 6.7$ million for 2006. Intangible asset amortization increased $\$ 83,000$ to $\$ 1.1$ million for the first quarter of 2006 from the fourth quarter of 2005 . This increase resulted from additional amortization related to the Cedars acquisition offset by declines in amortization related to previous acquisitions. Intangible asset amortization is estimated to be $\$ 4.6$ million for 2006, excluding any effect from the announced Foothill acquisition. The 2006 estimates of both restricted and performance stock award expense and intangible asset amortization are subject to change.

Income Taxes. Our statutory income tax rate is approximately $42.0 \%$, representing a blend of the statutory federal income tax rate of $35.0 \%$ and the California income tax rate of $10.84 \%$. Due to the exclusion from taxable income of income on certain investments, our actual effective income tax rates ranged from $40.8 \%$ to $41.1 \%$ for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

## Balance Sheet Analysis

Loans. The following table presents the balance of each major category of loans at the dates indicated:

|  | At March 31, 2006 <br> Amount <br> (Dollars in thousands) | \% of total |  | At December 31, 2005 <br> Amount | \% of total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* Amount is less than 1\%.

Allowance for Credit Losses. The allowance for credit losses is the combination of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. The allowance for loan losses is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan balances and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included within other liabilities.

An allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio and other extensions of credit at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based upon a continuing review of the portfolio, past loan loss experience, current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers ability to pay, and the underlying collateral value of the loans. Loans which are deemed to be uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance. The provision for loan losses and recoveries on loans previously charged off are added to the allowance.

The Company s determination of the allowance for loan losses is sensitive to the assigned credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates at any given point in time. Therefore, we perform a sensitivity analysis to provide insight regarding the impact adverse changes in risk ratings may have on our allowance for loan losses. The sensitivity analysis does not imply any expectation of future deterioration in our loans risk ratings and it does not necessarily reflect the nature and extent of future changes in the allowance for loan losses due to the numerous quantitative and qualitative factors considered in determining our allowance for loan losses. At March 31, 2006, in the event that 1 percent of our loans were downgraded from the pass to substandard category within our current allowance methodology, the allowance for loan losses would increase by approximately $\$ 4.0$ million. Given current processes employed by the Company, management believes the risk ratings and inherent loss rates currently assigned are appropriate. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different reasonable conclusions that could be significant to the Company s financial statements.

At March 31, 2006, the allowance for credit losses totaled $\$ 37.9$ million and was comprised of the allowance for loan losses of $\$ 31.5$ million and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments of $\$ 6.4$ million.

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { As of or for the } \\ \text { Quarter Ended } \\ \text { March 31, 2006 } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Year Ended } \\ \mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 0 5}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quarter Ended } \\ \text { March 31, 2005 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Balance at beginning of period } & \$ 27,303\end{array}\right)$
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The allowance for loan losses increased by $\$ 4.2$ million since December 31, 2005 due mostly to the allowance acquired in the Cedars acquisition. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. In making its evaluation, management considers certain quantitative and qualitative factors including the Company s historical loss experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company, the amounts of classified and nonperforming assets, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, underlying collateral values, and other factors regarding the collectibility of loans in the Company sportfolio.

At the time of the Cedars acquisition, it was determined that the underlying credit for four loans acquired from Cedars deteriorated since they were originated. As a result, we allocated approximately $\$ 1.3$ million from Cedars allowance for loan losses to nonaccretable discount, representing the excess of the carrying values of such loans over their estimated fair values. This $\$ 1.3$ million is netted with the loans and is not included in the allowance for loan losses. At March 31, 2006, the gross amount of these loans is $\$ 9.4$ million and their carrying amount is $\$ 8.1$ million.

The following table presents the changes in our reserve for unfunded loan commitments for the periods indicated:

|  | As of or for the <br> Quarter Ended <br> March 31, 2006 <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Year Ended <br> 12/31/05 | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, 2005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance at beginning of period | $\$ 5,668$ | $\$ 5,424$ | $\$$ | 5,424 |
| Provision | 243 | 75 | 22 |  |

The increase in the reserve for unfunded loan commitments during the first quarter of 2006 results from changing commitment levels and the addition from the Cedars acquisition. Management also believes that the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is adequate. In making this determination, we use the same methodology for the reserve for unfunded loan commitments as we do for the allowance for loan losses and consider the same qualitative factors, as well as an estimate of the probability of drawdown of the commitments correlated to their credit risk rating.

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses:

|  | As of or for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2006 (Dollars in thousands) |  | Year Ended 12/31/05 |  | Quarter Ended <br> March 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | 32 |  | \$ 29 |  |  |  |
| Provision for credit losses | 100 |  | 1,420 |  | 800 |  |
| Net recoveries (charge-offs) | 92 |  | (1,158 | ) | 242 |  |
| Additions due to acquisitions | 4,774 |  | 3,202 |  |  |  |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 37 |  | \$ 32, |  | \$ 30, |  |
| Allowance for credit losses to loans, net of deferred fees and costs | 1.34 | \% | 1.34 | \% | 1.44 | \% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonaccrual loans | 328.8 | \% | 391.5 | \% | 140.9 | \% |
| Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming assets | 328.8 | \% | 391.5 | \% | 140.9 | \% |
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Credit Quality. We define nonperforming assets as: (i) loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing; (ii) loans which have ceased accruing interest, which we refer to as nonaccrual loans ; and (iii) assets acquired through foreclosure, including other real estate owned. Impaired loans are loans for which it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the loan agreement. Nonaccrual loans may include impaired loans and are those on which the accrual of interest is discontinued when collectibility of principal or interest is uncertain or payments of principal or interest have become contractually past due 90 days.

Nonaccrual loans increased to $\$ 11.5$ million, or $0.41 \%$ of loans, net of deferred fees and costs, at March 31, 2006, from $\$ 8.4$ million, or $0.34 \%$ of loans net of deferred fees and costs, at December 31, 2005. The majority of this increase is represented by four collateralized credits which we believe are adequately secured and have appropriate reserves.

As of March 31, 2006, we had no loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest. Management is not aware of any additional significant loss potential that has not already been considered in the estimation of the allowance for credit losses. We believe reserves are adequate on our nonperforming loans to cover the loss exposure as measured by our methodology.

The following table shows the historical trends in our loans, allowance for loan losses, nonperforming assets and key credit quality statistics as of and for the periods indicated:


Deposits. The following table presents the balance of each major category of deposits at the dates indicated:


Deposits increased $\$ 238.9$ million to $\$ 2.6$ billion at March 31, 2006, from year end 2005. During the first quarter of 2006, we acquired $\$ 361.4$ million in deposits through the Cedars acquisition.

At March 31, 2006, deposits of foreign customers, primarily located in Mexico and Canada, totaled $\$ 125.5$ million or 5\% of total deposits.

## Regulatory Matters

The regulatory capital guidelines as well as the actual capital ratios for First National, Pacific Western, and the Company as of March 31, 2006, are as follows:

|  | Minimum <br> Regulatory <br> Requirements <br> Well <br> Capitalized | Actual <br> Pacific <br> Western |  | First <br> National | Company <br> Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | 5.00 \% | 9.61 | \% | 13.04 \% | 11.10 \% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 6.00 \% | 9.59 | \% | 13.46 \% | 11.16 \% |
| Total risk-based capital | 10.00 \% | 10.60 | \% | 14.71 \% | 12.31 \% |

We have issued and outstanding $\$ 121.7$ million of subordinated debentures to trusts established by us, which in turn issued $\$ 118.0$ million of trust preferred securities. These securities are treated as regulatory capital for purposes of determining the Company s capital ratios. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, which is the holding company s banking regulator, has promulgated a modification of the capital regulations affecting trust preferred securities. Under this modification, beginning March 31, 2009, the Company will be required to use a more restrictive formula to determine the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in regulatory Tier I capital. At that time, the Company will be allowed to include in Tier I capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than $25 \%$ of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders equity less certain intangibles, including goodwill, core deposit intangibles and customer relationship intangibles, net of any related deferred income tax liability. The regulations currently in effect through December 31, 2008, limit the amount of trust preferred securities that can be included in Tier I capital to $25 \%$ of the sum of core capital elements without a deduction for permitted intangibles. We have determined that our Tier I capital ratios would remain above the well-capitalized level had the modification of the capital regulations been in effect at March 31, 2006. We expect that our Tier I capital ratios will be at or above the existing well-capitalized levels on March 31, 2009, the first date on which the modified capital regulations must be applied.

## Liquidity Management

Liquidity. The goals of our liquidity management are to ensure the ability of the Company to meet its financial commitments when contractually due and to respond to other demands for funds such as the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of customers who may be either depositors wanting to withdraw funds or borrowers who may need assurance that sufficient funds will be available to meet their credit needs. We have an Executive Asset/Liability Management Committee, or Executive ALM Committee, which is comprised of members of senior management and responsible for managing balance sheet and off-balance sheet commitments to meet the needs of customers while achieving our financial objectives. Our Executive ALM Committee meets regularly to review funding capacities, current and forecasted loan demand, and investment opportunities.

Historically, the overall liquidity source of the Banks is their core deposit base. The Banks have not relied on large denomination time deposits. To meet short-term liquidity needs, the Banks maintain balances in Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions and investment securities having maturities of five years or less as well as secured lines of credit. On a consolidated basis, liquid assets (cash, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions and investment securities available-for-sale) as a percentage of total deposits were $12.2 \%$ as of March 31, 2006.

As an additional source of liquidity, the Banks maintain unsecured lines of credit of $\$ 120.0$ million with correspondent banks for the purchase of overnight funds. These lines are subject to availability of funds. The Banks have also established secured borrowing relationships with the FHLB, which allow the Banks to borrow approximately $\$ 764.3$ million in the aggregate. The Banks use the secured borrowing facility at the FHLB to fund loan demand in the absence of deposits and to manage liquidity for deposit flows. A portion of the FHLB borrowings are term advances and have been matched against the asset-based lending portfolio acquired in the FC Financial acquisition.

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include the dividends from the Banks and our ability to raise capital, issue subordinated debt and secure outside borrowings. On May 16, 2005, we filed a registration statement with the SEC regarding the sale of up to $3,400,000$ shares of our common stock, no par value per share, which we may offer and sell, from time to time, in amounts, at prices and on terms that we will determine at the time of any particular offering. To date, we have issued $2,935,766$ shares of common stock under this registration statement for net proceeds of $\$ 158.5$ million including the $1,891,086$ shares of common stock issued during the first quarter of 2006 for net proceeds of $\$ 109.5$ million. We used these proceeds to augment our capital in support of our acquisitions including the Cedars acquisition. We expect to use the net proceeds from any additional sales of our securities to fund future acquisitions of banks and other financial institutions, as well as for general corporate purposes. The Company has a revolving credit line with U.S. Bank for $\$ 50$ million and with The Northern Trust Company for $\$ 20$ million. The revolving lines of credit are linked and any draws under the lines are taken from each facility on a pro-rata basis. The revolving credit lines mature on August 3, 2006 and are secured by a pledge of all of the outstanding capital stock of Pacific Western. The credit agreements require the Company to maintain certain financial and capital ratios, among other covenants and conditions.
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The holding company s primary source of income is the receipt of dividends from the Banks. The availability of dividends from the Banks is subject to limitations imposed by applicable federal laws and regulations. Dividends paid by national banks such as First National and Pacific Western are regulated by the OCC under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank s capital requirements. A national bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the OCC as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed the total of net profits for that year combined with the retained profits for the preceding two years. The Banks did not pay First Community any dividends during the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The amount of dividends available for payment by the Banks to the holding company at March 31, 2006, was $\$ 70.6$ million. In addition, our ability to pay dividends is limited by certain provisions of our credit agreements with U.S. Bank, N.A. and The Northern Trust Company. Both agreements provide that we may not declare or pay any dividend on the Company s common stock in any quarter if such dividend, when added together with any purchases or redemptions of the Company s capital stock and the previous three quarterly cash dividends declared and paid, would exceed fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the Company s consolidated net income for the immediately preceding four quarterly periods.

Contractual Obligations. The known contractual obligations of the Company at March 31, 2006, are as follows:

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } 2 \\ & \text { hin } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { housands) } \end{aligned}$ | 6 and Due <br> One to <br> Three Years | Three <br> Five |  |  | Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short-term debt obligations | \$ | 190,300 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  |  | 0,300 |
| Long-term debt obligations |  |  | 45,000 |  |  |  | 654 |  | 654 |
| Operating lease obligations |  |  | 17,215 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other contractual obligations |  |  | 549 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 202,787 | \$ 62,764 | \$ | 14,253 | \$ | 144,772 | \$ | 424,576 |

Debt obligations are discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements. Operating lease obligations are discussed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The other contractual obligations relate to the minimum liability associated with our data and item processing contract with a third-party provider. The contractual obligations table above does not include our merger-related liability, which was $\$ 2.6$ million at March 31, 2006. See Note 2 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

We believe that we will be able to meet our contractual obligations as they come due through the maintenance of adequate cash levels. We expect to maintain adequate cash levels through profitability, loan and securities repayment and maturity activity, and continued deposit gathering activities. We believe we have in place sufficient borrowing mechanisms for short-term liquidity needs.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our obligations also include off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of loan-related commitments, of which only a portion are expected to be funded. At March 31, 2006, our loan-related commitments, including standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, totaled $\$ 1.2$ billion. The commitments which result in a funded loan increase our profitability through net interest income. Therefore, during the year, we manage our overall liquidity taking into consideration funded and unfunded commitments as a percentage of our liquidity sources. Our liquidity sources have been and are expected to be sufficient to meet the cash requirements of our lending activities.
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## Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest Rate Risk. Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit gathering activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on adherence to our underwriting standards and loan policies as well as our allowance for credit losses methodology. To manage our exposure to changes in interest rates, we perform asset and liability management activities which are governed by guidelines pre-established by our Executive ALM Committee and approved by our Board of Directors Asset/Liability Management Committee ( Board ALCO ). Our Executive ALM Committee and Board ALCO monitor our compliance with our asset/liability policies. These policies focus on providing sufficient levels of net interest income while considering acceptable levels of interest rate exposure as well as liquidity and capital constraints.

Market risk sensitive instruments are generally defined as derivatives and other financial instruments, which include investment securities, loans, deposits, and borrowings. At March 31, 2006, we had not used any derivatives to alter our interest rate risk profile or for any other reason. However, both the repricing characteristics of our fixed rate loans and floating rate loans, as well as our significant percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits compared to interest-earning assets, may influence our interest rate risk profile. Our financial instruments include loans receivable, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions, Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock, investment securities, deposits, borrowings and subordinated debentures.

We measure our interest rate risk position on a monthly basis using three methods: (i) net interest income simulation analysis; (ii) market value of equity modeling; and (iii) traditional gap analysis. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Executive ALM Committee monthly and the Board ALCO quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net present value of equity and/or net interest income outside our pre-established limits, we may adjust our asset and liability mix in an effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits. We evaluated the results of our net interest income simulation and market value of equity models prepared as of March 31, 2006. These simulation models demonstrate that our balance sheet is asset-sensitive. An asset-sensitive balance sheet suggests that in a rising interest rate environment, our net interest margin would increase, and during a falling or sustained low interest rate environment, our net interest margin would decrease.

Net interest income simulation. We used a simulation model to measure the estimated changes in net interest income that would result over the next 12 months from immediate and sustained changes in interest rates as of March 31, 2006. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our future net interest income. This model has inherent limitations and these results are based on a given set of rate changes and assumptions at one point in time. We have assumed no growth in either our interest-sensitive assets or liabilities over the next 12 months; therefore, the results reflect an interest rate shock to a static balance sheet.


#### Abstract

This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using both increasing and declining interest rate scenarios and net interest income forecasted using a base market interest rate derived from the current treasury yield curve. In order to arrive at the base case, we extend our balance sheet at March 31, 2006 one year and reprice any assets and liabilities that would contractually reprice or mature during that period using the products pricing as of March 31, 2006. Based on such repricings, we calculated an estimated net interest income and net interest margin. The effects of certain balance sheet attributes, such as fixed-rate loans, floating rate loans that have reached their floors and the volume of noninterest-bearing deposits as a percentage of earning assets, impact our assumptions and consequently the results of our interest rate risk management model. Changes that may vary significantly from our assumptions include loan and deposit growth or contraction, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, all of which may have significant effects on our net interest income.


The net interest income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the repricing relationship for each of our assets and liabilities. Many of our assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to reprice immediately and to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index. Some loans and investment vehicles include the opportunity of prepayment (imbedded options) and the simulation model uses national indexes to estimate these prepayments and reinvest the proceeds there from at current simulated yields. Our deposit products reprice at our discretion and are assumed to reprice more slowly, usually repricing less than the change in market rates.

The simulation analysis does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or the impact a change in interest rates may have on our credit risk profile, loan prepayment estimates and spread relationships which can change regularly. Interest rate changes cause changes in actual loan prepayment rates which will differ from the market estimates we used in this analysis. In addition, the simulation analysis does not make any assumptions regarding loan fee income, which is a component of our net interest income and tends to increase our net interest margin. Management reviews the model assumptions for reasonableness on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents as of March 31, 2006, forecasted net interest income and net interest margin for the next 12 months using a base market interest rate and the estimated change to the base scenario given immediate and sustained upward and downward movements in interest rates of 100,200 and 300 basis points.

| Interest rate scenario | Estimated Net <br> Interest Income (Dollars in thousands) |  | Percentage <br> Change <br> From Base |  | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Margin | Estimated Net Interest <br> Margin Change <br> From Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up 300 basis points | \$ | 237,114 | 14.8 | \% | 7.51 \% | 0.95 \% |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ | 226,656 | 9.7 | \% | 7.19 \% | 0.63 \% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$ | 216,715 |  | \% | 6.88 \% | 0.32 \% |
| BASE CASE | \$ | 206,536 |  |  | 6.56 \% |  |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ | 195,453 | (5.4 |  | 6.21 \% | (0.35 )\% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ | 184,774 | (10.5 |  | 5.88 \% | (0.68)\% |
| Down 300 basis points | \$ | 177,569 | (14.0 |  | 5.65 \% | (0.91 )\% |

Our simulation results indicate our interest rate risk position was asset sensitive as the simulated impact of an immediate upward movement in interest rates would result in increases in net interest income over the subsequent 12 month period while an immediate downward movement in interest rates would result in a decrease in net interest income over the next 12 months.

Given the recent increases in short-term market interest rates and market indications of a continued rising interest rate environment, we performed an additional net interest income simulation analysis assuming a $0.25 \%$ increase in market interest rates at the end of May 2006. We extended our balance sheet at March 31, 2006 one year and repriced any assets and liabilities that would contractually reprice or mature during that period using the products pricing as of March 31, 2006. We used the same underlying assumptions used in our net interest income simulation described above.
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Based on the assumed gradual rise in market interest rates, we calculated a different base case for our forecasted net interest income and net interest margin. We compared these results to the results of our original net interest income simulation model. The following table shows that our forecasted net interest income and net interest margin may increase over a future 12 month period given either a gradual increase in rates or an immediate shift upward in rates, but we may earn less interest income or have a lower net interest margin if rates were to increase gradually instead of all at one time:

| Net Interest Income |  | Net Interest Margin |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original with 100 | Original with 25 basis point |  | Original with 100 | Original with 25 basis point |
| Original | basis point | increase in | Original | basis point | increase in |
| Base Case | parallel shift | May 2006 | Base Case | parallel shift | May 2006 |
| \$206,536 | \$ 216,715 | \$ 209,502 | 6.56 \% | 6.88 \% | 6.65 \% |

Market value of equity. We measure the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, defined as the market value of equity, using a simulation model. This simulation model assesses the changes in the market value of our interest-sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease in market interest rates of 100, 200 and 300 basis points. This analysis assigns significant value to our noninterest-bearing deposit balances. The projections are by their nature forward-looking and therefore inherently uncertain, and include various assumptions regarding cash flows and interest rates. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our actual future results. Actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the market value of equity table. Loan prepayments and deposit attrition, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, among others, may vary significantly from our assumptions.

The base case is determined by applying various current market discount rates to the estimated cash flows from the different types of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items existing at March 31, 2006. The following table shows the projected change in the market value of equity for the set of rate shocks presented as of March 31, 2006:

| Interest rate scenario | Estimated <br> Market Value <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  | Percentage change From Base |  | Percentage of total assets | Ratio of Estimated Market Value to Book Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up 300 basis points | \$ | 947,502 | 8.4 | \% | 25.8 \% | 149.4 \% |
| Up 200 basis points | \$ | 925,260 | 5.9 | \% | 25.2 \% | 145.9 \% |
| Up 100 basis points | \$ | 900,782 | 3.1 | \% | 24.5 \% | 142.0 \% |
| BASE CASE | \$ | 873,893 |  |  | 23.8 \% | 137.8 \% |
| Down 100 basis points | \$ | 842,107 |  |  | 22.9 \% | 132.8 \% |
| Down 200 basis points | \$ | 806,137 | (7.8 |  | 22.0 \% | 127.1 \% |
| Down 300 basis points | \$ | 750,384 | (14.1 |  | 20.4 \% | 118.3 \% |

The results of our market value of equity model indicate that an immediate and sustained increase in interest rates would increase the market value of equity from the base case while a decrease in interest rates would decrease the market value of equity.

Gap analysis. As part of the interest rate management process, we use a gap analysis. A gap analysis provides information about the volume and repricing characteristics and relationship between the amounts of interest-sensitive assets and interest-bearing liabilities at a particular point in time. An effective interest rate strategy attempts to match the volume of interest sensitive assets and interest bearing liabilities
repricing over different time intervals. The following table illustrates the volume and repricing characteristics of our balance sheet at March 31, 2006 over the indicated time intervals:

(1) Assets or liabilities which do not have a stated interest rate.

All amounts are reported at their contractual maturity or repricing periods. This analysis makes certain assumptions as to interest rate sensitivity of savings and interest-bearing checking accounts which have no stated maturity and have had very little price fluctuation in the recent past. Money market accounts are repriced at management $s$ discretion and generally are more rate sensitive.

In using this interest rate risk management tool, we focus on the gap sensitivity identified as the cumulative one year gap. The preceding table indicates that we had a positive one year cumulative gap of $\$ 324.5$ million, or $8.8 \%$ of total assets, at March 31, 2006. This gap position suggests that we are
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asset-sensitive and if rates were to increase, our net interest margin would most likely increase. Conversely, if rates were to fall during this period, interest income would decline by a greater amount than interest expense and net income would decrease. The ratio of cumulative interest-earning assets to cumulative interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within one year at March 31,2006 is $118.8 \%$. This one year gap position indicates that interest income is likely to be affected to a greater extent than interest expense for any changes in interest rates within one year from March 31, 2006.

The gap table has inherent limitations and actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the gap table. The gap table assumes a static balance sheet, as does the net interest income simulation, and, accordingly, looks at the repricing of existing assets and liabilities without consideration of new loans and deposits that reflect a more current interest rate environment. Unlike the net interest income simulation, however, the interest rate risk profile of certain deposit products and floating rate loans that have reached their floors cannot be captured effectively in a gap table. Although the table shows the amount of certain assets and liabilities scheduled to reprice in a given time frame, it does not reflect when or to what extent such repricings may actually occur. For example, interest-bearing demand, money market and savings deposits are shown to reprice in the first three months, but we may choose to reprice these deposits more slowly and incorporate only a portion of the movement in market rates based on market conditions at that time. Alternatively, a loan which has reached its floor may not reprice despite a change in market interest rates causing such loan to act like a fixed rate loan regardless of its scheduled repricing date. For example, a loan already at its floor would not reprice if the adjusted rate was less than its floor. The gap table as presented is not able to factor in the flexibility we believe we have in repricing either deposits or the floors on our loans.

We believe the estimated effect of a change in interest rates is better reflected in our net interest income and market value of equity simulations which incorporate many of the factors mentioned.

## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Please see the section above titled Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity in Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations which provides an update to our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk. This analysis should be read in conjunction with text under the caption Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, which text is incorporated herein by reference. Our analysis of market risk and market-sensitive financial information contains forward-looking statements and is subject to the disclosure at the beginning of Item 2 regarding such forward-looking information.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by the Company s management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material developments in our legal proceedings previously reported in Item $\mathbf{3}$ to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

See also Note 8 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I of this report for additional discussion of legal proceedings, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors described in Item 1A to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, which Item 1A is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## (c) Issuer Repurchases of Common Stock

Through the Company s Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, or the DDCP, participants in the DDCP may invest deferred amounts in the Company s common stock. The Company has the discretion whether to track purchases of common stock as if made, or to fully fund the DDCP via actual purchases of common stock with deferred amounts. Purchases of Company common stock by the rabbi trust of the DDCP are considered repurchases of common stock by the Company since the rabbi trust is an asset of the Company. Actual purchases of Company common stock via the DDCP are made through open market purchases pursuant to the terms of the DDCP, which since the amendment of the DDCP in August 2003 includes a predetermined formula and schedule for the purchase of such stock in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Pursuant to the terms of the DDCP, generally, purchases are actually made or deemed to be made in the open market on the 15 th of the month (or the next trading day) following the day on which deferred amounts are contributed to the DDCP. The table below summarizes the purchases actually made by the DDCP during the quarter ended March 31, 2006.

|  | Total <br> Shares <br> Purchased | Average <br> Price Per <br> Share | Shares Purchased As <br> Part of a Publicly- <br> Announced Program | Maximum <br> Shares Still <br> Available for <br> Repurchase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 1 January 31, 2006   N/A | N/A |  |  |  |

The above table does not include 11,622 shares of vested performance stock delivered to the Company by grantees in March 2006 to settle tax withholding requirements upon the vesting of such stock. On May 3, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to one million shares of the Company s common stock over the next twelve months, subject to market conditions and corporate and regulatory requirements. The stock repurchase program may be limited or terminated at any time without prior notice.

## ITEM 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

## Number Description

3.1 Restated Articles of Incorporation of First Community Bancorp, dated April 26, 2006.
3.2 Bylaws of First Community Bancorp, as amended to date (Exhibit 4.2 to Form S-3 filed on June 11, 2002 and incorporated herein by this reference).
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## FIRST COMMUNITY BANCORP

Date: May 5, 2006

/s/ VICTOR R. SANTORO

Victor R. Santoro
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[^1]:    If any of these risks or uncertainties materializes, or if any of the assumptions underlying such forward-looking statements proves to be incorrect, our results could differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. The Company assumes no obligation to update such forward-looking statements.

