

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP  
Form 10-K  
March 13, 2009

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-K**

---

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 001-32593

**Global Partners LP**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**74-3140887**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**P.O. Box 9161**  
**800 South Street**  
**Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9161**  
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

**(781) 894-8800**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered  
New York Stock Exchange

# Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

## Common Units representing limited partner interests

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

**None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of common units held by non-affiliates of the registrant (treating directors and executive officers of the registrant's general partner and holders of 10% or more of the common units outstanding, for this purpose, as if they were affiliates of the registrant) as of June 30, 2008 was approximately \$78,891,005, based on a price per common unit of \$15.83, the price at which the common units were last sold as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

As of March 10, 2009, 7,428,139 common units and 5,642,424 subordinated units were outstanding.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>PART I</u></b>		
<u>Items 1. and 2.</u>	<u>Business and Properties</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	<u>37</u>
<b><u>PART II</u></b>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>69</u>
<b><u>PART III</u></b>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>96</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>102</u>
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>103</u>

---

Table of Contents

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statements that do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology including "may," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or other similar words. Such statements may discuss future expectations for, or contain projections of, results of operations, financial condition or our ability to make distributions to unitholders or state other forward-looking information. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. Although we believe these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, statements made regarding future results are subject to a number of assumptions, uncertainties and risks, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause future results to be materially different from the results stated or implied in this document. These risks and uncertainties include, among other things:

We may not have sufficient cash from operations to enable us to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels following establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner.

A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas served by our storage facilities would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Our sales of home heating oil and residual oil could be significantly reduced by conversions to natural gas which conversions could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity risk. In addition, any noncompliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.

Our results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products, and increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products may adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.

We are exposed to trade credit risk in the ordinary course of our business activities.

We are exposed to risk associated with our trade credit support in the ordinary course of our business activities.

The condition of credit markets may adversely affect our liquidity.

Due to our lack of asset and geographic diversification, adverse developments in the terminals that we use or in our operating areas could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We are exposed to performance risk in our supply chain.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of unitholders.

Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or initially to remove our general partner without its consent, which could lower the trading price of our common units.

Unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

Additional information about risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements is contained in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

All forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made, other than as required by law, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

### **Available Information**

We make available free of charge through our website, [www.globalp.com](http://www.globalp.com), our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These documents are also available at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Our website also includes our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Governance Guidelines and the charters of our Audit Committee and Compensation Committee.

A copy of any of these documents will be provided without charge upon written request to the General Counsel, Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454; fax (781) 398-4165.

Table of Contents

**PART I**

*References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "Global Partners LP," "Partnership," "we," "our," "us" or like terms refer to Global Partners LP and its subsidiaries.*

**Items 1. and 2. Business and Properties.**

**Overview**

We are a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership formed in March 2005. We have four operating subsidiaries which are wholly owned by Global Operating LLC, our wholly owned subsidiary: Global Companies LLC, its subsidiary, Glen Hes Corp., Global Montello Group Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC. Global GP LLC, our general partner, manages our operations and activities and employs our officers and substantially all of our personnel. In addition, GLP Finance Corp. ("GLP Finance") was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware as our wholly-owned subsidiary. GLP Finance has no material assets or liabilities. Its activities will be limited to co-issuing debt securities and engaging in other activities incidental thereto.

We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products in Massachusetts, Maine, Connecticut, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New York and Pennsylvania (collectively, the "Northeast"). We are one of the largest wholesale distributors of gasoline, distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene) and residual oil to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the Northeast. In 2008, we sold approximately \$9.0 billion of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas. In 2008, we owned, leased or maintained dedicated storage facilities at 18 refined petroleum product bulk terminals, each with the capacity of more than 50,000 barrels, including 17 located throughout the Northeast, that are supplied primarily by marine transport, pipeline, rail or truck and that collectively have approximately 8.0 million barrels of storage capacity. We also have throughput or exchange agreements at more than 30 bulk terminals and inland storage facilities.

We purchase our refined petroleum products primarily from domestic and foreign refiners (wholesalers), traders and producers and sell these products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. In 2008, our Wholesale sales accounted for approximately 95% of our total sales and our Commercial sales accounted for approximately 5%.

As demand for some of our refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results. In 2008, our volume in transportation fuels, which represents a growing portion of our sales, exceeded our heating oil volumes. The increase in the non-weather sensitive components of our business helps to partially offset the economic impact that warmer weather conditions may have on our home heating oil and residual oil sales. In addition, portions of our heating oil are sold on a forward fixed price basis.

**Business Strategies**

Our primary business objective is to increase distributable cash flow per unit by continuing to execute the following strategies:

*Expand Within and Beyond Our Core Northeast Market.* We continue to pursue strategic and accretive acquisitions of assets and marketing businesses both within our existing area of operations and in new geographic areas. Consistent with this strategy, we expanded our presence in Providence, Rhode Island by entering into two separate sublease agreements in November 2007 for land located at the Port of Providence. In January 2008, the terminal at one parcel opened for business and in November 2008, the second phase of construction was completed

Table of Contents

and the terminal at the other parcel opened for business. These facilities will enable us to more effectively supply existing wholesale and commercial customers across Rhode Island and southeastern Massachusetts, cultivate new customers in the region and complement our existing terminal asset base and marketing business. We target assets or businesses with (1) terminal assets, (2) a marketing division that has, among other attributes, consistent cash flow and stable customer lists or (3) a combination of these attributes. We assign value to the marketing opportunities associated with terminal assets. Because of our interest in purchasing marketing businesses as well as physical assets, we believe we have a competitive advantage over bidders interested in purchasing only physical assets. In addition, we continue to seek strategic relationships with companies that are looking to outsource their wholesale marketing business, as these opportunities allow us to leverage our strengths in marketing infrastructure and credit fundamentals. We currently have marketing arrangements with a major supplier of unbranded gasoline in several northeastern states as well as two distillate suppliers in the Northeast.

*Serve as a Preferred Supplier to Our Customers.* We believe that our customers value dependability and quality of supply. We strive to maintain a level of inventory to ensure that the supply needs of our customers are always satisfied. During periods of product shortages, we have historically succeeded in sustaining a supply of product sufficient to meet the needs of our customers while many of our competitors have not. We own, control or have access to bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that are strategically located for ease of access by our customers. Additionally, we satisfy specific customer needs by customizing our products, such as diesel and home heating oil, by blending and injecting additives.

*Focus on Credit Fundamentals of Our Customers.* We manage our trade credit exposure through conservative management practices, such as:

pre-approving customers up to certain credit limits;

seeking secondary sources of repayment for trade credit, such as letters of credit or guarantees;

not offering to extend credit as a marketing tool to attract customers; and

placing most of our customers on automatic debit systems for payment.

As a result of these practices, in each of the past eight years, the amount of account receivables that we wrote off was insignificant. Our ability to manage our trade credit exposure helps us to expand our marketing business and allowed us to enter into marketing arrangements with third parties where we make the sales and assume the credit risk and the third party retains the commodity risk.

*Minimize our Exposure to Commodity Price Volatility.* Although we take title to the products we sell, we actively manage our business to minimize commodity price exposure by using hedging techniques. We seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchases and sales by establishing an offsetting sales position with a positive margin each time we commit to purchase a volume of product.

**Product Sales**

*General*

We sell our refined petroleum products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. The majority of products we sell can be grouped into three categories: gasoline, distillates and residual oil. In 2008, gasoline, distillates and residual oil accounted for approximately 52%, 42% and 6%, respectively, of our total volume sold.



## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

*Gasoline.* We sell grades of unbranded gasoline that comply with seasonal and geographical requirements in the areas in which we market. We have the ability to blend gasoline, and we sell conventional gasoline and ethanol blended gasoline in the markets that require such products. Gasoline sales accounted for approximately 50%, 43% and 37% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

*Distillates.* Distillates are further divided into home heating oil, diesel and kerosene. In 2008, sales of home heating oil, diesel and kerosene accounted for approximately 78%, 20% and 2%, respectively, of our total volume of distillates sold. Distillate sales accounted for approximately 46%, 50% and 53% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We sell generic home heating oil and Heating Oil Plus®, our proprietary premium branded heating oil. Heating Oil Plus® is electronically blended at the delivery facility. In 2008, approximately 9% of the volume of home heating oil we sold to wholesale resellers was Heating Oil Plus®. In addition, we sell the additive used to create Heating Oil Plus® to some wholesale resellers, make injection systems available to them and provide technical support to assist them with blending. We also educate the sales force of our customers to better prepare them for marketing our products to their customers.

We sell generic diesel and Diesel One®, our proprietary premium diesel fuel product. We offer marketing and technical support for those customers who purchase Diesel One®. In 2008, approximately 44% of the volume of diesel we sold to wholesale resellers was Diesel One®.

*Residual Oil.* We are one of three primary residual oil marketers in the Northeast. We specially blend residual oil for users in accordance with their individual power plant specifications. Residual oil sales accounted for approximately 4%, 7% and 10% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We had one customer, ExxonMobil Oil Corporation ("ExxonMobil"), who accounted for approximately 20% of sales for the year ended December 31, 2008 and approximately 12% of accounts receivable and 14% of sales as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007. No single customer accounted for 10% or more of sales for the year ended December 31, 2006.

### ***Wholesale***

In the Wholesale segment, we sell gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene and residual oil to unbranded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors. In 2008, this segment accounted for approximately 94% of our total volume sold. Generally, customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take delivery of the product at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that we own or control or with which we have throughput arrangements. Please read " Storage."

In 2008, we sold unbranded gasoline and diesel, including Diesel One®, to approximately 950 wholesalers and retail gasoline station operators, vehicles, fleet and marine users and other end users throughout the Northeast.

We have marketing arrangements with a major supplier of unbranded gasoline in several northeastern states as well as two distillate suppliers in the Northeast. We enter into marketing arrangements with third parties where we make the sales and assume the credit risk and the third party retains the commodity risk.

In 2008, we sold home heating oil, including Heating Oil Plus®, to over 1,100 wholesale distributors and retailers. We have a fixed price sales program that we market primarily to wholesale distributors and retailers which uses the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") heating oil contract as the pricing benchmark and as a vehicle to manage the commodity risk. Please read " Commodity Risk Management." In 2008, approximately 30% of our home heating oil volume was

Table of Contents

sold using forward fixed price contracts. A forward fixed price contract requires our customer to purchase a specific volume at a specific price during a specific period. The remaining home heating oil was sold on either a posted price or a price based on various indices which, in both instances, reflect current market conditions.

In 2008, we sold residual oil to 25 wholesale distributors. Our Wholesale residual oil sales were accomplished through forward fixed price contracts or by using market-related prices, either posted prices or indexed prices, to reflect current market conditions.

Financial information with respect to the Wholesale segment, including information concerning revenues, gross profit, net product margin and total assets may be found under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations" and in Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

***Commercial***

Our Commercial segment includes sales of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene and residual oil to customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial customers, primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. Our Commercial segment also includes custom blended distillates and residual oil delivered by barges or from a terminal dock. In 2008, this segment accounted for approximately 6% of our total volume sold.

Our commercial customers include federal and state agencies, municipalities, large industrial companies, many autonomous authorities, such as transportation authorities and water resource authorities, colleges and universities and a select group of small utilities. Unlike our Wholesale segment, in our Commercial segment, we generally arrange the delivery of the product to the customer's designated location. We typically hire third-party common carriers to deliver the product. Please read " Storage."

In this segment, we respond to publicly-issued requests for product proposals and quotes. As of December 31, 2008, we had contracts as a result of this public bidding process with the U.S. government and the states of Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We also had contracts with municipalities, autonomous authorities and institutional customers in the Northeast to meet their various fuel requirements.

A majority of the contracts in our bid business are for a term of one year. We offer both fixed and indexed (floating) price and volume contracts to customers. The majority of bid activity is priced using an indexed price with the index typically chosen by the issuing authority in its solicitation for the bid proposal. The indexed prices are usually referenced to one of five industry publications and/or the utilization of regulated exchanges.

Our commercial customers also include cruise ships, dry and wet bulk carriers, fishing fleets and other marine vessels. We blend distillates and residual fuel to the customers' specifications at the terminal facility or on the barge and then deliver the resulting bunker fuel directly to the ship or barge.

Financial information with respect to the Commercial segment, including information concerning revenues, gross profit, net product margin and total assets may be found under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations" and in Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Supply**

Our products come from some of the major energy companies in the world. Cargos are sourced from the United States, Canada, South America, Europe and occasionally from Asia. During 2008, we purchased an average of approximately 232,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum products from

Table of Contents

approximately 105 suppliers. In 2008, our top ten suppliers accounted for approximately 70% of our product purchases. We enter into supply agreements with these suppliers on a term basis or a spot basis. With respect to trade terms, our supply purchases vary depending on the particular contract from prompt payment (usually three days) to net 30 days. Please read " Commodity Risk Management."

**Commodity Risk Management**

Since we take title to the refined petroleum products that we sell, we are exposed to commodity risk. Commodity risk describes the risk of unfavorable market fluctuations in the price of commodities such as refined petroleum products. We endeavor to minimize commodity risk in connection with our daily operations. Generally, as we purchase and/or store refined petroleum products, we reduce commodity risk and establish a margin by selling the product for physical delivery to third parties, selling futures contracts on regulated exchanges or using derivatives. Products are generally purchased and sold at fixed prices or at indexed prices. While we use these transactions to seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchased volumes versus sales volumes through regulated exchanges or derivatives, we may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as logistical issues associated with inclement weather conditions or infrastructure disruptions. In connection with managing these positions and maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace, both necessary for our business, we engage in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of refined petroleum products on any day. Our general policy is not to hold refined petroleum products, futures contracts or other derivative products and instruments for the sole purpose of speculating on price change. While our policies are designed to minimize market risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains.

Operating results are sensitive to a number of factors. Such factors include commodity location, grades of product, individual customer demand for grades or location of product, localized market price structures, availability of transportation facilities, daily delivery volumes that vary from expected quantities and timing and costs to deliver the commodity to the customer. The term "basis risk" is used to describe the inherent market price risk created when a commodity of certain grade or location is purchased, sold or exchanged as compared to a purchase, sale or exchange of a like commodity at a different time or place, including, without limitation, transportation costs and timing differentials. We attempt to reduce our exposure to basis risk by grouping our purchase and sale activities by geographical region in order to stay balanced within such designated region. However, basis risk cannot be entirely eliminated.

With respect to the pricing of commodities, we enter into futures contracts to minimize or hedge the impact of market fluctuations on our purchase and forward fixed price sales of refined petroleum products. Any hedge ineffectiveness is reflected in our results of operations. We utilize the NYMEX, which is a regulated exchange for energy products that it trades, thereby reducing potential delivery and supply risks. Generally, our practice is to close all NYMEX positions rather than make or receive physical deliveries. With respect to other energy products, we enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that we believe have a strong credit profile, in order to hedge market fluctuations and/or lock-in margins relative to our commitments.

We monitor processes and procedures to prevent unauthorized trading by our personnel and to maintain substantial balance between purchases and sales or future delivery obligations. We can provide no assurance, however, that these steps will detect and prevent all violations of such trading policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved.

Table of Contents

**Storage**

Bulk terminals and inland storage facilities play a key role in the distribution of product to our customers. We own 11 bulk terminals in the Northeast and maintain dedicated storage facilities at another 7 bulk terminals, 6 of which are in the Northeast. Collectively, these bulk terminals provide us with approximately 8.0 million barrels of storage capacity. Additionally, we have throughput or exchange agreements at more than 30 bulk terminals and inland storage facilities.

The bulk terminals and inland storage facilities from which we distribute product are supplied by ship, barge, truck, pipeline or rail. The inland storage facilities, which we use exclusively to store distillates, are supplied with product delivered by truck from bulk terminals. Our customers receive product from our network of bulk terminals and inland storage facilities via truck, barge, rail or pipeline.

Many of our bulk terminals operate 24 hours a day and consist of multiple storage tanks and automated truck loading equipment. These automated systems monitor terminal access, volumetric allocations, credit control and carrier certification through the remote identification of customers. In addition, some of the bulk terminals at which we market are equipped with truck loading racks capable of providing automated blending and additive packages which meet our customers' specific requirements.

Throughput arrangements allow storage of product at terminals owned by others. Our customers can load product at these terminals, and we pay the owners of these terminals fees for services rendered in connection with the receipt, storage and handling of such product. Compensation to the terminal owners may be fixed or based upon the volume of our product that is delivered and sold at the terminal.

Exchange agreements also allow our customers to take delivery of product at a terminal or facility that is not owned or leased by us. An exchange is a contractual agreement where the parties exchange product at their respective terminals or facilities. For example, we (or our customers) receive product that is owned by our exchange partner from such party's facility or terminal, and we deliver the same volume of our product to such party (or to such party's customers) out of one of the terminals in our terminal network. Generally, both sides of an exchange transaction pay a handling fee (similar to a throughput fee), and often one party also pays a location differential that covers any excess transportation costs incurred by the other party in supplying product to the location at which the first party receives product. Other differentials that may occur in exchanges (and result in additional payments) include product value differentials and timing differentials.

**Competition**

We encounter varying degrees of competition based on product and geographic locations. Our competitors include terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. In our core Northeast market, we compete in various product lines and for all customers. In the residual oil markets, however, where product is heated when stored and cannot be delivered long distances, we face less competition because of the strategic locations of the majority of our storage facilities. We also compete with natural gas suppliers and marketers in our home heating oil and residual oil product lines. Bunkering requires facilities at ports to service vessels. In various other geographic markets, particularly the unbranded gasoline and distillates markets, we compete with integrated refiners, merchant refiners and regional marketing companies.

Table of Contents

**Environmental**

*General*

Our business of supplying refined petroleum products involves a number of activities that are subject to extensive and stringent environmental laws. As part of our business, we own and operate various petroleum storage and distribution facilities and must comply with environmental laws at the federal, state and local levels, which increases the cost of operating terminals and our business generally.

Our operations also utilize a number of petroleum storage facilities and distribution facilities that we do not own or operate, but at which refined petroleum products are stored. We utilize these facilities through several different contractual arrangements, including leases and throughput and terminalling services agreements. If facilities with which we contract that are owned and operated by third parties fail to comply with environmental laws, they could be shut down, requiring us to incur costs to use alternative facilities.

Environmental laws and regulations can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as:

requiring remedial action to mitigate releases of hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or wastes caused by our operations or attributable to former operators;

requiring capital expenditures to comply with environmental control requirements; and

enjoining the operations of facilities deemed in noncompliance with environmental laws and regulations.

Failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and criminal enforcement measures, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial requirements and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations. Certain environmental statutes impose strict, joint and several liability for costs required to clean up and restore sites where hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or wastes have been released or disposed of. Moreover, neighboring landowners and other third parties may file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or other wastes into the environment.

The trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. As a result, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and minimize the costs of such compliance.

We do not believe that compliance with federal, state or local environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We can provide no assurance, however, that future events, such as changes in existing laws (including changes in the interpretation of existing laws), the promulgation of new laws, or the development or discovery of new facts or conditions will not cause us to incur significant costs.

***Hazardous Materials and Waste Handling***

In most instances, the environmental laws and regulations affecting our business relate to the release of hazardous substances into the water or soils and include measures to control pollution of the environment. For instance, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability

Table of Contents

Act, as amended, also known as CERCLA or the Superfund law, and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the site where the release occurred and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances. Under the Superfund law, these persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. The Superfund law also authorizes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and in some instances third parties, to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and seek to recover from the responsible persons the costs they incur. It is possible for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or other pollutants released into the environment. In the course of our ordinary operations, we may generate, store or otherwise handle materials and wastes that fall within the Superfund law's definition of a hazardous substance and, as a result, we may be jointly and severally liable under the Superfund law for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which those hazardous substances have been released into the environment.

We currently own, lease or utilize storage or distribution facilities where hydrocarbons are being or have been handled for many years. Although we have utilized operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on, under or from the properties owned or leased by us or on or under other locations where we have contractual arrangements or where these wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, many of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of hydrocarbons or other wastes was not under our control. These properties and wastes disposed thereon may be subject to the Superfund law or other federal and state laws. Under these laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes, including wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators, clean up contaminated property, including groundwater contaminated by prior owners or operators or make capital improvements to prevent future contamination.

Our operations generate a variety of wastes, including some hazardous wastes that are subject to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA") and comparable state laws. By way of summary, these regulations impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous waste. Our operations also generate solid wastes which are regulated under state law or the less stringent solid waste requirements of the federal Solid Waste Disposal Act. We believe that we are in material compliance with the existing requirements of RCRA, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, and similar state and local laws, and the cost involved in complying with these requirements is not material.

We incur ongoing costs for monitoring groundwater and/or remediation of contamination at several facilities that we operate. Assuming that we will be able to continue to use common remedial and monitoring methods or associated engineering or institutional controls to demonstrate compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, as we have in the past and regulations currently allow, we believe that these costs will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***Above Ground Storage Tanks***

Above ground tanks that contain petroleum and other hazardous substances are subject to comprehensive regulation under environmental laws. Generally, these laws impose liability for releases and require secondary containment systems for tanks or that the operators take alternative precautions to ensure that no contamination results from tank leaks or spills. We believe we are in material compliance with environmental laws and regulations applicable to above ground storage tanks.

Table of Contents

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") addresses three principal areas of oil pollution prevention, containment and cleanup. In order to handle, store or transport oil, we are required to file oil spill response plans with either the United States Coast Guard (for marine facilities) or the EPA. States in which we operate have enacted laws similar to OPA. Under OPA and comparable state laws, responsible parties for a regulated facility from which oil is discharged may be subject to strict, joint and several liability for removal costs and certain other consequences of an oil spill such as natural resource damages, where the spill is into navigable waters or along shorelines. We believe we are in material compliance with regulations pursuant to OPA and similar state laws.

Under the authority of the federal Clean Water Act, the EPA imposes specific requirements for Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure plans that are designed to prevent, and minimize the impacts of, releases of oil and oil products from above ground storage tanks. We believe we are in substantial compliance with these requirements.

*Water Discharges*

The federal Clean Water Act imposes restrictions regarding the discharge of pollutants, including oil and refined petroleum products, into navigable waters. This law and comparable state laws require permits for discharging pollutants into state and federal waters and impose substantial liabilities and remedial obligations for noncompliance. EPA regulations also require us to obtain permits to discharge certain storm water runoff. Storm water discharge permits also may be required by certain states in which we operate. We believe that we hold the required permits and operate in material compliance with those permits. While we have experienced permit discharge exceedences at some of our terminals, we do not expect any noncompliance with existing permits and foreseeable new permit requirements to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

*Air Emissions*

Our operations are subject to the federal Clean Air Act and comparable state and local laws. Under such laws, permits are typically required to emit regulated air pollutants into the atmosphere. We believe that we currently hold or have applied for all necessary air permits and that we are in material compliance with applicable air laws and regulations. Although we can give no assurances, we are aware of no changes to air quality regulations that will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Various federal, state and local agencies have the authority to prescribe product quality specifications for the refined petroleum products that we sell, largely in an effort to reduce air pollution. Failure to comply with these regulations can result in substantial penalties. Although we can give no assurances, we believe we are currently in substantial compliance with these regulations.

Changes in product quality specifications could require us to incur additional handling costs or reduce our throughput volume. For instance, different product specifications for different markets could require the construction of additional storage. Also, states in which we operate have considered limiting the sulfur content of home heating oil. If such regulations are enacted, this could restrict the supply of available heating oil, which could increase our costs to purchase such oil or limit our ability to sell heating oil.

Efforts at the federal and state level are currently underway to reduce the levels of greenhouse gas emissions from various sources in the United States. At the federal level, legislation was introduced in Congress in 2007 and 2008 to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the United States. Such or similar federal legislation could be taken up in 2009 or later years. President Barack Obama stated in his campaign that climate change policy would be a priority of his administration, and the Democratic majority Congress has indicated that it will seek to enact legislation to reduce greenhouse gas

Table of Contents

emissions. Also, as a result of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision on April 2, 2007 in *Massachusetts, et al. v. EPA*, the EPA may be required to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from mobile sources (e.g., cars and trucks) under the federal Clean Air Act even if Congress does not adopt new legislation specifically addressing emissions of greenhouse gases. Numerous states, including many where we have operations, have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, primarily through the planned development of greenhouse gas emission inventories and/or regional greenhouse gas cap and trade programs. A regional cap and trade program, referred to as the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, began January 1, 2009, and is designed to stabilize and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuel-fired power plants in many Northeastern and Mid-Atlantic states. New federal or state restrictions on emissions of greenhouse gases that may be imposed in areas of the United States in which we conduct business and that apply to our operations could adversely affect the demand for our products.

***Environmental Insurance***

We maintain insurance which may cover, in whole or in part, certain costs relating to the clean up of releases of refined petroleum products. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as our general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. These policies may not cover all environmental risks and costs and may not provide sufficient coverage in the event an environmental claim is made against us.

**Security Regulation**

Since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States, the U.S. government has issued warnings that energy infrastructure assets may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments have subjected our operations to increased risks. Increased security measures taken by us as a precaution against possible terrorist attacks have resulted in increased costs to our business. Where required by federal or local laws, we have prepared security plans for the storage and distribution facilities we operate. Terrorist attacks aimed at our facilities and any global and domestic economic repercussions from terrorist activities could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. For instance, terrorist activity could lead to increased volatility in prices for home heating oil, gasoline and other products we sell.

Insurance carriers are currently required to offer coverage for terrorist activities as a result of the federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 ("TRIA"). We purchased this coverage with respect to our property and casualty insurance programs, which resulted in additional insurance premiums. Pursuant to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, TRIA has been extended through December 31, 2014. Although we cannot determine the future availability and cost of insurance coverage for terrorist acts, we do not expect the availability and cost of such insurance to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

**Employee Safety**

We are subject to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA") and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition, OSHA's hazard communication standards require that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with the applicable OSHA requirements.

We operate a limited number of trucks for the transportation of refined petroleum products, as most of the trucks that distribute products we sell are owned and operated by third parties. We are



Table of Contents

subject to regulations promulgated under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act for those trucks that we do operate. These regulations cover the transportation of hazardous materials and are administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation. We conduct ongoing training programs to help ensure that our operations are in compliance with applicable regulations.

**Title to Properties, Permits and Licenses**

We believe we have all of the assets needed, including leases, permits and licenses, to operate our business in all material respects. With respect to any consents, permits or authorizations that have not been obtained, we believe that the failure to obtain these consents, permits or authorizations will have no material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

We believe we have satisfactory title to all of our assets. Title to property may be subject to encumbrances. We believe that none of these encumbrances will materially detract from the value of our properties or from our interest in these properties, nor will they materially interfere with the use of these properties in the operation of our business.

The name GLOBAL, our logos and the name Global Petroleum Corp. are trademarks of Global Companies LLC. In addition, we have trademarks for our premium fuels and additives, Diesel One®, Heating Oil Plus® and SubZero®.

**Facilities**

We lease office space for our principal executive office in Waltham, Massachusetts. The lease expires on December 31, 2015.

**Employees**

To carry out our operations, our general partner and certain of our operating subsidiaries employ approximately 220 full-time employees. Certain of the employees assigned to our terminal in Chelsea, Massachusetts are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2011. Certain of the employees assigned to our terminals in Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in May 2010 (with respect to Albany and Newburgh) and April 2011 (with respect to Glenwood Landing and Inwood). We believe we have good relations with our employees.

We have two shared services agreements, one with Global Petroleum Corp. and another with Alliance Energy LLC (formerly known as Alliance Energy Corp.). The services provided among these entities by any employees shared pursuant to these agreements does not limit the ability of such employees to provide all services necessary to properly run our business. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Shared Services Agreements."

Table of Contents

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

**Risks Related to Our Business**

*We may not have sufficient cash from operations to enable us to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels following establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner.*

We may not have sufficient available cash each quarter to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels. The amount of cash we can distribute on our units principally depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

competition from other companies that sell refined petroleum products and natural gas in the Northeast;

demand for refined petroleum products in the markets we serve;

absolute price levels, as well as the volatility of prices, of refined petroleum products in both the spot and futures markets;

seasonal variation in temperatures, which affects demand for home heating oil and residual oil to the extent that it is used for space heating;

the level of our operating costs, including payments to our general partner; and

prevailing economic conditions.

In addition, the actual amount of cash we have available for distribution will depend on other factors such as:

the level of capital expenditures we make;

the restrictions contained in our credit agreement, including borrowing base limitations and advance rates;

our debt service requirements;

the cost of acquisitions;

fluctuations in our working capital needs;

our ability to borrow under our credit agreement to make distributions to our unitholders; and

the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner, if any.

*The amount of cash we have available for distribution to unitholders depends primarily on our cash flow and not solely on profitability.*

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

The amount of cash we have available for distribution depends primarily on our cash flow, including cash flow from financial reserves and working capital borrowings, and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record losses and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net income.

Table of Contents

***Our financial results are seasonal and generally lower in the second and third quarters of the calendar year, which may result in our need to borrow money in order to make distributions to our unitholders during these quarters.***

Demand for some refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally higher during November through March than during April through October. We obtain a significant portion of our sales of home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during these winter months. Therefore, our results of operations for the first and fourth calendar quarters are generally better than for the second and third quarters. With lower cash flow during the second and third calendar quarters, we may be required to borrow money in order to pay the minimum quarterly distribution to our unitholders. Any restrictions on our ability to borrow money could restrict our ability to make quarterly distributions to our unitholders.

***A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas served by our storage facilities would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.***

A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas served by our storage facilities could significantly reduce our revenues and, therefore, reduce our ability to make or increase distributions to our unitholders. Factors that could lead to a decrease in market demand for refined petroleum products include:

lower demand by consumers for refined petroleum products, including gasoline, home heating oil and residual oil, as a result of recession or other adverse economic conditions or due to high prices caused by an increase in the market price of refined petroleum products or higher fuel taxes or other governmental or regulatory actions that increase, directly or indirectly, the cost of gasoline or other refined petroleum products;

a shift by consumers to more fuel-efficient or alternative fuel vehicles or an increase in fuel economy of vehicles, whether as a result of technological advances by manufacturers, governmental or regulatory actions or otherwise; and

conversion from consumption of home heating oil or residual oil to natural gas.

Certain of our terminal operating costs and expenses are fixed and do not vary with the volumes we store and distribute. These costs and expenses may not decrease ratably or at all should we experience a reduction in our volumes stored and distributed. As a result, we may experience declines in our margin and profitability if our volumes decrease.

***Our financial condition and results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products, and increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products may adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.***

Results from our supply, marketing and terminalling operations are influenced by prices for refined petroleum products, pricing volatility and the market for such products. When prices for refined petroleum products rise, some of our customers may have insufficient credit to purchase supply from us at their historical purchase volumes, and their customers, in turn, may adopt conservation measures which reduce consumption, thereby reducing demand for product. Furthermore, when prices increase rapidly and dramatically, we may be unable to promptly pass our additional costs to our customers, resulting in lower margins for us which could adversely affect our results of operation. Lastly, higher prices for refined petroleum prices may (1) diminish our access to trade credit support and/or cause it to become more expensive and (2) decrease the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our credit agreement as a result of total available commitments, borrowing base limitations and advance rates thereunder.

Table of Contents

In addition, when prices for refined petroleum products decline, our exposure to risk of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers of our forward contracts may be increased as they and/or their customers may breach their contracts and purchase refined petroleum products at the then lower spot and/or retail market price. Furthermore, lower prices for refined petroleum products may diminish the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our working capital revolving credit facility as a result of borrowing base limitations.

***Our debt levels may limit our flexibility in obtaining additional financing and in pursuing other business opportunities.***

We have a significant amount of debt. As of December 31, 2008, our total debt was approximately \$433.5 million. We have the ability to incur additional debt, including the capacity to borrow up to \$750.0 million under our credit facilities, subject to limitations in our credit agreement. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

covenants contained in our existing and future credit and debt arrangements will require us to meet financial tests that may affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business, including possible acquisition opportunities;

we will need a substantial portion of our cash flow to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions to unitholders;

our debt level will make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and

our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our indebtedness depends upon, among other things, our financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions, such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments and/or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all.

***We may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms or obtain additional requested funding in excess of total commitments under our credit agreement because of the deterioration of the credit and capital markets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Global financial markets and economic conditions have been, and continue to be, disrupted and volatile. The debt and equity capital markets have been exceedingly distressed. These issues, along with significant write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk and the current weak economic conditions have made, and will likely continue to make, it difficult to obtain funding.

As a result, the cost of raising money in the debt and equity capital markets has increased substantially while the availability of funds from those markets has diminished significantly. Also, as a result of concern about the stability of financial markets generally, and the solvency of counterparties

Table of Contents

specifically, the cost of obtaining money from the credit markets has increased as many lenders and institutional investors have increased interest rates, enacted tighter lending standards and reduced and, in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers.

In addition, we may be unable to obtain adequate funding under our current credit agreement because (i) one or more of our lenders may be unable to meet its funding obligations or (ii) our borrowing base under our current credit agreement, as redetermined from time to time, may decrease as a result of price fluctuations, counterparty risk, advance rates and borrowing base limitations and customer nonpayment or nonperformance.

Due to these factors, we cannot be certain that funding will be available if needed and to the extent required or requested on acceptable terms. If funding is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to maintain our core business as currently conducted, enhance our existing business, complete acquisitions or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***Our credit agreement contains operating and financial restrictions that may restrict our business and financing activities.***

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in our credit agreement and any future financing agreements could restrict our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage, expand or pursue our business activities. For example, our credit agreement restricts our ability to:

grant liens;

make certain loans or investments;

incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness;

make any material change to the nature of our business or undergo a fundamental change;

make any material dispositions;

acquire another company;

enter into a merger, consolidation, sale leaseback transaction or purchase of assets;

make distributions if any potential default or event of default occurs;

modify borrowing base components and advance rates; or

make capital expenditures in excess of specified levels.

Our ability to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in our credit agreement may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. If we violate any of the restrictions, covenants, ratios or tests in our credit agreement, a significant portion of

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

our indebtedness may become immediately due and payable, and our lenders' commitment to make further loans to us may terminate. We might not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make these accelerated payments. In addition, our obligations under our credit agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets, and if we are unable to repay our indebtedness under our credit agreement, the lenders could seek to foreclose on such assets.

Table of Contents

***Restrictions in our credit agreement limit our ability to pay distributions upon the occurrence of certain events.***

Our credit agreement limits our ability to pay distributions upon the occurrence of the following events, among others:

failure to pay any principal when due or any interest, fees or other amounts when due;

failure of any representation or warranty to be true and correct in any material respect;

failure to perform or otherwise comply with the covenants in the credit agreement or in other loan documents to which we are a borrower;

any default in the performance of any obligation or condition beyond the applicable grace period relating to any other indebtedness of more than \$2.0 million if the effect of the default is to permit or cause the acceleration of the indebtedness;

a judgment default for monetary judgments exceeding \$2.0 million or a default under any non-monetary judgment if such default could have a material adverse effect on us;

a change in management or ownership control; and

a violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or ERISA, or a bankruptcy or insolvency event involving us, our general partner or any of our subsidiaries.

Any subsequent refinancing of our current debt or any new debt could have similar restrictions. For more information regarding our credit agreement, please read Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement" and Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

***We can borrow money under our credit agreement to pay distributions, which would reduce the amount of credit available to operate our business.***

Our partnership agreement allows us to borrow under our credit agreement to pay distributions. Accordingly, we can make distributions on all our units even though cash generated by our operations may not be sufficient to pay such distributions. We are required to reduce our borrowings to zero under that portion of our credit agreement that is available to pay the minimum quarterly distribution for a period of at least 30 consecutive days once each 12-month period. For more information, please read Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement" and Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

***Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Weather conditions have an impact on the demand for both home heating oil and residual oil. Because we supply distributors whose customers depend on home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during the winter, warmer-than-normal temperatures during the first and fourth calendar quarters in one or more regions in which we operate can decrease the total volume we sell and the gross profit realized on those sales and, consequently, our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

***Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity risk. In addition, any noncompliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.***

While our hedging policies are designed to minimize commodity risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains. For example, we change our hedged position





Table of Contents

daily in response to movements in our inventory. If we overestimate or underestimate our sales from inventory, we may be unhedged for the amount of the overestimate or underestimate. Also, significant increases in the costs of refined petroleum products can materially increase our costs to carry inventory. We use our credit facility as our primary source of financing to carry inventory and may be limited on the amounts we can borrow to carry inventory.

Basis risk describes the inherent market price risk created when a commodity of certain grade or location is purchased, sold or exchanged as compared to a purchase, sale or exchange of a like commodity at a different time or place. Transportation costs and timing differentials are components of basis risk. For example, we use the NYMEX to hedge our commodity risk with respect to pricing of energy products traded on the NYMEX. Physical deliveries under NYMEX contracts are made in New York Harbor. To the extent we take deliveries in other ports, such as Boston Harbor, we may have basis risk. In a backwardated market (when prices for future deliveries are lower than current prices), basis risk is created with respect to timing. In these instances, physical inventory generally loses value as basis declines over time. Basis risk cannot be entirely eliminated.

We monitor processes and procedures to prevent unauthorized trading and to maintain substantial balance between purchases and sales or future delivery obligations. We can provide no assurance, however, that these steps will detect and/or prevent all violations of such risk management policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved.

***We are exposed to trade credit risk and risk associated with our trade credit support in the ordinary course of our business activities.***

We are exposed to risks of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers and by counterparties of our forward and futures contracts, options and swap agreements and by our suppliers. Some of our customers, counterparties and suppliers may be highly leveraged and subject to their own operating and regulatory risks. The current tightening of credit in the financial markets may make it more difficult for customers and counterparties to obtain financing and, depending on the degree to which it occurs, there may be a material increase in the nonpayment and nonperformance of our customers and counterparties. Even if our credit review and analysis mechanisms work properly, we may experience financial losses in our dealings with other parties. Any increase in the nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and/or counterparties and the nonperformance by our suppliers could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Additionally, our access to trade credit support could diminish and/or become more expensive. Our ability to continue to receive sufficient trade credit on commercially acceptable terms could be adversely affected by fluctuations in refined petroleum product prices or disruptions in the credit markets or for any other reason.

***We are exposed to performance risk in our supply chain.***

We rely upon our suppliers to timely produce the volumes and types of refined petroleum products for which they contract with us. In the event one or more of our suppliers does not perform in accordance with its contractual obligations, we may be required to purchase product on the open market to satisfy forward contracts we have entered into with our customers in reliance upon such supply arrangements. We purchase refined petroleum products from a variety of suppliers under term contracts and on the spot market. In times of extreme market demand, we may be unable to satisfy our supply requirements. Furthermore, a portion of our supply comes from other countries, which could be disrupted by political events. In the event such supply becomes scarce, whether as a result of political events, natural disaster, logistical issues associated with delivery schedules or otherwise, we may not be able to satisfy our supply requirements. If any of these events were to occur, we may be required to pay more for product that we purchase on the open market, which could result in financial losses and

Table of Contents

adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***Some of our competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours and control greater supplies of refined petroleum products.***

Our competitors include terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of our competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours and control greater supplies of refined petroleum products. If we are unable to compete effectively, we may lose existing customers or fail to acquire new customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. For example, if a competitor attempts to increase market share by reducing prices, our operating results and cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be adversely affected. We may not be able to compete successfully with these companies.

***Some of our residual oil volumes are subject to customers switching or converting to natural gas which could result in loss of customers, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Our residual oil business competes for customers with suppliers of natural gas. Those end users who are dual-fuel users have the ability to switch from residual oil to natural gas. Other end users may elect to convert to natural gas. During a period of increasing residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas, dual-fuel using customers may switch and other end users may convert to natural gas. Such switching and conversions could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources, such as natural gas, as a result of government-mandated controls or regulation promoting the use of cleaner fuels. Residual oil consumption has steadily declined over the last three decades.

***Some of our heating oil volumes are subject to residential conversion to natural gas which could result in less demand for home heating oil and, in turn, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Our heating oil business competes for customers with suppliers of natural gas. During a period of increasing home heating oil prices relative to prices of natural gas, home heating oil users may convert to natural gas. Such conversions could reduce our sales of home heating oil and have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow available for distributions to our unitholders.

***We face intense competition in our purchasing, terminalling and storage activities. Competition from other providers of refined petroleum products and natural gas that are able to supply our customers with those products and services at a lower price could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.***

We are subject to competition from other refined petroleum products distributors and suppliers of natural gas that may be able to supply our customers with the same or comparable products and terminalling and storage services on a more competitive basis. We compete with terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of these competitors are substantially larger than us, have greater financial resources and control substantially greater storage capacity than we do. Our ability to compete could be harmed by factors including, but not limited to, price competition and the availability of alternative and less expensive fuels, primarily natural gas.

Table of Contents

***Energy efficiency, new technology and alternative fuels could reduce demand for our products and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Increased conservation and technological advances, including installation of improved insulation and the development of more efficient furnaces and other heating devices, have adversely affected the demand for home heating oil and residual oil. Future conservation measures or technological advances in heating, conservation, energy generation or other devices might reduce demand and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***A principal focus of our business strategy is to grow and expand our business through acquisitions. If we do not make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, our future growth may be limited.***

A principal focus of our business strategy is to grow and expand our business through acquisitions. Our ability to grow depends, in part, on our ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in the cash generated per unit from operations. If we are unable to make these accretive acquisitions, either because we are (1) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with them, (2) unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or (3) outbid by competitors, then our future growth and ability to increase distributions will be limited. Furthermore, even if we do make acquisitions that we believe will be accretive, these acquisitions may nevertheless result in a decrease in the cash generated from operations per unit.

Any acquisition involves potential risks, including, among other things:

mistaken assumptions about volumes, revenues and costs, including synergies;

an inability to integrate successfully the businesses we acquire;

an inability to hire, train or retain qualified personnel to manage and operate our business and newly acquired assets;

the assumption of unknown liabilities;

limitations on rights to indemnity from the seller;

mistaken assumptions about the overall costs of equity or debt;

the diversion of management's and employees' attention from other business concerns;

unforeseen difficulties operating in new product areas or new geographic areas; and

customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses.

***Our acquisition strategy involves risks that could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.***

Even if we consummate acquisitions that we believe will be accretive, they may in fact result in no increase or even a decrease in cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Any acquisition involves potential risks, including:

performance from the acquired assets and businesses that is below the forecasts we used in evaluating the acquisition;

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

a significant increase in our indebtedness and working capital requirements;

the inability to timely and effectively integrate the operations of recently acquired businesses or assets, particularly those in new geographic areas or in new lines of business;

the incurrence of substantial unforeseen environmental and other liabilities arising out of the acquired businesses or assets, including liabilities arising from the operation of the acquired

Table of Contents

businesses or assets prior to our acquisition, for which we are not indemnified or for which the indemnity is inadequate;

customer or key employee loss from the acquired businesses; and

diversion of our management's attention from other business concerns.

If any acquisitions we ultimately consummate do not generate expected increases in cash available for distribution to our unitholders, our ability to make such distributions will be reduced.

***We may not be able to renew our leases or our agreements for dedicated storage when they expire.***

The bulk terminals we own or lease or at which we maintain dedicated storage facilities play a key role in moving product to our customers. We lease the entirety of one bulk terminal that we operate exclusively for our business and maintain dedicated storage facilities at another six bulk terminals. The agreements governing these arrangements are subject to expiration at various dates through 2013. These arrangements may not be renewed when they expire or, if renewed, may not be renewed at rates and on terms at least as favorable. If these agreements are not renewed or we are unable to renew these agreements at rates and on terms at least as favorable, it could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***A material amount of our terminalling capacity is controlled by one of our affiliates. Loss of that capacity could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

We currently have an exclusive throughput arrangement for the terminal located in Revere, Massachusetts with one of our affiliates, Global Petroleum Corp. (which entity is owned by Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka). As of December 31, 2008, this facility accounted for approximately 26% of our storage capacity. We store distillates and gasoline at this facility. The throughput agreement for this facility expires in 2013. After expiration of the agreement, we can provide no assurance that Global Petroleum Corp. will continue to grant us exclusive use of the terminal or that the terms of a renegotiated agreement will be as favorable to us as the agreement it replaces. If we are unable to renew the agreement or unable to renew on terms at least as favorable, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

***Some of our sales are generated under contracts that must be renegotiated or replaced periodically. If we are unable to successfully renegotiate or replace these contracts, our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be adversely affected.***

Most of our arrangements with our customers are for a single season or on a spot basis. As these contracts expire, they must be renegotiated or replaced. We may be unable to renegotiate or replace these contracts when they expire, and the terms of any renegotiated contracts may not be as favorable as the contracts they replace. Whether these contracts are successfully renegotiated or replaced is often subject to factors beyond our control. Such factors include fluctuations in refined petroleum product and natural gas prices, counterparty ability to pay for or accept the contracted volumes and a competitive marketplace for the services offered by us. If we cannot successfully renegotiate or replace our contracts or renegotiate or replace them on less favorable terms, sales from these arrangements could decline, and our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

***Due to our lack of asset and geographic diversification, adverse developments in the terminals we use or in our operating areas would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.***

We rely exclusively on sales generated from products distributed from the terminals we own or control or to which we have access. Furthermore, the majority of our assets and operations are located in the Northeast. Due to our lack of diversification in asset type and location, an adverse development in these businesses or areas, including adverse developments due to catastrophic events or weather and decreases in demand for refined petroleum products, could have a significantly greater impact on our results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders than if we maintained more diverse assets and locations.

***Our operations are subject to operational hazards and unforeseen interruptions for which we may not be adequately insured.***

Our operations are subject to operational hazards and unforeseen interruptions such as natural disasters, adverse weather, accidents, fires, explosions, hazardous materials releases, mechanical failures, disruptions in supply infrastructure or logistics and other events beyond our control. If any of these events were to occur, we could incur substantial losses because of personal injury or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, and pollution or other environmental damage resulting in curtailment or suspension of our related operations.

We are not fully insured against all risks incident to our business. Prior to the formation of our partnership, certain of the insurance policies covering entities that were contributed to us and our operations also provided coverage to entities that were not contributed to us as a part of our initial public offering. The coverage available under those insurance policies has been allocated among our partnership and those entities that were not contributed to us. This allocation may result in limiting the amount of recovery available to us for purposes of covered losses.

Furthermore, we may be unable to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount we desire at reasonable rates. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain of our insurance policies have increased and could escalate further. In some instances, certain insurance could become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we are not fully insured, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to unitholders.

***New, stricter environmental laws and regulations could significantly increase our costs, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating product quality specifications and other environmental matters. The trend in environmental regulation is towards more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders may be adversely affected by increased costs and liabilities resulting from such stricter laws and regulations. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and to plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. However, there can be no assurances as to the timing and type of such changes in existing laws or the promulgation of new laws or the amount of any required expenditures associated therewith.

***Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and operational safety that could require us to incur substantial costs.***

The risk of substantial environmental costs and liabilities is inherent in terminal operations, and we may incur substantial environmental costs and liabilities. Our operations involving the receipt, storage and redelivery of refined petroleum products are subject to stringent federal, state and local laws and

Table of Contents

regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, operational safety and related matters. Compliance with these laws and regulations increases our overall cost of business, including our capital costs to maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. We utilize a number of terminals that are owned and operated by third parties who are also subject to these stringent federal, state and local environmental laws in their operations. Their compliance with these requirements could increase the cost of doing business with these facilities.

In addition, our operations could be adversely affected if shippers of refined petroleum products incur additional costs or liabilities associated with environmental regulations. These shippers could increase their charges to us or discontinue service altogether.

Various governmental authorities, including the EPA, have the power to enforce compliance with these regulations and the permits issued under them, and violators are subject to administrative, civil and criminal penalties, including fines, injunctions or both. Joint and several liability may be incurred, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, under federal and state environmental laws for the remediation of contaminated areas at our facilities and those where we do business. Private parties, including the owners of properties located near our terminal facilities and those with whom we do business, also may have the right to pursue legal actions against us to enforce compliance with environmental laws, as well as seek damages for personal injury or property damage. We may also be held liable for damages to natural resources.

The possibility exists that new, stricter laws, regulations or enforcement policies could significantly increase our compliance costs and the cost of any remediation that may become necessary, some of which may be material. Our insurance may not cover all environmental risks and costs or may not provide sufficient coverage in the event an environmental claim is made against us. We may incur increased costs because of stricter pollution control requirements or liabilities resulting from noncompliance with required operating or other regulatory permits. New environmental regulations, such as those related to the emissions of greenhouse gases, might adversely affect our products and activities, including the storage of refined petroleum products, as well as waste management and our control of air emissions. President Obama stated in his campaign that climate change policy would be a priority of his administration, and the Democratic majority Congress has indicated that it will seek to enact legislation to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Enactment of laws and passage of regulations regarding greenhouse gas emissions, or other actions to limit carbon dioxide emissions may reduce demand for fossil fuels and impact our business. Federal and state agencies also could impose additional safety regulations to which we would be subject. Because the laws and regulations applicable to our operations are subject to change, we cannot provide any assurance that compliance with future laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our results of operations. Please read "Items 1. and 2. Business and Properties Environmental" for more information.

***We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations that govern the product quality specifications of the refined petroleum products we purchase, store, transport and sell.***

Various federal, state and local government agencies have the authority to prescribe specific product quality specifications to the sale of commodities. Our business includes such commodities. Changes in product quality specifications, such as reduced sulfur content in refined petroleum products, or other more stringent requirements for fuels, could reduce our ability to procure product and our sales volume, require us to incur additional handling costs and/or require the expenditure of capital. For instance, different product specifications for different markets could require additional storage. If we are unable to procure product or recover these costs through increased sales, we may not be able to meet our financial obligations. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in substantial penalties. Please read "Item 3. Legal Proceedings Environmental" for more information.



Table of Contents

***Any terrorist attacks aimed at our facilities and any global and domestic economic repercussions from terrorist activities and the government's response could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders.***

Since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States, the U.S. government has issued warnings that energy assets may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments have subjected our operations to increased risks. We incurred costs for providing facility security and may incur additional costs in the future with respect to the receipt, storage and distribution of our products. Additional security measures could also restrict our ability to distribute refined petroleum products. Any future terrorist attack on our facilities, or those of our customers, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

Terrorist activity could lead to increased volatility in prices for home heating oil, gasoline and other products we sell, which could decrease our customers' demand for these products. Insurance carriers are required to offer coverage for terrorist activities as a result of federal legislation. We purchased this coverage with respect to our property and casualty insurance programs. This additional coverage resulted in additional insurance premiums which could increase further in the future.

***We depend on key personnel for the success of our business, and some of those persons face conflicts in the allocation of their time to our business.***

We depend on the services of our senior management team and other key personnel. The loss of the services of any member of senior management or key employee could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders. We may not be able to locate or employ on acceptable terms qualified replacements for senior management or other key employees if their services were no longer available. Except with respect to Eric Slifka, Thomas Hollister and Edward Faneuil, neither we, our general partner nor any affiliate thereof entered into an employment agreement with, or, except for Eric Slifka, carry key man life insurance on, any member of our senior management team or other key personnel.

All of the executive officers of our general partner perform services for certain of our affiliates. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC."

***We depend on unionized labor for the operation of our terminal in Chelsea, Massachusetts and our four terminals in New York and at the facility in Revere, Massachusetts which is controlled and operated by one of our affiliates. Any work stoppages or labor disturbances at these facilities could disrupt our business.***

Certain of our employees at the terminal in Chelsea, Massachusetts and truck drivers directly employed by us are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2011. Certain of our employees at our terminals in Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2010 (with respect to Albany and Newburgh) and 2011 (with respect to Glenwood Landing and Inwood). Certain of Global Petroleum Corp.'s employees at the Revere, Massachusetts facility are similarly employed under a collective bargaining agreement that expires in 2011. Please read Items 1 and 2, "Business and Properties Employees." Any work stoppages or other labor disturbances at these facilities or by these drivers could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders. In addition, employees who are not currently represented by labor unions may seek union representation in the future, and any renegotiation of current collective bargaining agreements may result in terms that are less favorable to us.

Table of Contents

*If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, then we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential unitholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our common units.*

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, prevent fraud and operate successfully as a public company. If our efforts to maintain internal controls are not successful or if we are unable to maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future or if we are unable to comply with our obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our operating results could be harmed or we may fail to meet our reporting obligations. Ineffective internal controls also could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our common units.

**Risks Related to our Structure**

*Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of our unitholders.*

Affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, own a 42.9% limited partner interest in us and the 1.73% general partner interest. Although our general partner has a fiduciary duty to manage us in a manner beneficial to us and our unitholders, the directors and officers of our general partner have a fiduciary duty to manage our general partner in a manner beneficial to its owners. Furthermore, certain directors and officers of our general partner are directors or officers of affiliates of our general partner. Conflicts of interest may arise between our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, our general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our unitholders. Please read " Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty." These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

Our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us, such as affiliates of its members, in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its fiduciary duty to our unitholders.

Affiliates of our general partner may engage in competition with us under certain circumstances. See " Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates may engage in activities that compete directly with us."

Neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires owners of our general partner to pursue a business strategy that favors us. Directors and officers of our general partner's owners have a fiduciary duty to make these decisions in the best interest of such owners which may be contrary to our interests.

Some officers of our general partner who provide services to us devote time to affiliates of our general partner.

Our general partner has limited its liability and reduced its fiduciary duties under the partnership agreement, while also restricting the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without these limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty. As a result of purchasing common units, unitholders consent to some actions and conflicts of interest that might otherwise constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable state law.

Table of Contents

Our general partner determines the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, borrowings, issuances of additional partnership securities and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our general partner determines the amount and timing of any capital expenditures and whether a capital expenditure is a maintenance capital expenditure, which reduces operating surplus, or a capital expenditure for acquisitions or capital improvements, which does not, and determination can affect the amount of cash distributed to our unitholders and the ability of the subordinated units to convert to common units.

In some instances, our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash distributions, even if the purpose or effect of the borrowing is to make a distribution on the subordinated units, make incentive distributions or accelerate the expiration of the subordination periods.

Our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us.

Our partnership agreement does not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered on terms that are fair and reasonable to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf.

Our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our contractual and other obligations.

Our general partner may exercise its limited right to call and purchase common units if it and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units.

Our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by it and its affiliates.

Our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us.

Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Omnibus Agreement."

***Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.***

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that reduce the standards to which our general partner would otherwise be held by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. This entitles our general partner to consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and it has no duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or any limited partner. Examples include the exercise of its limited call right, its voting rights with respect to the units it owns, its registration rights and its determination whether or not to consent to any merger or consolidation of us;

provides that our general partner shall not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as general partner so long as it acted in good faith, meaning it believed that the decision was in our best interests;

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

generally provides that affiliated transactions and resolutions of conflicts of interest not approved by the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner and not involving a vote of unitholders must be on terms no less favorable to us than those generally

Table of Contents

being provided to or available from unrelated third parties or be "fair and reasonable" to us and that, in determining whether a transaction or resolution is "fair and reasonable," our general partner may consider the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly advantageous or beneficial to us; and

provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us, our limited partners or assignees for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the general partner or those other persons acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct.

By purchasing a common unit, a common unitholder will become bound by the provisions of the partnership agreement, including the provisions described above.

***Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or remove our general partner without its consent, which could lower the trading price of our common units.***

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. Unitholders have no right to elect our general partner or its board of directors on an annual or other continuing basis. The board of directors of our general partner is chosen entirely by its members and not by the unitholders. Furthermore, if the unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they have limited ability to remove our general partner. As a result of these limitations, the price at which the common units trade could diminish because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

The unitholders are currently unable to remove our general partner without its consent because affiliates of our general partner own sufficient units to be able to prevent removal of our general partner. The vote of the holders of at least 66<sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub>% of all outstanding common and subordinated units voting together as a single class is required to remove our general partner. As of December 31, 2008, affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, owned 43.7% of our common and subordinated units. Also, if our general partner is removed without cause during the subordination period, as defined in the partnership agreement, and units held by our general partner and its affiliates are not voted in favor of that removal, all remaining subordinated units will automatically be converted into common units, and any existing arrearages on the common units will be extinguished. A removal of our general partner under these circumstances would adversely affect the common units by prematurely eliminating their distribution and liquidation preference over the subordinated units, which would otherwise have continued until we had met certain distribution and performance tests.

Cause is narrowly defined in our partnership agreement to mean that a court of competent jurisdiction has entered a final, non-appealable judgment finding our general partner liable for actual fraud or willful or wanton misconduct in its capacity as our general partner. Cause does not include most cases of charges of poor management of the business, so the removal of our general partner during the subordination periods because of the unitholders' dissatisfaction with our general partner's performance in managing our partnership will most likely result in the termination of the subordination period.

Table of Contents

***We may issue additional units without unitholder approval, which would dilute unitholders' ownership interests.***

At any time, we may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type without the approval of our unitholders. The issuance by us of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

our unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;

the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease;

because a lower percentage of total outstanding units will be subordinated units, the risk that a shortfall in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution borne by our common unitholders will increase;

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and

the market price of the common units may decline.

***The market price of our common units could be adversely affected by sales of substantial amounts of our common units, including sales by our existing unitholders.***

As of March 10, 2009, we had 7,428,139 common units outstanding. A substantial number of our securities may be sold in the future either pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") or pursuant to a registration statement filed with the SEC. Rule 144 under the Securities Act provides that after a holding period of six months, non-affiliates may resell restricted securities of reporting companies, including the Partnership, provided that current public information is available relating to the Partnership. After a holding period of one year, non-affiliates may resell without restriction, and affiliates may resell in compliance with the volume, current public information and manner of sale requirements of Rule 144.

We completed a private offering to institutional investors of an aggregate of 1,785,715 Class B units in May 2007 without registration under the Securities Act, in reliance on the exemption from the registration requirements for transactions not involving a public offering contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The Class B units subsequently converted into common units on a one-for-one basis. The institutional investors that are not affiliates of the Partnership currently may sell their common units pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

Sales by any of our existing unitholders of a substantial number of our common units, or the perception that such sales might occur, could have a material adverse effect on the price of our common units or could impair our ability to obtain capital through an offering of equity securities.

In recent years, the securities market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market price of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. Future market fluctuations may result in a lower price of our common units.

***An increase in interest rates may cause the market price of our common units to decline.***

Like all equity investments, an investment in our common units is subject to certain risks. In exchange for accepting these risks, investors may expect to receive a higher rate of return than would otherwise be obtainable from lower-risk investments. Accordingly, as interest rates rise, the ability of investors to obtain higher risk-adjusted rates of return by purchasing government-backed debt securities may cause a corresponding decline in demand for riskier investments generally, including yield-based equity investments such as publicly-traded limited partnership interests. Reduced demand for our

Table of Contents

common units resulting from investors seeking other more favorable investment opportunities may cause the trading price of our common units to decline.

***Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price.***

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units, our general partner will have the right, but not the obligation, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than their then-current market price. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their units. Our general partner is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of the common units to be repurchased by it upon exercise of the limited call right. There is no restriction in our partnership agreement that prevents our general partner from issuing additional common units and exercising its call right. If our general partner exercises its limited call right, the effect would be to take us private and, if the units were subsequently deregistered, we would no longer be subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

***Our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of our common units.***

Our partnership agreement restricts unitholders' voting rights by providing that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of our general partner, cannot vote on any matter. Our partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

***Cost reimbursements due our general partner and its affiliates will reduce cash available for distribution to our unitholders.***

Prior to making any distribution on the common units, we reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all expenses they incur on our behalf, which is determined by our general partner in its sole discretion. These expenses include all costs incurred by the general partner and its affiliates in managing and operating us, including costs for rendering corporate staff and support services to us. We are managed and operated by directors and executive officers of our general partner. In addition, the majority of our operating personnel are employees of our general partner. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence." The reimbursement of expenses and payment of fees, if any, to our general partner and its affiliates could adversely affect our ability to pay cash distributions to our unitholders.

***Unitholders may not have limited liability if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business.***

A general partner of a partnership generally has unlimited liability for the obligations of the partnership, except for those contractual obligations of the partnership that are expressly made without recourse to the general partner. Our partnership is organized under Delaware law, and we conduct business in a number of other states. The limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some of the

Table of Contents

other states in which we do business. A unitholder could be liable for our obligations as if he were a general partner if:

a court or government agency determined that we were conducting business in a state but had not complied with that particular state's partnership statute; or

a unitholder's right to act with other unitholders to remove or replace the general partner, approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or take other actions under our partnership agreement constitute "control" of our business.

***Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions.***

Under certain circumstances, unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to them. Under Delaware law, we may not make a distribution to unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Delaware law provides that for a period of three years from the date of the impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Delaware law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. Purchasers of units who become limited partners are liable for the obligations of the transferring limited partner to make contributions to us that are known to the purchaser of units at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from the partnership agreement. Liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities that are non-recourse to us are not counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted.

***The control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.***

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. Furthermore, there is no restriction in the partnership agreement on the ability of the members of our general partner from transferring their respective membership interests in our general partner to a third party. The new members of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with their own choices and control the decisions taken by the board of directors and officers of our general partner.

***Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates may engage in activities that compete directly with us.***

Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates are subject to the noncompete provisions in the omnibus agreement. The omnibus agreement does not prohibit certain affiliates of our general partner from owning certain assets or engaging in certain businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Omnibus Agreement."

**Tax Risks**

***Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, as well as our not being subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation by individual states. If the Internal Revenue Service were to treat us as a corporation or if we were to become subject to additional amounts of entity-level taxation for state tax purposes, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be substantially reduced.***

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in the common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") on this or any other tax matter affecting us.



Table of Contents

Despite the fact that we are a limited partnership under Delaware law, it is possible in certain circumstances for a partnership such as ours to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Although we do not believe based upon our current operations that we are so treated, a change in our business (or a change in current law) could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity.

If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay state tax at varying rates. Distributions to unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be substantially reduced. Thus, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in the anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of the common units.

Current law may change, causing us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subjecting us to entity-level taxation. For example, because of widespread state budget deficits and other reasons, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. If any state were to impose a tax upon us as an entity, the cash available for distribution to unitholders would be reduced.

The partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to taxation as a corporation or otherwise subjects us to entity-level taxation for federal, state or local income tax purposes, the minimum quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution amounts will be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

***The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.***

The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time. For example, members of Congress have recently considered substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws that would have affected certain publicly traded partnerships. Any modification to the federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively. Although the legislation considered would not have appeared to affect our tax treatment as a partnership, we are unable to predict whether any of these changes, or other proposals, will be reconsidered or will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

***We have a subsidiary that is treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes and subject to corporate-level income taxes.***

We conduct all or a portion of our operations of our end-user business through a subsidiary that is organized as a corporation. We may elect to conduct additional operations through this corporate subsidiary in the future. This corporate subsidiary is subject to corporate-level tax, which reduces the cash available for distribution to us and, in turn, to unitholders. If the IRS were to successfully assert that this corporation has more tax liability than we anticipate or legislation was enacted that increased the corporate tax rate, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be further reduced.

Table of Contents

***If the IRS contests the federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted, and the costs of any contest will reduce our cash available for distribution to unitholders.***

We have not requested any ruling from the IRS with respect to our treatment as a partnership for federal income tax purposes or any other matter affecting us. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the tax positions we take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we take. Any contest with the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. In addition, because the costs will be borne indirectly by our unitholders and our general partner, the costs of any contest with the IRS will result in a reduction in cash available for distribution.

***Unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.***

Because unitholders are treated as partners to whom we allocate taxable income, which could be different in amount than the cash we distribute, unitholders are required to pay federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income, whether or not they receive cash distributions from us. Unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability that results from their share of our taxable income.

***Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be different than expected.***

If a unitholder sells his common units, he will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and his tax basis in those common units. Because distributions to a unitholder in excess of the unitholder's allocable share of our net taxable income decreases the unitholder's tax basis in his common units, the amount of such prior excess distributions will, in effect, become taxable income to him if the common units are sold at a price greater than his tax basis in the common units, even if the price he receives is less than his original cost. Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income to the unitholder due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our non-recourse liabilities, if a unitholder sells his units, he may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash he receives from the sale.

***Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.***

Investment in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as individual retirement accounts (known as IRAs), and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and could be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons are reduced by withholding taxes at the highest applicable effective tax rate, and non-U.S. persons are required to file the U.S. federal income tax returns and pay tax on their share of our taxable income. If you are a tax exempt entity or a non-U.S. person, you should consult your tax advisor before investing in our common units.

***We treat each purchaser of common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the actual common units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could decrease the value of the common units.***

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units and because of other reasons, we adopted depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform with all aspects of

Table of Contents

existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could decrease the amount of tax benefits available to unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from a unitholder's sale of common units and have a negative impact on the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to unitholders' tax returns.

***We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.***

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The use of this proration method may not be permitted under existing Treasury Regulations. If the IRS were to challenge this method or new Treasury Regulations were issued, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

***A unitholder whose units are loaned to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of units may be considered as having disposed of those units. If so, he would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.***

Because a unitholder whose units are loaned to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of units may be considered as having disposed of the loaned units, he may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those units during the period of the loan to the short seller and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan to the short seller, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan to a short seller are urged to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their units.

***We have adopted certain valuation methodologies that may result in a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between the general partner and the unitholders. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.***

When we issue additional units or engage in certain other transactions, we determine the fair market value of our assets and allocate any unrealized gain or loss attributable to our assets to the capital accounts of our unitholders and our general partner. Although we may from time to time consult with professional appraisers regarding valuation matters, including the valuation of our assets, we make many of the fair market value estimates of our assets ourselves using a methodology based on the market value of our common units as a means to measure the fair market value of our assets. Our methodology may be viewed as understating the value of our assets. In that case, there may be a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between certain unitholders and the general partner, which may be unfavorable to such unitholders. Moreover, under our current valuation methods, subsequent purchasers of common units may have a greater portion of their Internal Revenue Code Section 743(b) adjustment allocated to our tangible assets and a lesser portion allocated to our intangible assets. The IRS may challenge our valuation methods, or our allocation of the Section 743(b) adjustment attributable to our tangible and intangible assets, and allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction between the general partner and certain of our unitholders.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of gain from our unitholders' sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common

Table of Contents

units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

***The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.***

We will be considered to have terminated for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest are counted only once. Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in us filing two tax returns (and our unitholders could receive two Schedules K-1) for one fiscal year and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a fiscal year ending December 31, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination currently would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but instead, we would be treated as a new partnership for tax purposes. If treated as a new partnership, we must make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we are unable to determine that a termination occurred.

***Unitholders may be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in jurisdictions where they do not live as a result of investing in our common units.***

In addition to federal income taxes, unitholders may be subject to other taxes, such as state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we do business or own property even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Unitholders may be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. As of December 31, 2008, we conducted business in 16 states, some of which may impose a state income tax. We may own property or conduct business in other states or foreign countries in the future. It is the unitholder's responsibility to file all federal, state and local tax returns. Unitholders are encouraged to consult their professional tax advisors regarding the state and local tax implications to their ownership of our common units.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

***General***

Although we may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business, we do not believe that we are a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Except as described below, we are not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against us, or contemplated to be brought against us. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as our general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, we can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

Table of Contents

*Environmental*

In connection with the November 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, we assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil to and approved by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") with respect to both terminals. As a result, we recorded total environmental liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million. The remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil have been implemented by Global Companies LLC. We do not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

In connection with the May 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, we assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal. As a result, we recorded total environmental liabilities of approximately \$8.0 million. In June 2008, we submitted a remedial action work plan to NYDEC, implementing NYDEC's conditional approval of the remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil. Although NYDEC has not yet officially approved the remedial action work plan submitted by us, we have responded to NYDEC's requests for additional information and conducted pilot tests for the remediation outlined in the work plan. Based on the results of such pilot tests, the total environmental liabilities were estimated at approximately \$5.1 million as of December 31, 2008. Subject to NYDEC's approval of the proposed remedial action work plan, we do not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

In connection with the 2006 acquisition of our Macungie, Pennsylvania terminal (the "Global Macungie Terminal"), we assumed certain existing environmental liabilities at the terminal. We did not accrue for these contingencies as we believe that the aggregate amount of these liabilities cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. We also executed an Administrative Order on Consent ("AOC") with the Environmental Protection Agency, Region III requiring certain investigatory activities at the Global Macungie Terminal. Although we cannot predict the outcome of the investigation of the Global Macungie Terminal, based upon current information, we do not anticipate that the outcome will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Furthermore, we do not believe that compliance with the terms of the AOC will result in material costs or have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Global Companies LLC, in addition to several affiliates, has been named as one of over 50 defendants in two lawsuits alleging methyl tertiary-butyl ether ("MTBE") contamination of groundwater in Massachusetts. MTBE is an oxygenate that has been used extensively to reduce motor vehicle tailpipe emissions. In the cases of *Town of Duxbury, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.*, filed December 31, 2003, and *City of Lowell v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.*, filed December 30, 2004, plaintiffs allege that manufacturers, refiners and others involved in the distribution of gasoline containing MTBE are liable for the costs of investigating possible MTBE groundwater contamination, treating such contaminated groundwater where found, and related relief including treble damages and injunctive relief. The plaintiffs in these cases generally claim to be public water providers or municipal or other government authorities. These cases have been consolidated in multi-district litigation with over 60 other MTBE cases in federal court in the Southern District of New York. We entered into an agreement, in principle, to settle these cases and, as a result, we recorded \$0.9 million as a current environmental liability on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. We do

Table of Contents

not believe that settlement of these cases will have a material impact on our operations. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

*Other*

On September 17, 2008, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut issued Interrogatories and Subpoena Duces Tecum (the "Subpoena") in connection with an investigation of gasoline wholesalers in Connecticut in the wake of Hurricane Ike. We responded to the Subpoena on behalf of Global Companies LLC. According to the press release issued by the Attorney General's office, similar subpoenas were also issued to other gasoline wholesalers in Connecticut. We have taken steps to comply with the demand. While we cannot predict the outcome of the investigation, we do not expect that the outcome will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.**

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common units trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "GLP." The closing sale price per common unit on March 3, 2009 was \$9.85. At the close of business on March 10, 2009, based upon information received from our transfer agent and brokers and nominees, we had 3,654 common unitholders, including beneficial owners of common units held in street name. The following table sets forth the range of the daily high and low sales prices per common unit as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange and the cash distributions per common unit for the periods indicated.

	Price Range		Cash Distribution Per Common Unit(1)
	High	Low	
<b>2008</b>			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 14.01	\$ 5.89	\$ 0.4875(2)
Third Quarter	16.40	8.29	0.4875
Second Quarter	22.16	15.52	0.4875
First Quarter	29.14	17.39	0.4875
<b>2007</b>			
Fourth Quarter	\$31.38	\$24.52	\$ 0.4875
Third Quarter	41.29	25.95	0.4800
Second Quarter	38.75	32.02	0.4725
First Quarter	38.14	23.53	0.4650

(1) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter.

(2) On January 21, 2009, the board of directors of our general partner declared this distribution for the period from October 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008 which was paid on February 13, 2009.

We intend to consider regular cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future cash flows, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. Our credit agreement prohibits us from making cash distributions if any potential default or event of default, as defined in the credit agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, we will distribute all of our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of available cash is all cash on hand at the end of the quarter:

plus all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter;

less the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner to:

provide for the proper conduct of our business;

comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments, or other agreements; or

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

provide funds for distributions to unitholders and to our general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters.

Working capital borrowings (as defined in our partnership agreement) are generally borrowings that are made under our credit agreement and in all cases are used solely for working capital purposes or to pay distributions to partners.



Table of Contents

Affiliates of the Slifka family own 5,642,424 subordinated units. During the subordination period, the common units will have the right to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.4125 per quarter, plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters, before any distributions of available cash from operating surplus may be made on the subordinated units. These units are deemed "subordinated" units because for a period of time, referred to as the subordination period, the subordinated units will not be entitled to receive any distributions until the common units have received the minimum quarterly distribution and any arrearages from prior quarters. Furthermore, no arrearages will be paid on the subordinated units. The practical effect of the subordinated units is to increase the likelihood that during the subordination period there will be available cash to be distributed on the common units.

The subordination period will extend until the first day of any quarter beginning after September 30, 2010 that each of the following tests are met: (1) distributions of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units and subordinated units and general partner units equaled or exceeded the minimum quarterly distribution for each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date; (2) the "adjusted operating surplus" (as defined in our partnership agreement) generated during each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date equaled or exceeded the sum of the minimum quarterly distributions on all of the outstanding common units and subordinated units during those periods on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the general partner units during those periods; and (3) there are no arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units. If the unitholders remove the general partner without cause, the subordination period may end before September 30, 2010.

In addition, if the tests for ending the subordination period are satisfied for any three consecutive four-quarter periods ending on or after September 30, 2008, 25% of the subordinated units will convert into an equal number of common units. Similarly, if those tests are also satisfied for any three consecutive four-quarter periods ending on or after September 30, 2009, an additional 25% of the subordinated units will convert into an equal number of common units. The second early conversion of subordinated units may not occur, however, until at least one year following the end of the period for the first early conversion of subordinated units. As of December 31, 2008, no subordinated units had been converted.

We will make distributions of available cash from operating surplus for any quarter during the subordination period (as defined in our partnership agreement) in the following manner: firstly, 98.27% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the general partner, until we distribute for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; secondly, 98.27% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the general partner, until we distribute for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to any arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units for any prior quarters during the subordination period; thirdly, 98.27% to the subordinated unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the general partner, until we distribute for each subordinated unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions is distributed to the unitholders and the general partner based on the percentages as provided below.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

As holder of the incentive distribution rights, the general partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions	
		Unitholders	General Partner
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.4125	98.27%	1.73%
First Target Distribution	up to \$0.4625	98.27%	1.73%
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.4625 up to \$0.5375	85.27%	14.73%
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.5375 up to \$0.6625	75.27%	24.73%
Thereafter	above \$0.6625	50.27%	49.73%

The equity compensation plan information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K in response to this item is incorporated by reference from Item 12, "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Equity Compensation Plan Table."

### **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

On May 9, 2007, we issued 1,785,715 unregistered Class B units in a private placement from which we received gross proceeds of \$50.0 million. The Class B units were convertible into common units on a one-for-one basis. In connection with the issuance of the Class B units, we agreed to a discount in the purchase price of approximately \$0.8 million, which is the approximate amount of the product of (i) the 1,785,715 Class B units, and (ii) \$0.4650, the amount of our first quarter 2007 per unit distribution that was paid to the common and subordinated unitholders on May 15, 2007. We paid this discount to the purchasers of the Class B units substantially contemporaneously with the payment of our first quarter 2007 distribution and resulted in proceeds of \$49.2 million. On May 22, 2007, the Class B units converted into common units on a one-for-one basis. See Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information on the private placement.

### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

We did not repurchase any of our common units during the quarter ended December 31, 2008 or at any time during the year ended December 31, 2008.

### **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The following table presents selected historical financial and operating data of Global Partners LP and our predecessor for the periods and as of the dates indicated. The selected historical financial data is derived from the historical consolidated/combined financial statements of Global Partners LP.

This table should be read in conjunction with Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. In addition, this table presents non-GAAP financial measures which we use in our business. These measures are not calculated or presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"). We explain these measures and present reconciliations to their most directly

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

comparable financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Consolidated Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006	Combined(1) Year Ended December 31, 2005	Successor October 4 through December 31, 2005	Predecessor January 1 through October 31, 2005	Year Ended December 31, 2004
(dollars in millions except per unit amounts)							
<b>Statement of Income Data:</b>							
Sales	\$ 9,019.1	\$ 6,757.8	\$ 4,472.4	\$ 4,045.8	\$ 1,248.9	\$ 2,796.9	\$ 3,187.6
Cost of sales	8,899.3	6,630.8	4,359.2	3,954.1	1,220.0	2,734.1	3,111.7
Gross profit	119.8	127.0	113.2	91.7	28.9	62.8	75.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses	42.1	45.5	43.0	40.4	10.5	29.9	33.5
Operating expenses	31.8	27.7	22.2	19.7	4.9	14.8	19.6
Amortization expense	2.9	2.3	1.5	1.6	0.4	1.2	0.8
Total operating costs and expenses	76.8	75.5	66.7	61.7	15.8	45.9	53.9
Operating income	43.0	51.5	46.5	30.0	13.1	16.9	22.0
Interest expense	(20.8)	(17.4)	(11.9)	(10.0)	(2.7)	(7.3)	(4.7)
Other income (expense), net			0.5	(0.9)		(0.9)	
Gain on sale of investment(2)		14.1					
Income before income tax expense	22.2	48.2	35.1	19.1	10.4	8.7	17.3
Income tax expense(3)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.6)	(1.0)	(1.0)		
Net Income	21.1	47.0	33.5	\$ 18.1	9.4	\$ 8.7	\$ 17.3
Less: General partner's interest in net income	(0.4)	(0.9)	(0.7)		(0.2)		
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 20.7	\$ 46.1	\$ 32.8		\$ 9.2		
Net income per limited partner unit, basic and diluted(4)	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.38	\$ 2.46		\$ 0.70		
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding, basic and diluted	13.1	12.4	11.3		11.3		
<b>Cash Flow Data:</b>							
Net cash provided by (used in)							
Operating activities	\$ 99.2	\$ (115.0)	\$ (54.5)	\$ (28.4)	\$ (34.1)	\$ 5.7	\$ (82.0)
Investment activities	(11.5)	(136.5)	(12.4)	(1.6)	(0.7)	(0.9)	1.2
Financing activities(5)	(88.9)	249.7	69.0	28.4	(31.4)	(3.0)	83.0
<b>Other Financial Data:</b>							
EBITDA(6)	\$ 58.1	\$ 75.2	\$ 51.5	\$ 33.5	\$ 14.4	\$ 19.1	\$ 25.6
Adjusted EBITDA(6)	58.1	61.1	51.5	33.5	14.4	19.1	25.6
Distributable cash flow(7)	34.1	38.6	36.0		10.0		
Capital expenditures(8)	11.5	13.7	5.9	1.8	0.7	1.1	1.3
Cash distributions paid(9)	1.95	1.87	1.72				
<b>Operating Data:</b>							
Normal heating degree days(10)	5,630	5,630	5,630	5,630	1,875	3,755	5,630
Actual heating degree days	5,426	5,656	5,007	5,875	1,876	3,999	5,748
	(4)%	1%	(11)%	4%		7%	2%

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Variance from normal heating  
degree days

Variance from prior year actual degree days	(4)%	13%	(15)%	2%	1%	3%	(5)%
Total gallons sold (in millions)	3,550	3,288	2,486	2,674	758	1,916	2,929
Variance in volume sold from prior year	8%	32%	(7)%	9%			3%

**Balance Sheet Data (at period  
end):**

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0.9	\$ 2.1	\$ 3.9	\$ 1.8	\$ 1.8	\$ 3.3
Property and equipment, net	162.0	161.7	31.7	22.0	22.0	22.6
Total assets	889.3	1,159.2	638.9	554.7	554.7	393.0
Total debt	433.5	496.2	272.3	183.5	183.5	193.0
Total liabilities	745.8	998.9	535.7	478.4	478.4	369.8
Equity	143.5	160.3	103.2	76.3	76.3	23.2

(1)

Combined results for the year ended December 31, 2005 is a non-GAAP financial measure and is presented here to provide additional information for comparing year-over-year information.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

- (2) We sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (3) We became subject to income tax expense upon the conversion of Global Montello Group LLC, a pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes, to Global Montello Group Corp., a taxable entity for federal income tax purposes, on October 5, 2005.
- (4) See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for net income per limited partner unit calculation.
- (5) In July 2004, Global Petroleum Corp. and certain other Slifka family entities executed a \$51.0 million term loan agreement under which Global Companies LLC and Affiliates were guarantors. The proceeds of the loan were used, in part, to (a) finance the acquisition by Global Petroleum Corp. and certain other Slifka family entities of the ownership interests in Global Companies LLC and Affiliates from RYTTSA USA, Inc. and (b) refinance certain loans secured by the real estate assets of Global Petroleum Corp. and certain other Slifka family entities, including Global Companies LLC and Affiliates.
- (6) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures which are discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" and reconciled to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA less the \$14.1 million gain we realized on the sale of our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for the year ended December 31, 2007 (see Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report).
- (7) Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" and reconciled to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measures in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources."
- (8) Capital expenditures are discussed in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."
- (9) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter. This amount is based on cash distributions paid during 2008, 2007 and 2006. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (10) Degree days is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption which is further discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Table of Contents

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

*The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of Global Partners LP should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.*

**Overview**

*General*

We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products in the Northeast. We are one of the largest wholesale distributors of gasoline, distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene) and residual oil to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the Northeast. In 2008, we sold approximately \$9.0 billion of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas.

We purchase our refined petroleum products primarily from domestic and foreign refiners (wholesalers), traders and producers and sell these products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. Like most independent marketers of refined petroleum products, we base our pricing on spot physical prices and routinely use the NYMEX or derivatives to hedge our commodity risk inherent in buying and selling energy commodities. Through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchased volumes and sales volumes or future delivery obligations. We earn a margin by selling the product for physical delivery to third parties.

*Products and Operational Structure*

Our products include gasoline, distillates and residual oil. We sell gasoline to unbranded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels. The distillates we sell are used primarily for fuel for trucks and off-road construction equipment and for space heating of residential and commercial buildings. We sell residual oil to major housing units, such as public housing authorities, colleges and hospitals and large industrial facilities that use processed steam in their manufacturing processes. In addition, we sell bunker fuel, which we can custom blend, to cruise ships, bulk carriers and fishing fleets. We have increased our sales in the non-weather sensitive components of our business, such as transportation fuels; however, we are still subject to the impact that warmer weather conditions may have on our home heating oil and residual oil sales.

Our business is divided into two segments:

*Wholesale.* This segment includes sales of gasoline, distillates and residual oil to unbranded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors.

*Commercial.* This segment includes sales and deliveries of unbranded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas to customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial customers, primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. This segment also purchases, custom blends, sells and delivers bunker fuel and diesel to cruise ships, bulk carriers and fishing fleets generally by barges.

Our business activities are substantially comprised of purchasing, storing, terminalling and selling refined petroleum products. In a contango market (when product prices for future deliveries are higher than for current deliveries), we may use our storage capacity to improve our margins by storing products we have purchased at lower prices in the current market for delivery to customers at higher prices in the future. In a backwardated market (when product prices for future deliveries are lower than current deliveries), we attempt to minimize our inventories to reduce commodity risk and

Table of Contents

maintain or increase net product margins. See Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for additional information related to commodity risk.

**Outlook**

This section identifies certain risks and certain economic or industry-wide factors that may affect our financial performance and results of operations in the future, both in the short-term and in the long-term. Our results of operations and financial condition depend, in part, upon the following:

*The condition of credit markets may adversely affect our liquidity.* In recent months, world financial markets experienced a severe reduction in the availability of credit. Although we have not been negatively impacted by this condition in the short-term, it is difficult to predict its impact on us in future quarters. Possible negative impacts include a decrease in the availability of borrowings under our credit agreement, increased counterparty credit risk on our derivatives contracts and our contractual counterparties requiring us to provide collateral. In addition, we could experience a tightening of trade credit from our suppliers.

*We commit substantial resources to pursuing acquisitions, though there is no certainty that we will successfully complete any acquisitions or receive the economic results we anticipate from completed acquisitions.* Consistent with our business strategy, we are continuously engaged in discussions with potential sellers of terminalling, storage and/or marketing assets and related businesses. In an effort to prudently and economically leverage our asset base, knowledge base and skill sets, management pursues businesses that are closely related to or significantly intertwined with our existing lines of business. Our growth largely depends on our ability to make accretive acquisitions. We may be unable to make such accretive acquisitions for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) we are unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts; (2) we are unable to raise financing for such acquisitions on economically acceptable terms; or (3) we are outbid by competitors. In addition, we may consummate acquisitions that at the time of consummation we believe will be accretive, but that ultimately may not be accretive. If any of these events were to occur, our future growth would be limited. We can give no assurance that our current or future acquisition efforts will be successful or that any such acquisition will be completed on terms that are favorable to us.

*Our financial results are generally better in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year.* Demand for some refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally higher during November through March than during April through October. We obtain a significant portion of these sales during these winter months. Therefore, our results of operations for the first and fourth calendar quarters are generally better than for the second and third quarters. With lower cash flow during the second and third calendar quarters, we may be required to borrow money in order to maintain current levels of distributions to our unitholders.

*Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.* Weather conditions generally have an impact on the demand for both home heating oil and residual oil. Because we supply distributors whose customers depend on home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during the winter, warmer-than-normal temperatures during the first and fourth calendar quarters in the Northeast can decrease the total volume we sell and the gross profit realized on those sales.

*Energy efficiency, new technology and alternative fuels could reduce demand for our products.* Increased conservation and technological advances have adversely affected the demand for home heating oil and residual oil. Consumption of residual oil has steadily declined over the last three decades. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources as a result of future government-mandated controls or regulation further promoting the use of cleaner fuels.

Table of Contents

End users who are dual-fuel users have the ability to switch between residual oil and natural gas. Other end users may elect to convert to natural gas. During a period of increasing residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas, dual-fuel customers may switch and other end users may convert to natural gas. Residential users of home heating oil may also convert to natural gas. Such switching or conversion could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

*Our financial condition and results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products, and increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products may adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.* Results from our supply, marketing and terminalling operations are influenced by prices for refined petroleum products, pricing volatility and the market for such products. When prices for refined petroleum products rise, some of our customers may have insufficient credit to purchase supply from us at their historical purchase volumes, and their customers, in turn, may adopt conservation measures which reduce consumption, thereby reducing demand for product. Furthermore, when prices increase rapidly and dramatically, we may be unable to promptly pass our additional costs to our customers, resulting in lower margins for us which could adversely affect our results of operation. Lastly, higher prices for refined petroleum prices may (1) diminish our access to trade credit support and/or cause it to become more expensive and (2) decrease the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our credit agreement as a result of total available commitments, borrowing base limitations and advance rates thereunder. In addition, when prices for refined petroleum products decline, our exposure to risk of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers of our forward contracts may be increased as they and/or their customers may breach their contracts and purchase refined petroleum products at the then lower spot and/or retail market price. Furthermore, lower prices for refined petroleum products may diminish the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our working capital revolving credit facility as a result of borrowing base limitations.

*New, stricter environmental laws and regulations could significantly increase our costs, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.* Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating product quality specifications and other environmental matters. The trend in environmental regulation is towards more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Our business may be adversely affected by increased costs and liabilities resulting from such stricter laws and regulations. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. However, there can be no assurances as to the timing and type of such changes in existing laws or the promulgation of new laws or the amount of any required expenditures associated therewith.

**Results of Operations**

*Evaluating Our Results of Operations*

Our management uses a variety of financial and operational measurements to analyze our performance. These measurements include: (1) net product margin, (2) gross profit, (3) selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A"), (4) operating expenses, (5) degree days, (6) adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit, (7) EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains and (8) distributable cash flow.



Table of Contents

*Net Product Margin*

We view net product margin as an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations. We review net product margin monthly for consistency and trend analysis. We define net product margin as our sales minus product costs. Sales include sales of unbranded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and natural gas. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products and natural gas that we sell and all associated costs including shipping and handling costs to bring such products to the point of sale. Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements to assess our business. Net product margin should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our net product margin may not be comparable to net product margin or a similarly titled measure of other companies.

*Gross Profit*

We define gross profit as our sales minus product costs and terminal depreciation expense allocated to cost of sales. Sales include sales of unbranded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and natural gas. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products and natural gas that we sell and all associated costs to bring such products to the point of sale.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Our SG&A expenses include marketing costs, corporate overhead, employee salaries and benefits, pension and 401(k) plan expenses, discretionary bonuses, non-interest financing costs, professional fees and information technology expenses. Employee-related expenses including employee salaries, discretionary bonuses and related payroll taxes, benefits, and pension and 401(k) plan expenses are paid by our general partner which, in turn, is reimbursed for these expenses by us.

*Operating Expenses*

Operating expenses are costs associated with the operation of the terminals used in our business. Lease payments and storage expenses, maintenance and repair, utilities, taxes, labor and labor-related expenses comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. These expenses remain relatively stable independent of the volumes through our system but fluctuate slightly depending on the activities performed during a specific period.

*Degree Day*

A "degree day" is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average temperature departs from a human comfort level of 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average, or normal, to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service and officially archived by the National Climatic Data Center. For purposes of evaluating our results of operations, we use the normal heating degree day amount as reported by the National Weather Service at its Logan International Airport station in Boston, Massachusetts.

*Adjusted Net Income Per Diluted Limited Partner Unit*

We use adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit to measure our financial performance on a per-unit basis. Adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit is defined as net income after adding back the theoretical amount allocated to the general partner's interest as provided under

Table of Contents

Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 03-06, "Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128" ("EITF 03-06") and a non-cash reduction in net income available to limited partners under EITF 98-05, "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios" ("EITF 98-05"), divided by the weighted average number of outstanding diluted common and subordinated units, or limited partner units, during the period.

Net income per diluted limited partner unit as dictated by EITF 03-06 is theoretical and pro forma in nature and does not reflect the economic probabilities of whether earnings for an accounting period would or could be distributed to unitholders. The partnership agreement does not provide for the quarterly distribution of net income; rather, it provides for the distribution of available cash, which is a contractually defined term that generally means all cash on hand at the end of each quarter after establishment of sufficient cash reserves required to operate our business. Accordingly, the distributions we historically paid and will pay in future periods are not impacted by net income per diluted limited partner unit as dictated by EITF 03-06.

The non-cash reduction under EITF 98-05 for the year ended December 31, 2007 was the result of accounting for the sale of Class B units (see Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report). Although EITF 98-05 affected net income available to limited partners, it did not affect net income or distributable cash flow to limited partners, nor did it affect total partners' equity.

Adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to net income per diluted limited partner unit or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit may not be comparable to the adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit or similarly titled measure of other companies.

*EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Net Income as Adjusted for One-time Gains*

EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains are non-GAAP financial measures used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;

our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;

our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

our operating performance and return on invested capital as compared to those of other companies in the wholesale, marketing and distribution of refined petroleum products, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

Adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains reflect the exclusion of the \$14.1 million gain on investment for the year ended December 31, 2007 (see Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report). EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains should not be considered alternatives to net income, operating income, cash flow from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains exclude some, but not all, items that affect net income, and these measures may vary among other companies. Therefore, EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and net income as adjusted for one-time gains may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

Table of Contents*Distributable Cash Flow*

Distributable cash flow is an important non-GAAP financial measure for our limited partners since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on their investment. Distributable cash flow means our net income plus depreciation and amortization less our maintenance capital expenditures. Specifically, this financial measure indicates to investors whether or not we have generated sufficient cash flow on a current or historic level that can sustain or support an increase in our quarterly cash distribution. Distributable cash flow is a quantitative standard used by the investment community with respect to publicly traded partnerships. Distributable cash flow for the year ended December 31, 2007 reflects the exclusion of the \$14.1 million gain on investment (see Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report). Distributable cash flow should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our distributable cash flow may not be comparable to distributable cash flow or similarly titled measure of other companies.

*Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006*

In 2008, we continued to expand our presence in Providence, Rhode Island. We entered into two separate sublease agreements in November 2007 for land located at the Port of Providence. In January 2008, the terminal at one parcel opened for business and has storage capacity of 244,000 barrels for distillates. In November 2008, the terminal at the other parcel opened for business and has storage capacity of 230,000 barrels for refined petroleum products. These facilities will enable us to more effectively supply existing wholesale and commercial customers across Rhode Island and southeastern Massachusetts and cultivate new customers in the region.

In 2008, we experienced higher revenues and higher gasoline sales volumes, primarily due to our 2007 acquisitions of five refined petroleum products terminals from ExxonMobil. Refined petroleum product and natural gas prices were higher during the first nine months of the year compared to 2007 and generally peaked in July of 2008 before dramatically decreasing during the fourth quarter as evidenced in the table below. Specifically, prices for heating oil, gasoline, residual oil and natural gas peaked at \$4.11, \$3.57, \$119.70 and \$18.34, respectively. Prices hit their lowest point in December at \$1.20, \$0.79, \$28.40 and \$6.02 for heating oil, gasoline, residual oil and natural gas, respectively.

The following table provides the percentage increases (decreases) in refined petroleum product and natural gas prices at the end of each quarter in 2008 as compared to each comparable quarter in 2007:

<b>Period:</b>	<b>Heating Oil \$ per gallon</b>	<b>Gasoline \$ per gallon</b>	<b>Residual Oil \$ per barrel</b>	<b>Natural Gas \$ per barrel</b>
At March 31, 2008	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.62	\$ 72.75	\$ 64.38
At March 31, 2007	\$ 1.88	\$ 2.11	\$ 45.80	\$ 50.27
Change	62%	24%	59%	28%
At June 30, 2008	\$ 3.90	\$ 3.50	\$ 111.30	\$ 86.37
At June 30, 2007	\$ 2.03	\$ 2.29	\$ 55.15	\$ 46.24
Change	92%	53%	102%	87%
At September 30, 2008	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.48	\$ 83.55	\$ 47.44
At September 30, 2007	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.07	\$ 58.15	\$ 42.65
Change	28%	20%	44%	11%
At December 31, 2008	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.01	\$ 35.65	\$ 47.56
At December 31, 2007	\$ 2.64	\$ 2.48	\$ 75.15	\$ 48.07
Change	(47)%	(59)%	(53)%	(1)%

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we experienced the following events:

Refined petroleum product prices dramatically declined which we believe contributed to lower financing costs as a result of decreased borrowings to finance inventory.

The conversion or temporary switching by dual-fuel users by primarily commercial customers to other products (primarily natural gas) from residual fuel and heating oil had a negative impact on our results of operations.

Temperatures for the fourth quarter of 2008 were colder than normal and colder than the fourth quarter of 2007.

A decrease in market demand for distillates and residual oil, primarily due to continued energy conservation, led to lower volumes sold.

We increased our reserve for credit losses at year end by approximately \$0.3 million.

We had fewer fixed priced sales of heating oil in the fourth quarter of 2008 than in the fourth quarter of 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we experienced the following events which led to a significant negative impact on our results of operations:

We believe increasing refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 contributed to:

higher financing costs as a result of increased borrowings to finance inventory;

the conversion or temporary switching by dual-fuel users by primarily commercial customers to other products (primarily natural gas) from residual fuel and heating oil; and

energy conservation.

A decrease in market demand for distillates and residual oil due to energy conservation and higher refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 led to lower volumes sold and lower margins compared to 2007.

Adverse market conditions in our markets, including volatility and backwardation, led to lower margins and intensified competition from other wholesalers.

Temperatures were 4% warmer than normal for 2008 and 4% warmer than 2007 as measured by aggregate heating degree days.

We increased our reserve for credit losses at year end by approximately \$0.3 million.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

We had fewer fixed priced sales of heating oil in 2008 compared with 2007.

During the first quarter of 2008, the opportunistic conversion of certain gasoline markets to ethanol put us in a temporarily disadvantaged competitive position while our terminal infrastructure was being converted.

Temporary logistical supply issues related to rail capacity adversely affected the performance of our Burlington, Vermont facility.

In the year ended December 31, 2007, we continued to broaden and strengthen our distribution network with the acquisitions of five refined products terminals and the leasing of an additional terminal. In addition, we continued to broaden the non-weather sensitive components of our business. In May 2007, we acquired three refined products terminals in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont from ExxonMobil. Products distributed from these terminals primarily include gasoline, ultra low sulfur diesel and heating oil. In November 2007, we acquired two refined products terminals in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York from ExxonMobil. Products distributed from these terminals also include gasoline, ultra low sulfur diesel and heating oil. Also in November 2007, we leased a terminal in Providence, Rhode Island which has access to deepwater marine facilities.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

Refined petroleum product and natural gas prices rose throughout 2007. At December 31, 2007, prices for gasoline, heating oil, residual oil and natural gas increased 55%, 65%, 102% and 25%, respectively, compared to prices at December 31, 2006. Temperatures were less than 1% colder than normal for 2007 and 13% colder than 2006 as measured by aggregate heating degree days.

In the year ended December 31, 2006, we continued to expand our higher margin product lines and broaden the non-weather sensitive components of our business. We completed two acquisitions in 2006: (1) our Bridgeport, Connecticut terminal which is used as a distribution point for diesel and home heating oil, and (2) our Macungie, Pennsylvania terminal which is used as a distribution point for diesel, gasoline and home heating oil. Refined petroleum product prices rose throughout most of 2006 but experienced significant declines in September and December. At December 31, 2006, prices for gasoline, distillates and residual oil decreased 6%, 8% and 24%, respectively. Temperatures were 11% warmer than normal for 2006.

### **Key Performance Indicators**

The following table provides a summary of some of the key performance indicators that may be used to assess our results of operations. These comparisons are not necessarily indicative of future results (gallons and dollars in thousands, except per unit data):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Net income as adjusted for one-time gains(1)	\$ 21,055	\$ 32,895	\$ 33,461
Adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit(2)	\$ 1.59	\$ 3.92	\$ 2.91
Adjusted EBITDA(3)	\$ 58,132	\$ 61,107	\$ 51,541
Distributable cash flow(4)	\$ 34,061	\$ 38,638	\$ 36,003
<b>Wholesale Segment:</b>			
Volume (gallons)	3,348,238	3,043,278	2,196,978
Sales	\$ 8,589,180	\$ 6,376,749	\$ 4,071,608
Net product margin(5)			
Distillates	\$ 70,045	\$ 86,358	\$ 58,285
Gasoline	36,451	11,463	22,812
Residual oil	11,671	23,667	20,896
Total	\$ 118,167	\$ 121,488	\$ 101,993
<b>Commercial Segment:</b>			
Volume (gallons)	202,088	244,343	288,970
Sales	\$ 429,943	\$ 381,085	\$ 400,810
Net product margin(5)	\$ 11,835	\$ 11,485	\$ 13,151
<b>Combined sales and net product margin:</b>			
Sales	\$ 9,019,123	\$ 6,757,834	\$ 4,472,418
Net product margin(5)	\$ 130,002	\$ 132,973	\$ 115,144
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales	10,211	5,989	1,918
<b>Combined gross profit</b>	<b>\$ 119,791</b>	<b>\$ 126,984</b>	<b>\$ 113,226</b>
<b>Weather conditions:</b>			
Normal heating degree days	5,630	5,630	5,630
Actual heating degree days	5,426	5,656	5,007
Variance from normal heating degree days	(4)%	1%	(11)%
Variance from prior period actual heating degree days	(4)%	13%	(15)%

(1) Net income as adjusted for one-time gains is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents a reconciliation of net income as adjusted for one-time gains to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.



Table of Contents

- (2) Adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents a reconciliation of adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.
- (3) Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents reconciliations of adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.
- (4) Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents reconciliations of distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.
- (5) Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table above reconciles net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income as adjusted for one-time gains to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure on a historical basis (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
<b>Reconciliation of net income to net income as adjusted for one-time gains:</b>			
Net income	\$21,055	\$ 47,013	\$33,461
Gain on sale of investment(1)		(14,118)	
Net income as adjusted for one-time gains	\$21,055	\$ 32,895	\$33,461

- (1) We sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

The following table presents a reconciliation of adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure on a historical basis:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
<b>Reconciliation of net income per diluted limited partner unit to adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit:</b>			
Net income per diluted limited partner unit under EITF 03-06 and EITF 98-05	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.38	\$ 2.46
Dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under EITF 03-06	0.19	1.21	0.45
Dilutive impact of non-cash reduction under EITF 98-05		1.33	
Adjusted net income per diluted limited partner unit	\$ 1.59	\$ 3.92	\$ 2.91



Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents reconciliations of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
<b>Reconciliation of net income to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA:</b>			
Net income	\$ 21,055	\$ 47,013	\$ 33,461
Depreciation and amortization	15,126	9,613	4,513
Interest expense	20,799	17,408	11,901
Income tax expense	1,152	1,191	1,666
EBITDA	58,132	75,225	51,541
Gain on sale of investment(1)		(14,118)	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 58,132	\$ 61,107	\$ 51,541
<b>Reconciliation of cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA:</b>			
Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 99,220	\$(115,045)	\$(54,479)
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities	(63,039)	171,671	92,453
Interest expense	20,799	17,408	11,901
Income tax expense	1,152	1,191	1,666
EBITDA	58,132	75,225	51,541
Gain on sale of investment(1)		(14,118)	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 58,132	\$ 61,107	\$ 51,541

(1)

We sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

The following table presents reconciliations of distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
<b>Reconciliation of net income to distributable cash flow:</b>			
Net income	\$ 21,055	\$ 47,013	\$ 33,461
Depreciation and amortization	15,126	9,613	4,513
Gain on sale of investment(1)		(14,118)	
Maintenance capital expenditures	(2,120)	(3,870)	(1,971)
Distributable cash flow	\$ 34,061	\$ 38,638	\$ 36,003
<b>Reconciliation of cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities to distributable cash flow:</b>			
Cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 99,220	\$(115,045)	\$(54,479)
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities	(63,039)	171,671	92,453
Gain on sale of investment(1)		(14,118)	
Maintenance capital expenditures	(2,120)	(3,870)	(1,971)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Distributable cash flow

\$ 34,061    \$ 38,638    \$ 36,003

---

(1)

We sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

*Consolidated Sales*

Our total sales for 2008 increased by \$2,261.3 million, or 33%, to \$9,019.1 million compared to \$6,757.8 million for 2007. The increase was driven primarily by higher refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 compared to 2007 and our terminal acquisitions in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont in May 2007 and in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York in November 2007. Our aggregate volume of product sold increased by approximately 263 million gallons, or 8%, to 3,550 million gallons. The increase in volume was due to an increase of approximately 457 million gallons in gasoline, mostly due to our 2007 terminal acquisitions, offset by decreases of 105 million and 87 million gallons in distillates and residual oil, respectively. These decreases were primarily due to conservation by users of home heating oil, warmer-than-normal temperatures and conversion and fuel switching related to increased residual oil prices for most of 2008 relative to the prices of natural gas and intensified competition in the marketplace. The number of actual heating degree days decreased 4% to 5,426 for 2008 compared to 5,656 for 2007. Our gross profit for 2008 was \$119.8 million, a decrease of \$7.2 million, or 6%, compared to \$127.0 million for 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower net product margins in distillates and residual oil and an increase in depreciation on our terminals, which is included in cost of sales, as a result of our 2007 terminal acquisitions. The decrease was significantly offset by a higher net product margin in our Wholesale segment for gasoline also mostly as a result of our 2007 terminal acquisitions.

Our total sales for 2007 increased by \$2,285.4 million, or 51%, to \$6,757.8 million compared to \$4,472.4 million for 2006. The increase was driven primarily by our terminal acquisitions in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont in May 2007 and, to a lesser extent, in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York in November 2007 and in Bridgeport, Connecticut and Macungie, Pennsylvania in 2006 and an increase in refined petroleum product prices for 2007 compared to the prior year. Our aggregate volume of product sold increased by approximately 801 million gallons, or 32%, to 3,287 million gallons. The increase in volume primarily includes increases of 497 million and 361 million gallons in gasoline and distillates, respectively, as a result of our terminal acquisitions and colder temperatures in 2007 compared to 2006. The increase in volume was offset by a 36 million gallon decrease in residual oil, primarily due to conversion and fuel switching related to increased residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas and intensified competition in the marketplace. The number of actual heating degree days increased by approximately 13% to 5,656 compared to 5,007 for 2006. Our gross profit for 2007 was \$127.0 million, an increase of \$13.8 million, or 12%, compared to \$113.2 million for 2006, primarily due to higher net product margins in distillates and residual oil. The increase was offset by a decline in gasoline net product margins and an increase in depreciation on our terminals, which is included in cost of sales, as a result of our terminal acquisitions.

*Wholesale Segment*

**Distillates.** Wholesale distillate sales for 2008 were \$4,044.0 million compared to \$3,354.6 million for 2007. The increase of \$689.4 million, or 21%, was due to increases in refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 compared to 2007. Although we experienced an increase in distillate sales, our distillate volume sold was negatively impacted by the higher price for heating oil for most of 2008, warmer than normal temperatures, meaningful energy conservation and less favorable buying opportunities in 2008 compared to 2007. In addition, we had fewer fixed priced sales of heating oil in 2008 compared to 2007, which we believe was due to higher refined petroleum product prices. Primarily due to these same factors, our net product margin contribution from distillate sales decreased by \$16.4 million, or 19%, to \$70.0 million for 2008 compared to \$86.4 million for 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the decrease in net product margin for Wholesale distillates also reflected an increase of \$2.5 million related to a change in estimate of our inventory reserve account recorded in the second quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents

Wholesale distillate sales for 2007 were \$3,354.6 million compared to \$2,313.6 million for 2006. The increase of \$1,041.0 million, or 45%, was due to an increase in volume sold and in refined petroleum product prices for 2007 compared to 2006. We attribute the increase in volume sold primarily to the terminal acquisitions in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont in May 2007 and, to a lesser extent, in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York in November 2007, and in Bridgeport, Connecticut and Macungie, Pennsylvania in 2006, as well as to temperatures that were 13% colder for 2007 compared to 2006. Our net product margin contribution from distillate sales increased by \$28.1 million, or 48%, to \$86.4 million for 2007 compared to \$58.3 million for 2006, primarily as the result of margin expansion, volume expansion related to our 2007 and 2006 terminal acquisitions and additional volume expansion due to colder weather during 2007 compared to 2006. Despite the increase in net product margin for 2007, we had fewer fixed priced sales of heating oil in 2007 compared to 2006, which we believe was due to higher refined petroleum product prices.

**Gasoline.** Wholesale gasoline sales for 2008 were \$4,469.8 million compared to \$2,906.9 million for 2007. The increase of \$1,562.9 million, or 54%, was due primarily to our 2007 terminal acquisitions, an increase in gasoline volume sold and higher prices for most of 2008. Our net product margin contribution from gasoline sales increased by \$25.0 million to \$36.5 million for 2008 compared to \$11.5 million for 2007. We attribute the increase in net product margin to the new business generated by our 2007 terminal acquisitions, better margins overall in our gasoline business, and the prior year gasoline business start-up costs associated with our Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminal acquisition in May of 2007 that were not incurred in 2008. Although we experienced an increase in gasoline sales, volume sold and net product margin, these results were negatively impacted for 2008 as a result of the following first quarter 2008 events: (1) the opportunistic conversion of certain gasoline markets to ethanol which put us in a temporarily disadvantaged competitive position while our terminal infrastructure was being converted; and (2) the temporary logistical supply issues related to rail capacity which adversely affected the performance of our Burlington, Vermont facility. We have since successfully completed the conversion to ethanol at our facilities which are now fully operational, and full rail service to our Burlington, Vermont facility has resumed.

Wholesale gasoline sales for 2007 were \$2,906.9 million compared to \$1,654.4 million for 2006. The increase of \$1,252.5 million, or 76%, was due primarily to our acquisition of the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, our 2006 acquisition of the Macungie, Pennsylvania terminal, an increase in gasoline volume sold and higher prices. Our net product margin from gasoline sales decreased by \$11.3 million, or 50%, to \$11.5 million for 2007 compared to \$22.8 million for 2006. We attribute the decrease in net product margin to the return of margins to more historical norms as the industry adjusted to the introduction of ethanol-based gasoline, narrower net product margins generally, some initial start-up supply costs at the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals and general market conditions.

**Residual Oil.** Wholesale residual oil sales for 2008 were \$75.4 million compared to \$115.3 million for 2007. The decrease of \$39.9 million, or 35%, was primarily due to declines in residual oil volume sold despite an increase in refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008. Our net product margin contribution from residual oil sales decreased by \$12.0 million, or 51%, to \$11.7 million for 2008 compared to \$23.7 million for 2007 due to conversion and fuel switching related to increased residual oil prices for most of 2008 relative to the prices of natural gas and intensified competition in the marketplace.

Wholesale residual oil sales for 2007 were \$115.3 million compared to \$103.6 million for 2006. The increase of \$11.7 million, or 11%, was due to an increase in refined petroleum product prices, despite a decrease in residual oil volume sold, primarily due to conversion and fuel switching related to increased residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas and intensified competition in the marketplace. Our net product margin contribution from residual oil sales increased by \$2.8 million, or 13%, to \$23.7 million for 2007 compared to \$20.9 million for 2006.

Table of Contents

*Commercial Segment*

In our Commercial segment, residual oil accounted for approximately 67%, 75% and 80% of total commercial volume sold in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Distillates, gasoline and natural gas accounted for the remainder of the total volume sold.

Commercial residual oil sales and volume sold for 2008 decreased by 1% and 27%, respectively, compared to 2007. We attribute the decrease in volume sold to the competitive pricing from natural gas and reductions in production by certain industry participants who are contracting their business activities in our territory.

Commercial residual oil sales for 2007 decreased by 10% compared to 2006 due to a 20% decrease in volume sold. We attribute the decrease in volume sold to the competitive pricing from natural gas and reductions in production by certain industry participants in our territory. Specifically, for 2007 compared to 2006, prices for residual oil and heating oil increased 102% and 65%, respectively, while the price for natural gas increased 25%.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

SG&A expenses for 2008 decreased by \$3.4 million, or 7%, to \$42.1 million compared to \$45.5 million for 2007. The decrease was primarily due to decreases of \$4.0 million in accrued bonuses, \$0.6 million in professional fees, \$0.4 million in insurance premiums and \$0.3 million in other SG&A expenses, offset by increases of \$0.8 million in bank fees, \$0.7 million in salaries and employee benefits and \$0.4 million in compensation cost on our long-term incentive plan.

SG&A expenses increased by \$2.5 million, or 6%, to \$45.5 million for 2007 compared to \$43.0 million for 2006. The increase was primarily due to increases of approximately \$1.7 million in salaries and \$0.7 million in employee-related benefits, partly due to additional employees to support our recent terminal acquisitions, \$0.3 million in compensation cost on our long-term incentive plan and \$0.3 million in other SG&A expenses. The increase in SG&A expenses was offset by a decrease of approximately \$0.5 million in legal fees as a result of insurance reimbursement from insurance carriers for previously expensed legal fees.

*Operating Expenses*

Operating expenses increased by \$4.1 million, or 15%, to \$31.8 million for 2008 compared to \$27.7 million for 2007. The increase was primarily due to \$4.2 million in costs associated with operating our Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals acquired in November 2007, \$2.9 million in costs associated with operating our Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals acquired in May 2007, and \$1.3 million in costs associated with our leased terminal in Providence, Rhode Island, offset by decreases of \$0.8 million in costs related to the non-renewal of the terminal lease in New Haven, Connecticut and \$0.6 million in miscellaneous operating expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the increase in operating expenses also reflected a decrease of \$2.8 million related to a change in estimate of our remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action work plan submitted by us to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report).

Operating expenses for 2007 increased by \$5.5 million, or 25%, to \$27.7 million compared to \$22.2 million for 2006. The increase was primarily due to \$3.3 million in costs associated with operating our Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, \$0.8 million in costs associated with operating our Bridgeport, Connecticut and Macungie, Pennsylvania facilities, \$0.6 million in increased costs at our Chelsea terminal, \$0.5 million in costs associated with operating our newly acquired Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, \$0.4 million in increased rent for additional storage and repair expenses at the Capital Terminal in East Providence, Rhode Island, \$0.3 million in increased rent at our Gateway terminal, \$0.3 million in additional costs at our South

Table of Contents

Portland terminal, \$0.2 million in additional costs at our Wethersfield terminal and \$0.4 million in other operating expenses. The increase in expenses was offset by non-recurring charges of approximately \$1.3 million at our New Bedford terminal and pipeline repair and other expenses at our Chelsea terminal incurred during 2006.

*Amortization Expense*

Amortization expense was \$2.9 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increases of \$0.6 million in 2008 compared to 2007 and \$0.8 million in 2007 compared to 2006 were primarily the result of amortization related to intangible assets recognized as part of the Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminal acquisitions in 2007. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

*Interest Expense*

Interest expense for 2008 increased by \$3.4 million, or 19%, to \$20.8 million compared to \$17.4 million for 2007. We attribute the increase primarily to higher average balances on our working capital credit facility from carrying higher average balances of inventories and accounts receivable due to increased refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008, despite the overall interest rate environment. In addition, the costs of borrowings under the credit agreement were increased commencing with the July 18, 2008 amendment to the credit agreement. Also, we had increased borrowings on our working capital and acquisition facilities to fund our terminal acquisitions in May and November of 2007.

Interest expense for 2007 increased by \$5.5 million, or 46%, to \$17.4 million compared to \$11.9 million for 2006. We attribute the increase primarily to borrowing on our acquisition facility to fund the Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminal acquisitions in 2007, higher average balances on our revolving line of credit due to higher refined petroleum product prices, increased inventory levels and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the average interest rate for 2007 compared to 2006.

*Other Income*

Other income of approximately \$515,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 represented dividend income from our ownership interest in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats. In March 2007, we sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats and, as a result, other income was not recognized for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

*Gain on Sale of Investment*

The \$14.1 million gain on sale of investment for the year ended December 31, 2007 represented the amount we realized on the March 2007 sale of our NYMEX Holdings, Inc. and related seats. See Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

*Liquidity*

Our primary liquidity needs are to fund our working capital requirements and our capital expenditures. Cash generated from operations and our working capital revolving credit facility provide our primary sources of liquidity. Working capital increased by \$27.6 million to \$198.6 million at December 31, 2008 compared to \$171.0 million at December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

On February 13, 2009, we paid a cash distribution to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$6.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2008.

**Contractual Obligations**

We have contractual obligations that are required to be settled in cash. The amounts of our contractual obligations at December 31, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	Payments due by period			More than 5 years
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	
Revolver loan obligations(1)(2)	\$466,663	\$228,053	\$238,610	\$	\$
Operating lease obligations	81,327	15,775	30,922	20,052	14,578
Capital lease obligations	907	329	485	93	
Other long-term liabilities	11,433	454	1,102	1,757	8,120
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$560,330</b>	<b>\$244,611</b>	<b>\$271,119</b>	<b>\$21,902</b>	<b>\$22,698</b>

(1) Includes principal and interest on our working capital revolving credit facility at December 31, 2008.

(2) The revolving credit facility has a contractual maturity of April 22, 2011 and no payments are required prior to that date. However, we repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements. Therefore, the current portion of the revolving credit facility included in the accompanying balance sheets is the amount we expect to pay down during the course of the year, and the long-term portion of the revolver is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year. In addition, our credit agreement, as amended, provides that in each calendar year the outstanding amount under our working capital revolving credit facility must be equal to or less than \$263.0 million for a period of ten consecutive calendar days.

In addition to the obligations described in the above table, we have minimum volume purchase requirements at December 31, 2008. Pricing is based on spot prices at the time of purchase. Please read Note 14, Commitments and Contingencies, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to purchase commitments and sublease information related to certain lease agreements.

**Capital Expenditures**

Our terminalling operations require investments to expand, upgrade and enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operations regulations. Our capital requirements primarily consist of maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures represent capital expenditures to replace partially or fully depreciated assets to maintain the operating capacity of, or sales generated by, existing assets and extend their useful lives, such as expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage and pipeline integrity and safety, and to address environmental regulations. We had approximately \$2.1 million, \$3.9 million and \$2.0 million in maintenance capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. Repair and maintenance expenses associated with existing assets that are minor in nature and do not extend the useful life of existing assets are charged to operating expenses as incurred.

Expansion capital expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets to grow our business and to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase operating capacity by increasing tankage, diversifying product availability at various terminals and adding terminals. We generally fund our expansion capital expenditures through our cash generated from operations or our acquisition and working capital revolving credit facilities or by issuing additional equity. We had approximately

Table of Contents

\$9.4 million, \$147.8 million and \$10.4 million in expansion capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, to increase our operating capacity and capabilities. Specifically, in 2008, expansion capital expenditures consisted of approximately \$6.4 million in costs primarily related to the second phase of construction of our terminal in Providence, Rhode Island, \$1.2 million related to conversion expenditures to handle ethanol-based gasoline and \$1.8 million in other expansion capital expenditures primarily related to additional terminal equipment at the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals.

During 2007, expansion capital expenditures included the May 2007 acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont for approximately \$102.6 million (\$101.5 million cash consideration plus \$1.1 million in acquisition costs) and the November 2007 acquisition of two refined petroleum products terminals in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York for approximately \$35.4 million (\$34.7 million cash consideration plus \$0.7 million in acquisition costs), bringing the total for terminal acquisitions to \$138.0 million. In addition, we had \$6.2 million in expansion capital expenditures related to construction in process on our terminal in Providence, Rhode Island and \$3.6 million in other expansion capital expenditures, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

During 2006, we acquired the Bridgeport, Connecticut facility for approximately \$2.4 million and the Macungie, Pennsylvania facility for approximately \$4.1 million, bringing the total for terminal acquisitions to \$6.5 million. In addition, we had \$3.9 million in other expansion capital expenditures, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows, to increase our operating capacity and capabilities.

We anticipate that maintenance capital expenditures will be funded with cash generated by operations. We believe that we will have sufficient liquid assets, cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity under our credit agreement and the ability to issue additional common units and/or debt securities to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures. However, we are subject to business and operational risks that could adversely affect our cash flow. A material decrease in our cash flows would likely produce an adverse effect on our borrowing capacity.

*Cash Flow (in thousands)*

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 99,220	\$(115,045)	\$(54,479)
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(11,510)	\$(136,464)	\$(12,442)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	\$(88,875)	\$ 249,758	\$ 69,013

Cash flow from operating activities generally reflects our net income, the purchasing patterns of inventory, the timing of collections on our accounts receivable, the seasonality of our business, fluctuations in refined petroleum product prices, our working capital requirements related to acquisitions and general market conditions.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$99.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to cash used in operating activities of \$115.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, for a year-over-year increase in cash provided by operating activities of \$214.2 million.

While refined petroleum product prices were higher for most of 2008, they declined significantly during the fourth quarter of 2008, thereby causing the carrying values of our accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable at December 31, 2008 to be less than the carrying values we experienced at the beginning of the year. As a result, we had decreases of \$243.9 million in inventories,



Table of Contents

\$189.7 million in accounts receivable, \$151.6 million in accounts payable and \$15.7 million accrued expenses and all other current liabilities.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we experienced increases in refined petroleum products prices, and we funded additional working capital requirements due to our acquisition of the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals in May of 2007. As a result, for the year ended December 31, 2007, we had increases of \$196.2 million in inventories, \$236.6 million in accounts receivable, \$149.3 million in accounts payable and \$34.2 million in accrued expenses and all other current liabilities.

The increase in cash provided by operating activities is also reflected in the year-over-year decrease in net income of \$25.9 million, offset by \$14.1 million representing the gain on the sale of our NYMEX Holdings, Inc. shares and related NYMEX seats in the first quarter of 2007, and a \$302.2 million change in the fair value of our forward fixed price contracts and other derivatives. For the year ended December 31, 2008, contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program required margin payments of approximately \$195.0 million to the NYMEX due to market direction while for the year ended December 31, 2007, similar hedging activity provided funds from the NYMEX of approximately \$107.3 million.

Net cash used in operating activities increased by \$60.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 and primarily reflects the following (1) the \$13.6 million increase in net income offset by \$14.1 million representing the gain on the sale of our NYMEX Holdings, Inc. shares and related NYMEX seats; (2) changes of \$265.0 million in accounts receivable, \$168.8 million in inventories and \$8.3 million in prepaids and other assets, offset by changes of \$179.8 million in accounts payable and \$26.3 million in accrued expenses and other current liabilities, primarily the result of our acquisitions of refined petroleum products terminals in Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York and Burlington, Vermont in 2007 and in Macungie, Pennsylvania and Bridgeport, Connecticut in 2006 and the related supply and throughput contracts as well as increases in refined petroleum product prices year over year; and (3) a \$174.4 million change in the fair value of our forward fixed price contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2006, contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program required margin payments of approximately \$67.1 million to the NYMEX due to market direction while for the year December 31, 2007, similar hedging activity provided funds of approximately \$107.3 million.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$125.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 and included \$11.5 million in total capital expenditures comprised of \$2.1 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$9.4 million in expansion capital expenditures (\$6.4 million related to construction in process on our leased terminal in Providence, Rhode Island, \$1.2 million related to conversion expenditures to handle ethanol-based gasoline and \$1.8 million in other expansion capital expenditures).

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$124.0 million for year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 and included \$151.7 million in total capital expenditures comprised of \$3.9 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$147.8 million in expansion capital expenditures. Expansion capital expenditures included \$102.6 million to acquire the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals and \$35.4 million to acquire the Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals (for a total of \$138.0 million in terminal acquisitions), \$6.2 million related to construction in process on our leased terminal in Providence, Rhode Island and \$3.6 million in other expansion capital expenditures. The net cash used in investing activities was offset by gross proceeds of \$15.3 million from the sale of our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. and related NYMEX seats.

Net cash used in financing activities increased by \$338.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to year ended December 31, 2007. Net cash used in financing activities was

Table of Contents

\$88.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 and included \$61.5 million in net payments on our credit facilities, \$26.1 million in cash distributions to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner, and \$1.2 million in payments on our note payable. Comparatively, net cash provided by financing activities was \$249.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 included net borrowings on our credit facilities of \$224.3 million, consisting of \$153.1 million in borrowings on our revolving line of credit and \$71.2 million in borrowings from our acquisition facility to fund the purchase of the Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, and net proceeds of \$49.1 million from the issuance of Class B units, offset by \$23.3 million in cash distributions to our common and subordinated unitholders.

***Credit Agreement***

We, our general partner, our operating company and our operating subsidiaries have a four-year senior secured credit agreement with total available commitments of \$750.0 million. We repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements and, therefore, classify as a current liability the portion of the working capital revolving credit facility we expect to pay down during the course of the year. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year.

The credit agreement will mature on April 22, 2011. There are three facilities under our credit agreement:

a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of our borrowing base and \$650.0 million; the \$650.0 million includes two \$50.0 million seasonal overline facilities that are available each year only during the period between September 1 and June 30. On July 18, 2008, the credit agreement was amended to reinstate the seasonal overline period from July 21, 2008 through August 31, 2008;

an \$85.0 million acquisition facility to be used for funding acquisitions similar to our business line that have a purchase price of \$25.0 million or less or \$35.0 million or less in the aggregate in any 12-month period; and

a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for general purposes, including payment of distributions to our unitholders.

In addition, the credit agreement has an accordion feature whereby we may request on the same terms and conditions of our then existing credit agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in the credit agreement) then exists, an increase to: (1) the acquisition facility by up to another \$50.0 million, for a total acquisition facility of up to \$135.0 million; and (2) the working capital revolving credit facility by up to another \$200.0 million, for a total working capital revolving credit facility of up to \$850.0 million. Any such request for an increase by us must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million, and no more than three such requests may be made for each facility. We, however, cannot provide assurance that our lending group will agree to fund any request by us for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$750.0 million.

Availability under our working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under the credit agreement, we can borrow only up to the level of our then current borrowing base. Availability under our borrowing base may be affected by events beyond our control, such as changes in refined petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits and deteriorating economic conditions. These and other events could require us to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. We can provide

Table of Contents

no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained, or if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to us.

During the period from January 1, 2008 through July 20, 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, borrowings under our working capital revolving credit, acquisition and revolving credit facilities bore interest at our option at (1) the Eurodollar rate, plus 1%, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% and 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, respectively (for 2006, the rate for the acquisition facility was the Eurodollar rate, plus 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>%), (2) the cost of funds rate, plus 1%, 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>% and 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, respectively, or (3) the bank's base rate. Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement). Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the acquisition and revolving credit facilities bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.25% to 2.75%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio. The average interest rates for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were 4.58%, 6.3% and 6.0%, respectively.

We incur a letter of credit fee of 2% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, we incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the three facilities under the credit agreement (including the unused portion of either of the seasonal overline facilities exercised by us) equal to 0.3% to 0.375% per annum, depending on the pricing level and the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio provided in the credit agreement. We also incur a facility fee of 0.1% per annum on any unexercised seasonal overline facility during the period between September 1 and June 30 and a seasonal overline fee of \$30,000 each time we elect to exercise either of the seasonal overline facilities.

As of December 31, 2008, we had total borrowings outstanding under our credit agreement of \$433.5 million, including \$71.2 million outstanding on our acquisition facility. In addition, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$105.2 million. The total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$211.3 million and \$86.6 million, respectively.

The credit agreement imposes covenants that require us to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum EBITDA ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. On July 18, 2008, the credit agreement was amended whereby the minimum EBITDA as at the end of the June 30, 2008 fiscal quarter and each fiscal quarter ending thereafter is required to be not less than \$30.0 million for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters most recently ended. In addition, the minimum combined interest coverage ratio was reduced to 1.75:1.00 for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2008. The Credit Agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The credit agreement provides that in each calendar year the outstanding amount under the working capital revolving credit facility must be equal to or less than \$263.0 million for a period of ten consecutive calendar days. On September 26, 2008, the credit agreement was amended to include, among other things, acknowledgment of compliance of this provision for the year ended December 31, 2008. The Partnership has complied with this provision for the year ending December 31, 2009. It is anticipated that the seasonal decrease in working capital as we exit our heating season will contribute to a decrease in borrowings outstanding under our credit agreement.

The credit agreement limits distributions to our unitholders to available cash and permits borrowings to fund such distributions only under the \$15.0 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility is subject to an annual "clean-down" period, requiring us to reduce the amount

Table of Contents

outstanding under the revolving credit facility to \$0 for 30 consecutive calendar days in each calendar year.

Our obligations under the credit agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our operating company and operating subsidiaries.

The lending group under the credit agreement includes the following institutions: Bank of America, N.A.; Standard Chartered Bank; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Societe Generale; RBS Citizens, National Association; Sovereign Bank; Fortis Capital Corp.; Webster Bank National Association; KeyBank National Association; TD Bank, N.A. (f/k/a TD BankNorth, N.A.); Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Wachovia Bank, National Association; and Calyon New York Branch.

***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

**Impact of Inflation**

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

**Environmental Matters**

Our business of supplying refined petroleum products involves a number of activities that are subject to extensive and stringent environmental laws. For a complete discussion of the environmental laws and regulations affecting our business, please read Items 1 and 2, "Business and Properties Environmental."

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

A summary of the significant accounting policies that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is detailed in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions that we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We have identified the following estimates that, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment and involve complex analysis:

***Inventory***

We hedge substantially all of our inventory purchases through futures and swap agreements. Hedges are executed when inventory is purchased and are identified with that specific inventory. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized currently in earnings. All hedged inventory is valued using the lower of cost, as determined by specific identification, or market. Prior to sale, hedges are removed from specific barrels of inventory, and the then unhedged inventory is sold and accounted for on a first-in, first-out basis. In addition to our own inventory, we have exchange agreements with unrelated third party suppliers, whereby we may draw inventory from these other suppliers and replace it at a later date. Similarly, these suppliers may draw inventory from us and replace it at a later date. Positive exchange balances are accounted for as accounts receivable. Negative exchange balances are accounted for as accounts payable. Exchange transactions are valued using current quoted market prices.

Table of Contents

***Leases***

We have a throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., one of our affiliates, with respect to its terminal in Revere, Massachusetts. This agreement is accounted for as an operating lease. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Throughput Agreement with Global Petroleum Corp." We also have entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with various unrelated oil terminals, certain of which arrangements have minimum usage requirements. Please read Items 1 and 2, "Business and Properties Storage."

We have future commitments, principally for office space and computer equipment, under the terms of operating lease arrangements, and we have lease income from office space leased to an unrelated third party at one of our terminals. Additionally, we have capital leases for other computer equipment. Our leases are accounted for under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 13, "Accounting for Leases," as amended, which requires that leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The lease term used for lease evaluation includes option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the option period can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty.

***Revenue Recognition***

Sales relate primarily to the sale of refined petroleum products and natural gas and are recognized along with the related receivable upon delivery, net of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances. Allowances for cash discounts are recorded as a reduction of sales at the time of sale based on the estimated future outcome. We also provide for shipping costs at the time of sale, which are included in cost of sales. The amounts recorded for bad debts are generally based upon historically derived percentages while also factoring in any new business conditions that might impact the historical analysis, such as market conditions and bankruptcies of particular customers. Bad debt provisions are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Revenue is not recognized on exchange agreements, which are entered into primarily to acquire various refined petroleum products of a desired quality or to reduce transportation costs by taking delivery of products closer to our end markets. In accordance with EITF No. 04-13, "Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty," any net differential for exchange agreements is recorded as an adjustment of inventory costs in the purchases component of cost of sales in the statement of income.

***Derivative Financial Instruments***

We account for our derivatives in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended ("SFAS No. 133"). SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities and requires that an entity recognize derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measure the instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative are to be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

*Fair Value Hedges* The fair value of our derivatives is determined through the use of independent markets and is based upon the prevailing market prices of such instruments at the date of valuation. We enter into futures contracts for the receipt or delivery of refined petroleum products in future periods. The contracts are entered into in the normal course of business to reduce risk of loss of inventory on hand, which could result through fluctuations in market prices. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized currently in earnings.

Table of Contents

We also use futures contracts and swap agreements to hedge exposure under forward purchase and sale commitments. These agreements are intended to hedge the cost component of virtually all of our forward purchase and sale commitments. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as offsetting gains or losses on the forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments, are recognized currently in earnings. Gains and losses on net product margin from forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts are reflected in earnings as these contracts mature.

We also market and sell natural gas. We generally conduct business by entering into forward purchase commitments for natural gas only when we simultaneously enter into arrangements for the sale of product for physical delivery to third-party users. We generally take delivery under our purchase commitments at the same location as we deliver to third-party users. Through these transactions, which establish an immediate margin, we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between firm forward purchase and sales commitments. Natural gas is generally purchased and sold at fixed prices and quantities. Current price quotes from actively traded markets are used in all cases to determine the contracts' fair value. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized currently in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales.

*Interest Rate Hedge* We executed two zero premium interest rate collars, and each collar is designated as a cash flow hedge. The first collar, which expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. Changes in the fair value of the collar are recorded as either an asset or a liability with a corresponding amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The second collar, which expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on our \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. The changes in the fair value of the second collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR rate above and below the second collar's strike rates.

***Environmental and Other Liabilities***

We record accrued liabilities for all direct costs associated with the estimated resolution of contingencies at the earliest date at which it is deemed probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of such liability can be reasonably estimated. Costs accrued are estimated based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies and outcomes.

Estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Loss accruals are adjusted as further information becomes available or circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from other parties are recognized as assets when their receipt is deemed probable.

We are subject to other contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of our businesses that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters, contract and employment claims. Environmental and other legal proceedings may also include matters with respect to businesses we previously owned. Further, due to the lack of adequate information and the potential impact of present regulations and any future regulations, there are certain circumstances in which no range of potential exposure may be reasonably estimated.

Table of Contents

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

A description and related impact expected from the adoption of certain new accounting pronouncements is provided in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.**

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. The principal market risks to which we are exposed are interest rate risk and commodity risk. We utilize two interest rate collars to manage exposure to interest rate risk and various derivative instruments to manage exposure to commodity risk.

***Interest Rate Risk***

We utilize variable rate debt and are exposed to market risk due to the floating interest rates on our credit facility. Therefore, from time to time, we utilize interest rate collars to hedge interest obligations on specific and anticipated debt issuances.

During the period from January 1, 2008 through July 20, 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, borrowings under our working capital revolving credit, acquisition credit and revolving credit facilities bore interest at our option at (1) the Eurodollar rate, plus 1%, 1½% and 1½%, respectively (for 2006, the rate for the acquisition facility was the Eurodollar rate, plus 1¾%), (2) the cost of funds rate, plus 1%, 1¾% and 1½%, respectively, or (3) the bank's base rate. Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement). Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the acquisition and revolving credit facilities bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.25% to 2.75%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio. The average interest rates for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were 4.58%, 6.3% and 6.0%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, we had total borrowings outstanding under the credit agreement of \$433.5 million. The impact of a 1% increase in the interest rate on this amount of debt would have resulted in an increase in interest expense, and a corresponding decrease in our results of operations, of approximately \$4.3 million annually, assuming, however, that our indebtedness remained constant throughout the year.

We executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated as a cash flow hedge and accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. Whenever the three-month LIBOR rate is greater than the cap, we receive from the respective financial institution the difference between the cap and the current three-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Conversely, whenever the three-month LIBOR rate is lower than the floor, we remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor and the current three-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. As of December 31, 2008, the three-month LIBOR rate of 2.13% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, we will remit to the respective financial

Table of Contents

institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$216,000.

On September 29, 2008, we executed our second zero premium interest rate collar. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on our \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. Whenever the one-month LIBOR rate is greater than the cap, we receive from the respective financial institution the difference between the cap and the current one-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of long-term one-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Conversely, whenever the one-month LIBOR rate is lower than the floor, we remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor and the current one-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of long-term one-month LIBOR-based borrowings. As of December 31, 2008, the one-month LIBOR rate of 1.90% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, we will remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$67,000. See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion on the interest rate collars.

***Commodity Risk***

We hedge our exposure to price fluctuations with respect to refined petroleum products in storage and expected purchases and sales of these commodities. The derivative instruments utilized consist primarily of futures contracts traded on the NYMEX and over-the-counter transactions, including swap agreements entered into with established financial institutions and other credit-approved energy companies. Our policy is generally to purchase only products for which we have a market and to structure our sales contracts so that price fluctuations do not materially affect our profit. While our policies are designed to minimize market risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains. Except for the controlled trading program discussed below, we do not acquire and hold futures contracts or other derivative products for the purpose of speculating on price changes that might expose us to indeterminable losses.

While we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within our product purchase activities, we may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as logistical issues associated with inclement weather conditions. In connection with managing these positions and maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace, both necessary for our business, we engage in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of refined petroleum products.

We enter into futures contracts to minimize or hedge the impact of market fluctuations on our purchases and forward fixed price sales of refined petroleum products. Any hedge ineffectiveness is reflected in our results of operations. We utilize the NYMEX, which is a regulated exchange for energy products that it trades, thereby reducing potential delivery and supply risks. Generally, our practice is to close all NYMEX positions rather than to make or receive physical deliveries. With respect to other energy products, we enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that we believe have a strong credit profile, in order to hedge market fluctuations and/or lock-in margins relative to our commitments.



Table of Contents

At December 31, 2008, the fair value of all of our commodity risk derivative instruments and the change in fair value that would be expected from a 10% price increase are shown in the table below (in thousands):

(Loss) gain:

	Fair Value at December 31, 2008	Fair Value After Effect of 10% Price Increase
NYMEX contracts	\$ (51,650)	\$ (2,363)
Swaps, options and other, net	4,838	3,404
	\$ (46,812)	\$ 1,041

The fair values of the futures contracts are based on quoted market prices obtained from the NYMEX. The fair value of the swaps and option contracts are estimated based on quoted prices from various sources such as independent reporting services, industry publications and brokers. These quotes are compared to the contract price of the swap, which approximates the gain or loss that would have been realized if the contracts had been closed out at December 31, 2008. For positions where independent quotations are not available, an estimate is provided, or the prevailing market price at which the positions could be liquidated is used. All hedge positions offset physical exposures to the spot market; none of these offsetting physical exposures are included in the above table. Price-risk sensitivities were calculated by assuming an across-the-board 10% increase in price regardless of term or historical relationships between the contractual price of the instruments and the underlying commodity price. In the event of an actual 10% change in prompt month prices, the fair value of our derivative portfolio would typically change less than that shown in the table due to lower volatility in out-month prices. We have a daily margin requirement to maintain a cash deposit with our broker based on the prior day's market results on open futures contracts. The balance of this deposit will fluctuate based on our open market positions and the commodity exchange's requirements. The required brokerage margin balance was \$9.0 million at December 31, 2008.

We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of futures contracts, forward contracts and swap agreements. We anticipate some nonperformance by some of these counterparties which, in the aggregate, we do not believe at this time will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. Exposure on swap and certain option agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates. We utilize primarily one clearing broker, a major financial institution, for all NYMEX derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of all derivative instruments is displayed on a net basis.

#### **Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The information required here is included in the report as set forth in the "Index to Financial Statements" on page F-1.

#### **Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

Table of Contents

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2008.

*Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

*Management's Annual Report*

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Internal control over financial reporting is the process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility that misstatements may not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, even effective internal controls over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, management believes that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008.

Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report which is included herein.

*Changes in Internal Control*

There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors of Global GP LLC  
and Unitholders of Global Partners LP

We have audited Global Partners LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Global Partners LP's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Global Partners LP maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Global Partners LP, as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, partners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, and our report dated March 13, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

---

Boston, Massachusetts  
March 13, 2009

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

Table of Contents

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

Global GP LLC, our general partner, manages our operations and activities on our behalf. Our general partner is not elected by our unitholders and will not be subject to re-election in the future. Affiliates of the Slifka family own 100% of the ownership interests in our general partner. Our general partner is controlled by Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka through their beneficial ownership of entities that own ownership interests in our general partner. Eric Slifka beneficially owns an interest in our general partner. Unitholders are not entitled to elect the directors of our general partner or directly or indirectly participate in our management or operation. Our general partner owes a fiduciary duty to our unitholders. Our general partner is liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Whenever possible, our general partner intends to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse.

Three members of the board of directors of our general partner serve on a conflicts committee to review specific matters that the board believes may involve conflicts of interest. The conflicts committee determines if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to us. Members of the conflicts committee may not be officers or employees of our general partner or directors, officers or employees of its affiliates and must meet the independence and experience standards established by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Any matters approved by the conflicts committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders. In addition, we have a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and a compensation committee. The three independent members of the board of directors of our general partner, Messrs. McKown, McCool and Watchmaker, serve as members of the conflicts, audit and compensation committees.

Even though most companies listed on the NYSE are required to have a majority of independent directors serving on the board of directors of the listed company and establish and maintain an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating/corporate governance committee, each consisting solely of independent directors, the NYSE does not require a listed limited partnership like us to have a majority of independent directors on the board of directors of our general partner or establish a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee.

No member of the audit committee is an officer or employee of our general partner or director, officer or employee of any affiliate of our general partner. Furthermore, each member of the audit committee is independent as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE. The board of directors of our general partner has determined that a member of the audit committee, namely Kenneth Watchmaker, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC.

Among other things, the audit committee is responsible for reviewing our external financial reporting, including reports filed with the SEC, engaging and reviewing our independent auditors and reviewing procedures for internal auditing and the adequacy of our internal accounting controls.

We are managed and operated by the directors and executive officers of our general partner. Our operating personnel are employees of our general partner or certain of our operating subsidiaries.

All of our executive officers devote substantially all of their time managing our business and affairs, but from time to time perform services for certain of our affiliates. Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to certain of our affiliates. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC."

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

Our non-executive directors devote as much time as is necessary to prepare for and attend board of directors and committee meetings.

The following table shows information for the directors and executive officers of our general partner.

Name	Age	Position with Global GP LLC
Alfred A. Slifka	76	Chairman
Richard Slifka	68	Vice Chairman
Eric Slifka	43	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Thomas J. Hollister	54	Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer
Edward J. Faneuil	56	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Charles A. Rudinsky	61	Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer
David K. McKown	71	Director
Robert J. McCool	70	Director
Kenneth I. Watchmaker	66	Director

*Alfred A. Slifka* was elected Chairman of the Board of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors for over fifty years. Mr. Slifka served as Chairman of the Board of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998.

*Richard Slifka* was elected Vice Chairman of the Board of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1963. Mr. Slifka served as Treasurer and director of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are brothers.

*Eric Slifka* was elected President, Chief Executive Officer and director of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1987. Mr. Slifka served as President and Chief Executive Officer and director of Global Companies LLC since July 2004 and as Chief Operating Officer and director of Global Companies LLC from its formation in December 1998 to July 2004. Prior to 1998, Mr. Slifka held various senior positions in the accounting, supply, distribution and marketing departments of the predecessors to Global Companies LLC. Mr. Slifka is the son of Alfred A. Slifka and the nephew of Richard Slifka.

*Thomas J. Hollister* has served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner since January 2007 and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner since July 2006, when he was first employed with our general partner. From 2005 to March 2006, Mr. Hollister served as Vice Chairman of Citizens Financial Group and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Capital, Inc., Citizens Financial Group's private equity and venture capital business. From 2004 to 2005, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Charter One Bank. From 1998 to 2004 he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Bank of Massachusetts.

*Edward J. Faneuil* was elected Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1991. Mr. Faneuil served as General Counsel and Secretary of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998.

*Charles A. Rudinsky* has served as Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer of our general partner since January 2007. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1988. Mr. Rudinsky served as Assistant Controller from 1988 to 1997 and as the Senior Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998.

*David K. McKown* was elected to serve as a director of our general partner and as a member of the conflicts committee, the compensation committee and the audit committee of the board of directors

Table of Contents

of our general partner in October 2005. He has been a Senior Advisor to Eaton Vance Management, whose principal business is creating, marketing and managing investment funds and providing investment management services to institutions and individuals, since 2000. Mr. McKown retired in March 2000 having served as a Group Executive with BankBoston since 1993. Mr. McKown has been in the banking industry for over 40 years, worked for BankBoston for over 33 years and had previously been the head of BankBoston's real estate department and corporate finance department and a managing director of BankBoston's private equity unit. He also serves as a director of Safety Insurance Group, Newcastle Investment Co. and several private companies.

*Robert J. McCool* was elected to serve as a director of our general partner and as a member of the conflicts committee, the compensation committee and the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner in October 2005. He has been an Advisor to Tetco Inc., a privately held company in the energy industry, since 1967. Mr. McCool has been in the refined petroleum industry for over 40 years. He worked for Mobil Oil for 33 years and retired in 1998 having served as Executive Vice President being responsible for its North and South America marketing and refining business.

*Kenneth I. Watchmaker* was elected to serve as a director of our general partner and as a member of the conflicts committee, the compensation committee and the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner in October 2005. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Reebok International Ltd. from 1995 until March 2006, when he elected to retire in connection with the sale of Reebok International Ltd to adidas-Salomon AG. Mr. Watchmaker joined Reebok International Ltd. in July 1992 as Executive Vice President, Operations and Finance, of the Reebok Brand. Prior to joining Reebok International Ltd., he was an audit partner at Ernst & Young LLP. He also serves as a director of American Biltrite Inc.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires directors and executive officers of our general partner and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a class of our equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to file certain reports with the SEC and the NYSE concerning their beneficial ownership of such securities. Based solely upon a review of the copies of reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to us, or written representations that no reports on Form 5 were required, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2008, the officers and directors of our general partner and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 were in compliance with the applicable requirements of Section 16(a), except one Form 4 filing with respect to phantom units awarded to Mr. Eric Slifka which was filed on January 9, 2009.

**Executive Sessions**

The board of directors of our general partner holds executive sessions for the non-management directors on a regular basis without management present. Since the non-management directors include directors who are not independent directors, the independent directors also meet in separate executive sessions without the other directors or management at least once each year to discuss such matters as the independent directors consider appropriate. In addition, any director may call for an executive session of non-management or independent directors at any board meeting. A majority of the independent directors selects a presiding director for any such executive session.

**Communications with Unitholders, Employees and Others**

Unitholders, employees and other interested persons who wish to communicate with the board of directors of our general partner, non-management or independent directors as a group, a committee of the board or a specific director may do so by transmitting correspondence addressed to the Board of

Table of Contents

Directors, Name of Director, Group or Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454-9161, Fax: 781-398-4165.

Letters addressed to the board of directors of our general partner in general will be reviewed by the corporate secretary and relayed to the chairman of the board or the chair of the appropriate committee. Letters addressed to the non-management or independent directors in general will be relayed unopened to the chair of the audit committee. Letters addressed to a committee of the board of directors or a specific director will be relayed unopened to the chair of the committee or the specific director to whom they are addressed. All letters regarding accounting, accounting policies, internal accounting controls and procedures, auditing matters, financial reporting processes or disclosure controls and procedures are to be forwarded by the recipient director to the chair of the audit committee.

**Code of Ethics**

Our general partner has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all officers, directors and employees of our general partner, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer and our subsidiaries.

A copy of our code of business conduct and ethics is available on our website at [www.globalp.com](http://www.globalp.com) or may be obtained without charge upon written request to the General Counsel at: Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454-9161.

**Corporate Governance Matters**

The NYSE requires the Chief Executive Officer of each listed company to certify annually that he is not aware of any violation by the company of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards as of the date of the certification, qualifying the certification to the extent necessary. The Chief Executive Officer of our general partner provided such certification to the NYSE in 2008.

The certifications of our general partner's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are included as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

All of our executive officers and substantially all of our employees are employed by our general partner. Our general partner does not receive any management fee or other compensation for its management of Global Partners LP. Our general partner and its affiliates are reimbursed for expenses incurred on our behalf. These expenses include the costs of employee, executive officer and director compensation and benefits properly allocable to Global Partners LP, and all other expenses necessary or appropriate to the conduct of the business of, and allocable to, Global Partners LP. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner will determine the expenses that are allocable to Global Partners LP.

**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

We are managed and operated by the directors and executive officers of our general partner. Executive officers of our general partner receive compensation in the form of salaries and short-term incentive awards (contractual and/or discretionary) and long-term incentive awards, and they are eligible to participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by our general partner or its affiliates, including plans that may be established by our general partner or its affiliates in the future. Our named executive officers (defined below) serve as executive officers of our general partner and each of our subsidiaries, and the compensation described herein reflects their total compensation for services to us, our general partner and our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Our "named executive officers" include Mr. Eric Slifka, our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), Mr. Thomas J. Hollister, our Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and Chief Operating Officer, and the two other most highly compensated executive officers during 2008, which are Mr. Charles A. Rudinsky, our Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer, and Mr. Edward J. Faneuil, our Executive Vice President and General Counsel. Three of our four named executive officers are parties to employment agreements with our general partner. Our Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer is an employee at will with no employment agreement.

The compensation committee of the board of directors of our general partner (the "Compensation Committee") has direct responsibility for the compensation of our CEO based upon (i) contractual obligations pursuant to the employment agreement between our CEO and our general partner, and (ii) compensation parameters established by the Compensation Committee with respect to salary adjustments, incentive plans and discretionary bonuses, if any. The Compensation Committee also has oversight and approval authority for the compensation of our named executive officers other than our CEO based upon our CEO's recommendations, including awards under any incentive plans in which the named executive officers participate, and our general partner's contractual obligations pursuant to employment agreements with two of our named executive officers.

***Compensation Objectives***

The objectives of our compensation program with respect to our executive officers are to attract, engage and retain individuals with the requisite knowledge, experience and skill sets required for our future success. Our compensation program is intended to motivate and inspire employee behavior that fosters high performance, and to support our overall business objectives. To achieve these objectives, we aim to provide each executive officer with a competitive total compensation program. We currently utilize the following compensation components:

Salaries and benefits designed to attract and retain high caliber employees;

Short-term, performance-based incentives and discretionary bonus awards designed to focus employees on key business objectives for a particular year; and

Long-term, equity-based incentive awards designed to support the achievement of our long-term business objectives and the retention of key personnel.

***Compensation Methodology***

Our general partner uses third-party consultants to study and supply market comparable compensation data and to assist our management and the Compensation Committee in formulating competitive compensation plans. In 2008, our general partner engaged W.F. Conover III, Ltd. ("Conover") as an independent compensation consultant. Conover provided advice and assistance to the Compensation Committee on matters related to named executive officer and senior management compensation as well as our general compensation programs (i.e., short-term and long-term incentive programs).

During 2008 Conover worked with our management and the Compensation Committee to update and enhance the comparative market data prepared by our former consultant, Wilson Group. This project included supplementing market data with respect to the original peer group of 17 energy related organizations with related business operations and comparable ownership structure (e.g., publicly traded limited partnerships) that was developed in prior years by Wilson Group in collaboration with our CEO. Conover supplemented the original peer group data with market information from two additional groups of companies that are comparable to us in terms of their size and complexity of structure, as described in more detail below. Conover also examined the responsibilities assigned to each of our executive officer positions in relation to the external positions to



Table of Contents

which they were compared, exercised judgment in terms of the relevance of each of the market data sources, and made adjustments to arrive at a competitive market benchmark for each executive position.

Our original (2006) peer group of companies was determined using two primary sources of data: proxy and other public filings from the peer group of comparable energy companies; and compensation survey market information from approximately 59 energy industry companies compiled by Watson Wyatt Worldwide. Our original peer group of companies included:

Adams Resources & Energy, Inc.  
Crosstex Energy, Inc.  
EnergySouth, Inc.  
Genesis Energy, L.P.  
Holly Energy Partners, L.P.  
Inergy, L.P.  
K-Sea Transportation Partners L.P.  
Kaneb Services LLC\*  
Martin Midstream Partners L.P.  
Plains Resources Inc.\*  
Rio Vista Energy Partners L.P.  
Streicher Mobile Fueling, Inc. (now known as SMF Energy Corp.)  
Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.  
TransMontaigne Partners L.P.  
U.S. Shipping Partners L.P.  
Western Gas Resources, Inc.  
World Fuel Services Corporation

---

\*

Kaneb Services LLP and Plains Resources Inc. have been sold and no longer separately report compensation data.

In 2007 and 2008, we refined the external comparisons used to assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation program. The 2006 peer group contained the highly-specialized companies most similar to us in terms of business operations and ownership structure. However, considerable diversity in annual revenue, market capitalization and number of employees among these companies limits the comparisons that can be made for the same executive position from one company to another in the group due to significant differences in responsibility levels and related compensation. For this reason, we elected not to rely solely upon data from our 2006 peer group of comparable energy companies and the 59 energy industry companies from Watson Wyatt Worldwide, but instead to undertake a more comprehensive, broad-based analysis of market information. Specifically, we updated compensation data for our peer group of comparable energy companies and utilized the following as additional data sources to develop overall consensus values for executive compensation: (i) data from the Watson Wyatt Worldwide Executive Compensation Survey: Energy (2008 Report) for 15 energy companies with revenues from \$4 billion to \$8 billion; (ii) data with respect to a group of more than 220 companies with market capitalizations of \$200 million to \$600 million, a range which was comparable to our market capitalization at the time of the study; and (iii) data with respect to a multi-factor based group of 17 energy and non-energy companies which had market capitalizations of \$200 million to \$600 million and between 100 to 600 employees.

The 15 energy companies with revenues from \$4 billion to \$8 billion referenced above are a subset of the energy companies included in the Watson Wyatt Worldwide Executive Compensation Survey: Energy (2008 Report). In this report, data are provided with respect to these companies as a group, but not individually by name, and, therefore, we are unable to provide a list of these 15 companies.

Table of Contents

The multi-factor based group of 17 energy and non-energy companies referenced above includes:

American Ecology Corp.  
American Vanguard Corp.  
Aventine Renewable Energy  
Balchem Corp.  
Electro Rent Corp.  
EnergySouth, Inc.  
Flotek Industries Inc.  
Fuel Tech, Inc.  
Houston Wire & Cable Co.  
Metalico Inc.  
Penford Corp.  
Pioneer Companies Inc.  
Semco Energy Inc.  
Symyx Technologies Inc.  
Topps Co. Inc.  
Uranium Resources Inc.  
Verenium Corp.

In 2008, Conover also worked with our senior management and the Compensation Committee to revise the structure of our CEO's compensation by reducing his base salary, establishing an annual short-term incentive program with both performance-based and discretionary components, and granting him phantom units that vest over the term of his new multi-year employment agreement with our general partner. Our general partner's employees (including the other three named executive officers) also are eligible to participate in the new short-term incentive program, and in 2009 were granted phantom units with elapsed time and applicable performance-based vesting requirements.

*Elements of Compensation*

The elements of 2008 executive officer compensation of our general partner are base salary, long-term incentive awards, retirement and health benefits, and perquisites consistent with those provided to executive officers generally and as may be approved by the Compensation Committee from time to time. In 2008, there were no salary increases (except for a contractual cost of living adjustment for our CEO), no bonuses paid, and no Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") awards granted to any of our named executive officers (except for a contractual award to our CEO pursuant to his new employment agreement with our general partner).

Our executive compensation structure utilizes complementary components to align our compensation with the needs of our business and to provide for desired levels of pay that competitively compensate our executive management personnel. We administer the program on the basis of total compensation. When our performance goals are achieved, we expect the total compensation levels (i.e., salary plus short and long-term incentives) for our named executive officers to fall between the median (50th percentile) and 75th percentile of salary levels in our competitive marketplace.

A description of the components of the compensation program and principles used to guide their administration appears below:

*Salaries*

Base salaries for three of our four named executive officers are set by their respective negotiated employment agreements with our general partner. The base salary of our remaining named executive officer, Mr. Rudinsky, was approved by the Compensation Committee based upon our CEO's recommendation in 2007, prior to the implementation of our new compensation program.

Table of Contents

Under our new executive compensation structure, our goal is for our executive salaries to fall between the median (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of competitive salary levels following any adjustments made to marketplace pay levels in order to account for significant responsibilities that are assigned to our named executive officers and that exceed the scope of responsibilities generally associated with the external benchmark positions to which they are compared, specifically:

Our Chief Financial Officer also serves as our Chief Operating Officer ("COO") and, as such, has responsibilities for many operational areas that are not commonly assigned to Chief Financial Officer positions.

Our Executive Vice President and General Counsel is responsible for all our environmental compliance functions, many of our human resources matters, and many of our business transactions that he manages in an executive as well as legal capacity.

Our Chief Accounting Officer, who also serves as our Treasurer, is responsible for our information technology functions and for financial analyses for our acquisition due diligence.

Terms of the employment agreements negotiated with three of our four named executive officers contain provisions that place their salaries above our positioning goal. However, salaries for our named executive officers were not increased in 2008 (except for a contractual cost of living adjustment for our CEO). In addition, effective as of January 1, 2009, our CEO's base salary was reduced and the contractual annual cost of living adjustment was eliminated in his new employment agreement. These decisions reflect our commitment to manage salaries toward our goal in the future.

*Short-Term Incentive Awards Contractual*

Eric Slifka, our CEO, was not entitled to a contractual bonus in 2008 or 2007. In 2006, Mr. Slifka was entitled to a contractual bonus of \$0.5 million based upon our achievement of a specific target identified in his employment agreement with our general partner. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Slifka was entitled to receive a cash bonus to the extent (up to \$0.5 million) that our aggregate amount of Available Cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) that was deemed to be Operating Surplus (as defined in our partnership agreement) for the four consecutive calendar quarters ended December 31, 2006 exceeded \$19.0 million.

Thomas Hollister, our COO and CFO, was not entitled to a contractual bonus in 2008 because the distributable cash flow target established by the Compensation Committee for 2008 was not achieved. Mr. Hollister was entitled to contractual bonuses in 2007 and 2006 based upon our achievement of specific targets identified in his employment agreement with our general partner. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Hollister was entitled to receive a bonus of \$130,000 to the extent that our distributable cash flow was in excess of the distributable cash flow target established by the Compensation Committee for the 2007 calendar year. Mr. Hollister also was entitled to receive a bonus of \$130,000, prorated for his tenure of employment with our general partner, based upon our achievement of targeted annual distributable cash flow in excess of \$21.0 million for the 2006 calendar year. For purposes of determining whether the specified target was achieved, "distributable cash flow" (a non-GAAP financial measure used by management) means our net income plus depreciation and amortization, less our maintenance capital expenditures.

Mr. Faneuil and Mr. Rudinsky did not receive any contractual bonuses in 2008 or prior years.

*Annual Bonuses Discretionary*

Our general partner historically has established a cash bonus pool each year to fund discretionary bonus awards. Historically, this bonus pool has been set in an amount equal to approximately 10% of our pre-tax, pre-bonus accrual net income, as adjusted by the Compensation Committee, taking into account our performance during the then preceding calendar year. The Compensation Committee

Table of Contents

determines discretionary bonus awards to our CEO. Discretionary bonus awards for all other named executive officers are determined by the Compensation Committee based upon recommendations by our CEO, taking into account our performance and the individual performance of each of our named executive officers. Our performance is measured by distributable cash flow, by the organic development of our existing markets, and by the achievement of accretive acquisitions that are "bolt-on" and/or "step-out" in nature. "Bolt-on" acquisitions expand our geographic reach into markets that are adjacent to our existing markets, and allow us to leverage our existing expertise and customer and supplier relationships. "Step-out" transactions involve our acquisition of physical assets and expansion into new markets. In addition to considering the nature and scope of the transactional work performed by our named executive officers in 2007 and 2006, review of their individual performance included consideration for each named executive officer's role in (i) effecting the transitional requirements of a newly public company including, without limitation, achieving compliance with applicable securities and corporate governance requirements such as Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, (ii) developing and deepening our investor relations, and (iii) advancing our growth strategies. The discretionary bonus payments awarded to each of Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky for their performance in 2007 were \$675,000, \$220,000, \$125,000 and \$125,000, respectively, and in 2006 were \$1,000,000, \$94,466, \$160,000 and \$160,000, respectively.

The Compensation Committee elected to not award any discretionary bonus payments in respect of 2008. Consequently, no discretionary bonus payments were awarded to our named executive officers for 2008.

Our general partner has established a cash bonus pool for 2009 to fund short-term incentive awards for each of our named executive officers. In establishing our general partner's 2009 short-term incentive plans for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee determined that 60% of each target award would be performance-based, based upon a comparison of actual distributable cash flow results and targeted performance goals, and 40% of each target award would be discretionary, taking into account business conditions and management's performance including, without limitation, results of operations.

*Long-Term Incentive Plan*

*2007 Awards.* Commencing in 2006 and continuing into 2007, Wilson Group made recommendations to management and the Compensation Committee with respect to the development and implementation of our LTIP as a performance-based non-cash incentive for our named executive officers, non-executive officers, managers and independent directors.

On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee made the initial grant of awards of phantom units and associated distribution equivalent rights ("DERs") under the LTIP to the named executive officers and the independent directors of our general partner (see "Employment and Related Agreements Equity Award" below). The phantom units granted will cliff vest on December 31, 2009 and become payable on a one-for-one basis in our common units (or cash equivalent) upon (i) the achievement of a performance goal over the vesting period, and (ii) the continued employment/service of the recipient. The performance goal for January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009 (the "Restricted Period") has two components: (a) quarterly distributions to the holders of our limited partner units cannot decrease at any time during the Restricted Period; and (b) cumulative distributable cash flow over the Restricted Period must equal or exceed the cumulative amount necessary to have achieved a certain coverage over pro forma average annual distribution increases of a certain percentage per limited partner unit (determined on a fully diluted basis, and giving effect to distributions to the general partner, including incentive distributions).

The DERs that were granted in tandem with the phantom units will vest and become payable in cash simultaneously with the vesting of the phantom units. Any phantom units and associated DERs

Table of Contents

that have not vested as of the end of the cliff vesting period will be forfeited. We currently intend and reasonably expect to issue and deliver the common units upon vesting. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant agreement), all outstanding phantom units and associated DERs that have been granted and are not then vested automatically will become fully vested without regard to the achievement of the performance goal.

*2008 CEO Award.* On December 31, 2008, pursuant to a contractual commitment in Eric Slifka's employment agreement with our general partner, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Slifka 99,700 phantom units together with a contingent right to receive an amount in cash equal to the number of then outstanding phantom units granted to Mr. Slifka multiplied by the cash distributions per common unit made by the Partnership from time to time during the period the phantom units are outstanding. The phantom units will vest in six equal installments on June 30 and December 31 of 2009, 2010 and 2011; provided, however, that in accordance with the terms of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, if Mr. Slifka's employment is terminated (i) for reason of death or disability, or (ii) without cause or for constructive termination, in either case within 12 months following a change in control, then all unvested phantom units shall vest on the applicable termination date. Additionally, if Mr. Slifka's employment is terminated without cause or for constructive termination but such termination does not occur within 12 months following a change in control, then a prorated portion of the phantom units scheduled to vest at the end of the then applicable six-month period will vest on the date of termination, such proration to be based upon the number of full months' service provided by Mr. Slifka during such six-month period.

*2009 Awards.* On January 20, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved grants of 88,183, 61,728, 48,501 and 17,637 phantom units (without DERs) under the LTIP, respectively, to Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. These phantom units will vest and become payable on a one-for-one basis in common units (and/or cash in lieu thereof) on December 31, 2013 (or potentially sooner as described below). All or a portion of the phantom units granted to our named executive officers may vest earlier than December 31, 2013 if the Average Unit Price (as defined below) equals or exceeds specified target prices during specified periods. Specifically, if the Average Unit Price equals or exceeds: (i) \$21.00 at any time prior to December 31, 2013, then 25% of the phantom units will automatically vest; (ii) \$27.00 at any time during the period from January 20, 2011 through December 31, 2013, then an additional 25% of the phantom units will automatically vest; and (iii) \$34.00 at any time during the period from May 20, 2012 through December 31, 2013, then all of the remaining phantom units will automatically vest. "Average Unit Price" means the average closing price per common unit for any 10-consecutive trading day period.

The phantom units granted to Mr. Slifka on January 20, 2009 that do not otherwise vest early as described above will be subject to a performance goal. Specifically, any unvested phantom units held by Mr. Slifka on December 31, 2013 will vest only if the Partnership makes cumulative distributions on all units of the Partnership outstanding during the 20 consecutive quarters ending December 31, 2013 in an amount equal to or exceeding the minimum quarterly distribution (as defined in the Partnership's Agreement of Limited Partnership) on all such units.

Any phantom units granted on January 20, 2009 that have not vested as of the end of the five year cliff vesting period will be forfeited. Additionally, upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant, as amended), all outstanding phantom units that were granted on January 20, 2009 to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister and Faneuil only and that have not otherwise vested automatically will become fully vested (in the case of the phantom units awarded to Mr. Slifka, without regard to the achievement of the performance goal.)

Table of Contents

*Retirement and Health Benefits; Perquisites*

Each of our named executive officers is eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance plans, pension plans, 401(k) savings and profit sharing plan and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees of our general partner. Under the general partner's pension plan, an employee becomes fully vested in his or her pension benefits after completing five years of service or upon termination due to death, disability or retirement. See "Other Benefits *Pension Benefits*" for information with respect to eligibility standards and calculations of estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement under the pension plan. Our general partner's 401(k) savings and profit sharing plan provides for discretionary matching contributions by our general partner equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 3% of the employee's pre-tax annual compensation, subject to certain limitations under federal law. See "Other Benefits *401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan*" for additional information with respect to eligibility and permitted contributions to this plan. Additional perquisites for our named executive officers may include payment of premiums for supplemental life and/or long-term disability insurance, automobile fringe benefits, club membership dues and payment of fees for professional financial planning and/or tax advice.

*Relationship of Compensation Elements to Compensation Objectives*

We use base salaries to provide financial stability and to compensate our executive officers for fulfillment of their respective job duties. We previously have used annual bonuses and, in connection with our developing compensation program, in 2009 we are implementing short-term incentive plans with performance-based and discretionary components to align a portion of our executive officers' compensation with annual business performance and success, and to provide rewards and recognition for key annual business and financial results such as achieving increased quarterly distributions, expanding our terminalling storage capacity and the geographic markets that we serve, and diversifying our product mix to enhance profitability and effectively manage weather sensitivity in our business. Short-term performance-based incentives also allow flexibility to reward performance and individual success consistent with such criteria as may be established from time to time by our CEO and the Compensation Committee. We offer a mix of traditional perquisites, such as automobile fringe benefits and country/golf club memberships, and additional benefits, such as payment of professional financial planning and tax advice fees that are tailored to address our executive officers' individual needs to facilitate the performance of their job duties and to be competitive with the total compensation packages available to executive officers generally.

The objective of the LTIP is to provide incentive and reward eligible participants for the achievement of long-term objectives, to facilitate the retention of key employees by investing in our long-term performance, to continue to make our compensation mix more competitive, and to align the interests of management with those of our unitholders.

**Compensation of Named Executive Officers**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the two other most highly compensated executive officers during 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

<b>Name and Principal Position</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Salary (\$)</b>	<b>Bonus (\$ (2))</b>	<b>Stock Awards (\$ (3))</b>	<b>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (5))</b>	<b>Change in Pension Value (\$)</b>	<b>All Other Compensation (\$)</b>	<b>Total (\$)</b>
Eric Slifka	<b>2008</b>	1,049,889		291,958		39,908	82,576	1,464,331
President and CEO	<b>2007</b>	1,030,000	675,000	112,860		17,098	90,485	1,925,443
(1)(4)(6)(8)	<b>2006</b>	1,000,000	1,000,000		500,000	(457)	88,666	2,588,209
Thomas J. Hollister	<b>2008</b>	577,500		108,498		25,680	26,779	738,457
COO and CFO (6)(7)(9)	<b>2007</b>	563,750	220,000	41,580	130,000	17,077	27,903	1,000,310
	<b>2006</b>	275,000	94,466		65,534		12,239	447,239
Edward J. Faneuil	<b>2008</b>	375,953		94,889		64,782	27,783	563,407
EVP, General Counsel and	<b>2007</b>	367,001	125,000	35,640		35,634	24,760	588,035
Secretary (6)(10)	<b>2006</b>	358,050	160,000			16,045	21,871	555,966
Charles A. Rudinsky	<b>2008</b>	273,000		46,719		136,180	15,272	471,171
EVP, Treasurer and Chief	<b>2007</b>	266,500	125,000	17,820		43,727	12,626	465,673
Accounting Officer (6)(11)	<b>2006</b>	260,000	160,000			49,593	14,463	484,056

- (1) The above table reflects compensation paid to Mr. Slifka pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner that expired on December 31, 2008. On December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner, pursuant to which his base salary has been reduced to \$800,000.
- (2) These discretionary bonuses represent the amounts paid to the named executive officers by our general partner in 2008 and 2007 for services performed during 2007 and 2006 and were awarded by the Compensation Committee. Except for payments to Mr. Slifka, bonuses were paid from our respective 2007 and 2006 bonus pools. No discretionary bonuses were paid for services performed during 2008.
- (3) On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee made the initial grant of awards of phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP to the named executive officers. The phantom units granted will cliff vest on December 31, 2009 and become payable on a one-for-one basis in our common units (or cash equivalent) upon (i) the achievement of a performance goal over the Restricted Period, and (ii) the continued employment of the recipient. The DERs that were granted in tandem with the phantom units will vest and become payable in cash simultaneously with the vesting of the phantom units. These amounts represent compensation expense accrued for 2008 and 2007 related to phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP granted in 2007. The accruals were made pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R). See Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information on the LTIP.
- (4) On December 31, 2008, pursuant to Mr. Slifka's employment agreement with our general partner, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Slifka an award of 99,700 phantom units, which will vest in six approximately equal installments on June 30, 2009, December 31, 2009, June 30, 2010, December 31, 2010, June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. No compensation expense was accrued for 2008 related to this award of phantom units.
- (5) These amounts represent contractual bonuses received by Mr. Slifka in 2006 and Mr. Hollister in 2007 and 2006 in accordance with the provisions of their respective employment agreements with our general partner.
- (6)

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

All of our named executive officers are eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees of our general partner. See "Other Benefits Pension Benefits" for information with respect to eligibility standards and calculations of estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement. Our general partner's 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan provides for discretionary matching contributions to the plan by our general partner. See "Other Benefits 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan" for additional information with respect to eligibility and permitted contributions to this plan.



## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

- (7) Mr. Hollister has been employed by our general partner since July 1, 2006 and, as such, his 2006 salary was prorated for the period from July 1 through December 31, 2006.
- (8) With respect to Mr. Slifka, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of automobiles provided by us for Mr. Slifka's use; life insurance and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us; club memberships in the aggregate amounts of \$23,442, \$8,095 and \$39,225 annually for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively; and professional financial planning and tax advice fees in the aggregate amount of \$28,200 paid by us.
- (9) With respect to Mr. Hollister, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Hollister's use; and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us. Additionally, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, "All Other Compensation" for Mr. Hollister includes employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan.
- (10) With respect to Mr. Faneuil, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Faneuil's use; long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us; and club membership fees paid by us.
- (11) With respect to Mr. Rudinsky, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Rudinsky's use; and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us.

### ***Grants of Plan-Based Awards***

The following table sets forth information regarding awards made to our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Name	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)				Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards \$(2)
	Grant Date	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	
Eric Slifka(1)	12/31/08		99,700	99,700	1,126,610

- (1) On December 31, 2008, pursuant to Mr. Slifka's employment agreement with our general partner, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Slifka an award of phantom units. The phantom units granted will vest in six approximately equal installments on June 30, 2009, December 31, 2009, June 30, 2010, December 31, 2010, June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011.
- (2) The market value of the phantom units was calculated based on the closing price of \$11.30 per common unit on December 31, 2008.

### **Employment and Related Agreements**

*Eric Slifka* is employed as President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to an employment agreement with our general partner. The term of his initial employment agreement commenced on October 4, 2005 and continued through December 31, 2008. Effective December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner which amends, restates and supersedes his initial employment

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

agreement. Unless terminated earlier in accordance with the terms of his new employment agreement, the term of the agreement ends on December 31, 2011 and, unless either party sends a notice of non-renewal to the other party, the agreement will automatically renew for an additional 36 months commencing January 1, 2012.

Table of Contents

The agreement provides for a base salary of \$800,000 per year, subject to increase as of each January 1 during the term, as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. In addition, the agreement provides that Mr. Slifka: is (a) eligible to receive a cash bonus, payable annually, no later than two and one-half months after each fiscal year end in an amount to be determined at the discretion of the Compensation Committee; (b) entitled to participate in our general partner's short-term incentive compensation plan, pursuant to which he shall be entitled to receive cash incentive amounts to be determined based upon the achievement of financial metrics to be established by the Compensation Committee in the first month of each fiscal year during the term of the agreement, with the annual "award target" amount being 100% of his base salary and the annual maximum cash incentive amount being 200% of his base salary; any such awards to be paid within two and one-half months after the applicable fiscal year end; and (c) entitled to participate in our general partner's LTIP, including without limitation (i) the December 31, 2008 grant to Mr. Slifka of 99,700 phantom units (with a contingent right to receive cash in amounts equal to the number of awarded phantom units outstanding multiplied by the cash distributions per common unit made by the Partnership from time to time), and (ii) the January 20, 2009 grant to Mr. Slifka of 88,183 performance-restricted phantom units under the LTIP. See "Elements of Compensation *Long-Term Incentive Plan*." As determined by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Slifka also may be eligible to participate in any other incentive plans in which management employees may participate. He is entitled to participate in such other benefit plans and programs as the General Partner may provide for its executives in general.

Mr. Slifka's employment agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirement of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Slifka), will continue for two years following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. The agreement also includes a nonsolicitation provision, which will continue for one year following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. The agreement is subject to the non-competition provisions included in the Omnibus Agreement dated October 4, 2005 (and filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Partnership's Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005), which non-competition obligations shall continue to apply to Mr. Slifka throughout the term of his employment agreement (including the renewal term, if any) and, in the event Mr. Slifka's employment with our general partner is terminated (x) by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting constructive termination, (y) by our general partner for cause, or (z) by Mr. Slifka for reasons other than constructive termination, the non-competition provisions included in the Omnibus Agreement shall remain in effect for one year following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Slifka's employment agreement relating to termination, change in control and related payment obligations.

*Thomas J. Hollister* is employed as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner. Mr. Hollister's employment commenced effective July 1, 2006 and is on an "at will" basis, meaning that Mr. Hollister's employment has no specific duration and that, subject to the provisions of his employment agreement, either Mr. Hollister or our general partner may terminate his employment at any time for any reason. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$550,000 for the initial 12-month period commencing July 1, 2006, and subsequent review by the Compensation Committee no less frequently than annually, at which time Mr. Hollister's base salary may be increased at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. In 2008, Mr. Hollister's base salary was \$577,500. Mr. Hollister also is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus amount of \$130,000 for each 12-month period that he is employed by our general partner, provided that we achieve a distributable cash flow target set by the Compensation Committee. No such bonus was earned in respect of calendar year 2008. Under the short-term incentive plan established by our general partner in 2009, Mr. Hollister's 2009 target award has been set at an amount that exceeds his contractual bonus amount. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Hollister also is entitled to participate in the LTIP and in such other benefit plans and programs as our general partner may provide for its employees in general. The agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirement

Table of Contents

of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Hollister), will continue for two years following Mr. Hollister's termination of employment. The agreement also includes non-competition provisions which continue during the term of the agreement and for a period of two years thereafter. Also see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Hollister's employment agreement relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

*Edward J. Faneuil* is employed as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary pursuant to an employment agreement with our general partner. Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement became effective as of July 1, 2006 and continues through December 31, 2011 unless terminated earlier in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$358,050 for the 12-month period commencing July 1, 2006. Thereafter, Mr. Faneuil's base salary will be reviewed by the Compensation Committee at least annually. In 2008, Mr. Faneuil's base salary was \$375,953. Mr. Faneuil also is entitled to receive bonuses in accordance with the then applicable short-term incentive plan as authorized by the Compensation Committee to be paid no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which such bonuses are earned. Mr. Faneuil is eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans and will also receive additional fringe benefits consistent with benefits previously provided to him under prior arrangements. Mr. Faneuil is eligible to participate in the LTIP on the same general basis as the other executive officers of our general partner. The agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirement of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Faneuil), will continue for two years following Mr. Faneuil's termination of employment. The agreement also includes non-competition and non-solicitation provisions which continue during the term of the agreement and for a period of two years thereafter. Mr. Faneuil also has entered into a deferred compensation agreement with our general partner. See "Deferred Compensation Agreement" below for a description of this non-qualified deferred compensation plan. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, as amended, and in his amended and restated deferred compensation agreement relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

*Charles A. Rudinsky*, Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer, is an at will employee and does not have an employment agreement with our general partner. In 2008, Mr. Rudinsky's base salary was \$273,000.

***Deferred Compensation Agreement***

On December 31, 2008, our general partner and Edward J. Faneuil entered into a deferred compensation agreement pursuant to which Mr. Faneuil will be subject to terms and conditions relating to confidential information, non-solicitation and non-competition, as provided therein. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's deferred compensation agreement relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

***Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End***

The following table presents equity awards (i) granted under the LTIP on August 14, 2007, and (ii) granted under the LTIP to Mr. Slifka on December 31, 2008 pursuant to his employment

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

agreement with our general partner. The awards shown on the table below are all of the equity awards held by the named executive officers at the end of the last fiscal year:

Name	Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards:
	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
Eric Slifka			124,241	1,403,923
Thomas J. Hollister			9,120	103,056
Edward J. Faneuil			7,976	90,129
Charles A. Rudinsky			3,927	44,375

(1) The market value of the phantom units was calculated based on the closing price of \$11.30 per common unit on December 31, 2008.

See "Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan" for a discussion of the plan.

**Potential Payments upon a Change of Control or Termination**

The following table shows potential payments to our named executive officers under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, for various scenarios involving a change of control or termination of employment of each such named executive officer assuming a December 31, 2008 termination date.

Name	Change in Control (\$)	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)	Termination by general partner without Cause / Constructive Termination / Breach by general partner		Nonrenewal (\$)
				No Change in Control (\$)	with a Change in Control (\$)	
<b>Eric Slifka(1)</b>						
Severance Amount		3,200,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	4,800,000	800,000
LTIP awards(5)	1,463,558			1,463,558	1,463,558	
Fringe benefits		30,606	30,606	30,606	30,606	
Life insurance benefits		210,000				
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,463,558</b>	<b>3,440,606</b>	<b>3,230,606</b>	<b>4,694,164</b>	<b>6,294,164</b>	<b>800,000</b>
<b>Thomas J. Hollister(2)</b>						
Severance Amount				1,155,000	1,830,000	
LTIP awards(5)	125,218				125,218	
Fringe benefits				15,303	15,303	
Life insurance benefits		210,000				
<b>Total</b>	<b>125,218</b>	<b>210,000</b>		<b>1,170,303</b>	<b>1,970,521</b>	
<b>Edward J. Faneuil(3)</b>						
Severance Amount				751,906	1,026,906	
Deferred Compensation	892,140	892,140	892,140			
LTIP awards(5)	109,511				109,511	

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Fringe benefits				15,303	15,303
Life insurance benefits		210,000			
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,001,651</b>	<b>1,102,140</b>	<b>892,140</b>	<b>767,209</b>	<b>1,151,720</b>
Charles A. Rudinsky(4)					
LTIP awards(5)	53,918				
Life insurance benefits		210,000			
<b>Total</b>	<b>53,918</b>	<b>210,000</b>			

(1)

*Eric Slifka*

Mr. Slifka's original employment agreement with our general partner terminated on December 31, 2008, on which date Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner for

Table of Contents

an initial term commencing January 1, 2009 and continuing through December 31, 2012. We prepared the above table based upon the terms of the new (December 31, 2008) employment agreement, as subsequently amended. For purposes of the above table, we have assumed that the short-term incentive plan established by our general partner in February 2009 was in effect at December 31, 2008.

This employment agreement may be terminated at any time by either party with proper notice. Under the employment agreement, assuming Mr. Slifka's employment was terminated on December 31, 2008 for any reason, he would have been entitled to receive (i) all amounts of his base salary due and owing up through the date of termination, (ii) any earned but unpaid bonus, (iii) all reimbursements of expenses appropriately and timely submitted, and (iv) any and all other amounts that may be due to him as of the date of termination (the "Accrued Obligations").

If Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by death or "Disability" (defined below) on December 31, 2008, within 10 days following such termination he (or his estate) would have been entitled to receive in addition to any Accrued Obligations:

- (i) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (equal to his then base salary multiplied by 200%); plus
- (ii) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (equal to his target incentive amount under the short-term incentive plan multiplied by 200%); plus
- (iii) his interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans, as described below.

Additionally, our general partner would have continued the monthly payment of all group health and similar insurance premiums on behalf of his spouse and dependents for 24 months following the date of termination. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Disability" is defined as a physical or mental condition which (a) renders Mr. Slifka, with or without reasonable accommodation, unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, or (b) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, results in Mr. Slifka receiving income replacement benefits for a period of not less than 3 months under an accident and health plan covering employees of our general partner.

Assuming Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below) on December 31, 2008, within 10 days following such termination he would have been entitled to receive in addition to any Accrued Obligations:

- (i) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (an amount equal to his then base salary multiplied by 200%); provided, however, that the lump sum payment would be increased to \$2,400,000 (an amount equal to his then base salary multiplied by 300%) if such termination were for reasons constituting Constructive Termination *and* such termination occurred within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (defined below); plus
- (ii) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (an amount equal to his target incentive amount under the then applicable Short-Term Incentive Plan multiplied by 200%); provided, however, that the lump sum payment would be increased to \$2,400,000 (an amount equal to his target incentive amount under the then applicable Short-Term Incentive Plan multiplied by 300%) if such termination were for reasons constituting Constructive Termination *and* such termination occurred within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (defined below); plus
- (iii) his interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans, as described below.

Table of Contents

Also, our general partner would have continued the monthly payment of all group health and similar insurance premiums on behalf of Mr. Slifka's spouse and dependents for 24 months following the date of termination. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Constructive Termination" means termination of employment as a result of (a) any substantial diminution, without Mr. Slifka's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (i) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities, (ii) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports solely to our Board of Directors, or (iii) a relocation of his place of work further than forty (40) miles from Waltham, Massachusetts, or (b) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended. Pursuant to Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, a "Change in Control" would be deemed to have occurred upon (1) the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner; (2) a consolidation or merger (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of our general partner pursuant to which the holders of our general partner's equity securities immediately prior to such transaction or series of related transactions would not be the holders immediately after such transaction or series of related transactions of at least 50% of the voting power of the entity surviving such transaction or series of related transactions; or (3) the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to a person other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or the Executive, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly.

With respect to Mr. Slifka's interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans:

(i) Assuming a change of control event (as defined in the grant) had occurred on December 31, 2008, all outstanding and unvested phantom units and associated DERs that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on August 14, 2007 automatically would become fully vested without regard to the achievement of the performance goal provided in the grant. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$277,313 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$59,635, for a total value of \$336,948. Additionally, all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on December 31, 2008 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$1,126,610. Because no distributions were declared or paid in respect of the Partnership's common units as of December 31, 2008, there would have been no cash equivalent for Mr. Slifka to receive.

(ii) Assuming, at December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated (i) for death or Disability; or (ii) by our general partner without Cause or by Mr. Slifka for Constructive Termination, in either case within twelve months following a Change in Control, then all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on December 31, 2008 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$1,126,610. Because no distributions were declared or paid in respect of the Partnership's common units as of December 31, 2008, there would have been no cash equivalent for Mr. Slifka to receive.

Assuming, at December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by our general partner without Cause or by Mr. Slifka for Constructive Termination, but such termination did not occur within twelve months following a Change in Control, then a ratable portion of the phantom units scheduled to vest at the end of the then applicable six month



Table of Contents

period would have vested on the date of termination, as determined by multiplying the number of phantom units scheduled to vest at the end of the applicable six month period by the number of full months of service provided by Mr. Slifka during such six month period divided by six. Because Mr. Slifka would not have completed a full month of service during the applicable six-month period (January through June 2009), none of the phantom units granted to him on December 31, 2008 would have vested.

(iii)

Assuming, at December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka had not yet received the January 20, 2009 grant of 88,183 phantom units under the LTIP so he would not have been entitled to receive any payment in respect thereof had his employment been terminated effective December 31, 2008. The phantom units granted to Mr. Slifka on January 20, 2009 are, however, subject to automatic vesting upon the occurrence of a change of control event (as defined in the grant).

In the event of termination "for Cause" (defined below), assuming a termination date of December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka would have been entitled to receive the Accrued Obligations only. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (a) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (b) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any of our material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data), (c) being convicted of a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (d) breaching any material provision of the employment agreement or of the Omnibus Agreement, which agreement contains non-competition provisions applicable to Mr. Slifka.

Although not relevant with respect to a December 31, 2008 termination date, if Mr. Slifka's employment agreement is not renewed by our general partner effective January 1, 2013 and he does not continue to serve as our general partner's President and Chief Executive Officer following the expiration of the current term of his employment agreement, he will be entitled to be paid a lump sum payment equal to 100% of his then base salary plus any Accrued Obligations. For purposes of the above table we have assumed that Mr. Slifka's employment agreement was due to be renewed as of December 31, 2008 and we used Mr. Slifka's current base salary.

(2) ***Thomas J. Hollister***

For purposes of the above table, we have assumed that the short-term incentive plan established by our general partner in February 2009 was in effect at December 31, 2008.

The employment agreement between our general partner and Mr. Hollister provides, upon termination of his employment for any reason, that Mr. Hollister will receive payment through the date of termination of his employment of (i) any earned, but unpaid, base salary as then in effect, (ii) all earned, but unpaid, bonuses, and (iii) all accrued vacation, expense reimbursements and other benefits (other than severance benefits, except as provided below) due Mr. Hollister in accordance with the established plans and policies of our general partner or applicable law (the "Accrued Obligations").

In the event of a change in control (defined below), Mr. Hollister's employment agreement provides for accelerated vesting on any and all outstanding Partnership options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights (under the LTIP or otherwise) held by him as in effect on the date of termination. On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Hollister 9,120 phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP. No other such options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights had been granted to Mr. Hollister as of December 31, 2008. Assuming a change of control event (as defined in the grant) had occurred on December 31, 2008, all outstanding and unvested phantom units and associated DERs that were granted to Mr. Hollister on August 14, 2007 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$103,056 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$22,162, for a total

Table of Contents

value of \$125,218. Pursuant to Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, a "change in control" is deemed to occur on the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner.

At December 31, 2008, Mr. Hollister had not yet received the January 20, 2009 grant of 61,728 phantom units under the LTIP, so he would not have been entitled to receive any payment in respect thereof assuming his employment had been terminated effective December 31, 2008. The phantom units granted to Mr. Hollister on January 20, 2009 are, however, subject to automatic vesting upon the occurrence of a change of control event (as defined in the grant).

Assuming Mr. Hollister's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2008 (i) by our general partner for "Cause" (defined below), (ii) by Mr. Hollister voluntarily (for reasons other than Constructive Termination), or (iii) by reason of Mr. Hollister's death or "Disability" (defined below), then following such termination Mr. Hollister (or his estate, if applicable) would have been entitled to the Accrued Obligations. For purposes of Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (1) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (2) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data of the Company or any of its subsidiaries), (3) being convicted of (or pleading no contest to) a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (4) an uncured breach of any material provision of the agreement. Pursuant to Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, "Disability" means a physical or mental disability or impairment which renders Mr. Hollister unable, with or without reasonable accommodation, to perform the essential functions of his duties for a period of at least 90 consecutive days and, following the expiration of the initial 90-day period, a medical doctor or other appropriate health care provider, in either case selected solely by our general partner, has delivered an opinion to our general partner that such physical or mental disability or impairment is expected to continue for at least an additional 90 consecutive days.

Assuming Mr. Hollister's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2008 by our general partner without Cause, or by Mr. Hollister for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below), Mr. Hollister would have been entitled to receive a severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (i) twice his then base salary (\$1,155,000), plus (ii) if such termination had occurred within 12 months following a Change in Control, an additional amount equal to twice his target incentive amount under the then applicable short-term incentive for the fiscal year (\$675,000) for a total severance amount of \$1,830,000. Such severance payment would be payable monthly in 24 equal installments. During such severance payment payout period, Mr. Hollister would remain eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees. Mr. Hollister's employment agreement defines "Constructive Termination" as the termination of employment by Mr. Hollister as a result of (i) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended, (ii) the failure of any successor (whether direct or indirect, by purchase, merger or otherwise) to all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to expressly assume and agree to perform the employment agreement, as amended or (iii) any material diminution, without Mr. Hollister's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (a) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities as the Chief Operating Officer or Chief Financial Officer, (b) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports to the President or Chief Executive Officer of our general partner, or (c) a relocation of his place of work further than 40 miles

Table of Contents

from Waltham, Massachusetts. Assuming a December 31, 2008 termination date, in the event Mr. Hollister elected to terminate his employment for constructive termination at any time within three months before a change in control and 12 months after a change in control, then in addition to the foregoing severance and benefits Mr. Hollister also would have been entitled to the accelerated vesting provisions described above.

Our general partner is obligated to reimburse Mr. Hollister for any and all federal excise taxes and penalties (other than penalties imposed as a result of Mr. Hollister's actions), and any taxes imposed upon such reimbursement amounts, including, but not limited to, any federal, state and local income taxes, employment taxes, and other taxes, if any, which may become due pursuant to the application of Sections 4999 and/or 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") on any payments to Mr. Hollister in connection with the employment agreement, as amended.

(3) ***Edward J. Faneuil***

For purposes of the above table, we have assumed that the short-term incentive plan established by our general partner in February 2009 was in effect at December 31, 2008.

The employment agreement between our general partner and Mr. Faneuil provides that, upon termination of his employment for any reason, Mr. Faneuil will receive payment through the date of termination of his employment of (i) any earned, but unpaid, base salary as then in effect, (ii) all earned, but unpaid, bonuses, and (iii) all accrued vacation, expense reimbursements and other benefits (other than severance benefits, except as provided below) due Mr. Faneuil in accordance with the established plans and policies of our general partner or applicable law (the "Accrued Obligations").

In the event of a change in control (defined below), Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement provides for accelerated vesting on any and all outstanding Partnership options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights (under the LTIP or otherwise) held by him as in effect on the date of termination. On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Faneuil 7,976 phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP. No other such options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights had been granted to Mr. Faneuil as of December 31, 2008. Assuming a change of control event (as defined in the grant) had occurred on December 31, 2008, all outstanding and unvested phantom units and associated DERs that were granted to Mr. Faneuil on August 14, 2007 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$90,129 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$19,382, for a total value of \$109,511. Pursuant to Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, a "change in control" is deemed to occur on the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner.

At December 31, 2008, Mr. Faneuil had not yet received the January 20, 2009 grant of 48,501 phantom units under the LTIP, so he would not have been entitled to receive any payment in respect thereof assuming his employment had been terminated effective December 31, 2008. The phantom units granted to Mr. Faneuil on January 20, 2009 are, however, subject to automatic vesting upon the occurrence of a change of control event (as defined in the grant).

Assuming Mr. Faneuil's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2008 (i) by our general partner for "Cause" (defined below), (ii) by Mr. Faneuil voluntarily (for reasons other than Constructive Termination), or (iii) by reason of Mr. Faneuil's death, then following such termination Mr. Faneuil (or his estate, if applicable) would have been entitled to the Accrued Obligations. For

Table of Contents

purposes of Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (1) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (2) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data of the Company or any of its subsidiaries), (3) being convicted of (or pleading no contest to) a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (4) an uncured breach of any material provision of the agreement.

Assuming Mr. Faneuil's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2008 by our general partner without Cause, or by Mr. Faneuil for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below), Mr. Faneuil would have been entitled to receive a severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (i) twice his then base salary (\$751,906), plus (ii) if such termination had occurred within 12 months following a Change in Control, an additional amount equal to twice his target incentive amount under the then applicable short-term incentive for the fiscal year (\$275,000), for a total severance amount of \$1,026,906. Such severance payment would be payable monthly in 24 equal installments. In addition, the general partner would provide health care continuation coverage benefits to Mr. Faneuil pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA") and would continue to pay the applicable percentage of the medical insurance premium that it pays for active employees during the applicable COBRA coverage period. Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement defines "Constructive Termination" as the termination of employment by Mr. Faneuil as a result of (i) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended, (ii) the failure of any successor (whether direct or indirect, by purchase, merger or otherwise) to all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to expressly assume and agree to perform the employment agreement, as amended or (iii) any material diminution, without Mr. Faneuil's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (a) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities as the Executive Vice-President and General Counsel, (b) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports to the President or Chief Executive Officer of our general partner, or (c) a relocation of his place of work further than 40 miles from Waltham, Massachusetts. For purposes of Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, however, Constructive Termination does not include a change in reporting structure as a result of our general partner becoming a subsidiary of an unrelated entity, including, without limitation, a change whereby Mr. Faneuil is not the chief legal officer or general counsel of the acquiring or parent entity or must report to the chief legal officer or general counsel of a currently unaffiliated parent corporation or entity. Assuming a December 31, 2008 termination date, in the event Mr. Faneuil elected to terminate his employment for constructive termination at any time within three months before a change in control and 12 months after a change in control, then in addition to the foregoing severance Mr. Faneuil also would have been entitled to the accelerated vesting provisions described above.

Our general partner and Mr. Faneuil also entered into an amended and restated deferred compensation agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Faneuil will be paid the sum of \$70,000 per year (the "Deferred Compensation") in equal monthly installments of \$5,833.33 on the first business day of each month for 15 years (180 months) commencing on the earlier of: (i) August 1, 2014, and (ii) the first business day of the month following Mr. Faneuil's "separation from service" (as defined in the Code) with our general partner for reasons other than "Cause" (as defined in the deferred compensation agreement), subject to earlier termination as provided in the agreement. In the event of an unforeseeable emergency as referenced in the deferred compensation agreement, our general partner will pay Mr. Faneuil within 15 days of the occurrence of the unforeseeable emergency the maximum amount allowable in a lump sum promptly following the occurrence of such unforeseeable emergency. The Deferred Compensation will be forfeited in its entirety in the event that our general partner terminates Mr. Faneuil's employment prior to August 1, 2014 for Cause or Mr. Faneuil terminates his employment for any reason other than death, disability or a Change in Control (as defined in the deferred compensation agreement). On and after the date on which Deferred Compensation payments

Table of Contents

commence, our general partner may terminate its obligations under the deferred compensation agreement for Cause or if our general partner subsequently determines within 18 months of Mr. Faneuil's termination that circumstances which would give rise to a for Cause termination of Mr. Faneuil otherwise existed at the time of his earlier termination. In the event of Mr. Faneuil's death prior to his receiving any or all of the aggregate amount of the Deferred Compensation (including the event of Mr. Faneuil's death before August 1, 2014), our general partner will pay Mr. Faneuil's beneficiary within 60 days of the date of his death a single lump sum payment in an amount equal to the present value of the remaining payments that would have been paid to Mr. Faneuil. If there is a Change in Control or Mr. Faneuil is determined to have become disabled prior to his receiving any or all of the aggregate amount of the Deferred Compensation (including if the Change of Control occurred or the determination that Mr. Faneuil became disabled were made before August 1, 2014), our general partner will pay to Mr. Faneuil within 60 days of the effective date of the Change in Control or the determination that Mr. Faneuil became disabled a single lump sum payment in an amount equal to the present value of the remaining payments that would have been paid to him had the Change in Control not occurred or had Mr. Faneuil not become disabled. For purposes of the deferred compensation agreement, "Cause" means (a) any uncured material breach by Mr. Faneuil of his obligations under the deferred compensation agreement, (b) any breach by Mr. Faneuil of his confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation obligations set forth on Exhibit "A" to the deferred compensation agreement or included in his employment agreement with our general partner, (c) engagement in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of his duties, (d) a conviction or plea of no contest to a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (e) the commission of an act of embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to our general partner, the Partnership or any of its Affiliates

Our general partner is obligated to reimburse Mr. Faneuil for any and all federal excise taxes and penalties (other than penalties imposed as a result of Mr. Faneuil's actions), and any taxes imposed upon such reimbursement amounts, including, but not limited to, any federal, state and local income taxes, employment taxes, and other taxes, if any, which may become due pursuant to the application of Sections 4999 and/or 409A of the Code on any payments to Mr. Faneuil in connection with the employment agreement, as amended. Mr. Faneuil and our general partner have agreed to reform any provision of the deferred compensation agreement, as amended, between them in a manner mutually agreeable to avoid imposition of any additional tax under the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations and Treasury pronouncements.

(4) *Charles A. Rudinsky*

On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Rudinsky 3,927 phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP. Upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant, as amended), all of the then outstanding phantom units and associated DERs under this grant that have not otherwise vested automatically will become fully vested without regard to the achievement of the performance goal provided in the grant. Using the closing market price of \$11.30 per unit at December 31, 2008, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$44,375 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$9,543, for a total value of \$53,918.

(5) *LTIP Awards*

On January 20, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved additional grants of 88,183, 61,728, 48,501 and 17,637 phantom units under the LTIP, respectively, to Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. Upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant, as amended), all outstanding phantom units that were granted on January 20, 2009 to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister and Faneuil only and that have not otherwise vested automatically will become fully vested (in the case of the phantom units awarded to Mr. Slifka, without regard to the achievement of the performance goal.). See "Elements of

Table of Contents

Compensation *Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for information regarding performance restrictions and additional vesting terms.

**Other Benefits*****Pension Benefits***

The table below sets forth information regarding the present value as of December 31, 2008 of the accumulated benefits of our named executive officers under the Global Partners LP Pension Plan.

**Pension Benefits at December 31, 2008**

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Eric Slifka	(1)	22	228,888	
Thomas J. Hollister	(1)	3	58,453	
Edward J. Faneuil	(1)	18	373,954	
Charles A. Rudinsky(2)	(1)	25	677,933	

(1) Global Partners LP Pension Plan

(2) From 1984 through 1988, Mr. Rudinsky was employed by National Petroleum Corporation, Inc. In 1988, a predecessor of the Partnership acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of National Petroleum Corporation, Inc. and Mr. Rudinsky became an employee of said predecessor of the Partnership. In connection with this acquisition, and for purposes of the Global Partners LP Pension Plan, Mr. Rudinsky was credited with four additional years of service for the period from 1984 through 1988.

All employees who (1) are 21 years of age or older, (2) are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, (3) have been employed by our predecessor, our general partner or one of our operating subsidiaries for one year prior to enrollment in the Pension Plan and (4) have worked for our predecessor, our general partner or one of our operating subsidiaries at least 1,000 hours during the applicable plan year are eligible to participate in the Global Partners LP Pension Plan (the "Pension Plan"). An employee is fully vested in benefits under the Pension Plan after completing five years of service or upon termination due to death, disability or retirement. When an employee retires at age 65, the employee can elect to receive either a lump sum distribution or monthly benefit payments under the Pension Plan equal to (1) 23% of the employee's average monthly compensation for the five consecutive calendar years during which the employee received the highest amount of pay ("Average Compensation") plus (2) 19.5% of the employee's Average Compensation in excess of his monthly "covered compensation" for Social Security purposes, as provided in the Pension Plan. However, if an employee completes less than 30 years of service on his termination at or after reaching age 65, the monthly benefit will be reduced by 1/30th for each year less than 30 years completed by the employee. If an employee is terminated before age 65, his benefit beginning at age 65 would be based on his Average Compensation multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is a number of years of service at termination (not to exceed 30) and the denominator of which is the number of years such employee would have served (not to exceed 30) had he stayed until age 65. An employee who is terminated after completing at least five years of service will be eligible for an early retirement benefit determined as described in the preceding sentence at any time after attaining age 60.

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement under the Global Partners LP Pension Plan formula to persons in the specified compensation and years of service classifications:

Highest Consecutive 5-Year Average Compensation \$	Estimated Annual Pension for Representative Years of Credited Service					
	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years	20 Years	25 Years	30 Years & Over
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
125,000	6,924	13,849	20,773	27,697	34,621	41,546
150,000	8,695	17,390	26,085	34,780	43,475	52,171
175,000	10,466	20,932	31,398	41,864	52,330	62,796
200,000	12,237	24,474	36,710	48,947	61,184	73,421
225,000	14,008	28,015	42,023	56,030	70,038	84,046
245,000 and above	15,424	39,849	46,273	61,697	77,121	92,546

Benefits under the formula are based upon the employee's highest consecutive five-year average compensation and are not subject to offset for social security benefits. Compensation for such purposes means compensation including overtime, but excluding bonuses, commissions, any program of deferred compensation, employee benefits, moving expense, transportation allowances, salary continuation, and additional forms of remuneration.

### *401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan*

The 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan permits all eligible employees to make voluntary pre-tax contributions to the plan, subject to applicable tax limitations. Our general partner may make a discretionary matching contribution to the plan for each eligible employee equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 3% of the employee's pre-tax annual compensation, subject to certain limitations under federal law. Eligible employees may elect to contribute up to 60% of their compensation to the plan for each plan year. Employee contributions are subject to annual dollar limitations, which are periodically adjusted by the cost of living index. Participants in the plan are always fully vested in any matching contributions under the plan; however, additional discretionary contributions are subject to a vesting schedule ranging from two to six years. The plan is intended to be tax-qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code so that contributions to the plan, and income earned on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the plan, and so that contributions, if any, will be deductible when made.

### **Compensation of Directors**

The following table sets forth (i) certain information concerning the compensation earned by our directors in 2008, and (ii) the aggregate amounts of stock awards and option awards, if any, held by each director at the end of the last fiscal year:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	Total (\$)
Alfred Slifka	41,000			41,000
Richard Slifka	42,000			42,000
Eric Slifka(2)			39,908	
Robert J. McCool	55,000	14,597		70,113
David McKown(3)	55,000	14,597		70,113
Kenneth I. Watchmaker	56,000	14,597		71,113

(1) These amounts represent compensation expense accrued for 2008 related to phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP granted in 2007. Messrs. McCool, McKown and Watchmaker, as our

Table of Contents

independent directors, were each granted 1,227 phantom units, for an aggregate total of 3,681 units, all of which were outstanding as of December 31, 2008. The accruals were made pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R). See Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information on the LTIP.

(2) Mr. Eric Slifka, as an executive officer of our general partner, is otherwise compensated for his services and therefore receives no separate compensation for his service as a director. He was granted phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP in 2007 and phantom units under the LTIP in 2008, and he participates in the Global Partners LP Pension Plan pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, and not in his capacity as a director.

(3) Mr. McKown also receives a \$20,000 per year advisory fee from Alliance Energy LLC, which is a related party to the Partnership.

Employees of our general partner who also serve as directors do not receive additional compensation. In 2008, directors who are not employees of our general partner (1) received: (a) \$30,000 annual cash retainer; (b) \$1,000 for each meeting of the board of directors attended; (c) \$2,000 for each audit committee meeting attended (limited to payment for one committee meeting per day); and (d) \$1,000 for each committee meeting other than the audit committee meeting attended (limited to payment for one committee meeting per day), and (2) are eligible to participate in the LTIP. In 2009, the annual cash retainer for each director who is not an employee of our general partner will be increased to \$50,000 and the chair of the audit committee will receive an additional \$7,500.

Each director also is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors or committees. Each director will be fully indemnified by us for actions associated with being a director to the extent permitted under Delaware law.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

Since the formation of Global GP LLC and throughout the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, the Compensation Committee of Global GP LLC's board of directors has comprised Robert J. McCool, David McKown and Kenneth I. Watchmaker, none of whom are officers or employees of our general partner or any of its affiliates. Mr. Alfred Slifka serves as the Chairman of the board of directors and is an employee of Alliance Energy LLC, which is a related party to (and customer of) the Partnership. Mr. Richard Slifka serves as Vice Chairman of our general partner's board of directors and is the Treasurer and an employee of Alliance Energy LLC. Mr. Eric Slifka serves as a director of Alliance Energy LLC.

**Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee of Global GP LLC held seven meetings during fiscal year 2008. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based upon such review, the related discussions and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Form 10-K.

Kenneth I. Watchmaker (Chairman)  
Robert J. McCool  
David McKown



Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The following table sets forth as of March 10, 2009 the beneficial ownership of units of Global Partners LP held by certain beneficial owners of more than 5% of the units, by each director and named executive officer of our general partner and by all directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group:

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Common Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Common Units Beneficially Owned	Subordinated Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Subordinated Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Total Units Beneficially Owned
Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors L.P.(2)	2,526,241	34.0%			19.3%
Richard A. Kayne(2)	2,526,241	34.0%			19.3%
Global Petroleum Corp.(3)	2,267	*	1,723,196	30.5%	13.2%
Larea Holdings LLC(4)	742	*	564,242	10.0%	4.3%
Larea Holdings II LLC(5)	371	*	282,121	5.0%	2.2%
Montello Oil Corporation(6)	3,086	*	2,344,992	41.6%	17.9%
Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C.(7)	11	*	8,464	*	*
Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership(8)	947	*	719,409	12.8%	5.5%
Amy Cook(5)	6,171	*	282,121	5.0%	2.2%
Karen Dattilo(5)	6,171	*	282,121	5.0%	2.2%
Andrew Slifka(5)	6,171	*	282,121	5.0%	2.2%
Alfred A. Slifka(3)(6)(7)(8)(9)	6,411	*	4,796,061	85.0%	36.7%
Richard Slifka(3)(6)(7)(8)(9)	6,311	*	4,796,061	85.0%	36.7%
Eric Slifka(4)	27,842	*	564,242	10.0%	4.5%
Thomas J. Hollister	5,000	*			*
Edward J. Faneuil	12,400	*			*
Charles A. Rudinsky	5,000	*			*
David K. McKown					
Robert J. McCool	8,800	*			*
Kenneth I. Watchmaker					
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	65,453	0.9%	5,360,303	95.0%	41.5%

\*  
Less than 1%

(1) The address for each person or entity listed, other than Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne, is P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9161.

(2) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 13, 2009, Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne beneficially owned 2,526,241 common units, or 34.01% of total common units outstanding, representing a 19.33% limited partner interest in Global Partners LP. The address for Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne is 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Second Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067.

(3) ASRS Global General Partnership owns 100% of the ownership interests in Global Petroleum Corp. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of ASRS Global General Partnership. As general partners of ASRS Global General Partnership, Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

share voting and investment power with respect to, and therefore may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Global Petroleum Corp.

- (4) Eric Slifka has sole voting and investment power with respect to units owned by Larea Holdings LLC. Eric Slifka may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units held by Larea Holdings LLC. Eric Slifka is the son of Alfred A. Slifka.
- (5) Amy Cook, Karen Dattilo and Andrew Slifka each has a  $33\frac{1}{3}\%$  ownership interest in Larea Holdings II LLC and share proportionate voting and investment power with respect to units owned by Larea Holdings II LLC. Each of Amy Cook, Karen Dattilo and Andrew Slifka may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units held by Larea Holdings II LLC. Amy Cook, Karen Dattilo and Andrew Slifka are the children of Richard Slifka.
- (6) ASRS Montello General Partnership owns 72.8% of the ownership interests in Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of ASRS Montello General Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred Slifka Montello Irrevocable Trust ("AS Montello") owns 13.6% of Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred A. Slifka is the beneficial owner of AS Montello. Richard Slifka Montello Irrevocable Trust ("RS Montello") owns 13.6% of Montello Oil Corporation. Richard Slifka is the beneficial owner of RS Montello.
- (7) Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C. and share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C.
- (8) Chelsea Terminal Corp. is the general partner of Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of Chelsea Terminal Corp. and each owns a 50% limited partner interest in Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership.
- (9) Beneficially owned unit amounts for each of Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka consist of the units owned by Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C. and Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are brothers.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Table**

The following table summarizes information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2008:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and right (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders(1)			564,242
<b>Total</b>			<b>564,242</b>

- (1) For a description of the material terms of the Long-Term Incentive Plan, please see Item 11, "Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan."

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

Affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, own 65,824 common units and 5,642,424 subordinated units representing a 42.9% limited partner interest in us. In addition, our general partner owns a 1.73% general partner interest in us.

**Distributions and Payments to Our General Partner and Its Affiliates**

The following table summarizes the distributions and payments to be made by us to our general partner and its affiliates in connection with the ongoing operation and liquidation of Global Partners LP. These distributions and payments were determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

***Operational Stage***

Distributions of available cash to our general partner and its affiliates	We will generally make cash distributions 98.27% to the unitholders, including affiliates of our general partner (including directors and executive officers of our general partner), as the holders of an aggregate of 65,824 common units and all of the subordinated units, and 1.73% to our general partner. In addition, if distributions exceed the minimum quarterly distribution and other higher target levels, our general partner will be entitled to increasing percentages of the distributions, up to 49.73% of the distributions above the highest target level.
---	---

Table of Contents

Assuming we have sufficient available cash to pay the full minimum quarterly distribution on all of our outstanding units for four quarters, our general partner and its affiliates, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, would receive an annual distribution of approximately \$0.4 million on the 1.73% general partner interest and \$9.4 million on their common units and subordinated units.

Payments to our general partner and its affiliates

Our general partner does not receive a management fee or other compensation for its management of Global Partners LP. Our general partner and its affiliates are reimbursed for expenses incurred on our behalf. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner determines the amount of these expenses.

Withdrawal or removal of our general partner

If our general partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest and its incentive distribution rights will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into common units, in each case for an amount equal to the fair market value of those interests.

***Liquidation Stage***

Liquidation

Upon our liquidation, the partners, including our general partner, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their particular capital account balances.

Table of Contents

**Omnibus Agreement**

We are a party to an omnibus agreement with certain members of the Slifka family and our general partner that addresses the following matters:

the agreement of certain members of the Slifka family not to compete with us and to cause their affiliates not to compete with us under certain circumstances; and

the obligation of Global Petroleum Corp. and certain of its affiliates to indemnify us for certain liabilities.

This agreement is not the result of arm's-length negotiations and may not have been effected on terms at least as favorable to the parties to this agreement as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

***Noncompetition***

Each of Alfred A. Slifka, Chairman of our general partner, and Richard Slifka, Vice Chairman of our general partner, agreed, and caused their affiliates to agree, for so long as such individual, Eric Slifka, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of our general partner, or controlled affiliates thereof, individually or as part of a group, control our general partner, and Eric Slifka agreed, and caused his affiliates to agree, through December 31, 2011, not to engage in, acquire or invest in any business having assets engaged in the following businesses: (1) the wholesale marketing, sale, distribution and transportation (other than transportation by truck) of refined petroleum products in the United States, provided such activity generates qualifying income (as defined in Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code); (2) the storage of refined petroleum products in connection with any of the activities described in (1); and (3) bunkering. These restrictions will not apply to:

any assets not contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering, including any replacements and natural extensions thereof;

the ownership, individually or collectively, of up to 9.9% in a publicly traded entity that competes with us as long as none of Alfred A., Richard or Eric Slifka are on the board of directors of the publicly traded entity;

any investment in or acquisition of any restricted business in an aggregate amount of up to \$5.0 million per year; and

any investment in or acquisition of any restricted business in excess of an aggregate amount of \$5.0 million per year with the approval of our conflicts committee.

Eric Slifka further agreed, pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, that the noncompetition obligations contained in the omnibus agreement shall continue to apply to him throughout the term of his employment agreement (including the renewal term, if any) and, in the event his employment is terminated (a) by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting constructive termination, (b) by our general partner for cause, or (c) by Mr. Slifka for reasons other than constructive termination, for one additional year from the date of such termination.

In addition, pursuant to Eric Slifka's employment agreement, Eric Slifka also agreed that for a period of one year following his date of termination, he will not solicit or induce any employee of our general partner to terminate his/her employment with, or otherwise cease his/her relationship with our general partner.

Table of Contents

***Indemnification***

Under the omnibus agreement, Global Petroleum Corp. and certain of its affiliates and our general partner (collectively, the "Indemnitors") will indemnify us for five years after the closing of our initial public offering against certain potential environmental liabilities associated with the operation of the assets and occurring before the closing date of our initial public offering and indefinitely against claims for covered environmental liabilities made before the fifth anniversary of the closing of our initial public offering and indefinitely against potential liabilities resulting from the two lawsuits alleging MTBE contamination in the groundwater in Massachusetts in which Global Companies LLC is a named defendant. Please read Item 3, "Legal Proceedings Environmental." The obligation of the Indemnitors will not exceed \$7.5 million and they do not have any indemnification obligation in any 12-month period (starting with the closing date of our initial public offering) until our losses for that period exceed \$400,000 in the aggregate and then only to the extent such aggregate losses exceed \$400,000. Any unused amounts, including carried over unused amounts, will be carried over to the next 12-month period. After the fifth anniversary of the closing of our initial public offering, the annual deductible (not including carried over amounts) will be reduced to \$150,000. The Indemnitors have no indemnification obligations with respect to environmental matters for claims made as a result of changes in environmental laws promulgated after the closing date of our initial public offering.

Additionally, the Indemnitors will indemnify us for losses attributable to title defects, retained assets and liabilities (including environmental liabilities at the Revere terminal) and income taxes attributable to pre-closing operations and the formation transactions. Furthermore, we will indemnify the Indemnitors for all losses attributable to the post-closing operations of the assets contributed to us, to the extent not subject to their indemnification obligations.

**Shared Services Agreements**

We are party to shared services agreements with Global Petroleum Corp. and with Alliance Energy LLC. We believe the terms of these agreements are at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Under each agreement, we provide Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC with certain accounting, treasury, legal, information technology, human resources and financial operations support for which Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC, as applicable, pay us an amount based upon the cost associated with provision of such services. In addition, Global Petroleum Corp. provides us with certain terminal, environmental and operational support services, for which we pay a fee based on an agreed assessment of the cost associated with provision of such services. We paid to Global Petroleum Corp. a net total of \$86,000, \$74,000 and \$78,000 and received from Alliance Energy LLC a total of \$866,000, \$626,000 and \$757,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

**Throughput Agreement with Global Petroleum Corp.**

We have an exclusive throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., one of our affiliates, with respect to the Revere terminal in Revere, Massachusetts. We believe the terms of this agreement are at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. We retain the title of all products stored at this terminal. The term of this agreement ends December 31, 2013. The agreement automatically renews annually unless it is terminated by either party by giving 90 days notice. We pay a monthly fee to Global Petroleum Corp., which is adjusted according to the Consumer Price Index for the Northeast region and for certain contractual costs. Including increases in certain contractual costs but excluding amortization of deferred rent, we paid to Global Petroleum Corp. a total of \$8.5 million, \$8.2 million and \$8.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Throughout the term of the throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., we will have a right of first refusal through September 30, 2014 to purchase or lease the Revere terminal if Global Petroleum Corp. desires to sell or lease the Revere terminal to a third party.

Table of Contents

**Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC**

Some members of our management team are also officers and/or directors of two of our affiliates, Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC. Global Petroleum Corp. is wholly owned by ASRS Global General Partnership, an entity that is owned equally by Alfred A. and Richard Slifka. Messrs. Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to Global Petroleum Corp. under a shared services agreement. Please read " Shared Services Agreements." In 2008, Edward J. Faneuil, the Partnership's Executive Vice President and General Counsel, received from Alliance Energy LLC a one-time payment for services rendered in 2008.

Alliance Energy LLC is wholly owned by AE Holdings Corp., which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. Alfred A. and Richard Slifka each own approximately 16% of AE Holdings Corp. and they together control another 63% of this entity through voting trusts for the benefit of their six children, each of whom owns approximately 10.5% of AE Holdings Corp. The remaining 5% ownership interest is held by a former employee of Global Companies LLC, Willard Poirés. Under a shared services agreement, Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to Alliance Energy LLC. Please read " Shared Services Agreements."

**Director Independence**

Please see Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance" for information regarding director independence.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

The audit committee of the board of directors of Global GP LLC selected Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, to audit the books, records and accounts of Global Partners LP for the 2008 and 2007 calendar years. The audit committee's charter, which is available on our website at [www.globalp.com](http://www.globalp.com), requires the audit committee to approve in advance all audit and non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. All services reported in the audit, audit-related, tax and all other fees categories below were approved by the audit committee.

Fees paid to Ernst & Young LLP were as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 1,489	\$ 1,313
Audit Related Fees	36	29
Tax Fees(2)	810	524
 Total	 \$2,335	 \$ 1,866

---

(1) Represents fees for professional services provided in connection with the audits of our annual financial statements and reviews of our quarterly financial statements. Audit fees also included Ernst & Young's audits of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and fees for 2007 included audits performed as part of our registration statement filings on Forms S-3 and S-8.

(2) Tax fees included tax planning and tax return preparation.

Table of Contents

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

(a)

The following documents are included with the filing of this report:

1. Financial statements  
See "Index to Financial Statements" on page F-1.

2. Financial statement schedules:  
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and, therefore, have been omitted.

3. Exhibits  
See "Exhibit Index" immediately following the financial statement schedules in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the documents that are filed as Exhibits to this report or incorporated herein by reference.

(b)

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this report:

- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of May 9, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
- 3.2 Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated April 14, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 17, 2008).
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, by and between Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
- 4.2 Class B Unit Purchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2007, by and among Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2007).
- 10.1 Omnibus Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Global Revco Dock, L.L.C., Global Revco Terminal, L.L.C., Global South Terminal, L.L.C., Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C., Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership, Global GP LLC, Global Partners LP, Global Operating LLC, Alfred A. Slifka, Richard Slifka and Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.2<sup>^</sup> Global Partners GP Long-Term Incentive Plan effective as of October 4, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 (File No. 333-124755) filed on July 1, 2005).
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, and Chelsea Sandwich LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and between Alliance Energy Corp. and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on



October 11, 2005).

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

- 10.5 Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage and Throughput Agreement, dated October 4, 2005 by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.6 Credit Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.7 First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2005).
- 10.8^ Employment Agreement dated April 19, 2006, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas J. Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006).
- 10.9 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2006, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 2006).
- 10.10^ Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2007, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007).
- 10.11 Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2007).
- 10.12 Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated March 16, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2007).
- 10.13 Forms of LTIP Grant Agreements dated August 14, 2007 (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
- 10.14 Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
- 10.15 Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (General) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

- 10.16 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2007).
- 10.17 Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 23, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2007).
- 10.18 Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2007).
- 10.19 Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated July 9, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2008).
- 10.20 Waiver Letter and Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008).
- 10.21 Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2008).
- 10.22 Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2008).
- 10.23 Tenth Amendment to Credit Agreement and Limited Waiver, dated as of September 26, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2008).

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

10.24^	Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.25^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.26^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.27^	Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.28^	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement dated December 31, 2008 for Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.29^	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC (except Mr. Slifka)), LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) and LTIP Grant Agreement (General) dated December 31, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.30*^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka.
10.31*^	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister.
10.32*^	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil.
10.33*^	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement dated March 11, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil.
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of Global Partners LP.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.

---

^ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

\* Filed herewith.

Not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

By: Global GP LLC,  
its general partner

Dated: March 13, 2009

By: /s/ ERIC SLIFKA

Eric Slifka  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 13, 2009.

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Title</b>
<p><u>/s/ ERIC SLIFKA</u></p> <p>Eric Slifka</p>	<p>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)</p>
<p><u>/s/ THOMAS J. HOLLISTER</u></p> <p>Thomas J. Hollister</p>	<p>Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)</p>
<p><u>/s/ CHARLES A. RUDINSKY</u></p> <p>Charles A. Rudinsky</p>	<p>Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)</p>
<p><u>/s/ ALFRED A. SLIFKA</u></p> <p>Alfred A. Slifka</p>	<p>Chairman</p>
<p><u>/s/ RICHARD SLIFKA</u></p> <p>Richard Slifka</p>	<p>Vice Chairman</p>
<p><u>/s/ DAVID K. MCKOWN</u></p> <p>David K. McKown</p>	<p>Director</p>
<p><u>/s/ ROBERT J. MCCOOL</u></p> <p>Robert J. McCool</p>	<p>Director</p>
<p><u>/s/ KENNETH I. WATCHMAKER</u></p> <p>Kenneth I. Watchmaker</p>	<p>Director</p>

Table of Contents

**INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Partners' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-7

F-1

---

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors of Global GP LLC  
and Unitholders of Global Partners LP

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Global Partners LP ("the Partnership") as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, partners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Global Partners LP at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Global Partners LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 13, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

---

Boston, Massachusetts  
March 13, 2009

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except unit data)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 945	\$ 2,110
Accounts receivable less allowance of \$2,974 and \$2,650 as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	249,418	439,165
Accounts receivable - affiliates	2,518	4,308
Inventories	240,346	484,259
Brokerage margin deposits	8,991	12,545
Fair value of forward fixed price contracts	161,787	742
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	29,302	17,736
Total current assets	693,307	960,865
Property and equipment, net	161,988	161,734
Intangible assets, net	31,403	34,168
Other assets	2,564	2,460
Total assets	\$889,262	\$1,159,227
<b>Liabilities and partners' equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$219,783	\$ 371,341
Working capital revolving credit facility - current portion	208,210	304,800
Environmental liabilities - current portion	4,191	876
Note payable		1,239
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	54,054	69,762
Income taxes payable	520	
Obligations on forward fixed price contracts and other derivatives	7,954	41,892
Total current liabilities	494,712	789,910
Working capital revolving credit facility - less current portion	154,090	119,000
Acquisition facility	71,200	71,200
Environmental liabilities - less current portion	2,377	8,340
Accrued pension benefit cost	8,853	5,236
Deferred compensation	1,663	1,481
Other long-term liabilities	12,899	3,709
Total liabilities	745,794	998,876
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 14)		
<b>Partners' equity</b>		
Common unitholders (7,428,139 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007)	163,351	165,330
Subordinated unitholders (5,642,424 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007)	(4,189)	(2,116)
General partner interest (1.73% interest with 230,303 equivalent units outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007)	(431)	(147)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(15,263)	(2,716)
Total partners' equity	143,468	160,351
Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$889,262	\$1,159,227



Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(In thousands, except per unit data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Sales	\$9,019,123	\$6,757,834	\$4,472,418
Cost of sales	8,899,332	6,630,850	4,359,192
Gross profit	119,791	126,984	113,226
Costs and operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	42,060	45,537	43,027
Operating expenses	31,788	27,703	22,158
Amortization expenses	2,937	2,250	1,528
Total costs and operating expenses	76,785	75,490	66,713
Operating income	43,006	51,494	46,513
Interest expense	(20,799)	(17,408)	(11,901)
Other income			515
Gain on sale of investment		14,118	
Income before income tax expense	22,207	48,204	35,127
Income tax expense	(1,152)	(1,191)	(1,666)
Net income	21,055	47,013	33,461
Less: General partner's interest in net income	(364)	(903)	(669)
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 20,691	\$ 46,110	\$ 32,792
Net income per limited partner unit, basic and diluted(1)	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.38	\$ 2.46
Weighted average limited partners' units outstanding, basic and diluted	13,071	12,444	11,285
Distributions per limited partner unit	\$ 1.95	\$ 1.87	\$ 1.72

(1) See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for net income per limited partner unit calculation.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 21,055	\$ 47,013	\$ 33,461
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	14,188	9,150	4,110
Amortization of deferred financing fees	938	463	403
Loss on disposition of property and equipment and other	5	5	177
Stock-based compensation expense	745	297	
Gain on sale of investment		(14,118)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	189,748	(236,585)	35,281
Accounts receivable affiliate	1,790	(2,320)	17
Inventories	243,913	(196,192)	(27,353)
Broker margin deposits	3,554	(11,920)	8,585
Prepaid expenses, all other current assets and other assets	(13,041)	337	(11,867)
Accounts payable	(151,559)	149,307	(37,429)
Income taxes payable	778	(1,424)	(35)
Change in fair value of forward fixed price contracts	(194,983)	107,265	(67,152)
Accrued expenses, all other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities	(17,911)	33,677	7,323
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	99,220	(115,045)	(54,479)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>			
Terminal acquisitions		(138,020)	(6,551)
Capital expenditures	(11,524)	(13,720)	(5,915)
Proceeds from sale of investment		15,262	
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	14	14	24
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,510)	(136,464)	(12,442)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>			
(Payments on) proceeds from credit facilities, net	(61,500)	224,300	89,100
Proceeds from common unit issuance, net of discount and fees		49,099	
Payments on note payable, other	(1,239)	(319)	(298)
Distributions to partners	(26,136)	(23,322)	(19,789)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(88,875)	249,758	69,013
<b>Cash and cash equivalents</b>			
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,165)	(1,751)	2,092
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,110	3,861	1,769
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 945	\$ 2,110	\$ 3,861
<b>Supplemental information</b>			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 20,703	\$ 16,581	\$ 11,780

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Unitholders	Class B Units	Subordinated Unitholders	General Partner Interest	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Partners' Equity
<b>Balance December 31, 2005</b>	\$ 97,512	\$	\$ (20,372)	\$ (832)	\$	\$ 76,308
Distributions to partners	(9,696)		(9,696)	(397)		(19,789)
Adjustment to initially apply SFAS No. 158					422	422
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	16,396		16,396	669		33,461
Other comprehensive income:						
Unrealized gain on NYMEX shares					12,837	12,837
Total comprehensive income						46,298
<b>Balance December 31, 2006</b>	104,212		(13,672)	(560)	13,259	103,239
Proceeds of issuance of Class B units, net		49,099				49,099
Non-cash reduction under EITF 98-05 on issuance of Class B units (Note 16)	(16,400)	16,400				
Conversion of Class B units	65,499	(65,499)				
Stock-based compensation	297					297
Distributions to partners	(12,267)		(10,565)	(490)		(23,322)
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	23,989		22,121	903		47,013
Other comprehensive income:						
Realized gain on NYMEX shares					(12,837)	(12,837)
Change in fair value of interest rate collar					(1,328)	(1,328)
Change in pension liability					(1,810)	(1,810)
Total comprehensive income						31,038
<b>Balance December 31, 2007</b>	165,330		(2,116)	(147)	(2,716)	160,351
Stock-based compensation	745					745
Distributions to partners	(14,484)		(11,004)	(648)		(26,136)
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	11,760		8,931	364		21,055
Other comprehensive income:						
Change in fair value of interest rate collars					(9,518)	(9,518)
Change in pension liability					(3,029)	(3,029)
Total comprehensive income						8,508
<b>Balance December 31, 2008</b>	\$ 163,351	\$	\$ (4,189)	\$ (431)	\$ (15,263)	\$ 143,468

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1. Organization and Nature of Business**

Global Partners LP (the "Partnership") is a publicly traded master limited partnership that engages in the wholesale and commercial distribution of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas and provides ancillary services to companies domestically and, on a limited basis, internationally.

The Partnership has four operating subsidiaries: Global Companies LLC, its subsidiary, Glen Hes Corp., Global Montello Group Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC (the four operating subsidiaries, collectively, the "Companies"). The Companies (other than Glen Hes Corp.) are wholly owned by Global Operating LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership. In addition, GLP Finance Corp. ("GLP Finance") is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership. GLP Finance has no material assets or liabilities. Its activities will be limited to co-issuing debt securities and engaging in other activities incidental thereto.

On May 9, 2007, the Partnership issued 1,785,715 unregistered Class B units in a private placement from which it received gross proceeds of \$50.0 million. The Class B units were convertible into common units on a one-for-one basis. In connection with the issuance of the Class B units, the Partnership agreed to a discount in the purchase price of approximately \$0.8 million, which is the approximate amount of the product of (i) the 1,785,715 Class B units, and (ii) \$0.4650, the amount of the Partnership's first quarter 2007 per unit distribution that was paid to the common and subordinated unitholders on May 15, 2007. Such discount was paid by the Partnership to the purchasers of the Class B units substantially contemporaneously with the payment of the Partnership's first quarter 2007 distribution and resulted in proceeds of \$49.2 million. On May 22, 2007, the Class B units converted into common units on a one-for-one basis. See Note 16 for additional information on the private placement.

The Partnership's 1.73% general partner interest (reduced from 2% following the private placement of Class B units discussed above and in Note 16) is held by Global GP LLC, the Partnership's general partner (the "General Partner"). The General Partner, which is owned by affiliates of the Slifka family, manages the Partnership's operations and activities and employs its officers and substantially all of its personnel. Affiliates of the General Partner, including its directors and executive officers, own 65,824 common units and 5,642,424 subordinated units, representing a combined 42.9% limited partner interest.

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Basis of Consolidation and Presentation***

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 reflect the accounts of the Partnership. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Due to changes in operating procedures and efficiencies, the Partnership changed its estimate of the inventory reserve account by approximately \$2.5 million. In addition, the Partnership changed its estimate of the environmental liability with respect to the Albany, New York terminal by approximately \$2.8 million (see Note 10). The total change in estimates resulted in an increase in net income of approximately \$5.3 million, or \$0.41 per limited partner unit, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

***Reclassification***

Certain prior year amounts in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The Partnership considers highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

***Accounts Receivable***

The Partnership's accounts receivable result from sales of refined petroleum products to its customers. The majority of the Partnership's accounts receivable relates to its petroleum marketing activities that can generally be described as high volume and low margin activities. The Partnership makes a determination of the amount, if any, of a line of credit it may extend to a customer based on the form and amount of financial performance assurances the Partnership requires. Such financial assurances are commonly provided to the Partnership in the form of standby letters of credit, personal guarantees or corporate guarantees.

The Partnership reviews all accounts receivable balances on a monthly basis and records a reserve for estimated amounts it expects will not be fully recovered. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, substantially all of the Partnership's accounts receivable classified as current assets were within payment terms.

***Inventories***

The Partnership hedges substantially all of its inventory purchases through futures contracts and swap agreements. Hedges are executed when inventory is purchased and are identified with that specific inventory. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized currently in earnings. All hedged inventory is valued using the lower of cost, as determined by specific identification, or market. Prior to sale, hedges are removed from specific barrels of inventory, and the then unhedged inventory is sold and accounted for on a first-in, first-out basis.



## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

Inventories consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Distillates: home heating oil, diesel and kerosene	\$ 160,000	\$ 344,984
Residual oil	24,878	50,054
Gasoline	40,183	71,916
Blend stock	15,285	17,305
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 240,346</b>	<b>\$ 484,259</b>

In addition to its own inventory, the Partnership has exchange agreements with unrelated third-party suppliers, whereby it may draw inventory from these other suppliers (see *Revenue Recognition*) and suppliers may draw inventory from the Partnership. Positive exchange balances are accounted for as accounts receivable and amounted to \$14.8 million and \$36.8 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Negative exchange balances are accounted for as accounts payable and amounted to \$8.4 million and \$11.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Exchange transactions are valued using current quoted market prices.

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for routine maintenance, repairs and renewals are charged to expense as incurred, and major improvements are capitalized. Depreciation is charged to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses over the estimated useful lives of the applicable assets, using principally straight-line methods. The Partnership capitalizes interest on qualified long-term projects and depreciates it over the life of the related asset. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	15 25 years
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	3 7 years

***Long-Lived Assets***

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets other than goodwill or indefinite lived intangible assets. Accordingly, the Partnership evaluates for impairment whenever indicators of impairment are identified. The impairment evaluation is based on the projected cash flows of the particular asset. No impairments of long-lived assets were recorded during 2008, 2007 and 2006.

***Environmental and Other Liabilities***

The Partnership accrues for all direct costs associated with the estimated resolution of contingencies at the earliest date at which it is deemed probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of such liability can be reasonably estimated. Costs accrued are estimated based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies and outcomes.

Estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Loss accruals are adjusted as further information

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

becomes available or circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from other parties are recognized as assets when their receipt is deemed probable.

The Partnership is subject to other contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of its businesses that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters and contract and employment claims. Environmental and other legal proceedings may also include matters with respect to businesses previously owned. Further, due to the lack of adequate information and the potential impact of present regulations and any future regulations, there are certain circumstances in which no range of potential exposure may be reasonably estimated. See Notes 10 and 20.

***Leases***

The Partnership leases office space and computer equipment and also has entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with various unrelated oil terminals. Leases are accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 13, "Accounting for Leases," as amended, which requires that leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The lease term used for lease evaluation includes option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the option period can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty.

***Revenue Recognition***

Sales relate primarily to the sale of refined petroleum products and natural gas and are recognized along with the related receivable upon delivery, net of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances. Allowances for cash discounts are recorded as a reduction of sales at the time of sale based on the estimated future outcome. The Partnership also provides for shipping costs at the time of sale, which are included in cost of sales. The amounts recorded for bad debts are generally based upon historically derived percentages while also factoring in any new business conditions that might impact the historical analysis, such as market conditions and bankruptcies of particular customers. Bad debt provisions are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Revenue is not recognized on exchange agreements, which are entered into primarily to acquire various refined petroleum products of a desired quality or to reduce transportation costs by taking delivery of products closer to the Partnership's end markets. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") No. 04-13, "Accounting for Purchases and Sales of Inventory with the Same Counterparty," any net differential for exchange agreements is recorded as an adjustment of inventory costs.

The Partnership collects trustee taxes, which consist of various pass through taxes collected from customers on behalf of taxing authorities, and remits such taxes directly to those taxing authorities. As such, it is the Partnership's policy to exclude trustee taxes from revenues and cost of sales and account for them as liabilities. Trustee taxes are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

***Income Taxes***

Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code provides that publicly-traded partnerships are, as a general rule, taxed as corporations. However, an exception, referred to as the "Qualifying Income Exception," exists under Section 7704(c) with respect to publicly-traded partnerships of which 90% or more of the gross income for every taxable year consists of "qualifying income." Qualifying income includes income and gains derived from the transportation, storage and marketing of crude oil, natural gas and products thereof. Other types of qualifying income include interest (other than from a financial business), dividends, gains from the sale of real property and gains from the sale or other disposition of capital assets held for the production of income that otherwise constitutes qualifying income.

Substantially all of the Partnership's income is "qualifying income" for federal and state income tax purposes and, therefore, is not subject to federal and state income taxes at the partnership level. Accordingly, no provision has been made for income taxes on the qualifying income in the Partnership's financial statements. Net income for financial statement purposes may differ significantly from taxable income reportable to unitholders as a result of differences between the tax bases and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and the taxable income allocation requirements under the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP, as amended. Individual unitholders have different investment bases depending upon the timing and price at which they acquired their Partnership units. Further, each unitholder's tax accounting, which is partially dependent upon the unitholder's tax position, differs from the accounting followed in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the aggregate difference in the basis of the Partnership's net assets for financial and tax reporting purposes cannot be readily determined because information regarding each unitholder's tax attributes in the Partnership is not available to the Partnership.

Global Montello Group Corp. ("Global Montello") is a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. Current and deferred income taxes are recognized on the separate earnings of Global Montello for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The after-tax earnings of Global Montello are included in the earnings of the Partnership. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes for Global Montello. See Note 4.

On January 1, 2007, the Partnership adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." It also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and it provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Partnership performed an evaluation of all material tax positions for the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions as of December 31, 2008 (tax years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006). Tax positions that do not meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the financial statement date may not be recognized or continue to be recognized under FIN 48. Based on such evaluation, the Partnership concluded that there were no significant uncertain tax positions requiring adjustment regarding recognition in its financial statements as of December 31, 2008.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

***Concentration of Risk***

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Partnership to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, firm commitments and, under certain circumstances, futures contracts, options and swap agreements. The Partnership invests excess cash primarily in investment-grade securities and, by policy, limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. The Partnership provides credit, in the normal course of business, primarily to other wholesale and retail petroleum companies and generally does not require collateral. The Partnership performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and provides for credit losses based on specific information and historical trends. Credit risk on trade receivables is minimized as a result of the Partnership's large customer base. Losses have historically been within management's expectations. See Note 3 for a discussion regarding risk of credit loss related to futures contracts, options and swap agreements.

As demand for some of the Partnership's refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in the Partnership's quarterly operating results.

The Partnership's gasoline sales accounted for approximately 50%, 43% and 37% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Distillate sales accounted for approximately 46%, 50% and 53% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and residual oil sales accounted for approximately 4%, 7% and 10% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The Partnership had one customer, ExxonMobil Oil Corporation ("ExxonMobil") who accounted for approximately 20% of sales for the year ended December 31, 2008 and approximately 12% of accounts receivable and 14% of sales as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007. No single customer accounted for 10% or more of sales for the year ended December 31, 2006.

***Derivative Financial Instruments***

SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended ("SFAS No. 133"), establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities and requires that an entity recognize derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measure the instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative are to be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

***Fair Value Hedges***

The fair value of the Partnership's derivatives is determined through the use of independent markets and is based upon the prevailing market prices of such instruments at the date of valuation. The Partnership enters into futures contracts for the receipt or delivery of refined petroleum products in future periods. The contracts are entered into in the normal course of business to reduce risk of loss of inventory on hand, which could result through fluctuations in market prices. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized currently in earnings. Ineffectiveness related to these hedging activities was immaterial as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

The Partnership also uses futures contracts and swap agreements to hedge exposure under forward purchase and sale commitments. These agreements are intended to hedge the cost component of virtually all of the Partnership's forward purchase and sale commitments. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as offsetting gains or losses on the forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments, are recognized currently in earnings. Gains and losses on net product margin from forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts are reflected in earnings as these contracts mature.

The Partnership also markets and sells natural gas. The Partnership generally conducts business by entering into forward purchase commitments for natural gas only when it simultaneously enters into arrangements for the sale of product for physical delivery to third-party users. The Partnership generally takes delivery under its purchase commitments at the same location as it delivers to third-party users. Through these transactions, which establish an immediate margin, the Partnership seeks to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between firm forward purchase and sales commitments. Natural gas is generally purchased and sold at fixed prices and quantities. Current price quotes from actively traded markets are used in all cases to determine the contracts' fair value. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized currently in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. See Note 3 for additional information on fair value hedges.

*Cash Flow Hedges*

The Partnership links all hedges that are designated as cash flow hedges to forecasted transactions. To the extent such hedges are effective, the changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated as a cash flow hedge and accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on the Partnership's \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. See Note 3 for additional information on the interest rate collars.

*Financial Instruments*

The fair value of the Partnership's financial instruments approximated the carrying value as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, in each case due to the short-term and the variable interest rate nature of the financial instruments.

*Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit*

The computation of net income per limited partner unit is based on the weighted average number of common and subordinated units, or limited partner units, outstanding during the year. Basic and diluted net income per limited partner unit are determined by dividing net income after deducting the amount allocated to the general partner interest (including incentive distributions on the incentive distribution rights held by the General Partner) by the weighted average number of outstanding limited partner units during the period in accordance with EITF 03-06, "Participating Securities and the

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128 ("EITF 03-06"). EITF 03-06 addresses the computation of earnings per share (in the Partnership's case, net income per limited partner unit) by an entity that has issued securities other than common stock (in the Partnership's case, limited partner units) that contractually entitle the holder to participate in dividends and earnings of the entity when, and if, it declares dividends on its common stock (in the Partnership's case, distributions on its limited partner units). Essentially, EITF 03-06 provides that in any accounting period where the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distribution for such period, the Partnership is required to present net income per limited partner unit as if all of the earnings for the periods were distributed, regardless of whether those earnings would actually be distributed during a particular period from an economic or practical perspective. EITF 03-06 does not impact the Partnership's overall net income or other financial results; however, for periods in which the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distributions for such period, it will have the impact of reducing the net income per limited partner unit. This result occurs as a larger portion of the Partnership's aggregate earnings is allocated to the incentive distribution rights held by the General Partner, as if distributed, even though the Partnership makes cash distributions on the basis of cash available for distribution, not earnings, in any given accounting period. In accounting periods where aggregate net income does not exceed aggregate distributions for such period, EITF 03-06 does not have any impact on the Partnership's net income per limited partner unit calculation.

The following sets forth the net income allocation and per unit data using this method for the years ended December 31 (in thousands, except per unit data):

	2008	2007	2006
Net income	\$ 21,055	\$ 47,013	\$ 33,461
Less:			
General Partner's general partner interest(1)	(364)	(903)	(669)
Non-cash reduction under EITF 98-05 allocated to limited partners(2)		(16,400)	
Net income available to limited partners	20,691	29,710	32,792
Dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings	(2,429)	(13,786)	(5,085)
Net income available to limited partners under EITF 03-06 and EITF 98-05	\$ 18,262	\$ 15,924	\$ 27,707
Per unit data:			
Net income available to limited partners	\$ 1.59	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.91
Dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings	(0.19)	(1.21)	(0.45)
Net income available to limited partners under EITF 03-06 and EITF 98-05(3)	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.38	\$ 2.46
Weighted average limited partner units outstanding	13,071	12,444	11,285

(1)

Calculation includes the effect of the private placement of Class B units on May 9, 2007 and, as a result, the general partner interest was 1.73% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and, based on a weighted average, 1.92% for the year ended December 31, 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the general partner interest was 2%.



## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

- (2) In connection with the private placement of Class B units (see Note 16), the Partnership was required to take into account the effect of EITF 98-05, "Accounting for Convertible Securities with Beneficial Conversion Features or Contingently Adjustable Conversion Ratios" ("EITF 98-05"). As a result, a non-cash reduction in net income available to limited partners was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007 because the fair value of the Partnership's common units on May 9, 2007 (the date on which the Class B units were issued) was greater than the purchase price of the Class B units, which was established at the time of the execution of the Unit Purchase Agreement on March 14, 2007. Although EITF 98-05 affected net income available to limited partners, it did not affect net income nor did it affect total partners' equity.
- (3) Per unit data includes the weighted average effect of the private placement of Class B units which converted to common units for year ended December 31, 2007. Per unit data is calculated on a quarterly basis; therefore, per unit data for the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 equals the sums of the respective four quarters.

On April 23, 2008, the board of directors of our General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from January 1, 2008 through March 31, 2008. On July 21, 2008, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008. On October 22, 2008, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from July 1, 2008 through September 30, 2008. On January 21, 2009, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from October 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008.

These declared cash distributions resulted in incentive distributions to the General Partner, as the holder of the incentive distribution rights, as indicated above, and enabled the Partnership to reach its second target distribution with respect to such incentive distribution rights. See Note 15, "Partners' Equity, Allocation and Cash Distributions" for further information.

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

	Investments	Pension Plan	Derivatives	Total
<b>Balance at December 31, 2006</b>	\$ 12,837	\$ 422	\$	\$ 13,259
Realized gain on investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. and NYMEX seats	(12,837)			(12,837)
Change in fair value of interest rate collar			(1,328)	(1,328)
Change in pension liability		(1,810)		(1,810)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2007</b>		(1,388)	(1,328)	(2,716)
Change in fair value of interest rate collars			(9,518)	(9,518)
Change in pension liability		(3,029)		(3,029)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2008</b>	\$	\$(4,417)	\$ (10,846)	\$(15,263)



GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157. This statement defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosures regarding fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies only to fair value measurements currently required or permitted by other accounting standards and is expected to increase the consistency of those measurements. In February 2008, the FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually) until January 1, 2009. The Partnership is in the process of evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 157 on its non-recurring fair value measurements. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008 for its financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The partial adoption of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. See Note 15.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 159") which provides entities with an option to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently measured at fair value. The election to use the fair value option is available when an entity first recognizes a financial asset or financial liability or upon entering into a firm commitment. Subsequent changes in fair value must be recorded in earnings. This statement also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008 and elected not to use the fair value option for its existing financial assets and liabilities and, therefore, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have an impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141(R)") which retains the fundamental requirements of the original pronouncement requiring that the purchase method be used for all business combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) defines the acquirer as the entity that obtains control of one or more businesses in the business combination, establishes the acquisition date as the date that the acquirer achieves control and requires the acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest at their fair values as of the acquisition date. SFAS No. 141(R) also requires that acquisition-related costs be recognized separately from the acquisition. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date occurs in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Partnership will adopt SFAS No. 141(R) on January 1, 2009 and does not believe SFAS No. 141(R) will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS No. 161") which enhances the requirements under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivatives and hedging activities and how they affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 will be effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Partnership will adopt SFAS No. 161 on January 1, 2009 and does not believe SFAS No. 161 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the EITF approved consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-04, "Application of the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128 to Master Limited Partnerships" ("EITF 07-04") which specifies the treatment of earnings per unit calculations when incentive distributions rights exist

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

in master limited partnerships. Under EITF 07-04, when earnings exceed cash distributions, undistributed earnings are to be allocated to the general partner, limited partners and holders of the incentive distribution rights based on the distribution formula for available cash set forth in the partnership agreement. Conversely, when cash distributions exceed earnings, net income (or loss) would be reduced (or increased) by distributions to the general partner, limited partners and holders of incentive distribution rights. The excess of distributions over earnings would be allocated to the general partner and limited partners based on their respective sharing of losses set forth in the partnership agreement. EITF 07-04 will be effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be applied retrospectively. The Partnership will adopt EITF 07-04 on January 1, 2009 and is in the process of evaluating the impact of EITF 07-04 on its computation of earnings per unit.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets" ("FSP FAS 142-3"). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). The objective of FSP FAS 142-3 is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R) and other GAAP. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those years. The Partnership will adopt FSP FAS 142-3 on January 1, 2009 and does not believe FSP FAS 142-3 will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("SFAS No. 162"). SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP in the United States. The Partnership adopted SFAS No. 162 as of December 31, 2008 which did not have a material impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities (as defined in EITF 03-06) and, therefore, should be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. However, the award would not be considered a participating security if the holder forfeits the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents in the event that the award does not vest. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those years. Upon adoption, FSP EITF 03-6-1 requires that all prior-period earnings per share data presented be adjusted retrospectively. The Partnership will adopt FSP EITF 03-6-1 on January 1, 2009. The adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 is not expected to have an impact on the Partnership's earnings per unit because the distribution equivalent rights granted under the Partnership's Long-Term Incentive Plan (see Note 13) are not considered participating securities.

In September 2008, the FASB issued EITF 08-05, "Issuer's Accounting for Liabilities Measured at Fair Value with a Third Party Credit Enhancement" ("EITF 08-05") which provides guidelines for the determination of the unit of accounting for a liability issued with an inseparable third-party credit

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

enhancement when it is measured or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. The effect of the credit enhancement is to be excluded in the fair value measurement of the liability, and entities are required to disclose the existence of the inseparable third-party credit enhancement on the issued liability. EITF 08-05 is effective on a prospective basis beginning in the first reporting period on or after December 15, 2008, with the effect of initial application included in the change in fair value of the liability in the period of adoption. The Partnership will adopt EITF 08-05 on January 1, 2009 and is in the process the evaluating the impact of EITF 08-05 on its consolidated financial statements.

## Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments

*Fair Value Hedges*

The composition and fair value of derivative instruments relating to forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts on the Partnership's consolidated balance sheet consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Futures contracts	\$ 138,741	\$ (37,355)
Swaps, options and other, net	15,092	(3,795)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 153,833</b>	<b>\$ (41,150)</b>

The Partnership formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items after its risk management objectives and strategy for undertaking the hedge are determined. The Partnership calculates hedge effectiveness on a quarterly basis. This process includes specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be assessed. Both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, the Partnership assesses whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value of hedged items. The derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are fair value hedges.

The Partnership has a daily margin requirement with its broker based on the prior day's market results on open futures contracts. The required brokerage margin balance was \$9.0 million and \$12.5 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Partnership is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of futures contracts, options and swap agreements, but the Partnership has no current reason to expect any material nonperformance by any of these counterparties. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized by the Partnership, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. Exposure on swap and certain option agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates. The Partnership utilizes primarily one clearing broker, a major financial institution, for all New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of all derivative instruments is presented on a net basis on the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 2 for additional information on fair value hedges.

*Cash Flow Hedges*

The Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated as a cash flow hedge and accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments (continued)**

to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, the Partnership capped its exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. As of December 31, 2008, the three-month LIBOR rate of 2.13% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, the Partnership will remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$216,000 and was recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet. The fair values of the first collar were liabilities of approximately \$5.3 million and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and were recorded in both other long-term liabilities and accumulated other comprehensive income. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and is assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively. The changes in the fair value of the first collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the three-month LIBOR rate above and below the first collar's strike rates. Ineffectiveness related to the first collar was immaterial at December 31, 2008.

On September 29, 2008, the Partnership executed its second zero premium interest rate collar. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on the Partnership's \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, the Partnership capped its exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. As of December 31, 2008, the one-month LIBOR rate of 1.90% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, the Partnership will remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$67,000 and was recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet. As of December 31, 2008, the fair value of the second collar was a liability of approximately \$5.5 million and was recorded in both other long-term liabilities and accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and is assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively, using the regression analysis. The changes in the fair value of the second collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR rate above and below the second collar's strike rates. Ineffectiveness related to the second collar was immaterial at December 31, 2008.

**Note 4. Income Taxes**

The following table presents a reconciliation of the difference between the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31:

	2008	2007	2006
Federal statutory income tax rate	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%
State income tax rate, net of federal tax benefit	6.4%	6.3%	6.3%
Partnership income not subject to tax	(35.2)%	(37.8)%	(35.5)%
Effective income tax rate	5.2%	2.5%	4.8%

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 4. Income Taxes (continued)**

The following table presents the components of the provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
<b>Current:</b>			
Federal	\$ 903	\$ 984	\$ 1,195
State	279	288	221
Total current	1,182	1,272	1,416
<b>Deferred:</b>			
Federal	(23)	(62)	226
State	(7)	(19)	24
Total deferred	(30)	(81)	250
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,152</b>	<b>\$ 1,191</b>	<b>\$ 1,666</b>

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Partnership had net deferred tax assets of approximately \$80,000 and \$50,000, respectively, which primarily represent the difference between tax and book amortization.

The following presents a reconciliation of the differences between income before income tax expense and income subject to income tax expense for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Income before income tax expense	\$ 22,207	\$ 48,204	\$ 35,127
Non-taxable income	(19,669)	(45,560)	(31,856)
Income subject to income tax expense	\$ 2,538	\$ 2,644	\$ 3,271

The Partnership made approximately \$0.4 million, \$2.7 million and \$1.4 million in income tax payments during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

**Note 5. Terminal Acquisitions**

On May 9, 2007, the Partnership acquired three refined petroleum products terminals located in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont from ExxonMobil for cash consideration of approximately \$101.5 million plus \$1.1 million in acquisition costs, for an aggregate purchase price of \$102.6 million. The Partnership financed the acquisition through an expansion of its credit facility, proceeds from the sale of its NYMEX Holdings, Inc. shares and related NYMEX seats (Note 8) and the private placement of Class B units (Note 16). This acquisition was accounted for as an asset acquisition.

On November 14, 2007, the Partnership acquired two refined petroleum products terminals located in Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York from ExxonMobil for cash consideration of approximately \$34.7 million plus \$0.7 million in acquisition costs, for an aggregate purchase price of \$35.4 million. The Partnership financed the acquisition through an expansion of its credit facility. This acquisition was accounted for as an asset acquisition.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 5. Terminal Acquisitions (continued)**

Final purchase price allocations based on fair market values of acquired assets have been completed. The following table presents the allocation of the aggregate purchase price of the assets acquired and environmental liabilities assumed during 2007 (in thousands):

<b>Assets purchased:</b>	
Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	\$ 99,571
Land	20,692
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	251
Intangible assets (see Note 7)	26,722
Total assets purchased	147,236
Less environmental liabilities assumed (see Note 10)	(9,216)
Total purchase price	\$ 138,020

In May 2006, the Partnership acquired a terminal in Bridgeport, Connecticut for approximately \$2.2 million and incurred approximately \$0.2 million of buyer-related costs for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$2.4 million.

In September 2006, the Partnership acquired a terminal in Macungie, Pennsylvania for approximately \$3.9 million and incurred approximately \$0.2 million of buyer-related costs for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$4.1 million.

**Note 6. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	\$ 148,815	\$ 132,429
Land	26,110	26,110
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	7,639	6,465
Construction in process	2,361	8,451
	184,925	173,455
Less accumulated depreciation	(22,937)	(11,721)
Total	\$ 161,988	\$ 161,734

***Construction in process***

In November 2007, the Partnership entered into two separate sublease agreements for land located at the Port of Providence in Rhode Island. The terminal at one parcel opened for business in January 2008 and provides the Partnership with storage for distillates and biofuels. The terminal at the other parcel opened for business in November 2008 and provides the Partnership with storage for refined petroleum products. The initial term of each sublease began on November 1, 2007 and will expire on April 29, 2012. Each sublease is being accounted for as an operating lease and has option terms that, if exercised, would extend the sublease through August 29, 2036. Total expenses under the subleases were approximately \$586,000 and \$127,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 6. Property and Equipment (continued)**

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Partnership was in the application development stage of internally developing software currently intended for internal use. The capitalized balance related to the development of this software was \$1.8 million and \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. As the software has not been implemented for its intended use, no amortization was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

The Partnership had other construction in process of approximately \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007.

**Depreciation**

Depreciation expense allocated to cost of sales was approximately \$10.2 million, \$6.0 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Depreciation expense allocated to selling, general and administrative expenses was approximately \$1.0 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The Partnership wrote off fully depreciated assets that were no longer in service in the amounts of approximately \$18,000 and \$412,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. There were no fully depreciated assets written off for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Note 7. Intangible Assets**

Intangible assets consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Amortization Period
<b>December 31, 2008</b>				
Intangible assets subject to amortization:				
Terminalling services	\$ 26,365	\$ (2,061)	\$ 24,305	20 years
Workforce	347	(24)	323	20 years
Customer relationships	11,462	(5,632)	5,830	8-12 years
Software	1,139	(1,025)	114	5 years
Covenants not to compete	803	(240)	563	3-5 years
Customer contracts	307	(307)		2 years
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,423</b>	<b>(9,289)</b>	<b>31,134</b>	
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269		269	Indefinite
<b>Total intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 40,692</b>	<b>\$ (9,289)</b>	<b>\$ 31,403</b>	

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 7. Intangible Assets (continued)

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Amortization Period
<b>December 31, 2007</b>				
Intangible assets subject to amortization:				
Terminalling services	\$ 26,365	\$ (742)	\$ 25,623	20 years
Workforce	347	(7)	340	20 years
Customer relationships	11,462	(4,429)	7,033	8-12 years
Software	1,139	(797)	342	5 years
Covenants not to compete	630	(69)	561	3-5 years
Customer contracts	307	(307)		2 years
<b>Total</b>	<b>40,250</b>	<b>(6,351)</b>	<b>33,899</b>	
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269		269	Indefinite
<b>Total intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 40,519</b>	<b>\$ (6,351)</b>	<b>\$ 34,168</b>	

The aggregate amortization expense was approximately \$2.9 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The estimated annual intangible asset amortization expense for future years ending December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$ 2,859
2010	2,722
2011	2,646
2012	2,202
2013	1,764
Thereafter	18,941
<b>Total intangible assets subject to amortization</b>	<b>31,134</b>
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269
<b>Total intangible assets</b>	<b>\$31,403</b>

## Note 8. Investment in Equity Securities

The Partnership held an investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. which was accounted for under the provisions of SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." The Partnership recorded an unrealized gain of \$12.8 million in other comprehensive income and related dividend income of \$515,000 in the accompanying statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2006.

On March 6, 2007, the Partnership sold its investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with its NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million in the accompanying statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2007.



GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 9. Debt**

*Credit Agreement*

The Partnership has a senior secured credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with total available commitments of \$750.0 million. There are three facilities under the Credit Agreement:

a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of the Partnership's borrowing base and \$650.0 million; the \$650.0 million includes two \$50.0 million seasonal overline facilities that are available each year only during the period between September 1 and June 30. On July 18, 2008, the Credit Agreement was amended to reinstate the seasonal overline period from July 21, 2008 through August 31, 2008;

an \$85.0 million acquisition facility to be used for funding acquisitions similar to the Partnership's business line that have a purchase price of \$25.0 million or less or \$35.0 million or less in the aggregate in any 12-month period; and

a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for general purposes, including payment of distributions to the Partnership's unitholders.

In addition, the Credit Agreement has an accordion feature whereby the Partnership may request on the same terms and conditions of its then existing Credit Agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) then exists, an increase to: (1) the acquisition facility by up to another \$50.0 million, for a total acquisition facility of up to \$135.0 million; and (2) the working capital revolving credit facility by up to another \$200.0 million, for a total working capital revolving credit facility of up to \$850.0 million. Any such request for an increase by the Partnership must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million, and no more than three such requests may be made for each facility. The Partnership, however, cannot provide assurance that its lending group will agree to fund any request by the Partnership for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$750.0 million.

Availability under our working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under the credit agreement, we can borrow only up to the level of our then current borrowing base. Availability under our borrowing base may be affected by events beyond our control, such as changes in refined petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits and deteriorating economic conditions. These and other events could require us to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. We can provide no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained, or if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to us.

During the period from January 1, 2008 through July 20, 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, borrowings under the Partnership's working capital revolving credit, acquisition and revolving credit facilities bore interest at the Partnership's option at (1) the Eurodollar rate, plus 1%, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>% and 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, respectively (for 2006, the rate for the acquisition facility was the Eurodollar rate, plus 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>%), (2) the cost of funds rate, plus 1%, 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>% and 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>%, respectively, or (3) the bank's base rate.

Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, as amended, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio (as such

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 9. Debt (continued)**

term is defined in the Credit Agreement). Commencing July 21, 2008, borrowings under the acquisition and revolving credit facilities bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.25% to 2.75%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, as amended, which in turn depends upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio. The average interest rates for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were 4.58%, 6.3%, and 6.0%, respectively.

In addition, the Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of long-term three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on the Partnership's \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate (see Note 3 for further discussion on the interest rate collars).

The Partnership incurs a letter of credit fee of 2% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, the Partnership incurs a commitment fee on the unused portion of the three facilities under the Credit Agreement (including the unused portion of either of the seasonal overline facilities exercised by the Partnership) equal to 0.3% to 0.375% per annum, depending on the pricing level and the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio provided in the Credit Agreement. The Partnership also incurs a facility fee of 0.1% per annum on any unexercised seasonal overline facility during the period between September 1 and June 30 and a seasonal overline fee of \$30,000 each time the Partnership elects to exercise either of the seasonal overline facilities.

The Credit Agreement will mature on April 22, 2011. The Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a long-term liability because the Partnership has a multi-year, long-term commitment from its bank group. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was \$154.1 million and \$119.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, representing the amounts expected to be outstanding during the year. In addition, the Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a current liability because it repays amounts outstanding and reborrows funds based on its working capital requirements. The current portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was approximately \$208.2 million and \$304.8 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, representing the amounts the Partnership expects to pay down during the course of the year.

As of December 31, 2008, the Partnership had total borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement of \$433.5 million, including \$71.2 million outstanding on the acquisition facility. In addition, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$105.2 million. The total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$211.3 million and \$86.6 million, respectively.

The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Partnership and each of the Companies and is guaranteed by the General Partner. The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements including, for example, a prohibition against distributions if any potential default or Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) would occur, and limitations on the Partnership's ability to grant liens, make certain loans or investments, incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness, make any material change to the nature of the Partnership's business or undergo a fundamental change, make any material dispositions, acquire another company, enter into a merger,

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 9. Debt (continued)**

consolidation, sale leaseback transaction or purchase of assets, or make capital expenditures in excess of specified levels.

The Credit Agreement imposes covenants that require the Partnership to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum EBITDA ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. On July 18, 2008, the Credit Agreement was amended whereby the Minimum EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) as at the end of the June 30, 2008 fiscal quarter and each fiscal quarter ending thereafter is required to be not less than \$30.0 million for the period of four consecutive fiscal quarters most recently ended. In addition, the minimum combined interest coverage ratio was reduced to 1.75:1.00 for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. The Partnership was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2008. The Credit Agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The Credit Agreement also requires that in each calendar year, the outstanding amount under the working capital revolving credit facility must be equal to or less than \$263.0 million for a period of ten consecutive calendar days. On September 26, 2008, the Credit Agreement was amended which included, among other things, acknowledgment of compliance of this provision for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Credit Agreement limits distributions by the Partnership to its unitholders to the amount of the Partnership's available cash and permits borrowings to fund such distributions only under the \$15.0 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility is subject to an annual "clean-down" period, requiring the Partnership to reduce the amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility to \$0 for 30 consecutive calendar days in each calendar year.

The lending group under the Credit Agreement includes the following institutions: Bank of America, N.A.; Standard Chartered Bank; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Societe Generale; RBS Citizens, National Association; Sovereign Bank; Fortis Capital Corp.; Webster Bank National Association; KeyBank National Association; TD Bank, N.A. (f/k/a TD BankNorth, N.A.); Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Wachovia Bank, National Association; and Calyon New York Branch.

***Term Note Note Payable***

In 2001, the Partnership purchased the Fore River Terminal in South Portland, Maine. The purchase price was approximately \$3.4 million and included issuance by the Partnership of a promissory note for \$3.0 million with a 7% interest rate. The note was secured by the property acquired. The note amortization commenced in June 2001 and extended through May 2008. Monthly payments of principal and interest were approximately \$34,800 through April 2008, with a final principal payment of \$1.1 million paid in May 2008.

**Note 10. Environmental Liabilities**

The Partnership currently owns or leases properties where refined petroleum products are being or have been handled. These properties and the refined petroleum products handled thereon may be subject to federal and state environmental laws and regulations. Under such laws and regulations, the Partnership could be required to remove or remediate containerized hazardous liquids or associated generated wastes (including wastes disposed of or abandoned by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property arising from the release of liquids or wastes to the environment, including contaminated groundwater, or to implement best management practices to prevent future contamination.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 10. Environmental Liabilities (continued)**

The Partnership maintains insurance of various types with varying levels of coverage that it considers adequate under the circumstances to cover its operations and properties. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles that the Partnership considers reasonable and not excessive. In addition, the Partnership has entered into indemnification agreements with various sellers in conjunction with several of its acquisitions. Allocation of environmental liability is an issue negotiated in connection with each of the Partnership's acquisition transactions. In each case, the Partnership makes an assessment of potential environmental liability exposure based on available information. Based on that assessment and relevant economic and risk factors, the Partnership determines whether to, and the extent to which it will, assume liability for existing environmental conditions.

In connection with the November 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil to and approved by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") with respect to both terminals. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million, of which approximately \$0.6 million was paid by the Partnership for the year ended December 31, 2008. The remaining liability of \$0.6 million was recorded as a current liability of \$0.4 million and a long-term liability of \$0.2 million on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. The remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil have been implemented by Global Companies LLC. The Partnership does not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on its operations.

In connection with the May 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$8.0 million, of which approximately \$0.1 million was paid for the year ended December 31, 2008 for a balance of \$7.9 million. In June 2008, the Partnership submitted a remedial action work plan to NYDEC, implementing NYDEC's conditional approval of the remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil. Although NYDEC has not yet officially approved the remedial action work plan submitted by the Partnership, the Partnership has responded to NYDEC's requests for additional information and conducted pilot tests for the remediation outlined in the work plan. Based on the results of such pilot tests, the Partnership changed its estimate of the environmental liability by \$2.8 million. The remaining liability of \$5.1 million was recorded as a current liability of \$2.9 million and a long-term liability of \$2.2 million on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. Subject to NYDEC's approval of the proposed remedial action work plan, the Partnership does not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on its operations.

In connection with the 2006 acquisition of its Macungie, Pennsylvania terminal (the "Global Macungie Terminal"), the Partnership assumed certain existing environmental liabilities at the terminal. The Partnership did not accrue for these contingencies as it believes that the aggregate amount of these liabilities cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. The Partnership also executed an Administrative Order on Consent ("AOC") with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III requiring certain investigatory activities at the Global Macungie Terminal. Although the Partnership cannot

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 10. Environmental Liabilities (continued)**

predict the outcome of the investigation of the Global Macungie Terminal, based upon current information, the Partnership does not anticipate that the outcome will have a material adverse effect on it. Furthermore, the Partnership does not believe that compliance with the terms of the AOC executed by it will result in material costs or have a material impact on the Partnership's operations.

As previously disclosed, Global Companies LLC, in addition to several affiliates, has been named as one of over 50 defendants in two lawsuits alleging methyl tertiary-butyl ether ("MTBE") contamination of groundwater in Massachusetts. MTBE is an oxygenate that has been used extensively to reduce motor vehicle tailpipe emissions. In the cases of *Town of Duxbury, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.*, filed December 31, 2003, and *City of Lowell v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al.*, filed December 30, 2004, plaintiffs allege that manufacturers, refiners and others involved in the distribution of gasoline containing MTBE are liable for the costs of investigating possible MTBE groundwater contamination, treating such contaminated groundwater where found, and related relief including treble damages and injunctive relief. The plaintiffs in these cases generally claim to be public water providers or municipal or other government authorities. These cases have been consolidated in multi-district litigation with over 60 other MTBE cases in federal court in the Southern District of New York. The Partnership entered into an agreement, in principle, to settle these cases and, as a result, the Partnership recorded \$0.9 million as a current environmental liability on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. The Partnership does not believe that settlement of these cases will have a material impact on its operations.

The Partnership's estimates used in these reserves are based on all known facts at the time and its assessment of the ultimate remedial action outcomes. Among the many uncertainties that impact the Partnership's estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, its remediation plans, the amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Therefore, although the Partnership believes that the reserve is adequate, no assurances can be made that any costs incurred in excess of this reserve or outside of indemnifications or not otherwise covered by insurance would not have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Note 11. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities**

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Barging transportation, product storage and other ancillary costs	\$ 15,208	\$ 17,919
Swaps and other derivatives	1,268	4,836
Trustee taxes (taxes other than income tax)	32,578	39,495
Employee compensation	1,681	5,608
Other	3,319	1,904
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 54,054</b>	<b>\$ 69,762</b>

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 11. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities (continued)**

Trustee taxes consisted of various pass-through taxes collected from customers on behalf of taxing authorities. Employee compensation consisted of bonuses, vacation and other salary accruals. Ancillary costs consisted of cost accruals related to product expediting and storage.

**Note 12. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party**

The General Partner has a qualified 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan that covers eligible employees. Contributions under the plan are determined annually, at the sole discretion of the General Partner's board of directors. The General Partner's discretionary matching contributions to the 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan have been equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 3% of the employee's compensation. Matching contributions greater than this level are allowed under the plan. The General Partner's matching contributions on behalf of higher-paid employees are subject to certain limitations under federal law. Employees may elect to contribute up to 60% of their compensation to the 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan for each plan year. Employee contributions are subject to annual dollar limitations, which are periodically adjusted by the cost of living index. This plan had expenses of approximately \$500,000, \$385,000 and \$311,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of income.

In addition, the General Partner has a qualified pension plan (the "Plan") that covers all eligible employees. On December 31, 2006, the Partnership adopted SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) ("SFAS No. 158") which amends SFAS No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions" ("SFAS No. 87"). Under SFAS No. 158, the Partnership is required to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of its defined benefit pension plan as an asset or liability in its consolidated balance sheets and to recognize changes in that funded status through comprehensive income in the year in which such changes occur. The funded status is measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the Plan's benefit obligation, with the benefit obligation including all actuarial gains and losses, prior service cost and any remaining transition amounts. SFAS No. 158 also requires the measurement date of the funded status to be the same as the entity's fiscal year end which, in the Partnership's case, was December 31, 2008. SFAS No. 158 did not change the components of net periodic benefit cost. All items deferred when applying SFAS No. 87 were to be recognized as a component of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, if any.

The following presents reconciliations of the beginning and ending balances of the benefit obligation, fair value of plan assets, funded status and the accumulated benefit obligation at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
<b>Change in benefit obligation:</b>		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 13,486	\$ 11,010
Service cost	1,061	814
Interest cost	800	654
Benefits paid	(496)	(496)
Actuarial loss	551	1,504
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	15,402	13,486

F-29

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 12. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party (continued)

	2008	2007
<b>Change in plan assets:</b>		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	8,250	7,840
Actual return on plan assets	(1,818)	326
Employer contributions	613	580
Benefits paid	(496)	(496)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	6,549	8,250
<b>Funded status at end of year</b>	<b>\$ (8,853)</b>	<b>\$ (5,236)</b>
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	4,417	1,388
Net amount recognized	\$ (4,436)	\$ (3,848)
<b>Accumulated benefit obligation</b>	<b>\$10,852</b>	<b>\$10,318</b>

The following presents amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Accrued pension benefit cost	\$ 8,853	\$ 5,236
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,417)	(1,388)
Net amount recognized	\$ 4,436	\$ 3,848

All of the Partnership's prior service costs and transition amounts have been fully amortized at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The unrecognized net actuarial losses of \$4.4 million and \$1.4 million and gain of \$0.4 million are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and 2006, respectively, and in Note 2.

The following presents the components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Plan (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
Service cost	\$1,061	\$ 814	\$ 765
Interest cost	800	654	597
Expected return on plan assets	(662)	(632)	(578)
Recognized net actuarial loss	3		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$1,202	\$ 836	\$ 784

The Partnership expects to recognize approximately \$192,000 of the net actuarial loss as a component of net periodic benefit cost during 2009.

The following presents the weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in determining the Plan's annual pension expense:

	2008	2007	2006
Discount rate	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Expected return on plan assets	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Rate of compensation increase	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 12. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party (continued)**

The following presents the benefits as of December 31, 2008 expected to be paid in each of the next five fiscal years and in the aggregate for the next five fiscal years thereafter (in thousands):

2009	\$ 322
2010	344
2011	388
2012	576
2013	747
2014-2018	5,433
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$7,810</b>

The General Partner expects to contribute, and the Partnership expects to reimburse the General Partner for, approximately \$1.2 million to the Plan for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008 and will make this contribution in 2009.

**Investment Policy Summary**

The fundamental investment objective of the Plan is to provide a rate of return sufficient to fund the retirement benefits under the Plan at a reasonable cost to the General Partner, which is the Plan sponsor. At a minimum, the rate of return should equal or exceed the discount rate assumed by the Plan's actuaries in projecting the funding cost of the Plan under applicable Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or ERISA, standards. To do so, the General Partner's Pension Committee (the "Committee") may appoint one or more investment managers to invest all or portions of the assets of the Plan in accordance with specific investment guidelines, objectives, standards and benchmarks.

Because the Committee expects the Plan's investment income, when combined with anticipated contributions by the General Partner, to exceed the sum of benefit payments and expenses over the next several years, the Committee intends that the Plan be managed to achieve long-term returns, with only a small percentage of the Plan invested in cash.

The General Partner's Plan asset allocation, all of which is with unrelated parties, at December 31, was as follows:

	2008	2007	2006
Cash and cash equivalents		2.6%	0.9%
Fixed income securities	52.8%	42.4%	40.1%
Equity securities	47.2%	55.0%	59.0%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Expected Long-term Rate of Return on Plan Assets**

The expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption is based on historical experience and consultation with the General Partner's actuarial consultants. The current 8.0% assumption compares to the historical weighted average compound return of 3.3% actually achieved by the Plan assets in the Companies' ten years of existence. Because the Plan has only been in existence since December 1999, the time period over which the 3.3% return was calculated does not accurately reflect the long-term



GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 12. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party (continued)**

investment return which the Plan sponsor expects to be achieved. The Plan was created in December 1999 with a transfer of assets from the pension plan of Global Petroleum Corp. ("GPC"). Considering the investment return of the Plan from 1995 through December 1998, the calculation of the average investment return would increase the 3.3% investment return to 8.5% over a 14-year period.

***Non-qualified Pension and Deferred Compensation Plans***

The Partnership has a non-qualified pension plan for a former employee, which commenced fixed payments in November of 2003. The plan calls for payments over a fifteen-year period from the commencement date. Total remaining future fixed payments under this plan consist of \$0.1 million per year for the period from 2009 through 2013 for an aggregate amount of \$0.8 million. The Partnership had a discounted obligation of approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million recorded in long term liabilities at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The General Partner also has two non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements. One arrangement is for an executive officer that calls for annual payments in the aggregate amount of \$70,000 for fifteen consecutive years from the commencement date. The other arrangement is for a former executive officer that calls for annual payments in the aggregate amount of \$85,000 for fifteen consecutive years from the commencement date. The Partnership had a total discounted obligation of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million recorded in long-term liabilities at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. In accordance with the provisions of the Partnership's partnership agreement, the General Partner will be reimbursed by the Partnership for payments to the executive officers under these non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements.

***Long-Term Incentive Plan***

Please see Note 13 for a discussion of the Long-Term Incentive Plan.

**Note 13. Long-Term Incentive Plan**

In October 2005, the General Partner adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") whereby 564,242 common units were authorized for issuance. Any units delivered pursuant to an award under the LTIP may be acquired in the open market or from any affiliate, be newly issued units or any combination of the foregoing. The LTIP provides for awards to employees, consultants and directors of the General Partner and employees and consultants of affiliates of the Partnership who perform services for the Partnership. The LTIP allows for the award of unit options, unit appreciation rights, restricted units, phantom units and distribution equivalent rights ("DERs").

***Long-Term Incentive Plan***

On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors of the General Partner granted awards of phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP to certain employees and non-employee directors of the General Partner. The phantom units granted will cliff vest on December 31, 2009 and become payable on a one-for-one basis in common units of the Partnership (or cash equivalent) upon the achievement of certain performance goals over the vesting period. The DERs that were granted in tandem with the phantom units will vest and become payable in cash simultaneously with the vesting of the phantom units. Any phantom units and associated DERs that

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 13. Long-Term Incentive Plan (continued)**

have not vested as of the end of the cliff vesting period will be forfeited. The Partnership currently intends and reasonably expects to issue and deliver the common units upon vesting.

SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," requires that a non-vested equity share unit awarded to an employee is to be measured at its fair value as if it were vested and issued on the grant date. The fair value of the Partnership's award at the August 14, 2007 grant date approximated the fair value of the Partnership's common unit at that date.

SFAS No. 123(R) requires compensation cost for an award of share-based employee compensation classified as equity, as is the case of the Partnership's award, to be recognized over the requisite service period. The requisite service period for the Partnership is from August 14, 2007, the grant date, through December 31, 2009 (the "Requisite Service Period"). The Partnership will recognize as compensation expense the value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest over the Requisite Service Period on a straight-line basis. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), the Partnership estimated forfeitures at the time of grant. Such estimates, which were based on the Partnership's service and performance history, are revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from estimates.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Partnership recorded compensation expenses of approximately \$745,000 and \$297,000, respectively, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The total compensation cost related to the non-vested awards not yet recognized at December 31, 2008 is approximately \$0.8 million and is expected to be recognized ratably over the remaining Requisite Service Period. The Partnership recorded no unit-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2006 as no other awards of any kind had been granted under the LTIP during that year.

*Three-Year Phantom Units*

On December 31, 2008, the Compensation Committee granted 99,700 phantom units, including a contingent right to receive an amount in cash equal to the number of phantom units multiplied by the cash distribution per common unit made by the Partnership from time to time during the period the phantom units are outstanding. The phantom units, which are subject to graded vesting, will vest in six equal installments on June 30 and December 31 of each year commencing June 30, 2009.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 13. Long-Term Incentive Plan (continued)**

Compensation expense related to these phantom units is recognized using the accelerated attribution method. For the year ended December 31, 2008, compensation expense related to Mr. Slifka's phantom unit award was immaterial. The total compensation cost related to the non-vested awards not yet recognized at December 31, 2008 is approximately \$1.1 million and is expected to be recognized ratably over the remaining three-year service period.

The following table presents a summary of the status of the non-vested units as of December 31:

	Number of Non-vested Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2006		\$
Granted	64,951	29.00
Vested		
Forfeited		
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2007	64,951	29.00
Granted	99,700	11.30
Vested		
Forfeited	(2,331)	29.00
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2008	162,320	\$ 18.13

Additionally, SFAS No. 123(R) requires that the income tax effects of share-based payments be recognized for financial reporting purposes if such awards would result in deductions on the Partnership's income tax return. Global Montello is a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. In the ordinary course of business, the Partnership charges a portion of its selling, general and administrative expenses to Global Montello and, therefore, a portion of the compensation expense on the LTIP is allocated to Global Montello which results in a deduction for the Partnership. The amount allocated to Global Montello for such LTIP related costs was immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

**Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies**

The Partnership is subject to contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of the normal course of business that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters and contract and employment claims.

***Leases of Office Space and Computer Equipment***

The Partnership has future commitments, principally for office space and computer equipment, under the terms of operating lease arrangements. The following provides total future minimum

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)**

payments under leases with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

2009	\$ 1,352
2010	1,245
2011	1,004
2012	893
2013	911
Thereafter	6,706
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,111</b>

Total expenses under the operating lease arrangements amounted to approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Partnership also has lease income from office space leased at one of its owned terminals for \$0.2 million per year through April of 2009.

The Partnership also leases certain equipment under capital lease agreements, for which the net book value was approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Depreciation expense for equipment under the capital leases was approximately \$166,000 and \$69,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Partnership did not have capital leases in 2006.

***Terminal and Throughput Leases***

The Partnership entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with various unrelated oil terminals. Certain arrangements have minimum usage requirements. The following provides future minimum lease, sublease and throughput commitments under these arrangements with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	<b>Lease and Throughput Commitments</b>	<b>Sublease Commitments</b>	<b>Total</b>
2009	\$ 8,629	\$ (866)	\$ 7,763
2010	6,412		6,412
2011	6,211		6,211
2012	1,945		1,945
2013	252		252
Thereafter	589		589
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 24,038</b>	<b>\$ (866)</b>	<b>\$ 23,172</b>

***Purchase Commitments***

The minimum volume purchase requirements for 2009 under the Partnership's existing supply agreements are 344 million gallons. All existing purchase commitments expire in 2010. The Partnership purchased approximately 0.9 billion, 1.7 billion and 1.2 billion gallons of product under the Partnership's existing supply agreements for \$2.2 billion, \$3.3 billion and \$2.0 billion in 2008, 2007 and

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 14. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)**

2006, respectively, which included fulfillment of the minimum purchase obligation under these commitments.

***Environmental Liabilities***

Please see Note 10 for a discussion of the Partnership's environmental liabilities.

***Legal Proceedings***

Please see Note 20 for a discussion of the Partnership's legal proceedings.

**Note 15. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions**

***Issuance of Units***

On October 4, 2005, the Partnership completed an initial public offering of 5,635,000 common units. Upon the closing of the Partnership's IPO (and taking into account the underwriters' exercise of their over-allotment option), GPC and certain affiliates of the Slifka family received an aggregate of 5,642,424 subordinated units, which constituted 49% ownership of the Partnership at that time, a 2% general partner interest, and 7,424 common units.

***Units Outstanding***

Partners' equity at December 31, 2008 consisted of 7,428,139 common units outstanding (including 65,824 common units held by affiliates of the General Partner, including directors and executive officers), 5,642,424 subordinated units held by affiliates of the Slifka family, collectively representing a 98.27% effective ownership interest in the Partnership, and 230,303 general partner units representing a 1.73% general partner interest in the Partnership.

***Units Authorized***

The Partnership had 13,634,805 authorized units at December 31, 2008 which consisted of 7,428,139 common units, outstanding (including 65,824 common units held by affiliates of the General Partner, including directors and executive officers), 5,642,424 subordinated units held by affiliates of the Slifka family, and 564,242 units remaining available for future issuance under the Partnership's equity compensation plans.

***Common Units***

During the subordination period, as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement, the common units will have the right to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.4125 per quarter, plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters, before any distributions of available cash from operating surplus may be made on the subordinated units. The purpose of the subordinated units is to increase the likelihood that during the subordination period there will be available cash to be distributed on the common units. The subordination period will extend until the first day of any quarter beginning after September 30, 2010 that each of the following tests are met: (1) distributions of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units and subordinated units and general partner units equaled or exceeded the minimum

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 15. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (continued)**

quarterly distribution for each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date; (2) the "adjusted operating surplus" (as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement) generated during each of the three consecutive non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date equaled or exceeded the sum of the minimum quarterly distributions on all of the outstanding common units and subordinated units during those periods on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the 1.73% general partner units during those periods; and (3) there are no arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units. If the unitholders remove the General Partner without cause, the subordination period may end before September 30, 2010.

The common units have limited voting rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

Pursuant to the Partnership's partnership agreement, if at any time the General Partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units outstanding, the General Partner has the right, but not the obligation, to "call" or acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than their then-current market value. The General Partner may assign this call right to any of its affiliates or to the Partnership.

***Subordinated Units***

During the subordination period, the subordinated units have no right to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus until the common units receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.4125 per quarter, plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters. No arrearages will be paid to subordinated units.

As described above and in the Partnership's partnership agreement, the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis when certain conditions are met. The Partnership's partnership agreement also sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders, subordinated unitholders, holders of the incentive distribution rights and General Partner will receive.

The subordinated units have limited voting rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

***General Partner Units***

The general partner units have the same rights to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus as the common units for each quarter until the Partnership distributes (a) for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter plus any arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units for any prior quarters during the subordination period; and (b) for each outstanding subordinated unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter. The Partnership's partnership agreement sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders, subordinated unitholders, holders of the incentive distribution rights and General Partner will receive.

**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 15. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (continued)**

The general partner units have the management rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

***Incentive Distribution Rights***

Incentive distribution rights represent the right to receive an increasing percentage of quarterly distributions of available cash from operating surplus after the target distribution levels have been achieved, as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement. The General Partner currently holds all of the incentive distribution rights, but may transfer these rights separately from its general partner interest, subject to restrictions in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

***Allocations of Net Income***

Net income is allocated between the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in accordance with the provisions of the Partnership's partnership agreement. Net income is generally allocated first to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in an amount equal to the net losses allocated to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in the current and prior tax years under the Partnership's partnership agreement. The remaining net income is allocated to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in accordance with their respective percentage interests of the general partner units, common units and subordinated units.

***Cash Distributions***

The Partnership intends to consider regular cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future cash flows, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. The Credit Agreement prohibits the Partnership from making cash distributions if any potential default or event of default, as defined in the Credit Agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, the Partnership will distribute all of its available cash (as defined in its partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of available cash is all cash on hand at the end of the quarter; plus all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter; less the amount of cash reserves established by the General Partner to provide for the proper conduct of the Partnership's business, to comply with applicable law, any of the Partnership's debt instruments, or other agreements or to provide funds for distributions to unitholders and to the General Partner for any one or more of the next four quarters. Working capital borrowings are generally borrowings that are made under the Credit Agreement and in all cases are used solely for working capital purposes or to pay distributions to partners.

The Partnership will make distributions of available cash from operating surplus for any quarter during the subordination period as defined in its partnership agreement in the following manner: firstly, 98.27% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; secondly, 98.27% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to any arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units for any prior

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 15. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (continued)

quarters during the subordination period; thirdly, 98.27% to the subordinated unitholders, pro rata, and 1.73% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each subordinated unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions is distributed to the unitholders and the General Partner, as the holder of the incentive distribution rights, based on the percentages as provided below.

As the holder of the incentive distribution rights, the General Partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount that the Partnership distributes with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly Distribution	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions	
		Unitholders	General Partner
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.4125	98.27%	1.73%
First Target Distribution	Up to \$0.4625	98.27%	1.73%
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.4625 up to \$0.5375	85.27%	14.73%
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.5375 up to \$0.6625	75.27%	24.73%
Thereafter	above \$0.6625	50.27%	49.73%

The Partnership paid the following cash distributions during 2008, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except per unit data):

Cash Distribution Payment Date	Per Unit Cash Distribution	Common Units	Subordinated Units	General Partner	General Partner Incentive Distribution	Total Cash Distribution
<b>2006</b>						
02/14/06(1)	\$ 0.4111	\$ 2,319	\$ 2,319	\$ 95	\$	\$ 4,733
05/15/06(1)	0.4250	2,398	2,398	98		4,894
08/14/06(1)	0.4375	2,469	2,469	100		5,038
11/14/06(1)	0.4450	2,511	2,511	102		5,124
<b>2007</b>						
02/14/07(1)	\$ 0.4550	\$ 2,567	\$ 2,567	\$ 105	\$	\$ 5,239
05/15/07(1)(2)	0.4650	2,624	2,624	107	4	5,359
08/14/07(3)	0.4725	3,510	2,666	109	20	6,305
11/14/07(4)	0.4800	3,566	2,708	110	35	6,419
<b>2008</b>						
02/14/08(5)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 3,621	\$ 2,751	\$ 112	\$ 50	\$ 6,534
05/14/08(5)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
08/14/08(5)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
11/14/08(5)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534

(1)

Prior to the private placement of Class B units on May 9, 2007 (see Note 16), the limited partner interest was 98% and the general partner interest was 2%.



GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 15. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (continued)**

- (2) This distribution of \$0.4650 per unit resulted in the Partnership reaching its second target distribution for the first quarter of 2007. As a result, the General Partner received this additional distribution.
- (3) This distribution of \$0.4725 per unit resulted in the Partnership reaching its second target distribution for the second quarter of 2007. As a result, the General Partner received this additional distribution.
- (4) This distribution of \$0.4800 per unit resulted in the Partnership reaching its second target distribution for the third quarter of 2007. As a result, the General Partner received this additional distribution.
- (5) The distributions of \$0.4875 per unit resulted in the Partnership reaching its second target distribution for the fourth quarter of 2007 and for each of the first three quarters of 2008. As a result, the General Partner received this additional distribution for each respective quarter.

On January 21, 2009, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from October 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008 (\$1.95 per unit on an annualized basis) to the Partnership's common and subordinated unitholders of record as of the close of business February 4, 2009. On February 13, 2009, the Partnership paid the total cash distribution of approximately \$6.5 million.

**Note 16. Unitholders' Equity**

*Private Placement*

On March 13, 2007, the Partnership entered into a Class B Unit Purchase Agreement (the "Unit Purchase Agreement") with Kayne Anderson MLP Investment Company and funds managed by Tortoise Capital Advisors, LLC and Fiduciary Asset Management, LLC (the "Purchasers") to sell \$50.0 million of Class B units representing limited partner interests of the Partnership in a private placement (the "Private Placement"). The Partnership issued and sold 1,785,715 Class B units to the Purchasers pursuant to the Unit Purchase Agreement on May 9, 2007. The Class B units were convertible into common units on a one-for-one basis.

In connection with the issuance of the Class B units, the Partnership agreed to a discount in the purchase price of approximately \$0.8 million, which is the approximate amount of the product of (i) the 1,785,715 Class B units, and (ii) \$0.4650, the amount of the Partnership's first quarter 2007 per unit distribution that was paid to the common and subordinated unitholders on May 15, 2007. Such discount was paid by the Partnership to the Purchasers of the Class B units substantially contemporaneously with the payment of the Partnership's first quarter 2007 distribution. After giving effect to such reduction, the purchase price for the Class B units was approximately \$49.2 million, or \$27.53 per unit. The net purchase price of the Class B units, after the reduction and related fees, was \$49.1 million. The net proceeds of the Class B units were used to partially finance the acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals in Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont from ExxonMobil in May of 2007 (see Note 5). On May 22, 2007, the Class B units converted into common units on a one-for-one basis.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 16. Unitholders' Equity (continued)***Class B Units Converted into Common Units*

On May 9, 2007, the Partnership issued and sold to the Purchasers 1,785,715 Class B units representing limited partner interests of the Partnership in a private placement for \$50.0 million. After giving effect to a price reduction as discussed above, the purchase price for the Class B units was approximately \$49.2 million, or \$27.53 per unit. On May 22, 2007, the Class B units converted into common units on a one-for-one basis.

In connection with the private placement, the Partnership was required to take into account the effect of EITF 98-05. As a result, a non-cash reduction in net income available to limited partners was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. This non-cash reduction was required to be recorded pursuant to EITF 98-05 because the fair value of the Partnership's common units on May 9, 2007 (the date on which the Class B units were issued) was greater than the purchase price of the Class B units, which was established at the time of the execution of the Unit Purchase Agreement on March 14, 2007. The non-cash reduction was approximately \$16.4 million computed as the product of (i) the 1,785,715 Class B units, and (ii) the difference between the fair value of a common unit on the date of issuance (\$36.71) and the conversion rate after the price reduction (\$27.53). The non-cash reduction resulted in the Partnership recognizing a \$16.4 million decrease in common unit equity and a corresponding increase in Class B unit equity. Additionally, the Partnership recorded accretion of \$16.4 million as a non-cash distribution to common unitholders for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Although EITF 98-05 affected net income available to limited partners for the year ended December 31, 2007, it did not affect net income, nor did it affect total partners' equity.

**Note 17. Related-Party Transactions**

The Partnership is a party to a Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage Rental and Throughput Agreement with GPC, an affiliate of the Partnership, which extends through December 2013 with annual renewal options. The agreement is accounted for as an operating lease.

The following provides future minimum payments at December 31, 2008, including the expected exercise of renewal options, which have an annual consumer price index adjustment (in thousands):

2009	\$ 7,262
2010	7,262
2011	7,262
2012	7,262
2013	7,262
Thereafter	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$36,310</b>

The expenses under this agreement totaled \$8.6 million, \$8.3 million and \$8.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These expenses include annual consumer price index adjustments of approximately \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Deferred rent expense was approximately \$121,000 for each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 as calculated under SFAS No. 13, "Accounting for Leases."

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 17. Related-Party Transactions (continued)**

Pursuant to an Amended and Restated Services Agreement with GPC, GPC provides certain terminal operating management services to the Partnership and uses certain administrative, accounting and information processing services of the Partnership. The expenses from these services totaled approximately \$86,000, \$74,000 and \$78,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These charges were recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The agreement is for an indefinite term, and either party may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services thereunder upon 180 days' notice at any time after January 1, 2009.

Pursuant to the Partnership's Amended and Restated Services Agreement with Alliance Energy LLC (formerly known as Alliance Energy Corp.) ("Alliance"), the Partnership also provides certain administrative, accounting and information processing services, and the use of certain facilities, to Alliance, an affiliate of the Partnership that is wholly owned by AE Holdings Corp., which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. The income from these services was approximately \$866,000, \$626,000 and \$757,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These fees were recorded as an offset to selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The agreement extends through January 1, 2010.

The Partnership sells refined petroleum products to Alliance at prevailing market prices at the time of delivery. Sales to Alliance were approximately \$29.1 million, \$42.2 million and \$18.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The General Partner employs substantially all of the Partnership's employees and charges the Partnership for their services. The expenses for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, including payroll, payroll taxes and bonus accruals, were \$27.2 million, \$29.0 million and \$24.4 million, respectively. The Partnership also reimburses the General Partner for its contributions under the General Partner's 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and the General Partner's qualified and non-qualified pension plans. See Note 12, Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party.

The table below presents trade receivables with Alliance, receivables incurred in connection with the services agreements between Alliance and the Partnership and GPC and the Partnership, as the case may be, and receivables from the General Partner at December 31 (in thousands):

	2008	2007
Receivables from Alliance	\$ 383	\$3,926
Receivables from GPC	325	64
Receivables from the General Partner(1)	1,810	318
Total	\$2,518	\$4,308

(1) Receivables from the General Partner reflect the Partnership's prepayment of payroll taxes and payroll accruals to the General Partner.

**Note 18. Segment Reporting**

The Partnership is a wholesale and commercial distributor of gasoline, distillates, and residual oil whose business is organized within two operating segments, Wholesale and Commercial, based on the way the chief operating decision maker (CEO) manages the business and on the similarity of customers

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 18. Segment Reporting (continued)**

and expected long-term financial performance of each segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

In the Wholesale segment, the Partnership sells gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene and residual oil to unbranded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors. Generally, customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take delivery of the product at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that the Partnership owns or controls or with which it has throughput arrangements.

The Commercial segment includes (1) sales and deliveries of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas to customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial customers, either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms, and (2) sales of custom blended distillates and residual oil delivered by barges or from a terminal dock. Commercial segment customers include federal and state agencies, municipalities, large industrial companies, many autonomous authorities such as transportation authorities and water resource authorities, colleges and universities and a limited group of small utilities. Unlike the Wholesale segment, in the Commercial segment, the Partnership generally arranges the delivery of the product to the customer's designated location, typically hiring third-party common carriers to deliver the product.

The Partnership evaluates segment performance based on net product margins before allocations of corporate and indirect operating costs, depreciation, amortization (including non-cash charges) and interest. Based on the way the CEO manages the business, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate the components of operating costs and expenses between the reportable segments. Additionally, due to the commingled nature and uses of the Partnership's assets, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate assets between the two segments. There were no intersegment sales for any of the years presented below.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 18. Segment Reporting (continued)**

Summarized financial information for the Partnership's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 is presented in the table below (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
<b>Wholesale Segment:</b>			
Sales	\$8,589,180	\$6,376,749	\$4,071,608
<b>Net product margin(1)</b>			
Distillates	\$ 70,045	\$ 86,358	\$ 58,285
Gasoline	36,451	11,463	22,812
Residual oil	11,671	23,667	20,896
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 118,167</b>	<b>\$ 121,488</b>	<b>\$ 101,993</b>
<b>Commercial Segment:</b>			
Sales	\$ 429,943	\$ 381,085	\$ 400,810
Net product margin(1)	\$ 11,835	\$ 11,485	\$ 13,151
<b>Combined sales and net product margin:</b>			
Sales	\$9,019,123	\$6,757,834	\$4,472,418
Net product margin(1)	\$ 130,002	\$ 132,973	\$ 115,144
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales	10,211	5,989	1,918
<b>Combined gross profit</b>	<b>\$ 119,791</b>	<b>\$ 126,984</b>	<b>\$ 113,226</b>

(1)

Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of the Partnership's consolidated financial statements to assess the Partnership's business. The table above reconciles net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP measure.

In the Wholesale segment, the Partnership had one customer whose revenues were approximately \$1.8 billion, or 20% of the Partnership's total revenues and 21% of the Partnership's revenues from the Wholesale segment for the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$966.4 million, or 14% of the Partnership's total revenues and 15% of the Partnership's revenues from the Wholesale segment for the year ended December 31, 2007. No single customer accounted for 10% or more of the Partnership's sales for the year ended December 31, 2006.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 18. Segment Reporting (continued)**

A reconciliation of the totals reported for the reportable segments to the applicable line items in the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	2008	2007	2006
<b>Combined gross profit</b>	\$ 119,791	\$ 126,984	\$ 113,226
<b>Operating costs and expenses not allocated to reportable segments:</b>			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	42,060	45,537	43,027
Operating expenses	31,788	27,703	22,158
Amortization expense	2,937	2,250	1,528
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	76,785	75,490	66,713
Operating income	43,006	51,494	46,513
Interest expense	(20,799)	(17,408)	(11,901)
Other income			515
Gain on sale of investment		14,118	
Income tax expense	(1,152)	(1,191)	(1,666)
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 21,055	\$ 47,013	\$ 33,461

There were no foreign sales for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The Partnership has no foreign assets.

**Note 19. Fair Value Measurements**

On January 1, 2008, the Partnership partially adopted SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157") for its financial assets and financial liabilities. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the Partnership's fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value into the following three levels:

- Level 1    Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2    Inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3    Unobservable inputs based on the entity's own assumptions.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 19. Fair Value Measurements (continued)**

The following table presents those financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	Fair Value December 31, 2008	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Assets:</b>				
Hedged inventories	\$ 184,307	\$	\$184,307	\$
Fair value of forward fixed price contracts	161,787		161,787	
Swap agreements and options	5,069	830	4,239	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 351,163</b>	<b>\$ 830</b>	<b>\$350,333</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Obligations on forward fixed price contracts	\$ (7,954)	\$	\$ (7,954)	\$
Swap agreements	(231)		(231)	
Interest rate collars	(10,847)		(10,847)	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (19,032)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (19,032)</b>	<b>\$</b>

**Note 20. Legal Proceedings***General*

Although the Partnership may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business, the Partnership does not believe that it is a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on its financial condition or results of operations. Except as described below and in Note 10, the Partnership is not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against it, or contemplated to be brought against it. The Partnership maintains insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as its general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

On September 17, 2008, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut issued Interrogatories and Subpoena Duces Tecum (the "Subpoena") in connection with an investigation of gasoline wholesalers in Connecticut in the wake of Hurricane Ike. The Partnership responded to the Subpoena on behalf of Global Companies LLC. According to the press release issued by the Attorney General's office, similar subpoenas were also issued to other gasoline wholesalers in Connecticut. The Partnership has taken steps to comply with the demand. While the Partnership cannot predict the outcome of the investigation, it does not expect that the outcome will have a material adverse effect on its operations.

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Note 21. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

Unaudited quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands, except per unit amounts):

	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
<b>Year ended December 31, 2008</b>					
Sales	\$ 2,720,992	\$ 2,297,709	\$ 2,272,079	\$ 1,728,343	\$ 9,019,123
Gross profit	\$ 35,616	\$ 22,673	\$ 25,928	\$ 35,574	\$ 119,791
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,619	\$ (1,254)	\$ 1,007	\$ 12,683	\$ 21,055
Limited partners' interest in net income (loss)	\$ 8,470	\$ (1,232)	\$ 990	\$ 12,463	\$ 20,691
Net income (loss) per limited partner unit basic and diluted(1)	\$ 0.63	\$ (0.09)	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.40
Cash distributions per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 1.9500
<b>Year ended December 31, 2007</b>					
Sales	\$ 1,573,176	\$ 1,384,090	\$ 1,598,461	\$ 2,202,107	\$ 6,757,834
Gross profit	\$ 42,252	\$ 21,622	\$ 23,947	\$ 39,163	\$ 126,984
Net income(3)	\$ 32,875	\$ 610	\$ 2,530	\$ 10,998	\$ 47,013
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 32,218	\$ 599	\$ 2,486	\$ 10,807	\$ 46,110
Net income (loss) per limited partner unit basic and diluted(1)	\$ 1.75	\$ (1.28)	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.38
Cash distributions per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 0.4550	\$ 0.4650	\$ 0.4725	\$ 0.4800	\$ 1.8725

- (1) See Note 2 for net income per limited partner unit calculation.
- (2) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter.
- (3) Net income for the first quarter ended March 31, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 includes a gain of approximately \$14.1 million recognized from the sale of the Partnership's investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. and related NYMEX seats. See Note 8.

**Note 22. Subsequent Events**

On January 20, 2009, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors of the general partner approved grants of phantom units under the LTIP in an aggregate amount of 216,051 phantom units.

On February 13, 2009, the Partnership paid a cash distribution of approximately \$6.5 million to its common and subordinated unitholders of record as of the close of business on February 4, 2009.



Table of Contents

## Item 15(a)

## SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006

(In thousands)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Recoveries	Write Offs	Balance at End of Period
<b><i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i></b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 2,650	\$ 660	\$ 1	\$ (337)	\$ 2,974
<b><i>Year ended December 31, 2007</i></b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 2,756	\$ 330	\$ 8	\$ (444)	\$ 2,650
<b><i>Year ended December 31, 2006</i></b>					
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 2,084	\$ 690	\$ 42	\$ (60)	\$ 2,756

F-48

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of May 9, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
3.2	Amendment No. 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated April 14, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 17, 2008).
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, by and between Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
4.2	Class B Unit Purchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2007, by and among Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2007).
10.1	Omnibus Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Global Revco Dock, L.L.C., Global Revco Terminal, L.L.C., Global South Terminal, L.L.C., Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C., Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership, Global GP LLC, Global Partners LP, Global Operating LLC, Alfred A. Slifka, Richard Slifka and Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.2 <sup>^</sup>	Global Partners GP Long-Term Incentive Plan effective as of October 4, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 (File No. 333-124755) filed on July 1, 2005).
10.3	Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, and Chelsea Sandwich LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.4	Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and between Alliance Energy Corp. and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage and Throughput Agreement, dated October 4, 2005 by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.6	Credit Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.7	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp.,

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2005).

---

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.8^	Employment Agreement dated April 19, 2006, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas J. Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006).
10.9	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2006, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 2006).
10.10^	Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2007, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007).
10.11	Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2007).
10.12	Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated March 16, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2007).
10.13	Forms of LTIP Grant Agreements dated August 14, 2007 (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.14	Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.15	Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (General) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.16	Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2007).
10.17	Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 23, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2007).

---

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Description
10.18	Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2007).
10.19	Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated July 9, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2008).
10.20	Waiver Letter and Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008).
10.21	Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2008).
10.22	Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2008).
10.23	Tenth Amendment to Credit Agreement and Limited Waiver, dated as of September 26, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2008).
10.24^	Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.25^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.26^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).

---

## Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Exhibit Number	Description
10.27 <sup>^</sup>	Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.28 <sup>^</sup>	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement dated December 31, 2008 for Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.29 <sup>^</sup>	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC (except Mr. Slifka)), LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) and LTIP Grant Agreement (General) dated December 31, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.30 <sup>*^</sup>	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka.
10.31 <sup>*^</sup>	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister.
10.32 <sup>*^</sup>	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil.
10.33 <sup>*^</sup>	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement dated March 11, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil.
21.1 <sup>*</sup>	List of Subsidiaries of Global Partners LP.
23.1 <sup>*</sup>	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1 <sup>*</sup>	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2 <sup>*</sup>	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.

---

<sup>^</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

Not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

---