

MONSTER WORLDWIDE, INC.  
Form DFAN14A  
September 13, 2016  
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. \_\_)

Filed by the Registrant [ ]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [x]

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

**Monster Worldwide, Inc.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

**MediaNews Group, Inc.**

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

On September 12, 2016, MediaNews Group, Inc. ("MNG") issued a press release (the "Press Release") containing a letter to investors of Monster Worldwide, Inc. (the "Company") announcing that MNG may solicit consents from stockholders of the Company to remove and replace the Company's board of directors (the "Consent Solicitation"). The foregoing summary of the Press Release is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Press Release, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated by reference herein.

In addition, information regarding the participant in a solicitation of consents of stockholders of the Company in connection with the Consent Solicitation is filed herewith as Exhibit 2.

**Exhibit 1**

MediaNews Group Reiterates Opposition to Monster Worldwide Sale to Randstad

Will Not Tender Its Shares and Urges Other MWW Shareholders to Follow Suit

Intends to Solicit Consents to Appoint Highly Experienced and Capable Directors to Replace Current Board and Execute on Plan to Maximize Value for All Shareholders

Rushed, Unorganized Sale Process Raises Serious Concerns

Company's Interactions With Keenly Interested Buyers Were Poorly Managed

False Sense of Urgency Led to Acceptance of Inferior Randstad Deal, Which Significantly Undervalues the Company

DENVER, CO September 12, 2016 -- MediaNews Group Inc. ("MNG"), the largest shareholder of Monster Worldwide, Inc. (NYSE: MWW) ("Monster" or the "Company"), with an ownership interest of 11.6% of Monster's outstanding shares, today announced it has delivered an open letter to Monster shareholders.

The full text of the letter follows:

September 12, 2016

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

Over the last week we've had the opportunity to review the public filings related to Randstad's tender offer for Monster Worldwide Inc. (NYSE: MWW) ("Monster" or the "Company") and also to listen to fellow Monster shareholders regarding their views. **The filings and shareholders' sentiment make one thing abundantly clear: the**

**proposed sale to Randstad at a fire sale price resulted from a rushed and flawed process and it significantly undervalues the Company.** We continue to strongly believe that a more robust auction process and an evaluation of strategic and operational changes to the business would yield a much better result than the \$3.40 price being offered by Randstad. We want to reiterate that we will not tender our shares in the current tender offer and we intend to exercise our appraisal rights to receive the actual value of our shares -- **not the undervalued Randstad price** -- in the event the current Randstad deal closes.

We are also moving forward with the process to solicit consents to replace Monster's board of directors with a new slate of directors and will be sharing more information about this with shareholders over the coming weeks. Our goal is to assemble a capable board that will represent the interests of all shareholders and focus on implementing the changes necessary to drive shareholder value.

### **Flawed Sale Process with Artificial Time Sensitivity**

It is clear to us that the Randstad deal was entered into by the board out of desperation to avoid announcing yet another quarterly miss by the Company. This false sense of urgency explains why the Company executed an exclusivity agreement with Randstad on July 22nd at \$4.00 per share, and on August 4th, only four days before the artificially imposed target signing date of the deal, accepted a drastic price reduction of 15% to \$3.40 without any pushback or apparent consideration of terminating exclusivity and launching a formal sale process.

We disagree with the board's logic that "engaging in a formal sale process had significant risks that could be detrimental to stockholder value." If the process was set up correctly and managed properly, potential disruptions could have been minimized and the Company would have put itself in the best position to realize its full value, especially when there was interest from multiple potential buyers at prices significantly higher than what Randstad was offering.

### **Poorly Managed Interactions with Interested Buyers**

The Company's Tender Offer Recommendation Statement described a potential buyer, Financial Acquirer B ("Acquirer B"), who on March 24th submitted an indication of interest at \$4.30 -- and a subsequent increase to \$4.45 on April 1st -- with no financing contingency. On April 24th, Acquirer B said they could not further increase their \$4.45 indication absent additional information from the Company.

Despite Acquirer B's keen interest, the Company decided not to initiate a formal due diligence process with them (thereby stalling their indication of interest) citing concerns over Q1 earnings and their belief Acquirer B would participate in a formal sale process if one were initiated -- **no such process was ever initiated**. Approximately three months later on July 21st, Acquirer B requested a meeting with the Company to discuss its forecast for Q3 and Q4 given the Q2 results, which the Company had shared with Acquirer B the previous week. These requests were ignored and that same day -- despite these continuing expressions of interest from Acquirer B -- Monster's board agreed to exclusivity with Randstad, shutting Acquirer B out the process.

In another troubling failure to seriously engage with interested buyers, on July 8th, the Company indicated to Strategic Acquirer E ("Acquirer E") a required minimum price of \$5.00 per share and no financing condition in order to consider a potential transaction (at this time, Randstad's bid was \$3.50). On July 12th, Acquirer E outlined a proposal to acquire the Company for \$5.00 per share, with no financing condition. On July 20th, the Company contacted E and gave less than a day's notice of a deadline of 10:30am on July 21st to submit a written offer. Acquirer E responded with a lower bid range of \$4.15 - \$4.20 (still higher than Randstad's \$4.00 bid at the time and significantly higher than the final accepted \$3.40 bid), but also indicated that as a result of the artificially accelerated deadline, and the fact they had not finalized financing or reviewed the offer with their board, they would not be able to submit a written offer. Monster indicated to Acquirer E that it "was under time pressure," but the Company fails to explain why it was under such pressure. Again, it seems clear that Monster's false sense of urgency, created by management's fear of missing quarterly earnings again, drove the irrational decision to put such a short deadline on a serious buyer, making it more

difficult for that buyer to submit a more formal offer.



### Poorly Managed Interactions with Interested Buyers

The Company's Tender Offer Recommendation Statement described a potential buyer, Financial Acquirer B ("Acquirer B"), who on March 24th submitted an indication of interest at \$4.30 -- and a subsequent increase to \$4.45 on April 1st -- with no financing contingency. On April 24th, Acquirer B said they could not further increase their \$4.45 indication absent additional information from the Company.

Despite Acquirer B's keen interest, the Company decided not to initiate a formal due diligence process with them (thereby stalling their indication of interest) citing concerns over Q1 earnings and their belief Acquirer B would participate in a formal sale process if one were initiated -- no such process was ever initiated. Approximately three months later on July 21st, Acquirer B requested a meeting with the Company to discuss its forecast for Q3 and Q4 given the Q2 results, which the Company had shared with Acquirer B the previous week. These requests were ignored and that same day -- despite these continuing expressions of interest from Acquirer B -- Monster's board agreed to exclusivity with Randstad, shutting Acquirer B out the process.

In another troubling failure to seriously engage with interested buyers, on July 8th, the Company indicated to Strategic Acquirer E ("Acquirer E") a required minimum price of \$5.00 per share and no financing condition in order to consider a potential transaction (at this time, Randstad's bid was \$3.50). On July 12th, Acquirer E outlined a proposal to acquire the Company for \$5.00 per share, with no financing condition. On July 20th, the Company contacted E and gave less than a day's notice of a deadline of 10:30am on July 21st to submit a written offer. Acquirer E responded with a lower bid range of \$4.15 - \$4.20 (still higher than Randstad's \$4.00 bid at the time and significantly higher than the final accepted \$3.40 bid), but also indicated that as a result of the artificially accelerated deadline, and the fact they had not finalized financing or reviewed the offer with their board, they would not be able to submit a written offer. Monster indicated to Acquirer E that it "was under time pressure," but the Company fails to explain why it was under such pressure. Again, it seems clear that Monster's false sense of urgency, created by management's fear of missing quarterly earnings again, drove the irrational decision to put such a short deadline on a serious buyer, making it more difficult for that buyer to submit a more formal offer.

### Highly Suspect/Significant Shift in the Board's Outlook

Beyond all these details, it still seems highly suspect to us that the Company was buying back stock in December 2015 at an average price of almost \$6.00, and only months later was willing to engage in discussions to sell the business for less than \$4.00. In fact, during the Q4 2015 earnings call on February 11th, 2016, Monster's CFO stated that **"We are happy to report that we returned \$8 million of capital to our shareholders via our share repurchase program, and we believe there is no better use of our capital than Monster stock, particularly at current valuations."** The fact that the Company was having discussions to sell when it was trading at or around all-time lows, instead of devising a plan to fix the business, indicates this board and management team are ill-equipped to make the changes needed to create value at the Company.

**A Better Path Forward for Shareholders**

Despite the current state of Monster's business, we are confident there is a path forward for the Company that would create significantly more value for shareholders than selling to Randstad at \$3.40 per share. As a result, we are assembling a slate of director candidates to replace the current board, which has proven time and again its inability to make the right strategic and operational decisions to create value for shareholders. We are confident that with the right leadership and oversight, Monster can stem the revenue declines it is experiencing and restructure its operations to significantly increase profitability.

Our goals are:

To assemble a slate of director candidates that represents the best interests of all shareholders and also have the experience and insight needed to make significant improvements to the business

- To elect those candidates to the board of Monster and replace the current ineffective board
- To implement the steps needed to turnaround the business and maximize shareholder value

We are currently in the process of selecting candidates for our slate and look forward to communicating further with shareholders over the coming weeks.

Sincerely,

MediaNews Group, Inc.

**About MediaNews Group, Inc.**

MediaNews Group, Inc. (d/b/a Digital First Media) is a leader in local, multiplatform news and information, distinguished by its original content and high quality, diversified portfolio of local media assets. Digital First Media is the second largest newspaper company in the United States by circulation, serving an audience of over 40 million readers on a monthly basis. The Company's portfolio of products includes 67 daily newspapers and 180 non-daily publications. Digital First Media has a leading local news audience share in each of its primary markets and its content monetization platforms serve clients on both a national and local scale.

Investor Contact:

Joe Anto

MediaNews Group

212-634-9642

Michael Fein & Jon Einsidler

Okapi Partners

212-297-0720

info@okapipartners.com

Media Contact:

Joe Checkler

Peppercomm

212-931-6144

MediaNews Group, Inc. (“MediaNews Group” or the “Participants”) may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) a definitive consent statement and accompanying form of consent card to be used in connection with the solicitation of consents from the stockholders of Monster Worldwide, Inc. (the “Company”). All

stockholders of the Company are advised to read the definitive consent statement and other documents related to the solicitation of consents by the Participants if and when they become available, as they will contain important information, including additional information related to the Participants. Should the Participants engage in such a solicitation, the consent statement and an accompanying consent card will be furnished to some or all of the Company's stockholders and will be, along with other relevant documents, available at no charge on the SEC website at <http://www.sec.gov/>.

Information about the Participants and a description of their direct or indirect interests by security holdings will be contained in an exhibit to a Schedule 14A to be filed by the Participants with the SEC on September 12, 2016. This document can be obtained free of charge from the source indicated above.

**Exhibit 2**

**MediaNews Group, Inc. (“MediaNews Group” or the “Participant”) may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) a definitive consent statement and accompanying form of consent card to be used in connection with the solicitation of consents from the stockholders of Monster Worldwide, Inc. (the “Company”). All stockholders of the Company are advised to read the definitive consent statement and other documents related to the solicitation of consents by the Participant if and when they become available, as they will contain important information, including additional information related to the Participant. Should the Participant engage in such a solicitation, the consent statement and an accompanying consent card will be furnished to some or all of the Company’s stockholders and will be, along with other relevant documents, available at no charge on the SEC website at <http://www.sec.gov/>.**

**PARTICIPANTS:**

MediaNews Group, Inc. (“MNG”) is anticipated to be, or may be deemed to be, a participant in a solicitation of consent from the stockholders of Monster Worldwide, Inc. (the "Company") (the "Consent Solicitation"). As of the close of business on September 9, 2016, MNG beneficially owned 10,300,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, of the Company.