

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 14, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012
Commission File Number 001-34789

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)
Maryland 27-1430478
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600 90025
Los Angeles, California (Zip Code)
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 445-5700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
8.375% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 29, 2012, the aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (assuming for these purposes, but without conceding, that all executive officers, directors and funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, LLC are “affiliates” of the registrant) was \$591.2 million based upon the last sales price on June 29, 2012 for the registrant’s Common Stock.

As of March 1, 2013, the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding was 56,698,156.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the registrant’s 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 17, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K Report. The proxy statement will be filed by the registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the registrant’s fiscal year.

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>8</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>32</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>32</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>34</u>
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>36</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>49</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>50</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>50</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>50</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>51</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>51</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>51</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>51</u>
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>57</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. (which may be referred to in this Form 10-K as “we,” “us,” “our,” or “our company”) is a full-service, vertically integrated real estate investment trust, or REIT, focused on owning, operating and acquiring high-quality office and media and entertainment properties in select growth markets primarily in Northern and Southern California. Our investment strategy is focused on high barrier-to-entry, in-fill locations with favorable, long-term supply demand characteristics. These markets include Los Angeles, Orange County, San Diego, San Francisco, Silicon Valley and the East Bay, which we refer to as our target markets. As of December 31, 2012 our stabilized portfolio of operating properties included properties totaling approximately 5.3 million square feet strategically located in many of our target markets.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation in 2009 to succeed the business of Hudson Capital, LLC, a Los Angeles-based real estate investment firm founded by Victor J. Coleman, our Chief Executive Officer, and Howard S. Stern, our President. On June 29, 2010, we completed our initial public offering. We own our interests in all of our properties and conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership, of which we serve as the sole general partner, and own approximately 95.2% of the outstanding common units of partnership interest in our operating partnership, or common units. The remaining 4.8% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership is owned by certain of our executive officers and directors, certain of their affiliates, and other outside investors, including funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, LLC.

Business and Growth Strategies

We focus our investment strategy on office and media and entertainment properties located in high barrier-to-entry submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. This strategy includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction. We believe our senior management team’s experience in the California office and media and entertainment sectors positions us to improve cash flow in our portfolio, as well as any newly acquired properties, as the recovery in the California economy and the real estate markets takes hold.

Our Competitive Position

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other real estate owners and operators and will enable us to capitalize on opportunities in the market to successfully expand and operate our portfolio.

Experienced Management Team with a Proven Track Record of Acquiring and Operating Assets and Managing a Public Office REIT. Our senior management team has an average of over 20 years of experience in the commercial real estate industry, with a focus dedicated exclusively to owning, acquiring, developing, operating, financing and selling office properties in California.

Committed and Incentivized Management Team. Our senior management team is dedicated to our successful operation and growth, with no competing real estate business interests outside of our company. Additionally, our senior management team owns approximately 3.1% of our common stock on a fully diluted basis, thereby aligning management’s interests with those of our stockholders.

California Focus with Local and Regional Expertise. We are primarily focused on acquiring and managing office properties in Northern and Southern California, where our senior management has significant expertise and relationships. California has historically experienced strong rebounds in its real estate market after prior recessions, as demand for commercial real estate in California is driven by its dynamic, innovative and diversified economy. California outpaced the rate of national job creation during several cycles, including the periods following the mid-1970s recession, the late 1980s recession, and during the late 1990s. Additionally, many of California's leading markets are supply-constrained as a result of the scarcity of available land, high construction costs and restrictive entitlement processes, which we believe have helped drive strong rebounds in the California real estate market after prior recessions. We believe our experience, in-depth market knowledge and meaningful industry relationships with brokers, tenants, landlords, lenders and other market participants enhance our ability to identify and capitalize on attractive acquisition opportunities, particularly those that arise in California.

Table of Contents

Long-Standing Relationships that Provide Access to an Extensive Pipeline of Investment and Leasing Opportunities. We have an extensive network of long-standing relationships with real estate developers, individual and institutional real estate owners, national and regional lenders, brokers, tenants and other participants in the California real estate market. These relationships have historically provided us with access to attractive acquisition opportunities, including opportunities with limited or no prior marketing by sellers. We believe they will continue to provide us access to an ongoing pipeline of attractive acquisition opportunities and additional growth capital, both of which may not be available to our competitors. Additionally, we focus on establishing strong relationships with our tenants in order to understand their long-term business needs, which we believe enhances our ability to retain quality tenants, facilitates our leasing efforts and maximizes cash flows from our properties.

Growth-Oriented, Flexible and Conservative Capital Structure. We have remained well-capitalized since our initial public offering, including through our public offering of 3,500,000 shares of our 8.375% Series B Cumulative Preferred Stock in December 2010 for total proceeds, after underwriters' discounts, of approximately \$84.7 million (before transaction costs), our public offering of 7,992,500 shares of common stock and private placement of 3,125,000 shares in May 2011 for total proceeds, after underwriters' discount, of approximately \$156.7 million (before transaction costs), our public offering of 2,300,000 shares of our 8.375% Series B Cumulative Preferred Stock in January 2012 for total proceeds, after underwriters' discounts, of approximately \$56.1 million (before transaction costs), our public offering of 13,225,000 shares of common stock in May 2012 for total proceeds, after underwriters' discounts, of approximately \$190.8 million (before transaction costs) and our public offering of 9,200,000 shares of common stock in February 2012 for total proceeds, after underwriters' discounts, of approximately \$189.9 million (before transactions costs). Available cash on hand and our unsecured credit facility provide us with a significant amount of capital to pursue acquisitions and execute our growth strategy, while maintaining a flexible and conservative capital structure. As of the December 31, 2012 we had \$55.0 million outstanding on our unsecured credit facility of approximately \$204.1 million of total availability. Based on the closing price of our common stock of \$22.72 on March 1, 2013, we had a debt-to-market capitalization ratio (counting series A preferred units as debt) of approximately 27.1%. We believe our access to capital and flexible and conservative capital structure provide us with an advantage over many of our private and public competitors as we look to take advantage of growth opportunities.

Irreplaceable Media and Entertainment Assets in a Premier California Submarket. Our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties are located on Sunset Boulevard, just off of the Hollywood Freeway in the heart of Hollywood. These facilities, which are situated on approximately 15.7 and 10.6 acres, respectively, were originally built in the 1920s as the headquarters of Columbia Pictures and Warner Brothers and represent a unique and irreplaceable assemblage of land in densely populated Los Angeles. We are the largest owner and operator of independent media and entertainment properties in Los Angeles and possess large, modern sound stages and plentiful office space with state-of-the-art telecommunications and data network infrastructure. Our properties are important facilities for major film and television companies and independent producers, most of which outsource a portion of their productions to independent media and entertainment properties. We believe our media and entertainment properties are attractively located and benefit from high barriers to entry, with a limited supply of readily developable land. In addition, there are substantial costs associated with acquiring and developing suitable land and extensive knowledge required to develop and operate such facilities. As a result of these high barriers to entry, there is effectively no new supply of media and entertainment space in the urban core of Los Angeles. We believe the limited supply of media and entertainment properties, coupled with the continued demand for such properties in Los Angeles, which remains the center of the entertainment industry in the United States, will help ensure that these assets remain critical to the industry.

We have access to and are actively pursuing a pipeline of potential acquisitions consistent with our investment strategy. We believe our significant expertise in operating in the California office sector and extensive, long-term relationships with real estate owners, developers and lenders, coupled with our conservative capital structure and

access to capital, will allow us to capitalize on the current market opportunity.

Competition

We compete with a number of developers, owners and operators of office and commercial real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same markets in which our properties are located and some of which have greater financial resources than we do. In operating and managing our portfolio, we compete for tenants based on a number of factors, including location, rental rates, security, flexibility and expertise to design space to meet prospective tenants' needs and the manner in which the property is operated, maintained and marketed. As leases at our properties expire, we may encounter significant competition to renew or re-let space in light of the large number of competing properties within the markets in which we

Table of Contents

operate. As a result, we may be required to provide rent concessions or abatements, incur charges for tenant improvements and other inducements, including early termination rights or below-market renewal options, or we may not be able to timely lease vacant space. In that case, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities may be adversely affected.

We also face competition when pursuing acquisition and disposition opportunities. Our competitors may be able to pay higher property acquisition prices, may have private access to opportunities not available to us and may otherwise be in a better position to acquire a property. Competition may also have the effect of reducing the number of suitable acquisition opportunities available to us, increase the price required to consummate an acquisition opportunity and generally reduce the demand for commercial office space in our markets. Likewise, competition with sellers of similar properties to locate suitable purchasers may result in us receiving lower proceeds from a sale or in us not being able to dispose of a property at a time of our choosing due to the lack of an acceptable return.

For further discussion of the potential impact of competitive conditions on our business, see Item 1A: Risk Factors below.

Segment and Geographic Financial Information

We report our results of operations through two segments: (i) office properties and (ii) media and entertainment properties. The office properties reporting segment includes 19 properties totaling approximately 4.5 million square feet strategically located in many of our target markets, while the media and entertainment reporting segment includes two properties, Sunset Gower property (including 6050 and 6060 Sunset) and the Sunset Bronson property, totaling approximately 0.9 million square feet located in the heart of Hollywood, California. For financial information about our two reportable segments, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

All of our business is conducted in the State of California. For information about our revenues and long-lived assets and other financial information, see our consolidated financial statements included in this report and Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations "—Results of Operations."

Employees

At December 31, 2012, we had 106 employees. At December 31, 2012, two of our employees were subject to collective bargaining agreements. Both of these employees are on-site employees at the Sunset Bronson property. We believe that relations with our employees are good.

Principal Executive Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025 (telephone 310.445.5700). We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our present operations.

Regulation

General

Our properties are subject to various covenants, laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of the properties in our portfolio has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans With Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, or ADA, to the extent that such properties are “public accommodations” as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We have developed and undertaken continuous capital improvement programs at certain properties in the past. These capital improvement programs will continue to progress and certain ADA upgrades will continue to be integrated into the planned improvements, specifically at the media and entertainment properties where we are able to utilize in-house construction crews to minimize costs for required ADA related improvements. However, some of our properties may currently be in noncompliance with the ADA. Such noncompliance could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance, the

Table of Contents

imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under, or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate and clean up such contamination and liability for natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines, or other costs could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and/or personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures.

Some of our properties contain, have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Similarly, some of our properties were used in the past for commercial or industrial purposes, or are currently used for commercial purposes, that involve or involved the use of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances, or are adjacent to or near properties that have been or are used for similar commercial or industrial purposes. As a result, some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from the releases of such hazardous substances or petroleum products. Where we have deemed appropriate, we have taken steps to address identified contamination or mitigate risks associated with such contamination; however, we are unable to ensure that further actions will not be necessary. As a result of the foregoing, we could potentially incur material liabilities.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I Environmental Site Assessments at all of the properties in our portfolio using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Practice E 1527-05. A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment is a report prepared for real estate holdings that identifies potential or existing environmental contamination liabilities. Site assessments are intended to discover and evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties. These assessments do not generally include soil samplings, subsurface investigations or an asbestos survey. None of the recent site assessments identified any known past or present contamination that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or operations. However, the assessments are limited in scope and may have failed to identify all environmental conditions or concerns. A prior owner or operator of a property or historic operations at our properties may have created a material environmental condition that is not known to us or the independent consultants preparing the site assessments. Material environmental conditions may have arisen after the review was completed or may arise in the future, and future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos- and lead-containing building materials, or ACBM, and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing ACBM (and employers in such buildings) properly manage and maintain

the asbestos and lead, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with asbestos or lead, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos or lead would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Some of our properties contain ACBM and we could be liable for such damages, fines or penalties.

In addition, the properties in our portfolio also are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. We sometimes require our tenants to comply with environmental and health and safety laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities. But in the event of the bankruptcy or inability of any of our tenants to satisfy such obligations, we may be required to satisfy such obligations. In addition, we may be held directly liable for any such damages or claims regardless of whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence

Table of Contents

or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or waste and irrespective of tenant lease provisions. The costs associated with such liability could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on us.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs. We are not presently aware of any material adverse indoor air quality issues at our properties.

Available Information

Our internet address is www.hudsonpacificproperties.com. On the Investor Relations page on our Web site, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC: our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All such filings on our Investor Relations Web page are available to be viewed on this page free of charge. Also available on our Web site, free of charge, are our corporate governance guidelines, the charters of the nominating and corporate governance, audit and compensation committees of our board of directors and our code of business conduct and ethics (which applies to all directors and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer). Information contained on or hyperlinked from our Web site is not incorporated by reference into and should not be considered part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our other filings with the SEC. A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is available without charge upon written request to: Investor Relations, Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., 11601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1600, Los Angeles, California 90025.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Forward-looking Statements

Certain written and oral statements made or incorporated by reference from time to time by us or our representatives in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other filings or reports filed with the SEC, press releases, conferences, or otherwise, are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Exchange Act). In particular, statements relating to our liquidity and capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Furthermore, all of the statements regarding future financial performance (including anticipated funds from operations (“FFO”), market conditions and demographics) are forward-looking statements. We are including this cautionary statement to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any such forward-looking statements. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or that management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on management’s beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “might,” “plan,” “estimate,” “project,” “should,” “will,” “result” and similar expressions that do not relate solely to historical matters are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which were based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance, liquidity or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

- adverse economic or real estate developments in our markets;
- general economic conditions;
- defaults on, early terminations of or non-renewal of leases by tenants;
- fluctuations in interest rates and increased operating costs;
- our failure to obtain necessary outside financing;
- our failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;
 - lack or insufficient amounts of insurance;
- decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;
- difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions;
- our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;
- our failure to maintain our status as a REIT;

• environmental uncertainties and risks related to adverse weather conditions and natural disasters;

• financial market fluctuations;

• changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; and

• other factors affecting the real estate industry generally.

Set forth below are some (but not all) of the factors that could adversely affect our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a highly competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time,

8

Table of Contents

and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business

All of our properties are located in California, and we therefore are dependent on the California economy and are susceptible to adverse local regulations and natural disasters affecting California.

All of our properties are located in California, which exposes us to greater economic risks than if we owned a more geographically dispersed portfolio. Further, our properties are concentrated in certain submarkets, exposing us to risks associated with those specific areas. We are susceptible to adverse developments in the California economic and regulatory environment (such as business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, increases in real estate and other taxes, costs of complying with governmental regulations or increased regulation), as well as to natural disasters that occur in our markets (such as earthquakes and other events). For example, prior to the acquisition of our City Plaza property located in Orange County, California, the area was impacted significantly by the collapse of the subprime mortgage market, which had a material adverse effect on property values, vacancy rates and rents in the area. Had we owned City Plaza at that time, we would have been exposed to those adverse effects, which were more pronounced in Orange County than in other parts of the state and country. We anticipate that we will be exposed to similar risks related to the geographic concentration of our properties in the future. In addition, the State of California continues to suffer from severe budgetary constraints and is regarded as more litigious and more highly regulated and taxed than many other states, all of which may reduce demand for office space in California. Any adverse developments in the economy or real estate market in California, or any decrease in demand for office space resulting from the California regulatory or business environment, could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities. We cannot assure you of the growth of the California economy or of our future growth rate.

We derive a significant portion of our rental revenue from tenants in the media, entertainment, and technology industries, which makes us particularly susceptible to demand for rental space in those industries.

The Sunset Gower, Sunset Bronson, Technicolor Building, 10950 Washington, 875 Howard, 625 Second Street, 275 Brannan and Pinnacle I properties in our portfolio are leased to primarily media, entertainment, or technology tenants and a significant portion of our rental revenue is derived from tenants in the media, entertainment, and technology industries. Consequently, we are susceptible to adverse developments affecting the demand by media, entertainment, and technology tenants for office, production and support space in Southern and Northern California and, more particularly, in Hollywood and the South of Market submarket of San Francisco, such as writer, director and actor strikes, industry slowdowns and the relocation of media, entertainment, and technology businesses to other locations. Although our Technicolor Building property and the 10950 Washington property are principally occupied and suitable for general office purposes, portions of such properties may require modifications prior to or at the commencement of a lease term if it were to be released to more traditional office users. Although our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties contain both sound stages and space suitable for office use, they have historically served the media and entertainment industry and will continue to depend on that sector for future tenancy. In addition, our media and entertainment properties tend to be subject to short-term leases of less than one year. As a result, were there to be adverse developments affecting the demand by media and entertainment tenants for office, production and support space, it could affect the occupancy of our media and entertainment properties more quickly than if we had longer term leases. Any adverse development in the media, entertainment, and technology industries could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

We may be unable to identify and complete acquisitions of properties that meet our criteria, which may impede our growth.

Our business strategy includes the acquisition of underperforming office properties. These activities require us to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet our criteria and are compatible with our growth strategies. We continue to evaluate the market of available properties and may attempt to acquire properties when strategic opportunities exist. However, we may be unable to acquire any of the properties that we may identify as potential acquisition opportunities in the future. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, may be exposed to the following significant risks:

potential inability to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including publicly traded REITs, private equity investors and institutional investment

Table of Contents

funds, which may be able to accept more risk than we can prudently manage, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of investments and the payment of higher acquisition prices;

• we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with evaluating and negotiating potential acquisitions, including ones that we are subsequently unable to complete;

• even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of properties, these agreements are typically subject to customary conditions to closing, including the satisfactory completion of our due diligence investigations; and

• we may be unable to finance the acquisition on favorable terms or at all.

If we are unable to finance property acquisitions or acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected. In addition, failure to identify or complete acquisitions of suitable properties could slow our growth.

Our future acquisitions may not yield the returns we expect.

Our future acquisitions and our ability to successfully operate the properties we acquire in such acquisitions may be exposed to the following significant risks:

• even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other potential acquirers may significantly increase the purchase price;

• we may acquire properties that are not accretive to our results upon acquisition, and we may not successfully manage and lease those properties to meet our expectations;

• our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;

• we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties;

• we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations, and as a result our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected;

• market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

• we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties, liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If we cannot operate acquired properties to meet our financial expectations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions, which could result in stockholder dilution and limit our ability to sell such assets.

In the future we may acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for partnership interests in our operating partnership, which may result in stockholder dilution. This acquisition structure may have the effect of, among other things, reducing the amount of tax depreciation we could deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and may require that we agree to protect the contributors' ability to defer recognition of taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties and/or the allocation of partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. These restrictions could limit our ability to sell an asset at a time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such restrictions.

Table of Contents

Our growth depends on external sources of capital that are outside of our control and may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required to meet various requirements under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or Code, including that we distribute annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary acquisition financing, from operating cash flow. Consequently, we intend to rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the financing on favorable terms or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage and likelihood of default. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

• general market conditions;

• the market's perception of our growth potential;

- our current debt levels;

• our current and expected future earnings;

• our cash flow and cash distributions; and

• the market price per share of our common stock.

Recently, the credit markets have been subject to significant disruptions. If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, meet the capital and operating needs of our existing properties, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on and the per share trading price of our securities.

If interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures. We seek to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility by using interest rate hedging arrangements that involve risk, such as the risk that counterparties may fail to honor their obligations under these arrangements, and that these arrangements may not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes. Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution, including cash available for payment of dividends on and the per share trading price of our securities. In addition, while such agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, Topic 815, Derivative and Hedging.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in a property or group of properties subject to mortgage debt.

Incurring mortgage and other secured debt obligations increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property securing any loans for which we are in default. Any foreclosure on a mortgaged property or group of properties could adversely affect the overall value of our portfolio of properties. For tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our properties that is subject to a nonrecourse mortgage loan would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but would not receive any cash proceeds.

Table of Contents

Our unsecured revolving credit facility restricts our ability to engage in some business activities.

Our unsecured revolving credit facility contains customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

- restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness;
- restrict our ability to make certain investments;
- restrict our ability to merge with another company;
- restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders; and
- require us to maintain financial coverage ratios.

These limitations restrict our ability to engage in some business activities, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions to our stockholders, and per share trading price of our securities. In addition, failure to meet any of these covenants, including the financial coverage ratios, could cause an event of default under and/or accelerate some or all of our indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, our unsecured revolving credit facility contains specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default if we are in default under other loans in some circumstances.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

Our business may be affected by market and economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole, including dislocations in the credit markets. These conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities as a result of the following potential consequences, among others:

• significant job losses in the financial and professional services industries may occur, which may decrease demand for our office space, causing market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;

• our ability to obtain financing on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from our acquisition and development activities and increase our future interest expense;

• reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or to obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans; and

• one or more lenders under our unsecured revolving credit facility could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all.

In addition, the economic downturn has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, the businesses of many of our tenants. As a result, we may see increases in bankruptcies of our tenants and increased defaults by tenants, and we may experience higher vacancy rates and delays in re-leasing vacant space, which could negatively impact our business and results of operations.

We have a limited operating history and may not be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies as described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We commenced operations only upon completion of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010. Our office portfolio consists of properties located throughout California, containing a total of approximately 4.5 million net rentable square feet. Our 901 Market, Olympic Bundy, and Pinnacle I properties have only been under our management since they were acquired on June 1, 2012, September 5, 2012, and November 8, 2012, respectively. These properties may have characteristics or deficiencies unknown to us that could affect such properties' valuation or revenue potential. In addition, there can be no

Table of Contents

assurance that the operating performance of the properties will not decline under our management. We cannot assure you that we will be able to operate our business successfully or implement our business strategies.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly-traded company and may not be able to successfully operate as a REIT or a publicly-traded company.

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly-traded company. We cannot assure you that the past experience of our senior management team will be sufficient to successfully operate our company as a REIT or a publicly-traded company, including the requirements to timely meet disclosure requirements of the SEC and comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Since our initial public offering, we have been subject to various requirements related to REITs and publicly-traded companies, including requirements to develop and implement control systems and procedures in order to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT and satisfy our periodic and current reporting requirements under applicable SEC regulations and comply with New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, listing standards. Compliance with these requirements could place a significant strain on our management systems, infrastructure and other resources. Failure to operate successfully as a public company or qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

We face significant competition, which may decrease or prevent increases of the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of office properties, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose existing or potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge or to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We depend on significant tenants, and many of our properties are single-tenant properties or are currently occupied by single tenants.

As of December 31, 2012, the ten largest tenants in our office portfolio represented approximately 50.5% of the total annualized base rent generated by our office properties. The inability of a significant tenant to pay rent or the bankruptcy or insolvency of a significant tenant may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. If a tenant becomes bankrupt or insolvent, federal law may prohibit us from evicting such tenant based solely upon such bankruptcy or insolvency. In addition, a bankrupt or insolvent tenant may be authorized to reject and terminate its lease with us. Any claim against such tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent owed under the lease. As of December 31, 2012, our largest tenant was Bank of America, which accounted for 8.3% of our annualized base rent and therefore represented a significant credit concentration. If Bank of America were to experience a downturn in its business or a weakening of its financial condition resulting in its failure to make timely rental payments or causing it to default under its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. Any such event described above could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

Furthermore, Saatchi & Saatchi leases 100% of the Del Amo Office property under the terms of an office lease that permits Saatchi & Saatchi to terminate the lease as to all of the leased premises prior to the stated lease expiration on December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2016, in each case upon nine months prior notice and in exchange for payment

of an early termination fee estimated to be approximately \$3.8 million for 2014 and approximately \$3.0 million for 2016. As of December 31, 2012, the Saatchi & Saatchi lease comprised approximately 2.9% of our annualized office base rent. To the extent that Saatchi & Saatchi exercises its early termination right, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow will be adversely affected, and we can provide no assurance that we will be able to generate an equivalent amount of net rental revenue by leasing the vacated space to new third-party tenants. Our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected if any of our significant tenants were to become unable to pay their rent or become bankrupt or insolvent.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-let space as leases expire.

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 10.7% of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio was available (taking into account uncommenced leases signed as of December 31, 2012), and an additional approximately 17.7%

Table of Contents

of the square footage of the office properties in our portfolio is scheduled to expire in 2013. Furthermore, substantially all of the square footage of the media and entertainment properties in our portfolio (other than the KTLA lease of the KTLA facility at Sunset Bronson) will expire in 2013 and 2014. We cannot assure you that leases will be renewed or that our properties will be re-let at net effective rental rates equal to or above the current average net effective rental rates or that substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options will not be offered to attract new tenants or retain existing tenants. If the rental rates for our properties decrease, our existing tenants do not renew their leases or we do not re-let a significant portion of our available space and space for which leases will expire, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities could be adversely affected.

We may be required to make rent or other concessions and/or significant capital expenditures to improve our properties in order to retain and attract tenants, causing our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities to be adversely affected.

To the extent adverse economic conditions continue in the real estate market and demand for office space remains low, we expect that, upon expiration of leases at our properties, we will be required to make rent or other concessions to tenants, accommodate requests for renovations, build-to-suit remodeling and other improvements or provide additional services to our tenants. As a result, we may have to make significant capital or other expenditures in order to retain tenants whose leases expire and to attract new tenants in sufficient numbers. Additionally, we may need to raise capital to make such expenditures. If we are unable to do so or capital is otherwise unavailable, we may be unable to make the required expenditures. This could result in non-renewals by tenants upon expiration of their leases, which could cause an adverse effect to our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

The actual rents we receive for the properties in our portfolio may be less than our asking rents, and we may experience lease roll-down from time to time.

As a result of various factors, including competitive pricing pressure in our submarkets, adverse conditions in the Northern or Southern California real estate markets, a general economic downturn and the desirability of our properties compared to other properties in our submarkets, we may be unable to realize the asking rents across the properties in our portfolio. In addition, the degree of discrepancy between our asking rents and the actual rents we are able to obtain may vary both from property to property and among different leased spaces within a single property. If we are unable to obtain rental rates that are on average comparable to our asking rents across our portfolio, then our ability to generate cash flow growth will be negatively impacted. In addition, depending on asking rental rates at any given time as compared to expiring leases in our portfolio, from time to time rental rates for expiring leases may be higher than starting rental rates for new leases.

Some of our properties are subject to ground leases, the termination or expiration of which could cause us to lose our interest in, and the right to receive rental income from, such properties.

The 9300 Wilshire Boulevard property, 0.59 acres of the Sunset Gower property and a portion representing 64% of the building area of the 222 Kearny Street property (excluding the 180 Sutter building) are subject to ground leases. If any of these ground leases are terminated following a default or expire without being extended, we may lose our interest in the related property and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from such property, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

The ground sublease for the Del Amo Office property is subject and subordinate to a ground lease, the termination of which could result in a termination of the ground sublease.

The property on which the Del Amo Office building is located is subleased by Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, or Del Amo, through a long-term ground sublease. The ground sublease is subject and subordinate to the terms of a ground lease between the fee owner of the Del Amo Office property and the sub-landlord under the ground sublease. The fee owner has not granted to the subtenant under the ground sublease any rights of non-disturbance. Accordingly, a termination of the ground lease for any reason, including a rejection thereof by the ground tenant under the ground lease in a bankruptcy proceeding, could result in a termination of the ground sublease. In the event of a termination of the ground sublease, we may lose our interest in the Del Amo Office building and may no longer have the right to receive any of the rental income from the Del Amo Office building. In addition, our lack of any non-disturbance rights from the fee owner may impair our ability to obtain financing for the Del Amo Office building.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed.

Table of Contents

Our continued success and our ability to manage anticipated future growth depend, in large part, upon the efforts of key personnel who have extensive market knowledge and relationships and exercise substantial influence over our operational, financing, acquisition and disposition activity. Many of our other senior executives have extensive experience and strong reputations in the real estate industry, which aid us in identifying opportunities, having opportunities brought to us, and negotiating with tenants and build-to-suit prospects. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team, or our inability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, could adversely affect our business, diminish our investment opportunities and weaken our relationships with lenders, business partners, existing and prospective tenants and industry personnel, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

Potential losses, including from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters and title claims, may not be covered by insurance.

We carry commercial property (including earthquake), liability and terrorism coverage on all the properties in our portfolio under a blanket insurance policy, in addition to other coverages, such as trademark and pollution coverage, that may be appropriate for certain of our properties. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits that we believe to be appropriate and adequate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. However, we do not carry insurance for losses such as loss from riots or war because such coverage is not available or is not available at commercially reasonable rates. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to terrorism or earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses, which could affect certain of our properties that are located in areas particularly susceptible to natural disasters. All of the properties we currently own are located in California, an area especially susceptible to earthquakes. In addition, we may discontinue earthquake, terrorism or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any such policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. As a result, we may be required to incur significant costs in the event of adverse weather conditions and natural disasters. If we or one or more of our tenants experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged. Furthermore, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable costs in the future as the costs associated with property and casualty renewals may be higher than anticipated. In the event that we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of one of our properties, we may not be able to rebuild such property to its existing specifications. Further reconstruction or improvement of such a property would likely require significant upgrades to meet zoning and building code requirements.

Future terrorist activity or engagement in war by the U.S. may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Terrorist attacks in the U.S. and other acts of terrorism or war may result in declining economic activity, which could harm the demand for and the value of our properties. A decrease in demand could make it difficult for us to renew or re-lease our properties at these sites at lease rates equal to or above historical rates. Terrorist activities also could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction, or loss, and the availability of insurance for these acts may be less, and cost more, which could adversely affect our financial condition. To the extent that our tenants are impacted by future attacks, their businesses similarly could be adversely affected, including their ability to continue to honor their existing leases.

Terrorist attacks and engagement in war by the U.S. also may adversely affect the markets in which our securities trade and may cause further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending and may result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets and economies. Any one of these events may cause decline in

the demand for our office and media and entertainment leased space, delay the time in which our new or renovated properties reach stabilized occupancy, increase our operating expenses, such as those attributable to increased physical security for our properties, and limit our access to capital or increase our cost of raising capital.

We may become subject to litigation, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

In the future we may become subject to litigation, including claims relating to our operations, offerings, and otherwise in the ordinary course of business. Some of these claims may result in significant defense costs and potentially significant judgments against us, some of which are not, or cannot be, insured against. We generally intend to vigorously defend ourselves; however, we cannot be certain of the ultimate outcomes of any claims that may arise in the future. Resolution of these types of matters against us may result in our having to pay significant fines, judgments or settlements, which, if uninsured, or if the

Table of Contents

finances, judgments and settlements exceed insured levels, could adversely impact our earnings and cash flows, thereby having an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities. Certain litigation or the resolution of certain litigation may affect the availability or cost of some of our insurance coverage, which could adversely impact our results of operations and cash flows, expose us to increased risks that would be uninsured, and/or adversely impact our ability to attract officers and directors.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on co-venturers' financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

As described more fully in Item 7 below, on November 8, 2012, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Hudson JW, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("HJW"), entered into a joint venture, P1 Hudson MC Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Pinnacle JV"), with Media Center Partners, LLC, a California limited liability company ("MCP"), to acquire The Pinnacle, a two-building (Pinnacle I and Pinnacle II), 625,640 square foot, office property located in Burbank, California. In addition to our Pinnacle JV, we may continue to co-invest in the future with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In such event, we would not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives, and they may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflict of interest issues. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. In addition, prior consent of our joint venture partners may be required for a sale or transfer to a third party of our interests in the joint venture, which would restrict our ability to dispose of our interest in the joint venture. If we become a limited partner or non-managing member in any partnership or limited liability company and such entity takes or expects to take actions that could jeopardize our status as a REIT or require us to pay tax, we may be forced to dispose of our interest in such entity. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers. Our joint ventures may be subject to debt and, in the current volatile credit market, the refinancing of such debt may require equity capital calls.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of integrated internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results.

Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and to operate successfully as a public company. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal controls we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls. As a result of weaknesses that may be identified in our internal controls, we may also identify certain deficiencies in some of our disclosure controls and procedures that we believe require remediation. If we discover weaknesses, we will make efforts to improve our internal and disclosure controls. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful. Any failure to maintain effective controls or timely effect any necessary improvement of our internal and disclosure controls could harm operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, which could affect our ability to remain listed with the NYSE. Ineffective internal and disclosure controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the per share trading

price of our securities.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and the real estate industry.

Our ability to pay expected dividends to our stockholders depends on our ability to generate revenues in excess of expenses, scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events include many of the risks set forth above under “—Risks Related to Our Properties and Our Business,” as well as the following:

Table of Contents

• local oversupply or reduction in demand for office or media and entertainment-related space;

• adverse changes in financial conditions of buyers, sellers and tenants of properties;

vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms, including possible market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options, and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-let space;

• increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities, real estate taxes and state and local taxes;

• civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including earthquakes and floods, which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;

• decreases in the underlying value of our real estate; and

• changing submarket demographics.

In addition, periods of economic downturn or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults under existing leases, which would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

The real estate investments made, and to be made, by us are relatively difficult to sell quickly. As a result, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. Return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally will occur upon disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by sale, other disposition or refinancing at attractive prices within any given period of time or may otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In particular, our ability to dispose of one or more properties within a specific time period is subject to certain limitations imposed by our tax protection agreements, as well as weakness in or even the lack of an established market for a property, changes in the financial condition or prospects of prospective purchasers, changes in national or international economic conditions, such as the current economic downturn, and changes in laws, regulations or fiscal policies of jurisdictions in which the property is located.

In addition, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs effectively require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forgo or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interest.

Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms, which may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and litigation over environmental matters.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under or migrating from such property,

including costs to investigate, clean up such contamination and liability for harm to natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or other costs could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and/or personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. Some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from current or prior uses of the property, or adjacent properties, for commercial or industrial purposes. Such

Table of Contents

contamination may arise from spills of petroleum or hazardous substances or releases from tanks used to store such materials. As a result, we could potentially incur material liability for these issues, which could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of ACBM and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing ACBM (and employers in such buildings) properly manage and maintain the asbestos and lead, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with asbestos or lead, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos or lead would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Some of our properties contain ACBM and we could be liable for such damages, fines or penalties.

In addition, the properties in our portfolio also are subject to various federal, state and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants routinely handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations, or those of our tenants, which could in turn have an adverse effect on us.

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities. If we do incur material environmental liabilities in the future, we may face significant remediation costs, and we may find it difficult to sell any affected properties.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other air quality issues, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury is alleged to have occurred.

We may incur significant costs complying with various federal, state and local laws, regulations and covenants that are applicable to our properties.

The properties in our portfolio are subject to various covenants and federal, state and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials or restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials of community standards organizations at any time with respect to our

properties, including prior to acquiring a property or when undertaking renovations of any of our existing properties. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic or hazardous material abatement requirements. There can be no assurance that existing laws and regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning relief. Our failure to obtain such permits, licenses and zoning relief or to comply with applicable laws could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

In addition, federal and state laws and regulations, including laws such as the ADA impose further restrictions on our properties and operations. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and

Table of Contents

use by disabled persons. Some of our properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA. If one or more of the properties in our portfolio is not in compliance with the ADA or any other regulatory requirements, we may be required to incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance and we might incur governmental fines or the award of damages to private litigants. In addition, we do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether future requirements will require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

We are exposed to risks associated with property development.

We may engage in development and redevelopment activities with respect to certain of our properties. To the extent that we do so, we will be subject to certain risks, including the availability and pricing of financing on favorable terms or at all; construction and/or lease-up delays; cost overruns, including construction costs that exceed our original estimates; contractor and subcontractor disputes, strikes, labor disputes or supply disruptions; failure to achieve expected occupancy and/or rent levels within the projected time frame, if at all; and delays with respect to obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary zoning, occupancy, land use and other governmental permits, and changes in zoning and land use laws. These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development activities once undertaken, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

As of December 31, 2012, the Farallon Funds owned an approximate 28.2% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and have the ability to exercise significant influence on our company.

As of December 31, 2012, investment funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., or Farallon, which we refer to as the Farallon Funds, owned an approximate 28.2% beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis. Consequently, the Farallon Funds may be able to significantly influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the election of our board of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers. In addition, one member of our board of directors is a managing member of Farallon. As a result, the Farallon Funds have substantial influence on us and could exercise their influence in a manner that conflicts with the interests of other stockholders.

The series A preferred units that were issued to some contributors in connection with our initial public offering in exchange for the contribution of their properties have certain preferences, which could limit our ability to pay dividends or other distributions to the holders of our securities or engage in certain business combinations, recapitalizations or other fundamental changes.

In exchange for the contribution of properties to our portfolio in connection with our initial public offering, some contributors received series A preferred units in our operating partnership, which units have an aggregate liquidation preference of approximately \$12.5 million and have a preference as to distributions and upon liquidation that could limit our ability to pay dividends on our series B preferred stock and our common stock. The series A preferred units are senior to any other class of securities our operating partnership may issue in the future without the consent of the holders of the series A preferred units. As a result, we will be unable to issue partnership units in our operating partnership senior to the series A preferred units without the consent of the holders of series A preferred units. Any preferred stock in our company that we issue will be subordinate to the series A preferred units. In addition, we may only engage in a fundamental change, including a recapitalization, a merger and a sale of all or substantially all of our assets, as a result of which our common stock ceases to be publicly traded or common units cease to be exchangeable (at our option) for publicly-traded shares of our stock, without the consent of holders of series A preferred units if following such transaction we will maintain certain leverage ratios and equity requirements, and pay certain minimum

tax distributions to holders of our outstanding series A preferred units. Alternatively, we may redeem all or any portion of the then outstanding series A preferred units for cash (at a price per unit equal to the redemption price). If we choose to redeem the outstanding series A preferred units in connection with a fundamental change, this could reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to holders of our series B preferred stock and our common stock. In addition, these provisions could increase the cost of any such fundamental change transaction, which may discourage a merger, combination or change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Our common stock is ranked junior to our series B preferred stock.

Table of Contents

Our common stock is ranked junior to our series B preferred stock. Our outstanding series B preferred stock also has or will have a preference upon our dissolution, liquidation or winding up in respect of assets available for distribution to our stockholders. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of equity securities, including classes or series of additional preferred stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offering. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the per share trading price of our common stock and diluting their interest in us.

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future between the interests of our stockholders and the interests of holders of units in our operating partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our stockholders.

Conflicts of interest exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our operating partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner of our operating partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our operating partnership and its limited partners under Maryland law and the partnership agreement of our operating partnership in connection with the management of our operating partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as general partner to our operating partnership and its partners may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company.

Additionally, the partnership agreement provides that we and our directors and officers will not be liable or accountable to our operating partnership for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived if we, or such director or officer acted in good faith. The partnership agreement also provides that we will not be liable to the operating partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the operating partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. Moreover, the partnership agreement provides that our operating partnership is required to indemnify us and our directors, officers and employees, officers and employees of the operating partnership and our designees from and against any and all claims that relate to the operations of our operating partnership, except (1) if the act or omission of the person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (2) for any transaction for which the indemnified party received an improper personal benefit, in money, property or services or otherwise, in violation or breach of any provision of the partnership agreement or (3) in the case of a criminal proceeding, if the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. No reported decision of a Maryland appellate court has interpreted provisions similar to the provisions of the partnership agreement of our operating partnership that modify and reduce our fiduciary duties or obligations as the general partner or reduce or eliminate our liability for money damages to the operating partnership and its partners, and we have not obtained an opinion of counsel as to the enforceability of the provisions set forth in the partnership agreement that purport to modify or reduce the fiduciary duties that would be in effect were it not for the partnership agreement.

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of the contribution and other agreements with members of our senior management and our affiliates because of our dependence on them and conflicts of interest.

Each of Victor J. Coleman, Howard S. Stern and affiliates of the Farallon Funds are parties to contribution agreements with us pursuant to which we have acquired interests in our properties and assets. In addition, Messrs. Coleman and Stern are parties to employment agreements with us. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with members of our senior management and the Farallon Funds, with possible negative impact on stockholders.

Our charter and bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law contain provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction, even if such a change in control may be in your interest, and as a result may depress the market price of our securities.

Our charter contains certain ownership limits. Our charter contains various provisions that are intended to preserve our qualification as a REIT and, subject to certain exceptions, authorize our directors to take such actions as are necessary or appropriate to preserve our qualification as a REIT. For example, our charter prohibits the actual, beneficial or constructive ownership by any person of more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of each of our common stock and series B preferred stock, and more than 9.8% in value of the aggregate outstanding shares of all classes and series of our stock. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from these ownership limits if certain conditions are satisfied. In connection with our initial public offering and the offering of our series B preferred stock, our board of directors granted to the Farallon Funds and certain

Table of Contents

of their affiliates, which we refer to collectively as the Farallon excepted holders, and to certain other persons, exemptions from the ownership limits, subject to various conditions and limitations. The restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock may:

discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in management or of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or series B preferred stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests; or

result in the transfer of shares acquired in excess of the restrictions to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and, as a result, the forfeiture by the acquirer of the benefits of owning the additional shares.

We could increase the number of authorized shares of stock, classify and reclassify unissued stock and issue stock without stockholder approval. Subject to the rights of holders of series B preferred stock to approve the classification or issuance of any class or series of stock ranking senior to the series B preferred stock, our board of directors has the power under our charter to amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we are authorized to issue, to authorize us to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock into one or more classes or series of stock and set the terms of such newly classified or reclassified shares. Although our board of directors has no such intention at the present time, it could establish a class or series of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our securities or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest.

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control, which may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest. Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our stockholders, including:

“business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our shares or an affiliate thereof or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding voting stock at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter impose fair price and/or supermajority and stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

“control share” provisions that provide that “control shares” of our company (defined as shares that, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

As permitted by the MGCL, we have elected, by resolution of our board of directors, to exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, any business combination that is first approved by our disinterested directors and, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, to exempt any acquisition of our stock from the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to repeal the exemption from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and may by amendment to our bylaws opt into the control share provisions of

the MGCL at any time in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain corporate governance provisions, some of which (for example, a classified board) are not currently applicable to us. These provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an unsolicited acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under circumstances that otherwise could be in the best interest of our stockholders. Our charter contains a provision whereby we have elected to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us. Provisions in the partnership agreement of our operating partnership may delay or make more difficult unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes of our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals

Table of Contents

involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions include, among others:

• redemption rights of qualifying parties;

• transfer restrictions on units;

• our ability, as general partner, in some cases, to amend the partnership agreement and to cause the operating partnership to issue units with terms that could delay, defer or prevent a merger or other change of control of us or our operating partnership without the consent of the limited partners;

• the right of the limited partners to consent to transfers of the general partnership interest and mergers or other transactions involving us under specified circumstances; and

• restrictions on debt levels and equity requirements pursuant to the terms of our series A preferred units, as well as required distributions to holders of series A preferred units of our operating partnership, following certain changes of control of us.

Our charter, bylaws, the partnership agreement of our operating partnership and Maryland law also contain other provisions that may delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interest.

Our board of directors may change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our investment and financing policies are exclusively determined by our board of directors. Accordingly, our stockholders do not control these policies. Further, our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. Our board of directors may alter or eliminate our current policy on borrowing at any time without stockholder approval. If this policy changed, we could become more highly leveraged which could result in an increase in our debt service. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations. In addition, a change in our investment policies, including the manner in which we allocate our resources across our portfolio or the types of assets in which we seek to invest, may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, real estate market fluctuations and liquidity risk. Changes to our policies with regards to the foregoing could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and per share trading price of our securities.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Our charter eliminates the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

• actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

- a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter authorizes us to obligate our company, and our bylaws require us, to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those and certain other capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers

than might otherwise exist. Accordingly, in the event that actions taken in good faith by any of our directors or officers impede the performance of our company, your ability to recover damages from such director or officer will be limited.

Tax protection agreements could limit our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of certain properties.

In connection with our formation transactions for our IPO, we entered into tax protection agreements with certain third-party contributors that provide that if we dispose of any interest with respect to the First Financial or Tierrasanta properties in a taxable transaction during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010 through certain specified dates ranging until 2027, we will indemnify the third-party contributors for certain tax liabilities payable as a result of the sale (as well as tax liabilities payable as a result of the reimbursement payment). Certain contributors' rights under the tax protection agreements with respect to these properties will, however, expire at various times (depending on the rights of such partner) during the period beginning in 2017 and prior to the expiration, in 2027, of the maximum period for

Table of Contents

indemnification. The First Financial and Tierrasanta properties represented approximately 7.2% of our office portfolio's annualized base rent as of December 31, 2012. We have no present intention to sell or otherwise dispose of the properties or interest therein in taxable transactions during the restriction period. If we were to trigger the tax protection provisions under these agreements, we would be required to pay damages in the amount of certain taxes payable by these contributors (plus additional damages in the amount of the taxes incurred as a result of such payment). In addition, although it may otherwise be in our stockholders' best interest that we sell one of these properties, it may be economically prohibitive for us to do so because of these obligations.

Our tax protection agreements may require our operating partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business.

Our tax protection agreements provide that during the period from the closing of our initial public offering on June 29, 2010, through certain specified dates ranging from 2017 to 2027, our operating partnership will offer certain holders of units who continue to hold the units received in respect of the formation transactions the opportunity to guarantee debt. If we fail to make such opportunities available, we will be required to indemnify such holders for certain tax liabilities resulting from our failure to make such opportunities available to them (and any tax liabilities payable as a result of the indemnity payment). We agreed to these provisions in order to assist certain contributors in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of and after the formation transactions. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business.

We are a holding company with no direct operations and, as such, we rely on funds received from our operating partnership to pay liabilities, and the interests of our stockholders are structurally subordinated to all liabilities and obligations of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through our operating partnership. We do not have, apart from an interest in our operating partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on distributions from our operating partnership to pay any dividends we might declare on our common stock and on shares of our series B preferred stock. We also rely on distributions from our operating partnership to meet our obligations, including any tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our operating partnership. In addition, because we are a holding company, claims of our equity holders will be structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries and subordinate to the rights of holders of series A preferred units. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our operating partnership and its subsidiaries will be available to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our and our operating partnership's and its subsidiaries' liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to qualify as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2012. We believe that we have operated in a manner that has allowed us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year, and we intend to continue operating in such manner. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, that we qualify as a REIT, and the statements in this Annual Report are not binding on the IRS or any court. Therefore, we cannot assure you that we have qualified as a REIT, or that we will remain qualified as such in the future. If we lose our REIT status, we will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to you for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce our cash available for, among other things, our operations and distributions to stockholders. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not be required to make

Table of Contents

distributions to our stockholders. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and could materially and adversely affect the value of our securities.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable Treasury regulations that have been promulgated under the Code, or the Treasury Regulations, is greater in the case of a REIT that, like us, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy a number of requirements, including requirements regarding the ownership of our stock, requirements regarding the composition of our assets and a requirement that at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources, such as “rents from real property.” Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may materially adversely affect our investors, our ability to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to some federal, state and local income, property and excise taxes on our income or property and, in certain cases, a 100% penalty tax, in the event we sell property as a dealer. In addition, our taxable REIT subsidiaries will be subject to tax as regular corporations in the jurisdictions they operate.

Our ownership of taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to certain restrictions, and we will be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not conducted on arm’s length terms.

We currently own an interest in one taxable REIT subsidiary and may acquire securities in additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. A taxable REIT subsidiary is a corporation other than a REIT in which a REIT directly or indirectly holds stock, and that has made a joint election with such REIT to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. If a taxable REIT subsidiary owns more than 35% of the total voting power or value of the outstanding securities of another corporation, such other corporation will also be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Other than some activities relating to lodging and health care facilities, a taxable REIT subsidiary may generally engage in any business, including the provision of customary or non-customary services to tenants of its parent REIT. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax as a regular C corporation. In addition, a 100% excise tax will be imposed on certain transactions between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm’s length basis. A REIT’s ownership of securities of a taxable REIT subsidiary is not subject to the 5% or 10% asset tests applicable to REITs. Not more than 25% of our total assets may be represented by securities, including securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries, other than those securities includable in the 75% asset test. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the stock and securities of any taxable REIT subsidiaries and other nonqualifying assets that we own will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets, and we will monitor the value of these investments to ensure compliance with applicable ownership limitations. In addition, we intend to structure our transactions with any taxable REIT subsidiaries that we own to ensure that they are entered into on arm’s length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible

excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. In order to maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments. These sources, however, may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of factors, including the market's perception of our growth potential, our current debt levels, the market price of our common stock, and our current and potential future earnings. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our investment activities and/or to dispose of assets at inopportune times, and could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions to our stockholders, and per share trading price of our securities.

Table of Contents

Complying with REIT requirements may affect our profitability and may force us to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the nature and diversification of our assets, the sources of our income and the amounts we distribute to our stockholders. We may be required to liquidate or forgo otherwise attractive investments in order to satisfy the asset and income tests or to qualify under certain statutory relief provisions. We also may be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. As a result, having to comply with the distribution requirement could cause us to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions; (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms; or (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt. Accordingly, satisfying the REIT requirements could have an adverse effect on our business results, profitability and ability to execute our business plan. Moreover, if we are compelled to liquidate our investments to meet any of these asset, income or distribution tests, or to repay obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with one or more of the requirements applicable to REITs or may be subject to a 100% tax on any resulting gain if such sales constitute prohibited transactions.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

Income from “qualified dividends” payable to U.S. stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates are generally subject to tax at preferential rates. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the preferential tax rates applicable to qualified dividend income. Although these rules do not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, to the extent that the preferential rates continue to apply to regular corporate qualified dividends, investors who are individuals, trusts and estates may perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could materially and adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including the per share trading price of our securities.

The power of our board of directors to revoke our REIT election without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders and unitholders.

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interest to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to qualify as a REIT, we would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders and accordingly, distributions Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. makes to its unitholders could be similarly reduced.

Legislative or other actions affecting REITs could have a negative effect on us.

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Changes to the tax laws, with or without retroactive application, could adversely affect our investors or us. We cannot predict how changes in the tax laws might affect our investors or us. New legislation, Treasury Regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly and negatively affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2012, our portfolio consisted of 21 wholly-owned properties and one joint venture property, located in eight California submarkets, containing a total of approximately 5.3 million square feet, which we refer to as our portfolio. The following table presents an overview of our portfolio, based on information as of December 31, 2012. Rental data presented in the table below for office properties reflects annualized base rent on leases in place as of December 31, 2012 and does not reflect actual cash rents historically received because such data does not reflect abatements or tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses. Rental data presented in the table below for media and entertainment properties reflects actual cash base rents, excluding tenant reimbursements, received during the 12 months ended December 31, 2012. Leases at our media and entertainment properties are typically short-term leases of

Table of Contents

one year or less, and other than the KTLA lease at our Sunset Bronson property, substantially all of the current in-place leases at our media and entertainment properties will expire in 2013 and 2014.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to each of the office and media and entertainment properties owned as of December 31, 2012.

Property	City	Year Built/ Renovated	Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percent Leased ⁽²⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent/ Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁴⁾
OFFICE PROPERTIES						
Rincon Center	San Francisco	1985	580,850	78.4	% \$17,195,607	\$37.75
1455 Market Street	San Francisco	1977	1,021,969	87.9	12,860,847	14.32
875 Howard Street	San Francisco	Various	286,270	99.0	6,846,737	24.16
222 Kearny Street	San Francisco	Various	148,797	96.2	5,115,845	35.75
625 Second Street	San Francisco	1905	136,906	95.0	5,206,116	40.02
275 Brannan Street	San Francisco	1906	54,673	—	—	—
901 Market Street	San Francisco	1912	212,319	84.4	4,235,527	23.65
First Financial	Encino (LA)	1986	222,423	81.9	6,254,030	34.35
Technicolor Building	Hollywood (LA)	2008	114,958	100.0	4,395,488	38.24
Del Amo Office Building	Torrance (LA)	1986	113,000	100.0	3,069,070	27.16
9300 Wilshire	Beverly Hills	1965/2001	61,224	84.0	2,126,760	41.34
10950 Washington	Culver City	Various	159,024	100.0	4,743,411	29.83
604 Arizona	Santa Monica	1950	44,260	43.9	781,287	40.20
6922 Hollywood	Hollywood (LA)	1965	205,523	92.2	7,792,577	41.13
10900 Washington	Culver City	1973	9,919	100.0	331,017	33.37
Olympic Bundy	Los Angeles	Various	241,427	22.4	1,297,200	24.02
Pinnacle I	Burbank	2002	393,777	91.7	14,664,791	40.60
City Plaza	Orange	1969/1999	333,922	84.4	6,741,457	23.91
Tierrasanta	San Diego	1985	112,300	81.1	1,353,740	14.86
Total/Weighted Average Office Properties:			4,453,541	83.5	% \$105,011,505	\$28.25
MEDIA & ENTERTAINMENT PROPERTIES						
Sunset Gower	Hollywood (LA)	Various	566,137	71.2	% \$12,293,287	\$30.49
Sunset Bronson	Hollywood (LA)	Various	313,723	78.1	10,333,830	42.16
Total/Weighted Average Media & Entertainment Properties:			879,860	73.7	% \$22,627,117	\$34.90
LAND						
Sunset Bronson—Lot A	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	273,913			
Sunset Bronson—Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	389,740			
Sunset Gower—Redevelopment	Hollywood (LA)	N/A	423,396			
City Plaza	Orange	N/A	360,000			
Olympic Bundy	West Los Angeles	N/A	500,000			
Total Land Assets:			1,947,049			
Portfolio Total:			7,280,450			

(1)

Square footage for office properties and media and entertainment properties has been determined by management based upon estimated leasable square feet, which may be less or more than the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, rentable area. Square footage may change over time due to remeasurement, releasing, acquisition, or development. On September 21, 2012, we acquired an office property located at 1455 Gordon Street totaling 6,000 square feet, which was added to the Sunset Gower property. This acquisition is reflected in the square footage for Sunset Gower on a weighted average basis. As of December 31, 2012, the square footage for media and entertainment properties totaled 884,196 square feet, including this acquisition. Square footage for land assets represents management's estimate of developable square feet, the majority of which remains subject to entitlement approvals that have not yet been obtained.

(2) Percent leased for office properties is calculated as (i) square footage under commenced leases as of December 31, 2012, divided by (ii) total square feet, expressed as a percentage. Percent leased for media and entertainment properties is the average percent leased for the 12 months ended December 31, 2012. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because

Table of Contents

entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

We present rent data for office properties on an annualized basis, and for media and entertainment properties on an annual basis. Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (3)(defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) as of December 31, 2012, by (ii) 12. Annual base rent for media and entertainment properties reflects actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2012, excluding tenant reimbursements.

Annualized base rent per leased square foot for the office properties is calculated as (i) annualized base rent divided by (ii) square footage under lease as of December 31, 2012. Annual base rent per leased square foot for the (4)media and entertainment properties is calculated as (i) actual base rent for the 12 months ended December 31, 2012, excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by (ii) average square feet under lease for the 12 months ended December 31, 2012.

Office Portfolio

Our portfolio consists of 19 office properties comprising an aggregate of approximately 4.5 million square feet. As of December 31, 2012, our stabilized office properties were approximately 93.5% leased (giving effect to leases signed but not commenced as of that date). All of our office properties are located in prime California submarkets. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted average remaining lease term for our office portfolio was 49 months.

Tenant Diversification of Office Portfolio

Our office portfolio is currently leased to a variety of companies. The following table sets forth information regarding the ten largest tenants in our office portfolio based on annualized base rent as of December 31, 2012.

Tenant	Property	Lease Expiration ⁽¹⁾	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
Bank of America ⁽³⁾	1455 Market	Various	776,812	17.4 %	\$8,720,944	8.3 %
Warner Music Group	Pinnacle I	12/31/2019	195,166	4.4	7,803,146	7.4
AIG	Rincon Center	7/31/2017	142,655	3.2	6,134,165	5.8
AT&T ⁽⁴⁾	Rincon Center	8/31/2013	155,964	3.5	5,850,333	5.6
GSA ⁽⁵⁾	Various	Various	138,712	3.1	4,517,197	4.3
Fox Interactive Media, Inc.	625 Second Street	3/31/2017	104,897	2.4	4,489,382	4.3
Technicolor Creative Services USA, Inc.	Technicolor Building	5/31/2020	114,958	2.6	4,395,488	4.2
Clear Channel	Pinnacle I	9/30/2016	107,715	2.4	4,392,142	4.2
NFL Enterprises	10950 Washington	3/31/2015	115,084	2.6	3,648,749	3.5
Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc.	Del Amo Office Building	12/31/2019	113,000	2.5	3,069,070	2.9
Total			1,964,963	44.1 %	\$53,020,616	50.5 %

(1) GSA and Saatchi & Saatchi North America, Inc. leases are subject to early termination prior to expiration at the option of the tenant.

(2) Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements)) as of December 31, 2012, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

(3) We have completed leases at our 1455 Market property with the Metro Transit Authority (“MTA”) for 38,894 square feet and with Square, Inc. for 327,432 square feet which backfill certain space currently leased to Bank of America. The following summarizes Bank of America’s early termination rights by square footage as of December 31, 2012,

subject to the pending lease commencements with MTA and Square, Inc.: (1) 25,474 square feet at December 31, 2012; (2) 95,656 square feet at January 31, 2013, 92,740 square feet of which was delivered to Square, Inc. in February, 2013 for lease commencement in February, 2013; (3) 56,521 square feet at June 30, 2013, 38,894 square feet of which is scheduled to be delivered to MTA at or around June 30, 2013, subject to satisfaction of certain conditions associated with the lease to MTA, and another 15,741 square feet of which is scheduled to be delivered to Square Inc. in July, 2013 for lease commencement in July, 2013; (4) 152,373 square feet at December 31, 2013, 129,886 square feet of which is scheduled to be delivered to Square, Inc. in January, 2014 for lease commencement in January, 2014; (5) 217,914 square feet at December 31, 2015; and (6) 228,874 square feet at December 31, 2017. In sum, the leases with MTA and Square, Inc. backfill a combined 277,261 square feet of the 776,812 square feet leased to Bank of America as of December 31, 2012. In addition to the 238,367 square feet under the lease with Square, Inc. which backfills space under the Bank of America lease, the lease with Square, Inc. also includes 89,065 square feet of net absorption, scheduled for commencement in March, 2013.

We have completed leases at our Rincon Center property with salesforce.com for 235,733 square feet which backfills 148,375 square feet currently leased to AT&T. The following summarizes the scheduled commencement by square footage of the lease with salesforce.com: (1) 93,028 square feet commencing on November 1, 2013, 71,931 square feet of which backfills space currently occupied by AT&T; (2) 59,689 square feet commencing May 1, 2014, 37,230 square feet of which backfills space currently occupied by AT&T; (3) 76,004 square feet (4) commencing August 1, 2014, 39,214 square feet of which backfills space currently occupied by AT&T and 27,604 square feet currently occupied to other tenants; (4) 2,868 square feet commencing August 1, 2015, 2,851 square feet of which backfills space currently occupied by other tenants; and (5) 4,144 square feet commencing May 1, 2017, all of which backfills space currently occupied by other tenants. In addition to the 182,974 square feet under the lease with salesforce.com which backfills space under the lease with AT&T and other tenants, the lease with salesforce.com also includes 13,021 square feet of

Table of Contents

additional square footage due to BOMA adjustments and 39,738 square feet of net absorption, scheduled for commencement, as follows: 18,062 square feet in November, 2013; 18,075 square feet in May, 2014; and 3,601 square feet in August, 2014.

GSA expirations by property and square footage: (1) 89,995 square feet at 1455 Market Street expiring on (5)February 19, 2017; (2) 5,906 square feet at 901 Market Street expiring on April 30, 2017; and (3) 42,811 square feet at 901 Market Street expiring on July 31, 2021.

Lease Distribution of Office Portfolio

The following table sets forth information relating to the distribution of leases in our office portfolio, based on net rentable square feet under lease as of December 31, 2012.

Square Feet Under Lease	Number of Leases	Percentage of All Leases	Total Leased Square Feet	Percentage of Office Portfolio Leased Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent
2,500 or less	78	34.7	% 98,686	2.5	% \$3,527,473	3.1
2,501-10,000	69	30.7	363,995	9.2	12,980,530	11.3
10,001-20,000	20	8.9	297,392	7.5	9,560,301	8.4
20,001-40,000	19	8.4	549,351	13.8	14,796,730	12.9
40,001-100,000	11	4.9	747,550	18.8	17,451,271	15.3
Greater than 100,000	11	4.9	1,638,681	41.2	46,695,200	40.8
Building management use	8	3.5	21,425	0.5	—	—
Uncommenced leases	9	4.0	259,384	6.5	9,391,766	8.2
Office Portfolio Total:	225	100.0	% 3,976,464	100.0	% \$114,403,271	100.0

Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before (1)abatements)), including under uncommenced leases as of December 31, 2012 by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

Lease Expirations of Office Portfolio

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of the lease expirations for leases in place as of December 31, 2012 plus available space, for each of the ten full calendar years beginning January 1, 2012 at the properties in our office portfolio. Unless otherwise stated in the footnotes, the information set forth in the table assumes that tenants exercise no renewal options.

Year of Lease Expiration	Square Footage of Expiring Leases ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Square Feet	Annualized Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Percentage of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot
Available	477,077	10.7	% \$—	—	% \$—
2012	58,089	1.3	386,049	0.3	6.65
2013	789,518	17.7	19,633,620	17.2	24.87
2014	149,109	3.4	5,078,656	4.4	34.06
2015	404,090	9.1	8,120,591	7.1	20.1
2016	338,399	7.6	11,248,475	9.8	33.24
2017	768,018	17.2	22,717,744	19.9	29.58
2018	272,208	6.1	6,856,905	6.0	25.19
2019	558,446	12.5	18,591,470	16.3	33.29
2020	238,735	5.4	8,791,014	7.7	36.82
2021	42,811	1.0	1,054,723	0.9	24.64

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Thereafter	76,232	1.7	2,532,260	2.2	33.22
Building management use	21,425	0.5	—	—	—
Signed leases not commenced	259,384	5.8	9,391,766	8.2	% 36.21
Office Portfolio Total/Weighted Average:	4,453,541	100.0	% \$114,403,273	100.0	% \$28.77

(1) Assumes Bank of America exercises the early termination rights set forth in footnote (3) on page 27 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Annualized base rent is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before (2) abatements)), including under uncommenced leases as of December 31, 2012, by (ii) 12. Annualized base rent does not reflect tenant reimbursements.

Media and Entertainment Portfolio

Our portfolio of operating properties includes two properties that we consider to be media and entertainment properties, encompassing an aggregate of 884,196 square feet. We define our media and entertainment properties as those properties in our portfolio that are primarily used for the physical production of media content, such as television programs, feature films, commercials, music videos and photographs. These properties generally also feature a traditional office component that is leased to production companies and content providers. For the 12 months ended December 31, 2012, our media and entertainment properties were approximately 73.7% leased. Our media and entertainment properties are located in prime Southern California submarkets.

Leasing Characteristics of Media and Entertainment Properties

The duration of typical lease terms for tenants of media and entertainment properties tends to be shorter than those of traditional office properties. Generally, terms of the media and entertainment leases are one year or less, as tenants are never certain as to whether their productions will continue to be carried by networks or cable channels. However, historically, many entertainment tenants have exercised renewal options such that their actual tenancy is extended for multiple years. As an example, productions such as Judge Judy, Judge Joe Brown and Let's Make a Deal have been tenants at Sunset Bronson Studios for between three and 15 years. At Sunset Gower Studios, NBC's Heroes was a tenant for four years prior to its cancellation and Showtime's Dexter has been a tenant for six years. Additionally, occupancy levels for sound stage space and office and support space tend to run in parallel, as a majority of stage users also require office and support space. In addition, we require tenants at our media and entertainment properties to use our facilities for items such as lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). As a result, our other property-related revenues tend to track overall occupancy of our media and entertainment properties. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

Description of Our Media and Entertainment Properties

Sunset Gower, Hollywood, California

Sunset Gower is a 15.7-acre media and entertainment property located in the heart of Hollywood, four blocks west of the Hollywood (101) Freeway. The property encompasses almost an entire city block, bordered by Sunset Boulevard to the north, Gower Street to the west, Gordon Street to the east and Fountain Avenue to the south. The property, a fixture in the Los Angeles-based entertainment industry since it was built in the 1920s, served as Columbia Pictures' headquarters through 1972 and is now one of the largest independent media and entertainment properties in the United States. Sunset Gower provides a fully-integrated environment for its media and entertainment-focused tenants within which they can access creative and technical talent for film and television production as well as post-production. Sunset Gower typically serves as home to single-camera television and motion picture production tenants. The property comprises 394,910 square feet of office and support space, along with 12 sound stage facilities totaling 175,560 square feet. In addition, there are 1,450 parking spaces situated in both surface and structured parking lots. Included in the total office square footage are two buildings, known as 6050 Sunset, 1455 Beachwood, and 1455 Gordon, that were acquired on December 16, 2011 and September 21, 2012, and that comprise approximately 26,761 square feet. The 1455 Beachwood and 1455 Gordon buildings are being renovated. For the year ended December 31, 2012, Sunset Gower was approximately 71.2% leased.

An approximately 0.59-acre portion of the site is subject to ground leases, expiring March 31, 2060, by and between Sunset Gower Entertainment Properties, LLC (lessee) and the Chadwick 1994 Family Trust and Richard S. Chadwick (collectively "lessor"); the remaining portion of the Sunset Gower property is owned by Sunset Gower Entertainment Properties in fee, with the exception of 6050 Sunset, 1455 Beachwood, and 1455 Gordon, which are owned by SGS Ancillary Parcels, LLC.

In addition to Sunset Gower's existing facilities, the current zoning designation for Sunset Gower, M1-1—Limited Industrial, City of Los Angeles, permits a floor area ratio, or FAR, of 1.5x, which implies a maximum allowable density of 1,022,933 square feet, or an incremental 423,436 square feet above the existing 599,497 floor area ratio, including the Technicolor Building. However, as of December 31, 2012, we had no immediate plans to develop additional facilities on the property.

Sunset Gower Primary Tenants

29

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Sunset Gower for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annual Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Percentage of Property Annual Base Rent
Blind Decker Productions (Dexter)	Television/Entertainment	12/31/2013 ⁽⁴⁾	—	64,065	11.3 %	\$2,136,839	\$33.35	17.4 %
Total/Weighted Average:				64,065	11.3 %	\$2,136,839	\$33.28	17.4 %

(1) Reflects average square feet under lease to such tenant for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(2) Annual base rent reflects actual base rent for the year ended December 31, 2012, excluding tenant reimbursements.

Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual rent for the year ended December 31, 2012,

(3) excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by average square feet under lease for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Blind Decker Productions is obligated to maintain their lease if Dexter is renewed for another season. The Sixth Amendment to Lease, dated December 28, 2009, extended the term through December 31, 2013. The tenant has a termination option, which it may exercise by giving 90 days' notice and by paying the equivalent of seven months of rent.

Sunset Gower Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annual base rent per leased square foot and annual net effective base rent per leased square foot for Sunset Gower as of the dates indicated below:

Date	Percent Leased ⁽¹⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annual Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾
December 31, 2012	71.2	% \$30.49	\$30.61
December 31, 2011	66.6	30.88	30.98
December 31, 2010	70.9	30.27	30.27
December 31, 2009	68.2	29.83	29.83
December 31, 2008	74.2	27.94	27.94

Percent leased is the average percent leased for the year that ended on the dates indicated above. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because (1) entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the (2) year that ended on the dates indicated above divided by average square feet under lease for the year that ended on the dates indicated above.

Annual net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the year that ended on the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize (3) free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the average square feet under lease for the year that ended on the dates indicated above.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment campuses.

Sunset Bronson, Hollywood, California

Sunset Bronson is a 10.6 acre media and entertainment property located in the heart of Hollywood, one block west of the Hollywood (101) Freeway and in close proximity to the Sunset Gower property. The property encompasses a full city block, bordered by Sunset Boulevard to the north, Bronson Avenue to the west, Van Ness Avenue to the east and Fernwood Avenue to the south. The property, which was built in phases from 1924 through 1981, formerly served as Warner Brothers Studios' headquarters and has been continuously operated as a media and entertainment property since the 1920s. The property includes a Historical-Cultural Monument designation for the Site of the Filming of the First Talking Film (The Jazz Singer) that is specific to the building structure that fronts Sunset Boulevard. Similar to nearby Sunset Gower, Sunset Bronson is a multi-use property with a full complement of production, post-production and support facilities that enable its media and entertainment focused tenants to conduct their business in a collaborative and efficient setting. In contrast to Sunset Gower, which typically serves single-camera television and motion picture productions, Sunset Bronson caters to multi-camera television productions, such as game shows, talk shows or courtroom shows that record in video and require a control room to manage and edit the productions' multiple cameras. Excluding the KTLA portion of the property, which is described below,

Table of Contents

Sunset Bronson consists of approximately 86,108 square feet of office and support space and nine sound stage facilities with approximately 137,109 square feet, along with 455 parking spaces. The property has three digital control rooms, one of which has high-definition technology, which allow tenants to edit productions filmed with high-definition cameras. For the year ended December 31, 2012, Sunset Bronson was approximately 78.1% leased. Sunset Bronson also includes the KTLA facility, which is a multi-use office, broadcasting and production facility located on the Sunset Bronson property described above. The KTLA facility is 100% leased by KTLA Channel 5, one of the largest independent television stations in Los Angeles and has served as KTLA's only broadcast facility and its primary office and production location for over 50 years. In connection with the acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property, KTLA, Inc., a subsidiary of Tribune Company, entered into a five-year lease for approximately 90,506 square feet, which includes 83,531 square feet of office and support space and 6,975 square feet encompassing two sound stages. At the time of the closing of the acquisition of the Sunset Bronson property, our predecessor received a prepayment of \$16.3 million from KTLA in prepayment of its rents for the initial five-year term of its lease. On December 8, 2008, Tribune Company and several of its affiliates, including KTLA, Inc., filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. On June 25, 2009, KTLA assumed its lease for the KTLA facility and cured all outstanding pre-petition amounts due us.

We entered into an amendment to the KTLA lease that extends the lease term through January 31, 2016. Net rents will be approximately \$2,707,940 from February 1, 2013 through January 31, 2014, \$2,789,178 from February 1, 2014 through January 31, 2015 and \$2,872,853 from February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016.

In addition to Sunset Bronson's existing facilities, the current zoning designation for Sunset Bronson, M1-1—Limited Industrial, City of Los Angeles, permits a FAR of 1.5x, which implies a maximum allowable density of 689,565 square feet or an incremental 391,836 square feet above the existing 297,729 total FAR, including the KTLA portion of the property.

Sunset Bronson Primary Tenants

The following table summarizes information regarding the primary tenants of Sunset Bronson as of December 31, 2012:

Tenant	Principal Nature of Business	Lease Expiration	Renewal Options	Total Leased Square Feet ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Property Square Feet	Annual Base Rent ⁽²⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾	Percentage of Property Annual Base Rent	
KTLA	Television/Entertainment	1/31/2016	—	90,506	28.8	% \$3,256,498	\$35.98	31.5	%
3 Doors Productions	Television/Entertainment	6/6/2013	—	37,817	12.1	1,405,624	37.17	13.6	
Total/Weighted Average:				128,323	40.9	% \$4,662,122	\$36.33	45.1	%

(1) Reflects average square feet under lease to such tenant for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Annual base rent reflects actual base rent for the year ended December 31, 2012, excluding tenant reimbursements.

(2) As of February 1, 2013, annualized base rent for KTLA will be \$2,707,940, subject to annual increases of three percent and abatements of \$676,985, \$697,294, and \$718,213 for 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent for the year ended December 31, 2012,

(3) excluding tenant reimbursements, divided by average square feet under lease for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Sunset Bronson Percent Leased and Base Rent

The following table sets forth the percentage leased, annual base rent per leased square foot and annual net effective base rent per leased square foot for the Sunset Bronson property as of the dates indicated below:

Date	Percent Leased ⁽¹⁾	Annual Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽²⁾	Annual Net Effective Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽³⁾
December 31, 2012	78.1	% \$42.16	\$40.02
December 31, 2011	76.3	40.77	38.58
December 31, 2010	75.5	40.18	37.97
December 31, 2009	68.5	40.12	38.70

31

Table of Contents

Percent leased is the average percent leased for the year that ended on the dates indicated above. As a result of the short-term nature of the leases into which we enter at our media and entertainment properties, and because (1) entertainment industry tenants generally do not shoot on weekends due to higher costs, we believe stabilized occupancy rates at our media and entertainment properties are lower than those rates achievable at our traditional office assets, where tenants enter into longer-term lease arrangements.

Annual base rent per leased square foot is calculated as actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the (2) year that ended on the dates indicated above divided by average square feet under lease for the year that ended on the dates indicated above.

Annual net effective base rent per leased square foot represents (i) actual base rent, excluding tenant reimbursements, for the year that ended on the dates indicated above, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize (3) free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) the average square feet under lease for the year that ended on the dates indicated above.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment campuses.

Sunset Bronson Lot A

In connection with our purchase of Sunset Bronson in 2008, we acquired a 67,381 square foot undeveloped lot located on the northwest corner of Sunset Boulevard and Bronson Avenue. The lot is located two blocks west of the I-101 Freeway, between the Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties. The site is currently used as a surface parking lot and can be developed to include up to 60,855 square feet of retail and office space based on current zoning, with the opportunity to add additional developable square footage through certain municipal land entitlement approvals. We estimate that with further entitlements, we could increase the developable square footage to approximately 273,913 square feet. While we are holding this property for its development potential, we do not currently have any plans for its development.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings that we believe to be material or that, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations if determined adversely to us.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Overview

As of March 1, 2013, we had approximately 56,698,156 shares of common stock outstanding, including unvested restricted stock grants. Our common stock has traded on the NYSE under the symbol "HPP" since June 24, 2010. The applicable high and low prices of our common stock from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, as reported by the NYSE, are set forth below for the periods indicated.

Distributions

We intend to make distributions each taxable year (not including a return of capital for federal income tax purposes) equal to at least 90% of our taxable income. We intend to pay regular quarterly dividend distributions to our stockholders. Dividends will be made to those stockholders who are stockholders as of the dividend record dates. Dividend amounts depend on our available cash flows, financial condition and capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as our board of directors deem relevant.

Quarterly dividend distributions paid on all outstanding classes of common stock to our stockholders during the year ended 2012 are presented below:

Table of Contents

Fiscal year 2012	High	Low	Close	Per Share Common Stock Dividends Declared
First quarter	\$16.13	\$13.45	\$15.13	\$ 0.125
Second quarter	17.44	14.77	17.41	0.125
Third quarter	19.54	16.97	18.50	0.125
Fourth quarter	21.10	18.07	21.06	0.125

The closing share price for our common stock on March 1, 2013, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange, was \$22.72. As of March 1, 2013, there were 32 stockholders of record of our common stock.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Our equity compensation plan information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Stock Performance Graph

The information below shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, other than as provided in Item 201 of Regulation S-K, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

The following graph shows our cumulative total stockholder return for the period beginning with the initial listing of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 24, 2010 and ending on December 31, 2012. The graph assumes a \$100 investment in each of the indices on June 24, 2010 and the reinvestment of all dividends. The graph also shows the cumulative total returns of the Standard & Poor’s 500 Stock Index, or S&P Index, and an industry peer group. Our stock price performance shown in the following graph is not indicative of future stock price performance.

Table of Contents

Index	06/23/10	06/30/10	Period		06/30/11	12/31/11	06/30/12	12/31/12
			Ending					
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.	100.00	101.47	89.63	94.06	87.40	109.17	133.75	
S&P 500	100.00	94.42	116.38	123.40	118.84	130.12	137.86	
SNL US RE <\$500M Implied Cap	100.00	96.11	113.29	109.12	101.81	121.93	133.50	
SNL US RE \$500M-\$1B Implied Cap	100.00	95.24	120.66	130.87	116.14	135.91	157.45	

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth, on a historical basis, selected financial and operating data. The financial information has been derived from our consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations. The following data should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and notes thereto and Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included below in this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES

(in thousands, except share, per share, square footage and occupancy data)

Year Ended December 31,

	Consolidated			Historical Combined	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues					
Office					
Rental	\$93,945	\$75,343	\$22,247	\$11,046	\$8,235
Tenant recoveries	22,157	22,102	3,115	2,024	1,504
Parking and other	9,921	7,763	1,141	252	41
Total office revenues	\$126,023	\$105,208	\$26,503	\$13,322	\$9,780
Media & entertainment					
Rental	\$23,598	\$21,617	\$20,931	\$19,916	\$22,075
Tenant recoveries	1,598	1,539	1,571	1,792	1,544
Other property-related revenue	14,733	13,638	11,397	9,427	13,509
Other	204	187	238	64	92
Total media & entertainment revenues	\$40,133	\$36,981	\$34,137	\$31,199	\$37,220
Total revenues	\$166,156	\$142,189	\$60,640	\$44,521	\$47,000
Operating expenses					
Office operating expenses	53,577	\$44,740	\$10,212	\$6,242	\$3,003
Media & entertainment operating expenses	24,340	22,446	19,815	19,545	23,881
General and administrative	16,497	13,038	4,493	—	—
Depreciation and amortization	57,024	44,660	15,912	10,908	9,693
Total operating expenses	151,438	\$124,884	\$50,432	\$36,695	\$36,577
Income from operations	14,718	17,305	\$10,208	\$7,826	\$10,423
Other expense (income)					
Interest expense	\$19,071	\$17,480	\$8,831	\$8,792	\$12,029
Interest income	(306)	(73)	(59)	(19)	(48)
Unrealized (gain) loss on interest rate contracts	—	—	(347)	(400)	835
Sale of Lot	—	—	—	—	208
Acquisition-related expenses	1,051	1,693	4,273	—	—
Other expenses	(92)	443	192	97	21
Total other expenses	\$19,724	\$19,543	\$12,890	\$8,470	\$13,045
Net loss	\$(5,006)	\$(2,238)	\$(2,682)	\$(644)	\$(2,622)
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(12,924)	(8,108)	(817)	—	—
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(295)	(231)	(50)	—	—
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	21	(803)	(119)	29	81
Add: Net loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	1,014	946	418	—	—

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders' / controlling members' equity	\$ (17,190)	\$ (10,434)	\$ (3,250)	\$ (615)	\$ (2,541)
--	--------------	--------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Per-Share Data:

Net loss attributable to shareholders' per share—basic and diluted	\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.35)	—	—	—
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding—basic and diluted	41,640,691	29,392,920	—	—	—
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.500	\$ 0.500	\$ 0.1921	—	—

Table of Contents

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Balance Sheet Data:					
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 1,390,771	\$ 1,007,175	\$ 837,622	\$ 412,085	\$ 409,192
Total assets	1,559,690	1,152,791	1,004,565	448,234	446,037
Notes payable	582,085	399,871	342,060	189,518	185,594
Total liabilities	649,993	451,647	390,232	221,646	224,306
6.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred units of the Operating Partnership	12,475	12,475	12,475	—	—
Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity	—	—	40,328	—	—
Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	145,000	87,500	87,500	—	—
Members' / stockholders' equity	695,213	537,813	408,346	223,240	218,449
Non-controlling partnership / members' interest	57,009	63,356	65,684	3,348	3,282
Total equity	897,222	688,669	561,530	226,588	221,731
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,559,690	\$ 1,152,791	\$ 964,237	\$ 448,234	\$ 446,037
Other Data					
Cash flows provided by (used in)					
Operating activities	42,821	32,082	7,619	4,538	20,049
Investing activities	(423,470)	(130,604)	(242,156)	(15,457)	(178,526)
Financing activities	385,848	63,352	279,718	8,800	163,794

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion relates to our consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Statements contained in this Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Some of the information presented is forward-looking in nature, including information concerning projected future occupancy rates, rental rate increases, property development timing and investment amounts. Although the information is based on our current expectations, actual results could vary from expectations stated in this report. Numerous factors will affect our actual results, some of which are beyond our control. These include the breadth and duration of the current economic recession and its impact on our tenants, the strength of commercial and industrial real estate markets, market conditions affecting tenants, competitive market conditions, interest rate levels, volatility in our stock price and capital market conditions. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this information, which speaks only as of the date of this report. We assume no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, except to the extent we are required to do so in connection with our ongoing requirements under federal securities laws to disclose material information. For a discussion of important risks related to our business, and related to investing in our securities, including risks that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from results and events referred to in the forward-looking information, see Item 1A: Risk Factors and the discussion under the captions "—Forward-looking Statements" above and "—Liquidity and Capital Resources" below. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this report might not occur.

Executive Summary

Through our interest in Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. (our operating partnership) and its subsidiaries, at December 31, 2012 our consolidated office portfolio consisted of approximately 4.5 million square feet, and our media and entertainment portfolio consisted of 0.9 million square feet. As of December 31, 2012, our consolidated stabilized office portfolio was 93.5% leased (including leases not yet commenced). Our media and entertainment properties were 73.7% leased for the trailing 12-month period ended December 31, 2012.

Current Year Acquisitions, Repositionings and Financings.
Acquisitions.

On April 5, 2012, we acquired 10900 Washington Boulevard in Culver City, for a total gross purchase price of \$2.6 million (before closing costs and prorations). 10900 Washington Boulevard is an approximately 9,919-square-foot office project immediately adjacent to our 10950 Washington Boulevard property.

Table of Contents

On June 1, 2012, we acquired 901 Market Street property in San Francisco, for a total gross purchase price of \$90.0 million (before closing costs and prorations). 901 Market is an approximately 212,319 square foot historic landmark building consisting of approximately 122,319 square feet of office space and approximately 90,000 square feet of retail space.

On September 5, 2012, we acquired the Olympic Bundy Media Campus located at 1901, 1925, and 1933 South Bundy Drive and 12333 West Olympic Boulevard in Los Angeles, for a total gross purchase price of \$89.0 million (before closing costs and prorations). The Olympic Bundy Media Campus is comprised of 11.55 acres, with four existing buildings totaling approximately 241,427 square feet. The site also benefits from zoning that could potentially support up to an additional 500,000 square feet of future improvements.

On November 8, 2012, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Hudson JW, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“HJW”), entered into a joint venture, P1 Hudson MC Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Pinnacle JV”), with Media Center Partners, LLC, a California limited liability company (“MCP”), to acquire The Pinnacle, a two-building (Pinnacle I and Pinnacle II), 625,640 square foot, office property located in Burbank, California. Pinnacle I is a 393,776 square foot building that, immediately prior to the transactions described below, was held in a joint venture between MCP and an unrelated entity (the “Prior P1 Majority Owner”), in which MCP held approximately 5% of the ownership interests. Pinnacle II is a 231,864 square foot building owned by an affiliate of MCP, Media Center Development, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“MCD”). The Pinnacle I building was purchased by the Pinnacle JV for a total gross purchase price of \$212.5 million (before closing costs and prorations). In order to effectuate that purchase, HJW contributed approximately \$83.9 million to the Pinnacle JV and the Pinnacle JV obtained a \$129.0 million ten-year project loan. The new project loan bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 3.954% and matures on November 7, 2022.

MCD is expected to contribute the Pinnacle II to the Pinnacle JV building upon the satisfaction of certain closing conditions, including lender approval of the assumption of an existing \$89.2 million project loan. The existing project loan bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 6.313% and matures on September 6, 2016. While no assurances can be made with respect to the timing or success of satisfying the conditions to the contribution of Pinnacle II to the Pinnacle JV, the Company anticipates the satisfaction of those conditions to be completed before the end of the first quarter of 2013. Other than for purposes of funding closing costs and prorations, HJW will not be required to make a capital contribution in connection with the contribution of the Pinnacle II building to the Pinnacle JV, but HJW’s ownership interest in the Pinnacle JV will be adjusted to reflect the contribution by MCD of Pinnacle II such that upon its contribution the combined ownership interest of MCP and MCD in Pinnacle JV shall become approximately 35%, with the remaining approximately 65% percent owned by HJW. Upon completion of the transaction, the joint venture will own both buildings for a combined purchase price of \$342.5 million, subject to \$218.2 million of project-level financing.

Dispositions. We did not dispose of any properties in 2012.

Repositionings. We generally select a property for repositioning at the time we purchase it. We often strategically purchase properties with large vacancies or expected near-term lease roll-over and use our knowledge of the property and submarket to determine the optimal use and tenant mix. A repositioning can consist of a range of improvements to a property, and may involve a complete structural renovation of a building to significantly upgrade the character of the property, or it may involve targeted remodeling of common areas and tenant spaces to make the property more attractive to certain identified tenants. Because each repositioning effort is unique and determined based on the property, tenants and overall trends in the general market and specific submarket, the results are varying degrees of depressed rental revenue and occupancy levels for the affected property, which impacts our results and, accordingly, comparisons of our performance from period to period. The repositioning process generally occurs over the course of months or even years. Although usually associated with newly-acquired properties, repositioning efforts can also

occur at properties we already own; repositioning properties discussed in the context of this paragraph exclude acquisition properties where the plan for improvement is implemented as part of the acquisition. During 2012, we acquired 901 Market and the Olympic Bundy Media Campus for purposes of repositioning.

Financings.

On January 19, 2012 we closed a 10-year term loan totaling \$43.0 million with PNC Bank, National Association, secured by our First Financial Plaza property. The loan bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 4.58% and will mature on February 1, 2022.

On February 11, 2012, we closed a 10-year term loan totaling \$30.0 million with Cantor Commercial Real Estate Lending, L.P., secured by our 10950 Washington property. The loan bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 5.316% and will mature on March 11, 2022.

Table of Contents

On August 3, 2012, we replaced our \$200.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. acts as administrative agent and its affiliate acts as joint lead arranger, Bank of America, N.A. acts as joint lead arranger and, together with Barclays Capital Inc., acts as joint syndication agent, and Keybank, N.A., acts as documentation agent. Our Operating Partnership is the borrower under our new unsecured revolving credit facility. A more detailed description of our unsecured revolving credit facility appears in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On October 9, 2012, we closed a three-year loan with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by the Company's 275 Brannan property. Upon full disbursement, the loan will total \$15.0 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 200 basis points and will mature on October 5, 2015, provided that the Company may extend such maturity for one additional year subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. Proceeds from the loan are expected to be used to fund base building, tenant improvement, and leasing commission costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of this property.

On October 30, 2012, we closed a four-year loan with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by the Company's 901 Market Street property, \$49.6 million which was funded at closing, with an additional \$11.9 million available to fund base building, tenant improvement, and leasing commission costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of this property. Upon full disbursement, the loan will total \$61.5 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 225 basis points, until such time as the property achieves a trailing six month 9.0% debt yield, at which time interest would be reduced to LIBOR plus 200 basis points. The loan will mature on October 31, 2016, provided that the Company may extend such maturity for one additional year subject to satisfaction of certain conditions.

On November 8, 2012, in connection with the acquisition of Pinnacle I, we closed a \$129.0 million ten-year project loan to finance a portion of the purchase price. The loan bears interest only for the first five years at an interest rate of 3.954%. Beginning with the payment due December 6, 2017, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule. The loan will mature on November 7, 2022.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are the consolidated financial statements of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and our subsidiaries, including our operating partnership. The results of the properties described under "—Acquisitions" above are included in our consolidated results as of the date of their respective acquisition. Similarly, the financings described under "—Financings" above are included in our consolidated results on the date that the asset as to which a loan has been assumed was acquired or as of the date of the applicable loan draw in the case of draws under our unsecured credit facility. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements.

For periods prior to 2010, we have reclassified certain other property-related revenue and tenant recoveries relating to our media and entertainment properties that had been included as an offset to corresponding operating expenses, such that our media and entertainment rental revenue, other property-related revenue, and tenant recoveries, and our media and entertainment operating expenses reflect the gross revenue and gross expenses, as applicable, without regard to such offset. In addition, for periods prior to 2011, we have reclassified office related parking revenue from tenant recoveries to parking and other. These reclassifications conform the periods prior to 2011 with the current period presentation.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC, and they include, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth therein.

Factors That May Influence Our Operating Results

Business and Strategy

We focus our investment strategy on office properties located in submarkets with growth potential as well as on underperforming properties or portfolios that provide opportunities to implement a value-add strategy to increase occupancy rates and cash flow. Additionally, we intend to acquire properties or portfolios that are distressed due to near-term debt maturities or underperforming properties where we believe better management, focused leasing efforts and/or capital improvements would improve the property's operating performance and value. Our strategy also includes active management, aggressive leasing efforts, focused capital improvement programs, the reduction and containment of operating costs and an emphasis on tenant satisfaction, which we believe will minimize turnover costs and improve occupancy.

Table of Contents

From the acquisition of our first property in February 2007 through December 2012, we have acquired or developed properties totaling an aggregate of approximately 5.3 million square feet. We intend to pursue acquisitions of additional properties as a key part of our growth strategy, often including properties that may have substantial vacancy, which enables us to increase cash flow through lease-up. We expect to continue to acquire properties subject to existing mortgage financing and other indebtedness or to incur indebtedness in connection with acquiring or refinancing these properties. Debt service on such indebtedness will have a priority over any dividends with respect to our common or series B preferred stock and our common and series A preferred units.

Rental Revenue

The amount of net rental revenue generated by the properties in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space and space that becomes available from lease terminations. As of December 31, 2012, the percent leased for our stabilized office properties was approximately 93.5% (or 88.3%, excluding leases signed but not commenced as of that date), and the percent leased for the media and entertainment properties (based on 12-month trailing average) was approximately 73.7%. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. We believe that the average rental rates for our office properties are generally below the current average quoted market rate. We believe the average rental rates for our media and entertainment properties are generally equal to current average quoted market rates. Negative trends in one or more of these factors could adversely affect our rental revenue in future periods. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets or downturns in our tenants' industries that impair our ability to renew or re-let space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our properties. In addition, growth in rental revenue will also partially depend on our ability to acquire additional properties that meet our investment criteria.

Conditions in Our Markets

The properties in our portfolio are all located in California submarkets. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions in California, including the state budgetary shortfall, employment rates, natural hazards and other factors, may impact our overall performance.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses generally consist of utilities, property and ad valorem taxes, insurance and site maintenance costs. Increases in these expenses over tenants' base years are generally passed on to tenants in our full-service gross leased properties and are generally paid in full by tenants in our net lease properties. Certain of our properties have been reassessed for property tax purposes as a result of our initial public offering or their subsequent acquisition and other reassessments remain pending. In the case of completed reassessments, the amount of property taxes we pay reflects the valuations established with the county assessors for the relevant locations of each property as of the initial public offering or their subsequent acquisition. With respect to pending reassessments, we similarly expect the amount of property taxes we pay to reflect the valuations established with such county assessors.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary

As part of the formation transactions, we formed Hudson Pacific Services, Inc., or our services company, a Maryland corporation that is wholly owned by our operating partnership. We have elected, together with our services company, to treat our services company as a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes, and we may form additional taxable REIT subsidiaries in the future. Our services company generally may provide both customary and non-customary services to our tenants and engage in other activities that we may not engage in directly without

adversely affecting our qualification as a REIT. Our services company and its wholly owned subsidiaries provide a number of services to certain tenants at our media and entertainment properties and, from time to time, one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries may provide services to our tenants at these and other properties. In addition, our operating partnership has contributed some or all of its interests in certain wholly owned subsidiaries or their assets to our services company. We currently lease space to wholly owned subsidiaries of our services company at our media and entertainment properties and may, from time to time, enter into additional leases with one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. Any income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not be included in our taxable income for purposes of the 75% or 95% gross income tests, except to the extent such income is distributed to us as a dividend, in which case such dividend income will qualify under the 95%, but not the 75%, gross income test. Because a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal income tax, and state and local income tax (where applicable), as a regular C corporation, the income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally will be subject to an additional level of tax as compared to the income earned by our other subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of the historical financial condition and results of operations of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses in the reporting period. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates and assumptions. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to our financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have summarized below those accounting policies that require material subjective or complex judgments and that have the most significant impact on our financial conditions and results of operations. We evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon information currently available and on various assumptions that we believe are reasonable as of the date hereof. Other companies in similar businesses may use different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of our results of operations and financial conditions to those of other companies.

Investment in Real Estate Properties

The properties in our portfolio are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We account for the cost of an acquisition, including the assumption of liabilities, to the acquired tangible assets and identifiable intangibles based on their estimated fair values in accordance with GAAP. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors, including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it was vacant. Acquisition-related expenses are expensed in the period incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess the carrying value of real estate assets and related intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable in accordance with GAAP. Impairment losses are recorded on real estate assets held for investment when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets’ carrying amount. We recognize impairment losses to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the properties. Properties held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less cost to sell. We did not record any impairment charges related to our real estate assets and related intangibles during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due for monthly rents and other charges. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts, including an allowance for straight-line rent receivables, for estimated losses resulting from tenant defaults or the inability of tenants to make contractual rent and tenant recovery payments. We monitor the liquidity and creditworthiness of our tenants and operators on an ongoing basis. This evaluation considers industry and economic conditions, property performance, credit enhancements and other factors. For straight-line rent amounts, our assessment is based on amounts estimated to be recoverable over the term of the lease. At December 31, 2012, management believed that the collectability of straight-line rent balances are reasonably assured; however, a \$8 allowance was established against straight-line rent receivables. We evaluate the collectability of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on specific identification of uncollectible accounts and our historical collection experience. We recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are past due, the current business environment and our historical experience. Historical experience has been within management’s expectations.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize rental revenue from tenants on a straight-line basis over the lease term when collectability is reasonably assured and the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. For assets acquired subject to leases, we recognize revenue upon acquisition of the asset, provided the tenant has taken possession or controls the

physical use of the leased asset. If the lease provides for tenant improvements, we determine whether the tenant improvements, for accounting purposes, are owned by the tenant or us. When we are the owner of the tenant improvements, the tenant is not considered to have taken physical possession or have control of the physical use of the leased asset until the tenant improvements are substantially completed. When the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements, any tenant improvement allowance that is funded is treated as a lease incentive and amortized as a reduction of revenue over the lease term. Tenant improvement ownership is determined based on various factors including, but not limited to:

Table of Contents

- whether the lease stipulates how and on which items a tenant improvement allowance may be spent;
- whether the tenant or landlord retains legal title to the improvements at the end of the lease term;
- whether the tenant improvements are unique to the tenant or general-purpose in nature; and
- whether the tenant improvements are expected to have any residual value at the end of the lease.

Certain leases provide for additional rents contingent upon a percentage of the tenant's revenue in excess of specified base amounts or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized when actual results reported by the tenant, or estimates of tenant results, exceed the base amount or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized only after the contingency has been removed (when the related thresholds are achieved), which may result in the recognition of rental revenue in periods subsequent to when such payments are received.

Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' use of lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Other property-related revenue is recognized when these items are provided.

Tenant recoveries related to reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. The reimbursements are recognized and presented gross, as we are generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, and we have discretion in selecting the supplier and bear the associated credit risk. We recognize gains on sales of properties upon the closing of the transaction with the purchaser. Gains on properties sold are recognized using the full accrual method when (i) the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured, (ii) we are not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale, (iii) the initial investment from the buyer is sufficient and (iv) other profit recognition criteria have been satisfied. Gains on sales of properties may be deferred in whole or in part until the requirements for gain recognition have been met.

Stock-Based Compensation

ASC Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation (referred to as ASC Topic 718 and formerly known as FASB 123R), requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans are accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs.

Income Taxes

Our taxable income prior to the completion of our initial public offering is reportable by the members of the limited liability companies that comprise our predecessor. Our property-owning subsidiaries are limited liability companies and are treated as pass-through entities for income tax purposes. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the activities of these entities. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that we have operated in a manner that has allowed us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with such taxable year, and we intend to continue operating in such manner. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income to our stockholders, excluding net capital gains, and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Provided that we continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of our taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, we would be ineligible to elect to be treated as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year for which we lose our qualification. It is not possible to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to this statutory relief.

We have elected to treat one of our subsidiaries as a taxable REIT subsidiary. Certain activities that we may undertake, such as non-customary services for our tenants and holding assets that we cannot hold directly, will be

conducted by a taxable REIT subsidiary. A taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal and, where applicable, state income taxes on its net income.

We are subject to the statutory requirements of the state in which we conduct business.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table identifies each of the properties in our portfolio acquired through December 31, 2012 and their date of acquisition.

Properties	Acquisition/Completion Date	Square Feet
875 Howard Street	2/15/2007	286,270
Sunset Gower	8/17/2007	544,602
Sunset Bronson	1/30/2008	313,723
Technicolor Building	6/1/2008	114,958
City Plaza	8/26/2008	333,922
First Financial	6/29/2010	222,423
Tierrasanta	6/29/2010	112,300
Del Amo Office	8/13/2010	113,000
9300 Wilshire Boulevard	8/24/2010	61,224
222 Kearny Street	10/8/2010	148,797
1455 Market	12/16/2010	1,021,969
Rincon Center ⁽¹⁾	12/16/2010	580,850
10950 Washington	12/22/2010	158,873
604 Arizona	7/26/2011	44,260
275 Brannan	8/19/2011	54,673
625 Second Street	9/1/2011	136,906
6922 Hollywood Boulevard	11/22/2011	205,523
6050 Ocean Way & 1455 N. Beachwood Drive	12/16/2011	20,761
10900 Washington	4/5/2012	9,919
901 Market Street	6/1/2012	212,319
Olympic Bundy	9/5/2012	241,427
1455 Gordon Street	9/21/2012	6,000
Pinnacle I ⁽²⁾	11/8/2012	393,776
Total		5,338,475

(1) We acquired a 51% joint venture interest in the Rincon Center property on December 16, 2010. On April 29, 2011 we acquired the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property for approximately \$38.7 million (before closing costs and prorations).

(2) We acquired a 98.25% joint venture interest in Pinnacle I property on November 8, 2012.

All amounts and percentages used in this discussion of our results of operations are calculated using the numbers presented in the financial statements contained in this report rather than the rounded numbers appearing in this discussion.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2012 to the year ended December 31, 2011

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, and parking and other revenue. Total office revenues increased \$20.8 million, or 19.8%, to \$126.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$105.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The period-over-period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Table of Contents

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties and percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties. Total office rental revenue increased \$18.6 million, or 24.7%, to \$93.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$75.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in rental revenue largely reflects a full year of operating results from office properties acquired in the second half of 2011 and the impact of office properties acquired in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries remained relatively flat for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Office Parking and Other Revenue. Office parking and other revenue increased \$2.2 million, or 27.8%, to \$9.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$7.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in parking and other revenue largely reflects a full year of operating results from office properties acquired in the second half of 2011 and the impact of office properties acquired in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$3.2 million, or 8.5%, to \$40.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$37.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The items that contributed to the period-over-period total revenue results are discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties, percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties, and lease termination income. Total media and entertainment rental revenue increased \$2.0 million, or 9.2%, to \$23.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$21.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in rental revenue was primarily due to higher rents and occupancy compared to the same period a year ago.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Tenant recoveries remained relatively flat for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet). Total other property-related revenue increased \$1.1 million, or 8.0%, to \$14.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$13.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in other property-related revenue was primarily due to higher production activity and occupancy at our media and entertainment properties compared to the same period a year ago.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of property operating expenses, as well as property- and corporate-level general and administrative expenses, other property related expenses, management fees and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased by \$26.6 million, or 21.3%, to \$151.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$124.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. This increase in total operating expenses reflects the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased \$8.8 million, or 19.8%, to \$53.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$44.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

The increase in operating expenses largely reflects a full year of operating results from office properties acquired in the second half of 2011 and the impact of office properties acquired in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased \$1.9 million, or 8.4%, to \$24.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$22.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher production activity and occupancy at our media and entertainment properties compared to the same period a year ago.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses includes wages and salaries for corporate-level employees, accounting, legal and other professional services, office supplies, entertainment, travel, and automobile expenses, telecommunications and computer-related expenses, and other miscellaneous items. General and administrative expenses increased \$3.5 million, or 26.5%, to \$16.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to

Table of Contents

\$13.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to the adoption of the 2012 Outperformance Program and increased staffing to meet operational needs arising from the acquisitions of office properties.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$12.4 million, or 27.7%, to \$57.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$44.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to the depreciation associated with a full year of operating results from office properties acquired in the second half of 2011 and the impact of office properties acquired in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$1.6 million or 9.1% to \$19.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$17.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, we had \$582.1 million of notes payable, compared to \$399.9 million at December 31, 2011. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to the increase in indebtedness associated with the financing activity throughout 2012 on our First Financial, 10950 Washington, 901 Market, and Pinnacle I properties, as described above, and the full year impact of financings assumed in connection with properties acquired in the second half of 2011.

Acquisition-related expenses. Acquisition-related expenses decreased \$0.6 million, or 37.9%, to \$1.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$1.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in acquisition-related expenses was primarily due to higher expenses associated with loans assumptions in connection properties acquired in the second half of 2011.

Net Loss

Net loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 was \$5.0 million compared to net loss of \$2.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net loss was primarily due to higher operating expenses, higher general and administrative expenses, higher depreciation and amortization expenses, partially offset by higher revenues as a result of a full year of operating results from office properties acquired in the second half of 2011 and the impact of office properties acquired in the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2012, all as described above. Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2010

Revenue

Total Office Revenue. Total office revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries and other revenue. Total office revenues increased \$78.7 million, or 297.0%, to \$105.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$26.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total revenue are attributable primarily to the factors discussed below.

Office Rental Revenue. Office rental revenue includes rental revenues from our office properties and percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties. Total office rental revenue increased \$53.1 million, or 238.7%, to \$75.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$22.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in rental revenue from a year ago was primarily the result of rental revenue from office properties acquired during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011.

Office Tenant Recoveries. Office tenant recoveries increased \$19.0 million, or 609.5%, to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in tenant recoveries was primarily the result of recoveries from office properties acquired during the third and fourth quarters of

2010 and 2011.

Office Parking and Other Revenue. Parking and other revenue increased \$6.6 million, or 580.4%, to \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in parking and other revenue was primarily the result of the parking revenues from offices acquired during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011 and the early lease termination revenue from a single floor tenant at our City Plaza project.

Total Media & Entertainment Revenue. Total media and entertainment revenue consists of rental revenue, tenant recoveries, other property-related revenue and other revenue. Total media and entertainment revenues increased \$2.8 million, or

44

Table of Contents

8.3%, to \$37.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$34.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The period over period changes in the items that comprise total media and entertainment revenue are primarily attributable to the factors discussed below.

Media & Entertainment Rental Revenue. Media and entertainment rental revenue includes rental revenues from our media and entertainment properties and percentage rent on retail space contained within those properties. Total media and entertainment rental revenue increased \$0.7 million, or 3.3%, to \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$20.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in rental revenue was primarily due to improved rental rates at our media and entertainment properties and improved occupancy at our Sunset Bronson property, partially offset by lower occupancy at our Sunset Gower property.

Media & Entertainment Tenant Recoveries. Tenant recoveries remained relatively flat at \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Media & Entertainment Other Property-Related Revenue. Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' rental of lighting and other equipment, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (telephone and internet services). Total other property-related revenue increased \$2.2 million, or 19.7%, to \$13.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$11.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in other property-related revenue was primarily due to an increase in lighting equipment rental revenue, parking revenue and telecom revenue relating to higher production activity associated with improved tenant concentration at our media and entertainment properties.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses consist of property operating expenses, as well as property-level general and administrative expenses, other property-related expenses, management fees and depreciation and amortization. Total operating expenses increased \$74.5 million, or 147.6%, to \$124.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$50.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Changes in total operating expenses are primarily attributable to the factors discussed below.

Office Operating Expenses. Office operating expenses increased \$34.5 million, or 338.1%, to \$44.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$10.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in office operating expenses was primarily due to the acquisitions of office properties during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011, which was partially offset by certain property tax reassessment savings, mostly associated with our City Plaza property.

Media & Entertainment Operating Expenses. Media and entertainment operating expenses increased \$2.6 million, or 13.3%, to \$22.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase in operating expenses was due to an increase in other property-related expenses, primarily lighting and other equipment rental expenses, resulting from higher production activity at our media and entertainment properties. The operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 also reflects \$1.1 million of property tax reassessment savings that did not recur in 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses includes wages and salaries for corporate-level employees, accounting, legal and other professional services, office supplies, entertainment, travel, and automobile expenses, telecommunications and computer-related expenses, and other miscellaneous items. Since the IPO, 2010 private placement and formation transactions did not occur until June 29, 2010, the year ended December 31, 2010 only includes general and administrative expenses for corporate-level operations beginning June 29, 2010 and thereafter. We incurred \$13.0 million of general and administration expenses for our corporate-level

operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$4.5 million of expenses for our corporate-level operations over the period commencing on June 29, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation expense increased \$28.7 million, or 180.7%, to \$44.7 million for year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$15.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to the depreciation associated with the acquisitions of office properties acquired during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011.

Table of Contents

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$8.6 million, or 97.9%, to \$17.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to the increased indebtedness associated with the acquisitions of office properties during the third and fourth quarters of 2010 and 2011.

Unrealized Gain on Interest Rate Contracts. Unrealized gain on interest rate contracts decreased by \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 as a result of the expiration of an interest rate contract that was not accounted for as an effective cash flow hedge.

Acquisition-Related Expenses. Acquisition-related expenses decreased \$2.6 million, or 60.4%, to \$1.7 million for year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease was a result of lower acquisition activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the acquisition activity during 2010 that included our IPO and the related formation transactions.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$2.2 million compared to net loss of \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in net loss was primarily due to higher office and media and entertainment revenues and lower acquisition-related expenses, partially offset by higher operating expenses, higher general and administrative expenses, higher depreciation and amortization expenses, and higher interest expenses, all as described above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had approximately \$18.9 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2012. In addition, the lead arrangers for our unsecured revolving credit facility have secured commitments that will allow borrowings of up to \$250.0 million. As of December 31, 2012, we had total borrowing capacity of approximately \$204.1 million on our unsecured credit facility, of which \$55.0 million had been drawn. Subsequent to December 31, 2012, we drew an additional \$5.0 million on our unsecured credit facility for a total outstanding balance of \$60.0 million.

On February 12, 2013, we closed the public offering of 9,200,000 shares of our common stock. We used \$60.0 million of proceeds from that stock offering to fully pay down the \$60.0 million outstanding balance on our unsecured credit facility. As a result, as of the filing of this report we have capacity of approximately \$204.1 million on our unsecured credit facility, none of which has been drawn. We intend to use the unsecured revolving credit facility, among other things, to finance the acquisition of other properties, to provide funds for tenant improvements and capital expenditures and to provide for working capital and other corporate purposes.

Based on the closing price of our common stock of \$22.72 as of March 1, 2013, our ratio of debt to total market capitalization was approximately 27.1% (counting series A preferred units as debt). Our total market capitalization is defined as the sum of the market value of our outstanding common stock (which may decrease, thereby increasing our debt to total capitalization ratio), including restricted stock that we may issue to certain of our directors and executive officers, plus the aggregate value of common units not owned by us, plus the liquidation preference of outstanding series A preferred units, plus the liquidation preference of outstanding series B preferred stock, plus the book value of our total consolidated indebtedness.

Our short-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, distributions to our limited partners and dividend payments to our stockholders required to maintain our REIT status, capital expenditures and, potentially, acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, reserves established from existing cash and by drawing upon our unsecured revolving credit facility.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary for the repayment of debt at maturity, property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements with net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund property acquisitions and non-recurring capital improvements using our unsecured revolving credit facility pending permanent financing.

We believe we have access to multiple sources of capital to fund our long-term liquidity requirements, including the incurrence of additional debt and the issuance of additional equity. However, we cannot assure you that this is or will continue to be the case. Our ability to incur additional debt is dependent on a number of factors, including our degree of leverage, the

Table of Contents

value of our unencumbered assets and borrowing restrictions that may be imposed by lenders. Our ability to access the equity capital markets is dependent on a number of factors as well, including general market conditions for REITs and market perceptions about our company.

Consolidated Indebtedness

Senior Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

On August 3, 2012, we replaced our \$200.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. acts as administrative agent and its affiliate acts as joint lead arranger, Bank of America, N.A. acts as joint lead arranger and, together with Barclays Capital Inc., acts as joint syndication agent, and Keybank, N.A., acts as documentation agent. Our Operating Partnership is the borrower under our new unsecured revolving credit facility. The facility is required to be guaranteed by us and all of our subsidiaries that own unencumbered properties. The unsecured revolving credit facility includes an accordion feature that allows us to increase the availability by \$150.0 million, to \$400.0 million, under specified circumstances and subject to receiving commitments from lenders.

Our unsecured revolving credit facility bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 155 basis points to 220 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio. If the Company obtains a credit rating for its senior unsecured long term indebtedness, it may make an irrevocable election to change the interest rate for the unsecured revolving credit facility to a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 100 basis points to 185 basis points, depending on the credit rating. Our unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to a facility fee in an amount equal to our unused commitments multiplied by a rate per annum equal to 25 basis points to 35 basis points, depending on our usage of the unsecured revolving credit facility, or, if we make the credit rating election, in an amount equal to the aggregate amount of our commitments multiplied by a rate per annum equal to 15 basis points to 45 basis points, depending upon the credit rating. The amount available for us to borrow under the unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to compliance with certain covenants, including the following financial covenants:

- a maximum leverage ratio (defined as consolidated total indebtedness plus our pro rata share of indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total asset value) of 0.60:1.00;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) plus our pro rata share of EBITDA of unconsolidated affiliates to fixed charges) of 1.50:1.00;
- a maximum secured indebtedness leverage ratio (defined as consolidated secured indebtedness plus our pro rata share of secured indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total asset value) of 0.60:1.00 through and including August 3, 2014 and 0.55:1.00 thereafter;
- a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio (defined as consolidated unsecured indebtedness plus our pro rata share of unsecured indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total unencumbered asset value) of 0.60:1.00;
- a minimum unsecured interest coverage ratio (defined as consolidated net operating income from unencumbered properties plus our pro rata share of net operating income from unencumbered properties to unsecured interest expense) of 1.60:1.00; and
- a maximum recourse debt ratio (defined as recourse indebtedness other than indebtedness under the unsecured revolving credit facility but including unsecured lines of credit to total asset value) of 0.15:1.00.

In addition to these covenants, our unsecured revolving credit facility also includes certain limitations on dividend payouts and distributions, limits on certain types of investments outside of our primary business, and other customary affirmative and negative covenants. Our ability to borrow under the unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to continued compliance with these covenants.

As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with our unsecured revolving credit facility’s financial covenants. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$204.1 million of total capacity under our unsecured revolving credit facility, of which \$55.0 million had been drawn.

Outstanding Indebtedness

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to our outstanding indebtedness.

47

Table of Contents

Debt	Outstanding December 31, 2012	Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date
Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility	\$55,000	LIBOR+1.55% to 2.20%	8/3/2016
Mortgage loan secured by 625 Second Street ⁽²⁾	33,700	5.85%	2/1/2014
Mortgage loan secured by 6922 Hollywood Boulevard ⁽³⁾	41,243	5.58%	1/1/2015
Mortgage loan secured by 275 Brannan ⁽⁴⁾	138	LIBOR+2.00%	10/5/2015
Mortgage loan secured by Sunset Gower/Sunset Bronson ⁽⁵⁾	92,000	LIBOR+3.50%	2/11/2016
Mortgage loan secured by 901 Market ⁽⁶⁾	49,600	LIBOR+2.25%	10/31/2016
Mortgage loan secured by Rincon Center	107,492	5.134%	5/1/2018
Mortgage loan secured by First Financial ⁽⁷⁾	43,000	4.58%	2/1/2022
Mortgage loan secured by 10950 Washington	29,711	5.316%	3/11/2022
Mortgage loan secured by Pinnacle I ⁽⁸⁾	129,000	3.954%	11/7/2022
Subtotal	\$580,884		
Unamortized loan premium, net ⁽⁹⁾	1,201		
Total	\$582,085		

(1) Interest rate with respect to indebtedness is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year for the actual days elapsed, excluding the amortization of loan fees and costs.

(2) This loan was assumed on September 1, 2011 in connection with the closing of our acquisition of 625 Second Street property.

(3) This loan was assumed on November 22, 2011 in connection with the closing of our acquisition of the 6922 Hollywood Boulevard property.

(4) On October 5, 2012, we obtained a loan for our 275 Brannan property pursuant to which we have the ability to draw up to \$15,000 for budgeted base building, tenant improvements, and other costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of that property.

(5) On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% with respect to \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. On January 11, 2012 we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 2.00% with respect to \$42.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. Beginning with the payment due February 1, 2014, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt amortization of \$1,410.

(6) On October 29, 2012, we obtained a loan for our 901 Market property pursuant to which we borrowed \$49,600 upon closing, with the ability to draw up to an additional \$11,900 for budgeted base building, tenant improvements, and other costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of that property.

(7) The loan bears interest only for the first two years. Beginning with the payment due March 1, 2014, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt service of \$2,639.

(8) The loan bears interest only for the first five years. Beginning with the payment due December 6, 2017, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt service of \$7,349.

(9) Represents unamortized amount of the non-cash mark-to-market adjustment on debt associated with 625 Second Street and 6922 Hollywood Boulevard.

Contractual Obligations

The following table provides information with respect to our commitments at December 31, 2012, including any guaranteed or minimum commitments under contractual obligations. The table does not reflect available debt extensions.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Contractual Obligation	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Principal payments on mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾	\$580,884	\$107,731	\$174,767	\$109,147	\$189,239
Interest payments ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	137,695	25,143	59,028	32,577	20,947
Operating leases	225	193	32	—	—
Tenant-related commitments	68,293	68,293	—	—	—
Ground leases ⁽³⁾	59,327	1,417	4,251	4,251	49,408
Total:	\$846,424	\$202,777	\$238,078	\$145,975	\$259,594

As of December 31, 2012, we had drawn approximately \$55.0 million under our unsecured revolving credit (1) facility. Subsequent to December 31, 2012, we fully repaid the outstanding balance of our unsecured revolving credit facility.

(2) Interest rates with respect to indebtedness are calculated on the basis of a 360-day year for the actual days elapsed.

Reflects current annual base rents of \$367,125, \$1, \$975,000 and \$75,000 under the Sunset Gower, Del Amo Office, 222 Kearny Street and 9300 Wilshire ground leases, expiring March 31, 2060, June 30, 2049, June 14,

(3) 2054 and August 14, 2032, respectively. Assumes Sunset Gower and 222 Kearny ground rent is fixed at the current rent, although such ground rent is subject to periodic adjustments.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2012, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

Cash Flows

Comparison of twelve months ended December 31, 2012 to twelve months ended December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2012	2011	Dollar Change		
	(\$ in thousands)				
Net cash provided by operating activities	42,821	32,082	10,739	33.5	%
Net cash used in investing activities	(423,470)	(130,604)	(292,866)	224.2	%
Net cash provided by financing activities	385,848	63,352	322,496	509.1	%

Cash and cash equivalents were \$18.9 million and \$13.7 million at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Operating Activities

Our cash flows from operating activities are primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the rental rates achieved on our leases, the collectability of rent and recoveries from our tenants and the level of operating expenses and other general and administrative costs. Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$10.7 million to \$42.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$32.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in cash NOI, as defined, from our office properties, primarily from the acquisitions of office properties in the third and fourth quarters of 2011 and the second, third and fourth quarter of 2012, and an increase in prepaid rent, all of which were partially offset by an increase in general and administrative expenses, compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase in office properties cash NOI for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 would have been higher if the prior year early lease termination payment from a tenant at our City Plaza property was disregarded.

Investing Activities

Our net cash used in investing activities is generally used to fund property acquisitions, development and redevelopment projects and recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures. Net cash used in investing activities increased \$292.9 million to \$423.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$130.6 million for twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of 901 Market in June 2012, the acquisition of Olympic and Bundy in September 2012 and the acquisition of Pinnacle I in November 2012.

Financing Activities

Our net cash used in financing activities is generally impacted by our borrowings, capital activities net of dividends and distributions paid to common stockholders and noncontrolling interests. Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$322.5 million to \$385.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$63.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. The increase was due to higher net proceeds from the issuance of common stock, the issuance of our series B preferred stock, and lower repayment of indebtedness, as compared to the prior year. In addition, we paid off the Rincon center minority interest in 2011, with no comparable activity in 2012. The interest in net cash provided by financing activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 was partially offset by lower new financings (See Liquidity and Capital Resources above), and increased distributions, compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The primary market risk we face is interest rate risk. Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from

adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. As more fully described below, we use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors.

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. \$37.0 million of the loan was subject to an interest rate contract which swapped one-month LIBOR to a

Table of Contents

fixed rate of 0.75% through April 30, 2011. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% with respect to \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. On January 11, 2012 we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 2.00% with respect to \$42.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016.

On October 9, 2012, we closed a three-year loan with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by the Company's 275 Brannan property. Upon full disbursement, the loan will total \$15.0 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 200 basis points and will mature on October 5, 2015, provided that the Company may extend such maturity for one additional year subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. There is currently no hedge associated with this loan.

On October 30, 2012, we closed a four-year loan with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by the Company's 901 Market Street property, \$49.6 million which was funded at closing, with an additional \$11.9 million available to fund base building, tenant improvement, and leasing commission costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of this property. Upon full disbursement, the loan will total \$61.5 million. The loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.25%, until such time as the property achieves a trailing six month 9.0% debt yield, at which time interest would be reduced to LIBOR plus 2.00%. The loan will mature on October 31, 2016, provided that the Company may extend such maturity for one additional year subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. There is currently no hedge associated with this loan.

As of December 31, 2012, we had drawn a total of \$55.0 million under our unsecured credit facility, which facility is not subject to an interest rate hedge. Therefore, with respect to the combined \$92.0 million loan on our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties, the \$49.6 million on our 901 Market Street property, the \$0.1 million on our 275 Brannan Street property, and \$55.0 million outstanding balance on our unsecured facility as of December 31, 2012, if one-month LIBOR as of December 31, 2012 was to increase by 100 basis points, or 1.0%, the resulting increase in interest expense would impact our future earnings and cash flows by \$2.0 million.

Interest risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur. Further, in the event of a change in overall economic activity of that magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

As of December 31, 2012, our total outstanding debt was approximately \$580.9 million (before unamortized loan premium), which was comprised of a \$104.7 million of outstanding debt not mitigated by interest rate contracts, \$92.0 million of variable rate secured mortgage loans subject to the interest rate agreements described above, and \$384.1 million (before unamortized loan premium) of fixed rate secured mortgage loans. As of December 31, 2012, the fair value of our fixed rate secured mortgage loans, including the indebtedness on the Sunset Bronson property, was approximately \$390.3 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are listed in Part IV, Item 15(a) of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the 1934 Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the 1934 Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the 1934 Act, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Table of Contents

Based on the foregoing, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded, as of that time, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing a reasonable level of assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file under the 1934 Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes that occurred during the fourth quarter of the year covered by this report in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation referenced above that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Attestation Report of the Registered Accounting Firm

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Our system of internal control is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our management, including the undersigned Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In conducting its assessment, management used the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission on Internal Control—Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures, or our internal controls will prevent all error and fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report, as stated in their report appearing on page F-2, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders' meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2013. We intend to disclose any amendment to, or waiver from, our code of ethics within four business days following the date of the amendment or waiver.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders' meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2013.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders' meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2013.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders' meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders' meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2013.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) and (2) Financial Statements and Schedules

The following consolidated financial information is included as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

<u>Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-10</u>
<u>Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	<u>F-31</u>

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or because the information required is included in the financial statements and notes thereto.

Table of Contents

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. ⁽²⁾
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. ⁽²⁾
3.3	Form of Articles Supplementary of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. ⁽⁹⁾
4.1	Form of Certificate of Common Stock of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. ⁽⁵⁾
4.2	Form of Certificate of Series B Preferred Stock of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. ⁽⁹⁾
10.1	Form of Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. ⁽⁹⁾
10.2	Registration Rights Agreement among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and the persons named therein. ⁽⁸⁾
10.3	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Victor J. Coleman. ⁽⁸⁾
10.4	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Howard S. Stern. ⁽⁸⁾
10.5	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Mark T. Lammas. ⁽⁸⁾
10.6	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Christopher Barton. ⁽⁸⁾
10.7	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Dale Shimoda. ⁽⁸⁾
10.8	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Theodore R. Antenucci. ⁽⁸⁾
10.9	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Mark Burnett. ⁽⁸⁾
10.10	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Richard B. Fried. ⁽⁸⁾
10.11	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Jonathan M. Glaser. ⁽⁸⁾
10.12	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Mark D. Linehan. ⁽⁸⁾
10.13	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Robert M. Moran, Jr. ⁽⁸⁾
10.14	Indemnification Agreement, dated June 29, 2010, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Barry A. Porter. ⁽⁸⁾
10.15	Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. 2010 Incentive Award Plan. ⁽⁵⁾ *
10.16	Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice and Restricted Stock Award Agreement. ⁽⁵⁾ *
10.17	Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Director Stock Plan. ⁽⁹⁾ *
10.18	Employment Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Victor J. Coleman. ⁽²⁾ *
10.19	Employment Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Howard S. Stern. ⁽²⁾ *
10.20	Employment Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Mark T. Lammas. ⁽⁴⁾ *
10.21	Employment Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Christopher Barton. ⁽²⁾ *
10.22	

Employment Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Dale Shimoda.⁽²⁾*

10.23

Contribution Agreement by and among Victor J. Coleman, Howard S. Stern, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾

53

Table of Contents

- 10.24 Contribution Agreement by and among SGS investors, LLC, HFOP Investors, LLC, Soma Square Investors, LLC, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.25 Contribution Agreement by and among TMG-Flynn SOMA, LLC, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.26 Contribution Agreement by and among Glenborough Fund XIV, L.P., Glenborough Acquisition, LLC, Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.27 Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., and the persons named therein as nominees of the Farallon Funds, dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.28 Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and the persons named therein as nominees of TMG-Flynn SOMA, LLC, dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.29 Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., and the persons named therein as nominees of Glenborough Fund XIV, L.P. dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽¹⁾
- 10.30 Subscription Agreement by and among Farallon Capital Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institution Partners III, L.P., Victor J. Coleman and Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. dated as of February 15, 2010.⁽²⁾
- 10.31 Tax Protection Agreement between Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and the persons named therein, dated June 29, 2010.⁽⁷⁾
- 10.32 Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions between Del Amo Fashion Center Operating Company and Hudson Capital, LLC dated as of May 18, 2010.⁽⁴⁾
- 10.33 Credit Agreement among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties L.P., Barclays Capital and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC), as Joint Lead Arrangers, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and Barclays Bank PLC, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto, dated June 29, 2010.⁽⁷⁾
- 10.34 First Modification Agreement between Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. dated as of June 29, 2010.⁽⁵⁾
- 10.35 Amended and Restated First Modification Agreement between Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. dated as of June 20, 2010.⁽⁷⁾
- 10.36 Loan Agreement among Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, L.L.C., as Borrower, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, as Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner, and lenders party thereto, dated as of May 12, 2008.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.37 Conditional Consent Agreement between GLB Encino, LLC, as Borrower, and SunAmerica Life Insurance Company, as Lender, dated as of June 10, 2010.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.38 Amended and Restated Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Fixture Filing, Financing Statement and Assignment of Leases and Rents between GLB Encino, LLC, as Trustor, SunAmerica Life Insurance Company, as Beneficiary, and First American Title Insurance Company, as Trustee, dated as of January 26, 2007.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.39 Amended and Restated Promissory Note by GLB Encino, as Maker, to SunAmerica Life Insurance Company, as Holder, dated as of January 26, 2007.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.40 Approval Letter from Wells Fargo, as Master Servicer, and CWCapital Asset Management, LLC, as Special Servicer to Hudson Capital LLC, dated as of June 8, 2010.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.41 Loan and Security Agreement between Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, as Borrower, and German American Capital Corporation, as Lender, dated as of November 28, 2006.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.42 Note by Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, as Borrower, in favor of German American Capital Corporation, as Lender, dated as of November 28, 2006.⁽⁶⁾
- 10.43 Reaffirmation, Consent to Transfer and Substitution of Indemnitor, by and among Glenborough Tierrasanta, LLC, Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V U.S., L.P., MSP Real Estate Fund V, L.P. Morgan Stanley Real

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Estate Investors, V U.S., L.P., Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund V Special U.S., L.P., MSP Co-Investment Partnership V, L.P., MSP Co-Investment Partnership V, L.P., Glenborough Fund XIV, L.P., Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., and US Bank National Association, dated June 29, 2010.⁽⁷⁾

10.44 Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated September 15, 2010, by and between ECI Washington LLC and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P.⁽⁹⁾

54

Table of Contents

10.45	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated October 1, 2010, by and between ECI Washington LLC and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. ⁽⁹⁾
10.46	Term Loan Agreement by and between Sunset Bronson Entertainment Properties, LLC and Sunset Gower Entertainment Properties, LLC, as Borrowers, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated February 11, 2011. ⁽¹⁰⁾
10.47	Contract for Sale dated as of December 15, 2010 by and between Hudson 1455 Market, LLC and Bank of America, National Association. ⁽¹²⁾
10.48	Contribution Agreement by and between BCSP IV U.S. Investments, L.P. and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P., dated as of December 15, 2010. ⁽¹³⁾
10.49	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Rincon Center JV LLC by and between Rincon Center Equity LLC and Hudson Rincon, LLC, dated as of December 16, 2010. ⁽¹³⁾
10.50	First Amendment to Credit Agreement among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties L.P., Barclays Capital and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC), as Joint Lead Arrangers, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and Barclays Bank PLC, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto, dated December 10, 2010. ⁽¹³⁾
10.51	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Hudson Pacific Properties L.P., Barclays Capital and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (as successor in interest to Banc of America Securities LLC), as Joint Lead Arrangers, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and Barclays Bank PLC, as Administrative Agent, and the other lenders party thereto, dated April 4, 2011. ⁽¹⁴⁾
10.52	First Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Farallon Capital Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P. and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P., dated May 3, 2011. ⁽¹¹⁾
10.53	Subscription Amendment by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc., Farallon Capital Partners, L.P., Farallon Capital Institutional Partners, L.P. and Farallon Capital Institutional Partners III, L.P., dated April 26, 2011. ⁽¹⁵⁾
10.54	Loan Agreement by and between Hudson Rincon Center, LLC, as Borrower, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as Lender, dated April 29, 2011. ⁽¹¹⁾
10.55	Indemnification Agreement, dated October 1, 2011, by and between Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Patrick Whitesell. ⁽¹⁶⁾
10.56	2012 Outperformance Award Agreement. ^{(17)*}
10.57	Credit Agreement by and among Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and Smith Incorporated, as Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners, Bank of America, N.A., and Barclays Bank PLC, as Syndication Agents, and Keybank National Association, as Documentation Agent, dated August 3, 2012.
10.58	Limited Liability Company Agreement of Hudson MC Partners, LLC, dated as of November 8, 2012. ⁽²¹⁾
10.59	Acquisition and Contribution Agreement between Media Center Development, LLC and P2 Hudson Partners, LLC for Pinnacle 2 Property Located at 3300 West Olive Avenue, Burbank, California. ⁽²¹⁾
10.60	Loan Agreement dated as of November 8, 2012 between P1 Hudson MC Partners, LLC, as Borrower and Jefferies Loancore LLC, as Lender. ⁽²¹⁾
10.61	First Amendment to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. and Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. 2010 Incentive Award Plan. ⁽¹⁹⁾
10.62	2013 Outperformance Award Agreement. ⁽²⁰⁾
10.63	Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Revised Non-Employee Director Compensation Program.
12.1	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008.
22.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certifications by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 99.1 Certificate of Correction.⁽¹⁸⁾

55

Table of Contents

101 The following financial information from Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss, (iv) Consolidated Statement of Equity, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **

- (1) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2010.
 - (2) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2010.
 - (3) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 3, 2010.
 - (4) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 11, 2010.
 - (5) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2010.
 - (6) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 22, 2010.
 - (7) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 1, 2010.
 - (8) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 22, 2010.
 - (9) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11/A filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2010.
 - (10) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 15, 2011.
 - (11) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 4, 2011.
 - (12) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 21, 2010.
 - (13) Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2011.
 - (14) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2011.
 - (15) Previously filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.
 - (16) Previously filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
 - (17) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2012.
 - (18) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 23, 2012.
 - (19) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2012.
 - (20) Previously filed with the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 7, 2013.
 - (21) Previously filed with the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012.
- * Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 , as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

56

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.

March 14, 2013

/s/ VICTOR J. COLEMAN
VICTOR J. COLEMAN
Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below does hereby constitute and appoint Victor J. Coleman, Howard S. Stern and Mark T. Lammas, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them, and each of them singly, to sign for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, the Form 10-K filed herewith and any and all amendments to said Form 10-K, and generally to do all such things in our names and in our capacities as officers and directors to enable Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. to comply with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and all requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection therewith, hereby ratifying and confirming our signatures as they may be signed by our said attorneys, or any of them, to said Form 10-K and any and all amendments thereto.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ VICTOR J. COLEMAN Victor J. Coleman	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (Principal Executive Officer)	March 14, 2013
/S/ MARK T. LAMMAS Mark T. Lammas	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 14, 2013
/S/ HOWARD S. STERN Howard S. Stern	President and Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ HAROUT K. DIRAMERIAN Harout K. Diramerian	Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 14, 2013
/S/ RICHARD B. FRIED Richard B. Fried	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ THEODORE R. ANTENUCCI Theodore R. Antenucci	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ JONATHAN M. GLASER Jonathan M. Glaser	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ MARK D. LINEHAN Mark D. Linehan	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ ROBERT M. MORAN, JR. Robert M. Moran, Jr.	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ BARRY A. PORTER Barry A. Porter	Director	March 14, 2013
/S/ PATRICK WHITESELL Patrick Whitesell	Director	March 14, 2013

Table of Contents

Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Our system of internal control is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our management, including the undersigned Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In conducting its assessment, management used the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission on Internal Control—Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures, or our internal controls will prevent all error and fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report, as stated in their report appearing on page F-2, which expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

/S/ VICTOR J. COLEMAN
Victor J. Coleman
Chief Executive Officer

/S/ MARK T. LAMMAS
Mark T. Lammas
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

We have audited Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated March 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Los Angeles, California
March 14, 2013

F-2

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. (the "Company"), as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 14, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Los Angeles, California
March 14, 2013

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
REAL ESTATE ASSETS		
Land	\$493,211	\$368,608
Building and improvements	867,268	601,812
Tenant improvements	79,966	69,021
Furniture and fixtures	11,548	11,536
Property under development	23,962	9,527
Total real estate held for investment	1,475,955	1,060,504
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(85,184) (53,329
Investment in real estate, net	1,390,771	1,007,175
Cash and cash equivalents	18,904	13,705
Restricted cash	14,322	9,521
Accounts receivable, net	12,442	8,963
Notes receivable	4,000	—
Straight-line rent receivables	14,165	10,801
Deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles, net	83,498	84,131
Deferred finance costs, net	8,175	5,079
Interest rate contracts	71	164
Goodwill	8,754	8,754
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,588	4,498
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,559,690	\$1,152,791
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Notes payable	\$582,085	\$399,871
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	18,833	12,469
Below-market leases	31,560	22,861
Security deposits	5,997	5,651
Prepaid rent	11,518	10,795
TOTAL LIABILITIES	649,993	451,647
6.25% series A cumulative redeemable preferred units of the Operating Partnership	12,475	12,475
EQUITY		
Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 authorized; 8.375% series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$25.00 liquidation preference, 5,800,000 shares and 3,500,000 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	145,000	87,500
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 490,000,000 authorized, 47,496,732 shares and 33,840,854 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	475	338
Additional paid-in capital	726,605	552,043
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,287) (883
Accumulated deficit	(30,580) (13,685
Total Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity	840,213	625,313
Non-controlling interest - members in consolidated entities	1,460	—
Non-controlling common units in the Operating Partnership	55,549	63,356
TOTAL EQUITY	897,222	688,669

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$1,559,690	\$1,152,791
------------------------------	-------------	-------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Twelve Months Ended December		
	31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenues			
Office			
Rental	\$93,945	\$ 75,343	\$22,247
Tenant recoveries	22,157	22,102	3,115
Parking and other	9,921	7,763	1,141
Total office revenues	126,023	105,208	26,503
Media & entertainment			
Rental	23,598	21,617	20,931
Tenant recoveries	1,598	1,539	1,571
Other property-related revenue	14,733	13,638	11,397
Other	204	187	238
Total media & entertainment revenues	40,133	36,981	34,137
Total revenues	166,156	142,189	60,640
Operating expenses			
Office operating expenses	53,577	44,740	10,212
Media & entertainment operating expenses	24,340	22,446	19,815
General and administrative	16,497	13,038	4,493
Depreciation and amortization	57,024	44,660	15,912
Total operating expenses	151,438	124,884	50,432
Income from operations	14,718	17,305	10,208
Other expense (income)			
Interest expense	19,071	17,480	8,831
Interest income	(306)	(73)	(59)
Unrealized gain on interest rate contracts	—	—	(347)
Acquisition-related expenses	1,051	1,693	4,273
Other (income) expenses	(92)	443	192
	19,724	19,543	12,890
Net loss	(5,006)	(2,238)	(2,682)
Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(12,924)	(8,108)	(817)
Net income attributable to restricted shares	(295)	(231)	(50)
Net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	21	(803)	(119)
Net loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	1,014	946	418
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. common shareholders	\$(17,190)	\$(10,434)	\$(3,250)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders' per share — basic and diluted	\$(0.41)	\$(0.35)	
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding — basic and diluted	41,640,691	29,392,920	
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.5000	\$0.5000	\$0.1921

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net loss	\$ (5,006)	\$ (2,238)	\$ (2,682)
Other comprehensive loss: cash flow hedge adjustment	(429)	(967)	7
Comprehensive loss	(5,435)	(3,205)	(2,675)
Comprehensive income attributable to preferred stock and units	(12,924)	(8,108)	(817)
Comprehensive income attributable to restricted shares	(295)	(231)	(50)
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	21	(803)	(119)
Comprehensive loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	1,039	1,024	417
Comprehensive loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders	(17,594)	(11,323)	(3,244)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Stockholders' Equity

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Deficit) Income	Members' Real Estate Equity	Non- controlling Interests - Common units in the Operating Partnership	Non-controlling Interest - in Consolidated Entities	Total Equity
Balance, January 1, 2010	—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$223,240	\$—	\$ 3,348	\$226,588
Contributions						4,122				4,122
Distributions						(1,703)				(1,703)
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of underwriters discount	14,720,000	147		232,574						232,721
Proceeds from private placement	1,176,471	12		19,988						20,000
Issuance of restricted stock Shares	490,442	4		(4)						—
repurchased				(1)						(1)
Issuance of Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock			87,500							87,500
Issuance of Common units for acquisition of properties								12,019		12,019
Transaction related costs				(11,241)						(11,241)
Declared Dividend			(427)	(4,271)				(502)		(5,200)
Amortization of stock based compensation				765						765
Acquisition of non-controlling member's interest			427		(3,482)	283	(418)	(29)	(828)	(3,219)

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net income										
(loss)										
Cash Flow										
Hedge						6		1		7
Adjustment										
Exchange of										
Members'										
equity for	6,050,037	61		173,788			(225,942)	54,584	(2,491)	—
common stock										
and units										
Balance,										
December 31,	22,436,950	224	\$ 87,500	\$ 411,598	\$ (3,482)	\$ 6	\$ —	\$ 65,684	\$ —	\$ 561,530
2010										
Contributions				—						—
Distributions				(432)						(432)
Proceeds from										
sale of common										
stock, net of	7,992,500	80		110,928						111,008
underwriters'										
discount										
Proceed from										
private	3,125,000	31		45,657						45,688
placement										
Common stock										
issuance				(2,061)						(2,061)
transaction costs										
Series B stock										
issuance				(600)						(600)
transaction costs										
Issuance of	316,092	4		(4)						—
restricted stock										
Forfeiture of	(7,535)									—
restricted stock										
Shares	(22,153)	(1)		(303)						(304)
repurchased										
Declared			(7,328)	(15,400)				(1,304)		(24,032)
Dividend										
Amortization of				2,660						2,660
stock based										
compensation										
Net income			7,328		(10,203)			(946)		(3,821)
(loss)										
Cash Flow										
Hedge						(889)		(78)		(967)
Adjustment										
Balance,										
December 31,	33,840,854	\$ 338	\$ 87,500	\$ 552,043	\$ (13,685)	\$ (883)	\$ —	\$ 63,356	\$ —	\$ 688,669
2011										

Table of Contents

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. Stockholders' Equity

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Deficit) Income	Member Real Estate Equity	Non- controlling Interests - Common units in the Operating Partnership	Non-controlling Interest - Members in Consolidated Entities	Total Equity
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of underwriters' discount	13,225,000	132		190,666						190,798
Contributions Common stock issuance transaction costs				(727)				1,481	1,481	(727)
Issuance of Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock			57,500							57,500
Series B stock issuance transaction costs				(1,870)						(1,870)
Issuance of unrestricted stock	7,094									—
Issuance of restricted stock	268,060	2		(2)						—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	(1,474)									
Shares repurchased	(71,180)			(1,385)						(1,385)
Declared Dividend			(12,144)	(21,972)			(1,227)			(35,343)
Amortization of stock based compensation				4,314						4,314
Net income (loss)			12,144		(16,895)		(1,014)	(21)		(5,786)
Cash Flow Hedge Adjustment						(404)	(25)			(429)
Exchange of Non-controlling Interests — Common units	228,378	3		5,538			(5,541)			—

in the Operating
Partnership for
common stock
Balance,

December 31, 2012 47,496,732 \$ 475 \$ 145,000 \$ 726,605 \$ (30,580) \$ (1,287) \$ — \$ 55,549 \$ 1,460 \$ 897,222

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-8

Table of Contents

HUDSON PACIFIC PROPERTIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net loss	\$(5,006)	\$(2,238)	\$(2,682)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	57,024	44,660	15,912
Amortization of deferred financing costs and loan premium, net	1,126	1,014	1,328
Amortization of stock based compensation	4,212	2,660	765
Straight-line rent receivables	(3,365)	(4,098)	(3,768)
Amortization of above-market leases	3,757	3,312	1,119
Amortization of below-market leases	(7,321)	(3,961)	(1,186)
Amortization of lease incentive costs	91	407	135
Bad debt expense	724	946	36
Amortization of ground lease	247	266	63
Unrealized gain on interest rate contract	—	—	(347)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	(4,801)	(5,400)	1,571
Accounts receivable	(4,203)	(5,431)	(3,817)
Deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles	(5,496)	(4,188)	(1,309)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	323	(361)	(137)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	4,554	3,659	626
Security deposits	232	599	907
Prepaid rent	723	236	(1,597)
Net cash provided by operating activities	42,821	32,082	7,619
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Additions to investment property	(27,150)	(16,385)	(11,629)
Property acquisitions	(392,320)	(114,219)	(230,527)
Acquisition of notes receivable	(4,000)	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(423,470)	(130,604)	(242,156)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from notes payable	326,738	365,500	116,385
Payments of notes payable	(143,761)	(384,958)	(157,786)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	190,798	111,008	232,720
Proceeds from private placement of common stock	—	45,688	20,000
Common stock issuance transaction costs	(727)	(2,061)	—
Proceeds from issuance of Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock	57,500	—	87,500
Series B stock issuance transaction costs	(1,870)	(600)	(11,241)
Dividends paid to common stock and unit holders	(23,199)	(16,704)	(4,773)
Dividends paid to preferred stock and unit holders	(12,924)	(8,108)	(816)
Contributions by members	—	—	4,122
Distribution to members	—	(432)	(1,703)
Acquisition of non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity	—	(41,131)	(828)
Repurchase of vested restricted stock	(1,385)	—	—
Payment of loan costs	(5,322)	(4,850)	(3,862)
Net cash provided by financing activities	385,848	63,352	279,718
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,199	(35,170)	45,181

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	13,705	48,875	3,694
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$18,904	\$13,705	\$48,875
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$18,586	\$16,644	\$7,870
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for investment in property	\$(751)	\$1,701	\$3,477
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for distributions to members	\$—	\$359	\$—
Contribution of non-controlling member in consolidated real estate entity	\$1,481	\$—	\$—

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-9

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

1. Organization

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. (which is referred to in these financial statements as the “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our”) is a Maryland corporation formed on November 9, 2009 that did not have any meaningful operating activity until the consummation of our initial public offering and the related acquisition of our predecessor and certain other entities on June 29, 2010 (“IPO”). Concurrently with the closing of our IPO, we combined with our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC and acquired certain other entities.

We have determined that one of the entities comprising our predecessor, SGS Realty II, LLC, was the acquirer for accounting purposes in our formation transactions that occurred in connection with our IPO. In addition, we have concluded that any interests contributed by the controlling member of the other entities comprising our predecessor and Howard Street Associates, LLC in connection with our IPO was a transaction between entities under common control. As a result, the contribution of interests in each of these entities has been recorded at historical cost.

Since the completion of the IPO, the concurrent private placement, and the related formation transactions that occurred on June 29, 2010, we have been a fully integrated, self-administered, and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”). Through our controlling interest in Hudson Pacific Properties, L.P. (our “Operating Partnership”) and its subsidiaries, we own, manage, lease, acquire and develop real estate, consisting primarily of office and media and entertainment properties. As of December 31, 2012, we owned a portfolio of 19 office properties and two media and entertainment properties. All of these properties are located in California. The results of operations for properties acquired after our IPO are included in our consolidated statements of operations from the date of each such acquisition.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). The effect of all significant intercompany balances and transactions has been eliminated.

Any reference to the number of properties and square footage are unaudited and outside the scope of our independent registered public accounting firm’s audits of our financial statements in accordance with the standards of the United States Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of commitments and contingencies at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to acquiring, developing and assessing the carrying values of its real estate properties, its accrued liabilities, and its performance-based equity compensation awards. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience, current market conditions, and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could materially differ from these estimates.

Investment in Real Estate Properties

The properties are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The Company assigns the cost of an acquisition, including the assumption of liabilities, to the acquired tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities based on their estimated fair values in accordance with GAAP. The Company assesses fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize discount and/or capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including historical operating results, known and anticipated trends, and market and economic conditions. The fair value of tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it was vacant.

Acquisition-related expenses associated with acquisition of operating properties are expensed in the period incurred.

The Company records acquired “above and below” market leases at fair value using discount rates that reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired. The amount recorded is based on the present value of the difference between (i) the

F-10

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for each in-place lease, measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the extended term for any leases with below-market renewal options. Other intangible assets acquired include amounts for in-place lease values that are based on the Company's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease. Factors considered include estimates of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, the Company includes estimates of lost rents at market rates during the hypothetical expected lease-up periods, which are dependent on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, the Company considers leasing commissions, legal and other related costs.

The Company capitalizes direct construction and development costs, including predevelopment costs, interest, property taxes, insurance and other costs directly related and essential to the acquisition, development or construction of a real estate project. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits, office rent, and associated costs for those individuals directly responsible for and who spend all of their time on development activities are also capitalized and allocated to the projects to which they relate. Interest is capitalized on the construction in progress at a rate equal to the Company's weighted average cost of our debt. Construction and development costs are capitalized while substantial activities are ongoing to prepare an asset for its intended use. The Company considers a construction project as substantially complete and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year after cessation of major construction activity. Costs incurred after a project is substantially complete and ready for its intended use, or after development activities have ceased, are expensed as incurred. Costs previously capitalized related to abandoned acquisitions or developments are charged to earnings. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

The Company computes depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of a range of 39 years for building and improvements, 15 years for land improvements, five or seven years for furniture and fixtures and equipment, and over the shorter of asset life or life of the lease for tenant improvements. Above- and below-market lease intangibles are amortized to revenue over the remaining non-cancellable lease terms and bargain renewal periods, if applicable. Other in-place lease intangibles are amortized to expense over the remaining non-cancellable lease term. Depreciation is discontinued when a property is identified as held for sale.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the carrying value of real estate assets and related intangibles whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable in accordance with GAAP. Impairment losses are recorded on real estate assets held for investment when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. The Company recognizes impairment losses to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the properties. Properties held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less cost to sell. The Company did not record any impairment charges related to its real estate assets and related intangibles during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. There were no properties held for sale at December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations. Our goodwill balance as of December 31, 2012 is \$8,754.

We do not amortize this asset but instead analyze it on an annual basis for impairment. No impairment indicators have been noted during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash on hand and in banks plus all short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased.

The Company maintains some of its cash in bank deposit accounts that, at times, may exceed the federally insured limit. No losses have been experienced related to such accounts.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of amounts held by lenders to provide for future real estate taxes and insurance expenditures, repairs and capital improvements reserves, general and other reserves and security deposits.

F-11

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due for monthly rents and other charges. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from tenant defaults or the inability of tenants to make contractual rent and tenant recovery payments. The Company monitors the liquidity and creditworthiness of its tenants and operators on an ongoing basis. This evaluation considers industry and economic conditions, property performance, credit enhancements and other factors. For straight-line rent amounts, the Company's assessment is based on amounts estimated to be recoverable over the term of the lease. At December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively, the Company has reserved \$8 and \$0 of straight-line receivables. The Company evaluates the collectability of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on specific identification of uncollectible accounts and the Company's historical collection experience. The Company recognizes an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are past due, the current business environment and the Company's historical experience. Historical experience has been within management's expectations. The Company recognized \$724, \$946 and \$36 of bad debt expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Accounts receivable is net of allowance for uncollectible tenant receivables of \$1,598 and \$1,435 as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Notes Receivable

Notes receivable consist of a loan we acquired on August 14, 2012 from Jeffries LoanCore LLC in the amount of \$4.0 million. The borrower under the loan is WIP 3401 Expo BLVD Mezz, LLC ("WEBM"), which is the sole member of WIP 3401 Expo BLVD, LLC ("WEB"), the owner of a property located at 3401 Exposition Blvd. in Santa Monica, California. The property is an approximately 55,000 square foot office and industrial building currently being renovated for creative office uses. The loan is secured by, among other things, WEBM's membership interest in WEB, bears interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 1300 basis points (subject to a 100 basis points floor on LIBOR), and is scheduled to mature on June 9, 2014, subject to three one-year extension options. The interest recognized on this loan is included in interest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The carrying value of the loan approximates fair value as it was negotiated based upon fair value of loans with similar characteristics. The loan generated income of \$222 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes rental revenue from tenants on a straight-line basis over the lease term when collectability is reasonably assured and the tenant has taken possession or controls the physical use of the leased asset. If the lease provides for tenant improvements, the Company determines whether the tenant improvements, for accounting purposes, are owned by the tenant or the Company. When the Company is the owner of the tenant improvements, the tenant is not considered to have taken physical possession or have control of the physical use of the leased asset until the tenant improvements are substantially completed. When the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements, any tenant improvement allowance that is funded is treated as a lease incentive and amortized as a reduction of revenue over the lease term. Tenant improvement ownership is determined based on various factors including, but not limited to:

- whether the lease stipulates how and on what a tenant improvement allowance may be spent;

- whether the tenant or landlord retains legal title to the improvements at the end of the lease term;
- whether the tenant improvements are unique to the tenant or general-purpose in nature; and
- whether the tenant improvements are expected to have any residual value at the end of the lease.

Certain leases provide for additional rents contingent upon a percentage of the tenant's revenue in excess of specified base amounts or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized when actual results reported by the tenant, or estimates of tenant results, exceed the base amount or other thresholds. Such revenue is recognized only after the contingency has been removed (when the related thresholds are achieved), which may result in the recognition of rental revenue in periods subsequent to when such payments are received.

F-12

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

Other property-related revenue is revenue that is derived from the tenants' use of lighting, equipment rental, parking, power, HVAC and telecommunications (phone and internet). Other property-related revenue is recognized when these items are provided.

Tenant recoveries related to reimbursement of real estate taxes, insurance, repairs and maintenance, and other operating expenses are recognized as revenue in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. The reimbursements are recognized and presented gross, as the Company is generally the primary obligor with respect to purchasing goods and services from third-party suppliers, has discretion in selecting the supplier and bears the associated credit risk.

The Company recognizes gains on sales of properties upon the closing of the transaction with the purchaser. Gains on properties sold are recognized using the full accrual method when (i) the collectability of the sales price is reasonably assured, (ii) the Company is not obligated to perform significant activities after the sale, (iii) the initial investment from the buyer is sufficient and (iv) other profit recognition criteria have been satisfied. Gains on sales of properties may be deferred in whole or in part until the requirements for gain recognition have been met.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs are amortized over the term of the respective loan.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company manages interest rate risk associated with borrowings by entering into interest rate derivative contracts. The Company recognizes all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges are adjusted to fair value and the changes in fair value are reflected as income or expense. If the derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income, which is a component of equity. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

The Company held two interest rate contracts as of December 31, 2012, which have been accounted for as cash flow hedges as more fully described in note 7 below. The Company held one interest rate contract at December 31, 2011, which has been accounted for as a cash flow hedge as more fully described in note 7 below.

Stock-Based Compensation

Accounting Standard Codification, or ASC, Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation (referred to as ASC Topic 718 and formerly known as FASB 123R), requires us to recognize an expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units under our equity incentive award plans are accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs.

Income Taxes

Our taxable income prior to the completion of our IPO is reportable by the members of the limited liability companies that comprise our predecessor. Our property-owning subsidiaries are limited liability companies and are treated as

pass-through entities for income tax purposes. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the activities of these entities.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) commencing with our initial taxable year. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Provided we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders that we derive from our REIT qualifying activities. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of our taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax.

F-13

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

We have elected, together with one of our subsidiaries, to treat such subsidiary as a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”) for federal income tax purposes. Certain activities that we undertake must be conducted by a TRS, such as non-customary services for our tenants, and holding assets that we cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes.

The Company is subject to the statutory requirements of the state in which it conducts business.

The Company periodically evaluates its tax positions to evaluate whether it is more likely than not that such positions would be sustained upon examination by a tax authority for all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations, based on their technical merits. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has not established a liability for uncertain tax positions.

Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Under GAAP, the Company is required to measure certain financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis. In addition, the Company is required to measure other financial instruments and balances at fair value on a non-recurring basis (e.g., carrying value of impaired real estate and long-lived assets). Fair value is defined as the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The GAAP fair value framework uses a three-tiered approach. Fair value measurements are classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2: quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations in which significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets; and

Level 3: prices or valuation techniques where little or no market data is available that requires inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

When available, the Company utilizes quoted market prices from an independent third-party source to determine fair value and classifies such items in Level 1 or Level 2. In instances where the market for a financial instrument is not active, regardless of the availability of a nonbinding quoted market price, observable inputs might not be relevant and could require the Company to make a significant adjustment to derive a fair value measurement. Additionally, in an inactive market, a market price quoted from an independent third party may rely more on models with inputs based on information available only to that independent third party. When the Company determines the market for a financial instrument owned by the Company to be illiquid or when market transactions for similar instruments do not appear orderly, the Company uses several valuation sources (including internal valuations, discounted cash flow analysis and quoted market prices) and establishes a fair value by assigning weights to the various valuation sources.

Changes in assumptions or estimation methodologies can have a material effect on these estimated fair values. In this regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The Company considers the following factors to be indicators of an inactive market: (i) there are few recent transactions, (ii) price quotations are not based on current information, (iii) price quotations vary substantially either over time or among market makers (for example, some brokered markets), (iv) indexes that previously were highly correlated with the fair values of the asset or liability are demonstrably uncorrelated with recent indications of fair value for that asset or liability, (v) there is a significant increase in implied liquidity risk premiums, yields, or performance indicators (such as delinquency rates or loss severities) for observed transactions or quoted prices when compared with the Company's estimate of expected cash flows, considering all available market data about credit and other nonperformance risk for the asset or liability, (vi) there is a wide bid-ask spread or significant increase in the bid-ask spread, (vii) there is a significant decline or absence of a market for new issuances (that is, a primary market) for the asset or liability or similar assets or liabilities, and (viii) little information is released publicly (for example, a principal-to-principal market).

The Company considers the following factors to be indicators of non-orderly transactions: (i) there was not adequate exposure to the market for a period before the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets or liabilities under current market conditions, (ii) there was a usual and customary marketing period, but the seller marketed the asset or liability to a single market participant, (iii) the seller is in or near

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

bankruptcy or receivership (that is, distressed), or the seller was required to sell to meet regulatory or legal requirements (that is, forced), and (iv) the transaction price is an outlier when compared with other recent transactions for the same or similar assets or liabilities.

The Company's interest rate contract agreements are classified as Level 2 and their fair value is derived from estimated values obtained from observable market data for similar instruments.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk:

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional Amount
Interest Rate Caps	2	\$92.0 million

Non-designated Hedges

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, all of the Company's derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges.

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivative			
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value as of December 31, 2012	Fair Value as of December 31, 2011	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value as of December 31, 2012	Fair Value as of December 31, 2011
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate products	Interest rate contracts	\$71	\$ 164	Interest rate contracts	—	—
Total		\$71	\$ 164		—	—

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Income Statement

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the Statement of Operations for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Beginning Balance of OCI related to interest rate contracts	1,036	69
Unrealized Loss Recognized in OCI Due to Change in Fair Value of interest rate contracts	457	1,041

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Loss Reclassified from OCI into Income (as Interest Expense)	(28)	(74)
Net Change in OCI	429		967	
Ending Balance of Accumulated OCI Related to Derivatives	1,465		1,036	

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

As of December 31, 2012, the Company did not have any derivatives that were in a net liability position.

F-15

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

Recently Issued Accounting Literature

Changes to GAAP are established by the FASB in the form of ASUs. We consider the applicability and impact of all ASUs. Recently issued ASUs not listed below are not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations, because either the ASU is not applicable or the impact is expected to be immaterial.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, which for the Company means the first quarter of 2012. The Company adopted this guidance in the first quarter of 2012 and it did not have an effect on its financial position or results of operations as it only affected presentation.

3. Investment in Real Estate

A summary of the activity of our investment in real estate is as follows:

	Year ended December 31, 2012	Year ended December 31, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010
Investment in real estate			
Beginning balance	\$1,060,504	\$864,735	\$428,414
Acquisitions	390,370	181,926	421,262
Improvements, capitalized costs	27,901	14,354	15,059
Disposal	(2,820) (511) —
Cost of property sold	—	—	—
Ending Balance	\$1,475,955	\$1,060,504	\$864,735
Accumulated depreciation			
Beginning balance	\$(53,329) \$(27,113) \$(16,329
Additions	(34,675) (26,727) (10,784
Deletions	2,820	511	—
Ending Balance	\$(85,184) \$(53,329) \$(27,113

During 2011, we acquired the 604 Arizona property, the 275 Brannan property, the 625 Second Street property, the 6922 Hollywood Boulevard property, and the 6050 Ocean Way/1455 N. Beachwood property. The results of operations for each of these acquisitions are included in our consolidated statements of operations from the date of acquisition. The following table represents our purchase price accounting for these acquisitions:

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

	604 Arizona	275 Brannan	625 Second Street	6922 Hollywood Boulevard	6050 Ocean Way/1455 N. Beachwood	Total
Date of Acquisition	July 26, 2011	August 19, 2011	September 1, 2011	November 22, 2011	December 12, 2011	
Consideration paid						
Cash consideration	\$21,373	\$12,370	\$23,419	\$50,555	\$6,502	\$114,219
Debt Assumed	—	—	33,700	42,247	—	75,947
Total consideration	\$21,373	\$12,370	\$57,119	\$92,802	\$6,502	\$190,166
Allocation of consideration paid						
Investment in real estate, net	20,366	12,250	53,394	88,999	6,916	181,925
Above-market leases	—	—	465	2,571	—	3,036
Leases in-place	1,121	—	2,799	4,767	—	8,687
Other lease intangibles	117	—	1,286	2,028	—	3,431
Fair market unfavorable debt value	—	—	(490)	(1,600)	—	(2,090)
Below-market leases	(104)	—	(1,054)	(4,265)	(416)	(5,839)
Other (liabilities) asset assumed, net	(127)	120	719	302	2	1,016
Total consideration paid	\$21,373	\$12,370	\$57,119	\$92,802	\$6,502	\$190,166

In addition, on April 29, 2011 we acquired the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center property for approximately \$38.7 million (before closing costs and prorations).

During 2012, we acquired the 10900 Washington property, the 901 Market Street property, the Olympic Bundy property, the 1455 Gordon Street property and Pinnacle I property. The results of operations for each of these acquisitions are included in our consolidated statements of operations from the date of acquisition. The following table represents our purchase price accounting for each of these acquisitions:

	10900 Washington	901 Market	Olympic Bundy	1455 Gordon Street	Pinnacle I	Total
Date of Acquisition	April 5, 2012	June 1, 2012	September 5, 2012	September 21, 2012	November 8, 2012	
Consideration paid						
Cash consideration	\$2,605	\$90,871	\$88,436	\$ 2,385	\$ 208,023	\$392,320
Debt Assumed	—	—	—	—	—	—
Non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity	—	—	—	—	1,481	1,481
Total consideration	\$2,605	\$90,871	\$88,436	\$ 2,385	\$ 209,504	\$393,801
Allocation of consideration paid						
Investment in real estate, net	2,600	97,187	88,024	2,384	200,175	390,370
Above-market leases	—	—	—	—	167	167
Leases in-place	—	2,968	1,325	96	11,710	16,099
Other lease intangibles	—	548	46	22	3,456	4,072
Fair market unfavorable debt value	—	—	—	—	—	—
Below-market leases	—	(10,249)	(666)	(27)	(5,076)	(16,018)
	5	417	(293)	(90)	(928)	(889)

Other (liabilities) asset
assumed, net

Total consideration paid	\$2,605	\$90,871	\$88,436	\$ 2,385	\$ 209,504	\$393,801
--------------------------	---------	----------	----------	----------	------------	-----------

The table below shows the pro forma financial information for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 as if the 2012 and 2011 properties had been acquired as of January 1, 2011.

F-17

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

	Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$185,311	\$186,512
Net loss	\$(5,676)	\$(1,165)

4. Lease Intangibles

The following summarizes our deferred leasing cost and lease intangibles as of:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Above-market leases	\$18,221	\$18,748
Lease in-place	68,477	53,876
Below-market ground leases	7,513	7,513
Other lease intangibles	32,664	29,245
Lease commissions	629	642
Deferred leasing costs	11,632	7,988
	139,136	118,012
Accumulated amortization	(55,638)	(33,881)
Deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles, net	\$83,498	\$84,131
Below-market leases	46,042	30,418
Accumulated accretion	(14,482)	(7,557)
Below-market leases, net	\$31,560	22,861

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized \$19,822, \$17,671, and \$4,932, respectively, of amortization expense related to lease costs and in-place leases, and amortized \$3,757, \$3,312, and \$1,119, respectively, of above-market leases against rental revenue. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted-average amortization period for lease intangibles is 6.93 years.

As of December 31, 2012, the estimated aggregate amortization of deferred leasing costs and lease intangible assets, net for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

Year ended	Deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles, net
2013	\$22,710
2014	14,888
2015	12,839
2016	10,069
2017	7,029
Thereafter	15,963
	\$83,498

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company amortized \$7,321, \$3,961, and \$1,186, respectively of below-market leases in rental revenue. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted-average amortization period for below-market leases is 7.27 years.

As of December 31, 2012 the estimated amortization of below-market leases, net for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

F-18

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

Year ended	Below Market Lease
2013	\$7,268
2014	4,066
2015	3,735
2016	3,501
2017	3,149
Thereafter	9,841
	\$31,560

5. Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets consisted of the following as of:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Prepaid insurance	2,809	2,259
Prepaid property taxes	48	69
Corporate furniture, fixtures and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$499 and \$467 respectively	167	182
Trade name, net of accumulated amortization of \$548 and \$446, respectively	473	575
Other	1,091	1,413
	4,588	4,498

Trade name is being amortized over a 10-year period from the date of acquisition of our Sunset Gower property on August 17, 2007.

6. Notes Payable

Senior Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

On August 3, 2012, we replaced our \$200.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., acts as administrative agent and its affiliate acts as joint lead arranger, Bank of America, N.A., acts as joint lead arranger and, together with Barclays Capital Inc., acts as joint syndication agent, and Keybank, N.A., acts as documentation agent. Our Operating Partnership is the borrower under our new unsecured revolving credit facility. The unsecured revolving credit facility is required to be guaranteed by us and all of our subsidiaries that own unencumbered properties. The unsecured revolving credit facility includes an accordion feature that allows us to increase the availability by \$150.0 million, to \$400.0 million, under specified circumstances and subject to receiving commitments from lenders.

Our unsecured revolving credit facility bears interest at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 155 basis points to 220 basis points, depending on our leverage ratio. If the Company obtains a credit rating for its senior unsecured long-term indebtedness, it may make an irrevocable election to change the interest rate for the unsecured revolving credit facility to a rate per annum equal to LIBOR plus 100 basis points to 185 basis points, depending on the credit rating. Our unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to a facility fee in an amount equal to our unused commitments multiplied by a rate per annum equal to 25 to 35 basis points, depending on our usage of the unsecured revolving credit facility, or, if we make the credit rating election, in an amount equal to the aggregate amount of our

commitments multiplied by a rate per annum equal to 15 to 45 basis points, depending upon the credit rating. The amount available for us to borrow under the unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to compliance with certain covenants, including the following financial covenants:

- a maximum leverage ratio (defined as consolidated total indebtedness plus our pro rata share of indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total asset value) of 0.60:1.00;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) plus our pro rata share of EBITDA of unconsolidated affiliates to fixed charges) of 1.50:1.00;

F-19

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

a maximum secured indebtedness leverage ratio (defined as consolidated secured indebtedness plus our pro rata share of secured indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total asset value) of 0.60:1.00 through and including August 3, 2014 and 0.55:1.00 thereafter;

a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio (defined as consolidated unsecured indebtedness plus our pro rata share of unsecured indebtedness of unconsolidated affiliates to total unencumbered asset value) of 0.60:1.00;

a minimum unsecured interest coverage ratio (defined as consolidated net operating income from unencumbered properties plus our pro rata share of net operating income from unencumbered properties to unsecured interest expense) of 1.60:1.00; and

a maximum recourse debt ratio (defined as recourse indebtedness other than indebtedness under the unsecured revolving credit facility but including unsecured lines of credit to total asset value) of 0.15:1.00.

In addition to these covenants, the unsecured revolving credit facility also includes certain limitations on dividend payouts and distributions, limits on certain types of investments outside of our primary business, and other customary affirmative and negative covenants. Our ability to borrow under the unsecured revolving credit facility is subject to continued compliance with these covenants.

As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with our unsecured revolving credit facility's financial covenants. As of December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$204.1 million of total capacity under our unsecured revolving credit facility, of which \$55.0 million had been drawn. Subsequent to December 31, 2012, we drew an additional \$5.0 million on our unsecured credit facility for a total outstanding balance of \$60.0 million, which was then paid off as a result of the February 2013 Common Stock Offering (see FN 15 for more information).

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to our outstanding indebtedness.

Debt	Outstanding		Interest Rate ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011		
Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility	\$55,000	\$121,000	LIBOR+1.55% to 2.20%	8/3/2016
Mortgage loan secured by 625 Second Street ⁽²⁾	33,700	33,700	5.85%	2/1/2014
Mortgage loan secured by 6922 Hollywood Boulevard ⁽³⁾	41,243	42,174	5.58%	1/1/2015
Mortgage loan secured by 275 Brannan ⁽⁴⁾	138	—	LIBOR+2.00%	10/5/2015
Mortgage loan secured by Sunset Gower/Sunset Bronson ⁽⁵⁾	92,000	92,000	LIBOR+3.50%	2/11/2016
Mortgage loan secured by 901 Market ⁽⁶⁾	49,600	—	LIBOR+2.25%	10/31/2016
Mortgage loan secured by Rincon Center	107,492	109,032	5.134%	5/1/2018
Mortgage loan secured by First Financial ⁽⁷⁾	43,000	—	4.58%	2/1/2022
Mortgage loan secured by 10950 Washington	29,711	—	5.316%	3/11/2022
Mortgage loan secured by Pinnacle I ⁽⁸⁾	129,000	—	3.954%	11/7/2022
Subtotal	\$580,884	\$397,906		
Unamortized loan premium, net ⁽⁹⁾	1,201	1,965		
Total	\$582,085	\$399,871		

(1) Interest rate with respect to indebtedness is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year for the actual days elapsed, excluding the amortization of loan fees and costs.

(2) This loan was assumed on September 1, 2011 in connection with the closing of our acquisition of 625 Second Street property.

(3) This loan was assumed on November 22, 2011 in connection with the closing of our acquisition of the 6922 Hollywood Boulevard property.

(4) On October 5, 2012, we obtained a loan for our 275 Brannan property pursuant to which we have the ability to draw up to \$15,000 for budgeted base building, tenant improvements, and other costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of that property.

(5) On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% with respect to \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. On January 11, 2012 we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 2.00% with respect to \$42.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. Beginning with the payment due February 1, 2014, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt amortization of \$1,410.

(6) On October 29, 2012, we obtained a loan for our 901 Market property pursuant to which we borrowed \$49,600 upon closing, with the ability to draw up to an additional \$11,900 for budgeted base building, tenant improvements, and other costs associated with the renovation and lease-up of that property.

(7) The loan bears interest only for the first two years. Beginning with the payment due March 1, 2014, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt service of \$2,639.

(8) The loan bears interest only for the first five years. Beginning with the payment due December 6, 2017, monthly debt service will include principal payments based on a 30-year amortization schedule, for total annual debt service of \$7,349.

(9) Represents unamortized amount of the non-cash mark-to-market adjustment on debt associated with 625 Second Street and 6922 Hollywood Boulevard.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

The Company presents its financial statements on a consolidated basis. Notwithstanding such presentation, except to the extent expressly indicated, such as in the case of the project financing for our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson properties, our separate property owning subsidiaries are not obligors of or under the debt of their respective affiliates and each property owning subsidiary's separate liabilities do not constitute obligations of its respective affiliates.

The minimum future annual principal payments due on our secured and unsecured notes payable at December 31, 2012, excluding the non-cash loan premium amortization, were as follows (in thousands):

Year ended	Principal Payments
2013	\$107,731
2014	38,787
2015	43,498
2016	92,481
2017	3,456
Thereafter	294,931
Total	\$580,884

7. Interest Rate Contracts

On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment campuses. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%. On March 16, 2011, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 3.715% on \$50.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. On January 11, 2012, we purchased an interest rate cap in order to cap one-month LIBOR at 2.00% with respect to \$42.0 million of the loan through its maturity on February 11, 2016. We designated both of these interest rate cap contracts as a cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

The combined fair market value of the interest rate caps at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$71 and \$164, respectively.

8. Future Minimum Base Rents and Lease Payments Future Minimum Rents

Our properties are leased to tenants under operating leases with initial term expiration dates ranging from 2012 to 2020. Approximate future combined minimum rentals (excluding tenant reimbursements for operating expenses and without regard to cancellation options) for properties at December 31, 2012 are as follows for the years/periods ended December 31. The table does not include rents under leases at our media and entertainment properties with terms of one year or less.

Year ended	Future Minimum Base Rents
2013	\$104,126
2014	100,547
2015	94,944

2016	89,453
2017	71,413
Thereafter	146,675
Total future minimum rents	\$607,158
Future Minimum Lease Payments	

In conjunction with the acquisition of the Sunset Gower property, our subsidiary, SGS Realty II, LLC, assumed a ground lease agreement (expiring March 31, 2060) for a portion of the land with an unrelated party. The rental rate is subject to

F-21

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

adjustment in March 2011 and every seven years thereafter. As a result of the March 2011 rent adjustment, monthly rent increased to \$31, whereas the monthly rent totaled \$14 at the time of acquisition.

In conjunction with the acquisition of the Del Amo Office building, our subsidiary, Hudson Del Amo Office, LLC, assumed a ground sublease (expiring June 30, 2049) with an unrelated party. Rent under the ground sublease is \$1.00 per year, with the sublessee being responsible for all impositions, insurance premiums, operating charges, maintenance charges, construction costs and other charges, costs and expenses that arise or may be contemplated under any provisions of the ground sublease.

In conjunction with the acquisition of the 9300 Wilshire Boulevard building, our subsidiary, Hudson 9300 Wilshire, LLC, assumed a ground lease (expiring August 14, 2032) with an unrelated party. Minimum rent under the ground lease is \$75 per year (additional rent under this lease of 6% of gross rentals less minimum rent, as defined in such lease, is not included in this amount).

In conjunction with the acquisition of the 222 Kearny Street building, our subsidiary, Hudson 222 Kearny, LLC, assumed a ground lease (expiring June 14, 2054) with an unrelated party. Minimum rent under the ground lease is the greater of \$975 per year or 20.0% of the first \$8,000 of the tenant's "Operating Income" during any "Lease Year," as such terms are defined in the ground lease. The chart below reflects the \$975 per year lease payment.

The following table provides information regarding our future minimum lease payments at December 31, 2012 under these lease agreements.

Year ended	Future Minimum Lease Payments
2013	\$1,417
2014	1,417
2015	1,417
2016	1,417
2017	1,417
Thereafter	52,242
Total future minimum rents	\$59,327

9. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, payables, and accrued liabilities are reasonable estimates of fair value because of the short-term maturities of these instruments. Fair values for notes payable are estimates based on rates currently prevailing for similar instruments of similar maturities using Level 2 instruments. The estimated fair values of interest-rate contract/cap arrangements were derived from estimated values based on observable market data for similar instruments.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Notes payable	\$582,085	\$588,191	\$399,871	\$404,144
Derivative assets, disclosed as "Interest rate contract"	71	71	164	164

10. Equity

Non-controlling Interests

Common units in the Operating Partnership

Common units in the operating partnership consisted of 2,382,563 common units of partnership interests, or common units, not owned by us. Common units and shares of our common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of our operating partnership. Investors who own common units have the right to cause our operating partnership to redeem any or all of their common units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of common stock, or, at our election, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. In February

F-22

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

2012, one of our common unit holders required us to redeem 155,878 common units, and in December 2012, one of our common unit holders required us to redeem 72,500 common units. In both cases we elected to issue shares of our common stock to satisfy the redemption and our outstanding common units decreased from 2,610,941 common units outstanding to the current 2,382,563 common units outstanding, with a corresponding increase to our outstanding common stock as of the date of such redemptions, as reflected in the table below.

Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity

Redeemable non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entity relates to a joint venture relationship with an affiliate of Beacon Capital Partners (“Beacon”), an unrelated third party, in the Rincon Center property. We acquired a 51% interest in a 585,000 square foot commercial space owned by Beacon as described in note 3. We had a call right and Beacon had a put right that, if exercised, obligated us to make an additional investment to acquire the remaining 49% interest in the Rincon Center joint venture in the second quarter of 2011. On February 24, 2011, we exercised the call right and completed the acquisition of Beacon’s 49% interest on April 29, 2011 for a purchase price of \$38.7 million (before closing costs and prorations).

Non-controlling interest — members in consolidated entities

Non-controlling interest — members in consolidated entities refers to our joint venture partner, Media Center Partners, LLC, a California limited liability company (“MCP”), as to which we have entered into a joint venture, P1 Hudson MC Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Pinnacle JV”), to acquire The Pinnacle, a two-building (Pinnacle I and Pinnacle II), 625,640 square foot, office property located in Burbank, California. As if December 31, 2012 we acquired a 98.25% interest in the Pinnacle JV, which owned the 393,776 square foot building located in Burbank, California.

6.25% series A cumulative redeemable preferred units of the Operating Partnership

Our Operating Partnership has issued 499,014 of its 6.25% series A cumulative redeemable preferred units, or series A preferred units, that are not owned by us. These series A preferred units are entitled to preferential distributions at a rate of 6.25% per annum on the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per unit and are convertible at the option of the holder into common units or redeemable into cash or, at our option, exchangeable for registered shares of common stock, in each case after June 29, 2013.

8.375% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

We have issued 5,800,000 shares of our 8.375% series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, or series B preferred stock. In December 2010, we completed the public offering of 3,500,000 share of our series B preferred stock (including 300,000 shares of series B preferred stock issued and sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters’ over-allotment option in part). Total proceeds from the offering, after deducting underwriting discount, were approximately \$83.9 million (before transaction costs). On January 23, 2012, we completed the public offering of 2,300,000 of our series B cumulative preferred stock (including 300,000 shares of series B preferred stock issued and sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters’ over-allotment option in full). Total proceeds from the offering, after deducting underwriting discount, were approximately \$57.5 million (before transaction costs).

Dividends on our series B preferred stock are cumulative from the date of original issue and payable quarterly on or about the last calendar day of each March, June, September and December, at the rate of 8.375% per annum of its \$25.00 per share liquidation preference (equivalent to \$2.0938 per share per annum). If following a change of control of the Company, either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, or quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), holders of our series B preferred stock will be entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends from, and including, the first date on which both the change of control occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, at the increased rate of 12.375% per annum per share of the liquidation preference of our series B preferred stock (equivalent to \$3.09375 per annum per share) for as long as either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted. Except in instances relating to preservation of our qualification as a REIT or in connection with a change of control of the Company, our series B preferred stock is not redeemable prior to

F-23

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

December 10, 2015. On and after December 10, 2015, we may redeem our series B preferred stock in whole, at any time, or in part, from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends to, but not including, the date of redemption. If at any time following a change of control either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not listed on the NYSE or quoted on NASDAQ (or listed or quoted on a successor exchange or quotation system), we will have the option to redeem our series B preferred stock, in whole but not in part, within 90 days after the first date on which both the change of control has occurred and either our series B preferred stock (or any preferred stock of the surviving entity that is issued in exchange for our series B preferred stock) or the common stock of the surviving entity, as applicable, is not so listed or quoted, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. Our series B preferred stock has no maturity date and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed by us, and it is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities.

May 2011 Secondary Common Stock Offering and Private Placement

On May 3, 2011, we completed the public offering of 6,950,000 shares of common stock and the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase an additional 1,042,500 shares of our common stock at the public offering price of \$14.62 per share. We also completed the private placement of 3,125,000 shares to investment funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C., at the same price.

Total proceeds from the public offering and the concurrent private placement, after underwriters' discount, were approximately \$156.7 million (before transaction costs). Of the total, approximately \$96.5 million was from the public offering of common stock, approximately \$14.5 million was from the exercise of the over-allotment option and approximately \$45.7 million was from the private placement investment.

May 2012 Common Stock Offering

On May 18, 2012, we completed the public offering of 13,225,000 shares of common stock and the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase an additional 1,725,000 shares of our common stock at the public offering price of \$15.00 per share. Funds affiliated with Farallon Capital Management, L.L.C. acquired 2,000,000 of the shares of common stock offered in this offering.

Total proceeds from the public offering, after underwriters' discount, were approximately \$190.8 million (before transaction costs).

Exchange of Common Units for Common Stock

In February 2012, Glenborough Fund XIV, L.P. redeemed 155,878 common units of our Operating Partnership for common stock of our REIT.

In December 2012, Howard S. Stern redeemed 72,500 common units of our Operating Partnership for common stock of our REIT.

The table below represents the net income attributable to common stockholders and transfers from non-controlling interest (in thousands) for the twelve months ended:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc.	\$(4,751)	\$(2,875)
Transfers from the non-controlling interests:		
Increase in common stockholders additional paid-in capital for exchange of operating partnership units	5,538	—
Change from net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders and transfer from non-controlling interests	\$787	\$(2,875)

F-24

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

Dividends

During the fourth quarter for 2012, we declared dividends on our common stock and non-controlling common partnership interests of \$0.125 per share and unit. We also declared dividends on our series A preferred partnership interests of \$0.3906 per unit. In addition, we declared dividends on our series B preferred shares of \$0.5234 per share. The fourth quarter dividends were declared on December 10, 2012 to holders of record on December 20, 2012.

Taxability of Dividends

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of distributions to stockholders, may differ from income reported for financial reporting purposes due to the differences for federal income tax purposes in the treatment of loss on extinguishment of debt, revenue recognition, and compensation expense and in the basis of depreciable assets and estimated useful lives used to compute depreciation.

The Company's dividends related to its common stock (CUSIP #444097109) and described above under "Dividends," will be classified for United States federal income tax purposes as follows (unaudited):

Record Date	Payment Date	Distributions per Share	Ordinary Dividends			Return of Capital	
			Total	Non-qualified	Qualified		
3/30/2012	4/2/2012	\$0.12500	\$0.05973	\$0.05851	\$0.00122	\$0.06527	
6/21/2012	7/2/2012	\$0.12500	\$0.05973	\$0.05851	\$0.00122	\$0.06527	
9/20/2012	10/1/2012	\$0.12500	\$0.05973	\$0.05851	\$0.00122	\$0.06527	
12/20/2012	12/31/2012	\$0.12500	\$0.05973	\$0.05851	\$0.00122	\$0.06527	
	Total	\$0.50000	\$0.23892	\$0.23404	\$0.00488	\$0.26108	
		100	%47.7821	%		52.2179	%

The Company's dividends related to its 8.375% Series B Cumulative Preferred Stock (CUSIP #444097208) and described above under "Dividends," will be classified for United States federal income tax purposes as follows (unaudited):

Record Date	Payment Date	Distributions per Share	Ordinary Dividends		
			Total	Non-qualified	Qualified
3/30/2012	4/2/2012	\$0.52344	\$0.52344	\$0.51272	\$0.01072
6/21/2012	7/2/2012	\$0.52344	\$0.52344	\$0.51272	\$0.01072
9/20/2012	10/1/2012	\$0.52344	\$0.52344	\$0.51272	\$0.01072
12/20/2012	12/31/2012	\$0.52344	\$0.52344	\$0.51272	\$0.01072
	Total	\$2.09376	\$2.09376	\$2.05088	\$0.04288

Stock-Based Compensation

The Board of Directors awards restricted shares to non-employee board members on an annual basis as part of such board members' annual compensation and to newly elected non-employee board members in accordance with our Board of Directors compensation program. The share-based awards are generally issued in the second quarter, and the

individual share awards vest in equal annual installments over the applicable service vesting period, which will be three years.

In addition, the Board of Directors awards restricted shares to employees on an annual basis as part of the employees' annual compensation. The share-based awards are generally issued in the fourth quarter, and the individual share awards vest in equal annual installments over the applicable service vesting period, which will be three years.

The following table summarizes the restricted share activity for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and status of all unvested restricted share awards, to our non-employee board members and employees at December 31, 2012:

F-25

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested Shares		
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	490,442	\$ 16.11
Granted	307,282	\$ 13.72
Vested	(161,523)	\$ 16.10
Canceled	(7,535)	\$ 17.00
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	628,666	\$ 14.93
Granted	268,060	20.33
Vested	(263,249)	15.19
Canceled	(1,474)	13.57
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	632,003	\$ 17.12

Twelve Months Ended December 31,	Non-Vested shares issued	Weighted average grant - dated fair value	Vested Shares	Total Vest-Date fair value (in thousands)
2012	268,060	\$20.33	(263,249)	\$5,102
2011	307,282	(1) 13.72	(161,523)	2,359
2010	490,442	16.11	—	—

(1) Amount includes 1,653 shares canceled during twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

We recognize the total compensation expense for time-vested shares on a straight-line basis over the vesting period based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant.

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. 2012 Outperformance Program

On January 1, 2012, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors adopted the Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. 2012 Outperformance Program, or the 2012 Outperformance Program. Participants in the 2012 Outperformance Program may earn, in the aggregate, up to \$10.0 million of stock-settled awards based on our total shareholder return (“TSR”) for the three-year period beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2014. Under the 2012 Outperformance Program, participants will be entitled to share in a performance pool with a value, subject to the \$10.0 million cap, equal to the sum of: (i) 4% of the amount by which our TSR during the performance period exceeds 9% simple annual TSR (the “absolute TSR component”), plus (ii) 4% of the amount by which our TSR during the performance period exceeds that of the SNL Equity REIT Index (determined on a percentage basis that is then multiplied by the sum of (A) our market capitalization on that date, plus (B) the aggregate per share dividend over the performance period through such date) (the “relative TSR component”), except that the relative TSR component will be reduced on a linear basis from 100% to zero percent for absolute TSR ranging from 7% to zero percent simple annual TSR over the performance period. In addition, the relative TSR component may be a negative value equal to 4% of the amount by which we underperform the SNL Equity REIT Index by more than 3% per year during the performance period (if any). If we attain pro-rated TSR performance goals during 2012 and/or 2013 that yield hypothetical bonus pools of up to \$2 million for 2012 performance and/or up to \$4 million for combined 2012/2013 performance, stock awards issued under the final bonus pool at the end of the performance period will cover a number of shares in the aggregate at least equal to the number of shares that would have been subject to stock awards issued at the end of

2012 or 2013 (whichever is greater) based on our TSR performance and common stock price for such prior years (subject to reduction to comply with the \$10.0 million bonus pool limitation). At the end of the three-year performance period, participants who remain employed with us will be paid their percentage interest in the bonus pool as stock awards based on the value of our common stock at the end of the performance period. Half of each such participant's bonus pool interest will be paid in fully vested shares of our common stock and the other half will be paid in restricted stock units ("RSUs") that vest in equal annual installments over the two years immediately following the performance period (based on continued employment) and which carry tandem dividend equivalent rights. However, if the performance period is terminated prior to December 31, 2014 in

F-26

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

connection with a change in control, 2012 Outperformance Program awards will be paid entirely in fully vested shares of our common stock immediately prior to the change in control. In addition to these share/RSU payments, each 2012 Outperformance Program award entitles its holder to a cash payment equal to the aggregate dividends that would have been paid during the performance period on the total number of shares and RSUs ultimately issued or granted in respect of such 2012 Outperformance Program award, had such shares and RSUs been outstanding throughout the performance period.

If a participant's employment is terminated without "cause," for "good reason" or due to the participant's death or disability during the performance period (referred to as qualifying terminations), the participant will be paid his or her 2012 Outperformance Program award at the end of the performance period entirely in fully vested shares (except for the performance period dividend equivalent, which will be paid in cash at the end of the performance period). Any such payment will be pro-rated in the case of a termination without "cause" or for "good reason" by reference to the participant's period of employment during the performance period. If we experience a change in control or a participant experiences a qualifying termination of employment, in either case, after December 31, 2014, any unvested RSUs that remain outstanding will accelerate and vest in full upon such event.

The total fair value of the 2012 Outperformance Program (approximately \$3.49 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will be amortized through the final vesting period under a graded vesting expense recognition schedule.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, \$4,314, \$2,660 and \$765 of non-cash compensation expense for all stock compensation was recognized as additional paid-in capital, of which \$4,212, \$2,660 and \$765 was included in general and administrative expenses, with the remaining \$102 of 2012 stock compensation capitalized to tenant improvement and deferred leasing costs and lease intangibles, net.

11. Related Party Transactions

Effective July 31, 2012, we consented to the assignment of a lease with a tenant of our 222 Kearny Street property to its subtenant, FJM Investments, LLC. The lease comprises approximately 3,707 square feet of the property's space and has a remaining term through May 31, 2014. The annual rental obligation under the lease for calendar year 2012 is \$125, the base rent component of which is subject to three percent annual increases. FJM Investments, LLC was co-founded by and is co-owned by one of our independent directors, Robert M. Moran, Jr.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal

From time to time, the Company is party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings arising out of, or incident to, our ordinary course of business. Management believes, based in part upon consultation with legal counsel, that the ultimate resolution of all such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. As of December 31, 2012, the risk of material loss from such legal actions impacting the Company's financial condition or results from operations has been assessed as remote.

Concentrations

All of the Company's Properties are located in California, which exposes the Company to greater economic risks than if it owned a more geographically dispersed portfolio. Further, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and

2011, approximately 24% and 26%, respectively, of the Company's revenues were derived from tenants in the media and entertainment industry, which makes the Company susceptible to demand for rental space in such industry. Consequently, the Company is subject to the risks associated with an investment in real estate with a concentration of tenants in that industry.

As of December 31, 2012 Bank of America leases approximately 832,549 square feet of our 1455 Market property for various lease terms ranging between one and seven years. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank of America Lease accounted for approximately 6% and 9%, respectively, of our total revenue.

13. Segment Reporting

The Company's reporting segments are based on the Company's method of internal reporting which classifies its operations into two reporting segments: (i) office properties, and (ii) media and entertainment properties. The Company evaluates performance based upon property net operating income from continuing operations ("NOI") of the combined properties in each segment. NOI is not a measure of operating results or cash flows from operating activities as measured by

F-27

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

GAAP, is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs and should not be considered an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. All companies may not calculate NOI in the same manner. The Company considers NOI to be an appropriate supplemental financial measure to net income because it helps both investors and management to understand the core operations of the Company's properties. The Company defines NOI as operating revenues (including rental revenues, other property-related revenue, tenant recoveries and other operating revenues), less property-level operating expenses (which includes external management fees and property-level general and administrative expenses). NOI excludes corporate general and administrative expenses, depreciation and amortization, impairments, gain/loss on sale of real estate, interest expense, acquisition-related expenses and other non-operating items.

Summary information for the reportable segments for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Office Properties	Media and Entertainment Properties	Total
Revenue	\$126,023	\$40,133	\$166,156
Operating expenses	53,577	24,340	77,917
Net operating income	\$72,446	\$15,793	\$88,239

Summary information for the reportable segments for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Office Properties	Media and Entertainment Properties	Total
Revenue	\$105,208	\$36,981	\$142,189
Operating expenses	44,740	22,446	67,186
Net operating income	\$60,468	\$14,535	\$75,003

Summary information for the reportable segments for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Office Properties	Media and Entertainment Properties	Total
Revenue	\$26,503	\$34,137	\$60,640
Operating expenses	10,212	19,815	30,027
Net operating income	\$16,291	\$14,322	\$30,613

The following is reconciliation from NOI to reported net income, the most direct comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Net operating income	\$ 88,239	\$ 75,003	\$ 30,613
General and administrative	(16,497)	(13,038)	(4,493)
Depreciation and amortization	(57,024)	(44,660)	(15,912)
Interest expense	(19,071)	(17,480)	(8,831)
Interest income	306	73	59
Unrealized gain on interest rate collar	—	—	347
Acquisition-related expenses	(1,051)	(1,693)	(4,273)
Other income (expense)	92	(443)	(192)
Net loss	\$ (5,006)	\$ (2,238)	\$ (2,682)

There were no inter-segment sales or transfers during either of the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

(In thousands, except square footage and share data)

14. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
Total revenues	\$45,244	\$42,066	\$40,615	\$38,231
Net (loss) income	(2,971)) (274)) (2,229)) 468
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(3,231)) (3,231)) (3,231)) (3,231)
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(69)) (69)) (79)) (78)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	21	—	—	—
Add: Net loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	310	179	322	203
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders'	\$ (5,940)) \$ (3,395)) \$ (5,217)) \$ (2,638)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders' per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.13)) \$ (0.07)) \$ (0.13)) \$ (0.08)
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	46,690,196	46,668,862	39,772,030	33,320,450

	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011
Total revenues	\$37,061	\$36,917	\$33,407	\$34,804
Net (loss) income	(1,318)) (803)) (210)) 93
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(2,027)) (2,027)) (2,027)) (2,027)
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(54)) (53)) (62)) (62)
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	—	—	10	(813)
Add: Net loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	248	211	188	299
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders'	\$ (3,151)) \$ (2,672)) \$ (2,101)) \$ (2,510)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders' per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.10)) \$ (0.08)) (0.07)) (0.11)
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	33,150,491	33,146,334	29,161,139	21,949,118

	Three months ended			
	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	March 31, 2010

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total revenues	\$21,090	\$17,505	\$11,087	\$10,982
Net (loss) income	(531) 27	(2,847) 669
Less: Net income attributable to preferred stock and units	(622) (195) (4) —
Less: Net income attributable to restricted shares	(25) (25) —	—
Less: Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest in consolidated real estate entities	(148) —	32	(3)
Add: Net loss attributable to common units in the Operating Partnership	141	21	256	—
Net loss attributable to Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. shareholders' / controlling members' equity	\$(1,185) \$(172) \$(2,563) \$666
Net loss attributable to common shareholders' per share - basic and diluted	\$(0.05) \$(0.01)	
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic and diluted	21,946,508	21,946,508		

15. Subsequent Events

F-29

Table of Contents

February 2013 Common Stock Offering

On February 12, 2013, we completed the public offering of 8,000,000 shares of common stock and the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase an additional 1,200,000 shares of our common stock at the public offering price of \$21.50 per share. Total proceeds from the public offering, after underwriters' discount, were approximately \$189.9 million (before transaction costs).

Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. 2013 Outperformance Program

On January 1, 2013, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors adopted the Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. 2013 Outperformance Program, or the 2013 Outperformance Program. Participants in the 2013 Outperformance Program may earn, in the aggregate, up to \$11.0 million of stock-settled awards based on our total shareholder return ("TSR") for the three-year period beginning January 1, 2013 and ending December 31, 2015. Under the 2013 Outperformance Program, participants will be entitled to share in a performance pool with a value, subject to the \$11.0 million cap, equal to the sum of: (i) 4% of the amount by which our TSR during the performance period exceeds 9% simple annual TSR (the "absolute TSR component"), plus (ii) 4% of the amount by which our TSR during the performance period exceeds that of the SNL Equity REIT Index (determined on a percentage basis that is then multiplied by the sum of (A) our market capitalization on that date, plus (B) the aggregate per share dividend over the performance period through such date) (the "relative TSR component"), except that the relative TSR component will be reduced on a linear basis from 100% to zero percent for absolute TSR ranging from 7% to zero percent simple annual TSR over the performance period. In addition, the relative TSR component may be a negative value equal to 4% of the amount by which we underperform the SNL Equity REIT Index by more than 3% per year during the performance period (if any). If we attain pro-rated TSR performance goals during 2013 and/or 2014 that yield hypothetical bonus pools of up to \$2 million for 2013 performance and/or up to \$4 million for combined 2013/2014 performance, stock awards issued under the final bonus pool at the end of the performance period will cover a number of shares in the aggregate at least equal to the number of shares that would have been subject to stock awards issued at the end of 2013 or 2014 (whichever is greater) based on our TSR performance and common stock price for such prior years (subject to reduction to comply with the \$11.0 million bonus pool limitation). At the end of the three-year performance period, participants who remain employed with us will be paid their percentage interest in the bonus pool as stock awards based on the value of our common stock at the end of the performance period. Half of each such participant's bonus pool interest will be paid in fully vested shares of our common stock and the other half will be paid in restricted stock units ("RSUs") that vest in equal annual installments over the two years immediately following the performance period (based on continued employment) and which carry tandem dividend equivalent rights. However, if the performance period is terminated prior to December 31, 2015 in connection with a change in control, 2013 Outperformance Program awards will be paid entirely in fully vested shares of our common stock immediately prior to the change in control. In addition to these share/RSU payments, each 2013 Outperformance Program award entitles its holder to a cash payment equal to the aggregate dividends that would have been paid during the performance period on the total number of shares and RSUs ultimately issued or granted in respect of such 2013 Outperformance Program award, had such shares and RSUs been outstanding throughout the performance period.

If a participant's employment is terminated without "cause," for "good reason" or due to the participant's death or disability during the performance period (referred to as qualifying terminations), the participant will be paid his or her 2013 Outperformance Program award at the end of the performance period entirely in fully vested shares (except for the performance period dividend equivalent, which will be paid in cash at the end of the performance period). Any such payment will be pro-rated in the case of a termination without "cause" or for "good reason" by reference to the participant's period of employment during the performance period. If we experience a change in control or a participant experiences a qualifying termination of employment, in either case, after December 31, 2015, any unvested RSUs that remain outstanding will accelerate and vest in full upon such event.

The total fair value of the 2013 Outperformance Program (approximately \$4.14 million, subject to adjustment for forfeitures) will be amortized through the final vesting period under a graded vesting expense recognition schedule.

F-30

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

(In thousands)

Property name	Initial Costs		Cost Capitalized subsequent to Acquisition			Gross Carrying Amount at December 31, 2012			Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2012	Year Built / Renovated	Year Acquired
	Encumbrances at December 31 2012	Land	Building & Improvements	Improvements	Carrying Costs	Land	Building & All Improvements	Total			
Office											
City Plaza ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$ 14,939	\$ 34,135	\$ 6,217	\$—	\$ 14,939	\$ 40,352	\$ 55,291	\$(4,881)	1969/1999	200
Technicolor Building ⁽¹⁾	—	6,598	27,187	26,994	3,088	6,598	57,269	63,867	(10,120)	2008	200
875 Howard Street Property ⁽¹⁾	—	18,058	41,046	14,175	1,180	18,058	56,401	74,459	(9,392)	Various	200
First Financial Tierrasanta ⁽¹⁾	43,000	8,115	52,137	4,403	—	8,115	56,540	64,655	(4,465)	1986	201
Del Amo	—	3,056	9,670	515	—	3,056	10,185	13,241	(1,048)	1985	201
9300 Wilshire	—	—	18,000	289	—	—	18,289	18,289	(1,410)	1986	201
222 Kearny ⁽¹⁾	—	—	10,718	270	—	—	10,988	10,988	(745)	1965/2001	201
Rincon Center 1455 Market ⁽¹⁾	—	7,563	23,793	1,274	—	7,563	25,067	32,630	(2,051)	Various	201
10950 Washington	107,492	58,250	110,656	2,594	—	58,250	113,250	171,500	(9,476)	1985	201
604 Arizona ⁽¹⁾	—	41,226	34,990	7,556	—	41,226	42,546	83,772	(10,201)	1977	201
275 Brannan Street	29,711	17,979	25,110	366	—	17,979	25,476	43,455	(2,012)	Various	201
625 Second Street	—	5,620	14,745	845	—	5,620	15,590	21,210	(725)	1950	201
6922 Hollywood	138	4,187	8,063	3,911	859	4,187	12,833	17,020	—	1906	201
10900 Washington	33,700	10,744	42,650	90	—	10,744	42,740	53,484	(2,005)	1905	201
901 Market Street	41,243	16,608	72,392	1,731	—	16,608	74,123	90,731	(3,007)	1965	201
Olympic Bundy	—	1,400	1,200	116	—	1,400	1,316	2,716	(24)	1,973	201
Pinnacle I Media & Entertainment	49,600	17,882	79,305	633	—	17,882	79,938	97,820	(1,594)	1912/1985	201
Sunset Gower ⁽²⁾	—	75,449	12,575	2,160	722	75,449	15,457	90,906	(99)	1949	201
Sunset Bronson ⁽²⁾	129,000	28,518	171,657	—	—	28,518	171,657	200,175	(1,308)	2002	201
	92,000	79,321	64,697	8,120	70	79,321	72,887	152,208	(11,245)	Various	200
	—	77,698	32,374	7,455	—	77,698	39,829	117,527	(9,376)	Various	200

Edgar Filing: Hudson Pacific Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total \$525,884 \$493,211 \$887,100 \$89,714 \$5,919 \$493,211 \$982,733 \$1,475,944 \$(85,184)

-
- (1) On August 3, 2012, we replaced our \$200.0 million secured revolving credit facility with a \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility with a group of lenders for which Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. acts as administrative agent and its affiliate acts as joint lead arranger, Bank of America, N.A. acts as joint lead arranger and, together with Barclays Capital, acts as joint syndication agent, and Keybank, N.A., acts as documentation agent. These properties are secured under this line of credit which as of December 31, 2012 has an outstanding balance of \$55,000.
- (2) On February 11, 2011, we closed a five-year term loan totaling \$92.0 million with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., secured by our Sunset Gower and Sunset Bronson media and entertainment properties.

F-31