

ITC Holdings Corp.
Form 10-Q
October 24, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-32576

ITC HOLDINGS CORP.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Michigan

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

27175 Energy Way

Novi, MI 48377

(Address Of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)

(248) 946-3000

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, without par value, outstanding as of October 19, 2012 was 51,530,532.

Table of Contents

ITC Holdings Corp.
Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2012
INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (Unaudited)</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Exhibit Index | |
| EX-2.3 | |
| EX-2.4 | |
| EX-10.106 | |
| EX-10.107 | |
| EX-31.1 | |
| EX-31.2 | |
| EX-32 | |
| EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise noted or the context requires, all references in this report to:

ITC Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries

“ITC Great Plains” are references to ITC Great Plains, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Grid Development, LLC;

“ITC Grid Development” are references to ITC Grid Development, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

“Green Power Express” are references to Green Power Express LP, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

“ITC Holdings” are references to ITC Holdings Corp. and not any of its subsidiaries;

“ITC Midwest” are references to ITC Midwest LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

“ITCTransmission” are references to International Transmission Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

“METC” are references to Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of MTH;

“MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries” are references to ITCTransmission, METC and ITC Midwest together;

“MTH” are references to Michigan Transco Holdings, LLC, the sole member of METC and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings;

“Regulated Operating Subsidiaries” are references to ITCTransmission, METC, ITC Midwest and ITC Great Plains together; and

“We,” “our” and “us” are references to ITC Holdings together with all of its subsidiaries.

Other definitions

“Consumers Energy” are references to Consumers Energy Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CMS Energy Corporation;

“Detroit Edison” are references to The Detroit Edison Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of DTE Energy Company;

“Entergy” are references to Entergy Corporation;

“FERC” are references to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission;

“FPA” are references to the Federal Power Act;

“IP&L” are references to Interstate Power and Light Company, an Alliant Energy Corporation subsidiary;

“ITC Holdings' annual report on Form 10-K” are references to the annual report on Form 10-K filed on February 22, 2012 as amended by the annual report on Form 10-K/A filed on September 13, 2012;

“kV” are references to kilovolts (one kilovolt equaling 1,000 volts);

“kW” are references to kilowatts (one kilowatt equaling 1,000 watts);

“MISO” are references to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., a FERC-approved RTO which oversees the operation of the bulk power transmission system for a substantial portion of the Midwestern United States and Manitoba, Canada, and of which ITCTransmission, METC and ITC Midwest are members;

“MPSC” are references to the Michigan Public Service Commission;

“MW” are references to megawatts (one megawatt equaling 1,000,000 watts);

“NERC” are references to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation;

“RTO” are references to Regional Transmission Organizations; and

“SPP” are references to Southwest Power Pool, Inc., a FERC-approved RTO which oversees the operation of the bulk power transmission system for a substantial portion of the South Central United States, and of which ITC Great Plains is a member.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (UNAUDITED)

| (in thousands, except share data) | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 30,026 | \$ 58,344 |
| Accounts receivable | 94,863 | 76,895 |
| Inventory | 33,876 | 34,855 |
| Deferred income taxes | 21,045 | 20,636 |
| Regulatory assets — revenue accruals, including accrued interest | 7,267 | 6,639 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | 9,935 | 4,159 |
| Total current assets | 197,012 | 201,528 |
| Property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$1,248,456 and \$1,193,164, respectively) | 3,967,190 | 3,415,823 |
| Other assets | | |
| Goodwill | 950,163 | 950,163 |
| Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$17,607 and \$15,276, respectively) | 45,334 | 46,885 |
| Other regulatory assets | 171,057 | 161,987 |
| Deferred financing fees (net of accumulated amortization of \$16,949 and \$14,594, respectively) | 19,593 | 20,989 |
| Other | 30,823 | 25,991 |
| Total other assets | 1,216,970 | 1,206,015 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 5,381,172 | \$ 4,823,366 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 102,530 | \$ 136,934 |
| Accrued payroll | 15,721 | 18,013 |
| Accrued interest | 43,395 | 43,642 |
| Accrued taxes | 18,370 | 25,627 |
| Regulatory liabilities — revenue deferrals, including accrued interest | 51,836 | 46,579 |
| Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades | 48,041 | 38,805 |
| Debt maturing within one year | 651,897 | — |
| Other | 51,040 | 5,867 |
| Total current liabilities | 982,830 | 315,467 |
| Accrued pension and postretirement liabilities | 44,299 | 44,923 |
| Deferred income taxes | 432,677 | 373,268 |
| Regulatory liabilities — revenue deferrals, including accrued interest | 68,324 | 50,917 |
| Regulatory liabilities — accrued asset removal costs | 79,492 | 83,934 |
| Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades | 5,241 | 14,570 |
| Other | 12,426 | 36,373 |
| Long-term debt | 2,406,674 | 2,645,022 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 12) | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, without par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 51,524,437 and 51,323,368 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, | 955,258 | 943,444 |

Edgar Filing: ITC Holdings Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| 2011, respectively | | |
| Retained earnings | 414,759 | 330,816 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (20,808 |) (15,368 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,349,209 | 1,258,892 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$5,381,172 | \$4,823,366 |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited). | | |

Table of Contents

ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING REVENUES | \$214,801 | \$191,303 | \$608,889 | \$555,787 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | 31,544 | 37,365 | 90,314 | 92,486 |
| General and administrative | 27,906 | 19,046 | 78,791 | 54,915 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 27,466 | 23,898 | 78,453 | 70,338 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 14,721 | 12,456 | 44,186 | 39,620 |
| Other operating (income) and expense — net | (190) | (295) | (586) | (611) |
| Total operating expenses | 101,447 | 92,470 | 291,158 | 256,748 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 113,354 | 98,833 | 317,731 | 299,039 |
| OTHER EXPENSES (INCOME) | | | | |
| Interest expense | 38,924 | 37,248 | 116,918 | 110,002 |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | (5,622) | (4,469) | (15,800) | (12,078) |
| Other income | (884) | (1,417) | (2,171) | (2,136) |
| Other expense | 1,415 | 793 | 2,473 | 3,063 |
| Total other expenses (income) | 33,833 | 32,155 | 101,420 | 98,851 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 79,521 | 66,678 | 216,311 | 200,188 |
| INCOME TAX PROVISION | 28,338 | 22,654 | 76,691 | 71,166 |
| NET INCOME | \$51,183 | \$44,024 | \$139,620 | \$129,022 |
| Basic earnings per common share (Note 8) | \$0.99 | \$0.86 | \$2.72 | \$2.52 |
| Diluted earnings per common share (Note 8) | \$0.98 | \$0.85 | \$2.68 | \$2.49 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.3775 | \$0.3525 | \$1.0825 | \$1.0225 |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited). | | | | |

Table of Contents

ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| NET INCOME | \$51,183 | \$44,024 | \$139,620 | \$129,022 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS | | | | |
| Amortization of interest rate lock cash flow hedges (net of tax of \$9 for the three months ended September, 2012 and 2011 and net of tax of \$21 and \$26 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively) | 15 | 16 | 52 | 47 |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps relating to interest rate cash flow hedges (net of tax of \$862 and \$8,783 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and net of tax of \$3,545 and \$9,414 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively) | (1,331 |) (13,714 |) (5,492 |) (14,696 |
| TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS, NET OF TAX | (1,316 |) (13,698 |) (5,440 |) (14,649 |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$49,867 | \$30,326 | \$134,180 | \$114,373 |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited). | | | | |

Table of Contents

ITC HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| (in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 139,620 | \$ 129,022 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 78,453 | 70,338 |
| Recognition, refund and collection of revenue accruals and deferrals — including accrued interest | 25,748 | 82,854 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 44,921 | 44,894 |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | (15,800) | (12,078) |
| Other | 9,030 | 12,224 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, exclusive of changes shown separately: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (12,182) | (14,845) |
| Inventory | 979 | 1,807 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | (5,776) | (171) |
| Accounts payable | (10,637) | 2,853 |
| Accrued payroll | (1,865) | (3,753) |
| Accrued interest | (247) | (19,384) |
| Accrued taxes | (5,773) | (7,315) |
| Other current liabilities | 11,474 | 1,699 |
| Other non-current assets and liabilities, net | 410 | (1,577) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 258,355 | 286,568 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (637,386) | (388,402) |
| Proceeds from sale of securities | 5,935 | 3,839 |
| Purchases of securities | (10,786) | (7,341) |
| Other | (747) | 769 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (642,984) | (391,135) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 100,000 | — |
| Borrowings under revolving credit agreements | 1,073,550 | 592,515 |
| Borrowings under term loan credit agreement | 200,000 | — |
| Repayments of revolving credit agreements | (960,350) | (512,355) |
| Issuance of common stock | 4,929 | 18,081 |
| Dividends on common stock | (55,677) | (52,276) |
| Refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades | 31,157 | 24,618 |
| Repayment of refundable deposits from generators for transmission network upgrades | (31,186) | (4,876) |
| Other | (6,112) | (7,922) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 356,311 | 57,785 |
| NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (28,318) | (46,782) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — Beginning of period | 58,344 | 95,109 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — End of period | \$ 30,026 | \$ 48,327 |
| See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited). | | |

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. GENERAL

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in ITC Holdings' annual report on Form 10-K for such period.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Regulation S-X as they apply to interim financial information.

Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. These accounting principles require us to use estimates and assumptions that impact the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from our estimates.

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but in our opinion include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim period. The interim financial results are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or the fiscal year.

Supplementary Cash Flows Information

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| (in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 |
| Supplementary cash flows information: | | |
| Interest paid (net of interest capitalized) | \$112,040 | \$126,481 |
| Income taxes paid | 26,024 | 23,010 |
| Supplementary non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment (a) | \$77,464 | \$56,342 |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 15,800 | 12,078 |

(a) Amounts consist of current liabilities for construction labor and materials that have not been included in investing activities. These amounts have not been paid for as of September 30, 2012 or 2011, respectively, but have been or will be included as a cash outflow from investing activities for expenditures for property, plant and equipment when paid.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

The guidance set forth by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") for the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements was revised to require entities to report components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. This revision became effective for our interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and we have included a separate statement of comprehensive income for all periods presented.

Balance Sheet Offsetting Requirements

The FASB has created new disclosure requirements regarding the nature of an entity's rights of offset and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The guidance requires entities to disclose, at a minimum, the following information in tabular format, separately for assets and liabilities: (a) the gross amounts of those recognized assets and those recognized liabilities; (b) the amounts offset to determine the net amounts presented in the statement of financial position; (c) the net amounts presented in the statement of financial position; (d) the amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement; and (e) the net amount after deducting the amounts in (d) from the amounts in (c). The disclosure requirements are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods therein, with retrospective application required. The new disclosure requirements are not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Disclosures

The FASB amended guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures. The guidance requires additional disclosures relating to fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, including quantitative information about unobservable inputs, the valuation process used by the entity and the sensitivity of unobservable input measurements. Additionally, entities are required to disclose the level of the fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value in the balance sheet, but for which disclosure of the fair value is required. We adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2012, which did not have a material impact on our disclosures. See Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. REGULATORY MATTERS

ITC Great Plains

As of September 30, 2012, we have recorded a total of \$14.0 million of regulatory assets for start-up and development expenses incurred by ITC Great Plains, which include certain costs incurred for the Kansas Electric Transmission Authority (“KETA”) Project and the Kansas V-Plan Project prior to construction. During the first quarter of 2011, we received certain regulatory approvals relating to the Kansas V-Plan Project which resulted in the recognition of a regulatory asset for the Kansas V-Plan Project of \$2.0 million and a corresponding reduction to operating expenses, which increased net income by \$1.3 million. Subsequent to the initial recognition of the Kansas V-Plan Project regulatory asset in March 2011, we recorded costs for the Kansas V-Plan Project directly to this regulatory asset. Based on ITC Great Plains’ FERC application under which authority to recognize these regulatory assets was sought and the related FERC order, ITC Great Plains will be required to make an additional filing with the FERC under Section 205 of the FPA in order to recover these start-up, development and pre-construction expenses in future rates. If FERC authorization is received, ITC Great Plains will include the regulatory assets in its rate base and begin amortizing them over a 10-year period. The amortization expense will be included in ITC Great Plains’ revenue requirement derived from its cost-based formula rate template.

Order on Formula Rate Protocols

On May 17, 2012, the FERC issued an order pursuant to Section 206 of the FPA to determine whether the formula rate protocols under the MISO Tariff are sufficient to ensure just and reasonable rates. The MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries were named in the order. We do not expect the resolution of this proceeding and its ultimate impact on our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ formula rates will be material to our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Complaint of IP&L

On September 14, 2012, IP&L filed a complaint with the FERC against ITC Midwest's reimbursement policy under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act. The complaint challenges ITC Midwest's FERC approved reimbursement policy for network upgrades to qualifying generators. IP&L requests that the FERC (1) investigate the justness and reasonableness of ITC Midwest's Attachment FF policy; (2) establish a refund effective date of September 14, 2012; and (3) establish hearing procedures. On October 4, 2012, ITC Midwest filed an answer to the complaint with the FERC outlining the reasons ITC Midwest's Attachment FF provision remains just and reasonable and requesting dismissal of the complaint.

Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism

The transmission rates at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are set annually, using the FERC-approved formula rates, and the rates remain in effect for a one-year period. By completing their formula rate templates on an annual basis, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are able to adjust their transmission rates to reflect changing operational data and financial performance, including the amount of network load on their transmission systems (for our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries), operating expenses and additions to property, plant and equipment when placed in service, among other items. The FERC-approved formula rates do not require further action or FERC filings for the calculated joint zone rates to go into effect, although the rates are subject to legal challenge at the FERC. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries will continue to use formula rates to calculate their respective annual revenue requirements unless the FERC determines the rates to be unjust and unreasonable or another mechanism is determined by the FERC to be just and reasonable.

Our cost-based formula rate templates include a true-up mechanism, whereby our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries compare their actual revenue requirements to their billed revenues for each year to determine any over- or under-collection of revenue requirements. The over- or under-collection typically results from differences between the projected revenue requirement used to establish the billing rate and actual revenue requirement at each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries,

Table of Contents

or from differences between actual and projected monthly peak loads at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. Revenue is recognized for services provided during each reporting period based on actual revenue requirements calculated using the formula rate templates. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries accrue or defer revenues to the extent that the actual revenue requirement for the reporting period is higher or lower, respectively, than the amounts billed relating to that reporting period. The amount of accrued or deferred revenues is reflected in customer bills within two years under the provisions of the formula rate templates.

The current and non-current regulatory assets are recorded on the balance sheet in regulatory assets - revenue accruals, including accrued interest and other non-current assets, respectively. The current and non-current regulatory liabilities are recorded in regulatory liabilities - revenue deferrals, including accrued interest.

The changes in regulatory assets and liabilities (net) associated with our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' formula rate revenue accruals and deferrals, including accrued interest, were as follows during the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

| (in thousands) | ITCTransmission | METC | ITC Midwest | ITC Great Plains | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2011 | \$ (56,269) | \$ (20,910) | \$ (6,164) | \$ (1,877) | \$ (85,220) |
| Net refunds (collections) of 2010 revenue deferrals and accruals, including interest | 22,980 | 7,118 | 448 | (71) | 30,475 |
| Net revenue deferrals for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 | (22,334) | (15,680) | (9,350) | (6,550) | (53,914) |
| Net accrued interest payable for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 | (1,271) | (565) | (354) | (119) | (2,309) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ (56,894) | \$ (30,037) | \$ (15,420) | \$ (8,617) | \$ (110,968) |

Regulatory assets and liabilities associated with our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' formula rate revenue accruals and deferrals are recorded in our condensed consolidated statement of financial position as follows:

| (in thousands) | ITCTransmission | METC | ITC Midwest | ITC Great Plains | Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Current assets | \$220 | \$1,190 | \$4,533 | \$1,324 | \$7,267 |
| Non-current assets | 1 | 424 | 1,122 | 378 | 1,925 |
| Current liabilities | (27,858) | (12,170) | (9,015) | (2,793) | (51,836) |
| Non-current liabilities | (29,257) | (19,481) | (12,060) | (7,526) | (68,324) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ (56,894) | \$ (30,037) | \$ (15,420) | \$ (8,617) | \$ (110,968) |

ITCTransmission's Rate Freeze Revenue Deferral

ITCTransmission's rate freeze revenue deferral resulted from the regulatory authority to bill and collect certain revenue requirements calculated for historical periods. This revenue deferral resulted from the difference between the revenue ITCTransmission would have collected under its cost based formula rate and the actual revenue ITCTransmission received for the period from February 28, 2003 through December 31, 2004. The rate freeze revenue deferral was amortized for ratemaking on a straight-line basis for five years from June 2006 through May 2011 and was included in ITCTransmission's revenue requirement for those periods. Revenues of \$5.0 million relating to the rate freeze revenue deferral were recognized in January through May 2011.

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We have recorded intangible assets as a result of the METC acquisition in 2006. The carrying value of these assets was \$41.0 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$17.4 million) as of September 30, 2012.

We have also recorded intangible assets for payments made by ITC Great Plains to certain transmission owners to acquire rights which are required under the SPP tariff to designate ITC Great Plains to build, own and operate projects within the SPP region, including the KETA Project and the Kansas V-Plan Project. The carrying amount of these intangible assets was \$4.3 million (net of accumulated amortization of \$0.2 million) as of September 30, 2012.

During the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized \$0.8 million of amortization expense of our intangible assets and \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. For each of the next

five years, we expect the annual amortization of our intangible assets that have been recorded as of September 30, 2012 to be \$3.1 million per year.

Table of Contents

5. LONG-TERM DEBT

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We use derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swap contracts, to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. The use of these financial instruments mitigates exposure to these risks and the variability of our operating results. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The interest rate swaps listed below manage interest rate risk associated with the forecasted future issuance of fixed-rate debt related to the expected refinancing of the maturing \$267.0 million ITC Holdings 5.25% Senior Notes due July 15, 2013:

| Interest Rate Swaps | Notional Amount | Fixed Rate | Original Term | Effective Date |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| (amounts in millions) | | | | |
| September 2010 swap | \$50.0 | 3.60% | 10 years | July 2013 |
| March 2011 swaps | 50.0 | 4.45% | 10 years | July 2013 |
| May 2011 swap | 25.0 | 4.20% | 10 years | July 2013 |
| August 2011 swaps | 50.0 | 3.80% | 10 years | July 2013 |
| Total | \$175.0 | | | |

The interest rate swaps call for ITC Holdings to receive interest quarterly at a variable rate equal to LIBOR and to pay interest semi-annually at various fixed rates effective for the 10-year period beginning July 15, 2013 after the agreements have been terminated. The agreements include a mandatory early termination provision and will be terminated no later than the effective date of the interest rate swaps of July 15, 2013. The interest rate swaps have been determined to be highly effective at offsetting changes in the fair value of the forecasted interest cash flows associated with the expected debt issuance attributable to changes in benchmark interest rates from the trade date of the interest rate swaps to the issuance date of the debt obligation. As of September 30, 2012, there has been no material ineffectiveness recorded in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The interest rate swaps qualify for hedge accounting treatment, whereby any gain or loss recognized from the trade date to the effective date for the effective portion of the hedge is recorded net of tax in accumulated other comprehensive income. These amounts will be accumulated and amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the forecasted debt. As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of the derivative instruments was a liability of \$33.3 million recorded in other current liabilities. None of the interest rate swaps contain credit-risk-related contingent features. Refer to Note 10 for additional fair value information.

ITC Midwest

ITC Midwest closed on the \$100.0 million of 3.50% First Mortgage Bonds, Series E, due January 2027 on January 19, 2012. The proceeds from the issuance were used to refinance existing indebtedness, partially fund capital expenditures and for general corporate purposes. All of ITC Midwest's First Mortgage Bonds are issued under its First Mortgage and Deed of Trust, and therefore have the benefit of a first mortgage lien on substantially all of ITC Midwest's property.

On May 31, 2012, ITC Midwest entered into a new unsecured, unguaranteed revolving credit agreement, under which ITC Midwest may borrow up to \$175.0 million. The new revolving credit agreement replaced ITC Midwest's two existing revolving credit agreements, dated January 29, 2008 and February 11, 2011, respectively, which were scheduled to mature on January 29, 2013 and February 11, 2013, respectively.

ITC Holdings

On August 23, 2012, ITC Holdings entered into a new unsecured, unguaranteed term loan credit agreement, under which ITC Holdings borrowed \$200.0 million, which is recorded in current liabilities as of September 30, 2012. The loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1% or at a base rate, which is defined as the higher of the prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, subject to adjustments based on ITC Holdings' credit rating. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of borrowings under the ITC Holdings' revolving credit agreement. The term loan is scheduled to mature on August 23, 2013. The weighted-average interest rate on the borrowing outstanding under the agreement was 1.2% at September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Revolving Credit Agreements

At September 30, 2012, ITC Holdings and its Regulated Operating Subsidiaries had the following revolving credit facilities available:

| (amounts in millions) | Total Available Capacity | Outstanding Balance (a) | Unused Capacity | Weighted-Average Interest Rate on Outstanding Balance | | Commitment Fee Rate (b) | Original Term | Date of Maturity |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|-----|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Revolving Credit Agreements: | | | | | | | | |
| ITC Holdings | \$200.0 | \$4.2 | \$195.8 | 2.0% | (c) | 0.25 | % 5 years | May 2016 |
| ITCTransmission | 100.0 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 1.4% | (d) | 0.125 | % 5 years | May 2016 |
| METC | 100.0 | 75.4 | 24.6 | 1.3% | (e) | 0.125 | % 5 years | May 2016 |
| ITC Midwest | 175.0 | 89.7 | 85.3 | 1.2% | (f) | 0.10 | % 5 years | May 2017 |
| ITC Great Plains | 150.0 | 87.1 | 62.9 | 2.0% | (g) | 0.30 | % 4 years | February 2015 |
| Total | \$725.0 | \$314.3 | \$410.7 | | | | | |

(a) Included within long-term debt.

(b) Calculation based on the average daily unused commitments, subject to adjustment based on the borrower's credit rating.

Loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.75% or at a base rate, which is defined (c) as the higher of the prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.75%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Holdings' credit rating.

Loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.15% or at a base rate, which is defined (d) as the higher of the prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.15%, subject to adjustments based on ITCTransmission's credit rating.

Loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.15% or at a base rate, which is defined (e) as the higher of the prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.15%, subject to adjustments based on METC's credit rating.

Loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.00% or at a base rate, which is defined (f) as the higher of prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, subject to adjustments based on ITC Midwest's credit rating.

Loan bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.75%, or at a base rate, which is defined (g) as the higher of prime rate, 0.50% above the federal funds rate or 1% above the one month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 0.75%, subject to adjustments based on ITC Great Plains' credit rating.

Covenants

Our debt instruments contain numerous financial and operating covenants that place significant restrictions on certain transactions, such as incurring additional indebtedness, engaging in sale and lease-back transactions, creating liens or other encumbrances, entering into mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions, creating or acquiring subsidiaries, selling or otherwise disposing of all or substantially all of our assets and paying dividends. In addition, the covenants require us to meet certain financial ratios, such as maintaining certain debt to capitalization ratios and maintaining certain interest coverage ratios. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants.

6. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

ITC Holdings Sales Agency Financing Agreement

On July 27, 2011, ITC Holdings entered into a Sales Agency Financing Agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. as sales agent (the "SAFA"). Under the terms of the SAFA, ITC Holdings may issue and sell shares of common stock, without par value, from time to time, up to an aggregate sales proceeds amount of \$250.0 million. The SAFA terminates in July 2014, although the agreements relating to the Entergy Transaction generally prohibit us from issuing shares under the SAFA until approximately two years after the closing except under certain limited circumstances. The shares of common stock may be offered in one or more selling periods. Any shares of common stock sold under the SAFA will be offered at market prices prevailing at the time of sale. Moreover, ITC Holdings

will specify to the sales agent (i) the aggregate selling price of the shares of common stock to be sold during each selling period, and (ii) the minimum price below which sales may not be made. ITC Holdings will pay a commission equal to a mutually agreed upon rate with its agent, not to exceed 2% of the sales price of all shares of common stock sold through its agent under the SAFA, plus expenses. The shares we would issue under the

Table of Contents

SAFA have been registered under ITC Holdings' shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-163716) filed on December 14, 2009 with the SEC. No shares have been issued under this agreement.

7. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Long-Term Incentive Plan Grants

On May 22, 2012, pursuant to the Second Amended and Restated 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"), we granted 358,160 options to purchase shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$70.76 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The options vest in three equal annual installments with the first installment vesting on May 22, 2013. In addition, on May 22, 2012, we granted 114,862 shares of restricted stock at fair value of \$70.76 per share. Holders of restricted stock have all the rights of a holder of common stock of ITC Holdings, including dividend and voting rights. The restricted stock vests three years after the grant date. The holder of the restricted stock may not sell, transfer or pledge their shares of restricted stock until vesting occurs. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the LTIP).

Stock Option Exercises

We issued 108,693 and 543,775 shares of our common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011, respectively, due to the exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

We report both basic and diluted earnings per share. Our restricted stock and deferred stock units contain rights to receive nonforfeitable dividends and thus, are participating securities requiring the two-class method of computing earnings per share. A reconciliation of both calculations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented in the following table:

| (in thousands, except share, per share data and percentages) | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$51,183 | \$44,024 | \$139,620 | \$129,022 |
| Less: dividends declared — common shares, restricted shares and deferred stock units (a) | (19,446) | (18,087) | (55,684) | (52,276) |
| Undistributed earnings | 31,737 | 25,937 | 83,936 | 76,746 |
| Percentage allocated to common shares (b) | 98.7 % | 98.3 % | 98.7 % | 98.2 % |
| Undistributed earnings — common shares | 31,324 | 25,496 | 82,845 | 75,365 |
| Add: dividends declared — common shares | 19,213 | 17,796 | 54,971 | 51,367 |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share | \$50,537 | \$43,292 | \$137,816 | \$126,732 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share — weighted-average common shares | 50,863,727 | 50,409,373 | 50,748,257 | 50,192,675 |
| Incremental shares for stock options and employee stock purchase plan | 745,972 | 769,949 | 754,437 | 781,467 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share — adjusted weighted-average shares and assumed conversion | 51,609,699 | 51,179,322 | 51,502,694 | 50,974,142 |
| Per common share net income: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.99 | \$0.86 | \$2.72 | \$2.52 |
| Diluted | \$0.98 | \$0.85 | \$2.68 | \$2.49 |

(a) Includes dividends paid in the form of shares for deferred stock units.

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| (b) Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 50,863,727 | 50,409,373 | 50,748,257 | 50,192,675 |
| Weighted-average restricted shares and deferred stock units (participating securities) | 644,233 | 893,459 | 677,070 | 895,326 |
| Total | 51,507,960 | 51,302,832 | 51,425,327 | 51,088,001 |
| Percentage allocated to common shares | 98.7 % | 98.3 % | 98.7 % | 98.2 % |

At September 30, 2012 and 2011, we had 2,344,578 and 2,115,232 outstanding stock options, respectively. Stock options are included in the diluted earnings per share calculation using the treasury stock method, unless the effect of including the stock options would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, 565,956 and 215,188 anti-dilutive stock options were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculations, respectively.

9. RETIREMENT BENEFITS AND ASSETS HELD IN TRUST

Retirement Plan Benefits

We have a qualified retirement plan for eligible employees, comprised of a traditional final average pay plan and a cash balance plan. The traditional final average pay plan is noncontributory, covers select employees, and provides retirement benefits based on the employees' years of benefit service, average final compensation and age at retirement.

The cash balance plan is also noncontributory, covers substantially all employees, and provides retirement benefits based on eligible compensation and interest credits. While we are obligated to fund the retirement plan by contributing the minimum amount required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, it is our practice to contribute the maximum allowable amount as defined by section 404 of the Internal Revenue Code. We contributed \$7.0 million to the defined benefit retirement plan in June 2012. We do not expect to make any additional contributions in 2012.

Table of Contents

We also have two supplemental nonqualified, noncontributory, retirement benefit plans for selected management employees. The plans provide for benefits that supplement those provided by our other retirement plans. We contributed \$4.7 million to these supplemental nonqualified, noncontributory, retirement benefit plans in June 2012. We do not expect to make any additional contributions in 2012.

Net pension cost includes the following components:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$1,040 | \$896 | \$3,120 | \$2,688 |
| Interest cost | 647 | 614 | 1,942 | 1,843 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (569) | (474) | (1,708) | (1,422) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (11) | (10) | (32) | (31) |
| Amortization of unrecognized loss | 868 | 652 | 2,603 | 1,956 |
| Net pension cost | \$1,975 | \$1,678 | \$5,925 | \$5,034 |

Other Postretirement Benefits

We provide certain postretirement health care, dental, and life insurance benefits for employees who may become eligible for these benefits. We contributed \$1.0 million to the postretirement benefit plan in June 2012. We expect to contribute up to an additional \$3.4 million to the postretirement benefit plan in December 2012.

Net postretirement cost includes the following components:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$1,358 | \$858 | \$4,075 | \$2,573 |
| Interest cost | 388 | 321 | 1,164 | 964 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (254) | (184) | (762) | (553) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 31 | 78 | 93 | 235 |
| Amortization of unrecognized loss | 134 | 55 | 401 | 165 |
| Net postretirement cost | \$1,657 | \$1,128 | \$4,971 | \$3,384 |

Defined Contribution Plan

We also sponsor a defined contribution retirement savings plan. Participation in this plan is available to substantially all employees. We match employee contributions up to certain predefined limits based upon eligible compensation and the employee's contribution rate. The cost of this plan was \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.4 million and \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The measurement of fair value is based on a three-tier hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

Table of Contents

Our assets and liabilities measured at fair value subject to the three-tier hierarchy at September 30, 2012, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents — cash equivalents | \$18,121 | \$9,115 | \$— |
| Mutual funds — fixed income securities | 21,232 | — | — |
| Mutual funds — equity securities | 1,602 | — | — |
| Financial liabilities measured on a recurring basis: | | | |
| Interest rate swap derivatives | — | (33,295) |) — |
| Total | \$40,955 | \$(24,180) |) \$— |

Our assets and liabilities measured at fair value subject to the three-tier hierarchy at December 31, 2011, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Financial assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents — cash equivalents | \$15,004 | \$34,246 | \$— |
| Mutual funds — fixed income securities | 15,551 | — | — |
| Mutual funds — equity securities | 1,107 | — | — |
| Financial liabilities measured on a recurring basis: | | | |
| Interest rate swap derivatives | — | (24,258) |) — |
| Total | \$31,662 | \$9,988 |) \$— |

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we held certain assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

The assets consist of investments recorded within cash and cash equivalents and other long-term assets, including investments held in a trust associated with our supplemental nonqualified, noncontributory, retirement benefit plans for selected management employees that are classified as trading securities. Our Level 1 investments included in cash equivalents consist of money market mutual funds and common and collective trusts that are administered similar to money market funds recorded at cost plus accrued interest to approximate fair value. Our mutual funds consist primarily of publicly traded mutual funds for which market prices are readily available. Changes in the observed trading prices and liquidity of money market funds are monitored as additional support for determining fair value, and losses are recorded in earnings if fair value falls below recorded cost. The cash and cash equivalents that are classified as a Level 2 investment consist of deposits held with financial institutions that are then invested by the financial institution in money market mutual funds and common and collective trusts that are administered similar to money market funds. The underlying money market funds and common and collective trusts are recorded at cost plus accrued interest.

The liabilities related to derivatives consist of interest rate swaps discussed in Note 5. The fair value of our interest rate swap derivatives as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is determined based on a discounted cash flow method using LIBOR swap rates which are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

We also held non-financial assets that are required to be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These consist of goodwill and intangible assets. We did not record any impairment charges on long-lived assets and no other

significant events occurred requiring non-financial assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value (subsequent to initial recognition) during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For additional information on our goodwill and intangible assets, please refer to the notes to the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in our Form 10-K for such period and to Note 4 of this Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt

Based on the borrowing rates obtained from third party lending institutions currently available for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities from active markets, the fair value of our consolidated long-term debt and debt maturing within one year, excluding revolving credit agreements and our term loan credit agreement, was \$3,042.4 million and \$2,862.6 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These fair values represent Level 2 under the three-tier hierarchy described above. The total book value of our consolidated long-term debt and debt maturing within one year, excluding revolving credit agreements and our term loan credit agreement, was \$2,544.3 million and \$2,444.0 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Revolving Credit Agreements and Term Loan Credit Agreement

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had a consolidated total of \$514.3 million and \$201.1 million, respectively, outstanding under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement, which are variable rate loans. The fair value of these loans approximates book value based on the borrowing rates currently available for variable rate loans obtained from third party lending institutions. These fair values represent Level 2 under the three-tier hierarchy described above.

Trade Accounts Receivables and Payables

As of September 30, 2012, our accounts receivable and accounts payable balances approximate fair value due to their short term nature.

11. MICHIGAN CORPORATE INCOME TAX

On May 25, 2011, the Michigan Business Tax (“MBT”) was repealed and replaced with the Michigan Corporate Income Tax (“CIT”), effective January 1, 2012. Under the CIT, corporations such as ITC Holdings are taxed at a rate of 6.0% on federal taxable income apportioned to Michigan, subject to certain adjustments. In addition to the traditional income tax, the MBT had also included a modified gross receipts tax and allowed for deductions and credits for certain activities, none of which are part of the CIT. The change in Michigan tax law resulted in a reduction of income tax provision of \$4.6 million during 2011. Additionally, we recorded regulatory assets for this change in tax law. Recovery of the Michigan CIT regulatory asset requires FERC authorization upon us making a filing under Section 205 of the FPA to demonstrate that the costs to be recovered are just and reasonable.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Environmental Matters

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ operations are subject to federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, which impose limitations on the discharge of pollutants into the environment, establish standards for the management, treatment, storage, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials and of solid and hazardous wastes, and impose obligations to investigate and remediate contamination in certain circumstances. Liabilities to investigate or remediate contamination, as well as other liabilities concerning hazardous materials or contamination, such as claims for personal injury or property damage, may arise at many locations, including formerly owned or operated properties and sites where wastes have been treated or disposed of, as well as at properties currently owned or operated by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. Such liabilities may arise even where the contamination does not result from noncompliance with applicable environmental laws. Under a number of environmental laws, such liabilities may also be joint and several, meaning that a party can be held responsible for more than its share of the liability involved, or even the entire share. Although environmental requirements generally have become more stringent and compliance with those requirements more expensive, we are not aware of any specific developments that would increase our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ costs for such compliance in a manner that would be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ assets and operations also involve the use of materials classified as hazardous, toxic or otherwise dangerous. Many of the properties our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries own or operate have been used for many years, and include older facilities and equipment that may be more likely than newer ones to contain or be made from such materials. Some of these properties include aboveground or underground storage tanks and associated piping. Some of them

Table of Contents

also include large electrical equipment filled with mineral oil, which may contain or previously have contained PCBs. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' facilities and equipment are often situated close to or on property owned by others so that, if they are the source of contamination, other's property may be affected. For example, aboveground and underground transmission lines sometimes traverse properties that our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries do not own, and, at some of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' transmission stations, transmission assets (owned or operated by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries) and distribution assets (owned or operated by our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' transmission customer) are commingled.

Some properties in which our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries have an ownership interest or at which they operate are, and others are suspected of being, affected by environmental contamination. Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are not aware of any pending or threatened claims against them with respect to environmental contamination, or of any investigation or remediation of contamination at any properties, that entail costs likely to materially affect them. Some facilities and properties are located near environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands.

Claims have been made or threatened against electric utilities for bodily injury, disease or other damages allegedly related to exposure to electromagnetic fields associated with electric transmission and distribution lines. While our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries do not believe that a causal link between electromagnetic field exposure and injury has been generally established and accepted in the scientific community, if such a relationship is established or accepted, the liabilities and costs imposed on our business could be significant. We are not aware of any pending or threatened claims against our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries for bodily injury, disease or other damages allegedly related to exposure to electromagnetic fields and electric transmission and distribution lines that entail costs likely to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Litigation

We are involved in certain legal proceedings before various courts, governmental agencies and mediation panels concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. These proceedings include certain contract disputes, regulatory matters and pending judicial matters. We cannot predict the final disposition of such proceedings. We regularly review legal matters and record provisions for claims that are considered probable of loss. The resolution of pending proceedings is not expected to have a material effect on our operations or consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are resolved.

Michigan Sales and Use Tax Audit

The Michigan Department of Treasury conducted a sales and use tax audit of ITCTransmission for the audit period April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008 and has denied ITCTransmission's use of the industrial processing exemption from use tax it has taken beginning January 1, 2007. ITCTransmission has certain administrative and judicial appeal rights.

ITCTransmission believes that its utilization of the industrial processing exemption is appropriate and intends to defend itself against the denial of such exemption. However, it is reasonably possible that the assessment of additional use tax could be sustained after all administrative appeals and litigation have been exhausted.

The amount of use tax liability associated with the exemptions taken by ITCTransmission through September 30, 2012 is estimated to be approximately \$13.1 million, which includes approximately \$3.7 million assessed for the audit period April 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008, including interest. In the event it becomes appropriate to record additional use tax liability relating to this matter, ITCTransmission would record the additional use tax primarily as an increase to the cost of property, plant and equipment, as the majority of purchases for which the exemption was taken relate to equipment purchases associated with capital projects. METC has also taken the industrial processing exemption, estimated to be approximately \$11.0 million for periods still subject to audit since 2006. These higher use tax expenses would be passed on to ITCTransmission's and METC's customers as the amounts are included as components of net revenue requirements and resulting rates.

FERC Audit of ITC Midwest

Certain staff of the FERC ("FERC audit staff") have conducted an audit of ITC Midwest's compliance with certain of the FERC's regulations and the conditions established in the 2007 FERC order approving the acquisition of the transmission assets of IP&L by ITC Midwest. On September 30, 2011, the FERC issued an order that identified

certain findings and recommendations of FERC audit staff relating to specific aspects of the accounting treatment for the acquisition which requires adjustments to ITC Midwest's annual revenue requirement calculations and corresponding refunds. On October 31, 2011, ITC Holdings and ITC Midwest filed a request for hearing with the FERC to contest the findings relating to the accounting treatment for the acquisition, which was granted. On May 11, 2012, the FERC issued an order that upheld the FERC audit staff position

Table of Contents

regarding the accounting treatment for the acquisition. As a result, ITC Midwest filed a compliance plan in July 2012 that calculates the effect on its revenue requirements. On September 28, 2012, ITC Midwest filed a refund report with the FERC including the amount expected to be refunded in the 2013 rates.

ITC Transmission and METC have applied an accounting treatment for their respective acquisitions similar to ITC Midwest, and ITC Transmission and METC expect to file compliance plans with FERC subsequent to FERC accepting the refund methodology in the ITC Midwest compliance plan. As a result of the FERC order, ITC Midwest, ITC Transmission and METC recorded an aggregate estimated liability for the refund and related interest of \$13.1 million during the second quarter of 2012, which includes the amounts from the refund report filed with the FERC described above. The estimate of the regulatory liability was recorded in other current liabilities and consisted of a reduction in revenues of \$11.0 million, a reduction of AFUDC equity of \$0.9 million and an increase in interest expense of \$1.2 million accrued through September 30, 2012 in these condensed consolidated financial statements, which resulted in a total reduction of net income after tax of \$8.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The refund amounts are limited to 2010 and earlier periods and remain subject to FERC acceptance. We do not believe the ultimate resolution of this matter will differ materially from the estimates recorded during the second quarter of 2012.

ITC Midwest Project Commitment

In the Minnesota regulatory proceeding to approve ITC Midwest's December 2007 acquisition of the transmission assets of IP&L, ITC Midwest agreed to build a certain project in Iowa, the 345 kV Salem-Hazelton line, and made a commitment to use commercially reasonable best efforts to complete the project prior to December 31, 2011. In the event ITC Midwest is found to have failed to meet this commitment, the allowed 12.38% rate of return on the actual equity portion of its capital structure would be reduced to 10.39% until such time as ITC Midwest completes the project, and ITC Midwest would refund with interest any amounts collected since the close date of the transaction that exceeded what would have been collected if the 10.39% return on equity had been used. Certain regulatory approvals were needed from the Iowa Utilities Board ("IUB") before construction of the project could commence, but due to the IUB's case schedule, these approvals were not received until the second quarter of 2011. As a result of the delay in the receipt of the necessary regulatory approvals, the project was not completed by December 31, 2011. The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission is monitoring the status of the project, and ITC Midwest is providing it with periodic status updates about the project and other information about transmission system conditions, as requested in a May 15, 2012 Order. We believe we used commercially reasonable best efforts to meet the December 31, 2011 deadline and will continue to pursue completion of the project using our commercially reasonable best efforts. Therefore, we believe the likelihood of any material effect from this matter is remote.

Nonconsolidated Variable Interest Entity

In April 2012, we executed a new agreement with Utility Lines Construction Services, Inc. ("ULCS"), which is a division of Asplundh Tree Expert Co., to perform the majority of maintenance for all of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. The agreement between us and ULCS contains a variable component related to a cost-plus arrangement which is a consideration for consolidation; however, we are not the primary beneficiary of the variable interest under the agreement. Additionally, we are not subject to risk of loss from ULCS' operations and have not provided, nor will we provide, any significant financial support other than contractual payments. We have evaluated the agreement for possible consolidation, including review of qualitative factors such as the length and terms of the agreement, and have concluded that ULCS is not required to be consolidated in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

13. ENTERGY TRANSACTION

As of December 4, 2011, Entergy and ITC Holdings executed definitive agreements ("transaction agreements") under which Entergy will divest and then merge its electric transmission business with a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings ("Entergy Transaction"). Entergy's electric transmission business consists of approximately 15,800 miles of interconnected transmission lines at voltages of 69 kV and above and associated substations across its utility service territory in the Mid-South.

The terms of the transaction agreements call for Entergy to divest its electric transmission business to a newly-formed entity, Mid South TransCo LLC ("Mid South TransCo"), and Mid South TransCo's subsidiaries, and distribute the equity interests in Mid South TransCo to Entergy's shareholders in the form of a tax-free spin-off. Mid South TransCo will

then merge with a newly-created merger subsidiary of ITC Holdings in an all-stock, Reverse Morris Trust transaction, and will survive the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings. Prior to the merger, we expect to effectuate a recapitalization, which may take the form of a one-time special dividend to ITC Holdings' pre-merger shareholders, a repurchase of ITC

Table of Contents

Holdings common stock from its shareholders, or a combination of a special dividend and share repurchase. The merger will result in shareholders of Entergy receiving approximately 50.1% of the shares of pro forma ITC Holdings in exchange for their shares of Mid South TransCo, with existing shareholders of ITC Holdings owning the remaining approximately 49.9% of the combined company. In addition, Entergy will receive gross cash proceeds of \$1.775 billion from indebtedness that will be incurred by Mid South TransCo and its subsidiaries prior to the merger and assumed under the acquisition. Completion of the transaction is expected in 2013 subject to the satisfaction of certain closing conditions, including the receipt of necessary approvals of Entergy's retail regulators, the FERC and ITC Holdings' shareholders.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we expensed external legal, advisory and financial services fees of \$5.6 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, and certain internal labor and related costs of approximately \$1.9 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, related to the Entergy Transaction recorded primarily within general and administrative expenses. The external and internal costs related to the Entergy Transaction are not included as components of revenue requirement at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries as they were incurred at ITC Holdings. Per the transaction agreements, prior to completion of the Entergy Transaction, there are certain restrictions on our ability to pay dividends other than those paid in the ordinary course of business with record dates and payment dates consistent with our past practice and, if elected, a one-time special dividend to ITC Holdings' pre-merger shareholders in accordance with the transaction agreements. Management does not expect the restrictions to have an impact on our ability to pay dividends at the current level in the foreseeable future.

14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We identify reportable segments based on the criteria set forth by the FASB regarding disclosures about segments of an enterprise, including the regulatory environment of our subsidiaries and the business activities performed to earn revenues and incur expenses. The following tables show our financial information by reportable segment:

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| (in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING REVENUES: | | | | |
| Regulated Operating Subsidiaries | \$214,821 | \$191,320 | \$608,950 | \$555,838 |
| ITC Holdings and other | 151 | 142 | 455 | 334 |
| Intercompany eliminations | (171) | (159) | (516) | (385) |
| Total Operating Revenues | \$214,801 | \$191,303 | \$608,889 | \$555,787 |
| | | | | |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES: | | | | |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Regulated Operating Subsidiaries | \$111,849 | \$89,733 | \$307,067 | \$269,672 |
| ITC Holdings and other | (32,328) | (23,055) | (90,756) | (69,484) |
| Total Income Before Income Taxes | \$79,521 | \$66,678 | \$216,311 | \$200,188 |
| | | | | |
| NET INCOME: | | | | |
| (in thousands) | | | | |
| Regulated Operating Subsidiaries (a) | \$69,537 | \$55,911 | \$190,576 | \$164,444 |
| ITC Holdings and other | 51,183 | 44,024 | 139,620 | 129,022 |
| Intercompany eliminations | (69,537) | (55,911) | (190,576) | (164,444) |
| Total Net Income | \$51,183 | \$44,024 | \$139,620 | \$129,022 |

Table of Contents

| TOTAL ASSETS: | September | December |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| (in thousands) | 30, | 31, |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Regulated Operating Subsidiaries | \$5,286,512 | \$4,711,274 |
| ITC Holdings and other | 3,145,217 | 2,845,182 |
| Reconciliations / Intercompany Eliminations (b) | (3,050,557) | (2,733,090) |
| Total Assets | \$5,381,172 | \$4,823,366 |

(a) In December 2011, MTH was converted into a limited liability company which is treated as a corporation for tax purposes. Prior to December 31, 2011, METC was organized as a single-member limited liability company that was a disregarded entity for federal income tax purposes. METC was treated as a branch of MTH, which was taxed as a multiple-partner limited partnership for federal income tax purposes. Since METC and MTH, its immediate parent, filed as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, they were exempt from federal income taxes. As a result, METC did not record a provision for federal income taxes in its statements of operations or record amounts for federal deferred income tax assets or liabilities on its statements of financial position prior to December 31, 2011. METC now records federal and state income taxes since the operating entity is no longer held by a partnership. The Regulated Operating Subsidiaries segment includes the allocation of taxes for METC for all periods presented.

(b) Reconciliation of total assets results primarily from differences in the netting of deferred tax assets and liabilities at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries as compared to the classification in our condensed consolidated statements of financial position.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Our reports, filings and other public announcements contain certain statements that describe our management's beliefs concerning future business conditions, plans and prospects, growth opportunities and the outlook for our business and the electric transmission industry based upon information currently available. Such statements are "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Wherever possible, we have identified these forward-looking statements by words such as "will," "may," "anticipates," "believes," "intends," "estimates," "expects," "projects" and similar phrases. These forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions our management believes are reasonable. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause our actual results, performance and achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these statements, including, among others, the risks and uncertainties listed in Item 1A Risk Factors of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and the following:

Certain elements of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' cost recovery through rates can be challenged, which could result in lowered rates and/or refunds of amounts previously collected and thus have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. We have also made certain commitments to federal and state regulators with respect to, among other things, our rates in connection with recent acquisitions (including ITC Midwest's acquisition of IP&L's electric transmission assets) that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' actual capital expenditures may be lower than planned, which would decrease expected rate base and therefore our revenues and earnings. In addition, we expect to invest in strategic development opportunities to improve the efficiency and reliability of the transmission grid, but we cannot assure you that we will be able to initiate or complete any of these investments.

The regulations to which we are subject may limit our ability to raise capital and/or pursue acquisitions, development opportunities or other transactions or may subject us to liabilities.

Changes in federal energy laws, regulations or policies could impact cash flows and could reduce the dividends we may be able to pay our stockholders.

If the amounts billed for transmission service for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' transmission systems are lower than expected, the timing of collection of our revenues would be delayed.

Each of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries depends on its primary customer for a substantial portion of its revenues, and any material failure by those primary customers to make payments for transmission services would adversely affect our revenues and our ability to service our debt obligations and affect our ability to pay dividends.

A significant amount of the land on which our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' assets are located is subject to easements, mineral rights and other similar encumbrances. As a result, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries must comply with the provisions of various easements, mineral rights and other similar encumbrances, which may adversely impact their ability to complete construction projects in a timely manner.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries contract with third parties to provide services for certain aspects of their businesses. If any of these agreements are terminated, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries may face a shortage of labor or replacement contractors to provide the services formerly provided by these third parties.

Hazards associated with high-voltage electricity transmission may result in suspension of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' operations or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to environmental regulations and to laws that can give rise to substantial liabilities from environmental contamination.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory requirements, including reliability standards; contract filing requirements; reporting, recordkeeping and accounting requirements; and transaction approval requirements. Violations of these requirements, whether intentional or unintentional, may result in penalties that, under some circumstances, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats, including cyber attacks or threats, or the escalation of military activity in response to such attacks or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial condition and cash flows.

ITC Holdings is a holding company with no operations, and unless we receive dividends or other payments from our subsidiaries, we may be unable to pay dividends and fulfill our other cash obligations.

We are highly leveraged and our dependence on debt may limit our ability to fulfill our debt obligations and/or to obtain additional financing.

Certain provisions in our debt instruments limit our financial flexibility.

Adverse changes in our credit ratings may negatively affect us.

Provisions in our Articles of Incorporation and bylaws, Michigan corporate law and our debt agreements may impede efforts by our shareholders to change the direction or management of our company.

Provisions in our Articles of Incorporation restrict market participants from voting or owning 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our capital stock.

We may be unable to satisfy the conditions or obtain the approvals required to complete the Entergy Transaction or such approvals may contain material restrictions or conditions.

If completed, the Entergy Transaction may not be successful or achieve its anticipated benefits.

The merger agreement contains provisions that may discourage other companies from trying to acquire us.

Failure to complete the Entergy Transaction could adversely affect the market price of ITC Holdings common stock as well as our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Investors holding shares of ITC Holdings common stock immediately prior to the completion of the Entergy Transaction will, in the aggregate, have a significantly reduced ownership and voting interest in us after the Entergy Transaction and will exercise less influence over management.

We are required to abide by potentially significant restrictions which could limit our ability to undertake certain corporate actions (such as the issuance of ITC Holdings common stock or the undertaking of a merger or consolidation) that otherwise could be advantageous.

Other risk factors discussed herein and listed from time to time in our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Because our forward-looking statements are based on estimates and assumptions that are subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control or are subject to change, actual results could be materially different and any or all of our forward-looking statements may turn out to be wrong. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and can be affected by assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Many factors mentioned in our discussion in this report will be important in determining future results. Consequently, we cannot assure you that our expectations or forecasts expressed in such forward-looking statements will be achieved. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update any of our forward-looking or other statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

OVERVIEW

Through our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, we operate high-voltage systems in Michigan's Lower Peninsula and portions of Iowa, Minnesota, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas and Oklahoma that transmit electricity from generating stations to local distribution facilities connected to our systems. Our business strategy is to operate, maintain and invest in transmission infrastructure in order to enhance system integrity and reliability, to reduce transmission constraints and to upgrade the transmission networks to support new generating resources interconnecting to our transmission systems. We also are pursuing development projects not within our existing systems, which are also intended to improve overall grid reliability, reduce transmission constraints and facilitate interconnections of new generating resources, as well as enhance competitive wholesale electricity markets.

As electric transmission utilities with rates regulated by the FERC, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries earn revenues through tariff rates charged for the use of their electric transmission systems by our customers, which include investor-owned utilities, municipalities, cooperatives, power marketers and alternative energy suppliers. As independent transmission companies, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are subject to rate regulation only by the FERC. The rates charged by our

Table of Contents

Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are established using cost-based formula rate templates as discussed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under “— Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism.”

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ primary operating responsibilities include maintaining, improving and expanding their transmission systems to meet their customers’ ongoing needs, scheduling outages on system elements to allow for maintenance and construction, maintaining appropriate system voltages and monitoring flows over transmission lines and other facilities to ensure physical limits are not exceeded.

We derive nearly all of our revenues from providing electric transmission service over our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries’ transmission systems to investor-owned utilities such as Detroit Edison, Consumers Energy and IP&L, and to other entities such as alternative electricity suppliers, power marketers and other wholesale customers that provide electricity to end-use consumers and from transaction-based capacity reservations on our transmission systems.

Significant recent matters that influenced our financial position and results of operations and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or may affect future results include:

Our capital investment of \$626.2 million at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries (\$173.6 million, \$113.6 million, \$266.1 million and \$72.9 million at ITCTransmission, METC, ITC Midwest and ITC Great Plains, respectively) for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, resulting primarily from our focus on improving system reliability, increasing system capacity and upgrading the transmission network to support new generating resources;

Debt issuances and borrowings under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement in 2012 and 2011 to fund capital investment at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, resulting in higher interest expense;

Final recognition of revenues for the ITCTransmission rate freeze revenue deferral in May 2011, described below under “Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism — ITCTransmission’s Rate Freeze Revenue Deferral”;

The proposed transaction with Entergy in which Entergy will divest and merge its electric transmission business with a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings (“Entergy Transaction”) as discussed below under “Capital Project Updates and Other Recent Developments.” For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we expensed external legal, advisory and financial services fees of \$5.6 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, and certain internal labor costs of approximately \$1.9 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, related to the Entergy Transaction recorded within general and administrative expenses. Certain amounts of the external costs are not expected to be deductible for income tax purposes. The external and internal costs related to the Entergy Transaction are not included as components of revenue requirement as they were incurred at ITC Holdings. The transaction fees are expected to continue to be significant until the transaction is consummated. Completion of the transaction is anticipated to occur in 2013; and

Recognition of the estimated refund obligation at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries for the FERC audit of ITC Midwest, as discussed in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under “Commitments and Contingent Liabilities — FERC Audit of ITC Midwest.”

These items are discussed in more detail throughout Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Capital Project Updates and Other Recent Developments

Thumb Loop Project

The Thumb Loop Project is located in ITCTransmission’s region and consists of a 140-mile, double-circuit 345 kV transmission line and related substations that will serve as the backbone of the transmission system needed to accommodate future wind development projects in the Michigan counties of Tuscola, Huron, Sanilac and St. Clair. Construction activities commenced for the Thumb Loop Project in April 2012. Through September 30, 2012, ITCTransmission has invested \$135.2 million in the Thumb Loop Project. We estimate ITCTransmission will invest approximately \$510 million to complete construction of the project.

ITC Great Plains

KETA Project

The KETA Project is a 225-mile transmission line that will run between Spearville, Kansas and Axtell, Nebraska. The portion of the transmission line that ITC Great Plains is responsible for constructing will run approximately 174 miles and the first phase was completed in June 2012. Through September 30, 2012, ITC Great Plains has invested \$145.6

million in

24

Table of Contents

the KETA Project. We estimate ITC Great Plains will invest approximately \$160 million to complete construction of its portion of the project.

Kansas V-Plan Project

The Kansas V-Plan Project is a 200-mile long transmission line that will run between Spearville and Wichita, Kansas. ITC Great Plains is responsible for constructing approximately a 120-mile portion of the project from Spearville to Medicine Lodge, Kansas. Through September 30, 2012, ITC Great Plains has invested \$15.6 million in the Kansas V-Plan Project and construction commenced in October 2012. We estimate that ITC Great Plains will invest approximately \$300 million to complete construction of its portion of the project.

Regulatory Assets

As of September 30, 2012, we have recorded a total of \$14.0 million of regulatory assets for start-up and development expenses incurred by ITC Great Plains, which include certain costs incurred for the KETA Project and the Kansas V-Plan Project prior to construction. In March 2011, we recognized a regulatory asset for the Kansas V-Plan Project of \$2.0 million and a corresponding reduction to operating expenses, which increased net income by \$1.3 million. Based on ITC Great Plains' FERC application under which authority to recognize these regulatory assets was sought and the related FERC order, ITC Great Plains will be required to make an additional filing with the FERC under Section 205 of the FPA in order to recover these start-up, development and pre-construction expenses in future rates.

Development Bonuses

During the third quarter of 2012, we recognized general and administrative expenses of \$2.0 million for bonuses for the successful completion of the Hugo to Valliant Project and the first phase of the KETA Project. It is reasonably possible that future development-related bonuses may be authorized and awarded for these or other development projects.

North Central Region Development

The Green Power Express project consists of transmission line segments that would facilitate the movement of power from the Dakotas, Minnesota and Iowa to Midwest load centers that demand energy. After the announcement of the Green Power Express project, MISO undertook its Regional Generation Outlet Study ("RGOS") to promote investments in new regional transmission infrastructure and implemented its Multi-Value Project ("MVP") cost allocation methodology. MISO's RGOS and MVP processes provide a channel for the Green Power Express project, or its underlying segments, to move forward through the planning approval process as MVPs. In December 2011, MISO approved the first portfolio of MVPs identified through the RGOS which includes portions of four MVPs that we intend to build, own and operate. The four MVPs are located in south central Minnesota, portions of Iowa, southwest Wisconsin, and northeast Missouri.

Green Power Express has certain FERC-authorized transmission investment incentives, including the establishment of a regulatory asset for start-up and development costs of Green Power Express and certain pre-construction costs for the project to be recovered pursuant to a future FERC filing. The amount of future capital expenditures by Green Power Express, if any, is currently unknown.

The total development expenses through September 30, 2012 at Green Power Express that may be recoverable through regulatory assets were approximately \$5.5 million, which have been recorded to expenses in the periods in which they were incurred. If in a future reporting period it becomes probable that future revenues will result from the authorization to recover these development expenses, we will recognize the regulatory assets. No regulatory assets or construction work in progress for Green Power Express have been recorded as of September 30, 2012.

Entergy Transaction

As of December 4, 2011, Entergy and ITC Holdings executed definitive agreements ("transaction agreements") under which Entergy will divest and then merge its electric transmission business with a wholly-owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings. Entergy's electric transmission business consists of approximately 15,800 miles of interconnected transmission lines at voltages of 69 kV and above and associated substations across its utility service territory in the mid-south.

The Entergy Transaction would expand our network across the entire middle of the continental United States from the Great Lakes to the Gulf Coast. It will approximately double our asset base, add sizable new markets to our operating and development portfolio, and diversify and enhance growth prospects through an expanded footprint.

Table of Contents

The terms of the transaction agreements call for Entergy to divest its electric transmission business to a newly-formed entity, Mid South TransCo LLC (“Mid South TransCo”), and Mid South TransCo’s subsidiaries, and distribute the equity interests in Mid South TransCo to Entergy’s shareholders in the form of a tax-free spin-off. Mid South TransCo will then merge with a newly-created merger subsidiary of ITC Holdings in an all-stock, Reverse Morris Trust transaction, and will survive the merger as a wholly owned subsidiary of ITC Holdings. Prior to the merger, we expect to effectuate a recapitalization which will not exceed \$700 million and which may take the form of a one-time special dividend to ITC Holdings’ pre-merger shareholders, a repurchase of ITC Holdings common stock from its shareholders, or a combination of a special dividend and share repurchase. The merger will result in shareholders of Entergy receiving approximately 50.1% of the shares of pro forma ITC Holdings in exchange for their shares of Mid South TransCo, with existing shareholders of ITC Holdings owning the remaining approximately 49.9% of the combined company. In addition, Entergy will receive gross cash proceeds of \$1.775 billion from indebtedness that will be incurred by Mid South TransCo and its subsidiaries prior to the merger. This indebtedness will be assumed by us upon completion of the transaction.

Completion of the Entergy Transaction is expected in 2013 subject to the satisfaction of certain closing conditions, including receipt of the necessary approvals of Entergy’s retail regulators, the FERC and ITC Holdings’ shareholders. There can be no assurance the Entergy Transaction will be consummated. See “Item 1A Risk Factors — We may be unable to satisfy the conditions or obtain the approvals required to complete the Entergy Transaction or such approvals may contain material restrictions or conditions” of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Per the transaction agreements, prior to completion of the Entergy Transaction, there are certain restrictions on our ability to pay dividends other than those paid in the ordinary course of business with record dates and payment dates consistent with our past practice and, if elected, a one-time special dividend to ITC Holdings’ pre-merger shareholders in accordance with the transaction agreements. Management does not expect the restrictions to have an impact on our ability to pay dividends at the current level in the foreseeable future.

Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries calculate their revenue requirements using cost-based formula rate templates and are effective without the need to file rate cases with the FERC, although the rates are subject to legal challenge at the FERC. Under these formula rate templates, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries recover expenses and earn a return on and recover investments in property, plant and equipment on a current rather than a lagging basis. The formula rate templates utilize forecasted expenses, property, plant and equipment, point-to-point revenues, network load at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries and other items for the upcoming calendar year to establish projected revenue requirements for each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries that are used as the basis for billing for service on their systems from January 1 to December 31 of that year. Our cost-based formula rate templates include a true-up mechanism, whereby our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries compare their actual revenue requirements to their billed revenues for each year to determine any over- or under-collection of revenue. The over- or under-collection typically results from differences between the projected revenue requirement used as the basis for billing and actual revenue requirement at each of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, or from differences between actual and projected monthly peak loads at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. In the event billed revenues in a given year are more or less than actual revenue requirements, which are calculated primarily using information from that year’s FERC Form No. 1, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries will refund or collect additional revenues, with interest, within a two-year period such that customers pay only the amounts that correspond to actual revenue requirements for that given period. This annual true-up ensures that our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries recover their allowed costs and earn their allowed returns.

ITCTransmission’s Rate Freeze Revenue Deferral

ITCTransmission’s rate freeze revenue deferral resulted from the regulatory authority to bill and collect certain revenue requirements calculated for historical periods. This revenue deferral resulted from the difference between the revenue ITCTransmission would have collected under its cost based formula rate and the actual revenue ITCTransmission received for the period from February 28, 2003 through December 31, 2004. The rate freeze revenue deferral was amortized for ratemaking on a straight-line basis for five years from June 2006 through May 2011 and was included in

ITC Transmission's revenue requirement for those periods. Revenues of \$5.0 million relating to the rate freeze revenue deferral were recognized in January through May 2011 which resulted in a reduction to after-tax net income of approximately \$3.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2011.

Table of Contents

Revenue Accruals — Effects of Monthly Peak Loads

For our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries, monthly peak loads are used for billing network revenues, which currently is the largest component of our operating revenues. One of the primary factors that impacts the revenue accrual/deferral at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is actual monthly peak loads experienced as compared to those forecasted in establishing the annual network transmission rate. Under their formula rates that contain a true-up mechanism, our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries accrue or defer revenues to the extent that their actual revenue requirement for the reporting period is higher or lower, respectively, than the amounts billed relating to that reporting period. For example, to the extent that amounts billed are less than the revenue requirement for a reporting period, a revenue accrual is recorded for the difference. To the extent that amounts billed are more than the revenue requirement for a reporting period, a revenue deferral is recorded for the difference. Although monthly peak loads do not impact operating revenues recognized, network load affects cash flows from transmission service. The monthly peak load of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is affected by many variables, but is generally impacted by weather and economic conditions and is seasonally shaped with higher load in the summer months when cooling demand is higher.

The following table sets forth the monthly peak loads during the last three calendar years.

Monthly Peak Load (in MW) (a)

| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | | | |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | ITC Midwest | |
| January | 7,264 | 6,145 | 2,789 | 7,326 | 6,045 | 2,777 | 7,255 | 5,947 | 2,838 |
| February | 6,919 | 5,754 | 2,592 | 7,261 | 6,058 | 2,854 | 6,998 | 5,800 | 2,782 |
| March | 6,941 | 5,708 | 2,437 | 6,946 | 5,715 | 2,520 | 6,620 | 5,376 | 2,517 |
| April | 6,403 | 5,083 | 2,264 | 6,483 | 5,416 | 2,458 | 6,501 | 5,112 | 2,425 |
| May | 8,947 | 6,461 | 2,665 | 10,119 | 7,239 | 2,773 | 9,412 | 7,240 | 3,052 |
| June | 11,676 | 8,627 | 3,459 | 11,488 | 8,231 | 3,403 | 9,722 | 7,128 | 3,207 |
| July | 12,222 | 9,358 | 3,643 | 12,321 | 9,389 | 3,621 | 11,451 | 8,498 | 3,422 |
| August | 11,087 | 8,520 | 3,477 | 11,158 | 8,538 | 3,614 | 11,082 | 8,422 | 3,399 |
| September | 9,094 | 7,308 | 3,411 | 11,288 | 7,966 | 3,466 | 10,817 | 7,353 | 2,804 |
| October | | | | 6,642 | 5,479 | 2,559 | 6,725 | 5,414 | 2,447 |
| November | | | | 7,101 | 6,061 | 2,556 | 6,930 | 5,734 | 2,674 |
| December | | | | 7,206 | 6,071 | 2,734 | 7,824 | 6,526 | 2,928 |
| Total | | | | 105,339 | 82,208 | 35,335 | 101,337 | 78,550 | 34,495 |

(a) Our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are each part of a joint rate zone. The load data presented is for all transmission owners in the respective joint rate zone and is used for billing network revenues. Each of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries makes up the most significant portion of the rates or revenue requirement billed to network load within their respective joint rate zone.

Capital Investment and Operating Results Trends

We expect a general trend of increases in revenues and earnings for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries over the long term. The primary factor that is expected to continue to increase our actual revenue requirements in future years is our anticipated capital investment in excess of depreciation as a result of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' long-term capital investment programs to improve reliability, increase system capacity and upgrade the transmission network to support new generating resources, as well as the Entergy Transaction. In addition, our capital investment efforts relating to development initiatives are based on establishing an ongoing pipeline of projects that will position us for long-term growth. Investments in property, plant and equipment, when placed in service upon completion of a capital project, are added to the rate base of our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries. Additionally, construction work in progress balances for our KETA Project and Kansas V-Plan Project are included in rate base prior to being placed in service, and are expected to result in significant revenues in 2012 compared to 2011.

Our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries strive for high reliability of their systems and to improve system accessibility for all generation resources. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires the FERC to implement mandatory electric transmission reliability standards to be enforced by an Electric Reliability Organization. Effective June 2007, the FERC approved mandatory adoption of certain reliability standards and approved enforcement actions for violators, including fines of up to \$1.0 million

Table of Contents

per day. The NERC was assigned the responsibility of developing and enforcing these mandatory reliability standards. We continually assess our transmission systems against standards established by the NERC, as well as the standards of applicable regional entities under the NERC that have been delegated certain authority for the purpose of proposing and enforcing reliability standards. We believe we meet the applicable standards in all material respects, although further investment in our transmission systems and an increase in maintenance activities will likely be needed to maintain compliance, improve reliability and address any new standards that may be promulgated.

On October 7, 2010, the NERC issued a recommendation for transmission owners to inspect their transmission systems in order to verify their facility ratings methodology is based on actual field conditions. Each of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is assessing its system as a response to the recommendation. There are likely to be costs associated with the assessment and potential system modifications to mitigate instances where actual field conditions necessitate a facility rating that is unacceptable to the reliable operation of the transmission system. The costs and timing for any mitigation will be determined after the assessment is completed, and the appropriate mitigation is planned and may result in significant operating expenses and/or capital investment. These operating expenses and capital investments would be recovered through higher revenue requirements under the cost-based formula rates of our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries.

We also assess our transmission systems against our own planning criteria that are filed annually with the FERC. Based on our planning studies, we see needs to make capital investments to (1) rebuild existing property, plant and equipment; (2) upgrade the system to address demographic changes that have impacted transmission load and the changing role that transmission plays in meeting the needs of the wholesale market, including accommodating the siting of new generation or to increase import capacity to meet changes in peak electrical demand; (3) relieve congestion in the transmission systems; and (4) achieve state and federal policy goals, such as renewable generation portfolio standards. The following table shows our expected and actual capital investment for each of the Regulated Operating Subsidiaries and our development initiatives:

| (in millions) | Five-Year Capital Investment Program 2012-2016 (a) | Actual Capital | Forecasted Capital |
|----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | Investment for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (b) | Investment for the year ending December 31, 2012 |
| Source of Investment | | | |
| ITC Transmission | \$739 | \$173.6 | \$210 — 220 |
| METC | 581 | 113.6 | 150 — 160 |
| ITC Midwest | 1,128 | 266.1 | 320 — 335 |
| ITC Great Plains (c) | 343 | 72.9 | 100 — 110 |
| Development (d) | 1,390 | — | — |
| Total | \$4,181 | \$626.2 | \$780 — 825 |

The current five-year capital investment program does not include anticipated expenditures related to the Entergy (a) Transaction. The investments in property, plant and equipment would be expected to increase significantly upon closing of that transaction.

Capital investment amounts differ from cash expenditures for property, plant and equipment included in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows due in part to differences in construction costs incurred compared (b) to cash paid during that period, as well as payments for major equipment inventory that are included in cash expenditures but not included in capital investment until transferred to construction work in progress, among other factors.

ITC Great Plains' investment program includes the KETA Project, Kansas V-Plan Project and Hugo-to-Valliant (c) Project.

(d) Includes expenditures to construct various development projects such as our portions of the four MISO MVPs.

Investments in property, plant and equipment could vary due to, among other things, the impact of actual loads, forecasted loads, regional economic conditions, weather conditions, union strikes, labor shortages, material and equipment prices and availability, our ability to obtain financing for such expenditures, if necessary, limitations on the amount of construction that can be undertaken on our systems at any one time, regulatory approvals for reasons relating to rate construct, environmental, siting, regional planning, cost recovery or other issues or as a result of legal proceedings and variances between estimated and actual costs of construction contracts awarded. In addition, investments in transmission network upgrades for generator interconnection projects could change from prior estimates significantly due to changes in the MISO queue for generation projects, the generator's potential failure to meet the various criteria of Attachment FF of the MISO tariff for the project to qualify as a refundable network upgrade, and other factors beyond our control.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations and Variances

| (in thousands) | Three months ended | | Increase (decrease) | Percentage increase (decrease) | | Nine months ended | | Increase (decrease) | Percentage increase (decrease) | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2011 | | | | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2011 | | | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | \$214,801 | \$191,303 | \$23,498 | 12.3 | % | \$608,889 | \$555,787 | \$53,102 | 9.6 | % |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | 31,544 | 37,365 | (5,821) | (15.6) | % | 90,314 | 92,486 | (2,172) | (2.3) | % |
| General and administrative | 27,906 | 19,046 | 8,860 | 46.5 | % | 78,791 | 54,915 | 23,876 | 43.5 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 27,466 | 23,898 | 3,568 | 14.9 | % | 78,453 | 70,338 | 8,115 | 11.5 | % |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 14,721 | 12,456 | 2,265 | 18.2 | % | 44,186 | 39,620 | 4,566 | 11.5 | % |
| Other operating (income) and expenses — net | (190) | (295) | 105 | (35.6) | % | (586) | (611) | 25 | (4.1) | % |
| Total operating expenses | 101,447 | 92,470 | 8,977 | 9.7 | % | 291,158 | 256,748 | 34,410 | 13.4 | % |
| OPERATING INCOME | 113,354 | 98,833 | 14,521 | 14.7 | % | 317,731 | 299,039 | 18,692 | 6.3 | % |
| OTHER EXPENSES (INCOME) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | 38,924 | 37,248 | 1,676 | 4.5 | % | 116,918 | 110,002 | 6,916 | 6.3 | % |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | (5,622) | (4,469) | (1,153) | 25.8 | % | (15,800) | (12,078) | (3,722) | 30.8 | % |
| Other income | (884) | (1,417) | 533 | (37.6) | % | (2,171) | (2,136) | (35) | 1.6 | % |
| Other expense | 1,415 | 793 | 622 | 78.4 | % | 2,473 | 3,063 | (590) | (19.3) | % |
| Total other expenses (income) | 33,833 | 32,155 | 1,678 | 5.2 | % | 101,420 | 98,851 | 2,569 | 2.6 | % |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 79,521 | 66,678 | 12,843 | 19.3 | % | 216,311 | 200,188 | 16,123 | 8.1 | % |
| INCOME TAX PROVISION | 28,338 | 22,654 | 5,684 | 25.1 | % | 76,691 | 71,166 | 5,525 | 7.8 | % |
| NET INCOME | \$51,183 | \$44,024 | \$7,159 | 16.3 | % | \$139,620 | \$129,022 | \$10,598 | 8.2 | % |

Operating Revenues

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three months ended September 30, 2011

The following table sets forth the components of and changes in operating revenues:

| (in thousands) | 2012 | | Percentage | 2011 | | Increase (decrease) | Percentage increase (decrease) | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---|
| | Amount | Percentage | | Amount | Percentage | | | | |
| Network revenues | \$171,703 | 79.9 | % | \$160,933 | 84.1 | % | \$10,770 | 6.7 | % |
| Regional cost sharing revenues | 30,821 | 14.3 | % | 22,599 | 11.8 | % | 8,222 | 36.4 | % |
| Point-to-point | 4,859 | 2.3 | % | 4,416 | 2.3 | % | 443 | 10.0 | % |
| Scheduling, control and dispatch | 4,408 | 2.1 | % | 2,186 | 1.1 | % | 2,222 | 101.6 | % |

Edgar Filing: ITC Holdings Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|---|
| Other | 3,010 | 1.4 | % 1,169 | 0.7 | % 1,841 | 157.5 | % |
| Total | \$214,801 | 100.0 | % \$191,303 | 100.0 | % \$23,498 | 12.3 | % |

Network revenues increased due primarily to higher revenue requirements at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Higher net revenue requirements were due primarily to higher rate bases associated with higher balances of property, plant and equipment in-service and higher recoverable expenses due to higher operating expenses.

Regional cost sharing revenues increased due primarily to additional capital projects that have been identified by MISO as eligible for regional cost sharing and these projects being placed in-service. We continue to receive regional cost sharing revenues and the amounts could increase in the near future, including revenues associated with projects that have been or are expected to be approved for regional cost sharing.

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2011

The following table sets forth the components of and changes in operating revenues:

| (in thousands) | 2012 | | 2011 | | Increase (decrease) | Percentage increase (decrease) | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | | | |
| Network revenues | \$491,312 | 80.7 | % \$467,360 | 84.1 | % \$23,952 | 5.1 | % |
| Regional cost sharing revenues | 85,537 | 14.0 | % 62,638 | 11.3 | % 22,899 | 36.6 | % |
| Point-to-point | 13,446 | 2.2 | % 12,127 | 2.2 | % 1,319 | 10.9 | % |
| Scheduling, control and dispatch | 11,487 | 1.9 | % 9,014 | 1.6 | % 2,473 | 27.4 | % |
| Other | 7,107 | 1.2 | % 4,648 | 0.8 | % 2,459 | 52.9 | % |
| Total | \$608,889 | 100.0 | % \$555,787 | 100.0 | % \$53,102 | 9.6 | % |

Network revenues increased due primarily to higher revenue requirements at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in net revenue requirements was due primarily to higher rate bases associated with higher balances of property, plant and equipment in-service and higher recoverable expenses due to higher operating expenses, partially offset by the final amortization of the ITC Transmission rate freeze revenue deferral in May 2011 and the recognition of the FERC audit refund totaling \$11.0 million during the second quarter of 2012 as discussed in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities — FERC Audit of ITC Midwest."

Regional cost sharing revenues increased due primarily to additional capital projects that have been identified by MISO as eligible for regional cost sharing and these projects being placed in-service. We continue to receive regional cost sharing revenues and the amounts could increase in the near future, including revenues associated with projects that have been or are expected to be approved for regional cost sharing.

Operating revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 include the network revenue deferrals and regional cost sharing revenue accruals (deferrals) as calculated below:

| Line | Item | ITC Transmission | METC | ITC Midwest | ITC Great Plains | Total net revenue deferrals |
|------|--|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | | | |
| 1 | Estimated net revenue requirement (a) | \$ 177,989 | \$ 146,728 | \$ 175,501 | \$ 2,066 | |
| 2 | Network revenues billed (b) | 198,297 | 155,234 | 184,908 | 3,170 | |
| 3 | Network revenue deferrals (line 1 — line 2) | (20,308) | (8,506) | (9,407) | (1,104) | |
| 4 | Regional cost sharing revenue accruals (deferrals) (c) | (2,026) | (7,174) | 57 | (5,446) | |
| 5 | Total net revenue deferrals (line 3 + line 4) | \$ (22,334) | \$ (15,680) | \$ (9,350) | \$ (6,550) | \$ (53,914) |

The calculation of net revenue requirement for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries is described in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 under "Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition (a) and Results of Operations — Cost-Based Formula Rates with True-Up Mechanism — Revenue Requirement Calculation." The amount is estimated for each reporting period until such time as FERC Form No. 1's are completed for our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries.

(b) Network revenues billed at our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries are calculated based on the joint zone monthly network peak load multiplied by their effective monthly network rates for 2012 of \$2.188 per kW/month, \$2.409 per kW/month and \$6.797 per kW/month applicable to ITC Transmission, METC and ITC Midwest, respectively, adjusted for the actual number of days in the month less amounts recovered or refunded associated with our MISO Regulated Operating Subsidiaries 2010 true-up adjustments. The rates for 2012 include amounts for the collection and refund of the 2010 revenue accruals and deferrals and related accrued interest and the revenues billed in 2012 associated with the 2010 revenue accruals and deferrals are not included in these amounts. On August 31, 2012, ITC Transmission's projected network rate of \$2.147 per kW/month, METC's projected

network rate of \$2.5263 per kW/month and ITC Midwest's projected network rate of \$7.805 per kW/month, in each case for the period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, were posted by MISO. Our rates at ITC Great Plains are billed ratably each month based on its annual projected net revenue requirement. ITC Great Plains' projected revenue requirement of \$44,150,946 for the period from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 was posted by SPP on August 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Regional cost sharing revenues are subject to a separate true-up mechanism whereby our Regulated Operating (c)Subsidiaries accrue or defer revenues for any over- or under-recovery. The related revenue accruals or deferrals associated with regional cost sharing revenues are included in the regional cost sharing revenue amounts.

Operating Expenses

Operation and maintenance expenses

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three months ended September 30, 2011

Operation and maintenance expenses decreased by \$2.6 million due to a decrease in expenses associated with transmission overhead lines surveys, \$2.6 million due to lower field and site maintenance expenses, \$1.4 million due to lower tower maintenance expenses and \$1.0 million due to lower relay work for certain preventative maintenance activities. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.8 million increase due to higher vegetation management requirements.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2011

Operation and maintenance expenses decreased by \$3.9 million due to lower field and site maintenance expenses, \$2.7 million due to lower relay work for certain preventative maintenance activities, \$1.5 million due to lower substation maintenance expenses, \$0.8 million due to lower tower maintenance expenses and \$0.8 million due to a decrease in expenses associated with transmission overhead lines surveys. These decreases were partially offset by a \$7.9 million increase due to higher vegetation management requirements.

General and administrative expenses

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three months ended September 30, 2011

General and administrative expenses increased due to legal, advisory and consulting services for the Entergy Transaction of \$5.6 million, higher compensation-related expenses of \$2.3 million partially due to development bonuses paid in the third quarter and higher professional services of \$1.2 million.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2011

General and administrative expenses increased due to legal, advisory and financial services fees for the Entergy Transaction of \$12.1 million, higher compensation-related expenses of \$5.1 million partially due to development bonuses paid during the third quarter, \$2.1 million due to the recognition of the Kansas V-Plan Project regulatory asset which reduced expenses in 2011 and did not reoccur in 2012, higher general business expenses of \$2.0 million primarily related to increased information technology support and \$1.6 million due to higher professional services.

Depreciation and amortization expenses

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three and nine months ended September 30, 2011

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased due primarily to a higher depreciable base resulting from property, plant and equipment additions.

Taxes other than income taxes

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three and nine months ended September 30, 2011

Taxes other than income taxes increased due to higher property tax expenses due primarily to our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries' 2011 capital additions, which are included in the assessments for 2012 personal property taxes.

Other Expenses (Income)

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three months ended September 30, 2011

Interest expense increased due primarily to additional interest expense associated with higher borrowing levels under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement.

Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction ("AFUDC equity") increased due primarily to higher capital expenditures during the period.

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2011

Interest expense increased due primarily to additional interest expense associated with higher borrowing levels under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement in addition to the issuance of ITC Midwest's \$100.0 million of 3.50% First Mortgage Bonds, Series E, due January 2027 in January 2012. In addition, the increase is partly attributable to the recognition of interest expense related to the FERC audit refund during the second quarter of 2012 as discussed in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements under "Commitments and Contingent Liabilities — FERC Audit of ITC Midwest."

AFUDC equity increased due primarily to higher capital expenditures during the period.

Income Tax Provision

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to three months ended September 30, 2011

Our effective tax rates for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were 35.6% and 34.0%, respectively. Our effective tax rate differs from our 35% statutory federal income tax rate due primarily to state income taxes as well as the tax effects of AFUDC equity which reduces the effective tax rate. The amount of income tax expense relating to AFUDC equity is recognized as a regulatory asset and not included in the income tax provision. We recorded a state income tax provision of \$1.8 million (net of federal deductibility) during the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a state income tax provision of \$0.5 million (net of federal deductibility) for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to nine months ended September 30, 2011

Our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 35.5%. Our effective tax rate differs from our 35% statutory federal income tax rate due primarily to state income taxes as well as the tax effects of AFUDC equity which reduces the effective tax rate. The amount of income tax expense relating to AFUDC equity is recognized as a regulatory asset and not included in the income tax provision. We recorded a state income tax provision of \$4.2 million (net of federal deductibility) during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to a state income tax provision of \$4.1 million (net of federal deductibility) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We expect to fund our future capital requirements with cash from operations, our existing cash and cash equivalents and amounts available under our revolving credit agreements (described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements). In addition, we may from time to time secure debt and equity funding in the capital markets, although we can provide no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all. We expect that our capital requirements will arise principally from our need to:

Fund capital expenditures at our Regulated Operating Subsidiaries and, following the close of the Entergy Transaction, capital expenditures at the subsidiaries of Mid South TransCo. Our plans with regard to property, plant and equipment investments are described in detail above under "— Capital Investment and Operating Results Trends."

Fund business development expenses and related capital expenditures. We are pursuing development activities for transmission projects which will continue to result in the incurrence of development expenses and could result in significant capital expenditures.

Fund working capital requirements.

Fund our debt service requirements. We expect our interest payments to increase each year as a result of additional debt we expect to incur to fund our capital expenditures.

Fund dividends or any recapitalization to holders of our common stock.

Fund contributions to our retirement plans, as described in Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition to the expected capital requirements above, any adverse determinations relating to the contingencies described in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements would result in additional capital requirements.

We believe that we have sufficient capital resources to meet our currently anticipated short-term needs. We rely on both internal and external sources of liquidity to provide working capital and to fund capital investments. We expect to continue to utilize our revolving credit agreements and our cash and cash equivalents as needed to meet our short-term cash requirements.

Table of Contents

As described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, in 2012, we entered into a new revolving credit agreement at ITC Midwest for \$175.0 million and a new term loan credit agreement for \$200.0 million at ITC Holdings. The new revolving credit agreement and term loan credit agreement increased our borrowing capacity by \$259.0 million. During 2011, we entered into new revolving credit agreements at ITC Holdings, ITC Great Plains, ITCTransmission and METC in the amount of \$200.0 million, \$150.0 million, \$100.0 million and \$100.0 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, we had consolidated indebtedness under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement of \$514.3 million, with unused capacity under the agreements of \$410.7 million.

As of September 30, 2012, we have approximately \$650 million of debt maturing within one year at ITC Holdings and ITCTransmission. The maturing debt is expected to be refinanced with long-term debt. In addition, for our long-term capital requirements and the funding of the anticipated \$700 million recapitalization in connection with the Entergy Transaction, we expect that we will need to obtain additional debt financing. Certain of our capital projects could be delayed in the event we experience difficulties in accessing capital. We expect to be able to obtain such additional financing for both our short and long-term requirements, as needed, in amounts and upon terms that will be reasonably satisfactory to us.

Credit Ratings

Credit ratings by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies are an important component of our liquidity profile. Credit ratings relate to our ability to issue debt securities and the cost to borrow money, and should not be viewed as an indication of future stock performance or a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold securities. Ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Our current credit ratings are displayed in the following table. An explanation of these ratings may be obtained from the respective rating agency.

| | Issuer | Issuance | Standard and Poor's Ratings Services (a) | Moody's Investor Service, Inc. (b) |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------|--|------------------------------------|
| ITC Holdings | Senior Unsecured Notes | | BBB | Baa2 |
| ITCTransmission | First Mortgage Bonds | | A | A1 |
| METC | Senior Secured Notes | | A | A1 |
| ITC Midwest | First Mortgage Bonds | | A | A1 |
| ITC Great Plains | Unsecured Credit Facility | | BBB+ | Baa1 |

(a) On December 5, 2011, Standard and Poor's Financial Services upgraded the credit ratings of ITC Holdings, ITCTransmission, METC, ITC Midwest and ITC Great Plains debt. All of the ratings have a stable outlook.

(b) Moody's Investor Service, Inc. updated their credit opinions on April 20, 2012 and made no changes to the credit ratings. All of the ratings have a stable outlook.

Covenants

Our debt instruments include senior notes, secured notes, first mortgage bonds and revolving credit agreements containing numerous financial and operating covenants that place significant restrictions, which are described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements and in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants and in the event of a downgrade in our credit ratings, none of the covenants would be directly impacted, although the borrowing costs under our revolving credit agreements would increase.

Cash Flows From Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$258.4 million and \$286.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to an increase in payments of operating expenses of \$39.8 million, including legal, advisory, consulting and financial services fees for the Entergy Transaction during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. These decreases were partially offset by a decrease in interest payments (net of interest capitalized) of

\$14.4 million and an increase in cash received for operating revenues of \$3.6 million.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$643.0 million and \$391.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in cash used in investing activities was due primarily to higher investments in property, plant and equipment as we executed our capital investment plan described under “— Overview — Capital Investment and Operating Results Trends.”

Cash Flows From Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$356.3 million and \$57.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was due primarily to the proceeds of \$100.0 million received from the issuance of ITC Midwest's 3.50% First Mortgage Bonds, Series E, the net increase of \$233.0 million in amounts outstanding under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement. These increases were partially offset by higher net payments of \$19.8 million associated with refundable deposits for transmission network upgrades as well as a decrease of \$13.2 million due to the issuance of common stock upon exercise of outstanding options during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Our contractual obligations are described in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material changes to that information during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, other than amounts borrowed under our revolving credit agreements, the ITC Holdings term loan credit agreement and the issuance on January 19, 2012 of \$100.0 million of 3.50% First Mortgage Bonds, Series E, due January 2027 by ITC Midwest as described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements which were not included in the contractual obligations table as of December 31, 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires the application of appropriate technical accounting rules and guidance, as well as the use of estimates. The application of these policies necessarily involves judgments regarding future events. These estimates and judgments, in and of themselves, could materially impact the condensed consolidated financial statements and disclosures based on varying assumptions, as future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and even the best estimates routinely require adjustment. The accounting policies discussed in “Item 7 — Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Critical Accounting Policies” in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 are considered by management to be the most important to an understanding of the consolidated financial statements because of their significance to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations or because their application places the most significant demands on management’s judgment and estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. There have been no material changes to that information during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt

Based on the borrowing rates currently available for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of our consolidated long-term debt and debt maturing within one year, excluding revolving credit agreements and our term loan credit agreement, was \$3,042.4 million at September 30, 2012. The total book value of our consolidated long-term debt and debt maturing within one year, excluding revolving credit agreements and our term loan credit agreement, was \$2,544.3 million at September 30, 2012. We performed an analysis calculating the impact of changes in interest rates on the fair value of long-term debt and debt maturing within one year, excluding revolving credit agreements and our term loan credit agreement, at September 30, 2012. An increase in interest rates of 10% (from 7.0% to 7.7%, for example) at September 30, 2012 would decrease the fair value of debt by \$62.6 million, and a decrease in interest rates of 10% at September 30, 2012 would increase the fair value of debt by \$66.6 million at that date.

Table of Contents

Revolving Credit Agreements and Term Loan Credit Agreement

At September 30, 2012, we had a consolidated total of \$514.3 million outstanding under our revolving credit agreements and term loan credit agreement, which are variable rate loans and fair value approximates book value. A 10% increase or decrease in borrowing rates under the revolving credit agreements compared to the weighted average rates in effect at September 30, 2012 would increase or decrease the total interest expense by \$0.7 million, respectively, for an annual period on a constant borrowing level of \$514.3 million.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We use derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swap contracts, to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. The use of these financial instruments mitigates exposure to these risks and the variability of our operating results. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The interest rate swaps manage interest rate risk associated with the forecasted future issuance of fixed-rate debt related to the expected refinancing of the \$267.0 million ITC Holdings 5.25% Senior Notes, due July 15, 2013, prior to its maturity.

Other

As described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, we are subject to commodity price risk from market price fluctuations, and to credit risk primarily with Detroit Edison, Consumers Energy and IP&L, our primary customers. There have been no material changes in these risks during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, with a company have been detected.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For information regarding risk factors affecting us, see "Item 1A Risk Factors" of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth therein.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table sets forth the repurchases of common stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2012:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased (a) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program (b) | Maximum Number or Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (b) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| July 2012 | 567 | \$72.41 | — | — |
| August 2012 | 16,474 | 71.75 | — | — |
| September 2012 | 1,541 | 73.49 | — | — |
| Total | 18,582 | \$71.92 | — | — |

(a) Shares acquired were delivered to us by employees as payment of tax withholding obligations due to us upon the vesting of restricted stock.

(b) We do not have a publicly announced share repurchase plan.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report (unless otherwise noted to be previously filed, and therefore incorporated herein by reference). Our SEC file number is 001-32576.

| Exhibit No. | Description of Document |
|-------------|--|
| 2.3 | Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2012, among Entergy Corporation, Mid South TransCo LLC, Registrant and ITC Midsouth LLC (formerly known as Ibis Transaction Subsidiary LLC) (filed with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on September 25, 2012) |
| 2.4 | Amendment No. 1 to the Separation Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2012, among Entergy Corporation, Registrant, Mid South TransCo LLC, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Energy New Orleans, Inc. Entergy Texas, Inc. and Entergy Services, Inc. (filed with Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on September 25, 2012) |
| 10.106 | Term Loan Credit Agreement, dated August 23, 2012, among Registrant, various financial institutions and other persons from time to time parties hereto, as the Lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the Lenders, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Barclays Bank PLC, Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, Barclays Bank PLC and Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., as syndication agents and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as documentation agent (filed with Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2012) |
| 10.107 | Amendment and Restatement of the April, 1, 2001 Distribution-Transmission Interconnection Agreement by and between Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC as Transmission Provider and Consumers Energy Company as Local Distribution Company, approved and effective September 25, 2012 as of June 1, 2012 |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Database |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: October 24, 2012

ITC HOLDINGS CORP.

By: /s/ Joseph L. Welch
Joseph L. Welch
President and Chief Executive Officer
(duly authorized officer)

By: /s/ Cameron M. Bready
Cameron M. Bready
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)