KRAFT FOODS INC Form 10-K February 27, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-16483

Kraft Foods Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

(State or other jurisdiction of

52-2284372

(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

Three Lakes Drive, Northfield, Illinois

60093-2753

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 847-646-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Class A Common Stock, no par value

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Note: Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " No x

The aggregate market value of the shares of Class A Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price of such stock on June 30, 2011, was \$62 billion. At January 31, 2012, there were 1,768,235,021 shares of the registrant s Class A Common Stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant s definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with its annual meeting of shareholders expected to be held on May 23, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

Kraft Foods Inc.

		Page No.
Part I		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	10
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	15
Item 2.	Properties	16
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	17
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder	
	Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	18
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	19
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and	
	Results of Operations:	20
	Discussion and Analysis	21
	Critical Accounting Policies	41
	Commodity Trends	46
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	47
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual	
	Obligations	49
	Equity and Dividends	50
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	54
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:	
	Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	55
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	56
	Consolidated Statements of Earnings	
	for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	57
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings	
	for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	58
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010	59
	Consolidated Statements of Equity	
	for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	60
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
	for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	61
T. 0	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	62
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	00
T. 0.4	and Financial Disclosure	98
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	98
Item 9B.	Other Information	98
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	99
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	99
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	
	and Related Stockholder Matters	99
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director	
T. 14	Independence	99
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	100
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	100
	Signatures	104
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on	
	Financial Statement Schedule	S-1
		5 1

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

In this report, Kraft Foods, the Company, we, us and our refers to Kraft Foods Inc. and subsidiaries, and Common Stock refers to Kraft Foods Class A common stock.

i

Forward-looking Statements

This report contains a number of forward-looking statements. Words, and variations of words, such as expect, goals, plans, believe, continue, may, will, and similar expressions are intended to identify our forward-looking statements, including but not limited to those related to our strategy, in particular, our proposed spin-off, expectations for the Global Snacks Business and expectations for the North American Grocery Business; new food law regulations; our employees and representative organizations; our properties; our legal proceedings, including environmental remediation actions; that our spin-off will be tax-free and is subject to conditions; our combination with Cadbury, including, synergies and cost savings; our accounting estimates; our pension plans and other employee benefit plans, including expected contributions, obligations, rates of return and costs; commodity costs; our liquidity, effects on our liquidity and funding sources; our capital expenditures and funding; our revolving credit facility; use of net proceeds of January 2012 notes; our long-term debt covenants and expected funding; effects of guarantees on our liquidity; our aggregate contractual obligations; our 2012 Outlook, including, organic net revenue growth and Operating EPS; and our risk management program, including the use of financial instruments for hedging activities.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, and the cautionary statements contained in the Risk Factors found in this Annual Report on Form 10-K identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, continued volatility of, and sharp increase in, commodity and other input costs, pricing actions, increased competition, our ability to differentiate our products from retailer brands, increased costs of sales, our indebtedness and our ability to pay our indebtedness, unexpected safety or manufacturing issues, regulatory or legal restrictions, actions or delays, unanticipated expenses such as litigation or legal settlement expenses, a shift in our product mix to lower margin offerings, risks from operating internationally, continued consumer weakness, weakness in economic conditions, performance in developing markets and tax law changes. We disclaim and do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement in this report.

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

Kraft Foods is the world s second largest food company with revenues of \$54.4 billion and earnings from continuing operations before income taxes of \$4.8 billion in 2011. Kraft Foods was incorporated in 2000 in the Commonwealth of Virginia. We have approximately 126,000 employees worldwide, and we manufacture and market packaged food products, including biscuits, confectionery, beverages, cheese, convenient meals and various packaged grocery products. We sell our products to consumers in approximately 170 countries. At December 31, 2011, we had operations in more than 80 countries and made our products at 220 manufacturing and processing facilities worldwide. At December 31, 2011, we had net assets of \$35.3 billion and gross assets of \$93.8 billion. We are a member of the Dow Jones Industrial Average, Standard & Poor s 500, the Dow Jones Sustainability Index and the Ethibel Sustainability Index.

At December 31, 2011, our portfolio included twelve brands with annual revenues exceeding \$1 billion each: *Oreo, Nabisco* and *LU* biscuits; *Milka* and *Cadbury* chocolates; *Trident* gum; *Jacobs* and *Maxwell House* coffees; *Philadelphia* cream cheeses; *Kraft* cheeses, dinners and dressings; *Oscar Mayer* meats; and *Tang* powdered beverage. Our portfolio included approximately 80 brands which each generate annual revenues of more than \$100 million.

Because Kraft Foods Inc. is a holding company, our principal source of funds is from our subsidiaries. Our wholly owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their Common Stock.

Reportable Segments

We manage and report operating results through three geographic units: Kraft Foods North America, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets. We manage the operations of Kraft Foods North America and Kraft Foods Europe by product category, and we manage the operations of Kraft Foods Developing Markets by location. Our reportable segments are U.S. Beverages, U.S. Cheese, U.S. Convenient Meals, U.S. Grocery, U.S. Snacks, Canada & North America Foodservice, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets.

As further discussed in Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, to the consolidated financial statements, we use segment operating income to evaluate segment performance and to allocate resources. We believe this measure is most relevant to investors in order to analyze segment results and trends. Segment operating income excludes unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities (which are a component of cost of sales), certain components of our U.S. pension plan cost (which is a component of cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses), general corporate expenses (which are a component of selling, general and administrative expenses) and amortization of intangibles.

During the last three fiscal years, our reportable segments contributed to total segment operating income as reflected below. See Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, for total assets and net revenues by segment.

	00,000,000 For	00,000,000 r the Years Ended Decem	00,000,000 aber 31.
	2011	2010	2009
Kraft Foods North America:			
U.S. Beverages	5.9%	8.4%	9.3%
U.S. Cheese	8.3%	8.9%	12.2%
U.S. Convenient Meals	4.2%	4.0%	4.3%
U.S. Grocery	16.3%	17.3%	21.0%
U.S. Snacks	11.1%	12.6%	13.2%
Canada & N.A. Foodservice	8.9%	8.7%	8.5%
Kraft Foods Europe	18.4%	16.6%	14.4%
Kraft Foods Developing Markets	26.9%	23.5%	17.1%
Total Segment Operating Income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Our brands span six consumer sectors:

Biscuits primarily cookies, crackers and salted snacks

Confectionery primarily chocolate, gum and candy

Beverages primarily coffee, packaged juice drinks and powdered beverages

Cheese primarily natural, processed and cream cheeses

Grocery primarily spoonable and pourable dressings, condiments and desserts

Convenient Meals primarily processed meats and lunch combinations

During 2011, our reportable segments participated in these six consumer sectors as follows:

		Percentage of 2011 Net Revenues by Consumer Sector (1) Confec-					
Segment	Biscuits	tionery	Beverages	Cheese	Grocery	Meals	Total
Kraft Foods North America:							
U.S. Beverages			31.1%				5.5%
U.S. Cheese				49.4%			7.0%
U.S. Convenient Meals						62.8%	6.1%
U.S. Grocery		1.0%			52.9%	22.5%	6.6%
U.S. Snacks	42.8%	6.9%		0.8%	1.1%		11.6%
Canada & N.A.							
Foodservice	7.5%	4.5%	6.1%	21.6%	22.0%	7.2%	9.5%
Total Kraft Foods North America	50.3%	12.4%	37.2%	71.8%	76.0%	92.5%	46.3%
Kraft Foods Europe	21.7%	37.4%	32.6%	15.3%	8.7%	5.1%	24.6%
Kraft Foods Developing Markets	28.0%	50.2%	30.2%	12.9%	15.3%	2.4%	29.1%
Total Kraft Foods	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Consumer Sector Percentage of Total Kraft Foods	22.1%	28.5%	17.8%	14.2%	7.7%	9.7%	100.0%

(1) Percentages were calculated based on U.S. dollars rounded to millions.

Products which contributed 10% or more to consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, were:

	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 2010	00,000,000 2009
Biscuits (cookies and crackers)	19%	19%	23%
Chocolate	18%	17%	11%
Cheese	14%	14%	18%
Coffee	11%	11%	13%
Gum & Candy	10%	10%	

Our major brands within each reportable segment and consumer sector at December 31, 2011 were:

Kraft Foods North America:

U.S. Beverages

Beverages: Maxwell House, Gevalia, Maxwell House International and Yuban coffees; Tassimo hot

beverage system; Capri Sun (under license) and Kool-Aid packaged juice drinks; Kool-Aid, Crystal Light, Tang and Country Time powdered beverages; and MiO liquid concentrate.

U.S. Cheese

Cheese: Kraft and Cracker Barrel natural cheeses; Philadelphia cream cheese; Kraft grated cheeses;

Polly-O and Athenos cheese; Velveeta and Cheez Whiz processed cheeses; Kraft and Deli Deluxe processed cheese slices; and Breakstone s and Knudsen cottage cheese and sour

cream.

U.S. Convenient Meals

Convenient Meals: Oscar Mayer cold cuts, hot dogs and bacon; Lunchables lunch combinations; Boca soy-based

meat alternatives; and Claussen pickles.

U.S. Grocery

Grocery: Jell-O dry packaged desserts; Cool Whip whipped topping; Jell-O refrigerated gelatin and

pudding snacks; *Jet-Puffed* marshmallows; *Kraft* and *Miracle Whip* spoonable dressings; *Kraft* and *Good Seasons* salad dressings; *A.1.* steak sauce; *Kraft* and *Bull s-Eye* barbecue sauces; *Grey Poupon* premium mustards; *Planters* peanut butter; *Shake N Bake* coatings; and *Baker s*

chocolate and baking ingredients.

Convenient Meals: Kraft and Kraft Deluxe macaroni and cheese dinners; Stove Top stuffing mix; Taco Bell Home

Originals (under license) meal kits; and Velveeta shells and cheese dinners.

U.S. Snacks

Biscuits: Oreo, Chips Ahoy!, Newtons, Nilla, Nutter Butter, LU and SnackWell s cookies; Ritz, Premium,

Triscuit, Wheat Thins, Cheese Nips, Flavor Originals, Honey Maid grahams, Teddy Grahams

crackers, Nabisco 100 Calorie Packs; Planters nuts and trail mixes; Handi-Snacks

two-compartment snacks; and Back to Nature granola, cookies, crackers, nuts and fruit & nut

mixes.

Confectionery: Toblerone, Trident, Halls, Stride, Dentyne, Sour Patch Kids, Swedish Fish, Maynards, Bubbas,

Chiclets, Milka bars, and Clorets.

Canada & N.A. Foodservice Canada & N.A Foodservice products span all Kraft Foods North America segments and sectors.

Canadian brand offerings include *Nabob* coffee, *Kraft* peanut butter and *Peek Freans* biscuits, as well as a range of products bearing brand names similar to those marketed in the U.S. The N.A. Foodservice business sells primarily branded products including *Maxwell House* coffee, *Oreo* cookies, *A. I.* steak sauce, and a broad array of *Kraft* sauces, dressings and cheeses.

Kraft Foods Europe:

Biscuits: Oreo, Digestive, Tuc, Mikado (under license), Ourson, Petit Déjeuner, Cracotte, Belin,

Heudebert, Grany, Petit Écolier, Oro, Fonzies, Prince/Principe, Belvita, LU, Pepito, Vitalinea (under license), Milka, Cote d Or, Chips Ahoy!, Liga, Ritz, Fontaneda, Cipster, PiM s,

Granola, Napolitain, Paille D Or, and Pelletier biscuits.

Confectionery: Milka, Marabou, Cote D Or, Toblerone, Freia, Suchard, Lacta, Pavlides, Mirabell, Terry s,

Daim / Dime, Twist, Cadbury Dairy Milk, Roses, Creme Egg, Twirl, Flake, Crunchie, Heroes / Favourites, Wispa, Mini Eggs, Green and Black s, Buttons, Milk Tray, Double Decker, Moro / Boost, Timeout, Trident, Hollywood, Stimorol, Halls, Bassetts, Maynards, Trebor, Carambar, Poulain, La Pie Qui Chante, V6, TNCC, Cadbury Eclairs, Malabar, Bubbas, Bubblicious, and

La Vosgienne.

Beverages: Jacobs, Gevalia, Carte Noire, Jacques Vabre, Kaffee HAG, Grand Mère, Kenco, Saimaza,

Maxwell House, Onko, and Splendid coffees; Tassimo hot beverage system; and Suchard

Express, O Boy and Cadbury chocolate drinks.

Cheese: Dairylea, Sottilette, Osella and El Caserío cheeses; and Philadelphia cream cheese.

Grocery: Kraft pourable and spoonable salad dressings; Miracel Whip spoonable dressings; Royal dry

packaged desserts; and Mirácoli sauces.

Convenient Meals: Lunchables lunch combinations; Mirácoli pasta dinners and sauces; and Simmenthal canned

meats.

Kraft Foods Developing Markets:

Biscuits: Oreo, Chips Ahoy!, Ritz, Club Social, Express, Kraker Bran, Honey Bran, Aveny Bran, Marbu,

Variedad, Pacific, Belvita, Cerealitas, Lucky, Trakinas, Tuc, Mikado (under license), Ourson, Petit Déjeuner, Cracotte, Bolshevik, Prichuda, Jubilee, Major, Merendina, Jacob s, Chipsmore, Biskuat / Tiger, Milka, Hi Calcium Soda, Pépito, PiM s/Delicje, LU, Barny / Gyoeri Edes, Prince/Principe, Utrenne/Jo Regge, U Guan, Premium, San, Jai Gai and Newtons biscuits; and Estrella, Twisties, Cheezels, Chachos, Kar, Lux and Planters nuts and salted

snacks.

Confectionery: Milka, Toblerone, Lacta, Côte d Or, Terrabusi, Kent, Kan, Alpen Gold, Korona, Poiana,

Svoge, Vozdushny, Figaro, Prince Polo / Siesta, Sport / Smash / Jazz / Moreni, Cadbury Dairy Milk, Picnic, 5 Star, Cadbury Heroes / Favourites, Flake, Crunchie, Perk, Old Gold, Freddo, Cherry Ripe, Moro / Boost, Roses, Trident, Halls, Clorets, Bubbas, Dirol, Chiclets, Eclairs, Beldent, Dentyne, Recaldent, Xylicrystal, Falim, TNCC, Tom Tom, Bournville, 3-Bit, Pascall,

Chappies, First, Stride, Stimorol

Beverages: Tang, Bournvita, Clight, Kool-Aid, Fresh, Frisco, Cadbury and Capri Sun beverages; Jacobs,

Maxwell House and Carte Noire coffees.

Cheese: Kraft, Velveeta and Eden processed cheeses; Philadelphia cream cheese; Kraft natural cheese;

and Cheez Whiz processed cheese spread.

Grocery: Royal dry packaged desserts; Kraft spoonable and pourable salad dressings; Miracle Whip

spoonable dressings; Jell-O dessert toppings; Kraft peanut butter; and Vegemite yeast spread.

Convenient Meals: Kraft macaroni and cheese dinners; Velveeta boxed dinners; Lunchables lunch combinations;

and Oscar Mayer cold cuts.

Proposed Spin-Off Transaction

On August 4, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors intends to create two independent public companies: (i) a global snacks business (the Global Snacks Business) and (ii) a North American grocery business (the North American Grocery Business). The Global Snacks Business will consist of our current Kraft Foods Europe and Developing Markets segments as well as our North American snack and confectionery businesses. The North American Grocery Business will primarily consist of our current U.S. Beverages, Cheese, Convenient Meals and Grocery segments, grocery related categories in our Canada & N.A. Foodservice segment as well as the *Planters* and *Corn Nuts* brands and businesses. We expect to create these companies through a U.S. tax-free spin-off of the North American Grocery Business to our shareholders.

The transaction is subject to a number of conditions, including the receipt of regulatory approvals, a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service to ensure the U.S. tax-free status of the spin-off, execution of intercompany agreements, further diligence as appropriate and final approval from our Board of Directors. While our current target is to complete the spin-off before year-end 2012, we cannot assure that the spin-off will be completed on the anticipated timeline or that the terms of the spin-off will not change. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for certain risk factors relating to the proposed spin-off transaction.

Significant Acquisitions and Divestitures

Cadbury Acquisition:

On February 2, 2010, we acquired 71.73% of Cadbury Limited (Cadbury) and as of June 1, 2010, we owned 100% of all outstanding Cadbury shares. The Cadbury acquisition was valued at \$18,547 million, or \$17,503 million net of cash and cash equivalents. We incurred transaction related fees of \$218 million in 2010 and \$40 million in 2009, which we recorded in selling, general and administrative expense. We also incurred acquisition financing fees of \$96 million in 2010, which were recorded in interest and other expenses, net. As a condition of our acquisition, the EU Commission required that we divest certain Cadbury confectionery operations in Poland and Romania. The divestitures were completed in the third quarter of 2010 and generated \$342 million of sale proceeds. The impact of these divestitures was reflected as adjustments within the Cadbury final purchase accounting. During 2010, Cadbury contributed net revenues of \$9,143 million and net earnings of \$530 million from February 2, 2010 through December 31, 2010. We acquired Cadbury to create a global snacks powerhouse and an unrivaled portfolio of brands people love.

Pizza Divestiture:

On March 1, 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of our North American frozen pizza business (Frozen Pizza) to Nestlé USA, Inc. (Nestlé) for \$3.7 billion. The Frozen Pizza business was a component of our U.S. Convenient Meals and Canada & N.A. Foodservice segments. The sale included the *DiGiorno*, *Tombstone* and *Jack* s brands in the U.S., the *Delissio* brand in Canada and the *California Pizza Kitchen* trademark license. It also included two Wisconsin manufacturing facilities (Medford and Little Chute) and the leases for the pizza depots and delivery trucks. Approximately 3,600 of our employees transferred with the business to Nestlé. As a result of the divestiture, we recorded a gain on discontinued operations of \$1,596 million, or \$0.92 per diluted share, in 2010.

See Note 3, Acquisitions and Divestitures, to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on these transactions.

Customers

Our five largest customers accounted for approximately 21% of our net revenues in 2011 compared with 23% in 2010 and 27% in 2009. Our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 29% of our net revenues in 2011 compared with 31% in 2010 and 36% in 2009. Our largest customer, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., accounted for approximately 12% of our net revenues in 2011 compared with 13% in 2010 and 16% in 2009.

Seasonality

Demand for some of our products may be influenced by holidays, changes in seasons or other annual events. However, overall sales of our products are generally evenly balanced throughout the year due to the offsetting nature of demands for our diversified product portfolio.

Competition

We face competition in all aspects of our business. Competitors include large national and international companies and numerous local and regional companies. Some competitors have different profit objectives and some international competitors are less susceptible to currency exchange rates. We also compete with generic products and retailer brands, wholesalers and cooperatives. We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, service, marketing, advertising and price. Moreover, improving our market position or introducing a new product requires substantial advertising and promotional expenditures.

Distribution and Marketing

Our products are generally sold to supermarket chains, wholesalers, supercenters, club stores, mass merchandisers, distributors, convenience stores, gasoline stations, drug stores, value stores and other retail food outlets. In general, the retail trade for food products is consolidating. We distribute our products through distribution centers, satellite warehouses, company-operated and public cold-storage facilities, depots and other facilities. We use a combination of warehouse delivery and direct store delivery and use services of independent sales offices and agents primarily in some of our international locations.

Our marketing efforts are conducted through three principal sets of activities: (i) consumer marketing in on-air, print, outdoor and digital media; (ii) consumer incentives such as coupons and contests; and (iii) trade promotions to support price features, displays and other merchandising of our products by our customers.

Raw Materials and Packaging

We purchase large quantities of commodities, including dairy, coffee, cocoa, wheat, corn products, soybean and vegetable oils, nuts, meat products, and sugar and other sweeteners. In addition, we use significant quantities of plastic, glass and cardboard to package our products, and natural gas for our factories and warehouses. We continuously monitor worldwide supply and cost trends of these commodities so we can act quickly to obtain ingredients and packaging needed for production.

Significant cost items in chocolate confectionery products are cocoa and sugar. We purchase cocoa and sugar on world markets, and their prices are affected by the quality and availability of supply and changes in the value of the pound sterling and the U.S. dollar relative to certain other currencies. Cocoa bean, cocoa butter and sugar costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. We purchase our dairy raw material requirements, including milk and cheese, from independent third parties such as agricultural cooperatives and independent processors. The prices for milk and other dairy products are substantially influenced by market supply and demand, as well as by government programs. Dairy commodity costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. The most significant cost item in coffee products is green coffee beans, which we purchase on world markets. Green coffee bean prices are affected by the quality and availability of supply, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to certain other currencies and consumer demand for coffee products. Green coffee bean costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. Significant cost items in our biscuit and grocery products are grains (wheat, corn and soybean oil). Grain costs have experienced significant volatility and have increased from 2010 to 2011 due largely to burgeoning global demand for food, livestock feed and biofuels such as ethanol and biodiesel.

During 2011, our aggregate commodity costs increased primarily as a result of higher costs of coffee, dairy, grains and oils, packaging materials, other raw materials, meat and nuts. Our commodity costs increased approximately \$2.6 billion in 2011 and approximately \$1.0 billion in 2010 compared to the prior year. We expect the higher cost environment and commodity cost volatility to continue in 2012.

The prices for raw materials and agricultural materials used in our products are affected by external factors such as weather conditions, commodity market conditions, currency fluctuations and the effects of governmental agricultural programs. We use hedging techniques to limit the impact of price fluctuations in our principal raw materials. However, we do not fully hedge against changes in commodity prices, and these strategies may not protect us from increases in specific raw material costs. While the prices of our principal raw materials can be expected to fluctuate, we believe there will continue to be an adequate supply of the raw materials we use and that they will generally remain available from numerous sources.

Intellectual Property

Our trademarks are material to our business. We protect our trademarks by registration or otherwise in the U.S. and in other markets where we sell our products. Trademark protection continues in some countries for as long as the mark is used and in other countries for as long as it is registered. Registrations generally are for renewable, fixed terms. From time to time, we grant third parties licenses to use one or more of our trademarks in particular locations. Similarly, we sell some products under brands we license from third parties, including at December 31, 2011:

Capri Sun packaged juice drinks for sale in the U.S. and Canada; and Taco Bell Home Originals Mexican style food products for sale in U.S. grocery stores.

We also own numerous patents worldwide. We consider our portfolio of patents, patent applications, patents licenses under patents owned by third parties, proprietary trade secrets, technology, know how processes and related intellectual property rights to be of material importance to our operations. While our patent portfolio is material to our business, the loss of one patent or a group of related patents would not have a material adverse effect on our business. We have either been issued patents or have patent applications pending for a number of current and potential products, including products licensed to others. Patents issued, or applied for, cover inventions ranging from basic packaging techniques to processes relating to specific products and to the products themselves. Our issued patents extend for varying periods according to the date of patent application filing or grant and the legal term of patents in the various countries where patent protection is obtained. The actual protection afforded by a patent, which can vary from country to country, depends upon the type of patent, the scope of its coverage as determined by the patent office or courts in the country, and the availability of legal remedies in the country.

Research and Development

We pursue four objectives in research and development: product safety and quality; growth through new products; superior consumer satisfaction; and reduced costs. We have approximately 3,300 food scientists, chemists and engineers working primarily in 15 key technology centers: East Hanover, New Jersey; Glenview, Illinois; Madison, Wisconsin; Tarrytown, New York; Whippany, New Jersey; Banbury, United Kingdom; Bournville, United Kingdom; Curitiba, Brazil; Eysins, Switzerland; Paris, France; Melbourne, Australia; Mexico City, Mexico; Munich, Germany; Reading, United Kingdom; and Suzhou, China. These technology centers are equipped with pilot plants and state-of-the-art instruments. Research and development expense was \$702 million in 2011, \$583 million in 2010, and \$466 million in 2009.

Regulation

Our U.S. food products and packaging materials are primarily regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or, for products containing meat and poultry, the U.S. Food Safety and Inspection Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These agencies enact and enforce regulations relating to the manufacturing, distribution and labeling of food products. We supported the Food Safety Modernization Act, a 2011 law that provided additional food safety authority to the FDA. We do not expect the cost of complying with that law, and implementing regulations expected over the next two to three years, to be material.

In addition, various states regulate our U.S. operations by licensing plants, enforcing federal and state standards for selected food products, grading food products, inspecting plants and warehouses, regulating trade practices related to the sale of dairy products and imposing their own labeling requirements on food products.

Many of the food commodities we use in our U.S. operations are subject to governmental agricultural programs. These programs have substantial effects on prices and supplies and are subject to periodic U.S. Congressional and administrative review.

All of our non-U.S. based operations are subject to local and national regulations, some of which are similar to those applicable to our U.S. operations. For example, in the European Union, we must comply with requirements that apply to labeling, packaging, food content, pricing, marketing and advertising and related areas.

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to various laws and regulations in and outside of the U.S. relating to the protection of the environment. In the U.S., the laws and regulations include the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and Superfund (the environmental program established in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act to address abandoned hazardous waste sites), which imposes joint and severable liability on each potentially responsible party. At December 31, 2011, our subsidiaries were involved in 68 active actions in the U.S. under the Superfund legislation (and other similar actions and legislation) related to our current operations and certain former or divested operations for which we retain liability.

Outside the U.S., we are subject to applicable multi-national, national and local environmental laws and regulations in the countries in which we do business. Outside the U.S., we have specific programs across our business units designed to meet applicable environmental compliance requirements.

As of December 31, 2011, we accrued an immaterial amount for environmental remediation. Based on information currently available, we believe that the ultimate resolution of existing environmental remediation actions and our compliance in general with environmental laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our financial results. See Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, for additional information on our environmental remediation programs.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, we employed approximately 126,000 people worldwide. Labor unions represent approximately 27% of our 36,000 U.S. employees. Most of the unionized workers at our U.S. locations are represented under contracts with the Bakery, Confectionery, Tobacco Workers and Grain Millers International Union; the United Food and Commercial Workers International Union; and the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. These contracts expire at various times throughout the next several years. Outside the U.S., labor unions or workers councils represent approximately 58% of our 90,000 employees. Our business units are subject to various laws and regulations relating to their relationships with their employees. These laws and regulations are specific to the location of each business unit. In addition, in accordance with European Union requirements, we have established a European Works Council composed of management and elected members of our workforce. We believe that our relationships with employees and their representative organizations are generally good.

Foreign Operations

We sell our products to consumers in approximately 170 countries. At December 31, 2011, we had operations in more than 80 countries and made our products at 220 manufacturing and processing facilities worldwide. We generated approximately 60% of our 2011 net revenues, 57% of our 2010 net revenues and 49% of our 2009 net revenues outside the U.S. Refer to Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, for additional information on our foreign operations. Also, for a discussion of risks attendant to our foreign operations, see Risk Factors in Item 1A.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following are our executive officers as of February 27, 2012:

Name	Age	Title
Irene B. Rosenfeld	58	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
David A. Brearton	51	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
John T. Cahill	54	Executive Chairman Designate, North American Grocery
Timothy P. Cofer	43	Executive Vice President and President, Kraft Foods Europe
Marc S. Firestone	52	Executive Vice President, Corporate and Legal Affairs and General Counsel
Sanjay Khosla	60	Executive Vice President and President, Developing Markets
Karen J. May	53	Executive Vice President, Global Human Resources
Timothy R. McLevish	56	Executive Vice President
Daniel P. Myers	56	Executive Vice President, Supply Chain
Sam B. Rovit	54	Executive Vice President, Strategy
Jean E. Spence	54	Executive Vice President, Research, Development and Quality
W. Anthony Vernon	56	Executive Vice President and President, Kraft Foods North America
Mary Beth West	49	Executive Vice President and Chief Category and Marketing Officer

Ms. Rosenfeld was appointed Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of Kraft Foods in June 2006 and Chairman of the Board in March 2007. Prior to that, she had been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Frito-Lay, a division of PepsiCo, Inc., a food and beverage company, from September 2004 to June 2006. Previously, Ms. Rosenfeld was employed continuously by Kraft Foods, and its predecessor, General Foods Corporation, in various capacities from 1981 until 2003, including President of Kraft Foods North America and, before that, President of Operations, Technology, Information Systems of Kraft Foods, Canada, Mexico, and Puerto Rico. She is also a Trustee of Cornell University.

Mr. Brearton was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective May 9, 2011. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President, Operations and Business Services from January 2008 to May 2011, Executive Vice President, Global Business Services and Strategy from April 2006 to December 2007 and Senior Vice President of Business Process Simplification and Corporate Controller from February 2005 to April 2006. He previously served as Senior Vice President, Finance for Kraft Foods International. Mr. Brearton joined Kraft Foods in 1984. Mr. Brearton also serves on the Board of Directors of Feeding America.

Mr. Cahill was designated Executive Chairman North American Grocery effective January 2, 2012. Prior to that, he served as an Industrial Partner at Ripplewood Holdings LLC, a private equity firm, from 2008 to 2011. Mr. Cahill spent nine years with The Pepsi Bottling Group, Inc., a beverage manufacturing company, most recently as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 2003 to 2006 and Executive Chairman until 2007. Mr. Cahill previously spent nine years with PepsiCo, Inc., a food and beverage company, in a variety of leadership positions. Mr. Cahill also serves on the Boards of Directors of Colgate-Palmolive Company and Legg Mason, Inc.

Mr. Cofer was appointed Executive Vice President and President, Kraft Foods Europe effective August 15, 2011. Prior to that, he served as Senior Vice President, Global Chocolate Category from June 2010 to August 2011, Senior Vice President, Strategy and Integration from January 2010 to June 2010, President of Pizza from January 2008 to January 2010, and Senior Vice President and General Manager of Oscar Mayer from January 2007 to January 2008. He served as Vice President and General Manager of EU Chocolate from June 2003 to January 2007. Mr. Cofer joined Kraft Foods in 1992.

Mr. Firestone was appointed Executive Vice President, Corporate and Legal Affairs and General Counsel in October 2006. He previously served as Kraft Foods Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary from November 2003 to October 2006. Prior to joining Kraft Foods in 2003, Mr. Firestone served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Philip Morris International, a tobacco company. Mr. Firestone also serves on the Board of Directors of Unilife Corporation. On February 16, 2012, we announced that Mr. Firestone will be leaving the Company in early 2012.

Mr. Khosla was appointed Executive Vice President and President, Developing Markets effective August 1, 2010. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President and President, Kraft Foods International from January 2007 to July 2010. Before joining Kraft Foods, he served as the Managing Director of Fonterra Brands, a dairy company, from 2004 to 2006. Previously, Mr. Khosla spent 27 years with Unilever N.V., a consumer products company, in India, London and Europe. Mr. Khosla also serves on the Boards of Directors of Best Buy Co., Inc. and NIIT Ltd. and is a trustee of the Goodman Theater Company in Chicago.

Ms. May was appointed Executive Vice President, Global Human Resources in October 2005. Prior to that, she was Corporate Vice President, Human Resources for Baxter International Inc., a healthcare company, since February 2001. Ms. May also serves on the Board of Directors of MB Financial Inc.

Mr. McLevish has served as Executive Vice President since August 15, 2011, planning for the proposed separation of Kraft Foods into two independent companies. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from October 2007 to May 2011. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Ingersoll-Rand Company Limited, an industrial company, since June 2002. Mr. McLevish also serves on the Board of Directors of Kennametal Inc.

Mr. Myers joined Kraft Foods as Executive Vice President, Supply Chain in September 2011. Prior to that, he worked for Procter & Gamble, a consumer products company, for 33 years, in a variety of leadership positions, most recently serving as Vice President, Product Supply for P&G s Global Hair Care business from September 2007 to August 2011. Mr. Myers also serves on the Advisory Board of the University of Tennessee s Supply Chain Institute.

Mr. Rovit was appointed Executive Vice President, Strategy effective February 1, 2011. Prior to joining Kraft Foods, he served as a Director of Bain & Co., a management consulting firm, from January 2008 to January 2011 and from 1988 to June 2005. Mr. Rovit served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Swift & Company, a meat processing company, from June 2005 to July 2007.

Ms. Spence was appointed Executive Vice President, Research, Development and Quality in January 2004. Prior to that, Ms. Spence served as Senior Vice President, Research and Development, Kraft Foods North America from August 2003 to January 2004 and Senior Vice President of Worldwide Quality, Scientific Affairs and Compliance for Kraft Foods North America from November 2001 to August 2003. She joined Kraft Foods in 1981. Ms. Spence also serves as a Trustee of Clarkson University and on the Supervisory Board of GEA Group AG.

Mr. Vernon joined Kraft Foods as Executive Vice President and President, Kraft Foods North America in August 2009. Prior to that, he was the Healthcare Industry Partner of Ripplewood Holdings LLC, a private equity firm, since 2006. Mr. Vernon spent 23 years with Johnson & Johnson, a pharmaceutical company, in a variety of leadership positions, most recently serving as Company Group Chairman of DePuy Inc., an orthopedics company and subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, from 2004 to 2005. Mr. Vernon also serves on the Boards of Directors of Medivation, Inc. and Novocare, Inc.

Ms. West was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Category and Marketing Officer effective August 1, 2010. Previously, she served as Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer from October 2007 to July 2010 and as Group Vice President for Kraft Foods and President of the North America Beverages Sector from 2005 to October 2007. Ms. West joined Kraft Foods in 1986. Ms. West also serves on the Board of Directors of J.C. Penney Co., Inc. and is a member of the Executive Leadership Council.

We adopted the Kraft Foods Code of Conduct, which qualifies as a code of ethics under Item 406 of Regulation S-K. The code applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions. Our code of ethics is available free of charge on our Web site at www.kraftfoodscompany.com and will be provided free of charge to any shareholder submitting a written request to: Corporate Secretary, Kraft Foods Inc., Three Lakes Drive, Northfield, IL 60093. We will disclose any waiver we grant to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller under our code of ethics, or certain amendments to the code of ethics, on our Web site at www.kraftfoodscompany.com.

In addition, we adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, charters for each of the Board s four standing committees and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors. All of these materials are available on our Web site at www.kraftfoodscompany.com and will be provided free of charge to any shareholder requesting a copy by writing to: Corporate Secretary, Kraft Foods Inc., Three Lakes Drive, Northfield, IL 60093.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.kraftfoodscompany.com. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge as soon as possible after we electronically file them with, or furnish them to, the SEC. You can access our filings with the SEC by visiting www.kraftfoodscompany.com. The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated into any other filings we make with the SEC.

You can also read and copy any document that we file, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K, at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the operation of the Public Reference Room. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including Kraft Foods, that are electronically filed with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

You should read the following risk factors carefully in connection with evaluating our business and the forward looking information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results, financial condition and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward looking statements are made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. While we believe we have identified and discussed below the key risk factors affecting our business, there may be additional risks and uncertainties that are not presently known or that are not currently believed to be significant that may adversely affect our business, performance or financial condition in the future.

We operate in a highly competitive industry.

The food industry is highly competitive. We compete based on price, product innovation, product quality, brand recognition and loyalty, effectiveness of marketing, promotional activity and the ability to identify and satisfy consumer preferences.

We may need to reduce our prices in response to competitive and customer pressures. Competition and customer pressures may also restrict our ability to increase prices in response to commodity and other cost increases. We may also need to increase or reallocate spending on marketing, advertising and new product innovation to protect or increase market share. These expenditures are subject to risks, including uncertainties about trade and consumer acceptance of our efforts. If we reduce prices or increase costs, but we cannot increase sales volumes to offset those changes, then our financial condition and results of operations will suffer.

Maintaining our reputation and brand image is essential to our business success.

We have many iconic brands with worldwide recognition. Our success depends on our ability to maintain brand image for our existing products, extend our brands to new platforms and expand our brand image with new product offerings.

We seek to maintain, extend and expand our brand image through marketing investments, including advertising and consumer promotions, and product innovation. Continuing global focus on health and wellness, including weight management, and increasing media attention to the role of food marketing could adversely affect our brand image or lead to stricter regulations and greater scrutiny of food marketing practices. Increased legal or regulatory restrictions on our advertising, consumer promotions and marketing, or our response to those restrictions, could limit our efforts to maintain, extend and expand our brands. Moreover, adverse publicity about regulatory or legal action against us could damage our reputation and brand image, undermine our customers confidence and reduce long-term demand for our products, even if the regulatory or legal action is unfounded or not material to our operations.

In addition, our success in maintaining, extending and expanding our brand image depends on our ability to adapt to a rapidly changing media environment, including our increasing reliance on social media and online dissemination of advertising campaigns. We are subject to a variety of legal and regulatory restrictions on how and to whom we market our products, for instance marketing to children. These restrictions may limit our ability to maintain, extend and expand our brand image as the media and communications environment continues to evolve. Negative posts or comments about us on social networking web sites could seriously damage our reputation and brand image. If we do not maintain, extend and expand our brand image, then our product sales, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We must leverage our value proposition to compete against retailer brands and other economy brands.

Retailers are increasingly offering retailer and other economy brands that compete with some of our products. Our products must provide higher value and/or quality to our consumers than less expensive alternatives, particularly during periods of economic uncertainty such as those we continue to experience. Consumers may not buy our products if the difference in value or quality between our products and retailer or other economy brands narrows or if consumers perceive a narrowing. If consumers prefer retailer or other economy brands, then we could lose market share or sales volumes or shift our product mix to lower margin offerings. The impact could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The consolidation of retail customers could adversely affect us.

Retail customers, such as supermarkets, warehouse clubs and food distributors in the U.S., the European Union and our other major markets, continue to consolidate, resulting in fewer customers on which we can rely for business. Consolidation also produces large, more sophisticated retail customers that can resist price increases and demand lower pricing, increased promotional programs or specifically tailored products. In addition, larger retailers have the scale to develop supply chains that permit them to operate with reduced inventories or to develop and market their own retailer brands. Further retail consolidation and increasing retail power could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

Retail consolidation also increases the risk that adverse changes in our customers business operations or financial performance will have a corresponding material adverse effect on us. For example, if our customers cannot access sufficient funds or financing, then they may delay, decrease or cancel purchases of our products, or delay or fail to pay us for previous purchases.

Changes in our relationships with significant customers or suppliers could adversely affect us.

During 2011, our five largest customers accounted for approximately 21% of our net revenues with our largest customer Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., accounting for approximately 12% of our net revenues. There can be no assurance that all significant customers will continue to purchase our products in the same quantities or on the same terms as in the past, particularly as increasingly powerful retailers continue to demand lower pricing and develop their own brands. The loss of a significant customer or a material reduction in sales to a significant customer could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

Disputes with significant suppliers, including regarding pricing or performance, could adversely affect our ability to supply products to our customers and could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

Commodity and other input prices are volatile and may rise significantly.

We purchase large quantities of commodities, including dairy, coffee beans, cocoa, wheat, corn products, soybean and vegetable oils, nuts, meat products, and sugar and other sweeteners. In addition, we purchase and use significant quantities of plastic, glass and cardboard to package our products, and natural gas to operate our factories and warehouses. Prices for commodities, other supplies and energy are volatile and can fluctuate due to conditions that are difficult to predict, including global competition for resources, currency fluctuations, severe weather, consumer or industrial demand and changes in governmental trade, alternative energy and agricultural programs. In addition, speculative trading often exacerbates price volatility. For 2011, our commodity costs were approximately \$2.6 billion higher than for 2010. Although we monitor our exposure to commodity prices as an integral part of our overall risk management program, and seek to hedge against input price increases, continued volatility in the prices of commodities and other supplies we purchase could increase the costs of our products, and our profitability could suffer. Moreover, increases in the price of our products to cover these increased costs may result in lower sales volumes. If we are not successful in our hedging activities, or if we are unable to price to cover increased costs, then commodity and other input price volatility or increases could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The proposed spin-off may not be completed on the terms or timeline currently contemplated, if at all.

In August 2011, we announced our intention to become two independent publicly traded companies through a U.S. tax-free spin-off of our North American Grocery Business to existing shareholders. Unanticipated developments could delay, prevent or otherwise adversely affect the proposed spin-off of the North American Grocery Business, including possible problems or delays in obtaining various regulatory and tax approvals or clearances and disruptions in the capital and other financial markets, among other things. In addition, consummation of the proposed spin-off will require final approval from our Board of Directors. Therefore, we cannot assure that we will be able to complete the spin-off on the terms or on the timeline that we announced, if at all.

In order to position ourselves for the proposed spin-off, we are actively pursuing strategic, structural and process realignment and restructuring actions within our North American operations. These actions could lead to disruption of our operations, loss of, or inability to recruit, key personnel needed to operate and grow our businesses and complete the proposed spin-off, weakening of our internal standards, controls or procedures, and impairment of our relationship with key customers and suppliers. We have and will continue to incur significant expenses in connection with the proposed spin-off. In addition, completion of the proposed spin-off will require significant amounts of our management s time and effort which may divert management s attention from operating and growing our businesses and could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

If the spin-off is completed, it may not achieve the intended results.

If the proposed spin-off is completed, our operational and financial profile will change upon the separation of the North American Grocery Business from our other businesses. As a result, our diversification of revenue sources will diminish, and our results of operations, cash flows, working capital and financing requirements may be subject to increased volatility. Further, shares of our Common Stock will represent an investment in a smaller company with its business concentrated in the global snacks business. These changes may not meet some shareholders investment strategies, which could cause investors to sell their shares of our Common Stock. Excessive selling could cause the relative market price of our Common Stock to decrease following the consummation of the proposed spin-off.

In addition, if the proposed spin-off is completed, as the Global Snacks Business our business in North America will be greatly reduced and a significantly greater proportion of our revenues will be derived from non-U.S. operations. Accordingly, the risks discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report that are associated with operating a global business could increase if the proposed spin-off is completed.

Changes in regulations could increase our costs and affect our profitability.

Our activities in the United States and around the world are highly regulated and subject to government oversight. Various federal, state, provincial and local laws and regulations govern food production and marketing, as well as licensing, trade, tax and environmental matters. Governing bodies regularly issue new regulations and changes to existing regulations. Our need to comply with new or revised regulations or their interpretation and application, including proposed requirements designed to enhance food safety or to regulate imported ingredients, could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

Legal claims or other regulatory enforcement actions could subject us to civil and criminal penalties that affect our product sales, reputation and profitability.

We are a large food company operating in a highly regulated environment and a constantly evolving legal and regulatory framework around the world. Consequently, we are subject to heightened risk of legal claims or other regulatory enforcement actions. Although we have implemented policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with existing laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that our employees, contractors or agents will not violate our policies and procedures. Moreover, the failure to maintain effective control environment processes could lead to violations, unintentional or otherwise, of laws and regulations. Legal claims or regulatory enforcement actions arising out of our failure or alleged failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject us to civil and criminal penalties that could materially and adversely affect our product sales, reputation, financial condition and results of operations.

Product recalls or other product liability claims could materially and adversely affect us.

Selling products for human consumption involves inherent risks. We could decide to, or be required to recall products due to suspected or confirmed product contamination, spoilage or other adulteration, product misbranding or product tampering. Any of these events could materially and adversely affect our product sales, reputation, financial condition and results of operations.

We may also suffer losses if our products or operations violate applicable laws or regulations, or if our products cause injury, illness or death. In addition, our marketing could face claims of false or deceptive advertising or other criticism. A significant product liability or other legal judgment or a related regulatory enforcement action against us, or a widespread product recall, may materially and adversely affect our reputation and profitability. Moreover, even if a product liability or consumer fraud claim is unsuccessful, has no merit or is not pursued, the negative publicity surrounding assertions against our products or processes could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to risks generally associated with companies that operate in a global environment, which could affect our growth and financial performance.

We generated approximately 60% of our 2011 net revenues, 57% of our 2010 net revenues and 49% of our 2009 net revenues outside the U.S. With operations in more than 80 countries, our operations are subject to risks inherent in multinational operations. Those risks include:

 $compliance\ with\ U.S.\ laws\ affecting\ operations\ outside\ of\ the\ U.S.,\ such\ as\ the\ Foreign\ Corrupt\ Practices\ Act\ (\ \ FCPA\ \),$

compliance with a variety of local regulations and laws,

changes in tax laws and the interpretation of those laws,

fluctuations in currency values,

sudden changes in foreign currency exchange controls,

discriminatory or conflicting fiscal policies,

difficulties enforcing intellectual property and contractual rights in certain jurisdictions,

greater risk of uncollectible accounts and longer collection cycles,

effective and immediate implementation of control environment processes across our diverse operations and employee base, and imposition of more or new tariff, quotas, trade barriers, and similar restrictions on our sales outside the U.S.

Moreover, political and economic changes or volatility, geopolitical regional conflicts, terrorist activity, political unrest, civil strife, acts of war, public corruption and other economic or political uncertainties could interrupt and negatively affect our business operations. All of these factors could result in increased costs or decreased revenues, and could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations in certain developing markets expose us to political, economic and regulatory risks.

Our growth strategy depends in part on our ability to expand our operations in developing markets, including Brazil, China, India, Mexico, Russia and Southeast Asia. However, some developing markets have greater political and economic volatility and greater vulnerability to infrastructure and labor disruptions than established markets. In many countries outside of the U.S., particularly in those with developing economies, it may be common for others to engage in business practices prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act or similar local anti-bribery laws. These laws generally prohibit companies and their employees, contractors or agents from making improper payments to government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. Failure to comply with these laws could subject us to civil and criminal penalties that could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, competition in developing markets is increasing as our competitors grow their global operations and low cost local manufacturers expand their production capacities. Our success in integrating Cadbury s operations and products, many of which have a strong presence in a number of developing markets, is critical to our growth strategy. If we cannot successfully increase our business in developing markets, our product sales, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Unanticipated business disruptions could affect our ability to provide our products to our customers.

We have a complex network of suppliers, owned manufacturing locations, co-manufacturing locations, distribution networks and information systems that support our ability consistently to provide our products to our customers. Factors that are hard to predict or beyond our control, like weather, natural disasters, fire, terrorism, generalized labor unrest or health pandemics, could damage or disrupt our operations, or our suppliers or co-manufacturers operations. If we cannot respond to disruptions in our operations, whether by finding alternative suppliers or replacing capacity at key manufacturing or distribution locations, or are unable to quickly repair damage to our information, production or supply systems, we may be late in delivering, or unable to deliver, products to our customers. If that occurs, our customers confidence in us and long-term demand for our products could decline. Any of these events could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

We must correctly predict, identify and interpret changes in consumer preferences and demand, and offer new products to meet those changes.

Consumer preferences for food products change continually. Our success depends on our ability to predict, identify and interpret the tastes and dietary habits of consumers and to offer products that appeal to consumer preferences. If we do not offer products that appeal to consumers, our sales and market share will decrease and our profitability could suffer.

We must distinguish among short-term fads, mid-term trends and long-term changes in consumer preferences. If we do not accurately predict which shifts in consumer preferences will be long-term, or if we fail to introduce new and improved products to satisfy those preferences, our sales could decline. In addition, because of our varied consumer base, we must offer an array of products that satisfy the broad spectrum of consumer preferences. If we fail to expand our product offerings successfully across product categories, or if we do not rapidly develop products in faster growing and more profitable categories, demand for our products will decrease and our profitability could suffer.

Prolonged negative perceptions concerning the health implications of certain food products could influence consumer preferences and acceptance of some of our products and marketing programs. For example, recently, consumers have been increasingly focused on health and wellness, including weight management and reducing sodium consumption. We strive to respond to consumer preferences and social expectations, but we may be unsuccessful in these efforts. Continued negative perceptions and failure to satisfy consumer preferences could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not successfully complete proposed acquisitions or divestitures or integrate acquired businesses.

From time to time, we evaluate acquisition candidates that may strategically fit our business objectives. If we are unable to complete acquisitions or to successfully integrate and develop acquired businesses, including Cadbury, we could fail to achieve anticipated synergies and cost savings, including the expected increases in revenues and operating results, any of which could materially and adversely affect our financial results. In addition, we may divest businesses that do not meet our strategic objectives, or do not meet our growth or profitability targets. We may not be able to complete desired or proposed divestitures on terms favorable to us. Gains or losses on the sales of, or lost operating income from, those businesses may affect our profitability. Moreover, we may incur asset impairment charges related to acquisitions or divestitures that reduce our profitability.

Our acquisition or divestiture activities may present financial, managerial and operational risks. Those risks include diversion of management attention from existing core businesses, difficulties integrating or separating personnel and financial and other systems, effective and immediate implementation of control environment processes across our diverse employee population, adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers and customers, inaccurate estimates of fair value made in the accounting for acquisitions and amortization of acquired intangible assets which would reduce future reported earnings, potential loss of customers or key employees of acquired businesses, and indemnities and potential disputes with the buyers or sellers. Any of these factors could materially and adversely affect our product sales, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Our acquisition of Cadbury and disposition of our Frozen Pizza business increased the portion of our assets, liabilities and earnings denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies, which increased our exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations. Our financial results and capital ratios are now more sensitive to movements in foreign exchange rates than in prior periods because a larger portion of our assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses must be translated into U.S. dollars for external reporting purposes or converted into U.S. dollars to service obligations such as our U.S. dollar denominated indebtedness. In addition, movements in foreign exchange rates can affect transaction costs because we source product ingredients from various countries. We may seek to mitigate our exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations, but our efforts may not be successful. Accordingly, a depreciation of non-U.S. dollar currencies relative to the U.S. dollar, or changes in the relative value of any two currencies that we use for transactions, could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are increasingly dependent on information technology, and if we are unable to protect against service interruptions, data corruption, cyber-based attacks or network security breaches, our operations could be disrupted.

We rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic and financial information, to manage a variety of business processes and activities, and to comply with regulatory, legal and tax requirements. We also depend on our information technology infrastructure for digital marketing activities and for electronic communications among our locations, personnel, customers and suppliers around the world. These information technology systems, some of which are managed by third parties, may be susceptible to damage, disruptions or shutdowns due to failures during the process of upgrading or replacing software, databases or components thereof, power outages, hardware failures, computer viruses, attacks by computer hackers, telecommunication failures, user errors or catastrophic events. If our information technology systems suffer severe damage, disruption or shutdown and our business continuity plans do not effectively resolve the issues in a timely manner, our product sales, financial condition and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected, and we could experience delays in reporting our financial results.

In addition, if we are unable to prevent security breaches, we may suffer financial and reputational damage or penalties because of the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information belonging to us or to our partners, customers or suppliers. In addition, the disclosure of non-public sensitive information through external media channels could lead to the loss of intellectual property or damage our reputation and brand image.

Weak financial performance, downgrades in our credit ratings, illiquid capital markets and volatile economic conditions could limit our access to the capital markets, reduce our liquidity or increase our borrowing costs.

From time to time we may need to access the long-term and short-term capital markets to obtain financing. Our financial performance, our short-and long-term credit ratings, the liquidity of the overall capital markets and the state of the economy, including the food industry, will affect our access to, and the availability of, financing on acceptable terms and conditions in the future. There can be no assurance that we will have access to the capital markets on terms we find acceptable.

We access the commercial paper market for regular funding requirements. A downgrade in our credit ratings would increase our borrowing costs and could affect our ability to issue commercial paper. Disruptions in the commercial paper market or other effects of volatile economic conditions on the credit markets also could reduce the amount of commercial paper that we could issue and could raise our borrowing costs for both short-and long-term debt offerings. Our inability to access the capital markets or an increase in our borrowing costs could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Volatility in the equity markets or interest rates could substantially increase our pension costs and have a negative impact on our operating results and profitability.

We sponsor a number of benefit plans for employees in the United States, the United Kingdom and many other non-U.S. locations, including defined benefit pension plans, retiree health and welfare, active health care, severance and other postemployment benefits. At the end of 2011, the projected benefit obligation of our defined benefit pension plans was \$17.1 billion and assets were \$13.4 billion. The difference between plan obligations and assets, or the funded status of the plans, significantly affects the net periodic benefit costs of our pension plans and the ongoing funding requirements of those plans. Our major defined benefit pension plans are funded with trust assets invested in a globally diversified portfolio of investments, including equities and corporate debt. We also have an insignificant amount of trust assets invested in European and other sovereign debt. Among other factors, changes in interest rates, mortality rates, early retirement rates, investment returns, minimum funding requirements in the jurisdictions in which the plans operate, arrangements made with the trustees of certain foreign plans and the market value of plan assets can affect the level of plan funding, cause volatility in the net periodic pension cost, and increase our future funding requirements. Legislative and other governmental regulatory actions may also increase funding requirements for our pension plans benefits obligation.

Based on current tax laws, we estimate the 2012 pension contributions will be approximately \$480 million, which is approximately \$420 million less than we contributed in 2011. We also expect that our net pension cost will increase to approximately \$750 million in 2012. Volatility in the global capital markets has increased the risk that we may be required to make additional cash contributions to the pension plans and recognize further increases in our net pension cost beyond 2012.

A significant increase in our U.S. pension funding requirements could have a negative impact on our ability to invest in the business. In addition, if we divest certain businesses, we may be required to increase future contributions to the benefit plans and the related net periodic pension cost could increase.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties.

We have 220 manufacturing and processing facilities worldwide. These facilities are located in 59 countries. We have 57 facilities in Kraft Foods North America, 62 in Kraft Foods Europe and 101 In Kraft Foods Developing Markets.

We own 208 and lease 12 of these manufacturing and processing facilities. It is our practice to maintain all of our plants and properties in good condition, and we believe they are suitable and adequate for our present needs.

We also have 228 distribution centers and depots worldwide. We own 49 of these distribution centers and depots, and we lease 179 of these distribution centers and depots. In North America, we have 134 distribution centers and depots, more than 61% of which support our direct store delivery systems. Outside North America, we have 94 distribution centers and depots in 29 countries.

These facilities are in good condition, and we believe they have sufficient capacity to meet our distribution needs in the near term.

These facilities are located throughout the following regions:

Region	00,000,000 Number of Manufacturing Facilities	00,000,000 Number of Distribution Facilities
U.S.	46	124
Canada	11	10
Western Europe	62	23
Central & Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa	48	3
Latin America	20	13
Asia Pacific	33	55
Total	220	228

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We routinely are involved in legal proceedings, claims, and governmental inspections or investigations (Legal Matters) arising in the ordinary course of our business.

Competition authorities in certain Member States of the European Union have ongoing investigations into possible anticompetitive activity in the fast moving consumer goods (FMCG) sector, which includes products such as chocolate and coffee. On October 18, 2011, the German Federal Cartel Office (FCO) issued a press release stating that it had discontinued proceedings against our wholly owned subsidiary, Kraft Foods Deutschland GmbH (KFD), based on a settlement agreed between KFD and the FCO following the FCO s finding of illegal price agreements regarding instant cappuccino. The FCO also imposed a fine against a former KFD employee. Due to KFD s cooperation with the FCO in the matter, the fine to resolve the matter was reduced to EUR 2.2 million (or approximately \$3 million as of October 18, 2011).

A compliant and ethical corporate culture, which includes adhering to laws and industry regulations in all jurisdictions in which we do business, is integral to our success. Accordingly, after we acquired Cadbury in February 2010 we began reviewing and adjusting, as needed, Cadbury s operations in light of U.S. and international standards as well as Kraft Foods policies and practices. We initially focused on such high priority areas as food safety, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and antitrust. Based upon Cadbury s pre-acquisition policies and compliance programs and our post-acquisition reviews, our preliminary findings indicated that Cadbury s overall state of compliance was sound. Nonetheless, through our reviews, we determined that in certain jurisdictions, including India, there appeared to be facts and circumstances warranting further investigation. We are continuing our investigations in certain jurisdictions, including in India, and we continue to cooperate with governmental authorities.

On March 1, 2011, the Starbucks Coffee Company (Starbucks), without our authorization and in what we contend is a violation and breach of our agreements with Starbucks, took control of the Starbucks packaged coffee business (Starbucks CPG business) in grocery stores and other channels, after alleging we had breached the Supply and License Agreement. The dispute is pending Arbitration in Chicago, Illinois. We are seeking appropriate remedies, including but not limited to payment of the fair market value of the Supply and License Agreement plus the premium this agreement specifies. Starbucks has counterclaimed for unspecified damages. The arbitration proceeding is set to begin on July 11, 2012 and is expected to conclude on July 31, 2012. The results of the Starbucks CPG business were included primarily in our U.S. Beverage and Canada and N.A. Foodservice segments through March 1, 2011.

As we previously disclosed, on February 1, 2011, we received a subpoena from the SEC in connection with an investigation under the FCPA, primarily related to a Cadbury facility in India that we acquired in the Cadbury acquisition. The subpoena primarily requests information regarding dealings with Indian governmental agencies and officials to obtain approvals related to the operation of that facility. We are cooperating with the U.S. government in its investigation of these matters.

While we cannot predict with certainty the results of these or any other Legal Matters in which we are currently involved, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve any of these Legal Matters will have a material effect on our financial results.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). At January 31, 2012, there were approximately 75,743 holders of record of our Common Stock.

Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return

The following graph compares the cumulative total return on our Common Stock with the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Index and the performance peer group index. The graph shows total shareholder return assuming \$100 was invested on December 31, 2006 and dividends were reinvested on a quarterly basis.

	00,000,000		00,000,000		00,000,000 Performance	
Date	Kra	aft Foods	S	&P 500	Pee	r Group
December 2006	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00
December 2007		94.28		105.48		122.88
December 2008		80.55		66.52		97.34
December 2009		85.37		84.07		121.72
December 2010		102.85		96.71		140.35
December 2011		126.12		98.76		149.69

The Kraft Foods performance peer group consists of the following companies considered our market competitors, or that have been selected on the basis of industry, level of management complexity, global focus or industry leadership: Campbell Soup Company, The Coca-Cola Company, ConAgra Foods, Inc., DANONE, General Mills, Inc., H.J. Heinz Company, Hershey Foods Corporation, Kellogg Company, Nestlé S.A., PepsiCo, Inc., Sara Lee Corporation, and Unilever N.V.

Information regarding our Common Stock market prices on the NYSE and dividends declared during the last two fiscal years is included in Note 17, *Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)*, to the consolidated financial statements.

This performance graph and other information furnished under this Part II Item 5(a) of this Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities during the Quarter ended December 31, 2011

There are currently no share repurchase programs authorized by our Board of Directors. The following activity represents shares tendered by our employees who used shares to exercise options, and who used shares to pay the related taxes for grants of restricted and deferred stock that vested. Accordingly, these are non-cash transactions.

	00,000,000 Total Number of Shares	Averag	00,000 ge Price Paid r Share
October 1 31, 2011	151	\$	34.18
November 1 30, 2011	16,184		35.01
December 1 31, 2011	5,157		35.22
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2011	21,492	\$	35.20

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Kraft Foods Inc.

Selected Financial Data Five Year Review*

	00	,000,000 2011		0,000,000 2010		,000,000 2009		,000,000 2008		0,000,000 2007
V F 1 1		(in ı	million	s of dollars, e	xcept s	share, per sha	re and	l employee da	ıta)	
Year Ended December 31: Net revenues	\$	54,365	¢	49,207	\$	38,754	\$	40,492	\$	34,580
	•	35,350	\$	31,305	Þ	24,819	Þ	27,164	Þ	22,848
Cost of sales						•				
Operating income		6,657 12.2%		5,666 11.5%		5,183 13.4%		3,576 8.8%		3,939
Operating margin		1,885								11.4% 604
Interest and other expense, net		1,003		2,024		1,237		1,240		004
Earnings from continuing operations										
before income taxes		4,772		3,642		3,946		2,336		3,335
Provision for income taxes		1,225		1,147		1,136		658		992
Earnings from continuing operations		3,547		2,495		2,810		1,678		2,343
Earnings and gain from discontinued										
operations, net of income taxes				1,644		218		1,215		381
Net earnings		3,547		4,139		3,028		2,893		2,724
Noncontrolling interest		20		25		7		9		3
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	3,527	\$	4,114	\$	3,021	\$	2,884	\$	2,721
Basic EPS attributable to Kraft Foods:		·		·		·				
Continuing operations	\$	2.00	\$	1.44	\$	1.90	\$	1.11	\$	1.47
Discontinued operations				0.96		0.14		0.81		0.24
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods:	\$	2.00	\$	2.40	\$	2.04	\$	1.92	\$	1.71
	\$	1.00	¢	1 44	\$	1.90	\$	1.10	\$	1.46
Continuing operations Discontinued operations	Ф	1.99	\$	1.44 0.95	Ф	1.89 0.14	Ф	1.10 0.80	Ф	0.24
Discontinued operations				0.93		0.14		0.80		0.24
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	1.99	\$	2.39	\$	2.03	\$	1.90	\$	1.70
Weighted-average shares-basic		1,765		1,715		1,478		1,505		1,591
Weighted-average shares-diluted		1,772		1,720		1,486		1,515		1,600
AT	ф	4.520	ф	2.740	ф	5.004	ф	4 1 4 1	ф	2.571
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	4,520	\$	3,748	\$	5,084	\$	4,141	\$	3,571
Capital expenditures		1,771		1,661		1,330		1,367		1,241
Depreciation		1,260		1,229		905		963		873
As of December 31: Inventories, net	¢.	5.706	¢	£ 210	ф	2 775	ď	2 001	¢	4 220
	\$	5,706	\$	5,310	\$	3,775	\$	3,881	\$	4,238
Property, plant and equipment, net Total assets		13,813 93,837		13,792		10,693		9,917 63,173		10,778 68,132
				95,289		66,714 18,024				12,902
Long-term debt		23,095		26,859				18,589		
Total long town lightities		26,931		28,724		18,990		20,251		21,009 23,574
Total long-term liabilities		40,064 35,217		43,454		29,251		29,773 22,295		27,407
Total Kraft Foods shareholders equity				35,834		25,876				
Total equity		35,328		35,942		25,972		22,356		27,445
Shares outstanding at year end	Φ.	1,768	ф	1,748	ф	1,478	ф	1,469	ф	1,534
Book value per common share outstanding	\$	19.92	\$	20.50	\$	17.51	\$	15.18	\$	17.87
Dividends declared per share	\$	1.16	\$	1.16	\$	1.16	\$	1.12	\$	1.04
Dividends as a % of basic / diluted EPS	5	8.0% /58.3%	4	8.3% /48.5%	50	6.9% /57.1%	5	8.3% /58.9%	6	0.8% /61.2%
Common Stock closing price at year end	\$	37.36	\$	31.51	\$	27.18	\$	26.85	\$	32.63
Common Stock price-high / low		.93/\$30.21		2.67/\$27.09		.84/\$20.81		.97/\$24.75		.20/\$29.95
Price / earnings ratio-basic / diluted		19 / 19		13 / 13		13 / 13		14 / 14		19 / 19
-										

Number of employees 126,000 127,000 97,000 98,000 103,000

* Significant items affecting comparability are discussed in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, past 10-K Annual Reports and the notes to the consolidated financial statements. They include the acquisitions of Cadbury in 2010 and LU biscuits in 2007; the divestitures of Frozen Pizza in 2010 and Post Cereals in 2008, the cessation of the Starbucks CPG business in 2011, Restructuring and Integration Program and cost savings initiatives and accounting calendar changes (including a 53rd week in 2011). Please refer to Notes 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*; 3, *Acquisitions and Divestitures*; and 16, *Segment Reporting*, for additional information regarding items affecting comparability of our historical financial results.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussions should be read in conjunction with the other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in Item 8.

Description of the Company

We manufacture and market packaged food products, including snacks, beverages, cheese, convenient meals and various packaged grocery products. We have operations in more than 80 countries and sell our products in approximately 170 countries.

Proposed Spin-Off Transaction

On August 4, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors intends to create two independent public companies: (i) a global snacks business (the Global Snacks Business) and (ii) a North American grocery business (the North American Grocery Business). The Global Snacks Business will consist of our current Kraft Foods Europe and Developing Markets segments as well as our North American snack and confectionery businesses. The North American Grocery Business will primarily consist of our current U.S. Beverages, U.S. Cheese, U.S. Convenient Meals and U.S. Grocery segments, grocery related categories in our Canada & N.A. Foodservice segment as well as the *Planters* and *Corn Nuts* brands and businesses. We expect to create these companies through a U.S. tax-free spin-off of the North American Grocery Business to our shareholders.

The transaction is subject to a number of conditions, including the receipt of regulatory approvals, a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service to ensure the U.S. tax-free status of the spin-off, execution of intercompany agreements, further diligence as appropriate and final approval from our Board of Directors. While our current target is to complete the spin-off before year-end 2012, we cannot assure that the spin-off will be completed on the anticipated timeline or that the terms of the spin-off will not change. See Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors for certain risk factors relating to the proposed spin-off transaction. The disclosures within this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are on a consolidated Kraft Foods Inc. basis and do not take into account the proposed spin-off of the North American Grocery Business.

Summary of Results and Other Significant Highlights

Net revenues increased 10.5% to \$54.4 billion in 2011 and increased 27.0% to \$49.2 billion in 2010.

Organic net revenues, a non-GAAP financial measure we use to evaluate our underlying results (see our reconciliation with net revenues and a discussion of our *Non-GAAP Financial Measures* later in this section), increased 6.6% to \$51.5 billion in 2011 and increased 3.2% to \$39.9 billion in 2010.

Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods decreased 16.7% to \$1.99 in 2011 and increased 17.7% to \$2.39 in 2010. In 2010, \$0.95 related to discontinued operations from our divestiture of our North American frozen pizza business. Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods from continuing operations increased 38.2% to \$1.99 in 2011 and decreased 23.8% to \$1.44 in 2010. The increase in 2011 was attributable to a number of factors which we discuss further in this section, including \$0.04 related to accounting calendar changes and the inclusion of a 53^{rd} week of shipments.

Operating EPS, a non-GAAP financial measure we use to evaluate our underlying results (see our reconciliation with Diluted EPS and a discussion of our *Non-GAAP Financial Measures* later in this section), increased 13.4% to \$2.29 in 2011 and increased 4.7% to \$2.02 in 2010. The increase in 2011 was attributable to a number of factors which we discuss further in this section, including \$0.04 related to accounting calendar changes and the inclusion of a 53rd week of shipments.

On February 2, 2010, we acquired 71.73% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Cadbury Limited (Cadbury) and as of June 1, 2010, we owned 100% of all outstanding Cadbury shares. The Cadbury acquisition was valued at \$18,547 million, or \$17,503 million net of cash and cash equivalents. We issued 262 million shares of our Class A common stock (Common Stock) and paid \$10.9 billion in cash. On February 8, 2010, we issued \$9.5 billion of senior unsecured notes and primarily used the \$9,379 million net proceeds to

finance the Cadbury acquisition. See Note 3, *Acquisitions and Divestitures*, and Note 8, *Debt and Borrowing Arrangements*, to the consolidated financial statements, for additional details.

On December 29, 2010, we repurchased \$1.5 billion of our notes due in 2011 and 2012. We paid \$1,596 million aggregate consideration, including accrued and unpaid interest, for the accepted notes.

On March 1, 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of our North American frozen pizza business to Nestlé USA, Inc. for \$3.7 billion. Accordingly, the results of our frozen pizza business have been reflected as discontinued operations on the consolidated statement of earnings for all periods presented.

Discussion and Analysis

Strategy

Over the last several years, we have transformed our portfolio by expanding geographically and building our presence in the fast-growing snacking category. At the same time, we have continued to invest in product quality, marketing and innovation behind our iconic North American brands, while implementing a series of cost saving initiatives. Our Global Snacks Business and the North American Grocery Business now have different future strategic priorities, growth profiles and operational focus. As such, we expect that our proposed spin-off will provide both businesses an opportunity to pursue different strategies and achieve a distinct operational focus and return on investment.

The Global Snacks Business will build upon its strong presence across numerous fast-growing markets, categories, and channels, including the high-margin instant consumption channel. This business will target industry-leading revenue growth, leverage its cost structure through volume growth and improved product mix to drive margin gains, and grow earnings per share in the top-tier of its peer group.

The North American Grocery Business will build on its leading market positions by targeting moderate revenue growth while maintaining a sharp focus on its cost structure and superior execution. This business will target revenue growth in line with its categories, strong margins and free cash flow, and expects to have a highly competitive dividend payout.

Items Affecting Comparability of Financial Results

Acquisitions and Divestitures

Cadbury Acquisition:

On February 2, 2010, we acquired 71.73% of Cadbury Limited (Cadbury) and as of June 1, 2010, we owned 100% of all outstanding Cadbury shares. See Note 3, *Acquisitions and Divestitures*, to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

As part of our Cadbury acquisition, we incurred and expensed transaction related fees of \$218 million in 2010 and \$40 million in 2009. We recorded these expenses within selling, general and administrative expenses. We also incurred acquisition financing fees of \$96 million in 2010. We recorded these expenses within interest and other expense, net.

To secure EU regulatory approval of the acquisition, we were required to divest certain Cadbury confectionery operations in Poland and Romania. In 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of these businesses and generated \$342 million in sale proceeds. The impacts of these divestitures were primarily reflected as adjustments to the Cadbury purchase price allocations.

Cadbury contributed net revenues of \$9,143 million and net earnings of \$530 million from February 2, 2010 through December 31, 2010. The following unaudited pro forma summary presents Kraft Foods—consolidated information as if Cadbury had been acquired on January 1, 2009. These amounts were calculated after conversion to U.S. GAAP, applying our accounting policies, and adjusting Cadbury—s results to reflect the additional depreciation and amortization that would have been charged assuming the fair value adjustments to property, plant and equipment, and intangible assets had been applied from January 1, 2009, together with the consequential tax effects. These adjustments also reflect the additional interest expense incurred on the debt to finance the purchase, and the divestitures of certain Cadbury confectionery operations in Poland and Romania.

	00,000,000,000	00	,000,000,000			
	Pro	Pro forma for the				
	Years E	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2010				
	((in millions)				
Net revenues	\$ 49,770	\$	47,852			
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	3,938		2,586			

Our February 2, 2010, Cadbury acquisition was valued at \$18,547 million, or \$17,503 million net of cash and cash equivalents. As part of that acquisition, we acquired the following assets and assumed the following liabilities (in millions):

	00.	,000,000
Receivables (1)	\$	1,333
Inventories		1,298
Other current assets		660
Property, plant and equipment		3,293
Goodwill (2)		9,530
Intangible assets (3)		12,905
Other assets		593
Short-term borrowings		(1,206)
Accounts payable		(1,605)
Other current liabilities (4)		(1,866)
Long-term debt		(2,437)
Deferred income taxes		(3,218)
Accrued pension costs		(817)
Other liabilities		(927)
Noncontrolling interest		(33)

- (1) The gross amount of acquired receivables was \$1,474 million, of which \$141 million was reserved as uncollectable.
- (2) Goodwill will not be deductible for statutory tax purposes and is attributable to Cadbury s workforce and the significant synergies we expect from the acquisition.
- (3) We acquired \$10.3 billion of indefinitely lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, and \$2.6 billion of amortizable intangible assets, primarily customer relationships and technology. Customer relationships will be amortized over approximately 13 years and technology will be amortized over approximately 12 years.
- (4) Within other current liabilities, a reserve for exposures related to taxes of approximately \$70 million was established within our Developing Markets segment. The cumulative exposure was approximately \$150 million at December 31, 2010.

Pizza Divestiture:

On March 1, 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of our North American frozen pizza business (Frozen Pizza) to Nestlé USA, Inc. (Nestlé) for \$3.7 billion. Our Frozen Pizza business was a component of our U.S. Convenient Meals and Canada & North America Foodservice segments. The sale included the *DiGiorno*, *Tombstone* and *Jack s* brands in the U.S., the *Delissio* brand in Canada and the *California Pizza Kitchen* trademark license. It also included two Wisconsin manufacturing facilities (Medford and Little Chute) and the leases for the pizza depots and delivery trucks. Approximately 3,600 of our employees transferred with the business to Nestlé. Accordingly, the results of our Frozen Pizza business have been reflected as discontinued operations on the consolidated statement of earnings for all periods presented. As a result of the divestiture, we recorded a gain on discontinued operations of \$1,596 million, or \$0.92 per diluted share, in 2010.

Pursuant to the Frozen Pizza business Transition Services Agreement, we agreed to provide certain sales, co-manufacturing, distribution, information technology, accounting and finance services to Nestlé for up to two years. As of December 31, 2011, these service agreements were substantially complete.

Summary results of operations for the Frozen Pizza business through March 1, 2010 were as follows:

	00,000,	,000,000,000		00,000,000
		For the Years Ended December 31,		
	20:	10 (in mi		2009
Net revenues	\$	335	\$	1,632
Earnings before income taxes		73		341

Provision for income taxes	(25)	(123)
Gain on discontinued operations, net of		
income taxes	1,596	
Earnings and gain from discontinued		
operations, net of income taxes	\$ 1,644	\$ 218

Earnings before income taxes as presented exclude associated allocated overheads of \$25 million in 2010 and \$108 million in 2009. The 2010 gain on discontinued operations from the sale of the Frozen Pizza business included tax expense of \$1.2 billion.

The following assets of the Frozen Pizza business were included in the Frozen Pizza divestiture (in millions):

	00,0	000,000
Inventories, net	\$	102
Property, plant and equipment, net		317
Goodwill		475
Divested assets of the Frozen Pizza business	\$	894

Other Divestitures:

In 2009, we received \$41 million in net proceeds and recorded pre-tax losses of \$6 million on the divestitures of our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S., a juice operation in Brazil and a plant in Spain. We recorded after-tax gains of \$58 million, or \$0.04 per diluted share, on these divestitures, primarily due to the differing book and tax bases of our *Balance* bar operations.

The aggregate operating results of the divestitures discussed above, other than the divestiture of the Frozen Pizza business, were not material to our financial statements in any of the periods presented. Refer to Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, for details of the gains and losses on divestitures by segment.

Integration Program and Cost Savings Initiatives

Integration Program

Our combination with Cadbury continues to have the potential for meaningful synergies and costs savings. We now expect to recognize approximately \$800 million of cost savings by the end of the third year following completion of the acquisition, up from our original estimate of \$750 million. Additionally, we expect to create revenue synergies from investments in distribution, marketing and product development. In order to achieve these cost savings and synergies, we expect to incur total integration charges of approximately \$1.5 billion in the first three years following the acquisition to combine and integrate the two businesses (the Integration Program).

Integration Program costs include the costs associated with combining our operations with Cadbury s and are separate from the costs related to the acquisition. We incurred charges under the Integration Program of \$521 million in 2011 and \$657 million in 2010. We recorded these charges primarily in operations as a part of selling, general and administrative expenses within our Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segments, as well as general corporate expenses. Since the inception of the Integration Program, we have incurred approximately \$1.2 billion of the \$1.5 billion in expected charges. At December 31, 2011, we had an accrual of \$346 million related to the Integration Program. See Note 7, *Integration Program and Cost Savings Initiatives*, to the consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Cost Savings Initiatives

Cost savings initiatives generally include exit, disposal and other project costs and consisted of the following specific initiatives:

In 2011, we recorded a \$64 million charge primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe and related to severance benefits provided to terminated employees and charges in connection with Kraft Foods Europe reorganization. We also reversed \$37 million of cost savings initiative program costs across all segments except Kraft Foods Europe.

In 2010, we recorded \$170 million primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe and Canada & N.A. Foodservice and in connection with the Kraft Foods Europe reorganization.

In 2009, we recorded \$318 million primarily for severance benefits provided to terminated employees, associated benefit plan costs and other related activities. These were recorded in operations, primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe, with the remainder spread across all other segments.

Within our Integration Program and cost savings initiatives, we include certain costs along with exit and disposal costs that are directly attributable to these activities and do not qualify for treatment as exit or disposal costs under U.S. GAAP. These costs, which we commonly refer to as other project costs or implementation costs, generally include the integration and reorganization of operations and facilities, the

discontinuance of certain product lines and the incremental expenses related to the closure of facilities. We believe the disclosure of these charges within our operating income provides greater transparency of the impact of these programs and initiatives on our operating results.

Starbucks CPG Business

On March 1, 2011, the Starbucks Coffee Company (Starbucks), without our authorization and in what we contend is a violation and breach of our agreements with Starbucks, took control of the Starbucks packaged coffee business (Starbucks CPG business) in grocery stores and other channels, after alleging we had breached the Supply and License Agreement. The dispute is pending Arbitration in Chicago, Illinois. We are seeking appropriate remedies, including but not limited to payment of the fair market value of the Supply and License Agreement plus the premium this agreement specifies. Starbucks has counterclaimed for unspecified damages. The arbitration proceeding is set to begin on July 11, 2012 and is expected to conclude on July 31, 2012. The results of the Starbucks CPG business were included primarily in our U.S. Beverage and Canada and N.A. Foodservice segments through March 1, 2011.

Accounting Calendar Changes in 2011 and 2010

The majority of our operating subsidiaries report results as of the last Saturday of the year. A portion of our international operating subsidiaries report results as of the last Saturday of the year. Because a significant number of our operating subsidiaries report results on the last Saturday of the year and this year, that day fell on December 31, our results included an extra week (59 week) of operating results than in the prior two years which had 52-weeks.

In 2011, we changed the consolidation date for certain operations of our Kraft Foods Europe segment and in the Latin America and Central and Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa (CEEMA) regions within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported results two weeks prior to the end of the period. Now, our Kraft Foods Europe segment reports results as of the last Saturday of each period. Our operations in Latin America and certain operations in CEEMA report results as of the last calendar day of the period or the last Saturday of the period. These changes and the 53rd week in 2011 resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$920 million and a favorable impact of approximately \$150 million to operating income in 2011.

In 2010, we changed the consolidation date for certain European biscuits operations, which are included within our Kraft Foods Europe segment, and certain operations in Asia Pacific and Latin America within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported period-end results one month or two weeks prior to the end of the period. Kraft Foods Europe moved the reporting of these operations to two weeks prior to the end of the period, and Asia Pacific and Latin America moved the reporting of these operations to the last day of the period. These changes resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$200 million and had an insignificant impact on operating income in 2010.

We believe these changes are preferable and will improve business planning and financial reporting by better matching the close dates of the operating subsidiaries within our Kraft Foods Europe segment and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment and by bringing the reporting date closer to the period-end date. As the effect to prior-period results was not material, we have not revised prior-period results.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 25.7% in 2011, 31.5% in 2010, and 28.8% in 2009. Our 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by net tax benefits of \$199 million from discrete one-time events, primarily from the revaluation of U.K. deferred tax assets and liabilities resulting from tax legislation enacted in 2011 that reduced U.K. corporate income tax rates, the reversal of valuation allowances on certain foreign deferred tax assets that are now expected to be realized, and the net favorable impact from various U.S. federal, U.S. state and foreign tax audit developments during the year. The 2011 effective tax rate also reflects increased tax benefits from operations outside the United States, which are generally taxed at lower rates than the U.S statutory rate of 35 percent. The mix of pretax income from these various foreign jurisdictions can have a significant impact on our effective tax rate. The fourth quarter and full year tax rate benefited from lower than projected taxes on our earnings outside the U.S., and the fourth quarter was also favorable due to a true-up of prior quarter estimates to a lower actual tax expense reported by these operations.

Our 2010 effective tax rate included net tax benefits of \$123 million, primarily due to the favorable resolution of a federal tax audit and the resolution of several items in our international operations, partially offset by a \$137 million write-off of deferred tax assets as a result of the U.S. health care legislation enacted in March 2010.

Our 2009 effective tax rate included net tax benefits of \$225 million, primarily due to an agreement we reached with the IRS on specific matters related to years 2000 through 2003, settlements with various foreign and state tax authorities, the expiration of the statutes of limitations in various jurisdictions and the divestiture of our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following discussion compares our consolidated results of operations for 2011 with 2010, and for 2010 with 2009.

2011 compared with 2010

	00),000,000 For the Young			00	,000,000	00,000,000
	(in ı	2011 nillions, exce	pt per s	2010 share data)	\$	change	% change
Net revenues	\$	54,365	\$	49,207	\$	5,158	10.5%
Operating income		6,657		5,666		991	17.5%
Earnings from continuing operations		3,547		2,495		1,052	42.2%
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods		3,527		4,114		(587)	(14.3%)
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Kraft Foods		1.99		1.44		0.55	38.2%
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods		1.99		2.39		(0.40)	(16.7%)

Net Revenues Net revenues increased \$5,158 million (10.5%) to \$54,365 million in 2011, and organic net revenued increased \$3,170 million (6.6%) to \$51,533 million as follows.

	00,000,000
Change in net revenues (by percentage point)	
Higher net pricing	6.0pp
Favorable volume/mix	0.6рр
Total change in organic net revenues (1)	6.6%
Favorable foreign currency	2.4pp
Impact of the Cadbury acquisition (2)	1.4pp
Impact of accounting calendar changes (including	
the 53 rd week of shipments)	1.4pp
Impact of divestitures (including for reporting purposes	
the Starbucks CPG business)	(1.3)pp
Total change in net revenues	10.5%

- (1) Please see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section at the end of this item.
- (2) Impact of acquisition reflects the incremental January 2011 operating results from our Cadbury acquisition.

Organic net revenue growth was driven primarily by higher net pricing as well as favorable volume/mix. Higher net pricing was reflected across all reportable business segments as we increased pricing to offset higher input costs. Favorable volume/mix was driven primarily by higher shipments in Kraft Foods Developing Markets. Favorable foreign currency increased net revenues by \$1,165 million, due primarily to the strength of the euro, Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Brazilian real, Swedish krona, British pound, Swiss franc and Russian ruble versus the U.S. dollar. The Cadbury acquisition, due to the incremental January 2011 operating results, added \$697 million in net revenues. Accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments in 2011 and excluding the effects of foreign currency) added \$880 million in net revenues in 2011, as compared to \$193 million in 2010. These gains were partially offset by the impact of divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business).

Operating Income Operating income increased \$991 million (17.5%) to \$6,657 million in 2011, due to the following:

	00,000,000 Operating Income (in millions)		00,000,000 Change (percentage point)
Operating Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$	5,666	
Integration Program costs		646	12.0pp
2010 acquisition-related costs associated with Cadbury		273	4.7pp
Underlying Operating Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 (1)	\$	6,585	
Higher net pricing		2,914	45.3pp
Higher input costs		(2,540)	(39.4)pp
Favorable volume/mix		136	2.1pp
Higher selling, general and administrative expenses		(6)	(0.1)pp
Favorable foreign currency		178	2.7pp
Increased operating income from accounting calendar changes			• •
including the 53 rd week of shipments		129	2.0pp
Incremental operating income from the Cadbury acquisition ⁽²⁾		83	1.2pp
Lower net asset impairment and exit costs		25	0.4pp
Change in unrealized gains/losses on hedging activities		(167)	(2.6)pp
Decreased operating income from divestitures (including for			-
reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business)		(134)	(2.2)pp
Other, net		21	0.3pp
Total change in underlying operating income		639	9.7%
Underlying Operating Income for the Year			
Ended December 31, 2011 (1)	\$	7,224	
Integration Program costs	\$	(521)	(8.2)pp
Costs associated with the proposed spin-off			` '11
of the North America Grocery Business		(46)	(0.7)pp
Operating Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$	6,657	17.5%

Higher pricing outpaced increased input costs during 2011. The increase in input costs was driven by significantly higher raw material costs, partially offset by lower manufacturing costs. Favorable volume/mix was driven by a strong contribution from Kraft Foods Developing Markets, partially offset by a net decline for the segments within Kraft Foods North America. Total selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$139 million from 2010. Excluding the impacts of divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business), foreign currency, accounting calendar changes, our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs) and the costs associated with the proposed spin-off of the North American Grocery Business, selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$6 million from 2010. Favorable foreign currency increased operating income by \$178 million, due primarily to the strength of the euro, Australian dollar, Canadian dollar and Brazilian real versus the U.S. dollar. Accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments in 2011 and excluding the effects of foreign currency) added \$129 million in operating income, as we realized operating income from accounting calendar changes of \$152 million in 2011, versus \$23 million in 2010. The Cadbury acquisition, due to the incremental January 2011 operating results, increased operating income by \$83 million. During 2011, we reversed \$7 million in restructuring program charges recorded in prior years during 2010. We recorded asset impairment charges of \$55 million in 2010 related to intangible assets in China and the Netherlands and on a biscuit plant and related property, plant and equipment in France. The change in unrealized gains/losses on hedging activities decreased operating income by \$167 million, as we recognized losses of \$100 million in 2011, versus gains of \$67 million in 2010. The impact of divestitures, including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG

⁽¹⁾ Please see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section at the end of this item.

⁽²⁾ Impact of acquisition reflects the incremental January 2011 operating results from our Cadbury acquisition.

business and the 2010 divestitures of certain *Cadbury* confectionery operations in Poland and Romania, decreased operating income by \$134 million. As a result of the net effect of these drivers, operating income margin increased, from 11.5% in 2010 to 12.2% in 2011. The margin gain was driven primarily by overhead leverage and lower corporate expenses reflecting lower acquisition-related costs, which more than offset the decline in gross profit margin, driven primarily by the impact of the higher revenue base on the margin calculation.

Net Earnings and Earnings per Share Attributable to Kraft Foods Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods of \$3,527 million decreased by \$587 million (14.3%) in 2011. Diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Kraft Foods were \$1.99 in 2011, up 38.2% from \$1.44 in 2010. Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods were \$1.99 in 2011, down 16.7% from \$2.39 in 2010, due to the following:

	,	000,000 ed EPS
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$	2.39
2010 gain on the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business		0.92
Discontinued operations		0.03
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods from Continuing Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2010		1.44
Acquisition-related costs		0.12
Acquisition-related interest and other expense, net		0.09
Integration Program costs		0.29
U.S. health care legislation impact on deferred taxes		0.08
Operating EPS for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 (1)		2.02
Increases in operations		0.21
Increases in operations from accounting calendar changes		
including the 53 rd week of shipments		0.04
Increases in operations from the Cadbury acquisition (2)		0.03
Decreased operating income from divestitures (including for reporting		
purposes the Starbucks CPG business)		(0.05)
Change in unrealized gains/losses on hedging activities		(0.06)
Lower net asset impairments and exit costs		0.01
Favorable foreign currency		0.06
Higher interest and other expense, net (3)		(0.04)
Changes in taxes (4)		0.13
Higher shares outstanding		(0.06)
Operating EPS for the Year Ended December 31, 2011 (1)		2.29
Integration Program costs		(0.28)
Costs associated with the proposed spin-off of the North America Grocery Business		(0.02)
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods for the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$	1.99

- (1) Please see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section at the end of this item.
- (2) Impact of acquisition reflects the incremental January 2011 operating results from our Cadbury acquisition.
- (3) Excludes impacts of acquisition-related interest and other expense, net, and includes a loss of \$157 million related to several interest rate swaps that settled in 2011.
- (4) Excludes the impact of the 2010 U.S. health care legislation on deferred taxes.

2010 compared with 2009

	00	0,000,000 For the Yo Decem		0,000,000 led	00	,000,000	00,000,000
		2010 (in mil	llions, ex	2009 ccept per shar	-	change	% change
Net revenues	\$	49,207	\$	38,754	\$	10,453	27.0%
Operating income		5,666		5,183		483	9.3%
Earnings from continuing operations		2,495		2,810		(315)	(11.2%)
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods		4,114		3,021		1,093	36.2%
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Kraft Foods		1.44		1.89		(0.45)	(23.8%)
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods		2.39		2.03		0.36	17.7%

Net *Revenues* Net revenues increased \$10,453 million (27.0%) to \$49,207 million in 2010, and organic net revenues increased \$1,229 million (3.2%) to \$39,874 million as follows.

	00,000,000
Change in net revenues (by percentage point)	
Higher net pricing	1.0pp
Favorable volume/mix	2.2pp
Total change in organic net revenues (1)	3.2%
Impact of the Cadbury Acquisition	23.6рр
Impact of accounting calendar changes	0.6pp
Unfavorable foreign currency	(0.1)pp
Impact of divestitures	(0.3)pp
Total change in net revenues	27.0%

⁽¹⁾ Please see the *Non-GAAP Financial Measures* section at the end of this item. In 2011, we revised our organic net revenue to exclude the effect of accounting calendar changes as the impact from new accounting calendar changes was significant in 2011. As such, we have conformed our 2010 organic net revenues to be consistent with the current year presentation.

Organic net revenue growth was driven by favorable volume/mix and higher net pricing. Favorable volume/mix was driven by higher base business shipments across all reportable business segments, except U.S. Cheese and U.S. Grocery. Higher net pricing was reflected across all reportable business segments, except Kraft Foods Europe and U.S. Snacks, as we increased pricing to offset higher input costs. The Cadbury acquisition added \$9,143 million in net revenues and accounting calendar changes (excluding the effects of foreign currency) added \$201 million in net revenues in 2010. These gains were partially offset by the impact of divestitures and unfavorable foreign currency. Foreign currency negatively affected net revenue in 2010 due primarily to the impacts of the highly inflationary Venezuelan economy and the strength of the U.S. dollar against the euro, partially offset by the strength of the Canadian dollar, Brazilian real, Australian dollar and Russian ruble against the U.S. dollar.

Operating Income Operating income increased \$483 million (9.3%) to \$5,666 million in 2010, due to the following:

	O _l	0,000,000 perating ncome millions)	00,000,000 Change (percentage point)
Operating Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$	5,183	
2009 acquisition-related costs associated with Cadbury		40	0.9pp
Underlying Operating Income for the Year			
Ended December 31, 2009 (1)	\$	5,223	
Higher input costs		(477)	(8.5)pp
Higher pricing		403	7.2pp
Favorable volume/mix		422	7.6pp
Lower selling, general and administrative expenses		72	1.5pp
Increased operating income from the Cadbury acquisition		1,139	21.8pp
Increased operating income from accounting calendar changes		25	0.5pp
Change in unrealized gains/losses on hedging activities		(136)	(2.3)pp
Higher net asset impairment and exit costs		(82)	(1.6)pp
Unfavorable foreign currency		(8)	(0.1)pp
Other, net		4	
Total change in underlying operating income		1,362	26.1%
Underlying Operating Income for the Year			
Ended December 31, 2010 (1)	\$	6,585	
Integration Program costs		(646)	(12.5)pp
2010 acquisition-related costs associated with Cadbury		(273)	(5.2)pp
Operating Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$	5,666	9.3%

Input cost increases outpaced pricing during the year. Higher raw material costs more than offset lower manufacturing costs and higher pricing was driven by the increased input costs we experienced during the year. The favorable volume/mix was driven primarily by strong contributions from Kraft Foods Developing Markets, Kraft Foods Europe, U.S. Beverages and U.S. Convenient Meals, partially offset by declines in U.S. Grocery, U.S. Cheese and U.S. Snacks. Total selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$3,217 million from 2009. Excluding the impacts of divestitures, foreign currency, accounting calendar changes and our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$72 million versus the prior year. The Cadbury acquisition increased operating income by \$1,139 million. Accounting calendar changes (excluding the effects of foreign currency) added \$25 million in operating income. The change in unrealized gains/losses on hedging activities also decreased operating income by \$136 million, as we recognized gains of \$67 million in 2010, versus gains of \$203 million in 2009. During 2010, we reversed \$37 million in restructuring program charges recorded in prior years, versus a reversal of \$85 million in restructuring program charges recorded in prior years during 2009. We recorded asset impairment charges of \$55 million in 2010 related to intangible assets in China and the Netherlands and on a biscuit plant and related property, plant and equipment in France, versus asset impairment charges of \$21 million related to intangible assets in the Netherlands and to write off an investment in Norway that were recorded in 2009. In addition, unfavorable foreign currency decreased operating income by \$8 million, due primarily to the impacts of the highly inflationary Venezuelan economy and the strength of the U.S. dollar against the euro, partially offset by the strength of the Canadian dollar, Brazilian real, Korean won and Australian dollar against the U.S. dollar. As a result of the net effect of these drivers, operating income margin decreased from 13.4% in 2009 to 11.5% in 2010. The margin decline was driven primarily by higher corporate expenses reflecting acquisition-related costs and Integration Program costs, as the decline in gross profit margin, due to increased input costs outpacing pricing, was essentially offset by overhead leverage.

⁽¹⁾ Please see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section at the end of this item.

Net Earnings and Earnings per Share Attributable to Kraft Foods Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods of \$4,114 million increased by \$1,093 million (36.2%) in 2010. Diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Kraft Foods were \$1.44 in 2010, down 23.8% from \$1.89 in 2009. Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods were \$2.39 in 2010, up 17.7% from \$2.03 in 2009, due to the following:

	,	,000,000 ited EPS
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods for the Year	ф	2.02
Ended December 31, 2009	\$	2.03 0.14
Discontinued operations		0.14
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods from Continuing		1.89
Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 Acquisition-related costs		0.04
Acquisition-related costs		0.04
Operating EPS ⁽¹⁾ for the Year Ended December 31, 2009		1.93
Increases in operations		0.22
Increases in operations from the Cadbury acquisition		0.45
Change in unrealized gains on hedging activities		(0.06)
Higher net asset impairments and exit costs		(0.04)
Lower gains on divestitures		(0.04)
Change in foreign currency		
Higher interest and other expense, net (2)		(0.25)
Changes in taxes (3)		0.05
Higher shares outstanding		(0.24)
Operating EPS ⁽¹⁾ for the Year Ended December 31, 2010		2.02
Aidition and add		(0.12)
Acquisition-related costs		(0.12)
Acquisition-related interest and other expense, net Integration Program costs		(0.09)
U.S. health care legislation impact on deferred taxes		(0.29) (0.08)
U.S. health care registation impact on deferred taxes		(0.08)
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods from Continuing		
Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2010		1.44
2010 gain on the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business		0.92
Discontinued operations		0.03
Diluted EPS Attributable to Kraft Foods for the Year		
Ended December 31, 2010	\$	2.39

⁽¹⁾ Please see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section at the end of this Item.

⁽²⁾ Excludes impacts of acquisition-related interest and other expense, net.

⁽³⁾ Excludes the impacts of the 2010 U.S. health care legislation on deferred taxes and includes the impacts of the U.S. federal tax audit agreements in both 2010 and 2009.

Results of Operations by Reportable Segment

We manage and report operating results through three geographic units, Kraft Foods North America, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets. We manage the operations of Kraft Foods North America and Kraft Foods Europe by product category, and we manage the operations of Kraft Foods Developing Markets by location. Our reportable segments are U.S. Beverages, U.S. Cheese, U.S. Convenient Meals, U.S. Grocery, U.S. Snacks, Canada & N.A. Foodservice, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets. The results of operations from our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), are reflected within our U.S. Snacks, Canada & N.A. Foodservice, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segments.

The following discussion compares our results of operations for each of our reportable segments for 2011 with 2010, and for 2010 with 2009.

	,000,000 2011	00,000,000 For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 (in millions)		00	00,000,000 2009	
Net revenues:						
Kraft Foods North America:						
U.S. Beverages	\$ 3,006	\$	3,212	\$	3,057	
U.S. Cheese	3,810		3,528		3,605	
U.S. Convenient Meals	3,328		3,131		3,029	
U.S. Grocery	3,563		3,398		3,453	
U.S. Snacks	6,329		6,001		4,964	
Canada & N.A. Foodservice	5,152		4,696		3,922	
Kraft Foods Europe	13,356		11,628		8,768	
Kraft Foods Developing Markets	15,821		13,613		7,956	
Net revenues	\$ 54,365	\$	49,207	\$	38,754	

	00,000,000 2011		00,000,000 For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 (in millions)		,000,000 2009
Operating income:					
Kraft Foods North America:					
U.S. Beverages	\$	450	\$	564	\$ 511
U.S. Cheese		629		598	667
U.S. Convenient Meals		319		268	234
U.S. Grocery		1,240		1,164	1,146
U.S. Snacks		847		845	723
Canada & N.A. Foodservice		682		582	462
Kraft Foods Europe		1,406		1,115	785
Kraft Foods Developing Markets		2,053		1,577	936
Unrealized gains / (losses) on					
hedging activities		(100)		67	203
Certain U.S. pension plan costs		(206)		(179)	(165)
General corporate expenses		(438)		(724)	(293)
Amortization of intangibles		(225)		(211)	(26)
Operating income	\$	6,657	\$	5,666	\$ 5,183

As discussed in Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, we use segment operating income to evaluate segment performance and allocate resources. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze segment performance and trends. Segment operating income excludes unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities (which are a component of cost of sales), certain components of our U.S. pension plan cost (which are a component of cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses), general corporate expenses (which are a component of selling, general and administrative expenses) and amortization of intangibles for all periods presented. We exclude the unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities from segment operating income in order to provide better transparency of our segment operating results. Once realized, the gains and losses on hedging activities are recorded within segment operating results. We exclude certain components of our U.S. pension plan cost from segment operating income because we centrally manage pension plan funding decisions and the determination of discount rate, expected rate of return on plan assets and other actuarial assumptions. Therefore, we allocate only the service cost component of our U.S. pension plan expense to segment operating income.

On March 1, 2011, Starbucks, without our authorization and in what we contend is a violation and breach of our agreements with Starbucks, took control of the Starbucks CPG business in grocery stores and other channels, after alleging we had breached the Supply and License Agreement. The dispute is pending Arbitration in Chicago, Illinois. We are seeking appropriate remedies, including but not limited to payment of the fair market value of the Supply and License Agreement plus the premium this agreement specifies. Starbucks has counterclaimed for unspecified damages. The arbitration proceeding is set to begin on July 11, 2012 and is expected to conclude on July 31, 2012. The results of the Starbucks CPG business were included primarily in our U.S. Beverage and Canada and N.A. Foodservice segments through March 1, 2011.

In 2011, the unfavorable \$100 million net change in unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities primarily resulted from higher commodity hedge losses, partially offset by gains on currency forward contracts. In 2010, the favorable \$67 million net change in unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities primarily resulted from gains associated with commodity hedge contracts. In 2009, the favorable \$203 million net change in unrealized gains (losses) on hedging activities primarily resulted from 2008 unrealized losses on energy derivatives becoming realized in 2009 and therefore, included in segment operating income.

The 2011 decrease in general corporate expenses was due primarily to Cadbury acquisition-related transaction fees in the prior year and lower Integration Program costs. The 2010 increase in general corporate expenses was primarily due to acquisition-related transaction fees, Integration Program costs and the impact of Cadbury s corporate charges. We incurred acquisition-related transaction fees of \$218 million in 2010 and \$40 million in 2009. We recorded these charges in operations as part of general corporate expenses. In 2009, general corporate expenses included \$50 million of charges for legal matters related to certain of our European operations.

U.S. Beverages

	0	00,000,000 For the Ye Decemb				000,000	00,000,000	
		2011 (in mi	illions)	2010	\$ change		% change	
Net revenues	\$	3,006	\$	3,212	\$	(206)	(6.4%)	
Segment operating income		450		564		(114)	(20.2%)	
		For the Yo	ears End iber 31,	ed				
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ c	hange	% change	
Net revenues	\$	3,212	\$	3,057	\$	155	5.1%	
Segment operating income		564		511		53	10.4%	

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues decreased \$206 million (6.4%), due to the impact of the Starbucks CPG business cessation (14.5 pp) and unfavorable volume/mix (0.2 pp), partially offset by higher net pricing (6.9 pp) and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.4 pp). Unfavorable volume/mix was driven primarily by lower shipments in mainstream coffee, primarily *Maxwell House*, and *Gevalia* coffee, partially offset by the introduction of *MiO* liquid concentrate and higher shipments in ready-to-drink beverages, primarily *Capri Sun* and *Kool-Aid*, and *Tassimo* coffee. Higher net pricing was due primarily to input cost-driven pricing in coffee.

Segment operating income decreased \$114 million (20.2%), due primarily to the impact of the Starbucks CPG business cessation. Remaining effects of higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, favorable volume mix, the impact of the 53rd week of shipments and lower advertising and consumer promotion costs, more than offset higher raw material costs and higher other selling, general and administrative expenses.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$155 million (5.1%), due to favorable volume/mix (4.5 pp) and higher net pricing (0.6 pp). Favorable volume/mix was driven primarily by higher shipments in ready-to-drink beverages, primarily *Kool-Aid* and *Capri Sun*; coffee, primarily *Maxwell House*, *Starbucks and Tassimo*; and powdered beverages, primarily *Tang*.

Segment operating income increased \$53 million (10.4%), due to favorable volume/mix, lower manufacturing costs, higher net pricing and lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, partially offset by higher raw material costs and higher advertising and consumer promotion costs.

U.S. Cheese

	00	,000,000 For the Yo		,000,000 ed	00,0	000,000	00,000,000
	2011 2010		\$ change		% change		
		(in mi	illions)				
Net revenues	\$	3,810	\$	3,528	\$	282	8.0%
Segment operating income		629		598		31	5.2%
		For the Yo	ears Ende iber 31,	ed			
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ cl	hange	% change
N	ф	`		2.605	Ф	(77)	(2.10()
Net revenues	\$	3,528	\$	3,605	\$	(77)	(2.1%)
Segment operating income		598		667		(69)	(10.3%)

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$282 million (8.0%), due to higher net pricing (8.2 pp) and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.3 pp), partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix (1.1 pp) and the impact of divestitures (0.4 pp). Higher net pricing, across most major cheese categories, was due to input cost-driven pricing. Unfavorable volume/mix was driven primarily by lower shipments in sandwich, cream cheese and recipe cheese categories, partially offset by higher shipments in cultured, natural cheese and grated cheese categories.

Segment operating income increased \$31 million (5.2%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses (including a termination fee received due to the restructuring of a service contract), lower manufacturing costs, the impact of the $53^{\rm rd}$ week of shipments and the 2010 loss on the divestiture of our Bagelfuls operations, partially offset by higher raw material costs (primarily higher dairy costs), unfavorable volume/mix and higher advertising and consumer promotion costs.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues decreased \$77 million (2.1%), due to unfavorable volume/mix (4.7 pp) and the impact of divestitures (0.4 pp), partially offset by higher net pricing (3.0 pp). Unfavorable volume/mix was driven by lower shipments across most cheese categories. Higher net pricing, across all cheese categories, was due to input cost-driven pricing, partially offset by increased promotional spending.

Segment operating income decreased \$69 million (10.3%), due to higher raw material costs (primarily higher dairy costs), unfavorable volume/mix, higher advertising and consumer promotion costs and a loss on the divestiture of our *Bagelfuls* operations, partially offset by higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses and the impact of divestitures.

U.S. Convenient Meals

	0	0,000,000 For the Yo Decem		0,000,000 ed	00,0	000,000	00,000,000
		2011 2010 (in millions)		\$ change		% change	
		(III III)	illions)				
Net revenues	\$	3,328	\$	3,131	\$	197	6.3%
Segment operating income		319		268		51	19.0%
		For the Yo	ears End ber 31,	ed			
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ c	hange	% change
Net revenues	\$	3,131	\$	3,029	\$	102	3.4%
Segment operating income		268		234		34	14.5%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$197 million (6.3%), due to higher net pricing (5.9 pp) and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.4 pp), partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix (1.0 pp). Higher net pricing was due to input cost-driven pricing primarily related to bacon, cold cuts, hot dogs and *Lunchables* combination meals. Unfavorable volume/mix was driven primarily by lower shipments in bacon and hot dogs, partially offset by higher shipments in cold cuts and *Lunchables* combination meals.

Segment operating income increased \$51 million (19.0%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, partially offset by higher raw material costs, higher advertising and consumer promotion costs and unfavorable volume/mix.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$102 million (3.4%), due to favorable volume/mix (3.1 pp) and higher net pricing (0.3 pp). Favorable volume/mix was driven by higher shipments in hot dogs, *Lunchables* combination meals and cold cuts. Higher net pricing was driven by input-cost driven pricing, mostly offset by increased promotional spending.

Segment operating income increased \$34 million (14.5%), due to lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, lower manufacturing costs, favorable volume/mix and higher net pricing, partially offset by higher raw material costs and higher advertising and consumer promotion costs.

U.S. Grocery

	00,	,000,000 For the Yo Decem		,000,000 d	00,0	00,000	00,000,000
		2011 (in mi	2010 millions)		\$ change		% change
		(III III)	illions)				
Net revenues	\$	3,563	\$	3,398	\$	165	4.9%
Segment operating income		1,240		1,164		76	6.5%
		For the Yo	ears Ende ber 31,	d			
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ cl	nange	% change
Net revenues	\$	3,398	\$	3,453	\$	(55)	(1.6%)
Segment operating income		1,164		1,146		18	1.6%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$165 million (4.9%), due to higher net pricing (6.4 pp) and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.4 pp), partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix (2.9 pp). Higher net pricing was reflected across most grocery categories including spoonable dressings, *Kraft* macaroni and cheese dinners, dry packaged desserts and ready-to-eat desserts. Unfavorable volume/mix was driven by lower shipments, primarily spoonable dressings, ready-to-eat desserts, barbecue sauces, dessert toppings and dry packaged desserts, partially offset by the introduction of *Planters* peanut butter and higher shipments in *Kraft* macaroni and cheese dinners.

Segment operating income increased \$76 million (6.5%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, lower advertising and consumer promotion costs and lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, partially offset by higher raw material costs and unfavorable volume/mix.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues decreased \$55 million (1.6%), due to unfavorable volume/mix (3.3 pp), partially offset by higher net pricing (1.7 pp). Unfavorable volume/mix was due primarily to lower shipments across most key categories, including pourable dressings, ready-to-eat desserts, spoonable dressings and dry packaged desserts. Higher net pricing, across key categories, was primarily related to *Kraft* macaroni and cheese dinners, pourable dressings, ready-to-eat desserts and dry packaged desserts.

Segment operating income increased \$18 million (1.6%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs and lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix and higher advertising and consumer promotion costs.

U.S. Snacks

	0	0,000,000 For the Yo Decem),000,000 led	00,	000,000	00,000,000
		2011 2010 (in millions)		\$ change		% change	
		(in mi	illions)				
Net revenues	\$	6,329	\$	6,001	\$	328	5.5%
Segment operating income		847		845		2	0.2%
		For the Yo	ears End iber 31,	led			
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ (change	% change
Net revenues	\$	6,001	\$	4,964	\$	1,037	20.9%
Segment operating income		845		723		122	16.9%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$328 million (5.5%), due to higher net pricing (5.0 pp), our Cadbury acquisition (1.3 pp) and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.1 pp), partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix (1.9 pp). Biscuits net revenues increased, due to higher net pricing, the impact of the 53rd week of shipments and favorable volume/mix. Biscuits favorable volume/mix was due primarily to higher shipments in cookies (primarily *Chips Ahoy!*, *Oreo* and *Newtons*), partially offset by lower shipments in crackers (primarily *Premium, Ritz, 100 Calorie Packs* and *Triscuit*). Snack nuts net revenues increased, due to higher net pricing and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix. Confectionery net revenues increased, due to our Cadbury acquisition (incremental January 2011 operating results) and higher net pricing, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix, due primarily to lower shipments in gum and candy.

Segment operating income increased \$2 million (0.2%), due to higher net pricing, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, our Cadbury acquisition due to the incremental January 2011 operating results and lower acquisition-related costs, partially offset by higher raw material costs, unfavorable volume/mix, higher manufacturing costs and higher Integration Program costs.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$1,037 million (20.9%), due to our Cadbury acquisition (21.7 pp) and favorable volume/mix (0.5 pp), partially offset by the impact of divestitures (1.1 pp) and lower net pricing (0.2 pp). Biscuits net revenues decreased, driven by unfavorable volume/mix, partially offset by higher net pricing. Biscuits unfavorable volume/mix was due primarily to lower shipments in crackers (primarily *Wheat Thins, Cheese Nips, Premium* and *Honey Maid*) and cookies (primarily *Newtons* and *Nutter Butter*). Snack nuts net revenues increased, due to favorable volume/mix, driven by higher shipments.

Segment operating income increased \$122 million (16.9%), due primarily to our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), the favorable resolution of a settlement relating to the 2009 pistachio product recall, lower manufacturing costs and lower other selling, general and administrative expenses. These favorable variances were partially offset by higher raw material costs, higher advertising and consumer promotion costs, unfavorable volume/mix, the 2009 gain on the divestiture of our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S., the impact of divestitures and lower net pricing.

Canada & N.A. Foodservice

	0	0,000,000 For the Ye Decem		0,000,000 ed	00,0	000,000	00,000,000
		2011 2010 (in millions)		\$ change		% change	
Net revenues	\$	5,152	\$	4,696	\$	456	9.7%
Segment operating income	·	682	·	582	·	100	17.2%
		For the Ye	ears End ber 31,	ed			
		2010 (in mi	llions)	2009	\$ c	hange	% change
Net revenues	\$	4,696	\$	3,922	\$	774	19.7%
Segment operating income		582		462		120	26.0%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$456 million (9.7%), due to higher net pricing (5.3 pp), favorable foreign currency (2.9 pp), the impact of the 53rd week of shipments (1.2 pp) and our Cadbury acquisition (0.9 pp), partially offset by the impact of divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business) (0.4 pp) and unfavorable volume/mix (0.2 pp). In Canada, net revenues increased, driven primarily by favorable foreign currency, higher net pricing, our Cadbury acquisition and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix, reflecting volume declines across most retail businesses, except grocery and cheese, and the impact of divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business). In N.A. Foodservice, net revenues increased, driven primarily by higher net pricing, favorable volume/mix, the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, favorable foreign currency and our Cadbury acquisition.

Segment operating income increased \$100 million (17.2%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, favorable foreign currency, our Cadbury acquisition due to the incremental January 2011 operating results and the impact of the 53rd week of shipments, partially offset by higher raw material costs and unfavorable volume/mix.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$774 million (19.7%), due to our Cadbury acquisition (11.1 pp), the significant impact of favorable foreign currency (6.4 pp), higher net pricing (1.5 pp) and favorable volume/mix (0.8 pp), partially offset by the impact of divestitures (0.1 pp). In Canada, net revenues increased, driven by our Cadbury acquisition, favorable foreign currency, higher net pricing and favorable volume/mix, driven by higher shipments in its grocery, cheese and convenient meals retail businesses. In N.A. Foodservice, net revenues increased, driven by higher net pricing and favorable foreign currency, partially offset by unfavorable volume/mix (unfavorable product mix, net of higher shipments).

Segment operating income increased \$120 million (26.0%), due primarily to our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), higher net pricing, favorable foreign currency, lower manufacturing costs, favorable volume/mix and lower advertising and consumer promotion costs, partially offset by higher raw material costs and higher other selling, general and administrative expenses.

Kraft Foods Europe

	00	0,000,000 For the Yo),000,000 led	00	,000,000	00,000,000
		2011	2010		0 \$ change		% change
		(in mi	llions)				
Net revenues	\$	13,356	\$	11,628	\$	1,728	14.9%
Segment operating income		1,406		1,115		291	26.1%
		For the Yo	ears End	led			
		2010 (in mi	llions)	2009	\$	change	% change
Net revenues	\$	11,628	\$	8,768	\$	2,860	32.6%
Segment operating income		1,115		785		330	42.0%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$1,728 million (14.9%), due to favorable foreign currency (5.5 pp), higher net pricing (4.4 pp), the impact of accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments) (3.0 pp), our Cadbury acquisition (1.8 pp) and favorable volume/mix (0.2 pp). Favorable foreign currency primarily reflected the strength of the euro, Swedish krona, British pound and Swiss franc against the U.S. dollar. Higher net pricing was reflected across all major categories except gum and candy. Favorable volume/mix was due primarily to higher shipments in cheese, biscuits and chocolate, partially offset by lower shipments in coffee, gum and candy.

Segment operating income increased \$291 million (26.1%), due primarily to higher net pricing, lower manufacturing costs, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, favorable foreign currency, the impact of accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments), the absence of asset impairment charges recorded in 2010, our Cadbury acquisition due to the incremental January 2011 operating results, lower acquisition-related costs, lower advertising and consumer promotion costs and favorable volume/mix. These favorable factors were partially offset by higher raw material costs and lower reversal of prior years restructuring program costs.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$2,860 million (32.6%), due to our Cadbury acquisition (33.0 pp), favorable volume/mix (3.3 pp) and the favorable impact of an accounting calendar change for certain European biscuits operations (0.6 pp), partially offset by the impact of unfavorable foreign currency (3.1 pp), lower net pricing (1.0 pp), and the impact of divestitures (0.2 pp). Volume/mix gains in biscuits, chocolate, cheese and coffee, due primarily to higher shipments, drove net revenues higher. Lower net pricing was reflected across all categories, except coffee. Unfavorable foreign currency primarily reflected the strength of the U.S. dollar against the euro, partially offset by the strength of the Swedish krona, Swiss franc, and Norwegian krone versus the U.S. dollar.

Segment operating income increased \$330 million (42.0%), due primarily to favorable volume/mix, lower manufacturing costs, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses, our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), the 2009 asset impairment charges related to certain intangible assets in the Netherlands and to write-off an investment in Norway, the 2009 loss on the divestiture of a plant in Spain, lower advertising and consumer promotion costs and the impact of accounting calendar change for certain European biscuits operations. These favorable variances were partially offset by higher raw material costs, lower net pricing, lower reversal of prior years—restructuring program costs, asset impairment charges related to intangible assets in the Netherlands and on a biscuit plant and related property, plant and equipment in France, and unfavorable foreign currency.

Kraft Foods Developing Markets

	00	0,000,000 For the Yo		0,000,000 ed	00,	,000,000	00,000,000
		2011 2010 (in millions)		\$ change		% change	
Net revenues	\$	15,821	\$	13,613	\$	2,208	16.2%
Segment operating income	•	2,053	,	1,577	,	476	30.2%
		For the Yo	ears End ber 31,	ed			
		2010 (in mi	illions)	2009	\$ (change	% change
Net revenues	\$	13,613	\$	7,956	\$	5,657	71.1%
Segment operating income		1,577		936		641	68.5%

2011 compared with 2010:

Net revenues increased \$2,208 million (16.2%), due to higher net pricing (7.3 pp), favorable volume/mix (3.9 pp), favorable foreign currency (2.9 pp), our Cadbury acquisition (2.9 pp), and the impact of accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments in 2011) (0.1 pp), partially offset by the impact of the 2010 divestiture of certain Cadbury confectionery operations in Poland and Romania (0.9 pp). In CEEMA, net revenues increased, driven by higher net pricing across the region, the impact of accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week of shipments in 2011), our Cadbury acquisition and favorable foreign currency, partially offset by the impact of divestitures and unfavorable volume/mix. In Latin America, net revenues increased, driven by higher net pricing across the region, favorable volume/mix across most of the region, our Cadbury acquisition and favorable foreign currency, partially offset by the impact of accounting calendar changes. In Asia Pacific, net revenues increased, due primarily to favorable volume/mix, favorable foreign currency, our Cadbury acquisition and higher net pricing across most of the region, partially offset by the impact of accounting calendar changes.

Segment operating income increased \$476 million (30.2%), due primarily to higher net pricing, favorable volume/mix, favorable foreign currency, our Cadbury acquisition due to the incremental January 2011 operating results, 2010 asset impairment charges related to trademarks in China, lower acquisition-related costs and lower Integration Program costs. These favorable variances were partially offset by higher raw material costs, higher manufacturing costs, higher other selling, general and administrative expenses (net of a gain on the sale of land), higher advertising and consumer promotion costs.

2010 compared with 2009:

Net revenues increased \$5,657 million (71.1%), due to our Cadbury acquisition (59.8 pp), favorable volume/mix (6.7 pp), higher net pricing (3.2 pp) and the impact of accounting calendar changes for Asia Pacific and certain operations in Latin America (1.9 pp), partially offset by the impact of divestitures (0.3 pp) and the impact of unfavorable foreign currency (0.2 pp). In CEEMA, net revenues increased, driven by our Cadbury acquisition, favorable volume/mix across most of the region and favorable foreign currency. In Latin America, net revenues increased, driven by our Cadbury acquisition, higher net pricing across most of the region and favorable volume/mix, primarily in Brazil, Argentina, Central America and Mexico, partially offset by unfavorable foreign currency and the impact of divestitures. In Asia Pacific, net revenues increased, due primarily to our Cadbury acquisition, favorable volume/mix driven by higher shipments across the region, primarily in China, Indonesia and Australia/New Zealand, and favorable foreign currency.

Segment operating income increased \$641 million (68.5%), due to our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), favorable volume/mix, higher net pricing, lower other selling, general and administrative expenses and the impact of the accounting calendar change for Asia Pacific and certain operations in Latin America. These favorable variances were partially offset by higher advertising and consumer promotion costs, higher manufacturing costs, higher raw material costs, asset impairment charges related to trademarks in China and unfavorable foreign currency.

Venezuela In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Venezuelan economy was classified as highly inflationary under U.S. GAAP. Effective January 1, 2010, we began accounting for our Venezuelan subsidiaries under highly inflationary accounting rules, which principally means all transactions are recorded in U.S. dollars. We use both the official Venezuelan bolivar exchange rate and the government-regulated Transaction System for Foreign Currency Denominated Securities (SITME) rate to translate our Venezuelan operations into U.S. dollars, based on the nature of the operations of each individual subsidiary.

We recorded approximately \$10 million of favorable foreign currency impacts relating to highly inflationary accounting in Venezuela during 2011 and approximately \$115 million of unfavorable foreign currency impacts during 2010. The 2010 loss included a one-time impact of \$34 million to translate cash we previously recorded at the secondary market exchange rate.

We do not expect our 2012 operating results to be significantly affected by changes in Venezuelan foreign currency.

Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies we used to prepare our consolidated financial statements. We have discussed the selection and disclosure of our critical accounting policies and estimates with our Audit Committee. The following is a review of the more significant assumptions and estimates, as well as the accounting policies we used to prepare our consolidated financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include Kraft Foods, as well as our wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries. The majority of our operating subsidiaries report results as of the last Saturday of the year. A portion of our international operating subsidiaries report results as of the last calendar day or the last Saturday of the year. Because a significant number of our operating subsidiaries report results on the last Saturday of the year and this year, that day fell on December 31, our results included an extra week (59 week) of operating results than in the prior two years which had 52-weeks.

In 2011, we changed the consolidation date for certain operations of our Kraft Foods Europe segment and in the Latin America and Central and Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa (CEEMA) regions within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported results two weeks prior to the end of the period. Now, our Kraft Foods Europe segment reports results as of the last Saturday of each period. Our operations in Latin America and certain operations in CEEMA report results as of the last calendar day of the period or the last Saturday of the period. These changes and the 53rd week in 2011 resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$920 million and a favorable impact of approximately \$150 million to operating income in 2011.

In 2010, we changed the consolidation date for certain European biscuits operations, which are included within our Kraft Foods Europe segment, and certain operations in Asia Pacific and Latin America within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported period-end results one month or two weeks prior to the end of the period. Kraft Foods Europe moved the reporting of these operations to two weeks prior to the end of the period, and Asia Pacific and Latin America moved the reporting of these operations to the last day of the period. These changes resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$200 million and had an insignificant impact on operating income in 2010.

We believe these changes are preferable and will improve business planning and financial reporting by better matching the close dates of the operating subsidiaries within our Kraft Foods Europe segment and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment and by bringing the reporting date closer to the period-end date. As the effect to prior-period results was not material, we have not revised prior-period results.

We account for investments in which we exercise significant influence (20%-50% ownership interest) under the equity method of accounting. We use the cost method of accounting for investments in which we have an ownership interest of less than 20% and in which we do not exercise significant influence. Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries consists of the equity interest of noncontrolling investors in consolidated subsidiaries of Kraft Foods. All intercompany transactions are eliminated.

Use of Estimates:

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect a number of amounts in our consolidated financial statements. Significant accounting policy elections, estimates and assumptions include, among others, pension and benefit plan assumptions, valuation assumptions of goodwill and intangible assets, useful lives of long-lived assets, marketing program accruals, insurance and self-insurance reserves and income taxes. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. If actual amounts differ from estimates, we include the revisions in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which we know the actual amounts. Historically, the aggregate differences, if any, between our estimates and actual amounts in any year have not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. We value all our inventories using the average cost method. We also record inventory allowances for overstocked and obsolete inventories due to ingredient and packaging changes.

Long-Lived Assets:

We review long-lived assets, including amortizable intangible assets, for impairment when conditions exist that indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. We perform undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if an impairment exists. When testing for impairment of assets held for use, we group assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which cash flows are separately identifiable. If an impairment is determined to exist, the loss is calculated based on estimated fair value. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal.

In 2011, there were no asset impairments recorded. In 2010, we recorded an asset impairment of \$12 million on a biscuit plant and related property, plant and equipment in France. In 2009, we recorded a \$9 million asset impairment charge to write off an investment in Norway.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

We test goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for impairment at least annually on October 1. We assess goodwill impairment risk by first performing a qualitative review of entity-specific, industry, market and general economic factors for each reporting unit. If significant potential goodwill impairment risk exists for a specific reporting unit, we apply a two-step quantitative test. The first step compares the reporting unit is estimated fair value with its carrying value. We estimate a reporting unit is fair value using a 20-year projection of discounted cash flows which incorporates planned growth rates, market-based discount rates and estimates of residual value. For reporting units within our Kraft Foods North America and Kraft Foods Europe geographic units, we used a market-based, weighted-average cost of capital of 6.8% to discount the projected cash flows of those operations. For reporting units within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets geographic unit, we used a risk-rated discount rate of 9.8%. Estimating the fair value of individual reporting units requires us to make assumptions and estimates regarding our future plans, industry and economic conditions and our actual results and conditions may differ over time. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is net assets exceeds its fair value, the second step is applied to measure the difference between the carrying value and implied fair value of goodwill. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, the goodwill is considered impaired and reduced to its implied fair value. We test non-amortizable intangible assets for impairment by comparing the fair value of each intangible asset with its carrying value. Fair value of non-amortizable intangible assets is determined using planned growth rates, market-based discount rates and estimates of royalty rates. If the carrying value exceeds fair value, the intangible asset is considered impaired and is reduced to fair value. We record intangible asset impairment charges within ass

In 2011, there were no impairments of goodwill or non-amortizable intangible assets. In 2010, we also noted no goodwill impairment and disclosed five reporting units which were more sensitive to near-term changes in discounted cash flow assumptions. In 2011, we noted only one reporting unit, U.S. Salty Snacks, which continues to be sensitive primarily to ongoing significant input cost pressure. U.S. Salty Snacks has \$1,170 million of goodwill as of December 31, 2011, and its excess fair value over the carrying value of its net assets improved from 12% in 2010, to 19% in 2011. While the reporting unit passed the first step of the impairment test by a substantial margin, if its operating income were to decline significantly in the future, it would adversely affect the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. If input costs were to continue to rise, we expect to take further pricing actions as we have done in 2011. However, if we are unsuccessful in these efforts, it would decrease profitability, negatively affect the estimated fair value of the U.S. Salty Snacks reporting unit and could lead to a potential impairment in the future.

During our 2010 review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets, we recorded a \$13 million charge for the impairment of intangible assets in the Netherlands and a \$30 million charge for the impairment of intangible assets in China.

During our 2009 review, we recorded a \$12 million charge for the impairment of intangible assets in the Netherlands.

Insurance and Self-Insurance:

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance for a number of risks, including workers—compensation, general liability, automobile liability, product liability and our obligation for employee health care benefits. Liabilities associated with the risks are estimated by considering historical claims experience and other actuarial assumptions.

Revenue Recognition:

We recognize revenues when title and risk of loss pass to customers, which generally occurs upon shipment or delivery of goods. Revenues are recorded net of consumer incentives and trade promotions and include all shipping and handling charges billed to customers. Our shipping and handling costs are classified as part of cost of sales. Provisions for product returns and customer allowances are also recorded as reductions to revenues within the same period that the revenue is recognized.

Marketing and Research and Development:

We promote our products with advertising, consumer incentives and trade promotions. These programs include, but are not limited to, discounts, coupons, rebates, in-store display incentives and volume-based incentives. We expense advertising costs either in the period the advertising first takes place or as incurred. Consumer incentive and trade promotion activities are recorded as a reduction to revenues based on amounts estimated as being due to customers and consumers at the end of a period. We base these estimates principally on historical utilization and redemption rates. For interim reporting purposes, advertising and consumer incentive expenses are charged to operations as a percentage of volume, based on estimated volume and related expense for the full year. We do not defer costs on our year-end consolidated balance sheet and all marketing costs are recorded as an expense in the year incurred. Advertising expense was \$2,396 million in 2011, \$2,270 million in 2010, and \$1,581 million in 2009. We expense costs as incurred for product research and development. Research and development expense was \$702 million in 2011, \$583 million in 2010, and \$466 million in 2009. We record marketing and research and development expenses within selling, general and administrative expenses.

Environmental Costs:

We are subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. We accrue for environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis when amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from third parties are recorded as assets when recovery of those costs is deemed probable. At December 31, 2011, our subsidiaries were involved in 68 active actions in the U.S. under Superfund legislation (and other similar actions and legislation) related to current operations and certain former or divested operations for which we retain liability.

Outside the U.S., we are subject to applicable multi-national, national and local environmental laws and regulations in the countries in which we do business. Outside the U.S., we have specific programs across our business units designed to meet applicable environmental compliance requirements.

As of December 31, 2011, we accrued an immaterial amount for environmental remediation. Based on information currently available, we believe that the ultimate resolution of existing environmental remediation actions and our compliance in general with environmental laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our financial results.

Employee Benefit Plans:

We provide a range of benefits to our employees and retired employees. These include pension benefits, postretirement health care benefits and postemployment benefits, consisting primarily of severance. We record amounts relating to these plans based on calculations specified by U.S. GAAP. These calculations require the use of various actuarial assumptions, such as discount rates, assumed rates of return on plan assets, compensation increases, turnover rates and health care cost trend rates. We review our actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and make modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends when appropriate. As permitted by U.S. GAAP, we generally amortize any effect of the modifications over future periods. We believe that the assumptions used in recording our plan obligations are reasonable based on our experience and advice from our actuaries. Refer to Note 11, *Benefit Plans*, to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the assumptions used.

We recorded the following amounts in earnings for these employee benefit plans during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	00	00,000,000 2011		00,000,000 2010 (in millions)		00,000,000 2009	
U.S. pension plan cost	\$	351	\$	322	\$	313	
Non-U.S. pension plan cost		209		209		77	
Postretirement health care cost		229		234		221	
Postemployment benefit plan cost		51		17		18	
Employee savings plan cost		114		104		94	
Multiemployer pension plan contributions		32		30		29	
Multiemployer medical plan contributions		36		35		35	
Net expense for employee benefit plans	\$	1,022	\$	951	\$	787	

The 2011 net expense for employee benefit plans of \$1,022 million increased by \$71 million over the 2010 amount. The cost increase primarily related to higher amortization of the net loss from experience differences for the U.S. pension plans and the incorporation of a Canadian postemployment plan into our obligations. The 2010 net expense for employee benefit plans of \$951 million increased by \$164 million over the 2009 amount. The cost increase primarily related to higher pension plan costs, including costs associated with the Cadbury acquisition and higher amortization of the net loss from experience differences.

The 2011 other comprehensive losses included \$2.3 billion of net actuarial pre-tax losses primarily related to the decrease in the discount rate utilized to determine our pension plan benefit obligations at December 31, 2011 (68 basis point decrease for U.S. plans and 49 basis point decrease for non-U.S. plans), unfavorable differences between our expected and actual return on pension plan assets and the decrease in the discount rate utilized to determine our postretirement benefit obligations at December 31, 2011 (60 basis point decrease for U.S. plans and 73 basis point decrease for our non-U.S. plans). The 2010 other comprehensive earnings included \$361 million of net actuarial pre-tax losses primarily related to the decrease in the discount rate utilized to determine our pension plan benefit obligations at December 31, 2010 (40 basis point decrease for U.S. plans and 10 basis point decrease for non-U.S. plans) and the decrease in the discount rate utilized to determine our postretirement benefit obligations at December 31, 2010 (40 basis point decrease for U.S. plans and 23 basis point decrease for our non-U.S. plans), partially offset by favorable differences between our expected and actual return on pension plan assets.

In 2011, we contributed \$538 million to our U.S. pension plans and \$361 million to our non-U.S. pension plans. In addition, employees contributed \$26 million to our non-U.S. plans. Of our 2011 pension contributions, approximately \$495 million was voluntary. We make contributions to our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans, primarily, to the extent that they are tax deductible and do not generate an excise tax liability. For our U.S. qualified pension plans, in 2012, we are currently only required to make a nominal cash contribution to our U.S. pension plans under the Pension Protection Act of 2006. Based on current tax law, we estimate that 2012 pension contributions would be approximately \$55 million to our U.S. plans and approximately \$425 million to our non-U.S. plans. Of the total 2012 pension contributions, none is expected to be voluntary. Our actual contributions may be different due to many factors, including changes in tax and other benefit laws; significant differences between expected and actual pension asset performance or interest rates; or other factors.

We expect our 2012 net expense for employee benefit plans to increase by approximately \$180 million, primarily due to a weighted-average decrease of 68 basis points in our U.S. pension discount rate assumptions and 49 basis points in our non-U.S. pension discount rate assumptions.

In 2009, our U.S. pension plans were amended. We are freezing our U.S. pension plans for current salaried and non-union hourly employees effective December 31, 2019. Pension accruals for all salaried and non-union employees who are currently earning pension benefits will end on December 31, 2019, and continuing pay and service will be used to calculate the pension benefits through December 31, 2019. Our projected benefit obligation decreased \$168 million in 2009, and we incurred a \$5 million curtailment charge in 2009 related to the freeze. Beginning in 2010, our annual U.S. pension plan costs decreased by approximately \$40 million as a result of this change. Additionally, for salaried and non-union hourly employees hired in the U.S. after January 1, 2009, we discontinued benefits under our U.S. pension plans, and we replaced them with an enhanced company contribution to our employee savings plan. This did not have a significant impact on our 2011, 2010 or 2009 pension plan costs.

For our postretirement plans, our 2012 health care cost trend rate assumption decreased to 7.00% from 7.50% for our U.S. postretirement plans and decreased to 7.42% from 8.83% for our non-U.S. postretirement plans. We established these rates based upon our most recent experience as well as our expectation for health care trend rates going forward. We anticipate that our health care cost trend rate assumption will be 5.00% for U.S. plans by 2016 and 5.53% for non-U.S. plans by 2016. Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects as of December 31, 2011:

	00,000,000	00,000,000
	One-Percenta	age-Point
	Increase	Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	12.8%	(10.5%)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	10.7%	(9.0%)

Our 2012 discount rate assumption decreased to 4.70% from 5.30% for our U.S. postretirement plans and decreased to 4.29% from 5.02% for our non-U.S. postretirement plans. Our 2012 discount rate decreased to 4.85% from 5.53% for our U.S. pension plans. We model these discount rates using a portfolio of high quality, fixed-income debt instruments with durations that match the expected future cash flows of the benefit obligations. Our 2012 discount rate assumption for our non-U.S. pension plans decreased to 4.62% from 5.11%. We developed the discount rates for our non-U.S. plans from local bond indices that match local benefit obligations as closely as possible. Changes in our discount rates were primarily the result of changes in bond yields year-over-year.

Our 2012 expected rate of return on plan assets increased to 8.00% from 7.95% for our U.S. pension plans. We determine our expected rate of return on plan assets from the plan assets historical long-term investment performance, current asset allocation and estimates of future long-term returns by asset class. We attempt to maintain our target asset allocation by rebalancing between asset classes as we make contributions and monthly benefit payments.

While we do not anticipate further changes in the 2012 assumptions for our U.S. and non-U.S. pension and postretirement health care plans, as a sensitivity measure, a fifty-basis point change in our discount rate or a fifty-basis point change in the expected rate of return on plan assets would have the following effects, increase / (decrease) in cost, as of December 31, 2011:

	00,0	00,000 U.S. I	,	00,000	00,0	000,000 Non-U.S		00,000
	Fifty-Basis-Point				Fifty-Basis-Point			
	Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
		(in mil						
Effect of change in discount rate on								
pension costs	\$	(71)	\$	72	\$	(40)	\$	58
Effect of change in expected rate of return								
on plan assets on pension costs		(29)		29		(37)		37
Effect of change in discount rate on								
postretirement health care costs		(13)		13		(1)		1

Financial Instruments:

As we operate globally, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency exchange rate, commodity price and interest rate risks. We monitor and manage these exposures as part of our overall risk management program. Our risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on our operating results. We maintain foreign currency, commodity price and interest rate risk management strategies that seek to reduce significant, unanticipated earnings fluctuations that may arise from volatility in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates, principally through the use of derivative instruments.

Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. We formally document the nature of and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transactions must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If we deem it probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, we recognize the gain or loss in earnings currently.

By using derivatives to hedge exposures to changes in exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates, we have exposure on these derivatives to credit and market risk. We are exposed to credit risk that the counterparty might fail to fulfill its performance obligations under the terms of the derivative contract. We minimize our credit risk by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties with investment grade credit ratings, limiting the amount of exposure we have with each counterparty and monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties. We also maintain a policy of requiring that all significant, non-exchange traded derivative contracts with a duration greater than one year be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreement. Market risk is the risk that the value of the financial instrument might be adversely affected by a change in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, or interest rates. We manage market risk by incorporating monitoring parameters within our risk management strategy that limit the types of derivative instruments and derivative strategies we use, and the degree of market risk that may be undertaken by the use of derivative instruments.

We record derivative financial instruments at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets as either current assets or current liabilities. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and designated as a cash flow hedge, to the extent that the hedge is effective, are recorded each period in accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses). Gains and losses on derivative instruments reported in accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) are reclassified to the consolidated statement of earnings in the periods in which operating results are affected by the hedged item. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and designated as a fair value hedge, along with the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings. Cash flows from hedging instruments are classified in the same manner as the affected hedged item in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

We also have numerous investments in our foreign subsidiaries. The net assets of these subsidiaries are exposed to volatility in foreign currency exchange rates. We use foreign-currency-denominated debt to hedge a portion of our net investment in foreign operations against adverse movements in exchange rates. We designated our euro and pound sterling denominated borrowings as a net investment hedge of a portion of our overall European operations. The gains and losses on our net investment in these designated European operations are economically offset by losses and gains on our euro and pound sterling denominated borrowings. The change in the debt s fair value is recorded in the currency translation adjustment component of accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses).

Income Taxes:

We recognize tax benefits in our financial statements when our uncertain tax positions are more likely than not to be sustained upon audit. The amount we recognize is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

We recognize deferred tax assets for deductible temporary differences, operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

New Accounting Guidance

See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of new accounting standards.

Contingencies

See Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, to the consolidated financial statements.

Commodity Trends

We purchase large quantities of commodities, including dairy, coffee, cocoa, wheat, corn products, soybean and vegetable oils, nuts, meat products, and sugar and other sweeteners. In addition, we use significant quantities of plastic, glass and cardboard to package our products, and natural gas for our factories and warehouses. We continuously monitor worldwide supply and cost trends of these commodities so we can act quickly to obtain ingredients and packaging needed for production.

Significant cost items in chocolate confectionery products are cocoa and sugar. We purchase cocoa and sugar on world markets, and the prices are affected by the quality and availability of supply and changes in the value of the pound sterling and the U.S. dollar relative to certain other currencies. Cocoa bean, cocoa butter and sugar costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. We purchase our dairy raw material requirements, including milk and cheese, from independent third parties such as agricultural cooperatives and independent processors. The prices for milk and other dairy products are substantially influenced by market supply and demand, as well as by government programs. Dairy commodity costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. The most significant cost item in coffee products is green coffee beans, which are purchased on world markets. Green coffee bean prices are affected by the quality and availability of supply, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to certain other currencies and consumer demand for coffee products. Green coffee bean costs on average were higher in 2011 than in 2010. Significant cost items in our biscuit and grocery products are grains (wheat, corn and soybean oil). Grain costs have experienced significant volatility and have increased from 2010 to 2011 due largely to burgeoning global demand for food, livestock feed and biofuels such as ethanol and biodiesel.

During 2011, our aggregate commodity costs increased primarily as a result of higher costs of coffee, dairy, grains and oils, packaging materials, other raw materials, meat and nuts. Our commodity costs increased approximately \$2.6 billion in 2011 and approximately \$1.0 billion in 2010 compared to the prior year. We expect the higher cost environment and commodity cost volatility to continue in 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that our cash from operations, our existing \$4.5 billion revolving credit facility (which supports our commercial paper program) and our authorized long-term financing will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, future contractual obligations and payment of our anticipated quarterly dividends. We continue to utilize our commercial paper program and primarily uncommitted international credit lines for regular funding requirements. We also use short-term intercompany loans from foreign subsidiaries to improve financial flexibility. Overall, we do not expect any negative effects to our funding sources that would have a material effect on our liquidity.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:

Operating activities provided net cash of \$4.5 billion in 2011, \$3.7 billion in 2010, and \$5.1 billion in 2009. The increase in operating cash flows in 2011 is primarily related to increased earnings from continuing operations and the absence of tax payments in the prior year in connection with the Frozen Pizza divestiture, partially offset by higher working capital (mainly higher inventory costs, increased interest payments and increased integration spending) and a \$495 million voluntary contribution to our U.S. pension plans. The decrease in operating cash flows in 2010 was primarily related to the estimated tax payments on the Frozen Pizza divestiture, increased inventory levels and the unfavorable impact of acquisition-related financing fees, partially offset by increased earnings.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities:

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.7 billion in 2011, \$7.5 billion in 2010, and \$1.2 billion in 2009. The decrease in cash used in investing activities in 2011 primarily related to cash payments in the prior year related to the 2010 Cadbury acquisition, partially offset by the proceeds from the sale of the Frozen Pizza business and from the divestitures in Poland and Romania. The increase in cash used in investing activities in 2010 primarily related to the Cadbury acquisition, partially offset by higher proceeds from divestitures. During 2010, we paid \$9.8 billion in net cash for the Cadbury acquisition, and we received \$3.7 billion in proceeds from the sale of the Frozen Pizza business and \$0.3 billion in proceeds from the divestitures in Poland and Romania. During 2009, we divested our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S., a juice operation in Brazil and a plant in Spain and received \$41 million in net proceeds.

Capital expenditures, which were funded by operating activities, were \$1.8 billion in 2011, \$1.7 billion in 2010, and \$1.3 billion in 2009. The 2011 capital expenditures were primarily used to modernize manufacturing facilities and support new product and productivity initiatives. We expect 2012 capital expenditures to be approximately \$2 billion, including capital expenditures required for systems investments and the Integration Program. We expect to fund these expenditures from operations.

Net Cash Provided by / (Used in) Financing Activities:

Net cash used in financing activities was \$3.2 billion in 2011, \$4.2 billion provided in 2010 and \$3.1 billion used in 2009. The net cash used in 2011 primarily related to \$2.0 billion in dividends paid, \$1.1 billion in long-term debt repayments and \$565 million in repayments of short-term borrowings, partially offset by \$492 million in proceeds from primarily stock option exercises. The net cash provided by financing activities in 2010 primarily related to proceeds from our long-term debt issuance of \$9.4 billion, partially offset by \$2.2 billion in dividends paid, \$2.1 billion in long-term debt repayments, primarily related to our repurchase of \$1.5 billion in notes through our tender offer, and \$864 million in net repayments of short-term borrowings. The net cash used in financing activities in 2009 primarily related to \$1.7 billion in dividend payments, \$950 million in repayments of long-term debt and \$344 million in net commercial paper repayments. Additionally, other cash used in financing activities in 2009 included \$69 million in costs related to our bridge facility agreement dated November 9, 2009 (the Cadbury Bridge Facility).

Borrowing Arrangements:

On April 1, 2011, we entered into an agreement for a \$4.5 billion four-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility which expires in April 2015. The agreement replaced our former revolving credit agreement, which was terminated upon the signing of the new agreement. We intend to use the revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes, including for working capital purposes, and to support our commercial paper issuances. As of December 31, 2011, no amounts have been drawn on the facility.

The revolving credit agreement includes a covenant that we maintain a minimum total shareholders—equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses), of at least \$28.6 billion. At December 31, 2011, our total shareholders—equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses), was \$41.9 billion. We expect to continue to meet this covenant. The revolving credit agreement also contains customary representations, covenants and events of default. However, the revolving credit facility has no other financial covenants, credit rating triggers or provisions that could require us to post collateral as security.

In addition to the above, some of our international subsidiaries maintain primarily uncommitted credit lines to meet short-term working capital needs. Collectively, these credit lines amounted to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011, and \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2010. Borrowings on these lines amounted to \$182 million at December 31, 2011 and \$267 million at December 31, 2010.

As part of our Cadbury acquisition, on November 9, 2009, we entered into the Cadbury Bridge Facility. During the first quarter of 2010, we borrowed £807 million under the Cadbury Bridge Facility, and later repaid it (\$1,205 million at the time of repayment) with proceeds from the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business. Upon repayment, the Cadbury Bridge Facility was terminated.

Long-term Debt:

Our total debt was \$26.9 billion at December 31, 2011 and \$28.7 billion at December 31, 2010. Our debt-to-capitalization ratio was 0.43 at December 31, 2011 and 0.44 at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2011, the weighted-average term of our outstanding long-term debt was 9.3 years.

On January 10, 2012, we issued \$800 million of floating rate notes maturing in 2013 which bear interest at a rate equal to the three-month London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 0.875%. We intend to use the net proceeds of \$798.8 million for general corporate purposes, which may include repayment of debt. The notes have a special mandatory redemption. Upon public announcement of the record date for the proposed spin-off of our North American grocery business to our shareholders, we will be required to issue a notice of redemption of all of the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest through the day prior to the redemption date.

On November 1, 2011, we repaid \$1.1 billion of our long-term debt with cash from operations and short-term borrowings.

On December 29, 2010, we repurchased \$900 million principal amount of our 5.625% notes due 2011 and \$600 million principal amount of our 6.25% notes due 2012, which were validly tendered pursuant to the cash tender offers we initiated in November 2010. We paid \$1,596 million aggregate consideration, including accrued and unpaid interest, for the accepted notes in December 2010.

On December 20, 2010, we repaid £77 million (approximately \$119 million) of our long-term debt and on August 11, 2010, we repaid \$500 million of our long-term debt. We funded these repayments with cash from operations and short-term borrowings.

On February 8, 2010, we issued \$9.5 billion of senior unsecured notes at a weighted-average effective rate of 5.364% and used the net proceeds (\$9,379 million) to finance the Cadbury acquisition and for general corporate purposes. The notes include covenants that restrict our ability to incur debt secured by liens above a certain threshold. We also must offer to purchase these notes at a price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase, if both of the following occur: (i) a change of control triggering event, and (ii) a downgrade of these notes below an investment grade rating by each of Moody s Investor Service, Inc., Standard & Poor s Ratings Services and Fitch, Inc. within a specified period.

On February 2, 2010, as part of the Cadbury acquisition, we acquired \$2,437 million of long-term debt. The terms of the debt (including U.S. dollar par amounts as of February 2, 2010) were:

£77 million (approximately \$123 million) total principal notes due December 20, 2010 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 4.875%. C\$150 million (approximately \$140 million) Canadian bank loan agreement expiring August 30, 2012 at a variable interest rate. The interest rate at December 31, 2011 was 1.507%.

\$1.0 billion total principal notes due October 1, 2013 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 5.125%.

£300 million (approximately \$499 million) total principal notes due December 11, 2014 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 5.375%. £350 million (approximately \$626 million) total principal notes due July 18, 2018 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 7.250%.

We expect to continue to comply with our long-term debt covenants. Refer to Note 8, *Debt and Borrowing Arrangements*, for further details of these debt offerings.

In the next twelve months, \$3.7 billion of long-term debt becomes due as follows: 2.0 billion (approximately \$2.6 billion) in March 2012, \$900 million in June 2012 and C\$150 million (approximately \$147 million) due in August 2012. We expect to fund these repayments with cash from operations, the issuance of commercial paper and the issuance of additional debt. We do not expect to repatriate earnings from our non-U.S. operations to meet these obligations.

From time to time, we refinance long-term and short-term debt. The nature and amount of our long-term and short-term debt and the proportionate amount of each varies as a result of future business requirements, market conditions and other factors. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$12 billion remaining in long-term financing authority from our Board of Directors.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements other than the guarantees and contractual obligations that are discussed below.

Guarantees:

As discussed in Note 13, *Commitments and Contingencies*, we have third-party guarantees primarily covering the long-term obligations of our vendors. As part of those transactions, we guarantee that third parties will make contractual payments or achieve performance measures. At December 31, 2011, the carrying amount of our third-party guarantees on our consolidated balance sheet and the maximum potential payments under these guarantees was \$22 million. Substantially all of these guarantees expire at various times through 2018.

In addition, at December 31, 2011, we were contingently liable for \$471 million of guarantees related to our own performance. These include letters of credit related to dairy commodity purchases and guarantees related to the payment of custom duties and taxes, and other letters of credit.

Guarantees do not have, and we do not expect them to have, a material effect on our liquidity.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations:

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2011.

	00	0,000,000	00	,000,000		,000,000 nents Due	00	,000,000	00	,000,000
		Total		2012	2	013-14 millions)	2	015-16		017 and ereafter
Long-term debt (1)	\$	26,732	\$	3,642	\$	4,523	\$	3,273	\$	15,294
Interest expense (2)		16,351		1,526		2,480		2,100		10,245
Capital leases (3)		52		13		13		8		18
Operating leases (4)		1,318		353		494		284		187
Purchase obligations: (5)										
Inventory and production										
costs		9,018		6,710		1,134		492		682
Other		1,902		1,180		551		115		56
		10,920		7,890		1,685		607		738
Other long-term liabilities (6)		2,251		228		463		452		1,108
Total	\$	57,624	\$	13,652	\$	9,658	\$	6,724	\$	27,590

- (1) Amounts represent the expected cash payments of our long-term debt and do not include unamortized bond premiums or discounts.
- (2) Amounts represent the expected cash payments of our interest expense on our long-term debt. Interest calculated on our euro notes was forecasted using the euro to U.S. dollar exchange rate as of December 31, 2011. Interest on our pound sterling notes was forecasted using the pound sterling to U.S. dollar exchange rate as of December 31, 2011. An insignificant amount of interest expense was excluded from the table for a portion of our other foreign currency obligations due to the complexities involved in forecasting expected interest payments.
- (3) Amounts represent the expected cash payments of our capital leases, including the expected cash payments of interest expense of approximately \$10 million on our capital leases.
- (4) Operating leases represent the minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases.
- (5) Purchase obligations for inventory and production costs (such as raw materials, indirect materials and supplies, packaging, co-manufacturing arrangements, storage and distribution) are commitments for projected needs to be utilized in the normal course of business. Other purchase obligations include commitments for marketing, advertising, capital expenditures, information technology and professional services. Arrangements are considered purchase obligations if a contract specifies all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, a pricing structure and approximate timing of the transaction. Most arrangements are cancelable without a significant penalty and with short notice (usually 30 days). Any amounts reflected on the consolidated balance sheet as accounts payable and accrued liabilities are excluded from the table above.

(6) Other long-term liabilities primarily consist of estimated future benefit payments for our postretirement health care plans through December 31, 2021 of approximately \$2,225 million. We are unable to reliably estimate the timing of the payments beyond 2021; as such, they are excluded from the above table. There are also another \$26 million of various other long-term liabilities that are expected to be paid over the next 5 years. In addition, the following long-term liabilities included on the consolidated balance sheet are excluded from the table above: accrued pension costs, income taxes, insurance accruals and other accruals. We are unable to reliably estimate the timing of the payments (or contributions beyond 2012, in the case of accrued pension costs) for these items. We currently expect to make approximately \$480 million in contributions to our pension plans in 2012. We also expect that our net pension cost will increase to approximately \$750 million in 2012. As of December 31, 2011, our total liability for income taxes, including uncertain tax positions and associated accrued interest and penalties, was \$1.6 billion. We currently estimate paying approximately \$300 million in the next 12 months.

Equity and Dividends

At our annual meeting of shareholders held on May 24, 2011, our shareholders approved the Kraft Foods Inc. Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. The amended plan includes, among other provisions, an increase to the number of shares we are authorized to issue to a maximum of 1.0 million shares of our Common Stock. As of the effective date of the amendment, there were 0.8 million shares available to be granted under the plan.

Stock Plans:

In January 2011, we granted 1.5 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan, and the market value per share was \$31.62 on the date of grant. In February 2011, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 2.6 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees, and the market value per restricted or deferred share was \$31.83 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.1 million restricted and deferred shares during 2011, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$31.97.

In February 2011, as part of our annual equity program, we granted 15.8 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$31.83. In aggregate, we granted 16.3 million stock options in 2011 with a weighted-average market value per share of \$31.81.

At December 31, 2011, the number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding stock options, vesting of non-U.S. deferred shares and vesting of long-term incentive plan shares was 57.6 million or 3.3% of total shares outstanding.

In January 2010, we granted 1.7 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan, and the market value per share was \$27.33 on the date of grant. In February 2010, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 2.5 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees, and the market value per restricted or deferred share was \$29.15 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.8 million restricted and deferred shares during 2010, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$28.82.

In February 2010, as a part of our annual equity program, we granted 15.0 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$29.15. In aggregate, we granted 18.1 million stock options in 2010 with a weighted-average market value per share of \$29.24.

In January 2009, we granted 1.5 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan. The market value per share was \$27.00 on the date of grant. In February 2009, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 4.1 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees. The market value per restricted or deferred share was \$23.64 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.8 million restricted and deferred shares during 2009, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$24.68.

In February 2009, as part of our annual equity program, we granted 16.3 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$23.64.

Dividends:

We paid dividends of \$2,043 million in 2011, \$2,175 million in 2010, and \$1,712 million in 2009. The 6.1% decrease in 2011 was due to a dividend payment of \$224 million in the prior year related to the Cadbury acquisition. The increase of 27.0% in 2010 reflects an increase in shares outstanding due to the Cadbury acquisition and the \$224 million payment of a ten pence per share dividend that Cadbury declared and accrued on the Cadbury shares that were outstanding at the time of the acquisition. The present annualized dividend rate is \$1.16 per common share. The declaration of dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and depends on various factors, including our net earnings, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant to its analysis and decision making.

2012 Outlook

Our outlook for 2012 reflects confidence in continuing our strong business momentum in a challenging environment of weak consumer and category growth as well as significant input cost inflation.

We expect to deliver organic net revenue growth of approximately 5 percent, including a negative impact of up to one percentage point from product pruning in North America. Operating EPS is expected to grow at least 9 percent on a constant currency basis.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use certain non-GAAP financial measures to budget, make operating and strategic decisions and evaluate our performance. We have disclosed the following measures so that you have the same financial data that we use with the intention of assisting you in making comparisons to our historical operating results and analyzing our underlying performance.

We believe that the presentation of these non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, when considered together with our U.S. GAAP financial measures and the reconciliations to the corresponding U.S. GAAP financial measures, provides you with a more complete understanding of the factors and trends affecting our business than could be obtained absent these disclosures. Because non-GAAP financial measures may vary among other companies, the non-GAAP financial measures presented in the Consolidated Results of Operations section may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Our use of non-GAAP financial measures is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for any U.S. GAAP financial measure. A limitation of the Non-GAAP financial measures is they exclude items detailed below which have an impact on U.S. GAAP reported results. The best way this limitation can be addressed is by evaluating our Non-GAAP financial measures in combination with our U.S. GAAP reported results and carefully evaluating the following tables which reconcilie U.S. GAAP reported figures to the non-GAAP financial measures.

Organic Net Revenues

We use the non-U.S. GAAP financial measure—organic net revenues—and corresponding growth ratios. The difference between—organic net revenues—and—net revenues—(the most comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure), is that organic net revenues exclude the impact of acquisitions, divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business), currency and accounting calendar changes (including the 53rd week in 2011). We believe that organic net revenues better reflect the underlying growth from the ongoing activities of our business and provide improved comparability of results.

	00	0,000,000 For the Ye Decem	ars En		00	,000,000	00,000,000
		2011 (in mi	\$ (Change	% Change		
Organic net revenues	\$	51,533	\$	48,363	\$	3,170	6.6 %
Impact of divestitures (1)		91		652		(561)	(1.3)pp
Impact of acquisitions (2)		697				697	1.4pp
Impact of Integration Program		(1)		(1)			••
Impact of accounting calendar changes (3)		880		193		687	1.4pp
Impact of foreign currency		1,165				1,165	2.4pp
Net revenues	\$	54,365	\$	49.207	\$	5.158	10.5 %

	00),000,000 For the Ye Decem			00	0,000,000	00,000,000
		2010 (in mi	llions)	\$	Change	% Change	
Organic net revenues	\$	39,874	\$	38,645	\$	1,229	3.2 %
Impact of divestitures		21		109		(88)	(0.3)pp
Impact of acquisitions (4)		9,143				9,143	23.6рр
Impact of Integration Program		(1)				(1)	••
Impact of accounting calendar changes		201				201	0.6pp
Impact of foreign currency		(31)				(31)	(0.1)pp
Net revenues	\$	49,207	\$	38,754	\$	10,453	27.0 %

Note: In 2011, we revised our organic net revenues to exclude the effect of accounting calendar changes as the impact from new accounting calendar changes was significant in 2011. As such, we have conformed our 2010 organic net revenues to be consistent with the current year presentation.

- (1) Impact of divestitures (including for reporting purposes the Starbucks CPG business).
- (2) Impact of acquisitions reflects the incremental January 2011 operating results from our Cadbury acquisition.
- (3) Includes the 53rd week of shipments in 2011.
- (4) Impact of acquisitions reflects the incremental February 2010 to December 2010 operating results from our Cadbury acquisition.

Underlying Operating Income

We use the non-U.S. GAAP financial measure underlying operating income and corresponding growth ratios. The difference between underlying operating income and operating income (the most comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure), is that underlying operating income exclude costs related to: the Integration Program and acquisition-related costs, including transaction advisory fees, U.K. stamp taxes and the impact of the Cadbury inventory revaluation; and costs associated with the proposed spin-off of the North American Grocery Business. We believe that underlying operating income provide improved comparability of operating results.

	00,000,000 For the Dece			,000,000 e d	00,	000,000	00,000,000
	•	2011 (in mil		2010	\$ (Change	% Change
Underlying operating income	\$	7,224	\$	6,585	\$	639	9.7 %
Integration Program costs		(521)		(646)		125	3.8pp
Cadbury acquisition-related costs				(273)		273	4.7pp
Costs associated with the proposed spin-off							
of the North American Grocery Business		(46)				(46)	(0.7)pp
Operating income	\$	6,657	\$	5,666	\$	991	17.5 %
		For the Ye	oer 31,				
		2010		2009	\$ C	Change	% Change
		(in mil	lions)				
Underlying operating income	\$	6,585	\$	5,223	\$	1,362	26.1 %
Integration Program costs		(646)				(646)	(12.5)pp
Cadbury acquisition-related costs		(273)		(40)		(233)	(4.3)pp
Operating income	\$	5,666	\$	5,183	\$	483	9.3 %

Operating EPS

We use the non-U.S. GAAP financial measure Operating EPS and corresponding growth ratios. The difference between Operating EPS and diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods from continuing operations (the most comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure), is that Operating EPS exclude costs related to: the Integration Program; acquisition-related costs, including transaction advisory fees, U.K. stamp taxes and the impact of the Cadbury inventory revaluation; acquisition-related financing fees, including hedging and foreign currency impacts associated with the Cadbury acquisition and other fees associated with the Cadbury Bridge Facility; the impact of a deferred tax charge resulting from the recently enacted U.S. health care legislation and costs associated with the proposed spin-off of the North American Grocery Business. We believe Operating EPS provide improved comparability of operating results.

	Ź	000,000 2011	For the Dece	000,000 Years Ended mber 31, 2010	ĺ	000,000 2009
Operating EPS	\$	\$	1.93			
Integration Program costs (1)		(0.28)		(0.29)		
Acquisition related costs (2) and financing fees (3)				(0.21)		(0.04)
U.S. healthcare legislation impact on deferred taxes				(0.08)		
Costs associated with the proposed spin-off						
of the North American Grocery Business		(0.02)				
Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods from Continuing Operations Discontinued operations		1.99		1.44 0.95		1.89 0.14
Discontinued operations				0.93		0.14
Diluted EPS attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	1.99	\$	2.39	\$	2.03

- (1) Integration Program costs are defined as the costs associated with combining the Kraft Foods and Cadbury businesses, and are separate from those costs associated with the acquisition.
- (2) Acquisition-related costs include transaction advisory fees, U.K. stamp taxes and the impact of the Cadbury inventory revaluation.
- (3) Acquisition-related financing fees include hedging and foreign currency impacts associated with the Cadbury acquisition and other fees associated with the Cadbury Bridge Facility.

Adjusted Free Cash Flow

In addition, we use the non-U.S. GAAP financial measure adjusted free cash flow. The difference between adjusted free cash flow and net cash provided by operating activities (the most comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure), is that adjusted free cash flow includes the impact of capital expenditures, exclude voluntary pension contributions and in 2010, exclude the impact of taxes paid on the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business. We use adjusted free cash flow as the primary cash flow metric as it represents controllable cash flows from operations.

	0	2011	For the Dece	,000,000 Years Ended ember 31, 2010 millions)	00,000,000		
Adjusted free cash flow	\$	3,244	\$	3,263	\$ 4,154		
Capital expenditures		1,771		1,661	1,330		
Voluntary pension contributions		(495)			(400)		
Taxes paid on frozen pizza divestiture				(1,176)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	4,520	\$	3,748	\$ 5,084		

Note: In 2011, we revised our free cash flow measure to exclude the effect of voluntary pension contributions and the taxes paid on the Frozen Pizza divestiture and now refer to this measure as adjusted free cash flow. As such, we have conformed our 2010 and 2009 information to be consistent with the current year presentation.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

As we operate globally, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency exchange rate, commodity price and interest rate risks. We monitor and manage these exposures as part of our overall risk management program. Our risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on our operating results. We maintain foreign currency, commodity price and interest rate risk management policies that principally use derivative instruments to reduce significant, unanticipated earnings fluctuations that may arise from volatility in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates. We also sell commodity futures to unprice future purchase commitments, and we occasionally use related futures to cross-hedge a commodity exposure. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use financial instruments for speculative purposes. Refer to Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, and Note 12, *Financial Instruments*, to the consolidated financial statements for further details of our foreign currency, commodity price and interest rate risk management policies and the types of derivative instruments we use to hedge those exposures.

Value at Risk:

We use a value at risk (VAR) computation to estimate: 1) the potential one-day loss in the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive financial instruments; and 2) the potential one-day loss in pre-tax earnings of our foreign currency and commodity price-sensitive derivative financial instruments. We included our debt; foreign currency forwards and futures, swaps and options; and commodity futures, forwards and options in our VAR computation. Excluded from the computation were anticipated transactions, foreign currency trade payables and receivables, and net investments in foreign subsidiaries, which the abovementioned instruments are intended to hedge.

We made the VAR estimates assuming normal market conditions, using a 95% confidence interval. We used a variance / co-variance model to determine the observed interrelationships between movements in interest rates and various currencies. These interrelationships were determined by observing interest rate and forward currency rate movements over the prior quarter for the calculation of VAR amounts at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and over each of the four prior quarters for the calculation of average VAR amounts during each year. The values of foreign currency and commodity options do not change on a one-to-one basis with the underlying currency or commodity, and were valued accordingly in the VAR computation.

As of December 31, 2011, the estimated potential one-day loss in fair value of our interest rate-sensitive instruments, primarily debt, and the estimated potential one-day loss in pre-tax earnings from our foreign currency and commodity instruments, as calculated in the VAR model, were (in millions):

	Aver	rage		rage x Ear		Average gs Impact	A	Average	A	verage	Average Fair Val u		Average npact	A	verage
	At 12/3	31/11	Aver			High		Low	At 1	2/31/11	verage		High	J	Low
Instruments sensitive to:															
Interest rates									\$	157	\$ 164	\$	234	\$	133
Foreign currency rates	\$	17	\$	22	\$	30	\$	17							
Commodity prices		33		33		42		28							
	Pre-Tax Earnings Impact										Fair Valu	ıe In	npact		

	11c-1ax Earnings Impact										ran value impact					
	t 1/10	Av	erage		High		Low	12	At /31/10	A	verage		High]	Low	
Instruments sensitive to:			9		S						S		Ü			
Interest rates								\$	237	\$	176	\$	237	\$	97	
Foreign currency rates	\$ 13	\$	8	\$	13	\$	4									
Commodity prices	34		22		34		9									

This VAR computation is a risk analysis tool designed to statistically estimate the maximum probable daily loss from adverse movements in interest rates, foreign currency rates and commodity prices under normal market conditions. The computation does not represent actual losses in fair value or earnings to be incurred by Kraft Foods, nor does it consider the effect of favorable changes in market rates. We cannot predict actual future movements in such market rates and do not present these VAR results to be indicative of future movements in such market rates or to be representative of any actual impact that future changes in market rates may have on our future financial results.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those written policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America;

provide reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with management and director authorization; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting includes the controls themselves, monitoring and internal auditing practices and actions taken to correct deficiencies as identified.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. Management based this assessment on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management s assessment included an evaluation of the design of our internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Management reviewed the results of our assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2011, we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, who audited and reported on the consolidated financial statements included in this report, has audited our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

February 27, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Kraft Foods Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive earnings, equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Kraft Foods Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal* Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the reporting date to remove the two-week reporting lag for certain of the Company s locations outside of the United States.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chicago, Illinois

February 27, 2012

Consolidated Statements of Earnings

For the Years Ended December 31

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

		,000,000 2011		,000,000 2010		,000,000 2009
Net revenues	\$	54,365	\$	49,207	\$	38,754
Cost of sales		35,350		31,305		24,819
Gross profit		19,015		17,902		13,935
Selling, general and administrative expenses		12,140		12,001		8,784
Asset impairment and exit costs		(7)		18		(64)
Losses on divestitures, net				6		6
Amortization of intangibles		225		211		26
Operating income		6,657		5,666		5,183
Interest and other expense, net		1,885		2,024		1,237
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes		4,772		3,642		3,946
Provision for income taxes		1,225		1,147		1,136
Earnings from continuing operations		3,547		2,495		2,810
Earnings and gain from discontinued operations, net of income taxes				1,644		218
Net earnings		3,547		4,139		3,028
Noncontrolling interest		20		25		7
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	3,527	\$	4,114	\$	3,021
Per share data:						
Basic earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods:						
Continuing operations	\$	2.00	\$	1.44	\$	1.90
Discontinued operations				0.96		0.14
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	2.00	\$	2.40	\$	2.04
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods:						
Continuing operations	\$	1.99	\$	1.44	\$	1.89
Discontinued operations	Ψ	,,	Ψ	0.95	Ψ	0.14
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	1.99	\$	2.39	\$	2.03
Dividends declared See notes to consolidated financial	\$ statemen	1.16 ats.	\$	1.16	\$	1.16

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings

For the Years Ended December 31

(in millions of dollars)

	,000,000 2011	,000,000 2010	,000,000 2009
Net earnings	\$ 3,547	\$ 4,139	\$ 3,028
Other comprehensive earnings / (losses):			
Currency translation adjustment:			
Translation adjustment	(1,245)	264	1,777
Tax (expense) / benefit	(45)	(101)	34
Pension and other benefits:			
Net actuarial gain / (loss) arising during period	(2,333)	(361)	29
Reclassification adjustment for losses / (gains)			
included in net earnings due to:			
Amortization of experience losses and			
prior service costs	361	281	209
Settlement losses	113	129	120
Tax benefit / (expense)	768	(144)	(220)
Derivatives accounted for as hedges:			
Net derivative (losses) / gains	(709)	(10)	35
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) / losses			
included in net earnings	93	(30)	178
Tax benefit / (expense)	240	18	(89)
Total other comprehensive (losses) / earnings	(2,757)	46	2,073
Comprehensive earnings	790	4,185	5,101
less: Comprehensive earnings attributable to		·	
noncontrolling interests	10	6	41
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$ 780	\$ 4,179	\$ 5,060

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

$Consolidated\ Balance\ Sheets,\ as\ of\ December\ 31$

(in millions of dollars, except share data)

	00),000,000 2011	,000,000 2010
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,974	\$ 2,481
Receivables (net of allowances of \$143 in 2011 and \$246 in 2010)		6,361	6,539
Inventories, net		5,706	5,310
Deferred income taxes		912	898
Other current assets		1,249	993
Total current assets		16,202	16,221
Property, plant and equipment, net		13,813	13,792
Goodwill		37,297	37,856
Intangible assets, net		25,186	25,963
Prepaid pension assets		31	86
Other assets		1,308	1,371
		1,500	1,571
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	93,837	\$ 95,289
LIABILITIES			
Short-term borrowings	\$	182	\$ 750
Current portion of long-term debt		3,654	1,115
Accounts payable		5,525	5,409
Accrued marketing		2,863	2,515
Accrued employment costs		1,365	1,292
Other current liabilities		4,856	4,812
Total current liabilities		18,445	15,893
Long-term debt		23,095	26,859
Deferred income taxes		6,738	7,984
Accrued pension costs		3,597	2,382
Accrued postretirement health care costs		3,238	3,046
Other liabilities		3,396	3,183
TOTAL LIABILITIES		58,509	59,347
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13)			
EQUITY			
Common Stock, no par value (1,996,537,778 shares issued in 2011 and 2010)			
Additional paid-in capital		31,318	31,231
Retained earnings		18,012	16,619
Accumulated other comprehensive losses		(6,637)	(3,890)
Treasury stock, at cost		(7,476)	(8,126)
Total Kraft Foods Shareholders Equity		35,217	35,834
Noncontrolling interest		111	108
TOTAL EQUITY		35,328	35,942

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

\$ 93,837 \$ 95,289

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

59

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

	0,	000,000,000	Kraft Foods Sharehol		0,000,000,000 s Shareholders	3	0,000,000,000 Equity Accumulated Other	0,000,000,000		0,000,000,000		0	,000,000,000	
	•	Common Stock	1	Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings	C	Comprehensive Earnings/ (Losses)		Treasury Stock	No	oncontrolling Interest		Total Equity
Balances at January 1, 2009	\$		\$	23,563	\$	13,440	\$	(5,994)	\$	(8,714)	\$	61	\$	22,356
Comprehensive earnings / (losses):														
Net earnings						3,021						7		3,028
Other comprehensive earnings,								2.020				2.4		2.072
net of income taxes Exercise of stock options and issuance								2,039				34		2,073
of other stock awards				49		(110)				298				237
Cash dividends declared (\$1.16 per				42		(110)				290				231
share)						(1,715)								(1,715)
Dividends paid on noncontrolling						(1,710)								(1,710)
interest and other activities				(1)								(6)		(7)
Balances at December 31, 2009	\$		\$	23,611	\$	14,636	\$	(3,955)	\$	(8,416)	\$	96	\$	25,972
Comprehensive earnings / (losses):														
Net earnings						4,114						25		4,139
Other comprehensive earnings,						ĺ								
net of income taxes								65				(19)		46
Exercise of stock options and issuance														
of other stock awards				153		(106)				290				337
Cash dividends declared (\$1.16 per														
share)						(2,025)								(2,025)
Net impact of noncontrolling				20								22		
interests from Cadbury acquisition				38								33		71
Purchase from noncontrolling interest,				(20)								(27)		(55)
dividends paid and other activities Issuance of Common Stock				(28) 7,457								(27)		(55) 7,457
issuance of Common Stock				7,437										7,437
D.1 . D. 1 .21 .2010	ф		ф	21 221	ф	16.610	ф	(2.000)	ф	(0.106)	ф	100	ф	25.042
Balances at December 31, 2010	\$		\$	31,231	\$	16,619	\$	(3,890)	3	(8,126)	3	108	\$	35,942
Comprehensive earnings / (losses):														
Net earnings						3,527						20		3,547
Other comprehensive losses,								(2.747)				(10)		(2.757)
net of income taxes								(2,747)				(10)		(2,757)
Exercise of stock options and issuance				100		(96)				650				664
of other stock awards Cash dividends declared (\$1.16 per				100		(86)				630				004
share)						(2,048)								(2,048)
Dividends paid on noncontrolling						(2,040)								(2,040)
interest and other activities				(13)								(7)		(20)
				(13)								(1)		(20)
Balances at December 31, 2011	\$		\$	31,318	\$	18,012	\$	(6,637)	\$	(7,476)	\$	111	\$	35,328

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31

(in millions of dollars)

	00,000,0 2011			00,000,000 2009	
CASH PROVIDED BY / (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net earnings	\$ 3,5	47	\$ 4,139	\$	3,028
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,4		1,440		931
Stock-based compensation expense		81	174		164
Deferred income tax (benefit) / provision	(3	51)	251		38
Losses on divestitures, net			6		6
Gains on discontinued operations			(1,596)		
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid			55		17
Other non-cash expense, net		81	329		269
Change in assets and liabilities, excluding the effects of					
acquisitions and divestitures:					
Receivables, net	(1	15)	(165)		(17)
Inventories, net		56)	(359)		299
Accounts payable	3	00	83		126
Other current assets	(3	74)	42		351
Other current liabilities	6	76	(776)		111
Change in pension and postretirement assets and liabilities, net	(3	54)	125		(239)
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,5	20	3,748		5,084
CASH PROVIDED BY / (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures	(1,7	71)	(1,661)		(1,330)
Acquisitions, net of cash received			(9,848)		
Proceeds from divestitures, net of disbursements			4,039		41
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment and other		43	8		50
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,7	28)	(7,462)		(1,239)
CASH PROVIDED BY / (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Net repayments of short-term borrowings	(5	65)	(864)		(446)
Long-term debt proceeds		36	9,433		3
Long-term debt repaid	(1,1		(2,134)		(968)
Dividends paid	(2,0		(2,175)		(1,712)
Proceeds from stock option exercises and other		11	(72)		(10)
Net cash (used in) / provided by financing activities	(3,1	75)	4,188		(3,133)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1	24)	(94)		145
Cash and cash equivalents:					
(Decrease) / Increase	(5	07)	380		857
Balance at beginning of period	2,4	81	2,101		1,244

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Balance at end of period	\$ 1,974	\$ 2,481	\$ 2,101
Cash paid:			
Interest	\$ 2,031	\$ 1,593	\$ 1,308
Income taxes	\$ 932	\$ 2,232	\$ 1,025

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business:

Kraft Foods Inc. was incorporated in 2000 in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Kraft Foods Inc., through its subsidiaries (collectively Kraft Foods, we, us and our), sells packaged food and beverage products to consumers in approximately 170 countries.

Principles of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include Kraft Foods, as well as our wholly owned and majority owned subsidiaries. The majority of our operating subsidiaries report results as of the last Saturday of the year. A portion of our international operating subsidiaries report results as of the last calendar day or the last Saturday of the year. Because a significant number of our operating subsidiaries report results on the last Saturday of the year and this year, that day fell on December 31, our results included an extra week (59 week) of operating results than in the prior two years which had 52-weeks.

In 2011, we changed the consolidation date for certain operations of our Kraft Foods Europe segment and in the Latin America and Central and Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa (CEEMA) regions within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported results two weeks prior to the end of the period. Now, our Kraft Foods Europe segment reports results as of the last Saturday of each period. Our operations in Latin America and certain operations in CEEMA now report results as of the last calendar day of the period or the last Saturday of the period. These changes and the 53rd week in 2011 resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$920 million and a favorable impact of approximately \$150 million to operating income in 2011.

In 2010, we changed the consolidation date for certain European biscuits operations, which are included within our Kraft Foods Europe segment, and certain operations in Asia Pacific and Latin America within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment. Previously, these operations primarily reported period-end results one month or two weeks prior to the end of the period. Kraft Foods Europe moved the reporting of these operations to two weeks prior to the end of the period, and Asia Pacific and Latin America moved the reporting of these operations to the last day of the period. These changes resulted in a favorable impact to net revenues of approximately \$200 million and had an insignificant impact on operating income in 2010.

We believe these changes are preferable and will improve business planning and financial reporting by better matching the close dates of the operating subsidiaries within our Kraft Foods Europe segment and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment and by bringing the reporting date closer to the period-end date. As the effect to prior-period results was not material, we have not revised prior-period results.

We account for investments in which we exercise significant influence (20%-50% ownership interest) under the equity method of accounting. We use the cost method of accounting for investments in which we have an ownership interest of less than 20% and in which we do not exercise significant influence. Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries consists of the equity interest of noncontrolling investors in consolidated subsidiaries of Kraft Foods. All intercompany transactions are eliminated.

Use of Estimates:

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect a number of amounts in our consolidated financial statements. Significant accounting policy elections, estimates and assumptions include, among others, pension and benefit plan assumptions, valuation assumptions of goodwill and intangible assets, useful lives of long-lived assets, marketing program accruals, insurance and self-insurance reserves and income taxes. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. If actual amounts differ from estimates, we include the revisions in our consolidated results of operations in the period the actual amounts become known. Historically, the aggregate differences, if any, between our estimates and actual amounts in any year have not had a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Foreign Currencies:

We translate the results of operations of our foreign subsidiaries using average exchange rates during each period, whereas balance sheet accounts are translated using exchange rates at the end of each period. We record currency translation adjustments as a component of equity. Transaction gains and losses are recorded in earnings and were not significant for any of the periods presented.

Highly Inflationary Accounting:

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Venezuelan economy was classified as highly inflationary under U.S. GAAP. Effective January 1, 2010, we began accounting for our Venezuelan subsidiaries under highly inflationary accounting rules, which principally means all transactions are recorded in U.S. dollars. We use both the official Venezuelan bolivar exchange rate and the government-regulated Transaction System for Foreign Currency Denominated Securities (SITME) rate to translate our Venezuelan operations into U.S. dollars, based on the nature of the operations of each individual subsidiary.

We recorded approximately \$10 million of favorable foreign currency impacts relating to highly inflationary accounting in Venezuela during 2011 and approximately \$115 million of unfavorable foreign currency impacts during 2010. The 2010 loss included a one-time impact of \$34 million to translate cash we previously recorded at the secondary market exchange rate.

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash equivalents include demand deposits with banks and all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. We value all our inventories using the average cost method. We also record inventory allowances for overstocked and obsolete inventories due to ingredient and packaging changes.

Long-Lived Assets:

Property, plant and equipment are stated at historical cost and depreciated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Machinery and equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 3 to 20 years and buildings and building improvements over periods up to 40 years.

We review long-lived assets, including amortizable intangible assets, for impairment when conditions exist that indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. We perform undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if an impairment exists. When testing for impairment of assets held for use, we group assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which cash flows are separately identifiable. If an impairment is determined to exist, the loss is calculated based on estimated fair value. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal.

Software Costs:

We capitalize certain computer software and software development costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining computer software for internal use. Capitalized software costs are included in property, plant and equipment and amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the software, which do not exceed seven years.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

We test goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for impairment at least annually on October 1. We assess goodwill impairment risk by first performing a qualitative review of entity-specific, industry, market and general economic factors for each reporting unit. If significant potential goodwill impairment risk exists for a specific reporting unit, we apply a two-step quantitative test. The first step compares the reporting unit is estimated fair value with its carrying value. We estimate a reporting unit is fair value using a 20-year projection of discounted cash flows which incorporates planned growth rates, market-based discount rates and estimates of residual value. For reporting units within our Kraft Foods North America and Kraft Foods Europe geographic units, we used a market-based, weighted-average cost of capital of 6.8% to discount the projected cash flows of those operations. For reporting units within our Kraft Foods Developing Markets geographic unit, we used a risk-rated discount rate of 9.8%. Estimating the fair value of individual reporting units requires us to make assumptions and estimates regarding our future plans, industry and economic conditions and our actual results and conditions may differ over time. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is net assets exceeds its fair value, the second step is applied to measure the difference between the carrying value and implied fair value of goodwill. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, the goodwill is considered impaired and reduced to its implied fair value. We test non-amortizable intangible assets for impairment by comparing the fair value of each intangible asset with its carrying value. Fair value of non-amortizable intangible assets is determined using planned growth rates, market-based discount rates and estimates of royalty rates. If the carrying value exceeds fair value, the intangible asset is considered impaired and is reduced to fair value. We record intangible asset impairment charges within ass

Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and evaluated for impairment as long-lived assets.

Insurance and Self-Insurance:

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance for a number of risks, including workers—compensation, general liability, automobile liability, product liability and our obligation for employee healthcare benefits. Liabilities associated with the risks are estimated by considering historical claims experience and other actuarial assumptions.

Revenue Recognition:

We recognize revenues when title and risk of loss pass to customers, which generally occurs upon shipment or delivery of goods. Revenues are recorded net of consumer incentives and trade promotions and include all shipping and handling charges billed to customers. Our shipping and handling costs are classified as part of cost of sales. A provision for product returns and allowances for bad debts is also recorded as reductions to revenues within the same period that the revenue is recognized.

Marketing and Research and Development:

We promote our products with advertising, consumer incentives and trade promotions. These programs include, but are not limited to, discounts, coupons, rebates, in-store display incentives and volume-based incentives. We expense advertising costs either in the period the advertising first takes place or as incurred. Consumer incentive and trade promotion activities are recorded as a reduction to revenues based on amounts estimated as being due to customers and consumers at the end of a period. We base these estimates principally on historical utilization and redemption rates. For interim reporting purposes, advertising and consumer incentive expenses are charged to operations as a percentage of volume, based on estimated volume and related expense for the full year. We do not defer costs on our year-end consolidated balance sheet and all marketing costs are recorded as an expense in the year incurred. Advertising expense was \$2,396 million in 2011, \$2,270 million in 2010, and \$1,581 million in 2009. We expense costs as incurred for product research and development. Research and development expense was \$702 million in 2011, \$583 million in 2010, and \$466 million in 2009. We record marketing and research and development expenses within selling, general and administrative expenses.

Environmental Costs:

We are subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. We accrue for environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis when amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from third parties are recorded as assets when recovery of those costs is deemed probable. At December 31, 2011, our subsidiaries were involved in 68 active actions in the U.S. under Superfund legislation (and other similar actions and legislation) related to current operations and certain former or divested operations for which we retain liability.

Outside the U.S., we are subject to applicable multi-national, national and local environmental laws and regulations in the countries in which we do business. Outside the U.S., we have specific programs across our business units designed to meet applicable environmental compliance requirements.

As of December 31, 2011, we accrued an immaterial amount for environmental remediation. Based on information currently available, we believe that the ultimate resolution of existing environmental remediation actions and our compliance in general with environmental laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our financial results.

Employee Benefit Plans:

We provide a range of benefits to our employees and retired employees. These include pension benefits, postretirement health care benefits and postemployment benefits, consisting primarily of severance. We provide pension coverage for certain employees of our non-U.S. subsidiaries through separate plans. Local statutory requirements govern many of these plans. For salaried and non-union hourly employees hired in the U.S. after January 1, 2009, we discontinued benefits under our U.S. pension plans, and we replaced them with an enhanced company contribution to our employee savings plan. Additionally, we will be freezing the U.S. pension plans for current salaried and non-union hourly employees effective December 31, 2019. Pension accruals for all salaried and non-union employees who are currently earning pension benefits will end on December 31, 2019, and continuing pay and service will be used to calculate the pension benefits through December 31, 2019. Our projected benefit obligation decreased \$168 million in 2009, and we incurred a \$5 million curtailment charge in 2009 related to the freeze. Our U.S., Canadian, and United Kingdom subsidiaries provide health care and other benefits to most retired employees. Local government plans generally cover health care benefits for retirees outside the U.S., Canada, and United Kingdom. Our postemployment benefit plans cover most salaried and certain hourly employees. The cost of these plans is charged to expense over the working life of the covered employees.

Financial Instruments:

As we operate globally, we use a variety of risk management strategies and financial instruments to manage foreign currency exchange rate, commodity price and interest rate risks. Our risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on our operating results. One way we do this is through actively hedging our risks through the use of derivative instruments.

Derivatives are recorded on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value which fluctuates based on changing market conditions.

Certain derivatives are designated as either cash flow or fair value hedges and qualify for hedge accounting treatment, while others do not qualify and are marked to market through earnings. For cash flow hedges, changes in fair value are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) within equity until the underlying hedged items are recognized in net earnings. Accordingly, we record deferred cash flow hedge gains or losses in cost of sales when the related inventory is sold and in interest and other expense, net, when the related interest expense is recorded for hedges of intercompany loans. For fair value hedges, changes in fair value are recognized immediately in net earnings, consistent with the underlying hedged items. As such, in connection with our interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges, we record gains or losses on interest rate swaps and the corresponding changes in the fair value of hedged long-term debt directly within interest and other expense, net. Cash flows from derivative instruments are also classified in the same manner as the underlying hedged items in the consolidated statement of cash flows. For additional information on the location of derivative activity within our operating results, see Note 12, Financial Instruments.

To qualify for hedge accounting, a specified level of hedging effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged must be achieved at inception and maintained throughout the hedged period. Any hedging ineffectiveness is recognized in net earnings when the change in the value of the hedge does not offset the change in the value of the underlying hedged item.

We formally document our risk management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions, the nature of and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, and method for assessing hedge effectiveness.

Additionally, for qualified hedges of forecasted transactions, we specifically identify the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transactions. If it becomes probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, the hedge will no longer be effective and all of the derivative gains or losses would be recognized in earnings in the current period.

When we use financial instruments, we are exposed to credit risk that a counterparty might fail to fulfill its performance obligations under the terms of our agreement. We minimize our credit risk by entering into transactions with counterparties with investment grade credit ratings, limiting the amount of exposure we have with each counterparty and monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties. We also maintain a policy of requiring that all significant, non-exchange traded derivative contracts with a duration of greater than one year be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreement. We are also exposed to market risk as the value of our financial instruments might be adversely affected by a change in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices or interest rates. We manage market risk by incorporating monitoring parameters within our risk management strategy that limit the types of derivative instruments and derivative strategies we use, and the degree of market risk that we hedge with derivative instruments.

Commodity cash flow hedges We are exposed to price risk related to forecasted purchases of certain commodities that we primarily use as raw materials. We enter into commodity forward contracts primarily for meat, coffee, dairy, sugar, cocoa and wheat. Commodity forward contracts generally are not subject to the accounting requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities under the normal purchases exception. We also use commodity futures and options to hedge the price of certain input costs, including dairy, coffee, cocoa, wheat, corn products, soybean oils, meat products, sugar, natural gas and heating oil. Some of these derivative instruments are highly effective and qualify for hedge accounting treatment. We also sell commodity futures to unprice future purchase commitments, and we occasionally use related futures to cross-hedge a commodity exposure. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Foreign currency cash flow hedges We use various financial instruments to mitigate our exposure to changes in exchange rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. These instruments may include forward foreign exchange contracts, forward futures contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options. Based on the size and location of our businesses, we use these instruments to hedge our exposure to certain currencies, including the euro, Swiss franc, pound sterling and Canadian dollar.

Interest rate cash flow and fair value hedges We manage interest rate volatility by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain liabilities so that the net interest margin is not, on a material basis, adversely affected by movements in interest rates. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate liabilities appreciate or depreciate in market value. We expect the effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation to be substantially offset by our gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged liabilities. We use derivative instruments, including interest rate swaps that have indices related to the pricing of specific liabilities as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. As a matter of policy, we do not use highly leveraged derivative instruments for interest rate risk management. We use interest rate swaps to economically convert a portion of our nonprepayable fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt. Under the interest rate swap contracts, we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts, which is calculated based on an agreed-upon notional amount. We also use interest rate swaps to hedge the variability of interest payment cash flows on a portion of our future debt obligations. Substantially all of these derivative instruments are highly effective and qualify for hedge accounting treatment.

Hedges of net investments in foreign operations We have numerous investments in our foreign subsidiaries. The net assets of these subsidiaries are exposed to volatility in foreign currency exchange rates. We use foreign-currency-denominated debt to hedge our net investment in foreign operations against adverse movements in exchange rates. We designated our euro and pound sterling denominated borrowings as a net investment hedge of a portion of our overall European operations. The gains and losses on our net investment in these designated European operations are economically offset by losses and gains on our euro and pound sterling denominated borrowings. The change in the debt s fair value is recorded in the currency translation adjustment component of accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses).

Income Taxes:

We recognize tax benefits in our financial statements when our uncertain tax positions are more likely than not to be sustained upon audit. The amount we recognize is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

We recognize deferred tax assets for deductible temporary differences, operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an amendment related to multiemployer pension plans. This amendment increases the quantitative and qualitative disclosures about an employer sparticipation in individually significant multiemployer plans that offer pension and other postretirement benefits. The guidance is effective for fiscal years ended after December 15, 2011. We adopted the guidance and modified the disclosures surrounding our participation in multiemployer plans in Note 11, *Benefit Plans*.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment. An entity now has the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that goodwill may be impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, goodwill impairment is determined to be not likely, then performing the quantitative two-step impairment test would not be required. The new guidance also modifies goodwill evaluation during the year to make it consistent with the new annual qualitative approach. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2011 and incorporated the guidance in our annual goodwill impairment test.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment related to statements of comprehensive income. This amendment requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This amended guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders—equity. We adopted the guidance effective October 1, 2011 and we now present the components of other comprehensive income in a separate statement.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to revise certain fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. This amendment establishes common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. These changes will be effective January 1, 2012 on a prospective basis. Early adoption is not permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material effect on our financial results.

In June 2009, new guidance was issued on the consolidation of variable interest entities. We adopted the guidance effective January 1, 2010. This guidance increases the likelihood of an enterprise being classified as a variable interest entity. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial results.

Subsequent Events:

We evaluated subsequent events and included all accounting and disclosure requirements related to subsequent events in our consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications:

Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation.

Note 2. Proposed Spin-off Transaction

On August 4, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors intends to create two independent public companies: (i) a global snacks business (the Global Snacks Business) and (ii) a North American grocery business (the North American Grocery Business). The Global Snacks Business will consist of our current Kraft Foods Europe and Developing Markets segments as well as our North American snack and confectionery businesses. The North American Grocery Business will primarily consist of our current U.S. Beverages, Cheese, Convenient Meals and Grocery segments, grocery-related categories in our Canada & N.A. Foodservice segment as well as the *Planters* and *Corn Nuts* brands and businesses. We expect to create these companies through a U.S. tax-free spin-off of the North American Grocery Business to our shareholders.

The transaction is subject to a number of conditions, including the receipt of regulatory approvals, a favorable ruling from the Internal Revenue Service to ensure the U.S. tax-free status of the spin-off, execution of intercompany agreements, further diligence as appropriate and final approval from our Board of Directors. While our current target is to complete the spin-off before year-end 2012, we cannot assure that the spin-off will be completed on the anticipated timeline or that the terms of the spin-off will not change.

Note 3. Acquisitions and Divestitures

Cadbury Acquisition and related Divestitures:

On January 19, 2010, we announced the terms of our final offer for each outstanding ordinary share of Cadbury Limited (formerly, Cadbury plc) (Cadbury), including each ordinary share represented by an American Depositary Share (Cadbury ADS), and the Cadbury Board of Directors recommended that Cadbury shareholders accept the terms of the final offer. On February 2, 2010, all of the conditions to the offer were satisfied or validly waived, the initial offer period expired and a subsequent offer period immediately began. At that point, we had received acceptances of 71.73% of the outstanding Cadbury ordinary shares, including those represented by Cadbury ADSs (Cadbury Shares). As of June 1, 2010, we owned 100% of all outstanding Cadbury Shares. We believe the combination of Kraft Foods and Cadbury will create a global snacks powerhouse and an unrivaled portfolio of brands people love.

Under the terms of our final offer and the subsequent offer, we agreed to pay Cadbury shareholders 500 pence in cash and 0.1874 shares of Kraft Foods Common Stock per Cadbury ordinary share validly tendered and 2,000 pence in cash and 0.7496 shares of Kraft Foods Common Stock per Cadbury ADS validly tendered. This valued Cadbury at \$18.5 billion, or approximately £11.6 billion (based on the average price of \$28.36 for a share of Kraft Foods Common Stock on February 2, 2010 and an exchange rate of \$1.595 per £1.00).

On February 2, 2010, we acquired 71.73% of Cadbury Shares for \$13.1 billion and the value attributed to noncontrolling interests was \$5.4 billion. From February 2, 2010 through June 1, 2010, we acquired the remaining 28.27% of Cadbury Shares for \$5.4 billion. We had a \$38 million gain on noncontrolling interest acquired and recorded it within additional paid in capital.

As part of our Cadbury acquisition, we incurred and expensed transaction related fees of \$218 million in 2010 and \$40 million in 2009. We recorded these expenses within selling, general and administrative expenses. We also incurred acquisition financing fees of \$96 million in 2010. We recorded these expenses within interest and other expense, net.

To secure EU regulatory approval of the acquisition, we were required to divest certain Cadbury confectionery operations in Poland and Romania. In 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of these businesses and generated \$342 million in sale proceeds. The impacts of these divestitures were primarily reflected as adjustments to the Cadbury purchase price allocations.

Cadbury contributed net revenues of \$9,143 million and net earnings of \$530 million from February 2, 2010 through December 31, 2010. The following unaudited pro forms summary presents Kraft Foods—consolidated information as if Cadbury had been acquired on January 1, 2009. These amounts were calculated after conversion to U.S. GAAP, applying our accounting policies, and adjusting Cadbury—s results to reflect the additional depreciation and amortization that would have been charged assuming the fair value adjustments to property, plant and equipment, and intangible assets had been applied from January 1, 2009, together with the consequential tax effects. These adjustments also reflect the additional interest expense incurred on the debt to finance the purchase, and the divestitures of certain Cadbury confectionery operations in

Poland and Romania.

	00,	000,000		0,000,000
		Pro forma for the		
		Years Ended I	Decembe	,
	•	2010 2009 (in millions)		
		(111 11111)	110115)	
renues	\$	49,770	\$	47,852
arnings attributable to Kraft Foods		3,938		2,586

Our February 2, 2010 Cadbury acquisition was valued at \$18,547 million, or \$17,503 million net of cash and cash equivalents. As part of that acquisition, we acquired the following assets and assumed the following liabilities (in millions):

	00,000,000	
Receivables (1)	\$	1,333
Inventories		1,298
Other current assets		660
Property, plant and equipment		3,293
Goodwill (2)		9,530
Intangible assets (3)		12,905
Other assets		593
Short-term borrowings		(1,206)
Accounts payable		(1,605)
Other current liabilities (4)		(1,866)
Long-term debt		(2,437)
Deferred income taxes		(3,218)
Accrued pension costs		(817)
Other liabilities		(927)
Noncontrolling interest		(33)

- (1) The gross amount of acquired receivables was \$1,474 million, of which \$141 million was reserved as uncollectable.
- (2) Goodwill will not be deductible for statutory tax purposes and is attributable to Cadbury s workforce and the significant synergies we expect from the acquisition.
- (3) We acquired \$10.3 billion of indefinitely lived intangible assets, primarily trademarks, and \$2.6 billion of amortizable intangible assets, primarily customer relationships and technology. Customer relationships will be amortized over approximately 13 years and technology will be amortized over approximately 12 years.
- (4) Within other current liabilities, a reserve for exposures related to taxes of approximately \$70 million was established within our Developing Markets segment. The cumulative exposure was approximately \$150 million at December 31, 2010.

Pizza Divestiture:

On March 1, 2010, we completed the sale of the assets of our North American frozen pizza business (Frozen Pizza) to Nestlé USA, Inc. (Nestlé) for \$3.7 billion. Our Frozen Pizza business was a component of our U.S. Convenient Meals and Canada & North America Foodservice segments. The sale included the *DiGiorno*, *Tombstone* and *Jack s* brands in the U.S., the *Delissio* brand in Canada and the *California Pizza Kitchen* trademark license. It also included two Wisconsin manufacturing facilities (Medford and Little Chute) and the leases for the pizza depots and delivery trucks. Approximately 3,600 of our employees transferred with the business to Nestlé. Accordingly, the results of our Frozen Pizza business have been reflected as discontinued operations on the consolidated statement of earnings for all periods presented.

Pursuant to the Frozen Pizza business Transition Services Agreement, we agreed to provide certain sales, co-manufacturing, distribution, information technology, accounting and finance services to Nestlé for up to two years. As of December 31, 2011, these service agreements were substantially complete.

Summary results of operations for the Frozen Pizza business through March 1, 2010 were as follows:

00,000,000 00,000,000 For the Years Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

	2010 (in mil	2009
Net revenues	\$ 335	\$ 1,632
Earnings before income taxes Provision for income taxes Gain on discontinued operations, net of income taxes	73 (25) 1,596	341 (123)
Earnings and gain from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$ 1,644	\$ 218

Earnings before income taxes as presented exclude associated allocated overheads of \$25 million in 2010 and \$108 million in 2009. The 2010 gain on discontinued operations from the sale of the Frozen Pizza business included tax expense of \$1.2 billion.

The following assets of the Frozen Pizza business were included in the Frozen Pizza divestiture (in millions):

	00,0	000,000
Inventories, net	\$	102
Property, plant and equipment, net		317
Goodwill		475
Divested assets of the Frozen Pizza business	\$	894

Other Divestitures:

In 2009, we received \$41 million in net proceeds and recorded pre-tax losses of \$6 million on the divestitures of our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S., a juice operation in Brazil and a plant in Spain. We recorded after-tax gains of \$58 million on these divestitures, primarily due to the differing book and tax bases of our *Balance* bar operations.

The aggregate operating results of the divestitures discussed above, other than the divestiture of the Frozen Pizza business, were not material to our financial statements in any of the periods presented. Refer to Note 16, *Segment Reporting*, for details of the gains and losses on divestitures by segment.

Note 4. Inventories

Inventories at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	00,000,000 2011 (in	() n millions)	0,000,000 2010
Raw materials	\$ 1,800	\$	1,743
Finished product	3,906		3,567
Inventories, net	\$ 5,706	\$	5,310

Note 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000,000 011 (in mil	00,000, 2010 n millions)	
Land and land improvements	\$	768	\$	795
Buildings and building equipment		4,997		4,934
Machinery and equipment		16,934		16,147
Construction in progress		1,233		1,154
		23,932		23,030
Accumulated depreciation		(10,119)		(9,238)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	13,813	\$	13,792

Asset impairments:

We did not record any asset impairments in 2011. During 2010, we recorded an asset impairment of \$12 million on a biscuit plant and related property, plant and equipment in France. During 2009, we recorded a \$9 million asset impairment charge to write off an investment in Norway. These charges were recorded within asset impairment and exit costs.

Note 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, goodwill by reportable segment was:

	0	0,000,000 2011 (in n	00 nillions)	0,000,000 2010
Kraft Foods North America:				
U.S. Beverages	\$	1,290	\$	1,290
U.S. Cheese		3,000		3,000
U.S. Convenient Meals		985		985
U.S. Grocery		3,046		3,046
U.S. Snacks		9,125		9,125
Canada & N.A. Foodservice		3,385		3,430
Kraft Foods Europe		9,003		9,023
Kraft Foods Developing Markets		7,463		7,957
Continuit	¢	27 207	¢	27.956
Goodwill	\$	37,297	Э	37,856

Intangible assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	0			00,000,000 2010	
Non-amortizable intangible assets	\$	22,859	\$	23,351	
Amortizable intangible assets		2,853		2,928	
		25,712		26,279	
Accumulated amortization		(526)		(316)	
Intangible assets, net	\$	25,186	\$	25,963	

Non-amortizable intangible assets consist principally of brand names purchased through our acquisitions of Nabisco Holdings Corp., the Spanish and Portuguese operations of United Biscuits, the global *LU* Biscuit business of Groupe Danone S.A. and Cadbury. Amortizable intangible assets consist primarily of trademark licenses, customer-related intangibles, process technology, license agreements and non-compete agreements. At December 31, 2011, the weighted-average life of our amortizable intangible assets was 13.2 years.

Changes in goodwill and intangible assets consisted of:

	00	0,000,000	00 011	0,000,000	00	0,000,000	010	00,000,	,000
	G	Goodwill	Ir	ntangible ets, at cost (in mi	_	Goodwill		Intangi Assets, at	
Balance at January 1	\$	37,856	\$	26,279	\$	28,764		\$ 13	3,540
Changes due to:									
Foreign currency		(559)		(567)		37			48
Acquisitions						9,530		12	2,907
Divestitures						(475)			(168)
Asset impairments									(43)
Other									(5)
Balance at December 31	\$	37,297	\$	25,712	\$	37,856		\$ 26	5,279

In 2011, except for changes due to foreign currency translation, there were no significant changes to goodwill and intangible assets. In 2010, significant changes were:

Acquisitions-We increased goodwill by \$9,530 million and intangible assets by \$12,907 million related to allocations of purchase price for our Cadbury acquisition. We recorded \$2,177 million of the acquired goodwill in our U.S. Snacks segment, \$937 million in our Canada & N.A. Foodservice segment, \$2,671 million in our Kraft Foods Europe segment and \$3,745 million in our Kraft Foods Developing Markets segment.

Divestitures-We reduced goodwill by \$475 million due to our Frozen Pizza business divestiture.

Asset impairments-During our 2010 review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets, we recorded a \$13 million charge for the impairment of intangible assets in the Netherlands and a \$30 million charge for the impairment of intangible assets in China. These charges were recorded within asset impairment and exit costs.

In 2011, there were no impairments of goodwill or non-amortizable intangible assets. In 2010, we also noted no goodwill impairment and disclosed five reporting units which were more sensitive to near-term changes in discounted cash flow assumptions. In 2011, we noted only one reporting unit, U.S. Salty Snacks, which continues to be sensitive primarily to ongoing significant input cost pressure. U.S. Salty Snacks has \$1,170 million of goodwill as of December 31, 2011, and its excess fair value over the carrying value of its net assets improved from 12% in 2010, to 19% in 2011. While the reporting unit passed the first step of the impairment test by a substantial margin, if its operating income were to decline significantly in the future, it would adversely affect the estimated fair value of the reporting unit. If input costs were to continue to rise, we expect to take further pricing actions as we have done in 2011. However, if we are unsuccessful in these efforts, it would decrease profitability, negatively affect the estimated fair value of the U.S. Salty Snacks reporting unit and could lead to a potential impairment in the

future.

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$225 million in 2011, \$211 million in 2010, and \$26 million in 2009. We currently estimate amortization expense for each of the next five years to be approximately \$215 million.

Note 7. Integration Program and Cost Savings Initiatives

Integration Program

Our combination with Cadbury continues to have the potential for meaningful synergies and costs savings. We now expect to recognize approximately \$800 million of cost savings by the end of the third year following completion of the acquisition, up from our original estimate of \$750 million. Additionally, we expect to create revenue synergies from investments in distribution, marketing and product development. In order to achieve these cost savings and synergies, we expect to incur total integration charges of approximately \$1.5 billion in the first three years following the acquisition to combine and integrate the two businesses (the Integration Program).

Integration Program costs include the costs associated with combining our operations with Cadbury s and are separate from the costs related to the acquisition. We incurred charges under the Integration Program of \$521 million in 2011 and \$657 million in 2010. We recorded these charges primarily in operations as a part of selling, general and administrative expenses within our Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets segments, as well as general corporate expenses. Since the inception of the Integration Program, we have incurred approximately \$1.2 billion of the \$1.5 billion in expected charges.

Changes in the Integration Program liability consisted of:

	,	000,000 011 (in mi	,	000,000 2010
Balance at January 1	\$	406	\$	
Liability assumed upon acquisition				228
Charges		521		657
Cash spent		(554)		(463)
Asset impairments				(13)
Currency / other		(27)		(3)
Balance at December 31	\$	346	\$	406

Cost Savings Initiatives

Cost savings initiatives generally include exit, disposal and other project costs and consisted of the following specific initiatives:

In 2011, we recorded a \$64 million charge primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe and related to severance benefits provided to terminated employees and charges in connection with Kraft Foods Europe reorganization. We also reversed \$37 million of cost savings initiative program costs across all segments except Kraft Foods Europe.

In 2010, we recorded \$170 million primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe and Canada & N.A. Foodservice and in connection with the Kraft Foods Europe reorganization.

In 2009, we recorded \$318 million primarily for severance benefits provided to terminated employees, associated benefit plan costs and other related activities. These were recorded in operations, primarily within the segment operating income of Kraft Foods Europe, with the remainder spread across all other segments.

Within our Integration Program and cost savings initiatives, we include certain costs along with exit and disposal costs that are directly attributable to these activities and do not qualify for treatment as exit or disposal costs under U.S. GAAP. These costs, which we commonly refer to as other project costs or implementation costs, generally include the integration and reorganization of operations and facilities, the discontinuance of certain product lines and the incremental expenses related to the closure of facilities. We believe the disclosure of these charges within our operating income provides greater transparency of the impact of these programs and initiatives on our operating results.

Note 8. Debt and Borrowing Arrangements

Short-Term Borrowings:

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, our short-term borrowings and related weighted-average interest rates consisted of:

	00,000, Amour Outstand	2011 nt Wei	00,000,000 2011 Weighted-Average Year-End Rate),000 int iding	00,000,000 2010 Weighted-Average Year-End Rate
	(in millio	ons)		(in mill	ions)	
Commercial paper	\$			\$	483	0.5%
Bank loans		182	10.7%		267	6.3%
Total short-term borrowings	\$	182		\$	750	

The fair values of our short-term borrowings at December 31, 2011 and 2010, based upon current market interest rates, approximate the amounts disclosed above.

Borrowing Arrangements:

On April 1, 2011, we entered into an agreement for a \$4.5 billion four-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility which expires in April 2015. The agreement replaced our former revolving credit agreement, which was terminated upon the signing of the new agreement. We intend to use the revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes, including for working capital purposes, and to support our commercial paper issuances. As of December 31, 2011, no amounts have been drawn on the facility.

The revolving credit agreement requires us to maintain a minimum total shareholders—equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses), of at least \$28.6 billion. At December 31, 2011, our total shareholders—equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses), was \$41.9 billion. We expect to continue to meet this covenant. The revolving credit agreement also contains customary representations, covenants and events of default. However, the revolving credit facility has no other financial covenants, credit rating triggers or provisions that could require us to post collateral as security.

In addition to the above, some of our international subsidiaries maintain primarily uncommitted credit lines to meet short-term working capital needs. Collectively, these credit lines amounted to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2010. Borrowings on these lines amounted to \$182 million at December 31, 2011 and \$267 million at December 31, 2010.

As part of our Cadbury acquisition, on November 9, 2009, we entered into an agreement for a 364-day senior unsecured bridge facility (the Cadbury Bridge Facility). During the first quarter of 2010, we borrowed £807 million under the Cadbury Bridge Facility, and repaid it (\$1,205 million at the time of repayment) with proceeds from the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business. Upon repayment, the Cadbury Bridge Facility was terminated.

Long-Term Debt:

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, our long-term debt consisted of (interest rates were as of December 31, 2011):

	00),000,000 2011 (in n	00 nillions)	,000,000 2010
Notes, 2.63% to 7.55% (average effective rate 5.87%),				
due through 2040	\$	21,766	\$	22,872
Euro notes, 5.75% to 6.25% (average effective rate 5.98%),				
due through 2015		3,690		3,808
Sterling notes, 5.38% to 7.25% (average effective rate 4.94%),				
due through 2018		1,074		1,091
Other foreign currency obligations		178		158
Capital leases and other		41		45
Total		26,749		27,974
Less current portion of long-term debt		(3,654)		(1,115)
Long-term debt	\$	23,095	\$	26,859

As of December 31, 2011, aggregate maturities of long-term debt were (in millions):

	00,000,000
2012	\$ 3,654
2013	3,563
2014	971
2015	1,506

2016 1,773 Thereafter 15,308

On January 10, 2012, we issued \$800 million of floating rate notes maturing in 2013 which bear interest at a rate equal to the three-month London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 0.875%. We received net proceeds of \$798.8 million from the issuance. The notes have a special mandatory redemption. Upon public announcement of the record date for the proposed spin-off of our North American grocery business to our shareholders, we will be required to issue a notice of redemption of all of the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest through the day prior to the redemption date.

On November 1, 2011, we repaid \$1.1 billion of our long-term debt with cash from operations and short-term borrowings.

On December 29, 2010 we repurchased \$900 million principal amount of our 5.625% notes due 2011 and \$600 million principal amount of our 6.25% notes due 2012, which were validly tendered pursuant to the cash tender offers we initiated in November 2010. We paid \$1,596 million aggregate consideration, including accrued and unpaid interest, for the accepted notes in December 2010.

On December 20, 2010, we repaid £77 million (approximately \$119 million) of our long-term debt and on August 11, 2010, we repaid \$500 million of our long-term debt. We funded these repayments with cash from operations and short-term borrowings.

On February 8, 2010, we issued \$9.5 billion of senior unsecured notes at a weighted-average effective rate of 5.364% and used the net proceeds (\$9,379 million) to finance the Cadbury acquisition and for general corporate purposes. The general terms of the \$9.5 billion notes are:

\$3.75 billion total principal notes due February 10, 2020 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 5.375%. Interest is payable semiannually beginning August 10, 2010.

\$3.00 billion total principal notes due February 9, 2040 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 6.500%. Interest is payable semiannually beginning August 9, 2010.

\$1.75 billion total principal notes due February 9, 2016 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 4.125%. Interest is payable semiannually beginning August 9, 2010.

\$1.00 billion total principal notes due May 8, 2013 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 2.625%. Interest is payable semiannually beginning November 8, 2010.

In addition, these notes include covenants that restrict our ability to incur debt secured by liens above a certain threshold. We also must offer to purchase these notes at a price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase, if both of the following occur: (i) a change of control triggering event, and (ii) a downgrade of these notes below an investment grade rating by each of Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Standard & Poor's Ratings Services and Fitch, Inc. within a specified period. We expect to continue to comply with our long-term debt covenants.

On February 2, 2010, as part of the Cadbury acquisition, we acquired \$2,437 million of long-term debt. The terms of the debt (including U.S. dollar par amounts as of February 2, 2010) were:

£77 million (approximately \$123 million) total principal notes due December 20, 2010 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 4.875%. C\$150 million (approximately \$140 million) Canadian bank loan agreement expiring August 30, 2012 at a variable interest rate. The interest rate at December 31, 2011 was 1.507%.

\$1.0 billion total principal notes due October 1, 2013 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 5.125%.

£300 million (approximately \$499 million) total principal notes due December 11, 2014 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 5.375%. £350 million (approximately \$626 million) total principal notes due July 18, 2018 at a fixed, annual interest rate of 7.250%.

Fair Value:

The aggregate fair value of our total debt was \$31,113 million as compared with the carrying value of \$26,931 million at December 31, 2011, and \$31,459 million as compared with the carrying value of \$28,724 million at December 31, 2010. The fair value of our debt was determined using Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical liabilities.

Interest and Other Expense, Net:

Interest and other expense, net consisted of:

	00	00,000,000 2011		,000,000 Years Ended ember 31, 2010 millions)	,000,000 2009
Interest expense, debt	\$	1,645	\$	1,790	\$ 1,260
Acquisition-related financing fees		240		251	(22)
Other expense / (income), net		240		(17)	(23)
Total interest and other expense, net	\$	1,885	\$	2,024	\$ 1,237

In 2011, other expense includes a loss of \$157 million related to several interest rate swaps that settled in 2011. In 2010, acquisition-related financing fees include hedging and foreign currency impacts associated with the Cadbury acquisition and other fees associated with the Cadbury Bridge Facility.

Note 9. Capital Stock

Our articles of incorporation authorize 5.0 billion shares of Class A common stock and 500 million shares of preferred stock. In 2010, we combined our Class A and Class B common stock authorizations. Accordingly, we only have a single class of Class A common stock authorized. Shares of Class A common stock issued, in treasury and outstanding were:

	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000 Shares
	Shares Issued	Treasury Shares	Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2009	1,735,000,000	(265,698,560)	1,469,301,440
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		8,583,463	8,583,463
Balance at December 31, 2009	1,735,000,000	(257,115,097)	1,477,884,903
Shares issued Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards	261,537,778	8,643,868	261,537,778 8,643,868
Balance at December 31, 2010	1,996,537,778	(248,471,229)	1,748,066,549
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		19,830,140	19,830,140
Balance at December 31, 2011	1,996,537,778	(228,641,089)	1,767,896,689

At December 31, 2011, 115,094,789 shares of Class A common stock were reserved for stock options and other stock awards. There were no preferred shares or Class B common shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

In 2010, we issued 262 million shares of our Class A common stock as part of the Cadbury acquisition. The issued stock had a total fair value of \$7,457 million based on the average of the high and low market prices on the dates of issuance.

Note 10. Stock Plans

We align our annual and long-term incentive compensation programs with shareholder returns. Under the Amended and Restated 2005 Performance Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan), we may grant to eligible employees awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted and deferred stock units, and other awards based on our Common Stock, as well as performance-based annual and long-term incentive awards. We are authorized to issue a maximum of 168.0 million shares of our Common Stock under the 2005 Plan. In addition, the Kraft Foods Restated 2006 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the 2006 Directors Plan), was amended in 2011 at our annual meeting of shareholders in May 2011, and we now may grant up to 1,000,000 shares of our Common Stock to members of the Board of Directors who are not our full-time employees. At December 31, 2011, there were 56,752,061 shares available to be granted under the 2005 Plan at December 31, 2011, were 19,886,997.

All stock awards are issued to employees from treasury stock. We have no specific policy to repurchase our Common Stock to mitigate the dilutive impact of options; however, we have historically made adequate discretionary purchases, based on cash availability, market trends and other factors, to satisfy stock option exercise activity.

Stock Options:

Stock options are granted at an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying stock on the grant date, generally become exercisable in three annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date and have a maximum term of ten years.

We account for our employee stock options under the fair value method of accounting using a modified Black-Scholes methodology to measure stock option expense at the date of grant. The fair value of the stock options at the date of grant is amortized to expense over the vesting period. We recorded compensation expense related to stock options of \$49 million in 2011, \$46 million in 2010 and \$31 million in 2009. The deferred tax benefit recorded related to this compensation expense was \$15 million in 2011, \$15 million in 2010 and \$11 million in 2009. The unamortized compensation expense related to our stock options was \$67 million at December 31, 2011 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of two years. Our weighted-average Black-Scholes fair value assumptions were as follows:

	00,000,000 Risk-Free Interest Rate	00,000,000 Expected Life	00,000,000 Expected Volatility	00,000,000 Expected Dividend Yield	Fai	000,000 r Value rant Date
2011	2.34%	6 years	18.92%	3.72%	\$	3.84
2010	2.82%	6 years	19.86%	4.14%	\$	3.69
2009	2.46%	6 years	21.36%	4.90%	\$	2.68

The risk-free interest rate represents the constant maturity U.S. government treasuries rate with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options. The expected life is the period over which our employees are expected to hold their options. Volatility reflects historical movements in our stock price for a period commensurate with the expected life of the options. Dividend yield is estimated over the expected life of the options based on our stated dividend policy.

Stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 was:

	00,000,000 Shares Subject to Option	00,000,000 Weighted- Average Exercise Price	00,000,000 Average Remaining Contractual Term	00,000,000 Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at January 1, 2011	54,236,161	\$27.71		
Options granted	16,319,790	31.81		
Options exercised	(17,693,101)	28.00		
Options cancelled	(3,263,983)	29.27		
Balance at December 31, 2011	49,598,867	28.87	8 years	\$426 million
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	18,876,266	27.21	7 years	\$189 million

In February 2011, as part of our annual equity program, we granted 15.8 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$31.83. In the aggregate, we granted 16.3 million stock options during 2011 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$31.81.

In February 2010, as part of our annual equity program, we granted 15.0 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$29.15. In the aggregate, we granted 18.1 million stock options during 2010 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$29.24, including options issued to Cadbury employees under our annual equity program.

In February 2009, as part of our annual equity program, we granted 16.3 million stock options to eligible employees at an exercise price of \$23.64.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$98 million in 2011, \$92 million in 2010 and \$72 million in 2009. Cash received from options exercised was \$486 million in 2011, \$134 million in 2010 and \$79 million in 2009. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from the option exercises totaled \$40 million in 2011, \$60 million in 2010 and \$52 million in 2009.

Restricted and Deferred Stock:

We may grant shares of restricted or deferred stock to eligible employees, giving them, in most instances, all of the rights of shareholders, except that they may not sell, assign, pledge or otherwise encumber the shares. Shares of restricted and deferred stock are subject to forfeiture if certain employment conditions are not met. Restricted and deferred stock generally vest on the third anniversary of the grant date.

Shares granted in connection with our long-term incentive plan vest based on varying performance, market and service conditions. The unvested shares have no voting rights and do not pay dividends.

The fair value of the restricted and deferred shares at the date of grant is amortized to earnings over the restriction period. We recorded compensation expense related to restricted and deferred stock of \$132 million in 2011, \$128 million in 2010, and \$133 million in 2009. The deferred tax benefit recorded related to this compensation expense was \$41 million in 2011, \$39 million in 2010, and \$44 million in 2009. The unamortized compensation expense related to our restricted and deferred stock was \$164 million at December 31, 2011 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of two years.

Our restricted and deferred stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2011 was:

	00,000,000	00,000,000 Weighted-
		Average Grant Date
	Number of Shares	Fair Value Per Share
Balance at January 1, 2011	14,221,494	\$27.84
Granted	5,070,012	31.97
Vested	(4,353,760)	30.35
Forfeited	(1,320,573)	29.30
Balance at December 31, 2011	13,617,173	28.43

In January 2011, we granted 1.5 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan, and the market value per share was \$31.62 on the date of grant. In February 2011, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 2.6 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees, and the market value per restricted or deferred share was \$31.83 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.1 million restricted and deferred shares during 2011, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$31.97.

In January 2010, we granted 1.7 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan, and the market value per share was \$27.33 on the date of grant. In February 2010, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 2.5 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees, and the market value per restricted or deferred share was \$29.15 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.8 million restricted and deferred shares during 2010, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$28.82.

In January 2009, we granted 1.5 million shares of stock in connection with our long-term incentive plan, and the market value per share was \$27.00 on the date of grant. In February 2009, as part of our annual equity program, we issued 4.1 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees, and the market value per restricted or deferred share was \$23.64 on the date of grant. In aggregate, we issued 5.8 million restricted and deferred shares during 2009, including those issued as part of our long-term incentive plan, with a weighted-average market value per share of \$24.68.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of restricted and deferred stock granted was \$162 million, or \$31.97 per restricted or deferred share, in 2011; \$167 million, or \$28.82 per restricted or deferred share, in 2010; and \$143 million, or \$24.68 per restricted or deferred share, in 2009. The vesting date fair value of restricted and deferred stock was \$135 million in 2011, \$117 million in 2010, and \$153 million in 2009.

Note 11. Benefit Plans

Pension Plans

Obligations and Funded Status:

The projected benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of our pension plans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	00,0	000,000	,000,000	00.	,000,000	,000,000
		U.S. P			Non-U.S	
	2	011	2010		2011	2010
			(in mi	llions)		
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$	6,703	\$ 6,195	\$	8,895	\$ 4,401
Service cost		146	145		170	162
Interest cost		364	368		458	419
Benefits paid		(304)	(322)		(470)	(462)
Settlements paid		(187)	(244)			(49)
Curtailment gain		(3)	(23)		(1)	(3)
Actuarial losses		744	368		588	265
Acquisition			206			4,375
Currency					(95)	(164)
Other		9	10		36	(49)
Benefit obligation at December 31		7,472	6,703		9,581	8,895
Fair value of plan assets at January 1		5,800	5,496		7,453	3,397
Actual return on plan assets		(18)	671		284	624
Contributions		538	85		387	326
Benefits paid		(304)	(322)		(470)	(462)
Settlements paid		(187)	(244)			(49)
Acquisition			114			3,702
Currency					(54)	(84)
Other						(1)

Fair value of plan assets at December 31	5,829	5,800	7,600	7,453
Net pension liability recognized at December 31	\$ (1,643)	\$ (903)	\$ (1,981)	\$ (1,442)

In 2010, our projected benefit obligation decreased \$23 million due to the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business and its effect on certain of our U.S. pension plans.

The accumulated benefit obligation, which represents benefits earned to the measurement date, was \$6,971 million at December 31, 2011 and \$6,208 million at December 31, 2010 for the U.S. pension plans. The accumulated benefit obligation for the non-U.S. pension plans was \$9,207 million at December 31, 2011 and \$8,549 million at December 31, 2010.

The combined U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans resulted in a net pension liability of \$3,624 million at December 31, 2011 and \$2,345 million at December 31, 2010. We recognized these amounts in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 as follows:

	00	0,000,000 2011 (in milli),000,000 2010
Prepaid pension assets	\$	31	\$ 86
Other accrued liabilities		(58)	(49)
Accrued pension costs		(3,597)	(2,382)
	•	(3.624)	\$ (2.345)
	\$	(3,624)	\$ (2,345)

Certain of our U.S. and non-U.S. plans are underfunded and have accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets. For these plans, the projected benefit obligations, accumulated benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	00	0,000,000	00	,000,000	00	0,000,000	00	,000,000	
		U.S. Plans				Non-U.S. Plans			
		2011 2010				2011	2010		
			(in millions)						
Projected benefit obligation	\$	7,472	\$	5,097	\$	9,314	\$	7,934	
Accumulated benefit obligation		6,971		4,627		8,962		7,668	
Fair value of plan assets		5,829		4,156		7,313		6,471	

We used the following weighted-average assumptions to determine our benefit obligations under the pension plans at December 31:

	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000
	U.S. I	Plans	Non-U.S.	Plans
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Discount rate	4.85%	5.53%	4.62%	5.11%
Expected rate of return on				
plan assets	8.00%	7.95%	6.47%	6.77%
Rate of compensation increase	4.00%	4.00%	3.58%	3.68%

Year-end discount rates for our U.S. and Canadian plans were developed from a model portfolio of high quality, fixed-income debt instruments with durations that match the expected future cash flows of the benefit obligations. Year-end discount rates for our non-U.S. plans (other than Canadian plans) were developed from local bond indices that match local benefit obligations as closely as possible. Changes in our discount rates were primarily the result of changes in bond yields year-over-year. We determine our expected rate of return on plan assets from the plan assets historical long-term investment performance, current asset allocation and estimates of future long-term returns by asset class.

Components of Net Pension Cost:

Net pension cost consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009:

	,	000,000 011	U.S	,000,000 S. Plans 2010	,000,000 2009 (in mil	2	000,000 2011	Non-	000,000 U.S. Plans 2010	,000,000 2009
Service cost	\$	146	\$	145	\$ 152	\$	170	\$	162	\$ 67
Interest cost		364		368	369		458		419	215
Expected return on plan assets		(496)		(490)	(486)		(536)		(467)	(242)
Amortization:										
Net loss from experience differences		225		170	160		101		77	23
Prior service cost		7		6	6		2		7	6

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Other expenses	105	123	112	14	11	8
Net pension cost	\$ 351	\$ 322	\$ 313	\$ 209	\$ 209	\$ 77

A significant portion of the 2010 increase in non-U.S. net periodic pension cost related to the Cadbury acquisition. The following costs are included within other expenses above. Severance payments related to our cost savings initiatives and restructuring program, and retired employees who elected lump-sum payments resulted in settlement losses for our U.S. plans of \$105 million in 2011, \$118 million in 2010, and \$107 million in 2009. In addition, we incurred a \$5 million curtailment charge in 2010 related to the divestiture of our Frozen Pizza business. Non-U.S. plant closures and early retirement benefits resulted in curtailment and settlement losses of \$8 million in 2011, \$11 million in 2010, and \$8 million in 2009. In addition, in 2011 we incurred \$6 million in special termination benefit costs in the non-U.S. plans related to the Cadbury integration.

For the U.S. plans, we determine the expected return on plan assets component of net periodic benefit cost using a calculated market return value that recognizes the cost over a four year period. For our non-U.S. plans, we utilize a similar approach with varying cost recognition periods for some plans, and with others, we determine the expected return on plan assets based on asset fair values as of the measurement date.

As of December 31, 2011, for the combined U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans, we expected to amortize from accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) into net periodic pension cost during 2012:

an estimated \$475 million of net loss from experience differences; and an estimated \$9 million of prior service cost.

We used the following weighted-average assumptions to determine our net pension cost for the years ended December 31:

	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 U.S. Plans 2010	00,000,000 2009	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 Non-U.S. Plans 2010	00,000,000 2009
Discount rate	5.53%	5.85%	6.10%	5.11%	5.21%	6.41%
Expected rate of return						
on plan assets	7.95%	7.99%	8.00%	6.77%	6.68%	7.25%
Rate of compensation						
increase	4.00%	3.98%	4.00%	3.68%	3.59%	3.09%

Plan Assets:

The fair value of pension plan assets at December 31, 2011 was determined using the following fair value measurements:

Asset Category	00,000,000,000 Total Fair Value		00,000,000,000 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (in mi		, , ,		5	,000,000,000 Significant nobservable Inputs (Level 3)
U.S. equity securities	\$	272	\$	266	\$	6	\$	
Non-U.S. equity securities		1,666		1,664		2		
Pooled funds-equity securities		4,755		485		4,270		
Total equity securities		6,693		2,415		4,278		
Government bonds		1,170		571		599		
Pooled funds-fixed-income securities		1,515		230		1,278		7
Corporate bonds and other fixed-income securities		3,019		100		2,161		758
Total fixed-income securities		5,704		901		4,038		765
Real estate		351		91		5		255
Other		529		119		19		391
Total	\$	13,277	\$	3,526	\$	8,340	\$	1,411

The fair value of pension plan assets at December 31, 2010 was determined using the following fair value measurements:

Asset Category	00,000,000,000 i Total Fair Value		Qi in A	,000,000,000 uoted Prices ctive Markets or Identical Assets (Level 1)	ces rkets Significant ral Other Observable Inputs		00,000,000,000 Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
U.S. equity securities	\$	280	\$	276	\$	4	\$	
Non-U.S. equity securities		1,915		1,912		3		
Pooled funds-equity securities		4,971		281		4,690		
Total equity securities		7,166		2,469		4,697		
Government bonds		1,405		731		674		
Pooled funds-fixed-income securities		1,893		52		1,841		
Corporate bonds and other fixed-income securities		1,749		5		993		751
Total fixed-income securities		5,047		788		3,508		751
Real estate		343		86		7		250
Other		542		155		11		376
Total	\$	13,098	\$	3,498	\$	8,223	\$	1,377

We excluded plan assets of \$152 million at December 31, 2011 and \$155 million at December 31, 2010 from the above tables related to certain insurance contracts as they are reported at contract value, in accordance with authoritative guidance.

Fair value measurements:

Level 1 includes primarily U.S and non-U.S. equity securities and government bonds valued using quoted prices in active markets. Level 2 includes primarily pooled funds, including assets in real estate pooled funds, valued using net asset values of participation units held in common collective trusts, as reported by the managers of the trusts and as supported by the unit prices of actual purchase and sale transactions. Level 2 plan assets also primarily include corporate bonds and other fixed-income securities, valued using independent observable market inputs, such as matrix pricing, yield curves and indices.

Level 3 includes investments valued using unobservable inputs that reflect the plans assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets, based on the best information available.

Fair value estimates for pooled funds are calculated by the investment advisor when reliable quotations or pricing services are not readily available for certain underlying securities. The estimated value is based on either cost, or last sale price for most of the securities valued in this fashion.

Fair value estimates for limited partnership and private equity investments are calculated by the general partners using the market approach to estimate the fair value of private investments. The market approach utilizes prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions, type of security, degree of liquidity, restrictions on the disposition, latest round of financing data, company financial statements, relevant valuation multiples and discounted cash flow analyses. Fair value estimates for real estate investments are calculated by the investment managers using the present value of future

Fair value estimates for real estate investments are calculated by the investment managers using the present value of future cash flows expected to be received from the investments, based on valuation methodologies such as appraisals, local market conditions, and current and projected operating performance.

Fair value estimates for investments in hedge fund-of-funds are calculated by the investment managers using the net asset value per share of the investment as reported by the money managers of the underlying funds.

Fair value estimates for insurance contracts are calculated based on the future stream of benefit payments discounted using prevailing interest rates based on the valuation date.

Changes in our Level 3 plan assets, which are recorded in other comprehensive earnings / (losses), for the year ended December 31, 2011 included:

	,	00,000 ary 1,	,	00,000		000,000		00,000	00,0	000,000	00,	000,000
Asset Category	20	11 ance	and Un	ealized realized (Losses)	Issua	urchases, nces and lements (in mil	Into/(cansfers Out of) vel 3		rency pact		ember 31, Balance
Pooled funds												
fixed-income securities	\$		\$		\$		\$	8	\$	(1)	\$	7
Corporate bond and other												
fixed-income securities		751		105		(95)		1		(4)		758
Real Estate		250		(15)		19				1		255
Other		376		10		7				(2)		391
Total Level 3 investments	\$	1,377	\$	100	\$	(69)	\$	9	\$	(6)	\$	1,411

The increases in Level 3 pension plan investments from December 31, 2010 were primarily due to net realized and unrealized gains, partially offset by net purchases, issuances and settlements.

Changes in our Level 3 assets, which are recorded in other comprehensive earnings / (losses), for the year ended December 31, 2010 included:

Asset Category	00,00 Janua 201 Bala	ry 1, .0	00,000 Net Rea and Unro Gains/(I	alized ealized	Net Pu Issuai	000,000 urchases, nces and ements (in mill	Net Tr Into/(0 Lev	00,000 ansfers Out of) rel 3	00,00 Curr Imp	•	Decer 2	000,000 mber 31, 0010 dance
Non-U.S. equity securities	\$	1	\$		\$	(1)	\$		\$		\$	
Corporate bond and other												
fixed-income securities		2		23		(44)		789		(19)		751
Real Estate				34		(1)		220		(3)		250
Other		2		29		12		341		(8)		376
Total Level 3 investments	\$	5	\$	86	\$	(34)	\$	1,350	\$	(30)	\$	1,377

The increases in Level 3 pension plan investments during 2010 were due to our Cadbury acquisition and the types of investments we acquired in those plans.

The percentage of fair value of pension plan assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was:

	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000
	U.S. P	lans	Non U.S.	Plans
Asset Category	2011	2010	2011	2010
Equity securities	66%	69%	38%	41%
Fixed-income securities	33%	31%	51%	47%
Real estate	1%		4%	5%
Other			7%	7%

- 1	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

Our investment strategy is based on our expectation that equity securities will outperform fixed-income securities over the long term. Due to the nature and timing of our expected pension liabilities, approximately 70% of our U.S. plan assets are in equity securities and approximately 30% are in fixed-income securities. The strategy uses indexed U.S. equity securities, actively managed and indexed international equity securities and actively managed and indexed U.S. investment grade fixed-income securities (which constitute 95% or more of fixed-income securities) with lesser allocations to high yield fixed-income securities.

For the plans outside the U.S., the investment strategy is subject to local regulations and the asset / liability profiles of the plans in each individual country. These specific circumstances result in a level of equity exposure that is typically less than the U.S. plans. In aggregate, the asset allocation targets of our non-U.S. plans are broadly characterized as a mix of 40% equity securities, 46% fixed-income securities and 14% real estate / other. The other asset balance of our non-U.S. plans at December 31, 2011 primarily related to \$387 million in hedge funds and private equity investments and \$119 million in cash accounts held across various investment managers.

We attempt to maintain our target asset allocation by rebalancing between asset classes as we make contributions and monthly benefit payments.

Employer Contributions:

In 2011, we contributed \$538 million to our U.S. pension plans and \$361 million to our non-U.S. pension plans. In addition, employees contributed \$26 million to our non-U.S. plans. Of our 2011 pension contributions, approximately \$495 million was voluntary. We make contributions to our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans, primarily, to the extent that they are tax deductible and do not generate an excise tax liability. For our U.S. qualified pension plans, in 2012, we are currently only required to make a nominal cash contribution to our U.S. pension plans under the Pension Protection Act of 2006. Based on current tax law, we estimate that 2012 pension contributions would be approximately \$55 million to our U.S. plans and approximately \$425 million to our non-U.S. plans. Of the total 2012 pension contributions, none is expected to be voluntary. Our actual contributions may be different due to many factors, including changes in tax and other benefit laws; or significant differences between expected and actual pension asset performance or interest rates; or other factors.

Future Benefit Payments:

The estimated future benefit payments from our pension plans at December 31, 2011 were:

	U.S. Plans (in mil	Non-U.S. Plans lions)
2012	\$ 467	\$ 464
2013	454	469
2014	444	474
2015	462	489
2016	483	505
2017-2021	2,797	2,696

Multiemployer Pension Plans:

We made contributions to multiemployer pension plans of \$32 million in 2011, \$30 million in 2010, and \$29 million in 2009. These plans provide pension benefits to retirees under certain collective bargaining agreements. The following is the only individually significant multiemployer plan we participate in as of December 31, 2011:

	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000 Expiration Date
Pension Fund	EIN / Pension Plan Number	Pension Protection Act Zone Status (1)	FIP / RP Status Pending / Implemented	Surcharge Imposed	of Collective- Bargaining Agreement
Bakery and Confectionery					
Union and Industry					
International Pension Fund	526118572	Green	No	No	2/29/2012

(1) Represents the pension protection act zone status for the Bakery and Confectionery Union and Industry International Pension Fund as of December 31, 2010. Information on the 2011 pension protection act zone status was not available at the time of this filing.

Our contributions exceeded 5% of total contributions to the Bakery and Confectionery Union and Industry International Pension Fund (Fund) for fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009. Our contributions to the Fund were \$24 million in 2011, \$24 million in 2010 and \$23 million in 2009. We expect contributions to the Fund to be approximately \$25 million for each of the next five years. On January 11, 2012, Hostess Brands, a significant contributor to the Fund, announced that it had filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. They also stated that they plan to modify their labor agreements in order to emerge from Chapter 11 with a new cost structure. It is not yet clear what impact these actions will have on the amount of their Fund withdrawal liability. As such, the effect of the bankruptcy on our future contributions or withdrawal liability is not yet known and cannot be reasonably estimated as of December 31, 2011. Our contributions to other multiemployer pension plans that were not individually significant were \$8 million in 2011, \$6 million in 2010 and \$6 million in 2009.

Other Costs:

We sponsor and contribute to employee savings plans. These plans cover eligible salaried, non-union and union employees. Our contributions and costs are determined by the matching of employee contributions, as defined by the plans. Amounts charged to expense for defined contribution plans totaled \$114 million in 2011, \$104 million in 2010, and \$94 million in 2009.

Postretirement Benefit Plans

Obligations:

Our postretirement health care plans are not funded. The changes in and the amount of the accrued benefit obligation at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	,000,000 2011 (in m	00,000,000 2010 (llions)	
Accrued benefit obligation at January 1	\$ 3,263	\$	3,032
Service cost	36		39
Interest cost	165		172
Benefits paid	(221)		(213)
Plan amendments	(5)		(7)
Currency	(3)		10
Assumption changes	254		147
Actuarial (gains) / losses	(36)		42
Acquisition			41
Accrued benefit obligation at December 31	\$ 3,453	\$	3,263

The current portion of our accrued postretirement benefit obligation of \$215 million at December 31, 2011 and \$217 million at December 31, 2010 was included in other accrued liabilities.

We used the following weighted-average assumptions to determine our postretirement benefit obligations at December 31:

	00,000,000 U.S. F	00,000,000	00,000,000 Non-U.S.	00,000,000 Plans
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Discount rate	4.70%	5.30%	4.29%	5.02%
Health care cost trend rate				
assumed for next year	7.00%	7.50%	7.42%	8.83%
Ultimate trend rate	5.00%	5.00%	5.53%	6.00%
Year that the rate reaches				
the ultimate trend rate	2016	2016	2016	2017

Year-end discount rates for our U.S. and Canadian plans were developed from a model portfolio of high quality, fixed-income debt instruments with durations that match the expected future cash flows of the benefit obligations. Year-end discount rates for our non-U.S. plans (other than Canadian plans) were developed from local bond indices that match local benefit obligations as closely as possible. Changes in our discount rates were primarily the result of changes in bond yields year-over-year. Our expected health care cost trend rate is based on historical costs.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant impact on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects as of December 31, 2011:

	One-Pe	rcentage-Point
	Increase	Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	12.8%	(10.5%)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	10.7%	(9.0%)

 ${\it Components of Net Postretirement Health Care\ Costs:}$

Net postretirement health care costs consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

	0	00,000,000 2011		00,000,000 2010 (in millions)		00,000,000 2009	
Service cost	\$	36	\$	39	\$	35	
Interest cost		165		172		174	
Amortization:							
Net loss from experience differences		60		55		44	
Prior service credit		(32)		(32)		(32)	
Net postretirement health care costs	\$	229	\$	234	\$	221	

As of December 31, 2011, we expected to amortize from accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) into net postretirement health care costs during 2012:

an estimated \$77 million of net loss from experience differences; and an estimated \$32 million of prior service credit.

We used the following weighted-average assumptions to determine our net postretirement cost for the years ended December 31:

	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 U.S. Plans 2010	00,000,000 2009	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 Non-U.S. Plans 2010	00,000,000 2009
Discount rate	5.30%	5.70%	6.10%	5.02%	5.28%	7.60%
Health care cost trend rate Future Benefit Payments:	7.50%	7.00%	7.00%	8.83%	8.79%	9.00%

Our estimated future benefit payments for our postretirement health care plans at December 31, 2011 were:

	00,000,000 U.S. Plans	00,000,000 Non-U.S. Plans (in millions)
2012	\$ 210	\$ 12
2013	21:	12
2014	212	2 12
2015	212	2 13
2016	210) 13
2017-2021	1,035	73

Other Costs:

We made contributions to multiemployer medical plans totaling \$36 million in 2011, \$35 million in 2010, and \$35 million in 2009. These plans provide medical benefits to active employees and retirees under certain collective bargaining agreements.

Postemployment Benefit Plans

Obligations:

Our postemployment plans are primarily not funded. The changes in and the amount of the accrued benefit obligation at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000,000 2 011 (in mi	2	000,000 2010
Accrued benefit obligation at January 1	\$	140	\$	116
Service cost		11		9
Interest cost		9		10
Benefits paid		(40)		(24)
Assumption changes		4		(8)
Actuarial losses / (gains)		13		(12)
Acquisition				49
Other		29		
Accrued benefit obligation at December 31	\$	166	\$	140

In 2011, we recorded a Canadian postemployment plan, which was partially funded, with a net liability balance of approximately \$29 million. The liability was recorded in other. The accrued benefit obligation was determined using a weighted-average discount rate of 5.2% in 2011 and 6.3% in 2010, an assumed ultimate annual turnover rate of 0.5% in 2011 and 2010, assumed compensation cost increases of 4.0% in 2011 and 2010, and assumed benefits as defined in the respective plans.

Postemployment costs arising from actions that offer employees benefits in excess of those specified in the respective plans are charged to expense when incurred.

Components of Net Postemployment Costs:

Net postemployment costs consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

		,000,000 2011	20	00,000 010 illions)	,	00,000 009
Service cost	\$	11	\$	9	\$	8
Interest cost		9		10		8
Amortization of net (gains) / losses		(2)		(2)		2
Other		33				
Net postemployment costs	\$	51	\$	17	\$	18

Other postemployment costs primarily relate to the establishment of the partially funded Canadian postemployment plan.

As of December 31, 2011, the estimated net gain for the postemployment benefit plans that we expected to amortize from accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) into net postemployment costs during 2012 was insignificant.

Note 12. Financial Instruments

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments:

The fair values of derivative instruments recorded in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	00,00	00,000	,	00,000	00,0	000,000	00,0	000,000
		sset vatives	Lia	bility vatives		sset vatives	Lia	bility vatives
Derivatives accounted for as hedges:								
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	76	\$	5	\$	24	\$	115
Commodity contracts		14		27		74		5
Interest rate contracts		2		519		58		13
	\$	92	\$	551	\$	156	\$	133
Derivatives not accounted for as hedges:								
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	13	\$	5	\$	21	\$	48
Commodity contracts		392		372		202		114
Interest rate contracts		86		51		59		21
	\$	491	\$	428	\$	282	\$	183
Total fair value	\$	583	\$	979	\$	438	\$	316

We include the fair value of our asset derivatives within other current assets and the fair value of our liability derivatives within other current liabilities.

The fair values of our derivative instruments at December 31, 2011 were determined using:

	T Fair Va A	O00,000 Cotal alue of Net asset ability)	Quoted Active for Id	00,000 Prices in Markets lentical ssets vel 1) (in m	Sigr Other (Ir	00,000 nificant Observable nputs evel 2)	00,000,000 Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	79	\$		\$	79	\$
Commodity contracts		7		(41)		48	
Interest rate contracts		(482)				(482)	
Total derivatives	\$	(396)	\$	(41)	\$	(355)	\$

The fair values of our derivative instruments at December 31, 2010 were determined using:

	T Fair Va A	000,000 Fotal alue of Net asset ability)	Quoted Active for Id	00,000 I Prices in Markets dentical ssets evel 1) (in m	Sig Other (000,000 nificant Observable nputs evel 2)	00,000,000 Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	(118)	\$		\$	(118)	\$
Commodity contracts		157		129		28	
Interest rate contracts		83				83	
Total derivatives	\$	122	\$	129	\$	(7)	\$

Level 2 financial assets and liabilities consist of commodity forwards and options; foreign exchange forwards, currency swaps, and options; and interest rate swaps. Commodity derivatives are valued using an income approach based on the observable market commodity index prices less the contract rate multiplied by the notional amount or based on pricing models which rely on market observable inputs such as commodity prices. Foreign currency contracts are valued using an income approach based on observable market forward rates less the contract rate multiplied by the notional amount. Our calculation of the fair value of interest rate swaps is derived from a discounted cash flow analysis based on the terms of the contract and the observable market interest rate curve. Our calculation of the fair value of financial instruments takes into consideration the risk of nonperformance, including counterparty credit risk.

Derivative Volume:

The net notional values of our derivative instruments as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

	00,000. 2011	*	00,000,000 2010			
		(in millions)				
Foreign exchange contracts:						
Intercompany loans and forecasted						
interest payments	\$ 1	,982	\$ 2,183			
Forecasted transactions	1	,181	1,946			
Commodity contracts	1	,287	630			
Interest rate contracts	4	,872	5,167			
Net investment hedge euro notes	3	,694	3,814			
Net investment hedge pound sterling notes	1	,010	1,015			

Cash Flow Hedges:

Cash flow hedge activity, net of income taxes, within accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) included:

	,000,000 2011	2	000,000 010 nillions)	00,000,000 2009	
Accumulated gain / (loss) at January 1	\$ 79	\$	101	\$	(23)
Transfer of realized (gains) / losses in					
fair value to earnings	72		(25)		111
Unrealized gain / (loss) in fair value	(448)		3		13
Accumulated gain / (loss) at December 31	\$ (297)	\$	79	\$	101

The gains / (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income / (loss) were:

		00,000,000 2011	2	000,000 010 nillions)	00,000,000 2009	
Foreign exchange contracts						
intercompany loans	\$	1	\$	2	\$	(12)
Foreign exchange contracts						
forecasted transactions		8		17		(40)
Commodity contracts		(6)		74		(27)
Interest rate contracts		(451)		(90)		92
Total	\$	(448)	\$	3	\$	13

The gains / (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) into earnings were:

	00,000,000 2011		20	00,000 010 illions)	000,000 2009
Foreign exchange contracts					
intercompany loans	\$	2	\$	10	\$
Foreign exchange contracts					
forecasted transactions		(44)		1	27
Commodity contracts		71		15	(138)
Interest rate contracts		(101)		(1)	
Total	\$	(72)	\$	25	\$ (111)

The gains / (losses) on ineffectiveness recognized in earnings were:

	00,000,000 2011		00,000,000 2010 (in millions)		00,00	00,000 09
Foreign exchange contracts						
intercompany loans	\$		\$		\$	
Foreign exchange contracts						
forecasted transactions						
Commodity contracts		(2)		(6)		12
Interest rate contracts		(2)				
Total	\$	(4)	\$	(6)	\$	12

The gains / (losses) on the amount excluded from effectiveness testing recognized in earnings were:

	00,000,0 2011		00,000,000 2010 (in millions)		00,000 09
Foreign exchange contracts					
intercompany loans	\$	\$		\$	
Foreign exchange contracts					
forecasted transactions					
Commodity contracts		(13)	3		1
Interest rate contracts	(1	56)			
Total	\$ (1	(69) \$	3	\$	1

In 2011, we recognized a loss of \$157 million related to several interest rate swaps that settled in November 2011. We recognized the loss in earnings as the timing of the related forecasted debt changed.

We record (i) the gain or loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive earnings / (losses) into earnings, (ii) the gain or loss on ineffectiveness, and (iii) the gain or loss on the amount excluded from effectiveness testing in:

cost of sales for commodity contracts;

cost of sales or selling, general and administrative expenses for foreign exchange contracts related to forecasted transactions, depending on the type of transaction; and

interest and other expense, net for interest rate contracts and foreign exchange contracts related to intercompany loans.

We expect to transfer unrealized losses of \$19 million (net of taxes) for commodity cash flow hedges and unrealized gains of \$68 million (net of taxes) for foreign currency cash flow hedges and unrealized losses of \$10 million (net of taxes) for interest rate cash flow hedges to earnings during the next 12 months.

As of December 31, 2011, we had hedged forecasted transactions for the following durations:

commodity transactions for periods not exceeding the next 17 months; interest rate transactions for periods not exceeding the next 31 years and 4 months; and foreign currency transactions for periods not exceeding the next 12 months.

Fair Value Hedges:

The following gains / (losses) due to changes in fair value of our interest rate swaps and related hedged long-term debt were recorded in interest and other expense, net:

	,	000,000 011	20	00,000 010 illions)	,	00,000 009
Gain / (loss) recognized in income on:						
Derivatives	\$	(6)	\$	1	\$	7
Borrowings		6		(1)		(7)

Economic Hedges:

Gains / (losses) recorded in earnings for economic hedges which are not designated as hedging instruments included:

	00	0,000,000 Gain / (1	Loss) Red	000,000 cognized in E 2010 millions)	arnings	2009	00,000,000 Location of Gain / (Loss) Recognized in Earnings
Foreign exchange contracts:							
Intercompany loans and							
forecasted interest payments	\$	34	\$	28	\$	(10)	Interest expense
Forecasted transactions		4		(11)		(10)	Cost of sales
Forecasted transactions		3		(17)			Interest expense
Cadbury acquisition related				(395)			Interest expense
Interest rate contracts		(3)		4			Interest expense
Commodity contracts		166		126		37	Cost of sales
Total	\$	204	\$	(265)	\$	17	

The 2010 Cadbury acquisition related hedging losses were economically offset by \$240 million of foreign exchange net gains on cash denominated in pound sterling, the Cadbury Bridge Facility and payable balances associated with the acquisition.

Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations:

Gains / (losses) related to hedges of net investments in foreign operations included:

	00,00		/ (Loss) R	000,000 Recognized i	n OCI	000,000 009	00,000,000 Location of Gain / (Loss)
			(ın n	nillions)			Recognized in AOCI
Euro notes	\$	77	\$	170	\$	(65)	Currency Translation
Pound sterling notes		3		7			Adjustment

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings:

We routinely are involved in legal proceedings, claims and governmental inspections or investigations (Legal Matters) arising in the ordinary course of our business.

Competition authorities in certain Member States of the European Union have ongoing investigations into possible anticompetitive activity in the fast moving consumer goods (FMCG) sector, which includes products such as chocolate and coffee. On October 18, 2011, the German Federal Cartel Office (FCO) issued a press release stating that it had discontinued proceedings against our wholly owned subsidiary, Kraft Foods Deutschland GmbH (KFD), based on a settlement agreed between KFD and the FCO following the FCO s finding of illegal price agreements regarding instant cappuccino. The FCO also imposed a fine against a former KFD employee. Due to KFD s cooperation with the FCO in the matter, the fine to resolve the matter was reduced to EUR 2.2 million (approximately \$3 million as of October 18, 2011).

A compliant and ethical corporate culture, which includes adhering to laws and industry regulations in all jurisdictions in which we do business, is integral to our success. Accordingly, after we acquired Cadbury in February 2010 we began reviewing and adjusting, as needed, Cadbury s operations in light of U.S. and international standards as well as Kraft Foods policies and practices. We initially focused on such high priority areas as food safety, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and antitrust. Based upon Cadbury s pre-acquisition policies and compliance programs and our post-acquisition reviews, our preliminary findings indicated that Cadbury s overall state of compliance was sound. Nonetheless, through our reviews, we determined that in certain jurisdictions, including India, there appeared to be facts and circumstances warranting further investigation. We are continuing our investigations in certain jurisdictions, including in India, and we continue to cooperate with governmental authorities.

On March 1, 2011, the Starbucks Coffee Company (Starbucks), without our authorization and in what we contend is a violation and breach of our agreements with Starbucks, took control of the Starbucks packaged coffee business (Starbucks CPG business) in grocery stores and other channels, after alleging we had breached the Supply and License Agreement. The dispute is pending Arbitration in Chicago, Illinois. We are seeking appropriate remedies, including but not limited to payment of the fair market value of the Supply and License Agreement plus the premium this agreement specifies. Starbucks has counterclaimed for unspecified damages. The arbitration proceeding is set to begin on July 11, 2012 and is expected to conclude on July 31, 2012. The results of the Starbucks CPG business were included primarily in our U.S. Beverage and Canada and N.A. Foodservice segments through March 1, 2011.

As we previously disclosed, on February 1, 2011, we received a subpoena from the SEC in connection with an investigation under the FCPA, primarily related to a Cadbury facility in India that we acquired in the Cadbury acquisition. The subpoena primarily requests information regarding dealings with Indian governmental agencies and officials to obtain approvals related to the operation of that facility. We are cooperating with the U.S. government in its investigation of these matters.

While we cannot predict with certainty the results of these or any other Legal Matters in which we are currently involved, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve any of these Legal Matters will have a material effect on our financial results.

Third-Party Guarantees:

We have third-party guarantees primarily covering the long-term obligations of our vendors. As part of those transactions, we guarantee that third parties will make contractual payments or achieve performance measures. At December 31, 2011, the carrying amount of our third-party guarantees on our consolidated balance sheet and the maximum potential payment under these guarantees was \$22 million. Substantially all of these guarantees expire at various times through 2018.

Leases:

Rental expenses were \$452 million in 2011, \$514 million in 2010, and \$505 million in 2009. As of December 31, 2011, minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases in effect at year-end were (in millions):

	00,000,000	
2012	\$ 353	
2013	287	
2014	207	
2015	155	
2016	129	
Thereafter	187	
Total	\$ 1,318	

Note 14. Income Taxes

Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and the provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009:

	00,000,000 2011		00,000,000 2010 (in millions)		,000,000 2009
Earnings from continuing operations					
before income taxes:					• • • •
United States	\$ 1,146	\$	1,071	\$	2,047
Outside United States	3,626		2,571		1,899
Total	\$ 4,772	\$	3,642	\$	3,946
Provision for income taxes:					
United States federal:					
Current	\$ 371	\$	91	\$	335
Deferred	63		322		108
	434		413		443
State and local:					
Current	95		47		82
Deferred	55		61		(39)
	150		108		43
Total United States	584		521		486
Outside United States:					
Current	1,113		763		681
Deferred	(472)		(137)		(31)
Total outside United States	641		626		650
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 1,225	\$	1,147	\$	1,136

We also recognized tax expense of \$1.2 billion related to the 2010 earnings and gain from discontinued operations from the sale of the Frozen Pizza business.

As of January 1, 2011, our unrecognized tax benefits were \$1,281 million. If we had recognized all of these benefits, the net impact on our income tax provision would have been \$1,062 million. Our unrecognized tax benefits were \$1,538 million at December 31, 2011, and if we had recognized all of these benefits, the net impact on our income tax provision would have been \$1,317 million. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$160-200 million during the next 12 months due to audit settlements and the expiration of statutes of limitations in various jurisdictions. We include accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in our tax provision. We accrued interest and penalties of \$246 million as of January 1, 2011 and \$286 million as of December 31, 2011. Our 2011 provision for income taxes included \$53 million for interest and penalties and we paid interest and penalties of \$5 million during 2011.

The changes in our unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were:

00,000,000	00,000,000	00,000,000
2011	2010	2009

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

		(in i	nillions)	
January 1	\$ 1,281	\$	829	\$ 807
Increases from positions taken during prior periods	222		49	90
Decreases from positions taken during prior periods	(147)		(146)	(205)
Increases from positions taken during the current period	253		229	146
Increases from acquisition adjustments			357	
Decreases relating to settlements with taxing authorities	(17)		(19)	(26)
Reductions resulting from the lapse of the applicable				
statute of limitations	(14)		(10)	(14)
Currency / other	(40)		(8)	31
December 31	\$ 1,538	\$	1,281	\$ 829

We are regularly examined by federal and various state and foreign tax authorities. The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the year 2004 and onward. The IRS is currently examining our 2004 - 2006 tax returns and we expect this examination to close during 2012. Our income tax filings are also currently under examination by tax authorities in various U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from three to five years, however, these statutes are often extended by mutual agreement with the tax authorities. Years still open to examination by foreign tax authorities in major jurisdictions include (earliest open tax year in parentheses): Germany (1999), Brazil (2005), Canada (2003), France (2006), United Kingdom (2006), Australia (2008), Russia (2004) and India (2003).

At December 31, 2011, applicable U.S. federal income taxes and foreign withholding taxes had not been provided on approximately \$10.2 billion of accumulated earnings of foreign subsidiaries that are expected to be permanently reinvested. It is impractical for us to determine the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liabilities on these permanently reinvested earnings.

The effective income tax rate on pre-tax earnings differed from the U.S. federal statutory rate for the following reasons for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	00,000,000 2011	00,000,000 2010	00,000,000 2009
U.S. federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increase / (decrease) resulting from:			
State and local income taxes, net of federal tax			
benefit excluding IRS audit impacts	2.2%	1.9%	1.9%
Federal and state tax impacts related to IRS			
audit settlements	0.7%	(2.3%)	(3.1%)
Reversal of other tax accruals no longer required	(1.3%)	(0.5%)	(0.4%)
U.S. Health Care Legislation		3.8%	
Foreign rate differences	(9.5%)	(6.0%)	(2.2%)
Other	(1.4%)	(0.4%)	(2.4%)
Effective tax rate	25.7%	31.5%	28.8%

Our 2011 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by net tax benefits of \$199 million from discrete one-time events, primarily from the revaluation of U.K. deferred tax assets and liabilities resulting from tax legislation enacted in 2011 that reduced U.K. corporate income tax rates, the reversal of valuation allowances on certain foreign deferred tax assets that are now expected to be realized, and the net favorable impact from various U.S. federal, U.S. state and foreign tax audit developments during the year. The 2011 effective tax rate also reflects increased tax benefits from operations outside the United States, which are generally taxed at lower rates than the U.S statutory rate of 35 percent. The mix of pretax income from these various foreign jurisdictions can have a significant impact on our effective tax rate. The fourth quarter and full year tax rate benefited from lower than projected taxes on our earnings outside the U.S., and the fourth quarter was also favorable due to a true-up of prior quarter estimates to a lower actual tax expense reported by these operations.

Our 2010 effective tax rate included net tax benefits of \$123 million, primarily due to the favorable resolution of a federal tax audit and the resolution of several items in our international operations, partially offset by a \$137 million write-off of deferred tax assets as a result of the U.S. health care legislation enacted in March 2010.

Our 2009 effective tax rate included net tax benefits of \$225 million, primarily due to an agreement we reached with the IRS on specific matters related to years 2000 through 2003, settlements with various foreign and state tax authorities, the expiration of the statutes of limitations in various jurisdictions and the divestiture of our *Balance* bar operations in the U.S.

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	00	0,000,000 2011 (in m	()(nillions),000,000 2010
Deferred income tax assets:				
Accrued postretirement and postemployment benefits	\$	1,276	\$	1,103
Accrued pension costs		1,007		458
Other		3,124		2,064
Total deferred income tax assets		5,407		3,625
Valuation allowance		(467)		(400)
Net deferred income tax assets	\$	4,940	\$	3,225
Deferred income tax liabilities:				
Trade names	\$	(7,565)	\$	(7,606)
Property, plant and equipment		(2,084)		(1,845)
Other		(1,025)		(611)
Total deferred income tax liabilities		(10,674)		(10,062)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	\$	(5,734)	\$	(6,837)

Our significant allowances reside within our operating subsidiaries in Mexico, Ireland, China, U.S. and Japan.

Note 15. Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted EPS from continuing and discontinued operations were calculated using the following:

	00	,000,000 For th	,000,000 E nded Decem		0,000,000
		2011	2010 cept per shar	,	2009
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	3,547	\$ 2,495	\$	2,810
Earnings and gain from discontinued operations, net of income taxes			1,644		218
Net earnings		3,547	4,139		3,028
Noncontrolling interest		20	25		7
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$	3,527	\$ 4,114	\$	3,021
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS		1,765	1,715		1,478
Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions of stock options and					
long term incentive plan shares		7	5		8
Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS		1,772	1,720		1,486

Edgar Filing: KRAFT FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Basic earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods:			
Continuing operations	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.90
Discontinued operations		0.96	0.14
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$ 2.00	\$ 2.40	\$ 2.04
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Kraft Foods:			
Continuing operations	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.89
Discontinued operations		0.95	0.14
Net earnings attributable to Kraft Foods	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.39	\$ 2.03

We exclude antidilutive Kraft Foods stock options from our calculation of weighted-average shares for diluted EPS. We excluded 9.2 million antidilutive options for the year ended December 31, 2011, 28.5 million antidilutive options for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 23.0 million antidilutive options for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Note 16. Segment Reporting

We manufacture and market packaged food products, including snacks, beverages, cheese, convenient meals and various packaged grocery products. We manage and report operating results through three geographic units: Kraft Foods North America, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets. We manage the operations of Kraft Foods North America and Kraft Foods Europe by product category, and we manage the operations of Kraft Foods Developing Markets by location. Our reportable segments are U.S. Beverages, U.S. Cheese, U.S. Convenient Meals, U.S. Grocery, U.S. Snacks, Canada & N.A. Foodservice, Kraft Foods Europe and Kraft Foods Developing Markets. The results of operations from our Cadbury acquisition (including Integration Program and acquisition-related costs), are reflected within our U.S. Snacks, Canada & N.A. Foodservice, Kraft Foods Developing Markets segments.

Management uses segment operating income to evaluate segment performance and allocate resources. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze segment performance and trends. Segment operating income excludes unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities (which are a component of cost of sales), certain components of our U.S. pension plan cost (which is a component of cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses), general corporate expenses (which are a component of selling, general and administrative expenses) and amortization of intangibles for all periods presented. We exclude the unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities from segment operating income in order to provide better transparency of our segment operating results. Once realized, the gains and losses on hedging activities are recorded within segment operating results. We exclude certain components of our U.S. pension plan cost from segment operating income because we centrally manage pension plan funding decisions and the determination of discount rate, expected rate of return on plan assets and other actuarial assumptions. Therefore, we allocate only the service cost component of our U.S. pension plan expense to segment operating income. Furthermore, we centrally manage interest and other expense, net. Accordingly, we do not present these items by segment because they are excluded from the segment profitability measure that management reviews. We use the same accounting policies for the segments as those described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Our segment results were:

	00	00,000,000 00,000,000 For the Years Ended December 3 2011 2010 (in millions)		For the Years Ended December 31 2011 2010		For the Years Ended December 3 2011 2010		or the Years Ended December 2010		,000,000 2009
Net revenues:										
Kraft Foods North America:										
U.S. Beverages	\$	3,006	\$	3,212	\$	3,057				
U.S. Cheese		3,810		3,528		3,605				
U.S. Convenient Meals		3,328		3,131		3,029				
U.S. Grocery		3,563		3,398		3,453				
U.S. Snacks		6,329		6,001		4,964				
Canada & N.A. Foodservice		5,152		4,696		3,922				
Kraft Foods Europe		13,356		11,628		8,768				
Kraft Foods Developing Markets		15,821		13,613		7,956				
Net revenues	\$	54,365	\$	49.207	\$	38,754				

	00,000,000 For the 2011		00,000,000 e Years Ended Decemb 2010 (in millions)		00,000,000 ber 31, 2009	
Earnings from continuing operations						
before income taxes:						
Operating income:						
Kraft Foods North America:						
U.S. Beverages	\$	450	\$	564	\$	511
U.S. Cheese		629		598		667
U.S. Convenient Meals		319		268		234
U.S. Grocery		1,240		1,164		1,146
U.S. Snacks		847		845		723
Canada & N.A. Foodservice		682		582		462
Kraft Foods Europe		1,406		1,115		785
Kraft Foods Developing Markets		2,053		1,577		936
Unrealized gains / (losses) on						
hedging activities		(100)		67		