AIRGAS INC Form DEFA14A August 18, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant " Check the appropriate box: Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) **Definitive Proxy Statement**

- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Airgas, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

| X | No fee required. |
|---|---|
| | Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. |
| | (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: |
| | (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: |
| | (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): |
| | (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: |
| | (5) Total fee paid: |
| | |
| | Fee paid previously with preliminary materials. |
| | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 240.0-11 and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. |
| | (1) Amount Previously Paid: |
| | (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
| | (3) Filing Party: |

(4) Date Filed:

It s All About Value (Updated) It s All About Value (Updated) August 18, 2010 August 18, 2010

```
1
1
1
1
IMPORTANT INFORMATION
In
connection
with
its
2010
Annual
Meeting
of
Stockholders,
Airgas,
Inc.
has
filed
definitive
proxy
statement
on
Schedule
14A
with
the
Securities
and
Exchange
Commission
(the
 SEC ).
INVESTORS
AND
STOCKHOLDERS
OF
AIRGAS
ARE
URGED
TO
READ
THE
PROXY
```

STATEMENT

| FOR |
|-----------------------------|
| THE |
| 2010 |
| ANNUAL |
| MEETING |
| IN |
| ITS |
| ENTIRETY |
| BECAUSE |
| IT |
| CONTAINS |
| IMPORTANT |
| INFORMATION. |
| In |
| response |
| to |
| the |
| tender |
| offer |
| proposed |
| by |
| Air |
| Products |
| and |
| Chemicals, |
| Inc. |
| referred |
| to |
| in |
| this |
| communication, |
| Airgas |
| has |
| filed |
| with |
| the |
| SEC |
| a |
| Solicitation/Recommendation |
| Statement |
| on |
| Schedule |
| 14D-9, |
| as |
| amended. |
| STOCKHOLDERS |
| OF |
| AIRGAS |
| ARE |
| ADVISED |

TO **READ AIRGAS** SOLICITATION/ RECOMMENDATION **STATEMENT** ON **SCHEDULE** 14D-9, ASAMENDED, IN ITS **ENTIRETY BECAUSE** IT **CONTAINS IMPORTANT** INFORMATION. This communication does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities of Air Products. Investors and stockholders will be able to obtain free

| copies |
|-----------------------------|
| of |
| Airgas |
| definitive |
| proxy |
| statement, |
| the |
| Solicitation/Recommendation |
| Statement |
| on |
| Schedule |
| 14D-9, |
| any |
| amendments |
| or |
| supplements |
| to |
| the |
| proxy |
| statement |
| and/or |
| the |
| Schedule |
| 14D-9, |
| · |
| any other |
| documents |
| filed |
| |
| by |
| Airgas |
| |
| connection |
| with |
| the |
| 2010 |
| Annual |
| Meeting |
| and/or |
| the |
| tender |
| offer |
| by |
| Air |
| Products, |
| and |
| other |
| documents |
| filed |
| with |

the

by Airgas at the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Free copies of the definitive proxy statement, the Solicitation/ Recommendation Statement on Schedule 14D-9, and any amendments $\quad \text{and} \quad$ supplements to these documents are also available in the Investor Information section of the Company s website www.airgas.com, or through the following

SEC

| web |
|---|
| address: |
| http://investor.shareholder.com/arg/airgascontent.cfm. |
| Airgas |
| and |
| its |
| directors |
| and |
| certain |
| of |
| its |
| executive |
| officers |
| may |
| be |
| deemed |
| to |
| be |
| |
| participants |
| in |
| the |
| solicitation |
| of . |
| proxies |
| in |
| connection |
| with |
| its |
| 2010 |
| Annual |
| Meeting. |
| Detailed |
| information |
| regarding |
| the |
| names, |
| affiliations |
| and |
| interests |
| of |
| Airgas |
| directors |
| and |
| executive |
| officers |
| is |
| available |
| in |
| the |
| WILL STATE OF THE |

definitive

proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting, which was filed with the SEC on July 23, 2010. To the extent holdings of Airgas securities have changed, such changes have been or will be reflected on Statements of Change in Ownership on Form filed with the SEC. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS This

presentation contains statements that

are forward looking. Forward-looking statements include the statements identified as forward-looking in the Company s press release announcing its quarterly earnings, well as any statement that is not based on historical fact, including statements containing the words believes, may, plans, will, could, should, estimates, continues, anticipates, intends, expects and

similar

on current expectations regarding important risk factors and should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results expressed therein will be achieved. Airgas assumes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason, except

expressions.

forward-looking statements

All

are based

as

required

by

law.

Important

factors

that

could

cause

actual

results

to

differ

materially

from

those

contained

in

any

forward-looking

statement

include

the

factors

identified

in

the

Company s

press

release

announcing

its

quarterly

earnings,

28

well

as

other

factors

described

in

the

Company s

reports,

including

its

March

31,

2010

Form

10-K, subsequent Forms 10-Q, and other forms filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company notes that forward-looking statements made in connection with a tender offer are not subject to the safe harbors created by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of

1995. The Company

is

not
waiving
any
other
defenses
that
may
be
available
under
applicable
law.
1
1

```
2
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Introduction
2
The Airgas Growth Story
6
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix
49
```

```
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
Airgas Has Consistently Created Airgas Has Consistently Created
```

| Shareholder Value |
|--|
| Shareholder Value |
| Absolute Total Shareholder Return |
| Since Airgas |
| IPO (a) |
| 4,201% |
| Total Shareholder Return CAGR |
| Since Airgas |
| IPO (a) |
| 18% |
| Total Shareholder Return Since January 1, 1987 |
| Ranking in S&P 500 (b) |
| #26 highest out of 500 |
| Officer and Director Stock Beneficial Ownership (c) |
| 11.9% |
| Officer and Director Stock Beneficial Ownership |
| Ranking in S&P 500 |
| #28 highest out of 500 |
| Note: Market data measured through market close on February 4, 2010, prior to date of announcement of the Air Products offer |
| (a) |
| Split-adjusted, |
| since |
| Airgas |
| IPO |
| in |
| 1986. |
| Total |
| Shareholder |
| Return |
| calculated |
| as |
| share |
| price |
| plus |
| dividends |
| reinvested. |
| (b) |
| Excludes current S&P 500 constituents which were not public at January 1, 1987. |
| (c) |
| Includes all options and other rights to acquire shares exercisable on or within 60 days of May 31, 2010. |
| |

4

Airgas management has a proven track record of exceptional shareholder value creation

The Airgas Board unanimously believes that Air Products offer grossly undervalues Airgas and is not a sensible starting point for any discussions or negotiations

The Airgas Board has always been prepared to engage in discussions if and when it believes those conversations will result in an appropriate value for Airgas stockholders

We believe strongly that Airgas will generate more value for stockholders by executing its strategic plan than by pursuing Air Products proposed transaction

FY Q1 2011 announced results demonstrate the strength of our earnings growth, underpinned by an economic recovery that is just beginning

In addition, Airgas has significant scarcity value as the largest independent packaged gas business in the world Our Board Believes That Air Products Our Board Believes That Air Products Offer

Offer

Would Deprive Shareholders of Full Value Would Deprive Shareholders of Full Value We believe that Airgas is poised to deliver significant value driven by a material recovery in our earnings through 2012

Time Has Provided Additional Value

Time Has Provided Additional Value

to Our Shareholders

to Our Shareholders

10-Year U.S. Treasury Yield

Source: Bloomberg market data as of August 13, 2010, public filings

Note: All data shown from February 5, 2010, the date of the announcement of the initial Air Products public offer, and August * Represents quarterly Adjusted EPS. See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

(1) Shown indexed to close as of February 5, 2010, and denominated in local currencies.

Peer Stock Prices¹

Our Earnings*

90%

100% 110% 120% 130% Feb-2010 May-2010 Aug-2010 Air Liquide: 18.4% Praxair: 17.9% Linde: 15.9% \$ 0.65 \$ 0.69 \$ 0.83 FQ3 2010 FQ4 2010 FQ1 2011 2.5% 3.0% 3.5%

4.0% 4.5% Feb-2010 May-2010 Aug-2010 2.7 %

Additionally, from December 31, 2009 to August 13, 2010, Airgas reduced adjusted debt by more than \$230 million

```
6
6
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Introduction
The Airgas Growth Story
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix
49
```

Airgas Is the Only Remaining Independent Airgas Is the Only Remaining Independent Packaged Gas Company of Scale in the World Packaged Gas Company of Scale in the World \$12B+ U.S. Packaged Gases & Welding Hardgoods Market ~900

| Independents 50% Airgas 25% Praxair Taiyo Nippon Sanso Air Liquide Linde Packaged Gases \$6.5B+ Welding Hardgoods \$5.5B+ |
|---|
| Air Liquide |
| Air Products |
| Linde |
| Praxair |
| Air Liquide |
| Linde |
| Praxair |
| Taiyo Nippon Sanso |
| Regional Competitors |
| Independents |
| Air Liquide |
| Linde |
| Praxair |
| Taiyo Nippon Sanso |
| Regional Competitors |
| Independents Safety PPE \$6B+ Other MRO \$50B+ Pipeline & bulk ~\$10B |

The 100 largest independents account for nearly 25% of the total market

8

Leader in the U.S. packaged gas market

Leading position in U.S. packaged industrial, medical, and specialty gas market

Significant position in U.S. bulk market

Leading platform for U.S. refrigerants, ammonia, and process chemicals markets

We also produce various gases

Fifth largest U.S. producer of atmospheric gases Leading U.S. supplier of liquid CO2 and dry ice Largest U.S. producer of nitrous oxide Leading supplier of hardgoods in U.S. Welding, safety and related MRO supplies Red-D-Arc rental welders National platform supports multiple sales channels: Branch-based field sales Retail stores Strategic Accounts Distributors With an Unparalleled Distribution Platform With an Unparalleled Distribution Platform and Significant Production of Gases and Significant Production of Gases **Telesales** Catalog eBusiness 8

Known Locally Nationwide Known Locally Nationwide 9 9 ~1,100 Locations

875+ branches

325+ HP fill plants

16 ASU s

18 acetylene plants

6 liquid CO

2

production plants

63 regional spec gas labs

8 national spec gas labs

6 hardgoods distribution centers 14,000+ Associates

~1,500 sales people (25% specialists)

5,000+ drivers 10M+ Cylinders 13,500+ Bulk Tanks 5,000+ Vehicles Branch / Retail Store / Other Air Separation Unit (ASU) Hardgoods Distribution Center

10
10
Packaged Gases
Packaged Gases
Bulk Gases
Bulk Gases
Creating Value for Customers
Creating Value for Customers
Through the Right Supply Modes
Through the Right Supply Modes

| Cylinders |
|---------------------|
| Cylinders |
| Dewars |
| Dewars |
| MicroBulk |
| MicroBulk |
| Bulk, |
| Bulk, |
| Tube Trailers |
| Tube Trailers |
| Size of Supply Mode |
| Onsite, |
| Onsite, |
| Pipeline |
| Pipeline |

Our full range of supply modes enables our customers to optimize their production processes and reduce total costs 10

11
11
Hardgoods
Hardgoods
Supply Modes
Supply Modes
6 national hardgoods
distribution centers

Drop-ship large and small order quantities to end customers

1-2 day delivery to 95% of U.S.; next-day delivery to 60% of U.S. 875+ regional company branches

Service walk-in customers with inventory on-hand

Deliver to customers on truck routes or via common carrier

Direct to customer from manufacturer

Vendor managed inventory (VMI)

11

11

Airgas 2000 Airgas 2000 Airgas 2010 Airgas 2010

Creating operating culture Building out infrastructure Repositioning company

(40+ hub companies)

Early stages of establishing

Strategic Products $Gas/Rent = \sim 50\%$ of sales Balance sheet leverage high Operating culture firmly in place Scalable infrastructure 12 regional operating companies form our national distribution platform 11 strategically-aligned companies complement our core business Strategic Products established (account for more than 40% of sales) $Gas/Rent = \sim 65\%$ of sales Operating efficiency programs Significant Bulk capabilities Spec Gas innovation & marketing Ammonia and Refrigerants offerings Tech expertise / Engineering capabilities Demand planning / Buying Centers Brand management / RADNOR private label Comfortable balance sheet leverage 12 12 We Are Stronger Than Ever... We Are Stronger Than Ever... 12

12

Note: Dollars in millions.

13

13

\$27

\$41

\$109

\$196

\$191

\$190

\$197

\$236

\$256

\$314 \$397 \$489 \$666 \$746 \$665 \$50 \$145 \$139 \$62 \$38 \$79 \$194 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Fiscal Year Adjusted EBITDA 13 13 In the midst of the worst recession since the Great Depression, FY 2010 was Airgas only significant annual EBITDA decline in 22 years And Have Delivered Significant And Have Delivered Significant EBITDA Growth Over the Last 22 Years EBITDA Growth Over the Last 22 Years

Track Record of Double-Digit Growth Track Record of Double-Digit Growth

in Revenue, EBITDA and EPS

in Revenue, EBITDA and EPS

14

14

Revenue

2001-2009 CAGR

EBITDA *

2001-2009 CAGR

EBITDA *

Capex

2001-2009 CAGR

Diluted EPS

2001-2009 CAGR

Source: Financials based on company filings calendarized to December year end.

Note: CAGR = Compound Annual Growth Rate

* See attached reconciliation of non-GAAP measures.

Airgas

Air Products

11%

5%

Airgas Air Products

17%

5%

Airgas Air Products

15%

5%

Airgas

Air Products

20%

7%

Actual EPS vs. Consensus EPS Estimates

Source:

FactSet

and

consensus

Wall

Street

estimates

as of August 13, 2010.

15

Consistently Meeting or Exceeding Consistently Meeting or Exceeding

Consensus Analyst Estimates

Consensus Analyst Estimates

Consensus Estimates

Actual

\$0.00

\$0.50

\$1.00

\$1.50

\$2.00

\$2.50 \$3.00

\$3.50

\$4.00

Mar-01

Mar-02

Mar-03

Mar-04

Mar-05

Mar-06

Mar-07

Mar-08

Mar-09

Mar-10

16
16
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Slide
Introduction
2
The Airgas Growth Story
6
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix

49

17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 Moderate macro-economic recovery underpins our Same-Store Sales (SSS) growth of ~7% per year Comparable to SSS growth in the prior recovery period As SSS increase, the operating leverage inherent in Airgas business model has historically translated to a higher EBITDA margin on every dollar of incremental revenue, and we expect this to continue Business mix is focused on higher-margin activities e.g. Gas & Rent now comprises 65% of total sales versus 55% 1 in the prior recovery period Margin expansion expected

17 17

to be further

enhanced by continued focus on operating efficiencies

Investment of nearly \$2.5 billion in capex and acquisitions in the last three years is not yet fully reflected in current performance

Our goal of CY2012 EPS of \$4.20+ reflects all of the costs of the SAP implementation but none of the benefits 17
17
Why We Expect a Clear Path to EPS of Why We Expect a Clear Path to EPS of \$4.20+ by CY 2012
\$4.20+ by CY 2012
1
CY2002.

18

18

18

What Happened in the Last Recovery?

What Happened in the Last Recovery?

* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

Note: CAGR

= Compound Annual Growth Rate.

18% CAGR

```
19
```

19

19

Source: Airgas Management and Wall Street research.

* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

Airgas

earnings recovery is clearly underway and reinforces our confidence

in our CY2012 EPS goal of \$4.20+

We have exceeded the high end of

our guidance and consensus estimates by 15%

and

Q1 2010 by **26%**

Strong operating momentum

is reflected in our **7+%**

raise in

guidance

1Q11 has resulted in the highest

EBITDA margin in ARG

history and is already

within our

CY 12 Goals

1Q FY2011

Adj. EPS*

FY2011

Adj. EPS*

EBITDA Margin*

Announced

First Fiscal Quarter

Revised Guidance

Actual 1QF11

CY12 Goal

Recovery is Demonstrated

Recovery is Demonstrated

in Our 1Q FY2011 Earnings

in Our 1Q FY2011 Earnings

20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 Five key factors drive our earnings goal of \$4.20+ in CY 2012 I. Expected recovery of Same-Store Sales growth Demonstrated operating leverage in the business model III. Continued focus on cutting operating costs Maintaining higher margin business mix V. Realization of anticipated returns on capital investments made in recent years 20 20 **Expected Earnings Growth and Expected Earnings Growth and** Strong Cash Flow Are Projected to Strong Cash Flow Are Projected to Underpin Our Shareholder Value Creation Underpin Our Shareholder Value Creation

21 21 21 21 I. Our Projections Assume SSS Growth I. Our Projections Assume SSS Growth Comparable to the Last Expansion Comparable to the Last Expansion 21 21 Calendar Year Same-Store Sales Growth Rate Note: Represents calendar year ended December 31. 2010 Non-Tech Industrial Production Growth Rate and ISM Index repres The ISM Purchasing Managers Index is a measure of the overall economic health of the manufacturing sector; a value above or below 50 represents an expansion or a contraction, respectively. 2010-2012 Avg: ~7% Airgas Calendar Year Sales 2003-2005 Avg: 6% (2)%0 % 8 % 11 % 10 % 7 % 6 % (16)%30.0 40.0 50.0 60.0 70.0 (20)%(10)%0 % 10 % 20 % 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011E 2012E Tech IP

Non-Tech IP Growth Rate

ISM Index1

\$1.8

\$1.8

\$2.2

\$2.7

\$3.1

\$3.8

\$4.4

\$3.9

\$5.2+

\$0.0

\$1.0

\$2.0

\$3.0

\$4.0

\$5.0

\$6.0

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2012E

```
14%
24%
23%
19%
0 %
10 %
20 %
30 %
2003-2005 Avg
2006
2007
2008
```

```
2009
2010-2012 Avg
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
II. SSS Growth Drives Substantial EBITDA*
II. SSS Growth Drives Substantial EBITDA*
Growth Due to Operating Leverage
Growth Due to Operating Leverage
Calendar Year Same-Store Sales Growth Rate
Operating Leverage Drives Improved Fall Through
(Change in EBITDA* / Change in Sales)
* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.
Fall through has been adjusted for special items.
Not meaningful due to negative change in sales in 2009.
Not
Meaningful<sup>2</sup>
22+%
6 %
10 %
7 %
6 %
(16)\%
(20)\%
(10)\%
0 %
10 %
20 %
2003-2005 Avg
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010-2012 Avg
~7%
```

III. Operating Leverage Expected to be

III. Operating Leverage Expected to be

Further Enhanced by Ongoing Cost Savings

Further Enhanced by Ongoing Cost Savings

Achieved original goal of aggregate \$25M annual run-rate cost savings

Target announced in September 2007

Achieved three quarters ahead of schedule in December 2009

In December 2009, we announced incremental savings target of \$30M to be achieved by CY 2012

Logistics, plant studies and cylinder testing drive savings

Cost savings after 2012 expected to be further enhanced by realization of SAP

benefits

Actual Savings

Through CY09

CY10

CY11

CY12

Expected

Cumulative Savings

Through CY12

Routing logistics

\$7M+

\$5M

\$6M

\$7M

\$25M+

Cylinder testing

\$6M+

\$2M

\$2M

\$1M

\$11M+

Freight

\$5M+

\$1M

\$1M

\$1M

\$8M+

Plant studies

\$3M+

\$2M

\$1M

\$1M

\$7M+

Fuel \$2M+
\$2M+
Indirect spend \$2M
\$2M
Total \$25M+
\$10M
\$10M

Expected Incremental Savings CY10-CY12

\$55M+

IV. Business Mix Improvement IV. Business Mix Improvement Produces Higher Margins Produces Higher Margins Gas/Rent

Gas/Rent Gas/Rent

2424

24

Last Recession¹ CY 2009 CY 2009 CY 2012E CY 2012E Gas/Rent % of Sales: Significantly higher margins than Hardgoods **RADNOR** Private Label % of Hardgoods Sales: Gross margins 1.5-2.0x comparable OEM products Atmospheric Gas Production %of Total Atmospheric Gas Consumption: Improves sourcing position to achieve

55% 55% Hardgoods Hardgoods 45% 45%

lowest landed cost and higher margins Gas/Rent Gas/Rent 65% 65% Hardgoods Hardgoods 35% 35% Gas/Rent Gas/Rent 65% 65% Hardgoods Hardgoods 35% 35% Sales \$1.7B \$1.7B \$3.9B \$3.9B \$5.2B+ \$5.2B+ **RADNOR Private Label** (% of Hardgoods Sales) ~7% ~7% 7% ~12% ~12% 12% 12-15% Atmospheric Gas Production (% Total Atmos. Consumption) 10% 10% 60%+ 60%+ 65%+ 65%+ EBITDA Margin*

14%

```
14%
17%
17%
18.0-18.5%
1
CY2002.
```

^{*} See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

25

25

25

25 25

25

25

25

V. Substantial Investments Since Last Cycle

V. Substantial Investments Since Last Cycle

Should Generate Higher Margins

Should Generate Higher Margins

Distribution Footprint:

established national distribution platform, leveraging customer density, enabling service of large multi-location customers

Production Capacity:

increased ASU production capacity has enhanced our sourcing position to achieve the lowest landed product cost 1 1 Landed product cost is the full cost of a product from sourcing up until the point of sale. See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures. Last Recession CY 02 CY 09 Goals CY 12 Cylinders (in millions) 5+ 10+ **Bulk Tanks** 6,000+ 13,500+ Locations

~800

~1,100
ASU Production Capacity (liquid tons per day)
475
6,700
ASU Capacity Utilization
~87%
~70%
Revenue per Employee (in thousands)
\$200

Adjusted EBITDA Margin*

13.6%

\$279

17.3%

18%-18.5%

Adjusted EPS*

\$0.94

\$2.67

\$4.20+

Substantial

Investments

Operational

Efficiency

```
26
26
26
26
26
26
```

First Quarter Fiscal 2011 Already Within the First Quarter Fiscal 2011 Already Within the

Source: Airgas Management.

* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

Range of Our CY 2012 EBITDA Margin Goal Range of Our CY 2012 EBITDA Margin Goal EBITDA Margin

```
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
Source: Airgas Management.
* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.
On Track To Achieve CY 2012 EPS Goal
On Track To Achieve CY 2012 EPS Goal
1H CY2010 Adjusted EPS* increased +13% vs. 1H CY2009
1Q FY2011 Adjusted EPS* increased +26% vs. 1Q FY2010
$ 3.30 -
$ 3.15
```

\$ 3.05 -

\$ 2.95

18-23% Increase

16% CAGR

\$4.20+

\$2.67

7 quarters between

7 quarters between

March 31, 2011 and

March 31, 2011 and

December 31, 2012

December 31, 2012

CY 2009

Actual

Original

FY11 Guidance

(12 Mos. Ended

March 2011)

Revised

FY11 Guidance

(12 Mos. Ended

March 2011)

CY 2012

Goal

```
28
```

Note: CAGR

^{*} See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

⁼ Compound Annual Growth Rate.

As a Result, We Expect EPS Growth As a Result, We Expect EPS Growth Consistent with Past Recoveries Consistent with Past Recoveries \$2.20 \$2.60 \$3.00 \$3.40 \$3.80 \$4.20 \$4.60 Dec -09 Dec -10 Dec -11 Dec -12 Calendar Year EPS 2009-2012 \$0.80 \$0.90 \$1.00 \$1.10 \$1.20 \$1.30 \$1.40 \$1.50 \$1.60 Dec -02 Dec -03 Dec -04 Dec -05 Calendar Year Adjusted EPS* 2002-2005 \$4.20+ (CY12 Goal) \$2.67* \$1.53 \$0.94 16% CAGR 18% CAGR Adj. EPS* **EPS** FY11

Guidance* (Updated)

\$3.30 \$3.15

29

29 29 29 29 29 * See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures. Case 1: FY05 (Goals Published May 2001 Analyst Meeting) Case 2: FY08 (Goals Published November 2004 Analyst Meeting) Case 3: CY08 (Goals Represent CY08 Component of FY11 Goals Published September 2007 Analyst Meeting) We Have a Track Record of Meeting or We Have a Track Record of Meeting or Beating Our Mid-Term Goals Beating Our Mid-Term Goals Performing well toward FY11 goals prior to recession \$3.0B \$315M 10-11% 11-12% \$4.0B \$476M 11.9% 13.2% Sales Op. Profit Op. Margin **ROC*** FY08 Goals FY08 Results \$4.3B \$541M 12.1%-12.6% 13.2%-13.7% \$4.4B \$541M 12.2% 13.5% Sales Op. Profit Op. Margin **ROC*** CY08 Goals CY08 Results

\$2.0B

\$200M

10.0%

10.0%

\$2.4B

\$209M

8.8%

10.3%

Sales

Op. Profit*

Op. Margin*
ROC*

FY05 Goals

FY05 Results

```
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
30
Note: Further benefits expected to be realized from ongoing SAP implementation. In Addition, Returns from Significant Recent
In Addition, Returns from Significant Recent
```

Investments Have Yet to be Fully Realized...

Investments Have Yet to be Fully Realized...

(\$ in millions)

Total Capital Deployed

Since January 1, 2007

ASUs (Carrollton, New Carlisle)

\$80

SAP Implementation to Date

62

CO₂ Plants

25

Plant Upgrades / Consolidation

100

Other Capital Expenditures

344

Total Capital Expenditures

\$ 990

Linde Bulk Assets

\$ 495

Linde Packaged Gas Assets

310

Other Acquisitions

563

Total Acquisitions

\$ 1,368

Total Capital Deployed

\$ 2,358

Capital

Expenditures

Acquisitions

Total Capital

Deployed

Cylinders & Bulk Tanks

379

```
31
31
```

31

--

31

31

31

Note: Dollars in millions.

*See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures.

Air Products Initial Proposal

...While Airgas Has Continued to Repay Debt

...While Airgas Has Continued to Repay Debt and Build Value for Shareholders and Build Value for Shareholders 32
32
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Introduction
2
The Airgas Growth Story
6
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix

49

```
Historical average next-twelve-months (NTM) trading multiples of Airgas
Airgas
5-year median NTM multiple is 16.7x P/E¹, which includes negative impact of recession
Over the past 5 years, Airgas
NTM
P/E has averaged:
17.7x when GDP growth is between 0% and 3% (current 2011E consensus of 2.8%) <sup>2</sup>
17.0x when ISM Index is between 50 and 55 and 17.2x when ISM index is 55 or greater<sup>2</sup>
Increase
in
peer
equity
values
and
trading
multiples
since
Air
Products
February
proposal
Peers
share prices have increased by 16% to 18%
Peers
multiples have increased by median of 8% on P/E and 9% on EV/EBITDA<sup>3</sup>
III.
```

We

| ů ů |
|--|
| believe |
| Airgas |
| shareholders |
| are |
| not |
| |
| receiving |
| adequate |
| value |
| for |
| Air |
| Products |
| estimated |
| synergies |
| |
| At |
| Air |
| Products |
| \$250 |
| |
| million |
| announced |
| value, |
| synergies |
| are |
| worth |
| over |
| \$20 |
| per |
| Airgas |
| share |
| |
| 4 |
| Prior to February 4 proposal, Air Products indicated to Airgas that \$350 million of synergies could be achieved IV. |
| Air Products can significantly increase its offer price while maintaining an accretive transaction post- |
| synergies |
| syncigies |
| At \$63.50 offer, Air Products expects transaction to be immediately accretive to both GAAP and Cash EPS even though full synergies not expected until after year 2 V. |
| Airgas |
| strong future growth prospects and significant leverage to recovering economy |
| VI. |
| Airgas |
| scarcity value as the largest, most valuable packaged gas business and the only remaining |
| independent packaged gas company of scale in the world |
| Building Blocks of Value |
| Building Blocks of Value |
| Why We Believe |
| Why We Believe |
| Thy the believe |

Air Products Air Products Offer Is Inadequate and Opportunistic Offer Is Inadequate and Opportunistic 33

- ¹ Represents five-year median multiple as of February 4, 2010, prior to date of announcement of the Air Products offer, based
- ² Represents U.S. real quarterly GDP growth, ISM Purchasing Managers Index, and five-year average NTM consensus P/E mig. 3

Based on CY2011 consensus estimates. Peer set comprises Praxair, Air Liquide, and Linde.

4

Refer to slide 42.

Source: FactSet consensus estimates, Bloomberg market data

```
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
34
Wall Street Analysts View Our FY11 Guidance
Wall Street Analysts View Our FY11 Guidance
and Our $4.20+ EPS Goal in CY12 Favorably
and Our $4.20+ EPS Goal in CY12 Favorably
FY11 Consensus EPS = $3.26, within our FY11 Adjusted EPS* guidance range of $3.15 to $3.30
FY13 Consensus EPS = $4.25, growth of 14.8% over FY12 Consensus EPS of $3.70
Analysts generally don t include future acquisitions in estimates
Our FY13 encompasses three quarters of CY12
 Management
also
reaffirmed
goal
of
achieving
CY 12
EPS
of
$4.20,
and
indicated
it
is
on
trajectory
meet
```

or exceed

this even absent any M&A contribution. When this goal was first posited, acquisition was seen as a component of getting there, but margin/execution has made that moot. BB&T Capital Markets, July 22, 2010 Including acquisitions, we believe earnings power for ARG could approach \$4.50 per share by FY13. While we

believe the

company's

internal goal of earnings of \$4.20 per share in calendar 2012 is certainly attainable given significant leverage to volume recovery and ongoing productivity initiatives, it does not appear to be conservative to us given the need to generate high single-digit organic sales growth. KeyBanc Capital Markets, July 22, 2010 ARG s

CY12 EPS

guidance of \$4.20 looks increasingly attainable. APD s recently raised \$63.50/share hostile bid looks less attractive to **ARG** shareholders given the strong results and lower net debt. First Analysis Securities, July 22, 2010 For FY12 we are forecasting **EPS** of \$3.82 which management has not provided guidance for although they have expressed an

EPS

goal of \$4.20 for CY12 which would include 4QFY12 and the following three quarters. An acceleration in end market recovery in the next year would produce upside to our estimate and management's guidance; we believe both reflect a steady albeit modest ongoing recovery. For FY12 we

are looking for further

top-line growth of 9% as the sales

initiatives

that

are

currently

being

implemented

gain

full

traction.

Piper Jaffray

& Co., July 22, 2010

Note: Permission to use quotations neither sought nor obtained.

^{*} See attached non-GAAP reconciliations.

5 x 10 x

15 x

20 x

25 x

Feb-2000 Feb-2001 Feb-2002 Feb-2003 Feb-2004 Feb-2005 Feb-2006 Feb-2007 Feb-2008 Feb-2009 Feb-2010 Median P/E 2/2000 - 2002 15.1 2003 - 2005 16.9 X 2006 - 2008 17.5 X 2009 - 2/2010 14.8 \mathbf{X} Last 5 Years 16.7 \mathbf{X} 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 Airgas Next Twelve Months P/E

Multiple for

10

Years

Before

Air

Products

Unsolicited

Proposal

Source: Bloomberg market data as of February 4, 2010, consensus analyst estimates

Timing Matters: Our Trading History Timing Matters: Our Trading History

Demonstrates Air Products Demonstrates Air Products

Opportunism Opportunism

Airgas

Stock

Price

for

10

Years

Before

Air

Products

Unsolicited

Proposal

\$43.53

\$0

\$ 15

\$ 30

\$ 45

\$ 60

\$ 75

Feb-2000

Feb-2001

Feb-2002

Feb-2003

Feb-2004

Feb-2005

Feb-2006

Feb-2007

Feb-2008

Feb-2009

Feb-2010

```
36
36
36
36
36
36
36
36
36
36
36
Source: Bloomberg consensus estimates as of August 13, 2010.

Data indexed to January 2006.
```

Timing Matters: Timing Matters: U.S. Economic Recovery is Just Beginning U.S. Economic Recovery is Just Beginning 2.7 % 1.9 % 0.0 %(2.6)%3.0 % 2.8 % 3.1 % 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010E 2011E 2012E U.S. GDP Growth 2.9 % 2.7 % 0.5 % (4.1)% 1.2 % 1.3 % 1.6 % 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010E 2011E 2012E European GDP Growth 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 Jan-06 Feb-07 Apr-08 May-09 Jul-10 U.S. Industrial Indicators Since 2006¹

ISM Manufacturing Index Non-Tech Industrial Production

- 4.6 %
- 4.6 %
- 5.8 %
- 9.3 %
- 9.6 %
- 9.1 %
- 8.1 %
- 2006
- 2007
- 2008
- 2009
- 2010E
- 2011E
- 2011E
- U.S. Unemployment

37 37 37 37 37 37 and Our Multiple Strengthens in Periods of and Our Multiple Strengthens in Periods of Economic Growth -Economic Growth -**GDP GDP** 37 37 EV / NTM EBITDA Price / NTM EPS Q-o-Q GDP Growth Airgas Multiple 37 37 3.0x6.0x9.0x12.0x Feb-05 Jan-06 Dec-06 Nov-07 Oct-08 Sep-09 Aug-10 (8.0%)(4.0%)0.0% 4.0%8.0% 6.0x12.0x 18.0x 24.0xFeb-05 Jan-06 Dec-06 Nov-07 Oct-08 Sep-09 Aug-10 (8.0%)(4.0%)

0.0%

4.0%

8.0%

8.5x

8.4x

7.5x

7.0x

(3%) or

less

(3%) -

0%

0% -

3%

3% or

greater

12.4x

14.4x

17.7x

17.8x

(3%) or

less

(3%) -

0%

0% -

3%

3% or

greater

Average

Multiple

(1)

Average

Multiple

(1)

Source:

FactSet

estimates

as

of

August

13,

2010.

Gross

Domestic

Product,

Real

%

Change

P/P

United

States

Quarterly.

(1) Represents 5 years of data prior to February 4, 2010.

February 4, 2010

Proposal

February 4, 2010

Proposal

38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 EV / NTM EBITDA Price / NTM EPS ISM Index Airgas Multiple and Our Multiple Strengthens in Periods of and Our Multiple Strengthens in Periods of Economic Growth -Economic Growth -**ISM** ISM 38 38 3.0x6.0x9.0x12.0xFeb-05 Jan-06 Dec-06 Nov-07 Oct-08 Sep-09 Aug-10 30 40 50 60 70 6.0x12.0x 18.0x24.0xFeb-05 Jan-06 Dec-06 Nov-07 Oct-08 Sep-09 Aug-10 30 40

50

60

70

80

8.2x

8.1x

8.0x

6.5x

40 or

less

40-50

50-55

55 or

greater

11.1x

15.8x

17.0x

17.2x

40 or

less

40-50

50-55

55 or

greater

Average

Multiple

(1)

Average

Multiple

(1)

February 4, 2010

Proposal

February 4, 2010

Proposal

Source: FactSet estimates as of August 13, 2010. ISM Manufacturing, Purchasing Managers Index- United States.

(1) Represents 5 years of data prior to February 4, 2010.

Source: Factset and company filings.

Note: Current multiples as of August 13, 2010.

(1) Next Twelve Months

(2) Represents median multiple of an equally-weighted index comprising Praxair, Air Liquide, and Linde.

Although Lagging Pre-Recession Levels, Sector Trading Although Lagging Pre-Recession Levels, Sector Trading Multiples Have Significantly Increased Since Air Products Multiples Have Significantly Increased Since Air Products February 4 Proposal

February 4 Proposal Calendar 2010E EV / EBITDA 39 39 Calendar 2011E EV / EBITDA Calendar 2012E EV / EBITDA Calendar 2010E P / EPS Calendar 2011E P / EPS Calendar 2012E P / EPS Current Median: 9.0x 2/4/10 Median: 8.4x Current Median: 8.4x 2/4/10 Median: 7.7x Current Median: 7.7x 2/4/10 Median: 7.3x Current Median: 17.0x 2/4/10 Median: 15.9x Current Median: 15.5x 2/4/10 Median: 14.4x Current Median: 14.0x 2/4/10 Median: 13.4x Multiple as of February 4, 2010 Current Multiple 39 39 8% 9% 5% 7% 8% 5% 10.6x9.0x8.1x8.0x8.4x9.4xPX ALLIN 9.8x8.4x7.6x8.5x

7.7x 7.4x PX AL LIN 9.1x

- 7.7x
- 7.1x
- 7.8x
- 7.3x
- 7.1x
- PX
- AL
- LIN
- 18.6x
- 17.0x
- 15.6x
- 16.1x
- 15.9x
- 14.9x
- PX
- AL
- LIN
- 16.5x
- 15.5x
- 13.9x
- 14.3x
- 14.4x
- 13.0x
- PX
- AL
- LIN
- 15.0x
- 14.0x
- 12.2x
- 12.8x
- 13.4x
- 12.0x
- PX
- AL
- LIN
- 3-Year Median
- NTM^1
- P/EPS
- Pre-Lehman Filing
- on Sep. 15, 2008
- Peers²: 17.8x
- 3-Year Median
- NTM^1
- EV / EBITDA
- Pre-Lehman Filing
- on Sep. 15, 2008
- Peers²: 9.1x

\$20

\$30

\$40

\$50

\$60

\$70

\$80

Aug-09 Sep-09 Oct-09

Nov-09 Dec-09 Jan-10 Feb-10 Air Products Air Products February 4 Proposal Followed a February 4 Proposal Followed a Temporary Market Anomaly Temporary Market Anomaly Source: Bloomberg market data as of February 4, 2010 Airgas Stock Price January 15 February 4 (14)% (12)%(10)%(8)% (6)% (4)%(2)%0% 2% 15-Jan 22-Jan 29-Jan January 28, 2010 Airgas announces Q3 FY 2010 earnings miss guidance by just 2 cents (3.0%), stock falls 10% (10)\$43.53 40 40 40

40

Source: Bloomberg market data as of August 13, 2010

Note:

Share

price

changes

of

Praxair,

Air

Liquide,

and

Linde

taken

between

February

5, 2010, the date Air **Products** \$60 proposal was made public, and August 13, 2010. Share price changes denominated in the local currencies. Average change in share price of Praxair, Air Liquide, and Linde between February 5, 2010, the date Air Products \$60 proposal

was made public, and July

8, 2010, the date of Air **Products** offer of \$63.50. Share price changes denominated in the local currencies. 41 41 41 41 Air Products Air Products Offer Premium Offer Premium Does Not Adequately Reflect the Does Not Adequately Reflect the Growth in Peer Equity Values Growth in Peer Equity Values Price Change Since Air **Products** Initial Public Proposal 2.4% 5.8% 11.7% 15.9% 17.9% 18.4% Increase in APD Proposal from \$62 to \$63.50

Increase in APD Proposal from \$60 to

\$63.50

Peer Equity Growth
(as of \$63.50 offer date)¹
Linde
Praxair
Air Liquide

42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 We Believe Airgas Shareholders Are We Believe Airgas Shareholders Are Not Being Adequately Compensated for Not Being Adequately Compensated for the Value of Air Products the Value of Air Products **Estimated Synergies Estimated Synergies** Air Products has stated that an acquisition of Airgas would have substantial cost synergies yielding \$250 million run-rate by the end of year two However, prior February proposal, Air **Products** indicated Airgas that \$350 million of synergies could be achieved Air Products has also publicly estimated cash costs of implementing synergies to be somewhere in the \$350 million to \$400 million range \$250M of annual synergies and \$400M implementation costs implies value of synergies in excess of \$20 per Airgas share¹ Source: Air Products

Offer to Acquire Airgas presentation dated February 5, 2010, and associated transcript

Assumes that synergies are capitalized at median peer EV / CY2010E EBITDA multiple of 9.0x. 9.0 x \$250M in annual synerimplement, equals \$1.85B, divided by total diluted share count based on the most recent Air Products offer price of \$63.50 per

43 43 43 43 43 The Industrial Gas Sector The Industrial Gas Sector has Steadily Consolidated has Steadily Consolidated Major Industrial Gas Players in 2000 Company AGA Air Liquide Air Products Airgas The BOC Group Hede Nielsen Japan Air Gases Linde Linweld Messer Griesheim Nippon Sanso Praxair Taiyo Toyo Sanso Valley National Gases Company Enterprise Value (\$bn) Air Liquide \$38 Praxair 33 Linde 29 Air Products Airgas Taiyo Nippon Sanso

Source: Bloomberg market data as of August 13, 2010, public filings

Major Industrial Gas Players in 2010

44

As an independent company, Airgas has demonstrated a strong track record of earnings growth and stock price appreciation

Strongly positioned to grow leading share in packaged gas

Also strongly positioned to grow share in bulk gases

As a result, we believe that Airgas is well positioned to continue to deliver shareholder value

Delivering robust SSS growth

Continuing to deliver operating efficiencies

Proven history of successful acquisition integration

The Airgas Board understands the unique strategic value of the company

...Airgas Represents

...Airgas Represents

Significant Strategic Value

Significant Strategic Value

45
45
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Introduction
2
The Airgas Growth Story
6
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix

49

46

46

46

46

46

46

46

46

Air Products

proposal would amend Airgas bylaws to require Airgas to hold future Annual Meetings in January

Requires Airgas to accelerate its 2011 meeting to elect directors on January 18, 2011 (and all subsequent meetings in January of each year)

We believe that implementation of Air Products proposals would prevent Airgas shareholders from benefiting from the Company s earnings recovery and resulting value creation
Full text of the Schedule TO text that was subsequently deleted:

Given that the economy is just beginning to emerge from recession, Air Products concluded that the timing is ideal because the combined company would be able to take full advantage of the substantial growth potential synergies unique to this transaction.

Air Products Schedule TO dated February 11, 2010 (deleted in Schedule 14A dated June 16, 2010)

Given that the economy is just beginning to

Given that the economy is just beginning to

emerge from recession, Air Products concluded

emerge from recession, Air Products concluded

that the timing is ideal...

that the timing is ideal...

Peter McCausland

Chairman and CEO of Airgas since 1987 Airgas Director since 1986 IPO Beneficial ownership of ARG nearly 8.6 million shares* 4,201% Total Shareholder Return since joining the Airgas Board W. Thacher Brown Chairman, President and a director of 1838 Investment Advisors LLC from 1988 until retirement in 2004 Airgas Director since August 7, 1989 Beneficial ownership of ARG nearly 192,000 shares* 1,409% Total Shareholder Return since joining the Airgas **Board** Richard C. Ill President, CEO, and a director of Triumph Group (NYSE: TGI) since 1993 Airgas Director since August 4, 2004 Beneficial ownership of ARG 48,500 shares* 110% Total Shareholder

Return

since
joining
the
Airgas
Board
1
* Source: 2010 proxy statement.

Total Shareholder Returns are calculated as share price plus dividends reinvested, measured through the market close on Febru announcement of the Air Products offer. Returns are split-adjusted and are measured since the later of the director s start date

Airgas

Airgas

Nominees Are Keenly Aware Nominees Are Keenly Aware

of Their Fiduciary Duties

of Their Fiduciary Duties

Eight of our Board members are independent and our Board has significant equity ownership, which we believe closely aligns the existing Airgas Board with Airgas shareholders

interests

While Air Products' nominees may not be controlled by Air Products, we believe their views could be colored by their relationship with Air Products

To consider strategies other than the Air Products offer, we believe it would be in the best interests of our stockholders if our directors are not associated in any way with Air Products

If shareholders vote for Air Products bylaw proposals, they could reduce Airgas ability to create stockholder value and determine strategic direction We recommend that you discard any Gold proxy cards you receive and promptly vote the WHITE proxy card **FOR** the three highly qualified Airgas Directors and **AGAINST** Air Products proposed By-Law amendments We Believe Air Products We Believe Air Products Nominees and Nominees and Proposals Would Deprive Airgas Proposals Would Deprive Airgas

Shareholders of Full Value Shareholders of Full Value 49
49
Agenda
Agenda
Slide
Slide
Introduction
2
The Airgas Growth Story
6
Earnings Growth Outlook & 2012 Goals
16
Our Perspective on Valuation
32
Conclusion
45
Appendix

49

Airgas Airgas Acquisition History vs. Non-Tech IP Acquisition History vs. Non-Tech IP 1988-Present 1988-Present 50 50 \$0

\$100 \$200 \$300 \$400 \$500 \$600 -10% -9% -8% -7% -6% -5% -4% -3% -2% -1% 0% 1% 2% 3% 4% 5% 6% FY88 FY89 FY90 FY91 FY92 FY93 FY94 FY95 FY96 FY97 FY98 FY99 FY00 FY01 FY02 FY03 FY04 FY05 FY06 FY07 FY08 FY09

FY10 S a l e S A c q u i r e d i n m i 1 1 0 n \mathbf{S} N o n T e c h I P a n d G D P G r o W t h Sales Acquired Non-Tech IP Refron **BOC** Packaged Linde Bulk

Linde

Packaged Air Products Packaged

51
51
Airgas
Airgas
Superior Track Record
Superior Track Record
in Executing Acquisitions
in Executing Acquisitions
51
51
Since 2000
Airgas
Air Products
Number of Acquisitions
91

21

Total Disclosed Value of

Acquisitions

\$2,210 million

\$1,765 million

Acquisition and Restructuring

Related Charges (\$mm)

\$21 million

\$393 million (1)

Acquisition Value Leakage (2)

1%

22%

Source: Company public filings through January 2010

- (1) Includes impairment charge on sale of U.S. Healthcare operations in 2009 and HPPC Business in 2007. Excludes charges a related to aborted BOC transaction.
- (2) Defined as acquisition and restructuring related charges as percentage of total disclosed value of acquisitions.

```
52
52
52
Total Shareholder Returns outperforming the S&P 500
Since
1/1/1987,
#26
highest out of
```

500 (Top 5%) (b) 3-year returns +22% 5-year returns +89% 10-year returns +516% Officer and Director compensation practices favorable to peers Incentive and equity based comp for officers Equity component to Director comp No repricing of options Officer and Director stock beneficial ownership 11.9% (#28 highest out of S&P 500) Employee compensation leveraged, consistent Simple options; gainsharing plans Incentive-based components at all levels Clean balance sheet No material legacy liabilities No material contingent liabilities Strong cash flow Free Cash Flow (c) \$411 million in FY10 Cash from Operations (c) \$648 million in FY10 (20% CAGR since FY01) Note: Market data as of February 4,

2010

(a) Split-adjusted, since Airgas IPO in 1986. Total Shareholder Return calculated as share price plus dividends reinvested. (b) Excludes current S&P 500 constituents which were not public at January 1, 1987. (c) See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP

measures.

A History of Value Creation A History of Value Creation and Alignment with Shareholders and Alignment with Shareholders

53 53

53

Strong Cash Flow

Strong Cash Flow

Drives Shareholder Value Creation

Drives Shareholder Value Creation

Strong Cash Flow from Operations*

Funds growth / maint. capex

Returns cash to stockholders through

Funds acquisitions

dividends and share repurchases

Accelerates debt paydown

* See attached reconciliations of non-GAAP measures

Note: CAGR

= Compound Annual Growth Rate

\$0

\$100

\$200

\$300

\$400

\$500

\$600

\$700

FY01

FY02

FY03

FY04

FY05

FY06

FY07

FY08

FY09

FY10

Maint. Capex

(~3%

of Sales)

Growth Capex

Free

Cash

Flow

Cash from

Operations*

Total Capex*

\$411M

\$66M

20% CAGR

in

Cash from

Operations*

```
54
54
54
54
54
54
54
54
(in millions)
2009
2001
CAGR
Net earnings (loss)
213
$
(18)
```

| \$ Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle - |
|---|
| 59 |
| Earnings before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle 213 |
| 41 |
| Plus: Income Taxes 136 |
| 26 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary |
| (3) |
| Interest expense, net 70 |
| 48 |
| Discount on securitization of trade receivables 6 |
| 5 |
| Loss on the extinguishment of debt 9 |
| - |
| Other (income) expense, net (1) |
| (1) |
| Depreciation 210 |
| 62 |
| Amortization |

12 Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charge Adjusted EBITDA 672 190 17% Capital expenditures (261)(59)Adjustments to capital expenditures: Proceeds from the sale of plant and equipment 11 3 Operating lease buyouts 2 Adjusted Capital Expenditures (248)(56)Adjusted EBITDA less Adjusted Capital Expenditures 424 \$ 134 \$ 15%

Twelve Months Ended

December 31,

The Company believes this presentation of the cumulative average growth rates ("CAGR") of adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA less adjusted capital expenditures helps investors better assess the Company's growth. Non-GAAP metrics should be read in conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as non GAAP metrics are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our adjusted EBITDA and adjusted capital expenditures metrics may different from adjusted EBITDA and adjusted capital expenditures metrics provided by other companies.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations:

Non-GAAP Reconciliations:

Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA Less Capital Expenditures Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA Less Capital Expenditures

```
55
55
55
55
55
55
55
55
55
55
Fiscal Year Ended
March 31, 2005
Sales
2,367,782
Operating Income
202,454
Adjustment:
Acquisition integration costs
6,400
Adjusted Operating Income
```

Adjusting Operating Margin

208,854

\$

8.8%

The Company believes the above adjusted operating income and adjusted operating margin computations help investors assess the Company s operating performance without the impact of charges associated with the integration of major acquisitions. Non-GAAP metrics should be read in conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as non-GAAP metrics are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for, GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our adjusted operating income and adjusted operating margin metrics may be different from the adjusted operating income and adjusted operating margin metrics provided by other companies.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations:

Non-GAAP Reconciliations:

Adjusted

Adjusted

Operating

Operating

Income

Income

and

and

anu

Adjusted

Adjusted

Operating

Operating

Margin

Margin

FY2005

FY2005

```
56
56
56
56
56
56
Calendar Year
(In thousands)
2005
2008
2008
Operating Income - Trailing Four Quarters
210,454
$
476,146
541,422
Five Quarter Average of Total Assets
2,134,362
$
3,708,389
4,122,411
Five Quarter Average of Securitized Trade Receivables
183,300
310,880
```

360,000

Five Quarter Average of Current Liabilities (exclusive of debt) (274,035)

(421,589)

(459,362)

Five Quarter Average Capital Employed 2,043,627

\$ 3,597,680

\$ 4,023,049

\$ Return on Capital 10.3%

13.2%

Fiscal Year Ended March 31,

The Company believes this return on capital computation helps investors assess how effectively the Company uses the capital its operations. Our management uses return on capital as one of the metrics for determining employee compensation. Non-GA should be read in conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as non-GAAP metrics are merely a supplement to, and not a rep GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our return on capital computation information may be different from capital computations provided by other companies.

56

13.5%

56

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Return on Capital

Return on Capital

```
57
57
57
57
57
57
57
Three Months Ended
Low
High
June 30, 2010
2009
2005
2004
2003
2002
Earnings per diluted share
3.08
$
3.23
$
```

0.76

| \$ 2.55 |
|---|
| \$ 1.48 |
| \$ |
| 1.18 \$ |
| 1.04 \$ |
| 0.82 \$ |
| Adjustments: |
| Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt 0.03 |
| 0.03 |
| 0.03 |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| Debt extinguishment charges 0.02 |
| 0.02 |
| 0.02 |
| 0.07 |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges 0.02 |
| 0.02 |

| 0.02 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 0.05 | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| Legal Settlement | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| 0.08 | |
| Restructuring charge (recovery) | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| (0.01) | |
| - | |
| 0.03 | |
| Insurance gain | |
| - | |

| - | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| - | |
| - | |
| | |
| - | |
| - | |
| (0.02) | |
| | |
| - | |
| Fire Losses | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| | |
| - | |
| - | |
| 0.02 | |
| | |
| - | |
| Acquisition integration costs | |
| | |
| - | |
| - | |
| - | |
| | |
| 0.01 | |
| 0.03 | |
| - | |
| | |
| - | |
| Employee separation costs | |
| | |

| - |
|-------------------------------------|
| - |
| - |
| 0.01 |
| |
| - |
| |
| - |
| Hurricane losses |
| - |
| |
| - |
| - |
| 0.02 |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| Losses from discontinued operations |
| - |
| - |
| |
| - |
| 0.01 |
| - |
| 0.01 |
| 0.01 |
| Adjusted earnings per diluted share |

3.15 \$ 3.30 0.83 \$ 2.67 1.53 \$ 1.20 1.05 \$ 0.94 (Updated Guidance)

Fiscal Year Ending March 31, 2011

Calendar Year

The Company believes that the adjusted earnings per diluted share above provide investors meaningful insight into the Compa charges, multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges, the settlement of material litigation, restructuring charges, insurance employee separation costs, hurricane losses, and losses from discontinued operations. Non-GAAP metrics should be read in co are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for, GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our adjusted earnings per diluted share metrics provided by other companies.

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Adjusted Earnings Per Diluted Share

Adjusted Earnings Per Diluted Share

```
58
58
58
58
58
58
58
Three Months Ended
Six Months Ended
(in thousands)
Low
High
2009
2002
June 30, 2010
June 30, 2010
Sales
5,200,000
$
5,200,000
3,886,671
```

58

```
1,714,527
1,052,656
2,035,964
Operating Income
648,000
675,000
432,221
142,442
122,751
204,634
Adjustments:
Depreciation & Amortization
286,000
286,000
231,518
79,294
60,467
121,440
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
3,787
27,222
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges
```

| - |
|-------------------------|
| 6,650 |
| - |
| 3,204 |
| 3,204 |
| Legal Settlement |
| |
| |
| - 9.500 |
| 8,500 |
| |
| - Destructiving changes |
| Restructuring charges - |
| - |
| - |
| 2,700 |
| - |
| - |
| Other - |
| - |
| 400 |
| - |
| _ |
| - |
| Adjusted EBITDA |

934,000 \$
961,000 \$
670,790 \$
232,936 \$
190,209 \$
356,500 \$
Adjusted EBITDA Margin
18.0%

18.5%

17.3%

13.6%

18.1%

Calendar Year

2012 Target

17.5%

The Company believes the above adjusted EBITDA margin computations help investors assess the Company s operating perfamortization and charges associated with the Company s withdrawal from multi-employer pension plans, costs related to Air settlements and restructuring charges. Non-GAAP numbers should be read in conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as na replacement for, GAAP financial measures. It should be noted as well that our Adjusted EBITDA metric may be different from Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Non-GAAP Reconciliation: Adjusted EBITDA Margin Adjusted EBITDA Margin

59 59 59

59

59 59

59

59

Average Average

(in thousands)

2003 to 2005

2006

2007

2008

 $2010E\ to\ 2012E$

Sales

2,246,184

```
3,098,086
3,792,509
4,456,256
4,700,000
Change in sales
337,518
$
371,006
694,423
663,747
435,000
Operating Income
201,877
$
322,300
437,733
541,422
565,000
Adjustments:
Depreciation & Amortization
104,021
142,021
179,545
211,885
264,283
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
```

| - |
|---|
| 9,074 |
| Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charge |
| |
| - |
| - |
| |
| 1,068 |
| Restructuring charge (recovery) (267) |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| - |
| Fire Losses 933 |
| - |
| - |
| <u>-</u> |
| _ |
| Acquisition integration costs |
| 1,600 |
| - |
| 10,100 |
| - |
| - |
| Employee separation costs |
| 533 |

Hurricane losses 733 Adjusted EBITDA 309,431 464,321 627,378 753,307 839,425 Change in adjusted EBITDA 47,869 \$ 87,777 163,057 \$ 125,929 97,000 Percentage adjusted EBITDA fall through 14% 24% 23%

19%

22%

Calendar Year

The Company believes that using adjusted EBITDA in its percentage of adjusted EBITDA fall through metric provides invested adjusted EBITDA for every dollar of increased sales without the impact of costs related to the unsolicited takeover attempt, must restructuring charges, fire losses, significant acquisition integration costs, employee separation costs and hurricane losses. Not GAAP financial measures, as non-GAAP measures are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for, GAAP financial meadjusted EBITDA fall through metric may be different from similar metrics provided by other companies.

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Adjusted EBITDA Fall Through

Adjusted EBITDA Fall Through

Non-GAAP Reconciliations: Non-GAAP Reconciliations: Adjusted Debt and Adjusted EBITDA Adjusted Debt and Adjusted EBITDA Quarterly Quarterly 60 60

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Non-GAAP Reconciliation:

Adjusted

Adjusted

EBITDA

EBITDA

FY89-FY10

FY89-FY10

61

61

(In thousands)

FY89

FY90

```
FY91
FY92
FY93
FY94
FY95
FY96
FY97
FY98
FY99
Operating income
15,958
$
23,221
$
17,286
$
26,316
$
34,367
$
48,667
$
72,600
92,987
80,480
111,709
112,607
$
Add:
Depreciation & amortization
11,147
17,387
21,158
23,420
28,042
30,571
36,868
45,762
64,428
82,227
83,839
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
```

```
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges
Adjusted EBITDA
27,105
40,608
38,444
49,736
62,409
79,238
109,468
138,749
144,908
193,936
196,446
(Uses)/sources of cash excluded from adjusted
EBITDA, included in cash from operations:
Interest expense, net
(12,245)
(16,198)
(15,179)
(12,838)
(11,403)
(12,486)
(17,625)
(24,862)
(39,367)
(52,603)
(59,677)
Discount on securitization of receivables
```

```
Current income taxes
404
1,700
(599)
(3,591)
(5,653)
(7,838)
(12,345)
(17,654)
(20,012)
(16,502)
(17,244)
Other income (expense)
215
157
870
214
546
453
1,607
781
1,695
9,811
29,491
Equity in earnings of Elkem
joint venture
1,415
1,435
2,009
2,019
(897)
(1,258)
(840)
(1,428)
(1,356)
(1,478)
(869)
(Gains)/losses on divestitures
```

```
(560)
(1,452)
(25,468)
(Gain)/losses on sale of PP&E
2
(715)
(76)
(292)
(63)
110
(12)
616
(504)
(222)
Stock-based compensation expense
Income/(loss) on discontinued operations
478
(635)
(871)
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
```

```
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges
Other non-cash charges
260
308
252
250
3,930
11,422
Cash provided by (used in)
changes in assets and liabilities
4,379
702
6,712
15,968
13,608
6,752
(2,030)
(6,948)
(14,801)
(13,548)
(25,273)
Net cash provided by operating activities
21,501
$
28,714
31,794
$
51,682
```

```
58,318
$
64,798
$
77,785
$
88,626
$
76,091
128,447
96,313
$
(In thousands)
FY00
FY01
FY02
FY03
FY04
FY05
FY06
FY07
FY08
FY09
FY10
Operating income
105,461
$
106,728
$
124,938
156,336
168,544
202,454
269,142
341,497
475,824
524,868
399,598
```

Add:

```
Depreciation & amortization
85,262
82,796
71,757
79,279
87,447
111,078
127,542
147,343
189,775
220,795
234,949
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
23,435
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges
6,650
Adjusted EBITDA
190,723
189,524
196,695
235,615
255,991
313,532
396,684
488,840
665,599
745,663
664,632
(Uses)/sources of cash excluded from adjusted
EBITDA, included in cash from operations:
```

```
Interest expense, net
(56,879)
(59,550)
(46,775)
(46,374)
(42,357)
(51,245)
(54,145)
(60,180)
(89,485)
(84,395)
(63,310)
Discount on securitization of receivables
(1,303)
(4,846)
(3,326)
(3,264)
(4,711)
(9,371)
(13,630)
(17,031)
(10,738)
(5,651)
Current income taxes
(16,902)
(13,402)
4,546
(33,174)
(24,623)
(22,622)
(30,718)
(47,972)
(69,459)
(64,985)
(51,634)
Other income (expense)
18,625
1,324
5,987
2,132
1,472
1,129
2,411
1,556
1,454
(382)
1,332
Equity in earnings of Elkem
```

joint venture

```
(Gains)/losses on divestitures
(17,712)
(1,173)
(5,548)
241
(360)
1,900
(Gain)/losses on sale of PP&E
(915)
502
405
(257)
(837)
(321)
(1,330)
39
714
(964)
3,014
Stock-based compensation expense
15,445
16,629
20,635
22,868
Income/(loss) on discontinued operations
(335)
(400)
```

```
(3,529)
(1,776)
(457)
464
(1,424)
Costs related to unsolicited takeover attempt
(23,435)
Multi-employer pension plan withdrawal charges
(6,650)
Other non-cash charges
458
2,281
1,068
Cash provided by (used in)
changes in assets and liabilities
(22,686)
78,329
95,691
```

```
33,931
17,865
(23,456)
42,038
(57,755)
41,505
(22,067)
58,881
Net cash provided by operating activities
94,377
$
196,132
243,694
187,012
203,790
212,410
346,045
326,343
549,926
582,767
600,047
```

The Company believes adjusted EBITDA provides investors meaningful insight into the Company's ability to generate cash from conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as non-GAAP metrics are merely a supplement to, and not a replacement for, GAAP provided by other companies. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial statements to conform to the company of the company of

Non-GAAP Reconciliations: Non-GAAP Reconciliations: Adjusted Cash from Operations, Adjusted Cash from Operations, Adjusted Capital Expenditures & Free Cash Flow Adjusted Capital Expenditures & Free Cash Flow 62 62 (\$ in millions) FY10 FY09 FY08 FY07 FY06 FY05 FY04 FY03

FY02

```
FY01
Net cash provided by operating activities
600
$
583
$
550
$
326
$
346
$
212
$
204
$
187
$
244
$
196
Adjustments to cash provided by operating activities:
Cash used (provided) by the
securitization of trade receivables
16
49
(96)
(20)
(54)
(27)
(4)
(25)
(61)
(73)
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan
15
17
14
12
11
10
7
9
7
6
Tax benefit realized from exercise of stock options
15
12
13
```

```
Adjusted cash from operations
648
$
660
$
482
$
327
302
195
$
207
$
171
190
$
129
Capital expenditures
(253)
$
(352)
(267)
(238)
(209)
(168)
(94)
(68)
(58)
$
(66)
Adjustments to capital expenditures:
```

```
Proceeds from sales of plant and equipment
14
14
9
9
8
5
5
4
3
3
Operating lease buyouts
2
6
1
10
15
24
4
Adjusted capital expenditures
(237)
$
(332)
$
(257)
(220)
(186)
(139)
$
(84)
(64)
(55)
$
(63)
Free Cash Flow
411
$
328
$
225
$
```

107 \$ 116 \$ 57 \$ 123 \$ 107 \$ 135 \$ 66 Adjusted Cash from Operations CAGR FY01-FY10 20%

The Company believes that free cash flow and adjusted cash from operations provide investors meaningful insight into the Confor servicing debt obligations and for the execution of its business strategy, including acquisitions, the prepayment of debt, the activities. Non-GAAP metrics should be read in conjunction with GAAP financial measures, as non-GAAP metrics are merely measures. It should be noted as well that our free cash flow and adjusted cash from operations metrics may be different from from the companies.