

DRIL-QUIP INC
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Commission file number 001-13439

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-2162088
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

13550 HEMPSTEAD HIGHWAY

HOUSTON, TEXAS

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

77040

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(713) 939-7711

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

As of August 3, 2009, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01 per share, was 39,030,597.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

| | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2009 |
|--|----------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 95,952 | \$ 115,988 |
| Trade receivables, net | 172,072 | 160,597 |
| Inventories, net | 222,203 | 259,104 |
| Deferred income taxes | 15,834 | 18,133 |
| Prepays and other current assets | 8,213 | 8,377 |
| Total current assets | 514,274 | 562,199 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 160,810 | 180,869 |
| Other assets | 5,525 | 5,431 |
| Total assets | \$ 680,609 | \$ 748,499 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 31,715 | \$ 28,093 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 636 | 719 |
| Accrued income taxes | 7,153 | 9,551 |
| Customer prepayments | 51,153 | 45,971 |
| Accrued compensation | 9,702 | 11,894 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 13,380 | 11,974 |
| Total current liabilities | 113,739 | 108,202 |
| Long-term debt | 896 | 644 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,524 | 6,570 |
| Total liabilities | 121,159 | 115,416 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, 10,000,000 shares authorized at \$0.01 par value (none issued) | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| 50,000,000 shares authorized at \$0.01 par value, 39,022,597 and 39,029,347 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively | | |
| | 390 | 390 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 109,784 | 111,819 |
| Retained earnings | 478,146 | 529,524 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (28,870) | (8,650) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 559,450 | 633,083 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 680,609 | \$ 748,499 |

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(UNAUDITED)

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Products | \$ 117,715 | \$ 113,400 | \$ 229,882 | \$ 218,536 |
| Services | 24,829 | 19,786 | 45,075 | 42,172 |
| Total revenues | 142,544 | 133,186 | 274,957 | 260,708 |
| Cost and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales: | | | | |
| Products | 69,805 | 65,699 | 135,504 | 125,651 |
| Services | 13,921 | 11,708 | 26,041 | 23,773 |
| Total cost of sales | 83,726 | 77,407 | 161,545 | 149,424 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 14,187 | 12,897 | 27,805 | 27,437 |
| Engineering and product development | 6,871 | 6,571 | 13,135 | 12,876 |
| | 104,784 | 96,875 | 202,485 | 189,737 |
| Operating income | 37,760 | 36,311 | 72,472 | 70,971 |
| Interest income | 929 | 132 | 2,633 | 344 |
| Interest expense | (44) | (29) | (102) | (77) |
| Income before income taxes | 38,645 | 36,414 | 75,003 | 71,238 |
| Income tax provision | 10,942 | 9,707 | 21,909 | 19,860 |
| Net income | \$ 27,703 | \$ 26,707 | \$ 53,094 | \$ 51,378 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.31 | \$ 1.32 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.31 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 40,435 | 39,026 | 40,617 | 39,024 |
| Diluted | 40,859 | 39,433 | 41,026 | 39,363 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 53,094 | \$ 51,378 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,290 | 8,664 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,497 | 1,909 |
| Gain on sale of equipment | (90) | (132) |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,319) | (2,132) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade receivables, net | (12,759) | 20,660 |
| Inventories, net | (28,926) | (26,608) |
| Prepays and other assets | 2,458 | 289 |
| Excess tax benefit of stock option exercises | (399) | (64) |
| Trade accounts payable and accrued expenses | (15,409) | (9,799) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 6,437 | 44,165 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (30,242) | (22,883) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | 721 | 915 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (29,521) | (21,968) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (67,860) | |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | (439) | (326) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 376 | 55 |
| Excess tax benefit of stock option exercises | 399 | 64 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (67,524) | (207) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash activities | (1,670) | (1,954) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (92,278) | 20,036 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 201,732 | 95,952 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 109,454 | \$ 115,988 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. Organization and Principles of Consolidation

Dril-Quip, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company or Dril-Quip), designs, manufactures, sells and services highly engineered offshore drilling and production equipment that is well suited for use in deepwater, harsh environment and severe service applications. The Company's principal products consist of subsea and surface wellheads, subsea and surface production trees, subsea control systems and manifolds, mudline hanger systems, specialty connectors and associated pipe, drilling and production riser systems, liner hangers, wellhead connectors and diverters. Dril-Quip's products are used by major integrated, large independent and foreign national oil and gas companies in offshore areas throughout the world. Dril-Quip also provides technical advisory assistance on an as-requested basis during installation of its products, as well as rework and reconditioning services for customer-owned Dril-Quip products. In addition, Dril-Quip's customers may rent or purchase running tools from the Company for use in the installation and retrieval of the Company's products.

The Company's operations are organized into three geographic segments: Western Hemisphere (including North and South America; headquartered in Houston, Texas), Eastern Hemisphere (including Europe and Africa; headquartered in Aberdeen, Scotland) and Asia-Pacific (including the Pacific Rim, Southeast Asia, Australia, India and the Middle East; headquartered in Singapore). Each of these segments sells similar products and services and the Company has major manufacturing facilities in all three of its headquarter locations as well as Macae, Brazil.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Dril-Quip and are unaudited, except for the balance sheet at December 31, 2008, which has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date. In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position as of June 30, 2009, the results of operations for each of the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the cash flows for each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. Although management believes the unaudited interim related disclosures in these condensed consolidated financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations and the cash flows for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from

those estimates. Some of the Company's more significant estimates are those affected by critical accounting policies for revenue recognition, inventories and contingent liabilities as discussed more fully in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Cash and cash equivalents

Short-term investments that have a maturity of three months or less from the date of purchase are classified as cash equivalents. The Company invests excess cash in interest bearing accounts, money market mutual funds and funds which invest in U.S. Treasury obligations and repurchase agreements backed by U.S. Treasury obligations. The Company's investment objectives include the provision of a high level of current income consistent with the preservation of capital and the maintenance of liquidity.

Inventories

Inventory costs are determined principally by the use of the first-in, first-out (FIFO) costing method, and are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventory is valued principally using standard costs, which are calculated based upon direct costs incurred and overhead allocations. Periodically, obsolescence reviews are performed on slow-moving inventories and reserves are established based on current assessments about future demands and market conditions. The inventory values have been reduced by a reserve for excess and obsolete inventories. Inventory reserves of \$20.8 million and \$22.0 million were recorded as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively. If market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory reserves may be required.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are carried at cost, with depreciation provided on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Current income taxes are provided on income reported for financial statement purposes, adjusted for transactions that do not enter into the computation of income taxes payable in the same year. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities.

Revenue Recognition

Product Revenue

The Company earns product revenues from two sources:

product revenues recognized under the percentage-of-completion method; and

product revenues from the sale of products that do not qualify for the percentage-of-completion method.

Revenues recognized under the percentage-of-completion method

The Company uses the percentage-of-completion method on long-term project contracts pursuant to Statement of Position 81-1 Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts. Long-term project contracts have the following characteristics:

The contracts call for products which are designed to customer specifications;

The structural designs are unique and require significant engineering and manufacturing efforts generally requiring more than one year in duration;

The contracts contain specific terms as to milestones, progress billings and delivery dates; and

Product requirements cannot be filled directly from the Company's standard inventory.

For each project, the Company prepares a detailed analysis of estimated costs, profit margin, completion date and risk factors which include availability of material, production efficiencies and other factors that may impact the project. On a quarterly basis, management reviews the progress of each project, which may result in revisions of previous estimates, including revenue recognition. The Company calculates the percent complete and applies the percentage to determine the revenues earned and the appropriate portion of total estimated costs. Losses, if any, are recorded in full in the period they first become evident. Historically, the Company's estimates of total costs and costs to complete have approximated actual costs incurred to complete the project.

Under the percentage-of-completion method, billings do not always correlate directly to the revenue recognized. Based upon the terms of the specific contract, billings may be in excess of the revenue recognized, in which case the amounts are included in customer prepayments as a liability on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Likewise, revenue recognized may exceed customer billings in which case the amounts are reported in trade receivables. Unbilled revenues are expected to be billed and collected within one year. At December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, receivables included \$44.8 million and \$50.4 million of unbilled receivables, respectively. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, there were 15 projects representing approximately 15% of the Company's total revenue and approximately 18% of its product revenues that were accounted for using percentage-of-completion accounting.

Revenues not recognized under the percentage-of-completion method

Revenues from the sale of inventory products, not accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, are recorded at the time the manufacturing processes are complete and ownership is transferred to the customer.

Service revenue

The Company earns service revenues from three sources:

technical advisory assistance;

rental of running tools; and

rework and reconditioning of customer-owned Dril-Quip products.

The recognition of service revenue is the same for all products, including those accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method. The Company does not install products for its customers, but it provides technical advisory assistance. At the time of delivery of the product, the customer is not obligated to buy or rent the Company's running tools and the Company is not obligated to perform any subsequent services relating to installation. Technical advisory assistance service revenue is recorded at the time the service is rendered. Service revenues associated with the rental of running and installation tools are recorded as earned. Rework and reconditioning service revenues are recorded when the refurbishment process is complete.

The Company normally negotiates contracts for products, including those accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, and services separately. For all product sales, it is the customer's decision as to when the product will be installed and if Dril-Quip running tools will be purchased or rented. Furthermore, the customer is under no obligation to utilize the Company's technical advisory services. The customer may use a third party or their own personnel.

Foreign Currency

The financial statements of foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at period end exchange rates except for revenues and expenses, which are translated at average monthly rates. Translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity and have no effect on current earnings or cash flows.

Foreign currency exchange transactions are recorded using the exchange rate at the date of the settlement. Exchange gains (losses) are included in selling, general and administrative costs in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables, and debt instruments. The carrying values of these financial instruments approximate their respective fair values as they are either short-term in nature or carry interest rates which approximate market rates.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments which subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk primarily include trade receivables. The Company grants credit to its customers, which operate primarily in the oil and gas industry. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers financial condition and generally does not require collateral. The Company maintains reserves for potential losses, and such losses have historically been within management's expectations.

In addition, the Company invests excess cash in interest bearing accounts, money market mutual funds and funds which invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury and repurchase agreements backed by U.S. Treasury obligations. Changes in the financial markets and in interest rates could affect the interest earned on short-term investments.

Comprehensive Income

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, establishes the rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components. SFAS No. 130 requires the Company to include unrealized gains or losses on foreign currency translation adjustments in other comprehensive income. Generally, gains are attributed to a weakening U.S. dollar and losses are the result of a strengthening U.S. dollar.

The following table provides comprehensive income for the periods indicated:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 27,703 | \$ 26,707 | \$ 53,094 | \$ 51,378 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 2,925 | 21,788 | 2,536 | 20,220 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 30,628 | \$ 48,495 | \$ 55,630 | \$ 71,598 |

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed considering the dilutive effect of stock options using the treasury stock method.

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

In each relevant period, the net income used in the basic and dilutive earnings per share calculations is the same. The following table reconciles the number of common shares outstanding at June 30 of each year to the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the weighted average diluted number of common shares outstanding for the purpose of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Number of common shares outstanding at end of period basic | 39,655 | 39,029 | 39,655 | 39,029 |
| Effect of using weighted average common shares outstanding | 780 | (3) | 962 | (5) |
| Weighted average basic common shares outstanding basic | 40,435 | 39,026 | 40,617 | 39,024 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock options | 424 | 407 | 409 | 339 |
| Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding diluted | 40,859 | 39,433 | 41,026 | 39,363 |

New Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB No. 162 (SFAS 168). SFAS 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of the authoritative U. S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of the authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. SFAS 168 and the Codification are effective for financial statements issued for the interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. When effective, the Codification will supersede all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. The Codification is effective for the Company during its interim period ending September 30, 2009 and will not have an impact on our operations, financial position or cash flows.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS 165), which establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This standard sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity should evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity should recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosure that an entity should make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS 165 is effective for reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has adopted SFAS 165 for financial statements issued after the effective date. See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board (APB) 28-1 Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. This FSP amends SFAS No. 107 Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments to require an entity to provide disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial information. This FSP is to be applied prospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 on June 15, 2009 and there was no impact on the Company s disclosure requirement.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) replaces Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The statement retains the purchase method of accounting used in business combinations but replaces SFAS 141 by establishing principles and requirements for the recognition and measurement of assets, liabilities and goodwill including the requirement that most transaction costs and restructuring costs be expensed. In addition, the statement requires disclosures to enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for business combinations when the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual

reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted SFAS 141(R) on January 1, 2009 for business combinations on or after this date.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment to ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160) which establishes accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. The provisions of SFAS 160 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted SFAS 160 on January 1, 2009, and the adoption had no material effect on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures under fair value measurements. SFAS 157 applies to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. However, on February 12, 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2 which would delay the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). This FSP partially defers the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157 except as it applies to those nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as noted in FSP FAS 157-2. In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. FSP FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and addresses application issues such as use of internal assumptions when relevant observable data does not exist, the use of observable market information when the market is not active and the use of market quotes when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data. FSP FAS 157-3 was effective immediately and did not have an impact on the Company upon adoption. The Company did not have any assets or liabilities that would be recognized or disclosed on a fair value basis as of December 31, 2008. Cash and cash equivalents include investments in various financial instruments which are carried at cost. On January 1, 2009 the Company adopted FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (FSP FAS 157-2), for its nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 and FSP FAS 157-2 did not have an effect on the Company's fair value measurements. The Company did not have any assets or liabilities that would be recognized or disclosed on a fair value basis as of June 30, 2009.

3. Stock-Based Compensation and Stock Option Awards

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$912,000 and \$1.9 million, respectively, of compensation expense compared to \$740,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. Compensation expense is included in the selling, general and administrative expense line of the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. No stock-based compensation expense was capitalized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 or 2008. There were no stock options granted in the second quarter of 2009 and 2008. Refer to Note 12 of the Company's 2008 Form 10-K for additional information regarding stock-based compensation plans.

4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2009 |
|---|----------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Raw materials and supplies | \$ 55,470 | \$ 53,165 |
| Work in progress | 71,926 | 90,129 |
| Finished goods | 115,636 | 137,855 |
| | 243,032 | \$ 281,149 |
| Less: allowance for obsolete and excess inventory | (20,829) | (22,045) |
| | \$ 222,203 | \$ 259,104 |

5. Geographic Areas

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| <i>Revenues:</i> | | | | |
| Western Hemisphere | | | | |
| Products | \$ 53,734 | \$ 56,869 | \$ 120,207 | \$ 110,271 |
| Services | 12,278 | 8,562 | 21,159 | 18,723 |
| Intercompany | 9,103 | 13,954 | 29,450 | 29,691 |
| Total | \$ 75,115 | \$ 79,385 | \$ 170,816 | \$ 158,685 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | | | | |
| Products | \$ 45,594 | \$ 38,585 | \$ 77,903 | \$ 72,764 |
| Services | 8,359 | 8,935 | 17,501 | 18,543 |
| Intercompany | 55 | 923 | 987 | 1,320 |
| Total | \$ 54,008 | \$ 48,443 | \$ 96,391 | \$ 92,627 |
| Asia Pacific | | | | |
| Products | \$ 18,387 | \$ 17,946 | \$ 31,772 | \$ 35,501 |
| Services | 4,192 | 2,289 | 6,415 | 4,906 |
| Intercompany | 799 | 1,118 | 1,376 | 1,117 |
| Total | \$ 23,378 | \$ 21,353 | \$ 39,563 | \$ 41,524 |
| Summary | | | | |
| Products | \$ 117,715 | \$ 113,400 | \$ 229,882 | \$ 218,536 |
| Services | 24,829 | 19,786 | 45,075 | 42,172 |
| Intercompany | 9,957 | 15,995 | 31,813 | 32,128 |
| Eliminations | (9,957) | (15,995) | (31,813) | (32,128) |
| Total | \$ 142,544 | \$ 133,186 | \$ 274,957 | \$ 260,708 |
| <i>Income (loss) before income taxes:</i> | | | | |
| Western Hemisphere | \$ 16,971 | \$ 20,774 | \$ 43,184 | \$ 36,903 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 11,130 | 8,939 | 17,871 | 22,882 |
| Asia Pacific | 8,748 | 6,252 | 12,356 | 12,724 |
| Eliminations | 1,796 | 449 | 1,592 | (1,271) |
| Total | \$ 38,645 | \$ 36,414 | \$ 75,003 | \$ 71,238 |

| | December 31, 2008 | June 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| <i>Total Long-Lived Assets:</i> | | |
| Western Hemisphere | \$ 147,460 | \$ 161,995 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 22,892 | 27,833 |
| Asia Pacific | 16,402 | 16,891 |
| Eliminations | (20,419) | (20,419) |
| Total | \$ 166,335 | \$ 186,300 |

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| <i>Total Assets:</i> | | |
| Western Hemisphere | \$ 465,797 | \$ 495,485 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 125,497 | 159,619 |
| Asia Pacific | 120,943 | 128,213 |
| Eliminations | (31,628) | (34,818) |
| Total | \$ 680,609 | \$ 748,499 |

6. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures under fair value measurements. On January 1, 2009 the Company adopted FSP FAS 157-2, for its nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities. The adoption of SFAS 157 and FSP FAS 157-2 did not have an effect on the Company's fair value measurements. The Company did not have any assets or liabilities that would be recognized or disclosed on a fair value basis as of June 30, 2009. Cash and cash equivalents include money market funds which are carried at cost.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

In 2006, the Company entered into a contract in the amount of approximately \$47 million with MPF Corp. Ltd. (MPF) under which the Company was to construct risers and related equipment to be installed on an offshore drill ship being constructed for MPF. MPF and its affiliates filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case in September 2008 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division (Case No. 08-36084). Under the Bankruptcy Code, at some point MPF must either assume this contract or reject it. Since MPF is not required to make a decision on the handling of the contract right away, the Company cannot be sure as to when its rights under the contract will be clarified. Currently, the Company has possession of all the raw materials purchased to date and work-in-progress under the contract. At the time of the bankruptcy filing, the Company had recognized approximately \$20 million in revenues under the contract and had received payments of approximately \$16 million. No further revenue has been recognized since the second quarter of 2008. The Company believes the remaining \$4 million of unpaid receivables will be realized through the workings of the contract or through its interest in the partially constructed inventory. While the Company has made filings in the bankruptcy proceedings that it believes are appropriate to protect its rights, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to receive the expected benefits of the contract with MPF. While the Company does not expect the outcome of this matter to have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations, financial position or cash flows, the Company may be required to write down or forfeit some portion of the revenues recognized to date if it becomes probable that the Company will not receive such funds.

In August 2007, the Company's Brazilian subsidiary was served with assessments collectively valued at approximately \$12.8 million from the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, to collect a state tax on the importation of goods. The Company believes that its subsidiary is not liable for the taxes and are vigorously contesting the assessments in the Brazilian administrative and judicial systems. At this time, the ultimate disposition of this matter cannot be determined and therefore, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the amount of loss or the range of possible losses that might result from an adverse judgment or settlement of these assessments. Accordingly, no liability has been accrued in conjunction with this matter. The Company does not expect the liability, if any, resulting from these assessments to have a material adverse effect on its operations, financial position or cash flows.

The Company operates its business and markets its products and services in most of the significant oil and gas producing areas in the world and is, therefore, subject to the risks customarily attendant to international operations and dependency on the condition of the oil and gas industry. Additionally, products of the Company are used in potentially hazardous drilling, completion, and production applications that can cause personal injury, product liability, and environmental claims. Although exposure to such risk has not resulted in any significant problems in the past, there can be no assurance that future developments will not adversely impact the Company.

The Company is also involved in a number of legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such legal action, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability with respect thereto will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations, financial position or cash flows.

8. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through August 6, 2009, the date of the issuance of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is management's discussion and analysis of certain significant factors that have affected certain aspects of the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows during the periods included in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein, as well as the discussion under Risk Factors, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the annual consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Overview

Dril-Quip designs, manufactures, sells and services highly engineered offshore drilling and production equipment that is well suited for use in deepwater, harsh environment and severe service applications. The Company designs and manufactures subsea equipment, surface equipment and offshore rig equipment for use by major integrated, large independent and foreign national oil and gas companies in offshore areas throughout the world. The Company's principal products consist of subsea and surface wellheads, subsea and surface production trees, subsea control systems and manifolds, mudline hanger systems, specialty connectors and associated pipe, drilling and production riser systems, liner hangers, wellhead connectors and diverters. Dril-Quip also provides technical advisory assistance on an as-requested basis during installation of its products, as well as rework and reconditioning services for customer-owned Dril-Quip products and rental of running tools for use in connection with the installation and retrieval of the Company's products.

Both the market for offshore drilling and production equipment and services and the Company's business are substantially dependent on the condition of the oil and gas industry and, in particular, the willingness of oil and gas companies to make capital expenditures on exploration, drilling and production operations offshore. Oil and gas prices and the level of offshore drilling and production activity have historically been characterized by significant volatility. Declines in oil and gas prices may adversely affect the willingness of some oil and gas companies to make capital expenditures on exploration, drilling and production operations offshore, which could have an adverse impact on the Company's operations, financial position or cash flows.

According to the Energy Information Administration (EIA) of the U.S. Department of Energy, average crude oil (West Texas Intermediate Cushing) and natural gas (Henry Hub) closing prices are listed below for the periods covered by this report:

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Crude oil (\$/Bbl) | \$ 123.78 | \$ 59.61 | \$ 111.13 | \$ 51.51 |
| Natural gas (\$/Mcf) | 11.73 | 3.83 | 10.33 | 4.27 |

During the second quarter of 2008, crude oil prices ranged between \$100.92 per barrel and \$139.96 per barrel with an average quarterly price of \$123.78. For the second quarter of 2009, crude oil prices ranged between \$45.82 per barrel and \$72.69 per barrel with an average quarterly price of \$59.61. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, crude oil prices ranged between \$87.16 per barrel and \$139.96 per barrel with an average price of \$111.13 per barrel, as compared to a range of \$34.03 per barrel to \$72.69 per barrel with an average price of \$51.51 per barrel for the same period in 2009.

According to the July 2009 release of the Short-Term Energy Outlook published by the EIA, West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices are projected to average \$60.35 per barrel in 2009 and \$72.42 per barrel in 2010.

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

These projections for both 2009 and 2010 are higher than the EIA's March 2009 projections of \$42.06 per barrel for 2009 and \$53.17 per barrel for 2010. The EIA expects crude oil to average \$70.00 per barrel through the second half of 2009. At June 30, 2009 the EIA reported West Texas Intermediate crude oil at a price of \$69.82 per barrel.

In its July 2009 report, the EIA revised its projection for Henry Hub natural gas prices to \$4.22 per Mcf in 2009 and \$5.93 per Mcf in 2010 from its March 2009 projections of \$4.67 per Mcf in 2009 and \$5.87 per Mcf in 2010. According to the EIA, the monthly average Henry Hub natural gas spot price is expected to remain below \$4.00 per Mcf until late in the year given plentiful U.S. natural gas supplies and weak demand, particularly in the industrial sector. At June 30, 2009, OilSpIel reported Henry Hub natural gas prices at a price of \$3.73 per Mcf.

In July 2009, the EIA projected that OPEC crude oil production would be 28.6 million barrels per day in the second quarter of 2009, which is down slightly from the first quarter 2009 levels, and down 3.1 million barrels per day from the third quarter of 2008. OPEC crude output is expected to remain near current levels through the end of the year, then trend upward moderately in 2010 in response to projected higher demand. In its July 2009 Oil Market Report, the International Energy Agency projected oil demand in 2009 to be 83.3 million barrels per day, compared to an estimated 85.8 million barrels per day in 2008 and expects the demand to rebound in 2010 by 1.7%, or 1.4 million barrels per day.

Detailed below is the average contracted rig count for our geographic regions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009. The rig count data includes floating rigs (semi-submersibles and drill ships) and jack-ups. The Company has included only these types of rigs as they are the primary end users of the Company's products.

| | Three months | | Six months | |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | ended | | ended | |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Western Hemisphere | 188 | 166 | 185 | 171 |
| Eastern Hemisphere | 161 | 153 | 160 | 157 |
| Asia Pacific | 233 | 233 | 230 | 236 |
| | 582 | 552 | 575 | 564 |

Source: ODS Petrodata RigBase June 30, 2008 and 2009

The table represents rigs under contract and includes rigs currently drilling as well as rigs committed, but not yet drilling.

We believe that the number of rigs (semi-submersibles, drill ships and jack-ups) under construction impacts our revenue because our customers generally order some of our products during the construction of such rigs. As a result, an increase in rig construction activity tends to favorably impact our backlog, while a decrease in rig construction activity tends to negatively impact our backlog. According to ODS-Petrodata, at the end of both June 2008 and 2009, there were 186 and 149 rigs, respectively, under construction and the expected delivery dates for the rigs under construction at June 30, 2009 are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------|-----|
| Remainder of 2009 | 33 |
| 2010 | 59 |
| 2011 | 43 |
| 2012 | 12 |
| after 2012 | 2 |
| | 149 |

In mid-2008, crude oil and natural gas prices began to decline significantly. This decline has resulted in reduced capital spending by some oil and gas companies, many of which are our customers. Additional capital expenditure reductions could have an adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operation and new customer orders. The Company believes that its backlog should help mitigate the impact of current market conditions; however, a prolonged decline in commodity prices or an extended continuation of the downturn in the global economy could have a negative impact on the Company. The Company's backlog at June 30, 2009 was approximately \$618 million compared to approximately \$560 million at June 30, 2008. The Company can give no assurance that backlog will remain at current levels. All of the Company's projects currently included in its backlog are subject to change and/or termination at the option of the customer. In the case of a change or termination, the customer is required to pay the Company for work performed and other costs necessarily incurred as a result of the change or termination. In the past, terminations and cancellations have been immaterial to the Company's overall operating results.

Revenues. Dril-Quip's revenues are generated from two sources: products and services. Product revenues are derived from the sale of offshore drilling and production equipment. Service revenues are earned when the Company provides technical advisory assistance for installation of the Company's products, reconditioning services of customer-owned Dril-Quip products and rental of running tools for installation and retrieval of the Company's products. For each of the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, the Company derived 84% of its revenues from the sale of its products and 16% of its revenues from services. Product contracts are negotiated and sold separately from service contracts. In addition, service contracts are not included in the product contracts or related sales orders and are not offered to the customer as a condition of the sale of the Company's products. The demand for products and services is generally based on world-wide economic conditions in the offshore oil and gas industry, and is not based on a specific relationship between the two contracts. Substantially all of the Company's sales are made on a purchase order basis. Purchase orders are subject to change and/or termination at the option of the customer. In case of a change or termination, the customer is required to pay the Company for work performed and other costs necessarily incurred as a result of the change or termination.

The Company accounts for larger and more complex projects that have relatively longer manufacturing time frames on a percentage-of-completion basis. For the first six months of 2009, 15 projects representing approximately 18% of the Company's total revenues and 21% of its product revenues were accounted for using percentage-of-completion accounting compared to 21 projects representing approximately 30% of the Company's total revenue and 36% of its product revenue for the first six months of 2008. This percentage may fluctuate in the future. For revenues accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, the Company calculates the percentage complete and applies the percentage to determine earned revenues and the appropriate portion of total estimated costs. Losses, if any, are recognized when they first become known. Amounts received from customers in excess of revenues recognized are classified as a current liability.

The Company has substantial international operations, with approximately 72% and 69% of its revenues derived from foreign sales for the three-months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively and 70% and 61% for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Cost of Sales. The principal elements of cost of sales are labor, raw materials and manufacturing overhead. Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues is influenced by the product mix sold in any particular period and market conditions. The Company's costs related to its foreign operations do not significantly differ from its domestic costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses include the costs associated with sales and marketing, general corporate overhead, compensation expense, stock option expense, legal expenses, foreign currency transaction gains and losses and other related administrative functions.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses. Engineering and product development expenses consist of new product development and testing, as well as application engineering related to customized products.

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

Income Tax Provision. Dril-Quip's effective income tax rate has historically been lower than the statutory rate primarily due to foreign income tax rate differentials, research and development credits and deductions related to domestic production activities.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain statement of operations data expressed as a percentage of revenues:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Products | 82.6% | 85.1% | 83.6% | 83.8% |
| Services | 17.4 | 14.9 | 16.4 | 16.2 |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of sales: | | | | |
| Products | 49.0 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 48.2 |
| Services | 9.7 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 9.1 |
| Total cost of sales | 58.7 | 58.1 | 58.8 | 57.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 10.1 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.6 |
| Engineering and product development expenses | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Operating income | 26.4 | 27.3 | 26.3 | 27.2 |
| Interest income | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Interest expense | | | | |
| Income before income taxes | 27.1 | 27.4 | 27.3 | 27.3 |
| Income tax provision | 7.7 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 7.6 |
| Net income | 19.4% | 20.1% | 19.3% | 19.7% |

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of our products and service revenues:

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Products | | | | |
| Subsea equipment | \$ 83.1 | \$ 84.2 | \$ 153.8 | \$ 159.8 |
| Surface equipment | 7.8 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 15.0 |
| Offshore rig equipment | 26.8 | 20.6 | 61.4 | 43.7 |
| Total products | 117.7 | 113.4 | 229.9 | 218.5 |
| Services | 24.8 | 19.8 | 45.1 | 42.2 |
| Total revenues | \$ 142.5 | \$ 133.2 | \$ 275.0 | \$ 260.7 |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008.

Revenues. Revenues decreased by \$9.3 million, or approximately 6.5%, to \$133.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009 from \$142.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2008. Product revenues decreased by approximately \$4.3 million for the three months ended

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of decreased revenues of \$6.2 million in offshore rig equipment, partially offset by increases of

\$1.1 million in subsea equipment and \$800,000 in surface equipment. The decrease in offshore rig equipment was primarily due to decreases in revenues as a result of a decrease in the number of long-term projects. During the second quarter of 2008 the Company recognized revenues related to 21 projects, compared to 15 projects during the same period of 2009. The majority of these projects related to offshore rig equipment. In the second quarter of 2008, projects accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method represented 24% of the Company's total revenues compared to 15% of total revenues for the same period in 2009. Product revenues decreased in the Eastern Hemisphere and Asia-Pacific by approximately \$7.0 million and \$400,000, respectively, partially offset by an increase in product revenues in the Western Hemisphere of \$3.1 million. Service revenues decreased by approximately \$5.0 million from decreased service revenues in the Western Hemisphere of \$3.7 million and Asia-Pacific of \$1.9 million, partially offset by an increase in the Eastern Hemisphere of \$600,000. The majority of the decrease in service revenues related to a decrease in the rental of running tools and reconditioning of customer-owned Dril-Quip products.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales decreased by \$6.3 million, or approximately 7.5%, to \$77.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 from \$83.7 million for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales were approximately 58.1% and 58.7% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues resulted from a reduction in sales volume and changes in product mix.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$1.3 million, or 9.2%, to \$12.9 million from \$14.2 million in the 2008 period. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to the effect of foreign currency transaction gains and decreased legal and professional fees, partially offset by increased stock option expenses. The Company experienced approximately \$1.5 million in foreign currency transaction gains in the second quarter of 2009 as compared to \$1.1 million in foreign currency transaction gains in the second quarter of 2008. Legal and professional fees decreased to \$900,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, from \$1.5 million for the same period in 2008. Stock option expense for the second quarter of 2009 totaled \$912,000 compared to \$740,000 in the second quarter of 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased from 10.1% in 2008 to 9.7% in 2009.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, engineering and product development expenses decreased by approximately \$300,000, or 4.3%, to \$6.6 million from \$6.9 million in the same period of 2008. Engineering and product development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 4.8% in 2008 to 4.9% in 2009.

Interest Income. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was approximately \$132,000 as compared to \$929,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2008. This decrease was due to reduced interest earned on short-term investments due to lower interest rates and reduced balances in short-term investments. Due to the current global financial crisis, the Company has transferred the majority of its short-term investments to funds which invest in U.S. Treasury obligations, which normally earn lower interest rates than money market funds.

Interest expense. Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$ 29,000 compared to \$44,000 for the same period in 2008.

Income tax provision. Income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$9.7 million on income before taxes of \$36.4 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of approximately 27%. Income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was \$10.9 million on income before taxes of \$38.6 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of approximately 28%. The decrease in the effective income tax rate reflects the difference in income before income taxes among the Company's three geographic areas, which have different income tax rates.

Net Income. Net income was approximately \$26.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and \$27.7 million for the same period in 2008, for the reasons set forth above.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008.

Revenues. Revenues decreased by \$14.3 million, or approximately 5.2%, to \$260.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$275.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008. Product revenues decreased by approximately \$11.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of decreased revenues of \$17.7 million in offshore rig equipment, partially offset by increases in subsea equipment of \$6.0 million and \$300,000 in surface equipment. The decrease in offshore rig equipment was primarily due to decreases in revenues as a result of a decrease in the number of long-term projects. During the first six months of 2008, the Company recognized revenues related to 21 projects, compared to 15 projects during the same period of 2009. The majority of these projects related to offshore rig equipment. In the first six months of 2008, projects accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method represented 30% of the Company's total revenues compared to 18% of total revenues for the same period in 2009. Product revenues decreased in the Western Hemisphere and Eastern Hemisphere by \$10.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, partially offset by an increase in product revenues in the Asia-Pacific of \$3.7 million. Service revenues decreased by approximately \$2.9 million from decreased service revenues in the Western Hemisphere of \$2.4 million and Asia-Pacific of \$1.5 million, partially offset by an increase in the Eastern Hemisphere of \$1.0 million. The majority of the decrease in service revenues related to a decrease in the rental of running tools.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales decreased by \$12.1 million, or approximately 7.5%, to \$149.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$161.5 million for the same period in 2008. As a percentage of revenues, cost of sales were approximately 57.3% and 58.8% for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues resulted primarily from changes in product mix.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately \$400,000, or 1.4%, to \$27.4 million from \$27.8 million in the 2008 period. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to lower legal and professional fees, partially offset by the effect of foreign currency transaction gains and increased stock option expenses. Legal and professional fees decreased to \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, from \$2.6 million for the same period in 2008. The Company experienced approximately \$1.6 million in foreign currency transaction gains in the first six months of 2008 compared to \$1.4 million in foreign currency transactions gains in the first six months of 2009. Stock option expense of the first six months of 2009 totaled \$1.9 million compared to \$1.5 million in the first six months of 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 10.1% in 2008 to 10.6% in 2009.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, engineering and product development expenses decreased by \$200,000, or approximately 1.5%, to \$12.9 million from \$13.1 million in the same period of 2008. Engineering and product development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 4.8% in 2008 to 4.9% in 2009.

Interest Income. Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$344,000 as compared to \$2.6 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2008. This decrease was due to reduced interest earned on short-term investments due to lower interest rates and reduced balances in short-term investments. Due to the current global financial crisis, the company has transferred the majority of its short-term investments to funds which invest in U.S. Treasury obligations, which normally earn lower interest rates than money market funds.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$77,000 compared to \$102,000 for the same period in 2008.

Income tax provision. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$19.9 million on income before taxes of \$71.2 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of approximately 27%. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$21.9 million on income before taxes of \$75.0 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of approximately 29%. The decrease in the effective income tax rate reflects the difference in income before income taxes among the Company's three geographic areas, which have different income tax rates.

Net Income. Net income was approximately \$51.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$53.1 million for the same period in 2008, for the reasons set forth above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flows provided by (used in) type of activity were as follows:

| | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Operating activities | \$ 6,437 | \$ 44,165 |
| Investing activities | (29,521) | (21,968) |
| Financing activities | (67,524) | (207) |
| | (90,608) | 21,990 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash activities | (1,670) | (1,954) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (92,278) | \$ 20,036 |

Statements of cash flows for entities with international operations that are local currency functional exclude the effects of the changes in foreign currency exchange rates that occur during any given period, as these are noncash changes. As a result, changes reflected in certain accounts on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows may not reflect the changes in corresponding accounts on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The primary liquidity needs of the Company are (i) to fund capital expenditures to improve and expand facilities and manufacture additional running tools and (ii) to fund working capital. Recently, the Company's principal sources of funds have been cash flows from operations.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company generated \$44.2 million of cash from operations as compared to \$6.4 million for the same period in 2008. The primary reasons for the increase were the changes in operating assets and liabilities during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The increase in operating assets and liabilities during the first six months of 2009 primarily reflected an increase in inventory and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses, offset by a decrease in receivables. The \$26.6 million increase in inventory was mostly in the areas of work-in-progress and finished goods to meet future demand from the Company's backlog. The \$9.8 million decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses resulted largely from a reduction in customer prepayment liability related to long-term projects. The receipt of milestone payments on long-term projects during the first six-months of 2009 accounted for the majority of the \$20.7 million decrease in receivables.

Capital expenditures by the Company were \$22.9 million and \$30.2 million in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The capital expenditures for the first six months of 2009 were primarily \$8.2 million for machinery and equipment, \$6.6 million for facilities and \$5.4 million for running tools and other expenditures of \$2.7 million. Principal payments on long-term debt were approximately \$326,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

In May 2008 the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized a share repurchase program under which the Company could repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had repurchased 1,167,225 shares at an average price of \$58.14 per share (including commissions) for a total of approximately \$67.9 million. All shares that were repurchased in the second quarter of 2008 were retired prior to the end of that quarter.

The Company has a credit facility with Guaranty Bank, FSB providing an unsecured revolving line of credit of up to \$10 million. At the option of the Company, borrowing under this facility bears interest at either a rate equal to LIBOR (London Interbank Offered Rate) plus 1.75% or the Guaranty Bank base rate. The facility calls for quarterly interest payments. The facility was scheduled to terminate on June 1, 2009, however on May 27, 2009, the Company and Guaranty Bank agreed to extend the termination date to September 1, 2009. The facility also contains certain covenants including maintaining minimum tangible net worth levels, not exceeding specified funded debt amounts and required interest coverage ratios. As of June 30, 2008 and 2009, the Company had no borrowings under this facility and was in compliance with all loan covenants. The Company is currently exploring its options in regards to this credit facility.

Dril-Quip (Europe) Limited has a credit agreement with the Bank of Scotland dated March 21, 2001 in the original amount of U.K. Pounds Sterling 4.0 million (approximately U.S. \$6.6 million). Borrowing under this facility bears interest at the Bank of Scotland base rate, which was 0.50% at June 30, 2009, plus 1%, and is repayable in 120 equal monthly installments, plus interest. Substantially all of this facility was used to finance capital expenditures in Norway. The outstanding balance of this facility at June 30, 2009 was approximately U.S. \$1.2 million. The facility is secured by land and buildings in Aberdeen, Scotland and contains no restrictive financial covenants.

The Company believes that cash generated from operations plus cash on hand and its current line of credit will be sufficient to fund operations, working capital needs and anticipated capital expenditure requirements in 2009. However, any significant future declines in hydrocarbon prices could have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity. Should market conditions result in unexpected cash requirements, the Company believes that additional borrowing from commercial lending institutions would be available and more than adequate to meet such requirements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 for a discussion of our critical accounting policies. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 there were no material changes in our judgments and assumptions associated with the development of our critical accounting policies.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

The Company is currently exposed to certain market risks related to interest rate changes and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The Company does not engage in any material hedging transactions, forward contracts or currency trading which could mitigate the market risks inherent in such transactions.

Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

Through its subsidiaries, the Company conducts a portion of its business in currencies other than the United States dollar, principally the British pound sterling and to a lesser extent, the Brazilian real. The Company experienced a foreign currency pre-tax gain of approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million during the three and

six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, respectively. Historically, the Company's foreign currency gains and losses have not been significant. However, when significant disparities between the British pound sterling and the U.S. dollar or the Brazilian real and the U.S. dollar occur, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to protect itself against such currency fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk

As described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources, the Company has entered into two credit facilities or loans that require the Company to pay interest at a floating rate. These floating-rate obligations expose the Company to the risk of increased interest expense in the event of increases in the short-term interest rates. Based upon the June 30, 2009 balance of approximately \$1.2 million related to these floating rate obligations, each 1.0% rise in interest rates would result in additional annual interest expense to the Company of approximately \$12,000 or \$3,000 per quarter.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

In accordance with Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company's Co-Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Co-Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2008 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Co-Chief Executive Officers and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Annual Reports on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appears on page 34 of the 2008 annual report on Form 10-K.

There has been no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

On December 1, 2006, Cameron International Corporation filed a patent infringement suit against the Company in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit was dismissed on June 3, 2009.

See Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of Part 1 of this report, as well as Legal Proceedings in Item 3 of Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The Company also is involved in a number of legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. Although no assurance can be given with respect to the ultimate outcome of such legal actions, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability with respect thereto will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Dril-Quip's annual meeting of stockholders was held on May 14, 2009 for the purpose of electing three directors to serve for three-year terms, approving the appointment of BDO Seidman, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Company for 2009 and re-approving the performance criteria for awards under the 2004 Incentive Plan.

1. Election of Directors

Stockholders elected Larry E. Reimert, Gary D. Smith and L.H. Dick Robertson, each for a three-year term expiring at the 2012 annual meeting. The vote tabulation was as follows:

| Director | Votes Cast For | Votes Withheld |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Larry E. Reimert | 19,519,439 | 17,526,577 |
| Gary D. Smith | 19,597,878 | 17,448,138 |
| L.H. Dick Robertson | 19,008,314 | 18,037,702 |

Directors continuing in office were J. Mike Walker, Gary L. Stone, John V. Lovoi and Alexander P. Shukis.

2. Proposal approving the appointment of BDO Seidman, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Company for 2009.

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 37,028,710 |
| Against | 11,580 |
| Abstain | 5,728 |

3. Re-Approval of Performance Criteria for Awards Under 2004 Incentive Plan.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 26,105,699 |
| Against | 9,891,966 |
| Abstain | 23,314 |

Item 5. Other Information.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes certain statements that may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Statements contained in all parts of this document that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that are beyond the control of Dril-Quip, Inc. (the Company or Dril-Quip). You can identify the Company's forward-looking statements by the words anticipate, estimate, expect, may, project, believe and similar expressions, or by the Company's discussion of strategies or trends. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements include the following types of information and statements as they relate to the Company:

future operating results and cash flow;

scheduled, budgeted and other future capital expenditures;

working capital requirements;

the availability of expected sources of liquidity;

the introduction into the market of the Company's future products;

the market for the Company's existing and future products;

the Company's ability to develop new applications for its technologies;

the exploration, development and production activities of the Company's customers;

compliance with present and future environmental regulations and costs associated with environmentally related penalties, capital expenditures, remedial actions and proceedings;

effects of pending legal proceedings; and

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

future operations, financial results, business plans and cash needs.

These statements are based on assumptions and analyses in light of the Company's experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors the Company believes were appropriate in the circumstances when the statements were made. Forward-looking statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact expected results, and actual future results could differ materially from those described in such statements. While it is not possible to identify all factors, the Company continues to face many risks and uncertainties. Among the factors that could cause actual future results to differ materially are the risks and uncertainties discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors in Part 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 and the following:

the volatility of oil and natural gas prices;

the cyclical nature of the oil and gas industry;

uncertainties associated with the United States and worldwide economies;

uncertainties regarding political tensions in the Middle East and elsewhere;

current and potential governmental regulatory actions in the United States and regulatory actions and political unrest in other countries;

operating interruptions (including explosions, fires, weather-related incidents, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, labor difficulties, transportation interruptions, spills and releases and other environmental risks);

the Company's reliance on product development;

technological developments;

the Company's dependence on key employees and skilled machinists, fabricators and technical personnel;

the Company's reliance on sources of raw materials;

control by certain stockholders;

impact of environmental matters;

competitive products and pricing pressures;

fluctuations in foreign currency;

the Company's reliance on significant customers;

creditworthiness of the Company's customers;

fixed price contracts;

the worldwide financial crisis;

access to capital markets; and

Edgar Filing: DRIL-QUIP INC - Form 10-Q

war and terrorist acts.

Many of such factors are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict. Any of the factors, or a combination of these factors, could materially affect the Company's future results of operations and the ultimate accuracy of the forward-looking statements. Management cautions against putting undue reliance on forward-looking statements or projecting any future results based on such statements or present or prior earnings levels. Every forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date of the particular statement, and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement.

Item 6.

The following exhibits are filed herewith:

Exhibit

| No. | Description |
|--------|--|
| *3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-33447)). |
| *3.2 | Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K dated November 25, 2008). |
| *3.3 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K dated December 21, 2007.) |
| *4.1 | Form of certificate representing Common Stock (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-33447)). |
| *4.2 | Registration Rights Agreement among the Company and certain stockholders (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-33447) 2008). |
| *4.3 | Rights Agreement dated as of November 24, 2008 between Dril-Quip, Inc. and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights Agent (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2008). |
| +*10.1 | Amendment No. 1 to 2004 Incentive Plan of Dril-Quip, Inc. (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2008). |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Larry E. Reimert. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Gary D. Smith. |
| 31.3 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of J. Mike Walker. |
| 31.4 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Jerry M. Brooks. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of Larry E. Reimert. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of Gary D. Smith. |
| 32.3 | Section 1350 Certification of J. Mike Walker. |
| 32.4 | Section 1350 Certification of Jerry M. Brooks. |
| 99.1 | Fifth Amendment to the Credit Agreement between Dril-Quip, Inc. and Guaranty Bank, FSP effective May 27, 2009. |

* Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-Q.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DRIL-QUIP, INC.

By: /s/ JERRY M. BROOKS
Jerry M. Brooks,

Vice-President Finance and

**Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer and**

Duly Authorized Signatory)

Date: August 6, 2009