

PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/  
Form 10-Q/A  
February 29, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A

Amendment No. 1

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-13006

Park National Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

31-1179518  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

50 North Third Street, Newark, Ohio 43055  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(740) 349-8451  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes            No     

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Yes            x            No            ..

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes            ..            No            x

15,405,921 Common shares, no par value per share, outstanding at November 4, 2011.

---

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

Park National Corporation (“Park”) is filing this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1) (this “Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011”) with respect to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011, as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on November 9, 2011 (the “Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q”), in order to amend Part I – Items 1, 2 and 4, and Part II – Items 1A and 6. This Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 is being filed to amend and restate our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 included in “Item 1 – Financial Statements” of Part I and related disclosures in “Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of Part I to make the corrections identified below.

This Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 is being filed to reflect the impact on the consolidated financial information as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 of the restatement of Park’s audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010. This Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 should be read in conjunction with and follows the filing by Park of Form 10-K/A (Amendment No. 2) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, which was filed on February 28, 2012. The restatement of consolidated financial information as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 results in the following corrections:

### **Impact on Items Reported in Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited):**

The provision for loan losses decreased by \$2.1 million to \$16.4 million, compared to \$18.5 million as originally reported for the three months ended September 30, 2011 (the “third quarter”). The provision for loan losses decreased by \$12.9 million to \$43.1 million, compared to \$55.9 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net interest income after provision for loan losses increased by \$2.1 million to \$51.2 million, compared to \$49.1 million as originally reported for the third quarter. Net interest income after provision for loan losses increased by \$12.9 million to \$163.9 million, compared to \$151.0 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Other real estate owned (“OREO”) devaluations decreased by \$1.1 million to \$588,000, compared to \$1.7 million as originally reported for the third quarter. OREO devaluations decreased by \$4.8 million to \$6.5 million, compared to \$11.3 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Total other income increased by \$1.1 million to \$18.0 million, compared to \$16.9 million as originally reported for the third quarter. Total other income increased by \$4.9 million to \$48.2 million, compared to \$43.3 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Income before income taxes increased by \$3.2 million to \$27.1 million, compared to \$23.9 million as originally reported for the third quarter. Income before income taxes increased by \$17.7 million to \$98.6 million, compared to \$80.9 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Income taxes increased by \$1.1 million to \$6.7 million, compared to \$5.6 million as originally reported for the third quarter. Income taxes increased by \$6.2 million to \$27.1 million, compared to \$20.9 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net income increased by \$2.1 million to \$20.4 million, compared to \$18.3 million as originally reported for the third quarter. Net income increased by \$11.5 million to \$71.5 million, compared to \$60.0 million as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net income available to common shareholders increased by \$2.1 million to \$18.9 million, compared to \$16.8 million as originally reported.

Basic and diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.14 to \$1.23 per common share, compared to \$1.09 per common share as originally reported for the third quarter. Diluted earnings per share increased by \$0.75 to \$4.36 per common share, compared to \$3.61 per common share as originally reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

**Impact on Items Reported in Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet (Unaudited):**

The allowance for loan losses increased by \$7.1 million to \$107.3 million, compared to \$100.2 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

Loans, net of the allowance for loan losses decreased by \$7.1 million to \$4,573 million, compared to \$4,580 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

Other assets increased by \$2.5 million to \$164.0 million, compared to \$161.5 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011. The only adjustment within other assets was to reflect the deferred tax asset impact of the restatement.

Total assets decreased by \$4.6 million to \$7,095 million, compared to \$7,100 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

Retained earnings decreased by \$4.6 million to \$430.1 million, compared to \$434.7 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

Total stockholders' equity decreased by \$4.6 million to \$755.1 million, compared to \$759.6 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity decreased by \$4.6 million to \$7,095 million, compared to \$7,100 million as originally reported as of September 30, 2011.

For a more detailed description of the restatement of the consolidated condensed financial statements, see Note 1A, "Restatement of Financial Statements" in our Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Park has not modified or updated the information in the Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q, except as necessary to reflect the effects of the restated consolidated condensed financial statements which took into consideration subsequent additional information about conditions that existed at September 30, 2011. This Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 continues to speak as of the dates described herein, and we have not updated the disclosures contained in the Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q to reflect any events that occurred subsequent to such dates except as necessitated by the restatement and to discuss a subsequent event in Note 19 - Sale of Vision Bank. Information not affected by the restatement is unchanged and reflects the disclosures made at the time of the filing of the Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q on November 9, 2011. With respect to management's discussion, within "Item 2 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part I, of the projected results for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, we have removed the portion of the discussion related to items that would no longer be appropriate given the nature of the restatement of the consolidated financial information as of and for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 and the impact it had on certain line items in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, including the provision for loan losses. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 should be read in conjunction with our subsequent filings with the SEC, as information in such filings may update or supersede certain information contained in this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011.

Park has modified “Item 4 – Controls and Procedures” of Part I in order to reflect the reevaluation by Park’s management of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Park’s disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011 in connection with the restatement of the consolidated condensed financial statements as described in this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011.

Park has also modified the risk factor included in “Item 1A – Risk Factors” of Part II to include the restated financial information for Vision Bank where appropriate. The risk factor, including the corrected information, remains applicable as of the filing date of the Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q.

Park has updated the Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and the Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends included as Exhibit 12 to this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, in order to reflect the corrected consolidated financial information. Additionally, updated certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, and updated certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been included as Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 to this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, which have been reflected in “Item 6 – Exhibits” of Part II.

For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011 sets forth the disclosures to be included in the Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 in their entirety, although Park is only amending and restating Items 1, 2 and 4 of Part I and Items 1A and 6 of Part II from the Original September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q as these are the only Items affected by the corrected consolidated financial information.

### **Subsequent Event - Sale of Vision Bank**

On November 16, 2011, Park and Vision Bank entered into a Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) with Home BancShares, Inc. (“Home”) and its wholly-owned subsidiary Centennial Bank, an Arkansas state-chartered bank (“Centennial”), to sell substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities associated with Vision to Centennial for a purchase price of \$27.9 million.

On February 16, 2012, Park and Vision Bank completed the transaction contemplated by the previously announced Purchase Agreement. In accordance with the Agreement, Vision sold approximately \$354 million in performing loans, approximately \$520 million of deposits, fixed assets of approximately \$12.5 million and other miscellaneous assets and liabilities for a purchase price of \$27.9 million.

Immediately following the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement, Vision surrendered its Florida banking charter to the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (the “OFR”) and became a non-bank Florida corporation (the “Florida Corporation”). This Florida Corporation merged with and into a wholly-owned, non-bank subsidiary of Park, SE Property Holdings, LLC (“SE LLC”), with SE LLC being the surviving entity. Subsequent to the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, Vision will be left with approximately \$22 million of performing loans and

non-performing loans with a fair value of \$88 million (both net of any necessary loan loss allowance that may have existed prior to the transactions). Park recognized a pre-tax gain, net of expenses directly related to the sale, of approximately \$22 million.

PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

CONTENTS

	Page
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010	3
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	6
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	7
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements	9
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	41
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	68
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	69
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	72
Item 1A. Risk Factors	72
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	73
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	74
Item 4. [Reserved]	74
Item 5. Other Information	74
Item 6. Exhibits	74
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	<b>77</b>

PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION  
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited)  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2011 <b>Restated</b>	December 31, 2010
<b>Assets:</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 132,988	\$ 109,058
Money market instruments	139,109	24,722
Cash and cash equivalents	272,097	133,780
<b>Investment securities</b>		
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$772,910 and \$1,274,258 at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010)	797,163	1,297,522
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$861,369 and \$686,114 at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010)	843,576	673,570
Other investment securities	67,892	68,699
Total investment securities	1,708,631	2,039,791
<b>Loans</b>		
Loans	4,680,575	4,732,685
Allowance for loan losses	(107,310 )	(143,575 )
Net loans	4,573,265	4,589,110
Bank owned life insurance	153,159	146,450
Goodwill and other intangible assets	76,370	78,377
Bank premises and equipment, net	68,633	69,567
Other real estate owned	46,911	41,709
Accrued interest receivable	21,990	24,137
Mortgage loan servicing rights	10,069	10,488
Other	163,973	148,852
Total assets	\$ 7,095,098	\$ 7,282,261
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:</b>		
<b>Deposits:</b>		
Noninterest bearing	\$ 1,000,969	\$ 937,719
Interest bearing	4,088,218	4,157,701
Total deposits	5,089,187	5,095,420
Short-term borrowings	243,071	663,669
Long-term debt	823,722	636,733
Subordinated debentures and notes	75,250	75,250
Accrued interest payable	5,416	6,123
Other	103,399	75,358
Total liabilities	6,340,045	6,552,553

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES



## Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock (200,000 shares authorized; 100,000 shares issued with \$1,000 per share liquidation preference)	97,932	97,290
Common stock (No par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 16,151,033 shares issued at September 30, 2011 and 16,151,062 shares issued at December 31, 2010)	301,203	301,204
Common stock warrants	4,406	4,473
Retained earnings	430,121	406,342
Treasury stock (752,129 shares at September 30, 2011 and 752,128 shares at December 31, 2010)	(77,733 )	(77,733 )
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), net of taxes	(876 )	(1,868 )
Total stockholders' equity	755,053	729,708
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 7,095,098	\$ 7,282,261

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	<b>Restated</b>		<b>Restated</b>	
<b>Interest and dividend income:</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$65,645	\$67,123	\$196,961	\$200,287
<b>Interest and dividends on:</b>				
Obligations of U.S. Government, its agencies and other securities	16,289	19,333	54,302	60,071
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	69	192	310	613
Other interest income	62	34	76	155
Total interest and dividend income	82,065	86,682	251,649	261,126
<b>Interest expense:</b>				
<b>Interest on deposits:</b>				
Demand and savings deposits	976	1,263	2,918	4,620
Time deposits	5,661	8,532	18,595	28,700
<b>Interest on borrowings:</b>				
Short-term borrowings	182	269	642	915
Long-term debt	7,626	7,173	22,539	21,345
Total interest expense	14,445	17,237	44,694	55,580
Net interest income	67,620	69,445	206,955	205,546
Provision for loan losses	16,438	14,654	43,054	44,454
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	51,182	54,791	<b>163,901</b>	161,092
<b>Other income:</b>				
Income from fiduciary activities	3,615	3,314	11,266	10,264
Service charges on deposit accounts	4,894	5,026	13,664	14,864
Other service income	3,087	3,909	8,122	10,367
Checkcard fee income	3,154	2,900	9,381	8,109
Bank owned life insurance income	1,229	1,313	3,686	3,783
ATM fees	726	699	2,062	2,296
OREO devaluations	(588 )	(1,555 )	(6,478 )	(4,619 )
Other	1,910	1,924	6,492	5,823
Total other income	18,027	17,530	48,195	50,887

Gain on sale of securities	3,465	-	25,462	11,819
----------------------------	-------	---	--------	--------

Continued

- 4 -

---

## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(Continued)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	<b>Restated</b>		<b>Restated</b>	
<b>Other expense:</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$25,799	\$24,500	\$76,116	\$73,684
Occupancy expense	2,665	2,840	8,429	8,750
Furniture and equipment expense	2,688	2,624	8,130	7,820
Data processing fees	1,184	1,403	3,572	4,390
Professional fees and services	5,005	4,477	15,199	14,632
Amortization of intangibles	669	822	2,007	2,600
Marketing	764	840	2,115	2,688
Insurance	681	2,316	5,295	6,847
Communication	1,475	1,696	4,516	5,112
State taxes	469	865	1,414	2,548
Other expense	4,200	3,313	12,159	11,516
<b>Total other expense</b>	<b>45,599</b>	<b>45,696</b>	<b>138,952</b>	<b>140,587</b>
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>27,075</b>	<b>26,625</b>	<b>98,606</b>	<b>83,211</b>
<b>Income taxes</b>	<b>6,694</b>	<b>7,048</b>	<b>27,076</b>	<b>21,689</b>
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$20,381</b>	<b>\$19,577</b>	<b>\$71,530</b>	<b>\$61,522</b>
<b>Preferred stock dividends and accretion</b>	<b>1,464</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>4,392</b>	<b>4,355</b>
<b>Net income available to common shareholders</b>	<b>\$18,917</b>	<b>\$18,125</b>	<b>\$67,138</b>	<b>\$57,167</b>
<b>Per Common Share:</b>				
<b>Net income available to common shareholders</b>				
Basic	\$1.23	\$1.19	\$4.36	\$3.79
Diluted	\$1.23	\$1.19	\$4.36	\$3.79
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding</b>				
Basic	15,398,909	15,272,720	15,398,919	15,090,113
Diluted	15,398,909	15,272,720	15,400,641	15,090,113
<b>Cash dividends declared</b>	<b>\$0.94</b>	<b>\$0.94</b>	<b>\$2.82</b>	<b>\$2.82</b>

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

## Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share data)

Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated		
				Treasury Stock at Cost	Other Comprehensive Income (loss)	Comprehensive Income (loss)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$96,483	\$306,569	\$423,872	\$(125,321)	\$15,661	
Net Income			61,522			\$61,522
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Unrealized net holding (loss) on cash flow hedge, net of income taxes of \$(149)					(277 )	(277 )
Unrealized net holding (loss) on securities available-for-sale, net of income taxes of \$(1,631)					(3,030 )	(3,030 )
Total comprehensive income						\$58,215
Cash dividends on common stock at \$2.82 per share			(42,668 )			
Cash payment for fractional shares in dividend reinvestment plan		(2 )				
Reissuance of common stock from treasury shares held for warrants issued		(852 )	(9,495 )	37,915		
Accretion of discount on preferred stock	605		(605 )			
Preferred stock dividends			(3,750 )			
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$97,088	\$305,715	\$428,876	\$(87,406 )	\$12,354	
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	\$97,290	\$305,677	\$406,342	\$(77,733 )	\$(1,868 )	
Net Income (Restated)			71,530			\$71,530
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:						
Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge, net of income taxes of \$187					348	348
Unrealized net holding gain on securities available-for-sale, net of income taxes of \$345					644	644
Total comprehensive income (Restated)						\$72,522
Cash dividends on common stock at \$2.82 per share			(43,425 )			
Cash payment for fractional shares in dividend reinvestment plan		(2 )				
Common stock warrants cancelled		(66 )	66			
Accretion of discount on preferred stock	642		(642 )			
Preferred stock dividends			(3,750 )			
Balance at September 30, 2011 <b>(Restated)</b>	\$97,932	\$305,609	\$430,121	\$(77,733 )	\$(876 )	

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



## PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)  
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	<b>Restated</b>	
<b>Operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$71,530	\$61,522
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, accretion and amortization	8,457	9,511
Provision for loan losses	43,054	44,454
Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities	-	23
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	2,007	2,600
Realized net investment security gains	(25,462 )	(11,819 )
OREO devaluations	6,478	4,619
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) in other assets	(35,456 )	(30,621 )
Increase in other liabilities	6,510	712
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$77,118	\$81,001
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	\$535,768	\$344,325
Proceeds from sales of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	807	111
Proceeds from maturity of:		
Available-for-sale securities	351,226	1,354,317
Held-to-maturity securities	281,159	166,321
Purchases of:		
Available-for-sale securities	(360,835 )	(1,665,825)
Held-to-maturity securities	(429,993 )	(77,478 )
Net (increase) in loans	(22,149 )	(60,036 )
Purchases of bank owned life insurance, net	(3,000 )	(4,562 )
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(4,765 )	(6,579 )
Net cash provided by investing activities	\$348,218	\$50,594

Continued



PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION  
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)  
(Continued)  
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Net (decrease) in deposits	\$(6,233 )	\$(88,022 )
Net (decrease) in short-term borrowings	(420,598 )	(38,562 )
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	203,000	-
Repayment of long-term debt	(16,011 )	(11,664 )
Cash payment for fractional shares in dividend reinvestment plan	(2 )	(2 )
Proceeds from reissuance of common stock from treasury shares held	-	27,568
Cash dividends paid on common and preferred stock	(47,175 )	(46,418 )
<b>Net cash (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>\$(287,019 )</b>	<b>\$(157,100 )</b>
<b>Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>138,317</b>	<b>(25,505 )</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</b>	<b>133,780</b>	<b>159,091</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$272,097</b>	<b>\$133,586</b>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
<b>Cash paid for:</b>		
Interest	\$45,401	\$58,068
Income taxes	\$16,700	\$19,200
<b>Non cash activities:</b>		
Securities purchased, not yet settled	\$21,172	\$148,023

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included in this report have been prepared for Park National Corporation (the “Registrant”, “Corporation”, “Company”, or “Park”) and its subsidiaries. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of results of operations for the interim periods included herein have been made. The results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be anticipated for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed balance sheets, condensed statements of income, condensed statements of changes in stockholders’ equity and condensed statements of cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A – Amendment No. 2 of Park for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on February 28, 2012 (the “2010 Form 10-K/A”), which served to restate the audited consolidated financial statements which had been incorporated by reference in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Park for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed on February 28, 2011, from Park’s 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders (the “2010 Annual Report”).

Park’s significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Park’s 2010 Form 10-K/A. For interim reporting purposes, Park follows the same basic accounting policies, as updated by the information contained in this report, and considers each interim period an integral part of an annual period. Management has evaluated events occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date, determining no events require additional disclosure in these consolidated condensed financial statements.

**1A. RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

In a Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2012 (the “January 31, 2012 Form 8-K”), Park announced that on January 27, 2012, management determined that (i) Park’s previously issued audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in Park’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on February 28, 2011, and (ii) Park’s unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in Park’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011, and September 30, 2011 should be restated.

The accounting treatment giving rise to the restatement was the inclusion of estimated future cash flows supporting the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans. For the year ended December 31, 2010, as part of Park's process to measure impairment on certain impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, management had relied on expected future cash flows from guarantors, as to whom we were in litigation. Management determined that reliance on expected future cash flows, which may require protracted litigation to actually be received, is inappropriate given the difficulty in obtaining objective verifiable evidence supporting a conclusion as to the amount and timing of the expected cash flows. GAAP requires that our assumptions be "reasonable and supportable" and the facts and circumstances around the existence of protracted litigation make this assumption more difficult to support.

The restatement also reflects certain OREO devaluations and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These expense items are related to valuation issues identified at December 31, 2010, where Vision Bank management utilized (i) the work of a third-party contractor, which was not a licensed appraiser, when calculating the fair value of collateral for certain impaired loans and the fair value of certain OREO held by Vision Bank, and management did not have sufficient documentation to support the estimates of this third-party contractor, and (ii) internal estimates of collateral value when calculating specific reserves for certain impaired loans when, at times, such internal estimates were outdated. The impact is to reverse provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations originally recorded in 2011 and recognize these provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations in the restated audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The tables below detail the restated financial statement line items and Park's regulatory capital ratios for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

#### Effect on Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2011		Effect of Change
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 100,248	\$ 107,310	\$ 7,062
Net loans	4,580,327	4,573,265	(7,062 )
Other assets	161,501	163,973	2,472
Total assets	7,099,688	7,095,098	(4,590 )
Retained earnings	434,711	430,121	(4,590 )
Total stockholders' equity	759,643	755,053	(4,590 )
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	7,099,688	7,095,098	(4,590 )

#### Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income

	Three months ended September 30, 2011		
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	Effect of Change
Provision for loan losses	\$ 18,525	\$ 16,438	\$ (2,087 )
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	49,095	51,182	2,087
OREO devaluations	(1,688 )	(588 )	1,100
Other income	16,927	18,027	1,100

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Income before income taxes	23,888	27,075	3,187
Income taxes	5,579	6,694	1,115
Net income	18,309	20,381	2,072
Net income available to common shareholders	16,845	18,917	2,072

Earnings per common share

Basic	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.14

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income

	Nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	Effect of Change
Provision for loan losses	\$ 55,925	\$ 43,054	\$ (12,871 )
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	151,030	163,901	12,871
OREO devaluations	(11,339 )	(6,478 )	4,861
Other income	43,334	48,195	4,861
Income before income taxes	80,874	98,606	17,732
Income taxes	20,870	27,076	6,206
Net income	60,004	71,530	11,526
Net income available to common shareholders	55,612	67,138	11,526

Earnings per common share

Basic	\$ 3.61	\$ 4.36	\$ 0.75
Diluted	\$ 3.61	\$ 4.36	\$ 0.75

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

	Nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	Effect of Change
Retained earnings, December 31, 2010	\$422,458	\$406,342	\$(16,116)
Net income	60,004	71,530	11,526
Total comprehensive income	60,996	72,522	11,526
Retained earnings, September 30, 2011	434,711	430,121	(4,590 )

Effect on Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

Nine months ended September 30, 2011

	As Previously Reported	As Restated	Effect of Change
Net income	\$60,004	\$71,530	\$11,526
Provision for loan losses	55,925	43,054	(12,871)
OREO devaluations	11,339	6,478	(4,861)
(Increase) in other assets	(41,662)	(35,456)	6,206

## Effect on Park National Corporation's Capital Ratios

	September 30, 2011		Effect of Change	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated		
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	9.73 %	9.67 %	-0.06	%
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital Ratio	14.04 %	13.96 %	-0.08	%
Total Risk-based Capital Ratio	16.52 %	16.44 %	-0.08	%

## Note 2 – Recent Accounting Pronouncements

## Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements:

**Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements:** In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, Topic 820, Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements. This amendment requires new disclosures regarding significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers. This amendment also requires that a reporting entity present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, on a gross basis rather than a net basis for activity in Level 3 fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. This amendment also clarifies existing disclosures on the level of disaggregation, in that the reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities, and that a reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for Level 2 and 3. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures for ASC 820 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 820 on January 1, 2011 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses:** In July 2010, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20), to address concerns about the sufficiency, transparency, and robustness of credit risk disclosures for finance receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. This ASU requires new and enhanced disclosures at disaggregated levels, specifically defined as "portfolio segments" and "classes". Among other things, the expanded disclosures include roll-forward schedules of the allowance for credit losses and information regarding the credit quality of receivables as of the end of a reporting period. New and enhanced disclosures are required for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010, although the

disclosures of reporting period activity are required for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the new guidance on January 1, 2011 impacted interim and annual disclosures included in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

- 9 -

---

No. 2011-01 - Receivables (Topic 310) Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20: In January 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 (ASU 2011-01). ASU 2011-01 was issued as a result of concerns raised from stakeholders that the introduction of new disclosure requirements (paragraphs 310-10-50-31 through 50-34 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification) about troubled debt restructurings in one reporting period followed by a change in what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring shortly thereafter would be burdensome for preparers and may not provide financial statement users with useful information.

No. 2011-02 – Receivables (Topic 310) A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring: In April 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (ASU 2011-02). The ASU provides additional guidance to creditors for evaluating whether a modification or restructuring of a receivable is a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”). The new guidance requires creditors to evaluate modifications and restructurings of receivables using a more principles-based approach, which may result in more modifications and restructurings being considered TDRs. Additionally, creditors will be required to provide additional disclosures about their TDR activities in accordance with the requirements of ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which was deferred by ASU 2011-01 Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 (ASU 2011-01). The new guidance will be effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, with retrospective application required to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. Disclosure requirements will be effective for the first interim and annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The adoption of the new guidance effective July 1, 2011 resulted in an increase in the number of modifications and restructuring deemed to be TDRs and impacted interim disclosures included in the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

No. 2011-04 – Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirement in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs: In May 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirement in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). The new guidance in this ASU results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. Certain amendments clarify the FASB’s intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. These amendments also enhance disclosure requirements surrounding fair value measurement. Most significantly, an entity will be required to disclose additional information regarding Level 3 fair value measurements including quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Management is currently working through the guidance to determine the impact, if any, to the consolidated financial statements.

No. 2011-05 – Presentation of Comprehensive Income: In June 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). The ASU eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in equity. An entity can elect to present the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income, when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income, or how earnings per share is calculated or presented. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and must be applied retrospectively. The adoption of the new guidance will impact the presentation of the consolidated financial statements.





No. 2011-08 – Intangibles – Goodwill and Other: In September 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-08, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (ASU 2011-08). The ASU allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The new guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Management does not expect the adoption of this guidance will have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The following table shows the activity in goodwill and core deposit intangibles for the first nine months of 2011.

(in thousands)	Core Deposit		
	Goodwill	Intangibles	Total
December 31, 2010	\$ 72,334	\$ 6,043	\$ 78,377
Amortization	-	2,007	2,007
September 30, 2011	\$ 72,334	\$ 4,036	\$ 76,370

The core deposit intangibles are being amortized to expense principally on the straight-line method, over periods ranging from six to ten years. Management expects that the core deposit intangibles amortization expense will be \$669,000 for the fourth quarter of 2011.

Core deposit intangibles amortization expense is projected to be as follows for the remainder of 2011 and for each of the following years:

(in thousands)	Annual Amortization
Remainder of 2011	\$ 669
2012	2,677
2013	690
Total	\$ 4,036

## Note 4 – Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio, by class of loan, as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Loan balance	Accrued interest receivable	Recorded investment	Loan balance	Accrued interest receivable	Recorded investment
(In thousands)						
Commercial, financial and agricultural *	\$ 756,888	\$ 2,992	\$ 759,880	\$ 737,902	\$ 2,886	\$ 740,788
Commercial real estate *	1,250,936	5,064	1,256,000	1,226,616	4,804	1,231,420
Construction real estate:						
Vision commercial land and development *	102,271	232	102,503	171,334	282	171,616
Remaining commercial	163,606	341	163,947	195,693	622	196,315
Mortgage	19,857	62	19,919	26,326	95	26,421
Installment	15,007	65	15,072	13,127	54	13,181
Residential real estate						
Commercial	456,670	1,276	457,946	464,903	1,403	466,306
Mortgage	968,330	1,355	969,685	906,648	2,789	909,437
HELOC	253,461	959	254,420	260,463	1,014	261,477
Installment	51,118	204	51,322	60,195	255	60,450
Consumer	640,267	2,679	642,946	666,871	3,245	670,116
Leases	2,164	40	2,204	2,607	56	2,663
Total loans	\$ 4,680,575	\$ 15,269	\$ 4,695,844	\$ 4,732,685	\$ 17,505	\$ 4,750,190

\* Included within commercial, financial and agricultural loans, commercial real estate loans, and Vision commercial land and development loans are an immaterial amount of consumer loans that are not broken out by class.

## Credit Quality

The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual, accruing restructured, and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing by class of loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2011			
	Nonaccrual loans	Accruing restructured loans	Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing	Total nonperforming loans
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 21,844	\$ 3,081	\$ 22	\$ 24,947
Commercial real estate	41,856	2,243	-	44,099
Construction real estate:				
Vision commercial land and development	42,353	1,249	-	43,602
Remaining commercial	29,386	4,575	-	33,961
Mortgage	66	-	-	66
Installment	107	-	-	107
Residential real estate:				
Commercial	47,422	-	-	47,422
Mortgage	25,976	4,393	1,237	31,606
HELOC	1,420	-	-	1,420
Installment	1,953	22	112	2,087
Consumer	1,983	-	851	2,834
Leases	-	-	-	-
Total loans	\$ 214,366	\$ 15,563	\$ 2,222	\$ 232,151

(In thousands)	December 31, 2010			
	Nonaccrual loans	Accruing restructured loans	Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing	Total nonperforming loans
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 19,276	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,276
Commercial real estate	57,941	-	20	57,961
Construction real estate:				
Vision commercial land and development	87,424	-	-	87,424
Remaining commercial	27,080	-	-	27,080
Mortgage	354	-	-	354
Installment	417	-	13	430
Residential real estate:				
Commercial	60,227	-	-	60,227
Mortgage	32,479	-	2,175	34,654
HELOC	964	-	149	1,113
Installment	1,195	-	277	1,472
Consumer	1,911	-	1,059	2,970
Leases	-	-	-	-
Total loans	\$ 289,268	\$ -	\$ 3,693	\$ 292,961

The following table provides additional information regarding those nonaccrual and accruing restructured loans that are individually evaluated for impairment and those collectively evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

(In thousands)	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Nonaccrual and accruing restructured loans	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Nonaccrual and accruing restructured loans	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 24,925	\$ 24,925	\$ -	\$ 19,276	\$ 19,205	\$ 71
Commercial real estate	44,099	44,099	-	57,941	57,930	11
Construction real estate:						
Vision commercial land and development	43,602	42,036	1,566	87,424	86,491	933
Remaining commercial	33,961	33,961	-	27,080	27,080	-
Mortgage	66	-	66	354	-	354
Installment	107	-	107	417	-	417
Residential real estate:						
Commercial	47,422	47,422	-	60,227	60,227	-
Mortgage	30,369	-	30,369	32,479	-	32,479
HELOC	1,420	-	1,420	964	-	964
Installment	1,975	-	1,975	1,195	-	1,195
Consumer	1,983	21	1,962	1,911	-	1,911
Leases	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total loans	\$ 229,929	\$ 192,464	\$ 37,465	\$ 289,268	\$ 250,933	\$ 38,335

All of the loans individually evaluated for impairment were evaluated using the fair value of the collateral or present value of expected future cash flows as the measurement method.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	September 30, 2011 (Restated)			December 31, 2010		
	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment	Allowance for loan losses allocated	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment	Allowance for loan losses allocated
(in thousands)						
With no related allowance recorded						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 17,903	\$ 12,329	\$ —	\$ 9,347	\$ 8,891	\$ —
Commercial real estate	39,400	30,680	—	21,526	17,170	—
Construction real estate:						
Vision commercial land and development	41,022	15,952	—	11,206	7,847	—
Remaining commercial	17,582	16,299	—	12,305	11,743	—
Residential real estate:						
Commercial	32,568	29,949	—	46,344	43,031	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—	—
With an allowance recorded						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	15,945	12,596	6,400	11,801	10,314	3,028
Commercial real estate	18,560	12,419	5,183	44,789	40,760	12,652
Construction real estate:						
Vision commercial land and development	52,623	26,084	15,133	103,937	78,644	39,887
Remaining commercial	30,813	17,662	8,940	23,563	15,337	5,425
Residential real estate:						
Commercial	21,847	17,473	4,681	19,716	17,196	5,912
Consumer	21	21	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 288,284	\$ 192,464	\$ 40,337	\$ 304,534	\$ 250,933	\$ 66,904

Management's general practice is to proactively charge down loans individually evaluated for impairment to the fair value of the underlying collateral. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$42.3 million and \$12.0 million, respectively, of partial charge-offs on loans individually evaluated for impairment with no related allowance recorded and \$53.6 million and \$41.6 million, respectively, of partial charge-offs on loans individually evaluated for impairment that also had a specific reserve allocated.

The allowance for loan losses included specific reserves related to loans individually evaluated for impairment at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, of \$40.3 million and \$66.9 million, respectively, related to loans with a recorded investment of \$86.3 million and \$162.3 million.

The following table presents the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on loans individually evaluated for impairment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011:

	Recorded investment as of September 30, 2011	Three months ended September 30, 2011		Nine months ended September 30, 2011	
		Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
(in thousands)					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 24,925	\$ 24,049	\$ 49	\$ 21,361	\$ 155
Commercial real estate	44,099	45,162	26	50,874	150
Construction real estate:					
Vision commercial land and development	42,036	43,555	-	67,135	-
Remaining commercial	33,961	34,027	116	29,573	330
Residential real estate:					
Commercial	47,422	48,064	-	54,454	153
Consumer	21	21	-	15	1
Total	\$ 192,464	\$ 194,878	\$ 191	\$ 223,412	\$ 789

The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by class of loans.

	September 30, 2011				
	Accruing loans past due 30-89 days	Past due nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and accruing*	Total past due	Total current	Total recorded investment
(In thousands)					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 2,211	\$ 16,457	\$ 18,668	\$ 741,212	\$ 759,880
Commercial real estate	9,543	23,119	32,662	1,223,338	1,256,000
Construction real estate:					
Vision commercial land and development	425	35,654	36,079	66,424	102,503
Remaining commercial	-	17,807	17,807	146,140	163,947
Mortgage	145	66	211	19,708	19,919
Installment	202	69	271	14,801	15,072
Residential real estate:					
Commercial	1,264	17,295	18,559	439,387	457,946
Mortgage	14,015	21,618	35,633	934,052	969,685
HELOC	539	673	1,212	253,208	254,420
Installment	1,428	499	1,927	49,395	51,322
Consumer	9,799	2,061	11,860	631,086	642,946
Leases	-	-	-	2,204	2,204
Total loans	\$ 39,571	\$ 135,318	\$ 174,889	\$ 4,520,955	\$ 4,695,844

\* Includes \$2.2 million of loans past due 90 days or more and accruing.



December 31, 2010

	Accruing loans past due 30-89 days	Past due nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and accruing*	Total past due	Total current	Total recorded investment
(In thousands)					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 2,247	\$ 15,622	\$ 17,869	\$ 722,919	\$ 740,788
Commercial real estate	9,521	53,269	62,790	1,168,630	1,231,420
Construction real estate:					
Vision commercial land and development	2,406	65,130	67,536	104,080	171,616
Remaining commercial	141	19,687	19,828	176,487	196,315
Mortgage	479	148	627	25,794	26,421
Installment	235	399	634	12,547	13,181
Residential real estate:					
Commercial	3,281	26,845	30,126	436,180	466,306
Mortgage	17,460	24,422	41,882	867,555	909,437
HELOC	1,396	667	2,063	259,414	261,477
Installment	1,018	892	1,910	58,540	60,450
Consumer	11,204	2,465	13,669	656,447	670,116
Leases	5	-	5	2,658	2,663
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$ 49,393</b>	<b>\$ 209,546</b>	<b>\$ 258,939</b>	<b>\$ 4,491,251</b>	<b>\$ 4,750,190</b>

\* Includes \$3.6 million of loans past due 90 days or more and accruing.

### Credit Quality Indicators

Management utilizes past due information as a credit quality indicator across the loan portfolio. The past due information is the primary credit quality indicator within the following classes of loans: (1) mortgage loans and installment loans in the construction real estate segment; (2) mortgage loans, HELOC and installment loans in the residential real estate segment; and (3) throughout the consumer loan segment. The primary credit indicator for commercial loans is based on an internal grading system that grades all commercial loans from 1 to 8. Credit grades are continuously monitored by the respective loan officer and adjustments are made when appropriate. A grade of 1 indicates little or no credit risk and a grade of 8 is considered a loss. Commercial loans with grades of 1 to 4.5 (pass-rated) are considered to be of acceptable credit risk. Commercial loans graded a 5 (special mention) are considered to be watch list credits and a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Loans classified as special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loans or of the institution's credit position at some future date. Commercial loans graded 6 (substandard), also considered watch list credits, are considered to represent higher credit risk and, as a result, a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Loans classified as substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor and/or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Commercial loans that are graded a 7 (doubtful) are shown as nonperforming and Park generally charges these loans down to their fair value by taking a partial charge-off or recording a specific reserve. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of



currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Any commercial loan graded an 8 (loss) is completely charged-off. The tables below present the recorded investment by loan grade at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 for all commercial loans.

- 17 -

---

September 30, 2011

(in thousands)	5 Rated	6 Rated	Impaired	Pass Rated	Recorded Investment
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 36,037	\$ 7,150	\$ 24,925	\$ 691,768	\$ 759,880
Commercial real estate	51,417	21,222	44,099	1,139,262	1,256,000
Construction real estate:					
Vision commercial land and development	10,791	2,040	42,036	47,636	102,503
Remaining commercial	7,954	14,626	33,961	107,406	163,947
Residential real estate:					
Commercial	22,825	14,096	47,422	373,603	457,946
Leases	-	-	-	2,204	2,204
Total Commercial Loans	\$ 129,024	\$ 59,134	\$ 192,443	\$ 2,361,879	\$ 2,742,480

December 31, 2010

(in thousands)	5 Rated	6 Rated	Impaired	Pass Rated	Recorded Investment
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 26,322	\$ 11,447	\$ 19,276	\$ 683,743	\$ 740,788
Commercial real estate	57,394	26,992	57,941	1,089,093	1,231,420
Construction real estate:					
Vision commercial land and development	10,220	7,941	87,424	66,031	171,616
Remaining commercial	14,021	39,062	27,080	116,152	196,315
Residential real estate:					
Commercial	29,206	18,117	60,227	358,756	466,306
Leases	-	-	-	2,663	2,663
Total Commercial Loans	\$ 137,163	\$ 103,559	\$ 251,948	\$ 2,316,438	\$ 2,809,108

#### Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs)

Management classifies loans as TDRs when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and Park has granted a concession. In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the company's internal underwriting policy. Management's policy is to modify loans by extending the term or by granting a temporary or permanent contractual interest rate below the market rate, not by forgiving debt. Certain loans which were modified during the period ending September 30, 2011 did not meet the definition of a TDR as the modification was a delay in a payment that was considered to be insignificant. Management considers a forbearance period of up to three months or a delay in payment of up to 30

days to be insignificant. TDRs may be classified as accruing if the borrower has been current for a period of at least six months with respect to loan payments and management expects that the borrower will be able to continue to make payments in accordance with the terms of the restructured note. Management reviews all accruing TDRs quarterly to ensure payments continue to be made in accordance with the modified terms.

- 18 -

---

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$82.1 million and \$80.7 million, respectively, of TDRs included in nonaccrual loan totals. As of September 30, 2011, there were \$15.6 million of TDRs included in accruing loan totals. None of the TDRs as of December 31, 2010 were accruing. Prior to management's adoption of ASU 2011-02, Park classified all TDRs as nonaccrual loans. With the adoption of ASU 2011-02, management determined it was appropriate to return certain TDRs to accrual status. Specifically, if the restructured note has been current for a period of at least six months and management expects the borrower will remain current throughout the renegotiated contract, the loan may be returned to accrual status. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$55.9 million and \$50.3 million of the nonaccrual TDRs were current. Management will continue to review the renegotiated loans and may determine it appropriate to move certain of the loans back to accrual status in the future. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Park had commitments to lend \$1.2 million and \$434,000, respectively, of additional funds to borrowers whose terms had been modified in a TDR.

The specific reserve related to TDRs at September 30, 2011 and December 30, 2010 was \$12.2 million and \$9.4 million respectively. Classifying these loans as TDRs generally resulted in a reduction of the allowance for loan losses as a result of performing an individual impairment analysis rather than apply a general reserve percentage.

The terms of certain other loans were modified during the period ending September 30, 2011 that did not meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring. Modified substandard commercial loans which did not meet the definition of a TDR have a total recorded investment as of September 30, 2011 of \$6.0 million. The modification of these loans involved either a modification of the terms of a loan to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties or resulted in a delay in a payment that was considered to be insignificant. Modified consumer loans which did not meet the definition of a TDR have a total recorded investment as of September 30, 2011 of \$11.7 million. Many of these loans were modified as a lower cost option than a full refinancing to borrowers who were not experiencing financial difficulties.

The following table details the number of contracts modified as TDRs during the 3 months and 9 months ended September 30, 2011 as well as the period end recorded investment of these contracts. The recorded investment pre and post modification is generally the same.

	3 months ended September 30, 2011		9 months ended September 30, 2011	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Total recorded investment
(In thousands)				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	14	\$1,977	32	\$ 5,677
Commercial real estate	4	2,763	21	7,633
Construction real estate:				
Vision commercial land and development	2	504	8	3,342
Remaining commercial	3	2,192	16	14,795
Mortgage	-	-	1	66
Installment	-	-	-	-
Residential real estate:				
Commercial	3	239	10	3,493
Mortgage	7	1,550	27	4,137
HELOC	-	-	1	50
Installment	1	17	2	36
Consumer	-	-	-	-
Leases	-	-	-	-
Total loans	34	\$9,242	118	\$ 39,229



The following table presents the recorded investment in financing receivables which were modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the 3 month and 9 month periods ended September 30, 2011. For this table, a loan is considered to be in default when it becomes 30 days contractually past due under modified terms.

	3 months ended September 30, 2011		9 months ended September 30, 2011	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Total recorded investment
(In thousands)				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	3	\$ 506	7	\$ 642
Commercial real estate	5	8,511	7	12,994
Construction real estate:				
Vision commercial land and development	2	1,962	3	1,979
Remaining commercial	1	5,000	1	5,000
Mortgage	1	66	1	66
Installment	-	-	-	-
Residential real estate:				
Commercial	1	607	5	20,061
Mortgage	4	736	8	1,695
HELOC	1	50	1	50
Installment	-	-	-	-
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>\$ 17,438</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>\$ 42,487</b>

#### Note 5 – Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is that amount management believes is adequate to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio based on management's evaluation of various factors including overall growth in the loan portfolio, an analysis of individual loans, prior and current loss experience, and current economic conditions. A provision for loan losses is charged to operations based on management's periodic evaluation of these and other pertinent factors as discussed within Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of Part II of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 is summarized in the following table.

## Three months ended September 30, 2011 (Restated)

Commercial,

	financial and agricultural	Commercial real estate	Construction real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	----------	--------	-------

(In thousands)

## Allowance for credit losses:

Beginning balance	\$ 16,709	\$ 23,307	\$ 40,113	\$ 32,297	\$ 7,744	\$ 4	\$ 120,174
Charge-offs	5,199	6,505	12,587	5,886	1,682	—	31,859
Recoveries	154	845	621	341	595	1	2,557
Net Charge-offs	5,045	5,660	11,966	5,545	1,087	(1 )	29,302
Provision	3,358	912	8,240	3,533	396	(1 )	16,438
Ending balance	\$ 15,022	\$ 18,559	\$ 36,387	\$ 30,285	\$ 7,053	\$ 4	\$ 107,310

## Nine months ended September 30, 2011 (Restated)

Commercial,

	financial and agricultural	Commercial real estate	Construction real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer	Leases	Total
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	----------	--------	-------

(In thousands)

## Allowance for credit losses:

Beginning balance	\$ 11,555	\$ 24,369	\$ 70,462	\$ 30,259	\$ 6,925	\$ 5	\$ 143,575
Charge-offs	12,370	14,855	39,686	13,162	5,597	—	85,670
Recoveries	1,050	1,669	834	1,232	1,562	4	6,351
Net Charge-offs	11,320	13,186	38,852	11,930	4,035	(4 )	79,319
Provision	14,787	7,376	4,777	11,956	4,163	(5 )	43,054
Ending balance	\$ 15,022	\$ 18,559	\$ 36,387	\$ 30,285	\$ 7,053	\$ 4	\$ 107,310

- 21 -

The activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 is summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2010
<b>Allowance for credit losses:</b>		
Beginning balance	\$ 120,676	\$ 116,717
Charge-offs	19,205	48,056
Recoveries	1,280	4,290
Net Charge-offs	17,925	43,766
Provision	14,654	44,454
Ending balance	\$ 117,405	\$ 117,405

The composition of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2011 (Restated)						Total
	Commercial, financial and agricultural	Commercial real estate	Construction real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer	Leases	
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>							
<b>Ending allowance balance attributed to loans:</b>							
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$6,400	\$5,183	\$ 24,073	\$4,681	\$—	\$—	\$40,337
Collectively evaluated for impairment	8,622	13,376	12,314	25,604	7,053	4	66,973
<b>Total ending allowance balance</b>	<b>\$ 15,022</b>	<b>\$ 18,559</b>	<b>\$ 36,387</b>	<b>\$ 30,285</b>	<b>\$ 7,053</b>	<b>\$ 4</b>	<b>\$ 107,310</b>
<b>Loan Balance:</b>							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$24,899	\$44,051	\$ 75,970	\$47,422	\$ 21	\$—	\$ 192,363
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	731,989	1,206,885	224,771	1,682,157	640,246	2,164	4,488,212
<b>Total ending loan balance</b>	<b>\$ 756,888</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,936</b>	<b>\$ 300,741</b>	<b>\$ 1,729,579</b>	<b>\$ 640,267</b>	<b>\$ 2,164</b>	<b>\$ 4,680,575</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loan</b>							



Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

balance:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	25.70	%	11.77	%	31.69	%	9.87	%	—	—	20.97	%		
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	1.18	%	1.11	%	5.48	%	1.52	%	1.10	%	0.18	%	1.49	%
Total ending loan balance	1.98	%	1.48	%	12.10	%	1.75	%	1.10	%	0.18	%	2.29	%

Recorded

Investment:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$24,925	\$44,099	\$75,997	\$47,422	\$21	\$—	\$192,464
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	734,955	1,211,901	225,444	1,685,951	642,925	2,204	4,503,380
Total ending loan balance	\$759,880	\$1,256,000	\$301,441	\$1,733,373	\$642,946	\$2,204	\$4,695,844

- 22 -

---

(In thousands)	December 31, 2010										
	Commercial, financial and agricultural	Commercial real estate	Construction real estate	Residential real estate	Consumer	Leases	Total				
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans											
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$3,028	\$12,652	\$45,312	\$5,912	\$—	\$—	\$66,904				
Collectively evaluated for impairment	8,527	11,717	25,150	24,347	6,925	5	76,671				
Total ending allowance balance	\$11,555	\$24,369	\$70,462	\$30,259	\$6,925	\$5	\$143,575				
Loan Balance: Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$19,205	\$57,930	\$113,571	\$60,227	\$—	\$—	\$250,933				
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	718,697	1,168,686	292,909	1,631,982	666,871	2,607	4,481,752				
Total ending loan balance	\$737,902	\$1,226,616	\$406,480	\$1,692,209	\$666,871	\$2,607	\$4,732,685				
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loan balance: Loans individually evaluated for impairment	15.77 %	21.84 %	39.90 %	9.82 %	—	—	26.66 %				
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	1.19 %	1.00 %	8.59 %	1.49 %	1.04 %	0.19 %	1.71 %				
Total ending loan balance	1.57 %	1.99 %	17.33 %	1.79 %	1.04 %	0.19 %	3.03 %				
Recorded Investment: Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$19,205	\$57,930	\$113,571	\$60,227	\$—	\$—	\$250,933				

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	721,583	1,173,490	293,962	1,637,443	670,116	2,663	4,499,257
Total ending loan balance	\$ 740,788	\$ 1,231,420	\$ 407,533	\$ 1,697,670	\$ 670,116	\$ 2,663	\$ 4,750,190

- 23 -

---

Loans collectively evaluated for impairment above include all performing loans at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, as well as nonperforming loans internally classified as consumer loans. Nonperforming consumer loans are not typically individually evaluated for impairment, but receive a portion of the statistical allocation of the allowance for loan losses. If these consumer loans become 180 days past due, they are charged off or charged down to the appraised value of the underlying collateral, less anticipated selling costs. Loans individually evaluated for impairment include all impaired loans internally classified as commercial loans at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, which are evaluated for impairment in accordance with GAAP (see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in “Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” of Part II of Park’s 2010 Form 10-K/A).

#### Note 6 – Earnings Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands, except share and per share data)	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Numerator:</b>	<b>Restated</b>		<b>Restated</b>	
Income available to common shareholders	\$18,917	\$18,125	\$67,138	\$57,167
<b>Denominator:</b>				
Denominator for basic earnings per share (weighted average common shares outstanding)	15,398,909	15,272,720	15,398,919	15,090,113
Effect of dilutive options and warrants	-	-	1,722	-
Denominator for diluted earnings per share (weighted average common shares outstanding adjusted for the effect of dilutive options and warrants)	15,398,909	15,272,720	15,400,641	15,090,113
<b>Earnings per common share:</b>				
Basic earnings per common share	\$1.23	\$1.19	\$4.36	\$3.79
Diluted earnings per common share	\$1.23	\$1.19	\$4.36	\$3.79

As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, options to purchase 74,570 and 82,175 common shares, respectively, were outstanding under the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “2005 Plan”). A warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares was outstanding at both September 30, 2011 and 2010 as a result of Park’s participation in the U.S. Treasury’s Capital Purchase Program (the “CPP”). Additionally, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 35,992 common shares (the “December 2010 Warrants”) were outstanding at September 30, 2011 as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants on December 10, 2010. Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 80,500 common shares (the “October 2009 Warrants”) were outstanding at September 30, 2010 as a result of the issuance of common stock and warrants on October 30, 2009. All October 2009 Warrants were exercised or expired as of October 30, 2010 and thus had no impact on the three or nine month periods ended September 30, 2011.

The common shares represented by the options, the CPP Warrant (for the three month period only), and the December 2010 Warrants for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, totaling a weighted average of 338,743 and 133,343, respectively, and the common shares represented by the options, the CPP Warrant and the October 2009 Warrants for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, totaling a weighted average of 420,778 and 604,010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because the respective exercise prices exceeded the market value of the underlying common shares such that their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect. The warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares issued under the CPP was not included in the nine month weighted average of 133,343 at September 30, 2011, as the dilutive effect of this warrant

was 1,722 shares of common stock for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. The exercise price of the CPP warrant to purchase 227,376 common shares is \$65.97.

- 24 -

---

## Note 7 – Segment Information

The Corporation is a multi-bank holding company headquartered in Newark, Ohio. The operating segments for the Corporation are its two chartered bank subsidiaries, The Park National Bank (headquartered in Newark, Ohio) (“PNB”) and Vision Bank (headquartered in Panama City, Florida) (“VB”). Management is required to disclose information about the different types of business activities in which a company engages and also information on the different economic environments in which a company operates, so that the users of the financial statements can better understand a company’s performance, better understand the potential for future cash flows, and make more informed judgments about the company as a whole. Park has two operating segments, as: (i) there are two separate and distinct geographic markets in which Park operates, (ii) discrete financial information is available for each operating segment and (iii) the segments are aligned with internal reporting to Park’s Chief Executive Officer, who is the chief operating decision maker.

## Operating Results for the three months ended September 30, 2011

(in thousands)	PNB	VB (Restated)	All Other	Total (Restated)
Net interest income	\$58,588	\$ 6,493	\$2,539	\$67,620
Provision for loan losses	9,000	6,913	525	16,438
Other income (loss) and security gains	20,290	2,014	(812 )	21,492
Other expense	35,936	7,267	2,396	45,599
Net income (loss)	24,518	(3,665 )	(472 )	20,381

## Balance at September 30, 2011

Assets	\$6,346,125	\$ 714,674	\$ 34,299	\$7,095,098
--------	-------------	------------	-----------	-------------

## Operating Results for the three months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	PNB	VB	All Other	Total
Net interest income	\$ 59,986	\$ 7,174	\$ 2,285	\$ 69,445
Provision for loan losses	6,576	7,529	549	14,654
Other income (loss) and security gains	17,588	(139 )	81	17,530
Other expense	35,406	7,726	2,564	45,696
Net income (loss)	24,425	(5,316 )	468	19,577

## Balance at September 30, 2010

Assets	\$ 6,269,783	\$ 838,090	\$ (17,417 )	\$ 7,090,456
--------	--------------	------------	--------------	--------------

## Operating Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2011

(in thousands)	PNB	VB (Restated)	All Other	Total (Restated)
Net interest income	\$179,366	\$ 20,248	\$7,341	\$ 206,955
Provision for loan losses	18,950	22,529	1,575	43,054
Other income (loss) and security gains	73,590	2,352	(2,285)	73,657
Other expense	108,572	22,866	7,514	138,952
Net income (loss)	87,798	(14,730 )	(1,538)	71,530

## Operating Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010

(in thousands)	PNB	VB	All Other	Total
----------------	-----	----	-----------	-------

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Net interest income	\$ 177,997	\$ 20,979	\$ 6,570	\$ 205,546
Provision for loan losses	15,126	27,729	1,599	44,454
Other income (loss) and security gains	63,206	(744 )	244	62,706
Other expense	107,960	23,817	8,810	140,587
Net income (loss)	80,610	(19,528 )	440	61,522

- 25 -

---

The operating results of the Parent Company and Guardian Financial Services Company (GFC) in the “All Other” column are used to reconcile the segment totals to the consolidated condensed statements of income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The reconciling amounts for consolidated total assets for the periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 consist of the elimination of intersegment borrowings and the assets of the Parent Company and GFC which are not eliminated.

#### Note 8 – Stock Option Plan

Park did not grant any stock options during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Additionally, no stock options vested during the first nine months of 2011 or 2010.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the first nine months of 2011.

	Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	78,075	\$ 74.96
Granted	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Forfeited/Expired	3,505	74.96
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	74,570	\$ 74.96

All of the stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011 were exercisable. The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding stock options at September 30, 2011 was \$0. In addition, no stock options were exercised during the first nine months of 2011 or 2010. The weighted average contractual remaining term was 1.19 years for the stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011.

All of the common shares delivered upon exercise of incentive stock options granted under the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “2005 Plan”) are to be treasury shares. At September 30, 2011, incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan covering 74,570 common shares were outstanding. At September 30, 2011, Park held 488,761 treasury shares that are available for the 2005 Plan.

#### Note 9 – Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at their fair value. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, Park had approximately \$10.8 million and \$8.3 million in mortgage loans held for sale. These amounts are included in loans on the consolidated condensed balance sheets and in the residential real estate loan segments in Notes 4 and 5.



## Note 10 – Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities are shown in the following table. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of investment securities for any other-than-temporary impairment. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, there were no investment securities deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Investment securities at September 30, 2011, were as follows:

(in thousands)

Securities Available-for-Sale	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$223,459	\$ 1,358	\$ -	\$ 224,817
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,615	109	-	3,724
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	544,648	22,100	-	566,748
Other equity securities	1,188	717	31	1,874
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$772,910</b>	<b>\$ 24,284</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ 797,163</b>

Securities Held-to-Maturity	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$1,992	\$ 7	\$ -	\$1,999
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	841,584	17,971	185	859,370
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$843,576</b>	<b>\$ 17,978</b>	<b>\$ 185</b>	<b>\$861,369</b>

Management does not believe any of the unrealized losses at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010, represents an other-than-temporary impairment. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized within net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

Securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2011, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
Securities Available-for-Sale						
Other equity securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80	\$ 31	\$ 80	\$ 31
Securities Held-to-Maturity						
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	\$ 107,352	\$ 185	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 107,352	\$ 185

Investment securities at December 31, 2010, were as follows:

(in thousands)

Securities Available-for-Sale	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Estimated fair value
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 272,301	\$ 2,968	\$ 1,956	\$273,313
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	10,815	281	52	11,044
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	990,204	30,633	9,425	1,011,412
Other equity securities	938	858	43	1,753
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,274,258</b>	<b>\$ 34,740</b>	<b>\$ 11,476</b>	<b>\$1,297,522</b>

Securities Held-to-Maturity	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Estimated fair value
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 3,167	\$ 7	\$ -	\$3,174
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	670,403	17,157	4,620	682,940
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 673,570</b>	<b>\$ 17,164</b>	<b>\$ 4,620</b>	<b>\$686,114</b>

Securities with unrealized losses at December 31, 2010, were as follows:

(in thousands)	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
Securities Available-for-Sale	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ 74,379	\$ 1,956	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 74,379	\$ 1,956
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,459	52			1,459	52
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	418,156	9,425	-	-	418,156	9,425
Other equity securities	74	29	221	14	295	43
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 494,068</b>	<b>\$ 11,462</b>	<b>\$ 221</b>	<b>\$ 14</b>	<b>\$ 494,289</b>	<b>\$ 11,476</b>

Securities Held-to-Maturity

U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities	\$ 297,584	\$ 4,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 297,584	\$ 4,620
--	------------	----------	------	------	------------	----------

Park's U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities consist primarily of 15-year residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in debt securities at September 30, 2011, are shown in the following table by contractual maturity or the expected call date, except for asset-backed securities, which are shown as a single total, due to the unpredictability of the timing in principal repayments.

(in thousands)	Amortized cost	Fair value
<b>Securities Available-for-Sale</b>		
U.S. Treasury and sponsored entities notes:		
Due within one year	\$ 223,459	\$ 224,817
Total	\$ 223,459	\$ 224,817
Obligations of states and political subdivisions:		
Due within one year	\$ 2,315	\$ 2,327
Due one through five years	1,300	1,397
	\$ 3,615	\$ 3,724
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities:		
Total	\$ 544,648	\$ 566,748

(in thousands)	Amortized cost	Fair value
<b>Securities Held-to-Maturity</b>		
Obligations of state and political subdivisions:		
Due within one year	\$ 1,992	\$ 1,999
Total	\$ 1,992	\$ 1,999
U.S. Government sponsored entities asset-backed securities:		
Total	\$ 841,584	\$ 859,370

Approximately \$193 million of Park's securities shown in the above table as U.S. Treasury and sponsored entities notes are callable notes. These callable securities have a final maturity in 7 to 11 years, but are shown in the table at their expected call date. The remaining \$30 million of securities in this category are U.S. Government sponsored entities discount notes that mature within 30 days.

#### Note 11 – Other Investment Securities

Other investment securities consist of stock investments in the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank. These restricted stock investments are carried at their redemption value.

(in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	\$ 61,016	\$ 61,823
Federal Reserve Bank stock	6,876	6,876
Total	\$ 67,892	\$ 68,699



## Note 12 – Pension Plan

Park has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of its employees. The plan provides benefits based on an employee's years of service and compensation.

Park's funding policy is to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes using a different actuarial cost method and different assumptions from those used for financial reporting purposes. Pension plan contributions were \$14 million and \$2 million for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table shows the components of net periodic benefit expense:

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 1,139	\$ 918	\$ 3,417	\$ 2,754
Interest cost	992	895	2,976	2,687
Expected return on plan assets	(1,885 )	(1,476 )	(5,657 )	(4,390 )
Amortization of prior service cost	5	6	15	16
Recognized net actuarial loss	352	269	1,057	809
Benefit expense	\$ 603	\$ 612	\$ 1,808	\$ 1,876

## Note 13 – Derivative Instruments

FASB ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As required by GAAP, the Company records all derivatives on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, with any ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative recognized directly in earnings. The Company assesses the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company executed an interest rate swap to hedge a \$25 million floating-rate subordinated note that was entered into by Park during the fourth quarter of 2007. The Company's objective in using this derivative is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate risk. Our interest rate swap involves the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreement without exchange of the underlying principal amount, and has been designated as a cash flow hedge.

At September 30, 2011, the interest rate swap's fair value of \$(1.1) million was included in other liabilities. No hedge ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedge was recognized during the quarter or nine months ended September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, the variable rate on the \$25 million subordinated note was 2.37% (3-month LIBOR plus 200

basis points) and Park was paying 6.01% (4.01% fixed rate on the interest rate swap plus 200 basis points).

- 30 -

---

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the change in the fair value of the interest rate swap reported in other comprehensive income was a gain of \$348,000 (net of taxes of \$187,000). Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the interest rate swap will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt.

As of September 30, 2011, no derivatives were designated as fair value hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. Additionally, the Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

As of September 30, 2011, Park had mortgage loan interest rate lock commitments outstanding of approximately \$29.3 million. Park has specific forward contracts to sell each of these loans to a third party investor. These loan commitments represent derivative instruments, which are required to be carried at fair value. The derivative instruments used are not designated as hedges under GAAP. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of the derivative instruments was approximately \$378,000. The fair value of the derivative instruments is included within loans held for sale and the corresponding income is included within non-yield loan fee income. Gains and losses resulting from expected sales of mortgage loans are recognized when the respective loan contract is entered into between the borrower, Park, and the third party investor. The fair value of Park's mortgage interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) is based on current secondary market pricing.

In connection with the sale of Park's Class B Visa shares during the 2009 year, Park entered into a swap agreement with the purchaser of the shares. The swap agreement adjusts for dilution in the conversion ratio of Class B Visa shares resulting from certain Visa litigation. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of the swap liability of \$200,000 is an estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses.

## Note 14 – Loan Servicing

Park serviced sold mortgage loans of \$1.41 billion at September 30, 2011, compared to \$1.51 billion at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011, \$30.6 million of the sold mortgage loans were sold with recourse compared to \$42.0 million at September 30, 2010. Management closely monitors the delinquency rates on the mortgage loans sold with recourse. At September 30, 2011, management determined that no liability was deemed necessary for these loans.

When Park sells mortgage loans with servicing rights retained, servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value. Park selected the “amortization method” as permissible within GAAP, whereby the servicing rights capitalized are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated future servicing income of the underlying loan. At the end of each reporting period, the carrying value of mortgage servicing rights (“MSRs”) is assessed for impairment with a comparison to fair value. MSRs are carried at the lower of their amortized cost or fair value.

Activity for MSRs and the related valuation allowance follows:

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2011	Nine months ended September 30, 2011
<b>Mortgage servicing rights:</b>		
Carrying amount, net, beginning of period	\$ 10,259	\$ 10,488
Additions	431	1,070
Amortization	(621 )	(1,557 )
Changes in valuation inputs & assumptions	-	68
<b>Carrying amount, net, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 10,069</b>	<b>\$ 10,069</b>
<b>Valuation allowance:</b>		
Beginning of period	\$ 680	\$ 748
Changes due to fair value adjustments	-	(68 )
End of period	\$ 680	\$ 680

Servicing fees included in other service income were \$1.5 million and \$4.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, servicing fees included in other service income were \$1.7 million and \$4.4 million, respectively.

## Note 15 – Fair Value

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that Park uses to measure fair value are as follows:

- § Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that Park has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
- § Level 2: Level 1 inputs for assets or liabilities that are not actively traded. Also consists of an observable market price for a similar asset or liability. This includes the use of “matrix pricing” to value debt securities absent the exclusive use of quoted prices.
- § Level 3: Consists of unobservable inputs that are used to measure fair value when observable market inputs are not available. This could include the use of internally developed models, financial forecasting and similar inputs.





Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between market participants at the balance sheet date. When possible, the Company looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, the Company looks to observable market data for similar assets and liabilities. However, certain assets and liabilities are not traded in observable markets and Park must use other valuation methods to develop a fair value. The fair value of impaired loans is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, which is estimated through third party appraisals or internal estimates of collateral values.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

#### Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011 using:

(in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Balance at September 30, 2011
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities				
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ -	\$ 224,817	\$ -	\$ 224,817
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-	3,724	-	3,724
U.S. Government sponsored entities' asset-backed securities	-	566,748	-	566,748
Equity securities	1,125	-	749	1,874
Mortgage loans held for sale	-	10,778	-	10,778
Mortgage IRLCs	-	378	-	378
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Interest rate swap	\$ -	\$ 1,099	\$ -	\$ 1,099
Fair value swap	-	-	200	200

#### Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 using:

(in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Balance at December 31, 2010
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities				
Obligations of U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government sponsored entities	\$ -	\$ 273,313	\$ -	\$ 273,313
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-	8,446	2,598	11,044
U.S. Government sponsored entities' asset-backed	-	1,011,412	-	1,011,412

securities				
Equity securities	1,008	-	745	1,753
Mortgage loans held for sale	-	8,340	-	8,340
Mortgage IRLCs	-	166	-	166
Liabilities				
Interest rate swap	\$ -	\$ 1,634	\$ -	\$ 1,634
Fair value swap	-	-	60	60

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in determining fair value of the financial assets and liabilities discussed above:

**Investment securities:** Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. The Fair Value Measurements tables exclude Park's Federal Home Loan Bank stock and Federal Reserve Bank stock. These assets are carried at their respective redemption values, as it is not practicable to calculate their fair values. For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, which include municipal securities, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows.

**Interest rate swap:** The fair value of the interest rate swap represents the estimated amount Park would pay or receive to terminate the agreement, considering current interest rates and the current creditworthiness of the counterparty.

**Fair value swap:** The fair value of the swap agreement entered into with the purchaser of the Visa Class B shares represents an internally developed estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses.

**Mortgage Interest Rate Lock Commitments (IRLCs):** IRLCs are based on current secondary market pricing and are classified as Level 2.

**Mortgage loans held for sale:** Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at their fair value. Mortgage loans held for sale are estimated using security prices for similar product types and, therefore, are classified in Level 2.

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the Level 3 inputs for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, for financial instruments measured on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3:

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements  
Three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

(in thousands)	Obligations of states and political subdivisions	Equity Securities	Fair value swap
Balance, at June 30, 2011	\$ -	\$741	\$(200 )
Total gains/(losses)			
Included in earnings – realized	-	-	-
Included in earnings – unrealized	-	-	-
Included in other comprehensive income	-	8	-
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, other, net	-	-	-
Balance September 30, 2011	\$ -	\$749	\$(200 )
Balance, at June 30, 2010	\$ 2,756	\$-	\$(340 )
Total gains/(losses)			
Included in earnings – realized	-	-	-
Included in earnings – unrealized	-	-	-
Included in other comprehensive income	93	-	-
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, other, net	-	-	-
Balance September 30, 2010	\$ 2,849	\$-	\$(340 )

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements  
Nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

(in thousands)	Obligations of states and political subdivisions	Equity Securities	Fair value swap
Balance, at January 1, 2011	\$ 2,598	\$745	\$(60 )
Total gains/(losses)			
Included in earnings – realized	-	-	-
Included in earnings – unrealized	(128 )	-	-
Included in other comprehensive income	-	4	-
Settlement	(2,470 )	-	-
Re-evaluation of fair value swap	-	-	(140 )
Balance September 30, 2011	\$ -	\$749	\$(200 )
Balance, at January 1, 2010	\$ 2,751	\$-	\$(500 )
Total gains/(losses)			
Included in earnings – realized	-	-	-
Included in earnings – unrealized	-	-	-
Included in other comprehensive income	98	-	-
Settlements	-	-	160
Balance September 30, 2010	\$ 2,849	\$-	\$(340 )

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011 (as restated) using:

(in thousands)	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Balance at September 30, 2011
<b>Impaired loans:</b>				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$-	\$-	\$11,731	\$ 11,731
Commercial real estate			20,347	20,347
<b>Construction real estate:</b>				
Vision commercial land and development			25,317	25,317
Remaining commercial			10,178	10,178
Residential real estate			15,222	15,222
Total impaired loans	\$-	\$-	\$82,795	\$ 82,795
Mortgage servicing rights	-	4,963	-	4,963
Other real estate owned	-	-	46,911	46,911

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using:

(in thousands)	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Balance at December 31, 2010
<b>Impaired loans:</b>				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$-	\$-	\$8,276	\$ 8,276
Commercial real estate			32,229	32,229
<b>Construction real estate:</b>				
Vision commercial land and development			42,274	42,274
Remaining commercial			10,465	10,465
Residential real estate			16,399	16,399
Total impaired loans	\$-	\$-	\$109,643	\$ 109,643
Mortgage servicing rights	-	3,813	-	3,813
Other real estate owned	-	-	41,709	41,709

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the underlying collateral or the present value of expected future cash flows, had a book value of \$192.4 million at September 30, 2011, after partial charge-offs of \$95.9 million. In addition, these loans had a specific valuation allowance of \$40.3 million. Of the \$192.4 million impaired loan portfolio, loans with a book value of \$123.2 million were carried at their fair value of \$82.8 million, as a result of the aforementioned charge-offs and specific valuation allowance. The remaining \$69.2 million of impaired loans were carried at cost, as the fair value of the underlying collateral or present value of expected future cash flows on these loans exceeded the book value for each individual credit. At December 31, 2010, impaired loans had a book value of \$250.9 million. Of these, \$109.6 million were carried at fair value, as a result of partial charge-offs of \$53.6 million and a specific valuation allowance of \$66.9 million. The remaining \$74.4 million of impaired loans at December 31, 2010 were carried at cost.

MSRs, which are carried at the lower of cost or fair value, were recorded at \$10.1 million at September 30, 2011. Of the \$10.1 million MSR carrying balance at September 30, 2011, \$5.0 million was recorded at fair value and included a valuation allowance of \$680,000. The remaining \$5.1 million was recorded at cost, as the fair value exceeded cost at September 30, 2011. MSRs do not trade in active, open markets with readily observable prices. For example, sales of MSRs do occur, but precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. As such, management, with the assistance of a third party specialist, determined fair value based on the discounted value of the future cash flows estimated to be received. Significant inputs include the discount rate and assumed prepayment speeds utilized. The calculated fair value was then compared to market values where possible to ascertain the reasonableness of the valuation in relation to current market expectations for similar products. Accordingly, MSRs are classified Level 2. At December 31, 2010, MSRs were recorded at \$10.5 million, including a valuation allowance of \$748,000.

Other real estate owned (OREO) is recorded at fair value based on property appraisals, less estimated selling costs, at the date of transfer. The carrying value of OREO is not re-measured to fair value on a recurring basis, but is subject to fair value adjustments when the carrying value exceeds the fair value, less estimated selling costs. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of OREO, less estimated selling costs amounted to \$46.9 million and \$41.7 million, respectively. The financial impact of OREO devaluation adjustments for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 was \$588,000 and \$6.5 million, respectively.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for assets and liabilities not discussed above:

**Cash and cash equivalents:** The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated condensed balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

**Interest bearing deposits with other banks:** The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated condensed balance sheet for interest bearing deposits with other banks approximate those assets' fair values.

**Loans receivable:** For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair values for certain mortgage loans (e.g., one-to-four family residential) are based on quoted market prices of similar loans sold in conjunction with securitization transactions, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

**Off-balance sheet instruments:** Fair values for the Corporation's loan commitments and standby letters of credit are based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The carrying amount and fair value are not material.

**Deposit liabilities:** The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts for variable-rate, fixed-term certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

**Short-term borrowings:** The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased, borrowings under repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

**Long-term debt:** Fair values for long-term debt are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on long-term debt to a schedule of monthly maturities.

Subordinated debentures and notes: Fair values for subordinated debentures and notes are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rate spreads currently being offered on similar debt structures to a schedule of monthly maturities.

- 37 -

---



The fair value of financial instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was as follows:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
<b>Financial assets:</b>				
Cash and money market instruments	\$272,097	\$272,097	\$133,780	\$133,780
Investment securities	1,640,739	1,658,532	1,971,092	1,983,636
Accrued interest receivable	21,990	21,990	24,137	24,137
Mortgage loans held for sale	10,778	10,778	8,340	8,340
Impaired loans carried at fair value (Restated)	82,795	82,795	109,643	109,643
Other loans (Restated)	4,479,692	4,491,085	4,471,127	4,490,855
Loans receivable, net	\$4,573,265	\$4,584,658	\$4,589,110	\$4,608,838
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>				
Noninterest bearing checking accounts	\$1,000,969	\$1,000,969	\$937,719	\$937,719
Interest bearing transactions accounts	1,432,827	1,432,827	1,283,159	1,283,159
Savings accounts	943,948	943,948	899,288	899,288
Time deposits	1,705,844	1,713,888	1,973,903	1,990,163
Other	5,599	5,599	1,351	1,351
Total deposits	\$5,089,187	\$5,097,231	\$5,095,420	\$5,111,680
Short-term borrowings	\$243,071	\$243,071	\$663,669	\$663,669
Long-term debt	823,722	917,963	636,733	699,080
Subordinated debentures/notes	75,250	66,934	75,250	63,099
Accrued interest payable	5,416	5,416	6,123	6,123
<b>Derivative financial instruments:</b>				
Interest rate swap	\$1,099	\$1,099	\$1,634	\$1,634
Fair value swap	200	200	60	60

#### Note 16 –Participation in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program (CPP)

On December 23, 2008, Park issued \$100 million of cumulative perpetual preferred shares, with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (the “Senior Preferred Shares”). The Senior Preferred Shares constitute Tier 1 capital and rank senior to Park’s common shares. The Senior Preferred Shares pay cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per annum through February 14, 2014 and will reset to a rate of 9% per annum thereafter. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, Park recognized a charge to retained earnings of \$1.5 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, representing the preferred stock dividend and accretion of the discount on the preferred stock, associated with Park’s participation in the CPP.

As part of its participation in the CPP, Park also issued a warrant to the U.S. Treasury to purchase 227,376 common shares, which is equal to 15% of the aggregate amount of the Senior Preferred Shares purchased by the U.S. Treasury, having an exercise price of \$65.97. The initial exercise price for the warrant and the market price for determining the number of common shares subject to the warrant were determined by reference to the market price of the common shares on the date the Company’s application for participation in the CPP was approved by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (calculated on a 20-day trailing average). The warrant has a term of 10 years.

A company that participates in the CPP must adopt certain standards for compensation and corporate governance, established under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the “ARRA”), which amended and replaced the executive compensation provisions of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”) in their entirety, and the Interim Final Rule promulgated by the Secretary of the U.S. Treasury under 31 C.F.R. Part 30 (collectively, the “Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Compensation Standards”). In addition, Park’s ability to declare or pay dividends on or repurchase its common shares is partially restricted as a result of its participation in the CPP.

## Note 17 – Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) components and related taxes are shown in the following table for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

Nine months ended September 30, (in thousands)	Before-tax amount	Tax expense (benefit)	Net-of-tax amount
<b>2011:</b>			
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$26,451	\$ 9,257	\$17,194
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income	(25,462 )	(8,912 )	(16,550 )
Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge	535	187	348
Other comprehensive income	\$1,524	\$ 532	\$992
<b>2010:</b>			
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$7,158	\$ 2,506	\$4,652
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income	(11,819 )	(4,137 )	(7,682 )
Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge	(426 )	(149 )	(277 )
Other comprehensive loss	\$(5,087 )	\$(1,780 )	\$(3,307 )
<b>Three months ended September 30, (in thousands)</b>			
<b>2011:</b>			
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$17,532	\$ 6,136	\$11,396
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income	(3,465 )	(1,213 )	(2,252 )
Unrealized net holding gain on cash flow hedge	238	83	155
Other comprehensive income	\$14,305	\$ 5,006	\$9,299
<b>2010:</b>			
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities	\$(5,321 )	\$(1,862 )	\$(3,459 )
Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge	(102 )	(36 )	(66 )
Other comprehensive loss	\$(5,423 )	\$(1,898 )	\$(3,525 )

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, total comprehensive income was \$29.7 million and \$72.5 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, total comprehensive income was \$16.1 million and \$58.2 million, respectively.

The ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was as follows:

(in thousands)	Before-tax amount	Tax expense (benefit)	Net-of-tax amount
<b>September 30, 2011:</b>			
Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations	\$ (24,503 )	\$ (8,576 )	\$ (15,927 )
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	24,253	8,488	15,765
Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge	(1,099 )	(385 )	(714 )
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive loss</b>	<b>\$ (1,349 )</b>	<b>\$ (473 )</b>	<b>\$ (876 )</b>
<b>December 31, 2010:</b>			
Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations	\$ (24,503 )	\$ (8,576 )	\$ (15,927 )
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	23,264	8,143	15,121
Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge	(1,634 )	(572 )	(1,062 )
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive loss</b>	<b>\$ (2,873 )</b>	<b>\$ (1,005 )</b>	<b>\$ (1,868 )</b>
<b>September 30, 2010:</b>			
Changes in pension plan assets and benefit obligations	\$ (20,769 )	\$ (7,269 )	\$ (13,500 )
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	41,685	14,590	27,095
Unrealized net holding loss on cash flow hedge	(1,909 )	(668 )	(1,241 )
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 19,007</b>	<b>\$ 6,653</b>	<b>\$ 12,354</b>

#### Note 18 — Sale of Common Shares and Issuance of Common Stock Warrants

No additional shares of common stock were issued during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Outstanding as of September 30, 2011 were 35,992 Series B Common Share Warrants which were issued as part of the registered direct public offering completed on December 10, 2010. The Series B Common Share Warrants have an exercise price of \$76.41 and an expiration date of December 10, 2011. The 35,992 Series A Common Share Warrants issued in December 2010 were not exercised and expired on June 10, 2011.

#### **19 – Sale of Vision Bank**

On February 16, 2012, Park and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Vision Bank, a Florida state-chartered bank, completed its sale of substantially all of the operating assets and liabilities associated with Vision Bank to Home BancShares, Inc. (“Home”) and its wholly-owned Arkansas state-chartered bank, Centennial Bank (“Centennial”), as contemplated by the previously announced Purchase and Assumption Agreement (the “Agreement”) by and between Park, Vision, Home and Centennial, dated as of November 16, 2011.

In accordance with the Agreement, Vision sold approximately \$354 million in performing loans, approximately \$520 million of deposits, fixed assets of approximately \$12.5 million and other miscellaneous assets and liabilities for a purchase price of \$27.9 million.

Immediately following the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement, Vision surrendered its Florida banking charter to the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (the “OFR”) and became a non-bank Florida corporation

(the “Florida Corporation”). This Florida Corporation merged with and into a wholly-owned, non-bank subsidiary of Park, SE Property Holdings, LLC (“SE LLC”), with SE LLC being the surviving entity. Subsequent to the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement, Vision will be left with approximately \$22 million of performing loans and non-performing loans with a fair value of \$88 million (both net of any necessary loan loss allowance that may have existed prior to the transactions). Park recognized a pre-tax gain, net of expenses directly related to the sale, of approximately \$22 million.

## ITEM 2 – MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management’s discussion and analysis (“MD&A”) contains forward-looking statements that are provided to assist in the understanding of anticipated future financial performance. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations or forecasts of future events and are not guarantees of future performance. We have tried, whenever possible, to identify such statements by using words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “forecast,” “project,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” and other expressions in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. The forward-looking statements are based on management’s current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. Risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially include, without limitation: Park’s ability to execute its business plan successfully and within the expected timeframe; deterioration in the asset value of our loan portfolio may be worse than expected due to a number of factors, such as adverse changes in economic conditions that impair the ability of borrowers to repay their loans, the underlying value of the collateral could prove less valuable than assumed and cash flows may be worse than expected; Park’s ability to sell OREO properties at prices as favorable as anticipated; changes in general economic and financial market conditions, and weakening in the economy, specifically the real estate market and credit markets, either nationally or in the states in which Park and its subsidiaries do business, may be worse than expected which could decrease the demand for loan, deposit and other financial services and increase loan delinquencies and defaults; changes in interest rates and prices may adversely impact the value of securities, loans, deposits and other financial instruments and the interest rate sensitivity of our consolidated balance sheet; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits; our liquidity requirements could be adversely affected by changes in our assets and liabilities; competitive factors among financial institutions increase significantly, including product and pricing pressures and Park’s ability to attract, develop and retain qualified bank professionals; the nature, timing and effect of changes in banking regulations or other regulatory or legislative requirements affecting the respective businesses of Park and its subsidiaries, including changes in laws and regulations concerning taxes, accounting, banking, securities and other aspects of the financial services industry, specifically the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010; the effect of fiscal and governmental policies of the United States federal government; demand for loans in the respective market areas served by Park and its subsidiaries; and other risk factors relating to the banking industry as detailed from time to time in Park’s reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) including those described in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of Part I of Park’s 2010 Form 10-K/A, in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of Part II of Park’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1) for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011, in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of Part II of Park’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 2) for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 and in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1). Undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they were originally made. Park does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions that may be made to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the events or circumstances after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made, or reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except to the extent required by law.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in “Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of Part II of Park’s 2010 Form 10-K/A lists significant accounting policies used in the development and presentation of Park’s consolidated financial statements. The accounting and reporting policies of Park conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Park believes the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of judgment and complexity than its other significant accounting policies. The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining a reserve level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management’s determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on periodic evaluations of the loan portfolio and of current economic conditions. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including expected default probabilities, the loss given default, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, and estimated losses on consumer loans and residential mortgage loans based on historical loss experience and current economic conditions. All of these factors may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent that actual results differ from management estimates, additional loan loss provisions may be required that would adversely impact earnings for future periods. (Refer to the “Provision for Loan Losses” section within this MD&A for additional discussion.)

Other real estate owned (“OREO”), property acquired through foreclosure, is recorded at estimated fair value less anticipated selling costs (net realizable value). If the net realizable value is below the carrying value of the loan on the date of transfer, the difference is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent declines in value, OREO devaluations, are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount of OREO and are expensed within other income. Gains or losses not previously recognized, resulting from the sale of OREO, are recognized in other income on the date of sale. At September 30, 2011, OREO totaled \$46.9 million, representing a 12.5% increase compared to 41.7% million at December 31, 2010. The \$5.2 million net increase in OREO during the first nine months of 2011 was a result of \$27.7 million in new OREO offset by sales of \$16.0 million and devaluations of \$6.5 million.

U.S. GAAP requires management to establish a fair value hierarchy, which has the objective of maximizing the use of observable market inputs. U.S. GAAP also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the inputs used to calculate fair value. These are classified as Level 1, 2, and 3. Level 3 inputs are those with significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company’s own assumptions about the market for a particular instrument. Some of these inputs could be based on internal models and cash flow analyses. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of assets based on Level 3 inputs for Park was approximately \$130.5 million. This was 13.9% of the total amount of assets measured at fair value as of the end of the third quarter. The fair value of impaired loans was approximately \$82.8 million (or 63.5%) of the total amount of Level 3 inputs. Additionally, there were \$69.2 million of loans that were impaired and carried at cost, as fair value exceeded book value for each individual credit. The large majority of Park’s Level 2 inputs consist of available-for-sale (“AFS”) securities. The fair value of these AFS securities is obtained largely through the use of matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the financial services industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities’ relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.

Management believes that the accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets also involves a higher degree of judgment than most other significant accounting policies. U.S. GAAP establishes standards for the amortization of acquired intangible assets and the impairment assessment of goodwill. Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible assets in the business acquired. Park's goodwill relates to the value inherent in the banking industry and that value is dependent upon the ability of Park's banking subsidiaries to provide quality, cost-effective banking services in a competitive marketplace. The goodwill value is supported by revenue that is in part driven by the volume of business transacted. A decrease in earnings resulting from a decline in the customer base, the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods or significant credit problems can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods. U.S. GAAP requires an annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Park's most recent evaluation was completed during the second quarter of 2011 and resulted in no impairment of goodwill. The fair value of the goodwill, which resides on the books of Park's subsidiary banks, is estimated by reviewing the past and projected operating results for the Park subsidiary banks, deposit and loan totals for the Park subsidiary banks and banking industry comparable information. At September 30, 2011, on a consolidated basis, Park had core deposit intangibles of \$4.0 million subject to amortization and \$72.3 million of goodwill, which was not subject to periodic amortization. The core deposit intangibles recorded on the balance sheet of Park National Bank (PNB) totaled \$1.0 million and the core deposit intangibles at Vision Bank were \$3.0 million. The goodwill asset of \$72.3 million is carried on the balance sheet of PNB. Please see Note 3 – Goodwill and Intangible Assets of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for additional information on intangible assets.



Comparison of Results of Operations  
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

Summary Discussion of Results

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$20.4 million compared to \$19.6 million for the third quarter of 2010, an increase of \$804,000 or 4.1%. Net income available to common shareholders (which is net of preferred stock dividends and accretion) was \$18.9 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$18.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$792,000 or 4.4%. Preferred stock dividends and the related accretion of the discount on the preferred stock, pertaining to the \$100 million of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury on December 23, 2008, were \$1.46 million for the third quarter of 2011 and \$1.45 million for the same quarter in 2010.

Diluted earnings per common share were \$1.23 for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$1.19 for the third quarter of 2010, an increase of \$0.04 per share or 3.4%. Weighted average common shares outstanding were 15,398,909 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 15,272,720 common shares for the third quarter of 2010, an increase of 126,189 common shares or 0.8%. Park sold a total of 509,184 common shares, issued from treasury shares, during the last three quarters of 2010. Most of the sales of common shares (437,200) resulted from the exercise of Series A and Series B Common Share Warrants issued in connection with the registered direct public offering which closed on October 30, 2009. In addition, Park sold 71,984 common shares, issued from treasury shares, in connection with a registered direct public offering which closed on December 10, 2010.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$71.5 million compared to \$61.5 million for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$10.0 million or 16.3%. Net income available to common shareholders was \$67.1 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$57.2 million for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$9.9 million or 17.3%. Preferred stock dividends and the related accretion of the discount on the preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury totaled \$4.4 million for the first nine months of 2011 and 2010.

Diluted earnings per common share were \$4.36 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$3.79 for the same period in 2010, an increase of \$0.57 per share or 15.0%. Weighted average common shares outstanding were 15,398,919 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 15,090,113 common shares for the nine months ended 2010, an increase of 308,806 common shares or 2.0%.

The following tables compare the components of net income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 with the components of net income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010. This information is provided for Park, Vision Bank and Park excluding Vision Bank ("Park's Ohio-based operations"). In general, for the first nine months of 2011, the operating results for Park's Ohio-based operations were a little stronger than management projected, but the results for Vision Bank were weaker than anticipated.

Park – Summary Income Statement

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2011 (Restated)	2010	% Change	2011 (Restated)	2010	% Change
(in thousands)						
Net interest income	\$67,620	\$69,445	-2.63 %	\$206,955	\$205,546	0.69 %
Provision for loan losses	16,438	14,654	-12.17 %	43,054	44,454	-3.15 %
Total other income	18,027	17,530	2.84 %	48,195	50,887	-5.29 %
Gain on sale of securities	3,465	-	N.M.	25,462	11,819	115.43 %
Total other expense	45,599	45,696	-0.21 %	138,952	140,587	-1.16 %

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Income before taxes	\$27,075	\$26,625	1.69	%	\$98,606	\$83,211	18.50	%
Income taxes	6,694	7,048	-5.02	%	27,076	21,689	24.84	%
Net income	\$20,381	\$19,577	4.11	%	\$71,530	\$61,522	16.27	%

- 44 -

---

The following table compares the guidance for 2011 that management provided in Park's 2010 Annual Report with the actual results for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. This guidance was included in Park's 2010 Annual Report in the "Financial Review" section on pages 38 through 40.

(in thousands)	Projected results for		Actual results for the first nine months of 2011 (Restated)
	2011	75% of annual projection	
Net interest income	\$268,000 to \$278,000	\$ 201,000 - \$208,500	\$ 206,955
Provision for loan losses	\$47,000 to \$57,000	\$ 35,250 - \$42,750	\$ 43,054
Total other income	\$63,000 to \$67,000	\$ 47,250 - \$50,250	\$ 48,195
Total other expense	\$183,000 to \$187,000	\$ 137,250- \$140,250	\$ 138,952

Park's management believes that the guidance previously provided for net interest income and total other expense continues to be a good estimate for 2011.

Gains from the sale of investment securities were \$3.5 million for the third quarter of 2011 and \$25.5 million for the first nine months of 2011. By comparison, there were no gains or losses from the sale of investment securities in the third quarter of 2010 and gains were \$11.8 million for the first three quarters of 2010.

All of the investment securities sold in 2011 have been U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities. Management does not currently plan on selling additional investment securities in the fourth quarter of 2011. However, the sale of additional securities for a gain in 2011 is possible. At September 30, 2011, Park had approximately \$22.1 million of unrealized gains in mortgage-backed securities, which are classified as available for sale.

The following table provides a summary income statement for Vision Bank.

(in thousands)	Vision Bank – Summary Statement of Operations Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011 (Restated)	2010	% Change (Restated)	2011 (Restated)	2010	% Change (Restated)	
Net interest income	\$6,493	\$7,174	-9.49	% \$20,248	\$20,979	-3.48	%
Provision for loan losses	6,913	7,529	-8.18	% 22,529	27,729	-18.75	%
Total other income (loss)	2,014	(139 )	N.M.	524	(744 )	N.M.	
Gain on sale of securities	—	—	N.M.	1,828	—	N.M.	
Total other expense	7,267	7,726	-5.94	% 22,866	23,817	-3.99	%
Loss before taxes	\$(5,673)	\$(8,220)	30.99	% \$(22,795)	\$(31,311)	27.20	%
Income tax credits	(2,008)	(2,904)	30.85	% (8,065 )	(11,783)	31.55	%
Net loss	\$(3,665)	\$(5,316)	31.06	% \$(14,730)	\$(19,528)	24.57	%

N.M. – Not Meaningful

Refer to the “Vision Bank Results and Projection” section of MD&A for discussion of the material steps taken by management to remediate the operating results of Vision Bank.

The following table provides a summary income statement for Park excluding Vision Bank.

(in thousands)	Park Excluding Vision Bank – Summary Income Statement Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	% Change	
Net interest income	\$61,127	\$62,271	-1.84	% \$186,707	\$184,567	1.16	%
Provision for loan losses	9,525	7,125	33.68	% 20,525	16,725	22.72	%
Total other income	16,013	17,669	-9.37	% 47,671	51,631	-7.67	%
Gain on sale of securities	3,465	—	N.M.	23,634	11,819	99.97	%
Total other expense	38,332	37,970	0.95	% 116,086	116,770	-0.59	%
Income before taxes	\$32,748	\$34,845	-6.02	% \$121,401	\$114,522	6.01	%
Income taxes	8,702	9,952	-12.56	% 35,141	33,472	4.99	%
Net income	\$24,046	\$24,893	-3.40	% \$86,260	\$81,050	6.43	%

The operating results for Park's Ohio-based banking divisions were better than management's forecast for the first nine months of 2011. However, excluding the after-tax impact of security gains, net income would have been \$70.9 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$73.4 million for the first nine months of 2010.

#### Vision Bank Results and Projection

The table below provides operating results for Vision Bank for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and for the three previous years.

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended		Year Ended	
	September 30, 2011 (Restated)	2010	2009	2008
Net interest income	\$ 20,248	\$27,867	\$25,634	\$27,065
Provision for loan losses	22,529	61,407	44,430	46,963
Total other income (loss)	524	(6,023 )	(2,047 )	3,014
Goodwill impairment	-	-	-	54,986
Gain on sale of securities	1,828	-	-	-
Total other expense	22,866	31,623	28,091	27,149
Loss before taxes	\$ (22,795 )	\$(71,186)	\$(48,934)	\$(99,019)
Income tax credits	(8,065 )	(45,413)	(18,824)	(17,832)
Net loss	\$ (14,730 )	\$(25,773)	\$(30,110)	\$(81,187)

Vision Bank's results in each of the periods shown above were significantly impacted by the percentage of the loan portfolio tied to commercial land and development (CL&D) loans. In June 2007, the CL&D portfolio peaked at \$308.5 million in total loans outstanding, which represented 50.1% of Vision Bank's loan portfolio. By the end of 2007, the CL&D loan portfolio declined to \$295.7 million in total loans outstanding. Originations of CL&D loans declined significantly beginning early in 2008, as management determined that the CL&D loan portfolio would largely become a run-off portfolio. This is evidenced by the decline in total CL&D loans in the table below.

Vision Bank CL&D Loan Portfolio				
(in thousands) - end of each respective period	September 30, 2011 (Restated)	Dec. 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
CL&D loans	\$ 102,271	\$171,334	\$218,263	\$251,443
Performing CL&D loans	60,240	84,843	132,380	191,712
Impaired CL&D loans	\$ 42,031	\$86,491	\$85,883	\$59,731
Specific reserve on impaired CL&D loans	\$ 15,133	\$39,887	\$21,802	\$3,134
Cumulative charge-offs on impaired CL&D loans	51,615	28,652	24,931	18,839
Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs	\$ 66,748	\$68,539	\$46,733	\$21,973
Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs as a percentage of impaired CL&D loans plus cumulative charge-offs	71.3	% 59.5	% 42.2	% 28.0

Vision Bank's operating results have also been heavily impacted by devaluations of OREO, which are recorded within other income. During the first quarter of 2011, Park formed a limited liability company, SE Property Holdings, LLC ("SE Property Holdings"), as a direct subsidiary of Park. The purpose of SE Property Holdings is to purchase OREO from Vision Bank and continue to market such property for sale. As of September 30, 2011, approximately \$35 million of OREO was held by SE Property Holdings, which had been purchased from Vision Bank (at the then current fair market value) over the course of the 2011 year. The purchase of OREO by SE Property Holdings will significantly improve Vision Bank's other income in future periods. The table below provides OREO devaluation information through the first nine months of 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and also provides other income levels (excluding security gains) absent OREO devaluations over the same period.

(dollars in thousands)	Nine months		Year ended December 31,			
	ended	Sept. 30, 2011 (Restated)	2010	2009	2008	
OREO devaluations	\$	3,025	\$11,359	\$6,139	\$2,663	
Other income absent OREO devaluations		3,549	5,336	4,092	5,440	
Other income absent OREO devaluations as a % of average assets (annualized for 2011)		0.62	% 0.62	% 0.45	% 0.60	%

Park management took significant steps during 2009 and 2010, as well as through the first nine months of 2011, to support Vision Bank and improve future operating results, including:

- In the first half of 2008, Park and Vision Bank decided to largely discontinue the origination of CL&D loans within the Vision Bank footprint. This has resulted in a decline in CL&D loans as a percentage of the total loan portfolio from 50.1% at June 30, 2007 to 18.6% at September 30, 2011. Management expects this decline will continue, as this portfolio continues to pay down in future periods.
- During 2009, Park determined it was necessary to lower the lending authority of Vision Bank personnel. The origination or renewal of any loan exceeding the individual loan officer's new lending authority required, and continues to require, Park approval. Loans originated subsequent to January 1, 2009 have defaulted at a much lower rate than those originated prior to this date.
- In April 2009, Park engaged a third-party contractor to assist in the resolution of nonperforming loans at Vision Bank. This third-party contractor has helped maximize the value of the nonperforming loans at Vision Bank. We expect to continue utilizing this third-party contractor through 2011 and thereafter, until such point in time that Vision Bank's impaired loan portfolio shows sustained and substantive improvement. Management expects to see an increase in recoveries of previously charged-off loans as our third party collection efforts continue.
- During 2010, Park asked three additional Park-Ohio associates to move to Vision Bank to address the many challenges we face there. One additional Park-Ohio associate had moved to Vision Bank shortly after Park's acquisition in 2007. All four were officers previously served in our Ohio affiliates and we were especially pleased with the individual response each made when presented with the opportunity to transfer to Vision Bank to assist. One of these individuals serves as the chief lending officer for Vision Bank and another serves as the senior lender of the Florida market. A significant focus of these four individuals in the 2010 year was the identification and administration of problem loans, which resulted in an increase in new nonaccruals in both the second and fourth quarters of 2010.
- During the first quarter of 2011, Park formed SE Property Holdings to purchase OREO from Vision Bank. As of September 30, 2011, approximately \$35 million of OREO was held by SE Property Holdings, which had been purchased from Vision Bank (at the then current fair market value) over the course of the 2011 year. The remaining OREO held by Vision Bank as of September 30, 2011, of \$769,000, was purchased by SE Property Holdings (at the then current fair market value) during the fourth quarter of 2011.
- Updated appraisals have been obtained on almost all nonperforming loans and OREO properties in the first nine months of 2011. Through the first nine months of 2011, sales of OREO held at Vision Bank and SE Property Holdings have resulted in proceeds of \$9.7 million for assets with a book value prior to sale of \$9.3 million, therefore resulting in a small gain of \$0.4 million. Management considers this to be an indication that real estate prices within Vision Bank's footprint may be stabilizing. As such, provision expense pertaining to the write-down or reserving for nonaccrual loans is expected to dramatically decrease as management does not believe that new appraisals in 2012 will indicate that collateral values have continued to significantly decline.

Through September 30, 2011, Vision Bank's balance sheet has shown signs of improvement as a result of the steps noted above. The table below highlights the level of nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets at Vision Bank as of September 30, 2011 and for the three previous years.





	September 30, 2011	Year ended December 31, <b>2010</b>	2009	2008
<b>Nonperforming Assets - Vision Bank:</b>				
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 106,000	\$ 171,453	\$ 148,347	\$ 91,206
Accruing renegotiated loans	1,743	-	-	2,845
Loans past due 90 days or more	-	364	11,277	644
<b>Total nonperforming loans</b>	<b>\$ 107,743</b>	<b>\$ 171,817</b>	<b>\$ 159,624</b>	<b>\$ 94,695</b>
Other real estate owned	769	33,324	35,203	19,699
<b>Total nonperforming assets</b>	<b>\$ 108,512</b>	<b>\$ 205,141</b>	<b>\$ 194,827</b>	<b>\$ 114,394</b>
% of nonperforming loans to period end loans	19.61	% 26.82	% 23.58	% 13.71
% of nonperforming assets to period end loans	19.75	% 32.02	% 28.78	% 16.57
% of nonperforming assets to period end assets	15.09	% 25.90	% 21.70	% 12.47

Additionally, the table below provides information regarding new nonaccrual loans for Vision Bank through September 30, 2011, compared to the level of new nonaccrual loans over the three previous years.

(in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010	2009	2008
Nonaccrual loans, beginning of period	\$ 171,453	\$ 148,347	\$ 91,206	\$ 63,015
New nonaccrual loans - Vision Bank	14,517	90,094	126,540	83,588
Resolved nonaccrual loans (1)	79,970	66,988	69,399	55,397
Nonaccrual loans, end of period	\$ 106,000	\$ 171,453	\$ 148,347	\$ 91,206

(1) Consists of paydowns, charge-offs, transfers to accrual status and transfers to OREO.

Management is encouraged by the improvement in the nonperforming asset levels at Vision Bank in 2011, which occurred largely as a result of the steps taken by management to improve Vision's operating results. The substantial decline in new nonaccrual loans through the first nine months of 2011 is a substantial decline from the level of new nonaccrual loans in 2008, 2009 and 2010. Management is committed to continued improvement in nonperforming assets in the fourth quarter of 2011 and expects to see this trend continue in 2012.

The table below provides management's projected results for Vision Bank for the fourth quarter of 2011 and the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2012.

Vision Bank - Projected Operating Results			
	Q4 2011	2011	2012
Net interest income	\$ 6,193	\$ 26,441	\$ 23,866
Provision for loan losses	6,000	41,400	13,000
Total other income (loss)	737	(3,600 )	4,662
Gain on sale of securities	-	1,828	-
Total other expense	7,558	30,424	26,416
Loss before taxes	\$ (6,628 )	\$ (47,155 )	\$ (10,888 )
Income tax credits	(2,320 )	(16,591 )	(3,811 )
Net loss	\$ (4,308 )	\$ (30,564 )	\$ (7,077 )

Market conditions in Vision Bank's footprint continue to improve following the recession that began in December 2007 and the April 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. For example, Gulf Shores and Orange Beach tourism reported lodging revenue through the first six months of 2011 was 12.2% higher than it had been during the same period in 2007. Continued improvements in overall market conditions along with the steps previously taken by management, are expected to improve Vision Bank's operating results in future periods.

The improvements projected for Vision Bank in 2012 include a significant decline in the provision for loan losses, which remains high at 2.56% of anticipated average loan levels compared to a normalized level below 1.0%, and a normalized level of other income, at approximately 68 bps of average assets. For Vision Bank to return to profitability, management expects that the provision for loan losses will need to decline to a level below 1.0% of average loans outstanding and total other expense will need to decline to approximately 3.25% of average assets. Total other expense is currently projected to be approximately 3.9% of anticipated average assets in 2012, which includes an estimated \$3.5 million of legal expense as Vision Bank continues to pursue guarantors who have the capacity to support problem loans. Management believes a normalized level of legal expense for Vision Bank is approximately \$500,000, which would bring total other expense much closer to 3.25% of average assets.

#### Net Interest Income Comparison for the Third Quarter of 2011 and 2010

Park's principal source of earnings is net interest income, the difference between total interest income and total interest expense. Net interest income results from average balances outstanding for interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities in conjunction with the average rates earned and paid on them. Net interest income decreased by \$1.8 million or 2.6% to \$67.6 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$69.4 million for the third quarter of 2010.

The following table compares the average balance and tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the average balance and cost of interest bearing liabilities for the third quarter of 2011 with the same quarter in 2010.

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	
	Average balance	Tax equivalent %	Average balance	Tax equivalent %
Loans (1)	\$ 4,692,013	5.59 %	\$ 4,651,739	5.76 %
Taxable investments	1,812,012	3.57 %	1,748,629	4.39 %
Tax exempt investments	6,293	6.79 %	16,650	7.11 %
Money market instruments	100,635	0.24 %	67,923	0.20 %
Interest earning assets	\$ 6,610,953	4.95 %	\$ 6,484,941	5.34 %
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 4,191,312	0.63 %	\$ 4,283,049	0.91 %
Short-term borrowings	253,700	0.28 %	287,172	0.37 %
Long-term debt	898,789	3.37 %	727,262	3.91 %
Interest bearing liabilities	\$ 5,343,801	1.07 %	\$ 5,297,483	1.29 %
Excess interest earning assets	\$ 1,267,152		\$ 1,187,458	
Net interest spread		3.88 %		4.05 %
Net interest margin		4.09 %		4.28 %

(1) For purposes of the computation, nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance.

Average interest earning assets for the third quarter of 2011 increased by \$126 million or 1.9% to \$6,611 million compared to \$6,485 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average yield on interest earning assets decreased by 39 basis points to 4.95% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 5.34% for the third quarter of 2010.

Average interest bearing liabilities for the third quarter of 2011 increased by \$47 million or 0.9% to \$5,344 million compared to \$5,297 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased by 22 basis points to 1.07% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 1.29% for the third quarter of 2010.

#### Interest Rates

Short-term interest rates continue to be extremely low. The average federal funds rate was .10% for the first three quarters of 2011, compared to .17% for the first nine months of 2010.

In December 2008, the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") of the Federal Reserve lowered the targeted federal funds rate to a range of 0% to .25% in response to a severe recession in the U.S. economy. Economic conditions began to improve in the second half of 2009 and continued to improve modestly throughout 2010. The modest economic recovery has continued during the first nine months of 2011, but the U.S. unemployment rate continues to be relatively high at 9.1% as of September 30, 2011.

Park's management expects that the FOMC will continue to maintain the targeted federal funds interest rate in the range of 0% to .25% during the last quarter of 2011. The annual average federal funds rate was .16% for 2009 and .18% for 2010.

#### Discussion of Loans, Investments, Deposits and Borrowings

Average loan balances increased by \$40 million or 0.9% to \$4,692 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$4,652 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average yield on the loan portfolio decreased by 17 basis points to 5.59% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 5.76% for the third quarter of 2010.

Total loan balances outstanding at September 30, 2011 were \$4,681 million compared to \$4,733 million at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$52 million or 1.1%. This decrease in loan balances in 2011 was due to a decrease in loan balances at Vision Bank. Total loan balances at Vision Bank decreased by approximately \$92 million to \$549 million at September 30, 2011. Approximately \$65 million of this decrease in loan balances at Vision Bank was due to a reduction in nonaccrual loans, as these loans were charged off or collected. Management expects modest loan growth during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The average balance of taxable investment securities increased by \$63 million or 3.6% to \$1,812 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$1,749 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average yield on taxable investment securities was 3.57% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 4.39% for the third quarter of 2010.

The average balance of tax exempt investment securities decreased by \$10.4 million or 62.3% to \$6.3 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$16.7 million for the third quarter of 2010. The tax equivalent yield on tax exempt investment securities was 6.79% for the third quarter of 2011 and 7.11% for the third quarter of 2010. Park has not purchased any tax exempt investment securities for the past several quarters and does not plan to purchase tax exempt securities in the last quarter of 2011.

The average balance of money market instruments increased by \$32.7 million or 48.2% to \$100.6 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$67.9 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average yield on money market instruments was 0.24% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 0.20% for the third quarter of 2010.



The amortized cost of total investment securities was \$1,684 million at September 30, 2011, compared to \$2,017 million at December 31, 2010. At September 30, 2011, the tax equivalent yield on Park's investment portfolio was 3.68% and the remaining average life was 1.7 years.

Average interest bearing deposit accounts decreased by \$92 million or 2.1% to \$4,191 million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to \$4,283 million for the third quarter of 2010. The average interest rate paid on interest bearing deposits decreased by 28 basis points to 0.63% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 0.91% for the third quarter last year.

Average total borrowings were \$1,152 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$1,014 million for the third quarter of 2010, an increase of \$138 million or 13.6%. The average interest rate paid on total borrowings was 2.69% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 2.91% for the third quarter of 2010.

The net interest spread (the difference between the tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the cost of interest bearing liabilities) decreased by 17 basis points to 3.88% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 4.05% for the third quarter last year. The net interest margin (the annualized tax equivalent net interest income divided by average interest earning assets) was 4.09% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to 4.28% for the third quarter of 2010.

## Net Interest Income Comparison for the First Nine Months of 2011 and 2010

Net interest income increased by \$1.5 million or 0.7% to \$207.0 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$205.5 million for the same period in 2010. The following table compares the average balance and the annualized tax equivalent yield on interest earning assets and the average balance and cost of interest bearing liabilities for the first nine months of 2011 with the first nine months of 2010.

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		2010	
	Average balance	Tax equivalent %	Average balance	Tax equivalent %
Loans (1)	\$ 4,726,074	5.61 %	\$ 4,624,692	5.82 %
Taxable investments	1,907,719	3.81 %	1,755,472	4.58 %
Tax exempt investments	8,882	7.24 %	17,489	7.28 %
Money market instruments	49,877	0.20 %	95,917	0.22 %
Interest earning assets	\$ 6,692,552	5.06 %	\$ 6,493,570	5.41 %
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 4,245,949	0.68 %	\$ 4,312,565	1.03 %
Short-term borrowings	311,281	0.28 %	292,305	0.42 %
Long-term debt	876,228	3.44 %	728,724	3.92 %
Interest bearing liabilities	\$ 5,433,458	1.10 %	\$ 5,333,594	1.39 %
Excess interest earning assets	\$ 1,259,094		\$ 1,159,976	
Net interest spread		3.96 %		4.02 %
Net interest margin		4.16 %		4.26 %

(1) For purposes of the computation, nonaccrual loans are included in the average balance.

Average interest earning assets increased by \$199 million or 3.1% to \$6,693 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$6,494 million for the same period in 2010. The average yield on interest earning assets was 5.06% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 5.41% for the same period in 2010.

Average loans increased by \$101 million or 2.2% to \$4,726 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$4,625 million for the same period in 2010. The average yield on loans was 5.61% for the first nine months of 2011 compared to 5.82% for the same period in 2010.

Average investment securities, including money market instruments, were \$1,966 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$1,869 million for the same period in 2010. The average yield on taxable investment securities was 3.81% for the first nine months of 2011 and 4.58% for the same period in 2010 and the average tax equivalent yield on tax exempt securities was 7.24% in 2011 and 7.28% in 2010.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased by \$99 million or 1.9% to \$5,433 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$5,334 million for the same period in 2010. The average cost of interest bearing liabilities was 1.10% for the first nine months of 2011 compared to 1.39% for the same period in 2010.

Average interest bearing deposits decreased by \$67 million or 1.6% to \$4,246 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$4,313 million for the same period in 2010. The average interest rate paid on interest bearing deposit accounts was .68% for the first nine months of 2011 compared to 1.03% for the same period in 2010.

Average total borrowings were \$1,188 million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to \$1,021 million for the same period in 2010. The average interest rate paid on total borrowings was 2.61% for the first nine months of 2011

compared to 2.91% for the same period in 2010.

The net interest spread was 3.96% for the first nine months of 2011 compared to 4.02% for the same period in 2010. The net interest margin decreased by 10 basis points to 4.16% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 4.26% for the first nine months of 2010.

- 54 -

---

## Guidance on Net Interest Income for 2011

Management provided guidance in Park's 2010 Annual Report (page 38) that net interest income for 2011 would be approximately \$268 million to \$278 million, the tax equivalent net interest margin would be approximately 4.10% to 4.20% and the average interest earning assets for 2011 would be approximately \$6,550 million.

The actual results for the first nine months of 2011 were slightly above management's guidance. Net interest income for the first nine months of 2011 was \$207.0 million, which annualized would be approximately \$276.8 million for 2011. The tax equivalent net interest margin was 4.16% and average interest earning assets were \$6,693 million for the first nine months of 2011.

The following table displays for the past five quarters the average balance of interest earning assets, net interest income and the tax equivalent net interest margin.

(in thousands)	Average interest earning assets	Net interest income	Tax equivalent net interest margin
September 2010	\$ 6,484,941	\$ 69,445	4.28 %
December 2010	\$ 6,447,046	\$ 68,498	4.25 %
March 2011	\$ 6,722,136	\$ 69,313	4.21 %
June 2011	\$ 6,745,790	\$ 70,022	4.19 %
September 2011	\$ 6,610,953	\$ 67,620	4.09 %

Management's current forecast projects that net interest income for the fourth quarter will be approximately \$65 million and approximately \$272 million for all of 2011. Management also expects that average interest earning assets will be approximately \$6,400 million for the last quarter of 2011 and that tax equivalent net interest margin will be about 4.05%.

## Mix of Average Interest Earning Assets and Yield on Average Interest Earning Assets

The following table shows the mix of average interest earning assets for the first three quarters of 2011 and for the years of 2010 and 2009.

(\$ in thousands)	Money Market			
	Loans	Investments	Instruments	Total
2009 - year	\$ 4,594,436	\$ 1,877,303	\$ 52,658	\$ 6,524,397
Percentage	70.42 %	28.77 %	.81 %	100.00 %
2010 - year	\$ 4,642,478	\$ 1,746,356	\$ 93,009	\$ 6,481,843
Percentage	71.62 %	26.94 %	1.44 %	100.00 %
March 2011 - quarter	\$ 4,743,075	\$ 1,952,113	\$ 26,948	\$ 6,722,136
Percentage	70.56 %	29.04 %	.40 %	100.00 %
June 2011 - quarter	\$ 4,743,696	\$ 1,980,855	\$ 21,239	\$ 6,745,790
Percentage	70.32 %	29.36 %	.32 %	100.00 %
Sept. 2011 - quarter	\$ 4,692,013	\$ 1,818,305	\$ 100,635	\$ 6,610,953
Percentage	70.97 %	27.51 %	1.52 %	100.00 %

A primary financial goal for Park is to increase the amount of quality loans on its balance sheet. Management emphasizes the importance of growing quality loans on an ongoing basis to its retail and commercial lenders. The average balance of loans for the first nine months of 2011 was \$4,726 million, compared to \$4,642 million for all of 2010.





Management actively manages the investment portfolio. The average balance of investment securities may increase as a result of attractive investment opportunities. Likewise, the average balance of investment securities may decrease if management sells investment securities or chooses not to reinvest the cash flow from maturities or investment repayments.

The following table shows the yield on average interest earning assets for the first three quarters of 2011 and for the years of 2010 and 2009.

	Loans		Investments		Money Market Instruments		Total	
2009	6.03	%	4.94	%	.22	%	5.67	%
2010	5.80	%	4.47	%	.22	%	5.36	%
March 2011	5.63	%	4.00	%	.10	%	5.14	%
June 2011	5.61	%	3.87	%	.15	%	5.08	%
September 2011	5.59	%	3.58	%	.24	%	4.95	%

The loan portfolio for Park provides a higher yield than the yield on investment securities. As stated previously, a primary financial objective is to grow quality loans. Our commercial and retail lenders are actively calling on current and prospective customers in an effort to generate additional loan volume.

Park's net interest income and net interest margin would increase, if Park were able to increase its loan portfolio with quality loans. Park has strong liquidity and would be able to easily fund an increase in its loan portfolio.

#### Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$16.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$14.7 million for the same period in 2010. Net loan charge-offs were \$29.3 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to \$17.9 million for the third quarter of 2010. The annualized ratio of net loan charge-offs to average loans was 2.48% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 1.53% for the same period in 2010.

For the first nine months of 2011, the provision for loan losses increased by \$1.4 million to \$43.1 million, compared to \$44.5 million for the same period in 2010. Net loan charge-offs were \$79.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, or 2.24% of average loans on an annualized basis, compared to \$43.8 million, or 1.27% of average loans on an annualized basis, for the first nine months of 2010.

The following table provides additional information related to Park's allowance for loan losses, including information related to specific reserves and general reserves, at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010.

#### Park National Corporation – Allowance for Loan & Lease Losses (ALLL)

	September 30,		September 30,
(in thousands)	2011	December 31,	2010
	(Restated)	2010	2010
Total ALLL	\$ 107,310	\$ 143,575	\$ 117,405
Less Ohio specific reserves			
Ohio impaired loans	7,496	5,475	4,582
Vision Bank participations	7,396	7,501	4,843
Less specific reserves at Vision Bank	25,445	53,928	25,868
General reserves	\$ 66,973	\$ 76,671	\$ 82,112

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Total loans	\$ 4,680,575	\$ 4,732,685	\$ 4,656,902
Less impaired commercial loans	192,363	250,933	206,155
Non-impaired loans	\$ 4,488,212	\$ 4,481,752	\$ 4,450,747
Total ALLL to total loan ratio	2.29	% 3.03	% 2.52
General reserves as a % of non-impaired loans	1.49	% 1.71	% 1.84

- 56 -

---

As we previously disclosed in a Form 8-K dated July 25, 2011 announcing earnings for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011, as a result of the passage of time and more clarity on the characteristics of many of the impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, during the second quarter of 2011, management determined that it was appropriate to charge-off many of the specific reserves previously established on impaired commercial loans. As of September 30, 2011, the specific reserve balance of \$40.3 million is consistent with the balance as of June 30, 2011. However, the general reserves have declined by approximately \$10 million from levels at June 30, 2011 and periods previous. This is reflective of sustained declines in both new nonaccrual loans (see table below) and CL&D loans at Vision Bank.

During the first nine months of 2011, new nonaccrual loans for Park were approximately \$65.0 million, compared to \$95.2 million for the same period in 2010. For all of 2010, new nonaccrual loans were approximately \$175.2 million. Management expects new nonaccrual loans will continue to be well below levels experienced in 2009 and 2010. The following table shows new nonaccrual loans for the first three quarters of 2011 and the two previous years.

New nonaccrual loan information (in thousands):	Sept. 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	2010	2009
Nonaccrual loans, beginning of period	\$238,690	\$278,819	\$289,268	\$233,544	\$159,512
New nonaccrual loans - Ohio-based operations	19,354	22,439	8,674	85,081	57,641
New nonaccrual loans - Vision Bank	5,543	2,980	5,994	90,094	126,540
Resolved nonaccrual loans	49,221	65,548	25,117	119,451	110,149
Nonaccrual loans, end of period	\$214,366	\$238,690	\$278,819	\$289,268	\$233,544

The loan loss provision for Vision Bank was \$6.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$7.5 million for the same quarter in 2010. Vision Bank had net loan charge-offs of \$12.7 million, or an annualized 8.99% of average loans for the third quarter of 2011, compared to net loan charge-offs of \$11.6 million, or 6.89% of average loans for the same period in 2010.

Park's Ohio-based operations had a provision for loan losses of \$9.5 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to \$7.1 million for the third quarter of 2010. Net loan charge-offs for Park's Ohio-based operations were \$16.6 million, or an annualized 1.59% of average loans for the third quarter of 2011, compared to \$6.3 million, or an annualized 0.63% of average loans for the third quarter of 2010.

The following table compares Park's nonperforming assets at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010.

## Park National Corporation - Nonperforming Assets

(in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31,		September 30, 2010	
		2010			
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 214,366	\$ 289,268		\$ 237,194	
Renegotiated loans on accrual status	15,448	-		-	
Loans past due 90 days or more	2,162	3,590		10,700	
Total nonperforming loans	\$ 231,976	\$ 292,858		\$ 247,894	
Other Real Estate Owned – Park National Bank	11,815	8,385		9,658	
Other Real Estate Owned – SE Property Holdings	34,327	-		-	
Other Real Estate Owned – Vision Bank	769	33,324		43,179	
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 278,887	\$ 334,567		\$ 300,731	
Percentage of nonperforming loans to total loans	4.96	% 6.19	%	5.32	%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total loans	5.96	% 7.07	%	6.46	%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets	3.93	% 4.59	%	4.24	%

Prior to Park's adoption of ASU 2011-02, Park classified all troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) as nonaccrual loans. With the adoption of ASU 2011-02, management determined it was appropriate to return certain TDRs to accrual status. Specifically, if the restructured note has been current for a period of at least six months, and management expects the borrower will remain current throughout the renegotiated contract, the loan may be returned to accrual status. At September 30, 2011, management deemed it appropriate to return \$15.4 million TDRs to accrual status, while the remaining \$82.1 million of TDRs are on nonaccrual status.

During the first quarter of 2011, Park formed a limited liability company under the laws of the state of Ohio, called SE Property Holdings, as a direct subsidiary of Park. The purpose of SE Property Holdings is to purchase OREO from Vision Bank and continue to market such property for sale. As of September 30, 2011, approximately \$34.3 million of OREO was held by SE Property Holdings, purchased from Vision Bank (at the then current fair market value) during 2011. The remaining \$769,000 of OREO held by Vision Bank as of September 30, 2011 will be purchased by SE Property Holdings (at the then current fair market value) during the fourth quarter of 2011. Management plans to continue marketing the properties held by SE Property Holdings and sell such properties in an efficient manner.

Vision Bank's nonperforming assets at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, were as follows:

## Vision Bank - Nonperforming Assets

(in thousands)	September 30,	December 31,	September 30,
	2011	2010	2010
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 106,000	\$ 171,453	\$ 132,806
Renegotiated loans on accrual status	1,743	-	-
Loans past due 90 days or more	-	364	5,962
Total nonperforming loans	\$ 107,743	\$ 171,817	\$ 138,768
Other Real Estate Owned	769	33,324	43,179
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 108,512	\$ 205,141	\$ 181,947

Edgar Filing: PARK NATIONAL CORP /OH/ - Form 10-Q/A

Percentage of nonperforming loans to total loans	19.61	%	26.82	%	21.27	%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total loans	19.75	%	32.02	%	27.89	%
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets	15.09	%	25.90	%	21.71	%

- 58 -

---

Nonperforming assets for Park, excluding Vision Bank, at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, are included in the following table:

Park, excluding Vision Bank - Nonperforming Assets						
(in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010			
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 108,366	\$ 117,815	\$ 104,388			
Renegotiated loans	13,705	-	-			
Loans past due 90 days or more	2,162	3,226	4,738			
Total nonperforming loans	\$ 124,233	\$ 121,041	\$ 109,126			
Other Real Estate Owned – Park National Bank	11,815	8,385	9,658			
Other Real Estate Owned – SE Property Holdings	34,327	-	-			
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 170,375	\$ 129,426	\$ 118,784			
Percentage of nonperforming loans to total loans	3.01	% 2.96	% 2.73			
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total loans	4.12	% 3.16	% 2.97			
Percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets	2.67	% 1.99	% 1.90			

Park's allowance for loan losses includes an allocation for loans specifically identified as impaired under U.S. GAAP. At September 30, 2011, loans considered to be impaired consisted substantially of commercial loans graded as "doubtful" and placed on nonaccrual status.

As a result of significant losses within Vision Bank's CL&D loan portfolio over the past four years, management continues to believe it is necessary to segregate this portion of the portfolio for both impaired credits, as well as those accruing CL&D loans at September 30, 2011. Cumulative charge-offs within Vision Bank's impaired CL&D loan portfolio at September 30, 2011 was \$51.6 million. Additionally, at September 30, 2011, management established a specific reserve of \$12.1 million related to those CL&D loans at Vision Bank that were deemed to be impaired. The aggregate of cumulative prior charge-offs on impaired Vision Bank CL&D loans, along with the specific reserves at September 30, 2011, totaled \$63.8 million. The following table summarizes the CL&D loan portfolio at Vision Bank:

Vision Bank CL&D Loan Portfolio						
(in thousands) - end of each respective period	September 30, 2011 (Restated)	Dec. 31, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008		
CL&D loans	\$ 102,271	\$ 171,334	\$ 218,263	\$ 251,443		
Performing CL&D loans	60,240	84,843	132,380	191,712		
Impaired CL&D loans	\$ 42,031	\$ 86,491	\$ 85,883	\$ 59,731		
Specific reserve on impaired CL&D loans	\$ 15,133	\$ 39,887	\$ 21,802	\$ 3,134		
Cumulative charge-offs on impaired CL&D loans	51,615	28,652	24,931	18,839		
Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs	\$ 66,748	\$ 68,539	\$ 46,733	\$ 21,973		
Specific reserves plus cumulative charge-offs as a percentage of impaired CL&D loans plus cumulative charge-offs	71.3	% 59.5	% 42.2	% 28.0		

When determining the quarterly loan loss provision, Park reviews the grades of commercial loans. These loans are graded from 1 to 8. A grade of 1 indicates little or no credit risk and a grade of 8 is considered a loss. Commercial loans with grades of 1 to 4 (pass-rated) are considered to be of acceptable credit risk. Commercial loans graded a 5 (special mention) are considered to be watch list credits and a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Commercial loans graded 6 (substandard), also considered watch list credits, are considered to represent higher credit risk and, as a result, a higher loan loss reserve percentage is allocated to these loans. Generally, commercial loans that are graded a 6 are considered for partial charge-off. Commercial loans that are graded a 7 (doubtful) are shown as nonperforming and Park generally charges these loans down to their fair value by taking a partial charge-off or recording a specific reserve. Any commercial loan graded an 8 (loss) is completely charged-off.

A significant portion of Park's allowance for loan losses is allocated to commercial loans classified as "special mention" or "substandard." "Special mention" loans are loans that have potential weaknesses that may result in loss exposure to Park. "Substandard" loans are those that exhibit a well defined weakness, jeopardizing repayment of the loan, resulting in a higher probability that Park will suffer a loss on the loan unless the weakness is corrected. As previously discussed, management believes it is appropriate to segregate the Vision Bank CL&D loans from other commercial loans that are still accruing. The Vision CL&D loans that were still accruing at September 30, 2011 totaled \$60.2 million compared to \$84.5 million at December 31, 2010. Park's loss experience, defined as charge-offs plus changes in specific reserves, on CL&D loans for the 48 months ended December 31, 2010 was an annual rate of 10.03%. Management has allocated an allowance for loan losses to the \$60.2 million of accruing CL&D loans based on one year of historical losses to cover probable incurred losses, for a total reserve of \$6.0 million or 10.03%. Further, this allocation of 10.03% to the \$60.2 million of CL&D loans was made regardless of the current loan grade, as this portion of the loan portfolio has experienced significant declines in collateral values, and thus if management determines that borrowers are unable to pay in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement, significant specific reserves have typically been necessary.

The remaining commercial loan portfolio (excluding Vision Bank's CL&D loans) has experienced significantly different loss rates and management believes that using the last 36-month loss experience through the year ended December 31, 2010, defined as charge-offs plus changes in specific reserves, is appropriate. This 36-month loss experience was 1.09% of the principal balance of these loans. Park's management believes it is appropriate to cover approximately 1.4 years worth of probable incurred losses within the other accruing commercial loan portfolio, thus the total reserve for loan losses is \$36.4 million or 1.47% of the outstanding principal balance of other accruing commercial loans at September 30, 2011. The overall reserve of 1.47% for other accruing commercial loans breaks down as follows: pass-rated commercial loans are reserved at 1.04%; special mention commercial loans are reserved at 3.97%; and substandard commercial loans are reserved at 13.80%.

Generally, consumer loans are not individually graded. Consumer loans include: (1) mortgage and installment loans included in the construction real estate segment of the loan portfolio; (2) mortgage, home equity lines of credit (HELOC), and installment loans included in the residential real estate segment of the loan portfolio; and (3) all loans included in the consumer segment of the loan portfolio. The amount of loan loss reserve assigned to these loans is based on historical loss experience over the 36 months ended December 31, 2010, judgmentally increased to cover approximately 1.5 years of probable incurred losses.

The judgmental increases discussed above incorporate management's evaluation of the impact of environmental qualitative factors which pose additional risks and assign a component of the allowance for loan losses in consideration of these factors. Such environmental factors include: national and local economic trends and conditions; experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff; effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures; and levels of and trends in consumer bankruptcies, delinquencies, impaired loans and charge-offs and recoveries. The determination of this component of the allowance for loan losses requires considerable management judgment. As always, management is working to address weaknesses in those loans that may result in future loss.



Actual loss experience may be more or less than the amount allocated.

- 60 -

---

Total other income exclusive of securities gains increased by \$0.5 million or 2.9% to \$18.0 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$17.5 million for the third quarter of 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, total other income decreased by \$2.7 million or 5.3% to \$48.2 million compared to \$50.9 million for the same period in 2010.

The following table is a summary of the changes in the components of total other income.

(in thousands)	Three months ended			Nine months ended		
	2011 Restated	2010	Change Restated	2011 Restated	2010	Change Restated
Income from fiduciary activities	\$3,615	\$3,314	\$ 301	\$11,266	\$10,264	\$ 1,002
Service charges on deposits	4,894	5,026	(132 )	13,664	14,864	(1,200 )
Other service income	3,087	3,909	(822 )	8,122	10,367	(2,245 )
Checkcard fee income	3,154	2,900	254	9,381	8,109	1,272
Bank owned life insurance income	1,229	1,313	(84 )	3,686	3,783	(97 )
ATM fees	726	699	27	2,062	2,296	(234 )
OREO devaluations	(588 )	(1,555 )	967	(6,478 )	(4,619 )	(1,859 )
Other	1,910	1,924	(14 )	6,492	5,823	669
Total other income	\$18,027	\$17,530	\$ 497	\$48,195	\$50,887	\$ (2,692 )

Income from fiduciary activities, which represents revenue earned from Park's trust activities, increased by \$301,000, or 9.1%, to \$3.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.3 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, income from fiduciary activities increased by \$1 million or 9.7% to \$11.3 million compared to \$10.3 million in 2010. Fiduciary fees are generally charged based on the market value of customer accounts. The average market value for assets under management for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.394 million, an increase of approximately 10.6% compared to the average for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 of \$3.069 million.

Service charges on deposits decreased by \$132,000, or 2.6%, to \$4.9 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$5.0 million for the same period in 2010. Through the first nine months of 2011, service charges declined \$1.2 million, or 8.1%, to \$13.7 million, compared to \$14.9 million in 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to a decline in non-sufficient funds ("NSF") and overdraft charges during the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

Fee income earned from origination and sale into the secondary market of long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans is included within other non-yield related fees in the subcategory "Other service income". Other service income decreased by \$822,000, or 21.0%, to \$3.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.9 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, other service income decreased \$2.2 million, or 21.7%, to \$8.1 million, compared to \$10.4 million in 2010. This decline was due to a decline in mortgage originations during 2011 and Park's decision to maintain a majority of the 15-year, fixed-rate mortgages on its balance sheet.

Checkcard fee income, which is generated from debit card transactions, increased \$254,000, or 8.8%, to \$3.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$2.9 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, checkcard fee income increased \$1.3 million, or 15.7%, to \$9.4 million compared to \$8.1 million in 2010. This increase is attributable to continued increases in the volume of debit card transactions. For the first nine months of 2011, the number of Visa debit card transactions have increased by 12.2% compared to the same period in 2010.

OREO devaluations decreased by \$967,000 to \$588,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$1.6 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, OREO devaluations increased \$1.9 million to \$6.5 million compared to \$4.6 million in 2010. The increase was largely due to devaluations of OREO at Vision Bank through the first nine months of 2011.

The following table breaks out the change in total other income between Park's Ohio-based operations and Vision Bank.

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2011			Nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	Ohio-based operations	Vision Bank (Restated)	Total (Restated)	Ohio-based operations	Vision Bank (Restated)	Total (Restated)
Income from fiduciary activities	\$302	\$ (1)	\$ 301	\$997	\$ 5	\$ 1,002
Service charges on deposits	(118)	(14)	(132)	(953)	(247)	(1,200)
Non-yield loan fee income	(851)	29	(822)	(2,335)	90	(2,245)
Checkcard fee income	212	42	254	816	456	1,272
Bank owned life insurance income	(84)	-	(84)	(92)	(5)	(97)
ATM fees	31	(4)	27	48	(282)	(234)
OREO devaluations	(1,285)	2,252	967	(2,967)	1,108	(1,859)
Other	137	(151)	(14)	525	144	669
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$(1,656)</b>	<b>\$ 2,153</b>	<b>\$ 497</b>	<b>\$(3,961)</b>	<b>\$ 1,269</b>	<b>\$ (2,692)</b>

#### Gain on Sale of Securities

Gains from the sale of investment securities were \$3.5 million for the third quarter of 2011 and \$25.5 million for the first nine months of 2011. By comparison, there were no gains or losses from the sale of investment securities in the third quarter of 2010 and gains were \$11.8 million for the first three quarters of 2010.

All of the investment securities sold in 2011 have been U.S. Government sponsored entity mortgage-backed securities. The following table provides a summary of the gains realized from the sale of investment securities in 2011.

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Book Yield	Sales Proceeds	Yield to buyer	Gain
Third Quarter	\$ 212,799	2.60 %	\$ 216,264	2.03 %	\$3,465
Second Quarter	191,037	5.25 %	206,399	1.92 %	15,362
First Quarter	105,444	5.02 %	112,079	2.10 %	6,635
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 509,280</b>	<b>4.10 %</b>	<b>\$ 534,742</b>	<b>2.00 %</b>	<b>\$25,462</b>

## Total Other Expense

The following table is a summary of the changes in the components of total other expense.

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 25,799	\$ 24,500	\$ 1,299	\$ 76,116	\$ 73,684	\$ 2,432
Occupancy expense	2,665	2,840	(175 )	8,429	8,750	(321 )
Furniture and equipment expense	2,688	2,624	64	8,130	7,820	310
Data processing fees	1,184	1,403	(219 )	3,572	4,390	(818 )
Professional fees and services	5,005	4,477	528	15,199	14,632	567
Amortization of intangibles	669	822	(153 )	2,007	2,600	(593 )
Marketing	764	840	(76 )	2,115	2,688	(573 )
Insurance	681	2,316	(1,635 )	5,295	6,847	(1,552 )
Communication	1,475	1,696	(221 )	4,516	5,112	(596 )
State taxes	469	865	(396 )	1,414	2,548	(1,134 )
Other	4,200	3,313	887	12,159	11,516	643
Total other expense	\$ 45,599	\$ 45,696	\$ (97 )	\$ 138,952	\$ 140,587	\$ (1,635 )

Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$1.3 million, or 5.3% to \$25.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$24.5 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, salaries and employee benefits increased by \$2.4 million, or 3.3% to \$76.1 million compared to \$73.7 million for the same period in 2010. The table below breaks out salaries and employee benefits for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010.

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Salaries	\$20,503	\$19,747	\$756	\$60,519	\$59,407	\$1,112
Employee benefits	5,296	4,753	543	15,597	14,277	1,320
Total salaries and employee benefits	\$25,799	\$24,500	\$1,299	\$76,116	\$73,684	\$2,432

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, employee benefits increased by 11.4% or \$543,000 to \$5.3 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, employee benefits increased by 9.2% or \$1.3 million to \$15.6 million compared to \$14.3 million for the same period in 2010. The increases in 2011 compared to 2010 are primarily related to higher medical claims experienced during 2011.

Insurance expense declined by \$1.6 million or 70.5% to \$681,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$2.3 million for the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 insurance declined by \$1.6 million or 22.7% to \$5.3 million compared to \$6.8 million for the same period in 2010. During the third quarter of 2011, Park began recognizing insurance expense for the premiums to be paid to the FDIC based on the new assessment methodology. This new methodology is based on a calculation using total assets less tangible equity. The new methodology will result in a decline in insurance expense going forward for the rest of 2011 and 2012.



The following table breaks out the change in total other expense between Park's Ohio-based operations and Vision Bank.

(in thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2011			Nine months ended September 30, 2011		
	Ohio-based operations	Vision Bank	Total	Ohio-based operations	Vision Bank	Total
Salaries and employee benefits	\$1,624	\$(325)	\$1,299	\$2,835	\$(403)	\$2,432
Occupancy expense	(186)	11	(175)	(339)	18	(321)
Furniture and equipment expense	90	(26)	64	428	(118)	310
Data processing fees	(100)	(119)	(219)	(420)	(398)	(818)
Professional fees and services	(123)	651	528	124	443	567
Amortization of intangibles	(153)	-	(153)	(593)	-	(593)
Marketing	(79)	3	(76)	(534)	(39)	(573)
Insurance	(1,394)	(241)	(1,635)	(1,122)	(430)	(1,552)
Communication	(198)	(23)	(221)	(587)	(9)	(596)
State taxes	(377)	(19)	(396)	(1,130)	(4)	(1,134)
Other	1,258	(371)	887	654	(11)	643
Total other expense	\$362	\$(459)	\$(97)	\$(684)	\$(951)	\$(1,635)

#### Income Tax

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, federal income tax expense was \$6.7 million and no state income tax benefit was recognized, compared to federal income tax expense of \$7.0 million and no state income tax benefit for the third quarter of 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, federal income tax was \$27.1 million and no state income tax benefit was recognized, compared to federal income tax of \$22.8 million and a state income tax benefit of \$1.2 million for the first nine months of 2010.

Vision Bank is subject to state income tax in Alabama and Florida. A state income tax benefit of \$263,000 and a valuation allowance for the same amount were recorded during the third quarter of 2011. For the first nine months of 2011, a state income tax benefit of \$1.1 million and a valuation allowance for the same amount were recorded at Vision Bank. Management has determined that the likelihood of realizing the full deferred tax asset on the state net operating loss carry-forward at Vision Bank fails to meet the "more likely than not" level. The net operating loss carry-forward periods for the states of Alabama and Florida are 8 years and 20 years, respectively. A merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank would ensure the future utilization of the state net operating loss carry-forward at Vision Bank. However, management is not certain when a merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank can take place and as a result has decided not to record the additional state tax benefit of losses at Vision Bank until management has a better understanding of the timing and likelihood of a merger of Vision Bank into Park National Bank. Park and its Ohio-based subsidiaries do not pay state income tax to the state of Ohio, but pay a franchise tax based on year-end equity. The franchise tax expense is included in "state taxes" as part of total other expense on Park's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Federal income tax expense as a percentage of income before taxes was 24.7% for the third quarter of 2011, compared to 26.5% for the same period in 2010. For the first nine months of 2011, federal income tax expense as a percentage of income before taxes was 27.5%, compared to 27.5% for the same period in 2010. The federal effective income tax rate is lower than the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to tax-exempt interest income from state and municipal investments and loans, low income housing tax credits and income from bank owned life insurance.





Comparison of Financial Condition  
At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010

Changes in Financial Condition and Liquidity

Total assets decreased by \$187 million or 2.6% to \$7,095 million at September 30, 2011, compared to \$7,282 million at December 31, 2010. This decrease in total assets was due to declines in investment securities and loan balances, offset by increases in cash and cash equivalents and other miscellaneous assets.

Total investment securities decreased by \$331 million or 16.2% to \$1,709 million at September 30, 2011, compared to \$2,040 million at December 31, 2010. Loan balances decreased by \$52 million to \$4,681 million at September 30, 2011 compared to \$4,733 million at December 31, 2010.

Total liabilities decreased by \$213 million or 3.3% during the first nine months of 2011 to \$6,340 million at September 30, 2011 from \$6,553 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in total liabilities was due to a decrease in total borrowings.

Total deposits decreased by \$6 million or 0.1% during the first nine months of 2011 to \$5,089 million at September 30, 2011 from \$5,095 million at December 31, 2010. The mix of deposit balances has changed significantly during the first nine months of 2011. Refer to the following table for a breakdown of the change in total deposits:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
Noninterest bearing deposits	\$ 1,000,969	\$ 937,719	\$ 63,250
NOW and Money Market	1,432,827	1,283,158	149,669
Savings	949,547	900,639	48,908
Brokered Deposits	0	110,065	(110,065 )
Certificates of Deposit	1,705,844	1,863,839	(157,995 )
Total Deposits	\$ 5,089,187	\$ 5,095,420	\$(6,233 )

Short-term borrowings decreased by \$421 million or 63.4% to \$243 million at September 30, 2011 from \$664 million at December 31, 2010. Conversely, long-term borrowings increased by \$187 million to \$824 million at September 30, 2011 compared to \$637 million at December 31, 2010.

Other liabilities increased by \$28 million or 37.3% to \$103 million at September 30, 2011 from \$75 million at December 31, 2010. This increase in other liabilities was primarily due to a payable at September 30, 2011 for the purchase of \$21.2 million of investment securities that settled in the month of October.

Total stockholders' equity increased by \$25.4 million or 3.5% to \$755.1 million at September 30, 2011, from \$729.7 million at December 31, 2010. Retained earnings increased by \$23.8 million during the period as a result of net income of \$71.5 million, offset by common stock dividends of \$43.4 million and accretion and dividends on the preferred stock of \$4.4 million. Preferred stock increased by \$642,000 during the first nine months of 2011 as a result of the accretion of the discount on preferred stock. Accumulated other comprehensive loss improved by \$992,000 during the first nine months of 2011 to a loss of \$876,000 at September 30, 2011. The unrealized holding gains in the investment portfolio increased by \$644,000, net of taxes, as a result of the mark-to-market at September 30, 2011 and Park also recognized a \$348,000 decline in the unrealized holding loss on the cash flow hedge.

Increases or decreases in the investment securities portfolio, short-term borrowings and long-term debt are greatly dependent upon the growth in loans and deposits. The primary objective of management is to grow loan and deposit

totals. To the extent that management is unable to grow loan totals at a desired growth rate, additional investment securities may be acquired. Likewise, both short-term borrowings and long-term debt are utilized to fund the growth in earning assets if the growth in deposits and cash flow from operations are not sufficient to do so.

- 66 -

---

Effective liquidity management ensures that the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers, as well as the operating cash needs of the Corporation, are met. Funds are available from a number of sources, including the securities portfolio, the core deposit base, Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings, and the capability to securitize or package loans for sale. The Corporation's loan to asset ratio was 65.9% at September 30, 2011, compared to 64.8% at December 31, 2010 and 65.7% at September 30, 2010. Cash and cash equivalents were \$272.1 million at September 30, 2011, compared to \$133.8 million at December 31, 2010 and \$133.6 million at September 30, 2010. Management believes that the present funding sources provide more than adequate liquidity for the Corporation to meet its cash flow needs.

On a monthly basis, Park's Treasury Department forecasts the financial statements for the next twelve months. The projected liquidity position for the Corporation is reviewed each month to ensure that adequate liquidity is maintained. Management targets that the Corporation would have a minimum of \$800 million of funds available to handle liquidity needs on a daily basis. This \$800 million liquidity "war chest" consists of currently available additional borrowing capacity from the Federal Home Loan Bank, federal funds sold and unpledged U.S. Government Agency securities.

### Capital Resources

Total stockholders' equity at September 30, 2011 was \$755 million, or 10.6% of total assets, compared to \$730 million, or 10.0% of total assets, at December 31, 2010 and \$757 million, or 10.7% of total assets, at September 30, 2010. Common equity, which is stockholders' equity excluding the preferred stock, was \$657 million at September 30, 2011, or 9.3% of total assets, compared to \$632 million, or 8.7% of total assets, at December 31, 2010.

Financial institution regulators have established guidelines for minimum capital ratios for banks, thrifts and bank holding companies. The net unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities is generally not included in computing regulatory capital. The minimum leverage capital ratio (defined as stockholders' equity less intangible assets divided by tangible assets) is 4% and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to 5%. Park's leverage ratio was 9.67% at September 30, 2011 and 9.54% at December 31, 2010. The minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (defined as leverage capital divided by risk-adjusted assets) is 4% and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to 6%. Park's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 13.96% at September 30, 2011 and 13.24% at December 31, 2010. The minimum total risk-based capital ratio (defined as leverage capital plus supplemental capital divided by risk-adjusted assets) is 8% and the well capitalized ratio is greater than or equal to 10%. Park's total risk-based capital ratio was 16.44% at September 30, 2011 and 15.71% at December 31, 2010.

The financial institution subsidiaries of Park each met the well capitalized ratio guidelines at September 30, 2011. The following table indicates the capital ratios for each financial institution subsidiary and Park at September 30, 2011.

	Leverage		Tier 1 Risk Based		Total Risk-Based	
The Park National Bank	6.67	%	9.81	%	11.76	%
Vision Bank - Restated	15.50	%	21.02	%	22.35	%
Park National Corporation - Restated	9.67	%	13.96	%	16.44	%
Minimum capital ratio	4.00	%	4.00	%	8.00	%
Well capitalized ratio	5.00	%	6.00	%	10.00	%

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

In the ordinary course of operations, Park enters into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include the funding of operations through debt issuances as well as leases for premises. See Table 24 of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A for disclosure concerning contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2010. There were no significant changes in contractual obligations and commitments during the first nine months of 2011.

## Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Park's subsidiary banks are parties to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their respective customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The exposure to credit loss (for the subsidiary banks of Park) in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for loan commitments and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Park and each of its subsidiary banks use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as they do for on-balance sheet instruments. Since many of the loan commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan commitments to customers.

The total amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk were as follows:

(in thousands)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Loan commitments	\$ 837,299	\$ 716,598
Standby letters of credit	\$ 20,238	\$ 24,462

## ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Management reviews interest rate sensitivity on a bi-monthly basis by modeling the consolidated financial statements under various interest rate scenarios. The primary reason for these efforts is to guard Park from adverse impacts of unforeseen changes in interest rates. Management continues to believe that further changes in interest rates will have a small impact on net income, consistent with the disclosure on pages 43 and 44 of Park's 2010 Annual Report.

On Table 23 of Park's 2010 Form 10-K/A, management reported that Park's twelve month cumulative rate sensitivity gap was a positive (assets exceeding liabilities) \$647.8 million or 9.53% of interest earning assets at December 31, 2010. At September 30, 2011, Park's twelve month cumulative rate sensitivity gap was a positive (assets exceeding liabilities) \$1,166 million or 17.9% of interest earning assets.

Management supplements the interest rate sensitivity gap analysis with periodic simulations of balance sheet sensitivity under various interest rate and what-if scenarios to better forecast and manage the net interest margin. Management uses a 50 basis point change in market interest rates per quarter for a total of 200 basis points per year in evaluating the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income and net income over a twelve month horizon.

On page 44 of Park's 2010 Annual Report, management reported that at December 31, 2010, the earnings simulation model projected that net income would increase by 2.4% using a rising interest rate scenario and decrease by 1.4% using a declining interest rate scenario over the next year. At September 30, 2011, the earnings simulation model projected that net income would increase by 0.3% using a rising interest rate scenario and would decrease by 3.25% in

a declining interest rate scenario. At September 30, 2011, management continues to believe that gradual changes in interest rates (50 basis points per quarter for a total of 200 basis points per year) will have a small impact on net income.

- 68 -

---

## ITEM 4 – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2011, the end of the quarterly period covered by this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, Park carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (the principal executive officer) and Park's Chief Financial Officer (the principal financial officer), of the effectiveness of Park's disclosure controls and procedures. In designing and evaluating Park's disclosure controls and procedures, Park and its management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and Park's management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based upon the evaluation, Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer concluded that Park's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Park in the reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and the such information is accumulated and communicated to Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As a result of the events necessitating the restatement described in the Explanatory Note and in Notes 1 and 1A of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements set forth in "Item 1 - Financial Statements" of Part I of this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, however, Park's management, including Park's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and Park's Chief Financial Officer, has reevaluated the design and operation of Park's disclosure controls and procedures and has now concluded that, as of September 30, 2011, Park did not maintain effective controls to ensure that the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans with guarantor support and the expenses related to certain devaluations of other real estate owned ("OREO") and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support were properly calculated.

Specifically, the accounting treatment giving rise to the restatement was the inclusion of estimated future cash flows supporting the allowance for loan losses related to certain impaired commercial loans. For the year ended December 31, 2010, as part of Park's process to measure impairment on certain impaired commercial loans at Vision Bank, management had relied on expected cash flows from guarantors, as to whom Vision Bank was in litigation. Management has determined that reliance on expected cash flows, which may require protracted litigation to actually be received, is inappropriate given the difficulty in obtaining objective verifiable evidence supporting the conclusion as to the amount and timing of the expected cash flows. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that Park's assumption be "reasonable and supportable" and the facts and circumstances around the existence of protracted litigation make this assumption more difficult to support.

The restatement also reflects certain OREO devaluations and additional loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These expense items are related to valuation issues identified at December 31, 2010, where Vision Bank management utilized (i) the work of a third-party contractor, which was not a licensed appraiser, when calculating the fair value of collateral for certain impaired loans and the fair value of certain OREO held by Vision Bank, and management did not have sufficient documentation to support the estimates of this third-party contractor, and (ii) internal estimates of collateral value when calculating specific reserves for certain impaired loans when, at times, such internal estimates were outdated. The impact is to reverse provisions for loan losses and OREO devaluations in the restated audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Because of these control deficiencies, which are considered to be material weaknesses and resulted in the restatement of not only Park's previously issued audited consolidated financial statements incorporated by reference in Park's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 but also Park's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in Park's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, Park's management has restated its assessment for the period ended September 30, 2011 and concluded that Park's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2011.

Park reviews its disclosure controls and procedures, which may include its internal control over financial reporting, on an ongoing basis and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness. Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to guarantor support and as of the date of this filing, when calculating impairment under ASC 310, management no longer relies on expected cash flows from guarantors where litigation is required to collect those cash flows. Additionally, Park's management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to the OREO devaluations and loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These process improvements included:

Management has discontinued the use of value-related information received from a third-party contractor, who is not a licensed appraiser. While management continues to consult with this third-party contractor on the current status of loan workouts and progress related to the pursuit of legally bound borrowers and guarantors, management no longer utilizes the third-party contractor's estimates of value to determine the specific reserves that should be established on impaired loans.

Management has discontinued the use of information received from the third-party contractor to value OREO properties. Currently, OREO properties are valued based on external appraisals that are no more than 12 months old and were prepared by external licensed appraisers.

Management has discontinued the use of retail lot values (discounted by management's standard bulk sale discount) on lot development projects and is now utilizing the bulk sale value provided by external licensed appraisers, which in certain cases applies a larger discount.

In addition to the real estate appraisal policy in place as of December 31, 2010, management has enhanced its commercial loan policy to formalize the requirements for the frequency and dollar threshold for which updated real estate appraisals are to be obtained from qualified licensed appraisers with respect to impaired loans and OREO properties. This enhancement to the commercial loan policy also discusses those situations where internally prepared valuations (“IPV”) are considered appropriate, the documentation that should accompany IPVs and the frequency of evaluating the accuracy of the assumptions and data used in the IPV estimates.

As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1), management believes that the enhancements to Park’s internal control processes have resolved the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2010.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Park reviews its disclosure controls and procedures, which may include its internal control over financial reporting, on an ongoing basis and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness. Park’s management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to guarantor support and as of the date of this filing, when calculating impairment under ASC 310, management no longer relies on expected cash flows from guarantors where litigation is required to collect those cash flows. Additionally, Park’s management made process improvements throughout 2011 in an effort to address the material weakness related to the OREO devaluations and loan loss provisions that are not related to guarantor support. These process improvements included:

Management has discontinued the use of value-related information received from a third-party contractor, who is not a licensed appraiser. While management continues to consult with this third-party contractor on the current status of loan workouts and progress related to the pursuit of legally bound borrowers and guarantors, management no longer utilizes the third-party contractor’s estimates of value to determine the specific reserves that should be established on impaired loans.

Management has discontinued the use of information received from the third-party contractor to value OREO properties. Currently, OREO properties are valued based on external appraisals that are no more than 12 months old and were prepared by external licensed appraisers.

Management has discontinued the use of retail lot values (discounted by management’s standard bulk sale discount) on lot development projects and is now utilizing the bulk sale value provided by external licensed appraisers, which in certain cases applies a larger discount.

In addition to the real estate appraisal policy in place as of December 31, 2010, management has enhanced its commercial loan policy to formalize the requirements for the frequency and dollar threshold for which updated real estate appraisals are to be obtained from qualified licensed appraisers with respect to impaired loans and OREO properties. This enhancement to the commercial loan policy also discusses those situations where internally prepared valuations (“IPV”) are considered appropriate, the documentation that should accompany IPVs and the frequency of evaluating the accuracy of the assumptions and data used in the IPV estimates.

As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q/A (Amendment No. 1), management believes that the enhancements to Park’s internal control processes have resolved the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2010.



PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no pending legal proceedings to which Park or any of its subsidiaries is a party or to which any of their property is subject, except for routine legal proceedings to which Park's subsidiary banks are parties incidental to their respective banking businesses. Park considers none of those proceedings to be material.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are certain risks and uncertainties in our business that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. In "ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS" of Part I of Park's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (the "2010 Form 10-K"), we included a detailed discussion of our risk factors. Certain numbers included within the 2010 Form 10-K were subsequently updated in the 2010 Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2 filed on February 28, 2012. The following information updates certain of our risk factors and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors disclosed in the 2010 Form 10-K as updated by the Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2. These risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011. Any of the risks described below or in the 2010 Form 10-K as updated by the Form 10-K/A - Amendment No. 2 could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made. These are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Changes in economic and political conditions could adversely affect our earnings, as our borrowers' ability to repay loans and the value of the collateral securing our loans decline.

Our success depends, to a certain extent, upon economic and political conditions, local and national, as well as governmental fiscal and monetary policies. Conditions such as inflation, recession, unemployment, changes in interest rates, fiscal and monetary policy and other factors beyond our control may adversely affect our asset quality, deposit levels and loan demand and, therefore, our earnings and our capital. Because we have a significant amount of real estate loans, additional decreases in real estate values could adversely affect the value of property used as collateral and our ability to sell the collateral upon foreclosure. Adverse changes in the economy may also have a negative effect on the ability of our borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which would have an adverse impact on our earnings and cash flows. The substantial majority of the loans made by our subsidiaries are to individuals and businesses in Ohio or in Gulf Coast communities in Alabama and the Florida panhandle. Consequently, a significant decline in the economy in Ohio or in Gulf Coast communities in Alabama or the panhandle of Florida could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

As disclosed earlier within this Form 10-Q/A for September 30, 2011, we continue to experience difficult credit conditions in the Alabama and Florida markets in which Vision Bank operates. For the nine-month period ended September 30 2011, Vision Bank has experienced \$50.0 million in net loan charge-offs, or an annualized 11.13% of average loans. For the first nine months of 2010, net loan charge-offs for Vision Bank were \$27.2 million, or an annualized 5.4% of average loans. The loan loss provision for Vision Bank was \$22.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Park's nonperforming loans, defined as loans that are 90 days past due, nonaccrual and renegotiated loans, were \$232.0 million or 4.96% of total loans at September 30, 2011, \$292.9 million or 6.19% of

loans at December 31, 2010 and \$247.9 million or 5.32% of total loans at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011, Vision Bank had non-performing loans of \$107.7 million or 19.61% of total loans, compared to \$171.8 million or 26.82% of total loans at December 31, 2010 and \$138.8 million or 21.27% of total loans at September 30, 2010. While we continue to generate net earnings on a consolidated basis, Vision Bank continues to generate net losses and is expected to generate net losses in the immediate future. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Vision Bank had a net loss of \$14.7 million and Park contributed capital of \$26.0 million to Vision Bank. Given the current economic environment in Vision Bank's market, Park's management has agreed to maintain the leverage ratio at Vision Bank at 12% and to maintain the total risk-based capital ratio at Vision Bank at 16%. It remains uncertain when the negative credit trends at Vision Bank will reverse. As a result, Park's future earnings continue to be susceptible to further declining credit conditions in the markets in which we operate.

- 72 -

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a.) Not applicable

(b.) Not applicable

(c.) No purchases of Park’s common shares were made by or on behalf of Park or any “affiliated purchaser” as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the three months ended September 30, 2011. The following table provides information concerning changes in the maximum number of common shares that may be purchased under Park’s previously announced repurchase programs as a result of the forfeiture of previously outstanding incentive stock options:

Period	Total number of common shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of common shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of common shares that may be purchased under the plans or programs (1)
July 1 through July 31, 2011	-	-	-	1,011,239
August 1 through August 31, 2011	-	-	-	1,011,239
September 1 through September 30, 2011	-	-	-	1,011,239
Total	-	-	-	1,011,239

(1) The number shown represents, as of the end of each period, the maximum number of common shares that may yet be purchased as part of Park’s publicly announced stock repurchase authorization to fund the Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan.

The Park National Corporation 2005 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the “2005 Plan”) was adopted by the Board of Directors of Park on January 18, 2005 and was approved by the Park shareholders at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 18, 2005. Under the 2005 Plan, 1,500,000 common shares are authorized for delivery upon the exercise of incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan. All of the common shares delivered upon the exercise of incentive stock options granted under the 2005 Plan are to be treasury shares. As of September 30, 2011, incentive stock options covering 74,570 common shares were outstanding and 1,425,430 common shares were available for future grants.

With 488,761 common shares held as treasury shares for purposes of the 2005 Plan at September 30, 2011, an additional 1,011,239 common shares remained authorized for repurchase for purposes of funding the 2005 Plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. [Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information

(a), (b) Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1(a) Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on March 24, 1992 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Park National Corporation's Form 8-B, filed on May 20, 1992 (File No. 0-18772) ("Park's Form 8-B"))
- 3.1(b) Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on May 6, 1993 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Park National Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993 (File No. 0-18772))
- 3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 16, 1996 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 1996 (File No. 1-13006))
- 3.1(d) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders to the Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation as filed with the Ohio Secretary of State on April 22, 1997 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a)(1) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 1997 (File No. 1-13006) ("Park's June 30, 1997 Form 10-Q"))
- 3.1(e) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders or Members as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on December 18, 2008 in order to evidence the adoption by the shareholders of Park National Corporation on December 18, 2008 of an amendment to Article FOURTH of Park National Corporation's Articles of Incorporation to authorize Park National Corporation to issue up to 200,000 preferred shares, without par value (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed December 19, 2008 (File No. 1-13006))
- 3.1(f) Certificate of Amendment by Directors or Incorporators to Articles as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on December 19, 2008, evidencing adoption of amendment by Board of Directors of Park National Corporation to Article FOURTH of Articles of Incorporation to establish express terms of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Shares, Series A, each without par value, of Park National Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed December 23, 2008 (File No. 1-13006))

- 3.1(g) Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders or Members filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on April 18, 2011 in order to evidence the adoption by Park National Corporation's shareholders of an amendment to Article SIXTH of Park National Corporation's Articles of Incorporation in order to provide that shareholders do not have preemptive rights (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed April 19, 2011 (File No. 1-13006))
- 3.1(h) Articles of Incorporation of Park National Corporation (reflecting amendments through April 18, 2011) [for SEC reporting compliance purposes only – not filed with Ohio Secretary of State] (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1(h) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 1-13006))
- 3.2(a) Regulations of Park National Corporation (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Park's Form 8-B)
- 3.2(b) Certified Resolution regarding Adoption of Amendment to Subsection 2.02(A) of the Regulations of Park National Corporation by Shareholders on April 21, 1997 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b)(1) to Park's June 30, 1997 Form 10-Q)
- 3.2(c) Certificate Regarding Adoption of Amendments to Sections 1.04 and 1.11 of Park National Corporation's Regulations by the Shareholders on April 17, 2006 (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Park National Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed on April 18, 2006 (File No. 1-13006))
- 3.2(d) Certificate Regarding Adoption by the Shareholders of Park National Corporation on April 21, 2008 of Amendment to Regulations to Add New Section 5.10 to Article Five (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2(d) to Park National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 (File No. 1-13006) ("Park's March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q"))
- 3.2(e) Regulations of Park National Corporation (reflecting amendments through April 21, 2008) [For purposes of SEC reporting compliance only] (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2(e) to Park's March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q)
- 12 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends (filed herewith)
- 31.1 Rule 13a – 14(a) / 15d – 14(a) Certifications (Principal Executive Officer) (filed herewith)
- 31.2 Rule 13a – 14(a) / 15d – 14(a) Certifications (Principal Financial Officer) (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (Principal Executive Officer) (furnished herewith)

32.2 Certifications Pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (Principal Financial Officer) (furnished herewith)

101 The following information from Park's September 30, 2011 Form 10-Q formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); (iii) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); (iv) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited); and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files included as Exhibit 101 are furnished and not deemed filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those Sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PARK NATIONAL CORPORATION

DATE: February 28, 2012

/s/ C. Daniel DeLawder  
C. Daniel DeLawder  
Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer

DATE: February 28, 2012

/s/ John W. Kozak  
John W. Kozak  
Chief Financial Officer

- 77 -