

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/
Form 10-Q/A
January 15, 2004

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A
Amendment No. 1

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

Commission File Number: 027455

AirGate PCS, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

58-2422929

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Harris Tower, 233 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 1700,
Atlanta, Georgia

(Address of principal executive offices)

30303

(Zip code)

(404) 525-7272 (Registrant's telephone number,
including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports
required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act
of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the
registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such
filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as
defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

25,944,903 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, were
outstanding as of February 10, 2003.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Form 10-Q/A is being amended solely for the purpose of amending and
restating in its entirety Part I Item 4 of this Form 10-Q/A, to delete all
references to Cash Cost Per User (or CCPU) and to update the signature page and
the certifications required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 in Item 15 and
Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2. This Form 10-Q/A does not reflect events occurring after
the filing of the original Form 10-Q, or modify or update the disclosures
therein in any way other than as required to reflect these changes.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

AIRGATE PCS, INC.
THIRD QUARTER REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements.....	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2002 and September 30, 2002 (unaudited).....	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited).....	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited).....	5
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).....	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	16
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.....	31
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.....	32
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION.....	33
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.....	33
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds.....	33
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities.....	33
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	33
Item 5.	Other Information.....	33
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	45

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. -- FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AIRGATE PCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited) (dollars in thousands, except
share amounts)

Assets

Current assets:

Cash and cash equivalents.....	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$11,455 and \$11,256, respectively.....	
Receivable from Sprint.....	
Inventories.....	
Prepaid expenses.....	
Other current assets.....	
Total current assets.....	
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$133,262 and \$112,913, respectively..	
Financing costs.....	
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$21,856 and \$17,592, respectively.....	
Direct subscriber activation costs.....	
Other assets.....	

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Total assets.....		
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable.....		
Accrued expenses.....		
Payable to Sprint.....		
Deferred revenue.....		
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations.....		
Total current liabilities.....		
Deferred subscriber activation fee revenue.....		
Other long-term liabilities.....		
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, excluding current maturities.....		
Total liabilities.....		
Commitments and contingencies.....		
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Preferred stock, par value, \$.01 per share;		
5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding.....		
Common stock, par value, \$.01 per share; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 25,836,520 and		
25,806,520 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2002 and September 30, 2002,		
respectively.....		
Additional paid-in-capital.....		
Unearned stock compensation.....		
Accumulated deficit.....		
Total stockholders' equity (deficit).....		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit).....		

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

AIRGATE PCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended December 31,	
	2002	2001
	-----	-----
Revenues:		
Service revenues.....	\$ 96,328	\$ 55
Roaming revenues.....	31,991	21

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Equipment revenues.....	4,782	4
	-----	-----
Total revenues.....	133,101	81
Operating Expenses:		
Cost of services and roaming (exclusive of depreciation, and amortization as shown separately below).....	(88,006)	(57)
Cost of equipment.....	(12,127)	(9)
Selling and marketing.....	(28,903)	(29)
General and administrative expenses.....	(7,408)	(5)
Non-cash stock compensation expense.....	(176)	
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment...	(20,626)	(11)
Amortization of intangible assets.....	(4,264)	(4)
	-----	-----
Total operating expenses.....	(161,510)	(118)
	-----	-----
Operating loss.....	(28,409)	(36)
	-----	-----
Interest income.....	40	
Interest expense.....	(19,305)	(10)
Other expense.....	--	
	-----	-----
Loss before income tax benefit.....	(47,674)	(47)
	-----	-----
Income tax benefit.....	--	17
	-----	-----
Net loss.....	\$ (47,674)	\$ (29)
	-----	-----
Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock.....	\$ (1.85)	\$ (
Basic and diluted weighted-average outstanding common shares...	25,824,149	17,675

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

AIRGATE PCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)
(dollars in thousands)

Net loss.....	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment.....	
Amortization of intangible assets.....	
Amortization of financing costs into interest expense.....	

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Provision for doubtful accounts.....	
Interest expense associated with accretion of discounts.....	
Non-cash stock compensation.....	
Deferred income tax benefit.....	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	
Accounts receivable.....	
Receivable from Sprint.....	
Inventories.....	
Prepaid expenses, other current and non-current assets.....	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other long term liabilities.....	
Payable to Sprint.....	
Deferred revenue.....	
Net cash used in operating activities.....	
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Capital expenditures.....	
Cash acquired from iPCS, Inc.....	
Purchase of business assets.....	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities.....	
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Proceeds from borrowings under senior credit facilities.....	
Payments for credit facility borrowings.....	
Proceeds from exercise of employee stock options.....	
Net cash provided by financing activities.....	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents.....	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period.....	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period.....	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information - cash paid for interest.....	
Supplemental disclosure for non-cash investing activities:	
Capitalized interest.....	

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

AIRGATE PCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2002
(unaudited)

(1) Business, Basis of Presentation and Liquidity

(a) Business and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited quarterly financial statements of AirGate PCS, Inc.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

(the "Company") are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and do not include all of the disclosures normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of management, these statements reflect all adjustments, including recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements for the interim periods. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002, which is filed with the SEC and may be accessed via EDGAR on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. The results of operations for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the entire fiscal year ending September 30, 2003. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and revenues and expenses during the reporting periods to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

AirGate PCS, Inc. and its restricted and unrestricted subsidiaries were created for the purpose of providing wireless Personal Communication Services ("PCS"). AirGate PCS, Inc. and its restricted subsidiaries ("AirGate") collectively are a network partner of Sprint with the exclusive right to market and provide Sprint PCS products and services in a defined network territory. AirGate is licensed to use the Sprint brand names in its original 21 markets located in the southeastern United States.

On November 30, 2001, AirGate acquired iPCS, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, "iPCS"), a network partner of Sprint with 37 markets in the midwestern United States. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AirGate PCS, Inc. and its wholly-owned restricted subsidiaries, AGW Leasing Company, Inc., AirGate Service Company, Inc., and AirGate Network Services, LLC, and its unrestricted subsidiary iPCS since its acquisition. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The PCS market is characterized by significant risks as a result of rapid changes in technology, intense competition and the costs associated with the build-out of a PCS network. The Company's operations are dependent upon Sprint's ability to perform its obligations under the agreements between the Company and Sprint (see note 3) under which the Company has agreed to construct and manage its Sprint PCS networks (the "Sprint Agreements"). Additionally, the Company's ability to attract and maintain a subscriber base of sufficient size and credit quality is critical to achieving sufficient positive cash flow to meet its financial covenants under its credit agreements. Changes in technology, increased competition, economic conditions or inability to achieve sufficient positive cash flow to meet its financial covenants under its credit agreements, among other factors, could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, and liquidity.

(b) Liquidity

The Company has generated significant net losses since inception. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and the year ended September 30, 2002, the Company's net loss amounted to \$47.7 million and \$996.6 million, including goodwill and asset impairment charges of \$817.4 million. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had a working capital deficit of \$379.7 million, and AirGate had available credit of \$12.0 million under its \$153.5 million senior secured credit facility (the "AirGate credit facility"). The majority of the Company's

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

working capital deficit is attributable to the classification of iPCS' debt described below totaling \$359.8 million as current.

iPCS has ceased making interest payments on its \$130.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "iPCS credit facility") and is not in compliance with certain provisions of the iPCS credit facility or the indenture under which its \$300.0 million senior subordinated discount notes (the "iPCS notes") were issued. iPCS has no remaining credit availability under its credit facility. As a result of these defaults, substantially all of iPCS' debt is classified as a current liability. The lenders under the iPCS credit facility and the trustee for the iPCS notes are entitled to accelerate the iPCS debt, subject to the forbearance agreement described in Note 10.

iPCS has also incurred significant net losses during the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and the year ended September 30, 2002, which are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Because current conditions in the capital markets make additional financing unlikely, iPCS has undertaken efforts to restructure its relationship with its secured lenders, its public noteholders and Sprint. To date, iPCS has been unable to restructure its debt or secure additional financing necessary to fund its operations and, accordingly, iPCS expects to file for reorganization and protection from its creditors under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in early 2003 either as part of a consensual restructuring or in an effort to effect a court administered reorganization.

Because iPCS is an unrestricted subsidiary, under AirGate's debt agreements AirGate is generally unable to provide capital or other financial support to iPCS. Further, iPCS lenders, noteholders and creditors do not have a lien on or encumbrance on assets of AirGate. We believe AirGate operations will continue independent of the outcome of the iPCS restructuring. However, it is likely that AirGate's ownership interest in iPCS will have no value after the restructuring is complete.

The carrying value of iPCS' long-lived assets in these consolidated financial statements (principally property and equipment, goodwill and intangible assets) was written down during the year ended September 30, 2002 to reflect impairment charges as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144 and SFAS No. 142.

While the ultimate and long-term affect on AirGate of iPCS' proposed bankruptcy proceedings cannot be determined, management believes that AirGate and its restricted subsidiaries will continue to operate and that iPCS' bankruptcy proceedings, and related outcomes, will not have a material adverse effect on the liquidity of AirGate.

In addition to its capital needs to fund operating losses, the Company has invested large amounts to build-out its networks and for other capital assets. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and the three years ended September 30, 2002, the Company invested \$14.1 million and \$320.7 million respectively to purchase property and equipment. While much of the Company's networks are now complete, and capital expenditures are expected to decrease significantly in the future, such expenditures will continue to be necessary.

AirGate had only \$12 million remaining available under the AirGate credit facility as of December 31, 2002. AirGate currently has no additional sources of working capital other than EBITDA. If AirGate's actual revenues are less than expected or operating or capital costs are more than expected, AirGate's financial condition and liquidity may be materially adversely affected. In such event, there is substantial risk that the Company could not access the credit or capital markets for additional capital.

AirGate's ability to borrow funds under the AirGate credit facility may be

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

terminated if it is unable to maintain or comply with the restrictive financial and operating covenants contained in the AirGate credit facility. The AirGate credit facility contains covenants specifying the maintenance of certain financial ratios, reaching defined subscriber growth and network covered population goals, minimum service revenues, maximum capital expenditures, and the maintenance of a ratio of total debt to annualized EBITDA, as defined in the AirGate credit facility.

If the Company is unable to operate the AirGate business within the covenants specified in the AirGate credit facility, and is unable to obtain future amendments to such covenants, AirGate's ability to make borrowings required to operate the AirGate business could be restricted or terminated. Such a restriction or termination would have a material adverse affect on AirGate's liquidity and capital resources.

AirGate has initiated a number of action steps to lower its operating costs and capital needs. The following are some of the more significant steps:

- o a plan to improve the credit quality of new subscribers and its subscriber base and reduce churn by restricting availability of programs for sub-prime subscribers;
- o the elimination of certain personnel positions;
- o a significant reduction in capital expenditures; and
- o a reduction in spending for advertising and promotions.

In addition to these steps, AirGate is initiating or investigating a number of other actions that could further reduce operating expenses and capital needs. These include additional reductions in staff; the outsourcing of certain functions now performed by AirGate; further deferrals or reductions in capital spending and seeking ways to lower fees and charges from services now provided by Sprint. Although there can be no assurances, AirGate management believes that existing cash, expected results of operations and cash flows, and amounts available under the AirGate credit facility will provide sufficient resources to fund AirGate's activities through at least the end of calendar year 2003.

The following reflects condensed balance sheet information and statement of operations information of AirGate and its unrestricted subsidiary, iPCS, separately identifying the investment in iPCS including the effects of purchase accounting as of December 31, 2002 and September 30, 2002 and the historical equity basis loss of iPCS, the related effects of purchase accounting, and income tax benefit for the quarters ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

As of
December 31, 2002 September

Condensed Balance Sheet Information:

Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$	944		\$
Other current assets.....		63,650		

Total current assets.....		64,594		
Property and equipment, net.....		203,644		
Investment in iPCS		(169,789)		
Other noncurrent assets.....		14,226		

		\$ 112,675		\$

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

	=====	
Current liabilities.....	\$ 76,036	\$
Long-term debt.....	366,728	
Other long-term liabilities.....	10,356	

Total liabilities.....	453,120	
Stockholders' deficit.....	(340,445)	

	\$ 112,675	\$
	=====	

For the Three Months Ended
December 31, 2002 December

Condensed Statement of Operations Information:

Revenues.....	\$ 81,865	\$
Costs of revenues.....	(58,278)	
Selling and marketing expenses.....	(16,798)	
General and administrative expenses.....	(4,077)	
Depreciation and amortization.....	(11,619)	
Other expense, net (principally interest).....	(10,521)	

Total expenses.....	(101,293)	

Loss before equity in loss of iPCS and effects of purchase accounting, and income tax benefit.....	(19,428)	
Historical equity basis loss of iPCS.....	(25,763)	
Effects of purchase accounting.....	(2,483)	
Income tax benefit.....	--	

Net loss.....	\$ (47,674)	\$
	=====	

(c) Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive securities of 41,790 for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and 704,876 for the quarter ended December 31, 2001 have been excluded from the computation of dilutive net loss per share for the periods presented because their effect would have been antidilutive.

(2) New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation from the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." As allowed by SFAS No. 123,

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting, and has adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123. The Company currently does not anticipate adopting the provisions of SFAS No. 148.

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (the "Interpretation"), which addresses the disclosure to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under guarantees. The Interpretation also requires the recognition of a liability by a guarantor at the inception of certain guarantees.

The Interpretation requires the guarantor to recognize a liability for the non-contingent component of the guarantee, which is the obligation to stand ready to perform in the event that specified triggering events or conditions occur. The initial measurement of this liability is the fair value of the guarantee at inception. The recognition of the liability is required even if it is not probable that payments will be required under the guarantee or if the guarantee was issued with a premium payment or as part of a transaction with multiple elements.

The Company guarantees certain lease commitments of its restricted subsidiaries. The maximum amount of these guarantees is included in the consolidated operating lease disclosure commitment footnote included in the Company's Form 10-K/A. Also, the handsets sold by the Company are under a one-year warranty from Sprint. If a customer returns a handset for warranty, the Company sends the handset to Sprint for repair. Sprint provides a credit to the Company equal to the price of the refurbished handset, which is generally what is returned to the customer. The Company will apply the recognition and measurement provisions for all guarantees and warranties entered into or modified after December 31, 2002.

In July 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 provides new guidance on the recognition of costs associated with exit or disposal activities. The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS No. 146 supercedes previous accounting guidance provided by the EITF Issue No. 94-3 "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." EITF Issue No. 94-3 required recognition of costs at the date of commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS No. 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. Early application is permitted. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 by the Company on October 1, 2002 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as the Company has not recorded any significant restructurings in the past periods, but the adoption may impact the timing of charges in future periods. As discussed in Note 6, during the three months ended December 31, 2002 the Company recorded \$0.7 million of costs related to staff reductions and retail store closings.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." Among other things, this statement rescinds FASB Statement No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt" which required all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. As a result, the criteria in APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations -- Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions," will now be used to classify those gains and losses. The adoption of SFAS No. 145 by the Company on October 1, 2002 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recognized in the period that it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 by the Company on October 1, 2002 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

(3) Sprint Agreements

Under the Sprint Agreements, Sprint provides the Company significant support services such as billing, collections, long distance, customer care, network operations support, inventory logistics support, use of Sprint brand names, national advertising, national distribution and product development. Additionally, the Company derives substantial roaming revenue and expenses when Sprint's and Sprint's network partners' wireless subscribers incur minutes of use in the Company's territories and when the Company's subscribers incur minutes of use in Sprint and other Sprint network partners' PCS territories. These transactions are recorded in roaming revenue, cost of service and roaming, cost of equipment and selling and marketing expense captions in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Cost of service and roaming transactions include the 8% affiliation fee, long distance charges, roaming expense and the costs of services such as billing, collections, customer service and pass-through expenses. Cost of equipment transactions relate to inventory purchased by the Company from Sprint under the Sprint Agreements. Selling and marketing transactions relate to subsidized costs on handsets and commissions paid by the Company under Sprint's national distribution programs. Amounts recorded relating to the Sprint Agreements for the quarters ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

For the Three Mo
 Ended December
 2002

Amounts included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations:

AirGate roaming revenue.....	\$ 17,829	\$
AirGate cost of service and roaming:		
Roaming.....	\$ 14,685	\$
Customer service.....	11,303	
Affiliation fee.....	4,836	
Long distance.....	2,785	
Other.....	494	
	-----	-----
AirGate cost of service and roaming:.....	\$ 34,103	\$
AirGate purchased inventory.....	\$ 5,650	\$
AirGate selling and marketing.....	\$ 3,101	\$
iPCS roaming revenue.....	\$ 10,663	\$
iPCS cost of service and roaming:		
Roaming.....	\$ 8,362	\$
Customer service.....	7,526	
Affiliation fee.....	3,106	

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Long distance.....	2,150
Other.....	1,696

iPCS cost of service and roaming.....	\$ 22,840	\$
iPCS purchased inventory.....	\$ 6,175	\$
iPCS selling and marketing.....	\$ 3,014	\$

Amounts included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet:

	December 31, 2002	As of September 30, 2002
	-----	-----
Receivable from Sprint	\$ 42,334	\$ 44,953
Payable to Sprint	(82,011)	(88,360)

Because approximately 96% of our revenues are collected by Sprint and 65% of costs of service and roaming in our financial statements are derived from fees and charges, including pass-through charges, from Sprint, we have a variety of settlement issues open and outstanding from time to time. These include, but are not limited to, the following items, all of which for accounting purposes have been reserved or otherwise provided for:

- o Sprint PCS sought to recoup \$4.9 million in long-distance access revenues previously paid by Sprint PCS to the Company, of which \$3.9 million related to AirGate and \$1.0 million related to iPCS. We have disputed these amounts (see Note 5).
- o Sprint charged the Company approximately \$1.2 million with respect to calendar year 2002 to reimburse Sprint for certain 3G related development expenses. We have disputed Sprint's right to collect these fees.
- o In connection with the review of accounts receivable at September 30, 2002, the Company reclassified approximately \$10.0 million of subscriber accounts receivable allowance for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 to a receivable from Sprint. We believe at least \$10.0 million is payable from Sprint, but Sprint has acknowledged and paid only \$5.1 million.
- o We continue to discuss with Sprint whether we owe software maintenance fees to Sprint of approximately \$3.0 million, of which \$1.6 million relates to AirGate and \$1.4 million relates to iPCS through December 31, 2002.
- o Sprint asserted that iPCS owed \$2.2 million in various fees, charges and revenue adjustments, which iPCS disputed. Sprint set-off \$1.8 million with respect to these charges against other amounts owed to iPCS in the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

In addition, monthly Sprint service charges are set by Sprint at the beginning of each calendar year. Sprint takes the position that at the end of each year, it can determine its actual costs to provide these services to its network partners and require a final settlement against the charges actually paid. If the costs to provide these services are less than the amounts paid by Sprint's network partners, Sprint will issue a credit for these amounts. If the costs to provide the services are more than the amounts paid by Sprint's network partners, Sprint will debit the network partners for these amounts. Sprint notified us that a credit would be issued to the Company in a net amount of \$2.0 million (\$1.3 million for AirGate and \$0.7 million for iPCS). This amount has been recorded as of December 31, 2002 as a reduction to the consolidated balance for Receivable from Sprint and a reduction of operating loss.

The Sprint Agreements require the Company to maintain certain minimum network

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

performance standards and to meet other performance requirements. AirGate was in compliance in all material respects with these requirements at December 31, 2002.

(4) Intangible Assets

The following table reflects the components of intangible assets at December 31, 2002:

	Amortization Period	Gross Carrying Amount	Ac Am
	-----	-----	-----
Non-compete agreements, AirGate store acquisitions	24 months	\$ 159	\$
Acquired subscriber base	30 months	45,760	(
		-----	-----
Total		\$ 45,919	\$ (
		=====	=====

(5) Litigation

On July 3, 2002 the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC") issued an order in Sprint PCS v. AT&T for declaratory judgment holding that PCS wireless carriers could not unilaterally impose terminating long distance access charges pursuant to FCC rules. This FCC order did not preclude a finding of a contractual basis for these charges, nor did it rule whether or not Sprint PCS had such a contract with carriers such as AT&T. AirGate and iPCS have previously received \$3.9 and \$1.0 million, respectively, from Sprint PCS. This is comprised of \$4.3 and \$1.1 million, respectively, of terminating long distance access revenues, less \$0.4 and \$0.1 million, respectively, of associated affiliation fees held by Sprint PCS, and Sprint PCS has asserted its right to recover these revenues net of the affiliation fees. As a result of this ruling, and our assessment of this contingency under SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," the Company recorded a charge to revenues during the quarter ended June 30, 2002 to accrue for these amounts. However, we have not paid such amounts and have disputed the ability of Sprint PCS to recover these revenues.

In May 2002, putative class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against AirGate PCS, Inc., Thomas M. Dougherty, Barbara L. Blackford, Alan B. Catherall, Credit Suisse First Boston, Lehman Brothers, UBS Warburg LLC, William Blair & Company, Thomas Wiesel Partners LLC and TD Securities. The complaints do not specify an amount or range of damages that the plaintiffs are seeking. The complaints seek class certification and allege that the prospectus used in connection with the secondary offering of Company stock by certain former iPCS shareholders on December 18, 2001 contained materially false and misleading statements and omitted material information necessary to make the statements in the prospectus not false and misleading. The alleged omissions included (i) failure to disclose that in order to complete an effective integration of iPCS, drastic changes would have to be made to the Company's distribution channels, (ii) failure to disclose that the sales force in the acquired iPCS markets would require extensive restructuring and (iii) failure to disclose that the "churn" or "turnover" rate for subscribers would increase as a result of an increase in the amount of sub-prime credit quality subscribers the Company added from its merger with iPCS. On July 15, 2002, certain plaintiffs and their counsel filed a motion seeking appointment as lead plaintiffs and lead counsel. On November 26, 2002, the Court entered an Order requiring the Plaintiffs to provide additional

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

information in connection with their Motion for Appointment as Lead Plaintiff and in December 2002, Plaintiffs submitted Declarations in Support of Motion for Appointment of Lead Plaintiff. The Company believes the plaintiffs' claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims. However, no assurance can be given as to the outcome of the litigation.

(6) Staff Reduction and Retail Store Closings

As discussed in Note 1, the Company has initiated a number of actions in an attempt to lower its operating costs and capital needs. During the quarter ended December 31, 2002 the Company decided to reduce its workforce and to close a number of retail stores. Collectively, these actions, which took place in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and are expected to continue in the quarter that will end on March 31, 2003, are referred to as the 2003 Plan.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2002, AirGate costs associated with termination benefits and contract terminations were \$0.5 million and \$0.04 million, respectively, and were associated principally with involuntary employee terminations and store closures. In the quarter that will end March 31, 2003, AirGate expects additional termination benefits and contract terminations to be at least \$.05 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. These charges are expected to result from additional involuntary employee terminations and store closures. Further charges may be necessary as AirGate services are terminated under the services agreement with iPCS as described in Note 8.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2002, iPCS costs associated with termination benefits were \$0.1 million and were associated principally with involuntary employee terminations. In the quarter that will end March 31, 2003, iPCS expects additional termination benefits and contract terminations to be at least \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million respectively. These charges are expected to result from additional involuntary employee terminations and store closures (See Note 10).

A summary of the aforementioned costs is as follows:

	Termination Benefits -----	Contract Termination Costs -----	Total -----
Liability at October 1, 2002	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total charges	610	43	653
Cash paid	379	0	379
Liability at December 31, 2002	231	43	274

The Company determined the above costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Cost Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities."

There were no asset impairment charges associated with the 2003 Plan. However, during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002, the Company reported impairment charges for iPCS' assets of \$460.9 million for goodwill, \$44.4 million for property and equipment, and \$312.1 million for intangible assets.

(7) Income Taxes

The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$17.4 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2001. No such amounts were recorded in the quarter ended December 31, 2002, nor will amounts be recognized in the future unless management believes the recoverability of deferred tax assets is more likely

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

than not.

(8) Transactions Between AirGate and iPCS

The Company formed AirGate Service Company, Inc. ("ServiceCo") to provide management services to both AirGate and iPCS. ServiceCo is a wholly-owned restricted subsidiary of AirGate. Personnel who provide general management services to AirGate and iPCS have been leased to ServiceCo, which includes 176 employees at December 31, 2002. Generally, the management personnel include the corporate staff in the Company's principal corporate offices in Atlanta and the accounting staff in Geneseo, Illinois. ServiceCo expenses are allocated between AirGate and iPCS based on the percentage of subscribers they contribute to the total number of Company subscribers (the "ServiceCo Allocation"), which is currently 60% AirGate and 40% iPCS. Expenses that are related to one company are allocated to that company. Expenses that are related to ServiceCo or both companies are allocated in accordance with the ServiceCo Allocation. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, iPCS recorded a net total of \$1.0 million for ServiceCo expenses.

To facilitate the orderly transition of management services, the boards of AirGate and iPCS have authorized an amendment to the Services Agreement that would allow individual services to be terminated by either party upon prior notice. This amendment requires the consent of each of the administrative agents for the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities and a request for these consents has been made. This could result in a significant change in the allocation of expense to AirGate and iPCS.

AirGate has completed transactions at arms-length in the normal course of business with its unrestricted subsidiary iPCS. These transactions are comprised of roaming revenue and expenses, inventory sales and purchases and sales of network operating equipment.

(9) Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

AGW Leasing Company, Inc. ("AGW") is a wholly-owned restricted subsidiary of AirGate. AGW has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the AirGate notes and the AirGate credit facility. AGW was formed to hold the real estate interests for the Company's PCS network and retail operations. AGW also was a registrant under the Company's registration statement declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 1999.

AirGate Network Services LLC ("ANS") was created as a wholly-owned restricted subsidiary of AirGate. ANS has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the AirGate notes and AirGate credit facility. ANS was formed to provide construction management services for AirGate's PCS network.

AirGate Service Company, Inc. ("Service Co") is a wholly-owned restricted subsidiary of AirGate. Service Co has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the AirGate notes and the AirGate credit facility. Service Co was formed to provide management services to AirGate and iPCS.

iPCS is a wholly-owned unrestricted subsidiary of AirGate and operates as a separate business. As an unrestricted subsidiary, iPCS provides no guarantee to either the AirGate notes or the AirGate credit facility and AirGate and its restricted subsidiaries provide no guarantee to the iPCS notes or the iPCS credit facility.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate Consolidated (1)	iPCS Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 954	\$ (10)	\$ --	\$ 944	\$ 3
Other current assets....	124,078	529	(60,957)	63,650	3
Total current assets....	\$ 125,032	519	(60,957)	64,594	3
Property and equipment, net.....	159,941	43,703	--	203,644	18
Intangible assets, net..	(1,457)	--	--	(1,457)	2
Investment in subsidiaries.....	(217,631)	--	92,656	(124,975)	
Other noncurrent assets.	5,705	--	--	5,705	11
Total assets.....	\$ 71,590	\$ 44,222	\$ 31,699	\$ 147,511	\$ 253
Current liabilities.....	43,201	136,878	(60,957)	119,122	361
Long-term debt.....	366,728	--	--	366,728	
Other long-term liabilities.....	2,106	--	--	2,106	16
Total liabilities.....	\$ 412,035	\$136,878	\$ (60,957)	\$ 487,956	\$ 378
Stockholders' equity....	(340,445)	(92,656)	92,656	(340,445)	(124)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit).....	\$ 71,590	\$ 44,222	\$ 31,699	\$ 147,511	\$ 253

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet of AirGate and iPCS
As of September 30, 2002

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate (1) Consolidated	iPCS Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 4,769	\$ 118	\$ --	\$ 4,887	\$ 27,588
Other current assets....	122,869	529	(60,579)	62,819	35,593
Total current assets....	127,638	647	(60,579)	67,706	63,181
Property and equipment, net.....	168,163	45,614	--	213,777	185,378
Intangible assets, net..	1,428	--	--	1,428	26,899
Investment in					

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

subsidiaries.....	(183,718)	--	84,506	(99,212)	
Other noncurrent assets.	4,924	--	--	4,924	12,115
<hr/>					
Total assets.....	\$ 118,435	\$ 46,261	\$ 23,927	\$188,623	\$ 287,573
<hr/>					
Current liabilities.....	\$ 55,535	\$ 60,579	\$ (60,579)	\$125,723	\$ 369,564
Long-term debt.....	354,264	--	--	354,264	564
Other long-term liabilities.....	1,583	--	--	1,583	16,657
<hr/>					
Total liabilities.....	411,382	60,579	(60,579)	481,570	386,785
<hr/>					
Stockholders' equity....	(292,947)	(14,318)	84,506	(292,947)	(99,212)
<hr/>					
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit).....	\$ 118,435	\$ 46,261	\$ 23,927	\$188,623	\$ 287,573
<hr/>					

(1) Amounts in the column for AirGate consolidated include the effects of purchase accounting related to the iPCS acquisition. Balance sheet information includes \$43 million of debt and \$1 million of net liabilities as of December 31, 2002, and \$44 million of debt and \$1 million of net assets as of September 30, 2002. The net loss of AirGate includes \$2.5 million and \$4.1 million of expenses related to the effects of purchase accounting for iPCS for the quarters ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The quarter ended December 31, 2001 include a tax benefit of \$17.4 million related to the iPCS acquisition.

Unaudited Condensed Statement of Operations of AirGate and iPCS
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2002

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate Consolidated	iPCS Non- Guarantor Subsidiar
<hr/>					
Total revenues.....	\$ 81,865	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 81,865	\$ 51,534
Cost of revenues.....	(53,945)	(4,333)	--	(58,278)	(42,153)
Selling and marketing....	(16,040)	(758)	--	(16,798)	(12,105)
General and administrative.	(3,347)	(730)	--	(4,077)	(3,331)
Depreciation and amortization.....	(12,041)	(2,443)	--	(14,484)	(10,406)

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Other, net.....	(10,253)	114	--	(10,139)	(9,302)
Total expenses.....	(95,626)	(8,150)	--	(103,776)	(77,297)
Loss in subsidiaries.....	(33,913)	--	8,150	(25,763)	--
Loss before income tax benefit.....	(47,674)	(8,150)	8,150	(47,674)	(25,763)
Income tax benefit.....	--	--	--	--	--
Net loss.....	\$ (47,674)	\$ (8,150)	\$8,150	\$ (47,674)	\$ (25,763)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations of AirGate and iPCS
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2001

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate Consolidated	iPCS Non- Guarantor Subsidiary
Total revenues.....	\$ 67,671	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 67,671	\$ 14,026
Cost of revenues.....	(49,498)	(3,745)	--	(53,243)	(14,097)
Selling and marketing... General and administrative.....	(24,621)	(468)	--	(25,089)	(4,756)
Depreciation and amortization.....	(3,677)	(299)	--	(3,976)	(1,224)
Other, net.....	(11,941)	(1,541)	--	(13,482)	(2,323)
	(8,565)	--	--	(8,565)	(1,945)
Total expenses.....	(98,302)	(6,053)	--	(104,355)	(24,345)
Loss in subsidiaries.....	(16,372)	--	6,053	(10,319)	--
Loss before income tax benefit.....	(47,003)	(6,053)	6,053	(47,003)	(10,319)
Income tax benefit.....	17,359	--	--	17,359	--
Net loss.....	\$ (29,644)	\$ (6,053)	\$ 6,053	\$ (29,644)	\$ (10,319)

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Unaudited Condensed Statement of Cash Flow of AirGate and iPCS
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2002

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate Consolidated	iPCS Non- Guarantor Subsidiary
Operating activities, net.....	\$ (2,683)	\$ (128)	\$ --	\$ (2,811)	\$ (17,098)
Investing activities, net.....	(5,626)	--	--	(5,626)	(8,424)
Financing activities, net.....	4,494	--	--	4,494	
Decrease in cash and cash equivalent.....	\$ (3,815)	\$ (128)	\$ --	\$ (3,943)	\$ (25,522)
Cash at beginning of period.....	4,769	118	--	4,887	27,588
Cash at end of period...	954	(10)	--	944	2,066

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2001

	AirGate PCS, Inc.	AirGate Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	AirGate Consolidated	iPCS Non- Guarantor Subsidiary
Operating activities, net.....	\$ (21,736)	\$ 1,193	\$ --	\$ (20,543)	\$ (10,578)
Investing activities, net.....	16,335	(1,061)	--	15,274	(3,879)
Financing activities, net.....	30,585	--	--	30,585	--
Increase in cash and cash equivalent.....	25,184	132	--	25,316	(14,457)
Cash at beginning of period.....	14,447	(157)	--	14,290	24,401

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Cash at end of period...	\$ 39,631	\$ (25)	\$ --	\$ 39,606	\$ 9,944
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

(10) Subsequent Events

iPCS

iPCS has continued to work with its lenders and noteholders on a restructuring and in connection with these efforts appointed Timothy M. Yager as the Chief Restructuring Officer of iPCS. Mr. Yager will be responsible for leading continued restructuring efforts and managing the day-to-day affairs of iPCS. Mr. Yager was the president and chief executive officers of iPCS prior to its acquisition by AirGate and was formerly a director of AirGate.

Since December 31, 2002, iPCS has (i) closed 16 retail locations and 6 administrative offices, reducing the number of retail locations from 27 to 11; (ii) reconfigured support for national third party and local distributors, reducing the total number of employees supporting these channels and (iii) reduced support for the business-to-business channel. These actions resulted in the termination of approximately 160 employees. Charges associated with these actions are expected to be at least \$1.2 million. Furthermore, additional charges for iPCS are expected as iPCS restructures its business.

To facilitate the orderly transition of management services, the boards of AirGate and iPCS have authorized an amendment to the Services Agreement that would allow individual services to be terminated by either party upon 60 days prior notice. This amendment requires the consent of each of the administrative agents for the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities, and a request for these consents has been made. This could result in a significant change in the allocation of expense to AirGate and iPCS.

On January 23, 2003, Sprint set-off against required weekly payments of collected revenues to iPCS \$1.8 million in unrelated disputed fees and charges.

Due to its liquidity issues, iPCS has delayed payments to many of its vendors, including Sprint. iPCS has delayed payments to Sprint of approximately \$6.0 million.

On January 30, 2003, iPCS ceased paying interest on the iPCS credit facility. As a result, the lenders under the iPCS credit facility are entitled to accelerate payments due under the iPCS credit facility. The failure to pay interest on the iPCS credit facility is also a default under the iPCS notes. iPCS has entered into a forbearance agreement with its senior lenders under the terms of which the senior lenders have agreed not to exercise their rights under the iPCS credit facility, including the right to accelerate, until the earlier of (i) March 15, 2003, (ii) a subsequent default by iPCS or (iii) the election of the administrative agent after written notice to iPCS. Based on continuing discussions with an ad hoc committee holding in excess of 50% of the iPCS notes, iPCS expects to enter into a forbearance agreement with such committee shortly.

AirGate

On February 10, 2003, the Board of Directors approved new forms of severance agreements for each of our executive officers. These agreements provide two levels of severance benefits based upon whether the termination occurs in connection with a change of control or not. If the executive terminates employment for good reason or is terminated by the Company other than for cause

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

or disability, as such terms are defined in the agreements, in connection with a change of control or for two years following a change of control, the Company will pay the executive his or her unpaid base salary through the date of termination, a pro rata payment of the executive's target bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, any compensation previously deferred by the executive and any accrued vacation pay. In addition, the Company will make a severance payment to the executive equal to two times his or her annual base salary and bonus at target, or 2.5 times in the case of the Chief Executive Officer. The Company will also continue benefits for the executive for a period after termination and provide limited outplacement services for a period of six months to one year.

If the executive terminates employment for good reason or is terminated by the Company other than for cause or disability and such termination is not in connection with a change of control or within two years following a change of control, the Company will pay the executive his or her unpaid base salary through the date of termination, any compensation previously deferred by the executive and any accrued vacation pay. In addition, the Company will make a severance payment to the executive equal to six months annual base salary, plus one month for each year of service. The Company will also continue benefits for the executive for a period after termination and provide limited outplacement services for the severance period.

The agreements provide that in consideration of the payments and promises in the agreement, the executive releases the Company from all claims, liabilities, contracts, contractual obligations, attorney's fees, demands and causes of action, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent. In addition, the executive agrees not to directly or indirectly (1) perform services for a competitor of the Company in our territory, (2) solicit our employees to terminate their employment with us or solicit certain of our customers to purchase competing products or (3) disclose or use the Company's confidential information and trade secrets, for a period of from 6 months to two years after termination of employment.

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition ("MD&A") contains forward looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about us, our future performance, our liquidity, the wireless industry, our beliefs and management's assumptions. In addition, other written and oral statements that constitute forward looking statements may be made by us or on our behalf. Such forward looking statements include statements regarding expected financial results and other planned events, including but not limited to, anticipated liquidity, churn rates, ARPU and CPGA (all as defined in the Key Operating Metrics), roaming rates, EBITDA (as defined in the Key Operating Metrics), and capital expenditures. Words such as "anticipate," "assume," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "seek", "project," "target," "goal," variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual future events or results may differ materially from these statements. These risks and uncertainties include:

- o the impact of an iPCS insolvency;
- o the competitiveness and impact of Sprint's pricing plans and PCS products and services;
- o subscriber credit quality;
- o the potential to experience a continued high rate of subscriber turnover;
- o the ability of Sprint to provide back office billing, subscriber care and other services and the quality and costs of such services;

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o inaccuracies in data provided by Sprint;
- o new charges and fees, or increased charges and fees, charged by Sprint;
- o rates of penetration in the wireless industry;
- o our significant level of indebtedness;
- o adequacy of bad debt and other allowances;
- o the potential need for additional sources of liquidity;
- o anticipated future losses;
- o subscriber purchasing patterns;
- o potential fluctuations in quarterly results;
- o an adequate supply of subscriber equipment;
- o risks related to future growth and expansion; and
- o the volatility of the market price of AirGate's common stock.

These and other applicable risks and uncertainties are summarized under the captions "Future Trends That May Affect Operating Results, Liquidity and Capital Resources" included in this "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and "Risk Factors" included in Part II under "Item 5 - Other Information" of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and elsewhere in this report.

For a further list of and description of such risks and uncertainties, see the reports filed by us with the SEC. Except as required under federal securities law and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward looking statements after distribution of this report, whether as a result of new information, future events, changes in assumptions or otherwise.

Overview

On July 22, 1998, AirGate entered into management and related agreements with Sprint whereby it became the network partner of Sprint with the right to provide 100% digital PCS products and services under the Sprint brand names in AirGate's original territory in the southeastern United States. In January 2000, AirGate began commercial operations with the launch of four markets covering 2.2 million residents in AirGate's territory. By September 30, 2000, AirGate had launched commercial PCS service in all of the 21 basic trading areas, referred to as markets, which comprise AirGate's original territory. On November 30, 2001, AirGate acquired iPCS, a network partner of Sprint with 37 markets in the midwestern states of Michigan, Illinois, Iowa and Nebraska. The acquisition of iPCS increased the total resident population in the Company's markets from approximately 7.1 million to approximately 14.5 million. Additionally, iPCS served 149,119 subscribers as of November 30, 2001. At December 31, 2002, AirGate and iPCS provided Sprint PCS services to 352,809 and 236,628 subscribers, respectively. At December 31, 2002, AirGate had total network coverage of approximately 5.9 million residents and iPCS had total network coverage of approximately 5.6 million residents, of the 7.1 million and 7.4 million residents in its respective territory.

Under AirGate's and iPCS' long-term agreements with Sprint, we manage our networks on Sprint's licensed spectrum and have the right to use the Sprint brand names royalty-free during the respective company's PCS affiliation with Sprint. We also have access to Sprint's national marketing support and distribution programs and are generally entitled to buy network equipment and subscriber handsets at the same discounted rates offered by vendors to Sprint based on its large volume purchases. In exchange for these and other benefits, AirGate and iPCS each pay an affiliation fee of 8% of collected revenues to Sprint. We are entitled to 100% of revenues collected from the sale of handsets and accessories and on roaming revenues received when customers of Sprint and Sprint's other network partners make a wireless call on our PCS network.

iPCS is a wholly-owned, unrestricted subsidiary of AirGate. As required by the terms of AirGate's and iPCS' respective outstanding indebtedness, each of

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

AirGate and iPCS conducts its business as a separate corporate entity from the other. AirGate's notes require subsidiaries of AirGate to be classified as either "restricted subsidiaries" or "unrestricted subsidiaries". A restricted subsidiary is defined generally as any subsidiary that is not an unrestricted subsidiary. An unrestricted subsidiary includes any subsidiary which:

- o has been designated an unrestricted subsidiary by the AirGate board of directors,
- o has no indebtedness which provides recourse to AirGate or any of its restricted subsidiaries,
- o is not party to any agreement with AirGate or any of its restricted subsidiaries, unless the terms of the agreement are no less favorable to AirGate or such restricted subsidiary than those that might be obtained from persons unaffiliated with AirGate,
- o is a subsidiary with respect to which neither AirGate nor any of its restricted subsidiaries has any obligation to subscribe for additional equity interests, maintain or preserve such subsidiary's financial condition or cause such subsidiary to achieve certain operating results,
- o has not guaranteed or otherwise provided credit support for any indebtedness of AirGate or any of its restricted subsidiaries, and
- o has at least one director and one executive officer that are not directors or executive officers of AirGate or any of its restricted subsidiaries.

AirGate's notes impose certain affirmative and restrictive covenants on AirGate and its restricted subsidiaries and also include as events of default certain events, circumstances or conditions involving AirGate or its restricted subsidiaries. Because iPCS is an unrestricted subsidiary, the covenants and events of default under AirGate's notes do not apply to iPCS.

AirGate's credit facility also imposes certain restrictions on, and applies certain events of default to events, circumstances or conditions involving, AirGate and its subsidiaries. AirGate's senior credit facility, however, expressly excludes iPCS from the definition of "subsidiary." Therefore, these restrictions and events of default applicable to AirGate and its subsidiaries do not generally apply to iPCS.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company relies on the use of estimates and makes assumptions that impact its financial condition and results. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical results and trends as well as the Company's forecasts as to how these might change in the future. Several of the most critical accounting policies that materially impact the Company's results of operations include:

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Estimates are used in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts and are based on historical collection and write-off experience, current trends, credit policies and accounts receivable by aging category. In determining these estimates, the Company compares historical write-offs in relation to the estimated period in which the subscriber was originally billed. The Company also looks at the average length of time that elapses between the original billing date and the date of write-off in determining the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts by aging category. From this information, the Company provides specific amounts to the aging categories. The Company provides an allowance for substantially all receivables over 90 days old. The provision for doubtful accounts as a percentage of service revenues for the three months ended December

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

31 was as follows:

Provision for Doubtful Accounts As % of Service Revenue -----	AirGate -----	iPCS -----	Combined Company -----
2002	3.7%	2.6%	3.3%
2001	11.9%	13.0%	12.1%

The allowance for doubtful accounts as of December 31, 2002 and September 30, 2002 was \$11.5 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. At December 31, 2002, \$6.8 million and \$4.7 million was attributable to AirGate and iPCS, respectively. If the allowance for doubtful accounts is not adequate, it could have a material adverse affect on our liquidity, financial position and results of operations.

The Company also reviews current trends in the credit quality of its subscriber base and periodically changes its credit policies. As of December 31, 2002, 34% of the combined Company's, 34% of AirGate's and 35% of iPCS' subscriber base consisted of sub-prime credit quality subscribers. Sprint has a program in which subscribers with lower quality credit or limited credit history may nonetheless sign up for service subject to certain account spending limits, if the subscriber makes a deposit ranging from \$125 to \$250. In May, 2001, Sprint introduced the no-deposit account spending limit program, in which the deposit requirement was waived except in very limited circumstances (the "NDASL program"). The NDASL program was replaced in late 2001 with the Clear Pay program. The Clear Pay program re-instituted the deposit for the lowest credit quality subscribers. The NDASL and Clear Pay programs and their associated lack of deposit requirements increased the number of the Company's sub-prime credit subscribers. At the end of February, 2002, Sprint allowed its network partners to re-institute deposits in a program called the Clear Pay II program. As described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, the deposit was waived in the iPCS markets during certain times in 2002. The Clear Pay II program and its deposit requirements are currently in effect in most of AirGate's and iPCS' markets, which reinstates a deposit requirement of \$125 for most sub-prime credit subscribers. In early February 2003, management began implementing a higher deposit threshold of \$250 for all sub-prime customers in our markets.

Reserve for First Payment Default Subscribers, Late Payment Fees and Early Cancellation Fees

The Company reserves a portion of its new subscribers and provides a reduction in revenues from those subscribers that it anticipates will never pay a bill. Using historical information of the percentage of subscribers whose service was cancelled for non-payment without ever making a payment, the Company estimates the number of new subscribers activated in the current period that will never pay a bill. For these subscribers, the Company provides a reduction of revenue and removes them from subscriber additions and churn. As a result, these subscribers are not included in the churn statistics or subscriber count. The Company records reserves for late payment fees and early cancellation fees based on information about historical collection rates. The Company records the reserves for late payment fees and early cancellation fees as reductions of revenue.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or products have been delivered, the price to the buyer is fixed and determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company's revenue recognition polices are consistent with the guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

The Company records equipment revenue from the sale of handsets and accessories to subscribers in its retail stores and to local distributors in its territories upon delivery. The Company does not record equipment revenue on handsets and accessories purchased from national third-party retailers such as Radio Shack, Best Buy and Circuit City, or directly from Sprint by subscribers in its territories. The Company believes the equipment revenue and related cost of equipment associated with the sale of wireless handsets and accessories is a separate earnings process from the sale of wireless services to subscribers. For industry competitive reasons, the Company sells wireless handsets at a loss. Because such arrangements do not require a customer to subscribe to the Company's wireless services and because the Company sells wireless handsets to existing customers at a loss, the Company accounts for these transactions separately from agreements to provide customers wireless service.

The Company's subscribers pay an activation fee to the Company when they initiate service. The Company defers activation fee revenue over the average life of its subscribers, which is estimated to be 30 months. The Company recognizes service revenue from its subscribers as they use the service. The Company provides a reduction of recorded revenue for billing adjustments, first payment default customers, late payment fees, and early cancellation fees. The Company also reduces recorded revenue for rebates and discounts given to subscribers on wireless handset sales in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 01-9 "Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Subscriber (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)." The Company participates in the Sprint national and regional distribution programs in which national retailers such as Radio Shack, Best Buy and Circuit City sell Sprint PCS products and services. In order to facilitate the sale of Sprint PCS products and services, national retailers purchase wireless handsets from Sprint for resale and receive compensation from Sprint for Sprint PCS products and services sold. For industry competitive reasons, Sprint subsidizes the price of these handsets by selling the handsets at a price below cost. Under the Company's Sprint agreements, when a national retailer sells a handset purchased from Sprint to a subscriber in the Company's territories, the Company is obligated to reimburse Sprint for the handset subsidy. The Company does not receive any revenues from the sale of handsets and accessories by national retailers. The Company classifies these handset subsidy charges as a selling and marketing expense for a new subscriber handset sale and classifies these subsidies as a cost of service and roaming for a handset upgrade to an existing subscriber.

Sprint retains 8% of collected service revenues from subscribers based in the Company's markets and from non-Sprint subscribers who roam onto the Company's network. The amount of affiliation fees retained by Sprint is recorded as cost of service and roaming. Revenues derived from the sale of handsets and accessories by the Company and from certain roaming services (outbound roaming and roaming revenues from Sprint PCS and its PCS network partner subscribers) are not subject to the 8% affiliation fee from Sprint.

The Company defers direct subscriber activation costs when incurred and amortizes these costs using the straight-line method over 30 months, which is the estimated average life of a subscriber. Direct subscriber activation costs also include credit check fees and loyalty welcome call fees charged to the Company by Sprint and costs incurred by the Company to operate a subscriber activation center.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Goodwill

The Company accounts for long-lived assets and goodwill in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 144 requires that

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. SFAS No. 142 requires annual tests for impairment of goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives and interim tests when an event has occurred that more likely than not has reduced the fair value of such assets. As of September 30, 2002, the Company recorded substantial write-offs of long lived assets and goodwill. Management does not believe that any significant changes occurred since year end and thus no additional write-offs have been made. Management will continue to monitor any triggering events and perform re-evaluations, as necessary.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure--an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." SFAS No. 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation from the intrinsic value-based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." As allowed by SFAS No. 123, the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of accounting, and has adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123. The Company currently does not anticipate adopting the provisions of SFAS No. 148.

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (the "Interpretation"), which addresses the disclosure to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under guarantees. The Interpretation also requires the recognition of a liability by a guarantor at the inception of certain guarantees.

The Interpretation requires the guarantor to recognize a liability for the non-contingent component of the guarantee, which is the obligation to stand ready to perform in the event that specified triggering events or conditions occur. The initial measurement of this liability is the fair value of the guarantee at inception. The recognition of the liability is required even if it is not probable that payments will be required under the guarantee or if the guarantee was issued with a premium payment or as part of a transaction with multiple elements.

The Company guarantees certain lease commitments of its restricted subsidiaries. The maximum amount of these guarantees is included in the consolidated operating lease disclosure commitment footnoted included in the Company's Form 10-K/A. Also, the handsets sold by the Company are under a one-year warranty from Sprint. If a customer returns a handset for warranty, the Company sends the handset to Sprint for repair. Sprint provides a credit to the Company equal to the price of the refurbished handset, which is generally what is returned to the customer. The Company will apply the recognition and measurement provisions for all guarantees and warranties entered into or modified after December 31, 2002.

In July 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 provides new guidance on the recognition of costs associated with exit or disposal activities. The standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of commitment to an

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

exit or disposal plan. SFAS No. 146 supercedes previous accounting guidance provided by the EITF Issue No. 94-3 "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." EITF Issue No. 94-3 required recognition of costs at the date of commitment to an exit or disposal plan. SFAS No. 146 is to be applied prospectively to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. Early application is permitted. The adoption of SFAS No. 146 by the Company on October 1, 2002 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as the Company has not recorded any significant restructurings in the past periods, but the adoption may impact the timing of charges in future periods. As discussed in Note 6, during the quarter ended December 31, 2002 the Company recorded \$0.7 million of costs related to staff reductions and retail location closings.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." Among other things, this statement rescinds FASB Statement No. 4, "Reporting Gains and Losses from Extinguishment of Debt" which required all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. As a result, the criteria in APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations -- Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions," will now be used to classify those gains and losses. The adoption of SFAS No. 145 by the Company on October 1, 2002 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 requires the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation to be recognized in the period that it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 by the Company on October 1, 2002 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of the results of operations includes the results of operations of iPCS subsequent to November 30, 2001 and therefore iPCS' results of operation for the quarter ended December 31, 2001 only include one month's results.

Key Operating Metrics Defined

Terms such as subscriber net additions, average revenue per user, churn and cost per gross addition are important operating metrics used in the wireless telecommunications industry. Terms such as EBITDA are financial measures used by many companies. None of these terms, including EBITDA, are measures of financial performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The Company believes that EBITDA serves as an important financial analysis tool for measuring and comparing financial information such as liquidity, operating performance and leverage. EBITDA should not, however, be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, net income, cash flow or operating loss as determined in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA and these other terms as used by the Company may not be comparable to a similarly titled measure of another company. We have included below a reconciliation of EBITDA to operating loss.

The following terms used in this report have the following meanings:

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

"EBITDA" means earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

"ARPU" summarizes the average monthly service revenue per user, excluding roaming revenue. ARPU is computed by dividing service revenue for the period by the average subscribers for the period, which is net of an adjustment for first payment default subscribers.

"Churn" is the monthly rate of subscriber turnover that both voluntarily and involuntarily discontinued service during the month, expressed as a percentage of the total subscriber base. Churn is computed by dividing the number of subscribers that discontinued service during the month, net of 30 day returns and an adjustment for estimated first payment default subscribers, by the average total subscriber base for the period.

"CPGA" summarizes the average cost to acquire new subscribers during the period. CPGA is computed by adding the income statement components of selling and marketing, cost of equipment and activation costs (which are included as a component of cost of service) and reducing that amount by the equipment revenue recorded. That net amount is then divided by the total new subscribers acquired during the period, reduced by a provision for first payment default subscribers.

For the three months ended December 31, 2002 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2001:

iPCS was acquired on November 30, 2001. In accordance with purchase accounting, iPCS' results of operations are included only for the month of December 2001. The table below sets forth below key operating metrics for the Company for the quarters ended December 31, 2002 and 2001.

	Quarter Ended December 31,			
	2002			AirGate
	AirGate	iPCS	Combined	AirGate
	-----	----	-----	-----
Subscriber Gross Additions	55,621	45,299	100,920	83,012
Subscriber Net Additions	13,670	20,934	34,604	54,820
Total Subscribers	352,809	236,628	589,437	289,844
ARPU	\$58	\$53	\$56	\$60
Churn (with subscriber reserve)	3.78%	3.18%	3.54%	3.19%
Churn (without subscriber reserve)	4.09%	3.62%	3.91%	4.40%
CPGA	\$369	\$346	\$359	\$345
Cap Ex (from cash flow statement)	\$5,626,000	\$8,424,000	\$14,050,000	\$3,246,000
EBITDA	\$2,536,000	\$(6,055,000)	\$(3,519,000)	\$(14,868,000)
				\$ (6

The reconciliation of EBITDA to our reported operating loss, as determined in accordance with GAAP, is as follows (in thousands):

	Quarter Ended December 31,			
	2002			AirGate
	AirGate	iPCS	Combined	AirGate
	-----	----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

	-----	----	-----	-----
EBITDA	\$2,536	\$ (6,055)	\$ (3,519)	\$ (14,868)
Depreciation	(11,599)	(9,027)	(20,626)	(9,003)
Amortization of intangible assets	(2,885)	(1,379)	(4,264)	(4,479)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating Loss	\$ (11,948)	\$ (16,461)	\$ (28,409)	\$ (28,350)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Subscriber Net Additions

For AirGate, net subscriber additions are down for the quarter ended December 31, 2002, compared to the same quarter in 2001. This decline is due to the re-institution of the deposit for sub-prime credit quality customers, actions taken to reduce acquisition costs, the increased number of subscribers who churn and slowing wireless subscriber growth in our markets. For iPCS, net subscriber additions increased in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001. This increase is due to the inclusion of only one month's results for iPCS in the quarter ended December 31, 2001.

The Company does not include in its subscriber base an estimate of first payment default subscribers. At December 31, 2002 and 2001, the estimated first payment default subscribers were 7,597 and 10,055, respectively. Estimated first payment default subscribers at December 31, 2002 for AirGate and iPCS were 4,187 and 3,410, respectively.

Subscriber Gross Additions

For AirGate, gross subscriber additions were down for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001. This decline is due to the re-institution of the deposit for sub-prime credit quality customers, actions taken to reduce costs and slowing wireless subscriber growth in our markets. For iPCS, gross subscriber additions increased in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001. This increase is due to the inclusion of only one month's results for iPCS in the quarter ended December 31, 2001.

EBITDA

EBITDA losses for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 have decreased from the same period in 2001. This reduction in total losses is a result of a substantially larger subscriber base over the period and increased net roaming margin. On a standalone basis, AirGate had positive EBITDA in the quarter ended December 31, 2002. While all financial transactions and estimates affect EBITDA, EBITDA for AirGate was favorably impacted by \$1.3 million in credits provided by Sprint as a result of the final settlement of service fees as described in Note 3. The impact of this final settlement of service fees on EBITDA for iPCS was approximately \$700,000. The EBITDA loss for iPCS was adversely impacted by a \$1.4 million charge for disputed cash adjustments with Sprint associated with iPCS' purchase of Cedar Rapids and Iowa City subscribers.

Average Revenue Per User

The decrease in ARPU for the Company for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter for 2001 is primarily the result of the acquisition of iPCS, cessation of recognizing terminating access revenue and declines in the average monthly recurring revenue per user. Until March 2002, the Company recorded terminating long-distance access revenues billed by Sprint PCS to long distance carriers.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Churn

Churn increased for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001 primarily as a result of increased competition among wireless carriers in our markets and the greater number of sub-prime credit quality subscribers in our subscriber base.

Cost Per Gross Addition

For AirGate, CPGA was higher for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001. The increase is due to greater handset sales incentives, rebates and marketing costs in 2002 than the prior year and fixed costs being spread over a fewer number of gross additions. For iPCS, CPGA was down for the quarter ended December 31, 2002, compared to the same quarter in 2001. This decrease is primarily attributable to fixed costs being spread over a larger number of gross subscriber additions as a result of having three months results included in the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

Revenues

	Quarter Ended December 31,				
	2002			2001	
	AirGate	iPCS	Combined	AirGate	iPCS
Service Revenue	\$59,933	\$36,395	\$96,328	\$47,240	\$8,609
Roaming Revenue	18,910*	13,379*	31,991	16,618	4,685
Equipment Revenue	3,021	1,761	4,782	3,813	732
Total	\$81,864*	\$51,535*	\$133,101	\$67,671	\$14,026

* Amounts are reflected prior to the elimination of intercompany transactions

We derive our revenue from the following sources:

Service. We sell wireless personal communications services. The various types of service revenue associated with wireless communications services include monthly recurring access and feature charges and monthly non-recurring charges for local, wireless long distance and roaming airtime usage in excess of the subscribed usage plan.

Equipment. We sell wireless personal communications handsets and accessories that are used by our subscribers in connection with our wireless services. Equipment revenue is derived from the sale of handsets and accessories from Company owned stores, net of sales incentives, rebates and an allowance for returns. The Company's handset return policy allows subscribers to return their handsets for a full refund within 14 days of purchase. When handsets are returned to the Company, the Company may be able to reissue the handsets to subscribers at little additional cost. However, when handsets are returned to Sprint for refurbishing, the Company receives a credit from Sprint, which is less than the amount originally paid for the handset.

Roaming. The Company receives roaming revenue at a per-minute rate from Sprint and other Sprint PCS network partners when Sprint PCS subscribers from outside of the Company's territory use the Company's network, which accounted for 89% of the roaming revenue recorded for the quarter ended December 31, 2002. The Company pays the same reciprocal roaming rate when

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

subscribers from our territories use the network of Sprint or its other PCS network partners. The Company also receives non-Sprint roaming revenue when subscribers of other wireless service providers who have roaming agreements with Sprint roam on the Company's network.

Service revenue and equipment revenue for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 increased over the same period in the prior year. The increase in service revenue and equipment revenue for the combined Company reflect the substantially higher average number of subscribers using the Company's network, including subscribers acquired in the iPCS acquisition and the inclusion of only one month's activity for iPCS for the quarter ended December 31, 2001.

Roaming revenue for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 increased over the same period in the prior year. The increase is attributable to the larger wireless subscriber base for Sprint and other Sprint PCS network partners, the additional covered territory acquired with iPCS, increased roaming revenue to iPCS from Verizon Wireless and increased roaming revenue from other third-party carriers, and the inclusion of only one month's activity for iPCS for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, partially offset by a lower average roaming rate. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, roaming revenue from Sprint and its PCS network partners was \$28.5 million, or 89% of the roaming revenue recorded. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, roaming revenue from Sprint and its PCS network partners attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$17.8 million and \$10.7 million, respectively.

The reciprocal roaming rate among Sprint and its PCS network partners, including the Company, has declined over time, from \$0.20 per minute of use (prior to June 1, 2001 for AirGate or January 1, 2002 for iPCS), to \$0.10 per minute of use in calendar year 2002. Sprint has notified the Company that it intends to reduce the reciprocal roaming rate to \$0.058 per minute of use in calendar year 2003. The Company is assessing its ability to dispute the reduction in this rate, but its remedies may be limited.

Cost of Service and Roaming

	Quarter Ended December 31,				
	2002			2001	
	AirGate	iPCS	Combined	AirGate	iPCS
Roaming expense	\$ 15,668*	\$9,430*	\$ 24,800	\$ 14,414	\$ 3,898
Network operating costs	11,551	10,463	22,014	11,005	3,175
Bad debt expense	2,186	940	3,126	5,613	1,117
Wireless handset upgrades	1,583	1,047	2,630	--	--
Total cost of service and roaming	\$ 51,431*	\$ 36,874*	\$88,006	\$46,238	\$11,519

*Amounts are reflected prior to the elimination of intercompany transactions

Cost of service and roaming principally consists of costs to support the Company's subscriber base including:

- o Roaming expense,
- o network operating costs (including salaries, cell site lease payments, fees related to the connection of the Company's switches to the cell sites that they support, inter-connect fees and other expenses related to network

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

operations),

- o back office services provided by Sprint such as customer care, billing and activation, 41 the 8% of collected service revenue representing the Sprint affiliation fee,
- o long distance expense relating to inbound roaming revenue and the Company's own subscriber's long distance usage and roaming expense when subscribers from the Company's territory place calls on Sprint's network,
- o bad debt related to estimated uncollectible accounts receivable, and
- o wireless handset subsidies on existing subscriber upgrades through national third-party retailers.

The cost of service and roaming increased for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001. The increase in the cost of service and roaming is attributable to the increase in the number of subscribers due to the acquisition of iPCS and additional subscriber growth and the inclusion of only one month's costs for iPCS for the quarter ended December 31, 2001. Cost of service was reduced by approximately \$0.9 million (\$0.5 million for AirGate and \$0.4 million for iPCS) for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 due to the final settlement of service bureau fees with Sprint (See Note 3). Cost of service was increased by \$1.4 million for disputed cash adjustments with Sprint associated with iPCS' purchase of Cedar Rapids and Iowa City subscribers.

Roaming expense increased for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001 as a result of the substantial increase in the Company's subscriber base, including the acquired iPCS subscriber base and an increase in the average roaming minutes per month for each subscriber, partially offset by a lower average rate per minute. 93% and 92% of the cost of roaming was attributable to Sprint and its network partners for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, prior to the elimination of intercompany transactions. As discussed above, the per-minute rate the Company pays Sprint when subscribers from the Company's territory roam onto the Sprint network decreased beginning June 1, 2001 for AirGate and January 1, 2002 for iPCS.

Bad debt expense decreased by \$1.6 million (\$2.3 million decrease for AirGate and \$0.7 million increase for iPCS) in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same period in 2001. This decrease in bad debt expense is primarily attributable to improvements in the credit quality and payment profile of our subscriber base since we re-imposed deposits in early 2002. This resulted in a significant improvement in accounts receivable write-offs and corresponding bad debt expense for the quarter.

For the quarter ended December 31, 2002 the network operating cost increased compared to the same period in 2001 as a result of the acquisition of iPCS and its subscriber base and network assets.

Cost of Equipment

We purchase handsets and accessories to resell to our subscribers for use in connection with our services. Because we subsidize the sale of handsets to remain competitive in the marketplace, the cost of handsets is higher than the resale price to the subscriber. Cost of equipment was \$12.1 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2002, and \$9.6 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, an increase of \$2.5 million. This increase in cost of equipment is primarily attributable to the increase in the number of subscribers that have upgraded their equipment in our Company-owned retail stores. For the three months ended December 31, 2002, cost of equipment attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$6.8 million and \$5.3 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Selling and Marketing

Selling and marketing expenses include retail store costs such as salaries and rent in addition to promotion, advertising and commission costs, and handset subsidies on units sold by national third-party retailers for which the Company does not record revenue. Under the management agreements with Sprint, when a national retailer sells a handset purchased from Sprint to a subscriber from the Company's territories, the Company is obligated to reimburse Sprint for the handset subsidy that Sprint originally incurred. The national retailers sell Sprint wireless services under the Sprint brands and marks. The Company incurred selling and marketing expenses of \$28.9 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2002, compared to \$29.8 million in the quarter ended December 31, 2001. Selling and marketing expense is down \$0.9 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to the same quarter in 2001. This reduction was partially due to the final settlement of service bureau fees from Sprint PCS (\$0.6 million for AirGate and \$0.1 million for iPCS) (See Note 3) and equipment rebates, net, of \$0.25 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2002, offset by staff reductions, predominately for AirGate, of \$0.6 million. For the three months ended December 31, 2002, selling and marketing expense attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$16.8 million and \$12.1 million, respectively.

General and Administrative

For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, the Company incurred general and administrative expenses of \$7.4 million, compared to \$5.2 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, an increase of \$2.2 million. This increase resulted from the growth in the number of employees and service providers providing general and administrative services and the acquisition of iPCS. Approximately 146 employees were performing corporate support functions at December 31, 2002 compared to 88 employees at December 31, 2001. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, general and administrative expense attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$4.1 million and \$3.3 million, respectively.

Non-Cash Stock Compensation

Non-cash stock compensation expense was \$0.2 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2002, and \$0.2 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001. The Company applies the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans. Unearned stock compensation is recorded for the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock and restricted stock at the date of grant and is recognized as non-cash stock compensation expense in the period in which the related services are rendered.

Depreciation

We capitalize network development costs incurred to ready our network for use and costs to build-out our retail stores and office space. Depreciation of these costs begins when the equipment is ready for its intended use and is amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, depreciation increased to \$20.6 million, compared to \$11.3 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, an increase of \$9.3 million. The increase in depreciation expense relates primarily to only one month's depreciation expense being included for iPCS for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, additional network assets placed in service in 2002, offset by impairment charge in fiscal year 2002. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, depreciation attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$11.6 million and \$9.0 million, respectively.

The Company incurred capital expenditures of \$14.0 million in the quarter ended December 31, 2002, which included approximately \$0.5 million of capitalized interest, compared to capital expenditures of \$7.1 million and capitalized interest of \$1.3 million in the quarter ended December 31, 2001. Capital

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

expenditures incurred by AirGate and iPCS were \$5.6 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, for the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets relates to the amounts recorded from the iPCS acquisition for the acquired subscriber base, non-competition agreements, and the right to provide service under iPCS' Sprint agreements. Amortization for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 was approximately \$4.3 million. With the completion of the iPCS acquisition on November 30, 2001, amortization of the intangible assets of \$4.5 million represented only one month of expense during the quarter ended December 31, 2001. The Company recorded an impairment charge in fiscal year 2002 to write-down intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 144 and 142.

Interest Expense

For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, interest expense was \$19.3 million, compared to \$10.3 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, an increase of \$9.0 million. The increase is primarily attributable to increased debt related to accreted interest on the AirGate notes and the iPCS notes, increased borrowings under the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities, and only one month's expense included for iPCS for the quarter ended December 31, 2001, partially offset by lower commitment fees on undrawn balances of the credit facilities, and a lower interest rate on variable rate borrowings under the credit facilities. The Company had borrowings of \$729.1 million as of December 31, 2002, including debt of iPCS, compared to \$556.4 million at December 31, 2001. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, interest expense attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$10.0 million and \$9.3 million, respectively.

Income Tax Benefit

No income tax benefit was recognized for the quarter ended December 31, 2002. Income tax benefits of \$17.4 million were recognized for the quarter ended December 31, 2001. Income tax benefits will be recognized in the future only to the extent management believes recoverability of deferred tax assets is more likely than not.

Net Loss

For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, the net loss was \$47.7 million, an increase of \$18.1 million from a net loss of \$29.6 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2001. The increase was attributable to the results of operations of iPCS, which had a reported net loss of \$25.8 million. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, net loss attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$21.9 million and \$25.8 million, respectively. The net loss of AirGate included \$2.5 million of expenses for purchase accounting adjustments related to iPCS.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of December 31, 2002, the Company had \$3.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, compared to \$32.5 million in cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2002. The Company's working capital deficit was \$379.7 million at December 31, 2002, compared to a working capital deficit of \$364.4 million at September 30, 2002. The majority of the Company's working capital deficit as of December 31, 2002 was attributable to the classification of the iPCS credit facility and notes totaling \$359.8 million as current. As of December 31, 2002, iPCS was in default of certain covenants in the iPCS credit facility and notes. Because of iPCS' inability to cure such defaults, all amounts under the iPCS credit facility and notes were classified as a current liability. As of December 31, 2002, cash and cash equivalents attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$0.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Working capital at December 31, 2002

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$(54.5) million and (\$325.2) million, respectively.

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities

The \$19.9 million of cash used in operating activities in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 was the result of the Company's \$47.7 million net loss offset by non-cash items including depreciation, amortization of note discounts, financing costs, amortization of intangibles, provision for doubtful accounts, and non-cash stock compensation totaling \$43.4 million. These non-cash items were partially offset by negative net cash working capital changes of \$15.6 million. The negative net working capital changes were driven primarily by an increase in prepaid expenses along with decreases in payables due to Sprint, trade accounts payable, accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities. The \$31.1 million of cash used in operating activities in the quarter ended December 31, 2001 was the result of the Company's \$29.6 million net loss and negative working capital changes of \$16.3 million, which were partially offset by \$14.8 million of depreciation, amortization of note discounts, provision for doubtful accounts, amortization of financing costs and non-cash stock option compensation. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, cash used in operating activities attributable to Airgate and iPCS was \$2.8 million and \$17.1 million, respectively.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

The \$14.0 million of cash used in investing activities during the quarter ended December 31, 2002 represents \$14.0 million for purchases of property and equipment. Purchases of property and equipment during the quarter ended December 31, 2002 related to investments to upgrade the Company's network to 1XRTT, expansion of switch capacity and expansion of service coverage in the Company's territories. For the three months ended December 31, 2001, cash outlays of \$11.4 million represented cash payments of \$7.1 million made for purchases of equipment and \$5.9 million of cash acquisition costs related to the merger with iPCS, offset by \$24.4 million of cash acquired from iPCS. For the three months ended December 31, 2002, cash used in investing activities attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$5.6 million and \$8.4 million, respectively.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

The \$4.5 million in cash provided by financing activities during the quarter ended December 31, 2002, consisted of \$5.0 million in borrowings under the AirGate credit facility offset by \$0.5 million for principal payments associated with the AirGate credit facility. The \$30.6 million of cash provided by financing activities in the quarter ended December 31, 2001 consisted of \$30.0 million borrowed under the AirGate credit facility and \$0.6 million of proceeds received from exercise of options. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, cash provided by financing activities attributable to AirGate and iPCS was \$4.5 million and \$0 million, respectively.

Liquidity

Due to the factors described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended September 30, 2002, management has made changes to the assumptions underlying the long-range business plans for AirGate and iPCS. These changes included lower new subscribers, lower ARPU, higher subscriber churn, increased service and pass through costs from Sprint in the near-term and lower roaming margins from Sprint.

Despite cost cutting and other measures, liquidity is an issue for iPCS in the near-term. In October, 2002, we retained Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin Capital to review iPCS' revised long range business plan, the strategic alternatives available to iPCS and to assist iPCS in developing and implementing a plan to

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

improve its capital structure. Because current conditions in the capital markets make additional financing unlikely, iPCS has undertaken efforts to restructure its relationship with its secured lenders, its public noteholders and Sprint. To date, iPCS has been unable to restructure its debt or secure additional financing necessary to fund its operations and, accordingly, iPCS expects to file for reorganization and protection from its creditors under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in early 2003 either as part of a consensual restructuring or in an effort to effect a court administered reorganization.

As of December 31, 2002, iPCS was in default under certain covenants contained in its credit facility and the indenture governing its notes. In addition, on January 30, 2003, iPCS ceased making interest payments under the iPCS credit facility. As a result, the senior lenders have the ability to accelerate iPCS' payment obligations under the iPCS credit facility and the holders of the iPCS notes have the ability to accelerate iPCS' payment obligations under iPCS' indenture. iPCS would not have sufficient resources to meet its payment obligations in the event of any such acceleration. iPCS has entered into a forbearance agreement with its senior lenders under the terms of which the senior lenders have agreed not to exercise their rights under the iPCS credit facility until the earlier of (i) March 15, 2003, (ii) a subsequent default by iPCS or (iii) the election of the administrative agent after written notice to iPCS. Based on continuing discussions with an ad hoc committee holding in excess of 50% of the iPCS notes, iPCS expects to enter into a forbearance agreement with such committee shortly.

Due to its liquidity issues, iPCS has delayed payments to many of its vendors, including Sprint. iPCS has delayed payments to Sprint of approximately \$6.0 million.

Because iPCS is an unrestricted subsidiary, AirGate is generally unable to provide capital or other financial support to iPCS. Further, iPCS lenders, noteholders and creditors do not have a lien on or encumbrance on assets of AirGate. We believe AirGate's operations will continue independent of the outcome of the iPCS restructuring.

While AirGate has also experienced a deterioration in its liquidity, it appears that it is in a better position to address the issues discussed above. It has a larger subscriber base than iPCS and, as a stand-alone operation, AirGate's business is more mature. Although no assurances can be made, based upon its current business plan, which continues to be revised and evaluated in light of evolving circumstances, we expect that AirGate will have sufficient funds from operations and amounts available under its credit facility to satisfy its working capital requirements, capital expenditures and other liquidity requirements through at least the end of the calendar year 2003.

Capital Resources

At December 31, 2002, the Company had \$3.0 million of cash and cash equivalents, consisting of \$0.9 million for AirGate and \$2.1 million for iPCS.

As of December 31, 2002, \$12.0 million remained available for borrowing under the AirGate credit facility. The Company's obligations under the AirGate credit facility are secured by all of AirGate's assets, but not assets of iPCS and its subsidiaries.

As of December 31, 2002, there was no remaining availability under the iPCS credit facility, which was amended during November 2002 to reduce availability by \$10.0 million to \$130.0 million. As described above under "Liquidity," iPCS has ceased making interest payments on its credit facility and is currently in default of certain covenants under its credit facility and indenture. As a result, the lenders have the ability to accelerate iPCS' payment obligations under the iPCS credit facility and the holders of its notes have the ability to

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

accelerate iPCS' payment obligations to them under the indenture governing such notes. While iPCS has entered into a forbearance agreement with its senior lenders, as referenced above, there can also be no assurance that the noteholders will enter into the forbearance agreement referenced above, or that the senior lenders or noteholders will enter into any additional forbearance agreement if necessary. iPCS would not have sufficient resources to meet its payment obligations in the event of any such acceleration. iPCS' obligations under the iPCS credit facility are secured by all of iPCS' operating assets, but not other assets of AirGate and its restricted subsidiaries.

Future Trends That May Affect Operating Results, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our business plan and estimated future operating results are based on estimates of key operating metrics, including subscriber growth, subscriber churn, capital expenditures, ARPU, losses on sales of handsets and other subscriber acquisitions costs, and other operating costs. The unsettled nature of the wireless market, the current economic slowdown, increased competition in the wireless telecommunications industry, new service offerings of increasingly large bundles of minutes of use at lower prices by some major carriers, and other issues facing the wireless telecommunications industry in general have created a level of uncertainty that may adversely affect our ability to predict future subscriber growth as well as other key operating metrics.

Certain other factors that may affect our operating results, liquidity and capital resources include the following:

AirGate has limited funding options and the ability to draw remaining funds under the AirGate credit facility may be terminated.

AirGate had only \$12 million remaining available under the AirGate credit facility as of December 31, 2002. AirGate currently has no additional sources of working capital other than EBITDA. If our actual revenues are less than we expect or operating or capital costs are more than we expect, our financial condition and liquidity may be materially adversely affected. In such event, there is substantial risk that the Company could not access the credit or capital markets for additional capital.

AirGate's ability to borrow funds under the AirGate credit facility may be terminated if it is unable to maintain or comply with the restrictive financial and operating covenants contained in the agreements governing the AirGate credit facility.

The AirGate credit facility contains covenants specifying the maintenance of certain financial ratios, reaching defined subscriber growth and network covered population goals, minimum service revenues, maximum capital expenditures, and the maintenance of a ratio of total debt to annualized EBITDA, as defined in the credit facility. In accordance with the AirGate credit facility, EBITDA means, consolidated net income (or loss) plus the sum of (a) income tax expense, (b) interest expense, (c) depreciation and amortization expense, and (d) extraordinary, unusual or non-recurring losses or charges and (e) any non-cash losses or charges, minus (i) interest income, (ii) extraordinary, unusual or non-recurring gains and any other non-cash gains and (iii) income attributable to investments in any entity (other than consolidated Subsidiaries) except to the extent AirGate or a wholly-owned subsidiary actually received such income in the form of cash dividends or other similar cash distributions ("Credit Facility EBITDA").

In order to satisfy the total debt to annualized Credit Facility EBITDA covenant at March 31, 2003 and based on the amount anticipated to be outstanding under the AirGate credit facility on that date, Credit Facility EBITDA must be at least \$10.4 million for the six months ending March 31, 2003. AirGate has taken a number of actions to reduce costs to enable it to attain this level of Credit

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Facility EBITDA, including instituting a \$250 deposit requirement for sub-prime credit customers, reducing advertising and marketing spending, cutting back on retail store hours and cutting back on promotional activity. AirGate believes these actions will be sufficient to enable it to meet the March 31, 2003 total debt to annualized Credit Facility EBITDA covenant. However, there can be no assurance that these measures will be sufficient or that other operating metrics, including revenues, for the quarter will be as planned.

If the Company is unable to operate the AirGate business within the covenants specified in the AirGate credit facility, and is unable to obtain future amendments to such covenants, AirGate's ability to make borrowings required to operate the AirGate business could be restricted or terminated. Such a restriction or termination would have a material adverse affect on AirGate's liquidity and capital resources. There can be no assurance that AirGate could obtain amendments to such covenants if necessary.

The Company believes that it is currently in compliance in all material respects with all financial and operational covenants relating to the AirGate credit facility.

Variable interest rates may increase substantially.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had borrowed \$141.0 million and 130.0 million under the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities, respectively. The rate of interest on those credit facilities is based on a margin above either the alternate bank rate (the prime lending rate in the United States) or the London Interbank Offer Rate (LIBOR). For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate under variable rate borrowings were 5.5% under the AirGate credit facility and 5.4% under the iPCS credit facility. The combined Company's weighted average borrowing rate on variable rate borrowings at December 31, 2002 was 5.5%. If interest rates increase, the Company may not have the ability to service the interest requirements on its credit facilities. Further, if AirGate or iPCS were to default under their respective credit facility, such company's rate of interest would increase by an additional 2%. The senior lenders have agreed under the forbearance agreement to not increase the Company's rate of interest for iPCS during the forbearance period.

The Company operates with negative working capital because of amounts owed to Sprint.

Each month the Company pays Sprint expenses described in greater detail under "Related Party Transactions and Transactions between AirGate and iPCS." A reduction in the amounts the Company owes Sprint may result in a greater use of cash for working capital purposes than the business plans currently project. An increase in such amounts may reduce cash available to the Company and decrease the amount of cash for working capital purposes then the business plans currently project.

Other factors

Other factors which could adversely affect our liquidity and capital resources are described in this report at Risk Factors, including the following:

- o our revenues may be less than we anticipate,
- o our costs may be higher than we anticipate,
- o we may continue to experience a high rate of subscriber turnover,
- o our efforts to reduce costs may not succeed or may have adverse affects on our business,

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o our provision for doubtful accounts may not be sufficient to cover uncollectible accounts,
- o the restructuring of iPCS,
- o in the event of iPCS' bankruptcy or insolvency, AirGate may not be able to reduce its general and administrative costs in an amount sufficient to subsidize the portion of the combined Company's costs currently borne by iPCS,
- o there could be a significant change in the allocation of expense from AirGate to iPCS under the Services Agreement if services or the Services Agreement are terminated, and
- o risks related to our relationship with Sprint.

Contractual Obligations

The Company is obligated to make future payments under various contracts it has entered into, including amounts pursuant to the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities, the AirGate notes, the iPCS notes, capital leases and non-cancelable operating lease agreements for office space, cell sites, vehicles and office equipment. Future expected minimum contractual cash obligations for the next five years and in the aggregate at December 31, 2002 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Contractual Obligation -----	Total -----	Payments Due By Period			
		2003 ----	2004 ----	2005 ----	2006 ----
AirGate credit facility (1)	\$ 140,993	\$ 2,024	\$15,863	\$21,150	\$26,920
AirGate notes	300,000	--	--	--	--
AirGate operating leases (2)	75,284	19,096	18,313	13,711	8,768
AirGate subtotal	\$ 516,277	\$21,120	\$ 34,176	\$34,861	\$35,688
iPCS credit facility (1)(3)	\$ 130,000	\$ --	\$ 13,000	\$19,500	\$32,500
iPCS notes (3)	300,000	--	--	--	--
iPCS operating leases (2)	71,029	13,214	12,593	11,663	8,694
iPCS capital leases	1,268	72	75	78	82
iPCS subtotal	\$ 502,297	\$13,286	\$25,668	\$31,241	\$41,276
Total	\$1,018,574	\$34,406	\$59,844	\$66,102	\$76,964
Total after reclassification(3)	\$1,018,574	\$464,406	\$46,844	\$46,602	\$44,464

(1) Total repayments are based upon borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2002, not projected borrowings under the respective credit facility. (2) Does not include payments due under renewals to the original lease term. (3) Amounts in this table do not reflect the current classification of the iPCS credit facility and iPCS notes as a result of the event of default discussed above.

The \$153.5 million AirGate credit facility provides for a \$13.5 million senior secured term loan, which matures on June 6, 2007, which is the first installment

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

of the loan, or tranche I. The second installment, or tranche II, under the AirGate credit facility is for a \$140.0 million senior secured term loan, which matures on September 30, 2008. The AirGate credit facility requires quarterly payments of principal beginning December 31, 2002, for tranche I, and March 31, 2004, for tranche II, initially in the amount of 3.75% of the loan balance then outstanding and increasing thereafter. AirGate made its first quarterly principal payment in the amount of \$0.5 million on December 31, 2002. The commitment fee on unused borrowings is 1.50%, payable quarterly. The AirGate notes will require cash payments of interest beginning on April 1, 2005.

The iPCS credit facility provides for a \$80.0 million senior secured term loan which matures on December 31, 2008, which is the first installment of the loan, or tranche A. The second installment, or tranche B, under the iPCS credit facility is for a \$50.0 million senior secured term loan, which also matures on December 31, 2008. The iPCS credit facility requires quarterly payments of principal beginning March 31, 2004, for tranche A and tranche B, initially in the amount of 2.5% of the loan balance then outstanding and increasing thereafter. The commitment fee on unused borrowings ranges from 1.00% to 1.50%, payable quarterly. The iPCS notes will require cash payments of interest beginning on January 15, 2006.

There are provisions in each of the agreements governing the credit facilities, the AirGate notes and the iPCS notes providing for an acceleration of repayment upon an event of default, as defined in the respective agreements. As discussed previously, because of iPCS' defaults under its credit facility, iPCS' senior lenders and noteholders have the ability to accelerate its payment obligations. While iPCS has entered into a forbearance agreement with its senior lenders, as referenced above, there can be no assurance that the administrative agent will not exercise the rights to terminate the forbearance or that the senior lenders will enter into any additional forbearance agreement if necessary. Further, there can be no assurance that the noteholders will enter in the forbearance agreement described above or any other forbearance agreement if necessary.

As of February 12, 2003, two major credit rating agencies rate AirGate's and iPCS' unsecured debt. The ratings were as follows:

Type of facility	Moody's	S&P
-----	-----	---
AirGate notes	Caa2	CC
iPCS notes	Ca	CC

On February 4, 2003 Standard & Poor's removed AirGate from credit watch as a result of AirGate's filing of its Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements and has not entered into any transactions involving unconsolidated, limited purpose entities or commodity contracts.

Seasonality

The Company's business is subject to seasonality because the wireless industry historically has been heavily dependent on fourth calendar quarter results. Among other things, the industry relies on significantly higher subscriber additions and handset sales in the fourth calendar quarter as compared to the other three calendar quarters. A number of factors contribute to this trend, including: the increasing use of retail distribution, which is heavily dependent upon the year-end holiday shopping season; the timing of new product and service announcements and introductions; competitive pricing pressures; and aggressive marketing and promotions. The increased level of activity requires a greater use of available financial resources during this period. We expect, however, that fourth quarter seasonality will have less impact in the future.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN AIRGATE AND IPCS

Transactions with Sprint

Under the Sprint Agreements, Sprint provides the Company significant support services such as billing, collections, long distance, customer care, network operations support, inventory logistics support, use of Sprint brand names, national advertising, national distribution and product development. Additionally, the Company derives substantial roaming revenue and expenses when Sprint's and Sprint's network partners' wireless subscribers incur minutes of use in the Company's territories and when the Company's subscribers incur minutes of use in Sprint and other Sprint network partners' PCS territories. These transactions are recorded in roaming revenue, cost of service and roaming, cost of equipment and selling and marketing expense captions in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Cost of service and roaming transactions include the 8% affiliation fee, long distance charges, roaming expense and the costs of services such as billing, collections, customer service and pass-through expenses. Cost of equipment transactions relate to inventory purchased by the Company from Sprint under the Sprint agreements. Selling and marketing transactions relate to subsidized costs on handsets and commissions paid by the Company under Sprint's national distribution programs. Amounts recorded relating to the Sprint agreements for the three months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three December

	2002
Amounts included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations:	
AirGate roaming revenue.....	\$ 17,829
AirGate cost of service and roaming:	
Roaming.....	\$ 14,685
Customer service.....	11,303
Affiliation fee.....	4,836
Long distance.....	2,785
Other.....	494

AirGate cost of service and roaming:.....	\$ 34,103
AirGate purchased inventory.....	\$ 5,650
AirGate selling and marketing.....	\$ 3,101
iPCS roaming revenue.....	\$ 10,663
iPCS cost of service and roaming	
Roaming.....	\$ 8,362
Customer service.....	7,526
Affiliation fee.....	3,106
Long distance.....	2,150
Other.....	1,696

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

iPCS cost of service and roaming.....	\$ 22,840
iPCS purchased inventory.....	\$ 6,175
iPCS selling and marketing.....	\$ 3,014

	As of	
	December 31, 2002	September 30, 2002
Receivable from Sprint	\$ 42,334	\$ 44,953
Payable to Sprint	(82,011)	(88,360)

Because approximately 96% of our revenues are collected by Sprint and 65% of costs of service and roaming in our financial statements are derived from fees and charges, including pass-through charges, from Sprint, we have a variety of settlement issues open and outstanding from time to time. These include, but are not limited to, the following items, all of which for accounting purposes have been reserved or otherwise provided for:

- o Sprint PCS sought to recoup \$4.9 million in long-distance access revenues previously paid by Sprint PCS to the Company, of which \$3.9 million related to AirGate and \$1.0 million related to iPCS. We have disputed these amounts.
- o Sprint charged the Company approximately \$1.2 million with respect to calendar year 2002 to reimburse Sprint for certain 3G related development expenses. We have disputed Sprint's right to collect these fees.
- o In connection with the review of accounts receivable at September 30, 2002, the Company reclassified approximately \$10.0 million of subscriber accounts receivable for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 to a receivable from Sprint. We believe at least \$10.0 million is payable from Sprint, but Sprint has acknowledged and paid only \$5.1 million.
- o We continue to discuss with Sprint whether we owe software maintenance fees to Sprint of approximately \$3.0 million, of which \$1.6 million relates to AirGate and \$1.4 million relates to iPCS through December 31, 2002.
- o Sprint asserted that iPCS owed \$2.2 million in various fees, charges and revenue adjustments which iPCS disputed. Sprint set-off \$1.8 million with respect to these charges against other amounts owed to iPCS in the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

In addition, monthly Sprint service charges are set by Sprint at the beginning of each calendar year. Sprint takes the position that at the end of each year, it can determine its actual costs to provide these services to its network partners and require a final settlement against the charges actually paid. If the costs to provide these services are less than the amounts paid by Sprint's network partners, Sprint will issue a credit for these amounts. If the costs to provide the services are more than the amounts paid by Sprint's network partners, Sprint will debit the network partners for these amounts. Sprint notified us that a credit would be issued to the Company in a net amount of approximately \$2.0 million (\$1.3 million for AirGate and \$0.7 million for iPCS). This amount has been recorded as of December 31, 2002 as a reduction to the consolidated balance for Receivable from Sprint and a reduction of operating loss.

Transactions between AirGate and iPCS

The Company formed AirGate Service Company, Inc. ("ServiceCo") to provide management services to both AirGate and iPCS. ServiceCo is a wholly-owned restricted subsidiary of AirGate. Personnel who provide general management

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

services to AirGate and iPCS have been leased to ServiceCo, which includes 176 employees at December 31, 2002. Generally, the management personnel include the corporate staff in the Company's principal corporate offices in Atlanta and the accounting staff in Geneseo, Illinois. ServiceCo expenses are allocated between AirGate and iPCS based on the percentage of subscribers they contribute to the total number of Company subscribers (the "ServiceCo Allocation"), which is currently 60% AirGate and 40% iPCS. Expenses that are related to one company are allocated to that company. Expenses that are related to ServiceCo or both companies are allocated in accordance with the ServiceCo Allocation. For the quarter ended December 31, 2002, iPCS recorded a net total of \$1.0 million for ServiceCo expenses.

To facilitate the orderly transition of management services, the boards of AirGate and iPCS have authorized an amendment to the Services Agreement that would allow individual services to be terminated by either party upon prior notice. This amendment requires the consent of each of the administrative agents for the AirGate and iPCS credit facilities, and a request for these consents has been made. This could result in a significant change in the allocation of expense to AirGate and iPCS.

AirGate has completed transactions at arms-length in the normal course of business with its unrestricted subsidiary iPCS. These transactions are comprised of roaming revenue and expenses, inventory sales and purchases and sales of network operating equipment.

Item 3. Quantitative And Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

In the normal course of business, the Company's operations are exposed to interest rate risk on its credit facilities and any future financing requirements. The Company's fixed rate debt consists primarily of the accreted carrying value of the 1999 AirGate notes (\$228.1 million at December 31, 2002) and the 2000 iPCS notes (\$229.8 million at December 31, 2002). Our variable rate debt consists of borrowings made under the AirGate credit facility (\$141.0 million at December 31, 2002) and the iPCS credit facility (\$130.0 million at December 31, 2002). For the three months ended December 31, 2002, the weighted average interest rate under the AirGate credit facility was 5.5% and under the iPCS credit facility was 5.4%. Our primary interest rate risk exposures relate to (i) the interest rate on long-term borrowings; (ii) our ability to refinance the AirGate and iPCS notes at maturity at market rates; and (iii) the impact of interest rate movements on our ability to meet interest expense requirements and financial covenants under our debt instruments.

The following table presents the estimated future balances of outstanding long-term debt projected at the end of each period and future required annual principal payments for each period then ended associated with the AirGate and iPCS notes and credit facilities based on projected levels of long-term indebtedness:

	Years Ending September			
	2003	2004	2005	2006
	----	----	----	----
	(Dollars in thousands)			
AirGate notes	\$228,813	\$260,630	\$297,191	\$297,28
Fixed interest rate	13.5%	13.5%	13.5%	13.5
Principal payments	--	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

AirGate credit facility	\$151,475	\$133,700	\$110,000	\$79,89
Variable interest rate (1)	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	5.75
Principal payments	\$ 2,025	\$17,775	\$23,700	\$ 30,10
iPCS credit facility (2)	\$130,000	\$120,250	\$102,375	\$ 73,12
Variable interest rate (1)	5.75%	5.75%	5.75%	5.75
Principal payments	--	\$ 9,750	\$ 17,875	\$ 29,25
iPCS notes (2)	\$252,093	\$285,118	\$296,967	\$297,16
Fixed interest rate	14.0%	14.0%	14.0%	14.0
Principal payments	--	--	--	--

(1) The interest rate on the credit facilities equals the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") +3.75%. LIBOR is assumed to equal 2.0% for all periods presented, which is the current LIBOR rate. A 1% increase (decrease) in the variable interest rate would result in a \$2.7 million increase (decrease) in the related interest expense on an annual basis.

(2) Amounts in this table do not reflect the current classification of the iPCS credit facility and iPCS notes as a result of the events of default discussed elsewhere in this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, December 31, 2002 (the "Evaluation Date"), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the Evaluation Date.

Our Relationship with Sprint

Under our long-term (up to 50 year) agreements with Sprint, we market PCS products and services under the Sprint brand names in our territory and our business currently consists solely of Sprint wireless products and services. Under our agreements, Sprint exercises extensive control over our business and our relationship with Sprint is unique in many ways. For example:

- o Our network must interface seamlessly with the national Sprint wireless network.
- o Our network must be built, maintained and upgraded to include Sprint's most current technology in accordance with Sprint-approved plans and using Sprint-approved equipment.
- o Under our management agreement with Sprint, we are required to provide services such as customer care, billing and collections in accordance with

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

program requirements established by Sprint in accordance with the management agreement. Any third party vendor must receive Sprint approval, comply with Sprint's program requirements with respect to these services and interface with Sprint's systems.

- o Sprint must approve our marketing and sales materials.
- o Sprint develops products and services that we are required to offer in our territory and it must approve all products and services we offer in our territory, subject to certain limitations.
- o Our stores must conform to Sprint's requirements for retail stores and are identical to Sprint retail stores in Sprint's markets.
- o Sprint develops and implements pricing and credit plans that we are required to offer in our territory.
- o We are required to absorb the cost of promotional plans developed by Sprint, which we must offer in our territory (e.g., rebates or discounts to customers for handset purchases).
- o Our subscribers call Sprint customer care.
- o Our subscribers receive bills from, and make payments to, Sprint.

Under our agreements with Sprint, Sprint provides us with billing, collections, customer care and other back office services. As a result, approximately 95% of our revenues are paid through Sprint. In addition, approximately 65% of cost of service and roaming in our consolidated financial statements relate to charges by or through Sprint for its affiliation fee, charges for services provided under our agreements with Sprint such as billing, collections and customer care, roaming expense, long-distance, and pass-through and other fees and expenses. Under our agreements, Sprint is responsible to keep and maintain books and records to support and document any fees, costs or other charges due in connection with the agreements and to provide a monthly true-up report of amounts required to be remitted to the Company with respect to collected revenues. Due to this relationship, the Company necessarily relies on Sprint to provide accurate, timely and sufficient data and information to properly record our revenues, expenses and accounts receivable, which underlie a substantial portion of our periodic financial statements and other financial disclosures. Nevertheless, the Company continues to dedicate significant Company resources to ensure its disclosure controls and procedures, as integrated with Sprint, are effective.

Information provided by Sprint includes reports regarding our subscriber accounts receivable. Sprint provides us monthly accounts receivable, billing and cash receipts, expense detail and settlements information. Under our agreements with Sprint, we are entitled to only a portion of the cash receipts, net of items such as taxes, government surcharges and the 8% Sprint affiliation fee. Sprint has developed and used a tool called the "revenue profile" to estimate the payments due to us. We regularly review and reconcile these various reports to identify discrepancies or errors and address those issues with Sprint.

Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Fiscal 2002

Because of our reliance on Sprint for financial information, we depend on Sprint to design adequate internal controls with respect to the processes established to provide this data and information to the Company and Sprint's other network partners. As part of this control process, Sprint engages its independent auditors to perform a periodic evaluation of these controls and to provide a "Report on Controls Placed in Operation and Tests of Operating Effectiveness for

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

Affiliates" under guidance provided in Statement of Auditing Standards No. 70 ("Type II SAS 70 reports"). The Type II SAS 70 report is provided to us annually and covers our entire fiscal year.

In addition, at least annually, we review the prior year's Type II SAS 70 report in light of events that have occurred during the year. We also provide comments to Sprint and its independent auditors regarding issues and information the report should address that may not have been addressed in the prior year's report.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, it became apparent that discrepancies between various accounts receivable reports provided by Sprint had become significant. To address these issues, we conducted a lengthy inquiry into the causes of the discrepancies. Among other things, we had numerous discussions and meetings with Sprint's accounting staff, requested and received additional and more detailed reports and demanded reconciliations with our records.

In connection with our review of the accounts receivable issue at September 30, 2002 for purposes of finalizing our financial statements, we reclassified approximately \$10.0 million of AirGate subscriber accounts receivable for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 to a receivable from Sprint. We provided an allowance to reflect the receivable at its net realizable value, which we collected from Sprint subsequent to September 30, 2002.

At September 30, 2002, we and our independent auditors believed that the accounts receivable issue resulted from a reportable condition in our internal controls. Reportable conditions are significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect an organization's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the consolidated financial statements. Nonetheless, we concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2002. We came to this conclusion for the following reasons:

- o The controls and procedures in place during fiscal year 2002 were effective in detecting the accounts receivable issue.
- o Even with the accounts receivable issue, we believed it was reasonable to rely on the reports and information we received from Sprint. Sprint is a public reporting company that certifies its financial information and its controls and procedures. In addition, compared to any single Sprint affiliate, Sprint has significantly greater resources and efficiencies, both financially and with respect to personnel, with which to gather, analyze and control its information.
- o We relied on the Type II SAS 70 report discussed above and the controls discussed in the report.
- o During the entire fiscal year 2002, the Company used a program to automate a portion of the process utilized to record the Company's revenues and accounts receivable from the files received from Sprint. The program summarizes the files received from Sprint to mirror the Company's general ledger accounts. The Company performs a reasonableness check prior to recording the amounts in the Company's general ledger. The Company relies on the program and the inherent system controls and the reasonableness checks to ensure the consistency of the information downloaded from Sprint with the information reflected in the Company's general ledger.
- o During the entire fiscal year 2002, Company personnel reviewed financial information and data provided by Sprint. The Company has performed and continues to perform reasonableness checks regarding information provided by Sprint on a monthly basis by evaluating trends in key performance

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

indicators to detect trending anomalies. The Company's finance and operations groups evaluate these trends and the Company relies on this process as a compensating control to detect errors in the data provided by Sprint. The Company's finance and operations groups work closely with the Company's accounting group to reconcile differences and make necessary corrections and follow up with Sprint as necessary.

- o During the entire fiscal year 2002, the Company reviewed and reconciled certain information provided by Sprint to detect inconsistencies in the data.
- o In July 2002, we established a disclosure control committee made up of senior members of management and key employees. The committee assists the Company's senior officers in fulfilling their responsibility for oversight of the accuracy and timeliness of the disclosures made by the Company. The committee, among other things, designs and establishes controls and procedures regarding the accuracy and dissemination of information, monitors the integrity and effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls, reviews and supervises the preparation of filings and announcements made by the Company and evaluates the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls. The committee includes members who have information pertaining to Sprint to ensure that appropriate disclosures are made pertaining to Sprint.
- o During December 2002, prior to the issuance of our annual report, the Company worked with Sprint to identify the sources of the discrepancies. The Company then developed a reconciliation process of the accounts receivable aging report provided by Sprint with the Company's accounts receivable account. The reconciliation was developed to identify the nature of the differences and quantify the amount of the error in the Company's accounts receivable account. The Company continues to use and refine this reconciliation process to detect errors on a timely basis.

Given the controls described above, and the discrete nature of the accounts receivable issue, we concluded, at the time our certifications of disclosure controls were made, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Fiscal 2003

Although we concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the end of fiscal 2002 and in each interim period of fiscal 2003, we recognized that further improvements were necessary to better address information provided by Sprint. During fiscal 2003, we focused additional resources on reviewing and analyzing information provided by Sprint and worked with Sprint to identify other information and reports that would assist us in this review and analysis, particularly as it relates to accounts receivable and the application of cash.

During 2003, in order to more timely and better monitor, verify and analyze information provided by Sprint, we took the following actions to further enhance our disclosure controls and procedures. While we believe that, in the aggregate, these actions improved our overall internal controls, we do not believe that any individual action was a material change to our internal controls.

- o We reconcile accounts receivable aging reports from Sprint to our general ledger on a quarterly basis.
- o In January, 2003, the Company engaged a consultant with telecommunications settlement experience to develop a plan for a Sprint settlements department, to analyze and interface with Sprint to resolve financial disputes with Sprint, to review the method of calculating the revenue profile and to review the Sprint settlements processes and facilitate the

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

transition of Sprint settlements and review processes from the accounting department in Geneseo, Illinois to the new settlements department in Atlanta, Georgia. In May 2003, we internally staffed and broadened role of the settlements department. The department has two full-time employees (hired in May and August 2003) and one contract person (hired in June 2003). The manager of the settlements group serves as the primary interface with Sprint regarding all issues related to the Sprint settlements process. The settlements group reviews and analyzes financial data provided by Sprint, including the components of the revenue profile that Sprint uses to determine the amount of collected revenues paid to us. The settlements group assists us in verifying amounts charged by Sprint as well as revenues and other amounts settled with Sprint.

- o During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, we completed an in-depth review of the procedures undertaken in prior Type II SAS 70 reports and we requested and Sprint agreed to provide and include additional procedures in 2003 and future Type II SAS 70 Reports.
- o In September 2003, we requested additional "agreed upon procedures" pertaining to accounts receivable from Sprint's independent accountants. Sprint's independent accountants performed such procedures during October 2003.
- o Beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, we analyzed, documented and implemented file audit and assurance processes for certain Sprint files used in recording financial information.
- o We obtained for the first time from Sprint an account level detail of subscriber accounts receivable as of September 30, 2003. In September 2003, we requested this detail on at least a quarterly basis in the future.
- o In September 2003, Sprint agreed to provide semi-annual SAS 70 reports beginning in 2004.
- o In September 2003, Sprint informed us that it will request SAS 70 reports from key service providers, including the third-party provider of its billing systems and services.

Although we refined and improved our internal controls in 2002, we and our independent auditors believe that a reportable condition (as defined above) in internal controls relating to accounts receivable continued during 2003 because most of the procedures described above were not in place until the end of the fiscal year. As a result of the improved processes and procedures described above, the Company believes no reportable condition in internal controls existed by the end of the fiscal year, September 30, 2003 but our independent auditors have not made that finding.

Because the procedures outlined under "Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Fiscal 2002" continued during 2003, we believe our disclosure controls and procedures were effective throughout 2003, including as of September 30, 2003.

In order to avoid a reportable condition in the future, the Company will need to continue the processes described above and continue to obtain or perform the following:

- o Obtain from Sprint access to a detailed listing of subscriber receivables at the account level on a quarterly basis and validate its integrity.
- o Perform a full reconciliation of the subscriber receivables detail to the general ledger balance, including a complete understanding of all reconciling items.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o Perform a rollforward of the accounts receivable information to be provided by Sprint and compare these amounts to our general ledger accounts.

The Company will continue to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of its improvements in controls related to information provided by Sprint and continue to improve these processes.

In preparation for the requirements imposed under Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, we are retaining an outside accounting firm to assist us in reviewing and improving our internal control processes, including the processes to verify data provided by Sprint.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We refer you to the information discussed above in Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On July 3, 2002 the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC") issued an order in Sprint PCS v. AT&T for declaratory judgment holding that PCS wireless carriers could not unilaterally impose terminating long distance access charges pursuant to FCC rules. This FCC order did not preclude a finding of a contractual basis for these charges, nor did it rule whether or not Sprint PCS had such a contract with carriers such as AT&T. AirGate and iPCS have previously received \$3.9 and \$1.0 million, respectively, from Sprint PCS. This is comprised of \$4.3 and \$1.1 million, respectively, of terminating long distance access revenues, less \$0.4 and \$0.1 million, respectively, of associated affiliation fees from Sprint PCS, and Sprint PCS has asserted its right to recover these revenues net of the affiliation fees. As a result of this ruling, and our assessment of this contingency under SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", the Company recorded a charge to revenues during the quarter ended June 30, 2002 to fully reserve for these amounts. However, we have not paid such amounts and have disputed the ability of Sprint PCS to recover these revenues.

In May, 2002, putative class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against AirGate PCS, Inc., Thomas M. Dougherty, Barbara L. Blackford, Alan B. Catherall, Credit Suisse First Boston, Lehman Brothers, UBS Warburg LLC, William Blair & Company, Thomas Wiesel Partners LLC and TD Securities. The complaints do not specify an amount or range of damages that the plaintiffs are seeking. The complaints seek class certification and allege that the prospectus used in connection with the secondary offering of Company stock by certain former iPCS shareholders on December 18, 2001 contained materially false and misleading statements and omitted material information necessary to make the statements in the prospectus not false and misleading. The alleged omissions included (i) failure to disclose that in order to complete an effective integration of iPCS, drastic changes would have to be made to the Company's distribution channels, (ii) failure to disclose that the sales force in the acquired iPCS markets would require extensive restructuring and (iii) failure to disclose that the "churn" or "turnover" rate for subscribers would increase as a result of an increase in the amount of sub-prime credit quality subscribers the Company added from its merger with iPCS. On July 15, 2002, certain plaintiffs and their counsel filed a motion seeking appointment as lead plaintiffs and lead counsel. On November 26, 2002,

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

the Court entered an Order requiring the plaintiffs to provide additional information in connection with their Motion for Appointment as Lead Plaintiff and in December 2002, the plaintiffs submitted Declarations in Support of Motion for Appointment of Lead Plaintiff. The Company believes the plaintiffs' claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims. However, no assurance can be given as to the outcome of the litigation.

Item 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

On January 30, 2003, iPCS failed to make a required interest payment under its \$130 million senior secured credit facility, which is an event of default under the iPCS credit facility. The amount of this interest payment default was \$0.1 million, and the total arrearage under the iPCS credit facility as of February 14, 2003 was \$0.4 million.

In addition, iPCS failed to file its annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and failed to deliver its financial statements or provide the required opinion of its independent auditors on its financial statements. Each of these events are defaults under the credit facility and the indenture governing iPCS' \$300 million 14% senior subordinated discount notes due 2010. iPCS does not anticipate being able to remedy these defaults.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Investment Considerations - Risk Factors

Our business and our prospects are subject to many risks. The following items are representative of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could affect our business, our future performance, our liquidity and the outcome of the forward-looking statements we make. In addition, our business, our future performance, our liquidity and forward-looking statements could be affected by general industry and market conditions and growth rates, general economic and political conditions, including the global economy and other future events, including those described BELOW AND elsewhere in this QUARTERLY report on Form 10-Q.

Risks Related to Our Business, Strategy and Operations

The unsettled nature of the wireless market may limit the visibility of key operating metrics

Our business plan and estimated future operating results are based on estimates of key operating metrics, including subscriber growth, subscriber churn, average monthly revenue per subscriber, losses on sales of handsets and other subscriber acquisitions costs and other operating costs. The unsettled nature of the wireless market, the current economic slowdown, increased competition in the wireless telecommunications industry, new service offerings of increasingly large bundles of minutes of use at lower prices by some major carriers, and other issues facing the wireless telecommunications industry in general have created a level of uncertainty that may adversely affect our ability to predict these key operating metrics.

Our revenues may be less than we anticipate which could materially adversely

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations

Revenue growth is primarily dependent on the size of our subscriber base, average monthly revenues per user and roaming revenue. During the year ended September 30, 2002, we experienced slower net subscriber growth rates than planned, which we believe is due in large part to increased churn, declining rates of wireless subscriber growth in general, the re-imposition of deposits for most sub-prime credit subscribers during the last half of the year, the current economic slowdown and increased competition. Other carriers also have reported slower subscriber growth rates compared to prior periods. We have seen a continuation of competitive pressures in the wireless telecommunications market causing some major carriers to offer plans with increasingly large bundles of minutes of use at lower prices which may compete with the calling plans we offer, including the Sprint calling plans we support. While our business plan anticipates lower subscriber growth, it assumes average monthly revenues per user will remain relatively stable. Increased price competition may lead to lower average monthly revenues per user than we anticipate. In addition, the lower reciprocal roaming rate that Sprint intends to institute in 2003 will reduce our roaming revenue, which may not be offset by the reduction in our roaming expense. If our revenues are less than we anticipate, it could materially adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operation.

Our costs may be higher than we anticipate which could materially adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations

Our business plan anticipates that we will be able to lower our operating and capital costs, including costs per gross addition. Increased competition may lead to higher promotional costs, losses on sales of handset and other costs to acquire subscribers. Further, as described below under "Risks Related to Our Relationship With Sprint," a substantial portion of costs of service and roaming are attributable to fees and charges we pay Sprint for billing and collections, customer care and other back-office support. Our ability to manage costs charged by Sprint is limited. If our costs are more than we anticipate, the actual amount of funds to implement our strategy and business plan may exceed our estimates, which could have a material adverse affect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

We may continue to experience a high rate of subscriber turnover, which would adversely affect our financial performance

The wireless personal communications services industry in general, and Sprint and its network partners in particular, have experienced a higher rate of subscriber turnover, commonly known as churn, as compared to cellular industry averages. This churn rate has been driven higher over the past year due to the NDASL and Clear Pay programs and the removal of deposit requirements as described elsewhere in this report. Our business plan assumes that churn will decline significantly over the course of fiscal 2003. Due to significant competition in our industry and general economic conditions, among other things, this decline may not occur and our future rate of subscriber turnover may be higher than our historical rate. Factors may contribute to higher churn include:

- o inability or unwillingness of subscribers to pay which results in involuntary deactivations, which accounted for 62% of our deactivations in the three months ended December 31, 2002;
- o subscriber mix and credit class, particularly sub-prime credit subscribers which have accounted for approximately 50% of our gross subscriber additions since May 2001 and account for approximately 34% of our subscriber base as of December 31, 2002;
- o the attractiveness of our competitors' products, services and pricing;

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o network performance and coverage relative to our competitors;
- o customer service;
- o increased prices; and
- o any future changes by us in the products and services we offer, especially to the Clear Pay Program.

A high rate of subscriber turnover could adversely affect our competitive position, liquidity, financial position, results of operations and our costs of, or losses incurred in, obtaining new subscribers, especially because we subsidize some of the costs of initial purchases of handsets by subscribers.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts may not be sufficient to cover uncollectible accounts

On an ongoing basis, we estimate the amount of subscriber receivables that we will not collect to reflect the expected loss on such accounts in the current period. Our business plan assumes that bad debt as a percentage of service revenues will decline significantly during fiscal 2003. Our allowance for doubtful accounts may underestimate actual unpaid receivables for various reasons, including:

- o our churn rate may exceed our estimates;
- o bad debt as a percentage of service revenues may not decline as we assume in our business plan;
- o adverse changes in the economy; or
- o unanticipated changes in Sprint's PCS products and services.

If our allowance for doubtful accounts is insufficient to cover losses on our receivables, it could materially adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Roaming revenue could be less than anticipated, which could adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations

The Company has been notified by Sprint that it intends to reduce the reciprocal rate from \$0.10 per minute to \$0.058 per minute in 2003. While the Company believes this reduction is not in accordance with its agreements with Sprint, it is reviewing its options, and its recourse against Sprint for this reduction may be limited. Based upon 2002 historical roaming data, a reduction in the roaming rate to \$0.058 per minute would have reduced roaming revenue by approximately \$36 million (\$23 million for AirGate and \$13 million for iPCS) and would have reduced roaming expense by approximately \$26 million (\$16 million for AirGate and \$10 million for iPCS). The ratio of roaming revenue to expense for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 was 1.3 to one.

The amount of roaming revenue we receive also depends on the minutes of use of our network by PCS subscribers of Sprint and Sprint PCS network partners. If actual usage is less than we anticipate, our roaming revenue would be less and our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our efforts to reduce costs may have adverse affects on our business

As a result of the current business environment, AirGate has revised its business plan and is seeking to manage expenses to improve its liquidity

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

position. AirGate has significantly reduced projected capital expenditures, advertising and promotion costs and other operating costs. Reduced capital expenditures could, among other things, force us to delay improvements to our networks, which could adversely affect the quality of service to our subscribers. These actions could reduce our subscriber growth and increase churn, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operation.

The Company may incur significantly higher wireless handset subsidy costs than we anticipate for existing subscribers who upgrade to a new handset

As the Company's subscriber base matures, and technological innovations occur, more existing subscribers will begin to upgrade to new wireless handsets. The Company subsidizes a portion of the price of wireless handsets and incurs sales commissions, even for handset upgrades. Excluding sales commissions, the Company experienced approximately \$4.8 million associated with wireless handset upgrade costs for the year ended September 30, 2002. The Company does not have any historical experience regarding the adoption rate for wireless handset upgrades. If more subscribers upgrade to new wireless handsets than the Company projects, our results of operations would be adversely affected.

The loss of the officers and skilled employees who we depend upon to operate our business could materially adversely affect our results of operations

Our business is managed by a small number of executive officers. We believe that our future success depends in part on our continued ability to attract and retain highly qualified technical and management personnel. We may not be successful in retaining our key personnel or in attracting and retaining other highly qualified technical and management personnel. Our ability to attract and retain such persons may be negatively impacted if our liquidity position does not improve. In addition, we grant stock options as a method of attracting and retaining employees, to motivate performance and to align the interests of management with those of our stockholders. Due to the decline in the trading price of our common stock, a substantial portion of the stock options held by employees have an exercise price that is higher than the current trading price of our common stock, and therefore these stock options may not be effective in helping us to retain valuable employees. We currently have "key man" life insurance for our Chief Executive Officer. The loss of our officers and skilled employees could materially adversely affect our results of operation.

Parts of our territories have limited amounts of licensed spectrum, which may adversely affect the quality of our service and our results of operations

Sprint has licenses covering 10 MHz of spectrum in AirGate's territory. While Sprint has licenses covering 30 MHz of spectrum throughout most of iPCS' territory, it has licenses covering only 10 MHz or 20 MHz in parts of Illinois. As the number of subscribers in our territories increase, this limited amount of licensed spectrum may not be able to accommodate increases in call volume, may lead to increased dropped and blocked calls and may limit our ability to offer enhanced services, all of which could result in increased subscriber turnover and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

There is a high concentration of ownership of the wireless towers we lease and if we lose the right to install our equipment on certain wireless towers or are unable to renew expiring leases, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely impacted

Many of our cell sites are co-located on leased tower facilities shared with one or more wireless providers. A large portion of these leased tower sites are owned by a few tower companies. Approximately 75% of the towers leased by AirGate are owned by four tower companies (and their affiliates). Approximately 60% of the towers leased by iPCS are owned by four tower companies (and their

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

affiliates), with one company owning approximately 29% of the combined Company's leased towers. If a master co-location agreement with one of these tower companies were to terminate, or if one of these tower companies were unable to support our use of its tower sites, we would have to find new sites or we may be required to rebuild that portion of our network. In addition, because of this concentration of ownership of our cell sites, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected if we are unable to renew expiring leases with such tower companies on favorable terms, or in the event of a disruption in any of their business operations.

Risks Particular to AirGate's Indebtedness

AirGate has substantial debt that it may not be able to service; a failure to service such debt may result in the lenders under such debt controlling AirGate's assets

The substantial debt of AirGate has a number of important consequences for our operations and our investors, including the following:

- o AirGate will have to dedicate a substantial portion of any cash flow from its operations to the payment of interest on, and principal of, its debt, which will reduce funds available for other purposes;
- o AirGate may not be able to obtain additional financing if the assumptions underlying the business plan are not correct and existing sources of funds, together with cash flow, are insufficient for capital requirements, working capital requirements and other corporate purposes;
- o some of AirGate's debt, including financing under AirGate's credit facility, is at variable rates of interest, which could result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in market interest rates; and
- o due to the liens on substantially all of AirGate's assets and the pledges of stock of AirGate's existing and future restricted subsidiaries that secure AirGate's credit facility and notes, lenders or holders of such notes may exercise remedies giving them the right to control AirGate's assets or the assets of the subsidiaries of AirGate, other than iPCS, in the event of a default.

The ability of AirGate to make payments on its debt will depend upon its future operating performance which is subject to general economic and competitive conditions and to financial, business and other factors, many of which AirGate cannot control. If the cash flow from AirGate's operating activities is insufficient, it may take actions, such as further delaying or reducing capital expenditures, attempting to restructure or refinance its debt, selling assets or operations or seeking additional equity capital. Any or all of these actions may not be sufficient to allow AirGate to service its debt obligations. Further, AirGate may be unable to take any of these actions on satisfactory terms, in a timely manner or at all. The AirGate credit facility and indenture governing AirGate's debt limit our ability to take several of these actions.

If AirGate does not meet all of the conditions required under its credit facility, it may not be able to draw down all of the funds it anticipates receiving from its senior lenders and AirGate may not be able to fund operating losses and working capital needs

As of December 31, 2002, AirGate had outstanding \$141 million under its credit facility. The remaining \$12 million available under AirGate's credit facility is subject to AirGate meeting all of the conditions specified in its financing documents. Additional borrowings are subject to specific conditions on each funding date, including the following:

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o that the representations and warranties in the loan documents are true and correct;
- o that certain financial covenant tests are satisfied, including leverage, debt coverage and operating performance covenants, minimum subscriber revenues, maximum capital expenditures, and covenants relating to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization; and
- o the absence of a default under the loan documents and agreements with Sprint.

See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of certain covenants for the quarter ending March 31, 2003. If AirGate does not meet these conditions at each funding date, its senior lenders may not lend some or all of the remaining amounts under its credit facility. If other sources of funds are not available, AirGate may not be in a position to meet its operating and other cash needs.

The AirGate indenture and credit facility contain provisions and requirements that could limit AirGate's ability to pursue borrowing opportunities

The restrictions contained in the indenture governing the AirGate notes, and the restrictions contained in AirGate's credit facility, may limit AirGate's ability to implement its business plans, finance future operations, respond to changing business and economic conditions, secure additional financing, if needed, and engage in opportunistic transactions. The AirGate credit facility and notes also restricts the ability of AirGate and the ability of AirGate's subsidiaries, other than iPCS, and its future subsidiaries to do the following:

- o create liens;
- o make certain payments, including payments of dividends and distributions in respect of capital stock;
- o consolidate, merge and sell assets;
- o engage in certain transactions with affiliates; and
- o fundamentally change its business.

If AirGate fails to pay the debt under its credit facility, Sprint has the option of purchasing AirGate's loans, giving Sprint certain rights of a creditor to foreclose on AirGate's assets

Sprint has contractual rights, triggered by an acceleration of the maturity of the debt under AirGate's credit facility, pursuant to which Sprint may purchase AirGate's obligations to its senior lenders and obtain the rights of a senior lender. To the extent Sprint purchases these obligations, Sprint's interests as a creditor could conflict with AirGate's interests. Sprint's rights as a senior lender would enable it to exercise rights with respect to AirGate's assets and continuing relationship with Sprint in a manner not otherwise permitted under its Sprint agreements.

Risks Related to iPCS

iPCS is in default on its senior credit facility and notes

iPCS has ceased paying interest on its credit facility. In addition, it is an event of default under iPCS' credit facility and the indenture governing its notes if, among other things, iPCS fails to file its periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission, deliver its financial statements or provide the required opinion of its independent auditors on its financial statements. At December 30, 2002, iPCS failed to meet these requirements. The lenders have the

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

ability to accelerate iPCS' payment obligations under the iPCS credit facility and the trustee for or the holders of its notes has the ability to accelerate iPCS' payment obligations under the indenture governing such notes. iPCS does not anticipate being able to remedy these defaults. iPCS would not have sufficient resources to meet its payment obligations in the event of any such acceleration. In addition, the senior lenders and noteholders could foreclose on the collateral pledged to secure outstanding loans or institute an involuntary bankruptcy proceeding against iPCS. While iPCS has entered into a forbearance agreement with its senior lenders, as described herein under "Liquidity and Capital Resources," there can be no assurance that the noteholders will enter into a forbearance agreement. Further, there can be no assurance that the administrative agent will not exercise the right to terminate the forbearance or that the senior lenders or noteholders will enter into any additional forbearance agreement if necessary.

The restructuring of iPCS may cause the value of AirGate's ownership interest in iPCS to be worthless

There is a substantial risk that AirGate will lose all of the value of its investment in iPCS in connection with any restructuring of iPCS. Because the amount of iPCS' obligations under its credit facility and its notes would be greater than its existing cash and other assets if its payment obligations are accelerated, there would likely be no assets available for distribution to AirGate as iPCS' sole stockholder. While AirGate may request an equity participation in a restructuring of iPCS, it is likely that AirGate will lose all of the value of its investment in iPCS in connection with any restructuring.

AirGate cannot provide funding to iPCS

In order to assure continued compliance with the indenture governing AirGate's notes, AirGate has designated iPCS as an "unrestricted subsidiary." As a result, for purposes of their respective public debt indentures, AirGate and iPCS operate as separate business entities. Due to restrictions in AirGate's indenture, AirGate is generally unable to provide funding, or direct or indirect credit or financial support to iPCS and may not maintain or preserve iPCS' financial condition or cause iPCS to achieve a specified level of operating results.

If iPCS fails to pay the debt under its credit facility, Sprint has the option of purchasing iPCS' loans, giving Sprint certain rights of a creditor to foreclose on iPCS' assets

Sprint has contractual rights, triggered by an acceleration of the maturity of the debt under iPCS' credit facility, pursuant to which Sprint may purchase iPCS' obligations to its senior lenders and obtain the rights of a senior lender. To the extent Sprint purchases these obligations, Sprint's interests as a creditor could conflict with the interests of iPCS. Sprint's rights as a senior lender would enable it to exercise rights with respect to iPCS' assets and its continuing relationship with iPCS in a manner not otherwise permitted under its Sprint agreements.

The restructuring of iPCS may have adverse affects on AirGate

AirGate has agreements and relationships with third parties, including suppliers, subscribers and vendors, that are integral to conducting its day-to-day operations. A restructuring of iPCS in or out of a bankruptcy proceeding may adversely affect the perception of AirGate and the AirGate business and its prospects in the eyes of subscribers, employees, suppliers, creditors and vendors. These persons may perceive that there is increased risk in doing business with AirGate as a result of iPCS' restructuring. Some of these persons may terminate their relationships with AirGate which would make it more difficult for AirGate to conduct its business.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

In the event of iPCS' bankruptcy or insolvency, AirGate may not be able to reduce its general and administrative costs in an amount sufficient to subsidize the portion of the combined Company's costs currently borne by iPCS

On a net basis, we estimate that iPCS will pay approximately \$4.6 million of the combined Company's general and administrative costs in fiscal 2003. To facilitate the orderly transition of management services, the boards of AirGate and iPCS have authorized an amendment to the Services Agreement that would allow individual services to be terminated by either party upon 60 days prior notice. As services are terminated, AirGate will be required to reduce its costs and expenses to meet its business plan. A failure to reduce these expenses in a timely manner could adversely affect AirGate's liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

iPCS' net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be significantly reduced in the event of a restructuring

If a restructuring of iPCS is implemented and there is a significant elimination or reduction of iPCS' outstanding indebtedness, iPCS' net operating loss and credit carryforwards and the tax bases of its assets may be significantly reduced.

Risks Related to Our Relationship with Sprint

The termination of AirGate's or iPCS' affiliation with Sprint would severely restrict our ability to conduct our business

Neither AirGate nor iPCS own the licenses to operate their wireless network. The ability of AirGate and iPCS to offer Sprint PCS products and services and operate a PCS network is dependent on their Sprint agreements remaining in effect and not being terminated. All of our subscribers have purchased Sprint PCS products and services to date, and we do not anticipate any change in the future. The management agreements between Sprint and each of AirGate and iPCS are not perpetual. Sprint can choose not to renew iPCS' management agreement at the expiration of the 20-year initial term or any ten-year renewal term. AirGate's management agreement automatically renews at the expiration of the 20-year initial term for an additional 10-year period unless AirGate is in material default. Sprint can choose not to renew AirGate's management agreement at the expiration of the ten-year renewal term or any subsequent ten-year renewal term. In any event, AirGate's and iPCS' management agreements terminate in 50 years.

In addition, each of these agreements can be terminated for breach of any material term, including, among others, failure to pay, marketing, build-out and network operational requirements. Many of these requirements are extremely technical and detailed in nature. In addition, many of these requirements can be changed by Sprint with little notice. As a result, we may not always be in compliance with all requirements of the Sprint agreements. For example, Sprint conducts periodic audits of compliance with various aspects of its program guidelines and identifies issues it believes needs to be addressed. There may be substantial costs associated with remedying any non-compliance, and such costs may adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

AirGate and iPCS also are dependent on Sprint's ability to perform its obligations under the Sprint agreements. The non-renewal or termination of any of the Sprint agreements or the failure of Sprint to perform its obligations under the Sprint agreements would severely restrict our ability to conduct business.

Sprint may make business decisions that are not in our best interests, which may

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

adversely affect our relationships with subscribers in our territory, increase our expenses and/or decrease our revenues

Sprint, under the Sprint agreements, has a substantial amount of control over the conduct of our business. Accordingly, Sprint may make decisions that adversely affect our business, such as the following:

- o Sprint could price its national plans based on its own objectives and could set price levels or other terms that may not be economically sufficient for our business;
- o Sprint could develop products and services, such as a one-rate plan where subscribers are not required to pay roaming charges, or establish credit policies, such as the NDASL program, which could adversely affect our results of operations;
- o Sprint could raise the costs to perform back office services or maintain the costs above those expected, reduce levels of services or expenses or otherwise seek to increase expenses and other amounts charged;
- o Sprint can seek to further reduce the reciprocal roaming rate charged when Sprint's or other Sprint network partners' PCS subscribers use our network;
- o Sprint could limit our ability to develop local and other promotional plans to enable us to attract sufficient subscribers;
- o Sprint could, subject to limitations under our Sprint agreements, alter its network and technical requirements or request that we build out additional areas within our territories, which could result in increased equipment and build-out costs;
- o Sprint could make decisions which could adversely affect the Sprint brand names, products or services; and
- o Sprint could decide not to renew the Sprint agreements or to no longer perform its obligations, which would severely restrict our ability to conduct business.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could adversely affect our relationship with subscribers in our territories, increase our expenses and/or decrease our revenues and have a material adverse affect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operation.

Our dependence on Sprint for services may limit our ability to reduce costs, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operation

Approximately 65% of cost of service and roaming in our financial statements relate to charges from Sprint. As a result, a substantial portion of our cost of service and roaming is outside our control. There can be no assurance that Sprint will lower its operating costs, or, if these costs are lowered, that Sprint will pass along savings to its PCS network partners. If these costs are more than we anticipate in our business plan, it could materially adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and as noted below, our ability to replace Sprint with lower cost providers may be limited.

Our dependence on Sprint may adversely affect our ability to predict our results of operations

Over the past year, our dependence on Sprint has interjected a greater degree of uncertainty to our business and financial planning. During this time:

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o we agreed to a new \$4 logistics fee for each 3G enabled handset to avoid a prolonged dispute over certain charges for which Sprint sought reimbursement;
- o Sprint PCS sought to recoup \$4.9 million in long-distance access revenues previously paid by Sprint PCS to the Company, of which \$3.9 million related to AirGate and \$1.0 million related to iPCS (See "Legal Proceedings" herein);
- o Sprint has charged us \$1.2 million to reimburse Sprint for certain 3G related development expenses with respect to calendar year 2002;
- o Sprint informed the Company on December 23, 2002 that it had miscalculated software maintenance fees for 2002 and future years, which would result in an annualized increase of \$2.0 million if owed by the Company;
- o Sprint notified the Company that it intends to reduce the reciprocal roaming rate charged by Sprint and its network partners for use of our respective networks from \$0.10 per minute of use to \$0.058 per minute of use in 2003.

We have questioned whether certain of these charges and actions are appropriate and authorized under our Sprint agreements. We plan to work with Sprint to increase the predictability of fees, charges and revenues and to resolve open issues. We expect that it will take time to resolve these issues, and the ultimate outcome is uncertain. Unanticipated expenses and reductions in revenue have had and, if they occur in the future, will have a negative impact on our liquidity and make it more difficult to predict with reliability our future performance.

Inaccuracies in data provided by Sprint could understate our expenses or overstate our revenues and result in out-of-period adjustments that may materially adversely affect our financial results

Approximately 65% of cost of service and roaming in our financial statements relate to charges from Sprint. In addition, because Sprint provides billing and collection services for the Company, Sprint remits approximately 96% of our revenues to us. As a result, we rely on Sprint to provide accurate, timely and sufficient data and information to properly record our revenues, expenses and accounts receivables which underlie a substantial portion of our periodic financial statements and other financial disclosures.

The Company and Sprint have discovered billing and other errors or inaccuracies, which, while not material to Sprint, could be material to the Company. If the Company is required in the future to make additional adjustments or charges as a result of errors or inaccuracies in data provided to us by Sprint, such adjustments or charges may have a material adverse affect on our financial results in the period that the adjustments or charges are made and our ability to satisfy covenants contained in AirGate's credit facility.

The inability of Sprint to provide high quality back office services, or our inability to use Sprint's back office services and third-party vendors' back office systems, could lead to subscriber dissatisfaction, increased churn or otherwise increase our costs

We rely on Sprint's internal support systems, including customer care, billing and back office support. Our operations could be disrupted if Sprint is unable to provide internal support systems in a high quality manner, or to efficiently outsource those services and systems through third-party vendors. Cost pressures are expected to continue to pose a significant challenge to Sprint's internal support systems. Additionally, Sprint has made reductions in its customer service support structure and may continue to do so in the future, which may

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

have an adverse effect on our churn rate. Further, Sprint has relied on third-party vendors for a significant number of important functions and components of its internal support systems and may continue to rely on these vendors in the future. We depend on Sprint's willingness to continue to offer these services and to provide these services effectively and at competitive costs. These costs were approximately \$40.4 million for AirGate and \$19.7 million for iPCS for the year ended September 30, 2002. Our Sprint agreements provide that, upon nine months' prior written notice, Sprint may elect to terminate any of these services. The inability of Sprint to provide high quality back office services, or our inability to use Sprint back office services and third-party vendors' back office systems, could lead to subscriber dissatisfaction, increase churn or otherwise increase our costs.

Further, our ability to replace Sprint in providing back office services may be limited. While the services agreements allow the Company to use third-party vendors to provide certain of these services instead of Sprint, the high startup costs and necessary cooperation associated with interfacing with Sprint's system may significantly limit our ability to use back office services provided by anyone other than Sprint. This could limit our ability to lower our operating costs.

Changes in Sprint PCS products and services may reduce subscriber additions, increase subscriber turnover and decrease subscriber credit quality

The competitiveness of Sprint PCS products and services is a key factor in our ability to attract and retain subscribers, and we believe was a factor in the slowing subscriber growth in the last two quarters of fiscal 2002.

Certain Sprint pricing plans, promotions and programs may result in higher levels of subscriber turnover and reduce the credit quality of our subscriber base. For example, we believe that the NDASL and Clear Pay Program resulted in increased churn and an increase in sub-prime credit subscribers.

Sprint's roaming arrangements may not be competitive with other wireless service providers, which may restrict our ability to attract and retain subscribers and create other risks for us

We rely on Sprint's roaming arrangements with other wireless service providers for coverage in some areas where Sprint service is not yet available. The risks related to these arrangements include:

- o the quality of the service provided by another provider during a roaming call may not approximate the quality of the service provided by the Sprint PCS network;
- o the price of a roaming call off our network may not be competitive with prices of other wireless companies for roaming calls;
- o subscribers must end a call in progress and initiate a new call when leaving the Sprint PCS network and entering another wireless network;
- o Sprint customers may not be able to use Sprint's advanced features, such as voicemail notification, while roaming; and
- o Sprint or the carriers providing the service may not be able to provide us with accurate billing information on a timely basis.

If Sprint customers are not able to roam instantaneously or efficiently onto other wireless networks, we may lose current Sprint subscribers and our Sprint PCS services will be less attractive to new subscribers.

Certain provisions of the Sprint agreements may diminish the value of AirGate's

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

common stock and restrict the sale of our business

Under limited circumstances and without further stockholder approval, Sprint may purchase the operating assets of AirGate or iPCS at a discount. In addition, Sprint must approve any change of control of the ownership of AirGate or iPCS and must consent to any assignment of their Sprint agreements. Sprint also has a right of first refusal if AirGate or iPCS decide to sell its operating assets to a third-party. Each of AirGate and iPCS are also subject to a number of restrictions on the transfer of its business, including a prohibition on the sale of AirGate or iPCS or their operating assets to competitors of Sprint. These restrictions and other restrictions contained in the Sprint agreements could adversely affect the value of AirGate's common stock, may limit our ability to sell our business, may reduce the value a buyer would be willing to pay for our business and may reduce the "entire business value," as described in our Sprint agreements.

We may have difficulty in obtaining an adequate supply of certain handsets from Sprint, which could adversely affect our results of operations

We depend on our relationship with Sprint to obtain handsets, and we have agreed to purchase all of our 3G capable handsets from Sprint or a Sprint authorized distributor through the earlier of December 31, 2004 or the date on which the cumulative 3G handset fees received by Sprint from all Sprint network partners equal \$25,000,000. Sprint orders handsets from various manufacturers. We could have difficulty obtaining specific types of handsets in a timely manner if:

- o Sprint does not adequately project the need for handsets for itself, its network partners and its other third-party distribution channels, particularly in transition to new technologies, such as "one time radio transmission technology," or "1XRTT;"
- o Sprint gives preference to other distribution channels;
- o we do not adequately project our need for handsets;
- o Sprint modifies its handset logistics and delivery plan in a manner that restricts or delays our access to handsets; or
- o there is an adverse development in the relationship between Sprint and its suppliers or vendors.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could disrupt our subscriber service and/or result in a decrease in our subscribers, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

If Sprint does not complete the construction of its nationwide PCS network, we may not be able to attract and retain subscribers

Sprint currently intends to cover a significant portion of the population of the United States, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands by creating a nationwide PCS network through its own construction efforts and those of its network partners. Sprint is still constructing its nationwide network and does not offer PCS services, either on its own network or through its roaming agreements, in every city in the United States. Sprint has entered into management agreements similar to ours with companies in other markets under its nationwide PCS build-out strategy. Our results of operations are dependent on Sprint's national network and, to a lesser extent, on the networks of Sprint's other network partners. Sprint's PCS network may not provide nationwide coverage to the same extent as its competitors, which could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain subscribers.

If other Sprint network partners have financial difficulties, the Sprint PCS

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

network could be disrupted

Sprint's national network is a combination of networks. The large metropolitan areas are owned and operated by Sprint, and the areas in between them are owned and operated by Sprint network partners, all of which are independent companies like we are. We believe that most, if not all, of these companies have incurred substantial debt to pay the large cost of building out their networks.

If other network partners experience financial difficulties, Sprint's PCS network could be disrupted. If Sprint's agreements with those network partners are like ours, Sprint would have the right to step in and operate the network in the affected territory, subject to the rights of their lenders. In such event, there can be no assurance that Sprint could transition in a timely and seamless manner or that lenders would permit Sprint to do so.

Non-renewal or revocation by the Federal Communications Commission of Sprint's PCS licenses would significantly harm our business

PCS licenses are subject to renewal and revocation by the Federal Communications Commission referred to as the FCC. Sprint licenses in our territories will begin to expire in 2007 but may be renewed for additional ten-year terms. There may be opposition to renewal of Sprint's PCS licenses upon their expiration, and Sprint's PCS licenses may not be renewed. The FCC has adopted specific standards to apply to PCS license renewals. Any failure by Sprint or us to comply with these standards could cause revocation or forfeiture of Sprint's PCS licenses for our territories. If Sprint loses any of its licenses in our territory, we would be severely restricted in our ability to conduct business.

If Sprint does not maintain control over its licensed spectrum, the Sprint agreements may be terminated, which would result in our inability to provide service

The FCC requires that licensees like Sprint maintain control of their licensed spectrum and not delegate control to third-party operators or managers. Although the Sprint agreements with AirGate and iPCS reflect an arrangement that the parties believe meets the FCC requirements for licensee control of licensed spectrum, we cannot assure you that the FCC will agree. If the FCC were to determine that the Sprint agreements need to be modified to increase the level of licensee control, AirGate and iPCS have agreed with Sprint to use their best efforts to modify the Sprint agreements to comply with applicable law. If we cannot agree with Sprint to modify the Sprint agreements, they may be terminated. If the Sprint agreements are terminated, we would no longer be a part of the Sprint PCS network and would be severely restricted in our ability to conduct business.

Risks Particular to Our Industry

Significant competition in the wireless communications services industry may result in our competitors offering new or better products and services or lower prices, which could prevent us from operating profitably

Competition in the wireless communications industry is intense. Competition has caused, and we anticipate that competition will continue to cause, the market prices for two-way wireless products and services to decline in the future. Our ability to compete will depend, in part, on our ability to anticipate and respond to various competitive factors affecting the telecommunications industry. Our dependence on Sprint to develop competitive products and services and the requirement that we obtain Sprint's consent to sell local pricing plans and non-Sprint approved equipment may limit our ability to keep pace with competitors on the introduction of new products, services and equipment. Many of our competitors are larger than us, possess greater resources and more extensive coverage areas, and may market other services, such as landline telephone

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

service, cable television and Internet access, with their wireless communications services. Furthermore, there has been a recent trend in the wireless communications industry towards consolidation of wireless service providers through joint ventures, reorganizations and acquisitions. We expect this consolidation to lead to larger competitors over time. We may be unable to compete successfully with larger companies that have substantially greater resources or that offer more services than we do. In addition, we may be at a competitive disadvantage since we may be more highly leveraged than many of our competitors.

Market saturation could limit or decrease our rate of new subscriber additions

Intense competition in the wireless communications industry could cause prices for wireless products and services to continue to decline. If prices drop, then our rate of net subscriber additions will take on greater significance in improving our financial condition and results of operations. However, as our and our competitor's penetration rates in our markets increase over time, our rate of adding net subscribers could decrease. If this decrease were to happen, it could materially adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.

Alternative technologies and current uncertainties in the wireless market may reduce demand for PCS

The wireless communications industry is experiencing significant technological change, as evidenced by the increasing pace of digital upgrades in existing analog wireless systems, evolving industry standards, ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of digital technology, shorter development cycles for new products and enhancements and changes in end-user requirements and preferences. Technological advances and industry changes could cause the technology used on our network to become obsolete. Sprint may not be able to respond to such changes and implement new technology on a timely basis, or at an acceptable cost.

If Sprint is unable to keep pace with these technological changes or changes in the wireless communications market based on the effects of consolidation from the Telecommunications Act of 1996 or from the uncertainty of future government regulation, the technology used on our network or our business strategy may become obsolete.

We are a consumer business and a recession in the United States involving significantly lowered spending could negatively affect our results of operations

Our subscriber base is primarily individual consumers and our accounts receivable represent unsecured credit. We believe the economic downturn has had an adverse affect on our operations. In the event that the economic downturn that the United States and our territories have recently experienced becomes more pronounced or lasts longer than currently expected and spending by individual consumers drops significantly, our business may be further negatively affected.

Regulation by government and taxing agencies may increase our costs of providing service or require us to change our services, either of which could impair our financial performance

Our operations and those of Sprint may be subject to varying degrees of regulation by the FCC, the Federal Trade Commission, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration and state and local regulatory agencies and legislative bodies. Adverse decisions or regulation of these regulatory bodies could negatively impact our operations and our costs of doing business. For example, changes in tax laws or the interpretation of existing tax laws by state and

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

local authorities could subject us to increased income, sales, gross receipts or other tax costs or require us to alter the structure of our current relationship with Sprint.

Use of hand-held phones may pose health risks, which could result in the reduced use of wireless services or liability for personal injury claims

Media reports have suggested that certain radio frequency emissions from wireless handsets may be linked to various health problems, including cancer, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. Concerns over radio frequency emissions may discourage use of wireless handsets or expose us to potential litigation. Any resulting decrease in demand for wireless services, or costs of litigation and damage awards, could impair our ability to achieve and sustain profitability.

Regulation by government or potential litigation relating to the use of wireless phones while driving could adversely affect our results of operations

Some studies have indicated that some aspects of using wireless phones while driving may impair drivers' attention in certain circumstances, making accidents more likely. These concerns could lead to litigation relating to accidents, deaths or serious bodily injuries, or to new restrictions or regulations on wireless phone use, any of which also could have material adverse effects on our results of operations. A number of U.S. states and local governments are considering or have recently enacted legislation that would restrict or prohibit the use of a wireless handset while driving a vehicle or, alternatively, require the use of a hands-free telephone. Legislation of this sort, if enacted, would require wireless service providers to provide hands-free enhanced services, such as voice activated dialing and hands-free speaker phones and headsets, so that they can keep generating revenue from their subscribers, who make many of their calls while on the road. If we are unable to provide hands-free services and products to our subscribers in a timely and adequate fashion, the volume of wireless phone usage would likely decrease, and our ability to generate revenues would suffer.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

We may not achieve or sustain operating profitability or positive cash flows, which may adversely affect AirGate's stock price

AirGate and iPCS have limited operating histories. Our ability to achieve and sustain operating profitability will depend upon many factors, including our ability to market Sprint PCS products and services, manage churn, sustain monthly average revenues per user, and reduce capital expenditures and operating expenses. We have experienced slowing net subscriber growth, increased churn and increased costs to acquire new subscribers and as a result, have had to revise our business plans. As discussed elsewhere in this report, we do not believe that iPCS' existing capital resources will be sufficient to maintain its current and planned operations. If AirGate does not achieve and maintain positive cash flows from operations when projected, AirGate's stock price may be materially adversely affected. In addition, in the event of a bankruptcy of iPCS, AirGate's investment in iPCS is likely to be worthless, and such bankruptcy may materially adversely affect AirGate's stock price.

Our stock price has suffered significant declines, remains volatile and you may not be able to sell your shares at the price you paid for them

The market price of AirGate common stock has been and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations in response to factors such as the following, some of which are beyond our control:

- o quarterly variations in our operating results;

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- o concerns about liquidity;
- o the potential de-listing of our common stock;
- o operating results that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;
- o changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- o changes in the market perception about the prospects and results of operations and market valuations of other companies in the telecommunications industry in general and the wireless industry in particular, including Sprint and its PCS network partners and our competitors;
- o changes in the Company's relationship with Sprint;
- o announcements by Sprint concerning developments or changes in its business, financial condition or results of operations, or in its expectations as to future financial performance;
- o actual or potential defaults by us under any of our agreements;
- o actual or potential defaults in bank covenants by Sprint or Sprint PCS network partners, which may result in a perception that AirGate is unable to comply with its bank covenants;
- o announcements by Sprint or our competitors of technological innovations, new products and services or changes to existing products and services;
- o changes in law and regulation;
- o announcements by third parties of significant claims or proceedings against us;
- o announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments; and
- o general economic and competitive conditions.

Our business and the value of your securities may be adversely affected if the Company fails to maintain its listing on Nasdaq

Since its initial public offering in September 1999, the Company's common stock has been listed on the Nasdaq National Market. We received notice from the Nasdaq National Market indicating that as of October 28, 2002, the closing bid price of our common stock had fallen below \$1.00 for 30 consecutive trading days and that we would have 90 calendar days, or until January 27, 2003, to regain compliance with a minimum bid price of \$1.00 if the bid price of our common stock closed at \$1.00 per share or more for a minimum of 10 consecutive trading days during this time. As of January 27, 2003, we did not regain compliance. On January 28, 2003, the Company received a Nasdaq Staff Determination indicating that because of the Company's failure to regain compliance with the minimum \$1.00 bid price per share requirement, its common stock would be subject to delisting from the Nasdaq National Market at the opening of business on February 6, 2003, unless it appealed this determination. The letter also indicated that Nasdaq had determined that the Company does not comply with the minimum \$10 million stockholders' equity requirement under Maintenance Standard 1, as set forth in Marketplace Rule 4450(a)(3), or the market value of publicly held

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

shares and minimum bid requirement under Maintenance Standard 2, as set forth in Marketplace Rule 4450(b)(3) and (4), for continued listing on the Nasdaq National Market.

Following procedures set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace Rule 4800 Series, the Company has filed an appeal and request for an oral hearing before a Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel to review the Nasdaq Staff Determination. The hearing has been scheduled for March 6, 2003. Until the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel reaches its decision, our common stock will remain listed and will continue to trade on the Nasdaq National Market. There can be no assurance, however, that the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel will grant the Company's request for continued listing on the Nasdaq National Market.

In the event that the Nasdaq Listing Qualifications Panel denies our request for continued listing, Shares of our common stock would likely trade in the over-the-counter market in the so-called "pink sheets" or the OTC Bulletin Board. Selling our common stock would be more difficult because smaller quantities of shares would likely be bought and sold and transactions could be delayed. In addition, security analysts' and news media coverage of us may be further reduced. These factors could result in lower prices and larger spreads in the bid and ask prices for shares of our common stock. Such delisting from the Nasdaq National Market or further declines in our stock price could also greatly impair our ability to raise additional necessary capital through equity or debt financing and may significantly increase the dilution to stockholders caused by issuing equity in financing or other transactions.

In addition, if our common stock is not listed on the Nasdaq National Market, we may become subject to Rule 15c-9 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 which imposes additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell low-priced securities, referred to as "penny stocks," to persons other than established subscribers and institutional accredited investors. A penny stock is generally any equity security that has a market price or exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions, including listing on the Nasdaq National Market or the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. For transactions covered by these rules, broker-dealers must make a special suitability determination for the purchase of such securities and must have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction prior to the purchase. Additionally, for any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require the delivery, prior to the transaction, of a risk disclosure document mandated by the SEC relating to the penny stock market. The broker-dealer is also subject to additional sales practice requirements. Consequently, the penny stock rules may restrict the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and may affect the ability of holders to sell these securities in the secondary market and the price at which such holders can sell any such securities.

Future sales of shares of our common stock, including sales of shares following the expiration of "lock-up" arrangements, may negatively affect our stock price

As a result of the acquisition of iPCS, the former iPCS security holders received approximately 12.4 million shares of our common stock and options and warrants to purchase approximately 1.1 million shares of our common stock. The shares of common stock issued in connection with the acquisition represented approximately 47.5% of our common stock, assuming the exercise of all outstanding warrants and options.

In connection with the merger, holders of substantially all of the outstanding shares of iPCS common and preferred stock entered into "lock-up" agreements with the Company. The lock-up agreements imposed restrictions on the ability of such stockholders to sell or otherwise dispose of the shares of our common stock that they received in the merger. As of September 26, 2002, all of such shares were released from the lock-up.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

We entered into a registration rights agreement at the effective time of the merger with some of the former iPCS stockholders. Under the terms of the registration rights agreement, Blackstone Communications Partners I L.P. and certain of its affiliates ("Blackstone") has a demand registration right, which became exercisable after November 30, 2002. In addition, the former iPCS stockholders, including Blackstone, have incidental registration rights pursuant to which they can, in general, include their shares of our common stock in any public registration we initiate, whether or not for sale for our own account.

Sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock, or even the potential for such sales, could lower the market price of our common stock and impair its ability to raise capital through the sale of equity securities.

We do not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain any future earnings to fund our growth, debt service requirements and other corporate needs. Accordingly, you will not receive a return on your investment in our common stock through the payment of dividends in the foreseeable future and may not realize a return on your investment even if you sell your shares. Any future payment of dividends to our stockholders will depend on decisions that will be made by our board of directors and will depend on then existing conditions, including our financial condition, contractual restrictions, capital requirements and business prospects.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

99.1 Certification of Thomas M. Dougherty pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C.ss.1350.

99.2 Certification of William H. Seippel pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C.ss.1350.

(b) Reports on 8-K

The following Current Reports on 8-K were filed by AirGate during the quarter ended December 31, 2002:

On October 29, 2002, AirGate furnished a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Item 9 - Regulation FD Disclosure relating to the appointment of William H. Seippel as Chief Financial Officer of AirGate.

On November 7, 2002, AirGate furnished a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Item 9 - Regulation FD Disclosure relating to the reinstatement by iPCS of the deposit requirement for sub-prime subscribers, the reduction in the iPCS senior credit facility, the waiver of the minimum subscriber covenant for December 31, 2002 and the elimination of the minimum liquidity covenant, retaining a financial advisor to review iPCS' strategic alternatives, and AirGate's receipt of notification from the Nasdaq National Market of its noncompliance with the Nasdaq National Market listing requirements.

On December 16, 2002, AirGate furnished a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Item 9 - Regulation FD Disclosure relating to the scheduling of AirGate's fourth quarter fiscal 2002 conference call to review its fourth quarter and fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 financial and operating results.

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

On December 30, 2002, AirGate furnished a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Item 9 - Regulation FD Disclosure relating to the delayed filing of AirGate's Annual Report on Form 10-K and the inability of iPCS to deliver its audited financial statements and audit opinion as required by the iPCS credit facility and the indenture under which its notes are issued.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned officer thereunto duly authorized.

AIRGATE PCS, INC.

By: /s/ William H. Seippel

William H. Seippel
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer, Principal
Financial and Chief Accounting Officer)

Date: January 15, 2004

CERTIFICATION

I, Thomas M. Dougherty, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A of AirGate PCS, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: January 15, 2004

/s/ Thomas M. Dougherty

Thomas M. Dougherty
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, William H. Seippel, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A of AirGate PCS, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: January 15, 2004

/s/ William H. Seippel

William H. Seippel
Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 99.1

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, Thomas M. Dougherty of AirGate PCS, Inc. (the "Company"), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

- (1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A of the Company for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2002 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in the Report fairly

Edgar Filing: AIRGATE PCS INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q/A

presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: January 15, 2004

/s/ Thomas M. Dougherty

Thomas M. Dougherty
Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 99.2

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, William H. Seippel, Chief Financial Officer of AirGate PCS, Inc. (the "Company"), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

- (1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A of the Company for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2002 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: January 15, 2004

/s/ William H. Seippel

William H. Seippel
Chief Financial Officer